





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2010 with funding from Lyrasis Members and Sloan Foundation EXXXXXXXXXXXXIII

Englishmen's Eyes open'd;

OR,

All made to SEE, who are not refolv'd to be BLIND.



(Price One Shilling.)

and the property of the CA

Englishmen's Eyes open'd;

OR,

All made to SEE, who are not refolv'd to be BLIND:

BEING THE

Excise Controversy

Set in a new Light; completely difcuss'd upon the just PRINCIPLES of REASONING, and brought to a fair and demonstrative Conclusion:

BETWEEN A

Landholder, and a Merchant.

May TRADE and LIBERTY flourish in GREAT BRITAIN, and their true Advocates be held in Esteem by the People!

The SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

Printed for J. WILFORD behind the Chapter-House in St. Paul's Church-Yard. 1734.

ette en l'ass

virencianoù ellosa

the second second second

and the second

b

Englishmen's Eyes open'd:

OR,

All made to fee, who are not refolv'd to be blind.

RAY Sir John, how do the Country Gentlemen in your Neighbourhood relish the Excise-Scheme? It has bitterly enrag'd all Ranks and

Degrees of Traders at London, and left such a Sting at the Tail of it, as I conceive will

not easily be pluck'd out.

Landholder. Truly Sir, that Project takes a quite different Turn with us Country Gentlemen. Indeed when the Scheme was first represented to us, and delineated in all the horrid Colours that a frighted Imagination could suggest, and London vomited out her Squibs of Terror among us, we began to stand aghast, and in some Degree fell in with the common Cry. We have since recover'd ourselves; we disclaim our Conduct; we think ourselves impos'd upon, find we have discarded the proper Means of our own Relief, and have been acting subservient to the Interests of some sew Men, no Well-wishers to us, nor the common Interest of the Nation in general.

Mer. How! Can you, Sir John, entertain a favourable Opinion of so execrable a Scheme?

calculated to enflave the Trading Part of the Nation, and deftructive of the Liberties of the whole Kingdom? Landed Men should always have the Interest of Trade at Heart; because as Trade sourishes their Estates advance. The great Hardships and Inconveniencies of Excises to the Trading Part of the Nation are so glaring and unquestionable, that I am not a little surprized to hear a Gentleman of your distinguished Abilities, Impartiality, and disinterested Views utter a single Sentence in Vindication of such

a Project.

Landb. That there is a mutual Dependence and Connection of Interests betwixt Trade and Land must be allow'd, and that the one cannot advance or decline, but the other must be proportionably affected. However, the Confequence that has been drawn from thence, is partial, unfair, and ungenerous. Must Landed Men be confider'd only consequentially and subordinately to the Traders? Must there be no publick Act which primarily and originally regards the Ease of the Freeholders? If our great Fund is Land, as Mr. Lock infinuates, must it have no Weight and Influence in the Determinations of a Senate? Sure our Conduct does not merit fuch Behaviour and Treatment. 'Tis true our Interests cannot be diametrically opposite to those of the mercantile World in general, but yet they are diffinct and separate. Nay, the Seeds of our Destruction have been imported by many Traders, out of their great Affection for us I suppose. Merchants, fays Mr. Lock, may grow rich by a Trade that makes the Kingdom poor. I am apt to think, Sir, few of them extend their Views of Profit and Loss beyond their own Counting-Houses. Must Chance then and Accident determine our Fate, while whole Species of Merchants, falsly so call'd (for that Appellation is due only to the honest useful Importers and Exporters) are licentiously revelling in unlawful Gains? With what Contempt and Indignation have I seen some smugling Insects swell upon this Occasion into Creatures of Importance, and publickly waunt themselves the Fountains of Riches, and Barriers of Liberty, while they have been making use of all the little tricking Arts in their Power to dry up the one, and pull down the other?

I have been shock'd, Sir, to hear the well-meaning Freeholder told, with an insolent Air, in a publick Coffee-House, that he did not understand his own Interest, when he has declar'd that he has severely selt the Effects of a sourshilling Land-Tax, and should heartly rejoice

to fee the whole remov'd.

The best Conviction that Traders can give us that they have any Regard for our Interest is, by chearfully submitting to some little Inconveniencies to unsaddle us; which, by that very Scheme you are pleas'd to decry, was purpos'd to be done by diverting clandestine and dishonourable Gains into more proper Channels. Inconveniencies, Sir, attend all Methods of collecting Taxes; at least those who would pay nothing to the general Support of the State, will ever urge those specious Pretences. The grand Question with me is, whether, in Regard to the Benefit of the whole Community, these Inconveniencies, tho' they have been so highly magnified and exaggerated, ought in right Reason and good Policy to have any Weight in

Comparison with the national Advantages that would arise from easing the Landbolders, who have so long bore the heavy Burthen, and paid upwards of threescore Millions for the Preservation of the general Liberties. I confess ingenuously to you, Sir, I am convinc'd by Reason and Demonstration, that there never was a Scheme offer'd to a British Senate, that had more apparent and incontestable Advantages attending it, and sewer Inconveniencies when

put in Competition therewith.

Mer. For such an Affertion to come from you, Sir John, who have been so many Years engag'd in Trade yourself, is something extraordinary. I doubt not but you sound your Judgment upon a rational and severe Examination. To go thro' with a Subject of so extensive a Nature at present may be disagreeable to us both. To Morrow in the Evening, if you are disengag'd, it will be a peculiar Pleasure to me to reason the Point with you; for I begin to be dissident of my own Opinion, when a Gentleman of your cool Way of Thinking, exempt from every Tincture of Prejudice or Partiality, differs from me.

Landb. The Defign having occasion'd much Scribbling and Clamor, I was excited, from meer Curiosity, to examine into the Merits of it. As I have no Turn to serve by it, I am not tenacious of adhering to the Result of my own Enquiry: I am ever susceptible of Conviction, Sir, always leaving my Understanding free, open, and unbias'd for the Reception of all Species of Truths. I shall be glad to see you to Morrow, and then we will deliberately and with good Humour dissect this Excise-Monster.

The Subject being refum'd at the appointed

Time, Sir John thus opened.

Landh. The Platform and Groundwork of the Excise-Scheme was laid upon these two Principles; the Ease of the Landed-Interest at home, and the diffress'd British Planters abroad: Both which were schem'd to be effectuated without the laying of any new Tax, by the Conversion only of the two simple Duties upon Wine and Tobacco, from a Custombouse to an Excise-Way of Collection.

You'll please to remark, Sir, that no new Duty was ever intended; the Commodities were only to have the same Duty continued upon them. So that the Money to ease the Land and the Planters was to be wifely and justly drawn out of the Coffers of fuch Traders, who do not act upon a Level with the rest of their Brethren, but, by impiously evading the Payment of Custombouse Duties, are enabled to ruin their fellow Traders in the same Branches of Commerce, oppress the aggriev'd Planters, and rivet the Tax for ever upon the Landholders.

In Disputes of this Kind, Sir, if we would judge honestly, the Ease and Interest of any particular Set of Men, is not to be confulted meerly and fimply without Regard to the whole Mass of the People. The general Good of the Community is the only true Touchstone whereby we can make a just Judgment of the Project. The more national Emoluments accompany any Defign of this Sort, the more deep Wisdom and Policy, as well as Honour and Honesty do the Schemists display. Tho' one or two particular Points may be the Basis of the Scheme, yet if various other defirable Ends are the infeparable Concomitants thereof, with Reason may they

be urg'd in Support of it.

Nor should we too precipitately, as many are wont, conclude we see some lurking Mischief a hatching under every new and specious Project, merely from the Consideration of some visible Advantage to the Proposed, exclusive of any to the Propofers. Not that I will be fo fanguine as to fay the latter had no Interest in the Success of it, since I have great Reason to think, it would have fix'd them fo firm in the Hearts and Affections of the former, and fo strongly attach'd them to their Cause, as would have quite blafted the bloffoming Hopes of some snarling Anti-Projectors; and put the finishing Stroke to all their chimerical Castle-Building; and thus the Life of one Scheme would have been the Death of another.

It is in the political, as it is in the natural Body. The Court, which may be properly efteem'd the Stomach of the Nation, employs itself differently, according to the different Circumstances and Temperament of the Body. It is not always well-digefted, concocted Food will preserve the Mass of Blood pure and untainted. Recourse must be had sometimes to strong convulfive Purges to revive and exhilarate its dying Flame. It would ill perform its Ministration, should it always consult its own present Ease and Benefit, and refuse to submit to some few Gripings and Uneafinesses, which will at length terminate in its own private Good, as well as the Happiness of the whole Body in general. The Ministry, it may be presum'd, saw the Cloud of Opposition gathering; but it was never imagin'd it would have distus'd itself so extensively,

and envelop'd the Senses of any but the inconsiderate Mob.

By easing the Land, the Price of all the common Necessaries and Conveniencies of Life become cheaper; Beef, Mutton, Bread, Butter, Flax, and Wool, &c. would all in Time feel the good Effects of it. While a Land-Tax is continued, the Rents of the Tenants will be kept up; when taken off, Landlords may afford to ease their Tenants, and they of course will ease the Poor in the Price of the Production of their Lands. The Poor, when they can live cheaper, will work cheaper; and our Manufactures will consequently be exported cheaper. 'Tis a general Complaint among all the Exporters of our Woolen Manufacture, that we are underfold at most of the foreign Markets of Europe in this Staple Commodity of our own Country,

It has been in a great Measure owing to the Dearness of our Woolen Manusacture, that both Holland and France have thought it worth their Care to set up Looms of their own, to our great, if not irreparable Detriment; and France has so far succeeded, that she seems to have no

farther Occasion for our Cloths at all.

And Holland has found out this Secret of Trade, to buy up our raw Cloths, and dye and nap them so much cheaper than we, that they are able to undersel us in Goods of our own Produce.

Nor is it impossible that Spain herself may, in some Time, set up a Woolen Manusacture of her own; so that if we are cut out of this Trade from Holland, France and Spain, in all Probability, they, instead of England, may, in Time, supply Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Russia,

Russia, and Germany, &c. with what they want. It therefore highly behoves a wise Government to think of Ways and Means to sink the domestick Expence of our home-bred Commodities. There is no other Way of effecting this but by easing the Land, the Source of our native Productions; for since that Tax has been laid, all the common Necessaries of Life, and the Ingredients which go to the Composition of our Manufactures, have been rais'd almost one Fifth in their Value, and the Price of Labour has encreas'd in Proportion. Our Manufactures therefore must inevitably be almost one Fifth dearer

than before we had any fuch Tax.

That a little Trouble to Traders in the Vending of foreign Commodities, by fubmitting to a more severe Inspection of Officers, to compel all to pay their just Duty, is destructive of Trade, I apprehend to be a mere Farce. The true Cause of the Decay of Trade, is the Clogs upon its first Springs: Let us work up our Manufactures cheaper, by the first Hands, than other Countries, and we shall never want Merchants and other intermediate Traders to export them, who will never have Occasion to repine at the Minuteness of their Profit. Let us cleanse the Fountain-Head, and the whole Current will foon purify itself; but 'till that be done, we may in vain complain of Disorders in the expanded Channels. There is no Way, Sir, to ease our own Manufactures, but by easing the Land, the original Spring of them. Thus do Traders, while they are for perpetuating the Land Tax, unavoidably injure their own Trade, and the best Part of it too, and then cry out that those who would ease the Land have a Design to prejudice Trade. Who

Whoever knows any thing of *Trade* cannot be ignorant how highly beneficial our *Plantation-Trade* is to this *Island*. Their Produce procures us the Balance with those Countries, which otherwise would receive the Balance of us; confequently if our Plantations Decay, the general Balance of Trade would annually go against us; and thus would the Nation be daily drain'd instead of being enrich'd, as it is at prefent. The Planters have complain'd to Parliament for Redress; they think themselves aggriev'd; their mortgag'd Estates is an indifputable Conviction that their Complaints are not groundless. Should we be deaf to their Plaints, we know not what Temptations they may have to forfake our British Plantations, and to take up their Residence in the French; and then we risque our Tobacco-Trade as we have already done our Sugar. We can't have too vigilant an Eye on France, who has made fuch great Encroachments fince our first Settlements there, and watches an Opportunity to divest us of our Properties in that Part of the World. The Excise-Scheme in its Confequences therefore was most wifely and politically contriv'd for the general Benefit of our Trade. 'Tis true, it was not calculated for the particular Interest of the Tobacco-Fastors or Wine-Coopers, but, as all Parliamentary Schemes should be, for the publick Good.

Mer. Were these the real Motives to this Scheme, your Arguments might be plausible enough, though not of Weight sufficient to establish the Design, as I shall shew hereaster, if there be Occasion for it; but it is to be fear'd that this Project was set on Foot to augment the Power of the Crown, by multiplying Excise-

Officers, who have an unbounded Liberty to harass and annoy Traders, and by that Means in Time to enslave the whole Nation. For it is in the Power of these Men to tamper with Voters, so as to obstruct the Freedom of Elections, and thereby to destroy that Authority and lawful Respect for Parliaments, indispensably necessary to be preserved for the Saseguard of our Liberties. The Benefit of Trade, like the Benefit of the Land, is a delicious Bait to allure us to Slavery, and therefore we should always distrust the Views of Ministers of State. One Excise is introductory to another; and if once a general Excise prevails, sarewell Liberty, and

every Incentive to Traffick.

Landb. 'Tis true, Sir, the Benefit of Trade may fometimes be made a Ministerial Decoy-Duck to delude Traders into their Schemes; and fo may groundless Clamours of the Ruin of Trade be a more useful Piece of fasticus and antiministerial Quackery to irritate the Multitude against the noblest Designs. What Reason there can be to doubt whether the general Advantage of Trade was not an effential, though not the only Motive to this Scheme, is what I cannot conceive. Though Trade, Sir, was only fecondarily, incidentally, and collaterally confidered, and the grand Design was the Ease of the landed Interest, I hope it would be no Objection. In Times of War, the Land must bear the expensive Burthen in Protection of Trade: The least therefore that can be done, in Times of Peace, is to difburthen it. A wife and judicious Ministry will labour to shift the Taxes, so as equitably to proportion 'em amongst all Classes of the Community, that each might, at feafonable Conjunctures, tures, when the best able, give reciprocal Ease to the other. To the everlasting Honour of the present Administration, the Land-Tax has been reduced lower than ever since first impos'd; and we still hope that the same Ministry, who have done such great Things for us, will, in time, absolutely ease us, though the Popularity of the Design will administer perpetual Fuel to malecontent Fire.

I think I may with equal Reason insist, that your Argument about the Augmentation of Power to the Crown, from an Encrease of Officers, is a meer Pretence. For what Power to the Crown can about two or three Excisemen in a County add, which is the Extent of the Encrease propos'd? To answer this great Encrease, there would have been a counterpart Diminution; at least equal, if not superior to the pretended Augmentation. Had the Scheme fucceeded, some of the Custom-House Officers would of course have been discharged, or metamorphosed into Excise-ones; and the Crown been ftrip'd of all the Receivers of the Land-Tax over the Kingdom: Men of Fortune, Repute, and great Influence in their respective Counties and Boroughs; which united Powers would have confiderably turn'd the Scale in Prejudice to the Crown.

And to suppose Men of their Rank and Character should receive Instructions to be particularly troublesome to such Traders, who may not see Wisdom in the Conduct of a Court, smells two much of Romance to be credited. For how can a Man, without being ripe to swallow any idle Assertion, imagine Men, who are not peculiarly exempt from such Vices as tend to the

B 2

Discovery

Discovery of Secrets, should be entrusted with any fuch Instructions? As they are daily turn'd out of their Offices for the least Trifle, and thereby become enraged with the Government; should we not have heard of some Stories of this Sort? Throughout this warm Dispute, the active and ingenious Malecontents have not been able to produce one single Instance of this Nature. With the utmost Considence therefore, may any one affirm that there is not the least Probability, that any Exciseman ever received Instructions, directly or indirectly, from the Commissioners to tamper with any voting Trader whatsoever. No Man, I think, but an Enthusiast can imagine that Officers out of meer Wantonness, Spleen, and Ill-nature should be more troublefome, or open to themselves more Scenes of Turmoil and Fatigue, than what the Nature of their Office makes absolutely and legally necessary.

Much Art and Declamation indeed have been exercifed, to infuse a most horrid and frightful Idea of harmless Excisemen, into the Minds of the Scum and Dregs of the People; nor is it to be doubted but fuch florid Rhodomontades have had their defired Effect upon narrow and jejune Minds. This Fate indeed they share, in common with the Clergy and others, upon certain Occasions: But give me leave to fay, Sir, this Way of Talking only ferves to give Men of Sense an ill Opinion of the Cause. To convince them, you should shew something in Nature, that prompts these Men to be less human than others; or the Prefumption will be they are not fo. They are all equally reproached in their Duty, though fortuitoully derived from the general Body of the People, and become destin'd to their different ProProfessions by the same Providence that those Men are, who thus exclaim against them. How it should fall out that they at all times are more degenerate than the rest of Mankind, will be admitted by none but such who are credulous enough to believe, that Man is form'd from senseless Atoms, by the continued Operations of blind Chance and Fortune.

By the great Degree of Power, said to arise to the Crown from a few Excisemen being scatter'd over the Nation, and the Havock they have been pompoufly describ'd to make, some giddy-headed and superficial Creatures imagine that the Dealers in Tobacco and Wine are a very considerable Body of the Kingdom; when, if a Calculation was made, it is very much to be questioned whether they are above one five thousandth Part thereof: And it is equally to be doubted whether one Third of that Number have Votes; or if they have, whether one half of them are not visited by Officers already. To suppose, therefore, that the intended Augmentation could affect the Liberties of the whole Nation, when so small a Part only could possibly have been concerned, is what can never enter into the Thoughts of a clear-headed Man; especially when the Crown, in consequence, thereof, would have been oblig'd to have given up a greater Power for a lefs, as was before remark'd.

However, lest Englishmen should be jealous of their Liberties upon this Account, or that some imperious and arrogant Fellows among them (as is not impossible) should presume to have the least Degree of Power or Insluence over Traders in the Choice of their Representatives, the Laws of the Land have made full Provision for pre-

venting

venting any fuch Attempts. For in the Act Anno 5 & 6 Guliel. & Maria, it is said, "That" if any Exciseman shall by Word, Message, " or Writing, or any other Manner whatfo-" ever, endeavour to perfuade any Elector to " give, or diffuade any Elector from giving his "Vote for the Choice of any Person to be a Representative in Parliament, shall forfeit the "Sum of one hundred Pounds, one Moiety to " the Poor of the Parish where such Offences " shall be committed, to be recovered by any " Person that shall sue for the same in the Courts of Common Law. And every Of-" ficer, convict on any fuch Suit of the faid "Offence, shall thereby become disabled and " incapable of ever bearing or executing any "Office or Place of Trust whatsoever under

" their Majesties, their Heirs or Successors."

Besides, Sir, has not this very Parliament at present existing, that has been so licentiously charg'd with Corruption, made the strongest Act that ever was made to prevent Corruption at Elections from all Quarters, as well against the Crown as for it? An Act that has met with the highest Encomium from those very Gen'lemen, who have treated the Parliament that made it with unparallel'd Scurrility! A certain Writer, I remember, fays, "To the immortal Honour " of a British Parliament, an Act is now passed, " which, it is to be hoped, will prove fully ef-" ficacious to this great End [the Prevention of "Corruption at Elections.] The Penalties, at Leaft, are to fevere, and the Provision so wisely "made, as well as clearly express'd, that I "think it impossible for all the Inventions of crafty and ill-defigning Men, totally, to elude them. Can 70-1158

Can any Man then, with the least Glimmering of Reason, surmise that Excise-Officers can have any Influence at Elections, when nothing is more visible than that they are never of Consideration enough to sway any one? But if they were, and should attempt to influence any Veter, it is in the Power of any Man to prosecute and ruin them; the Offence being to be try'd before a Jury, who are very far from being prejudic'd in Favour of a poor Exciseman.

Mer. Sir, you have made no Manner of Reply to what I urg'd, of one Excise tending to a general Excise, and the Swarms of Officers that would over-run the Nation, was that to take Place. If the People do not make Head against such Attempts at their first Breaking out, 'twill be impossible to stop their Career

when they have got too great Footing.

Landb. You'll please to remember, Sir, that we are always to keep Sight of the grand and fundamental Motive to this Attempt; the Ease of the Land. From what I before obferv'd it appears that a Land-Tax, which affects all the common Necessaries of Life of our own Production, is an actual general Excife, in the strict Sense of the Words. What is meant by a general Excise is a new Tax upon all fuch common Conveniencies of Life, as the Poor and laborious Part of the Nation cannot subsist without. A particular Excise upon foreign Superfluities and Luxuries, without the least Addition of any new Tax, with a View to take off a general Excise from the common Necessaries, is so far from having a Tendency to what the Judicious understand by a general Excise, that, on the contrary, no Step could

possibly be taken more effectually to free us from a general Excise: Nor is it in the Power of any Ministry to indicate their Detestation and Abhorrence of any such Project more than by bravely and resolutely encountering such an headstrong Opposition, that a Tax upon Land

might not be eterniz'd.

It may be urg'd with much more Reason, that the laying of one Shilling in the Pound upon Land has a Tendency to the laying on of twenty. Nay, Sir, as you are a Dissenter, I may as well fay that granting you any small Privilege to deviate from the Church of England, and to worship in your own Way, has a Tendency to the total Destruction of the Establish'd Religion of the State; than which Nothing is more tidiculous. In short, Sir, I might with equal Reason insist that a Man must neither eat or drink, because it has a Tendency to Gluttony or Drunkenness; or that a Man must not be fo prudent as yearly to lay by a fingle Penny, because it has a Tendency to Avarice. In a Nation like ours, where the Crown has no Farms or Freeholds to supply the publick Exigencies of the State, some Sort of Taxes are as esfentially necessary to the Preservation of the Body politick, as Eating and Drinking to the Support of the natural Body: And fuch a Conversion only of the Duties paid already, to. be collected under the Mode of an Excise, in fuch a Manner that the Smuggler can't escape Paving, and thereby raising a Sum sufficient to disburthen the Land, and to redress the Grievances our Plantations labour under, is the only Excise we contend for; and nothing further was propos'd by the Scheme. The

The infallible Way, Sir, for England to encrease in Riches and Power, is to embrace every Opportunity to render our native Manufactures cheaper both abroad and at home, and foreign Superfluities dearer to the Confumer; that the Price, as well as Quality, of our Commodities may tempt other Nations to confume 'em. Was not this Maxim most steddily adher'd to, our own Inhabitants would be consuming the Produce of other Nations, whilst we were unable to export our own. Thus would every one, who consum'd any Thing of foreign Production, give away so much of the Riches of the Nation. To prevent this, nothing can be more conducive than an Excise upon all foreign Superfluities; because it must either ease our own native Produce from the Burthen of the publick Revenue, or it will prevent the Confumption of foreign Commodities, and the Produce of our own Country would be confum'd in their stead. Every Thing of foreign Growth confum'd here, without paying the Duty, is an Advantage to the *Proprietors* of the Lands of those Countries, and a Detriment to our own Land-Owners, when a Tax upon them is purpos'd to be taken off, only by a just Collection of the Duty upon foreign Commodities. Who then, that is a true Friend to his Country, can oppose such a brave Design? A Design for which future Ages will have its Oppofers in Derifion, and its Advocates in the highest Admiration!

Foreign Nations are fo very active and vigilant, that nothing of our Production shall escape paying the *Duty* they impose thereupon, that, were we not to be steer'd by the same political Rud-

der.

der, our English Merchants would acquire more by importing of foreign Commodities, which would be cheaper, into our Country, than they would by exporting our own Commodities into other Countries, where the higher Duties would render the Confumption small, and the Merchant's Profit less. Thus would Traders, instead of being an Advantage to the Nation, drain all the Wealth out of it, discourage our People from Working, by leaving their Manufactures on their Hands, and render them idle, poor, and effeminate by an Inundation of foreign Superfluities. This, Sir, I only remark, en passant, to shew that an Excise upon all foreign Luxuries must be of manifest and undoubted Advantage to the Nation; not that I would infinuate any fuch Thing was ever intended by the Ministry to be put in Execution; but this is to remove your Prejudice against Excises upon Superfluities of foreign Growth and Manufacture.

Mer. The great Inconveniencies of Excife-Officers, by ranfacking Traders Shops and Warehouses, at all Times, and at all Hours in the Night; the extraordinary Trouble of sending for Permits; the exposing a Man's Stock to those Inquisitors when they please, are very great Hardships upon Traders, and what they cannot bear the Thoughts of submitting to. A Trader, under these Restraints, cannot be said to enjoy that Liberty every Englishman by his Birth is

entitled to.

Landh. In the Sense that the Word Liberty in this Controversy has been used, every Law whatsoever against Felons or House-breakers is a Restraint upon Liberty. The late Scheme, Sir, was design'd, 'tis true, as a lawful Restraint upon

upon Smuggling, Cheating and Perjury; not upon Honesty and upright Trading. By the Scheme, Excisemen would have had the Power of entring Shops, Warehouses, and Cellars no otherwise than as the Customers and Servants of these Traders hourly have. They would have had no Power to enter them but in the Day-Time, when they are open to every Body; not to enter any private Room, or House, or other Place, not enter'd as a publick Shop or Warehouse of Dealers in those Commodities, not even a private Room in the fame House wherein a publick Shop is kept, unless they obtain'd a special Warrant for that Purpose from some Justice or Justices of the Peace, and then, if by Night, but in the Presence of a Constable.

In this Case, the Justices of the Peace have a discretionary Power of judging what Circumstances are a sufficient Ground for Suspicion, that any of their Neighbours have conceal'd Contraband Goods, or used any Arts to defraud the Publick. They have likewife the Power of disbelieving what an Exciseman shall swear in order to obtain fuch Warrant, and may refuse such Warrant in any Case whatsoever at their own Pleasure. So that these Men could give no more Uneafiness to Traders, upon any private Pique, or personal Resentment, than any other Man may do to his Neighbour by a Warrant to fearch for stolen Goods. The strong and clear Testimony that Officers must give of the Truth of their Suggestions, to obtain such Warrants, would always deter 'em from applying for them, but when Goods really were conceal'd, which had not paid the lawful Duty: And in fuch a Cafe, no Man, I presume, will say, Houses ought not to be enter'd.

Hence it appears that Officers, as such, would have had no Power whatsoever to enter any private House, Room, or any other Place besides common Shops, &c. previously register'd as such, and these in the Day-Time only. The Power of entering private Houses is vested in the Justices of the Peace, who always have exercis'd the same Power of granting Warrants to search Houses, on innumerable other Accounts, before this Bill was propos'd. This Clamour therefore of entring Houses in the Night is meer Bugbear or Spright, wherewith to terrify the weak and credulous.

What additional Trouble Merchants would receive is a Mystery to me; for they would land their Goods as, they now do, at the Customhouse; and, as they vend by the Gross only, the Trouble of procuring Permits would be very inconsiderable: They cost nothing; Offices for that Purpose would be near their Ware-

houses.

As to the intermediate Dealers, I am at an equal Loss to conceive their Grievances in this Respect. There is no more Trouble and Embarassment in an Officer's visiting the Shops of Retailers (almost all of whom sell various other Commodities already excised) than there is in having two or three more Customers extraordinary: And I never heard Traders complain of any Fatigue in that, or being oblig'd to keep more Servants upon that Account. As Profit attends Customers, so it does the Inspection of Officers. Fair Dealers would be made an ample Recompense for their Inconveniencies, because, as it keeps others upon an Equali-

Equality with them, they must inevitably encrease their Trade. A Design to prevent Smugglers, Hawkers, and Robbers of the national Revenue, when I was in Trade, was accounted laudable, and would have met with univerfal Approbation from the Body of Traders; but at this Time of Day, I know not what to think

of the Trading World.

Mer. That an Excise would help the fair Trader, is so stale an Argument at London that no Body regards it. 'Tis a meer Jest, a Court Pretence only; and if you consider that all Merchants and Traders in Tobacco and Wine unanimously oppos'd the Scheme, you will not think your Argument of any Weight, or that Men would be fo weak as to oppose their own Interest.

Landh. Truly, Sir, this is an Argument that most of all surprises me. There is nothing more undeniable in Nature than this; that if the Smuggler pays no Duty, he will undersel the fair Dealer who does; and fo much the more where the Duties are high, as on Wine and Tobacco. How much foever the Smuggler fells, by having it cheaper, so much the fair Trader loses the Vending of. This is so obvious and felf-evident, that the late universal Opposition, you mention, in no ways destroys the Conclufiveness of my Argument; but the natural and just Inference is, That all are Smugglers, and there are no fair Traders, or, That fair Traders are all bewitch'd and infatuated. To illustrate this, let us imagine, instead of converting these Duties to an Excise-Way of Collection, it had been propos'd to double the Customhouse Duties; no fair Trader in his Senses could have prefer'd the latter, because the Profit of Smuggling would then have been so extraordinary, and would have enabled the Smuggler so greatly to undersel the fair Trader, that it would be impossible for him to subsist. So that you yourself, by urging this popular Opposition, prove no more by it than a universal Depravity and Corruption among the whole Body of Merchants and Traders, and, in effect, that they are nothing better than a Band of Smugglers or Madmen.

Mer. Pardon me, Sir, The Merchants are a Body of Men worthy the highest Regard of the Government, the Fountains of all the Wealth in the Nation, and are, for the Generality, Men of the greatest Honour and Reputation. I remember the Time, Sir John, when you would not have bestow'd such Epithets on Merchants, as Smugglers and publick Robbers. Your old Friend Mr. Addison treated them in a different Manner, bestow'd great Eulogiums upon them, as well in private Conversation as in his pub-

lick Compositions.

Landb. Your Warmth, Sir, has betray'd you into fome Mistake; you misunderstand me. I did not call the Merchants and Traders, Smugglers. There are undoubtedly (and indeed I have heard many worthy Gentlemen among them acknowlege it) some clandestine and dishonourable Dealers, who shelter themselves under that worthy Denomination; and I very carefully distinguish'd between them and honourable fair Traders; and shew'd the apparent Advantages that must necessarily accrue to the latter, had the Scheme succeeded. But you, to obviate my Conclusion, consound my Distinction,

and make all Traders alike. If then it be certain that there are clandestine Traders amongst the Body of Merchants and Traders, and all are alike without Distinction, all must of Confequence be clandestine Dealers. This is a just Inference from your Logick, not mine. Thus you urge Arguments that tend to prove all Merchants and Traders, Smugglers, and then complain that I call them fo. Strain runs thro' fome common News-Papers, and Pamphlets that don't enter into the Merits of the Dispute; but I could not have imagin'd they were so contagious, as to taint a Gentleman of your Understanding. Tho' the Excife has been dress'd out as a very hideous Monfter, yet, like a good and righteous Magistrate,

it is only a Terror to Evil-Doers.

The violent Opposition of some Merchants, and warm-headed difaffected Senators, is not an infallible Characteristick that the Scheme was bad. The short Question, Sir, is, whether foreign Commodities ought to be tax'd, or not; if they ought, then the Tax should be collected in fuch a Manner as that all should pay, and none escape. We already pay a Duty on Wine and Tobacco; and if, on a Comparison between the Quantity paying, and the Quantity confum'd, it appears, that not above one Half pays, no one will fay the other Half ought not to pay. What Method then can be taken to oblige them? If these Opposers would be so just as to offer to the World a Scheme more effectually calculated to answer that End, and ease the Land, with less Inconveniency to the Subject, and less liable to Objection, why do they not propose it to the Publick? If they are able and

not willing, they display their Patriotism; if they cannot propose a better in its stead, to answer such a Concatenation of wise Purposes, we may conclude it is because they are not able.

Mer. It must be confess'd, Sir, that the several Ends you mention are very desireable, and what every good Man must rejoice to see brought about. But that Excises are the best Mediums to these Ends, is what I cannot yet bring my Understanding to bend to. The Laws of Excise are very arbitrary and tyrannical; the Deprivation of Tryals by Juries, contrary to Magna Charta, and the Constitution, and the known Rights of Englishmen, is what in Time might be attended with very satal Consequences. The Commissioners are Prosecutors, Evidence, Judges and Executioners; have an unlimited Power of determining the Property of the Subject according to their own Will; which is giving up too much Power to the Crown, and may in Time destroy our Liberties.

Landb. This, Sir, has been fet forth as a very formidable Objection, and therefore I don't marvel that it should be insisted upon with so much Warmth and Acrimony. Magna Charta and Excise, the Antiquity of the former and Etymology of the latter, are brought as Proofs that the one should not be admitted, nor the other in one Tittle deviated from. This Way of Talking is admirably well suited to work upon a Mob, but can never be relish'd by such who separate and distinguish what others assiduously

labour to perplex and confound.

Magna Charta is but an Act of Parliament, and indeed a very valuable one, but is no more the Constitution than any other Act. The pre-

fent

fent Constitution is framed and erected upon all those Acts which have passed since Magna Charta, as well as upon some Part of that. Was it a sufficient Reason for the Excise-Bill's not passing, if it was in some Degree contrary to Magna Charta, it would also follow, that the whole Constitution, as at present establish'd, must be unhing'd, because many of those Acts of Parliament, whereon it is now sounded, are in Opposition to Magna Charta: But to come closer to your Objection.

All Schemes for raifing the publick Revenue may justly be denominated better or worse, as they are more or less practicable in collecting the Money proposed by Parliament. If a Scheme be proposed, where the Community is liable to such Expences in recovering their Rights from Individuals, that the one Part is expended to collect and obtain the other, such a Scheme is rather a Burthen and Vexation to the Subject, in their individual as well as collective Capacity,

and no Benefit whatfoever.

Juries must be allow'd an inestimable Blessing, when consider'd (as signified and intended by Magna Charta) a Security against any Encroachments of the Crown; yet they are liable to many just Objections and great Inconveniencies, if there should be no other Way to determine Disputes in the Collection of the publick Revenue. Where the Claim is made by Virtue of any Prerogative inherent in the Crown, Juries could not be dispens'd with, without endangering our Liberties. For when the Contest is between the King and Subject, and the Extent of his Prerogative is in Issue, it equally affects every Subject; and therefore Tryals by Juries

in such a Case constitute the People Judges of their own Cause; which is a most invaluable Barrier to our Liberties, and upon no Account whatsoever should be suffered to be broke in

upon.

When a Controverfy lies between Subject and Subject either, *Juries* then must be judg'd impartial; and as they are suppos'd to live in viceneto, they are presum'd to be acquainted with the Characters of the contending Parties, their Witnesses and Circumstances, and thereby the better capacitated to determine where Credit ought to be given, when any Contrast arises in their Evidence.

But it will be difficult for Gentlemen to shew that the fame Advantages are to be expected from a Jury ex viceneto, when the Contest is not between the Prince and the People, or Subject and Subject, but between the Subject and the whole Republick. When the Contest lies between a Subject and the whole Community, Juries cannot be supposed to have any Knowledge but of one Party; viz. the individual Subject under Profecution: And knowing him only, will naturally be under a strong Bias to favour their Acquaintance. A fmall Experience in human Nature will thoroughly convince us, that no Men are equally anxious for the Publick in general, as they are for their Friends, and those they have some personal Knowledge of: Juries therefore cannot be suppos'd so impartial and unprejudic'd, in judging between their Friends or Acquaintance, and the Publick, as between one Friend or Acquaintance, and another. In confirmation of this, it is observable that in all those particular Places of the King dom. dom, where the Practice of defrauding the Publick prevails, and is become customary and fashionable, the whole Neighbourhood in general is prejudic'd in Favour of those Practices, and judge 'em inosfensive without the least Remorfe; and therefore furies being viceneto, renders them more liable to Suspicion of Prejudice and Partiality. Where Deer-stealing and Running of Brandy are daily practis'd, the whole Neighbourhood look on them as harmless and inosfensive as Papiss do pious Frauds; and the Laws to restrain and obstruct them, as so many severe Encroachments on their Liberties.

Had the Excise-Bill pass'd, the Subject could not possibly have received any Injury from the Crown, though they had been deprived of Tryals by Juries; because every Motive to Injury and Oppression was absolutely taken away. For that Part of the Duty upon Wine and Tobacco, which is appropriated to his Majesty's Civil List-Revenue, for the Support of his Royal Houshold, was, by the Scheme, to have been collected at the Customhouse, under those Laws as it always has been. So that the Whole, schem'd to have been raised by this Mutation, would have went into the publick Treasure of the Nation, and not one fingle Penny into the private Coffers of his Majesty, as has been dishonourably and invidiously infinuated.

All Contests therefore arising from the Excise, and triable by their Laws, would not have been between the Crown and Subject, but between the Publick and every Delinquent. What Interest then, Sir, can it be to the Crown, whether any Contest between Subject and Subject, or between one Subject and the whole Body, be

D 2

decided

decided by a Jury or not? Let the Event turn out as it will, the Crown cannot possibly be

any ways interested in it.

To furmife that the Commissioners, when it is absolutely out of their Power to recommend themselves to a Prince or a prime Minister, should, meerly from a Spirit of publick Oppression, tyrannize over the fair Trader, is such a wild and romantick Suggestion, that cannot enter the Heart of any Man, but such who take all Men's Souls to be as corrupt, base, and vitiated as their own.

But further; a Jury can only determine Facts. Now all exciseable Commodities are legally seizable, when they are catch'd without the proper Certificate, a Permit. The Negative therefore in this Issue is felf-evident and incontestable; for a Jury cannot find that a Trader has a Permit, when the fame cannot be produced; or that he had register'd his House as a Trader, when the Registry-Books manifest the Reverse. Was every Contest of this Sort arising in the Excise to be determin'd by Juries and Forms of Law, there must be all the necessary and expenfive Pleadings previous to fuch Tryal; and as the Decision would chiefly hinge upon some fingle Fact in Question, which in its Nature is apparent, a Jury cannot find a Verdict of the Truth of a Fact upon their Oaths, contrary to common Sense, and the Evidence before them. They cannot find a Man has a Permit when he has none; and when a Jury has found fuch a Face, by the Rules of Law, the Judge must give Judgment, according to the Letter of the Law, for all Forseitures and Penalties incurr'd by fuch determin'd Fact, without any Power

of Mitigation whatsoever: So that every Mistake or Inadvertency might prove as satal to an innocent and honourable Trader in Westminster-Hall, as a corrupt designing Fraud in a Smuggler. In short, Sir, they who insist upon the great Necessity of a Jury to try Facts so, self-evident, or are weak, or wicked enough to say this Deprivation of Tryals by Juries is contrary to Magna Charta, may with equal Reason affirm that Euclid's Elements are contrary to Magna Charta, because they are arbitrarily determin'd by Demonstration only, and not by a Jury: Nor, according to these Men, can any Law whatsoever be enacted without, in some Mea-

fure, infringing upon Magna Charta.

The Commissioners of Excise, Sir, consider'd in their just Position and Attitude, between the Publick and every private Offender against the Publick, will rather appear as Mitigators and Mediators of the Law, than as Judges or Prosecutors, as has been infamously afferted by Men who will dare to fay any Thing. For, by the Letter of the Law, there are few Things challeng'd before them, but what are feizable: But when any Circumstances arise upon Evidence, in the least Appearance substantial; as that the Goods have become seizable by Accident, Overfight, or Inadvertency, they are redeliver'd to the Proprietor, and all Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties remitted: Which is fuch an Eafe to the Trader, that Courts of Law, where Juries are allow'd, cannot give.

This, Sir, is the well known Conduct of those Gentlemen, whose Characters have, notwithstanding, been so infamously traduc'd: Nay, such favourable Concessions have they been known

to make to the Trader, that when Goods have been regularly feiz'd and lawfully condemn'd; vet if afterwards there was room to suspect any Partiality in the Evidence, the Commissioners have upon all fuch Occasions advis'd the Trader to petition; thereupon granted a Re-hearing,

and revers'd their former Judgment.

Nor can we have any reasonable Apprehensions of their ever Acting otherwise; since the Crown would have been totally difinterested in committing any Oppression or Hardship upon the Trading Subject. The Crown would have been meerly ministerial in the Collection of this Part of the Revenue, and acted by Virtue of its executive Power only. Whether there be more or less arising from the Excise, it cannot affect the Prerogative; fo that all this Clamor and sham Zeal for Liberty, is palpably design'd to misguide and disaffect the People. Throughout this Controversy, Gentlemen purposely confound and unite Ideas, that Reason points out to be clearly separated and distinguish'd. Thus have they represented Magna Charta and the whole Constitution, as one and the same Thing; the Prerogative, or Power inherent in the Person of the King independent of the Legislature, and the executive Power, or that Power entrusted in the King by the Legislature, as fynonymous; the publick Revenue of the Kingdom, as the private Income and Riches of the King himfelf; than all which nothing can be more wicked, thus to impose upon the weak Understandings of the Commonalty, who, they know, cannot eafily make these proper Distinctions.

What I am not a little furpriz'd at, is, that Traders, of a fudden, should grow so fond of Westminster-Hall Processes. In the Course of my Experience, Sir, I never heard of a Trader, unless a very litigious one indeed, that prefer'd their Determinations to those of the Commissioners of Excise; and therefore we may suspect these Gentlemen are either not in earnest, or don't understand what they talk about. A Summary Way will most expedite Trade, and is consonant with their own spontaneous Practices of having Recourse to Arbitration rather than Law; and as every Motive to Partiality was taken away from the Commissioners, they may

be justly consider'd in that Light.

Had this Deprivation of Juries been judg'd a real Hardship to Traders, when cooly and dispassionately discuss'd by Parliament, and not made a warm Party-Assair of, it is not to be doubted but Juries would have been granted. But, if Traders had their Option, we should soon see whether they would not rather visit the Commissioners than Westminster-Hall; rather have the Privilege of pleading their own Cause, and giving a Narrative of their own Evidence, in a concise and unexpensive Manner, than to be oblig'd to tedious Attendancies, and feeing Council, Attorney and Solicitor.

If the Commissioners of the Excise are such Oppressors as we have been told they are, I think those Traders, who at present are under the Excise-Laws, have no small Reason to be angry with the Opposers of this Scheme; because it proposed the Justices of the Courts of King's-Bench, and Common-Pleas, and Barons of the Coif of the Court of Exchequer as Checks upon them: All Appeals being from the Commissioners to the Judges; and to be carried on

in the same plain, easy and unexpensive Way as is daily done before the Commissioners. Does such a Proposition as this look like a Design upon our Liberties? What can be more condescending to the Humour of Traders? What indicate more Tenderness and Regard to the Ease of the Subject, than to change the Laws, that have been so many Years established, and never 'till now judg'd oppressive, in Compliance to a Spirit that has been rais'd meerly by Art and Mis-representation?

This proposed Alteration, indeed, has been set forth in a very low Light, and as no extraordinary Grant; but, I cannot but think differently of it; and so must all Traders too, unless the scurrilous Invectives that have been cast

upon the Commissioners are groundless.

Judges of the Common-Law, who are plac'd in the most conspicuous Point of Light, and whose Determinations are facredly recorded a-midst the purest System of Reasoning and Justice, that human Nature is capable of, can hardly be supposed to forseit their Honour and Reputation upon account of a paultry Seizure; which, as I have before shewn, is a Contest between the Publick and Individuals, and therefore can admit of no Incitement to Injustice.

'Tis true they are put in by the Crown, but it is for Life; and can it be fuggested, that, three of those Sages should be Confederates in Oppression; Men distinguish'd in all Ages for their great Wisdom and Integrity, and among whom there is generally an Emulation to excel

in Wisdom and Uprightness?

Mer. You pass by, Sir, unanswer'd the grand Objection, viz. that Officers who have a Share

of the Forfeiture are allow'd to be Evidence against the Subject, and are therefore under a very strong Temptation to be partial in their Evidence in Prejudice to the Trader: Which is visibly repugnant to the Constitution, and all

known Rules of Law and Equity.

Landb. You do well, Sir, to remind me; that Particular had slip'd my Notice. These Objectors make no Difference between Offences committed by one Individual against another, and Offences committed by Individuals against the whole Community; whereas nothing is more distinct, nor requires more different Methods

in their Decision.

It is true, in determining Contests between Individuals, no Person is allow'd to be a Witness who has an Interest in the Event; but why? Because personal Interest is judg'd an Excitement sufficient to prosecute Offences committed against themselves. Disinterested Persons upon fuch Occasions are always ready to give their Testimony; but Offences of a publick Nature are attended with a Lukewarmness and Indifferency. Experience puts it beyond difpute that Men never have it so much at Heart to detect, profecute, or bear witness against publick Offenders, as against the personal Injurers of themfelves, Friends, Relations or Neighbours. Now, as it is of the highest Moment and Concern to Society, that Offences of a publick Nature should be exemplarily punish'd, there must of Necessity be some political Means used to encourage Men to publick Profecutions. Upon this Confidera-tion it is that the Legislature, and the greatest Sages in the Laws, have alway wifely admitted Men to be good Witnesses, who had an Advantage vantage in convicting the Offender; even in Cafes where the Offence is capital. Not only the Laws of *Great Britain* admit of this, but those of all civiliz'd and well-policy'd States

abound with Instances of this Sort.

This Privilege however is not only allow'd to Excise-Officers; but every common Subject, who has no Place under the Government, has equal Right to give Information; and upon Conviction of the Offender is entitl'd by Law to the Reward. If your Objection, Sir, be an Argument against passing the late Excise-Bill, then, by Parity of Reason, all Laws for detecting Highway-Men and Robbers ought to be repeal'd, and none should be punish'd for Offences committed against the Publick, 'till we can find fuch God-mortals among us, as to put themselves to the Expence and Trouble of profecuting publick Offenders, meerly and only from a pure Spirit of Patriotism and publick Good. The Reluctancy in Men to bring publick Offenders to Justice, appears in nothing more conspicuous and unquestionable, than by the Law that is made to prevent the Compounding of Felony. The admitting Evidence, therefore, who have an Interest in the Event, is an Exigency of all States, and Excisemen are as much Necessitudines Reipublicæ, as any other Friends to Society.

Mer. You feem to have thought closely about the Subject, Sir John, indeed; but there are divers other Objections, which with me are of no inconfiderable Weight; and may put you pretty much upon the Stretch to get over. Trade, Sir, you are fensible, cannot be supported but by a mutual Confidence among the Trading

World

World. It is in the Power of Excisemen oftentimes to destroy a Man's Credit, by representing of his Circumstances, by prying into the Secrets of Trades, setting them up without having duly been brought up to 'em, and by giving Information of the State and Currency of a Man's Business: So that Traders may be infensibly ruin'd, and remain totally ignorant of the Causes of their Missortune.

Landb. This Objection has been warmly banded about, as well by the antiministerial Mercenaries, as by some prating Demagogues; but, with all the Reason I am Master of, I could never discern that Strength in it, that some have pre-

tended to discover.

From the Reasons I urg'd before, why Officers cannot be worse than other Men, may be inferr'd they are no better. But the great Improbability, or rather Imposibility, of their ever doing Injury to Traders by any fuch Measures, will render this Objection very frivolous. For Officers have no Power to learn the Mysteries of their Trades; the Time they have to difpatch their Business, will not allow 'em to make Enquiry or Observation sufficient for any such Purpose. The utmost they can possibly learn is, whether a Trader be a confiderable, a small, or a trifling Dealer; and this is no more than what any one may know, if they have Curiofity to be inquisitive into others Affairs. The Trader is only to enter what he fells for publick Inspection, not what Credit he gives or takes, or the honest Secrets and Mysteries in manufacturing his Commodities.

Let it be admitted, as I imagine it will scarce be controverted, that in all the Shops survey'd

5 2 by

by a fingle Exciseman, there are an hundred Servants, either with Clerks and Book-Keepers, or Menial, alway refident in their Mafter's Bufiness, and more privy to their Secrets than it is possible for Excisemen to be by transient Surveys: These Servants also are generally pretty conversant with one another, change their Places, compare Notes, and communicate their Knowlege of their Mafter's Secrets thro' an hundred of them. Now, if we admit a Common Officer to collect as much Knowledge of the Privacies of the feveral Traders they furvey, as the whole hundred Sevants, (which, by the way, is not very possible), of what Detriment to Traders can the additional Knowledge of a poor Officer be, "when their Mysteries are knowable by so many besides? What may be known to fo many can never be a Secret long; and therefore this Rumour of the Discovery of Traders Secrets is meer Grimace, and only to be laugh'd at by Men of Sense, who see thro' such Cobweb Trash.

Should it be faid, that there is not so much Danger of a Servant's betraying his Master, as there is of an Exciseman, because the Servant is liable to an immediate Discharge, upon the first Detection of his Insidelity, I answer; that every Master has the same Power of discharging an Officer as well as a common Servant, provided he can prove that he has prejudic'd him in his Trade; and that before the Commissioners, by representing the Case with such Circumstances of Truth, as may give full Conviction of the Charge against him. And I may defy the Publick to produce even one Instance where any Complaint of that Sort was ever made, with the least Colour of Truth to support it, and

that

that the Officer was not immediately dif-

charg'd.

The next Part of your Argument, Sir, I think very trivial indeed; but as many have not been asham'd to urge it, I see no Reason why I should scruple to answer it. It is this; That Officers have fometimes presum'd to set up a Business they furvey, without being regularly bred to it. It is not impossible but, at some Time or other, fome of these poor Slaves may have had the Ambition to fet up a Publick House, or a Chandler's Shop, as thousands of Footmen have done; but I never heard that their deep Knowledge by Inspection, ever made them so wise as to venture upon a Tallow-Chandler, Brewer, or Distiller, &c. A thousand Objections of this Sort will scarce weigh down, in the Scale of just Argument, a thousandth Part of one of the publick Advantages that would accompany the Defign, was it put in Execution. Sometimes these poor Fellows are painted in the blackest Colours; as ignorant, indolent and imperious Creatures, unfit for any Thing but Excisemen: At other Times they are the most penetrating, fagacious, diligent and well-behav'd Enquirers into the great Mysteries of Mankind!

For an Exciseman to know the Circumstances of a Merchant, any otherwise than the Publick does by his Exports and Imports, is very improbable; nor can it enter into my Head how Retailers can suffer in this Respect. Country Shop-Keepers deal in thirty or forty different Commodities, and perhaps half-a-dozen of 'em only excised ones; how a Knowledge of one fifth or fixth Part of their Business should enable an Officer to spread the Whole of a Trader's Circumstances,

or make any Report thereof that would be credited, is to me an inexplicable Paradox.

However, Sir, supposing all the Secrets, and all the Circumstances of Traders in general were laid fairly and nakedly open to the World, it might prove a national Blessing, for ought I know. This, I presume to affirm, would be one happy Consequence; that Bankrupts, and Cheats would not be so numerous: Traders would be more upon their Guard, live suitable to their Fortune and Condition, and strive to support their Credit by Honour and Honesty,

not by Craft and Knavery.

But, Sir, if you will permit me to appeal to that infallible Touchstone, Experience, the Objection will appear to be of no Weight at all. For so far have Excises prov'd from being any real Prejudice to Traders, that as many considerable Estates have been acquir'd by the Sale of excised Commodities, as by any that are not so. To support me in this Assertion, I need only mention the reputable Names of Brewer, Distiller, Leather-seller, Soap-boiler, Druggist, &c. which are universally esteem'd some of the most profitable Trades in the Nation; and therefore the Excise is very far from making Beggars of those who are under its Laws.

Mer. The Objections I have hitherto made, Sir, are of little Weight in comparison with what I have to offer. There is a Pamphlet lately publish'd, Sir, entitled, a Letter from a Member of Parliament, giving Reasons for his opposing the Excise-Scheme, shewing that had the late Attempt succeeded, it had been destructive of Parliaments, and fatal to the Constitution. As but a few Days are pass'd since I read it, the Substance is fresh

in my Memory. The grand Argument by which he has prov'd his Affertion, feems to me the most cogent that has ever yet been urg'd against the Bill: It runs thus, viz. " That this Scheme would have fettled all the Re-" venue arifing from it in Perpetuity upon the "Crown, which would destroy that mutual "Dependency between Princes and Parlia-" ments." For thus the Author argues; " His " Majesty is necessary to us for the End of "Government, Protection. We are necessary to him for the Means, Money. Now, " whatever tends to weaken or destroy this " mutual Necessity, must of Course destroy "that Harmony, by taking away the funda-mental Cause of it. That this would have 66 been the Case, had the late Attempt suc-" ceeded, will be evident, if you consider that " those Duties were to have been given in Per-" petuity instead of a Land-Tax granted an-" nually, and appropriated to the current Ser-" vice of the Year as the Wisdom of Parlia-" ment judg'd necessary."

Landb. The Pamphlet you mention, Sir, was fent me down last Week: Which I must allow to be drawn up in a very artful and elaborate Manner. The Argument you have pitch'd upon, indeed, is the chief in the Performance; and because its Authors are very fond of it, have retail'd it again in the Crastsman. But if this, Sir, be all they have left to say for themselves, I hope soon to undeceive you.

As the Law stands at present, all Wine and Tobacco ought to pay fully such certain Duties, as by Act of Parliament are legally imposed upon them. The whole Sum which should arise as

a Duty upon every Pound of Tobacco, and every Pint of Wine imported into, and confumed in this Kingdom, is already charged, granted, appropriated and limited in as full a Manner as by the intended Bill was proposed: By which there was to have been no new Charge laid, or any Thing granted, appropriated or perpetuated, but what was actually so before; and has been for many Years. Your Author's Insinuation therefore of the intended Excise being a perpetual Fund, in Opposition to the Customs not being so, is an Instance of the greatest Disingenuity; and shews to what contemptible Shifts these Gentlemen are reduc'd, to keep up the Spirit they are so indefatigable to encourage.

If it be faid, they oppose the *Perpetuity* of the *Customs* to the *annual* Duration of the *Land Tax* to be taken off, yet the Consequence they draw of the Danger to Parliaments is remote from the Point; because whether the *Land-Tax* be continued or discontinued, those *Duties* will nevertheless remain an appropriated Fund for the Support of the State. For our Ancestors have very wifely judg'd not to make the *Land-Tax* a perpetual Fund, as these *Gentlemen* contend for, but only have appropriated Taxes upon

foreign Luxuries and Superfluities.

These Gentlemen therefore, Sir, are now reduced to this plain Question; whether the Prevention of Frauds in the Collection of these Duties, in order to ease the Land, can have any such Effect as to destroy that mutual Dependency necessary to be preserved between Princes and Parliaments, and thereby to render their Meetings less frequent? If they say it can;

then it will follow, from their own Way of Reasoning, that the more Frauds that are committed in the Collection of any Branch of the Revenue, the greater Preservation will it be to the Constitution; because it is certain that it will be necessary for the Parliament to meet the oftner to raise Money to supply these fraudulent Desiciencies. Nay, so far may this admirable Argument of theirs be carried, that those who were guilty of Burglary, 'and actually robbed the Exchequer, or shall ever hereafter rob it, are the most eminent Patriots, and contribute by fuch Robberies to the Preservation of the Constitution: For thereby it is certain that less will come into the Exchequer, Princes will have less to misapply, and there will be more frequent Occasions for the calling of Parliaments, to lay new Taxes to make up for these Losses occasion'd by Smuggling and Robbery. Thus, Sir, have these penetrating Politicians made a most notable Discovery; which they may register in their political Canon; viz. That Robbers of the publick Revenue, and Plunderers of the Exchequer are some of the greatest Benefactors to the State.

From that Author's Way of Arguing, one would be apt to imagine the Sum, purpos'd to be rais'd by the Excife-Scheme, was immenfly great, that, as he fays, it would put a Stop to the Assembling of Parliaments to raise more. The utmost that the Surplus has ever been suppos'd to arise to, is but 500,000 l. a Year, just a Sufficiency to ease the Land; which is not above one eleventh Part of the whole national Revenue; and yet, according to their new Way of Reasoning, the Meeting of Parliaments would not have been necessary to raise

the

the other Part. Tho' some Part of the other ten Elevenths may be ascertain'd to the Publick, yet if the Parliament always takes Care of a considerable annual Reserve in their own Breast, there can be no Danger of the Destruction of that mutual Necessity and Harmony between Princes and Parliaments, because the fundamental Cause thereof will still subsist.

When these Gentlemen think to shew, that the Scheme might have prejudic'd the Constitution, they magnify the Sum it would have rais'd to an enormous Size; even to so great a Degree, that it would have render'd Parliaments unnecessary, and been destructive of their very Being: But when they are in a Strain for exploding the Scheme, and shewing its Insufficiency to answer the End propos'd; (viz. the Ease of the Land), then they fink the Sum to a very diminutive Degree: So that these two contradictory Arguments destroy the Force of each other. By the first they tacitly acknowledge the Extensiveness of the Frauds at the Customs, because the Prevention of 'em would have produc'd so considerable a Surplus; by the latter they represent the Surplus to be so minute and inconsiderable, that it is impossible it should have any such Effect upon Parliaments: By their pretended anticonstitution Argument, they confess the Necessity of a Scheme to prevent the Frauds; by the other, the Impossibility of fuch a Scheme being of any Prejudice to the Constitution. How natural you fee, Sir, is it for Truth to break out, tho' ever fo much difguis'd and invelop'd with Error!

But I have not, yet, done with this Argument of theirs; for it may very dextroufly

be applied, by these first-rate Politicians, as well against the Encrease of our Trade, as against the Excise-Bill. For if his Majesty, by any wife Scheme, Treaty, or Negotiation should augment our Commerce in general, and thereby our Exports and Imports to double what they are at present, it is certain, in Consequence thereof, the Customs would be double what they are at present: But was there any Truth in what your favorite Writer urges, (from the Danger of encreasing the Duties,) such wise Conduct in his Majetty, would be equally dangerous to our Liberties, with the Excise-Bill; because, it is certain, the more Money was rais'd from those Fountains of the publick Revenue, the less would be necessary to be rais'd from the Land, Soap and Candles, &c. But your Author, Sir, cannot relish taking off Taxes upon the common Necessaries of Life, if the same Sums are to be rais'd upon foreign Superfluities; no: That is a Doctrine that favours too much of arbitrary Power, and the Destruction of Parliaments. But who will believe him? He had better speak what he thinks, viz. That fuch a Scheme would only draw the Affections of the People too much to its Propofers; and therefore it is, fuch Gentlemen so violently oppose it. Whoever Reads the Bill will find, that Part of the Customs intended to have been converted into an In-land Duty, was to have been granted to the Crown, only during the Life of his Majesty and appropriated to the Uses of the Publick, as by other Acts, and that Bill, were duly appropriated. And therefore all that Author's Reflections on what future wicked Princes possi bly may do, are very low, and for want of fomething fomething

fomething better to fay; fince the Parliament on the Demife of every *Prince* have it in their Power to grant these Taxes annually, if the Qualifications of the Successor do not recommend him to the Confidence of Parliament for

fo great a Truit.

If then these Duties are not perpetuated to his Majesty's Successor, but to his Majesty only for Life; how the Danger of Misapplication of publick Money by wicked Princes, set forth by that Writer in such hideous Colours, is reconcileable with the Encomiums bestow'd on his Majesty in other Parts of his Book, I am at a Lois to comprehend. In short, Sir, the natural Consequence of that Writer's Suggestion, is simply this; that those large Sums of Money, which at present run into the Hands of national Robbers and Smugglers, are more likely to be applied to the Good of the Publick, than if the same Sums had been legally deposited into the Exchequer, and under his Majesty's Royal Care, 'till the same had been duly appropriated by Parliament to the Ease of the Landed Interest, as intended. An admirable Compliment on his Majohy, truly! Bestow'd on him without doubt to encrease the Ass Clions of his People towards him. These Gentlemen have hitherto chose to wound the Character of his Majesty thro' the Sides of his Ministry, but here these Antiloyaliths throw of the Mask.

Mer. That Author, Sir, has another Argument which I think is new too; it is, that tho' the Excife-Bill has been represented as laying no new Daty, yet it is as great a Fallacy as ever was offer'd to a House of Commons. "For as the Law now stands, says he, it charges "cre. y

" every Hogshead of Wine with such a Custom " upon Importation; which once paid, I may " mix, adulterate and compound my Wines as "I please, without defrauding the Revenue; fince having paid all the Law requires, the "Revenue has no farther Demand upon me; " it is to all Intents my own, and the Publick " has no more to do with it, tho' I make use of it as an Ingredient in twenty Hogs-" heads of Liquor, which I fell by the Name of Wine. It is true, I defraud the Publick. that is my Customer, if I sell them for Wine, " what is not both as pleafant and wholfome " as Wine; and fo does a Cyder- Merchant, who " mixes Turnip-Juice with his Cycler; fo does a Goldsmith, who mixes his Gold, or Silver " with too much Alloy, &c. This, he fays too, " gives a Sanction to fuch Mixtures, by taxing "them towards the Revenue; which besides " the Immorality of it, would be as certainly a new Tax, as taxing a whole Manufacture, instead of one Material used in compounding it, which Wine only is suppos'd to be."

Landh. It is observable, Sir, as I have made appear in divers Instances, these Objectors confound the justest Distinctions, on purpose to mislead and perplex their Readers; and now they play the common sophistical Cheat upou us, by making absurd Distinctions without a Difference. If, say they, "a Vintner pays all the Law" requires, he does not rob the Publick:" But these Men will not consider that they the Vintner has paid such a Duty as the Law requires, yet if he does not sell Wine, but any Quantity of Mixture amongst it (we will suppose one Half) he still defrauds the Publick; since it will not

be deny'd, that every Man who drinks a Pint of Wine, and a Pint of poisonous Liquors with it, thinking them a Bottle of Wine, would drink no less if his Bottle had been all Wine: From whence it follows, that the Vintner must buy double the Quantity of real Wine, and the Merchant import proportionably,; and confequently double the Profit would come to the general Revenue, to the Ease of other Taxes: But by felling one Half for Wine, which is not fo, the Vintner deprives the Publick of one Half of the Revenue, the Customer of one Half of what he buys, and the Merchant of one Half of his Importation; which is fufficient to flew, that the Wine-Scheme would have been an Advantage to all, and a Fountain of Ease to other Taxes; and therefore what may be suppos'd to be loft in the general Balance, by encreasing our Importation from Portugal, would be amply made up to us, could we once lower the domestick Expence of our Woolen Goods, so as to underfel those Nations, which are so much ftriving to rival us in that invaluable Branch of our British Commerce.

This Argument, indeed, is founded upon the Supposition, that as great a Quantity of real Wine would be consum'd, as there is now of their poisonous Compounds. But these Gentlemen, I imagine, will deny this, and say, that the Vintner will raise his Price, he not being able to get so much by the Sale of neat, as adulterated Wines; and therefore the Consumption would be diminish'd. Let this for Argument sake be granted; and let us suppose with them, that they will raise their Wine Six-Pence, nay, one Shilling in the Bottle; yet it must be observed,

that the Encrease or Decrease of the Price of what is confum'd, would only affect the Confumption in the Proportion as the Rife or Fall of the Price happens to be; fo that if we suppose it raised in Price one Shilling per Bottle, one Third less only would be consum'd, and the confumed two Thirds, being all Wine, would be one fixth Part more than the whole Quantity now consum'd, one Half whereof only being suppos'd Wine. If the Price was rais'd only Six-Pence in the Bottle, as would be more probable, then one Fifth less only would be consum'd, and the confum'd four Fifths, being all Wine, would be three Tenths more than the whole Quantity at prefent confum'd. This Reasoning, which is mathematically true, will hold good, let the Quantity adulterated be more or less. But how would obliging the Vintner to pay Duty for every Bottle he fells as a Bottle of Wine, give any Sanction to his Adulteration, as that Author afferts? Does it take away the Power of any Law in Force against him for such Practices? There is at prefent an In-land Duty on Candles; and if a Tallow-Chandler uses false Weights, and fells three Quarters of a Pound for a Pound, would it be any Exemption from Punishment, or could he elude the Laws against such Tricksters, by pleading he had paid Duty for a Pound, when he had actually defrauded the Buyer of a Quarter-Part of what he contracted for?

The pitiful Sophistry of those Writers, Sir, is still farther remarkable in that Passage you allude to. "The Term Publick, say they "(speaking of Robbing the Publick) in one Sense speaking in another Place the Customers of the Vintner only; and a High-

" wayman

" wayman or Pickpocket may as well be faid " to rob the Revenue as a Vintner, who fells " compound Liquors." Behold these Advocates for Sophistication! By the Revenue, Sir, is always understood, by Men who have no Intent to deceive, the whole publick-Treasure, levy'd for the Support of the State; and by the Term Publick is plainly meant the whole Community, or collective Body of the People; but if the Publick be confin'd, as that Author would have it, only to fignify the Customers of the Vintner, then indeed every Vintner has a Republick to himself, and by cheating them, he only cheats his own Republick, and not the general Community. Wonderful Reasoning truly! A Highwayman, a Pickpocket, or Trickster by false Weights, may as well be faid not to rob the Publick, but only those Persons who fall in their Way, as a Vintner may, who only defrauds his Customers. From this impositious Distinction of your admir'd Writer, it will appear, that, exclusive of the Revenue, no Man can do an Act against the Publick, but where all the Individuals are immediately injur'd. If fuch Reasoning holds good, then all our Laws and Profecutions against Highwaymen, which have been founded on a Supposition, that he who robs one Man, robs and injures the whole Community, are fallacious in the very first Principles; all the celebrated Lawgivers of Greece and Rome are, by these Gentlemen, Blockheads; and all suffering Highwaymen have been put to Death unjustly. In short, Sir, this Argument of theirs, if it proves any Thing, proves, that no Man merits the Gallows, but fuch Authors who in-· jure the Bulk of the Nation, by wantonly sporting with their Weakness and Credulity. Mer.

Mer. As to the Point of Brewing and 'Adulteration of Wines, I confess I am not sharp-sighted enough to fee how the Scheme would have put a Stop to these Practices. For if Malt-Spirits, Cyder, Perry, &c. are the Particulars wherewith this Sophistication is carried on, the Wine-Coopers and Vintners, whilst the Daty upon Wines continues fo much higher than upon those adulterating Ingredients, will still have strong Motives, notwithstanding all the Rigour and Severity of an Excise-Inspection, to Brewing, and Adulteration, &c. because those Mixtures, when they are made to pay the Duty of Wine, may certainly be afforded cheaper than neat Wines can be imported.

Landb. The Ingredients, Sir, wherewith you suppose this Adulteration carried on, are already taxed; fo that the Tax would be double to fuch Cheats who misapplied them, but single to Traders who apply'd them honeftly. But what you contend for is to invert the Case; that honest Men may pay a double Tax, and Rogues a fingle one; which Policy, I think, none can approve, but they who live by Trick and Cheat, Pillage, and Plunder. Supposing an Excise did not absolutely (tho' it would in a great Meafure) put a Stop to those pernicious Practices, vet no Man sure can hesitate a Moment in determining which is most for the publick Good; a Tax upon Roguery, or a Tax upon the common Necessaries of Life, and the Staple Commodities of our Country. To make such Objections as these, Sir, is only hanging upon the Skirts of the Controversy; nibbling at a few Inconveniencies to Traders; and to fuch only, who deferve no favourable Treatment from the Community.

'Tis of little Significancy to dispute about the Scheme propos'd, 'till this fundamental Point be fettled; which is the most eligible; the Conversion of a Duty upon two foreign Superfluities from Customs to Excises, or the perpetuating the Land-Tax? Had this Proposition been with Temper discuss'd by a Parliamentary Inquisition, I have Reason to believe, that a Land-Tax would have appear'd rather prejudicial to Trade, and the other a general Benefit to it: Nay, had the Surplusses not been proposed to have been appropriated to the Ease of the Landed-Interest, but to the taking off any other Tax; as that upon Soap and Candles, or the like; the Design would have merited the highest Applause. For the Ease of the *Planters*, and keeping all *Traders* upon a Level, were of themfelves sufficient to recommend it. But instead of entring into the Bottom of the grand and effential Point, Menaces of an Insurrection were fulminated against the Senate; intimidating Mobs, Infults, and Cavalcades were rais'd; and every kind of Spright that tended to pervert and mifguide Men's Judgments.

If Senates are to be thus treated, and the Freedom of Debate obstructed, the Throw of a Die may as well determine what is for the publick Good, and what not; and so, meer Chance and Accident, instead of Senatorial Wisdom, and Sterling Policy, are to give Laws to Old England. Thus, Sir, we find Men who bluster the most about Liberty of Debate, and the Freedom of Parliaments, have done the most to destroy both; and they who cry out so loudly for the Liberty of the Press, and the Independency of Parliaments, would have none write or

fpeak

speak but themselves, and the Parliament dependent on the Humours of the Multitude.

Mer. I shall not take upon me, Sir, to justify the Conduct of any Men, but confine myself closely to the Merits of the Cause; and therefore I join Issue with you, Sir, and recur to the essential Point, of Easing the Land, and its necessary Effects, which you have so much insisted on. At first, I waved this, thinking, indeed, I should have been able to have silenc'd you, without entring upon this Branch of the Argument.

Landed-Men are always for shifting the Taxes upon Commodities, and they imagine themselves very politick in so doing, because they give themselves, seemingly, an immediate Ease; but they are only amus'd and deceiv'd. For tho' they do not pay the Tax seelingly, when it is upon Commodities; yet, they will find their Purses at the Year's End as much emptied: Their Money, then indeed, goes from 'em by Dribblets, and imperceptibly, yet at long Run

they pay the fame.

Sir, Agreeable to this, argues that Great Man Mr. Lock, whose Authority you have cited upon other Occasions, and therefore 'tis not to be question'd but you will pay as high a Regard to his Opinion upon this. "Taxes, says he, however contriv'd, and out of whose Hands soever immediately taken, do in a Country where their great Fund is in Land, for the most Part terminate upon Land. Whatsoever the People is chiefly maintained by, that the Government supports itself on:"And in another Place he says, "A Tax laid upon Land seems hard to the Landbolder, because it is so much Money going visibly G 2 "out

"out of his Pocket; and therefore as an Eafe to himself, the Landbolder is always forward to lay it upon Commodities: But if he will throughly consider it, and examine the Effects, he will find he buys this seeming Ease at a very dear Rate, and tho' he pays not this Tax immediately out of his own Purse, yet there will be more wanting there, at the End of the Year, than that comes to, &c."

Besides, Sir, I can't conceive how a Land-Tax has that Effect upon Trade, you have taken all along for granted; and therefore I can't see how Trade would be advantag'd by it, was it taken quite off. A Land-Tax, so far from being any Detriment to Trade, appears to me a great Ease to it, by keeping Taxes off from Commodities.

Landb. 'Tis true; Sir, throughout the whole Debate I have endeavoured to shew how detrimental a Land-Tax is to Trade; and therefore how beneficial Taking it off must necessarily be. And since you seem not to be fatisfied with what I have already communicated to you upon that Head, I shall take a different way to illustrate this Point.

To prove that the Taking off a Land-Tax would have those good Effects upon Trade I have insisted on, by lowering the Prices of our Woolen Manufallure, it is sufficient to shew that the laying on a Tax upon Land will raise them. To which End, let us suppose that the whole Revenue of the State was to be raised from the Lands, (which indeed our modern Malecontents have frequently contended for,) it would a mount to about Eleven Shillings in the Pound. This Step would at once strip all the Freeholders of half their Estates. Now, if we take a Sur-

vey of all the Freeholders in the Kingdom, we shall find at least one half of them who can but barely subsist upon the annual Rent of their Lands: So that the first thing that such Landbolders would be necessitated to do, would be... immediately to enter upon their own Estates, and by their own Labour and Cultivation add to the original Rent the Profits that now fall to their Tenants. Such who would become Occupiers of their own Lands we may suppose to be one Fourth Part of the Landbolders; which would necessarily turn one Fourth of all the Tenants in England out of their Farms. And for the Residue of the Lands to be Lett, there would be more Tenants than Farms; who, being bred to Husbandry and Agriculture, and incapable of providing for their Families any other Way, would bid one above the other for the Farms; and thereby, as Mr. Lock fays, raife the Rents, as much as the Price of any Commodity is raised in a Market where there are more Buyers than Sellers. This must inevitably raise the Prices of all the Commodities produced by the Lands, to enable the Tenants to pay their extraordinary Rents; and those, who held their own Lands, would of course raise their Produce to the Market Price. Thus the Necessaries of Life being raifed by the great Land-Tax, the Labourers, and Artificers who subfift upon those Necessaries must raise their Labour in Proportion. Hence, not only the Price of every Ingredient used in the Staple Commodity, Cloth, must be enhanced, but the Labour of the first Manufacturers, and every labouring intermediate Carrier and Dealer must be likewise encreased, till it comes to the Hands of the Merchant. Whether these Proportions

portions I have pitched upon be just or not, is immaterial: It is sufficient to my Purpose that they shew what must be the natural Effects of such a Land-Tax. And whatever Tax be laid upon Land, the Rents and Produce thereof will be in a continual Flux of Raising, till the Landlord finds his Gains to be as great after the Deduction of that Tax, as before it was imposed. Experience confirms the Truth of this Reasoning, and shews that the Land itself, and from thence all the Necessaries of Life, as well as our home made Manusactures, have been raised in their Price one Fifth Part since the Land-Tax has been

imposed.

Mr. Lock indeed has been frequently cited in this Controversy, to shew that he was of Opinion, that a Land-Tax was preferable Taxes upon Commodities; and from his Authority some have infinuated the Reasonableness of laying all Taxes upon Land at once; but this, Sir, is straining and perverting the Sense of that great Man in order to oppress the Landbolders. "Taxes, says "he, however contrived, and out of whose Hands "foever immediately taken, do, in a Country " where the Great Fund is in Land, for the most " part terminate upon Land." And I remember in another Part of that same Piece of Reasoning, he fays, in Answer to Holland's being brought as an Instance of laying the Expence of the State upon Trade, "Lay the Taxes, fays he, " where you will, the Land every where in " Proportion bears the greater Share." These Paffages, Sir, with many other I could produce, may suffice to shew, that the true Meaning of Mr. Lock, is, That if the Taxes on Commodities are more than the Proportion of their Value bears bears to the Value of Land, such super-proportionable Charge will not ultimately rest on those Commodities, but continually sluctuate till it comes and settles upon the Land, where there would be, in such a Case, the greatest Room to receive it.

Mr. Lock can never mean that Landed Men, any more than Merchants, pay Taxes upon Commodities any otherwise than as Consumers of those Commodities. Whilst there is a Tax upon Commodities, and none upon Land, the Landed Man pays no Tax as a Landed Man; how then can a Tax upon Commodities affect his Land, as such, any more than a Land-Tax can affect the Capital of a Money'd Man which

he keeps in the Funds?

But Gentlemen have wrested the Sense of this great Author, and made him speak their Sentiments, not his own; they have labour'd to shew that he was an Oppressor of the Landed-Men; and would have all Taxes laid upon them, and not upon foreign Commodities; than which Policy, nothing can be more fatal. Indeed was our Island so circumstantiated, as to be independent of all foreign Trade, and consum'd no foreign Supersuities; and were we to substitute on the natural Produce and Labour of its Inhabitants only; then, as the whole Mass of Property rested and depended upon the Land, the Land alone must support the State.

· But when the Circumstances of England are chang'd; when our foreign Trade is more extensive than ever yet was known in this Island, and the whole Nation so greatly encreas'd in Riches and People, and consequently the Expence of the State proportionably augment-

ed: If in these Circumstances, when England has fo prodigiously encreased in Foreign Trade, and the Land-owner is still to maintain the whole Expence of the State out of the natural Produce of his Land, the Land-owner's Interest will become diametrically opposite to that of the Nation; because as the Nation encreased in Riches and Grandeur, the Land-owner must be proportionably impoverished. Nor would the Effects of such Conduct terminate only in the distress'd Landholders, but must end in the Total Destruction and Subversion of such a Constitution. For, if the whole Revenue of the Kingdom should be levied upon the Land, in a State constituted with small Territories, the State might become fo great by its Trade, that the whole Rents of all the Lands would be swallowed up in the publick Expence.' The Land would be reduced to the Value of Nothing; and the Crown having a Right to seize every Man's Land for its Debts, would inevitably come the Proprietor of all the Lands in the Kingdom, and be again reinstated in the Capacity of the Conqueror. Who, Sir, in fuch Circumstancs, could rest his Goods, or stand secure on English Ground, without Permission from the Crown? Where then would be our British Freebolders to elect a Parliament, when the Lands are annexed to the Crown? No Friend to his Country can think of fuch a State without Trembling, and yet it is the natural Effects of their Politicks, who are for continuing a Land-Tax, and perswading us that laying all Taxes in general upon the Land is the greatest Advantage to Trade.

Mr. Lock fays, Sir, in the fame Treatife you have quoted, "That a Tax upon Wheat, or

" any native Commodity, would make it cheaper "to the first Seller, as the Tax making it dearer to the Consumer, it will be more spa-" ringly confumed." But then it must be confidered, that if our native Commodities were excifed, and that Part which is exported to go free from that Tax, it would be an Encouragement to Exportation; for that Tax lessens their Price, and makes them yield less to the first Seller. Now, if the Merchant who exports, only pays to the first Seller, he will consequently export the cheaper. On the other hand, if the laying a Tax on our Native Commodities makes them cheaper to the first Seller, the taking that Tax off, and laying it upon Land must make the Wool, Flax, &c. dearer to the first Seller. So that this Reasoning of Mr. Lock, though he has been frequently cited as an Authority for laying the Burthen of the Revenue upon Land, exactly quadrates with the whole Chain of my Argument in Opposition to it.

I agree with Mr. Lock, that where the Produce of the Land is charged, it would affect the Land, by cauling a leffer Confumption, and rendring the Price less to the Tenant; and if, by a Sparingness in the Consumption, the Produce should be render'd one Fourth cheaper, it would be equally the same as if the Landlord pay'd it out of his Pocket, by a Land-Tax. But then I must insist that the Loss, which terminates in the Landbolder, by the Tax upon any Species of Commodities produc'd from the Land, terminates in that Land only which produc'd those Commodities; and consequently the Loss by a Duty on Portugal Wines, French Wines or Brandy, or any Foreign Production, must terminate minate in the Proprietors in Foreign Lands, not in the British Landholder, as Mr. Lock has been

unjustly made to fay.

To render this Argument indisputable, I will pursue it a Step farther; and in the very same Path of Reasoning that Mr. Lock himself has struck out. Let us suppose a great Tract of Land, capable of bearing nothing but Wheat, and all the Wheat in England; and a Tax was laid upon Wheat only, and all other Commodities were free from a Tax: Was this the Case, according to Mr. Lock, every one would become sparing in the Use of Wheat; there would be fewer Buyers, and yet the same Number of Sellers, and the same Quantity of Wheat to sell. The Price therefore to the Tenant must be less. and thereupon he will pay his Landlord less Rent. Now, will not this same way of Reasoning hold equally good, when apply'd to Foreign Commodities, and Foreign Land? If it will, then let us suppose a certain Tract of Ground in France, produc'd all the Wine we confum'd in England, when that Commodity was imported Duty free; and afterwards we impos'd a high Duty thereupon; would not this lessen its Confumption here? For there would, agreeable to Mr. Lock, be fewer Buyers, and therefore the Tenants of the Vineyard must sell at such Prices as they are able. And as their Prices must fall to a low Ebb with the first Seller, so consequently must the Rents of their Lands. From whence it most plainly and evidently follows, that in any Tax upon Foreign Commodities, that Part which terminates upon Land, must terminate upon the Land of Foreign Countries, and their Occupiers only; and confequently the Money raifed

by Taxes upon Foreign Commodities is raised out of other Countries, to supply the publick Exi-

gencies of our own.

Should it be objected that our own Subjects will confume the same Quantity of Foreign Superfluities when dear, as cheap, thro' their Vanity or Luxuriousness; I answer absolutely, they will not; and for the Truth of this, I would only refer to those Counties in England, where French Wine is notoriously imported without paying the Duty. I think I may fafely fay, without any Hyperbole, that there is more French Wine consum'd in one of those Counties, than there is in any other ten over the Kingdom, of the same Number of Inhabitants, where the Duty is legally and duly paid. But supposing Vanity and Affectation of Grandeur should prompt Men to drink French Wine, as plentifully when dear, as cheap, and without a Tax; yet these must be money'd Men, and Men of large Fortunes, who are capable of doing fo; and then the publick Expence would be rais'd from the wealthy Subjects in our own Country; and the Land of our own Country, and the Artificers and Manufacturers necessarily be eased; and thereby enabled to export our own Manufactures the cheaper: And no Man can repine at the Imposition of any Tax, when it is at his Option whether he will oblige himself to the Payment of it, or no.

Thus, Sir, I think I have fully and impartially made it appear, that a Tax upon our own Land is very prejudicial to Trade, and therefore a Scheme to take it off, and lay it upon foreign Land, must be a great Advantage to it. What then have Traders been doing of, by their Ru-

 H_{2}

mours

mours and Invectives against the Excise-Scheme; which was most apparently calculated for their Benefit in general; that they might not be underfold by Foreigners at all the Markets in Europe, in the prime Commodity of our Nation? Tho' Wine-Coopers, Vintners, and Tobacco-Fattors have decry'd the Defign, fure, the Merchants, Exporters of our Woolen Manufactury could not do fo: All the Clothiers, their Fastors, all the Tenants, and Freeholders, and every one any ways concern'd in the Easement of the Land, must applaud it. The Wine Merchants also, if they know their own Interest, must commend the Defign, because it would turn the Wine-Trade into its proper and natural Channel; their own Hands: It would wrest it from the Hands of Wine-Coopers, and Adulterators, who have engross'd that Trade to themselves, undersel the honest Importers, tyrannize over the Majority of Vintners, whom by every Artifice they make dependent upon 'em, monopolize the whole Trade into their own Hands, and ferve the Vintners and the whole Nation with any Sort of fophisticated Mixtures, that will bring the most exorbitant Gains into their own Costers.

Mer. Another Point throughout this Controversy, Sir, that you have all along taken for granted, is, that the Planters in Maryland and Virginia would be highly advantaged by this Scheme; that they thinking themselves grievously oppressed by their Fasters, voluntarily solicited the Ministry to ease them from their Tyranny. But I cannot conceive, Sir, of what Benefit this Scheme could possibly be to the Planters; nor gan I think but it was a ministerial Artistice to draw them into it. Whether the whole Duties

be

be paid immediately, bonded, or paid by Piece-Meals, as the Goods are dispos'd of, what fignifies it to the *Planter?* If the *Fattor* can make any little Advantages by the Allowance at the *Custombouse* for Prompt Payment, how can this prejudice the *Planters?* Their Commissions, Sir, are extremely fatigueing to a *Fattor*; they consist of innumerable Particulars, and therefore require some Profit extraordinary to execute them.

Landb. I find, Sir, you fuffer nothing material to escape your Notice, tho' it renders the Dispute pretty tedious. But since you are not tired with objecting, I must not be so with answering. What most surprizes me, is to hear an Objection of this Kind, from a Gentleman fo well vers'd in Trade as yourfelf. I would, to fet this Point in as clear a Light as I am able, compare it with your own Trade to Spain and Portugal in the Woolen Way. For as you export our Manufactures to be fold in those Kingdoms by your Factors there, to whom you allow Commission for so doing; so do our Planters in America send over to their Factors in England, Tobacco to be fold here, or exported to other Parts of Europe. Now, Sir, there is a Duty in Spain and Portugal upon our Woolen Goods; of which, if your Factors clandestinely avoid the Payment, would it not be of great Prejudice to the whole Body of British Exporters? Would not the Frequency of fuch Practices fink the Price of our Woolen Goods, by impowering one Fastor to undersel another? But the Planters in America are affected in a much greater Degree; because the Circumstances of the Trades differ. For whilst Factors, by the Connivance and Corruption

ruption of Custombouse-Officers, are capable of clandestinely evading the Duty of so considerable a Part of the Tobacco that is imported, they not only prejudice their Brethren the reputable Factors, but most grievously oppress the Planter. Experience puts it beyond Dispute, that a Fastor who has a Quantity of any Commodity, which by Law ought to pay an high Duty, and yet pays none, will fell at any Rate, in order to be preferr'd to others, who do not take the same Meafures; and by the continued Frequency of fuch Bargains, every Body is at last reduc'd to fuch a Price, as must disgrace their Management with their Correspondents; whilst the fraudulent Factors can afford to allow those that confign to them fomething out of their Frauds, fo as to make their Accounts appear much better, and thereby raise a great Reputation abroad. for out-doing their Brethren, which still enables them to do the greater Mischief.

From the Method of Bonding, Fattors are under a great Temptation to fell for Exportation the Tobaccos entrusted to their Care, without any Regard to the Price; and merely to discharge their Bonds; by which means, not only the Balance of this profitable Branch of Trade is considerably lessen'd, by clogging and overloading the Markets in Foreign Countries, but the Commodity is depreciated to a very great Degree. Thus is it apparent how greatly the Planter is injur'd in the Sale, and even sometimes brought in Debt, while the Fattor receives his full Commissions, even for the Duty bonded, and drawn-back by such hasty Exportations, which in this Case seems to be his prin-

cipal View.

Was the Tobacco excised, Merchants, having no Temptation to do otherwise, would keep the Tobacco here, 'till it was wanted abroad; the Buyers there would, in Regard to their own Interest, not exceed the present Exigencies of the Market, and yet perhaps there would not be a Scarcity of Buyers in several Parts of Europe, for the whole Quantity imported, above what may serve the Home-Consumption.

I need not mention to you, Sir, who are so well acquainted with Affairs of this Kind, how grievous and injurious to the Sureties bound with the Merchant to the Crown, this Practice is; since by this means they continue subject to the Debt, long after the Tobacco for the Duties of which they were bound, has been either exported, or sold for Home-Consumption; which has been the unfortunate Case of almost all those who were Security for such Merchants as died, or broke indebted to the Crown, many

of whom have been undone thereby.

The Method of discharging old Bonds by new Importations, contrary to Law, and even contrary to the Oath taken by the Importer, is attended with other ill Consequences. By this means the Factor is enabled to get into his Hands a considerable Sum of Money, to be employ'd in Trade, at Interest, or in any other Manner that he thinks proper, to the Hazard of the Revenue. And if he is a bold unsuccessful Adventurer, the more Bondsmen are in Danger of being involved in his Missortunes: This being considered, makes it no Wonder to see bold Attempts for Frauds, either Inwards or Outwards, to extricate themselves out of such Difficulties. And whilst these Temptations remain,

Frauds will go on, and the Planters must fuffer.

The customary Allowance to the Factor is generally three per Cent. Two and a Half for Sale, and the other Half for infuring Debts; and whoever defires to be infur'd, must submit to pay so much upon the whole Duties, not only for the Tobacco fold for Home-Confumption, where there is a Hazard, but for that which is exported to Foreign Markets, where there is no Duty at all; which is an intolerable Burthen to the Planters. But if Factors were discharged from giving Bonds, they could have no Pretence to charge Commission upon the nominal Duty on exported Tobacco, which would ease the Planters of many Thousands a Year, in this single Article only. Had the Excise taken Place, all these Hardships would have been effectually prevented; the Grevances of Planters would have been redrefs'd; Bonding would have ceased, and therefore all its fatal Confequences to Sureties, Factors, and Planters; Factors would have been upon an Equality, and young Gentlemen of small Fortunes and fair Characters might partake of a Share of the Commission Business from our Plantations; who would do it cheaper for the Planters than what it is at present, and acquire handsome Fortunes by it too. For as this Branch of Trade then would not require large Sums to be advanced for Duties, nor require Bondsmen to the Crown, which is very difficult to obtain; fo nothing would be necessary in a Factor, but a good Understanding, good Acquaintance, and Credit.

Commission Business, Sir, you know has been always esteem'd the best, because the securest Branch

Branch of Business. There is Money enough to be got by it, without the exorbitant Gains by Frauds, and Discount for Prompt Payment of the Duties. Factors when they buy large Quantities of our Manusactures together for several Planters, they buy them at cheap Rates, but they take Care generally to charge the full Market Price; which is another Fountain of Gain; and may serve as an Answer to the extraordinary Fatigue, you hinted at, they have in buying such Variety of Particulars; in which there is little Trouble, when a Man has his Tradesmen

ready to furnish him.

The Article of ten per Cent. allow'd for Prompt Payment of these Duties, was intended by Parliament as an Advantage to the Planter; but this is turn'd into the Factor's Channel of Gain. Tho' the Factors account this the most beneficial Perquisit to themselves, yet it proves the Reverse to the Planter, if he can afford to deposit Money in his Factor's Hands for that Purpose. For one hundred Hogsheads of Tobacco he must lodge eighteen hundred Pounds in his Factor's Hands; when this is done, another hundred Hogsheads is sent the next Year; but the former Confignment is either not fold, or no Money received upon it, which makes it necessary for the Planter to provide the same Sum again: So that in the Courfe of very flow Payments (which, upon fuch an Occasion, is very much complain'd of by the Factor) a Planter must keep three thousand six hundred Pounds employed constantly for the fake of the Discount upon one hundred Hogsheads, which reduces them to a very small Interest; and that which the Factor makes ten per Cent. of, the Planter does not make

make above three; and for the fake of this small Interest, he must trust without Security, and has no Objection to make against the Factor's charging him with the Loss of the whole.

This, Sir, I think, must be allow'd, by every conscientious Man, to be a very great Hardship upon our British Plantations; and as the Planters are so ready to give up this intended Advantage, it is an indisputable Proof that they never received any Benefit by it. The Question, Sir, that next naturally arises, is, Whether it be more for the Publick Good that the Factors should be allow'd to extract so many Thousands a Year out of the Publick Revenue, or be oblig'd, instead thereof, to trade with that Money, and thereby augment the Riches of the Nation, and not be fuffer'd to squeeze their Gains out of the Vitals of their own Country? And fure no Patriot can determine this Question in Favour of the Fastor.

And here, Sir, I cannot but take Occasion to observe to you, the Conduct of those Gentlemen who have so hotly opposed the Scheme. In the Course of their late Writings, they have frequently recommended to the Ministry Frugality of the publick Money; and not long before this Controversy was set a Foot, I remember, they reprefented, in a very pompous Manner, the great Neceffity of the Government's encouraging our Colonies and Plantations in America; and shew'd how beneficial those Branches of Trade were to their Mother Country, from the Share they have in balancing the Trade with Foreign Nations; from the great Number of Ships and Seamen which are employ'd in them, and the Confumption they occasion of the Manufactures of this Kingdom. No

No fooner was a Project thought of by the Ministry to answer both these Ends at once; viz. the Saving of the publick Money, from the Prevention of Frauds, by retracting the ten per Cent. allow'd at the Customs, and Redressing the Grievances our Plantations labour under, but these very Men, who thought to raise their own Characters by such Prescription, think still to raise them by opposing, at one Time, what they have strenuously recommended at another.

In short, Sir, the Factors appear to me to have a Design upon monopolizing all the Lands in the Plantations to themselves. One Part is already mortgag'd; and as the Factors, by their unjuftifiable Practices, daily bring the Planters indebted to them, and they make their Lands subject to the Payment of their Book-Debts, they must inevitably, in Time, get Possession of all our Colonies and Plantations; and then they will engross that whole Trade to themselves, and the poor Planters must become white English Slaves to those very Men, whose Estates are owing to their Planting Labour, and Industry. Thus, Sir, they who are indefatigable to make Slaves of others, cry out Liberty! Liberty! for themfelves, to cloak their own Designs; and they who are for making Freemen of Slaves, are faid to be Oppressors of People! The Opposers of this Scheme have often express'd themselves against Monopolies of all Kinds; but in the prefent Case they are Advocates for them: They are Advocates for such who are for engroffing one whole Branch of Trade to themselves; and for the Continuance of those fraudulent Practices, which will enable them to exclude all other English Merchants from Trading to our I 2 Plan-

Plantations. For Confirmation of this Charge against them, they begin to talk of a Combination to raise their Commission upon the Planters from three per Cent. to four and a half, because they know it is impossible to employ any in the Trade, but those who are bred up to it, and have a well establish'd Credit. Thus do they defign to tyrannize it, fince they have carried their Point! And now, Sir, can any Gentleman, who will liften to the still Voice of Reason, believe that the Sufferings of the Planters are not of themselves, full sufficient to prompt them to fend over a Gentleman to folicit the Parliament in their Behalf? Can any one be fo weak, as to imagine the Planters requir'd ministerial Spurs and Artifices, to excite them to what must so manifestly and glaringly tend to

their general Interest?

Mer. I confess, Sir John, you have hitherto given me the highest Satisfaction in this Dispute, because you have built all your Reasoning upon the general Benefit of Trade, and Traders; a Foundation, upon which, I never suspected the Scheme was rationally defensible. However, Sir, there is still one grand Objection behind, which, if you can fairly and clearly get over, I must ingenuously acknowledge, in Honour to that Great Person's Character, which a few Hours ago I was highly prepossess'd against, that no Minister of State in the World was ever so wickedly injur'd, nor any People fo generally duped, and egregiously impos'd upon as we have been. My Objection, Sir, is this, viz. That if the Frauds and Abuses at the Customs, are not considerable enough to produce a Surplus sufficient to ease the Land; then, still all your Arguments drawn from that Supposition are of no Weight in this Controversy. And that they are not so, appears to me very plain. For by the Report of the Committee (which, doubtless contains most Frauds possible to come to the Knowledge of) there are but sew detected; far from being sufficient to ease the Land, as propos'd. And many of those Frauds, which have come to Light, are attested by no better Witnesses than such as have been taken out of Prison for that Purpose, whose Evidence does not give any great Sanction to the

Report.

Landb. By the Account you are pleas'd to give of the Report of the Committee, I suppose you form your Judgment from the Craftsman's Representation of it, not from the Report itself. I have read the Report, Sir, and with all possible Attention; and so far am I from thinking that the Gentlemen of the Committee have discover'd but sew Frauds, that I am not a little surpriz'd they were able to discover so many. And if I may be allow'd to judge of the Quantity conceal'd, by that which has been discover'd, you will easily believe, that the Surplusses, upon Wine, and Tobacco, would not have disappointed the Ministry, but have actually produc'd a Sufficiency to have eas'd the Land.

According to the exactest Computation the *Planters* themselves in *Maryland* and *Virginia* have been able to make, there is *annually* imported into *Great Britain*, between fixty and seventy thousand Hogsheads of *Tobacco*: I'll suppose fixty six thousand Hogsheads; two Thirds of which, according to the nearest Calculation of the best Writers upon *Trade*, are

re-exported to France, Germany, Holland, &c. So there would remain for Home-Confumption twenty two thousand Hogsheads; the Duties whereof, reckon'd at fifteen Pound per Hogshead (at which in most Cases they may be computed) will amount to 330,000l. Now, at present, there is not much above one Half of that Sum, which comes annually into the Exchequer; so that there is manifestly lost to the publick Revenue, about 165,000l. by the Frauds at Importation, Exportation, and by

Bonding.

This is upon Supposition no Part of the 44,000 Hogsheads, which are exported, was run or re-landed from Dunkirk, and the Isles of Guernsey, Jersey, and Man, into England, Scotland, and Ireland, as evidently appears to be done by the Report. But if to this, we add only one eighth Part of what is exported to be relanded or run (as that, at least, from the Face of the Report may be very well justified) then there is an additional Loss to the Revenue of 75,0001. which together make 240,000 l. And as we should fave the whole Expence of Collecting the Land-Tax; which reckon'd only at Six-Pence in the Pound, tho' there is Three-Pence more allow'd upon extraordinary Occasions, there would be added 12,500% more at least; so that the Total Encrease that may be suppos'd to be brought into the Exchequer, would be 252,500 l. This, tho' according to the lowest Calculation, is more by 50,000 l. than was purpos'd to be rais'd by the Tobacco.

Tho' the Expence of 150 Officers would come to between fix and feven thousand Pounds, yet as there would be a proportionate Discharge

in the Customs, this Expence would be balanc'd

by an equivalent Saving.

As to the Frauds in the Wine-Trade, they are very extensive indeed. For the Commissioners of the Customs received Information upon Oath in the Year 1725, that there had been run only in the three Counties of Hampshire, Dorselbire, and Devonshire, from Christmas 1723, to Christmas 1725, no less than 4738 Hogsheads, and mostly French Wine. And altho' such prodigigious Frauds have been discover'd, yet it is observable, that of the Quantity seized, and of the Running of which the Commissioners have been informed, no more was condemn'd fince Christmas 1723, than 2208 Hogsheads, which shews to Demonstration, how ineffectual the present Method of collecting the Duties upon this Commodity is, and what a Necessity there is for altering it. And now, Sir, can you, or any Gentleman whatsoever, be of Opinion that the Scheme would not have answer'd the End propos'd, when there is the greatest moral Certainty (the only Kind of Evidence the Subject is capable of) that it would?

Tho' you have represented the Frauds, as discover'd by the Committee, so trisling, yet, by a slight Calculation I made of them t'other Day, for my own private Satisfaction, they amount to above one Million and an Half Pounds-Weight of Tobacco; the Duties of which come to between 80 and 90 thousand Pounds; and therefore the Duties upon what remains undiscover'd, will not prove to be so inconsiderable

a Sum as has been infinuated.

Amongst the meanest, and most ignorant Class of *Pilferers* and fraudulent Dealers; such who

who have not the minutest Foresight into the Course of human Transactions, or any Apprehensions of the Sagacity and Penetration of others who overlook them, there are not discover'd one tenth Part of the Frauds they carry on; nay, perhaps I should come nearer Truth, if I said not one in an hundred; and have to support me in this Affertion, the concurrent Opinion of all who are well acquainted with the World, and are ingenuous enough to declare their real Sentiments. And if we compare the Difability and Incapacity of these narrowfighted Creatures, with the Cunning and Experience of those Gentlemen, who have been found to be the prime Agents, and principal Conductors of those Frauds which have been discover'd by the Committee; no Man can doubt, but where one of those Men have been detected in their fraudulent Practices, there are at least, ten of the petty Class. If then, so many Frauds may very reasonably be presum'd to be committed by Perfons in low Life, it is a very natural Conclusion, that there are an hundred committed to one discover'd amongst these Gentlemen, who are concern'd in the Frauds at the Custombouse; and consequently we have the strongest moral Demonstration that there are an hundred Times more Frauds have been carried on, than the Committee have been able to discover: So that if we were to reduce the Pounds of Tobacco into Pounds Sterling, and even reckon from the Fraud given in Evidence by Thomas Parr Esq; of Datchet, in the Year 1705, we should find the annual Loss to be pretty consistent with my preceding Calculation. But

But this Conclusion of the Extensiveness of the Frauds will still appear more just, if we consider the great Disadvantages the Committee labour'd under in detesting them. The Members of that Committee could not be supposed to be acquainted with the Nature of those Frauds, and therefore the chief Part of their Time was employ'd in examining into the various Species of Frauds, and not into the Quan-

Every Fraud discover'd at the Custombouse, brought an odious Imputation upon the Conduct, Honour, and Vigilance of all concerned in the Collection of the Customs; which most certainly must be a Motive to all the Custombouse People, rather to obstruct all Kinds of Evidence, and to suppress the Discovery of every Fraud, than to be heartily and in good earnest inquisitive into them; lest the Suspicion of their having been wanting in their Duty, should give their Superiours too ill an Opinion of their Con-

duct, to continue them in their Places.

If then the Committee could not, from the Nature of the Thing, be expected to receive that Information from the Officers of the Cuftoms (the chief Persons on whom they could depend for such Information,) from whom could they hope to receive any Assistance in their Enquiry? The Body of Merchants, sair and unfair; all united in their Opposition to the Bill's Passing; and as the Reasons for Passing of it, were grounded upon the Extensiveness of the Frauds committed, we must naturally be led to think, they used all possible Means in their Power to stille and suppress every Fraud.

K And

And here, in Confirmation of the Greatness of the Frauds, I might take notice of the large Gratuities Traders have made Officers, who are their Confederates, out of their unjust Gains from the Revenue; which they would not be able furely to do, were not their Gains by fuch Frauds very confiderable. This notorious Confederacy between Traders and Officers, must render it extremely difficult for the Committee to make any thorough Examination. But the Difadvantages the Committee laboured under, we shall still find to be much greater, if we reflect upon the Shortness of the Time they had to make the Enquiry in. For these Reasons, and under such Circumstances, it was impossible for them to make many new Discoveries of Fraud.

And fince it was fo difficult in a Case of this Nature, to bring clear Evidence of many Instances of these Abuses, because People, who combine together to carry on fraudulent Practices, are under very strong Ties of Interest not to discover one another; what could the Committee

do in fuch Circumstances?

Though two Persons in Goals were admitted as Witnesses, yet their Evidence is so well corroborated by others, that no one can possibly doubt the Veracity of it; and therefore to what End such an Objection is made, I am at a Loss to discover.

When the Duties upon Coffee and Tea were converted into Excises, it was never imagined the Frauds committed in those Branches were so extensive, as afterwards they appear'd to be, from the great Annual Surplus they have ever since produced. But when the Frauds in the Articles of Wine and Tobacco appear to be so very considerable, under

the greatest Disadvantages of Examination, is there not the strongest Presumption; sounded upon what has prov'd experimentally true, that the Surplusses, arising from these Commodities, would

have raifed the Sum proposed?

Few Men, I believe, entertain so dishonourable and undue an Opinion of the Abilities of the Prime-Minister of Great-Britain, as to think, had He not all defireable Affurances; nay the strongest Conviction, even such as was very near a-kin to Certainty and Demonstration itself, the Scheme would have answer'd his End, he would ever have proposed it; especially at a Time when he was affured it would meet with the most virulent Opposition. Were the Opposers of it more certain, as they pretend to be, that it would have fail'd in its End, than its Proposers were that it would have fully answer'd it, they would never have used such low Arts of Misrepresentation, to prejudice the People against it. The Miscarriage of it now will redound to the eternal Honour of a certain Gentleman; but had it been ineffectual and miscarried, when it had been put in Execution, how his Enemies would have triumph'd! But its Opposers, Sir, were too fensible it would have answer'd the Design proposed, and therefore it would have put an End to their Projects for the Destruction of the truest Friend to Great-Britain, whose Abilities and Integrity, hitherto, have only been more conspicuoully exalted by their violent Opposition to all his Measures.

Amongst the various little Tricks used by the Enemies of the Administration to decry this Scheme, there is one which calls for the highest Indignation of every Englishman; and that is,

K 2 their

their Attempt to fet the Landed and Trading Interest at Variance. But this Scheme, Sir, I have demonstrated to you to be for the mutual Interest of both; what tends to the Benefit of Trade, does to the Ease of the Land; and what to the Ease of the Land, does to the Benefit of Trade. Here are both the great Interests of the Nation reconciled; than which, it is out of the Power of human Wisdom and Policy to do more for

the general Advantage of the Nation.

And now after all, Sir, can you, or any Gentleman living, who will not fuffer his Understanding to be drowned in popular Clamor, be of Opinion that this Project was calculated to be of any Detriment to Trade or Liberty? The Ease of the British Freeholders, and the distressed Planters, by keeping all Traders upon an Equality at Home, were the strong Incentives to carry the Design so far as it was carried; Incentives powerful enough to inspire every true Patriot to lay down his Life to accomplish it. And can any Man, any judicious and confcientious Man, lay his Hand upon his Heart, and fay that these are not the most invaluable and inessable Bleffings that can be enjoy'd by a Trading Kingdom? Sure no Man can so much violate his Reason and Conscience, as to say that an Encrease of one hundred and fifty Excise Officers, about three to a County, can be of fo much danger to the State, as to overbalance all the national Advantages I have proved would accompany the Delign? Have I not shewn, I was a going to fay the Impossibility of Officers being able to have any Influence over Electors? This, at least, I have made appear, that if they attempt any fuch Thing, they run the rifque of Ruin and Destruction;

ftruction; and is it possible for human Laws to do more? The Point of Juries I have set in a clear, and a faithful Light, and shew'd that his Majesty could not any ways be interested in the Determination of Contests between the Publick and Delinquents; and that the Commissioners could no ways recommend themselves to a Prince, or a Prince-Minister, by harassing the Trader. The Fallacy of one Excise tending to a general one, I have exposed in its true Colours, and shew'd how absurd it is to argue from Particulars to Generals.

I have laid open the bare-fac'd Sophistry of the anticonstitution Argument, and the pretended Danger of the Cessation of Parliaments drawn from the Duration of the Excise. But Things that are temporary these Men make perpetual; what is particular they make general; what is to prevent Roguery they construe to encourage it; what is to ease the Subject and promote Trade, they say is to enslave them, and destroy it: And thus is England daily pester'd with what tends to misguide the Bulk of the People, to create Divisions and Disassection at Home, and bring upon us Ridicule and Contempt from abroad, by listening to jesuitical Fallacies of wicked and ill-designing Men.



P. £ 3848

