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## PREFACE

## BY THE TRANSLATOR.

While the lexicographical department of the English tongue has been cultivated, and further productions are awaited, the grammatical has been almost completely neglected. The works of this class have not striven after a higher aim than the constitution of certain arbitrary formulæ for the attainment of a superficial propriety in the use of the stores of the language; formulæ tried by which the greatest lights of English literature would, almost without exception, stand condemned, while a scientific foundation for the formulæ and rules has hardly been attempted. English grammar has, in fact, under the hands of native grammarians, barely emerged from the region of dogmatism. From this observation the work of Dr. Latham must-be excepted, yet the purport of that work is rather archeological than grammatical; and the learned author probably never contemplated that his work would be resorted to for the elucidation of a doubtful construction or idiom.

While Englishmen have thus been content to leave the usage of their own tongue, so far as its more delicate grammatical features are concerned, blind, instinctive and unconscious, the nation in which erudition and scientific philology are, as it were, indigenous, having already subjected the classical tongues to an exhaustive scientific treatment, as well lexicographically as grammatically,
has undertaken the scientific treatment of the grammar of the English tongue. That the grammar of the tongue should have been approached by Germans from that purely scientific point of view, from which natives have not hitherto regarded it, will not surprise us when we consider the relations of German to the classical tongues of antiquity and to our own vernacular. The German is the living classical tongue. While the modern tongues of the West of Europe are constructed out of the débris of Latin, as English is from the débris of Romance and of a decayed and decapitated Germanic idiom, the modern Highdutch, or German, exhibits, even more than the classical tongues themselves, a systematic orderly development from indigenous materials. The growth and development of language, which, to a Frenchman or an Englishman lie external and remote, are, to a German, ready to hand; and, as the cloudless nights of the plains of Shinar prompted the ancient Chaldeans to study the motions of the heavenly host, the purely indigenous structure of their native speech has suggested to the Germans the investigation of the laws of the vocal material in which thought is deposited and communicated.

Moreover, as each new conquest in the territory of the Unknown would be fleeting, but for the invention of terms to impart stability to each acquisition, the people which pursues with success an investigation in a fresh field has the prerogative of creating the appropriate terminology. Such was the prerogative of the Greeks in Logic and Metaphysics, and, if it be allowed to term it a prerogative, in Theology. Such, likewise, was the prerogative of the Romans in Law and administration, and such, in our own age, is that of the Germans in scientific Philology. The instruments of thought which had been invented and perfected in subjecting the classical tongues to analysis stood ready to be applied upon the English. To a foreigner, moreover, the language presents itself denuded of the debasing usages of life, as a homely landscape, beheld from a distant eminence, becomes inviting, so that common place associations do not obtrude themselves upon the enquirer and disturb his contemplation in his purely scientific pursuit.

The Grammar of Professor Mätzner is the fruit of researches
and labours, astounding in their extent and completeness, ranging over the entire history of the English tongue. Previous investigations in the field of Old-French, one of the mightiest tributaries of Modern-English, had paved the way to similar researches in the ancient Germanic idioms, and these have been completed by a thorough study of the standard luminaries of Modern-English literature, with especial regard to the light they were adapted to throw upon the grammatical peculiarities of the tongue. Calculated to supply a void in the linguistic literature of our country, I have, in order to render it accessible to those of our nation who are either unacquainted with the language in which the text is composed or are not sufficient masters of it to read it with facility, ventured upon a translation. I have become painfully conscious with the progress of the work how unequal $I$ am to cope with the difficulties which even a simple translation has presented. The difficulty has been that a translation from a more powerful into a feebler vehicle is sometimes unattainable. The coarser lineaments are capable of reproduction, but the finer traits vanish in the alembic. This will be generally conceded as regards the rendering of the artistic productions of a language, but the conception is prevalent that scientific treatises are capable of being transferred, without loss, from any one cultivated tongue into any other. The difference, however, is one of degree only. Even for purely scientific exposition the members of one cultivated tongue never precisely cover those of another. That the German inherits, as its special prerogative, the terms of scientific philology and of modern metaphysics, the creation of the post-Kantian philosophy, I have already indicated, and this is precisely the walk to which the present work belongs. A cumbrous periphrasis has therefore been in many cases the sole mean of rendering some of the neatest and most exact expressions of the original. In the Prosody, for instance, An-laut, In-laut and Aus-laut, with their paronyms, are frequently recurring. The generic element laut, meaning sound, is here differentiated with perfect propriety by the prepositions an, signifying inception, in, signifying inclusion, and aus, signifying finality: so that the first means the sound at the beginning; the second that in the middle; and the latter that at the end of a
syllable. How poor in meaning, notwithstanding their vocal complexity, are the expressions, I will not call them equivalents, by which the poverty of our vernacular has constrained me to render them, is obvious at once. While I am thus sensible of the defects of my translation, I hope that the circumstance above mentioned will lenify any hostile criticism which they may provoke.

It is due to the eminent author of the vast monument of industry and erudition which is now ushered into the British public to furnish them with a sketch of his biography. Edward Mätzner, the son of a house-painter, was born on the $25^{\text {th }}$ of May 1805 at Rostock in Mecklenburg. He was a pupil at the gymnasium, or grammar school, of Greifswald in Prussian Pomerania, where he began his career as an author by the publication, in 1822, of a romantic drama in five acts, called Hermann and Thusnelda. Philology and theology were the subjects of his studies, both at Greifswald, and afterwards at Heidelberg, but philosophy, or thought in the most elevated and abstract forms of its activity, and philology, or the study of the vehicle of thought in its manifold manifestations, presented to his vigorous and enquiring mind so many more attractions than the theology which had been his destined career that the latter was gradually abandoned. In 1830 he became a tutor at Yverdun in French Switzerland, but quitted that post the following year to become the master of a French gymnasium at Berlin, which, after about another year, he quitted for a gymnasium at Bromberg in Posen. He was constrained by ill-health to give up this appointment in 1834, and remained in private life till 1838 , when he accepted the post of director, or head-master, of a collegiate establishment at Berlin for the higher education of girls, which he still fills. The duties of his appointment leave him leisure for the prosecution of his favourite studies and pursuits. His wife Ida, was sister of Dr. Gustav Eberty, now Stadtgerichtsrath, or one of the members of the central court of justice for Berlin, and also one of the members for Berlin in the Prussian House of Representatives. She died in 1870.

His published works are as follows :-
A Latin Essay upon the Homeric Zeus, 1834.
Licurgi Oratio in Leocatem. Berlin, 1836.

Aristophanis Orationes XV. Berlin, 1838.
Aphorismen aus Theodor Parow's Nachlass. Berlin, 1837.
Dinarchi Orationes III. Berlin, 1842.
Ueber volksthümliche Getränke in cultur-historischer Beziehung, in den Verhandlungen der polytechnischen Gesellschaft. Berlin, 1857.

Syntax der Neufranzösischen Sprache. Theil I. Berlin, 1843. Theil II. 1845.

Ueber das Geschworengericht und das Schuldwesen; in der Zeitschrift für volksthümliches Recht und nationale Gesetzgebung, von Gustav Eberty. Halle, 1844.

Französische Grammatik, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Lateinischen. Berlin, 1856.

Altfranzösische Lieder, berichtigt und erläutert, nebst Glossar. Berlin, 1853.

Vorwort zu: Aus Stadler's Nachlass. Berlin, 1865.
Englische Grammatik. Theil I. 1860.

- -. Theil II. Berlin, 1865.

Alt-Englische Sprachproben. 1869.
Several essays and reviews in Noack's Jahrbücher für speculative Philosophie and in Bergmann's philosophische Monatshefte.

Essays in the philosophical periodical: Der Gedanke; edited by Michelet.

He was elected an honorary member of the Philological Society of London in 1869.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.

The English language, at present diffused not only over Great Britain, Ireland and the surrounding islands, but also throughout the English colonies out of Europe, as well as throughout the commonwealth of North America, is a peculiar mixed language, formed within Great Britain. Its most essential constituent, the Anglosaxon, after the expulsion of the Celtic language, coalesced with Normanfrench elements, and has established itself as its formative power.

The primitive inhabitants of Great Britain and Ireland were Celts. Immigrant Belgic populations, which, even before Julius Caesar's time occupied the coasts of Britain, were likewise of Celtic stock, the most civilized among them being the inhabitants of Kent. The Celtic language, peculiar to the whole of western Europe when the Romans took possession of Britain, is still spoken, as the language of the people, in Ireland, in the highlands and islands of Scotland, where subsequent immigrants from Ireland in the third century (Picts and Scots) displaced the ancient Caledonians from the West onwards; also in Wales and in the Isle of Man, as well as in French Lower Brittany. The Celtic literature of the druidical era has perished; a modern one has arisen only under the influence of foreign culture; its monuments extend up to the eighth and ninth centuries, but only in our own age have they become the subject of research. L. Dieffenbach and Zeuss, among the Germans, have devoted to it most comprehensive investigations (Celtica, in two parts. Stuttgart 1839 and Grammatica Celtica. Leipzig 1852. Two parts) while its modern idioms have been variously explored by English and French scholars.

Even in antiquity a distinction was drawn between the two main branches of the Celtic tongue, the Gaelic (the same as Gaedelic, with a mute d) and the British. To the Gaelic branch belong: first, the present Irish, frequently called Erse; secondly, the Highland-Scotch, or Erse, commonly called the Gaelic; and, thirdly, the Manx. To
the British branch belong: first, the Welsh, or Cymric (Cymraeg) in Wales; secondly, the Cornish in Cornwall, which died out in the eightenth century; and, thirdly, the Armorican, (Breizounek,) in Brittany.

In English, with the exception of no inconsiderable number of proper names of towns, villages, hills, rivers and lakes, Celtic roots have been but scantily preserved, and of these only a few have been transmitted through the Anglosaxon. In modern times many Celtic words have been taken up by the language of the people.

The British Celts were (from Caesar, 60 years before Christ, to Agricola, 84 years after Christ) subdued by the Romans, with the exception of the mountaineers of Wales and Scotland, who, like their Irish congeners remained unconquered. Roman-british towns soon covered the flourishing land, which was traversed by well designed roads, and peopled partly by Roman colonists, soldiers, and maintained a brisk intercourse with Rome and her provinces. With the Roman constitution, Roman laws and the official use of the Latin tongue, England even received a tinge of Roman science and learning as well as eloquence. Here, however, in striking contrast with its influence in Celtic Gaul, the Latin tongue, although a necessary medium for intelligence in the towns, struck by no means so deep a root among the Celtic population as to become permanently influential in the subsequent formation, of the English language. The gradual penetration of Latin into English begins with the introduction of Christianity and of its ecclesiastical language, advances with the development of mediaeval science, and continues to grow with the revival of classical culture. The linguistic traces of the Roman dominion are preserved only in names of places (such as those compounded of caster, chester, cester and coln, that is, castra, colonia). After nearly five hundred years possession of the country the Romans recalled their legions to Italy, then hard pressed by barbarians, and thereupon a fresh foreign rule began in Britain.

The beginnings of the Anglosaxon dominion are veiled in darkness. Marauding expeditions of German and Scandinavian mariners to the southern and eastern coasts of Britain began in the third century after Christ: the Romans maintained fleets in the ports of Britain and Gaul against the barbarians; in the South-east strongholds were founded for the defence of the coast. In the reign of Valentinian, Theodosius acquires the surname of Saxonicus through his defeat of German pirates, and, even in the fourth century, the seacoast bears the name of Littus Saxonicum, which seems to point to its settlement by Germans. The British towns, in 409, expelled their imperial officers and drove away marauding Saxons, inhabitants of the northern coasts of Germany, by force of arms. The prevailing portion of the population of the South-east seems, even before the subsequent immigration of the Saxons and Jutes, to have been of the Saxon stock. Modern enquirers, however, are wrong in ascribing the formation of the Scotch dialect to the contemporaneous invasion of Scotland by the Picts, as if these were a Scandinavian race from the North.

In various expeditions the Angles, Saxons and Jutes, ostensibly called in for succour against the Picts and Scots, came about the
middle of the fifth century to Britain and, after a prolonged contest, possessed themselves of the country. The earliest and most numerous settlers, the Angles, who appeared in the North between the Humber and the wall of Antonine, gave their name to the country (Englaland), although the Celts are wont even now to denote the English by the name of Saxons (Cymric, Seison Saeson). The Angles, for a while the most powerful, subsequently succumbed to the Saxons, of whom the Westsaxons, in 827, in the reign of Egbert, obtained the sovereignty over the whole country, as well as over Wales, while the less numerous Jutes, who are commonly mentioned as the oldest settlers in Kent and the Isle of Wight, played no important part politically. All had come from the northern coast of Germany, from Friesland to the peninsula of Jutland: their tongue, the Lowdutch, was spoken by them in various dialects, which, blended in England more than in their home, still betray their diversity in the popular dialects of modern English.

At the end of the sixth century we find the Angles spread over the greatest portion of the country. In the South of Scotland, between the Tweed and the Frith of Forth, where King Edwin in 620 built Edinburgh, as likewise in Northumberland (that is, Bernicia) also in Cumberland, Durham, (the bishopric) Westmoreland, Lancashire and Yorkshire (that is, Deira) they dwelt under the name of Northumbers. This Northumberland was, from the seventh till the middle of the eighth century, the chief seat of learning. They bore the name of Mercians in Cheshire, Derbyshire, Nothinghamshire, (Northmercians) and south of the Trent in Lincolnshire, Northamptonshire, Rutlandshire, Huntingdonshire, the northern part of Bedfordshire, Hertfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire, Gloucestershire, Warwickshire, Worcestershire, Herefordshire, Staffordshire and Shropshire (Southmercians). In Norfolk, Suffolk, Cambridgeshire and the Isle of Ely, as well as in part of Bedfordshire, they were called East Angles, in Leicestershire, belonging to Mercia, Middleangles.

The Saxons settled in the South, in Sussex, Essex, Middlesex and the south of Hertfordshire, as East Saxons; then, in Surrey, Berkshire, Wiltshire, Dorsetshire, Somersetshire, Devonshire and a portion of Cornwall, as Westsaxons.

Lastly we find the Jutes in Kent, the isle of Wight and a part of Hampshire.

Masters, for the most part, of the soil, and, unlike the Romans, inhabitants of the open country, the language of the conquerors soon penetrated deeply into the life of the people. The Anglosaxon language and literature flourished, developing even early cultivated prose. The best manuscripts in the Anglosaxon language have their origin in the tenth century; the then predominant dialect, that of Wessex, maintained itself in this century unadulterated; of the earlier language we are ignorant, the earlier works having been moulded by the copyists according to their respective dialects. The decay of the language begins in the eleventh century, under the influence of the Normans. Of foreign elements, the Anglosaxon language after the introduction of Christianity into England in 597, (first into Kent) which spread rapidly in the seventh century, adopted a number of words,
originally taken from the Greek, from the language of the Latin church. A few more Latin words have been transmitted through the Anglosaxon, and have remained in the subsequent English.

From 787 the Danes molested the coasts of England. In the ninth century they possessed themselves of the north, and settled in Northumberland and Mercia. Alfred the Great, involved, like his predecessors, in conflict with them, and, for a while, bereft of his sway at last overcame them, although they afterwards, after fresh arrivals of their countrymen, again in union with Scots and Britons, combated the Anglosaxons, until defeated by Athelstan at Brunaburg. The Danish king Sweno afterwards invades England, and, from the year 994, is repeatedly bought off with Danegelt. In order to avenge the murder of the Danes by Ethelred in 1000, he returns, is reconciled by a fresh atonement, (Mandebod), and dies in a final attempt to conquer the country, in 1014. His son Canute the Great conquers it in 1016, makes himself monarch in 1018, and, being at the same time king of Denmark, he tries to blend both nations into one. His sons Harold and Hardicanute reign in succession till 1042 over England, when Ethelred's son, Edward the Confessor, again comes to the throne, and dies in 1065, and whose successor Harold loses both throne and life in the battle of Hastings against William the Conqueror in 1066.

The language of these Danes, partly from its very nature, was impotent to exercise a transforming influence upon the Anglosaxon tongue, and moreover, such an influence upon the Anglosaxon was, on the part of the decidedly less cultivated Danes, scarcely possible. Even Canute's laws were issued, not in the Danish, but in the Anglosaxon language, and they disclose but few traces of the Norse tongue. Solitary Old-norse words are still to be met with in English and have therefore overpassed the limits of a dialect. But it was erroneous to call, as was formerly done, the speech of the country occupied by the Angles, the Saxon-danish dialect. The memory of the Danish era has been preserved in such vigour that, in Northamptonshire even at the present day, the peasants call every coin found in the earth Dane's money. In the investigation of words, a recourse to the Old-norse idiom is, further of great importance, where the Lowdutch dialects afford no clew.

With the commencement of the Norman rule, in 1066, the period of the violent repression of the refractory Anglosaxon nation, often provoked to open resistance, the Anglosaxon tongue disappeared from literature and from the laws. The French language and customs of the Normans were, even previously, not unknown to the court and to the upper circles of Anglosaxon life, for, during the Danish sway, the Princes, Lords and Clergy had fled to the Normans of the continent, who were superior to themselves in civilization. Normans had been trained at the Anglosaxon court and entrusted with offices: that their influence was disrelished by the people was the occasion of the king's being compelled, in 1052, to banish them. But, after the conquest by William, the estates of the saxon magnates, as well as the archbishoprics, bishoprics and abbeys, soon passed into the hands of Normans. Royal ordinances were now issued in the French tongue, justice was administered in it, and it became the language of
instruction in the schools. The English youth of rank went to France, frequenting especially the university of Paris, in order to acquire its language, science and manners. Even in England French poetry flourished; here, where William the Conqueror's daughter Adela, countess of Blois, herself practised poetry, sojourned the epic poets Richard Wace of Jersey, (died in 1184 in England) Benedict of St. Maure, Guerner or Gamier of Picardy, (in England in 1182), the didactic writers Philip of Than, (Thaun) from the neighbourhood of Caen, (in England in the $12^{\text {th }}$ century) Geoffrey Gaymar, ( $12^{\text {th }}$ century) Turold. Even Mary of France, ( $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ centuries) lived mostly in England. Along with French writers flourished besides numerous Latin authors, Latin being the language of the Church, of the schools and of learning generally; and in that tongue documents ef every kind as well royal ordinances were also in part composed.

The neglect of the Anglosaxon tongue, which even exchanged its letters for the Norman characters, on the part of the upper ranks contributed essentially to its corruption by the French, so that the descendants of the Anglosaxons, as early as the thirteenth century, were hardly able to read their old writers. The common people, however, clung with tenacity to their tongue, which however could not resist the invasion of French words, and, being without a firm support in any popular written language, became more and more fluctuating in its forms, and, particularly, more and more mutilated in its grammatical inflections.

Meanwhile the Anglosaxon element of the Scotch idiom was being reinforced at the time of the conquest of England by numerous Anglosaxon refugees, who retired thither from the cruelty of William, and at their head was Edgar Atheling, whose sister King Malcolm the Third had married. But, even here the French penetrated. A number of Norman barons, disaffected towards their king, emigrated to Scotland, receiving land and vassals from the Scottish king. In the twelfth and thirteenth centuries French was likewise in Scotland the language of the court; the speech of the people, on the contrary, maintained itself freer from contact with it. The Scotch dialect, which by its poets, as Barbour, (died in 1395) Dunbar (died about 1520) Lindsay and others, is certainly not wrongly called the English language, generally avoided French elements far more than did the English dialect, although a dirge on the death of Alexander the Third (in 1285) in not free from French ingredients.

In spite of the preponderance of the Norman-french language over the despised and degraded Anglosaxon, it was destined for the latter so far to overpower the former that in a certain peculiar mixture of both the Anglosaxon essentially determined the character of this new tongue. To this result political relations especially contributed. An important share is assuredly due to the spirit of the Anglosaxon constitution and to the free communities, which resisted victoriously both Danish rudeness and Norman chivalry, and shewed themselves effective in the development of the House of Commons, where, even in the reign of the first Edward, the English language began to strive with the French for the mastery, although Magna Charta was not translated into the language of the people till 1259. The
loosening of the connection of England with France through the loss of Normandy in 1203, and its total severance in the reign of Edward the Second, were also of importance to the language, as was also the struggle with France, with which ceased the education of Norman youth in France. The revival of the ancient schools, and the renovated institutions at Oxford and Cambridge, under the name of universities, contributed, at least mediately, to pave the way to a national culture. Even the mysteries, hitherto Latin, appear from, and perhaps even before Edward the Third (1327-77) in the language of the English people. The knowledge of French becomes lost, even among the educated, with striking rapidity. The enmity towards the French nation seemed to bring about a contempt for their language, so that in Chaucer's age (died 1400) French, was no longer spoken with purity by the upper ranks, which at this very time ceased to be the language of instruction. Under these circumstances, in 1362, appeared Edward the Third's order, drawn up in the French language, that all suits pending in the kings courts should be pleaded in English, although recorded in French, whereas the pleadings theretofore had been debated in the French tongue, and the records drawn up in Latin or French. In the House of Lords French was certainly spoken till 1483, for statutes were issued in French till then.

The language which now began to take the place of the French is to be regarded as a full grown language, the English. Its formation is preceded by a period of transition, that of the Half-Saxon (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ century) which is expressed in literature by the extensive writings of Layamon and Orme (whence the name Ormulum.). The language is already called English (Ice Datt Pis Ennglissh hafe sett (compare Ormulum in Thorpe Annal. Angl. sax. p. 174). It has already taken up and assimilated many French words, perceptibly altered the former spelling and treated the alliteration with neglect. The declination exhibits the mixture of the single form with the strong and weak Anglosaxon form. The plural begins, with the abandonment of the distinctions of gender and declination, to adopt the plural in $s$. The forms of the pronoun still resist the complete obliteration of their terminations. In the adjective we often perceive the confounding of the strong and the weak form, but frequently also the strong and the weak form stunted. In the verb, along with the termination of the plural of the present indicative $a d$, ed, the termination en already shews itself; the prefix ge in the perfect participle of the strong verbs appears commonly in the form $y, i$, and the $n$ of the infinitive, and the participle of the strong verbs is frequently dropped. The tweakening of the unaccented and especially of the final vowels of all parts of speech and, generally, the shortening of words is observable even in the Halfsaxon.

The English language, in the stricter sense, begins in the thirteenth century. Its further and more or less constant development is nowhere abruptly broken, but in long spaces of time wide differences become manifest; wherefore we have to divide the period of the Old English and that of the New English from each other, the boundary being generally coincident with the commencement of modern culture.

Under the name Old English we comprehend the linguistic period
from the thirteenth century to the age of Elizabeth (1558). If, within this space of time we would distinguish an Old-English period (12501350) and a Middle English (1350-155\%), we must consider on the other hand that, in point of fact, no epoch of change in the forms of the English language occurred in the middle of the fourteenth century, although the age of Edward the Third gave a new impulse to English literature. Those who wish to specify sharp distinctions in the forms of the language of these periods are justly in perplexity. No new principle of formation enters into the language, no one dialect is raised decidedly into a literary standard, it being currently said of the language, even by Chaucer: Ther is so great diversite in English and in writing of our tong p. 332 Tyrwh., with which Trevisa also agrees in his translation of Higden's Polychronicon (1387). And, if the formation and renovation of the English tongue is still ascribed, as it was by Skelton, to the poets Gower, and to Chaucer, the unsurpassed during two centuries, (compare Skelton I. 75 and 377), this refers to the syntactic and stylistic aspect of the tongue more than to its forms and their mutations. Moreover we shall, in the exposition of the Old-english forms, have the authors of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries especially in our eye, who. in regard to the Anglosaxon vocabulary and to the strong verbal forms still preserved, are, of course, richer than subsequent ones; in which respect Skelton might say that Gower's English was in his age obsolete; as also generally that, at the end of the Old English period, the linguistic revolution was so accelerated that Caxton could say, in 1490, that the language was then very different from that in use at the time of his birth in 1412.

The Modern English language, further developed under the influences of the art of printing, of newly reviving science and of the Reformation, and, from the sixteenth century, methodically cultivated, is, however, separated from the Old English by no sharp line of demarcation. Spencer and Shakespeare, who, in part consciously, affect archaisms, stand on the confines and at the same time reach back beyond them. Yet the language now gradually gains more and more in orthographical and grammatical consistency, although the golden age of Elizabeth is not at the same time the age of classical correctness of the language, chiefly because the study of the ancient languages operated immediately more upon the form than upon the substance of the literature. Nevertheless this study soon contributed to fix also the English prosody, which, in Old English, was fluctuating. Although the spelling has continued in certain particulars uncertain and complicated even to the present day, the settlement of the orthography, prosody and grammar since the beginning of the seventeenth century is an essential mark of distinction between the Old English and the Modern English. Herewith is associated the securing of a literary idiom, to which contributed not so much the translations from the classical languages and from the Italian, as the translation of the Bible, composed by order of James the First, (1607-11) still the authorized one, and not only an excellent work for its own age, but, even for the present, a model of classical language. The home of the present literary dialect is moreover universally shifted to the
ancient confines of the Angles and West Saxons. Some place it in the dialect of Northamptonshire (Thom. Sternberg); others, in that of Leicestershire (Guest); yet the same freedom from provincialisms is also attributed to the dialects of Bedfordshire and Herefordshire. The language of the educated is at present every where under the influence of the literary language, and it is a matter of course that the living speech of the inhabitants of the capital is regarded as the standard for cultivated intercourse, even in regard to pronunciation.

Although not unimportant, the invasion of numerous Latin words in the sixteenth century is of only subordinate moment in determining the character of the language. Many of these, called "inkhorn words" by the purists of the time, have been preserved. Not more important is the subsequent naturalization of Latin and Greek words through Milton, (1608-74) and the extension of the domain of French words in English, much that was repugnant having been rejected in more modern times, and English being especially adapted, from the blunting of its terminations, to assimilate foreign words of all kinds. A more essential distinction between Modern English and Old English is the loss of German words, particularly of strong forms. Even in the sixteenth century Puttenham (Art of English poetry, 1598) warns his readers against old grandsire words and phrases, and dictionaries down to the present time progressively expel obsolete matter from the language of the day. Moreover, Lexicography itself, (which began towards the end of the fifteenth and beginning of the sixteenth century, at first as English-Latin Lexicography, and in the interest of the acquisition of foreign languages, as of Latin, Greek and the modern tongues, but from the seventeenth and especially the eigthteenth century strove to collect a vocabulary of the English language, with a regard, at the same time, to the pronunciation,) has essential merits as to the correctness of the written and spoken language. A final distinction between Modern and Old-English is the manifold stylistic cultivation of the language in all departments of poetry and prose, whereas Old-English, particularly in prose narrative, lagged velinin the endeavour for correctness and variety.

As principal constituents of the English language in regard to its material are to be specified the words of Anglosaxon and Normanfrench origin, with which are associated modern words borrowed from the Latin, Greek and Romance, and a few Germanic and even extra-European tongues. In spite of the lessening of the Anglosaxon and the growth of foreign elements, the Anglosaxon is still regarded as the main stock of English. According to some, of 38,000 words regarded as genuine English, the number of Anglosaxon in the English of the present day amounts to about 23,000 , or nearly $5 / \mathrm{s}$. According to Chambers, there are 53,000 English words, of which 3,820 are primitive, amongst which 2513 are common to the English and the Germanic and 1,250 to the English and the classical tongues. According to Thommerel, the number of words originally Anglosaxon is 12,000. However it be, the mixture of ingredients in writings of different kinds is very different, so that in works strictly scientific the number of the Anglosaxon is the smallest, whereas in other prose works, as well as in poetry and in common life in general, the Anglosaxon prevail, although
even here the cosmopolitan intercourse of modern times affords increased access to foreign ingredients.

With regard to linguistic forms Anglosaxon has operated along with French, yet in a greatly preponderant measure. English owes to Anglosaxon the remnants of inflective terminations in the noun, the verb and the pronoun, likewise its articles, its numerals, its chief store of particles in words of relation and in conjunctions, also the comparative and superlative forms of the adjective, and its adverbial formation. The Anglosaxon has bequeathed the facility of compounding words, and a considerable number of forms of derivation, and lastly has chiefly determined the formation of its periods. The influence of French shews itself first in regard to sounds: to it is perhaps to be ascribed the silence of the $l$ before other consonants, like, $f, v, k, m$; as also the partial silence of the $h$ aud $g h$. It has also, perhaps, accelerated the silence of the final $e$, which in Chaucer is still often sounded. The introduction of the sibilant sound of $c=s$ is also due to the influence of French, likewise the diffusion of the letters $z$ and $v$ instead of the original $f$. It may also have cooperated in consigning to the Anglosaxon $s$ almost exclusively the formation of the plural. It has further conveyed to English a number of forms of terminations, which have given the language a fresh mobility, as they are often joined on to Germanic roots. Of no slight import is the influence of French upon the collocation of English words, whereby a freedom, not possessed by the German, is produced.

The blending of the Germanic with the Romance imparts to English in general a richness of expression for all shades of thought, possessed by no other modern language. Its Germanic prosody makes English more adapted for poetical forms than French, to which, however, it owes in part the diffusion of rhyme instead of alliteration, although rhyme was not quite foreign to Anglosaxon. With the boldness and force of Germanic speech English unites the flexibility and polish of the Romance languages, and only the stunting of the words and the poverty in inflections, which frequently cause a monosyllabic barking, obstruct occasionally the artistic cultivation of the language.

The English language, in the wider sense, is primarily divided into English, in the narrower sense, and Scotch.
a. English, even in the olden time split up into many dialects, most of them appearing also in literature, has, even now, numerous popular dialects, the investigation of which, in regard to sound, to the grammar and to the vocabulary is important both for the history of the language and for philology. Collections have, in modern times in particular, begun to be made of their vocabulary, so rich in what has been abandoned by the modern language. Although Anglosaxon, judged by its manuscripts, did not possess numerous dialects, almost every English county has preserved its own dialect, sometimes even divided into several shades. These popular dialects are distinguished from each other and from the literary language; firstly and chiefly, by their vocalization; secondly, by the transmutation of many consonants; thirdly, by the rejection and transposition of consonants; by the preservation, not only
of Old-germanic, but also Old-french words; fifthly, by the preservation of Germanic strong flexional forms, as well as by the interchange of strong and weak forms. Halliwell, in his collection of archaic and proviucial words, has exhibited $51,0.2$ forms of words, and numerous comparisons of words of various dialects are gradually offering more and more support to research.

The present popular dialects are divided, as they were by Verstegan (in his Restitution in 1634) into three groups; the Western, the Southern and the Northern. In the fourteenth century Halliwell fancies there were a Southern, a Middle and a Northern Group, of which the Southern at present remains only in the West.

The Western group is most sharply expressed in the counties of Dorset, a part of Somerset, Devon and Cornwall; less so in Wiltshire, Berkshire and Oxfordshire, and in Gloucestershire, the present dialect whereof is still similar to that of old Robert of Gloucester. Apart from their peculiar vocabulary, these dialects are seemingly characterized by the lengthening of the vowels, the broadening of the diphthongs, the softening of $s$ into $z$ and $f$ into $v$, as also by suppressed pronunciation without the full opening of the mouth.

The so called Southern dialects may be divided into three branches. One begins with Kent, wherewith is allied Sussex, Surrey and Hampshire on the one hand, and Essex on the other, so that the dialects pass partly into the Westeru and partly into the East-anglian. The East-anglian form the second branch, which shews itself most decidedly in Norfolk and Suffolk, but to which also Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire, and, as cognate, Leicestershire and Rutlandshire are attached. These dialects are thin and have something of singsong, whence the Suffolk "whining", and form a sharp contrast to the full-toned northern dialects. The midland dialects are to be regarded as the third branch, as, that of Herefordshire, Warwickshire and Northamptonshire, also at present that of Nottinghamshire, where the northern dialect was formerly native. They form the transition to the northern dialects.

The Northern group, which we may call the Northumbrian, exhibits itself most decidedly in the dialects of Northumberland, Durham and the North Riding of Yorkshire, and in Cumberland and Westmoreland. Broad, full-toned, guttural and passing into the Scottish, it is hardest in Northumberland and most monotonous in Durham. In Lincolnshire, where a northern dialect is divided from a southern one by the river Witham, the latter resembles the Eastanglian. The dialect of Lancashire recedes in the West from that of Yorkshire, but, like this, favours the $a$ sound instead of $o$ and $o u$, and puts the $o$ sound in the place of $e a$ and oi, and hardens the final $g$ and $d$ into $k$ and $t$. These dialects, the most remote from the literary English, have eujoyed the most especial lexicographic research.
b. The Scotch language, or the speech of the Scottish lowlands, which has maintained its Germanic character with the greatest fidelity, is distinguished from the English by a broader vocalization, especially by the frequent employment of the obscure $a$ instead of $o$, of $a i$ instead of $o a$ and $o$, the preservation of the guttural $c h$,

English $g h$, and the more frequent retention of the original $g$ and $k$, likewise the frequent rejection of the final $l l$, of $d$ after $n$ at the end of a word, likewise of $g$ in the termination ing. It often exchanges the participial termination ed for $i t$, preserves many archaic forms and is distinguished by the employment of particular derivative terminations, such as the ukie, from ock: The Scotch language kept pace with the English as a literary dialect till the sixteenth century; but from that time the English outstripped it. Queen Elizabeth no longer understood the Scotch letters of Mary Stuart in the same age when it seemed to the publisher of Chaucer (Speght), in 1602, needful to subjoin a glossary of Chaucer's obscure words, which had not appeared necessary in the editions of 1542 and 1561, notwithstanding Spencer's Shepheardes Calendar in 1579 needed a glossary by reason of its "Chaucerisms". With the union of the two kingdoms in 1603, the removal of the court to England and the neglect of the Scotch by the upper ranks, the language lost its literary dignity and subsided into a mere popular dialect. It raised itself indeed, particulary with the commencement of the eighteenth century, (Allan Ramsay born 1686) in popular poetry into a certain finish in a narrow department; without, however, again acquiring the importance of a language of varied cultivation. In its stationariness the Scotch, originally very close to the English, has preserved many materials of speech which have been abandoned in English. The Scotch has hitherto become more the subject of lexicographical than of scientific grammatical research.

The forms of English in the countries which have received it from its original home are hardly to he considered English dialects in the strict sense, although there it receives a provincial cast in the mouth of the people. The English of North America, for instance, which, like the speech of all colonies, has to keep up its intimate connection with the mother country chiefly through the language of books, is gradually diverging in pronunciation. It retains words already obsolete in England, elevates particular English provincialisms into expressions of universal currency, assigns new and peculiar expressions to many old words, and takes up many words from the American languages. The language of conversation in the colonies suffers everywhere from similar defects, but the general physiognomy of the tongue remains the same.

Linguistic varieties, such as the thieves' language of England, the "flash" or "cant" of thieves and beggars, likewise the mob language of the populace of great cities, a mixed language of divers dialects and, partly, of arbitrary formations, wherein words are employed with new and peculiar meanings, (slang words and phrases) do not come under review as dialects. The pronunciation of the common people of the great towns, such as that of the cockney speakers of London, has also no dialectic nature, properly speaking; like as the perversion of the vocalization and the guttural tinge to the dentals and to $r$, except at the end of a syllable, with the Irishman is to be ascribed to the influence of the Celtic, which also imparts a particular quality to the pronunciation of Wales.

## PARTI.

## THE DOCTRINE' OF THE WORD.

Grammar, or the doctrine of language, treats of the laws of speech, and, in the first place, of the Word, as its fundamental constituent, with respect to its matter and its form, in prosody, or the doctrine of sounds, and morphology, or the doctrine of forms, and then of the combination of words in speech, in syntax, or the doctrine of the joining of words and sentences.

## FIRST SECTION.

## PROSODY, or, THE DOCTRINE OF SOUNDS.

## I. THE WORD, ACCORDING TO ITS INGREDIENTS.

## THE ALPHABET.

The English alphabet, the totality of its phonetic signs, has, under the influence of Norman French, instead of the gradually expiring Anglosaxon, become the same as the Romance. It contains at present the following signs, according to the usual succession:

> ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
> abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

Their names are expressed by the following english designations: ai, bee, cee, dee, ee, ef, jee, aitch, i or eye, jay, kay, el, em, en, o, pee, cue, ar, ess, tee, u or you, vee, double u, eks, wy, zed. These phonetic signs represent, either singly or combined, as ch, sh, gh , th, the various sounds of speech; combined letters also serve to represent simple vocal sounds, as $e e$, ie, ea, \&c. The letters $y$ and $w$ at the end of a word, serve as consonants, else as vowels, although $w$ only in conjunction with other vowels.

## THE VOWELS IN GENERAL.

The vowel is the simple sound, which, without the cooperation of the moveable instruments of speech, proceeds out of the larynx through the more or less enlarged cavity of the mouth. Where two simple vowel-sounds flow together, there arises a double-sound, or diphthong, whose first or second constituent has the preponderance in pronunciation.

English presents more than any other tongue the striking phenomenon that the simple vowel-sound is represented by more than one vowel sign; diphthongs, on the contrary, by a simple sign; and totally different sounds are also often denoted by the same vowel
signs. These contradictions in orthography are partly the result of adhesion to a written language no longer according with modern pronunciation, partly also of the crossing of the Germanic and the French orthography, although the Germanic tinge remained of decided influence even in the French and other constituents of the language, so that we still find the general phonetic shades of the language in the Lowdutch and Scandinavian dialects of the present day.

Triphthongs, or three vowels flowing together, are unknown to English: In such words as buoy, $u$ is either cast out or passes into the half consonant $w$.

Such combinations of vowels as ea are falsely called diphthongs in English and such as eau triphthongs:

English, like Anglosaxon, distinguishes short and long vowels, and gives even to vowels originally French the full value of the Germanic length.

In partial illustration of the modern English orthography the Anglosaxon vocalization may serve. $a(\ddot{a}), e(\ddot{e}), i, o, u$ and $y$ (this allied to u and falsily confounded with i) serve to represent short vowel sounds: the diphthongs $\ddot{e} a$ ( $(i e$ and $\ddot{e} o(i o, i e)$ are to be regarded as half-lengths. The long vowels are $\hat{a}, \mathscr{e}, \hat{e}, \hat{\imath}, \hat{o}, \hat{u}, \hat{y}$; diphthongs $e \dot{\alpha}$ and $e \dot{o}\left(i O^{\prime}\right)$ along with which $e i$, eu, $i \dot{e}$, oe and $o i$ sometimes appear, mostly in Anglian dialects.

Instead of long vowels, reduplications of vowels are also found, which Old English still frequently shews (for instance hii $=h e 0$, in Robert of Gloucester) but which Modern English, with the exception of $e e$, oo (and even the latter shortened) has abandoned, although even in Old English the extensions ee, ea, are frequently denoted by a simple $e$. The Old English vocalization also frequently departs otherwise from the modern English, as will be pointed out below in the exposition of the origin of the sounds.

Considered phonetically, the decided vocalization of Modern English is divided into twelve vowels (of which six long ones stand opposed to six short ones) and four diphthongs.

To these may also be joined, as a final vowel sound, the obscured sound of glibly spoken vowels in the unaccented syllable, which modern English Phoneticians denote by $u h$, and which does not lie on the scale of vowels from $i$ to $u$, with greater or less enlargement of the cavity of the mouth, but arises from the mere opening of the mouth accompanied by the expulsion of a sound. This sound however nowise corresponds to all obscurations of sound. The shades of sound arising from the contact of those vowels with consonants are not taken into consideration. Neither are those combinations in which the unaccented $e$ and $i$ before other vowels pass into the consonant $y$, and, in union with preceding consonants, produce a partial sibilant, reckoned among diphthongs. Special and rare combinations, especially in foreign words, have also been passed over.

The phonetic system above touched upon, with its notation by letters, is represented in the following table. The sound is denoted by letters borrowed from other Germanic tongues.

| Short vowels. | Long vowels. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Ǐ, y rarely ui, ie, ee (been) Highdutch $\mathfrak{I}$ | $\bar{e}$, ea, ee, i, ie, rarely ei, ey, ay, (in quay) Highdutch $\overline{1}$ or ie |
| 2. ĕ, ea; i and y before r rarely ie, ai (said) a (ate) Highdutch ě | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$, ai, ay, ea, ei, ey rarely e (cf. ere) <br> Highdutch é, ee |
| 3. ă Highdutch betwixt ă and ĕ | ä, au (before n) Highdutch $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 4. ŏ, ou rarely a (malt) swedish $\stackrel{ٌ}{a}$ | â, au, aw, ou, rarely oa (broad) <br> Lowdutch â, swedish ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 5. ŭ, o rarely oo (blood) Highdutch betwixt ò and ồ | $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, oa, oe, oo, ou, ow rarely ew (sew) <br> Highdutch $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$ |
| 6. $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{oo}$, ou (could, should) <br> Highdutch ŭ | $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$, ue, ui, o, oo, ou, ew rarely oe (shoe) <br> Highdutch $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ |


$\frac{\text { Diphthongs }}{1, \hat{y} \text {, (rarely }}$| ei, ey, ai) |
| :--- |
| Highdutch ái (ei) |
| ou, ow $\quad$ Highdutch aŭ |
| oi, oy $\quad$ Highdutch ói |
| $\hat{u}$, ue, ui, ew, eu Highdutch iú. |

As with the treatment of the primitive vowels in writing, their pronunciation has likewise the most consistency and decision in the accented syllable, whereas the unaccented syllables, from which that receiving a subordinate accent forms of course an exception, have suffered more or less obscuration of vocalization. The difficulty of apprehending and representing these dimmings explains the diversity in the views of orthoepists about such sounds and their notation by signs.

## THE PRONUNCIATION OF THE VOWELS AND DIPHTHONGS IN DETAIL.

In the employment of the same simple or combined vowels for different sounds, as also of different vowels signs for the same sounds, we annex the discussion of the pronunciation to the series of phonetic signs $i, y, e, a, o, u$, by representing, with each of these, its combinations according to their phonetic value. In the first place we discuss the sounds in the accented, and then in the unaccented syllable. With regard to the temporal duration of the sound, we distinguish long and short syllables in the seat of accent, while, in the unaccented syllable, length, more or less weakened, may even be made shortness, and shortness may be suppressed into glib shortness, apart from the complete silence of the vowel.

With the seat of accent the quantity, and therefore also the phonetic tinge of the vowel, stand in the most intimate connection; but, along with these, the final sound of the syllable in general cooperates
essentially in the determination of its quantity. The subordinate accent commonly operates analogously to the chief accent.

The close syllable, that is, the syllable ending in a consonant, with a simple vowel, presents itself in every seat of accent as predominantly shortness, and the same is true of the unaccented syllable. But the syllable with a final consonant, followed by a mute $e$ (organic or unorganic) is in general long, which however is only in a limited measure true of the unaccented syllable. The exceptions are chiefly syllables with a final $l$ and $r$, more rarely $m$ and $n$.

The open syllable on the contrary, that is, the syllable ending with a vowel, is long in words in which the accent falls on the ultimate or sole syllable (perispomena), as well as in those that have the accent on the penultimate (properispomena); whereas the antepenultimate accented syllables give words with a short accented syllable (proparoxytones). In this last position $u$, however, forms an exception; as do $e, a$ and $o$ in the case when the succeding final consonant is followed by a double vowel (in derivative syllables) whose first is an $i$ or $e$ (as ian, ial, iaous, ean, eous, eor, \&c.) mostly remain long also in the antepenultimate syllable, whereas this is not the case with $i$. Since, in the double syllables indicated, $e$ and $i$ have the inclination to blend as semi consonants with the following vowel, words of this sort are mostly to be regarded as properispomena. What is true of the vowel of the antepenultimate has also application to any syllable situate still further back, when it receives the accent. Another series of exceptions is formed by those penultimate open syllables (mostly with $i, e, a$ ) which remain short.

In all accented syllables the vowel preceding another vowel is wont to be long. This lengthening usually remains in the unaccented syllable also; but, in a syllable originally unaccented, a vowel before another vowel is short.

Conformably with these general views, a change in the quantity of the vowel frequently shews itself in derivations, in which the accented syllable remaining open is encumbered with final syllables: compare hēro - hĕroine, condîgn - condĭgnity, profâne profănity, austēre - austĕrity, tŷrant - ty̆ranny, abdōmen - abdŏminal, foreknō - foreknŏwledge; as also when the accent is pushed forwards or backwards from the original long syllable, the length often shortens: compare inspîre - inspirrátion, discîple - díscịpline, admîre - ádmîrable.

Yet a fixed principle is not carried out here.
The apprehension of the short vowel as the vowel of the close syllable has led to the phonetic peculiarity that, where the open syllable is sharpened, or short, the pronunciation draws the initial consonant of the following syllable immediately on to the vowel (Attraction) and, as it were doubles it, like as writing also after a short vowel frequently doubled consonants originally single (compare waggon with wagon; Anglosax: vägen; addice Anglosax: adesse; matter French matière) and in derivations from oxytones the single consonant is doubled: wit - witty; begin - beginner; abet - abettor: on which account orthoepists, to denote the division of syllables for pronunciation,
put the accentual mark for shortness after what is, properly speaking, an initial consonant: compare sat'in.

I, Y. These two phonetic signs, though often of very different origin, are essentially shared between the sounds of the Highdutch $\check{1}$ (seldom i) and the Highdutch diphthong ai or ei, as the Old- and Middle Highdutch long $i$ is often represented as ei in modern Highdutch.
A) In the accented syllable i answers to

1. the short I
a) in the close syllable: thin, fringe, shrill, filch, milk, mist, did, fit, stínking, industry, íncapảcity.*)
Except ". here the accented syllables pronounced as the diphthongs, ei with silent gh (in $g h, g h t$ ): nîgh, thîgh, tîgh, hîgh; blîght, plîght, fîght, frîght, Wîght \&c.; with silent g (in ign): malîgn, condîgn, sîgn, assîgn; with silent c (in ct): indîct; with mute $s$ in îsle, îsland, and vîscount, mostly with their derivatives, in which the consonant remains mute and the accent does not advance. Compare on the other hand condĭgnity, malĭgnant, ássĭgnảtion, ássĭgnẻe, of which only the last retains the silent $g$ notwithstanding the entrance of the $i$, as in sévennĭght, which is pronounced sennit:
further, in roots with a final $n d$, like bînd, fînd, blînd, kînd \&c., to which is added $n t$ pînt, and those with $l d$ : mîld chîld, wîld, in whose derivatives however 1 i appears instead of $\hat{1}$ : compare wilderness, children and the compound kindred. According to Smart childe is sounded with a short 1 , according to others with $\hat{i}$. Here also an exception is formed by wind $=$ ventus, with its derivatives, as distinguished from wînd (with i) with its derivatives, from which however windlass deviates, and also rescind, together with all derived from the Latin scindere. Gild and guĭld, build, in which $u$ is not sounded, have also a short i:
ei is lastly heard in clîmb and Chrîst, yet not in the deri vatives from Chrîst, as christen, christian \&c. and not even in the compound Christmas (pronounced crismas).
$\beta$. Another exception also is formed by the syllable ir with a consonant after it, unless a second $r$, as in mirror, immediately follows it. In this syllable i passes over into the more obscure sound of ő like ĕ and borders therefore on the sound $u$ before $r$. The reason lies in the final guttural letter. Here belong sir, fir, chirp, gird, girt, skirt, mirth, birch, girl, firm. Some pretend to find the sound in bird, first, firt, thirst deeper and more obscure. Even educated Londoners moreover pronounce the $i$ in the most familiar words, as sir, bird, dirt \&c. as sur, burd, durt \&c. Before double $r$ the sound remains, even in derivatives, as stirres \&c.; and in squírrel it is commonly heard. In Sírrah some

In words in which a principal and a subordinate accent are to be observed we denote the principal accent by ", the subordinate by ', the latter only if the vowel upon which the subordinate accent falls has not a mark of quantity.
denote it also by ăr or ĕr or ür. Even in the open syllable of sĭrup, it is pronounced in common life $\mathrm{u}_{\text {, }}$ as in sürrup.
$\gamma$. In some foreign words in and il in the close syllables are pronounced like the Highdutch ī, ie; chagrín, chequín, zechín (the latter also with the accented first syllable) chopin (likewise sometimes accented on the first syllable) bombasín, palanquín, capuchín, alguazíl. (Others accent the first or second syllable) brasíl or brazíl, also invalíd (substantive, as distinguished from the adjective inválid, weak). It is also pronounced thus in famille, on the otherhand spadille, regularly. By some glacís is also referred to this rule.
b) In an open syllable the sound $\check{1}$ appears, if the accented syllable is the antepenultimate or a prior one and the following one begins with a consonant: partícipate, dimínutive, cívilize; - fílial, níveous, opínion, exhibítion; -inclínatory, crỉminátory, líbertinism, famíliarize; líneảtion, mínistërial.
Except some words in which i is pronounced like the diphthong ei, as prîmary, bînary, quînary, îrony, nîtency, prîvacy (according to some with ĭ) annîhilate; also derivatives, as mîgratory; here belong also of course compounds, as îsingglass, îcicle (which, in spite of the mute e must pass for three syllables) and the compounds of mîcro-, as mîcrocosm, mîcroscope, mîcroscópical \&c.
2) It corresponds to the diphthong (aii) ei
a) in every accented open syllable followed by a vowel; îodine, bîas, dîal, clîent, dîet, brîer, hîerarch, dîadem, varîety, prósodinacal, Agyptíacum, Levîathan, prîapism; - scîentı̋fical, pioneër, vîolätion, hîerärchical \&c.; therefore also in those i falling under the subordinate accent from verbs in $\hat{y}$ : vẻrsifîer, jüstifîable, prőphesǐer \&c., also in every syllable formed by the vowel alone: îdol, îris, îrony; except ittaly and image (from the root im: compare the Latin imitor).
b) likewise in the penultimate open syllable followed by an initial consonant: bîfid, dîver, crîsis, spîder.
«. Exceptions from this rule, in which a short ĭ enters, are pretty numerous, as in the rest of the vowels except u. They regard mostly words originally Romance or Latin, without our having been able to detect the principle of adhering everywhere to the original quantity. Yet we readily observe that in most of the exceptional cases the root syllable is followed by an $i$ or $e$ derivative termination (perhaps also another root); the obscurer vowels $a, o, u$, ou \&c. are far more seldom met with at the ends of words.
Thus words in $y$ are found here: lily, stithy, (compare stǐth), city, pilty, prïy; especially adjectives in id: nĭtid, líquid, livid, rígid, frigid, virid, insipid, timid; Compounds as triffid, quadrífid \&c.; nouns in ic: civic, crĭtic, empĭric; also compounds in fic, as prolĭfic, pacĭfic
\&c.; verbs and adjectives in ish: minish, dimĭnish, finĭsh, british, dimish, compare dim; on the other hand îrish; in il: sigil, civil; Nouns and verbs in it: digit, spirit, limit, visit, illĭcit, elícit, exhibit, inhíbit, prohĭbit, explicit, implĭcit, solĭcit; Participles in en: rísen, drĭven, ríven, shríven, thriven (true to the Anglosaxon ì), also linen; on the other hand $\hat{\mathrm{i}}=$ ei in the verb dizen; words in el: chĭsel, shrīvel, snīvel, swîvel; and er: lïver, river, primer, hĭther, shĭver, wíther, consĭder, deliver; in et: cívet, trivet, privet, rivet. To which are added various other endings of words, as in britain, minim, and the compound prithee.

Terminations with obscure vowels are here far more rare, as $a r$ in vicar; age in vísage, spĭnage; ate in frĭgate; and in briggand, riband; ald in ribald; ard in lizard, vizard, wizard; in or and our in lĭquor, vísor, rĭgour, vĭgour; in ot in biggot, spigot; in ure in figure; in ute in mĭnute (on the contrary minûte adjective), trĭbute, attrĭbute, contrĭbute, distrĭbute and in single words as ptĭsan, the compound lǐtharge, bǐshop, cĭtrul, trĭbune, contĭnue, sĭnew, wǐdow.
$\beta$. Some foreign words retain in the penultimate the sound of the Highdutch $\overline{1}$, ie, becafíco (according to some with ei), Czarína, capívi, serpígo (according to some with ei), vertígo (according to some with ei). China $=$ porcelain is pronounced chanee.
c) It is a diphthong in those accented syllables ending in a consonant in pronunciation, which are followed by an organic or unorganic mute e: îce, îre, rîse, prîme, prîze, bîte, brîbe, fîne, vîle, dîke, tîthe, strîde, knîfe \&c.; so also in îsle (with silent s).
a. Except give and live, in which $i$ sounds ĭ.
$\beta$. A second exception is formed by foreign words, in which it is pronounced like the Highdutch $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$, ie; they are mostly words in íque, íne, íce and íse: píque, antíque, oblíque; critíque, unique; - machíne, magazíne, maríne, ultramaríne, transmaríne, mandaríne, routíne, fascíne, festucíne, tabouríne, tambourine, terríne, tontíne, trephíne, haberdíne, colbertíne, gabardíne, chioppíne (Shakspeare); - políce, capríce, chemíse, chevaux de fríse, fríze, moreover grís and verdigrís, fatígue and intrígue, imbecile and some others, wherein a varying pronunciation and spelling prevails, as in Kashmíre and Cashmere also Kérsey-mëre.
B) In the unaccented syllable the appearance of the $i$ as a short vowel or a diphthong is to be analyzed in general in the following aspects.

1. a) The short $i$ the most decidedly among the vowels retains its accented tinge in the unaccented syllable Every unaccented $i$ is in general short, both in the close and in the open syllable, unless the syllable ending in a consonant is followed by
a mute $e$. It appears less slight in the close syllable: inválǐd, ĭrrégular, hĭstórian, mínîstẻrial; more slight in the open one: dĭvíde, perfídy, dáintǐly, fléxĭbīlìty, although even here attraction prevails in some measure.

The $i$-sound is however dimmed like other vowels before a single $r$, an unaccented final $i r$ as well as $y r$, er, ar, or, sounding almost exactly like ur, so that words like nádǐr, sáty̆r, róbbĕr, dóllar, authơr and súlphŭr have hardly any distinction in their final sounds.

If another vowel, unless it has a dental before it, follows the unaccented $\breve{\imath}$, it often becomes hardened, especially after a short accented syllable, into the halfconsonant $y$ : onion, (speak onyon), pínion, mínion, spániel, póniard, fílial, míliary, míllion, rarely after a long syllable, as in ālien; yet even here a hardening of the $i$ is approached. The same phenomenon is also offered by the accented syllable in caviár.

If a dental $t, d, s, x=c s, c, z, c h$ precedes the unaccented $\breve{\imath}$ in this case, the short $\check{\imath}$ becomes commonly a modification of the dental, which is transformed into a sibilant: milítia pronounced milísha, nātion, méntion, sātiate; - sōldier pronounced soljer; - pérsian pronounced pérsh'an; - sōcial pronounced sōsh'al, cónscious pronounced cónsh'us, nóxious pronounced nóckshus; - glăzier pronounced glāsh'er; - fâlchion pronounced fâlchun, márchioness pronounced márshoness; yet in many words the $i$ is suffered to sound, especially as $y$, as in ásian pronounced āsh-yan, or even as a vowel, as in ásiătic, pronounced āshiatic If an $s$ or $x$ precedes the $t$, the more noble pronunciation requires the hardening of the $i$ to $y$ : chrístian = chrístyan, quéstion = quéstyon, mixtion $=$ mixtyon \&c. The popular pronunciation indeed suffers the $t$-sound to be heard, but nevertheless transforms $y$ into $s h$.
b) The $i$ remains short in some derivative terminations, in which a mute $e$ still follows a consonant; thus constantly in the terminations ǐve, ĭte: áctǐve, nātĭve, defénsǐve, ópposĭte, infinǐte; and in substantives in ise, ǐce, as prómǐse, trēatĭse and appréntǐce, jáundǐce, jústĭce \&c.; but not in éxercîse. Likewise in composition with plĭce and fĭce: accómplĭce, ártifĭce, édifĭce, órifĭce. The derivative terminations ine and ile fluctuate partially with regard to their derivation. Those supposing the Latin $\imath$ short, remain mostly: elephántȟne (elephantinus), crýstallĭne, córallĭne, sánguĭne (sanguĭneus); likewise imitations, as cáncrĭne, sáccharĭne, lacértine; - frágĭle (fragilis), férťlle, sésš̌le, físsılle; yet i originally long are also shortened, as in mûrı̆ne, (murinus), córvǐne (corvinus), vúlpĭne (vulpinus); - sérvǐle" (servilis), hóstĭle (hostilis), júvenĭle and others, whereas: others remain long, (diphthongs) as félîne, férîne, pórcînce, bóvîne; - géntîle (gentilis) \&c. The verbal termination i̛zé remains a diphthong, as in réalîze, équalîze, etérnîze, órganîze, náturalîze (wherein ize may be conceived as falling under the subordinate accent). Endénïze forms an
exception, because the termination does not here correspond to the Greek $i \zeta \varepsilon n$. The verbs in ise are fluctuating; ádvertîse, éxorcîse, récognîse, have the diphthong, but not those derived from substantives, as prómíse. Even in réconcîle $i$ is a diphthong.

In compounds the diphthong of the simple word is, as a rule, retained, likewise as a compensation for the long $i$ in words originally Latin and Greek, as régicîde, ácrospîre \&c.; in those compounded of shîre the $i$ has however the dimmed sound of the $\grave{\imath}$ : Yórkshire, Wíltshire.
2) The $i$ diphthong as ei without alteration of the accentual tinge:
a) in an open syllable, followed by an accented syllable commencing with a vowel: îámbus, Íonic, îóta, hîatus, dîálogism, dîámeter, mîásmal, pîácular, vîátic, dîúrnal, trîúmphal, Except in foreign words, as nǐéllo, piáster, sĭésta, pĭázza and such like. This is also the case before accented syllables commencing with a consonant, when $i$ makes a syllable by itself: îdéa, îráscible, îrónic, ̂̂rénical: $i$ remains short in ǐmágine, ǔmáginary (on account of y̆mage, see above), also in words compounded of $\mathfrak{n}$, as ĭnánity (from the Latin in-anis, compare vanus), ináugurate, where not $i$ alone constitutes the syllable.

With respect to the open syllable commencing with consonants before the accented syllable beginning with a consonant the usage fluctuates. Derivative words, whose primitives had the accent upon that syllable, usually retain the diphthong: mîgrátion from mîgrate (yet ímmĭgrảtion, tránsmĭgrátion from ímmĭgrate \&c.) mîcáceous from mîca; lîbrátion from lîbrate; lîbrárian from lîbrary; lîcéntiate, lîcéntiou s from lîcence; lîquátion from lîquate; rîválity from rîval; pîrátical from pîrate; bîbácious, compare imbîbe, yet imbǐbítion; vîbrátion from vîbrate; vîtálity from vîtal; vîvífic, vîvíficate, vîvíparous and others from vîve Latin vivus, although on the other hand vĭvácity; spînósity from spînous, spîne; cîtátion from cîte; gîgantic perhaps with a view to gîant (gĭgas). Yet $i$ is also a diphthong in nîgrescent (Lat. nĭgresco), nîhílity (Lat. nǐhil), trîbúnal (Lat trǐbunal), Sîbéria, crîtérion Greek vŭ̌rinıov, and, perhaps with a view to the Latin, in dîtátion Lat. ditare. In compound words the prefixes $b i$ (Latin bŭ), di (Greek and Latin dĭ) tri (Greek and Lat. trĭ) have in this position the $i$ diphthong everywhere except in dîplóma, with its derivatives, likewise di (= Lat. dí from dĭs): dîdúction, dîváricate, as also under the subordinate accent. In other compounds original length remains as a diphthong; thus in those compounded with $\hat{\imath} s o$, Greek ioos, prî-m -, primo (Lat. primus), with chî-r -, chî-ro (Greek $\chi^{\varepsilon i \varrho}$ ), cl̂̂-no (Greek from zגivoi), mîcro (Greek and Lat. micro) and many such, to which also words like nîlómeter, rhînóceros, rhîzóphorous \&c. belong.
b) The final i is a diphthong in Latin terminations of every kind:
amphíscî̂, anthropóphagî, antíscî̂, antǽcî, áscî̂, literátî, triúmvirì; lapis lázulî; certiorárî; álibî: but not in Italian words, as bandíttǐ, bróccolĭ, vermicéllĭ; however, in the foreign word rábbî, but which we often hear pronounced rábbĭ.
The Compounds of I with other vowels to represent sounds are ie and ieu (iew); in which, however, only the former has taken root in the language.
A) ie in the accented syllable serves

1. a) to denote the long $\overline{1}$ of the Highdutch, and therefore often answers to the English ëa and eee: as in mien, piece, priest, frieze, brief, bier, fiend, field, thief, shield, shriek, siege, as in cáp-a-pi̋e. Where the syllable ends in $r$ the sound heard in the Lowdutch hier, English here, appears; tier = row, pierce, fierce, grénadiẻr, góndoliêr, árquebusiêr.
By way of exception, the first syllable in gíereagle, gíerfalcon, which is also spelt gerfalcon, is pronounced like gèr. Compare the Old-English gerfauk, gerfawcon, medieval Latin: gyrofalco. Some also disregard the $i$ in fierce and tierce.
b) It answers to the diphthong $\hat{1}$, ei, in monosyllabic roots: lîe, pîe, fîe, vîe, tîe, dîe, hîe and their monosyllabic forms: dîes, tîed, as in adjectives: pîed = variegated; pîedness \&c.; likewise in the forms of nouns and verbs in $\hat{y}$ : flîes from the substantive fly; trîes from the verb try, but not in the second person present trîest, where $e$. sounds by itself $=$ trî-est.

These sound also remains in compounds, even in the unaccented syllable: mágpîe.
2) It has a short sound
a) like $t$ in sieve $=$ siv.
b) like $\check{e}$ in friĕnd = frë̀nd.
B) In an unaccented syllable $i e$, with the exception above stated, answers to the $\check{\imath}$ unaccented: míschǐef, míschǐevous and very frequently in the monosyllabic forms of nouns and verb in y : cîtíes, dîgnitǐes, cóuntrǐes; cárrĭes, pítǐes, énvǐed, pítǐed, áblebodĭed.

Ieu, iew the latter in one word only, belong to French forms. Both in the accented and the unaccented syllable they answer to the sound of the diphthong $\hat{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{iu}$, so that $i$ almost hardens into a consonant (= ju): adieú, lieú, view; - camáieu, púrlieu.

By way of exception ieu in an unaccented syllable is pronunced like $\check{e}$ with a $v$ (instead of $u$ ) in lieuténant = lĕvténant compare Old-English levetennante; likewise like ě in méssieurs $=$ mésyĕrz. We also hear lĕfténant, lëfténant and even lûténant as well as méschürz pronounced.
Y , in Old-English, often standing instead of $i$ at the beginning of a word, now in the middle of a word in words mostly Greek, rarely persisting as the final sound of the root in inflection or composition, but commonly transmuted into i , shares the phonetic relations of $i$.
A) in the accented syllable it answers to:

1) the short i
a) in the close syllatle: nymph, lymph, lynx, pym, sylph, sy̆stem, gy̆psy, hy̆ssop, mýsticism.
By way of exception $y$ before a simple $r$ passes over into the dimmed sound, like ir Mýrmidon, myrtle, also in myrrh, although before two r's belonging to different syllables the genuine $i$-sound remains: Pýrrho.
b) in an open antepenultimate or prior syllable before an initial consonant of the following: pyrramid, hy̆pocrite, týranny; - mýriad, lýdian, - hypochöndriast, ty̆pogra̋phical (on the other hand tŷpógraphy from tŷpe).
By way of exception the original diphthong ei is heard under the subordinate accent in hymenëan, hŷmenëal from hŷmen. In compounds this is natural, as well as in those beginning with hŷpo and hŷper, hŷpercri̋tical, hŷpostätical, as well as in those compounced of hŷdro, cŷclo \&c., hŷdrophőbia, cŷclopǽdia \&c., chŷlifäction from chŷle \&c.
2) On the other hand it is a diphthong with the sound (ai) ei:
a) in every accented open syllable followed by a vowel: flŷing, crŷing, drŷad, myopy, hŷacinth, hŷades, hŷaloid; hyacinthine; as also in the syllables belonging to the stem
 appl $\hat{y}$, esp $\hat{y}$, deny, descrŷ, defy.
By way of exception my and by, when they lean proclitically on a subsequent noun, are pronunced like mē, bē, and thŷ undergoes the same in popular Speech. In composition, moreover, the absence ot accent does not destroy the sanedei of the stem as in oútcrŷ, kílndry.
b) in the open renultimate followed by an initial consonant: $\mathrm{c} \hat{\mathrm{y}}$ press, tyrant.
Exceptions, in which instead of ei the sound of í enters, are even here to be found in words ending in ic, il, ish \&c.; in ic: lŷric, phŷsic, typic, chŷmic; in il: S $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ bil; in ist: ch $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ mist; inge: sŷringe. In panegŷilic, panegyrist, yr sounds like èr.
c. in the syllable ending with a consonant followed by an organic or inorganic mute e: lŷre, rhŷme, pŷre, scŷthe, gŷve, tŷpe, thŷme, chŷle, chŷme.
B) In the unaccented syllable $y$ has
3) in general in the close and the open syllable the same sound as the unaccented ǐ: sy̆nóny̆̉my̆, Egy̆pt, phy̆sícian, anály̆sis, y̆cléped, dy̆námical. The sound is dimmed in the final syllable y̆r, like ĭr: sáty̆r, márty̆r, márty̆rdom.
4) It is a dirhthong however (ei):
a) in the open syllable before the accented syllable beginning with a vowel: hŷéna, mŷólogy, hŷémal (by some pronounced hýemal). With regard to the open syllable, beginning with a consonant, before the accented syllable beginning with a consonant,
the maintenance of the diphthong of the stem is true, as it is of i: lŷceum, tŷránnic, tŷránnical, chŷláceous, hŷdátides (plural, from the sing: hŷdatis), gŷration (from gŷre) in tŷpógraphy (from tŷpe) and other compounds. So also in those compounded with hŷpo and hŷper, as hŷpóstasis, hŷpótenuse \&c., hŷ pérbole \&c. and those with hŷdro-, hŷdrand hŷgro: hŷdrópic, hŷdráulic \&c., hŷgrólogy \&e, mostly technical expressions.
b) in some verbal terminations, as well as in their inflectional forms: óccupŷ, próphes $\hat{y}$, óccupŷing. The verbal endings fŷ and plŷ are properly stems (-ficare, -plicare) jústifŷ, múltiply \&c. and are in the same predicament as other compounds: see above.

Of combinations of the vowel y ye alone exists: it is a diphthong in ei: bŷe, rŷe.
E has partly the power of e, partly of i.
A) In the accented syllable it has

1) the sound of the short er
a) in the close syllable: men, neb, fetch, left, ell, help, chess, pence, defénce, presént, expénsive.
${ }^{\alpha}$ ) an exception is here again formed by the syllable closed by $r$ (even with another consonant following), in which the guttural dims the e, so that it appears to have the power of 0 , although the pronunciation of the vulgar Londoner, who says mưrcy instead of mërcy, is false: hër, detër, fërn, hërd, fërvid.
Even here the influence of the guttural is softened, when it is followed by a second (dental) r: intérrogate; yet not when rr concludes the stem ërr.
$\beta$ ) In some syllables ending in $r$, e assumes the $a$-sound ( $\mathrm{er}=\mathrm{ar}$ ): clerk, sérgeant; formerly in many others, as mérchant compare Old-Engl. marchandye; Bérkeley compare Old-Engl. Barcssyre Dérby and others, and thus still, provincially, for example in Leicestershire: marcy, desarve \&. and with the vulgar Londoner sárvant beside súrvant. So in other provinces e becomes a before other consonants also; for example, in Warwickshire: laft, fatch, batty $=$ left, fetch, betty.
r) The short $i$-sound but rarely appears, as in England, énglish cf. Inglond also sec. XVI b. Halliwell I. p. 469 II., prétty, chémistry (pronounced kimistry) and clef (where some say cléf); yes is also often pronounced yǐs: compare Old-Engl. zis (Gower) yis (Piers Plovghman); retch sounds just like rēach.
b) in an open syllable, , when the succeeding one begins with a consonant and that accented syllable is the antepenult or prior one; yet no double vowel, the former of which is î or é, must follow the consonant which follows the accented syllable: nébula, légacy, léchery, bétony, béverage, dévilish, géneral, génerous, génesis, several, hésitate, héresy; - cémetery, nécessary; - cémentảtion, génerảtion.

This also appears where the prefixes de and $r$ have the principal or subordinate accent: dérogate, délegate, déliquate, réference, rélevant; déclarätion, détonätion.
The chief excuptions are words derived from stems with e, in which e sounds like e, that is to say $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$, as: lēgalize, bēhemoth, cenatory, plenary, schematism, schematist (on account of "\%nu"i) and others; and some among those compounded of de and re, when there syllables fall under the subordinate accent, where the ě-sound else appears: décompőse, décompoủnd c. der., dēhortätion, dēterrätion, dētestätion; with $r e$ this case appears, where it has the more pregnant sense of again: réposse̋ss, reprodűce, rēsalűte \&c. Exceptions such as vëhement, vêhicle Lat. véhemens, véhiculum perhaps have the i sound because h does not completely remove the hiatus, compare above annihilate (from Lat. nihil). In composition with preter e under the subordinate accent remains a long I: prētermít, yet short under the principal accent in precterit; likewise in derivation, as prëterîtion. Prê also, Lat. prae, remains $\overline{1}$ under the main accent in prēcept, and commonly also under the subordinate accent in prēsuppöse, prēsurmi̋se, prēconceỉve, prēconcêption and others. Exceptions of another sort are bēdlery (beadlery) and many more.
2) the sound of the long $\bar{i}$, ie
a) in the accented open syllable followed by a vowel: deism, dēist, dēity, rēal, rēalize, theatre, lēo, lēonine, theory, déodand; also in re under the subordinate accent: reeadörn, reeabsőrb; and in the accented syllable formed by a single vowel: ēon, ēven, ëvil, évening, èdict, ēquable, ēqualize, ēquinox \&c.; ēlastỉcity, ēructätion, ēreptätion; as well as in monosyllabic words ending in e: bē, hē, mè, wé, thè.
By way of exce tion the e of this sort is shortened, especially in the antepenultimate accented syllables and maintains the esound; as emulate, ëmanate, égotize, ěgotist and even égoist, as well as under the subordinate accent: ërubëscent; also in the penultimate: ëver, épode, ĕphod.

Among the abovenamed monosyllabic words the proclitic article sounds ie only when spoken emphatically; else, before vowels thǐ; before consonants thë, as glib shortness: and generally, these words, proclitically or enclitically, often lose some portion of their quantity.
b) in the o en penultimate followed by an initial consonant: le $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ gist, Peter, fēver, fēline, cēdar.
Exceptions again are here formed by many words in which ĕ appears, especially before a derivative syllable, or terminations containing i or e; in $y$ : lévy, bivy, replĕvy, vêry, tĕchy; in irl: fetid, tépid, intrépid, gëlid; in ic: polĕmic, energetic, spheric, generic and others; in ish: rëlish, Rhënish, replënish, splënish, përish, blĕmish, Flĕmisch; in il. ile, (yl): pĕril, béryl, dĕvil, stẹrile, dĕ-
bile; in in: rësin; in $i t$ : mĕrit, inhĕrit, crĕdit, decrĕpit, dĕbit; in ice: crëvice, Vĕnice; in en: lĕven (otherwise lĕaven), elĕven, sĕven, hëben; in el: lëvel, rĕbel, rëvel, bĕvel, dishĕvel, shĕkel; in er: nĕther, nĕver, lĕper, allĕger (from allĕge), sĕver, assëver, clĕver, togèther, whéther; in et: genet, tênet; in ent: clément, prĕsent; also anomalous words, as shériff, Zëphyr, rëlict, prêmiss; - lĕvee, prĕbend, dësert, trĕble.

Words ending in obscure vowels are here also rarer; in al: mĕdal, mĕtal, pĕtal; in age: prêsage; in ace: mënace, preface; in ate: prĕlate, lĕgate, sĕnate; in ant: pëdant, těnant, lieutĕnant; in on: mĕlon, lĕmon, félon, hĕron; in or: tĕnor, and a few other, as sêraph, hërald; - Hërod, mĕthod, věnom, envĕlop, sêcond, rëcord; chĕrub, dĕluge, rĕfuge, prĕlude, rěfuse, tĕnure, sphĕrule, gërund; - nëphew, mëmoir.
c) in the accented syllable ending in a consonant, and followed by an organic or unorganic mute e: ēve, glëbe, thēme, thëse, Crēte, hēre, sevëre.
Except a few words in r, in which e receives the sound of the English $\overline{\mathrm{a}}=\overline{\mathrm{e}}$, much as in the Highdutch Ehre (dimmed by the guttural r): ere, where, there compare Old-Engl. ar (are), ware, pare (Rob. of Gloucester). Thus too the Englishman pronounces the French commère. In wěre e is shortened.
d) in the accented syllable (under the subordinate accent also), when followed by a double vowel sound, the former of which is $i$ or $e$ : spēciès, apērient, aurēlia, comēdian, abbrēviate, allēgiance, pēriod, sēnior, rēgion, gēnius, prēvius, egrēgious, prēmium, supersēdeas, mezēreon, mēteor; under the subordinate accent: gēniảlity, đēvia̋tion, mēdiätion, mēdiöcrity, pēriődic, mēteorőlogy.
Exceptions are rare, as espĕcial, discrětion, prĕcious.
B. In the unaccented syllahle, e, where not silent, (see the silence of the vowels) is always shortened into the power of i. This tinge comes out more distinctly in the open syllable before the accent, likewise at the end of the word, if $e$ is audible at all, and in these positions is distinguished by a lengthening, which however is insignificant, because the attraction is weakened: depart, sedáte, repóse, eláborate, ecónomy, evént, and at the end of latinized Greek words: Phébe, Penélope, epítome, récipe, apócope, símile, pósse, also in púisne (sometimes spelt púny). It is strictly long in the latinized Greek termination ēs: ambágēs, antípodes. The i-sound comes out less decidedly in an originally close syllable: réstlessness, póet, cóvet, hélmet, quảrrel, bárren, linen; more distinctly in the termination es after a sibilant: bóxes, fáces, áshes, he debáses.

In the syllable er it is equal to the dimmed ir, ur: pertúrb, persuade, númber, partáker, even in émperor (compare Old-Engl. pepir = pepper, aftur, hongur, longur), softened by the subsequent consonant: cómmerce. It is to be observed that
the final bre, tre, cre, gre are exactly equal to the unaccented ber, ter, cer, ger, as they were often spelt in the older English and stiul sometimes are; and that final sounds such as payer, player, slayer are hardly to be distinguished from those in care, fair.

Lastly we must also remark the influence of the nasal $n$ (in ent, ence) on the obscurer tinge of the unaccented e (approaching the English ü): prúdent, ágent, améudment, órnament, décence, éxcellence.

Of combinations of $e$ with other vowels, to represent vowel (and diphthongal) sounds, ee, ei, ey, ea, eau, eo, eu and ew are to be cited.
ee is chiefly found
A) in the accented syllable, and serves there
almost solely to represent the long $\overline{1}$, ie, equal to the English é: nēedle, blēed, frēe, fēeling, carēen, carēer, debtēe, bargainēe.

In Beelzebub both e's are to be pronounced; it sounds Bēēlzebub or Béelzebub. In e'er instead of êver and ne'er instead of nëver e'er is pronounced like ere in there.

By way of exception ee appears shortened into ĭ in been (OldEngl. ben) and in common life in thréepenny, thréepence ( $=$ thripenny, -ence); we also pronounce breeches (from sing. breech) like britches: compare Old-Engl. brych (Rob. of Gloucester).
B) In the unaccented syllable ee is shortened like the unaccented e of the power of e: cóffee, commíttee, lévee (according to some levēe); in júbilee we use to leave to ee the long sound.
ei and ey, whereof the former belongs chiefly, though not exclusively, to the end of stems and to some derivative terminations, are equivalent in their phonetic relations, and are divided into the e-, the i- and ei- sounds.
A) In the accented syllable ei has

1) commonly the sound of the long ē or the English $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} i$ : eight, neigh, néighbour, vein, deign, obéisance. Before $r$ it receives the dimmed sound as in there: their, theirs, heir, héiress.
2) sometimes that of the long $\overline{1}$, ie, Engl. ē: cēil, cēiling, sēize, sēizin, sēine, sēignior, re-per-de-con-cēive, decēit, concēit, recēipt, invēigle, lēizure, and in propernames as Lēigh, Lēith, Kēil, Kēith, Kēighley, Kēightley \&c. In Plēiads the pronunciation divides plē-yads.
3) still more unusual is the diphthong sound ei, like the English $\hat{i}$ in heîght (from hîgh), sleîght, heîgh-ho! Iu ēither and nēither too some think to hear the diphthong ei.
By way of exception we pronounce ei as a short é, English ë in hĕifer and in nonparěil.
B) In the unaccented syllable it answers to the short 1 : fóreĭgn, sóvereĭgn, fórfeĭt, súrfeĭt, coúnterfeĭt. ey has
A) in the accented syllable
4) usually the sound of the long $\bar{e}$ : trey, grey, they, hey! obe $y$, convéy, Héytsbury; before $r$ with a dimmed vowel, as in there: eyre, ēyry, on the other hand, also spelt ǽrie, is proneuuced with $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$.
5) as a long $\overline{1}$ in $k \bar{e} y$, lēy (for which also lēa stands).
6) as ei dirhthong in ey̆e, ey̆liad (pronounced îl-yad) and eỳas.
B) In the unaccented syllable ey answers to the short $\mathfrak{y}$, 1 : álley, bárley, chímney, cáusey, Túrkey, Sídney.
ea makes sometimes the e-sound, sometimes the i -sound predominant. Linguistic usage does not divide shortness and length by fixed etymological or orthoepical principles.
A) In the accented syllable ea represents
7) frequently the sound of the short er (English é):
a) mostly in a close syllatle, and especially when ea is followed in position by more than one consonant: bréast, abreast, hëalth, stěalth, wěalth, brĕadth, rěalm; in verbal forms: drĕamt, lĕant, měant, dĕalt, lĕapt (otherwise spelt leaped) and in clĕanse; in the compounds clĕanly c. deriv.; in the compound brĕakfast also ea has been shortened; the same takes place in trĕadle from trĕad. The derivatives of sēam remain unshortened, although sěmpster is spelt along with sēamster.

If in this case r stands immediately after $e a$ ě is dimmed like ë before r: ëarn, lëarn, yëarn, ëarnest, ëarl, pëarl, ëarly, hëard, ëarth, dëarth, hëarse, rehëarse, sëarch, resëarch.
Except bēard, with $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$. ea in position before r rarely passes into the sound a ( $\ddot{a}$ ), which fluctuates between length and shortness in heärken, heärt and heärth (by some pronounced hërth).

But even in some words ending in a simple consonant, with their inflectional forms and derivatives and in compounds eea is short ě. They mostly end in $d, t$ and $t h$, and one in $f$ : lĕad, rĕad (from rēad), rĕady, brĕad, dĕad, drĕad, trĕad, thrěad, stěad, sprĕad, hĕad; thrĕat, swĕat; dĕath, brĕath; dĕaf; consequently also in lĕaden, rĕady, dĕaden, thrĕaden, thrĕaten; dĕafen, drĕader; in ahěad, behĕad, instěad, bestĕad, alrĕady, stĕadfast \&c. but not in brēathe \&c.
b. in the open syllable we find ěa short in hěavy; lěaven, hĕaven; lĕather, fĕather, wĕather, trěachery; pĕasant, phĕasant, plĕasant; mĕadow; wĕapon; endĕavour; zĕalot; mĕasure, plĕasure, trĕasure.
2) Moreover ea represents a long vowel both in the open and the close syllable, and that the long $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ (Engl. ē): lēa, pēa, plēa, flēa, sēa, èach, pēak, lēague, shēath, pēace, bēast, appēar, hēar, bēaver, crēature \&c.
By way of exception ea has in a few words the sound of $\bar{e}$ (Engl. à): greāt, breāk, steāk; before $r$ it sounds in this case like e in there: peār, beār, teār (= to rend), sweār. Dialectically the sound $\bar{e}$ is often used for ea; thus in Warwickshire sēa sounds like say, mēat like mait.
B) In the unaccented syllable ea, as representing a single vowel sound, is rare. It is then equivalent to the unaccented e or y with the power of i: guínea pronounced ghínny; Anglesea sounds like Anglesey, which is also written; longer in cólleague. Ea is found elsewhere as an original double syllable, in which, however e is often hardened into y consonant, and then enters into combination with the consonant, or ensures the dental sound to a guttural: págeant, véngeance, ócean (pronounced ōsh'ăn). In compounds the ĕ-sound remains: bédstĕad.

## eau sounds

A) in the accented syllable like along 0 : beau, bureáu; yet like $\hat{u}$ (iú) in beáuty.
B) in the unaccented syllable it loses little of its quantity as $\overline{0}$ : flámbeau. portmánteau.
eo, like the last combination, seldom employed to represent a sound, is
A) in the accented syllable :

1) to be pronounced like a long $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ in pēople, Thēobald.
2) like a long $\bar{o}$ in: yeōman, yeōmanry, where some pronounce it like ĕ, others like ŭ: compare Old-Engl. zeman, yeman. In Geörge e only serves to denote the softening of the original guttural; else eo forms two vowel sounds as in geótic.
3) eo is pronounced like iú in feód, with its derivatives, which is also spelt feud. Galleón sounds according to some galoon, usually gal-lě-on.
4) it is pronounced like a short ě in fĕoff and its derivatives fĕotfer, fĕoffment \&c., lĕopard, jĕorpardy and jĕofail (= jéffail).
B) It does not occur in an unaccented syllable; where eon seems to be the final sound, e serves to indicate the softening of an original guttural: trúncheon, scútcheon, wídgeon, dúngeon, hábergeon.
eu and ew are essentially equivalent to each other.
eu is
A) in the accented syllable, equivalent to û (iú): Eûrope, fê̂d, deûce; the i-sound weak in itself, as it passes over into the ysound, becomes unobservable after r (rh): rheûmatism.
B) In the unaccented syllable -eur is pronounced like -yưr in grándeur; by some like jür.

## ew sounds

A) in the accented syllable like $\hat{u}$ (iú): ewry, ewer, new, few, dew, Tewksbury; also with a following mute e: ewe. The i -sound is here also unabservable after r : brew, drew, crew, shrewd; almost so after 1: lewd, Lewis; as well as after an initial j: Jew, jeẃel.
By way of exception the long o is denoted by ew: sew, shew, strew now commonly spelt with ow. Sewer = a drain is pronounced like sōōr or sōer, and even shōr.
B) In the unaccented syllable the sound iú loses something of its quantity: néphew, cúrfew; after r, i here totally vanishes: hébrew, yet not with l: cúrlew.

A fluctuates in its phonetic relations and its quantity in many ways, not merely under the influence of the open or close syllable, but also of the final consonant. It denotes the sound of a and e, receives a sound lying between a and $e$, even that of 0 , and even ranges sometimes in the unaccented syllable into i.
A) In the accented syllable a has

1) the short sound, coming near to the Highdutch ä, if we bring this a shade nearer to a.
a) in general in the close accented syllable: ăm, ădd, măp, păck, făct, scrătch, ăspect, sădness, dăffodil. Syllables in which a consonant follows $n$, $f$ and $s$ have a feeble inclination to lengthen it, as in plănt, commănd, crăft, grăss, grăsp \&c., in which formerly the vowel sound was broadened, which is no longer done.
Exceptions are here dependent on initial and final consonants
${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) The short vowel answers to the short $\stackrel{\check{a}}{ }$, English ŏ or shortened English aw, when preceded by $u$ or $w$, and not followed by a simple $r$ or $l$ : quab, quash, quántum, quántity, quándary, squab, squash, squat, squad, wan, wand, wámble, wash, was, wánton, swan; before $r r$ and $l l$ in quárrel, quárry, wárrey, wárrior, wállow, wállop, wállet; also before $d r$, which here makes position in an originally open syllable: quádrat, squádron, quádrature. Of those beginning with wh what and whap (also spelt whop) belong here.

Quăf and quăg c. der., wăft, wăggon, wăg remain true to the rule.

Of other words chap, pl. chaps, and the verb to chap (also pronounced chăp) follow the exception, in contradistinction to the other chăp (= chēapener and chăpman), yacht (pronounced yǒt) and scállop (pronouneed scơllop); in common life also slábber; according to some also jálap instead of jălap
$\beta$ ) Under the influence of a following $\mathbf{r}$ and 1 this a ( $\hat{a}$ ) becomes long:

1. where $q u, w, w h$ precede the a, which is followed by an $r$ or $r$ together with another consonant: quârt, quârter, wâr, wârd, wârt, whârf.
2. In stems ending in $l l$, with their derivatives and compounds, even where these lose an 1: âll, bâll, fâll, wâll, instâll, appâl, withâl; - câlling, appâlment; - âlso, âlways, wâlrus and in the foreign word Bengâl.
Where a simple stem is not found in English the word in $l l$ follows the rule: tăllow, păllet, băllast \&c., găllic and many more.
3. where $l$ stands in a syllable long by position before the dentals $d$ and $t$ : âlder, âlderman, Âlderney, bâld, bâl-
dric, bâlderdash, fâldage, fâldstool, scâld, châldron, câldron, Kirkâldy; - âltar, âlter, Alton, pâlter pâltry, Bâltic, Bâltimore, fâlter, wâltron, wâltz, hâlt, hâlter, exâlt, basâlt; - sâlt and mâlt on the contrary are often pronounced with a short $\dot{\alpha}$. Foreign words, such as băldachin, basältes \&c. retain regularly the short $\breve{a}$.

The sound of the long $\dot{\alpha}$, is rare in another position, as with $s$ in hâlse (to embrace) pâlsy, pâlsgrave, bâlsam, fâlse, falchion, and on account of the collision with $s$ in pronounciation, also in Sâlisbury pronounced sâlzbery; more rare with a labial letter, as in Wâlpole, Tâlbot, Albany, according to some also in pálfrey and hálberd, where, however, $\check{a}$ is pre ferred. Wâlnut has likewise a long $\dot{a}$.
4. Lastly a long $a$ also prevails where $l$ is silent before a guttural, $c$ or $k$ : bâlk, wâlk, tâlk, stâlk, châlk, câlk, mâlkin (otherwise spelt mawkin, maukin), fâlcon; so also before $s$ in hâlse (otherwise spelt hawse) and hâlser.
r) A has the sound of the long Highdutch $\bar{a}$ in a close syllable:

1. in words in th: läth, bäth, päth. Wrath is shortened by some; scath, on the other hand mostly pronounced with a short ă.
2. in words in which $l$ is silent before $m, f$ and $v:$ älms, allmoner, älmond, pälmer, bälm, cälm, mälmsey, hälf, cälf, sälve, hälve, cälves. In hâlm and shâlm (otherwise spelt shawm) it is pronounced like a long $\hat{a}$ : in almanac it is shortened according to the rule; the compounds hálfpenny, hálfpence sound like hāpenny \&c. with a long $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$, according to some hăppenny \&c.
s) In the accented syllables ending in $r$ or $r$ together with another consonant following, and generally in position before other consonants (except in the cases specified under " and ${ }_{\beta}{ }^{3} 1$.) $a$ is lengthened and broadened by the guttural, although many deem syllables of this sort short: bär, stär, cär, ärm, ärt, regärd, cärp, märble, märches, särcasm, chärcoal, bärbarism. Where $r$ is doubled in derivatives this vowel sound remains: stärry, chärry, tärry; so also in pärricide; but in general the rule otherwise general comes into operation with $r$ : ărrow, mărry, tărry, părrot, sărracine. - In chār and in scārce a is pronounced like a long ē.
غ) A receives the sound of the long ē (Engl. $\bar{a})$ in position before $n$ and dental $g$ : mānge, strānge, māngy, dānger, mānger (but not in ăngélic with an advancing accent); so too in words in āste with their derivatives, where the influence of the mute e takes effect after the double consonant: pāste, chāste, hāste; pāstry, pāsty, hāsty, chāsten, hāsten (in the two last with the silent $t$ ) but not in chăstity, chăstize. In some words the $\bar{a}$-sound appears before $m b$ : chāmber, chāmberlain, cāmbric, Cāmbridge; āmbsace: before $n c$ in ancient; likewise before $s s$ in bāss.
b) The short $\breve{a}$ also stands in the open antepenultimate or prior syllable, if the following one begins with a consonant, not, however, followed by two vowels the former whereof is $\mathfrak{c}$ or é: lăteral, rădical, băzanite, fămily, fătuous; gătherable, compărative, lăpidary; lăterally; lăpidārian, lăterỉtious, cămeralistic. This also appears where $a$ constitutes originally a syllable by itself: ămorous, ămicable, ănimal, ăpennine, ăperture, ădeling, ănagram.
The exceptions are mostly words derived from English stems with long $\bar{a}$, such as: cāpable, cāpableness, àbleness, plācable, sānable, sāvoury, stātary, bābery \&c.
3. A has the sound of the long $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ :
a) in the accented open syllable followed by one vowel: lāical, lāity, cāolin, pharisāical, Archelāus; the case is rare, where $a$, by itself constituting a syllable, represents a long $\overline{\bar{\theta}}$ under the principal or subordinate accent: $\bar{a} \mathrm{er}, \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ orist, $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ corn, àmen; in derivatives from long syllables, as àpish, knāvishness, àbly; very unusual in the antepenultimate and farther back, as in ācrasy, ābecedārian.
b) In the open penultimate followed ay an initial consonant: la $\bar{a}-$ bour, lādy, nāvy, pātron, bāsis, vāry, creātor, scābrous, - mĕditātive.
«) Here again is found a considerable string of exceptions, where a short $\breve{a}$ again occurs, mostly before derivative syllables with i or e: before terminations in $i d$ : ărid, ăvid, ăcid, răpid, răbid, vălid, invălid, văpid, plăcid, tăbid, călid; in $i c:$ măgic, pănic, barbăric, făbric, trăgic; in ish: lăvish, răvish, părish, bănish, fămish, vănish, spănish; in $i l$, ile: căvil, ăgile, făcile, frăgile; in it, ite: hăbit, inhăbit, grănite; in in, ine: mătin, lătin, răvin, băvin, săpin, săvin or săbine, sătin, spăvin, căbin; imăgine, exămine, răpine, fămine; in ice, ise: ămice, mălice, mătrice, ănise; in en: răven in contradistinction to rāven (a bird); in el: enămel, răvel, pănel, trăvel, jăvel, chăpel, cămel, grăvel; in et, ette: plănet, vălet, tăblet, clăret; pălette; in ern: tăvern, căvern; in ent: tălent, pătent; and singular cases, as zăny, tărif, tănist, călends, lăther, ădept, trăverse, trăject \&c.

Words in age have obscurer vowels: ădage, mănage, mismănage, dispărage, răvage, dămage, săvage; in at, ate: cărat; ăgate, pălate; in ass, ace: pălace; mătrass, hărass; in ant and ance: păgeant; bălance, vălance; in ard: hăzard, hăgard; in on: băron, flăgon, tălon, cănon; in om: ătom, făthom; in or, our; mănor, vălour, clămour; in ue: vălue, stătue and a few others, as lăzar, dămask, plătane, sălad, scărab, ănarch; shădow; cărol, făgot, hăvock; ălum, lărum or alărum, gămut, stăture, stătute, also shămois. Sătire and sattyr are likewise mostly shortened; but Sātan is mostly pronounced with a long $\bar{a}$.
$\beta$. A answers to the short $\check{e}$ in any, many; compare Old-Engl. eny (Rob. of Gloccester); likewise in Thames (with mute e) pronounced temz Old-Engl. Temese.
$\because$ The sound of the long $\grave{a}$ (â) is given to wâter, Wâterford and Râleigh.
c) in the syllable ending with a consonant, and followed by an organic or unorganic mute e: āpe, māce, lāne, rāge, dāte, tāme, collāte. If $r$ precedes the mute e, the guttural tinges the $\bar{a}$, so that it approaches nearer to the Highdutch ă: māre, shāre, bāre, cāre.
Exce thăve, băde, in which a is ă short.
In ăte (also spelt eat) it sounds like ě (ĕt).
In äre, from to be, a sounds like a german $\bar{a}$.
d) in the accented syllable, if followed by a double vowel, the former whereof is i or e: āpiary, $\overline{\text { a }}$ sian, nāiad (pronounced nāyad), bacchanālian, barbārian, acācia, emāciate, reputātion, occāsion, vārious, spācious, sagācious, rādius, epithalāmium, sānies, sāpience, pātient; gālea, subterrāneous, illāqueate, nectāreous, àqueous \&c.
Here, however, we find many exceptions; a is shortened into ă, especially before io, where no dental precedes: compănion, battălion, tátterdemălion, clărion, chăriot, găliot; but also else: glădiate, retăliate, văliant, spăniel, găseous, ăgio \&c.; even gymnăsium.

Also occasionally in further derivation even an a is shortened in such a case, as in nătional, nătionalize (from nātion), rătional, rătionalist (from rātio).
B) In the unaccented syllable the vowel a becomes obscured, through
the more glib utterance, into a sound of the power of o, approaching the English ü spoken glibly; thus in an open syllable before initial consonants: alóne, abáck, ádamant, míracle; as well as where it is the final sound: Áfric $a$, álph $a$, drám $a$; and this is the predicament of the proclitic article a in $a$ book; less so in a close syllable, in which the sound is nearer that of ă: $a$ ccépt, plúral, cápital, ádamant, álmanac; in compounds, as Híghlands, Hólland. This sound is more obscure before a final r : dóllar, líar, pólar, partàke, mústard, oútward.

A remains nearer the long $\bar{e}$ in quantity and colour before vowels:
 final ade aud ate (this latter, however, only in the verbal termination): cómrāde, óperāte; in words like rẻnegáde, őperátive a falls even under the subordinate accent.

In the terminations age and ate (as a termination of nouns) the $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$-sound inclines towards the clearer $i$ : pēerage, village, pảtronage, báronage; óbstinate, fórtunate, illíterate; also in the terminations ace and ase: pálace (compare Old-Engl. paleis), sólace, púrchase.
The sound of the short $\grave{a}$ (Engl. $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ ) is also maintained in the unaccented syllable of all words beginning with quadr-, as quadrátic \&c.

The long $\dot{a}$（ $\hat{a}$ ）remains in the prefix $\hat{a}$ ：âlthóugh，âlréady， âlmíghty；and is heard in jáckâl，of course also in cátcâll，as a compound．

As compounds of a with vowels to represent simple sounds，aa， ae，ai，ay，ao，au and aw occur，of which aa and ao have hardly found admission．

Aa seldom occurs as one syllable，although the two syllables easily coalesce into one．
A）In the accented syllable aa appears
1）with the sound $\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}$ ，almost the same as in $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ aron，in which this sound only arises through the confluence of $\bar{a}$ ă；in Bāal，Gāal， Lāadan and others these syllables are more decidedly separated．
2）On the other hand $a a$ occurs in modern foreign names with the sound of the long $\bar{a}(a ̈)$ ，for example，in Äar，bazäar，Säar－ bruck，Säal，Säale；similarly，ma＇am（＝mădăm）is popu－ larly contracted into one sound．The English verb baa is per－ haps the only English word with à．
3）aa sounds like $\dot{a}$ long（ $\hat{a}$ ）in A alborg．
B）In the unaccented syllable the two $a$＇s blend in such words as Ísaac，Bálsam，Cánaan into one a，which is somewhat shortened in quantity．

Ae appears in foreign words mostly in the form $æ$ ，particularly in Greek and Latin words．
A）In the accented open syllable
1）$\not$ has usually
a）the sound of the long $\overline{1}$（ie）：ǽgis，pxan，dǽmon，Cǽsar， \＆lia，広acus，Æantêum（conformably with the rules for e）．

Here appears in proparoxytones the sound of the short $\ell$ ： $D \dddot{\mathscr{E}}$ dalus and others；so too under the subordinate accent： ǽstivảtion，Ænobărbus，źruginneous；yet not without exception，since even here a long $\overline{1}$ appears，as，for instance， in Æ゙gipan，府ginëta and others．Occasionally e is written instead of $\not \mathscr{}$ ，especially where it is short，as in éstival， éstivảtion；but also for $æ$ long，as in Egypt．
$\beta$ ）in syllables long by position $æ$ has the sound of the short ĕ：床tna，æ̌stivätion．
2）ae written separate has on the other hand
c）the sound of the long $\bar{e}$（Engl． $\bar{a}$ ）in such names as Māes， and in Gāel，gāelic（properly Gā－el，but commonly pronounced

$\beta$ ）short ex occurs in a syllable long by position，as in Maĕstricht （pronounced městrikt）．
B）In the unaccented syllable $\alpha$ appears as i（ie），analogously to $\bar{e}$ ，mostly in an open syllable immediately before the accented syllable：府thúsa， $\mathrm{ph} \bar{\mp}$ nómenon，cæǽsura；but also in its origi－ nal position，for instance in æsthétic．－In Michael the two syllables $a$－el are usually blended into one；in Michaĕlmass $a_{a}$ is to be regarded as totally neglected．

Ai and ay are related to each other like ei and ey, so that ay commonly appears at the end of stems or derivatives, ai at the beginning and in the middle.

A has
A) in the accented syllable

1) regularly the sound of the long e (Engl. $\bar{a}$ ): $\bar{a} i m$, $\bar{a} i d, ~ p a \overline{i n}$, pāil, fāaith, tāil, māiden, bāiliwick, obtāin. Before r the sound becomes deeper, as in pāir, fāir, chāire, glāire, lāird.

In aisle, ai is spoken like î.
Occasionally in the close syllable ai receives the sound of the short $\breve{a}$ : plăid, răillery; according to some also in plăintiff, commonly pronounced plāintiff.

In some words it sounds ĕ: wáinscot (pronounced wĕnscot), said, saith and says from to sāy, compare Old-Engl. sede, ysed; wáistcoat also is pronounced in common life wĕscoat. Orthoepists almost unanimously give the pronounciation of $a i$ in agáinst, some even in agáin, as that of ĕ. Compare Old-Engl. azen, ayenst.
B) In the unaccented syllable ai standing alone before the accented syllable is ē long: āizóum, likewise in the close syllable māintáan (according to some like ă) and in the middle of an open syllable: báttāilous (according to some like battălous in Milton). In the unaccented final syllable ai is mostly shortened into the power of i , as in móuntain, Brítain, fóuntain, víllain, cáptain, cháplain, cúrtain, trávail \&c. Compare Old-Engl. parfit, modern French parfait, Old French parfeit, parfit.
Ay passes likewise
A) in the accented syllable

1) for a long ē (Engl. $\bar{a})$ : pāy, dāy, awāy, delāy, plāyer, plāyhouse; in the word māyor o is disregarded, so that it sounds like māre.

Quay, according to Sheridan equal to $k \bar{a}$, is generally pronounced like kē (ki); so too in quáyage.
It sounds like a short ě in says (see above).
In ay $=$ yes, the two vowels are sounded: á-i.
B) In the unaccented syllable the e-sound becomes somewhat shortened; more observable in common life in the names of the days of the weeks: Súnday, Mónday \&c. almost $\breve{a}$. Móray is pronounced like Mŭrrě.

Ao serves to represent a vowel only in gãol, gāoler, which are pronounced and even spelt jāil, jāiler. Caoutchouc is pronounced like the English cō̄chook (with a long and a short a).

Au and aw are equal in their phonetic relations, so that they often interchange with each other in writing at the beginning of a syllable, as they did in former times especially.

## Au represents

A) in the accented syllable chiefly

1) the sound of the long $a$ ( $\hat{a}$ ): câught, tâught, dâughter, lâud, sâuce, vâult, âutumn, sâusage, âutobio̊graphy.

In modern times au before an n is exceptionally pronounced like a long à (ä): äunt, täunt, däunt, jäunt, gäunt, mäund, lăunch, päunch, cräunch; läundress, jäundice, läundrey, säunter, askäunce, Stäunton, Läunceton \&c. Some such words have nevertheless collateral forms in an and are derived from words in an. Many orthoepists give many of these however the $\alpha$-sound; most give it to the verb to vâunt in contradistinction to väunt = van.

Also before $g h$ the same sound is given to the $a u$, in: dräugh (also spelt drăff) dräughts, läugh (where gh sounds like $f$ ).

So too in some French words the sound of the French au $=\bar{o}$, is preserved: háutboy, maráuder, roqueláure.
In gauge, French jauge, au is pronounced like the English $\bar{a}$ (= gāge).
2) Short $\stackrel{\circ}{a}$ (Engl. ŏ) represents $a u$ in láurel, láudanum and, according to some, also in cáuliflower.
B) In the unaccented syllable $\alpha u$ retains the sound of the long $\dot{\alpha}$ (â): âustére, âuthórity; in débauche̋e the unaccented $a u$ has the slightly shortened sound of the long $\overline{0}$. In the compound hautgóut it retains the French pronunciation.

Aw, often interchanging with an initial $a u$, but never with a final au in genuinely English words, has always the sound of the long $a^{\circ}$ (â): drâw, hâwk, tâwny, tâwdry, âwkward.
$\mathbf{0}$ is analogous to a in receiving, in a higher degree than other vowels, a particular tinge from the succeding consonant.
A) In the accented syllable the o receives

1) the short sound $\dot{a}$ (Engl. ŏ), answering to the long $\dot{a}$ (Engl. $a w$, $a u)$
a) in the close syllable: ŏf, ŏx, rŏb, pormp, prömpt, fŏnt, sŏng, lŏdge, crŏtch, cŏnfident, cŏmpromise, cơmposiltion. Words in $f f, f t, s s$, st and th, undergo a lengthening in pronunciation, as off, cóffee, óften, moss, toss, gloss, lost, tost, froth, cloth; yet modern orthoepists limit this lengthening to $o$ before $s s$, st and $t h$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) An exception is made by syllables ending with a single $r$, or with $r$ before another consonant, in which the guttural oc-- casions a lengthening and deepening of the vowel sound: nor, for, abhór, orb, thorp, short, Lord, north, form, horse, corpse \&c.; fórmer, enórmous \&c.

We find a more decided prolongation of the vowel in port (and except impórtant, impórtunate c. der. everywhere in the syllable port), fört, spōrt, fōrd, swōrd, förth, cōrps, porch, pōrk, fōrm ( $=$ bench ), wōrn, törn, shōrn, hörde, förce, fōrge, divōrce. Two r's restore the shortness: hörror, hŏrrent.
$\beta$ ) o before $r$, when the vowel is preceded by $w$, has the sound of the short o, Engl. ŭ (which, as a shortening of the long $\overline{0}$, yet with a shade of the Highdutch ő, is to be regarded as similar to the inclination of the short a towards the High-
dutch ä): worm, word, world, worse, wórship, worst, worth, and even before double $r$ in worry; similarly with $w$ preceding $n$ in won (from to win, but not wŏn $=$ to dwell) wónder, and, on account of the prefixing of a $w$, not written, in one, once; compare won = one (Chaccer) wan and wance in dialects.
But also in other words o becomes $\check{u}$ in pronunciation without a $w$ 's preceding, especially before $n$ and $m$, as in ton, son, in móney, mónetary even in an open syllable; front, affrónt, mónday, month; particularly when the $n$ is followed by a guttural: monk, mónkey, mónger, móngrel, móngcorn, amóng, amóngst, bóngrace; also in sponge, allónge; and when a labial or $m$ follows the $m$ : lomp, rhomb, bomb, bómbast, bómbasin, pómmage, pómmel, pómpion.

Here also occurs a number of words with the prefix con and com (whereas others retain ŏ): cónduit, cónjure, cónstable; cómpass, cómpany, cómbat, cómfit, cómfiture, cómfort, discómfit, discómfort \&c.; also cómfrey the name of a plant. The same sound also takes place in attórn, attórney, as well as in dost and doth (from to do).
$\gamma$ ) It receives the sound of the long $\bar{o}$ before a final $l l$, before $l d$, $l t:$ rōll, strōll, ōld, bōld, gold, Bōlton, sōldier, bōlt, côlt \&c.; rarely before a simple l: patrōl, párasöl, and before lst: bōlster, hōlster; also before a silent l: fōlk.
$\stackrel{\circ}{\text { onemains short in lolll, doll; of course also in fŏllow, }}$ hŏllow.

In a few words in ss, st and th this prolongation likewise exists: grōss, engröss; mōst (of course also in compounds in the unaccented syllable: útmost \&c.), pōst, hōst, ghōst; lōth (also lōath), bōth, slōth (compare Old-Engl. slōwthe Skelton ed. Dyce I. p. CVII).

By some quoth is placed here; yet it is more correctly pronounced with short $u$; compare Anglo-Sax: $c \nabla a ̈ d$ with short $\ddot{a}$.

Of other words belong here cōmb, ōmber, ōnly and dōn't, wōn't.
$\delta) ~ o ~ s o u n d s ~ l i k e ~ a ~ s h o r t ~ H i g h d u t c h ~ u(E n g l . ~ o o) ~ i n ~ w o l f, ~ W o ́ l-~$ ston, Wólstoncraft, Wólsey, Wólverhảmpton, Wórcester (pronounced wooster) and wórsted (by some pronounced woosted with a rejected $r$ ) gom ( $=$ man).
e) Lastly like a long Highdutch $u$ in whom, womb, tomb.
b) The sound of the short $a(\stackrel{o}{o})$ prevails also in the accented antepenultimate or prior open syllable, unless the initial consonant of the following is not followed by a double vowel beginning with $i$ or e: ŏminous, pŏpulace, corrŏborate, astŏnishment, cúriŏsity; in compounds: apŏlogy, astrŏnomy, biŏgraphy \&c. - depŏpulảtion, denŏminảtion, cŏronảtion, prŏsecűtion.
0 is, however, exceptionally a long $\bar{o}$ not only in derivative words with light derivative termination, as: cōgency, solary,
vōtary, vōtaress, vōtarist, nōtable; but also in those compounded with prōto: prōtocol, prōtoplast, prōtotype, prōtomảrtyr.
In other words it has the sound of the short English $\check{u}$ : cólander, cóvenant, sóvereign, sómerset and sómersault, and drómedary.
chórister is pronounced like quirrister.
2) The sound of the long $\bar{o}$ :
a) in the accented open syllable followed by a vowel: poet, pōem, pōetry, bōa, Mōab, Mōaphërnes; as well as where o makes a syllable of itself: ōmen, ōver, ōval, ōvary, except ŏlid, orrange; and where it is the final sound: lō! bō! hṑ nō, prō, frō, sō, gō, úndergő, also with an $h$ after it: ōh!
From the last case are excepted with the sound $\bar{u}$ (Engl. $\overline{o o}$ ): who, do, adó, of course also in dóing, and to, which becomes essentially shortened proclitically as a preposition, and also before the infinitive, and preserves the $u$-sound more decidedly only before vowels. To, however, sounds tō in tōward, tōwards.
b) in the penultimate open syllable followed by an initial consonant: pōtent, dōtard, cōlon, cōgent.
The exceptions which take place here are not so many as with the vowels $e$ and $a$; yet they are split into three sorts:
a) Words in $y$ have the sound of the short $a(\breve{a})$ : bödy, cŏpy; in id: parootid, flŏrid, sŏlid; in ic: apostŏlic, histŏric, tŏnic; in ish: mŏnish, admŏnish, astŏnish, pŏlish, abŏlish, demŏlish; in ile: dŏcile; in it: prŏfit, vŏmit, repŏsit, depŏsit; in in: rŏbin, rŏsin; in ice, ise: nŏvice, bŏdice, prơmise; in el: mŏdel, nŏvel, brŏthel, hŏvel, grŏvel; in er: prŏper, hŏver, chŏler; in et: prŏphet, cŏmet, clŏset; in est: mŏdest, hŏnest, fŏrest; and anomalous words as Cörinth, prŏvince, Flŏrence, mŏdern, prŏblem, prócess, prŏgress, prŏject, pröverb, sŏlemn, Rŏbert, lỡenge.

Much rarer are obscure vowels in the final syllable, age in fŏrage, hŏmage; al: mŏral, cŏral; ule: mŏdule, nŏdule, glŏbule; and in anomalous words: mŏnad, mŏnarch, grŏgram; hŏnour, prŏlogue, jŏcund, cŏlumn, prŏduce, product, vŏlume.
$\beta$ ) Some words have the sound of the English short $\breve{u}$ : cóny (yet else pronounced cony; the former popularly), móney, hóney; stómach, rómage (also spelt rummage); bórage, bórough, thórough; cólour; cóvey; óven, slóven, cóvin; cóver, recóver, cóvert, plóver, góvern; cólonel (pronounced cưrnel); shóvel; cóvet; óther, móther, póther, bróther, smóther, nóthing; cózen (also coz), dózen; commonly also the compound twópence.
$\gamma$ ) o sounds like $\breve{u}$ (Engl. oo) in bósom and the compound wó$\operatorname{man}$, in the plural of which it is like $\check{\imath}$ : wómen.
c) in the syllable ending in a consonant with a subsequent mute $e$ : whōle, thrōne, dote, cöde, slōpe, glöbe, thōse, glōe; the vowel is dimmed before $r$ : shōre.
o sounds exceptionally like a long $\bar{u}$ (Engl. $\overline{o o}$ ) in Frome (a town in Somerset), move, prove, behóve) (also spelt behoove), lose, whose and gambóge; - occasionally like a short English $\breve{u}$ in: some, come, becóme; done, none, one (see above); love, dove, shove, glove, abóve.

On the contrary it has the sound of the short o in gone, begŏne \&c., shöne. Some give to the participle gone the broader sound; compare the Lowdutch gâu.
d) in the accented syllable before the initial consonant followed by two vowels, whereof the former is $i$ or $e$ : quōtient, crōsier, censōrian, ambrōsia, ambrōsial, collōquial, zōdiac, ōpiate, foliage, schōliast, fōlio, explōsion, $\operatorname{devō-~}$ tion, empōrium; hȳperbürrean, corpōreal, petrōleum.
Solitary exceptions, as tŏpiary, ŏnion, pöniard and a few others occur even here.
B) In the unaccented syllable $o$ in general is shortened, both in the open and the close syllable, as also where the close syllable is followed by a mute $e$; yet it preserves its accentual tinge in a higher degree than $a$, except in final syllables. Here it mostly passes over, like $a$, into the dimmer sound, which approaches the English $\breve{u}$.

The sound of o can therefore in general be considered as losing less of its otherwise determined quantity before the accented syllable; as, for example, where it makes a syllable by itself: o mít, oméntum, obéy, tobácco; and even in the close syllable: pompósity, pollúte, demonstrátion; whereas after the accented syllable in the interior of the word the sound appears slighter and weakend in its accentual tinge: hármony, cómmoner. At the end, on the other hand, it loses essentially, as in kingdom, méthod; Húdson, Hóuston, Ríchmond; even where a mute $e$ would seem to maintain it clearer, for example, in the termination some: hándsome; quárrelsome. Even in compounds, as tóuchstone, limestone, Eddystone, it is dimmed, as in púrpose; and almost as much in pédagogue, díalogue, démagogue and the like, wherein the composition is no longer sensible.

Or is also equivalent to the final syllables ir, er, ar: áctor, émperor, érror, órator, whereas the final syllable is suffered to come forth clearer in words recognized as Latin ones, as in stúpor, cálor. Thus it happens that, before $n$ in many frequent words in ton, son and some others, $o$ is to be considered as totally silent (see below); whereas elsewhere before the nasal a short, rapid $o$ is adhered to, even in this position, as in démon, félon, únison, horízon, séxton \&c.

The combinations in which 0 is employed to represent vowel sounds are oo, oe (and oc), oi, oy, oa, ou and ow.

00 serves essentially
A) in the accented syllable ever
 pōr, b̄ot, food, roost; loose, choose, $\overline{o \quad z e}$, soothe.
Usage has exceptionally favoured $\alpha$ ) a shortening of the $\bar{u}$ into ŭ in syllables ending with the guttural $k$, as well as in some ending with $d$, and even with $l: \breve{0}$ lok, rook, book, brook, shook, hook, cook and crook; - foot, soot; - wood, stood, hood, good; - wool.
$\beta$ ) the pronunciation of $o 0$ as a long $\bar{o}$ in flōor and door, also in brōoch.
$\gamma$ ) as a short Engl. ŭ in blŏod and flŏod.
B) In the unaccented syllable oo appears shortened into ŭ: lívelihood, chíldhood, kníghthood.

Oe is to be distinguished from the form $\propto$, united in print, which points to a Greek-latin origin. Oe serves
A) in the accented syllable, to denote the long $\bar{o}: ~ r o \bar{e}$, fōe, tōe, dōe, slōe, hōe; $\overline{\text { on'er }}$ ( $=\overline{\mathrm{o} v e r) ~ i s ~ p r o n o u n c e d ~ s i m i l a r l y . ~}$
Exceptions are the long sound $\bar{u}$ (Engl. $\overline{o o}$ ) in shoe, canóe; and that of the short English $\breve{u}$ in does.
B) Even in the unaccented syllable oe, as long $\bar{o}$, is little reduced in its quantity: féllōe, álōe; as in the compounds róckdōe, místletōe.
©, on the otherhand, for which an English $e$ is often substituted in writing is equal
A) in the accented syllable:

1) to the long $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ (Engl. $\bar{e}$ ) before a vowel, where it constitutes a syllable of itself, and in an open penultimate, as well as in an open syllable before an initial consonant, followed by a double
 Antóci.

Here it is found exceptionally shortened into $\breve{e}(\breve{e})$ in diarrhótic.
2) It is equal to the short 'ě (ě) in many words in the accented antepenultimate or a prior syllable, as in assafótida (compare Engl. fétid), œ́cume̋nical, ©́conőmics. Yet it remains even there a long $\overline{1}$ in less usual words: ©́balus, ©́tylus, even Édipus and Ćcumẻnius.
B) In the unaccented syllable, especially before the accented syllable, it continues similar to the Engl. $\bar{e}$ in the like case: œdéma, Echália.

The concurrence of $\propto$ with a following $i$ and $u$ is found in a few French words: ©i in œiliad, is denoted in pronunciation by the diphthong $\hat{\imath}$ (ei), according to some by the Engl. $\bar{e}(\overline{\mathrm{I}})$, according to others even otherwise; œu in manœuvre sounds $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$, but, among scholars, conformably with the French pronunciation.

0 i and Oy are in the same predicament as $e i$ and $e y, a i$ and $a y$; in the accented syllable they are both mostly diphthongs.

## 0 i

A) is a diphthong in the accented syllable as oi, yet with greater preponderance of a deep $o$, than could be represented by the old and middle Highdutch oi (cf. Moin = Moenus; froide $=$ freude) and is therefore not quite equal to the Highdutch eu. oi is comparable with the combination of the Engl. $a w$ and $\bar{e}$ : oil, oint, moist, voice, adróit, devóid, ávoirdupőis, fóison, hóiden.
In French words not yet assimilated, as devóir, éscritőir, scrutóir, re̋servóir, oir is exceptionally pronounced almost like the English wâr. In turkóis also turquóise and Iroquóis ois is pronounced like īs (Engl. èz); choir sounds like its other form quire.
B) In the unaccented syllable oi is found shortened into a slight 1 , in tórtoise and shámois or chámois (pronounced shāmmi); pórpoise sounds like porpŭs and is sometimes spelt so or pórpess, in ávoirdupoils oir sounds like a rapid ěr. Cónnoissêur is pronounced like cónnāissür.

## $0 y$

A) is a diphthong as $\dot{\theta}$; it belongs essentially to the end of stems: boy, toy, coy, joy, allóy, jóyousness, of course retaining its sound in compounds, as háutboy (pronounced hōboy), víceroy and many others.

## Oa serves

A) in the accented syllable almost always to represent the long $\overline{0}$ : $\overline{\bar{o}} \mathrm{ak}$, mōan, lōaf, pōach, bōat, bōast, cōax; a final $r$ tinges the sound as it does $\bar{o}$ : $\bar{o}$ ar, bōard, cōarse.

It has exceptionally the value of the long ${ }_{a}$ (Engl. aw) in broad, abróad and groat. In the compound óatmeal the vowel sound is heard in common life shortened into $\check{o}$.
B) In the unaccented syllable oa remains a long $\bar{o}$ with a slight loss of quantity: cócō $a$, bézōar. It is often shortened into in $\breve{u}$ in common life in the compound cúpboard.

Ou and ow are in general in the same predicament as $a u$, aw and $e u$, $e w$.

Ou appears
A) in the accented syllable:

1) chiefly as the diphthong áu (whereby is to be observed that many words, ending with $g h, g h t, l$ and $r$ with another consonant, belong, with others to the categories following below): out, ounce, thou, plough, bough, flour, hour, foul, proud, pouch, doubt, mount, pound, mouthe, grouse, lounge, dóughty.
2) $o u$ represents a long vowel, and that in three modes:
a) partly a long $a^{\circ}$ (English aw) in words ending in ght: ought, nought, bought, brought, fought, wrought, thought, methóught, sought, besóught.
Only drought and bought ( $=$ a twist) have áu.
b) partly a long $\bar{o}$ (Engl. $\bar{o}$ ) in syllables ending with a mute $g h$,
$l$ and $r$, mostly with another consonant following: dough, thōugh, trōul (mostly spelt troll), sōul, mōuld, shōulder, smōulder, pōult, pōultry, pōultice, cōulter (also spelt colter); in those in our, o is obscured by the guttural: fōur (also fōurtéen), bōurn, mōurn, cōurt, accōurt, cōurtier, gōurd, gōurdiness, fōurth, cōurse, recōurse, sōurce, resōurce, tōurnament, tōurney.
c) partly as a long $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ (Engl. $\overline{o o}$ ) more rarely in Germanic words, more frequently in French ones which preserve their original sound: óuphe, óuphen, ouse (also spelt ooze), óusel or óuzel, bouse, through, you, your, youth, houp ( $=$ hoopoo, hoopoe), wound (also pronounced with áu), shough! soup, croup, group, cóuchee, capóuch (also spelt capóch), cartóuch, rouge, gouge, bouge, bóugie, accóutre, gout, surtóut, ragóut, sous (also in the unaccented final syllable of réndezvous), agóuti, bóutefeu, route, fóumart, góujeers, tróubadoủr, tour, tóurist, amóur, contóur, cóurier, fóurbe, and many others; bouillon is pronounced boolyŏn.
3) it likewise stands in the place of the three corresponding short sounds:
a) short $\grave{a}$ (Engl. ŏ) in a few words in which gh ends with the sound of $k$ or $f$ in the stem: $g h=k$ shough, (also spelt shock), lŏugh (= lake), hŏugh, to hŏugh; gh $=f$ : lŏugh ( $=$ pret. laughed), trŏugh, cŏugh.
b) short Engl, $\breve{u}$ (between $\check{o}$ and $\ddot{o}$ ), in a few stems ending in $g h$ and $f$ : roŭgh (= rŭff), enóŭgh, toŭgh, sloŭgh, choŭgh; and in ng, nk: yoŭng, yoŭngster, yoŭnker. The same shortening takes place in many words, mostly of French origin, particularly before $r$ in position, but also without it, as well as before $p l$ and $b l$ : adjoŭrn, joŭrnal, joŭrney, toŭrniquet, goŭrnet (also spelt gurnet) coŭrtesan, coŭrtesy, coŭrteous, boŭrgeon, scoŭrge; - noŭrish, floŭrish, coŭrage, encoŭrage; - coŭple, accoŭple, coŭplet; doüble, troŭble; besides in toŭch, joŭst, coŭsin and coŭntry. - The original diphthongs are also thus shortened in hoŭswife (pronounced hŭzwĭf, popularly hr̛zzĭf), as well as groundsel in familiar speech grünsel, and sóuthern, sóutherly sounds like süthern, sŭtherly, sóuthward like súthard, Sóuthwark like sǔthărk. Generally speaking the original diphthong often passes over in dialects into $\breve{u}$ : as in Warwickshire pound, found, ground into pŭn, fŭn, grŭn.
c) short $\breve{u}$ (Engl. oo) in would, should and could.
B) In the unaccented syllable the accentual tinge of the specific sounds is not often maintained without considerable shortening, as in the compound prónoun.

The diphthong áu, especially, is often shortened into $\breve{u}$ in names of places compounded of mouth: Exmouth, Fálmouth, Wéymouth, Sídmouth.

The long $\bar{\sigma}$, which maintains itself in slightly reduced duration, in thórōugh, bórōugh, íntercōurse, becomes essentially weakened with the augmentation of the word: bórough-monger, thóroughness, thórough-going \&c.

In French words the $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$-sound is maintained especially before the accented syllable: Louisa, rouléau, routíne, bouquét, boutáde, fougáde, toupée and toupét, coupée, accouchéur \&c.

The sound appears the most slightly in the terminations ous, ious as a glib $\mathfrak{u}$ : lúminous, rúinous, fámous, jóyous, vírtuous, - ódious, sérious, stúdious; in the termination our arises the dimming peculiar to or: lábour, cándour, sáviour. Moderns also often substitute or for this syllable.

## Ow sounds

A) in the accented syllable

1) usually like the diphthong áu: now, bow, bówels, prow, brow, vow, how, cow; owl, fowl, scowl, lówer, ( $=$ to look black), shówer, howl, cowl, growl; town, down, clown, gown; blowze; Pówel, Hówel \&c., pówder, cóward.
2) a) in other words as a long $\bar{o}$ : mōw, $l_{\bar{o} w, ~ b e l o ̄ w ~(f r o m ~ t h a t ~}^{\text {a }}$ derived $\mathrm{lo}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{wer}=$ to bring lōw), ro w , bōw, blōw, flōw, tōw, trōw, thrōw, sōw, snōw, slōw, stōw, bestōw, shōw (of course with its derivative shōwer), crōw, grōw, glōw, knōw, and $\bar{o} w e$. Only in derivatives is a concluding consonant found: ōwn, flōwn, glōwn, grōwth.
b) the sound of the long $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ is rare: flowk ( $=$ flook) flounder.
3) In a few words ow is equal to a short vowel:
a) it is shortened into o in knowledge;
b) into a short ŭ (Engl. oo) in owler.
B) In the unaccented syllable $o w$ is in general a long $\overline{0}$, with but little loss of quantity: nárrō $w$, fóllō $w$, wínnō $w$, hállō $w$, yéllō $w$, Glásg $\bar{o} w$. But in béllows and gállows the sound of the short $\breve{u}$ is given to ow. With the amplification of the words through subsequent unaccented syllables there arises a similar glib shortness: hóllowness, bórrower; likewise in compounds, as hól-low-eyed, Hállowel; but Hárrōw-gate.
$U$ is divided essentially into sounds with the power of 0 and $u$ and the diphthong iú.
A) In the accented syllable $u$ has
4) the short sound lying between the Highdutch 0 and 0 in the close syllable: plŭm, bŭn, lŭll, dŭll, gŭll, pŭrr, für, bŭt, bŭd, sŭch, Dŭtch, exullt, tŭrf, lŭxury ( $x=c s$ ), ŭsher (sh originally sc and ss), bŭffalo, cưltivate, ŭsquebä̉ugh.

## Exceptionally, $u$ receives

$\alpha$ ) in a series of words mostly in $l l$ or $l$ in position, as well as $s h$, and a few others the sound of the short $\breve{u}$ (Engl. oo): pull, bull, full, púlly, púllet, búlly, búllion, búllet, búlletin, búllace, búllock, fúlling-mill, fúller, fúllery,
fúllage; búlrush, búlwark; púlpit, Fúlham; - push, bush, búshel, cúshion, cúshat; - besides puss; put (but not in the substantive püt=clown), bútcher, púdding; cúckoo and cúcquean.
$\beta$ ) it sounds like a long $\bar{u}$ (Engl. $\overline{o o}$ ) in rūth, trūth.
$\gamma$ ) like the diphthong iú (see below) in impûgn, expûgn, oppûgn, repûgn, propûgn (wherein $g$ is silent) and their inflectional forms and the derivatives in er: oppûgner, not in others, for instance repuggant (with a sounding guttural $g$ ).
2) it appears on the other hand as a diphthong iú in such wise that $\overline{\bar{u}}$ receives the greatest weight in utterance, and $i$ therefore, weakened as a vowel, is in process of being hardened into the consonant $y$, and often (like the unaccented $i$ or $e$ before a second unaccented vowel) uniting with a prior dental, when $\bar{u}$ alone is a vowel, for example süre ( $=$ shōōr). The cases of this sort are mentioned along with the respective consonants.
a) the diphthong iú belongs to every open syllable under the accent: ̂̂nit, pûpil, fû̉my, dûbious, cûbic; exûberant, bûlimy, fûneral, dûplicate, cûbature, cûlinary; fûsilîer, accûmulantion. The $i$ is totally lost after $r$ and $r h$ : rūmour, prūdent, frūgal crūel, rhūbarb; it appears very slightly uttered after $l$ : lûcid, lûdicrous; represented in writing by Smart: l'ōocid, l'ōodicrous; as well as after $i$ : jûry, as it were $j^{\prime} \bar{o} \bar{o} r y$. The pronunciation of cûcumber with the diphthong au instead of iu belongs to the uneducated; yet the first syllable in bŭcanỉer as well as in Bŭchan, passes for short. Many also say pŭmice instead of pûmice.
As exceptions in which $u$ in an open syllable represents short sounds originally foreign to it , the cases are to be considered in which it
a) sounds as a short 1 I: busy, búsily, búsiness compare the Old-Engl. bisyhed, bysischyppe.
$\beta$ ) as a short ĕ: búry, Búry, búrial compare Old-Engl. beriel, beryd ( $=$ buried); dialectically berrin ( $=$ funeral).
${ }^{\gamma}$ ) as a short u: súgar (pronounced shoogar).
b) in the syllable ending in a consonant followed by an organic and unorganic mute $e$ : ûse, mûse, repûte, fûme, dûke, excûse.

Here too the $i$ of the diphthong falls out after $r$ : rūde, abstrūse; after $l$ and $j$ the sligther utterance of $i$ takes place, as, above lûte, Lûke, Jûne. With a prior $y$ consonant $i$ of course coalesces likewise with it completely: yūle.
B) In the unaccented syllable the short and the diphthong $u$ separate. In the syllable closed by a consonant (not followed by $e$ mute) the short sound $\breve{u}$ remains to the $u$, although pronounced more glibly: pŭlmónical, cŭnctátion, pưrlóin, cûcŭmber.

Compounds with the unaccented ful ( $=$ full) preserve the sound of the Highdutch $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$ (Engl. $\check{0}$ ) : gāinf $u l$, hōpef $u l$. It also appears before the accented syllable in hurráh! huzzá! hus sár.

The open diphthong syllable retains in general its accentual tinge with its quantitative weakening, more decidedly before than after the accented syllable: ûnánimous, pûnition; régûlar, distríbutive, constítûent. After an $r$ a feeble intonation of $i$ maintains itself: érûdite, férûla, vírûlent = ér'oodite \&c. A mute $e$ maintains the diphthong clearer: vólûble, réctitûde, púrpûre, óvertûre; yet the termination (s)ure after the accented syllable undergoes the shortening of the close syllable: méasure, léasure, tréasure; so too in cónjure, and similarly in an open syllable in names of places in bury: Sálisbury, Cánterbury. $u$ is reduced even into $i$ in férrule, mínute, léttuce in general intercourse.

Among the compouuds of $u$ with other vowels a few, namely ui uy) and ue serve to represent vowel or diphthongal sounds; in ua and uo uoi (uoy) the $u$, as often with ui and ue, is hardened into a $w$ consonant, or it serves other purposes, as a graphical sign handed down from other tongues.

Ui is employed
A) In the accented syllable in general to denote the diphthong iu (=û): sûit, pursûit, sûitor, sûitably \&c., nûisance, pûisne, and loses after $r$, like $\hat{u}$, its $i$ : brūit, recrūit, frūit, brūise, crūise; $i$ is weakened after $l$ and $j$ : slûice, jûice.

By way of exception it appears instead of the short y in build c. der. Compare Old-Engl bilder = builder (Chaucer).
B) In the unaccented syllable it has the sound of the short í: biscuit, círcuit, círcuitẻer, cónduit.

After $q, u$ commonly stands as a Semi-consonant $w$ : quill, quîb, quĭck, squĭnt, antíquity; except in hárlequin, pálanquỉn, in which $q u=k$. U has almost the same effect after $c(=k)$ in cuiss, cuínage, cuírass. This is also the case after $g$ : guíniad, distínguish, ánguish, extínguish, lánguish, lánguid. After $g, u$ sometimes only serves to indicate the guttural sound before $i$ : guîle, guîde, guîse, guild, guilt, Guíllemot, guínea, guitár. After $s$ we may regard it almost hardened in the word suíte, properly a French word.

Uy sounds in buy like ei.
Else it serves as a half consonant $w$ after $q$ : óbloquy̆, solíloquy.

After $g, u$ is the sign of its guttural sound: Guy, rōguy̆, plāguy̆.

## Ue is likewise

A) in the accented syllable at the end a representative of the diphthong iú: ĥe, cûe; the $i$ is lost after $r: r \bar{u} e$, trūe; it is weakened after $l$ : blûe, glûe, clûe.
B) In the unaccented syllable it represents the same diphthongal final sound slightly shortened: árgûe, ágûe, vírtûe; in íssue $s$ becomes $s h$ through the influence of $i$ before $u e$. With the amplification of the word ue loses the $e$ before another vowel (comp.
íssuer) and passes into the sound of $u$ under similar circumstances. This also happens when $e$ remains before a consonant: íssueless.

After $q$ in the middle of a syllable it commonly represents we: quench, quest, cónquest, quéstion, bánquet; so also after $c(=k)$ in cuérpo, after $g$ : in Guelf, and after $s$ in ássuetude, mánsuetude, désuetude. Ue after $q$ and $g$ also often serves solely to designate the guttural $k$ - and $g$-sound as in piquét, coquétte, cónquer, cónquerer, chécquer, másquerade; guess, guest, guérdon, guérkin (commonly ghérkin). At the end of a syllable ue is, in such a case mute: oblíque, intrígue. See silence of the vowels.

Ua either lets its $u$ pass into a half consonant $w$ after $q, g, s$ as in quálity, ántiquary, guáiacum, guáva, assuáge, persuáde, lánguage; or $u$ serves after $q$ and $g$ to denote its guttural sound as ín píquant, quadrílle, guárantẻe, Antígua, (antēgha); guard and its derivatives, also guárdian.

Uo after $q$ is equal to wo: quóte, quotátion, quóndam, quoth \&c. quo is like co in líquor.

Uoi and uoy are compounds seldom occurring: uoi is found in quoif, quoit, also spelt coif, coit; and Iroquois ( $=\mathrm{k}$ ); uoy in buoy, which is pronounced bwoy and on board ship commonly böy̆.

## Silence of vowels.

We might reckon also as cases of the silence of vowels, those in which of two vowels employed to represent a sound, one suffices to denote the same sound, as in seize (= sēze), wealth (= wĕlth) \&c . The silence of vowels in the narrower sense, as we here apprehend it, is the rejection of vowel sounds in pronunciation which takes place in the unaccented syllable where, in writing, the vowel is nevertheless retained. It rests in general upon the same linguistic process by which the rejection of vowels in written language is conditioned. See below.

It is not however to be always taken as a complete extinction of the vocalization, since the voice here and there retains an almost evanescent vowel sound between the two consonants and even vowels which are to be uttered together. $e$ is in general most subject to rejection. We consider separately the silence at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of the word.

1. At the beginning. The casting off of unaccented vowels is here usually denoted in writing, so that forms like escalop and scallop, escutcheon and scutcheon, estate and state, esquire and squire, espy and spy and others, appear concurrently. In other cases writing makes use of the sign of elision' to indicate vowels cast off at the beginning of a word, by which the misunderstanding often obtains currency, that forms without a prior vowel, which were the original forms but are now abandoned, had arisen only by elision: 'bove along with above is the Anglosax bûfan, OldEngl. bove, as gain in compounds is the Anglosax: preposition gägn
and not an abbreviation; 'fore along with afore $=$ before, Anglosaxon foran (fore is still dialectic); 'gainst along, with against, 'mong, 'mongst along with among, amongst; 'bout along with about Anglosaxon bûtan (bout still dialectic, yet only $=$ without, except, like but) and others. Many rejections, as in 's instead of is and us, ' $t$ instead of $i t$, ' $m$ instead of am, 'rt instead of art, 're instead of are, and many other forms, belong to the glibness of speech; their vowels, although retained in writing, may yet fall off in every day speech or in rapid reading.
2. In the Middle. In the interior of the word $i$ is seldom cast out in pronunciation; thus in búsiness, Sálisbury, Gárdiner, in common life in vénison and in the syllables in and il almost universally in ráisins, básin and cóusin, dévil and évil; but not in látin, púpil, jérkin and others, in which this pronunciation is vulgar. $i$ is also not pronounced in careless pronunciation in órdinary (compare órdnance along with órdinance). $i$ is mute before a vowel in fáshion, cúshion.

The unaccented $e$ is most frequently cast out in final syllables, but also outside of the final syllable in Chéltenham (pronounced Chélt'nam) and together with consonants in wédnesday (pronounced wénzday), Wédnesbury (the pronunciation of wednes has perhaps arisen out of Metathesis, as we at the commencement sec. XVII also find wendsday written) and Wórcester (pronounced Wooster). In the final syllable en, $e$ is commonly not audible after a non-liquid consonant, as, for example in héaven, gárden, léssen, lóosen, hásten, stréngthen, heárken, yet also in bróken, fállen, stólen, swóllen. On the other hand it sounds in áspen, léven, slóven, hýphen, pátten, míttens, márten, súdden, gólden, héathen, dénizen, kítchen, tícken, chícken and the like.

In words in el, $e$ sometimes vanishes before $l$, in the same manner as in words in le after consonants, as táckle, dázzle, especially in words in vel and zel: nāvel, răvel, drǐvel, snĭvel, swĭvel, shrĭvel, shövel, grōvel, èasel, wēasel, oūsel, crĭzzel, shĕkel and chăttel. This silence can here only pass for the exception.

In the inflective syllable ed of verbs $e$ falls oft, exept where a prior $t$ or $d$ of the stem prevents its expulsion: lóved, tálked, pláced, fétched, fóllowed, jústified (but not in prĭnted, ádded).

If participles of this form are used as adjectives (from which dámned forms an exception) e remains audible: a léarned man, a cúrsed thought; likewise in ulterior formations from the participles: amázedly, fórcedly, amázedness, defórmedness. Also in measured delivery, for example, the reading of holy writ, or in prayers, $e$ is made more prominent.

In the inflexional syllable es of nouns and verbs $e$ is mute, except when preceded by the dental letters $s, x(=c s), z, s h, c, c h, g$ which cause a difficulty in the elision: támes, sáves, háres, cánes (on the other hand without elision kísses, bóxes, mázes, áshes, ráces, bénches, cáges). Greek and Latin words form here an exception; see above $e$. $e$ also is rejected in Thames.

In the obsolete inflexion of verbs eth, $e$ was silent even in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century (compare Joh. Wallis Gr. linguae Angl. ed. 3. Hamb.
1672. p. 40), although Shakspeare still frequently treats eth in verse as a complete syllable.

Before a vowel $e$ is mute in serjeant; likewise where it is employed to give the dental sound to $g$ before obscure vowels: págeant, véngeance, Geórge, dúngeon, hábergeon; or to $c$ in a similar position: péaceable, sérviceable. In some words this is also the case after the dental ch: lúncheon, púncheon, trúncheon, scútcheon.

The vowel $a$ is seldom rejected between consonants, as in cár $a$ bîne and together with $u$ in víctual (pronounced vitt'l). Before vowels this sometimes happens after $\check{\imath}$ : márriage, cárriage, míniature, párliament; also after $\hat{\imath}$ in dîamond $a$ is not pronounced in common life. Before o and ou in extraórdinary and caoutchouc (pronounced coochook) it is rejected.

Except in cólonel (pronounced cūrnel) o is scarcely suppressed otherwise than in the final syllable on; where it may be considered as equivalent to an evanescent $\check{e}$, particularly after a prior $t$ and $s$ : mutton, cótton, Bríghton, réason, máson, lésson; yet also after $d$ in: párdon; and gutturals in: bảcon, béckon, réckon.

The vowel $u$ is naught for pronunciation, only when it is added to the guttural $g$ before clear, and seldom before obscure vowels, as well as to $q(=k)$. See $u i$ above. Of its silence in víctual c. der. I have spoken above.

Poetry, as well as the language of common life, often expels uuaccented vowels, which have not been touched upon here. Writing then commonly applies the mark of Elision ('). Poetry also frequently superfluously casts out the by itself mute vowel: thus, frequently the $e$ from ed in the verb, except with a preceding $t$ or $d$ : endu'd, fum'd, reign'd, revil'd, reviv'd, pleas'd, disgrac'd, provok'd, fabl'd, plann'd, serv'd, drench'd, lodg'd, confess'd, ask'd, perplex'd \&c. (Cowper Poems Lond. 1828). Even in Spencers age the drama only rarely used ed as a complete syllable, whereas lyric poetry offered still more numerous examples. Even the attributive participle is thus shortened, especially the proparoxytones: His powder'd coat; the feather'd tribes; the scatter'd grain; his alter'd gait (Cowper); yet also other forms: His arch'd tail's azure (id); ye curs'd rulers (Otway); the turban'd Delis; no high-crown'd turban (Byron Bride of Abydos). The verbal termination est, except with a prominent sibilant, had, even in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century, a mute $e$ in poetry, although Spencer frequently uses the complete syllable. It commonly appears with an elided $e$ : speak'st, look'st, talk'st, think'st (OwTAY Venice preserved Lond. 1796), stand'st, seem'st, hold'st (Cowper); see'st; dar'st, know'st (L. Byron). Even the $e$ of the superlative termination is cast out thus with proparoxytones: wicked'st, damned'st, pleasant'st, wholesom'st (Shakspeare ed. Collier), cruel'st (Otway). Lastly, in poetry an unaccented vowel betwixt consonants is frequently cast out after a short, and also after a long vowel, especially before $r$ and $n$. The following are examples from Cowper:
$r:$ gen'rous, op'ra, lib'ral, diff'rence, ev'ry, rev'rend, sov'reign, int'rest, flatt'ry, blund'rer; - av'rice; - mem'ry, am'rous, rhet'ric, vig'rous.
after diphthongs and long vowels: loit'rer; - bound'ry; - iv'ry, hum'rous; - num'rous, scen'ry, should'ring, dang'rous; - lab'ring, neighb'ring, fav'rite, sav'ry.
$n$ : list'ning; - heav'nly, mulb'rry, reck'ning, pris'ner.
after diphthongs and long vowels: pois'ning, op'ning, ev'ning, chast'ning.
This is rarely the case before other consonants, as in en'my, ven'son, Abr'ham.

These iustances are, properly, proparoxytones, yet other words also belong here, as heav'n, ev'n; the participles giv'n, ris'n, fall'n, stol'n. Of scarcely different nature is the substitution of an $e$ cast out immediately after diphthongs in: bow'r, flow'r, tow'r and many more, since this crasis, like those elisions, only imparts a graphical fixity to the process which is going on in popular pronunciation.

Another sort of shortening, particularly of proparoxytones, not so much by casting out as by the hardening of one unaccented vowel before another, a process often shared by poetry with the speech of common life, must also find a place here.

To metrical licenses namely belongs the disregard of the short vowels $i, e$, and even of the diphthong unaccented $u(=i \breve{u})$ before a following vowel, by which especially the compounds of $y i, i e, i a, i o$; $e a$, eo; ui, ua, uo in terminations like ying, ien, ient, ience, ier, iet, ian, iant, ial, iate, iage, io, ion, ior, iot, ean, eo, eon, eor, uing, uant, uance, uous and others come into consideration, which in verse may appear as monosyllabic endings of words. This long known synizesis, permitted in modern English poetry in the widest extent (See Tycho Mommsen, Shaksp. Romeo and Juliet. Oldenburg 1859 p. 118) is based upon this; that $i$ and $e(=i)$ as well as $u$, in the glibness of utterance lose the vowel sound, and pass over into the halfvowels $y$ ( $j$ ) and $w$, whereby the dactyl is readily transformed into the trochee. Cultivated speech has gradually appropriated this transformation, so natural to popular language more and more in refined intercourse, so that at present the pronunciation of alien (ălyĕn), brilliant (brilyānt), dominion (dōmínyŏn), as well as the blending of the $i$-sounds with preceding dentals (see below) whose hissing sounds at the end of the sixteenth century still seemed totally strange, and at present are still often reproved by orthoepists, has become a universal custom in the speech of educated persons. Synizeses certainly remain in verse, as: cárrying, búrying, glórious, méteor, Aéthiop, Mántua, tempéstuous and others, whereas in words in iage and others, as above observed, the synizesis has already transformed itself into a complete rejection of the second vowel.
3. At the End. The silence at the end of the word concerns the $e$, which is, partly; organic, that is to say, the remnant of a
primitive final syllabe ending in a vowel or a consonaut; or, inorganic, that is to say, without a basis in Etymology. In many words, especially those ending in $l e$, re after a mute consonant $e$ has arisen by metathesis from el, er. The organic $e$ has been in many cases rejected, the inorganic in many cases added: the fluctuation is in this respect sec. XIV, uncommonly frequent. In modern English $e$ after a simple or a mute and liquid consonant has been preserved or added, mostly after the long vowel, and its part is therefore, though mute, to serve for a sign of the prolongation of the syllable now euding with a consonant sound: pāne, scēne, hēre, ōre, glēbe, wēave, griēve, āble, idle, trifle, mētre; even after a long syllable not accented: thēatre. $e$ even stands after a short vowel, and after a mute and liquid consonant: ripple, rüffle, răttle, drĭzzle. It is rare after two other consonants, as after $s t$ : taste; except in unassimilated foreign words, as banquette \&c. and a few others, as childe (along with chîld). After a simple consonant, it sometimes stands, partly unorganically, after the accented syllable: ăte, băde, hăve, dóve, glóve, lóve, cóme, óne, nóne; wẻre. It frequently concludes unaccented derivative syllables: rápine, exténsive, préssure.

For exceptions in Greek and Lat. words, see above, e.
After $c$ and $g$ it serves, either with or without a previous second consonant, after a long or a short vowel, although arising organically or by methathesis, to designate the dental sound of those gutturals: piēce, siēge; prĭnce; hënce, scŏnce, hĭnge, bĭlge, lĕdge, lŏdge, brĭdge; so too after $n g$ and a long syllable: chānge. After th it becomes significant of the soft th: brěath - brēathe.

It stands in union with $u$ after $q$ and $g$ in the French mode: pique, antíque, risque, casque, mosque; fatígue, plague, cátalogue, rogue, harángue, tongue.

This mute $e$ also remains mute, when preserved before consonants in the amplification of the stem through derivation or composition: crime - crímeful; confíne - confíneless, confínement; sole - sóleness, sólely; arránge - arrángement; lodge lódgement; note - nótebook. Exceptions are formed by whólly, áwful, and, if we reckon ue here; dúly, trúly, in which $e$ falls out. Some also spell judgment, abridgment, acknowledgment instead of judgement \&c. After gutturals, which have become dental it stands as a mute letter even before obscure vowels: nótice - nóticeable; lodge - lódgeable; cóurage - courágeous.

## Consonants in General.

The consonant is formed by the action of the moveable organs, the lips, the tongue and the throat, the breath which renders the formation of sound possible being modified either through the lips, on the teeth or in the throat. Thus we distinguish lipsounds, toothsounds, and throatsounds (Labials, Dentals, Gutturals).

If, in the production of the consonant, the mouth is completely closed and again opened at any definite place, the consonant is called
explosive, is divided or divisible in its production, and may therefore, under certain circumstances, in collision with others, or at the end of the syllable be shortened by its latter half. If, in the pronunciation of the consonant a mere approximation of the organs takes place, without an interruption of the vocal breath, the consonant is fricative, or is audible as friction, and therefore uninterrupted, or continuous. The liquid consonants, or melting sounds, $l$ and $r$; $l$ produced by the partial closure and the slight pressure of the lip of the tongue, and $r$ produced by vibration, and the tremulous movement of the tongue or the palate (dental and guttural $r$ ), partake of both qualities. The nasals, $m$ and $n$, belong according to the place of their origin, to the labial or to the dental letters, and are, in the mode of their production, at the same time explosive, but, a simultaneous opening of the channel of the nose (the nostrils) taking place, they become nasal. Inasmuch as they can be made to sound continuously they have been reckoned among the liquids. Semivowels, that is to say, sounds formed unter the cooperation of the consonantal organs, while the voice, in commencing to form a vowel, does not set the glottis in decided vibration, are $w$ and $y$.

A representation of the phonetic relations of consonants in modern English in the respects above stated, is contained in the following table:

|  | Nasals | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Li}- \\ \text { quids } \end{gathered}$ | Interru explo | ted or sive | Uninterrupted or continuous | Semivocal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lipsounds | m |  | hard p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { soft } \\ & \text { b } \end{aligned}$ | $\|$hard soft <br> $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ph}, \mathrm{gh}$ v | w (u) wh |
| Toothsounds | n | 1 r | t | d | $\left\|\right.$Lisping sounds  <br> th th <br> Hissing sounds <br> s, c $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}$ <br> Sibilants  <br> ch, sh, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} \mid \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}$ $\|$ | $\square$ |
| Throatsounds | ng | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mid c, k, q u$, | g, gh, | h | y (i) |

A compound of the throat and the toothsound is $x=c s$ and $g s$; its $s$ may therefore pass into the sibilant.

## General Observations.

The representation of sounds by different consonants and combinations of consonants rests partly on the mixture of the Anglosaxon and the French modes of representation, partly on the retention of sounds, justified etymologically, but whose pronunciation has changed. The representation of various sounds by the same sign springs partly from the same cause, but on the other hand, in part, from the becoming identical of vocal signs originally different.

1) Lipsounds. The introduction of the sound $v$, along side of $w$, the latter of which correspouds to the Anglosaxon $v(w)$, is to be ascribed to the influence of the French. The combination wh is, properly, a composite sound. It is the inverse of the Anglosaxon $h v$, with the retention of the ancient succession of sounds, unless $w$ is silent (who $=\mathrm{hu}$ ). On the unwarranted $w h$, see below. gh as $f$ is retained etymologically, although phonetically transformed.
2) Among toothsounds the initial dental and the final guttural $r$, either with or without other consonants are to be distinguished (right and her, hard). The hard and the soft th, two lispingsounds corresponding to the Anglosaxon $p$ and $\delta$ (at is were $t h$ and $d h$ ) although no longer strictly divided into the initial, the medial and the final, are both often expressed as in the later English by th, so in OldEnglish by $p$ concurrently with th, as in Rob. of Gloucester pis, per, pou, Bape, oper, wollep, bep, forp. The $s$ is divided into a hard and a soft hissing sound (sister and his). The $c$ of the same sound before clear vowels (certain, cancer) is to be ascribed to the Romance influence. The Anglosaxon seems not to have known the sound $z$, which is also represented by $s$ (frozen, zeal; wisdom, bosom) as it also rarely employs the sound $z$ instead of $\delta$. Moreover $z$ in the middle of Gothic words seems to have been soft, as $s$ seems everywhere to have been hard. The sibilant $c h$ is frequently met with in non-Germanic as well as in Anglosaxon words. As distinguished from $s h, t$ is prefixed to the former, except in modern French words. $s$ and $t$ are equivalent to the sibilant $s h$ in those cases where the sound of $y$ hardened into a consonant is developed out of $i$ or $e$ (also $\hat{u}=i \dot{u}$ ) and blends with it (mănsion $=$ manshon, nâuseous = naush'ous, nation $=$ nāshon, sūre $=$ shūre, cĕnsure $=c$ ĕnshur). To these hard sibilants are opposed the soft $j, g$ (under French influence) and then $s, z$, in which $y$ developed out of clear vowels unites with the dental. The dental $d$ is placed phonetically bcfore the sibilants $j$ and $g$. The Anglosaxon sound $j$, which we find interchanging with $g$, ge and ige, answers only to the English $y$. In the case specified English orthoepists denote the sound of $s$ and $z$ by $z h$, as opposed to sh vǐsion $=$ vizhon, plĕasure=plëazhur, răzure= răzhur). In Old-English the sound sh is often found represented by $s c h$, also by $s s h$.
3) The nasal $n g$ cited among the throatsounds is the sound in which $n$ is affected by a guttural. $n$ experiences a similar affection before gutturals in general (vanquish, anxious). See more particularly below. The Anglosaxon $c$-sound for which the $k$, frequent in Gothic and Anglosaxon was seldom substituted, is now often representcd by $k$, and the guttural $c h$, appearing chiefly in non-Germanic words, shares the same sound, to which also the Latin romance $q n$ (conquer) partly corresponds, being, on the other hand, equivalent to the Anglosaxon $c v$ (quick). To this hard guttural is opposed the soft $g$, which at times becomes known as such by a suffixed $h$ or $u$ ( $g h, g u$ ), while $g u$ (analogous to $q u=c v$ ) replaces the combination of $g v$ (distinguish). The $h$ is hardly ever preserved phonetically save at the commencement of Germanic and non-Germanic words, although it seems in Anglosaxon to have sounded strongest
and to have been partly equivalent to the Highdutch ch, precisely where in English it has completely disappeared. The Old-English often employed for $g$ and $y$ the Anglosaxon 3, which, strange to say, is often rendered in modern copies by $z$.

Among the English consonants $j$ can never end a syllable; $v$, as well as the dental $c$ and $g$ appear only with a following mute $e, g$ with ue at the end of a syllable.

## The pronunciation of consonants in detail.

1) The nasal and the liquid sounds $m, n, l, r$.
m at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of a syllable, sounds like the Highdutch $m$ : man, márry, compláint, ambítion, immórtal, ímitate, claim, form.

The words formerly spelt compt, accómpt, comptrōl, comptróller are at present spelt count, accóunt, contróll, contróller, and the former, when they occur, pronounced like the latter. The first two answer to the Latin: computare, Old-French conter, cunter, in modern French dissimilated into compter and conter ( $m$ becomes $n$ before the dental). The latter come from the French contrôle ( $=$ contre-rôle Lat. rotulus).

The final $m$ appears doubled in mumm, wherein only one $m$ sounds.
$\mathbf{n}$ has in general the sound of the Highdutch n: nail, enforrce, enjóy, éngine, énmity, hen, hand, finch, discérn. In Banf and Pontefract $n$ is pronounced like $m$ ( $=$ bamf, pomfret) as the latter is also sometimes written.

Before gutturals $n$ assumes in general the sound of the Greek $\gamma$ or the Gothic $g$ before a guttural (compare Gothic briggan, paghjan), which we are wont to represent by $n g$ and which we denote by $n^{*}$ ): úńcle, ink, mónkey, bánquet, ánguish, cónger.

In these cases $n$ is on the one hand tinged with a guttural, but on the other hand also the guttural becomes audible at the end or the beginning of a subsequent syllable; compare: in-k, con-ger, En-gland.

To this, however, exceptions are found. In syllables ending in $n g$ the guttural $n$ is alone heard, without the aftersound $g: \sin (g)$, lon(g), bóilin (g), although dialectically, for example, in the NorthEast of England $g$ is sounded after it (kin-g, lon-g). In derivatives from such stems also ${ }^{\prime} n$ alone continues audible: $\sin (\mathrm{g})$ in $(\mathrm{g})$, $\sin (\mathrm{g})$ er, win $(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{y}$, youn $(\mathrm{g})$ ster. Yet here again the comparatives and superlatives from long, strong, young (lón-ger, yóun-gest) form an exception, an anomaly blamed by some orthoepists.

In words whose stem syllable ends in ing, the convenience of pronunciation often completely extirpates the guttural tinge of the derivative syllable, so that we hear síngin, bringin spoken, a

[^0]natural bias to dissimilation of syllables, which is nevertheless justly blamed.

In composition' a syllable ending in $n$ undergoes before a guttural no guttural tinge (compare vánguard; otherwise, where the composition no longer comes into consciousness: Lincoln $=$ Lindum colonia, pronounced Lin-kun). Yet in prefixes ending in $n$ the exception takes place that they assume the sound $\dot{n}$ under the principal accent: cónquer, cónquest, cóngress, cóngruent, ínchoate, ínquinate; con even under the subordinate accent: cóncoảgulate; but in regard to the prefix in there is no consistency or agreement íncome, íncrease, íncreate, ínclavated, ínquest being denoted as the usual pronunciation. In the unaccented syllable every guttural tinge is removed: congrúity, inclément, unquíet. This happens even in other unaccented syllables, as in august.

Final $n$ is seldom doubled. (Compare inn) where it sounds like a single $n$.

1 has the sound of the Highdutch l: lamb, plúral, blue, slang, climb, soil, fault, bulk. It sounds after a consonant before a mute $e$, as in pēople, tāble, trîfle; shuttle; see above. A final double $l$, which is usual at the end of monosyllablic words, is not to be distinguished from a simple l: kill, full, all; therefore in compound words the $l l$ of the stem becomes a final single $l$ without any sacrifice of sound: fulfíl, wílful, withál, hándful. ll also, in immediate contact with a subsequent consonant, (also with a mute $e$ between) sounds as a single $l$ : kill'd; as $l l$ only sounds as a single $l$ before a clear vowel hardened into $y$ : búllion ( $=$ boolyon). Even a strongly aspirated initial double $l l$ is like the single $l$ : Llandáff, Llanélly. (The Celtic sound is represented in English by ll or llh.). Moreover $l l$ in the middle of words, before vowels sounds at once as the final sound of the prior and as the initial sound of the subsequent syllable: allý, bíllow, fóllow.
$l$ is exceptionally pronounced like $r$, this often arises out of an $l$ : in cólonel (pronounced cŭrnel) in Spencer also coronel (comp. Span. coronel, French colonel), and in Cashalton (pronounced cāshor'tn).
$r$ is either dental or guttural (see above):
a) dental at the commencement: run, rose; also in combination with other consonants: pride, bride, fresh, try, draw, spread, stride, crown, grow. When in the middle of a word $r$ begins a syllable after a short vowel. it becomes by attraction at the same time the final sound of the previous syllable, and therefore apparently doubles itself, so that $e$ commences with a guttural sound and sounds on with the succeeding syllable as a dental: pĕril (like per-ril), fŏrest, băron. Even after long vowels, when it begins the following syllable, it has a guttural influence on that vowel: várious, sérious, fúry.
b) guttural at the end of a syllable even with subsequent consonants: fir, her, star, cur, múrmur; hear, air, door; cóbler, cóllar, árbor; herb, earth, pearl, lord, hurt, worm,
work, turf. This is also naturally the case where $r$ is followed by a mute $e$ : fire, here, ware, shore, pure; jóinture. At the end, with another preceding consonant, it produces, as it were, a metathesis of the re and has the guttural sound: the etre, mássacre, sépulchre, $=$ théater, or -tur \&c. The same metathesis appears in îren = îurn, āpron = āpurn, in common life also in chíldren, húndred and the like.

Uneducated persons let the $r$ entirely disappear in words like hard, lord. The broad guttural pronunciation of the $r$, called burr in the throat, is peculiar to the northern dialects.

Double $r$ in the middle of a word places the guttural and the dental $r$ beside each other, the former, however, essentially softened, unless it comes from a stem ending in $r$, as in stárry of star, on which account the former does not essentially affect the rowel; at the end, where it is equivalent to a single guttural $r$, it is only used exceptionally: err, serr (=serry), purr.
2) The Lipsounds $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f},(\mathrm{ph}, \mathrm{gh}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$, (wh).
p sounds in general like the Highdutch p: píty, pebble, págan, pound, pure, play, prince, up, damp, slept.

In common life $p$ is assimilated to a subsequent $b$, in cúpboard; in ráspberry (pronounced rasberry) we may regard $p$ as completely rejected on account of the collision of three consonants. Thus too it is assimilated to the succeeding $p h$ in: Sáppho, sápphic, sápphire, pronounced Sáffo. The softening of the $p$ into $b$ occurs in pother, which, according to this corrupted pronunciation is also spelt bother.
b has the sound common to the Germanic tongues at all parts of the word: báby, blow, broad, bob, gobble, barb.

Double $b$ at the end is only exceptional: in ebb.
$f$ has the sound of the Highdutch $f$ : fáncy, fly, friend, múffin, chíefly, áfter, thief, wife, calf, craft.

Double $f$ at the end of polysyllabic words after a short vowel is usual with some trifling exceptions, even polysyllables have $f f$ : off, cliff, staff, plaíntiff, caítiff, wherein $f f$ sounds like a single $f$.

In the unique particle of, $f$ sounds like $v$, but not in composition; as thereóf, whereóf \&c.

The sound of $f$ is also represented by $p h$, corresponding to the Greek ", which has passed through the Latin and the Romance. The Anglosaxon seldom has $p h$ (philosoph, pharisee with farisee). In Old-English $f$ and $p h$ alliterate: Fare wel $P h$ ippe and Faunteltee (Piers Ploughm.p. 205). In Modern-English they are likewise interchanged; gulph and gulf, Guelphs and Guelfs: philósopher, phósphor, phrase, phlegm.
$v$ appears softened into $v$ in Stéphen, Old-French Estevenes, Hollandish Steven, Old-English Steuene (Rob. of Gloucester), also sec. XVI Steuen (Jack Jugler c. 1562.) and in néphew, OldEnglish neuew, the French neveu alongside of the Anglosax. nëfa; some orthoepists demand here the pronunciation of $f$ as $f$. Thus in writing also náphew and návew (Lat. napus, French navet) stand alongside of each other.

Before th $p h$ transform itself into the sound $p$ (unless it is altogether silent, see below): náphtha, díphthong, ophthálmic. Moderns demand here in diphthong and others the pronunciation dif, so inconvenient before the lisping sound th.
$g h$ also sometimes represents the $f$-sound, yet only after $a u$ and ou and in a short syllable in the words draugh (also spelt draff) draught (also draft) laugh, láughter; = chough (pronounced chŭf) clough (pronounced clŭf), Brough (pronounced brüf), cough (pronounced cơf), enough (enüf), rough (pronounced rŭf), slough (pronounced slŭf in the substantive "shakesskin"), tough (pronounced tŭf), trough (pronounced trơf), chíncough (pronounced chíncơf), Loúghborough (pronounced lŭf-bŭr-ŏ). Usually thus even in the seventeenth century. Instead of híccough ( $=$ híccơf) híccup is also written.
$\mathbf{v}$ always has the sound of the Highdutch $\mathbf{w}$ or the French $v$ : vain, válley, vélvet, love.
$\mathbf{w}$ as a consonant commences (as distinguished from the Highdutch $\mathbf{w}$ ) almost like a vowel, and at the same time leans like a consonant, on the subsequent vowel, so that it may be compared in some measure with the combination uw. It is never a final consonant sound, and only tolerates dentals ( $t, d, s$ ) as audible consonants before it: wait, wáyward, twice, dwell, swállow (compare qu $=\mathrm{cw})$.

In combination with $h$ as $w h$, the $h$ before it sounds (unless it is wholly silent) $=h w$ Anglosaxon $h v$ : which, whet, why,
3. The toothsounds $\mathbf{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{th}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z}, \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{s h}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{g}$.
t has primarily and in general
a) the sound of the Highdutch $t$, when at the beginning of a word it toterates only $r$ and $w$ after it, $m$ only in Greek words: tmésis; term, take, tráitress, twist, tempt, tent, hilt, art, rapt, drift, mast, text, act, settle; with silent letters before it: debt, fraught.

Its reduplication at the end is rare: butt, smitt.
b) but it often experiences, like other dentals, an influence through an unaccented vowel following it, $i, e$ (and the $i$ preceding in $\hat{u})$ when this is followed by another vowel: ie, ia, io and $u$ ( $=i u$ ), ea, eo. As in such combinations the $i$-sound has a decided bias to harden into a semivowel, so the dental has the tendency to combine with it, by which a hissing sound, either hard or soft, may arise. To retain the $i$ in such cases as a $y$ consonant, as is prescribed by many orthoepists of the more solemn style, offends, in many cases at least, against an universal usage.

It is moreover to be remarked that, before Germanic terminations, such as the comparative $i-e r, t$ is maintained pure: míghtier, pítiest, and only Romance terminations are considered.
a) $t-i$ appears as a hard sibilant tsch :
$\kappa$ ) in conversational language when $s$ or $x$ precedes the $t$ :chrístian, fústian, celéstial, quéstion, míxtion; when,
however, the $t$ is wont to be attracted by the last syllable: pronounced christ-sh'an, celést-sh'ăl, kwest-shŭn, míxt-shŭn. The more solemn pronunciation is declared to be celést-yăl and so forth, particularly with the termination ian.
$\beta$ ) further, where $t-i$ arises from the combination of $t$ with the terminations eous, une, ure, ual: ríghteous, fórtune, créature, spirítual, pronounced rî-ch'us, fórt-shoon, crēetsh'oor, spirit-sh'ooal; in the termination uous this is rare. The $t$ is moreover here, as above, attracted after a short vowel or a close syllable. Here, too, the maintenance of $i u$ or $y u$ passes for the more solemn pronunciation.
b) as a soft sibilant, and thus usually in the Romance derivative terminations, ient, ia, ial, iate, ion, ious by universal agreement: pátient, milítia, pártial, sátiate, méntion, cáutious, pronounced pāsh'ent, mēlísh'ă, pársh'āl \&c.

In the pronunciation of Latin words like ratio, the $i$ is still suffered to sound separately: rā-shēō, as well as in words in iate after a long syllable; sátiate pronounced sāshēāte.

In the cases cited the sibilant of enurse remains even after the amplification of the words by other derivative terminations, as in pártiälity, rátional de. If, however, the $i$ is accented, the fusion ceases: satîety, and $t$ sounds like $t$.

In words in -ier the more solemn style does not permit the transformation of $t i$ into sh: cóurtier (cóurt-yer).
d corresponds
a) with its soft sound, in general to the Highdutch initial $d$, and, like $t$, only tolerates $r$ and $w$ after it at the beginning of a word: din, do, draw, dwell, bándage, kíndred, kind, bold, drúnkard, léarned, drudge.
b) it hardens into $l$ in the verbal inflection $e d$, when $e$ is silent, and it is preceded by the hard consonants $p, k, f, g h(=f)$, the sharp hissing sounds $s, c$ and $x(=e s)$, or the sibilants $c h, s h$ : drípped, râked, rácked, stúffed, cóughed, chāsed, pássed, plāced, perpléxed, snátched, láshed. The physiological reason of this pronunciation has produced the phonetic style of spelling, frequent in Old-English, common in modern English, yet in modern times of very confined use, such as whipt, hēapt, askt, crost, fixt, punisht, watcht.
c) In the pronunciation of common life $d$, like $t$, with a subsequent unaccented $i, e$ (also in $u$ as $i u i$ ) hardened into a semivowel, enters into a combination before a second vowel, which as a soft sibilant, is denoted by $j(=d g)$. Walker prescribes this usage as the rule; others admit it only in the most frequent words, whereas they pretend to preserve to others the semiconsonant $y$ : sóldier (sōl-jer), insídious, hídeous (hid'-zh’us), grándeur, árduous, vérdure \&c.; even in éducate we hear $d u$ sometimes as $d z h$. A pure $d$ with a subsequent feebly hardened $i$ ( $y$ ) seems almost always to pass for the more correct pronunciation. The transformation of an initial $d$, before accented vowel
generally, into $j$ is provincial, as in Warwickshire: duke, dead, deal \&c. (= juke, jed \&c.)
th, a lispingsound, wanting in Highdutch, produced by a breathing forced between the tongue and the teeth, after the tongue has been laid between the rows of teeth, appears, when the breath is slightly vocalized, as a hard, when not, as a soft th. Even the Gothic $p$ may pass for an aspirated $d$ : the Anglosaxon $p$ and $d$ are the origins of their double tinge.
a) the hard th therefore corresponds to the Anglosaxon $p$, Islandic $p$ and Modern-Greek
") At the beginning of words thick, thank, théatre, throat, thwart.
Except the personal pronoun of the second person and the demonstrative pronouns, together with the forms and particles derived therefrom, in which th is always soft: thou, thee, thine, thy, the, this, that, they, them, these, those, their, then, than, though, thus, there, thither.
In composition the hard sound remains to th: athírst, athwárt, bethúmp, bethráll \&c.
$\beta$ ) At the end: filth, sheath, death, mouth, zénith. In eighth, instead of eightth the $t$ has a twofold function, as $t$ and as an element of the sound $t h$.
Except those ending with the soft th: benēath, undernēath, booth and smooth adjective and verb, the particles with also in all its compounds, and verbs which sound like a noun (for dissimilation), as mouth, wreath and the like, although these are frequently spelt with a mute $e$.

Before an inflectional $s$, th is softened: paths, mouths, oaths.
$\gamma$ ) in the middle of words originally Greek and Latin: Áthens, cátholic, órthodox, áuthor, likewise in Lútheran; in words originally Anglosaxon before and after a consonant: sóuthly, fílthy.
Except words originally Anglosaxon in which th is preceded by $r$. In this case th is soft: fárthing, fárther, fárthest, wórthy c. der., nórthern, búrthen (also búrden), fúrther, múrther (commonly murder). Also in bréthren the soft pronunciation of brother is retained, as -ren is also metathetically pronounced like ern.
b) The soft $t h$, equal to the Anglosaxon $p$ and the Modern-Greek $\delta$ at the beginning of a word, occurs at the beginning and in the middle of words only exceptionally (see above). But it is always found in the middle of words not originally Greek or Latin between vowels: híther, thíther, éither, néither, togéther, féather, fáther, móther, bróther, sóuthern.

In bróthel it sounds hard.
c) It sounds as a simple $t$ in Thámes, Thómas, thŷme; also with $p h$ at the beginning of a word, when $p h$ is silent: phthísis ( $=$ tî-cĭs), phthísic (= tizz-zick), phthísicāl \&c.; also in the
middle between $s$ and $m$ : Ísthmus, ásthma, also after a single $s$ : Ésther, Demósthenes, likewise in Ánthony.
$t$ and $h$ are moreover to be divided, as final and initial letters respectively, in compounds, as: Chátham (chát-ham), Wítham and others.
s represents a hard, or sharp, and a soft hissing sound, and becomes by means of the following vocalization a hard or soft hissing sound.
a) is a sharp hissing sound, like the French sharp $s$ or $\varsigma$ :
«) at the beginning of all words: sea, sýstem, so, súmmer, smart, snail, slash, spade, sway, stab, skim, scar, school, squab, split, sprig, struggle, scratch.
Except sûre, súgar, wherein $s$ sounds like $s h$ (see below). Also in the compounding of notional words an initial $s$ retains its sharp sound: séaside, pőlysýllable, lóvesong, mídsummer, góspel (= god-spel), quícksilver. Therefore also in Thomson (-son =son), as well as in those compounded of some $=$ Highdutch sam.

In composition whith particles ending in vowels or consonants the subsequent initial $s$ is in general sharp: a súnder, besíege, fóresight, cosécant, párasite, prôsecute, ínside, unséen, obséss.
In cousin, the composition of which (consobrinus), is no longer perceived, the rule for the middle of a word is observed.

There is uncertainty with some particles: after $a b s$ is soft in absolve c. der., yet not in ábsolute c. der. and ábsolủtion; after ob in obsérve c. der.

This is particularly the case after re, pre and de, after which an initial $s$ with a vowel following, according to the rule for the middle of a word, is soft. Yet here logical considerations have been suffered to prevail in part.

After re $s$ is sharp especially when it adds the meaning "again" to the stem, when the consciousness of particle and stem is maintained clear; hence sharp in: reséat, reséize, reséll, resénd, reséttle, resil, résalüte, résurprīse, résurve̋y \&c. On the other hand soft in: resíst, résidue, resíde, resémble, resènt ( $=$ to take ill), resolve, resound ( $=$ to echo), resúlt, resúme \&c.

The sharp or the soft $s$ corresponds therefore to notional differences, as in resóund (to sound again) and resóund ( $=$ to echo); resígn ( $=$ to sign again) und resígn ( $=$ to give up).

Nevertheless the sharp $s$ has been preserved, where the meaning "again" is not present: reséarch (French recherche and rechercher $=$ to inquire, inquiry), résipiscence, resóurce, resúpinate. On the other hand the soft $s$ is to be met with where that meaning is near, in résurre̋ction.

After pre the sharp $s$ appears when the former expresses decidedly the meaning "before": presígnify, présuppőse, présurmíse, présage and to preságe, preséntiment,
présensätion, on the other hand preside, preserve, presúme, présent, présence with the soft $s$.

After $d e$ the initial $s$ is sharp, when a decidedly negative meaning belongs to it: desíderate to lack, to miss; desíccate dry up; désinent extreme, ceasing, ending; desípient silly, desíst leave off, désecrate profane, desúme borrow, désuetude disuse, désultory unsteady; desúlphurate take out the brimstone, désynőnomize.

Yet a sharp $s$ is found in desídiose, properly, enduring, sluggish, and désuda̋tion, properly, sweating away, strong sweat. désignate c. der. and desígn c. der. with a sharp 8 are striking, although usage fluctuates with desígn.

The rest of the compounds with de have the soft $s$, as desire, deserve \&c.
$\beta) s$ (and the frequent $s s$ ) are sharp in general at the end of a word, without a mute $e$ after it, unless this $s$ arises from inflection: this, yes, us (not the inflectional $-s$, compare Anglosax. dative and accus. us, Gothic unsis, uns), thus, Léwis, Páris, metrópolis, gas, bías, píous.

In further formation or composition this $s$ commonly follows the laws of the $s$ in the middle of a word, therefore is soft between vowels and before certain consonants (see below): cf. gas and gasómeter; similarly also a sharp $s$ before $e$ : gósling (from goose), húsband (from house).

On the other hand, in the prefix mis, as in trans the $s$ always remains sharp (unless transformed into the sibilant by subsequent vowels, whereas dis in various regards has the sharp or the soft $s$. Its $s$ is sharp, when the subordinate accent lies upon dis: dísobëy, dísagre̋e; when the following syllable begins with a hard consonant: díscipline, dispátch, disfígure, distúrb, discrówn, dishábit; before the $u$ diphthong: disúse, disúnion, $s$ before the accented syllable beginning with a vowel is soft: diséase, disórder; also with a mute $h$ : dishónest; or with a soft consonant: dismántle, dislíke, disróot, disdáin, disguíse \&c. In discérn c. der. (pronounced dizzérn) and dissolve c. der. $s$ is likewise soft. In dísmal is dis not the same prefix.

## Except:

a) as (comp. whereas) and was. [In has and is an inflectional letter appears, as in his, and analogously ours, yours, theirs.]
b) words in $s$ from the ancient tongues, and not preceded by a mute $e$ : spécies, séries, cáries, Móses, Diógenes.
c) words in $s$, before which stands a mute $e$ after a soft consonant: besídes, whíles, Jámes, Jónes, Chárles, Réeves.
d) words in $s$, immediately preceded by a soft consonant: lens, Símmons, Tibbs, needs, tówards.
$\gamma) s$ is sharp in the middle of a word when it doubles itself, as
well as when it encounters another consonant. This is good also for the $s$ sounding with another consonant before a mute $e$ : préssing, assássinate; hóspital, síster, ránsom, párson, típsy; pulse, verse, nurse.

## Except:

a) among words with ss: posséss, scíssors, hussár, and hússy, mísseldine (comp. c) and the compound dessért (compare above dis), wherein $s s$ is soft; and those wherein $s s$ or $s$ before vowels passes into a sibilant.
b) before and after $m, s$ is soft: whímsey, crimson, dámsel; - cosmétic, cósmical, prísm, críticism.
c) before $l, r, b, d, s$ is soft after a vowel: grísly, Íslamism, Íslington, múslin, Ísraelite, Lísbon, Lésbia, Búsby, wísdom, Désdemőna.

Also in místletoe, wherein $t$ is cast out in pronunciation, $s$ is so in mísseldine (of like meaning) compare Old norse mistiltein; on the other hand not in the like rejection of the $t$ in nestle, whistle, and others
d) after $m, n, l, r$, in an accented syllable before $y$ and ey $s$ is soft: clúmsy, quínsy, pálsy, Jérsey, also in cléanse.
b) $s$ is soft, like an initial Highdutch $s$ :
a) in general in the middle of words between vowels, to which case also belongs the final $s$ before a mute vowel: ríser, séason, éasy, násal, bósom, wise, rise.

This bias is in part common to Germanic and Romance tongues; even in Gothic $s$ between vowels readily passes into $z(=s)$, like the same sound in French.
Exceptions are, of course, those words in which $s$ before vowels passes into a sibilant. Besides
a) the adjectives in $s$-ive and $s$-ory, the abstract substantives in $s i s, s y$, and $0 s-i t y$, in which $s$ is sharp: decísive, conclúsive; derísory, delúsory; crísis, thésis, básis; póesy, éxtasy, léprosy; curiósity, animósity. This also takes place of course in further derivatives from adjectives: derísively, derísiveness. It is also sharp in árgosy ship of burden, but not in pósy, which is deemed to be abbreviated from póesy.
b) Further, some other substantives with an $s$ in the middle are with drawn from the rule, and have a sharp $s$ : básin, máson, gárrison, capárison, sáusage, palisảde, crusáde, abéisance and obéisance; and words originally Greek, mostly compounds: chrýsalis, chrýsolite, philósophy (-phise, opher, but not philosóphical); those with Greek prepositions: épisode, prósody, prósopopø"ia, prósopolẻpsy, dýsentery \&c.
c) likewise adjectives ending in se: concíse, obése, base, moróse, loose, profúse; only wise has a soft $s$.

Verbs sounding like adjectives follow the main rule, as close, diffúse \&c. Yet the sharp $s$ is retained in: loose (also lóosen), debáse.

Those words in $l y$ and ness, derived from adjectives retain their primitive $s$ : morósely, báseness.
d) a series of substantives in se has likewise the sharp $s$ : ánise, prómise, prémise(s), mórtise, práctise; - lease, reléase, decéase, crease, decréase, incréase, grease; base, chase (french chasse and chasser), púrchase, case (French cas and caisse); - dose, púrpose; -use, abúse, réfuse, excúse, reclúse, hypótenuse; goose (also in pl. geese), cruise; - rise ( $=$ act of rising \&e.), páradise; louse, mouse, house (pl. houses), grouse, chouse, souse; - pórpoise, tórtoise.

Many of these substantives are distinguished from verbs of the same spelling by that the latter receive a soft $s$, like the words: grease, use, refúse, abúse, excúse, rise, premise. Yet other words have the same form with the sharp $s$ as prómise, práctise, léase, reléase, créase, decréase, incréase, decéase like the simple cease, púrchase (also encháse = enchâsser), dose, púrpose, chouse, souse to pickle.

Other verbs with a sharp $s$ are eráse and souse (to throw down).
$\beta$ ) In general also at the end where $s$ arises through inflection of the noun or of the verb, unless it is preceded, either immediately or separated by a mute $e$, by a hard consonant: in declination seas, widows, pens, pen's, pens', ánnals, wáters, bills, fields, birds, rags, hares, babes, wives, sýllables; and conjugation says, does, swims, sounds, neighs \&c.

In composition, also, where $s$ constitutes the connecting consonant, this is treated as an inflectional letter: hogshead, tradesfolk, kíngsstone.

Of course $s$ also remains soft, where a hissing sound or a sibilant precedes $e$ before $s$ : in declination ásses, áshes, pláces, bóxes, bénches; and conjugation kísses, prízes, despátches.
Except, therefore, forms like: týrants, cáps, cliffs, óaks, óptics, months \&c., pípes, gátes; - helps, barks \&c., debátes, mákes \&c.
c) But the $s$ also receives a double sibilant, usually denoted by $s h$ and $z h$.
a) $s$ receives the hard sibilant $s h$, before the combination of the unaccented $i$, $e$, with other following vowels, as well as before $u$ ( $=u \dot{u}$ ), before ion and $u$ ( $=i u{ }^{\prime}$ ); however, only when $s$ is preceded by a second $s$ or by another consonant. The vowel or semivowel sound often till remains to the $i$-sound: Asian (āshyan), ásiätic (ásheätic), pérsian (pérsh’an), náusea (uáushea), náuseous (naush'ưs); míssion (míshưn), pássion,
mánsion (mánshŭn), emúlsion, sénsual, (sénshooal), sénsuous, préssure (presh'oor), cénsure.

At the beginning of the unaccented syllable $s=s h$ only in sure, súgar. See above.
$\beta$ ) the soft sibilant $z h(j, d g)$ before the termination ion and $u$, if the syllable previous to $s$ ends in a vowel: vísión (vizhŭn), cohésion, evásion, úsury (úzh'oory), úsurer (may usúrious = uzúrious), úsual, méasure, pléasure, tréasure.
c has, as a dental letter:
a) the sound of the sharp $s$, analogous to the French, before the light vowels $i, y, e(x, \infty)$, also only at the beginning of a word or syllable, and at the end before a mute $e$ : cívil, cýmbal, cýpress, Cǽsar, céntre, mércy, face; likewise before a rejected $e$, if this is indicated by a mark of elision: plac'd. This sound also belongs to sc in a similar position: scíence, prescínd, scéne (except in scéptic c. der., scirrhus, where $s c=s k$ ).
By way of exception $c$ sounds soft, like $z(s)$ and sc like $z z$ in: suffíce, sácrifice (as a verb, on the other hand sharp in the substantive sacrifice) and discérn.
b) the sibilant $s h$, in combination with an unaccented $i$, $e$, with a following vowel: effícient, áncient, sócial, spácious, Phócion, ócean, crustáceous. Where no derivational form is perceived in the termination, the original double sound is nevertheless preserved, as in hálcyon.

In these cases too $s c$ is equal to $c$ : conscience, cónscious (where an unaccented stem appears as a termination).
Except a few Italian words, wherein $c$ sounds like ch: violoncéllo, vermicélli.

In pronúnciätion we also hear cia pronounced like cea, to avoid the recurrence of the sibilant.
z, rare, and mostly in foreign words, at the beginning, and at. the end, usually with a mute $e$.
a) has in general the sound of the soft $s$ : zeal, zéphyr, zódiac; lázy, frózen; fréeze; also the final double $z$ : fizz, frizz, whizz, buzz, fuzz.

After a hard final consonant it hardens into a sharp s: fitz, Mentz, Metz=fits, ments, metz or mās. In mézzo $z z$ is considered equivalent to $t s$ or $t z$.
b) the soft sibilant $z h(j)$ in combination with the $i$-sound of the terminations -ier and -ure: glázier, grázier, ásure, rázure (glāzh'er, àzh'oor).
The word vízier is pronounced vízyer; we also find vizir and viseir written.
ch as sign of a sibilant occurs mostly in words originally Anglosaxon and French,
a) wherein it usually represents the sound $t s h$; at the end, rarely at the beginning a $t$ is wont to be placed before it after a short vowel, which indicates the reduplication of $c h$ by its first con-
stituent, as with the really intentional reduplication of the sound its first constituent is alone repeated; the reduplication of the dental $g$ as $d g$ is in the same predicament: chin, chaff; reach, bench, church, wretch, crutch; - chief, chámber; árches, óstrich; scútcheon. This sound also tolerates $s$ before it: eschèw, eschéat; but, as to sch, see below, sh, and guttural ch. These words belong to the Anglosaxon and OldFrench: words from the ancient languages are rare and have perhaps come through the same channel. The prefix arch, archi, arche, Greek "ceर!, Anglosax. arce, has tsh in the first form before consonants: archbíshop, archdúke; and before vowels: archénemy, archéunuch \&c., with the exception of archángel c. der. On the other hand archi, arche have always the $k$-sound: árchitect, árchetype. Also in chérub, Ráchel and stómacher (alongside of stómach $=$ ăk) $c h=t s h$.

Here and there it fluctuates betwixt tsh ang $k$ : árchives is mostly pronounced with $k$, by some with $t s h$; likewise elénch.
b) it sounds like sh in words which have been received in modern times from the French with their original sound, as chicáne, chévalíer, chagrín and chagréen, chárlatan, champágne (pronounced pāne), champáign, chámois, cháise, machíne and many more.
sh serves to denote the sibilant sh in all parts of the word, toterates only $r$ after it at the commencement, and has at the end no consonant before it except $r$ : ship, shut, shy, fáshion, bush; shríek, shrine, harsh.

Sometimes, as in Old-English, mostly however, in oriental or modern Germanic words, sch represents the same sound: schédule; schah, scheik, schorl \&c., where, however, sh is preferred in writing.

In the eucounter of a final $s$ and an initial $h$ no sibilant arises: mishá $p$, mishéarten $=$ mis-há $\quad \& c$.
g serves, as a dental, to denote a soft sibilant, which may be symbolized by a French $j$ with a $d$ preceding it $(d j=d z h)$. As a sign of its reduplication $d$ is usually placed before it after a short vowel (see above). It stands at the beginning only before $i, y, e$; a mute $e$ follows it at the end (on judgment instead of judgement, see above). At the beginning of a word the dental $g$ belongs to French, Latin and Greek stems; at the end $g$, especially when doubled as dge, answers also to Germanic words, a single ge after consonants to Romance and Germanic ones. A $g$ in the middle between vowels is Greek, Latin or Romance: gíant, gem; Egypt, órgies; pledge, wedge, edge, lodge, judge, vígil, márriage, prívilege; targe, hinge, singe, also before an elided e: fring'd. Where in Latin words $g$ is doubled, $g g$ is written, but only pronounced singly, like $d z h$ : suggést, exággerate.

In gaol, also spelt jail, $g$ sounds like $d z h$, in spite of the $a$ after it.
j is always equivalent to the dental $g(=\mathrm{dzh})$. Since the $17^{\text {th }}$ century $j$ has been written instead of $i$ : jay, joy, just.

In hállelüjah $j$ sounds like $y$.
4) The throat-sounds c, k, q, (qu), ch, g, (gh, gu), h, y and the compound x .
c has its guttural sound, equal to the Highdutch $k$, where it begins the syllable with an $l$ or $r$ after it or before obscure vowels a, o, u: climb, cross, cable, coy, cúrious, scorn, scray, sclavónian; as well as where it ends the syllable either alone or after and before a consonant: músic, plástic, talc, act, ácme, acclaím, áccident.
sc before obscure vowels likewise sounds like $s k$. Upon ck see $k$.
In many words a barely perceptible $y$-sound is made to sound after the guttural $c$, precisely as with $k$, which orthoepists indicate by a mark of elision: c'ard, k'ine, k'ite, k'ind, k'erchief; likewise after the guttural $g$ : for example: g'uard, g'uide, g'uise, g'irl and others.
$\mathbf{k}$, of the same sound as the guttural $c$, has been compelled to serve as a substitute for the $c$ which has passed into the hissing sound before light vowels, therefore stands at the beginning of a syllable chiefly before $i, y, e$, rarely, and mostly in foreign words before $a, o, u$, as well as before $l$ and $r$. At the end of a syllable $k$ appears after a long vowel or another consenant, otherwise after a short vowel in the combination ck, which is to be regarded as a reduplication of $c$ or $k$, and like all double consonants, sounds single at the end of a syllable. This $c k$ also stands in the middle of a syllable between short vowels after a short syllable: kid and kyd, key, kind, sképtic alongside of scéptic, skírmish; - kántism, káli, kóran, kúmiss; - klick alongside of click, krémlin; sleek, slink, remárk, brisk, attáck, clock, rankle, twinkle, knuckle, básket; - láckey, attácker.

In encountering $g$, ck assimilates itself to the $g$, as in bláckguard (= blăggard).
q appears as $k$ only in combination with $u$, which, especially in the stem after an initial $q$ is heard as a semiconsonant $w$ : queen, quick, quack, quádruped, quinquennial; bánquet.

But qu has the simple $k$-sound, particularly in French and some other foreign words; seldom at the beginning of the word: quátre, quadrílle; frequently at the end in combination with the mute $e$ (que): antíque, opáque, oblíque, burlésque, grotésque, cínque; - píque, critíque, círque, rísque, cásque, mósque (also spelt mosk); also in the middle of the words: piquét, etiquétte, dóquet (also spelt docket), coquét; hárlequin, pálanquinn; cónquer (but not in cónquest), exchéquer, lácquer, fáquir (also fákir), líquor; másquerảde, mosquíto, roquelảure; piquánt, Iroquóis.
ch, as a guttural, equal in pronunciation to $k$, rests upon nonGermanic throatsounds, except ache, wherefor also ake is used. At the beginning of a syllable it may stand before all vowels, as well as after all at the end. Commencing along with $l$ and $r$ it is always guttural, iu the combination sch, mostly equal to $s k$ (sey sh): chyle, Chérsonese, cháos, cháracter, báldachin, Buchánan; chló-
rid, chrónicle, schéme, school; - hémistich, lílach, loch, éunuch.
choir is pronounced and also spelt like quire.
g is guttural before obscure vowels $a, o, u$, before $l$ and $r$ and always at the end of a syllable, either alone or combined with $l$ and $r$ : gab, gain, gaunt, go, goat, good, gulf, glory, grind. leg, crag, dog, eagle, shingle, eagre. Before light vowels $i$, $y, e$ it stands, especially in Anglosaxon or other Germanic, also Celtic and Oriental words: gild, begín, geese, get; - Árgyle, Élgin, Amager; - Géber, Gíbeon; -- also in the inverted ger instead of gre: tíger, Latin tigris, French tigre, conger, Latin congrus, French congre, and in the derivational syllable -er after an originally guttural $g$ : sínger \&c.

This is rarely the case where $g$ in Latin or Romance words stood before a light vowel: gínglymus, gíbbous and others, see below.

For the nasal $n g$ in thing, young see above p . 52 .
Double $g$ in the middle of a word, unless sprung from a Latin gg, is guttural: nóggin, rúgged, dágger, gíggle; and at the end in egg. While $g$ in $g h$ is silent at the beginning and at the end of a word, it often sounds in the middle, as in sígnal, malígnant \&c. see below. In Champígnon, cógnac and other words properly French it sounds as in French.
gu appears often instead of the simple guttural $g$ (apart from the cases in which $g u$ sounds like $g w$, as in Guelfs, guáiacum, guáva, guíniad, ánguish, lánguish, distínguish, extínguish, lánguid, lánguage). It commonly, as in French, ensures the guttural sound before light vowels, and often in French words: guide, guile, Guísborough, Guélders; at the end, as gue: fatigue. Yet it also occurs in words originally Germanic: guess, Old-English gessen; guild and tongue, seldom instead of the expected dental $g$ : prorógue, compare French proroger. $u$ is idle before obscure vowels, as in guárantẻe, guard, guardian c. der.
gh likewise sometimes represents this sound, always at the beginning: Ghíbelline, ghost, Ghent, Ghauts, so also in the compound aghast. At the end it is a guttural $g$ only in burgh c. der.; sometimes, on the other hand $g h$ is hardened into $k$, in the substantives hough and shough. This sound likewise belongs to it in Celtic words: lough (Lough Neagh = lök-nē), Léighlin ( $=$ lĕklin). See above gh p. 55.
h , when it appears by itself (not in combinations, like ph , th, sh, ch, $g h$ ) sounds only at the beginning of syllables (unless altogether silent). like the Highdutch h: here, hair, Hull. On its transposition in wh see $w$ p. 55 .

The aspiration almost disappears before $e w$ and $u$, on account of the semivowel $i$ (y) which therein sounds before $u$ : hew, Hugh, húman, humídity, almost like yü, yūman de. Yet the aspiration is not quite destroyed in careful pronunciation.
y as a consonant, answers to the sound of the Highdutch initial $j$ : year, yésterday, yawn, York, youth. In the middle of a Hätzner, engl Gr. I.
syllable it is found in foreign words, as báyard, báyonet, where it is mostly treated as a consonant ( j ). Some quite destroy it and say bā-ard, bā-o-net.

In the context a slight sibilant can mingle with $y$ after a word with a final dental, when that beginning with $y$ is unaccented I'll meet you, so that here $z h$, as it were, sounds before $y$.

The compound sound x is expressed by the sign which was written in Anglosaxon for $h s, c s, s c$ and $g s=s g$, and in Old-French often interchanged with $s(s s)$.
a) It has the hard double sound $k s$.
() at the end of the accented syllable (having the principal or subordinate accent) in which case the $s$ may also commence the next syllable: axe, wax, fix, áxle-tree, éxit, éxercise, éxcellent, éxhibỉtion, Aíx-la-Chapélle, örthodóxy. So too in órthodox and such like Greek words.
Except the case mentioned under $c$ ).
$\beta$ ) in the syllable before the accent, if the accented one begins with a fresh consonant, ( $h$ excepted): extént, expánsion, exchéquer.
b) it sounds like $g s$ before the accented syllable, in which a vowel or $h$ follows the $x$ : exíst, exált, exért, exált, anxíety, auxíliary, luxúriant, exhíbit, exháust.
But words derived from such with a hard $x(k s)$ retain exceptionally, even in this case their hard sound: fixation from fix, vexátion, vexátious from vex, luxátion and lúxate from lux. This is also the case in doxólogy.
In éxemplary, as belonging to exémplar, $x$, even under the accent, remains $=g s$.
c) it sounds like $\dot{k} s h$, analogously to the single $s$, tinged, before an unaccented $i$, with the following vowel and $u(=i u)$ : ánxious, fléxion, fléxure, lúxury; yet many give to $x$ in unfrequent derivational terminations its $\kappa s$-sound, as in áxiom, even in lúxury.
d) at the beginning of a word it sounds like the English $z$ and mostly occurs in words originally Greek: xíphias, xístos, Xénophon, xēbec.

## Silence of Consonants.

The silence of consonants, retained in writing, rests partly upon the physiological difficulty or unaccustomedness of pronouncing them together, in which the rejection of a third between two others is particularly frequent. Much of this belongs, however, to the glibness or carelessness of conversation, which gradually becomes law. OldEnglish, with more consistency, entirely rejected the unspoken consonants. That consonants no longer sounded were still heard in the fourteenth century, prove, amongst others, alliterations in: Piers Ploughman, as well as the following for $k n$ : Thanne $k$ am ther a
kyng Knyghthod hym ladde (p. 7 ed. Wright); Yet I courbed my knees And cried. p. 28) for wr: And yet $w$ olde he hem no wo That wroughte hym that peyne ( p .25 ), and at the silence of the $b$ in debt, doubt; of the $l$ in calf, half; of the $g h$ in neighbour and neigh the pedantic schoolmaster still takes offence in Shakspeare (Love's labour's lost V, I), gh was in the seventeenth century still in great part audible by an aspiration which at the least was perceptible. However, even in Old-English, the silence of consonants is not always indicated in writing. Moreover, etymological considerations have here and there restored to Modern-English consonants cast out in Old-English.

1) The nasal and liquid sounds $m, n, l$, .
m is silent before $m$ at the beginning of a word: mnemónic; thus, even in Old-English, in which $m n$ alliterates with $n$ : And bynam hym his mam ( $\mu v \hat{\alpha}$ ) (Piers Plovgh. p. 131); also between $r$ and $l$ in Dunférmline (=dŭnférlin).
n , although frequently cast off, is nevertheless, after $m$ and $l$, where it is mute, often preserved in writing. It is mute after $m$ at the end of a word: $\lim n, \operatorname{hym} n$, contém $n, \operatorname{dam} n, \operatorname{solem} n$, áutumn, cólumn; also where a syllable beginning with a consonant is added: solemnly; and where the inflectional termination ed with a mute $e$ is added: $\lim n e d$, condémed; but not in the adjective form, where $e$ is audible: dám néd. Generally, where a termination commencing with a vowel is added, $n$ is the initial sound of the following syllable: contémner, solémnity, dámnable, autúmnal. Some grammarians except the termination ing, wherein $n$ must remain mute, so as not to render the fundamental form unrecognizable by the inaudible sound of the stem. But this would also apply with equal justice to all other derivatives. In conversation we certainly hear himing instead of hymning, but also condĕmer instead of condemner.
$n$ is mute after $l$ in kiln, kílndry, bríckkiln; hence brickkeel in southern dialects.
l is mute, in particular, before other consonants ending a word with it, especially $m, f(v e)$ and $k$, and only after obscure vowels $a$, $o$, ou; after $a$ before $m$ in: alms, palm, Old-French palme, paume; psalm, Old-French salme, saume; calm, qualm; calf, half, calve, calves, halves, salve (according to other sălve), chalk, French chaux, balk, walk, talk, Dundálk, Fálkland. Derivatives from these words commonly retain the rejection of the $l$, for example pálmer, pálmy, quálmish, cálving, tálkative \&c.; yet not for example in pálmated, pálmiped, pálmistry, palmíferous, palmétto. $l$ is mute before $n$ in auln (áulnage) Old-French alne, aune; Calne (pronounced kâwn) and Alnwick (pronounced ănnik); before $s$ in hálse, hálser also spelt hawse, hawser.

Except, among monosyllabic stems tălk (talk, talck) and vălve.
$l$ after $a$ is moreover mute in a few polysyllables: álmond, Spanish almendra, French amande, málmsev, French malvoisie, Málmesbury, sálmon, French saumon; fálcon, Old-French falcon,
faucon, málkin also spelt maukin; in cháldron ( $=36$ bushels) some do not pronounce the $l$, we also find chaudron written. The Old-English had also auter, Modern-English áltar; sauter, ModernEnglish psálter; fauhhon, Modern-English fálchion.
$l$ after $o$ is silent in folk and yolk, in Hólborn and sólder also spelt soder, in common life also in sóldier; so in the proper names Líncoln and Lángholm.
$l$ after ou is mute in would, should, to which in modern writing could has been assimilated (Old-Engl. coude). - Also in vault, Old-French volte, voute, vaute some suppress the $l$.

At the end of a word $l$ is silent in the properly French word fusíl. Dialectically $l$ and $l l$ are often thrown off; for Example in the Scotch $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}=\mathrm{all}, \mathrm{ff}^{\prime}=\mathrm{full}$, ca', caa, caw $=$ call; so in Derbyshire $\mathrm{aw}=$ all \&c.; also before $d$ : bowd = bold, coud = cold.

It is also silent before several consonants in Chélmsford.
r , although often sounding feebly as a guttural $r$, is seldom quite silent.

The dental $r$ is left out in glib utterance in the title $\mathrm{Mrs}=$ mistress (pronounced míssiz) else, it sounds in this word.

The guttural $r$ is mute in Márlborough and wórsted (= yarn, not in worsted $=$ defeated); also in róqelaűre many make the $r$ inaudible, contrary to the more elegant usage.
2) The lipsounds $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f},(\mathrm{ph}), \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$, wh.
p is not seldom silent, especially at the beginning of a word before $n, t, s, s h$ mostly in Greek words: $p$ neumátic, $p$ tísan, P tólemy, $p$ salm, $p$ sálter (Old-Engl. sauter; compare The sauter seith in the Psalme. (Piers Plovghm. p. 132), psychólogy, $p$ sóra \&c., $p$ shaw! (pronounced shaw).

It is also mute betwixt $m$ and $t$ : attémpt, émpty, Northám $p$ ton, adémption; as well as before a final $t$ in recéi $p$ t; compare Old-Engl. decéipt (Sp:enser) now decéit.

It is cast out betwixt $m$ and $f$ in Bámpfield, Bámpfylde; betwixt $m$ and $b$ it is cast out along with the assimilated $b$ in Cámp-1 bell (pronounced kảmel); before $t f$ in Dép $p$ tford.
b is mute at the keginning of a word in $b$ déllium (pronounced délyum).

It is silent before $t$ in de $b$ t, dé $b$ tor, sú $b$ tle c. der., but not in súbtile, although Old-Engl. sotile.

At the end of stems in $m b$ and their derivatives $b$ disappears: climb, $\operatorname{com} b$ (also in cátacomb [pronounced cöme]), $\operatorname{tomb,~dumb,~}$ rhumb, bom $b$ (pronounced bŭm); and so climbable, clímber, cŏmbed, thúmbed \&e.; but not in bómbard \&c. Compare in Spencer frequently clim, $\lim , \operatorname{lam}$ and the like.

We except accúmb, succúmb and rhomb together with rhómbus c. der.
in which $b$ sounds decidedly.
The $b$ is also silent in ámbs-ace (pronounced āmz-āce) which in Shakspeare is also spelt ámes-ace.
$\ddagger$ is mute in common life, together with $l$ in hálfpenny; it is certainly sometimes cast off in o' instead of of.

The ph , of like sound, remains, on the other hand mute at the beginning of Greek words: $p h$ thísis, $p h$ thísic, $p h$ thísical; and in the compounds: ápophthegm (pronounced ápothem), which is also spelt apothegm.

Upon v see the rejection of vowels and consonants. Otherwise its rejection is indicated in writing, as in e'er, ne'er, o'er and the like.
w is in many ways extinct in pronunciation.
At the beginning of a syllable it is silent before $r$ : wrinkle, wrap, wrong, wry; of course in compounds, as awrý, be wráy \&c.; before $h$ in words in which $h$ is followed by $o$ (also by oo): who, whose, whole, whore, whoop (also spelt hoop)

Except whop c. der. and whorl. According to Walker and Perry it sounds in whortleberry (perhaps mutilated from the Anglosaxon heorotberige through the influence of the English whurt of the same meaning).
lt is mute after $t$ in $\mathrm{t} w 0$ and its compounds; after $s$ in sword.
In composition $w$ is silent after an initial $s$ in ánswer, Anglosaxon and-svarjan, an-svarjan; analogously in common life in bóats$w$ ain (pronounced bōs'n) and cóckswain (pronounced cóxen = cócksn ) from the Anglosaxon svân = bubulcus, juvenis. Thus also a single $w$ is rejected after a consonant, when the single consonant after a short vowel seems reduplicated: gúnwale, commonly pronounced and even spelt gŭnnel, and especially in names of places compounded of the Anglosaxon vîc = portus and vîc = habitatio, also vîca=castellum; Gréen wich, Nórtwich, Dróitwich, Shéldwich, Dúlwich, Dúnwich, A'lnwick (pronounced Ánnick), Bérwick (pronounced Bérrick), Hárwick (pronounced Hárrick). Sédgwick \&c. Thus the pronunciation of housewife „hŭzzif" otherwise also hŭs-wif and the spelling hússy (pronounced húzzy) has arisen. After th an initial $w$ is silent in the negligent pronunciation of south $w$ ard (pronounced sŭthard) and sóuthernwood (pronounced sǔthernwood) as well as in the vulgar pronunciation of áukward and Sóuth $w$ ark, which is almost corrupted into Sóddrick. $w$ is extinguished between vowels in tóward, to $w$ ards c. der., wherein $\bar{\sigma} w$ is taken as the vowel.

Upon the silence of an originally consonantal $w$ at the beginning and the end of a word, by which the vowel signs $e w, a w, o w d c$. partly arise, see below, (the origin of the vowels.)
3) The toothsounds $t, d$, th, s, e, z.
t is frequently silent betwixt consonants, particularly in the collision of stl: whístle, thístle, místletoe, wréstle, péstle, cástle, Câstlebar, Cástleton, hóstler, thróstle, bústle; and stn (commonly with a preceding mute or rather glib e): chéstnut, lísten, lístener, hásten, moísten, and analogously with ftn: often, soffen; seldom in the muting of stm: Christmas; or stc in common life: wáistcoat; also in the combination rtg in: mórtgage, which also appears a matter of course with ptc in bánkruptcy. In the popular bóatswain (bōs'n) it is lost before $s n$. Where $t$ stands before ch, it is as idle in pronunciation as every other final reduplication: fetch, catch \&c.

At the end of French words, not assimilated to the English pronuuciation, it is silent, in the French manner: billetdoux, trait, eclat, gout, hautboy and many more.
d is silent at the beginning before $n$ : Dníeper, $D$ niester.
In the compound handkerchief $d$ is rejected and $n$ becomes nasal $(=\dot{n})$. In careless utterance it is readily rejected between $n$ and $s$ as in: Windsor, hándsome, hándsel, gróundsel, although this is not approved by orthoepists. On the otherhand wédnesday is universally pronounced wénzday. Fíldfare is commonly pronounced without a $d$, and in Kirkcudbright (say kírkkōbry) it likewise does not sound.

At the end of a word $d$ after $n$ is often not pronounced dialec-tically:-riband is pronounced like ribbon, which is the better style, also wéasand, Anglosaxon vâsend, væsend, is here and there pronounced like vēz'n.

The reduplication of $g$ after a short vowel by $d$ with a dental $\mathbf{g}(=d z h)$ is to be treated like that of $t$ before $c h$.
th is, perhaps, silent in clothes (pronounced clòze) only. See above, $t h$ before $s$.
$\mathbf{s}$ is not silent at the beginning of a word, unless we consider it mute when combined with the dental $c$, as in science where, however, $e$ may with the same reason pass for mute.

In the middle of some simple and compound words $s$ (partly inorganic) is silent, particularly $l, n$, and $m$ : isle, island, Islay (pronounced $\hat{1} \bar{a}$ ), aisle, Carlísle, Lisle (pronounced Lisle, Lille), mē $s \mathrm{ne}$ ( $=$ middle), demēsne also spelt demain (Old-French demaine), púisne (pronounced pûny), dísme (pronounced dēme, OldFrench disme, dixme), as well as in vîscount, Lewisd'ór and Grósvenor.

At the end of many French words not assimilated, $s$, as in French, is rejected: avís, vis-a-vis, pas, chámois, shámois (pronounced shámmy, as it is also spelt) sous, rendezvous, corps and others. Yet it is pronounced in glacís and here and there in other words.
z is silent in the French rendezvous.
The throatsounds c, k, ch, g, gh, h, y
c is mute at the beginning of foreign words before other consonants, as in Cnéus, Ctésilas, czar, czarína c. der.

In the middle of the word it is mute betwixt $s$ and $l$ : muscle, árbuscle, córpuscle; yet not in derivatives, as corpúscular and many such. The rejection of $c$ before $t$ is also usual in víctual (pronounced vitt'l), compare Old-French vitaille, Latin victualia; indíct, indíctable, indíctment and other derivatives alongside of which indîte, indîter is written.
$c$ is likewise silent in Connécticut; cf. Póntefract and Pómfret.
k is always mute before $n$ at the beginning of a word: $k$ nee, know, knuckle, knight.
ch is silent after an initial $s$ in $s c h i s m$ c. der ; in schédule $s c h$ is pronounced like sh; it is also mute in yacht and drachm (also spelt dram).
$g$ is mute, like $k$, before $n$ at the beginning of a word: $g$ nat, $g$ nome, $g$ noff.

In the middle of the word the silence of $g$ before $m$ and $n$ occurs:
before $m$, when it concludes the syllable: phle $g m$, ápophthe $g m$, páradigm, párape $g \mathrm{~m}$; but not with the augmentation of the word, when it becomes the initial sound before a vowel: phlegmátic, páradigma̋tical.

Before $n$, likewise, when this concludes the syllable: imprē $g n$, féign, expùgn, oppúgn, propúgn, desîgn, malîgn, fóreign, sóvereign; in derivatives, only when their forms begin with a consonant, as ment, ness, ly, ty, cy: desígnment, fóreignness, malígnly, sóvereignty, énsigncy. Among the derivational forms beginning with a vowel, those in ing and er alone make the $g$ mute: féigning, desígning, oppúgner, fóreigner. Before all others beginning with vowels $g$ becomes the final and $n$ the initial sound: imprégnate, sígnal, benígnity.

Moreover, $g$ is not sounded in póignant; cógnizance (in the legal sense) cónnizance, and cocágne is, according to Smart, pronounced cockāne.

In the encounter of $g l$ and $g n$ with an unaccented $i$ after it and another vowel arise forms of the iota $l$ and $n$, in which $g$ before $l$ and $n$ may in English be considered as cast out and $i$ as having passed into a semiconsonant $y$ : intáglio, seráglio, óglio, bágnio, séignior, sígnior.

The silence of $g$ in the verbal form is provincial, for instance, in Derbyshire and Scotland.
gh is silent in the middle of the word, where $g h$ stands before both an initial and a final $t$ : eight, straight, sought, bought, fōught, night, might, right, flight, fright, sight, Connáught, míghty, ríghtly, sláughter, dáughter, dóughty, as also in the long (or diphthong) accented syllables ending in $g h$ : weigh, neigh, néighbour, though, dough (pronounced doe), althóugh, through, úsquebäugh (Erse, whence whisky), pugh! nigh, sigh, high, bough, plough; Annägh, Armägh, Nenägh. But this happens also in unaccented final syllables: Râleigh, Chúmleigh, Hádleigh, Dénbigh, Kēogh, Cónemaugh, bórough, thórough c. der., fúrlough. Even in Old-English we certainly find $u$ and $w$ substituted for $g h$ : plou, plow = glough.

In the compounds, of burgh this word is often made to sound like bórough, (burro); Édinburgh, Jédburgh and others.

With the silence of $g h$ is connected its rejection (together with $u$ ) at the end tho', altho' and even bro' instead bórough.
$h$ is by general consent, silent only in a few words not originally Germanic: heir, hónest, hónour, hóstler (also spelt ostler), hour, humble and all their derivatives and compounds; but, of course, not in merely related words not immediately betraying an

English stem; as héritage, hóral \&c. Many also add herb and hospital to the above list. The inclination is, however, universal to regard $h$ as mute in the unaccented syllable, beginning with $h$ at the commencement of a word, on which account the article an instead of $a$ is wont to be put before adjectives of that sort; for instance héro and an heróical \&e.

About $h$ before $\hat{\imath}$ see above.
In Greek words beginning with $r h, h$ is mute: rhétoric, rhúbarb, rheum, also in rhyme; so too in the combination $d h$ in Búddha.

Even where $h$ begins an unaccented syllable after one closed with a consonant, a pronemess exists to drop the aspiration, as in ípecácuänha, in shépherd, dúnghill and others, for which reason also in names of place, as Amherst, Dúrham, Háverhill (pronounced hāveril), the $h$ remains disregarded in the mouth of the people. Otherwise in an accented syllable, as abhór.

Before another final consonant it has likewise no phonetic value: John, Jóhnson, compare Old-Engl. Jon; buhl, búh1work.

At the end it is mute after vowels and consonants: eh! ah! hah! buh! oh! foh! sírrah! Messíah, Sárah, hállelűjah; brámah, dáhlia; catárrh.

## Silence of vowels with consonants.

The rejection of consonants with a previous or a subsequent vowel is ordinarily speedily exhibited also in writing; yet the speech of the people has sanctioned abbreviations of this sort, not acknowledged by the written language, particularly in proper names.

Thus in the unaccented syllable a consonant with a mute $e$ at the end is cast out as be in Búncombe (pronounced bŭnkŭm) and Edgecombe (pronounced ej'kŭm). In the middle of words $v e$ in the common pronunciation of twélvemonth, Háverford also Havreford; te in lútestring (also spelt lustring); de in the vulgar pronunciation of Hýdepark; ce in names compounded with cester: Léicester, Glóucester, Wórcester (commonly also pronounced with an elided $r$ ) and others.

Conversely both vowel and consonant are lost in: Léominster (pronounced lëmster); av; Abergavénny (pronounced ăberghénny).

Two consonants with the included vowel in an unaccented syllable are cast out, like ven in sévennight (pronounced sénit) cf. sennet (Skelton I. 107), Sévenoaks is pronounced in Kent: Súnnuck; cf. fortnight $=$ fourteennight; ver in Wávertree (pronounced wâtry); ren in Círencester (pronounced cís-e-ter), wherein at the same time $s$ falls out before $t$. Compare Exeter iu Rob, of Gloucestrf Exetre and Excestre I, 5 and 4.

Upon a similar glibness of the speech of common life rest rejections indicated by a mark of elision, like gi'me (give), I'll (will, shall), I'd (would), thou'dst (hadst, wouldst), he'd (had, would) and many more, which remain foreign to the more solemn language.

## The syllable and the division of syllables.

The syllable consists either of a single vowel or diphthong, or of a combination of a consonant with a vowel, or conversely; or of a vowel surrounded by consonants. We recognize them as such by that all sounds constituting them are produced with an impulse.

A word, the sensuous expression of an image, may consist of one or of several syllables. The number of its syllables is articulated for the ear according to the number of sounds produced at one impulse. The division of syllables in writing is especially evident by the interruption of the word at the end of the line, and has, besides, a theoretical interest.

But by the peculiar influence of the accent in English upon the totality of the syllables of a polysyllabic word, and the proneness towards the attraction (see above) of the initial consonant of a subsequent syllable, as well as by the glibness of many final syllables, the division of syllables is hardened for apprehension by the ear, and often rendered still more difficult for the written language. The parting of syllables is most obvious where several consonants between vowels encounter each other which are separated by physiological conditions of the organs of speech, as in ac-com-plish; less decided, where a simple consonant appears between vowels, so that after a long vowel, as in apparent, with the glibness of the final syllable the division appār-ent or appā-rent may more readily catch the ear, and, after a short, attracted consonant, as in ěpic, the divisions ĕp-ic and ĕ-pic seem to correspond alike ill to the phonetic relations.

With respect therefore to the division of syllables in writing, there is no complete agreement either among grammarians or in its employment in common life and in typography.

But with the principle which appears so natural, to consider in the division of syllables the sensuous articulation of the word as the standard, is associated the theoretical interest to render evident the stem and the termination, and, in the compounding of words, to render the separate stems manifest. But in this is also to be considered, that in English many derived and even compound words are no more present, as such, to the linguistic consciousness.

In the exposition of the principles for the division of syllables upon which authority is pretty well agreed must therefore be stated a) the general and leading points of view and b) their limitations conditioned by etymological considerations.

## a) General Rules.

1) Two vowels, not serving to represent one simple sound or diphthong, are separable: dí-al, dení-able, socí-ety, préviously, perspícu-ous, destróy-ing, knów-ing, apprópriate, superi-órity.
2) If a consonant (with which, of course, must be reckoned the signs of simple sounds $p h, t h, s h, c h$ ) stands between two vowels or diphthongs, then, apart from the inflectional and derivational
syllables beginning with a consonant, and cited below, the consonant is drawn to the following vowel: fēa-sible, pā-per, fä-ther, nō-tice, hū-mour, bi-shop, spi-rit, bá-che-lor, orí-gi-nal, gé-ne-ral, áu-tumn, acknów-ledgement, compá-nion.
This principle is often not observed with a short accented vowel, so that we frequently meet the division: prěs-ent, cănopy, philŏs-opher, abóm-inate \&c., consistency with which is, however, not found throughout even in good lexicographers.

A mute $e$ alone is never broken off from its preceding consonant: mouse, house, hinge.
3) Two consonants, standing between two vowels or diphthongs, are divided as the final and the initial sound, unless a mute stands along with a liquid consonant and can form the initial sound of the last vowel, which is not the case, if the liquid commences a derivative syllable: mán-ner, púl-ley, bég-gar, múr-der, ség-ment, prín-ciple, díg-nity, bap-tize, apárt-ment, fús-tian, progrés-sion, obstrúc-tion, Egýptian.

The combination of a mute and a liquid consonant at the beginning of a syllable is mostly confined to $r$ : á-pron, propríty, péne-trate, álge-bra, sé-cret, sá-cred, orthógraphy; $l$, on the other hand does not combine readily: públic, púb-lish, estáb-lish, neg-lécting, even dec-lamátion; although péo-ple, scrú-ple, sýlla-ble, tri-fle and the like are written.
$c k$ is always drawn to the last syllable: póck-et, chick-ens, Cóck-eram; likewise $x$, even when it occurs in words not compounded: vex-átion, véx-il, prox-ímity.
4) If three consonants separate the vocalization, the last two, if consisting of a mute and a liquid or of two consonants combined at the beginning of stems, are drawn to the following syllable: mém-brane, cúm-brous, dóc-trine, mágis-trate, scéptre, hún-dred; búb-bling, cát-tle, míd-dle, swín-dler, sprín-kle, strúg-gle.

Yet we usually find, after a nasal $n$, the consonants $k l, g l$ separated, (except before a single mute e): twink-ling, míng ling, éńg-lish.

But if the two latter consonants are not of the kind above indicated, the former two are drawn to the former syllable: distinc-tion, émp-ty, absórp-tion, presúmp-tive.
b) Limitations through etymological considerations.

1) The inflectional and derivational terminations condition divisions of syllables not according with the rules generally valid, especially for stems.
*) derivational terminations commencing with a consonant (resting partly upon composition) are always separated, even from prior consonants, as ness, ment, ly \&c.
$\beta$ ) on inflectional and derivational terminations beginning with a vowel no perfect agreement prevails; but their separation
from the stem ending with a consonant only takes place with terminations felt decidedly as derivational forms. The separation is readily avoided in many cases.

The termination ing is unanimously separated from the stem: léad-ing, despóil-ing, búild-ing, léarn-ing, ádd-ing, fáll-ing, spéll-ing. - Double consonants are given to the syllable of the stem, unless they first appear with the termination, else they are usually separated; hence rún-ning, fít-ting, blót-ting \&c. Even if the stem ends with a consonant and a mute $e$, with the rejection of the $e$, the consonant usually remains to the stem: giv-ing, uniting, háv-ing, móv-ing, appróv-ing, detérg-ing; although many then draw the consonant to the termination: detér-ging, wríting; and thus also before other terminations. On twink-ling \&c. see above.

In substantives in er derived from verbal stems the same thing happens: téach-er, réad-er, hélp-er (yet not with reduplicated consonants: skim-mer; likewise when the stem ends in $e$ wríter) and in words in ard: drunk-ard. In the comparative and superlative the er and est are also separated from the stem: gréat-er, bróad-est, néar-est.

The terminations ence and ance are likewise usually separated: réfer-ence, differ-ence, exíst-ence, appéarance, acquáint-ance, perfórm-ance; on the other hand excrés-cence and, according to the correct feeling, violence; also age: bánd-age; ary: díction-ary; ure: depárture even displéas-ure. Thus also ity is separated: quálity, chár-ity, regulár-ity. Of verbal terminations en and on: belong here: dárk-en, shórt-en, réck-on; ish and ize are also found separated: pún-ish, aból-ish; cáracterize, géneral-ize; as well as ate: adúlter-ate.

The verbal inflection ed is regularly separated: fábricated, demánd-ed, demént-ed.

Among the adjective terminations we find ish, ical, istic, ian, ent, able, ous and others separated: fóol-ish, crít-ical, cháracter-1̂stic, differ-ent, réason-able, remárkable, resólv-able (even move-able), póison-ous, dánger-ous \&c. It often depends upon that the syllable of formation is added to a stem universally known (which itself may contain a derivation) which one thinks it is not permitted to deprive of its final consonant. Strict consistency is not observed even by the correctest writers.

The separation of the unaccented vowels $i a, i e, e o, i o$ and the like, particularly in derivational terminations beginning with $s, c, t$, as argiláce-ous, sagáci-ous, posséssi-on, conditi-on, is decidedly disapproved. We divide: spécial, intervé-nient, argillá-ceous, relá-tion \&c., although also sometimes: provis-ion.
2) Where the composition is present to the linguistic consciousness, the constituents are separated in the division of the syllables, without regard to the above general rules; wherein the nature
of the constituents is indifferent: in-áctive, Eng-land, a-stráy, an-óther, up-ón, re-stráin, re-spéct, be-twéen, dis-eáse, as-certáin, de-stróy, when-éver, shép-herd, béef-eater \&c.

Yet we find divisions such as ab-stáin, ab-scónded, distilled and the like, through mistaking the constituents, or from the greater case of pronunciation, as divisions in words like penúltimate and others no longer allow the consideration of composition to appear.

## The word and its accent.

The word, as expression of an image, consists, in its simplest form, of one syllable. Polysyllables arise through the junction of syllables of formation to the syllable of the stem (Suffixes), as well as by the conjunction of still recognizable stems, either with or without further syllables of formation. Syllables constituting the simple or compound word, are recognized as the expression of one total image by being comprehended under a principal accent. This is received by one syllable, which is therefore called the accented syllable, the others having a subordinate accent.

The monosyllable can, in regard to its accent, be measured only within the sentence; many monosyllables (as the article, pronoun, preposition and auxiliary word) may attach themselves proclitically to the accent of the following word, or enclitically to that of the previous word and are prejudiced not only quantitatively and qualitatively in regard to their vocalization, but also in strength of sound.

Words of more than one syllable, and especially polysyllables have a gradation of accent within themselves, and, besides the principal accent, a second, (rarely a third), called the sutordinate accent, may come forth.

The English tongue, in the accenting of its words, has had various principles to adjust among each other. The principle of accenting the syllable of the stem of the simple word proceeded from the Anglosaxon elements of the language; the Norman-French stock of words established the accenting of the full final syllable; the Latin and Latin-Greek elements, coming in along with the study of the classics, procured admission for the Latin principle; according to which in disyllables the first, in polysyllables, the penultimate or the antepenultimate necessarily has the accent.

In general the principle of accenting the syllable of the stem in words of more than one syllable has carried off the victory; the French principle of accenting the final syllable has maintained itself in many cases, as it were, exceptionally; yet the Latin accenting, particularly in the Latin-French forms of words in the modern English has obtained intensively, through the cooperation of philologists.

A distinction takes place, however, in certain cases, in the accenting of simple and of compound words, with the Germanic and other constituents of the compound, although many words originally compounds are no longer felt as such.

In treating primarily of the accent of the word, as sole or principal accent, we consider first the simple word, and then the compound word, whereupon ensues the exposition of the relation of principal and subordinate accent.

## A) The Doctrine of the Accent, as principal Accent.

## l) The accent of the simple word.

a) In general the endeavour is visible in modern English, to give the accent to the syllable of the stem, which, in the simple word, is regularly the first, and to maintain this in the further formation from that word, whence it may happen that the accent recedes to the sixth syllable from the end: díscipline, dísciplinable, dísciplinableness, although a counterpoise is in many cases given to the multitude of unaccented syllables by the subordinate accent.

Instances of this accenting, which has its bound in the limitations specified under $b, c, d$ are offered by all classes of words having derivatives to exhibit: ape, ápish, ápishly, ápishness; apt, áptly, áptness, áptitude; fish, físher, físhery; déad, déadly, déadliness; change, chángeling, chángeable, chángeably, chángeableness; coop, cóoper, cóoperage; crime, críminal, críminalness, críminous, críminously, críminousness, críminate, criminatory; áuthor, áuthoress, áuthorize; idol, ídolish, ídolize, ídolizer, ídolism, ídolist; bánish, bánisher, bánishment; cástle, cástlet, cástellan, cástellany; álien, álienable, álienate, álienator; cásual, cásualness, cásualty; cástigate, cástigator, cástigatory.

It is to be remarked, however, that in the accenting of the syllable of the stem in words of three and more syllables, on the one hand the length by position of the penultimate (a mute and a liquid letter not being reckoned) is avoided, and that in the multiplication of the syllables of formation ness, ment, ling, $l^{l}, r y$, ty and $c y$ beginning with a consonant chiefly make length by position, that a collision of the vowels of the penultimate and the final syllable is likewise avoided, and that polysyllablic words with the accent on the syllable of the stem mostly contain a series of unaccented syllables of a simple consonant and vowel, with the exception of the last.

Compare the trisyllables: ánimal, ámorist, ávarice, ánglican, ésculent, órigin, númerous, nótary, pénitence, báchelor, bóundary, dángerous, chángeable, célature, gárgarize; with length by position in the penultimate: bóyishness, púnishment, fósterling, bléssedly, blázonry, crúelty, ágency, brígandage, cówardice, bástardize; dúellist, scíntillate, óscillate; yet also chámberlain and a few others.
tetrasyllables: ímagery, cémetery, bálneary, áuditory, ágrimony, délicacy, álopecy, ágitator, líterature,
créditable, álterative, líberalize, bóronetage, cánnibalism, sántuarize; with length by position in the penultimate: áctualness, áctually, cásualty, cásuistry, brílliancy, árbalister, álabaster and the like. Length by position in previous syllables certainly occurs without influence on the accent: líbertinism, báptistery, miscellany.
Words of five and more syllables: disciplinable, dísciplinary, bálneatory, álterableness, ámiableness, sóciableness, dísciplinableness.

The terminations ful, less, some, ship, hood and the like, which, properly speaking, form compounds, are always unaccented, and therefore are joined to stems without prejudice to the accent.

That, however, in polysyllables the length by position otherwise allowed remains here and there not without import, is shown by forms like árgumẻntative, dócumẻntary, élementary, in which the originally subordinate receives the place of the principal accent: clandéstine, lacértine, elephántine, whereas crýstalline, córalline and the like are tolerated.
b) But a number of words has the accent upon the last syllable
a) Here in the first piace must be mentioned the principle of Dissimilation followed here and there, especially in disyllabic words, which is often considered in compounding, and according to which different parts of speech with a like form of the word are distinguished by the accent. Compare áugment substantive, to augment; férment substantive, to fermént; tórment substantive, to tormént; fréquent adjective, to frequént; (although cemént, lamént appear both as substantives and as verbs fomént only as a verb) bómbard substantive, to bombárd; reversely brevét substantive, to brévet; hallóo Interjection to hálloo; lévant'adjective levánt substantive; minute substantive, minúte adjective, Aúgust (the month), augúst adjective; gállant adjective, gallánt adjective and substantive; súpine substantive, supine adjective, búffet (a blow) buffét a sideboard.
$\beta$ ) But a not inconsiderable number of words retains the accent upon this syllable, which was given to it in its French, Latin or other foreign home, and eludes a thorough analogy. Betwixt the originally French or Latin accent a distinction is not often to be drawn, both commonly coinciding.
Here belong substantives: basháw; rouleáu, bureáu, chateáu; canóe, bambóo, Hindóo; chagrín, bombasín; nankéen, cantéen, caréen; champáign, benzóin; artisán, caraván, courtezán; gazón; Brasíl, fusíl, gazél; chevál, canál, cabál (an English word); contról substantive and verb (properly a compound), mogúl; bazáar, boudoír, abattoir, abreuvoír; accouchéur (a compound), amatéur, corridór, amóur, estafét, bidét, buffét, cadét, coquét, curvét, canzonét; cravát, marmót, sabót; glacís, abattís; alcaid, caréss substantive and verb, ma-
tróss, placárd, basált, elénch, bombást, marine, magazine, machíne, tontíne, chicáne; bastíle; caviure; chemíse, capríce, Chinése, finésse, grimáce, cabóose Hollandish kabuys), accouchéuse, embrasúre, embouchúre; giráffe, alcóve, finánce, harángue; champágne, allemánde and others.

Adjectives of this sort are: benígn, malígn, acérb, supérb, augúst, rotúnd, extréme, sincére, austére, seréne, terréne, divine, saline, caníne, supíne, humáne, políte, matúre; the disyllables in úte: minúte, hirsúte, nasúte; alérte and others.

Verbs are rare, as cajóle, caróuse, calcíne, baptize, chastíse, cornúte (to cúckold), creáte, narráte (according to Smart), posséss (properly a compound). Words with an inorganic $e$, as esquire, eschéw \&c., have the accent upon the syllable of the stem, on the other hand not estéem; in obey (obedio =obaudio) the accenting has hardly proceeded from any consciousness of its composition.
$\gamma$ ) Other words follow more decidedly a conscious rule, as to which it is to be remarked that the accenting of definite syllables of formation concerns compound, as well as simple words.

1) Names of persons in ee have the accent on the last syllable: bailée, feoffée, debtée, bargainée, devotée, imparsonée. Names of things and abstract nouns form in part exceptions, especially disyllables: cóffee, spóndee, tróchee, cóuchee, lévee, commíttee, júbilee.
2) Names of persons and things in oon: Maróon, buffóon, dragóon; ballóon, bassóon, batóon, dublóon, macaróon.
3) Names of persons in eer and ier: muletéer, musketéer, buccanéer also bucanier, voluntéer, enginéer; brigadier, financier, cavalier, gondolíer. Names of things likewise occur: caréer, chandelíer, yet not without exceptions, especially disyllables in ier: pánnier, bárrier, cárrier, even names of persons: coúrtier, cóurier.
4) Abstract and concrete nouns in ade: ambuscáde, promenáde, blockáde, fougáde, cavalcáde, rodomontáde. Exceptions are: ámbassade, (Walker has the accent on the last), ebríllade, mármalade, bálustrade, drágoonade and others.
5) Words in ette, properly French: étiquëtte (according to others ẻtiquétte), banquétte, brunétte, gazétte, grisétte,
6) Adjectives in óse if disyllables: aquóse, moróse, nodóse, rugóse, verbóse, jocóse; a few among polysyllables, as acetóse, armentóse, whereas others accent the syllable of the stem: púlicose, béllicose, váricose, cálculose, córticose \&c., having commonly subordinate forms in ous.
7) Words in esque: morésque, burlésque, grotésque, romanésque, picturésque.

Further derivatives from such words retain in general the accent upon the same syllable; compare diviner, cajóler, benignantly, buffóonery \&c.; although exceptions also occur, as drágoonade from dragóon (see above).
In the fourteenth century the French accenting of the full final syllable is still very common: thus we ordiuarily find in Chaucer: honóur, humóur, licóur, resón, prisón, squiér, burgeis, contré, and in words in the then not always silent $e$ : madáme, natúre, coráge, Turkie, vertúe \&c. also in Skelton: queréll, counséll, serpént, mercý, pleasûre, saváge and many others; rarely in Spencer in disyllables such as forést, whereas in polysyllables the last syllable frequently appears under a subordinate accent, as a masculine rhyme: furióus, hideóus, dalliáunce, merrimént \&c.
c) Many words have the accent on the penultimate.
c) A number of Latin, Greek and Romance words have retained this their original accent and betray their foreignness mostly by their terminations. To these belong again especially substantives, which are often quite foreign to the popular speech: chiméra, coróna, auróra, censúra; Greeks words in nua and (wuc: empyéma, glaucóma \&c.; banána, cavatína, bravádo, armáda, cantáta, Jacóbus, canáry, anchóvy; echínus, papýrus, poınátum, abdómen, legúmen, decórum, cadáver, tribúnal, Jehóvah; Orion, choréous, lycéum, mausoléum, empyréon; ænigma, arbústum, aspháltum, oméntum, involúcrum (compounded), colóssus, meánder, novémber, decémber, Augústins \&o. andánte, to bácco; therewith idéa (' ' $\varepsilon$ ( ), assássin, champígnon, and the Germanic eléven. The Greek words in $\eta \pi i \varsigma$ and $\omega, s t s$ always have this accent: mimésis, mathésis, exegésis, narcósis, chlorósis and others. Adjectives have hardly been thus brought over, as siníster (however with a metaphorical meaning sinister), the Italian maestóso aud a few others. Simple verbs of this class are likewise rare, as imágine, altérnate (according to the rule for compounds) fratérnize and many others.
$\beta$ But some derivational terminations require regularly this accenting in polysyllables; here belong:

1) nouns in $i c$, which sound may also be the penultimate: chaldáic, heróic, angélic, dramátic, lacónic, scorbútic, forénsic, anárchic, ecclesiástic \&c.
Exceptions are formed by only a few among the great number of nouns: árabic, ársenic (but adjective arsenic), arithmetic, lúnatic, rhétoric, pólitic, phlégmatic, súlphuric, splénetic, héretic (all with an open penultimate).
2) among adjectives in ous a few in or-ous, Latin ōrus: decórous, sonórous, canórous, except dedécorous (Latin örus); and those with a penultimate syllable long by position: atraméntous, moméntóus, enórmous, inérmous.
3) adjectives in al, when the penultimate is long by position: baptismal, autúmnal, etérnal, matérnal, noctúrnal, oriéntal, atraméntal, colóssal \&c.; rarely out of position: machínal, vagínal, corónal, sacerdótal, mostly with a regard to the original accented syllable; on the other hand nátural, oríginal \&c.
4) trisyllables in at-or, which receive the accent on the syllable accented in Latin: equátor, narrátor, testátor, dictátor, spectátor, curátor; yet even here exceptions are found: órator, bárator, sénator; polysyllables, even compounds ones, have only the subordinate accent upon $a$ : a̋lienátor, a̋mbulátor, ảdulátor, admỉnistrátor, assảssinátor, innstaurátor.
5) Nouns in ean: Européan, Manichéan, Atlantéan, adamantéan, Augéan, lethéan, Pythagoréan, Sabéan; yet many have the accent upon the antepenultimate, mostly with reference to Latin forms: marmórean, cerúlean, cerbérean, Prométhean, Hercúlean, ebúrnean, elýsean.
6) words in ive always have the accent upon the preceding close syllable. Since this syllable of formation mostly attaches itself immediately to a participial syllable of the stem, no deviation from the first rule takes place here. Moreover most words belonging here are compounds with a close syllable in position: posséssive, instrúctive, offénsive \&c.; that other monosyllablic stems must also have the same accent is clear: adhésive, collusive \&c.; on the other hand not polysyllablic forms with an open penultimate: pósitive, prímitive \&c. (see below).
c) a great number of derivatives requires the accent upon the antepenultimate, whether this is the syllable of the stem or not; here belong
7) terminations in which a final syllable beginning with a vowel is preceded by $i, e$ and $u$. How these proparoxytones are often transformed into paroxytones for pronunciation has been above remarked. Here belong: i-an, i-on, i-ent, i-ence, i-ant, i-ance, i-al, e-al, u-al, i-ar, i-or, i-ad, i-ate, u-ate, i-ast, i-asm, i-ous, e-ous, u-ous, i-ac and others.
ian: elýsian, musícian, barbárian, censórian, civílian (on ean see above).
ion: opinion, foundátion, cessátion, quadrillion, batállion, Phócion.
ient, ience: pátient, obédient - obédience.
iant, iance: brilliant, váliant - váliance.
$i a l$, eal, ual, : aérial, artérial, esséntial; ethéreal, corpóreal; habitual, individual.
iar, ior: famíliar, auxiliar; inférior, antérior, supérior, postérior.
Mätzner, engl. Gr. 1.
iad: Íliad, Olýmpiad, mýriad, chíliad.
iate, uate: humíliate, centúriate; habituate.
iast, iasm: enthúsiast, encómiast; enthúsiasm (properly compounds).
ious, eous, uous: alimónious, licéntious, labórious; erróneous, arbóreous, sanguíneous; volúptuous, tumúltuous, conterráneous; yet also spírituous (with a regard to spirit).
iac: elephántiac, demóniac, genéthliacs, clúniac, cárdiac; but not elegíac.
 immediately brought over of course retain the accent upon the antepenultimate, whether it is or is not the syllable of the stem, in simple and compound forms: Július, Sírius; Victória, nǽnia, encénia, ópium; mínium, bdéllium, elýsium, allódium, herbárium, millénnium, geránium; effígies \&c., as well as those in ěus, ĕa: Cadúceus, náusea, especially the Greek words in $\varepsilon v s$, which are resolved into ě us: Órpheus, Ótreus, Théseus \&c.
8) further, words in which a connecting vowel precedes a termination beginning with a consonant, or a consonant a termination commencing with a vowel. These are, essentially, double suffixes, which are joined to stems or to already suffixed stems. Here belong the terminations of substantives:
$i-a-s i s$ : proríasis, elephantíasis, pityríasis and other Greek words.
$i-t y$, e-ty: annúity, abílity, antíquity, barbárity, captívity; ebríety, anxiety, variety.
$i$-tude: beátitude, vicíssitude, simílitude.
er-y, corresponding to the French in erie: artillery, machínery, chicánery.
ic-ism: fanáticism.
many terminations of adjectives, as ive, al, ar and ous, which are preceded by another termination consisting of a simple vowel and consonant.
it-, at-, ut-, ive, yet not without important exceptions, and mostly only in polysyllables and words compounded of prefixes: pósitive, primitive, infínitive, acquísitive; négative, tálkative; dimínutive; otherwise in compound notional words: lẻgislátive, lőcomótive, and even imáginative and émanátive.
im-, in-, ic-, ac-al: millésimal; oríginal; elénchical, babylónical, cylíndrical; demoníacal; but cárdiacal.
$u l-$, c-ul-ar: triángular, artícular, navícular, canícular.
$i n-$, it-, at-, ic-, er-, or-, ul-, c-ul-ous, generally those with an open penultimate: lúminous, résinous, bombýcinous, abdóminous; fortúitous, calámitous; exanthématous; véntricous, váricous; slánderous, cadáverous;
vígorous (on órous see p.78), vénturous; fábulous, ventrículous, miráculous \& $\mathbb{C}$. ; except desírous.
o-, u-leut: sómnolent, córpulent, cinérulent.
The adjective and verbal termination ate, which, especially in compounds, does not readily permit the accenting of the penultimate, (see below) therefore throws it on the prefix, has also in simple words the accent upon the antepenultimate, if ate is added to another syllable of formation, hence especially in the forms: im-, it-, ic-, ul-, c-ul-ate: legítimate, capácitate, domésticate, acídulate, capítulate, artículate.

## 2) The accent of the compound word.

Compounding is in English of a twofold kind. The elements of the compound are either present in English, whether they are of Germanic or of Romance origin, or, the compound has been transferred and partly even imitated from other tongues. The former, although hybrid (consisting of Germanic and other elements) are nevertheless to be regarded as genuine English, the others to be distinguished from them as foreign compounds.
a) The compounding of nouns and verbs among and with each other.
a) English compounds are distinguished from those of other Germanic tongues in regard to the accent in this; that not in every compound, even of notional words, a subordinate goes along with the principal accent, but the word rather receives by its accentuation, the character of a simple word unless the weight of its greater number of syllables demands a decided subordinate accent, on which account we may here in general disregard the latter.

Yet the accented words ordinarily retain their quantity, although exceptions occur, as shĕpherd, vĭneyard \&c.

On the whole, in the classes of words here considered the rule prevails to accent the first constituent, as the determining word:

Substantives: bówstring, bóatswain, dáylight, séaserpent, chámbermaid, hándkerchief; géntlemen, gẻntlewóman, bróadsword, bláckbird, fírst-fruits; ámbs-ace, állheal (plant), állspice, álnight, bréwhouse, dráwwell.

Adjectives: áwful, cáreful, cáuseless (these terminations are treated precisely like syllables of derivation); bárefaced, brówbeat, créstfallen, éarthly-minded; fóurforted, fívefold.

Numerals: fóurteen, fifteen; yet these lean to the accenting of the last syllable, and the Ordinals: thirtéenth, fiftéenth \&c. are chiefly accented upon the last by orthoepists.

Pronouns form partly an exception: thus mysélf, him-
sélf \&c.; the indefinite sómewhat, sómebody, sómething, nóbody, nóthing follow the rule of substantives. The generalizing ones compounded of particles whoéver, whosoéver, whichéver \&c. accent the particle; yet not whóso.
Verbs, máinswear, Anglosaxon mânsverjan, báckbite, dúmbfound, fínedraw, néw-model, bréakfast; yet vouchsáfe, backslíde, new-fángle.
Deviations, as in mankínd and mánkind (in Milton), hobgóblin and hóbgoblin, highwáy and híghwayman are rare; but uncertainly and variation take place in compounds betraying the character of a syntactic relation. Here belong especially substantives preceded by an adjective in the attributive mode: free-cóst, free-wíll, black-púdding, black-ród, bloody-swéat, ill-náture, ill-wíll, humankínd, Black-Mónday, all-fóurs, all-hállows \&c.; and according to the French accent and collocation: knightérrant; substantives betraying the appositive relation: hapházard, earl-márshal, tomtít (as it were, a proper name), Jack-puddilng and in the additional relation: north-éast north-wést \&c.; especially substantives with a genitive preceding: Chárles's-wảin (a constellation), Lády's-cőmb (a plant) and many more; and names of days, as áll-souls-dãy, áll-saints-dáy; but also popular designations: Ashwédnesday, ládyda̋y, bulkhéad, bondbáiliff and bumbáiliff, and others. If, further, attributes are annexed to the noun, especially with prepositions, the principal accent falls upon the attribute, as in Jáck-by-the-hểdge, Jáck-a-läntern \&c. Yet the popular pronunciation leans to the contrary: son-inlaw, fáther-in-law \&c. Adjectives seldom, as in cláreobscűre (substantive) áshy-päle, let the accent rest upon the last constituent, yet the syntactical relation is predominant, especially with participles preceded by a determination operating adverbially, as in near-síghted, faint-heárted, freshwátered and the like, especially in those compounded of all: all-seéing, all-accómplished, and many such.
$\beta$ ) Compounds originally foreign to English are, for the most part, substantives, and have partly become foreign to linguistic consciousness, as compounds. They have the predominant bias to accent the originally determinant word. Modern imitations belong here also.

Disyllables of this sort therefore have the accent upon the first syllable:

Substantives: návarch, hépt'arch, -áugur, áuspice, sólstice, - mórtgage, háutboy, kérchief, cúrfew, cínque,-foil, béldam, bóngrace, bóutefeu, máiuprise.

Adjectives hardly exist.
The compound verb maintáin has the accent upon the last syllable.

Modern unassimilated words, especially French ones, have retained their accent: bonáir, bonmót, haut-góut, and many more.

Trisyllables mostly have the same accent, especially when they have an open penultimate, to which belong in particular the Greek and Latin words with the connecting vowels $i, o$ :
Substantives: mónarchy, mísanthrope, pédagogue, démagogue, strátegy, strángury; - mónologue, híppodrome, hólocaust; - áqueduct, úsufruct, mánuscript; - ármiger, ármistice, sánguisuge, dápifer, párricide; vérmifuge, gírasole, bélamie, trípmadam, chánticleer; yet also máinpernor.
Adjectives: órthodox, múltiform, úniform, násiform.
Verbs: mánumit, crúcify, cálefy and all compounded of $f y$.
Exceptions are formed by many with a quantity and accent originally Greek, Latin or French, as: chirágra, factótum, portfólio; especially with a penultimate long by position: arúspex, arúspice; portcúllis, portmánteau, champértor, champérty and many such; likewise all adjectives compounded of fic: maléfic, magnífic, pacífic \&c.

In polysyllables, borrowed and partly imitated from the Greek and the Latin, the language reveals the decided effort not to transport the accent back beyond the antepenultimate, according to the Latin fashion, but to fix it there, through which the accent often falls upon the connecting vowel:

Substantives: monópoly, theómachy, polýgamy, misánthropy, cranióscopy, hendécagon, monógamist, hermáphrodite, barómeter, zoógrapher; - omnípotence, benéficence, solíloquy, attíloquence, funámbulist.
Adjectives: homólogous, homótonous, ambíloquous according to the law for ous), altisonant, belligerent, benéficent, mellifluent; convéxo-cőncave and therefore also Ánglo-Sa̋xon, Ánglo-Nőrman, Anglo-Dảnish.

Here therefore the accent frequently omits the fundamental word. Yet with many the inclination prevails to accent the determinant word upon the syllable of the stem, even before the antepenultimate, for example: álle gory, órthoepy, cárdialgy, híeroglyph, héresiarch, mélancholy, áristocrate and many more; áeronaut, ágriculture, hórticulture \&c.
With others, on the contrary, length by position effects the transfer of the accent to the penultimate: polyandry, lithodéndron, ágonîstarch; benefáctor, Benedictine, as in áqua-tinnta, áqua-főrtis; polyándrous, heptaphýllous (according to the rule for -ous).
Even without this reason we find such accenting as in omniprésence (compare omnípotence).

The verb ánimadvërt has the principal accent upon the last syllable.

Those derived from polysyllables follow the rule of the removal of the accent back, so far as derivational terminations do not decidedly require it on any particular syllable, for example:
órthodoxy from órthodox, mélancholize from mélancholy, and so forth.
b) Compounding of particles with particles and other parts of speech.

Here peculiarities, as well as differences, shew themselves, which are partly ascribable to the employment of Germanic or non Germanic particles and come particularly under consideration in the compounding with nouns and verbs.
«) Compounding of particles with particles.
The general rule in these words compounded of Germanic elements requires the accent on the last constituent of the compound. To them belong those compounded of inseparable prefixes, as $a$, be: afóre; beyónd \&c.; even with an originally double prefix: abóut, Anglosaxon â-be-ûtan, as well as those consisting of independent particles: althóugh, unléss, until, upón, withóut, whereóf, whereát, herebý, whenéver, moreóver, throughóut, undernéath, overagáinst \&c.; with which even a part may even be compound: thereupon, hencefórward, whéreintő, whéreunto (from into, únto with the accent changed); Interjections, as welawáy (Anglosaxon vâ lâ vâ), slapdásh! whereas others, as hip, hop! accent the first constituent, or like héydáy! both alike.
Variations there certainly are, to which belong into, unto, hitherto, álso; those compounded with ward, wards, properly adjectives: úpward, tóward, tówards, hítherward \&e, some with where, there, here: whérefore, whéreso, whëreabóut, hëreabóut, thérefore \&c., élsewhere, nówhere; with thence: thénceforth, théncefrom (but thenceformard); those with the pronominal some: sómewhere, sómewhither, sómehow, also fúrthermore and some others, as the substantive while in érstwhile \&c.

Those cases cannot be considered as exceptions which must in fact be regarded as compounds of nouns: sómewhat, móstwhat, nóway, nóways, sómetime, likewise \&c. The adjective superlatives inmost, óutmost \&c., do not belong here.

Particles consisting of prepositions and nouns, in which the proclitic preposition has its effect, accent the noun: indéed, outright, forsóoth, perháps, perchánce and so forth. Yet here afórehand, afóretime, áfterall, and ővermúch (cf. óversőon) form exceptions.

## $\beta$ ) Compounding of Particles with Nouns.

1) of Germanic Particles:
$\alpha_{\infty}$ ) Nouns of this sort, among which but a few adjectives have been preserved, throw, with the exception of the inseparable particles $a$, be, for, as well as of the negatives un and mis, the accent upon the particle. Mis certainly often receives the subordinate accent; where it has the principal accent,
the noun rests upon forms originally French, as mischief, miscreant c. der. Un has the accent in únthrift. Parasyntheta, that is, derivatives from other compounds (here from verbs) retain the accent of their primitive; substantives in ing, since they also may be regarded as parasyntheta, fluctuate here and there.

Here come particularly under consideration forms of nouns with the particles in, after, on, off', over, out, under, up, by, fore, forth, thorough and well.
in (often hard to separate from the Latin in): Substantives: ínmate, inland, income, indraught, inlay, but as a verb inlây \&c. Adjectives: ínly, inward \&c.
after: Substantives: áfterbirth, áfterthought, áftercrop \&c.
on: Substantives: ónset, ónslaught.
off: Substantives: óffal, óffspring, óffscum, óffscouring.
over: Substantives: óverfall, óverlight, óverjoy, óvercharge, őverbálance, also őverréacher and överrúler, in spite of the verbs overréach, overrúle. Adjectives: óvergreat, őverfrúitful; yet commonly with the principal accent upon the fundamental word: óverprőmpt, óverlảrge, óverbűsy, óverhảsty, óvercre̋dulous \&c.; hence also in the substantives derived therefrom, as óverquîetness.
out: Substantives: óutlaw, óutroad, óutgate, óutline, even óutgoing, óutpouring, also óutrider (yet not in the sense of the verb outríde). Adjectives: óutblown, óutborn, óutbound, but outlándish.
under: Substantives: űnderléaf, űndergrówth, ündercroft \&c., yet in polysyllables often with the accent advanced: únderfäculty, úndershe̋riffry, úndertre̋asurer, even únderféllow. Adjective: unnderbréd.
up: Substantives: úproar, úpshot, úpspring (yet naturally upbráider, uphólder \&c. from upbráid, uphóld). Adjective: úpright.
by: Substantives: bý-end, bý-name, by̆-púrpose; compounded of polysyllabic, mostly Romance words, often, however, accented upon the fundamental word: bý-depẻndence, bý-concêrnment, bý-innterest, bý-desỉgn.
fore: fórefoot, fórehand, fóresight (but Adjective foresightful \&c., and many parasyntheta, as forebóder, forewarning \&c.; yet also forespúrrer without the corresponding verb). Some retain the accent on the fundamental word: forenótice. Adjectives, mostly with participial forms without the corresponding verb: fórecited, fórementioned, fórepossessed, fórehanded, yet also forevóuched, forespént and forewórn \&c.
forth: few substantives with a verbal accent: forthcóm-
ing, forthissuing, on the other hand forthright (as adverb).
thorough: Substantives: thórough-wax, thóroughwort, on the other hand thórough-bäse; Adjectives: thőrough-bréd, but also thórough-lighted, and many such.
well: Substantives: wélfare; yet in the form well fluctuating in the accent: well-willer, well-wisher; on the other hand wéll-being, and adjectives with the participial form: wéll-born, wéll-bred, yet well-fávoured and many such.

Other compounds assume the adjective form instead of the adverb before the fundamental word, and fall into the sphere of the compounding of nouns.
$\beta \beta$ ) Verbs with Germanic particles, except those with the above mentioned unaccented ones, only compounded of: in, over, out, under, up, fore, with and gain; with the exception of gain all have the accent on the fundamental word: inbréathe, inlóck (in is frequently hardly to be separated from the Latin in) overáwe, overcárry; outáct, outpáce; underbéar, understánd; updráw, upgrów; foredó, forejúdge, but fóreimägine; withdráw, withstánd; on the other hand gáinsay, gáinstand, gáinstrive.

Parasyntheta follow the accent of nouns: óutlaw: to óutlaw; óutline: to óutline; fórward: to fórward.
2) of Non-germanic particles:

Here the Romance, that is, those particles originally Latin, coming mostly through the French, come under consideration, in addition to which the Greek particles, likewise partly passing through the Latin and the French, deserve mention.
$\alpha_{(x)}$ In compound nouns the principles of Germanic and of Latin accenting cross each other (in regard to the open penultimate or to that closed and long by position, even in regard to its vowel when long by nature) as well as the French, which aplies the accent to the last full syllable. It is readily understood that those terminations which do not allow the accent to go beyond a certain syllable in simple words, are also the standard here.

The Romance prepositional particles therefore chiefly follow the law of Germanic ones, if the fundamental word is a monosyllable, or the last syllable is a glib short one, (as in ble) and have the accent upon the particle.

Substantives: índex, insect, instinct, édict, éffort, ábstract, ábsciss, ádvent, énsign, óffice, rélic, réfuge, préface, próverb, tréspass, cómfort, cóncord, cóllege, cóunsel; with disyllable particles: interlude, interdict, ínterreign, ánteroom, ántetemple, circumstance, súperflux, chntradance, cóunterscarp; but intróit.

Adjectives: ímplex, ínstant, ábsent, ábject, ádverse, áffable, próstrate, dístant, cónvex, cónstant; with disyllabic particles: círcumspect, súperfine.

But monosyllabic fundamental words often have the accent, not only when they remind us of French ones, as affaír, affrónt, degrée, defénce, desíre, deféat, retréat; adróit, oblíque \&c.; but many preserve, especially in the final syllable closed with a double consonant, their original accent: compare, Substantives: e vént, excéss, abscéss, annéx, afféct, concént, deféct \&c.; with several prefixes: ántepenűlt; Adjectives: exémpt, adúlt, attént, abrúpt, occúlt, conjúnct, corrúpt \&c. often coinciding with verbs of like sound, although otherwise distinguished from these by the accent (see below). Sometimes a vowel originally long is maintained under the accent, as in the adjectives: compléte, attríte, contríte, concíse, connáte, acúte, obtúse, abstrúse \&c.

With disyllabic fundamental words the particle commonly has the accent with an open penult: Substantives: éffigy, cómpany; rémora; ávenue, rétinue; ímplement, éxcrement; áccolent, íncident; réference, réticence; áffinage; áppetite; ábature; ássuetude; circúmference \&c. Adjectives: éxpletive, ápposite; immanent, cómpetent; ássonant, córrugant; ádequate, áccurate; óbvious, ábsonous, dépilous; ábsolute; -intércalar, circúmfluent, circúmfluous\&c. With disyllabic prefixes a syllable long by position in the antepenult keeps the accent.

Length by position in the vowel of the penult mostly hinders the recession of the accent: Substantives: delínquent, appéllant, appréntice, depérdit, advénture, adoléscence \&c. Adjectives: adnáscent, decúmbent, abúndant, reténtive, éxtraműndane, intercőmmon, interfülgent, ántemúndane. The originally long vowel of the penult also sometimes retains the accent: expónent, appárent, imprúdent, interlácent, impánate (Latin pānis); yet a short vowel also is often erroneously lentghened: affábrous (Latin affăber), complácent (Latin plăceo); circumjácent (Latin jăceo); even a short vowel lengthened: concólour (Latin concőlor).

Yet even the position of consonants is often not heeded: ảntecúrsor, äntechápel, äntechámber, cónfessor, réncounter, íntellect (intel $=$ inter).

Fundamental words of more than one syllable leave the accent on the prefix, according to the principles obtaining for simple words, as cónditory, cónsistory, éxpletory, éxplicable, ápplicable \&c. Derivatives from verbs retain the verbal accent, as far as possible.

Among the rest of the Romance particles the negatives in, non, ne, bene, male, vice, bi, ambi, demi, semi, and the like, are to be remarked.
in is in general unaccented: immúnd, imprúdent, immatúre, incorréct, ignóble \&c. It is accented in impotent, impudent, indolent, innocent, impious, infinite, ínfidel, and the substantives ínfant, inscience, mostly according to the Latin mode. On the other hand non readily takes the principal accent: nón-age, nónclaim, non-sense, - nón-aged; Nonchalánce, nonparéil are accented in the French manner. ne may receive the accent in nouns, for iustance négligent, négative. bene and male are treated as integral portions of the word and take the accent with a regard to the more general laws: bénefit, bénedict, bénefice (but benéficent, as well as maléficent, malévolent, maléfic \&c.), málefice, máltalent; (in málecontent $e$ is mute), mälefáctor. vice has the accent only in viceroy and víscount c. derr. $b i$, demi, semi readily take the accent, as bifid, bigamy; démigod, dêmidévil, sémicircle, sẻmicólon \&C.; but let it pass on to the fundamental word, in consequence of the infiuence of position and termination upon the fundamental word: bicórnous, bidéntal on account of position, biángulous, sémiãnnular; but also biquádrate \&c. ambi and others hardly come under consideration: ámbidẻxter, ambiguous obey the well known influence.

Particles originally Greek are on the whole to be treated from the points of view which are good for the Romance particles.

Monosyllabic fundamental words: éclogue, mèthode, próem, próblem, sýmptom; with a disyllabic prefix: épitaph, ánagram, ápophthegm, métaphrase, périod; yet eclípse.

Disyllabic fundamental words: écstasy, prótasis, sýncope; with disyllabic prefix: anástrophe, antipathỳ, metábasis, hypótenuse. The accent does not readily go beyond the antepenult; yet sometimes in open syllables after the accent: ántinomy. Length by position often operates in the penult: apóstle, metacárpal, metalépsis; yet even here it is neglected: párergy, ánecdote, ánalepsy. An originally long vowel of the penult has the accent in disyllables and polysyllables (see above on the terminations éma, ésis and ósis): dioráma, anacolúthon.

But among the prepositional particles following the same rules the alpha privative ( $\dot{c}$ ) is to be noticed, which is wont to keep the accent fixed: ámazon, átimy, átheist and ägaláxy, ätaráxy.

Prefixes, such as eu, dys and archi are felt and accented as decidedly determinent words: éulogy, éupathy, éucharist, éuthanasy; dýsphony, dýsury, dýsentery, dýsury, dýsentery, dýsorexy; árchitect, árchitrave \&c.; although length by position in the penult operates, even here: euríthmy, eupépsy, dysópsy. The prefix archi, (arch, arche) which has passed through even the Anglosaxon
as well as the French, is likewise subject to this influence: archángel, archbíshop; is however else unaccented: archdúke, archdéacon, archénemy, archipélago.
$\beta \beta$ ) With verbs the endeavour to accent the fundamental word is predominant.

This is most clearly exhibited in monosyllabic fundamental words: impél, illúde, abstérge, abhór, adórn, obtáin, recláim, perpénd, defénd, discérn, dený, seléct, transcénd. This is seldom departed from with a monosyllabic prefix, as in édit, rével (Old-French reveler, Latin rebellare, as distinguished from revél = to draw back) and those compounded of ferre: díffer, óffer, próffer; pérjure, cónjure (as distinguished from conjúre), cónquer, tréspass. Even French words follow the rule: achieve, agist (mediaval-Latin agistare, adgistare from the French giste, gite) and others. Even disyllabic prefixes commonly allow the accent to remain on the fundamental word, as inter, intro, contra, super \&c., which content themselves with the subordinate accent: intercẻde, intercëpt, intromít, cóntrapőse, cóntradỉct, cóuntermảnd, súperảdd, súperve̋ne; yet these sometimes draw the principal accent to themselves, particularly ante and circum, yet others also: ántedate, ántepone (except antecéde), círcumvént, cîrcumscríbe, also super in súperpose, súperpraise, súpervive, inter in interlink and intérpret, contro in cöntrovért and others.

The principal rule also obtains for verbs compounded of several particles: réapprőve, rēcollëct and rĕcollëct, récommênd, résurvềy, préexîst, préconcêive, préconcẻrt, déobstrűct, décompőse, dísemba̋rk, dísannủl, súperexält, súperinspẻct \&c. A few withdraw themselves from it, as réconcile, récompeuse, récognize.

Such parasyntheta as, although in an unaltered form, are derived from nouns, like círcuit, círcumstance do not belong here; although with many it remains doubtful whether they spring from a noun or from a Romance verb already derived from the noun as commerce (French substantive commerce, verb commercer) and many others. But the accenting of verbs upon the fundamental word is frequently opposed to the accenting of nouns, else of like sound, upon the prefix, as impáct, impórt, impréss, insúlt; essáy, escórt; exíle, expórt, extráct, absént, abstráct, abjéct, affíx, accént; objéct; rebél, refúse, retáil, recórd, perfúme; presént, preságe, premíse, prefix; protést, projéct; trajéct, transpórt; digést, discórd, detáil, desért, descánt; subjéct; compáct, compóst, compóund, complót; compréss; conféct, confíne, conflíct, convict, convént, convóy, contést, contéxt, contráct, condíte, condúct, concért,
concréte, consórt; colléague, colléct; also with polysyllabic prefixes: interdict, countermáreh and others.

Inversely, conformably with the genius of the language, substantives developed from verbs, are, in contradistinction to the latter, accented upon the prefix, as the substantives increase, ássign, pérmit, próduce, tránsfer, súrvey, conserve and the like; whereas parasyntheta (especially with further derivative terminations) otherwise follow their compound fundamental word.

Disyllabic and polysyllabic fundamental words are mostly stems further developed through assignable syllables of formation. Disyllables leave the accent on the syllable of the stem of the fundamental word: impéril, endánger, enrápture, exhíbit, extínguish, revísit, revómit, dismémber, disfúrnish, persevére (compare Latin persevēro) \&c. Those ending in esce have the accent upon this syllable: efflorésce, effervésce, acquiésce. But with disyllables and polysyllables a regard to the open or close penult is sometimes manifested. Thus verbs in ate, with an open penult, have the accent on the antepenult, whether this makes the prefix or not; yet, when the penult is long by position, on the latter: déviate, récreate, ággregate, cónsecrate; expátriate, emásculate; on the other hand deálbate, restágnate, averrúncate. Even here the original length of the open penult is sometimes regarded and accented: instáurate, impanate, delîrate, delîbate, despūmate, súperfëtate \&c. Verbs in ute partly follow this principle: éxecute, prósecute; on the other hand attribute, contríbute. Verbs in ize, ise mostly have the accent on the syllable of the stem of the fundamental word: inthrónize, denátionalize, disórganize, imbástardize; yet some with a disyllabic fundamental word leave the accent on the prefix: éxorcize, advertise. Óccupy follows the compounds of $f y$, as j ústify \&c.

Particles not prepositional are treated in like manner: biséct, impáir (on the other hand Adjective impair), ignóre but ínjure. Words like diplómate are parasyntheta.

## B) Of the subordinate accent.

The Germanic simple words of the English tongue, which are mostly not amplified by compound derivational syllables, commonly comprehend the whole number of their syllables under one accent. Germanic compounds also, mostly consisting of monosyllabic words, have scarcely any prominent accent besides the principal one, as éarthnut, éarlap, éagle-eyed. Such comes out most clearly in non-Germanic, polysyllabic, simple or compound words. The immediate succession of a principal and subordinate accent or the reverse,
throngh which the word would be interrupted by a slight pause, is repugnant to the English language wherefore, disyllabic compounds almost always lose their subordinate accent. To the word ámén therefore, both syllables of which are accented, two accents, not discriminated as principal and subordinate, are attributed, whereby the word becomes monotonous. The subordinate is divided from the principal accent by at least one depressed syllable.

The subordinate accent is, in polysyllables, natural, and a physiological necessity; but the glibness of popular pronunciation produces in a series of syllables an unconscious syncope of the vowels, so that in words like nécessary, nécessarily, necéssitousness, cústomable, cústomarily, erróneousness, abbréviatory, chrístianize \&c. the decided prominence of a syllable with a subordinate accent appears less needful.

The more elegant language, and artistic or oratorical delivery are richer in subordinate accents. The observing them has become the task of modern Grammarians and lexicographers. Here of course, much is conventional.

In general the following principles may be established:

1) If an derivative syllable of a simple word, or a word compounded of an unaccented particle, requires the accent, the principal accent falls upon it; the subordinate accent then falls on the syllable of the stem originally accented, if the latter is separated by at least one syllable from the former: cánnonäde from cánnon, hálberdỉer from hálberd, lápidảtion from lápidate; élemêntal from élement; músculărity from múscular; sérpentärius from sérpent; - remémorätion from remémorate. It may however be separated by two syllables from the subordinate accent: cáricatűre, remúnerab"lity, irrévocability.

If the syllable of the stem comes immediately before the syllable of the principal accent, the subordinate accent may hit a prefix: énervảtion, ádmirätion; but if the primitive had already thrown its accent upon a derivative form, the subordinate accent then recedes to the proper syllable of the stem: élasti"city (from elástic), lámentảtion (from lamént compare lámentable). However the accent does not go beyond the previous third syllable long by position; hence iráscibỉlity from iráscıble. In general, two syllables before the principal accent cannot remain without a subordinate accent.
2) If the principal accent falls upon the syllable of the stem of a simple word or the accented syllable of a word compounded of an accented prefix, a syllable of derivation separated therefrom by at least one syllable receives the subordinate accent, unless a series of unaccented and chiefly open syllables permits an even gliding of the stemis, wherefore only more sharply prominent terminations require an accent. Here belong especially the terminations áted, átor, átory, átrix, átive, áster, ócre and other endings encumbered with more syllables: lảmelláted, cűspidáted, lảnceoláted, ẻmulátor, grảtulátory, mẻdiá-
trix, nüncupátive, műltiplicátive, admỉnistrátive, mẻdicáster, mẻdiócre, őbsoléteness, ảdvertíser, ảdvertising \&ec.
2) As far as particularly regards compound words, the subordinate accent becomes prominent in the compounding of notional words, only where the fundamental or determinant does not appear to be monosyllabic, although the weight of the fundamental word is especially effective; hence: bärber-mónger, pẻnnywórth, hälfpennywórth, bärgemáster, pẻpperbóx, pẻppergíngerbread, cűstomhóuse and many more; on the other hand also certainly hándkerchief and hándiwork, and many other suppressions of the subordinate accent. It is also to be remarked that the compounding of a polysyllabic substantive with a subsequent proposition gives the latter the subordinate accent; as hänger-ón.

Polysyllabic nouns compounded of polysyllabic Germanic prepositions likewise receive the subordinate accent: a̋fteráges, ünderwórker, őverbálance. With a monosyllabic fundamental word the language also leans towards the accenting it, yet not always decidedly, as in űndergrówth, ővermátch and the like.

In substantive forms, as hűrly-búrly, tỉttle-táttle, the first part of the conjunction is accented, yet occasionally the second also: linsey-wőolsey; as in the adverb híggledy pïggledy.

Foreign compounds of nouns are to be treated according to the accent of the simple words: compare préumatőlogy, méteorőlogy, bénefäctor, múriatîferous, plénilűnary; bı̈bliománcy, ảristocrát, ägricúlture, hỏmicídal.

In the compounding of particles with verbs, particles, according to the general law, have the subordinate prior to the principal accent. In compounding with several particles, the accent readily recedes to the third syllable before the principal accent: súperexảlt, misunderständ; as is also the case with similar nouns: ínapprehënsible.
4) More than one subordinate accent occurs in derivative forms, which are based upon doubly accented forms: dísaccómmodätion (dísaccőmmodate), imprescríptibillity (ímprescrỉptible).
It is to be observed, in conclusion, that rhetorical reasons may produce a departure from the usual accent. For instance, the reference to an opposition may demand the prominence of the stem instead of the termination: probability and pläusibility (instead of -"lity), or of the termination instead of the stem: debtor and debtée (instead of débtor); or of the prefix instead of the fundamental word: We see that the Autobiography does not so much misstate as understate (Lewis); by which even to the simple notion its contrary, with an accented prefix, may be opposed: to use and misuse, to give and fórgive \&c.

Variety of accent is, in English, mainly produced in common
life by the fluctuation between the principal and the subordinate accent. Modern Lexicography has deserved great credit for fixing the accent. The difference between the accenting of ancient and modern English lies chiefly in the limitation of the French pronunciation in the modern language. Yet other divergencies are found, for example, even in Spencer, Marlowe, Ben Jonson and Shakspeare, the frequent accenting of the particles be, for and mis, as well as of some Latin ones in verbs, as con, pro, which are no longer allowed; apart from the accenting of polysyllables, in which a divergence has arisen in accenting the penult and the antepenult. Thus, in Shakspeare charácter, Lúpercal instead of cháracter, Lupércal \&c.

## II. The Elements of the Word according to their origin.

We have to do with the arising of the present elements of the English word chiefly from the Anglosaxon and the French. We are concerned with the preservation or the transmutation of old vocal signs which, only in a limited measure, preserve their old pronunciation.

The consonant ever remains in the course of time the more fixed element in writing and in sound; the vowel is more changeable. The treatment of the vowel conforms to more fixed principles in the accented than in the unaccented syllable, especially after the accented syllable, but otherwise before it. In no tongue has the system of sounds been so much disturbed in the course of time as in English; nowhere has the mutilation of the word down to a monosyllable proceeded so far; nevertheless the vocal hue of English has remained essentially Anglosaxon.

## Origin of the vowels and Diphthongs.

The original Anglosaxon vocalization has suffered most, the OldFrench less, that of modern words received from French and Latin, the least, which last we have not to treat in detail, although pronunciation often alters in many ways the hue of the vowel. The primitive quantities are effaced, the consonants and the position of the syllable in the word chiefly governing the quantity. The original length of the vowel is however often retained, being indicated by an mute $e$, either appended or preserved. Clear and obscure vowels are on the whole discriminated in accented syllables; in unaccented ones they easily pass into one another.

I answers
a) in an accented syllable with the value of the Highdutch $i$ with a short sound, chiefly to the short Anglosaxon i and y, sometimes to the broken eo and e, but also here and there to the long Anglosaxon î, y, eo and even $æ$.

Anglosax: i: in (Anglosax: in), if (gif), it (hit), with (vid), ship (scip); give (gifan), liver (lifer); swim (svimman), win (vinnan), begin (beginnan), bid (biddan), spit (spittan), wit (witt, wit); inn (inn, in), will (substantive ville, verb villan), spill (spillan), thick (picce), hilt (hilt), milk (miluc, mile), swing (svingan), wink (vincjan), bitch (bicce), fish (fisc), silver (silfor, seolfer, sylfer).
Anglosax: $y$ : thin (pynne), kin (cynn), sin (synn), trim trymman), hip (hype, hyppe), knit (cnyttan), hill (hyll, hill), kiss (cyssan), filth (fylf), dint (dynt), little (lytel, litel), kitchen (cycene), listen (hlystan), sister (svyster, suster), stir (styrjan), gird (gyrdan), birth (byrd), thirst (pyrstan).

Anglosax: eo often interchanged with i in Anglosaxon: silk seoloc, seolc), widow (veoduve).

Anglosax: e, likewise interchanged with $i$ and $y$ : brim bremme, brymme), grin (grennjan), bring (brengan along with bringan), think (pencëan, pencan along with pyncëan, pyncan, think), smirk and smerk (substantive smerc, verb smercjan).

Anglosaxon î: stiff (stîf), rich (rîc), nip (hnîpan), withy (vî才ig), witness (vîtness), wisdom (vîsdôm).

Anglosaxon $\hat{y}$ : wish (vŷscan), fist (fyst), which (hvŷlic).
Anglosaxon eó: sick (seóc, sióc, sŷc).
Anglosaxon æ: whiffle (væflan, Old-norse veifla), riddle (rædels).

Old-English here often puts $e$ in the place of the sound proceeding from the short $i$, as yeve (give), leve (live, anglosax: libban, lifjan), seluer (silver) \&c.; on the other hand $u$ instead of the i arising from $\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ : hull, gult, cussede (kissed), yfulled (filled, Anglosax: fyllan), wuche (which), fust, luper (Anglosax: lyđer) \&c.; but often $y$ instead of $i$ : hym, ys, yt, tyn, mydde, brynge \&c.

The French often presented i in a final accented syllable (ie). An accented i in words originally French mostly appears accented in modern English. Here $i$ stands in the place of the French i, e, and even a and u. The vocalization is often fashioned after the Latin.

Old-French i: issue (Old-French the same), history (histoire, estoire), cinque (cinc, cinque), city (cite), pity (pite, pitie), vigour (vigor, vigur), mirror (mireor), dinner (digner, disner), river (riviere), vermilion (compare vermiller) so frequent in modern words.

Old-French e, also interchanging with i: chivalry (chevalerie), chimney (cheminee and chimenee), cinder (cendre), virtue (vertu), circle (cercle), lizard (Modern-French lézard), frigate (Modern-French frégate), abridge (abréger), skirmish (eskermir). The Old-English still often has e: chevalerie, chevalrous, vertue \&c. Print points to an OldFrench ei (preindre, priendre); mistresse, Old-English maystres to ai (Rob. of Gloucester), mastres (Skelton).

Old-French a: fringe (frange, mediavel-Latin frigia, OldEnglish frenge), crimson (French cramoisi, Italian carmesino, cremisino).

Old-French u: ribbon (French ruban), bittern (butor), perhaps also sirloin (surlonge) and sirname (sur-). Compare the reverse úmpire (perhaps properly impair) Old-English nounpere (Piers Plough.) from the Old-French peer, pair, par. Provincially $u$ often becomes $i$, for instance in Cheshire.

In the unaccepted syllable it mostly proceeds from the Germanic and French, as well as Latin $i$, in prefixes as well as in terminations, yet here representatives of many other obscure, particularly Romance vowels occur, for instance of $\hat{a}$. Wícliffe, Anglosax: Vîglâf. i stands alongwith $u$, as well as in Anglosaxon in the termination ing, beside ung, English only ing: ébbing (ebbung) \&c. and otherwise: dévil, Anglosax: deóful, -ol, deóll, ostrich, French autruche; often instead of a Romance $e$; súmmit, Old-French som, sum, modern-French sommet, rétinue, Old-English retenue; instead of ei and ai (in OldFrench often $i$. e): vénison, Old-French veneison, venison; chánfrin, French chanfrein; comparison, French comparaison; órison, Old-French orison, -eson, -eison; benefit, OldFrench bienfait, -fet; instead of oi: parish (paroisse); ánguish Old-French angoisse and anguisse; instead of a: húrricane, Spanish huracan; capárison, French caparaçon; instead of ou: cartridge, French cartouche \&c.
b) The diphthong $\hat{1}$, foreign in sound to the Anglosaxon as well as to the English even down to the $14^{\text {th }}$ century (see ei) illustrated by J. Wallis in the $17^{\text {th }}$ by the sound of the French pain, main, arises in the accented syllable primarily out of the Anglosaxon $\hat{i}$ and $\hat{y}$, but then also passing over into $\hat{i}$ out of i and y, especially before certain Anglosaxon consonants $c, g, n d$, $l d, h t$, as well as mostly before $g h, g h t$ (Anglosaxon $h$ and $h t$ ) also eó, eá and eo, ea and $\hat{\imath}$.

Anglosaxon î: time (tîma), wine (vîn), while (hvîl), wipe (vîpjan), wife (vîf), drive (drîfan), write (vrîtan), ride (rîdan), writhe ( $\mathrm{Vrî才an}$ ), wise (vîs), ice (îs); like (lîc), iron (îren), idle (îdel), light (lîht also leóht, lâht = levis), light litthan = levare).

Anglosaxon $\hat{y}$ : de-file (fŷlan), mire (mŷre $=$ palus) and mire, pismire (mŷre, Old-norse máur), fire (fŷr), hide (hŷd), bride (brŷd), hithe (hŷd = portus), lice (plural lŷs).

Anglosaxon i: under influence of $c$ and $g$ : I (ic), Friday Frigedäg), nine (nigon); before nd: bind (bindan), find (findan), wind (vindan) but not wind (vind $=$ ventus) c. der.; grind (grindan), hind (hind = cerva), behind (hindan), blind (blind); on the other hand hinder (hinderjan); before $l d$ : mild (mild), wild (vild), child (cild or cîld) yet the plural chîldren; see pronunciation; before ght: sight (siht), right (riht), plight (substantive plight, verb plightan), dight (dihtan), Wight (Vight): - but also pîne (pinn, pin, yet Latin pinus), îvy,

Anglosaxon ifig, Old-Highdutch epfi, ephi; and clîmb (climban, Old-English and Scotch climen).

Anglosaxon y: before nd: mind (mynd), kind (cynd), but not in compounds kindred; yet also brine (bryne); before ht, English ght: fright (fyrthu), wight (viht, vuht), wright (wyrtha).

Anglosaxon eó, eo: file (feól), tithe (teóđa); before $g h$ and ght: thigh (peóh), sigh (compare seófjan), light (leóth = lux), bright (beorht, bryht), fight (feohtan).
Anglosaxon eá, ea: nigh (neáh, nêh), high (heáh); might (meaht, miht), night (neaht, niht).
Hight belongs to hâtan, hêht; the obsolete pight to the Anglosaxon pyccan, pycte. Compare the Old-English Benedight (Chaucer).
The employment of the $\hat{i}$, taken from the Romance, Latin and Greek languages, is without principle; original length is seldom the reason of its being a diphthong, its position in the word alone decides. Yet a primitive i commonly lies at the root. Compare entîre, Old-French entir, entier; requîre, OldFrench querre, quierre, quirre, Old-English requere (Chaucer), squîre, Old-French escuier, esquier, Old-English squier; îvory (ivoire), prîmary, lîbrary \&c.; crîme, vîce; yet î also sometimes rests upon e, ai: gîant, jaiant, Modern-French géant, Old-English geaunt (Madndev.), reprîsal, French représaille \&c., even upon the Old-French $\mathbf{u}$ : contrive (truver) see $i e . \hat{\imath}$ has the same relation to the Cymric $u$, which has nearly the same sound as the French $u$, in kîte, Cymric cûd, cât, Anglosaxon cita, cyta.

In the unaccented syllable an originally long ${ }^{i}$ is sometimes preserved, as $\hat{\imath}$, as in félîne (Latin felinus), bóvîne and the like; else the diphthong is even here determined by its position in the word.
Ie in the accented syllable;
a) with the $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$-sound in the close syllable in Germanic words is almost always rendered in Old-English, by e, instead of:

Anglosaxon eó: lief (leóf), fiend (feónd, fiénd), thief (peóf), priest (preost); -friend (freónd, friend) with altered sound; Old-English fend, frend.

Anglosaxon i: field (field, fëld), shield (scild, scëld), sieve (sife); Old-English feld, scheld

Anglosaxon ê ( $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ ), e (y): believe (gelêfan, -lŷfan), wield (gevyldan, -veldan), Old-English leven, beleven, welden; also $\hat{\imath}$ : shriek, Old-norse skrîkja.

Old-French ie, along with e, often lies at the root: cap-a-pie (piet, pie), niece, piece, grief, fief, brief, chief (OldFrench the same), tierce (tiers, tierce), fierce (fier, [fiers]), cierge, bier (biere, bierre), cavalíer, arquebusíer \&c., achieve (achever, achiever), besiege (assieger, asseger), grieve (grever, grief), pierce (percer, perchier), Old-English chevetain (chieftain), acheven, assegen, percen \&c.

Old-French i: liege (lige), frieze (frize), mien (mine).

Old-French u: (Modern-French ou): retrieve (truver, trover, trouver), reprieve (repruver). Old-English has here commonly $e$, where Modern-English mostly chuses $o$ : preven, repreven, meven (Old-French muevre, movoir), ameven, remeven, keveren (cover); thus also the Old-Scotch. The Diphthong $\hat{\imath}$ (ei) has been exhibited above in contrîve.

Many $\hat{i} e$ are to be distinguished from the above as two vowels, both in the accented and in the unaccented syllable, as in acquiesce \&c.; forms like pítied, countries (with silent e) \&c.; orient, alien and the like.
b) $i e$ sounds with the diphthong $\hat{\imath}$ in the open syllable of the stem, in Germanic words, under the influence of a following original $c, g$, instead of:

Anglosaxon i, eá, y (g): lie (licgan, liggan, ligëan), vie vigjan, viggan), hie (higjan), die also dye (deágjan = tingere), (yet die =mori is Old-norse deyja to divan); tie (têgean, tŷgan, even the Anglosaxon tŷan, tîan); otherwise stems of this sort end with the English $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, ( $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}$ ) sound. $\hat{i} e$ also arises by inflection out of $\hat{y}$ : flies and thus in Romance words cries \&c., also in derivatives, as fiery (fîre).

Old-French ie is a diphthong in pie (pica); i in fie alongwith fy (compare the Old-English fyen = to say fy!); $\mathbf{e}$ in die plural dies and dice, Old-English dis, dees, deys.
$\hat{\imath} e$ in brîer and $\hat{\imath} a$ in frîar are to be taken as broadenings of an Anglosaxon $\hat{e}$ and a French $e$ (è) before $r$ : brêr, brær, French frere, Old-English the same. They have become disyllables: compare fiery from fire.
Y stands in words of Germanic, Romance and Latin-Greek origin, yet only in Germanic words at the end.
a) as a diphthong it arises out of:
the Anglosaxon $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ : $\mathrm{m} \hat{\mathrm{y}}$ (mîn), th $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ (pîn); with following $g$ : stŷ̀ (stîge $=$ hara); why (hvŷ, hvê, hû); sk $\hat{y}$, Old-norse skŷ, compare Anglosaxon scuva, scûa = umbra.

Anglosaxon eó ( $g, h$ ): fl̂̂y (lleógan), flŷ̀ (lleóge), shŷ (sceóh), sly (Swedish slug); fry (Old-norse frió, fræ, Old-French fraye).

Anglosaxon i and y under cooperation of a following $g$ : $\mathrm{b} \hat{\mathrm{y}}$ (big, bi, bë) unaccented be, Old-English be and bi, dry (drygge, drŷ); in bu $\hat{y}$, where $u$ stands idly, the same process takes place (bycgan, Old-English buggen, byggen, bien).

In the form ye it proceeds from i, eá ( $g$ ) in rye (rige, ryge), dŷe (deág, deáh), Old-English substantive deyer; compare Wye (Latin Vaga) in Wales.

Old-French i, mostly before $e$, likewise gives $\hat{\mathrm{y}}: \operatorname{tr} \hat{y}$ (trier), crŷy (crier), affy (affier), den $\hat{y}$ (denier), def $\hat{y}$ (defier), fr $\hat{y}$ (frire, freir), appl $\hat{y}$ (from plier, Old-French appliquer), compl $\hat{y}$ (com-plier), descrŷ (descrire), es pŷ (espier).

Old-French e (é), gives in the accented syllable sometimes $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ : supplŷ (Modern-French suppléer).

A primitive $\mathbf{y}(\stackrel{l}{ })$, which has passed through the Latin and French, mostly receives the diphthong sound through its position, as tyrant, c $\hat{y}$ press, hydromel \&c. See the pronunciation.

In the unaccented syllable the same is mostly good for the original $\mathbf{y}$. The words in i: fy (fier) and ply (plier) have always the diphthong; óccupy (occuper), próphesŷ exceptionally.
b) By far the most frequently a $y$ not primitive becomes an unaccented $\mathfrak{1}$, especially in final syllables. It arises from:
the Anglosaxon ig: penny (penig, properly pending), body (bodig), busy (bysig), rainy (rëgenig, rënig), twenty (tventig), bury (byrigan) \&c.; so also lily (lilje, lilege), berry (berje, berige) \&c.; it also interchanges with ow: holy (hâlig) and hállow, see ow, and is also developed out of the mere $g$ : felly (felg) also felloe, Cánterbury (Cantvaraburh, burg); so also out of ic: only (ânlîc) \&c.

Old-French ie and e (Modern-French é, ée) are transmuted in Modern-English into $y$; thus in verbs in ier: cárry, váry, stúdy, énvy, márry (carier, charier \&c.); in substantives in ie: hóstelry, týranny, fáncy, chívalry \&c.; also in i: mércy (mercit, merci), énemy, jolly, as in e (ée): píty, cíty, cháríty \&c.; in ee: ármy, jelly (gelée), duty (OldEnglish duetee); in ary, ory, arising from aire, oire by transposition under Latin influence \&c.; nécessary, víctory \&c. Some of these $y$ 's develope themselves out of ai, ei (oi), as véry (verai, Old-English veray, verray), bélfry (belefreit, belefroi). The Old-English frequently has ie instead of $i g$, $i e$ and so forth. hevie (héavy); a plashie ground (Nomenclator 1585). The Cobler of Canterburie (1590). Fortie mark (Criy match 1639. p. 14.); carien, studien; envie, hostelrie, chevalrie, victorie \&c., commonly even down to the $16^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ centuries dictionarie, historie, phantasie, societie \&c.; instead of e (é, ée) frequently ee: pitee, charitee, solempnitee; also perhaps a mere e: cite, pite \&c.

In Latin-greek words a primitive $y$ is often in part an accented, in part an unaccented $\check{i}$ : ty̆ranny, ly̆ric \&c. Egy̆pt, anály̆sis \&c.
$\mathbf{E}$ is divided unequally into the predominant short and long sound. Primarily
a) in the accented syllable a short $\breve{e}$ mostly developes itself out of the same vowel, thereby proving itself to be the most fixed vowel of those tongues which are the basis of English. It arises out of
the Anglosaxon e and ë, whether these point to an original $a$ or $i$ : den (dene, denn), wen (venn), wren (vrenna), sell (sellan, syllan), step (steppan), neb (nebb), net (nett), bed (bedd), bench (benc), rest (rest, räst), merry (merh, mirig); well (vëla, vël), get (gëtan, gitan), melt (mëltan, miltan), seld, seldom (sëld, seldan); nest (nist, nëst), self (silf, sëlf, seolf), fennel (finul, fënol), pepper (pipor, peopor, pëpor), fetter (feotur, fëtor).

Anglosaxon untransmuted i and y seldom give the Engl. e: desk, beside dish (disc), sheriff (scirgerefa), welcome (vilcume, verb vilcumjan); - elder (ylder), kernel (cyrnel), whelk (hvylca, fledge (flycge).

Anglosaxon eo, interchanging with i in: herd (heord, hiord), seven (sëofon, siofun, syfon), Fredrick (Freođoric, freođo alongwith friðu), her (hire, heore).

Anglosaxon a and ä: pebble (pabol), produced in where (hvar, hvär); egg (äg), elf (älf, elf, ylf), Alfred (Älfrêd), less (läs), Old-Engl. ware (Rob. of Gloucester), lass; and ea: belch (bealcjan), stern (stearn), Berkshire (Bearrucscir); even â: emmet (âmæte, âmête). In Old-English and dialectically $e$ often takes the place of $a$ : esp, exle, extre, (Lydgate $)=$ axletree, edder \&c. See A.

Anglosaxon $æ$ passes here and there into ě: errand (ærende), erst (ærest); produced in ere (ær), there (pær, pêr); were (værë, væron), ever (æfre), never (næfre, nêfor), wet (væt), let (lætan = sinere), wrest (vræstan), wrestle (vræstljan); Old-English arande, pare, wrastle, arst; even or instead of ere; ye war, ware often in Skelton.
Anglosaxon ê rarely: reck (rêcan = curare), reckless (rêceleás), bless (blêtsjan, blêssjan).

Anglosaxon eá in red (reád, reód), Edmund, Edgar, Edwin (Eádmund \&c.); on the other hand Eadbert (Eádberht) and in the unaccented syllable -less (leás $=$ less).
Anglosaxon eó: in devil (deóful), theft (peófð, pŷf才).
Anglosaxon 0 and $\hat{o}$ is also found rendered by $e$ in welkin (volcen) and Wednesdäy (Vôdnesdäg), Wednesbury (Vôdnesbeorh), Old-English walkne.

Among the French elements e is, with regard to its place in the word, the basis of the short $\check{e}$, as also the $e$ of other tongues. Old-French $e$ : gem (gemme, yet Anglosaxon gimm), repént (repentir), regrét (regreter), clef (the same), err (errer), serf (the same); clérgy (clergie), remémber (remembrer); also in the open syllable: séveral (the same), béverage (the same), ténant (the same), précious (precios, -us) \&c.

Old-French a, which, before the nasal, interchanges with $e$ even in Old-French: trench (trancher and trencher), merchant (marcheant), Old-English marchant, as clerk and serjeant assume an $a$, at least in pronunciation.

Old-French ei, ai, ie, which likewise interchange with $e$ : vessel (vaissel, veissel, vessel), pledge (pleige, plege), secle (siecle, secle).

Old-French i: cemetery (cimetiere), sketch(French esquisse), lemon (limon), level (Italian livello), Ex (Latin Isca) a river in Devonshire.
$e$ seldom takes the place of oi: perry, French poiré; or u: ferret, French furet, to the Latin fur.
In the unaccented syllable before the accent $e$ mostly arises out of e; on the other hand it is weakened down to a glib $\breve{e}$, after the accented syllable out of all Germanic and Romance vowels. Examples are everywhere to be met with, even apart from the organic, silent $e$. Thus $e$ stands in the place of the Anglosaxon a, o, u: ánswer (andsvarjan), ráther (rađor),
éarnest (eornost), fénnel (finul, -ol); even Anglosaxon takes the lead in this weakening; compare Anglosaxon hungur, -or, -er, English húnger; Anglosaxon endlifum, -eofun, -efen (Dative), English eléven and so forth. Old-French i, ei, ai, ie, oi, a \&c. give e: kénnel (chenil), gárret (garite), cóurtesan (courtisane), cóunsel (conseil, consel, consol), márvel (merveille, mervoile), míttens (mitaine), súdden (sudain), trável (travailler, traveiller), póitrel (poitrail), mánner (maniere); so mátter, ríver \&c. cóvet (covoiter, coveiter), hárness (harnas, harnois), mánger (mangeoire), Bénnet (Benoit), scárlet (escarlate), chállenge (chalonger, chalenger) \&c. OldEnglish often reverts or approximates to the old vocalization: hongur, lengur, betur (Rob. of Gloncester), conseil, merveillous, curteisie, sodayn, sodeyn (the latter even in Skelton), Beneit.
b) as a long $e$ with the $i$-sound, $e$ stands in modern-English mostly in non-Germanic words in the open syllable (see pronunciation).

The Anglosaxon e, ë has partly this sound in the open syllable: hē (hë), mē (më), wē (vë), yē (gë), ēven (ëfen), ēvil (yfel, eofel, ëfel and ëbul), mêtre (mëter), fēver (fëfer, compare French fièvre), bēsom (bësma); the older spelling is hee, mee \&c., as even now thee (pë), often to distinguish the accented from the unaccented ${ }^{\text {pponoun: }}$

Also the Anglosaxon æ: ēve, ēven, ēvening (æfen), these (pâs, gen. pissa, Old-English this, thise); eá and ê: ēke (Conjunction eác, êc, Substantive eáca, verb êcëan, êcan); and eó: be (beón).

Wherever e appears lengthened in an open syllable, it rests upon a Romance, Latin-Greek e (also a primitive ae, oe), and preserves or gains its length in great part by its position in the word: compare sevēre, scēne with gēnius (gênius), pēriod (përiodus). Demēsne, also demaine, points to the French ei, ai (demeine, demaine).
In the unaccented syllable $e$ inclines to the $i$-sound, more in the open than in the close syllable; Latin $e$ in the termination es (Latin $\bar{e} s$ ) preserves the length: ambágēs.
Ee is chiefly the representative of the lengthened $e$ and shares with ea the long $i$-sound. In Old-English ee frequently stands instead of the ea now in use: leef (leaf), heep (heap), heeth (heath), feet (feat), deen (dean) Piers Plovghim.), perhaps with the sound $\bar{e}$, as it was even in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. But a simple $e$ likewise stands in an open syllable or with a mute $e$ after it: meke (meek), sene (seen), quene (queen), whele (wheel), wepen (weep), seken (seek), kepen (keep), knelen (kneel), but also before other syllables beginning with a consonant fredom, and ben (been).

It especially answers to the Anglosaxon $\hat{e}$ as the modification of $\hat{o}$ : feel (fêlan), keel (cêlan), seem (sêman = judicare, compare sôm Substantive), green (grêne), queen (cvên), weep (vêpan), keep (cêpan), meet (mêtan), sweet (svête), speed (spêdan), feed (fêdan), sleeve (slêf, slŷf), geese (gês), teeth (têd), seek (sêcan soecan),
beechen (bêcen); - sweep (to svâpan compare the Lowdutch swöpe).

To the Anglosaxon ê alongwith eá, commonly ea in ModernEnglish: need (neád, nêd, nŷd), leek (leác), reek (rêc, reác), cheek (ceảce, cêce), ste ep (steáp).

To the Anglosaxon $æ$ mostly interchanging with ê: eel (æl), needle (nædl, nêdl), sleep (slæpan, slapan), sheep (scæp, scêp), seed (sæd), weed (væd), leech (læce, lêce), speech (spæc), greedy (grædig, grêdig), seely (sælig).

To the Anglosaxon eó frequently: bee (beó), flee (fleón, fleóhan), [compare be (beón)], tree (treó, trê), knee (kneó, kneóv) reel (hreól), wheel (hveól, hveovol), beer (beór), deer (deór, diór), steer (steóran, stióran, stŷran), steer (steór = taurus), deep (deóp), creep (creópan), seethe (seóđan, sióđan), freeze (freósan, frŷsan), fleece (fleós, flês, flŷs), beetle (biótul, beótel, bêtel, bŷtel).

To the Anglosaxon î: free (frî), three (prî), scere (scîr and scære) and even

To the Anglosaxon $\mathbf{i}$, ë, eo and $\mathbf{u}=$ Gothic $i$ : shire (scire), thee (pë) see above e, fee (feoh), see (sëon), week (vice, veoce, vuce), Old-English woke, wyke (Rob. of Gloucester). Thus keeve, stands alongwith kîve, Anglosaxon cyf = cupa.

The Old-French e, particularly in an open syllable and where it interchanges with $e i$, ai and $o i$ is often represented by $e e$ : agree (agreer), degree (the same), careen (Modern-French caréner), cheer (chere, chiere) alongwith chear, chänticléer (chantecler), peer (par, pair, per), peel (poiler, peiler, peler), Old-English secree (secreit, secroi); decree (decret), see (siez, se, sed), proceed, exceed, succeed alongwith recēde, precēde (proceder, succeder), discreet (discret), feeble (foible, Modern-French faible); thus also is the French termination $e$ (atus) represented in abandonee and other names of persons, likewise in names of things: rappee (rapé). A regard to the Latin $\bar{e}$ often prevails therewith: beet (French bette, Latin bēta, Old-highdutch bioza, bieza), spleen (splēn) \&c.

Also the French termination ier along with aire and iere in modern words, is often represented by eer, together with ier and er: pioneer, volunteer, career \&c.

The Old-French $i$ is often rendered thus in Modern-English: genteel (gentil), Old-English gentile; veer (virer), lee (lie), esteem (estimer), redeem (se rédimer) \&c.

The Old-French oe, ue, modern French oeu: beef (boef, buef) Old-French 0: fleet (flote or Anglosaxon flota = navis?) stand alone.

In the unaccented syllable, where it is rare, it rests upon the French é (ée): cóuchee, lévee, jéttee, cóffee, commíttee.

Ei and ey seem down to the $17^{\text {th }}$ century to have had only the sound of a long $\bar{e}$, which is even now predominant; the Old-English often puts it in the place of the $a i$, now in use: feire (fair), seint (saint), pleyn (plain), heyre (hair), deys (dais), susteynen (sustain), pleyen (play), seyen (say); often also instead of the present diphthong $i$ : heigh (high), neigh (nigh), deyen (die).

The ei in the middle of a syllable, rare in Germanic words, arises in the accented syllable, mostly before a succeeding $g(h)$ out of:
the Anglosaxon â (æ): their (pâra, pæra); - either, neither (âhväđer, âvđer, âđer, yet compare also æghvä才er and nâhväđer) now sounding with $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$.
the Anglosaxon ea: eight (eatha, ätha, ehta).
the Anglosaxon eá: height heáhđo), along with hîgh (heáh) sounding ei and neigh bour (neáhbûr) with $e$, along with nîgh (neáh), and heifer (heáhfôre, heáfore, heáfre) with a short $\check{e}$.
the Anglosaxon æ: neigh (hnægan); and ë, i: weigh (vëgan), weight (viht). In sleight (to slŷ, Lowdutch slû, Swedish slug) ei again prevails.

In words originally French it mostly stands in the place of the Old-French ei, interchanging with ai and ei, partly with the e sound: vein (veine), deign (deigner, daigner, degner), reign (reigner, rainer \&c.), heir (hoir, heir), veil and vail (voile, veile), reins (rein, rain); partly with the $\bar{i}$-sound: seize (seisir, saisir), seine (the same sagèna), leizure (loisir, leisir), receive, perceive, deceive, conceive (rechoivre, rezoivre, perchoivre \&c. alongwith recever, receveir, recivoir \&c.), therefore also receipt, deceit, conceit; ceil, (sceiler, seeler = sigillare, figuris ornare).

Instead of eï and ai: obeissance, heinous (haïnos) compare Old-Engl. heyne.

For e: rein (resne, regne, Modern-French rêne); Latin e: inveigh (invehi). Inveigle (with $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ ) is said to have been corrupted from the Italian invogliare; perhaps out of the Old-French avogler $=$ aveugler.

In the unaccented syllable ei arises out of the French ai, ei: fóreign (forain), sóvereign (soverain), fórfeit (forfait), cóunterfeit, súrfeit.

Ey, now likewise divided between $\bar{e}$ and $\overline{1}$ is likewise rare in Germanic words. It arises in the accented syllable, in words originally Anglosaxon, mostly with the weakening of $g$ into $i$, out of:

The Anglosaxon â (x): they, (pâ), wey and weigh (vâg, væg).
The Anglosaxon $æ$ : whey (hvæg), greyhound (græghund, grêgh.); on the other hand gray (græg); with $\overline{\mathrm{I}}: \mathrm{key}$ (cæg). Compare bey, Turkish beg.

In eye (eáge) ey becomes ei ; ey eliad (œillade), ey elet (œillet) are transformations into the Anglosaxon form.

Old-French ei, oi gives ey: prey (preier, proier, praer = praedari), trey (trei, troi, trois), convey along with convoy (conveier, convoier); obey (obéir), purvey, survey (veoir, veeir, veer); also ai: eyry and ærie (French airée).

In the unaccented syllable it answers to the Anglosaxon ê $(g)$ : Rámsey (Rammesêge), Ańglesey (Anglesêg). i (g): hóney (hunig); compare bárley (Cymric barllys), Old-English barly (Maund.); more frequently Old-French ei, oi: móney (monoie, moneie) tóurney (tournoi, tornei), lámprey (lamproie, Anglosaxon lamprede); láckey belongs to laquais, Old-French also laquet; ábbey (OldFrench abbaye); and Old-French ee (Modern-French ée): álley (allée),
gálley（galée，Old－French galie），válley（valee），jóurney（jornee， jurnee），chímney（cheminee，chimenee），cáusey（chaussée）；also e （é）：attórney（atorne，medieval－Latin aturnatus）；rarely Old－French ie：Túrkey，else $y$ ．Forms like moneie，valeie are still frequent in Old－English．

Ea，even in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century representing the sound of the long $\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ in distinction to $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & \text { in the close syllable（meat and mĕt）（J．Wal－}\end{aligned}$ Lis），now mostly long $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ ，and besides short é，without the carrying out of a principle，although resting principally on the Anglosaxon ed，is rendered mostly by $e$ in Old－English in words originally Germanic and Romance：eche，shefe，ete，clene，weke，heren，beren， dede（dead），bever，reme（realm），reson，seson，grese，egle \＆c．；as well as also by ee：see（sea），Modern－English still affear and affeer，aread and areed，as bēdle and beadle．On the other hand in the $16^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ centuries it often takes the place of the English long and short $e$（ $\bar{e}$ and $\dot{e}$ ），where it has been sub－ sequently abandoned．It represents in the accented syllable：

The Anglosaxon eá as $\overline{1}:$ flea（fleá），beam（beám），bean（beán）， year（geár，gêr），leap（hleápan），leaf（leáf），leave（leáf＝permis－ sio），bereave（bereáfjan），beat（beátan），east（eást），beacon（beá－ cen）；－as ě：deaf（deáf），threat（preátjan），lead（leád），death （deád）；－as ē：great（greát）．

The Anglosaxon $æ$ as $\overline{1}:$ sea（sæ and sêo），lean（læne），mean alongwith moan（mænan），heal（hælan），fear（fær），bleat（blætan）， mead（mæd＝pratum），sheath（scæ才，sceâ才，scâd），tease（tæsan）， each（ælc），teach（tæcan），geason（gæsen），heathen（hæðen）；－ as ĕ：dread（dræd），thread（præd），breath（bræす），health（hæld）， weapon（væpen，vêpen），cleanse（clænsjan），early（ærlice）．

The Anglosaxon ê as ì：wheal also weal and wale，（hvêle＝ putredo），leave（lêfan，lŷfan＝permittere），hear（hêran，hŷran）， read（rêdan），weary（vêrig），vœrig）；－as a：hearken（hêrcnjan， hŷrenjan）．

The Anglosaxon î as ī：cleave（clîfan），wreathe along with writhe（vriđan）．

The Anglosaxon eó as ī：dear（deóre，diór，dŷre），cleave（cleó－ fan，clûfan），dreary（dreórig）；－as ĕ：breast（breóst）．

The Anglosaxon â as $\mathbf{1}$ ：pea（ $\mathrm{pâva}$ ），Old－English po，poo，in Skelton still pōhen；weak（vâc）；－as ĕ：sweat（svât），ready （from râd）．

Not uncommon is its appearance for short vowels，as：
The Anglosaxon e as ī：meat（mete，mett），leak（hlece＝rimo－ sus），wean（venjan），heave（hebban）；－as ĕ：heavy（hefig）， lengthened in swear（sverjan），wear（verjan）．

The Anglosaxon ë，ea，i and y as i：meal（mëlu，meolo，melo）， steal（stëlan），shear（scëran），spear（spëre，spëore，spiore），smear （Substantive smëru，verb smërvjan，smërjan），eat（ëtan），knead （cnëdan），mead（mëdu $=$ mulsum），leak（Old－norse lëca $=$ stillare， Anglosaxon leccan＝irrigare），wreak（vrëcan），lease（lësan＝colli－ gere），beaver（bëfer，bëber，beofer）；seal（sëllh，sëol，siol，syl＝ phoca），lean（blinjan，hleonjan），beaker（Old－norse bikar，Medieval－ Latin bicarium），seal（sigel），beadle（bydel）；as ø̆：tread（trëdan），
weather (vëder), feather (fiđer, feóđer, fëđer), earl (eorl, ërl), earnest (eornost), earth (eorđe), learn (leornjan, liornjan), quern (cveorn, cvyrn), heaven (heofon); as a lengthened è: bear (bëra), bear (bëran, beoran), pear (përu), tear (tëran), break (brëcan); as a: heart (heorte), hearth (heor才).

The Anglosaxon ea also ä as $\overline{1}$ : ear (äher, ear), beard (beard): as ě: pearl (pärl, pearl), earu (earnjan), meadow (meadu, mädu); as a: bearn obsolete, alongwith bairn, barn (bearn)

Old-French ai, oi, along with ei and e, become very frequently ea mostly as i: clear (clair, cleir, cler), eagle (aigle), eager (aigre, eigre, egre), feat (fait), defeat, treat (traiter, compare Anglosaxon thrahtjan, treahtigëan), plead (plaider), plea (plait from plaiz, ples), peace (paix, pais, pes), grease (graisse, gresse), lease (laissier, leisseir, lessier), please (plaisir, 'pleisir, plesir), a p pease (apaisier), treaty (traite), reason (raison, reson), season (saison, seison, seson), feasible, obsolete faisible; pea (pois, peis? compare Anglosaxon pisa, piosa), mean (moien, meien), dean (doyen), increase, decrease (croistre, creistre, crestre); so also aï: treason (traïson); - as ě: peasant (païsant), as pheasant (faisan), Old-English fesaunt (Piers Ploughman).

Old-French e (Modern-French é, è, ê, e) as ì: zeal (zèle), demean (demener = to behave), appeal (apeler, Substantive apel, apiel), reveal (reveler), congeal (geler), conceal (celer), repeat (ModernFrench répéter), cream (cresme), beast (beste), feast (feste), preach (precher, preescher), peach (Modern-French pêche, Anglosaxon përsuc), breach (breche), impeach (empescher, empeescher), cease (cesser), decease (deces, dechies), tea (thé, Italian tè), beak (bec, Gaelic beic), feature (faiture); also $i e=e$ : arrears, arrearage (arier, ariere), Old-English arrerage; - as ĕ: search (cercher, cherchier), measure (mesure), treasure (tresor), leaven (levain).

Old-French i as 1.: beagle (bigle), league (ligue), peak (pic, pique); $i$ along with $e$ : treague (trive, treve, Italian tregua (Spenser); as é: treachery (tricherie, trecerie).

Old-French a as i: glean (glaner, also glener), dialectically glent=gleaned; appear (apparoir, appareir), Old-English apparence (Chaticer), apparancy (Gower); - as ě: jealous (jalous and engelus), Old-English Substantive jallowes.

The Old-French ea has been preserved as er in: realm (realme, reaume), yet Old-English also resme (Maund.), reme (Piers Plocghman).

In creature $\ddot{a} a$ has been contracted as $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$, as in: deacon $\ddot{a} a$ (diaconus, yet even Anglosaxon diacon, deacon).
$e a$ in an unaccented syllable, has rarely arisen, as it were out of the Anglosaxon ê, î (g): Anglesea along with Anglesey (Anglesêg), Chélsea (Ceólesîg); or French e: cólleague; or an original ea: guínea.

Eo with its various sounds does not stand in Germanic words; only yeoman, Old-English yeman (man pl. men) is a decidedly Anglosaxon substantive. According to Grimm ye, yeo is the prefix ge (contubernalis, minister); according to others ye, yeo =young; belonging perhaps to the Anglosaxon geám = cura, attentio, Anglosaxon
gŷmend $=$ gubernator, as it were geámmann. The Old-English has the verb yemen= to govern, to take care of and the substantive yeme (Anglosaxon verb gêman, gŷman = custodire, curare). Does the dialectical gemman = nobleman belong also here?

Otherwise eo exists only in Romance words, although $e$ after a guttural is a sign of the dental pronunciation; compare dungeon (donjon, doignon), puncheon (poinçon); or as an original vowel it forms a double syllable with a following vowel (píteous). It arises from eo in Theobald (Tibald, Tybalt), Italian Teobaldo = Dietbold; from eu (ue) in people Old-English peple (pople, pueple), jeopardy (jeu parti), Old-English juperti (Wright Dame Siriz $13^{\text {th }}$ century), jeupertys (Gower); ieu: feoff (fieu, verb fiever, fiefer), feod alongwith feud point to feudum, compare the Modern-French féodal.

Eu also occurs only in Romance and Latin-Greek words, except in eugh alongwith yew (Anglosaxou eóv), commonly from a primitive eu: Europe, eunuch, zeugma, eunomy \&c., also deuce, (doi, deus); but whether also deuce (= devil), with which compare the Lowdutch düker, deukert? Feud, Anglosaxon fæhす, fæg才, OldFrench faide rests upon a confusion with feudum, as, conversely the medieval-Latin faidium instead of feudum is found. In the unaccented syllable eu often stands in the French termination eur: grandeur \&c.

Ew, as a diphthong iú, rarely $\bar{o}$, often interchanges with $\hat{u}$ (iu), as in askew, askue; clew, clue, fewmet, fumet; fewel, fuel \&c. and rests particularly upon:

The Anglosaxon eóv: brew (breóvan), chew (ceóvan), crew = multitudo (creóv? Old-norse krû), the preterites grew (greóv), blew (bleóv), knew (kneóv), threw (preóv), crew (creóv); dialectically still mew (meóv), sew (seóv), = $\bar{o}$ : strew alongwith strow (strevjan, streávjan, streóvjan, Gothic straujan); eóg: tew = materials (teóg); îv: steward (stîgeveard, stîveard).

The Anglosaxon eov, iv; ewe (eovu, eov, eavu, eav), new (nive, niove, neove), spew (speovjan), yew (eov, iv), lew (hleovjan = calescere), clew (clive, compare the Lowdutch klûgen); formerly hewe, now hue $=$ color (hiv, hiov, heov); $=\bar{o}$ : sew (sivjan, seovjan $=$ suere).

The Anglosaxon eáv: few (feáve), dew (deáv), thew (Spenser) (peáv $=$ mos), shrew, mouse (screáva), hew (heávan); flew arises from fleáh, flugon, Old-English flaugh, fley.

The Anglosaxon âv, æv, ôv (ôg, ôh): rew formerly alongwith row (râv, compare stäfræv, stäfrôv), former preterite snew (snâv), mew (mâv? mæv), lewd (læved, lâved, lêvd); drew (drôg, drôgon), slew (slôh, slôgon), Old-English drogh, drough, drow; slogh \&c.

The Anglosaxon av, ev appear as ew in shew alongwith show with $\bar{o}$ (scavjan, sceavjan, scevjan) and in the unaccented syllable in sinew (sineve). $W$ proceeds from $f$ and $b$ in: newt along with eft (efete, eft), Old-English ewt, evet, and Shréwsbury (Scrobbesburh). Ug gives ew in the Old-English Hew instead of Hugh (OldHighdutch Hugo, Anglosaxon hyge = mens) compare Modern-English féverfew = febrifuge.

In words originally Romance ew also often stands in an unaccented syllable, ever with the sound iú; in the accented and unaccented syllabe it arises from $u$, with a preceding or following $e$ or $i$, or from a mere $u$ (ou).

Old-French eu, ieu: fewel along with fuel (feu, fu, fou, compare the Substantive fouee), pewter (peutre, medieval-Latin pestrum, peutrum), sew formerly along with sue (sevre, seure=suivre), Old-English suwen; often unaccented: cúrfew (couvre feu), cúrlew (courlious, corlieu, medieval-Latin corlivus), nephew (neveu, Anglosaxon nëfa), hebrew (hebreu), Old-English ebreu (Mavnd.), Mátthew (Matthieu); thus Barthólomew, Andrew \&c. imitated; compare Old-English maisondewe (maison dieu). Mew answers to our miauen, but mewl points to the French miauler. ev, iv operates as in iu: eschew (eschiver, escheveir, compare Anglosaxon sceóh, Old-English eschive and eschue.

Old-French ui operates in pew (pui, poi = podium), tewel (tuiel $=$ tuyau); thus also arose Jew (juis, juif, compare Anglosaxon Judêas), Old English jewerie (Chaucer), Old-French juierie, juerie.

Old-French u (ue) also ou: mew (substantive mue, verb muer), fewmet alongwith fumet (fumette), Old-English remewe and remue, salewe and salue, jewise (juise); - jewel (juel, joiel, joel), Old-English joweles (Chaucer), Lewis (Louis). stew substantive and stew verb perhaps belong primarily to the Old-French estuve, bain, Modern-French étuver; - venew (Shakspeare) and veney, (venue), view, ínterview (veue).

The older language still presents many ew, as for instance, instead of eg: flewme $=$ phlegm.

A, whose sound stands especially under the influence of consonants (see Pronunciation) has split itself into $\breve{a}, \dot{a}, \bar{a}$ and $\bar{e}$, in Germanic words goes back to the short a sound, (Anglosaxon $a, \vec{a}$ and ea) and borrows its accentual tinge essentially from the Anglosaxon $\ddot{a}$, by the production of which the e-sound seems to have arisen, whereas the Anglosaxon $a$-sound appears lengthened, particularly before a silent $l$ and a sounded $r$. $e$ certainly appears in Old-English, as well as in dialects instead of the Modern-English a, but particularly before $r$ where the vowels rests, not upon $a$ or $\ddot{a}$, but upon ea, eo, e: derk, yerde, merk, sterre (star), ferre, ferthing, kerven (carve), sterten, hereberwe; also in Romance words: gerlond (garland), merveillous, persone (parson) \&c. The partial transition into the $\alpha$-sound must have taken place early, the confusion of $a$ with o having spread not only in Old-English and the dialects (mony, lond, hond, strond, brond, stont [standeth], dysemol), but appeared even in Anglosaxon, particularly before $m$ and $n$, as in grom, homm, gomen [game], monig, monn, vonn, sond, ongel \&c. (see above). In the accented syllable $a$ arises from:

The Anglosaxon a as ă: ham (hamm), man (mann), lap (lapjan), crab (crabba), have (habban, häbban), ass (assa), ashes (asce), lamb (the same), land (the same), ankle (ancleor), apple (appel, äpl), cast (Old-norse kasta), cag (Old-norse kaggi); as $\dot{a}$ : short in wan (vann $=$ pallidus), long in alder (alor, alr); as é: lame (lam),
bane (bana), ape (apa), late (late, läte), make (macjan); ware (varu), stare (starjan).

The Anglosaxon à as $a$ : can (cann), Alfred (Älfred), sap (säp), happy (häpp), at (ät), glad (gläd), mass (mässe), axe (äx, eax), waggon (vägen); - as $\dot{a}$ : water (väter), small (smäl, smal, smeal); — as ā: path (pä才, pađ), father (fä才er); - as ē: acre (äcer), acorn (äcern), grave (gräf).

Anglosaxon ea as $\ddot{a}$ : shall (sceal), mallow (mealva), fallow (fealu = flavus), mat (meatte), marrow (mearh), slack (sleac, släc), wax (veaxan), flax (fleax); - as $\mathfrak{a}$ : all (eall, eal, al), fall (feallan), wall (veall, vall), gall (gealla), hall (healla), halt (healtjan); short in warm (vearm), warp (vearp); - as à: salve (sealf), half (healf, half); arm (earm), dark (dearc, deorc), spark (spearca), starve (stearvjan), hard (heard), harp (hearpe); - as ē: ale (ealu), dare (dearr), chafer (ceafor), gate (geat, gat).

The short Anglosaxon e, ë, eo have often, especially before a following $r$, passed into $a$; e as $\breve{a}$ : mantle (mentel), trap (treppe); Thames (Temese, yet also Tämese), mare (merihe, mere), share (scerjan, scirjan); as ā: mar (merran), marsh (mersc), tar (terjan, tirjan = vexare), Harwich (Herevic), harbour (hereberge); ë as $\breve{a}$ : thrash alongwith thresh (prëscan), tatter (tëter), tar (tëru, teoru); as $\dot{a}$ : swallow (svëlgan, svilgan); as $\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ : thane (pëgen, pên), scrape (scrëpan, screopan); eo as $\check{a}:$ am (eom); as $\overline{\mathrm{a}}:$ far (feorr), star (steorra), barm (beorma, bearma), farm (feorm, fearm), fart (feort), hart (heorut, heort) [on the other hand Hertford $=$ Heorutford], dwarf (pveorg), carve (ceorfan), bark (beorcan); Darwent (Deorvent, Därenta).

Long vowels, such as â, æ and ê and the diphthong eó, have seldom been transformed into $a$; a as $\breve{a}$ : ask (âscjan), dastard (to dâstrjan); as ē: thrave (prâv = manipulus), mate (Old-norse mâti $=$ sodalis), any (ânig, ænig), Old-English eny; $æ$ as $a$ : mad (gemæd, Gothic ga-meids = deficient), fat (fæted contracted fætt), last (læstan), blast (blæst), ladder (hlædder), bladder (blædre, blëdre, blæddre); as $a$ : thrall (præl, prâl, preâl); as $\overline{\mathrm{e}}:$ : blaze (blæse); $\hat{e}$ as $\breve{a}$ : bramble (brêmbel), fadge (ge-fêgan = conjungere, compare Old-English alle in fageyng (Towneley Myst.) = altogether); as $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ : waste (vêstan, compare Latin vastare); eo as $\bar{\alpha}$ : darling along with dearling (deórling, dŷrling), farthing (feórđung, Old-English ferthing); also as ă in lad (leod, Old-English leode (Piers Ploughm.), Oldscotch laid). Finally $e a$ is also found transmuted into $\breve{a}$ : chapman, chapwoman (ceápmann).

Besides the French $a$, as likewise $a$ in Latin-greek words subsequently introduced, $e$, especially before $r, a i$ and $a u$ in the accented syllable, are changed into $a$, as the Italian $\bar{a}$, rarely, however, except before $r$.

The Old-French a, which before $n$ had been mostly transformed into $a u$, but in Modern-English even there frequently returns to $a$ (see $a u$ ), has very commonly been preserved; as $\breve{a}$ : dam (dame), damsel (damisele), damage (damage, damaige), dance (danser, dancer), abandon (abandanner), manage (from manage, manaige $=$ mansionaticum), manner (maniere), balance (the same), talent (the same), tarry (tarier), marry (marier), travel (travailler, tra-
veiller), pass (passer) \&c.; - as $\bar{a}$ before a simple $r$ : marble (marbre), alarm (a l'arme); - as $\bar{e}$ very commonly in an open syllable: rage, race, table, nacre (nacaire, Modern-French nacre), cage, agent, nature, mason (maçon), danger (dangier), chaste (the same).

The Old-French e becomes $a$, particularly before $m, n$, before which, even in Old-French, it was often changed into $a$, and $r$, as $\breve{a}$ : example, sample (exemple, essample), ambush (embuscher), enamel (from ámail, medieval-Latin smaltum), channel (chenau, chenal), pansy (pensee, Old-English paunce (Splinser), frantic (frenetique, compare frenzy, Old-English frenetike), janty (gentil); cratch (crebe, creche); - as $\dot{a}:$ war (guerre, werre), Old-English werre, quarrel (querele); - as $\bar{a}:$ márvel (merveille), parson (persone), partridge (pertris), parsley (persil), Old-English perselee, parrot (perroquet $=$ Pierrot?), tarnish (ternir, Old-Highdutch tarnjarn), varnish (vernir), garner (grenier, gernier), varvels (vervelle).

Old-French ai, interchanging with $e i, e$ and $a$, gives $\check{a}$ in vanquish (vaincre, vejncre, vencre), sally (saillir, salir), cash (caisse, casse), master (maïstre), Old-English maister.

Old-French au. mostly interchanging with al, also aul, in which the English often has preserved al, aul or $a u$ as $\tilde{a}$ : savage (salvage, sauvage), salmon (saumon), hacqueton (auqueton, Modern-French hoqueton); - mostly as $\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ : safe (salf, sauf), save (salver, sauver, saver), chafe (chaufer, caufer), sage (sauge, Latin salvia, compare Anglosaxon salvige), mavis (mauvis, Spanish malvis); with the $l$ preserved mostly as $\dot{a}$ : altar (alter, altel, autel), false (fals, faus), falcon (falcon, faucon), caldron (chaudron), (alongwith vault, assault); - yet also as $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ : balm (balme, basme) alongwith bâlsam, and hance, enhance (enhalcer, enhaucier) with the change of $l$ into $n$; see moreover $a u$.
$a$ in an accented syllable has seldom arisen from other vowels, as from $i$ in garland (gouirlande, yet provincially garlanda), OldEnglish gerlond.

In an unaccented syllable a primitive a is mostly found before the accent, yet the Old-French $e$, as sometimes even in Old-French itself, has passed into $\breve{a}$, as in: anóint (enoindre), assáy (essaier, asaier), astónish (estoner), assárt (essarter), affráy (esfreer, effreer, effreier), Old-English aspie, astáblishe, astáte \&c.; also 0: abéisance alongwith obeisance, rigadóon (rigodon), platóon (peloton). After the accented syllable, especially in the unaccented final syllable, $a$ often stands in the place of $e$ and $i$ in Anglosaxon as well as in other words: érrand (ærende, ærynde), thóusand (pûsend) \&c.; especially in the termination ar: líar (Old-English liere), béggar (Old-English beggere), see derivation; - mánacle (manicle), sáusage (saucisse), Fáston (villa Faustini); instead of 0: húsband (hûsbonde), sýcamore (sycomore) \&c.; al is also found preserved instead of au: hérald (Old-French heralt, heraut, Medieval-Latin heraldus, Old-English heraud). Confusion of $a$ and $n$, as well as of $a$ and $e$ have often formerly occurred in unaccented syllables. Compare T. Mommsen, Shakspeare's Romeo and Juliatte 1859 p. 32 ff.

Ai and ay often divide with $e i$ and $e y$ the province of the same
primitive sounds, yet with the preponderance of $a i$ and $a y$ in accented syllables. In Old-English ai often gives place to ei: wey, seyl (sail), streit, seint, feith, ordeinen, atteinen, mainteinen, feinen, preien, werreien, queintise (quaintness) \&c. Alongwith these are found $e e, e$ : slee, sle (slay) sede, ysed, sustenen \&c.

Ai in the middle of accented syllables arises but seldom from simple Anglosaxon vowels, as from:
the Anglosaxon â: bait (bât = esca, verb bâtjan, Old-norse beita), swain (svần, Old-Highdutch swein), hail (hâl) alongwith whole, raip (râp) along with rope, compare Lowdutch rêp = raise (râsjan).
the Anglosaxon æ: hair (hær) = crinis, bait also bate $=$ to attack (bætan, Old-Highdutch beizjan = incitare, fraenare).
$g$, commonly with the softening of a $g$ following the vowel, from:
the Anglosaxon äg: main (mägen), maiden (mägden, mæden, mâden), nail (nägel), brain (brägen, bragen, bregen), fain (fägen, fagen), fair (fäger), wain (vägen, vägn, væn), tail (tägel), snail (snägel, snæl, snegel), gain (gägn, gegn, gên), hail (hagal, hägel).

The Anglosaxon eg, ëg: ail (egljan, according to Bosworth, agljan like the Gothic), again (ongegn, âgên), twain (tvegen), laid (legede, lêde), rain (rëgen, rên), sail (sêgel), braid, upbraid (brëgdan, upgebrëgdan), said [partic.] (sägd, sæd); eh: drain (drehnigëan, drênigëan).

The Anglosaxon $x g$ : rarely in the middle, often at the end of a syllable, as ay: stairs (stæger).

From Old-French vowels ai very frequently proceeds, thus from:
The Old-French a, already sometimes interchanging with $a i$, $e i$ : avail, prevail (valoir, valeir), explain (compare aplanier, aplagnier from plain), exclaim, reclaim, proclaim (clamer, claimer, cleimer); compare cairn, Cymric carn.

The Old-French ai, ei, oi, of which $e i$ is wont to be interchanged with the two others, give $a i$ in the middle of a syllable: air (air, eire), aid (aider, eider), aigret and egret (aigrette), arraign (araisnier, aragnier), bail (bailler, bailier, baller), retail (retailler), flail (flael, flaial), frail (fraile, fragile), caitiff (caitif, chaitif, chetif), gaiter (to the Old-French gaitreux, ragged, Modern-French guêtre), grain (graine), saint (saint, seint).
attain (ateindre, ataindre), restrain (restreindre, restraindre), refrain (freindre, fraindre), disdain (desdeigner, desdegner, desdaigner), paint (peint), faint (feint, faint), taint (teint, taint), praise (substantive preis, pris, verb preisier, proisier, prisier), impair (empirer, empeirer from pejor), despair (from desperer, compare 1. person present espeir, espoir), faith (feid, foit, fei, foi). pain (poine, peine, paine), fair (foire, feire, fere $=$ forum), quaint cointe $=$ comptus), ac quaint (acointer $=$ adcognitare).

The Old-French e has in a series of words produced ai: abstain, obtain, maintain, retain, pertain, contain, entertain (from tenir), ordain (ordener, ordoner) compare the Old-English ordeynen (Rob. of Gloucester), it was ordyned (Maund.), ordeigne (Piers Ploughman).

The softening of a $g$ after $i$ is to be met with even in Old-French,
as in many of the instances cited under $\alpha i$; otherwise the $j n, g n$ which have arisen from $n i$ through transposition are, after $a$, treated as in: Spain $($ Espagne $=$ Hispania). The Old-French often changes ani into aign; Old-English has sometimes preserved the latter form: campaign (campaigne, champaigne); even there agn, aign and ain stand alongside each other, where English chuses ain, particularly in the unaccented syllable: móuntain (montaigne, montagne, muntaine), bárgain (bargaigne, bargagne, bargaine, compare the medieval-Latin barcaniare).

In an unaccented syllable ai has been mostly maintained out of the Old-French ai: fóuntain, cháplain, chíeftain (chevetaine), cértain \&c.; here and there it has arisen out of $e i$, $i$ : vérvain (verveine), curtain (courtine).

Ay, mostly of like origin with ai, interchanges sometimes with $a i$ in the middle of a syllable: váivode and waywode, and often with aw: Old-English daw and day, law and lay, the ModernEnglish haw and hay, crawfish and crayfish. It arises from:
the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$ : aye $=\operatorname{ever}$ ( $\hat{a}$ instead of âr).
the Anglosaxon $a$ : wayward (vævärdlîce = proterve).
the Anglosaxon äg: may (mäg), day (däg), hay (häg = septum); slay (slahan, slagan, contracted slean, slân).
the Anglosaxon eg, ëg: lay (lecgan), say (secgan), Old-English leggen, seggen, play (Substantive plëga, verb plëgjan), way (vëg), sway (svëgjan); êg in hay (hêg to heávan), bewray (vrêgëan, vrêgan, Old-English bewrey, bewrie).
the ancient Anglosaxon oeg: clay (clæg), gray along with grey (græg, grêg, grîg), blay (blæge = gobio):
the Old-French ai, ei, oi: bay (bai = badius), bay (abaier = aboyer), bay (baie), lay (lai = laicus), lay (lais, Cymric llais), ray (rais, rai = radius), ray (raie, Latin raja), pay (paier, paer), jay (gai, jai, geai) and gay (the same), stay $=$ (steir esteir, ester $=$ stare), and =to prop (étayer), fay hence fairy, properly abstract (fae, feie, fee, Dauphinic faye = fata), delay (delai from delaier), decay (from caer, keir, cair, cheoir \&c.), betray (from trair, trahir, compare Old-Scotch betrais, Old-English betraised = deceived), mayor (maire, maior, major); - pray (preier, proier, prier), ray, array (roi, rei, rai; arroi, arrei, arrai), display (from pleier, ploier, plier), allay and alloy (aloier, aleier to loi).
the Old-French ag in an unaccented syllable has become ay in fórray (forragier $=$ piller).

Au not unfrequently interchanges with $a w$, to which it is equivalent in pronunciation, compare aukward and awkward, bauble and bawble, waul and wawl, maukin, mawkin and malkin, haulser, halser and hawser. They have, however, in part different origins.

In Germanic words the accented syllable $a u$ principally represents, although rarely, where it stands before $g h$, (Anglosaxon $h$ ) when various primitive vowels occur:

The Anglosaxon ea: laugh (hleahhan, hlihhan), Old-English still lihe, lighe (Rob. of Gloucester), laughter (hleahtor), in the
obsolete raught from reach (reahte, reaht along with rehte, reht), straught from stretch (strehte, streht or streahte, streaht), see the verb. $x$ : taught (tæhte, tæht); o: daughter (dohtor); ô: draught (drôht); $a v$ with the like effect: aught and naught along with ought, nought (âviht, âuht; nâviht, nâuht).
$a u$ in Maudlin = Magdalen has arisen out of ag, compare Old-English Maudeleyne; the obsolete $d$ waule points to the Anglosaxon dvoljan = errare, delirare.

A simple $a$ gives $a u$ in haul alongside of hale (Old-norse hala, Lowdutch hâlen, French haler).

The Old-French $a u$ is preserved mostly with the obscured sound ( $\hat{\text { a }}$, as also the Latin and Greek $a u$ (see pronunciation): automn, august, audience, auspice, Gaul (Gaule), sausage (saucisse), gauge (Old-Wallon gauger, Modern-French jauger), jaundice (jaunisse), causey (chaussée), applaud \&c. The forms al, aul, au are partly rendered by au: hauberk (halberc, haubert \&c.), auburn (aubour $=$ alburnum) also alburn; on the otherhand fault (falte, faute), fawt (Skelton), and commonly falcon, falchion (fauchon from falx), vault (volte, voute, vaute), alnage an ellmeasure (from alne, aune), also aulnage and auln = ell. For the Germanic balk (Old-norse bâlkr) bauk and baulk are also sometimes written; maul and mall answers to the Old-French maule, Latin malleus.

The Old-French $a$, especially before $n$, gives $a u$ with the sound $\bar{a}$ (ä): aunte (ante = amita), maunch and manche (manche), launch (lancer, lanchier), paunch (pance, panche), vaunt (vanter), avauntl (avant), daunt (danter = domitare), staunch and stanch (estancher), haunt (hanter), haunch (hanche), gauntlet (gantelet), chaunt alongwith chant (chanter). en is sometimes made equal to an: maund (mendier). The modern language gradually abandons this $a u$ and restores $a$. The Old-English still frequently has $a u$ instead of the Modern-English $a$ in the accented and unaccented syllable: dauncen (compare dauncing [Randolph's Poems 1643 p .105$]$ ), chaungen, graunten, straunge, geaunt (giant), braunched, Launcelot, Flaundres, Chaunteclere, auncestrie; servaunt, tyraunt, ordinaunce, vengeaunce, substaunce \&c.

Anglosaxon words are seldom taken by it, as maund, basket, (mand, mond), askaunt, askaunce along with askant, askance (see the adverb). Dialectically this is more frequent.

Even a mere $a$ sometimes gives $a u$ : gauze (gaze); the OldEnglish oftener, as auvis (Lydgate): aumail (enamel) and others.

Aw appears in Germanic words mostly with the change of a final $g, h, v$ into $w$, and is rare in Romance words. It arises from:

The Anglosaxon ag: maw (maga), law (lagu, lag, lah), draw along with drag (dragan), dawn (to dagan), saw (sage), gnaw (gnagan), haw, hawthorn (haga along with häg and hagaporn, hägporn); awn bristle (Anglosaxon egl points to the Old-Highdutch ah, agana, Swedish agn).

The Anglosaxon eg: awe, verb overáwe (ege, verb egjan, Gothic agjan).

The Anglosaxon eah: saw (seah). Compare Mawmet, OldFrench Mahom, Mahommet.

The Anglosaxon av, ediv, âv: thaw (paran), straw (strav), claw (clavu, contracted clâ), awl (avul, âl); raw (hreáv) raw along with rew (râv). Thus also arises launder from the French lavandière.

Even $f$ and $b$ are softened into $w$ : hawk (hafuc), drawl (Oldnorse drafa, drafla, Danish drave, drævle); crawfish also crayfish answers to the crabfish, but may also stand under the influence of the French écrevisse, as it is dialectically called crĕvis in the North of England. Chaw points to the Anglosaxon ceáll = faux, alongside whereof geáfl and geágl stand; now commonly jaw, which may have become confounded with the Old-French joe, Modern-French joue Scrawl stands alongside of scrabble, and crawl answers to the Lowdutch krabbeln, krawweln = to creep, and alongside thereof kraulen. Awk, awkward answers to the Old-Highdutch abuh $=$ perversus, Middle-Highdutch ebech, Gothic ibuks, Old-English aquarde (Skelton).

The Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$, eá produce $a w$ in: yawn (gânjan), along with which jawn occurs, spawl (spâtl, verb spâtljan), gawk (geác, Oldnorse gaukr), compare Old-English goky = gawky. In general $a$ seems sometimes thus obscured, particularly before $l$ : brawl (Lowdutch brallen, Danish bralle), to bralle (Skelton 1, 131.), Old-English yawl = to yell (Spenser) Old-norse gala = cantare, Anglosaxon galan), wrawl (Danish vraale), bawl (compare Lowdutch ballern = to strike, so as to sound) $A w$ also takes the place of $a l$ : hawm, haum, haulm, helm and hame (Anglosaxon healm, halm), hawse and halse, hawser and halser (hals, heals?); chawdron reminds us of the Lowdutch kaldûnen, Danish kallun = entrails. Compare chawduen = chaldron, a sort of sauce, in Reliq. Antiq. I. p. 88. Dialectically, for example in Shropshire, $l$ is many times changed into $w$. $A w$ before $n$ has arisen from $a$ in pawn (Old-norse pantr, Old-French pan), tawny (to the French tan, compare the MedievalLatin tanare). In hawk, hawker $a$ has likewise become $a w$ (Highdutch hökern, höker, Lowdutch hâkern, kâk for instance lichthâk \&c.)

Paw (Cymric pawen, Old-French poe, poie), point to Celtic forms, bawd = a pimp (Cymric bawlyd from baw = sluttish, filthy), lawn (Cymric lawnt, lawnd, Amorican lann, French lande from the Germanic land).

The Old-French eo gives occasionally aw: pawn along with peon (peon, Modern-French pion, Latin pedo), fawn $=$ young deer (feon, faon) whence fawn = to bring forth a fawn (feoner, faoner), but not in fawn to wheedle, to cherish (Anglosaxon fagenjan, fägnjan, fahnjan $=$ exultare).

In lawn the French linon is contracted.
0 in an accented syllable, variously tinged as a short or as a long vowel, has a narrower range in Modern than in Old-English (see a) where it not only frequently took the place of $a$, but also till oftener took the place of the Modern-English oa, as in brode, brod (broad), othe (oath) \&c. Even now the language fluctuates betwixt doate and dote, cloak and cloke, loath and loth and some others. Where it appears at present instead of the Anglosaxon $e o ́, ~ e a ́, ~ e o, ~ y, e$ was frequently substituted for it Old-English, as lesen,
lese in the $16^{\text {th }}$ century (Jack Jugler p. 9, Skelton 1. 131). (lose), ches, chees (chose), shet (shot), clef, cleef (clove, cleft), hefe (obsolete hove = heaved), werk (work), swerd (sword), werse (worse) \&c. Fluctuations betwixt $o$ and $u$ are not rare in ModernEnglish: encomber and encumber, bombast and bumbast, bombard and bumbard, clock and cluck; the Old-English often substituted $o$ for the present $u$ (see $u$ ). In preterites in the Anglosaxon $\ddot{a}$ the Old-English $a$ has been preserved. The phonetic tinges of $o$ as English $\check{o}, \breve{u}, \hat{a}$ and $\bar{o}$ were essentially fixed in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century.

The Anglosaxon $o$ gives a short and a long, variously tinged $o$. It appears short, like an English o, for instance in drop (dropjan, drupjan), hop (hoppan), lot (hlot), shot (scoten), sod, sodden (soden), god (god), knot (cnotta), body (bodig), moth (modðe), oft, often (oft), clock (cloccjan), lock (Substantive loc, verb lucjan, locjan), ox (oxa), fox (fox), otter (otor), follow (folgjan), hollow (hol, Swedish holig), morrow (morgen, morn), borrow (borgjan); as a lengthened $\check{o}(\vec{a})$ : for (for), storm (storm), horn (horn), thorn (porn), bord (bord), organ (organ), horse (hors), bor n and borne (boren), torn (toren), shorn (scoren) \&c.; rarely as $u$ : word (vord), oven (ofen); often as $\bar{o}$ : over (ofer), open (open), smoke substantive smoca, verb smocjan), toll (toll), colt (colt), gold (gold), folk (folc), stolen (stolen), broken (brocen).

The Anglosaxon $u$ chiefly as $\breve{u}$ : some (sum), come (cuman, cviman), ton (tunne), son (sunu), London (Lunden), honey (hunig), love (lufjan), above (bufan), tongue (tunge), monk (munuc, monec), borough (buruh), worm (vurm, vyrm), wonder (vundor); sometimes as a Highdutch short $\breve{u}$ : gom (guma $=$ homo), wolf (vulf); rarely as an English ŏ: clock (clucge, bell).

The Anglosaxon eo, $i, y$, which in part relate to $u$, in part pass into o (u), mostly after $w$, as an English $\breve{u}$ : work (veorc, vêrc), wort (vyrt, virt = herba), worth (stibstantive vẹorす, vyr才, vurð), worse, worst (adjective vyrsa, vyrsest; adverb virs, vyrs; vyrst), world (veorold, vorold, vorld, viaruld); - as a lengthened $\circ\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { a }\end{array}\right)$ : sword (sveord, svurd, svord). In woman $\hat{\imath}$ appears as a short $\grave{u}$ (vifman, vîmman, vimmann, vemmann), whose plural has preserved a short $i$. The contraction wo'n't (wo'nt $=$ will not), has a long 0 .

The Anglosaxon $a$ ( $\ddot{a}, e a$ ), which, especially before $m$ and $n$ was exchanged for o even in Anglosaxon, has become o as an English $\breve{u}$ or $\check{o}$, mostly before $n g$; as $\breve{u}$ in among (âmang), monger (mangere), also won (vann), quoth (cväđ); as ơ in from (fram, from), long (lang, long), wrong (vrang, vrong), song (sang, song), strong (strang, strong), got (geat), trod (träd), poppy (papig, popig = $=$ papaver); as a lengthened $\check{o}(a)$ before $r$ : bore (bär), tore (tär), shore (scär); as a long $\bar{o}$ before $l d$ : old (ald, eald), bold (bald, beald, bold), fold (feald), told (tealde; teald), sold (sealde; seald), hold (healdan), cold (ceald, cald), (Scotch and North-English auld, bauld, cauld, hauld \&c.), as in stole (stäl), broke (bräc) and clover (cläfer); before $m b$ : in comb (camb, comb); on the other hand as $\bar{u}$ in womb (vamb, vomb). The Anglosaxon sva, sic; svâ, ut, gives. sō; av (au) works in cōle (cavl, caul, ceável).

The Anglosaxon $\hat{o}$ has remained long as $o$ before $r$ : ore (ôr, ôra, ôre), whorr (hôre); as $\bar{u}$ in do (dôn), else shortened into $\breve{u}$ : other (ôđer, Gothic anpar), mother (môdor), brother (brỗor), month (mônađ), monday (mônandäg), don (gedôn), glove (glôf); sometimes as ŏ: rod (rôd), soft (sôfte, sêfte), blossom (blôstma, blôsma), foster (fôsterjan); as a short Highdutch $u$ in bosom (bôsum, bôsm); to, together with too, is the Anglosaxon tô.

The Anglosaxon ed is to be met with as o in moss (meós, Oldnorse mosi) and lengthened in the obsolete frory (freórig), with the $\bar{u}$ sound in lose (leósan).

The Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$, which else passes into oa has been cften changed into a long $\bar{o}:$ home (hâm), only (ânlic, ænlîc), bone (bân), drone (drân, dræn), stone (stân), whole (hâl), holy (hâleg), more, most (mâra, mæra; mæst), lore (lâr), sore (Adjective sâr, Adverb sâre), rope (râp), grope (grâpjan), stroke (strâcjan), spoke (spâca); and the preterites with the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$ which have been preserved; drove, throve, wrote, smote, rode, strode, rose, abode (drâf, prâf, vrât \&c.); both (bâ, Old-norse bâđir), ghost (gâst, gæst); also go (gangan, gân). A shortening into o takes place in one, none (ân, nân), shone (scân), cloth and to clothe (clâ才, clâđjan), hot (hât) and the ancient wot (vât = scit); in the unaccented syllable: wédlock (vedlâc = pignus foederis); lengthened in wroth (vrâJ = iratus, alongside of vræd = ira). $\hat{a}$ appears as $\bar{u}$ under the influence of a preceding $w$ in two (tvâ); as an English a in lord (hlâford), where ao seems to have produced the sound; not, with $b$, has been shortened from nought, naught (ne-â-viht, nâuht, nôht, nâht, nât). Northern dialects, like the Scotch, often preserve $a$ and therewith ai (as if for oa): bane, haly, bainy (bony), hail (whole), mast, maist (most) \&c.

The Anglosaxon eá appears as a long $\bar{o}$ in the preterites: chose, froze, clove along with cleft (ceás, freás, cleáf), formerly also in crope (crept), rofe (reáf - fídit), shofe (sceáf = trusit); as ŏ still in sod (seád) = seethed, and shot (sceát), in an unaccented syllable also in hémlock (hemleác = cicuta).

The Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ answers to the English $\breve{u}$ in dove (dufe, Oldnorse dûfa), as well as in an unaccented syllable in Wílton (Viltûn), Northámpton (Norđhamtûn) \&c.

In Romance, as well as in later received Latin and Greek words, $o$ in an accented syllable commonly answers to an $o$, namely if we recur to the Old-French for the words received from the French, where a primitive $o, u$, $a u$, eu mostly appears as $o$, along with $u$ and $o u$, whereas Modern-French discriminates $o$, $o u$, $e u$ and $a u$. The quantity and accentual tinge of this English o depends, as with other non-Germanic vowels, mostly upon influences foreign to the fundamental forms.

The Old-French o (Modern-French o) appears as an English ŏ in: nombril, solemn, folly (compare folier, foloier), forest (the same) astonish (estoner), honour (honor, hounour), orison (oreison, orison), opulent, offer (offeire, offrer, offrir), office, coffin (cofin), lozenger (losangier, losengeor); rarely $\check{u}$; covet (coveiter, cuveiter), covin (covine, couvaine), money (moneie); lengthened as
$\breve{o}$ (a) : form (forme, fourme, furme), port, porche, corse, corpse (cors, corpse), morsel (morsel, morcel), pork (porc), sorcerer (sorcier) \&c.; as $\bar{o}$ : odour (odor, odour), glorious (glorios, glorious), sole (sole = solea), sojourn (sojorner, sejorner) \&c.; host (ost, host), noble \&c. Moreover o passes into ou.

The Old-French o along with $u$, ou (Modern-French ou) partly as an English $\breve{u}$ : colour (color, -ur, -our), plover (verb plovoir, pluver, plouvoir), govern (governer, guverner), cover, recover (covrir, cuvrir, couvrir), covey (verb cover, cuver, couver), dozen (dozaine); as an English ó: forage (verb forrer, forragier, fourragier, fouragier), novel (novel, nuvel), sovereign (soverain, suverain), bottle (botte, boute, boutille), cost (coster, couster); lengthened in: torment (tormenter), fork (forche, forque, fourche, yet even the Anglosaxon forc); as a long $\bar{o}$ : condole (doloir, douloir), overt, overture (overt, ovrir), trover (to trover, truver), roll (roler, roeler, Modern-French rouler), to which control (= contrerôle, contrōle); as $\bar{u}$ : in move, prove, approve, improve, reprove (movoir, meuvre, mouvoir, prover, pruver, prouver); the Old-English has here $e$ and ee: meven, meeven, preven, appreven \&c., compare above ie. This o is also found as $u$ and ou in the English, as it fluctuates in French.

The Old-French o (Modern-French au) proceeding from a primitive $a u$, av, as ŏ: impoverish (povre), ostrich (ostruce, ostruche), lengthened in restore (restorer); compare above cōle, Anglosaxon cavl, caul.

The Old-French o (Modern-French eu) rarely: pöplar (poplier $=$ peuplier).

Other vowels lie at the root in some words, as the ModernFrench eui, oui before l: fōliage (feuillage), patrōl; o arises from $e$ in dölphin, Old-English delfyn (perbaps under the influence of the French dauphin); from $a$ in pope (yet also the Anglosaxon papdôm); cômrade (camarade), cŏffee (café), corporal (caporal) and many others.

In the unaccented syllable Romance prefixes in o commonly are preserved; the syllables after the accent in Germanic and other words have frequently developed themselves out of other vowels. Thus an Anglosaxon $e$ before $m$ and $n$, especially, has frequently passed into $o$ : fáthom (fađem), îron (îren), béacon (beácen, beácn), wággon (vägen, vägn), ácorn (äcern, acirn); as this $o$ is readily inserted before nasals: réckon (recnan), Old-English recken (see Amplification of the Word); on the change of the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}, e \dot{d}, \hat{u}$ in o see above. $u$ in búllock (bulluca) \&c.; ô: kíngdom (cyningdôm) \&c.

In Romance words besides o ( $u, o u$ ) also oi (ei, ej) are represented as o: mánor (manoir, -eir, -er), otherwise even the Old-French or along with oir: rázor (rasor, rasoir), mírror (mireor). The terminations or and our stand alongside of each other in Modern-English, compare emperor (empereor, empereour) see ou. Or (ior) frequently proceeds from er (ier) through assimilation, on account of the meaning, for instance in wárrior (guerrier), báchelor (bacheler, bachẹlier), even visor (visière); both are mingled even in Old-French, compare cóunsellor (conseiller and conseilleor). on is also found
instead of en: súrgeon (surgien), ébon, ébony (ébène); in cushion the French coussin appears, Old-English quishin (Chaucer).

Oo, represented in Old-English also by $o$ : sone $=$ soon, sothe $=$ sooth, rote $=$ root, toke, tok $=$ took, skoke, shok= shook \&c., serves in Anglosaxon words especially to represent the Anglosaxon $\hat{0}$. Thus we still find behoof, behoove and along therewith behove in Modern-English (behôf, behôfjan).

The pronunciation as $\bar{u}$, which is shortened in some cases, wás universally acknowledged in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. As 00 in Old-English interchanges also with $o a$ as well as with $o$, it seems to have long preserved the $o$-sound.

The Anglosaxon $\hat{o}$, even where not answering to the Old-Highdutch $u$, appears as a long $\bar{u}$ : too (tô), broom (brôm = brâm), gloom (glôm), doom (dôm), moon (môna = mâna), noon (nôn, Latin nona), pool (pôl), moor (môr), hoop (hôp), hoof (hôf), root (rôt), mood (môd), food (fôda), tooth (tôđ); sometimes as a short $\tilde{u}$ : look (lôcjan), hook (hôc) and others in $k$; as in foot (fôt) and soot (sôt), wood (vôd) = mad, good (gôd), hood (hôd); and as the English $\check{u}$ in blood (blôd) and flood (llôd). Before $r$ a lengthened $\bar{o}$ arises in floor (fiôr).

The Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ becomes $\bar{u}$ in room (rûm), compare also booty Old-norse bŷti, Middle-Highdutch bûten; a short $\check{u}$ in: brook $=$ endure, bear (brûcan =uti, frui).

The Anglosaxon $o$ and $u$ appear as a long $\bar{u}$ in soon (sona, suna), swo on (âsvunan = animo deficere, a suspicious form, however), stoop (stupjan), as a short $\check{u}$ : cook (coc, Latin cŏquus), wood (vudu = vidu), wool (vull); as a lengthened $\bar{o}$ in door (dur, dor, dyr).

The Anglosaxon eó appears as $o o=\bar{u}$ in choose (ceósan) and shoot (sceótan), Old-English chesen, cheten, Lowdutch kesen, scheten, whereas other eó now pass into ea and ee: cleave (cleófan, clûfan), freeze (freósan), seethe (seóđan).

The Anglosaxon ed, $\hat{e}$ answer to oo in loose (Adjective leás to the verb lêsan, lŷsan), smooth (smêðe and smœeđe, Cymric mwydh, alongside of smæđe $=$ laevis, mollis).

Dialectically (in the Isle of Thanet) woor and wore are found, Scotch and North-English wair, ware, Anglosaxon vâr.

Old-French $o, u$ (Modern-French $o$, ou, au, eu) sometimes also gives a long oo:-boot (to the Old-French botte, boute), fool (fol, fous, yet the Old-norse fôl), troop (trope, trupe), poop (ModernFrench poupe), proof (prove, Modern-French preuve, compare Anglosaxon prôfjan), poor (porre, poure, povere, Modern-French pauvre), Old-English poore and povere alongside of each other (Piers Plodghm. p. 216).

In modern words the termination on is often changed into an accented oon: monsóon, poltróon, pantalóon, cartóon, gallóon, salóon, spontóon and many more (monson, monçon, poltron, pantalon, carton, galon, salon, esponton).

In an unaccented syllable the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$ has become oo, but shortened into $\breve{u}$ in the Anglosaxon syllable hâd $=$ Highdutch heit, as in chíldhood (cildhâd), príesthood (preósthâd) \&c. Sometimes head is found alongside of it in Modern-English: gódhead. The

Old-English had hode: manhode, presthode (Maundev.) along with hede: falshede, manhede and the like. In cúckoo the French coucou, Latin cucülus and cuculus is represented; Old-English cuckow.

De proceeds from the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$, mostly with a primitive $v$ and $h$ after it; $e$ is to be regarded as a sign of the lengthening of the final vowel, long wanting in Old-English (mo, wo, fo \&c.), as even now the ancient mo and woful are usually written. In OldEnglish also we sometimes find $a$ preserved, as in the Scotch $f a, r a$ \&c.; Modern-English moe, mo (mầ, mâre), roe (râh, râ), foe (fâh, fâ), woe (vâ = vâva), toe (tâh, tâ), mistletoe (misteltâ), doe (dâ), sloe (slâhe, slâ).

The word throe $=$ pain, agony, points to ed (preá instead of preáv to preóvan = agonizare) and thus hoe may belong to heáv (from heávan), which certainly occurs only in an abstract signification (ictus). In sense it answers to the Old-Highdutch houwa, French houe. Compare $o$, arising from ed́.
oe answers in shoe to the Anglosaxon $\hat{o}$ (scôh, scô, sceô, Lowdutch schau) and sounds with a long $\bar{u}$; Old-English sho, in the Plural shoon, shon as to, toon, ton.

The unaccented oe in félloe (felg, felge), a collateral form from felly, is equal to forms in $o w$, which interchange with $y$ (see $o w$ ).
$\mathbf{0 i}$, oy answer to Romance forms, the former only in the middle of words, in the accented syllable as $\delta i$ diphthong. In the $17^{\text {th }}$ century some words were pronounced with $\widetilde{\widetilde{u} i}$, as oil, toil (ŭyl, tŭyl).

0 i in an accented syllable rests essentially on the Old-French oi, ui, (Modern-French oi, ui, oui, eui): join (joindre, juindre), essoin (essoigne, essoine), point (the same), oil (oil, oille, ole, Modern-French huile), moil (moillier, muiller), boil (boillir; buillir, bolir), broil, embroil (to the Old-French broil, bruil, MedievalLatin brogilus, broilus, Modern-French brouiller), spoil, despoil (despoiller), soil (soillier, compare Anglosaxon sŷljan) and soil (soil, Modern-French sol), (here belong also in unaccented syllables tréfoil, cínque-foil (foille, fueille)], foible (foible, Modern-French faible), coif (coiffe, coeffe), void (void, vuit, Modern-French vide), avoid (voidier, vuidier), choice (chois), voice (vois), cloister (cloistre). The verb toil, Old-norse toylen, seems to be a collateral form of till (Anglosaxon tiljan, teoljan), Old-English tulien, Hollandish teulen, tuylen.

The Old-French og, often also oig (before n), operates like oi: loin (logne = lumbea, Modern-French longe), roin (rogner); compare the Old-English Boloine, Coloine \&c.

The Old-French $o$ and $u$ give $o i$ in broider (broder); foil points to afoler $=$ maltraiter, blesser; foiling, French foulées; foist (fuste) recoil (reculer). Choir is the French choeur.
doit is the Hollandish duyt.
Many forms are unclear; hoist answers to the Highdutch hissen, French hisser; foist the Highdutch fiesten, whence foisty, musty, not to mention others.

In the unaccented final syllable oi in tórtoise points to a French form, which would have corresponded to the Provencal tortesa (from
the Latin tortus); pórpoise is porcus piscis, which the spelling porpess indicates more precisely.
$\mathbf{O y}$, initial as well as final, coincides completely with oi in its origin.

The Old-French oi, ui gives oy: annoy (anoi, anui, verb anoier, anuier), joy, enjoy (joir, Substantive joie, goie) also joyous (joios, joious), coy (coi, coit = quietus), decoy (probably belongs to coy, as a verb in Shakspeare, Old-English coyen, but is confounded with dechoivre, deceveir), alloy (aloier), oyster (oistre, ModernFrench huître), destroy (destruire), Old-English destruien, voyage (voiage), roytelet (roitelet).

Old-French o (ou): cloy (cloer, clouer from the Latin clavus).
Here is unclearness; hoy, a sort of boat, answers to the Highdutch heu; toy to the Hollandish tooi, tooijen; boy seems connected with the Highdutch Bube.

In Old-English oy is always written instead of oi.
Oa with the sound of the long $\bar{o}$, frequently denoted in OldEnglish by a simple o (othe, brode also brod, rosten), often by oo (boor = boar, boot = boat, looth = loath, loone= loan, loof $=$ loaf), in Scottish and North-English rendered also by $a, a i$ (fame $=$ foam, grane $=$ groan, tadde $=$ toad, also faim, faem, grain) principally serves as a substitute for the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$ in Modern-English. In the $17^{\text {th }}$ century John Wallis in his Grammar declares $o a$ to be a simple sound: loam (lâm = lutum), foam (fâm), groan (grânjan), oar (âr), roar (rârjan), boar (bâr), hoar (hâr), soap (sâpe), loaf (hlâf), boat (bât), goat (gât), road, inroad (râd = iter equestre), woad (vâd = aluta), toad (tâdje, tâdige), goad (gâd = stimulus), oath (âd), loath (lâd), cloath (clâd), hoarse (hâs), oak (âc); as $\hat{a}$ in broad (brâd); Anglosaxon $c e$ is represented by it in moan (mænan) alongside of mean, Old-English still bemenen = bemoan.
$o a$ is seldom employed as the substitute for a short vowel, as for the Anglosaxon $a$ in load (hladan); and more frequently the Anglosaxon o: foal, else also fole (fola), throat (prote), coal (col), hoard (hord = thesaurus), roach (Danish rokke) alongside of ray, float (llotjan); boast may belong to the Lowdutch bost $=$ breast, sik bösten, to throw oneself on the breast.

A Romance $o$ is likewise represented by oa: roam (romier, romieu $=$ Italian romero. a pilgrim), soar (essorer, Provencal eisaurar), doat and dote (redoter, Hollandish doten), coat (cote, cotte), coast (coste), roast (rostir or immediately to the Old-Highdutch rôstjan), toast (properly to broil from the Latin tostus; the French toster, is derived from the English), poach (pocher, empocher), coach (coche), broch (broche), approach (aprochier), reproach (reprochier), accroach (accrocher), board = to accost (aborder).
oa comes from oua in roan (rouan). The English road answers to the French rade, but perhaps belongs to the Anglosaxon râd, which may lie at its root; compare hranrâd $=$ balaenae via $=$ oceanus.
$0 u$ and $o w$ are equal to one another in their phonetic relations, representing the Highdutch $a u$ and $u$. The $17^{\text {th }}$ century ascribes
both sounds equally to them．The Old－English interchanges with both，especially where the diphthong au appears，in the middle of a word：thow，owre，dowghty，thowsande \＆c．instead of thou \＆c． and reversely：toun，doun，broun，croun，goun，toure，shoure， foul \＆c．instead of town \＆c．tower \＆c，fowl，and even outside of this phonetic tinge，both are found frequently interchanged．In Modern－English ou is found more altered in its phonetic tinge and quantity than ow．

Our arises from the Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ and $u$ in a more limited mea－ sure，as well as out of several other vowels，under the influence of a subsequent guttural．

The Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ gives ou（as in other cases，especially in the end of a word and before liquid and nasal letters ow）as $a u$ ：thou （pû or pu），foul（fûl），our（ûser，ûre），out（ût），grout（grât）， clout（clûtjan＝consuere），proud（prât），mouth（mû才），south（sû才）， shroud（scrûd），mouse（mûs），house（hûs），touse（Lowdutch tî̀ sen），thousand（pûsend）．

Anglosaxon $u$ as tu before $n d$ ：pound（pund），sound（sund）， hound（hund），ground（grund）；with a primitive $y$ ：pound（pyn－ dan）and in the preterites and participles：bo und（bundon－bunden）， found，ground，wound（Old－English often $o$ instead of ou），whereas wound（vundjan，vulnerare）commonly preserves the long $u$ instead of $a u$ ；as $\bar{o}$ before $l d$ ：shoulder（sculdor），Old－English shulder；and Anglosaxon o（y）mould（molde，myl，Gothic mulda）；yet as a short $\breve{u}$ in：would（volde），should（scolde），Old－English wolde，sholde， shulde，to which could（cû才e），has been assimilated，Old－English coude．

The Anglosaxon $\hat{o}, o$ ，éa e ea，$\hat{a}$（also $\hat{a} v$ ），$u$ before gutturals are represented in Modern－English as ou，yet with various colour of sound and quantity：as a long $\dot{a}$ ：sought，besought（sôhte，sôht），bought （bohte，boht），brought（brohte，broht），wrought（vrohte，vroht in－ stead of vorhte，vorht），fought（feaht，fohten），thought（peahte， peaht and pohte，poht）［along with such forms as brozte，wroght，thoght， taghte are found here even early in Old－English those with ou］， ought along with aught，nought（âvîht，âuht；nâviht，nâuht）；as a short $\grave{a}$（ŏ $)$ ：trough（troh，trog），hough（bôh，hô），cough（com－ pare ceahhettan $=$ cachinnari），the obsolete preterite lough（hlôh $=$ laughed）and lough（luh，compare Celtic loch），chough（compare the Old－Highdutch couch，gawk），shough＝shaggy dog（to the Old－ norse skegg）；as au：bough（bôh？），plough（Old－norse plôgr）， doughty（dyhtig to dugu才），drought（drugâ才，drugỗ）often in Old－English ow；as a long $\overline{0}$ ：dough（dâh，dâg），though（peáh）； as an English $\check{u}$ ：enough（genôh），Old－English ynogh，enow；rough （rûh，rûg，râv），tough（toh），slough（slôg）；as a long $\bar{u}$ ：through （purh），Old－English thurgh，thorghe．

Some words with a primitive é are of a particular kind，as $\bar{o}$ ： four，fourth（feóver，feór才a）；as $\hat{u}$ ：you（eóv），your（eóver）；as $\breve{u}$ ： young（geóng，jung），youngster，younker，Old－English yong； with $\hat{d}$ as o：soul（sâvel，sâul）；and $\hat{o}$ as $\bar{u}$ ：ousel，ouzel（ôsle， Old－Highdutch amisala）．

In Romance words the $o, u$, $o u$, occurring beside each other in the same verbal forms in Old-French, mostly resting upon a Latiu $o$ and $u$, are represented in an accented syllable before consonants, by ou, and pronounced partly as the diphthong au, partly as a long $\bar{o}$, partly as a short English $\breve{u}$. The pronunciation as a long $\bar{u}$ points frequently to words of later reception, spelt in Modern-French with ou.

The Old-French $o, u$, ou appears as ou with the sound $a u$, particularly before the nasal $n$, either primitive or arising from $m$ : no un (nom, num, noun), mount (monter, munter; Substantive mont, munt, compare Anglosaxon munt and mont), count, account (conter, cunter), count (conte, cunte, cuens = comes), counter- (contre, cuntre, conter, cunter), fount, fountain (font, funt; fontaine, funtaine), round (roond, roünd, reond), found (fonder), profound (profond, parfunt), confound (confondre, confundre), abound (abonder), redound (redonder), compound (com-pondre?), ounce (once, Italian lonza $=$ lynx), ounce (once, compare the Anglosaxon ynce, yndse), pounce (ponce = pumex), frounce (froncer, fruncher), announce, renounce, pronounce, denounce (noncer, nuncer), counsel (consoil, consel), lounge (compare longin, longis, a loiterer, Old-French alonger; is it to be compared with the Lowdutch lungern?). Before other consonants we more rarely find the diphthong, as in hour (hore, houre, ore, eure), flour along with flower (flor, flur, flour, fleur), pouch (poche, yet pocket immediately from the Anglosaxon poca, pocca, poha, whence the French poche), avouch (vocher, vochier = vocare), espouse, espousal, spouse, spousage (espos, espous; esposer, espuser, espousaige), oust (oster), devout (devot, compare voer, vouer), doubt (dote, dute with $b$ inserted again) gout (goutte); also stout (Anglosaxon stolt) points to the Old-French form estout, estot.

Words with the $\bar{o}$-sound before $l$ and $r$ are not frequent, as poultry (compare Modern-French poulet), Old-English pultry, coulter and cōlter (compare coltel, cultel, coutel), court (cort, curt, cour) and others. On the otherhand the $\breve{u}$-sound has often been developed: nourish (norir, norrir, nurir), courage (corage, curage, courage), scourge (escourgée, Italian scuriada), journey (jornee, jurnee), countrey (contreie, cuntree), couple (cople) \&c ; likewise $\bar{u}$ : in soup (sope, soupe, supe, compare English sup), goujéers (gouge?) and many words easily recognizable. See the pronunciation.

In the unaccented syllable ou seldom, except in compounds, such as Exmouth, goes back to Anglosaxon forms; thórough is the Anglosaxon puruh, purh, Old-English thorowe, still in Skelton thorow; borough Anglosaxon buruh, burh, bury. Compare under ow. In Romance words a French ou is retained, especially in modern words before the accented syllable, as in rouléau \&c. The frequent termination ous, as in précious, vígorous, vicious, answers to the Old-French os, us, eus, ous (precios, -us, -eus, -ous; vigoros, vitios \&c.). The termination our at present frequently exchanged for or, has in Old-French the forms or, ur, our, eur alongside of each other: válour (valor, -ur, -our \&c.). The Old-English has the termination our not only in abstract nouns, but also in names of persons, such as traytour, conquerour \&c. The Norman forms are here $u s$ and
ur, whereas eus, ous and our mostly belong to the Picard forms. The Old-English language also frequently makes use of the broader analogously formed termination ioun instead of ion (regioun, descriptioun \&c.), no longer known to Modern-English. Moreover, even in Old-English the forms in $o, u$, ou run parallel with each other; compare marvelose (Towneley Myster. p. 1.), mervelus, gracyous (ib. p. 20.).

In derivative forms $o$ is very frequently found in an unaccented syllable instead of ou, as vígour - vígorous; this rests partly upon the French process, where, with the advanced accent (vigour, - vigoróus) the vowel was wont to be reduced. Yet in English the mixture of forms going back immediately to the Latin contributes even more, as is also partly the case in Modern-French. Compare, for instance, cólour, cólourable, but cólorate.

0 w is substituted in the accented syllable for the Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ with the sound of $a u$, and mostly at the end of a word or of a syllable, although appearing also before $n$ and $l$, and, occasionally before other consonants: now (nû or nu?), bow (beógan, bûgan), cow (cû), how (hû), bower (bûr), shower (scûr), brow (breav, bræv, brêg, but also ofer-brûg), brown (brûn), town (tûn), down (dûn and adûne $=$ deorsum alongside of dûnveard), down (Old-norse dûn, Lowdutch dûn), lowt and lout (lûtan = inclinari), generally a Lowdutch $\hat{u}$ (Modern-Highdutch $a u$ also $e u$ ): howl (Lowdutch hûlen, hülen Old-norse yla, ylfa, Old-Highdutch hiwilôn, Modern-Highdutch heulen), cower, lower (Lowdutch lûren), drowse (Lowdutch drûsen, whence drusseln, Anglosaxon drusan or drûsjan= cadere?), scowl (Lowdutch schûlen to the Anglosaxon sceolh, scyl = strabo).

A short $u$ under the influence of a following $g$ gives $o w=a u$ : sow (sugu, sug, or sûg?), fowl (fugol), cowl (cugle, cuhle = cuculla).

Out of the Anglosaxon eó arises the diphthong $a u$ in crowd (from creódan = premi). In tower (torr) the influence of the OldFrench tor, tur, tour seems also to have made itself felt.

The Anglosaxon $\hat{a} v$ gives ow with the sound of the long $\bar{o}$ : row (râv = series), mow (mâvan), blow (blâvan), sow (sâvan), snow (snâvan), throw (prâvan), know (knâvan), crow (crâvan), NorthEnglish low, a hill, (hlæv, hlâv); ôv operates in like manner: row (rôvan $=$ remigare), low (hlôvan $=$ mugire), blow (blôvan), flow (flôvan), glow (glôvan), grow (grôvan); blow a stroke belongs to bleóvan $=$ ferire, like trow = treóvjan, trûvjan. Even $a v$ is thus represented: slow (slav, sleav), tow (tav, tov), show along with shew (scavjan, sceavjan, scevjan, compare sceavu, sceáv $=$ scena, substantive show. The Scotch and North-English dialects have here knaw, snaw, blaw \&c.

The Anglosaxon $\hat{a} g$, $a g$, og, eog likewise sometimes pass over into ow as $\bar{\delta}$; own (âgen), Old-English awen, auen, aughene, the obsolete mowe (magan, whence the English may), bow (boga = arcus), rainbow (rênboga), tow (to toh = tractus), whence towage, French touer, touage; and even low (lêge, lŷge $=$ flamma) belongs here; low, in Skelton's time lawe, else even earlier lowe, answers
to the Old-norse lâgr. Compare also enow (genôg), along with enough.

The Old-French $o, u$, ou, analogously to the Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$, transformed into ow as the diphthong $a u$, when a word or a syllable ends therewith, sometimes also before $n$ and $l$ : vow ( $\mathrm{vo}, \mathrm{vu}$, vou, veu), avow (avoer, avouer), allow (allouer), endow (doer, douer), dower, dowery (doaire, douaire), prow = valiant (prod, prud, prou, preu, pros, Modern-French preux), prowess (proece, proesce), power (pooir, povoir, poueir), coward (coard, cuard, couart) to which cow $=$ to depress with fear, and cowish = fearful (Shakspeare) belong; flower (see above flour), rowel (roele, rouele), to wel (toaille, touaille), bowels (boele, buele, boiaus, Latin botellus), with which we must compare vowel (voyelle), trowel (truelle, Latin trulla, truella), powder (poldre, puldre, poudre), trowsers (to the verb torser, trosser, trusser, Modern-French substantive trousses); ho witzer, howitz, also hŏbit, French obus, descends from the Dutch haubitze, like frow. Ow stands before a final $n$ and $l$ in gown (gone, gune, yet also the Cymric gwn, Diminative gynyn, gynan), crown (corone like the Middle-Highdutch krône), renown (nom, num, nun, noune - renom), on the other hand noun, compare Old-English renoun; frown (re-frogner); cowl, seems to refer to cuvel, Modern-French cuveau; howlet answers to the French hulotte, compare the OldHighdutch hûwo; hiuwilâ. On the other hand ow sounds like $\bar{o}$ in prow = prora (Modern-French proue) and bowl (boule).

In an unaccented syllable the termination ow (as $\bar{o}$ ) appears very often in Anglosaxon words; the $w$ here is to be regarded as the substitute for a primitive $v, h$, and $g$, even in the termination $i g$, which sprang from $j$, in which case o enters without regard to the conservation or the rejection of the primitive vowel in the Anglosaxon word, whereas the Old-English has here $e$ or no vowel at all: widewe, falewe, harewe, harewen \&c and narwe, yelwe, holwe, pilwe, sorwe, herberwe \&c. Modern-English: méadow (meadu, -eves), shádow (scadu, -ves, verb scadvjan), hárrow (hereve, hyrve', swállow (svaleve, svealve), wídow (viduve), fárrow and far (fearh), fúrrow (furh), billow (Old-norse bylgia, Danish bölge), fóllow (folgjan), hárrow (herjan, hergjan), willow (vilig, velig), sállow (salig). $O w$ and $y$ are often interchanged in Modern-English, as in the Adjective termination holy and hállow (hâlig, Old-English haligh, halegh, Plural halewes) and otherwise; felly and even felloe substituted for felg, felge; béllow and belly come from belg, belig = bulga, yet the Old-norse belgr = follis, bulga $=$ venter; colly and cóllow signify soot; and popularly we hear berry pronounced instead of bárrow, (Anglosaxon bearu, -ves = nemus?). $O w$ also interchanges with ough, see above. Window points to the Old-norse windauga. For féllow, Old-English fellaw, the Anglosaxon form felav is cited.

U, in general represents the sound of a short $\breve{u}$ and of the diphthong $u$ u, in Germanic words, however, the former; in Romance, Latingreek and others, the latter in an open syllable, as well as where a mute $e$ follows the final consonant. Many o also appear in the present language as a short English $\breve{u}$; Old-English often employed $o$ in the place of the short $\check{u}$, both in Germanic and Romance words,
of which the latter mostly contain $o$, along with $u$, ou. Compare thomb, dombe (dumb), gomme (gum), gonne (gun), doke (duck), walnote, moche (much), sotel (subtle), sodeinly, bokeler (buckler) \&c.

The Anglosaxon $u$ remains $u$ as an English $\breve{u}$ in a syllable closed by a consonant: sun (sunne), stun (stunjan), spur (spura, spora), up (upp), cup (cupp, also copp), dub (dubban), gut (guttas), thumb (puma), dumb (the same), hunt (huntjan), sprung (sprungen), swung (svungen), drunk (druncen), stunk (stuncen), turf (turf), curse (cursjan, corsjan), dust (the same), tusk (tusc, tux), under (the same), sunder (sunderjan), thunder (punor); summer (sumor), furrow (furh); in some words the sound has been preserved as a short Highdutch $\breve{u}$, especially before $l$ : pull (pulljan), bullock (bulluca), full (full).

Where the Anglosaxon $y$ is at the basis, the Old-English has also $i(y)$ and $e:$ murder (myrđrjan), murk (myrc), bury (byrigan, byrgëan = sepelire), burden (byrđen), busy (bysig, biseg), butt (bytt), thrush (pryscë), shut (scyttan), shrub (scrybë), stubbe (stybb), stunt (styntan), church (cyrice), churl, churly, churlish (ceorl, ceorllic, cyrlic) and others; Old-English: mirk, stibborne (stubborn), chirche \&c.; besy, shetten, stenten, cherche, cherl \&c.; so too the Old-Scotch, and even in the Modern-English mickle alongside of much (micel, mycel, mucel); busy still has $i$ in pronunciation, bury $e$ at least.

The Anglosaxon $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ often represent themselves as $u$ : udder (ûder, ûdr), plum (plûme), shun (scûnjau, sceónjan), utmost (ûtemest, ̂̂temest), husband (hûsbonda), Old-English housbonde, husbonde, bulk (Old-norse bûlki), blush (blŷsjan, Old-Highdutch blûsigôn) with an unusual transmutation of $s$ into $s h$.

The Anglosaxon eó answers to $\breve{u}$ in Ludlow (Leódhlâv; hlæv, hlâv = agger), rud (reód), alongside of red, Anglosaxon reád.

More rarely other Anglosaxon vowels pass into $\breve{u}$, as $\hat{o}$ in rudder (rôðer $=$ remus), gum (gôma), or $o, e, e$ e under the influence of a following $r$ : murder (morđur), burst, bursten (bërstan, borsten), where the form of the preterite burst (burston) may exercise influence, churn (cernan) see below; eá in shuttle (sceátel).

Other forms, as gust (gist), rush (hriscjan = vibrare?) go back to a primitive $u$, Old-norse gustr $=$ procella, hrysc $=$ irruptio, Gothic hruskan; the present run (rinnan) has been assimilated to the preterite (ran, runnon; runnen). The words dull, such (dval, dvol, dol and svilc, svylc) Old-English swiche have softened $v$ into $u$; compare the Old-norse subst. dul, dulr.

Huge appears with $u$ (iú) diphthong. It seems to belong to hyge $=$ mens, hygjan, compare the Old-norse hugadr = audax; the older English has here a short $\check{u}$ : the hudge olifaunt (Skelton I. 365). Also truth has a long $\bar{u}$ as belonging to true (treóvđo, trŷvđ), Old-English also trouthe.

The Old-French $o, u$, ou frequently passes in a close syllable into $\breve{u}$, where it appears as a Modern-French $o:$ sum, summit (som, sum; somme, sume), plummet (plom, plum; plommee), number (nombre, numbre), umbrage (ombrage, umbraige), encumber (en-
combrer, encumbrer), pump (pompe, Spanish and Portugese bomba, pump), trumpet and trump (trompette, yet the Old-Highdutch trumpa), tunny (thon, Latin thynnus), fund (fond, fund), plunge (to plom, plum, Modern-French plonger), dungeon alongside of donjon (donjon, dungun, doignon, Medieval-Latin dongio, Irish daingean, fastening), trunk (tronc), juggle (jogler, jugler = joculari), brush (broce, broche, brosse), Tuscan (Toscan), truck (troquer, Spanish substantive trueco), mostly pointing to a primitive $u$.

The Old-French $o, u$, ou, Modern-French ou: fur (Substantive forre, foure, fuerre, verb forrer, fourrer), incur (corre, curre, courre), furnace (for, Modern-French fournaise), furnish, furniture (fornir, furnir, prov. also formir, fromir to the Old-Highdutch frumjan), purple (porpre, pourpre), furbish (forbir, furbir to the Old-Highdutch furban, furbjan), curve (corber, curver), curt (cort, curt, ccurt, Latin curtus, Old-Highdutch churz), curtain (cortine, curtine, courtine), purse (borse, bourse), nurse, nurture (norir, nurir, noriture; noreture), supper (soper, super, souper), glut, glutton (gloz, glos, glous, gloton \&e.), mutton (molton, mouton, muton, Medieval-Latin multo), truss (trosser, trusser), mustard (moutarde from the Latin mustum), mustache (moustache), musket (moschete, mouskete), budge $=$ to stir (bouger), budget (bogette, bougette belonging to bulga = valise), buckler (bocler, bucler, bouclier). Some of these words likewise mostly pointing to a primitive $u$ have the full short $u$-sound: pulley (poulie, although belonging to the Anglosaxon pulljan), pullet (poulet), push (pousser, Spanish puxar), butcher (boucher to boch, bouc, Cymric bwch); pudding (boudin? Cymric pwding and potten).

The Old-French $o, u$, ou, Modern-French eu: demur (demorer, demurer, demourer).

A short $\check{u}$ has sometimes arisen from $u i$, oi, although even these occasionally present collateral forms in $u$ in Old-French: cull (cuillir, coillir, cueillir), crush (croissir, cruisir, Medieval-Latin cruscire), usher (huissier, also ussier), frush=to crush (froisser, fruisser), punter (pointeur, Old-French point, puint), punch, puncheon (poinçon), bushel [sounding with $\check{u}$ ] (boisseau, Medieval-Latin bustellus). Compare Usk, a borough in Brecknockshire (Old-Cymric Uise, Wysc, Latin Isca); also in some measure Dutch (Duitsch).
$u$ appears to have sprung from $i$ in umpire, properly an odd, third person (impair, since in Piers Ploughman nounpere occurs instead of it p. 97), compare succory (chicoree, Latin cichorium); likewise out of $e$ in summons (semonse) and in urchin (hérisson $=$ erinaceus), urchone in Palgrave, on account of the following $r$, as in turpentine (terebenthina), burgamot along side of bergamot, and in Old-England lurne instead of learn, urthe instead of earth, see Halliwell s. v. and others. Compare above $\breve{u}$ before $r$ in Anglosaxon words. Moreover hirchen occurs instead of urchin.

The diphthong iu appears in the open syllable or that lengthened by a mute $e$, mostly in Romance words and others out of a primitive $u$ not effaced by the intermediate language; the $i$ which sounds before it in English is only encumbered by preceding liquid letters: fume (fum), mule (mul, mule), pure (pur), dupe, mute (mut, mu), rude,
use (us, verb user), muse (muser), duke (duc, duch); plume, prude, truant (truant, truander, Cymric tru, truan, Medieval-Latin trutanus, -danus, -anus).

Out of eü, with rejection of the $e$ arise sure (segur, seür, Mo-dern-French sûr), rule (reule, riule, riegle, compare Anglosaxon rëgol, regul, reogol); a diphthong $\hat{x}$ also answers to the Old-French $o$, $u$, ou, Modern-French eu, in fuel (fu, fou, feu), bury is the ModernFrench beurré; like oi, Modern-French eu, in lure, allure (loire, loirre, Modern-French leurre; loirer, Modern-French leurrer, MiddleHighdutch luoder); ue, ui in puny (pues, puis-né); it is equivalent to the French iau in pule (piauler, Italian pigolare). Prune = to lop, Old-English proine, also proigne, points to the French provigner, to propagate.

In an unaccented syllable no peculiarities take place, except that in the final syllable of the words inorganic $u$ 's have sometimes crowded in, as in léisure (loisir, leisir), Old-English also leyser, pléasure (plaisir, plasir, plesir); the Old-English often interchanges in the unaccented syllable with $e, y, u$ like even the Anglosaxon, especially before the $r$, compare the Old-English other and othur. On the shortening of the sound in pronunciation see above.

Ue shews itself with the sound of $u$ diphthong, which is only prejudiced after liquid letters; $e$ appears in $o e$ as a sign of production at the end of a syllable. In Old-English we find ew, ewe instead of ue: trew, rew, sew (compare ensue): trewe, sewe; thus even now clew and clue \&c. are found alongside of each other. See above.

The Anglosaxon eóv, eov and iv give ue: rue = sorrow (hreóv, verb hreóvan), true (treóve), hue (heóv, hiv), blue (bleoh, bleov, bleó, blió), Tuesday (Tivesdäg), Old-English Tiseday.

The Old-Freuch ev, iv likewise: ensue, pursue (the simple verb sew in Old-English = sevre, sivre \&c.); but also $u$ and $u e$ : glue (gluz, glut), due (du, Modern-French dû), rue (rue, on the other hand Anglosaxon rûde), oe, eu: cue (coe, qeue, queue); ui: subdue (sosduire, souduire) with resumption of the Latin form of the prefix.

In unaccented syllables of Romance words ue often stands, where originally $u$ or $u e$ lies at the root: réscue verb and substantive (rescorre, rescurre, rescoure), alongside of which as a substantive réscous (rescosse, -usse, -ousse) occurs; á'gue, feber (agu, ague, Medieval-Latin acuta), tíssue (tissu), íssue (issue, oissue), détinue, rétinue (de-, retenu), válue (value); árgue, cónstrue (arguer, construire) may lean immediately on the Latin; vénue, also véney $=$ Italian stoccata, comes from the French venue, on the other hand venue, alongside of visne, is mutilated from visnet, visnes, alongside of veisinitet, veisinte, belonging to voisin, veisin.

Ui, uy sometimes stand to denote a vowel sound, in which case one or the other vowel may be regarded as mute. The pronunciation of $u i$ as a diphthongal or at least as a long $u$ is old. Gower rhymes deduit with frute (Halliwell s. v. deduit).

No Anglosaxon word has $u i$ as a long $\bar{u}$ ( $i \hat{u}$ ), except bruise (brŷsan = conterere); on the other hand many Romance ones, in which it either rests upon $u i$, $i u$, as in suit (suite, siute, seute),
pursuit, nuisance (noisance, nuisance), fruit, cruise (belonging to crois, cruiz, cruix), or to $u$ : juice (jus), recruit (recruter), sluice (escluse, Hollandish sluis, Middle-Highdutch sliuze, Medieval-Latin exclusa).

Apart from the shortening of $u i$ to $i$ in unaccented syllables (see pronunciation) ui appears as $i$ in build, Old-English bilden, belden, dialectically in North-England beeld, beldynge (Skelton 1. 385), compare the Hollandish beelden; the Anglosaxon is biliđe $=$ imago; $u$ has been subsequently inserted.
uy diphthong as ei in buy (Anglosaxon bycgan), Old-English buggen, byen and bien, Old-Scoth by, the compound aby even in Shakspeare (abycgan = redimere).

The cases wherein in Anglosaxon and Romance words ui is hardened into $v i$ in pronunciation, rest either, after Gutturals, on an Anglosaxon vi, as quick (cric); as ve as ue appears in quell (cveljan), $v a$ as $u a$ \&c. quake (cvacjan) and others; or upon $u i$ in Romance, Latin and other words (as ue upon ue, ua upon $u a$, uo upon uo \&c.), compare quiver (couire, cuevre, cuivre, on the other hand the Anglosaxon cocor), cuish and cuisse (cuisse) \&c. On the other hand quince reminds us of the French coing, Latin cydonius, whereas the French cointe gives the English quaint. See under q.

In quill the French quille, Old-Highdutch kegil is at the root, mingled with the Old- and Middle-Highdutch kil ( $=$ caulis) and the Old-Highdutch chiol, Anglosaxon ceole.

Even in the unaccented syllable the sound grounded upon ui appears: ánguish (angoisse, anguisse) \&c. In distínguish the verbal termination has passed into the form of the French verbs in ir with -iss, Latin isc-ere, inserted.

## Origin of the Consonants.

We consider the consonants here not strictly according to their vocal relations; but, where the same vocal sign belongs to more than one class of sounds, we comprehend the various sounds under the class to which the sign originally belonged. We do not here regard separately the words brought over immediately from ancient or modern tongues, since in those a transmutation of sounds rarely comes into consideration, and they generally conform to the most general rule.

1. The nasal and the liquid sounds $m, n, l, r$.

M answers to a primitive $m$ in Anglosaxon and Romance words: milk (miluc), mare, nightmare (mara), grim (grimm), svarm (svearm); - mace, a club (mace, mache), murmur (murmurer), remain (remaindre, remanoir). Before $n, m$ is preserved in Romance and Latin words, when the final $n$ is, however, silent, or to be regarded as assimilated (see above at page 67): remnant (remanant), solemn (solempne), hymn, automn.
$m$ often springs out of $n$; thus after an initial $s$ in smack (Anglosaxon snace, Old-norse snâkr = navis genus, Hollandish smak,

French semaque). Especially $n$ before lipsounds $p$ and $b$, in Germanic and Celtic words in $m$, is transformed into $n$ : hemp (hanep, hänep), hamper along with hanaper (Medieval-Latin hanaperium), Bamborough (Bebbanburh), Cambridge (Old-English Cantebrigge), Cymbeline (Latin Cunobelinus), Dumbarton (Celtic DunBreton, castle of the Britons). Even in Romance words $m$ stands for $n$ before an inserted $p$, which in Modern-French has again been cast out: tempt (tenter, tempteir), attempt; so too before $f$, where French preserves $n$ : comfort (conforter), comfit alongside of confect, confiture. Compare Pomfret (Old-English Pountfreit in Rob. of Gloucester).

This happens also before other consonants and vowels: brimstone (Swedish bernsten), Montgomery (Mongon-byrry) (Percy Rel. p. 4.), Latimer, an appellation of the interpreter Wrenoc ap Merrick (= latin interpreter).
$m$ instead of $n$ is particularly frequent at the end of Romance words: lime (Anglosaxon lind) Old-English lynde, linde, in the CravenDialect lin, lyne; maim (mahaigner from mahain, compare the Anglosaxon bemancjan = truncare, Medieval-Latin mahemiare), random (randon) compare a gret randoum (Maundev. p. 238), ransom (raançon, raiancon), Old-English rancou, ramson (Rob. of Gloocestre), venom (even venin, venim) compare envenom (envenimer), megrim (migraine), badigem alongside of badigeon (French the same), perform (par-fornir, -furnir) compare perfournen (Piers Plovghman p. 291), Old-Scotch perfurneis, originally $m$ containing, Old-Highdutch frumjan, compare Anglosaxon fremman; vellum (velin), marjoram (Italian majorana, French marjolaine)

Old-English had often $m$ at the end of the word, for instance Kaym, Caym instead of Cain, bothum (bouton) and others, dialectically brim instead of bring (eastern dialect). Summerset, somerset and somersault are corrupted from the Old-French soubresaut; in malmsey $m$ has taken the place of $v$, Old-English malvesy (malvuisie), but it rather stands with a view to Monembasia.
$\mathbb{N}$ arises out of the Anglosaxon and Romance n: nine (nigon), winter (vinter), wen (venn), dun (dumn = fuscus); - nurture (noriture, norreture), language (langage), tense (tens, tans, ModernFrench temps), Old-English dan (dans, dant = dominus), count (cuens, conte, cunte together with cumte), noun (nom, noun, non); on the other hand renowmd for renowned is still found in Spenser and Marlowe.

As $m$ from $n$, so conversely $n$ often proceeds from $m$, as even in Old-French in some examples just quoted: ant = emmet (Anglosaxon æmete), Benfleet (Beámfleót) in Essex; Dornford was formerly called Dormceaster; the ancient Rûmcofa is now called Runckhorn, Hants stands alongside of Hampshire (Hâmscire). In OldEnglish fron stands instead of from; paynen (Rob, of Glofcester I. 119) along with paynym and others.
n has sometimes taken the place of $l$ : banister has arisen out of the French baluster, balustre. Compare the dialectic win instead of will in Modern-English. See under 1 .

L has been preserved in Anglosaxon and Romance words: little (lytel, adverb lytle), lock (loce= cirrus), slumber (slumerjan), glisten (glisnjan), wallow (vealovjan, vealvjan, valvjan), welter (from veltan), halt (healtjan), whole (hâl), till (tiljan); - limmer (limier), lodge (loger), parliament (parlement), false (fals, fax, faus), cattle (catel).

Although frequently silent before consonants (see page 67), $l$ has been often preserved in Anglosaxon, as also in Old-French words, where Modern-French has rejected it, and even Old-French admitted the rejection with the substitution of $u$ for $l$, compare fault (falte, faute), assault (assalt, assaut), vessel (vaissel, vaissiaus), castle (castel, castiaus): Forms with and without $l$ are still occasionally found alongside of each other: powder and poulder [unusual] (poldre, puldre, poudre) \&c.

1 has sometimes taken the place of $r$ : marble (marbre, compare Spanish marmol, Highdutch marmelstein) marbreston even Rob. of Gloucester II. p. 476. Anglosaxon marmarstân; purple (porpre, yet even in Anglosaxon purble = purpureus, as in Anglosaxon turtle $=$ turtur); gilliflower has been deformed out of giroflée (also geraflour) that is caryophyllum. Hobbledehóy neither man nor boy is said to have arisen from Sir Hobbard de Hoy. Salisbury has supplanted Saresbury (see Halliwell s. v.) compare the spot hard by Old Sarum, Latin Sorbiodunum. At the end $l$ stands thus in laurel (laurier), Old-English laurer, lorer in Chaucer and Gower.

Other $l$ have even in Old-French arisen out of a primitive $r$ and have persisted in English, while no longer appearing in ModernFrench: temple (Old-French temple, Latin tempora, Modern-French tempe), fortalice, obsolete instead of fortress (Old-French fortelesce alongside of forteresce, forterece, Medieval-Latin fortalitium).

Flavour has proceeded from the Old-French flair, flairor, belonging to flairer, Latin fragrare. In Old-English and Old-Scotch it sounds fleure.

In proper names, such as Hally (Henry, Harry), Doll, Dolly (Dorothy), Molly (Mary) \&c. $l$ often appears for $r$.
$l$ sometimes stands for $n$, as in Martlemas in Shakspeare instead of Martinmas. Dialectically we find chimley, chimbly instead of chimney. Could lunch, luncheon, nunchion, also have proceeded dialectically from nunch, noon, (nona)?

R is mostly preserved in Anglosaxon, Romance and other words: rich (rîc), ram (ramm), proud (prût), blind (blind), trap (treppe), crib (cribbe), spring (springan), stream (streám), start (steort, steart $=$ spina), church (cyrice), star (steorra); - river (rivière), realm (realme, reaume), preach (precher, prechier), brief (bref, brief), trace (tracier, tracer), grant (graanter, granter along with creanter, craanter), pork (porc) \&c.
$r$ has taken the place of $l$ : lavender (Medieval-Latin lavendula, Italian lavendola). In Shakspeare Argier stands instead of Algiers (Temp. 1, 2); sinoper alongside of sinople, Old - English and Old - Scotch synoper, -eir and synople, Old -French sinople, the green colour in a coat of arms, are the same words: there is said to
have been a red and a green pigment from Sinope (called sinoplum, Old-Latin sinōpis). See Diez's Etymological Dictionary page 725. The obsolete surbeat, surbet and the verb surbate point to the French solbatu, wounded in the sole of the foot.
$\mathbf{r}$ takes the place of $n$ or $m$ in the popular pronunciation in charfron, alongside of chanfrin and champfrein, French chanfrein; in glitter (Anglosaxon glitnjan) a new derivational termination er has rather taken the place of $n$, en.
2. The Lipsounds $p, b, f, p h, v, w$.

P must often give place to $b$; at the beginning of Anglosaxon words it mostly pointed to a foreign origin, but it was frequent in the middle and at the end. Where it appears in English it mostly perseveres in its pristine form, although, dialectically, for instance, in Gloucestershire, it often yields to $b$ : pitch (pic), pepper (pipor, pëpor), pull (pulljan), plight (pliht), priest (preóst), slippery (slipur), apple (appel, äpl), wipe (vîpjan, vipjan), cramp (cramp), sharp (scearp); - pity (pite, piteit), pious (pius, pios), pledge (plege, pleige), prophesy (prophecier), strain (straindre), chapter (chapitre), escape (eschaper, escaper), apt (French apte, Latin aptus). It rarely appears where it has become silent, except where it was only inserted. The former is the case in receipt, as well as formerly in deceipt (Old-French usually recet, yet also recepteir along with receter).

Here and there $p$ has proceeded from $b$ at the beginning of a word: purse (Old-French borse, bourse, even in Old-Highdutch pursa), on the contrary disburse, reimburse, else also dispurse; pudding (boudin?); pearch, perch, (Anglosaxon bears) is to be reduced to the French perche; in the middle of a word in apricot (French abricot, Italian albercocco); at the end of Anglosaxon words: Shropshire (Scrobscire), crump (crumb); gossip (from sibb, English sib) instead of godsib, Old-English gossib. Thus in Old-English warderope is found instead of garderobe.
p has arisen out of $p h$ in trump, French triomphe.
In proper names $p$ often stands along with m: Peg, Peggy instead of Meg, Margaret; Pat, Patty instead of Mat, Martha; Polly instead of Molly from Mary.

B mostly rests upon a primitive Anglosaxon or Romance $b$, and has been preserved even when silent: bid (biddan), bang (Old-norse bânga $=$ pulsare), black (bläc), brass (bräss), web (vebb), dub (dubban, compare the Old-French dober, duber, adober), climb (climban); - beast (beste, beeste), combat (combatre); blandish (blandir), brawn (braon, braion = partie charnue du corps), bran (bren, Modern-French bran, but the Cymric brân), to mb (tombe), alb (Latin alba, French aube). The English retains in many words the $b$ rejected in French, such as debt (dete), doubt (doter, duter, douter); moreover this $b$ was not unknown even in Old-French.
b has sometimes arisen out of $p$, mostly in the middle and at the end of a word: lobster (loppestre, lopystre = locusta marina), a collateral form thereof is lopuster; dribble (belonging to dreó-
pan); limber = pliable alongside of $\operatorname{limp}=$ weak, pliant (belonging to the English to limp, compare the Anglosaxon lemphealt = claudus, lempe $=$ lenitas, fragilitas, Highdutch Glimpf); slab $=$ viscous (to the Old-norse slapp=lutum); knob, Old-English knop (Old-norse knappr = globulus; compare the Anglosaxon cnäpp = jugum, English knap). Even Skelton and Spencer have libbard, lybbard instead of leopard. Modern-English has at the commencement of a word bandore alongside of pandore; in the middle cabriole alongside of capriole.

Instead of $w$ (Anglosaxon $v$ ) stands $b$ in Bill, Billy from William (Vilhelm); brangle alongside of wrangle (to the Anglosaxon vringan) ; compare the Lowdutch bërwolf instead of wërwulf.

For $h$ and $r, b$ enters in bumble-bee (Beaum. and Fletcii) instead of humble-bee, compare the Highdutch Hummel, swiss. Bummel, and Bob, Bobby, like Hob for Rob, Robin, Robert.

F arises from the Anglosaxon and Romance $f$, which, however, are retained only at the beginning and end of a word, and that mostly with persistency, and in the middle of a word, are wont to have place in reduplication or when attached to a following consonant. At the end of a word $v$ commonly appears for it, when it is followed by a mute $e$, according to the French process, yet here the language has not remained consistent. The dialectical confounding of $f$ with $v$ is widely diffused.

A primitive $f$ at the beginning and end of a word: fickle (ficol), far (feorr, feor), flesh (flæsc), frame (fremman = facere, perficere), thief (peóf, pêf), hoof (hôf); - fillet (filet), fail (faillir, falir), flame (flame, flamme, verb flamer), fruit (fruit, frui), chief (chef, chief).

Reduplicated in the middle and at the end of a word, as well as when attached to a following consonant, although here sometimes silent: stîff (stif) and verb stiffen, cliff (clif, cliof), distaff (distäf), swift (svift), fifth (fifta), twelfth (tvelfta); - coffin (cofin), caitiff (chaitif, caitif), plaintiff (plaintif), enfeoff (fiever, fiefer), scaffold (escafaut, eschafaut), falchion (falchon, fauchon).

In many Anglosaxon words the final consonant $f$ before a mute $e$ has remained: life (liff), wife (vîf), knife (cnîf); as in Romance: strife (estrif), safe (salf, sauf, compare the verb salver, saver), which in Old-English used still to be sounded lif, wif, knif, strif, saaf. In the inflection of these as well as of other words in $f, v$ certainly appears before the vowel $e$, as was usual, even in Old-English. Many have slill frequently a final $f$ or $f e$ in Old-English, to which ModernEnglish has given ve, as gaf, yaf (gave), drof (drove), shrof (shrove), strof (strove) and others. On the other hand Modern-English words are found with a final $f f$, to which in Old-English ve used to be given in Old-English, as sheriff (Anglosaxon scire-gerêfa), Old-English reeve, shereve.

In the derivatives of words in $f, f$ is partly preserved before vowels, as in turfy, chiefage (Old-French chevage, poll tax), leafy (full of leaves), leafage, even leafed (having leaves), elfish, safely, while we also find elvish, wively, wivehood \&c. alongside of them. Even inflective forms sometimes fluctuate, as in stares,
now frequently staffs, where Old-English mostly offers only one $f$ in the singular, while having $v$ in the plural. In collision with a consonant in inflection $v$ is transmuted into $f$ : bereft along with bereaved.

Particles prefixed do not alter the primitive initial sound, as in afore, afield \&c.
f hardly ever arises out of $b$ : draff answers to the Anglosaxon drabbe, grains, alongside of which stands drôf $=$ turbidus, sordidus.
f proceeds from $g$, as the guttural $g h$ has sometimes assumed the pronunciation of $f$ : dwarf (pveorg), in Old-English still dwerghes in Mandeville and durwe (Weber), in Western dialects durgan. The interchange of $h$ (in English otherwise $g h$ ) with the vocal sign $f$ is in Modern-English still to be met with here and there: draft alongside of draught (drôht from dragan), as conversely clough = ravine seems to belong to the Anglosaxon clûfan, which in Old-English stands also for cliff (clif, cliof $=$ rupes), and in Highdutch sichten corresponds to the English sift (siftan) (see $g h$ ). Shaft in the meaning of schacht corresponds to this Highdutch word, but has xafetus alongside of schachta in Medieval-Latin for its support.

In Old-English the substitution of $f$ for $g h$ is frequent: doftyr = daughter (Ritson), caufte= caught; thofe = though (HaLliwell s. v.); dialects of the present day offer thoft $=$ thought, thruff $=$ through. In Old-English 3 even occasionally stands along with $f$ instead of $g h:$ strajfte $=$ straight (Halliwell Early Hist. of Freemasonry p. 14.).
f is also occasionally substituted for a primitive Greek $p h$, partly according to Freuch precedent, although sometimes both stand alongside of each other. Thus we spell fantasm and phantasm, frenzy and phrensy, frantic and phrenetic, fantom (Old-French fantosme) and phantom, but always fancy (fantaisie).

Ph , where it has not been changed into $f$, remains faithful to the Greek-Latin spelling, as in philosophy.

It has sometimes arisen out of a final $f ; \operatorname{gulph}$ stands along with gulf (French golfe, Greek «о́גтоs), Guelphs along with Guelfs; also in the middle of a word: cípher, decipher (French chiffre, Medieval-Latin ciffara, from the Arabic safar and sifr = zero).
ph for $v$ is striking, as in nephew (neveu), Old-English neuew, nevew, and in naphew along with navew (navet from the Latin napus).

Old-English often confounds $p$ with $p h$, as in Phiton (Python). This and other displacements, as Baphome't (Mahomet) belong in general to the middle ages, compare the Medieval-Latin Bafumaria, Baphumet \&c.

V , which, at the beginning of a word, unites with no other consonant, and never appears at the end without $e$ is, in its Latin and Romance sound, a letter foreign to Anglosaxon (the Anglosaxon $v$, for which in English $w$ is substituted, representing another sound) and corresponding to the Romance and Latin $v$ : villany (vilanie, vilenie), very (verai, vrai), vanquish (vaincre, vencre), vaunt (vanter, venter), divers (divers).

The collateral form of vetch (French vesce, Latin vicia, com-
pare the Old-Highdutch wicce), which sounds fitch, is striking, as to which may be observed, that the Latin $r$ is, in Anglosaxon, occasionally rendered $\mathrm{by}^{*} f$; compare the Anglosaxon serfis, Latin servitium (see below, on Old-English). No less striking is the appearance of the initial $v$ for the Anglosaxon $f$ in vat, alevat (fät, ealofät) alongside of fat , since the initial Anglosaxon $f$ is clse preserved. Thus, also vixen is still in use for the Anglosaxon fixen. The OldEnglish certainly in its earliest forms often admits $v(u)$, instead of $f$ at the beginning of a word; compare uorp $=$ forth, vewe $=$ few and others in Robert of Gloucester.

Moreover the English sometimes allows words in $v$ of Romance stock to run parallel with others in $w$, partly with a variety of meaning, as j̄vine, French vin, and wine (Anglosaxon vîn), hence viny $=$ abounding in vines and winy $=$ having the taste or quality of wine, as to which vineyard has taken the place of the Anglosaxon vîngeard, vineard.
v stands in the middle of a word between vowels or after a preceding consonant, and at the end of a word before a mute $e$, where likewise it may be preceded by a consonant, instead of the Anglosaxon $f$ : even (ëfen), evening (æfnung), oven (ofen), navel (nafola, nafela), rāven (hräfen), hovel (hofel); anvil (filt, anfilt), OldEnglish anvelt; silver (silfor); weave (vëfan), knave (cnapa, cnafa), glove (glôf); drive (drifan), hive (hyfe), delve (dëlfan), twelve (tvelf).

In Old-English $f$ is also often preserved between vowels, as in drife (drive), shrife (shrive), delfe (delve), dowfes (doves) (Towneley Myster.), as the Romance $v$ also sometimes passes over into $f$ : reprefe (reprover or the Anglosaxon prôfjau?), soferand (sovereign),

Instead of a Greek-Latin ph a $v$ used often to appear, thus in Spencer, Shakspeare and the moderns, as Byron: vial=phial; visnomy = physiognomy.
b is here and there transmuted into $v$, yet here mostly in Anglosaxon $f$ is found along with $b$ : have (habban), live (libban, but also lifjan), heave (hebban, Gothic hafjan); the forms habben and libben are not unknown to Old-English (Rob. of Gloucester and Piers Plovghans).

Many names in which the Romans heard $b$ have in Celtic and Anglosaxon become $f$, and are now represented by $v$ : Severn (Cymric Hafren, Anglosaxon Säfern, Latin Sabrina), Dover (Latin Dubris Dubrae), Reculver (Regulbium), Tovy (Tobius), Abergavenny. (Gobannium).
v in wave has proceeded from a primitive $g$, Anglosaxon væg, vêg and the verb vagjan, Old-French woge, Modern-French vague; Old-English and Old-Scotch have namely the form wawe, plural wawis, wawghes in Towneley Myster. and thus according to Caxton, compare the Danish vove.

The second $v$ in "velvet" (Old-French velluau, compare Italian velluto, belonging to the Latin villus), is to be regarded as a $u$ hardened into $v$.

W comes under consideration here only as a Semivowel, as indeed originally it is perhaps to be always regarded as a semivowel sound.

Its at present extinct or vocalized sound is, as a consonant, not quite to be made out; yet its interchange with the guttural, which has passed into the lipsound (ynow and ynough, thorow and thorough) in Old-English, which also might frequently be assumed for the $g h$ extinct in pronunciation, points to its having sounded as a lipsound (like the Highdutch $w$ before consonants and not differing much from $f$, when at the end of a word).
w springs from the Anglosaxon $v$, and has been preserved before the consonant $r$ in writing, where it is already completely without import for the pronunciation: winter (vinter), wed (veddjan), wash (vascan); wring (vringan), wren (vrenna); after a dental, too, it is usually preserved: twinkle (tvincljan), dwell (Old-English dvelja $=$ morari, Anglosaxon dveljan, dvellan = errare), dwindle (Old-norse dvîna = detumescere, Anglosaxon dvînan, tabescere), thwart (pveorh), Old-English thwang (Towneley Myst. p. 166), Modern-English thong (pvang = corrigia), sweet (svête), Old-English sote, swift (svift), evenhere partly lost in pronunciation: two (twâ). On the other hand the Anglosaxon $c v$ has mostly passed over into $q u$ (see $q$ ), hv has been transmuted into $w h$ by transposition (see Metathesis).

So far as the Romance $g$ or $g u$, also spelt $w$, corresponds to the Old-Highdutch $w$, and the Gothic and Anglosaxon $v, w$ likewise takes its place in English also: wicket (wiket, guischet from the Oldnorse vik $=$ recessus, Anglosaxon vîc = recessus, portus); wait (gaiter, gueiter Old-Highdutch wahtên), wafer (gaufre. Medieval-Latin gafrum); warrant (garant, guarant, warant and the verb guarantir, warantir, Old-Highdutch werên), warren (garenne, Medieval-Latin warenna); wastel (gastel, gastial, Middle-Highdutch wastel, Modern-French gâteau), reward (reguerredoner, rewerdoner, Medieval-Latin widerdonum compared with the Anglosaxon viđerleán) along with guerdon; wage, wager (Substantive gage, wage and gageure, verb gager, wager, Medieval-Latin vadium, guadium; invadiare \&c. related to the Anglosaxon vedd to the Gothic vadi = a pledge), Old-English warish (garir, Modern-French guérir, related to the Anglosaxon varjan), guarish (Spensi:r).

Romance forms are occasionally employed alongside of others which go back to Anglosaxon words: guise and wise (Anglosaxon vise), especially in the compound otherguise and otherwise; guimple and wimple (Old-Highdutch wimpal), guile, beguile Old-French guile, guille, verb guiler \&c.), Old-English gile, gyle, and wile (Anglosaxon vile); guard substantive and verb, guardian (Old-French guarde, warde, garde \&c.) and ward (substantive veard, verb veardjan), as to which, forms like warden, wardrobe approximate more closely to the French form. Even engage and the like stand alongside of wage without the $g$ 's being retransmuted into $w$.

W seldom appears for a Romance or Latin $v$, unless this has itself passed through an Anglosaxon $v$ : periwinkle (French pervenche, Latin pervinca), Old-English parvenke, pervinke; similarly cordwain, cordwainer springs from the usual cordovan; where, in Celtic words, the Latin has $v$, a Cymric and Cornish $v$ ( $g u, g w$, $w$ at the end of a word) is to be assumed: Winchester (Venta

Belgarum), Caerwent (Venta Silurum), Derwent (Derventio), W ye (Vaga).
w in periwig is hardened from $u$ (Italian perrucea, French perruque since the $15^{\text {th }}$ century), now shortened into wig; perhaps also in periwinkle a sort of shellfish (Latin parunculus). Moreover $c$ and $w$ are provincially, as, for instance, in Kent and in London, often confounded.
3) The Toothsounds $t, d$, th, $s, z, s h, j$;

T has for the most part been preserved from the Anglosaxon, Romance and Latin $t$; yet a primitive $t, d$ and $t h$ often change places with one another.
t corresponds to the Anglosaxon $t$ (Old-Highdutch $z$ ) and OldFrench and Latin $t$ : time (tima), teasel and the verb tease (tæsel, tæsl, Old-Highdutch zeisala = carduus niger and the verb tæsan = vellicare), tale (talu), tool (tôl , trim (trymjan, trymman), trout (truht), trundle (tryndel = circulus, Lowdutch tründeln, also Anglosaxon Participle tryndeled), stair (stæger); e ater (ëtere), sister (sveostor), turtle (turtle); - bite (bîtan), gate (geat, gat), beat (beáten), holt (holt), dust (dust), bought (boht); - tense (tens, tans), tabour (tabor), trench (trencher, trancher), strain (straindre), latten (laiton), attach (attacher), quit (quiter), port (port = portus and porte = porta) \&c., even where a Romance and Latin $t$ passes into the sibilant: nation (nation, nascion), oration \&c. Here an interchange with $e$ occasionally takes place: antient along with ancient (ancien, anchien).

Out of an Anglosaxon and Romance $d$ there sometimes arises a $t$, especially at the end, but also in the middle of a word: Repton (Hrepandûn), Bampton (Beamdûn), where a confusion with tûn was easy, etch = eddish (edisc); - antler (andouiller), partridge (perdrix); - at the end of a word after a vowel, more frequently after consonants: abbot (abbad, -od, -ud), want, a mole (vand), now little used, tilt (tëld), girt along with gird (gyrdan); the clod interchanging with clot points to the Anglosaxon clûd $=$ rupes, clûdig = saxosus; here belongs the transmutation of the verbal suffix $d$ in the preterite and participle, in the syncope of the preceding vowel, into $t$; which, in Anglosaxon, was confined to stems ending in $c$ (as $h t$ ), $p, t$ and $x$ (as $h s$ ), as in thought (pohte-poht), dipt along with dipped (dypte-dypt) \&c. The Old-English carried this transmutation far; in Modern-English it again became gradually restricted. In the seventeenth century the syncope of the vowel, after the letters $p, f$, hard $t h, k, c$ and the hard hissers and sibilants $s, c, s h, c h=x$, sometimes also, after $m, n, l, r$, and which is now often denoted by an apostrophe, was often coupled with the hardening of $d$ into $t$, if the vowel of the verb was short, and, occasionally with a vowel origivally long Modern-English restricts this transmutation in our days, only allowing it to appear after $g h, p$ and $f$, after $s(s s)$, but also after $m, n$ and $l$ in prose and mostly, only in a limited measure, as in thought, brought \&c. after the Anglosaxon precedent in dipt, left (lêfde, lêfed), past (passed), blest (blessed, Anglosaxon blessôde, blessôd), mixt (mixed), pent (from
pen), learnt, burnt \&c., dealt (dælde, dæled); as in a series of verbs ending in $n d$, the Anglosaxon inflection -nde, -nded, is still often transmuted into $n t$ : sent (sende, sended), went (vende, vended) \&c. and even after $l d$ and $r d$ the Anglosaxon inflection -ldede, -lded, -rde, -rded: gilt (gyldede, gylded), girt (gyrde, gyrded). Poetry, and, sometimes, Prose still as formerly uses the abridged forms in $t$, no longer approved by modern grammar, and omitted to be denoted by Lexicography, especially in verbs in $p, s$ and $x$, as whipt, stept, stopt, dropt, prest, possest, crost, curst, nurst, fixt, vext \&c. (See the Declension).

Old-English also in other words ending in $d$ often transmutes this letter into $t$, for instance pousant, hondret, swert, hart (heard) and the like (in Rob. of Gloucester and others) and likewise the final $d$ of the participle, which, together with the termination of the preterite $i t$, instead of $i d$, ed belongs in particular to the NorthEnglish and Old-Scotch dialects.

Instead of p , o ( $=t h$ ), also instead of the Latin-Greek th, an initial and a final $t$ stands, especially at the beginning of words not Anglosaxon: Tom alongside of Thomas, Tit (from ©\&ó9wos), Taff (from ©sóq (ros); often in Old-English teme (= theme), trone (= throne) \&c.; but at the beginning of a compound Anglosaxon word: nostril (naspyrl = nasi foramen) and likewise in hustings (Old-norse hûsspingi $=$ domestica consultatio); at the end in theft (peófð), height (beáhđo), Old-English heighthe, and highth in Milton; dart (darâđ, darôđ) drought earlier and even still in the North of England drouth (drugâす, drugôđ), chit (cî̃ = festuca from cîan $=$ germinare).

The interchange of $k$ and $t$ takes place in apricock and apricot on account of the French abricot and the Italian albercocco, Arabic alberquq; also bat, fluttermouse, Old-English bak, compare Danish aftenbakke, Scotch bakie, bawkie.

D primarily corresponds to the Anglosaxon and Romance $d$ : dim (dimm), den (dene, denn = vallis), day (daga), dawn (dagjan), dock, tail, stump (Old-norse dockr), dock a plant (Anglosaxon docce), dock a quai (Swedish docka, Danish dokke, to the Medieval-Latin doga, French douve, also a canal, a moat), dry (dryge), dvindle (from dvînan = tabescere); bladder (blædre), ladle (hlädle), abide (âbîdan), kid (Old-norse kid), bind (bindan), child (cild), sward (sveard, Middle-Highdutch swarte); - delay (delai, verb delaier), delight (deleit, delit, verb deleiter, deliter), Old-English deliten, delitable, delit; damsel (damisele), dragon (dragon, dragun), demand (demander).
$d$ has taken the place of $t$, yet hardly ever except at the beginning of a word, as, even in Anglosaxon, the initial $d$ was sharply distinguished from $t$ as well as from $p$ : Paddy (from Patrick), dodkin (= doitkin, Hollandish duit), proud (prût), pride (prŷta), in Old-English still prout and prute (Rob. of Gloucester). In the middle of a word the Anglosaxon had transmuted the Latin $t$ into $d$ in: læden, lêden = latinus, Old-English still has leden in the same signification. Here belongs also jeopardy, Old-English juperti (Dame Siriz) jeupertye (Gower) jupartie, jupardie (Chaucer) (jeu
partis，divided game），card（French carte），discard（compare escar－ ter fourteenth century），diamond（diamant）；bud seems related to the French bouter，bout，bouton，compare the ltalian buttare， to bud．
d is occasionally substituted for the Anglosaxon $p$（ $\delta$ ）even at the beginning of a word；in the middle the later Anglosaxon often has $d$ instead of $d$ ；at the end the Anglosaxon $l d$ stood also for the Gothic $l p ; d$ and $d$, also served to distinguish the adjective and sub－ stantive dedd（dead）and dead（death）；dwarf（pveorg），the obso－ lete dorp and thorp（porp，Lowdutch dörp），deck related to thatch （peccan），also the Scotch deck；burden（distinguished from burden， Old－English and Modern－French bourdon）alongside of burthen（byr－ đen），murder（morđur）alongside of murther，Sudbury（Sû才berh）， rudder（rođer），Old－English rother，fiddle（fiđele），Old－English fithelere（Piers Ploughm．p．179），could（cû才e），Old－English couthe， pad alongside of path（pä才，pad），Old－English often quod instead of quoth（cväð）；maid（mägeđ，mäg才 alongside of mägden，mæden English maiden＝virgo）；snath，sneath，sneeth and snead，espe－ cially in the western dialects（snæd）scychehandle；adeling along－ side of Athelney（äđeling，Ä才elingsigge）

The frequent interchange of $t h$ with $d$ ，as denk instead of think （Weber），dere instead of there（Lantoft），dis instead oft this （Percy Rel．）and others，is Old－English and dialectical．

The th of ancient languages has also been changed into $d$ in Bedlam from Bethlehem．

The mutilation of Richard into Dick may be compared with the converse mutilation of the Spanish cedilla in cerilla．

Th likewise serves to replace the Anglosaxon $p$ and $\sigma$ ，the former whereof belonged essentially to the beginning，the latter to the middle and end of a word，like the th descended from the ancient tongues． The distinctions of sound of the harder $p$ and the softer $\delta$ are in English only partly regarded in pronunciation．The sign $p$ is found here and there preserved in the older English at the beginning，in the middle and at the end of a word，but interchanged early with th；the form $\mathcal{P}$ ，instead of $p$ gave occasion to the substitution of $y$ for this letter in writing and print；hence the lately usual abbre－ viations $y^{\circ}, y, y^{n}$ ，instead of the，that，thou and many more．The Cymric renders the hard sound by th，the soft by $d d$ ．
th as a substitute for $p$ and $\partial:$ thick（picce），thill（pile，pill）， thane（pëgen，pên），Old－English and Old－Scotch than，tharm（pearm）， threshold（prëscvald，pärscold \＆c．），Old－English threswold，Old－ Scotch threswald，throw（prâvan）；the verb thwite and substantive thwittle are obsolete（pvittan＝abscidere）［whittle is the Anglosaxon hvitle $=$ cultellus］；withy（vidig＝salix）also withe（Old－norse vi－ dia $=$ vimen salicis and vidir＝salix）；with（vid，also vid），mouth （mûđ），month（mônâđ，mônđ），mirth（merh才，mirð）；－of th： Thomas，thummim（Hebrew），catholic，cathedral（ecclesia ca－ thedralis），mathematics \＆c．Goth（Latin Gothus，Anglosaxon Gota）， Behemoth（Hebrew）．

The Anglosaxon $t$ becomes th，whereas Old－English often retains $t$ ：Thanet（Tenet，Latin Tanetos ins．），Thames，where the pro－
nunciation preserves $t$ (Temese, Tämese), Old-English Temese, fifth (fifta), eleventh (êndlyfta), twelfth (tvelfta) and other ordinal numbers, assimilated to those in $\hat{o} 才 a ;$ even in Old-English fyfthe, sixthe (fifta, sixta) \&c; but also syxte and even eghte (eahtôđa); swarth, swarthy = black, tawny (sveart), yet also swart; lath (latta).

In words, derived from the ancient tongues, th often stands for $t$ : Anthony (Antonius), author (autor), prothonotary (protonotarius); we also find lanthorn alongside of lantern (lanterne, Latin laterna, lanterna). The Old-English frequently apprehended $t$ thus: rethor (rhetor), Sathanas (Satanas), Ptholomee and others. The Modern-English anthem, Old-English antem, Anglosaxon antefen, has arisen out of antiphona.

The Anglosaxon $d$ has been changed into th partly in the middle of a word between vowels, partly at the end, which only slowly became the general usage in Old-English: hither (hider), Old-English hider; thither (pider), Old-English thider; wither (hväder, hvider), Old-English whider; to gether (tô gädere), Old-English togeder, togyder; weather (vëder), Old-English weder; father (fäder), OldEnglish fader; mother (modor), Old-English moder; hyder, togyder even in Skelton. - both, Old-English bathe, bath, Old-Scotch baith finds no support in the Anglosaxon begen, bâ, bâ, but perhaps in the Old-norse bâdir, bâdar, bædi, compare Danish baade, Swedish baide, Gothic bajôps; as booth in the Old-norse bûd; froth (Oldnorse froda $=$ spuma), birth, birthday (byrd, byrddäg, but compare also beorす=nativitas); stalworth, Old-English stalward, stalwart and stalworth, Old-Scotch stalwart = stout, valiant, comes from the Anglosaxon stealveard Substantive = adjutorium; in Chaucer we also find elth for the likewise obsolete eld (ylde, eld) $=$ senectus. Even in words not Anglosaxon the $t h$ instead of $d$ sometimes enters: brothel goes back primarily to the Old-French bordel, MedievalLatin bordellum (Anglosaxon bord), compare the Old-English athamant (adamas); faith (feid, feit, fois, feiz), Old-English fay, feye, striking feght in Halliwell s. v., but compare spright and the OldEnglish spight instead of spite even in the seventeenth century, and the like. The Cymric d, or what was so apprehended by the Roman ear, appears as th in Caermarthen (Latin Maridunum, Cymric caer vyrdin), as well as in Neath (Latin Nidum).

A French $z$ was sometimes rendered in Old-English by $t h$, as in asseth (assez); may faith have descended from feiz with the $z$ of the nominative?

S apart from its division into a hard and a softsound, mostly supposes an Anglosaxon and a Romance s: six (six), sell (sellan, syllan), say (secgan, seggan), soon (sona, suna), smoke (smocjan), snow (snâv), slink (slincan), spill (spillan), swear (sverjan), stink (stincan), spread (sprædan), strawberry (stravberje); - master (mägester), cleanse (clænsjan), whisper (hvisprjan), arise (ârîsan), grass (gräs, gärs); grasp, (Lowdutch grapsen); wrist (vrist); signify (signifier), sever (setrer), summons (semonse), surgeon (surgien), spice (espisce), spouse (espos, espous m., spouse fem.), stanch (estancher), restrain (restraindre), science (science),
cloister (cloistre), joious (joios, -ous, -us), host (hoste, oste). Upon the combinations of $s$ with gutturals $s c, s k$, $s q$, sch see below.
s often stands in place of a dental Romance and Latin $c$, with which it still often interchanges in Old-English; as, conversely, $c$ even in Modern-English sometimes even takes the place of an Anglosaxon $s$ (see below $c$ ); moreover that $c$ commonly interchanges with $s$ in Old-French, which has mostly solely survived in Modern-French, as sometimes with ch: searsh (cercher, cherchier), succory (Latin cichorium, French chicorée); mason (maçon, maçun, Medieval-Latin macio, mattio, machio), ransom (raançon, raianson, raenchon), lesson (leçon), caparison (caparaçon), purslain (porcelaine), nurse, Old-English nourice, norice, even in Shakspeare nourish, license (licence), [dispise perhaps from despire, despis, not immediately from despicere)], cimiss, (compare French cimicides, Latin cimex, -icis) and many more. In Old-English forms like seint, a girdle, sese (cease), cesoun (saison), servisable, sacrifise \&c. frequently occur. The feminine form of substantives in ess, Modern-French ice alongside of (er) esse, has moreover already sometimes an $s$, for the first form still sometimes current in French: empress, Old-French empereris, empereis, but in Old-English also emperice.

In sash $s$ seems to have proceeded from a French ch instead of the primitive guttural $c$ (châsse, châssis from the Latin capsa); Dissimilation of the initial and the final sound will have been the cause.
s arises from the Anglosaxon $J$ in the verbal ending of the third person singular of the present, where in the poetic, solemn and archaic speech the termination eth stands by its side. In the Northern dialects $s$ early took the place of th, not only in the termination of the singular, but also of the plural, which was likewise eth. The Old-Scotch seldom has th; here commonly hes (has), standis, makis, knawis, stertis, gettis, differis \&c. stand for singular and plural. In the thirteenth and the fourteenth century $s$ is found in the southern dialects alongside of $t h$; Chaucer (in the Reeves tale) attributes to those of Cambridge the forms has, bringes, fares, findes \&c. whereas th else prevails in him. Since the sixteenth century this $s$ has made greater progress in English; in Skelton, Spenser, Shakspeare and others $s$ and th are interchanged, in which th is gradually reserved for solemn speech (see Mommsen Romeo and Juliet p. 107). The grammar of the seventeenth century put the usage of th foremost, and that of $s$ in the second rank; modern usage makes $s$ the rule, th the exception.

In the word ease and its derivatives easy \&c. Old-English, Old-Scotch and dialectical eth, eath, eathly \&c., even along with eis and the like, the Anglosaxon ead, eađelic and the Old-French aise, substantive aaise, of like descent (Gothic azets) meet and mix; in bequest from bequeath (becvë才an) we must go back to the Anglosaxon substantive form cviss, compare behest (Anglosaxon behæs).
sc, sk and sq, in which $s$ combines with a guttural, are in the more general transition of the Anglosaxon sc into the sibilant sh more rarely in Germanic than in Romance words, or in words which have passed through Old-French and Latin Greek words. sc is found only before obscure vowels (with which of course there is no
question of the dental $c$, as in scene, science), as well as before another consonant, rarely at the end of a word; $s q$ only before a semivowel $u$, unless in immediately received foreign words.
sc arises from the Anglosaxon sc (Old-norse sk): scale (scalu = laux), scab (scebb, scäbb = scabies), scald (Old-norse skâlldr), scatter (scateran = dissipare), scoff (compare the Old-norse skuffa $=$ irridere), scour (Lowdutch schüren), score (scor = incisura), scurf (scurf $=$ scabies), screech (Old-norse skrækja and skrîkja) alongside of shriek, scrape (scrëpan, screopan. Lowdutch schrâpen); frequently from the Old-French sc, also sch, also themselves of Germanic descent: scaffold (escafaut, eschafault), scan (escander = scandere), scarce (escars, eschars), scarlet (escarlate), scorn (escorner, compare Modern-French écorniffer), scorch (escorchier, escorcer), scutcheon, escutcheon (escusson), scatches (eschace $=$ béquille, Modern-French échasses), scourge (escourgée), scape and escape (escaper, eschaper), scandal (scandele, escandele), scamper (escamper), escritoire and others, fisc (fiscus).

Sometimes Germanic and Romance forms mix; for instance scot, escot stands alongside of shot, Old-French escot, Anglosaxon scot; scant, scantlet, scantling and the verb scantle point immediately to the Old-French eschantelet, Modern-French échantillon, compare Medieval-Latin scantellatus = truncatus, but belong to the Anglosaxon scænan, scênan $=$ frangere; scarf corresponds in meaning to the Old-French escharpe, escerpe, Anglosaxon sceorp = vestitus, but as to its form attaches itself to the Anglosaxon scearfe = fragmen.
sk stands for the Anglosaxon sc (Old-norse $s k$ ): skin (scinn), skill (sciljan = distinguere, Old-norse skilja = discernere, intelligere), sky (Old-norse skŷ = nubes), skipper (scipere = nauta), skirt (Anglosaxon scyrtan = abbreviare, compare the Old-norse skirta, skyrta = subligar, indusium, English shirt), skull (Old-Highdutch sciulla); brisket (Old-norse briosk = cartilago), tusk (tusc, tux), flask (flasc, flasca, flaxa); and for the Old-French $s c$ ( $s k$ ) and $s q$ : skirmish rests immediately on the Old-French eskremir, eskermir, whereas the cognate scrimer points to the Anglosaxon scrimbre; sketch (esquisse, Italian schizzo); musket, musketoon (moschete, mouskete), Me-dieval-Latin muschetta), mask (masque, Medieval-Latin masca, mascus), cask = hollow vessel rests, like casque = helmet, on the French casque, risk (risque). In lask and task sk rests on a primitive $x$ : lask (Latin laxus) diarrhoea; task (Latin taxa, Modern-French tâche, French tasque).

Moreover $s c$ and $s k$ are often confounded, for instance, in scate and skate, (Hollandish schaats), sceptic and skeptic and others.
sq (u), in words originally Germanic, occurs only through the placing of an $s$ before $c v$, as in squeak (Lowdutch quîken, quêken); On the other hand, in words originally Latin and Old-French, has frequently arisen from $s c$ and $s q$ before $u$ : squire, esquire (OldFrench escuier, esquier = scutarius), Old-English squiere; squirrel (escurel, escurill from the Latin sciurus), squad (escouade, Italian squadra), squalid (Latin squalidus) and others.
sch with the guttural ch is met with in words originally oriental and Greek: scheme ( $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu c$ c), pasch (pascha), also in school (schola,
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda_{1}$ ), although this sounded scôlu in Anglosaxon and hence in OldEnglish scole; scholar. Upou exceptions see pronunciation page 62. Likewise the Italian words, in scherzando \&c.

Z was little known in Anglosaxon, and has come into English from the ancient and the Romance tongues; in Anglosaxon it stands rarely instead of $\delta$, like as the Old-French occasionally symbolized an English $p, \delta$ by $z$ : zorne (Anglosaxon porne) est espine Rom. de Rov). It arose out of the ancient and Romance $z$ (ఢ): zeal (French zèle, Greek $\zeta \check{\eta} \lambda 0$. ), whence zealot, zealous (French jaloux); zest (French zeste), zone (French, the same, $\left.\zeta \omega \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \mathbf{\prime}^{\prime}\right)$, zocle alongside of socle (Italian zoccolo, French socle), azure (French azur), to say naught of other foreign words, such as quartz and the like.

Yet it has also taken the place of an Anglosaxon, instead of an Old-French $s$, where it still frequently interchanges with $s$, whereas Old-English commonly presents this alone: lazel (häsel), Old-norse hasl; freeze (freósan), breeze and breese = tabanus (briósa), sneeze and neese (compare fneósan), glaze, glazen (substantive glas, adjective gläsen); blaze (bläse), maze and amaze (mâse = gurges), agaze = to strike with amazement (gæsan = percellere); adz, adze along with addice (adese), ouzel along with ousel (ôsle), gloze and glose along with gloss (substantive glôse, verb glêsan = interpretari, adulari); naze along with ness = headland (näss, nässe), daze, dazzle, dizzy (from dysig = stultus, Old-English, from dase), drizzle (from dreósan = cadere); - seize (saisir, seisir), seizin and seisin (saisine, seiseine), raze and rase along with erase (raser), razor (rasor, rasoir), cizar along with scissors (ciseaux), buzzard (buzart, Old-Highdutch bûsar, Latin buteo); frizz, frizzle along with frissle, French friser, belongs to the Anglosaxon frise = crispus. Fitz is the Old-French fils, fix, fiz \&c.

Sh, a sibilant, which Old-English oftentimes represented by sch, ssh, perhaps also by ss (compare ssame = shame \&c. in Rob, of Gloucester), is in Germanic words mostly the substitute for the Anglosaxon sc (Old-norse sk), although $c$ has often continued a guttural (see above): shift (substantive scift, verb sciftan), sheet (scête, scŷte = linteum), shed (sceddan), shake (scacan), shoulder (sculdor), shoe (scôh), shrink (scrincan), shrive, shrift (scrîfan, scrift); bishop (biscop), fish (fisc, fix), flesh (flæsc), thrash (prëscan), dash (Old-norse daska = percutere), marsh (mersc). Forms in sc often serve to distinguish nearly related Anglosaxon words, as: score, Anglosaxon scor, a notch \&c., shore, Anglosaxon score, a coast; this dissimilation also gives notional distinctions, as: scatter to strew \&c. and shatter, to break to pieces, Anglosaxon scateran; alongside of scab (scebb) stands shabby, mostly used figuratively; disc the apparently tabular surface of a heavenly body, and dish, a flattened culinary utensil, point to the same Anglosaxon disc, dix $=$ tabula, Latin discus.

As the Anglosaxon sc interchanges with $x$, this is also treated as an sc in rush (ryxa, but Latin ruscus). Of another kind is the transformation of Xeres into the English sherry.
sh seldom answers to a single Anglosaxon $s$, as in blush (blŷsjan), and a bash, Old-Engl. abase, and bash, bashful, belonging, according
to Dieffenbach to the Middle-Netherlandish basen, Modern-Netherlandish verbazen. With this we may compare the apprehension of the $s$ in Shepton Mallet (Latin Septonia), likewise that of the Latin $s$ (from the Hebrew שi) in Joshua (Josua).

On the other hand the Old-French $s s$, which also was wont to interchange with the dental $c$ and $c h$, is frequently rendered by $s h$, whether that $s s, c, c h$ rests upon a primitive $x$ or the combination of other sounds, or even upon a single dental: cuish (cuisse, quisse, Latin coxa), cash (casse, chasse, Modern-French caisse, Latin capsa, Medieval-Latin cacia, cacea), sash (châsse, the same word as the last), brush (broce, broche, brosse, Old-Highdutch brusta), anguish (anguisse, angoisse, Latin angustia), Old-English anguysse; calabash (calebasse, Spanish calabaza), plash, to twine boughs, (plaissier, plassier, from the Latin plexus), leash (laisse, lesse), push (pousser, Latin pulsare), Old-English possen; parish (paroche, paroisse = parochia), cushion (coussin, Medieval-Latin cussinus, from the Latin culcita), fashion (fachon, fazon, faceon); to which also belongs the verbal ending ish, French iss, Latin isc, as in embellish (embell-iss-, as it were the Latin embell-isc-ere), which the Old-English used to give by ise, ice, as the Old-Scotch did by is, eis, together with ische. In Modern-English the dental $c$ has continued in rejoice, Old-English rejoisse ( $=$ rejo-iss-, from the Old-French joir, goir).

The representation of the dental ch by $s h$ in English is natural, where in French the former alone appears, having been mostly softened from the guttural $c, k$, although it may also have arisen from a sibilant: dishevel (compare escheveler from chevel, Latin capillus), gamashes (gamache, Medieval-Latin gamacha, a bootleg); hash, which appears alongside of hack, rests upon hacher, as the former does immediately upon the Anglosaxon haccjan = concidere; the dialectical fash answers to the French fâcher (from the Latin fastidium); the cloth named shalloon comes from Châlons; the French chaloupe after the Hollandish sloep, the Euglishman renders by shallop along with sloop. Even sch in forms sometimes gives sh: shawl (Persian schâl).

Through the agreement of the French ch with the English sh, the English spelling sometimes fluctuates between both, for instance in shagreen and chagrin (French chagrin, from the Arabic zargab, Turkish sagri), fetish and fetich (Portugese fetisso, French of the eighteenth century fétiche), cabashed and caboched (caboché, compare caboche, thickhead, from the Latin caput); the fish is called shad and chad (ch pronounced like $s h$ ). Is it related to the Anglosaxon sceadda, English scate, skate? In Old-English even chiver is found instead of shiver (compare the Old-Highdutch scivero, Middle-Highdutch schivere); and thus the Modern-English eddish (Anglosaxon edisc) also becomes etch.

Even ss sometimes still stands in Modern-English alongside of sh, as in Old-English (see above), in bassa and bashaw, Persian pai, schah (foot of the shach).

The word radish, answering in meaning, to the Anglosaxon rädic, in fact also radik in Old-English (see Halliwell s. v.) is
attached to the French radis or the Latin radix, as well as to the Swedish rädisa.

The sibilant is still sometimes represented in Modern-English by sch instead of by $s h$, and that according to Old-French precedent: eschew (eschiver, Old-Highdutch skiuhan), escheat (eschet from escheoir), eschalot, also shalot (échalotte, Italian scalogno $=$ allium ascalonicum. Linné).

J , as a consonant sibilant, proceeds from the Old-French $j$ and dental $g$, which not rarely interchanged with $j$; the Latin $j$, although it has not always passed through the Romance, is referred hither: jig (gigue, gige, Middle-Highdutch gîge) together with the dissimilated gig with an initial guttural $g$ (compare the Old-norse geiga $=$ tremere), jew (juif), jail together with gaol (gaiole, jaiole, gaole, Me-dieval-Latin gabiola, gayola, from caveola), joy (goie, joie), jaunte, felly (jante), jangle (jangler, gangler, Hollandish janken, jangelen), jay (gai, Modern-French geai), jargon (jargon, gargon), to which perhaps jargle (compare jargoner and the Old-norse substantive jarg and jargan = taediosa iteratio and sermo inconditus), juggle (jogler, jugler, Latin joculari), just, joust, justle, jostle (substantive joste, jouste, juste, verb joster, jouster, juster, from the Latin juxta). Jest comes from the Old-French geste, compare chanson de geste, OldEnglish gestour, jestour (for to tellen tales [Chaucer 13775]); jaw refers us to the Old-French joe, provencal gauta, although formerly of the same import as chaw (Old-Highdutch chouwe) although job also seems to interchange with chop.

In jashawk the word eyas-hawk is transmuted, thus $y$ has passed into a dental.

As in Old-French, so in Modern-English the dentals $g$ and $j$ sometimes stand in double forms for each other, as: jennet, genet and ginnet (genet, Latin genista $=$ broom), Jill and Gill (Gille $=$ Aegidia), jingle and gingle (perhaps belonging to jangler, gangler?), jenneting, geniting (from June) as it were Juneapple; jail and gaol (see above) and others.

Upon the Modern-English pronunciation of $j$ see below $c h-2$.
4) The Throatsounds $k$ (ck), q, c, ch, g, (gu, gh), h, y, x.

K which, along with $c$, answers to the hard guttural sound of the Greek as well as of the Gothic $k$, stands at the beginning of a word especially before clear vowels, as well as before $n$ in the middle of a word before or after another consonant or doubled (as $c k$ ) and at the end of English words singly, doubled or after another consonant. Upon $s k$ see above.

The representation of the Anglosaxon guttural $c$, which down to the eleventh century before all vowels, as well as before consonants, denoted the same sound, and not till afterwards, especially in foreign words, was also written $k$, has in English been distributed among $k$ and $c$ (before obscure vowels and in the compounds $c l, c r$ ) and $q u$, mostly instead of the Anglosaxon cv; whereas the Anglosaxon $c$ before $i, y, e, \ddot{e}, e a, e o$, for which in Anglosaxon ch gradually came in, became the English dental ch. The pure guttural, was preserved however before clear vowels as an initial $k$, chiefly in those words, in
which the vowels appeared to be modifications of obscure vowels, or where $k i$, ke rest upon the Anglosaxon cvi, cue.
k for the Anglosaxon initial $c$ : kin, kindred (cynu, Gothic kuni, and Anglosaxon cynd), kind (cynde = congruus), king (cyning, Old-Highdutch kunung), kine (Nominative plural cŷ, Genitive cûna), kindle (Old-norse kinda = ignem alere), kill, alongside of quell (cveljan and cvellan), Old-English also kull, kiln (cylene), kirtle (cyrtel), kite (cita, cyta = milvus), kitchen (cycene, Old-Highdutch kuchina), kid.(Old-norse kid, hoedus), kiss (cyssan, substantive coss), key (cæge), keen (cên, cêne, Old-Highdutch kuon, kôni), keel (ceôl or ceol, Old-Highdutch kiol), keep alongside of cheapen=to bargain, Old-English chepen $=$ to buy (cêpan, cŷpan $=$ vendere; tenere), Kent (Cent-land along with Cantvare), Kennet (Cynet) in Wiltshire, kernel (cyrnel), kettle (cetil, cytel, Gothic katils); formerly also kittle along with tickle (citeljan, tiuclan, tolcettan $=$ titillare). Old-English, like the Scotch, has forms like kirk (cyrice), now church, kemben (cemban, substantive camb, comb) now comb, kennen $=$ to teach (cunnan, Present cann $=$ scire, Gothic kannjan $=$ $\gamma \nu \omega \rho\left(\frac{\zeta}{\xi} \mathrm{t} \nu\right)$, kerse (cerse, crësse, Danish karse). Old-English also often puts $k$ instead of $c$ before obscure vowels, as kan (can), kacchen (catch), kutten (cut), and with $r$ at the beginning of a word, as krake (to crack), kreste (crest), krewelle (cruel), with l, as klevys (cliffs) and others. In the combination $k n$, where $k$ is silent, although in Old-English it still sounded as a guttural (see above page 70), it has stood since the remotest time, as in knight (cniht, cneoht), knife (cnîf), knell (cnyll, Middle-Highdutch knillen, Mo-dern-Highdutch knallen), know (cnâvan) \&c.

In the middle and at the end of a word k is frequent as the representative of the Anglosaxon $c$, after a short vowel and in the middle of a word, doubled as $c k$, although at the end of a word it not seldom gives place to the dental $c h$, especially where it originally stood before clear vowels: twinkle (tvincljan), wrinkle (vrincle), fickle (ficol), knuckle (cnucl); - sink (sincan), think (pencëan, pencan), rank (ranc =foecundus), folk (folc), hulk (hulce), ark (arc, earc = navis), dark (dearc, deorc), clerk (cleric, clerc), tusk (tusc); - like (lîc), rake (race), sake (sacu, säc), snake (snaca); - greek (grêc, græc), speak (sprëcan, spëcan), hawk (hafuc), bullock (bulluca), hook (hôc); - thick (picce), neck (hnecca), knock (cnocjan), lock (locc), suck (sûcan, sûgan). Upon the dental initial and final ch, and its partial interchange with $k$, see under ch.

In words originally Romance an initial English $k$ is found before clear vowels, with a regard to the originally obscure vowel, sometimes, where Old-French presents $c$ and $k$ along with ch: kerchief (couvrechief), kennel (chenil, Latin canile, compare chien, kien). At the beginning of a word it sometimes replaces, before vowels, but especially at the end of a word, a guttural $c$ or $k$ and $q u$ : remarkable (remarquer, Old-French marker), turkois and turquoise (turquoise), locket (loquet, from the Anglosaxon loc $=$ repagulum), wicket (wiket, guischet, from the Anglosaxon vic), cricket (criquet), lackey (laquais, formerly also laquet); - flanc (flanc), plank (planche, planke, Latin planca), de-, embark (pri-
marily French dé-, embarquer, yet also English bark, barge, Old-norse barki, barkr), cask (casque); - creak and creek (criquer, compare Anglosaxon cearcjan = stridere), creek and crick, a bight (crique), con-, revoke (con-, revoquer), duke (duc); - relick, Old-English relike (relique), trick (tricher, trichier), compare substantive trekerie, trequerie, (see Märzner, Altfranzösische Lieder s. v.), attack (attaquer), truck (troquer), mock (moquer, Cymric mociaw).

It must be understood that various foreign words in $k$ have been admitted in which it has remained even before obscure vowels and $r$, although else it passes over into $c$ : kaleidoscope, kali, kangaroo, kufic, kumiss, kraal, kraken \&c. But in many words $k$ interchanges with $c$ before obscure vowels, as in calendar and kalendar, caliph and kaliph, alcali and alkali, alcahest and alkahest, and so at the end of a word: almanac and almanack \&c. In Germanic words this is rare, as in caw and kaw (compare the Old-Scotch kae = jackdaw, Anglosaxon ceo?), ankle and ancle (ancleov).
k stands sometimes as the substitute for other gutturals, as for $h$ in elk (Anglosaxon eolh), and in Cymric words, for ch in Brecknock (Cymric Brecheniauc = regio Brachani), wherewith we may compare the name of the Highdutch wine backrag (from Bacharach); $g$ has become $k$ in basket (Cymric basged, basgawd, even by the Romans apprehended as bascauda); rank, answers to the Cymric rheng, rhenge, yet both tongues perhaps refer to the Old-French renc, itself answering to the Anglosaxon hring, hrinc.

An interchange of $g$ and $k$ takes place moreover in Germanic words, thus knar, knarl stands alongside of gnar and gnarl (compare the Anglosaxon gnyrran = stridere, gnornjan = moerere), as well as the Lowdutch knarren and gnarren, gnaddern; thus too knaw is cited along with gnaw (Anglosaxon nagan and gnagan, Old-Saxon cnagan). Compare below $c 1$ and $g 1$.

Q (qu), which the English and Scotch borrowed from the Latin alphabet, arises out of the Anglosaxon $c v$, so far as $k$ has not here come in before clear vowels (as the Anglosaxon cy developed itself out of cvi and conversely, for instance, cve, cveo, cvi \&c. answered to the Gothic qi: quiver (compare Anglosaxon cviferlîce = anxiously) $=$ to shiver, shudder, quick (cvic), queen (cvên), quean = strumpet (cvêne = meretrix, mulier), Old-English also qweyn, bequeath (becvëđan), quench (cvencan = extinguere), quake (cracjan). Thus also arise double forms, like quell (cveljan, cvellan), in Old-English equal to kill; quern (cveorn, cvyrn) and the obsolete kern= mola.

Other Germanic words in $q u$ point to corresponding ones in High- and Lowdutch, as quack (Highdutch quaken), squeak (quieken) and many more.

The compound awkward is spelt by Skelton aquarde (I. p. 331.), North-English awkert (Old-Highdutch abuh, Gothic ibuks).

A series of Romance and, originally, chiefly Latin words has $q u$, corresponding to the $q u$ appearing in Latin or only in Old-French, as to which it is to be remarked, that this also interchanged with $c u$ in French: quit (quiter, cuitier), quiet (Latin quietus, Old-French quoit, coit, coi), vanquish (perhaps with reference to venquis,

Modern-French vainquis, compare Old-French vainquieres), quail (quaille, Medieval-Latin quaquila, Modern-French caille); quarry = square (quarre, qarre), and quarry (Modern-French carrière), quash $=$ to crush (quasser, casser $=$ quassare), on the other hand $=$ to annul (quasser, casser = cassum reddere, cassare), quarrel, Old-English querele (querele), conquest (conquest, conqueste), square (compare Modern-French équerre, a mason's square), pique (pique, verb piquer) and so forth. Many have been borrowed immediately from the Latin, as quadrate, quodlibet \&c.
cu and co lie originally at the root of other verbal forms received from the French, for which the Romance language, along with $c u$, $c o$, often gave $q u$, especially with an $i$ after it; as $c u$, co is also in Latin developed into qui; compare incola and inquilinus, stercus and sterquilinium: quiver (cuivre, cuevre, couire, compare the Anglosaxon cocar); esquire, squire, Old-English squier, squiere (escuier, esquier $=$ scutarius), squirrel (escurel, esquirel = sciurulus), quaint (cointe = Latin comptus, comtus), compare the Old-English coynteliche, coyntise, queintise; acquaint (acointer = Medieval-Latin adcognitare), quire alongside of choir, Old-English queer (Maundev.) (choeur), quoif alongside of coif (coife, quoife, Medieval-Latin cofea, cuphia). The Old-English had quishin, qwyssyn instead of cushion (coussin, Medieval-Latin cussinus), surquidrie, surquedrie (compare sorcuidance from cuidcr, Latin cogitare) and many more.

The Anglosaxon $c u$ also became qui in quid, chewed tobacco, alongside of cud, the chewed food in the first stomach of reeminants (Anglosaxon cud from ceóvan, English chew), the former whereof is dialectically still used for cud.
c is occasionally found alongside of $q u$ before an obscure vowel: liquorice and licorice (Latin liquiritia), as in the Old-English licour, Modern-English liquor; before a clear vowel sometimes $k$ alongside of $q u$ : fakir and faquir, with the pronunciation of $k$.

C is partly guttural, partly dental, the former in Anglosaxon and Romance, of course also in Latin; the latter chiefly in Romance and Latin words.

1) The guttural $c$ rests upon an Anglosaxon $c$ before obscure vowels, as well as in the compounds $c l$ and $c r$, being in words of this descent chiefly limited to the beginning, in as much as $k, q$ and the dental $c h$ have taken its place. It also naturally answers to the Old-norse $k:$ can (canne $=$ crater), call (cealljan, Old-norse kalla), cast (Old-norse kasta = jacere), colt (colt), cup (cupp), curse (substantive curs, verb cursjan); = cliff (clif), clip (clyppan = amplecti), cluster (clyster, cluster $=$ racemus), clew (clive $=$ glomus), cluck (cloccjan $=$ glocire); crib (cribb), cringle (Old-norse krîngla =orbis), crave (crafjan), crop \&c., (substantive cropp in the same meaning, Oldnorse verb kroppa = carpere); scrape (screpan, Lowdutch schrapen), scrap $=$ fragment, crum (Old-norse skrap $=$ nugae $)$.

The Romance and Latin guttural $c$ is found rendered at the beginning and in the middle of a word (here also reduplicated as $c c$, whereas the reduplication is elsewhere denoted by $c k$ ) and at the end of a word by $c$ : cabbage (French cabus, Old-High-
dutch capuz, Medieval-Latin gabusia, from the Latin caput), cadet (French the same, like capitettum for capitellum), cause (cause), coach (coche, Italian cocchio), coffer (cofe, cofre, Me-dieval-Latin cofrus, from cophinus), whence also the English coffin, coil (coillir, cueillir), count (conter, cunter $=$ computare), to reckon; cumber, encumber (combrer, encombrer, encumbrer); - claim (clamer, claimer), cloy to nail up, to cram (cloer?), cribble (crible), cream (cresme, Medieval-Latin crema), crest (creste, Latin crista), cry (crier); in the Middle of a word and doubled: bacon (bacon from the Anglosaxon bäc), circumstance, circuit, viscous (visqueux), section, action, circle, secle (secle, siecle), accord, succor (sucurre, soucourre), bacca, accuse, succulent \&c.; at the end of a word with other consonants and alone, especially in the termination ic (Latin icus, ica. icum); sect (secte), act, perfect; -music, republic, politic, catholic, critic, bac (bac, Hollandish bak), maniac, where formerly $c k$ was the favourite spelling, or ique came in; similarly relic alongside of relique (French relique) and the like.
c frequently stands in Romance words, where Modern-French presents a dental ch. Here regard must be had not so much to the primitive Latin $c$ as to the dialectical and older French $c$ and $c h$ : caitiff (caitif, chaitif, Modern-French chétif), carnal (carnel, charnel), on the other hand charnelhouse (Old-French charnel), carrion (caroigne, carongne, charoigne, Modern-French charogne), Old-English caroyne, careyne, caraine; carry (carier, charier), carpenter (carpentier, charpentier), castle (castel, chastel), caudle (caudel, chaudel, Modern-French chaudeau), caldron (Modern-French chaudron, Italian calderone), causey deformed into causeway (cauchie, chaussee, chalkway) and others, although in most cases the English has chosen the dental ch, as in challenge (calengier, chalenger, chalongier, from calumniare), champion (campion, champion) \&c. (see under ch), or has passed over into sh (see sh).

Occasionally, even in English, the guttural $c$ interchanges with the dental ch: calice (Taylor) and chalice (calice, compare the Anglosaxon calic) and some others.
For other gutturals $c$ seldom appears; it answers to the Anglosaxon $g$ in Wicliffe (Vîglâf, Old-Saxon Wîglêf), to the Celtic $g$ in claymore (glaymor), to the Latin $g$ in the Old-English vacabonde instead of vagabond (still in use in the sixteenth century), and Reculver (Latin Regulbium), as conversely gamboge (from Cambogia) is interchanged with camboge. The name of a nation, Picts, sounds in Anglosaxon Pihtas, Peohtas, as the Anglosaxon $h$ often answers to the Latin $c$, for instance in Viht, English Wight, Latin Vectis. Campare $k$.
2) the dental $c$, equivalent in sound to the sharp $s$, therefore frequently interchanging with it, is most frequently met with in Romance and Latin, but also in originally Anglosaxon words, representing in the former the dental $c$ - and $s$-sound, in the latter
only the $s$-sound before clear vowels. Its phonetic transmutation into the hissing sound has been spoken of before (see p. 62).

At the beginning and in the middle, as well as at the end of a word before a mute $e$, it is very usual instead of the Romance and Latin $c$ before a clear vowel: cinder (cendre, Latin ciner-em), cierge (cierge from cire), city (citeit, cite), cider (cidre, deformed from the Latin sicera), cedar (cedre, Latin cedrus); - council (concile, Latin concilium), solicitude; entice (enticer, enticher = exciter), spice (espece, espisce), edifice, face, trace (tracer, trasser, tracher), distance \&c.

In Cedron the Latin Cedron (Greek Kaf) ( $)^{\prime}$ ) lies at the root.
The Modern-English $c$ is frequently employed, particularly at the end of a word, in the place of the Old-French $s$, $s s$, for which the Old-French often puts $c$ (since it frequently proceeded from $c$ ) and alougside of which it sometimes has a final $z$ and $x$, the latter of which has often remained in Modern-French. OldEnglish still often has $c$, even at the beginning of a word, which has become almost foreign to Modern-English. Compare the Old-English cesoun (saison, sesou), Modern-English season (Manndev.), ceise, cese (saisir, seisir), Modern-English seize (Chaucer), Cecylle, Modern-English Sicily (Townel. Myst.) and others. In Modern-English centinel is still here and there found for sentinel, cerf alongside of serf and others (see under sc); in the middle of a word: fancy (fantasie), faucet, a tap (fausset), enhance (from hausser, yet in Old-French commonly enhaucier); at the end of a word, where Old-English most frequently preserves $s$ : device (substantive devis, devise), advice (avis), offence (offense), defence (defense), trance (transe from transir), dance (danser, dancer, Old-Highdutch dansôn), scarce (eschars, escars), pace (pas, pais), cowardice (coardise), furnace (fournaise), palace (palais,' paleis, pales); embrace (embrasser, embracer), pinuace (pinasse from pinus); peace (pais, paiz, paix), price (preis, preix, pris), voice (vois, voiz, voix), choice (chois, cois), deuce (doi, dois, doux, ModernFrench deux), ace (as); in Old-English we find the forms crevis instead of crevice (Modern-French crevasse), dis instead of dice (Modern-French dés), surplis instead of surplice, forneis instead of furnace, pees instead of peace, chois instead of choice, vois instead of voice, like enhaunsen instead of euhance, pass instead of pace and others. Dissimilation comes in in Modern-English, in some forms, by applying the $s$ or the $z$, to distinguish a verb from a substantive, as in devise (deviser) alongside of device, advise (adviser) alongside of advice, apprize alongside of price.
c is also so applied for the Anglosaxon $s$ : addice (adese and adz, adze), fleece (fleós), mice (mŷs), lice (lŷs), ice, icy (îs, îsig); here belong also the adverbial forms in ce, at the root of which there lies an Old-English original genitive s, as twice, thrice, once, whence, hence, thence, since, Old-English twies, thries, ones, whennes, whens, hennes, hens, thennes, thens, sithens. In Skelton we find the forms ones, whens, hens,
syns. Even bodice $=$ stays seems to stand for the plural bodies

A dental $c$ seems to have occasionally taken the place of the Romance sibilant ch; yet here recourse might be had to the Latin forms, for instance in decipher (French déchiffrer, yet MedievalLatin ciffara, Arabic safar), cornice (French corniche, Italian cornice, from coronis, confounded with cornix?); so too in pumice (Latin pumicem) and pumice-stone, where the Anglosaxon has the Guttural: pumicstân. $c$ certainly interchanges, even in English, with ch in cibol, ciboul and chibbal (French ciboule, Latin cepa, Italian cipolla).

The dental $s c$ has attached itself to the Romance and Latin sc: science (French, the same), sceptre (the like), scion (French scion); - deliquesce, effloresce and so forth. Yet it has also taken the place of a single $s$, as in the originally Anglosaxon scythe (sî才e), or ss, as in bascinet (Fiench bassinet). This very $s c$ also interchanges with the dental $c:$ scymitar alongside of cimeter (French cimeterre, of Turkish origin), scissors alongside of cissors (ciseaux), as in Old-French sceller alongside of seeler and others.

In Scythia, Scythian the English does not attach itself to the Anglosaxon form Scytđia, Scyđすja, but to the Latin.
Ch is guttural with the sound of $k$, and dental as a sibilant. The aspirated ch was completely foreign to Anglosaxon before the eleventh century. Upon the later ch see 2.

1) The guttural ch takes the place;
of the oriental sound, at the beginning of a word, represented in Latin writing by $c h$ : Chaldea, although this here and there passes into the dental sihilant, as in cherub, cherubim; in the middle and at the end of a word: Michael, Old-English Mighelmesse (Piers Plocghm. p. 260), Enoch;
the Greek $\gamma$, Latin ch: chimera, chaos, chlamys, Chris't (although Anglosaxon Crist); anchoret, anchorite (anachoreta, although Anglosaxon ancor, ancra), mechanic, technical; distich, epoch, eunuch, conch ( also in the compound sch: scheme ( $\tau \nsim \tilde{\eta} \mu x)$. Some few words have in common life assumed the dental pronunciation of $c h$ (see above p. 62.). Words, which have passed through the French, have likewise sometimes retained the French dental pronunciation: machine and the like; as others (especially in the syllable arch) have reached it through the Anglosaxon $c$ before a clear vowel: archbishop, (arcebiscop);
of the Italian ch, as in machiavelism;
of the Celtic ch: loch (in Scotland, lough upon Irish maps) pronounced in English with $k$ (Cymric llwch, Irish louch), pibroch, pibrach (Gaelic piobaireachd).

The Germanic ch appears, although mute, in yacht (Hollandish yacht), otherwise in the compound sch (see 2.).

The rendering of an Anglosaxon $c$ by a guttural ch is rare, as in ache, also pelt ake (Old-English verb aken, preterite oke, Anglosaxon verb acan, substantive ece, ace, äce), which according
to Smart was pronounced in Shakspeares time like aitch (with a dental ch). See 2.

On the other hand a Latin and Romance guttural $c$ is sometimes rendered by ch; ch is certainly sometimes found in some words in Latin, alongside of $c$, and has also passed over into Old-French: sepulchre (sepulcre, sepulchre, Latin sepulcrum, -chrum), anchor (ancre, Latin ancora, anchora, Anglosaxon ancor, oncor); chamlet, camlet, camelot (camelot, MedievalLatin camelotum, camallotum). Also stands alongside of lilach, lilac (Italian lilac, French lilas).

A guttural ch stands alongside of $g$ in chambrell or gambrell (the hindfoot of a horse) which belongs to the French gambe, jambe and to the root cam, crooked (Zeciss Gr. Celt. 1, 75). Thus the Old-English lets in $g$ for ch: Nabugodonosor, Modern-English Nebuchodonosor.
2) The dental $c h$ is in Modern-English a sibilant with a $t$ prefixed, which therefore, if combined with ch, indicates the reduplication of the $t$, whereas the French sound of ch appears only in words which have been naturalized from France in recent times. The former is however found both in those borrowed from the OldFrench and in those in which $c h$ has been developed out of an Anglosaxon $c$, on which account we might presume that the OldFrench $c h$, as well as the $c$ before clear vowels represented $t s c h$, and gave rise to its intrusion into English. Yet the development upon English soil of the ch commencing with the dental $t$, as well as that of $g$ (and $j$ ) commencing with the dental $d$, is the more natural assumption, and that warranted by other tongues, in which, however, the influence of the French sound of the ch, $g$ and $j$ upon the Anglosaxon pronunciation seems to be without doubt, in as much as the Old-French ch, $g$ and $j$ had made the transition from gutturals to dentals decidedly earlier, and at the most met the English halfway.

The dental ch (tsch) has essentially taken the place of the Anglosaxon $c$ at the beginning and in the middle of words before clear vowels, at the end of words, where it originally stood before clear vowels, but also else where. How far it has yielded to the $k$, was observed above. The Anglosaxon offers, even in the eleventh century, $c h$ instead of $c$, as chîdan, chêce at the beginning, muchel, cuchene (cycene), bisecchan in the middle and ich (ic), swilch (svylc) at the end of a word. See Ettmüller, Lex Anglos. p. XXVII. The Old-English soon received these forms and appropriated the reduplication cch after a short vowel: chiden, cheke, muchel, bisechen, ich, swich and with $c c h$ : bicche (Anglosaxon bicce $=$ bitch), fecchen (Anglosaxon feccan $=$ fetch $)$, lacchen (Anglosaxon läccan $=$ to catch). Yet $k$ (c) still stand in the beginning and at the end of a word: biseken, lakken, ic, swylke, a fluctuation, which even now partly takes place in the final $c h$ and $k$.
ch for an Anglosaxon $c$ at the beginning of a word: chide (cîdan), child (cild or cîld), cheek (cêce), cheese (cêse), chafer (ceafor), chaff (ceaf), choose (ceósan), chew (ceóvan),
church (cyrice see above); in the middle seldom, the sound in the middle of the Anglosaxon word having mostly become the final sound in English: kitchen (cycene); at the end of a word it has often come in, where $c$ originally stood before a clear rowel: bitch, (bicce), pilch (pylce), church (cyrice): Yet $k$ is also put before a clear vowel in the middle and at the end of Anglosaxon words: chicken (cicen), flicker (flyccerjan), cheek (cêce, according to Grimm however ceác). Verbs in jan, $\ddot{e} a n$ and $a n$ with or without a consonant preceding the Anglosaxon c frequently transmute $c$ into ch: teach (tæcan), Old-English techeu; reach (ræcan, racëan and recjan, recëan, reccan), OldEnglish rechen; stretch (streccan), Old-English strechen; thatch (peccan), Old-English thecchen; catch (compare the Old-norse kâka = leviter attrectare), Old-English cacchen; clutch, Old-English clucchen, drench (drencëan, drencan), Old-English drenchen = drown; stench (stencan = odorare), belch (bealcjan), Old-English on the other hand belken, as still in the North of England. Alongside of these stand forms like rake (racjan, racigëan), speak (sprëcan, spëcan), sink (sincan), stink (stinkan), drink (drincan), think (pencëan, pencan) and so forth, which Old-English likewise commouly gives with $k$. In Modern-English seek (sêcëan, sêcan) and beseech (besêcan), Old-English seken and sechen, biseken and besechen, stand strikingly alongside of each other; beseek still in Spenser and Shakspeare. In other classes of words, in which the Anglosaxon made the word end in $c$, ch has likewise frequently taken its place: rich (rîc), Norwich (Norđvîc), speech (spæc), finch (finc), bench (benc); instead of $c$ after a short vowel and $c c$ stands tch: pitch (pic), crutch (cryce); on the other hand pock (poce, poc), flock (floce) \&c. Old-English and Modern-English here too often disagree; for instance thack, Modern-English thatch (päc), Old-English ilk, Modern-English each, but the Old-English also eche. $k$ and ch become occasionally distinctive marks of the parts of speech, as in bleak (blâc, blæc), and bleach (blæcean, blæcan) and some of the above quoted words; but they often run without distinction parallel to each other; as in those compounded of the Anglosaxon vîc $=$ portus vîc $=$ vicus which in Modern-English sound wic, wick and wich.

In some double forms the French influence mingles with the Anglosaxon: marches, confines, Anglosaxon meare = limes and signum, to which belong the English mark, Old-English merk = token, Old-French marche, marce = limit; marquess and marchioness (Old-French markis, marchis, Medieval-Latin marchio); break and breach both belong to the Anglosaxon bräc $=$ fractio, but the latter is to be referred primarily to the French brèche.

In roach ch is put for $h h$ (Anglosaxon reohha, Latin raja).
A dental ch (tsch) also frequently arises out of the Old-French ch, which likewise had mostly developed itself out of the guttural $c, k$, although where in Old-French $c$ and $c h$ interchanged with each other in Old-French, in English a guttural $c$ is preserved.

At the beginning of a word, rarely before clear vowels, mostly before a primitive (Latin) $a$, as in the French: chimney, (chimenee, ceminee), chieve and cheve (provincial) and achieve (chevir and achever, achiever from chef = caput), chisel (ciseler, ciseau, Old-French also chisel), change (changier, canger), charm (charmer), challenge (chalengier, calengier), chamber (chambre, cambre), chattel (chatel, catel, whence the English cattle, Latin capitale), chase (chasser); in choice (choix) the French form mingles with the Germanic choose. In the middle and at the end before obscure and clear vowels, as in French, where ch in the middle, which in English often becomes the final sound, arose out of $c, x, t c, d c, c t, p j$ and so forth: archer (archier, archer), truncheon (tronçou, tronchon), merchant (marcheant, marchant), bachelor (bacheler, baceler), preacher, preach (precheres, precher, Anglosaxon predicere, predicjan); blancher, blanch (blancheor, blanchir, compare Anglosaxon blanca = equus albus and blæcëan, blæ̣can = albare, English bleach), launch lancer, lanchier), paunch (pance; panche), March (Mars, March), march (marcher), porch (porche, Anglosaxon portic), broach (broche, broce, to the Latin brochus, brocchus), vouch (vochier, vocher from vois, voix).

Ch interchanges in Old-French also with $s s$, and is also rendered by an English sh (see p. 143.); we likewise find tch after a short vowel substituted for the latter: escutcheon, scutcheon (escusson), sketch (esquisse), caroche (carosse, Medieval-Latin carrocium, carrochium).

The words brought over with the French sound of the ch are few in number, as chaise, champaign (Old-French champaigne), chevisance (from the Old-French chevir, compare the Modern-French chevance), champerty, champertor (champart, champarteur) \&c.; but it is striking that even older words preserve the French sound or might again adopt it.

By the substitution of $s h$ for $s s$ (s) and $c h$, as well as $c h$, in English, with which on its side an English ch often clashes, it is explicable that the two latter sometimes interchange with one another in English, as in shinghle and chingle (Old-Highdutch scindala); the older forms debosh, deboish have yielded to debauch.

Sometimes forms in $c$ and ch are met together. They come from French words, in which a dental $c$ interchanged with $c h$; hence chive and cive (chive, cive, from the Latin caepa), OldEnglish chibolle (Modern-French ciboule, compare the Lowdutch zipoll). Words in which an English ch corresponds to the French $\varsigma$, suppose a collateral form in ch: pinch (pincer), punch (compare poinçonner, Italian punzar, punchar, and the Anglosaxon pyngan = pungere). Cherry points not so much to the French cerise, as to the Anglosaxon cirse, cyrse; also chirp (Highdutch zirpen) points to the Anglosaxon $c$, (compare the OldEnglish chirk, Anglosaxon cearcjan = stridere); larch, a kind of pine, from the Latin larix, reminds us of the Italian larica, French larèche? On the other hand etch quite corresponds to
the Moderu-Highdutch aetzen, as cratch, scratch, Old-English cracchen, does to the Old-Highdutch krazjan. Similar is the representation of the Anglosaxon dy by tch in the unusual swatch, alongside of swath (Anglosaxon svađu).
ch has also likewise sometimes developed itself out of a guttural $g$; orchard (Anglosaxon ortgeard, Old-norse jurtagardr) is an instance. The case is indeed more frequent in Old-English that a dental $g$ was changed into ch: grucchen (Modern-English grudge (French gruger). So too conversely ch and $g$ sometimes interchange in Modern-English: ostrich and estridge (autruche), spinnach, spinach and spinage (Italian spinace), with which we may compare the obsolete bodge alongside of botch.
G is partly guttural, partly dental; upon its dental pronunciation compare $c$ above.

1) The guttural $g$ arises chiefly from the Anglosaxon $g$, although this in a limited measure passes over into $y$, in the middle of a word after vowels often becomes softened into $i$ (compare sail, Anglosaxon sëgel, sëgl) or into $w$ (compare own, Anglosaxon âgen), at the end likewise often becomes $y$ and $w$ (compare key, Anglosaxon cæg; bow, Anglosaxon beogan). It is therefore most frequently preserved at the beginning of a word: gird (gyrdau), gild (gildan), get (gëtan), gallows (galga), good (gôd), gut, guts (gut); glide (glîdan), greet (grêtan); also before $n$ although here extinct in pronunciation at the beginning of a word: gnaw (gnagan), gnat (Modern-Highdutch gnitze), gnar, gnarl (from the Anglosaxon gnyrran = stridere, Lowdutch gnarren). In the middle of a word it has seldom remained without reduplication: wagon and waggon alongside of wain (vägen, vägn, væn), dagger (Old-norse daggardr, Swedish and Danish daggert), swagger (from the Anglosaxon svëgjan = praevalere); frequent after $n$ : finger (finger), anger (from the Anglosaxon ange, compare the obsolete angerness, Anglosaxon angniss), monger (mangere), hunger (hungur), brangle and wrangle (compare the Lowdutch brangen and wrangen $=$ to scuffe).

At the end of English words it is not rare after clear and obscure vowels, as after $n$ : pig (Highdutch dialectically bigge, betze?), big (?), whig alongside of whey (hvæg = serum lactis), wrig, now commonly wriggle (Lowdutch wricken, wriggeln, wrickeln, compare the Anglosaxon vrigjan = tendere, vrixljan = alternare, reciprocare), twig (tvîg), leg (Old-norse leggr = crus), peg (?), beg (from the Gothic bidagva = a beggar?), shag, whence shaggy (Anglosaxon sceacga $=$ caesaries, Old-norse skegg), stag (Old-norse steggr = mas plurium ferarum), hag (Anglosaxon hägtys, häges, Old-norse hagr = sapiens), crag $=$ neck (from the Highdutch kragen, Swedish krage), dog (Oldnorse doggr), fog (Danish fog = a shower of snow, yet OldEnglish fock), frog (Anglosaxon frogga, frocea), drug (to the Anglosaxon dryge, from drugjan = arescere, belongs the French drogue); ing (inge $=$ pratum), $\operatorname{sing}$ (singan), sving (svingan), bang (Old-norse bânga $=$ pulsare $)$, fang (fangan), throng
(prang, prong); with double $g$ : egg (äg) and to egg instead of edge. After vowels a double $g(c g)$ has often become dental (see 2), after $n$ in the verb singe (sengan=ustulare) and cringe (cringan, crincan). Old-English preserved a few more forms in $g$, as $\mathrm{big}=$ build (bycgan $=$ aedificare).
The Old-French guttural $g$ also, mostly before obscure vowels and consonants, usually remains guttural in English: garnish (garnir, guarnir), gallop (galoper), so too in gittern alongside of guitar (guitarre), gie alongside of guide (Old-French guier, guider), orgillous (which reminds us primarily of the OldFrench orguillous, but belongs to the Anglosaxon orgol, orgel $=$ superbia), linget (French lingot). In the middle of a word it often appears before clear vowels, in the metathesis gre: eager (aigre), tiger (tigre, Latin tigris), conger (congre, Latin conger, congrus).

Occasionally too, a dental French $g$ has become guttural: gizzard (gésier, Latin gigeria), gibbous (gibbeux, Latin gibbosus).

The $g$ brought over from the Latin and the Greek remains regularly guttural, where it originally stood before consonants and before obscure vowels; yet even here exceptions are found before clear vowels. See the pronunciation.

Finally, a guttural $g$ has also arisen from a primitive guttural c ( $k$ ); even in Anglosaxon such forms as frocca, frocga, frogga and frox $=$ frose (frog, in Old-English also frosh) stand alongside of each other. In English fig corresponds to the Anglosaxon fic (whether under the influence of the Old-French fige $=$ figne?), sprig substantive and verb, Anglosaxon sprec and spreccan $=$ fruticare, but the Old-English sprek = ramentum; dig belongs to the Anglosaxon dic = agger; the Old-English has diken, dychen and dyggen (Maundev.) alongside of each other. Thus too at the beginning of a word in the sixteenth century gaggle stands for cackle (see Halliw. s. v.), compare the Highdutch gakeln and kakeln. Sometimes likewise in French words: flagon (flacon), sugar (sucre, Spanish and Portuguese azucar), shog and shock (Old-French choque, Modern-French choc); periwig corrupted from perruque. Spenser uses aeglogue for eclogue, and in common life docket or doquet is confounded with dogget.

Instead of the simple $g$ there often stands, according to French precedent, and mostly in words taken from that language, gu, in which $u$ serves at the same time to harden the $g$ before clear vowels, yet it is found also before obscure vowels. In Old-French $g u$ served to represent the Germanic $w$ (Gothic $v$ ), especially at the beginning of a word, seldom the Latin $v$, and interchanged with $w$ and $g$; in Modern-French $g$ remains before obscure vowels. Here Anglosaxon and Old-French forms often meet. That this $u$ is sometimes condensed into $w$, even before clear vowels, concerns the doctrine of the pronunciation (see p. 65). It stands at the beginning of a word: guide (guider), guile, beguile also wile (the former belonging to the Old-French guile, guiler, guiller, also ghiller, giler, the latter to the Anglosaxon vile),
guise and wise (the former belonging to the Old-French guise, the latter to the Anglosaxon vise), whence disguise (desguiser), Guy (Guy, Old-Highdutch Wido, Wito = Veit), guard (guarder, warder), guarish (Spesser) (guarir, warir, garir), Guelfs, Guelphs (Guelfes, compare the Anglosaxon hvelp = catulus, Old-Highdutch Huelp = Welf), guerdon (guerredon, gerredon, werdon) whence also reward. In the middle of a word $g u$ rests in part upon the Latin $g u$, as in languish (languir, Latin languere), distinguish (distinguer, Latin distinguere), language (Old-French langage alongside of langue, lange, Latin lingua), Old-English langage. At the end of a word it is identical with the French $g$ and $u$, as a sign of the hardening of the $g$ : vague (vague adjective), fatigue \&c.
gu for a simple $g$ has also penetrated Germanic words: guild (gild), guilt (gylt), guess (Swedish gissa, Danish gisse, compare the Old-norse giska = conjecturare;, guest (gäst, gest, gist); at the end of a word in tongue.

The same is the case in some other words, where the French gave no support to it: plague (Latin plaga, compare French plaie), prorogue (French proroger, Latin prorogare); rogue seems of Celtic origin. Nay it belong to the Celtic rogair $=$ knave?

Old-English, like Old-French, often employed $g$ instead of $g u$ : gile, gyle still in Skelton, gise, gilteles, gesse \&c.; langage, tonge, also roge.

For a guttural $c(k, q)$ gue stands at the end in the Romance disembogue (Spanish disembocar, compare the Old-French boche, bouce, bouque); it is equal to the Cymric ch in hog (Cymric hweh).
gh has principally a place in the middle and at the end of words, and has essentially taken the place of the Anglosaxon $h$, only this has sometimes been totally rejected in the middle and at the end of words, as it often was in Anglosaxon. But this $h$ is in close contact with $g$ and $c$; for in Anglosaxon $g$ and $e$ before $t$ passed into $h$, and at the end of a word $g$ after $l$ and $r$, as well as after a long vowel or diphthong, was changed into $h$. We therefore find the $g h$ in older English often represented by 3, as in cizte, Wyzt, myzte, fozte, brozte (Rob, of GlouCester), almigti, figter (in Wycliffe), mygt, sigt, nygt, digt (in Robin Honn) and so forth. The sound of this $g h$ was originally that of the Highdutch ch, apart from the partial, originally perhaps dialectic pronunciation as $f$, which has become established in some words in Modern-English. It has been before shown that a final $h(g)$ has been transmuted into $w(o w)$. Moreover, formerly it was also entirely cast out, as in thaut nout, sout, i-brout, mi thout (Dame Siriz p. 12.), hye (high), poru in Rob. of Glotcester and others. The Scottish, which, in ancient times, wrote and pronounced thoch, rycht, nycht, nocht, wrocht, micht speaks for the sound ch. We are not here regarding the initial $g h$. At present $g h$ is almost always mute.
gh in the middle of a word is hardly ever met with but in inflective forms and derivatives. The English roots present it as the final sound, or as final with a $t$ after it, and that only after the vowels $i$ (ei), $u$ (au, ou): nigh, nigher (neáh, nêh), to which neighbour (neáhbûr, neábûr), high (heáh, heá), thigh (peóh), though (peáh), through, thorough (purh, puruh), dough (dâg, dâh); here perhaps also belongs bough, Old-English bow (from the Anglosaxon beógan?). It enters for the Anglosaxon $g$ in the rare stigh, compare the dialectic stighrope (stîgan, stigerâp), weigh (vëgan), neigh (hnægan), plough (Old-norse plôgr), Hugh (Old-Highdutch Hugo), where collateral forms like sty, weyen, plow occur in Old-English. It frequently stands before $t$ : might (meaht, miht), night (neaht, niht), light (lîhtan = levare), right (riht, rëht), plight (pliht, verb plihtan); Wight (Viht), weight (viht), eight (eahta), caught (Old-English also caste), taught (tæhte, trht), bought (bohte, boht) \&c., freight (Old-Highdutch vraht), Leighton and Layton (Lîgtûn). After $r, g h$ still stands in burgh (buruh, burh, burg) alongside of borough, where $g h$ still sounds like $g$; in Old-English still oftener, for example in bergh $=$ mount (beorg, beorh) and borgh, borugh in the plural borwe, borwes (borga, a pledge, borg, borh) in Piers Plocghman.

The Old-English still often has, alongside of the rejection of the $g h$, forms with it, which are no longer in use in ModernEnglish; thus dro3, drogh, drough, drowghe, Modern-English drew (drôg), slough, slowghe, Modern-English slew (slôh), lagh Modern-English law (lah) and others.
ght has also sometimes, in analogy to the representation of the primitive Anglosaxon $g t$, ct, been employed for the Latin ct, as the Anglosaxon ht also entered for the Latin ct; compare dihtan, Old-Highdutch tictôn, dihtôn, Latin dictare, formerly English dight; thus the OId-English has Benedight (Benedictus), Shakspeare extraught for extracted. On this rests delight (OldFrench deleiter, deliter and delecher) alongside of delectable, Old-English delit, delitable with reference to the Latin delectare. So too $g h$ is represented by the Latin $c:$ Liwghor (Leucarus), Brougham (Brocavum)

The Latin $h$ is treated as an Anglosaxon one in inveigh (invehi), compare invective. $g h$ in spright alongside of sprite $=$ spirit is without foundation. In straight, Old-English streit, which also partly coincides with strait, Medieval-Latin strictum $=$ détroit, the French estroit, estreit, Latin strictus, seems to blend with the Anglosaxon streht from streccan, Old-English streight.

Where gh in rare cases at the end of words corresponds in pronunciation to the guttural $k(c k), h$ likewise is at the basis: hough (hô, hôh), whence the verb hough = hamstring; shough $=$ shaggy dog, also spelt shock, belongs to the Anglosaxon sceacga. The Irish lough, Scottish loch is of another kind.

The mutilation of Livorno, Latin Liburnum into Leghorn, where $g h$ has the sound of the guttural $g$ is striking.
gh in the middle and at the end of a word has sometimes become the labial $f$, especially at the end and before $t$; the etymology of the words belonging here is not always clear, although their $g h$ mostly points to $h(g, c)$ and conversely to an $f$, transmuted into $g h$. The transition of $g h$ into the $f$-sound has numerous analogies in other tongues: compare K. Schwenck's Dictionary, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition p. XIV., Schoetensack's Grammar of the Mo-dern-Highdutch tongue p. 26. In Old-English, as well as even now in English Dialects, it goes much further than in the general speech of the educated in modern times. Old-English doftyr $=$ daughter (Ritson), caufte = caught (Halliwell s. v.), thofe $=$ though (IBID.) and thus in Old-English and still in Northern-English thruff, thurf = through, thoft - thought in Devon and else where. Instances in Modern-English are: enough, Old-English ynow (genôh, genôg), tough (toh), trough (trog, troh), rough (hreóh, hreóg, hreóv alongside of hreáv, English raw), slough, the cast off skin of a snake and scurf (from the Anglosaxon slahan, as slough, a filthy pool, with mute gh, Anglosaxon slôg?), chough (compare the Old-Highdutch couch, gouch), cough (compare the Anglosaxon ceahhettan $=$ cachinnari, Highdutch keuchen, keichen), clough, ravine (whether from the Anglosaxon cleófan, clûfan and thence also clough), draugh and draff (compare the Anglosaxon drabbe from drêfan = turbare), compare the Old-norse badstofa, Swedish badstuga, Danish badstue; draught and draf (drôht = tractus), from dragan, whence the English draw and drag, Old-English also dray), laugh, laughter (hleahhan, hlihhan and hleahtor). Chincough with a final $f$-sound, and even hiccough with a final $p$-sound, seem nearly allied, in as much as both are compounded of cough (see above). Also chin(c) and hic may be related and of the same root as cough. Compare the Swedish kik-hosta, Lowdutch kink-hoost and Lowdutch hik-up.

With the transition of the Guttural into the Labial also agrees the affinity of sigh (with mute $g h$ ) to the Anglosaxon seófjan alongside of sîcan, whence the Old-English and dialectic sike; and furlough with the Hollandish verlof; compare the Anglosaxon lufu $=$ amor and leáf $=$ permissio.

Of peculiar nature is $g h$, which at the beginning of some words before clear and obscure vowels appears instead of a primitive $g$ with its guttural sound, occasionally interchanging with $g u$ and $g$. Thus $g h$ is sometimes met with in Medieval-Latin, as, in Italian also, before clear vowels it represents the guttural $g$; OldFrench writes alongside of $g$ aud $g u$ also $g h$ in ghise, ghiller, ghernon, ghenchir. From the latter the initial gh seems to have penetrated into English. We find it in the words: Ghibelline, gherkin (Highdutch gurke from the Latin cucurbita), ghastful, aghast, in Shakspeare ghast as a verb, ghost (gâst, gæst = halitus, and gæsan = percellere, whence the English agaze), also ghyll alongside of the usual gill (Old-norse gil), in Spenser ghesse for guess. The French (gueux) are rendered by Gheux (Piillirs). The Old-English gheet is of the same
meaning as goats. Dialectically ghizzern stands for gizzard, ghern for garden; in the Isle of Wight ghenge means the depth of a furrow. In the North of England even the dental $g$ is hardened into $g h$ : ghibe instead of gibe.
In oriental words this $g h$ is likewise sometimes found used: Afghan, Afghanistan, ghaut, ghee (from the Indian), ghoul (from the Arabic) and many more.
2) The dental $g$, pronounced with $d$ before it, and in its reduplication represented by $d g$, occurs in Germanic and Romance words, but in words originally Anglosaxon not at the beginning of a word, for in words like giant (Anglosaxon gigant) and gem (Anglosaxon gimm) the Anglosaxon and the French forms blend (Old-French geme, gemme, jame and jaiant, géant).

The Anglosaxon $g$ has become dental after $n$ in singe and cringe (see p. 155.); in angel not so much the Anglosaxon engel as the Old-French angele along with aingle, angle at the basis; in the older targe (Anglosaxon targe = clypeus), whence target with a guttural $g$ is derived, the Old-French targe, Me-dieval-Latin targia seems to have effected the dental pronunciation of the $g$.

On the other hand the dental $d g$ often enters instead of the reduplicated Anglosaxon $g g$ ( cg ): midge (mycg, micg, mygge), ridge (hrycg), bridge (brycg, bricg), edge (ecg), edge, verb alongside of egg (ecgan, eggjan), wedge (Old-norse veggr = cuneus and paries, Danish vægge = cuneus), sedge (secg =gladiolus carex). The Old-English has here a double g: brigge. eggen \&c. In other words the fundamental tongue only presents a single $g$ : hedge (hege and häg, compare Haag), fidget, otherwise also fidge (Danish fige $=$ to hurry $)$, Old-norse fika $=$ festinare), fadge (fagjan $=$ ornare, Old-Highdutch fagjan, fagôn $=$ satisfacere, expedire); many words are of unclear origin, as badge (Medieval-Latin bagia), a sign, mark (whether from beógav, compare beáh, beág = corona, annulus?), badger (compare the Swedish bagge, a ram?), badger, huckster, seller (compare Italian biadajuolo, badger and cornchandler); cadge, to bear and cadger, huckster, belonging to cadge, a pole; dodge (according tos Ettmüller from the Anglosaxon dydrjan = illudere) and others.

Wage belongs not to the Highdutch wagen, but to the OldFrench gager, wager, substantive gage, wage, from the Gothic vadi, with which the Anglosaxon ved, veddjan, English wed agrees.

In Romance words the dental $g$ answers to the same sound; after a short vowel it is reduplicated as $d g$ : gibbet (gibet, compare Diez R.-Wb. p. 175), gipon also juppon (jupon, gippon), gibe (in Champagne: giber=jouer), gin, also geneva (genièvre), genet, gennet (genểt, Latin genista), gender (gendre) gaol and jail (gaiole gaole); - ginger (gingembre), burgess (burgeis, bourgois), sage (sauge, Latin salvia), Old-English save; rage, cage and others; judge (juge, juger), lodge (loge, loger) \&c.

Latin words, and words which have passed through the Latin commonly retain the dental $g$, when it stood originally before clear vowels: gingival (from gingiva), genius, geminate (geminare), gynarchy, georgics (georgica), dialogize, diallage, absterge (abstergere); yet divulge, for instance, agrees neither with the Latin divulgare, nor the French divulguer; purge (purgare) has perhaps followed the French purger.

Words like Roger (Anglosaxon Hrôdgâr, French Roger) are of course modelled after the French; here belongs also harbinger (from the Anglosaxon herebirigan, Old - French herbergier), wherein $r$ has been changed into $n$, and which occurs in the OldEnglish form herbarjour, harbegier.

A dental $g$ has occasionally been formed out of $s$ and a dental $c$, ch in an unaccented syllable: cabbage (Medieval-Latin gabusia, French cabus), sausage (French saucisse = Latin salsicia), partridge (perdrix, Latin perdix, -icis, Old-English, partryk, partrich), cartridge (cartouche as it were Latin chartoceum), in Spenser: galage (galoche from the Latin gallica). In revenge however not the Modern-French revancher, but the Old-French vanger, vangier lies at the foundation. Compare the Old-French nage, Modern-French nache. Conversely the Old-English often puts ch for g: grucchen (grudge, French gruger), partrich (partridge), beverache (beverage), as knowlecchen for knowledge, although more correctly (Old-norse kunnleiki, notitia).

As in Old-French so also in English the likesounding $g$ and $j$ interchange with each other: gelly and jelly (gelée), gingle and jingle (compare the Old-French jangler, gangler), gipon and juppon and others. Thus also Giles, Gill, Gillian are derived from the Latin Julius, Julia, Julianus.

H , apart from its union with other phonetic signs, as th, $s h$, $c h, g h$, belongs principally to the beginning of words, where it is occasionally silent, as at the end; and where it is sounded, represents the so called aspirate, for which the language is indebted to the Anglosaxon $h$ before vowels, and to which the weaker Old-French $h$ was perhaps not equivalent.

It arises from the Anglosaxon and Romance $h$; an Anglosaxon $h$ before the consonants $n$, l, $r$, was lost: hill (hill), heel (hêl), harm (hearm), hate (hatjan); - hideous (hidos, -us, -eus), herse, port cullis and hearse, a carriage for the dead \&c. (herse, MedievalLatin hercia from the Latin hirpex), habergeon (haubergon, hauberjon from halbert, haubert also hiabert, Old-Highdutch halsberc), haunt (hanter, Old-norse heimta), harness (harnas, harnois, verb harnacher), host $=$ hostile army (ost, host), hostage (ostage, hostage from obses, Medieval-Latin obsidatus, ostagius as it were obsidaticus, um), hour (hore, houre, ore) and so on. Of course $h$ has remained as the initial sound in Latin and Greek words, even though they have not passed through the Romance tongues, as in hyacinth, hyads, hymn, hyphen, hysteric \&c. and in other foreign words, as hospodar \&c. In Greek words rh is also found: rhetoric, rheumatism \&c.

A final $h$ of a word or of a syllable is found partly in inter-
jections, where it may originally have served to sharpen the vowel, and will have approximated to the Anglosaxon final h, as in ah! hah! bah! and many more; it is moreover found in the middle and at the end of foreign words: Messiah, hallelujah! Allah \&c.

For wh instead of the Anglosaxon $h v$ see Metathesis.
Words with and without an initial $h$ of Romance and of GreekLatin descent are often found alongside of each other. The OldFrench took the lead in this: hostler and ostler (compare hostel, ostel), hippocras and ipocras, homer and omer (a Hebrew measure), herpetology and erpetology and many more, as in OldEnglish heir and eir, eyr, and even in Anglosaxon words: hys and ys (his), often in Rob. of Gloucester.

Y serves essentially to represent the Anglosaxon $j,(=$ Gothic $j$ ) and the $g$ which in Anglosaxon frequently took the place of $j$, particularly at the beginning of words before the clear vowels $e$, $i$, as well as before obscure ones with the prefix of $e$ (ea, eo, eó); the genuine English $y$ appears at present only at the beginning of a word.
y stands for $j$ and for an improper $g$ in: yea (jâ, geá), year (gear, gêr, Gothic jêr), ye (gë, Gothic jus), yes (gëse, gise, gyse), yet (git, gët), yond, yon (jând, geond =illuc, Gothic jains=yon), yore (jâra, geára), yoke (joc, juc, geóc), young (jung, geóng), youth (jeóguđ, geóguđ), yule (jûl, geól); - yest and yeast (gist, compare the Old-Highdutch jësan, later jëren). In you, your (eóv, eóver), the $y(j)$ existing in the nominative $g \ddot{e}$, Gothic $j u s$, has remained (Halfsaxon guw, gure, Lowdutch jûch, jur); in yew and eugh, it has been developed out of $i$, Medieval-Latin juus (Anglosaxon eóv, îv, Old-Highdutch îwa, îgo). It corresponds to a High- and Lowdutch $j$ in yacht (Hollandish jacht), yager (Highdutch jäger), younker, youngker.

In Old-English even a $j$ in the middle of a word has also produced a $y$. Namely, the infinitive termination $j a n$ and the termination of the first person of the present je passed over into the OldEnglish verb. The $j$, especially*in the Infinitive of weak verbs and in the first person of the indicative of the second weak conjugation, became $g$ or igë or a simple $\ddot{e}$; for instance in hergan instead of herjan, lufigëan instead of lufjan and in the present, as sealfige alongside of those of the first conjugation in je, as herje. Thence originate the Old-English terminations of the infinitive and of the present yen, ye alongside of $i e n$, $i e$, the latter of which went through all persons of the present, as this $y$ was transferred to the preterite, where the first weak conjugation shewed $\ddot{e}$. The semiconsonant nature of this $y$ ( $i$ ) comes out pretty decidedly. So the verbal conjugation: tilyen, tilien; - tilye, tilie - tilyeth, tilieth; - tilyede, tilyeden - (tiljan, teoljan), sweryen - swerye \&c. (sverjan). The Old-French forms of the infinitive ier likewise, others presenting no $i$, were similarly treated; hence maryen, marien (marier), scapyen, savyen \&c., whereout the vowel termination $y$ was soon developed, as in governy, crouny, amendy, which agree with repenty, servy, conquery, in which the vowel may seem to have been preserved from ir. Even Germanic forms in
y occur, as endy (endjan), wemmy (vemman) dic. Some of these terminations still continue in Modern-English.

From $g$, which has not demonstrably taken the place of $j$, or which sounds like $g$ in High- and Lowdutch, an English $y$ likewise sometimes proceeded: y ield (gildan, gëldan, Gothic fra-gildan), y ell (gillan, gëllan, Old-Highdutch gëllan), y elt (gilte, Old-norse gilta = scrofa), yesterday (gistran, geosiran, Gothic gistra-dagis), yard (geard $=$ sepes, Gothic gards, garda) and yard (geard, gerd, gird, Old-Highdutch gartja, gerta), yarn (gearn = pensa, Old-Highdutch garn), y ellow (gëlu, geolu, Old-Highdutch gëlo) but yolk and yelk (geoloca, geolea), yearn (geornjan, Gothic gairnjan), yawn (gânjân = aperire, Old-Highdutch ginên, but compare the Lowdutch hôjânen), so too Yare, a river (Latin Garyenus). The Old-English had also $y$ instead of $g$, as in yemen (gêman, gŷman $=$ custodire), yeme (geám $=$ cura), whence perhaps yeman s. above p. 106. foryeten, foryat, foryetten (forgëtan), yeven, yaf, yeven, (gifan), yift (gift), yat, yate = porta (géat, gat = porta), this still in North-English and Scottish; yarken (gearcjan = parare) even now in Northern dialects; ayein, ayeins (Anglosaxon preposition gägn), ModernEnglish again, against.
y in Old-English also often took the place of a French $j$, as in yoye, yoyfulle (joie), yoly (joli), yugement (jugement) and many more. The form yewys instead of jews likewise does not perhaps rest upon the Anglosaxon Judêas. Even now moreover words with an initial $y$ and $j$, interchange, as in unclear forms jerk and yerk, Old-English yirk, (compare Dieffenbach Wb. II. p. 377.) and jade alongside of the dialectic yaud, a bad horse, a strumpet.

Occasionally a French $y$ has remained in the middle of a word: bayard, bayonet, as well as in other foreign words, for instance bayadere.
$\mathbf{X}$ was in Anglosaxon put in the middle and at the end of words for $c s, s c, g s=s g$ and $h s$, never at the beginning. In Old-English it also sometimes penetrated the beginning of a word for $s h(=s c)$, as in the Coventry Mysteries: xal, xalt, xuld, xad (shed) stand, and even in Skelton xall, xulde \&c. This is also.still the usage in English dialects.

At the beginning it is to be met with in Modern-English only in foreign words, mostly of Greek origin, as in Xiphias \&c., xebec, Spanish jabeque, formerly with $x$ instead of $j$.

In the middle and at the end of a word it stands for the Anglosaxon $x$ and, like this, often also for those combinations of gutturals with $s$ in which it might enter in Anglosaxon, although even where the Anglosaxon let $x$ enter alongside of $s c, s h$ is sometimes selected; compare fish (fisc, fix), wash (vascan, vaxan) as distinguished from wax, ashes (asce, axe), sometimes $s k$, compare ask (âscjan, âxjan), Old-English axen.

An instance in which, conversely, the Modern-English $x$ answers to the older $s c$, is perhaps mix (Anglosaxon miscan, but compare the Latin mixtum). Thus flexs stands in the older English instead of flesh (flæsc).

An ancient $x$ has been preserved in mixen (mixen, myxen $=$
sterquilinium), vixen (fixen), the obsolete faxed (gefeaxôd, feaxed, from feax, Old-norse fax, juba), Exmouth (Exan mû才a), Exeter (Exan cester); compare the Latin name of a river Isaca, Isca; six (six), next (neáhst, nêxt), flax (fleax), axe, Axe (acas, äx, eax), wax (veaxan) and wax (veax, väx), ox (oxa, ohsa), fox (fox).

It often arises in English from the contraction of $k(c)$ and $s$, for instance in pox, Old-English pokkes (Piers Ploughman p. 431), from the Anglosaxon pocc; coxcomb alongside of cockscomb, kex, Hemlock, alongside of kecksy; hence the propername Baxter for bakester, bakstere. The Old-English word buxom=obedient, gay, which has no equivalent in Anglosaxon, belongs to the Anglosaxon beógan, bûgan: compare the dialectic form bucksome $=$ jolly, in the South of England.

The Romance, as well as the Latin and Greek $x$, unless the latter have been already changed by the Romance tongue, are commonly preserved: example (Old-French example), exist (exister, Latin exsistere), excellent, anxiety, luxury, fix (fixer, Latin fixus), tax \&c. Occasionally $x$ is resolved into $c s$, as in ecstasy, ecstatic, alongside of extasy, extatic and others.

In exchequer, in Old-English also cheker and eschekere, the Old-French eschakier, eschequier, eskiekier, Medieval-Latin scacarium (belonging to schach) lies at the root. The form arises through the double rendering of the $s c, s k$. Thus excheve arose out of the OldFrench eschiver, eskiver. See Halliwell s. v.

## Changes of the primitive word through its contraction and amplification.

Among the changes which the surviving vocabulary of the English tongue has gradually undergone, the contraction and amplification of the word in its vocal volume, without loss or change of meaning, is to be observed. The unconscious tendency of cultivated nations to make their speech a more pliant and rapid expression of thought, is constantly doing detriment to the vocal material, while, on the other hand, the striving after convenience in pronunciation, the habituation of the organs of speech through analogous forms, and the clash of irreconcileable sounds, often caused by the very contraction of a word, are causes of an amplification of the vocal material. But the striving after shortness by far outweighs that after the amplification of the word, and the broadening of the language remains especially reserved to the uneducated, wherefore it belongs partly to popular dialects, which have often preserved the primitive plenitude of vocal material.

## A) Contraction of the word.

The contraction does not commonly affect the kernel of the word, which presents itself at the syllable of the stem, and commonly also as the accented syllable, although here the two chief elements of the English tongue, the Anglosaxon and the French, so far diverge from each other that the French element has here
and there preserved its accent upon the full final syllable instead of the syllable of the stem. Contraction also principally begins with the casting out of an unaccented vowel, entailing therewith that of the consonant through its clash with another irreconcileable consonant. Yet even here and there a combination of consonants, in itself perhaps reconcilable, is repugnant to the popular habit. The following cases are in particular to be distinguished.

1) The talling off of vowels:
a) at the beginning of a word. The falling off of a vowel is here rare, yet even Anglosaxon is not wholly wanting in instances, as in biscop (episcopus), pistol (epistola), Old-English pistel, Modern-English epistle, and the like. English has often again cast off the French $e$ unorganically prefixed to $s p$, $s c, s t$, or even the justified e: spy (espie) alongside of the verb espy (espier), although of Germanic origin (Old-Highdutch spëhôn), space (espace), Spain (Espagne, Anglosaxon Ispanja, yet the name of the people was even then sounded Spêne $=$ Hispani), scourge (escourgee), stanch (estancher); standard is found in Anglosaxon as well as in Middle-Highdutch stanthart (estendard); stage (estage, estaige) and others; slandre (esclandre, Latin scandalum), Old-English esclaundre. Thus in Old-English Scariot was spelt Iscariot (Chaucer). Modern-English has double forms with these sounds, as, especial and special, escutcheon and scutcheon, estate and state, to estrange and strange, stranger, esquire and squire \&c. In the Anglosaxon sterling (Medieval-Latin esterlingus, sterlingus), also easterling, Old-English starling the vowel of the root-syllable is similarly cast off. The remarkable quinsy arose from squinancy (esquinancie mulitated from synanche). Before single consonants e sometimes, $a$ frequently, is cast off: gypsy (from Egyptian), ticket (diffused even in the $17^{\text {th }}$ century) may come from étiquette, but should properly sound sticket (Old-French esticquette), mend (amender, amander, Latin amendare, the simple mendare is wanting), purtenance (Old-French apurtenance), bay (abaier, Modern-French aboyer, ad-baubari; here the preposition is likewise lost); van, vanguard, vantage (avant, avantage from ab-ante), vail (avaler from a val, to lower), board (instead of abord, perhaps the French aborder), limbeck alongside of alembic (alambic, alembic). Frequently treated of by etymologists, pert is perhaps naught else but the OldFrench apert = ouvert, public sans feinte. In Old-English it stands exactly in the Old-French sense: pertliche for pure pride, and for no point ellis, that is, openly (Piers Plocgiman p. 78); How pertly afore the peple Reson bigan to preche (iB.); And pertly it hentes (Morte Arthure) in Hallinvell s. v. perteliche. pert certainly also stands for the Latin subtilis $=$ delicate, fine, for instance of a fine lady: He seygh never non so pert (Illistrat. of Fairy Mythology p. 11). Compare however the Cymric pert $=$ fine, spruce, and Gaelic peirteil $=$ impudent. The older forms noy, uoyance, noyous, noyful correspond to the Old-French anoi, anoiance, anoios, which the
modern tongue has brought back instead of and partly along－ side of them：annoy，annoyance \＆c．Italian has the simple forms：noia，noioso \＆c．

In Celtic names beginning with $p, a$ has often fallen off；here belong：Prichard，Pritchard，Price，Penry，Powell， Pugh（also Pye according to Lower，Engl．Surnames p．146）， which are properly compounds for Ap（ab，uab，mab $=$ filius） Richard，－Rhys，－Henry，－Howell，－Hugh．Thus in proper names generally initial vowels，even obscure ones， often fall off：Livy（Olivia）and the like．

Of Anglosaxon words：lone instead of alone（ealàn，English alone，not usual in Anglosaxon）belongs here．
b）In the middle of a word an unaccented syllable，or one which in English has become unaccented，especially between consonants， is thrown out．The Anglosaxon even，as well as the Old－French， leaned to this rejection；compare Anglosaxon cetil，cetl；cle－ ric，clerc；sëgel，sëgl；fä才emjan，fäすmjan；munec， munc；mônàd，mond；miluc，milc；sadul，sadl \＆c．En－ glish went by degrees much further in this：church（cyrice）， $a d z$ ，adze alongside of addice（adese），mint（mynet），hemp （hanep），own，Old－English owen（âgen），bald，Old－English balled，Buckingham（Buccingahâm），Walsinghâm（Valsinga－ hàm），Swanwich and Swanwick（Svanavic），Hachness near Withby（Haconos），hawk（hafuc，hafoc），Berkshire（Bear－ rucscir），french（frencisc），scotch alongside of scottish，and many more．

This happens no less in Romance words：chapter，（chapitre）， Old－English chapitre；captain（capitaine），able（habile）， gentle（gentil）alongside of genteel，Old－English gentile； subtle alongside of subtile；copse alongside of coppice， enmîty（enemistiet，Modern－French inimitié），chimney（chi－ menee，ceminee），damsel（damisele，but also dancele），Old－ English damysele，damycele，fortress（forteresce，but also even fortrece），musrol（muserolle），frantic alongside of phrenetic， apartment（appartement），remnant（remanant），Old－English remenant，John，Old－English Johan，comrade（camarade）， carbine alongside of carabine，damson，formerly damasyn and damasee（Damas，Damascene），doctress alongside of doctoress \＆c．Here belongs also sprite，spright alongside of spirit，and chirp instead of cheer up．

The rejection of a vowel before a vowel is rare，save in the blending of two words：trump（triomphe，triumphe）；blendings of this sort are the obsolete forms：don，doff，dup，dout （ $=$ do on，－off，－up，－out），whence douter $=$ extinguisher．
c）The final vowel is frequently lost，wherewith the loss of the vowel inflectional terminations is especially connected：end（ende）， earth（eorđe），emmet（æmete），milt（milte），yes（gëse）， Thames（Tämese），monger（mangere），neif，neaf（Old－norse hnefi，knefi，Danish næve），fall（fealle），bid（bidde），creep （creópe），blow（blâve）\＆c．；pith（pi才a），creed（crêda），ass （assa），soon（sona，suna），son（sunu）；so constantly in the old
substantive termination ere: eater (ëtere), player (plëgere) \&c.; Old-English rydere, ledere, flaterere, usurere \&c.; in Romance words this loss naturally chiefly affects the mute $e$ : origin (origine), sign (signe), pain (paine), plant (plante), branch (branche), group (groupe) \&c. In envoy, the accented $e$ falls off (envoyé). This falling off of vowels especially appears after a short vowel of the accented syllable, or one shortened in English, as well as after long vowels and diphthongs, which become immediately recognizable as such in writing; compare blow, soon, pain.
2) The Omission of Consonants.
a) At the beginning of a word the single final consonant is seldom omitted. This happens to the nasal $n$, which is else unorganically prefixed to an initial vowel, in adder (Anglosaxon nädre, näddre, Gothic nadrs, Old-English nedder, with which we may compare the Lowdutch, Hollandish and Flemish adder $=$ snake. The Anglosaxon $æ$ ttern $=$ venenosus seems to have naught common with it. Apron, for which also apperon and formerly apern stood, corresponds in form to the Old-French naperon.

On the other hand a solitary final guttural has often been cast off. In a certain sense $g$ is to be reckoned here, although, where instead of gi or ge only $y$ or $i$ now appears, the softening of the $g$, which first became $y$, into a vowel blended of $i$ or $e(y i=\bar{i}, y e=i e$ or $\bar{i})$ explains the casting off of the $g(y)$. Here belongs the prefix ge, which has disappeared in ModernEnglish; and which was rendered by $y$ and $i$ : yblent, ybrent (burnt), yfostered, yronnen, yqueint (quenched), ylike (Anglosaxon gelîc $=$ similis) and so on. Spenser has still many of these forms; Shakspeare, yravished, yslaked, ycleped, yclad, Milton and others, ycleped, yclad, which an antiquated style still sometimes affects. Here belongs also the form of expression I wis, arising from a misunderstanding of the ancient form, but which properly has not the Anglosaxon preterite visse, but the Old-English ywis (Anglosaxon geviss) for its foundation. Occasionally $e$ has remained for ge: enough (genôh), Old-English yenoughe, ynough, Halfsaxon inow and others. Instead of the Old-Euglish 3 ef, gif stands if (Anglosaxon gif), instead of Gypes wych in Rob. of Gloucester now Ipswich (Anglosaxon Gypesvic); itch belongs to the Anglosaxon giceness = prurigo; the older collateral form of yearn, desiderare, is earn (Anglosaxon geornjan). Compare the Old-English ere instead of year.

A single $h$ is often thrown off, even in Anglosaxon words: able, ability, Old-English hable, habilitee, ermine (hermine, Medieval-Latin hermellinus, -a), usher, Old-English huisher (Old-French huissier, hussier and uissier, ussier), ombre (Spanish hombre), allelujah alongside of hallelujah, to alloo, alongside of to halloo. In Old-English also ipocrite, ipocrise, Ipocras, oneste and the like. In it (Anglosaxon hit) the Anglosaxon $h$ has been lost; Old-English hit, hyt; for welk,
we find the Anglosaxon hvile = marcidus, Old-Highdutch wilhjan, and ving is the Anglosaxon hving and ving. Even Anglosaxon often cast off the foreign $h$-sound, as in ymen, ymn alongside of hymn; Ercol (Hercules).

The Hebrew guttural ch has been cast off in Enoch (Hebrew Chanôch).
Initial letters in combination with other consonants are sometimes thrown off. Thus $p h$ before $t h$ is occasionally suppressed in pronunciation, as also in writing: tisic alongside of $p$ hthisic, compare apothegm alongside of apophthegm; $v$ before $l$ in lisp (Anglosaxon vlisp = balbus), Danish lespe; and after $s$ in sister (sveostor, svyster), Old-English suster, Lowdutch süster, but compare p. 168. $H$ before $n, l$ and $r$ at the beginning of a word has been abandoned: neck (hnecca), nap (hnäppjan), nut (hnuta, hnut), listen (hlystan from hlosnjan), leap (hleapan), ladle (hlädle), lot (hlot), ring (hring), rime, hoarfrost, to which the French frimas belongs (hrîm), raven (hräfen). The $k$, otherwise mute before $n$, (Anglosaxon $c$ ) has been lost in nap alongside of knop, in Northern-English nab (Old-norse knappr $=$ globulus, compare the Anglosaxon cnäp $=$ jugum $)$, Old-English knappe. $S$ before $n$ has vanished in Nottinghâm (Snotingahâm). Betwixt $s$ and $l, c$ is indeed partly tolerated, as in sclerotic, sclavonian; yet $c$ is mostly thrust out, since only the combination $s l$ was familiar to the Anglosaxon organ, (although even the form sclawen for slagen = slain is cited), hence the hybrid form slice (Old-French esclicer, Substantive esclice, from the Old-Highdutch slîzan, Anglosaxon slîtan), slander (esclandre), sclaunderyng (Skelton I. 324.), slave (esclave), as slavonic. The $r$ omitted after $s p$ in speak was frequently wanting even in Anglosaxon (sprëcan and spëcan). In proper names usual combinations of consonants have frequently vanished, as, for instance, in Fanny (= Frances), compare the French Ferry, for Frédéric.
b) In the middle of the word (and here we reckon all save the final consonant) consonants are frequently omitted before other consonants, rarely before a vowel, whether consonants stood originally beside each other, or, as is very often the case, clashed with consonants in a derivative syllable or in the composition of words.

Nasal letters have rarely been cast out, as $n$ in eleven (Anglosaxon endlif, Dative endlifum, endlefen), Old-English enleven, ellene; agnail (Anglosaxon angnägl), nailworm, Thursday (Anglosaxon punres däg, yet Old-norse pôrsdagr); vaward (Sharspeare) instead of vanward, vanguard. In words originally French, like covenant, covent (Coventgarden) OldFrench forms without $n$ lie at the root; covet and covetous come from the Old-French coveiter, coveitous, although even Old-French sometimes inserts an unorganic $n$, like the ModernFrench in convoiter (from the Latin cupidus).

Among liquid letters, $l$ in Anglosaxon words before a primitive guttural is frequently omitted: each (ælc), Old-English ilk,
eche, Dialectic elcone $=$ each one (Cumberland), to be distinguished from the Old-English ilk = the same, Anglosaxon ylc; which (hvŷlic, hvŷlc), Old-English whilke; such (svelic, svylc), Old-English swilke, swiche; thus too in Old-English there stand pike, pikke instead of pilke (Anglosaxon pylc); likewise before $s$ in as (ealsvâ, alsvâ), Old-English als. Where in Romance words a primitive $l$ has been omitted, the Old-French has often thrown it out: safe and save (Old-French salf, sauf, and salver, sauver, saver); Old-English also had savation, heraud, assaunt, auter and the like, where Modern-English has again taken up the $l$, as in salvable, salvation, altar \&c. The Old-English Wat (Walter, compare French Gautier) is also to be compared (see Lower p. 127.), and Gib (Gilbert $=$ Giselbert) and others. The $r$ is seldom lost, for instance in: cockade alongside of which also cockard is found (see Halliwell s. v.) (Old̆-French cocart, quoquart, vain, Mo-dern-French cocarde, from coq); and in mutilations of names; like Bab (Barbara), Bat (Bartholomew), Mat (Martha).

Among the Lipsounds $p$ has been cast out in corse alongside of corpse (yet even in Old-French cors), as in deceit, Old-English deceipt. The $b$ is lost in dummy, dummerer, dumfound (Anglosaxon dumb, and already with lack of $b$ in dumnyss, in English on the other hand dumbness), and in ames-ace (Sharspeare) alongside of ambs-ace, Old-English ambes as. Compare the Old-French amedoi alongside of ambedoi. The $f$ is lacking in woman (Anglosaxon vîfmann, where the Anglosaxon replaced it by assimilation: vimmann, vemmann), in had, hadst (häfde, häfdest, häfdon), where the Old-English had havede, hevede \&c. or assimilated $f$ : hadde, haddest, hadden; head, behead (heáfdjan, beheáfdjan), lady (hlæfdige $=$ hlâfveordige).
We cannot regard the Anglosaxon $v$ as cast out in so, also (sva, ealsvâ) and kill alongside of quell (cveljan), since here $v$ becomes softened into the vowel $u$ and coalesces with the following vowel, as in such (svylc), Old-English swa, kull. The Romance $v$ is cast out in kerchief (couvre-chief), curfew (couvre-feu). Compare the Old-Englich kevere=to recover.

Toothsounds have frequently been thrown out; thus $t$ before st: best (betst, properly betest), compare $3, \mathrm{~b}$; and betwixt two s: Essex (Anglosaxon Estseaxan), Old-English Estsex; Wessex (Anglosaxon Vestseaxan), Old-English Westsex; betwixt a primitive $h$ and th or $t$ (where properly a vowel has been previously cast out): eighth, eighty, eighteen (compare Anglosaxon eahtôđa, eahtatig, eahtatŷne). $t$ before $r$, followed by another consonant, is also suppressed: Pernel (Petronella); as well as before $d$ in dandelion (Old-French dant = dent de lion). The dental $d$ is cast out before $s p$ in gospel (Anglosaxon godspell); before sw: answer (Anglosaxon andsvarjan, but also ansvarjan, onsvarjan); in Old-English also before $\operatorname{tr}$ in sheltrom, sheltroun (Anglosaxon scildtruma $=$ testudo) $=$ host, troop of soldiers. th before labials after $r$ in the word
north is often thrown out, whereas $t h$ after a vowel, like other dentals, readily assimilates with the consonant after it: Norfolk (Norðfolc), Old-English still Norpfole, like Sopfolc, Norway, Old-English Norpweye and Norweye, Norwi ch(Nor才vîc), but also still in names like Nortwich, Nortwick and Northwich, Northwick; before $m$ in Norman, alongside of Northman (Anglosaxon Norđmann and even Normann); but before $h$ there ensues the casting out of the initial $h$ : Northampton (Nordhamtun), Northumberland (Norđhymbre, Norđanhymbre). Th is also omitted before sh: worship (Anglosaxon veorđscipe). $S$ is often omitted after another $s$ in composition: transept, dispirit; likewise after $x$, in which Latin and Old-French preceded: exile, exert, execute, exult, alongside of exsudation and many more. It has also been cast out before $t$ in Exeter, Old-English Excestre and Exetre (Anglosaxon Exancester).

Throatsounds also have often been cast out. A guttural $c$ has been lost in drown (compare Anglosaxon druncenjan), likewise one of the threefold $c(k)$ in neckerchief (that is neck-kerchief). The guttural $g$ has been partly weakened into a vowel, as the doctrine of vowels demonstrates, and cannot therefore, in such a vocal resolution, be regarded as merely cast out. The case also in which the $g$ which has arisen through the French transposition of an $i$ or $e$ is lost through a fresh transposition in English, cannot be referred here, as in Gascony $=$ Gascogne (Vasconia), Burgundy $=$ Bourgogne (Burgundia). $G$ is however, perhaps to be regarded as cast out where either a primitive $g$ stood before another consonant in French, or where a $g$, arising through the transposition of an $i$ or $e$ in French, was preserved in Old-English. In many cases OldFrench certainly took the lead in the omitting of the $g$ Thus $g$ is to be regarded as cast out in disdain (desdaigner), Spain (Espagne), Old-English Spaigne; Britain (Bretagne), Old-English Bretaigne; mountain (montaigne, but also muntaine), Old-English mountaigne; company (compeignie, but also cumpainie), Old-English compaignye, compagnie: joinant (joignant), Old-English joignant; Cluny (Clugny); castanet (castagnette), purloin (purloigner); Modern-English retains the $g$, although it is silent, in many forms, as reign, impregn, sign, expugn and others. Forms with and without $g$ also sometimes stand alongside of each other: eloin, eloine and eloigne (esloignier). In the Celtic word Craven, $g$ is cast out before $v$, Cymric craigvan $=$ district of rocks. In Anglosaxon words $g$ (at all events before $i$ ) has been cast out after a primitive $s(c)$ in icicle (isgicel), as well as between $n$ and $t$ in lent (lengten, also lencten). To too the Anglosaxon $h$ before $t$, else rendered by $g h$, has been cast out in trout (truht, Latin tructa) and wet (which likewise answers to the Anglosaxon veaht as væt), not, alongside of nought, nauht, Old-English nozt, as in the compound after $m b$ in: Lambeth instead of Lambhithe, compare Greenhithe (from the An-
glosaxon hyd $=$ portus), and after $r w$ in: narwal alongside of narwhale (Anglosaxon nar $=$ nas (nasu?) and hväl $=$ balaena).
c) At the end of a word especially nasal sounds have been thrown off; $m$ in fro (Anglosaxon fram, from); especially frequently $n$, for instance after $m$, although a final $m n$ seem otherwise reconcilable (compare condemn, damn, automn, column), where $n$ is now silent: stem (Anglosaxon stäfn, stefn, stemn) and in the verb to stem (stemnjan), compare the Anglosaxon væmn alongside of $v æ p o n$, emn alongside of efen, hremn alongside of hräfen, where Euglish has abandoned the contracted forms; after $s$ : dross (drosn $=$ faex); after $l$ : ell (eln, aln, whence elbow, where even in Anglosaxon elnboga also occurs alongside of elboga); more frequently after vowels: eve alongside of even (æfen), game (gamen), $a=a n$ (ân), no=none (nân), Old-English non; ago (from the participle gân = gangen), go (Infinitive gân), do (dôn), cleave (cleôfan), choose (ceôsan) and so in all similar inflectional forms; above (bûfan), a fore, before (onforan, beforan), where the Old-English still alongwhile retained $n$. Here belongs also the preposition $a$ for on in compounds, where even the Anglosaxon offered $\hat{a}$, $\hat{\theta}$, alongside of on, an. Thus Old-English has me, instead of men (Rob. of Gloucester), tho instead of than, and others.

The lipsound $b$ is sometimes thrown off in Old-English after $m$ (although often added) in lam, dum and other words, in which $b$ now regularly reappears.

Among toothsounds a final $t$ is sometimes thrown off: Benedick alongside of Benedict, anvil (Anglosaxon anfilt), OldEnglish anvelt; in Romance words, in which $t$ often rests upon a primitive $d$, this occurs, according to the Old-French precedent, in Old-English in secree (secreit, secroi), now again secret; in Modern-English decree (decret), degree (degret, degre, Modern-French degré); plea, along with the verb plead (OldFrench plait, plaid), Old-English pleid, plead; with this is connected the omission of the $d$ in the ancient see (Old-French sed, siez, se); petty, along with which petit was formerly found, is the. Old-French petit. Compare the Old-English a petit thing (Piers Ploughm. p. 287.).

D also is cast off; often after $n$ : tine (Anglosaxon tind, OldHighdutch zinka), woodbine (Anglosaxon vudubend, -bind $=$ hedera nigra), similarly in scan (Latin scandere); on the other hand in summon not the Old-French form with a $d$ inserted: semondre, but semoner, also occurring, may lie at the root. Before a vowel too a final $d$ has been lost: Davy (David). The $s$, silent in the corresponding French words, is often lacking in the English ones: pea (pois, peis, compare the Anglosaxon pisa, Latin pisum), relay (relais, or is the French relayer, substantive relais, descended from the English?), hero (heros), hautboy (hautbois). Thus also anana stands alongside of ananas. In the word riddle the Anglosaxon $s$ (rædels, compare the Middle-Highdutch rætsal, -el) is also lacking.

Final gutturals often disappear; especially $g$ after $i$, with
which the softened guttural may seem to have coalesced: any (ænig, ânig), many (maneg, manig), body (bodig), ivy (ifig), penny (pending, pening, penig), dizzy (dysig), mighty (mihtig) \&c.; so too in Chelsea (Ceólesîg). Besides that, a final $g$, with a vowel preceding it, has yielded directly to $y$ and $w$. See vowels. This is likewise the case with $c: I(\mathrm{ic}=\mathrm{ego})$, Old-English ich; every (= ever each, Anglosaxon æfre ælc), Old-English everych; particularly in adjectives compounded of the Anglosaxon lîc: daily (däglîc), fleshly (flæsclîc) \&̌., where Old-English always had the forms with a final ch: manlich, baldelich, wyslych, lordlich \&c. The word cony, which is to be referred to the Latin cuniculus (Old-French conil, conin), sounds in Old-English conynge, conig. Even the final Anglosaxon $h$ (else replaced by $g h$ or otherwise) is sometimes not preserved: fee (feóh), shy (sceóh), seal (sëolh, but also with the $h$ rejected: sëol, siol, syl), mare $=$ equa (mearh $=$ equus, merihe, but also mere, myre = equa.

The abandonment of a primitive reduplication of consonants in the middle and the end of a word deserves particular mention, but especially that at the end, in which we of course abstract from the reduplication, of a consonant originally single, which first arose in the English tongue. The English restricted the reduplication in the first instance, as was natural, to syllables with a short or a shortened vowel.

1) With the Lengthening of the Vowel, therefore, a consonant originally double is, regularly, changed into a simple one, both in Anglosaxon and in Romance words; hence: dare (Anglosaxon dearr, dear), stars (steorra), brawl (Old-English brallen); date formerly datte), tailor (tailleur); in words like flame, grate and others the Old-French fluctuated between flame and flamme, grater and gratter \&c. Fallen and others with $l l$, warrior (guerrier) and the like, form exceptions.
2) The reduplication is especially retained in the accented syllable which is not final. Reduplications after it are exceptionally permitted, like the reduplications of $l$ in Romance words, which, like other reduplications which are not primitive, take place in an inflective termination, as counselled, travelling, quarrellest (from conseller, conseiller and so forth); although this is censured by grammarians; whereas, by universal consent, the derivative syllables ess and niss always end with a doubled consonant: countess (Old-French contesse, cuntesse); sickness (Anglosaxon seócuess). But before the accented syllable the maintenance of the double sound is fluctuating, although mostly retained, as in essoin (Old-French essoine), allow (allouer), annex, accost, collect, commence \&c.; on the other hand upon (Anglosaxon uppou, uppan).
3) In the simple rootsyllable the primitive double sound is hardly ever preserved, except where $l, s, c$ (English as $c k$ and tch) and $g$ (Anglosaxon cg, English dge) originally appeared doubled; hence: hill (Anglosaxon hill), still (Anglosaxon stille), gall (Anglosaxon gealla), cress (Anglosaxon crësse), mass (mässe),
truss (Old-French trosser, trusser), bless (Anglosaxon blêssjan), stick (Anglosaxon sticca), thick (picce), flock (floce), bitch (bicce), thatch (peccan), bridge (brycg, bricg), fledge flycge). Other reduplications are here exceptionally preserved, as $m m:$ mumm (Highdutch mummen, vermummen); $n n$ : inn (Anglosaxon inne, inn); rr: err (Old-French errer, oirrer), serr (serrer), purr also pur (Highdutch purren, purr machen); bb: ebb (Anglosaxon ebba); tt: butt (Anglosaxon bytt), smitt (Highdutch schmitz, schmitze); dd: add (Latin addere).

On the other hand one of the consonants is commonly lost here: grim (Anglosaxon grimm), ram (ramm), hen (henn), sin (synn), lip (lippa), trap (treppe), cup (cupp), crib (cribb), web (vebb), net (nett, also nete), bid (biddan), shed (sceddan), wed (veddjan). Even the $l l$ commonly preserved in short and long syllables does not always appear in the accented syllable: wool (Anglosaxon vull), patrol (French patrouiller). When the the full (Anglosaxon full) with a double l, appears without the accent, before or after the accented syllable, it assumes the single $l$; the former in the Anglosaxon fashion; the later contrary to the Anglosaxon usage: fulfíl (fulfillan); baleful (Anglosaxon bealufull).

If the word is compound, the double consonant is frequently not given to the accented verbal root in $l l$, as in fulfíl, compél, whereas the double consonant is uniformly afforded to others, such as those in ss: caress (caresser), endoss (endosser). But grammarians disagree upon the former case. In inflective forms, which are added syllabically, the double consonant is given to the root syllable.
3) The omission of vowels and consonants.
a) At the commencement of the word the omission of a consonant with a vowel after it, or of a vowel with a consonant after it, is not uncommon, whereas the ommission of a syllable beginning or ending in a consonant is rare. The loss at the commencement is frequently naught else than the casting off of a particle which, although originally necessary to the determination of the notion, was afterwards, through the absence of accent, no longer conceived in its specific import.

Consonant and vowel are cast off in: story alongside of history (compare here however the Old-French histoire, estoire and Anglosaxon stêr, Old-Highdutch stôrja, that is historia), spaniel (from Hispaniolus, compare French épagneul), spital, spittle (Old-French hospital, ospital), spite (Old-French despit, compare Hollandish spyt), spence = pantry (Old-French despense), sdain, sdeign in Spenser (Old-French desdeigner), sport (desport), to which also the forms fend, fender, fence are to be reckoned (Old-French defendre, desfendre; defenderes; defens); in reeve, Old-English reve, to which sheriff, OldEnglish shereve, belongs, the Anglosaxon prefix ge, which seems to have always been peculiar to the substantive, has been cast off (Anglosaxon gerêfa, sciregerêfa, scirgerêfa). In dropsy,
dropsical even the essential element of the word has been lost (from the Greek $\delta \delta \delta \omega\rangle$ and $i, \delta_{\delta \omega i \psi} \psi$ ). In proper names abbreviations like Beck, Becky (Rebecca) \&c. are less striking.

Still more frequent is the case that the syllable beginning with a vowel, even here mostly a primitive prefix, is cast off: rack alongside of arrack, prentice alongside of apprentice, Old-English prentis; sample (Old-French essample, example), so ar (French essorer, Old-Provençal eisaurar), swage suage alongside of assuage (Old-French assoager, asuager, from the Latin suavis). In Old-French the reduplication of the consonant arising from assimilation was often omitted, as in the last instance; so that in some cases in English only the casting off of a vowel (see above) could be assumed. The syllable en is found thrown off in cense, censer, alongside of incense (Old-French encens, encenser, encensier $=$ encensoir), Old-English censing, censer; gin alongside of engine (Old-French engin, yet even the adjective gignos), Old-English gyn, gin; cyclopedia and cyclopædia alongside of encyclopædia; in common life we say peach instead of impeach (Old-French empescher $=$ déférer en justice). Still more striking is cern in Shakspeare for concern. Mutilations, such as Mun instead of Edmund, often occur in proper names, even with the rejection of several syllables, compare Betty, Betsy=Elizabeth, where we must observe the class of names beginning with $T$, in which the initial consonant is the remnant of a atte (at the) prefixed, as in Try (atte rye =shore), Tooke (atte hooke), Twell (atte well), Thill (atte hill); as in some beginning with $n$ the consonant is a remnant of atten (at then, Anglosaxon ät pam, the Dative of se, pë, or with $n$ to avoid the hiatus): Noakes (atten oak), which is commouly named along with Style (compare Simone atte Style [Piers Plocgim. p. 89.]). Drake has also been shortened by an essential element (compare Old-English andriki, Old-Highdutch antrecho, Swedish andrake), wig is shortened from peruke, periwig; zounds arose from God's wounds!
b) In the middle of the word, with the expulsion of an unaccented vowel the consonant preceding it is also frequently cast out, because the organic combination of the now clashing consonants is not possible, or is inconvenient, in which the case may arrive that two like consonants meet and stand before or after a third: England (Anglosaxon Englaland), Old-English Englelonde; else (elles), Berkshire (Anglosaxon Bearrucscir, yet Bearucscir is also cited); nurture (Old-French norriture, yet also, with neglected assimilation of the $t$, from nutrire, noriture), noisome (instead of noisesome); or two and mostly three other consonants would clash: either (Anglosaxon æghväđer, yet also ægđer), hast (Anglosaxon hafast), lakin, laken instead of ladikin, made (Anglosaxon macôde), Old-English also mase, tase ( $=$ makes, takes); mart (= market, Old-norse markadr), lark (lâverce), Old-English and Scottish laverock; last (adverb latôst, from late $=$ tarde, sero, the adjective lätemest), Ralph (Old-High-
dutch Ràtulf), lord (hlâford), Old-English loverd alongside of lavedi (Dane Siriz), lobster (loppestre, yet also lopustre, lopystre), whirlwind (Old-norse hvirflivindr), sennight (seofonniht, in Thorpe seofeniht), Cambridge, Old-English Cantebrigge; since, Old-English sythenes, sithence (from Anglosaxon sîण pan); or and nor are contractions from âdor, nâdor, themselves standing for the fuller forms âhväđer, nâhväđer. In Romance and other words this omission is no less usual: palsy (Greek-Latin paralysis), fancy stands for the older fantasy; sexton for sacristan, sacrist (from the Medieval-Latin sacrista[nus], Middle-Highdutch sigriste); garment (Old-French garniment, garnement), cantonment (French cantonnement) and others, although with many words of this sort the derivative forms are to be regarded as already grown out of an English shortened root.

Where $g$ is softened or, if you will, cast off after a vowel, the following vowel also disappears: nine (nigon), tile (tigul), as, before a preserved obscure vowel, it secedes with the preceding one: rule (regul, rëgol, Old-French reule).

No less frequent is the case that, with a vowel, the following consonant disappears: Axminster (Axanminster), Oxford (Oxenforde), Newark, Old-English Newework (Rob. of Gloucester), Repton (Anglosaxon Hreopandûn), Bedford (Bêdanford), Windsor (Windlesore, Old-English metathetically Windelsore [Rob. of Gloucester]), Tamworth (Tamanveorđige), Dartmouth (Darentamuđ), fourtnight instead of fourteennight, cuckold, Old-English cokewold, monday (mônan däg), sunday (sunnandäy) \&c., Old-English still monenday, sonnenday \&c. (Rob. of Gloucester); - almry alongside of almonry (from the Old-French almosne, Anglosaxon almässe), parrot (French perroquet, Italian parrocchetto?), damson instead of damascene, sarplier (serpillière), ginger (Old-English gingiber, gingefere, French gingembre, Latin zingiber), OldEnglish comsen (Old-French comencer) and others.

The expulsion of vowels before and after a consonant, as well as that of consonants at once before and after a vowel, whereby the rejection affects either two syllables partly or one entire close syllable beginning with a consonant is rare. The former is found in proctor= procurator, proxy=procuracy; the second in Rochester (Hrôfesceastre), Boston in Lincolnshire, Old-English Botolfston (Dame Siriz p. 4); Lincoln was in Latin Lindum colonia; in Anglosaxon Lindesige =Lindsey in Lincolnshire is found. Funnel, is by Johnson derived from Latin infundibulum, but the Cymric ffynel, a chimney is herhaps to be referred to it, as Dieffenbach asserts.
c) The casting off of a vowel and consonant is particularly of importance at the end of words, and concerns chiefly the derivative and inflective terminations. Apart from the mutilations of words at the end, here after to be mentioned, we will only generally notice the loss of the nominal and verbal terminations in $a n$, en, ën, un, on, um and $a d$, of which we shall speak in
the Doctrine of Forms, and which have been followed by the corresponding Romance and Latin terminations ir, er, ar, oir, re, as well as $\overline{\mathrm{r}}$ e, ēre, ĕre, $\bar{a} r e, u s, u m$ and so on. Yet we will particularly mention some nominal forms and particles, as well as the infinitives of verbs.

Many nouns, namely, lose in their English form the derivational termination; thus the termination en is lost, especially in Anglosaxon words: mill (Anglosaxon mylen), Old-English mylene, miln, whence milner = miller; lent (Anglosaxon lengten, lencten), Old-English lenten, lent, whence the form lenten is now treated as an adjective; handsel (Anglosaxon handselen $=$ traditio), kindred (compounded with ræden, not the adjective red); thus en has also been cast off in morrow (Anglosaxon morgen) and the like. Moreover, other full endings of nouns than those with $n$ in Anglosaxon words, are not readily lost, besides that in (i)ge: toad (tâdje, tâdige), harbour (hereberge), Old-English herberwe, Tamworth (Tamanveordige); as well as sometimes in $v a$ : gear (Anglosaxon gearva), compare Anglosaxon gearvjan and girjan), pea (pâva), formerly however po and others under the influence of $v$. In words like hag (Anglosaxon hägtys, häges) a shorter form lies at the root, as here, the Old-norse hagr = sapiens.

Romance nouns which had mostly cast off their primitive terminations even in French, as well as Latin ones, suffer less mutilation in their derivational than in the inflectional terminations (the nominative being computed as such; compare forms like pulpit, margin, maul, mall (Old-French maules, Latin malleus) \&c. The habit of rejecting the inflection $a n$, en $) \& c$. , which in Anglosaxon nouns has also sometimes seized the derivational syllable (see above), seems also to occasion the loss of the $n$-termination in other nouns; compare rosemary, OldEnglish rosemaryne, filigree alongside of filigrane. Abbreviations like ink rest upon the Old-French precedent (enche, enque, Modern-French encre). The rejection of the terminations te and se after $t$ and $s$ rests properly on the simplifying of consonants, as in bandlet (bandelette), omelet (omelette), riches (richesse), Old-English richesse, with which is also joined alms (Anglosaxon älmässe).

In Particles an has often been cast off: but (Anglosaxon bûtan) alongside of out, Anglosaxon ût; within, without (viđinnan, viđûtan), Old-English frequently withouten, withowten; about, Old-English abouten; beneath (beneođan, beniđan, Lowdutch [be]nëden) and others; so too um in between (betveónum), limbmeal (limmælum) and others.

As regards the infinitive termination, it is particularly to be noticed, because the infinitive in the English verb is at present to be regarded as the root form (of the weak verb) and hence any elements of primitive inflection preserved in it pass into the other verbal forms (compare render - rendered, rendering). All English verbs, with the exception of the preserved Anglosaxon verbs, conform to the weak form of con-
jugation, and formerly assumed besides other inflectional forms, also assumed that of the infinitive in en, which has at present been cast off, and is exceptionally preserved, partly out of mere orthoepic principles, as a last remnant, in the mute e. Derivational terminations before the infinitive termination are of course preserved, and the infinitive termination still occurring at present $n$, en, on is such a derivational termination, belonging also to imitated verbs: rain (rig-n-an), even (ëf-en-jan, emnjan), reckon (rec-n-an, recnjan). The terminations an, jan have disappeared: wind (vindan), melt (meltan), shrink (scrincan), whisper (hvisprjan) \&c. The preserved $e$ is found after a long or lengthened vowel: tease (tæsan), freeze (freósan), shake (scacan), writhe (vriđan); also in forms with a rejected $g$, as lie (licjan), die or dye (deágjan); and even after a preserved short vowel: give (gifan) and after a syllable long by position: wrinkle (vrincljan), waddle (vädljan), cleanse (clænsjan).

In Old-English the terminations en ( $n$ ) follow each other as of course, and often run alongside of another: finden, wenden, tellen, riden, plaien, helpen, as sayn, han, don, gon, and finde, wende, telle, ride \&c., playe \&e., with which is connected the complete extinction of en in many verbs.
Romance and Latin infinitives replace in Old-English their primitive terminations by the same terminations belonging originally to Anglosaxon, hence forms like quiten, plesen (OldFrench plaisir), escapen, reneyen (renier, renoier, reneier), feynen (feindre, faindre, in these and similar verbs with rejection of the inserted d) suffren, enforcen \&c., which likewise underwent the abbreviations quite, plese, escape, reneye \&c., and still in part preserve the $e$ in Modern-English. Where here an $r$ appears at the end of a word, it mostly belongs to the root, not to the primitive termination, as in suffer, proffer, compare the Old-English suffren, profren; cover, flower, sever (with an $e$ inserted before the $r$ of the root), compare Old-French covrir, florir, flurir, sevrer, but also severer; appear (Old-French aparoir, aparer) and others.
On the other hand some forms remain, in which the $r$ belonged indeed to the infinitive termination, as render (OldFrench rendre, perhaps to distinguish it from rend, Anglosaxon hrendan, to tear), barter, whence the substantive barterer alongside of barrator (Old-French barater, bareter), with which in the Towneley Myster. p. 165. the old Substantive barett $=$ vexation (Old-French barat, barete) is found, so that we may comprehend the verb as a denominative from the Old-French: barateres; batter reminds us strongly of the Old-French batre, battre, Latin batuere, although we might impute to the er an intensive or frequentative signification, as embroider does of the French broder (Swedish brodera, Danish brodere), although here at the same time we may think of the substantive border; flatter answers to the Old-French flater, although it might be taken to be a denominative from the substantive flateres.
cashier as a verb in the meaning of dismiss is also striking, (casser, quasser) and domineer (dominer). That the infinitive termination did not remain wholly disregarded other substantives seem also to indicate, as supper (souper) and the still more striking remainder (remaindre), corresponding in form with surrender, used both as a verb and as a substantive, and with which we cannot think of a transfer of the Anglosaxon derivation er, or, ur.

Mutilations of words in their final syllables, not cast off by a complete or at least a more general analogy, occur in the more glib every-day speech, and have partly penetrated into writing, particularly where they imitate the language of common life. Proper names here again take the first place; thus Privet, the name of a place, is shortened from the Anglosaxon Prŷfetes flôd, Prûntesflôd; hence the monosyllabic Nat (Nathaniel), Wat (Walter), Bill (William), Meg (Margaret), Tib (Tibald), Tid (Theodor), Tim (Timothy), Tom (Thomas), Dan (Daniel), Deb (Deborah), Sam (Samuel), Sib (Sebastian), Su (Susan), Ciss (Cecily), Zach (Zachary), Gib (Gilbert), Chris, Kit (Christian) and others, which are again lenghtened by $y$, like Timmy, Tibby, Tommy, Debby, Suky (Susan), Conny (Constance), which receives the character of a diminutive termination; cherry, for the Anglosaxon cirse, Old-Highdutch kirsa, may be thus explained, unless we go back to the French cerise. Similar are abbreviations like the proand con ( = contra), incog (=incognito), hyp and to hyp = hypochondria, and to depress with melancholy; Cantab is an abbreviation from Cantabrigian; cit is used contemptuously for citizen and forms thence the feminine form citess; sentinel is shortened into sentry. Cond is quoted as a nautical expression for to conduct, it is by Halliwell erroneously ascribed to Chaucer. Consols; has been formed on the Exchange from consolidated annuities. Chum, Chamber companion and table- and -bedfellow still in many dialects, is made to spring from comrade; as well at least might it arise from the Anglosaxon cuma $=$ hospes, we must then rather think of chamber-fellow. Much of this kind remains of course of doubtful origin.

## B) Amplification of the Word.

The adding on of vowels and consonants, insignificant for the notion of the word, is in part more extensive in Old- than in Mo-dern-English, in part more widely spread in Modern than in OldEnglish. The amplification of the word in Modern-English mostly concerns the insertion of vowels, and is founded in great part upon other rejections.

1) Adding on of Vowels.
a) At the beginning of the word an insignificant vowel is hardly ever prefixed in English. Prefixed vowels are only significant prefixes, although their signification may in course of time have been partly weakened. Here belongs also the $a$, occurring still
more frequently in Old-English, which is to be regarded as a preposition. The use of $e$ before $s p$, st, sc and so forth, in some words, also appearing without this $e$, as in espouse, estate, escape, belongs to Old-French.
b) In the middle of the word a vowel is often inserted in an unaccented syllable. This happens especially between consonants, the last of which is a liquid or nasal letter, and which in Anglosaxon or Old-French stand beside each other without a vowel communication. Before $r$ an $e$ here appears: whisper (Anglosaxon hvisprjan), murder (Anglosaxon myrđrjan), temper (Anglosaxon temprjan), bolster (Old-norse bôlstr, Old-Highdutch polster), holster (Old-norse hulstr = theca); since certainly even Anglosaxon in general in denominatives of this sort offered this suffix er, (Old-Highdutch ar) and not a single $r$; compare hinderjan, slumerjan \&c. The same happens in Romance words, from the same phonetic reason, with which however we must not reckon those instances in which a succeeding, now mute $e$ is set by methathesis before the last consonant; for instance, proper, French propre. Here belong however: enter (entrer), cover (covrir), recover (recovrer $=$ recuperare), Old-English keveren; sever (commonly sevrer, but also severer, as in the adjective several, still sounding thus in English), deliver, deliverance (delivrer, delivrance), livery (livree, Medieval-Latin livreia, sec. XIV also liberata, clothes delivered \&c., according to Zeuss Gr. celt. I, 128 of Celtic origin; Armorican luifre, a party coloured coat, from lui, colour) and others.

After a letter, not however a liquid, which in Anglosaxon might be immediately followed by $m$ or $n$, $e$ or $o$ has been inserted. In words of this sort the Anglosaxon had also regu-larly the vowels $e$, o or $u$; before $m, o$ commonly stands (OldHighdutch $a m, u m$ ): besom (bësma), bottom (botm), blossom (substantive blôstma, blôsma, verb blôstmjan, blôsmjan); compare Anglosaxon bôsum and bôsm. Old-English here offered also botme, blosme, fadme (fathom) \&c.

Before $n, e$ and $o$, as in Anglosaxon $e$ or o before $n$ (Old-Highdutch $a n$ ) are here also met with: hearken (hêrcnjan, hŷrcnjan), glisten (glisnjan), reckon (recnjan, recnan), Old-English rekenen; beckon and beacon, with different meaning, both Anglosaxon beácnjan, bêcnjan, (belonging to the substantive beácen, beácn), Old-English becken. The more ancient language (in Spenser) had steven, the voice (stëfn, stemn) and even steryn, as the dialects still have stoven, stovven $=$ stump, stub (stofn), in Leicestershire stovin.

A $u$ is inserted before $m$ in the Romance word alarum, also larum, alongside of alarm (alarme, Walloon larmë), compare; Did he beat a larum? (Halliwell s. v. larum).

Before vowels we find $i, y$ inserted in the substantive suffix $i$-er, the $i$ or $y$ of which comes after $a w$, ow, $t, t h, z$, perhaps also after $l l$, and although chiefly subservient to a phonetic
lightening, may rest upon the French ier, which indeed frequently appears in English as er with a suppressed $i$; compare lawyer, sawyer (otherwise sawer), bowyer; courtier (court), clothier (cloth), hosier (hose), brazier (brass), glazier (glass), collier (coal).

The apparently inserted $i$ before $a$ and $o$ in parliament (parlement), amerciament alongside of amercement; savior, saviour is to be ascribed to Old-French forms like parlieres, parlior; mercier, merciable; saveor, saveeur.

The striking $i$ in the compounds handiwork, handicraft, also spelt with a $y$ : handystroke, handyblow, comes as little from the adjective handy (Anglosaxon gehende $=$ promptus, Old-English hende, hendy) as the $i$ is a euphonic connecting vowel. Instead of the Anglosaxon forms handveore, handcräft, handgeveorc, like handgevrit, and the like, have become the standard therefor (compare the Anglosaxon gecräft along with cräft $=$ facultas, ars), which has been mistaken in modern times, when words of this sort are regarded as compounds of handy.
Insertions of $e$, as in rosemary (rosmarinus) rest on a confusion of roots.

The $o$ before a mute $w$ in Modern-English also deserves mention, and which may be regarded as inserted. The combination of ow has been cited above among the English vowels; w was properly in words of Anglosaxon origin in Old-English a consonant, taking the place of the Anglosaxon $v(u), g$ and $h$, themselves frequently interchanging among each other. In Anglosaxon they were either preceded by a vowel, to be justified etymologically (compare vealovjan, valvjan, Gothic valugjan, Old-Highdutch walagon, English wallow), and this was partly wanting. Old-English primarily, where it dit not substitute $g h$ for the consonants ( $g, h$ ) (as in borgh $=$ borga, fidejussor), made $w$ with an $e$ after it enter as the substitute of that consonant. Hence the forms falwe (adjective fealu, fealo $=$ fealav, verb fealvjan), narwe (nearu, nearo = nearv), sparwe (spearva, speara), pilwebere (Anglosaxon pyle, compare the Latin pulvinus, Hollandish peuluw and Lowdutch küssen-büre), morwe, morwening (morgen, morn, Old-Highdutch morgan), sorwe (sorg, sorh), herberwe (hereberge), arwe (earh and areve). They were soon represented also by the rejection of the $e$ and insertion of the $o$, which was occasioned by the $w$ : fallow, narrow, sparrow, pillow, morrow, sorrow, arrow; so that now a light Anglosaxon vowel preceding the original consonant even seems replaced by 0 : willow (vilig, velig), sallow (salig, sealh, seal, Old-Highdutch salaha) \&c.
c) In general the final sound of words in respect of their vocalization is found encumbered; the $e$ alone is frequently found as an inorganic addition. It has been already said (see p. 155), how the $e$, at present mute, especially after a consonant with a preceding single vowel, continues as a sign of the lengthening of the syllable, but also partly where no lengthening takes place. We deem this inorganic $e$ occasioned by the habit of
making an organic vowel, for which $e$ is substituted, sound after long as well as short syllables. There is no doubt that the now mute $e$ was still audible in the fourteenth century, and perhaps no more suppressed in pronunciation, than the final $e$ now is in many words in Modern-Highdutch. It often has the full measure in verse in Chaucer. Compare Chaucer ed. Th. Wrigir: Whan that Aprille with his schowres swoote (Prol. 1); A cook thei had de with them for the nones (ib. 381); Ther was non such from Hulle to Cartage (ib. 406); They seyde that it were a charité (The knightes Tale 1435); The gayler sleep, he mighte nought awake, (IB. 1476) and so forth, and in the frequent endings of a verse with $e$ we may perhaps see jingling or trochaic rhymes, as in:

For certeynly I drede such sentence
Though thay not pleynly speke in my audience.
(The Clerkes Tale 8512.)
I have not had no part of children twayne, But first syknes, and after wo and payne.
For that jingling rhymes are not foreign to Chaucer is shown by passages like:

His palfray was as broun as eny berye
A Frere ther was, a wantoun and a merye. (Pror. 207).
Nought oonly he, but al his contré, merye
Was for this child, and God thay thank and herie.
(The Clerkes Tale 8491.)
As we must also necessarily recognize these rhyme endings in verses like the following:

What thing is it that wommen most desiren:
Be war and keep thy nek-bon fro the iren.
(The Wyf of Bathes Tale 6487.)
Some sayden owre herte is most i-eased Whan we ben y-flaterid and y-preised.
(1B. 6511.)
An inorganic $e$ is frequently found in Old-English, where they have been long abandoned, as in the verbal forms in eth: makethe, rennethe, sterethe, turnethe, holdethe, gothe, dothe \&c., and in the suffix ing: zevinge, forzetinge, comynge \&c.; the suffix ness: rechelessnesse, perfitnesse \&c.; after long and short syllables of all parts of speech in words originally Anglosaxon and Romance, as merke $=$ darkness (Anglosaxon myrc), nede (neád), yere (geár), derke (dearc), glasse (gläs), flesshe (flæsc), bridde (bridd), sike (sióc), sixe (six, seox), everyche (from ælc), selde (seld), offe (of) \&c.; awtere (Old-French alter, alteir), raunsone (raançon), resowne (reson), metalle (metal), generale (general), secunde (secunt, secont) \&c.; whereas some, now abandoned, rest upon Old-French forms, like defaute, now default.

Numerous mute $e$ of this sort still appear in the sixteenth century. They are essentially reduced since the middle of the sixteenth century, but many are still at present preserved, although the mute $e$ has now become essentially an orthoepic, conventional mark, whose employment has in general no definite purpose. But the preservation of the inorganic $e$ after an originally short, now also short syllable, is striking, as in the preterite of strong verbs, as băde (Anglosaxon bäd), săte alongside of sat (sät), ăte alongside of ĕat (ät); and after syllables now shortened, as one (ân), none; after diphthongs, as in mouse (mûs), louse (lûs), house (hûs); and long vowels, which may pass as such by themselves, as in goose, geese (gôs, gês) and the like; or after double consonants, for instance worse (virs, vyrs), compare corpse and corse (Old-French cors, corps).

## 2) Adding on of Consonants.

a) To the initial sound of the word, and that mostly the vowel, an insignificant consonant is often prefixed. The first place is here taken by the Nasal $n$, which in substantives is always falsely derived from the originally preceding article an: newt is developed out of eft (Anglosaxon efete), which in Old-English sounds evet and alongside therewith ewt (Maunder.), in NorthEnglish dialects still effet; nall, nawl stand beside awl (Anglosaxon avul, æl, âl), nias is the same word as eyas; in OldEnglish and dialectically neme is like eme (Anglosaxon eám, uncle); in Old-English also nedder, neddre stands alongside of edder; that is adder. The prefixing of an $n$ in proper names beginning with a vowel is very familiar to the Englishman: Nib (Isabella, shortened Isbe, Ib), Ned (Edward), Naquilina, Acky, Nacky, queen Nacky! (OTway); Nanny, Nancy (Anna), Nab (Abigail), Nobs (Obadiah), Nump (Humphrey, Old-English Humfred). Moreover that $n$ also has proceeded from the definite article is without doubt; thus the name Noke, Nokes (from atten oak see p. 173) in Skelton I. 344 even Jacke at Noke; hence the form nale for ale (Skelton I. 45. at nale), compare atte nale (Piers Ploughman p. 124), where we must still write atten ale, as in Morte Arthure MS. Lincoln f. 88. instead of: the yolke of a naye (that is egg) is to be written: of an aye. See Halliwell s. v. naye.

Among the lipletters, an insignificant and now silent $w$ often precedes $h$ : whole (Anglosaxon hâl), Old-English hole, whore (Anglosaxon hôre, Gothic hôrjô), Old-English hore, hoore; whoop (Old-Highdutch wituhopha, French huppe) and whoop alongside of hoop as a substantive and verb = shout (compare French houper, expressions of the chase). The older language had more cases of this sort, as wham, whome (home), whasschen (wash), whot (hot) \&c., which are still partially preserved by the dialects; thus we even find whone alongside of wone, instead of one (ân).

For rap (to steal, compare Swedish rappa, Old-norse hrapa
$=$ ruere) is sometimes found written wrap, perhaps only through a confusion of the verbs of the same sound. Compare moreover the Anglosaxon vrynge and ringe, a spider; vreótan and reótan, plorare.

Among the toothsounds $s$ is found prefixed to Anglosaxon roots beginning with a consonant, which is familiar to Germanic roots generally, and therefore to the Anglosaxon. In Anglosaxon we find for instance meltan and smeltan=liquefacere, as in English melt and smelt, creak, screak and shriek (Old-norse shrækia, quiritare) \&c. alongside of each other. Thus English has now sneeze instead of the older neese (Anglosaxon niesan according to Somner; compare Old-English nausna, olfacere), alongside of crawl (Lowdutch krabbeln, krawweln) also scrawl in the same sense; instead of the Old-English cracchen the Modern-English has scratch; alongside of quash stand squash and squeeze (Anglosaxon only cvisan or cvissan, compare the Lowdutch quêse $=$ a bruise, Swedish quäsa, to bruise.
$s$ in she is also to be regarded as a strengthening of the initial sound instead of the Anglosaxon beó, although even the Oldsaxon offers siu. In the Anglosaxon a guttural $h$ entered in he, heó, hit before the vowel of the pronoun (Gothic ïs, si, itta); the Old-English offers for the nominative of the feminine heo, ho and hoe (Dame Siriz), therewith also sometimes scho, sche (Rob. of Brunne and Ritson's Romances), like the Scotch (Dav. Lindsay), so that in she the combination of the Gothic $s$ with the Anglosaxon $h$, ch, lies, as it were, before us.

Among the gutturals we find $h$ and $y$ prefixed to initial vowels. In Anglosaxon words, however, $h$ is hardly to be met with, as in gold-hammer, yellow-hammer (Anglosaxon amora). In Old-English this was more frequent, for instance in hus (us) (Towneley Myster.), habide (abide) (Lydgate), habot (abbot) (id.) heddir, heddre (adder) (Reliq. Antiq. II. 273) and others. In Romance words this was very common in Old-English, according to the Old-French example. In Mo-dern-English heben (ebony) still stands in Spenser, hebenon in Shakspeare; hermit has remained along with eremite as in French; but habundant, haboundance, Helise (Elysium), Hester (Esther) \&c. have long been abandoned.

Here also belongs the adding of $h$ to $w$ at the beginning of whelm (Anglosaxon velman = aestuare, forvelman =obruere), and perhaps also in whurt, whortleberry (Anglosaxon vyrt $=$ herba, but compare the Anglosaxon heorotberige). Even in Anglosaxon hvistlan, hvet, hvall stand alongside of vistlan, vet, väl. Rh stands instead of $r$ in Rhine (Rîn, but the Latin Rhenus), hryme alongside of rime (Anglosaxon rîm, rîma).

An initial $y$ is sometimes developed in words which in Anglosaxon began with eá, eó, ea, eo; yean, yeanling (eánjan, eácnjan = parturire) along with ean, eanling; yew (eóv) = taxus, Old-English also ew; York (Eoforvîc), Old-English

Euerwik (Rob. of Gloucester); you, your (eóv, eóver, Gothic izvis, izvara, compare ye, Anglosaxon gë, Gothic jus), Halfsaxon guw, gure, in Old-English also yeme (eám, uncle), yede, yode $=$ went, Latin ivi (eode). Also before other vowels $y$ appears at the beginning; yarly instead of early (ærlîc) stands in Palsgrave Acolastus 1540; yeld instead of elde in Skelton; down to the seventeenth century yere instead of heir (Halliwell s. v.). In Old-English stand the symbols $y$ and $z$ in Yende (India), 3 er (ere, Anglosaxon ær), 3ese (ease), zyndynge (ending), Halliwell Hist. of Freemas) and others. Dialects often prefix the vowel $y$ : yaits (oats), Cumberland; yan (one), yak (oak) North. and others.
b) The insertion of consonants is not rare.

Of the nasal and liquid letters $n, l$ and $r$ are here to be considered. $N$ is found before an initial guttural and dental $g$ of the following syllable: nightingale (Anglosaxon nihtegale), Leffrington (from the propername Leofrîic); messenger (OldFrench messagier), Old-English still messager; passenger (passagier), porringer = porridge-post (from the Latin porrum, Anglosaxon porr, Old-English porret, in which the form porrage alongside of porridge is to be placed at the foundation); murenger, wall-overseer (belongs to murage), Arminger, proper name (from the Latin armiger), popinjay, formerly popingay (Skelton I. 409.) Old-French papegai. $N$ stauds before a dental $c$ and $s$ in the compound enhance, formerly also haunce (Old-French enhalcer, enhaucer), as in Old-English in ensample (Old-French essample); or before a dental ch: en cheason in Spenser (Old-French acheson, ochoison), chinche (chiche). Also before $d$ it is inserted in flindermouse, alongside of flittermouse and flickermouse (Old-norse flædarmûs, flagurmûs), as in Anglosaxon in Sarmende (Latin Sarmatae). The Old-English giterne, Modern-English gittern, (guitar) rests upon the Old-French guiterre, guiterne. $N$, in Ordinal numbers, as seventh (sëofôđa), ninth (nigôđa), tenth (teô才a) and so forth, cannot be regarded as an insertion, although in Rob. of Gloucester we still read seuethe, nithe, tethe \&c., since in the later formation the cardinal numbers were reverted to. The insertion of an $n$ between vowels, as in mendinaunt (compare the Modern-English mendicant), belongs to Old-English.

The $l$ appears as an insertion after Lip-, Tooth- and Throatsounds before a mute $e$, wherein we rather see an unconscious transition into a syllable of formation, than a phonetic necessity. This addition is old: manciple (Old-French mancipe, Latin mancipium) even in Chaucer; participle, principle, syllable, myrtle (French myrte), periwinkle (French pervenche, Latin pervinca), Old-English pervinke. The unwarranted insertion of $l$ in could (Anglosaxon cû才e) belongs to the later period of the language, which assimilated could to the forms
would, should; the moderns have in vain commenced to uproot the $l$.

An inserted $r$ leans upon initial consonants as a joint initial sound; thus, in the combination $t r, d r$ : cartridge (French cartouche), compare partridge (French perdrix, Latin perdix); chawdron, chaudron formerly also chaldrou, chaundron, chaw therne $=$ entrails (Lowdutch kaldûnen, Lübeck Chronicle: koldune, Highdutch Kaldaunen); Old-Engl. often: arsmetrike (arithmetic) \&c.; also gr: groom (Anglosaxon guma), bridegroom (brŷdguma), vagrant (Old-French vagans, vagant); of $p r, b r$ there are hardly any instances in Modern-English: culprit, unclear in its termination, seems to come from the Latin culpa; Old-English is astrelabre (astrolabe). At the end of a syllable $r$ is seen before other consonants; before $s$ : hoarse (Anglosaxon hâs, Old-Highdutch heis, heisc), Old-English and Old-Scotch hais; harslet alongside of haslet $=$ a pig's chitterlings (Old-French hastellet = échinée de porc frais). In trousers or trowsers (Old-French trosse, from torser, trosser) the $r$ has perhaps arisen through an unconscious change of the ending of a substantive in er. $r$ has been inserted before th in swarth alongside of swath (Anglosaxon svađu); before $p$ in corporal alongside of caporal (French caporal, from cap $=$ chef); in marchpane (French massepain), on the other hand, a primitive $r$ has been preserved, (compare the Italian marzapane $=$ Marci panis?)

Of the lipsounds $p$ and $b$ are frequently inserted; $p$ commonly between $m$ after a short vowel and a following $n, t$ or $s$; before $n$ in the Old-English benempnen, in Spenser: benempt (Anglosaxon benemman), solempne (solemn), compnen (summon), sompnour (somner, Old-French semoneur), sompnolenze (somnolence); and after the Old-French pattern: dampne, damp.nation; before $t$ often even in Modern-English: empty (Anglosaxon emetig, emtig), Old-English still amty (Rob. of Glovcester), Northampton (Norđhamtân), Bampton (Beámdûn), tempt (Old-French tenter, but also tempteir, Latin tentare), sumpter (Old-French somier, sumer); as well as before s: glimpse (from the Anglosaxon gleám), Old-English glimsing (Chaucer); compare dimpse (from dim) = twilight in Somerset; sempster alongside of semster, seamster Anglosaxon, seámestre), Dempster, a propername, of the same meaning as deemster=ajudge; Sampson (French Samson); also stands alongside of tempse, temse, a sieve (Old-French tamis, Lowdutch täms, Anglosaxon temes = cribrum; whether of the same meaning as Temese, Temes, Thames, Cymric tâm isc $=$ tractus aquae?). $\quad P$ is rarely inserted before a vowel: whimper, Scotch quhimper (Highdutch wimmern, Lowdutch wëmern).

Between $m$ after a short vowel, and a following vowel $b$, on the other hand is often put; this even in Anglosaxon, compare the Anglosaxon scolimbos, Greek and Latin scolymos. English instances are: embers (Anglosaxon $æ$ myrje $=$ cinis), slum-
ber (slumerjan); thus we still find in Modern-English stamber (Armins Nest of Ninnies 1608) for stammer (from the Anglosaxon stamor $=$ balbus), in the fifteenth century swimbing (Halliwell s. v.) for s wimming (Anglosaxon svimman). But the insertion of $b$ before an $l$ is very common: nimble (Anglosaxon nêmol, numol = capax, from the verb niman, compare the Old-norse næmr = capax, docilis), shambles (scamol), famble, to stammer and fumble (Lowdutch vimmeln, vammeln, vummeln, Danish famla = to grope), mumble, Old-English mamelen (Piers Ploughman) (Lowdutch mummelen, Hollandish mommelen), crumble (from the Anglosaxon crûman, Highdutch krümeln), tumble (Danish tumle, Lowdutch tummeln, but Anglosaxon tumbjan), stumble (North-English stummer), grumble (from the Anglosaxon grimman, Lowdutch grummen, to sound deep, thunder, in the March of Brandenburg: grummeln, French grommeler), chamblet, camblet alongside of camlet, camelot \&c.
Toothsounds are inserted; especially $t$ and $d$ after an other consonant before $n$ and particularly $r$ (also en, er with the glib e) although $t$ at present is silent before $n$. $T$ is wont to come in after s: glisten (Anglosaxon glisnjan), tapistry (French tapisserie; even in Old-English tapise in Rob. of Brunne, OldScotch tapesse as a verb); whereas $d$ is inserted, especially after $n$ and $l$ : thunder (Anglosaxon punor, yet is already cited alongside of punderslege, punorslege); gender (Old-French genre, Latin gener-is, with which compare to gender, engender, Old-French engendrer, alongside of engenrer); kindred, OldEnglish kunrede, kynrede, kinrede (from the Anglosaxon cynn $=$ progenies, not from cynd = natura); elder (Anglosaxon ellen, ellarn), alder (Anglosaxon alor, alr), Old-Scotch aller; alderliefest (Shakspeare) and thence even a comparative alderleefer (Cobler of Canterburie 1608), aldertruest (Green), as in Old-English aldermest, alderlast, alderlest ( $=$ least), alderlowest, alderbest, alderfirst, alderformest, alderwisist, alderwerst \&c. that is Anglosaxon ealra $=$ omnium with the superlative, Old-English and Old-Scotch also aller. Compare also Anglosaxon baldsam alongside of balsam. Other insertions of $t$ and $d$ are: fitz (Old-French fils, fix), jaundice (French jaunisse), with which we may in some measure compare the Anglosaxon yntse, yndse, for the Latin uncia.

An $s$ inserted before $l$ is probably to be ascribed to a mixture with the French form in island (Anglosaxon ealand and igland, êgland, compare the Old-French isle, Old-English yle) and also in Carlisle (Celtic Caerluel, Caerleol, Latin Luguballium), as the Old-French prevails also in aisle ( $=$ French aile). In Modern-French many $s$ of this sort have been again rejected before $l$ and other consonants, others have remained and as in English, have become silent. Old-English possessed this $s$ also in other words, like ydolaster, idolastre, now idolater.

Throat-sounds are likewise among inserted letters, although mostly long since silent. Here belongs $g$ before $n$, perhaps mostly to be ascribed to a false analogy: feign (Old-French feindre, faindre), Old-English feynen, fainen, hence in ModernEnglish not brought back with a regard to feignois; feignant; eigne, law expression (ainsnes, ainsnez, Modern-French aîné); foreign, foreigner (Old-French forain), Old-English forein; sovereign (Old-French sovrain, soverain), Old-English soveraine, sovereyne, also Anglicized soferand (Towneley Myster.); coigne $=$ corner, alongside of coin, quoin (Old-French coin, although also coignée, an axe is derived from it). More striking is the sounding of the $g$ in: impregnable (imprenable), perhaps preserved from old conjunctive forms of the verb prendre, like preigne, pregnies; also in shingle, even in Old-English shyngle, schingle, whence a verb shynglen, to make out of shingles or planks, which points to the Old-Highdutch scindala, scintila, Latin scandula, which has passed through the OldFrench escande, escandole. An unjustified gh has thrust itself in spright (Old-French esperit), perhaps in recollection of OldFrench forms quicter, promectre and the like. In Old-English it was more frequent, as in spight (spite $=$ despit), where it might return with a regard to the Latin form $c$, as still in delight (Old-French deleit, delit), Old-English delit, but also in feght, (=faith, Old-French foit) and others. More frequent in Old-English was the insertion of an $h$ before vowels, whether preceded by a vowel or consonant: proheme (proemium), mirrhour, still in Spenser, abhominable, still derided in Shakspeare L. L. 1. 1. as the usage of his time, and others. This aspiration has totally ceased, as well as at the beginning of a word.
c) At the end of the word scarcely any other insignificant sound than a lip or tooth letter enters, rarely the nasal $n$.

The $n$ is an addition in bittern (French butor), Old-English bitore; likewise in marten, also martern (Anglosaxon meard, French marte, martre, Scotch martrick, Lowdutch mârte, mâter, mâterken); the Old-English had complin (Old-French complie), now compline.

Even Anglosaxon favoured the lipsound $b$ after $m$, where the Old-Highdutch had $p$, compare lamb; Old-Highdutch lamp; camb, Old-Highduth champ \&c. English annexed it to a final $m$, where it was lacking in Anglosaxon: limb (lim), Old-English lyme; crumb and crum (crume), thumb (puma), numb and benumb, compare num = dull, stupid (Tracedy of Hoffmann 1631 ; perhaps belonging to niman? compare benimau $=$ stupefacere).

Among toothsounds $t$ readily annexes itself to a final consonant, as to $n$, partly perhaps from a confusion of the suffix with one better known: parchment (Old-French parcamin, parchemin), Old-English parchemyn (Piers Ploughman p. 285), ancient (Old-French ancien, anchien), Old-English auncyen (Maunder.), cormorant (French cormoran, Cymric môr-fran,
searaven, with corb prefixed, see Diez s.v.); pheasant (Old-French phaisan), Old-English fesaunt; pennant along with pennon (Old-French pennon, penon); margent (margin) (Shakspeare and Longrellow); such forms were sound even in Old-French alongside of those in an, for instance peasant (Old-French paisant), tyrant, Old-English also tyrande, tyrandie (OldFrench tiran, tirant), tiran (Spenser); Old-English romant, romaunt (Old-French roman, romant). Compare Old-English orizont, Modern-English horizon, and others.

Thus also has anont arisen (Anglosaxon on efn, on emn $=e$ regione), anen (Maundeville).

As readily does $t$ join itself to a final $s$, as in the substantives behest (Anglosaxon behæs), bequest (Anglosaxon cviss = sermo, gecriss = conspiratio; the substantive cwith in Verstegan is the Anglosaxon cride $=$ sermo). For interest as a verb Shakspeare has interess; as a substantive Spenser still interesse; as substantive, Shakspeare interest, perhaps through the influence of the French. The joining of $t$ on to particles, which have proceeded from the proper genitive termination es, is familiar to the later tongue: against (Anglosaxon tôgegnes, tôgênes), Old-English againes, ageins, agens and others; amongst (Anglosaxon âmang), Old-Engl. amonges, emongs, even in the sixteenth century; midst, amidst (Anglosaxon tô middes), Old-English yn pe middes, amiddes; alongst (to the Anglosaxon lang, long; compare the MiddleHighdutch langes), whilst (Anglosaxon hvîl, tempus), OldEnglish whiles; besides, even the forms with $t$ are already old. We even find anenst, Modern-English anent; onste (Chester Plays II. 100), Modern-English once, dialectically even now wunst, wonst. Here also belongs the popular Nest in the abbreviated name Agnes. The forms betwixt, 'twixt (Anglosaxon betvihs), Old-English betwix, atwixen, has even in Anglosaxon the collateral form in $x=h s$ and $x t$ : betvux, betvuxt.

In tuft (French touffe) a derivational termination lies at the bottom of the $t$; compare the Picard touffette. A $t$ is also added in thwart, athwart, to the Anglosaxon pveorh, pveorg; compare the Highdutch zwerch; this $t$ yields the Halfsaxon substantive form pwerrt = malum, and the Danish and Swedish adverb tvært.

An insignificant $d$ is especially joined to a final $n$ : hind $=$ servant (Anglosaxon hîna), Old-English hyne; fond (from the Old-norse fâna, fatue se gerere), Old-English fon, even in Spenser, alongside of fond; lend (Anglosaxon lænan), Old-English and $S$ cotch lenen $=$ to lend; round, alongside of the obsolete roun, still in Skelton, Spenser and Shakspeare: to whisper (Anglosaxon rûnjan); sound (Anglosaxon substantive sôn, OldFrench son, sun, verb soner, suner); Old-English substantive soun, verb sounen; astound, alongside of astonish (OldFrench estoner, mixed with the Anglosaxon stunjan, English stun), Old-English astonen, astonnen. The forms com-
pound, expound, propound have Old-English verbs expounen and expounden for patterns, but perhaps rest upon Old-French pondre, espondre \&c. The substantive riband, ribband, alongside of ribbon, belongs to quite modern times; the Old-English is riban (Piers Plovghm. p. 29), French ruban. The Old-English has Symond (Piers Ploughm. p. 240), shonden, Modern-English shun (Anglosaxon scûnjan) and the like; dialectically, as in Warwickshire, $d$ is readily added to words in own: gownd instead of gown, drownd instead of drown \&c. $D$ is added after $l$ in mould (Old-French moler, moller, Modern-French mouler); after $r$ in afford (Old-French afeurrer $=$ to tax, from the Latin forum, Medieval-Latin aforare, to act according to the laws, judge, Modern-French afforer, although the meaning do not agree), Old-English affore; compare with greene fervence t'affore yong corages (Lydgate Minor Poems p. 244).

An $s$ or $e s$ is often found at the end of words, where it appears idle; it is however originally every where to be taken to be a suffix or inflectional form. It is often to be regarded as an adverbial-termination, as hereabouts, midships; sometimes it appears then turned into ce: once, Old-English enes, since, Old-English sithens, contracted since, and others.

But $s$ often appears in the names of places, especially French ones, by a false analogy. French names of towns namely have often received $s$ through the transfer of the name of a people to its place of abode, and even here a false analogy was the occasion of the joining on of an unjustified s. In English we find Lyons, Saint Germains, Saint Maloes and the like.

More difficult is the explanation of the $s$ at the end of propernames of persons. Here we must often oscillate between a genitive and a plural s. Namely, if in the names John Reynolds, James Phillips (compare Lower p. 120) the image of a genitive is near at hand, it is striking, when in Fiddes's life of Cardinal Wolsey, the bishop of London, Edmund Bonner, is called Dr. Edmunds, and the bishop of Winchester, Stephan Gardiner, Dr. Stephens. This reminds us that no one thought any longer of $s$ as a suffix. That this $s$ originally frequently denoted the plural, is proved by terms like Shanks, Longshanks, Crookshanks, perhaps also Bones \&c. Names like Leeves, Flowers, Grapes, Pease, Shales, Crosskeys, Irons, Briggs, Bridges, Barnes (barn), Sands, Bankes (bank), Woods, Hedges dc. also look like plurals. The names Brothers, Boys, Cousins (and even Children occurs) are perhaps likewise plurals. Common people, like Noakes and Styles, seem to have an especial predilection for the plural $s$.

The reduplication of consonants in the middle and at the end of the words, unwarranted by the fundamental form of the words, needs a special discussion. In the domain of the English tongue the proneness, partly dependent on physiological conditions, to double the consonant after the originally short or the shortened vowel, had early made itself felt; and that most
naturally in the middle of a word and after the accented syllable, where the consonant stood between vowels, less naturally at the end of the word, as well as in the middle and at the end in an unaccented syllable. The Anglosaxon offered reduplications of consonants in the middle, less at the end of a word, after a short syllable.

Orm, the author of the so called Ormulum, who wrote this, his metrical harmony of the gospels, as it seems, towards the end of the twelfth century in Halfsaxon language, and after every short vowel doubled the consonant with principial obstinacy, even where another consonant; either final or beginning the new syllable, followed, has not been able to force this process upon his successors; but his attempt to carry out the reduplication of consonants in his manner proves that, to the pronunciation of his contemporaries, a sharpening of vowels, even in an unaccented syllable, was not unknown, which rendered possible a representation of the manner. He writes icc, patt, piss, off, iss, magg, wipp; swillc, rihht; ennglish, nemmned; tæchepp, wordess and so on. Old-English, although mostly restricting the reduplication to the accented syllable, frequently fluctuates in the reduplication of consonants, partly at the end of words, partly in the unaccented syllable, and writes lytylle, tremylle, pepylle, devylle, pokett, alongside of forms with a single consonant (Maundev. and Towneley Myster.).
Reduplications are also found after a long vowel and a diphthong, as peasse (peace), greatt, greatte (great), outt, withoutten, fowlle, heylle, leyff and others (Townel. Myster.). The sixteenth century often spells mortall, generall, tragicall-, while the fourteenth frequently offers crewel, peril, spiritual. A universal principle does not prevail even at present; but it is remarked that the absence of reduplication of the consonant in the middle of a word after a short vowel of the accented syllable is met with less in Germanic than in Romance words more rarely in disyllables than in polysyllables, more freqnently in more modern than in more ancient words.

With regard to the various classes of reduplicated consonants it is to be remarked that:

1. The nasal and liquid consonants were not generally reduplicated in Anglosaxon at the end of a word, although reduplicated in the middle of a word. In Old-French their reduplication, like that of the remaining consonants, was only usual before a (mute) final $e$. In Modern-English the reduplication in the middle of a word, even with the consonants originally single, is very common; at the end of a word, only with $l$. We regard here only unjustified reduplications, and abstract from the rule by which, in syllabic inflection, and in derivation, the accented root-syllable doubles its final consonant.
In the middle of a word $m$ and $n$, but especially $l$ and $r$ are doubled: emmet (Anglosaxion âmête), limmer (Old-French
liemier, compare English lîmehound, from the Latin ligamen), mumny (French momie); manner (Old-French maniere), dinner (disner, dîner), kennel (chenil); yellow (Anglosaxon gëlu), swallow (svëlgan), follow (folgjan), gallop (OldFrench galoper), jolly (jolif), pullet (poulet, perhaps not with a reference to the Latin pullus); arrow (Anglosaxon areve, earh), marrow (mearh, mearg), quarrel (Old-French querele), garret (garite), carry (charier, although belonging to carrus), hurricane (Spanish huracan) \&c. At the end of a word $l$ is doubled in: mill (Anglosaxon mylen), till (tiljan = colere terram) and till alongside of untíl (Anglosaxon til, preposition and conjunction ad and donec), well (vëla, vël).
2. Lipletters appear on the whole seldom reduplicated in Anglosaxon; $b b$ appeared most frequently in the middle and at the end of a word, where it was commonly simplified, $p p$ was rare, If only in propernames and foreign words. In Old-French their reduplication hardly existed. In Modern-English neither $v v$ nor $w w$ is in use, yet $\|^{f}$ is found even in an unaccented final syllable developed out of a single $f$.

In the middle of a word only an unjustified $p$ and $b$ are found reduplicated, rarely $f$, since $f$ before a vowel was wont to pass over into $v$, but it is sometimes reduplicated before a vowel and before $l$, as also $b$ before this liquid: pepper (Anglosaxon pipor), copper (in Anglosaxon the adjective cyperen is found; on the other hand Old-Highdutch kuphar, Latin cuprum), puppy, puppet (French poupée, Latin pupa), supper (French souper), fripper, frippery (Old-French verb friper, substantive friperie \&c.); gibbet (Old-French gibet), ribbon (ruban), cribble (crible), pebble (Anglosaxon pabol); at the end of the stem $f$ mostly stands reduplicated: stiff (Anglosaxon stîf), cliff (Anglosaxon clif), staff (Anglosaxon stäf), gaffle (Anglosaxon gafol); in an unaccented syllable: sheriff (Anglosaxon gerêfa), bailiff (Old-French baillif), plaintiff (plaintif), caitiff (caitif).
3. The toothletters $t, d$ and $\delta$ also appear reduplicated in Anglosaxon, but commonly become single at the end. The sibilant $s$ also shared this quality. In Old-French hardly any other sound in the interior of the root (a part from the reduplication of $t$ appearing before a mute e) was considered except $s$. In Mo-dern-English, where even the primitive 牨 (compare the OldEnglish siththen) has been long abandoned, reduplications of single consonants often occur in the middle of a word, especially of the $t, d$ and $s$, as well as of the $z$, whereof the last two are also reduplicated when final. A reduplication of the sh, resting principally upon the Anglosaxon sc can hardly be conceded in Old-English, where certainly ssh (fresshe), ssch (whassched [Maundev.], assche [ib.]) occurs.
Reduplications in the middle of a word, where $l$ again stands as a twin consonant, are, for instance: tatter (Old-norse tetur $=$ lacera vestis, Anglosaxon tëter, tetr), shuttle (Anglosaxon -sceátel); mittens (French mitaine) even in Chaucer,

Old-Scotch mittanis; matter (Old-French matière, matere), mutton (Old-French molton, mouton), glutton (Old-French gloton, glouton, perhaps not on account of the Latin gluto, glutto); addice (Anglosaxon adese), waddle (Anglosaxon vädljan $=$ vagari, from vadan $=$ vadere $),$ saddle (Anglosaxon sadul, sadl), sudden (Old-French sodain, sudain); scissors (OldFrench cisoire), lesson (leçon); frizzle (Old-French friser); at the end of a word $s$ is frequently, $z$ rarels reduplicated: brass (bräs), glass (gläs), grass (gräs), frizz (Old-French friser); also in an unaccented syllable: harness (Old-French harnas, harnois), cutlas (Old-French coutelas, but coutelasse is also cited.
4. Throat-sounds were reduplicated in Anglosaxon, like cc, cg for $g g$ and $h h$; in Old-French single roots hardly offer guttural reduplication. Old-English had the reduplications $c c h=c c$ and gg (cacchen, grucchen, dregges, buggen, abreggen, juggen). Modern-English has in Germanic words developed the reduplication of $c$ as $c k$, in others as $c c$ or even $c q$ (but only in composition, as in acquaintance=accointance), likewise gg out of single consonants; $h h$, which would be a reduplicated $g h$, does not occur, although Old-English offers forms like ynowzgh with an apparently triple $h$. But, since $c$ has partly become dental, like $g$, reduplications of these dentals are represented in Modern-English by tch and dg(e), which only rarely have arisen out of single consonants, and mostly in Romance words. $c k$, tch and $d g(e)$ are to be met with equally in the middle and at the end of words; $c c$ only in the middle, $g g$ hardly ever at the end. The gutturals under these reduplications also appear regularly before $l$.
Guttural reduplications, which have arisen from single consonants in the middle and at the end, are, for instance, the following: ck: chicken (cycen, cicen), reckon (Anglosaxon recnan, recnjan), fickle (ficol), knuckle (cnucle), brick (brice, French brique), suck (sûcan, sûgan); cc: succory, chiccory (French chicorée): $g g$ : waggon and wagon (Anglosaxon vägen), haggard (Old-French hagard), juggle (OldFrench jugler), egg (Anglosaxon äg).
Reduplications of the guttural, which has become dental, in the middle and at the end of a word; tch: kitchen (Anglosaxon cycene), butcher (Old-French boucher), dutchess, alongside of duchess, pitch (Anglosaxon pic, Gothic peik), watch (Anglosaxon vacjan, vacigan); dispatch (Old-French depescher, compare impeach, Old-French empescher); $d g(e)$ : fadge (Anglosaxon fagjan), abridge (Old-French abrevier, abbregier), OldEnglish abreggen; lodge (Old-French loge, logier), Old-English logge. They are also to be met with in the unaccentuated final syllable, as in partridge, Old-English partrich \&c.

## Assimilation of Consonants.

The original word may undergo a change, in that one of two different consonants, mostly the final and the initial sound of two syllables, either originally standing beside each other, or else meeting together after a rejection of vowels, assimilates itself to the other, whence arises the reduplication either of the former or of the latter consonant. In general the second consonant beginning a new, even an unaccented syllable, prevails to which the preceding one is wont to join itself, although, the nasal consonant especially, rather draws the succeeding one over to itself. But English has brought over numerous assimilations from its constituent tongues.

1. The assimilation of a consonant with a nasal or liquid letter is perhaps the most frequent. Here belong:
$m m$ instead of $f m$ : lemman, now sometimes leman (lefmon Dame Siriz p. 11. levemon p. 12.), dearest, darling. Compare lammastide (Anglosaxon hlâfmesse and even hlâmmesse); instead of $d m$ : gammer (Anglosaxon godmôdor); instead of $m b$ : plummer alongside of plumber (French plombier), plummet \&c.; instead of nm: hammock (Hollandish hangmat, -mak), grammercy! (Colley Cibber) = grand' merci.
$n n$ instead of $n d$ : winnow (Anglosaxon vindvjan), dialectically windewe; Bennet (Benedict), bannerol alongside of bandrol (Old-French banderolle); trunnel alongside of trundle (Anglosaxon tryndel $=$ orbis); instead of $n w$ : gunnel alongside of gunwale.
$l l$ instead $l h:$ fullam, false die (from the name of a place Fulham); instead rl: ballast (Old-English barlest, Swedish barlast, Danish baglast).
$r r$ instead of $r n$ : garrison (Old-French garnison, guarnison, but also partly confounded with garison), Old-English garnison (Chaucer); instead of $d r$ : Derric, Derrick (Anglosaxon peódric, French Thierry); instead of thr: Surrey (Anglosaxon Sûđreá, compare Old-Highdutch sundarauwa), Old-English Soperei (Rob. of Gloucester); instead of $g r$ : stirrup (Anglosaxon stigerâp, stigrâp); instead of $n r$ : Harry alongside of Henry.
2. Among lipletters another consonant is especially assimilated to $b$ and $f$.
$b b$ instead of $p b$ : robbins, which means rope-bands; instead of $g b$; Hubbard (Old-Highdutch Hugibert, compare Anglosaxon hyge $=$ mens).
tf: gaffer (Anglosaxon godfäder); Suffolk (Anglosaxon Sûđfolc), Old-English Sopfole (Rob. of Gloucester).
3. A toothsound occasions the assimilation of another sound.
$t t$ instead of $c t$ : dittany (dictamnus); similarly in Old-English Atteon, Latin Actæon (Ciravcer), like the pronunciation of victuals; ditty (belonging to the Anglosaxon dihtan, Latin dictare), Old-English dite as a substantive.

In Old-English $b$ also assimilated itself to $t$ in dettour $=$ debtor (Chalcer).
$d d$ instead of $d w$ : in Old-English goddot = godwot (Havelor). ss instead of ths: Sussex (Anglosaxon Sûđseaxan), Old-English Soupsex; lissom is in like manner written for lithesome, compare bliss (Anglosaxon blî̃os, bliss); instead of $d s$ : gossip (Anglosaxon godsibb), Old-English godsib, compare gospel for godspell; instead of ts and st: mess, to feed \&c. (Anglosaxon metsjan $=$ cibare), compare bless (Anglosaxon blêtsjan and blêssjan); misseltoe alongside of mistletoe (Anglosaxon misteltâ), tressel alongside of trestle (Old-French trestel, Modern-French tréteau, according to Diez, Hollandish driestal).
$z z$ instead of rs: nuzzle in the meaning of to foster (OldEnglish noursle = to nurse up).
4. To a guttural another consonant is hardly ever assimilated.
$g g$ is put for $r g$ in guggle instead of gurgle; in Warwickshire it is used for gargle.

## Transposition of Sounds, or Metathesis.

The transposition of the sounds of a word, insignificant for the notion, is a general phenomenon, brought about by a physiological cause, the Elective Affinity of the sounds, and supported by the defective apprehension of the sounds as a whole. It affects various sounds, but liquid sounds are especially the cause of the transposition. This metathesis distinguishes words partly into various periods, partly into various dialects of the same tongue.

1. Two consonants immediately following each other may change places with each other. At the beginning of a word this, at least in the written tongue, is the case with the Anglosaxon hv, now appearing only as $w h$. In Old-English writings the instances of the position $h w$ are scanty; more early, on the contrary, we find wh almost everywhere, unless $h$ is thrown out, as in Rob. of Gloucestrr in wo (who), wer (where), wat (what) \&c. But $w h$ also stands, in a striking manner, for $q u$ (Anglosaxon $c v$ ), as in whik (quick), whake (quake), whaynt (quaint) (Townel. Myster.), and even now in Northern dialects, whence we might infer the originally sameness of pronunciation of $h w(w h)$ and $c v$ ( $q u$ ); especially since also, conversely, $q u$ often appears for $w h$, as in quetstone (whetstone) (ibid.), quete (wheat), quedur (whether)
(Halliwell s. vv.); whereas Scottish formerly substituted quh for wh: quhittle (whittle), quhow (how), quham (whom) \&c., as $q w h$ is likewise found: qwhicke (Warkworth's Chronicle p. 3.). As to the present pronunciation of $w h$ as $h w$ no cause can be assigned for the transposition. Compare white (Anglosaxon hvît), wheat (hvæte), whoop (hvôpan) \&c. At the middle and end of a word the inversion of $s p$ into $p s$ is very common in dialects; thus in Sussex they say wapse, hapse, clapse for wasp, hasp, clap \&c., in Kent eps for asp \&c., as Anglosaxon presented äpse, väps, häpse, vlips, cops \&c., alongside of äspe, väsp, häspe, vlisp, cosp \&c. In Chaucer crispe and cirps are found (Anglosaxon crisp and cirps); Mo-
dern-English ever prefers $s p$; compare grasp (Lowdutch grapsen, belonging to grîpen, Anglosaxon grîpan). Methatheses of another sort, as those of $g n$ and $n g$ in pëgen, pëgn, pëng, pên, English thane, minister (also familiar to Old-French) are found more rarely in Anglosaxon; or $n s$ and $s n$ in clænsjan and clæsnjan, English cleanse, which are not met with in English.
2. Consonants originally commencing two syllables seldom change places. This is the case in tickle (Anglosaxon citeljan) alongside of the obsolete kittle (Sherwood), which still survives in Northern dialects. Old-English certainly used tinclan, tolcettan in a like sense. Through the interchange of the second liquid consonant of the next syllable with the initial sound of the previous one the apparently compound form gilliflower, otherwise gillofer, has arisen. In Chaucer it sounds clouegilofre (that is French girofle = caryophyllum).
3. Two consonants, originally including a vowel often come together as an initial sound, when the last is a liquid consonant, which is easily attracted by another, so called mute. ModernEnglish offers this attraction of the $r$ in an accented syllable, not unknown either to Anglosaxon or Old-French, still more frequently then Old-English: bright (Anglosaxon beorht, but also bryht), obsolete bert; fright (fyrhta), wright (vyrhta), frith, Scotch firth; compare Dieffenbach's Dictionary I, p. 365. 405 ; fresh (Anglosaxon fërse, but Old-norse frîskr, Old-Highdutch frisc), cress (Anglosaxon cresse and cerse, compare vyllecerse), Old-English kerse, like the Danish karse; thrill (pyrheljan, pyrljan = perforare), Old-English therlen, later thirl; n ostril naspyrl), through (Anglosaxon purh, puruh), Old-English thurgh \&c.; brothel (Old-French bordel), Old-English and Old-Scotch bordel; fruggin, provincial =oven-fork (French fourgon, from the Latin furca), cruddle is used for curdle, frubbish, frub for furbish (Barret), scruf for scurf. The participle afraid is Old-English aferd, aferid (Anglosaxon afæran); the Old-French effreier, effroier and the Anglosaxon færan blend here. - Hither too we may refer the unaccented syllables, particularly those in which $l$, less so $r$, come alongside of another consonant and take $e$ after them, although here and there the joining on of $e$ after the rejection of a vowel between the mute and liquid letters appears as natural an assumption; compare idle (Anglosaxon îdel), Old-English idel; bridle (Anglosaxon brîdel), Old-English bridel; apple (Anglosaxon appel, äpl), maple (Anglosaxon mapeltré), fickle (Anglosaxon ficol), sickle (Anglosaxon sicol, sicel), Old-English sikel; kirtle (Anglosaxon cyrtel), Old-English kirtel; thistle (Anglosaxon pistel), Old-English pistill; cattle Old-French catel, chatel), Old-English catel); castle, Old-English castel; mantle alongside of mantel, even with a diversity of meaning. This especially takes place with regard to $l$, whereas with $r$ the reverse mostly takes place in Modern-English. Yet $r$ also is attracted: acre (Anglosaxon acer), augre alongside of auger and some others. Old-English, on the other hand, has aftre, thidre, whidre, watre, Alisandre, laddre, wun-
dre \&c. (Maundev.), where Modern-English reinstated the vowel into its original place.
4. Equally familiar to Modern-English is the separation of the initial liquid in such manner that the two consonants now include the vowel which originally followed them. In an accented syllable this metathesis again affects the $r$, as even in Anglosaxon; compare gräs and gärs, grin and girn \&c. Modern-English instances are: bird (Anglosaxon bridd, pullus), Old-English and Old-Scotch brid, bridde; third (Anglosaxon pridda), Old-English thridde; thirty (Anglosaxon pritig, prittig), Old-English thritty; dirt (Anglosaxon dritan = cacare, Old-norse drit = excrementum and drîta = cacare), Old-Scotch dryte = cacare; thresh (Anglosaxon perscan, but Old-Highdutch driscan); curl (Old-norse krulla, Middle-Highdutch krülle, a lock of hair); girn still stands sometimes alongside of grin; forst still occurs alongside of frost (Halliwell), like the Anglosaxon frost and forst, frostig and fyrstig; garner (Old-French grenier and also gernier, Latin granarium); garnet alongside of granate (Italian granato), furmenty alongside of frumenty (compare Old-French froment and forment), purpose (compare Old-French proposer and purposer), burnish (Old-French brunir and burnir) \&c. Even in an unaccented syllable $r$ frequently, but $l$ hardly ever, steps out of the combination with its consonant, so that a return is made to the primitive position of the vowel, which the older tongue, especially the French, had forsaken (compare above, 3), although we might here often think of the insertion of a vowel: sugar, Old-English sugre (Piers Ploughm. p. 292. Latin saccharum, Spanish, Portugese azucar, French sucre); letter (OldFrench letre, Latin littera), Old-English lettre; pattern (French patron), number (nombre), minister (ministre); without a primitive vowel before $r$ : proper (propre), member (membre), vinegar (vinaigre) and others. Even Anglosaxon has plaster, as well as Modern-English, overagainst plastre, plaistre. OldEnglish forms, like philosophre, Modern-English philosopher, jaspre, Modern-English jasper (jaspis) and the like, are also transpositions. l rarely occurs in this case: ousel, ouzel (Anglosaxon ôsle).
5. The transposition of vowel and consonant in an unaccented syllable, with which also the cases named under 3 and 4 might partly be reckoned, have perhaps often for their cause the attempt to render the spoken sound with greater certainty in writing. Hence the formerly occurring forms fier, hier, and the like, alongside of fire, hire; as also thence, thrice, once, else are not to be taken as transpositions of the older forms thennes, thries, ones, elles, whose $e$ became mute.
6. French used to admit an attraction of a short $\breve{\imath}$ or $\check{e}$ by a preceding vowel, when a consonant stood between them and the short vowel was followed by another, as in histoire (historia), poison (potion-em). English has in part abolished these matetheses and approximated itself to the Latin fundamental form, perhaps conformably with Old-French collateral forms; compare
history, story (Old-French histoire, estoire, but also estore), victory (victoire, but also victorie, victore), secretary (secretaire), chartulary (cartulaire, chartulaire) and many more. The words in ier (arius) belonging here, have also likewise approached the Latin form: primary (Old-French primier, primer), January (janvier) \&c. The more frequent transmutations of the liquids ill (il) and $g n$ (partly arising from $g n, n g$, partly from $n \check{\imath}$, nĕ before another vowel) are likewise to be considered as a trausposition of the French metathesis, in which English likewise had ancient French collateral forms as models: pavilion (OldFrench pavillon, paveillon, Latin papilion-em), bullion (French billon), minion (French mignon), companion (Old-French compaignon, companion), poniard (poignard) and the like. Carrion also belongs here (Old-French caroigne), Old-English caroyne, careyne.
7. Solitary uncommon metatheses are biovac alongside of bivouac; culverine (French couleuvrine), the Old-English cokodrill and cokedrill (Maundev.) (crocodilus), zurstendai (yesterday) (Dame Siriz p.4.). Must we also take parsley to be a metathesis? Compare the Old-English percile (Piers Ploughman).

## Assimilation of different words and double forms of the same word.

The constitution of the material of speech and the manner of its embodiment into the mixed tongue, English, the habit of rendering various sounds by one and the same, as well as, conversely, the facility of denoting the same sound by various English letters, explain the possibility both of seeing words originally different represented by one and the same English word, and also of finding the same original word differently represented. The latter found the more support in the constitution of such words as had already passed through another tongue and could be received both in their fundamental form and in their altered shape. This was especially done when occasion was found to couple notional differences on to them. In this even the mistaking of roots, which had been long possessed in their renewed form, was of service.

## A) Assimilation of different words.

We have already frequently had occasion to distinguish by their roots words of the same sound. But the number of words belonging to this class is in English very considerable, and demands a careful discrimination in detail, which in the first instance is incumbent upon Lexicography. We give here, out of the great multitude, by way of examples, a list of assimilated words, whose descent seems to result from their phonetic development.

## 1. Words beginning with a vowel sound.

Impair. 1) Verb: worsen, spoil, Old-French empeirer. 2) Adjective: uneven, unadapted, French impair.

## II. The Elements of the Word. - Assimilatoon of different words. 197

in is sometimes the prepositional particle in, sometimes the privative prefix $=$ un, before the same roots: informed. Adject. 1) instructed; 2) unformed; infusible, adject. 1) what can be poured in, 2) unmeltable.
Old-English ilk. Pron. 1) each, Anglosaxon ælc. 2) The same, idem, Anglosaxon ŷlc.
Eight. 1) Substantive: an island in a river, Anglosaxon iggad, insula? also spelt ait. 2) Numeral; Anglosaxon eahta.
ear. 1) Substantive: ear, Anglosaxon eáre. 2) Substantive: of grain, Anglosaxon äher, ähher, ear; verb: to shoot out into ears. 3) Verb: plow, Anglosaxon erjan.
earn. 1) Verb: gain, Anglosaxon earnjan. 2) Verb: collateral form from yearn, to long after \&c., Anglosaxon geornjan. 3) NorthEnglish, to curdle, Anglosaxon ge-rinnan, ge-irnan = coagulari.
embers. 1) Substantive: ashes, Anglosaxon æmyrje. 2) ember days, embering days, probably from the same root.
emboss. 1) Verb: to swell, technical; Old-French bosse, compare bosseler. 2) Verb: to thrust in (the spear) hide (Spenser), from the Old-French buisser = heurter, figuratively, as a term of the chase: to worry to death (Spenser and Shakspeare). 3) To lie in ambush, Old-French embuissier, Italian imboscare; otherwise imbosk.
elder. 1) Adjective and Subst.: older, Anglosaxon yldra. 2) Substantive: a sort of tree, Anglosaxon ellen, ellarn.
even. 1) Substantive: (eve), Anglosaxon æfen. 2) Adjective and Adverb; Anglosaxon ëfen, Adverb ëfne, verb ëfenjan.
eft. 1) Substantive, Anglosaxon efete. 2) Adverb: = after, Anglosaxon eft, äft.
edder. 1) Substantive, dialectically: adder, Lowdutch adder, Anglosaxon näddre. 2) Wood for plashing, verb: to plash hedges, Anglosaxon eodor, edor $=$ sepes, Modern-Highdutch eder, etter.
egg. 1) Substantive, Anglosaxon äg. 2) Verb: to incite, also edge, Anglosaxon egjan $=$ excitare.
exile. 1) Adjective: thin, Latin exilis. 2) Substaṇtive: banishment, verb: to banish, Latin exsilium, exsilire.
Arm. 1) Substantive, Anglosaxon earm. 2) Plural, verb: to give weapons, French armes, armer.
agate. 1) Adverb: on the road, Old-norse gata = semita. 2) Substantive, Old-norse agat.
Ounce. 1) Substantive, Anglosaxon yndse, Latin uncia. 2) Lynx, Old-French, once.
2. Words beginning with consonants.

## a) With nasal and liquid consonants.

Mint. 1) Substantive: a plant, Anglosaxon minte, Latin mentha. 2) Coining place, verb; Anglosaxon mynet, mynetjan.
mew. 1) Substantive, Anglosaxon mæv. 2) Substantive: a cage, verb: to pen in, Old-French mue, muer, (mutare). 3) Verb, compare mewl, French miauler.
mean. 1) Adjective; Anglosaxon mæne $=$ communis. 2) Middling,

Substantive: means, Old-French moien, meien. 3) Verb, Anglosaxon mænan, Old-Highdutch meinjan.
meal. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon mëlu. 2) Anglosaxon mæl = pastus. mere. 1) Adjective, Anglosaxon mære, Latin merus. 2) Substantive, $=$ lacus, Anglosaxon mere, märe = mare, palus, lacus. 3) Bound, Anglosaxon mære $=$ finis, limes, Old-norse $m æ r i=$ terminus.
mangle. 1) Verb: from the Latin mancus, Medieval-Latin mancare. 2) Substantive, Old-French mangounel, Old-English mangonel (a sling), Medieval-Latin manganellus, from the Greek uic $\gamma \gamma$ ( $(\nu) \gamma_{0}$, Old-Highdutch mango, whence the verb of like sound: to roll.
male. Adjective and Substantive; Old-French mascle, masle, malle. 2) Adverb prefix, French mal, Latin male.
marry. 1) Verb; Old-French marier. 2) Interjection, from Mary $=$ Maria.
march. 1) Substantive, verb; French marche, marcher. 2) Substantive: marches, Old-French marche, marce (perhaps the same word as No. 1). 3) A month, Old-French Mars, March.
mate. 1) Substantive; Hollandish maet, whence the verb of even sound. 2) Verb: to make dead, Old-French mater, matir from mat, Medieval-Latin mattus, dead.
maich. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon maca, Old-norse maki = consors, whence the verb of even sound. 2) French mèche.
mass. 1) Substantive; Old-French masse. 2) Anglosaxon mässe, mësse.
mast. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon mäst Fem. = esca. 2) Anglosaxon mäst, Masculin.
mace. 1) Substantive; Old-French mace, mache. 2) French and Latin macis.
make. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon macjan. 2) Substantive; Anglosaxon maca $=$ match.
main. 1) Substantive: in compounds (mainland, main-sea), Anglosaxon mägen $=$ vis, robur. 2) In compounds like mainprise, maintain, Old-French main.
may. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon mäg. 2) Substantive: a month, French mai.
mole. 1) Substantive; Hollandish mol, molworp, Old-norse moldvarpa, compare English moldwarp. 2) A mark, Anglosaxon mâl. 3) A damm, French mole, Latin moles.
mother. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon môdor. 2) Lees, Danish mudder, compare the Highdutch moder.
moss. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon meós, Latin muscus. 2) A bog, Middle-Highdutch mosz, Old-Highdutch mes, Danish mose.
moor. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon môr = palus, inculta terra. 2) French Maure. 3) Verb: to cast anchor, compare French amarrer, Anglosaxon meoring - obstaculum and âmerran = imperiire.
mood. 1) Substantive; French mode, Latin modus. 2) Anglosaxon môd $=$ mens, animus.
mould, mold. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon mulde = pulvis, terra. 2) French moule, Latin modulus. 3) Perhaps belongs to No. 1, compare multrig, Lowdutch mulstrig.
mow. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon mûga, mûva = acervus, whence the verb. 2) Subst., French moue. 3) Verb, Anglosaxon mávan.
Nick. 1) Substantive: Old-norse nikr, Anglosaxon nicor, monstrum marinum. 2) Substantive; Anglosaxon nicljan = curvare. 3) Substantive: right time; verb; to meet with, whence nicker, Old-norse hnickia, raptare, hnickr, dolus, apprehensio violenta.
net. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon nett, nete. 2) Adjective; Old-French net, nat, Latin nitidus.
neat. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon neát, pecus. 2) Adjective; nice Old-Highdutch niotsam.
nap. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon hnäppjan, dormitare. 2) Anglosaxon hnoppa, villus. 3) Substantive: a gnarl, perhaps the same word, but compare Anglosaxon cnäpp, jugum; Old-norse hnappr, globulus, caput.
Lime. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon lîm. 2) Anglosaxon lind, compare English lind, linden; Old-English also lyne.
light. 1) Subst., Verb; Anglosaxon leơht, lŷht; leóhtan, lŷhtan. 2) Adjective; Anglosaxon liht, whence the verb; Anglosaxon alîhtan, desilire. The verb lighten belongs to No. 1, the same verb to No. 2. Here belongs also lights, the lungs of a beast.
list. 1) Substantive; together with the corresponding verb; Old-French liste, Medieval-Latin lista, Old-Highdutch lista; whence the French lisière. 2) Old-French lice, liche; whether the same word? 3) verb: else also lust, Anglosaxon lystan.
lie. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon licjan. 2) Anglosaxon leógan.
lent. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon lencten. 2) Adj.; slow (B. Jows.). French lent.
left. 1) Preterite and Participle from leave. 2) Adj.; compare Anglosaxon lêft, inanis, with lêfan, debilitare, lêf = debilis, compare Latin laevus.
let. 1) Verb: to hinder, Anglosaxon letjan, lettan, tardare. 2) to allow, Anglosaxon lætan, sinere, permittere.
lee. 1) Substantive; Old-French lie. 2) The windless side, dialectic lew; whether lest, Latin lovus? compare Lowdutch lêg = bad.
lean. 1) Adjective; Anglosaxon læne. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon hlinjan, hleonjan (Latin inclinare).
leave. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon leáf, permissio. 2) Verb; Old-norse leifa, relinquere (Anglosaxon lêfan, permittere). 3) to pick out, Old-French lever, liever.
league. 1) Substantive; French ligue. 2) Portugese and Spanish legua, Gallic leuca.
lease. 1) Verb; to glean, Anglosaxon lësan. 2) to let for a term (with the $s$ hard), Old-French laissier, laisier. 3) leasing $=$ lies, Anglosaxon leásung from the verb leásjan, mentiri.
lap. 1) Substantive; verb: to enwrap, Anglosaxon lappa, fimbria. 2) to lick, Anglosaxon lappjan, lapjan.
last. 1) Adjective and Adverb; Anglosaxon lätemest, latôst. 2) Substantive; Anglosaxon hläst. 3) Verb; Anglosaxon gelæstan, continuare.
lath. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon latta. 2) A district, Anglosaxon läす (Bosw.).
lake. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon lacu. 2) a pigment, French laque, Persian lak.
lay. 1) Preterite from lie, Anglosaxon läg. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon lecgan. 3) Substantive: a song, Old-French lai, Cymric llais, a sound. 4) Adjective: worldly, Old-French lai, laicus.
lock. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon loce, cirrus. 2) Substantive, verb; Anglosaxon loc belonging to lûcan.
loom. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon lôma, suppellex. 2) A sort of bird, Danish lomme. 3) Adjective: fresh (of the wind) compare OldEnglish lome $=$ frequently (Piers Ploughman 439), Anglosaxon gelồme, frequenter; gelômelîc, frequens. 4) Verb: to come in sight (of ships), to appear bigger; perhaps belongs to No. 3 [liman $=$ crescere?].
load. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon hladan, hläd, onus. 2) Substantive, whence loadstone, loadstar, Old-English lodesterre, a vein (in a mine), Anglosaxon lâdu, iter, canalis, Old-Highdutch leita, compare lâdman, ductor.
low. 1) Adjective, Adverb and verb; Old-norse lâg, locus depressus, Hollandish laag Adj. 2) Substantive: flame, Anglosaxon lêge, lŷge, Old-norse log, Danish lue. 3) in names of places: a hill, dam, compare Bedlow (also lowe), Anglosaxon hlæv, hlâv, collis, agger. 4) Verb; Anglosaxon hlôvan.
Rime. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon hrîm, also rim. 2) a chink, Latin rima. 3) Alongside of rhyme, Anglosaxon rîm, numerus; Old-French rime, Cymric rhimyn.
ring. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon hring, hrinc. 2) Anglosaxon hringan, campanam pulsare.
repair. 1) Verb, Substantive; French réparer. 2) Verb, Substantive: refuge, Old-French repairier, repairer; repaire, repere, Latin repatriare.
rest. 1) Substantive, verb; Anglosaxon rest, räst, quies; restan, quiescere. 2) Subst., verb; Old-French reste, rester.
resent. 1) Participle from resend. 2) Verb, Old-French ressentir.
rear. 1) Substantive; Old-French rier, riere, Latin retro. 2) Adjective: (also spelt rare) half raw, Anglosaxon hrêre, crudus. 3) Verb, to bring up, Anglosaxon ræran. 4) In the Substantive: rearmouse, fluttermouse, Anglosaxon hrêremûs, the verb hrêran, agitare is at the root.
rank. 1) Substantive, verb; Old-French renc, Cymric rhenge. 2) Adject.; Anglosaxon ranc, superbus, foecundus. 3) Perhaps belonging to the Latin rancidus, rancor, like the English rancid?
rally. 1) Verb; French rallier. 2) French railler.
rape. 1) Substantive; Latin rapa. 2) Compare the Hollandish and Lowdutch rapen, Shwedish rappa, belonging to the Latin rapere. 3) Division of a county in Sussex ?
rash. 1) Adjective; Anglosaxon räsh, Old-norse röskr, Danish rask, whence the verb of like sound; compare Old-norse raska, loco movere, Anglosaxon räscjan, vibrare. 2) Substantive; Old-French rasche, compare the Provencal rascar, as it were rasicare. 3) A sort of cloth, French ras, from Arras. 4) Adjective; provin-
cial, dry (from corn, which easily falls out), compare the Highdutch raesch, roesch $=$ harsh, from hard.
race. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon ræs, impetus, Old-norse râs, cursus. 2) French race.
rack. 1) Subst., verb; belonging to the Anglosaxon ræcan. 2) Subst.: abbreviation from arrack. 3) Thin clouds, mists; compare Oldnorse rak, humor; raki, mador; Anglosaxon racu, rain. 4) Anglosaxon hracca, occiput.
ray. 1) Substantive, verb; Old-French rais, rait, rai; raier, raiier. 2) Substantive: a sort of fish, French raie, Latin raja. 3) Abbreviation from the Old-French arrai, arroi, English array.
rain. 1) Substantive, verb; Anglosaxon rëgen; rëgnan. 2) Raindeer, Anglosaxon hrân, hræn, capreolus, English also called rane.
rail. 1) Substantive: night-rail, Anglosaxon hrägel, vestimentum. 2) Low-Saxon regel. 3) A sort of fowl, French râle, from the verb râler. 4) Verb: to jeer, French railler; else, English rally.
rock. 1) Substantive, Old-norse rockr, colus. 2) Old-French roce, roche, Modern-French roc. 3) Verb; compare Anglosaxon reócan, exhalare, vacillare, Old-norse riûkandi, fumans, vacillans.
roe. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon râh, râ. 2) Old-norse hrogn.
row. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon râv. 2) Verb, Anglosaxon rôvan.
rut. 1) Substantive; Old-French ruit, Modern-French rut, whence the corresponding verb, Latin rugitus. 2) The track of wheels; compare the Old-norse rôta; or, from the Old-French rote, rute $=$ Latin rupta? 3) To throw (whence provincially in Cheshire, Substantive: the beating of the waves), compare Old-norse rôt, motio violenta.
rush. 1) Anglosaxon risce, rixe (Latin ruscus?). 2) Verb; compare the Anglosaxon hrysc, hrysca, irruptio; hriscjan, vibrare.
rue. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon rûde, French rue. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon hreóvan, ejulare, dolere; whence rueful, from the Anglosaxon subst. hreóv, dolor.

## b) Words with initial Lipletters.

Pine. 1) Substantive; Anglosaxon pinn, pin, Latin pinus. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon pîn; pînan, pînjan $=$ cruciare, Old-French peine, paine, poene; peiner \&c.
pile. 1) Substantive; French pile, Latin pila (Virgil) (pilla), perhaps identical with No. 3. 2) Old-norse pîla, sagitta, Latin pilum. 3) Anglosaxon pîl, sudes, French pile, Latin pila. 4) Hair, mostly collective: hairy surface, Old-French poil, peil, Latin pilus; in cross and pile, French croix et pile, pile denotes the side of the coin whereupon the coat of arms stands.
pill. 1) Verb: plunder, Old-English pile (Rob. of Brunne), OldScottish pille, peile, French piller (compare the Latin expilare, oompilare). 2) To shell; otherwise peel, Old-French poiler, peiler, peler, Latin pilare. 3) Substantive; from the Latin pila, French pillule.
pitch. 1) Substantive, verb; Old-English pik, Anglosaxon pic, Latin pix. 2) Height, Old-French pic. 3) Verb; Anglosaxon pyccan, pungere, Old-English picchen, allied to pick.
pen. 1) Subst., verb; Old-French penne, pene, Old-norse penni; on the other hand Anglosaxon pinn. 2) Substantive, verb; compare pinfold, Anglosaxon on-pinnjan, recludere repagulo remoto; OldEnglish pynnen = to bolt.
perch. 1) Substantive; French perche, Latin perca, on the other hand, Anglosaxon bears. 2) Substantive, verb: (of birds), Old-French perche: percher, Latin pertica.
pan. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon panne. 2) Verb: to join together, agree, perhaps from the Cymric pannan, to line (a dress), Anglosaxon pan, Latin panuus?
pall. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon pell, päll, Latin pallium, OldFrench palle, silk or cotton stuff. 2) To make or turn stale, Old-French pale, palle = blême.
pale. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon pal, Latin palus. 2) Adj., Subst.; OldFrench pale, palle.
partisan. 1) Subst.; French partisan. 2) A sort of weapon, French pertuisane from the Old-French pertuiser; according to Diez p.253. perhaps derived from the last.
page. 1) Subst.; French page. 2) French page (rcióiov).
pawn. 1) Subst., verb; Old-French pan; paner = prendre des gages, Old-norse pantre; compare the Lowdutch pennen. 2) In chess, also peon, French pion, Italian pedone. 3) Peacock, Old-French paon, poon.
port. 1). Subst., Old-French port, Latin portus. 2) Old-French porte, Latin porta. 3) A sort of wine, abridged from Oporto. 4) Subst., verb; Old-French port, portement; porter.
pound. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon pund. 2) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon pyndan, Old-English Subst.: pondfold $=$ pinfold. 3) Verb; Anglosaxon punjan, conterere.
punch. 1) Verb; Italian punzar, punchar, compare French poinçon, North-English punchion, an awl; English puncheon, a thorn, also a tub (the punched; that is, tapped). 2) Verb: to strike with the fist; Subst.: a blow with the fist; possibly the same? 3) Subst.: a foreign word, according to some from palepuntz, a beverage in Surat, according to others from the Indian panscha $=$ five, a beverage of five ingredients. 4) Adj. and Subst.: also punchy*); Jackpudding, of unclear origin, unless the Jackpudding has his name from the drink.
plight. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon plihtan, periculo exponere, spondere; pliht, periculum. 2) Verb, Subst; compare the Old-Highdutch vlehtan, Latin plectere, Celtic plega.
plat. 1) Verb, Subst.; otherwise plait, allied to the foregoing. 2) Adj., Subst.; Old-French plat, Swedish platt.
prune. 1) Subst.; Old-French prune. 2) Verb; Old-English proinen, Old-Scottish prunze, compare the French provigner, from the Latin propaginare, whence in English also provine.
Bill. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon bile, rostrum, Old-English bile. 2) An-

[^1]glosaxon bill, ensis; compare the Highdutch beil. 3) Compare the Highdutch unbill, billig. 4) List, reckoning, in Old-English a lettery (Chaucer), French billet.
bittern. 1) Subst.; from the Anglosaxon biter, bitter. 2) A kind of bird, French butor.
beetle. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon bêtel, bŷtel and biótul, beótel, malleus; whence the verb to overhang, compare beótan, minari. 2) Anglosaxon bôtel and bîtel, blatta from bîtan. In bêtel both substantives touch each other.
bark. 1) Subst., verb; Old-norse Subst.: börkr and verb barka, cutem induere, cortice tingere; birkja, decorticare. 2) Anglosaxon beorcan, latrare, whence borcjan.
bass. 1) Subst.; Medieval-Latin bassus. 2) (In a church) perhaps nothing else than the Anglosaxon bäst, cortex tiliae; in NorthEnglish the bast is thus called; in Cumberland dry rushes are called thus. 3) Verb: to kiss (More), compare the French baiser, Latin basiare, else the English buss.
bore. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon bor, scalprum; borjan, terebrare. 2) Preterite of bear, Anglosaxon bär.
borne. 1) Subst.; French borne, see Dieffenbach, Dictionary I. 300. 2) Participle from bear, Anglosaxon boren. 3) (often in the names of places), Scottish burn, Anglosaxon byrna, torrens.
box. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon box, Latin buxus. 2) Anglosaxon bux, box, pyxis (both words denote originally the same thing). 3) Verb, Subst.; Danish baxe, Swedish baxas; belonging to the Highdutch pochen, bochen,' Swedish boka.
boot. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon bôt, Old-English bote, compensatio, reparatio, Gothic botan. 2) Subst., verb; Old-French botte, boute. 3) Old-English boat, Anglosaxon bât, linter.
bound. 1) Verb, Subst.; Old-French bondir, bundir, bond. 2) Preterite and Participle from bind, Anglosaxon band, bundon, bunden. 3) Subst., verb; compare the English boundary, MedievalLatin bonna, bunda, bonnarium, Old-French bonne, bone, also bodne.
bull. 1) Subst.; compare Anglosaxon bulluca, vitulus; Lowdutch bulle; Old-norse boli, taurus. 2) (Papal), Anglosaxon bull, Latin bulla.
burden. 1) Subst.; = burthen, Anglosaxon byrđen, onus. 2) Chorus (singing), Old-English burdoun, Old-French bourdon, Bass; compare bourdonner. 3) Obsolete: Pilgrims staff; Old-English also burdoun, Old-French bourdion.
but. 1) Subst., verb; French bout, aboutir. 2) Particle, Anglosaxon bûtan.
budge. 1) Verb; French bouger. 2) Subst.: prepared lambshide; whence budget, a bag \&e, Old-French boge, bouge, Latin bulga.
blow. 1) Subst.; from Anglosaxon bleóvan, ferire. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon blâvan, flare. 3) Subst.; from the Anglosaxon blôvan, florere.
brim. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon bremme, brymme, margo. 2) Dialectically, Anglosaxon brim, unda, mare. 3) Adj.: obsolete, instead of breme, Anglosaxon brême, celeber.
breeze. 1) French brise, Italian brezza. 2) Anglosaxon briosa, tabanus.
broil. 1) Subst.; belongs to the French brouiller; compare the Italian broglio. 2) Verb; Cymric brwlio, brwlian, compare the Swiss brägeln, prägeln, to cook.
Fell. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon fëll. 2) Old-norse fell, mons. 3) An open field, thought to be abridged from the Anglosaxon fild, fëld. 4) Adj., Subst.; Anglosaxon fell, crudelis and ira. 5) A mousetrap (see Halliwell s. v.), Anglosaxon feall, decipula. 6) Verb; Anglosaxon fyllan, fellan, prosternere. 7) Preterite from fall, Anglosaxon feóll.
fair. 1) Adj.; also Adverb and Subst.; Anglosaxon fäger. 2) Subst.; Old-French foire, feire, fere.
far. 1) Adj. and Aḋverb; Anglosaxon feorr. 2) Subst.: a pig, Anglosaxon fearh, compare Old-English farrow.
fold. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon fealdan, plicare; feald, plica; whence the adjective termination -fold, Anglosaxon -feald, -plex. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon falud, fald.
full. 1) Adj., Adverb and Subst.; Anglosaxon full, plenus. 2) Verb; Old-English fullen, compare Anglosaxon fullere, English fuller, Latin fullo, French fouler.
fry. 1) Subst.; Old-norse fræ, frio, Gothic fraiv, Old-French fraye. 2) Verb, Subst.; French frire, Latin frigëre. 3) Subst.: sieve?

Vice. 1) Subst.; Old-French vice, visce, Latin vitium. 2) Old-French vis, viz. 3) Sometimes abridged from advice, French avis. 4) Prefix, Latin vice.
vail. 1) Verb; instead of veil, Old-French voile, veile $=$ velum. 2) Old-French avaler, avaller = baisser. 3) Vails; Subst.; from the Old-French valoir, valeir, properly aid, relief.
vaunt. 1) Subst. = van, from the Old-French avant. 2) Verb; OldFrench vanter, venter, from the Latin vanus.
Wise. 1) Adj.; Anglosaxon vîs. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon vîse.
wight. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon viht. 2) The Island, Anglosaxon Viht $=$ Vectis. 3) Adj.; Old-Scottish wicht, seems to belong to the Old-norse vîgr, bellicosus (compare Anglosaxon vîh, vîg = pugna). In the Old-English we also find wight written instead of weight, white and witch.
well. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon vella, vylla, fons; and vellan, vyllan, ebullire. 2) Adverb; Anglosaxon vëla, vël, bene.
weed. 1) Subst.: now commonly in the plural, Anglosaxon væd, vestimentum. 2) Subst, verb; Anglosaxon veód, herba; veódjan, eruncare.
wax. 1) Subst,, verb; Anglosaxon veax, väx, cera. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon veaxan.
wort. 1) Anglosaxon vyrt, virt, vert, vart, herba, radix. 2) Anglosaxon virt, veort, vert, brasium, mustum.
wood. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon vudu. 2) Adj.; Anglosaxon vôd, furiosus.
whittle. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon hvitle, cultellus, 2) Anglosaxon hvîtel, pallium.

## c) Words with initial tooth-letters.

Till. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon tiljan, studere, colere terram, procurare, computare. Whether does till, a money-drawer, belong here? 2) Preposition and conjunction; Anglosaxon til, ad, donec.
tick. 1) Verb, also substantive (of a clock), Hollandish tikken, Lowdutch ticken. 2) Subst.; Hollandish teek, Lowdutch têkebock, Middle-Highdutch zecke, French tique. 3) Old-Highdutch ziecha, Middle- and Modern-Highdutch zieche, Cymric tic, ticcyn, English ticken. 4) Subst., verb; belongs to ticket?
tire. 1) Verb, to rush down (upon something) to pluck (of a bird of prey) to touse, belongs to the Anglosaxon terjan, tirjan, vexare, irritare, and tëran, lacerare, scindere, English tear, to which belong the Highdutch zerren and zehren, perhaps under the influence of the French tirer, of the same origin; from the notion of pulling that of fatiguing has been developed: to make and be tired. 2) Subst., verb; else attire, Anglosaxon tiér, apparatus, ordo, Old-Highdutch ziarî, Middle-Highdutch ziere; ziarjan. Compare Old-norse tŷr, fama praeclara, Anglosaxon tîr, tŷr, splendor, decus.
tense. 1) A temporal form, Old-French tens, tans. 2) Stretched, tight, Latin tensus.
tarry. 1) Verb; In this verb the Anglosaxon terjan, tirigan, vexare irritare, Old-French tarier, taroier meets with the Old-French targier, tarjer, from the Latin tardus; in Old-English targen is found for it (Romance of Otuel p. 79). 2) Adj., from tar, Anglosaxon tëru, pix fluida.
tart. 1) Adj.; Anglosaxon teart, asper. 2) Subst.; French tarte, tourte, Medieval-Latin torta.
tap. 1) Verb, subst.; Middle-Highdutch tappe, paw, Old-French taper; tape. 2) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon täppa, Hollandish tap, Oldnorse tappr, täppan, tappjan, Old-norse tappa.
ton. 1) Subst: a measure or weight, also tun, Anglosaxon.tunne, Old-French tone, tonne. 2) French ton.
toll. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon toll, vectigal, privilegium telonium dictum; Old-norse tolla, tributum imponere, pendere. 2) Verb; to take away (a law term); whence Subst.: toll, Latin tollere, Me-dieval-Latin tolta, breve quo lis tollitur e curia baronis. 3) Verb, Subst.; of a bell. In Old-English tollen, tolen occurs in the meaning of draw, figuratively to incite. Perhaps it is wrong to think of the Latin tollere. Compare Old-norse tolla, haerere, cohuerere; or may we think of tol-cettan, titillare?
trump. 1) Subst., verb; Old-English trumpen (Piers Ploughman), Old-norse trumba, tympanum, Old-Highdutch trumba, MiddleHighdutch trumbe. 2) Subst.; in cards, French triomphe.
Die. 1) Verb, Old-norse deyja, mori. 2) Commonly dye, Anglosaxon deagjan, tingere. 3) Subst.; Old-English also dee, French dé, Italian dado.
defile. 1) Verb, Subst.; French défiler, défilé. 2) Anglosaxon fŷlan, inquinare.
dear. Adj. and Subst.; Anglosaxon deurë, diór, dŷre. 2) Noxious, Old-English verb deren = to curt, injure, Anglosaxon derjan, nocere.
dam. 1) Subst., verb; Old-norse dammr, alluvies, Anglosaxon demman, obturare, Gothic faur-danmjan. 2) Mother, especially of brutes, Old-French dame, Latin domina.
date. 1) Subst ; French date. 2) A sort of fruit, Provençal datil, French datte, dactylus.
down. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon dûn, mons, to which belongs the particle down, compare Anglosaxon âdûne and ofdûne, deorsum. 2) Light hair, Old-norse and Lowdutch dûn.
Thus. Adverb; Anglosaxon pus, sic. 2) Subst.; incense, Latin thus. thrum. 1) Verb; to play badly (an instrument), jingle, Old-norse pruma, anhelare, intonare. 2) Subst.; (the end of yarn cut off from the weft); verb: to warp, Old-norse pröm, margo, OldHighdutch, Middle-Highdutch drum, Lowdutch drom, drôm, drâm, draum, drömt.
thrush. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon prysce, Old-Highdutch drosca, droscela. 2) Pustules, also spavin (inflammation of the feet of horses); perhaps belonging to the Anglosaxon priscan, ferire, percutere.
See. 1) Subst.; benefice of a bishop, Old-French sed, sied, siez, se. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon sëon. 3) Subst.; Old-English instead of sea, Anglosaxon sæ.
seam. 1) Subst.: fat, Anglosaxon seim (Bosworth), Old-norse seimr, ductile quid, Lowdutch sêm. 2) Subst.; verb, Anglosaxon seám, sutura. 3) a measure (8 bushels of corn), provincial, a horses load, Anglosaxon seám, onus, sarcina jumentaria, Old-Highdutch soum.
seal. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon sëolh, phoca. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon sigel, Gothic sigljô, sigillum; Anglosaxon sigeljan, Gothic sigljan, obsignare.
sew. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon sivjan, seovjan, suvan. 2) Verb; alongside of sue, to follow, pursue, Old-English sewen, suwen, Old-French sevre, seure, Modern-French suivre. 3) Verb; to let down (a pond \&c.), whence the Subst. sewer, Old-French sewiere, seuwiere; on the other hand sewer, Old-Scottish sewar, a carver, is perhaps originally nothing else than the Old-English suer, that is follower, adherent, servant (The Creed of Piers Ploughm. p. 459.), and Palsgrave wrongly explains ${ }_{n} I$ sewe at meate" by mje taste", which certainly might belong to the obligations of the officer, called a sewer. The dish of minced flesh, which Gower calls sewe (see Lyndsay Poet. Works ed. Chalmers 3. p. 461.), might be named from the Old-French soef, soeve, Latin suavis, or might be the broth, which in Cymric was called sûg, sûdd; Anglosaxon sogo才a, succus.
sallow. 1) Subst.: a sort of tree, Anglosaxon salig. 2) Adj.; Anglosaxon salu, fuscus, niger.
sage. 1) Subst.; French sauge, Anglosaxon salvige. 2) Adject. and Subst.; Old-French sage, saige, sapiens. 3) North-English subst.; for saw, Anglosaxon sage, serra.
sack. 1) Subst, verb; Anglosaxon sacc, saccus. 2) Plundering, verb:
to plunder, Old-French sac, probably belonging to No. 1. Compare Diez, Dictionary p. 300. 3) Subst.: a sort of wine, whence the Old-English sack-posset and sack-whey in Devon, French sec, Italian secco.
some. 1) Indeterminate pronoun; Anglosaxon sum. 2) In the formula some and all (Halliwell s. v. sum). all and some, some answers to the Old-French somme, sume, some, Modern-English sum, so that it might be formed after the French somme toute. The Old-English has som, sum, some, and uses it also adjectively, Schropschire som and half Warwikshire al so (Rob. of Gloucester I. p. 5). Compare: And of his mynde he shewed me all and some (Skelton I. p. 39.). Of all good praiers God send him sum (iв, p. 69.). The formula stands adverbially for completely.
sole. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon sole, solea. Hence springs the näme of a fish sole, French sole, Italian soglia. 2) Adj.; OldFreuch sol, sul, seul, solus.
sod. 1) Old preterite and participle from seethe, Anglosaxon seád, sudon; soden. 2) Subst.; Hollandish zode, zood, zoô, Lowdutch sôde.
soil. 1) Subst.; Old-French soel, suel, sueil, Modern-French seuil. 2) Subst., verb, Old-French souil, a slough, provencal solh, dirt, whence the verb souiller; mixed with the Anglosaxon sol, volutabrum, sordes; syljan, foedare, Gothic bi-souljan, inquinare, whence the Romance forms are derived. 3) Verb: to lead a horse to graze, Old-French saoler, Modern-French soûler.
sound. 1) Adj.; Anglosaxon sund. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon sôn, sonus, Old-French son, sun; soner, suner, sonner, Old-English sounen. 3) Subst.; Anglosaxon and Old-norse sund, mare, vadum. The same Anglosaxon word lies at the root of the meaning, swimmingbladder, since sund in Anglosaxon and Old-norse also means swimming; Old-norse sund-uggar, pinnae piscium; sund-færi, cauda et pinnae piscium; synda, nare, natare. The meanings of casting the soundingline, lean not on the French sonde, sonder, but the Romance words, Span., Port., Ital. sonda, French sonde - sondar, sonder themselves are descended from the Germanic sund. Anglosaxon sundgerd and sundline denote the rod and line for measuring the depth of the sea, like the English sounding line. Sound, as the name of the cuttle-fish, may have the same origin. 4) Subst.: swoon, even in the Vicar of Wakef c. XI, belongs to the Old-norse sundl, sundli, vertigo, verb sundla, vertigine turbari, alongside of the subst. svim, verb svima; compare the Anglosaxon svîma, vertigo, deliquium, along with svânjan, evanescere, according to Sommer also âsvunan, deficere animo.
sow. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon sugu, sus. 2) Verb: sōw, Anglosaxon sâvan.
smelt. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon smelt, smylt, sardina piscis, salmo eperlanus. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon smeltan, smyltan, liquefacere. 3) Participle; alongside of smelled, from smell, with which is compared the Lowdutch smölen, to smoke, smæl, the reek of damp stuff. smack. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon smäc, smäcc, sapor, gustus; smec-
can, gustare; Old-norse smacka, the same; alongside thereof the verb, subst., Lowdutch smacken, Middle-Highdutch smackezen, Hollandish smakken, (on the other hand the Hollandish smaken), smak, a blow. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon snace, Old-norse snâkr, Hollandish smak, Danish smakke. 3) Subst.; Lowdutch smack. snow. 1) Subst.; verb, Anglosaxon snâv, nix. 2) Hollandish snaauw, Danish snau, perhaps properly a snoutship, compare the Hollandish snaauwen, to snub.
slough. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon slôg, volutabrum, English also sludge, slush and slosh. 2) (pronounced slŭff) (of snakes, who cast the skin, formerly of beasts generally) scab, in Northern-English also pod, Middle-Highdutch slûch throat, skin of the snake.
spill. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon spindel, spinl, fusus; Hollandish spil, compare the Middle-Highdutch spilmầ, Lowdutch spille, ModernHighdutch spille, spindel. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon spillan, Oldnorse spilla, corrumpere, consumere, Lowdutch verspillen.
spoke. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon spâca. 2) Preterite and Participle from speak, Anglosaxon späc; spocen.
spright. 1) Subst.; the same as sprite $=$ spirit. 2) Perhaps confounded with sprit, Anglosaxon spreót, trudis, contus; or belonging to sprig, see spray.
spray. 1) Subst.; also sprig, Cymric brig $=$ top, but compare also the Anglosaxon sprec, sarmentum, Old-norse sprek, ramentum. 2) (of the sea), belongs to the Anglosaxon sprêgan, fundere; compare the Middle-Highdutch spröuwen, sprewen, spargere, madefacere.
swallow. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon svaleve. 2) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon svëlgan, svilgan, devorare, imbibere; Middle-Highdutch swalch, -ges, swalc, grudiness, swalken, crapulari, svelge, vorago.
still. 1) Adj., Adv. and Conj.; Anglosaxon stille, quietus; stille, tacite; Verb; Anglosaxon stillan, compescere, also Subst. (poetic); OldHighdutch stilli, Middle-Highdutsh stille. 2) Subst.; Old-norse stilli, agger, vallus. 3) Verb; Latin stillare.
stern. 1) Adj; Anglosaxon sterne, severus, asper, rigidus. 2) Subst. (of a ship), Anglosaxon stearn, gubernaculum, compare stiór, the same, and steórern, gubernaculi locus, as well as stearnsetl, puppis. 3) Old-Epglish, Subst.; stella, else sterre, Modern-English star, Old-norse stiarna, Anglosaxon steorra.
stale. Old-English stele. 1) Subst., (obsolete), Anglosaxon stël, caulis, manubrium. 2) Bait (Shakspeare). These meanings belong to the Anglosaxon stëlan, surripere, furari, compare stalu, furtum; Longobardic astalin, fraus. Here too seem to belong the adjective stale $=$ old, worn out; substantively, sour beer, bad woman; and as a verb, to wear out, in which the image of the deceitful, spurious, may lie at the root. 3) Verb, Subst.; Danish stalle, Swedish stalla, Italian stallare, probably borrowed from the dirtiness of the stable.
stable. 1) Adj.; Old-French estable, stabilis. 2) Subst.; (in the chase); verb, Old-French estable, Modern-French étable, stabulum.
stud. 1) Subst.; verb, Anglosaxon studu, postis, clavus. Lowdutch stüt (on the other hand stüt). 2) Subst.; formerly also studderie
a large stable; Anglosaxon stôd, armentum equorum, Old-Highdutch, Middle-Highdutch stuot, (here belongs steed, Anglosaxon stêda).
scale. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon scâlu, lanx, trutina, Medieval-Latin scala, bilanx, Old-norse skâl, bilanx and patera, hence in Somersetshire, also: a drinking bowl. 2) Subst.: of a fish, Anglosaxon scealu, scala, putamen; compare the Old-French escale, escaile, Modern-French écaille, écale, a nutshell; whence the verb. 3) Subst., verb; Old-French eschele, eschiele, Latin scala; whence the verb escheller, Italian scalare, Modern-French escalader.
Shackle. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon scacul, columbar, Hollandish schakel, limb of a chain, Modern-Highdutch schake; whence figuratively in Northern-English, the wrist. 2) Stubble; compare shack, right of pasture in winter and to the shattered corn at harvest; it belongs to the Anglosaxon scacan, quatere, excutere and volare, Old-norse skaka, quatere, agitare, and denotes properly the battered out and flown away corn. The dialectical verb shack, to rove about, and subst. vagabond, confirms this.
shoal. 1) Adj., Subst.; (compare shallow), belongs to the Old-Highdutch scalljan, to cause to sound, Middle-Highdutch schal, hollow, Modern-Highdutch schâl. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon scôlu, caterva, multitudo.
shock. 1) Subst.; from the Anglosaxon sceacga, caesaries, compare West-English shacked instead of shaggy, Anglosaxon sceacged, comatus, Old-norse skeggi, barbatus. 2) Subst.; whence the verb, to set corn in shocks, Danish skok, Swedish skock, Middle-Highdutch schoc ( 60 pieces), Lowdutch schocken. 3) Subst., verb; Here Germanic and French elements mix, Old-Highdutch scoc, MiddleHighdutch schoc, Middle-Highdutch schocken, schoggen, to be in swinging movement, with the Anglosaxon scacan, related to the Old-norse skaka; along therewith the Old-French choque, a stem, choc, a thrust, choquer, to thrust against.
Check. 1) Subst.; Old-French eschac, eschec; to which belongs check, on a Bank, from the Old-French verb eschequer, to divide by lines, like a chessboard (eschequier), compare the Highdutch scheckig, English checky. 2) Verb: to impede, Subst.: hindrance, are likewise taken from the game; compare the Middle-Highdutch schachen, to give check.
chap. 1) Obsolete verb: to deal; Subst.: a dealer, figuratively: companion; compare chapman, Anglosaxon copman, ceápjan, emere, negotiari. 2) Subst.: a chink; verb: to come open, seem to belong to the Anglosaxon cippjan, secare and to a root cippan; compare Old-norse kippa, elevare; kippr, interstitium loci.
chase. 1) Subst.; Old-French chasse, casse, Modern-French châsse Latin capsa. 2) Verb, Subst.; Old-French chacier, cacier; Me-dieval-Latin caciare, Subst. chace, cace, of unclear origin.
Jet. 1) Subst.; French jais, jayet, gagates. 2) Verb, Subst.; OldFrench jeter, geter; get, giet, $j$ jactus. In the meaning: a henroost; the French jet seems taken collectively; compare Latin jäctus retis, all fish caught, French jet d'abeilles, a swarm of bees; jet de voiles, a complete set of sails.
jetty. 1) Subst., French jetée. 2) Adj., from the Subst. jet.
jar. 1) A large jug or glass vessel with a wide opening; French jarre, Provencal, Span., Port. jarra; of Arabic origin from garrah, a water vessel. 2) Verb; to tick (of the clock) [Shakspeare], Subst. This word points to the French jars, also jar (Nicot), Walloon geâr, a gander, Breton garz; according to Tarbé a verb jargauder and iargauder is used in Champagne of the gander, which treads the goose with gabble, as if $g$ belonged to the root. In Cymric the verb jar is rendered by ysgortio, ysgordio.

## d) Words with initial throat-sounds.

Cart. 1) Subst., verb; French carte, charte. 2) Subst., verb; French carde; carder, also chardon; chardonner, Old-French escharder, to scratch up with thistles, from the Latin carduus.
cape. 1) Subst.; French cap alongside of chef, Latin caput. 2) OldFrench cape, chape, Old-norse kâpa, Medieval-Latin capa, cappa.
caper. 1) Subst.; French câpre, Latin capparis. 2) Subst., verb; from the Latin caper; compare the French cabrer and cabriole, cabrioler.
case. 1) Subst.; Old-French cas, quas; casus. 2) Subst., rerb; OldFrench casse, chasse, Latin capsa. 3) Dialectic for because. compt. 1) Subst., verb; (commonly count), Old-French conter, ModernFrench compter. 2) Adj. (obsolete), Latin comptus, Old-French cointe.
console. 1) Verb, French consoler. 2) Subst.; French console, from sole, Latin solea.
corn. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon corn, granum; whence the verb corn. 2) On the feet, Old-French corn, cornu.
corporal. 1) Subst.; corrupted from the French caporal. 2) Adj.; for the more usual corporeal, and Subst., Medieval-Latin corporale, palla qua sacrificium tegitur in altari.
cope. 1) Subst., verb; Old-English copen, Medieval-Latin capa, cappa; incappare $=$ operire, compare Anglosaxon cappa, cappe, pileus, cucullus; see cape. 2) Subst.; (Siakspeare), Old-French cope, copel = cime, Anglosaxon copp, culmen. 3) Verb; commonly construed with with*), perhaps means originally as much as chap or chop, chaffer, to haggle with any one. In Eastern dialects cope is still used for to chop, exchange. Compare English copeman alongside of chapman, Anglosaxon copmann, mercator; an Anglosaxon verb copjan (compilare?) of dubious meaning, also occurs. All these forms belong to the Gothic kaupon, to follow trade.
cob. 1) Subst.: head; little lump of hay (in Oxford), stone (East of England); applied to beasts: a small, strong pony; a seamew, perhaps also: a spider (in cobweb); in a wider meaning: an ungelded horse; further, chieftain ( $=$ leader, chief, in Cheshire), hence cob-swan, the leading (male) swan \&c., seem equally to

[^2]belong the obsolete cop, Anglosaxon copp, calix and culmen, Old-Highdutch koph, Middle-Highdutch kopf, a globular vessel, Breton cab = tête, bout, Old-Highdutch chæpf, cacumen, Cymric cop $=$ summit. Compare also Old-friesic kop, Lowdutch kop, a tree. 2) The verb cob, to strike; dialectically Subst. blow, belongs on the other hand to the Old-norse kubba, amputare, perfringere. Compare also the Swedish kuffa, ferire, trudere, English cuff.
cost. 1) Subst.; obsolete and dialectic (East of England) rib, OldFrench coste, Latin costa. 2) Verb, Subst.; Old̆-French coster, couster, Latin constare and Subst. cost $=$ frais, dépense.
count. 1) Subst.; Old-French cuens, conte, cunte, cumte, Latin comes, -it-is. 2) Verb, Subst.; Old-French conter, cunter; conte.
counter. 1) Subst.; Old-French conteres, conteor, in the sense of the Modern-French conteur. 2) Adv. and Prefix; Old-French contre, cuntre.
cleave. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon cleófan, clûfan; Lowdutch klîwen. 2) Anglosaxon clîfan and clifjan, adhaerere, Lowdutch klêwen.
crowd. 1) Subst.: a string instrument, also croud, crouth in Halliwell, Cymric crwth, Medieval-Latin chrotta, Old-French rote; whence also a verb crowd, to fiddle, was in use. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon creódan, premere, premi; croda, compressio.
kennel. 1) Subst.; compare channel, Old-French chenal, Latin canalis. 2) Verb; French chenil, Latin canile.
kern. 1) Subst. (Irish) soldier. 2) Instead of quern, Anglosaxon cveorn, cvyrn, mola.
keel. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon ceól, carina, navis; Old-norse kiöll, carina, navis; kiölr, carina, dorsum montis. 2) Verb; Anglosaxon cêlan, algere.
knoll. 1) Verb; Anglosaxon cnyllan, cnellan, signum dare campana; whence Subst.: knell, Anglosaxon cnyll, campanae signum. 2) Subst.; Anglosaxon cnoll.
Quail. 1) Subst.: a sort of bird, figuratively, a strumpet, Old-French quaille, Modern-French caille, Medieval-Latin quaquila, Hollandish kwakkel, kwartel. 2) Verb: to despond, belongs to the Anglosaxon cvëlan, pati, mori and cveljan, trucidare, compare English quell, kill; Old-norse qvöl, cruciatus; qvalrædi, angor, cruciatus; qvelja, torquere; qvilli, infirma valetudo. 3) Verb: to curdle (of milk), particularly dialectically in East-English, French cailler, Italian quagliare, cagliare, Latin coagulare.
Gore. 1) Subst.: curdled blood, Anglosaxon gor, tabum. 2) Verb: to but with the horn, from the Anglosaxon gâr, hasta. 3) Here belongs the meaning of a Subst. gore, a wedgeshaped piece of cloth let in, a wedgeshaped piece of a field; the Middle-Highdutch gêre, means the same, which is derived from the OldHighdutch gêr, Anglosaxon gâr, Gothic gáis, Latin gaesum, hasta.
Gum, 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon gồma, Old-norse gômr, palatum. 2) French gomme, Latin gummi, gummis.
gull. 1) Verb, Subst.; belonging to the Old-norse gyllinger, adulator, as Adj. splendidus; Old-Swedish gylla, decipere; Old-English gulle
= gay, fine (Halliwell). 2) Subst.: a mew; dialectically it means the callow fowl and the gosling. Cymric gwylan.
gust. 1) Subst.; Old-norse gustr, gióstr, aura frigida, Anglosaxon gist, procella, ventus. 2) Taste; along with which gusto also occurs, Latin gustus.
grin. 1) Subst.: a trap, Anglosaxon grin, gryn, laqueus. 2) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon grennjan, ringi; (grynn, odium, malum;) compare Old-norse grîna, intentis oculis intueri.
ground. 1) Preterite and Participle from grind, Anglosaxon grand, grundon; grunden. 2) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon grund, fundus, solum; gryndan, fundare.
Hind. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon hind, cerva. 2) Anglosaxon hîna, domesticus. 3) Adj.; Anglosaxon hind-veard, posterus; hindan, post, retro; hinder Adverb and Preposition.
hip. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon hype, hyp, hyppe; femus. 2) Also written hep, Anglosaxon hiópe, heópe, rosae silvestris bacca, rubus. 3) Interjection, as an invocation. 4) Verb: to hip, popular abbreviation of hypochondriac.
hide. 1) Subst.; Anglosaxon hŷd, cutis. 2) Verb (derived from the Subst. just named), Anglosaxon hŷdan, abscondere; Old-norse hŷda, excoriare, flagellare and pelles superinduere; dialectically still in English, to whip. 3) Subst.: a measure of land, Anglosaxon hŷd, Medieval-Latin hida, hyda, terrae portio, quantum sufficit ad arandum uni aratro per annum; compare the Old-norse haudr, terra inculta.
helm. 1) Subst. (of a ship), verb; Anglosaxon healma, helma, gubernaculum. 2) Subst.: a helmet, Anglosaxon hëlm, galea.
hamper. 1) Subst.; instead of hanaper, Medieval-Latin hanaperium, from the Anglosaxon hnäpp, calix. 2) Verb: to fetter, impede, North-English beat; Subst.: impediment; compare Old-norse hampa, manibus volvere, terere.
harrow. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon hereve, occa, Danish harve. 2) Verb; obsolete alongside of harry, to worry, Anglosaxon herjan, hergjan, vastare, bello premere, and herevjan, hyrvjan, vexare, affigere. 3) Interjection; as a cry for help, also haro, an OldNorman cry of distress, Old-French haro, harou, hareu, hari, whence the verb harier, harer = harceler, provoquer un combat. The cry is derived from ha Rous! that is ha! and the name of Duke Rollo. See Du Cange s. v. haro. This disputed opinion seems to receive confirmation by the exclamation: haroll alarome! quoted by Palsgrave.
haver. 1) Subst.; from the verb have, Anglosaxon habban, häbban, habere. 2) Oats; (compare haver-bread, haversack, French havresac, properly Highdutch habersack), Old-norse hafrar, Danish havre, Old-Saxon havaro.
haggard. 1) Adj. and Subst.; according to Diez from hawk with the termination ard, French hagard. 2) Subst.; in the meaning rickyard or stack-yard: space for hay or cornstocks, perhaps corrupted from hay-gard, compare Anglosaxon geard, sepes, to which belongs the English garden; Old-English and Old-Scotch, also garth, as still in the North of England, and the English orchard::
hawk. 1) Subst., verb; Anglosaxon hafuc, accipiter. 2) Verb; compare Lowdutch Subst. hâk, Danish hökre, belonging to hocken. 3) Verb, Subst.; This word is an expression imitative of the noise.
holni. 1) Subst.: an island in a river, Old-norse hôlmi, Danish Swedish holm, insula, Anglosaxon holm, altum mare and insula. 2) A tree, commonly taken for the evergreen oak, but wrongly, according to Halliwell, who thereby will have only the tree, else called holly, to be understood. The latter is the Hollandish hulst, Old-Highdutch holis, French houx. The form holm, with the change of the $n$ into $m$, corresponds to the Anglosaxon holen, used for several trees and shrubs (sambucus, aquifolium, alnus), whence eneóholen or holm, English kneeholm, ruscus.
hop. 1) Verb, Subst.; Anglosaxon hoppan, salire, saltare. 2) Subst.; Old-Highdutch hopfo, Middle-Highdutch hopfe, Hollandish hoppe, hop, Medieval-Latin hupa, humlo, Old-norse humall, Danish humle.
host. 1) Subst., verb; (Spenser, Shakspeare), Old-French hoste, oste, Latin hospit-em. 2) Subst.: in the Catholic ritual, Latin hostia. 3) Old-French ost, host, from the Latin hostis.
hue. 1) Subst.: colour, Anglosaxon hiv. 2) a cry; a hue and cry, legal pursuit, arrest, Old-French hu, huz along with huee, verb huer from the Interjection hu!

## B) Double forms of the same Word.

Among the assimilated words enumerated, as before, many of the same origin have been already cited in different forms. We content ourselves here in general with classifying the English words of this sort which annex different meanings to distinct forms, although the latter occasionally flow into one another, passing over those words in which the different forms have received no essential differences of meaning, as abysm and abyss, guard and ward, guile and wile, sludge, slush, slosh \&c.
a) Such are those which several contemporary forms, perhaps following one another, in one of the root tongues of English, or different forms of the fundamental word in different tongues serve to support, among which those words are to be disregarded whose different meanings have already given rise to dissimilated forms of another tongue. The following may serve as examples:
outer, opposed to the word inner, and to utter in the meaning of extrene, complete, which are based upon two Anglosaxon forms ûter and $\hat{y}$ tra, but from the same root and of like meaning (exterior).
morrow, to-morrow, and morn, poetic subst, along with morning, Auglosaxon morgen and morn, matutinum tempus.
lance, to throw as a lance; especially, thrust, prick, open with the lancet, and lanch, launch, to hurl; particularly, to float a vessel, Old-French lancer and lanchier, that is, frapper avec une lance, darder.
wine and vine, have the allied Anglosaxon vin and Latin vinum, French vin, for fundamental forms.
wind and vent, likewise lean upon the Anglosaxon vind and OldFrench vent, Latin ventus, of like meaning.
wise, mostly used now only in compounds, stands alongside of guise. The Anglosaxon vîse, modus, consuetudo and Old-French guise, Modern-French guise, manière, façon, are the same word.
why and how, Anglosaxon hvê, hvŷ, hvû (Instrumental from hva, -hvät, quis, quid), cur, quomodo.
waggon or wagon, commonly waggon, and wain, a carriage, Charles' swain, a constellation, Anglosaxon vägen, vägn, væn, plaustrum.
villan, also villein, is by modern Lexicographers distinguished from villain, a rascal; both rest upon the Medieval-Latin villanus, OldFrench vilain, vilein, villain, that is, laboureur and rustre.
deploy, to exhibit (troops), and display, to lay out, Old-French desploier, with the collateral forms pleier, plier; compare the Modern-French déployer alongside of déplier.
cattle and chattel, moveable possessions, Old-French catel, chatel; biens, biens mobiliers.
convey and convoy, Old-French conveier, convoier; conduire, accompagner.
quaint and compt (obsolete), Old-French cointe, Latin comptus, comtus. cross and cruise (by sea), Old-norse krossa, signo crucis notare, OldFrench crois, cruiz, Old-Highdutch crûci, crûzi.
humor, humidity, has recently been distinguished from humour, a frame of mind. In Old-French the terminations or, our, eur, run alongside of each other: humor, -our, eur; but the Latin humor is perhaps here regarded alongside of the French form \&c.
b) Other double forms are of a kind that they proceed from one and the same form of the word, and with a difference of meaning are distinguished from one another by a change of vowel or consonant. While the first-named often interchange their forms with one another in Old-Euglish, we still find here the same fundamental form in the older language, with a diversity of meaning. The following are examples:
milk and milch, are distinguished in sense, but both seem to be related to the Anglosaxon miluc. Lowdutch has the Subst. melk and the Adj. melke alongside of each other.
mean and moan, Anglosaxon mænan, indicare and queri, dolere; OldEnglish menen in both meanings; likewise bemenen instead of signify and bemoan.
make was formerly used for companion, consort; match expresses the notion of the equal, adequate to another, as well as the abstract notion of a consortment of a pair in marriage; both still exist in makeless and matchless, of like meaning; Old-norse maki, aequalis and conjux, Anglosaxon maca, consors, conjux. According to Bosworth there was also an Anglosaxon ge-mäcca, which would chime in with the Old-English macche $=$ match .
metal, rarely used figuratively, and mettle, only figuratively, come from the Latin metallum, French métal.
nib and neb, Anglosaxon nebb, caput, vultus, os; compare the Lowdutch nibbe, a beak.
person and parson, Old-French persone for personne and curé; in Old-English the clergyman is also called persone.
beacon and beckon, both point to the Anglosaxon beácen, signum, nutus, and beácnjan, bêcnjan, indicare, annuere.
flower and flour, point primarily to the Old-French flour; yet it is remarkable that the form flûr is in use in that double meaning: flores and tenuissimum triticum.
to, Preposition and too, Adv., answer to the Anglosaxon tô used as a preposition (ad) and adverb (insuper).
ton, fashion, tone and tune, are borrowed from the same word, Greek rivos, French ton, Anglosaxon dyne, tonitru, sonus*), MiddleHighdutch dôn.
discreet is distinguished from discrete; French discret and Latin discretus, the former of which corresponds in sense with the English discreet.
sing and singe: like sving and swinge, are allied in meaning to the Anglosaxon singan, canere and sengan, ustulare, as well as svingan, vibrare, flagellare and svengan, quassare, jactare, but dissimilate only the consonant $g$ as a guttural and as a dental.
sauce and souse, Old-French sause, Modern-French sauce, from the Latin salsus.
scatter and shatter, Anglosaxon scateran, dissipare.
school and shoal, Anglosaxon scôlu, schola and caterva; Hollandish school, schola and caterva, scholen, congregari; Old-Highdutch schuole, also: meeting.
stick and stitch, are ouly apparently dissimilated forms from the Anglosaxon sticjan, pungere, transfigere and haerere, the former belonging rather to the Anglosaxon stëcan, pungere, icere, and as it has become unfaithful to its origin in conjugation (stung; stung, Anglosaxon stäc; stëcen), rather assimilated to the form stitch. It is otherwise with pick, and pitch, both coming from the Anglosaxon pyccan, pungere; compare Old-norse picka, frequenter pungere.
cap and cape, Anglosaxon cappa, pileus, cucullus.
cot, otherwise cote and coat, answer to the Anglosaxon cot, casa, Old-norse kot, casa and at the same time pectorale.
cup and cop, Anglosaxon copp, calix and culmen.
kill and quell, Anglosaxon cvëllan, cveljan, necare, trucidare, OldEnglish quellen = to kill.
glass and glaze, from the Anglosaxon gläs, vitrum.
grass and graze, from the Anglosaxon gräs, gramen; compare grasjan, gramine vesci, and other dissimilations.
*) Note by the translator: the connection of these Germanic words with the Greek rinus seems more than questionable. row, in the sense of the differentiated sound produced by the different degrees of tension of the chord, is an intellectual development of the Hellenic mind; whereas the dyne, din, tonitru, and stun-grou pseems to be onomatopoetic from a sudden, explosive sound.
c) In conclusion I must mention the peculiar double forms, arising when the verbal root, in the one case, as it presents itself in the infinitive of Romance or Latin words; and alongside of that, the Latin and, less frequently, the Romance participial form of the same verb are employed to form English verbs. The most frequent participial form is that in ate (Latin ātus), which gives verbs answering to the Latin in at-are; yet others also occur. These double forms belong chiefly to verbs compounded with prefixes, and those leaning upon participial forms are peculiar to the modern tongue. Many represent no notional differences, and perhaps are only distinguished by their more or less frequent use. To those scarcely distinguishable in meaning belong, for instance: immerge - immerse; incurve - incurvate; inhume - inhumate; enounce - enunciate; enerve (Milton) - enervate; announce - annunciate; administer - administrate; oblige

- obligate (litle used); prejudge - prejudicate; promulge (Pearson) - promulgate; transfund (Barrow) - transfuse; subduce - subduct; complane - complanate \&c.

Others diverge more decidedly, in part at least: impregn'; impregnate, infringe (a contract, a law), and check; infract, more rarely used. intone, intonate, the same, collaterally to sound loud, thunder; incarn, to cover with flesh; incarnate, to humanize; illume (formed after the Old-French alumer), also figuratively, is nore poetic; illuminate (also of illumination with colours), to enlighten. include, to shut in; enclose (inclose), from the French participle enclos, which has also become a substantive, to fence in; aspire, to strive after; aspirate (of pronunciation). predestine, to determine before hand (generally); predestinate, to deternine before hand by an immutable resolve (in the dogmatic sense); transfer, to remove (to another place), to convey (to a person) \&c.; translate, (also an official person) or (from one tongue into another); comprehend, to include, also to take in (with the understanding); comprise, from the French participle compris.

In transmew (SPENsER) and transmute of like meaning, the same infinitive, first in the Old-French from muer, and then in the Latin mutare, lies at the root.

It is rare that a double participial form produces two verbs, as in the two obsolete adjute (Latin adjutum) and adjuvate (Latin adjuvatum, rare); and in depaint (French dépeint) and depict (Latin depictum), which are distinguished only by the usage, not in meaning, like the first named.

## SECOND SECTION.

## THE DOCTRINE OF FORMS.

Phonetics has to do with the body of the word according to its material nature. The Doctrine of forms considers the word according to its notional nature and its destination within speech, as conditioned or partly conditioned by the form of the word, and as a part of speech.

1) We distinguish different parts of speech, or classes of words, which are named according to their predominant destination in the sentence, while they are not precluded from occasionally interchanging their functions in the sentence.

The parts of speech are divided into Nouns, Verbs and Particles.
a) The noun names or denotes objects given in external reality (concrete objects), or imagined analogously to these (abstract objects), and the qualities inherent in them, which by their form or meaning indicate their attributive reference to the objects.

Objects are denoted by substantives, the qualities formally referred to them by adjectives.
If the object is not named, but merely denoted by a word passing for a sign pointing back or away to an object, either a person or a thing, this representative word is termed a substantive pronoun.

If the object is determined attributively, not according to a quality inherent in itself according to its nature, but extrinsically, that is, quantitatively, or demonstratively in the amplest sense of the word, this is effected by a numeral, an adjective pronoun or an article.
b) The Verb, or time-word, the essential word of the predicate, whereby a judgment is accomplished, serves in the sentence to express the activity of the subject, which falls in the sphere of Time, as the subject with its qualities is originally imagined in the sphere of space.
c) The remaining parts of speech are called particles, which, although commonly of small outward compass, are not of small import in speech, but essentially contribute to determine the character of the tongue. They are divided into words of circumstance, or, adverbs; words of relation, on prepositions; connecting words, or, conjunctions; and sounds of emotion, or, interjections.
The adverb serves essentially to determine the verb more particularly, with reference to the space, the time, the manner, and the cause and aim of the action. Its further functions in
the sentence flow from this its original destination. The preposition stands in an essential relation to the substantive, and determines, in the same aspects as the adverb, the more general character of the case more nearly and closely, as, in the absense of case-inflection, it undertakes the function of such inflection. The conjunction is the means of expressing the relation of the sentences to one another, coming, apparently, out of the sentence, although in fact acting as an adverb or a preposition. The interjection had the meaning of a subjective utterance of emotion, or of an affection, without any notional definiteness, and stands, in fact, outside of the sentence, although it may appear as the unconcious abbreviation of a sentence.

This characterising of the parts of speach considers them according to their more general syntactical relations within speech. In the aspects of their form and of their original nature, as determinable thereby, the doctrine of forms has to develop them further, as syntax has to set forth their more particular destinations and their partial interchange among each other.

The more ancient tongues, as well as those generally which have preserved their inflective forms more complete than the English, distinguish nouns and verbs, as parts of speech capable of inflection, from particles, as forms incapable of inflection. This distinction is in English no longer completely applicable, nouns being in great part to be reckoned among the parts of speech incapable of inflection, unless we confound the substitution of case prepositions, (like of and to) for cases with the notion of inflection. But only the change of the body of the word by additional sounds or syllables can be called inflection, whereby the part of speech, without change of its notional determination, enters into distinct relations within the sentence.
2) Another aspect in which the parts of speech are to be considered in the doctrine of forms is the change of the body of a word, produced by derivation and composition.

Under the name of a root we comprehend the similar constituents of a larger or smaller number of words, in which a change or variation, or a dimming of the vowel, as well as a change of consonants, conditioned or explainable physiologically is certainly not excluded. All words belonging to the same root leade us to the conclusion of their original notional connection. The image of a root, with a meaning permeating all its stems and ramifications, is, however, solely of theoretic value. No root as such appears in speech; there every word appears as a definite part of speech, whose radical abstract meaning is separated and individualized, even when the radical sounds alone apparently constitute a word.
The simple word proceeding from the root may, as such, be augmented by inflective forms. The unaltered part is then the stem. That even derivative words may be capable of inflection, is readily to be understood, and we call the verbal body, amplified materially and more closely determined notionally, the
stem of the word, as distinct from the inflective termination. We commonly term both the fundamental form.
a) When the stem is amplified by means of sounds or syllables, so that distinct notions and parts of speech arise, these further formed stems are called derivative words.
b) But when to a selfstanding word of any sort another, or even more than one more word is added, so that these words coalesce into one phonetic and notional whole, compound words arise.

The task of the doctrine of forms is accordingly to represent the single parts of speech in the aspect of their capacity or incapacity of inflection, as well as the doctrine of the derivation and composition of words.

## I. The Parts of Speech and their inflective forms.

## A) The Noun.

## I. The Substantive.

The noun substantive denotes externally real, sensuously perceivable, or concrete objects, which are primarily apprehended as existing in space, and are therefore Persons, or Things.

It further serves to denote the notions of qualities, actions or beings, gained through the action of thinking, and which, as abstract objects, are imagined analogously to things sensuously perceivable, and are employed as subjects or objects in the sentence.

The limit between concrete and abstract substantives is hard to draw, since the perceivable, such as sound, noise, smell, light \&c., may in their origin be conceived as the utterance of an activity, and, in regard to the subject apprehending, appear sensuously perceivable. Thus abstract substantives, denoting an action, are often used to signify the sensuously perceivable result, as in drawing, painting, embroidery; and the action is even put for the material in which it is effected. The abstract term even becomes the term for an individual to whom an abstract quality belongs: compare Majesty, Highness, instead of Prince, and so on. In these regards ancient and modern tongues agree; in the last-named the English goes, however, further than Highdutch. Thus youth (Anglosaxon geógư, juventus) denotes not only youth abstractly and collectively (see under c), but also the individual in the youthful age; witness (Anglosaxon vîtness, testimonium) testimony and the person bearing it, compare témoin=testimonium; acquaintance, personal knowledge, abstractly and collectively, and the person known, relation; the affinity and the person related, compare Anglosaxon sibb, consanguinitas, cognatus; fairy, formerly abstractly fayry (sce Halliwell s v.), French féerie, stands now in the place of the otherwise more usual fay.

A further organic division of substantives is that into names of sorts, profer names, collective names and names of materials.

We can regard there as, on the one hand, distinct classes of substantives, while on the other hand they pass in part into one another. We may likewise regard them as sorts of concrete substantives, while abstract substantives may also partially take their place.
a) Names of sorts is the term for those substantives which denote, according to their notion, objects which are to be apprehended as individuals of a sort or kind. Concrete objects are of course mostly of this sort; yet even abstractions, such as virtue, vice, bias, sickness \&c., so far as they are individualized or imagined as appearing as manifold, may become names of sorts.
b) Proper names are those substantives whereby persons or other objects are denoted, not according to their notion, but in an extrinsic, conventional manner, without their essence or quality needing be touched. They mostly arise out of concrete names of sorts, but also out of abstract names. But by several objects having the same proper name, the notion of a sort does not on the contrary arise; but, if the proper name is employed metaphorically, in remembrance of the characteristic qualities of the person or thing bearing it, the proper name becomes the name of a sort, as Nero represents the notion of a tyrant.
c) Collective names comprise a number of single objects under one total image, when the image of the individual beings recedes, as in forest, army. If these totalities are apprehended as manifold in number they appear as names of sorts: forests, armies; a thick forest, a formidable army. So far as abstract substantives can be regarded as terms for the common nature or activity of individuals, they frequently assume the character of collective names, as, Priesthood, Knighthood, Christendom, Mankind, Clergy.
d) Names of materials are substantives absolutely denoting the homogeneous matter or mass of which objects consist. They must be regarded as names of sorts, when the matter is separated by distinct qualities or localities, as, black earth, white glass; or, when they denote objects prepared from a material, as, a glass, $=$ a drinking vessel.
The character of the substantive in these respects has an influence upon its inflective forms.

## Declination of the substantive in general.

As regards, in the first place, the fundamental form of the English substantive, as opposed to its inflective terminations, we must draw a distinction between the Anglosaxon and the Romance elements in genuine English words of this class, to which we oppose words subsequently introduced and not assimilated to the great majority.

The substantives of Anglosaxon origin, attach themselves in their English form essentially to the Anglosaxon nominative of the singular of simple as well as of derivative substantives. The simple or derivative form of the substantive, common to the Anglosaxon cases, is mostly presented in them. We disregard here the rejection of the vowels of formation $e, a, u$, $o$ as well as the partial substitution
of the mute $e$, and also the annexing of an inorganic $e$, which we have mentioned above. Derivative forms have seldom suffered a loss in consonants, as dross, Anglosaxou dros-n, game, Anglosaxon gam-en; mill, Anglosaxon myl-en; anvil, Anglosaxon anfil-t; seal, Anglosaxon sêol-h, but also sëol, syl; mare, Anglosaxon mer-ihe, but also mere, myre, and some others. The $u$ in the nominative, arising form a derivative $v$, has sometimes been thrown off, as in meal, Anglosaxon mël-u, -eves; ale, eal-u, -eves and others. Forms of this very sort (which in Anglosaxon have also o instead of $u$ in the nominative singular) prove that English was wont to adhere primarily to the form of the substantive prominent in the nominative. Rarely has any other form become the standard; this is however the case in breech, commonly, breeches, Old-English breek (Maundev.) and breech (ib.) (compare the Anglosaxon nomin. singul. broc, in the genitive, as in the nominative and accusative plural brêc), in which the ee of the plural seems transferred to the singular; as also in the plural brethren, the vowel of the dative singular appears; compare the nominative singular brôđor, dative brêđer, whereas everywhere else $\hat{o}$ is found.

In. regard to the substantives borrowed from the Old.French we find the sane course pursued in English as the French early began to take. Old-French had to a great extent suffered the stem of Latin words appearing in the oblique cases to become the standard for the form of substantives, where it did not appear in the nominative; (compare maison, Latin mansion-is \&c., nuit, Latin noct-is \&c., citet, Latin civitat-is \&c.); but alongside of these, particularly with masculines, the nominative (and vocative) of the singular, distinguished from the other cases by a subjoined $s$ or $x$, mostly according to the analogy of the second Latin declension, but also of the other forms with $s$ in the nominative, whereby a preceding consonant was often excluded (compare coc - cos [Modern-French coq], fils fix [filius], clo, clou - clox [clavus]). The Old-French also preserved a long time distinct forms for the nominative of the singular and for the other cases, quens, cuens (comes), and conte (comitis \&c.); enfes (infans) and enfant (infant-is \&c.); sires (senior with $s$ ) and signeur, signour \&c. (senior-is \&c.), bers (baro, with $s$ ) and baron (baron-is \&c.) and others. But, as even Old-French puts the forms of the French oblique case in the place of the nominative, and Modern-French has almost wholly lost the forms with the letter 8 in the nominative singular, and, where preserved, uses them for all cases (compare fils, filius), English has adopted the oblique case of the French as the fundamental form of the substantive. Compare host, Old-French os, osz, oz - ost, host; ray, Old-French rais rai; glutton, Old-French gloz, glous, gluz - glouton, gluton; baron, Old-French bers - baron; emperor, Old-French emperéres - empereor; traitor, Old-French trahitres, traistres - traitor, trahitour \&c. Even where forms like virge, virgine stand alongside of each other without distinction of case, English has chosen the oblique form: virgin (virgin-is). Remnants of the letter $s$ of formation in the nominative are rare as, in fitz (fils, fix, fiz).

The inflective forms of the substantives which have remained
to the English tongue rest essentially upon the Anglosaxon strong declension of the masculine gender. The formation of the common plural termination $s$, es of almost the eutire number of substantives found decided support in the French plural $s(x)$, which was almost always given, even in Old-French, both to the nominative and to the oblique cases of the plural.

Anglosaxon distinguished a strong and a weak declension of the three genders, exhibiting different forms of declension for masculine and for feminine substantives. The case-terminations of Anglosaxon essentially employed, and among them also one for the rare instrumental, are exhibited in the first strong declension of masculine and feminine substantives, as well as in the first weak one of masculine ones; examples of which are here given:

| Angl. strong declension I. masc. | I. fem. | weak declension I. mase. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. Nom. fisc (fish) | den-u (den) | drop-a (drop) |
| Gen. fisc-es | den-e | drop-an |
| Dat. fisc-e | den-e | drop-an |
| Anc. fisc | den-e | drop-an |
| Instr. fisc-è |  |  |
| Plur Nom. fisc-as | den-a | drop-an |
| Gen. fisc-a | den-ena | drop-ena |
| Dat. fisc-um | den-um | drop-um |
| Acc. fisc-as | den-a | drop-an |

Old-English has already ceased to distinguish the case terminations of the forms in the singular, down to the genitive, which also occasionally vanishes; but in the plural terminations the weak still continues to appear alongside of the strong plural termination, as is more particularly elucidated below.

Modern-English possesses now only one genitive termination, $s$, which arose out of the Anglosaxon es of the genitive of the singular, and has even invaded the plural, as well as a plural termination $s$, es, answering to the termination of the strong first declension, alongside of which also the weak termination en (Anglosaxon an) here and there appears. For the genitive termination in both numbers the case preposition of with the accusative, analogously to the French de, the Danish and Swedish af, and the Hollandish van is substituted. The accusative coincides in form with the nominative. The accusative likewise partly takes the functions of the dative; else the dative relation is expressed by to before the noun, analogously to the French $\grave{\alpha}$ and the Hollandish aan. The Modern-English substantive is accordingly inflected in the following manner, the more particular discussion and limitation whereof is next to be stated:

| I | II. |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. Nom. Acc. book name day | leaf branch | spy fancy hero |
| Gen. book's name's day's |  |  | | leaf's branch's |
| :--- |

## The regular formation of the plural.

By far the most substantives form their plural by an $s$ affixed to the fundamental form. Here belong those ending in consonants, with the exception of sibilants and hissing sounds, and of $f$ in part, as well as those ending in vowels, with the exception of substantives ending in $\hat{y}$ and $\breve{y}$, as well as of a number of those ending in $o$.

The words in $f e$ of Anglosaxon origin which assume $s$, change $f$ into $v$ : life - lives; wife - wives; knife - knives. Exceptions are: strife (Old-French estrif), and fife (from pipare, Anglosaxon pîp (Boswell), Old-norse pîpa, Old-Highdutch phîfa), safe, Old-French salf.

Those which append es to the fundamental form are therefore now to be considered as exceptions, whose $e$ is partly preserved for the sake of the convenience of the pronunciation, and partly has remained faithful to the older orthography of the singular.
a) Accordingly those in $s, s s, x$, a dental $c h$ and $s h$, among which those in $s$ are mostly foreign words and retain in part their foreign termination in the plural (see below), have the plural termination es: genius - geniuses (eminent minds); isthmus - isthmuses; kiss - kisses; glass - glasses; witness - witnesses; fox - foxes; box - boxes; watch - watches; church - churches; fish - fishes; brush - brushes. A single $s$ is doubled: Douglas - Douglasses (W. Scotr).

Among the words ending in th, one has preserved the old plural in es alongside of that in $s$ : cloth - cloths, but, in the meaning of dress: clothes. Clothes is by Walker and others falsely derived from another singular. Compare the Anglosaxon clâđ (strong neuter, in the nom. and acc. plural clâđ), vestimentum; Old-English: Tentes made of clothes (Maundev. p. 233). Clothed in clothes of gold (IB.), the others in th have $s$ merely: smith - smiths, hearth - hearths, path - paths.
b) In words in $f$, with a long vowel, except oo, preceding, of Anglosaxon origin, and in lf, $f$ is changed into $v$ with the accession of es : leaf - leaves; sheaf - sheaves; thief - thieves; loaf - loaves; elf - elves; shelf - shelves; calf - calves; half - halves; wolf - wolves. To these is to be added the French beef - beeves.

Usage is, however, not consistent; alongside of elves and shelves we also find elfs and shelfs. Also reef, Old-norse rif, has reefs; waif, thing without a master, although referred to the Anglosaxon vâfjan, fluctuare, perhaps reposes primarily, as a law term, upon the Old-French gaif, Medieval-Latin wayfium, res vai-
vae, in the legal sense: a stray head of cattle, and has likewise waifs in the plural.

Words of Romance origin likewise retain $f$ with a single s: brief - briefs; fief - fiefs; relief - reliefs; chief chiefs; handkerchief - handkerchiefs; mischief - mischiefs; grief - griefs; coif - coifs; gulf - gulfs.

Words ending in oof, $f f$ and $r f$, without regard to their origin, commonly receive only $s$ in the plural in Modern-English, and preserve the $f$ : roof - roofs; hoof - hoofs; proof - proofs; reproof - reproofs; whiff - whiffs; skiff - skiffs; cliff - cliffs; sheriff - sheriffs; bailiff - bailiffs; mastiff - mastiffs; distaff - distaffs; muff - muffs; ruff - ruffs; puff - puffs; snuff - snuffs; stuff - stuffs; cuff - cuffs; wharf - wharfs; dwarf - dwarf; scarf scarfs; turf - turfs \&c.

Deviating from this we find the plural of wharf - wharves, Anglosaxon hveorfa, hvërfa, mola, verticillus; hvearf, reversio, spatium; Middle-Highdutch warf; Old-norse hwarf, colliculus \&c.; likewise turf - turves; Anglosaxon turf, plural tyrf, cespes, as in Old-English. Staff, commonly forms staves, but also staffs (compare hand-staff - handstaffs) (Webst. a. Worcest.), Anglosaxon stäf - stafas, Old-English o staf - two staves (Piers Ploughman p. 350). Even the strikingly formed mastiff (OldFrench mastin, properly house dog, from maison), in North-Enlish dialects masty, besides the plural mastiffs (Dryden, Swift) has also mastives (Johnson).
e) Substantives ending in $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\breve{\mathrm{y}}$ with a consonant immediately preceding transform their vowel into $\hat{\imath}, \mathfrak{\imath}$ in the plural, and assume es: fly - flîes; spy - spîes; ally - allîes; óutcrŷ óutcrîes; bódy - bódies; cíty - cíties; fáncy - fáncies; stóry - stóries. The latter preserve the old orthography of their singular: citie, fancie (phantasie), storie.

In proper names a final $\breve{y}$ is commonly preserved and $s$ only added: Henry - Henrys; Weakly - Weaklys; Petty Pettys; Pretty - Prettys; Lovely - Lovelys; Quickly -- Quicklys (Lower Engl. Surnames p. 115); although, alongside of these, plurals of names originally generic, Freebodies, Goodbodies (ib.) occur.

If another vowel immediately precedes the $y, s$ is added to the of unchanged fundamental form: key - keys; kidney - kidneys; journey - journeys; day - days; ray - rays; boy - boys. The derivative termination ey is, however, often treated like y , so that we meet here and there the forms: attornies, monies, monkies, vallies, pullies, chimnies, which are rejected as incorrect by grammarians.

The rarely occurring final $\grave{\imath}$ is treated like $y$ : alkali - alkalies.

The $e$ in simile is likewise occasionally transformed into ies: similies (Macklin), yet the plural in commonly similes.
d) Words in 0 , mostly foreign words, commonly receive es in the plural, where $e$ only serves to symbolize the lengthening of the $o$.

This happens where no short $\check{\imath}$ immediately precedes the $o$ : echo - echoes; magnifico - magnificoes; manifesto - manifestoes; motto - mottoes; negro - negroes; potato potatoes; buffalo - buffaloes; flamingo - flamingoes; vulcano - vulcanoes; hero - heroes; calico - calicoes; on the contrary with a $\check{\imath}$ preceding: intaglio - intaglios; nuncio - nuncios; folio - folios; portfolio - portfolios; seraglio - seraglios.

But the usage is settled only in the more familiar forms of substantives of the former sort; we find likewise: mosquitos, porticos, virtuosos, dominos, cantos, grottos \&c.

Of particles in o used substantively we sometimes find plurals which mostly assume a single $s$, but also es. The $s$ is then often separated from $o$ by an apostrophe, in order to render the particle form recognizable: The pros and cons (Webst.) from the Latin pro and contra. O, that your face were not so full of $o$ 's! Shakspeare ed. Collier, Love's L. L. 5, 2.). The aye's and no's of Parliament (Chalmers). All yon fiery oes and eyes of light (Shaksp. Mids. N. Dr. 3, 2.). In russet yeas, and honest kersey noes (Shaksp. Love's L. L. 5, 2.).

The $O^{\prime}$ prefixed to Celtic proper names takes an $s$ in the plural: Even the whigs allowed that, for once, the $O$ ' $s$ and Macs were in the right (Macaulay Hist. of Engl. 7. p. 208. Tauchn.).

The substantives in oo follow the main rule: cuckoo cuckoos; Hindoo - Hindoos.
Note. In general, parts of speech of all kinds used substantively conform to the rules above laid down when they assume a plural form. Yet with particles and other parts of speech the separation of $s$ from a previous vowel or consonant by the apostrophe sometimes occurs, as above remarked with regard to the $s$ after $o$ : The shes of Italy (Shaksp. ed. Collier Cymbel, 1, 4.), that is, women. Happy are the she's that can number amongst their ancestors counts of the Empire (Lady Montague). Your whole conversation is composed of ifs, buts, perhapses, and supposes (Јам. Совв). Talk'st thou to me of $l f f^{\prime \prime}$, audacious traitor? (Rowe). But me no buts, unless you would pass o'er The bridge which few repass (L. Byron). Our to-days and yesterdays Are the blocks with which we build (Longrellow). Yeas and Nays (those voting yea and no) (Webst.).
Old-English, after it had made general the plural termination in $s$ without regard to the final sound of the singular, used chielly in the first place the full form es, for which it also substituted $i s, y s$; these terminations often occur alongside of each other in the same writer. It also transferred them to French words, which had not the vowel; erles, wateres, wodes, lordes, Britones, felawes, faderes, foules, townes, kynges, knyztes, Picardes, emperoures (Rob. of Gloucester), londes, berdes, weyes, townes, hilles, relikes, cubites. castelles \&c. (Maundev), werkes, wordes, weddynges, goodes, hestes, lordes, preestes, shereves (sheriffs), bargaynes, burgeises; beggeris, bidderis, londleperis, flatereris \&c. (Piers Ploughman). Alongside of these a single $s$, also $z$, appears more frequently in Romance words: persons, sisours, curaMätzner, engl. Gr. I.
tours, bailliffs, artz, experimentz, sergauntz \&c. (Piers Plocghman); resons, conditions, surgiens, phisiciens, officers, perils, conseils, subgets, cosins, germains, testaments, contracts \&c. (Chaucer). Words ending in a single consonant, as, particularly, $r$ in an unaccented syllable, often reject the $e$, as beggers, singers, kaysers, flaterers, ladders \&c.; but others, as evils, hyls, maydens, lordings, stirrops \&c.; which often stand alongside of the fuller forms, compare hillys and hyls (Percy Rel. p. 2. II.), flatereris and flaterers (Piers Ploughman p. 271.). Even in the sixteenth and the first half of the seventeenth century no fixed principle prevails, even in Romance words, in the choice of $s$ and es. Skelton still writes: lyppes, wormes, buyldynges, frendes and frendis, yeres and yeris, knyghtes, hartes and hartis, princis and lordes, actes, barones, seruauntes \&c. along with'seruants, castels, waters, cofers, systers \&c. Nuts, peares, plumbes. greene beanes are found in Taylors Workes 1630. I. 97. Stephen's Essayes and Characters 2. ed. 1650. In the second half of the seventeenth century the principle is established to let es come in chiefly after sibilant and hissing sounds, and thenceforward $e$ is gradually restricted to a few other cases.

## Irregular Formation of the Plural.

Forms departing from the above mentioned formation of the plural appear at present as irregular. They are of various kinds.
a) Some plural forms rest solely upon a variety of spelling; whereby there arise some duplicate forms, which have been made use of to distinguish separate significations. Here belong:
penny, Anglosaxon pending, pening, penig, a small coin; the plural pennies denotes only the single concrete piece of money; the form pence is the term for the value. The latter proceeded from the former and was spelt pens in Old-English: Thei boughte Jesu for 30 penyes (Maundev. p. 83.). There caste Judas the 30 pens before hem (ib. p. 93.). It hathe cost me pence And grotes many one (Skelton I. p. 236.). For one shot of five pence thou shalt have five thousand welcomes (Shakspenre Two Gentlem. of Ver.).
die, French dé, forms the plurals dice and dies, a stamp; the Old-English has the plural deys (Weber), dees (Piers Plovghm. and Gower in Halliwell s. v.) and dis (Chaucer). He won it me with false dice (Shakspeare Much Ado ab. N.)
pea, Anglosaxon pisa, piosa, Old-French pois, peis, Latin pisum forms the plural peas and pease, the latter of which is regarded as collective. The Old-English has the singular pese and the plural pesen (Anglosaxon pisa, -an) (Maundev. p. 199), but also peses (Piers Ploughm. p. 128.) alongside of pesen (p. 129.). Even Maundeville uses also pese as a plural; peasen was still in use in the seventeenth century (J. Wallis p. 69).
b) A few irregular plural forms are remnants of the strong declen-
sion of the Anglosaxon. To the second strong declension of the masculine correspond:
man, plural men; Anglosaxon mann, plural menn, men. Compound substantives follow the simple: woman - women, Anglosaxon vîfmann, vîmmann, vimmann, vemman, with which we may compare mägdenmann, virgo; merman - mermen, placed by the side of mermaid, which in Anglosaxon was meremenn, nympha, compare the Old-Highdutch merminni; and so a great multitude of others: alderman, nobleman, yeoman, penman, footman, oarsman, boatman, seaman, countryman, kinsman, huntsman, coachman, chapman, churchman \&c., to which also names of nations, as Frenchman, Englisman, Scotchman \&c. belong. Yet here Norman - Normans, German - Germans are excepted, whose names, in as much as they have passed through the Romance, no longer remind us of their origin, although the Anglosaxon possessed Normann alongside of Norđmann. Those not compounded of man are of course not regarded, as Ottoman - Ottomans, Mussulman Mussulmans \&c.

Proper names compounded of man are likewise withdrawn from the old plural form; whence the plurals Brightmans, Flatmans, Wisemans, Truemans, Goodmans \&c.

The old word leman, Old-English lemman, also lefmon, that is lefe man, leef man (originally used of both sexes), takes $s$ in the plural, as even in Old-English it received $s$ and es: He hadde 300 lemmannes (Maundev.p.72.); lemmans of knyghtes (Piers Ploughman p. 431.); lemmannes (ib. p. 303.).
foot, plural feet, Anglosaxon fôt, plural fêt; Old-English foot fete; along with which old plural forms are also found: fotez, fottis (Halliwell s. vr.), and so occasionally in Modern-English foots: By these dear fragrant foots and little toes (Otway Venice preserv. London 1796. p. 107), in a comic scene. In proper names $s$ likewise appears in the plural: Lightfoots \&c.
tooth, plural teeth; Anglosaxon tô才, plural têd.
To the second strong declension of feminines belong:
mouse, plural mice; Anglosaxon mûs, plural mŷs; Old-English mous - mys, mees; myse in Skelton I. 61. Likewise compounds, as shrewmouse, rear-mouse \&c.
louse, plural lice; Anglosaxon lûs, plural lŷs; Old-English lous - lys; also compounds, like crab-louse \&c.
goose, plural geese; Anglosaxon gôs, plural gês; Old-English gos - gees; to which compounds, as stubble-goose \&c.
cow, plural kine alongside of cows; Anglosaxon cû, plural cŷ, (genitive cûna); Old-English ku - kyen; Percy Rel. p. 120. I. has the plural kye from the $16^{\text {th }}$ century. The form kine is chiefly to be found in poets, but it is also met with in prosewriters; in poetry, for instance: And there he blasts the trees . And makes milch-kine yield blood (Shakspeare Merry Wiv. 5, 1.). The kine of the pasture shall feel the dart that kills (Bryant). Round about him were numberless herds of kine (Longfellow); and in prose: His stores of oatmeal were brought out: kine were slaugh-
tered (Macaulay Hist. of Engl. 5. p. 30.). The ne (en) perhaps springs from the weak declension.
c) Other plural forms rest upon the weak Anglosaxon declension, which has already penetrated into substantives originally strong, which sofar unite a double plural form.
eye; plural, sometimes even in Modern-English eyen, eyne along with the usual eyes; Anglosaxon eáge, plural eágan; Old-English eighe, igh, also e, ee, even now Scottish ee, plural eyzen, eighen and eighes (Piers Ploughm.) also eyen, eyenen, ein, eene, Scottish een. Eyen and eyne in Skelton; eyne in Spenser and Shakspeare Love's L. L. 5, 2. Mids. N. Dr. 1, 1. 2, 2. alongside of eyes. The forms ee, plural een, are used by W. Scott and Byron, and are still in use in Lancashire, Westmoreland and Cumberland.
ox, plural oxen; Anglosaxon oxa, plural oxan, has remained till now faithful to the ancient form.
hose, plural hosen, for which hose is now substituted; Anglosaxon hose, plural hosan, Old-English hose - hosen.
shoe, has a more ancient plural shoon alongside of the modern shoes; Anglosaxon scôh, scô, plural scôs, but also scôn; Old-English sho, scho - shoon, shone and shoos; Scottish sho - shoon; shoon is even now in use in Westmoreland, sheaun in Yorkshire. W. Scott uses shoon; also Lord Byron: He wore his sandal-shoon (Childe Har.).
child, plural children, Anglosaxon cild according to the strong form of declension, plural cîld and, with $r$ (er) inserted, as often in Anglosaxon, cildru. The en is added, and is often wanting in Old-English: Yt was no childer game (Percy Rel. p. 94. II.). His childre three (Townel. Myst. p. 35). Thus, moreover, Old-English, instead of lambs, has the plural lambren, for which also lamben occurred, formed, after the Anglosaxon lamb, plural lambru, (Piers Ploughm. p. 307.; Lydgate Minor Poems ed. Halliw. p. 169.), ayren, eyren alongside of egges, eggys, after the Anglosaxon äg, plural ägru, ägeru, instead of eggs, of which eyren in Caxton's time was the usual form in Kent; calveren, according to the Anglosaxon cealf, calf, plural cealfru, instead of calves.
brother, plural brethren alongside of brothers, Anglosaxon anomalously, brôđor (dative singular brêđer), plural brôđru and brôđra; Old-English sing. broder, brother, brether, plural breder, brether, bredere (Towneley Myster) and bretheren, brethren. The OldEnglish formed analogously suster, sister - sustren, sisteren, Anglosaxon sveostor, svyster - sveostra; and dozter, doughter doztren, doughtren, Anglosaxon dôhter - dôhtra. - In prose brothers is now commonly used of brothers as children of a family; brethren in a lofty style and ecclesiastical language, mostly figuratively. Compare in the proper sense: Joseph . . the which had VII brethren (Skelton I. p. 203). For who is amongst them whose brethren, parents, children, wives or sisters Have not partook oppression..? (L. Byron); and figuratively in comparison with brothers: Call not thy brothers brethren! Call me not Mother (id.)

The number of plurals in en is pretty considerable in Rob. of Gloucester. Besides the forms above named, still to be met with
in subsequent writers, there are here found by way of example forms in part justifiable, belonging in Anglosaxon to the weak declension, as arwen, Anglosaxon areve, -an (I. 48,); steden, horses, Anglosaxon stêda, -an (I. 185.); schiren, Anglosaxon scire, -an (I. 60.); sterren, Anglosaxon steorra, -an (I. 229); ameten, Anglosaxon æmete, -an (I. 296.); chyrchen, Anglosaxon cyrice, -ëan and -an (I. 319.); hassen, Anglosaxon assa, -an (II. 404.); massen, Anglosaxon mässe, -an (II. 405.); been, Anglosaxon beó, -n and -an (II. 493.); and in part such as are not justifiable through the Anglosaxon, as belonging to a strong form of declension: tren, Anglosaxon treov, -es (I. 1.); lesen, common partures, Anglosaxon læsu, -ve, now dialectically lease (iв.); heueden, heads, Anglosaxon heáfud, -es (I. 261.); applen, apples, Anglosaxon appel, -es (I. 283.); candlen, Anglosaxon candel, -e feminine and -esneutr. (I. 290.); soulen, souls, Anglosaxon savel, -e (I. 319.); honden, hands, Anglosaxon hand, -e (I. 345.); hyden, of land, Anglosaxon hyd, -e (II. 374.); benen, beans, Anglosaxon beán, -e (II. 495.) and others. Even Romance words. are referred here, as unclen, Old-French oncle, uncle (I. 87.): lancen, Old-French lance, lanche (I. 185.) and others; adjectives which have become substantives, as fon, enemies, Anglosaxon fà adject. \&c. These plurals are proportionately numerous even at the end of the fourteenth century. Many still live only dialectically, as ashen, housen, still in use in the seventeenth century, and others.
d) Some plurals are of the same sound as their singulars.

1) These are such Anglosaxon neuters of the strong form as are not distinguished in the nominative and accusative of the plural from the like cases of the singular. Here belong some names of beasts, as:
neat, plural neat, Anglosaxon neát, pecus, bestia; now little used in the singular: for ex. weat's tongue, taken collectively in the plural.
deer, plural deer, Anglosaxon deór, bestia.
sheep, plural sheep, Anglosaxon scæp, ovis. The form sheeps is rare; compare: Two hot sheeps. (Sharsp. Love's L. L. II. 1.); Old-English also shep.
swine, plural swine, Anglosaxon svîn, sus; Old-English also swyn.
horse, plural horse, alongside of the usual horses, Anglosaxon hors, equus. Horse occurs in the plural only collectively of cavalry, as is wont to be regarded.
Of another kind are Anglosaxon neuters, which had already the character of collectives in the singular.
folk, plural folk and folks, Anglosaxon folc; populus, gens. Common usage gives the plural an $s$, if the image of the individuals comes into the foreground. The singular is commonly used for people in general: Not to thinketh the folk of the village (Longpellow). - I'll make him marry more folks than one (Sileridan). There are some gentlefolks below to wait upon Lord Foppington (id.). The weeping isle That sends the Boston folks their cod, shall smile (Bryint). Old-English uses the plural form with $s$,
primarily in the meaning of nations: Where dwellen many dyverse Folkes, and of dyverse Maneres and Lawes (Maundev. p. 4.). Yet folk and folkes are used for people in general: Thanne longen folk to gon on pilgrimages (Cinaucer C. T. 12). What thar the recch or care How merily that other folkes fare? (iв. 5911.). To the word folk the word people has been early assimilated, and used in the general meaning without $s$. Compare the Old-Engl.: Fyve thousand peple (Piers Ploughm. p. 328.). Modern-English These people, however fallen, are still men (Goldsmiti). These people of the northern parts of Scotland were not one nation, but divided in two (W. Scotr). I have given over fifty people in my time, who have recovered afterwards (James Cobb.). The plural peoples stands for: nations in the translation of the Bible; Chambers used it in his Information for the People, Lond. 1849: Considering the remoteness of the various peoples from oue another (p. 29. II.) et ibidem (p. 31. I.).
kindred, is given by Worcester with the double plural kindred and kindreds. In the Anglosaxon I do not find cyndred; as a compound of ræden it would be of the feminine gender, yet hîvrêd, familia, and hundred, centum, of the neuter gender, occur. The Old-English form is kinrede, kynrede, kunrede.

An Anglosaxon neuter of another sort is pound, Anglosaxon pund in singular and plural, which sometimes, even in the plural, sounds pound, but commonly pounds. Old-English: Folle-prytty pousend pound (Rob. of Gloucester I. 297.). Thritti thousent pound askede he (Percy Rel. p. 90. I.). For singulars of like meaning, used instead of the plural, see below.
2) An Anglosaxon feminine substantive attaches itself to these forms: score, which remains unchanged in the plural; Anglosaxon scor, plural scora, incisura, numerus vicinarius. The likeness is explained by the loss of the final vowel, hence: They reign'd the monarchs of a score of miles (H. Walpole) and threescore, 60; fourscore, 80 \&c. So too in Old-English: Many score thousand (Piers Plocgimm. p. 349.). Twenty score paces (Percy Rel. p. 46.).
3) The great number of adjectives ased as substantives do not to a great extent change their form in the plural. They are for the most part originally Anglosaxon, but also Romance adjectives. First of all belong here the comparatives and superlatives, as well as the participial forms in ing and ed. The vestiges of an ancient inflection have long been lost. For particulars see below; on the Adjective, where mention is made of those which have completely passed over into the inflection of substantives. For the sake of example compare: The proud are taught to taste of pain (Gray). Lamentations ill become us, When the good are ravish'd from us (H. Walpole). The rich with us have two sources of wealth, whereas the poor have but one (Goldsmitil). The brave should ever love each other (ID.). The vile are only vain; the great are proud (L. Byron). At the hour of council.. I shall not Be found among the absent (ID.). And must they fall, the young, the proud, the brave? (iD.). Blessed
are the pure before God (Longrellow). And I was healed as the sick are healed (ID.) - Though twenty thousand worthier came to crave her (Shakspeare). The vilest here excel me (Milton). - But how to think of what the living know not, And the dead cannot, or else may not tell (J. Hughes). For the blinded and the suffering Alone were at his side (Whittier). Old-English still frequently inflected with a plural $e$, which appears to correspond to the Anglosaxon $e$ of the adjective in the plural of the strong form of declension; compare Anglosaxon bald, plural balde; audax, audaces; blind, plural blinde; coecus, coeci \&c. Old-English: Of alle manere of men The meene and the riche (Piers Ploughm. p. 2.). And the povere fede (ib. p. 6.). Amonges poore and riche (IB. p. 278.). The gode shulle gon to Paradys, and the evele to Helle (Maundev. p. 132.). Yet the $e$ also was early cast off: Though it be songe of old and yonge (Percy Rel. p. 97. II.). This $e$ is also extended to participial forms: One of Goddes chosene (Piers Ploughm. p. 209.); it is often wanting in those in ed in Piers Ploughman.

Some few original adjectives fluctuate; here belong: heathen, plural heathen and heathens, Anglosaxon hæđen, Adj.
4) The case is rare that substantives ending in hissing sounds lose their $s$ in the plural, as is sometimes the case in the genitive, if the substantive ends in $s$ or ce. Older instances are: Madame regent of the scyence seuyn (Chaccer I. p. 363.). These two Antipholus', these two so like (Shakspeare Com. of Errors extr.); whereas elsewhere Antipholuses stands in the same author.
5) Latin words of the fourth and fifth declension sometimes retain their forms of the same sound in the nominative of the plural as in the singular, as apparatus, hiatus, series and others, but apparatuses', hiatuses, serieses \&c. also occur.
e) Many foreign words have irregular plurals, alongside whereof forms gradually Anglicised become gradually more current.

1) Here we reckon Latin and originally Greek words, which follow the second and third Latin declension, like many in us: incubus - incubi and incubuses; radius - radii and radiuses; focus - foci and focuses; fungus - fungi and funguses; chorus - chori and choruses; genius - genii, but geniuses \&c.; so too triumvir - triumviri and triumvirs; on the other hand the plural magi from magus is usual, as also antiscii, periscii, antœci, anthropophagi \&c., which usually occur only in the plural. Words in um, on often have their original plural in $a$, but also in $s$ : elysium - elysia and elysiums; memorandum - memoranda and memorandums; stratum - strata, rarely stratums, and others, but forms like exordiums, millenniums, decorums are not unusual; automaton (um) - automata and automatums; criterion (um) - criteria and criteriums; phenomenon - phenomena, very unusually phenomenons. The plurals effluvia, errata, arcana, data, and some others, from words in um are still very common. Words in is, not increasing by a syllable in
inflection in the Latin, retain es, in the English plural: axis axes; oasis - oases; ellipsis - ellipses; parenthesis parentheses; hypothesis - hypotheses and the like. Words in $x$ (ix, ex), increasing by a syllable in the Latin, commonly have an English regular form alongside of their Latin one: calx - calces and calxes; calix - calices and calixes; vortex - vortices and vortexes; to the double plural forms index: indices (Exponents of numbers) and indexes (to books) different meanings are annexed; with others the English plural form is hardly found, as from apex - apices. Latin or Greek words in is, increasing in inflection, retain their Latin and Greek inflection: iris - irides; ascaris - ascarides; cantharis - cantharides. Words in en with an increasing form of inflection incline towards the English inflection: omen - omens (Goldsmith), stamen - stamens (this only in Botany) else stamina. Dogma forms dogmas and dogmata, exanthema - exanthemata and so others in $m a$; genus has genera; regale - regalia, in the Latin form.
2) Some originally Hebrew words have preserved their plural in im alongside of the regular English one: seraph-seraphim and seraphs; cherub - cherubim and cherubs. The form $i m$ has also been treated as a singular and formed a plural cherubims.
3) A few French words which have become naturalized in English are here and there found with a French plural termination, as beau - beaux and beaus; manteau - manteaux, on the other hand commonly portmanteau - portmanteaus \&c.; monsieur - messieurs and the like.

Italian plurals in $i$ from singulars in $o$ or $e$ are likewise used: banditto - banditti; virtuoso - virtuosi; dilettante dilettanti; cognoscente - cognoscenti; conversazione - conversazioni \&c.

## Plural formation of compound substantives.

The plurals of compound substantives present upon the whole no peculiarities, so far as these words, as inseparable bodies, must regularly subjoin the inflective termination to their last constituent, where they have to assume a plural form. Yet the English compounding is partly of a looser kind, so as to let the syntactical relation of their elements glimmer through, whereby some anomalies arise in the inflection. In general the following rules obtain:

1) If a substantive is compounded of substantives, standing in a direct relation to each other, that is to say, appearing joined to each other, either by way of apposition or of addition, the last alone is inflected:
peacock - peacocks; cuckoo-bird - cuckoo-birds (Shakspenre); oaktree - oak-trees; fellow-servant - fellow-servants; merchantman - merchant-men; my fellow-scholars (Shakspeare Merry Wives); to encrust the bones of merchant-dukes (L. Byron Ch. Har.). The shepherd kings of patriarchal times (id. Sardanapal).

Earl-Marshal - Earl-Marshals; hence we find also, with the prefixing of the word Lord in the plural Lord Lieutenants (Сrabb Hist. of Engl. Law p. 541.); on the other hand also; the power of the Lords Marchers (ib. p. 441).
2) If the substantives stand in an indirect relation, the fundamental word is inflected: gunstock - gunstocks; fruit-tree - fruit-trees; cabinet-maker - cabinet-makers. Hence, when the determining substantive is subjoined with a preposition, the preceding substantive is inflected: sister-in-law - sisters-in-law; commander-inchief - commanders-in-chief.
3) If a substantive is compounded with an adjective preceding it, only the substantive is capable of inflection: blackbird - blackbirds; wild-geese; if the adjective follows the substantive, the substantive is ordinarily provided with the plural termination, as in knight-errant - knights-errant; court-martial - courts-martial ; yet no agrement is here to be sound. Halliwell forms the plural knights-errants (see Hall. Dict. s. v. Graal), and with regard to words compounded with ful: mouthful, handful, spoonful, ladleful, lapful \&c. opinions diverge about the annexing of the $s$ to the first or the second word. But in general the spelling handfuls is preferred to handsful: Tond same cloud cannot choose but fall by pailfuls (Sharsp. Temp. 2. 2.). Handfuls or small parcels of anything (Halliwell s. v. culpons); mouthfuls (Webst. and Worcest.). Handful is also found unaltered in the plural: For of the lower end two handful It hat devoured, 'twas so manful (Butler); and this is the Old-English mode: pritti schipful of men (Rob. of Gloucester I. 39.); myd pre schipful of kny3tes (ib. 111.)
4) If the composition consists of a substantive with a particle subjoined the substantive receives the sign of the plural: holderforth - holdersforth (Webst. and Worcest.); hanger-on -hangers-on.
5) If a preceding verbal element is compounded with a substantive, the inflection goes to the substantive: spend-thrift - spend-thrifts; it likewise goes to the last element if no substantive at all is contained in the compound: Lazy lubbers, good-for-nothings (Four old Plays. Cambridge 1848. Gloss. s. v. slowches) The lovely stars, the forget-me-nots of the angels (Longfellow).

## Peculiarities in the use of the Numerals.

The singular supposes the image of an individual, apart from the further determination of the object imagined, as a unit: the plural contains the image of a plurality of individuals. The nature of the object governs the possibility of imagining it in the plural; whence all classes of substantives are not alike capable of the plural formation.

The plural changes in general naught in the notion of the object; yet the image of a thing as a whole, conditioned by the plural, may give the noun a modified or a different meaning.

The plural supposes indeed a-singular; but objects which are
wont to occur in the plural in common experience, may lose their singular form, or, at least, the use of them may become very limited.

Primitive plurals may excite the image of a single, though compound object, and thence take the character of singulars; as, conversely, a single object may excite a collective image, thereby taking the nature of a plural. Negligence in speech may also in familiar words cast off the inflective termination, a singular form thereby taking the place of the plural. We shall consider numerals from these four points of view.
a) The various classes of substantives have in various degrees the capacity of forming a plural.

1) Names of sorts, in the narrower sense, or concrete names of sorts are most capable of the plural formation, since their singulars denote concrete individuals: man - men; house houses; flower - flowers; field - fields \&c. The terms for individuals too, belonging to a people or a place, are names of sorts, and have a plural form, unless they are adjectives used substantively, and retaining, as such, the adjective form: Celts, Germans, Saxons, Londoners \&c.
2) Proper names form a plural according to two regards:
") when they denote a plurality of individuals of the same name: As I hate hell, all Montagues and thee (Shafsp.). The revolution which drove out the Tarquins (Tytler). One Macdonald is worth two Camerons (Macadlay). In the midland counties of Scotland, such as the three Lothians (W. Scott). If a substantive determination in this case precedes the proper name, as a title or a second name, only the last proper name is usually inflected: Three doctor Faustuses (Shaksp. Merry Wives). If he were twenty sir John Falstaffs (ib.). The two doctor Thomsons (Goldsmiti). One of the miss Flamboroughs (id.). Yet in regard to names with a title preceding no complete agreement obtains; we also say, especially in superscriptions: to the Misses Howard; to Messrs Thomson \&c., with an inflection of the title merely. If another name of a sort precedes the name of a sort, as a determination of it, only the first name of the sort is inflected: the brothers Thomson; the cousins Wilberforce.
$\beta$ ) if they become names of sorts in a figurative meaning: I demanded who were the present theatrical writers in vogue, who the Drydens and Otways of the day? (Goldsmith). Not so are Molières and Shakspeares allowed to manifest their strength (Lewes). Even here prefixed titles and proper names remain unchanged: May there not be Sir Isaac Ncutons in every science? (Watts.).
3) Collective names are of course capable of the plural formation, if totalities of individuals exist in a plural, as armies, assemblies, forests, tribes, crowds \&c.
4) Names of materials appear in the plural, if they are distinguished in kind, as oil, oils (different sorts of oils); or if subjects consisting of materials are named simply by their material: copper, coppers, silk, silks, iron, irons, sand, sands. The Poetic view often takes names of materials in the plural as the expres-
sion of separate masses or of such as are renewed repeatedly: As in the summer-time the thirsty sands Drink the swift waters of the Manzanares (Longfellow). White as the snows of heaven (J. Hughes). Cool shades and dews are round my way (Bryant). No more the cabin smokes rose wreathed and blue (id.). Come when the rains Have glazed the snow (id.). This manner of expression is also not foreign to the nobler prose.
5) Abstract substantives appear in the plural, partly if the notion is distinguished by sorts, partly if properties or activities are represented as belonging to different persons or as activities repeated: Local jealousies and local interests had brought his army together (Macaulay). The dog is ever the friend of his friend, and enters into all his predilections and animosities (Mavor). It is chiefly in warm or temperate latitudes that all the beauties of his form, and the energies of his character are displayed (with regard to the horse) (ib.). I'll see Castalio, tax him with his falsehoods (Otway). Vasco de Gama, a man of great abilities (J. Barrow). - Wherein has Caesar thus deserved your loves? (Sharspeare Jul. C.). Sure, something more than fortune joined your loves (Rowe). Our lives are rivers gliding free To that unfathomed, boundless sea, The silent grave (Longrellow). I bette: bore The deaths of the two sons Heaven took from me Than Jacopo's disgrace (L. Byron). - Indeed! - By all our loves! (Otway). 'Twere ten thousand pities (Sheridan). The wills above be done (Shaksp. Temp.). O let the soul her slumbers break (Longrellow). If the abstract substantive is taken concretely, the plural needs no further explanation: On the legs (of the camel) are six callosities (Mavor). Yet the substantive is often taken concretely only in the plural, as, in effect, effects; sweeping, sweepings.
b) In connection with the plurals above discussed stands the apparent transmutation of the meaning of the substantive in the plural. But a difference arises through a notion's being taken either in a metaphorical, restricted or amplified meaning in the plural, or because subjects express in the plural a single compound thing. Here substantives of all classes come under review. Many of these plurals have been taken from other tongues.
6) Taken in a metaphorical, restricted or amplified meaning, for example, are substantives like respect, respects; honour, honours; state, states; part, parts; attack, attacks; force, forces; spirit, spirits; vapour, vapours; grain, grains; ground, grounds, and many more. The number of these words is great.
7) A compound whole is likewise often expressed by denoting the single ingredients, which must likewise often be taken in a metaphorical meaning. Compare lead, leads; colour, colours; stock, stocks; chap, chaps; blind, blinds; stay, stays; bead, beads; scale, scales; drawer, drawers; spectacle, spectacles; stair, stairs; nipper, nippers; table, tables; letter, letters. Even abstract substantives present in the plural the image of a totality of activities, as draught, draughts; in a metaphorical meaning even the place to
which the repeated activity relates may be present in the total image: sounding, soundings; inning, innings
c) Many substantives occur only or hardly ever save in the plural. English owes many plurals of this sort to its fundamental tongues, whereby the nonexistence of an English singular is explained. In a grammar it suffices to characterize this numerous class in general terms.
8) They are partly names of kinds, denoting persons or personified beings, which are commonly mentioned only in their totality, although they may also be mentioned here and there in the singular; and partly adjectives used substantively, and among them foreign words, which belong to scientific usage. Instances are: ancients; moderns (both seldom in the singular); parents (certainly usual in the singular for father or mother); ostmen, Danish settlers in Ireland; commons (used as a substantive in the singular for a common pasture); waits (Old-French gaite, waite); the Latin manes, penates \&c. Hyades, Pleiades, also in the English form Hyads, Pleiads; caryatides and caryates (in the singular also caryatid); the geographical terms ascii (also ascians with the singular ascian), amphiscii, antiscii, periscii, antoci, periœci, antipodes, (rare in the singular antipode) and others, as anthropophagi, acephali (the name of a sect), literati, and many more.

With these are associated names of mountains, islands, countries and so forth, which are to be regarded as proper names of a multitude: Alps (rarely alp=mountain), Apennines, Pyrenees \&c. Azores, Maldives, Ladrones, Hebrides \&c. Netherlands, Low Countries, Indies (East Indies, West Indies) as distinguished from ancient India \&c.; further, geographical terms, as Dardanelles \&c.
2) Concrete names of things of this class are divided into several groups.
a) Many substantives relate to a dual, or double articulation, in which the objects appear.

Here belong organic double members: meninges (Greek $\mu \dot{\eta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon s$ from $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \dot{\xi} \xi$, skin), the integuments of the brain; lights, lungs (Anglosaxon lungen, only plural); reins, kidneys (compare Latin renes); hypochondres (Greek inoyóvder $\alpha$ ), hence also perhaps posteriors, Latin posteriora; genitals, Latin genitalia, as mustaches (alongside of mustach) and whiskers (compare the Highdutch wisch). The clothing of two limbs: mittens (French mitaine); spatts and spatterdashes; especially the names for the clothing of the legs: breeches (Anglosaxon plural brêc from brôc, Latin braccae), in the singular commonly meaning buttock; also brogues (in Suffolk; elsewhere brogue is a wooden shoe); trowsers, French trousses; slops (Anglosaxon slop, indumentum); overalls; galligaskins (gallo-vascones, caligae Vasconum) now facetiously; in conversational speech: inexpressibles, nondescripts \&c.' Tools having two legs or levers: scissors

French ciseaux) and shears (rarely in the singular, Old-Highdutch scâri, Middle-Highdutch schaere; compare Anglosaxon scär, vomer); snuffers (in the singular one who snuffs); pincers, pinchers (compare the French pincette), tongs (Anglosaxon tange); pliers, plyers; tweezers (compare the Highdutch zwicke); calipers (compare caliber from the Arabic kalbah, French calibre); hence also perhaps nutcrackers. Pells mean the parchments of the treasury, pellis acceptorum and exituum.
$\beta$ ) Others express objects existing together in an indefinite multitude, or consisting of several parts.
Here belong expressions for organic parts, particularly: entrails (French entrailles); intestines (rarely in the singular, Latin intestina); inwards (rarely in the sing.); bowel s (Old-French boel, boiele); whereas guts (Anglosaxon guttas, only plural) in English is in use also in the singular gut; chitterlings (compare Anglosaxon cvid, uterus and the Highdutch kutteln); numbles also humbles (compare the French nomble, Latin lumbulus); giblets (compare the French gibelotte; vitals.

Pieces of clothing, as compounded of several parts: weeds (Anglosaxon væd, vestimentum), rare in the singular; regimentals; pontificals, Latin pontificalia; canonicals; hence also weapons, as arms, even in Latin arma, rare in the sing.; greaves, also graves (Old-French greves, Medieval-Latin grevae) (perhaps because of the double piece), as also tasses (Old-French tassetes de corcelet = corselet?), legplates (properly from the waist to the knee). Here also belongs trappings, properly from the saddle cloth (compare the span. port. trapo, French drap).

Compound products of human activity generally: clayes (French claie); shambles (Anglosaxon scamol); stews (Anglosaxon stov).

Agglomerations or aggregates of all sorts: ashes, embers, cinders (also cinder); raments; dregs (Old-English dregg), lees (unusual in the sing.), faeces; molasses, melasses; spraints; hards, hurds; lesses.

Provisions: victuals; eatables; drinkables; viands; greens; delicates; groats (compare Anglosaxon grytt, grot, fragmentum); oats, rarely oat, save in compounds (Anglosaxon âta); fesels (compare Latin faselus).

Moneys and Revenues: annats; estovers (Old-French verb estofer), legal maintenance; esplees (Old-French espleit), complete income of an estate; emblements (Old-French embler); proceeds; thirdings, the third of the produce of the harvest, which falls to the landlord at the death of the tenant; vails, vales; wages (Old-French gage, wage); pentecostals (to the clergy) \&c.

Materials and subjects, which are commonly used collectively: materials (in use also in the sing.); woollens; movables; combustibles; abstergents (commonly, adjectives used as substantives).

Games, in which the subjects are to be imagined as multiplied: nine-holes; ninepins; billiards; loggats; hotcockles (French hautes coquilles?) \&c.

Diseases, so far as they are determined by their symptoms, when abstract substantives also appear: measles (in the singular, a leper); jardes (French jardon); lampers, also lampas, a disease of horses; vives, fives (French avives), a horse disease; whites; shingles; hemorrhoids, emeroids, emerods.
Extensions in space $\vdots$ environs; marches (Anglosaxon mearc).

Literary productions: annals, memoirs, epics.
3) Abstract substantives occur more rarely in the plural only. Yet there belong here:
A considerable number of names of Sciences, as totalities of doctrines, of principles or of knowledge, as ethics, optics, œconomics, politics (formerly, in the sing. a politician), mathematics, metaphysics, mnemonics, numismatics, dialectics (also in the sing.), dioptrics, hydraulics, hydrostatics, gnomonics, and other adjectives in ic used as substantives; even in iac: genethliacs.

Feasts, solemnities and formalities occur, mostly after the precedent' of other tongues, likewise in the plural: Bacchanalia and bacchanals, orgies (rare in the sing.), Lupercalia (sing. Lupercal in Shakspeare), encenia \&c., exequies (Latin exsequiae), obsequies, rarely in the sing. (French obsèques), espousals (French épousailles), nuptials (compare Latin nuptiae); with which determinations of time are associated, as calends, ides, nones (nonae), matins (French matines), vespers (French vêpres) \&c., in which the activities falling on them are in part disregarded.

We must also apprehend as a comprehension or repetition of activities plural substantives like thanks (Anglosaxon panc); attentates, a judicial process after an injunction or appeal, and similar ones; as also the facetious sullens (from the Anglosaxon syljan), is to be taken like the dumps, also in use in the singular.
d) The use of the plural instead of the singular, and conversely, is on the whole limited. Many forms which are reckoned here are of unknown origin.

1) Some plurals have in fact become singulars in speech. They then partly run in the plural the same as in the singular, and have partly developed a new plural out of the original plural form. Here belong: odds, sing. and plur. (perhaps belongs to the Gothic aups, Old-norse audr, Old-Highdutch odi, ModernHighdutch öde $=$ desertus, vacuus; also at present edd means in dialects, lonely, alone; the Cymric od seems borrowed from the English), inequality, difference, advantage: - means, sing. and plur. (Old-French meien, moien): - news, commonly treated as a singular, but also as a plural in the same form. Compare: Thus answer I . . . But hear these ill news with the ears of Claudio
(Silarspeare Much Ado ab. Noth.); as a singular even in Skelton: I am glad to hear that newes (Merie Tales). - bellows, singular and plural (Old-norse belgr, Anglosaxon belg, bulga), wrongly contended to be a singular. Compare: Flattery is the bellows blows up $\sin$ (Shakspeare Pericl. 1, 2.). They watched the laboring bellows, And as its panting ceased . . Merrily laughed (Longfellow). - gallows, with a new formed plural gallowses, even in Shakspeare Cymb. 5, 4. (Anglosaxon galga). - pox and small-pox, alongside of which the proper singular form pock occurs, are regarded as singulars (Anglosaxon pocc, poc). - Other words are here and there treated as singulars, as amends (French amende), even sessions. Compare: I'll try him only for a sessions or two longer, upon his good behaviour John Gay); even the names of books Apocrypha and Hexapla. - Here a few compounds are also to be reckoned, which, as terms for coins according to the number of units composing them, have assumed quite the nature of singulars and form new plurals: sixpence, plur. sixpences; ninepence, plur. ninepences; twopence, plur. twopences. Compare: Of seven groats in mill-sixpences (Shakspeare Merry Wiv. 1, 2.).

We must regard as a cognate syntactical license the use of a multitude in the singular as the term for a college: The Forty hath decreed a month's arrest (L. Byron Mar. Faliero). The Forty doth salute The Prince of the Republic (id.); on the other hand: The Forty are but men (ID). Thus too other enumerated units are construed as totalities with the singular of the verb: Every twenty paces gives you the prospect of some villa, and every four hours that of a large town (Lady Montague). Here three parts of the business is left for me to do (Goldsmith). Other apparent combinations of a verb in the singular with plurals have to be explained in the Doctrine of the Verb and in the syntax.
But another class of these words consits of original singulars: alms passes for the sing. and the plur. (Anglosaxon älmässe, द) speare alms as a singular). - riches is now taken as a plural (Old-French richesce, ricece, Old-English sing. richesse, plural richesses; riches in Shakspeare sing. and plur.). - summons is rightly treated as a proper singular, from which the plural summonses has been formed (Old-French semonse, semonce). eaves is universally regarded as plural, although it is naught else but an Anglosaxon singular (yfes, öfes, ëfes and yfese, margo; Old-Highdutch opasa, tectum).
2) Singulars on the contrary are oftener treated as plurals.
(c) Here belong words taken in a collective sense and which are also referred to a determinate number of individuals, and however they may be combined with the plural of the verb, without further determination, as infantry, cavalry and others: The force of Hannibal consisted of fifty thousand infantry and nine thousand cavalry (Gifford). And he loved his queen.. And thrice $a$ thousand harlotry besides (L. Byron Sardanapal.). And the rope
with its cordage three (Longrellow). Compare Old-English Throughe a hondrith archery (Percy Rel. p. 4. I.). Concrete names of kinds, except in the case specified undes $\beta$, are more rarely construed with the plural (especially of attributive determinations). Genuine plurals, as deer, sheep, swine and even horse, in spite of its collateral form horses, cannot be referred here (see p. 229), but some other names of animals certainly occur here. To the word horse (for cavalry) the word foot has been early assimilated: There were Beaumont's foot, who had . . refused to admit Irish papists among them (Macaililay). Compare the Old-English: In this firste hoost . . what of hors, what of fote (Mainndev. p. 240). Of other names of sorts there belong here fish, fowl, hair and some others; Mine are the river-fowl (Longfellow). Ay, when fowls have no feathers, and fish have no fin (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). Of course these words have also plural forms, which even necessarily appear, where the individuals, as such, become prominent: The beasts, the fishes, and the winged fowls (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). She has more hair than wit, and more faults than hairs (id. Two Gentlem. of Ver.). On the contrary, collective names are more frequently taken collectively, where they do not appear as subjects of the sentence: I have always found.. great plenty, particularly of wild boar (Lady Montague). There is no catching trout without wetting one's trowsers (Longrellow). Will ye promise me this before God and man? (id.). A hundred of the foe shall be A banquet for the mountain birds (Bryant). About the cliffs Lay . . shaggy skins of wolf and bear (id.), where the individual stands as the representative of his kind, a syntactical license common to many tongues.
$\beta$ ) Some names of kinds, denoting a determinate quantity, a measure or a weight, even a space of time, were used formerly more than at present in the singular instead of the plural forms after preceding numeral determinations, in literary and educated conversational language. Here belong: pair, brace, couple, yoke (a yoke of Oxen, an Anglosaxon neutral, of the same sound in the singular as in the plural), dozen, score (as a genuine plural, always), groce or gross; quire, ream (of paper); foot, fathom, mile; pound (as a primitive plural), stone, last; tun, hogshead; bushel; week, year (an Anglosaxon neuter, the same in the plural as in the singular). With these are joined names of sorts, as, shilling, piece (mostly of things), head (of men and beasts, an Anglosaxon neuter, the same in the plural as in the singular), sail (of ships), cannon, shot. The language of common conversation cannot be determined by its boundaries, the literary and educated speech is constantly abandoning these forms more and more, which moreover are not without an etymological origin. The English account-books decline such words regularly, and grammarians in part reject the non-inflection as quite false. Compare Murray's Grammar \&c. by Gartly. Lond. 1851. p. 111.

In lieu of many examples compare: The ball always concludes
with English country , dances, to the number of thirty or fourty couple (Lady Montagie). Five hundred yoke of oxen (Job. 1, 3.). A constant cascade of about thirty foot (Fielding). Full fathom five the father lies (Shakspeare Temp.). I have known when he would have walked ten mile afoot to see a good armour (id. Much Ado ab. Noth.). Twelve year since Thy father was the duke of Milan (Shakspeare Temp.). Hundred head of Aristotle's friends (Pope). That cost me two shilling and two pence a piece (Sharspeare Merry Wiv.). The fleet. . consisted of 92 sail (Mrs. Markham). One hundred cannon were landed from the fleet (Burchell) Several shot being fired (id.). (See Wagner's Grammar of the English tongue, elaborated by Herrig p. 108.). Forms of this sort are familiar to Old-English, especially where primitive plurals of strong forms in $a, u$, rarely in as, are at the foundation, for whose vowels $e$ is mostly substituted: That is an hundred fadme of lengthe (Maundev. p. 23.; Anglosaxon fädem, plural -as). A rib of his side, that is 40 fote longe (id. p. 31.; Anglosaxon fêt instead of fêtë). The folk that ben but 3 span long (ID. p. 211.; Anglosaxon spann, plural spanna). And a lytylle thens, 28 pas, is a chapelle (id. p. 96.; Old-French pas). 20 myle (in. p. 7.; Anglosaxon mîle, plural mîla); but also myles (p. 30.). He was per sene nyjt (Rob. of Gloucester I. p. 158.; Anglosaxon neaht, plural neahta). Fourty winter (Piers Ploughman p. 277.; Anglosaxon vinter, masculine plural vinter) along with wyntres (ib.). Guendolyn was kyng fiftene zer po (Rob. of Gloucester I. p. 27.; Anglosaxon geár, plur. geár). By fortye shilling a yere (Percy Rel. p. 116. I.; Anglosaxon scilling, plur. scillingas). An hondred pousend marc (Rob. of Gloucester II. p. 393.; Anglosaxon mare, plural marca). Fro thens toward the est a 3 bow shote (Maundev. p. 97.; Anglosaxon scyte, plur. scytas or gescot, plural gescotu).
$\gamma$ ) In connection with the usage above cited stand some compounds of numerals with substantives, wherein both stand in a direct relation to each other and the substantive should therefore assume the (present) inflection of the plural. Here belong: sevennight, sennight (Anglosaxon sëofonniht, properly plural feminine $=$ hebdomas); fortnight $=$ fourteen nights, two weeks; twelvemonth (Anglosaxon tvelfmônす according to Bosworth); compare Old-English: Al this fourtenight (Chavcer v. 931.). A fevere That taketh me al a twelve monthe (Piers Ploughm. p. 266.) Upon cognate phenomena see below, the doctrine of the Numeral. But the noninflection of the substantive is common, even where. the composition appears loosened, if numeral and substantive become an attributive determination of a succeeding substantive, so that the whole receives the character of a single compound: You have seen the faces in the eighteen penny gallery (Fieldina). I protested I could see no reason for it neither, nor why Mr. Simpkins got the thousand pound prize in the lottery (Goldsmith). Compare the Old-English: And forth he goth a twenty divel way (Chaucer v. 4255. ed. Tyrwh.). Hence the expressions: a four wheel chaise; a three foot rule; a thirty pound note; an eighty Mätzner, engl. Gr. I.
gun ship \&c. Where the genitive relation is denoted by ' $s, s$ ', this immediate reference ceases; where the plural stands, an appositive relation of the last substantive usually enters.

## The Formation of the Genitive.

A remnant of the Anglosaxon case-formation is the socalled Anglosaxon Genitive, which enters instead of the substantive with the case preposition of, but only where it precedes the latter as the determination of a substantive, or where no substantive follows or is to be supplied. This case form is found more in names of persons (names of kinds as well as proper names) than in names of things.

The sign of the genitive $s$ belongs originally to the singular of masculine and neuter strong substantive forms. In English it was early transferred to all substantive, even of the feminine gender, in the singular. Herein the English agrees with the Danish and Swedish, of which the Danish especially makes the declension of the masculine and the feminine substantive almost wholly coincide. Even in Hollandish in conversational language, the $s$ of the genitive is often given, especially to feminine substantives preceding the substantive determined by them, but which does not belong to them; the Lowdutch proceeds similarly. The Modern-Highdutch of northern Germany is acquainted with genitives like mutter's, tante's haus \&c., as proper names of the feminine gender in general adopt in Modern-Highdutch the $s$ and ens of the masculine gender. The Anglosaxon knows nothing of genitives of this sort, but has nevertheless sometimes even in adverbial genitives the termination es, as in nihtes (neaht, niht, -e, f.); whereas gevealdes, his gevealdes, sua sponte may certainly be refered to geveald m . alongside of gevealde f .
a) Modern-English accordingly puts this $s$ in the singular, without regard to the original gender of the substantives, to names of kinds and proper names, more rarely to abstract nouns, with an apostrophe preceding (this with an almost entire consistency since the seventeenth century): Drinking is the soldier's pleasure (Dryden). A lawyer's is an honest employment (John Gay). Thy sire's maker, and the earth's - And heaven's (L. Byron). To know no more Is woman's happiest knowledge (Milton). You say, you do not know the Lady's mind (Shakspeare Rom. and Jul.). The sports on occasion of the Queen's marriage (W. Scott). Blest be your mother's memory (OTway). They knew something of the death of Macbeth's father (iD. Macb.). He trembles, he glows, Amidst Rhodope's snows (Pope). Encamped beside Life's rushing stream In Fancy's misty light (Longfellow). In my youth's summer I did sing of One (L. Byron),

Even adjectives used as substantives receive this $s$ : Into the future's undiscovered land (Longfellow); even other parts of speech used substantively: Yesterday's sun Saw it perform'd (Otway). To-morrow's rising sun must see you all Deck'd in your honours (ID.).

If a word ends in a sibilant, as $s, x$, more rarely in $c e, s e$, even
a dental ge, the annexed $s$ is sometimes wanting in Modern-English, and ' is added as a sign of elision: Read o'er the volume of young Paris' face (Shakspeare R. and J.). With joy I see it in Eumenes' hands (J. Hughes). And he, the last of old Lycurgus' sons (Thomson). Look, in this place ran Cassius' dagger through (Shakspeare Jul. C.). And hard unkindness' altered eye (Gray). I did not know the princess' favourite (Congreve). They could scarcely attend to the Prior of Torvaulx' question (W. Scott). There is one tree the phoenix' throne (Sharspeare Temp.). At least for that resemblance' sake embrace me (H. Walpole). Prayer is Innocence' friend (Longf.). O'er Venice' lovely walls (L. Byron). Verice' Duke! Who now is Duke in Venice? (id.). Only for praise' sake, when they strive to be lords o'er their lords? (Shaksp. Love's L. L.). There's a partridge' wing saved, for the fool will eat no supper that night (Shaksp. Much Ado ab. Noth.). With regard to the treatment of the $s$ the Anglosaxon led the way, which often left proper names in $s$ unchanged in the genitive: Urias vif; Mattheus gerecednys; whereas else es is appended; Remuses \&c. - Yet no agreement prevails in this respect, even in one and the same author, and the annexing of an $s$ to substantives of this sort is very common, although the collision of several sibilants offers a difficulty in pronunciation. In poetry, the subjoined $\delta$, with the apostrophe, after sibilants and hissing sounds, counts (either with or without a preceding, otherwise mute $e$ ) as a full syllable; compare prose instances: Randolph agreed to act by Douglas's counsel (W. Scott). Her mistress's bell rung (Fielding). Your Grace's name is the best protection this play can hope for (Rowe). Thus Wallace's party grow daily stronger (W. Scotr); and passages from poets: Sighing for Phillis's or Cloe's pity (Rowe). Just sense and sober piety still dictate The Countess's command. With truth I say it (H. Walpole). Man, who rejoices in our sex's weakness (Rowe). According to the Church's rev'rend rite (id.). Inheriting a prince's name and riches (L. Byron). Nor was it my intention To wound your Reverence's saint-like organs (H. Walpole). Here certainly also occur instances, where no full syllable in verse arises: At every hazard; and if Venice's Doge \&c. (L. Byron Mar. Faliero I, p. 25. ed. Tauch.).

The Old-English early transferred the genitive termination es (is, $y s$ ), sometimes even a simple $s$ after consonants, to all substantives in the genitive of the singular, although at first more rarely to feminines: Allas, myn hertes queen! (Anglosaxon heorte, -an Fem., cor [Chaucer v. 2777.]). As the berstles of a sowes eeres (Anglosaxon sugu, -e fem., sus, perhaps sug, -es, n. [ib. v. 558.]). That knew this worldes transmutacioun (Anglosaxon veorold, -e fem., mundus [ib. v. 2841.]). And at the kinges modres court he light (Anglosaxon môdor, gen. the same mater [ib. v. 5206.]). His sistars son was he (Anglosaxon sveostor, gen. the same soror (Percy Rel. p. 4. II.]). Seynte Anne oure Ladyes modre (Anglosaxon hlæfdige, -an, domina [Maundev. p. 15.]). In Hermingildes chambre whil sche slepte (Chaucer v. 5015.). And by Custaunces mediacioun (ib. v. 5104.). The images hond (Maun-
dev. p. 9.). Marthaes and Maries (Piers Plovghm. p. 217.). But genitives without $s$, not merely of the feminine gender are often found also earlier and later: Ys broper dep. (Rob. of Gloucester 1. p. 121.). To Dauid kyndom (ib. p. 9.). pe quene fader (ib. p. 26.). pe entrede in at Temse moup (ib. p. 47.). pi kynde lond (ib. p. 85.). For Marie love (ib. p. 28.) Thrugh Adam syn and Eve foly (Towneley Myst. p. 160.). His fader wille thou must nedes wyrk (ib. 167.). My fader ordynance thus it is (ibid). The masculines and generally proper names in $s$ frequently remain unchanged in the genitive, as in Chaucer: markis, Sathanas, Peneus, Theseus, Melibeus, Ceres, Venus, although also markeses, Peneuses, Cereses \&c. occur; so too feminines in $c e$ : Sith the pestilence time (Piers Ploughm. p. 6.); still in Skelton: in Magnyfycence syght (I, 268.). Even other feminines are also found sometimes late without the sign of the genitive: For my fansy sake (Skelton I, 261.) The not denoting the genitive of words in $s$ is termed very common even in the seventeenth century, as in Priamus daughter, Venus temple \&c. The genitive termination es is familiar, along with the mere $s$, down to the sixteenth century: In wedlockes sacred state (Jocasta, 1566). Wisedomes sage aduise (ib.). My ladyes grace (Skelton I. p. 36.). Goddes passion (A new Enterlude called Thersytes). A mannes mighte (IB.).

Another sort of absence of mark of the genitive relation, not properly concerning the doctrine of forms, is the employment of the uninflected case after substantives which operate like prepositions, either with or without attributive determinations: He has left you all his walks on this side Tiber (Shakspeare Jul. C.). That all was over on this side the tomb (L. Byron). Leaving Comorn on the other side the river (Lady Montagee). Thus popular speech uses 'on board a ship' instead of 'on board of a ship' and the like. Of yet another kind is the transition from the genitive relation into that loose combination of substantives, wherein the preceding one operates as the determining word of a compound: Hard by, at street end (Shakspeare Merry Wiv. 4, 2.). Thou com'st from Jersey meadows (Bryant).
b) The inflection $s$ is also transferred to the genitive of the plural, without distinction of the original declension or gender of the substantives. After the Anglosaxon plural inflection had ceased to enter into the genitive in Old-English, so far as this could be the reason for a distinction from the nominative, the genitive generally was left uninflected, but soon gave to those plurals not ending in es in the nominative the inflection of the genitive singular. Modern-English in point of fact also leaves the genitive plural in $s$ without inflection, but adds the mark of elision, as if an $s$ were wanting. The seventeenth century, inversely, mostly put a mark of elision before the $s$, which modern copies commonly transpose according to the modern fashion. Instances: And with the brands we'll fire the traitors' houses (Shafspeare Jul. C.). That dawn never beam'd on your forefathers' eye (W. Scott). These happy masks, that kiss fair ladies' brows (Shak-
speare R. and J.); on the other hand according to John Wallis (sec. XVII): the Lord's House $=$ the House of Lords; the Common's House = the House of Commons, whereby he adds, that the fundamental forms are: the Lords's House, the Commons's House.

The complete absence of the mark of elision has moreover not yet quite ceased: Who was the cause of a long ten years war? (Otway). They passed this way! I hear their horses hoofs (Longfellow).

Plural forms without $s$ adopt completely the genitive form of the singular: Young men's love then lies Not truly in their hearts but in their eyes (Shakspeare R. and J.). The white hands of gentlemen's daughters (W. Irving). More than a hundred children's children rode on his knee (Longfellow).

Adjectives used as subjectives, adopting no $s$ in the nominative of the plural, sound in the genitive of the plural, as in those of the singular: The poor's rate obliges us to give so much charity (Fielding). We may take forms of this sort for collective singulars.

Occasionally other parts of speech used as substantives, which in themselves, we must take to be plurals, also receive this $s$ : A mark'd man to the Forty's inquisition (L. Byron Mar. Faliero). Let it live on . . till the hour of nature's summons, but the Ten's is quicker (гb.).

Old-English still sometimes used the termination ene, corresponding to the Anglosaxon weak genitive termination ena, which was also frequently found in the strong form of declension, and that not alone in Anglosaxon substantives: Al Denene schire (Rob. of Gloucester 1, p. 5.). Thoru frerere rede (id. 2, p. 545.). Crist, kyngene kyng (Piers Ploughm. 1, p. 21.). And al the Jewene joye (ib. p. 384.), But the usage was soon adopted of employing the plural form in es (s) and to let the genitive relation be inferred solely from the position of the substantive: Of whom the book of fadres lyfes spekethe (Maundev. p. 79.). Thei ben now in paynemes and Sarazines honds (ib.). On the olifantes bakkes (id. p. 191.). Cristes lore, and his apostles twelve, He taught (Ciraucer v. 529.). The plurals in en were also treated so: With gode men almesdede (Dame Siriz p. 7.). Judas he japed With Jewen silver (Piers Ploughm. p. 19.); but the transfer of the singular es to such forms is old: Ye..Rende mennes clothes (Piers Ploughm. p. 13.). And putte it in to Cristene mennes hondes (Maundev. p. 104.).

## Peculiarities in the use of genitive forms.

a) So far as attributive determinations, preceding a substantive in the genitive, are wholely incapable of inflection, of course the substantive alone receives the sign of the case: By the blue lake's silver beach (Longfellow).

If substantives to be taken attributively precede a substantive, Modern-English likewise inflects only the substantive determined by them. The most frequent case of this sort is the determination of a proper name by preceding proper names or names of
kinds: After Edward Bruce's dead (W. Scotr). I am sir John Falstaff's (Sinakspeare Merry Wiv.). So perish all Queen Elizabeth's enemies! (Robertson). Is this the tenant Gottlieb's farm? (Longfellow). Like god Bel's priests (Shakspeare Much Ado ab. Noth.). Of Amanda our friend Loveless's wife (Sheridan). The outside of doctor Belioso's house (J. Совв). He bears a most religious reverence To his dead master Edward's royal memory (Rowe). In a conversation at dinner, at your cousin Campbell Mc Kenzie's (Macklin). - This was common even in Old-English: The desertes of Prestre Johnes Lordschipe (Maundev. p. 122.). By king Henries day (Rob. of Gloucester 2. p. 532.). Yet not the proper name, but the name of the kind was inflected: pe emperoures August (Rob. of Gloucester 1. p. 61.), especially where another name of a kind came between the proper name and the name of the kind: Harald, pe kynges sone Knout (id. 1. 324.). That our kinges moder Henri was (ID. 2. p. 530.).

A name of a kind may also precede a name of a kind as an attributive determination, when the same inflection of the last takes place: To his, the tyrant husband's reign succeeds (Rowe). His brother pirate's hand he wrung (L. Brion).
b) If a genitive substantive is followed by a determination consisting of a preposition with a substantive, the substantive with its determination is taken as a whole to whose last substantive constituent the $s$ of the genitive is added: The king of Great Britain's dominions (Murrsy). The Count of Lara's blood is on thy hands (Longfellow). Here are some fine villas, particularly the late prince of Lichtenstein's (Lady Montagle), A field of battle's ghastly wilderness (L. Byron). Do my eyes deceive me, or have the enemy besieged my father-in-law's house? (J. Совв.). - Old English deviated frequently herefrom, in so far as it could insert between the genitive and its further determination the substantive to which the genitive was referred. In this case the preceding substantive received the sign of the genitive: The kinges soster of Spaine (Rob. of Gloucester 2. p. 532.). The erle's sone of Gloucestre (18. p. 530.).
c) If a substantive apposition follows a substantive, the termination of the genitive is commonly given to the apposition, unless it is separated from its substantive by the substantive to which the genitive is referred: St. John the Evangelist's day, John the Baptist's head \&c.; and so too with proper names with appositions, as: William the Conqueror \&c. Weeping again the king, my father's wreck (Shakspeare Temp.) Forgiveness of the queen, my sister's wrongs (L. Byron Sardanap.). I was yesterday at Count Schonbrunn, the vice-chancellor's garden (Lady Montague). On the contrary: For the queen's sake, his sister (L. Byron Sardanap.). It is Othello's pleasure, our noble and valiant general (Sharspeare Oth.). Compare Old-English: In Piers berne the Plowman (Piers Ploughm. p. 417.).

This rule is, however, often departed from in common life, and grammarians permit, for instance, to say: I left the parcel at Mr.

Johnson's, the bookseller, as at Mr. Johnson, the bookseller's (CromBIE); others do not even acknowledge the latter to be right. Compare Guy's English Grammar: London 1833 p. 80. If the apposition following a proper name is more comprehensive, the former appears indeed preferable: The Psalms are David's the king, priest and prophet of the Jewish people (Murray). See Murray's Grammar, revised by Herrig p. 122.

The double inflection of a substantive and the apposition at the same time is rare: A small and old spaniel, which had been Don Jose's, his father's (L. Byron).
d) If more than one substantive stand in the genitive relation to one and the same substantive, either only one, and that the last, of the genitives assumes the inflectional mark, or all are equally inflected. The last receives it, if all genitives are apprehended as the totality of the subjects or individuals referred, whether they are connected by a copulative or a disjunctive conjunction, or are placed asyndetically beside each other. All are inflected, if either the word of reference (in the plural), is referred distributively to the genitives, or if the genitives, in their common reference to a substantive, must be thought as separate or as apposed. The intention of making the single members of a totality prominent likewise effects the repetition of the mark of inflection. It is clear that play is given here to individual apprehension.
(a) Nonrepetition of inflection: Keep your loialty, And live, your king and country's best support (Rowe J. Shore). Woman, sense and nature's easy fool (IB.). In wonderworks of God and nature's hand (L. Byron). Dryden and Rowe's manner, Sir, are quite out of fashion (Goldsmith). Oliver and Boyd's printing-office (M' Culloch). And Otway, Radcliffe, Schiller, Shalkspeare's art Had stamp'd her image in me (that of Venice) (L. Byron). - When the contending nobles shook the land with York and Lancaster's disputed sway (Rowe J. Shore). After a fortnight or three week's possession (Goldsmiti). Whose arch or pillar meets me in the face Titus or Trajan's? (L. Byron).
$\beta$ ) Repetition of inflection: That hereditary feud Between Valentia's and Granada's kings (Congreve). Here repose Angelo's, Alferi's bones, and his The starry Galileo (L. Byron). Mountains above, Earth's, Ocean's plain below (ID.). For honour's, pride's, religion's, virtue's sake (id.). Beyond or love's or friendship's sacred band Beyond myself, I prize my native land (Rowe). They find themselves happy when they can enjoy a pantomime, under the sanction of 'Johnson's or Shakspeare's name (Goldsmiti).

If articles precede the genitives, the inflection is likewise repeated: The sage's and the poet's theme (Rogers).
If other particles than and, or, come between the genitives, the repetition of the inflection is likewise of course: He has two sons, that were ordain'd to be As well his virtues' as his fortunes' heirs (Otway). They are Thomas's as well as James's books (Guy).

## The gender of substantives.

Anglosaxon distinguished a threefold, Old-French a twofold grammatical gender of substantives; English has preserved the three genders, the masculine, the feminine and the neuter, mostly, however with the obliteration of the differences of gender formerly fixed by the verbal form or the usage of the language.

With. the abandonment of the differences of gender in the form of the article, the adjective and the attributive pronoun, and with the complete assimilation of the declension of all genders, the recollection of the former grammatical gender must have been almost totally lost. The language of common life and of poetry has partly preserved the memory of them. The conception of the gender is certainly hardly perceivable save through the personal pronouns referred to a substantive (he, she, it \&c.) and their possessive forms (his, her, its \&c.).

With few exceptions the language of conversation of the welleducated and of common prose has returned to the natural distinctions of sex in the determination of the gender of substantives. The gender is expressed in a limited measure by substantive terminations.

Accordingly, substantives expressing male beings pass in general as masculine; those expressing female beings, as feminine, so that here only animal nature is considered. A few names of things are, in the more general usage, masculine or feminine. All other substantive are regarded as of the neuter gender; even animal beings, where the regard to their natural gender retires, are treated as neuters. Yet the common names of the different races of animals (nomina epicoena) are occasionally determined from other points of view.

Poetry and the more noble prose not rarely depart from the common mode, treating names of things as masculine or as feminine substantives.
a) As regards the masculine and the feminine gender with reference to their distinct forms, the uatural distinction of sexes is expressed in various ways.

1) This is done partly by words of different roots, or by words, whose termination denoting gender has been effaced. They originate mostly with the Anglosaxon, but partly from the OldFrench. The one form is exceptionally of Anglosaxon, the other of Romance origin.
") Here belong terms for men, as father (Anglosaxon fäder); mother (Anglosaxon môdor); - brother (Anglosaxon brôđer); sister (Anglosaxon sveostor); - son (Anglosaxon sunu); daughter (Anglosaxon dôhter); - uncle (Old-French uncle, oncle); aunt (Old-French ante, Latin amita); - boy (Old-English boye, boy [Piers Plonghar. p. 214 and 6.], compare Swedish bof, Lowdutch bôw, spitzbôw); - girl (Old-Engl. gerl, of both genders, compare the Lowdutch gör, unadult girl, small child, göre, daughter); - bachelor (Old-French bacheler); - maid, maiden (Anglosaxon mägeđ f., mägden n.). - king (Anglosaxon cyning,
cyng); queen (Anglosaxon cvên, perhaps belonging to the same root as cyning). - earl (Anglosaxon eorl, ërl); countess (OldFrench contesse, cuntesse). - friar or monk (Old-French freire, Anglosaxon munec, monc); nun (Anglosaxon nunne, Old-English nonne). - wizard (Old-French guiscart, guischart, from the Old-norse viskr, sagax; the Anglosaxon vigelere and hveolere, divinator, is, on the contrary, abandoned) in Lancashire he-witch; witch (Anglosaxon vicce).

From the same stem with an obliterated derivation are: nephew (Old-French nief, niez, nevod, neveu, Latin nepot-is, compare Anglosaxon nëfa); niece (French nièce, Latin neptis). Thus also sloven (compare Anglosaxon slav, piger); slat (compare Dieffenbach G. Dictionary 2. p. 266), and lad (Old-English ladde, Old-Scottish laid, Anglosaxon leód, vir); lass Scottish the same) seem to belong to the same stems.

A masculine has been formed upon an original feminine in: widower (compare Middle-Highdutch witewaere, Old-Highdutch witowo); widow (Anglosaxon viduve, vuduve, Latin vidua).

To other simple forms compounds stand opposed, as in: man (Anglosaxon mann); woman (Anglosaxon vîfman); whence nobleman, gentleman \&c.; noblewomav, gentlewoman \&c.; and conversely in: husband (Anglosaxon hûsbonda); wife (Anglosaxon vîf, n.); bridegroom (Anglosaxon brŷdguma, procus), yet also groom alone and groomsman (Longfellow); bride (Anglosaxon brŷd, uxor, sponsa, femina). - $\operatorname{sir}$ (Old-French sires, sire); madam (ma dame).
Compounds stand opposed to other compounds in: lord (Anglosaxon hlâfveard, hlâford); lady (Anglosaxon hlâfveardige, hlæfdige). - gaffer (not from the Anglosaxon gefädera, m. patruelis, but from godfäder), in Lincolnshire also gaff, godfather, old man, grandfather, often in the address, neighbour, friend; gammer (not from the Anglosaxon gemêder, f. commater, but instead of godmôdor), old woman, grandmother. Here also belong: grandfather; grandmother. - grandson; granddaughter. - grandsire; grandam, jocosely grannam, granny, grandmother; whereas the simple sire; dam, mother are now only used poetically of men, and the latter even with contempt. Both are now used on the other hand of beasts, as, male (Old-English maylle) and female (Old-English femaylle), where they are used substantively.
$\beta$ ) The names of beasts, coming into consideration here are of Anglosaxon stem, and not numerous. They mostly belong to mammals: ram (Anglosaxon ramm, aries, vervex), and wether (Anglosaxon vëđer, aries, vervex); ewe (Anglosaxon eovu, eov). - boar (Anglosaxon bâr); sow (Anglosaxon sugu). - bull (Old-norse boli); cow (Anglosaxon cû). - bullock (Anglosaxon bulluca, m. vitulus), gelded bull, and steer (Anglosaxon steór, juvencus), the same, likewise ox (Anglosaxon oxa, bos, taurus), also a general name for neat cattle; heifer (Anglosaxon heáhfôre, heáfre). - buck (Anglosaxon bucca); doe (Anglosaxon dâ, dama). - dog (Old-norse doggr, m.), as the name of a
kind, to denote the masculine gender in compounds; bitch (Anglosaxon bicce, canicula). - stallion (Old-French estalon), also horse (Anglosaxon hors, $n$. equus) instead of stone-horse in: to take horse $=$ to be covered, as a mare; mare (Anglosaxon merihe, mere, equa). - stag (Old-norse steggr, mas plurium ferarum; the cock is also called stag in North-English) and hart (Anglosaxon heorut, heort); hind (Anglosaxon hind), also called roe (Anglosaxon râh, râ, caprea), yet this is also a general name for stag; the male animal also roebuck. - colt (Anglosaxon colt); filly (compare also fola, pullus, equuleus, English foal; Old-Scottish fillok, Cymric ffilog).

Of birds there occur: drake (Old-norse andriki); duck (from the verb duck, Lowdutch dûken, Hollandish duiken; on the Baltic [Warnemuende] the wild duck is called düker; Swedish Danish dukand). - cock (Anglosaxon cocc, coc); hen (Anglosaxon henn, gallina, compare hana, gallus). Of the same stem are: gander (Anglosaxon gandra, m. anser; Old-English .also gant: with a gose and a gant (Skelton 1. p. 111.), Lowdutch ganter and gante, gantje; goose (Anglosaxon gôs). - ruff, the cock bird of the fighting snipe has its name from its great ruff (English ruff; Old-English ruff, rough: compare Old-norse rûfinn, hirsutus, Anglosaxon hreóf, callosus and hreóh, hreóv, asper; the hooded pigeon is called in English ruff); reere, the hen bird (although without a ruff), seems formed after ruff.

Of other animals such different denominations hardly occur; but of fishes: milter (Anglosaxon milte, otherwise named after milk, Old-norse miölk, lactes piscium, compare Danish melkefisk; spawner (from English spawn; Old-English spane, compare Anglosaxon spên, fibra; spôn, Old-Highdutch spân = cremium, fomes \&c., Old-norse spônn = ramentum ligni). Among insects are distinguished: drone (Anglosaxon drân, dræn, Danish. drone), for the male of the bee; bee (Anglosaxon beó, f.) also a general name, bee.
2) Not a small number of substantives distinguishes the female from the male sex by a derivative termination.
${ }^{*}$ ) Names of persons are here principally distinguished. Distinctions like that of the Anglosaxon masculine and feminine substantives in Declension, for example: gât, -es, caper and gât, -e, capra, were no longer possible; varieties of the nominative, as of those in $a, \mathrm{~m}$. and e, f.: maga - mage, cognatus, -a; nëfa - nëfe, nepos, neptis, were likewise abolished by the treatment of the final vowels. The feminine termination, by derivation by means of en ( $n$ ): munec - municen, monachus, nonna; älf, elf - elfen, incubus, lamia; god - gyden, deus, -a; câsere - câsern, imperator, imperatrix, has scarcely been otherwise preserved than in the name of an animal (see $\beta$ farther below). The derivative termination estre, istre, developed into ere (English er), as in vebbere - vebbestre, textor, textrix; bäcere - bäcistre, pistor, pistrix, is in great part abandoned, but has partly passed over directly into the nominative and has even adopted
a new feminine form (see below). To distinguish the genders therefore Romance derivative forms have therefore essentially been chosen.

Of Anglosaxon terminations accordingly ster, Old-English stere, are here seldom considered: spinner - spinster. Old-English has several feminines in stere: bakstere; brewestere (Piers Ploughman); knitster is in use in the Devon dialect. In Skelton tappyster (Anglosaxon täppestre, caupona from m. täppere) is still a barmaid: A tappyster lyke a lady bright $(1,239)$. Now the most of those remaining are masculine, sometimes alongside of masculines in er, for instance rhymer and rhymster; weaver and webster; singer and songster \&c. See, moreover, the doctrine of derivation.
Among Romance terminations is the feminine form ine, ina, wherein the Latin, the French and the Germanic form. (ina, ine, in, compare rex - regina; Old-French roi, rei, rai - roïne, reïne, raïne; German markgraf - markgräfin) mingle: czar - czarina; hero - heroine (French héroïne, Greek and Latin heroinè); margrave - margravine; landgrave - landgravine. Some of them have adopted other feminine forms along with them. (See below.) Sultan - sultana rests upon the Medieval-Latin sultanus, $-a$; infant - infanta upon the Spanish and Portugese infante, -ta.

The termination ess, Old-English esse, French esse has received a wide diffusion, corresponding to the Latin issa, Greek $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$, cooc. It is also found in Anglosaxon in foreign words, as abbad (od, ud) - abbudisse (abbas - abbatissa). From words in or and er arise the terminations oress and eress, corresponding to the French eresse (oresse), as from words in tor and ter, the termination tress, which goes back to the French trice, Latin trix, the last of which from substantives in tor still often stands along with tress. The these are joined some in dor and der with the termination dress. English here confounds Romance and Germanic words, regarding the termination ess in all forms as the homogeneous mark of the feminine.

The termination ess is added to masculines in $n$ ending in a consonant (on, an, in, en, ain): patron - patroness; baron - baroness; deacon - deaconess; champion - championess; canon (Old-French canone, Modern-French chanoine) - canoness (French chanoinesse): sultan - sultaness, alongside of sultana; compare Old-English soudan soudannesse (Chaucer); guardian - guardianess; dauphin - dauphiness; citizen - citizeness (rare); chieftain - chieftainess (Miss Sedgwick); to substantives in $t$ (st, nt): poet - poetess (French poétesse), for which also poetress occurs; prophet - prophetess (French prophétesse); hermit - hermitess; priest (Anglosaxon preóst) - priestess (compare the French prêtresse); host - hostess (French hôtesse); count - countess (Old-French contesse,
cuntesse); viscount - viscountess; giant - giantess; saint - saintess (Fisier); regent - regentess (Сотgrave). - Irregular is here abbot - abbess according to the French fashion (abbesse); in tyrant - tyranness (AkenSide) the older masculine form tyran, as in anchoret - anchoress the old masculine ancre, ancor (Anglosaxon ancor and ancra, solitarius, anachoreta) is the foundation.
A few other substantives ending in a consonant, but not in the derivative terminations or and er belong here, as god - goddess (compare French deesse, Anglosaxon gyden), OldEnglish even goddesse (Chatcer); chief - chiefess (Carver); herd - herdess (Browne), Old-English hierdesse (Chaccer); shepherd - shepherdess; czar - czaress alongside of czarina; peer - peeress (French pairesse); heir - heiress. Some, ending in a mute $e$, are associated with them; they take ess instead of $e$ : advocate - advocatess; ōgre - ōgress (from the French ogre, from the Latin Orcus, whence the Anglosaxon ore = goblin); prince - princess (French princesse); duke - duchess (OldEnglish duchesse, Old-French ducesse, duchoise, ducheise); Old-English constable - constablesse. Some substantives, which in the masculine gender end in a vowel, annex the feminine termination ess, to it: Jew - Jewess; Hebrew Hebrewess; hero - heroess (rarely alongside of heroine). In negro - negress (French négresse, from négre) the o
14 of the masculine is not regarded, as in votary - votaress the $y$.

With the feminine formation of names of persons in or, er those ending in tor, dor, as well as in ter, der, are to be distinguished.

Those in or, er assume ess in the feminine, like those above named, commonly without further change of form: author -- authoress; mayor - mayoress; prior - prioress; warrior - warriouresse in Spenser; tailor - tailoress; archer - archeress; avenger - avengeress; peddler - peddleress; farmer - farmeress; diviner - divineress; Old-English has more of these forms, as charmeresse, jangleresse \&c.

Substantives in er-or, er-er, to which even some in ur-er are joined, throw off their masculine termination or, er, before the termination ess: conqueror - conqueress; adulterer adulteress; murderer - murderess; sorcerer - sorceress; caterer - cateress; fosterer - fostress; (B. Jonson); procurer - procuress; treasurer - treasuress. Even governor casts off or in governess; emperor has empress (Old-English emperice, compare Old-French em$1 /$ pereres, empereor - empereris, empereis).

Masculine names of persons in tor, dor, ter (ster) der with the assumption of the feminine termination ess usually cast out the $o$ or $e$ preceding the $r$, ending therefore in tress and dress: inventor - inventress; inheritor - inheritress; in-
structor - instructress; emulator - emulatress; editor - editress; executor - executress ; exactor exactress; actor - actress; auditor - auditress; orator - oratress; mediator - mediatress; monitor - monitress; nomenclator - nomenclatress; legislator - legislatress; rector - rectress; preceptor preceptress; proprietor - proprietress; protector protectress; fautor - fautress; fornicator - fornicatress; traitor - traitress; director - directress; detractor - detractress; solicitor - solicitress; suitor - suitress; spectator - spectatress; coadjutor - coadjutress; competitor - competitress; conductor - conductress; creator - creatress and others; enchanter - enchantress; arbiter - arbitress; minister - ministress; waiter - waitress (rare) ; chanter - chantress; comforter - comfortress; hunter (Anglosaxon hunta) - huntress, Old-English hunteresse (ChauCer). To these words are added some original feminines in ster, now treated as masculines: seampster, sempster seamstress, sempstress (compare Anglosaxon seámere, sartor - seámestre, sartrix); songster - songstress (compare Anglosaxon sangere, cantor - sangestre, cantatrix); huckster - huckstress (compare Danish höker, Swedish hökare - Danish hökerske, Swedish hökerska). - Master has mistress (Old-English maister - maistresse, Old-French maistre maistresse).
embassador, ambassador - embassadress, ambassadress; offender - offendress (Shakspeare); founder foundress; commander - commandress. Alongside of the feminine launder (Old-English lavender, laundre in Palsgrave, French lavendière) a new feminine laundress has been formed, which has been the occasion of the masculine launderer.

Words in tor have-in part, along with the feminine tress the Latin termination trix, as: inheritrix, executrix, oratrix, mediatrix, monitrix, rectrix, protectrix, spectatrix; in part they have only the latter, as the less popular: adjutor - adjutrix; administrator - administratrix; arbitrator - arbitratrix; testator - testatrix and some others. Some have even assumed the mere ess (therefore toress), although they are wont to have the collateral form tress: victor - victoress (Spenser), victrice (B. Jons.) and victress (Shakspeare); elector - electoress, electress; tutor - tutoress and tutress; doctor - doctoress, doctress.

A final $t$ has been the occasion for the termination tress instead of tess in: poet - poetress (see above), architect - architectress. To neatherd the feminine neatress

2 has been formed (compare Ånglosaxon geneát, bubulcus).
Marquis, marquess (Old-English markis, Old-French mar-
chis, markis) has the feminine marchioness (from the Me -dieval-Latin marchio, Old-English markisesse (Chaucer).
ק) Names of beasts are rarely distinguished by a derivative termination.

The Anglosaxon feminine termination en has been preserved in fox - vixen, (Anglosaxon fox - fixen, compare vulf vylpen).

Some have the feminine termination ess: lion - lioness, tigre - tigress (French tigresse); imitations are hardly ventured upon for other mammals. Of birds belongs here eagle eagless.
3) The distinction of the male and the female sex by a formal difference in the substantives does not go far enough for the necessities of speech. There is a great number of them, even among those capable of a feminine formation, which must be regarded as double-gendered, even when having an originally masculine derivative termination. Here belong, for example: parent, child, cousin, servant, slave, neighbour, companion, friend, enemy, favourite, darling, rival, heir (she is heir of Naples [Shakspeare Temp.], orphan, thief, fool, novice \&c.; astronomer, painter, flatterer, weaver, teacher, dancer \&c.; apologist, botanist \&c., as well as the great number of names of beasts, and in general all substantives denoting animal beings and not distinguished by their meanings or by forms of gender. The gender of such words may be known partly by a feminine proper name, partly by their reference to a personal or possessive pronoun, as in: The slave loves her master (L. Byron). She is a peasant (Longfellow): or the contrary to such a one: She loves her cousin; such a love was deemed Incestuous (Bryant). But if the object is to make the natural gender perceivable by the substantive immediately, this is done in various ways:
a) by union with a prefixed or suffixed substantive.

The sex of human beings is distinguished by man and maid or woman: man-servant, maid-servant; maid-child (Levitic.), compare Anglosaxon mancîld and mædencîld, Old-English also knave child (Chaucer), even man-midwife; ser-vant-man, servant-maid; washer-woman. Words like: kinsman, kinswoman; dustman, dustwoman; milkman, milk-maid, fish-wife, fish-woman \&c., with which moreover we may compare Anglosaxon compounds like: læringmann, læringmæden; discipulus, discipula, do not belong to the same category, man, woman not standing to distinguish the gender of their preceding determining word, that is, not in direct relation to it. Sometimes such a determination of sex stands withont a contrary, as fisherman.
To distinguish the sex of animals, in mammals dog and bitch serve of the canine race; buck and doe of stags, rabbits and hares; boar and sow of pigs; colt and filly of foals; sometimes sexual terms are denoted by human proper names, more rarely by names of kinds of persons: dog-fox, bitch-fox;
(by dog-ape a particular sort of ape is denoted); even the masculine bee is called dog-bee (Halliwell s. v.); roebuck, buck-goat, buck-rabbit, buck-hare, buck-coney; doerabbit \&c.; boar-pig, sow-pig; colt-foal, filly-foal; Jackass; Jennyass, Jinnyass; Tomeat; Tib-cat (Tibby $=$ Isabella); the northern dialects still have carl-cat, like the Anglosaxon, which used carl (mas) and cvên (uxor) of mammals and birds: carlcatt, catus; carlfugol, avis mas; cvênfugol, avis femina. Maiden cat is also quoted for a she-cat. She else commonly bears the pet-name puss, pussy.
Bird are sexually distinguished by cock and hen; cocksparrow, hen -sparrow; cock-partridge, hen-partridge; peacock, peahen; turkey-cock, turkey-hen (turkey alone denotes this animal). In gor-cock, gor-hen; moor-cock, moor-hen, the sexual determination perhaps takes place, but not in the direct relation.
$\beta$ ) by the prefixed adjectives male and female, which are referred to mankind as well as to brutes, when however used as substantives not compounded, mostly of brutes: male-child, fe-male-child; male-servant, female-servant; male descendants, female descendants; female anchoret; male cat, female cat; male fish, female fish; used as substantives: the male of the roe; the female of the horse; the male of the turkey; the female of the turkey. So the French use male and femelle.
y) by the pronouns he and she, which are prefixed to names of brutes, more rarely of men: he-bear, she-bear; he-deer, she-deer; he-goat, she-goat; he-animal; she-ass \&c. The more noble speech hardly uses these pronouns of men; we find: she-neighbour, she-friend, she-slave (Lady Montagle), as well as she-devils (Bulwer); in poets facetious expressions of this sort, as: Be brief, my good she Mercury (Shakspeare Merry Wives). She is otherwise, when, added to names of persons, it operates as an expression of contempt: The she-king, That less than woman (L. Byron Sardanap.). The pardon'd slave of she Sardanapalus (ib.).
It is readily understood that there are also substantives, particularly names of persons, which can only be referred to the one or the other natural sex, without particularly indicating this by their form. Thus substantives pointing to activities or qualities belonging only to men are of course of one gender, as well as conversely those, relating to activities or qualities pertaining only to the female sex. Compare: pope, pontiff, parson, knight, champion, general, corporal, Cyclops, Triton \&c. with matron, virgin, courtesan, concubine, muse, syren, Naiad, Nymph, Fury, houri \&c., the enumeration whereof has a mere lexicographical interest.
For names of beasts which are comprehended under one common grammatical gender, feminine or masculine, see under $b$.

Names of things are rarely regarded as masculine or feminine substantives in common speech and writing. Yet the sun (Anglosaxon sunne, fem.) appears regularly, as even in Old-English sonne, sone masculine, as in Gothic sunna, alongside of the feminine sunnô, in Old-Highdutch sunno alongside of sunnâ and sometimes Middle-Highdutch sunne, although also feminine. The Old-French soleil, solol masc. may here not have been without influence. There are however found instances, even in Old-English, in which the sun appears feminine: And lo! how the sonne gan louke Hire light in hirselve (Piers Ploughm. p. 384.). The mone and the sterren with hire bereth the sonne bright (Wright Popul. Treatises on Science 1841. p. 132.). The moon, moon (Anglosaxon môna, masc.) is regularly feminine in Modern-English, as in Old-English, departing from all old-Germanic tongues, in Danish maane is masculine and feminine، in Middle-Highdutch måne rarely feminine, in Hollandish maan has become feminine; the Old-French lune may have cooperated here. The different names of ships are also, at least in technical nautical language, treated as feminine, as ship (Anglosaxon scip, neutr.), vessel (Old-French vessel, veissiaus masc.), boat (Auglosaxon bât, masc.), brigantine, brig, frigate, threedecker \&c., and even merchantman, Indiaman, man-of-war $\& c .$, as ships, even when bearing a masculine proper name, are used femininely; thus even in Shakspeare: Bring her to try with main course (Temp. 1. 1.). Lay her ahold; lay her off (is.). Where we, in all her trim, freshly beheld our royal, good, and gallant ship (ib. 5, extr.) The stability of the ship, and the strength of her masts (Chambers). She was a small schooner, at anchor, with her broadside towards us (W. Irving). The Bellerophon (ship of war) dropt her stern anchor in the starboard bow of the Orient (Southey). The Majestic (ship of war), Captain Westcott, got entangled . . but she swung clear (id.). In OldEnglish, at least in Chaucer, a ship bears a feminine name: His barge yclepud was the Magdelayne (C. T. 412.); barge is certainly originally feminine. In King Horne 123. it is seemingly neutral: that ship, yet that is not referred to neuters alone; compare on that other side (Chadeer C. T. 113.); that lusty sesoun of that May (ib. 2486.). Compare also a place, in which the ship is masculine: And zif a schipp passed be tho marches, that hadde outher iren bondes.. he scholde ben perisscht (Maundev. p. 163.), Outside of nautical language ship passes moreover as a neuter; as a masculine it is also found with a reference to a masculine denomination: Commodore also denotes the convoy ship . . who carries a light in his top (Moore Mariner's Vocabulary). But the people apprehend inanimate things which they handle, and with which they are familiar as objects of their predilection, as feminine beings, for instance, the miller his mill. For the usage of the nobler language see below.
b) The neuter gender comprises in general all lifeless objects, and even animal beings, when considered without regard to their sex. The language of poets and the nobler prose, even the language of the people deviates from this; since, on the one hand, the domain of poetical and rhetorical personification has been little limited in the English tongue since its first development; on the other hand, the recollection of the original gender of Anglosaxon as well as of Romance forms has kept itself more or less obscure; but poetry, as well as prose, frequently follows the more general apprehension.

Concrete names of things stand here in the first rank: The sea has its pearls, The heaven has its stars: But my heart . . has its
love (Longfellow). Even abstract and collective terms are thus considered: Clamorous labor Knocked with its hundred hands at the golden gates of the morning (ID.). Humanity with all its fears (ID.). The freighted vessels departed, Bearing a nation, with all its household goods, into exile (iD.).

Names of beasts of all sorts are in a general sense treated as neuters: The conductor of the elephant, who is usually mounted on its neck (Mavor). In its natural state the hedgehog is nocturnal, remaining coiled up in its retreat by day (Chambers). The brown rat made its first appearance in Paris about the middle of the eighteenth century (ID.). The domestic pigeon is wonderfully prolific: it lays two eggs \&c. (Mavor). That bird is called the crossbill.. In the groves of pine it singeth Songs, like legends, strange to hear (Longfellow).

Even names of children, as child and, strange to say, even boy, are regarded as neuters: 'This Fancy's child, and Folly is its father (Cotton). A simple child. . What should it know of death? (Wordsworth). She was always extravagantly fond of this boy, and a most sensible, sweet tempered creature it is (Fielding). It is to be understood, that, with reference to the natural gender the corresponding pronoun is referred to it: We shall behold our child once more: She is not dead! (Longrellow).

It is most remarkable, when beings conceived as feminine, as the Hydra, are taken as neuter: You must strike, and suddenly, Full to the Hydra's heart - its heads will follow (L. Byron).

In Old-English the neuter of the pronoun (hit, $i t$ ), to distinguish which from the masculine in its possessive genitive (his) is certainly not possible, is already often transferred to names of things, abstract nouns, and names of beasts of genders originally different: Thi lufty chere makes my hert glad, And many a time so has it gart [made] (Townel. Myst. p. 37.). Egeus That knew this worldes transmutacioun, As he hadde seen it torne up and down (Chaucer 2840.). Theseus hath i-sent After a beer (Anglosaxon bær fem.; feretrum), and it al overspradde With cloth of golde (ib. 2872.). The long peper (Anglosaxon pipor, m.) comethe first. . and it is lyche the chattes of haselle (Maundev. p. 168.). But Cristes lore .. He taught, and ferst he folwed it himselve (Ciraucer 529.). If that sche sawe a mous Caught in a trappe, if it were deed or bledde (iв. 144.).
The departures from the more general processes just exhibited deserve a more particular consideration, although giving little support to the establishment of a fixed rule. It is, however, not without interest to pursue in their various classes the glimmerings of the original genders of substantives now for the most part treated as sexless from the more abstract manner of expression. The hitherto deficient observation of the genders of substantives in popular dialects would render the consideration of them more instructive

1) Names of beasts must in the first place be discriminated from the rest of substantives. They often appear in poetry, and even in prose, and in common life in the masculine or feminine gender, if the general name of the beast is used to denote both natural
genders (genus epicoenum). Here it is not alone the peculiarly poetical manner of viewing, which attributes the masculine gender to the strong or the powerful, the feminine to the smaller and the lovely, but the Anglosaxon and Old-French gender is often regarded. Even works upon natural history frequently retain the masculine, less so the feminine names of beasts.
a) Mammals and reptiles are mostly assigned to the masculine gender, as genus epicoenum, as in the Germanic tongues generally. Thus even the general beast (Old-French beste, f.) as well as other original feminines, is early assimilated to the masculine: The beast is laid down in his lair (Cowper). Old-Enlish: And whan a beste is deed, he ne hath no peyne (Chaucer 1321.). So commonly elephant (Latin elephas, Anglosaxon elp, elpend, m.); elk (Old-norse elgr, m., Middle-Highdutch elch); ape (Anglosaxon apa, m.); ass (Anglosaxon assa, m., -e, f.); otter (Anglosaxon otor, ottyr, m.); lion (French m.); lamb (Anglosaxon n.); rat (Anglosaxon rät, Old-Highdutch rato, m.); even roe (Anglosaxon râ, f.): Like the roe when he hears .. the voice of the huntsman (Longfellow); panther (Greek-Latin panther, m., but Latin -era, French -ère, f.): The forest's leaping panther. Shall yield his spotted hide (Bryant); bison French m., Old-Highdutch wisant, m.): In these plains The bison feeds no more . . yet here I meet His ancient footprints (Bryant); beaver (Anglosaxon bëfer, m.); bear (Anglosaxon bëra, m.); baboon (Medieval-Latin baboynus, m., French babouin, m.); fox (Anglosaxon m.); wolf (Anglosaxon vulf, m.); whale (Anglosaxon hväl, m.); tiger (Latin gen. comm. French m.); dog (Old-norse doggr, m.); dormouse (see mûs, f.?); sloth (compare Anglosaxon slävd = pigritia, f.): The sloth . . He lives upon the leaves . of trees (Percival); steed (Anglosaxon stêda, m.); squirrel (OldFrench escurel, m.); sheep (Anglosaxon scæp, n.); calf (Anglosaxon cealf, n.); catamount (wild cat, Anglosaxon catt, m.); The .. catamount, that lies High in the boughs to watch his prey (Bryant); goat (Anglosaxon gât, es, m.); hors (Anglosaxon n.); hyena (Latin French f.): I have seen the hyena's eyes of flame And heard at my side his stealthy tread (Bryant); asker, dialectically a lizard (from âđexe, with a masculine termination); lizard (French m.): The lesarde . . sayd that he must . . ley all in the dust (Skelton 1, 365.); newt and eft (Anglosaxon efete, m.?); basilisk ( $\beta$ uciìrnะoc, m.); blindworm (Anglosaxon vurm, m.); although vorm itself is also sometimes feminine; frog (Anglosaxon frocca, frogga, m.): The frog has changed his yellow vest (Dr. Jenner); tortoise (compare French tortue, f.); dragon (French m.); serpent (French m.); snake (Anglosaxon snaca, m.) ; cayman (French caïman, m.); crocodile (xŋoxódsıдos, m .); chameleon (Greek m.).

The feminine gender is rarely employed exclusively or chiefly. Mouse (Anglosaxon mûs, f.) remains also usually feminine as a general name; hare (Anglosaxon hara, m.) as in the language of hunters. So too mole is found (Old-norse moldvarpa, f.; Hollandish mol, m.): The mole 's a creature . . she digs i'th'dirt
(A Book for Boys \&c. 1686. p. 26.), as mule (Anglosaxon mûl, m., French mule, f.). Deer (Anglosaxon deór, n.) is commonly masculine, but also feminine: Beneath a hill . A deer was wont to feed. She only came when on the cliffs The evening moonlight lay (Bryant). We have moreover to notice with the sexual term, whether in point of fact the genus epicoenum is before as, or one of the natural genders is to be defined.
$\beta$ ) The names of birds not only present, in comparison with the last class, as in the Germanic tongues generally, more feminines, but the usage of the genus epicoenum fluctuates much between both genders. A discrimination of the strong and great and the weak and lovely is here scarcely considered, so that usage seems to be without any sure support. Even the general names bird (Anglosaxon bridd, m.) and fowl (Anglosaxon fugol, m.) and those compounded therewith, are sometimes masculine, sometimes feminine in the genus epicoenum: The bird has sought his tree (Bryant); The mocking-bird . . Shook from his little throat such floods of delirious music \&c. (Longfellow); As the huuter's horn Doth scare the timid stag, or bark of hounds The moor-fowl from his mate (id.); and on the otherhand: The wild beast from his cavern sprang, The wild bird from her grove (Whittier); A bird Betrays her nest, by striving to conceal it (L. Byron); Bnt the seafowl is gone to her nest (Cowper). We find both among the larger fowls: eagle (French aigle, m.); owl (Anglosaxon ûle, f.); raven (Anglosaxon hräfen, m.); hawk (Anglosaxon hafuc, m.); pelican (French m,); stork (Anglosaxon store, m.); swan (Anglosaxon svan, m.); as well as among the smaller ones: dove (Anglosaxon dûfe, f.); lark (Anglosaxon lâverce, f.); throstle (Anglosaxon prostle?); thrush (Anglosaxon pryscë, m.); sparrow (Anglosaxon spearva, m.); starling, stare (Anglosaxon stär, m.); cuckoo (French coucou, m.); swallow (Anglosaxon svaleve, f.); even nightingale (Anglosaxon nihtegale, f.) and others, used masculinely and femininely: The royal eagle draws his vig'rous young (Тномson). Jealous as the eagle Of her high aiery (L. Byron). Mourn not for the owl, nor his gloomy plight (Barry Cornwall). The moping owl does.. complain Of such as . . Molest her ancient solitary reign (Gray). That raven. . Curse on his ill-betiding croak! (Gray). A thing 0 'er which the raven flaps her funeral wing (L. Byron). When a hawk hits her prey (Halliwell s. v. ruff. cf. Skelton 1, 157.). Ask of the bleeding pelican why she Hath ripp'd her bosom? (id.). The swan. . rows her state with oary feet (Milion). The stock-dove . . cooes oft ceasing from his plaint (Thomson). A dove, sent forth . . to spy Green tree or ground, whereon his foot may light (Milton). To hear the lark begin his flight (Milton). The throstle with his note so true (Sharspeare Mids. N. Dr.). The threstyl with her warblyng, The starlyng with her brabling (Skelton 1, 65). And the night-sparrow trills her song (Bryant). The cuckoo returns from her flight (Anon.). The swallow . . to build his hanging house Intent (Thomson) \&c.

Yet a number of names of birds are certainly used chiefly masculinely, sometimes not according to their original gender; among them the names of larger, but also many smaller birds: ostrich (French autruche, f.); bittern (French butor, m.); vulture (Lat. French m.); cormorant (French m.); heron (French m.); kite (Anglosaxon cita, m.); rook (Anglosaxon rôc, m.); jay (French geai, m.); parrot (French perroquet, m.); - oriole (French auréole, f.): The oriole should build and tell His love-tale close beside my cell (Bryant); martlet, martinet (French martelet, martinet, m.); redbreast, robin redbreast, robin; finch, bullfinch (Anglosaxon finc, m.) and others; grouse (Cymric grugos, heath; grug-iar = grouse, heathcock): The grouse that wears A sable ruff around his mottled neck (Bryant).

The boundary is here hard to determine. As feminines we find: partridge (French perdrix, f.); philomel (Latin French f.); turtle (Anglosaxon turtle, f.) and many others, especially small birds: The white-winged plover wheels her sounding flight (Thomson). Far from her nest the lapwing cries away (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). The mauys with her whystele (French mauvis, m.) (Skelton 1, 64.). The wren that dips her bill in water (Anglosaxon vrenna, m.) (Bryant), and many more, even the fabulous phæenix (Latin m.) has been feminine from the most ancient time.
r) The names of fish, of which in general only a few, and those mostly the larger ones, have to be considered, incline towards the masculine gender, as the general word fish (Anglosaxon fisc, m.) may pass for masculine, although it is also used femininely: To see the fish Cut with her golden oars the silver stream (Shakspeare Much Ado ab. Noth). So too in other Germanic tongues the larger and better known are mostly of the masculine gender; in English they are termed by far the most frequently neutrals (it). For instance, we find eel (Anglosaxon æl, m.); pike (from the Anglosaxon pîc = acicula, compare French brochet, a spit, m.); pearch, perch (Latin perca, f., French perche, f., but Anglosaxon bears, m.); trout (French truite, f., Anglosaxon truht, f., tructa); salmon (French saumon, m., Latin salmo, m.); shark (Latin carcharus, Greek woe\% ${ }^{\alpha}$ oićs) and some more.
d) With regard to the names of low kinds of beasts, which are wont to be defined as worms, insects and the like, the manner of regarding them as a genus epicoenum is still more undecided, and sexlessness frequent. Thus, for instance, worm (Anglosaxon vurm, vyrm, m.) appears sometimes as a masculine, sometimes feminine: The glow-worm lights his gem (Thomson). Thou dost teach the coral-worm To lay his mighty reefs (Bryant). Why ev'n the worm at last disdains her shattered cell (L. Byros); like the bee, bee (Anglosaxon beó, f.): The bee . . loads his yellow thighs For thee (Bryant). The bee with honied thigh, That at her flowery work doth sing (Milton); and the butterfly (Anglosaxon buttorfleóge, f.): The idle butterfly Should rest him there (Bryant); the emmet, ant (emmet, Anglosaxon
æmete, f.) and others. Yet others prefer the masculine gender originally belonging to them, as beetle (Anglosaxon bêtel, m.); spider (spinner); cricket (French criquet, m.); insect (French m. ) ; mosquito (Spanish m.); and even primitive feminines like wasp (Anglosaxon väps, vesp, f.); fly (Anglosaxon fleóge, f.); snail (Anglosaxon snægel, f.); of crustacea shell-fish remains masculine, as lobster (Anglosaxon loppestre, f.); oyster (French huître, f.) and others are becoming.
2) Other concrete names of things, which, alongside of their neuter conception, appear in the masculine or the feminine gender, can hardly be comprised under general points of view. It is frequently arbitrary, and the occurrence of one gender alone is hard to guarantee, but the original gender is often retained.
") The names of the world, the heavenly bodies, the earth, and the elements of its surface, are often masculine or feminine. Chaos (Greek-Latin n., French m.) is of two genders; world (Anglosaxon veorold, f.); nature (French f.); universe (French m.) are feminine. Heaven (Anglosaxon heofon, m.) is sometimes masculine, sometimes feminine, of the names of stars star (Anglosaxon steorra, m.) remained commonly masculine, although not without exception: Now the bright morning-star . . leads with her The flowery May (Milton); as also comet (Greek Latin m., French f.); feminine on the other hand planet (French f.). For son and moon see above p. 248.

The earth, earth (Anglosaxon eorđe, f.) remained feminine, as expressions for its surface remained or became, as plain (French plaine, f.); vale, valley (French f.); soil (French sol, seuil, m.); so too land and island (Anglosaxon land, n.): Never shall the land forget How gushed the life-blood of her brave (Bryant). He arose To raise a language, and his land reclaim From the dull yoke of her barbaric foes (L. Byron). God bless the seabeat island! And grant . . That charity and freedom dwell . . upon her shore (Whittier); Old-English bas treated land also as masculine (Rob. of Gloucester 1, 1.). Country also remains feminine (Old-French contreie, f.), as republic Latin French f.) and nation (French f.), to which state (Latin French m.) is joined: There you saved the state; then live to save her still (L. Byron). Conformably to these the proper names of quarters of the world and countries, as, Europe, Afric, Italy, Egypt, Albion, Russia, Poland, France, Spain \&c. are likewise feminine. The terms for towns are also feminine, as, city (Old-French cite, f.); capital (French f.); to which castel (French m.) is assimilated: And Belgium's capital had gather'd then Her Beauty and her Chivalry (L. Byron) and thence also their proper names: Our late-burnt London, in apparel new, Shook off her ashes (Waller $\dagger$ 1687). Delphi, when her priestess sung \&c. (L. Byron). I lived and toil'd a soldier and a servant Of Venice and her people (id.). Here Ehrenbreitstein with her shatter'd wall (ID.). - Names of heights are masculine, as, mountain (French f.); hill (Auglosaxon m.); peak (French pic, m.), although proper names of mountains are often feminine
as Aetna, Jura, Ardennes: And still his honied wealth Hymettus yields (L. Byron). Vesuvius . . whose fount of fire, Outgushing, drowned the cities on his steeps (Bryant). Kearsage Lifting his Titan forehead to the sun (Whirtier). On the other hand: And Jura answers, through her misty shroud, Back to the joyous Alps, who call to her aloud (L. Byron). And Ardennes waves above them her green leaves (id.). The desert is masculine (French m.), and the meadow (Anglosaxon meadu, m.$)$; on the other hand the beach (?) is usually feminine.

The sea (Anglosaxon sx, m. and f.) has remained of two genders, hence perhaps ocean (Greek Latin French m.), although frequently masculine, is also used femininely, and even deep (Anglosaxon deope, f. - mare profundum), mostly feminine, also masculinely: When at thy call, Uprises the great deep and throws himself Upon the Continent (Bryant): Hence single seas are sometimes masculine, sometimes feminine: Again the Aegean . . Lulls his chafed breast from elemental war (L. Byrun), and: The spouseless Adriatic mourns her lord (id.) The lake (Anglosaxon lacu, ?, Modern-Highdutch die lache) is feminine, as well as the wave (Anglosaxon væg, m., compare woge, f.); the drop (Anglosaxon dropa, m.) masculine: Like a drop of water . . Who . . confounds himself (Siakspeare Com. of Err.), as well as, the flood (Anglosaxon flôd, n. = flumen). Thus too the river (French f.) is apprehended masculinely: The swelling river, into his green gulfs . . Takes the redundant glory (Bryant), like the bay (French baie, f.): Where his willing waves yon bright blue bay Sends up (id.) and proper names of rivers likewise mostly pass as masculine: Thames (Anglosaxon Temese, f.), the most loved of all the Ocean's sons By his old sire, to his embraces runs (John Denham $\dagger$ 1668). Nor Ouse on his bosom their image receives (Cowper). Mid the dark rocks that watch his bed Glitters the mighty Hudson spread (Bryant). Dark Guadiana rolls his power along In sullen billows (L. Byron). Where the quick Rhone has cleft his way (id.). Yet Lethe (Miltox), the English river Isis, the Brenta (Byron) and others are also found used femininely.

Localities of another sort are the grave (Anglosaxon gräf, n.), which has become feminine, as hell (Anglosaxon hell, f.) has remained, while Tartarus has retained its masculine gender.
$\beta$ ) Light, air, wind and appearances in the atmosphere are personified rhetorically: light, twilight (Anglosaxon leóht, lŷht, $n$.), have become feminine, dawn has continued so (Old-norse dagan f.). The ray (Old-French rais, m.) remains masculine, and the fire becomes so too, (Anglosaxon fŷr, n.): Alone the fire . . Gathers his annual harvest here (Bryant). Air (French m.) has become feminine; likewise the cloud (Anglosaxon clûd, $\mathrm{m} .=$ rupes) and welkin (Anglosaxon volcen, n.): By welkin and her stars (Shakspeare Merry Wives). On the other hand the terms for winds have remained masculine: wind (Anglosaxon vind, m.); storm (Anglosaxon m.): With thee on high the storm has made his airy seat (Bryant); zephyr (Latin French m.):

The zephyr stoops to freshen his wings (ID.); tornado (Spanish m. ): Till the strong tornado broke his way Through the gray giants of the sylvan wild (id.), as also gale (Old-norse gola, f.) is found masculine. The name of the quarter of heaven put in the place of the wind, north (Anglosaxon nord, m.); east (Anglosaxon m.), often retains its gender: And the loud north again shall buffet the vexed forest in his rage (Bryant); but not without exception: When the recreant north has forgotten her trust (Whittier). Thunder (Anglosaxon punor, m.) remains masculine.
y) Plants and minerals often remain true to their original gender. The names of trees, as tree (Anglosaxon treov, n.) even fluctuate. We find in the masculine oak (Anglosaxon âc, f.), elm (Anglosaxon m.) and elmtree, sumach, pine (Anglosaxon pinn, ?), tulip, tuliptree (French tulipier, m.); but compare: The tuliptree.. Opened . . her multitude of golden chalices (Bryant); also alley (French allée, f.) is masculine, whereas wood (Anglosaxon vudu, m.) commonly appears as feminine. Other plants, especially flowering ones, mostly remain feminine, or pass into this gender. Here belong ivy (Anglosaxon ifig, m.), which however is also found in the masculine: A dainty plant is the ivy green . . of right choice food are his meals [Dickens], vine (Anglosaxon vîn, n.), grape (French f.), which also stands for the plant; eglantine (French f.), viburnum (Latin n.): The viburnum . . to the sun holds up Her circlet of green berries (Bryant); spice-bush (Medieval-Latin buscus, m.): The spice-bush lifts her leafy lances (ID.); liverleaf (Anglosaxon leáf, n .); The liverleaf put forth her sister blooms (ID.); mistletoe (Anglosaxon misteltâ, f.), rose, primrose (French rose, f.), lily (Anglosaxon lilje, f.), which, however, is also masculine; lotus (Greek Latin m. and f.): The lotus lifted her golden crown (Longfellow); cowslip (Anglosaxon lippa, m.), gentian [flower] (Latin f.) \&c. Among the metals we find silver (Anglosaxon silfor, n.) left in the feminine; among the precious stones ruby (French rubis, m.), sapphire (French saphir, m.) in the masculine. Even dust (Anglosaxon n.), is so met with.
d) Among the members of the animal body the hand (Anglosaxon f.) remains feminine, whereas the eye (Anglosaxon eáge, n.): Dark night that from the eye his function takes (Shakspeare); as well as the nose (Anglosaxon nasu, f.): Whenever the nose put his spectacles on (Cowper), are used as masculines. The heart (Anglosaxon heorte, f.) is, mostly in a figurative sense, of two genders. The lap (Anglosaxon lappa, m.), strictly used of the clothing, is feminine: The flowery lap of some vigorous valley spread her store (Milton).
غ) Human works and tools are seldom considered. Of edifices dome is masculine (French m.), tower fluctuates (French tour f., Anglosaxon torr, m.). The church, mostly in a transferred sense (Anglosaxon cyrice, f.) remains feminine. Hammer (Anglosaxon hamor, m.), and sword (Anglosaxon sveord, n.) are treated as
masculines in poetry; the needle (Anglosaxon nædl, f.), pin (Anglosaxon pinn, ? = stylus) remains feminine. The bottle (French bouteille, f.) is masculine in Shakspeare Temp. 2, 2.
!) Among fabulous beings sphinx (Greek Latin f.) has remained feminine, nightmare (Anglosaxon maru, m.) has become so. Fantom, phantom is, like the corresponding French word, masculine.
3) Time and definite spaces of time for the most part persevere in their original gender. Time (Anglosaxon tîma, m.) is commonly, although not universally, masculine; likewise year (Anglosaxon geár, n.), and day (Anglosaxon däg, m.). Of the Seasons summer (Anglosaxon sumor, m.), winter (Anglosaxon vinter, n.), automn (French automne, m. and f.) appear frequently, although not always, masculine; compare: Who joys the mother Autumn's bed to crown, And bids old Winter lay her honour down? (Young.). Summer sheds for me her beams (Montgomery); whereas spring (Anglosaxon $\mathrm{m} .,=$ fons) is usually taken as feminine: When I . . saw . . the Spring Come forth her work of gladness to contrive (L. Byron). Among the months, April, October and others remain masculine; May, on the other hand, is found in the feminine: May with her cap crowned with roses (Longfellow). The times of the day mostly follow the old gender: morning, after the feminine evening (Anglosaxon æfnung, f., on the other hand $æ f e n, \mathrm{~m}$. ), as morn (Anglosaxon morgen, m.): Morn . . Lifts up her purple wing (Longfellow). The meek-ey'd Morn . . mother of dews (Milion). night (Anglosaxon neaht, f.), midnight and hour (Old-French houre, f.) are feminine.
4) The wide domain of those abstract substantives, which do not represent the corporeal, if they themselves denote processes in outward nature, the expressions for states, feelings, affections, activities and essences, which fall under mental intuition, offer peculiar phenomena. At one time the feminine gender preponderates in the treatment of them as sexual beings; at another, the influence of the original gender operates with them, especially so far as it is characterised by perceptible terminations; thirdly, the Romance, hence, the Latin determination of gender is of preponderant influence in Modern-English, perhaps under the operation of classic studies, whereas more latitude prevails in Old-English. But even in Modern-English strict consistency is not to be found.
«) If, in the first place, we consider abstract terms according to their sensuous terminations, the Romance stand in the first rank as a foundation for the genders, whereas Germanic termiuations operate less universally.

1) Abstract terms in $y$ ( $r y, t y, s y$, ory \&c.), corresponding to French feminines in ie, $\dot{e}$, oire \&c., are used chiefly in the feminine, as: astronomy, melancholy, modesty, poesy, fancy, folly, philosophy, jealousy, sympathy, harmony; misery, luxury, penury, poetry, flattery, slavery, chivalry; - impiety, necessity, liberty, piety,
pity, plenty, prosperity, beauty, vanity, duty, society, cruelty, charity, chastity, humility; - memory, victory, glory, history \&c, also mercy (Old-French mercit, mercis f.).
Exceptionally words of this sort pass over into the masculine, as, industry, poverty, folly, tyranny, drudgery, jealousy, conspiracy, knavery, hospitality \&c., mostly, certainly, when the image of the rough, untender or of masculine gravity inheres in the word: All is the gift of Industry . . Pensive Winter, cheer'd by him, Sits at the social fire (Thomson). Here Folly still his votaries inthralls (L. Byron). Tyranny himself, Thy enemy (Bryant). But Jealousy has fled; his bars, his bolts

Have pass'd to darkness (L. Byron). Knavery cannot . . hide himself in such reverence (Shafspeare Much Ado ab. N.). Open-eyed conspiracy his time doth take (id. Temp.). In that mansion used to be freehearted Hospitality; His great fires up the chimney roared (Longfellow). This is often the case in Old-English: Theologie Whan he this tale herde (Piers Ploughman p. 35.); even in Skelton: If liberte sholde lepe and renne where he lyst (I. 230.). Fansy with his fonde consayte ( $=$ conceit?) (I. 247.). Thus Young calls eternity the father of time: Eternity his Sire (Night 2.).

Abstract terms in ion (tion), on remain likewise inclined to the feminine gender of their French termination (Lat. ion-em): opinion, oblivion, religion, decision, oppression, passion, compassion, imagination, inspiration, inquisition, ambition, affection, presumption, fiction, dissimulation, devotion, desolation, sedition, superstition, caution, consideration, corruption, creation; - fashion (Old-French faceon, fachon $=$ factio), reason, treason (Old-French traison =traditio) \&c.
Substantives of this class are rarely used in the masculine also, as, passion, contemplation, action and some others: In his lair Fix'd Passion holds his breath (L. Byron).

Abstract terms in ice (French ice, Latin itia) also remain feminine, as avarice, justice, injustice; although OldEnglish also occasionally treats thuse as masculine: Coveitise (Old-French coveitise, convoitise, Latin, as if cupiditia). . caste how he myghte Overcome (Piers Ploughm. p. 432.). Compare also: Largesse is he that all prynces doth auaunce (Skelton I. 234.).

Words in ic (French ique, f.) are likewise used femininely, as magic, music, rhetoric \&c. Yet logic commonly appears in the masculine.

Words in ance and ence (French the same, Latin antia, entia) likewise retain regularly the feminine gender: ignorance, repentance, temperance, impertinence, impudence, innocence, existence, penitence, pestilence, patience, prudence, beuevolence, science \&c.; to which silence (Latin silentium) is added: Silence and Darkness. solemn sisters! (Young N. 1.).

Yet romance, prudence, vengeance, providence, conscience and some others are also sometimes found used in the masculine: This sir Prudence (Shakspeare Temp.). Young Romance raised his dreamy eyes (Whittier). And then comes repentance, and with his bad legs falls (Shakspeare Much Ado ab. Noth.).
Abstract terms in or, our retain in part the masculine gender corresponding to the Latin, as error, terror, horror, honour, labour \&c.; yet labour is also found feminine, and thus commonly, following their French gender, languor, splendor, and others.
Also those in ude (Latin udo) and ure (Latin ura) commonly preserve the feminine gender, as lassitude, rectitude, fortitude, servitude \&c. scripture (as a concrete term), sculpture \&c. To the words in ure is also joined future (Latin futurum): The cheerful future . . with all her promises and smiles (Bryant); as well as pleasure (French plaisir), whereas leisure (French loisir) is found masculine: Leisure, That in trim gardens takes his pleasure (Milton).

Abstract terms in ment (French m.), few of which occur determined as to gender, chiefly follow the masculine gender: contentment, atonement, astonishment dc.; but they also pass over into the feminine: Therefore . . descended the Prince of Atonement . . aud she stands now . . and battles with Sin (Longfellow).
2) Also among the more sensuous Anglosaxon derivative terminations some shew themselves effective.

Abstract substautives in ing (Anglosaxon ung, ing, f.) are used in the feminine, as: understanding, learning, feeling and some others: Why should feeling ever speak When thou (Music) canst breathe her soul so well (Th. Moore).

Still more frequently occur substantives in ness (Anglosaxon ness, niss, nyss \&c., f.) as feminines, as madness, lewdness, wilderness (concrete), darkness, sickness, consciousness, gentleness, cheerfulness, happiness \&c. yet they partly oscillate. Compare: Where brooding darkness spreads his jealous wings (Miltos) on the other hand: Silence and Darkness, solemn sisters (Young). Old-English: Falsnesse is fayn of hire (sc. Mede), For he woot hire riche (Piers PloughMan p. 32.):
The combination of the neuter with the feminine is striking in: Not happiness itself makes good her name (Young N. Th. 1.).
The few words in dom (Anglosaxon dôm, m.) and hood (Anglosaxon hâd, m.) betray their original gender: Princely wisdom, then, Dejects his watchful eye (Thomson). Where manhood, on the field of death, Strikes for his freedom (Whirtier). Yet freedom (perhaps on account of its affinity of meaning with liherty) prefers the feminine gender: Thus Freedom now so seldom wakes, The only throb she gives Is . . To show that still she lives (Th. Moore). Where Freedom weeps
her children's fall (Whittier); so too in Byron and others. Also wisdom is feminine: Wisdom, . . What is she, but the means of Happiness? (Young). The termination ship (Anglosaxon scipe, m.) so rarely of determinate gender, becomes feminine in friendship: This carries Friendship to her noontide point (Young).

Substantives ending in the derived th (Anglosaxon đ), many whereof point to Anglosaxon feminines, and wherein the derivation is still sensible, have retained pretty decidedly the feminine gender, as wealth, health, truth, sloth, youth; to which is also added the Romance faith: When wanton wealth her mightiest deeds had done (L. Byron). Sloth drew her pillow o'er her head (Whittier). Ere youth had lost her face (L. Byron). Faith, she herself from on high is descended (Longfellow). With a correct feeling the usage of the tongue separates death (Anglosaxon deád, m.) from the above words, and uses it mostly in the masculine, as Milton, Young, Byron, Longfellow \&c., although it is sometimes taken as feminine; compare: The painful family of Death more hideous than their queen (Gray). It is remarkable that the older language often deviates with regard to those feminines: Truthe is therinne. . he is fader of feith (Piers Plouphm. p. 15.). Sleuthe . An hard assaut he made (p. 438.). Feith . . he fleigh aside (p. 351.). Welthe . . wolde bere hymselfe to bolde (Skelton I. 229.). Sloth, as a concrete substantive, is masculine.
$\beta$ ) Abstract terms, which either have no derivative termination, or in which it is no longer felt as such by linguistic consciousness, or, finally, those whose derivative termination has no definite gender, are still frequently used in poetry as masculine or feminine. Many masculines and neuters pass over into the feminine gender, a few feminines, on the contrary, are masculine. Words of all three original genders are here and there fluctuating. We cite examples, having regard to their original gender, without respect to the distinctions of notion.

1) Anglosaxon masculines appear masculine: hunger, thirst, sleep, dream (Anglosaxon dreám, m., gaudium), anger (Anglosaxon only ang-niss), fear, lust (Anglosaxon lust, m.; lyst, f.), laughter, pride, the original neuter murder and the undefineable in gender want (Old-norse vanta, deesse); likewise the Romance masculines: order, danger, character, power, use, vice, commerce, spirit, sport (Old-French deport, m.), despair (compare French désespoir). Examples: Sleep give thee all his rest (Shakspeare Mids. N. Dr.). And let some strange mysterious dream Wave at his wings an airy stream \&c. (Milton). Next Anger rushed, his eyes on fire (Collins). First Fear, his hand, his skill to try, Amid the chords bewildered laid (iD.). Laughter, holding both his sides (Milton). Pride brandishes the favours he confers (Young). Wither'd murder, Alarum'd by his sentinel, the wolf (Shakspeare Macb.). Power at thee has launched his bolts (Bryant). Grey-bearded Use . . Leaned on his staff and wept (Whittier).

Son of Eternity . . the Spirit Tugs at his chains (Longrellow). And Sport leapt up and seized his beechen spear (Collins). With woeful measures wan Despair . . his grief beguiled (id.).
Yet even here transitions into the feminine gender are found, and we find, for instance: pride, fear, murder, power, vice, commerce, spirit, despair often used in the feminine: Which . makes weariness forget his toil And fear her danger (L. Byron). But here, where Murder breathed her bloody steam (ii) ). Daughter of Jove, relentless Power (Gray). Within walls Power dwelt amidst her passions (L. Byron). Ytce that digs her own voluptuous tomb (id.). When the trembling spirit wings her flyght (Rogers). Despair extends her raven wing (Thomson).
Among the original feminines, which become masculine, are the Anglosaxon heat, love (perhaps not without the influence of the personification of love) care, war, the Romance fraud. Instances: Tyrant Heat . . his burning influence darts On man \&c. (Thomson). Love has no gift so grateful as his wings (L. Byron). Ere War uprose in his volcanic rage (L. Byron). And War shall lay his pomp away (Bryant). Fraud from his secret chambers fled (Whittier):

Here and their we find the feminine gender, as, for instance, of war.
2) A number of Anglosaxon feminines commonly remain feminine, as, mind (Anglosaxon n. and f., Old-norse f.), law, rest, sin, sorrow, soul and especially Romance ones, as, revenge. rage, peace, pain, prayer, fame, form, fortune, misfortune, virtue, trade (?), disease (Old-French desaise), joy, concord, discord, quiet (Old-French quiete) and others. The transition into the masculine gender is here a rarer exception, although it occurs. Compare: The mighty Mind, that son of Heav'n (Yonng). The eternal mind Who veils his glory with the elements (Bryant); as often in the even in Anglosaxon double-gendered mind. Revenge impatient rose . . He threw his blood-stained sword in thunder down (Collins) Last came Joy's ecstatic trial: He . . First to the lively pipe his hand addressed (ID.).
Some Anglosaxon neuters pass over into the feminine gender, as, evil, life, wit, as well as some which might belong to the masculine or neuter granmatical genus, as, thought, wrong, and the masculine will, guilt, knowledge (Oldnorse kunnleiki, m.), hope, slumber and slaughter (?). Still more numerous are the Romance masculines: art, exploit, repose, pardon, praise, fate, delight, sense, strife, carnage, crime, habit \&c. The adjectives used as substantives ideal, ridicule, also words like havoc, scorn and others. Instances: Then well may Life Put on her plume (Young). Hail, memory, hail! . . Thought and her shadowy brood thy call obey (Rugers). The mark where wrong Aim'd with her poison'd arrows (L. Byron). The ocean has his chart, the stars their map, And knowledge spreads
them on her ample lap (id.). Hope . . Does what she can (Longrellow). Pardon, clad like a mother, gave you her hand to kiss (id.). Praise . . with her soft plume (Young). Accuse . . not thy fate - she may redeem thee still (L. Byron). God hath yoked to guilt Her pale tormentor misery (Bryant). And Havoc loathes so much the waste of time, She scarce had left an uncommitted crime (L. Byron).

The masculine gender appears to be here rare; compare: Life mocks the idle hate Of his arch-enemy Death (Bryant). Old-English: Hope cam . . Ac whan he hadde sighte of that segge ( $=$ man) (Piers Ploughm. p. 351.)

## 2. The Adjective.

The adjective, or word of quality, which expresses the quality inherent in an object, solely in reposing upon a substantives into the notion of which the quality is to be taken up, is for this reason both thought in unity with its substantive as regards sex, and shares its changing relations in the sentence. In the languages phonetically more complete it has therefore terminations of gender, and also marks of case, to express its unity with the substantive. Anglosaxon distinguished more or less distinctly three genders of the adjective, with which the participle, as a verbal adjective, is also to be reckoned. Old-French distinguished, at least partly, two genders by the termination. Anglosaxon distinguished a strong and a weak declension of adjectives, whose cases certainly often coincided in point of form, the comparative following however the 'weak declension only. OldFrench still distinguished in part the nominative of the singular and of the plural from the oblique cases of the adjective. Modern-English has completely abandoned the distinction of gender, number and case by terminations, with adjectives not used substantively.

If the nature or quality which the adjective expresses is attributed absolutely to an object, the word of quality, as positive, stands in its fundamental form. If, however, that quality is attributed to one or several objects, by way of comparison, in a greater measure than to one or several objects placed over against them, this greater measure is expressed by the comparative of the word of quality, in which case two spheres only of comparison are proposed, whether the objects compared in quality belong to the same or to different classes of things. If, finally, a quality common to all objects coming under review is ascribed to one or to several of them in the greatest measure, the adjective expresses this highest measure by the superlative. The comparative and the superlative need therefore a different form from the positive. The Anglosaxon distinguished them by Suffixes, like the Latin; French, which lost the Latin suffixes down to a few traces, distinguished them by the prefixed adverbs plus, le plus. English combined both modes.

## Tho Declension of Adjectives

In Modern-Englisch the adjective, as such, appears always in the same form: a virtuous man; a virtuous woman; virtuous men \&c. They rather look like vagabond gipsies, or stout beggars, than regular troops (Lady Montague). Thus the adjective has become unknowable by its form. To this is to be ascribed the misunderstanding, by which substantives, which often appear in a loose connection before others as words of determination, are frequently cited at the same time as adjectives in dictionaries, as, gold, silver, stone \&c., although it is a matter of course that substantives, in their effect as words of determination, may express the same import as the adjective combined with the substantive. In iron (Anglosaxon subst. and adject. îsern, îren) the substantive certainly coincides in form with the adjective.

Anglosaxon has bequeathed hardly a trace of its case terminations even to Old-English. Here belongs, for instance: Dame, have you godne dai! (Dame Siriz p. 7.). The Anglosaxon strong form m. gôd, f. gôd (u), n. gôd has in the accus. sing. masc. gôdne. To the weak form m. -a, f. -e, $n .-e$, gen \&c. -an might i'th' olden time (Shakspeare Macb. 3, 4.) be referred, since there is no Anglosaxon alden, but only ald, so that olden had developed itself out of the cases. On the contrary an $e$, which seems to occur more frequently with the feminine than with the masculine, has been preserved more obstinately in the adjective used in the plural, so that we can see therein a mark of distinction of the two numbers. Compare: God corn . . wateres he hap eke gode (Rob. of Gloucester I. 1.) ; pe strengeste me ( $=$ men) (I. 111.); lawes he made ryztuollere and strongore pan er were (I. 266.). A sotil thing - the sotile craftes (Piers Ploughm. p. 294. 297.). In raggede clothes (p. 204.). Povere men to fede (p. 273.). Of avarouse chapmen (p. 300.). 4 principalle cytees (Maundev. p. 27.). Many perilouse passages (ib.). Many goude hylles and fayre (p. 127.). Into Cristene mennes handes (p. 104.). This comes out especially, when adjectives are used as substantives: Of alle manere of men, The meene and the riche (Pifrs Ploughm. p. 2.). Amonges povere and riche (p. 274. 278.). Whan thise wikkede wenten out (p. 22.). Oon of Godes chosene (p. 209.). We may certainly consider this $e$ as a remnant of the inflective termination, which in the plural of the weak declension was -an, in the strong -e, -e, -u.

Adjectives are in English, as in other tongues, also used as substantives. It is indebted for many adjectives used as substantives even to the Anglosaxon, still more to the French. Yet on the whole, among adjectives used as substantives only a small number assumes also the form of inflection of the substantive.
a) To the adjectives used as substantives which adopt these inflective forms belong mostly Romance, fewer Germanic words. Here belong:
a) those, which become personal names for a people, as Ionian, Italian, Dorian, Spartan, German, Roman, Euro-
pean dc. They are commonly already Romance or Latin substantives. Words like Scot, Greek \&c., although partly occurring as adjectives, do not belong here as Anglosaxon substantives: Scottas (plur. tantum), Grêc. Even Swiss is a substantive.
Such as end in a sibilant or a hissing letter (also ese) do not assume the plural s: the Irish, the English, the French, the Dutch, the Portuguese, the Chinese, the Bengalese; on the other hand Tunguses.

Words ending in $s h$ and $c h$ do not occur otherwise than generalized with the article the, or universally negatived by no (the Dutch; no Dutch).

Otherwise determined, or used predicatively, man in the singular, men in the plural is annexed to them: an Irishman, these Englishmen, two Frenchmen; they are Enlishmen.
в) Names of persons, denoting the members of a sect or party: Christian, Presbyterian, Lutheran, Stoic, Cynic, Jacobin \&c. They have also mostly been taken from the Romance or Latin, as forms already used as substantives.
r) Names of persons of another sort are: impertinent, incurable, ignorant, ancient, modern, mortal, immortal, native, noble, saint, sage, criminal \&c.; which are joined by a few Germanic ones, as, heathen, (Anglosaxon hæすen, adj.), black, white. Latin comparatives also, as inferior, superior, senior, junior, to which the Anglosaxon elder, better are added, and which we often meet with in combination with my: my inferiors, my betters \&c.; but also otherwise: The juniors of their number (L. Byron). The elders of his own tribe (W. Scott). If many of these words are found chiefly in the plural, the use of the singular is not thereby excluded, which dictionaries therefore do not hesitate to cite also as a substantive. But some are of course limited to the plural, as commons, infernals and others.
ס) Concrete and abstract names of things likewise occur in the form of adjectives used as substantives, the latter indeed very commonly in the plural, like the Latin neuters of adjectives: eatables, drinkables, combustibles, materials, mercurials, pentecostals, vitals, substantials, valuables, movables, woolens, as the plural often stands with a particular meaning alongside of the singular: green, greens; white, whites; sweet, sweets = home-made wines, molasses \&c. Of abstract nouns belong here the names of sciences, as mathematics \&c. (see p. 230.); universals: Universals have no real substance (Longrellow); dialectically dismals = melancholy feelings and others. Lexicography has to bestow a particular notice upon words belonging here, which withdraws them from grammatical rules.
b) The great number of adjectives, especially of the Anglosaxon origin, as well as the participial forms, does not share the in-
flective capacity of the above named. Anglosaxon declines them in its own manner; the usage of the Old-English we have above observed. English has at least refused them the plural termination.
a) Adjectives of this sort used as substantives seldom appear in the singular as names of persons, as is often the case in OldEnglish: The poore is but feeble (Piers Plocging. p. 287.). The poore is ay prest To plese the riche (ib.). In ModernEnglish the positive sometimes, but especially the superlative, is found thus used: None but the brave deserves the fair (Dryden). And Work of wonders far the greatest, that thy dearest far might bleed (Young N. Th.). The great FirstLast (id.).

In the plural this is common, and even where the adjective used substantively does not appear as the subject of a plural verb, we mostly have to take it as a plural: The poor of the parish, who were ranged on benches in the aisles (W. Irving). Yet there is one, And he amongst the foremost in his power (Rowe). 0 ye dead! (Young). There will a worse come in his place (Shafspenre). Yet for the foulest of the foul He dies, Most joy'd, for the redeem'd from deepest guilt (id.). Thy songs were made for the pure and free (Th. Moore). Upon the combination of the adjective with one see further below.
ß) Even in the sense of the Latin neuter the adjective used as a substantive is employed in the singular: This my hand will rather The multitudinous seas incarnadine Making the green one red (Shakspeare). Expose the vain of life (Young). The fathomless of thought divine (ID.). Nor that the worst (ID.). Ambition makes my little less, Embitt'ring the possess'd (id.)
The adjective used substantively, incapable of the plural formation with $\varepsilon$, may however, assume the $s$ of the genitive, both in names of persons and in the neuter, although this does not frequently happen. See p. 235. With the otherwise uninflected comparative and superlative this could hardly be the case.

## The Comparison of the Adjective.

The denoting of the comparison of the adjective, that is, the formation of the comparative and the superlative, happens in two modes, the one answering to the Anglosaxon, the other to the Romance mode. The one is effected through derivational terminations, the other by the combination of the adverbs more and most with the positive.
a) The derivational terminations of the comparative and superlative are er and est, which are joined to the positive: great, greater, greatest. They correspond to the Anglosaxon terminations ir (commonly $\ddot{e r}$ ) and ôr for the comparative, ist (esst) and ôst for the superlative, whose $\ddot{e}$ and $\hat{o}$ however before the $r$ in the terminations $-r a$, $-r e$, $-r e$ almost always, often also in the
superlative, was thrown off: heard: heardra, heardre, heardre; durus: durior, durius; lang: lengra \&c.; longus: longior \&c.; on the other hand hefig: hefigera \&c.; gravis: gravior \&c.; hâlig: hâligôsta \&c.; sanctus: sanctissimus \&c.; strang: strengsta \&c.; durus: durissimus \&c.

Old-English still preserves remnants of the termination ôr, ôst alongside of ër, ëst: po pis kyng Leir eldore was (Rob. of Gloucester I. 32.). pe stalwordore (191.). Lawes he made ryztuollere and strengore (266.): po was he \& al hys gladdore (358.). pys lond nede mot pe pouerore be (II 370). \& so pe feblore were (372.). pe zongost Cordeille (I. 29.). pe eldoste (105.). pe wysost kyng (266.). The forms in $o$, alongside of which those in $\boldsymbol{e}$ were of course constantly in use, were nevertheless soon completely lost. Instead of the termination est, yst is also found: The manfullyste man (Percy Rel. p. 3. II.).

With the English forms of comparison the vowel of the stem remains unchanged: long, longer, longest. The Anglosaxon here frequently let the modification of the vowel, known in Highdutch as the Umlaut, and in Sanscrit as the guna, enter: strang (strong): strengra, strangôsta, strengsta; lang: lengra, lengesta, lengsta; ald, eald: yldra; yldesta.

Old-English preserved traces of this for a long time: strong, stronge: strengere (Maundev. p. 278.); strengore (Rob. of Gloucester I. 266.); strengest (id. 15.); strengeste (111.); thus also we find lang, lenger, lengest, lengost, and others. Connected with this is the shortening of long vowels of the positive. which is not justified through the Anglosaxon, as swete: swetter, swettest (Anglosaxon svêt, svêtra, svêtesta); depe: depper, deppest (Anglosaxon deóp); grete: gretter, grettest (Anglosaxon greát); wide: widder, widdest (Anglosaxon vîd); forms which we frequently meet in Piers Ploughman, Maundeville, Chaucer and others.

Modern-English has in the forms: old: elder, eldest, as well as in better, best (pointing to a positive with a, Anglosaxon betera, betsta), traces of the ancient vowel modification.

The changes which the English positive undergoes in the forms of comparison, are èssentially of graphical nature. Words ending in a mute $e$ lose it before er and est polite, politer, politest. This is also the case in adjectives ending in le with a consonant preceding: able, abler, ablest. The same happens if a vowel is followed by an $e$ : true, truer, truest. If an adjective ends in $y$ with a consonant preceding it, $y$ transmutes itself into $i$ : happy, happier, happiest; not so in gay, gayer, gayest. - The simple consonant doubles itself after a short vowel of the accented syllable: big, bigger, biggest; hot, hotter, hottest. The same takes place also with $l$ in an unaccented syllable: cruel, crueller, cruellest (however with an elided $e$ before $l$ only one $l$ appears: cruel'st racks and torments [Orway]); cheerful, cheerfuller, cheerfullest.

The Anglosaxon forms of comparison were early transferred to Romance stems, and Old-English took no offence at the lenght
of the forms: pe noblest bacheler (Rob. of Gloucester I. 30.). feblore (Il. 372.). pouerore (370.). Are no men avarouser than hii (Piers Ploughman p. 26.). The marveillouseste metels [Dream] (p. 155.). Awntrouseste (plus avantoureux) (Morte Arthure in Halliwell s. v.).

Modern-English also transfers these forms to Romance stems, but, both in Anglosaxon and in Romance adjectives, has restricted the use of them more and more from euphonic reasons, although, even in prose no agreement obtains in the employment of them.

Modern-Grammarians allow the terminations of comparison to h e following classes of adjectives:
«) to monosyllabic adjectives: poor, poorer, poorest; sweet; sweeter, sweetest; wise, wiser, wisest.
$\beta$ ) to disyllabic ones, whose last syllable has the accent: genteel, genteeler, genteelest; severe, severer, severest.
$\gamma$ ) to disyllabic ones, ending with the glib syllable formed by le with an initial consonant preceding it: able, abler, ablest.
ס) to disyllabic ones, ending in $y$ with a consonant preceding it: worthy, worthier, worthiest; lovely, lovelier, loveliest. Many of these adjectives are, by reason of their notion, not easily susceptible of comparison, especially those with the derivational termination $y$ (Anglosaxon $\hat{i g}$ ), sofar as they refer to materials, as balmy, skinny, woody, earthy \&c.
We however permit those terminations also to other adjectives whose forms of comparison cause no ill sound, which certainly furnishes only an indefinite standard. But when Jounson completely excludes the participial terminations ing and ed, the terminations ive, id, ent, ain, al, ate, ous, as well as those in ful, less and some, which have properly arisen through composition, from this mode of comparison, he manifestly goes too far.

As regards the participial forms, the comparison of adjectives in ing is confined to the Old-English fittingest (Chaucer A. F. 551.); and rarely appears with the moderns: the lastingst wine (Howell sec. XVII.); a cunninger animal (Goldsmith Vic. of W.); but is not uncommonly in the mouth of the people. See Dickens Master Humphrey Clock 3, 73. Fiedler's Wissenschaftliche Grammatik der englischen Sprache 1. p. 246. The comparison of those in ed is familiar to Old-English: Bettre and blesseder (Piers PloughMAN p. 217.). The contree is the curseder (p. 421.); and has not become foreign to Modern-English: The damned'st body (Shakspeare Meas. for Meas.). The wicked'st caitiff (from Anglosaxon viccjan $=$ veneficiis uti) (ib.). Matter, the wicked'st offspring of thy race (John Wilmot $\dagger 1680$ ). The wretched'st of the race of man (from the Anglosaxon vreccan, persequi) (Otway); and so with the people: tireder (Halliwell s. v.) \&c.

Of others of the above cited adjective terminations may serve as Modern-English examples: The solidest bodies (W. Irving), compare: The soueraynst things (Skelton I. 38). - Nothing certainer (Shafspeare Much Ado \&c.); those compounded with some and ful: The best and wholesom'st spirits of the night (Sharspeare

Meas. for Meas.). The handsomest and genteelest footman (Fielding). The unhopefullest husband that I know (Shakspeare Much Ado \&c.). The beautifullest race of people upon earth (Sheridan). I yearn'd to know which one was faithfullest Of all this camp includes (Coleridge). And be this peal its awfullest and last sound (L. Byron). The cellar's a cheerfuller place than the cell (Longfellow). In Old-English all such forms are used without hesitancy.

Others also of the twosyllabled adjectives not named above frequently form their degrees of comparison by derivational terminations; thus adjectives in ow, el, il, er, ant, $t$ (ct), st, even threesyllabled ones in er-y: In a narrower sphere (L. Byron). And hollower grew The deep-worn path (Bryant). Cruel'st racks (Отway). The cruellest mortification (Goldsmith). Their people's civiller (Butler); especially frequent in er: Bitterer remembrances (L. Byron). In its tenderer hour (id.). The proper'st observations (Butler). The properest means (Goldsmith). The soberest constitutions (Fielding). With bitterest reproaches (Congreve). 'twixt bitterest foemen (L. Byron). The tend'rest eloquence (Rowe). The cleverest man (Lewes). - A pleasanter tune (Campbell). The pleasant'st angling (Sharspeare Much Ado \&c.). One of the pleasantest figures in German literature (Lewes). Silence is the perfectest herald of joy (Shakspeare Much Ado \&c.). Full of reptiles, not less loathsome, though Their sting is honester ( $L$. Byron). - To find there is a slipperier step or two (id).

The elision of the $e$ in the superlative termination est is not rare in verse.

It will be understood with this mode of comparison that it is now here absolutely necessary, but frequently yields to the second mode (see b.).

Among the anomalous forms of comparison Modern-English reckons:
a) those diverging in the vowel:
old; elder, eldest (Anglosaxon eald, ald; yldra, yldesta) on account of the otherwise extinct vowel-modification. Beside these forms stand the regular older, oldest. The Old-English has eldore, eldoste; eldere, eldeste; yet even early the unmodified derivation is used: The oldest lady of hem alle spak (Chaucer 914.).

With the various forms in themselves of the same meaning differences in usage are connected, which, however are not decisively fixed. Elder, eldest commonly form an opposition to younger and newer, but do not include the notion of old as of stricken in years: Nothing! thou elder brother ev'en to Shade (John Wiemot). I have. . a son . . some years elder than this (Shakspeare). In the elder days of Art (Longfellow). The faded fancies of an elder world (id.). My eldest daughter (Goldsmith), whereas older, oldest frequently has in itself the meaning of age, of the no longer fresh, new, therefore also occasionally that of maturity: I did not know you. You look older (Longrellow). He was the oldest monk of all (iD.). One of the oldest of Prince John's followers (W. Scotr). With all the oldest and ablest critics (Lonarelow). The oldest as
well as the newest wine (id.). But that this boundary is oversteped, is proved by such passages as: Their brother . . proved that she was two years older (Burney). The eldest, some five years older (Bulwer).
late, latter, last, alongside of later, latest (Anglosaxon lät, lätra, sup. lätemesta; latôst is only an adverbial form) of which the latter forms may be regarded as the regular ones, whereas in the former the vowel lengthened in English appears sharpened again. Compare above the shortened Old-English forms of comparison.

Even these are distinguished by usage, although likewise not with decision; latter, last, stand analogously to the forms former, first, whereas later, latest, signify degrees in time merely, the former importing more the ordinal succession, the latter more the time opposed to the early. Both may certainly, especially in the superlative, be readily interchanged with each other: The latter end of his commonwealth forgets the beginning (Shaksp. Temp.). I am the last that will last keep his oath (Shaksp. Lnve's L. L.). Rienzi! last of Romans (L. Byron). The first, last, sole reward of so much love! (ID.). The fe'on's latest breath Absolves the innocent man who bears his crime (Bryant). As my first glance of love and wonder was for thee, then take My latest $\operatorname{look}(\mathrm{L}$. Byron). Then turn we to her latest tribune's name (id.).
$\beta$ ) Forms of comparison which agree in meaning with a positive of a different stem, while themselves having no formally corresponding positive:
good, - better, best•(Anglosaxon gôd - betera, betra, betesta, betsta).

The comparative form existing in Old-English bst, bette (Anglosaxon bett, bet) is an adverb.
evil, ill, bad, - worse, worst, Old-English werse; werste, werreste (Anglosaxon yfel, Old-norse illr - vyrsa, vyrsesta, virresta; bad, which is regarded as an English positive, dialectically $=$ sick, ill, perhaps belongs to the Anglosaxon biddan, humi prosterni, whence bedd, lectus, and bedling, bädling, effeminatus. Compare Dieffenbach's Wörterbuch I. p. 282.).

In Old-English bad also forms degrees of comparison: to the badder ende (Chaucer 10538). Old-English has in a striking manner a comparative werre, worre and war: Of thilke werre In whiche none wot who hath the werre (Gower in Halliwell s. v.). The world is much war than it woont (Spenser). Even Old-Scottish and dialectical in North-England, Lancashire and Scotland is war. These forms correspond to that in use as a positive in Anglosaxon veorr, veor, but which, according to the Old-norse comparative verri, Danish værre, is itself originally a comparative. In the collateral form worser a gemination of the comparative termination is contained; compare the Old-Highdutch wirsiro. It is often found in Shakspeare, Dryden and in dialects, and corresponds to the superlative vyrsesta, Old-Highdutch wirsist. The grammar of the seventeenth century cites it as regular along with worse; at present it is noted as a barbarism.
much (mickle), - more, most, Old-English mechel, mekil, michel, mochel, muchel - more, mest, most (Anglosaxon mi-
cel, mycel, mucel - mâra, mæra, mæsta; in English we also regard many, Anglosaxon maneg, multus, as a positive).

The form mickle, in use in Shakspeare as still in the North of England, Old-Scotch mekil, mikel, now muckle, mickle, has early the abbreviated moche, muche, which also corresponds to the Anglosaxon adverb micele, alongside of it. The meaning magnus in relation to extension in space is still proper to the Old-English: Inde the more (Maundev. p. 50.). He is not mecheles more than an egle (p. 48.). But the meaning multus soon preponderates.

The form mo, moo, moe, also ma, as well as Scottish, formerly also used adjectively along with more, is the Anglosaxon adverbial form mầ alongside of mâre. It is early found frequently in the plural or before substantives in the plural: Of him camen mo generaciouns than of the othere (Maundev. p. 222.); as well as later: Many mo unto the nombre of ten thousande and moo (were slayne) (Caxton). Hence the grammarian Alexander Gil at the beginning of the seventeenth century puts the forms of comparison: much, more, most; many, mo, most together, as corresponding to each other. See Mommsen's Romeo and Juliet p. 12. The age after Spencer and Shakspeare gradually abandons this form.
little, - less, lesser; least, Old-English lite] - lasse, las, lesse; leeste, thereafter also lest, Anglosaxon lytel, litel - lässa, lästa.

In Old-English the positive lite, lyte, is also found, as still in Scottish and North-English, Anglosaxon lyt adverb and adjective; also lile, Danish lille, occurs still in Modern-English, as well as iu northern dialects (Halliwell s. v.). The comparative lesser with a geminated comparative termination is censured by grammarians, but has become indigenous; it is chiefly limited to the meaning smaller: The more my prayer, the lesser is my grace (Shakspeare Mids. N. Dr.). It is the lesser blot (id. Two G. of Ver.). 'The lesser lights', as opposed to the moon (Drayton). Things of lesser dignity (L. Byron). That less coincides with the adverbial comparative, as least with the superlative (Anglosaxon läs, läst), is a matter of course. Lesser is striking as an adverb in Shakspeare. See adverb. The adjective occurs at present as well as formerly. Old English: Babyloyne the lesse (Maundev. p. 42.). A lasse fowel (Piers Ploughm. p. 243.); Modern-English: How to name the bigger light and how the less That burn by day and night (Sharspeare Temp.). - Dialects, besides the form lesser have an other comparative lesserer and the superlatives lessest and lesserest, for instance in Norfolk. Dialects also form regular degrees of comparison from little: littler, littlest (compare Dialect. of Craven. Lond. 1828. s. vv.) Shakspeare has littlest: Where love is great, the littlest doubts are fear (Hamlet 3. 2.). In Old-English the degrees of comparison are also expressed by min - minnist (Oldnorse minni $=$ minri, minor). Towneley Myst.
. . further, furthest (Anglosaxon comparative furみra, major, along with the adverb furđor, ulterius), allied with the adverb forth, Anglosaxon forす, are forms to which the degrees of comparison belonging to the Anglosaxon adverb feorr, English far = procul, perhaps on account of the nearly allied meaning, are assimilated (Anglosaxon fyrre, feorrest), which in OldEnglish sound as fer - ferre, ferrere - ferrest and there cor-
respond to the dere (dear) - derre, derrere - derrest. For furthermost see further below.

Compare: Let us not leave them time for further council (L. Byros). 'This the furthest hour of Assyria's years (ID.). Farther is erroneously deemed a collateral form of further. These occur in their nature also as adverbs, but are likewise adjectives: From the farthest steep of India (Shakspeare Mids. N. Dr.).
\%) Here belongs also the positive arising from a comparative form, with the degrees of comparison developed out of it.
near, - nearer, nearest, beside which next still stands as a superlative, Old-English - nere, narre; narrest, beside which the adverb mostly sounds ner, nar; whereas the other forms also stand adverbially (Dialect of Craven II. 3.); Anglosaxon adject. comp. neara, nŷra, superl. nŷhsta, nêxta.

These forms belong to the Anglosaxon neáh - neár, nyr, nêr - neahst, next, whence the originally adverbial nigh comes, to which a comparative nigher (Smart Dict. s. v.) and a superlative nighest is given (compare nighest-about $=$ nearest way in northern dialects). The Old-English nigh - nerre, nere - next corresponds in form to the Old-English high, hie, hey - herre - hexte, Anglosaxon heáh - heáhra, heárra - hêhsta, as nigh - nigher nighest to the Modern-English high - higher - highest, for which Old-English presents also heire - heiste.
s) Finally the superlatives in most, Old-English m-est, m-yst, are to be reckoned here, which originally correspond to the Anglosaxon ones in (e)m-est. which point to a positive (e)ma, which itself had a superlative character. In this superlative even in Anglosaxon the termination mâst, môst is certainly found along with mest. Anglosaxon mëdema, mëdemra, mëdemôst, mëdêmast = mediocris; Gothic innuma - Anglosaxon innemest; Anglosaxon forma - formest, formest, fyrmest; Anglosaxon hinduma, hindema - Gothic hindumists; Gothic aftuma - Anglosaxon äftemest, äftemôst.

The termination mest has been in English gradually confounded with the adverb most, Anglosaxon mæst. It was appended to comparative adjective forms, often of the same sound as adverbs and prepositions, and containing a determination of space (compare innermost), and therefore to the corresponding adverbs positives were further annexed (compare highmost), and by reckon of Anglosaxon forms, like sûđmest (southmost), which points to a positive sû才ema, also put to nouns (compare topmost). In that was seen the particle, otherwise prefixed to the positive, as the periphrasis of the superlative, and the corresponding comparative in more was even formed (compare the English adverb furthermore, Old-English forthermore). Here belong the following, which occasionally offer double forms for the same meaning.
foremost, the comparative to which former is still in use, Old-English also the positive: forme - former (compare formerwarde $=$ vanguard. Weber) - formest, foremest;

Anglosaxon forma - comparative is wanting - formesta, fyrmesta, primus.

Old-English: Adam oure forme father (Chavcer Tale of Melib.); still in Skelton: his forme foote (forefoot) (I. 385.). Adam oure foremest fader (Maundev. p. 303.); and still in Skelton: That wonte was to be formyst (I. 230.). The allied in sense first, primus, belongs to the Anglosaxon fyrra - fyrrest, fyrst, Old-norse fyrri fyrstr, prior, primus, which corresponds in sound with the Anglosaxon fyrre -- feorrest, fyrrest, from feor, English far, yet related to the Anglosaxon forma, belongs to for, Old-norse fyri. - First and formest are often put together even in Old-English (Piers Plovghm. p. 403.).
hindmost and hindermost (Anglosaxon hindema, hinduma, ultimus; compare hind-veard, posterus; Gothic hindumists; hinder is in the Anglosaxon an adverb and preposition, in English an adjective).

Old-English also formed the superlative hinderest, like innerest, overest, upperest, utterest.
inmost and innermost (Gothic innuma - Anglosaxon innemesta; with it is found the Anglosaxon comparative innera and superlative innôsta). In English inner is in use as an adjective.
outmost and outermost (Anglosaxon ûtemest, to which the adjective comparative ûtera, ûttra belongs in meaning. The adverb ût forms utôr - ûtemôst, ûtemest). The adjective outer still belongs to the English.
utmost and uttermost (Anglosaxon ytemesta, with which the positive $\hat{y}$ te and the comparative $\hat{y}$ tra agree); the adjective utter continues.
utmost is distinguished in usage from outmost in part by the former's being more appropriated to the determination of degree, the latter to the determination of space as such.
upmost, uppermost and overmost (Anglosaxon is up, uppe only an adverb, sursum; it borrowed its forms of comparison from ufa, supra; ufôr, yfemest. As an adjective the superlative ufemesta, yfemesta along with the comparative ufora, ufera was usual). In English the comparative upper is in use as an adjective, over essentially as an adverb and preposition; compare the Old-English overest alongside of upperest, see above. Upmost is rare.
endmost (Anglosaxon is endemest [endemes?], to which endemestness = extremitas as a substantive belongs, an adverb; it is hardly a compound from ende-mæst).

In Old-English a comparative form ender, endir, is found: this ender dai = lately. See Halliwell s. v., to which endermost. dialectically $=$ undermost, is still in use.
midmost and middlemost, Anglosaxon mëdemôsta, see above, lies at the root of the former form; compare also the adjective mid, mëd; the second leans upon the adjective middel midlesta.
aftermost (Anglosaxon äftemesta, äftemôsta, to which äftera as a positive, äfterra as a comparative occur).
undermost (Anglosaxon under is a preposition; in English under preserves essentially the nature of a preposition and an adverb).
nethermost, in Scottish dialects nethmist, nedmist (Anglosaxon niđemesta, along with the comparative niđera, neođera, whence the English adjective nether).
lowermost, as the superlative of low - lower along with lowest, without any Anglosaxon precedent, from the Old-norse lâg, locus depressus, compare lâgreistr, humilis, English dialectical loff, loffer.
hithermost (Anglosaxon hider, huc, adverb; a comparative hiderer is cited). In English hither is also employed adjecttively. A form thithermost over against it (Anglosaxon pider, illuc) seems not to have been formed by the older language.
furthermost, is a collateral form of furthest (see above) beside which the adverb furthermore still stands as a comparative.

The adverbial comparative, resting upon a misunderstanding of most, is already old: Yit i-peynted was a litel forthermore, How Atthalaunce huntyd the wilde bore (Chatcer 2071.). Chaucer has Backirmore: Belle Dame sans Mercy 85. Dialectically we have bettermer, bettermest, uppermer, nighermer, lowermer, innermore and many more.
highmost, Shakspeare has from high instead of highest; dialectic in Yorkshire.
southmost (Anglosaxon sû才mest, like vestmest); westmost is also found in Rob. of Gloucester 1. 220. On the other hand in English westernmost, northernmost, also southernmost are formed out of the corresponding adjectives (Anglosaxon adj. vestern, norđern, sûthern).
topmost (Anglosaxon top); weathermost $=$ furthest to windward; sternmost $=$ farthest astern, and more dialectically, are formed out of substantives.
b) The periphrastic formation of the degrees of comparison is that in which more and most with the positive serve to represent the comparative and the superlative: frugal, more frugal, most frugal. A sharp boundary is not to be drawn between the use of derivative forms and the periphrastic formation, although monosyllabic adjectives commonly prefer derivative terminations. Even with monosyllabic adjectives however the periphrastic comparison is frequent: Ingratitude, more strong than traitors arms (Shasspeare Jul. C.). The silver swans her hapless fate bemoan In notes more sad than when they sing their own (Pope). There shall he welcome thee . . With smiles more sweet Than when at first he took thee by the hand (Bryant). By accident most strange (Shakspeare Temp.). Most poor matters (ib.): O, most dear mistress! (ib.). To their most great and growing region (L. Byron). 'Tis but to feel that one most dear Grows needful
to the heart (Townsend). The Majesty of the Most High Shall overshadow thee (Longrellow). With participles the periphrasis is naturally preferred: His heart . . more bent to raise the wretched than to rise (Goldsmiti). Most damned Angelo! (Shafspeare Meas. for Meas.).
If one object is not compared with the other with regard to equality, but rather one quality with the other, more in general appears: Our authors make a doubt. Whether he were more wise or stout (Butler); yet even here the other mode of comparison, especially before than, takes place: Your company is fairer than honest (Shakspeare Meas. for Meas.).
The periphrastic comparison is very old in English and runs parallel with the other without visible distinction: Of fayrost fourme \& maners, \& mest gentyl \& fre (Rob. of Glolcester II. 420.). Man is hym moost lik and: And made man likkest (Piers Plovghman p. 161.). O Griffoun hathe the body more gret and is more strong thanne 8 lyouns . .; and more gret and strongere, than an 100 egles (Maundev. p. 269.). Compare also: Upon a lowly asse more white then snow; Yet she much whiter (Spenser p. 10. I.).

As with forms of comparison by derivative terminations a double comparison occurs, a reduplication of the comparison by the combination of more and most with a derived comparative and superlative form takes place. Modern grammarians reject it. It is very old and is frequently inoffensive in the written language down to the seventeenth century: That lond is meche more hottere than it is here (Maundev. p. 29.). Another sege more lowere p. 217.). The most faires damyselles (p. 280.). Moost clennest flessh of briddes (Piers Plougha. p. 276.). I was more wrother (Skelton I. 146.). The yonge man is mere folyssher (p. 200.). He is more vahappyer (p. 20.); very common in Shakspeare: To some more fitter place (Meas. for Meas.). Instruments of some mure mightier member (iв.). I am mire better than Prospero (Temp.). His more braver daughter (iв.). More fairer than fair (Love's L. L.). The most unkindest cut of all (Jul. C.). The calmest and 'most stillest night (Henr. iv.). The longest night . . and the most heaviest (Two Gentl. of Ver.) \&c. The most straightest sect of our religion (Acts of the Ap. 26, 5.). The aim of the reduplication was, as ever, strengthening. Ben Jonson deemed such geminations to be English Atticisms. The warning of Mo-dern-English grammarians against expressions of this sort proves that they are still frequently in use in writing, although not in literature, as they still abound in dialects.

To the comparison effected by more, most we may oppose the reduction to a lower and lowest degree by less, least: Of feelings fierier far but less severe (L. Byron). Some less majestic, less beloved head (ID.). The tree of deepest root is found Least willing still to quit the ground (Mrs. Thrale). On loftiest and least shelter'd rocks (L. Byron).

A strengthening of the comparative is brought about by adverbs and adverbial determinations, as much, greatly, incomparably,
yet, still, far, by far, a great deal \&c.: Your hair has grown much grayer (Longfellow). England is greatly larger than Scotland (W. Scott). A living death And buried; but O, yet more miserable (Milton). With arm still lustier (L. Byron). Of feelings fierier far (iD.). There are maidens in Scotland more lovely by far (W. Sсотт) \&c. Even the superlative is strengthened adverbially: A self-mastery of the very highest kind (Lewes). Epaminondas was by far the most accomplished of the Thebans (Murray) \&e.
The formerly widely diffused strengthening of the superlative by composition with alder, aller, which is still met with in Shakspeare in alderliefest (see above p. 176), has been abandoned. The same sense is effected, by annexing the positive with a plural substantive, or even used as a substantive with of, to the superlative, whereby, as by alder, the whole sphere of homogeneous objects is denoted. In poets this is not rare: Loveliest of lovely things are they, On earth, that soonest pass away (Bryant). The bravest of the brave (L. Byron). Well doth the Spanish hind the difference know 'Twixt him and Lusian slave the lowest of the low (id.). Old-English: Fairest of faire, o lady myn Venus (Chaucer 22.23.). An other strengthening is the combination of the superlative with the positive: My dearest-dear Victorian (Longfellow).

Many adjectives are, from their meaning, incapable of degrees of comparison. Here belong all those, whose intensity is not capable of a more or a less, especially those expressing definite relations of time, space and number, as yearly, square, second, or referring to material, possession or descent as wooden, paternal, French, as well as those, which by themselves express the highest measure of the notion or negative determinations, as infinite, eternal, immense, consummate, omnipotent, boundless \&c. Yet here an abstract rule does not suffice. The superlative, especially, of many words of this sort, in spite of the censure of grammarians, is used to strengthen the meaning conveyed by the positive, and even comparatives are not wanting which seem to mock the literal conception. Compare: A purpler beverage (L. Byron). Once bloody mortals and now bloodier idols (ID.). . . Lest the dead under the sod, In the land of strangers, should be lonely! Ah me! I think I am lonelier here! (Longrellow). - My chiefest entertainment (Sheridan). The grave shall bear the chiefest prize away (L. Byron), The perfectest herald of joy (Shakspeare Much Ado \&c.). Hail! divinest Melancholy! (Milton). You divinest powers (Otway). I am the falsest, veriest slave (id.). I'm the veriest fool (Longfellow). When deeds are wrought Which well might shame extremest hell (Whittier). - I live and die unheard with a most voiceless thought (L. Byron). No discord in the three But the most perfect harmony (Longrellow); and in a descending scale of comparison: The Roman friend of Rome's least mortal mind (ID.). Nothing is more frequent than the employment of chiefest, extremest, which the narrowmindedness of grammarians rejects,
who rather have to comprehend the mode of viewing things, represented by the living language, than to fix limits to it.

The Modern-English adjective cheap, at the comparison of which no one is now offended, is properly a substantive (Anglosaxon ceáp, pecus, pretium, negotium) and was originally compounded with great, good, like bon marché; wherefore no comparison appeared in the preceding adjective. Old-English: Thei ben there grettere cheep (Maundev. p. 49.). Clothes . . ben gretter chep there (p. 233.). He made of hem bettre cheep (p. 83.). Compare also good-cheap in Halliwell s. v. Chief is indeed originally a substantive too, standing, however, in a direct relation with another substantive.

## 3) The Numeral.

Next in order to the adjective comes the numeral, so far as it gains, as a determination of magnitude, characterizing objects under the point of view of their unity or multiplicity, the nature of a qualifying word, and stands like the latter in formal relation to the substantive.

English has adjective cardinal numerals, ordinal numerals and numerals of multiplication. They are, almost without exception, of Anglosaxon origin.
a) The cardinal number serves to express Unity and the number of units. In their older of succession they present themselves in the following manner:

1. one, Anglosaxon ân, Old-English one, oone, on, o, ane, a \&c. 2. two, Anglosaxon tvêgen, tvâ, Old-English twey, tway, tweie, twèine, two. 3. three, Anglosaxon prî, préó, Old-English pre. 4. four, Anglosaxon feóver, Old-English foure. 5. five, Anglosaxon fîf, Old-English five. 6. six, Anglosaxon six, Old-English sixe, syxe. 7. seven, Anglosaxou seofon, Old-English seven. 8. eight, Anglosaxon eahta, Old-English eizte, azt, aughte. 9. nine, Anglosaxon nigon, Old-English nyne, nine. 10. ten, Anglosaxon tên, tîn, tŷn = tëhon, Old-English tene. 11. eleve, Anglosaxon endlif, dative endlifum, endleofon, endlefen, Old-English endleue, ellene, endlēuene. 12. twelve, Anglosaxon tvelf, Old-English tuelue, twolf, twelf. 13. thirteen, Anglosaxon preótŷne, Old-English prottene, thretene. 14. fourteen, Anglosaxon feóvertŷne, Old-English fowrtene, also fourte (Weber). 15. fifteen, Anglosaxon fiftŷne, Old-English fiftene. 16. sixteen, Anglosaxon sixtŷne, Old-English sixtene. 17. seventeen, Anglosaxon seofontŷne, Old English seventene. 18. eighteen, Anglosaxon eahtatŷne, Old-English eiztetene, ayttene. 19. nineteen, Anglosaxon nigontŷne, Old-English nyentene. 20. twenty. Anglosaxon tvêntig, Old̈-English tuenty, tuenti. 21. \&c. twenty-one, -two, -three \&c. 30. thirty, Anglosaxon prîtig, prittig, Old-English pritty. 40. forty, Anglosaxon feóvertig, OldEnglish fowertie, fourty. 50. fifty, Anglosaxon fiftig, Old-English fifty. 60. sixty, Anglosaxon sixtig, Old-English sixty. 70. seventy, Anglosaxon seofontig, Old-English seventy. 80. eighty, Anglosaxon eahtatig, Old-English eiztety. 90. ninety, Anglosaxon nigontig, Old-English ninty. 100. (a, one) hundred, Anglosaxon hundred,
hundrid $=$ centuria, is a substantive. The cardinal number was teóntig and hund, Old-English hondred, hondrith. 1000. (a, one) thousand, Anglosaxon pûsend, Old-Engl. pousand, pousant, thousend.

The higher numbers million, Old-English the same, billion, trillion \&c. are borrowed from the French.

Compound numbers stand either in the additive relation, as twenty-two, or in the multiplicative relation, as ten thousand.

In the additive relation the smaller number commonly stands after the greater, whereas in the mnltiplicative the multiplier stands before the multiplicand: twelve thousand twelve hundred and twelve. The tens standiug after thousands or hundreds with their units or even units alone are connected by and: three hundred and sixty-five; eight thousand and fourty \&c. The tens with the following units are commonly connected by a hyphen: sixty-five, yet this is also omitted.

In the additive relation the units may also come before the tens, in which case and is put betwixt both; here too hyphens either stand or are absent: They have each of them received one-andtwenty shillings (G. Farquhar). But six-and-fifty pounds (J. Vanbrugh). Four and forty men of war . . were assembled in the harbour (Macaulay). If a greater number precedes the then, this is not permitted. That manner is also commonly limited to the numbers up to fifty inclusive. In Anglosaxon it was usual with all tens, also after a preceding greater number: tvâ and hundseofontig ( $=72$ ) (Luc. 10, 1. 17.); nigon and hundnigontig ( $=99$ ). Ceorles vergild is CC and vi and lx prymsa ( $=266$ Threepennypiece).

The Anglosaxon numbers teónting, ênlufontig, trelftig are like hund (centum,, which was also superfluously united with the numbers from seofontig - twelftig, have been abandoned; yet the hundreds have not merely been numbered up to 900 : twelve thousand twelve hundred and twelve, especially in the numbers of years. In Old-English even twenty hundred, and the like are found. Compare: Of fifteen hondrith. . Went away but fifti and thre; Of twenty hondrith. . But even five and fifti (Percy Rel. p. 4. I.).

In the calculation of percentage cent stands for 100: five per cent $=$ five in the hundred.

0 is expressed by cipher, cypher, zero, also by nought.
The numeration by scores (score, Anglosaxon scor, incisura, numerus vicenarius), which was familiar to the Celts, and is still in use in a limited measure in French (compare quatre-vingts, six-vingts \&c.), as well as in Danish (compare tresindstyve abbreviated from tres $=3 \times 20$ \&cc.) is still in usual, has established itself since early times alongside of the common method of numeration, although now in narrower bounds. Old-English: Four hundred \&c. fout score (Rob. of Glotcester I. 139.). Syxe score paces (Percy Rel. p. 46.). Twenty score paces (ib.). The sheriffe with secen score men Fast after him is gone (p. 22). The zere of oure lord a thousand thre hundred foure score and five (Trevisa). Modern-English: They reign'd the monarchs of a score of
miles (H. Walpole). Ninescore and seventeen pounds (Shakspeare Meas. for M.). Sixty of my fourscore years (L. Byron). An old man of threescore (Longfellow). Score was to the old archers the expression for twenty yards; it now signifies in western dialects twenty pounds else, generally the stairs. In Old-English we even find twenty multiplied: In the date of oure Drighte.. A thousand and thre hundred Twies twenty and ten (Piers Ploughm. p. 262.).

Two definite or already known objects are comprehended by both; Anglosaxon m. begen, f. and n. bâ (bû in compounds), Old-norse m. bađir, f. bâdar, n. bædi, compare Gothic bajôps; Old-English bey, beye along with bothe (Rob. of Gloucester), also boo, bo; compare, from section the $15^{\text {th }}$ : Into the dyche they falleth $b o$, in two Mss. in Halliwell p. XXVI.; also beie and bethen (ib. s. vv.): Old-English still used the genitive (Anglosaxon bega, begëa, begra): poru her beyre red (Rob. of Gloucester I. 262.); which there after adopted the form botheres: Hir botheres myghte (Piers Ploughm. p. 340.). Hir botheres right (p. 371.), along with bother (Halliwell s. v.). The Anglosaxon compound bûtvû, bûtû = both two, often appears in Old-English as bothe two: We han the deth deserved bothe tuo (Cinatcer 1718). Sche saugh hem bothe two (4295.). With bothe myn yen tuo (10259). So too in Shakspeare: Neither of either; I remit both twain (Love's L. L. 5, 2.).

In Anglosaxon the numbers 1-4, $10-12$, as well as the round tens tvêntig \&c. in part, and the substantives hundrid, pûsend were capable of inflection.

In English one as an indefinite pronoun is capable of the genitive inflection one's and of the plural formation ones. (See the Pronoun).

Alongside of two we still find of old forms twain (Anglosaxon tvegen nom. and acc.): We tweyne (Skelton I. 42.). Did he not send you twain (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 5, 2.). You seek it of the twain of least respect and interest in Venice (L. Byron). Let there be No farther strife nor enmity Between us twain (Longrellow); and so often in twain alongside of in two, OldEnglish a two = entzwei: What hinders me from cleaving you in twain? (L. Byron). It is king Herod's only son That ye have cleft in twain (Longf.); on the other hand: Bruce cleft his head in two with his sword (W. Scott). He may not hew his love a two (Chaucer Rom. of the R. p. 251.). Thus too Old-English used a tre, a seuene \&c. with divisions (into two \&c. parts). Compare Rob. of Gloucester I. 23. 213.

The remaining numerals, considered as proper adjectives, are capable of inflection only when used as substantives. This may happen if they are considered as names of figures, or abstractedly as the expression of quantities. Of figures are used: the two, the six, a two, three eights \&c. As terms for definite quantities in an abstract manner, as, unit, five, ten \&c., when the image of the figure may sometimes lie at the root, compare: I always took three threes for nine Shakspeare Love's L. L. ${ }^{\circ}$, 2.).

The first place is for the units, the second for the tens, the third for hundreds (Crossley). The number, used substantively, may also be referred to objects or persons, as in to go on all fours; fives: a play with a ball, in which three fives, or fifteen, are counted to a game (Webster). A thirty dozen moons with borrowed sheen About the world have times twelve thirties been (Sinakspeare Hamlet); also distributively: The ascent had been long and toilsome; for even the foot had to climb by twos and threes (Macaulay).

The numerals used as substantive hundred, thousand, million, billion \&c. have in the singular one or the a (=one) weakened down to an article, before them; the former, if the singular is to be made prominent and emphatic, perhaps also in an implied or express antithesis, which moreover happens in the numbers of years at present, even without this reason (not so in Old-English, see above p. 276); the latter, if this is not the case. Millions \&c. however, seldom come under the former case. Compare: The statutes continued to be published in the same language, for above one hundred and twenty years (Tyrwhit ed. Chaucer p. XXII.). The number was not less than one hundred thousand men (W. Scott). They sent, therefore, one thousand men-at-arms (id.); on the other hand: About a hundred years after (Macaulay). I have a thousand things to do (Тн. Holcroft). At about a hundred and sixty yards distance (Fielding). The singulars: hundred, thousand \&c., stand without a preceding determination of this sort, if the definite article or possessive and demonstrative pronouns precede: Where is the thousand marks, I gave thee, villain? (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). You saw me . . Apparent sovereign of our hundred islands (L. Byron). Only one of all his hundred descendants (Longfellow). These hundred years (Goldsmith). Yet the article is also sometimes wanting: When thousand worlds are round (Pope).

If more than a hundred or a thousand is involved, hundred and thousand do not assume the plural termination, but have from the oldest times passed as indeclinable, where standing adjectively, with or without a succeding number in a direct relation to determinate objects, which is the case wherever the cardinal stands in the place of the ordinal number, as in the numbers of years: Three hundred years. An extent of three thousand miles. An army of sixty thousand men (Macaulay). By many thousand men (W. Scott). Yet in this life Lie hid more thousand deaths (Shaksp. Meas. for Meas.). So even in Old-English: pre hondred men (Rob. of Gloucester II. 476.). With fifteen hondrith archares bold (Percy Rel. p. 2. I.). In eizte thousend zer (Wright Popul. Treat. p. 134). Ten hundrid thousand stories tellen I can (ChavCer 10114.). They may, however, when used substantively, assume the $s$ of the plural, in which case they are either followed by no substantive, or by one standing to it in the relation of the periphratic genitive with of: What is the amount of a thousand thousands? = Tausender (Crossley). These poor ignorant wretches, some hundreds in number (Macaulay). The poor, blind slave..

Expired and thousands perished in the fall (Longfellow). The hall not far from hence, which bears on high Hundreds of doges (L. Byron). All the offenders, hundreds of thousands in number (Macallay). He had then deceived himself . . into the belief that the English . : were eager to rise in arms by tens of thousands to welcome him (id.). Thus even in Old-English Hundrethez fulle many (Morte Arthure in Halliwell s. v. herbergage). Gret multitude of peple, well ordeyned . . be thousandes, be hundredes and be tenthes (Maundev. p. 232.). - Million, billion \&c. are always substantives, which therefore had always to be followed by another substantive in the genitive relation, as in: Millions of spiritual creatures (Milton). If, however, the millions \&c. are followed by still smaller numbers, the former never operate upon a following substantive. Compare: Europe contains 2,793,000 square miles, and $227,000,000$ of inhabitants (Crossley). If the million \&c. is followed by a fraction of it, it again comes in of: A million and a half of bricks (id.).
In the discussion of the substantive, we made mention of compound substantives, which, like twelvemonth, twelvepence, as terms for a multitude, have a plural character. This substantive formation stands in close connection with another phenomenon, which is now to be discussed. The apprehension of any arbitrary number of objects as a totality and unity is very familiar to OldEnglish, with which especially an, a precedes, as the expression of the unity: $A 2$ myle from Betheleem (Maundev. p. 74.). $A$ fyve dayes or sixe (Piers Ploughman p. 314.). The desertes duren wel a 13 journeyes (Maundev. p. 63.). A twenty bokes, clothed in black or red (Chaucer 296., rightly, according to Tyrwhitt, without A according to Wright). A sixty fedme (Maundev. p. 71.). Sum tyme an 200, and sum tyme mo (p. 191.). So pat per com out of an wode - An six pousend of Brutons (Rob. of Gloucester I. 211.). This form of expression, upon which also a few alongside of few rests (see the pronoun) has been partly preserved in Modern-English: A tedious twelve years Fletcher's Poems p. 140.). This three months (Davenport in Dodsley O. P. XI. 299.). Thay ware not so hack this seven yeere (Mariage of Wit and Wisdome 1579.). A' has been a vile thief this seven year Sharspeare Much Ado \&c.) where may take $f$ year to be the old plural. We have . . most biting laws . . Which for thes fourteen years we have let sleep (Meas. for Meas.). Here also belong: Go with me To bless this twain, that they may prosperous be (Temp. 4, 1.). Though my letter may lie upon my hands this two months (Lady Montague). In these cases we must not think of the old plural form this instead of these (see below). Thus Byron nses the plural all as singular: All are gone forth, and of that all how few perhaps return.

Fractions are ordinarily expressed by a cardinal number as numerator and an ordinal number as denominator; and if the numerator is more than one, the denominator adopts the termination of the plural, $\frac{1}{2}$ receives half as its denominator. We frequently find numerator and denominator united by a hyphen:

What is one half of 30 ？What is one sixth of 30 ？If 24 be four fifths，what is one fifth？${ }^{11^{23} 0^{3}}$ or four hundred and twenty－five thousandths（Cros：ley）．When we speak of one fraction without an antithesis，there stands instead of one also the unaccented $a$ or the article the：What is a fifth of the sixth of 30？What is the half of a fifteenth of 30？（iD．）．Half also stands without an article：Multiply a half－penny by a half－penny，that is half by half（in．）．Thus in common life we say half past six in counting the hours For $1 / / \mathrm{a}$ quarter also comes in，especially with the determination of time and space：a quarter of a hundred， of an hour，of a year，of a mile，of a pound．The denominator expressed by the ordinal number is，properly，always an adjec－ tive used as a substantive：the fifth＝the fifth part．Half also appears as a genuine adjective（Anglosaxon healf，half s．and adj．）：half a dozen \＆c．The Anglosaxon forms o才er，healf， priddehealf，sixtehealf \＆c，in which the adjective halves the highest figure of the total number，as in anderthalb \＆c．，are usual in Old－English：Thritty winter and thriddehalf yer（Harro－ wing of Hell p．15．）．Yet a half was even then added to the total number：A fote and a half long（Maundev．p．10．），as now：A brick and a half；one and a half．
b）The ordinal numeral expresses adjectively the order or succes－ sion of the objects in space，in time，or，metaphorically，in an ethical sphere，as determined by number．

With the exception of the first two numbers，Anglosaxon furmed the ordinal numbers from the cardinal numbers by annexing the terminations da ，ta，but mostly o da，whereby a syncope of the final $n$ took place．Old－English still has in part the syncopized forms，and also sometimes preserves $t$ alongside of th；Modern－ English equally suffixes th to the cardinal numbers，with the exception of the three first．In the compound ordinal numbers th is only added to the last constituent，whereas the preceding cardinal numbers remain unchanged．

1．first，primus，Anglosaxon fyrsta，also æresta，beside these forma and formesta，fyrmesta，see above p．270，Old－English firste，furste．2．second，secundus，Anglosaxon o才er＝other，Old－ French secont（d，s，z），Old－English oper and secunde．3．third， tertius，Anglosaxon pridda，Old－English pridde，thrydde．4．fourth， quartus，Anglosaxon feórda，Old－English ferpe，verthe，fowrthe． 5．fifth，Anglosaxon fîfta，Old－English vifte，fyfpe．6．sixth，sextus， Anglosaxon sixta，Old－English sixte，sixpe，sexte．7．seventh， septimus，Anglosaxon seofô才a，Old－English seuethe and even sene （Rob．of Gloucester I．9．），yet also seventhe．8．eighth，octavus， Anglosaxou eahtô才a，Old－English eiztethe，eghte，also aughtene， aughtende，eightetene（Chaucer 4425．Wright）．9．ninth，nonus， Anglosaxon nigô才a，Old－English nithe，nynthe．10．tenth，decimus， Anglosaxon teóđa，têđa，Old－English tethe，tenthe．Tithe still oc－ curs as tenth part．11．eleventh，undecimus，Anglosaxon êudlyfta， Old－English endlefte，endlefpe，eleventhe．12．twelfth，duodecimus， Anglosaxon tvelfta，Old－English tvelfthe．13．thirteenth，decimus
tertius, Anglosaxon preótteóđa, Old-English thretethe, thretenethe. 14. fourteenth, decimus quartus, Anglosaxon feóverteóđa, Old-English fowrtethe. 15. fifteenth, decimus quintus, Anglosaxon fifteóđa, Old-English fyftethe. 16. sixteenth, decimus sextus, Anglosaxon sixteôđa (Old-English sixtethe). 17. seventeenth, decimus septimus, Anglosaxon seofonteóđa (Old-English seventethe). 18. eighteenth, decimus octavus, Anglosaxon eahtaté́đa (Old-English eightetethe). 19. nineteenth, decimus nonus, Anglosaxon nigonteóða (Old-English nintethe). 20. twentieth, vigesimus, Anglosaxon trêntugôđa, Old-English twentipe. 21. 22 sq. twenty-first, twentysecond, twenty-third \&c.

The tens from 30-90: thirtieth, fortieth, fiftieth, sixtieth, seventieth, eightieth, ninetieth, Anglosaxon prittigôda (prîtigôda), feóvertigôda \&c., Old-English prittipe, fourtithe \&c. need no more particular discussion; but the hund prefixed to the ordinal numbers from 70 upwards in Anglosaxon, has never, it seems, been usual in English*).

Anglosaxon for 100 the ordinal number teóntigôđa, tentieth, hund, hundred, pûsend offer no numeral forms of this sort.

English offers for 100 . hundredth, 1000. thousandth, $1,000,000$. millionth \&c.; hence 300 . three hundredth, but with another number after it, 120 . hundred and twentieth, 20,010 . twenty thousand and tenth.

In ordinal numbers, as well as in cardinal numbers, the unit sometimes comes before the ten: We came the five-and-twentieth to Mohatch (Lady Montague). Mr. Joseph Andrews was now in the one-and-twentieth year of his age (Fielding). Were I still in my five-and-twentieth spring (L. Byron). Old-English: In po four \& twentipe zer (Rob. of Gloucester I. 23.) and so too in Anglosaxon. In the reverse position, however, the ten and the unit were inflected. See Rask Gramm. ed. Thorpe p. 65. That way seems to be limited to the scores.

The transfer of the termination th to the scores, as in that cited by lexicographers fourscorth, octogesimus.

The ordinal number may, in the appositive relation, assume the $s$ of the genitive: Henry the second's progress (Goldsmith). Alongside of the Romance second, which took the place of other, which continues to exist as alter, alius, prime is also in use, mosly only in an ethical sense: My prime request, which I do last pronounce (Shakspeare Temp.).

Instead of the ordinal numbers we find in Modern- as well as in Old-English, the cardinal numbers as numbers of years: In the year one thousand and sixty-six (W. Scott). In Old-English we also find the formes confounded: the threttene artycul, the fowrtene artycul, the fyftene articul - articulus XIII ${ }^{\text {us }}$ XIII ${ }^{\text {us }}$ articulus quindecimus (Halliwell Early Hist. of Freemas. p. 21.). In Chaucer 4424. one manuscript has: It was the eighte and twenty day Of April. - The ten parte = tenth (Towneley Myst. p. 7.).

[^3]c) The multiplicative numeral, called in another respect the numeral of relation, which states how many whole parts an object contains and how often the same magnitude is repeated in a whole (see Mätzner's French Grammar. p. 162.), are formed in English by annexing the syllable fold, as in Anglosaxon by -feald, -plex, Highdutch falt, faltig (belonging to the Anglosaxon fealdan, plicare) to the cardinal number: twofold, threefold, tenfold, a hundredfold, a thousandfold \&c., Anglosaxon tvifeald, prîfeald, tŷnfeald, with which manifold, Anglosaxon manegfeald, multiplex is associated. The Anglosaxon ânfeald (onefold) simple, has been abandoned, as well as fëlafeald, multiplex (compare the Old-English: by felefold fatter. (Piers Ploughm. p. 243.). Instead of the former single and simple come in, Lat. singulus and simplex, simplus, blended in the French simple. Other Romance forms are in use in a small number alongside of the Germanic ones, as double, triple and treble (Modern-French triple, Old-French treble), quadruple, quintuple, sextuple, septuple, octuple, decuple, centuple. Those going beyond sextuple are very rarely employed.

Numerals ef division (distributiva) were not possessed by the Anglosaxon; Old-French employed the Latin singuli, bini, terni \&ce. in another sense, and made up for them in meaning by juxtapositions, as doi et doi, similarly to the Anglosaxon: fîf and fif. Old-English: Thei gon 2 and 2 togodre (Maundev, p. 234.). A compagnie of ladies twey and twey (Chaverer); and so still: two and two, yet also: by twos and threes; by tens of thousands (Macaumay).

## The Pronoun.

The pronoun, which represents a noun in the sentence, or, more correctly, has the nature of a noun, and has thence its name, is, by its value and idea, distinguished from a mere sign for a substantive or adjective, although it partly serves to avoid the repetition of the same noun.

In their form and descent the English pronouns rest upon the Anglosaxon; the Old-French, which introduced a few indefinite pronouns, was here of little influence.

In their meaning the pronouns are divided into several classes: A. the personal, with the possessive derived from them, B the demonstrative, C. the interrogatory, D. the relative, E. the indefinite pronoun.

## A. The Personal Pronoun:

It has forms for the so-called three persons: the person speaking, the person spoken to and the person spoken of, not sharing in the conversation, and, generally, the subject spoken of. The second person, and even the first, can be used of the personified thing. The personal pronoun becomes reflective, or referring backwards, if it appears as the object in a sentence, in which the notion of activity is imagined as reacting upon the subject, the active person or thing, itself. For the pronoun used reflectively English has in part streng-
thened pronominal forms, which we shall not consider till after the discussion of the possessive pronouns proceeding immediately from the personal ones, since they partly repose upon the latter.
a) The three persons of the personal pronoun, in the narrower sense, or the fundamental forms for the possessive and the reflective pronoun, are undistinguished in gender in the first and the second person, but in the singular of the third person are of three genders, as in Anglosaxon. They form a plural of the first person, in which the speaker comprehends himself with others; the second, in which he comprehends several persons spoken to; and the third, in which he comprehends several objects spoken about. It is throughout without distinction of gender in form. The Anglosaxon dual of the first and second person has been abandoned.

The plural of the third person is in Modern-English no longer formed from the Anglosaxon he, heó, hit, which is still the standard for the singular, but from another demonstrative pronoun se (pe), seó (peó), pät, whereas Old-English long preserved the genuine plural.

The genitive of the singular and of the plural comes, as such, no longer under review, but has coalesced with the possessive pronoun. Old-English still presents some decided genitive forms. We exhibit the genitive forms with the rest.

## First Person.

Sing. Nom. I, ego, Angl. ic, Old-Engl. ic, ich, iche, I
Gen. mine, mei, Angl. mîn, Old-Engl. min, mine
Dat. and Acc. me, mihi, me, Angl. Dat. më, Acc. më́c, më, OldEngl. me, mee
Plur. Nom. we, nos, Angl. vë, Old-Engl. we, wee
Gen. our, nostri, nostrum, Angl. ̂̂ser, ûre, Old-Engl. oure
Dat. and Acc. us, nobis, nos, Angl. Dat. ûs, Acc. ûsic, ûs, OldEngl. us

## Second Person.

Sing. Nom. thou, tu, Angl. pu, Old-Engl. thou, thow Gen. thine, tui, Angl. pîn, Old-Engl. thin, thine
Dat. and Acc. thee, tibi, te, Angl. Dat. pë, Acc. pëc, pë, OldEngl. the, thee
Plur. Nom. $\quad y e$, you, vos, Angl. gë, Old-Engl. ye, yee
Gen. your, vestri, vestrum, Angl. eóver, Old-Engl. youre
Dat. and Acc. you, vos, Angl. Dat. eóv, Acc. eóvic, eóv, OldEngl. you

Third Person.
Singular.


Plural.
masc. fem. neutr.
Nom. they, ii, eae, ea, Angl. pâ, Old-Engl. heo, hei, hii, hi, Angl. hie, hig, hî (f. heó)
Gen. their, eorum, earum, eorum, Anglo. pâra, (pæra)
Dat. and Acc. them, iis, eos, eas, ea, Angl. hem, Angl. Dat. him Dat. pằm, (pæm), Acc. pâ (heom), Acc.hie(hig,hî)
The Old-English also had the forms thai, they, thei - thare, theire - thaym, yet in the oblique case it a long time preferred hire, hem. See the demonstrative pronoun. Upon she see above p. 173. Moreover the Saxon Chronicle 1140 has scæ=ea. For the dative and accusative of pronouns the form of the dative has in general early remained the standard, although both partly coincided even in Anglosaxon.

In Modern-English the case common to the dative and the accusative with the particles of and to is employed as the substitute for the genitive and the dative: of me, to me; of thee, to thee; of him, to him; of her, to her; of it, to it; of us, to us; of you, to you; of them, to them. In the dative relation this happens where its distinction from the accusative appears needful. The denoting of the cases by of and to is also very old with the pronoun: In the spyt of me (Percy Rel. p. 2. II.). Thanne ne seo we nozt of hire (Wrighr Popul. Treat. p. 133.). Som of you (Townel. Myst. p. 8.). Many of hem (Maundev. p. 13.). Yt worp an other Troie to pe (Rob. of Gloucester I. 15.). Then begynnys to grufe to us mery chere (Towneley Myst. p. 32.). Instances of the genuine genitive form are, on the other hand, found; for example, in Piers Ploughman; hir neither (p. 67.); hir eyther (p. 212. 446.); hir noon (= none) (p. 237.); hir oon fordooth hir oother (p. 373.).
In the first person we find ich late: Ichyll (I will) (Skelton I. 95.). Ich am (102.). The oblique case mee with shee, thee,
wee, yee is still cited by the grammarian Wallis as a regular form; in the seventeenth century, however, the enclitic forms mostly appear with $e: \mathrm{me}$, she \&c. Mommsen Romeo and Juliet p. 30. The plural has been long in use instead of the singular as a plural of majesty: Duke: Our old and faithful friend, we are glad to see you (Shakspeare Meas. for Meas.). Sometimes us has been shortened into 's: I'll bring thee to the present business which now's upon's (Shakspeare Temp,). Let's not quarrel (Otway).

The second person is usual in the singular as the address among quakers, in poetry in regard to persons and personified objects, as well as in prayer as an address to God. It has also not gone out of use as an expression for familiarity and affection, even mixed with the plural: Thou say'st I preach, Lorenzo! (Young N. Th. 2, 62.). O Lord my God, Thou art very great (Ps. 104, 1.). 0 holy Night! from thee I learn to bear What man has borne before (Longfellow). And thou, too, whosoe'er thou art, That readest this brief psalm (id.). Sophia, can I then ruin thee! (Fielding T. J.). But it also becomes an expression of depreciation and contempt: Damnation seize thee, fool, blockhead! (id.). Even John Wallis says: Singulari vero numero si quis alium compellet, vel dedignantis illud esse solet, vel familiariter blandientis (p. 92.). Now the plural serves in general as an address without regard to station and relationship, like the singular in Old-English. The plural, however, is also early found, as it seems, as an expression of courtesy: And ye, sir clerk, lat be your schamfastnesse (Chavcer 842.). Even in the address to Venus in Chaucer the plural stands mingled with the singular: And if ye wol nat so, my lady sweete, Than pray I the . . Gif me my love, thou blisful lady dere (2256.).

The nominative (also vocative) of the plural ye has in ModernEnglish yielded to you. John Wallis still cites yee as the nominative, but in the polite address lets you alone pass. Alexander Gill gives, as the nominative and vocative ye and you, as the accusative, you. You was in the first case used only emphatically, as especially in Spenser. In common life, as well as in poetry ye still continues alongside of you: And you, the brightest of the stars above, Ye saints . . Be witness (Rowe). Were you, ye fair, but cautious whom ye trust (id.). Descend, ye Nine! descend and sing (Pope). Ye may no more contend (Longfellow). In popular speech $y$ has been sometimes cast out: Lookee friend! (Fielding): Lookee d'ye see =look ye! do you see? - Ye also sometimes appears with an elided $e$ before vowels: $Y$ 'are always false or silly (Otway).

In literature even the interchange of the oblique case you with ye is widely diffused: A south-west blow on ye! (Sharspeare Temp.). Vain pomp and glory of the world, I hate ye (id.). Heav'n guard ye all! (OTwAY). The knaves . . laugh at ye (id.). Faith, I'll fit ye (Rowe). This hour I throw ye off (Congreve). I know ye all (I. Hughes). Hold your tongues, both of ye, says the mole (Richardson). I fear ye not, I know ye (L. Byron).

But where of ye, oh tempests! is the gaol? (id.). I seek ye vainly (Brynit). Bethink ye, before ye make answer (Longfellow). For other confusions of cases see below.

The third person he, which sometimes appears before a consonsonant shortened into $h$ ': Although he had much wit, $H$ 'was very shy of using it (Butler Hudibr.), is often confounded with $a\left(a^{3}\right)$ by the older dramatists, as well as dialectically by the uneducated: Who e'er $a^{\prime}$ was, $a^{\prime}$ show'd a mounting mind (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 4. 1.). Let him take no delight nor no penance; but $a$ ' must fast three days a week (iB. 1. 2.); and often: A troublesome old blade . . but al keeps as good wines . . as any in the whole country (Goldsmitn). This $a$ even serves for all genders he, she, it, as, for instance, in Herefordshire, as well as ou in Gloucestershire; $a$ is also used for they in Shropshire.

A shortening of they into th' is not unknown to the more easy style: And till th' were storm'd and beaten out, Ne'er left the fortified redoubt (Butler).

In Modern-English we frequently find 'em instead of them in poetry as well as in common life: He has lost his fellows, And strays about to find 'em (Sinassp. Temp.). Go you, and give 'em welcome and reception (Otway). Ere long I mean to meet 'em face to face (Rowe). ,The sceptre and the golden wreath of royalty Seem hung within my reach." - Then take 'em to you And wear 'em long and worthily (in.). Summon 'em, Assemble 'em: I will come forth and shew Myself among 'em (Th. Southern). This em is widely diffused dialectically and answers to the old hem (not them), which still lives in the Western dialects, where it is also confounded with he and him.

In Old-English the dative form it: him, and the accusative form hit, it were usual, yet both were frequently made equal to each other in usage: It receyvethe into him 40 othere ryvers (Maundev. p. 7.). To don it (Dat.) worschipe and reverence (p. 165.). An interchange of he with it is also found: And alle be it so, that $i t$ (the tree, Anglosaxon n.) be drye, natheles zit he berethe gret vertue (iv. p. 69.). Dialectically even now he appears for it in all cases.

The confusion of the oblique case of pronouns and the nominative, specimens of which in the literary language have already been cited, is widely diffused in the popular dialects. Thus I is used instead of me, he instead of him, she instead of her \&c. and conversely, for instance, in Yorkshire, Hampshire, Gloucestershire, Warwickshire, Worcestershire, Herefordshire \&c. This confusion is also to be met with in the written language. The employment of the oblique case for the nominative is analogous to the French manner of employing moi, toi, lui as nominatives, and is old: Lord, y-worshiped be the (Piers Plougin. p. 181.). This mostly happens where the pronoun does not proclitically precede its verb, and, generally, where a particular emphasis seems to rest upon the pronoun: Nor thee nor them, thrice noble Tamburlaine, Shall want my heart to be with gladness fill'd (Marlowe I, p. 30.). Scotland and thee did each other live (Drydes). We
shall shortly see which is the fittest object of scorn you or me (Goldsmith). Better than him, I am before, knows me (Shakspeare As You like it 1, 1.). I would not be thee, nuncle (King L. 1, 4.). The converse case is more striking in the written language. Passages of this sort, as well as of the former, in Spencer and Shakspeare, have been expunged by critics; but even the later confusion is not to be wholly denied, in which we of course disregard those cases in which the adjectives are used substantively. One instance is the above mentioned form ye (see p. 284.). and: That I kiss aught but he (Shaksp. Cymb. 2. 3.). You have seen Cassio and she together (OTh. 4, 2.), where Collier has her; Earth up hath swallowed all my hopes but she (Ron. and Jul. 1, 2.), where Mommsen regards the words ,all my hopes but she ${ }^{6}$ as blended into one single uninflected substantive, to which I could not assent. She as an accusative is found, even in the fourteenth century, in Adam Davie. See Mommsen's Romeo and Juliet p. 26. Delius's Shakspeare Lexicon p. XIX. Compare also the striking passage: And the we, Following the signs, woo'd but the sign of she (Sharsp. Love's L. L. 5, 2.).
b) The possessive pronoun presents itself in two different forms, one standing attributively in immediate connection with, and before the substantive, the other outside of this connection. Both (with the exception of its) are derived from the Anglosaxon genitive. They are, in Modern-English, incapable of inflection; case prepositions, as well as other prepositions, stand before the attributive pronoun and its substantive, as well as before the unconnected pronoun, which can also be used substantively. In the third person, three genders of the singular are distinguished.

Connected possessive pronouns are:
a) those proceeding from the singular:
$m y$ (mine), Anglosaxon mîn, Old-English min, mine, my, mi. thy (thine), Anglosaxon pîn, Old-English thin, thine, thy, thi.
m. his, Anglosaxon his (but also possessive sîn), Old-Engl. his.
f. her, Anglosaxon hire, Old-English hir, her, hire, here.
n. its, Anglosaxon his, Old-English his.
$\beta$ ) those proceeding from the plural:
our, Anglosaxon ûser (ûre), our, oure.
your, Anglosaxon eóver, Old-English your, youre.
their, Anglosaxon pâra (pæra), Old-English hir, her, hire, here, heore (Anglosaxon hira) and their, theire \&c.
Un connected, corresponding to those:
mine - thine - his, hers, its - ours - yours - theirs.
In the Anglosaxon his (English his), hire (English her) and hira as well as pâra (English their, Old-English hire \&c.) were in use only as genitives. The adjective sîn, suus, not ejus, could hardly be found in Old-English. The Anglosaxon also, from the dual of the first two persons formed the possessives uncer and incer (Greek voirisons and of witicyos), which have not passed into English.
$M y$, thy are abbreviations from mine, thyne, forms of the pronoun mostly appearing proclitically. Old-English fluctuated at first between min , thin and mi , thi, where they stand before the substantive: myn soule and my lif (Rob. of Gloucester I, 30.). $p i$ sostren and pyn sustren (IB. 31.). Yet the usage speedily establishes itself of bringing in the fuller form before vowels and $h$, and of casting off the $n$ before other consonants: Thin highe pride (Maundev. p. 18.). Do of thin hosen and thi schon (p. 59.). Rys up, my wif, my love, my lady fre (Chaucer 10012.). With thin eyghen columbine (10015.). Thow hast me wounded in myn hert (10019.). Myn owne name (1558.). In Modern-English before vowels and a mute $h$, mine and thine are still often used, although Shakspeare, for instance, as well as moderns, have still sometimes the full forms before an aspirated $h$, as well as before a consonant $y$, like the Old-English: Give every man thine ear, but few thy voice (Shakspeare Haml.). Without the . . true avouch Of mine own eyes (ib.). See Delius's Shaksp. Lex. p. XIX. Thy glorious day is o'er, but not thine years of shame (L. Byron). My chiefest joy Is to contribute to thine every wish (id.). Look, then, into thine heart (Longrellow). And tears came to mine eye (ID.). The strength of thine own arm (ID.). Grammarians reprove this usage, widely diffused, especially in poetry.

If the possessives derived from the first and second person stand attributively after their substantive, they have the fuller form, as in Old-English. Old-English: Brother myn (Chaucer 9365.). Grisilde myn (8927.). Arcita, cosyn myn (1283.); in moderns: I say that ye be seruauntys myne (Skelton I. 231.). You brother mine (Shakspeare Temp. 5, 1.).

His was in Old-English the possessive pronoun referred to the third person of the masculine and neuter gender. Its (often also spelt it's, as her's, our's and your's was formerly frequently written) referred to the neuter, occurring at first also without an $s$ as it, ith, and which was still unknown to Spenser, was formed in Shakspeare's age, in whom it rarely occurs. The grammarian Alexander Gil does nut cite it; John Wallis, on the other hand, calls it the possessive of it. See Mommsen's Romeo and Juliet p. 22. It rarely occurs as an unconnected pronoun.

The connection of the possessive pronoun of the third person (his) with a substantive, especially a proper name, in the genitive, to which the inflection is then usually wanting, is peculiar: In characters as red as Mars his heart (Shaksp. Troil. and Cr. 5, 2.). An if my brother had my shape, And I had his, Sir Robert his (King John 1. ed. Collier). Tincentio his son (Taming of the Shr. 1, 1. where Collier has Vincentio's). The duke his gallies (Twelfth N. 3, 3. in Collier The county's g.). For Jesus Christ his sake (English Liturgy). In: Here repose Angelo's, Alfieri's bones, and his The starry Galileo (L. Byron) the position is reversed. Strange to say, in the seventeenth century, as some English grammarians do even now, the $s$ of the genitive was derived from this, which has still its analogy in Lowdutch: Vatter
sîn hûs; mutter êr dôk; dên sîn gâren (ejus hortus) \&c. Although the subjoined pronoun in this case makes the inflection of the substantive superfluous, it is originally nothing else than a pleonastic repetition of the substantive notion by the pronoun, which is especially familiar to Old-English in the personal pronoun: He Tityus; he Moyses \&c. (Chaucer). And there Sir Gawaine he her wed (Percy Rel. p. 201. I.). The tanner he tooke his good cow-hide (ib. 111. II.). And slough him Oliphernus (Chaucer 9242.). And made him Mardoché . . enhaunced for to be (9247.). That ilke weddyng merye Of his Philologie and he (him Tyrwh.) Mercurie (9608.).
The Old-English used particularly hire, here as the possessive for the third person of the plural: They holden here grete conseilles (Maundev. p. 16.).; yet the pronoun now in use is also found: Thare provand (Towneley Myst. p. 9.). With alle thare entent (p. 22.).

The joining of the $s$ in the unconnected pronouns hers, its, ours, yours, theirs, which is wanting in mine and thine, manifestly arose from the $s$ of the genitive, and has been transferred from the genuine genitive his not only to it, but also to the others, even Anglosaxon possessives and the genitives her, their. Mine and thine might have been protected from the joining on of the $s$ by the attributive forms my , thy having been early, with few exceptions, separated in usage from those standing alone, mine, thine. The image of a syntactical genitive relation perceptible in an $s$ was, moreover, with the disconnected forms, close, and was perhaps connected the recollection of the primitive genitive forms, which certainly lacked $s$ in Anglosaxon. The $s$ is found early even in Old-English, although not constantly: The dyversitee that is betwene oure feythe and theires (Maundev. p. 20.); on the other hand: Noght aftir oure lawe, but aftir here (p.80.). - This gold is nought oures (4201.). Hom to myn hous, or ellis unto youres (14200.). He was, pardy, an old felaw of youres (14087.). Whether it be likir oure professioun Or heris that swymmen in possessioun (Chatcer 7508.); on the other hand: I wol be your in all that ever I may (16716.). Whan ye been his all hole, as he is your (id. Troil. and Cr. II. 587.). So still later: I am all yours (Skelton I. 204.). I am your in every pointe (ib. 49.). The forms, hisn, hern, ourn, yourn are dialectical.

The substantive use of the unconnected pronouns in the plural, as a term for persons, without reference to a preceding substantive (mei, tui, sui, nostri, vostri) is in use in Old-, as well as in Modern-English: Old-English: pat where Brut and his (Rob. of Gloucester I. 21.). To pe \& to alle pyne (p. 15.). In the spyte of thyne and of the (Percy Rel. p. 3. I.).: Modern-English In a few hours The tempest may break out which overwhelms thee And thine and mine (L. Byron). The deadliest foe of all our race, And hateful unto me and mine (Longfellew).

Anglosaxon declined the possessive pronouns and distinguished in part the genders and numbers by their terminations. Old-English offers, except for my, thy, forms with and without $e$ at the
end, which however belong for the greatest part both to the singular and to the plural and to the differeut genders. Traces are nevertheless to be found that the forms in $e$, which seem to belong to the feminine oftener than to the masculine in the singular, belong especially to the plural. This is decidedly the case in Piers Ploughman with regard to the forms his and hise, the latter of which as a plural formed after another word, belongs adjectively and substantively to the plural. Compare: Hise wordes, hise eris, hise bulles (p. 5.). Hise goodes (p. 288.). To God . . And so to hise seintes, (p. 289.). For hym and for alle hise (suos) (p. 261.). Compare also passages like the following: As a mayde . . Hire moder forsaketh, Hir fader and alle hire frendes (p. 289.); whereas hir, eorum, earum $=$ French leur, remains unchanged: Hir wittes (p. 297.). Hir robes (p. 309.). Thus also min, thin commonly stand alongside of $m y$, thy in the singular and plural, yet mine, thine seem used particularly in the plural: pine fon bep in ech half (Rob. of Gloucester 1. 114.). Al pat ssal come by, pyne day ( $=$ Anglosaxon dagum) \& by myne nozt (p. 291.).
's sometimes appears as the abbreviation of his and even ' $r$ of our: How fares the king and 's followers? (Shakspeare Temp.). There's not a hair on 's head (Two Gentlem.). By 'r lakin! (Temp. 3, 3.).
c) The reflective pronoun was originally naught else but the personal one in a particular syntactical relation. Although even in Anglosaxon the pronoun strengthened by silf, sylf, ipse, which is not merely reflective, likewise occurred in a reflective relation, this was far from being deemed necessary.

Thus in Old-English also the unstrengthened forms were commonly employed at the same time reflectively: Heo zarkeden hem (they made themselves ready) (Rob. of Gloccester I. 15.). Hit armed hem (II. 405.). Sche turned hire toward him (Maundev. p. 24.). Some men hasten hem and peynen hem (p. 58.). And I wole erely schappe me therfore (Chaucer 811.). And thanne schaltou nought repente the (9360.). And spedith you faste (9801.). A cook thei hadde with hem (381.). Modern-English has not abandoned this usage in poetry, and has often preserved it even in prose, especially if the reflective pronoun depends upon a preposition: There will she hide her (Shakspeare Much Ado. \&e.). Signor Antonio commends him to you (Merch. of Ven.); and so: $I$ do repent me; prepare thee; haste thee; two such opposed foes encamp them \&c. (ID.). To their salute he bends him slightly (L. Byron). And sportive dolphins bend them through the spray (id.). They sate them down beside the stream (Southey). Here will we rest us (Longfellow). He looks about him with doubtful face (ID.). The captive yields him to the dream of freedom (Bryant). He speeds him toward the olive grove (id.). - The young prince promised to take upon him the obligations \&c. (W. Scott). My ungle stopped here for a minute to look about him (Dickens).

The strengthened forms of the personal pronoun, which are employed reflectively, especially in prose, have arisen from forms
of personal pronouns with self appended. They of course occur unreflectively also, as is always the case in the nominative, partly, appositively, as in: 'Tis he himself! (Rowe). The townhall itself . . was in imminent peril (Macaulay); partly without a preceding pronoun or substantive: Myself will decide it (Webster). I am myself; but call me what you please (Th. Southern). May maledictions fall and blast Thyself and lineage! (Longfellow). They form plural forms and are capable of the periphrastic case formation by of and to, as well as of the construction with other prepositions.

The strengthened personal pronouns, appearing only in one form at once, and whose origin is not quite cleared up, are the following:
Singular:
Plural:

1. Person myself.
2. Person thyself.

Singular: 3. Person m. himself. f. herself. n. itself.
Plural: themselves, Old-Engl. hemself, hemselven.
In Anglesaxon silf, sylf, sëlf, seolf was only an adjective, which used to be associated with the personal pronoun in the same case and number to strengthen it: ic silf, he selfa, his silfes, me silfum \&c, in which strong mingle with weak forms of the silf. According to Rask ed. Thorpe p. 54. in the Anglosaxon nominative the dative of the personal pronoun is sometimes found prefixed to the silf: pu pe self \&c., according to Grimm 4, 360. in the gen. S. f. the possessive pronoun sometimes instead of the personal pronoun: mînre selfre. Grimm in another place explains the forms myself, thyself, ourselves, yourselves, themselves as genitive forms, when also the $s$ in ourselves, yourselves, at present passing as the sign of the plural, answering to the $s$ in ours, yours, would be to be regarded as that of the genitive, and only it remain standing as the nominative, him, them as primitive datives, whereas in her, the genitive and dative are confounded. The confusion of cases might cause the genitive forms at first dependent to be thereafter used independent by, and the oblique cases him, them to find a further support in the Old-French lui meisme \&c., as well as it to be preserved in distinction to him. However, since in Anglosaxon, as well as in Old-Highdutch, the interchange of the genitive of the personal pronoun with the possessive pronoun occurs, and in Old-English the distinction of a genitive from the possessive, allied in form, in the pronoun standing before a noun, early disappeared, so that the possessive alone was seen, the invasion of the possessive in those forms might, not wholly without reason, be asserted, to which the opinion that self was regarded as a substantive is nowise requisite. I find, however, in Old-English, hardly even in the latest times, an $s$ in ours, yours analogous to the $s$ in ourselves, yourselves, themselves, as Old-English always offers self, selve and selven; that $s$, as a real sign of the plural, seems to belong to a modern period. A peculiar analogy to self is afforded by one in Old-English, in a like sense: Walkyng myn one ( $=$ myself, alone) (Piers Plocghm. p. 154.). That oon doth, alle dooth,

And ech dooth bi his one; for which later stands by himselfe (p. 341.). I mine on (Chaccer Dr. 1019.). - For themselves northern dialects have theirsels, in analogy to ourselves \&c. Compare: They had gret desyre to prove their selfes (Froyssart's Cronycle). Self passes in English primarily as an adjective, ipse, idem: In the selve place (Ciancer 11706.). In that selve moment (2586.). Thy selve neyghebour (4535.); and so still with the moderns (see Hilperts Dictionary s. v.), also in composition with same: The self-same thing (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 1, 2.). Thou by the self-same means I learned, may'st learn it (H. Walpole).

In Old-English the compounds of self, selve, selven with pronouns are commouly so employed that the import of a substantive is manifestly not attributed to the self: At po last he was hym self yslawe (Rob. of Gloucester I. 19.). Righte as him self seyde (Macnder. p. 97.). Why I suffre or noght suffe Thiself hast noght to doone (Piers Plocgimm. p. 224.). He moste himselven hyde (Chaucer 1479.). I wot my selve best (9334.). Scho bad me dereliche drawe, and drynke to hirselfene (Morte Arthere in Halliwell s. v. dereliche)l

Yet we cannot disguise that, even early, self is also regarded as a substantive: Myself hath ben the whippe (Chatcer 5757.). Who so . . thurgh arghnesse his owne self forgetith (Hoccleve P. p. 56.); and this is the case down to the latest time. Attributive determinations frequently precede the self, when the pronoun always stands in the form of the possessive: Euin My verie oune selfe it was (Jack Jugler). To thine own self be true (Shansp. Haml). The ministery . . hurried thence me and thy crying self (Temp.). Their proper selves (1в.). The substance of your perfect self (Two Gentlem. \&c.). To our gross selves (Meas. for Meas.). What I show, thyself may freely on thyself bestow (Dryuen). My very self was yours (Otway). The truth . . Which here to this my other self I vow (Rowe). He feels of all his former self possest (L. Brion). The construction of self with the genitive is not rare. It is also used as a substantive without any more particular determination: Orpheus' self may heave his head (Milton). 'Tis Phoebus' self (Thomson). Agis, who saw Even Spartas' self to servile avarice sunk (iD.). Till Glory's self is twilight (L.Byron). Self is an eloquent advocate (Macklin). A truth, which . . purifies from self (L. Byrow). Then, all forgetful of self, she wandered into the village (Longfellow).

The $s$ in ourselves, yourselves \&c. as a sign of the plural, is by subsequent writers, and even in Modern-English, found to be absent where a plural comes in question: Let vs not apply our selfe therto (Skelton I. 205.). Countyng themselfe clerkes (207.). Learning is but an adjunct to ourself, And where we are, our learning likewise is (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 4, 3.). In modern times ourself, yourself frequently appear instead of the plural forms, where one (especially an exalted) person speaks in the plural of himself, or the pronoun is referred to a one person addressed as you: We create, in absence of ourself, Our uncle York lord governor of England (Shatspeare Rich. II.).

We have saved ourself that trouble (says the writer) (Fielding). You, my Prince, yourself a soldier will redress him (L. Byron). You have made yourself to me a father (Otway). Yet this is departed from with regard to ourselves.

To the indefinite pronoun, not referring to definite persons, one's self is substituted, in which the substantive character attributed to the self explains the genitive: Out of love to one's self, one must speak better of a friend than an enemy (Fielding).
B. The demonstrative pronoun points to the object as a sensuous one, present in space and time, then, in a wider sense, to the object already named and known. So far as it points to an object just about to be spoken of, it has been called pointing forwards and determinative.

The demonstrative pronouns of Modern-English are this, that and yon (yond, yonder), the two former of which have a plural form, the latter remains unchanged in the plural. They stand both attributively and absolutely. Yon, which occurs but seldom and mostly only in poets, hardly ever appears except attributively. None of them having any case forms, the case prepositions of and to serve to make up for these.
Singular: this, hic, haec, hoc, Anglosaxon m Nom. pës, f. peós, n. pis, Old-English this.
Plural: these, Anglosaxon m. f. n. nom. and accus. pâs, yet even in Anglosaxon pis stands as the nominative of all genders of the singular and plural; Old-English this, thise, these.
Singular: that, ille, illa, illud, Anglosaxon m. nom. se (pë), f. seó (peo), n. thät, Old-English that.
Plural; those, (Angl. pâs) Anglosaxon m. f. n. nom. and accus. pâ, Old-English thai, thei, especially tho, but also those.
Singular: $\}$ yon, yond, yonder, Anglosaxon only adverb , geond, jând,
 $=\{$ zai, pronoun jáins, jáina, jáinata, Old-norse hinn, hin, hitt; Old-Engl. yonne, yond, yonder.
This and these seem forms subsequently dissimilated, both having the Anglosaxon pis for their foundation, since in Old-English they both have the same sound or are only distinguished by an $e$ subjoined in the plural. pis is commonly the plural in Robert of Gloucester, and it is found even in the sixteenth century: Take this our thankes (Skelton I. 194.). Fye on this dyce (45.). This nonnes (241.). This freers (1B.). Alongside of it thise is early in use: Alle thise floodes (Townel. Myst. p. 24.) in Piers Ploughman, Chaucer and so on. These is the later form, formerly theise also was found: of theise 4 (Maundev. p. 136.); theose is likewise cited. These occurs dialectically for the singular.

That is the neuter in the singular of that pronoun which in
thei, them has assumed the place of the personal pronoun of the third person in the plural. The plural those, which is referred to that, has developed itself from the Anglosaxon plural pâs belonging to this, while Old-English had also the genuine plural form tho: po twei children (Rob, of Gloucester I. 110.). In the dust and in the powder of tho hilles (Macndev. p. 17.). Thou schalt be wedded unto oon of tho, That have for the so moche care and wo (ChauCER 2353.); still in Skelton: All tho that were on my partye (I. 202.); on the other hand even those: Of those that welle has wroght (Townel. Myst. p. 22.).

The pronoun this is, like se, seo, pät even in Anglosaxon, often weakened into an article in Old-English. See the article. In Modern-English this and that (the latter along with its relative signification) maintain their demonstrative character, and in opposition this is applied to the nearer, that to the more remote abject: What conscience dictates to be done, or warns me not to do, This teach me more than hell to shun, That more than heaven pursue (Pope); then they enter into the opposition generally without this reflection: The clangorous hammer in the tongue, This way, that way beaten and swung (Longfellow). Where they stand alone, the employment of them is more confided to the conception of individuals; yet the immediately present is naturally mostly denoted by this, as the reference to the temporal present especially demands this: This day, be bread and peace my lot (POpe); when spaces of time also are considered, which comprehend also the immediate present or extend up to it: They told me . . that, without some traditional shrugs, which had been on the stage these hundred years, I could never pretend to please (Goldsmith).

As a pronoun pointing forwards and referred to a relative correlative that, those, alone are used, alongside of which he, she and they with their cases appear in the sense of the Highdutch derjenige. In Old-English tho and the personal hii (plural) belong also to this class. Old-English readily used the plural substantively together with a substantive determination (with of) of persons: Hii of Denemarch flowe sone (Rob. of Gloucester II. 378.). Fram hem of Denemarche (I. 295.). It was told us of hem of the contree (MauNDEv. p. 298.). Whan thei of the contree herden it (p. 293.); in Shakspeare: They in France, of the best rank (Hamlet).

Dialects still frequently substitute them for those.
Yon, yond, yonder, the Highdutch jener, seems to incline in form chiefly to the Anglosaxon and Gothic pronoun; the pronoun was wanting in Anglosaxon as well as in Old-Saxon. All Modern-English forms are found in the more ancient language: My trouth is plight to yonne Skottish knyght (Percy Rel. p. 8. I.). zone zong knyghte (Halliwell s. v.). Yone man (Perceval 1266.). Into yond hole fayn wold I crepe (Townel. Myst. p. 15.). Take yond ploghe (p. 18.). Yond man (198.). Seest thou not Yonder hall, Ellen? (Percy Rel. p. 210. I.). The Old-Scottish has also yon, yond, they are also cited in English dictionaries in the seventeenth century (Engl. Dict. 1691.). The moderns often write yon', as if $d$ or even der were cast off, whereas yon is certainly the genuine pronominal form, and
most frequently use yon and yonder: Tho' by yon Heav'n I love thee (Rowe). By yon great ruling planet of the night! (OTway). View yon' vale of palms (J. Hughes). Yon flow'ry arbours, yonder alleys green (Milton). Nigh yon mountain (Pope). Yonder angry clouds Are big with spouting fires (H. Walpole). I will alight at yonder spring (Longfellow). Used substantively it stands in the popular: What's yon?

Thilke, thilk, Anglosaxon pŷlic, pylc (i. e. pŷ-lîc), talis, was used in Old-English in verse and prose for talis, is (qui), hic: Hors and Hengist . . Come to Kent pilke tyme (Rob. of Gloucester I. 111.). And dryve azeyn ouer pe se pilke pat he nolde (124.). At thilke tyme (Chaucer 3542). Al goth thilke weye (3035.). Thilke juge is wys, that soone understondeth a matier (Tale of Melib. p. 328. Wright). The long abandoned pronoun has been preserved as thilk in Gloucestershire, in other dialects as thec, thick, thuck=that. Alongside of it ilke, ilk, Anglosaxon ŷlc (i. e. $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$-lîc), idem, which is to be distinguished from ilk=each, was in use, commonly with this, that before it, as in the Anglosaxon se $\hat{y} l \mathrm{ca}$, pät $\hat{y} l \mathrm{ca:} \mathrm{This} \mathrm{ilke}$ worthi knight (Chaucer 64.). That ilk man which that now hath the (5600.). But tel me this ilke How I may save my soule (Piers Plougha. p. 20.).

Their place is occupied in Modern-English by such, talis, unchanged in the plural, Anglosaxon svelic, svile, svylc (Gothic svaleiks), Old-English swylke, swiche, also selke (Dame Siriz p. 5. 9.); slike (Hallivell s. v.), and syke: Herde ye euer syke another? (Skelton I. 260.), which answers to the Highdutch: solcher, derjenige, and the same, idem, likewise standing in the singular and plural, which is wanting in Anglosaxon in which only the adverb: same, item, pariter, saman, simul, and sam- in composition = Latin con occurs, and whence the Old-English sam, same, in same, samen, samyn $=$ together is derived: Alle sam (Townel. Myst. p. 27.). Trus sam, pack together (ib. 28.). The pronoun corresponds to the Oldnorse sami, sama, sama, in the strong form samr, söm, samt, Gothic sama, samô, samô, $\dot{c}$ ci' ${ }^{\prime} \dot{c}$, with an article before it, as in English. It is strengthened by the self, very prefixed: the self same, the very same \&c.; and, like the Old-English ilke has also the pronoun that before it: That same Biron I'il torture ere i go (Shaksp. Love's L. L. 5, 2.). What lady is that same? (2, 1.). Those same precious metals of the history of which he can so learnedly descant (Bulwer). The older language has also this same: This same is he that slo his brother (Townel. Myst. p. 18.). The ancient pronominal form samyne is remarkable: That samyne shalle bend Unto us (Townel. Myst. p. 94.).

## C. The Interrogative Pronoun.

The interrogative pronoun refers to an object or its quality, which is to be determined in another sentence, the answer. The interrogative pronoun accordingly points to an object, a person or thing, which is to be given by the answer, and is then used substantively, or disconnectedly; or, it has reference to the quality of an object, which is to be contained in the answer. The pronoun stands in a direct as well as in an indirect question. The pronouns
considered here are who, what, whether and which. Only who has preserved case forms, what and which make up by of and to for the lost case forms; the obsolete whether no longer forms any cases.

Plural forms are not distinguished from singular forms, so far as these pronouns are used in the plural.
Singular and Plural: Nom. who, quis? Anglosaxon hva, OldEngl. wha, who, OldScottish wha, quha
Gen. whose (of whom), Anglosaxon hväs, OldEngl. whas, whos. Dat. (to) whom Anglos. hvam (hväm) Acc. whom Anglos. hvoue(hväne), Old-Engl. wham, whom
Sing. and Plur.: Nom. what, quid? and qualis? qui? Angl. hvät, OldEngl. what
Gen. of what
Anglosaxon hväs
Dat. to what Anglos. hvam (hväm)
Acc. what
Anglos. hvät
Sing. and Plur.: Nom. which, quis, quid? properly qualis, quale? Anglosaxon hvŷlic, hryle, hvilc, Old-English whilk, whiche. Genitive of which. Dative to which. Acc. which.
Singular: Nom. and Acc. whether, uter, utra, utrum? Anglos. hväđer, Old-English wether, wheder.
Who asks after persons; its old genitive corresponds only to the possessive genitive relation: Whose shall Monimia be? - No matter whose (Otway). Whose is the crime, but the false satrap's? (L. Byron). The Anglosaxon Instrumental, which was common to hva and the neuter hvat, $\mathrm{hv} \hat{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{hve}, \mathrm{h} \hat{\mathrm{u}}$, has transformed itself into the adverbial why? and how? The form of the dative has, as with other pronouns, become that of the oblique cases.

What, properly the neuter of who, still stands disconnected as a neuter; it then asks after the What of the thing and the nature of the thing: What's the matter? - What is it, my dear? (Dickens). What are you doing? (Webst.). Yet this disconnected what also asks
 Anglosaxon: What is this womman, quod I, So worthili atired? (Piers Plouhgm. p. 29.). But what they were, nothing yit he woot (Chaucer 1705.). Anglosaxon: Hvät is pes? Quis est hic? (Matth. 4, 41). And thus this neutral what passes from the predicative into the attributive relation and stands as an adjective with substantives, as qualis, qui? in the plural as well as in the singular: I know what book that is (Webst ). What cause withholds you then to mourn for him? (Siakspeare J. C.). On the tendency of the same work, what three people will agree? (Bulwer). Whereas hvät in Anglosaxon has only a genitive after it, Old-English even makes that transition: What man . . schuld of his wepynge stinte? (Chaccer 2, p. 324.

Wright). The union of what with an $a$ added, often in an emotional question, in use as in Highdutch for centuries, rests upon the same process: What a fair lady! and beside her What a handsome, graceful, noble rider! (Longfellow). Even Old-English has which a: Either asked oother . . Which a light and a leme Lay bifore helle (Piers Ploughman p. 376.). The für inserted in was für ein in Highdutch, to be pointed out in Germany since the sixteenth century, is so also in English: What is he for a vicar? what is he for a lad? (Halliwell v. for), even in Palsgrave. For here expresses originally the determination of a purpose, which touches on the idea of equality; united with the what, which asks after the quality of the thing, it makes up the question for the notion of a sort: What is he, for a vicar? What, in his purpose as a vicar, is he? For what as an indefinite pronoun see below: somewhat.

Which even in its Anglosaxon fundamental form, unites with the meaning qualis? the meaning quis?: Hvylc is mîn môdor? (Marc. 3, $33)=$ Who is my mother? and the French quel? and Iequel? It asks partly after the quality of an object, partly after the object which is to be determined among several with regard to its outward existence, and stands, both connectedly and disconnectedly, both for persons and things: Which woman was it? Which is the house? (Webst.). Which is the villain? . . Which of these is he? (Shaksp. Much Ado \&c.). Butler consented to perform the salute without marking for which of the two princes it was intended (Macaulay). The spring, the summer, The childing autumn, angry winter, change Their wonted liveries; and the 'mazed world, By their increase, now knows not which is which (Shaksp. Mids. N Dr. 2, 1.). With the last passage compare the Old-English: Sche wiste nat who was who (Chaucer 4299.); and below: whether.

Whether $=$ which of two , which is equivalent to the conjunction utrum, an, as well as in Anglosaxon, stood in Anglosaxon both connectedly and disconnectedly, and, as being of three genders, referred to persons and things. It is now obsolete; the translation of the bible, presents it: Whether of them twain did the will of his father (Matth. 21. 31.). Whether is greater, the gift or the altar? (23, 19.). Shew whether of these two thou hast chosen (Acts 1, 24.). The popular language has: I can not tell whether is whether "I cannot distinguish the one from the other."

## D. The Relative Pronoun.

The relative pronoun points to a preceding or supposed substantive notion. It is adapted to avoid the repetition of a preceding substantive, and, at the same time, undertakes the connecting of sentences.

We discriminate adjective and substantive pronouns of this class. Both sorts of pronouns have no peculiar forms, but are originally interrogative pronouns, or a demonstrative pronoun, whose inflection has been already glanced at.

The adjective ones, pointing back to a substantive notion, are the interrogative which and the demonstrative that; to these the originally substantive interrogative who has associated itself. Who and
what are substantive ones, for which, in their reference to a presupposed person or thing, a relative pronoun might be substituted. That, as originally neuter, therefore also of a substantive nature, betrays also here and there this twofold character. Moreover, relative sentences often border hard on indirect interrogative sentences, whereby many peculiar applications of pronouns originally interrogative are to be explained.

In Anglosaxon a relative pronoun was wholly wanting. To express the relation backwards it either used the indeclinable particle pe, alone or in conjunction with the demonstrative se, seó, pät, to which it was suffixed, as it was prefixed to the pronoun he, heó, hit.

Which is by its nature adapted to be referred to names both of persons and of things, and thus it was used in reference to both in Old-English, in which moreover that primarily prevailed as a relative pronoun: She whiche salle bere a chylde (Townel. Myst. p. 67.). A preest . . which was so pleasant (Chaucer 16482. Tyrwh.). It was commonly accompanied by the article the, perhaps occasioned by the Old-French liquels: That lond . . the whiche is the same lond \&c. (Maundev. p. 33.). The lond of Judee in the whiche is Jerusalem (p. 8.). Fro the sentence of this tretys lite After the which this litil tale I write (Chaucer 15371.); so too in modern times: Of God the whych is permanent (Skelton I. 199.). I could point a way, the which pursuing You shall . . give the realm much worthy cause to thank you (Rowe). This is your brothers impudent doctrine; for the which I have banished him \&c. (Macklin). 'Twas a foolish quest The which to gain and keep, he sacrificed all rest (L. Byron). This mode of expression is, on the whole, obsolete.

Even with a particle that after it, which was also frequently given in addition to other relatives and conjunctions in Old-English, which came in: A doughter which that called was Sophie (Chaucer II. p. 323. Wright). Thy frend, which that thou hast lorn (p. 325.); this even late: Theis yatis . . which that ye beholde (Skelton I. 384.). The more particular discussion of this particle, which, in the dependent sentence, often appears superfluous, belongs to syntax.

Which is at present referred almost exclusively to things and irrational beings; to persons only so far as they, like children, may also be denoted by the neuter it. In the language of the Bible, as in the Lords prayer (Our father which art in Heaven), in Shakspeare and here and there afterwards the reference to persons takes place. In adjective conjunction with a repeated substantive, we find, however, no scruple: This man, which man, which very man \&c. (Smart). Such repetition of a preceding substantive is familiar to Old-English: In Ebron ben alle the sepultures . . the whiche sepultures the Sarazines kepen fulle curiously (Maundev. p. 66.). Upon certain points and cas: Amonges the which points \&c. (Chaucer 2973. Tyrwh.). It also takes place in Modern-English where the name of a kind takes the place of a proper name: She took the opportunity of the coach which was yoing to Bath; for which place she set out \&c. (Fielding); and so forth. As a neuter it is also referred to preceding sentences or limbs of sentences: The man was said to be innocent, which he was not (Webst.). We are bound to obey all the Divine commands, which
we cannot do without Divine aid (ID.). In such case a substantive, comprehending the contents of a preceding sentence or limb of a sentence as the subject of the reference, is also frequently given to the relative: Douglas was then ordained to be put into the abbey of Lindores, to which sentence he submitted calmly (W. Scott).

That from the earliest times has been, as a relative pronoun, referred to persons as well as things. Old-English: He that wil pupplische ony thing (Maundev. p. 2.). Seynt Elyne, that was modre to Constantyn (p. 12.). Thise werkmen That werchen and waken (Piers Ploughm. p. 361.). For the life that thay leyd (Townel. Myst. p. 30.). Modern-English: Are ye not he, that frights the maidens of the villagery (Shaksp. Mids. N. Dr.). Wake, wake! all ye that sleep! (Longrellow). The songs and fables that are come from father to son (ADdison).

Since that is originally a neuter, is might be also employed substantively for what. Old-English: po he hadde pat he wolde (Rob. of Gloucester I. 166.). I wille not tyne that I have wroght (Townel. Myst. p. 72.). Tak thou thi part, and that men wil the gyven (Chaucer 7113.). Modern-English: Stand, Sir, and throw us that you have about you (Shaksp. Two Gentlem. \&c.). Do that is righteous, (Smart). This usage is obsolete.

The particle that is also found redundantly added to this pronoun. Old-English: Fro the lond of Galilee, of that that I have spoke (Maundev. p. 122.). Thus perhaps is also explained the turn of Shakspeare: That that I did, I was set on to do't by Sir Toby (Tw. Night).

Who, although of substantive nature, is chiefly used in ModernEnglish as a relative pronoun in relation to substantives or substantive pronouns. It is natural that this masculine and feminine pronoun, originally referred to persons, with its cases, remains, as a relative, restricted to persons and personified objects alone. But that the genitive whose is referred both to persons and things is no less justified, the Anglosaxon hväs belonging to all three genders: Harold, who had succeeded Edward the Confessor (W. Scott). Many gallant knights, who were not his subjects (ID.). He who escapes from death (Fielding). - Plenty who was his first counsellor (Addison). - Thy brown groves whose shadow the dismissed bachelor loves (Shaksp. Temp.).

Where the masculine and feminine who, whom are referred to collectives, the reference to persons, which the collective name includes in itself, forms the standard, whereas, in another regard, another relative may also come in: The multitude, who are more attracted by the external . . sources of interest (Bulwer).

Who is seldom employed as a relative in Old-English: This clerk, whos rethorique swete Enlumynd al Ytail of philosophie (ChauCER 7908.). More frequent is the who used substantively: Who hath no wyf, he is no cokewold (Chaucer 3154.); where the following he does not quite degrade the who to a correlative; this emphatic, repeating he is certainly rarely wanting. The particle that is also annexed to the who: Who that janglis any more He must blaw, my blak hoille bore (Townel. Myst. p. 8.). A remnant of this substan-
tive who is the, as who would say, still is use, French comme qui dirait. Compare Old-English: The name as yet of her Amonges the people, as who sayth, halowed is (Chaucer Troil. and Cr. III. 268.), and often.

But in Old-English the adverb so is more common with the substantive who: whoso, also whose, quicunque, whereby the generalization of the notion is indicated, corresponding to the Anglosaxon sva hva svâ, to which a neuter what so, Anglosaxon sva hvät svâ, quodcunque, stood opposed, in which Old-English cast off the preceding sva, as the correlative of the succeeding hva, hvat. To this was added sva hvyle svâ (whichso), quicunque: Who so dothe, put them in hold (Townel. Myst. p. 67.). Who so wole my juggement withseie (Chaucer 807.). Let him say to me What so him list (6872.) \&c. Modern-English has whosoever, whatsoever, whichsoever; whoever, whathever, whichever, which are employed analogously to the who, what, which. The forms with a simple so are now rarer.

What stands in the first instance as a substantive pronoun: This is what I wanted (Murray), Do what you will (Webst.). All the time that he had appeared so indifferent to what was going on (Dickens). Yet it also stands adjectively, like the interrogative what, if the substantive of the principal sentence has been attracted into the dependent sentence: The entertainer provides what fare he pleases (Fielding).

Where it is used alone with reference to a preceding substantive, it regularly corresponds not to the which, but at the same time takes the place of a demonstrative correlative: All fevers, except what are called nervous (Murray), for which those which might stand. To this substitution it is adapted by its primitive substantive nature. Solitary interchanges of what with that or which certainly occur. The details belong to syntax. Old-English also often adds the particle that to the what: Every man crieth and clatereth what that him liketh (Chaucer II. p. 332. Wright).

## E. The Indefinite Pronoun.

The class of indefinite pronouns, whose notional limitation it is hard to define, comprises words which are employed partly adjectively, partly substantively, but mostly in both modes. They denote objects and qualities in the most general and indefinite manner, mostly according to quantity, which, however appears neither as a definite unity or multiplicity, nor as a totality measured by a fixed numerical magnitude. So far as they refer to number generally they are also called indeterminate numerals. They are also partly of negative nature, with the meaning of the sublation of a determination of quantity, as; none, neither, nought. By their origin they belong primarily to the Anglosaxon, a few are taken from the Old-French. They are partly simple, partly compound. Some belong originally to other classes of nouns, as one, divers, several \&c., and are weakened in their meaning. As for their declination, one, other, either and neither, and even others, may assume the $s$ of the geni-
tive: one and other are also capable of forming the plurals ones, others.

1. one, Anglosaxon ân, properly the numeral, is used substantively; its use as an indeterminate pronoun is of great extent only in Modern-English. Anglosaxon certainly weakened ân down to aliquis, quidam, but more in the sense of the present article, and used ân - ân substantively in the meaning of unus - alter. Old-English likewise often opposed that oon and that othur to each other. Compare Chatcer 1015. Unus quisque, unus ex multis was in Anglosaxon mostly denoted by man (home). The Plural ones, as in: And voices of the loved ones gone before (Bryant) is wanting in Anglosaxon; but a plural is found in the Old-English: Herkneth, felaws, we thre ben al oones (Chaucer 14111.); but on the other hand there stands: Bothe in oon armes (Chavcer 1014.); where Old-French would have put unes armes.
2. none, no, Anglosaxon nân, næn = ne ân, non unus, Old-English non, none, no, substantively and adjectively even in Anglosaxon as well as in English, is the same in the plural as in the singular: None there, said he, are welcome (Walpole). At present none stands substantively or adjectively without a substantive after it: None but the brave deserves the fair (Dryden). None of their productions are extant (Blair); also none other: Achieving what none other can (Longfellow). Other hope had she none (Longrellow). And save his good broad-sword, he weapon had none (W. Sсотт). On the other hand no stands attributively with a substantive after it: She had no bonnet on her head (Dickens). Old-English also put non, none attributively before words beginning with a vowel or an $h$, else commonly no: Sche dothe non harm to no man (Maundev. p. 23.). They have non houses (p. 63.). I am non other than thou seest now (p. 25.); yet also none so foule synfulle men (p.62.). None erthly thing (Townel. Myst. p. 66.). None excusing (p. 78.); so even in Skelton: None excesse; none other shyfte; but no faute (I. 272.).

No one is pleonastic, in which one appears twice, unless we would take no for the Anglosaxon nâ, nô, nunquam. Of the compounds nobody, nothing, the latter is the elder: I herd no thing, lord, but goode (Townel. Myst. p. 69.). What is better than a good woman? No thing (Chaucer II. p. 336. Wright). For body the Old-English frequently had wight and persone: Ther is no wight that hath soverein bounté, save God alone (Chaucer II. p. 333.). Bywreye nought youre conseil to no persone (iв. p. 338.). Wight is the Anglosaxon viht f, creatura, and is also found in the neuter nought (nâviht). Body, denoting the person, occurs moreover often in another union, as my body. Compare the OldFrench mon cors.
3. aught, ought and naught, nought, Anglosaxon â-viht, âuht, âht and nâ-viht, nâught, nâht, Old-English aught, auht, oght, ought and naught, noght \&c., which we are now advised to spell aught and nought (to distinguish them from the verbal form ought), have been preserved down to the most modern times, and also take a (neuter) adjective after them: But should ought impious or
impure Take friendships name, reject and shun it (T. H. Bayly). Naught else have we to give (Longfellow), like something, nothing: Our ancesters hand achieved nothing considerable by land against foreign enemies (Macallay).
4. some, Anglosaxon sum, aliquis, quidam, Old-English sum, som, is used adjectively and substantively, although the latter only in the plural, whereas in the singular the prose is denoted by some one \&c.: Some one comes! (Longrellow). In the Anglosaxon on the other hand the singular was also used, especially in the reduplication sum - sum for alius - alius, alius - alter. Moreover it remains unchanged in the singular and plural: some bread; some people; some persons (Webst.). Some other give me thanks (Shakspeare Com. of Err. 4, 3.). Some slight advantages (Macaulay). Some of these moves were hazardous (iv.). Some thought that Dunkirk, some that Ypres was his object (id.). The OldEnglish discriminates, as especially Piers Ploughman, the plural somme from the singular som. - Some is also united with cardinal numbers, in order to denote the number as inexact, like the Latin aliqui: "Have you long sojourn'd there?" Some sixteen months (Shaksp. Two Gentlem. \&c.). Is he within some ten or twenty leagues Or fifty? (Walpole). Some five hours hence . . we may meet \&c. (J. Hughes). So even the Anglosaxon sume tên geâr, circiter decem annos. - Familiar combinations of some are some one (see above), somebody, something, and in the latter sense also somewhat. Som thing is also familiar to the OldEnglish (see 2.); and som what also occurs: Ther nys no creature so good, that him ne wantith som what of the perfeccioun of God (Chaucer II. p. 333.). The Modern-English somewhat still contains the hva, hvät, aliquis, aliquid, appearing in Anglosaxon as an indeterminate pronoun, which in Old-English, occurs only in the neuter: But wite ye what? (Chatcer 10305.). Ne elles what $=$ nor any thing else (id. House of Fame 3, 651.); Anglosaxon elles hvät. The what = partly, used now as well as in Old-English adverbially is the accusative of this neuter.
5. enough, enow, Anglosaxon genôh, adject. and adverb, Old-English ynough, ynow, enow \&c., dialectically frequently enow, is used adjectively and substantively as well as adverbially. The collateral form enow, contrary to the nature of the thing and the older linguistic usage, has, strange to say, passed among grammarians for the plural of enough, and authors have frequently conformed to this arbitrary distinction. Still stranger is the assumption that enow does not stand after a substantive: Have I not cares enow, and pangs enow (L. Byron). We' re enough already (id.). Enough of danger (W. Scott): Enough, alas! in humble homes remain, To meditate 'gainst friends the secret blow (L. Byron).
6. few, Anglosaxon feáve, Plural of feá, paucus, Old-English fewe. The article often placed before the few is explained like the $a$ standing before cardinal numbers (see p. 278.). His wants were few (L. Byron). There are but few that can do that (GoldDsmiti). He . . was sent thence to Huy, where he passed a few
days in luxurious repose (Macadlay). Compare the Old-English: A fewe of youre frendes (Chaucer II. p. 340.). Dialectically few is often treated as a singular: a few broth, a few pottage \&c.; else it is hardly referred to the singular, as perhaps in: While yet our race was few (Bryant).

The Old-English fele, Anglosaxon fëla, indecl., multus, opposed to fewe (By dayes fele [Chaucer 8793.]. Of fele colours [Piers Ploughm. p. 22..]), is replaced by many: Few, few shall part where many meet (Campbell).
7. $a n y=$ ullus, Anglosaxon ânig, ænig, from ân, Old-English ony, any, eny, is, as in Anglosaxon, an adjective, but is sometimes used substantively: Who is here so vile . .? If any, speak (Shaksp. J. C.). It is a like both in the singular and the plural: Hath Page any brains! hath he any eyes? hath he any thinking? (Shaksp. M. Wives). Such a collection . . as you will scarcely find in any ten cabinets in Europe (Lady Montague). OldEnglish has preserved many traces of a plural form: Anye rentes: anye riche frendes (Piers Ploughm. p. 305.). The person is readily denoted by any one (I did not speak any one that day (Longrellow) and anybody; Old-English any wight (Chaucer II. p. 338.); eny persone (ib.); whereas the notion of a thing is expressed by any thing.
8. many, Anglosaxon maneg, moneg, multus, Old-English many, mony, used substantively of persons in the plural, as in Anglosaxon. In the singular it assumes $a$ before substantives: many a flower, many a day \&c.; referred to persons also $a$ one: many a one ( $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. Culloch p. 138.); compare many an oon (Jack Jugl. p. 9.). Many one in the 3, 2. Psalm is construed collectively with the plural of the verb. This many one was also referred to substantives of things: Tel us a tale, for thou canst many oon (Chaucer 13734.). Ensamples many oon (13850.), if it followed the substantive. The substantive a many, now commonly a great many, is the Anglosaxon substantive menigëo, menigo. The plural stands adjectively and substantively: many long cruel, and bloody wars (W. Scott). Few shall part where many meet (Campbell). In Old-English the $e$ of the plural (Anglosaxon manege) still often comes out: Manye bokes (Piers Ploughm. p. 199.). So manye maistres (p. 321.). Ther seighen it manye (p. 337.); although also: many longe yeres (p. 312.). A genitive is also formed therefrom: That book in many's eyes does share the glory, That in gold clasps locks in thy golden story (Shaksp. Rom. and Jul.). The opinion according to which many is taken to be the plural of much and more passes as the comparative of many, is devoid of etymological foundation.
9. each, every single one of a total number, Anglosaxon ælc (=̂alîc), quisque, unusquisque, Old-English ilk, eche, ich, stands both connected and disconnected, and is by its nature singular. It always has a distributive relation to a preceding or succeeding substantive or pronoun, where it does not attributively precede its substantive: Only eight thousand copies were printed, much less
than one to each parish in the kingdom (Macallay). And isles and whirlpools in the stream appear Each after each (Bryant). Come, good people, all and each (Longfellow). Three different nations, who where enemies to each other (W. Scott).. Of persons and things we still use each one: There are two angels, that attend unseen Each one of us (Longrellow). The pages of thy book I read, And as I closed each one, My heart, responding, ever said "Servant of God! well done!" (id.). In Old-English echoon, ichon, ilkon, ilkane, ilka ( $=$ ilk a) is very common; ilkan is still in use in Yorkshire and Northumberland, elcone in Cumberland. The fuller forms stand absolutely before persons or after a substantive of a thing, the weakened ones ich a, ilk a before substantives: each a persone (Piers Plocghm. p. 298.); ilk a stede (Townel. Myst. p. 68.).
10. every, a compound of each, which is generalized in an indeterminate manner by ever, unknown to Anglosaxon ( $=$ æfre, æfer - ælc), Old-English everilk, everich, is now mostly used attributively: Every Colonel, every Lieutenant Colonel, was killed or severely wounded (Macarlay). Rarely, and that mostly in the legal style, it stands disconnectedly, with of after it: all and every of them; every of the clauses. In Old-English, where it is referred to one of many, as also of two, which is still the case at present, it also stands absolutely of persons: That every schuld an hundred knightes bryng (Chaucer 2098.): Fverich in otheres hond his trouthe laith (6986.). The person is commonly periphrased by every one, every body, the neuter notion by every thing; to Old-English everich on, everychone, every wight, every thing are familiar. Modern-English has also the union every each $=$ every other, alternate (Halliwell s. v.).
11. either, each of two, and one of two, even every, the second of which meanings, contrary to the very usage of the language itself, is maintained in modern times as the sole correct one, Anglosaxon ægđer $=$ æghväðer, that is, â-ge-hväđer, alongside of âhvhäđer, uterque and alteruter, unusquisque, Old-English either, aither, ather (OldScottish, North-English), stands attributively and disconnectedly. With the meaning uterque, which is very common in Old-English, it not rarely stands in Modern-English also: The king of Israel and Jehosaphat sat either of them on his throne (2 Chron. 18, 9.). Either of these distinguished officers (Catinat and Boufflers) would have been a successor worthy of Luxemburg (Macaulay). On either side of him there shot up . . houses (Dickens). Old-English: Enemyes and frendes Love his eyther oother (Piers Ploughm. p. 212). Either is otheres joie (p. 343.). Of course the meanings uterque and alteruter often border on each other, the latter whereof needs no exemplification. The Old-English genitive in $s$ (es) is also found in Modern-English: They are both in eithers powers (Siraksp. Temp.); compare the Old-English: Till eitheres (utriusque) wille wexeth keene (Piers Plougims. p. 267.). The relation to several, with the meaning of each (of any multitude) instances of which are given in Wagner's Grammar, published by Herrig p. 293., may be justified out of the Anglosaxon.
12. neither, Anglosaxon nâhväđer, nâđer, neuter, Old-English neither, nather \&c., is, analogously to either, employed connectedly and disconnectedly: On neither side was there a wish to bring the question of right to issue (Macaclay). They're both of nature mild . . Neither has any thing he calls his own (OTway).
13. other, alius and alter, Anglosaxon ôđer, alius, alter and secundus, Old-norse annar, Gothic anpar, Old-Highdutch andar, Old-English other, alongside whereof andyr, ender, endir (Halliwell s. v.), stands both connectedly and disconnectedly, may have the articles $\boldsymbol{a}$ (an) and the before it, and, when used substantively, assumes the $s$ of the genitive and of the plural: Some are happy while others are miserable (Murray). Old-English inflects it, but has the $e$ in the plural a long time: Either is otheres joie (Piers Ploughm. p. 343.). Ac per bep to fore alle opere pre (Rob. of Gloucester I. 2.). Be the Cristene or othere (Maundev. p. 74.). The plural subsequently stands without $s$ : Whan other are glad Than is he sad (Skelton I. 79.). Some other give me thanks (Shaksp. Com. of Err. 4, 3); thus in the union some - other some (Аст. XVII. 18). Compare Dialect. of Craven s. v. Where one of two is opposed to the other in reciprocal activity, we find one a nother, where one of two or several is denoted, each other has its place: The parson and the stranger shook one another very lovingly by the hand (Fielding). The reader may perhaps wonder, that so fond a pair should.. never converse with one another (id.). Two blackbirds answered each other from opposite sides (Goldsmith). Three different nations, who were enemies to each other (W. Scott). The meaning of the other as a second of the same sort still has place: We need another Hildebrand (Longfellow). Here was a Caesar; When comes such another? (Shaksp. Jul. Caes.) Old-English often swiche another; syke another (Skelton I. 260.). Thus also the next in succession is determined as a second: Four happy days bring in Another moon (Shaksp. Mids. N. Dr.). You have been deeply wrong'd, and now shall be Nobly avenged before another night (L. Byron); and on the other hand the recently passed is denoted by other: the other day, compare the French l'autre jour. In the connection other than it corresponds to the French autre que, different from.
14. such, Anglosaxon svylc, talis, Old-English swich, swylk, suilk, selk, slik \&c., also for idem, (see p. 294.), stands attributively, predicatively and substantively, and has, as an adjective, also a after it: Such was the general \&c. (Macaulay). Such curiosity William could not endure (id.). Cutts was the only man who appeared to consider such an expedition as a party of pleasure (ID.). The plural is the same as the singular; Old-English has the plural in e: Selke (Dame Siriz p. 5.). They are not swylke als they seme (Ms. in Halliwell s. v.). By alle swiche preestes (Piers Ploughm. p. 220.). Swiche wise wordes (p. 19.). The connection such a one is frequent, in Modern-English often equivalent to the French un tel, tel et tel, whereby we indicate the person whose more particular description we cannot or will not
state, as such $a$ is united with substantives in like manner: . . that on such a day the assembly shall be at their house, in honour of the feast of the count or countess such a one (Lady Montague). Compare the Old-English: Such an on as is of gode maneres (Maundev. p. 287.).
15. all, Anglosaxon eall, eal, al, omnis, totus, Old-English al, all, is unchangeable in Modern-English: All Europe was looking anxiously towards the Low Countries (Macallay). All parties concurred in the illusion (Murray). All was dark and gloomy (Dickens). Miss Arabella Wilmot was allowed by all, except my two daughters, to be completely pretty (Goldsmith); and may even have the definite article as well as demonstrative pronouns after it: All the time that he had appeared so indifferent \&c. (Dickens). The moon. . shed her light on all the objects around (id.). Glancing at all these things \&c. (ID.). This was also the case in Old-English as well as in Anglosaxon: Alle the dayes of pore men be wikke (Chaucer 4538.). Anglosaxon: Ealle pâ ping (Gen. 1, 31.). The Old-English long declined: singular al, all, plural nom. acc. dat. alle, gen. alre, aller (alder): To fore alle opere pre (Rob. of Gloucester I. 2.). pat is aller mon worst (p. 15.). Oure aller fader (Piers Ploughm. p. 342.). Your aller heed (head) (p. 424.). Hence also the forms alderliefest, alderlast \&c. see p. 185. The $e$ of the plural is certainly often cast off.
16. else is often cited in English dictionaries as a pronoun with the meaning other, one besides. It is in fact originally the genitive of the Anglosaxon el, ele, alius, which, however, mostly occurs in compounds, and whose genitive elles stands as an adverb (aliter); Old-English: elles, ells, els (even in Skelton). It is therefore to be taken adverbially: Bastards and else (Shaksp. K. J. 2, 1.). As I have ever shared your kindness in all things else (L. Byron). In Old-English we frequently find elles what, nought elles, as in Anglosaxon elles hvät, nâviht elles, in which the genitive still betrays itself as such. Modern-English: Naught else have we to give (Longfellow).
17. sundry, with the meaning of an indefinite multitude, Anglosaxon synderig, singularis, in the plural singuli, Old-English sondry, has in the plural several, Old-French several = séparé, also used for divers, plusieurs, Old-English several, divers, Old-French the same, Old-English diverse, and different, Old-French, Old-English the same, synonymous adjectives, in which the notion of variety has been weakened down to that of separation.*) The Old-English had the corresponding ser, sere, seyre, which is still in use in the North of England for several, many: Fioures . . of seyre colours (Townel. Myst. p. 7.). Of many beestes sere present (p. 47.). Romaunces, many and sere (Ms. in Halliwell s. v.). It seems

[^4]to have arisen by contraction out of the Old-French participle sevre (compare the substantive sevree = separation). - Several is also used substantively of persons: I met several on the road, to whom I cried out for assistance; but they disregarded my entreaties (Goldsmith). It is also joined iu the singular with every, with the meaning singulus: He gives To every several man seventy five drachmas (Shaksp. J. C.).
18. certain, in the sense of the Latin certus for quidam, by which the existence of the object alone is asserted, but its more particular determination not stated or, rather, disregarded, passed early from the Old-French into the English: I am invited, Sir, to certain merchants (Shaksp. Com. of Err.). Compare the Old-English: Or paide som certegn (Piers Plougha. p. 268.). In Old-English it was even used substantively as a neuter (aliquid): Beseching him to lene him a certain of gold (Chaucer 16492. Tyrwh.).

## 5. The Article.

The name article is given to the the, weakened from the Anglosaxon demonstrative pronoun se (рё), seó (peó), pät, and to the an, $a$, likewise weakened from the Anglosaxon numeral ân. They primarily serve to single out for the imagination one single object or several objects from the totality of objects of the same name. The former, as the definite article, separates them from their total sphere, as sensuous, or already known and present in intuition; the second, the indefinite article, presents one object to the imagination, but which may be any one from the total sphere of those bearing the same name, without distinction. The transfer of both articles to the total sphere of objects bearing the same name has to be more particularly discussed in the Syntax. Both are to be regarded as words unaccented, or, rather proclitic in speech.
a) The definite article the proceeds from the Anglosaxon collateral form of $s e$, the $p \ddot{e}$. It has abandoned the forms for the different genders, numbers and cases, and takes the case-prepositions of and to before it, whereby the syntactic relation of its substantive is denoted.

Old-English still has distinct traces of the se, seó, püt, used as an article even in Anglosaxon: pe emperoures of Rome pat fozte and wonne Engelond, and pat lond nome (Rob. of Gloucester I. 3.) (Anglosaxon pät land, acc. n.). pen toun nome (II. 409.). (Anglosaxon pone tûn, acc. m.). Aze pen op (p. 443.). pen castel nome (p. 451.). Asayle pen false kyng (p. 453.). Atten ende $=$ at pen ende ( 409 and often) (Anglos. ät pam ende, dat. m.).

The ancient language early employed the neuter that for all genders: From pat on se to pat oper (Rob of Gloucester I. 98.) (se, Anglosaxon sæ, mare, is m. and f.). On that other side of the strete (Maundev. p. 90.) (Anglosaxon sîde, f.). And eek that lusty sesoun of that May Made every wight to ben in such plesaunce \&c. (Chaucer 2486.).

The $t$ before other, apparently arising from the article the,
is remarkable, which in Modern-English is often separated from it by an apostrophe: And when he put a hand but in The one, or $t^{\prime}$ other magazine (Butler). Your ladyship should except, says t'other (Goldsmith). I saw $t$ 'other day the gala for count Altheim (Lady Montagee). We might regard it as equivalent to the th, which even in Old-English appears before vowels instead of the article: Thaune is thother half durk and thother is al lizt (Wright Pop. Treatis. p. 134.). Yet in Old-English before this tother, beside which also a tone, tane (to, ta) stands, we commonly find the article itself, which we could hardly take to be put twice: The tone of us schall dye (Percy Rel. p. 7. II.). Athe tother syde (p. 4, I.). On the ta part or on the tothyr (Treaty of 1384. in Lindsay ed. Chalmers s. v. ta). And the tother hond he lifteth (Macndev. p. 9.). The tother 2 festes (p. 232.). The tothere ne ben not so grete (p. 52.). A fole the tone, and a fole the tother (Skelton I. 260.). The tone agayng the tother (I. 313.). Naught justifies us in believing this $t$ inserted from phonetic reasons. I should rather explain it out of the $t$ of the that used as an article, which in Old-English so frequently stood before one and other: And rerde tuo nonneryes, Worwel pat one was, And Ambresbury pet oper (Rob. of Gloucester I. 291.). There is a gret weye from that on to that othre (Maundev. p. 63). Compare also the instances cited above. Thus there would here be the same separation of the consonant of a preceding word, which we elsewhere occasionally meet with in Old-English, for instance, in atte nale for atten ale and the like. In modern times this origin has been forgotten and the $t$ regarded as an article. Tone and tother are still popular in the North of England and South of Scotland.

The instrumental of $\mathrm{pe}, \mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{m}$. and n., having become unrecognizable, has been preserved in the form the, as in Anglosaxon, before the comparative in the meaning of eo (eo-eo instead of quo-eo): So much the rather then, celestial light, Shine inward (Milion). The more I hate, the more he follows me (Silaksp. Mids. N. Dr.). I love not Man the less, but Nature more (L. Byron). Even Old-English readily uses it in reduplication: pe lenger, pe more (Rob, of Gloucester I. 110.).

The $e$ of the article in poetry, as well as in rapid speech generally, often suffers syncope, not only before vowels, but even before cousonants, as in Old-English: My Lord, th'expected guests are just arriv'd? (OTway). When, or how, shall I prevent or stop thapproaching danger (Congreve). Th'industrious bees neglect their golden store (Pope). In th'olden time Some sacrifices ask'd a single victim (L. Byron). - Oh! that kind dagger
drench'd in my blood to th'hilt (Otway). I'th 'very minute when her virtue nods (id.). Who merit, ought indeed to rise i'th'world (ID.).

Old-English poetry often uses the more emphatic this, where the article would be quite sufficient; compare, for instance Chaucer: Duk Theseus . . This duk (1696. 1706.). This worthy duk (1744.). This Theseus, this duk, this worthy knight. . He festeth
hem (2192.). It stands particularly readily before proper names: This Arcite and this Palamon ben mette (1638.), where the ancient language even employed the unaccented article: At last the Duglas and the Persè met (Percy Rel. p. 3. II.).
b) The indefinite article $a n$, $a$, Anglosaxon ân, unus, Old-English $a n$, on, $a, o$ \&c. existing only in the singular, according to the precepts of grammarians, stands, in its abbreviated form $a$, before all words beginning with a consonant sound. Among these are of course also reckoned those beginning with the semiconsonants $w$ and $y$, as well as accented syllables beginning with an $h$ which is not mute, and words beginning with $u$, eu, ew, an aspirate sounding before these words, as well as one and once, since to these a labial $(w)$ is prefixed: a man, a tree, a heathen, a unit, such a one, a oneness \&c. The fuller form an stands before all vowels (which are not heard with an initial consonant), before words beginning with a mute $h$, as well as before words beginning with an aspirated $h$, when the syllable beginning with $h$ is followed by the accented syllable: an inn, an umpire, an hour, an heir, an harángue, an histórical subject \&c.
Usage is however not quite in harmony with this precept, since we often find an used even before aspirated vowels and before an aspirate $h$ in the accented syllable: $A n$ useless waste of life (Macaulay). An eunuch (Congreve). An unanimous resolution (Goldsmith). I'd rather be an unit of an united and imperial "Ten" (L. Byron); an héro \&c.

Old-English early adopted the custom of retaining, an, on before vowels and $h$, and of setting, on the other hand, $a$, o before other consonants, and that even where not the unaccented article, but the numeral came in. Robert of Gloucester often has an before consonants: So pat per com of an wode . . an six pousend of Brutons (I. 211.); and thus too subsequent writers, yet compare: There scholde be but o masse sayd at on awtier, upon o day (Maundev. p. 19.). Hyre lord and sche be of $a$ blode. - Thre persones in $a$ Godhede (Ms. in Halliwell s. v.).

From this assimilation of the proper numeral to the article, with regard to form, is to be explained the still frequent use of the article, where the numeral one, especially with the meaning one and the same, seems to be required: For $a$ day or two I've lodg'd her privately (0tway). Halloo, said my uncle, falling back $a$ step or two (Dickens); and this is common in similar combinations. Compare: With $a$ charme or twayne (Skelton 1, 57.). We are both of an age (Fielding). Then the poor woman would sometimes tell the 'Squire, that she thought him and Olivia extremely of a size (Goldsmith).

In union with other an is now treated as the ingredient of a compound: In less than another year we had another daughter (Goldsmith).

The indefinite article is capable of no change of form; of and to, serving as substitutes for the case-inflection, come before it: They made $a$ bet of $a$ new hat (Dickens). These attentions . . were directed to a young lady (id.).

## B) The Verb.

The verb, or time-word, is that part of speech which predicates of a subject an activity falling in the sphere of time. But every phenomenal mode of the subject, which is predicated of it, is to be regarded as an activity of the subject, whether spoken of as its action, its passion or its condition, since it belongs to the successive moments of time, therefore can only be apprehended as a movement and a becoming. The division and separation of the sphere of time into spaces of time from the most general points of view produces the tenses, or time forms, of the verb.

## Sorts of the Verb, and their interchange.

With reference to their grammatical relation inside of speech, verbs are divided into various sorts, a decision which is partly governed by the relation to an object, partly by that to the subject of the sentence.
a) With regard to the relation to objective determinations of the sentences, verbs are divided into transitive verbs, denoting an activity directed outwards, and intransitive verbs, expressing an activity concluded within itself.

1) Transitive verbs are accordingly those verbs which denote an activity directed to an object as its goal, whether the object is produced by the activity itself or is determined thereby as a being existing independently.

Transitive verbs are distinguished into those which are such in the narrower and those which are such in the wider sense. The former are those whose object undergoes the effect of the activity immediately, and therefore stands in the accusative with the active of the verb: Hamilton murdered the old man in cold blood (Macaulay). The latter are those whose activity requires an object participating mediately, which therefore stands to the verb in the relation of another case (the genitive or dative): If solitude succeed to grief, Release from pain is slight relief (Byron).

English frequently effaces the distinction of both sorts, especially since the dative and the accusative, as in Lowdutch, are frequently not distinguished from each other in form, and the original reference of the verb to its object vanishes from the consciousness of the language.
The transitive verb becomes reflective, if it has its subject for its object; it then receives a personal pronoun for its object: He hid himself (Webst.). Here will we rest us (Longfellow). They defended themselves against the Saxons (W. Scotr). Reflective verbs, in the narrower sense, which can have only a personal pronoun for their object, are now hardly known to Modern-English. Old-English had a multitude of impersonal reflective verbal forms, whereof methinks, meseems are obsolete remains, along with which it irks me, it lists him, and the like remain in use. Old-

English: Et this whan the hungreth(Piers Ploughm. p. 276.). Methursteth yit (p. 391.). That I makede man It me forthynketh,$=$ poenitet $m e ~(p .167$.$) . Lene hem whan hem nedeth (p. 185.). More rare$ even in Old-English are personal verbs of feeling or of affection in the reflective construction: I drede me (Piers Ploughm. p. 164.). I repent me (Skelton I. 304.); the latter whereof is still in use in Modern-English: She will repent her of all past offences (Fielding).

The notion of the activity appears as reciprocal, when mutuality of an activity, as the action of a subject upon an object and reaction of this object upon that subject, is denoted. This happens in English by the junction of one another and each other to the transitive verb: If we love one another, Nothing, in truth, can harm us (Longrellow). They . . broke their spears without doing each other further injury (W. Sсотт). The kings obliging themselves to assist each other against all the rest of the world \&c. (ID.).

Transitive verbs, with the exception of the reflective ones, appear in a twofold shape: that of the active and that of the passive.

The active is the verbal form whereby the grammatical subject is represented as exercising the activity: The assassins pulled off her clothes (Macaulay). The active form also belongs to intransitive verbs. The passive lets the grammatical subject appear as undergoing the activity: They were roused from sleep by faithful servants (Macaulay). The two kingdoms were divided from each other (W. Scott). As you were told before (id.). He was succeeded by his son (iD.).

The freedom in forming the passive is far greater in English than in other tongues. Passives are formed not only from transitive verbs in the narrower and wider sense, but also from verbs in themselves intransitive, which are construed in the corresponding active form by prepositions with adverbial (objective) determinations: Starhed was soon disposed of ( $\mathrm{W} . \mathrm{ScotT}$ ). The Highlands and Islands were particularly attended to (iD.). Had he not been called on to fill the station of a monarch . . he might . . have been regarded as an honest and humane prince ( (iD.). An old manor-house, and an old family of this kind, are rarely to be met with at the present day (W. Irving).
2) Intransitive verbs are all those which denote an activity not directed to an object, and which therefore appears as concluded in itself: That evening the great minister died (Macaulay). The punishment of some of the guilty began very early (ID.). By slow degrees the whole truth came out (iD.). They are also called neuters.

Verbs may be termed, according to their import, frequentative or iterative, diminutive, inchoative and desiderative. They belong to the class of transitives or of intransitives, notwithstanding such further notional determinations.

The specified sorts of the verb are however not distinguished from each other in such a manner as not to be capable of passing into or changing places with one another. The question whether a verb is originally transitive or intransitive in English,
is frequently not to be answered. Only by a recourse to the tongues out of which English grew can this be in many cases decided, while in others the more or less frequent or the older use of a verb as transitive or intransitive may turn the scale where forms and derivative terminations afford but little clew. No other tongue avails itself, to the same extent as the English, of the liberty of interchanging notions of activities.

An interchange of this sort is certainly known to most tongues, although not to the like extent. It rests on the one hand on the possibility that the activity which needs a completing object may also in fact be conceived by itself or abstractedly, which is ever the case when no definite object is added; but, on the other hand, the activity concluded in itself, so far as it has any result at all, or so far as it is imagined in contact with objects, may be regarded as the activity producing that result or acting immediately upon those objects. A wider limit will of course be conceded to poetry and the raive speech of common life than to the strictly measured prose. Yet even prose has possessed itself in a wider compass of these interchanges, when warranted by the living speech, and thereby has often rendered the original nature of the verb imperceptible.
Some of the demonstrable interchanges of the sorts of verbs may here be mentioned by way of example.

1. a) The transitive active becomes intransitive, when no appropriate object is given to it, although this cannot, of course, be absent from the aetivity: About, seek, fire, kill! (Shaksp. J. C.). Instances of this sort are to be met with everywhere.
$\beta$ ) The transitive active becomes intransitive, where the activity could have no other object than the subject itself; wherefore this is also regarded as a transition into the reflective meaning. In Highdutch verbs like nahen, flüchten, stürzen, fürchten, münden, and the like, which run parallel to sich nahen, and the like, form an analogy to this usage. In English reflective formations likewise sometimes run parallel to these intransitives, although they have been more restricted in later times: Yeomen . . were induced to enlist (Macaulay). When the troops had retired, the Macdonalds crept out of the caverns of Glencoe (iD.). She could not refrain from crying out \&c. (Fielding). I will prove in the end more faithful than any of them (W. Scott). Russell meanwhile was preparing for an attack (Macaulay). Two large brooks which unite to form the river Tile (W. Scott). He stole away to England (Macaulay). The warlike inhabitants . . gathered fast to Surrey's standard (W. Scott). Mark you he keeps aloof from all the revels (L. Byron). Instances of this sort are also very frequent. If they can be interchanged with the reflective construction, we must not attribute to them quite the same mode of apprehension. The identity of the objective value does not decide grammatically the identity of the apprehension. These verbs are to be conceived as such whose reference to outward independent objects is hindered by the context, and therefore must be deemed to be concluded within the subject.

Single verbs, which may be referred here, as in: I shame To wear a heart so white (Shaksp. Macb.) have remained true to their origin, the Anglosaxon scamjan, erubescere, being intransitive, and not having received the common transitive meaning till later.
$\gamma$ ) Different from the usage just mentioned is the employment of the transitive active as intransitive, when an activity seems imputed to the subject, whose object it rather is. A transmutation of the active into the passive being here sometimes, though by no means universally, possible, this has been conceived as a transition into the passive meaning: What a delicious fragrance springs From the deep flagon, while it fills (Longfellow). I published some tracts . . which, as they never sold, I have the consolation of thinking were read only by the happy Few (Goldsmith). If the cakes at tea ate short and crisp, they were made by Olivia (ID.). A godly, thorough Reformation, Which always must be carried on, And still be doing never done (Butler). While any fávourite air is singing (Sheridan). While this ballad was reading, Sophia seemed to mix an air of tenderness with her approbation (Goldsmith). While a treaty of union . . was negotiating (Robertson). A great experiment was making (Macaulay). For you I've a draught that long has been brewing (Longrellow). The periphrastic verbal forms with the participle in ing have especially been thus employed from olden times. The use of these verbs is to be explained by the subject's being considered the mediate author of the activity of which itself is the object. Thus the transitive-active borders partly on the reflective, partly on the passive and on the factitive meaning. Compare above: it fills = it fills itself, il filled, makes itself filled.
2. a) The intransitive verb receives the character of the transitive active, if the result of the activity is made its object. Thus the verb is often put to a substantive of the same stem, denoting the activity in the abstract form: Ye all live loathsome, sneaking, servile lives (Otway). He had rather die a thousand deaths (Fielding). To let them die the death (L. Byron). How many old men . . sank down and slept their last sleep in the snow (Macaulay); as happened early with intransitive and transitive verbs. Old-English: He aschede po pat same asking (Rob. of Gloucester I. 30.); po kyng sende ys sonde (156.). Suiche domes to deme (II. 562. .). Yet objects of another sort than products of the activity may also be considered: In every tear that 1 do weep (Sharsp. Love's L. L. 4, 3.). Groves whose rich trees wept odorous gums (Milton). What he lived was more beautiful than what he wrote (Lewes). The realm itself . . yawns dungeons at each step for thee and me (L. Byron). „Thou didst not say so." - But thou lookedst it (ID.). Does the prophet doubt, To whom the very stars shine victory? (ID.)
$\beta$ ) or the activity is referred to an object independent of it, which it touches or upon which it mediately acts, and which is only considered as that immediately aimed at or hit by the activity: To sit a horse (Webst.). Thou day! That slowly walk'st the waters! March - March on (L. Byron). Thou shalt make mighty engines swim the sea (Bryant). There's not a ship that sails the ocean (Longrellow). We .. fought the powers Sent by your emperor to raise our siege (Otway). Fight the ship as long as she can swim (Macaulay). While thou foughtst and foughtst the christian cause (J. Hugmes); when, as in the last instances, the sort of reference to the object may be different.
$\gamma$ ) or the notion of the activity is taken as factitive in its reference to an object, that is, as effecting the activity originally contained in the verb: I have travelled my uncle Toby . . in a chariot and four (Sterne). During twenty six hours he rained shells and redhot bullets on the city (Macaulay). Evien at the base of Pompey's statua, Which all the while ran blood, great Cæsar fell (Shaksp. J. C.). Men, who have danced their babes Upon their knees (L. Byron). Many verbs, originally intransitive, are thus treated, as, to issue, to lean (Anglosaxon hlinjan), to prosper \&c. Here belongs also the case in which an intransitive verb is at the same time conceived as effecting a predicative determination of the object: I have walked my clothes dry (Bulwer).
d) Allied to the usage last mentioned is the transition of the intransitive active into the reflective form by the addition of a personal pronoun: Good Margaret, run thee to the parlour (Sharsp. Much Ado \&c.). Hie thee home (Smart), Anglosaxon hycgan, studere. Fare thee well, and think of death (J. Hughes). Sit thee down (Sharsp.). Go flee thee away into the land of Judah (Bible). They sate them down beside the stream (Southey). These and similar turns, still employed, chiefly in the imperative, are censured by modern grammarians. They are familiar to Old-English: This knave goth him up ful sturdily (Chaucer 3434.). Expressions like: Here will we rest us (Longfellow); Old-English: Where oure Lady rested hire (Maundev.p.71.), are originally regular; Anglosaxon He hine reste (Exod. 31, 17.), as well as the Old-English: He went him home. The Old-English: haste thee has been formed after the Old-French se haster.
b) With regard to the subject of the sentence we distinguish personal and impersonal verbs.

1) Personal verbs are those referred to a determinate person or thing as their subject: The revolution had been accomplished (MAcaulay). What is your illness? - „It has no name" (Longfellow).
2) We call impersonal those having no determinate subject. Their subject, not decidedly present in imagination, is indicated by the neuter $i t$, and they stand only in the third person singular.
a) Those verbs are impersonal in the narrowest sense, which can occur only in sentences without a subject definitely imagined. Here belong some of those which denote effects in the domain of nature, to which we abscribe no clearly conceived subject, as in: it rains, it lightens, it thunders, it hails, it snows, it freezes, it thaws, it blows \&c. Old-English: Now it schyneth, now it reyneth faste (Chaucer 1537.). They are however at the same time partly personal. Hence all verbs are in a wider sense impersonal which, although in themselves used personally, are referred to activities whose subject is unclear to the imagination, or, although demonstrable, is yet for the moment unclear or indifferent to the speaker. Here also are found verbs with a predicative completion: It is very cold (Shaksp. Haml.). How dark it grows (Longrellow). It is growing dark (id.). The limit of the linguistic usage is hard to specify. There manifestly belong here sentences like: How fares it with the holy monks of Hirschau? (Longfellow.) Is it come to this? (Smart.) Thus it was now in England (Macaulay). Reflective verbs used impersonally, with which even the subject it may be wanting, and which are not at the same time referred to a logical subject in the sentence or clause, as in the Old-English me hungreth, me thursteth, are unknown to Modern-English; since expressions like methinks, meseems relate to such a subject. In sentences like woe is me! compare the Old-English: Wo worth! - Ever worthe thaym wo! (Townel. Myst. p. 270.), woe (Anglosaxon vâva, vâ, miseria) is, properly, the subject.
$\beta$ ) We must distinguish from impersonal sentences of the sort specified sentences, similar in form, in which the grammatical subject it points to a logical subject contained in the sentence or clause. The logical subject is in this case frequently an infinitive or a dependent sentence: It is hard to go, but harder to stay (Longfellow). It was an aged man who spoke (id.). It was observed that two important classes took little or no part in the festivities (Macaulay). It belongs to syntax to discuss this more particularly.

## The Forms of the English Verb in general.

The various relations which the verb receives inside the sentence, are expressed by its various forms, the conjugations. English is poor in simple forms of this sort, frequently availing itself of so called auxiliary verbs, to express periphrastically the syntactical relations expressed, in tongues richer in forms, by the verbal stem and its termination. Many of these forms are at the same time susceptible of various relations, and therefore in themselves unclear, so that they only become completely intelligible in the entire context of the sentence.

The English conjugations rest upon the Anglosaxon; the influence of the Old-French upon the passive formation could hardly be pointed out, although the auxiliary verb veorđan, has been abandoned.
a) As to the sorts of the verb, even the Anglosaxon had no longer a passive form, properly so called, as little as a form for the medium (or reflective). It possessed only the expressed active form. The Anglosaxon passive was formed by the assistance of the verbs vësan and veorđan with the participle of the preterite; English used the auxiliary verb to be, of several stems, and mixed with forms of the verb vësan and its participles: 1 am loved; I was loved. Old-English also employed for a long time the verb worthen, worthe: His lif and his soule worthe ishend (Dame Siriz p. 7.). Chastité withouten charité Worth cheyned in helle (Piers Ploughm. p. 26.). No creature . Withouten cristendom worth saved (p. 244.). Ysaved worstow (p. 420.); as this verb also remained in use: What shalle worthe on me! (Townel. Myst. p. 226. 263.) even in Chaucer and others.

The employment of all stems of the auxiliary verb, now be in the infinitive, mixed with the verb vësan, was natural: Sey, that theise stones be made loves, ut lapides isti fiant panes (Maundev. p. 98.). Thei brennen his body.. to that entent, that he suffre no peyne in erthe, to ben eten of wormes (p. 170.). That hathe ben preved ( p .100. ).
b) The tenses of the verb specify the sphere of time into which the activity falls. All activity belongs in fact either to the present or to the past; but it can also be imagined as happening in the future. But both the present and the past have their before and after, therefore ever a past in the rear and a future before them.

There arise therefore two series of the tenses of speech, one whereof makes the standing point of the speaker the centre, as the present, the other takes a fact of the past as the centre.

The first series we may call the tenses of the present; the others, those of the past.

English has, according to the precedent of Anglosaxon, only two simple tenses, a present and a preterite: love, loved; swim, swam. These form the centres of the other compound presents and preterites. Compound present tenses have present forms; compound preterites, on the other hand, preterites of auxiliary verbs alongside of the participle or infinitive, with which they together express periphrastically the absent simple tenses.

The auxiliary verbs which come under review are: to have, shall, will and, in intransitive verbs rarely: to be.

The tenses of the present are: the present: love; the perfect: have loved; the first future: shall (will) love; the second future: shall (will) have loved.
The tenses of the past are: the preterite: loved; the plusquamperfectum: had loved; the imperfect of the future, also the first conditional: should (would) love; the plusquamperfectum of the future, also second conditional: should (would) have loved. Both conditionals are commonly apprehended as conjunctives. The natnre of these forms has to be more particularly discussed in the Syntax.

As to the formation of the periphrastic forms, the verb habban, häbban (to have) was employed with the participle periphrastically, even in Anglosaxon, like as habere in Latin in habeo perspec-
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tum \&c. Old-English early used to have with transitive and intransitive verbs: I have dwelled, habitavi (Maundev. p. 11\%.). Where has thou thus long be? (Townel. Myst. p. 25.). He hathe . . and alle weye hathe had (Maundev p. 296.). zif here fadre had not ben dronken, he hadde not yleye with hem (p. 102.).

The anomalous scal, sceal (shall) with the infinitive was also used to form the future periphrastically, though not without the recollection of its original meaning, namely of an ethical necessity (debeo), which has not quite vanished, even in English. The Anglosaxon villan (will) is not yet found used periphrastically, but in English early took the place of scall, of course not without reference to the notion of an inclination, tendency, and then of aptness and appropriateness. In Old-English shal is early universal as a periphrasis: That ne shal nevere be That I shal don selk falsete (Dame Siriz p. 5.). That I have thoght I shalle fulfille (Townel. Myst. p. 1.). What art thou that thus tellys afore that shalle be? (p. 24.). And whan he felte wel, that he scholde dye (Maundev. p. 228.). But will is also found early: As me (men) dep zet, and euer more wole (Rob. of Gloucester I. 24.). jiff the erthe were made moyst and weet . . it wolde never bere fruyt (Maundev. p. 100.). The distiaction of the periphrasis in shall or will, when shall is mostly restricted to the first person, is unknown to Old-English, in which the use of shall generally preponderates. Even in Shakspeare's age this distinction is less universal. See Mommsen's Rom. and Juliet p. 1109. The details belong to Syntax. We shall speak of further periphrastic forms below.

With regard to the employment of the verb to have, we must observe that the active of all transitive and reflective verbs is conjugated with to have. With intransitives, on the contrary, to be is also frequently found employed: The third day 's come and gone (L. Byron). When the sun is set (Milton). She can not be fled far (L. Byron). This is founded upon an Anglosaxon precedent. Some grammarians wholly reject this formation, others declare both forms to be indifferent. Linguistic usage annexes syntactic differences to each, which belong to Syntax.
c) The modes, which serve to express the subjective relation of the speaker to the predicate in thought and will, are: the indicative, which lays down the predicate objectively; the conjunctive, which expresses it reflectively, and the imperative, which represents it as an expression of will. Modern-English, besides the indicative, has also a form of the imperative, coinciding certainly with others. The forms of the conjunctive, except in the present of verbs, have become almost totally unrecognizable, or those of the indicative have taken their place, so that even the existence of a conjunctive is denied. Old-English frequently drew a distinction betwixt indicative and conjunctive forms, as Modern-English still sometimes does.
d) The distinction of the three personal forms of the singular and plural in the verb, which was frequently effaced in Anglosaxon, is still more so in Modern-English, where the plural has completely cast off its inflective forms. The accession of the personal pronouns to distinguish the speaker or speakers, the person or persons
spoken to and the person or persons spoken of is frequently governed thereby.
e) The middle forms of the verb are those forms which border on the one hand on the substantive; (the infinitive and the gerund) on the other, on the adjective (participles).

The infinitive names the activity abstractly, without predicating it immediately of any determinate subject, while it distinguishes it according to the reference to present or past time: to love, to have loved. It has almost entirely lost its characteristic terminations.

The gerund, likewise expressing the distinction of time, leans upon the participial form of the present, but has preserved the substantive meaning, originally belonging to this form, more than the French gerund in ant (-ndum), which likewise coincided with the participle of the present ant (-ntem): loving - having loved.

The participles, or adjective verbal forms, are that of the present: loving, and that of the perfect: loved.

How far these forms diverge from the Anglosaxon will be pointed out below.

## The weak and the strong conjugation.

Like all Germanic tongues, Anglosaxon distinguished a weak and a strong conjugation, the latter whereof, the old, or the primitive, was in English more and more supplanted by the weak one, which is now usually opposed to the strong one as the regular to the irregular.

Both Anglosaxon conjugations are essentially distinguished by the weak one's forming its preterite by appending the suffix de (Conjunct. $d \ddot{e}$ ) to the verbal stem, which receives ed ( $d$ ), in the participle of the perfect; and the strong one's, on the other hand, forming its preterite by a change in the fundamental vowel, or a variation of the vowel, while the participle of the perfect, which assumes the termination en, mostly receives the stem vowel of the present or that of the plural of the preterite.

The Anglosaxon weak conjugation has two different forms, according as the vowel $i$ (as $\ddot{e}$ and $j$ ), or the vowel o (this however only in the preterite and participle of the perfect as $\hat{\delta}$ ) comes between the stem and the suffix. The connecting vowel $i$ commonly falls out, if the syllable of the stem is long. Modern-English has preserved the connecting vowel $\ddot{e}$ in the termination of the preterite ed, the $j$ still appears in the infinitive termination $y$. Old-English has the latter in other forms and also still shews the connecting vowel $o$ of the second conjugation in the preterite.

The inflective terminations of the weak and of the strong Anglosaxon verb are, apart from the connecting rowels, alike in the indicative, conjunctive, imperative and participle of the present, as well as in the infinitive.

The following table places the Anglosaxon simple conjugations beside the Old- and the Modern-English, by which the progressive blunting and partial abandonment of suffixes will appear. The other forms of the weak and of the strong conjugation in Anglosaxon and English are discussed in detail further on.

## Weak Conjugation.

| Anglosaxon Ia. | Ib. | II. | Old-English. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Imperative.

| S. ner-ë (ner) <br> Pl. ner-j-ad | hæl <br> hæl-aす | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { luf-a } \\ & \text { luf-j-a才 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | hel-e <br> hel-eth, hel-e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participle. |  |  |  |
| Pres. ner-j-ende | hæl-ende | luf-ig-ende | hel-ende, -inde -ande, -end and |
| Pret. ner-ëd | hæl-ëd | luf-ô-d | hel-ed |

Infinitive.

| ner-j-an <br> salvare | hæl-an <br> sanare | luf-j-an <br> amare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | hel-en, hel-e |
| :--- |
| sanare |

## Strong Conjugation．

| Modern－English． | Anglosaxon． | Old－English． | Modern－English． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present Indicative． |  |  |  |
| heal | bind－e | bind－e | bind |
| heal－est | bind－ëst（is） | bind－est | bind－est |
| heal－s | bind－ëす（iす）con－ tracted bint | bind－eth，also bint | bind－s |
|  | bind－ay |  |  |
| Sheal | bind－a才 | bind－eth or bind－ |  |
|  | bind－aす | en and binde－e |  |

Conjunctive．
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\text { \｛heal } & \begin{array}{l}\text { bind－e } \\ \text { bind－e } \\ \text { bind－e } \\ \text { bind－ân（en）} \\ \text { bind－ân（en）} \\ \text { bind－ân（en）}\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { bind－e } \\ \text { bind－e } \\ \text { bind－e }\end{array}\right\}$ bind－en or bind－e $\}$ bind

Preterite Indicative．
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}\begin{array}{l}\text { heal－e－d } \\
\text { heal－e－dst } \\
\text { heal－e－d }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { band } \\
\text { bund－ë } \\
\text { band }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { band（bond）} \\
\text { bond－e } \\
\text { band（bond）}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { bound } \\
\text { boun－dst } \\
\text { bound }\end{array} \\
\text { bund－un（on）}\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}bond－en or bond <br>
bund－un（on） <br>

bund－un（on）\end{array}\right\}\)| bound |
| :--- |

Conjunctive．

| heal－e－d <br> as in the indi－ cative | bund－ë bund－ë bund－ë | bond－e | bound as in the indica ）tive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heal－e－d | bund－ën（on） <br> bund－ën（on） <br> bund－ën（on） | \}bond-en (e) | \} bound |
| Imperative． |  |  |  |
| \}heal | bind biud－aす | bind bind－eth | 1 bind |
| Participles． |  |  |  |
| heal－ing | bind－ende | bind－ende，inde， | bind－ing |
| heal－ed | bund－en | bond－en，bond－e， bond（bound） | bound |
| Infinitive． |  |  |  |
| heal | bindan ligare | －bind－en，e | 1 bind |

From the foregoing table it appears that the weak English conjugation attaches itself to the first Anglosaxon one, especially in its second form.
1 Of the connecting vowels $i(\ddot{e}, j, i g)$ has in general been lost in English, with the exception of $\ddot{e}$ in the preterite, which sometimes, even in the preterite, took the place of the $\hat{a}$, which also interchanged with $\hat{o}$. We might certainly take the English e in ed to have been subsequently inserted; but the older full forms do not seem to allow this. The connecting vowel $i(\ddot{e}, j, i g)$, even in Anglosaxon, was partly thrown out in verbs with a short syllable of the stem, upon which anomalous forms of the weak English conjugation, which will be discussed below, are founded. This connecting vowel nevertheless was not only long preserved in Old-English, but has also, as $y$ and $i$, penetrated into Anglosaxon verbs and tenses to which it did not belong. Thus we find $y(i)$ preserved for $j$ and $i g$ in the indicative and conjunctive of the present; in the indicative in: Ich hopye, Anglosaxon hopjan, -ôde (Rob. of Gloucester I. 195.). We louieth; ze ne louieth, Anglosaxon lufjan (II. 503.). Hii askyeth, Anglosaxon âscjan, -ôde (I. 200.); Therinne wonyeth a wight, Anglos. vunjan, -ôde (Piers Ploughm. p. 18.). The world that wanyeth, Anglosaxon vanjan, -ôde (p. 153.); in the conjunctive in: That thou hatie, Anglosaxon hatjan, -ôde (Piers Ploughm. p. 120.). So leaute thee lovye (p. 8.). Though no plough erye, Anglosaxon erjan, -ede (p. 275.). It is very common in the infinitive, which presents itself as yen (ien), ye and $y$ : lovien (Dame Siriz p. 9.). wonye (Rob. of Gloucester I. 41.) polye, Anglosaxon poljan (ib. 205.). ansuerye (194.). makye, Anglosaxon macjan (II. 404.). sparye, Anglosaxon sparjan (iв. 428.) \&c. honty, Anglosaxon huntjan (I 16.). bapi, Anglosaxon bađjan (ib. 146.). endy, Anglosaxon endjan (187.). Where this $y, i$ is transferred to the preterite and participle perfect, the connecting vowel properly appears twice, as $y$ ( $i$ ) and $e$ at the same time: Tulieden (Piers Ploughm. p. 277.). My wit wanyed, Anglosaxon vanjan, -ôde (p. 294.). Ytilied, Part. Perf. (p. 301.). In analogy to such verbs the Old-French verbs in ier were treated and other Anglosaxon and French ones assimilated to them. Comp. p. 161.

The connecting vowel $o$ in the preterite has been here and there preserved in Old-English: He ascode (Rob. of Gloucester I. p. 127.), and also transferred to other verbs: robbode (ıв. 149.); destruiode (3); buryode, Anglosaxon byrigan, byrigde (50.); dyodon (died) (Tunidale p. 52.). Yet $o$ is early lost
2. The suffixes of the Anglosaxon have been subjected to various changes and interchanges in English.

In the present the first person singular of the indicative, as well as the three persons singular of the conjunctive, often offer $e$, not as a sign of lengthening, but as a remnant of the $e$ of inflection; compare axe, putte, walke, telle, sinke, kisse, gesse \&c, although forms without $e$ are already becoming familiar. An $e$ is certainly frequently joined to the forms of the preterite of strong verbs, where it was absent in Anglosaxon,
as in halpe, stanke, dranke, felle \&c., which may be derived from the $\ddot{e}$ of the second person sing. indic. and the conjunctive forms of the sing. preter., since it must be granted that confusion early prevailed in this respect. The habituation to a final $e$, which for a long time was not silent, has caused it to be appended to other Old-English verbal suffixes, particularly to terminations in eth especially of the third person singular, yet also of the plurral and of the old imperative in eth; compare above p. 325 , and for the plural: Aftre arryvethe men (Maundev. p. 54.). Men gothe (p. 31.), for the imperative: And witethe wel (ib. p. 95.). Makethe pees (p. 234.). To the oldest English language this is foreign; yet up to the sixteenth century we find forms of this sort: My simithe (seems) (Jack Jugler p. 11.). In them that dothe not me in lete (p. 17.). Dogges dothe barke (Skelton I. 241.). Even to the second person in st $e$ is often appended: Thow byste (Percy Rel. p. 6. II.); frequently with the rejection of the $t$ : Thou saysse (Townel. Myst. p. 4.).

The second person of the singular in the indicative still commonly appears in Old - and Modern-English in the form est: grant, grant-est; love, lov-est. With verbs having a mute $e$ in the first person, this $e$, if we impute it to the stem, is thrown off; the $e$ in est being rather to be regarded as the characteristic vowel of the suffix. The $e$ of inflection is rarely thrown off after a vowel, as iin dost alongside of doest, mayst alongside of mayest (properly a preterito-present) and in the contracted form hast (Anglosaxon hafast), as well as in the preterito-present canst (Anglosaxon canst). In Old-English we also find forms like seist (Piers Ploughm. p. 394.), saist, saiest, sayest beside each other. Modern-English gives to verbs in ey, ay the full termination: Which here thou viewest, beholdest, surveyest or seest (Shaksp. Love's L. L. 1, 1.). Even as thou sayest! And how my heart beats when thou stayest! (Longrellow). The casting out of the $e$ especially in poetry, both after a short and a long syllable of the stem and ending in a vowel, is however, not uncommon, where its rejection is signified by the mark of elision: bring'st, stand'st, lov'st, giv'st, com'st, join'st, point'st, bear'st, wear'st, sail'st, keep'st, strik'st, deny'st. We also find may'st and even can'st. J. Wallis said: In terminationibus est, eth, ed vocalis e, fere ad placitum, per syncopen tollitur.

Old-English frequently offers the termination es, and alongside thereof is, $y s$, instead of est; it was peculiar to the Northern dialects. Is this a remnant of the rare Anglosaxon termination is in the strong conjugation, or a mere rejection of the $t$ ? Wife, come in, Why standes thou here? (Chest. Plays). Thou drownnes myne herte (Morte Artuure in Halliwell v. drownne). Thou likes thi play (True Thomas in Halliwell v. lefe-long). Thou gettes (Townel. Myst. p. 14.). Lufes thou me? (p. 37.). Heris thou (p.9.). Knowys thou? (p.273.); and with the $e$ thrown out: Thou says (Townel. Myst. p. 271.). Thou gets hurr not swa (Percy Rel. p. 94. I.). Thou speks (ib. II.). Scotch has also the form of the second person is: Gif that be trew that
thow reportis (D. Lindsay 3, 4.). We often find thou united enclitically with the second person, so that it remains uncertain, whether, in the st which has arisen by assimilation, the $t$ belongs to the inflection or to the thou: Herestow not? (Chaucer 3366.). Sestow (Piers Plovghm. p. 307.); as also in the preterite: herdestow (Weber), haddestow (Piers Ploughm. p. 226.). The termination es, ys extends even beyond the seventeenth century: Thou sees (Skelton I. 144 ). Thou spekys; Thynkys thou (263.). Thou has disarmed my soul (Congreve $1669=1729$.). - As in the third person $s$ took the place of th, so th often takes the place of this.s, especially thou doth, thou hath and the like, in Skelton I. 260. 262. - The not denoting the second person of the indicative by a suffix is very common in Old-English in preterito-presents (see below): thou will, wille, wil; thou shall, shalle, shal; thou can; thou mote \&c., and extends into the sixteenth century. It has also been extended to other verbs: I trowe, thou knowe not me (Skelton I. 43.).

The third person of the singular in the indicative appears in the oldest time regular, with the suffix eth, in which also the vowel $y, i$ appears: he grauntheth, precheth, asketh, useth, assoileth, helpeth; benymyp, delyueryp (Rob. of Gloucester), techyth (Halliwell Hist. of Fr. M. p. 23.), clevyth (Halliwell v. cleven), approchyth (Skelton I. 5.), excedyth, (307.), nedith, dwellith (Jack Jugler) \&c., when eth and yth often stand alongside of each other, and the vowel is cast off after vowels, as in doth, goth, and in hath, hep, Anglosaxon häf̛́. It has been preserved down to the latest times as eth, but has remained only in ecclesiastical language, poetry and solemn speech. Es, ys early took its place, particularly in Northern and Eastern dialects. In the Towneley Mysteries, which belong to the more Northern dialects, $y s$, is run parallel to es, as the Scottish, which always let the vowel $i$ penetrate instead of $e$, used is. Chaucer, in the Reeves Tale, puts the forms fares, makes, findes, bringes, says, has into the mouths of the people of Cambridge. The suffix is is found late, as in Skelton, alongside of others.

In Modern-English the suffix es is added to the stem when it ends in a sibilant or a hissing sound: $s s, z(z z), x, s h, c h$; also after $y$, preceded by a consonant, es stands (with the transformation of the $y$ into $i$ ). Further, es appears, if the verb in the first person ends in a mute $e$, where it then remains doubtful whether the $e$ in es is to be ascribed to the old suffix, which however has been elsewhere preserved only for phonetic reasons: he bless-es, wish-es, mix-es, tri-es, rag-e-s, lov-e-s \&c. Else after consonants and vowels only $s$ now in general enters as a letter of inflection. After a single o es stands: goes, does; after 00 s: She woos (Shakspeare Two G. of V.) and so often in L. Byron; but also es: The stock-dove . . cooes (Thom:on). The verb $b a$ in Shakspeare, now commonly baa, has baes (Much Ado \&c. 3, 3.).
The preterito-presents can, shall, may, will have assumed no $e s, s$, which did not originally belong to them (see below). The
verb to will, cupere, with its regular inflection, is not the pre-terito-present verb, but answers to the Anglosaxon villjan, -ôde, -ôd. The preterito-present dare fluctuates (Anglosaxon 3. pers. dearr). The collateral form to dare, is inflected regularly and always has dares; but the justified dare has also been preserved from the older form along with dares: Old-English: No man dar entren in to it (Macndev p. 273. bis). She dare not . . shryuen be (The Pardoner and the Frere 1533. p. 47.). Here is noue that dare well other truste (Skelton I. 38.); and so in Shakspeare: The duke dare No more stretch this finger of mine, than he Dare rack his own (Meas. for Meas.). I know, thou dar'st But this thing dare not (Temp.). Who dare tell her so? (Much Ado) \&c.

More striking is the rejection of the suffix in need alongside of needs, the former of which usually occurs intransitively, the latter transitively, although needs stands intransitively, like the Old-English needeth (Chatcer 3599. 4159.). The rejection belongs, it seems, to a later period of Old-English. Compare: What nede all this be spoken? (Skelton I. 111.). What nede all this waste? (249.) often in Shakspeare and subsequent writers: What need a man care for a stock? (Two Gentlem. of V.). Why, she has not writ to me. - What need she, when she has made you write to yourself? (Iв.) What need the bridge much broader than the flood? (Much Ado \&c.) One need only read (Pope). He need not go (Wi.bst.). To fly from, need not be to hate mankind (L. Byron). With impersonal verbs the rejection is not rare in Old-English, thus especially in: me thynk, me thynke (Townel. Myst. p. 271. 275. 277. Skelton I. 39. 255. \&c.). It also occurs with other verbs, for instance: God take (Maundev. p. 295.). He dred hym (Piers Plolgum. p. 270.); where, however, dred might be the contracted form for drat.

In Old-English in the third person, the vowel of the suffix often suffers syncope, if the verbal stem ends in $t$ or $d$ or even $s$, and then offers $t$ instead of $t h$ : sit or sitt (sitteth), smit (smiteth), list, lust (listeth, lusteth), rest (resteth), bint (bindeth, compare above the Anglosaxon bint), fint (findeth), stant, stont (standeth), bit (biddeth), rit (rideth), bitit (bitideth), holt (holdeth), rist (riseth). Of these forms list has passed over into the modern language: Go to bed when she list, rise when she list (Shakspeare Merry Wives).

The three persons of the plural in the indicat. present appear in the oldest language as eth, rarely oth or uth: Ase and we vorleteth oure yelderes (Pater Nost. in the Kentish dialect, according to Ellis). We bep ybore (Rob. of Gloccester I. 111. [even in Piers Plocghm. p. 44.]). We honourep Venus (Rob. of Gloucester I. 112.). Alle that beoth of huerte trewe.. herkneth (Percy Rel. p. 91. I. sec. XIV.). Ye . . that precheth (Chatcer Rom. of the R. p. 248. Tyrwh.). pe yle of Man pat me (men) clepup (Rob. of Gloucester I. 2.). pe stones stondep . . and oper liggep (IB. 7.). pre wondres per bep in Engolond (ib.). Lettred men it knoweth (Piers Ploughim. p. 23.). These
forms extend into and beyond the sixteenth century, particularly in the third person: Your clokes smelleth musty (Skeliton I. 250.). Her eyen . . Causeth myne hert to lepe (IB. 82.). Such tunges . . hath made great diuision (134.). Ith, instead of eth is here seldom met with. But afterwards we find es and is, ys along with eth, particularly in the North, where these forms quite coincide with the third person singular: We er richer men than he, and mor gode haves (Percy Rel. p. 93. II.). Ye . . beggys (Skelton I. 20.). 0 happy be ye, beastes wild, That here your pasture takes (Percy Rel. p. 106. II. sec. XV1.). Now alle wymmen that has your wytte (Ms. in Halliwell v. myculle); Scottish: Ye . . cryis (S. Dav. Lindsay 3. p. 16.). Sum takis thair gait to Gabriell (ıв. p. 7.). Prelatis, quhilkis hes of thame the cure (iв.). The employment especially of the third person of the plural extends deep into the seventeenth century, particularly with Northern writers: Now rebels more prevails with words Than drawgoons does with guns and swords; and: Yea, those that were the greatest rogues, Follows them over hills and bogues (Cleland's Poems 1697. p. 30.). These considerations may serve to explain many apparent singulars in Shakspeare, which editors have in part tacitly transformed into plurals, partly tried to explain artificially: All his successors, gone before him, hath done 't (Merry Wiv. 1, 1.). Words to the heat of deeds to cold breath gives (Отн. 2, 1.) and others. S. Mommsen Romeo and Juliet p. 26. Delius Shakspeare Lexicon p. XVII.

The plural suffix en, which belonged to the conjunctive, appears early in the indicative as well as the conjunctive. The confusion of en and eth is shewn, for instance, in: If ye loven leelly, And lene the povere, Swich good . . Goodliche parteth (Piers Ploughm. p. 25.); where the genuine conjunctive, the rejection of inflection, and at the same time the indicative form instead of the conjunctive stand; and thus we find en (from which $e$ is cast out after vowels) countless times alongside of eth also in the indicative in all three persons: We seen it wel (Piers Ploughm. p. 18.). Ye men that ben murye (p. 13.). Whan ye wenden hennes (p. 25.). In glotonye . . Go thei to bedde And risen with ribaudie (p. 3.). Alle that helpen the innocent And holden with the rightfulle, Withouten mede doth hem good, And the truthe helpeth \&c. (p. 57.). On the other hand the rejection of the inflective termination gains great extension even in the fourteenth century. The termination en disappears earlier from the conjunctive and indicative than the termination eth from the latter. In Lancashire the termination en is preserved, although it is commonly mute, as it is still in use in Gloucestershire and other counties.

The preterite of the weak conjugation appeared in the forms of the indicative and conjunctive, which ended in $e-d e, e-d \ddot{e}$ ( $\hat{o}-d e$ ), with the full termination ede (ode): folwede, fondede, juggede \&c.; ascode, robbode (Rob. of Gloucester); but the final $e$ was soon very frequently absent, even along with forms having it. $e$ was kept longer in the forms which suffered syncope,
whose connecting vowel was thrown out, and of which we shall speak hereafter: saide, paide, laide, herde, made, hadde \&c., along with which however said, paid, laid \&c. also here and, there appear. In the fifteenth century the final $e$ gradually disappears. In Modern-English it has been abandoned. Along with ed, $i d, y d$ also freqently shew themselves. The manteynid me in my pride (Townel. Myst. p. 7.). I storyd my cofers (Skelton I. 3.). I amendid Douer (iв.). Ye armyd you (8.). I folowid him (Jack Jugl. p. 15.); particularly in Northern dialects, where et and it (the latter also in Scotch, as in the perfect participle) also occurs: Robin that dinet with me (Ms. in Halliwell s. v.); Scotch: Quhen he belevit thay war brynt (S. Dav. Lindsay 3, p. 10.). In a few cases $e$ before $d$ (and $t$ ) in Modern-English, as in Old-English, suffered syncope. See below. In poetry, however, this $e$ is frequently thrown out, but its place is then supplied, both after consonants and vowels, by the mark of elision: ask'd, wing'd, reach'd, seem'd, guess'd, cross'd. trimm'd, fann'd, flow'd, delay'd \&c.

In the second person singular of the indicative of the weak conjugation Old-English joins edest to the verbal stem: folwedest, fondedest, ravishedest, assentedest \&c., when those forms in which $e$ before $d$ suffers syncope preserve est : herdest, haddest, cridest, dweltest, broughtest. The syncope of $e$ before st is rare, as in hadst and others. In Modern-English it has become the law, although the rejected $e$ is still often supplied by a mark of elision, as was taught by grammarians in the seventeenth century. Hence would'st, should'st, told'st, did'st are often found alongside of wouldst \&c. The transfer of this suffix of the weak conjugation to the strong one belongs to the later Old-English. The oldest language here regularly gives an $e$ to the second person singular in the preterite, as well as to the three persons of the singular of the conjunctive: pou slowe, drowe; bede (Rob. of Gloucester I. 133.). Thow gete . . and breke . . and sete . . and eggedest (Piers Ploughm. p. 386.). Thou crewe (Skelton I. 44.). Thou sawe (299.). Where gatte thou that mangey curre? (263.). $E$ is rarely cast off: Thou saw me not (Percy Rel. p. 8. I., [compare ib. p. 94. I.]). In Modern-English poets still sometimes use the strong form without (e)st: Thou, who didst call the Furies from the abyss, And round Orestes bade them howl and hiss (L. Brros). In the fourteenth century we here and there meet the transfer of the suffix est to strong verbs: Ful wrongfully bygonnest thow (Chaucer 12370.); which subsequently became universal. The $e$ is sometimes preserved after vowels in Modern-English, as in knewest, but commonly suffers syncope and has its place supplied by the mark of elision: began'st, saw'st. The suffix has thus penetrated into the conjunctive both of strong and weak verbs. We find it even in the Romaunt of the Rose: For certes, though thou haddest it sworne \&c. (p. 257. ed. Tyrwh.). Yet even in Modern-English the conjunctive form without est has been preserved, against which modern grammarians however, express themselves. See Murray p. 201.

Conversely, even in Old-English we find an influence of the second person of the strong form upon the weak conjugation, which likewise often cast off the suffix est: Thou maide bothe nyght and day (Townel. Myst. p. 20.). This did thou (ib.). Thou had (p. 270.). I thank the, Lord, . . that wold vowch sayf \&c. (p. 24.). Thou wisted nat right now (Chaucer 1158. Tyrwh., where Wright, contrary to the metre, gives wost): Why nad ( $=$ ne had) thou put the capil in the lathe? (4086. Wright). Thou answered (Rom. of the Rose p. 225. II.). The olde name . . that thou had had (Skelton I. p. 242.). What thou sayd yester nyght (p.42.). Thus the preterito-presents especially are often put without the suffix. This usage is also sometimes found in Modern-English: Detested as thou art and ought to be (Pope). There thou . once formed thy Paradise (L. Byron).

Verbs which appear to have suffered syncope in the preterite, like cast, burst, assume edst in the preterite, that is to say, they pass into the regular form. They are, however, often found used in the second person without this suffix, for which the avoiding of the missound is quoted as the reason.

The plural forms of the indicative and conjunctive of the preterite, which in Anglosaxon end in ëdon, ôdun (on) and ëdën (also ëdon) and in the strong conjugation in un (on), mostly offer in Old-English the forms eden, rarely oden (in the contracted forms den, ten) and en, alongside whereof also edon and on, rarely suffixes with $y n$ occur: woneden, filleden, weyeden, hateden, refuseden, consenteden, carrieden \&c.; hadden, maden, criden, laiden, lepten (from leap) \&c.; - clomben, ronnen, gonnen, eten \&c.; destruiodon, robboden, dyodon (= died, see Halliwell s. v.), clepton, clombon, eton \&c.; daltyn ( $=$ dealt, see Halliwell s. v.). Yet we very early find the rejection of the $n$ alongside of the fuller forms, as in Rob. of Gloucester: buryode, destruiode, worrede, were, nome, wonne, overcome \&c. Forms with en quite cast off, in particular in the suffix eden, often stand promiscuously with fuller ones, as in Piers Ploughman and Chaucer \&c. The complete casting off of the inflective termination en was soon the result. The transfer of it to the singular, often met with in Maundeville, is peculiar: As longe as the cros myghten laste (p. 10.). Whan on overcomen, he scholde he crowned (p. 11.). Compare p. 35. 63. 77. \&c.

The Imperative is in Modern-English confined to one form, that of the singular in Old-English. The plural form in eth was long preserved: Armep you faste (Rob. of Gloucester I. 18.). And witethe wel (Maundev. p. 42.). And undre stondethe \&c. (p. 51.). Now herkneth (Chaucer 3138.). Avyseth you (3185.). Sitteth alle stille, and herkneth to me (Percy Rel. p. 90. I.). The plural is also used in courteously addressing one person: Cometh ner . . my lady . . And ye, sir clerk, let (contracted from letteth) be your shamfastnesse, Ne studieth nat (Chaucer 841.). Northern dialects have also $s$ for th: Drawes on (Townel. Myst. p. 8.). Herkyns alle (p. 49.). The form commonly referred to the singular is however, sometimes found for the plural before
the end of the fourteentl century: Takethe a lytille bawme . . and touche it to the fuyr (Mandev. p. 51.). For the first person plural the conjunctive with we often stands, as now: Make we here 3 dwellyng places ( $=$ faciamus) (Mavndev. p. 114.). Cometh with me .. And holde we as there And crye we (Piers Plocgin. p. 429.). Make we to him an help (Ciatcer II. p. 335. Wright). Modern-English: Then go we near her (Shaksp. Much. Ado \&c.). Reap we not the ripened wheat, Till yonder hosts are flying (Bryant). Watch we in calmess, as they rise, The changes of that rapid dream (id.). The sole imperative form now in use not only takes the place of the plural, but is also employed as a genuine singular: Be thou familiar, but by no means vulgar (Sharsp. Jul. C.). Yet fear not thou (Love's L. L.). Mischief . . Take thou what course thou wilt (JuL. C.). The periphrasis with the verb let is also old: Let us gang (Townel. Myst. p. 9.), Let us se which of hem hath spoke most resonably (Chaucer II. p. 348.). Modern-English: Let's stay and hear the will (Sharsp. Jul. C.). Come, let me clutch thee (МАсв.).

Among the participial forms the gerund participle, at present with the suffix ing, which in Anglosaxon is a substantive and also sometimes an adjective, has proceeded from a remarkable interchange of the suffix ende with the former. The interchange is old; the Halfsaxon of Orm and Layamon has waldinge for waldend. Both however long ran parallel with each other. Besides in the Southern dialects inde, ynde appears instead of ende, as in the Northern ande; as, for instance, in the Old-Kentish Credo: lyf evrelestinde and in the Pat. Nost. cominde thi riche; in Rob. of Gloucester sykynde (I. 323.). Ofte wepynd (328.); berninde tapers (II. 534.); - carvande, rydande (Ms. in Halliwell v. carvande); prickand, speakand (Ellis Met. Rom. II. 18.); styrande (Percy Rel. p. 93. II.); rydand (p. 93. II.); brenand, thrustand (p. 94. I.); styncand (Piers Ploughm. Creed p. 489.); lepande (Rom. of the Rose p. 225. I.); sittand (p. 227. II.); doand (p. 230. II.); criand (p. 233. II.) \&c., as in Scotch: askand, speikand, hopeand, growand, seand, sittand, provokand, tryumphand \&c., in D. Lindsay; alongside of which run comende, fynende, contrariende \&c., in Gower, losende \&c. even in Skelton I, 407. As in Scotch the forms in ing likewise ran alongside (compare chusing, twyching = touching, pertening, remaning, using \&c. in Lindsay), so too in English. Could the frequent dialectical silence of $g$ in ing have supported the interchange of ind and ing, and, on the other hand the Old-French form ant the use of and? Thus in Lindsay triumphant stands along with triumphand \&c. Yet here the Old-norse participle in andi may have cooperated. In the fourteenth century ing was already widely diffused, in Modern-English hardly a trace of the old terminations has been preserved.

The suffix of the participle-perfect of the weak conjugation was and continued ed (except in forms suffering syncope, whereof below); alongside whereof we find earlier, and down to the six-
teenth century id (compare shewyd, clokyd, vexyd, annexyd in Skelton; refreshid, disposid \&c. in Jack Jugler), as well as in the preterite, and likewise $i t$, as in Skelton: Thy sword, enharpit of mortale drede (I. 11.), as in Scotch. Even ud is found; pat Stonhengel is yclepud (Rob. of Gloucester I. 7.). Of the participle of the strong conjugation in en we shall speak further on. Before both participles moreover, $y, i$ (Anglosaxon $g e$ ), is frequently placed in Old-English; sometimes ye: yebent, (Percy Rel. p. 3. I.). Instances are very frequent in Old-English; in Modern-English the particle shews itself in some archaic forms as $y$. Compare: Spring yclad in grassy die (L. Byron). And he that unawares had there ygazed (id.); see p. 158. In Anglosaxon it frequently served to compound with verbs in all their inflective forms; placed before some tenses it changed the preterite into a plusquamperfect, the present into a futurum exactum, and the like. Its fundamental meaning was that of completion and duration In Old-English $y$ also stands before other verbal forms.

The infinitive suffix en, Anglosaxon an, at first blunted down to $e$, has finally vanished in many verbs. In the fourteenth century forms with and without $n$ commonly stand immediately beside each other: To bakbite and to bosten (Piers Ploughm. p. 33). And al day to drinken At diverse tavernes, And there to jangle and jape (ıв.). Besides see p. 175.
3. The changes produced in the stem, in weak as well as in strong verbs, solely by the inflective terminations, are the following:

If a verb ends in an accented or unaccented $y$ with a consonant immediately preceding, the vowel $y$ is changed into $i$ in Modern-English before suffixes originally syllabic, with the exception of ing: try, trying - triest, tries, tried; carry, carrying - carriest, carries, carried. If $e$ is elided and the elision denoted by ', $y$ remains: deny'st, deny'd.

If a simple or compound verb, whose last syllable is accented, ends in a single consonant preceded by a single short vowel, the final consonant is doubled before syllabic suffixes. Here the double consonant contained in the infinitive in the fundamental forms frequently reappears: whet - whettest, whetted, whetting; remit - remittest, remitted, remitting; swim - swimmest, swimming; bid --biddest, bidding, bidden. - To these words other polysyllabic verbs with an unaccented final syllable ending in simple consonants have been assimilated, as: góssip, wórship, kídnap, péril, cóunsel, trável, ríval, équal, cárol and the like, also bías and verbs in $i c$, as traffic, frolic, with which $c$ is doubled as $c k$ : traffickest, trafficked, trafficking, in which phonetic reasons partly prevail. English grammarians are not agreed upon the extent of this usage, and the doubling of the consonant in polysyllabic verbs in $i p$, op, it, et is disapproved of.

The not doubling the consonant in the accented final syllable with the elision of the $e$, as in stun'd, began'st \&c., appears a fault, so far as it may give occasion to confusion, since, for Mätzner, engl. Gr. I.
instance, stil'd for still'd might also be taken for stiled (styled), and so in many other cases.

## Anomalous Verbs of the weak Conjugation.

Among the weak verbs is a multitude of anomalous ones, which do not simply join the suffixes to the stem, but undergo partly syncope in the suffix and the stem, partly changes of the suffixed consonants, as well as of the consonants and vowels of the stem. They rest essentially upon contraction and assimilation, and lean for the most part on Anglosaxon forms.

In the citation of Old-English forms the fuller and therefore older are chiefly stated, when the shortening of the suffixes going on even in OldEnglish is not regarded. The verbal forms above cited are the infinitive, the same as the present, the preterite and the perfect participle. What is true of simple words is commonly true of the compounds also.

1) Some verbs regularly assume $d$ instead of $e d$ in the preterite and participle.
a) Here belong verbs in $a y$, whose $y$ is then changed into $i$; they owe their origin to Anglosaxon verbs in eg, to which some Ro-mance words are assimilated.
lay; laid; laid. Anglosaxon lecgan; legde, lêde; leged, lêd. Old-English leggen, leyen; leyde, leide; leid. For ei, ai often appears in Old-English; even Anglosaxon sometimes has læde.

The compound belay is cited with the forms belaid and belayed; Anglosaxon belecgan, circumdare.
say; said; said. Anglosaxon secgan, seggan; sægde, sæde; sägd, sæd. Old-English seggen, seyen, siggen, sayen, sayn; seide, saide; seid, said.

In Old-English the participle often passes into the strong form: Elde .. hath me biseye, with rejection of $n$ in Piers Plougnm. p. 437.; as with other verbs in ay: Your quene hath me betrayne (Sir Tryamocre 165.). The participle sain stands even in Shakspeare Love's L. L $3,1$.
pay; paid; paid. Old-French paier. Old-English paien, payen; paide; paid.
stay; staid; staid. The Old-French estayer and esteir, steir here mingle.

We also find the full form stayed: One scarce could say it moved or stayed (Longrellow). In Old-English the e suffers syncope also in other corresponding verbs, as pleyen, pleide \&c., preyen, preide \&c., as it generally throws out $e$ after vowels : cryde, deyde \&c in Robert of Gloucester. Modern-English only exceptionally admits the syncope of the $e$, when it does not employ the mark of elision.
b) Similar is the syncope of $e$ after the vowels $e$ and $o$ in the following two verbs, when the vowel is shortened.
flee; fled; fled. Anglosaxon fleóhan, fleón; see fleáh, pl. flugon; flogen, fugere. Old-English even mixes the strong and the weak inflection: The Bretons fleede (Morte Arthure in Halliwell s. v.).

In Piers Ploughman beside the infinitive fleen stands the preterite plur. fledden, p. 42. See the strong verb $f y$.
shoe; shod; shod. Anglosaxon scôjan, sceôjan; scôde; scôd. Old-English shoen; shode; shod (shode, shoed).

Old-English still has the long vowel, as the participle shews: Hosyd and schode he was (Ms. in Halliwell s. v.). Weet shoed they gone (Piers Plougha. p. 283).
c) The casting out of the connecting vowel before $d$ after a consonant concluding a syllable originally long, a standing usage in Anglosaxon with regard to the preterite, is now found only in one verb, ending in $r$, whose vowel is shortened in the derivative forms in Modern-English.
hear; heard; heard. Anglosaxon hêran, hŷran; hêrde, hŷrde; hêred, hŷred. Old-English heren; herde; herd; even the $\hat{y}$-form sometimes presents itself as $u$ : hurde (Rob. of Gloucester).

The Old-English acknowledges extensively, according to the Anglosaxon usage, this rejection, which may still occur with the substitution of a mark of elision for the $e$; compare reren; rerde, rerd, Anglosaxon ræran; rærde; ræred; wenen; wende; wend, Anglosaxon vênan; vênde; vêned, which was then transferred to other verbs, as: answerde, (Anglosaxon ansvarôde), gaderde, conquerde \&c.
d) Of the Anglosaxon verbs which received ea instead of $e$ before the suffix in $d$ two have been preserved; the ea(l) changes itself into a long $\bar{o}(l)$.
tell; told; told. Anglosaxon tellan (= teljan); tealde; teald and telede; teled. Old-English tellen; tolde; told, along with the regular forms telde, teld in R. Brunne, Wicliffe, Spenser; still, dialectically, telled.
sell; sold; sold. Anglosaxon sellan, syllan; sealde; seald. OldEnglish sellen, also sullen (Rob. of Gloucester); solde; sold, dialectically also, selled.
e) The three verbs make, clothe and have have, besides the connecting vowel (in the first two: o) lost or assimilated consonants unlike the $d: k(c)$, th, $v(f)$.
make; made; made. Anglosaxon macjan; macôde; macôd. OldEnglish maken; makede, maide (Townel. Myst.), maade (WiCliffe), made; maked, maid, made, maad. The full forms makede, ymaked still stand in Piers Ploughman, Chaucer and even later. The participle seems to have been the longest preserved.
clothe; clad; clad, along with which the regular forms clothed, clothed are used. Anglosaxon clâđjan; clâđôde; clâđôd. OldEnglish clothen, in Gower cloden (Halliwell v. clode); cladde; clad, frequently cled, especially in Northern dialects, compare Scotch claith $=$ cloth and cleed $=$ to clothe, Old-norse klæda. Along therewith the fuller form has ever been in use: worthiliche yclothed (Piers Ploughm. p. 28.).

I cannot shew an Old-English infinitive clathen, which may be presumed. The assimilation of th to $d$ is otherwise not unfamiliar; compare Old-English: kithe; kidde; kid; Anglosaxon cŷđan; cŷđde; cŷđed, cyd, declarare, narrare; for which in Old-English forms with $u$
also occur, as kudde de. Thus even now tod for toothed in popular usage. The shortening of the $a$ is easily to be explained.
have; had; had. Anglosaxon habban, häbban; häfde; häfed. Old-English habben, haven, han; hevede, havede, hadde; haved, had.

This verb has undergone various contractions, a part whereof belongs to the Anglosaxou. It also passed in part from the first into the second weak conjugation.
Anglosaxon present ind. sing, 1. häbbe. 2. hafast, häfst. 3. hafađ̃, häfđ. Plur. 1. 2. 3. habbađ. Conj. sing. 1. 2. 3. häbbe. Plur. 1. 2. 3 häbbân (en).

Pret. ind. sing. 1. häfde 2. häfdest. 3. häfde. Plur. 1. 2. 3 hăfdon. Conj. sing. 1. 2. 3. häfdë. Plur. 1. 2. 3 hafdën (on). Imp sing. hafa. Plur. habbađ. Part. 1. häbbende. 2. häfed, häfd. Inf. habban, hābban

Modern-English pres. ind. sing. 1. have 2. hast. 3. has Plur. 1. 2. 3. have. Conj. sing. 1. 2. 3. have. Plur. 1. 2. 3. have

Pret. ind. sing. 1. had. 2. hadst. 3. had. Plur. 1. 2. 3. had. Conj. sing. 1. 2. 3. had. Plur. 1. 2. 2 had.

Imperat. have. Partic. 1. having. 2. had. Inf. have.
0ld-English offers in the present the indicative form habbe, habbest, habbep, plur. habbep, hap \&c., also han in all persons, and alongside thereof have, havest, haveth. Plur haveth; in the conj. sing habbe, have. Plur. habben, han. In the imperfect hevede, hevedest \&c, heveden are old alongside of havede \&c. and hadde, haddest \&c., hadden. To these forms the others correspond. $H$ is also sometimes cast off in Old-English: aveden (Havelok 164). Anglosaxon also possessed a conjugation contracted with the negation ne: näbbe, nafast \&c., still found in Old-English: nevede (Dame Siriz p. 2.). In Modern-English the stem of have is sometimes partially or wholly thrown out in rapid speech after vowels, as well as in peotry, as in I've, she'd, thou'st, thou'dst and the like But nothing is more familiar than the provincial usage of $a$ for have Compare: She might $a$ been a grandam ore she died (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 5, 2.). The conjunctive forms without est in the second person are already disapproved by modern grammarians; even in the older language the conjunctive is often not distinguished from the indicative: If thou haddest (Skelton I. 145.).
In Modern-English the compound behāve is regular, wherein $\boldsymbol{a}$ is lengthened, preterite and participle hehāved, Anglosaxon behabban, tenere, cingere.
2. A number of verbs, whose stem ends in a single $d$, wholly cast off the suffix in the preterite and perfect participle. The $d$ is always preceded either by a long vowel: $\bar{e} e, \bar{e} a, \hat{\imath}$, or by a short one: ĕ. ĕa, 亢. They are mostly such as cast out a connecting vowel in the preterite in Anglosaxon after a syllable originally long or lengthened by position (with the change of $d j$ into $d d$ ). In English the long vowel become short in the preterite and participle.

With a long vowel:
blēed; blëd, blëd. Anglosaxon blêdan; blêdde; blêded. OldEnglish bleden; bledde; bled.
brēed; brĕd; brëd. Anglosaxon brêdan; brêdde; brêded. OldEnglish breden; bredde; bred.
fêed; fĕd; fĕd. Anglosaxon fêdan; fêdde; fêded. Old-English feden; fedde; fed.
spēed; spěd; spĕd. Anglosaxon spêdan; spêdde: spêded. OldEnglish speden; spedde; sped.
lēad; lëd'; lëd. Anglosaxon lædan; lædde; læded. Old-English leden; ledde, ladde; led, lad.
rēad; rĕad; rëad. Anglosaxon rêdan; rêdde; rêded, legere, even in Anglosaxon confounded with the strong verb rædan, suadere, dare consilium. Old-English reden; redde, radde; red, rad; Robert of Gloucester has the forms radde, rad in the meaning of consilium dare.
hîde; hid; hid. Anglosaxon hŷdan; hŷdde; hŷded. Old-English hiden, huden; hidde, hudde; hid, hud, also hedde, see Halliwell s. $\mathrm{\nabla}$.

This verb has also preserved a strong participle hidden, by false analogy to ride, chide \&c., so that it might be reckoned strong.
be-tîde; be-tid; be-tid. Anglosaxon tîdjan; tîdede (?); tîded, contingere. Old-English tiden; tidde; tid, contingere. The compound was likewise in use in Old-English. The Modern-English regular verb tide \&c. (even in Shakspeare) is derived from the English substantive tide (Anglosaxon tîd, tempus), therefore in fact the same word, since tîdjan comes from tîd. The form betided is also cited as the preterite of betide.
$0^{\prime}$ 'd-English preserved more words of this sort with a (Modern-English) long vowel, as aweden, Anglosaxon avêdan; avêdde; avêded, insanire, compare avedde (Rob. of Gloucester), awede (Halliwell s. v.) ; greden, Anglosaxon grædan; grædde; græded (?), whence gredde, gradde; gred, grad and even the strong form gradden (Anglosaxon græden?) was in use. Also heden, Anglosaxon hểdan; hêdde; hêded, custodire, now heeded, seems to have been often confounded by moderns with hide; the participle hed is still provincial. The participle of enshield is in Shakspeare enshièld, with a long vowel, instead of enshielded, Anglosaxon scildan, scilde; scilded
With a short vowel:
shed; shed; shed. Anglosaxon only as a strong verb sceddan; scôd, sceód; scaden, effundere. In Old-English schedde is found as a preterite in Robert of Gloucester, schedez = pours in Sir Gawayne. It mingles in English with the Anglosaxon scâdan, secádan; sceód; scaden, sceaden, separare, dividere, whence still in the North of England shed, separare, dividere. Old-English sheden; shad; shad.
shred; shred; shred. Anglosaxon screádjan; screádôde; screádôd, praesecare. I find the Old-English participle shrede in the Morte Arthure in Halliwell s. v. All participles of this class often have an inorganic $e$ at the end.
spread; spread; spread. Anglosaxon sprædan; spredde; spræded; Old-English spreden; spredde, spradde; spred, sprad; spredd, spradd, - ysprode (Skelton I. 146. in Rhyme).
stead, be-stead (obsolete); bestead; bestead. Anglosaxon has only the paronymous substantive stede, locus, statio; the Oldnorse a verb stedja, statuere, firmare. In Old-English we find
sted, stad and often bested, bestad, bestadde $=$ situated, circumstanced, by later writers also = distressed, as a participle. Compare the Highdutch bestellt. The infinitive sted $=$ to stop stands in the Towneley Mysteries p. 6.
rid; rid; rid. Anglosaxon hreddan; hredde; hreded, eripere, rapere. Compare Swedish rädda. The older language has red = separate, clear, as in Old-Scotch; English = rid down to the sixteenth century: It did not red my life (Gaclfrido and Barnardo 1570 ), and so still dialectically, for instance, in Lancashire.

Modern-English also offers wed for wedded: In Syracusa was I born; and wed Unto a woman (Shaksp. Com. of Err 1, 1.). In Skelton stands the participle wed 1. 150 alongside of wedded I. 201. - Another remnant appears to be adread, which could not be a compound of the substantive dread The Anglosaxou strong verb andredan, ondræedan; -drèd; -dræeden is in the Old-English: dreden; dredde, dradde; dred, drad; the participle adred, adrad frequently occurs in Old-Scotch and English. The verb has already passed into the weak from dreden; dradde; drad in Robert of Gloucester.
3. The Anglosaxon, after the final hard consonants $p, t, x(h s)$, sometimes also $s$, in the verbal stem, changed the suffix de into $t e$, in some also ed into $t$. Of two like consonants in the verbal stem, as also before the suffix de one, was thrown out; but instead of ct, ht arose, before which also a change of rowel appeared. English early extended further the change of $d$ into $t$, so that now also after $f$ (ve) of the stem, after $s$ generally (in Old-English also after $s h$ ), as well as after $m, n, l, r$, the $t$ instead of $d$ appeared. Many of the verbs belonging here have also the regular inflection, which is stated in the notes. A number of them has passed from the strong into the weak form, of which some have been cited under the last class. We have here to distinguish the final sound in English.
a) Verbs with final labial letters, liquids and $s$ with a long vowel in English, commonly also in the Anglosaxon stem. The vowel is shortened in the preterite and participle.
keep; kept; kept. Anglosaxon cêpan, cŷpan; cêpte; cêped. Old-English kepen; kepte; kept.
weep; wept; wept. Anglosaxon vêpan; veóp; vôpen, strong form. Old-English wepen; wepte. Yet strong forms are also found: sing. wep, plur. wepe (Rob. of Gloucester), particularly in the participle biwope, biwopin, biwopen; see Halliwell s. v. wep in the preterite is still dialectical.
sleep; slept; slept. Anglosaxon slæpau, slâpan; slêp; slæpen, slâpen, strong form. Old-English slepen; sing. slep, plur. slepe. aslopen still in Middleton I. 257. But also alongside thereof the weak form slepte, with slep even in Rob. of Gloucester, as well as with sleep in Piers Ploughman.
creep; crept; crept. Anglosaxon creópan; sing. creáp, plur. crupon; cropen strong form. Old-English crepen; sing. crope, plur. cropen; cropen, alongside of which the weak form crepte is in use.
sweep; swept; swept, leans upon the Anglosaxon strong verb svâpan; sveóp; svapen, verrere, beside which another: svîpan; sing. svâp, plur. svipon; svipen, circumagi, and a weak one: svipjan; svipôde; sripôd, flagellare, stand.

The form sweep seems unknown to Old-English; it has the verb swappen; swapte, also swapped; alongside of swyppen = to move rapidly. Modern-English still possesses the verbs swap, swop with a different shade of meaning.
leap; lept; lept. Anglosaxon hleápan; hleóp; hleapen a strong verb. Old-English lepen; leep, lope; lopen, along with the weak form lepte; lept.

Instead of lept we also write leapt; Smart claims leaped, as in Shakspeare, but with a short vowel. The infinitive lope, loup and the participle loppen also occur dialectically alongside of the strong preterite.
leave; left; left. Anglosaxon lêfan, lŷfan; lêfde; lêfed, permittere. Old-English leven; lefte, lafte; left, laft; also in the same sense as a compound beleven, bileven; belefte, belafte; belaft, also beleved.

The compound believe, from the same stem, Anglosaxon gelêfan, credere, follows the general rule in English and has believed; the Old-English uses the simple leven; leved with the meaning credere.
reave, be-reave; reft; reft. Anglosaxon reáfjan, be-reáfjan; reáfôde; reáfôd. Old-English reven; refte, rafte; reft, raft, also be-reved.

Webster writes bereaved and bereft. The simple form reave is still in use, especially in the form reft: The only living thing he could not hate Was reft at once (L. Byron). Since Time has reft whate'er my soul enjoy'd (id.) and often. In this verb a interchange of $f$ with $h(g h)$ took place: His bemis bryzte Weren me birauzte thorow the cloudy mone (Lvdgate in Halliwell s. v.); as still in Shakspeare: This staff of honour raught (2 Henry VI 2, 3.).
cleave; cleft; cleft. Anglosaxon cleófan; sing. cleáf, plur. clufon; cloven. Old-English cleven; sing. cleef, clef, clafe, plur. cloven; cloven. The verb still has the strong forms clove; cloven. The form clave is obsolete.

The verb belongs to the sixth class of strong verbs; Shakspeare has the strong and the weak forms beside each other. The form cloven is still frequently found, not only as an adjective, as Webster asserts: How many a time have 1 cloven . . The wave all roughen'd (L. Byron). Webster also cites the participial form cleaved; another form clofyd stands in Halliwell.
lose; lost; lost. Anglosaxon leósan; sing. leás, plur. luron; loren. Old-English lesen; sing. (lees?) 2. pers. lore, plur. loren (Rob. of Gloucester); loren, lorn, lore and lost.

In Modern-English the participle lorn in lasslorn, forsaken by one's beloved, and in forlorn, from the Anglosaxon forleósan, has been preserved. The infinitive lese is still found in Jack Jugler p. 9. and a preterite sing. leste, plur. lesten, in the fifteenth century in a manuscript in Halliwell s. v. lesten.
drēam; drěamt; drĕamt. Danish drömme, Lowdutch drömen,
compare Anglosaxon drêman; drêmde; drêmed, jubilare. OldEnglish dremen; dremte; ydremed (Piers Plovghi.); dremels.

Alongside of drĕamt the regular form drēamed is in use, but is, according to Smart, less common. The spelling dremt is still in use in the seventeenth century.
mēan; mëant; mĕant. Anglosaxon mænan; mænde; mæned. Old-English still in the double meaning of to mean and to complain: menen; mente and mened (Piers Ploughm.).
lēan; lĕant; lĕant. Anglosaxon hlinjan; hlinôde; hlinôd? or perhaps hlænan; hlænde; hlæned, for which the meaning tollere is presumed. Old-English lenen, of which I have found no further form in Old-English, which would nevertheless mix with others. May the Old-English lenden $=$ to tarry be the same word?

The regular form leaned for preterite and participle is old; the shortened form seems to be getting gradually out of use. According to Webster it belongs more to conversation than to writing. Smart cites lĕant as frequently used in the preterite.
feel: felt; felt. Anglosaxon fêlan; fêlde; fêled. Old-English felen; felde (Rob. of Gloucester, Weber), plur. feliden (Wicliffe), also felte; yuelde (Rob. of Gloucester).
kneel; knelt; knelt. Compare Anglosaxon cneóvjan, genuflectere, Danish knæle; the Old-French genoiller, genoler might also connect the two forms. Old-English knelen; kneled and knelte (Percy Rel. p. 45. I. and II.).
kneel has also the regular form kneeled, which, according to Webster is the better, according to Smart is obsolescent. Shakspeare uses kneeled.
dēal; dĕalt; dëalt. Anglosaxon dælan; dælde; dæled. OldEnglish delen; delde, delede, delte, dalte; deled (the forms with $d$ especially in Rob. of Gloucester).
deal has also the regular form deealed particularly appropriated to the participle. Smart does not cite it at all.

The o'der language had other verbs of this sort with a vowel originally long, as reap; reapt (compare: Who has not all his corn reapt Blocnt's Glossographie ed. 1681. p. 393.), from the Anglosaxon rîpan, sing. räp, plur. ripon, ripen; whereof the dialects preserve strong forms: rip (Kent); rep (Essex), rop (several dialects); heap; heapt, Anglosaxon heápjan; heápôde! heápôd; steep; steept, compare the Old-norse steypa, fundere, obruere, which seem to be distinguished only graphically, without shortening of the vowel, from reaped \&c., as we even find look, lookt, Anglosaxon lôcjan, written. On the other hand the Old-English demen; dempte; dempt belonged here. Scottish deme; demit, dempt, Anglosaxon dêman; dêmde; dêmed, judicare.
b) Verbs with a short vowel of the stem and a final $p, s, x, n$, $l$, mostly with an original and commonly a preserved double consonant in the stem, formerly frequently changed $d$ into $t$; in modern times few have remained in general use or at least are acknowledged by lexicographers. Some grammarians reject all forms of this sort, which they only permit in every day speaking and writing. All such verbs also have the regular
form in ed. Verbs in ss, $l l$ lose one of the two consonants before $t$.

Among the verbs in $p$ we hardly find another cited than dip, dipt, Anglosaxon dyppan; dypte; dypt. Poets and prosewriters exhibit in abundance verbal forms like dropt, stept, stopt, whipt, tript \&c.

Of those in ss are bless, blest, Anglosaxan blêssjan; blêssôde, blessôd; pass, past, Old-French passer; toss, tost (whether related to the Anglosaxon tæsan, vellere?); yet prest, crost, exprest, deprest, possest \&c. are frequent enough. Verbs ending in rse also have similar forms: curst, nurst in Goldsmith, Byron and others.

Of verbs in $x$ mix, mixt, Anglosaxon miscan, miscte, misced are stated to be still current. We also find fixt, vext and others.
Among those in $n$ we still find pen, pent, compare the Anglosaxon onpinnjan, -ôde, ôd; learn, learnt, Anglosaxon leornjan, -ôde, -ôd, Old-England lernen, and burn, burnt, Anglosaxon brennan, bernan; -de; ed, alongside of beornan, byrnan; sing. bearn (bran), plur. burnon (brunnon); bornen (brunnen), OldEnglish bernen, brennen; barnde, brande, brende; barnd, brenned, brent, burned.

Some verbs in $l l$ are likewise still thus in use: dwell, dwelt, Anglosaxon dveljan, dvellan; dvelede, dvealde; dveled, dveald, errare, but the Old-norse dvelja, morari. smell, smelt, compare Lowdutch smôlen, smellen. spill, spilt, Anglosaxon spillan; spilde; spilled; spell, spelt, Anglosaxon spelljan, -ôde, -ôd.

Verbs with a final $p$ and $s$ are accordingly found most frequently in Modern-English; they attach themselves primarily to Anglosaxon forms. Of the use of $t$ after $s$ the Anglosaxon cyssan; cyste; cyssed, Old-English kissen; kessen; kussen; kiste, kuste; kist \&c.is an instance. The appending of $t$ to $n$ and particularly $l$ is also familiar - to Old-English. Yet $d e$, ed and te, $t$ often interchange with one another; compare: duelled and duelte (Macndev. p. 44.), cleped and clept (Maundey. p. 73.), tilde and tilte (Piers Plovghm.); as also with a final labial: worschiped and worschipte ( p 66 .) - Derivative forms like ravisht, etablisht, husht, for instance in Chaucer, have not been preserved in Modern-English. - After $r$ the old language has likewise sometimes $t$, for instance in the verb garen, garren; garte, facere, Anglosaxon girjan, parare, Old-norse göra, facere.
c) Among the verbs whose stem in Anglosaxon ended in $c$ (also $g$ ), which become $h$ before $t$, a multitude has been preserved in English, now ending in $k, g$, a dental $c h$ and a guttural softened into $y$. Since in Anglosaxon they changed their stem vowel in the preterite and participle into ea or $o$, they have produced the transmutation of it into ou, au in English, which appear before the $g h$ which has arisen from $h$, Old-English also 3 (brozte, wrozte, rozte), cazte. In Old-English $c$ in the infinitive has been mostly changed into ch .
think; thought; thought. Anglosaxon pencëan, pencan; peahte. pohte; peat, poht with $n$ cast out at the same time. Old-Enlish penchen, bipenchen (Rob. of Gloucester), thynken; thoghte,
thoughte; thoght, thought. Its forms have partly coincided with those of the following verb.
methinks; methought. Anglosaxon pyncëan, pyncan; me pynced (pync才); me pûhte (puhte); pûht (puht). Old-English me thinketh; me thoughte.
bring; brought; brought. Anglosaxon bringan; brohte; broht here also $n$ has been thrown out. Old-English bringen, broghte, broughte; broght, brought.
work; wrought; wrought. Anglosaxon vyrcan, vyrcëan; vorhte; gevorht, but also metathetically vrohte; gevroht. Old-English werken, werchen; wroghte, wroughte; wroght, wrought.

This verb has also in Modern-English the regular English form of preterite and participle worked.
seek; sought; sought. Anglosaxon sêcean, sêcan, soecan; sôhte; sôht. Old-English seken, sechen; soughte; sought,
beseech, is a compound of seek; besought; besought. Anglosaxon bisêcan, adire, but has assumed in the infinitive and present the second of the Old-English forms cited; Old-English biseken, bisechen, besechen; bisoughte; bisought.

For beseech the form beseek in Shakspeare 2 Herry VI. 2, 4. It is still in use in the North of England We also find the regular Modern-English preterite beseeched (Shaksp. Haml. 3, 1.). Even the Anglosaxon knows the participle geondsêced alongside of -sôht.
reach; raught; raught. Anglosaxon ræcan; ræhte; ræht, extendere, porrigere and recjan, reccan; reahte, rehte; reaht, reht, extendere, numerare, interchanged with one another even in Anglosaxon. Old-English rechen; raughte; raught and yreight.

This verb has also passed into the regular form of the preterite and participle reached; in Shakspeare raught and reached stand alongside of each other, the former whereof is now obsolete We must moreover distinguish the verb from the Old-English recchen; roghte, rouglte; rought, Anglosaxon rêcan; rôhte; rôht, curare, which lives on as reck in Modern-English.
teach; taught; taught. Anglosaxon tæcan; tæhte; tæht. OldEnglish techen; taughte, taghte (Piers Ploughm.); taught.
catch; caught; caught. From the Old-norse kâka = attrectare? Old-English cacchen; caughte; caught; also with ou: becought (Beves of Hamtoun p. 37.).

The preterite and participle also have the form catched, which is in use even in the sixteenth century: Fansy hath cachyd in a flye net This noble man (Skeltox I. 238.). None are so surely caught, when they are catcl'd (Sharsp. Love's L L. 4, 2.). The verb must be of Germanic origin; in Rob. of Gloucester stands the form ca3te. buy; bought; bought. Anglosaxon bycgan; bohte; boht. OldEnglish biggen, buggen, byen; boughte; bought.

A compound of buy is aby, abie, occuring in Spenser and Shakspeare, properly, to pay, which is erroneously made to spring from abide. It is familiar to Old-English: abiggen, abuggen, abien; aboughte; abought, Anglosaxon âbycgan, redimere.

Old-English has a number of similarly conjugated verbs, single forms whereof have, been preserved in Modern-English. Here belong:
strecchen; straughte; straught, also streight, to stretch; Old-Scotch strecche, streik; straucht; straucht, Anglosaxon streccan; strehte; streht, perhaps also streahte; streaht. Therewith is found outstraught $=$ stretched out. The verb is mixed with the Latin form; hence perhaps forstraught and bestraught $=\mathrm{mad}$, distracted, whence in the same meaning bestraught in Shakspeare, as well as distraught, which is still met with among moderns. Compare also: I am straught = distracted; je suis enragé (Palsgrave 1530). The Modern-English stretch follows the general rule.
smecchen; smaughte (Piers Plocghman p. 98.). Modern-English smack; Anglosaxon smacjan, -ôde, -ôd, or smeccan, smecte, smeced, is falsely assimilated to similar forms
awecchen; awalite (Halliwell s. v.), aweightte (Kynk Alisacnder 5858 ), to wake. Anglosaxon âveccan; âveahte, âvehte; âveaht, âveht. The Modern-English weak awaked belongs to an other Anglosaxon weak form: âvacjan, -ôde, -ôd.
fecchen, only in Western dialects faught, else Old-English fette; fet, to fetch, Anglosaxon feccan; fehte; feht? feahte; feaht? Shakspeare still has the participle fet The casting out of the $c(\mathrm{~h})$ occurring here is in use in other cases also in Old-English. A primitive $c$ and $g$ namely are frequently cast out after $n$ before $t$; hence blenchen; blente; blent, Modern-English blench; Middle-Highdutch blenken, to move hither and thither; drenchen, drente, dreynte; drent, dreynt, Modern-English drench, Anglosaxon drencan; drencte; drenced; quenchen; quente, queinte; queint, Modern-English quench, Ang'osaxon cvencan; cvencte; cvenced, extinguere. - mengen; mente, meinte; ment, meint, compare Modern-English mingle, Anglosaxon mengan; mengde; menged, still in use in the North of England; sprengen, besprengen; sprente, spreinte (also sprengde); sprent, spreint, compare Modern-English sprinkle, Anglosaxon sprengan, sprencan; sprengde, sprencte; sprenged, sprenced. This verb also occurs with the meaning leap, mixed with the strong verb springan. Compare Halliwell v. sprent.
lacchen, lakken; laughte, laught, to catch, seize, Modern-English latch, which is often mistaken. Anglosaxon leccan, läccan; lehte, lähte; leht, läht, prehendere, also læcan; læhte; læht, arripere, lædere, related to lack = to want.
suacchen, snacken (compare Halliwell v. snack); snaughte; snaught compare Halliwell v. snaught), Modern-English snatch, used in fhe fifteenth century, and still as snack in the North of England, related to the Highdutch schnappen, and to the Anglosaxon nebb with the passage of the labial into the guttural. Compare Old-norse snackr = snap, parcior pastio, belonging to snapa, captare escam; and the Lowdutch snacken and snabbeln, to prate.
picchen, piken; pizte, pighte; ypizt (Rob. of Gloccester), to throw, Modern-English pitch, compare Anglosaxon pyccan; pycte; pyced, pungere. Pight still belongs to Modern-English, but is obsolete; Shakspeare has pight along with pitched.
siken, sighte, Modern-English sigh and sike still dialectically, for example, in Derbyshire. Anglosaxon sîcan; sing. sâc, plur. sicon; sicen a strong verb; sicettan occurs as a weak verb in Anglosaxon.
shrichen, sliriken; shrighte Modern-English shriek, Old-norse shrikja, minurire of birds, compare Anglosaxon scric, turdus. The preterite shright was still in use in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries (Turbeville's 0 vid 1567, f. 60 ). The verb shrike is quoted by Palsgrave.
d) Verbal stems ending in $d$, preceded by an $n, l$, rarely $r$, have in English often cast off the $d$ of the stem, and have assumed $t$ as an inflective letter in the preterite and participle; even in Anglosaxon verbs in $n d, l d, r d$ lost the $d$ of the stem in the preterite before the suffix $d e$. The change of $d e$ into te and the transfer of $t$ to the participle instead of ed is very old in English, yet the forms in de, ed (d) frequently interchange with those in te, $t$; in the latter case $e$ is often thrown off in the preterite, as it is added in the participle. Rob. of Gloucester frequently has forms like senden; sende; send \&c. We chiefly give the Old-English with $t$. Modern-English, along with the preserved $t$, has frequently the regular inflective forms in ed.
lend; lent; lent. Anglosaxon lænan; lænde; læned. Old-English lenen; lente (lened); lent.

In this verb, which does not belong to those originally ending in $n d, d$ has, from a false analogy, been transferred to the stem. According to Halliwell len $=$ to lend is still in use.
rend; rent; rent. Anglosaxon hrendan; hrende; hrended, also rendan \&c. Old-English renden; rente; rent.
bend; bent; bent. Anglosaxon bendan; bende; bended. OldEnglish benden; bente (bende); bent.

The preterite and participle also have the form bended, not cited by Smart, Shakspeare has bent alongside of bended; Maundeville uses bended for bound p 276. (from the Anglosaxon bindan); in Old-Scotch bend stands for the Old-French bondir
wend, properly to turn; went; - Anglosaxon vendau; vende; vended. Old-English wenden; wende, wente, wended, went.

The preterite went is used in Modern-English for the defective preterite from to go Old-English also yede; see Irregular verbs. The verb, now obsolete, but still occurring in poetry, as wended.
send; sent; sent. Anglosaxon sendan; sende; sended. OldEnglish senden; sente; sent.
spend; spent; spent. Anglosaxon spendan; spende; spended. Old-English spenden; spente; spent.
shend; shent; shent. Anglosaxon scendan; scende; scended. Old-English shenden; shente; shent.

Of other verbs in nd inflective forms of this sort hardly occur any more. The verb blend, Anglosaxon blendan; blende; blended, oldEnglish blenden; blente; blent, often has the participle blent, as in Shakspeare. The verb hend with the preterite and participle hent, to take, seize, in Spenser, Shakspeare and Fairfax, seems to be a false formation. The Anglosaxon fundamental form is hentan; hente; hented, Old-English henten; hente; hent, although an Oldnorse form henda certainly stands alongside of it.
The following verbs in $l d$ and $r d$ also have all the common inflection ed.
build; built; built, compare the Old-Highdutch biladôn, OldEnglish beelden, belden, bilden; bilte \&c.; bilt also to protect.

Further beild, bield in modern dialects still means, to shelter, as it were, to take under cover.
builded is rarely met with.
gild; gilt; gilt. Anglosaxon gyldan; gyldede, gylded, deaurare. Old-English gilden; gilte; gilt.

According to Smart gilded is the more usual form. Palsgrave cites gylted for gilt; gilted stands also in Baruch VI. 7. forgulten (Harrowing of Hell p. 25.) is the strong participle from the Anglosaxon gildan retribuere (Anglosaxon golden).
geld; gelt ; gelt. Old-norse gîlda. Danish gilde, castrare. OldEnglish gelden; gelte; gelt.
gird; girt; girt. Anglosaxon gyrdan; gyrde; gyrded. OldEnglish girden; girte; girt, ygurd (Rob. of Gloucester).

The meaning to strike (gyrd of in the Towneley Myst.), in Shakspeare to goad, which belongs to this word (in Spenser metathetically gride, gryde) especially in Old-English, seems to point to another stem; compare the Anglosaxon gyrd-vîte, virgae poena.
e) A considerable number of verbs with a final $t$ in the stem have in Modern-English thrown off all inflection in the preterite and participle, so that now the infinitive, present, preterite and perfect participle are alike. They rest upon the Anglosaxon forms, in which the suffix of the preterit de after a $t$ of the stem was likewise changed into te, when two $t$ stood beside each other, the one of which, however, often was cast out with a preceding third consonant; compare the Anglosaxon grêtan, grêtte; cnyttan, cnytte; hentan, hente; blæstan, blæste. The participial form took ed, but was early assimilated, even in OldEnglish, to the preterite, with regard to $t$ instead of $d$, to which the Anglosaxon gave support by contractions, as sett instead of seted \&c. The verbs belonging here mostly have a short vowel in the stem; the few with a long vowel usually shorten it in the preterite and participle. Old-English distinguishes the inflective forms of the preterite and of the participle by te and $t$. In Modern-English many have the suffixes ed, ed alongside of the verbal form, which has suffered apocope; others have wholly abandoned the latter. But some strong and Romance verbs have come over to these.
slit; Anglosaxon strong verb slîtan; sing. slât, plur. sliton; sliten, findere; beside it is found a weak verbal form: slætan; slætte; slætted, scindere, Old-English slytte, infinitive in Chaucer 11572.; we often meet the strong participle slitten.

The inflective form slitted occurs, but is little used.
spit; Anglosaxon spittan; spitte; spitted. Old-English spitten; spitte; spit, speat.

This verb was apparently early treated as a strong verb: preterite spat, participle spitten. Both are still in use, but seldom; Wycliffe has bespat. Perhaps a mixture with spâtan; spâtte; spâted lies at the bottom.
split; Dänish splitte, Hollandish splyten. In Old-English splitten has not crossed me; splatt stands as an infinitive (Sir Eglamour of Artois 490.).

Splitted rarely occurs; Smart does not cite the form at all.
knit; Anglosaxon cnyttan; cnytte; cnyted (or cnytan, com-
pare Old-norse knŷta, Danish knytte). Old-English knitten; knitte; knit, knet - knyt (Skelton I. 144.).
knitted is likewise in use in the preterite and participle
quit; Old-French quiter, cuitier. Old-English quiten, quyten; quitte; quit.

The form quittecd is now the more common. Formerly acquit was used without a suffix (Shakspeare Merry Wiv. 1, 3. Rich III. 5, 4.). In Shakspeare there is also requit: Which hath requit it (Temp. 3, 3.), which without reason is assigned, not to the verb requite, but to requit, both in point of fact going back to the same Old-English form.
hit. Old=norse hitta. Danish hitte. Old-English hitten; hitte; hit.
let. Anglosaxon a strong verb: lætan; leót, lêt; læten. Oldnorse lâta. In Old-English it still follows the strong conjugation: laten, leten; sing. leet, plur. leten (Piers Ploughm.); leten, letten. Yet the weak form of the preterite is also found lette.

In the weak Old-English form this verb partly coincides with the quite different let, Anglosaxon letjan, lettan, tardare, which however is commonly letten; letted, letted in Old-English, but also occurs with the preterite lette, Anglosaxon lettede and lette.
wet. Anglosaxon vætan; rætte; væted. Old-English weten; wette; wet.

The preterite and participle are also still wetted.
whet. Anglosaxon hvettan; hvette; hvetted. Old-English whetten; whette; whet.

Preterite and participle whetted are now preferred; Smart no longer cites whet for these.
set. Anglosaxon settan; sette; seted and sett. Old-English setten; sette; sett, set.

The participle setten, seten is erroneously given to the Old-English verb, since that belongs to the strong verb sitten.
sweat; the preterite and participle is also spelt swet. Anglosaxon svætan; svætte; svæted. Old-English sweten; swette, swatte, swotte; swet (swete).

Preterite and participle also have the form sweated. The forms with an obscure vowel are still found in Modern-English, swate in Thomson, swat in the popular dialects of England and Scotland. They seem to have been the occasion of the formation of a strong participle, which is represented as sweaten in Shakspeare Macb. 4, 1. put. Danish putte, to stand still, compare Cymric pwtian = to poke. Old-English putten, puten; putte; put.

Old-Scotch also has a strong participle putten (Percy Rel. p. 30. II.). Compare Dial. of Craven II. p. 62.
shut. Anglosaxon scyttan; scytte; scytted, obserare. OldEnglish shetten; shette; shet (shette).
cut. Old-norse kuta, cultellis (kuti) pungere, compare ModernHighdutch küterei. Old-English kuten (kutten, kyten?); kitte (Piers Ploughm. and Chaccer); kut, cut.

In Old-English forms in ed are sometimes found; Preterite kottede Lydgate in Halliwell, who quotes cutted as a form, as it seems, still familiar. In Northern dialects there is the strong participle cutten. hent, to take, see p. 348. The verb is obsolete.
wont; belongs to the Anglosaxon vunjan, -ôde, -ôd, manere, habitare, whence the Old-English wonen, wonnen; wonede; woned, wont; still in Milton: He wons.

Wont as an infinitive, has proceeded from the substantive participle wont, which now is wonted; in the sixteenth century we find the preterite wonted (Jocasta 1566. p. 14\%. in Four Old Plays Cambr. 1848.). The participle wonted, stands also, amongst others, in Shakspeare for accustomed, as also wont and woned (Maundev. p. 105. Piers Plocginm. p. 306.), ywoned (Rob of Gloccester II. 377.). The old verb is still in use in the north of England in the form wun, wunne.
hurt. Old-French hurter. Cymric hyrddio, hyrddu, hyrthu $=$ to push. Old-English hurten; hurte; hurt. Compare also hurtelen. Modern-English hurtle.
lift, in Highdutch liften instead of lichten, with interchange of the guttural and labial; Old-norse lypta, Swedish lyfta, Danish löfte, on the other hand Anglosaxon lîhtan; lîhte; lîhted, levare; whence the English light, to step down. But compare also the Anglosaxon lyft, aer; lyften, excelsus, Old-norse lopt.

In Old-English, as in nany dialects lift is used in the sense of to aid, assist. The modern language has lifted in the preterite and participle. In Shakspeare there stands the preterite lift, alongside of lifted.
cast, Old-norse kasta, Danish kaste. Old-English casten; caste; cast.

The participle casted stands alongside of cast in Shakspeare; the northern dialects have a strong participle, as in Scotch: By the divills means, can never the divill be casten out (King James Daemonologie); popular cassen, costen in Langtoft p. 106.
cost. Old-French coster (constare). Old-English costen; costed; costed.

The participle costned (costened) in Piers Ploughman p. 13. points to a verb costenen, resting perhaps upon interchange. The verb coste $=$ to tempt and the substantive costning $=$ temptation in Verstegan points on the other hand to the Anglosaxon costjan, costnjan, tentare; costnung, tentatio.
thrust. Anglosaxon prîstjan, -ôde, -ôd, audere. Old-norse prîsta, cogere, urgere, trudere. Old-English thresten; thraste; northern dialects have the strong participle throssen.
burst. Anglosaxon strong verb bërstan; sing. bearst, plur. burston; borsten. Old-English bersten, frequently bresten. Scottish brist; sing. berst, barst, brast (brost); plur. brosten, borsten; brosten, borsen (Chest. Plays II. 123.); in Northern dialects still brosten, brussen, bursen.

The verb has completely passed from the strong into the weak conjugation Modern-English still knows the participle bursten, but which is now almost wholly obsolete. Moderns have even formed
the preterite and participle bursted See Wagner's Gr from Herrig p. 163 .

There are but few verbs to be cited which have preserved a long vowel in the fundamental form, but to these some strong verbs which have passed over are to be reckoned.
meet; met; met. Anglosaxon mêtan; mêtte; mêted. Old-Enlish meten; mette; mett, met.
fleet, has an obsolete participle flet. Anglosaxon fleótan; sing. fleát, plur. fluton; floten, compare flêt, flos lactis; Old-English, as a strong verb fleten; flette; flett, Old-norse fleyta, supernatantem liquorem demere. Old-Scottish fleit $=$ to float, to flow, to abound.
shoot; shot; shot. Anglosaxon strong verb sceńtan; sing. sceát, plur. scuton; scoten, beside which a weak verb scotjan, -ôde, -ôd, jaculari occurs, which partly explains the weak forms. OldEnglish scheten; sing. schet (but also schette), plur. shete; schoten (yssote) (Rob. of Gloccester), the preterite plur. also shotten (Piers Ploughm.). Beside sheten there occurs in OldEnglish shoten.

The strong participle shotten is in modern times, obsolete, except used as an adjective, and is not found of the compounds overshoot, outshoot.
light (compare alight); lit; lit for which light is also found, is now inflected regularly. Anglosaxon lîhtan; lîhte; lîhted, levare, alîhtan, desilire (ab equo); Old-English lighten; lighte; light, beside which liten, lyten; lit; lit (also lizth in Halliwell s. v.) $=$ to light on, to fall on occurs. The infinitive lite is still in use dialectically. The participle lit in Shakspeare: You are lit into my hands (Pericl. 4. 3.).
light, likewise formerly offered the forms lit; lit. Anglosaxon lŷhtan; lŷhte; lŷhted. They are obsolete.

There occur a few more verbs in ight, which must be assigned to this class, but retain the long vowel in the preterite and participle.
hight, intransitive, hight; hight obsolete, but still in use in poets; Anglosaxon strong verb hâtan; hêht, hêt; hâten, vocare (the English present and participle have arisen from the old preterite). Danish hedde, Swedish heta. In Old-English transitive and intransitive: haten, hoten, heten; highte, hatte (Rob. of Gloucester), hate, also heet, het; hoten, hot, in Scotland preterite and participle also hecht. Of the compound with be, cited as obsolete in the forms behight; behot; behight, there occur in Old-English behighte, behote, behett; behighten, behoten. Anglosaxon behâtan, vovere.

Examples of hight are: This grisly beast, which lion light by name
(Shakspeare Mids. N. Dr. 5, 1.). Father he light and he was in
the parish (Longfellow). Childe Harold was he hight (L. Brrow).
dight and bedight; - dight; - dight; still occurs in ModernEnglish, particularly in the participle. Anglosaxon dihtan; dihte; dihted, disponere. Old-English dighten; dighte, dizte; dight.

Examples in Modern-English: The clouds in thousand liveries dight (Miltos). Storied windows richly dight (id.) Three modest maidens have me bedight (Longrellow). The Old-English plighten; plighte; plight, Modern-English plight = to pledge, Anglosaxon plihtan; plihte; plihted, pliht - is now conjugated regularly: plighted.
English dictionaries give to the verb freight, the preterite freighted and the participles freighted and fraught, Danish fragte; compare the Old-Highdutch freht. In fact two forms run parallel to each other here; that in $a u$, which seems the older, and that in ei, which seems to be the younger. Both meet each other in the contracted participle fret (from fraghted), Old-English fraughten (fraghten); fraughte; fraught, fret.

Examples: These marchants have don fraught here schippes (Chaucer 4591.). Ne jewell fret full of rich stones (Chaccer Legend of Good women 1115.). Fraghted with pleasure (Skeltos I. 32.). All with fauour fret (p. 8 ".) Another verb has been erroneously sought in fret in this connection (see the strong verb eat); compare also the form in ai: Oedipus, fraight ful of chilling feare (Jocasta p. 13․ T The verbal form in au in Shakspeare (who has also the participle fraught). The good ship . . and The fraughting souls within her (Temp. 1. 2.) is wrongly assailed.
In the adjective tight, dialectical taught, tought, which seems to have naught to do with the Highdutch dicht, although the Swedish tät, tätt agrees with it in meaning, is primarily a participle, to be sought in the Anglosaxon tyhtan; tyhte; tyhted, tyht, trahere (compare ontyhtan, excitare, impellere), which may mix with the allied: tŷgan; tŷgde; tŷged, vincire; English tie.

Old-English had a considerable number of justifiable forms in $t$," which have been lost in Modern-English, as grette; gret (greeted, Anglosaxon grêtan; hette; het (heated, whence in Shakspeare and Ben Jonson the participle hĕat), Anglosaxon hætan; liste, leste, luste, Anglosaxon lystan; laste (lasted), Anglos. (ge)læstan; truste (trusted), Anglosaxon treóvsjan, or rather Old-norse traust, fiducia; reste; rest (rested), Anglosaxon restan; stente; stent and stenten (stinted), Anglosaxon stintan; sing. stant, plur. stanton; stanten; beside it the weak verb ätstentan, retundere; grunte (grunted), compare the Anglosaxon grunjan; sterte, starte, sturte; stert (started), Danish styrte, Swedish störta: Up she stert (preter.) still in Skelton I. 111.; swelte; swelt (= swooned), Anglosaxon sveltan, strong verb, sing. svealt, plur. svulton; svolten, mori, and many more.

## The Strong Conjugation.

The verbs of the English strong conjugation rest upon Anglosaxon verbs of this conjugation, some whereof are indeed no longer to be pointed out in Anglosaxon, but may be inferred from cognate tongues. Hardly a weak verb is inflected weakly in the written language, and it is probable that strong forms lie originally at the foundation of all verbs universally strong, although the transformation of weak into strong forms is not uncommon in popular dialects, and a few, as is clear from some instances cited above, have also penetrated into the written tongue.

Romance verbs have hardly ever been universally inflected strongly, although such inflection is not wholly wanting. For instance proven is thus inflected in Robert of Gloucester: pe child wex \& wel prof (I. 11.); added to which Scottish authors offer the participle proven. Anglosaxon certainly had in legal language prôfjan, -ôde, -ôd. In Modern-Euglish strive seems to belong here. See below.

The number of Anglosaxon strong verbs has been already lessened in Old-English by the passing over into the weak form; in Modern-English it has been further reduced partly by complete abandonment, partly by the adoption of the weak form. But where the simple verb has preserved the strong form, it also mostly follows it in composition. An exception is formed in Modern-English by fret, which belongs to the Anglosaxon ëtan (to eat). See eat.

A few strong verbs have in Modern-English formed weak forms beside the strong ones, which supplant the latter wholly or in part. The perfect participle has been preserved the most firmly, which also the oftenest invades weak forms. The transmutation of the vowels of the infinitive in the second and third person present of the singular, as in ëte, itst, it; hâte, hcetst, hoeteJ \&c., seems to have been early wholly lost in English.

As regards the vocalization of the strong verbs the infinitive and the forms of the present preserve regularly the original vowel in the form belonging to them in their transfer into English.

In Modern-English however, those verbal forms have here and there made the vowel of the preterite the standard, as run, Anglosaxon rinnan; a similar obscuration through the subsequent preterite has also been suffered by choose, Anglosaxon ceósan, and loose, which has become weak, Anglosaxon leósan, and burst, Anglosaxon bërstan, and others.' Old-English preserved for a long time the vowels corresponding to the Anglosaxon.

Old-English still preserved in the preterite the primary distinction of the vocalization of the singular and of the plural, so far as it was expressed in the Anglosaxon fundamental forms. But the passing over of $a$, especially before nasals, and of $u$, into o soon explains the interchange of the vowels of the singular and of the plural in many preterites whose numbers are now particularly distinguished by the termination. The termination en, subsequently $e$, long renders the plural perceptible, till this sound also is cast off, which, on the other side, where it stands in the plural, also passes into the singular. Even in Old-English commences the general confusion of all vowels of the singular and plural, the beginning of which however is not always to be pointed out with certainty, later copyists having often forced the subsequent verbal forms upon older authors. In Modern-English sometimes the original vowel of the plural, sometimes that of the singular falls to the lot of the preterite. Both often are in use, but not with equal justification, alongside of each other, in most modern authors, where it often befalls the genuine singular form to be banished from literary usage and to be still sheltered only by the bolder poetry.

The participle of the perfect, even in Old-English, like the infinitive and other verbal forms with the suffix en, lost its $n$, whereby
it was assimilated to other forms of the verb in the plural, especially to the preterite. But the agreement with the preterite was often complete where the $e$ was abandoned in the participle as well as in the plural and in the second person singular of the preterite. The participle was then perceivable, particularly in the prefixed $y, i(=g e)$. When this also was thrown off, a complete similarity of form in the preterite and the participle appeared. A transfer of participial forms to the preterite was thereby sometimes rendered possible, which seems to occur in the Old-English underfong (Anglosaxon fêng; fangen); on the other hand the employment of the preterite as a participial form was supported (compare trod; trod, Anglosaxon träd; trëden and many more); an interchange which has made advances even in Modern-English, but is at present often censured by grammarians. See Murray p. 185. A few cases are touched on below.

It is also to be observed that even another $e$ is frequently added to the suffix of the participle, so that we often meet forms like sponene (sponen $=$ spun), drefene ( $=$ driven), sprongene ( $=$ sprung) not in the plural alone. They are particularly frequent where the $e$ of the suffix is elided before $n$, for instance, in borne, stolne, shorne, sworne, seene (= seen), drayne (= drawn). The forms without $n$ which have suffered apocope are indeed as frequent.

Some strong verbs have passed from one into another strong form, as will be discussed in the proper place.

Anglosaxon has distinguished essentially eight forms of conjugation of strong verbs (inclusive of the so-called reduplicative conjugations). All these forms are still represented in English, yet the first preponderates in number, whereas the only Modern-English verb of the last class (hang) has preserved its vowel in the present only.

In the representation of Modern-English strong verbs by their classes, we have regard not so much to the Modern-English vocalization as to the Anglosaxon and Old-English. The Old-English forms are displayed in their oldest shape, when of course the forms curtailed in their suffixes are not denied to the Old-English and the interchange of vowels in older times is not denied. That they early ran parallel with the former has been stated already. The forms now universally taken to be obsolete are marked with *.

First Class. The first Anglosaxon class of strong verbs offers in the present (and infinitive) the vowel $i(e o, e \ddot{e}$; in the preterite sing. $a$ (ea), plur. $u$; in the perfect participle $u(o)$. To these answer in Old-English: pres. $i(e)$; pret. sing. $a$ (o), plur. o (ou), part. perf. o (ou); in Modern-English: pres. i, e, pret. sing. and plur. $a, u$, ou, rarely $o$, part. perf. $u$, ou.

The verbal stems of this class end originally in a one reduplicated or two consonants.

1. swim, to swim; swam, swum; swum. Anglosaxon swimman; sing. swam, plur. swummon; swummen. Old-English swimmen; sing. swam, plur. swommen; swommen.

The preterite swom, from the Old-English swommen, is quite obsolete. It is to be observed that in the seventeenth century the forms in $u$ were equally in use in the preterite and participle, as swum, spun, begun, run, rung, wrung, flung, sung, stung, drunk, stunk, sunk,
shrunk \&c., all of which no longer pass for both; yet the forms ran, began, rang, sang, sprang dic. were even then not unknown as preterites.
2. win; won; won. Anglosaxon vinnan; sing. vann, plur. vunnon; vunnen. Old-English winnen; sing. wan, plur. wonnen; wonnen, ywonne even in Rob. of Gloucester.
3. spin; "span, spun; spun. Anglosaxon spinnan; sing. span, plur. spunnon; spunnen. Old-English spinnen; sing. span, plur. sponnen; sponnen, sponene (Halliwell s. v.).
4. be-gin; -gan; -gun. Anglosaxon be-ginnan; sing. -gann, plur. -gunnon; -gunnen. Old-English be-ginnen; sing. -gan. plur. -gonnen; conjugative sing. -gonne (Rob. of Gloucester); -gonnen.

The preterite he begon is in Dame Siriz p. 3 The simple verb ginnen (gin), which is cited as obsolete in Modern-English, is found, strange to say, spelt 'gin in modern prints, as if the first syllable had been cast off, although the simple verb says exactly the same as that compounded with be: Their great guilt . . Now 'gins to bite the spirits (Shakspeare Temp.). The loud Ethereal trumpet from on high 'gan blow (Milton). Even Anglosaxon ginnan and beginnan, incipere, stand beside each other.
5. run; ran; run. Anglosaxon rinnan; sing. rann, plur. runnon; runnen more usual in the form irnan; sing. arn, plur. urnon, urnen, compare brinnan and birnan; brëstan and bërstan; hence the OldEnglish rinnen (Rirson's Romanc. and Old-Scotch; rin in Skelton I. 420 \&c.), often rennen, perhaps through coincidence with the weak Anglosaxon verb of like meaning rennan; sing. rau, plur. ronnen (also roune and roon); ronnen; and alongside thereof y-ernen (Piers Plovgim. 306., compare ernynge = running, ib. p. 418.); sing. y-arn (205.), also orn (Halliwell s. v.), plur. orn (Rob, of Gloucester I. 34.) and ourne (II. 405.); ornen.

Beside ran there early stands in the preterite ron as orn. The present and the infinitive have assumed the obscure vowel of the preterite, as burst (Anglosaxon bërstan) and burn (Anglosaxon birnan), which belonged to the same strong form of conjugation.
6. climb; * clomb; * clomb; the verb is now commonly inflected weakly: climbed; climbed. Anglosaxon climban; sing. clamb, plur. clumbon; clumben. Old-English climben; sing. clamb, plur. clomben; clomben, frequently with $b$ cast off (even in Rob. of Gloccester), as in Old-Scotch: climb; clam; clum.
climme, preter. clome in Drayton ( $\dagger$ 1631.), clum instead of climbed northern dialects.
7. ring; rang, rung; rung. Anglosaxon hringan, uncertain, whether a strong or a weak verb: sing. hrang, plur. hrungon; hrungen or hringde; hringed. Old-norse hringja, campanam pulsare. Old-Engl. ringen; sing, rang, plur. rongen; rongen, rongene (Halliw. s. v.).
8. Jling; flung; flung, is wanting in Anglosaxon. Old-norse flengja, verberare, Swedish fänga; Old-English flingen; sing. flang, plur. flongen; flongen; Scottish fling; flang; flung.
9. wring; wrung; wrung. Anglosaxon vringan; sing. vrang, plur. vrungon; vrungen. Old-English wringen; sing. wrang (wrong Piers Ploughm.), plur. wrongen; wrongen.

Webster cites also wringed as preterite and participle, although little
used. The later Old-English has also wrange; part. wrounge (Skelton I. 52. 41.); wrang is still in use in dialects.
10. ding; *dung; *dung now commonly dinged; dinged. Ir Anglosaxon the weak verb dencgan; dengde is in use, for which we may suppose the strong dingan; sing. dang, plur. dungon; dungen. OldEnglish dyngen; sing. dang, plur. dongen; dongen. Old-Scotch ding; dang; dung (dungen); dungen is still dialectical, for instance in Lancashire.

The weak dinged is already old See D Lindsay ed. Chalmers 3. p. 319.
11. sing; sang, sung; sung. Anglosaxon singan; sing. sang, plur. sungon; sungen. Old-English singen; sing. sang (song Piers Ploughman), plur. songen; songen.
sange and song stand beside each other in Skelton: I 39. 373.-153. According to Smart sang is less in use; Webster makes it equal to sung. It is frequently to be met with in poets. The preterite onke in Gower is remarkable (see Halinwell s. v.). But compare Anglosaxon sang and sanc, cantus
12. sling; *slang, slung; slung. Anglosaxon slingau; sing. slang, plur. slungon; slungen. Old-English slingen; sing. slang, plur. slongen; slongen, slongene (Halliweli s. v), forslongen $=$ swallowed up (Reynard the Foxe p. 10.).
13. swing; *swang, swung; swung. Anglosaxon svingan; sing. svang, plur. svungon; svungen. Old-English swingen; sing. swang, plur. swongen; swongen (swongene, swangene as a plural in Halliwell. s. vv.).
14. spring; sprang, sprung; sprung. Anglosaxon springan (sprincan); sing. sprang, plur. sprungon; sprungen. Old-English springen; sing. sprang, plur. sprongen; sprongen (even Rob. of Gloucester also sprong), asprongun (Digby Myster. p. 118.), sprongene (HalLIWELL S. v.).

Skelton has sprange and sprong equally beside each other, as Shakspeare sprang and sprung. According to modern lexicographers sprang is growing obsolete; yet compare: Goethe, like Schiller, sprang from the people (Lewes).
15. sting; *stang, stung; stung. Anglosaxou stingan; sing. stang, plur. stungon; stungen. Old-English stingen; sing. stang, plur. stougen; stongen.

Skelton has stang as preterite plur.: Scorpions that stang Pharaotis (I. 134.) and stonge: Behold my body, how Jewes it stonge (1. 144.), as a participle stonge, stounge (I. 79. 41.). Stang is dialectical as an infinitive in Craven and Lincolnshire.
16. string; strung; strung. Anglosaxon strengan, extendere, and strangjan, vigere, are weak verbs, for which we may suppose the strong verb stringan; sing. strang, plur. strungon; strungen. Compare the Anglosaxon string, funis; strang, robustus; stryngë, athleta.

I cannot point out any Old-English inflective forms, whether merely from inattention, I know not. Shakspeare has several times strung in the sense of musical instruments being furnished with strings, for which stringed is now common. Wallis does not cite the verb at all.
17. cling; clung; clung. Anglosaxon is clingan; sing. clang, plur. clungon; clungen, in use only in the meanings clangere and marcescere. Old-English seems to know clyngen (Piers Plocgim. and Rel. Antiq. II. 210.), clongyn (Halliwell s. v.) only in the latter meaning. Shakspeare has cling in the sense of to dry up Macb. 5, 5., else cling; clung as to cleave; like clung, dried up in Hollyband 1593. Dialects have the verb also in the meaning to smear; Danish klynge to heap, also to cleave. Thus the verb clunge appears in the dialects of the South of England for to crowd, to squeeze. Clung is also cited by lexicographers of the present and of former times as the infinitive and present for cling.
18. drink; drank, *drunk; drunken, drunk, drank. Anglosaxon drincan; sing. dranc, plur. druncon; druncen. Old-English drinken, sing. drank (even Rob. of Gloucester dronc), plur. dronken; dronken, - fordronken $=$ very drunken.

The forms of the preterite drank and drunk stand beside each other in Shakspeare, as Wallis also cites both. The participial form drunken has been preserved, especially in the meaning inebriated; drank has penetrated from the preterite into the participle: Thrice have I drank of it (L. Brron); drunk readily assumes the meaning of drunken: I am as drunk as any beast (Longfellow). Skelton still has: I dranke (I. 33.). They haue dronke (100.).
19. sink; sank; sunk; sunk, sunken. Anglosaxon sincan; sing. sanc, plur. suncon; suncen. Old-English sinken; sing. sonk, plur. sonken; sonken.

The preterite sank and the participle sunken are noted by lexicographers and grammarians as little used. Instances are frequent enough in poets: Now sank the sun (Parnell). Her heart sank in her bosom with dread (Southey). And exhausted and breathless she sank on the floor (id.). Then in a swoon she sank (Loxgfellow). On his breast his head is sunken (1D.). They lift her o'er the sunken rock (id.) \&c.
20. slink; *slauk, slunk; slunk. Anglosaxon slincan; sing. slanc, plur. sluncon; sluncen. Old-English slinken (slinchen), dialectically also slingen; sing. slank, plur. slonken; slonken.
21. stink; *stank, stunk; stunk. Anglosaxon stincan; sing. stanc, plur. stuncon; stuncen. Old-English stinken; sing. stank, plur. stonken; stonken.

The preterite stank is called obsolete: Her breathe stanke (Skelton I. 112.). Wallis (sec. XVII.) cites drank among the verbs in ink, not stank, and says that similar preterites of others are rarer.
22. shrink; shrank, shrunk; *shrunken, shrunk. Anglosaxon scrincan; sing. scranc, plur. scruncon; scruncen. Old-Engl. shrinken; shrank; plur. shronken; shronken.

The preterite shrank is called obsolete, although modern poets and prosewriters do not disdain it: I shrank not from him (L. Byros). Peril he sought not, but ne'er slirank to meet (id.). That girl . . Slirank from its harsh, chill breath (of the storm) (Whittier) - Her sunny nature shrank from storms (Lewes Goethe).
23. bind; bound; *bounden, bound. Anglosaxon bindan; sing. band, plur. bundon; bunden. Old-English binden; sing. band (bond), plur. bonden, bounden; bonden, bounden.

The participle bunden, bundyn is cited by Halliwell from Langtoft and Ritson's Anc. Pop. Poet. p. 89.; where bunden rhymes with wonden. The transition from o to ou is very old in verbs in ind; even Robert of Gloucester has $o$ and ou beside each other. In the singular $a$ and $o$ are as often interchanged. The participle bounden is still in use in the limited sense (limited, appointed, beholden to). Fairfax in Tasso has the preterite band, which has remained in use in dialects.
24. find; found; found. Anglosaxon findan; sing. fand, plur. fundon; funden. Old-Euglish finden; sing. fand (fond), plur. fonden, founden; fonden, founden.

The preterite fand is still in use in Westmoreland, as Fairfax uses it in Tasso. fand, fan also occur dialectically as a participle, but is erroneously ascribed by Chalmers to Old-English.
25. wind; wound; wound. Anglosaxon vindan; sing. vand, plur. vundon; vunden. Old-English winden; sing. wand \&c., plur. wonden \&c.; wonden \&c.

The verb wind $=$ to ventilate from wind, Anglosaxon vind, ventus, is inflected regularly. The weak preterite winded instead of wound is in Pope. See Smart Dict. s. v.
26. grind; ground; ground. Anglosaxon grindan; sing. grand, plur. grundon; grunden. Old-English grinden; sing. grand \&c., plur. gronden \&c.; gronden \&c., also grundyn (Chalmers in D. Lindsay. 3. p. 356. Morte Arthure in Halliwell s. v.), gronden and gron in Western dialects.

The preterite passes in Chaucer into the weak conjugation: And grynte with his teeth (7743.), The form grinting certainly stands in The Persones Tale p. 150. II. Tyrwh., as if a collateral form grint for grind were the standard.
27. fight; fought; *foughten, fought. Anglosaxon feohtan; sing. feaht, plur. fuhton; fohten. Old-English fighten; sing. faught (fought), plur. foughten; foughten, foghten (forfaghte Halliwell s. v.).

The participle foughten, obsolete in writing is in use dialectically, for instance, in Craven (alongside of foffen); feight and feighten rule in Westmoreland; Old-Scottish fecht; faucht; focktyn (Barbour) and faucht.
We must regard as having passed over into this class:
28. dig; dug; dug, alongside thereof digged; digged, in Shakspeare also dight, which are the older forms. Anglosaxon has a weak verb dîcjan, whence Old-English diken, dichen; dikede; diked, even now dike $=$ to surround with a dike; Danish dige. It also occurs in Old-English in the meaning to dig (Piers Ploughm. p. 128.). Yet Old-English has also dyggen (Maundev. p. 107.).
29. stick; stuck; stuck. The infinitive and the present agree in meaning with the weak Anglosaxon sticjan; pungere, haerere. The OldEnglish has however the verb stiken; stack, stek, which points to Anglosaxon stëcan; sing. stäc; plur. stæcon; stëcen, alongside whereof, particularly in Scotch steck; stecked (steckit, steekit, steikkit) stands. With stike, stuck; strike, struck, strikingly agrees (in the fifth class). The preterite stack is still in use in Yorkshire.
30. hang; hung; hung beside hanged; hanged. Anglosaxon hangan; sing. hêng, plur. hêngon; hangen. See the last class.

In Modern-English there have almost wholly passed into the weak conjugation:
31. swell; swelled; swelled and swollen, swoln. Anglosaxon svellan, svillan; sing. sveall, plur. svullon; svollen. Old-English swellen; sing. swal, swalle, plur swollen; swollen.

The participle swollen, swoln, is still in use: The maidens fair Saw from each eye escape a swollen tear (Longrellow). The surge most swoln (Shakspeare Temp.). Asking few In aid to overthrow these swoln patricians (L. Brros).
32. help; "holp, helperd; "holpen, "holp, helped. Anglosaxon hëlpan; sing. healp, plur. hulpon; holpen. Old-English helpen; sing. halp, plur. holpen; holpen (holpe).
holp as a preterite and participle alongside of helped was still familiar to Shakspeare; later writers have holpen.
33. melt, intransit. and transit.; melted; *molten, melted. Anglosaxon mëltan, miltan; sing. mealt, plur. multon; molten, dissolvi, alongside whereof meltan (-ede, -ed, and -te, -t) liquefacere. Both meanings are combined in the Modern-English verb. Old-English melten; sing. malte (Gower), plur. molten; molten.

The participle molten is mostly used now as an adjective only.
34. burst. See above p. 351.

Old-English had a multitude of strong verbs of this class, now passed into the weak conjugation or wholly lost. Here belong, for instance: thringen; sing. thrang, plur. throngen (thrungen Piers Plocghar.); throngen, Anglosaxon pringan; sing. prang, plur. prungon; prungen: ModernEnglish to throng. - swinken; sing. swank, plur. swonken; swonken. Modern English to swink (Spenser). - yellen; sing. zal (Rob. of Gloucester), plur. yollen; yollen. Anglosaxon gillan, gêllan; sing. geall, plur. gullon; gollen: Modern-English to yell. - yelden; sing. yald, yalt (Hashwell s. v.), plur. zolden, yolden; yolden. Anglosaxon gildan, gëldan; sing. geald, plur. guldon; golden: Modern-English to yield. - delven; sing. dalf, plur. dolven; dolven. Anglosaxon dëlfan; sing. dealf, plur. dulfon; dolfen: Modern-English to delve. - swelten; sing. swelt seems weak even in Old-English, since swelted and the participle swelt are also found, Anglosaxon sveltan; sing. svealt, plur. svulton; svolten: ModernEnglish *to swelt. - sterven; sing. starf, plur. storven; storven. Anglosaxon steorfan; sing. stearf, plur. sturfon; storfen: Modern-English to starve. - kerven; sing. carf (also kerf), plur. corven; corven: Anglosaxon ceorfan; sing. cearf, plur. curfon; corfen: Modern-English to carve. - werpen; sing. warp, plur. worpen; worpen, jacere: Anglosaxon veorpan; sing. vearp, plur. vurpon; vorpen: Modern-English to warp, in a different meaning, and many others.
Second Class. It comprises in Angosaxou verbs having in the present $i(e 0, \ddot{e})$, in the preterite sing. $a(\ddot{a})$, plur. $\omega(\hat{a}, \hat{e})$ and in the perfect participle $u(o)$. They end in a single nasal or liquid letter. In Old-English the corresponding present is $e$ and $i$ (o ouly under the influence of the previous Anglosaxon $v$ ) preterite sing. $a$ ( $e$ and $o$ ), plur. $a(e, o)$, perfect participle o. Modern-Enlish has in the present ea ( $o$ as in Old-English), preterite sing. and plur. $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{a}$, perfect participle $\bar{o}$. The passing of the vowels into each other is explained by the relations of sound in Anglosaxon.

1. corme; came; corme (exceptionally with a short $o$, for the Anglosaxon $u$ ), Anglosaxon criman, cuman; sing. cvam, cam, com, plur. cvamun, câmon, cômon; cumen, cymen Old-English comen; sing. cam, com, but also coom, came, come, plur. coomen, comen. Conjunctive sing. plur. coome, coomen; comen.

The compound become, overcome follow the simple verb. Com instead of cäme is still in use, especially in the North of England, cum stands for it in Langtoft. The older Scottish had cum alongside of com as a present. The perf. participle cum is met with towards the sixteenth century: I was cum (Skeltos I. 405.). A weak participle comed stands in Roger Ascham, as Northern dialects still have comed, cummed. The form extends to a great antiquity. Compare Dial. of Craven I. p. 83.
2. steal; stole; stolen, *stole. Anglosaxon stëlan; sing. stäl, plur. stælon; stolen, Old-English stelen; sing. stale, stel, plur. stolen (Piers Ploughm.); stolen, stole, stolne (also stale for stole Halliwell s. v.).

The preterite stale remained long in use: She . . stale away (Skelton I. 22.). The shortened participle stole is still met with in Modern-English, as well as in Milton.
3. beār, bare, bore; born, borne. Anglosaxon bëran, beoran; sing. bär, plur. bæron; boren. Old-English beren, sing. bar, baar, ber, bore (Rob. of Gloucester, Maundev., Piers Ploughi.), plur. beren, baren; boren, born, borne.

The compound forbear has the preterite forbore, part. forborne and likewise overbear. Modern usage limits the preterite bare and the participle born to the meaning pario, partus. The older language does not know this distinction: Alas, the tyme that I was borne (Townel. Myst. p. 188.). The worste brawler that euer was borne (Skelton I. 298.). Milton still has the participle bore.
4. weär; (ware) wore; worn. In Anglosaxon the strong verb which we must else presuppose is wanting: vëran; sing. vär, plur. væron; voren; the weak verb to be referred here is verjan, -ëde, -ed, also -ôde, ôd, induere, gerere (vestes). Old-English weren (Piers Ploughman p. 322); sing. ware, were, plur. . .; worn, forworn (Halliwell s. v.).

The preterite ware cited by Smart as obsolete, familiar to Skelton, is still not uncommon with poets. Old-English has also the weak form corresponding to the Anglosaxon: He wered a gepoun (Chatcer 75.).
5. teār; (tare), tore; torn. Anglosaxon tëran; sing. tär, plur. tæron; toren. Old-English teren; sing. tar (tarne, Halinw. s. v.), tore, plur. . .; torn, torne (tare Voc. Ms. sec. XV. in Halliw. s. v.).

Of the obsolete tare the same may be said as of ware. A weak form of the verb seems not unknown in Old English: To be teared thus and torne (Skelton I. 357.).
6. shēar (diverging in vocalization from the $e$-sounding other verbs in ear); *shore, sheared; shorn, *shore. Anglosaxon scëran; sing. scär, scear, plur. scæron, sceâron; scoren. Old-English scheren; sing. share, shore, plur. shoren; shorne, shore.

The preterite shore is the rule in the seventeenth century, as in Shakspeare; share is also permitted alongside of it; shore, shoor is still widely
diffused dialectically. The weak form also formerly sounded scharde (Halliwell v. share). The participle shore is in Shakspeare Mids. N. Dr. 5, 1.
As passed over from the fourth class into the second is to be regarded:
7. sweār; (sware), swore; sworn, *swore. Anglosaxon sverjan; sing. svôr, plur. svôron; svaren, svoren. Old-English sweren; suor, swor, swoor, later also sware; plur. sworen, yet very early even sweren (Rob. of Gloucester); sworen.

The transition is accordingly old; the preterite sware, even in Shakspeare alongside of swore, was used in the seventeenth century, along with the latter. It is now almost forgotten.
Old-English still has a few other strong verbs belonging here, as nimen; nemen; (benyman Rob. of Gloccester), sing. nam, name, nom, plur: nomen; nomen. Anglosaxon niman; sing. nam, plur. nâmon, nêmun; numen. Modern-English * to nim (Hudibr.). helen (forhelen); sing. hole, plur. . .; holen (forholen Dame Siriz p. 8.), hole, holne. Anglosaxon hëlan; sing. häl, plur. hælon; holen. Whence forhëlan, celare = Modern-English to hide, not to be confounded with the weak Old-English helen, Anglosaxon hælan, to heal.
Third Class. It has been variously disturbed in its vocalization in Modern-English, partly under the influence of consonants. In the Anglosaxon it has in the present $i(e o, e \ddot{)}$, in the preterite sing. $\ddot{a}(e a)$, plur. $c(e \hat{a})$, and in the perfect part. $i$ or $\ddot{e}$. The OldEnglish present has $i$ or $e$, the preterite sing. $a$ ( 0 ), the plur. $e$ (rarely $o$ ), the perf. participle $e(i$, also $o$ ). Modern-English offers in the present $i, e, e a$, in the preterite $a$ and $o$, in the perfect participle $i, e a, e, o$. It originally ends in a single mute consonant.

1. bid; bade, bid; bidden, bid. Anglosaxon biddan; sing. bäd, plur. bædon; bedden, petere mixed with the Anglosaxon beódan; sing. beád, plur. budon; boden, offerre, jubere. Old-English bidden (beden); sing. bad, bed (also =offered), beot (Rob. of Gloucester I. 65 ., else bode, bot), alongside thereof the weak form bidde, in the plural beden (boden).

The mixture of the two Anglosaxon verbs is manifest in the Modern-English forbid; forbade; forbidden, forbid, to which only the Anglosaxon forbeódan, prohibere corresponds; Old-English forbeden, in the perfect participle forboden, forbode, forbed (Townel. Myst. p. 6.). Compare also: Who hath yow misboden? (injured) (Chalicer 911.).

The preterite and participle bid (brdd) stood in the seventeenth century quite even with bad, bidden and is still tolerated alongside of these, as forbid: If the Euphrates be forbid us (L. Byron). It seems, like the OldEnglish bidde (Piers Plovghm. and Skelton), to rest upon a passing into the weak conjugation. We often find bad instead of bade, for instance in Shakspeare: Love bad me swear, and love bids me forswear (Two Gentl. of Ver.); whereas the modern editions mostly offer bade. See Mommsen's Romeo and Juliet p. 8. She bed still in Skelton I. 384.
2. sit; sat (sate); sat (sate); *sitten. Anglosaxon sittan, sitjan; sing. sät, plur. sæton; sëten. Old-English sitten; sing. sat, seet, sete, plur. seten; seten (Ciaucer 1454. 6002. Wright).

The form of the preterite sate is frequent enough, although often absent
in dictionaries: Amidst the common pomp the despot sate (L. Byron). It also stands for the participle: Had I sate down too humbly (L. Byron). He had sate in the High Commission (Macaulay). Wallis has sate for the preterite and participle, and also cites sitt, for both, by analogy to bidd.
3. spit; *spat, *spitten. See above p. 349.
4. give; gave; given (forgive; -gave; -given). Anglosaxon gifan, geofan, sing. geaf, plur. geáfon; gifen, (forgifan \&c.). Old-English given, zeuen, yeven; sing. 3 af, zef, even 3 if (Rob. of Gloucester I. 162.); gave, yave, yove, plur. zeuen; yeven, zoven, zove (Lydgate, Gower); dialectically gin, gon.
5. lie ; lay; lain. Anglosaxon licgan, liggan, ligëan; sing. läg, plur. lægon; lëgen. Old-English liggen (lyzn, Maundev.), lien; sing. lai, lay, plur. laien, leyen; leyen, yleye, lien (Chancer p. 170. 172. ed. Tyrwh.), lein, lain.

The forms are explained by the softening of $g$ into $i, y$.
6. get; got; gotten, got. Anglosaxon gëtan, gitan; sing. geat, plur. geáton; gëten. Old-English geten, yeten, getten; sing. gat, gatt, get, plur. geten, goten (Maundev. p. 67.); geten, yetten, goten (Maundev.).

The compounds forget; forgot; forgotten, forgot. Anglosaxon forgëtan and beget; begat, begot; begotten, begot. Anglosaxon begëtan, mostly the form gat. In the seventeenth century the preterites gat, forgat, begat were still current; even Shakspeare has the forms gat and got alongside of each other. At present gat and forgat pass for obsolete. Dialectically the simple gat is still in use. This verb has also at times weak forms in the ancients: What hast thou gotted? (Skelton I. 296.).
7. see; saw; seen. Anglosaxon sëon, sëhvan; sing. seah, plur. sâvon, seâgon, sægon, sêgon; sëven, sëgen, seoven, seogen, sền, sŷn, sîn. Old-English sen, sene, see; sing. sey, say (Rob. of Glolcester), seigh, seyghe, saugh, saughe, plur. sayen, seighen \&c.; seyen, seighen, seene.

The compounds, as foresee. Anglosaxon foresëon, providere; oversee. Anglosaxon ofersëon, videre, contemnere, follow the simple verb. The vowel changes are explained by the $w$, of the stem interchanging with $g$.
8. trëad; trod (trode); trodden, trod. Anglosaxon trëdan; sing. träd, plur. trædon; trëden. Old-English treden; sing. trad, trade, trode, plur. troden; troden.

The passage of the participle into the 0 -sound seems to be very old. The preterite with an inorganic $e$ still occurs: And round the white man's lordly hall, Trode, fierce and free, the brute he made (Whittier); as well as the participle that had suffered apocope: "Twere not the first Greek girl had trod the path (L. Brron). A weak preterite is known by Piers Ploughm. Creed, p. 475 : tredede.
9. breāk; *brake, broke; broken, broke. Anglosaxon brëcan; sing. bräc, plur bræcon; brocen. This Anglosaxon verb passes, with its participle, into the second class, as well as occasionally also sprëcan, spëcan. Old-English breken; sing. brak, brek (even Rob. of Gloucester), brake, breke, plur. braken; broken, ybroke.

The weak preterite braikit is Old-Scottish. The preterite brake is ob-
solete: By the brede that God brake (Skeltos I. $3 \% 0$.). His passion ne'er brake into extremity of rage (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). The blunted participle broke is, as in Shakspeare, so in the most modern times, in use: That his frail bonds . . are broke (L. Byros Ch. Har.) . . That time may have tamed, but has not broke (Lowgreniow).
10. ēat; c̆le, ĕat; ēaten, ĕat, with change of vocalization. Anglosaxon ëtan; sing. ät, plur. æton; ëten. Old-English eten; sing. at, et, eet, plur. eton, eten; eten - yzete (Rob. of Gloucester often), compare ge-gessen, to which the Anglosaxon, which has gedrincan, seems to offer no support.

A compound of eat is the now weak fret, to rub; fretted (fret Levit 13.); fretted and fretten even in Shakspeare in Merch. of Ven. 4,1 ., in the quartos, and in pockfretten. Anglosaxon frë$\tan$ (compare Gothic fra-itan); sing. frät, plur. freton; freten. OldEnglish freten; sing. frat, fret, freet, plur. freten; freten, fretyne (Morte Arth. in Halliwell).

Skelton has the participle frete with apocope: He is frete with angre (I. 79.). From this compound we must distinguish fret commonly confounded with it, to do elegant work, to adorn, which belongs to the Anglosaxon frätu, ornamentum, frätvjan, ornare.
11. wēave; wove; woven (wove). Anglosaxon vëfan; sing. väf, plur. væfon; vëfen. Old-English weven, weffen (Gower); sing. wave (Chacoer) . .; woven

The weak form seems to have been also early used for this verb, compare Anglosaxon vefjan, vebban; vefede; vefed. Old-English has beweved (Ggy of Warwick p. 303. in Halliwell). In the North of England the participle weft is in use. Weave has also the weak forms in Modern-English; weaved stands as the preterite and participle in Shakspeare, and is quoted by moderns as sometimes' used. The blunted participle wove has Dryden for example.
12. spēak; spake, spoke; spoken, spoke. • Anglosaxon sprëcan, spreocan, often also spëcan; sing. präc, plur. spræcon; sprëcen, occasionally sprocen (see break). Old-Fngl. speken; sing. spak, spek, plur. spekon (Rub. of Glovcester), later there appear the preterites spake, spoke; speken (Dame Siriz p. 8.), bespeke (Rob, of Gloucester I. 55.), spoken, spoke.

The preterite spake stands equivalent to spoke in the seventeenth century; in modern times it has remained chiefly with the poets: The same patron whom I spake of (L. Byron). They spake a mutual language (id.). Smiling she spake these words (Longreclow) He moved not, he looked not, he spake not (id.). Then to his conqueror he spake (Bryant). The participle spoke, with apocope, very common in Shakspeare, likewise belongs especially to poets. It is found in Sterne, W. Scott, and others.
13. A remnant of a verb of this class is quoth, now commonly employed as first and third person of the preterite (quoth $I$, he, she). Anglosaxon cvë才an; sing. cvä才, plur. cvædon; cvëden. Old-English quethen; sing. quap (Rob. of Gloccester), quoth (Macndev.), quod (Piers Plocigh.), plur. quothe \&c.

Quoth is falsely declared to be the present. Even in Old-English the formula quotha, quoda $=$ quoth he occurs, which in East-Anglian dialects sounds cutha. Quothe passes also as the Old-English for the plural:
quothe thei (Macndev. p. 22?.). So Shakspeare also uses quoth: Did they? quoth you (Love's L. L. 4, 3.). - The compound bequeath, Old-English bequethen, is now inflected weakly. In Old-English the preterite byquep (Rób. of Glovcester) and biquath (Hearne), Anglosaxon becvëđan, legare.

To the strong verbs, now abandoned, belongs: wreak; wroke; wroken, as these forms sounded in the later Old-English, now wreaked; wreaked. The earlier Old-English forms were: wreken (frequent in the compound awreken), wrechen; wrak, wrake; i-wreken (Dame Siriz p. 7.), awreke (Rob. of Gloccester I 1n.), bewrecke (in Halliwell s. v.), wroken. Anglosaxon vrëcan; sing. vräc, plur. vræcon; vrëcen. - Vestiges of other verbs are: Kneden; participle kinedde (Chatcer Rom. of the Rose 4814.), even now in Northern dialects knodden, Anglosaxon cnëdan; sing. cnäd, plur. cnædon; cnëden. Modern-English to knead. - weyen; participle weyen. Anglosaxon vëgan; sing. väg, plur. vægon; vëgen. Modern-Enlish to weigh de.
Fourth Class. In Anglosaxon it offers in the present $a$, ea (e), in the preterite sing. and plur. $\hat{o}$, in the participle perfect $a$, ea ( $\ddot{a}$ ). In Old-English it has in the present $a$, which through the cooperation of a following guttural passes into another vowel (see $7^{\text {th }}$ class); in the preterite sing. and plur. o, more rarely on, in the participle perfect $a(0)$. Modern-English offers in the present short and long $a$, in the preterite $o o$ and $o$, in the participle perfect $\bar{\alpha}$, sometimes 00,0 . Some verbs of this class have passed into other strong conjugations, as swear into the second, draw and slay essentially into the seventh. Many have preserved only their strong participle, and have else passed into the weak form.

1. wake; woke, waked; waked and the compound awake; awoke, awaked; awaked. Here blend the strong Anglosaxon verb vacan; vôc; vacen. - âvacan \&c., suscitari, expergiscere, and the weak vacjan, âvacjan in the same meaning. They pass over at the same time in English into the transitive meaning. Old-English waken (awaken); preterite wok, wook, woke. The simple, as well as the compound verb have also in Old-English the weak preterites and participles waked, awaked, and these forms seem to be chiefly found in the participle.

In Modern-English wake is stated by lexicographers to be always weak. The preterite woke is in use even now, although Shakspeare has it not: And the startled artist woke (Longfellow). I turned to thee . . And woke all faint with sudden fear (id.). Shuddering . . I woke As from a dream (Whittier).
2. take; took; taken, often ta'en, particularly in poets, also took Anglosaxon tacan; tôc; tacen. Old-English taken; toke, took; taken, take. takene.

As in Modern-English ta'en with an elided $k$ stands as a participle, the Old-English and the Old-Scottish had tane. Old-English even conjugated $t a$ (infinitive), present tath; preterite to; participle tan. The compounds mistake, partake, betake, overtake follow the simple verb. The form of the preterite which bas penetrated the participle, common to this class in general, is denoted a barbarism by moderns, but is common enough: And he that might the vantage best have took (Shaksprare Meas. f. Meas.). I have mistook (Two Gentl. of Ver.). He had lately
undertook To prove de. (Betler). Thou hast mistook (Rowe). Who is he . . whose brethren . . have not partook oppression? (L. Byrow)
3. shake; shook; shaken, also shook. The weak form of the preterite and participle *shaked is no longer cited by modern grammarians and lexicographers. Anglosaxon scacan, sceacan'; scôc, seôe; scacen, sceacen. Old-English shaken, schaken; schoc, shook; shaken.

The weak form shaked is old: Howe Cupyde shaked His darte (Skeltox I. 347.). It was in use up to the eighteenth century. Shakspeare has all strong and weak forms of the verb beside each other, also the participial form shook, met with even in the latest times: How many hands were shook and yotes were won (Bryast).
4. forsake; forsook; forsaken, also forsook. The simple sake, which occurs in Old-English, is said by Halliwell to be still in use. Anglosaxon for-sacan; -sôc; -sacen, negare, detrahere. Old-English forsaken; forsok; forsaken.

The participial form forsook is the same as others of this sort: Proteus hath forsook her (Shakspeare Two Gentl. of Ver.). The immortal mind, that hath forsook Her mansion (Miltow); so too in Lady Montague and later writers.
5. stave; stove; stove or staved, as the preterite also sounded, seems of modern formation, a denominative from stäf, truncus. Compare Old-norse stofna, truncare, and the Highdutch stieben standing in relation to staub and stab. Stovven $=$ split, riven, is dialectic in the North of England.
6. stand; stood; stood. Anglosaxon standan; stôd; standen. Old-English standen; stod, stode, stood; stonden.

Neither the simple verb nor the verbs compounded with it shew in OldEnglish the passage of the preterite into the participle. In the Craven dialect the participle stooden occurs.
A number of verbs of this class, which have preserved only their strong participle beside weak forms, are:
7. shape; shapen and shaped. Anglosaxon scapan, sceapan, sceppan; scôp, sceôp; scapen, sceapen. Old-English shapen; shop, shoop; shapen. Even in Old-English the weak form of the preterite shapte also occurs. The weak form of the participle is now considered the better, even beside misshapen, misshaped is called correct.
8. grave; graven, also graved. Engrave is, according to some, weak, according to Smart engraven is also permitted. Anglosaxon grafan; grôf; grafen. Old-English graven; grofe; graven, also grove. In the Craven dialect grovven; grauin (Skelton I. 385.).
9. shave; shaven and shaved, the former obsolete. Anglosaxon scafan; scôf; scafen. Old-English shaven; shofe; shaven.
10. lade and load; laden, loaden and laded, loaded. Anglosaxon hladan; hlôd; hladen. Old-English laden, loden; lode; laden, loden. Loaden is less usual than loaded.
11. bake; baken, now commonly baked. Anglosaxon bacan; bôc; bacen. Old-English baken; boke; baken, ybake.
12. wax; waxen, waxed. Anglosaxon veaxan; vôx (veóx); veaxen.

Old-English wexen; wex, wexe, woxe; waxen, woxen. In Robert of Gloucester the preterite sing. wax, plur. wox; in Piers Ploughman sing. weex, plur. woxen is remarkable. Waxen is obsolete.

In Old-English a few more strong verbs of this class are maintained: faren, fore; faren, farn. Anglosaxon faran; fồ; faren, ire. Modern-English to fare. The weak ferde corresponds in form to the Anglosaxon ferjan. - aken; ok, oke; ....(Rob. of Gloucester 4.). Anglosaxon acan; ôc; acen. Modern-English to ache. - quaken; quok, quoke . . ... yet also quakede; quaked is weak in Anglosaxon cvacjan, tremere, like the Modern-English to quake. - waschen; wossche, wesshe; washen; but also weak even in the preterite wasshed (Maundev. and Piers Ploughm.). Anglosaxon vascan; vôse; vascen, väscen. Modern-English to wash. The participle washen has nevertheless been long preserved. - laughen, lauhen (Old-Scottish lauch) and lizhen (Rob. of Gloucester); lowz, low (id.). lough (Piers Plovghm.); lowen (id.). Anglosaxon hleahhan, hlihhan; hlôh, plural hlôgon; hlahen, hleahen. Modern-English to laugh. Piers Ploughman p. 275. has the weak form laughed. - gnawen; gnowe, gnoghe; gnawn. Anglosaxon gnagaṇ; gnôg; gnagen; Modern-English to gnaw, whose strong participle gnawn Shakspeare has in Merry Wiv. 2, 2, has, like draw already passed in part into the seventh class, since it had also the preterite gnew still in use in Suffolk.

Fifth Class. It has in Anglosaxon in the present $\hat{\imath}$, in the preterite sing. $\hat{a}$, plur. $i$ and perfect participle $i$. Old-English leaves to the present $\hat{\imath}$, gives to the preterite sing. $o$, also $a$, plur. $\check{\imath}$ and to the participle $i$. In Modern-English $\hat{\imath}$ remains in the present, the preterite fluctuates between $\bar{o}$ and $\breve{\iota}$, the perfect participle retains $\breve{\imath}$, although often assuming the vowel of the preterite. In the seventeenth century the forms of the preterite in $\check{\imath}$ are preferred by Alexander Gill to those in $a$, and to those in o then in use along with these, and deemed equal to those in o by J. Wallis, who especially acknowledges thrive, rise, smitt, writt, abidd, ridd, as Gil drive. Many of these verbs offer the semblance of a transition into the weak form of stems euding in $t$ or $d$. Some have at the same time passed wholly or partially into the weak conjugation in ed.

1. shine; shone; shone. The preterite and the participle have also adopted the weak form shined, which however is postponed in usage to the strong one. Anglosaxon scînan; sing. scân, sceân, plur. scinon; scinen. Old-English shinen; sing. shon, shone, pl. shinen.

The passage of the preterite into the participle seems old; I have not obseryed the participle shinen. Besides the vowel of shone sec. XVII. was in the seventeenth century still marked long shône. The weak form of the preterite is not quite recent. The shinde in use in Northern dialects is in Fairfax's Tasso: Flames in his visage shinde.
2. drive ; *drave, drove; driven, * drove. Anglosaxon drîfan; sing. drâf, plur. drifon; drifen. Old-English driven; sing. drof, plur. driven; driven, drefene (Halliwell s. v.).

Instead of drof we find in Old-English also dref (comp. Danish drev) as a preterite; drave occurs, as well as in Shakspeare, in modern poetry: From battle fields, Where heroes madly drave and dashed their hosts Against each other (Bryant). The participial form drove is in Milton
and is common to several dialects; instead thereof we fiud drowen (Warтол I. 88.).
3. strive; strove; striven, although fundamentally Germanic, rests upon no Anglosaxon verb, but on the Old-French estriver; the strîfan, which has been imagined in Anglosaxon, according to others stræfan, did not exist. Old-English striven; sing. strof, plur. . .: striven.

North-English dialects still have the preterite strave, formerly in use in Modern-English: Not us'd to frozen clips he strave to find some part (Sydney). Shakspeare inflected strive; strove; strove.
4. thrive; throve; thriven. The preterite also runs thrived (compare Shakspeare Pericl. 5, 2. thriv'd, ed. Collier), as well as sometimes the participle. Anglosaxon prîfun; sing. prôf, plur. prifon; prifen, colere, curare. Old-English thriven; sing. throf, also thrafe, thrave (Perceval 212. 226.), plur. thriven; thriven.

Threave and threve are also cited for the preterite. The older preterite thrive (sec. XVII.) rests upon the transition of the plural into the singular, as well as the rest in $i$.
5. bite; bit; bitten, bit. Anglosaxon bîtan; sing. bât, plur. biton; biten. Old-English biten; sing. boot, bote, also bate, plur. biten; biten.
6. write; wrote, *writ; written, writ, * wrote. Anglosaxon vrîtan; sing. vrât, plur. vriton; vriten. Old-English writen; sing. wroot, wrot, also wrate (frequently in Skelton); plur. writen; writen, ywryte, wrete (Halliwell s. v.).

The older preterite writ, which Shakspeare also has, is indeed found in moderns, but is upon the whole obsolete, although in use dialectically. On the other hand the participle writ is still very frequently to be met with, especially in poets, as well as in Shakspeare: Go, read whate'er is writ of bloodiest strife (L. Brson). And what is writ, is writ (id.). The participle wrote, springing from the preterite, is met with in Shakspeare, Milton, Addison and others.
7. smite; smote; smitten, smit, smote. Anglosaxon smîtau; sing. smâđ, plur. smiton; smiten. Old-English smiten; sing. smot, smote, plur. smyton (Rob of Gloccester); smiten, ysmyte, smeten (Halliwell s. v.) smitten.

The participle has passed over in Chaucer into the weak form smitted, Troil. and Cress. 5, 1544 .; the participial form smit is still in use: Smit with the love of sacred song (Milton). How smit was poor Adelaide's heart at the sight (Campbell). The perjurer . . and he who laughed . . Are smit with deadly silence (Bryant). The form borrowed from the preterite still belongs to the modern poets: When their fresh rags have smote The dew of night (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 4, 3.). Ah, Judas! thou hast smote my side (Longrellow). - The preterite smit, which was current in the seventeenth century, is still diffused in dialects.
8. ride; rode, *rid; ridden, rid, rode. Anglosaxon rîdan; sing. râd, plur. ridon; riden. Old-English riden; sing rod, rood, rode, plur. riden, redyn (Halliwell s. v.); riden, ridden.

The preterite rode and rid still stand alongside of each other in Shakspeare, the latter is now obsolete. In Nothern dialects raad still prevails,
as rad in Spenser and rade in Barbour (as a plural). Among the three forms of the participle in Shakspeare, that with the vowel of the preterite singular is noted by Smart as the best.
9. ${ }^{*}$ bide; preterite ${ }^{*}$ bid (Shaкsp.), now commonly as a compound abide; abode; abode. Anglosaxon bîdan, âbîdan; sing. bâd, plur. bidon; biden. Old-English biden, abiden; sing. -bod, -bood, -bode, ;bade (habade Halliwell), plur. -biden; -biden, -bidden, -boden (Piers Ploughm.), -bode.

The simple verb, widely diffused in Old-English (comp. Old-Scottish bide; bade, baid; biden, bidden) has in Modern-English yielded to the compound abide but has remained in several dialects. The preterite in $i$, a favorite in the seventeenth century, is obsolete. The participle abidden is still found in the seventeenth century: What punishment he had abidden for his jealouse (Cobler of Canterburie 1608.); as bidden is even now in use in Northern dialects. The change of the vowel of the preterite singular into the participle is old. The weak form abided is quoted by J. Wallis as well as thrived.
10. slide; slid; slidden, slid (Webster). Anglosaxon slîdan; sing. slâd, plur. slidon; sliden. Old-English sliden; sing. slod, slode, plur. sliden; sliden.

The preterite slade is in use in Northern dialects, as in Scotland in Ramsay.
11. stride; strode, strid; stridden, strid (Webster). Anglosaxon strîdan; sing. strâd, plur. stridon; striden. Old-English striden; sing. strod, strode, plur. striden; striden, stridden, strid.

Northern dialects have the preterite streud, others strade. Besides the still usual compound bestride the Old-English has also umstride.
12. chide, * chode, chid; chidden, chid. Anglosaxon cîdan; sing. câd, plur. cidon; ciden. Old-English chiden; sing. chod, chode, plur. chiden; chiden, chidden.

Moreover this verb early receives the character of a weak verb, in spite of its participle chidden, since, in Piers Ploughman for instance the singular of the preterite runs chidde, chydde, so that the verb was assimilated to the weak hide (Anglosaxon hydan, hydde, hyded), which on the other hand assumed the strong participle lidden. See above p. 341.
13. rise; rose; risen, ${ }^{*}$ rose. In Anglosaxon the simple verb is impersonal: me rîseđ, decet mihi, me. The compounds are, on the contrary, personal, as arisan (Engl. arise \&c.); sing. ârâs, plur. ârison; ârisen. Old-English risen, arisen; sing. -roos, -rose, plur. -risen, also -reson, -resyn (Halliwell s. vv.); -risen, -risse (riz still vulgarly in London).

The preterite riss, riz, in J. Wallis rise, is often found in Beaumont and Fletcher. See Sternberg The Dial. of Northamptonshire p. 87; and is still in use in different dialects. The participle with the 0 : rose still occurs in Fielding: He had rose pretty early this morning.

In the transition into the first class is comprised:
14. strike; *strook, struck; stricken, *strucken, struck. Anglosaxon strîcan; sing. strâc, plur. stricon; stricen, ire, caedere. Old-English
striken; sing. strook, strake, plur. striken, strekyn (Halliwell $\nabla$. streke), also stroke (PERCy Rel. p. 3. II.); striken, strike, strekyne.

The preterite strake, strook, strooke were still common in the seventeenth century; strook has been preserved the longest, in Northern dialects streuk. Shakspeare, who has the preterite struck, uses the participles stricken, strucken and struck. Strucken stands in: The clock hath strucken twelve upon the bell (Com. of Err. 1. 1. Coll.). Even the mosi modern times have stricken, and that not merely where it is used adjectively, as in mind-stricken, thunder-stricken \&c. Compare: From the spot where I was stricken (L. Byron).
There has been partly preserved:
15. cleave; clave and cleaved; cleaved, of which also clave is upon the point of being lost. Anglosaxon clîfan; sing. clâf, plur. clifon; clifen. In Modern-English it coincides in the infinitive and present with cleave, which has likewise almost completely passed into the weak form, but which belonged to the next strong class, Anglosaxon cleófan. Old-English clyven (Piers Ploughman p. 215.); clave (Chester Plays 2, 70.). The one mingles, even in Old-English, with forms of the other verb.

Of the sixth class there have passed into the fifth and partly assimilated to the verb drive:
16. rive; rived; riven. Anglosaxon reófan; sing. reáf, plur. rufon; rofen, findere. Old-English rifen, riven; sing. rofe, roofe, rafe (Perceval 2157.) . . . ; ryffen (Townel. Myst.), to-revyne (Halliwell v. sleve), roven (iD. v. rove). The maritime reeve; rove; rove, would agree with this.

Northern dialects still have the preterite raav and therewith rave, like the Scottish. The rafte, occurring in Chaucer, belongs to the weak Anglosaxon verb reafjan, whence the Modern-English bereave, Anglosaxon bereáfjan,
To a verb of this class its strong participle is still sometimes given:
17. wrîthe and commonly wrēath (wreathe); wreathed; wreathed and wreathen, formerly writhen. Anglosaxon vrî才an; sing. vrâd, plur. vriđon; vriđen, vreóđen. Old-English writhen; preterite writhe (Percy Rel. p. 75. II.). Yet even early in the weak form wrythed (Halliwell v. writhe); part. writhen. This participle is still in use in the North of England.

The Old-English possessed other verbs of this class, few traces whereof have been preserved in modern times, except in dialects: ssryuen (Rob. of Gloucester), shriven; sing. ssrof, shrof, shrove, also shrave, plur. shriven; shriven, yssryue. Anglosaxon scrîfan; sing. scraf, plur. scrifon; scrifen. Modern-English *to shrive. - shiten; sing. shote (compare beshote Lancaster), plur. shiten; shiten, shitten. Dictionaries disdain this popular word, which now sounds in general shite; shit; shitten. - atwiten; sing. atwot, plur. atwiten; atwiten. Anglosaxon ätvîtan; sing. -vât, plur. -viton; -viten, exprobrare, compounded of vîtan, now, strange to say, to twit with rejection of the a.- gliden; sing. glod, glode, plur. gliden; gliden: Modern-English to glide. - gripen, grypen; grep (Beves of Hamtoun p. 90 and in Weber) (which moreover early had weak forms: gripte [Rob. of Gloccester I. 22.]) with an apparent transition into the following class, is remarkable. Anglosaxon grîpan; sing.
grâp, plur. gripon; gripen. Modern-English to gripe; like repen; sing. repe, plur. ropen; ropen (Modern-English to reap), which points not only to the Anglosaxon rîpan; sing. râp, plur. ripon, ripen, but also to a verb reópan; sing. reáp, plur. rupon; ropen, which is wanting in Anglosaxon. Therewith is associated bleven, blewyn (Halliwell s. v.); bleef (Caxton) from the Anglosaxon be-lîfan; sing. -lâf, plur. -lifon; -lifen, manere, whereas the weak forms blefede and bleft point to the Anglosaxon belæfan. - We often find steyen, stigen (astygen, astyen); sing. stey, stay, steigh, stegh, but also frequently the weak forms stighide, stiede, steyed; see Dial. of Craven 2, p. 165. Anglosaxon stîgan; sing. stâh, plur. stigon; stigen. The change into the weak form seems generally old; compare also swiken, beswiken; swykede; swuken in Halliwell; Anglosaxon svîcan; sing. svâc, plur. svicon; svicen. Other forms are preserved in dialects.
Sixth Class. The few verbs of this class which have been preserved in the literary language have become undistinguishable in Modern-English, others have passed wholly or partially into the weak conjugation. The Anglosaxon offers here in the present \&c. eó, rarely $\hat{,}$, in the preterite sing. eá, plur. $u$, and in the perfect participle $o$. The Old-English gives to the present $e$, to the preterite sing. ee or $e$, plur. o (where $e$ sometimes penetrates from the sing.) and the perfect participle o. Modern-English has in the present $e e$, ea, but does not here let the $o$-sound enter, and gives $o$ equally to the preterite and the participle. The interchange of $s$ and $r$ in some of these verbs is taken away in ModernEnglish and partly even in Old-English in favour of the $s$. Verbs with a final $h, v$ have passed into the seventh class.

1. freeze; froze; frozen, *froze. Anglosaxon freósan, frŷsan; sing. freás, plur. fruron; froren. Old-English frezen; sing. freez, frese (frez Bedfordshire dialect), plur. froren? (Dialectically a-vraur, Somerset); froren, yfrore, befrore (Gower in Halliwell s. v.), a-vrore in Western dialects, forfrorn in Caxton.

The shortened form of the participle froze is found in Shakspeare and Young N. 3.
2. seethe; sod; sodden, *sod, forms, which now, along with seethed, seethed begin to be obsolete. Anglosaxon seóđan, sióđan; sing. seađ, plur. sudon; soden. Old-English sethen; sing. sethe, plur. soden, sode (Rob. of Gloucester II. 408.); soden, ysode, sothen (Reliq. Ant. I. 82.).

The weak form seethed is in use for the preterite and participle even in the seventeenth century. See J. Wallis p. 118. Shakspeare, among others, has the abbreviated participle sod: Twice sod simplicity (Love's L. L. 4, 2.).
3. cleave; clove, * clave; cloven, now also wholly passed into the weak conjugation: cleft; cleft see p. 343. Anglosaxon cleófan, clûfan; sing. cleáf, plur. clufon; cloven. Old-English clefen, cleven; sing. clef, cleef, also clafe (Halliwell s. v.), plur. cloven; cloven.
4. heave; *hove; *hoven, *hove, in modern times heaved; heaved. The Anglosaxon has here hebban; sing. hôf, plur. hôfon; hafen, which belonged to the fourth class, and with which the Modern-English forms agree. The Old-English ones, on the contrary, at least in
part, refer us to the form heoffan (1), which Ettmüller lays at the root of heáfod; sing. heáf, plur. hufon; hofen. although Rob. of Gloucester likewise presents the present hebbe I. 17. Old-English heven and hufe (Townel. Myst. p 32.), which agrees only with heófan = hûfan; sing. hefe (Halliwell s. v.) and hafe (id.), haf (Chaucer 2430.), hof (Havelock 2747.), plut. hofen, hoven; hofen, hoven, hove.

The participle hove still occurs in moderns, as Milton.
5. choose; chose; chosen. *chose. Anglosaxon ceósan; sing. ceás, plur. curon; coren. Old-English chesen; ches, chees, chis (Weber); plur. chose (Rob. of Gloucester), cheson (id.), formerly probably also coren; coren (Guy of Warwike p. 428.), icore (A. Brandan p. 33.), chosen, ychose, ichose (even Rob. of Gloucester).

The participial form chose is in Shakspeare and Milton. In the seventeenth century the weak form choosed is also cited by grammarians for the preterite and participle In the older Scotch the preterite cheisit also occurs. The infinitive chese still prevails, in Lancashire for instance, cheise in Scottish.
6. lose; now passed into the weak form lost; lost; traces of the participle in forlorn, lasslorn. See p. 343. Anglosaxon leósan; sing. leás, plur. luron; loren. Old-English lesen; sing. les, lees (thou lore Rob. of Gloucester), plur. loren, lore; loren, lorn, lorne (forlore), ylore, yet also even lost (Piers Ploughm.).
7. shoot; likewise weak shot; shot, *shotten. Anglosaxon sceótan; sing. sceát, plur. scuton; scoten. Old-English scheten; yet also shoten; sing. schet, plur. shotten (Piers Plougim.), yet shete even in Rob. of Gloucester; shoten, yssote (Rob of Gloucester). See p. 346.

With this class agrees the strong participle rotten, belonging to rot (compare Anglosaxon reótan; sing. reát, plur. ruton; roten, plorare, the stem of rotjan, putrescere), Old-English roten. Yet the strong participial form may have been given to the weak verb. Other verbs of this class are still to be pointed out, at least in single Old-English forms: crepen see above creep. - Aleten; preterite flete (Rob, of Gloucester); part. floten (= distant? Gawayne). Anglosaxon fleótan; sing. fleát, plur. fluton; floten. Modern-English to float. - shoven; sing. shof, shofe, plur. shouen; shoven. Anglosaxon sceófan; scûfan; sing. sceáf, plur. scufon; scofen, Modern-English to shove, seems like choose, lose \&c. to have early assumed the $o$ in the present. - loken, to lock, has the strong participle loken, beloke, biloke. Anglosaxon lûcan; sing. leác, plur. lucon; locen, alongside whereof lokede from the weak form of the preterite usually occurs. Modern-English to lock. - leyen, lien, has in the preterite leghe, leighe; fleyen, fleen; fleghe, fleigh, flaugh \&c., plur. flowen, as the participle lowen and flowen also occur. Anglosaxon leógan, fleógan; sing. leáh, fleáh, plur. lugon, flugon; logen, flogen, whereas now to fly has passed into the seventh class, like others of the sixth class in Old English. Teen to draw, preterite tegh also occur. Anglosaxon teóhan, teón; sing. teáh, plur. tugon; togen.
Seventh Class. This and the following class comprise the verbs which originally repeated the initial sound of the verbal stem before it in the preterite, therefore the reduplicative verbs. This redupli-
cation is however, hardly more to be met with in Anglosaxon; but, through the blending of the syllable before the stem, arising from this reduplication, the two classes of verbs have arisen, whereof one presents eó, the other $\hat{e}$ in the preterite.

In Anglosaxon the first of these, which is here cited as the seventh, has various vowels in the present: ea, $\hat{a}, e \dot{a}, \hat{e}, \hat{o}$; in the preterite sing. and plur. eó; in the perfect participle ea, $\hat{a}, \hat{0}$. Old-English, like Modern-English, mostly has in the present obscure vowels, corresponding to the Anglosaxon ones: $a$. $o$; in the preterite sing. and plur. the vowel e, appears in Old-English, which likewise always appears in Modern-English, except in the verb beat. Yet with the proportionably greater number of verbs ending in $v$, the $v$ has been softened and gives with $e$ the diphthong ew. Since also verbs of other classes, ending in $g$ or $v$, readily blended their softeued consonant with the preceding vowel into ew, many others must be regarded as passed over into this class. The participle of the perfect has nothing characteristic, except that it appropriates the vowel of the present, although not without exception, as is the case also with the corresponding Anglosaxon verbs. Moreover many verbs have only preserved the strong participial form in Modern-English.

1. beat; beat; beaten, beat. Anglosaxon beátan; beót; beáten. OldEnglish beten; bete, but also bette (Piers Plovghm.); beten, ybete, bete, bet.

The Old-English form bette shews a passing over into the weak conjugation. The participle beat no longer often cited. Compare on the other hand: Had your heart newer beat for any of the noble youth? (L. Broon).
2. fall; fell; fallen (fall'n often with poets), in composition mostly befal; befel; befallen. Anglosaxon feallan; feóll, feallen. Old-English fallen; fel, fil, fille; fallen.

The invasion of the vowel of the preterite into the participle is remarkable: Sure some disaster has befel; Speak, nurse! I hope the boy is well? (Gay). The participle fell is said to belong to the Londoner of the lower sphere.
3. hold; held; holden, held; likewise behold \&c. Anglosaxon healdan, behealdan; heóld; healden. In Old-English we often find here an interchange of vowels in the singular and plural of the preterite, and even of the present: halden, holden (halt 3. pers. sing. pres., plur. holden Piers Ploughm.); pret. sing. hult, bihuld, plur hulde (Rob. of Gloucester), sing. heeld, plur. helden (Piers Ploughm.), also sing. halde, plur. halden (Halliwell); part holden, hold, atheld (Halliwell s. v.).

The participles upholden and withoolden pass as obsolete. Shakspeare has the participles held and holden alongside each other. As fill alongside of fell, so hild occurs alongside of hold (see Halliwell s. v.) and is still to be met with in dialects.
4. blow; blew; blown. Anglosaxon blâvan; bleóv; blâven, flare. The English verb blow, belongs to the Anglosaxon blôvan, florere, which was probably likewise a strong verb (bleóv; blôven), so thiat both might coincide in their forms. Old-English blawen (Halliwell s. v.), blowen ; blew; blowen, yblowe, blow, blawun (id.).
5. throw; threw; thrown. Anglosaxon prâvan; preóv; prâven. OldEnglish thrawen, throwen ; threw; throwen, throw.
6. know; knew; known. Anglosaxon cnâvan; cneóv; cnâven. OldEnglish knawen, knowen; knew, knowen, know, beknawe.
7. crow; crew; *crown, crowed. In Anglosaxon the corresponding word does not occur, but is to be inferred: crâvan; creóv; crâven. Old-English crawen; crew, creew (Maundev.); crowen; crowe.
8. grow; grew; grown. Anglosaxon grôvan; greóv; grôven. Old-English growen; grew; growen, grofen (Halliwell s. v.).

Among the verbs in $\delta w$, inclining to the formation of a weak preterite, is grow in the olden time: It growed to a gret tree (Maundev, p. 117.). Though nevere green growed (Piers Plovgha. p. 275.); for which also groved stands, for instance Towsel. Myst. p. 12. The employment of the weak forms blowed, throwed, drawed (Совввтт) \&c. is therefore uot new. In the seventeenth century blow'd, throw' $d$, crow' $d$, draw'd \&c. passed among grammarians as preterites and participles with a warrant equal to that of the strong forms.
The following verbs have in Modern-English exchanged their strong preterite with the weak one:
9. hew; hewed; hewn and hewed. Anglosaxon heávan; heóv; heáven. Old-English hewen; hew; hewen. Anglosaxon also has a weak verb heávjan.
10. mow; mowed; mown and mowed. Anglosaxon mâvan; meóv; mâven. Old-English moven; mew; mowen. The preterite mew is still in use in Northern and East-Anglian dialects.
11. sow; sowed; sown and sowed. Anglosaxon sâvan; seóv; sâven. Old-English sowen; sew; sowen, sow. The preterite sew is found in several dialects, as in Lincolnshire.

The two following verbs have weak forms in Anglosaxon, but seem to have been early assimilated to the preceding ones in the participle:
12. show, shew; showed, shewed; shown. Anglosaxon scavjan, sceavjan; -ôde; -ôd, aspicere. Old-English, and commonly, shewen; shewed (sheud Halliwell s. v.); shewed; but in Old-Scottish schaw; participle schawin. Dialectically even the preterite shew shews itself in Essex.
13. strew, strow, even *straw still in Northern dialects; strewed, strowed; strewn, strown, strowed, strewed. Anglosaxon strevjan, streávjan, streóvjan; -ôde, -ôd. Old-England strewen; strewed; strewed (Townel. Myst. p. 180.).

There have passed over out of the fourth class on account of their final guttural sound:
14. draw; drew; drawn. Anglosaxon dragan; drôg; dragen. OldEnglish drawen, dray (Townel. Myst. p. 49.); dro3, drowz, drogh, drough, drow, drw (= drew); drawen, drawe, drayne (Halliwell s. v.).
15. slay; slew; slain. Anglosaxon slahan, sleahan, slagan, contracted slean, slân; shlóh, plur. slôgon; slagen, slägen, slegen. Old-English
sleen, slee, sle, sla, slone, sloo, slo (Dame Siriz p. 7.); slowgh, slough, slou (Rob. of Gloucester pl. slowe), slow, slew; slawen, yslawe, slawe, sloon (Halliw. s. v.), slain.

Both verbs are treated analogously in Old-English, yet the contracted Anglosaxon forms of the latter had preponderant influence; the entrance of the ew in the preterite is more modern than that of other forms.
16. fly; flew; flown. Anglosaxon fleógan; sing. fleáh, plur. flugon; flogen, volare, which mingled with fleóhan, fleón; sing. fleáh, plur. flugon; flogen, fugere, although English has partly distributed the meaning between flee (see above) and $f y$. In Old-English they are still less to be separated than in Modern-English. Old-English fleen, flee, fle, flene, flyne, fley; fleghe, fleigh, fleih, flaugh, flew; yflowe (Rob. of Gloucester), fleyne (id.), flaine.

Some verbs of this class, which Old-English still exhibits alongside of others which have passed over into others are: wepen, see above to weep p. 342. - falden, folden; feld; folden, fold (unfolden), Anglosaxon fealdan, whence the participlc folden reaches into Modern-English. ModernEnglish to fold. - walken; welke (Perceval 209.), ivit; walke, wolke. Anglosaxon vealcan; veólc; vealcen. Modern-English to walk. -- From the fourth class there passes over occasionally gnawen; gnew (thus still in Suffolk) alongside of gnoghe, gnowe; gnawen. Anglosaxon gnagan; gnôg; gnagen. Modern-English to gnaw. See above p. 367. - dawen; dewe (Halliwell s. v.) points to an Anglosaxon dagan; dôg; dagen which cannot be shewn. Modern-English to dawn. The dialectical snew, snown, from snawen, is perhaps only an unjustified imitation; Anglosaxon knows only snîvan, sing. snâv, plur. snivon; sniven, and the verb to snow seems denominative. From the sixth class the preterite brew (Piers Plovghm. p. 90.) belongs here, although the plural of the preterite browe occurs in Rob. of Gloucester and the participle browen elsewhere. Anglosaxon breóvan; sing. breáv, plur. bruvon; broven. Modern-English to brew.
Eighth Class. This second class of originally reduplicated verbs has no longer a verb to exhibit in Modern-English, the verb hang, which belonged here, having passed over into the first strong conjugation. In Anglosaxon the present has $a, \hat{a}, x$, the preterite sing. and plur. $\hat{e}$, the perfect participle $a, \hat{a}, \infty$. Old-English gave $e$ to the preterite and preserved to the participle the vowel of the present.

Old-English verbs of the class are: hangen, hongen, mostly transitive, yet also intransitive; henge, linge; hangen, hongen, honge. Anglosaxon hangan; hêng; hangen. Modern-English hang; hung; hung. Yet the intransitive is early in use hangen, hongen; hanged; hanged \&c. Anglosaxon hangjan; -ôde; -ôd, pendere, mingled with the strong verb. fangen, fongen; feng, aveng (Rob. op Gloucester); fangen, fongen, capere accipere. Anglosaxon fangan, fôn; fêng; fangen, yet here o early presses into the preterite: fong and even the weak form: underfonged (Piers Ploughm.). - gangen see irregular verb go. - greten; grete; greten, grete, also igroten. Anglosaxon grætan; grêt; græten, whence still greit, preterite grat in Northern dialects and Scotland, with the participle grutten

Others have passed over into the weak conjugation, as haten. See light p. 352. laten, see p. 350. slepen, see p. 342. Even in Old-English dreden, adreden has degenerated, participle drad, adrad, but also. adred (Ritsos). Modern-English adread. Anglosaxon â-drædan; -drêd; -dræden.

## Irregular Verbs.

Under this name we comprehend a number of verbs whose anomalies are not explained by the linguistic processes hitherto discussed. Here belong:
a) The verb $b e$, springing from several verbal stems. Anglosaxon beón.

Present Indicative.
Conjunctive.

| S. 1. am | $\left.\right\|_{\text {art }} 2 .$ |  | ${ }_{\text {are }}^{\text {Pl. 1.2. }} 3 .$ | S. 1.2.3. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pl. 1. 2.3. } \\ & \text { be } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angl. eom | eart | is | sind (sindon,sint) | sie(sig,si,seó) | sîen (sîn) |
| beom | bist | biす | beóす |  |  |
| Old-Engl. am | art (arte) | is | aren (arn) |  |  |
|  | bist, byste | bep | bep, beth, beyth | b | ben (be) |
|  | (beys, | bez | and ben, be |  |  |

Preterite Indicative.
Conjunctive.


Modern-English has in general use given up a number of forms, still possessed by Old-English. Moreover the forms from be have not been given up in poetry, where beest also occurs for the conjunctive. Bee, beést, bee, plur. bee, are given by J. Wallis as regular forms for the conjunctive, yet also for the indicative: If thou beest Stephano, touch me (Shaksp. Temp.). If thou beest he (Milton P. L. I. 84.); particularly in the plural: There be some sports are painful (Shaksp. Temp.). Those be rubies (id. Mids. N. Dr.). And who be they (L. Byron). There be more things to greet the heart and eyes (id.). - Bez instead of beth in the singular in Longtoft's Chron. p. 244. Bees as 3. pers. sing. and 1. 2. 3. pers. plur. is frequent in the Towneley Mysteries. Thou beys Skelton still has, as he also still employs be for the second person plural: Ye be an apte man (I. 36.), whereas it was subsequently frequently used for the third person. The plural beth: We beth bretheren (Piers Plovghman p. 391., is still found in Skelton as beyth. Be for the first person, as well as for all others of the singular and plural of the indicative, not merely of the present, is peculiar to many dialects. Bin, which proceeds from the plural ben, stands dialectically for are, were and is; it is also found for is in ancient dramatists. Chalmers quotes out of Shakspeare :

With every thing that pretty bin, and Lord Byron writes: There bin another pious reason. Be instead of been is still familiar to the sixteenth century: The Pardoner and the Frere p. 95. For is, es sometimes occurs in Old-English (Halliwell v. fame 2.). - In the preterite wast and wert are subsequent formations; although the latter at present passes for the conjunctive, yet even now as well as formerly it still stands as the indicative form: Wert thou alone? (Congreve). Thou wert godlike E'en then (id.). Thou wert the throne and grave of empires (L. Byron). When all were changing thou alone wert true (id.). I turned to thee, for thou wert near (Bryant). Instead of weast, was formerly occurs: Sithene was thou straynede one the crosse (Mss. in Halliwell v. straine); waste in Skelton I. 260. The genuine verbal form thou were is still in Shakspeare (K. Lear.). Was as 2. pers. plur. often occurs: I'll pepper you better than ever you was peppered (Fielding). It is here and there regarded as the regular form. The employment of am, as well as of $b e$, for all persons: he'm, we'm, you'm \&c. in Northampton, Bedford, Somerset \&c., is dialectical, as also are occurs for the singular: I are, he are \&c. The rejection of the initial vowels of the verb has pressed from the popular into the written language: $I$ ' $m$ in love (Longfellow). Thou ' $r t$ gone (Bryant). You 're a child (L. Byron). She's in Madrid (Longfellow). How 's this? (id.), as n'as instead of no was, was not are found.
b) The verb do. Anglosaxon dôn.

Present Indicative.


## Conjunctive.



|  | $\mathrm{I}$ |  | Perfect. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dô, dố |  |  |
| Engl. | do, dot | , doand, | $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~d}$ |
| doone, doe, do | dooth | doing |  |

Modern-English Grammar no longer cites the older forms of the conjunctive; the indicative forms, where varying from these, are used for them. The forms dost and doest are now understood to be so distinct, that doest is to be used in a pregnant sense, dost as a periphrastic verbal form (auxiliary verb). The elision of the $o$ in $d o$ is familiar to rapid speech in few contexts: „So soon returned!" old Dobson cries. „So soon d'ye call it?" Death replies (Mss. Thrale). Hence the popular verbs don, dout, dup, instead of do on, out, up, the two former of which occur in Shakspeare. Compare also: I would don my hose of homespun gray (Longfellow). The spelling doe for do occurs even in the seventeenth century.
c) The verb go, which completes its preterite by another verbal stem, Anglosaxon gangan, gân, see p. 375.

## Present Indicative.

Conjunctive.

| ${ }_{g o}^{\text {s. }} 1 .$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2 . \\ \text { goest } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 3 . \\ \text { goes } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { pl. 1. 2. } 3 . \\ & g o \end{aligned}\right.$ | s. 1.2.3. | $g_{g_{0}} \mathrm{pl} 1.2 .3 .$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angl. gange (gâ) | gæst | gæs | gangâ才(gâd) | gange'(gâ) | gangen (gân) |
| Old-Engl. go, go | goest | gooth, | gooth, goth | go, ga | gon, gange |



Preterite Indicative.
Conjunctive.

| Imperative. | Part. Pres. going | Perfect. gone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gang (gâ), gâd | gangende | gangen (gân) |
| go, goth | ganging | ygon, gon, ygo |
|  |  |  |

The fuller forms from gangen do not frequently occur in Old-English. For the preterite belonging to it there is frequently substituted, even in Anglosaxon, a weak verb of another stem: eode; the forms yede, yeade \&c. still occur in Spenser, and even now yewd and yod are said to be in use in the North of England. The verb wend, which also occur in the present: If, maiden thou wouldst wend with me To leave both tower and town (W. Scott), underwent even in Old-English the transformation of the de into $t$. See above p. 348. In ago the old abbreviated participial form is still preserved: My sparowe is go (Skelton I. 54.).
d) Finally there belong here a number of the so-called preteritopresentia, or past-presents, that is, those verbs in which an originally strong preterite enters as a present and receives a new preterite of the weak conjugation, which in Anglosaxon was formed after the first weak conjugation. They have been mostly incompletely preserved in Modern-English.

## Present Indicative.

| S. 1. | 2. | 3. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | canst | can |  |  |  |
| nn (can) | canst (cunne) | cann (can) | cunnon |  |  |
| Engl. kan, | canst | kan | konnen, | konne | konnen, |

Conjunctive.

$\underset{\text { Angl. cann (can) }}{\text { can }}$| canst |
| :--- | :--- |
| canst (cunne) |
| cann (can) |

Old-Engl. kan, canst can
gange, gonge, go, gaa, ga.

## initive. go

Angl. gangan, gân
Old-Engl gan, g.gan, gog , go,

Preterite Indicative.






Conjunctive. * con

Angl. cunnan, valere, posse, scire Old-Engl. connen, conne.

The infinitive con is still in use in the sense of to study, to commit to memory; obsolete in the sense of to know (still in Shakspeare); the perfect participle stands in the compound: uncouth. Anglosaxon uncûd, incognitus. The $l$ in could has been inserted in modern times from a false analogy to shall, will. Skelton still writes without $l$ : I would ye coud (I. 26.). The participle cunning, which sounds like the Anglosaxon substantive, is an adjective. As to the rejection of st in: Thou can (Skelton I. 260. 263 \&c.) see p 331.
2. dare.

Present Indicative.


## Preterite Indicative.

Conjunctive.

| $\text { s. } 1 .$ <br> should | 2. shouldst | 3. should | pl. 1. 2. should | $\text { s. 1. 2. } 3$ | . 3. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scolde | scoldest | scolde | scoldo | scoldë | scold |
| (sceolde) | (sceoldest) | (sceolde) | (sceoldon) | (sceoldë) | (sceoldën) |
| Engl. sholde, shulde | sholdest. shuldest | sholde, shulde | sholden, shulden, sholde | sholde, shulde | sholden, shulden,-e | Infinitive.

Angl. sculan
In this verb the rejection of the $t$ of the second person singular of the present was very frequent: Then shal thou se (Halliwell s. v. slaght.), s. p. 331. The abbreviation of the shall into Ise, I'is, we's yeis (ye shall), seems remarkable, as it occurs in Northern dialects and in Scottish. The $s$ is the remnant of shall, with whose $l l$ the preceding vowel also perished. We also find the verb shortened into $s h$ : By Iys Ish lug the by the swete eares (The Pardoner and the Frere p. 122.). Ish knocke the on the costarde (18.). $l$ in the North of England and in Scotland is confessedly often thrown off.
4. may.

## Present Indicative.

Angl. mäg
Old-Engl. (mow) may

| sent Indicativ |  |  |  | s. 1. 2. 3. $\mid$ pl. 1. 2. 3. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| may s. 1. | mayst |  | pl. 1. 2. 3. |  |  |
| Angl. mäg | mayst |  | may |  |  |
|  | meaht, | mäg | magon | mage, mäge | magën, mägën |
|  | miht |  | (mugon?) |  |  |
| Old-Engl. (mow) may | myht, | may | mowen, | mowe | mowen |
|  | maiest, |  | mowe, mow, |  |  |
|  | maist |  | may |  |  |

Conjunctive.

Preterite Indicative.

| s. 1 |  | , | P1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mightst | might | m |  |  |
| eahte, | hte | meahte, | meahton, | meahtë, | meahtën, |
|  | mihtest | mih | iht | mihtë |  |
|  | mightest | might | mighten | mighte | igh |
| , mo | \&c. | \&c. | \&c | \&c. |  |

Infinitive.

Angl. magan
Old-Engl. mowen, mowe (may?)
The old form of the second person singular of the indicative myht is still found a long time in Old-English: Amende thee, while thow myght (Piers Plovghm. p. 228.). The rejection of the inflective termination is not rare: No thing thou may take fro us (Maundev. p 294.). As thou may se thy self (Skelton I. 145.), s. p. 331. The forms in ow, o3, ough seem to have universally subsisted along with those in ay, igh, yet the latter might have been early more general. Rob. of Gloucester, for instance, has mizte.
5. will. This incomplete so called auxiliary verb is to be entirely separated from the weakly inflected to will, Anglosaxon villjan; -ôde; -ôd, cupere.

Present Indicate.
Conjunctive.
will
s. 1. $\mid$ 2. $3 . \quad \mid \mathrm{pl}$ 1. 2. 3.

Angl. vilë (ville) vilt vilë(ville) villaす vilë (ville) villën
Old-Engl. wille, will wilt wille, will willeth, willen, wille willen,wiln wiln,
wole, wol wolt wole, wol wollep, wollen, wole \&c. wolen \&c.


## Infinitive.

## Angl. vislan.

The o has in Old-English early penetrated into the present (perhaps from the preterite) without the $i$ 's being supplanted thereby. The latter is found alongside of the former: Ich wille the love (Dame Siriz p. 5.). The forms in $o$ are in Rob of Gloucester. A remnant of the $o$ is preserved by the language in won't or wo' n't, that is wol not instead of will not, which cannot have sprung from would not, as many think. I woll is found even late (Jack Jugler p. 9.). The more complete wonot see in Abbot: That I wonot (Craven Dial. II p. 260.). The ou in the preterite did not gain more general diffusion till late. For the rejection of the inflection of the second person: Thou will (Percy Rel 111. I.), see p. 331. The more rapid speech often throws off the stem of the verb down to the final sound, often the whole stem down to the inflection, after vowels before other parts of speech, particularly before a verb: I' $l l$ sigh and weep (Sharsp Two G. of Ver.). You'll disturb the abbot at his prayers (Longfellow). We'll speak more largely Of Preciosa (id.). I'd put a girdle round about the earth In forty minutes (Shaksp. Mids. N. Dr.). Cock's soul! thou 'dst rather play (Longfellow). - Old-English also possessed the negative verb arising by composition with ne and the rejection of the primitive $v$ : nille, nilt, nille \&c ; nolde, noldest \&c.; nolo, nolui. Anglosaxon nylle, nylt, nylle; nyllad; nolde \&c. Use is still made of it in Modern-English: Will he nill he (Shaкsp. Haml. 5, 1.). Will you nill you (Tam. of the Shrew 2, 1.). To will or nill, to thinke things good or bad Alike with me (B. Jonson Cataline); and hence still in the popular language : willy nilly $=$ will ye nill ye.
6. *mote. Of this only the preterite must, which even passes into the present signification, has remained in the more general use.

Present Indicative.

| Present Indicative. |  |  |  | Conjunctive. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s. 1. | 2. | 3. | pl. 1. 2. 3. | s.1.2. | pl.1.2.3. |
| Angl. môt | môst | môt |  | mo | mo |
| Old-Engl. mot, mot | (most) mote | mote | moten, mote, mot (R OFGI) | mote | mote |

Preterite Indicative.

| s. 1. | 2. | 3. | pl. 1. 2. 3. | s.1.2.3. | .1.2.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| must | must | must |  | - |  |
| Angl. môste | môstest | môste | môston | môstë | môstën |
| Old-Engl.moste,most | mostest | moste | [mosten, must | moste | most |

Infinitive.

## Angl. môtan

We still occasionally see use made of the ancient mote, yet partly without a clear consciousness of its grammatical nature: Whate'er this grief mote be, which he could not control (L. Byron). Compare Old-English: Men mooten given silver to the pore freres (Chaccer 232.). Ever blissid mot thay be (Townel. Myst. p. 293.). Amen! so mot hyt be! (History of Freemas. p. 36.).
7. *wit, is a verb now but little used in Modern-English, although very familiar to Old-English, whose infinitive wit still occurs in the chancery style and adverbially as to wit, videlicet. Alongside of it is placed the likewise obsolete infinitive form to weet, but to which wot, wote is falsely taken to be the preterite. Much unclearness prevails about the grammatical relations of these forms, which is easily removed by the ocular statement of their origin.

## Present Indicative.

s. 1.

* wot

Angl. vât
Old-Engl. wot, wote

| 2. | $*_{*} 3$. | * pl. 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vâst | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * wot } \\ & \text { wât } \end{aligned}$ | * wot |
| ost | wot, | witen, weten |
| otest | wytep (Hall | wytep (R. of GL. |
|  | v.wite), woteth | I. 5.), woten, wote |

Conjunctive. | s. 1.2.3. | pl. 1.2.3. |
| :---: | :---: |
| vitë | $\begin{array}{c}\text { vitën } \\ \text { wite } \\ \text { \& } \\ \text { witen } \\ \text { \&c. }\end{array}$ |

Preterite Indicative.
Angl. viste (visse)
Old-Engl. wiste, wyste, wistest \&c. wiste\&c.
wuste, weste
(D.Sir.p.4.)

| 2. |
| :---: |
| - <br> vistest <br> (vissest) <br> wistest \&c. |


$|$| 3. |
| :---: |
| * wist |
| viste |
| (visse) |
| wiste\&c. |

pl. 1.2.3.

* wist
viston
(visson)
sten, wuste


The verb to wis = to know, given in the dictionaries, is an assumption, which seems to find support in the frequent $I$ wis. It has been already pointed out that this formula, Old-English ywis, was originally nothing elso than the Anglosaxon geviss = certus. The genuine to wiss, Old-English wissen, is the Anglosaxon weak verb vîsjan, vissjan, regere, docere, whose meaning it also retains, and has originally naught to do with the one before us. Modern poets use our verb here and there. Shakspeare has, I wot, you wot, they wot, and has even formed a participle wotting (Winter's Tale 3, 2. ed. Collier). Of the moderns compare: God wot (H. Walpole). How is that young . . Gaditana That you both wot of? (Longfellow). Sudden he gazed and wist not what to do (Parnell). They laid them in the place of graves, yet wist not whose (bones) they were (Bryant). - The old forms wotest, woteth, wyteth, as well as those with $o$ in the plural of the present, belong to an unwarrantable assimilation. - Old-English has also a negative verb, whence niste, nisten. Anglosaxon nât, nâst, niton \&c.; nisse, niste \&c.; nescire.
8. To this class belongs, finally, the verb owe, Anglosaxon âgan, properly to hold, then: to owe, be indebted. In these meanings the preterite that has become a present is now conjugated weakly, and furnished with a preterite and participle owed; owed; and therewith remains to it ought with the same significations, resting upon the Anglosaxon preterite. Besides, the preterite ought appears in the sense of a present and preterite with the meaning of duty or of necessity. We disregard the weak forms owe; owed; owed, and only give the English forms founded immediately upon the Anglosaxon. Old-English has moreover annexed the meaning of indebtedness and necessity to the present and preterite, and used this preterite even in the present sense. Compare: Guy of Warwick p. 7. Chaucer 11934.

| Present Indicative. |  |  |  | Conjunctive. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s. 1. | 2. | 3. | pl. 1. 2. 3. | s.1.2.3. | pl. 1.2. |
|  |  | âh |  |  |  |
| Old-Engl. aw | (awe?) | awe | aw | av | aw |
| owe |  | owe | owen, ow | owe | owen |

Preterite Indicative.

| s. 1. | 2. | 3. | pl. 1. 2.3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ought | oughtest | ought | ought | - |  |
| Angl. âhte | âhtest, | âhte | âhton |  |  |
| Old-Engl. ahte, azte, | aughtest, | aughte, | aughten, | aughte \&c. |  |
| augte, augh- | oughtest | oughte | oughten, |  | \&c. |
| te, ought |  | \&c. | oughte |  |  |

Angl. âhte | $\begin{array}{l}\text { auzte, augh- oughtest } \\ \text { te, ought }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { oughte } \\ \text { \&c. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { oughten, } \\ \text { oughte }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |



The Old-English also used ought as an impersonal verb, like oportet: Wel ought us werche, and idelnesse withstond (Chaccer 15482. Tyrwh.).

Among the lost preterito-presentia of the Anglosaxon is unnan, pres. ann; pret. ûđe; part. geunnen, amare, largiri; and munan, pres. man (plur. munon); pret. munde; part. munen; cogitare, putare; which answers to the Old-norse munu; pres. mun, plur. munum. The latter served, like the Greck $\mu \varepsilon \lambda_{\lambda \varepsilon I \nu}$ to form the periphrastic future. With that agrees the Old-English mon, mun, moun: Where I am ye moun not come (Wicliffe Joh. VII.). Ye moun not serve God and richesse (id. Matth. VI.), which Chaucer presents several times in Tyrwhitts edition, where Wright has may. This form might certainly be also equivalent to mowen (from may); yet it is remarkable that even now they say in the North of England munn'e, munto, munna, which is usually explained by must $I$, thou, he; ye mun do it etc. I dare not claim unconditionally the Anglosaxon munan for this form. Even the preterito-presents purfan, pres. sing. pearf, plur. purfon; pret. porfte, indigere, opus habere, is lost. We may, however, presume this verb in the Old-English tharen, tharne (Townel. Mrst. p. 126.), in which $f$ has been cast off, as it likewise does not appear in the corresponding Danish turde. Compare: What thar the recch or care How merily that other folkes fare? (Chaucer 5911.) as so often impersonally, but also personally: He thar nat weene wel that evyl doth (id. 4318.). The $a$ of the infinitive comes from the present. Compare also Halliwele s vv. thare, tharne.

## Compound and Peri hrastic Tenses.

The poverty of the English language in inflective forms of the verb renders the use of auxiliary verbs necessary to determine more particularly, partly the relations of the activity to the sphere of time, partly the subjective relation of the speaker to the predicate, finally, to gain passive tenses.

We are wont to term have, be, shall, will, can, may, do, ought, must, let auxiliary verbs. We do this on the one hand, so far as they do not by themselves make up the predicate, but only in union with the participle or infinitive of another verb; but in this case we might augment their number to an indefinite extent. On the other hand it is assumed that by those verbs with their complements those relations of the verb are expressed which, in tongues of richer development of forms, are represented by tenses and moods. But here we manifestly go too far, since, for example, the certainly modal determinations contained in can, must \&c., are expressed with decision by no verbal form in any tongue whatever.

The doctrine of forms has to do primarily only with the statement of those combinations of participles and infinitives with verbs of that class by which inflective forms of the verb existing in other tongues are supplied.

So far as the auxiliary verbs coming here under review offer
only two inflective forms of time, they often appear themselves compounded in periphrastic forms.

1. The tenses of the active voice gained by composition are essentially preserved by have, shall and will. How far be comes under review here is a matter for syntax.

Tenses of the present time are completed in the following manner; the perfect: I have been, had, loved: The future: I shall (will) be, have, love; when the first person receives shall, the two others will in the singular and plural: The future perfect: I shall (will) have been, had, loved.

## Tenses of the past:

The plusquamperfectum: I had been, had, loved. The imperfect of the future: I should (would) be, have, love. The plusquamperfectum of the future: I should (would) have been, had, loved.

Middle forms:
The compound gerund (participle): having been, had, loved. The infinitive of the past: to have been, had, loved.
2. The verb may may serve for the periphrastic formation of the conjunctive in its simple and compound forms; upon which syntax has to give more particular explanation. The completion of a few forms of the imperative is given by the verb let: Let me, him, us, them be \&c.
3. The verb be with the participle of the perfect is substituted for all passive forms:

Tenses of the present:
Present: I am loved. Perfect: I have been loved. Future: I shall (will) be loved. Future perfect: I shall (will) have been loved.

Tenses of the past:
Preterite: I was loved, Plusquamperfectum: I had been loved. Imperfect of the future: I should (would) be loved. Plusquamperfectum of the future: I should (would) have been loved.

Middle forms:
The gerunds: of the present, being loved; of the past, having been loved.
The infinitive: of the present, to be loved; of the past, to have been loved.
Imperative: be (thou, you) loved; let me, him, us, them be loved.
4. Periphrastic forms of another sort, neither serving as substitutes for non-existent tenses, nor expressing modal relations of the predicate, are familiar both to Modern- and Old-English. They are shades of the notion of activity itself, for which, strictly speaking, no other verbal form could be substituted.

Here belongs the periphrasis with be with the participle or gerund of the present; as: The wind is roaring (Longfellow). The day is drawing to its close (id.). We had been wandering for many days (Whittier). Old-English: Syngynge he was or flowtynge,
al the day (Chaccer 91.). We han ben waytynge al this fourtenight (931.). Here the verb of the predicate is resolved in such a manner that the activity is to be taken as a determination or quality cleaving to the subject, where the image of involution with or perseverance in the activity is approximate. Another periphrasis with be is that in which the infinitive is joined with it: Your brother is to die (Sharspeare Meas. for Meas.). How is this to be reconciled with the doctrine of hereditariness? (Lewes). The infinitive with to expresses here, in connection with the preceding verb, the activity which the subject inclines to, strives towards or is designed for, where Old-English used to join for to the infinitive: gif that hit be for to done (Ms. in Halliwell v. for.).

A familiar periphrasis is that when the verb do precedes the simple infinitive: We do want a coach (Goldsmith). Bring the lamp, Elsie. Dost thou hear? (Longfellow). I did not write (Murray). Do thou love; do ye be loved (id.). Comp. Old-English: Do me endite Thy maydenes deth (Chaucer 11960.). This mode of expression, wherein the general precedes the particular notion of the activity, seems originally to admit the reduplication for the sake of emphasis, which, however, has been weakened by the progressive enchoachment of this periphrasis. Syntax has to shew in what manner Modern-English departs from the older usage in the employment of it, particularly in negative and interrogative sentences.

The statement of the forms hitherto cited conducts us to the domain of syntax, where the more particular diseussion of cognate phenomena will find its place.

## C) Particles.

1) The Adverb.

The adverb or word of circumstance serves to determine the notion of the activity. If the adverb determines another part of speech than the verb, this only happens so far as the fundamental notion of an activity is still perceived in it. If the adverb receives at the same time a reference to a substantive, it becomes a preposition; if it relates at the same time to an entire sentence, it takes the nature of a conjunction.
a) It serves primarily to express determinations of space. Here it is the determinations of the Where? Whither? and Whence? which are denoted in an interrogative, demonstrative or more particular manner.

1) To the where? refer: where? here; there; anywhere; elsewhere; somewhere; negatively nowhere. More particular determinations contain yonder; below; before; behind; within; without \&c. Yet the separation of the Where? from the Whither? is not always carried out; even where is extended to the latter by the usage of the tongue: And from the mart he's somewhere gone to dinner (Shakspeare Com. of Err.). We wish to inquire whence you came, and where you are going (Longfellow).
2) To express the Whence? whither? hither; thither; hitherward(s) and similar compounds serve, as, eastward, backward \&c., wherein however direction and movement coincide, and some others, as home \&c.
3) The Whither? is denoted by: whence? hence; thence, as well as combinations of determinations of space with a preceding from: from below; from above \&c., when we also even add from to the three characteristic adverbs whence? hence; thence: from whence? \&c., which appears a pleonasm, but is very usual.
b) The adverbs of time serve
4) To denote a point or space of time generally, in which the activity falls. Here belong the interrogative when? the generalising whensoever and the demonstrative then. In a more definite manner is denoted:
a) either the present, for instance, by now; at present; to day \&c.
$\beta$ ) or the past, as by yesterday; newly; lately; formerly; before; erewhile; of yore \&c., although here occasionally we may start even from a past point of time,
$\gamma$ ) or the future: to-morrow; soon; anon; hereafter; by and by \&c. when the standing-point from which the speaker starts, may again belong to various times.
5) They also express the continuance of the activity, as well as its extension from a point or up to a point of the line of time, as: long; longtime; still; ever; always; henceforth; henceforward; since; since then; hitherto; and negatively no longer; never.
6) So too the more or less frequent repetition of the activity is denoted by the adverb of time, as by again; once more; seldom: oft, often; oftentimes; sometimes; now and then; daily; weekly; monthly; yearly \&c.
7) Adverbs frequently have regard to the contemporaneousness or the temporal succession of activities, as is the case in then; after; afterward; forthwith; first; last, and others.
8) Finally, the adverb of time may receive a subjective tinge by a reference to the image of appropriateness or expectation and the contrary, as appears in early; late; betimes; already; sudden; suddenly and others.
c) Adverbs of manner denote in the most general sense a quality of the activity. As the adjective, the numeral and the adjective pronoun act in the determination of the substantive, so this adverb acts in the determination of the notion of activity, and comprises accordingly, besides qualitative determinations in the narrower sense, also demonstrative and quantitative ones, and, by analogy to the negative indefinite pronoun, the negation in the sentence. Thus these adverbs comprise:
9) Adverbs of manner in the narrower sense:
a) as interrogative and demonstrative ones: how? so; thus; or indeterminate ones: somehow \&c.
$\beta$ ) and with a more particular notional determination: well; wisely; admirably; foolishly; slowly; quickly; at random; by stealth \&c.
10) Adverbs of determination of quantity and degree: little; enough; half; much; abundantly; plentifully; exceedingly; superfluously; scarce; hardly; nearly; almost; quite; all; even \&c. also interrogative and comparative: how much?, as, so \&c. We may also assign here the terms for the repetition of the activity definite times, as once; twice; thrice \&c. How near, moreover, determinations of degree and qualitative determinations border on one another, is seen in some of the adverbs cited, as well as in forms like intensely; mightily and similar ones, in which the mode of the activity at the same time includes the degree.
11) Adverbs denoting the not merely temporal succession of actions, as first; firstly; secondly; finally; lastly \&c., or the order of rank in the narrower sense, as principally; chiefly; rather \&c., or the additional relation, in which that of outbidding may at the same time be contained, as further; besides and moreover. To these may also be added the expressions for the communion of the action, as in together, or the separateness, as in asunder; apart; separately, as well as for the interchange: alternally; alternately; by turns \&c.
12) As a particular class we must cite that of the sentential adverbs, which repose formally upon the notion of the activity, but properly express a judgment of the speaker with regard to the predicate attributed to the subject.
a) They appear in part as affirmative asseverations: truly; certainly; verily; surely; really; indeed; forsooth \&c., to which originally very belongs:
$\beta$ ) in part as terms of possibility, probability or doubt: likely; probably; possibly, peradventure, perhaps \&c.:
$\gamma$ ) or, they are negations taking away the reference of the subject to the predicate, as not; not at all, by no means, noway, noways \&c.
d) or, they are absolute affirmations or negations, which removed out of a sentence, strengthen or take away its matter, as $a y$, yes, yea, and no, nay, for which other adverbs annexed to the predicate may also be substituted, or which may be strengthened by these, as indeed \&c., not at all \&c.
d) The adverbs of causality act in great part also as conjunctions, not containing themselves the causal determination of the action, but denoting it retrospectively, as if contained in another sentence. Here belong, with the exception of the interrogative why?, the adverbs wherefore; therefore; hence; consequently; accordingly \&c., to which adversative ones, as nevertheless \&c., are also annexed.

## Origin and Form of Adverbs.

The adverbs of the English tongue are partly simple, partly,
and that frequently, compound words. In the composition, however, there is mostly only to be perceived an arrangement of parts of speech referred to one another, which are combined under a unity of accent. Compare: somedeal (some deal), otherwise, away (Anglosaxon onvêg, âvêg), asunder (Anglosaxon on sundran, âsundron); whereever (where ever) \&c.

Adverbs are developed from substantives, adjectives, numerals and pronouns; the bulk of them rests upon adjectives. With respect to their form and, in particular, to their derivative terminations, they are attached to the Anglosaxon; the Romance element of the tongue accommodates itself to the Anglosaxon form. The blunting of the Anglosaxon final vowels and final syllables, certainly takes from them, particularly in Modern-English, their characteristic forms, but they have adopted no Romance compensation for this loss.

For the simple adverb or that formed by the blending together of different parts of speech a preposition with a noun after it is often substituted, which may be regarded as the expression of or as the periphrasis for a simple adverbial notion. The boundary between these periphrases and developed adverbial sentential determinations is scarcely to be specified, and in point of fact indifferent for syntax. We therefore also cite among adverbs a succession of familiar prepositional adverbs, which appear especially in vocal fusion.
a) Substantive adverbs:

The case of a substantive may become the determination of the notion of the activity in such a manner that it no longer appears qualified immediately or mediately by the verb; thus it receives the nature of an adverb no longer annexed to the predicative verb.

The genitive has but seldom been preserved as the adverbial case of a genuine substantive. Here still belongs in Modern-English needs (Anglosaxon neádes? neád f., gen. neáde; perhaps formed after the genitives villes; sponte; unvilles, invite; gevealdes, sponte; ungevealdes, invite, fortuito). Old-English had also: his thankes; hir thankes; here unthankes, that is, libenter and ingratis, as well as the Anglosaxon pances; heora âgnes pances, eorum voluntate; moreover his godes (Maundev. p. 135.). In Modern-English also the obsolescent straightways along with straightway, and longways, perhaps also sideways, unless confounded with sidewise, as lenghtways stood along with lengthwise, belong here. In Shakspeare: Come a little nearer this ways (Merry Wiv. 2, 2. ed. Collier) is remarkable. The adays now occurring particularly in the compound now-a-days, perhaps rests no less upon the genitive termination. Thus we have the Anglosaxon îdäges, hodie, where $\hat{\imath}$ is not quite clear (compare ydäg, hodie), and a dayes in Piers Ploughman quite answers to the Highdutch eines Tages, for which the Anglosaxon nihtes, offers an analogy. The obsolete anothergates (Hudibras), also cannot be otherwise taken than as a genitive.
whilom, Anglosaxon hvîlum, hvîlon may pass for a primitive dative of the plural. We must also regard the adverbial piecemeal, as a remnant of a dative, a hybrid representative of the Anglosaxon styccemcelum, frustatim (mælum dat. pl. from mæl n.). Compare Anglosaxon dcelmoelum, partim; dropmcelum, guttatim; bitmcelum,
frustillatim; limmoelum, frustatim; heápmoelum, acervatim \&c. OldEnglish also had flocmele, Anglosaxon flocmælum, gregatim; stoundemele, Anglosaxon stundmælum, mox, every moment; parcelmeie, by parcels, which are found in Chaucer. The compounds in meel are moreover treated also as singular or plural substantives, and joined with $b y$, as even in Rob. of Gloucester along with pecemel (1.22.) also by pece mele stands (I. 216.), and so in Shakspeare by inchmeal (Temp. 2, 2.). Finally, ever and therefore never may be datives, Anglosaxon afre (æfer), according to Ettmüller, the datives from afer; and nafre (næfor).
A few accusatives have likewise been preserved: home, Anglosaxon hâm, domum; back, retro, for which in Anglosaxon on (after, under), bäc commonly stands. On the other hand down, Anglosaxon dûn f., mons, is only a contraction from adown, Anglosaxou âdûne and ofdûne, deorsum, as faith has originated from in faith. Cheap, where it stands for cheaply, reminds us of the Anglosaxon orĉ̂pê, gratis (instrumental) but can be regarded as the accusative from the Anglosaxon ceáp, which also passes as an English adjective. Here belong moreover the accusatives of the regions of the heavens, when used adverbially: north, Anglosaxon nord, south, Anglosaxon sû才, east, Anglosaxon eást, west, Anglosaxon vest, septentrionem, meridiem, orientem, occidentem versus. Compare: The plains, that, toward the southern sky, Fenced east and west by mountains, lie (Bryant). Thus especially the adverbs compounded with way, wise, deal, while and time, also in the plural, are originally accusatives, as: straightway, noway, alway, more frequently always, Old-English alway, Anglosaxon ealne vëg, also noways, alongside of which in Old-English algate and algates, i. e. always, subsisted; midway, also half-way; otherwise, also otherguise, Anglosaxon (on) ôdre vîsan; nowise, hence also the compounds coastwise; corner-wise, i. e. diagonally; endwise, i. e. erectly, whose last substantive appears here and there corrupted with regard to form guise in guess, as in otherguess; somedeal, in some degree (obsolete), Old-English som del, as every del, Anglosaxon sumne dæl; awhile, (wherein the preposition $\hat{a}$, on is perhaps not to be sought, compare the while, all the while, a little while); somewhile, Anglosaxon sume hville, somhvîle, aliquando, interdum; otherwhile and otherwhiles, Anglosaxon ôderhvile, interdum; meanwhile; sometime (Shakspeare Temp. 2, 2.) (this the older form), and sometimes; meantime. The compound of time with the adverb oft is not yet quite abandoned: It reckons with me ofttimes for pain, and sometimes pleasure (L. Byron); oftentimes (Shaksp.), Old-English oftime, as seldentime along with ofte sithes, often sithes, from the Anglosaxon sid, tempus, vicis. Here belong also yesterday, Anglosaxon adverb gistran and gëstran däg and yesternight as adverbs. Numerous other adverbial accusatives are not in the same manner expressed as adverbs. Old-English was richer in those of the latter sort; there we still find way, Anglosaxon veg, accus.: along with âveg, onveg (Do way your hondes [Chancer]), fote hot, formed after the Old-French chaud le pied, and many more. aye, always, for ever, Old-English $a y$, is also to be regarded as an accusative,

Anglosaxon $\hat{a}(=\hat{a} v)$, dat. $\hat{a} v a$, aevum, belonging to the Gothic aivs; see Negative and Affirmative Particles.

In conclusion we may here mention the substantives which appear like adjectives with the adverbial ending -inga, -ënga, -unga and an $l$ prefixed: -l-inga, -l-unga. In English it is often confounded with the lang appearing in the often misunderstood endlong, (Old-England andelong, also endlonges (Maundev. p. 49.), Anglosaxon andlang, prepos. in longum); compare also Anglosaxon eastlang, vestlang, orientem, occidentem versus. Here belong the Old-English noseling (Halliw. s. v.), the Modern-English sidling, in a side or sloping way (Old-English sidelings = sideways), Scottish sydling is, and those formed from adjectives darkling, flatling, still very usual in the seventeenth century and in Siaksp. Temp. 2 , 1. where flatlong is mostly writen. The moderns have sidelong, headlong as well as flatlong. partlings \&c. is still used dialectically.
b) Adjective adverbs.

The adverb proceeding from the adjective shews itself as the case of an adjective. In composition with ly, appearing as a derivative syllable, which we shall next consider, we shall likewise recognize a case.

1) In the adverbial forms arising from cases of the adjective, apart from the adyerbs in $l y$, the genitive seldom participates in English. There have been preserved else; Anglosaxon elles from the pronominal adjective el, ele; Old-English elles, ellis, also elle, el; eftsoons (Shaksp.), also eftsones, eftsone; Anglosaxon sones and sona, English soon; and eft sona, post cito; unawares, also unaware (Milton), formerly unwares, in Shakspeare at unawares; Anglosaxon unväres; unethes along with uneath (Sharsp.) is obsolete, as in the Old-English unethes, uneth, Anglosaxon only uneáđe. Old-English also has allynges, omvino (compare above sidelings, partlings) formed from the Anglosaxon eallinga, -enga, -unga, so too mocheles = much, Anglosaxon mycel, mucel: Not mocheles more (Maundev. p. 291.). endlonges see above.

But the genitive wards along with the accusative ward in compounds is still frequent in old adverbs and imitations, Anglosaxon veardes: inwards, outwards, afterwards, upwards, downwards, backwards, northwards, homewards \&c. along with inward, outward, afterward, upward, downward, backward, northward, southward, eastward, homeward \&c., to which also belongs towards along with to ward, Anglosaxon tôveardes.

Mitford wrongly deems the forms in $s$ the later and Johnson afterwards worse than afterward. Anglosaxon has upveardes, niđerveardes, piderveardes, tôveardes, hâmveardes along with afterveard, hiderveard, upveard, niderveard, in neveard \&c. as equally correct adverbial forms.
Accusative forms are predominant as adverbs. Of accusatives of the masculine gender there is, however, hardly an instance, unless seldom, raro, belongs here, Anglosaxon sëldan (sëldon, sëldum) along with sëld, Old-English selden and selde (still
in the sixteenth century), as well as seld in Shakspeare. Compare moreover the Old-English o ferrom, Anglosaxon feorran.

As neuter accusative adverbs (withont mark of the case) we must regard: enough, Anglosaxon genôh, full, Anglosaxon full, adj. plenus, mostly in compounds, and those compounded of ward. The al appearing in compounds: almighty, already, almost \&c. answers to the Anglosaxon particle $\ddot{u l}$ : älmeahtig, älmæst \&c., but has completely coalesced with all, Anglosaxon eall (eal, al) in all-accomplished, all-beauteous, and the like.

But we often meet with accusative adverbs which belonged to the Anglosaxon weak declension and then ended in $e$, which frequently appears in Old-English, but has been cast off in Mo-dern-English, except where it was retained for phonetic reasons. Compare: Old-English longe, lowe, rathe (whence rather), bittre, softe, soore, starke, clene, harde, hote \&c. Here belongs the Mo-dern-English: evil, ill, Anglosaxon yfele, Old-norse illa, male; even, Anglosaxon ëfne, plane, aeque; eath, Anglosaxon eáđe, faciliter; much, Anglosaxon mycele, mycle, Old-English mochele, mochel, mickle, multum; little, Anglosaxon lytle, paullulum; light, Anglosaxon lîhte, leviter; like, Anglosaxon lîce, similiter; late, Anglosaxon late, tarde, sero; long, Anglosaxon lange, diu; right, Anglosaxon rihte, juste; rath (Milton), Old-English rathe, Anglosaxon rađe, räđe, cito; fair, Anglosaxon fägere, pulchre; fast, Anglosaxon fäste, firmiter; wide, Anglosaxon vîde, late, undique; deep, Anglosaxon deópe, profunde; dear, still sometimes used for dearly, Anglosaxou deópe, dŷre, care; thick, Anglosaxon picce, dense, frequenter; sore (obsolete), Anglosaxon sâre, graviter; soft, Anglosaxon sôfte, molliter, suaviter; small, Anglosaxon smale, subtiliter (compare: She has brown hair, and speaks small, like a woman ([Shaksp. Merry Wiv. 1, 1.]); still, Anglosaxon stille, quiete; clean, Anglosaxon clæne, penitus; high, Anglosaxon heáhe, alte; hard, Anglosaxon hearde, dure (also close).

Many existing adjectives of this sort are not to be shewn as Anglosaxon adverbs in their neuter form; but from a few we find adverbial comparatives and superlatives formed. Here belong: mighty, Anglosaxon adjective meahtig, mihtig; lief, Anglosaxon leóf; loud, Anglosaxon hlûd; low, compare Hollandish laag; ready, from Anglosaxon adjective räd, promptus, celer; pretty, from the Anglosaxon präte, ornatus; fain, Anglosaxon adjective fägen, lætus; thin, Anglosaxon adjective pynne; thwart, Anglosaxon pveorh, pvër \&cc., curvus, even Old-English with $t$. Compare Highdutch zwerch; sicker, Scottish sikker, Old-Highdutch adverb sichuro; sound, Anglosaxon adjective sund; sudden, Anglosaxon soden, Old-French sodain, sudain; slow, Anglosaxon adjective slav; slope, compare Anglosaxon part. slopen, lapsus; sweet (Singing so sweet, and clear, and loud [Longfellow]), Anglosaxon adjective svête; stark, Anglosaxon adjective stearc; straight, Anglosaxon part. streht, compare Latin stricte; sheer (little in use), Anglosaxon adjective scærë, scær, purus, compare Old-norse skiarr, fugax; short, Anglosaxon scort; scant (unusual). The word is found early, for instance, in Maundeville, and is
diffused in Scottish and Northenglish dialects. Does it belong to the Old-Highdutch scant, inhonestus? skew, compare Old-norse skeifr, Danish skiæv, obliquus; quick, Anglosaxon adjective cric, vivax.

In Old-French neuter adjectives were likewise, as many still are in Modern-French, used adverbially. The Anglosaxon and the Romance usage here touched each other. English could therefore readily assimilate Romance adjectives and participles to Anglosaxon in this regard. Here belong: monstrous (popularly like the corresponding Germanic wonderful), Old-French monstrous; round, Old-French roönd, roünd; plain, Old-French plain, plein; false, Old-French fals, faux, Modern-French adverb faux; very, Old-French verai, Latin veracem; due, Old-French participle du from devoir; distinct (And he said, Speaping distinct and slow [Longfellow]), French the same; sure, Old-French segur, seür; scarce, Old-French eschars, escars, Medieval-Latin scarpsus, excarpus; just, Old-French just, Modern-French adverb juste; chief, a substantive used adjectively, Old-French chief, chef; common, Old-French commun; clear, Old-French clair, cleir, cler, ModernFrench adverb clair; close, Old-French part. clos; quite, OldFrench cuite, quite, Latin quietus; gross (rare), (I'll speak more gross. Sharsp. Meas, for Meas.). Other Romance-Germanic words belong here, as exceeding i. q. eminently; doubtless, and the like.

Unclear as to their origin, but mostly referring to primitive adjective forms are; eft (obsolete), Anglosaxon eft, äft, iterum, denuo, and aft, the same word, as well as the Anglosaxon eft, äft in compounds; oft, now poetic, beside often, Anglosaxon oft, Old-English ofte, often; nigh, Anglosaxon neáh; now, Anglosaxon nu or nû̉; far, Anglosaxon feorr; well, Anglosaxon vëla, vël, OldEnglish wele, wel; soon, Anglosaxon sona, see above; yet, Anglosaxon git, gët, geot, gyt, gëta; yore (not used without of standing before it), Anglosaxon yâra, geára, olim. Related to geár, annus?

In the place of well, good has been here and there used adverbially, also in the meaning of very (compare Halluwell v. good), but which is now obsolete and inelegant. Anglosaxon is naturally richer than English in neuter adverbial forms, as Old-English excels ModernEnglish in this respect.
2) By far the greatest number of adjective adverbs, answering to a neuter accusative in $e$, are the adverbs now ending in $l y$. They arise from the adjectives compounded with the Anglosaxon lic (similis) and therefore sounded in Anglosaxon lice. The adjectives compounded with lic in Anglosaxon (in English ly and like) were of various kinds, so that lic was also added to substantives. So far as the Modern-English adjective termination and the adverbial termination sounded equally $l y$, both coincide in form. Old-English long distinguished the adverbial termination by the $e$ after it: stilleliche, fulliche, worthiliche, soothliche, boldeliche, principalliche, fetisliche (neatly), batauntliche ( $=$ hastily), foliliche \&c., as well as frequently in Piers Ploughman, yet the termination ly came in early alongside of lye, as well as the termination lich
without $e$. In Modern-English the syllable $l y$, although appearing also in adjectives, is regarded as that by annexing which an adjective can be turned into an adverb.

This termination then generally is added to the unchanged noun stem of Anglosaxon and Romance words: highly; steadfastly; willingly; perfectly; evidently; obscurely; safely; foolishly; noiselessly.

If the stem ends in $u e$, the $e$ is cast out: duly, truly, from due, true.
If it ends in an unaccented $y, y$ is changed into $i$ : merrily from merry; lazily from lazy; noisily from noisy; on the contrary views diverge as to the accented $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$. Some spell slyly, from slỳ; dryly from dry; others slily, drily (Smart). If the stem ends in le with another initial consonant preceding it, le before ly is commonly cast off: affably from affable; immovably from immovable; nobly from noble; terribly from terrible; singly from single; gently from gentle; idly from idle, but also idlely (Bish. Hall.). If, however, no other initial consonant precedes the final le, le is not cast out: palely from pale; vilely from vile; solely from sole; fertilely from fertile (Smart); servilely from servile; hostilely from hostile; on the other hand at least for a long time $e$ has been thrown out in wholly, from whole, Old-English holly.

If a word ends in $l l$, an $l$ is thrown out when $l y$ is added: stilly from still; fully from full; dully from dull; this according to the Anglosaxon precedent: stillice from stille; fullice from full; in Old-English on the contrary, also stilleliche (Rob. of Gloucester).

Formations of this sort from adjectives in $l y$, are also attempted, which then end in lily, as: livelily, lovelily, lowlily, uglily, cleanlily \&c., whereby a distinction between the adverb and the adjective is striven after. They are, however, not favoured, although the reduplication in likely (properly lîc-lîc and lîc-lîce, wherewith the dubious Anglosaxon ungelîclîc can be compared; see Ettmüller p. 183.) is a precedent. It is preferable to make adverbs of the same sound as adjectives perceptible by the context.

Modern-English on the other hand has also abandoned many adjectives in $l y$, and preserved only the corresponding adverb, where Anglosaxon employs the adverb and adjective. Here belong the adverbs: evenly; earnestly; manifoldly; newly; lightly; rightly; rankly; wisely; fastly; deeply; sorely; shortly; highly; hardly, and a few more.

By far the greatest part of the Germanic adverbs coinciding with adjectives spring from the Anglosaxon, where we mostly find the adjective and the adverb, and only accidentally miss, in the literary works which have been handed down, sometimes the adverb and sometimes the adjective. Imitations mostly concern the compounding of Romance stems with $l y$.

As in Anglosaxon the simple adverbs in $e$ often had an adverb compounded with lice alongside of them, so in English double adverbial forms of the same sort are still found in English, which
are in part distinguished by a shade in the meaning, and whereof the greater number rests upon Anglosaxon forms.

Of this sort are: even: evenly, Anglosaxon ëfenlîce; evil: evilly (Sharsp.), Anglosaxon adjective yfellîc; mighty: mightily, Anglosaxon mibtiglîce; light: lightly, Anglosaxon lîhtlîce; late: lately, Anglosaxon latelice (thus there also stands along with the superlative last: lastly); long: longly = longingly, also tediously, Anglosaxon langlîce, longe, diu; right: rightly, Anglosaxon rightlîce; fair: fairly, Anglosaxon fägerlîce; fast: fastly = surely, Anglosaxon fästlîce; full: fully, Anglosaxon fullîce; deep, deeply, Anglosaxon deóplîce; thick: thickly, Anglosaxon piclîce; sore: sorely, Anglosaxon sârlîce; sudden: suddenly, Anglosaxon sodenlîce; slow: slowly, Anglosaxon slavlîce; still: stilly, Anglosaxon stillîce; stark: starkly, Anglosaxon stearlîce, Som. perhaps stearclîce, rigide, violenter; short: shortly, Anglosaxon scortlîce, breviter, paululum; high: highly, Anglosaxon heáhlîce, praecique, perfecte; hard: hardly, Anglosaxon heardlîce, dure, immoderate; clean: cleanly = elegantly, dexterously, Anglosaxon clænlîce.

Even where no Anglosaxon precedent can be pointed out, as well as from Romance words without an adverbial mark, collateral forms in $l y$ have been formed. Compare like: likely; loud: loudly; low: lowly = meanly; ready: readily, compare Anglosaxon rädlîce; pretty: prettily=elegantly; thin: thinly; sicker: sickerly; sound: soundly; scant: scantly (Dryden); straight: straightly (Shaкsp.); sheer: sheerly; quick: quickly \&c.; from Romance words: monstrous: monstrously; round: roundly; plain: plainly; very: verily $=$ indeed; due: duly; just: justly; common: commonly; clear: clearly: chief: chiefly. Thus too doubtless and exceeding have the collateral forms doubtlessly, exceedingly.
The adverbs in ward, standing alongside of the adverbs in wards and wardly, are mostly distinguished from them in this, that the latter are used more in the ethical sense: inwardly; outwardly; backwardly; forwardly.

Adverbs in $l y$ are rarely formed immediately from nouns, as the old namely and marbly $=$ in the manner of marble, according to Webster. Most forms of this sort referring to substantives have sprung from adjectives of the same sound, of which fatherly, motherly, friendly, godly, worldly, heavenly, yearly, monthly \&c. belonging to the Anglosaxon, were instances, according to which others, as hourly, quarterly \&c., were formed. - Adverbial formations peculiar to English are the compounds of participial forms in ing, ed \&c. with ly, in which Anglosaxon with its participial forms in ende led the way, whence adjectives in lic were formed, as nemnjendlîc, nominativus; brosnjendlîc, corruptibilis; feallendlîc, minosus \&c. Old-English still had adverbs of this sort: aylastandly, = everlastingly; stelendelich $=b y$ stealth \&c.; but also even in ing: brenningly $=$ hotly \&e.; Modern-English: laughingly; boastingly; vauntingly; wittingly; jokingly; glancingly $=$ obliquely; slaveringly \&c.; and forcedly; wishedly; constrainedly; forbiddenly \&c.
3) Adjective, and, in particular, accusative adverbs are ori-
ginally capable of comparison by derivation, and have accordingly a comparative and superlative. Comparison has rarely penetrated into other adverbs, as in the Old-English in back: I went me bakker more (Chaucer Ms. in Halliw. s. v.).

The comparison of adverbs is effected, like that of the adjectives, either by the derivative terminations er and est, or by the addition of more and most to the positive. The former mode of comparison is very limited in Modern-English, the second has become the common one.
a) Comparison by derivative terminations consisted, with the Anglosaxon adverb in the comparative form of the adjective appearing in the comparative with the weak inflection cast off: raje: radôr; oft: oftôr; in the superlative the accusative of the strong form (without a mark) was used: rađe: rađôst; oft: oftost.

Old-English employed this mode of comparison to a large extent. In Modern-English the anomalous degrees of comparison, as well as a few débris of forms of comparison, have been chiefly preserved, whereas for the great number of regularly compared adverbs not only are the limitations for the comparison of adjectives the standard, but an aversion, particularly in prose, towards this mode of derivation is prevalent and is still more fostered by grammarians.

Of the Modern-English comparatives belonging here a few alone still share the Anglosaxon irregularity of casting off the characteristic letter of the comparative, $r$, as in the Anglosaxon $m a ̂$, bet, leng and others, whereas these forms are still frequent in Old-English.

The English anomalous forms of comparison are:
much, comp. more, sup. most. Anglosaxon micele, mycle, comp. mâre, mâ, sup. mæst. Old-English myculle, mickle, muchel, mochel, muche, moche \&c., comp. mare, more, ma, mo, sup. mest, most. For the comparative mo extending into ModernEnglish see p. 277.
nigh, comp. near, sup. next. Anglosaxon (neáh), comp. neár, sup. neáhst, nêhst, nêxt. Old-English comp. nar, nere, narre, sup. next. In English the fundamental distinctions are here in part obliterated, near signifying, like the positive, nearly and almost, and the superlative being primarily referred to temporal and ordinal succession. nearer comes in as the comparative of near.
little, comp. less, sup. least. Anglosaxon lytle, comp. läs, sup. läst. Old-English litle, comp. lasse, lesse, less, sup. leeste. The form lesser, which has penetrated into the corresponding adjective (for the Anglosaxon lässa) is also used as an adverb, for instance, by Shakspeare. It is related externally to the Anglosaxon läs exactly like the English adverbial better to the Anglosaxon bet.
late, comp. later, sup. last. Anglosaxon regularly late, läte, comp. latôr, sup. latôst. Old-English like Modern-English.
far, comp. farther and further, sup. farthest, furthest (Smart).
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The Anglosaxon feorr, feor, procul, has the comp. fyrre, sup. fyrrest, feorrest, fyrst, like the Old-English comp. ferre, sup. ferrest. The Modern-English farther, farthest are anomalous formations, which have been assimilated to further; further answers to the Anglosaxon furđôr, forđôr, ulterius, which attaches itself to the adverb for $\delta$. The Old-English forther may have occasioned the confusion: She gropeth alway forther (Chaucer).
well, comp. better, sup. best. Anglosaxon vëla, vël, comp. bet, bett, sup. betst, best. Old-English wel, well, comp. bet, bett, sup. best. The adverbial form bet has been quite lost in Mo-dern-English. Old-English: I may the bet hem cleyme (Piers Plougrm. p. 389.). Go bett (Townel. Myst. p. 241., Halliwell s. v. and Chalmers Gloss. ad Lindsay p. 266.). Yet bettre also occurs, for instance Piers Ploughm p. 102.
evil, ill, comp. worse, sup. worst. Anglosaxon (yfele), comp. virs, vyrs, sup. vyrst. Old-English evel, comp. wers, worse, sup. werst, worst. As with the corresponding adjective, worser has sometimes also penetrated as an adverbial comparative: In time go and bargain lest worser you fal (TuSSER see Dial. of Craven 2. p. 269.). The adjective positive Anglosaxon veorr, perversus, in fact a comparative, is also found in Old-English as an adverbial comparative: Hast thow bacbyted thy neghbore, For to make hym fare the worre? (Ms. in Halliwell v. worre.)

Remnants of adverbial forms of comparison are comp. ere, now used mostly in comparison, where ere may also operate as a preposition: erewhile, erewhiles, sup. erst, mostly poetic, else obsolete. Anglosaxon comp. ær, prius, antea, sup. ærôst, -est, -ist, the adjectives of which comp. cerra, sup. ceresta have been abandoned. Old-English comp. ere, sup. arst (Ritson), erst. Also comp. rather, the positive of which is obsolete, and whose superlative (by Shakspeare transformed jocosely into ratherest Love's L. L. 4. 2.) no longer occurs, belongs here. Anglosaxon rađe, räđe, comp. rađôr, sup. rađôst. Old-English rathe, comp. rather, sup. rathest. The sup. eftest in Shakspeare Much Ado \&c. $4,2 .=$ soonest? is questioned (deftest according to Delius); it would belong to eft, Anglosaxon eft, äft, iterum, denuo. lief, comp. lever is obsolete. Anglosaxon adj. leóf, comp. leófre, sup. leófest. Old-English lefe, leef, lief, comp. lever, leifer, sup. levest, liefest \&c. Chiefest, stands without a comparative: But first and chiefest with thee bring Him that yon soars (Militon).

Of other accusative adverbs we ofter find a few others compared, as: loud - louder - loudest; soon - sooner - soonest: fast - faster - fastest; high - higher - highest ; early - earlier - earliest; often - oftener (Shaksp. Mids. N. Dr. 2, 2.) - oftenest (Anglosaxon oft - oftồr - oftôst). Old-English oft - oftor (Rob. of Gloucester), ofter - oftest; in Skelton: oftnar, oftenner and others. The Anglosaxon interchange of vowel in a few adverbs is, as with the adjective, abandoned: long longer - longest. Anglosaxon lange - leng - lengst. OldEnglish longe - leng - lengost: Hii ne myzte no leng abyde
(Rob. of Gloucester I. 174. 229.). Even Skelton has lenger I. 69 .

Poetry especially still employs derivative comparatives and superlatives, and, in contradistinction to the other limitations of this usage, sometimes even those alongside of which no adverbial positive is in use, as frequently in Old-English: You have spoken iruer than you purposed (Shaksp. Temp.). And look how well my garments sit upon me, Much feater than before (ib.). Thou art easier swallowed than a flap-dragon (id. Love's L. L.). The tenderest ones and weakest, Who their wrongs have borne the meekest (Whittier). Compare Murray's censure of comparatives and superlatives p. 162. 163.

The annexing of the syllable $l y$ to the comparative and superlative instead of the comparison of the positive compounded with ly (-lier, -liest) is remarkable. In Modern-English a few forms of this sort, in part with the obliteration of the meaning of the degrees of comparison, have remained, as nearly; latterly = lately; formerly; mostly; lastly; firstly; foremosily (obsolete). This formation is old and formerly diffused itself wider: More plenerly (Maundev. p. 42.). Better perceyved And thankfullerlye receyved (Skelton I. 341. according to Dyce's Ms.).
$\beta$ ) The comparison with more and most is as old with adverbs as with adjectives. It touches first of all the adverbs in $l y$, but likewise seizes the rest: I breathe again more freely (LongrelLow). As he most learnedly delivered (Shassp. Temp.). Ebbing men, indeed, Most often do so near the bottom run \&c. (iB.).

A reduplication of the comparison by the combination of more and most with the derivative degrees of comparison was frequent in Old-English, but is now, as with the adjective, regarded as improper: Old-English: Ofte sype aboue was, and binepe oftor mo (Rob, of Gloucester I. 264.). More plenerly (Maundev. p. 42.). Parceyveth moore depper (Piers Ploughm. p. 307.). So in Shakspeare: more proudlier (Coriol. 4, 7.). With near we still often find more, where the comparative import of near is no longer felt: Yon stood more near him (L. Byron). Let me . . more nearly, Dying thus, resemble thee (LongfelLow).

In Shakspeare, where near still occurs in the comparative, near is usually written, as if an abbreviation from nearer were in question.

The comparison with more, most may also be transferred to other adverbial determinations than the original adjective forms: What are the books now most in vogue? (Longrellow). That which is most within me (L. Byron).

The combination of less, least with adverbs may be regarded as a comparison downwards. See the Adjective.
c) Adverbs of number.

Adverbs of number denote partly the order of the activities according to number, partly their simplicity or multiplicity, partly the onceness or repetition of the same act.

1）Numerical adverbs of order are formed by annexing the syl－ lable $l y$ to the ordinal numbers：firstly，alongside of first；secondly； thirdly；fourthly；fifthly \＆c．Anglosaxon offered no support for this．Periphrastic forms are：in the first，second \＆c．place．
2）Numerical adverbs of complexity exist in a small number， as singly，which however is only used distributively，as indivi－ dually；doubly，for which the accusative adverb twofold also ap－ pears（comp．：on the other hand the Anglosaxon preófealdlîce， tripliciter），as other numerals in fold are also employed adver－ bially：trebly，triply，quadruply，and a few similar ones．From mani－ fold，manifoldly is formed，after the Anglosaxon manegfealdlîce．
3）Frequentative adverbs are mostly gained by periphrasis；the few formed by a derivative termination（ce）rest upon the genitive form，as once，Anglosaxon ânes（comp．ânes hvät，quoque modo， although else ânê sî才ê，una vice and sometimes âne as an adverb）， Old－English anes，ones；twice，Anglosaxon tvigges，Old－English twyes，twies；thrice，Anglosaxon only priga，priva，Old－English thrie，and formed according to the others：thries．

Once is often treated as an accusative，and combined with this， that：This once I yield（J．Hughes）．Let us appear this once like generous victors（id．）．Compare Webster s．v．

The rest of the frequentative adverbs are formed by periphrasis， for which the cardinal numbers are employed with the substan－ tive time in the accusative of the plural：four times，five times， ten times \＆c．；as the former are also sometimes expressed peri－ phrastically：a single time，another time，three times and the inde－ finite frequentatives：sometimes，many times，several times．Anglo－ saxon here used the substantive sîす，gressus；ôすrê siđ̂e，iterum； feóver sîđon，quater；seofon sîđum，septies \＆c．This mode is to be met with in Old－English：And if men me it axe Sixe sithes or sevene（Piers Ploughm．p．102．），where the accusative then also appeared instead of the Anglosaxon instrumental and dative： And thankyd God a C．syth in rhyme（Ms．in Halliwell v． sith），with which we may however compare the Anglosaxon eahta sîfe tventig（Chron．Sax．1071．）；but alongwith them time is also employed：And thus tene I trewe men Ten hundred tymes （Piers Ploughm．p．103．）．
d）Pronominal adverbs．
Here belong the adverbs which have especially proceeded from demonstrative and interrogative pronominal stems，of which the former have mostly the import of space，the latter chiefly of time．
1）Adverbs from demonstrative stems．
To the Anglosaxon $\bar{p} \ddot{\text {（ }}$（se），peó（seó），pät belong：
there．Anglosaxon pâr and pær，pêr，ibi，illic．Old－English ther，there，which was also used relatively，as in Anglosaxon， where it also signified ubi，quo．Compare：Ther nature wol not werche，Farewel physike（Chaucer p．21．I．Tyrwh．）．
thither．Anglosaxon pider，pyder，illuc，istuc，also relatively， with and without pe：quo，Old－English thider．
thence. Anglosaxon panan, panon, panonne \&c. Old-English thenne, but also early with the genitive termination thennes: Ere she thennes yede (Piers Ploughm. p. 19.), whence the ModernEnglish thence.
then, in a metaphorical meaning. Anglosaxon ponne, penne, tunc, tum. Comp. the accusative m. thone, thäne, Old-English thanne, than. It is the same word as the English than, which, dissimilated from the former, is used for quam!, after the comparative. In Anglosaxon ponne, penne also passes for quam. Old-English had tho, tha, Anglosaxon pâ, tum.
thus, Anglosaxon pus, Old-English the same.
so, also. Anglosaxon sva, sic (svâ, sicut) and ealsvâ, etiam, sic.

To the Anglosaxon hë, heó, hit belong:
here, Anglosaxon hêr, Old-English her, here.
hither, Anglosaxon hider, huc, Old-English hider.
hence, transferred to time: from now. Anglosaxon hinan, heonan \&c., hinc, abhinc, also illuc. Old-English henen, henne (Rob. of Gloucester and others), yet also early with the genitive termination hennes, hens, whence the Modern-English hence. Instead thereof hithen in Rob. of Brunne p. 26. Hen is still in use in Lincolnshire.
hind, whence behind, Anglosaxon hind; in compounds, like hindveard, and hinder, adverb and prepos. post, now considered an adjective.

To geon, preserved in Anglosaxon only in the adverb geond, Gothic jáins, (English yon) belong.
yond, yon. Anglosaxon geond, illuc. Old-English yond, yon. Comp.: And say what thou seest yond (Sharsp. Temp.), where without reason yond' is commonly written: Him that yon soars on golden wing (Milton). These forms are becoming obsolete in comparison with yonder, which is formed analogously to the Anglosaxon hider, like the Gothic jáindrê compared with hidrê.
2) From the interrogative pronominal stem hva, heät there develope themselves:
where, interrogative and relative. Anglosaxon hvar, hvär, ubi. Old-English wher, where.
whither, interrogative and relative. Anglosaxon hväder, hvider, hvidre \&c., quo. Old-English wyder, wider.
whence, interrogative and relative. Anglosaxon vhanan, vhanon, hvana \&c., unde. Old-English whanne, wanne (Rob. of Gloucester), also early whennes, whence the Modern-English whence. Old-English also whethen.

Thence come the compounds whereso, wheresoever, wherever, whithersoever, whencesoever; also nowhere, Anglosaxon nàhvar, nusquam; elsewhere, Old-English elleswher; compare Anglosaxon elles hvider, elles hvergen, aliorsum, everywhere; somewhere, anywhere, for which in the Old-English owghtwhare, owhar, owhere also occurs, otherwhere (Sharspeare), some other where, and several others.
when, also a conjunction. Anglosaxon hvenne, hvanne, hvonne, quando. Old-English whan, wan, when.
why, Anglosaxon hvŷ (hvê) and how, Anglosaxon hû, have arisen from the instrumental of the pronoun. Thence the compound somehow.
3) Hither also may be referred the adverb any, borrowed from the indefinite pronoun, for which anywise now rather occurs. It belongs to the Anglosaxon cenig, ullus, and probably sprung from the instrumental ænigê, Old-English any, eny, ony; Old-English Or I procede ony ferthere (Maundev. p. 53.). Modern-English: If you tarry any longer (Shaksp. Two Gentl.). Before I any farther go (Longfellow). The word other, also occurring in Shakspeare, likewise belongs here: Nay, but it is not so. - „It is no other" (Meas. for Meas. 4, 3). Comp. Oth. 4, 2.; like else, see above.
e) Prepositional Adverbs.

The combination of prepositions with adjectives, substantives, numeral and pronominal adverbs is very usual, which partly serve to complete adverbial determinations, with which a preposition is adapted to define the relation more particularly. The prepositions themselves, obviously originally in part adverbs, serve in general to denote relations of space and time, but which they transcend, when used metaphorically; and although chiefly in the closest connection with substantive notions, they still betray an originally adverbial character.

For this reason prepositions also appear again as adverbs, where they appear exempted from substantive notions and only shew themselves as determinations of activity. Language everywhere presents instances, and one needs scarcely to be reminded of sentences like: Toiling on and on and on (Longfellow). Reading, the whole year out and in, Naught but the catalogue of sin (id.). Sometimes the modern language distinguishes the adverb from the preposition (although sometimes only in a determinate meaning) by the form, as fro in to and fro, from from; too, from to; off (also a preposition) from of. A preposition is moreover hardly incapable of appearing adverbially, on which the Syntax has to give more particular explanations.

The union of other parts of speech with prepositions, whence proceed many blendings of particles, or, at least combinations of them under one accent, is a phevomenon common to many tongues. The prepositions come partly before, partly after another word. Those which precede lean proclitically upon them and are therefore mostly confined to the monosyllabic ones. Where the proclisis, certainly not to be rigidly defined, ceases, developed adverbial sentences make their appearance. The prepositional adverbs rest partly upon Anglosaxon precedent.

1) The preposition combines with a substantive.
in: indeed, comp.: French en effet. Periphrases are looser: in fact, in truih, in reality, in earnest, in jest; in fine, French enfin \&c.
ere: erewhile, erewhiles, which, reversed, also appears as whilere, while-ere (Shaksp., Milton), as in Anglosaxon the preposition
ar may also follow its case: feóvertŷne dagum ær (Matth. 24, 40.).
at commonly stands separated from the noun: at home, Anglosaxon ät hâm, domi, Old-English atom (Rob. of Gloucester); at hand, Anglosaxon ät handa (Dat.), at no hand; at any hand; at sea, at land, at doors, compare adoors; at length \&c. at sometime corresponds to the French $\dot{\alpha}$ : at leisure, French à loisir; at random, French à randon.
on only appears separated from the noun, as in on land, on shore, on board, on foot, compare Old-English afote, ModernEnglish afoot \&c. Yet it is frequently blended with it in the interchange with $a$, where the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$ lies at the foundation, which might also appear for of. These compounds are in Modern-English mostly limited to determinations of space and to abstract expressions of an activity or condition, whereas in Old-English they are also referred to time. Some rest immediately upon Anglosaxon and Old-norse originals, as aback, Anglosaxon on bäc, retro; away, Anglosaxon onveg, âveg; awheels (obsolete, Ben Jons.), Anglosaxon on hveole (Ps. 76, 17.), to which also belongs the preposition among, Anglosaxon âmang, onmang from the subst. mang; again, Anglosaxon ongêgn \&c., âgên prep. and adv. rursus, obviam, belonging to the substantive gägn, commodum? aright, Anglosaxon âriht, from the subst. riht; amiss, Old-norse âmis, de via, contra jus et aequum, in Anglosaxon subst. misse, miss, mis, mist, only in compounds, still in use in Old-English as an independent substantive, for instance, in the Towneley Myst., like the Old-norse missa, damnum; athirst, which moderns cite only as an adjective. Old-norse a porsti $=$ pyrstr, sitiens.

English imitative forms, or forms at least not to be pointed out in Anglosaxon, which are mostly old, but seem to increase of late although many are only dialectical, frequently serve to denote the where? and whither? or position and direction; alee, subst. lee, Anglosaxon hleóv, hliv, hleó, refugium [so we must think the lee left undetermined above p. 199.]; aloof $=$ more nearly to the wind and at a distance, Old-English aluffe, perhaps from the Anglosaxon lôf, palma. Comp: Old-English lufe, manus (Townel. Myst. p. 32, where hufe is a misprint); loof is moreover a part of the ship; aioft, from Anglosaxon lyft, aer, nubes; arow, subst. row, Anglosaxon râv; abed, subst. bed, Anglosaxon bedd; abaft, Old-English also baft, in maritime language the contrary of afore, belongs to the Anglosaxon subst. bäfta, tergum, although connected with bäftan, prep. post; aboard, subst. board, Anglosaxon bord; abreast, subst. breast, Anglosaxon breóst; afield, subst. field, Anglosaxon fild, fëld; afoot $=$ on foot, in action, subst. foot, Anglosaxon fôt; aweather $=$ to the windside, subst. weather, Anglosaxon vëder, Old-English wedur; atop, Anglosaxon top, vertex; adoors (obsolete), Old-English also adores, Anglosaxon duru, dyr; acop (obsolete) $=$ at the top, high up, subst. cop, Anglosaxon copp, culmen; agate (local), subst. gate, Old-norse gata, semita; aground, subst. ground, Anglosaxon
grund; ahead (naut.), subst. heád, Anglosaxon heáfud; astern, subst. stern, Anglosaxon stearn, gubernaculum; ashore, subst. shore, Anglosaxon score.
In union with different dimensions $a$ denotes the direction: alength, ahight; likewise aside.

Transferred to concrete and abstract substantives, in which the activity is accomplished or to which it is directed, the adverb appears with $a$ in: ablaze, subst. blaze, Anglosaxon bläse, flamma; afire, subst. fire, Anglosaxon fŷr; areek, subst. reek, Anglosaxon rêc, reác; asleep, Old-English aslepe, subst. sleep, Anglosaxon slæp; awork (Shakspeare), Old-English aworke, subst. work, Anglosaxon veorc, verc, vore; atilt, subst. tilt, dialectically in the North of England tilt, vehement, turbulent, compare Anglosaxon tealt, vacillans, Old-norse tölt, vagatio tolutaria? also tipped (of a barrel) subst. tilt, leaning, perhaps from the Old-norse tilt, from tilla, elevatio; afoat, subst. float, from the Anglosaxon fleótan and flotjan, fluctuare; adrift = floating, at random, subst. drift, to Anglosaxon drîfan; abrood, subst. brood, Anglosaxon brôd, concretio, compare adject. brôdig, incubans; astride, subst. stride, Anglosaxon stræde, passus; astray, subst. stray, from the Anglosaxon strægan, strêgan, spargere, Old-English on straye and astrayly (Halliwell s. vv.); astrut, Old-English astrout, astrote (formerly also swollen, turgide), subst. strut $=$ affectation of stateliness in walking \&c., allied to strotzen? amain, subst. main, Anglosaxon mägen, vis, robur. Some of these words are now employed as adjectives, as alive, certainly naught else than the Anglosaxon on life, in vita; akin, Anglosaxon cynn, cognatio; astir = bustling, active, NorthernEngl. asteer, subst. stir, from Anglosaxon stêran, stŷran, movere, agitare and others.

Old-English forms, which still live in dialects, are: ablode (dial. ablood); afere, timid; aknen, aknewes, aknowe, down, on the knee (dial. akne); agame, in jest (now also addicted to gaming), and others, which appear as adjectives, as afret, Anglosaxon frätu, ornamentum; aflaunt, subst. flaunt, mundus, Gothic flautan, and others.

There come here into contact with the Anglosaxon forms Romance ones having $\grave{a}$ for their foundation, as apeak, French à pic; apart, French à part; apace $=$ hastily, French à pas, OldEnglish apas (Chaucer), to which are attached amort, lifeless, depressed; apiece $=$ to the share of each; afront $=\mathrm{in}$ front; agog $=$ in a state of desire, French à gogo. Old-English has agref $=$ in grief, and many more.

The reference to time often takes place in Old-English, in: amorwe, amorve, in the morning; anight, in the night, and the like. A-morweninges . . And in evenynges (Piers Ploughm. p. 222.).
out is found compounded in outdoors = abroad, hence provincially out-door-work $=$ field-work.
over: overboard; overhead = aloft, above.
under: underfoot $=$ beneath; underhand $=$ secretly.
be $=b y$ : betime, betimes, comp. Middlehighdutch bizîte; beside, besides. By is not found blended, as in: by land, by water, by stealth, by chance, by degrees \&c.
before and behind: beforehand = previously \&c., also aforehand; beforetime $=$ formerly; behindhand = backward, tardy, also as an adjective.
per in Romance formations: peradventure, Old-English peraventure, peraunter; percase (percace JACK JVGLER); perchance, in the same signification as the imitated form perhaps, Oldnorse happ, bona sors, compare the Anglosaxon adj. häpp, commodus; perforce; perdy = certainly, French par Dieu! as an interjection.
for: forsooth, Old-English forsothe, Anglosaxon for sôđ, pro veritate. Compare: And he woot the sothe (Piers Ploughm. p. 199.). Besides, for appears separated: for instance \&c.
within is compounded in withinside, which is also regarded as an adjective.
to is put in nearer connection with determinations of time: to-morrow, from the Anglosaxon subst. morgen; to-night; to-day, Anglosaxon tô niht, tô däg, dialectically also to-month $=$ this month, to-year, like the Old-English to yere $=$ this year. In other cases we leave to without closer connection with the substantive, as in to boot (Shaksp.); compare Old-English to sope (Rob. of Gloucester) = forsooth.
2) The adverbial determination of the activity is often expressed by prepositions with adjectives or adjective adverbs, yet the prepositions mostly stand separated from the adjective. Compare in vain, French envain; in common; in short; ere long; ere now, compare Anglosaxon ær pam, ær ponne; at unawares, as at once, for which atones, attones, atenens \&c. stands in OldEnglish; at last; at least; at first; Old-English also averst and aterst, Anglosaxon ät ærestan, primum; on high; of old; of late; over all (And light was over all (Milton), yet also spelt over-all, Old-English over al; from high; from far (afar) \&c.

The greater and almost sole number of blendings of the preposition with the adjective is comprised by the composition with $a$ (Anglosaxon on, an, $\hat{0}, \hat{a}$ ). The next section explains the prepositions which have arisen in this manner. Here belong: anew; anon (ever and anon = every now and then); from the numeral, Anglosaxon on ân, continuo, Old-English also anone, anonen; alate (obsolete) = lately; along, also alongst (Somerset), which is at the same time to be regarded as a prepositon, arose from the Anglosaxon andlang, prep., in longum, per; aloud = loudly. alow, Old-English alowe, alough, alogh = below; abroad $=$ widely, at large, belonging to the Angl. brâd latus. Grimm distinguishes abroad, as the Old-norse $\hat{a}$ braut, abhinc; in OldEnglish abrood (Piers Ploughm.) also stands for the latter; afar, Old-English also oferrom, afarne, from the Anglosaxon adv. feorran (the contrary of the obsolete anear, dialectically also anearst); aflat, from flat Old-norse flatr, planus; afresh = anew, Anglosaxon fërsc; awry, from wry = crooked, distorted, from the

Gothic vraiqvs; adry, passes now for an adjective, yet it is perhaps properly: on the dry; compare Anglosaxon on pam drygëan (Luc^s 23, 31.); athwart, Anglosaxon on pveorh, see thwart p. 392.; asunder, now commonly in sunder, formerly also asunderly; separatim, Anglosaxon on sundran, âsundron; aslant, from slant $=$ oblique, compare Swedish slentra. Besides we also find formerly aslet and asloute, as well as dialectically aslew and aslash with the same meaning; aslope from slope, see above; askew, see above skew and Old-norse $\hat{a}$ skâ, oblique; askaunt and askaunce, else also ascance, ascaunce, is also used in OldEnglish in the meaning of askew and at the same time of scarcely. In the meaning of askew it is allied to the latter, as well as to asquint, compare the verb sken in the North of England, like squint; in the meaning scarcely it belongs to scant, see p. 392.; the termination $c e$ is to be regarded as a genitive termination; asquint, like askew and askaunt, from squint, dialectically (Craven) also asquin, in Eastern dialects sqywinniken, squinny, squin-eies sec. XVII. = squinting eyes; allied to skew; agood, may also spring from the substantive good, Anglosaxon gôd; ahigh $=$ on high.

Other combinations of prepositions with adjectives are those from ere in erelong $=$ before long; erenow $=$ before this time; after in afterall = at last, with the indeterminate pronoun all; likewise with in withal; be in below, Old-Engl. also alowe; here also belike (Suaksp.) seems to belong, for which beiikely stands in Bishop Hall, whereas in the regular besure the abbreviation from to be sure is contained. In together a primitive adverb may also be found, Anglosaxon to gädere, for which in Old-English togideres also stands (Piers Ploughar. p. 167.).
3) The union of prepositions with adverbs of another sort concerns particularly the pronominal adverbs, which the former usually follow, as in therein, Old-English therinne, thereinto, thereabout, also thereabouts, with the genitive $s$, thereafter, thereat, thereon, thereof, thereout, thereunto, thereunder, thereupon, thereby, therefore, therefrom, Old-English also therefro (Piers Ploughman p. 2.23.), therewith, therewitha', therelo; titherto; thencefrom (obsolete); herein, hereinto, hereabout (hereabouts), hereafler, hereat, hereon, hereof, hereoul, hereun 10 , hereupon, hereby, herewith, hereto, herelofore; hitherlo; wherein, wherein10, whereabout (whereabouts), whereat, whereof, whereunio, whereupon, whereby, wherefore, wherewith, wherewithal, wherethrough \&c. They are formed partly after the Anglosaxon precedent; compare: pærinne, pærâbûtan, pæräfter, pæron, pærof, pærufon, pærûte, pærmid, pærviđ, pærtô; panonveard, whereas in the other Anglosaxon particles of this class the compounds seem to be wanting. Other adverbs rarely have prepositions subjoined, as forthwith. More rarely still a connected preposition precedes adverbs of this sort, like be in behind, Old-English also ahind, ahint, and beyond; although prefixed prepositions otherwise occur, as in from hence (thence, whence), where the superfluous from is censured by grammarians, from where, from elsewhere, till then \&c.
f) The Negative and Affirmative Particles.

From the aforesaid adverbs the negative and affirmative particles are distinguished, which require a particular discussion, so far as they are not touched upon hereafter among Conjunctions.

The primitive English negative, or the negation of the reference of the subject to the predicate, was denoted by ne (Anglosaxon në), which always preceded the predicative verb: Devyne ye, for I ne dar (Piers Ploughm. p. 13.). This ne was in Anglosaxon and in Old-English sometimes blended with the following verb, as habban, villan, vitan, vesan, in which the initial consonant was cast off, as nabban, nillan, nesan \&c., Old-English nave $=$ have not; nill $=$ will not; niste $=$ wiste not; nam, nis, nas, nere $=a m$, is was, were not \&c. In print we often find $n^{\prime} a m, n^{\prime} i s, n^{\prime} h a t h ~ \& c$. with the mark of elision. These Anglosaxon negative particles coincided in form with the Old-French ne.

This $n e$ is to be distinguished from another ne, which answered to the Anglosaxon nê, neque, and in reduplication was equal to the Latin neque - neque, Anglosaxon nê - nê: Lewed men ne koude Jangle ne jugge (Piers Ploughm. p. 9.). There is no bawme ne gumme of Arabe More delectable (Skelton I. 303.). This ne is obsolete, but is found here and there, for instance in L. Byron. Modern-English commonly replaces the single ne (nê) by nor, the reduplicated by neither $=$ nor. See the Conjunction.

The simple negation has, as in other Germanic tongues, yielded to that compound one in which itself constitutes the negative element, and whose expletives originally followed the verb in order to strengthen it. In Modern-English it appears as not, which is the shorter form for naught, nought, Old-English also noght, nat \&c. is, the Anglosaxon nâviht, nâuht, nâht, nôht, nât, that is ne-â-viht (ruht), ne - unquam - hilum (creatura), nihil. Compare the original separation of ne - viht in the Anglosaxon: He ne mehte viht gefeohtan, non potuit pugnare (Beóv. 2160.).
The strengthened negation early appeared alongside of ne: Thei wil noughte, that thei dyen of kindely dethe Maundev. p. 194.). Thei wol noght come there (Piers Plocginm. p. 67.). My strengthe may not be told (Townel. Myst. p. 3.). He was not pale as a forpined gost (Chaucer p. 2. II. Tyrwh.). And where not, noght is to be taken as properly a substantive indefinite pronoun, nothing also took its place (see below), which still occurs in moderns as a strengthened negation: You know it well and feel it nothing (L. Byron).

In Old-English however these strengthened negatives are very commonly added to the $n e=n o n$ and $n e=n e q u e$, as the accumulation of negatives was familiar to Old-English: This ne yeveth noght of God etc. (Piers Ploughm, p. 67.). Nothing ne knew that it was Arcite (Chaucer p. 12. I. Tyrwh.). Ne con ich saien non falsdom Ne non I ne shal (Dame Siriz p. 4.). Hit semyd hym never ne never shalle (Townel. Myst. p. 4.). Similar accumulations are still found in Modern-English: Harp not on that; nor do not banish reason For incredulity (Shaksp. Meas. for Meas.), yet are rejected by grammarians.

Modern strengthenings of not by substantive accusatives, denoting a trifling object, among which even a whit (from the Anglosaxon viht) again occurs, are analogous to similar ones in Old-French. Old-English: To be corsed .. The counteth noght a bene (not a bean) (Piers Ploughm. p. 51.), when never often appears instead of not: never a del, never a whyt \&c. Modern-English: Th' one has my pity; not a jot the other (Shaksp, Meas. for Meas.). I perceive, you delight not in music. - „Not a whit, when it jars so." "(Two Gentl. of V.). Here belongs also not a bit \&c. A similar one is effected by other adverbial determinations, as not at all \&e.

In rapid utterance not is attracted enclitically, even with the loss of its vowel, to a preceding word, as in can't, don't \&c., wouldn't \&c.

Instead of the negation not there also appears no, Old-English na, no, before adverbs. If the origin of no in nowhere is dubious (compare anywhere, everywhere) and no appears as the indefinite pronoun, although the Old-English neverwhere also occurs instead, it unquestionably is equivalent to the Anglosaxon $n \hat{a}, n \hat{o}=n e-\hat{a}$, nunquam, non, before comparatives. Compare Anglosaxon nô $\mathrm{p} \hat{y}$ läs, Old-English natheless, Modern-English nevertheless, as opposed to the affirmative Anglosaxon â pŷ mâ, eo magis, compare evermore, now equal to always. Modern-English: I can go no farther, sir (Sinaksp. Temp.). I will put off my hope, and keep it no longer for my flatterer (ib.). Hold up the jest no higher (Merry Wiv.). Go, $\sin$ no more! (Longfellow). To die is no less natural than those acts of this clay (L. Byron). This no rarely stands before other than adverbial comparatives, as in: It will seem no more to thee Than if . . I should a little longer stay Than I am used (Longfellow). Old-English: \& ne myzte noleng sytte (Rob, of Gloucester I. 185.). He was so wery, that he myghte no ferthere (Maundev. p. 148.). It rennethe no furthermore (p. 102.). I suffre yow no lenger (Piers Ploughm. p. 65.). Na-moore (as little) myghte God be man (p.343.); yet also before the comparative used substantively: I kan na-moore seggen (p. 53.).

The obsolete negative is expressed by the same no, compare Gothic nê, in the dialects of the North of England still na. But alongside of it stands the now little used nay, which only accidentally coincides with the Old-French naie, and represents the same word as no (Anglosaxon ne-â). Compare above the adverb aye, p. 390, and below ay for yes. In Old-English it is frequently used for no: Thei seyn simply 3 e, and nay (Maundev. p. 292.). He . . that couth not say nay (Townel. Myst. p. 112.). Can he hem thank? Nay, God wot, never a del (Chaucer p. 23. II. Tyrwh.). In Mo-dern-English it is often, like the Latin immo, used in outbiddings: „Are all prepared?" - They are - nay more - embark'd (L. Byron). Also in challenges and exhortations: Nay then! And not a word said he. - Nay, why so downcast? Jaspar cried (Southey). Also the Old-English formula: By ya or nay! (Chaucer) is still found in Modern-English: By yea and nay! by my faith! (Shaksp. Merry Wiv. 4, 2. Love's L. L. 1, 1.). Whence the old verb denay ${ }_{2}$ approaching in sound to the Romance deny.

The obsolute affirmation is denoted by the obsolescent yea, OldEnglish $3 e$ (Dame Siriz, Maund.), ye, which still stands frequently in Skelton, and $y a$, Anglosaxon gea, etiam, sane, signifies, and is still commonly opposed to the nay, and is preserved mostly in solemn speech; but the affirmation is commonly effected by yes, the amplified ye, Anglosaxon gëse, gise, gyse, that is, sane - sit (se sîe, sî). Alongside of it ay, Anglosaxon $\hat{a}=$ ever, in northern dialects also $a w$ (Warwickshire), is still in a limited measure current, to which perhaps the West-English $y a w=y$ es belongs. In the older writings $i$ is frequently found for it, which has been perhaps produced through the common pronunciation of the ay. Compare ${ }_{n}$ All ready?" cried the captain; ${ }_{n} A y$, ay" the seamen said (Whittier).

We may also regard as substitutes for adverbial particles sentences and elliptical expressions, for which perhaps adverbs might be substituted, as: may be; howbeit = however; as it were, as't were (Shakspeare); as though it were; to wit; to be sure and the like, which sufficiently betray their original syntactical relation, and penetrate out of the more rapid colloquial into the written language.

## 2) The Preposition.

Prepositions, or words of relation, stand in immediate relation to a noun, whose relation to the notion of the activity they denote in a less general, more closely defined manner than is done by the case alone. The preposition denotes primarily a relation of space, is then transferred to the temporal, and finally extended to causal and modal relations. The more modern and periphrastic prepositions sometimes have no longer the original reference of this part of speech to relations of space.

The English prepositions are mostly founded upon Anglosaxon ones, which could frequently be combined with two and even three different cases, whereas in English they all appear with the same oblique case.

Prepositions are divided, on the one hand, according to their form, into simple and compound; on the other hand, into those founded upon ancient particles and those demonstrably founded upon nouns, with or without prefixed particles. Lastly we may here place periphrastic forms, serving as substitutes for prepositions.

We accordingly distinguish: a) prepositions proper, or, those resting upon particles; b) prepositions developed out of nouns; c) prepositional forms.
a) Prepositions proper are partly simple, partly compound. The simple ones do not contain derivatives.

1) Simple are:
in, Anglosaxon in, with dat. acc. in, ad, Old-English the same. In in carless speech sometimes casts off its $n$, especially before the article: $I^{\prime}$ the death of darkness (Shakspeare Temp.).
af, Anglosaxon äf, with dat. an, in, apud, ab, de.
on, Anglosaxon on, an, $\hat{o}, \hat{a}$, with dat. in, cum; with acc. in, contra, Old-English on, an, a. On is sometimes shortened to o': A pox o' your throat (Shaksp. Temp.), where it may inaptly
be taken for of, although on and of are interchanged. It frequently passed into $a$. Comp.: the adverbs compounded with $a$, abed \&c. Here belongs also the combination of $a$ with the gerundive substantive in ing: The spring is near when green geese are a breeding (Shaksp. Love's L. L. 1, 1.). There are worthies a coming (5, 2.). Like a German clock still a repairing, ever out of frame (3, 1.). Hence: to be a coming, to fall a trembling \&c., to which also: Having sworn too hard- $a$-keeping oath (Shaksp. Love's L. L. 1, 1.) may belong. In Old-English on and $a$ interchange in this case: Ne non that gothe on beggynge (Maundev. p. 207.); in Chaucer: to ride on hunting, on hawking, to go a begging \&c.
of, Anglosaxon of, af, äf, with dat. $a$, de, ex, Old-English of, af. The shortening of of into $o^{\prime}$ ' is familiar: It is the quality $o^{\prime}$ the climate (Shassp. Temp.). Because their business still lies out $o^{\prime}$ door (Com. of Err.). Mine eyes are made the fools $o^{\prime}$ th' other senses (Macb.). Body o' me! what inn is this! (Longfellow). Hence: a Tom ô Bedlam, vagabonds, also called Abrahamman. The popular $o^{\prime}$ clock rests upon of clock: Four of the clock it was tho (Chaucer). Chaunte-clere . . Must tell what is of the clocke (Skelton 1, 66.). Modern-English: It was almost eight of the clock (Fielding). Yet we also say a clock, which seems to lead back to on; comp.: At twelve aclock at night (Ballad by Tarlton 1570.).
off, is a collateral form of of, now often adverbial. Compare too off hand = at once, and others. Old-English: pou art mon off strange lond (Rob. of Gloucester I. 115.).
up, Anglosaxon up, upp, uppe, adv. sursum; in English also a genuine preposition opposed to down; compare up hill \&c. We may here incidentally mention the adverbial upsidedown, which seems to be a disfigurement of the Old-English upsodown.
$b y$, Anglosaxan $b \ddot{e}$, , bi bî, big, c. dat. juxta, ad, in, de. OldEnglish be, by, even in Skelton often be: Be my fay! (I. 28.).
for, Anglosaxon for, c. dat., acc.: pro, ante, propter; Old-English the same.
from, Anglosaxon fram, from, c. dat., a, ab. Old-English fram, from, fron (Townel. Myst. p. 106.), fro, fra, still fra, frae in northern dialects. Old-English very often has fro: Fro the bygynnyng of pe world (Rob. of Gloucester I. 9.); along with from: From oure firste fader Adam (ib.). And so he departed fro hem (Maundev. p. 225 ). Fro the londe (Gower in Halliwell s. v. dreint). Fro and fra Chaucer has; fram stands alongside of from, fro in Piers Ploughman. Fro is now considered an adverb only.
with, Anglosaxon vid (also vid), c. gen., coram, c dat., pone, juxta, pro, contra, c. acc., ad, juxta. Old-English the same.
till, Anglosaxon, Old-norse til, c. dat., ad; comp. adj. til, aptus. Old-English til.
to, Anglosaxon tô, c. dat., ad. Old-English to; in Modern-Enlish we distinguish the particles too, in Old-English likewise commonly spelt $t o$, from the preposition, Anglosaxon to, as an adverb insuper. To, which is also joined to the infinitive, is sometimes
shortened into $t^{\prime}$ ，especially before vowels：To learn his wit $t^{\prime}$ exchange the bad for better（Sharspeare Two Gentl．of V．）． Being once perfected how to grant suits，How to deny them，whom $t^{\prime}$ advance \＆c．（Temp．）．

Here also we may mention the two foreign prepositions，which have thrust themselves in．
per，Latin per，distributive $=$ for：A man earns 30 shillings per week，how much does he earn per annum？（Crossley．）If I am charged 3 pence per mile \＆c．（id．）．To find the interest of any sum of money at 6 per cent（ID．）；where the mingling of the Latin per and pro，occurring in Old－French，pour，por shews itself．
sans，Old－French sans，sanz，still frequently used in Shakspeare， not merely in an affected manner，now out of use．Old－English sauz，saunce．Religion saunz rule（Piers Ploughm．p．263．）．

Among the derivative prepositions of this class are to be reckoned：
ere，poetical as a preposition（Shaksp．，Dryden）．Anglosaxon $æ r$ ，c．dat．ante，of the same sound as the adverbial comparative $c r$ ，prius，antea，belonging to $\hat{a} v$ ．Old－English er，or：or this； or his nativitee（Chaucer）．Ore even in Shakspeare，All＇s well \＆c． $1,3$.
after，Anglosaxon äfter，c．dat．post，belonging to äft and af，of． Old－English the same．
over，Anglosaxon ofer，c．dat．，acc．，ultra，post，to ufa，adv．，su－ pra Old－English the same．$v$ is often elided：o＇er．
under，Anglosaxon under，c．dat．，acc．，sub，subter，subtus．Com－ pare Gothic und，ad．
foxth $=$ out of，otherwise an adverb．Anglosaxon for $\delta$ ，inde，still occurs as a preposition．See L．Byron 2，p．130．ed．Lips．
through，occasionally abridged thro＇．Anglosaxon purh（puruh， përh），c．dat，acc．，per，propter．Gothic pairh．Old－English thor， thurgh，thrughe（Percy Rel．），poru，thorowe，thorow，thorough \＆c．
The form thorough occurs now in compounds；Shakspeare still has it as a preposition：And thorough this distemperature，we see The seasons alter（Mids．N．Dr．2，1．）．
since，has developed itself out of the Anglosaxon adverb siみみan， sippan，siđオen，sëðचan，also siđオa（from the adverb sîđ，serius）． Rob．of Gloucester often has seppe as an adverb；seth is still found in the fifteenth century．The abridged form sithe，sith，early oc－ curs as a preposition：Sithe the tyme of Sowdan Sahaladyn（Maun－ dev．p．44．ib．148．）．From sithen proceeded on the one hand the shorter sin，which still survives in dialects，on the other the amplified genitive form sithenes，sithence，from which since arose．
2）Compounded of particles are：
into，Anglosaxon intô．Old－English the same．Old－English also possessed intil：Turne ．．intil oon bileve（Piers Ploughm．p． 258. compare Havelok 130．）．Compare until．
out of，appear in English disconnected，and might therefore be compared with the syntactically connected up to，up till，from under，from among，from beyond \＆c．；yet out is，in contradistinc－
tion to the casual connection of other prepositions with an object already more particularly determined by a preposition, always accompanied by of. Anglosaxon $\hat{u} t o f$, prep., Matth. 7, 5 \&c. Oldnorse $\hat{u}$ taf, whereas in Anglosaxon $\hat{u} t e$, $\hat{u} t$, extra, also occurs as a preposition with the dative. In dialects $u t$ is still in use for out. The combination out of also belongs to Old-English.
until, and unto, are compounds of til and to with the particle, which answers to the Gothic untê, Old-Anglosaxon unti, Old-Highdutch unzi. Compare Old-Highdutch unz ze=unto.
upon, Anglosaxon uppan, uppon, c. dat., acc., super, post, contra. Old-English upon, apon.
underneath, Anglosaxon underneodan, and beneath, Anglosaxon beneoðan, beniðan, from the adverb neoðan, deorsum. Old-English undernethe, binethen, bynethe. The simple neath in the same meaning is considered an abbreviation: And 'neath her bodice of bright scarlet dye Convulsive clasps it to her heart (Longfellow). The snowbird twittered on the beechen bough And 'neath the hemlock (Bryant).
afore, Anglosaxon onforan, c. acc., and before. Anglosaxon beforan, c. dat., acc., ante, coram. Old-English aforen, aforne, afore and beforen, beforne, before. The Old-English toforn, tofore is lost as a preposition. Anglosaxon tôforan, tôfor, c. dat., ante, coram, pro: Tofore alle opere (Rob. of Gloucester I. 2.). At Salesbury touore hym (II. 377.). Lyveris toforn us (before us living) (Piers Plonghm. p. 235.). The simple fore is treated as an abbreviation: Places the ransomed child, new born, 'fore the face of its father (Longfeliow)
behind, Anglosaxon behindan, adv. retro, post. Old-English behynde. The older dialects and northern ones even now have ahint.
beyond, Anglosaxon begeondan, c. acc., according to Boswell also begeond, trans, ultra. Old-English bizende (Dame Siriz p. 5.), bizunde (Halliwell s. v.), biyonde, beyond.
but, is commonly no longer regarded as a preposition, but is decidedly such in sentences. like: All but one were lost (Smith). Anglosaxon bûtan $=$ be ûtan, c. dat., sine, praeter. Old-English but, often bout (compare about), as still in northern dialects bout $=$ without.
within, Anglosaxon viđïnnan, from vi才 prep., c. dat, acc. and innan, in use in the compound as an adverb; Old-English withinne.
without, Anglosaxon viđîtan, from viđ prep., c. dat., acc., and $\hat{\imath}^{\prime}$ an, likewise current in the compound as an adverb. Old-English withouten, withowlen, withhouten (Dame Sifiz p. 7.)
throughout, an Old-English compound: poru out al (Rob. of Gloucester II. 377.). Thorghe out many othere iles ((Maundev. p. 4.). thurghout \&c.

Compounded of three particles are:
above, Anglosaxon bufan = be ufan, c. dat., supra, with the prefixed preposition $\hat{a}$, compare âbûtan. Old-English abufe, abuf (Townel. Myst.), aboven, above, aboon, abone, abowen, abowe,
aboun \&c. The old bove is likewise found in early times: Bi houre Loverd, hevene king, That ous is bove! (Dame Siriz p. 5.). In modern times 'bove appears as an abbreviation of above: His bold head 'Bove the contentious waves he kept (Sharsp. Temp.).
$a b o u \not$, Anglosaxon prep. âbûıan $=\hat{a}$ be $\hat{\iota}$ ıan, along with which bûıan; c. dat., sine, praeter (see but). OldEnglish abouten (still in use in the East of Sussex), abowght \&c.: Abouten Inde (Maundev. p. 4.). The crounes . . abouten here hedes (p. 188). Beren beighes .. Abouten hire nekkes (Piers Ploughm. p. 10.). Abouten prime (Chaucer 2191.). In the dialects of the North of England however abut is equal to but. - 'bout is shortened from about: In troops I have dispers'd them 'bout the isle (Shaksp. Temp.).
b) Prepositions arising from Nouns.

The oldest and most important amongst these are compounded of particles and nouns, and their appearance without a particle is mostly to be considered as arising from the rejection of the latter. 1) Compound particles of this sort arise
from substantives:
among, amongsl, the latter of which forms, like similar ones, has arisen from the older form with a (genitive) $s$ by the adoption of an inorganic t (comp. against, amidst). Anglosaxon âmang, onmang, c. dat., inter, cum, apud, from the subst. mang, mixtura. Old-English amang (Rob. of Brunne, Scottish and in dialects of the North of England), among, emang, amonges, emonges, emongs (Jack Jugler), also emongs!. The form in es is old, for instance in Maundeville, Piers Ploughman and Chaucer. The $a$ is often thrown off in Modern-English: No marrying 'mong his subjects (Shaksp. Temp.). The keenest eye might search in vain, 'Mong briers . . For the spot \&c. (Bryant). The ways that wind 'mongst the proud piles (id.).
adown, Anglosaxon âdûne, adv. deorsum, from the subst. dûn, mons. Old-English adown, adoune; frequently simply down. OldEnglish doung (Rob. of Gloucester p. 208 in Halliwell s. v.).
across. Old-norse kross = Latiu crux, Old-French croiz, cruiz, cruz; Smart even cites the simple cross as a preposition. Across as a preposition seems to belong to modern times.
against, Anglosaxon ongegn, ongên, âgên; c. acc.. contra, adversus, alongside of the simple gägn, gên, adverb, which appears as an accusative. Besides that lógegnes, logênes stands as a preposition, c. dat., acc., contra. Old-English frequently azen, azeyn, agein, ageyne, again as a preposition from Rob. of Gloucester to Skelton, also with the meaning e regione: Azeyn Fraunce stonde pe contre of Chichestre (Rob. of Gloucester I. 6.); like over against now; also = towards: To riden again the quene (Chaucer 4811.); alongside thereof againes, ageins, agens (Scottish aganis), ayenst is an Old-English form: Many other dyverse schapp, azenst kynde (Maundev. p. 223.). It stands abbreviated as gainst: 'Gainst form and order they their power employ (Dryden). ${ }^{\text {All }}$ the nations . . are loud in wrath against thee". - „'Gainst me!" (L. Brron). - Gain; gainer; gainest, near; nearer; nearest is in use dialectically as an adjective in the North of England, and occurs
also, in other significations, as: easy, dexterous, convenient. Compare Anglosaxon adverb ungägne, inepte. The simple gain, contra, is still found in a few compounds.
beside, besides, Anglosaxon be sidan, ad latus. The form besides is not, as Halliwell thinks, inferior to the others in age. Comp. Old-English: Bi syde Scotland hem zef a place (Rob. of Gloucester 1. 143.). Bysydes hym (283).
often from adjectives.
amid, amidst, Anglosaxon: a form amidd, amid from the adj. midd, medius is wanting; on the other hand tô middes; c. gen., dat., inter, according to Bosworth also on middan, $\hat{a}$ middan, in media parte, compare Old-norse $\hat{a}$ medan, interim. Like the gen. sing. neutr. middes, the dat. plur. middum was also used as an adverb in medio. Old-English has early amid, amyd, amydde and amiddes; middes is here even regarded as a substantive: Amiddes of the tempul (Chaucer 2011.). In pe middes of pe world (Rob. of Gloucester I. 61.); whence the substantive midst; and in middes is likewise used prepositionally: Men setten him . . in middes the place of his tent (Maundev. p. 253.).

As abbreviations 'mid, 'midst occur, to which however the mark of elision is often not prefixed: A youth, who bore, 'mid snow and ice, A banner with the strange device: Excelsior! (Longfellow). The clear pure lymph, That from the wounded trees . Falls, mid the golden brightness of the moon, Is gathered in (Bryant). Whither, midst falling dew . . dost thou pursue The solitary way? (ID.).

With the now lost preposition mid, Anglosaxon mid, c. dat. cum, this mid has nothing in common. Compare Old-English: Hors and Hengist . . Come to Kent . . Myd pre schipful of knyztes (Rob. of Gloucester I. 111.).
anent $=$ opposite to, concerning, about, which modern lexicographers denote a Scotticism, is an Old-English as well as Scottish word. Its fundamental form is anen, its fundamental meaning, opposite. Anglosaxon on efn, on emn, e regione, contra, from the adj. ëfen. Compare Old-English: And anen that vale of Josaphate . . is the chirche of seynt Stevene (Maundev. p. 80.).

With anen is primarily connected anens (also anense, Halliwell v. anenst; onence iv. s. v.;, comp. also afore nens, perhaps always to be spelt aforenens $=0$ pposite to and the Old-English and Scottish anenst, still enenst, forenenst in northern dialects. An amplification by an unorganic $t$ gave anent (now especially in Lancashire, Derbyshire \&c.) anont in Wiltshire, also foranent in the North of England, to which the primive genitive es was early appended, aneyntes, anentis: Unto aneyntes Egipt and toward Ethiope (Maundev. p. 143.). Alle thrat comen aneyntes hem (p. 298.). An other literary from is anends. See Craven Dialect. I. p. 8. The form in es is found even in the Anglosaxon tô emnes, plane. Compare moreover the Old-Highdutch in ëban = meaning beside. along, dialectically and Old-English also alongst. (Halliwell s. v.), is deformed from the Anglosaxon andlang, c. gen., in longum, per. Old-English endelong: Endelong Bretaigne and the like.
(Chatcer), see above p. 404. Along is not to be confounded with the simple long, which is used with the accusative with reference to time, and may be regarded as a preposition (although placed after the noun): The nightingale shall cease to chant the evening long (Bryant).
athwart, also overthwart, even in Maundev. p. 211., also as an adjective in Skelton I. 27., see p. 392. aslant and askaunt, askaunce the same, see the corresponding adverbs p. 405.
around, along with which the simple round occurs, is formed after the Old-French roond, round, reond, Old-English also aroun (Kyng Alisaunder 6603.), as still in northern dialects. The English formation perhaps leaned upon the Old-French a la roonde, a la reönde.
below. See the adverb p. 405.
between and betwixt. Between arises from the Anglosaxon be tveónum (dat. plur. from the substantive tvéona, trŷna $=$ interstes), also betrŷnan (dat. sing.), which stands as a preposition with the dative; this form belongs therefore properly to the substantive forms. Old-English betwene, along with which also atwene, attween, occured, which still survives in atween, especially in the North of England. Even the mere twene was used as a preposition: Twene hope and drede My lyfe I lede (Skelton I. 424.). Betwixt rests upon the Anglosaxon betvihs, betveohs, betveox, betvux, alongside of which betvuxt occurred, c. dat., acc., inter; a simpler form was betvih, inter, belonging to the adv. tvih, intra, from tvi, duo. OldEnglish betwix and betwixen, betwixt, alongside whereof also atwix, atwixen, atwixt, the latter whereof is still in use, for example, in Suffolk. The Promptorium Parvulorum (sec. XV.) has atwexyn, atwyxyne, atwyxt. - Betwixt often appears shortened into 'wixt: The time 'twixt six and now (Shaksp. Temp.). And 'wixt the heavy swaths his children were at play (Bryant).
toward, towards, gen. Anglosaxon tôveardes, c. dat., versus, belonging to the adj. veard, vergens (only in compounds), whence tôveard = futurus. Old-English toward alongside of towardes. In Old-English both elements of the compound were often separated by the substantive referred to them: To wodewarde wyll I flee (Percy Rel. p. 98. II.). To Dovere ward (p. 90. II.). To Thebes ward; to Troie wardes \&c. (Chaucer). To me warde (Skelton I. 46.). Hence even in Modern-English: I take my course To seawarde (Turbevile's Ovid. 1567.). To God ward (2 Cor. 3, 4.); with which the substantive forms are allied, as in: That vessel to the windward yonder (Longrellow). The mountains piled to the northward (Whittier).

Moreover ward was also compounded with other prepositions: As fram ward Teukesburi (Rob. of Gloucester II. 543.). From Burdeux ward (Chadcer 399.). Thi (thou?) lexst amidward thi teth "thou liest through thy teeth" (Gy of Warwiee p. 154.).

An imitation of a Romance form is withal, which from olden times has followed its substantive, retaining however the effect of a preposition. Old-English: Ony mon . . that him list to speke with alle (Maundev. p. 24.). Modern-English: A merrier man..

## 1. The Parts of Speech. C. Particles. 2) The Preposition.

I never spent an hour's talk withal (Sharsp. Love's L. L. 2, 1.). The best rule of life that ever the world was acquainted withal (Tillotson). It answers to the Old-French a 101, a 10z. We may regard al as well as tot as strengthening of with, $a$.
2) Some simple and compound nouns, in which however the compounding does not entail the prepositional character, may, in connection with the oblique case, pass as prepositions. They are in part borrowed or imitated from the Old-French.

The prepositional employment of nigh, near (next), Anglosaxon neáh; c. dat. prope, juxta, rests upon Anglosaxon usage, and also answers to the Highdutch naechst as a preposition.
save, saving, Old-French salf, sauf, salv \&c., also for hormis, excepté. Old-English sauf, save and saving (Chaucer) in the same meaning: No man might gladen this duk Theseus Saving his olde fader (2839. Tyrwh.). The popular forms saving your reverence, saving your presence, wherein saving may be rendered by without prejudice to (Shakspeare Rom. 1, 4.), are old forms of courtesy or of exculpation for undue speech.
traverse. Old-French travers, a preposition, also without the addition of $a$, de or en.

Participles of the present, as during, Old-French durant; notwithstanding, Old-French nonostant, nonobstant; Iouching, concerning, respecting, French touchant, concernant \&c. are imitations of Romance forms. Old-English has taken up similar forms directly, as moyenaunt,Old-French moyennant.

Participles of the past also occur thus: the originally Anglosaxon ago, always following its substantive, works prepositionally from olden times with the meaning since; properly, passed, from the Anglosaxon âgangan, âgân, praeterire. Old-English agon. I have here with my cosin Palamon Had strif and rancour many a day agon (Chaucer 2785. Tyrwh. i-gon Wright). Even in Shakspeare agone: Above an hour agone. Romance forms are except, French excepté; past $=$ beyond, above, after, which is attached to the French passé; in use in olden times: It is past all remedye (Skelton Merie Tales.).

Even the Romance maugre, in compound formed into a substantive, still in use, at least in burlesque speech, is frequent in Old-English: Maugre the Philistins of that citee; maugre his head; maugre thin eyen; also maugre his (Chavcer). Occasionally maugre, magre, mauger operates as a substantive: In the mauger of doughte Dogles (Percy Rel. p. 2.). Magre of our beard (see Dyce ed. Skelton I. p. CXII.); so that it coincides with spite, despite, Old-French despit, used in like manner: Then must I save him Spite of himself (L. Byron). He gazed - how long we gaze despite of pain, And know, we dare not own, we gaze in vain (ID.); for which the periphrastic in spite, Old-French en despit, stands. Compare Old-English: In the spyt of me Percy Rel. p. 2.).
c) Prepositional forms:

We reckon here those combinations of substantives with prepositions, operating approximately as prepositions, in which the substantive as such remains effective, and therefore only appears with
the intervention of the case preposition of, or combined with a genitive or a possessive pronoun. They are by no means all modern formations, but are attached partly to Anglosaxon and OldFrench forms.

1) To Germanic manners of expression are attached:
in behalf (of), occasionally on behalf, also with the possessive pronoun: In my behalf, on his behalf \&c. Anglosaxon healf, half, yet Oldfrieslandish bihalva, Hollandish behalven, praeter. OldEnglish on (a) . . halfe \&c., yet also on . behalve: Come in, on Godes halfe (Chest. Plays). It shall not lacke certaine on mine halve, properly on myside (Cuaucer Troil. a. Cress. [V. 945.). On Goddes halfe! (Skelton I. 128.). And commaunded hem, on Goddes behalve \&c. (Maundev. p. 225.).
instead (of), also in his slead, along with instead of him. Anglosaxon in (on) stede, in loco, instar (LyE). Old-English in stede, also in hys stede.
on this side, on the other side (of). Compare the Anglosaxon on eallum sîdum. On this side is treated quite as a preposition and combined with the oblique case: On this side the Rhine etc. Benedict, I fear, has views on this side heav'n (H. Walpole). Old-English rather used on this half in like manner: On this half the see (Maundev. p. 20). Alle the londes and contrees on this half the mount Belyan (p. 227.). Dialectically a this side is also used of time: a this side Christmas.
by way (of). Comp. by way of apology. Anglosaxon vëg, via. Old-norse vëgna (gen.), propter, pro.
by dint (of). Anglosaxon dynt, ictus, percussio, comp. Hollandish uit kracht, perhaps formed upon the French à force de. Dint. is familiar to Old-English and Old-Scottish for blow, stroke.
for the sake (of), often with the Saxon genitive and the possessive pronoun: for God's sake, for glory's sake, for your sake \&c. Old-norse fyrir sakir also merely sökum (dat. plur) propter. The Anglosaxon saca was not thus employed, but instead of it ping: for mînes vîfes pingum, uxoris meae causa; for mînum pingum, mea causa. Old-English: For mercies sake (Piers Ploughm. p. 188.). For $m y$ promise sake I forgeue thee (Skelton Merie Tales). Sake appears also in the plural in reference to several: For both our sakes (Shaksp. Taming of the Shrew 5, 2.). For your fair sakes have we neglected time (Love's L. L. 5, 2.). For our own sakes And for our honour (L. Byron). But once in, with their hilts hot.in their hands, They must on for their own sakes (id.).
2) With Romance forms are ranked:
in lieu (of), French au lieu de, assimilated to the Germanic inslead: In lieu of the promises (Shakspeare Temp.), also in Lord Byron.
in regard (of), Old-French ou regard d'elles (Амуот).
in front (of), = French en face de.
in (by) virlue (of), French en verlu de.
in spile, despite (of), Old-French en despit de. Old-English in the spyt of. See above.
in consequence (of), French en conséquence de.
on account (of). Compare French mettre, passer en compte.
by means (of), French au moyen de. Compare: Diuers other gentlemen bidden thither by Skeltons means (Dyce ed. Skelton 1. p. Ixxxv.).
by reason (of), French à raison de.
because (of), dialectically also cause, French à cause de. Because has been in use from olden times, and is found in Chaucer.
Here also are reckoned Gerunds to which the object is annexed with to, as, owing (to), Old-English also awing (Anglosaxon âgan, habere, potiri), in which meaning in Old-English and even later long of was used: It was not long of me, in faith, That I went at this time (Gaulfrido and Barnardo 1570.). Compare Anglosaxon gelang (on, ät), pertinens ad. Here also belongs according (to), from the old verb accorden, acorden and the like.

Many simple and compound Anglosaxon prepositions have been abandoned in English, or only preserved in a few compounds. Old-English still possessed some of them: ed́c as a preposition c. dat. connected cardinal with ordinal numbers, Old-English èke, etiam, operated only as a conjunction. - ymbe, ymb, imbe, embe, emb, c. acc. circa, intra, erga, Modern-Highdutch um. Old-English umbe, umbi, um, frequent in compounds: umbeclappe, umbeset, umbethinke, umgife, amthynie, umskade \&c. - ôd c. gen., dat, ace, usque ad, compare Old-Highdutch unz. mid (mid) c dat. cum, Old-norse mëd, Old-English mid (see amid), frequent as a preposition in Rob. of Gloucester. Compare King Alisaunder 8j2. - geond c. acc. ultra, still in northern dialects yont (see beyond). - hinder c. dat. post, now used adjectively. - Old-norse îmillum, âmillum, Danish imellem, Swedish emellem, between, among. OldEnglish ymelle, ymell, emelle, abandoned in Modern-English. - innan, c. dat., acc. in. Old-English inne as an adverb, as also in Anglosaxon.
binnan, binnon, c. dat. in, intra. Old-English binne, byn (Ritson) $=$ within. In Yorkshire ben is still used for in, into; on the other hand bin passes in Somerset for because, which perhaps belongs here. - onin, oninnan (intus, intra), onufan, onufon and onuppan (super, supra) seem not imitated, whereas inat for instance, is connected (Percy Rel. p. 3, 2.). - ûtan, ûton, c. dat. extra, Old-English outen, uten, still dialectically, partly adjectively in use for foreign, strange. The compounds ûtanymb and ymbûtan seem not imitated. - bäftan, c dat. post, sine, may be contained in the Old-English baft, in the sense of abaft vi̛tgeondan, circa, tôeác and tôécan, c. dat. praeter, insuper, gehende, c. dat. apud, and others are wanting in English. Other Anglosaxor prepositions have been mentioned above.

## 3) The Conjunction.

Those particles which constitute the bond of sentences, and, accordingly, in contraction, of the members of a sentence, are called conjunctions.

We distinguish those conjunctions which connect sentences grammatically homogeneous, as conjunctions in the stricter sense, from subordinating conjunctions, which originally connect the subordinate with the principal sentence.

English conjunctions, with trifling exceptions, spring from the Anglosaxon. There appear among them, besides the particles serving
solely to connect sentences, prepositions and adverbs also, which undertake allied functions.
a) Coordinating conjunctions, or conjunctions in the stricter sense.

1) They are first copulative, if they link together sentences homogeneous in form. Here belong and, Anglosaxon and, et, etiam, Old-English and, sometimes mutilated to $a$, as even now in dialects (Halliwell v. a. 14.). - also, Anglosaxon ealsvâ, alsvâ, sic, etiam, Old-English alswa, alsway (Townel. Myst.), also, alse, als (comp. below as), beside which stands likewise, from the Anglosaxon gelîc and vîse, comp. Old-English ylyche, iliche, Anglosaxon gelîce, pariter. - eke is obsolete, (Shaksp.), Anglosaxon eác, êc, etiam, Old-English from ekyn, compare Anglosaxon tô eácan, insuper. - too, Anglosaxon tô, prep. and adv., insuper, Old-English to, too. - besides and beside (see prepositions); withal (see prepositions) and therewithal, comp. Anglosaxon pærvid, cum eo; moreover, formed from the Anglosaxon mâra and the preposition ofer, comp. Anglosaxon pærofer, dialect. moreover than that; also inover (Withals); further, Anglosaxon furđôr, ulterius, Old-English forther, further, and furthermore (Bible) and others may likewise be regarded as substitutes for conjunctions. In the comparative sense stands even, Anglosaxon ëfne, aeque, plane, ecce, Old-English even. - Also now, now, Anglosaxon $n u$ or $n \hat{u}$, Old-English now, may prolong the discourse connectingly and subsumingly. The numeral adverbs first, firstly, secondly \&c., lastly, and finally corresponding with this in meaning, may likewise be regarded as connecting particles, although adverbs, strictly taken, prolong the discourse asyndetically and may therefore mostly take and before them.

With a reciprocal relation of sentences or members of a sentence and often appears along with other particles; thus, in both . . and, where both comprehends both members, although not always standing in a direct relation with them, and which appear united by and. The manner of expression is old. Anglosaxon: Bâ tvâ Adam and Eva (Gen. 26, 35.). Old-English Bothe pees and werre, Blisse and bale bothe I seigh (Piers Ploughm. p. 222.). Bothe to kith and to kyn (268.). Compare Middle-Highdutch beidiu, unde (Benecke). The members are joined by also instead of by and (Smart). The comprehension of the members is also effected by at once (simul), to which and is given as their union. It is otherwise with alike . . and, which exactly answers to the Latin pariter atque, Anglosaxon gelice . . and. The connections by what . . what, what . . and are also old, more completely what . . and what, properly, something . . something, that is partly.$\therefore$ partly, corresponding to the Anglosaxon hvat, aliquịd. Old-English: But what for the yles, what for the see, and what for strong rowynge, fewe folk assayen for to passen that passage (Maundev. p. 306.). In this firste host is the nombre of poeple 50 Cumaunez; what of hors, what of fote (p. 240.). Wat vor honger, wat vor wo, men deyde (Rob. of Gloucester II. 378.). They shall . . yeve hem such than-
kinges what with kissing，and with talkinges（Cuaveer p． 255 Tyrwh．）．－not only ．．but also，seems to be assimilated to the Romance non－seulement ．．mais encore，Latin non solum ．．sed etiam；but answers to the Anglosaxon conjunction bûtan，nisi， therefore properly：not only．As well ．．as，as well as，operates similarly，wherein properly a modal junction of sentences is con－ tained．Anglosaxon presents sva gelîc svâ，pariter ac．Old－En－ glish has early the ealsvâ and vëla，vël based upon als，as wel as：Als wel on hors back ．．as on fote（Maundev．p．249．）． The wommen weren breech as wel as men（p．250．）．

Negative sentences are connected by the almost forgotten ne， Anglosaxon nê，neque（see p．406．），now commonly by nor，for which neither also occurs．This nor，as well as neither is the Anglosaxon nâhväđer，nâđor，nâđer，neque：Ye shall not eat of it，neither shall ye touch it（Smart）．Neither ．．nor commonly appear in reciprocal relation．In Old－English for neiher the forms nouther，nother appear，yet also neither，also neydur（Egla－ mour 883．），as still in northern dialects noyther，nawther，nother， noither and nowdir，which，as in the Anglosaxon nâdor with nê， often entered into reciprocal relation with ne：Nouther be day ne be nyght（Maundev．p．303．）．Nother after his death ne in his lif（Chaucer p．76．II．Tyrwh．）．That han neither konnynge ne kyn（Piers Plougha．p．220．）．Yet nother is also early re－ peated，and in the second member often assumes the shorter form nor：For measure is a meane，nother to hy nor to lawe （Skelton I．231．）．In the form neither it also appears repeated： Neither in this world，neither in the world to come（Matth．12， 32．），which is censured by moderns．There also appear nor ．．nor in reciprocal relation，especially in poets：Ye knew nor me，nor monarchs，nor mankind（L．Byron）．Etymologically considered all these forms are equally justified．
2）Disjunctive conjunctions announce that only one of the limbs is valid．Here belongs or，Anglosaxon âhväđer，âv才er，âđor，âðer， alteruter，Old－English outher，other，or，also ather，as still in Yorkshire，aythere（Townel．Myst．），formed quite analogously to nouther，nother，nor，to which else，Anglosaxon elles，Old－En－ glish also elle，is given as an augmentative，which also operates disjunctively：Be quiet，else be gone．It commonly enters into reciprocal relation as either ．．or，in both which forms the same word is to be recognized．Here too Old－English mostly pre－ ferred the shorter form in the second limb．Comp．Old－English： A tale outher tweye（Piers Ploughm，p．167．）．Oper he smot of pe arm，or pe hond，or pe heued（Rob．of Glou cester I．17．）． Outher here or ellis where（Piers Plougim．p．280．）．The use of or ．．or is still poetical：To try whose right，Or thine or mine，is most in Helena（Sharspeare Mids．N．Dr．3，2．）．That were heroic or to win or fall（L．Byron）．The Anglosaxon ơみすe， aut，in reciprocal relation ơみ兀e ．．ođみe，was abandoned．
3）Adversative conjunctions oppose the connected limb，limitingly or negatively，to another．Here belong but，Anglosaxon bûtan， as a preposition sine，praeter，as a conjunction，nisi，which has
taken the place of the ac still widely diffused in Old-English (Rob. uf Groucester, Piers Plolghm. \&c.), ak (Wright Politic. Songs p. 211.), acke (Halliwell s. v.), oc (Beves of Hamtoun p. 61.), Auglosaxon ac (oc, âc, ôc, Gothic ak), sed, at, as the Anglosaxon vitôdlîce, sed, vero and sôđvhädere, verumtamen were abandoned. On the other hand yet (also combined with and and but), Anglosaxon git, get, geot, gëta, nunc, adhuc, which appeared augmentatively before comparatives, git, svî才ôr, adhuc melius, and some compounds came in, as, nevertheless, Old-English also natheles, developed from the Anglosaxon næfre and nâ pŷ (pê) läs, nunquam (minime) eo minus and corresponding to the Old-French neantmoins; notwithstanding, from the Anglosaxon vidstandan, and assimilated to the Old-French nonostant; however, formed from the Anglosaxon hvê, hvŷ, hû, the instrumental of hvät and cefre, properly an elliptical sentence, as it appears complete in howbeil (formerly abbreviated as howbe). The hybrids meantime, meanwhile, from the Old-French meien and the Anglosaxon tîma and hvîl may also be used adversatively. Compare the French cependant.
4) Causal conjunctions are those which indicate that the annexed sentence contains the cause or the consequence of another.

The preposition for used to combine sentences serves to denote the cause; essentially it annexes a subordinate sentence, which however, sometimes receives a freer position and seems to pass into a principal sentence. We may compare it with the French car, likewise originally annexing the subordinate sentence. OldEnglish often denoted the sentence introduced by for, as a subordinate sentence, by the collocation of the words: pe Picars were wroth eke. . For he myd such vnkyn dede heore felawes slow (Rob. of Gloucester I. 110.).

A conclusion is mostly annexed by primitive pronominal adverbs, as hence, therefore and thereupon, both which seem, both in composition and application, unknown to Anglosaxon (also thereon, Anglosaxon pæron, in eo), whereas the now obsolete forthy = therefore, in Anglosaxon for py , igitur, ea causa, OldEnglish for thi, forthy, undertook the same function, although, in spite of its originally demonstrative character, it penetrated into the subordinate sentence in Anglosaxon with the meaning quia. Old-English also used forthan, Anglosaxon for pam, propter ea, forpan, forpon, igitur. Further, Modern-English employs also the adverb then, Anglosaxon ponne, penne, also substituted for ergo, igitur, and so, Anglosaxon sva, sic, ita, which also occurs combined with then (so then), although it frequently, like the Old-French si, serves only to lead on the discourse with more emphatic reference to what precedes. Adverbs like accordingly \&c. are properly to be passed over here.
b) Subordinating Conjunctions:

They serve to connect the substantive sentence with the adverbial sentence, whereas in the adjective sentence the relative pronouns at the same time take the function of subordinate conjunctions.

1) To connect the substantive sentence with its (absolute or relative) principal sentence the conjunction that, pät, quod, ut, primarily serves. But this conjunction is in English, in the most comprehensive sense, the conjunction of the subordinate sentence generally, so that it was once attached to almost all conjunctions, as it still is or may be subjoined to some, a phenomenon which will be discussed in the Syntax, therefore is not considered here.

Negative sentences of this class are also introduced by lest, quin, quominus, Anglosaxon läst, minime, Old-English least, leste, especially after verbs of apprehension. Anglosaxon used pê läs, and pê läs pe for quo minus, ne, wherein the appended $p e$ is not to be regarded as the cause of the $t$. The $t$ might be an inorganic letter, but it seems more natural to derive it from the form of the adverbial superlative, which, after the abandonment of the pê, eo, quo, like the Latin minime, was adapted to represent the negation ne. Thus too but (see above) is employed, particularly after the notion of doubt.

In indirect questions, which belong here, stands if, Anglosaxon gif, si, not num, like the Old-Highdutch ibu, Old-English zif, zef, zife, if \&c., for which also whether, which was in use in Anglosaxon, hväđer, utrum, an, still sometimes occurs: People, who came to learn whether the bad news was true (Macaulay); although commonly whether . . or, is used in double question. In the direct question the Anglosaxon employed cuist pu, for num, which has been abandoned in English. Whether appears moreover sometimes in the compressed form whe'r: Whe'r thou beest or no (Shakspeare Temp. 5, 1.); wher.

With the lower people the primitive interrogative particle how, also in the combination as how, is sometimes substituted for the particle of the substantive sentence that, with which we may compare the French comme, comme quoi.
2) The adverbial sentence, which contains adverbial determinations of the predicate of the principal sentence in the form of a subordinate sentence, is divided into several sorts.
a) It serves to determine place. Sentences of this sort are annexed by relative adverbs of place.
$\beta$ ) It contains a determination of time.
Sentences which specify the When? in general as a space of time, or point of time of an activity, are introduced by when, Anglosaxon hvenne, hvanne, hvonne, quando, Old-English whanne, whan, wan, which formerly also appeared in the combination whenas (Milion), and generalized, by whenever, whensoever \&c. The Anglosaxon ponne, penne, quando, was given up; on the other hand the Anglosaxon pâ, pâ pe, quando, quum survived in the Old-English tho, tha: po pis folk was on lond, forp into Kent hit drow (Rob. of Gloucester I. 111.). Sori ich am, quop Vortiger po he herde pis (p. 113.). pis was po in Engolond Britones were (p. 2). The properly modal as, Anglosaxon ealsvâ, Old-English als, as, is also substituted for the temporal conjunction.

To denote duration while, whilst, from the Anglosaxon hvil, hvîle, tempus, serve, whence pâ bvîle and pâ hvîle pe, quam diu, for which in Old-English whils, whiles was also early in use: Whils that the peple of Israel passeden the see (Marndev. p. 85.). Whiles (as long as) I am ou your side (Skelton I. 37.), in which I take the $s$ to be a plural (comp. Anglosaxon hvîlum, hvîlon, dat. plur. aliquando). The form, connecting the later whilst also occurs in Modern-English, for instance, often in Shakspeare: It so falls out, That what we have we prize not to the worth, whiles we enjoy it (Much Ado etc.). And here you sty me In this hard rock, whiles yon do keep from me The rest o'th'island (Temp.). While moreover formerly served instead of until, as even now in Yorkshire. See Craven Dialect. 2. p. 254. Modal forms serve to determine the limits more particularly, as as long as, Anglosaxon sva lange svâ \&c.

Coincidence in a point of time is expressed by an originally modal joint of a sentence: as soon as. Anglosaxon sona sva, sona, päs pe, statim exquo. Old-English as sone as, at the same time answering to the Old-French si tost comme, alongside whereof a comparative joint no sooner . . than occurs, Comp. French pas plus tôt que.

Extension of an activity from a limiting point is denoted by since, Anglosaxon siðpan \&c., as a conjunction ex quo, postquam (sce p. 410 .); duration up to a point of time by till, Anglosaxon til, donec (Chron. Sax. 1140.), and the compound until, see p. 409., whereas the Anglosaxon ôd along with ôd pe, ôđ pät, donec also used as a conjunction, was abandoned.

If the activity which precedes that of the principal sentence is denoted by the subordinate sentence, the latter is introduced by after, Anglosaxon äfter pam pe, but which stands also for quemadmodum (see the preposition after, p. 410.). If the succeeding activity is expressed in the subordinate sentence, it is preceded by ere, Anglosaxon ær pe, ær pam pe, ær pon pe, priusquam; Old-English er, ere, or. Or for ere also occurs in Modern-English of early times: I . . return Or e'er your pulse twice beat (Sinakspeare Temp.), as still in northern dialects. Instead of ere, before also appears. Even Old-English used the preposition beforne, beforn, before (that) in this case, but commonly gave in addition that, but also sometimes or: Befure or thei resceyve hem (Maundev. p. 83.).
r) Further, the adverbial sentence serves as the expression of determinations of causality, and denotes the cause or the consequence of the activity predicated in the principal sentence.

1) The causal sentence in the narrower sense, which specifies the causal fact, is introduced by for, Anglosaxon for pam, for pam pe, quia. Old-English for (that) and forthy, Anglosaxon for $\mathrm{p} \hat{\mathrm{y}}$, for py pe, quia (see p. 421).), along with which also in that, and the mere that, quod, occur in the causal sentence. The particle of time since, obsolete sith, postquam, has also been employed from of old, to which, however, as to the French tandis que, an adversative relation is frequently given.

Old-English: Why menestow thi mood for a mote in thi brotheres eighe Sithen a beem in thyn owene Ablyndeth thiselve (Piers Ploughm. p 189.). Alas! that a cristene creature Shal be unkynde til another Syn Jewes. . Eyther of hem helpeth other (p. 164.). The modal as, and therewith whereas likewise stands with an adversative relation.
2) The conditional sentence, which contains a supposition or assumed cause, is introduced by if (see p. 421.). Formerly the conjunction and, an was widely diffused in OldEnglish and Old-Scottish instead of $i f$, which is nothing else than and, and hence is frequently expressed in Old-English by \&c. It answers to the Middle-Highdutch unde in conditional and concessive sentences. See Benecke's Dictionary p. 186. Compare Old-English: And myghte kisse the kyng for cosyn And she wolde (Piers Ploughm. p. 36.). The pecok, and men pursue hym, May noght flee heighe (p. 242.). But and sche have children with him, thei leten hire lyve (Maundev. p. 171.). An frequently stands for and in Rob. of Gloucester. And and an are not only in extensive use in dialects with the common people, especially in Lancashire and Westmoreland, but are also to be met with in Modern-English literature: Why, an I were \&c. (Ben Jonson). We steal by line and level and't like your grace (Shakspeare Temp.). An a may catch your hide and you alone (King J. 2, 1.). Frequently an is combined with if: I pray thee, Launce, an if thou seest my boy, Bid him make haste (Two Gentlem.). Let me say no, my liege, an if you please (Love's L. L.). Hence the formula: without ifs or ands. Shakspeare also transfers an to the indirect interrogative sentence: To spy an I can hear my Thisby's face (Mids. N. Dr. 5, 1.).

The conditioning sentence is also introduced by so (so that), mostly however by way of limitation, like dummodo; the Anglosaxon sva is not found thus employed, Old-English has so, by so: Roughte ye nevere Where my body where buryed By so ye hadde my silver (Piers Plougha. p. 206.). Periphrastic forms have been fashioned upon the French, as, provided that, providing, French pourvu que; in case that, French en cas que; on condition that, French à condition que, and others.

Negative sentences are introduced by unless; it is foreign to Anglosaxon and seems fashioned upon the Old-French a moins que . . ne, for which formerly lesse than (Halliwell s. v.) occurred. The negation un perhaps arose from the endeavour to indicate the nature of the dependent sentence at the outset. Sometimes we find unless shortened into 'less (Milton). The Anglosaxon bûtan, bûtan pät, nisi, still appears in but, but that. The particle without, Anglosaxon viđûtan, Old-English withouten, without, is also substituted for unless, especially in dialects. Compare Old-English: I shall breake your palettes Wythout ye now cease (Skelton I. 106.), for which also the Romance save that and except appear.

In the contraction of a modal sentence with a conditional
sentence as if, as though (see below) or even as alone, with suppression of the second particle, appear.
3) The concessive sentence is introduced by though, abbreviated tho' and amplified although. Anglosaxon peáh pe, peáh, quamvis [the pronominal peáh is properly of demonstrative nature: tamen], Old-English thau (Dame Siriz), the3 (Life of Th. Beket p. 8.), theigh (Piers Ploughm.), thagh (Halliwell s. v.), thowe (Eglamour 592.), thofe, still dialectically thof in the North of England (Perceval 81.), though, thogh \&c. Old-Scottish thoch, thocht. The strengthening al, comp. Middle-Highdutch al, occurs also alone in Old-English with this meaning as al, all (Chadcer); frequently it was placed with other particles, as with though, comp. alle thow (Torrent of Portugal p. 10.). gif, if: allezif (Halliwell s. v.), alle if (id. v. alle-hool), in Skelton algife (I. 13.). Thus it early appeared in the formula albeit (that) (Chaucer), which appears in the dependent sentence even in Modern-English, as well as in albe that (Lydgate) and all be though (Skinner). Notwithstanding, fashioned upon the Romance, also occurs in these sentences. Generalizing particles, as however, whenever, wherever \&c., as also the disjunctives whether . . or, may also introduce concessive sentences.
4) In the consecutive sentence, which expresses the consequence of the activity, stands that; Anglosaxon pät, ut, to which a correlative like so, Anglosaxon svâ pät, adeo ut, or such, Anglosaxon svelic, svilc, svyle, talis, is attached, which the correlative as also follows.
5) The final sentence, which represents the purpose of the activity of the principal sentence, likewise introduced by that, Anglosaxon pät, ut, for which also in order that (W. Scott) occurs; the negative final sentence is also introduced by lest, Anglosaxon pê läs pe, quominus. See above. In Old-English the variously employed for, used with the infinitive to express the purpose, is also referred hither: Briddes . . Hidden and hileden Hir egges . . For men sholde hem noght fynde (Piers Ploughm. p. 223.)
3) The modal sentence, also called the comparative sentence, denotes the sort and manner of the activity of the principal sentence qualitatively and even quantitatively. The particles occuring here are as, the shortened also: Anglosaxon ealsvâ. Old-English alse, als, as, often with correlatives, as as, so, such. The forms als, as long run alongside of each other: $A s$ foule as thei ben, als evele thei ben (Maundev. p. 153.). Als longe as here vitaylles lasten, thei may abide there ( p .130 .). That these sentences may also be temporal sentences in meaning, is observed above. Like, Anglosaxon gelîce, similiter, may also, under certain circumstances, be substituted for as. The dependent sentence is annexed to a comparative correlative by than, Anglosaxon ponne, penne, quam.

The further development of dependent sentences and the seeming interchange of particles, as well as periphrastic forms belonging to this head, have to be stated in the Syntax.

## 4) The Interjection.

The Interjection, or the sound of emotion, is the expression of an emotion, of an affection, or even of desire, which, however, expresses no notionally determinate image, and, not being interwoven with the context of the sentence, stands outside of it. Interjections are partly words by themselves notionless, partly notional words whose determinate meaning has evaporated, so that they become more or less the expression of the subjective frame of mind or the conventional term for acts of the will. Ellipses whose complements are neither clearly present to the imagination nor can be pointed out in the history of the language also belong here.

Owing to the in definite character of sounds becoming the involuntary expressions of sensations a strict division of interjections is perhaps not possible, many of them, although often produced with a different strength or pitch of sound, often answering to different moods of the mind.
a) To express pain the ambiguous $a h$ and o, oh, variously serve, which seem to be absent in Anglosaxon, whereas in Old-French a! ah! ahi! o! oh! ohi! are familiar emotional words.

Ah! is frequently the expression of pain and complaint, especially in the combination ah me! (Milton, Longfellow \&c.) for which also ay! ay me! occurs, and with which we may compare the OldFrench haemi! hemi! aymi! and the Old-Highdutch ah mih! (Noтк. Ps. 119, 5.), Middle-Highdutch ach mich! (Juliana p. m. 9.). The Old-English has a!: A! Lorde, he saide, fulle wo is me! (Ms. in Halliwell s. v., comp. Chaucer p. 9. Tyrwh.). Besides ah naturally serves as the expression of unkind feelings, as to denote indignation and contempt, but also of surprise and joy: Ah! is n't this the Captain coming? (Sheridan). Ah! my dear friend! Egad! we were just speaking of your tragedy (id.). $A h!$ Mr. Delaval, I am heartily glad to see you in England (Th. Holcroft). Ah! how the streamlet laughs and sings! (Longfellow) as also ay! becomes the expression of joyful astonishment: Ay! this is freedom! (Bryant). Comp. Old-English: A! swete sire! I seide tho (Piers Ploughm. p. 355.).

Still more ambiguous is o! oh! which frequently expresses pain and affliction, and moreover indignation and astonishment: O, woe the day! (Shaksp. Temp.). Oh, horror! shall I be the cause of murder (Tir. Holcroft). $O$, the hideous fellow! (G. Colman). Oht are you there, gentleman? (G. Farquiar); but readily adapts itself to every frame of the mind: Oh! that I was safe at Clod Hall! (Sheridan). Oh! the dear Colonel! (J. Vanbrugh.). $O$ joy! $O$ joy! (Longfellow); and attaches itself in a serious and even jocose address to the vocative: I believe, $O$ God, what herein I have read (Longfellow). Hasten! hasten! o ye spirits (id.). $O$, sweet angel! (id.). $O$ doctor! that letter's worth a million (Farquiar). This, $O$ brave physician! this Is thy great Palingenesis! (Longfellow); thus even in Old-English. O! oh! also becomes the expression of consideration or of delay in answering: You seemed upon an interesting subject. - „Oh! an affair of gal-
lantry" (S. Foote). Hence the frequent $o$, yes! o, no, oh, no! as also ah, yes! ah, no occurs (frequently in Longfellow). Formerly $o u$, ow were found with painful and joyful motion: $O u$, he seide, pe grete despit (Rob of Gloccester I. 18.) - Ow! lord, pe noble folk (p. 56.).

The obsolete welaway! is genuinely Anglosaxon, Anglosaxon vâ lâ vâ, vâlavâ, proh dolor! properly miseria, ecce, miseria! Old-Euglish walaway, weyloway (Piers Ploughm.), welewo (Townel. Myst.), welawaye (Lidgate), well away (Skelton), which has been deformed into well-a-day (even in Shakspeare), with which we may compare woe the day! wherein, as in woe is me! \&c. the same Anglosaxon vâ appears as an original substantive.

The Romance alas! Old-French hailas, halas, alas, Modern-French hélas, properly ah, wretched! was early introduced along with woe and walavay: He sayd Alas! and woe ys me! (Percy Rel. p 4. II.). Full oft, he said alas and walaway! (Chaucer). Alas, alas and welewo! ('Townel. Myst. p. 4.), with which the notion of time is often combined: alas the day! alas the while! as even in the most: ancient times: Alas! pilke stonde (Rob. of Gloucester I. 56.). The expression is strengthened by out: out alas! (Shaksp.), wherein out is the expression of repugnance. Even this form is Old-English: For the whiche his enmys cried Owte and alas! (Ms. in Halliwell v. out). Nowe, out alas! the tanner he cryde, That ever I saw this daye! (Percy Rel. p. 111. it).

A popular deformation of alas is alack! from which alack-a-day! lackaday! and jocosely lackadaisy! as in the Middle-Highdutch achlâch! (Benecke Wb.) to which perhaps good lack! does not belong, since here lack, otherwise lawk, seems deformed from lord. lear is elliptical. Comp.: Dear, dear! What will this come to! (Holcroft); which likewise seems to be an invocation to God, although o dear me! as well as ah me! oh me! springs from it.

The expression of affliction and longing is also heighto! Heigho! I have no comfort (Arth. Murphy). Heighho! I wish Victorian would come (Longfellow). I may sit in a corner, and cry heigh ho! for a husband (Shaksp. Much Ado \&c.). In dialects it is heigh! often a calling to stop, as heigho! also occurs: Heigho! la ha, ha! (Holcroft).

The outburst of emotion with bodily pain is rendered by ught ouch! to which perhaps the Old-English verb uggen, to feel a repugnance to, to be terrified, belongs.
b) Joyful emotions are expressed, besides by the above cited ah? and o! oh!, especially by hey! comp. Middle-Highdutch hei! (although this does not denote joy merely): Hey! boys! thus we soldiers live, drink, sing, dance, play (Farquhar). Converting all your sounds of woe Into Hey, nonny, nonny (Shaksp. Much Ado \&c.); likewise heyday (which also appears as a substantive)! Freedom! hey-day! hey-day! freedom! freedom! hey-day! freedom! (Shaksp. Temp.). Both certainly serve to express surprise and indecision: Hey day! here's a cat! (Sileridan). What is your intention in regard to him? „Hey! I can't tell you (S. Fоote).

The loud shouts of rejoicing are hurrah! and huzza! Huzza for the queen! (Farquiar), also hilliho! (Dickens). Comp. below $f$.
c) Surprise, with which vexation, indignation or doubt are partly mixed, is intimated by eh! ha! or hah!. Eh! Ods life! Mr. Fag! (Sileridan). Eh! what the plague! (id.). Eh! why don't you move? (Goldsmith). Eh! where's Rouse? Rouse, Rouse! 'Sflesh! where's Rouse gone? (Farquiar). The Old-English used ey!: Ey, benedicite, What eileth you? (Chaucer). Ey maister, welcome be ye! (ID.). - Ha, my dear Sneer, I am vastly glad to see you (Sileridan). Ha! what do I see? Miss Neville, by all that's happy! (Goldsmith). Both however become also the expression of the expectation of an answer, which is often supposed: Is he rich? eh? (Sheridan). There must be something that you think might be mended, eh? (id.). Harkye, hast thou never a pretty acquaintance now . . ha? (Mrs. Centlivre). Oh, ho! also serves as the expression of astonishment: Oh, ho! Mrs. Amlet! What brings you so soon to us again, Mrs. Amlet? (J. Vanbrugh). How! what! are also peculiar to the question of surprise: Eh! how! what! Captain, did you write the letter then? (Sueridan); so too in combination with other exclamation: how (what) the devil! and the like. Lo, la also becomes the term for astonishment, Anglosaxon lâ, ecce, en, Old-English often la, which like look! behold! see! is ambiguous: When they were . . removing the rubbish, lo! they found fragments of the marble tomb of Robert Bruce (W. Scott). The old $l a$ is even in Shakspeare: Ay, or else I would I might be hanged, la! (Merry Wiv. 1, 1.); so too Fielding, Holcroft \&c., where la! is frequently to be taken in the sense of refusal. Aha! expresses often satisfied expectancy: Aha! I see you well (W. Scott); and triumphant expectance and contempt. Comp. Ps. 35. A ha! also occurs in Old-English, for instance, in Chaucer, especially as an expression of reflection and satisfied expectancy.
d) Expressions of contempt, abomination and indignant rejection are fy! or fie! Old-French fi, Old-English fy, fie, fye, answering to the Latin phy (Terent.), Highdutch pfui! often combined with on, upon with reference to the object of the abomination, even in Old-English: Fie! fie! I blush to recollect my weakness (Walpole). Fie on thee! (Shaksp. Two Gentl.). Old-English: Fy on faitours (Piers Plongim. p. 308.). Fie upon a lord that wol have no mercie (Ciatcer p. 14. I. Tyrwh.). The same is denoted by foh! fugh! faugh! with an obscured vowel, from which fudge! with which we turn off lying babblers, is perhaps to be separated. In dialects fudge denotes nonsense, and perhaps belongs to the stem fagan, whence Anglosaxon fêgan, pangere, fägjan, ornare, comp. Old-Highdutch fuogjan. With a change of vowel poh! pooh! (Mrs. Centlivie) pugh! are used in the same sense, along with which pho! occurs. In the ancients baw! Baw for bokes (Piers Ploughm. p. 210.). Pish! psha! pshaw! are equal to expressions of contempt, with which twish! Halliwell s. v.) is associated, which is equivalent to tush! Old-English tusche! tushe! in general commanding silence. Compare Danish tys! (from tysse, to be silent). Tut! is also thus used, as buz (Shaksp.), which is
perhaps the substantive "Twattle". Whew! likewise occurs: Whew! away with inscriptions (Bp. Otter). Indignant dismissal and contempt is denoted by many parts of speech used elliptically, as, out !: Out dog! out, cur! thou driv'st me past the bounds Of maiden's patience (Sharsp. Mids. N. Dr.); particularly in the combination out on (upon)! I know not thy mistress: out on thy mistress! (Shaksp. Com. of Err.). Out upon him, the lazy loon! (Longfellow). Other expressions make their notional value come out still clearer, as hence! away! in Rob. of Gloucester awey! (I. 289.), the Romance avaunt! Old-French avant (Latin ab-ante): Rogues hence! acaunt! (Shaksp. Merry Wiv.) aroynt! (arroint, Shaksp.), which is compared with the Old-English roin = scab, begone! in the same sense; for shame! \&c. Finally we use the substantive fidde-faddle (fid-fad), by which we denote empty twattle: „You tell me marriage is a serious thing. ${ }^{4}$ - Why is it not? - "Fiddlefaddle! I know what it is: 'tis not the first time I have been married" (Th. Southern).
e) In assertions, which may accompany affections of every kind, the popular language is particularly rich. They mostly contain primitive notional words thrust forth elliptically, partly undeformed or but little shorteued, as, indeed! in faith! i' faith! faith! perdy! French par Dieu! parde! (Chaccer), perde! (Skelton), partly as mutilations of the name of God, Jesus Christ or the Virgin, wherein roughness is strangely mingled with the dread of the abuse of the divine name. Thus God is transformed into Gad, Cod, Cot, Cut, Cog, Cock, Od, Odd and $A d$, with which substantives are combined, denoting qualities of God or the corporeality of Jesus Christ, but also all sorts of forms of words, either in themselves or in their combination devoid of meaning. Compare Egad! Ecod! Cod's life! also Cod's my life! Od's my life! Ads my life! (Farquhar) along with God's my life! Cut's splatter and nails! Cog's bones! Cock's soul! Odsheart! Od's heartlings! Odd'sblood and hounds! (mutilated from wounds), Odd's bobs! Odd's pittikins! (from pity), Odd's dickens! (= devil), Odsfish! \&c., also Gadso! Odso! perhaps an abbreviation of the likewise mutilated Odzooks! (see below). Mutilations of this sort are old. Chaucer has Cockes bones! and in the Scottish Lindsay we find be Coks passion, hart blude, bones, toes, wounds, mother \&c. God is also transformed into Gar, hence dialectically begorz! begosh commonly pronounced, along with begammers! Another mutilation is the rejection of the stem before the genitive termination, whereby out of God's we have 's: 'Sdeath! 'Slife! 'Slid! (Shaksp. Merry Wiv.); 'Sblood! perhaps the same 'Sbud! to which also Zounds! ( $=$ God's wounds) belongs, which becomes 'ounds! ouns! oons! and wauns! of which Pounze! is a new mutilation. I do not venture to decide whether the exclamation: Zooks! Zookers! Zoodikers! may have arisen from 'Shooks (from the Anglosaxon hôc, uncus, or hôh, hôc, irrisio, comp. Old-English hoket). The name of the Lord: Lord! is also used as an exclamation in the mutilated forms Lor! Lud! and also Lawk! The name of the Virgin appears in Marry! for by Mary! as in the term Lady! Comp. Birlady! (by our Lady), Beleddy! in northern dialects, whence the
mutilations of the diminutive: By'r lakin! (Shaksp.) (By our lakin! Skelton), and in the North of England Beleakins! The asseverations By Jings! Jinkers! are referred by Fiedler to the name Jesus; by others to St. Gingoulph, as to which we may mention that in the North of England By Jen! refers to John. The devil is not only invoked as Devill! but also as Deuce! dyce! in Skelton, and the Dickens! Whether O, gemini! which also becomes asseverative (Sileridan Rivals) and as Gemminy! is an expression of surprise in various dialects, answers to the Highdutch Oh Jemine! Slav. jojmene! I leave undecided.
f) Invocations and Calls with various intentions are numerous. With holloa! hollo! holla! we call, especially from a distance, compare French holà! also occur here hola! ola!: Hola! ancient Baltasar. - „Here I am" (Longrellow). Ola, good man! - „Ola!" (ID.); likewise with ho! hoa! Martina! ho! Martina! (Lovgfellow). $H_{o}$ ! seneschal, another cup! (id.); strengthened: What ho! Yo ho! and with hoy! hey!: Hey! Trapanti! (Colly Cibber) and Hip! (Smart); with less exertion and partly privately by hem! and hist!: Hem! hem! Madam - hem! (Sheridan Rivals). Hist! hist! Donna Violanta (Centlivre). Hist! Martina! One word with you (Longfellow).

The ancient cry for help harow! Old-French haro, in Spenser has been abandoned. Modern-English has help! hoa! The encouraging summons is well then! ( $=$ French allons); the sailors shout: Ohoi! and yo heave ho!: Cheerly, my hearties! Yo heave ho! (Longfellow); shout of approval: bravo! well you! also well done you!

Attention is awakened by verbal forms, as hark! look! see! and the like, Old-English we, wemo, wemay (Townel. Myst.). The sheriff or cries commands silence before a proclamation by the Old-French imperative oyes! (oyez). In common life mum! hist! whist! hush! tut! tush! as well as the substantives silence! peace! are used, which partly express reproach and a monition to be attentive. With $b o$ ! we frighten men. Children are lulled to sleep by lullay, lullaby and the like. Compare: With lullay, lullay, lyke a childe Thou slepyst (Skelton I. 22.), with which arbitrary variations are associated. A halt at sea is commanded by avast! = stop!

The English driver has encouraged horses from olden times by hait, Old-English heit (even in Chaucer: Heit, scot, heit brok! heit now!), Old-French hait. He turns them to the left by the cry hait-wo! as well as by camether, Old-English come heder (Townel. Myst. p. 9, of ploughing); to the right by ree! and gee! He brings them to a stand by joss! Old-English jossa! (Chaucer) and stank! The cattle driver's cry is prou! that of the goose driver: shough! (= shōō). The dog is set on by hey! Hey, Mountain, hey! (Shaksp. Temp.); Old-English: Hey! dogge, hey! (Skelton I. 101.); as also enticed: Hay, chysshe, come hyder (p. 261.). He is sent home by hout: Hout, hout, to kennel, sirrah, go (Otway). Swine are enticed by tig! in several counties.

Soho! is an old exclamation, Old-English sohowe, sometimes spelt
sohow even now, which is customary as a term of the chase upon finding the hare (Promp't. Parvel.), as the cry whoo resounds at the death of the beast in whoo-up! and many more.
g) Consideration and doubt are expressed by hum! humph! also um! to which is added hem! (Colley Cibber), also as the expression of embarrassment. The frequently employed, originally interrogative why! Anglosaxon hvy, quomodo, cur? may also be regarded as an expression of reflection and a decision following upon it: And you bore all with patience, I make no doubt? - "Why, yes, though I made some occasional attempts at felo de se" (Sueridan). Shall I order a private room, sir? - "Why, no, Sam" (Dickens). If I don't lie myself out of it again, why, then I will be content to be crucified (S. Fоote); although why may also denote the delay of surprise: Why, I never heard this of him (ID.).
h) There is a series of imitations of noises and sounds, not indeed so much expressions of subjective emotion as repetitions of outward affections of sense, but which often denote the former. Thus whew! is the term for a rushing, quick movement: Whew! how they tore along! (of horses) (Dickens), Old-English with wehee! (Chaucer). Whip, has been taken from the whip and its effect (Angl. hveop, flagellum), which also denotes the suddenness of an event: And whip! we were all off at an hour's warning (Sheridan). About an hour ago she was for scaling walls to come at me, and this minute - whip, she's going to marry the stranger (Colley Cibber). flac! flac! serves for the report of a whip. - Pop! is used for suddenness (whence the verb to pop). Dash! stands near to pop (compare to dash), strengthened slap dash! = at once. Rap! is the imitation of the sound or noise in striking: Rap! he gives me a stroke on the head with his cane (Sileridan Rivals). Similarly pat! is also used of clapping appearance: To hear her come pat, pat, pat, along in her slippers (Colley Cibber). The report of a shot is expressed by boh: Lo, we fight. Boh! I kill him (SheRIDAN); the cracking and crackling. bounce!: Bounce! from the fire, a coffin flew (Gay). Old-English: I herd gunnis rushe out at ones Bowns, bowns, bowns (Skelton I. 386.). Dub a dub has denoted from of old the beat of a drum, as also tantara! Dub a dub, Dub a dub, thus strike their drums Tantara, tantara, the Englishman comes (Percy Rel. p. 146. II.). Thus too the tol de rol, else used of humming, seems sometimes to stand: Tol de rol, de rol - halt! Stand to your arms (Jam. Совв.). Compare: Sing to de rol, and let her go (id.). The fighthing step and noise is imitated by $s a, s a$, $s a$ : A duel's but a dance to him: he has been at $s a, s a, s a!$ for you already (Colley Cibber). Compare: There's no tantara, $s a, s a, s a$, or force Of man to man (TayLOR).

The tolling of bells is denoted by ding, dong! (Sharspeare Temp.), dialectically ting-tang! and bim, bom! as the beating of the clock is denoted by ding: Ding, ding, ding, ding! just four (Delanotte). Other clapping and dashing is denoted by: clash! clang! $t i k, t a k!$ and the lịke.

Singing to oneself is denoted by tum, tum, tum and tum, dum,
dum (Colley Cibber), reading to one self um, um! (id.). Laughing is represented by ha, ha, ha! also ha, he! or hee, hea! and tehee! Old-English We te he! Compare: We te he! quoth Tib and lugh (Percy Rel. p. 95. I.). And Annot . . laughes, tehe, wehe! (Skelton I. 241.). Weeping is expressed by Colley Cibber with $u h$ !

The language denotes a few voices of beasts by some agreement of sound, thus, dogs' barking by bow, wow (Shaksp. Temp.), bough, waugh, waugh, waugh! (Otway); the bleating of sheep by baa! the cockerow by cock-a-doodle-doo (Shaksp. Temp.), cock! cock! (Chaucer); the note of rooks by caw, caw: ('aw! caw! the rooks are calling (Longfellow); of the lark by tirra, lirra (Shafsp. Wint. Tale 4, 2.); the whoop of the owl by to-who, $t u-w h i t, 10-w h o$ (id. Love's L. L. 5, 2.) and others, although the different dialects make distinctions, and poets often follow their individual apprehensions.
i) Finally, another class of words may here lie mentioned, which arise from a play with the sounds, and partly in a picturesque manner make up for the image of the thing by the meaningless word. They mostly appear as notional words, and either represent the same verbal body twice rhymed with a difference of initial sound, or with a different accented vowel, without change of initial vowel, when a clear interchanges with an obscure vowel (especially $i$ with $a$ ). In origin they lean partly on notional words, partly consist of meaningless syllables.

Here belong rhyming substantives: handy-dandy; hocus-pocus (from Ochus Bochus?); hoddy-doddy; hodge-podge and hotchpotch; hurly-burly; hugger-mugger; hurdy-gurdy; cagmag; kicksywicksey. Helter-skelter are commonly adverbial; higgledy-piggledy (compare higgler subst.); harum-scarum; harry-darry, as an exclamation (Otway); habnab (Hudibr.) = at random; hoily-Ioity; hobnob, challenge to drink (take or do not take).
Repetitions with an alternating clear and obscure vowel are frequent: mïzmaze (from maze); mish-mash; riff-raff; fidde faddle; fingle-fangle; flimflam; whimwham; lick-łack, sometimes used for tric-track; tittle-tatlle; "1wittle-twattle; snip-snap (Shaкsp.); kit-cat, epithet of a club (after the pictures hanging there) is said to come from the proper name Kit (Christopher) Cat; knick-knack; gibblegabble; chit-cha1, the same - dingle-dangle $=$ carelessly pendent; skimble-scamble \&c.
ding-dong; tip-Iop; sing-song; slipslop; ninny-nonny; criss-cross. - hip-hop.
see-saw; gew-gaw.
Many of these forms fluctuate between the interjection and the noun. They are mostly foreign to the more noble literature, familiar to common life, and denote particularly insignificant or blameable subjects, and are formations in which the popular fancy still roams at large and mocks etymology. Who would be able to point out the place in which the mixed stuff made of linen and wool was first named linsey-woolsey?

## II. The formation of words.

## A. Derivation.

The forming of words by derivation in the proper sense takes place by means of the addition of sounds, in themselves without meaning or obscured in regard to meaning, to the stem.

We may, however, also reckon as derivation that formation of words which is effected without the addition of sounds. It takes place in two manners; firstly, by a verbal stem, with one of the vowels of the strong verbs which change the vowel, either within the same class of words or passing into another class, receiving an altered signification; secondly, by one and the same verbal body or part of speech passing immediately into another class of words and adopting its inflection. Both sorts may be called improper derivation.

A midde step between derivation and composition is made by those words in which a syllable, in itself significant, appears so far insignificant, as it is extinct as a word used independently, as -ald, -ard, -dom \&c.

## 1) Improper Derivation..

a) The formation of words in connection with variation of sound, which is connected with the change of sound in strong verbs, (as to which the reduplicating classes of verbs are hardly considered, their change of vowel being mostly produced by reduplication,) is the foundation of families of words with a distinction of meaning. Proper derivation may be combined with the improper by means of a termination, when the latter at the same time expresses its effect, whereas a modification of the vowel has no influence upon the meaning. Compare Modern-Higndutch Saenger, MiddleHighdutch singer, from singen, sang.

This derivation concerns Germanic words only, and lies in the rear not only of the English, but partly even of the Anglosaxon tongue, many forms produced by a variation of sound referring to strong verbs which are no longer to be pointed out in Anglosaxon nor even in other Germanic idioms. In English these strong verbs are of course still more frequently absent. Compare broad, Anglosaxon brâd, Old-norse breida, expandere, to which an Anglosaxon brîdan, not to be pointed out, would correspond; cram, Anglosaxon the same, Middle-Highdutch krimpfen, alongside whereof an absent Anglosaxon crimpan must have stood.

By changes in the vowel, details whereof are given under Phonetics, the relations of the variations of the vowel have been frequently dimmed in English. We give here by way of example some series of forms of verbs and nouns varying the vowel, attached to different classes of strong verbs.

To the first class of Anglosaxon verbs with the vowels $i$ (eo, ë) ; $a(e a), u ; u$ (o) (compare vinnen - vann, vunnon - vunnen)
belong: stunt, Anglosaxon styntan, hebetare, from stintan, English stint. bend, band, bond, Anglosaxon bendan, Old-English band, Anglosaxon bend, from bindan, English bind. wend, Anglosaxon vendan, from vindan, English wind. brand, Anglosaxon brand, from beornan, byrnan, brinnan, English burn. drink, drench, Anglosaxon drinc and drynce; drencan, from drincan, English drink. spring, Anglosaxon the same, from springan, English spring. string, strong, Anglosaxon string; strang (strong), from an assumed Anglosaxon stringan, Latin stringere. song, Anglosaxon sang (song), from singan, English sing; stench, Anglosaxon stenc, also stanc; stencan, from stinkan, English stink. ground, Anglosaxon grund, from grindan, English grind. foundling, from the Anglosaxon findan, English find.

To the second class of Anglosaxon verbs with the vowels $i$ (eo, $\ddot{e}) ; a(\ddot{a}), a(\hat{a}, \hat{e}) ; u$ (o) (comp. bëran - bär, bæron - boren) belong: birth, bare, bere, a sort of barley in Scotland, Engl. barley; bearn, bier (barrow), Anglosaxon beorđ́; bär; bere; bearn; bær, from bëran, beoran, English bear. tale, Anglosaxon talu, from the assumed tëlan, whence tellan alone remains. sale, Old-English sala, with which only the verb sellan still agrees. hollow, Anglosaxon hol, from hëlan, tegere.

To the third class of Anglosaxon verbs with the vowels $i$ (eo, ë); $\ddot{a}$ (ea), ce (eâ); i, ë, (compare biddan - bäd, bædon - bëden) the following are to reckoned: bed, Anglosaxon bedd from biddan, humi prosterni. trode, Anglosaxon trôd, from trëdan, English tread. set, Anglosaxon settan, settle, Anglosaxon sitel, sëtel; sunset, Anglosaxon siot, sët, occasus, from sittan, English sit. lay, Anglosaxon lecgan, from licgan, English lie. speech, Anglosaxon spræc, spæc, from sprëcan, English speak. stick, stake, stock, Anglosaxon sticca; staca; stoce, from Anglosaxon stëcan. Compare English stick.

To the fourth class. of Anglosaxon verbs with the vowels $a$, ea (e); ô, ô; a, ea (ä) (compare standan - stôd, stôdon - standen) are attached: step. staple, Anglosaxon stepë; steppan; stapul, from stapan, gradi. ${ }^{*}$ ) fare, Anglosaxon fär, far, and faru, iter, from faran, English fare. grave, Anglosaxon gräf, from grafan, English grave and the like.

To the fifth class of Anglosaxon verbs with the vowels $\hat{i} ; \hat{a}$, $\hat{\imath} ; i$ (compare bîtan - bât, bîton - biten) are attached: drive. drove, Anglosaxon drâf, from drîfan, English drive. shrove, shrift, Anglosaxon scrift, from scrîfan, English shrive. bit, bite, bait, bitter, Anglosaxon bit; bîte; bât, biter, from bîtan, English bite. lid, Anglosaxon hliđ, hlid, from hlîđan, hlîdan, togene.**) ride, road, Anglosaxon râd, iter, from rîdan, English ride; raise, rear. arouse, Anglosaxon râsjan, ræran, from rîsan, English rise. wroth, Anglosaxon vrâ才, from vrîđan, torquere, English writhe. strike, stroke,

[^5]Anglosaxon strica, linea, strâcjan, palpare from strîcan, English strike.

The sixth class of Anglosaxon verbs, with the vowels eo ( $\hat{u}$ ); eá, $u$; o (comp. cleófan, clûfan - cleáf, clufon - clofen) is represented by: loose, loss, Anglosaxon lêsan, lŷsan, los, from the Anglosaxon leósan. shoot, shot, Old-norse skot, jactus; scot, Anglosaxon scot, tributum. sheet, Anglosaxon scête, linteum, from sceótan, English shoot. frost, Anglosaxon the same, from freósan, English freeze; float, Anglosaxon flota; flotjan; fleet, Anglosaxon fleót, sinus; fleet-milk, skimmed milk, Anglosaxon flêt, flos lactis, from fleótan, Anglosaxon fleet.

Many forms of the Germanic family of tongues founded upon verbs which vary the vowel have been lost in English. With the dimming and mixing of forms the language sometimes seeks here, as elsewhere, to arrive at a distinction of the confounded forms by the differentiation of a consonant; comp. singe, Anglosaxon sengan, from sing, Anglosaxon singan.
b) The formation of words by the transfer of an unaltered verbal body to another class of words is in English not to be sharply separated from the formation just treated of, different parts of speech often coinciding with forms attached to verbs which vary the vowel.
But this freer management and interchange of the different parts of speech has, in principle, little in common with that primitive organisation of the word, and is common to the Romance as well as to the Germanic elements of the tongue. It is attached to the licence, practiced to a smaller extent in Anglosaxon than in Old-French, of transferring an underived or even a derived word, without any further derivational termination, to another class of words.

The cases belonging here concern the verb first of all, which readily proceeds from other parts of speech:

1) From substantives. Anglosaxon commonly used, with this formation, the derivational vowel $\imath(\ddot{e}, j$ ): end-jan, ebb-jan, land-jan, vundr-jan \&c., whereas Old-French contented itself with annexing a mere inflective termination: branch-rr from branche, brance; bargaign-er from bargaigne; esperment-er from esperment, experiment. English early contented itself with the stem without a vowel of derivation: end, ebb, land, wonder, branch, bargain, experiment, which inflection or the context must shew to be verbs. Modern forms are therefore numerous: oar; mill; milt; lĕad; beard; bag; father; flea; fleece; worship; Anglosaxon veordscipe, honor; witness, Anglosaxon vîtness, testimonium. - air; experience; reverence; matter; favour; humour; pity; fancy; nurture; bayonet; dungeon. Even proper names serve as verbs, as: hector. Comp. also: You look as if you were Don Diego'd to the tune of a thousand pounds (The Tatler N. 31.). In the frequent identity of sound in verbs and substantives, many verbs, which in Anglosaxon occurred in another form, have been assimilated to substantives, as: foam, Anglosaxon subst. fâm from fæman; snow, Anglosaxon subst. snâv from snîvan, Old-English snewen; comb, Anglosaxon subst. camb, comb, from cemban, Old-English kemben; stone, Anglosaxon subst. stân, from stænan; ground, Anglosaxon subst. grund, from gryndan and others.
2) From adjectives. Anglosaxon often employed the derivational vowel
even here, as in: îdel-jan, ëfen-jan, open-jan, vearm-jan, hvit-jan alongside of huit-an \&c., where English offers idle, even, open, warm, white. Even French formed verbs from adjectives without a derivational termination before the inflection, as palir, cherir \&c. Thus we transfer to Germanic and Romance adjectives the verbal notion: black; english; sickly (Shaksp.); - mature; mimic \&c. Yet we here often find the adjective termination en employed by preference, as it were as a verbal suffix, as in: meek-en; fatt-en; whit-en; fresh-en; deaf-en; dead-en; thick-en; sweet-en; hard-en \&c., as the French forms often have the derivational termination -ish (iss, Latin isc): cher-ish; burn-ish (brunir, burnir) \&c.
3) From pronouns this seldom happens, as in thou.
4) From particles: hence $($ Sidney $)=$ to send off; but (L. Byrne); encore (Smart); atone (from at one); in; out; over (Dickens). Interjections often become verbs, as: hólla and hóllow; huzzá, hush, whist, hist : Hist along! (Miltow) = bring along with the warning of hist! and the like.
As verbs arise from substantives, so also substantives often arise from verbs, so that we may believe the infinitive turned into a substantive.
This happens not only in Romance words, as the French change developed from changer, pleur from pleurer, like other abstract and concrete substantives, but also in Germanic words It is sometimes not to be settled whether the verb arose from the noun, or reversely. The majority of Romance forms of this sort have been transferred to English, to which, for instance search belongs, Old-French cerche, cherche, now recherche. Thus arise concern; turn; crack; blush; fast (unless shortened from the Anglosaxon fästen), from verbs of the same sound. Here also takes place the assimilation of a substantive, sounding in Anglosaxon differently from the verbal stem, to the verb, as in: heed, Anglosaxon from hêdan, subst. hôd; wish, Anglosaxon from vyscan, subst. vûsc; thirst, Anglosaxon from Pyrstan, subst. purst; kiss, Anglosaxon from cyssan, subst. coss; sweat, Anglosaxon from svætan, subst. svât and many more.
The transition of adjectives into the substantive meaning, with or without the adoption of the inflective forms of the substantive, may likewise be placed here. See p. 270.

## 2) Derivation Proper.

With derivation proper, which consists in an augmentation of the word, whereby the general conception, lying at the bottom of the root or stem, is more particularly determined, the Germanic is to be separated from the Romance element, although both here and there pass into or blend with one another. We give here the derivational forms of nouns and verbs, referring to the Doctrine of Particles for the formation of particles.

## a) Germanic Derivative Terminaions.

The derivative termination or the derivational suffix may be a vowel, if the body of the word is augmented by vowels alone; the suffix is called consonantal, if it contains consonants only, or is formed of a vowel and consonant combined. Purely vowel suffixes are rare, even in Anglosaxon; where they appear in English, they have arisen by the suppression and softening of consonants. But we
consider suffixes according to their form in Modern-English, when we regard the extinct consonant as no longer existing.

We must observe generally that the Germanic derivational suffixes, although often sharply expressed, have remained less fruitful for English than the Romance ones. Many of the former have been lost as such for the linguistic feeling.

## Vowel Derivational Terminations.

Here the terminations $y$ (ey) and ow are considered for ModernEnglish.
$y$, sometimes ey, appears in substantives for the Anglosaxon suffix ig: bod-y, Anglosaxon bod-ig (Old-Highdutch pot-ah); iv- $y$, Anglosaxon if-ig, (Old-Highdutch ëb-ah); penn-y, Anglosaxon pen-ig (for pen-ing, pend-ing); hon-ey, Anglosaxon hun-ig (Old-norse hunâng). Comp. kersey, Swedish kersing, French carisel, -set, creseau.

In adjectives they arise from the Anglosaxon suffix ig, eg; adjectives of this sort are very numerous in Anglosaxon: ic-y, Anglosaxon îs-ig; empt-y, Anglosaxon emet-ig; an-y, Anglosaxon ân-ig, æn-ig; mist-y, Anglosaxon mist-ig; mood-y, Anglosaxon môd-eg; prett-y, Anglosaxon prät-ig, prätt-ig; blood-y, Anglosaxon blôd-eg; fenn-y, Anglosaxon fenn-eg, -ig; dizz-y, Anglosaxon dys-ig; speed-y, Anglosaxon spêd-ig; guitt-y, Anglosaxon gylt-ig; heav-y, Anglosaxon hef-ig, and many more. Subsequent formations are very numerous, with which stems not merely Germanic are considered: earth-y, mould $-y$, bloom-y; brier-y (full of briers); fier-y (fire); word-y (verbose); hast-y; heart-y; hoar-y; tallow-y; willow-y; window-y (having windows); balm-y; spum-y \&c., after vowels ey also appears: clay-ey, sky-ey, glu-ey (from glue). The termination imports the being provided with something.

The diminutive termination $y$, Scottish ie, which partly diminishes (as blame) partly flatters, seems formed from ig: dumm-y; ninn-y (fool), $b a b-y$ (babe), nodd-y (fool); especially in proper names: Billy, Betsy, Tibby \&c., see p. 177.).

Verbs in $y$ have sometimes been developed from adjectives: blood-y, Anglosaxon blod-eg-jan, cruentare; bus-y, Anglosaxon bys-eg-jan.
ow rests partly upon $v$, which also exhibits itself as $u$ in Anglosaxon, partly upon $g$ and $h$, with or without a vowel before or after it.

Substantives of this sort are: mead-ow, Anglosaxon mead-u, -eves; mall-ow(s), Anglosaxon meal-ve; pill-ow, Hollandish peul-uw, Latin pulvinus, Anglosaxon pyl-e; wid-ow, Anglosaxon vud-uve; sparrow, Anglosaxon spear-va; swall-ow, Anglosaxon sval-eve, sveal-ve; shad-ow, Anglosaxon scad-u, -ves. - ew has arisen here in sin-ew, Anglosaxon sin-eve and sin-u. - bell-ows, Anglosaxon bel-g; borr-ow, (pledge), Anglosaxon bor-ga; will-ow, Anglosaxon vil-ig; sall-ow, Anglosaxon sal-ig, seal-h; barr-ow, Anglosaxon bear-g, bear-h, bear-ug; farr-ow (litter of pigs), Anglosaxon fear-h, porcus. - The termination ough appears in bor-ough, Anglosaxon bur-uh, bur-h, bur-g.

Adjectives in ow arise chiefly from $v(u)$. These end in the strong Anglosaxon form in $u$, o, weak in va: narr-ow, Anglosaxon
near-u; fall-ow, Anglosaxon feal-u; sall-ow, Anglosaxon sal-u; call-ow, Anglosaxon cal-u; yell-ow, Anglosaxon gël-u. Words in ig seldom. occur here; but compare holl-ow, Anglosaxon hol, Swedish hol-ig, likewise the subst. hall-ow.

Verbs attach themselves to one or the other of those suffixes: narr-ow, Anglosaxon near-v-jan; shad-ow, Anglosaxon scead-v-jan;: wall-ow, Anglosaxon veal-v-jan; borr-ow, Anglosaxon bor-g-jan; sorrow, Anglasaxon sor-g-jan; hall-ow, Anglosaxon hâl-g-jan.

Consonantal Derivative Terminations.
Derivative consonants are in Anglosaxon either accompanied by a vowel, mostly already weakened, or not. Derivative terminations: with more than one consonant are rare. Among them are decayed forms, which we, like others, cite by their last consonant. Two primitive consonants appear sometimes in English as one simple sound; as, sh instead of sc. That many derivative terminations have been cast off in English is pointed out in the Phonetics.

1) The nasal and liquid letters $m, n, l, r$ are, as derivational consonants, of particular importance, and are, in part still distinctly felt and employed as such.
$m$ appears in substantives partly as om, partly as $m$, me, Anglosaxon commonly $m$, more rarely em, um or ma: bott-om, Anglosaxon bot-m; fath-om, Anglosaxon fäす-em; bloss-om, Anglosaxon blôst-ma, blôs-ma; bes-om, Anglosaxon bës-ma; bos-om, Anglosaxon bôs-um, bôs-m. - drea-m, Anglosaxon dreá-m (= dreág-am); sea-m, Anglosaxon seá-m (seó-m, also sê-m according to Boswell); strea-m, Anglosaxon streá-m; glea-m, Anglosaxon gleá-m; hel-m, Anglosaxon hël-m; hal-m and hau-m, Anglosaxon heal-m, hal-m; hol-m, Anglosaxon hol-m; qual-m, Anglosaxon cveal-m, cvel-m, cvyl-m; ar-m, Anglosaxon ear-m; swar-m, Anglosaxon svear-m; har-m, Anglosaxon hear-m; wor-m, Anglosaxon vur-m - ti-me, Anglosaxon tî-ma ( $=$ tîha-ma); ho-me, Anglosaxon hâ-m.

Adjectives are rare: war-m, Anglosaxon vear-m, Old-Highdutch war-am.

Verbs arise from substantives and adjectives, as fath-om, Anglosaxon fäす-em-jan \&c.; ti-me, Anglosaxon tî-m-jan, accidere, \&c.

Hither we refer the substantive termination dom and the adjective termination some, both originally selfstanding words, but which in English have the import of suffixes only:
dom!, Anglosaxon dôm, Highdutch thum (Anglosaxon dôm, examen, judicium, auctoritas) denotes, in composition with names of persons, their station, dignity, power and dominion: king-dom, Anglosaxon cyning-dôm; earl-dom, Anglosaxon eorl-dôm; bishop-dom, Anglosaxon biscop-dôm; martyr-dom, Anglos. martyr-dôm; christen-dom, Anglos. cristen-dôm, christianitas; heathen-dom, Anglosaxon hæđendôm; with adjectives the condition, the essence: wis-dom, Anglosaxon vîs-dôm; free-dom, Anglosaxon freó-dôm. Even in Anglosaxon dôm often interchanges with hâd (hood) and nyss (ness) \&c. Many Anglosaxon forms have been abandoned; but few modern ones, as duke-dom, birth-dom.
some, Anglosaxon sum, Highdutch sam (Gothic sama, similis,
idem), expresses appropriateness, inclination, aptness and fulfilment, and is annexed to various parts of speech: long-some, Anglosaxon lang-sum; win-some, Anglosaxon vyn (vun)-sum. Imitated forms are not rare; it is annexed to Romance words: blithe-some, wearisome, whole-some, glad-some, irk-some, burthen-sonre, toil-some, handsome, game-some, labour-some, trouble-some, humour-some. In noisome, from the Old-French noisir = nuire an $s$ has been cast out; buxom, Old-English bowghsomme, also bowsom, belongs to the Anglosaxon beógan, comp. beóg-ol, flexibilis.
$n$ appears in substantives rarely as in, more frequently as en, on, $n$ ( $n e$ ), in Anglosaxon mostly as en, rarely as on, un and $n$ : ett-in (Beacm. et Fl.), Anglosaxon ët-on, gigas (edax); welk-in, Anglosaxon volc-en. - ov-en, Anglosaxon of-en; mix-en, Anglos. mix-en; maid-en, Anglosaxon mägd-en, mæd-en; rāv-en, Anglosaxon hräf-en, href-n; burd-en, burth-en, Anglosaxon byrd-en; tok-en, Anglosaxon tâc-on, -un, -en (Old-English swev-en, Anglosaxon svef-en; stev-en, Anglosaxon stëf-n, stem-n); kitch-en, Anglosaxon cyc-ene; heav-en, Anglosaxon heof-on. - ir-on, Anglosaxon îr-en, îser-n; beac-on, Anglosaxon beác-en; weap-on, Anglosaxon væp-en, -un; wagg-on, also wag-on and wai-n, Anglosaxon väg-en, väg-n, væn. -mai-n, Anglosaxon mäg-en, -yn; rai-n, Anglosaxon rëg-en, rề-n; blai-n, Anglosaxon blêg-en; brai-n, Anglosaxon bräg-en; aw-n, OldHighdutch ag-ana, ak-ana; loa-n, Anglosaxon læn=læh-en, Oldnorse lâ-n; fer-n, Anglosaxon fear-n; quer-n, Anglosaxon cveor-n; bar-n, dialectically $=$ child, Anglosaxon bear-n (barn, is a compound ber-ärn, contracted bern); yar-n, Anglosaxon gear-n; mor-n, Anglosaxon morg-en, mor-n; thor-n, Anglosaxon por-n; cor-n, Anglosaxon cor-n; hor-n, Anglosaxon hor-n. - tha-ne, Anglosaxon pëg-en, pê-n.

Adjectives in en, $n$, Anglosaxon en, $n$, are with the exception of names of materials, rare: ev-en, Anglosaxon ëf-en; op-en, Anglosaxon op-en (participle from *eópan); drunk-en, Anglosaxon drunc -en (participle from drincan); heath-en, Anglosaxon hæd-en. -fai-n, Anglosaxon fäg-en; ow-n, Anglosaxon âg-en (participle from âgan); der-n, Anglosaxon der-ne; ster-n, Anglosaxon ster-ne.

More frequent are adjectives in en, Anglosaxon en, Old-Highdutch in, Old-norse inn, Modern-Highdutch en, which are derived from substantives and by which notions of materials are turned into adjectives. Anglosaxon derived adjectives of this sort from names of beasts also, as bir-en, svin-en, got-en \&c., in English these, like many others, have been abandoned; some, on the other hand, turned into substantives: ash-en, Anglosaxon äscen; asp-en (also a substantive), Anglosaxon äsp-en; oak-en, Anglosaxon âc-en; beech -en, Anglosaxon bêc-en; birch-en, Anglosaxon birc-en; lin-en (also a substantive), Anglosaxon lîn-en; flax-en, Anglosaxon fleax-en; wooll-en, Anglosaxon vull-en, vyll-en; silk-en, Anglosaxon sëoloc-en; wheat-en, Anglosaxon hræt-en; lead-en, Anglosaxon leád-en; braz-en, Anglosaxon bräs-en; gold-en, Anglosaxon gyld-en (gold-en Boswelr.); Old-English glaz-en, Anglosaxon gläs-en. Some are imitated, as wood-en; hemp-en; yew-en; twigg-en (Siaksp. = made of twigs), milk-en \&c.

The forms in er-n: easter-n, Anglosaxon eáster-n; wester-n, Anglosaxon vester-n; norther-n, Anglosaxon norđer-n; souther-n, Anglosaxon sûđer-n, have substantive forms in er at their base, whence Anglosaxon sûđer, meridies, occurs. The Old-norse has corresponding forms: ern, orn in undern, Anglosaxon the same, hora nona matutina, and acorn, Anglos. äcern, glans are scarcely to be regarded as derivative suffixes. See Grimm 2, 237. \&c. Diefenbach's Dictionary I. p. 115. 31.

Verbs in en, on, $n$ rest partly upon substantives and adjectives, as: tok-en, Anglosaxon tâc-n-jan; beac-on and beck-on, Anglosaxon beác-n-jan; rai-n, Anglosaxon rig-n-an; ev-en, Anglosaxon ëf-en-jan \&c.; of others English has not preserved the nouns, as fast-en, Anglosaxon fäst-en-jan, subst. fäst-en, munimentum; christ-en, Anglosaxon crist-en-jan, adj. crist-en. Many have no noun for their foundation even in Anglosaxon: glist-en, Anglosaxon glis-n-jan; heark-en, Anglosaxon hêrc-n-jan; reck-on, Anglosaxon rec-n-an, rec-n-jan.
The formation of verbs in en has found great favour in English, especially from nouns, and often with a disdain for the simpler Anglosaxon forms, from adjectives: meek-en; madd-en along with mad; fatt-en; fresh-en; whit-en; tough-en; deep-en; dead-en; thick-en; sick-en; slack-en along with slack; sweet-en; stiff-en; sharp-en along with sharp; short-en; gladd-en along with glad; hard-en \&c.; from substantives: length-en; height-en; comp. fright-en along with fright; light-en along with light and others. It is also appended to Romance stems: chast-en \&c.

Here too we must cite the substantive diminutive termination $k$-in, answering to the Middle-Highdutch ek-în, ik-inn, in ModernHighdutch popular dialects eck-en, ich-in, Lowdutch ek-en, ModernHighdutch ch-en. It is foreign to Anglosaxon, in English it belongs mostly to the popular language. Here belong: mini-kin, (from minion, Old-Highdutch minni), also used adjectively; mani-kin, (comp. French mannequin); nipper-kin $=$ small tankard; nap-kin (French nappe); la-kin = ladikin (lady); lamb-kin; lad-kin; Od's piti-kins (pity) (Shaкsp.); devil-kin; kilder-kin; can-akin; so too in the names of dispraise bump-kin, thumb-kin = awkward,rustic; slamkin, slammer-kin = trollop and others; more frequently in Old-English faunt-ekyn; especially in proper names: Wil-ekin, ModernEnglish Wil-kin (Dame Siriz p. 8.); Per-kyn (Piers); Haw-kyn, Hal-kyn (Henry); Tym-kyn (Tim-othy), Tom-kyn (Thomas); Dawkyn (David), Sim-ekin \&c.; whence modern family names like Perkins, Wilkins \&c., arise.
$l$ serves for the derivation of substantives as $e l, l$ (le), Anglosaxon el, al, ol, ul, l, le: nav-el, Anglosaxon naf-ola, -ela; weas-el, Anglosaxon vës-le; wast-el, Middle-Highdutch wast-el; teas-el, Anglosaxon tæs-el, -l; haz-e!', Anglosaxon häs-el; hous-el, Anglosaxon hûs-el, -l; kern-el, Anglosaxon cyrn-el. - nai-l, Anglosaxon näg-el; tai-l, Anglosaxou täg-el, -1; sai-l, Anglosaxon sëg-el, -1; snai-l, Anglosaxon snäg-l, snæ-l; hai-l, Anglosaxon hag-al, -ol, -ul, häg-el; sou-l, Anglosaxon sâv-el, -l; ow-l, Anglosaxon û-le, Old-norse ug-la; fow-l, Anglosaxon fug-ol; ear-l, Anglosaxon eor-l; pear-l, Anglo-
saxon pär-l; chur-l, Anglosaxon ceor-l. - ang-le, Anglosaxon ang-el, -ol; app-le, Anglosaxon app-el, äp-l; need-le, Anglosaxon næd-l, nêd-l; nett-le, Anglosaxon nët-ele; bead-le, Anglosaxon byd-el; bust-le, Old-norse bust-l; brid-le, Anglosaxon brid-el, l; fidd-le, Anglosaxon fide-le, Old-norse fid-la = Latin fidicula; thist-le, Anglosaxon pist-el; throst-le, Anglosaxon prost-le; sick-le, Anglosaxon sic-ol, -el; sadd-le, Anglosaxon sad-ul, -ol, -el, -1; steep-le, Anglosaxon stêp-el; stap-le, Anglosaxon stap-ul, -ol, -el; shack-le, Anglosaxon scac-ul; cand-le, Anglosaxon cand-el; crad-le, Anglosaxon crad-ol, -ul, -1; kett-le, Anglosaxon cet-il, -el, -l; gird-le, Anglosaxon gyrd-el: hand-le, Anglosaxon hand-el.

A few adjectives in $i l$, le have been preserved, as ev-il, Anglosaxon yf-el, ëf-el; id-le, Anglosaxon îd-el; mick-le, muck-le (obsolete), Anglosaxon mic-el, myc-el, muc-el; litt-le, Anglos. lyt-el; cripp-le (used as a substantive), Old-norse crypp-ill, gibbosus, claudus. Of the numerous class of Anglosaxon adjectives in ol, as forgit-ol, negligens; hat-ol, odii plenus; hun-ol, procax; linitt-ol, petulcus; panc-ol, providus; picc-ol, corpulentus, sag-ol, loquax; slâp-ol, somnulentus \&c., hardly one, except fick-le, Anglosaxon fic-ol, has been preserved in the written tongue; some are still dialectical, as forgettle, whence forgetilship. Britt-le, Old-English brotel (from bryt$\tan )$ seem formed later, brick-le (from brëcan).

Many verbs in $l$, le were developed from substantives even in Anglosaxon, as nai-1, Anglosaxon näg-l-jan; sai-l, Anglosaxon sëg-el-jan; fow-l, Anglosaxon fug-el-jan; brid-le, Anglosaxon brid-el-jan; wadd-le, Anglosaxon väd-l-jan, substantive vädl; whist-le, Anglosaxon hvist-l-jan, substantive hvistle. Others have been formed in Anglosaxon even without this mean: nest-le, Anglosaxon nest-l-jan; twink-le, Anglosaxon tvinc-l-jan \&c. But this suffix, as in other Germanic and Romance tongues, has been variously employed, and modifies the meaning of the stem in various ways, where, however, the diminutive and the frequentative meaning pervade each other. Hence the expression for a weakened activity in mizz-le, to rain small; dribb-le, drizz-le; besprink-le; frizz-le; gigg-le; fribb-le; dwind-le; with which diminishment or degradation may be combined: nibb-le; babb-le; brang-le; wrang-le; cack-le; dabb-le; gut-le; or the frequentative meaning of hither and thither comes to the foreground, as in dadd-le; dang-le \&c.

The suffix s-el, s-le, Old-Highdutch is-al, is wanting in English, except in ou-z-el, Anglosaxon $\hat{o}-\mathrm{s}$-le, Old-Highdutch amisala. In ground-sel (ground-sill, gronde-swyle) and hand-sel (Anglosaxon haud-selen, from hand-sellan) compounds are contained, and ax-le belongs to the Anglosaxon eax, Latin ax-is.

The weakened ful, Anglosaxon English full, may be regarded as an adjective suffix compounded with substantives: bale-ful, Anglosaxon bealu-full; thank-ful, Anglosaxon panc-full; sin-ful, Anglosaxon syn-full \&c. Imitated forms, even with Romance words, are numerous: art-ful, power-ful, fruit-ful \&c. Dialects even attach ful to verbal and adjective stems: urgeful; weariful.

A suffix in adjectives, from which adverbs are also developed, is the termination $l y$, Old-English lich, later $l i$, $l y$, Anglosaxon lic,
similis, in use only in compounds, even in Anglosaxon. I mean properly likeness, like like, still used independently, comp. child-ly and child-like, Anglosaxon cild-lîc, infantilis; man-ly and man-like; yet the unaccented $l y$, recedes into the more general meaning of appropriateness and relation; comp. god-ly = pious, god-like $=$ resembling God, Anglosaxon god-lîc, divinus. Combined with substantives it particularly serves to express conformity and relationship: father-ly, Anglosaxon fäder-lîc, paternus; mother-ly, Anglosaxon môdor-lîc; friend-ly, Anglosaxon freónd-lîc; and so in connection with other names of persons: king-ly; prince-ly; broker-ly; bumpkin-ly \&c; as well as with names of things: love -ly, Anglosaxon luf-lîc; world-ly, Anglosaxon voruld-lîc; flesh-ly, Anglosaxon flæsc-lîc; heaven-ly, Anglosaxon heofon-lîc; bodi-ly \&c. It is distributive in notions of time, as month-ly, Anglosaxon mônâd-lîc; year-ly, Anglosaxon geár-lîc; and so in week-ly, dai-ly, quarter-ly \&c. Annexed to adjective stems ly denotes the approximation to the notion of the stem, partly as a weakening, partly as inclination and tendency: green-ly, from the Anglosaxon grêne, and in other similar adjectives, now lost, which, on account of their sameness of sound with the corresponding adverbs, have been abandoned; and loath-ly, Anglosaxon lâd-lîc; low-ly; sick$l y$; clean-ly, Anglosaxon clæn-lîc; goc d-ly, Anglosaxon gôd-lîc; grim$l y$, Anglosaxon grim-lîc; to which also on-ly, Anglosaxon ân-lîe, and deád-ly, Anglosaxon deád-lîc belong. Ly is annexed to other stems, even to particles: in-ly, Anglosaxon inlîc, internus; over-ly, Anglosaxon only an adverb ofer-lîce; Anglosaxou also possessed up-lic, supremus; ut-îc, extraneus \&c. For the adverbial ly comp. p. 393.
$r$ affords numerous Germanic derivatives, not however to be always distinguished from Romance suffixes.

Here we must first mention substantives in $e r$, rarely $r$, re, which correspond to Anglosaxon forms in er, or, ur, r (re, ra). They denote partly persons: broth-er, Anglosaxon brod-or, -ur, -er; fath-er, Anglosaxon fäd-er; moth-er, Anglosaxon môd-or; daught-er, Anglosaxon doht-or; sist-er, Anglosaxon sveost-or, er; partly beasts; add-er, Anglosaxon nädd-re; beav-er, Anglosaxon bëf-er; weth-er, Anglosaxon vë才-er; chaf-er, Anglosaxon ceaf-or; culv-er, Anglosaxon culf-re, columba; gand-er, Anglosaxon gand-ra; partly concrete objects: udd-er, Anglosaxon ûdd-er, -r; liv-er, Anglosaxon lif-er; bolst-er, Old-norse bôlst-r; bladd-er, Anglosaxon blæd-re; fing-er, Anglosaxon the same; feath-er, Anglosaxon fëd-er; fett-er, Anglosaxon feot-ur, -or; fodd-er, Anglosaxon fôđ-ur, fôdd-ur \&c.; wat-er, Anglosaxon vät-er; timb-er, Anglosaxon timb-or, -er; tind-er, Anglosaxou tynd-er; tap-er, Anglosaxon tap-ur, -or, -er; silv-er, Anglosaxon silf-or, sylf-er; should-er, Anglosaxon sculd-or; hamm-er, Anglosaxon ham-or; partly abstract ones: murd-er, Anglosaxon morすur, -or, -er; laught-er, Anglosaxon hleat-or; weath-er, Anglosaxon vëd-er; wond-er, Anglosaxon vund-or, -er; thund-er, Anglasaxon pun-or; summ-er, Anglosaxon sum-or, -er; hung-er, Anglosaxou hungur, -or, -er. A mere $r$ and re appear in tea-r, Anglosaxon täh-er, tæ-r; stai-r, Anglosaxon stäg-er; eag-re (tide), Anglosaxon êg-or, oceanus; ac-re, Anglosaxon äc-er; fi-re, Anglosaxon fŷ̀-r. Imitations,
to which slaught-er belongs, are often not to be distinguished from Romance.

Names of persons in er, which answer to the Anglosaxon ere, Old-English ere, Old-Highdutch arî, require a particular regard. They denote persons by their activity, and were chiefly developed from verbs (although these were sometimes denominative): mong-er, Anglosaxon mang-ere; lead-er, Anglosaxon læd-ere; rid-er, Anglosaxon rîd-ere; read-er, Anglosoxon rêd-ere; play-er, Anglosaxon plëg-ere; bak-er, Anglosaxon bac-ere; fight-er, Anglosaxon feoht-ere; fish-er, Anglosaxon fisc-ere; follow-er, Anglosaxon folg-ere; fowl-er, Anglosaxon fugel-ere; full-er, Anglosaxon full-ere; writ-er, Anglosaxon vrit-ere; delv-er, Anglosaxon dëlf-ere; thrash-er, Anglosaxon përsc-ere; prësc-ere, rarely from Nouns: wagon-er, Anglosaxon vägnere; as in many imitated forms: glov-er; hatt-er \&c. Modern forms are not always to be distinguished from Romance ones in er, both being confounded, and even ar, or occurring instead of er in Germanic stems: li-ar, begg-ar, sail-or \&c., where the older tongue presented ere. We also find $i, y$ inserted before $e r$, whereas this $i$, even in French words in ier, is usually cast off: braz-i-er; glaz-i-er; coll-i-er; cloth-i-er; law-y-er; saw-y-er; bow-y-er; in a few cases notional differences are attached to $i-e r$ and er. Compare spurr-i-er, who makes spurs; spurr-er, who spurs. Moreover the termination er (ere) is transferred also to beasts and lifeless objects: grasshopp-er; grind-er; hopp-er; ten-pound-er; crack-er; cool-er.

It is doubtful whether the $e r$ often dialectically appended to substantive forms is to be reduced to the above er or to the er (ere) appearing in uames of persons. Compare chopp-er (Hants.), hunkers $=$ haunches (North.). This er becomes augmentative: balker, a great beam (East.); team-er, a team of five horses (Norf.); and diminutive: fresh-er, little frog (East.); grom-er, a little man, a boy; blank-er, a spark (Webst.). Dialectical forms, as, mason-er (also mason-t-er), musician-er (also musik-er), poeter \&e., point decidedly to the old termination ere.

Alongside of this er, Old-English ere, there stood a feminine termination st-er, Anglosaxon est-re, ist-re, Old-English (e)st-ere; bak-st-ere, Anglosaxon bäc-ist-re; tapp-ist-ere, Anglosaxon täpp-est-re; brew-est-ere; fruit-est-ere \&c. Comp. p. 250. In Modern-English this termination, like the Old-English ere, is used of men with regard to their occupation. This more audible suffix is particularly in use with the people: malt-ster; web-ster; whip-ster; whit-ster; tapster; team-ster; deem-ster, dem-ster, (Isle of Man); seam-ster; huckster. Sometimes the modern tongue attaches a slur to the termination: lewd-ster; pun-ster; trick-ster; game-ster. In dialects more such substantives are met with, as lit-ster; band-ster; woo-ster; saltster; likewise in the older tongue: thack-stare, a thatches (Рrompt. Parv.); shep-ster, a shearer of sheep (Palggrave). Hence the family names Brewster, Baxter, Webster, Whitster, Tapster, Kempster \&c.

The termination ster has remained feminine in a few words, as spin-ster, dialectically bake-ster (Derbish.), sew-ster, (Somerset),
knit-ster (Devon); as indeed er is sometimes referred to female persons: bunt-er, rag-gatherer, common woman.

Adjectives in er, $r$, Anglosaxon er, or, ur, are rare: oth-er, Anglosaxon ôす-er; lith-er, Anglosaxon lyd-er, malus; bitt-er, Anglosaxon bit-er; dapp-er, Hollandish the same; slipp-er, commonly slipp-er-y, Anglosaxon slip-ur; fai-r, Anglosaxon fäg-er (sicker, OldHighdutch sihhar, arising from securus, does not belong here), OldEnglish waccher, dialectically wacker, Anglosaxon vacor, vaccor. Dialects have imitations, as, call-er, Anglosaxon côl, frigidus; hett-er, Anglosaxon hât, fervidus.

According to the Anglosaxon precedent many verbs in er, derived from nouns, and among them many from comparative forms, have been received into the English: murd-er, Anglosaxon myrd-rjan; feath-er, Anglosaxon fiđ-er-jan; felt-er, Anglosaxon feot-ur-jan; timb-er, Anglosaxon timb-er-jan; fost-er, Anglosaxon fôst-er-jan, subst. fôst-re, nutrix; gath-er, Anglosaxon gad-r-jan (Bosw.), adv. gad-or; hind-er, Anglosaxon hind-er-jan, adv. hind-er; belt-er, Anglosaxon bet-er-jan, Comp. bet-er; furth-er, Anglosaxon fyr才-er-jan, Comp. furđ-ôr \&c. Others arise without this intervention, as: whisp-er, Anglosaxon hvisp-r-jan; slumb-er, Anglosaxon slum-er-jan; whence the English substantives whisper, slumber have been formed. But the verbal formation in er has spread further, as in other Germanic idioms. Verbs of this sort resemble those with a derivative $l$, especially in the frequentative sense, yet not without being distinguished from them. They often denote an activity repeating itself, and in the repetition appearing undecided or unstable, as flit-er, flick-er, flatt-er; quiv-er, quav-er; glitt-er; glist-er; shiv-er; hov-er; especially, and this partly in a reproachful sense, repeated, unclear, disagreeable and defective sounds or noises: mutt-er; falt-er; cłatt-er; gibb-er; comp. stamm-er, from Anglosaxon stamor, balbus; sometimes with an admixture of desire and of indecision: hanker; ling-er. Sometimes, however, the suffix appears to be without any particular influence; it is also annexed dialectically to many other stems than in the written language, as in: nick-er, (neigh) (North.); snick-er, to laugh inwardly (Sussex); snift-er, Old-English snift, Modern-English sniff, sniffle \&c.
2. Lip-sounds hardly need to be considered in English in Germanic derivations. In words in $m p(n p), l p, r p, s p$ the $p$ is by Grimm rightly regarded as derivational; but the derivative sound has long become dead, and no longer felt as such, as in lim-p, Anglosaxon lim-pan; hem-p, Anglosaxon han-ep; yel-p, Anglosaxon gil-pan; shar- $p$, Anglosaxon scear- p ; $a s-p$, Anglosaxon äs -p .

A derivative $b$ perhaps appears in lam-b, Anglosaxon lam-b; dum-b, Anglosaxon dum-b. It is likewise extinct.

A derivative $f$, as it passed into Anglosaxon, partly from a primitive $f$, partly out of $b$, quite like those just named in its ineffectiveness, appears in: wol-f, Anglosaxon vul-f; sel-f, Anglosaxon sil-f, Gothic sil-ba; hal-f, Anglosaxon heal-f, hal-f, Gothic hal-bs and subst. hal-ba.

A derivative $f$ appears in dwar-f, instead of $g(h)$, Anglosaxon dveor-g, dveor-h, also pveor-g.

In sil-ver $v$ appears for the Anglosaxon $f$ in an audible syllable, Anglosaxon sil-for, Gothic sil-ub-r; it likewise stands for $f$ in $f$-ve, Anglosaxon fî-f, Gothic fim-f; sal-ve, Anglosaxon seal-f, Gothic sal-ba. The derivative Anglosaxon $v$, more effective and sensible, is perceptible in English in olden times in the termination we; in Mo-dern-English $w$ has become mute, and appears in the suffix ow, see abowe.

Here, however, the suffix ship, Anglosaxon scipë, scypë (forma, modus), must be mentioned, which, even in Anglosaxon did not appear as a selfstanding word, but only in composition. It is made use of to form abstract substantives, most frequently joined, as in Anglosaxon, to substantives, particularly names of persons, and denotes then the quality, the condition, the business, the rank or the dignity of the person: lord-ship, Anglosaxon hlâfordscipe (also as a title, and instead of domain); friend-ship, Anglosaxon freónd-scipe; here numerous imitations: editor-ship; appren-tice-ship (along with -hood); author-ship; owner-ship, lady-ship; re-gent-ship; rajah-ship; prelate-ship; beadle-ship; bachelor-ship; denizenship; comrade-ship; consul-ship; coachman-ship (-skill); general-ship grandee-ship \&c. The suffix is also transferred to higher and lower natures: god-ship; fox-ship $=$ foxery. More rarely it is added to names of things: elder-ship, Angl. ealdor-scipe, dominatio (Bosw.); wor-ship, also in use as a title, Anglos. veorð-scipe, honor, in an abstract sense; imitated in: court-ship; discourt-ship; relation-ship. The collective meaning seldom occurs here, as in the Anglosaxon beór-scipe, convivium. Yet it is preserved, sharply expressed in land-scape, formerly also land-skip (Cleaveland's P. 1660. p. 70.), Anglosaxon land-scipe, provincia, Old-Highdutch land-scaf (-scap), regio, comp. Old-norse land-skapr, consuetudo, as also lord-ship denotes a territory. Sometimes it is annexed to adjectives, as in the Anglosaxon freóscipe: hard-ship, Old-English drunke-schipe (Gower), now drunken-ness.
3) Of greater import in derivation than the lipsounds are the toothsounds; here $t, d, t h, s, s h$ and the dental $c h$ need to be considered.
$t$ appears as a derivative letter for the Anglosaxon $t$, which, in the combinations $f t$, st and ht without an intervening vowel, answers to the $z$ of all Germanic idioms; yet sometimes an English $t$ also takes the place of the Anglosaxon $\delta$, , Highdutch $d$, an interchange which sometimes took place even in Anglosaxon.

In the primitive combination with $f, s$ and $g h$ (Anglosaxou $h$ ) we meet with $t$ often employed to form abstract and concrete substantives: lif-t, Old-English, Scottish, Anglosaxon lyf-t; shrif-t, Anglosaxon scrif-t; gif-t, Anglosaxon gif-t; wef-t, Anglosaxon vif-t, vëf-t; shaf-t, Anglosaxon sceaf-t, contus; craf-t, Anglosaxon cräf-t; haf-t, Anglosaxon häf-t; crof-t, Anglosaxon crof-t, praediolum. -mis-t, Anglosaxon mis-t; lis-t, lus-t, Anglosaxon lys-t, desiderium; wris- $t$, Anglosaxon vris-t, carpus; res-t, Anglosaxon res-t, räs-t; gues-t, Anglosaxon gäs-t, ges-t, gis-t; breas-t, Anglosaxon breós-t; mas-t, Anglosaxon mäs-t, malus; las-t, Anglosaxon hläs-t; bas-t, Anglosaxon bä-st; fros-t, Anglosaxon fros-t, fors-t, gelu; ghos-t
(ghas-t in ghas-t-ly \&c.), Anglosaxon gâs-t, gæs-t; dus-t, Anglosaxon dus-t; gus-t, Anglosaxon gis-t, Old-norse gus-tr (procella); thirs-t, Anglosaxon purs-t. - migh-t, Anglosaxon meah-t; nigh-t, Anglosaxon neah-t, nih-t; righ-t, Anglosaxon rih-t; pligh-t, Anglosaxon plih-t; figh-t, Anglosaxon feoh-t; figh-t, Anglosaxon flyh-t, volatus; frigh-t, Anglosaxon fyrh-tu (-to); wrigh-t (cart-wright \&c.), Anglosaxon vyrh-ta; sigh-t, Anglosaxon sih-t; knigh-t, Anglosaxon cnih-t, cneoh-t; speigh-t, Old-Highdutch spëh-t; bough-t, Anglosaxon byh-t, sinus; drough-t also draf-t, Anglos. drôh-t, tractus (Bosw.).

Adjectives. of this class are: swif-t, Anglosaxon svif-t; sof-t, Anglosaxon sôf-te, sôf-t, sêf-te. - fas-t, Anglosaxon fäs-t; was-te, compare Anglosaxon vês-te, desertus, Latin vastus. - ligh-t, Anglosaxon lîh-t, levis; righ-t, Anglosaxon rih-t; brigh-t, Anglosaxon beorh-t, bryh-t; sligh-t, compare Old-Highdutch sleh-t, Old-norse slettr, aequus.

Verbs: sif-t, Anglosaxon sif-t-an (sife, cribrum); res-t, Anglosaxon res-t-an; thrus-t, Anglosaxon pres-t-an, torquere; thurs-t, Anglosaxon pyrs-t-an. - righ-t, Anglosaxon rih-t-an; frigh-t, Anglosaxon fyrh-t-an; digh-t, Anglosaxon dih-t-an.

The derivational $t$, answering to the Old-Highdutch $z$, appears in English mostly as $t$ without a vowel before it after $n, l$ and $r$, rarely as et, Anglosaxon t (te, ta), et, ot, ut.

In substantives we find it in: min-t, Anglosaxon min-te, Lat. mentha, and Anglosaxon myn-et, Middle-Highdutch mun-iza; fin-t, Anglosaxon flin-t; din-t, Anglosaxon dyn-t; ben-t, Old-Highdutch pin-uz. - mil-t, Anglosaxon mil-te; gil-t, Anglosaxon gyl-t, delictum; hil-t, Anglosaxon hil-te; bel-t, Anglosaxon bel-t, balteus; mal-t, Anglosaxon meal-t, mal-t; sal-t, Anglosaxon seal-t, sal-t; bol-t, Anglosaxon bol-t, catapulta; hol-t, Anglosaxon hol-t. - far-t, Anglosaxon feor-t, crepitus ventris; war-t, Anglosaxon vear-t, verruca; har-t, Anglosaxon heor-ut, hior-ot, heor-t; star-t, Anglosaxon steor-t, cauda, promontorium; hear-t, Anglosaxon heor-te; wor-t, Anglosaxon vyr-t. - emm-et, Anglosaxon æm-ete; thick-et, Anglosaxon picc-et; gan-et, Anglosaxon gan-ot, fulica; horn-et, Anglosaxon hyrn-et.

Adjectives of this sort are scanty: hal-t, Anglosaxon heal-t, claudus; tar-t, Anglosaxon tear-t, asper; swar-t, Anglosaxon svear-t, fuscus, niger; shor-t, Anglosaxon scor-t.

Verbs: stun-t, Anglosaxon styn-t-an, hebetare; grun-t, ModernHighdutch grunzen; hun-t, Anglosaxon hun-t-jan. - mel-t, Anglosaxon mël-t-an; hal-t, Anglosaxon heal-t-jan. - shor-t=fail, shorten, Anglosaxon scor-t-jan, decrescere. The great multitude of Anglosaxon verbs in etan, ettan, Gothic atjan, Modern-Highdutch zen, has been abandoned, as dropp-etan, stillare; hopp-etan, exsultare; rêc-ettan, regere; roc-ettan, eructare; bealc-ettan, English belch; blicettan, coruscare; brod-ettan, tremere; flog-ettan, volitare; cearc-ettan, stridere; canc-ettan, cachinnari, \&c.

In substantives a derivational $t$ answers to the Anglosaxon $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$; Old-Highdutch $d$ : thef- $t$, Anglosaxon peóf-đ'; heigh-t, formerly highth, Anglosaxon heah-đo; mark-et and mar-t, Old-norse mark-adr; dar-t, Anglosaxon dar-âd, -ôđ, -eJ. Dialectical forms may be con-
sidered imitations, as: len-t (Sommerset), loan; dimm-et (Devon), dimness; Old-English brusschel, thicket and the like, groft (East.) for growth.

For $r t$ see below ard.
The suffix est, Anglosaxon est, ost, is presented only by substantives: harv-est, Anglosaxon häref-est, härf-est, Old-Highdutch herp-ist; earn-est, Anglosaxon eorn-ost,

The Anglosaxon adjective suffix iht, eht, Modern-Highdutch icht, whereby the being furnished, as well as likeness, especially to an object denoted by a substantive stem, seems never to have become familiar in English. It has been confounded with $y$; compare herr-iht, stôn-iht, porn-iht, hôc-iht, English hairy, stony, thorny, hocky.
$d$ is likewise a frequent suffix in English. It remains perseveringly faithful to the Anglosaxon $d$, which answered on the one hand to the Gothic $d$ and Old-Highdutch $t$, on the other, often to the Gothic $p$, Old-Highdutch $d$. The $d$, answering to the Old-Highdutch $t$, appears in English without exception only immediately annexed to the consonants $n, l$ and $r$, that put at the side of the Old-Highdutch $d$, with few exceptions, only after vowels.

Substantives with a derivational $d$, de, Anglosaxon mostly $d$, rarely ed, od, $u d$, are numerous: bri-de Anglosaxon brŷ-d; ti-de, Anglosaxon tî̀-d, for tîhã̛; nee-d, Anglosaxon neá-d; dee-d, Anglosaxon $d æ-d$; see- $d$, Anglosaxon s $\mathfrak{x}-\mathrm{d}$; spee- $d$, Anglosaxon spề-d; mai-d, Anglosaxon mäg-e才, Gothic magaps; yet comp. Anglosaxon mägden, mæden, English maiden; threa- $d$, Anglosaxon præ-d; hea- $d$, Anglosaxon heáf-ud, -od, -ed, heáf-d; bloo-d, Anglosaxon blô-d; floo-d, Anglosaxon flô-d; moo-d, Anglosaxon mô-d. - lin-d, commonly lin-d-en, Anglosaxon lin-d; win-d, Anglosaxon vin-d; rin-d, Anglosaxon rin-d, hrin-d; hin-d, Anglosaxon hin-d, cerva; en-d, Anglosaxon en-de; ben-d, Anglosaxon ben-d, ben-de; lan-d, Anglosaxon lan-d; ran-d, Anglosaxon ran-d; bran-d, Anglosaxon bran-d; san-d, Anglosaxon san-d; stran-d, Anglosaxon stran-d; han-d, Anglosaxon han-d; woun-d, Anglosaxon vun-d; groun-d, Anglosaxon grun-d; houn- $d$, Anglosaxon hun-d. - fiel-d, Anglosaxon fil-d, fël-d; shiel-d, Anglosaxon scil-d, scël-d; weal-d, Anglosaxon veal-d, val-d; chil-d, Anglosaxon cîl-d; fol-d, Anglosaxon fal-ud, -od, -ed, fal-d, Anglosaxon feal-d (from Gothic falpan); gol-d, Anglosaxon gol-d (yet Gothic gulp). - her-d in herdman, herdsman, Old-English her-de, Anglosaxon hir-de; Anglosaxon heor-d; bear-d, Anglosaxon bear-d; yar- $d$, Anglosaxon gear-d; boar-d, Anglosaxon bor-d; hoar-d, Anglosaxon hor-d, thesaurus; for-d, Anglosaxon for-d (Boswell); wor-d, Anglosaxon vor-d; swor-d, Anglosaxon sveor-d.

Adjectives are not frequent; here, along with $d$, ed also exists: dea-d, Anglosaxon deá-d; lou-d, Anglosaxon hlû̂-d; nak-ed, Anglosaxon nac-od. - blin-d, Anglosaxon blin-d. - ol-d, Anglosaxon al-d, eal-d; col-d, Anglosaxon ceal-d, cald; wil-d, Anglosaxon vil-d (yet Gothic vilpeis); bol-d, Anglosaxon bal-d, bol-d (yet Gothic balps); fol-d, Anglosaxon -feal-d (yet Gothic falps); har-d, Anglosaxon hear-d.

Verbs: nee- $d$, Anglosaxon nê-d-an. - bin-d, Anglosaxon bin-d-an;
win- $d$, Anglosaxon vin-d-an; grin- $d$, Anglosaxon grin-d-an; en- $d$, Anglosaxon en-d-jan; wen-d, Anglosaxon ven-d-an; sen-d, Anglosaxon sen- $d$-an (even Gothic sandjan, although belonging to sinp *sinpan); shen-d, Anglosaxon scen-d-an; stan-d, Anglosaxon stan--d-an. - hol-d, Anglosaxon heal-d-an; gir-d, Anglosaxon gir-d-an.

The Suffix ed in adjectives, Anglosaxon ed (od) is nothing but the participial termination, which is also added to stems from which no other verbal forms are made. This happened even in Anglosaxon: horn-ed, Anglosaxon hyrn-ed, cornutus; sword-ed, Anglosaxon gesvurd-ôd, ense armatus. English forms many from substantives, mostly expressing thereby the being furnished with the object contained in the stem: beaver-ed (covered with beaver); beak-ed (having a beak); key-ed (furnished with a key, set to a key); castled (having a castle, castles); client-ed (furnished with clients); jacket-ed (wearing a jacket) \&c. often in compounds: bandy-legged; bare-headed; bare-faced \&c. Thus also forms in at-ed occur, not derived immediately from a substantive: bacc-ated (having berries); auricul-ated (having large ears); aur-ated (resembling gold) \&c.
and, Anglos. end, Modern-Highdutch end, is still found as a substantive suffix in: err-and, Anglosaxon ær-ende (from âr, nuntius); thous-and, Anglosaxon pûs-end.
old and ald seem equally to point to the substantive veald, vald, which appears in Medieval-Latin as oaldus, aldus, French oud, aud, ault, in: thresh-old, Anglosaxon prësc-vald, -vold, -old; Old-English thresh-wold \&c.; cuck-old, Medieval-Latin cugus (cucullus), OldFrench cougoul, Old-English coke-wold; as in proper names: Harold, Old-Highdutch hariovalt; Reyn-old, Old-Highdutch ragin-alt; compare Old-English Ose-wold, Anglosaxon Os-veald, Ecg-veald; Ã đel-vald, -veald, -vold \&c. Here belong also her-ald, (= Harold, -ald, army ruler), rib-ald (Diez Romance Dictionary p. 287.), which, however, rests immediately upon the Old-French. Old-English: ribaud, ribawd.

In substantives stands the suffix $a r d$, sometimes arf, answering to the Anglosaxon heard, durus, fortis. This Germanic suffix is also found in Old-French, which seems to have influenced English. The Anglosaxon, as well as the Old-Highdutch, only offers proper names, as Rich-ard, Anglosaxon Rîc-heard, Ä才el-heard \&c. The suffix expresses that the quality, activity or thing exists in a high degree in the object expressed by the word. Sometimes, however, it is employed in a censorious sense, especially in names of persons, as in French, from which many words have been immediately taken: nigg-ard; wiz-ard; dizz-ard, dull-ard; drunk-ard; stinkard; many are, like similar French ones, at the same time adjectives, as: lagg-ard; bragg-art; slugg-ard. Daslard = Anglosaxon participle dastrôd does not belong here. Some are taken from the French, as bast-ard, palli-ard, cow-ard (couard), galli-ard, perhaps also hagg-ard \&c. We have, without a collateral notion of blame, Span-iard, as well as Savoy-ard, after the French precedent. In names of beasts are found ard: poll-ard, a stag that has cast its antlers; spitt-ard; stagg-ard; agreeing with the French: mallard, French mal-art; buzz-ard, French bus-art \&c. The derivational
termination used of things is found in poll－ard，and mostly in French words，as：pet－ard，poni－ard \＆c．As to scab－bard，comp． below Composition．

Words in er have often been transformed into ard，arl，as：giz－ zard，French gésier，gigeria；dialectically millart for miller；misert for miser \＆c．
red，Anglosaxon ræd，rêd，Modern－Highdutch rath（Hei－rath）， commonly ræden，is in use as a suffix in a few substantives：Old－ English sib－rede，Anglosaxon sib－ræden，affinitas；frend－rede（friend－ ship）；man－rede（vasselage）；Modern－English kind－red（perhaps from Anglosaxon ge－cynd，generatio）；on the other hand Old－English kun－rede，kyn－rede（Anglosaxon（cynn）；hat－red，Anglosaxon hete， from hatjan．The Anglosaxon suffix rêd，reed only stands in hiv－ rêd，familia，else roed is only adjective；here，however，hund－red， Anglosaxon hund－red，－rid，Old－norse hund－rad，may also belong． Compare Anglosaxon râd，promptus，from rîdan．
hood，sometimes head，Anglosaxon hâd，as a selfstanding sub－ stantive：persona，status，ordo，Old－English mostly hede，hed，yet also early hode（Maundev．），is the Modern－Highdutch heit．Even Anglosaxon employed hâd to form abstract nouns．The termination is added to names of persons，in order to denote their nature or condition，but admits also a collective meaning；as well as to adjectives，in order to substantive the notion as an abstract quality．The termination hood commonly appears in Medern－En－ glish．From names of persons are formed：maid－hood，maiden－ hood，Anglosaxon mägす－hâd，mæden－hâd；man－hood，Auglosaxon man－hâd；priest－hood，Auglosaxon preóst－hâd（also collective）；bro－ ther－hood，Anglosaxon brô才or－hâd（also collective）；wife－hood，wo－ man－hood，Anglosaxon rîf－hâd，sexus，Old－English wif－hood；child－ hood，Anglosaxon cîld－hâd；knight－hood，Anglosaxon cniht－hâd（also collective）；imitations are：neighbour－hood（collective）；widow－hood； apprentice－hood，Old－English prentis－hode and others．From ad－ jectives substantives of this sort were seldom formed in Anglo－ saxon，as ëfen－hâd，aequa conditio．English formed numbers，whereof many have been abandoned：likeli－hood；lowli－hood；lusti－hood；false－ hood；fair－hood（Fox＇s Martyrs）；hardi－hood and others；Old－English luper－hede，grene－hed（childishness）；humble－hede；yong－hede \＆c．The termination head is still found in a few forms：god－head，maiden－ head，bounti－head，lusti－head，goodli－head，mostly as obsolete collateral forms．
th as a derivational sound，auswers to the Anglosaxon $\delta$ ，which only in a few cases has become the English $t$ ．

The suffix th，Anglosaxon $\sigma$ ，rarely ed，ad，od，$u \boldsymbol{\partial}$ ，is found in substantives of concrete and abstract meaning，and has shewn itself effective in abstract substantives，and also in imitated forms． Concrete substantives are：ear－th；Anglosaxon eor－đe；mon－th， Anglosaxon môn－âd，－ôđ，－úđ，mon－đ́；bur－th－en，also burden，An－ glosaxon byr－す－en，comp．Old－Highdutch pur－di；bro－th，Anglosaxon bro－才，jus；too－th，Anglosaxon tô－đ；hea－1h，Anglosaxon hæ－才，erica， comp．Old－Highdutch hei－da，erica，hei－di，campus．Abstract nouns are：ciea－th，Anglosaxon deá－す；slo－th，Anglosaxon slev－す，
släv－す；you－th，Anglosaxon geóg－ôđ，－âd，－ûđ，－e才；tru－th，Anglosaxon treóv－才o，tryv－す，treó－す（Boswell）；til－th，Anglosaxon til－đ̛；mir－th， Anglosaxon mer－$\delta$, myr－d \＆c．；bir－th，Anglosaxon beor－む，also byr－ $\begin{gathered}\text { d }\end{gathered}$ （Boswell）；heal－th，Anglosaxon hæl－才；leng－th，Anglosaxon leng－す； streng－th，Anglosaxon streng－ðu，－đ0，－ঠ．Others are found in other Germanic idioms：weal－th，Old－Highdutch weli－da，－pa；wid－th，Old－ norse vîd－d；bread－th，Old－English brede and breadthe（Maundev．）， Old－norse breid－d；dep－th；Old̆－norse dŷp－t．English readily forms these from verbs and substantives：spil－th，steal－th，grow－th；warm－th， dear－th \＆c．Scottish transformations of the Romance suffix tie（ty） by the addition of the Anglosaxon suffix are：poor－tith；boun－tith； this new suffix was then added to Germanic stems，as in：mel－tith， a meal．See Fiedler p． 175.

Adjectives with Anglosaxon $\tilde{\prime}$ ，English th，the are：soo－th， Anglosaxon sô－d＝san－ađ̛；un－cou－th，Anglosaxon cû－す，participle from cann，un－cû－す，ignotus；wor－th，Anglosaxon veor－す，vur－す；li－ the，Anglosaxon lî－ॠe（Boswell），Highdutch linde；Old－English swi－the，adv．，Anglosaxon svî才e，from the adj．svî－ð，Highdutch ge－ schwinde．
Verbs，except a few denominatives，as li－the，Anglosaxon li－才－ igëan，mitigare，are wanting．
$s$ ，also contained in $x$（ $c s$ ），answers to Anglosaxon $s$.
In substantives stands the suffix se，also ese，Anglosaxon com－ monly $s$（ $s a$ ），yet also ese：hal－se，Anglosaxon heal－s，hal－s；ar－se， Old－English er－s，Anglosaxon ear－s，är－s，ar－s；hor－se，Old－English hor－s，Anglosaxon hor－s；cur－se，Anglosaxon cur－s；goo－se，Old－En－ glish goo－s，Anglosaxon gô－s；ev－es，Anglosaxon yf－ese；often，in combination with a preceding guttural，as $x$ ：ax，Anglosaxon äx， eax，acas，compare Gothic aquizi，Old－Highdutch ahh－us；lax，An－ glosaxon leax，lex（now obsolete），Old－Highdutch lah－s；wax，An－ glosaxon veax，väx，Old－Highdutch wah－s；flax；Anglosaxon fleax， Old－Highdutch flah－s；Old－English fax，whence the Modern－English fax－ed（obsolete），Anglosaxon feah－s，feax，fex，crinis；ox，Anglo－ saxon oxa，oh－sa；fox，Arglosaxon fox，Old－Highdutch fuh－s．

Here are considered a few verbs with a derivational $s$（se）： bles－s，Anglosaxon blêt－s－jan，blês－s－jan；rin－se，Old－norse hrein－sa， compare French rincer，Anglosaxon hrænan，purgare；clean－se，An－ glosaxon clæn－s－jan（clæsnjan）；cur－se，Anglosaxon cur－s－jan；exclu－ sive of English denominatives，like wax．

The Anglosaxon substantive suffix els，was still effective in Old－ English：rek－ils，Anglosaxon rêc－els，thus；comp．Anglosaxon stic－ els，aculeus；frêc－els，periculum \＆c．；even in imitated forms：met－ els（from the Anglosaxon mêtan）and drem－els，a dream．It has been abandoned．
ness，Anglosaxon ness，niss，nyss；Gothic nassus；Old－Highdutch nassi，nissi，nissa；Middle－Highdutch nisse，nüsse，nusse；Modern－ Highdutch niss，is a frequent suffix to form abstract substantives from Nouns；but particularly from adjectives．In modern times it has often taken the place of other Anglosaxon suffixes，for instance， in the suffix－less－ness：life－less－ness，Anglosaxon lif－leás－t；reck－less－ ness，Anglosaxon rêce－leás－t，and others，although rêce－leás－ness also
occurs. The suffix mostly remains faithful to the denoting of a condition or a quality: ill-ness, evil-ness, Anglosaxon yfel-ness; old-ness, Anglosaxon eald-nyss; rank-ness, Anglosaxon ranc-ness; bright-ness, Anglosaxon bryht-ness; fat-ness, Anglosaxon fæt-niss; drunken-ness, Anglosaxon druncen-ness; sick-ness, Anglosaxon seócness; good-ness, Anglosaxon gôd-ness; heavi-ness, Anglosaxon hefigness; hard-ness, Anglosaxon heardness \&c. Transformations of Anglosaxon forms are frequent, as: needi-ness, Anglosaxon nŷd-ness; readi-ness, Anglosaxon râd-ness; roomi-ness, Anglosaxon rûm-niss $\& c$. ; in order to give to the root word the more decisive tinge of the adjective. Imitations from Germanic and Romance adjectives, even encumbered with derivational suffixes, are very common: bad-ness; bold-ness; slow-ness; kind-ness: braz-en-ness; friend-li-ness; entire-ness; brief-ness; art-ful-ness; volupt-uous-ness; contin-ual-ness; arti-fic-ial-ness; suit-able-ness \&c.; comp. Anglosaxon ang-môd-ness; äđel-boren-ness; aldor-lic-ness \&c. A word in ness rarely passes over into a concrete meaning, as wit-ness, Anglosaxon vit-ness; or into the collective notion of a locality, as wilder-ness, Anglosaxon vildeór-ness.

The syllable less, Old-English les (Rob. of Gloucester), lees (Piers Ploughman), Anglosaxon leás, vacuus, with the genitive, Highdutch los, may be regarded as an adjective suffix, which is appended to substantives and forms adjectives with a privative meaning: end-less, Anglosaxon ende-leás; name-less, Anglosaxon namleás; life-less, Anglosaxon lîf-leás; beard-less, Anglosaxon beard-leás \&c. Imitations with Germanic and Romance substantives are very common: eye-less; boot-less; wind-less; art-less; labour-less \&c.
sh appears in English suffixes in a twofold manner: in this sound which has arisen from sc the $s$ has belonged to the stem and the c has been derivational, or both sounds, united into one sibilant, are derivational.
$s h$ answers to the Anglosaxon s-c, transposed also in $x$ (cs), OldHighdutch $s-c$ (not $c h$ ) with a derivative $c$; only a few $s-c$ have been preserved as $s-k$, see $k$. In substantives we find sh: fish, Anglosaxon fis-c, fix; dish, Anglosaxon dis-c, dix, comp. dis-k; flesh, Anglosaxon flæs-c ; ash, Anglosaxon äs-c, fraxinus; dash, Old-norse das-k; frush, Anglosaxon fros-c, frox, rana (a horse disease?).

Adjectives are: nesh, Anglosaxon hnes-ce, nes-c, tener; fresh, Anglosaxon fërsc, purus, Old-Highdutch vris-c, recens, Old-norse fres-kr, glaucus; rash, Old-Highdutch ras-c, Swedish Danish ras-k.

Verbs: wish, Anglosaxon vŷs-c-an; fish, Anglosaxon fis-c-jan; mash, comp. Modern-Highdutch maischen, from Anglosaxon mis-can; wash, Anglosaxon vas-c-an, vaxan; dash, Old-norse das-ka; thrash, Anglosaxon pris-c-an, prës-c-an.
ish as an adjective suffix, Anglosaxon isc, Gothic isks, Old-Highdutch isc, isg, Modern-Highdutch isch, wherein the double consonant belongs to derivation, imports in general appurtenance to the notion contained in the stem, and has been used from the most ancient times, for instance, of descent: engl-ish. Anglosaxon englisc; bril-ish, Anglosaxon britt-isc; dan-ish, Anglosaxon den-isc; jewish, Anglosaxon judê-isc; greek-ish, (Milton), Anglosaxon grêc-isc;
and thus ir-ish, Old-norse î-skr; turk-ish; babylon-ish \&c. Sometimes the vowel is cast out, and, in collision with consonants, $s h$ even transformed into ch: wel-sh, Anglosaxon vealh-isc, but also väl-sc; fren-ch, Anglosaxon frenc-isc, Old-English frensche myles (Maundev. p. 54.); scot-ch, alongside of scott-ish, Anglosaxan scyttisc. Appurtenance and kind lie in mann-ish, Anglosaxon mennisc, humanus; heathen-ish, Anglosaxon hæ才en-isc; water-ish, Anglosaxon väter-isc; bard-ish $=$ bardic; book-ish $=$ versed in books (Shaksp.); unbook-ish = rough and the like; yet a slur is here often annexed to the quality, although sometimes presupposed by the stem itself, as in: rogu-ish; bab-ish; baby-ish: fool-ish; foppish; brut-ish; swin-ish; hogg-ish; even upp-ish (vulgar) =proud. Frequently approximation to a quality is alone expressed, when adjectives with the suffix ish appear: redd-ish; brown-ish; green-ish; gray-ish; yellow-ish; - old-ish (somewhat old); new-ish (rather new); lat-ish (somewhat late); long-ish; sweet-ish; young-ish; the latter forms belong to English.

Dental ch, as a derivational sound, stands for an Anglosaxon $c$, which answers to the Gothic $k$, Old-Highdutch $c h$; it is divided with the English $k$ upon this field without any visible principle.

In substantives ch often stands: win-ch, Anglosaxon vin-ce; fin-ch, Anglosaxon fin-c; wren-ch, Anglosaxon vren-c-le; dren-ch, Anglosaxon dren-cë, dren-c; sten-ch, Anglosaxon sten-c; bir-ch, Anglosaxon bir-ce, Old-Highdutch pir-icha; star-ch, belonging to the adject. stear-c; chur-ch, Anglosaxon cyr-ice, a foreign word.

Of adjectives hardly any other in ch occurs than star-ch $=$ stiff, also used as a substantive.

Verbs of this sort are: wren-ch, Anglosaxon vren-c-an, fallere; dren-ch, Anglosaxon dren-c-an; sten-ch, Anglosaxon sten-c-an; bel-ch, Anglosaxon beal-c-jan.
4) Of throatsounds $k$ and $g$ have been preserved as derivational letters in a few cases only, the former being inclined to pass into dentals, the latter being frequently softened into a vowel sound or cast off.
$k$ has been seldom preserved after $s$, where it answered to the Old-Highdutch $c$; it stands in the substantive tus-k, Anglosaxon tus-c $=$ tvis-c, as in the foreign words dis-k, and hus-k, not perhaps belonging to the Highdutch hülse, see Diefenbach's Dictionary I. p. 230.; and the unclear fris-k. Of verbs as-k, Anglosaxon âs-c-jan, âh-s-jan, axjan, $k$ has been preserved.

On the other hand $k$, has been more frequent preserved instead of the guttural $c$, which answers to the Old-Highdutch ch, Anglosaxon $c(c e), a c, u c$.

Substantives: drin-k, Anglosaxon drin-c; swin-k (obsolete), labour, Anglosaxon svin-c; stin- $k$, Anglosaxon stin-c; than- $k$, Anglosaxon pan-c; mil-k, Anglosaxon mil-uc, meol-oc, mil-c \&c.; wil-k, Anglosaxon veol-oc, veol-c; sil-k, Anglosaxon sëol-oc, sëol-c; fol-k, Anglosaxon fol-c; hul-k, Anglosaxon hul-ce; lar-k, Anglosaxon lâverce; wor-k, Anglosaxon veor-c; stor-k, Anglosaxon stor-c; stur-k, Anglosaxon stir-c. - haw-k, Anglosaxon haf-uc, oc.

Alongside of $k$, which, with the rejection of the vowel sometimes
preceding it in Anglosaxon, commonly appears in English as a suffix only, ock, is also found in substantives, answering to Anglosaxon oc, uc, as in: bull-ock, Anglosaxon bull-uca, juvencus; mattock, Anglosaxon matt-ôc, matt-uc, Cymrick mattog, ligo; butt-ock, compare Old-norse bûtr, truncus; rudd-ock, robin readbrest, Anglosaxon rudd-uc (Boswell); mull-ock, Old-English mullok, rubbish; mamm-ock, shapeless piece, fragment. This suffix is also employed as a diminutive suffix (comp. bulluca); burr-ock; pinn-ock, tom-tit; padd-ock, hill-ock; so too in proper names, as Matt-ock, Poll-ock; and with a $c$ inserted: Willi-c-ock \&c. Compare Wile-k-in. Yet the same ock is also augmentative, for instance in padd-ock, Anglosaxon padde, rana.

Adjectives are: blan-k, Anglosaxon blan-c (Boswell); dar-k, Anglosaxon dear-c; star- $k$, Anglosaxon stear-c, compare starch.

V er bs: win-k, Anglos. vin-c-jan; blin-k, Old-Highdutch blin-ch-an; drin- $k$, Anglos. drin-c-an; sin- $k$, Anglos. sin-c-an; slin-k, Anglos. slin-c-an; swin-k, Anglos. svin-c-an (obsolete); stin-k, Anglos. stin-can; shrin-k, Anglosaxon scrin-c-an; mil-k, Anglosaxon mil-c-jan; wal-k, Anglosaxon veal-c-an; mar-k, Anglosaxon mear-c-jan; bar-k, Anglosaxon bor-c-jan; har-k (now hardly except in the imperative) commonly hear-k-en, Anglosaxon hêr-c-n-jan; wor-k, Anglosaxon vyr-c-an.

From a derivational $h, k$ has arisen in the substantive el-k, Anglosaxon eol-h, Old-Highdutch el-ah.

Anglosaxon $g$, Old-Highdutch $k$, in Anglosaxon also interchanging with $c, c g$, has been preserved as a derivational sound only after $n$; thus in the substantives: rin- $g$, Anglosaxon hrin-g, hrin-c; thin- $g$, Anglosaxon pin-g, pin-cg; gan-g, Anglosaxon gan-g; 1on-gs, Anglosaxon tan-ge, forceps; ton-gue, Anglosaxon tun-ge; thon-g, Anglosaxon pran-g; son-g, Anglosaxon san-g, san-c; lun-gs, Anglosaxon lun-gen plur.; as in the adjectives: lon-g, Anglosaxon lan-g; stron-g, Anglosaxon stran-g; youn-g, Anglosaxon geón-g, jun-g; and the verbs: rin- $g$, Anglosaxon hrin- $g$-an; wrin- $g$, Anglosaxon vrin- $g$-an; sin- $g$, Anglosaxon sin-g-an; slin-g, Anglosaxon slin-g-an; swin-g, Anglosaxon svin-g-an; sprin-g, Anglosaxon sprin-g-an, sprin-c-an; han- $g$, Anglosaxon han-g-an \&c.

A derivational suffix, effective down to the most recent period in the language, is ing. We have however to distinguish two suffixes of the same form, which perhaps mingle in the modern tongue, but are theoretically to be sharply separated: the one, which is essentially used to form concrete substantives; Old-Highdutch inc, and also takes $l$ before it, Old-Highdutch linc, Gothic liggs; the other, which serves to form abstract substantives; Old-Highdutch unga, Gothic eins.
ing, Anglosaxon ing, $m$, is even in Anglosaxon an infrequent suffix to denote men (particularly, yet not exclusively, indicating descent), beasts, coins, with a few imitations: athel-ing, adel-ing, Anglosaxon äđel-ing; nid-ing, also nith-ing, Anglosaxon nîd-ing; king, Anglosaxon cyng = cyn-ing; lord-ing (subsequently regarded as a diminutive; compare, on the other hand: per was po in Engelond a gret louerding [Rob. of Gloucester II. 431.]); hild-ing, a ruffian (Anglosaxon hyldan, inclinare); - herr-ing, Anglosaxon här-ing
（although arising from halec）；whit－ing，Lowdutch wi－ting；geld－ing （comp．Anglosaxon gelde，siccus）；－shill－ing，Anglosaxon scill－ ing；farth－ing，Anglosaxon feorす－ing，－ung，yet also feorす－l－ing；An－ glosaxon pend－ing（penny）has passed into pen－ig even in Anglo－ saxon．Ing operates decidedly as a diminutive in devil－ing．

This ing with $l$ prefixed：ling，is used even in Anglosaxon to form names of men and beasts，rarely of things，and is ap－ pended to substantives，adjectives，verbal stems and even particles． The expression of disrespect，which is attached to many of these forms，is in great part presupposed by the stem，but in later imi－ tations is intentional．Names of men：earth－ling，Anglosaxon eord－ ling，ear丈－ling，servus（now son of earth）；foster－ling，Anglosaxon fôster－ling；dar－ling，Anglosaxon deór－ling；hire－ling，Anglosaxon hŷre－ling；easter－ling；under－ling（comp．Old－English oferling，over－ ling＝ruler，master）；nurs－ling；found－ling；change－ling；with inten＝ tional disrespect：wit－ling；world－ling；whim－ling；pope－ling；starve－ ling \＆c ；yet not Old－English lord－ling（Percy Rel．p．201．II．）， although later，as in Swift．In names of beasts diminution is not primarily expressed by this suffix，but the image of young and small is often supposed by the stem，but，therefrom is de－ veloped in imitated forms the term for young：young－ling，young animal，Anglosaxon geóng－ling，juvenis；twin－ling；yean－ling，（An－ glosaxon eánjan，eniti）；year－ling；nest－ling；star－ling；ground－ling （fish）；Young of beasts：kit－ling；kid－ling；duck－ling；chick－ling； gos－ling：trout－ling；Trees：sap－ling；oak－ling．Names of things are rare，as Anglosaxon bäc－ling，tergum．Comp．chitterlings；shor－ ling and some more．The dialectical substantive hid－ling，has ap－ pended the termination ing to the Old－English hid－el of like meaning． Abstract substantives，like Anglosaxon bërd－ling，puerperium，are wanting in English，except perhaps in cast－ling．Sometimes the words in ing and ling are employed as adjectives．

The termination ing，answering to the Anglosaxon ung，ing，High－ dutch ung，serves principally to form abstract substantives from verbal stems，whereby in general activity or perseverance in action and the condition are denoted，which the notion of the stem presupposes．It coincides with the termination of the gerun－ dial participle，and may be annexed，as a substantive termination， to almost every verbal stem：end－ing，Anglosaxon end－ung；bless－ ing，Anglosaxon blêts－ung：fight－ing，Anglosaxon fiht－ung；cunn－ing， Anglosaxon cunn－ing；wander－ing；rov－ing；act－ing；perform－ing \＆c． Here also the transition into the concrete meaning occurs．Comp． Anglosaxon veof－ung，textura；eard－ung，habitatio．Then the result of the activity is then partly denoted：build－ing；gild－ing；lad－ing， cargo；leav－ing，some－thing left；dripp－ing；partly a collective notion arises，which imports an object bringing about the activity： wrapp－ing，cover－ing；cloth－ing；which is especially the case with forms derived from denominative verbs：foot－ing；floor－ing＝floor； pal－ing $=$ fencework；shipp－ing；shirt－ing．Such substantives may moreover be derived immediately from substantives：tavern－ing，a feasting at taverns．The denoting of a single，not collective existence is rare，as in be－ing．

## b) Romance Derivative Terminations.

The Romance derivational suffixes which come under review here rest upon the Latin. Many suffixes of this sort were obscured even in Old-French; mutilated forms which, transplanted into English, pass here as stems, have to be discussed in the etymology of the French tongue.*) Words transplanted unaltered from the Latin or other Romance tongues can likewise find no consideration here, even if they conform to the English pronunciation and inflection. In order not to encroach into remoter fields, those suffixes belonging to the French constituent of the tongue which have remained effective in English, although ofteu blended with one another, are cited, when substantives and verbs are divided; the latter, from the manner of their treatment in English, exhibiting but few characteristic suffixes.

## 1) Derivative Terminations of Nouns.

We divide suffixes according to their final sound, so that those with a final vowel, although forming only a glib shortness, are first considered, then those with a final consonant, (when an $e$ mute is disregarded). The former, although partly preceded by consonants, we call generally vowel derivational terminations; those with a final consonant, consonantal derivational terminations.

## Vowel Derivational Terminations.

Y. In substantives stands the suffix for French é, Latin ätus, (participle) sometimes in names of persons: deput-y, alongside of which the terminations ey, ee, ate are also to be met with. See above.
$y$ often stands, French é, for the Latin substantive ātus (fourth declension) mostly in collective substantives, as clerg-y; to which territorial names belong, as: duch-y; count-y; Dauphin-y; rarely abstract nouns, as treat-y. Here also we find ate. See below.

For the French suffix ée, Latin ata, $y$ (also ey) also stands in names of things, especially collectively: arm-y, jur-y (MedievalLatin jurata), countr-y, jell-y (gelée); and abstractly: embass-y; entr-y; lev-y; destin-y.

Rarely $y$ stands for ée instead of Latin aeus, a, um, as in troph-y.
Very commonly $y$ answers to the French $i c$, Latin $i a$, Old-English $i e$, chiefly in abstract and partly collective substantives, which are developed from adjectives and substantives: ignomin-y; modest-y; per-fid-y; fur-y; jealous-y; courtes-y; heres-y; comed $-y$; traged-y; fanc-y (fantaisie); harmon-y; baron-y; nav-y; (Old-French navie); famil-y; compan-y \&c., to which are added not only many imitations, but also words, which in French have cast off the $i$, as miser-y (misère), fal-lac-y (fallace). Of names of countries a few have preserved $y$

[^6]for $i e:$ Italy; Normand-y; Lombard-y; Picard-y; Thessal-y; German-y; with which Sici-y (Sicile) and some in French agne, ogne are associated by metathesis: Brittan-y; Burgund-y (Burgundia, Burgogne); Gascon-y, whereas the most are transmute into the Latin ia. See below.

We must also observe, that $y$ also appears for the Latin ium, for which the $e$ mute is substituted in French: augur-y; obloqu-y; obsequ-y (Milton); mister-y; minister-y, ministr-y; monaster-y; presbyter-y; lar-cen-y (latrocinium, comp. French larcin); remed-y; stud-y; subsid-y \&c.

Adjectives in $y$ hardly occur, except priv-y (privé); for hard-y, hast $-y$, joll-y answer to other forms. See ive.

From the suffix $y$ (ia) is developed er-y, r-y, French er-ie, which was primarily indebted for er partly to the infinitive termination of the same sound, partly to the substantive termination, but was then regarded as a selfstanding suffix. The $e$ is frequently cast out in English after consonants and vowels, but particularly preserved, where it reminds us of substantives in er. Imitations are numerous.

The suffix denotes partly the continuous activity or quality presupposed by the root word, frequently as exaggerated activity in the sense of blame: chival-ry; bigot-ry; ribald-ry; revel-ry; babe-ry; fopp-ery; pedant-ry; devil-ry; or a condition or station, as: slavery; outlaw-ry; english-ry; as the exercise of a business or an art: bart-ery; fish-ery; herald-ry; blazon-ry; poet-ry \&c.; frequently too the product of the activity, as poet-ry; drap-ery; tapest-ry; hos-iery; also the place where an activity denoted by the root word is practised, or the object denoted thereby is found in abundance: balk-ery; barkery, tanhouse; nurs-ery; pant-ry (French paneterie); vint-ry; vest-ry; nunn-ery; jew-ry; finally, collective notions of every sort: infantry; caval-ry; peasant-ry; sold-iery; poult-ry; weed-ery = weeds. It is to be observed that many words unite a variety of these meanings.

Substantives with the suffix ence and ance (see below), French the same, Latin ent-ia, ant-ia, have in part assumed collateral forms in ency, ancy, in part the latter only. Comp. indig-ency (indig-ence); exig-ency (exig-ence); excell-ency (excell-ence); exist-ency (exist-ence); consist-ency (consist-ence); brilli-ancy (brilli-ance); conson-ancy (con-son-ance); without the collateral form: oppon-ency; urgen-cy; infancy; constan-cy \&c.; rarely with a difference of notion, as pend-ence, slopeness; pend-ency, suspense.

With this is connected the termination cy, sy, in use in English, as it were tia, (comp. Latin inertia, ineptia), which readily joins to root words in $t$, mostly with rejection thereof, and often takes the place of the Latin tio. It appears as a particular suffix, serving to form abstract substantives, wherein cy approaches the suffix ness and sometimes interchanges with it: idio-cy (also idiot-cy Lewes); intima-cy (intimate); intrica-cy (intricate-ness); obstina-cy (obstinateness); l̀una-cy (lunat-ic); degenera-cy (degenerate-ness); secre-cy (secret); conspira-cy (conspiration) \&c.; bankrupt-cy. It often serves to denote office and rank: ensign-cy; episcopa-cy; magistra-cy; papacy (Medieval-Latin papas, papatus); prela-cy; chaplain-cy; cura-cy;
cornet-cy; min-strel-sy; sometimes also collectively, as magistra-cy and minstrel-sy. In a concrete meaning we have lega-cy (legatum).

The termination (cy) $s y, z y$, which has taken the place of the Latin sis, as in exta-cy, commonly ecsta-sy; pal-sy (paralysis); fren-zy (phrenesis), is to be distinguished from the former termination.

Of slight extent are the suffixes any, French ain, Latin aneus, and ony, French ogne, oine, to which we may add also mony, French moin, moine, Latin monia, monium: miscell-any; chapell-any; Gascony (Gasc-ogne); imitated: balc-ony; - cere-mony; patri-mony; lestimony; sancti-mony. Instead of any, ain, aign occur.

Of greater importance are the sufflxes ary and ory, not merely in substantives, but in adjectives also.
ary answers to the French aire, ier, Latin arius, $a, u m$ and aris, whereas the French suffixes ier and er elsewhere pass into er, ar. Those in ary are of English formation. The substantives belonging here often denote persons, who are active or participators in what is expressed by the stem, and are properly adjectives turned into substantives: incendi-ary; penitenti-ary; not-ary; secret-ary; statu-ary; vision-ary; vot-ary; prebend-ary; dignit-ary. Diverging into ory is mandal-ory, alongside of mandal-ary, likewise invent-ory. A name of a beast is dromed-ary. Names of things also occur, mostly ending in ier in French, wherein English approximates to the Latin form; a few are originally masculine (arius), as Janu-ary; Febru-ary; mostly neuter (arium): milli-ary; electu-ary, Old-English lettuarie; columb-ary (columbier, columbarium); gran-ary (grenier); sal-ary (salaire); chartul-ary (chartulaire).

Adjectives of this form increase in English: necess-ary; primary; tempor-ary; extraordin-ary; heredit-ary; lact-ary; cili-ary; cir-cul-ary; hor-ary \&c., see ar.
ory, 1-ory, French oire, $t$-oire, Latin orius, 1 -orius, a, um, often passes over in English substantives into or, but remains, especially in adjectives, faithful to the form ory. Substantives are: memory; vict-ory; hist-ory; audit-ory; orat-ory; monit-ory; reposit-ory \&c. Adjectives, many of which are turned into substantives, are: amatory; obligat-ory; rolat-ory; pulsat-ory; suas-ory; circulat-ory; compen-sat-ory; compuls-ory \&c., derived from participles.
$1 y$, Modern-French té, Old-French tet, te, Old-English tee, te, Latin tat-em, serves to form abstract substantives, mostly with the connecting vowel $i$, sometimes $e$, yet also without a vowel: antiqui- $1 y$; maligni-ty; liberali-ıty; vani-ty; digni-ty; - pie-ty alongside of pi-ty (Old-French pite); varie-ly; satie-ly; liber-ly; pover-ly (Old-French poverte); plen-ty (Old-French plente); proper-ty alongside of propriety; cerlain-ty \&c.

Some assume a concrete, mostly a collective meaning, as universi-ty; lai-ty; ci-ty \&c.; gratui-ty (present); even for an individual: dei-ty.
ey, as a substantive, answers partly to the Modern-French é and ée, Latin alus, $a$, um, partly aie (Old-French, also oie, eie), Lat. eta, partly ie, Latin ia: allorn-ey, Old-French atorne (-atus); vall-ey, OldFrench valee; voll-ey; chimn-ey; journ-ey; cov-ey (couvée); medl-ey; (mixture); parl-ey (oral treaty); - mon-ey, Old-French moneie; comp.
tourn-ey, Old-French torneis, tornoi; - gall-ey, Old-French galie, jalaie; Turk-ey, abb-ey (abbatia).
$e e$, French é, Latin atus, is used in legal expressions of the person who participates passively in an act; to the personal names in ee there commonly stands opposed one in or, er, as that of the active participator: legator - legat-ee; appellor - appell-ee; pawner - pawn-ee; promisor - promis-ee; bailor - bail-ee; vendor - vendee; granter - granl-ee (one to whom a grant is made) \&c. Sometimes the personal name is devoid of this passive meaning: re-fug-ee; ee even seems augmentative: devot-ee; grand-ee.

In names of things too we find ee, mostly equal to the French ée, Latin ata: lev-ee; couch-ee; jamb-ee; yet also collectively of persons: committ-ee, in the passive sense.

We must distinguish herefrom the ee which sometimes occurs for the French $e$, $\dot{e} e$, Latin acus, a, um: jubil-ee (jubilaeus sc. annus), particularly in names: Pharis-ee; Sadduc-ee; Pyren-ees.
$i a$, Latin $i a$, which in French passed into ie, was often entirely cast off, whence many forms in English descend, as anguish (angoisse = angustia), envy (envie = invidia), grace ( = gratia); Gaul (Gaule = Gallia), Greece (Grèce $=$ Graecia) \&c. Yet in modern times proper names of countries in particular have frequently assumed the Latin termination ia, even contrary to the Old-English custom: Ind-ia; Ethiop-ia; Arab-ia; As-ia; Pers-ia; Bactr-ia; which is also transferred to others: Lithuan-ia; Bavar-ia; Dalecarl-ia; Siber-ia; Sardin-ia; Caf-frar-ia \&c.; as the Latin $a$ has also returned in other names: Afric- $a$; Americ-a; Louisian-a; Chin-a \&c.
$o$ is found as a suffix and in foreign words, as negr-o, volcan-o \&c.; ech-o ( $\eta^{\eta} \chi-\omega^{\prime}=\dot{\eta} \chi-\eta$ ) and the like.
ue, tue is a rare suffix, answering to the French $u e, t u$, tue: slatue, French the same, Latin sta-tua, from sta-tum; vir-tue, French ver-tu, Latin vir-tutem; ra-lue, Ital. val-uta.

## Consonantal Derivational Terminations.

1) The nasal and liquid letters $m, n, l, r$ are of particular importance among the Romance derivational consonants.
$m$, me appears in abstract substantives, like the French me instead of the primitive Greek $\mu \alpha$ : apophtheg-m; paradig-m; phleg-m; the-me; sche-me; but in part instead of the Latin men (i-men, a-men, u-men): real-m, Old-French real-me, Medieval-Latin regal-i-men; cri-me; vol-u-me; leg-u-me (also leg-u-men). In vict-im it stands for the Latin vict-ima (from vinco); in cost-ume and cust-om for the Latin udinem (consuet-udinem); in ransom, $m$ has come in for $n$ (Old-French raancon $=$ redemptionem).
asm, French asme, in part with an $a$ of the stem before sm, rests upon Greek-Latin asma, asmus: mi-asm; ch-asm; catapl-asm; enthusi-asm; sarc-asm; fant-asm.
ism, French isme, apart from the suffix resting upon the GreekLatin isma, wherein the vowel is identical with the vowel of the stem, as in prism, schism, is the derivational termination resting upon the Latin-Greek ismus, $\downarrow \sigma \mu^{\prime} s^{\prime}$, and of extensive use. It is not
only formed from verbs, as originally, but is also added, as in French, to noun stems. It expresses a bias to the activity signified by the stem, or an adherence to principles or doctrines, or a totality of principles and doctrines themselves: mechanism; despot-ism; palriot-ism; pugil-ism; Platon-ism; Juda-ism; Chri-stian-ism; Calvin-ism; pagan-ism; gentil-ism; heathen-ism; often a blamable bias: manner-ism; pap-ism; de-ism; baby-ism \&c.; to which ego-t-ism, formed from the personal pronoun (French the same, with egoïsme) also belongs. We also denote thereby idiomatic modes of expression: provincial-ism; vulgar-ism; Irish-ism $\& c$. From the verbs derived from ıxós, icus (like $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau i x i \xi \omega$ ) and the forms ıxiб $\mu^{\prime}$ 's, icismus arising out of them, forms in icism are derived: att-icism; empir-icism; fanat-icism; Hibern-icism and even witt-icism. - Upon the form $\iota \mu \alpha$ rests bapt-ism, Old-French bapt-isme, -esme, Modern-French baptême.
$n$ appears in suffixes with vowels before it, which however are often interchanged in English.
in, ine, French in, ine, Latin īnus, also innus, sometimes innem (virginem), serves to form numerous substantives and adjectives.

Substantives, answering to the termination innus, ina, although not treated alike in the sound and quantity of the vowel and in the accent, are partly names of persons, originally mostly of the masculine gender, as: libert-ine; palat-ine; cous-in (consobrinus, a); div-îne; concub-îne (concubina); to which belong also names of notions, as: Lat-in; Philist-ine; Sab-inn \&c.; and names of beasts, as: dolph-inn; sab-ine (a fish). To these are attached primitive names of things in ina, and imitated forms in French, both abstract and concrete: ru-ĭn; medec-ĭne; discipl-inne; doctr-ĭne; seiz-ĭn (saisine); fam-ine; ur-ĭne; res-ı̆n; bobb-in (bobine); verm-in (vermine); javel-in (javeline) \&c., where we disregard words received with their French accent. Others are originally neuters, as: intestine, mat-in (Sharspeare) (matutinum) \&c. The scientific names of materials in in or inne, are imitated, as: ela-in; legum-in ; case-in; butyr-ine \&c. Court-ain has deviated, Old-French courtine; as in engine, Old-French enging, engien, Latin ingenium, the syllable ine belongs to the stem.

This suffix sometimes appears as a diminutive, as in fort-in (fortlet); cab-in, Cymric cab-an, Dimin. from cab; cod-l-in alongside of cod-l-ing = small cod.

The suffix in, ine, French ine, Latin inem, is rare: virg-in, OldFrench verg-ine; or-ig-in.

The adjectives in ine, French in, Latin innus and inus, coincide, with a partial interchange of the long and the short vowel. The suffix denotes the appurtenance to the substantive notion contained in the stem, partly according to descent, by which the above substantives are also explained. To the Latin inus answer: porc-îne; bov-îne; fel-îne; fer-îne; div-îne; sal-îne; but also alp-ìne; mur-ìne; femin-inne; vulp-ine; corv-ine; clandest-ine \&c.; to inus, originally belonging mostly to names of vegetable and mineral things: elephant-ine; corall-ïne; hyacinth-ine; crystall-ïne (according to some îne). Imitations mostly end in ine: lacert-ĭne; cancr-ine;
sacchar-ĭne; yet ov-ine. Mar-ine has deviated into the pronunciation ëen, like some substantives with a French pronunciation. ine seldom answers to a primitive inneus: sangu-ine.
en is a rare Romance suffix of substantives, arising, by divergence, from ain for amen and ain, aine, Latin anus, $a$, um, in: leav-en, French lev-ain, Latin lev-amen, Old-English leveyne (Gower); mizz-en, Ital. mezz-ana, French mis-aine; doz-en, French douz-aine; it stands for ien (oyen), itanus, in citi-z-en, Old-French citien, citeain, in which $z$ seems to have arisen from the allied deniz-en, from Cymric dinas, urbs. In ward-en alongside of guardian the Old-French gard-ein, -ain has been preserved; mitt-ens, Old-French mitan, has like warr-en, French garenne, Medieval-Latin warenna, an obscured suffix.
In adjectives en is found in sudd-en, which fluctuates between the French soud-ain (subitanus) and the Anglosaxon soden; and in ali-en (Latin ali-enus).
ain is likewise a rare suffix for substantives and adjectives. In substantives, which are properly only adjectives used substantively, it stands for the French ain, aine, Latin anus, a, um. Here it certainly mostly yields to the suffix an: vill-ain alongside of vill-an; chapl-ain; capt-ain and chieft-ain, Old-French chevet-aine; fount-ain. This suffix is of doubtful origin in porcel-ain, Ital. por-cell-ana; pursl-ain, Ital. likewise porcell-ana, from the Latin porcilaca. Of adjectives we must cite cert-ain, while the Old-French sover-ein, super-anus, has passed into sover-eign; like for-ain into for-eign.

Sometimes this suffix arises from the French aine and agne instead of the Latin ania, anea, partly with a French mute $g$ : bargain, Old-French barg-aine, -aigne, from the Latin barca?; $S p$-ain, French Espagne, Hispania; Brit-ain (Brit-annia); Champ-aign, OldFrench champ-aigne, Campania.
an, particularly in ian, also in ean is, on the other hand a very familiar suffix.
an answers to the French an, ain, more rarely en, Latin anus, $a, u m$, an adjective termination, frequently turned into substantives, denoting in the most general manner appurtenance to the notion expressed in the substantive stem. Names of persons are here principally considered: artis-an, French the same; veter-an, French the same; mahomet-an, French the same; public-an, French publicain; republic-an, French ain; particularly names of nations: Tusc-an, French Tosc-an; Americ-an, French -ain; Mexic-an, French -ain; Rom-an, French -ain; Germ-an, French -ain; Troj-an, French Troy-en. The French doy-en appears in the form de-an. Primitive feminines are: courtez-an, French courtis-ane; partis-an, French pertuis-ane; tart-an, Medieval-Latin tareta. Adjectives are of course not wanting; sometimes they have the suffix ane: galli-can, French gallic-an; mahomet-an, French -an; hum-an, French -ain; rom-an; germ-an; pag-an, French pay-en, Latin paganus; elisabethan; even elv-an alongside of elf-in, elf-ish. Forms in ane are: hum-ane, extramund-ane \&c.

In Irish names $a n$ is a frequent termination: $E g-a n$, Dor-an, $F l a-$ nag-an, Skeg-an \&c.
ian, French mostly ien, Latin ianus, is found chiefly in names of persons, and is particularly used of appurtenance to what the stem expresses according to occupation, station, partisanship and fellowship: magic-ian; music-ian; physic-ian; librar-ian; traged-ian; comed-ian; histor-ian; - patric-ian; plebe-ian; - christian; presbyter-ian; Socin-ian; barbar-ian \&c.; also in names of nations: Ion-ian; Ilal-ian; Arab-ian; Austr-ian; Pers-ian; Bur-gund-ian; Syr-ian; Scyth-ian \&cc. ian seldom stands in names of things: gent-ian, Latin gentiana; fust-ian, French futaine, Ital. fustagno, from the town, Fostat or Fossat (Cairo). Adjectives, from which many names of persons are developed, are frequent: pelusg-ian; pretor-ian; Bacon-ian; dilur-ian; campestr-ian; gregarian; Gregor-ian \&c.
ean, French een, developed from Latin aeus (aeanus) and ëus, mostly stands in geographical designations and party names used substantively, else adjectively, with a fluctuating accent and pronunciation. Substantives: Europ-ēan; Chald-ēan; Sab-ēan; Manich-ēan; Pythagor-ēan; Medǐterran-ëan. Adjectives: marmorèan; cerul-ĕan; cerber-ĕan; Prometh-ĕan; Hercul-ĕan; adamant-ēan; Aug-ēan; Atlant-ēan; leth-ēan \&c.
on, ion is a usual suffix of concrete and abstract substantives, but is divided into two classes, the one referring to the Latin masculine $o$, $i o$, the other to the feminine $i o$.
on, ion, frequently also in modern words oon, answers to the Latin $\bar{o}$, $\overline{\bar{o}}$ (ōnis), as in: fullo, histrio, leo, papilio, pulmo \&c.

It is used of persons who are occupied or affected with what the stem denotes: mas-on, Old-French: maç-on, -un, MedievalLatin machio; fel-on, Old-French fels, felon; tabell-ion; centur-ion; histr-ion; champ-ion; buff-oon; poltr-oon; sometimes in a blamable sense: glutt-on; simple-t-on. A departure is surge-on, Old-French surgien. It also occurs in names of nations: Brit-on (Brito); Sax-on; Gasc-on \&c.

The suffix is not seldom applied to beasts (the termination oon does not here occur): mutt-on; drag-on (on the other hand dragoon); stall-ion; salm-on; sturg-eon (French esturgeon, Anglosaxon styrja); falc-on; cap-on; pige-on (pip-io) \&c.

In lifeless objects the suffix occurs as commonly: escutche-on; punche-on; donge-on; septentr-ion; pavil-ion (from the Latin papilio); ball-oon; bat-oon; pantal-oon; harp-oon; carr-oon \&c.; sometimes with an augmentative meaning: musket-oon; sal-oon; also with collective numbers: mill-ion; bill-ion; tern-ion \&c.

The diminutive import of this suffix has mostly disappeared in English; compare minion, French mignon.
ion, $t$-ion, $s$-ion, $s$-on, French ion, $t$-ion, $s$-ion, $s$-on, $\varsigma$-on, Latin $i o$, iōnis, belonging originally to feminine abstract nouns derived from verbal stems, to which are added a few denominative forms, is numerously represented in English: obliv-ion; rebell-ion; act-ion; orat-ion; lot-ion; expuls-ion; pass-ion; declens-ion; less-on; reas-on; treas-on; ars-on; advows-on. Fash-ion (facon) also belongs here.

For rans-om see p. 457. Nat-ion; leg-ion; reg-ion \&c. pass into a concrete meaning.

Suffixes in $l$ have all originally a vowel before them. With the peculiarity of their treatment in French the vowel was often cast out, and with it sometimes other suffixed consonants preceding. In English the vowel has also often been lost, so that the suffix appears as a mere $l$ with a glib $e$ after it. The remaining vowels are also often interchanged. We here give the English suffixes classed according to the vowel preceding and along with each we treat its collateral form in le.
il, ile has hardly been preserved as a substantive suffix. Adjectives used substantively, French ile, Latin ille are: utens-il, French utens-ile, ustens-ile, Latin utens-ilia; miss-ile (weapon), Latin miss-ile. Per-il, French the same, answers to the Latin periculum; pen-cil, Latin pen-icillum; sig-il, else seal, Latin sig-illum.

In adjectives, on the other hand, $i l$, $i l e$, French $i l$, ile, for the Latin ǔlis and $\bar{l} / i s$, are frequent, commonly with a short $\hat{\imath}$ : miss-ile; fiss-ile; fert-ile; flex-ile; frag-ile; duct-ile; tons-ile; sess-ile; - servile; civ-il; juven-ile; host-ile; but gent-île, alongside of gent-eel, gent-le with another meaning; also ex-île, Latin exilis. Rejections of the $\breve{i}^{\prime}, \bar{\imath}$ also occur: humb-le, French, the same; stab-le, French, the same; - subt-le (subtilis). Those in ulis properly expressed the passive appropriateness and ability, those in $\bar{\imath} / i s$, appurtenance and conformity, the former being derived from verbs, the latter from nouns.
el, ele in substantives takes the place of the French èle, elle, Lat. èla: caut-el; client-ele; quarr-el; Old-French querele; with an amplified suffix: tu-tel-age; with the $e$ cast out: cand-le, compare Anglosaxon cand-el.

It often stands for the Old-French el, elle, Modern-French eau, elle, Lat. ellus, $a$, um, as in $c$-el, s-el, for the French $c$-eau, $c$-elle, $s$-eau, $s$-elle; Lat. $c$-ellus, a, um: mors-el, Old-French mors-el, morc-el, ModernFrench morc-eau; pomm-el, Modern-French pomm-eau; bow-el, OldFrench bo-el (botellus), Modern-French boy-au; grav-el, Old-French grav-ele; chap-el, French chap-elle; bush-el, Old-French bois-el, Modern-French boiss-eau, Medieval-Latin bust-ellus; tunn-el, French tonn-elle; - ves-s-el, Old-French veis-s-el, ves-s-el (vas-c-ellum) and vais-s-ele fem., Modern-French vaisseau, vaisselle; par-c-el, French par-c-elle; dam-s-el, Old-French damoi-s-elle (domini-c-ella); with $e$ cast out: cast-le, Old-French cast-el, Modern-French château. While in these forms the primitive diminutive import of the suffix is extinct, it is preserved in the double suffix er-el or $r$-el, French er-eau, er-elle; comp. French mât-er-eau; band-er-eau; saut-er-elle \&c.; cock-er-el; pick-er-el (name of fish belonging to the piketribe); with an ethical diminution: mong-r-el, also adjectives; dott-er-el; dialectically gang-er-el, gang-er-al, a vagabond (North.); perhaps too gang-r-il, a toad (IB.); without any such signification: suck-$r$-el, a sucking foal (Suffolk); gamb-r-el, hindfoot (of a horse). Sach-el, satch-el, Latin sacculus, has been assimilated as a diminutive.

From the last must be distinguished the substantive suffix
el for the French el, elle, al, Latin älis, e: minstr-el, Old-English mynstr-al, Old-French menestr-el, Latin ministeri-alis; vow-el, French voy-elle, Latin voc-alis; Old-English host-el alongside of hospit-al, Old-French host-el, host-eus; jew-el, Old-French jo-el, MedievalLatin joc-ale; chatt-el alongside of catt-le, Old-French chat-el, cat-el, Latin capit-ale; kenn-el and chann-el, French chen-al. The termination $a l$ is here more frequent.

The French eil, eille, Latin iculus, a, um and ilis, has sometimes assumed el: appar-el, Old-English par-aille, Old-French apar-eil (from pariculus); fenn-el, French fen-ouil, Latin foen-iculum ; marvel, Old̃-English merv-aille, Old-French merv-eille, -oille, -ille, Latin mirab-ilia; yet with the vowel cast out: bott-le, Old-French bouteille, -ille, Medieval-Latin but-icula.
el also stands for the French il, Latin ìis, e: kenn-el, French chen-il, Latin can-ile; barr-el, Modern-French bar-il, Old-French bar-eil, -iel, Ital. bar-ile.
ail, French ail, aille, is a rare suffix (see al): entr-ails, French entr-ailles, as it were Latin intralia; elsewhere el is also found: trav-el, French trav-ail. In tow-el, Old-English towail, French touaille, the Old-Highdutch duahila is contained. $a$ is cast out in batt-le, as it were batt-alia.
In adjectives el is rare: cru-el, French, the same, Lat. crud-èlis. $a l$ is a frequent suffix of substantives and adjectives with numerous modern formations.

Substantives in al answer to French ones in al, sometimes el, and aille, Latin $\bar{a} l i s, e$; $\bar{a} / i a$ (pl.). The suffix is originally adjective, denoting that something is proper, conformable or appurte nant to the notion of the stem. Here belong names of persons: individu-al, comp. French individu-el; meni-al, Old-French meignial, from meignee, maisnie; gener-al; cardin-al \&c. (The feminine fem-ale, French fem-elle, Latin fem-ella, does not belong here). Names of beasts rarely: anim-al; names of things frequently, primarily concretes: miner-al; materi-al; tribun-al; journ-al; ca-pit-al; hospit-al \&c.; abstracts, as: sign-al; plur-al \&c.; ritu-al, French ritu-el; with these are associated the collectives founded upon the French aille, Latin ālia (pl.), then also abstract substantives, as: victu-als, French vit-aille, Latin victu-alia; spous-als, French épous-ailles, Latin spons-alia; funer-al, French funer-ailles, Latin funer-alia. The great number of abstract English substantives in particular seems formed from these, as appears by the Old-English forms: spousaile, arivaile \&c.: espi-al; arriv-al; avowal; acquitt-al; refus-al; reviv-al; propos-al; buri-al; festiv-al; friskal; tri-al; deni-al; dispos-al; cit-al; carous-al \&c., which, almost without exception, are derived from verbs.

Adjectives in al (ial), French al, often el (ial, iel), Latin ālis (iālis), are uncommonly frequent: equ-al; liter-al; roy-al; rur-al; fat-al; vit-al; - etern-al; natur-al; re-al; - mart-ial; jov-ial; — essent-ial; pestilent-ial \&c.

Adjectives with the double suffix $i c-a l$, which are often in use along with those in $i c$, are also frequent: mag-ic-al; bibl-ic-al; bi-
bliograph-ic-al; Babylon-ic-al; bacch-ic-al; farc-ic-al; whims-ic-al; centr-ic-al; com-ic-al; lackadais-ic-al \&c.
iole, eole, more rarely ol for the French diminutive termination iol, eul, euil m., iole \&c. fem., rarely ol, ole, stands in some diminutives: alv-eole; fol-iole; glad-iole; sometimes with the suffix er $(r)$ also inserted in French: mus-r-ole, French mus-er-olle; band-$r$-ol, bann-er-ol, French band-er-ole; in squirr-el the termination euil (écureuil, like sciuriolus) has passed into el.
ule, c-ule, French ule, c-ule, Latin ŭlus, a, um; c-ulus, a, um has, as in French, sometimes remained unabbreviated, particularly where the original import of the diminutive termination continues perceptible: pust-ule; barb-ule; form-ule; caps-ule; glob-ule \&c.; animal-cule; vermi-c-ule. Yet those in c-ule are, where the diminutive meaning recedes, and where $u$ was cast out in French also, not only shortened into cle, as in ora-cle; mira-cle; specta-cle; receptacle; arti-cle; cir-cle; un-cle \&c.; but also where this meaning is prominent, especially in words in i-cle; auri-cle; ossi-cle; parti-cle; funi-cle; vesi-cle; versi-cle; corni-cle; cuti-cle; corpus-cle \&c.

Where the termination ule had shrunk into le in Old-French, le appears in English also without the character of a diminutive termination: ang-le; peop-le; tab-le; but also: mandib-le, French man-dib-ule; scrup-le, French scrup-ule \&c.
ble, French ble, Latin bǔlis, e, equivalent in import to the simple ulis, mostly $=$ Highdutch bar, sam (see il); in Latin it was added to vowel stems, or, with the connecting vowel $\check{\iota}$, to consonantal stems. French added able and ible to noun stems also. The suffix has regularly the form ble, no longer bile, as sometimes the French and the older English, for instance mob-ile (Skelton), now mov-able. Forms with any other vowel preceding than $i$ and $a$ are rare: fee-ble Old-French floi-ble, foi-ble (fle-bilis); no-ble; igno-ble; dissolu-ble.
ible and able appear as frequent suffixes, the latter whereof prevails, attaching itself especially to Germanic stems: ed-ible; eligible; ris-ible; vis-ible; flex-ible; cess-ible; corrod-ible; corros-ible; -malle-able; navig-able; toler-able; commemor-able; commend-able; eatable; market-able; love-able; lose-able; begg-able; bear-able; sale-able; know-able. Suffixes with other vowels sometimes pas into ible and able: indel-ible, French indélébile; peace-able, French pais-ible.

The adjective suffix $b l e, p l e$, French the same, Latin -plus, -plex; is to be distinguished from this: dou-ble; tre-ble; tri-ple \&c.
$r$ is mostly suffixed with a vowel before it, but in some cases it takes as re, like le, the place of a suffix beginning with a vowel.
er and ar are unequally divided between the French suffixes ier, ière, aire, Latin arius, a, um; aris, e, to which ary, cited above, and ier and eer, mostly for names of persons, are also annexed. Some have also deviated into or. Er is often hardly to be distinguished in names of persons from the Germanic suffix er, OldEnglish ere, the English termination having been given to Romance words also.

Names of persons originally mostly of the masculine gender,
commonly have er where the French gives ier, the Old-French also er: offic-er (officier); ush-er, Old-French ussier; messeng-er, messager (messager); marin-er (marinier); prison-er (prisonnier); barb-er (barbier); butch-er (boucher); sorc-er-er (sorcier); strang-er, OldFrench estranger, estrangier \&c.; instead thereof $a r$ in vic-ar (vicaire); burg-l-ar, Medieval-Latin burglarius, burgarius; burs-ar, Medieval-Lat. bursarius; Templ-ar (Templier); schol-ar, Old-French escolier $=$ scholaris. or see below. ier, eer in modern words: ar-quebus-ier; brigad-ier; financ ier; caval-ier; gondol-ier \&c.; musketeer; mulet-eer; pion-eer; volunt-eer; gazett-eer; privat-eer; circuit-eer and other imitations. Many fluctuate between ier and eer, like bucan-ier and buccan-eer \&c. Squi-re, Old-Engl. squiere, Old-French esquier, escuier, has re. A few feminine names of persons have been preserved, as laund-er (lavandière); dowa-g-er, Old-French doairiere (wherein $g$ seems developed from $i$ ).

Names of beasts in er occur: lim-er (limier); lann-er (lanier); plov-er (pluvier, comp. the Old-French verb plovoir).

Names of trees and shrubs in ier, not rare in French, have been scarcely preserved, save in popl-ar (peuplier).

Other names of things, denoting concrete, rarely abstract objects, have been preserved with the suffixes er, ar, ier; they are mostly referable to forms originally neutral and feminine: arium, aria, French ier ière, rarely aire: lard-er (lardier); litt-er (litière)! riv-er (rivière); garl-er (jarretière, comp. Old-French garret = jarret); gutt-er (gouttière); - mort-ar, Old-English morter (mortier, mortarium); pill-ar, Old-English piler (pilier, Medieval-Latin pilare and pilarius); cell-ar (cellier); calend-ar (calend-r-ier); coll-ar, OldEnglish coler (Halliwell s. v.) (collier, Latin collare); gramm-ar (grammaire); -rap-ier (rapière); pann-ier (panier); barr-ier (barrière); front-ier (frontière). Abstracts are: mann-er (manière, as it were manuaria); pray-er, Old-French proiere; dang-er (as it were damniarium).

The suffix er moreover takes the place of other suffixes in substantives, as of ière (Latin êria): matt-er (mat-ière); of oir, eoire (Latin orium): cens-er (encensoir); mang-er (mangeoire, manducatoria); of the infinitive er in: sapp-er (souper). Comp.: Justices of oyer et terminer, ad audiendum et terminandum, and the like.

But the suffix er frequently arises from the insertion of an $e$ between a consonant and $r$, whether a primitive vowel is thereby restored or not: memb-er (membre); monst-er (monstre); cloist-er, Old-French cloistre; waf-er (gaufre); chart-er, Old-French chartre, chart-arium; ord-er (ordre, ord-in-em); numb-er (nombre, num-erus); powd-er (poudre, pulv-er-em); cind-er (cendre, cin-er-em); chamb-er (chambre, cam-er-a) \&c.
In adjectives we find the derivational termination ar, French aire, ier, Latin aris, since arius usually passes into ary; occasionally this collateral form is found even here: sublun-ar, sublun-ary. Both frequently interchange, even in Latin. Old-English sometimes has er: syngul-er (Piers Ploughm.); Modern-English singul-ar; regular; pol-ar; popul-ar; famili-ar; vulg-ar; triangul-ar; simil-ar; navicul-ar, with many imitations.

The diminutive suffix aster, French atre, Latin aster: poetaster; ole-aster, is rare.
or, our, and t-or, Latin t-or and s-or, Modern-French eur and 1 -eur, s-eur, occasionally 1 -re. In Old-French $t$ was often thrown out, so that in the nom. sing. eres, erres in the oblique cases eor, eour appeared instead of ator. In Old-English the suffix often sounded our, which is lost in Modern-English. In imitated forms or is regarded absolutely as a suffix, as in French; words in tor have been received immediately from the Latin. This suffix of the Latin supine denotes persons exercising the activity contained in the stem: auth-or; trait-or, Old-French traïtres, traitor; ancest-or, Old-French ancestre, anceissor; success-or; predecess-or; credit-or; orat-or; testat-or; tut-or; govern-or; lail-or, Old-French tailleres, tailleor; grant-or; conquer-or; appell-or; jur-or; bargain-or \&c. The form saviour rests upon the Old-French salvieres, saveor. - Rarely forms of this sort have passed into er, as paint-er, from the French peint-re; or into eer, as engin-eer, Old-French engigneres, engigneor, where the nominative is the standard. Conversely, many in er (arius) has passed into or: warri-or, Old-French guerrier, yet also guerreiur, guerreur, (like counsell-or, Old-French conseilleres, conseilleor, Modern-French conseiller); chancell-or, Old-French chancelier; propriel-or, French propriétaire; bachel-or (bachelier, baccalarius), Old-English. bacheler \&c.; as even Germanic ones: sail-or, Old-English sailer; Old-English robb-our, Modern-English robber; Old-English minour, Modern-English miner \&c.
or, our, of which our is preferred, except in modern words, although without agreement, Modern-French eur, sometimes our, Latin or, oris, is a suffix originally added to verbal stems, in French also to adjectives and participles, and denoted the activity contained in verbal stems, abstractedly, but especially as a condition or quality: flav-our; vap-our; col-our; clam-our; hon-our; humour; often as distinct from hum-or (moisture); splend-or; tum-or; liqu-or \&c.; some of which have passed into a concrete meaning. Imitations are demean-our (from demener); behavi-our (from behave).

From this suffix is to be distinguished that which sometimes takes the place of the French oir, Latin erium, orium: man-or (manoir, Medieval-Latin manerium); parl-our (parloir); Old-English dort-our (dortoir), in Bacon, dorture; mirr-or (miroir, as it were miratorium); raz-or (rasoir); sciss-ors (imitated). Another derivation is arm-our, Old-French armeure, armure, armatura; vis-or (visière) $=$ vizard.
ior, French ieur, the Latin comparative termination, is found in some adjectives, sometimes also used substantively: infer-ior; exterior; sen-ior \&c.
ure ( $t$-ure, s-ure), French ure, Latin ura. This suffix of the supine, which denotes the abstract activity, but also its concrete result, was sometimes annexed to verbal stems not belonging to the supine (fig-ura), but was added in French, as ure and ture, to noun stems also. In al-ura Old-French also cast out the $t$; hence armeure, engendreure, Old-English engendr-ure. Abstracts, which moreover partly become also concrete, are: nurt-
ure; lort-ure; depart-ure; capt-ure; gest-ure; expos-ure; cens-ure; waft-ure; moist-ure \&c.; concrete: aperl-ure; nal-ure (also abstract); pict-ure; furnit-ure; vest-ure; garnit-ure; verd-ure; ord-ure \&c. Those which have diverged into this form are: leis-ure, OldEnglish leiser, Old-French loisir, leisir; pleas-ure, Old-French plaisir, pleisir; also treas-ure, Old-French tresor, Old-English tresoure, and Old-English lang-ure (Maundev.) instead of longuor, as, reversely arm-our, instead of armure. Grand-eur retains the French form.
2) Lipsounds are of slight moment; only $v(f)$ needs to be considered.
$i v e$, rarely iff, French if, ive, Latin īvus, a, um, Old-English frequently if, is properly an adjective termination. It denotes the inclination and capacity for the activity signified by the stem, or the condition or quality answering to the notion of the stem, and occurs in forms used substantively, and in adjectives, rarely with the old spelling iff, by which a substantive is sometimes distinguished from an adjective. Comp. plaint iff and plaintive. Names of persons used substantively are: native; representat-ive; capt-ive; plaint-iff; cait-iff, Old-French caitif; bail-iff, also baily, Medieval-Latin ballivus; the name of a beast: rest-iff (stubborn horse); various names of things: alternat-ive; mot-ive; narrat-ive; purgal-ive; prerogat-ive ; diminut-ive \&c. Most still occur as adjectives, along with many others: instruct-ive; extens-ive; abus-ive; act-ive; offens-ive; primit-ive; destruct-ive; com-prehens-ive; rest-iff; cait-iff \&c. Many have passed into $y$, as in French partly into $i$ : joll-y, Old-English jol-if, Old-French joli, -ive; hast-y, Old-English hastif, Old-French, the same. Conversely, the Old-English often has gilt-if, gelt-if, for guilt-y, Anglosaxon gylt-ig. Among the imitations is talk-at-ive, with the insertion of an apparent supine termination in $a t$-um.
3) Of extensive efficiency are the toothsounds, of which, besides $t, d$ and $s$, the dental $c$ and $g$ also need consideration,
$t$ primarily stands as the final sound in the two primitive diminutive suffixes et, more rarely ot, French et (at), ot, $-e$, which, as such, were foreign to the Anglosaxon, invaded English from the French, and have been added even to Anglosaxon stems. Here et has partly taken the place of at and ot. In the diminutive meaning many substanstives appear, especially in et: isl-et (îlot): lapp-et; pock-et; frisk-et (frisquette); banner-et; coron-et (inferior crown); cabin-et; circl-et; Names of young beasts: eagl-et (aiglat); marmos-et; lever-et (levrette, from levrier); pork-et; pull-et, alongwith poul-t; cygn-et; and proper names as originally petnames: Beck-ett (little brook); Grav-ett (little grove); Wilm-ot, also Charlotte; and $A d-c$-ot (little Ade, Adam); Al-c-ot (Arthur); Wil-k-ot (William); Hi-ck-ot (Henry), wherein c, $k$, answer to the $k$ in Wil-k-in \&c., and which are corrupted into Accock, Wilcock, Hickock. Another diminutive suffix is frequently inserted, especially $l$, el, as is the case in circlet (circ-ul-us); leaf-l-el; ring-l-et; fort-l-et; branch-l-et; trout-l-el; stream-l-et; gob-l-et (cup-ell-a).

The diminutive import is frequently lost, as in French: mall-et;
linn-et; lock-et; banqu-et; budg-et; fresh-et (a fresh); helm-et; gorget; gaunt-l-et; ball-ot; fagg-ot; gali-ot; chari-ot \&c. Modern forms are the terms for materials according to a constituent, (with a base) as sulphur-et. Compare the French anis-ette. Occasionally the suffix works disparagingly: flor-et (imperfect flower); gigl-ot (girl of light manners); perhaps also in strump-et.

From this suffix we must discriminate et, Latin ēta, ētes, Greek ${ }_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \mathrm{\xi}$ : com-et, plan-et, and Latin ètum: arbor-et, Latin arboretum = arbustum.

Adjectives of the diminutive form in et are rare: dulc-et; russ-et.
$t$ moreover appears as a noun-suffix, alone or in the combinations ite (it), ele, ute, which are referable to the Latin participial forms -tus, $\bar{t} t u s$, $\bar{t} t u s, ~ e ̄ t u s, ~ a ̄ t u s, ~ \overline{t u s, ~ a n d ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ f o r m s ~ f o r m e d ~}$ after them from substantives (cristātus, auritus). Adjectives are frequent: erec-t ; extinc-t; rap-t; perfec-t; corrup-t ; infin-ite; exquisite; defin-ite; - oppos-ite; decrep-it; - comple-te; - elev-ate; effe-min-ate; priv-ate; - absol-ute; min-ute; destit-ute. Imitations from nouns end especially in ate and ute: labi-ate; lunul-ate; dent-ate; crist-ate; often with the Germanized collateral from ated: labia-ted; dentated; cristated \&c.; delic-ate (deliciae) - nas-ute; hirs-ute.

Forms of this sort used substantively mostly answer to the masculine or the neuter gender of the Latin. In names of persons the forms in ate, rarely others appear: intim-ate; advoc-ate; potentate, Medieval-Latin potentatus; favour-ite. More frequent are names of things as primitive neuters: insec-t; edic-t; manuscrip-t; precep-t; - un-il; - mer-it; cred-it; - mand-ale; duplic-ale; often in modern scientific expressions, as: nitr-ate; sulph-ate; carbon-ate; hydr-ate \&c.; - trib-ute; attrib-ute \&c.

From these we must distinguish the few words in $t$, ite, ate, Latin tus, ilus, atus, according to the fourth declension: frui-t; falsely formed, ascen-t (ascensus); appet-ite; among which those in ate are particularly to be noted, which are referred to office and station, sometimes also to the domain subject to a dignitary: elector-ate; episcop-ate; magistr-ate; princip-ate; cardinal-ate; con-sul-ate; celib-ate.

Of the Latin-Greek gentile names in ita, ela, aıa, ofa, Greek
 preserved: israel-ite; Shem-ite; Canaan-ile; Stagir-ite; Jacob-ile; carmel-ite; with a shortened $\imath$ in Jesu-ı̆l. Mineralogy and Chemistry form words, as braun-ite; byssol-ite; dry-ile; sulph-ite; webster-ile; hydrargill-ite \&c. as terms for substances. Satell-île on the other hand rests upon the Latin satell-item. Of those in of idi-ot; pa-tri-ol; Cypri-ot occur; many have passed into other suffixes. The suffix borders on the meaning of ist in Jacobite; Jesuit.
ent and ant, French ent, ant, Latin ent-em, ant-em, in which however sometimes the original Latin form, sometimes the French form is the standard, are properly participial terminations, which partly occur used substantively, partly as adjectives.

Used substantively they yield names of persons, which are in part of both genders, ent, ant: adher-ent; ag-ent; reg-ent;
presid-ent; stud-ent; cli-ent; - inhabil-ant; mendic-anl; merch-ant; defend-ant; descend-ant; depend-ant (distinguished from the adjective depend-ent); serv-ant; serje-ant \&c. In brig-and, according to the French precedent, $d$ appears instead of $t$; as the name of a beast: serp-ent.

Names of things, partly concrete, partly abstract, point to all three Latin genders, yet most rarely to the feminine: forrent; curr-ent (courant); ingredi-ent; astring-ent (medicine); ori-ent; occid-ent; sec-ant; accid-ent; incid-ent; sembl-ant (show, obsolete) \&c. Adjectives, some whereof are also to be met with among substantives, are very familiar: innoc-ent; emin-ent; adjac-ent; urgent; lat-ent; pati-ent; belliger-ent (belligérant); - eleg-ant; arrogant; protuber-ant; brilli-ant; verd-ant; vali-ant; triumph-ant; con-son-ant \&c.

In ungu-ent the suffix entum (unguentum) lies at the root.
ment, French ment, Latin mentum, is the frequent substantive termination, which is met with in abstract and concrete substantives, (among them many recent forms) and is added to verbal stems, although in English sometimes apparently to nouns, but whose denominative verbs are at the foundation (case-ment; shipment). It denotes the activity or the condition which the verbal notion qualified: imprison-ment; endow-ment; enchant-ment; ease-ment (relief); employ-ment; abate-ment; agree-ment; punish-ment; comport-ment; bereave-ment; bewitch-ment; forebode-meni; fulfil-ment \&c. Concrete objects appear partly as means for effecting the activity contained by the verbal stem: oint-ment; orna-ment; ligament; pig-ment; pave-ment; fer-ment; gar-ment (French garne-ment); partly as such as are effected by means of the activity: fragment (a piece which has arisen through breaking); seg-ment; filament (spun). In parch-ment a change of termination of the Old-French parcamin, parchemin, Old-English parchemyn, is contained.
lent, French lent, Latin lentus, $a$, um and lens, is an adjective suffix, whereby the being affected in a high degree with what is contained in the stem is denoted: escu-lent; opu-len1; maci-lent; mucu-lent; lutu-len1; lucu-lent; vio-lent; floru-len1, also floscu-lent (imitated); turbu-lent; somno-lent \&c., which almost all belong to Latin.
ist, French iste, Latin ista, Freek s $^{\prime}$ 's, is a suffix whereby names of persons are formed. It denotes the person continuously engaged, externally or internally, in what is predicated by the stem. It is therefore applied to persons occupied with an art, science or trade, as: art-ist; latin-is!; pian-ist; pugil-ist; psalmod-ist; bolan-ist; for-ist; copy-ist; tour-ist; mechan-ist; labacco-n-ist (with $n$ inserted) \&c.; likewise to those attached to a party or to definite principles, as: Jansen-ist; monarch-ist: royal-ist; destin-ist; quiet-ist; chart-ist \&c.; sometimes with an admixture of censure: egot-ist; exclusion-ist; manner-ist; de-ist; devotion-ist; whence also bigam-ist, provincial-ist; proverbial-ist. The allied suffix iast is rarer: enthusiast ; encom-iast.
$d$ appears in the adjective termination $i d$, French ide, Lat. $i d u s$. It denotes that the notion of the stem inheres in an object
as a quality in a higher degree or measure. The stem is a verb and sometimes a noun: intrep-id; insip-id; ac-id; mad-id; morb-id; langu-id; lur-id; rig-id; putr-id; flacc-id; viv-id; turb-id; splend-id; cand-id; hisp-id; herb-id \&c. Imitations are wanting. Words in $i d$ are sometimes used substantively, as, liqu-id; fu-id.

From this we must distinguish the termination id, which is employed substantively, yet also adjectively by the language of the physical sciences, and answers to the Greek $\varepsilon เ \delta^{\prime} \hat{\prime}$, Latin $\bar{\imath}$ des: al-kalo-id; chloro-id \&c.; also id, French ide, Greek Latin is, idis: Nereid; Aeneid.
$a d e$, seldom $a d$, French $a d e$, Latin $a t a$, fem., which appears along with the French $e e$, under the influence of the Ital. ada, is found as a suffix, especially in substantives denoting a collective notion: palis-ade; balustr-ade; barric-ade; brig-ade; cavalc-ade; cascade; colonn-ade; similarly in lemon-ade; orange-ade. Abstract ones are: par-ade; promen-ade; block-ade; seren-ade. It is shortened. into ad in sal-ad.

To the Greek and Latin feminine suffix as, ădis, French ade, belongs ad, rarely ade, in: myri-ad; mon-ad; tri-ad; tetr-ad; decade. Of masculine names of persons in $a s$, ădis, nom-ad belongs here; of the feminine: Nai-ad.
tude, French tude, Latin tudo, commonly with the connecting vowel $i$ : itude, a suffix added to adjective stems, denotes the abstract quality, seldom passing into the collective notion, as in mutti-tude. Comp.: atti-tude (aptitudo); lippi-tude; lati-tude; longitude; beati-tude; forti-tude! sollici-tude \&c.
bund (bond) and cund, French bond, -e, cond, -e, Latin bundus, $a$, um; cundus, $a$, um, two adjective suffixes to verbal stems, both denoting the being continuously or strongly occupied with the activity predicated by the verbal stem, are preserved in a few words: mori-bund; vaga-bond, both also used substantively; - rubi-cund; fe-cund; fa-cund; jo-cund; vere-cund.
$s$ is often mixed in Romance suffixes of English, as in French, with the dental $c$, whence the two sounds are not to be separated from each other, so far as both rest upon a Latin $c$ and $t$ which became subsequently dental.
ice, $\check{\imath} s$, answers to the French ice, is, Latin icius, icium and itius, itium in names of persons and things, yet the form is is almost extinct. Names of persons are: nov-ice; apprent-ice, OldEnglish prent-is. Concrete names of things from the Latin icius, -um are extremely rare, as: abat-is, French, the same; trellis, French treillis, Latin trichila; latt-ice, French latt-is; crev-ice has diverged from crev-asse; prejud-ice is abstract. Those originally ending in itium are: precip-ice; serv-ice; hosp-ice. Compounds like edi-fice; ori-fice \&c. do not belong here. Exerc-îse has passed into the feminine form of abstract nouns.

In some words ice, French ice answers to the Latin termination ex, йcis; ix, īcis: chal-ice, Old-French calice, Anglos. calic; matr-ice, Latin matricem; pum-ice, Latin pumicem.
ice with the collateral form ise, and îse, also ess (es), French ice, ise, esse, Old-French ece, Latin itia und ities, serve originally to
form abstract substantives from adjectives: avar-ice; mal-ice; notice; franch-ise; just-ice; coward-ice, Old-English cowardise, -ie, OldFrench coardise, -ie; obsolete palliard-ise; covet-ise. - warrant-îse (Shakspeare, see Smart); merchand-îse; Old-English niggard-îse;
larg-ess, formerly nobl-ess and others; rich-es. Many have been abandoned; among other imitated forms are pract-ice; treat-isse. A feminine name of persons in itia is Lett-ice (Laetitia).
ass, ace, French as, m.; ace, asse, fem., Latin aceus, a, um, forms substantives, partly denoting variation, or operates augmentatively: embarr-ass (embarras); cutl-ass (coutelas); cuir-ass (cuirasse); grim-ace, French, the same; popul-ace, French, the same; terr-ace, French terr-asse.

The suffix is mingled with others: fourn-ace (fournaise, from fornax or fornacea?), men-ace, French, the same, Latin minaciae. Rarely acy runs parallel to ace: popul-acy. In other words acy is to be divided $a$-cy. (See above.)
ese, French ais, ois, Latin ensis, has been preserved in some names of nations, in part also used adjectively: Malt-ese; Portugu-ese; Chin-ese; Japan-ese \&c.

For ess as a feminine suffix see p. 251.
ous and ose, Old-French os, ous, Modern-French eux, more rarely oux and ose, Latin ösus, a, um, an adjective suffix, added to substantive stems, and expressing the affection in a high degree or the being replete with what the stem denotes, is uncommonly extensive in English, and in modern formations frequently takes the place of other suffixes, particularly of the Latin us after vowels, but also after consonants, when the characteristic import of the suffix is often lost. The form ous is the most frequent: aque-ous; monstr-ous; nause-ous; lumin-ous; fabul-ous: furi-ous; call-ous; covetous; hide-ous; - mischieve-ous; murder-ous; wondr-ous \&c.; - ob-vi-ous; spuri-ous; errone-ous; corne-ous; conspicu-ous; contigu-ous; credul-ous; barbar-ous; fulv-ous \&c.; scurril-ous (Latin scurrilis); illustri-ous (illustris) \&c. The form ose sometimes interchanges with ous, as in: varic-ose; aqu-ose; calcul-ose \&c, but is frequently the sole one in use: bellic-ose; verb-ose; rug-ose; joc-ose and others.
ence, ance, French ence, ance, Latin entia, antia, are substantive suffixes in words which have been developed from the original participial terminations ent, ant, and whose collateral forms in ency, ancy are mentioned above at p. 455. They give rise to abstract nouns, in which the verbal notion receives the meaning of a continuous quality or of condition, rarely concrete substantives. Modern formations prefer ance: indig-ence; innoc-ence; experi-ence; occurr-ence; penit-ence; -consequ-ence; consci-ence; -ignor-ance; entr-ance; admitt-ance; repent-ance; griev-ance (OldFrench grevance); forbidd-ance; forbear-ance; hindr-ance; yield-ance \&c. Concrete ones are, for example, rom-ance; subst-ance; ordnance (cannon) \&c. - Ence has passed into ense in the substantive lic-ense.
age, French age, Latin aticum, is a substantive suffix proceeding from the Latin adjective suffix, which early became very familiar to French and in Medieval-Latin was rendered by agium. Sub-
stantives with this suffix proceed from the most different parts' of speech, are both concrete and abstract, and their suffix expresses in a broad sense appurtenance to the stem.

Concrete objects are: vis-age; carri-age; saus-age (from saucisse); cabb-age, from the Medieval-Latin gabusia, French cabus; there are but few which do not denote a locality, as: vill-age; vicar-age; cott-age; hermit-age; or assume a collective meaning, as cellar-age; lugg-age; bagg-age; fraught-age (Shakspeare); floatage; plum-age; band-age; cord-age \&c.; to which we may also refer names of victuals, as: pott-age; supp-age. In person-age, which is referred to the person, it is augmentative. It often denotes the yield of a thing, or the product of an activity: mile-age; lactage; post-age; full-age; gain-age; keel-age (duty paid for entering port); consul-age \&c.

In an abstract sense it denotes partly the activity which its verbal stem expresses, or which is connected by its noun stem: mar-ri-age; langu-age; broker-age; foster-age; voy-age; till-age; carn-age; coin-age; hom-age \&c.; or the quality and the condition or station of the stem: cour-age; apprentis-age; peer-age; baron-age; baronet-age; bond-age; whence collectives may be again developed.

In a few names of persons we must go back to aticus, as in: sav-age; host-age (Medieval-Latin hostagius, ostaticus=obsidiaticus).

Adjectives hardly exist, as: sav-age.
In a few substantives this suffix meets the French age, Lat. ago: im-age; cartil-age.
4) Throat-sounds hardly need to be considered in derivation.
$i c$, French ic, ique, Latin icus, a, um (Greek txós), is properly an adjective suffix, denoting particularly appurtenance, and runs in Modern-English ic, Old-English ike, where the French presents ique: aul-ic; rust-ic; publ-ic; babylon-ic; fran-cic; celt-ic; bard$i c$; fantast-ic; frant-ic; caust-ic; gener-ic \&c.; it has also been preserved in the form atic (comp.age): aqu-atic; fan-atic; system-atic; hanse-atic \&c. The adjective forms often have the above mentioned collateral form in ical. Joined to substantive forms the termination appears not only in names of persons, as: la-ic; do-mest-ic; cyn-ic; cathol-ic, asthm-atic; lun-atic \&c., answering to the Latin in icus, but also in names of things, which presuppose a neuter icum, as: celt-ic; gael-ic; ton-ic; or which are referable to the feminine ica: arithmet-ic; mus-ic: phys-ic; phys-ic, and other plural terms of sciences, mathem-alics \&c.; fabr-ic and others.
$i c$, French ique, Latin ìcus, is very rare, as in pud-ic; ant-ique, which belongs here, has preserved the French form, alongside of ant-ic, with a different meaning.
$i a c$, French iaque, Latin iacus (Greek axxós), a termination nearly $^{\prime}$ allied to the last, is found in a few forms, commonly too in personal terms used substantively: il-iac; man-iac; syr-iac; simon-iac and the like.
esque, French esque, with which the Latin iscus (syriscus) may be compared, is an adjective suffix, transferred from the Italian esco into French, and which at once gives substantive forms and denotes derivation or variation. It has penetrated in some
measure into English: mor-esque; roman-esque; pictur-esque; burlesque; grot-esque \&c., and also uses some forms substantively, as burlesque.

## 2) Derivational Suffixes of the Verb.

The verbal derivation of the Romance constituent of the English tongue attaches itself immediately to the French process, which practised the Latin manner of derivation of words, not merely from primitive, but also from derivative nouns, by means of weak conjugational forms, and with still greater freedom.

In French we find nearly all noun suffixes over again in verbs. English could hardly extend this mode of forming words, with regard to the sort of suffixes, although it has considerably augmented the number of verbs which have thus arisen. Here, where, after the rejection of the Romance inflective terminations of the verb, the pure noun stem remains standing, only a few suffixes of the noun are missed in the verb, among which the substantive and adjective ones in $y$, as: ty, cy, ity, ency, ancy, ery, ary, ory and the less usual ones, as tude and the like, may be especially reckoned, although the language scorns a fixed limit in this respect.

Here therefore only the derivation of verbs from verbs, as well as that from nouns with particular verbal suffixes, has a particular interest as to the formation of words.
A) Verbs derived from verbs.

Latin-formed verbs denoting the persistence or repetition of the activity (intensive and frequentative verbs) from primitives by the suffix $t$ and $s$ of the first conjugation in tare, sare: saltare, pren-sare. In their formation they lean formally upon the supine and the passive participle agreeing with it. French imitated a multitude of forms of this sort, often with the abandonment of the intensive meaning, in connection with participial forms, and English adopted these and augmented their number. Hence verbs in $t$, te, $s s, s e$ ( $x, s h$ in contractions), as: trea- $t$, French trai-ter, Latin trac-tare; no-te, French no-ter, Latin no-tare; profes-s, French profes-ser; ra-se, era-se, French ra-ser; $u$-se, ab-use, misu-se, French u-ser, abu-ser; disper-se, French disper-ser; fix, French fixer; push, French pous-ser, Latin pul-sare. English imitations: uni-te; complete; promo-te; pollu-te; preven-t ; asser-t ; combus-t; corrup-t; inflic-t; ac-l $\boldsymbol{\text { ; instruc- } t \text { ; dismis-s; agres-s; posse-s ; percus-s; confu-se; elap-se; }}$ perple-x and many more.

English goes a step further in this, forming from the supine or participle of the perfect of the first weak conjugation of the Latin a numerous class of verbs, to which neither Latin nor French gave any support. They arise from verbs of every kind, and in them ate appears as a particular verbal suffix, which has even been applied to modern formations (without any presupposed verb): indurate; enerv-ate; expatri-ate; migr-ate; navig-ate ; renov-ate ; perme-ate; procre-ate; devast-ate; castr-ate; captiv-ate \&c. - impan-ate; insolate; emargin-ate; emascul-ate; edulcor-ate, diplom-ate \&c. - Even

French participial forms give verbs: oin-t; poin-t; pain-t; prin-t; fain-t: tain-t; counterfei-t; clo-se \&c.

The suffix it gave Latin frequentatives in $i t$-are (ag-itare), some of which were also derived from nouns (pericl-itari). Verbs of this sort have been preserved in English, as partly in French, but they have at the same time assumed ate: ag-itate; palp-itate; hesitate; pericl-itate; facil-itate; debil-itate; nobil-itate \&c.

Other suffixes coincide with Anglosaxon ones, as le, French ler, ailler (ulare, aculare), which is contained, for instance, in amb-le (ambler, ambulare); tremb-le; troub-le; scribb-le (écrivailler); or, like the French eter, oter, onner, asser, they are no longer considered as self-standing suffixes in the derivation of verbs.

But here belongs the suffix ish, Old-English ise, ice, ishe, ish, Old-Scottish is, eis, even es, ische, which has arisen from the French iss, Latin isc (esc), but in French rarely appeared in the infinitive of verbs (see below), yet still exists in forms of the verb in ir (fin-iss-ons, fin-iss-ais \&c.). In Old-French this suffix was also inserted in other verbs and verbal forms than in Modern-French. Moreover $s c$ with $i, e, a$ appeared even in Latin in verbs derived from nouns. It originally gave to the verb an inchoative meaning, which however was quite disregarded in French. In English ish mostly appears in verbs in which Modern-French still usually employs iss : impover-ish, comp. French appauvrir; embell-ish; establish; abol-ish; accompl-ish; nour-ish; langu-ish; replen-ish (plen-ish), Old-French replenir; per-ish; pol-ish; pun-ish; burn-ish; bland-ish; brand-ish; fin-ish; furn-ish; van-ish, compare French évanouir; tarnish; demol-ish; cher-ish; garn-ish \&c. Yet the suffix is also put to other Romance verbal stems, as aston-ish, Old-French estoner, OldEnglish astonen; publ-ish (publier); vanqu-ish (vaincre); dimin-ish (diminuer); distingu-ish (distinguer), hence also extingu-ish; admonish, compare Old-French amonester, also Old-English amoneste; and to Latin ones, as: fam-ish (fames); relinqu-ish (relinquere) and the like. In rejoice it has been mutilated, Old-English rejoisse (Piers Ploughm. p. 324.). Other forms, as angu-ish, Old-French angoisser, from angustia, do not belong here. See also ize at the end.

In French we find esc in acquiescer, whereas an infinitive termination cir has elswhere been formed from escere, as in noir-cir (nigrescere). In English esce likewise sometimes stands, as in: acquiesce; efferv-esce; intum-esce; efflor-esce; deliqu-esce and other modern verbs.
B) Verbs derived from nouns.

Verbs of this sort sometimes presented the suffixes $i c$, ig in Latin, which came between the stem and the termination, although the suffix ic already belonged to some nouns from which verbs were derived (comp. fabrica, fabricare). French represented verbs of this sort partly by iquer, iguer, iger, partly by cher, ger, ier, ayer, oyer $\& c$.

English has developed therefrom verbs in icate and igate: com-mun-icate; mit-igate; nav-igate; fum-igate; cast-igate, along with chastise, French châtier, Old-English chastien; and in some verbs has leaned upon abridged French forms, as for-ge (forger $=$ fabri-
care) $;$ jud-ge (juger $=$ judicare $) ;$ char-ge $($ charger $=$ carricare $) . \quad$ In icare also, French ier, oier, the $i$, as in other verbs has been preserved as $y$ after the rejection of the termination: carr-y, OldFrench carier, charier, caroier \&cc., comp. marr-y (marier, maritare); var-y (varier); remed-y (remédier); cand-y (candir); accompan-y (accompagner $=$ accompaniare), see p. 161. In verbs with an ic of the stem, as in those compounded with ficare, -plicare, French -fier, -plier, $\hat{y}$ appears: mystify, juslify, apply, mulliply \&c.; a termination, which we see transferred to others in ier, éer, and even er (are): defy (defier, Ital. disfidare, from fides); supply (suppléer = supplere); occupy (occuper).
$\hat{i} z e$, and sometimes $\hat{\text { ise, French iser, Latin issare, also izare (Greek }}$ $\zeta \varepsilon \mathrm{sw})$ is a frequent derivational termination of denominative verbs. In French it gained a wide extension, appearing in intransitive and transitive verbs, as in English, where it yields many modern forms.

In intransitive verbs (which moreover become also in part transitive) the suffix denotes the setting in notion in the sense or in the measure of the person or thing denoted by the stem: epicur-ize; moral-ize; poet-ize; fratern-ize; tempor-ize; - perhaps too the producing or the obtaining of the object, denoted by the stem: dent-ize.

Transitive verbs often have a factitive import: nalural-ize; real-ize; fertil-ize; general-ize; civil-ize; human-ize; oxyd-ise; bituminize; pulver-ize; crystall-ize; epitom-ize; substantial-ize; devil-ize (B. Hall.).

The verb also becomes the expression of the activity brought about by the stem, as in: exorc-ise; subsid-ize; cauler-ize.

Lastly verbs of this sort may denote an activity, in which the stem is the mark of the agent: Iyrann-ize.

Verbs in ise, are modern collateral forms of those in ish, French ir, as franch-ise, enfranch-ise, affranch-ise, eclairc-ise, although they resemble Old-English ones.

## B) Compounding.

Compounding consists in the combination of two words perceptible by themselves, comprehended in a notional and vocal unity under one acute accent. A compound word may enter into another compound, as in: handkerchief, ale-house-keeper, gooseberry-wine, disembark, pocket-handkerchief. Words of this sort are termed Decomposites.

The words comprised under one acute accent form, properly speaking, one verbal body, and should accordingly be represented as such in writing. In English however this happens by no means always or uniformly. While, on the one hand, this union is denoted by the written language, as in: mankind, husband, earthquake, sunset \&c., the notional comprehension is, in many compound words, signified by a hyphen, as in: Anglo-Saxon, sea-coast, death-bed, moon-calf \&c., or the uniting is left to the reader, as in Byron: Beyond his palace walls. Till summer heats were down. The midnight festival.

Thy birth planet. To some mountain "palace \&c. The last loose manner of compounding is not distinguished in effect from the others, but is particularly in use where historically propagated combinations of words, already stereotyped, do not occur.

The compound word, as a simple notion, is as capable as other simple words, of yielding derivative forms and of assuming derivational suffixes: gospel, Anglosaxon godspell, evangelium: to gospel, Anglosaxon godspelljan, evangelizare; harbour, Anglosaxon hereberge: to harbour, Anglosaxon herebirigan; harbourer; harbourage; knight-errant; knight-errantry; ramify; ramification. Derivatives of this sort are termed Parasyntheta.

We distinguish genuine and spurious compounding as to form. By the genuine we frequently understand the combination of two words, originally effected by means of a connecting vowel not being a mark of inflection, or, at least the union effected by the prefixing of an uninflected stem before the determined word. We term those compounds spurious, which consist only of the union of parts of speech related syntactically to each other, and betraying such a relation by their form (comp.: respublica; agricultura; English holywrit; Tuesday, Anglosaxon Tives däg). The compounding with particles has been placed here.

The connecting vowel is found in Latin (art-i-fex; trem-e-facio), in Gothic (mat-i-balgs, meat, bag, travellers bag; figgr-a-gulp, fingergold, ring; bropr-u-lubo, Brotherly love), in Old-Highdutch (chind- $i$ spil, spil-o-man). Yet in Latin, as well as in Gothic, the prefixing of the first element of the compound in the fundamental form without a connecting vowel is not unfamiliar. The connecting vowel had become completely foreign to Old-French, save in forms transplanted immediately from the Latin, and seldom remained in Anglosaxon. English knows the connecting vowel only in imported Romance forms and a few imitations of the Latin (anglo-saxon, burgo-master, MedievalLatin burgimagister), and perhaps in the amplified Anglosaxon nihtegale, näctegale, nightingale. For handicraft and similar forms see p. 179 .

Along with these, combinations of words related to each other syntactically have from the earliest times coalesced into a vocal whole in the living speech, as well as into one verbal body in the written language, and have therefore been regarded by the feeling of the tongue as equally warranted with other fusions of words.

We have therefore, in considering genuine compounding, to look not so much at the form, as at the substance and meaning. What is essential is that with the verbal whole combined into a vocal unity one particular image is connected. In this respect we may consider compounding as the abridged expression of the developed representation of the relation of given images. We cannot deny that the compounding of substantives may often be transmuted into an inflective relation. The genitive relation especially approaches that of compounding. Comp. Anglosaxon îsgicel and îses gicel = icicle. Moreover the original sense of a compound is sometimes obscured (comp. moon-calf), as the variety of meaning in compounds often hardens the explanation of them.

The primitive manner of compounding has frequently been effaced in English. Combined words, standing in a syntactical relation to one another, as was disclosed by their form, have lost the inflective termination in English, appearing therefore to be genuine compounds as to their form. If we still perceive them in such words as Anglesey, Anglosaxon Anglesêg; Ramsay, Anglosaxon Rammesêge; Thursday, Anglosaxon punres däg; alderliefest and the like, they still vanish almost entirely where no genitive $s$ has been preserved, which appears in many modern combinations particularly in names of beasts, plants, and the like, and is made manifest by an apostrophe (day's-work; death's-man = hangman; swine's-cress; dog's-rue; wolf's-milk), or is subjoined without it (coxcomb = cock's comb; daysman=umpire; birdsnes1). This $s$ does indeed appear where, as in Highdutch, it has the character of a connecting consonant, sometimes in contradiction with the older form: doomsday, Anglosaxon dômdäg; bondsman, Anglosaxon bonda, socius, Old-norse bondamann, foederatus (distinguished from bondman, though interchanging with it); herdsman formerly herdman, Anglosaxon hirde, pastor; steersman, Anglosaxon steórmann, Old-English steresman; helmsman, Anglosaxon healma, helma, gubernaculum; huntsman, Anglosaxon hunta, venator; Scotsman; crafisman, Anglosaxon cräfta, artifex, and others. But in general the language inclines, even in improper compounding, to the mere juxtaposition of verbal stems, although it often fluctuates, as in crow-toe and bear's-fool, two analogous names of plants.

From this genitive $s$ the $s$ (es) of the plural is to be distinguished, which is found in a few modern compounds, as is decidedly the case in clothes-brush, clothes-basket, and not only occurs in bellowsfish, news-boy, in which certainly the plural $s$ has penetrated into the singular, but also takes place in beads-man, beads-woman and the like. It is limited to a few notions taken collectively.

Compounding supposes Bipartiteness. To be felt as a compound there must make two syllables at least, in which two verbal bodies are distinguished. They are distinguished as the determining and the fundamental word, of which the determining word, in genuine composition, (apart from the compounding of particles) as a rule has the chief accent, although the rule suffers many exceptions in English. Even the second constituent does not regularly remain unaccented. Where this happens the compounding passes into the form of derivation, and we might therefore reckon such forms as dom, hood, ship, ly, some \&c. in part among derivational suffixes. If a compound is mouosyllabic, like lord, Anglos. hlâfveard, hlâford; world, Anglosaxon veorold, vorld, from vër, venr, vir, the consciousness of its living meaning vanishes; this happens to many bisyllabic and polysyllabic forms, in which a verbal body is mutilated and loses the accent: window, Old-norse vindauga; lady, Anglosaxon nlæfdige =hlâfveardige; gospel, Anglosaxon godspell; stirrup, Anglosaxon stigerâp; sheriff, Anglosaxon sciregerêfa; daisy, Anglosaxon däges eáge; winter (a beast two years old).

Many compounds not only become unrecognisable, but even die out. English has abandoned many of them; on the other hand the impulse to compound has ever remained alive in the tongue and con-
tinues powerfully to operate. It is indifferent for compounding whether the words are simple or derivative; likewise whether they are of Germanic or of Romance origin. The principle of English compounding is the Germanic, to which compounds of purely Romance elements conform. Imitations of a few Romance forms will be cited in their place. Old-French compounds, the number whereof was limited, have been received in a small number in English. Many of them were originally Germanic Compare hauberk and habergeon, Old-French hauberc, hauberjon, Anglosaxon healsbeorh; gonfalon, gonfanon, Old-French gonfanon \&c., Anglosaxon gûðfona = gunđfona.

In the discussion of the details we draw no sharp distinction between proper and improper compounding, so far as the living tongue gives no definite support to it, and both often pass into each other, and a few spurious, for example, appositional compounds are not to be aptly separated from genuine ones, for the sake of a general view. We consider in the first place the compounding of the noun and the verb, apart from their combination with particles, then the compounding of both with particles. The formation and compounding of particles has been already noticed in the doctrine of particles.

## 1) The Compounding of Nouns.

The Compound Substantive.
A compound substantive arises either through the union of two substantives, or of an adjective and a substantive, or of a verb and a substantive.
a) Compounding from two substantives.

1) Compound substantives may in the first place stand in a direct relation to each other, or be apprehended as appearing in the same case.
Here the relation of both may be a purely additional one. Here belongs the substantive deaf-mule; barber-surgeon; merchanttailor (Pasquin's Night Cap. 1612.); wolf-dog (bred between a dog and a wolf); This senior-funior ; giant-dwurf, lan Cupid (Shaksp. Love's L. L.). The shepherd kings (L. Byron). Old-English werwolf, Anglosaxon vervulf, lycanthropus. Comp. zoophyle, gynander, hermaphrodite. Here belong too northeast, northwest and the like, Anglosaxon norđvest \&c.as an adverb, also the French nord-est.

From these are to be distinguished appositional relations. The joining on of the apparently appositive generic name to the specific name is common: woman, Anglosaxon vîfman, comp. the Highdutch Frauensmench, husbandman (Anglosaxon hûsbonda, domus magister); fisherman (without the opposition); raindeer, reindeer, along with rane, Anglosaxon hrândeor, along with hrân; humblebee, Danish humle; palm-tree along with palm, Anglosaxon palmtreó and palm; beech-rree; cornel-lree, along with cornel, Anglosaxon corntreó; and often with trees, as the Anglosaxon âctreó, âcbeám, elmtreó, ulmtreó, pintreó, cirisbeám \&c. - although the tree is here and there named after the fruit: plum-tree, Anglosaxon plûmtreó from plûme, prunum (yet whether not also for prunus? comp. fig-iree,

Anglosaxon fiectreó from fig, fruit and tree); nut-tree, Anglosaxon hnutbeám \&c.; - reed-grass, Anglosaxon hreód, arundo; pebblestone along with pebble, Anglosaxon papolstân and pabol; pumicestone, French pierre-ponce; roadway; pathway. Here too we may reckon: eventide, Anglosaxon æfentîd; noontide, Anglosaxon nôntîd, for which also noonday stands, although here genitive relations might be found. In but-end (comp. butt) no pleonasm takes place, as in the Anglosaxon plûmfëder = pluma, and in hap-hazard, waist-coat. Another apposition makes the more general precede the more particular notion: lord-lieutenant; earl-marshal; knight-bachelor; queendowager ; queen-mother; beet-rave; beet-ravish; French betterave. Of French origin also is ostrich, French autruche, avis struthio. Here, however, we must distinguish appositions in which the preceding substantive appears completely turned into an adjective: fellowmember; fellow-prisoner; fellow-creature; deputy-marshal; deputysheriff.
Sometimes the former or the latter substantive solely serves to determine the natural gender of the other: man-servant; manmidwife; bondman; bondmaid; beggar-man; beggar-woman; beggarmaid; washer-woman; peacock; peahen \&c.

As in the union of given and family names, the former is related to the latter as the particular to the general, the original relation of the compounding of given names with generic names must be also regarded. Here, as in other appositive relations, the English accent fluctuates: Tomboy (rude boy); Tomfool (great fool; Tomtit Magpie, Maggotpie; Jackdaw. Here also belongs hobgoblin, (perhaps Robert Goblin), comp. Gobelyn (Piers Ploughm. p. 386.), Medieval-Latin gobelinus; also hobhoulard and hob-thrush, to which Robin Goodfellow is commonly opposed.

Another relation is that in which a preceding concrete substantive determines a second concrete one by way of comparison, according to its quality. This is like the other, resembles it: blockhead; kingbird (an American bird, thus named from its pugnacity); needle-fish; horse-emmet; ear-shell; crab-louse; bell-flower; buckwheat, Danish boghvede (from the likeness of the grain to beechmast); garlic, Anglosaxon gârleác, from gâr, hasta (properly spear lack); gold-fish, also called golden-fish; goldfinch, Anglosaxon goldfinc; gold-hammer, Lowdutch goldâmer, goldâmel, emberiza citrinella Lin.; silver-fish; silver-fir; copper-nose \&c. The determining word may be even taken figuratively, as in headman = chief (properly a man like the head), Anglosaxon heáfodmann; headland, promontorium, Anglosaxon heáfudland. Comp. head lady aad chief woman (Shakspeare Love's L. L. 4, 1.). The primitive abstract term main, vis, robur, which is regarded also as an adjective, operates similarly in the statement of the quality, as a variety thereof: main-land; main-mast; main-sail \&c., comp. Anglosaxon mägenstân, permagnus lapis.
2) Further they may stand in an indirect relation to each other.
a) In this case the former, the determining word may be regarded as a case of the substantive.

Very often it may be taken as a genitive, and spurious com-
pounds of a genitive with a second substantive frequently lie at the root of words belonging here. Of this sort, for instance, are names of days, some of which have still preserved an s: Monday, Anglosaxon mônandäg; Friday, Anglosaxon frigedäg; Saturday, Anglosaxon Säternes däg, yet also Säterndäg, Säterdäg; Sunday, Anglosaxon sunnandäg; many proper names; Rochester, Anglosaxon Hrôfesceastre; Oxford, Anglosaxon Oxenaford; Buckingham, Anglosaxon Buccingahâm; Birmingham, Anglosaxon Beormingahâm \&c.; as the sign of the genitive is still cast out in modern names before son: Adamson; Richardson; Wrightson; Cookson \&c.; Anson; Nelson (Nel = Eleanor) \&c. Of course all with the sign of the genitive preserved belong here. But many others are readily explained by a genitive, the wide use of which in many tongues would allow a multitude of cases to be referred hither: landmark, Anglosaxon landmeare, terrae limes; sea-shore; shipboard; earthquake, terrae motus, as in Gower: terre mote; sunrise and sunrising; sunset, sunsetting, Anglosaxon sunset, solis occasus; folkmote, Anglosaxon folcmôt, populi concio; gospel, Anglosaxon godspell, dei sermo; bridegroom, Anglosaxon brŷdguma, nuptae (custos) vir \&c. Of Romance origin are: solstice, French the same, Latin solstitium; oriflamme, oriflamb, Old-French oriflambe, oriflam (auri flamma); aqueduct \&c.

A succeeding genitive is found in French forms, as: court-baron $=$ a baron's court. Compare propernames like: Fitz-Walter; Fitz-Gerald; Viscount Fitz Harris. Henry the second called himself Fitz-Empress.

It may frequently be apprehended as pointing to an original objective relation to a verb, therefore as an accusative. This is particularly the case when the fundamental word is derived from a transitive verb: innholder; innkeeper; man-killer, Anglosaxon mancvellere; man-slayer, Anglosaxon manslaga; needlemaker; land-owner; blood-letter, Anglosaxon blôdlætere; wine-bibber; cheese-monger; cup-bearer; gold-finder; and many other names of persons in er. Thus we may imagine wright to be effective in: shipwright, cartwright \&c., Anglosaxon vænvyrhta. This apprehension likewise takes place before abstract substantives: oath-breaking, comp. Anglosaxon â才svaring; man-stealing; bloodshedding and bloodshed; thank-offering; deer-stealing and many others in ing; manslaughter, comp. Anglosaxon mansleaht; promisebreach, comp. Anglosaxon bräc and brice, brëcing, fractio. Romance forms of this sort, founded on Latin and Greek precedents, are also naturalized, in part received immediately from the ancient tongues, and even imitated, as: armiger; dapifer; parricide; infanticide; homicide; artifice; stillicide; sanguisuge; geometer; geographer; geography, cosmography; zoographer \&c. Lieutenant is French (locum tenens), originally a spurious compound.
$\beta$ ) Far more frequent is the compounding of the sort that the relation of the compounded substantives is explainable by the intervention of prepositions. But with the manifoldness and freedom of compounding such a procedure does not always suffice to express the often remote connection of the members of the relation.

A division of compound substantives by their relations, as to be explained by different prepositions, serves more to render visible the manifoldness of the compounds than the possibility of reducing to fixed points of view the mental bond of the compounding and the boldness of the language in suppressing intermediate images. A division must also be defective, since for many compounds more than one of the links may be considered as operating.
a) The relation of the compound substantives may be one of space.

Here the determining word may denote the local object, in, on, upon, near \&c. which the subject (person, beast or thing) denoted by the fundamental word is to be found or is active: landman, Anglosaxon landmann (indigena, agricola); countryman (born in the same country); country-gentleman (resident in the country); ship-boy (serving in a ship); rope-dancer (who waiks on a rope); field-mouse; water-rat; sea-bear; sea-fish, Anglosaxon sæfisc: earth-worm; grasshopper, Anglos. gärshoppa, gärsstapa; mountain-ash; water-lily; church-yard (adjoining to a church), comp. Anglosaxon cyrictûn; tombstone (over a grave); top-knot (worn on the top of the head); nest-egg (left in the nest); earring, Anglosaxon eárhring; eye-tooth (under the eye); headache (in the head), Anglosaxon heáfodece \&c. In such words too as sea-farer; landlouper (loper); clodhopper, Lowdutch Klutenpädder; hedge-creeper; fieldfare, the moving about within the determinate space is what occupies the attention. Even Romance words come to be considered here, as: funambulist, aeronaut \&c.

But on the other hand the determining word may contain the object from or out of which, or towards and to which the object contained in the fundamental word moves: eye-drop; land-breeze (blowing from the land); sea-air (from the sea); thunder-bolt; stem-leaf (growing from the stem); ground-oak (raised from the acorn); -warfare; church-goer; side-glance, quite as much 10 the side as from the side.
b) Or it is a relation of time:

The determining word may then denote the space of time in which the object denoted by the fundamental word appears or acts: evening-star (visible in the evening), Anglosaxon æfensteorra; morning-star, Anglosaxon morgensteorra; day-labour; day-work; daylight, Anglosaxon dägleóht; nightingale, Anglosaxon nihtegale, properly nightsinger; night-raven, Anglosaxon nihthräfn; night-rest, Anglosaxon nihtrest; night-brawler. Yet the temporal relations are often looser, as in: night-hawk (hunting its prey toward evening); winter-apple (that keeps well in winter) \&c. Romance: noctambuliste, French noctambule.

Or the determining word may denote the time for or up to which the appearance or activity of an object extends: lifeannuity (during a person's life); day-fly (that lives one day only).
c) The numerous other relations of compound substantives are not to be readily distinguished from one another.

The idea frequently lies at the root that the object contained in the fundamental word is connected with the other, and thus characterized by it: bell-wether (with a bell on his neck); finger-post (with a finger); flag-ship; stone-fruit; stone-horse (not castrated); shell-fish; thunder-storm; whirlwind, Old-norse hvirfilvinds (as turbo-ventus); lime-twig (smeared with lime), grassplot (covered with grass). Sometimes the object which is filled with another is denoted: earth-bag (filled with earth); featherbed \&c.

By the determining word is also denoted the object with which a person is conversant, or in which he works or carries on business: goldsmith, Anglosaxon goldsmid; iron-smith; wine-merchant; stock-broker; stock-jobber; sword-player; ale-wife; oil-man (who deals in oils); ploughman; whaleman (employed in the whale-fishery); flax-wench (Shaкsp.).

The determining word further contains the mean or tool with or by which the object, or the activity predicated by the fundamental word is produced: handwork, Anglosaxon handveore (done by the hands); handiwork, Anglosaxon handgeveore; handwriting; hand-blow; footstep; fist-cuffs; sword-fight; ear-witness; birth-right (to which a person is entitled by birth).

The material of which an object consists or out of which it is made is not seldom denoted by the determining word: icicle, Anglosaxon îsgicel; ice-isle, oat-meal; ' flint-glass (originally made of pulverized flints); stone-wall, Anglosaxon stânveall; stone-house; steel-pen; gold-wire; gold-thread; birch-broom (made of birch); rail-way; where the object out of and from which something is gained or arises appears as the fundamental word: oil-gas (procured from oil); birch-wine; beech-oil; grape-wine \&c.

Frequently the connecting idea is that of the design, of appropriateness, of the destination to or for that which the determining word contains. The fundamental word may denote a person: pearl-diver (who dives for pearls); prize-fighter; thus also we may take neatherd, Anglosaxon neáthirde; shepherd, Anglosaxon scæphirde (employed in guarding sheep) and the like; or it denotes a beast: coach-horse; game-cock. But names of things of every sort are very common. Here belong localities: orchard, Anglosaxon ortgeard (vyrtgeard); vineyard, Anglosaxon vîngeard; bee-garden (place for bee-hives); bedroom; warehouse; landing-place; footway; foot-bridge (forfoot-passengers); key-hole (for receiving the key); especially names for receivers: ale-vat, Anglosaxon ealofät; inkhorn; money-box; pepper-box; beehive; bird-cage; wine-cask; wine-glass; clothes-basket; articles of clothing, armour \&c.; ear-cap; breast-plate; head-piece; head-dress; horse-cloth (to cover a horse); utensils and implements; eye-glass; ear-trumpet; foot-board; finger-board; footstool; foot-shakles; hand-fetter; pen-knife; horsewhip; bird-bolt (for shooting birds); hearth-broom (for sweeping the hearth); toothbrush; stonebow (for shooting stones); clothes-line (for drying clothes); silk-mill (for manufacturing silk); cotton-machine \&c.; in fine, objects of every sort to which the idea of appropriateness
or destination to anything is applicable: life-blood (necessary to life); eye-salve, Anglosaxon eágsealf (for the eye); fire-wood (for fuel); bird-lime; gun-powder; when the reference is often not proximate, as in ice-boat (used to break a passage through ice); hour-hand (for showing the hour on a chronometer) and the like.

Sometimes the determining word indicates the condition in which or the circumstance under which an object appears or acts: rainbow, Anglosaxon rênboga; sleep-walker, and Romance somnambulist, French somnambule. An abstract determining word may thus seem to receive the character of an adjective: rear-mouse, Anglosaxon hrêremûs (agitatio? and mus).

Familiar compounds of this class often present such general or remote references that they bear eloquent testimony to the assurance with which the tongue commits a series of ideas, in the closest compression, to the most general understanding. Compare for instance homesickness, the pain excited by removal from home or by the often unconscious longing for it; godfather, godmother; godchild; godson; goddaughter, even Anglosaxon godfäder, godmôdor, godbearn, names for the persons lifting and being lifted out of baptism, in which the name of God refers, indefinitely to the holy act and the reference made thereby to the Supreme being. Every-day names for business relations, without more, ,hardly give an intimation of their meaving; and who could recognise in fire-office the office where objects are insured for the case of risk from fire? Occasionally the license of compounds proceeds stepwise visibly further. Thus, for instance, we readily recognise in game-cock the cock used for fighting; thence is developed a game-egg in the second degree, wherein, by help of the former we perceive the egg from which a game cock is hatched.

In fact substantives connected by prepositions become expressions for an object. Here belong man-of-war, whence the new compound man-of-war-bird = frigate-bird; father-in-law; brother-in-law \&c.; love-in-idleness; will-o'the-wisp, Will-with-thewisp (wisp =); also Jack-a-lantern; Jack-of-all-trades (clever at any business); Jack-a-lent, a simpleton (properly a doll in lent). John-a-dreams. The giving of names, as in the last examples, is not unfamiliar to the popular fancy.
b) Compounding from an adjective and a substantive.

Here the two parts of speech stand in general in the compound substantive only in the directrelation to each other. The number of compounds of this sort is very large: ill-will; evil-eye; oldwife, comp. Anglosaxon ealdacvên = matrona; mid-day, Anglosaxon middäg; mid-winter, Anglosaxon mid-vinter; neighbour, Anglosaxon neáhbûr; red-coat, figuratively, a soldier; broad-ax, Anglosaxon brâdeax; blindnettle, Anglosaxon blindnetel; blindworm, comp. Highdutch Blindschleiche; blackberry, Anglosaxon bläcberige; blackthorn; blacksmith; blue-stocking; freeman, Anglosaxon frîmann, freómann; freemason (franc-maçon); freestone; wild-goose; small-pox; small-beer; sweetmeat, Anglosaxon svêtmete; quick-beam and, strikingly, quicken-
tree, Anglosaxon cvicbeám, juniperus, and cvictreó, tremulus; quicksilver, Anglosaxon cvicseolfer; quick-grass and quitch-grass; goodman; good-friday; gray-hound and greyhound, Anglosaxon græghund, grêghund; highland; highway; half-penny, Anglosaxon healfpenning; half-brother; half-wit (blockhead); half-scholar, compare Old-norse hâlf-brôdir, hâlfviti, mente captus; holy-day, Anglosaxon hâligdäg; commonwealth; common-sense. Half and wholly Romance forms are: gentleman, French gentilhomme; grandam; grandfather; grand-seignior; grisamber (reversing the French collocation of the words); verjuice, French verjus = vert jus.

Romance words have also been received with an adjective after them: republic, French république; rosemary, mutilated from rosmarinus, French romarin; vinegar, French vinaigre, imitated in alegar $=$ sour ale; portcullis, Old-French porte colise, also substantive coleïce (coulisse), from the adject. coulis; bankrupt, French banqueroute. A hybrid imitation is knight-errant.

The combination is often to be met with in proper names, as in names of places: Newport; Newcastle; Newlands; Leominster (Leófmynster); Longmeadow; Longwood; Smalridge; Gloucester (Gleávceastre, splendidum castrum); and names of persons: Broadspear; Strongbow; Longespee; Fortescue (strongshield) \&c.

An indirect relation seldom takes place between adjective and substantive. This is the case in merry-making and merrymake (festival), where an objective relation hovers before the mind's-eye. A direct relation is also not to be assumed in self-murder; selfmurderer, Anglosaxon sylfmyr才ra, sëlfcvala and sëlfbana; self-abhorrence; self-applause; self-charity; self-esteem; comp. Anglosaxon sëlflicung, unless self is to be reduced to the meaning of remaining in self (si-liba according to Grimm).

In falling-sickness, according to the Prompt. Parvul. falling down, we must not seek the substantive falling, but the participial form. Comp. fulland-evyl (Halliweli).
c) Compounding of Verb and substantive.

Here two sorts of compounding are to be distinguished.

1) The first sort comprises those words in which the verb, as the determining word, contains an activity for which the object contained in the fundamental word is adapted, designed or determining, whether it practise the activity itself or it is executed by another. Here belong: hangman; neesewort; rattle-snake; pismire; brimstone, Swedish bernsten, Old-English byrnston (Skelton), also brendstone (Halliwell); draw-bridge; tread-mill; bakehouse, Anglosaxon bächûs (yet there is also a substantive bäc); wash-tub; wash-stand, Anglosaxon väschûs, väscärn (there is certainly also the substantive väsc); hvetstone, Anglosaxon hvetstân. Many words which might be referred hither remain doubtful, the determining word being also to be interpreted as a substantive, as: drink-money; work-day; show-bread \&c. English in compounding generally prefers, the abstract substantives in ing: eating-house; burning-glass; wedding-day; writing-book, Anglos. vrîtbôc; writ-ing-school \&c.
2) A second sort of compound substantives arises from the prefixing
of a verb, to which a following substantive commonly stands as its object in a relation of dependency. The verb is perhaps always to be regarded as imperative; the compound frequently denotes persons, but things also. The bias, or the aptnes and destination of a person or thing to anything is expressed of by an imperative sentence in the form of a summons thereto, in which derision is sometimes mingled. Anglosaxon offered no support here; the Romance tongue was rich in forms of this sort. Many Romance compounds have also passed into English, which multiplied similar forms.

Among the names of persons of this sort are also proper names: Brakespear; Drinkwater; Shakestaff; Shakespeare (Shakspere); mumblenews (tale-bearer); lack-brain; lack-love (Shaкsp.); pinch-penny (miser); pickthank; pick-pocket; find-fault (caviller); want-wit; turnkey; telltale; toss-pot (drunkard); spendthrift; smellfeast (parasite); smell-smock („mulierarius" Nomenclator 1585); carry-tale (tale-bearer) (Shakspeare); cut-purse; cut-throat; killcourtesy, a clown (Shakspeare); chaff-wax (officier of the lord chancellor, who fits the wax for sealing writs) \&c. Even Chaucer has letgame (hinderer of pleasure); trede-foule (cock, treader of hens).

Names of things are also often of Romance origin: breakfast, comp. Anglosaxon fastenbryce; breakwater (mole); catchpenny; kerchief, Old-French cuevre-chief; curfew, Old-French cuevre-feu; portmanteau. In pastime, French passe-temps, time may be regarded as a vocative.

Allied to the above mentioned forms are compounds arising from sentences of various sorts, especially imperative sentences, which grow into one whole and become representatives of a notion. To be taken imperatively are: pissabed, French pisse-en-lit, dandelion; runaway, also runagate (the latter whereof also mingles with renegade); slugabed; also turnsole, wherein the preposition is absent, as in the French tournesol, Ital. tornasole; further farewell; holdback; holdfast; chanticleer, Old-French chantecler; gobetween; come-off; go-by = evasion; hangby (a dependent); Dolittle, Standfast as proper names; forget-me-not; kiss-me-quick; kiss-me-at-1he-garden-gate; touch-me-not; thorough-go-nimble, thin bur (Dial. of Crav. 2. 201.), also provincially, a flux. Much more of this sort is in use in the lower layers of society and in dialects. Thus the Old-English poet formed imperative proper names: Sire $S e-$ wel, and Sey-wel, And Here-wel the hende, Sire Werch-well-with-thyn-hand, A wight man of strengthe (Piers Ploughm.); similar are such proper names as: Godlovemilady, Goodbehere, in which the conjunctive conditions an optative sentence. Assertive sentences with the indicative are rare, as in the sportsman's: hunts-up (resveil or morning-song Cotgrave) $=$ the hunt is up; love-lies-bleeding. Also jeofail, the law term for an oversight (the Old-French I err) belongs to this series.

Elliptic manners of expression (without the verb) seldom serve to denote persons or things. Here belongs, for instance, penny- $a$ -
liner, by which is contemptuously designated the literary man who writes for public papers at a penny the line.

## The Compound Adjective.

The compound adjective consists either of two adjectives or of a substantive and an adjective. The compounding of a verb with an adjective is hardly regarded.
a) Compounding of two adjectives.

1) The one adjective may here stand in a direct relation to the other. This is the case if the compound denotes two qualities additionally, the one of which does not appear as determining the other, but as equally entitled or perhaps mixed with it. Here also Romance forms with the connecting vowel o occur: oblong-ovate (Botanical); concavo-concave; concavo-convex; red-short (breaking short when red-hot); whity-brown; bitter-sweet (as a substantive, the name of a plant); anglo-saxon. Examples of this sort are not frequent; for in compounds like anglo-american; anglo-danish; anglonorman the first element, as the more particularly determining, commonly preponderates. Here however may be referred numerals in the additional relation, as thirteen, fourteen \&c., twentytwo \&c.

Far more commonly the first adjective operates as a determining word of the second: manifold, Anglosaxon manegfeald; redhot; red-mad (quite mad [Durham Dial.]) imitations of the preceding; half-red; roman-catholic; full-hot; dead-ripe (completely ripe Halliwelli s. v.); daring-hardy (as else fool-hardy, Old-French fol hardi; fool-bold, wherein fool may likewise be regarded as an adjective), lukewarm, Cymric llug, Cornish lûg, stifling. Here also may be reckoned the adjectives compounded with all (al), although in them the Anglosaxon particle all is primarily to be presumed, but which even in Anglosaxon is interchanged with the adjective eal, omnis, totus, in Anglosaxon: almighty, Anglosaxon älmeahtig; all-eloquent; all-present; all-powerful; all-wise \&c. Comp. omnipotent. Yet from these we must distinguish the cases in which all appears as an object: all-bearing =omniparous; all-making=omnific; to which magnific, vivific, grandific, grandiloquent attach themselves as Romance and Latin forms.

Apart from the compounds of adjectives with ly and some, like lowly; weakly; cleanly; goodly \&c.; longsome; wearisome; wholesome; gladsome \&c.; in dialects even threesome $=$ treble \&c. we find most frequently adjectives compounded with the participles, with which the adjective sometimes receives wholly the character of the adverb: new-made; new-born; long-spun; fresh-blown; full-fed; dearloved; dead-drunk; dead-struck; dear-bought; high-born; high-finished; high-grown; hard-gotten. \&c.; fresh-looking; long-stretching; deep-musing; high-flying; hard-working \&c. Comp. multivagant, altiloquent and other Latinized forms.
2) In an indirect relation stand compound adjectives the second of which is derived from a substantive, which must be originally thought in a direct relation with the first, although the existence
of a compound with the substantive does not follow from it. Compare the Latin tardipes, from tardus pes. In a few cases certainly compound substantives subsist along with derivative adjectives of this sort: even-hand - even-handed; hot-spur - hot-spurred; red-coat - red-coated. Latin forms of this sort passed into French, and are also to be met with in English, even in imitative forms, as magnanimous; multiform ; multinodate; multilocular; longevous; longimanous; longirostral \&c. Numerous English forms give to the derived adjective the form of a participle of the perfect, although this often does not exist in Anglosaxon: Anglosaxon cloenheort (clean-hearted); ânhende (onehanded); gläseneág (glasseyed); yet participial forms also occur: ânêged (luscus) along with âneáge; ânecged (oneedged) along with ânecge \&c. Comp. old-fashioned; open-hearted; nild-spirited; narrow-minded; long-legged; long-fanged; loud-voiced (L. Byron); red-haired; blunt-witted; full-eyed; fullwinged; wide-branched; deep-vaulted; dark-eyed; sure-footed; highminded; hard-fisted; hot-blooded; hot-brained \&c. Numeral adjectives especially are thus compounded: one-eyed; two-handerl; two-seeded; three-edged; three-leafed; three-cornered; four-footed Anglosaxon feóverfête; comp. quadruped; seven-hilled \&c. This is likewise not rare in Anglosaxon: ânhyrned; prîbeddôd; prîfyrhed (trisulcus); prîheáfded; prîhyrned \&c. A few English compounds preserve the Anglosaxon form without the participial form, as barefoot, alongside of barefooted, Anglosaxon bärrfôt. As an imitation of such forms, of the same sound as substantives, may be regarded: Three-footstool Shakspeare); Three-man-beetle (in.); whereas the apparently adjective use of substantives, as in half-blood, is founded upon the license of loose composition in English.
b) Compounding of a substantive and an adjeetive.

1) We may regard a substantive and adjective as standing in a direct relation, when their being placed together rests upon a comparison of the quality expressed by the adjective with a characteristic quality of the object denoted by the substantive. Compare blood-red, that is, red as blood is red, Anglosaxou blôdreád; bloodwarm; blood-hot; armgaunt (Shakspeare); armgret (Chaccer); milk-white, Anglosaxon meolchvît; nut-brown; sea-green; snail-slow (Siakspeare); snow-white, Anglosaxon snâhvît; stone-cold; stonedead; stone-blind; stone-still; key-cold; coal-black; clay-cold; grassgreen, Anglosaxon gräsgrêne; heaven-bright, Anglosaxon heofonbeorht; honey-swete (Chavcer); hell-hated (Siraksp.); arm-shaped; penrilshaped; cone-shaped \&c. This compounding is extended to adjectives in the form of the participle of the perfect, derived from substantives, and in which the comparison touches the object expressed by the substantive lying at their root: oar-footed, that is, having feet like an oar; cock-headed; coal-eyed; li'y-livered = white livered, cowardly (Shaksp.) \&c. With these may be compared remnants of Romance forms like vermiform.

Occasionally the comparison does not go to the characteristic quality of an object generally, but to its constitution, so far as the aforesaid quality belongs to it: maidpale (Shaкsp.), not: pale
like a girl; but: like a pale girl; dog-mad, mad as a mad'dog: dog-weary; dog-sick.

From such compounds are developed those in which the middle links are more remote, so that even the consciousness of an original comparison recedes, and the substantive preceding the adjective is often felt only as a strengthening of the adjective, and is interchanged with others which no longer have any reference to it. Compare sand-blind, halfblind (as if sand glistened before the eyes, hence in the North of England sanded), whence the strengthening in Shakspeare: high-gravel-blind (Merch. of V. 2, 2.); moon-eyed, that is with eyes change like the moon (with the change of the moon) are affected like the moon; span-new (even in Chaucer), that is Anglos. spon = splinter, perhaps with the meaning of nail, hence also compounded with spick = spike, spick-and-span-new, piping hot (Hudibr.), that is, new like a nail just coming from the fire, agreeing with fire-new, new, as if coming from the fire (glowing), for which also brand-new and bran-new (perhaps assimilated to span-new) is used. Hence the combinations: span-firenew; brand-fire-new; bran-span-new; brand-spander-new and the like, in the mouth of the people. Belly-naked (which also formerly stood in Chaucer 9200, where Wright has al aloone body naked) = entirely naked; comp. starke bely-naked . . as naked as my nayle (Acolastus 1540.) with which Fiedler compares mother-naked, seems to go to the nakedness of the child as it comes from the womb. In purblind, poreblind, for which strangely spurdind (Latimer) also occurs, no substantive is to be sought for: pur, pore is naught else than the adjective adverb pure: Me scolde pulte oute bope hys eye \& make hym pur blynd (Rob. of Gloucester II. 376.). Thus we find in the same author purwyt (pure white); pur fersse (pure fresh); pure clene and others. Compare also plat-blind (Halliwell s. v.). Moreover in the provinzial starnaked (Suffolk) star is not substantive; it stands for starknaked, as starkgiddy (Lanc.), starkstaring (Var. Dial.).
2) A substantive and an adjective frequently stand in the indirect relation.
a) The substantive may in several cases be apprehended analogously to a case dependent on the adjective; as, a genitive in those compounded with full (for the most part) and less and in some others, as those with weary, worthy, guilty; life-weary; b ood-worthy; blood-guilty and the like; as a dative in composition with $l y$ and like: deathlike; godlike; snow-like \&c. In Romance compounds a substantive appears not rarely as an accusative before a verbal adjective, as in ignivomous; armigerous; oviparous; mammiferous; morbific; morbifical; pacific; fatiferous; fatidical; carnivorous, and others. In English forms a participle of the present in ing appears with its object preceding it. Comp. earth-shaking; mindfilling; life-giving; love-darting; death-boding; soul-stirring; heartpiercing; heart-rending and mauy more, in which only the collocation of the words departs from the common syntactical combination of the verb with an object.
$\beta$ ) Some substantives compounded with genuine adjectives are
to be explained by means of connecting prepositions. They are to be reduced in part to relations of space, so far as the quality makes its appearance in, on or upon an object, or extends up to an object: bedrid, Old-English bedrede, Anglosaxon bedrida, -ridda, -rëdda, properly a substantive, participially, by a misunderstanding, bedridden; steadfast, Anglosaxon stedfäst (fast in place); Armstrong, as a proper name, Anglosaxon earmstrang (bracchio validus); headstrong, figuratively; heart-sick (sick at heart), Anglosaxon heortseóc; soul-sick; heart-deep (rooted in the heart); brimful, full to the brim; topful, the same, hence figuratively topproud (Shaksp.); breast-deep; breast-high, that is, to the breast; knee-deep; threadbare, that is, bare to the thread. Other references are not frequent, as that of the cause: love-sick, sick from love; sea-sick, sick from the sea. In arme-puissant (Webster) the idea of the cause is likewise approximate. That of an inclination or bias to something lies in the dialectical, particularly Scottish compounding with rife, as: playrife, comp. playful, playsome; wastrife, squandering; toothrife, enjoyable, comp. toothful, palatable; rife is Anglosaxon rîf, frequens, Old-norse rîfr, largus, Lowdutch rîwe, which is also used for "readily resolved, not shy at anything". In watertight, we may suppose the idea of tightness against water. Latin had similar forms, which, scantily native to French, were still more scantily copied; they have not been lost in English: armipotent; armisonous; noctivagous; noctilucous; caprigenous \&c. English is however most rich in compounds of this sort of a substantive and a participle, in which the reference to space, time, connection and causality is expressed, and which poetry particularly multiplies: air-built (in the air); forest-born (in a wild) (Shaksp.); heart-hardened; soul-felt; earth-wandering (over the earth); sea-roving; sea-faring; night-blooming; night-shining; birth-strangled (suffocated in being born) (Sнaкsp.); air-born (of the air); earth-born alongside of terrigenous; ale-fed (with ale); moss-clad; dew-besprinkled; sea-girt; snow-crowned; copper-fastened; angel-peopled;' fool-begged (begged by a fool, foolish); wind-dried; dew-bent; sea-tossed; sea-torn; thunder-blasted; wind-fallen; booklearned; death-doomed (to death) \&c.
c) Compounding of a verb and an adjective.

This sort of compounding, foreign to French, less limited in Germanic tongues, as in the Highdutch compounds with bar, haft, lich \&c., is almost wholly unknown to English. A verbal stem is sometimes found here before the termination som, as in: tiresome; buxom (from beógan, bûgan); in forgetful, and perhaps a few more. Through the sameness in sound of these verbs with substantives the decision is, moreover, sometimes doubtful here, as in toilsome, the dialectical feelless and others.

## 2) The Compounding of the Verb.

a) Compounding of two verbs.

No verb is compounded with another verb in Anglosaxon; Latin offers compounds of verbal stems with facere and fieri, as calefacere
\&c., besides valedicere. French has adopted some such verbs, even imitated them; forms of this sort with the French form in $f y$ (fier) have passed into English: arefy; liquefy; stupefy; calefy.
b) Compounding of a substantive and a verb.

The formation of verbs of a noun and a verb is in general foreign to the older Germanic tongues, most forms which might appear to be such being parasyntheta, therefore verbal forms from an already compound noun. Primitive compounds are especially those with the substantive mis, Anglosaxon miss, mis, mist, which indeed even in Anglosaxon was only employed as a particle in compounding, and in English coincides in form and meaning with the Old-French particle mes, Modern-French més, mé, Latin minus: misurite, Anglosaxon misvrîtan; misteach, Anglosaxon mistæcan; misdo, Anglosaxon misdôn; misthink, comp. Anglosaxon mispyncean; mishear, Anglosaxon mishŷran; misbehave; misbelieve; misgive \&c.; miscounsel, Old-French mesconseiller; misesteem, French mésestimer; misjudge; misgovern \&c. English hardly has any others, resting upon older Germanic tongues, at whose root no visible compound lies; handfast, Anglosaxon handfästan (in manum tradere); handsel, Anglosaxon handsellan (subst. handselen, Bosw., Old-English handsal); ransack, Old-norse ransaka (explorare; subst. ransak, from ranni, domus, but also ran, spolium and saka, arguere, nocere, comp. Highdutch heimsuchen).

English forms are: motheat (to eat as a moth eats a garment); landdamn (to condemn to quit the land); landlock (to encompass by land); ringlead; parlake (a hybrid form from part take); backbite (to censure the absent); in backslide (to fall of) back seems to operate as a particle; bloodlet; browbeat (to depress by severe looks); waylay (to beset by the way); kilndry (to dry in a kiln); caterwaul, comp. Old-English catwralling (to cry as cats in rutting time); keelhale; cleftgraft (to ingraft by inserting the cion in a cleft); hoodwink (to blind by covering the eyes), from Anglosaxon hôd, pileus and vincjan, connivere. Hamstring, is derived from hamstring; spurgall, to gall with the spur, has also a substantive of the same sound alongside of it (comp. Old-norse galli, naevus) and seems a derivative verb, like to gall alongside of the substantive gall. Romance forms, which attached themselves to Latin ones, have likewise been received, partly imitated, particularly those in which the substantive may be taken in the accusative: belligerate (belligerare); edify (aedificare, French édifier); modify; mortify (mortificare, mortem facere); pacify; signify; versify; tergiversate; $=$ duncify; fishify (jocosely); ignify; rapidify; mummify; salify; sanguify \&c. Verbs too, in which the substantive could not answer to an accusative, have been received according to the Romance pattern: manumit (manumittere); crucify (cruci figere); maintain (maintenir $=$ manu tenere) .
c) Compounding of an adjective and a verb.

Of this sort of composition the same was true in Anglosaxon, with the exception of the adjectives ëfen (Engl. even), full, sam, as has been observed of substantives. Of them only fulfill, Angl. fulfyllan, is remaining; besides a few modern forms, as finedraw;
finestill, to distil (Webster); dumfound (to strike dumb); newfangle is derived from the Old-English adjective newfangel, greedy of innovation (comp. Anglosaxon fengel = susceptor); and newmodel reminds us of the subst. model with the adj. new, like as white-wash and $d r y$-nurse are to be derived from the substantives of the same sound. In southsay, which leans upon the Anglosaxon sôđsagol, sôđsprëcande and the like, sooth may be taken either as an adjective or a substantive. Romance forms of this sort after the Latin pattern are mostly compounded with fy: magnify; mollify; falsify; fortify; vivify; ratify; dulcify; also with pronouns: identify; qualify; rarely others, as viiipend. The agglutination of the verb with an adjective after it is peculiar in rouchsafe, in Old-English mostly written distinctly rouchen safe (vouche saf Maundev. p. 148. the king vouches it save [Langtoft 260.], vouche ye hur safe (Ms. in Halliwell from vouchen) that is Old-French vochier, wocher and salf, sauf. vocare salvum. Along therewith was formerly found the hybrid combination: witsafe (Anglosaxon vitan, imputare): That God witsafe to saue them fro dampnation (The Pardoner p. 117.).

How far participles can appear with a noun before them, has been before pointed out. In this respect the language has ruled much more freely, the verbal nature of the participles blending with that of the adjective.

There is a number of apparent 0 - real compounds, in which a misunderstanding or a disfigurement of the fundamental forms prevails. Roundelay, French rondelet, has been occasioned by the Romance virelai; Old-English Synggyng of lewce balettes, rondelettes or virolais (Ms. in Halliwell from virolai); beaf-eater (a yeoman of the guard) must have arisen from the Old-French buffet = buffetier (on account of their being appointed at the buffet); farthingale, the hoop of a frock, rhymes with nightingale, and has been deformed from the Old-French vertugale, vertugadin; furbelow (apparently fur-below), is the Ital. falbala, also farfala, farubala. Peter-see-me, a Malaga wine, is the corrupted Pedro-Ximenes; as zinc wares in Lincolnshire and Nottingham bear the name (tutenag), the corrupted name of the metal tooth-and-egg; sparrow-grass arose from asparagus, in Fletcher: sperage; causeway alongside of causey is an apparent compound instead of the Old-French cauchie, chaucie, ModernFrench chaussee; crayfish and craufish with crab stand under the influence of the Old-French escrevisse, Modern-French écrevisse and the like. Other for ns are puzzling, as balderdash, with which haberdasher, is nearly allied, since in Old-English haberdash likewise denoted a jumble of things: An hole armory of suche haburdashe (Skelton I. 267.). In the North of England the schoolmaster is also called haberdasher. Two analogous forms are tatterdemalion, tatterdemallion (tatter, perhaps = OldFrench maillon = maillot), and slubberdegullion, a filthy fellow (slubber, and gullish, or gully), in which de seems to be the French particle. Pedigree, which some would explain by pes and gradus, others by par degrès, has an older form petygrewe (Palsgrave) which confutes those explanations. Even scabbard, seems a compound; in Old-English it is: scawberk; sckauberk, perhaps Scheiden-berge, compare Old-norse scafi, scalprum, and hauberk, Old-French haubere and haubert, Hals-berge. A greater number of obscure compounds has been incidentally treated of in the Phonetics. Others, in which a play with rhyme, alliteration and alternation of sounds takes place have been discussed at p. 431.

## 3) The compounding of the Verb and of Nouns with Particles.

With this sort of Compounds, prepositions, or particles nearly allied to prepositions, together with a few others, come chiefly under review. There are on the one hand primitively Anglosaxon; on the other, Romance particles. Both have entered into hybrid combinations and agree with one another here and there in form. The Romance compounding has however been preserved to a wider extent than the Germanic, many compounds with Anglosaxon particles having been wholly or partly abandoned.

## a) Compounding with Anglosaxon particles.

We discriminate inseparable particles, occurring only in combination with and before verbs and nouns, and separable ones, which also occur in syntactical combination outside of these. Anglosaxon formed numerous compounds of both sorts; English has gradually abandoned them more and more, yet also employed many particles in various new forms.

1) Inseparable Particles.
$a$, Old-Highdutch ur, ar, er, ir, Gothic $u s$ (ur-r), Angl. $\hat{a}$, and in Angl. not to be always distinguished from the $\hat{a}$ standing for an, on, and $\ddot{f}$, of, and Modern-Highdutch er, has been getting more and more rare in English. It still stands in a few verbs, partly with the meaning of direction upwards, as if up out of something: arise (ârîsan); arouse (ârâsjan); awake (âvâcan); awaken (âvacnjan, yet also onvacnjan); partly of a continuous, also successful activity: affright (âfyrhtan); or of an inchoate activity: alight (âlîhtan). - Many are obsolete, as: abare (âbärjan); aby (âbycgan); ashame (âscamjan), the participle from which, ashamed, is still particularly in use; agrise (âgrîsan, horrere); aslake (âsleacjan). The old tongue had many more, as: abienden (âblendan); awreken (âvrëcan); aferen (âfæran, terrere); aquellen (âcvellan): agulten (âgyltan) \&c. In nouns it is hardly found save in parasyntheta: affright, Anglosaxon âfyrhto.
an, $a$, un, Gothic and, Old-Saxon ant, Modern-Highdutch ent, in Anglosaxon rarely and, often or, answering to the OldHighdutch ant and and, is found, as and, only in the substantive answer (andsvara) and the derivative verb answer (andsvarjan). The and interchanging with on and $\hat{a}$, appears as an Engl. $a$ in: abide (âbîdan), also and-, an-, onbîdan); as well as in the obsolete acknow and acknowledge (oncnâvan, Old-Saxon antkennjan) and in the participle adread (andrædan, ondrædan), Old-English adrenchen (âdrencan, ondrencan). But the Anglosaxon on in the privative sense, belonging here, early passed into the English un. The reason lies in Anglosaxon forms, in which un appears along with on \&c., without any essential distinction: unbind (onbindan, ondbindan, but also unbindan); ungear (ongearvjan); undo (ondôn); unlock (onlûcan and unlûcan); unwind (unvindan), retexere alongside of onvindan, solvere; untie (ontygan and untygëan); unyoke
(ungeócjan). The number of verbs, compounded with this privative $u n$, answering to the Romance dis, is very great; it is also readily annexed to Romance forms: unarm; unparadise; unbias; unbutton; unfix; unsaint; unchain; uncage; unharness; unhumanize \&c.
$u n$, Anglosaxon un, Old-norse $\hat{o}$, Modern-Highdutch un, not only in the sense of the Romance dis but also of in, belonged, even in Anglosaxon, less to verbs than to substantives, and particularly to adjectives. In verbs the forms with $u n$ are hard to distinguish from those with a primitive on; see an. The number of substantives compounded with an Anglosaxon un very much meeted away: untruth (untreóvむ), and is contained in hardly any Germanic imitations: unfriend; unfriendship; unrest; unbelief; unhap; as in parasyntheta: unanswerableness; uncouthness; uncleannes \&c.; also compounded with Romance substantives: unacquaintance; unrepentance; unreserve; unconcern \&c.

But the number of adjectives and particles compounded with un is uncommonly large: uneven (unëfen); unwise (unvîs); unright (unriht); unfair (unfäger); uncouth (uncûす); unclean (unclæne); unearthly; unbearable; unseemly; unhandsome \&c.; unending; unebbing; unabiding; unbeing; unedifying; unaccording; undeclining \&c.; unabetted (unâbêtt =âbêted); unbroken (ungebrocen); unwrinkled; untold; unexhausted; unacted; unlimited; undated \&c. Nouns often alternate between $u n$ and the Romance in (see in); we find a solitary case of this in most remarkably in Anglosaxon: incût, ignorans, incûJlice, ignoranter.
$b e$, Anglosaxon bë, bi i, big, Old-English be, bi, Gothic bi, OldHighdutch pi, in Modern-English in verbs and in the form be, except in the parasyntheton by-name; on the other hand in use sometimes in nouns in the separable form by, answers, as an inseparable prefix, to the Highdutch be. It affords a pretty good number of compound verbs, although many Anglosaxon compounds have been lost. The particle gives essentially, as it seems, especially to the transitive verb, the import of the activity working in the immediate proximity, therefore comprehending and comprising the object and extending beyond it: bemoan (bemênan); belie (beleógan); belay (belecgan); befoul (befŷlan); beweep (bevêpan); bethink (bepencëan); beseech (bistécan); besmear (besmërjan); bespeak (besprëcan); bestride (bestrîdan); bestrew (bestrevan); begird (begyrdan); beget (begëtan); hegnaw (begnagan); behave (behabbau); behold (behealdan) \&c.; bemaze; benumb; bewail; bewilder; bedash \&c. Even Romance verbs receive the particle: bemask; bepinch; bepaint; bepowder; bepurple; betray; besiege \&c. Many verbs of this sort are formed from nouns, although simple derivative verbs often stand alongside of them: bewinter (to make like winter, on the other hand, winter = to pass the winter); bedevil (abuse, on the other hand devil = to make devilish); benight; betroht; beleper (to infect with leprosy); besnuff (to befoul with snuff, not from the verb to snuff); belee (to place on the lee) \&c. On the other hand befriend, bewitch, behoney, bespot, bestain and many more, have simple verbs alongside of them. Expressions like belittle (to make smaller), beguilty (to render guilty) are not naturalized in England.

The privative import of the verb behead (beheáfdjan) also belongs to the simple head, as well as to the Anglosaxon heáfdjan, decollare.

In intransitive verbs the import of the particle recedes more: become (becviman); belong; behappen, although most of the intransitives are also at the same time transitive, as: betide; beseem and others.
be is seldom united with the substantive: belief (leáfa); behest behæs); behalf; behoof (behôf); but the accented by often; by-word (bivord); by-spell (bi-, bigspell) with various later formations in the meaning of the collateral, deviating and private: byinterest; by-end; by-matter; by-name; by-passage; by-path; by-blow; by-speech; by-street; but also by-stander as spectator. In proper names: Bywater; Bytheway; Bythesea \&c. by works as a preposition. Adjectives in be are formed from participial forms: bemused; beloved; befogged; betumbled; betutored; begilt; begored \&c.; whose remaining verbal forms do not occur, although we sometimes find their infinitives cited in dictionaries.
for, Anglosaxon for, answering to the Gothic faur, fair and fra, Old-English for, vor, ver (Rob. of Gloucester), Modern-Highdutch ver, precisely distinguished from fore, yet sometimes confounded with it, belongs especially to verbs and their parasyntheta. A great number of compounds with for has been gradually abandoned. The essential import of the particle, that of forth, away, off, appears in: forbid (forbeódan); forbear (forbëran); fordo (fordôn); forsake (forsacan); forswear (forsverjan); forgive (forgifan); forget (forgëtan); participle forlorn. The older tongue has forfend, fordrive, forsay (forsecgan $=$ forbid) and others. The idea of deviation, as if of a perversion of the activity lies in the Old-English forshape (transform); forthink (repent); that of out beyond and past lies in forego (forgangan, praeterire, distinct from forego, foregangan $=$ to go before), and the old forpass (go by). The idea of going on in doing to the end, of finishing, which goes on to annihilation, has been quite abandoned in Modern-English: OldEnglish forbeten (beat down); forbiten (bite to pieces); forfreten; forwasten; often in participial forms: forwept; fordwined; forpined; fordronken \&c.; also as fore: forespent (Shakspeare); foreshame; foreslow; in which the particle often works only strengtheningly, In forelay, also forlay (to block up the road) the particle fore $=$ before is perhaps to be sought; comp. forestall, Old-English also forstallen (hinder \&c.). Parasynthetic nouns are: forbiddance; forbearance; forbearer; forgiveness; forgetful \&c.

The particle ge, Mod.-Highd. ge, here and there appearing in participles as $y$, has been abandoned: yclad \&c., Old-English also in nouns, as: ywis; ylike \&c.; Modern-English as $e$ in enough. Instead of ylike we find in ModernEnglish alike, as akin (allied by nature) answers to the Anglosaxon gecynne, congruus. To, Anglosaxon tô, Modern-Highdutch zer, has also disappeared in Modern-English. Old English still often used it in the meaning of the Latin dis: tobreken (tôbrè̀can); tobresten (tôbërstan); tocleven (tôcleófan); torenden; todrawen; toswinken; toluggen (tear); toshullen (cut off); tohewen; and in Skelton: toragged and torente I, 43.
2) Separable Particles.
in, Anglosaxon in, with the meaning of the Latin in and intra, has been preserved in a few Anglosaxon verbs and nouns, as in: indrench (indrencan); inland (inland $=$ terra dominica) ; income (compare incviman, intrare); infangthef (infangen pêf); inwit (invit). How far extended the Germanic in, not assimilating its $n$ before other sounds, is to be assumed to be cannot be properly determined, since it blends with Romance forms. Thus in comes before Germanic words: inlock; inbathe; inbreathe; infold; inwall; inweave; insnare; inhold \&c.; inroad; inlet; instep \&c.; yet it yields to Romance forms: enlighten (Anglosaxon onlŷhtan); enfetter; engird; embolden; imbolden; embod!y; imbody; imbrown \&c.
after, Anglosaxon äfter, post, is no longer found in verbs, as in Anglosaxon, but only in a few nouns, in the sense of succession in time: aftermath; afternoon; afterages; afterpiece; afterbirth; aftertaste; afterthought; aftercrop.
on, Anglosaxon on (au), Old-Highdutch ana, Modern-Highdutch an, is frequent in verbs and nouns in Anglosaxon, but only to be met with in English in a few nouns: onset (comp. onsettan, ansettan); onselting; onslaught (comp. onsläg); onstead (single farmhouse) might belong to one; onward. Formerly the verb onset also was found; onbraid (= upbraid, Palsgrave). Onstand is dialectical (North.), a money compensation from the outgoing to the ingoing tenant; onfall. and the like.
off, Anglos. of ( $a f$, , $\ddot{f}$ ), Old-norse $a f$, Modern-Highdutch $a b$, is in use in only a few nouns: offspring (ofspring), suboles; offsel; offal $=$ off-fal, Old-norse affall, rubbish; offscum, Old-norse afskûm (also regarded as an adjective = vile); offscouring (without a corresponding verb), refuse; in off horse (most distant) off is regarded as an adjective. Offset, as a verb, is not the Anglosaxon ofsettan. but a parasyntheton of offset in the meaning of counterreckoning.
over, Anglosaxon ofer, Old-Highdutch ubar, Modern-Highdutch über, is common in Anglosaxon in verb and noun compounds, and is frequently employed in English in composition with Romance stems. It has the sense of over in space, with regard to an activity passing above an object. Verbs: overflow (oferflôvan); overgild (ofergildan); overspread; oversnow; overcloud; overarch; overveil; here belong also overglance and the like; in the meaning of the movement passing over: overclimb (oferclimban); overleap (oferhleápan); overreach = to extend beyond; overfly; overshoot; overship \&c., therefore also of the movement going from above downwards: overset (diverging from ofersettan, supra ponere); overthrow; overturn. Nouns: overleather; overstory; overfall (cataract) \&c.; overbuilt; overgrassed \&c. In regard to time the sense of beyond lies in overlive (oferlibban)=outlive; overdate.

The meaning of overstepping a relative or absolute measure is frequent. Verbs: overpoise; overweigh; overbalance; overlop; overeat (oferëtan); overdrink (oferdrincan); overween (ofervênan); overdo (oferdôn); overdrive (oferdrîfan); overagitate; overrate; overfreight; overjoy; overcharge \&c. Nouns: overlight (immoderately
light); overhaste; overcare; overjoy \&c.; overfull (oferfull); overeager; overlong; overmodest; overneat; overwise; overelegant; overpassionate; overzealous \&c.

To that is attached the meaning of superiority, which may appear as an outstripping, surpassing, and as overpowering. Verbs: overget (yet Anglosaxon ofergëtan, oblivisci); overreach (of horses); overgo = surpass; overmatch; overcome (ofercuman, superare); overawe; overbear; overpower; overrule; overpersuade \&c.

The going over a thing has also the sense of negligence and superficial doing: overlook; overpass; oversee; overslip; as the activity going over a thing may sometimes have the sense of a quicker doing in a succession: overread; overname. Over may also work merely strengtheningly: cverstand (oferstandan, insistere).

The coming over to may further have the idea of suddenness, and even of privateness; thus sometimes in: overtake; overcome; overhear.
Generally speaking, many even of the above words combine several of the meanings above indicated, the understanding whereof is given by the context; comp. overrun, 1. to cover all over, 2. to outrun, 3. to harass by hostile incursions; overstep, 1. to step over, 2. to exceed. Here also belong overpass; oversee; overlook; overlay; overcast; overgrow; overhaul and many more.
out, Anglosaxon âte, $\hat{u} t$, Old-Highdutch $\hat{u} z$, Modern-Highdutch aus, has in compounds, which in Anglosaxon especially prevail as verbal compounds, in general the meaning of proceeding from something internal, when either the quitting of that space or of a point in space, or the further movement to the goal and end may occupy the mind. Therefore the out and away come in part into the foreground in the verbs: outwind; outwres 1; outbud; outpour; outroot \&c.; as also in outraze, outweed \&c., and, in connection therewith, selection: outlook. Nouns: outgoing (ûtgang); outset = beginning; figuratively: outbreak; outburst; outcry; and of concrete objects: outgate; outlet and outcast. With that is connected the idea of outside and abroad, as of removal or exclusion from space, as in the verbs: outbar; outshut; and in nouns: outpost; outwall; outparish; outport \&c.; outlaw (ûtlah); - outborn (foreign); outlandish (ûtlendisc); as also outside, belongs here. Extension and stretching from the point of departure lies in verbs like outspread; outstretch. The out and to the end lies in outwear; outreign; outbreathe (expire).

Alongside thereof the idea of proceeding beyond something or of outbidding, in the sense of over, is frequent: outnumber; outbrave; outbalance; outwit; outwork; outdo; outdrink; outknave; outgo (on the other hand útgangan = exire); outgrow; outjest and many more. Even here we find verbs used in more senses than one.
under, Anglosaxon under, Modern-Highdutch unter, stands before Germanic and Romance stems, and forms the contrary in space to over, as referred to the deeper and lower. Verbs: undermine; underline; underprop; underwrite (undervritan); under-
sign; hence also figuratively underbear (underbëran, supportare); underfong (underfangan); undergo (undergangan, subire); undertake (Old-norse undirtaka, annuere); understand (understandan, intelligere); and so too underset (undersettan, substituere). Nouns: underwood; underbrush; undergrowth; underground; underpetticoat \&c. Adj. undershot.

With that is connected the notion of less, as of a remaining behind under a measure. Verbs: underdo (on the other hand underdôn, supponere); underlet; underrate; nnderprize; underpraise; undervalue; undersell \&c. Nouns: underdose; - undersaturated.

The notion of subordination is frequent, especially in substantives: undersheriff (comp. undergerêfa); under-master; underlabourer; underfellow; under-workman; under-chamberlain \&c. Adj.: underbred (of inferior breeding). In underplot (clandestine scheme) there lies the notion of secrecy, as of what is done in the deep, beneath; in the obsolete undersay, to contradict, there lies the image of denying by speaking. Sometimes even here different senses are annexed to the same compound in different contexts.
up, Anglosaxon up, upp, uppe, Adv. sursum, in altum, ModernHighdutch auf, is not frequently to be met with, and mostly in verbs, as in Anglosaxon. It remains true to its fundamental meaning, in the proper and the figurative sense; but up now commonly comes after its verb. Verbs: uplift; uplead (upled Milton); uprise; upraise; uproot; upbear (upâbëran); upbind; upstay (to support); upswarm; upheave (uphebban) \&c., many are growing obsolete. In uplay, uphoard, there lies the notion of hanging up as of heaping up; on the other hand in upset, to overturn; uproot, uptear and the like, are perhaps the standard. Figuratively: upbraid (upgebrëgdan, exprobrare). In the obsolete uplock $\Rightarrow$ lock up (Shaкsp.), we must think of the drawn up bolt. Nouns are rare: upland (highland); upstart, also a verb; uproar (hrôr, motus); upshot (final issue); upright (upriht); upward \&c.; uphand (lifted by the hand); uphill (difficult).
fore, rarely for, Anglosaxon fora, more frequently fore, sometimes for, ante, antea; Modern-Highdutch vor, is compounded with Germanic and Romance stems.

In verbs it denotes less commonly the before in space: forerun; foreflow; forego sometimes for go before; often in Nouns: fore-end; fore-mast; foreland; forelock; fore-part; forehead (foreheáfod); fore-horse \&c. - forward (foreveard). Herewith is combined the notion of priority, as in foreman; forerank; forehand $=$ chief part (Shaкsp.) and the like.

By far more common, especially in verbs, is the meaning of before and previousness in time: forebode (forebodjan); foretoken (foretâcenjan); foresay (foresecgan); foresee (foreseón); forespeak (foresprëcan); forearm; forelook; foredoom; foreshadow; foreadmonish; fore-appoint; fore-determine \&c.; in nouns: forenoon; forefather; foresight; foreknowledge; fore-belief \&c.; frequently in participial adjectives without a verb: forepast; forequoted; forecited \&c. Parasyntheta are also numerous.

The doing before appears also as anticipation in the man-
ner of checking or excluding; forestall (foresteallan); foreclose; forelay.
forth, Anglosaxan forf, inde, frequent in Anglosaxon in the compounding of verbs and nouns, is found in a few verbal adjectives: forth-coming (forđcuman); forth-issuing; and in forthgoing, also used substantively. The adverb forthright (forđrihte) likewise occurs as a substantive (straight path, Shakspeare). Old-English had more compounds still: forthwerpe; forthhelde; forthword (bargain); also with the comparative fotherfete (Ritson).
with, Anglosaxon vid, has been preserved in a few verbs and their parasyntheta only, and only with the meaning against: withstand (vidstaudan, resistere); which may be apprehended as back in withdraw; withhold. Old-English also has withsay (viđsecgan); withsitten; withscapen \&c.
wither, Anglosaxon vider, an adverbial comparative form formed from vid, Old-Highdutch: widar, Modern-Highdutch wider, occurred only compounded with verbs and nouns. Modern-English still has substantives, as the law term withernam, reprisal (viđernâm); witherband; Old-English witherwin (viđer vine, inimicus); dialectical: witherwise (otherwise); witherguess, the same \&c.
thorough, rare in composition through, Anglosaxon purh, puruh, Modern-Highdutch durch, to be met with in Anglosaxon in verbs and nouns, is now found only in a few nouns, in the meaning of movement through, as well as of being permeated, of being filled through and through or completely: thoroughfare (purhfaru); thorough-base; - thorough-wax; thorough-wort; - thoroughbred; thorough-paced; thorough-lighted; thorough-sped; thoroughgoing.
gain, Anglosaxon gägn, geán \&ce., is rare in Anglosaxon in the form geán, frequent on the contrary in compounds, in engeán \&c. In English a few, mostly obsolete verbs and parasyntheta are to be met with: gainsay; gainstand (ongeánstandan); gainstrive: gainsayer; gainsaying.

The particle well too, rarely wel, Anglosaxon vëla, vël, ModernHighdutch wohl, rarely occurred in Anglosaxon in verbal compounds, as veldôn, rarely too in substantives, as veldoed; but frequently in adjectives, particularly participles used adjectively. In English accordingly the number of the last named compounds is preponderant; the fundamental words are generally of verbal nature, when well operates adverbially: well-wish; welfare; well-being; welldoing (comp. veldôn); well-meaner; well-willer; well-doer; - wellmeant; well-born (vel boren); well-built; well-bred; well-beloved; well-set; well-educated; well-established; well-anchored; well-complexioned and many more. - Welcome (vilcumjan, from Anglosaxon villan, ville, velle, voluntas) does not belong here.

The particle wan, Anglosaxon van, von, properly deficiens, even in Anglosaxon occurring only in compounds, works privatively, as un or dis. It is now hardly to be met with save in the obsolete wanhope (despair, want of hope); Old-English wantrust ; still frequently in Scottish dialects, partly too in the North of England, as: wanchancy (unlucky) and others.

Prepositions compounded in Anglosaxon with verbs often appear in English, and in gradually increasing extent, as adverbial determinations, or working as prepositions, separated from and after them: inbringan, to bring in; incuman, to come in; äfterfylgjan, to follow after; ongeanbringan, to bring again; ofadrîfan, to drive off; utcuman, to come out; oferbringan, to bring ofer; underbeon, to be under; fordâsendan, to send forth; purhbrëcan, to break through \&c. But such particles, especially prepositional ones, are sometimes found invertedly combined into one word with the preceding, particularly a verbal constituent, as in hang-by; hanger-on; luck-up (a prison): even with participial forms, presupposing a verb with a separate particle: This seal $d$-up counsel (Sharspeare Love's L. L. 3, 1.). Until the long'd-for w!nters come (Butler); a combination explicable by the syntactical relation and by the accenting of the members syntactially united.

## b) Compounding with Romance Particles.

Romance particles, that is, those originally Latin which have passed through the French, have received a great extension in English, where they indeed chiefly go along with Latin, yet are frequently put to Anglosaxon stems. They are never, like Germanic particles, detached and placed adverbially after a word. Many compounds of particles, moreover, proceed immediately from or attach themselves again more closely to the Latin. Many have occasioned very numerous imitations. Particles which, like avec, dans, have not in French been the means of forming compounds, also remain foreign to English. We consider firstly the inseparable particles of the Latin tongue, then its separable ones, and lastly, a few adverbial ones, which have proceeded from Latin adverbs and nouns, and even in French have received the character of particles, so far as regards composition.

1) Inseparable Particles.

Here belong the privative in, $a m b$, the adverb $n \bar{e}$ (not) occurring in the finished Latin tongue in compounds only, as well as $r e$, se and dis.
in, Latin: French: the same, equal to the Greek $\alpha v$ as a privative particle, and coinciding notionally with un, whose place it takes without any fixed limit (comp. incertain, uncertain; incertitude, uncertainty; inapt, unapt; inhabile, unable; inconcealable, unconcealed $\& c$. ); assimilates, like the preposition $i n$, the $n$ to a following $m$, $l, r$, and before $p$ and $b$ passes into $m$. It is originally added to nouns (also to participles used as adjectives). Verbs with a privative in were in Latin only parasyntheta, which were much augmented in French, even newly formed substantives were in French, and are mostly in English, although with many exceptions, parasyntheta. Newly formed adjectives are numerous. Substantives: insipience; inscience; inexperience; impiely; illiberality; - inexertion; inharmony (comp. inharmonic); intranquillity; irremoval; inunderstanding. Adjectives: immemorial; impolite; illegal; incautious; inextinguible; inopulent; invalitudinary; inconcluding; indiscussed; infragrant \&c. Along with parasynthetic verbs, as: inquiet; im-
mortalize; illegalize; individuate (Latin individuus): incapacitate (incapacious), but is also found, for instance inexist.
$a m b, a m, a n$, properly $a m b i$ (compare Greek $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \phi^{\prime}$ ), around, about, is found, as in French, rarely. It is contained in the verb amputate, and in nouns, as ambition; ambiguity; ambages; ambustion; ambulance; - ambiguous; ambulant; ancipital \&c., all of which take root in Latin.
$n e$, Latin $n \bar{e}$, not, is very rarely contained in Latin words: nescience (nescientia); neuter, neutral, French neutre, -al; Latin neuter; nefarious (nefarius); nefandous; Parasyntheta: neutrality; nefariousness.
re (red before vowels), is French re (red), Latin re, red, even redi, from which red appeared especially before vowels. Red stands, for instance, in English in redintegrate; redeem; redound (French redonder); redargue; redolent; yet reintegrate is also found, comp. French réintégrer. French often cast out the vowel $e$ before en (in), $e(e x)$ and $a(a d)$ in modern forms; English reimported the $e$ (reenter, French rentrer; reattach, French rattacher \&c.). The principal meaning of the particle is back, whence proceeds the meaning again (reluctance, resist), with which is connected that of repetition. It often appears only as a strengthener, as in rejoice; recommand; repute; receive; in which at least the idea back no longer appears. Instances of received compounds are uncommonly numerous and need no quoting. Modern forms attach themselves particularly to the meaning again, and are added not merely to Romance stems, as in reimplant; reimprint; reinvest; reappoint; reobtain; reurge; recelebrate; recaption; recapture \&c.; but also to Germanic ones: reopen; remind; remake; renew; relight; rebellow; rebuild; rebreathe; refind; redraw; regather; rehear; rehearse; rekindle; requicken \&c.
se, French sé, Latin se, also sed (in seditio), so (in socors), is rare even in French, and in English to be met with only in a few words originally Latin. The fundamental meaning is that of removal and severance (without, particularly, aside). Verbs: select (seligere); separate; seduce; severn; secede; segregate; sefoîn (Webster, Scottish), whence nouns, especially parasyntheta: sedition; seduction; sejunction; secret \&c.; seducible; seditious; secure \&c.
dis, di, with the collateral form de, Old-French des, ModernFrench dis, di, dés, dé, Latin dı̌s, di, before $f$ with an assimilated $s=d i f$, denotes division and distribution; the idea of severance also passes into that of interruption. Along with that arises the privative or negative meaning of the negation of the notion of the fundamental word. English chiefly recurs to the Latin form, and uses dis before vowels and consonants. Before $s$ with a consonant after it, $s$ is cast out, as in Latin, (distinguish, dis-stinguere); dispirit. Modern formations are numerous, especially with the privative sense of dis, with which the Modern-Highdutch ent may be compared. The compounding with dis is favoured in verbs and nouns: dispute; distend; dissolve; discern; differ; diffuse; - dispensation; disquisition; discourse; difficulty; - distant; dissonant; discrepant; discreet; diffluent; - disarm, Old-French des-
armer; disappoint, Modern-French désappointer; disobey, ModernFrench désobéir; disdain, Old-French desdaigner; disjoin, OldFrench desjoindre; disconft, Old-French desconfire; disguise, OldFrench desguiser; - disease, Old-French desaise; distress, OldFrench destresse (from the Latin districtus); dishonest, Old-French deshoneste.

The form di is rare in English, as in French: diminish; dilapidate; dilacerate; dilate; divert; diverge; divest; divide; divulge; dijudicate; digest; digress; - dimension; diminution; dimission; divorce; divulsion; - direct; divers; diluent; dilute.

The particle in the form de (Modern-French dé) is only to be distinguished by collation with the fundamental ancient forms from $d e=$ Latin $d e$. Frequently de and dis run alongside of each other: deoxydate along with disox.; denaturalize along with disn.; deploy along with display, Old-French desploier; decolor along with discolor; decompose along with disc.; devest along with div.; defame, Latin diffamare; defy, Old-French desfier; depart, Old-French despartir, distinct, however from dispart; detach, French détacher, Ital. distaccare; - delay, French délai, Latin dilatum; defeat from Old-French desfaire, deffaire; deluge, French déluge, Latin diluvium.
des also is found in descant, Old-French deschans, compare Me-dieval-Latin verb and subst. discantare; discantus.

Modern forms in dis, ent, are numerous, not merely before Romance words, as: disincline; disinherit; disable; dispauper; dissatisfy; disconnect; - disimprovement; dispathy; dispassion; discongruity; discourtesy; - disingenuous; disinhabited; disparadized \&c.; but also before Germanic ones: disembody; disembosom; disown; dislimb; dislike; disroot; dishowel; disburden; disbelieve; dishearten; dishorn; disgospel; - diskindness; - disedged.

## 2) Separable Prepositional Particles.

in (im, il, ir) and en, em, Latin in \&c., French en, em, has come into English partly in the Latin, also in assimilated forms, but which are likewise not foreign to the French, partly in the French transformations. Frequently the Latin and the French form run alongside of each other: intitle, entitle; inthrone, enthrone; injoin, enjoin; incage, encage; ingender, engender \&c.; imbark, embark; impeach, empeach \&c. Frequently also the French form has given way to the Latin. In compounds in, en answers in meaning to the Latin preposition in, especially with a reference to movement, as in, on, upon, particularly also to the Modern-Highdutch ein, denoting the direction to the internal and the tendency to include. The Latin forms of the particle stand in words like: immit; immerge; inescate; inaugurate; innovate; infatuate; invade; invoke; incarcerate; illustrate; irritate; - invasion; instinct; infeudation (inféodation) impulse; inescation; - innate; infernal; incavated; ingenuous \&c.; and in those to which French had given its forms, although the latter are often used alongside of the former: inebriate; inter; incloister ; inquire \&c. Yet French forms have also remained unaltered: endure; engage; enhaunce; embellish, embrace \&c.; envoy; ensign.

Imitated forms are numerous, both with Latin and French forms of the particle, yet those with in are not always to be distinguished from compounds with the Anglosaxon in. Comp. moreover: immask; impalsy; impawn; impoverish \&c.; immailed \&c.; - enact; enambush; enlarge; enravish; enfeeble; enfranchise; endanger; enseal; empurple; empark; embody; embroider; - enarmed \&c. Occasionally the assimilation before $m$ is omitted: enmarble; enmew along with emmew.
inter, enter, French inter, entre, Latin inter, appears in English in both these forms, yet rarely in the French enter. The particle has the meaning of between, and refers to what severs two objects, comes into their midst, also interrupts and works negatively: interpose; interpoint; intercede; interject; interclude; intercept; - interval (properly a space between two poles); interact (French entr'acte); interclude; - intermundane; interosseous. This idea also lies originally in interdict; as well as in interpret (to speak as an intervening interpreter); so in interlope; intercourse. Connection appears also in the meaning among one another: intermix; interlace (entrelacer); interjoin; enterlain (entretenir). Modern forms, which are chiefly of the latter sort, are not rare, even in union with Germanic stems: interfere; interanimate; interchain; interchange; intermarry; - interspace; interchapter; - intercellular; international; - interlink; interleave; interweave; intertalk; intertwist; 一interleaf; interknowledge; 一interwreathed \&c.
intro, French: Latin: the same, is very rare in French, in English in a few forms borrowed from the Latin. The meaning of the particle is: into, of movement into the inside of an object: intromit; introduce; introspect; with parasynthetic nouns: introduction; introgression (introgredior); introit (introitus). We also find introvert; - introreception; introsusception; - introflexed, as modern forms.
ex, ef before $f$, e, es, Old-French ex, commonly es, Modern-French ex, é, es before s, occurs most rarely in English in the form es. The particle denotes essentially the movement out from the inside, also away and off from it, which may also go upwards (extoll); when the image of extension from the point of departure (expand, extend) as well as of carrying out to the end, of finishing, may be the standard (comp. exsiccate and effect, elaborate). A going beyond a measure is likewise not remote; as well as a departure from the essence of an object (exceed, exorbitant, effeminate). Many Latin and French forms have been imported, when the French es, é often returns to ex, comp. extend, Old-French estendre; extinguish, Old-French esteindre; exchange, Modern-French échanger. The great majority of compounds comprehends imported words: exempt; exalt; exonerate; expatriate; exhale; examen; exanimous; exterior; (after $x$ an initial $s$ is wont to be cast out: expect; extil; exiccate along with exsiccate; exude along with exsudation \&c.); - effect; efface; - emaciate; elect; erase; evade; edict; elocution; elegant; - essay, Old-French essaier, asaier, as it were, exagiare; escape, Old-French eschaper, as it were excappare; estreat, comp. Old-French estraire; escheat, Old-French subst. eschet. Modern forms are rare: exauthorize; exculpate; effran-
chise (to invest with franchise); eradiate; eglomerate; eglandulous; more frequently with a privative ex, as in the Latin exmagister, exdecurio and the like; ex-mayor; ex-presidenl; ex-prefect; ex-representative; ex-dictator; ex-secretary \&c.; also adjectively: ex-official.
exira, French: Latin: the same, outside of (of the not included) was to be met with in Latin only in compound nouns; French formed a few verbs with extra. English adopts a few such verbs: extravagate; extravasate. With nouns, like extraordinary; extramundane; extravagant \&c. are associated modern forms: extramission ; extra-pay; extra-work \&c.; extra-regular ; extra-parochial; extrageneous; extravenate and a few more.
$a, a b, a b s$, French Latin the same, exists in French in traditional forms, as in English also. The prefix opposed to ad has mostly the meaning of going from a point, in the sense of the Highdutch ab, ent, weg. Nouns are mostly parasyntheta: avert; avolate (avolare), along with abvolate (comp. Latin abvoco, abverto and the like); abalienate; abridge (abréger) along with abbreviate; absolve; absterge; abstain; - abolition; abdication \&c.; abnormous; absonous; absent together with many substantives derived from adjectives. The forms advance, advantage are erroneous formations from the Old-French avancer, avantage, from avant $=a b$ ante.
$a d, a$, French Latin the same, remained in Latin before vowels and $h, d, v$, mostly also before $m, a d$, but cast out the $d$ before double consonants ( $s p, s t, s c, g n$ ), and assimilated to the consonants $n, l, r, p, f, t, s, c, q, g$, although not necessarily. In French the rejection of the $d$ before consonants and in Old-French also before vowels was usual, without any fixed principle. In English the proceeding with regard to $d$ is likewise without consistency, yet less than in French, with a more frequent return to the Old-Latin usage. French has many imitated forms, especially in factitive verbs, which English adopts, without attempting considerable new formations. The fundamental meaning of the particle is that of direction and striving, as well as of motion and reaching to an object or into its immediate neighbourhood: adapt; adore; adorn (Old-French aorner, adornare); addict; adhere; adjoin; admire; arraign, Old-French araisnier from raison; appear, Old-French aparoir; approve; affirm; altain; assail (assaillir, assilire); assuage, Old-French assoager, as if assuaviare; accept ; acquaint (accointer, as if accognitare); aggrieve, Old-French agrever; asperge; astrict \&c.; adhortation; advent (French avent, adventus); arrai, Old-French arroi, arrei, arrai from roi $=$ ordre, from Anglosaxon râd, ræde, promptus; appetite \&c.; - aduncous; adjacent; apparent; affable \&c. Imitated forms are seldom found; comp. addoom=adjudje; allure, French leurrer; affreight (to hire a ship for freight). Compounds with a remain doubtful, on account of the Anglosaxon $\hat{a}$, as: amaze; amate (accompany) and the like.
ante, anti, French: Latin: the same, with the meaning of before in time, in space and in rank, is rare in French in traditional verbs, and is hardly imitated, but is to be found on the other hand in a few adopted and imitated nouns (also with the form anti).

In English there exist a few Latin and French compounds, and a few nouns have been imitated: antepone (anteponere); antecede (antecedere); antedate, French antidater; anticipate (anticipare); - antiloquy (anteloquium); antecessor; antechamber, French antichambre; - antelucan; antemeridian \&c. Imitations: antechapel; antiport; antetemple; anteroom; antenuptial \&c.
$o b$, French: Latin: the same, with the assimilations of the $b$ before $p, f, c$ (in omil, Latin omittere, $b$ has been cast out before $m$ ) passed into French without occasioning imitation, likewise into English. $O b$ denotes the direction and motion towards an object, therefore also against something, then, generally, extension over something (obversari, offuscare). The strengthening meaning of ob in obserare, as in obsecrare, returns to the sensuous image of influence away and over. Verbs: obviate; observe; obsecrate; oppose; offend; occur; occupy. Nouns: obedience and obeisance; opponent; office; occasion; - oblivious; oblong; obscure; opposite; occult. In obovate, French obové, there lies the idea of an opposite direction, inversely ovate. - Occasionally the English has abolished the assimilation: obfuscate along with offuscate; obfirm; obfirmate.
ulira, French ultra, outre, Latin ultra, beyond, in Latin only in ultramundanus, in French in a few words, as ultra and outre, stands in the English ultramontane; ultramundane; ultramarine (adj. and subst.).
per, par, French per, par, Latin per. French used per and par in traditional words, par, on the other hand, commonly in imitations. The English has adopted a few compounds with par, $\cdot$ and transformed par in part into per. The assimilated particle pel still occurs in pelluced (pellucidus). The language hardly knows imitations. The particle is used of going through in space, as well as of diffusion through space (also through and through). therefore further of completed activity. Verbs: perish; peragrate; permit; permeate; perpend; perfume (parfumer); pervert; pertain; persist; persuade; perjure (parjurer); pardon; parboil (parbouillir whether =part-b?); Nouns: pererration; peroration; perfidy; - peracute; perennial; perpetual; perfect; pervious; pervicacious (pervicax). Modern forms: peruse (per uti?), Old-English $=$ examine, survey; parbreak $=$ to vomit (Skelton).
post, French Latin the same, after, with reference to time and rank, an infrequent prefix in Latin, more rare in French, is not much in use even in English, yet at the same time not without a few modern forms. Verbs: postpone; post-date, French postdater. Nouns: postliming (postliminium); postil, Medieval-Latin postilla; postscript, French postscriptum; pos/scenium, Latin the same; postfact, subst. and adj.; posipositive, French postpositif; posthumous. Modern forms: post-fix; - post-entry; post-existence; post-obit; postfine; post-disseizin; post-disseizor; - postnate; post-nuptial; postremole; postdiluvial (-ian).
pre, French pré, Latin prae, has in compounds the meaning of before in space (present, pretend, precipilate), but more frequently that of the before in time (predetermine, preoccupy, as preclude,
prevent and preminent) with which is connected the idea of precedence (precede, prefer, preeminent) and superordination as a previous determination (prescribe, precept). French has adopted a great number of Latin compounds in prae, and imitated many; English has obtained them from both tongues and imitated not a few, especially with a reference to the before in time, as: preinstruct; preengage; preelect; prexamine; ${ }^{\text {p }}$ preadmonish; preappoint; preobıain; prepossess; pretypify; preconceive; - preintimation; preacquaintance; preaudience; predelineation; precontract; - preremote; preconsolidated \&c. pro is also prefixed to Germanic stems: prewarn; preknowledge; predoomed.
preter, French prêter, Latin praeter, was little employed in compounding in Latin, occurs rarely in words preserved in French, and in English is also of little import. The prefix denotes past, with reference to space and to time, with which the idea of going beyond a measure is associated: pretermit; preterit; (preterition, preteritive), preterlapsed (praeterlapsus). Nevertheless there are a few modern forms: preterimperfect; preterperfect; preterpluperfect; preterlegal; preternatural.
pro, pour, pur, por, French pro, pour, por, Old-French por, pour, pur, Latin prō, in compounds occasionally prŏ (prod to take away the hiatus). As in French compounds with pro are the most numerous, so also in English. Imitations were in general not frequent, English has hardly any to shew. The prefix denotes essentially the direction forwards, before, forth: propel; progress, proceed; promote; prominent. Thus in profane the before is contained (being before the temple, therefore not in it, unholy), as in prohibit the forth (to keep removed). With that is connected the meaning of forth from: proffer; procreate; produce; provoke; wherewith is connected the idea of bringing forth to sensuous perception in general, or of making notorious and of publication: pronounce; proclaim; profess; protest; also proscribe. Forwards appears referred to time, as if out into the future, in provide, protract; and in prorogue (yet perhaps properly to ask previously) and the like. The meaning of representation lies in proconsul, that of relation in proportion. - The French forms pour, pur, por rarely appear, but are sometimes interchanged: poursuivant and pursuivant; pourpresture, Medieval-Latin proprestura, from the OldFrench porprendre, purprendre, also parprendre, whence porprise; pourparty, purparty, Medieval-Latin purpartia, propartia and perpars; purloin, Old-French purloignier; purpose, Old-French purposer; purvey, Old-French porvoir; pursue, Old-French porsevre, parsevre; purchase, Old-French purchacier; purfle and subst. purfile, Old-French porfiler, parfiler; purview, comp. proviso; purprise, Old-French purpris, and a few parasyntheta. As the Old-French pur interchanges with par, so in English purlenance has run alongside of appartenance. Por stands in portray, Old-French portraire, whence portrayal and portrait, portraiture come.
trans, tra, tres, French trans, tra, tré, Old-French tres, Latin trans, tra, was transferred in old forms from the Latin into French, and in both served to make new forms. English, except in tres-
pass, Old-French trespasser c. der. always has the Latin forms and mostly trans. With an $s$ after it the $s$ of trans is commonly cast out, even Latin fluctuates between transsillio, transscendo and transilio, transcendo \&c. The fundamental idea with this particle is that of motion or position out over an object, beyond it, as in: tranate, transnate; transmit, transport, transcend, transgress; transition; transit; tramontane \&c.; transatlantic ; transmarine; transpadane; as past in transient; transitory; which may also appear as motion through an object: transfix; transcolate; transpire; transude; - transparent; translucent \&c. Sometimes it imports the transfer from one place to another: transplant; transfuse; transcribe; transcript \&c. With that is connected the idea of transformation or transmutation: transfigure; transform; transmute; transubstantiate; travesty \&c. In transact lies the idea of completion; traduce, slandering \&c., is properly to draw through, to expose to scorn, with obliteration of the figure even in Latin. Modern forms are: transanimate; transplace; transship and tranship; transshape; transfreight; translocation and the like.
$d e$, Modern-French de and dé, Latin de, has been preserved in many Latin forms in French and English. The particle has originally the meaning of removal in space: off, a way, forth, which is readily transferred to other predicaments, as it especially passes over into the idea of deviation and of need. The regard to progression and the movement to the end gives the idea of finishing, when the particle may frequently appear as a strengthening of the expression. Examples are numerous in which the French compounds with dé are to be distinguished from the compounds with the Latin dis only by a comparison of the fundamental forms. Verbs: deaurate; demean, French démener; demur, OldFrench demorer; deny (denegare); delight, Old-French deleiter; derive; depaint; deflagrate; detrone, French détroner, Ital. detronizzare; decipher, French déchiffrer, Ital. diciferare; degrade \&c. Nouns: dedition; desuetude; deceit; - derelict; devious; devout; desultory \&c. Imitations, as: deprive; depauperate; debase; deface; deforce; defoul; devoid \&c., are not frequent; yet we may reckon here any coinciding with the French dés, as: deobstruct (désobstruer); deoxydate; deoxydize (désoxyder); decarbonate; decarbonize \&c. Compare decorticate, Latin decorticare.
sine, Latin the same, French sans, without, stands in English in sinecure, sinecurism, -ist; the French form perhaps in sansculottism. See prepositions p. 410.
sub, sus, under, are developed from the Latin sub and subtus; the $b$ in sub is commonly assimilated before $m, p, f, c, g$, sometimes also before $r$. In French sub with its assimilations has been in part preserved, therewith stands the Latin sus, French sous, sou, arising from subtus, Old-French sos, soz, suz, sous, which however operates quite like sub. In meaning it is nearly allied to the Anglosaxon under. It is chiefly referred to the deeper and lower in space, both in the proper and in the figurative sense: submerge; subscribe; suffumigate; suppurate; support; suffer; subside; subsist; suppress; subvert; submit; succomb; subdue, Old-French sosduire,
souduire, subducere; supplant; - suburb; snbhastation; - subjacent; subcelestial \&c.; whence the notion of subordination, as in subserve; subordinate; subdivide; sub-prior, French sous-prieur; subdean, French sous-doyen; subalterne readily results. The idea of a movement immediately behind, after and to an object, such as the Latin preposition sub affords, makes its appearance in compounds in: succeed; subjoin; suffix; sufflate; succor; subsequent \&c. The notion of a completing representation lies in surrogate; supply; suffixe and others. The meaning of lessening, as in subtract; subduce; subduct, rests upon the idea of taking away beneath. That of secrecy is connected with that of space: suborn; surreption; it has been lost in summon (submonere), sub gives to adjectives a diminutive meaning: subacid; subfusc, Latin suffuscus. The forms cited have all come down; rarely those in sus: suspire; suspend; suspect; sustain; suspicion; suspension; sustentation; susception; susceptible, and other parasyntheta.

In modern forms verbs seldom appear, substantives often, in which sub has the meaning of subordination: sublet $=$ to underlet; subdiversify; - subinfeudation (Medieval-Latin subfeodare); subpurchaser; subtutor; sub-brigadier; sub-committee \&c.; also subworker; subkingdom. Adjectives are most numerous, partly with the meaning of under in space; subaerial; subapennine; subcaudal; subdented (indented beneath), but commonly with a diminutive meaning: subastringent; subtepid; subsaline (comp. subsalsus); subcrystalline; subglobose \&c.
subter, under, beneath, in Latin sometimes used in compounding, was preserved in French only in subterfuge; in English in subterfuge, Latin subterfugium, and in subterfluent; subterfluous, from the Latin subterfluere.
super, rarely sur, was not rare in Latin compounds. French seldom preserved the form super; it was changed into sur, Old-French sor, sur, sour, and appeared in this shape even in modern forms. Compounds with super and sur have been adopted in English, modern forms have arisen, particularly with super. Both particles denote above, upwards over and beyond, the latter also in regard to time, as well as measure, and also assume the meaning of superordination in the ethical sense. English often restores super instead of sur in traditional words.
Adopted compounds with super are, for example: superpose: superstruct; supervene, rarely survene, French survenir; supervive along with survive French survivre; superexalt; superabound; superinspect; supersede (French superséder and surseoir) \&c.; - superstition; superabundance \&c.; supermundane; supernatural, French surnaturel; superfluous; supereminent, French suréminent; supercilious \&c. The French form sur stands in: surmount; surmise, comp. surmit Halliwell s. v., Old-French surmettre, subst. surmise; surpass; survey, Old-French sorvoir; obsolete survise, surview, also supervise; surfeit, Old-French sorfait = excés; surcharge; - surprise; surplice, Medieval-Latin superpellicium; surface; surcoat, Old-French surcot, sorcot; surquedry, Old-French surcuidance; sursolid.

Imitations with super, mostly with the meaning of going out
beyond a measure, or an excess, are the verbs: superreward; superpraise; superstrain, occasionally for overstrain; and nouns, like: superimpregnation; superexcrescence; superoxyd; superfecundity and the like; superessential; superangelic; supercelestial; supertragical; supersubtle $=$ over-subtle and the like. A few verbs even have been freshly compounded with sur: surname, perhaps with regard to the Old-French surnom, sornom; surrebut; surrejoin c. der.; surrebutter, surrejoinder (two law terms). The forms surcease, V. and subst.; surrender, V . and subst.; surround cannot be properly reduced to the particle sub. Comp. Old-French surrender. surcingle also belongs here.
supra, a bove, over, was rare in compounds in Latin; in French it occurs a few times as soubre, subre. English has a few modern forms: supranaturalism; supralapsarian; - supraorbital; supramundane; supravulgar; suprafoliaceous; supradecomposed and the like.
circum, circu (in circuit c. der.), French circom, circon, Latin circum, around, has been preserved in English in a series of compounds, and is here and there employed in modern forms. Verbs: circumambulate; circumnavigate; circumvent; circumvest; circumvolve; circumfer; circumflect; circumduct; circumscribe \&c. with parasyntheta. Nouns: circumition alongwith circuit; circumligation, Latin -ligare; circumlocution; circumrasion; circumrotation, Latin -rotare; circumposition \&c. - circumambient; circuinforaneous; circumfluous; circumspect; circumjacent; circumpılar, French circumpolaire \&c. Modern forms are: circumgyrate; circumundulate; circummured; circumterraneous.
com, con, co, French the same, Qld-French com, cum, con, cun \&c., Latin com, con, co, before $l, r$ with assimilated consonants, has in English occasionally the form coun in words borrowed from the French. The meaning of the particle is always that of communion or cooperation; with, together with, but which is weakened even in Latin. Latin and French compounds with com \&c. have been introduced in numbers. Verbs: command; comprehend; combine; comfort, Old-French comforter and conforter; confess; concern; collect; correct; counsel; cooperate; coestablish, Latin constabilire \&c. Nouns: complex; concitizen, French concitoyen, comp. concivis; concent; colleague (collega); correption; counsel; countenance; coadjutor; covenant, Old-French covenant; coheir (cohaeres); - compliant; concave; collateral; corrodent; coeternal (coaeternus Eccl.); coeval; coessential, French coessentiel, and many more.

Modern forms are to be found in verbs and nouns, yet only in those to which the meaning of communion or cooperation decidedly belongs. Verbs: concoagulate (with a reduplication of the particle, in the meaning $=$ to congeal one thing with another); comprint; coenjoy; coextend; coannex; coassume; coafforest; and some little used, among them congreet. Nouns, especially substantives compounded with names of persons are not rare: coinhabitant; coexecuter; corival, also corrival; cotenant; cojuror; co-sufferer and the like; also with Germanic stems: coelder; co-worker; further abstract substantives: coinheritance; coelection; coefficacy; even coun-
derstanding $=$ mutual understanding \&c. Adjectives: connatural; collingual; coextensif; conutritious; cosentient and the like.
contra, counter, contro, French contre, rarely contra (contradiction) and contro (controverse), Old-French contre, cuntre, Latin contra, contro. In Latin these prefixes were in general rare. Nouns, except parasyntheta, were unknown to it. French had from of old new verbal forms and nouns, rarely adjectives. English has adopted Latin and French compounds, and therewith attempted a few modern formations. The meaning of the prefix as of over-against in space is perhaps found in (counterbalance, counterpoise); commonly that of striving against and of hostile opposition lies at the root.

The compounds with contra, contro are the more rare: contrapose; contravene; contradict; contrast (French contra-ster, that is stare) c. der.; contramure, French contre-mur; contravallation, French contre-vallation; contrafissure; - controvert, comp. Latin controversari; controversy c. der.; more frequently those with counter, corresponding to French forms. Verbs: countermand; counterpoise; counterfit; counterbalance; counterprove; countersign; counterseal \&c. Nouns are in part parasyntheta, yet others also: countermine (also verb); countermarch (also verb); counter-mark; counter-revolution; counterpart, compare French contre-partie; counterrole and control (French contrôle $=$ contrerôle); counterpoison \&c. Modern forms arise from Romance and Germanic fundamental words, rarely with contra: contradistinguish; contraregularity; contraversion ; contranatural (rare); often with counter; verbs: counteract; countermove; countervole \&c.; counterweigh; counterwheel; counterwork; counterdraw \&c.; Nouns: counter-influence; counter-evidence \&c.; counterwind; countertime; countertide \&c.
3) Adverbial Particles.
male, mal, French malé, mal, man, Latin male, evil, is used in Latin to compound a few verbs and nouns, in French also in modern forms. English has adopted from both tongues. Verbs: maleficiate, French maléficier; - maltreat, French maltraiter; Nouns: malefaction; malefice; malediction; malevolent; maledicent \&c.; - malversation; maltalent \&c. Occasionally male has a privative sense, as in: malcontent; malcontentedness. A few modern forms are likewise found: malexecution; maladministration; maladjustment; malposition; malpractice; malformation and the like.

The contrary to male is formed by bene, French bien, existing in English in a few Latin forms. Nouns: benefit, Old-French bienfet, bienfait; benefice; beneficence ; benefactor; benefaction; benediction; - beneficent; beneficial; and parasyntheta, among them also the verb benefit.
non, French, Latin the same, not, un- rarely employed in compounding in Latin, as in nonnemo, nonnullus, nonnihil \&c., is frequently used in compounding in French, still more frequently in English, which is the more striking, as there is here no scarcity of privative particles (comp. un, in). Comp. non-age, French nonage; nonsense, French non-sens; non-payment, French non-paiement \&c.- English compounds are not confined to Romance nouns, as:
non-entity; non-execution; non-appearance; non-episcopalian; non-resemblance; non-joinder; non-juror \&c.; non-essential; non-electric; non-conforming; non-contagious \&c.; but extend also to Germanic ones: non-fulfilment; non-slaveholding; non-sparing and the like. Even the verb non-concur occurs, as well as the parasyntheton non-suit.
retro, French: Latin: the same, replaced in French compounds also by arrière, Old-French arere, occasionally rere, whence still in English rear-ward; rear-guard; rear-rank; rear-admiral \&c., partly, backwards, back, of motion, partly back, behind, in the relation of rest, is little employed in the Latin form. Verbs: retroact (retroagere); retroverl; retrospect; retrocede; retrograde; with these, parasynthetic nouns and a few others: retrogression; retroflex. Imitations are perhaps: retromingent; retropulsive; retrofract: retrofracted.
pen, French pén, Latin paene, almost, nearly, in the Latin paeninsula, in French in a few imitated words, stands in English, as there, in substantives: peninsula, penumbra, French pénombre; and the parasyntheton as a verb: peninsulate, as in the adjective penultimate.
for, Old-French fors, Modern-French for, Latin foris, foras, properly, abroad, is in use in Old- and Modern-French in several compounds in the meaning of out, and at the same time, in the sense of going out beyond the measure. The English has preserved forfeit, Old-French forsfaire (forsfait) with its derivatives: forfeiter; forfeiture; forfeitable.
vice, Old-French vis (hence English viscount, -county, -countship \&c.), Modern-French vice and sometimes vi, is employed in French like pro in propraetor, proconsul, and in this meaning has passed into English: vice-admiral; vice-agent; vice-legate; viceroy; vice-president; vice-chancellor; vice-chamberlain; vicegerent; vice-consul \&c.; with parasyntheta, as: viceroyally; viceroyship; vicegerency \&c.

Finally, the quantitative determinations $b i$, demi, semi are to be mentioned.
$b i$, rarely $b i s$, French $b i$, bis, Latin $b i$, rarely bis in compounds, twice, double, is employed in Latin mostly in nouns (also in the verb bipartio, bipertio). In French the compounds of this sort are increased, likewise in English, particularly in the scientific language. Verbs exist not, save in the new form bisect. Sub stantives are likewise rare: binocle, French the same; bireme; biscuit; bissextile; else parasyntheta, as: biformity; bifurcation \&c. Adjectives are on the other hand frequent, partly derived from old words: biennial, Latin biennis, French biennial; bimanous, French bimane; bimedial, French the same; bimensal, Latin bimestris; binocular, -ate, French binoculaire; bilateral, French the same; biped; bifid; bifronted, Latin bifrons; bivious; bisulcous, bisulcate, Latin bisulcus, and many more. Modern forms are not wanting, as biangulous; biaxal; birostrate; biparous; bipolar; bifacial; bifoliate; biventral and the like, even bifold.
demi and semi, French the same, Latin semi, alongside of which French set the form arising from dimidium, for which also mi stood,
run alongside of each other in English in the meaning of half, as in French, yet semi is by far more frequent in English. Both belong essentially to nouns.
demi stood even in French chiefly in nouns, to which in English it almost exclusivily belongs (deminatured excepted). Comp. demi-lune; demi-bain, imitated demi-bath; demi-tint; demi-tone; demicannon; demi-culverin, French demi-couleuvrine. Compounds with Germanic fundamental words especially are imitated: demi-man; demi-premises; demi-vill; demi-wolf; demi-devil; demi-semiquaver; demigod; demi-goddes; demi-groat. demi-deify is cited as a verb.
semi attaches itself immediately to Latin compounds, and sometimes takes the place of the French demi, as in semi-diameter, French demi-diamètre; semi-column, French demi-colonne; semi-circle, French demi-cercle and others. Semi-arian; Semi-pelagian; semiped, Latin semipes; semitone, French semi-ton, demi-ton; - semi-annual; semilunar; semi-pagan; semi-barbarian; semi-vocal \&c. Among the modern forms is the verb: semi-castrate, some nouns, as semi-transept; semi-sextile; semi-diapason \&c.; and many adjectives: semiindurated; semi-acidified; semi-opaque; semi-osseous; semi-lapidified; semi-perspicuous; semi-formed; semi-fluid; semi-vitrified; semi-transparent; semi-crystalline \&c.

Plus is found in the form plu in pluperfect.

End of the First Part.
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[^0]:    *) In comparative Grammar this sound is usually denoted by $n$ with a point over it; for want of this character we have been forced to select $\dot{n}$.

[^1]:    *) Note by the translator: I do not think there can be this doubt about the origin of "punchy". I apprehend that it is mistakenly written for "paunchy", that is, having a predominence of the abdomen.

[^2]:    *) Note by the translator: Whether does "cope with" flow from No. 2, the root meaning being head, as we say to "head", to make head against.

[^3]:    *) I have not found the numerals in parentheses, but formed them by analogy.

[^4]:    *) The notion of separation as that of the physical, nearest to the sensuous, existing in space and time is the prius, and the notion of variety as the metaphysical is the posterius.

[^5]:    *) The parallelism of logical development in mercare, French marcher, on the one hand, and step, staple, on the other, is noteworthy; also that the course of development is reversed, the root notion being marketing in the former, and going in the latter.
    ${ }^{* *}$ ) Comp. clothe \&c.
    Mätzner, engl. Gr. I.

[^6]:    *) We may here refer to Diez's Romance Grammar, and to Mätzner's French Grammar.

