





A N
E N Q U I R Y

T H E
D I V I N E M I S S I O N S
O F
J O H N t h e B A P T I S T
A N D
J E S U S C H R I S T.



A N
E N Q U I R Y
I N T O T H E
D I V I N E M I S S I O N S
O F
J O H N t h e B A P T I S T
A N D
J E S U S C H R I S T ;

So far as They can be proved
From the CIRCUMSTANCES of their BIRTHS,
A N D
Their CONNECTION with EACH OTHER.

*There was a Man sent from God, and his Name was JOHN;
the same came for a Witness, to bear witness of the Light;
THAT ALL MEN THRO' HIM MIGHT BELIEVE.*
Joh. Gof. i. 6, 7.

BY WILLIAM BELL, M.A.,
Fellow of MAGDALEN-COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE.

L O N D O N :
Printed for W. SANDBY, opposite St. Dunstan's Church,
in FLEET-STREET.

M.DCC.LXI.





TO THE
R E A D E R.

IN perusing the Evangelic Writings, the author of the following sheets imagined, that he discovered an argument of their truth, which had been hitherto overlooked, or very slightly mentioned. He caught it with joy, and pursued it with eagerness. He found the proof, which at first glimmered faintly as it lay dispersed, grow brighter as the sparks were brought nearer together. He traced the different

lines of inference, and perceived that they met at last in one central truth. And he flatters himself with having shewn once more, what was more than sufficiently shewn before, that our Religion is from GOD. He hopes, that he has added another motive to the power of its influence; and another ray to the splendor of its evidence.


IF the argument be really conclusive, it will stand the test of examination; if not, it is for the interest of truth and religion, that its weakness be detected. Whatever judgment be passed upon it, the author has, at least, this satisfactory consideration, that every other proof will remain uninjured
and

and unimpaired. And he therefore submits this Essay to the Public, as the attempt of one whose design is good ; and who will have done no harm, though his performance be defective.



... and so forth
... who will have
... though the
... of the

NO. 111



C O N T E N T S.

*I*NTRODUCTORY *Considerations.*
Page 1

P A R T I.

S E C T I O N I.

The miraculous events recorded of the birth and circumcision of John the Baptist, cannot have been forged, either by Jesus, or any of his disciples ; or by John himself, or any of his disciples. Page 19

S E C T I O N II.

Supposing there was any deceit at all in the case ;

C O N T E N T S.

case; what the nature and design of the whole imposture must have been; and who must have been concerned in planning it out, and carrying it on. Page 65

S E C T I O N III.

Zacharias and Elizabeth could not be the contrivers of that wicked imposture; which the supposition of any deceit at all, in this case, necessarily obliges us to admit of. Page 88

S E C T I O N IV.

Zacharias and Elizabeth could not be the authors of any such double imposture, as must here be supposed. Page 114

S E C T I O N V.

If Zacharias and Elizabeth could have been the authors of such a double imposture; they could not have applied to Joseph and Mary, to take part with them in carrying it on. Page 135

S E C-

S E C T I O N VI.

Neither Zacharias nor Elizabeth, on the one part ; nor Joseph and Mary, on the other ; could contrive Each their respective plots, in this double imposture : nor could Joseph and Mary be the contrivers of the whole joint undertaking. Page 164

P A R T II.

S E C T I O N I.

The whole imposture in question is, in its own nature, so exceedingly absurd ; that it was not possible to have been conceived or undertaken, by any person whatever.

Page 191

S E C T I O N II.

Some particular facts, previous to the births of John and Jesus, considered. Page 231

SEC-

SECTION III.

Several very remarkable facts, subsequent to the births of John and Jesus, considered. Page 249

PART III.

The design of this part; to consider the conduct of John and Jesus; with a view to their connection with each other. Page 285

SECTION I.

John wrought no miracles. Page 292

SECTION II.

The different external characters of John and Jesus considered. Page 306

SECTION III.

A prophecy of John's, concerning Jesus, considered. Page 328

S E C -

C O N T E N T S.

xiii

S E C T I O N IV.

Jesus baptized by John. Page 344

S E C T I O N V.

John's answer to the deputation from the Sanhedrim; and a particular in Jesus's conduct relative to it. Page 361

S E C T I O N VI.

A very peculiar character and office ascribed to Jesus by John. Page 378

S E C T I O N VII.

A remarkable particular in John's conduct to Herod, considered in its relation to Jesus. Page 383

S E C T I O N VIII.

John's very remarkable message to Jesus considered. Page 390

S E C -

SECTION IX.

*The conduct of Jesus in consequence of his
having purified the temple.* Page 404

CONCLUSION. Page 414



E R R A T A.

<i>Page</i>	<i>Line</i>	<i>for</i>	<i>Read</i>
36	26	Havere	Haverc.
76	11	believeth	believed.
311	12	and	to.
359	25	required	acquired.
383	last	of	for.





T H E
D I V I N E M I S S I O N S
O F
J O H N the B A P T I S T
A N D
J E S U S C H R I S T.

Introductory Considerations.*



W H E N we consider, and compare together, those short histories of the life and actions of Jesus Christ, which were written by his chosen disciples, and their fellow labourers in the gospel; the great events, that first
B en-

* Whoever is desirous of seeing what may have been written upon this subject before, may consult *Dr. Fortin's 5th Discourse concerning the truth of the Christian*

Introductory Considerations.

engage our attention, are the remarkable birth and ministry of John the Baptist. The accounts indeed, which the evangelists have given of him, are extremely short, and seem intended, as far as concerns John himself, only to afford us a clear precise notion of his prophetic character, and to assure us of his divine commission to preach repentance to the Jews. But the particulars comprised even in these very concise relations, are in themselves so extraordinary, and some of them, at first appearance at least, so truly miraculous, that we cannot reflect on them without admiration. The severe sanctity of his manners, the benevolent and pious labours of his public life, and the cruelty of his martyrdom in the cause of virtue and religion, joined to all those astonishing events, that accompanied his conception and

jian Religion; entitled, *The Testimony of John the Baptist*: and Part 4. Chap. 5. of a work written originally in French, but translated into English, under the title of, *The Principles of the Christian Religion*. These two are the only things upon the subject, which the Author of the following argument has happened to meet with.

and birth, are particulars of so striking a nature, that they may well claim, nay extort our regard, upon their own account alone.

BUT the disciples of Jesus had a more important design to serve, by their accounts of the Baptist, than meerly making known *his* peculiar life and character, and the circumstances which attended *his* appearance in the world. In *them* they purposely transmitted to mankind the history of a prophet, who had borne the fullest testimony to the divine character of their own master. And the chief end they proposed to serve, by making such particular mention of John in their histories of Jesus, was, to confirm the divine mission of Christ by the testimony of that prophet, whose coming, it was the universal opinion, had for some ages been foretold, in the character of his forerunner*.

B 2

AGREE-

* *Behold I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord whom ye seek shall suddenly come to his temple: even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold he shall come, saith the Lord of hosts,*

Introductory Considerations.

AGREEABLY to this design, Mark begins his gospel by acquainting us *, *The beginning of the gospel of Jesus Christ the Son of God, was, as it is written in the prophets; behold I send my messenger before thy face, which shall prepare thy way before thee.* And then he immediately proceeds to relate the public ministry of John. Matthew likewise declares †, that John the Baptist was *He that was spoken of by the prophet, Esaias, saying, the voice of one crying in the wilderness, prepare ye the way of the Lord, make his paths straight ‡.* The same says Luke ||. And the evangelist John expressly affirms §, that *there was a man sent from God, whose name was John; and that*

boots, Mal. iii. 1. It is notorious, that, at the time of John's coming, the Jews universally expected some such extraordinary messenger to precede the Messiah; and that this, among others, was one of those prophecies on which they founded their expectations.

* Mark i. 1, 2.

† Matt. iii. 1—3.

‡ Isa. xl. 3. *The voice of him, that crieth in the wilderness, prepare ye the way of the Lord; make straight in the desert a high way for our God.*

|| Luke iii. 2—4.

§ John i. 6, 7.

that the same came for a witness, to bear witness of the light, that all men thro' him might believe.

FROM all these testimonies it evidently appears, that the chief design of the evangelists was not to make us acquainted with the divine character of the Baptist, on his own account; but to complete the evidence of the divine mission of Jesus, by the unexceptionable testimony of that extraordinary prophet, whose appearance in the character of his forerunner, had been universally expected, because explicitly foretold.

IN pursuance of this design, it was natural for them to be scrupulously exact in recounting those particulars, by which the prophetic character of John himself was established; and circumstantial in their relations of the testimony he bore to the divine mission of Jesus. But for the rest of John's life and actions, as a minute knowledge of these was not necessary to the chief end in view, They would, of course, only furnish us with their true general idea. Accordingly it will be found, upon compa-

rison, that the evangelists have followed this plan. Luke has given a very particular detail *, of all the seemingly miraculous events, which attended John's conception and birth; and which, if they truly came to pass as related, must fully prove him to have been sent by a special act of the divine providence, purposely to sustain that peculiar prophetic character he afterwards assumed. And, not to cite each evangelist, or have recourse to every thing they have recorded, what follows will sufficiently prove, how desirous they were to confirm the divine authority of Jesus, by the express testimony of John.

And this is the record of John, when the Jews sent priests and levites from Jerusalem, to ask him, who art thou? and he confessed, and denied not, but confessed, I am not the Christ. — I am the voice of one crying in the wilderness, make straight the way of the Lord, as said the prophet Esaias. — I baptize with water; but there standeth one among you, whom ye know not, he it is, who
coming

* Luke i. from verse 5, to 80.

coming after me, is preferred before me, whose shoe's latchet I am not worthy to unloose. — The next day John seeth Jesus coming unto him, and saith, behold the lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world. This is he, of whom I said, after me cometh a man which is preferred before me; for he was before me. And I knew him not: but that he should be made manifest to Israel; therefore am I come baptizing with water. And John bare record, saying, I saw the Spirit descending from heaven like a dove, and it abode upon him. And I knew him not: but he that sent me to baptize with water, the same said unto me, upon whom thou shalt see the Spirit descending, and remaining on him, the same is he, which baptizeth with the Holy Ghost. And I saw, and bare record, that this is the Son of God. And again, the next day after, John stood, and two of his disciples; and looking upon Jesus as he walked, he saith, behold the lamb of God. And the two disciples heard him speak, and they followed Jesus*.

THUS

* John i. from 19 to 37. To the same purpose see
Matt.

THUS full and particular have the evangelists been, in transmitting to us the several proofs of the divine character of John the Baptist, and his repeated express testimony in confirmation of the divine mission of Jesus. But at the same time that they were so solicitous to establish the divine character of John, and to preserve so many distinct positive declarations, publicly delivered by him, that Jesus Christ was the true Messiah; they have transmitted to us nothing more of John's other transactions, than what was absolutely requisite to give us a true idea of his extraordinary character.

WE may venture to conclude then, that the principal intention of Jesus's disciples, in making such mention as they have of John, was, in reality, to establish the divine character of their master, so firmly, upon *his* testimony, *that all men thro' him might believe.* And in this it must at least be confessed, that they acted a very prudent part; since it is impossible for any
evi-

Matt iii. 11, &c. Mark i. 7, &c. Luke iii. 15, &c.
John i. 15, &c. And more especially John iii. 25—36.

evidence to be more clear, or more decisive, than that with which the Baptist confirmed the high pretensions of Jesus.

ON the other hand, we find Jesus on every opportunity employing all his credit with the people, to persuade them, that John was indeed that very divine person; which he pretended to be; and the greatest of all the prophets, that had ever appeared among them. The character, which the Baptist had from the beginning assumed, was *the voice of one crying in the wilderness, make straight the way of the Lord, as said the prophet Esaias.* And when, upon a particular occasion, he thought fit to send some of his disciples to Jesus, to ask him publickly, whether *He* was the Messiah; Jesus improved the opportunity this afforded him, to address the people in favour of John; by declaring, in a manner, the most emphatical, *that John was a prophet; yea, I say unto you, and much more than a prophet. For this is he, of whom it is written, behold I send my messenger before thy face, which shall prepare thy*

Introductory Considerations.

*thy way before thee. Verily I say unto you, among them that are born of women, there hath not arisen a greater than John the Baptist. — And, if ye will receive it, this is Elias, which was for to come. He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.** Full and frequent as John had been, in witnessing the truth of Jesus's pretensions to the high character of the Messiah; his assertions, we see, were not more express or emphatical, than these declarations of Jesus, in which he publicly affirmed John to be his divine forerunner.

HAD they not thus reciprocally supported each other's claims to divine inspiration, the characters which they each assumed, were in themselves so distinct, though relative to each other, that little, perhaps, could have been drawn from them alone, to establish the truth, or detect the falsehood of their pretensions. Had John only declared himself the forerunner of the Messiah, without pretending to point him out personally to the people; and

* Matt. xi. 9, &c.

and had Jesus left the Jews to judge entirely for themselves, concerning the reality of the Baptist's inspiration; the divine authority of *Both*, could not necessarily have been determined by the veracity of *Either*. But since we find them reciprocally bearing testimony to the truth of each other's divine commission; and the disciples of Jesus appear likewise to have given us no further account of John, than was necessary to avail themselves of his authority, in establishing the credit of their master; hence we are enabled to draw this certain conclusion; that they must *Both* have been impostors; or *Both*, in reality, those divine personages, whose characters they respectively assumed. And this conclusion, first established, will immediately point out a very natural method to bring the claims of *Both* to a decisive examination.

THEIR mutual endeavours to persuade the people into a belief in each other, must either have been the effect of some supernatural knowledge, which assured them
of

Introductory Considerations.

of each other's divine mission ; or the result of a previous agreement between them, to support their double imposture. The nature of the case will not admit us to suppose, that Jesus may have been the true Messiah, but John an impostor ; or John, *that* prophet, he declared himself, but Jesus a deceiver. Their claims to any thing divine must now stand or fall together.

HAD either of them alone been a counterfeit ; the true prophet, it must be allowed, could not have borne witness in his favour ; unless the other had found means to deceive him into a firm belief of the truth of his pretensions. As the real messenger of the God of truth, could not be sent into the world to support a lie ; so it was impossible for him knowingly to abett the designs of an impious deceiver.

IF then only *One* of them was really the divine person he pretended to be, nothing can account for his conduct in supporting the *Other*, but his having been deluded
by

by him into an opinion, that *that* divine character did truly belong to him, which he had impiously assumed. But this supposition cannot be admitted in the present case.

THE appearance of the long promised Messiah was an event of such importance to the general happiness of mankind, and in which, the goodness, veracity, and justice of God were so intimately concerned; that *He* could not be sent into the world, in such a manner, as would lay mankind under an absolute necessity to disown and reject him, without the least fault in themselves. The divine wisdom could not therefore send that inspired messenger, whom he had long promised, purposely to prepare the Messiah's way before him; without enlightening *him* with that ample portion of his discerning spirit, which would enable him to distinguish the true Messiah, without a possibility of mistake, from every counterfeit of his character; or at least secure him from bearing witness to any impostor. Had
he

he been sent without this necessary qualification, instead of preparing the Messiah's way before him, he might, and in the present case must, have been the most effectual obstructor of it. He might involuntarily have misapplied his divine authority, in abetting the designs of an impious deceiver; and thus have thwarted those eternal counsels he was sent purposely to fulfill, through the defects of his own inspiration.

SUPPOSING then that the Baptist was in fact, the divine messenger in question; Jesus must likewise have been the true Messiah.

ON the other hand, should we allow Jesus to have been the long expected Messiah; his testimony will furnish us with as satisfactory a proof of the divine authority of John. Nothing can be more evidently absurd, than to suppose, that a Being of consummate wisdom and power, would render the authority of his divine dispensations liable to be brought into question, by being connected with the short-sighted
and

and inconsistent schemes of human imposture. Intricate plans of deceit, especially such as affect the general interests of mankind, and are of the most extensive nature, are ever liable to be discovered. Nor have we need of any other reason for rejecting all pretenders to divine authority, than the finding them desirous to encrease their credit by the assistance of a deceiver. Jesus, therefore, if he was the true Messiah, must unquestionably have distinguished the true Elias, from every counterfeit, who might assume his name: or, at least, he must have refrained from bearing witness to any one, whom he did not infallibly know to be the divine person in question.

BESIDES, had the Baptist alone been the counterfeit, as Jesus must then have been preceded by *some truly* divine forerunner, to whom the character which John assumed, did really belong; Jesus would undoubtedly have appealed to the testimony of *that true* prophet, if to any, instead of the false; and we could never have

have found him endeavouring to establish the credit of John.

IT is evident therefore beyond question, that if we admit the divine mission of Jesus, his testimony will oblige us also to acknowledge the inspiration of John. And thus the evidence they have borne to the truth of each other's divine pretensions, reduces us to the necessity of rejecting them *Both* as impostors; or submitting to *Both*, in those very characters they assumed, as the special messengers of God, and immediate revealers of his will to mankind.

THIS indissoluble connexion between Jesus and the Baptist, naturally points out a particular method of establishing the truth and certainty of the christian revelation; in its own nature, strong and conclusive; and independent of all that variety of other arguments, by which its divine original may be clearly proved. If those particulars, which the evangelists have recorded, relating to John's birth and transactions, and such others concerning
Jesus,

Jesus, as are necessarily connected with them, will enable us to shew satisfactorily, that the Baptist himself could not be an impostor; then will they afford a complete and equally satisfactory proof of the divine mission of Jesus: since it will then be certain, that he could be no less than what John declared him to be, the promised Messiah, and the Son of God.

THE prosecution of this particular point is the first and more immediate object of the following enquiry. But as we proceed in it, the argument will likewise be found attended with this further, and very material, advantage; that in illustrating the evidence of the divine original of John, we shall at the same time unavoidably trace out a separate, direct, and equally full proof of the divine character of Jesus; drawn from the nature of those particulars, which relate immediately to himself alone.

THE chief of those materials, which must serve for the foundation of this enquiry, are but few in number, and little

C

more

more than a series of astonishing events, affirmed to have accompanied both the Baptist's and Jesus's birth. But these, when considered in their several circumstances, and necessary connections; and when joined likewise with some remarkable particulars in the conduct of John and Jesus towards each other, which must greatly contribute to their further illustration; will appear, it is hoped, fully sufficient to answer the end proposed; and afford us a proof, at least, as satisfactory as in such a point can rationally be desired, that John was indeed a man sent from God, and Jesus beyond all question the true Messiah.

T H E



T H E
DIVINE MISSIONS
O F
JOHN the BAPTIST
A N D
JESUS CHRIST.

P A R T I.

SECTION I.

THE several miraculous events reported to have accompanied the conception of John the Baptist, are related by Luke as follows*.

PART I.
Sect. I.

There was in the days of Herod, the king of Judea, a certain priest, named Zacharias, of the course of Abia: -and his wife was of the daughters of Aaron, and her name was Elizabeth. And they were both

C 2

right-

* Luke i. 5—25.

PART I.
Sect. I.

righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord, blameless. And they had no child, because that Elizabeth was barren, and they both were now well stricken in years. And it came to pass, that, while he executed the priest's office before God, in the order of his course, according to the custom of the priest's office, his lot was to burn incense, when he went into the temple of the Lord. And the whole multitude of the people were praying without, at the time of incense. And there appeared unto him an angel of the Lord, standing on the right side of the altar of incense. And when Zacharias saw him, he was troubled, and fear fell upon him. But the angel said unto him, fear not Zacharias: for thy prayer is heard, and thy wife Elizabeth shall bear thee a Son, and thou shalt call his Name John. And thou shalt have joy and gladness, and many shall rejoice at his birth. For he shall be great in the sight of the Lord, and shall drink neither wine, nor strong drink; and he shall be filled with the Holy Ghost, even from his mother's

mother's womb. And many of the children of Israel shall be turn to the Lord their God.

PART I.
Sect. 1.

And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elias, to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just, to make ready a people prepared for the Lord. And Zacharias said unto the angel, whereby shall I know this? for I am an old man, and my wife well stricken in years. And the angel answering said unto him, I am Gabriel, that stand in the presence of God: and am sent to speak unto thee, and to shew thee these glad tidings. And behold, thou shalt be dumb, and not able to speak, until the day that these things shall be performed; because thou believest not my words, which shall be fulfilled in their season. And the people waited for Zacharias, and marvelled that he stayed so long in the temple. And when he came out he could not speak unto them: and they perceived that he had seen a vision in the temple; for he beckoned unto them, and remained speechless. And it came to pass, that as soon as the days of his ministration were

PART I.
Sect. I.

accomplished, he departed to his own house. And after those days his wife Elizabeth conceived, and hid herself five months, saying, thus hath the Lord dealt with me, in the days wherein he looked on me, to take away my reproach among men.

THE evangelist having thus related the circumstances of John's conception, stops here to give an account of many other events, no less astonishing, which soon after accompanied the conception of Jesus; and then goes on with the following history of the *birth* of the Baptist*.

Now Elizabeth's full time came, that she should be delivered, and she brought forth a son. And her neighbours, and her cousins, heard how the Lord had shewed great mercy upon her, and they rejoiced with her. And it came to pass, that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child; and they called him Zacharias, after the name of his father. And his mother answered, and said, not so; but he shall be called John. And they said unto her, there is none of thy kindred that is called

* Luke i. 57—to the end.

called by this name. And they made signs to his father, how he would have him called.

And he asked for a writing table, and wrote, saying, his name is John. And they marvelled all. And his mouth was opened immediately, and his tongue loosed, and he spake and praised God. And fear came on all that dwelt round about them: and all these sayings were noised abroad, throughout all the hill country of Judea. And all they, that had heard them, laid them up in their hearts, saying, what manner of child shall this be? And the hand of the Lord was with him. And his father Zacharias was filled with the Holy Ghost, and prophesied, saying, Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, for he hath visited and redeemed his people; and hath raised up an horn of salvation for us, in the house of his servant David, as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began. That we should be saved from our enemies, and from the hand of all that hate us. To perform the mercy promised to our forefathers, and to remember his holy covenant; the oath which he

PART I.
Sect. I.

sware to our father Abraham; that he would grant unto us, that we being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him all the days of our life. And thou, child, shalt be called the prophet of the Highest; for thou shalt go before the face of the Lord to prepare his ways, to give knowledge of salvation to his people, by the remission of their sins; through the tender mercy of our God; whereby the day spring from on high hath visited us, to give light to them that sit in darkness, and in the shadow of death; to guide our feet into the way of peace. — And the child grew, and waxed strong in spirit, and was in the deserts until the day of his shewing unto Israel.

SUCH and so astonishing are the particulars transmitted to us of the conception and birth of John the Baptist. The evangelist himself, by his manner of relating them, seems to have thought they contained evidence fully sufficient to convince mankind of the truth of his prophetic character, and divine inspiration. And if
these

these accounts may be relied on, as true histories of facts, which actually came to pass, in the manner they are here related; it must at once be confessed, that their testimony is abundantly sufficient to assure us of John's divine mission; and there can be no room to doubt whether Jesus was the true Messiah.

THE appearance of an angel to Zacharias; his prophetic declaration, that things in the highest degree improbable, would very shortly be brought to pass; his punishing Zacharias, by striking him instantaneously dumb, for questioning the truth of what he foretold; the subsequent conception and birth of John, at a time when, humanly speaking, Elizabeth could not have had children; the sudden restoration of Zacharias's speech, at the period when the angel had declared it would be restored to him; and his breaking out into prophecies the instant after, through the force of divine inspiration; these events, considered together, are so apparently beyond the power of human artifice to accomplish,
that

PART I.
Sect. I.

that if it be allowed they really came to pass, all further argument is at an end. The Baptist must at once be submitted to as the true Elias ; and Jesus, upon his repeated testimony, be acknowledged the Son of God.

This then is the question ; whether we have sufficient reason to satisfy us, in a point of so great importance, that this relation of the evangelists is free both from imposture and mistake ; and that all the facts contained in it are unquestionably true * ?

IF

* Before we enter upon the argument proposed, it seems necessary to premise, that it is not here intended to prove, that the gospels were really written by those persons, whose names they bear ; but, taking this *single* point for granted, as having been fully established by those, who have written professedly upon it ; the design of this enquiry is to prove, that the facts, in question, recorded in the gospels, could not possibly be forged ; but must really have come to pass, in the manner that they are there related. And this, not by arguing from any supposed authority of the evangelists, but from the very nature and circumstances of their relations themselves. —The authenticity of the gospels may be seen proved at large by *Lardner*, in his *Cred. of the Gosp. Hist.* and Others, who have set themselves expressly to examine the canon of the New Testament.

IF this miraculous history of the birth of John is not to be relied on, as a true account of what did actually happen; it must either have been invented by *Luke*, or *some of Jesus's apostles*, in order to strengthen the credit of their own master; — or it must have been forged by *John himself*, or *some of his disciples*, to deceive the people into a belief of his divine inspiration; — or lastly, it must have been a contrivance of *Zacharias, and his associates*; in order to pass his Son upon the Jews for that honourable prophet, who was expected to come before-hand, to prepare the way of the Lord.

Now that it could not be forged by *Luke*, will very evidently appear. Tho' the exact time of the publication of his gospel is unknown, nor is it certain whether it came abroad before or after those of *Matthew and Mark* *; the nature and end of the

* It is indeed by much the more prevailing opinion, that *Luke* did not write his gospel, till after *Matthew and Mark* had written theirs. As may be seen in *Whitby's preface to Luke's gospel*, and the generality of com-

PART I.
Sect. I.

the work itself, and Luke's own words, oblige us to acknowledge, that it could not be made public immediately after the death of Christ. Till the church was so enlarged, that the personal testimony of the apostles, and the eye witnesses of Jesus's life and actions, could not often be had; and their verbal accounts, through a course of some time, were exposed to the danger of being, even involuntarily, corrupted; the evangelists were under no great necessity to write histories of the life of Jesus their master; and were much too fully employed in making converts to christianity, to have leisure for composing them. In fact, Luke himself has expressly declared *, that he did not compose his gospel, till after *many had taken*
in

commentators; and Michaelis's Introductory Lectures to the N. T. and more at large in Dr. Lardner's Supplement, Vol. 4. Chap. 4, &c. But Mr. Macknight has shewn, in the sixth Preliminary Observation, prefixed to his Harmony of the Gospels; that there are not wanting several, and at least, very specious reasons, in support of the contrary opinion. See likewise Basnage Annal. Eccles. Ann. 30.

* Luke i. 1.

in hand to set forth, in order, a declaration of those things, which were most assuredly believed among them. This declaration, especially when joined to the nature of the thing itself, would make it in the highest degree unreasonable to suppose, that the gospel in question could have come abroad, till some considerable time after the death of Christ. And thus far all the different opinions about it are agreed*.

PART I.
Sect. I.

BUT after such a time had elapsed, the evangelist could not but know and consider, that it would be impossible for him to forge a series of facts, so astonishing in themselves, and of such considerable moment, without being immediately detected; and ruining at once the prevailing credit

* The earliest period, that has been assigned for the publication of Matthew's gospel, seems to be about eight years after the death of Christ; and the latest about thirty years after it. See Lardner's Supplement, Vol. I. Chap. v. 10: Macknight's 6th Preliminary Observation: Michaelis's Introductory Lectures: and Benson's History of the planting the Christian Religion. So that if Luke's gospel was really published before Matthew's; even upon this supposition, it could scarcely be sooner than six or seven years after Jesus's crucifixion.

PART I.
 Sect. I.



credit of Jesus, and all who preached in his name. Could the apostles, from the first of their ministry, have appealed to so wonderful a series of events, which had accompanied the birth of John; no man could possibly believe, that they would till this time have studiously suppressed them; or could, through neglect, have forgot to relate them. For John, we have seen, bore the most express testimony to the truth of Jesus's pretensions; and we know likewise, that all the people held John to have been a prophet indeed.

THE evangelist therefore could not possibly have prefixed a relation so astonishing, as this history of the birth of John, to his account of the life and actions of Jesus; unless the particulars contained in it, had not only been publickly *known*, and *believed*, before he wrote; but publickly *taught* likewise, by all the apostles, to every convert they had made, from their first beginning to preach the gospel. Because it was evident, that if he *had*; not only his own gospel, but, with it, all the
 pre-

pretences of his party, must *on this very account* have been universally exploded.

PART I.
Sect. I.

NEITHER can this relation have been a forgery concerted between *all, or any of Jesus's disciples*, at their very first beginning to preach the gospel, after Jesus's death. Such a surprizing series of miracles supposed to have accompanied John's *birth*, but never made known till so long a time even after his *death**; and then first reported by a set of men, whose interest was so nearly, and so evidently concerned in promoting the belief of them; must necessarily have carried with it so strong an appearance of imposture, that those, who did not reject them at once, as apparently fictitious, would no doubt have examined into the truth of them, with so much scrupulous care; that had they not been founded on unquestionable fact, their falsehood

* According to Mr. Macknight, John was beheaded before the third passover of Jesus's public ministry; and Jesus himself was put to death at the fifth. So that the death of Jesus did not happen till full two years after that of John. Some computations make the distance greater still; and it could not be less.

PART I. falsehood must have been presently de-
Sect. I. tected.

How zealous and active the rulers of the Jews, and the whole synagogue continued, for a long time after they had put Jesus to death, in endeavouring to silence the apostles, and prevent even the name of Jesus from being named among the people, is well known. At the very time, when the apostles must have first published these forgeries concerning the birth of John; if in reality they were forgeries, and the apostles the persons who forged them; the chief priests and rulers were watching every opportunity to destroy them. First they imprisoned Peter and John *; then all the apostles at once †; not dismissing them without stripes, and threats of the severest punishment, if they still continued preaching in Jesus's name ‡: and very soon after, they even put Stephen to death ||. So circumstanced, in the midst of

* Acts of the apost. iv. 1—3.

† Acts of the apost. v. 17, 18.

‡ Acts of the apost. iv. 18, 21. — v. 28, 40.

|| Ibid. vii. 58, 59.

of such persecuting foes, what can be more incredible, than that the apostles should voluntarily court not only their own shame and destruction, but even the utter extinction of their master's credit; by now first publishing so extravagant and ill-grounded a forgery, as must necessarily make their veracity not suspected only, but exploded, by every one of the least judgment or reflection.

OR, were it conceivable, that the apostles themselves could act so foolish a part; how can it be believed, that the chief priests and rulers would quietly acquiesce, in suffering them to spread abroad these hitherto unheard of divine revelations; so evidently calculated to raise the credit of Jesus; whose name and memory *they* were at this very time exerting all their authority to destroy? Had the circumstances of John's birth, which Luke has related, been unknown till this time, and now first published by Jesus's disciples; the sanhedrim could not have failed to make the strictest enquiry into the evidence alleged

D

in

PART I.
Sect. I.

in their support; and if that had proved insufficient, as it certainly must, would have punished the apostles to the utmost extent of their power; and by laying open their villainy to the public view, have extinguished for ever the growing credit of the sect.

SINCE therefore, on the contrary, it appears, that though they not only threatened, but beat, and imprisoned the apostles, and even put one of them to death, for persisting to preach in the name of Jesus; yet they never accused them of the least forgery, in those miraculous accounts of the birth of the Baptist, which they were now every where spreading among their converts to christianity; we are necessitated to acknowledge, that this account of the birth of John, which Luke has recorded, must have been publicly known, and universally believed, before the time of Jesus's death. It could not therefore be forged by the apostles, when they first began to preach the gospel, immediately after it.

IF then the miraculous account under consideration was forged by Jesus's disciples, Jesus himself must have been concerned with them in it, and they must jointly have published it soon after the death of John. But not to repeat arguments, which have been but this moment alleged; the very same reasons, which have shewn it impossible for Jesus's disciples to have done this, soon after *his* death; prove it equally certain, that neither could Jesus, in conjunction with them, have first published such a forgery, at any time after the death of John. Because the testimony of Jesus more especially, with that of his disciples, would necessarily have been exposed to all the same objections in this case, as the testimony of his disciples alone, in the former.

SINCE therefore this account of the miraculous birth of John, whether we suppose it true or false, must have been publickly known and received, while John himself was alive to confirm or contradict it; if it was a forgery, we

PART I.
Sect. 1.

must next suppose John himself to have been the true author, and chief propagator of it. But neither could this possibly be the case.

JOHN'S whole character, life, and doctrine, were so eminently distinguished by a religious adherence to the very strictest rules of piety and virtue; that, according to Josephus, the Jews in general were firmly persuaded, that particular divine judgments were inflicted upon Herod for putting him to death *. But, not to in-

sist

* Josephus having related the total defeat of Herod's army, and mentioned the cause of it, that they were betrayed by some deserters; goes on as follows.

Τισι δε των Ιουδαιων εδοκει ολωσθαι τον Ηρωδου στρατον υπο τω Θεω, και μαλα δικαιως τινυμενε κατα ποιηνη Ιουαινε τε επικαλυμενε Βαπτιστε. κτεινει γαρ τετον Ηρωδης, αγαθον ανδρα, και τες Ιουδαιες κελειοντο, αρετην επασκουντας, και τη προς αλληλους δικαιοσυνη, και προς τον Θεον ευσεβεια χρωμενες, βαπτισμω συνιεναι. ετω γαρ και την βαπτισιν αποδεκτην αυτω φανειθαι, μη επι τινων αμαρταδων παραιτησει χρωμενων, αλλ' εφ' αγνεια του ταματος, ατε δη και της ψυχης δικαιοσυνη προεκεκταδαρμενης.

— Joseph. Antiq. Jud. L. 18. 5. Ed. Havere. —

“ But many among the Jews were persuaded, that the destruction of Herod's army was owing to the particular interposition of God; who, they thought, took
this

first on this, the several particulars related are of such a nature, as prove beyond contradiction, that these accounts could not have been first invented, nor even first related, at any time after John's birth; and consequently, that it is impossible for John himself, or any of his disciples, to have been the contriver, or first propagator of them.

PART I.
Sect. I.

Zacharias's seeming loss of speech, and his signifying to the people his having seen a vision in the temple, were facts, that if true, must have been notorious to great numbers; as is evident from the occasion

D 3

on

this method to punish him, as he very highly deserved, for his cruelty to *John*, commonly called the *Baptist*. For Herod had put him to death, notwithstanding he was a good man, and exhorted the Jews not to come to his baptism, without first preparing themselves for it, by the practice of virtue; by a strict adherence to the rules of justice and equity in their dealings with one another; and by manifesting a sincere piety towards God. For their being baptized, he taught them, would then only be acceptable to God, when, having first purified the mind with righteous dispositions, they had recourse to baptism, as nothing more than an emblem of their freedom from sensual pollutions; not when they made use of it as a commutation for their sins."

PART I. on which they are said to have happen-
 Sect. I. ed *. Again, Zacharias's no less sur-
 ~~~~~ prising, instantaneous recovery of his  
 speech, at the time of John's circumcision,  
 was another fact, which, if true, must  
 have been known likewise at the very  
 time, to no less than *ten* several relations  
 of the family ; who, according to the con-  
 stant custom of the Jewish nation, must  
 have been present upon that occasion †.

Now

*\* And the people waited for Zacharias, and marvelled that he tarried so long in the temple. And when he came out he could not speak unto them: and they perceived that he had seen a vision in the temple: for he beckoned unto them and remained speechless. Luke i. 21, 22.*

† The occasion was the circumcision of John. *And it came to pass, that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child; and they called him Zacharias, after the name of his father, &c. Luke i. 59.* — Upon this occasion the custom of the Jews was as follows.

“ Upon the day of circumcision the father makes a feast. *Ten* must be the number of the invited guests : and one or two of the learned Rabbis make a long prayer and sermon at the table ; while the others freely set the glass about and drink plentifully. This feast they observe, by the example of Abraham, who made a great feast, the same day that Isaac was weaned: (Gen. xxi. 8.) they pervert the text, and say, when he was circumcised.” Lewis's Heb. Antiq. B. 4. Ch. 1.

The



Now it is inconceivable, that an impostor should choose to forge such facts as these, the falsehood of which might presently be detected; and add likewise, that the whole country round about was, at the very time, made acquainted with, and surpris'd at them; when, if the facts themselves were not true, it would immediately have appeared, upon enquiry, that none of the neighbouring inhabitants had ever heard any thing of them. \* *And fear came on all that dwelt round about them; and all these sayings (that is, all these transactions †) were nois'd abroad, throughout*

D 4

all

The number of persons to be invited to this feast, at the circumcision, was not limited to *ten*; but there was always to be *ten at the least*. Buxtorf. Syn. Jud. cap. 2.

\* Luke i. 65, 66.

† To prevent any suspicion of having interpreted this passage in a more comprehensive sense, than it ought to be taken in; it is proper to observe, that the words in the original are, — *παντα τα ρηματα ταυτα*: which in the translation are rendered, “all these sayings.” Now it is certain, that *τα ρηματα* signifies indifferently *things* as well as *words*; and is as often used for the former, as the latter. Thus in this very chapter, ver. 37. *Ὅτι οὐκ ἀδυνατησὶ παρα τῷ θεῷ παν ρημα*: in the translation, *For with God nothing shall be impossible.*”

And

PART I.  
Sect. 1.

*all the hill country of Judea. And all they that heard them laid them up in their hearts, saying, what manner of child shall this be?*

Unless these events had apparently come to pass, in the manner, and at the time, they are related to have happened; they could not at that time have been noised abroad through the neighbouring country; nor could John himself, or his associates, at any time afterwards have ventured to assert that they were.


THE nature of the facts themselves was so surprising, that, upon this account alone, some remembrance of them must have been preserved, in the neighbourhood where

And in chap. ii. 15. *Δελαθωμεν θη εως Βηθλεεμ, και ιδωμεν το ρημα τουτο το γεγονος, ο ο Κ. ριος εγνωρισεν ημιν.* *Let us go now even unto Bethlehem, and see this thing, which is come to pass, which the Lord hath made known unto us.* From these instances only it is sufficiently plain, that in the passage before us, *παντα τα ρηματα ταυτα*, would have been more properly translated, “all these events;” instead of, “all these sayings;” and that Luke meant by them, not only Zacharias’s prophetic declaration, but all the facts he had just been relating. See more on the word *ρημα* in Hamm. on Matt. 2. — Wolf. Cur. Phil. in Luc. i. 37. — Gataker de Stylo N. T. p. 146. — Ross’s Essay for a new Transl. (from Le Cene) p. 2. ch. 4.

where Zacharias had lived, had they really happened, much later than it was possible for John himself, or any of his disciples while he was living, to have contrived and published these accounts. The history of so many divine revelations, reflecting such signal honour upon all those, to whom they had been made, must without doubt have been preserved in the family, with great care, at least as long as the person, whom they immediately concerned, was alive. The hopes and expectations of seeing them accomplished in John's future life and actions, must have kept them in remembrance among Zacharias's friends and relations at least; and rendered it absolutely impossible for the memory of them to have been lost, while there was a possibility remaining of seeing them one day fulfilled.

No sooner, it is related, had Zacharias signified, that his son should be named John; than his speech was perfectly restored, and he was immediately filled with the Holy Ghost, or at least pretended to be



PART I. be so, and prophesied: foretelling, be-  
 Sect. I.  fides the speedy coming of the Messiah  
 himself, and the glories of his reign, that  
*his own son*, at that time but a few days  
 old, was sent to discharge the office of  
 his immediate forerunner.

So unexpected a prediction, delivered  
 by Zacharias, after having been, as he  
 pretended, for so long a time miraculou-  
 sly struck dumb, and but the instant be-  
 fore as miraculously restored to speech;  
 and this too concerning a child, born to  
 him when he could have no hopes of  
 children; and to whom he had just given  
 a name, in opposition to the desire of all  
 his relations present, and the prevailing  
 custom of his country; such a prophecy,  
 pronounced in circumstances so remark-  
 able, and full of promises so desirable,  
 could not have been forgot in John's life  
 time, had it really been delivered; nor  
 could John's affirming such a story have  
 been able to procure it belief, if it had not  
 been remembered.

IF therefore, upon enquiry, when John assumed his public character, no account, not even the least tradition, of these miracles and prophecies could be learned from the family of Zacharias, and the neighbourhood \* where he lived; and if they were forgeries of John's own, or any of his disciples, it is evident this must have been the case; they must at once have been effectually exploded. John's own reputation must have been absolutely blasted; nor would the historians of Jesus have dared afterwards to publish these relations, in order to support the credit of their master. On the contrary, it must have

\* It may not be improper to take notice, on this occasion, that the Jews were from the beginning settled in their possessions, according to their tribes and families. (See M. Lowman's Civil Government of the Hebrews, ch. 4.) By this means a whole neighbourhood, being in some measure related to each other, must have been more nearly connected, than in other countries; where no such regulation had originally taken place; and their tribes were not kept distinct. And, on this account, it must have been looked on as still more highly incredible, that the neighbourhood, where Zacharias had lived, could at this time be found absolutely ignorant of any such astonishing events as those before us; had they ever really come to pass, and been related among them.

PART I.  
Sect. I.

have been their desire, by all means, totally to suppress all memory of them; since any known falsehood in John must so necessarily bring the credit of Jesus into question. Not to say, that in this case it would have been impossible for the credit of Jesus to have outlived that of John.

BUT besides this conclusive argument, drawn from the several circumstances attending the supposed revelations themselves; it appears, on many other accounts, absolutely impossible for them to have been forged by John himself, or consequently by his disciples.

IT must at once be allowed, that before he could contrive, or enter upon a design so intricate, so bold, and so iniquitous, he must, at least, be drawing very near to man's estate. This is the very earliest period we can possibly assign for it. He could not then begin to publish these stories, in order to prepare people for receiving him, in that divine character, which he must have intended afterwards to assume, till he was at least about twenty

ty

ty years of age. Now, at this time, either Zacharias and Elizabeth, and all the ten persons, who had been present at the feast of John's circumcision, about twenty years before, must have been still alive ;— or else only some of them were yet living ;— or lastly, they must all have been already dead. And whichever of these suppositions we may choose to embrace, it will be found incredible, that John could attempt setting on foot such an imposture, at this time ; or, if he had attempted it, that he could have escaped immediate and public detection.

IF Zacharias and Elizabeth, and all those who had been present at their son's circumcision, were yet alive ; it is evident, that John could not attempt to publish any forgeries of his own, giving an account of such remarkable revelations, as having been made to all of them, so many years before ; unless he had first seduced them all to corroborate his relation, with their own evidence ; and conspire with him in the prosecution of the whole plot.

BUT

PART I.  
Sect. 1.


BUT what an extravagant and incredible supposition is this ! Was it possible for John to entertain a design of engaging his very parents themselves, persons of such virtuous conversation as he knew them to be, in so wicked an imposture ? Could he conceive hopes, that they, who, he well knew, had ever walked *in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord blameless*\*, would encourage, and even assist

\* As it is not allowable here to take the good character of Zacharias and Elizabeth upon trust, it is necessary to observe, that the circumstances of the case will prove the characters given of them to be true ; without considering Luke's relation as of any authority, on his own account. — It has just now been proved, that if the circumstances of John's birth, related by Luke, had been forged ; they could not however be forged, and first made known, either by Luke himself, or any of Jesus's disciples, after the death of Jesus ; or by Jesus, in conjunction with his own, or John's disciples, after John's death. This being the case, it necessarily follows, that the particulars concerned, even if they were forged, must have been made known, at the latest, while John was yet alive. It is evident likewise, that these particulars were of so marvellous a nature, that whenever they were first related, they would undoubtedly cause many, and the chief priests more especially, to recollect, or enquire into, the received characters of Zacharias and Elizabeth ; who were represented as so intimately concerned in them. And



assist him, in the most impious of all undertakings? Or could he imagine, that  
among

PART I.  
Sect. I.



as this, we have seen, must have been while John was yet alive; and, consequently, long before the received characters of Zacharias and Elizabeth could be forgot, in the neighbourhood where they had lived; hence it appears, that the reputation they had *really* maintained, whether good or bad, must have been so well known and established during the public ministry of John; that it could not be in the power of Luke to attribute a *false* character to them, and above all, one so remarkably good, when he came to write his gospel some years afterwards. — Besides, it would be manifestly absurd to suppose, that the people in general, or the chief priests in particular, should not enquire who John was, and from what parents he arose; when first he began to baptize, and drew all orders about him. Curiosity alone would lead the generality to make this enquiry; and the chief priests, must have done it on other motives. Nor could they possibly remain ignorant of the true characters of Zacharias and Elizabeth; since all the other priests, who had lived in the same neighbourhood with them, must certainly have known very well, what reputation they had in fact maintained; and were the very persons, to whom the chief priests and rulers would have applied, for information about them. — On both these accounts therefore it must be allowed, that that exemplary character, which Luke has without scruple ascribed to Zacharias and Elizabeth, could be no other, than what had always been admitted as their *true* character; but more especially from the time, when John began first to baptize; at which time, it is certain, no false account of them would have been suffered by the chief priests to gain ground.

PART I.  
Sect I.

among no less than ten of his father's select friends, and two of them Rabbis, whom he had chosen to rejoice with him, at the feast for the circumcision of an unexpected son; there should not be one, who should have common honesty enough to refuse becoming an accomplice in so wicked a conspiracy? Nothing can further exceed the bounds of credibility, than this supposition would; except what we must at the same time suppose, in order to convict John of the forgery in debate; that he not only determined to attempt corrupting them, but actually succeeded.

THOUGH the evangelists have given us no account of those persons, who were present at the Baptist's circumcision; the occasion of the meeting itself is sufficient to convince us, that they must certainly have been persons of good moral characters, and virtuous reputations. Zacharias called them together, in compliance with the custom of his country, to rejoice with him, for the birth of a son, born after he had lost all hopes of having children,

dren. This was an event, which must have given so much pleasure to Zacharias, and more especially to Elizabeth \*; that it cannot be doubted, but they would certainly invite such of their most intimate friends to rejoice with them upon it, as would render the family meeting as satisfactory as possible. Whoever they were then, that met to rejoice with Zacharias upon this occasion; they could not be any of his meer common acquaintance; persons whose lives and conversations he might know but little of, and be as little solicitous about; but, on the contrary, they must have been such of his best friends and relations, as he knew would take part most sincerely in his present satisfaction.

Now from hence it is certain, that they must have been persons of integrity, and unblemished reputation. For how can it be imagined, that a priest, who had maintained to old age an exemplary character, could have formed his most inti-

E

mate

\* See Luke i. 24, 25.

PART I.  
Sect. 1.

mate connections, with persons of abandoned principles, and dissolute lives? How is it possible he should have formed friendships, and kept up an intimate correspondence, with those, whose company would have been a disgrace to his profession, and whose conduct must have been opposite to his own? Had he conducted himself in this manner, he could neither have acquired, nor preserved, that virtuous reputation, which he died possessed of. He was now likewise arrived at that advanced time of life, when other causes of intimacy lose their influence, and good men value their friends, more than ever, for the internal disposition and good principles of the heart. Those friends therefore, whom Zacharias selected to rejoice with him upon this occasion, we may rest satisfied must have been, like himself and Elizabeth, persons of approved probity and worth.

WHAT then can be more incredible, than that John should imagine he could prevail with no less than *twelve* persons, of such worthy characters as his parents  
and

and their friends certainly were, to become, all on the sudden, extravagantly wicked, and assist him in the prosecution of a most impious imposture? And even had it been possible for him to have resolved upon attempting this; by what strange fatality could it happen, that they should all, without exception, approve his wicked designs; and all become at once so hardened in iniquity, that neither the customary stings of remorse, nor even the severer terrors of a death-bed, should induce any one of them, ever after, to make confession of his crime? No expedients whatever can render such suppositions even in the very lowest degree credible, or capable of being allowed.

AND what views of advantage could John have to propose to his parents and relations, in order to engage them in so iniquitous an undertaking; which on so many other accounts, it must have appeared to him plainly impossible for them to approve? Some surely he must have thought necessary for this end: and yet his de-

PART I.  
Sect. 1.

sign, planned as we must suppose him to have planned it, could not admit of any.

THE prophecies and revelations which they attested, and which must either have been his contrivance alone, or the joint produce of all, were such as would at all times have made it requisite for *him* to refuse all earthly power and honours. He was to be *great* indeed \*; but then it was to be *in the sight of the Lord*, not of man. His life and manners were to be distinguished from those of other men; but instead of being rendered remarkable by a more splendid possession of human enjoyments; *he was to drink, neither wine nor strong drink*; and to live in a continued course of abstinence and mortification. He was to assume the character of an instructor of mankind; but far from being to possess the allurements of human wisdom and philosophy; *he was to be filled with the Holy Ghost from his mother's womb*. He was to preach the doctrine of repentance; of all others the most directly calculated

\* Luke i. 15.

culated to draw upon him a general hatred and aversion; and, *to give knowledge of salvation* to THAT people who valued themselves so highly on being the sons of Abraham, *by the remission of their sins*. In fine, he was professedly to renounce the high character of the Messiah; and to lay claim to no other honour, than that of a messenger, who was to prepare his way before him, in the reserved, and retired manner of Elias.

THIS being the plot he contrived, if it was indeed a plot of his own contrivance; what rewards could he possibly propose to Zacharias and Elizabeth, and their friends, sufficient to induce them to embark in so iniquitous an imposture? It is evident he could have none to offer. And to suppose notwithstanding, that he could think it possible, that so many persons of virtuous reputation should all agree to join in carrying on so wicked, and, at the same time, so useless a design; and in consequence of this opinion, that he could attempt to seduce them; and further, that, in fact, they all heartily embraced it, and ever after ad-

PART I.  
Sect. I.

hered to it; would be contradicting the first principles of common sense, and all experience; and utterly confounding all distinction between falsehood and truth.

BUT incredible as the supposition must undoubtedly be allowed, let us suppose these twelve persons, of unblemished integrity, to have become, all at once, the most accomplished impostors. Even this concession itself will not yet make it possible for the imposture in debate to have been first contrived, and thus set on foot by the Baptist. For, had it been his contrivance, even the joint testimony of *all these* in its support, would have been utterly insufficient to prevent it from being presently exploded.

IT has been already observed, that John must at least have arrived at man's estate, before he can be conceived capable of entering upon such a design. So that, whatever associates he might then engage in it, must *till that time* have been totally ignorant of every particular of the plot; and, consequently, could never have made mention



tion of any of these divine revelations, as having accompanied the birth of John, during the long interval of about twenty years, which must have elapsed since he was born. But after such a silence as this, had they all agreed to publish relations of any such miraculous events; it cannot be doubted, what must have been the success of so foolish an attempt to impose upon the common sense of mankind.

Who could possibly have given credit to stories of so extraordinary a nature; which, if related at the very first, required to have been so well attested; when it should appear, that not one of those witnesses, upon whose testimony only they must stand or fall, had ever made the least mention of them, for such a series of years? It would have been utterly impossible for any of them, and more especially for Zacharias, to account satisfactorily for their entirely suppressing such events, at the time they came to pass; when astonishment alone would have led every honest undesigning spectator to re-

PART I.  
Sect. I.

veal them; as well as for making them known at last, when they were plainly calculated to serve an interested view, after having so long suppressed them. Such a conduct, it must have been clear to all, could have proceeded from nothing less than some dishonest agreement between them; and consequently, must have deprived their testimony of all regard, however credible witnesses they might otherwise have been.

WHAT more natural, nay, what more unavoidable, than this enquiry; how so many honest men could have agreed among themselves, absolutely to suppress *such* extraordinary revelations of the will of God, as, according to their own account of them, it was of the utmost importance, should be published to mankind? *He shall be great, said the angel to Zacharias, in the sight of the Lord; — and many of the children of Israel shall be turn to the Lord their God. And he shall go before him, in the spirit and power of Elias; to turn the hearts of the fathers to the*

*the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just, to make ready a people prepared for the Lord*\*. Zacharias himself too prophesied, *And thou child shalt be called the prophet of the highest, for thou shalt go before the face of the Lord to prepare his ways, to give knowledge of salvation to his people, by the remission of their sins* †. These were dispensations, which, the most simple could not but at once perceive, required the proofs of John's divine commission to be published to mankind. It must therefore have occurred to every one, that whoever could agree among themselves to suppress those miraculous events, by which alone these dispensations could be confirmed; must have been persons of no less abandoned principles, than such as could deliberately combine together, to oppose the merciful dispensations of God, and obstruct the universal happiness of man. So that had it been possible for John himself to have entered upon,  
and

PART I.  
SECT. I.

\* Luke i. 15, 17.

† Ibid. i. 76, 77.

PART I.  
Sect. I.

and engaged his parents and relations in so foolish a design; their long unavoidable silence, with regard to all these astonishing events, which they must now at length have attested, was abundantly sufficient to prevent any one from paying the least regard to their reports\*.

ABOVE all the rest; the credit of Zacharias and Elizabeth, though hitherto unsuspected, must on this account have been effectually destroyed. For every honest motive, that could possibly influence their conduct upon such an occasion, would have conspired together, in forcing them to publish these divine revelations, had they ever really been made. If he was a man of probity and virtue, he could not have


\* Nay, their testimony would not only have been rejected on all these accounts; but their characters would unavoidably have become infamous, in the judgment of all the people. For among the Jews, all such as concealed any revelations, that had been made to them, were looked upon, as one particular species of *false prophets*; and they believed that God would execute severe judgment upon them, as such. — See Selden de Synedr. Eb. 1. 2. c. 6. And Lewis's Heb. Antiq. B. 2. 16.

have resolved to conceal from mankind, such singular manifestations of the overruling providence of God. If a priest of piety and religion, he could not have dared to withhold from his whole nation, those divine revelations concerning his own son; the very substance of which evidently shewed, that God certainly designed them to be made known to all. As a descendant of Abraham, and an inheritor of the promises made to his forefathers, he could not but have been extremely desirous to be the first publisher of the joyful tidings of the long expected Messiah; he could not but have rejoiced exceedingly at the high honour conferred upon himself, in blessing him with a son to be the Messiah's immediate forerunner. And on all these accounts together, if he was not prevented by any dishonest designs, he must have burned with impatience, to make known to all the neighbourhood, where he lived, these certain assurances of the approaching deliverance of their whole nation, and his own great favour with God.

PART I.  
Sect. I.

THE desire even of encreasing his own reputation, must have concurred with his regard to virtue and religion, and forced him to make known such miraculous revelations, had they really accompanied the birth of his son. These considerations likewise were so far from remote, that they must naturally have occurred to every Jew, upon the first mention of the case. So that, though for argument's sake, we should suppose Zacharias, and all the rest, to have entered into a plot to bear witness to these revelations, at the instigation of John; this single circumstance, that they had never made the least mention of them, for the long interval of near twenty years, after they were affirmed to have come to pass, must effectually have betrayed the imposture, and caused it to be universally exploded.

THUS it appears impossible for John himself to have been the contriver of any such imposture, as that in question; if we suppose his parents, and all those who had been present at his circumcision, to have  
been

been still living, when he was capable of PART I.  
Sect. 1.  
contriving it. 

IF now we suppose, what is far more probable to have been the case, that only some of them remained alive, when John may be thought capable of forming such a design; the impossibility of his setting the plot on foot, without its being presently rejected, becomes more evident than before. For, in addition to all the arguments already alleged, which must have effectually destroyed the credit of such of them as were yet alive, to publish these relations; the absolute silence of all those, who had even died without ever making mention of such miraculous events, would have rendered it still more apparent, that no such revelations had ever really been made. That those, who now at length attested them, should have concealed them so long, was what no man could believe; but that any of those, who were present when they happened, should even die without revealing them, was doubly incredible.

PART I.  
Sect. I.


CAN it appear possible then, for John to have succeeded better in the supposed design; if, in the last place, we should imagine, that Zacharias and Elizabeth, and all, who had been present at his circumcision, were dead before he entered upon it? Could he take advantage of the death of all, and *successfully* publish such forgeries as these; when there were no longer any witnesses to be had, who could expressly declare his pretensions to be false? On the contrary, it is apparent from what has just now been said, that this supposition, the only one remaining, must be, of all, the most unfavourable for his plot. For, could John himself have been foolish enough to lay claim to a divine character, upon the meer strength of these pretended revelations; at a time, when he himself was the only person, who asserted he had ever heard a syllable of them; and when every one of those persons to whom, he said, they had been made, were at length dead; and dead too, without having ever revealed the least hint concerning them;

it



it is apparent he must at once have been rejected, as the most shameless and abandoned of all impostors. \*

PART I.  
Sect. I.



SINCE therefore, on the other hand, it is certain, that John was not only esteemed a true prophet, by the people in general; but that even the pharisees themselves, his avowed and early enemies, had no such argument to allege against his pretensions to a divine commission; it must necessarily be acknowledged, that there could be no room for this decisive objection. And thus, to convince us that these revelations, said to have accompanied the conception and birth of John, were undoubtedly

\* It would be idle to suppose here, that John might suborn witnesses to corroborate his own testimony, by asserting, that they had heard of these revelations, as well as himself; and that by this means his account might gain ground. For, not to insist on the great apparent difficulty and danger of such an attempt, it must have been utterly impossible for John to have procured such a number, as would by any means have been sufficient to give even an air of probability to their relations; while the contradictory evidence of others, of no less authority, must have effectually prevented their testimony from being received, after so long an interval had elapsed as that of twenty years.

PART I.  
Sect. 1.

edly noised abroad, by Zacharias and his friends concerned in them, throughout all the hill-country of Judea, *immediately after John's circumcision*; and consequently, that it is absolutely impossible for them to have been forged by John himself, or any persons at all connected with him, *after that time*; we have, at once, the express assurance of the evangelist, the obvious nature of the thing itself, and the unquestionable testimony of the very enemies of John.




SECTION

SECTION II.

**T**HE miraculous events, said to have accompanied the birth of the Baptist, having thus approved themselves such as could not possibly be forged, either by Luke, or any of Jesus's disciples, after John's death; or by John himself, or any one else in conjunction with him, several years after his birth; there remains but one supposition more, which can possibly account for them on the foot of an imposture.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.



IF the events in question did not really come to pass, in that supernatural manner in which they are related; then the whole must have been a plot, concerted before the Baptist's birth, between his parents Zacharias and Elizabeth, and whoever else shall appear to have been concerned with them in carrying it on. And all this must have been contrived purposely to impose their son upon the Jews for that prophet, whom they expected God would

F

send

PART I. send to proclaim the coming of the Mes-  
Sect. 2. siah.

IT is now therefore necessary to consider, whether *this* supposition is at all more capable of being admitted, than either of the former. And to proceed in this enquiry with clearness and certainty, it will be requisite, in the first place, to take a full view of the whole scheme of that imposture, in the prosecution of which, Zacharias must, on this supposition, have been engaged; as well as to know certainly, what associates he must have been connected with, in carrying it on.

THIS done, we may be enabled to determine the truth or falsehood of the supposition itself; from considering the *nature* of the supposed design; the *circumstances and situation* of all the persons concerned; and *the manner* in which, it shall appear, they must actually have conducted it.

FOR if the several circumstances of all those, who, on this supposition, must have contrived the plot in question, should make it incredible for them to have been  
en-

engaged in such an undertaking; — if, besides, the supposed imposture itself should prove so absurd in its own nature, as to make it impossible to believe, that any one could be foolish enough to have planned it out; — and again, if several particulars should occur in the progress of it, such as could not possibly have been adopted by them, if they had; — Zacharias, and all concerned, must be acquitted of all suspicion of deceit; and the prophetic character of the Baptist will be completely established.

By laying together some circumstances of importance in the case, it will immediately be seen, that if Zacharias was really engaged in the contrivance we have just been supposing, he could not be the only person who planned, and carried it on. On the contrary it will be found, that whatever forgeries were made public by Zacharias and Elizabeth relating to John; Joseph and Mary must not only have been thoroughly acquainted with, and accessories to them; but the plot it-

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

felf, must, from the beginning, have been concerted between them all.

IT will appear likewise, that if the imposture supposed with regard to John, had any real existence, *this alone* was not the *whole* design Zacharias must have been engaged in concerting; but there must have been, at the same time, a *similar plot* laid, and put in execution, relating to *Mary's son*; in the projection and support of which, Zacharias and Elizabeth must have been jointly engaged with Joseph and Mary themselves. The truth of these assertions will presently appear.

SUPPOSING all the circumstances recorded of John's birth, to have been no more than the several particulars of a deep laid imposture; Zacharias, we find, entered upon his design, by pretending to have seen an angel in the temple, who foretold even the conception, as well as the birth of his son; commanding Zacharias, when the time came, to name him John; and declaring him ordained of God to be the immediate forerunner of the Messiah.

Messiah. That to prevent people from questioning the truth of so extraordinary a revelation, he immediately feigned himself dumb; signifying, that the angel had deprived him of speech, for a certain period, which he assigned; as a punishment for his having doubted the truth of this astonishing prediction. That, in due time after this, his wife Elizabeth was delivered of a son, as he pretended the angel had foretold she should be. That to confirm still further the angel's appearance in the temple, which was to serve for the foundation of the whole imposture; Zacharias, at his son's circumcision, named him John: and immediately pretending to have had his speech instantaneously restored, according to the angel's pretended declaration, he began to return thanks to God for his gracious dispensations. And, in fine, to give a still stronger sanction to the future divine character of his son, and to cover the whole plot with a greater air of solemnity, he himself immediately assumed the style of inspira-

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.



tion, and broke out into a pretended prophecy; foretelling, that the Messiah himself was at length on the point of appearing; and, as before, that John was ordained to be his immediate fore-runner\*.

SUCH was the part *Zacharias* must have acted, in that scheme of imposture, which we are now supposing him to have contrived with regard to John.

As to his wife *Elizabeth*, the very nature of the case evidently shews, that she must unavoidably have been a party to the design; and her behaviour at the time of John's circumcision, sufficiently proves it. *And it came to pass, that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child; and they called him Zacharias after the name of his father. And his mother answered, and said, not so; but he shall be called John. And they said unto her, there is none of thy kindred, that is called by this name. And they made signs to his father how he would have him called: and*  
he

\* Luke, ch. i.



*he asked for a writing-table, and wrote, saying, his name is John. And they marvelled all\*.*

PART. I.  
Sect. 2.

HAD not Zacharias already engaged Elizabeth in the prosecution of whatever design he had in view, with regard to John; we could not have found her, on this occasion, breaking through the custom of her country, to the no small surprize of all their relations present †; evidently for no other end, than to obey the pretended commands of the angel to

F 4

Zacharias,

\* Luke i. 59.

† “ The name was usually given to the child at the time of circumcision :” (as we see was the case with John.) — “ They always had regard to the name of some person of distinction, who had been of the family.”—Lewis’s Heb. Antiq. B. 4. ch. i.

“ God at the same time instituted circumcision, and changed the names of Abraham and Sarah: hence the custom of giving names to their children at the time of their circumcision.”

“ Amongst the several accounts, why this or that name was given to the sons, this was one that chiefly obtained, *viz.* For the honour of some person, whom they esteemed, they gave the child his name. Which seems to have guided them in this case here; when Zachary himself, being dumb, could not make his mind known to them.”—Lightfoot on Luke i. 59. — Vol. 2. p. 387. and likewise vol. 1. p. 421.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

Zacharias, and by that means confirm the belief of his appearance in the temple. *Elizabeth* therefore must certainly, from this time at least, have been engaged in promoting the plot, which Zacharias had contrived, to serve for the foundation of the future imposture of their son.

BUT if all this extraordinary conduct of Zacharias and Elizabeth, was really the effect of subtlety and deceit; there must likewise have been *another* similiar imposture carrying on, at the same time, by *Joseph* and *Mary*; and they must *all* have been engaged together in the joint prosecution of *both*. This the connection of the plots themselves, and the whole conduct of all the parties, will oblige us to acknowledge.

ZACHARIAS began his pretended prophecy, at the time of John's circumcision, thus: *Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, for he hath visited and redeemed his people; and hath raised up an horn of salvation for us, in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets,*

*phets, which have been since the World began* \*. This declaration, it is plain, could not possibly relate to John, who was not of the house of David; nor to any other person, than the Messiah himself. And in it Zacharias prophetically declared, that the God of Israel had, at that time, raised *him* up among them.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

IF then Zacharias was carrying on such a plot, as we now suppose, 'tis certain he must, before that time, have found out some of David's descendants, who had embarked with him in this deep-laid design; and, in concert with him, had already fixed upon some descendant of their own family, who should afterwards assume the sacred character of the Messiah. To have uttered such a prophetic declaration, without first providing for its accomplishment, would have been purposely betraying his want of real inspiration, and publishing the whole deceit.

AND that in fact Zacharias was not guilty of so great an oversight as this, will

\* Luke i. 68.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

will immediately appear, from the following account of several extraordinary events, said to have come to pass above three months before the birth of John; and consequently some little time longer before Zacharias delivered this pretended revelation.

*And \* in the sixth month, after his appearance to Zacharias, the angel Gabriel was sent from God, unto a city of Galilee, named Nazareth; to a virgin espoused to a Man whose name was Joseph, of the house of David; and the virgin's name was Mary. And the angel came in unto her, and said, Hail, thou that art highly favoured, the Lord is with thee; blessed art thou among women. And when she saw him, she was troubled at his saying, and cast in her mind what manner of salutation this should be. And the angel said unto her, Fear not, Mary, for thou hast found favour with God. And behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and bring forth a son, and shalt call his name Jesus. He shall*

\* Luke i. 26, — 56.

*shall be great, and shall be called the Son of the Highest; and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father David. And he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end. Then said Mary unto the angel, How shall this be, seeing I know not a man? And the angel answered, and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee; therefore also, that holy thing, that shall be born of thee, shall be called the Son of God. And behold thy cousin Elizabeth, she hath also conceived a son in her old age, and this is the sixth month with her, who was called barren. For with God nothing shall be impossible. And Mary said, Behold the handmaid of the Lord, be it unto me according to thy word. And the angel departed from her. And Mary arose in those days, and went into the hill-country with haste, into a city of Juda, and entered into the house of Zacharias, and saluted Elizabeth. And it came to pass, that when Elizabeth heard*  
the

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

*the salutation of Mary, the babe leaped in her womb; and Elizabeth was filled with the Holy Ghost. And she spake out with a loud voice, and said, Blessed art thou among women, and blessed is the fruit of thy womb. And whence is this to me, that the mother of my Lord should come to me? For lo! as soon as the voice of thy salutation sounded in my ears, the babe leaped in my womb for joy. And blessed is she that believed; for there shall be a performance of those things, which were told her from the Lord. And Mary said, My soul doth magnify the Lord, and my spirit hath rejoiced in God my Saviour. For he hath regarded the low estate of his handmaiden: for behold, from henceforth all generations shall call me blessed. For he that is mighty hath done me great things, and holy is his name. And his mercy is on them that fear him from generation to generation. He hath shewed strength with his arm, he hath scattered the proud in the imagination of their hearts. He hath put down the mighty from their seats, and exalted them*  
of

of low degree: he hath filled the hungry with good things; and the rich he hath sent empty away. He hath holpen his servant Israel, in remembrance of his mercy; as he spake to our fathers, to Abraham, and to his seed for ever. — And Mary abode with her about three months, and returned to her own house.

THE particulars of this extraordinary relation will not suffer us to doubt the truth of the following conclusions. First, that if that part of the events here recorded, which relate to John, were the effects of contrivance and deceit; there must unquestionably have been *two* connected, though distinct schemes of imposture, set on foot by the same persons, at the same time; which taken together, formed the *whole* of their plot. — And secondly, that though *one part* of this contrivance was more immediately under the direction of *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*, because it was of such a nature, that they only could conduct it; and *the other*, for the same reason, was principally executed by *Mary* and

PART I. and *Joseph*; yet *the whole design* must  
 Sect. 2. from the beginning have been planned  
 out, and agreed upon, between *All the*  
*Four*.

THE close connection between the angel's two messages to Zacharias and Mary, one of which expressly makes mention of the other; joined to the still more immediate dependance of Zacharias's prophecy upon both; shews plainly, that if the first of these revelations was a forgery, the second must have been so too; and that both must have been jointly contrived by all the parties concerned. Had either appearance of the angel been real, and, consequently, either message a divine revelation; which-ever it was, it could not have borne testimony to the truth of one that was forged. Nor could *two* distinct schemes of imposture have been so contrived, that *one* should necessarily presuppose, and depend immediately upon the *other*; but by the original agreement, and joint conspiracy of the authors of *both*.



THE intercourse likewise between Elizabeth and Mary; their mutual declarations of the immediate interposition of God, in making one of them the mother of the Messiah, and the other of his immediate forerunner; their reciprocal congratulations upon this remarkable account; and their prophetic declarations in consequence of it; all these particulars prove to demonstration, that from the beginning they must have acted in concert, to support each other's pretensions; and, consequently, that whatever impostures were carrying on among them, *Mary* must, from the first, have been jointly engaged with Elizabeth and Zacharias in the contrivance of the whole deceit.

THAT *Joseph* likewise must have been a principal in the plot, both the nature of the case, and several particulars in his conduct, will undeniably prove. When *Mary* began the part she performed, she had been already for some time espoused to *Joseph*, and was shortly to become his wife.


PART I.  
Sect 2.

wife\*. So circumstanced, it is plainly incredible, that Zacharias and Elizabeth should attempt to engage *Her* in a contrivance of such a nature as this, without first engaging *Joseph* to assist in the same design.

\* As the particular here taken notice of made a part of the matrimonial rites among the Jews, to which we have nothing similar ourselves; it may be proper here to observe, that among the Jews no one could be *married*, who had not been before *espoused*. That the ceremony of the *espousal* was as much a *fixed*, and *necessary rite*, as those more immediately observed at the final completion of the *marriage*. The different *forms of espousing* were all minutely prescribed; they were to be transacted before witnesses; and they were celebrated with a Feast. The *espousal* was a solemn engagement between the several parties concerned, *essentially preparatory* to the *marriage*; and the times, which were to elapse between them, were limited in some respects. From the time of the *espousal*, the woman was considered *as the wife* of the man, to whom she was espoused; in every respect, except that they did not live together: and the utmost care and caution were observed in the regulation of her conduct; as the same *capital punishments* were inflicted on *her*, on account of any failures in it during this interval, *before the marriage*, as *after* she was actually married; and were even extended, in some degree, to those under whose care she now remained. — See all the particulars relating to this point at large, in Selden's *Ux. Heb.* l. 2. c. 3, and 8. — Bafnage's *Hist. of the Jews*, B. 5. 19, sect. 9, &c. — Lewis's *Heb. Antiq.* B. 6. c. 33, 35, 36. — Allix's *Reflect. on the O. T.* ch. 20, p. 242, 244,

design. The obvious and necessary consequence must otherwise have been, that Joseph would immediately have become their professed enemy; Mary's reputation must very soon have been blasted; and the integrity of Zacharias and Elizabeth themselves would have been rendered, at least, so extremely suspicious; that tho' their iniquitous designs should not have been plainly detected, yet their whole intended imposture must have been effectually put an end to.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.



NOR is it more certain, from the very nature of the case, that *Joseph*, as well as *Mary*, must, from the beginning, have been engaged in the prosecution of the plot; than it is clear, from his own conduct, that he was at least as active in promoting it, as any of them all. No sooner had Zacharias played his part at the circumcision of John, than Joseph began *his*; with an account of still more divine revelations made to himself; all evidently calculated to serve the same design, and promote the credit of those

PART I. already given out by Zacharias and  
 Sect. 2. Mary.

*Now \* the birth of Jesus Christ was on this wise. When as his mother Mary was espoused to Joseph, before they came together, she was found with child of the Holy Ghost. Then Joseph her husband, being a just man, and not willing to make her a publick example, was minded to put her away privily. But while he thought on these things, behold, the angel of the Lord appeared unto him in a dream, saying, Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife; for that, which is conceived in her, is of the Holy Ghost. And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call his name Jesus; for he shall save his people from their sins. — Then Joseph, being raised from sleep, did as the angel of the Lord had bidden him, and took unto him his wife.*

THUS did Joseph endeavour to strengthen the authority of what Mary had already related, concerning her future

\* Matt. i. 18, — 24.

ture son; some months before Jesus was born. And to this revelation, we find, he afterwards added two more, admirably fitted to promote the same end.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

*And\* when they, the wise men, were departed, behold, the angel of the Lord appeareth to Joseph in a dream, saying, arise and take the young child, and his mother, and flee into Egypt; and be thou there until I bring thee word; for Herod will seek the young child to destroy him. When he arose he took the young child, and his mother, by night, and departed into Egypt.— But when Herod was dead, behold, an angel of the Lord appeareth in a dream to Joseph in Egypt, saying, arise, and take the young child, and his mother, and go into the land of Israel. And he arose, and took the young child, and his mother, and came into the land of Israel.*

IF then there was any deceit contriving among them, *Joseph*, it is certain, must have been full as active as the rest, in promoting the common cause; since he

G 2

must

\* Matt. ii. 13, — 21.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

must have forged no less than three revelations in its behalf. Nay, it appears, he must have put himself to all the inconveniences, of banishing himself and his family from his own country, for a considerable time, purely to gain credit to these stories, of his own inventing, for its support.

AT length, therefore, we may venture to affirm, what the very nature of the case, as well as the clear evidence of facts have so fully proved; that if the events recorded of the birth of *John* were only the several particulars of a deep laid deceit; those relating to the birth of *Jesus* must have been so too: that the supposition of *One* of these impostures necessarily includes the *Other*: and that *Zacharias*, *Elizabeth*, *Mary*, and *Joseph*, must *All* have been jointly engaged in the planning out, and prosecution of *Both*.

THIS conclusion immediately points out, in what method we must now proceed, to enquire into the real existence of the impostures in debate. Should it appear

appear impossible for *These four persons* to have been connected together, in the joint contrivance of this double deception, the question will then be decided. All suspicion of Zacharias's integrity must be rejected as groundless and false; the *Baptist* must be submitted to, as one inspired from above; and *Jesus* consequently be received as the undoubted Messiah.

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

AT the same time it must become equally evident, from the very nature of the case, and without any regard had to the testimony of *John*; that all the circumstances recorded of the birth of *Jesus*, must actually have come to pass, in that supernatural manner, in which they are related: and therefore, that on *this distinct* account likewise, we have the fullest assurance, that Jesus Christ was the true Messiah.

Now supposing the contrivances just explained, to have been really undertaken, by all those, who, we have just seen, must have conspired together to carry them on; one of the following

PART I.  
Sect. 2.

suppositions must unavoidably be allowed. Either,

1st, ZACHARIAS and Elizabeth must have been the original and real contrivers of *Both* these designs; as well that relating to Mary's son, as their own; and by means of some advantages, which Joseph and Mary might be made to hope for from the *One*, perswade them to become their accomplices in *Both*. Or,

2dly, ZACHARIAS must have been the projector of that design only, which immediately concerned *his* son; and Joseph and Mary, in like manner, have first planned the imposture in favour of *their* son. Or,


3dly, JOSEPH and Mary must have contrived *Both* the plots; and so have perswaded Zacharias to conspire with them, in promoting that immediately relating to Mary's son; in hopes of some advantages to be drawn from the success of the other, relating to his own son.

IF they were *All* thus united in these schemes of iniquity, one or other of these sup-



suppositions must of necessity be true; since the case itself will admit of no more. PART I.  
Sect. 2.

It must now, therefore, be our business to evince the incredibility of them *all*; and this, in the first place, from considering the *particular characters*, and other *material circumstances*, of *all the parties concerned*.



## SECTION III.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

**T**HAT Zacharias himself, and his wife Elizabeth were esteemed, by all who knew them, persons of sincere virtue and integrity, we may be sure, as there has already been occasion to prove \*, from the remarkable good character Luke has given them, in the very opening of his gospel ; that *they were both righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord, blameless.* The evangelist could not have ventured on this assertion, were it capable of being disproved. And as Zacharias was a priest, one of that particular order of men, in which a more exemplary conduct is naturally required to establish an universal good name ; and whose failings are naturally censured with greater severity, than those of any other profession ; so his unblemished character could not have been supported by any other means,

\* See note, p. 46.

means, than an uniform discharge of all the moral and religious duties of the Jewish law.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

AMONG the Jews, it is well known, that the priesthood was absolutely confined to one family only. No one could be admitted to exercise the priestly functions, till he had clearly proved his immediate descent from some priest of the family of Aaron, and was found to be free from every, the least personal blemish. When a candidate had undergone these examinations, he was capable of being admitted to perform some duties in the temple, at twenty years of age; and from that time continued, in his turn, a kind of probationer in all the employments there, till the age of thirty; when he became qualified to discharge every part of the priestly office\*.

UNDER

\* "The succession of the Hebrew priesthood was established in the family of Aaron; the *pontifical dignity* was fixed in the line of his first-born. All others of his posterity were priests, simply so called; or priests of the *second Order*. The fixed and consistent time of  
the

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

UNDER such an institution it would be absurd to suppose, that no attention was paid to a priest's moral character; and much more so to imagine, that one of this order should be able to preserve from youth, to old age, the reputation of exemplary goodness; had not his actions themselves plainly shewn him to have de-  
served

the priest's entering into the service, was at the age of thirty: but at five and twenty they were probationers, and might do some offices, but not all." (And even from the age of twenty, after David's time; as Light-foot proves from 1 Chron. xxiii. 24—27.) "Their installment and admission into the service, was in this manner. The great Sanhedrim sat daily in the room Gazith, to judge concerning the priests that came to age, and were to be admitted: and if they proved duly qualified, they clothed them in white, and enrolled them among the order, and they went in and ministered: and the great council rejoiced to find them perfect, and blessed God for it with a solemn prayer. But if the person proved to be of the right line, and had any of the blemishes, which rendered him incapable of the ministry;" (of which were reckoned 140) "he was sent into the wood-room to worm the wood for the altar; and had his portion of the holy things with the men of the house of his father, and did eat with them." — Lewis's Heb. Antiq. B. 2. Ch. 6. See the chapter, and Light-foot, Vol. 1. P. 915. Selden de Succesi. in Pontif. Ebræ. l. 2. c. 5. Lev. xxi. 16. ad finem. Spencer de Leg. Heb. l. 1. c. 10. p. 177.

served it. As certainly as the smallest blemish in his person, which was prohibited by the law, would have prevented Zacharias from officiating in the temple worship; so certainly would any vicious irregularities in his conduct and conversation, have deprived him of that amiable character, which, it appears from the evangelist, he must have died possessed of. It was impossible for the Jews in general not to agree in this particular with Moses their lawgiver; who designed, “that the priests should be not only in every respect faultless, in the discharge of their sacred functions; but that they should exert their earnest endeavours, to approve themselves unblameable in their daily conversation, and common intercourse with the world\*.” And, had not this been the rule of Zacharias’s conduct, he could not possibly have obtained, and left behind him, so fair a reputation.

ELI-

\* μη μόνον δε περι τας ιερευσιας καθαρευ ειναι, σταδ'αζειν δε, και περι την αυτων διαιταν, ωστε αυτην αμεμπτων ειναι. — Josephi Antiq. Jud. l. 3. 12. sub init.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

ELIZABETH'S sphere of action must have been much less public than that of Zacharias. But her alliance with a man of such approved worth, when considered jointly with her having, in fact, maintained the same virtuous character as himself, which it appears she did; deprives us, at once, of the least shadow of a reason for calling her integrity into question. Besides, the very profession of her husband affords no inconsiderable testimony to her virtue.

THERE was nothing, we are informed, about which the Jews were more scrupulous, than the marriages of their priests; not only to prevent such alliances as would taint their blood, but such likewise as might tend to corrupt their morals, and lessen their reputation. The law \* itself expressly prohibited them from marrying, not only all such as were of known ill character, or whose integrity could be thought in the least suspicious; but even those,

\* Levit. xxi. — See Lewis's Heb. Antiq. B. 2. 6. — Josephus's Jew. Antiq. l. 3. 12. sub. init. — Idem contra App. l. 1. 7. — Lightfoot, vol. 2. p. 379.

those, whose situations in life exposed them to more danger of being vitiated than others; and even the children of all such persons. By means of which precautions, it became at length even a proverbial expression among the Jews, to denote a woman of an exemplary character; "that she deserved to marry with a priest." And the most honourable alliance a priest could enter into was with one of priestly extraction, which Elizabeth herself was\*.

To argue from these cautious restrictions only, however remarkable, that the wife of every Jewish priest, must necessarily have been a person of an excellent moral character; would be drawing a conclusion, which the weakness of human nature could not possibly bear. But when we consider, in addition to the character of Zacharias her husband, and all these circumstances so much in her favour, the positive reputation of so unexceptionable

\* *And his wife was of the daughters of Aaron, and her name was Elizabeth, Luke i. 5.*

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

exceptionable a life, as Elizabeth left behind her; we can no longer doubt of her integrity, without preferring a meer groundless and obstinate prejudice, to the plain reason of the thing.

So circumstanced then as Zacharias and Elizabeth were; nothing can be more unreasonable than to suspect, that their virtue might be no better than hypocrisy, and their reputation maintained by some artful disguise. To obtain the applause of numbers for a time, by means of some remarkable actions of a specious nature, may perhaps be no very difficult task. Extraordinary fits of zeal, and instances of severe mortification, have at times been able to establish a character for virtue, where the principle was certainly wanting. But to preserve an uninterrupted reputation for goodness and piety, through the several stages of life, even to advanced age; without laying claim to any uncommon flights of virtue, or aiming at such actions as are plainly calculated to procure popular applause; seems



seems far beyond the power of any thing, less than the peaceable conscientious discharge of all the duties of our station.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

THAT such was the conduct of Zacharias and Elizabeth, we have good reason to believe from their very character itself. The evangelist says nothing of their extraordinary fits of devotion, and pious zeal. But *they were both righteous*, we are told, *before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord, blameless.* A character, equally remote from all affected dazzling displays of more public virtue; and all the severer rigours of sanctified enthusiasm. A character, which, as it plainly shews they made it their chief care and study, to live void of offence, towards God and man; so it as evidently implies, that they never attempted to establish an ill-grounded reputation, by any indirect means. And indeed, had they attempted it, their middle station in life, by exposing the general tenour of their conduct to the familiar observation of all their neighbours;

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

bours ; would have made it impossible for them to have supported, through life, this peculiar kind of good fame, by any other means, than the real practice of that goodness, they had the reputation of possessing. From all these reasons laid together, we are therefore bound to believe, that Zacharias and Elizabeth must have really merited the good name they maintained: that is, that they must have been just and benevolent in all their dealings with man, and sincere worshippers of God.

CAN it then be conceived, that any persons of this virtuous and religious disposition, could be capable of deliberately forming so iniquitous a scheme, as we are now to enquire, whether it is possible for Zacharias and Elizabeth to have contrived? Can it be imagined, that a priest of the God of Israel, who was likewise a man of approved piety and goodness, could conceive and prosecute so impious a design, as that of setting up his own son for the Messiah's forerunner; and pre-

prevailing with another person to set up his, to counterfeit the most sacred and awful character of the Messiah himself?

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

ZACHARIAS, a devout priest of the God of Israel, must have been thoroughly instructed in all his miraculous and merciful dispensations to his forefathers, as well as his severe judgments executed upon them for their impieties. He must have believed the predictions of Moses and all the prophets. He must at this very time not only have expected, but wished to see the arrival of the true Elias, and the manifestation of the long promised Messiah. And he must unquestionably have believed, that God would assuredly accomplish the plans of his divine providence; and not permit any counterfeits of these sacred and important characters to go off undetected, or the abettors of such impious undertakings to escape without signal punishment.

WAS it then possible for a good man, furnished with this knowledge, guided by this belief, and actuated by these hopes and fears; to have contrived so execrable

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

a design, purely to pull down the vengeance of God upon him? Could such a man doom his *own* son, even before his birth, to be the perpetrator of *One* such impious imposture; and, as if *that* were not wickedness enough, persuade his friends to engage as deeply in the prosecution of *Another*? If so, he who served devoutly at the altar of the God of truth, must voluntarily have contrived and published the most mischievous and shocking falsehoods: he who believed all the miraculous interpositions of God's power, so frequently displayed in the deliverance and establishment of his own nation, and lived in hopes of a still greater deliverance God had promised shortly to send them; must voluntarily have set himself to oppose the accomplishment of those gracious promises; which, at the same time, he both hoped, and believed, would certainly be fulfilled. In short, he, who expected the reign of the Messiah to be productive of the utmost glory and happiness to his whole nation, must purposely have endeavoured to prevent

vent the happy consequences of his appearance ; by setting up, before he came, an impostor in his stead. But these are suppositions in their own nature evidently contradictory and absurd. And indeed, the whole of this supposed contrivance is of so very iniquitous a nature, that none but the *most abandoned* of men could ever possibly conceive or undertake it ; and therefore impossible to have been devised and carried on, by One, who, we have sufficient reason to believe, must have been *eminently good*.

BUT had not Zacharias's and Elizabeth's character, and situation, proved it so clearly impossible for *Them* to have been *capable* of engaging in such a plot ; still their *age* would have rendered it utterly incredible, that they *should*.

AT the time, when we must suppose them to be entering upon the execution of this design, they were neither of them young, nor even in the vigour of life ; but, on the contrary, *they were both well stricken in years* : a circumstance of the

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

greatest importance to illustrate their innocence, with regard to this particular imposture. Whatever ambitious views we may imagine capable of prompting any one to so desperate an undertaking, must naturally have cooled, and died away, in the decline of life ; however warmly they might have been actuated by them before. That daring spirit of enterprize, and defiance of danger, which sometimes engages men in the most desperate attempts, to gratify the wishes of ambition, in the active and vigorous parts of life ; generally gives way to cautious and timid apprehensions, when age has bounded the prospect before them, and checked the current of the blood. Then too religious apprehensions begin to intrude themselves more forcibly upon the mind ; and make men little inclined to embark in hazardous plots of extreme wickedness and impiety, whatever they may have done before. So that could we suppose them capable of having formed such a plan of imposture, and resolved to put it in execution,

cution, at that active age, when a vitious ambition has sometimes led men into the most extravagant enormities; yet their having continued childless till they were now *well stricken in years*, and all hopes of an opportunity to execute it were at length at an end; must unquestionably have caused them long since to drop all thoughts of their former design.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

To imagine, that after this, upon the unexpected birth of a son, they should resume it again, *in their old age*; and prosecute it with such a series of unheard of devices, as forged revelations, feigned loss of speech, and pretended prophecies; would be supposing them to have arrived at such a hardened pitch of iniquity, as nothing less than a life of continued, and notorious wickedness was able to bring them to. But after what has been seen already of their true character and conduct, we may venture to say *this* would be a supposition, that must certainly be false.

FURTHER, the incredibility of their resuming the plot supposed, becomes still

PART I.  
Sect. 3.


more undeniable, when we consider, that it was now likewise too late for them to indulge any of those ambitious designs, for the sake of which only, even obstinacy itself can pretend, such an imposture could be contrived, and undertaken. Had the birth of their son happened while they were in the vigour of life, they might conceive hopes, it may be said, of deriving great honour and advantage to themselves, from being the parents of the reputed *prophet of the highest*; *who was to go before the face of the Lord to prepare his ways; to give knowledge of salvation to his people.* But John was not born till Zacharias and Elizabeth were so far advanced into the decline of life, that all such hopes of enjoying the fruits of their iniquity must necessarily have expired.

From the very nature of that character, which, according to this supposition, they must have designed him to counterfeit; nothing could be more probable, than that they themselves might not live till the very earliest period, when it could be proper,



per, or even possible, for John to undertake it. He, who by their own predictions, was *to go before the Lord in the spirit and power of Elias, to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just, to make ready a people prepared for the Lord*; could not take upon him the awful name of so exalted a prophet, and attempt to fulfill the great purposes of this divine commission; till he was arrived at that age, which was requisite for the compleat performance of even the duties of a common priest. And accordingly it appears in fact, that neither John nor Jesus assumed their public characters, till they were just approaching the age of thirty years. This *very distant* period therefore was the *earliest* at which Zacharias and Elizabeth could hope for even the small satisfaction, of bringing their long planned imposture to the tryal; and seeing whether there was any probability of imposing thus on the world. Or should it be imagined, they might not think it necessary for John and Jesus to pay

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

PART I. this scrupulous regard, in point of time,  
 Sect. 3.  to the legal age of a priest; they must however be sensible, that the impostors they were contriving to raise up, could not possibly appear in those difficult characters they intended them to sustain, *at the soonest*, till they had already past the first age of a man.

BUT what can be more inconceivable, than that they, who were already old and *well stricken in years*, should set themselves to lay the foundation of such an imposture, to gratify their own aspiring desires; as could not be set on foot, till no less, than between twenty and thirty years after; and then must be extremely uncertain of success? Should they have happened to live to this period, and even have seen their wicked artifices succeed; suppositions both of them in the highest degree improbable; what advantages could they then expect to reap from them; when extreme old age would scarce have left them the perception of any thing the world could bestow; and they were just tottering

tottering into the grave? Had they therefore been wicked enough to be capable of contriving such a design, as well as of executing whatever plot might seem to flatter their ambitious desires; it is utterly incredible, that at their *advanced age*, they should plan out, or determine to wait the issue of a project so *tedious* as this.

PART. I.  
Sect. 3.

As to any advantages to be procured from the contrivance, before John should be old enough to act his designed part; 'tis evident they expected none. They neither endeavoured to make him be personally taken notice of, before that time; nor took pains to spread far and wide, their accounts of so many miracles, as having attended his birth. Both which they would certainly have done, had they been influenced by any such expectations. On the contrary, we find, that *John was in the deserts until the day of his shewing unto Israel* \*. And so far were they from assiduously spreading abroad, *every where,*  
the

\* Luke, ch. iii. 15.—John began to appear in his public character about the thirtieth year of his age.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

the miracles just mentioned ; that when John afterwards began to baptise, *all men mused in their hearts whether he were the Christ or not* \*. And sometime after this, even after he had baptized Jesus, and declared him to be the Messiah ; *the Jews sent priests and levites from Jerusalem, to ask him, who art thou ?* † Upon both which occasions, as well as many others, the majority appeared so inclined to think he might be the Christ ; that John himself thought it necessary to tell them plainly, *he was not the Christ ; but only the voice of one crying in the wilderness, make straight the way of the Lord* ‡.

AT the time then, when John was preaching among them, 'tis plain the Jews in general formed their conjectures of his character, meerly from his appearance as a great prophet, and their own expectations of the Messiah ; not from divine revelations of the particular character he was to bear, assiduously spread  
abroad,

\* Luke ch. iii. 15. ——— † John ch. i. 19. ———  
‡ John ch. i. 20, 23.

abroad, among *all* the people, by his parents, from the very time of his birth. From whence it is plain, that though they did not conceal those astonishing events, with which he was introduced into the world; yet they had not made it their business, as impostors would have done, to make them universally known; but in compliance with the natural suggestions of an honest and upright mind, had published them, as we have already seen, in all the neighbourhood, where they lived; and waited, with a pious resignation, for the accomplishment of those predictions, which they knew assuredly were divine.

AND thus it seems evident, that *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* could not possibly have set on foot, any such iniquitous imposture, as that in question; which was to be carried into execution afterwards by *John*. *Zacharias's religious profession*, and station in life; the *remarkable good character*, which both He and *Elizabeth* always maintained, and at length died possessed of; and the *advanced age* they had  
already

PART I.  
Sect. 3.



already arrived at, at the time of the birth of John; considered jointly with some very material particulars in the supposed plot itself; are all so many convincing arguments of the utter incredibility of *their* having been the authors of such an imposture; and when laid together in one view, prove the supposed fact, with satisfactory evidence, to have been morally impossible.

BUT besides, did not the circumstances and situation of Zacharias render it so highly incredible for him to have been the contriver of such a plot, as that in debate, relating to *his own son*; still it would be on all accounts inconceivable, that he could choose to add to it such another, as that we are now supposing him to have contrived for the son of *Mary*.

IT will be freely confessed indeed, that if Zacharias had been wicked enough to plan out *one* of these designs, no scruples of conscience could have prevented him from entering upon the *other*. But, what honesty would not have prevented, policy would:

would: and his concern for the success of PART I. the enterprise intended for *John*, would Sect. 3. not have permitted Zacharias to have rendered it dependant upon the success of such another, as that relating to *Jesus*.

ZACHARIAS cannot be imagined to have contrived the imposture in debate for Mary's son, and to have connected it so closely with that relating to his own; unless he thought it would prove beneficial to *John's* undertaking, and serve to promote *his* success. The success of his own son was what he must have had *most* at heart; nor could he therefore join any other plot with this; which he did not imagine would make John's imposture more likely to succeed, than it would have been without it.

BUT is it possible he could hope for any advantage, of this kind, from the supposed imposture of *Jesus*? In other words, could he believe *That* more likely to succeed, than the undertaking he had planned out for John? On the contrary, 'tis evident, at first sight, Zacharias must have known,

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

known, that, difficult as *John's* enterprize might prove, That of *Jesus* must be infinitely more so: and consequently, that the prosecution of *Both*, in a mutual dependance upon each other, would be so far from assisting *John*; that it must unavoidably render *his* attempt far more hazardous, than it would have been *alone*.

THE design we are supposing him to have planned out for *John*, was only to counterfeit the Messiah's *forerunner*: whereas the enterprize he must have intended for *Jesus*, was nothing less than to support the character of the Messiah *himself*. The most particular idea the Jews had been able to form of the Messiah's *forerunner*, was little more, than that he would appear among them, to preach the acceptable year of the Lord; with all that spirit of piety, severity, and mortification, which had remarkably distinguished one of their most renowned former prophets. But such was their universal interpretation of the various prophecies, concerning the life and actions of

of



of the *Messiah*; that whoever should assume his character, it was well known, would be expected to make himself their king.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.

THUS the pretended Forerunner might conduct himself in the most peaceable, and least dangerous manner; whereas the counterfeit *Messiah*, in order to be received, would be under a necessity of laying claim to the supreme power; and wresting it out of the hands of those, who already possessed it. The earnest preaching of repentance, joined to the continued practice of mortification, and a perfect freedom from all suspicion of any vice, might be sufficient to establish the character of the One: whereas nothing less than the exertion of supernatural powers, in uttering great prophecies, and working great miracles, would answer the expectations of the Jews, or induce them to give credit to the Other. All this *Zacharias* could not but be well apprised of, and reflect upon. And consequently, the superior dangers and difficulties unavoidably

PART I.  
Sect 3.

voidably attending *this last* undertaking, prove it absolutely impossible, for Zacharias to have laid the scheme of the supposed imposture of Jesus, in order to facilitate the success of the other design, to be executed by John. It is apparent, that he himself must have known, that this would be the readiest way to defeat it.

So that, in addition to what has been already proved, that Zacharias could not possibly be a man of such abandoned principles, as to have been *capable* of conceiving these impious designs; and moreover, that, if he had, his *age alone* would have effectually prevented him from setting them on foot; it now appears further, to be equally incredible, that he could be *foolish enough* jointly to adopt them. And since it is undeniably certain, that both these transactions proceeded, from the beginning, in a mutual and close dependance upon each other; and that besides, whether they were the effects of divine providence or human iniquity, Zacharias was, from the first, intimately

intimately concerned in *Both*; we must be forced to acknowledge, that the divine pretensions of John and Jesus could not be founded upon any such *deceits*; or, at least, that *Zacharias and Elizabeth could not be the contrivers of them BOTH*, if they were.

PART I.  
Sect. 3.



## SECTION IV.

PART I.  
Sect. 4.

**S**HOULD we now for a while neglect all that has been proved in vindication of *Zacharias's* innocence, and suppose him to have been wicked enough to be *desirous* of setting up his son for the Messiah's forerunner; still his intimate connection with *Joseph* and *Mary*, throughout the whole of these transactions, is such a particular, as will not permit us to believe he *actually did*. Had he been ever so desirous of carrying into execution this plot relating to *John*; it was of so dangerous a nature, that he could not have ventured to connect it with *any other* undertaking, whether advantageous or not, which would oblige him to *lay open* his impious design, to any person whatever. He would certainly have contrived it so as to carry it on by *Elizabeth's* help alone, without any other associates; or, if he thought this could not be effectually done, he would entirely have laid aside the design.

THE

THE imposture in debate relating to *John only*, was in every respect of so very bad and unpromising a nature; that Zacharias himself must have believed he was almost certain of being betrayed, sooner or later, by any one to whom he might venture to impart it. Such only, as were of the most abandoned principles, could be at all expected to join in a conspiracy for frustrating the most ancient and received predictions of the prophets, by counterfeiting the character of the Messiah's forerunner. At the same time Zacharias well knew, that the success of his plot must appear to all next to impossible; on account of the universal expectation, at this time, of the speedy arrival of the true Messiah himself: and likewise, that the utmost advantages he could propose to obtain by it, even if it could succeed, were most exceedingly dubious and remote.

PART I.  
Sect. 4.

THIS being the apparent nature of the case, Zacharias could not but believe, that all those, who were the *only* persons ca-

PART I.  
Sect. 4.

pable of conspiring in so wicked a design, would without hesitation reject *This* we are considering. Such veterans in iniquity would certainly require a plot, that had a much surer, and a much nearer prospect of success; as well as more ample rewards to allure them to so hazardous an enterprise. For in *This*, they all knew, that no less than certain death would be the inevitable consequence of detection. It was a law God himself had given \* them, *That the prophet, which should presume to speak*

\* Deut. xviii. 20. — “ The prophetic spirit being so common among the Hebrews, it was necessary there should be a method of trial established, to prevent impostors, and to discern the false prophet from the true. For it could not be expected but, in a nation where there was such a number of prophets, many pretenders would arise; who would endanger the faith of the people, unless there were some certain way to find them out. The more effectually therefore to deter men, either from counterfeiting a prophetic spirit, or to hearken to them that did; God appointed a severe punishment for every such pretender; who, upon legal conviction, was to suffer death. — The Jews generally understand this of strangling; as they do always in the law, when the particular manner of death is not expressed.” — They were tried by the sanhedrim. Lewis’s Heb. Antiq. b. 2. 16. — Selden de synedr. lib. 3. c. 6.

*Speak a word in his name, which he had not commanded him to speak, should die.* And we are well informed, “ That when once any one was convicted of such an imposture, and of pretending a divine commission, when God had not sent him ; no character or interest was powerful enough to save him from punishment \*.” And certainly *he* who should dare to publish false prophecies, to promote so impious a fraud as this, above all others, could expect no mercy.

PART I.  
Sect. 4.

NOR was it the only, or even the strongest reason, that must have deterred Zacharias, from attempting to procure *associates* in *such* a plot ; that its dangerous nature would have deterred every one from engaging in it : there were besides the strongest *temptations* imaginable to betray it. Nothing could be more distant, precarious, and chimerical, than any advantages to be expected from its success. But *he* might assure himself of immediate and ample rewards, who should

I 3

detect

\* Lewis *ibid.* near the end.

PART I.  
Sect. 4.

detect so impious and sacrilegious a priest of the most high God; as had not only contrived a plot for setting up his own son, to counterfeit the *Messiah's* fore-runner; but would have persuaded another to set up an impostor, even for the *Messiah* himself. The detection of such complicated and enormous villainy, directly calculated to make the nation reject the true Messiah, when he should come; and set on foot at the very time when he was soon expected to appear; would unquestionably have met with a reward, proportionable to the importance of the discovery. The whole nation, priests and people, would have considered their own safety as intimately concerned, in the putting a stop to such astonishing wickedness, in the very sanctuary itself; and would immediately have rewarded whoever laid it open, in a far more ample manner, than the imposture itself, even if successful, could ever be expected to do.

ZACHARIAS therefore, had he actually resolved upon any such deceit with regard



gard to *John*; could never have added to it *any other* plot, which would lay him under a necessity of attempting to procure *associates*, at so imminent a hazard of his life. He knew very well, that to whomsoever he laid himself open, they would have all the most powerful temptations possible, to betray him; but none to engage with him in so desperate an undertaking.

PART I.  
Sect. 4.  
~~~~~

LET us however suppose him such an able deceiver, that he might think to persuade some, into the hopes of inconceivable advantages, to be gained by this contrivance; and to represent it in such a light, as to make it appear in the end almost certain of success. Notwithstanding these large concessions, we shall find him still under the same dilemma as before.

IT was impossible he could expect to gain confederates in his design, by the hopes of any advantages it might produce; since the imposture was of such a nature, that it could not even be brought

PART I.
Sect. 4.

to the tryal till *above twenty years after*.
Such as wade the deepest in iniquity to gratify their restless desires, are but little able to brook even accidental delays. Much less can they be supposed to enter into designs, professedly calculated for so tedious a procrastination. Men of sincere virtue indeed, and extensive benevolence, are sometimes seen to labour contentedly through life, for the accomplishment of whatever useful and generous pursuits they have in view. That self-complacency, which attends the prosecution of all virtuous designs, carries them calmly through every difficulty; and keeps alive the vigour of application to such undertakings, as require a long series of time to bring them to perfection. But schemes of iniquity, and dark projects of deceit, keep the thoughts even of the abandoned themselves in so uneasy a suspense, that they cannot but be desirous of soon determining the event.

To suppose men knowingly to conspire together in a most impious undertaking,

ing,

ing, of *such* a nature, as to render it absolutely impossible for them to derive the least benefit from it, for the long interval of *more than twenty years*; would be supposing, what is directly opposite to the nature of a depraved and vicious heart, and inconsistent with the frame of the human mind. Not to observe, at the same time, that however sure of success any such conspiracy might appear; the uncertainty of *life itself*, for so long a period, would render any benefits to be expected from it, in the highest degree precarious. So that laying aside every other consideration; this *single* circumstance of the plot, that the whole must necessarily lie dormant from the *birth* of *John*, at the least till he was *full twenty years of age*, was inconsistent with every motive that could possibly induce any one to join in such an imposture. Nor could Zacharias therefore have hazarded his own safety so far, as foolishly to reveal *such* a design, in hopes of procuring accomplices in his guilt.

IT

PART I.
Sect. 4.

PART I.
Sect. 4.

IT is likewise still more incredible, that he should have ventured on this dangerous experiment, could he even have believed it possible to gain over some parties to his plot; on this further account, that he must know, he had little less to fear, from whoever he might prevail with to *assist* in his designs, than those who should at once *reject* them. This long interval of *more than twenty years*, which must of necessity elapse, between the contrivance, and the execution of the imposture; afforded room for so many vicissitudes in the circumstances, and such a change of the inclinations, of whoever might at first *join* with him in it; as would give him the greatest reason to apprehend a discovery of it, even from *them*; before it could have a chance for success.

IF an exaggerated representation of the advantages to be expected from it, had at first warmed them in the pursuit; and from what has been proved already, it is certain, nothing else could; this was a length of time, in which they must frequently

quently cool, and reflect upon the folly, and uncertainty of the attempt. If they entered into it through licentious confidence, and the overweening prospects of artificial joy, and temporary fits of resolution; here was full time enough for the frequent despondencies of an evil spirit to undo the charm; and place the folly of so strange a design full before their eyes. And what then could be expected from them, but that at least in the despondencies of sickness, and at the approach of death; circumstances very highly probable to attend them within so long a period; they would naturally be led to make an ample discovery of so wicked a contrivance; and bring the authors of it, if alive, to condign punishment, before it could be put to the tryal.

PART I.
Sect. 4.

NAY neither death nor sickness would have been at all requisite to bring on this discovery. Whoever was capable of *entering into* such a confederacy as *this*, for any such distant and precarious advantages, as it might seem at first to promise; must

PART I.
Sect. 4.

must certainly have been capable of *betraying* it, when their first sanguine hopes of its benefits died away; and they saw good reason to expect a far better, as well as immediate reward, for revealing it.

IT is evident then, on various accounts, that this supposed imposture was of *such* a nature, as not only to deprive Zacharias of all hopes of engaging *any one* to conspire with him in it; but even to render it almost certain, that whoever he should impart it to, *whether they became associates in it or not*, would sooner or later *betray* his impious designs.

HENCE it must readily be allowed, that if the plot, we have been supposing, with regard to *John*, could have any real existence; and Zacharias could have been the contriver of the deceit; he certainly would not have joined to it *any other* plan of imposture, which would lay him under a necessity of procuring *some accomplices*, who must be made privy to *Both*. He would have prosecuted the *first* design, which was what he was chiefly concerned for,

for, *alone*; and his wife *Elizabeth* would have been found his *only* assistant in carrying it on. PART I.
Sect. 4.

NOR is there room to object here, that though the truth of all this must be owned, yet perhaps it might not occur to *Zacharias*; who might be so possessed with the hopes of succeeding in this extraordinary design, by the help of some associates, as to overlook the imminent danger he must incur, in endeavouring to procure them. True indeed it is, that impostors are sometimes off their guard, and found to act inconsistently, when their conduct is detected. But in the supposed case before us, the danger of being betrayed was on many accounts so great; on all accounts so obvious; that it could not have escaped the notice even of a raw beginner in the practice of deceit. In this case, therefore, nothing can make it credible, that *Zacharias*, above all others, could be guilty of so total a want of circumspection; in a particular, which so nearly concerned, not his success only, but his safety; not his reputation alone, but his life.

SHOULD

PART I.
Sect. 4.

SHOULD we imagine him to have been capable of planning the deceit before us ; we shall be forced to own, at the same time, that he must have been the most cautious, and careful concealer of his true character and actions, that ever lived. If at his age, and in his religious profession, he was capable of setting on foot so impious an undertaking ; 'tis evident he must have been long hardened in wickedness, and have grown old in sin. Yet sure we are, that both *He* and *Elizabeth* had found means to support an exemplary character, which was never called into question. And this too, notwithstanding that the witness, which *John* afterwards bore to *Jesus*, must naturally have led many of the Jews, and more especially the rulers, to enquire scrupulously into *their* life and conversation. But *He*, who could thus manage to advance in esteem for virtue, in proportion as he proceeded to greater lengths in iniquity ; and never drew on himself the least suspicion of his guilt ; must have been far too cautious a
vete-

veteran in deceit, to have run the hazard of betraying himself in the manner now under consideration.

PART I.
Sect. 4.

A PLOT, which, we have seen, he must originally have contrived, so *many* years before the birth of a son gave him an opportunity to attempt it; and which he must so often, in the mean time, have revolved every particular of; when the fondness of conceit, and the warmth of expectation were over; could not possibly draw so wary a deceiver into an oversight so obvious, and so dangerous as this.

AFTER all, it appears plainly from the supposed contrivance itself, that he could have no end to answer by this step, in the least degree adequate to the danger incurred by it. None indeed could be of sufficient moment for an impostor to pursue, which could not be obtained without exposing himself to almost certain detection. If Zacharias had even so laid his *principal* plan with regard to *John*; for the sake of which only he could set himself to contrive any other; as to make some associates
neces-

PART I.
Sect. 4.

necessary for its prosecution; the great danger of attempting to procure any would certainly have made him alter his design. But, *in fact*, the success of the plot in question relating to *John*, such as we now find it, could scarcely be at all promoted by any testimony, besides that of *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* themselves.

ALL that He could possibly desire, at the time of his son's birth, must have been, to establish the credit of that divine message, which, he affirmed, had been delivered to *Him* by an angel from heaven; and upon the authority of which, *John's* whole claim to inspiration was necessarily to depend. Now this divine message was published as having been delivered to *Zacharias alone*, and consequently could not admit of being attested by any other person whatever. All therefore, that any accomplices could possibly do to strengthen the cause, was, to publish accounts of other divine messages revealed to themselves; calculated to confirm the truth of *Zacharias's own* relation. But if his account

count of his vision should not be believed, upon the strength of *his* assertion; enforced by so refined an artifice, as his pretended loss of speech, for a limited time only, in consequence of it; Zacharias could have no hopes of establishing its credit, by the testimony of *any other* persons, of *far less* weight and authority than himself.

PART I.
Sect. 4.

AT this time, it must be remembered, he was far advanced in years, and possessed the character of a man of true probity and religion. His sacred profession likewise would of itself, in great measure, secure him from being thought capable of contriving a forgery of so heinous a nature. His *own* testimony therefore, he well knew, came so strongly recommended to the public, by his age, his profession, the general opinion of his virtue, and his seeming miraculous loss of speech; that if *This* alone proved unable to gain belief for the facts he related; the addition of one or two corroborating, but *far less* creditable, witnesses, must

PART I.
Sect. 4.


be useless and vain. If the people disbelieved him *himself*; he knew assuredly, they must regard the rest as inferior accomplices in the same crafty design, and reject all their pretended revelations with disdain.

IN the mean while it is incredible, that Zacharias should imagine, the people would, at this time in particular, prove averse to the reception of such a revelation, as he made public among them; or consequently, that his own established character would now, more than ever, be insufficient to procure him their belief.

IN other nations indeed, whose histories pretended to no more, than a few uncertain accounts of divine interpositions; whoever had made public a revelation of this kind, might with good reason apprehend, it would require the testimony of more than one, to gain credit to such an imposture. But with the *Jews* the case was quite different. Their religious and civil history being woven together in the same records, and consisting of little else, than

than a continued series of immediate re-
velations from God, authenticated in the
most unquestionable manner; such divine
interpositions were so far from appearing,
to *their* apprehensions, strange or impro-
bable, in the nature of the thing; that they
were familiarized to the conceptions of even
the lowest of the people.

PART I.
Sect. 4.



THEY had been favoured likewise,
with a long succession of prophets, whose
predictions had been verified, in the most
signal revolutions of their state and na-
tion; and on whose authority they now
confidently expected the speedy manifes-
tation of the Messiah. Their liberty too
had been at length swallowed up by the
Roman power, and they began more ea-
gerly to look for the arrival of that long
promised prince, with whom they ex-
pected nothing less than universal domi-
nion. Thus impatiently were the Jewish
nation at this time expecting the sudden
appearance of their mighty deliverer.

AND could Zacharias apprehend, when
the people were in such a temper as this,

PART I.
Sect. 4.

that they would be, *now*, more than ordinarily averse to believing any divine revelation, upon the report of one of his profession, and established *good character*; and more particularly, a revelation which proclaimed the actual arrival of the Messiah's immediate forerunner? Could he think, that they would now at last begin to call in question the honesty of one, whose piety they had ever till this time revered? On the contrary, must he not rather have expected, that they would receive with joy the glad tidings of the Messiah's approaching manifestation; and, instead of now first suspecting *his* veracity, wait, with a pleasing hope, for the accomplishment of the prediction? And with this opinion, founded upon the well known expectations of the whole people, joined with the consciousness of his own established character; it would be absurd to imagine, that so artful an impostor could foolishly run the risk of being almost inevitably betrayed; meerly to procure associates, whose concurrence was
so

so far from necessary, that they could not at all assist him in the execution of his supposed designs.

PART I.
Sect. 4.

IT has appeared then, that the supposed imposture of *Zacharias*, relating to *his own son*, was of so peculiar a nature, that he himself could not entertain hopes of procuring any associates in it, should he make the attempt; and must have been sensible, that if he could, it was next to certain they would betray him, long before the plot could be put in execution. It has appeared likewise, that he could not but believe, that his *own* character was sufficient to bear him out; or, if *That* should prove insufficient, that the assistance of any associates, he could procure, would be still *more unable* to support his cause. And further we have seen, the supposed plot *itself*, if there was one, was so contrived, that in fact he stood in need of no associates at all.

FROM all these particulars we cannot but draw this conclusion; that if *Zacharias* had been a wicked deceiver, and the

PART I.
Sect. 4.

contriver of such a false revelation concerning *his own son*; he certainly would not have set on foot, at the same time, *any other* conspiracy, which would oblige him to reveal to any one his *chief* design, relating to *John*. This must have been his *only* attempt; and his wife *Elizabeth* would have been found the *only* person concerned with him, in carrying this on. And consequently, since it has before indisputably appeared, that *two other* persons, *Joseph* and *Mary*, were as intimately concerned in the whole transaction relating to *John*, as *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* themselves; and likewise, that they were *All* engaged together, at the same time, in *another* similar transaction relating to *Jesus*; we are reduced to the necessity of acknowledging, that there could be *no imposture at all* in the case; or at least, that *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* could not be the original contrivers of *Both* the plots; if any such iniquitous deception can *still* be supposed.

SECTION V.

HAVING proceeded thus far in PART I.
Sect. 5. proof of *Zacharias's* innocence of the impostures in debate ; in order to place the incredibility of his having contrived them, in that clear and strong light, which the nature of the case allows, it will now be necessary to take some more particular notice of *Joseph* and *Mary* ; who, we have seen, must from the beginning have been privy to *Zacharias's* designs.

FOR the present then, let us wave all that has been proved to the contrary, and still suppose it possible for *Zacharias* to have contrived *both* the plots in question ; and to have resolved to run the hazard of procuring some associates to carry them on. After all, it will yet be found, that *Joseph* and *Mary* were in several, the most material circumstances, persons absolutely unfit for his designs ; *such*, as it is utterly inconceivable he should venture to make acquainted with his plots, or in the least

K 4 expect

PART I. expect to assist him in them ; and *such*,
 Sect. 5. indeed, as he could by no means think
 capable of ever consenting to abet them.

A MORE unanswerable argument cannot be desired, to shew the impossibility of Zacharias's singling out *Mary*, for a confederate in the conspiracies supposed, than the consideration of *her youth*.

THE most authentic writers inform us, that marriage was, strictly speaking, so truly universal among the *Jews* ; that they esteemed it an absolute command of God, which every man was indispensably obliged to comply with, as soon as he came to years of maturity. That, on this account, it was reputed among *them* highly sinful, for a man to remain unmarried after he was arrived at *twenty years of age*. And that, in consequence of this opinion, the men were all actually married *by that time*, and generally *sooner* ; and *the women even much younger still*. For though a positive command laid upon the man only, was thought sufficient ; and therefore they did not hold, that a similar command

mand was laid upon the woman also; yet we are assured, what indeed will scarcely be doubted, that in fact the *women* were always married, at first, *much younger* than the *men*. They were generally *betrothed*, when only *ten* years old; and even *married*, in the higher ranks, extremely young. And judging upon a very fair average, we may believe their nuptials were compleated *about the age of fifteen*, at the latest, through the whole body of the people*.

THIS

* As this is a point of no small importance in the question, and the facts here asserted are so different from what obtains in this part of the world; it will be proper here to establish the truth of them, by more good authorities than one.

“ The *Jews* are very warm assertors of the honour and sanctity of marriage; they extol it infinitely above a single life, and hold it a condition more suitable to nature, more advantageous to mankind, and more acceptable to God: so that they admit of no unmarried sect among them; but on the contrary, look very jealously upon such of their nation, as either marry not at all, or long defer it. *Wedlock they esteem among the affirmative precepts, which they make obligatory upon their whole nation. Every male coming to years of maturity, is bound to take a wife to increase his family. — Upon this account their espousals are very early, their daughters being usually*

PART I.
Sect. 5.

THIS being the case, we are warranted
to conclude, that at the time, when Za-
charias

usually betrothed at ten years of age; and if they are rich are married very young. Lewis's Heb. Antiq. b. vi. 35.

— See also particularly b. vi. 24.

“The Jews are obliged to marry, because God's precept to the first man, of peopling the earth, *increase and multiply*, still continues in all its force. *Woe to the man who lives in a house without a wife.* They come not under this law till they are twenty years of age; but then they must marry, otherwise they sin against God and his ordinance. They become murderers; they destroy the image of the first man; and cause the Holy Spirit to withdraw himself from Israel. 'Tis a question in the Talmud, *Who is he that prostitutes his daughter?* and the answer is, *the father that keeps her too long at home, or marries her to an old man.* — The Jews do not generally wait twenty years. They make contracts betwixt their children betimes, and execute them as soon as possible. — In the mean time, a daughter married by her father, before she is twelve years old and a half, has the privilege of separating upon a simple disgust at her husband, because she was not then at the age of choosing.” — Basnage's Hist. of the Jews, b. v. 19. — For want of the original I have quoted from Taylor's translation.

“Certainly among the Jewish nation, they were so far from accounting the vow of virginity a piece of devotion and religion, that they accounted it a reproach for a woman to be childless; nay a reproach for a woman not to be married. — And a greater reproach it was, for a woman not to be married. — Nay the Jews in their traditional law, (by which they were led too much) did not only account it a *shame, not to be married;* but

charias must have singled out *Mary*, as a proper person to assist him in carrying on his

PART I.
Sect. 5.

but *a sin*, and a breach of God's command. For those words (Gen. 1. 28.) *be fruitful and multiply*, they account not only a blessing, but a *command*; and reckon it the first command of the six hundred and thirteen commands that are in the law." — Lightfoot vol. ii. p. 1216.

On another occasion he quotes the following passage from Maimon. — "The man is commanded concerning begetting and multiplying, but not the woman. And when doth the man come under this command? *from the age of sixteen or seventeen years*. But if he exceeds *twenty years* without marrying; behold he violates, and renders an *affirmative precept* vain." — Lightfoot v. ii. P. 757.

"Amongst the people of the *Jews*, the desire of issue made them marry very young: *most of the men were married at eighteen years of age*. — Allix's Reflec. on the four last books of Moses, ch. 20.

"*Masculi omnes tenentur uxorem ducere, ubi attingerunt sexdecem aut septemdecem annos.*" — "The men are all obliged to marry, when they arrive at *seventeen or eighteen years of age*." Lamy, App. Bibl. p. 140 — "At *eighteen* a son is to marry." Lewis's Heb. Antiq. b. vi. ch. 39.

In confirmation of these authorities a great variety of regulations, which were observed among the Jews, prove the earliness of their marriages beyond all dispute. — It was provided, that a woman *betrothed before she was twelve years old*, could not be taken to her husband's house, without her own consent, *till she was twelve complete*. — If a woman was completely married *before the age of twelve and a half*, she might obtain a divorce upon

PART I.
Sect. 5.

his impious designs, and one who might be supposed likely to undertake the part he intended for her in them; *She could not be more than about fourteen years of age.*

FROM the history itself it plainly appears, that even *after* the birth of *John*; which

upon a simple digest. *A man at thirteen years of age, and a woman at twelve and a half, was at full liberty to enter into a contract of marriage without the consent of parents, or guardians. — If a woman was betrothed, before the age of twelve, she had a power of deferring the marriage for a twelvemonth; whenever the husband proposed to her to complete it. — If betrothed at the age of twelve and a half, she might put off the marriage till she was a year older. But if she was thirteen and a half, or older, at the time of betrothing; she had no power to delay the marriage for more than thirty days, after the man proposed to her the completing of their marriage. — If the man deferred the completion of the marriage, longer than the expiration of these legal times; (except in cases of necessity) he was bound to support the woman he had betrothed, till he finally married her.*

These peculiar regulations prove clearly, that *from before the age of ten, to about thirteen years, was the period, in which the women among the Jews were customarily betrothed.* And when we consider this, jointly with the authorities just produced, it cannot be doubted, but that marriage was strictly speaking universal, among the Jews; and that in naming even *the age of fifteen years, for that limit, in which the Jewish women were first married;* we have allowed, at the least, full as long a period as the case can require.

which must have been near a twelvemonth after Zacharias's *first* application to *Mary*; she was still only *betrothed*, not yet *married* to *Joseph*. *The birth* * of *Jesus Christ* was on this wise. When as his mother *Mary* was espoused to *Joseph*; before they came together she was found with child of the *Holy Ghost*. In consequence of which it follows, that *Joseph* was minded to put her away *privily*. But it is plain likewise, that *Joseph* was not apprized of *Mary's* situation till just *after* the birth of *John*. For upon the angel's appearing to *Mary*, and informing her †, as we are told, that her cousin *Elizabeth* was then six months gone with child; *Mary*, we find, immediately left her own home, to go to *Elizabeth*; and abode with her three months, till her full time came, and she brought forth a son ‡. Then it was, at her return to her own home from *Elizabeth*, upon the birth of *John*; and while her *marriage* with *Joseph* remained yet to be completed;

PART I.
Sect. 5.* Matt. i. 18.
56, 57.

† Luke i. 36.

‡ Idem i.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

pleted; that *He* became acquainted with her pregnancy, and began to think of putting her away. Nor did he take her home to his own house, which was part of the matrimonial ceremony among the Jews, till at least some little time after this; when, as he asserted, the angel had appeared to him, and told him, *to fear not to take unto him Mary his wife* *. From all which it is abundantly evident, that even so late as *after* the birth of *John*, *Mary* was not yet actually *married* to *Joseph*; though they had been for some time *betrothed* to each other.

It has been proved already †, that *Joseph* and *Mary* must have been engaged by *Zacharias* in his designs; if they were engaged in them at all; before the time of *his own* vision in the temple. 'Tis indeed apparent, from the nature of the case alone, that he who had such an extraordinary part to play, and made use of so much refined artifice at the opening of the plot, must

* Matt. i. 20.

† See pages 72—84.

must certainly have taken care to secure such associates, as he had made absolutely requisite for its success, before the time, when the plan was actually to be put in execution. So that it appears, *Zacharias* must have singled out *Mary*, as a person both likely and proper to carry on that imposture he had contrived; and must have communicated to *her* his whole design; and actually have engaged her to assist, as she afterwards did, in the prosecution of it; about a twelvemonth, at least, before her marriage with *Joseph* was completed; at which time she could scarcely be more than *between fourteen and fifteen years of age*.

WITH regard to *Mary* then, the argument is reduced to this short question; whether this can be allowed a probable, or even a possible supposition? Whether it is conceivable, that an arch impostor, grown grey in the practice of fraud and dissimulation, and skilled in all the artifices necessary to carry on a deceit; as *Zacharias*, if a deceiver, must have been; should

PART I.
Sect 5.

I. should esteem a *girl*, who was not arrived at the full use of her understanding, a fit person to carry on a long and intricate train of the most impious impostures? Whether, in short, he who had projected such a design, as he knew was incapable of being entered into by *any*, who had not their consciences seared with the long practice of iniquity, in all its shapes; should single out one, as a likely person to approve and abet it; whose youth, and sex *, and innocence, and inexperience of

* The incredibility of the supposition we are considering, is very greatly enhanced, by the reserved manner in which the *Jewish women*, like those of most other eastern nations, were brought up.

“ It was the custom for parents among the Jews, never to let their *virgin daughters* go out of their houses; which custom made them be called *concealed*, in opposition to those that *went abroad*; that is, that were prostitutes.” — Allix on the Old Testament, vol. i. c. 20.

To the same purpose, Lamy, Apparatus Biblicus, c. 16, “ Virgines multa cura servantur abditæ intra domum; unde virgo dicitur hebraice, *gnalema*; hoc est, abscondita.” — “ The virgins are with great care kept concealed within doors; from whence a virgin is called in Hebrew, *gnalema*; that is, *hidden*.”

“ The daughters are instructed by the mothers, with great care, in the business that belongs to their sex.
They

of the world, would necessarily make her terrified at the mention of so villainous a proposal; and shocked at the monster, who could attempt to seduce her into it?

PART I.
Sect. 5.

THESE surely are questions we cannot hesitate how to answer. We may with confidence pronounce it *morally* impossible, for him, who, if detected, was sure to pay for his villainy with his life, voluntarily to lay it open to one, whose *youth* and inexperience absolutely *disqualified Her* from giving him assistance; and whose timidity, and native abhorrence of such crimes, would *in all human probability* induce her to publish them to the world.

NOTHING can render it possible for Zacharias to have pitched upon a person *so young* as *Mary*, to make a confederate in *such* a scheme; or account for *Her* engaging in it; but the supposition, that she had already given very plain proofs of so

L profligate

They were seldom allowed to go abroad; and upon this account a daughter in the Hebrew language is called *Alma*; which signifies as much as a person *concealed*, and *close confined*." — Lewis's Heb. Antiq. b. vi. 35.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

profligate a disposition, that it might naturally be supposed, she was capable of entering into any villainous enterprise, he could possibly propose to her. A supposition on all accounts absurd, and impossible to have been true.

HAD this been the case, it must certainly have been much better known at *Nazareth*, the place where *Mary* * lived; than it could be to *Zacharias*, who dwelt at *Hebron* †, which was a great distance off ‡. But had the neighbourhood, where *Mary* resided, known, or only suspected her to have been guilty, not of any enormous crimes only, but of any vicious conduct

* Luke i. 26.

† It is a generally received opinion, that *Zacharias* dwelt at *Hebron*.—“For though it is true indeed, the priests after the return from *Babylon*, were not all disposed and placed, in all those very same dwellings they had possessed before the captivity; yet is it probable that *Zachary*, who was the seed of *Aaron*, being here said to dwell in the hill country of *Judea*, might have his house in *Hebron*, which is more peculiarly said to be the city of *Aaron*’s offspring, *Josh. xxi. 11.*”——*Lightfoot on Luke i. 39. vol. ii. 386. Allix on the N. T. 198.*

‡ The hill country of *Judea*, was about seventy miles from *Nazareth*, where *Mary* lived. *Macknight’s comment on his harmony, sect. 4.*

duct whatever; it would unquestionably have been reported about, and her reputation destroyed; at least as soon as she began to set up for a publisher of divine revelations, and *the most honourable mother* of the promised *Messiah*. The pleasure, which people of all ranks and stations are universally found to take, in exposing the faults and failures of all within their knowledge; especially when they make pretensions to something more excellent than all about them; will not allow us to suppose, that, if *Mary* had given signs of so bad a disposition; those who lived near, and were upon a level with her, would have suffered her to escape without this public condemnation.

HER inferior rank in life too will not suffer us to imagine, that she could have been guilty of such crimes, and yet have found means to conceal them. In the more exalted stations wealth may sometimes supply means and opportunities of concealing our true characters, and such actions as are not fit for the public view. But *That* class of

PART I.
Sect. 5.

the people, who are obliged to labour for their support, and in which the intended *wife* of *Joseph* was, are destitute of such resources. *Their* habitations, and the general tenour of their lives, are so far, at least, unavoidably exposed to the observation of all about them; that they cannot go any considerable lengths in vice, without bringing themselves into general suspicion at least, if they are not even actually detected.

IT is therefore utterly inconceivable, that *Mary* could already have been guilty of any thing so bad, or indeed of any bad conduct at all, which could make *Zacharias* believe her *capable* of undertaking so iniquitous a part, as he must have designed her to act; without having become proportionably *infamous*; or at least of a *very suspicious* character, in the neighbourhood where she lived. Whereas we have sufficient reason to believe, that no objections were ever raised to *Mary's* virtue and integrity.

IF any could have been urged, as they would unquestionably have been made public, and handed down to us; so would they have rendered Mary *absolutely unfit*, for Zacharias to have applied to, for an associate in his plot. Had her character been in the least degree suspicious; any apparent connection with *Her*, in a series of such amazing events; great part of which were so circumstanced, as to depend upon *her* veracity for their only immediate proof, at that time; must unavoidably have made every one apprehensive of some deceit. The supposition of *Mary's* being engaged by *Zacharias* to carry on his supposed imposture, is therefore plainly inconsistent with, and destructive of itself. It necessarily supposes *her* reputation to have been suspicious, at least; in order to account for his judging her a proper person to carry on his designs; though it is evident, that the least imputation upon her character, must have made his associating with her, the readiest way to prevent his success.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

As for *Zacharias himself*, we have already seen, he had always found means to support an exemplary character. For *Him* therefore to have picked out an associate, whose integrity was looked upon as of a dubious nature; would have been purposely lessening his own authority, and foolishly bringing his own established credit into question. A conduct, it must be owned, too apparently absurd, to suppose him *capable* of pursuing; and which the event has shewn he did not in fact adopt, had he been capable of it. Had *Mary's* integrity been but of a questionable nature; the Jewish rulers, who never hearkened to *John*, and at length crucified *Jesus*, would not have failed to expose *her*, with the utmost virulence and malice. This they would assuredly have done, to convince the people of the wisdom of their own conduct; and to shew them, that the whole series of miraculous events attested both by *Zacharias* and *Her*, were, at the bottom, nothing better than a most audacious imposture.

IN

IN short, to render it possible for Zacharias to have attempted making *Mary* an accomplice in the plot supposed, we must resolutely maintain the truth of one or other of the following most absurd suppositions.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

EITHER *Mary*, while she was yet quite a girl, and before she was betrothed to *Joseph*, must have been guilty of *such* crimes, as shewed her to be fit for *Zacharias's* designs: and these crimes, though well known to *Zacharias*, who lived at a considerable distance from Nazareth; were never, at any time, so much as suspected, in the very place, where *She herself* resided.

OR else her wicked conduct was *really well known* to her neighbours and acquaintance at Nazareth; but they were *all* so firmly attached to her interest, and the two grand impostures she so soon engaged in; that *not one* of them *ever betrayed the least hint* of her misdemeanors; even though the laying open her true character, would certainly have been recompenced with very great rewards.

L 4

OR

PART I.
Sect. 5.

OR thirdly, though *Mary* had actually gone great lengths in iniquity; neither *Zacharias*, nor *any one else*, had any cause to suspect it: and yet *Zacharias* pitched upon *Her* for acting a principal part in his plot; and imparted it to her, without any reason whatever for imagining she could be capable of entering into it.

OR, in the last place; *Mary's* conduct had *really* been *irreproachable*, till *Zacharias* thus unaccountably laid open to her his flagitious designs: but then, *all at once*, she willingly conspired with him, in publishing the most impious forgeries, for divine revelations; and ever after continued so hardened and undaunted, as never to make the least confession, or acknowledgment of her crimes.

SUCH are the conditions, and the *only* conditions, on which it is allowed us to believe, that *Zacharias* attempted to make *Mary* a confederate in the prosecution of his supposed imposture; and that *Mary* really became an accomplice with him in it. But as each of these conditions abounds in absurdities,

ties, impossible to be admitted ; it must at length be acknowledged, that had *Zacharias* actually planned out such an imposture, he could not have applied to *Mary*, to assist him in carrying it on ; nor *Mary* have complied with his proposal, if he had. And since it is likewise undeniably plain, that *Mary* was as much a *principal* in the *whole* transaction, as *Zacharias himself* ; we are obliged to confess, from the evidence of incontestible facts, that the two impostures supposed must be all a meer groundless imagination ; or, at least, that *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* could *not* be the authors of them *Both* ; if in fact there could be any deceit at all in the case.

WITH regard to *Joseph*, we cannot argue in exactly the same manner as we have concerning *Mary* ; because *his* age, at the time of his marriage with *Mary*, is a disputed point. The majority indeed suppose *her* to have been his *first* wife ; in which case we might safely rely upon *his* being scarcely above twenty years old *, at the time when

* As will appear upon considering the conclusion established from p. 72, to p. 84 ; together with the particulars contained in the note p. 137, & seq.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

when *Zacharias* must have applied to *Him* likewise, to take part in his plot. But some authors not only imagine him to have been married, and to have had children before this time ; but have even thought he was already a very old man *. However, choose which of these opinions we please ; either of them will afford us sufficient evidence of the incredibility of *His* being applied to by *Zacharias*, to assist in his supposed imposture.

IF we embrace the *first* supposition, and the most commonly received ; his *youth* itself, considered in all its consequences, which need not here be insisted on, will be such a circumstance, as is alone sufficient to render *Zacharias's* applying to *Him*, with the design in question, very highly improbable. Suppose him, with the other side, very far *advanced in years*, and it will be but plunging into one insuperable difficulty, in order to get clear
of

* See Epiphanius, as referred to by Lardner, *Credib. &c.* vol. viii. p. 309. — For the more received opinion, consult Grot. on Matt. xiii. 55. Lightfoot vol. i. p. 268.

of another. The older we suppose him to have been, the more glaringly impossible it must appear, for one in his low station of life; and who, before he could be thought capable of conspiring in such a deceit, must have been well hardened in the practice of vice; to have kept his true character so effectually concealed, that not even his enemies themselves should ever call his integrity in question. Yet this it is certain he must have done; since the evangelist has not hesitated to affirm, he was a *just man* *; and the Jews have never contradicted the assertion; notwithstanding we know very well how desirous they must have been to blast his reputation. And to this we must add, that if *Joseph* was now *eighty* years of age, as some authors report, or even many years younger; all the various absurdities of *his* entering into so *tedious* a plot, as that in question, must have appeared so obvious to *Zacharias*, as well as *Joseph himself*; that it is clearly incredible He could apply to
Joseph

* Matt. i. 19.

PART I. *Joseph* to take part in it; or that *Joseph*
 Sect. 5. could have consented to it, if he had.

IT must therefore be given up as an incredible imagination, that *Joseph* could be seduced by *Zacharias* to take part in the conspiracy in question; or else we must maintain, that even more moral impossibilities, than those which have just now shewn the falsehood of this supposition, with regard to *Mary*; ought not to be allowed their natural weight and influence, in determining its credibility with relation to *Joseph*.

BUT besides, can any thing be much more improbable, than that a *Jewish priest*, who had conceived a design no less ambitious, than that of setting up his own son for the *Forerunner* of the *Messiah*; should choose for his associates in the prosecution of such a plan, persons of so *obscure* a station as *Joseph* and *Mary* were? Could the same man, who was capable of forming so iniquitous a device, to gratify an unbounded pride and ambition; choose to be connected, in the prosecution of it, with

with an obscure *carpenter* and his wife? PART I.
Sect. 5.
Nothing could induce him to single out such assistants as these; had his favourite plot really stood in need of *any*; but his imagining, either, that it would be more difficult to procure any confederates of a higher rank in life; or that, if they could be found, their assistance would not be so likely to gain success to his undertaking. But as to the *first* of these suppositions; how could he imagine, that the lower ranks of the people *only* were capable of furnishing him with associates in such a villainous imposture? It has never been supposed, that the *plain fundamental* principles of moral honesty and religion, are not as well known to the mechanic and artificer, and have not as general an influence over them; at least in such cases, where guilt would be highly flagrant; as they are to, or have over those, who are placed in much higher stations of life.


AND if there is not even an imaginary connection between industry, and falsehood; or impiety, and an humble station;

PART I.
Sect. 5.

tion ; certain it is, there are *some* vicious undertakings, which those who labour for their support, are rendered much more unlikely to enter into, by their very situation itself. Such, above all others, are those iniquitous plots, which owe their birth to *insatiable ambition* ; the very nature of the *supposed* imposture before us. The sphere, in which men act, prescribes in great measure, the limits of their attempts. It sets bounds to the dangers they dare encounter, and the prize they will encounter them to obtain. The forging of divine revelations, in order to set up a counterfeit of the promised *Messiah* ; was a project too far removed *above the views* of a common carpenter, to make it probable he could be at all desirous of entering into it ; as well as too difficult and dangerous an attempt, for one *in his low station* to care to engage in, for what rewards it had to offer. Whereas it was, *so far*, a design not unworthy the enterprising ambition of those in the more exalted spheres of life ; and consequently
far

far more likely to engage *them* in its pursuit.

PART I.
Sect. 5.




WHAT motive then could possibly determine *Zacharias* to attempt procuring his associates, from so *low* a rank of the people? Could he think, that the influence of such as were of some figure and fashion, would not so effectually contribute to crown his enterprize with success? On the contrary, 'tis apparent, that the assistance of those, whose rank would secure them from contempt, was what an impostor, embarked in such a cause, must have been particularly desirous of. The strongest testimony of an *obscure mechanic*, in matters relating to the highest points of divine revelation, *Zacharias* well knew, was likely to have but little weight indeed, with the *haughty rulers* of any of those sects, into which the *Jews* were divided; and by some of which the whole people were held, as it were, in bondage. Neither could *Zacharias* expect to find in the narrow sphere of *Joseph's* state and education; that

PART I. that comprehensive subtlety, and refined
 Sect. 5. diffimulation, so necessary for carrying on
 such intricate impostures; but scarcely to
 be acquired without a more miscellaneous,
 and general intercourse with various
 orders of mankind.

IN the mean time, it can scarcely be believed, that any one could have travelled so long in the high roads of iniquity, as *Zacharias*, if he was the contriver of this plot, must have done; without some *faithful* companion, to divide the dangers, and share the rewards of his crimes. At least, if this could otherwise be believed; so long as we suppose *Zacharias* to have sought out for an associate, *on the present occasion*; we shall find ourselves obliged to grant, that he *must* frequently have done so before. If, in the many wicked plots he must have devised and executed before *this*, he had made it his invariable rule, never to admit a partner into his counsels, for fear of discovering at length his true character and conduct; it will be absurd to suppose, that he should *now*,
 after

after all, so foolishly lay himself open to detection; in the very wickedest, and most hazardous, of all the attempts he could ever have undertaken; and, above all, *the most likely to be betrayed.*

PART I.
Sect. 5.



His being supposed to have sought out for associates *at this time*, plainly implies, therefore, that he must have done so *before*, on many other occasions; and consequently, that he must have had some approved fellow-workers of iniquity, from whose former fidelity he had good reason to think, that whether they joined in his present design or no, *they*, above all others, would not betray him. *These* therefore, if still living, must have been the persons he would have applied to; and whom, if any, we should have found acting their parts in this imposture. For if *they* should have thought it too hazardous, and unpromising an enterprise to engage in; *Zacharias* could not have imagined any others would risk their safety upon it. And even if he had already out-

M

now

PART I.
Sect. 5.

now much too late in life to incur the danger of making more. Yet certain it is, that *Mary* was a *principal* in the whole transaction before us; and as certain, that *She*, who was then scarce *fifteen years of age*, could not be one of those long tried associates, of him, who must at this time have been so old a practitioner in the arts of deceit. Her *youth* alone, not to repeat here any other circumstance of her character and situation, renders it absolutely impossible, that she could.

IN one word therefore, to close the evidence that has been produced on this head, it has plainly appeared; that if *Zacharias* was the contriver of *Both the conspiracies* in question, and seduced *Joseph* and *Mary* to act the parts they sustained in them; in the first place, he must *knowingly* have exposed himself to *almost certain* destruction, by adding the plot concerning *Jesus* to *that* relating immediately to *John*; as by this means only he laid himself under a necessity of making known his villainous designs, in order to procure accomplices to carry them jointly on:
and

and this, notwithstanding his *first*, and *favourite imposture*, was so contrived, that its success was rendered more precarious, by being connected with *the Other*, than it would have been *alone*. In the next place, to crown the whole, he must *purposely* have singled out *such* persons, to apply to for their assistance, in this *double imposture*; whose *youth* and *character*, whose *every circumstance* in life, rendered them, *he well knew*, the most likely persons *to reject* his proposals, and *betray* his villainy; and even should they not, the *least capable* of promoting their success.

PART I.
Sect. 5.

BUT as these are suppositions, which it is evidently impossible to admit; we may at length venture to affirm, that the existence of *any such plots*, as these in debate, is a meer groundless imagination; or at least, if they did exist, that *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* could *not* be the *original contrivers* of *them Both*; and for the sake of promoting the success of *One*, have procured *Joseph* and *Mary* to undertake the prosecution of the *Other*.

SECTION VI.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

THE *first* of those *three* suppositions we were obliged to make *, in order to account for the existence of the impostures under consideration, having thus proved incredible, on *so many* accounts; let us now proceed to the *second*; and enquire whether it is possible,

“THAT Zacharias might be the original projector of *that* design *only*, which immediately related to *his Son*; and *Joseph* and *Mary*, in like manner, the contrivers of the *other* scheme, in favour of their *own*.”

HAD this been the true state of the case, since it has been seen, that *They* were *All*, from the beginning, engaged together in the joint prosecution of *Both* impostures; it will unavoidably follow,

EITHER that *Zacharias* made known *his* design *first*, to *Joseph* and *Mary*; and that in consequence of it, they then made him


* See p. 86.

him acquainted with *theirs*: Or, that *They first* disclosed *their* intended plot to *Zacharias*, in hopes of procuring his assistance in *That alone*; upon which *Zacharias* laid open to *Them* the scheme he himself had in view: And thus, which ever might happen to be the case, *They All* immediately agreed to support each other in the joint prosecution of *Both*.

IF Each party contrived their own plot, one of these suppositions must have taken place. But a slight attention to some material circumstances will presently make it appear, that neither of them can be true.

WITH regard to the *first*, which supposes *Zacharias* to have laid the scheme concerning *John only*, and to have applied to *Joseph* and *Mary*, to join with him in the prosecution of *That alone*; nothing can be more plain, than that every argument which has been already alleged, to shew that *Zacharias* could not be the author of *both* designs; or, if he had been, that *He* could not have applied to *Joseph* and *Mary* to conspire with him in *Both*; remains as

PART I.
Sect. 6.



strong as *before*, upon *this* supposition ; nay and proves even more forcibly, that he could not have imparted to them *One* of these supposed designs, had he been the contriver of *One only*.

HE could not have been wicked enough to be capable of a contrivance for counterfeiting the Messiah's *Forerunner* ; without having been equally capable of setting up an impostor, even under the character of the *Messiah himself*. If his advanced age, his religious profession, and his singular good character, considered in all their unavoidable consequences, prove it utterly incredible, that he could be the author of two such detestable undertakings ; they render it equally absurd to imagine he could be the planner out of *Either*. If the length of time requisite to put in practice the supposed imposture relating to *John only*, is such a circumstance, as, when considered jointly with *Zacharias's* age, renders the supposition of *his* contriving it, not only unworthy of belief, but even *highly ridiculous* ; it cannot stand

stand in need of any other plot of the same kind, to make it still more incredible. If it has appeared likewise, from considering *Mary's* youth, and the several other circumstances, both of *her* situation, and that of *Joseph*; that *Zacharias* cannot be conceived to have disclosed to *them*, both these designs, if he had contrived them *Both*; the same reasons will make it equally incredible, that he could venture to lay open to them his iniquity in *One* of these devices, if he was the real author of *One only*.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

FROM what has been proved already therefore, without any thing further, it might here be allowable to conclude; that this *second* supposition, as far as relates to *Zacharias*, must in every particular be as false and groundless as the *first*. But in reality, the evidence of *his* innocence will be found much stronger on *this* supposition, than the *former*.

IN the first place, it is apparent from the nature of the thing itself, that if the plot relating to *John* was the *whole* of *Zacharias's*

PART I.
Sect. 6.

Zacharias's design; *Elizabeth* and *He* were the *only* persons at all requisite, and indeed the *only* persons *capable* of carrying it on. Had this been the case therefore, they would never have revealed their own impiety, as no use could attend it, to any person whatever. To imagine, that now at length *Zacharias* would voluntarily lay open his wicked intentions, when they neither required, nor indeed could admit of any management besides *his own*; and, above all, that he should thus betray himself to *such* persons, so circumstanced as *Joseph* and *Mary* were; purely to let them into the secret of his true concealed character; would be the most ridiculous and extravagant of all imaginations.

AGAIN, while we supposed *Zacharias* to have contrived a plot for the *Son* of another person, as well as one for *his own*; it might, at first sight, appear possible to procure associates, by giving them hopes of great advantages to be drawn from the success of *their* Son. But if he had no design on foot, more than that relating
to

to *John only*; even this appearance of a possibility of his procuring assistance from *Joseph and Mary*, had his plot really stood in need of it, is entirely vanished; and the supposition of his applying to them about it, becomes at once confessedly incredible.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

CERTAINLY he could not hope to engage them in so dangerous, as well as iniquitous a design, without having at least some very specious emoluments to propose to them from its success. But what advantages could he make them imagine might probably result to *them*; should his supposed design in favour of *John only* prove ever so successful? And this is the *only* design we are now supposing him to have contrived. It might indeed be thought, that the parents of so distinguished a prophet, if they were still alive when the plot should take effect, would be held in veneration and esteem: and this was the utmost that even *they themselves* could hope for. But no benefit could be expected on this account by his *most distant*

PART I. *tant* relations, and especially such as were
 Sect. 6. in so obscure a sphere of life as *Joseph* and
Mary were.

GREATNESS and splendor were by no means the apparent objects of *this* design, even with regard to *John* himself: who instead of affecting rule and authority over the people, was to teach them *the knowledge of salvation through the remission of their sins*; and preach to them the baptism of repentance. And however successful he might be, in imposing on them as a *prophet*; an attempt itself of no small difficulty and danger; it would still remain in the highest degree improbable, that he should ever be able to graft upon it the attainment of wealth and power.

IN nations overrun with a fabulous religion, and superstitious notions of the gods, the established credit of divine inspiration might, with reason, be expected to afford means of gratifying the most unbounded ambition. But among the *Jews* this was by no means the case. *Their* clear and established knowledge of God's
 imme-

immediate providence, and settled belief in his will, already revealed to them, freed them so effectually from this blind enthusiastic admiration, of whoever might pretend to an extraordinary commission from above; as to make them, on the contrary, severe judges of all such pretensions. *They* had enjoyed likewise a long succession of persons, universally acknowledged as the most approved prophets; who, though even workers of miracles in support of their claims, had neither sought for public honours, nor to aggrandize their fortunes; but persisted, to the last, in quite a private and reclusive situation. Nay, the *very Person*, whose life and manner *John* was professedly to imitate, according to *Zacharias's* own prediction; was one at least of the most eminent of them all, for the abstemiousness and privacy of his course of life.

IT was therefore so far from being natural for a *Jew* to expect, that the *Jewish People* would be at all inclined to bestow wealth and honours, upon whomsoever they might

PART I.
Sect. 6.

might actually esteem inspired from above; that, in reality, there was great reason to fear, they would begin to call in question the veracity of any one, and more especially of the professed imitator of *Elias*, as soon as he should begin to betray a desire of such kind of rewards.

BESIDES, *He*, above all others, who should appear as the *Forerunner* of the long expected *Messiah*, could not propose to himself, or his adherents, any considerable advantages. The *Messiah* himself was the king, for whom the *Jews* so ardently wished; and, whom they so soon expected. *He* it was, who, they believed, would establish them in an universal dominion. And the nearer they apprehended *his* arrival to be; that is, the more successfully any *pretender* to the character of his *Forerunner* should delude them into the belief of his *own* divine mission; the further would they be from bestowing wealth and honours upon the pretended messenger *himself*. Their thoughts and expectations would unavoidably be suspended

pended till the arrival of the *Messiah*, and for *him* they would certainly reserve all their choicest gifts; while the *Messenger* and his adherents could have little or no hopes of profit or exaltation. If they should believe his report; it was naturally to be expected, that they would behave to him, as in fact it appears the *Jews* did to *John*, when they *did* believe *him*, and he peremptorily declared himself *only* the *bar-binger* of the *Messiah*: that is, that they would reverence him as a *prophet*, but not think of exalting him as a *man*.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

To suppose then, that *Zacharias* had *only this plot* in view, and yet that he disclosed it to *Joseph* and *Mary*; would be supposing him determined to make known to them his own unparalleled iniquity; though *They* could be of *no use* to *Him* in the execution of his plot; and it could *not* be of *any service* to *Them*, even if it should succeed. But this is a supposition too absurd to be received; since if *Zacharias* was *capable* of betraying himself in so foolish a manner, he must have been absolutely

PART I.
Sect. 6.

olutely *incapable* of concealing his true character, and maintaining so good a reputation as he did, till he was well stricken in years.

BUT though, for all these reasons, it cannot be believed, that *Zacharias* could betray himself in so foolish a manner to *Joseph* and *Mary*; possibly *Joseph* and *Mary* might apply *first* to *Zacharias*, to procure his assistance in *that* imposture, which we are now supposing *Them* to have planned out for *their Son*.

AND here the question immediately occurs, for what reason could *They* apply to *Zacharias*, if they had *only that plot* in view, which related to *their own Son*? Could they be desirous of laying open their wicked intentions to him, when it was not in the least necessary to the prosecution of their design; and when they could not possibly imagine it capable of being at all beneficial to him? Was the impiety of setting up an impostor for the *Messiah*, less shocking than that of counterfeiting *his Forerunner*; that *They* should
be

be more ready to lay themselves open to *Zacharias*; than *He*, could be, as we have already seen, to expose himself to *Them*? Or, in one word, would not the folly and danger of this step have been so great, and so glaring, that it is impossible to conceive they could be capable of overlooking it? The plot itself, as has been before fully shewn *, was of so dangerous and discouraging a nature; so destitute of all prospects of advantage, and so much more likely to induce any one to *betray* than *promote* it; that it cannot be believed they could venture to impart it to any person whatever.

WAVING however these considerations, and supposing *Them* determined, though contrary to every motive by which they could possibly be determined, to make *somebody* privy to their intended imposture; still it would have been impossible

* This must plainly appear, from considering all that has been seen of the unpromising nature of the design supposed with regard to *John*; jointly with the still greater difficulties and dangers, that must necessarily attend *this* relating to *Jesus*.

PART I.
Sect 6.


possible for them to have fixed upon *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*, above all others, to make acquainted with their plot.

NOTHING can be more inconceivable, than that they should single out a *Priest* of the *God of Israel*, grown venerable with years, and still more so by an unblemished reputation ; as one, who could be pleased with the authors of so iniquitous a device. They could not possibly hit on any person, in their own opinions more likely to reveal their impiety immediately to the rulers, and publish it to the whole nation ; and by this means procure them that condign punishment they so highly deserved, and would have been sure to undergo. It must be ridiculous to suppose, they could expect any thing better at *his* hands ; though their design had been such, as even to have tempted him with lucrative and ambitious views. And what then could they hope for, or how could they lay open their own guilt to *him*, above all others ; when it was absolutely impossible, that *their* supposed *single* imposture

ture

ture in favour of *Jesus*, could procure *Zacharias* any benefit at all?

PART I.
Sect. 6.



BUT, insurmountable as these difficulties must be owned, the supposition we are examining, labours yet with one more, if possible, still greater than all the rest.

WE have seen long ago, that if there was any contrivance in the case, *all* the parties concerned must have been engaged together in it, even from the very *planning* of the whole joint transaction. If therefore *Mary* and *Joseph* communicated their *separate* design, *first*, to *Zacharias*; they must certainly have taken this step, *before* *Zacharias* actually counterfeited his vision in the temple. Now *this* event we know, from the order of the several facts, came to pass no less than *full six months* before even the *conception* of *Mary's* son. So that, on the supposition we are now considering, *Joseph* and *Mary* must have planned out, and communicated their *separate* design to *Zacharias*; nay, and they must *all* have determined, that *Zacharias* should actually proceed to begin *his* part

N in

PART I.
Sect. 6.

in the joint undertaking; *full six months before Jesus was conceived*; and consequently, as long before *Mary* had any actual prospect of a child, to act that part, they intended him afterwards to undertake. But this is an agreement so utterly incapable of being believed, that whatever supposition unavoidably includes it, must necessarily be false.

To say no more therefore upon this head; since it has so plainly and fully appeared; first, that *Zacharias* could *not* be the author of *Both* the plots in question together; nor secondly, of *One* of them *alone*; while *Joseph* and *Mary* were the real contrivers of the *Other*: let us enquire in the last place;

“WHETHER *Joseph* and *Mary* may not have been the real contrivers of *both* parts of this double imposture; and have prevailed with *Zacharias* to undertake the prosecution of *One*; in hopes of some great advantages to be drawn from the joint success of *Both*.”

THIS

THIS is the only possible supposition remaining; and even this must be exploded, as well as the two former, unless it shall appear credible, in the first place, that *Joseph* and *Mary* could contrive two *such* designs; in the next, that they could impart them to *Zacharias*, in hopes of *his* approving, and becoming a principal in the joint prosecution of them; and lastly, that *He* could really engage in them, if they had. All these particulars must, upon examination, appear credible; or this *last* supposition, as it includes them all, must necessarily be rejected as incredible and absurd.

AND with regard to these particulars, absolutely necessary to be first established; not to enlarge upon arguments that have been already insisted on, it must by this time be fully evident, that neither of them can possibly be admitted. For if the ingenuity, artlessness, and timidity, natural to *Mary's youth*; the modest and reserved education of her *sex*; the *good character*, free from all aspersion, which

PART I.
Sect. 6.

She as well as *Joseph*, at this time enjoyed, and ever after maintained; together with the confined views, and inexperience of the world, necessarily occasioned by their *low* station in life; render it utterly incredible, that *They* could even have become *parties* to such impious and shocking contrivances, when planned out by another; they must unquestionably prove it morally impossible for *Them* to have first contrived such impostures *Themselves*. After all then that has been said already, in proof of this point; the supposition of *Their* having been the true authors of *Both* these impostures, becomes so apparently extravagant, that it needs but to be mentioned, in order to be exploded.

NOR, in the next place, can any stronger arguments be desired, than what the sacred profession, advanced age, and exemplary character of *Zacharias*, have already furnished; to convince us of the impossibility of *his* being applied to for assistance, in *any* wicked design whatever; and much more of his becoming a confederate

federate in *these* above all others, had he actually been solicited to join in them.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

HOWEVER, abundantly sufficient as the joint force of all these considerations is, to prove that *Joseph* and *Mary* could not be guilty of contriving *both* the plots in debate; the nature of the case will supply us with one argument more, which must needs put this point beyond possibility of dispute.

IF *Joseph* and *Mary* were the real contrivers of *both* the supposed impostures; and consequently, engaged *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* to act the part they afterwards did, in the prosecution of them; then *They* must in the beginning have laid open these designs to *Zacharias*, in hopes of inducing him to undertake the management of that half of the plot, which he afterwards carried on. And since it is manifestly impossible for *Zacharias* to have begun *acting* his part, till after he had been thus solicited, and agreed to undertake it; *Joseph* and *Mary* must have applied to him on this account, and *He* must

PART I.
Sect. 6.


have agreed to their proposal; some time before he actually opened the plot, with his pretended vision in the temple. That is, in other words, *some time before even the conception of John.*

BUT what was the situation of *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* at that time? *Elizabeth* had always hitherto continued absolutely *childless*; and both *She* and *Zacharias* were now too old, to entertain the least *hope* of ever having children. So that upon this supposition, *Joseph* and *Mary* must have solicited *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* to begin an imposture for the sake of *their own Son*; and they must have agreed to it, with this view; not only at a time when they really had *no son*; but when they must likewise have been fully persuaded, that they *never* should have any. But how was it possible, in such circumstances, for one party to make the application, or the other to comply with it? This at least, it must be confessed, was absolutely impossible; and the contrary supposition would be evidently absurd.

HAD

HAD it therefore still remained a matter of doubt, whether *Joseph* and *Mary* might not have planned out the two impostors in question ; sure we are *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* were so situated, that *They* could not have been applied to, to assist in carrying them on ; nor have attempted to do it, if they had. Nor does this conclusion rest wholly, even upon a variety of the strongest *moral* proofs ; but likewise, upon the clearest *natural* impossibility, that the contrary could be true.

PART I.
Sect. 6.



IN fine, to conclude this argument, it appears not only certain, that *Joseph* and *Mary* were *incapable* of contriving such execrable plots ; as well as from several circumstances of the last importance, that they certainly *did not* contrive those in debate ; but likewise, that they could have no assignable motive whatever for setting such contrivances on foot.

THE only causes, which either obstinate infidelity can invent, or impiety suggest, to account for their concerting such a strange imposture ; must be, either the

PART I.
Sect. 6.

hopes of *advantages* to accrue from the impostures themselves; or the desire of preventing all sarcastic reflections upon *Mary's unexpected condition*, before her marriage with *Joseph* was finally completed. But as to any advantages to be derived from the success of these impostures themselves; though the possibility of such hopes has been all along supposed, for the sake of allowing the supposition of their guilt every imaginable advantage; yet they have in fact appeared far too remote and chimerical, and the dangers of the plots too great and imminent, to admit of any one's contriving them on such motives as these. After all that has now been seen, *this* account of the matter must necessarily be given up.

As for the remaining supposition, that the whole might be a contrivance to *save Mary's reputation*; the facts themselves will shew this to be, of all others, the most ridiculous, and indeed strictly speaking impossible. Nothing can be a more extravagant imagination, at first sight, than
this;

this; that a *carpenter* and his intended wife, should agree to set up a child of theirs, yet unborn, for a counterfeit of the great *Messiah*; and moreover attempt to persuade some one of their acquaintance, to set up another for his *Forerunner*; and all this for *no other end*, than meerly to prevent their neighbours from throwing out reflections to their disadvantage.

BUT besides, if the saving of *Mary's* reputation was the point they aimed at; there was a certain, safe, easy, and effectual method of doing it, which they could not possibly be ignorant of, or forget; and which therefore they would unquestionably have adopted; instead of setting themselves to contrive plots of so dangerous, fruitless, and strange a nature, as those before us. In a word, as it is plain, that they had been for some time betrothed to each other, *before Mary's particular situation took place*; the meer completion of their marriage, upon the very first, slightest suspicion of it, would have secured *Her effectually* from all reproach;


PART I. reproach; and was indeed the only expedient capable of doing it.
Sect. 6.

STILL the facts will supply us with another consideration, upon this point, that can admit of no reply. Had *both* the plots been contrived by *Joseph* and *Mary*, to save her from disgrace, on account of her situation, before their marriage was complete; it is plain They themselves could not have settled the plan of them, till they had some reason to apprehend the state she might be in. At least, *this* must unquestionably be allowed; that *They* could not apply to *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* to undertake *one* of the joint plots they had contrived; and above all, that *Zacharias* could not proceed to the actual *execution* of any public step in it; till *That* event, on account of which *only* the whole contrivance was agreed on, could be *certainly known* to have taken place.

THESE points considered, it must necessarily be acknowledged, that if *Joseph* and *Mary* contrived both these impostures, for the end now supposed; *Zacharias*
rias

rias could not have brought on *his* pretended vision in the temple; which must have been the public opening of the whole design; till at least some little time *after* *Mary's* particular situation had been fully *confirmed*.

PART I.
Sect. 6.



DOES it then in fact appear, that *Zacharias* did not begin the part he acted, with the vision just mentioned; till *Mary* might have been found with child; the very earliest period which the nature of the case can possibly admit? So far from it, that on the contrary, we find, He pretended, at least, to have seen the vision in question, and to shew the *visible* effects of it, no less than *full six months* * before *Mary* asserted she had seen a similar vision; and consequently, *as long* before even the *conception* of *Jesus*.

WE may therefore confidently pronounce it impossible, for *Zacharias's* vision

* That it was not possible to falsify the time of *Zacharias's* first appearing dumb, and pretending to have seen the vision just mentioned; or to forge the fact itself, if it had never happened; see proved more at large in p. 195, 196, 197, &c. and the notes,

PART I.
Sect. 6.

tion to have been the opening of a plot, contrived by *Joseph* and *Mary*, and entered into by *Zacharias* at *their* instigation ; in order to rescue *Mary* from reproach, on account of her being found with child, before her marriage with *Joseph* was completed. Because it was transacted so many months before the supposed occasion for it had any existence, to bring her character into question ; and consequently, before any imposture whatever could, on that account, be carried into execution.

AT length then we may be permitted to affirm, that the supposition, that *Joseph* and *Mary* were the real authors of the *whole design*, and the seducers of those concerned with them in carrying it on, appears on various accounts absurd, and impossible to be true. And *this* supposition being what we were unavoidably driven to, as the very last * that could be made in support of any imposture at all ; we are now under a necessity of laying aside all suspicion of any deceit in the case ;

as

* See page 86.

as contrary to the clear evidence of a great variety of facts, and destitute of every, the least, rational foundation or support.

PART I.
Sect. 6.

AND since it has appeared plainly, from the several *circumstances, situations, and characters*, of *All* the parties concerned in the transactions under consideration; that *neither Any of them alone, nor All of them together*, could contrive and enter upon such an imposture, as that in question, if it was one, must have been; we are indispensably bound to acknowledge, that the *conceptions, and births*, of *John the Baptist and Jesus Christ*, were truly accompanied with all those *miraculous* events, which the evangelists have recorded of them: and consequently, that those *prophetic and divine* characters, which, agreeably to the angel's predictions, they afterwards assumed, were unquestionably their *true* characters, and what had been of old ordained for them by the stupendous providence of *God*.








T H E
D I V I N E M I S S I O N S
O F
J O H N the B A P T I S T
A N D
J E S U S C H R I S T.

P A R T II.

S E C T I O N I.

 HE circumstances and character PART II.
Sect. I.
of every person at all concerned in
the births of *John the Baptist* and
Jesus Christ, having, upon a close exa-
mination, afforded so full a proof of
their divine missions and authority; let
us now proceed to consider, whether as
strong an argument, in support of the
same conclusion, may not be drawn, from
the

PART II.
Sect. I.

the *internal nature* of these remarkable events *themselves*; and the several subordinate transactions, that were either previous to, or any way connected with them. Upon an attentive consideration, perhaps the main facts themselves, as well as the circumstances attending them, will be found of so peculiar a nature; as to furnish the most convincing proofs we can desire, that they could not possibly arise from, or even admit of any imposture.

It is needless to repeat here the detail of *every* particular connected with the *main* events in debate; since the evangelist's own account of the whole series of these transactions, has been quoted at large already *. We may therefore proceed at once to the examination of *such* particulars only, as seem fully sufficient to place beyond doubt the truth and certainty of all the rest. And this they will enable us to do, by shewing, first, *That the plot supposed is, in its own nature, so very ridiculous and absurd, that it is utterly*

* See page 19, — 24. and p. 74, — 77.

terly inconceivable any one could ever con-
trive or engage in it. And, in the next
place, that if we could suppose it capable
of being undertaken; the expedients by
which it appears it must have been carried
on, are such as it is absolutely incredible
could ever have been adopted.

PART II.
Sect. I.

THE only foundation necessary to be
laid, before we enter upon this argument,
is so obvious a principle, that we may
safely venture to suppose it will be very
readily allowed. And this is, that

ALL IMPOSTORS MUST EVER BE
SUPPOSED TO ACT UPON MOTIVES OF
HUMAN CUNNING, AND TO GOVERN
THEMSELVES BY VIEWS OF HUMAN
FORESIGHT AND PROBABILITY. AND
CONSEQUENTLY, WHENEVER A PLOT
IN QUESTION WOULD, IF TAKEN FOR
GRANTED, BE ABSOLUTELY DESTI-
TUTE OF THE ONE; AND THE CON-
DUCT OF THE SUPPOSED IMPOSTOR
BE FOUND DIRECTLY OPPOSITE TO
THE OTHER; THAT THEN RIGHT
REASON AND COMMON SENSE OBLIGE

PART II. US TO ACKNOWLEDGE, THERE COULD
 Sect. 1. BE NO DECEIT IN THE CASE.

By this touchstone therefore let us now try the imposture in debate.

It is related, that an angel appeared to *Zacharias* in the temple, and foretold to him the conception, birth, and divine character of his son. That to punish him for mistrusting the truth of these predictions, as well as to convince him in the strongest manner of their divine authority; the angel at once struck him dumb; assuring him at the same time, that he should continue thus deprived of his speech, till *that* son, whose birth he had then been forewarned of, should be actually born. That in a few days after this, when his ministrations at the temple was over *, *Zacharias* returned home; *and*

* The whole body of the Jewish priests, was divided into twenty four parts, called *courses*; each of which, in a fixed rotation, attended in the temple, to perform the whole worship there; and the attendance of each course was a week at a time, and that twice in a year. Such was the ministrations of *Zacharias* here mentioned, at the expiration of which he returned home from Jerusalem. — See Lewis's Heb. Antiq. b. ii. c. 7.

and after those days his wife Elizabeth conceived. That in fact Zacharias did continue *dumb*, till, in the natural course of time, *John* was accordingly born; and shortly after, agreeably to the angel's prediction, his speech was as instantaneously restored to him, as it had been before taken away.

PART II.
Sect. I.

THIS therefore now becomes the point in debate; whether the facts here related might not be so many particulars of a subtil imposture; or whether, that supposed imposture, of which only these particulars could be part, must not have been of so absurd a nature, that it is impossible to believe it could ever really exist?

AND here, first, it is obvious, that Zacharias's pretending, at least, to have been suddenly struck *dumb*, at the particular time mentioned, is a fact which cannot have been forged; because, if true, great numbers must have been witnesses of it, and could not be deceived about it. More especially as it is affirmed to have happened, on so remarkable an occasion, and in cir-

PART II.
Sect. I.

cumstances so particular, that it must unavoidably have engaged the attention of every one, who was present at the temple service, at the time.

ACCORDING to the custom of the priest's office, his lot was to burn incense *, when he went into the temple of the Lord. And the whole multitude of the people were praying without at the time of incense. And the people waited for Zacharias, and marvelled, that he tarried so long in the temple. And when he came out he could not speak unto them : and they perceived that he had seen a vision in the temple ; for he beckoned unto them, and remained speechless †.

THIS was so remarkable an event in all its circumstances, that it could not but astonish every one present, and be immediately noised about through the whole city

* " As the courses of the priests were (originally) decided by lot ; so every particular priest had his office appointed him by the same method. The lot determined, who should attend the altar of incense, who should feed the fire, who carry out the ashes, and all other parts of the service." — Lewis, *ibid.*

† Luke i. 9, 10, --21, 22.

city of Jerusalem. A vision from heaven, appearing to a priest of venerable age, and exemplary character; while he was actually performing duty in the temple itself; and depriving him of *speech*, so as to *disable* him from going on with the most public, and *remarkable part of his office*; that of dismissing the people with their appointed *solemn blessing* *; was an incident

PART II.
Sect. 1.

* This is a particular which deserves our especial notice; as it must have rendered Zacharias's apparent, sudden loss of speech, so extremely remarkable; that not one person, who was then attending worship in the temple, could remain ignorant of it. *The people*, we find, *waited for Zacharias*, to come out from the holy place, where the incense was burnt, and *blest* them; for so the priests used to do after burning the incense. (See Light-foot's Temple Worship, ch. 9. Lewis, b. iv. 13.) *And they marvelled that he tarried so long. And when he came out he could not speak unto them*; to give them their accustomed blessing, before they departed. *And they perceived, that he had seen a vision in the temple; for he beckoned unto them, and remained speechless.* Now *this* last part of his office, which Zacharias on this occasion appeared unable to perform, and actually did not perform; was *that*, for which the Jews entertained a much greater veneration than any other. — “The *benediction* was to be pronounced by the priest, standing so that he might be seen; with his hands lifted up and spread; and speaking with a loud voice, with his face towards the assembly.

PART II.
Sect. I.

cident so very uncommon, that those who were present would naturally make it known; and render it impossible for any one afterwards to publish such an account, had the fact never happened; or falsify the time on which it did.


AND

bly. This was the form of the blessing, as it was established by God himself, (Numb. vi. 24, &c.) *The Lord bless thee and keep thee; the Lord make his face to shine upon thee, and be gracious unto thee: the Lord lift up his countenance upon thee, and give thee peace.* When this benediction was said in the sanctuary, if we may believe the Jews, it was but one, and pronounced without any pause, the people keeping profound silence: but out of the sanctuary, in their synagogues, they made three of it; the priest pausing at the end of every verse, and the people saying, *Amen*, to each of them."——
 “The divine blessing was always supposed to depend upon the blessing of the priest; which they thought *so necessary*, that such priests as were admitted to *no other* service might perform *this*, lest the people should at any time want it. And it was a maxim, that *the blessing* pronounced by a priest, who had some blemish in his body, was always to be accounted lawful. There is nothing performed among the *Jews* with *so much solemnity*, and in which they place so much sanctity, as in this solemn *Benediction*.”— Lewis, Heb. Antiq. l. ii. 7.
 — After this account of the solemnity of *that* part of his office, which *Zacharias* did *not* perform; signifying, at the same time, to the whole congregation, that he had seen a vision and was *dumb*; we cannot need any other argument to convince us, of the great notice, that must have been taken of it, by every one who was present, at the time it came to pass.

AND besides this consideration, relating to the people in general ; it must likewise be remembered, that the whole number of the Jewish priests, was divided into several distinct bodies ; each of which performed the worship at the temple, in their *appointed* weekly courses, and *at no other time*. So that as *Zacharias* could not possibly officiate there; from the moment he appeared dumb, till he had his speech to all appearance restored ; the time when he *first ceased to speak* must have been so well known to all the rest of his own course, who were upon duty at the temple, at the same time ; and who were always a very considerable number ; that it must have been impossible for him ever after to falsify the period of this remarkable event *.

IN

* It cannot fail of illustrating this argument very greatly, to consider how many priests there might be in their week of duty at the temple, together with *Zacharias* ; and who must *all* have been well acquainted with what passed at this time. — Each of the twenty-four courses had their weekly turn of duty in the temple, twice in the year ; and the distinct families of each

PART II.
Sect. I.

IN fine, were there no other considerations to assure us of this important point,

course had their appointed days in the week. — “ Now the priests in every one of the twenty-four courses were exceeding many. For Josephus relateth, that they were thousands in every course. And this we are sure of, from evident scripture ; that at the crowning of Joash, when an insurrection by Athaliah was feared ; the priests of *two courses only* were reputed as a sufficient guard for the King, and about the temple. — 2 Kings xi. 5, 6, 7. — And when Uzziah would have burned incense, there were eighty priests ready to withhold him, 2 Chron. xxvi. 17. — So that among so great a multitude, therein *but one* being permitted to burn incense, it was necessary, that he should be chosen from among them by lot ; and the lot at this time fell to *Zacharias*.” — Lightfoot, vol. i. 406. — Thus we see, that a very large number of the priests of *Zacharias*’s own course must have been witnesses of his remarkable behaviour at this time. But these were a few in comparison of the rest of the spectators. — “ There were constantly in the temple, at the hour of prayer, *First*, the priests of that course, that then served. *Secondly*, the levites that served under the priests. *Thirdly*, the men of the station, as the rabbins call them ; that is, certain men, that were to represent the whole congregation, in putting their hands upon the heads of the sacrifices. *Fourthly*, those whom devotion moved to leave their other employments, and to be present at the service of God. All these might amount to a great number indeed. But the evangelist in naming *the whole multitude of the people*, seemeth to have some further meaning ; as if he would intimate, that this was not upon an ordinary day of the week, but upon the *sabbath*.”

point, the very *service* which *Zacharias* at this time performed, would *alone* be sufficient to preclude the least doubt about it. For on this day, *his lot was to burn incense* : and this was a particular service, which no priest had the honour to perform more than *once*, in his whole life *. It was esteemed

PART II.
Sect. I.

batb-day, when the congregation was full ; not only of the priests of the seventh course, that went that day out of their service ; but also of *all the multitude of the city* ; which were tied that day in a more special manner, to the public worship." Idem. i. 407. — See these things more at large in Lightfoot's Temple Worship, ch. vi. 7. Lewis, b. iv. ch. 13.

* " *Novi semper accedebant sacerdotes, ut fortiantur ad suffitum. Et nullus bis suffitum adolebat.*" — " Whenever the lot was drawn, to determine the priest, who should burn the incense ; it was drawn by those only, who had not burnt incense before. The same person never burnt incense *twice*." — Sigon. Rep. Heb. l. iv. 13. Not. Ed. Nicolai.

" *Sacrificium jure vespertinum iisdem ritibus quibus matutinum peragebatur, exceptis fortibus, quæ denuo non ducebantur, et benedictione ; sed eadem functio iisdem mane et vesperi obtingebat, si suffitum excipias ; ad quem novo sortium ductu opus erat ?*" — " The evening sacrifice was of course performed with the same forms as the morning ; except the ceremony of *drawing lots*, and the *bleffing* : every one discharged the same office in the evening, that had fallen to him in the morning ; *except the offering of incense ; for which it was always necessary*

PART II.
Sect. 1.

teemed the most honourable of all the offices, which the ordinary priests had to perform; and as their numbers were so great, none were suffered to draw lots for it, who had ever performed it before. As this

cessary that some other person should be chosen." — Reland, Antiq. Heb. p. ii. c. 5. 5.

Lightfoot indeed makes mention of an exception, when the *same* person might burn incense *twice*: but this, it will immediately be seen, cannot in the least invalidate the argument we have drawn from the rule itself. — "In the evening they (the priests) cast not lots for their several employments; but those that the lot had assigned them in the morning, they retained in the afternoon: *only about the matter of burning the incense they cast lots anew, amongst those of the house of the father, that served that day, that had NEVER burnt incense in their lives.* But if *all* of them had, at one time or other, been upon *that* employment; then *he* that had it by lot, at the morning service, did *also* perform it in the evening." — (Temple Worship, c. 9. near the end.) Besides that this was a case, which, from what we have seen of the priestly families, could scarce ever happen; it is plain, that it could not happen at this time; because if Zacharias appeared *dumb* in the morning, he could not possibly officiate again in the evening: and even if he could have done it, it is obvious, that the conclusion which this particular has been brought to establish, must remain as valid as before.

It may not be improper to add here one remarkable particular, that a priest, who had been guilty of any great crime; was *never after* permitted to perform *this* office. See Reland, as above.

this therefore was so very particular an occasion; one even that had never happened to *Zacharias before*, and never could *again*; and as his apparent loss of speech rendered it impossible for him to officiate again in the temple, till a *twelvemonth* after this happened; and *three months* after the birth of *John*; there was not even a possibility left him, to falsify the *time* of his appearing, at least, to have been struck dumb, in the temple, on the occasion related.

PART II.
Sect. I.

WHETHER then, for the present, we suppose this account of the angel's appearance and discourse to have been founded in truth, or a meer fiction; and consequently *Zacharias's* loss of speech *real*, or *pretended*; *this* we are bound to believe as an unquestionable *fact*; that *Zacharias* became in appearance dumb, on the *occasion*, and at the *time* related. And that was, as the evangelist has expressly informed us, some little time before the *conception* of *John*. For it came * to pass, that

* Luke i. 22;

PART II.
Sect. I.

that as soon as the days of his, Zacharias's, ministrations were accomplished; during which he became dumb; he departed to his own house; and after those days his wife Elizabeth conceived.

SUPPOSING it possible then for Zacharias to have invented this refined expedient, and determined to make use of it, the more effectually to conceal his projected imposture; was it possible for him to have put it in practice *at this time*? Or, on the contrary, will not the very time only of his apparent loss of speech unanswerably prove, that it could not be the effect of any such concerted design?

WE are expressly informed*, that *Elizabeth* had till this time continued absolutely *barren*; and both *She* and *Zacharias*, were now so far *advanced in years*, that all thoughts of their having children must have been at an end. This being the case, though we should suppose them to have planned the whole contrivance, in question, years before; and to have been fully

† Luke i. 7, — 18.

fully resolved to execute it, whenever an opportunity should offer; still it will be confessedly *impossible* for Zacharias to have begun executing *this part* of the plot, at the soonest, till he knew with certainty, that *Elizabeth was actually with child*.

PART II.
Sect. I.

NOTHING can be more ridiculous in itself, or more inconsistent with so masterly a stroke of imposture, as this would have been; than to imagine he should begin executing a plot, the very existence of which necessarily depended upon *Elizabeth's being actually with child*; at a time, when he had every reason, the nature of the case would admit of, to conclude, that She *never* would have children. To believe him all on the sudden forging a divine revelation, and feigning himself dumb; with an express prediction, that he should continue speechless, till his wife should bring forth a son; and all this with no other view, than to set up *this future son* for the Messiah's Forerunner; notwithstanding *Elizabeth* had hitherto continued *barren*, and he himself must

PART II.
Sect. I.

must have believed, at the very time, that she would *always* continue so; is *such* a supposition, as the more we consider it, the more absurd and ridiculous it must appear. Yet impossible as this evidently is, we must believe every particular of it to have been true, before we are at liberty even to suppose, that *Zacharias's* interview with the *Angel* might be a fiction, and his apparent loss of speech a deceit. For it has just been observed, that this remarkable transaction came to pass even some time *before* *Zacharias* could have the least expectation of a child.

FROM the very nature of the thing itself, therefore, it appears absolutely impossible for *Zacharias* to have forged the angel's appearance, and have feigned himself dumb; at *that* time when, it is certain, he apparently became so; in order to facilitate any preconceived imposture relating to his son. It is likewise apparent, that the whole of this transaction related *entirely* to the future birth and character of his son; and consequently could not be

be forged on any other account. From whence it inevitably follows, that *Zacharias* must really have conversed with an *Angel*, and have been actually *deprived* of his speech, in the manner related by the evangelist; because the time when it happened proves sufficiently, that there could be no deceit in the case.

PART II.
Sect. 1.

BUT notwithstanding, for the present let us imagine him *already* apprised of *Elizabeth's* unexpected, though doubtful, situation; and determined at all events to prosecute this long premeditated imposture. Still it will be utterly incredible, that he should venture to risk any steps of a public nature, and especially one so remarkable as this before us, at the very earliest period, *till the birth of the child was safely over.*

ELIZABETH was already at an age, when she could no longer be expected to become the mother of children. And *Zacharias*, if he was the cunning impostor supposed, must have governed himself with regard to the uncertain event of her

PART II.
Sect I.

her unexpected situation, by the common rules of probability in cases of the same kind. The point he had to consider was nothing more than a plain matter of fact, of daily observation; and Zacharias had all the experience of an advanced age to direct his judgment about it. Can it then be conceived, that he could immediately enter upon the execution of his proposed imposture; as if it was an absolutely *certain* point, that the hero of this intended plot, must shortly after be brought *alive* into the world; merely because *Elizabeth* was now, *in her old age*, and *contrary to all expectation*, with child?

IT is notorious he must have known this to be, at best, but an *uncertain* event, even when all favourable circumstances concurred; and in the present case, on account of Elizabeth's *advanced age*, more particularly doubtful than in common. So that, had he been already assured of the *first necessary* point, with regard to *Elizabeth's* state and situation; which however we have seen he was not; it was impossible

impossible for him to be guilty of such extreme madness and folly, as to proceed at once to the forgery of a divine revelation; and to punish himself with this pretended loss of speech, for a long, and *fixed* period of time; purely to introduce an imposture, relating solely to a child, who was not only yet *unborn*; but whose *birth*, on some peculiar accounts, was an event of *more than ordinary* hazard and uncertainty.

PART II.
Sect. I.

FURTHER, what must we think of this extravagant supposition, when we recollect, that the divine *character*, which, according to the angel's prediction, the future child of *Elizabeth* was to appear in, necessarily determined of *which sex* it must be?

CERTAIN it is, the *Forerunner* of the Messiah was always expressly prophesied of as a *man*. *Behold I will send you Elijah the prophet, before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord; and HE shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children*


PART II. *to the fathers; lest I come and smite the*
 Sect. I. *earth with a curse* *. And agreeably to
 this, the *Angel* assures *Zacharias*, in that
 revelation, which if it was forged, he him-
 self must have planned; "*thy wife Eli-*
zabeth shall bear thee (not a child, but)
a SON; and thou shalt call his name John."

SUPPOSE then, that at the time of
 this vision, when *Zacharias* became ap-
 parently dumb; *Elizabeth's* pregnancy
 had been clearly ascertained: and that
Zacharias was determined to begin his
 part in the plot, notwithstanding the great
 uncertainty of the *birth* of the child, upon
 which the whole must depend. After
 all, the existence of the plot supposed
 will remain still as incredible as before.
 Because no one can be conceived so ab-
 surd, as to forge a prediction under the
 pretence of its being *divine*; which ab-
 solutely pronounced, that a particular
 child yet *unborn*, and even but very lately
 conceived, should infallibly prove *a son*.
 Above all, he who was cunning enough
 to

* Mal. ch. iv. 5, 6.

to introduce an imposture, with so refined an artifice as the pretended miraculous loss of speech; can never be believed to have adopted so apparently foolish a design. A design, which unavoidably required the certain foreknowledge of an event, that it was absolutely impossible for him to foreknow; and the contrary of which it was equally probable might take place.

PART II.
Sect. 1.



SHOULD we, contrary to all reason, suppose that Zacharias might be capable of so wicked an attempt; it can never be imagined he could contrive one so exceedingly simple; which nothing less than a *meer idiot*, or an *absolute madman*, could undertake or conceive. It must not be forgot, that the very supposition of *any* deceit in this case, necessarily implies, as has been already shewn, that *Zacharias* must have been one of the most subtil impostors the world ever produced. Till *such* a one therefore can be supposed to contrive a deceit of the most dangerous nature, in the direct way to *betray* it; *Zacharias* can never be believed to have

PART II.
Sect. I.



foretold, under the mask of *divine* revelation, that his child, yet *unborn*, would certainly prove a *Son*.

BUT these are not all the absurdities, which the nature of *Zacharias's* supposed imposture must unavoidably have been attended with. Could we even grant this most ridiculous concession, that *Zacharias* might *unaccountably* resolve upon this pretended prediction; though he *knew*, at the same time, that the accomplishment of the event foretold, was in the highest degree uncertain: still it will be found impossible to believe, he could be so extremely foolish, as to pretend to prophecy publicly, as he did *, but *a very few days* after *John's* birth; that his then *infant son* was to be the *Forerunner* of the long expected *Messiah*.

To be thoroughly satisfied of this, we need only recollect, what the nature of this *character* was; and *how long* it must be before *John* could enter upon it. As a very remarkable prophet, who was to

preach

* Luke i. 67, to the end.

preach the baptism of repentance, to reclaim the people from their sins, and make known the acceptable year of the Lord; *Zacharias* well knew it would be impossible for his son, to enter upon his task, till he was *between twenty and thirty years of age* *. So that the publishing, at the time he did, a pretended divine revelation, foretelling that his son was to be the Messiah's *Forerunner*; was, in fact, no less than affirming it to have been revealed to him from above, that an *infant*, who was then *only eight days old*, would infallibly live, at least, till he was *above twenty years of age*.

BUT among all the deceivers, that the world has ever produced; where shall we find one so extravagantly foolish, so bent upon procuring his own destruction, as voluntarily to have staked the success of all his designs; and with his credit, even his *life itself*; upon his being able to ascertain the life of *a mere infant*, for the long term of *twenty years*? To forge

P 3

a re-

* See p. 102 — 104.

PART II.
Sect. I.

a revelation, foretelling the certain continuance of the life of *any* person whatever, for no less than full *twenty years* to come; did even every possible circumstance of age, health, and situation, conspire to render the continuance of it, for such a period, as probable as human nature could permit; would be too glaring an absurdity for any one *in his senses* to be capable of committing.

WHEREAS in the present case, so far was *Zacharias* from having even any such *probabilities*, to ground this supposed forgery upon; that, on the contrary, he could not but know, that the continuance of *John's* life, at the time when he uttered this prediction, was not only a matter of the utmost uncertainty, from the general nature of the thing; but likewise, on a *peculiar* account, more than usually improbable. *Zacharias* could not have lived to old age, without being well apprised, how very large a proportion of those who are born into the world, die even in infancy itself; how many more never pass
the

the bounds of childhood ; and what great numbers of the remainder still fall off, about the first years of maturity : and in short, that from infancy till towards the *age of thirty years* ; that very interval which he must have undertaken to pronounce for ; is, of all others, except old age, the most uncertain and dangerous period of human life. This he could not but know was undoubtedly the case with mankind in general ; and he had besides a *peculiar* reason to believe it would prove so, with *his own son*, in particular.

IF there was nothing miraculous in the case, *John* was the unexpected, and almost unnatural child of his *old age* ; brought into life when *Both* his parents had already outlived the strength of their constitutions, and began to feel sensible approaches of decay. From whence it was more particularly probable, in the natural course of things, that he might very soon give manifest tokens of a weak constitution, and shortly after decline. And can any thing be more exceedingly *ridi-*

P 4

culous,

PART II.
Sect. I.



culous, than it would be to imagine; that a crafty veteran deceiver, should plan out a laboured scheme of imposture, absolutely requiring him to pretend to prophecy, that *such* a particular infant, *but a few days old*, should certainly live to *above twenty years of age*? If this is not *absolutely* incredible, it will be no easy task to assign any thing that can be so. Yet must the possibility of all this be entirely acquiesced in, before we are even at liberty but to suppose, that *Zacharias* could be a deceiver, and his loss of speech a pretence.

BUT besides the great uncertainty, and even improbability of *John's* living to the age, which *Zacharias's prediction* required; there was another difficulty in the plot, impossible to be removed; and which *alone* would have been sufficient to deter any man, in his senses, from adopting such a design.

IT is notorious, that the tempers, abilities, and dispositions, of different men, are so various and opposite; that nothing less than a knowledge far superior to all
human

human foresight, could possibly form even the remotest guess, of what would prove the *future character* of an infant, *only eight days old*; when he should be advanced to the full age of a *man*. And he must have arrived at a degree of folly, not easily to be conceived possible; who should dare to foretell this, when conscious of his utter ignorance about it; and by pretending to speak from divine authority, purposely contrive to lay open his own deceits to almost certain detection. Yet if *Zacharias* was the counterfeit supposed, such must have been the strange conduct he pursued.

ON the day of *John's* circumcision, no sooner did *Zacharias's* speech appear to be restored, than he peremptorily pronounced this prophetic declaration. *And thou, child, shalt be called the prophet of the Highest: for thou shalt go before the face of the Lord, to prepare his ways; to give knowledge of salvation to his people by the remission of their sins**. Nay the *Angel*, even
when

* Luke i. 76, 77.

PART II.
Sect. I.

when he foretold John's *conception* and *birth*, is represented as describing his future character and employment, with still greater exactness. *He shall be great in the sight of the Lord, and shall drink neither wine nor strong drink; and he shall be filled with the Holy Ghost, even from his mother's womb. And many of the children of Israel shall be turn to the Lord their God. And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elias; to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just; to make ready a people prepared for the Lord.*

THUS did *Zacharias* very minutely describe a most singular character; and pronounce, as by divine authority, that it was the *very* character, which *his son* would afterwards appear in; not only when it was yet but *a few days* since his birth, but even shortly after of his *conception*. He foretold, that even from his childhood, he would be remarkable for his singular good understanding, great abstemiousness, and irreproachable conduct; that he

he would preach to the whole people the necessity of repentance and reformation, with all the boldness and freedom of the old prophets; and that by the force of his own virtuous example, together with the goodness and energy of his doctrine, he would bring great numbers to a true sense of religion and their duty.

THIS, it must be confessed, was no *common* character; but one which required the joint possession of many *rare* endowments to fulfill. To support it, he must be possessed of great quickness of understanding; and a judgment improved by an extensive knowledge of mankind. He must have wisdom to extricate himself from the embarrassments, and fortitude to encounter all the dangers, to which such an attempt would unavoidably expose him. In short he must be expert in inculcating the necessity of a good life upon others; and so able a hypocrite, as to appear in all respects to practice it himself; and yet, at the same time, so thoroughly wicked at heart, as to undergo all this drudgery, in
order

PART II.
Sect. I.

order to deceive the world by a most profligate, and mischievous imposture.

BUT how can we believe it possible for Zacharias to have deliberately contrived such a plot, as laid him under the necessity of foretelling, that a particular infant *but just born* into the world; nay, one *not yet born*; would certainly become a man of such uncommon abilities, and so depraved a disposition as this? Could he take pleasure in risking his credit, and even his life, on the accomplishment of such events, as were not only impossible to be foreknown, but in the highest degree improbable to happen? Yet this must have been *Zacharias's* deliberate and settled choice; if in the case under consideration he was guilty of any deceit at all. For should *John* have turned out, as it was most probable he would, a person of only common abilities; it would have been far beyond his *power*, to support *that* character, which his father had prophesied he would maintain. And unless he should be brought likewise to a very uncom-

uncommon pitch of the most daring wickedness; it was very plain he could never be induced to *undertake* it.

PART II.
Sect. 1.

AND here a still further difficulty starts up before us. How was it possible for Zacharias to depend upon the certainty of so evidently precarious an event, as his son's becoming *wicked enough* to engage in the prosecution of so impious a design; even should he prove *able* to carry it on? We must indeed allow, that if he designed *John* to carry on this imposture, he must certainly have proposed likewise to educate him in such vicious principles, as would fit him for the undertaking. This the nature of the thing itself requires. But then, this necessary supposition, will be so far from removing the difficulty just mentioned; that it renders it insurmountable; and the whole design, if possible, even more incredible than before.

CAN it be believed, that when *Zacharias* was already within the confines of *old age*, he could deliberately enter upon such a plot, as plainly required, that he
himself

PART II.
Sect. I.

himself should live at least *twenty years* longer, in the vigorous enjoyment of all his present abilities and cunning? Yet this he must have done, if he set on foot the plot supposed. For it necessarily required, that He should live to *educate* his infant son, in such principles of vice and irreligion, as would prepare him for undertaking the plot he himself had begun; and to instill into him, so much artifice and hypocrisy, as would enable him to carry it on; and lastly, to convince him of such advantages to be drawn from it, as would make him enamoured of the design.

IN the natural course of things; *Zacharias's* only rule of judging in this whole matter; it was a point of the greatest uncertainty, whether he himself might live to see *John* arrive at *half* the age of manhood. And should he survive that, and even a much later period; he had nothing to expect, but that his present activity would long have left him, and his former cunning and abilities have been so far

far destroyed; as utterly to disable him from *training up* this intended impostor. And should this prove the case; as *Zacharias* must have expected it would; what must at length have ensued, from all his deep laid designs, and the predictions he had so foolishly published, at the time of *John's* conception and birth? *John* could never have undertaken to personate that character, which *Zacharias* had foretold, as by divine authority, that he would infallibly appear in; and *Zacharias's* long concealed iniquity must at last have been detected; and punished with, at least, its due infamy and reproach.

JOHN might indeed have become abandoned enough for any undertaking, by being suffered to grow up in the unrestrained indulgence of all his appetites, and the total neglect of all rational instruction. And in this manner he might have arrived at manhood, while *Zacharias* experienced the usual infirmities of extreme old age, and was in all respects unable to corrupt him. But then *this* would have been quite

PART II. quite insufficient for the execution of *Za-*
 Sect. I. *charias's* design; nay, it would necessarily
 have *prevented* John from ever attempt-
 ing to pursue it.

INSUFFICIENT it must have been; because a *most extraordinary* character was already particularly marked out for him to support; and in which therefore it was absolutely necessary, that he should be completely instructed; and besides prevailed with to assume it. That it must have *disabled* him from ever acting his intended part, is equally plain; because the support of it required the exertion of all those abilities, which a total neglect of his education, and his unrestrained indulgence in every vicious inclination, must inevitably have destroyed. And what motives could prevail with One, who from his very infancy had grown up without all restraint; to assume, and persist in a character of most extraordinary abstemiousness, severity, and virtue?

UNLESS therefore we can believe, that, at the time of *John's* birth, *Zacharias* was
 ignorant

ignorant, even of the common period of human life, and the usual decays attendant upon great age ; and firmly persuaded of the continuance of his own life ; nor of that *only*, but of all his active faculties, to a most uncommon and improbable length of years : unless we can believe all this, it must be clearly incredible, that he should, about the time of *John's* conception, or birth, forge such a revelation concerning the future character of his son ; as made it necessary for *him himself* to live to train him up in vice and hypocrisy, for full *twenty years* to come.

BUT, in addition to all the particulars already mentioned, how must the impossibility of this whole contrivance increase upon us, when it is considered ; that, in reality, *Zacharias himself* could *not* believe, that *John* would ever have an opportunity to prosecute his supposed design ; even if he himself should live long enough to train him up completely for it ; and moreover, must have apprehended, that the worst punishment would overtake

Q

him

PART II.
Sect. I.

him himself, should he live so long. Yet this is nothing more than a true state of the case.

ABOUT the time of the birth of *John*, the whole Jewish nation was firmly persuaded, that the *true Messiah* himself, and much more his *Forerunner*, would very speedily appear. This is fully evident from the gospel-history in general; but more especially from the remarkable conduct of Herod, in consequence of the appearance of the wise men at Jerusalem; and the cruel massacre of the children, which he thought it necessary to proceed to, immediately after their departure. A cruelty, which he could never have resolved on, had he not known, that the expectations of the people were so universal and eager, as to think they endangered the safety of his throne.

AT such a time as this, when the arrival of the *true Messiah* himself, was looked on as an event, that might every day come to pass, and could not be far off; how could *Zacharias* imagine *John* would

would have any *opportunity* to counterfeit the character of his *Forerunner*; when it was plain that *John* could not appear upon the stage, till full *twenty years* afterwards? *Zacharias* could expect nothing else, than that the *true harbinger* of the Messiah would have appeared in the mean time, and have proved his divine commission; long before *John* would have been old enough to set himself up in his stead. And then *Zacharias* had good reason to think, that if he himself should be still alive; which, as this might happen very soon, might very probably be the case; his own forgeries of divine revelations, and the pretended loss and recovery of his speech, would immediately be called to mind; and that he should meet with that infamy and punishment, which crimes of this particular nature, and among the Jews above all others, could not but incur. So that whether he himself lived or died; and whatever viciousness and cunning he might be able to instill into his son; He could not but think it extremely improbable,


Q 2

PART II.
Sect. I.

bable, that *John* should ever have an opportunity to carry on the supposed imposture; and consequently, it is utterly incredible, that he could proceed to such dangerous and shocking forgeries, merely to lay a foundation for it, if he should.

WHEN we lay together these most remarkable particulars, all necessarily included in the very *nature* of the supposed imposture itself; its absurdities must appear at once *so many*, and *so manifest*, as to shew it plainly impossible for any one but a downright idiot, or an absolute madman, to have engaged in it; at the same time that it is equally impossible even for these to have contrived it. And to suppose still, that *such* a plot could be the deliberate design of an old, subtil, and successful impostor; such as *Zacharias*, if any at all, must have been; would be judging in direct opposition to the plainest dictates of common sense; and in reality believing what is humanly speaking impossible.

AND

AND here, to conclude this part of PART II.
Sect. I. the argument, it is necessary to observe;  that every conclusion we have now drawn from the *nature* of the plot in debate, to prove the utter impossibility of its existence, as far as relates to *John*; is equally applicable to all the circumstances of the birth of *Jesus* likewise; which, we have seen long ago, must have been the counterpart of the same design. *His* future *birth* was openly predicted, by his parents themselves, soon after his conception; his *life* was *positively assured* for the same term of years; his whole *employment* and *public character*, were defined even more exactly than that of *John*; though they were of such a nature, that no *human* accomplishments whatever could possibly fulfill them; and *Joseph* and *Mary* themselves must have thought, at the very time, that in all probability *Jesus* would never have an *opportunity* to assume them.

THOUGH therefore the whole imposture, as it relates to *Jesus* as well as *John*, is rendered utterly incredible, by consider-

PART II.
Sect. I.

ing its numerous absurdities, as far as they concern *John only*; the impossibility of the plot becomes, as it were, doubly evident, when we add the consideration of the several circumstances of the birth of *Jesus*, to that of *John*; and survey the whole connected transaction in one view.



SECTION II.

PART II.
Sect. 2.
}
THUS far we have been proving the impossibility of the imposture under consideration, merely by examining its own internal *nature* and constitution. But the point in debate will still admit of no small additional illustration, from considering some particulars that occur, in several distinct steps of these complicated transactions. For if the *whole* was an imposture, all the parts must have been so many distinct steps, deliberately agreed on by those who devised, and carried it on. Whereas several of *these*, when closely attended to, will be found such as the contrivers of the *whole* design could not be capable of adopting *.

NE-

* To prevent an objection, which might otherwise arise, it is here proper to apprise the reader, that in the remainder of this part, as well as in the last, the arguments are frequently drawn from various particulars related by the evangelists; without giving *immediate* proofs, that the particulars themselves must certainly have come to pass, as they are related. This may

Q 4

possibly

PART II.
Sect 2.

NEGLECTING then for the present, what has been so fully proved to the contrary, from the internal *nature* of the whole design; let us suppose it *not* impossible for *Zacharias, Elizabeth, Mary,* and *Joseph,* to have forged some such revelations as they actually pretended to have had made to them; and at the very time too, when they declared them to have happened. Are there not still some important particulars occurring in the progress of the plot, which they could not have agreed on, had the whole been an imposture of their joint contriving?

IN the account of *Zacharias's* vision, besides the prediction of the future conception, birth, and divine character of *John*; we are told of a very signal punishment

possibly appear, at first, like relying upon the authority of the *Evangelists* for their truth. But when we recollect, that it has been already proved, both from the circumstances of every person concerned, and from the internal nature of the thing, that there could *not* be any imposture in the case; for the sake of which only, any of those particulars, which may hereafter be made use of, could be forged; this seeming objection falls immediately to the ground.

nishment inflicted upon *Zacharias*, by the Angel, for presuming to doubt the accomplishment of his predictions. That he was instantaneously struck *dumb*, for a *fixed period* of about *nine months*; at the expiration of which time he was as instantaneously restored to speech.

PART II.
Sect. 2.

HERE it is obvious, that if the Angel's appearance was nothing more than a fiction, *Zacharias's* loss of speech and sudden recovery of it, could be no better than a downright deceit. And this he must have put in practice merely to gain credit to the pretended vision itself, by the appearance of an actual miracle in its support *. A masterpiece of cunning it must be confessed; and such as must prove its author to have been very closely attentive to every circumstance of what he was about. But, at the same time, so dangerous and *desperate* an expedient; that however desirous he might be to contrive some artifice or other

* We must not forget, that the truth of the *external fact*, i. e. *Zacharias's* having publickly *appeared dumb*, in the manner Luke has related, has been fully proved already, p. 195, — 204.

PART II.
Sect. 2.

other for this end, it is impossible to believe he could venture upon *This*.

FOR what must this have been, but devoting himself to an *absolute* and *uninterrupted Silence*, while he was in full enjoyment of the powers of speech, for a continued series of no less than *nine months*? A restraint, than which, it is plain, none could be more *painful* in itself; none more *inconvenient* to the prosecution of his designs; none more likely to be the means of *betraying* them.

THE mere painfulness of such a restraint, for so long a continuance, was alone sufficient to have deterred any one from voluntarily laying himself under an obligation, of so very irksome a nature. And had it not been so, such a resolution would have interfered so directly, with the prosecution of the plot; that on this account alone he could never have adopted it. He was now only laying the foundation of a long and intricate imposture; in which *Joseph* and *Mary* were intimately concerned with him, and had
their

their part likewise soon afterwards to act. PART II.
Sect. 2.
Nothing could be more desirable, and even necessary, in so dangerous and difficult an undertaking, than securing each other's courage and fidelity; by conferring together, as often as might be, upon the plot they had agreed on, and the dangers they were to guard against. Whereas the *strange* expedient before us must either have effectually prohibited all intercourse of this kind between them; or continually have exposed *Zacharias*, and with him the whole *confederacy*, to imminent danger of detection.

ABOVE all, can it be thought credible, that *Zacharias* could be so confident of his own perpetual watchfulness and care; as to believe, that a bare resolution to *appear* speechless, would enable him to continue *absolutely dumb*, for so considerable a length of time? That upon no occasion whatever, during so long an interval, he should be so far off his guard, as to utter a *single* inadvertent word, and betray the cheat? Or is it credible, that *Zacharias*,
in

PART II.
Sect. 2.

in particular, with all his long experience and peculiar caution, should choose to risk the detection of his iniquity upon such a manifest hazard as this? Scarce any supposition can be more ridiculous and incredible. Could he not have hit upon some other expedient to answer the same end; certainly he would much rather have left his interview with the angel, to be received upon his own long established credit, and authority only; than have attempted supporting it by *such* a contrivance, as he himself must have thought, at the very time, was almost sure to *betray* it*.

BUT

* The argument is here purposely confined to the supposition, that Zacharias was *only*, to all appearance, *dumb*; because our translation is confined to *this* sense only. But some of the best commentators are strongly of opinion, that he appeared not only *dumb*, but *deaf* likewise. (See Hamm. on Luke i. 62. Lightfoot on Luke i. 22. See also Lamy. on Luke i. 22.) And should *this* interpretation be allowed; the absurdities already mentioned on the *first* supposition, will become at once so exceedingly magnified; that one cannot scruple to pronounce, without the least hesitation, that it was *impossible* for any deceiver to have run the hazard of such an expedient as *This*.

BUT the evidence to be drawn from this particular, will be found of still greater weight; by considering, that *very remarkable difference* we find, between the *consequence* of the Angel's interview with *Zacharias*, and his appearance to *Mary*.

PART II.
Sect. 2.

ZACHARIAS, it is said *, was terrified at this interview, and expressed great doubtfulness of the truth of his message; and as a punishment for his unbelief was immediately struck *dumb*, in the manner just now related. *Mary* likewise is represented as having been exceedingly astonished at the angel's appearance, and wonderful declarations to *her*; and plainly signifying her opinion, that it was impossible they should come to pass; but at length, as acquiescing in the expectation of seeing them fulfilled; and as having *escaped* all kind of punishment, for first doubting of their truth.

Now if these appearances of the *Angel*, and of course all the circumstances related,

* Luke i. 12 — 20.

PART II.
Sect. 2.

lated, were mere fictions of Zacharias and his Associates; contrived for a foundation to their subsequent imposture; it seems incredible, that *Zacharias* should have been represented as *struck dumb* in this manner; and yet *Mary*, at the same time, as having *escaped* all *visible* rebuke. It should seem, had there been any deceit in the case, either that *Both* of them would have appeared in some manner *visibly* punished; or if *One* of them only, that it then must have been *Mary* instead of *Zacharias*.

FROM what has been observed already, it is certain, that if we grant it *possible* for *Zacharias* to have laid himself under this strange and painful necessity, of appearing totally deprived of speech, for so long a time; it could be on no other account, than because he thought it absolutely necessary to add the sanction of an apparent miracle, to the relation of so uncommon an event, filled with such extraordinary predictions. It must be owned, that *Zacharias* could not have hazarded this extraordinary

traordinary expedient ; which exposed him to so much danger of betraying the whole ; unless he thought it *absolutely necessary*, in order to procure credit to his own relation. But if *Zacharias himself*, with all the advantages of his sacred profession, his advanced age, and long established reputation, thought *his own* testimony insufficient to gain credit to his relation of such a fact ; how was it possible he could imagine, that the angel's appearance to *Mary*, with a prediction even still more wonderful than his own, would be received, upon the *single* affirmation of *Mary* only ?

HAD the *facts* themselves been but alike improbable, the credibility of the *witnesses* was very far from being equal. *Zacharias* had good reason to expect, that *his* testimony would have a considerable weight and influence with the people in general. But if he thought his *own* credit insufficient to warrant an event of this extraordinary nature ; what regard could he think would be paid to the single witness of an *obscure, ignorant girl*, not yet


PART II.
Sect. 2.

yet arrived at years of judgment and discretion? What more obvious, than that so improbable a tale, supported only by the evidence of so contemptible, and at the same, so interested a witness, would be turned into ridicule; or, at the best, be regarded as the mere delusions of fear and superstition; so generally attributed to her sex.

BESIDES, with regard to the *facts* themselves; the appearance of an *Angel* in the holy place of the temple, charged with a divine revelation to a *priest* of respectable character, was an event so similar to what, all the Jews knew, had several times happened, since the settlement of their nation; that, on this account alone, they would be the more favourably inclined to believe it. Whereas, a still more astonishing revelation, made to a mere *girl*; bred up in obscurity, and destitute of all external causes of respect; it must naturally be expected, would be heard with prejudice, and rejected with contempt. And while no obvious motive
whatever

whatever could lead them to suspect *Zacharias* of any such deceit; *Mary's situation*, before her marriage was concluded, would very shortly have furnished so probable a cause for her forging the revelation in question; as was enough to bring her veracity into universal suspicion.

PART II.
Sect. 2.



It was not at all opposite to any received opinion of the *Jews*, that the *Messiah's Forerunner* should be the son of a respectable priest: so that thus far *Zacharias's* account was very likely to be well received. But nothing could be more *inconsistent* with all their acknowledged notions concerning the *Messiah himself*; than the supposition, that *He* should be born of one in no higher station, than the intended wife of a *carpenter*. This was such a stumbling block as *Zacharias* had little reason to think, they could ever get over; especially when joined to the reason just mentioned for suspecting *Mary's* veracity. How then can it be conceived, that *Zacharias* and his associates should think it absolutely necessary to strengthen *his* testi-

R

mony,

PART II.
Sect. 2.


mony, with the pretence of a miracle ; even at so manifest a hazard of his inadvertently betraying the whole imposture ; and yet, at the same time, leave the testimony of *Mary* quite unguarded, to stand or fall by its own suspicious credit and authority ?

IT is manifest, that if they had jointly determined it necessary to make use of *any* apparent miracle at all ; they would either have contrived one to confirm *Mary's* revelation, as well as *Zacharias's* ; or *Mary's alone* ; since *That* stood so much more in need of some external support. So that had these revelations been forged, for the *only* purpose, for which they could be contrived ; we should either have found, that *Mary* pretended to have been struck dumb, instead of *Zacharias* ; or that some other, *no less apparent*, miraculous punishment, was as evidently inflicted upon *Her* likewise.

NOR can it be here objected, that impostors are frequently inconsistent with themselves, and often betray their plots by

by flagrant folly in some particulars, while they guard against detection by the most refined cunning in others. For, not to repeat, what it has been so often necessary to mention, that if *Zacharias* was a deceiver, *He* must unquestionably have been the most subtil and cautious impostor the world ever produced ; the particular, now before us, did not allow room for any such inconsistency.

PART II.
Sect. 2.



FROM the very nature of the thing, it seems manifestly impossible ; that He could take so much thought to secure a good reception for *his own* story ; and pay no regard whatever to the success of *Mary's* ; by far the more likely to be rejected of the two. For an attention to *both*, was not to *two distinct* steps of the plot ; one of which might possibly be overlooked, while the other was remembered ; but in fact they were *both* necessarily comprehended, under one and the same consideration. And even had it been possible for *Zacharias* to have attended to the *one*, and not the *other* ; it was *not*

PART II.
Sect. 2.

possible for *Joseph* and *Mary*; with whom it has been already seen the whole plot must have been concerted; to have been, at the same time, totally forgetful, of what so immediately concerned *their own* safety and success. *Zacharias's* taking this particular care for himself; must at least have reminded *Them* of their *much greater* want of some similar expedient, to procure credit to *their* part of the plot.

To the decisive evidence of these considerations, we may add the corroborating testimony of one fact more, which happened before the birth of *John*; and which seems to afford as strong a proof, as the nature of such circumstances can admit of, that there could be no such plot on foot, as that we have all along been supposing. The particular itself, is the step *Mary* took, immediately after her interview with the Angel.

THE Angel, after revealing to her, what would very shortly happen to herself, proceeded to inform her of what had already happened

happened to Elizabeth. *Behold thy cousin Elizabeth, She hath also conceived a child, in her old age, and this is the sixth month with her, who was called barren.* Upon receiving this information, we find Mary immediately left her own home; and went into the hill-country with haste, into a city of Juda; and entered into the house of Zacharias, and saluted Elizabeth: and there stayed with her, no less than three months, till the time of *John's* birth was almost arrived.

HERE we have a fact, which may serve greatly to illustrate the integrity, and artless innocence, of every person concerned. Had *Zacharias, Elizabeth, Mary,* and *Joseph,* been engaged together, in concerting so daring and dangerous an imposture as that in question; we cannot but think, that it would have been one of the first and principal objects of their attention, to conceal their *correspondence,* at this particular time, as much as possible, from the public view. They could not but expect, that whenever their intended

PART II.
Sect. 2.

counterfeits should appear upon the stage, and become objects of the public attention; the strictest enquiries would probably be made into the *families* of two persons, whose claims to divine characters, were so very extraordinary in themselves, and so closely connected with each other. For this reason they would studiously have avoided all appearance of any *intimate* connection, especially *at this time*; as that, in such a case, more than any thing else, might induce every one to suspect some concerted imposture. How then can the fact before us be reconciled with the supposition of the plot in question? What can be more improbable, if they were all engaged in the supposed contrivance, than that *Mary* should go to the house of *Zacharias*, and He permit her to stay in his house, for no less than *three months* together, at this critical time? It is incredible, that the contrivers of so artificial and refined a plot could be guilty of such an oversight as this.

WHEREAS,

WHEREAS, if we suppose their accounts of the angel's appearances to be true, and the several parties concerned innocent of all sinister designs; the whole difficulty is cleared up at once; and nothing could be more *natural*, than this behaviour, in consequence of these events. An honest and undefining heart could not but burn with a desire of communicating to its friend, such an astonishing revelation as *Mary* had received concerning *herself*; as well as of determining the credit it deserved, by enquiring into the truth of what the angel likewise informed her, had *already* happened to her friend. Nor could any conduct be more *natural*, when *Elizabeth* and *She* certainly found themselves made the happy instruments of fulfilling those glorious promises, which had been revealed to their forefathers; than their passing some time together, in admiring those astonishing events, which were already come to pass; and those more astonishing still, which were now upon the point of being fulfilled. And

PART II.
Sect. 2.

surely, when their conduct approves itself, in every particular, the *natural* result of *innocence*, and is *incompatible* with the supposition of their *guilt*; it would argue no small degree of perverseness, still to suppose them engaged in the prosecution of an imposture.



SECTION III.

IF the few facts just considered, *pre-* PART II.
Sect. 3.
ceding the births of *John* and *Je-*
sus, bear witness in so strong a manner,
to the innocence and veracity of *all* those,
who were most immediately concerned in
these events; much more will several
astonishing particulars, that soon *followed*
them, convince us, that they could not
possibly be the effects of human artifice
and cunning; or arise from the secret
machinations of *Zacharias* and *his* *Asso-*
ciates; the *only* persons who could pos-
sibly have contrived them.

LUKE having particularly informed us
of the time and place of *Jesus's* birth; and
the means by which it happened, that he
was born at *Bethlehem*; immediately
goes on with the following narration.

AND *there were in the same country,*
near Bethlehem, shepherds abiding in the
field, keeping watch over their flock by
night. And lo, the angel of the Lord came
upon

PART II.

Sect. 3.

upon them, and the glory of the Lord shone round about them, and they were sore afraid. And the angel said unto them, fear not: for behold, I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people. For unto you is born this day in the city of David, a Saviour, which is Christ the Lord. And this shall be a sign unto you; ye shall find the babe wrapped in swaddling cloaths, lying in a manger. And suddenly there was with the angel a multitude of the heavenly host; praising God, and saying; glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, good will towards men. And it came to pass, as the angels were gone away from them into heaven, the shepherds said one to another; let us now go even unto Bethlehem, and see this thing which is come to pass, which the Lord hath made known unto us. And they came with haste, and found Mary and Joseph, and the babe lying in a manger. And when they had seen it, they made known abroad the saying which was told them concerning this child. And all they that heard it wondered at those things, which were told them

them

them by the shepherds. But Mary kept all these things and pondered them in her heart. PART II.
Sect. 3.

And the shepherds returned glorifying and praising God, for all the things that they had heard and seen, as it was told unto them*.

HERE a new scene opens upon us, crowded with unexpected visions, and divine revelations, bearing witness in the most extraordinary manner to the sacred character, and divine mission of Jesus. But if the angel's former appearances, and predictions of the births of John and Jesus, which have already been considered, were in reality but *fictions* of Those, to whom they were pretended to have been made; then must *this* astonishing account of what happened to the *Shepherds* of Bethlehem, be an imposture likewise. And if this be so, it must have been brought about in one or other of the following ways.

EITHER the *Shepherds* must have been deluded by the strength of their own superstitious

* Luke ii. 8 — 20.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

stitious imaginations, into a *belief*, that they saw angels which never appeared; and heard the most surprising things revealed to them, which in fact were never spoken. Or else, they must have been corrupted by *Zacharias*, and his *Confederates*, purposely to give out this marvellous revelation; and to pretend to seek for *Joseph* and *Mary* and the *young child*, by night, as if in consequence of it; and they knew, at the same time, that every particular of this relation was entirely false. But we shall soon see, that neither of these suppositions can possibly be true.

IT is notorious, that nothing could be more inconsistent with the universal expectation of the *Jews*, nor any thing more *contradictory* to all the notions they had formed of the long expected *Messiah*, their future king and deliverer; than that he should make his entry into the world, in the *stable* of an *inn*. On the contrary, they expected him to appear, with such a glorious display of his superior dignity and power, as might seem a fit introduction

tion to that universal dominion, they be- PART-II.
Sect. 3.
lieved he would assume. And it will
readily be allowed, that the strength of
imagination, however overheated, could
not feign to itself divine appearances and
revelations, diametrically *opposite* to all
its strongest prejudices and conceits.

HAD the force of enthusiasm there-
fore painted this chorus of angels, and
dictated the glad tidings they brought ; the
Angels could not have informed the shep-
herds, that a particular most astonishing
event had actually taken place, which
could never before have entered into *their*
thoughts ; and which, above all others,
they firmly believed it *impossible* should ever
come to pass. And indeed had it not been
impossible on this account alone, what
can be more difficult to believe, than that
several poor shepherds, thus accidentally
met together, should *all* be seized *at once*
with such a strong enthusiastic delusion ;
all be possessed at once with the *same* wild
imagination ; *all* see the *same* angels, and
hear the *same* revelation, and song of re-
joicing ;

PART II.
Sect. 3.

joicing; and all this relating solely to a matter so extremely *foreign* from their common thoughts and occupation, as the time, place, and minutest circumstances of the birth of the *Messiah*?

NOT to insist further therefore on the manifest incredibility of such a supposition; if there was any deceit in the case, it must needs have been in the *Shepherds themselves*. They must have been seduced to assist in the grand plot; and on this occasion only acted a part, they had been before instructed to perform. Let us see then, whether this supposition is, at the bottom, at all more credible than the former.

ON this occasion we might appeal to the uniform practice of *all* impostors; who, with good reason, are so fearful of nothing, as of imparting their designs to *more* persons, than are absolutely *necessary* for the prosecution of their plots. We might appeal to the conduct of that arch impostor, Mahomet himself; who, though he was practising upon a people ignorant

ignorant even to barbarism, and liable to all the extravagancies of the most foolish credulity; did not dare to seek assistance in his plot, from more than *one*, or *two* persons, at the most; and concealed his connection even with them, with so much care and circumspection, that it has cost even the most learned no little pains to find them out *. Every argument likewise, that has been already † drawn from the peculiar danger of the case, in the plot before us; to shew the incredibility of *Zacharias's* attempting to make *any* associates *at all* in the plot supposed; might here be applied, with double force, to the supposition of his having made known his iniquity to the *Shepherds* in question.

WHAT more incredible, than that he should almost *provoke* some one to betray him, by laying himself open to *so many*; merely for the sake of executing a contrivance, by no means necessary for the
the

* See Prideaux's life of Mahomet, p. 36. — 49. Svo. — 2d. Edition.

† See Part I. Sect. 4.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

the success of his grand design? Or how was it possible for him to choose to corrupt *those*, above others, whose simplicity and great ignorance of mankind, made them, of all orders of men, the most *unfit* to promote his schemes; and the most likely to be shocked at, and *betray* his proposals? It would be difficult to invent a supposition much more inconceivable than this; that *Zacharias* or *Joseph* could attempt to corrupt a number of *Shepherds*, to bear the witness *these* did to the divine character of *Jesus*; or that *They* should have become the zealous promoters of *such* an imposture, and ever after have remained the faithful concealers of it, if he had.

BUT still new wonders rise up before us. Though we have already discovered *so many* persons, who must certainly have been made partakers with *Zacharias* and *Mary*, in whatever imposture they were now carrying on; more actors are still coming upon the stage, to perform new parts in the plot.

AND

AND when the days of her, Mary's, pu-
rification, according to the law of Moses, PART II.
Sect. 3.
were accomplished, that is, about a month
after Jesus's birth, they brought him to Je-
rusalem, to present him to the Lord. As it
is written in the law of the Lord, every
male that openeth the womb, shall be called
holy to the Lord. And to offer a sacrifice,
according to that which is said in the law
of the Lord; a pair of turtle doves; or
two young pidgeons. And behold, there was
a man in Jerusalem, whose name was Si-
meon; and the same man was just and de-
vout, waiting for the consolation of Israel:
and the Holy Ghost was upon him. And it
was revealed unto him by the Holy Ghost,
that he should not see death, before he had
seen the Lord's Christ. And he came by the
Spirit into the temple: And when the pa-
rents brought in the child Jesus, to do for
him after the custom of the law, then took he
him up in his arms, and blessed God, and
said; Lord, now lettest thou thy servant de-
part in peace, according to thy word. For
mine eyes have seen thy salvation; which
S thou


PART II.
Sect. 3.

thou hast prepared before the face of all people: a light to lighten the gentiles, and the glory of thy people Israel. And Joseph and his mother marvelled at those things which were spoken of him. And Simeon blessed them, and said unto Mary his mother; Behold this child is set for the fall, and rising again, of many in Israel; and for a sign, which shall be spoken against; yea a sword shall pierce through thy own soul also, that the thoughts of many hearts may be revealed:

AND *there was one Anna a prophetess, the daughter of Phanuel, of the tribe of Aser; she was of a great age, and had lived with her husband seven years from her virginity: and she was a widow of about fourscore and four years: which departed not from the temple, but served God with fastings and prayers night and day. And she coming in that instant, gave thanks likewise unto the Lord, and spake of him to all them that looked for redemption in Jerusalem. And when they had performed all things according to the law of the Lord,*
they

they returned into Galilee, to their own city, Nazareth.

PART II.
Sect. 3.



STRANGE as this supposition will at once appear, it must be owned, that if the other circumstances recorded of the births of *John* and *Jesus*, were only so many parts of a deep laid imposture; the remarkable particulars just related must have been a subtil contrivance from one end to the other.

SIMEON and *Anna*, of whom so honourable mention is made for their acknowledged piety and goodness, must at the bottom have been two most impious deceivers; who had been corrupted by *Zacharias*, or some of his associates, to abett his imposture in the manner just related. Their coming into the temple at this particular time, as it is said, by the Spirit; *Simeon's* taking *Jesus* in his arms; his most remarkable address of thanksgiving to God, for the arrival of the *Messiah*; and his prophetic assurances to *Mary* concerning her son; together with *Anna's* public thanks, and inspired declarations,

PART II.
Sect. 3.

positively asserting the divine character of *Jesus*; must all have been the result of mere artifice and contrivance; and measures first privately concerted between them. Nay, what is still more, all the claims of *Simeon* and *Anna* to divine inspiration, *before* this time; and especially *Simeon's* revelation, *that he should not see death, before he had seen the Lord's Christ*; must all have been mere forgery and pretence, from the very first; and, purposely given out, with the view of transacting this particular scene of the plot. So that, though the part, which, we must now believe, *They* had undertaken to execute, did not bring them into public view before; *They* must in reality have been privy to the whole imposture, and have agreed to act the part they did to support it, some time before they came upon the stage.

BUT how will it be possible to solve such a series of difficulties as here thrust themselves into view? Can we suppose *Zacharias* resolutely bent upon pursuing the most certain means to betray his own iniquity,

iniquity, and procure his destruction? PART II.
Sect. 3.
Could the wickedest and most subtil impostor, that ever lived, single out those of the most approved piety and goodness, to make associates in his crimes; and choose to lay open his impious devices, above all others, to the wise and good? Could he suppose, that they, who from youth to old age had persevered in the practice of piety and religion, would at last, on the sudden, become equally industrious in the service of impiety and vice? Could he expect to conquer the virtue of fourscore years; and even without the least prospect of reward? Or could the same persons, who had approved themselves sincere worshippers of the God of Israel, through the most dangerous stages of human life; at length proceed to mock him with horrid blasphemies, in his very temple itself; when they could have no inducement for doing it, at the extremity of *old age*?

IF all this be allowed impossible; and the nature of the thing will not even admit a doubt; then is it absolutely incredible,

PART II.
Sect. 3.

dible, that *Zacharias* or *Joseph* could have attempted to corrupt *Simeon* and *Anna*; and equally so that *They* could have agreed to abett such an imposture, if either of them had. And since *their* conduct was so very remarkable, though on this single occasion only, as to prove beyond doubt, that *They* must have been principals in the plot supposed, if in fact it had any real existence; the integrity of *Zacharias*, and every person concerned, appears incontestibly proved; and all the miraculous circumstances related of the births *both* of *John* and of *Jesus*, must be acknowledged as unquestionably true *.

BUT

* In addition to what has been here urged, drawn from the peculiar *characters* and *circumstances* of *Simeon* and *Anna* themselves; it is likewise proper to observe, that every argument which has been already alleged, in Part i. Sect. 4. drawn from the *nature* of the supposed contrivance alone, to prove the utter incredibility of *Zacharias's* communicating such a plot to *any one at all*; must here be allowed their full weight and influence in regard to *Simeon* and *Anna*; and clearly prove it to have been impossible for him to have made confederates of them.

But besides, the very supposition, that they had applied to *Simeon* and *Anna*, and engaged them to assist
in

BUT the amazing artifices of *Zacharias* and his associates to conceal their imposture, if in truth they had any to conceal; or, to speak more properly, the indisputable evidences of their innocence and integrity, are not yet at an end. There

PART II.
Sect. 3.

S 4

still

in their scheme; is in fact immediately and essentially destructive of their *joint* plot itself. For, if so, it must either have been *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* on one side, or *Joseph* and *Mary* on the other, who looked upon *Simeon* and *Anna* as fit persons for their purpose; and in consequence of this opinion, applied to them to assist the cause. But had *Zacharias* had any knowledge of *Simeon* and *Anna*, and looked upon them in this light; certainly he would at first have applied to *Them alone*, to assist him in some such manner in favour of *John*, as they acted with regard to *Jesus*; and with their assistance he would have carried on his own plan concerning *John only*; nor should we have found him connected, in any of his transactions, with *Joseph* and *Mary*; or his contrivance for *John*, burthened with one of so much more hazardous a nature, as that relating to *Jesus*. While, on the other hand, had *Joseph* and *Mary* been the persons who knew the real characters of *Simeon* and *Anna*, and engaged them to act the part they did, relating to *Jesus*; they could have had no reason whatever for laying open their designs to *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*; who, being old and childless, were evidently incapable of carrying on the other part of their imposture. So that, take which side we please, the supposition of either party's persuading *Simeon* and *Anna* to engage in their plot; is, at the bottom, absolutely destructive of the supposition of their *joint* imposture itself.

PART II.
Sect 3.

still remains to be considered another, and a most remarkable transaction ; which will afford perhaps as decisive evidence, to determine the point in debate, as any that has been hitherto taken notice of. It is related by Matthew * as follows.

Now when Jesus was born in Bethlehem of Judea, in the days of Herod the king, behold there came Wise Men from the east to Jerusalem, saying, where is he that is born king of the Jews ? For we have seen his star in the east, and are come to worship him. When Herod the king heard these things, he was troubled, and all Jerusalem with him. And when he had gathered all the chief priests and scribes of the people together, he demanded of them, where Christ should be born ? And they said unto him, in Bethlehem of Judea : for thus it is written by the prophet ; And thou, Bethlehem, in the land of Juda, art not the least among the princes of Juda ; for out of thee shall come a governor, that shall rule my people Israel. Then Herod, when he had privily called the
Wise

* Matt. ii. 1, —16.

Wise Men, enquired of them diligently, what time the star appeared. And he sent them to Bethlehem, and said; go, and search diligently for the young child; and when ye have found him, bring me word again, that I may come and worship him also. When they had heard the king they departed; and lo, the star, which they saw in the east, went before them, till it came and stood over where the young child was. When they saw the star they rejoiced with exceeding great joy. And when they were come into the house, they saw the young child, with Mary his mother, and fell down and worshipped him: and when they had opened their treasures, they presented him with gifts, gold, and frankincense, and myrrh. And being warned of God, in a dream, that they should not return to Herod, they departed into their own country another way. And when they were departed, behold, the angel of the Lord appeareth unto Joseph in a dream, saying; arise, and take the young child and his mother, and flee into Egypt, and be thou there until I bring thee word;
for

PART II.
Sect. 3.

for Herod will seek the young child to destroy him. . . When he rose, he took the young child, and his mother, by night, and departed into Egypt; and was there until the death of Herod; that it might be fulfilled, which was spoken of the Lord, by the prophet saying; out of Egypt have I called my son. Then Herod, when he saw that he was mocked of the Wise Men, was exceeding wroth; and sent forth, and slew all the children that were in Bethlehem, and in all the coasts thereof; from two years old and under; according to the time, which he had diligently enquired of the Wise Men.

THE evangelist makes no mention of the precise time, when this very remarkable event came to pass, nor is it material to the subject in hand. But as far as may be collected from some circumstances, it seems at least very probable, that it happened about a *twelvemonth** after Jesus's birth. Various have been the opi-

* See the notes on the 11th sect. of Macknight's Paraphrase on his Harmony of the Gospels. — Hammond's observation on Luke ii. 24. — See Lightfoot, vol. i. 205.

opinions proposed, concerning the particular character and country of these unexpected persons; who, we are only told, were *Wise Men from the east* *. But to pass over this disquisition, in which dubious conjecture is perhaps the utmost that has yet been arrived at; it will be sufficient to our purpose to observe, that if the other miraculous circumstances related of the births of *Zacharias's* and *Mary's* sons, were only the several parts of a plot, for deceiving the Jews with a *false* prophet, and a *counterfeit* Messiah; then must these *Wise Men from the east* have been mere impostors; and nothing better than accomplices with *Zacharias*; sent by him to *Jerusalem*, purposely to act that particular character, they there appeared in.

THIS extraordinary device too must have been put in execution, in order by their astonishing enquiry, even of *Herod* himself, after a young *King* of the Jews, lately born in his own dominions; as well

* See the commentators, on the place; and note 1. on the 11th. sect. of Macknight's Harmony. Lightfoot, vol. i. 436. &c.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

well as by the religious worship they were to pay the child, when they found him; to fix the thoughts and expectations of the whole people upon *Jesus*; that when he should afterwards be old enough to begin acting his own part, they might the more readily receive him for the promised *Messiah*. The story of their being apprized of the *young king's birth*, by the appearance of a star in the east, and its appearing again to them, and directing them to the house where *Jesus* lay; must all have been forged, merely to support their characters, and carry on the delusion. Their being warned likewise of God, in a dream, to depart from *Bethlehem* without returning to *Herod*; and *Joseph's* carrying *Mary* and the *child* into *Egypt*, immediately after, in consequence of a similar divine command; must have been forgeries given out to throw an air of mystery over their sudden departure; while, in fact, every one of these steps must have been concerted between them *All*, long before these pretended *Wise Men from the east* made their appearance at *Jerusalem*.

AT

AT length then we are arrived at the finishing stroke of this marvellous combination; which, at first sight, might force one to acknowledge it, for ingenuity of design, not unworthy of the completest masters in deceit. But a closer inspection will presently convince us, that the supposition of this ingenious expedient is on many accounts incredible, and *such as cannot* be received.

IN particular, the *nature* of the thing itself will fully prove; that if *Zacharias*, and those connected with him, were actually engaged in the grand plot we have all along supposed; still it will remain incredible, that they could attempt the expedient before us. But above all, the whole Jewish *Sanhedrim*, and even *Herod himself*, will bear ample witness, that the *Wise Men* in question were, beyond all doubt, the real persons they pretended to be.

THAT some such persons, as the evangelist has described, appeared publicly at *Jerusalem*, enquiring for the *young King*
of

PART II.
Sect. 3.

of the Jews; that *Herod*, in consequence of their application to him, on this account, summoned a great council of all the chief priests and scribes, to determine upon the *place*, where *Christ* should be born; that, in consequence of their determination, he sent the strangers to *Bethlehem*; commanding them, when they had found the *young king*, to acquaint him also, where he was: that they there found *Mary*, and her son *Jesus*, and paid homage to him, acknowledging him to be the *young king* they had sought after: and that, because they departed without returning to *Herod*, as he desired, he immediately put to death all the children about *Jesus's* age, which were to be found in *Bethlehem*, and the region round about: all these facts, related clearly, positively asserted, and never in any part contradicted; are not now to be called into question.

HAD they *not* been true, the evangelist could not have *dared* to assert them; and even if he could have been guilty of
so

so much folly ; their falsehood would have been soon detected, and publicly laid open ; and the credit of his gospel have been effectually destroyed. The facts are of so astonishing, and so public a nature, that this must inevitably have been the case. Not to say, that the very supposition of *any* deceit at the bottom, necessarily implies the existence of the facts themselves ; and, that the massacre of the infants, which is the most important of them all, to confirm the truth of the rest, is elsewhere recorded *.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

THE

* See Lardner's *Cred. of the Gospel History*, B. ii. ch. 2. throughout. See likewise even the express testimony of a Jew to the truth of this fact ; quoted by Dr. G. Sharpe, in his " *Argument for the Defence of Christianity, taken from the Concessions of its most ancient Adversaries* ;" p. 40. But the truth of these extraordinary facts is set in so clear a light by a French writer, that, upon this occasion, I hope to be forgiven the liberty of translating what he has said about them.

" Had the evangelist only told us, that the Wise Men saw a star in the east, which they believed to be the star of the *King* of the Jews ; this would have appeared very suspicious. If he had said no more, than that the Wise Men came to Jerusalem ; neither would this have been satisfactory. But he asserts, not only that they came there ; but that they appeared there in public ;
and

PART II.
Sect. 3.

THE facts then being indisputably established; it is certain, from the nature of
of

and that the whole city of *Jerusalem* were greatly moved and astonished on *their* account. Is it very likely any one should take it into his head to attempt persuading so great a city as *Jerusalem*, that they had been thrown into a general consternation, by the appearance of certain Wise Men, who came purposely to worship the king of the Jews? When a man has determined to publish any falsehood, which it is of the utmost importance to him to procure the belief of; will he single out such circumstances to relate, as the people well know are all utterly false? Matthew, who wrote this account, was himself a Jew. And for whom did he immediately design it? For many thousand Jews, converts to christianity, who dwelt at *Jerusalem*; and who were as well acquainted with what had happened *there*; both in their own days, and those of their fathers; as the people of Paris are, with what came to pass there, under the administration of Cardinal Richlieu; or those of London, with what was transacted there, in the time of Oliver Cromwell; or the people of Stockholm, with what happened in that city in the reign of Gustavus. And let us only consider, whether it would be possible for any one to publish such falsehoods in these populous cities, with such remarkable success as attended the relations we are now considering; so as by their means to engage many thousands to become parties in his cause."

"But granting, that the evangelist might have assurance enough to describe the Wise Men, and the astonishment they occasioned in all the inhabitants of *Jerusalem*; even in direct opposition to the well known history of the times: it must at least be allowed, that the *subsequent* events, asserted to have happened in consequence

of that enquiry, which these apparent *Wise Men* from the east came to *Jerusalem*

PART II:
Sect. 3.

quence of this, were of such a nature, that not even the most impudent and abandoned writer, could have dared to make mention of them, had they not come to pass."

"In reality, this transaction contains two or three facts so closely connected in their own nature, that if we allow the truth of one, it will be impossible to deny the rest. If we agree, that the arrival of *some Wise Men* induced Herod to summon the great council of the Jews, in order to be assured, where the Messiah should be born; we cannot possibly doubt whether any such persons did actually appear. And if we allow, that Herod really sent his people to Bethlehem, to put to death all the children there, of two years old and under; there can be no dispute about the answer given by the Sanhedrim to this enquiry. If, therefore, the truth of the chief fact may be relied on, there can be no need of any further proof of the two other."

"Now I will venture to affirm, that if this chief event had not really come to pass, the evangelist could never have related it. For what was better known than the reign of Herod the Great? Even his most insignificant actions were all got abroad. How then could any one dare to charge *him, falsely*, with so astonishing, and unheard of a massacre as this? *Bethlehem* itself was still flourishing, when the evangelist wrote his account of this transaction. So that, if his relation was not true, every inhabitant of that city could bear witness to its falsehood. Its distance from Jerusalem too was so small, that the christians there could not possibly be ignorant of the reception this account met with at *Bethlehem itself*; especially as there was a very considerable

T

inter-

PART II. *lem* to make, that they could not be impostors.
 Sect. 3.

FOR first, should we suppose *Joseph* and *Zacharias* actually engaged in the grand imposture; nothing can be more incredible, than that they should, at this time especially, be so industrious in contriving the most ready ways to betray it; by still making *so many more* persons acquainted with their whole design. And how

intercourse carried on between the two cities. Nor was the time, which had elapsed between the birth of Jesus Christ and the writing of this Gospel, by any means sufficient to afford room for the reception of so extravagant a falsehood. I would only ask, whether we ourselves could be persuaded into the belief, that any particular Monarch now reigning in Europe, or, if you please, one who reigned thirty or forty years since, had caused two or three thousand children to be put to death in the cradle; for the sake of destroying one in particular, whose future fortune he was afraid of? There is little likelihood indeed of our listening to such an imposition as this; or indeed that any one should publish such a falsehood; or even, that so strange a thought should even enter into any man's head. But that any one should be able to persuade the people of the *same* kingdom, and even the inhabitants of the *very place*, where the scene is laid, into the belief of *such a fact, if it had never happened*, is above all incredible." — See *Traité de la Verité de la Religion Chrétienne*, par I. Abbadié; Par. ii. sect. 3. ch. 2.

how could these veteran deceivers think of promoting their success, by sending any of their accomplices upon so dangerous, nay so mad an enterprise ; as that of going purposely to acquaint *Herod* himself, with the actual birth of a young *King* of the Jews ? Above all, where could they expect to find adventurers, daring and foolish enough, to engage in so desperate an undertaking ?

PART II.
Sect. 3.

THE least they could expect from the execution of such an embassy was, that, if *Herod* should refrain from putting them immediately to death, it would only be, in order to place such spies upon all their motions, as they could not escape from ; that so, when he had by this means detected their *infant king*, he might cut them *all* off together ; and thus bring all their crafty devices to a very speedy conclusion.

NOR is it less evident from the conduct of *Herod*, and the *Jewish council*, that the *Wise Men* in question really *were not* impostors ; than it is from the very nature

PART II.
Sect. 3.

ture of *that* enquiry they came to Jerusalem to make, that they *could not* be so.

CAN it be imagined, that a prince of *Herod's* penetration, policy, and passionate disposition, would suffer three or four persons, in the character of *Wise Men from the East*, to throw himself, and all Jerusalem with him, into suspense and astonishment; by daringly publishing even the actual birth of a *new King* of the Jews; and declaring they were come, by the guidance of heaven itself, to worship him; without immediately causing the very strictest enquiry to be made, into their true country and character? Can we suppose *Herod*, above all men, would suffer his very throne to be shaken under him; without satisfying himself in the completest manner, that these unlooked for, and daring *barbingers* of the *new King*, were not a set of impostors? Could so politic a prince, on any account whatever, himself add dignity to their characters, and authority to their declarations; by treating them with so much outward respect,

spect, as even to summon the *great Council* of the priests *, to give a solemn answer to their enquiry ; unless he had been thoroughly convinced, that they were in truth the very persons they pretended to be ?

PART II.
Sect. 3.

BEFORE he could resolve to follow so dangerous a course as this, which must unavoidably make the people in general so much more prone to tumults and revolts ; he would certainly have taken every step necessary, to detect so bold an imposition ; and would have condemned the impostors, had they proved such, to the most cruel and ignominious deaths. Nor can it be believed, that all the most eminent members of the Jewish priesthood should be summoned, even by *Herod himself*, to give their opinion upon the place of *Christ's*

T 3

birth,

* “ The chief priests were either those, who had enjoyed the dignity of the high priesthood, which was now become elective and temporary ; or the chiefs of the sacerdotal classes ; the heads of the courses of priests appointed by David. The scribes were the interpreters of the law, and the public teachers of the nation.” — Macknight, sect. 11. — See Lightfoot, vol. i. 438. &c.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

birth, on so unlooked for, and surprising an occasion; without enquiring, very curiously, into the true characters of those strangers, whose amazing declarations had been the *only* cause of *Herod's* calling them together.

BESIDES, from the cruel massacre of the infants, which *Herod* immediately proceeded to, upon the departure of the *Wise Men* from *Bethlehem*, without returning to him; it is evident, that his design in treating their enquiry with so much respect, was, merely to get the *young King* into his power; that he might then cut off him, and whoever appeared connected with him, at once. And this being unquestionably his real intention; had he not been most thoroughly convinced, that the *Wise Men* in question were *not* impostors; had there been but the least room for any suspicion about it; his *first* care would have been, to beset them with trusty persons, when he sent them to *Bethlehem*; who would have certainly secured *Them* and their *infant King*, as soon

as they pretended to have found him; and have brought them all back together to *Herod*, to be put to death at his pleasure. So that had not the *Wise Men*, whoever they really were, brought with them such clear credentials of the truth of their assumed characters and country, as freed them at once from the least suspicion of deceit; we may be sure they could *not* have escaped, either undetected, or unpunished.

PART II.
Sect. 3.

BUT further, had not such an attempt itself been far too dangerous for them to try; and had it been possible for them to have escaped; still it appears, that no impostors could have been sent on this occasion, with instructions to conduct themselves in *that* manner, in which the *Wise Men* before us are found to have done.

IT was now some time since the birth of their intended counterfeit king. It had fallen out likewise, in consequence of an edict of the Roman Emperor; that he had been born in a city, where *Joseph* and *Mary* had not, *otherwise*, any intention

PART II.
Sect. 3.

that he should *. Whence it plainly appears, that *They* at least knew nothing of any acknowledged opinion, universally known and agreed in, that *Bethlehem*, in particular, was *certainly* to be the *birth-place* of the *Messiah*. At the same time, Herod's assembling the great *Council* of the priests, upon this occasion, to give him

* That Joseph and Mary had not originally formed any design of their *own*, that Jesus should be born at *Bethlehem*, is evident, not only from the *unexpelled* occasion of their going thither, Augustus's decree for the taxing; but likewise from the other circumstances of his birth *there*. For it appears, that they did not come to Bethlehem till *so late*, that no room was to be had in the house; and *Mary* was actually delivered of *Jesus* in a *stable*. Whereas had Joseph and Mary been engaged in the plot supposed, and formed a *design* of having Jesus born at *Bethlehem*, in order to correspond with any received opinion of the birth place of the *Messiah*; they would unquestionably have taken care to have been at Bethlehem, especially upon the publication of *such* a decree, *time enough* to have secured some more convenient, and *safer* place for *Mary's* delivery, than the *stable* of an inn. They knew before hand how extremely full the town would necessarily be, upon this particular occasion; and as *Zacharias* had already performed *his public* part in the plot, and the further prosecution of their design so absolutely depended upon *Mary's safe* delivery; they would unquestionably have taken *this* requisite and obvious precaution, at least, in order to have secured it.

him their opinion, *where* the Messiah should be born; affords us another proof likewise, that this was a point, by no means universally known and *determined*, at the time, when the *Wise Men* in question appeared.

Now this point not being known to *Joseph* and his associates; nor so far determined, as to enable them to judge before-hand, with any tolerable degree of assurance, for *what* particular place *Herod's* answer would declare; it is clearly impossible, that they could send counterfeits to Jerusalem, *at this time*, to enquire of Herod, *where* the Messiah should be born?

FOR as to *Herod*; if he should choose to dissemble so far, as to return any answer to their enquiry; nothing less was to be expected, than that he would assemble the great *Council* of the priests, to determine the matter. And what must have been the consequence, if *Their* answer had fixed upon *any other* place, than *that* particular city, where, without the least view

PART II.
Sect. 3.

view to the plot supposed, *Jesus* had been already brought into the world? It is obvious, and must have been so to them, that the determination of this learned *Body* of the priests, whose opinions in all matters of religion were of the greatest weight and authority, would have been submitted to, as *decisive*, by the whole people; and all this artful long laid design of setting up the son of *Mary* for the *Messiah*, and the counterpart of the plot with regard to *John*, must at once have been effectually quashed, and entirely put an end to.

HAD it therefore been possible for any company of deceivers, engaged in a confederacy with *Zacharias* and *Joseph*, to have come to Jerusalem *at this time*, under the assumed characters of *Wise Men from the East*; which however we have seen it was not; certain it is their errand could not *now* have been, *to enquire of Herod himself, where the Messiah should be born?* But, to spread it abroad among the people in general, *where*

where he actually was born. And the same star, which they pretended had guided them from the East to Jerusalem; would, without any difficulty, have been made to conduct them soon after to *Bethlehem* likewise; and by this means have saved them from that dangerous application to Herod; as well as from the hazard of receiving any such determination of the priests, with regard to the *birth place* of the Messiah; as must necessarily have overthrown their long laid design, of setting up *Jesus* in his stead.

AND thus we have gone through the proposed examination, as well into the internal *nature* of the grand imposture in debate; as of several *particulars*, that occur in the course of the whole transaction; and which, if there was any deceit at all in the case, must have been so many steps deliberately taken by those who contrived, and carried it on. And while, on the one hand, the *design itself* has appeared, on every consideration,



PART II.
Sect. 3.

tion, most extravagantly *absurd*, and *impossible* to have been conceived or undertaken; on the other, the *conduct* of every person concerned has proved itself, in several particulars of the last importance to their success, directly *opposite* to what they must certainly have pursued, had they really been engaged in the prosecution of the *supposed* iniquitous deceit.



HERE therefore we may be allowed to repeat, upon the strength of *this* argument *only*; what has already been as fully proved, in the first part, by *another*; that the plot, which we have all along been supposing, could not possibly have any *real* existence. But on the contrary, that the revelations, and other miracles recorded, as having accompanied the *births* of *Zacharias's* and *Mary's* sons, prove themselves to have *really* come to pass: and consequently, that the *sacred* and *prophetic* characters, to which *John* and *Jesus* laid claim, must unquestionably have been their *true characters*, and in all respects *divine*.

T H E



T H E
D I V I N E M I S S I O N S
O F
J O H N the B A P T I S T
A N D
J E S U S C H R I S T.

P A R T I I I.

 F T E R having proved, in the PART III.
first place, from the circumstances 
and situation of *all* those, who
must have been the only contrivers of the
imposture in debate, that *They* could not
possibly have engaged in such a plot; in
the next, that the supposed plot itself is,
in its own nature, so manifestly *absurd*,
that it could never be entered into by *any*
person

PART III.

person whatever; and further, that *several* of the most important steps, in the course of these transactions, are *such* as could *not* have been taken, even if the plot itself could really have existed; certainly nothing more can be necessary to establish the *miraculous* conceptions and births, and consequently, the *divine* characters of *John the Baptist* and *Jesus Christ*.

BUT we have not yet produced all the evidence in support of these points, which the nature of the case will admit of, and the facts recorded in the gospels afford. And since, in a matter of such importance to the everlasting interests of mankind, as the truth and certainty of a particular divine revelation, no argument, which the case can furnish to illustrate the truth, should be suffered to lie neglected; let us now go on to the examination of some other particulars, which naturally present themselves after those already considered; and which will prove a very considerable illustration of the points already established.

SINCE

SINCE *John* and *Jesus* at length appeared together, in those *connected* characters, which it was prophetically asserted, at the time of their births, they were afterwards to assume; we may with good reason expect, that *their own* conduct, in all such particulars as any way affected *each other's* character and reputation; or could have any influence on the final success of their *joint* design; will afford us some very strong circumstantial evidence of the truth or falsehood of their pretensions.

WHEN any one has determined to attempt deceiving mankind, by counterfeiting some public character of a very extraordinary nature; it is incredible that he should begin to enter upon action, without first settling, at least all the *principal* parts of that conduct, which he judges most likely to secure him from detection. When *more* than one have agreed to prosecute *jointly* any such iniquitous design, it is equally obvious, that they cannot be supposed to appear on the
public

PART III.

public stage, without having first *jointly* settled the whole scheme of their conduct, and allotted to each his particular plan.

THE more important and difficult the characters are, which they intend to assume, and the better the people, whom they design to impose upon, are qualified for detecting them; the greater care they will necessarily take in adjusting their distinct parts, and contriving all the particulars of importance in their *public* behaviour. More especially, as nothing is so apt to cause an immediate suspicion of some concerted deceit, as an apparent connexion between such as lay claim to the characters of inspired messengers from God; it cannot be imagined that such deceivers, would determine to say or do any thing, which naturally tended to bring the credit of *Either* into question. On the contrary, it must readily be allowed, that they would exert the utmost care, to avoid doing any thing, but what might help to promote the reputation and suc-
cess

cesses of *Each Other*, in their joint under- PART III.
taking. }

SINCE therefore *John* and *Jesus* were so professedly connected together, that they reciprocally bore the most positive testimony to the divine character of each other; these considerations point out another method, in addition to those already made use of, for establishing the truth, or detecting the falsehood of their claims. For from hence it is plain, that if we will suppose them to have been impostors, we must allow their whole *public* conduct to have been concerted between them, before they proceeded to the actual execution of their plot. So that if it should appear, that in several particulars of their public management, they took such steps as they must naturally think would prevent their mutual success; and that, in some instances of their joint and relative behaviour, *Each* pursued a very likely and obvious method to destroy the *Other's*, and even his own reputation; this likewise must be allowed a very strong collateral proof,

U that

PART III. that they could not be deceivers. With characters so extremely difficult to support, as those laid claim to by *John* and *Jesus*; and before a people so well qualified, and so willing as the *Jews* were to detect them, had they been pretenders; we may peremptorily pronounce, that no impostors whatever could have adopted such a conduct as this.

THE evangelists indeed have recorded but few instances of any public intercourse between the *Baptist* and *Jesus*; and as few public declarations of Either, immediately relating to the Other. Perhaps because there were in reality few more of importance to mention; perhaps because they were fully satisfied with mentioning those they have; in addition to that abundant proof of *John's* divine character, contained in the *miraculous* circumstances of his birth. But few as the particulars of this kind, handed down to us, are; these, when it is considered, that on the supposition of an imposture, they must have been preconcerted

concerted between them; will add no little strength to our former conclusion; and place the certainty of the *divine* original of *John* and *Jesus*, even in a still stronger light than before.

PART III.



SECTION I.

PART III.
Sect. I.


THE conduct and characters of *John the Baptist* and *Jesus Christ*, were in no particular more remarkably distinct, than this; that whereas *Jesus* spent great part of his time in performing the most astonishing *miracles*, without number; the *Baptist*, we are expressly informed *, *never* attempted to work *any* miracle at all.

Now supposing them to have been joint impostors, *John* must have been as *able* to perform all *Jesus's* miracles, as *Jesus* himself was. For, on this supposition, how astonishing soever these works may appear to us, and plainly beyond the reach of all power less than the divine; to be consistent, we are obliged to confess, that at the bottom they could not be any thing more, than mere tricks and delusions. It is clearly impossible likewise, for two deceivers to have agreed together

* *John's Gosp.* x. 41.

gether upon so hazardous a design, without laying open to each other all the wiles and artifices each was master of, and by the help of which *only* they could hope to carry it on.

PART III.
Sect. I.



IF then they were deceivers, it must be allowed, that *John* knew how to work as many, and as great apparent miracles as *Jesus* himself did. And consequently we must believe, that *his* never attempting to perform any, was in truth owing to a private agreement, previously made between them; from a persuasion, that this artifice would conduce most to the success of their joint design.

Is it then credible *John* and *Jesus* could imagine, that the success of their plot would have been at all obstructed, should *John* have performed any of these astonishing works? At first sight it seems evident, that deceivers, who were determined to attempt so difficult and unpromising an imposture, would gladly have made use of *every* expedient, that could gain the good opinion of those they want-

PART III.
Sect I.

ed to deceive. And since the peculiar part *John* undertook, was to prepare the people for the reception of *Jesus*; by assuring them of *his* divine authority, and prepossessing them, as much as possible, in *his* favour; what more natural, than for *John* himself to have exerted some of these extraordinary powers; the more effectually to gain credit to his own prophetic character; and consequently, to all his declarations, concerning his *Associate*, who was so soon to appear?

IF they thought it necessary for procuring *Jesus's* success, to send a messenger before him, to proclaim his speedy arrival, and prepare the Jews to receive him, when he should come; they must unquestionably have been desirous to furnish this pretended *divine herald*, with the best credentials in their power; that his embassy might be attended with the wished for effect. Nor could they think, that *any other* expedient would be so eminently serviceable for this end, as the performance of some such seemingly *miraculous*

culous works, as we have just now seen, *John* must have been *able* to perform. Besides that great attention and reverence, which works of this kind were sure to excite, on their own account alone; they were what several of the *Old Prophets* had occasionally performed; and in particular, that very prophet * *Elijah*, in whose spirit and power *Zacharias* had explicitly foretold, that *John* would go before the Lord.

THIS therefore would have been so far from inconsistent with that character, in which *John* himself was to appear; that it must have seemed highly probable to *Jesus* and *Himself*, if impostors, that the people might expect something of this kind at his hands. And whether they should require this or not; thus much was evident and certain; that nothing else could contribute so effectually to *John's* reception as a *true* prophet; and consequently to the establishment of *Jesus's* character, by *his* means.

U 4

SINCE

* 1 Kings, ch. xvii. and xviii. 2 Kings, ch. i. and ii.

PART III.
Sect. I.

SINCE then *John* and *Jesus* could not but be sensible, that the performance of some seemingly great *miracles* would be highly instrumental in securing *John's* success, in his preparatory part of the plot; their previous agreement, that, notwithstanding this, *John* should abstain *entirely* from making use of them; must have arisen from some apprehension, that if he did not, this would interfere with *that* part, which *Jesus* himself was soon after to act; and thus, in the end, obstruct the success of the whole undertaking.

FROM what then could such an apprehension arise? No other assignable foundation for it occurs, than a doubt, whether, if *John* should work wonders as well as *Jesus*, the people might not be so far prejudiced in favour of *John*; especially as He was to appear *first* before them; as to believe, for the most part, that *John* himself was the *Messiah*; and therefore pay little regard to *Jesus*, when *he* came. Or, at least, that they might be so far kept in suspense between the Two, as never to give their

their hearty assent to Either ; and by this means effectually prevent the final success of Both.

PART III.
Sect. I.

BUT these apprehensions, however plausible at first sight, could not possibly have any real existence. Had two such deceivers indeed started up, at the same time, *without* any secret connection ; *They* might have had some reason to apprehend the worst of these inconveniencies, unless they presently agreed to act in concert, and support each other. But as it is certain, that if *John* and *Jesus* were deceivers, they must from the beginning have concerted every step they took, together ; so we may be assured, that *They* could not be influenced by any such apprehensions as these.

THE more satisfactory proofs *John* could give of his *own* inspiration ; the more effectually must *his* testimony have established the belief of *Jesus's* divine mission ; and not of his divine mission only, but the precise nature, and design, of his *particular office*. Whatever influence *John's* autho-


PART III.
Sect. I.

authority might have, in determining the opinion of the people, in *one* of these points; the same, it was to be expected it would have, in the *other*. As *John's* declarations could have no weight with the Jews, in either of these particulars, but in consequence of their being persuaded, that *he* was commissioned, *from above*, to make known to them *whatever* he declared; it was natural to think, that his declarations would have the same weight, in *both* these points, as in *either*. So that if *John* and *Jesus* were agreed in opinion, that it would be serviceable to their joint design, for *John* to appear as a divine messenger, before the arrival of *Jesus*; and to bear witness to Him at all; and had not this been the case *John* could not have appeared; they must, for the same reason, have thought it best, for *John* to support his own divine character by *every* artifice in his power; and particularly, by *this* of performing great apparent *miracles*, in preference to every other.

HAD

HAD they even conceived the least suspicion of any such ill consequence from this conduct, as was just now suggested; nothing would have been easier, than to prevent their mutual credit from interfering, by this means, in the least with each other. What more obvious, than that they would have agreed, that *John* should perform many works apparently miraculous; but that the *most* astonishing of all those they were able to contrive; such as seeming to give sight to those who had been *born blind*, and raising the *dead*; should be inviolably reserved for *Jesus's* hand *alone*. That *John*, in the meantime, should on all these occasions inculcate upon the people, the great superiority of power with which they would shortly see the *Messiah himself* endowed; and press this upon them, as the infallible *criterion* of that superior divine character, which, he was to teach them, belonged to *Jesus* alone. This would have been so *natural* an expedient, that they could not overlook it; and, at the same time, so extremely

PART III.
Sect. 1.




tremely serviceable to their cause, that it is inconceivable they should decline making use of it.

AND besides this obvious and easy restriction, with regard to the *nature* of the works themselves; various expedients would have been hit on, by men of such subtilty and contrivance, as these must have been; to render *Jobn's* miracles far less striking, than those even of the same kind, worked by *Jesus himself*; merely by means of their different *manners* of performing them.

IN some instances we find *Jesus* himself making use of apparent, though not adequate means to accomplish his works; in others, not having recourse to any. Sometimes he required certain qualifications in the persons themselves, for whom he was about to work them; as necessary assistances for the success of his own endeavours: at others he peremptorily pronounced the word, and the work was performed. Some he chose to compleat in an instant; others were accomplished

plished but by degrees. Upon some occasions he openly implored assistance from heaven, as if it was God alone, who really performed every thing he himself seemed to do; on others he thought fit to act, as if he himself was actually endowed with the fulness of divine power.

PART III.
Sect. I.



IT is not only a *probable supposition* therefore, that many different methods of working the same kind of miracles *would* have occurred to *John* and *Jesus*, at the settling of their respective parts, in the execution of the plot; but it is an undeniable *matter of fact*, that they actually *must*. It is evident likewise, that though every work conceived to be miraculous necessarily implies the exertion of *divine* power; yet some miracles, in the *nature* of the things themselves, may be far more astonishing than others; and even the same kind of miracles may be performed by different persons, in a *manner* so unequally calculated to surprise; as to make the spectators naturally conceive far more exalted notions of the One, than the Other.

So

PART III.
Sect. 1.

So that, by taking in the performance of apparent *miracles* to his aid, but confining himself, all the while, to those of the least stupendous and astonishing nature, and working even these in the most diffident and humble manner; *John* might with much greater assurance have expected to establish his own credit, and prepare the people for acknowledging the divine mission of *Jesus*; than he could hope to accomplish these ends *without them*. And the miracles, which *John* might have performed under these obvious restrictions, would have been so far from involving the Jews in doubt and perplexity, about the difference between the character of *Jesus*, and *His own*; that his repeated declarations of himself, as being *only the Messiah's Forerunner*; and of *Jesus* as being the *true Messiah*; strengthened by *Jesus's* more astonishing works, and superior all-powerful manner of performing them; would have induced the Jews to acquiesce with greater readiness, and certainty, in Their *distinct* pretensions.

For

For as *John's* divine authority would, by *this means*, have been more assuredly established; so it was to be expected, that his peremptory, explicit declarations of *Jesus's* peculiar character, as well as *his own*, would be more implicitly believed.

How then can it be conceived, that *They*; who, if impostors, were masters of so compleat an art of working wonders, as no other impostors ever possessed; and who depended ultimately for success, upon the use they should make of these wonderous works, more than any thing else; as *Jesus* himself often declared; how can it be believed *They* could agree, that *John* should entirely abstain from making the least use of them, when they would have been so immediately capable of doing the greatest service to the cause? Nothing less than the most evident *danger* resulting from them, could persuade an impostor to forego the pleasure of putting in practice so exquisite an art; and in the case before us, instead of any ill consequence to fear from it, there was a very evident,

PART III.
Sect. I.



PART III.
Sect. 1.

evident, and strong reason for *John's* making use of it. The benefit to be expected from it, was so *great*, that they must both have been exceedingly desirous to make *John's* application of it subservient to the rest of their plot; and the expedients, by which this might have been done, were so *obvious* and *easy*, that they could not escape their observation.

HAD *John* and *Jesus* been impostors, we should therefore undoubtedly have received accounts of *many miracles* performed by *John*; though neither so numerous, nor astonishing as those of *Jesus* himself. And had this ever caused the Jews to enquire; in a manner similar to what they did upon another occasion; why He performed these miracles, if he was not the *Messiah*? His answer was ready, and would have been of singular service to the whole joint undertaking—I indeed (he would have said) do perform those miraculous works, which have excited your admiration, and caused this enquiry; but there standeth one among you, whom ye know

know not; *He* it is, who will perform much *greater* works before you, than I am able to do. But these works, that I do, they are abundantly sufficient to convince you of *my own* divine authority; and consequently to satisfy you, that *He alone*, whom I have so often pointed out to you as such, is the *true Messiah*; as well as that *I myself* am his immediate *Fore-runner*.

PART III.
Sect. I.
~~~~~



## SECTION II.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.  
w

**I**F *John* and *Jesus* were joint deceivers, it is certain, not only from the nature of their design itself, but likewise from those very distinct, and remarkable kinds of life, they Each adopted; that they did not begin to shew themselves to the people, without having first deliberately agreed to assume *such* particular characters, as appeared to them best calculated for promoting their plot. For besides, that this was a matter of such importance as they could not neglect; the *characters*, they actually appeared in, were so *extraordinary* in themselves, and so directly *opposite* to each other, that they could not proceed from any thing but a preconcerted *design*.

FROM the very beginning *John* practised all imaginable austerity; making his first public appearance in a covering \* of camels hair, tied with a leathern girdle; living with the most singular abstemiousness,

\* Matthew iii. 4.

ness, upon locusts and wild honey; and excluding himself, in great measure, from all human society. Nor did he himself only most rigorously adhere to all the religious rites and ordinances, practiced by the severest sect among the Jews, the Pharisees; but he obliged all his own disciples, who associated at all with him, to do the same. Whence the Pharisees themselves put the question to *Jesus*; *why do \* the disciples of John fast often, and make prayers, and likewise the disciples of the Pharisees; but thine eat and drink?* Such was the *solitary* and *mortified* life of *John*, from the beginning of his public appearance in the character of the *Baptist*.

JESUS, on the contrary, was the very reverse of all this. He assumed a character, not only void of all severity and restraint, but spent his whole time in a most *uncommon* manner, in seeking the society of, and conversing familiarly with all ranks and orders of the people. And so far was he from complying with the super-

X 2

stitious

\* Luke v. 33.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

stitious ceremonial of any of the prevailing sects, but more especially the rigid Pharisees; or exhorting his disciples to conform in the least, to them; that, on all occasions, he himself constantly broke through them, and both publicly and privately inveighed against them. Society was what he sought above all things; even with the most despised sort of men, and such as lay under a general reproach: and with these, and all others, he eat, and drank, and conversed, just as opportunities offered, without the least reservedness or restraint. From his very first appearance in the character of the *Messiah*, he bid adieu, not only to retirement, but even to domestic life; and might be said to live *perpetually* in the public view of mankind. So that solitude and austerity did not more remarkably distinguish the character of *John*; than social intercourse with all ranks of men, and a ready compliance with all their various indifferent customs, may be said to mark out the peculiar conduct of *Jesus*.

Now

Now it is plainly impossible for two *connected* deceivers, to have resolved on two such *singular* and *opposite* characters as these; and more especially upon one so severe and disagreeable as that of the *Baptist*; unless they thought them indispensibly necessary for the accomplishment of their designs, and for that very reason pitched upon them. It is evident likewise, that whatever other considerations might contribute to determine their choice; the *first* and *principal* design, which they could never lose sight of, must have been, to single out such a character for Each, as would appear to correspond with, and fulfill, those prophetic revelations concerning them, which had been published by *Zacharias* and *Mary*, about the time of their births. While we suppose them to have been impostors, these predictions, as we have already seen, must be acknowledged as the beginning and foundation of the whole plot. So that they were now under an absolute necessity of paying the strictest regard to *them*;

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

and could neither forget nor neglect to do it.

IF then *either* of those singular characters, which we find they actually adopted, and especially that of *Jesus*, should prove, upon consideration, in any striking and capital particulars, wholly inconsistent with, and even *contradictory* to, what they themselves must know to be the commonly received sense of those predictions, which had been at first made public concerning them; this again must be allowed another very strong presumptive argument, and indeed a *conclusive* one, that they could *not* be impostors.

ZACHARIAS'S prophetic declaration concerning *Jesus*, at the time of John's circumcision, was as follows. *Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, for he hath visited and redeemed his people, and hath raised up an horn of salvation for us, in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouths of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began: that we should be saved from our enemies, and from the hand*



band of all that hate us: to perform the mercy promised to our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant: the oath which he swore to our father Abraham; that he would grant unto us, that we being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, might serve him without fear; in holiness and righteousness before him all the days of our life\*.

AGREEABLE to this, but more full and express, was the revelation of the *Angel to and Mary*. And behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and shalt bring forth a son, and shalt call his name *Jesus*. He shall be great, and shall be called the son of the Highest; and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father *David*. And he shall reign over the house of *Jacob* forever, and of his kingdom there shall be no end †.

IN what sense the Jews at this time interpreted the predictions of the *Holy Prophets*, mentioned by *Zacharias*, and God's promises to *Abraham*, is well known. In

X 4

the

\* Luke i. 68, — 75.

† Luke i. 30, — 33.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

the person of the *Messiah*, they universally expected a *temporal prince*; who should deliver them out of the hands of their enemies, and raise them to an universal monarchy, which could never be overturned \*. And since the prophecies concerning *Jesus*, just now quoted, were plainly such as coincided with this general opinion; and *Zacharias* and *Mary*, who made them public, could not but know, that they would be universally understood in *this* sense, and no other; if they were forgeries, their design in them must necessarily have been, that *Jesus* should assume such a character, as the predictions they delivered, would be universally understood to foretell. For the same reason, when *Jesus himself* came afterwards to deliberate upon a plan for his own public conduct; he must have been clearly convinced, that no behaviour whatever would

\* This is abundantly evident, not only from the behaviour of the people in general to *Jesus*, but even of his *disciples* themselves, in a variety of instances throughout the gospels. See likewise Lardner's *Cred. &c. b. i. ch. 5.*

would be looked upon as agreeable to those prophecies, which he knew it was absolutely incumbent upon him to fulfill; unless he plainly intimated a design of setting himself up, at some proper opportunity, for their *temporal King*.

WAS *that* remarkable kind of life, then, that *Jesus* actually adopted, *such* as might probably induce the Jews to conclude, he entertained *this* design; or did he ever make any particular declarations to promote this belief? On the contrary, his constant and familiar intercourse, not only with the lowest orders of the people, but with the *Publicans* more especially, who were looked upon as infamous by the Jews; was a principal part of his conduct, plainly calculated to deprive him of all outward respect and honour; and to *prevent* the Jews, as much as possible, from entertaining any exalted notions about him.

AT the same time, it appears, he never threw out any such crafty insinuations, as an *impostor*, in this case, would not have failed

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

failed to do; calculated to lead the people on with an opinion, that he was *not without* such designs, as *they* believed the *Messiah* would certainly put in practice; though the proper season for their execution was not yet arrived. Nay when, after having miraculously fed a great multitude, he saw they began to be persuaded, that he must be the *Messiah*; and for that reason were proposing to set him up immediately for their *King*; he *industriously* prevented it, by dispersing them; and to avoid any further attempts of the same kind, immediately left the place \*. And at length, even when his death was just approaching, he solemnly closed the scene with an explicit declaration to *Pilate*, that he laid no claim to any *earthly kingdom* †.


COULD any conduct have been more *opposite* than this, to the sense, in which *he well knew* the Jews must have understood those remarkable prophecies of his life and actions, which had been made public

\* John vi. 15, &amp;c.

† John xviii. 36

public by *Zacharias* and *Mary*; as well as to their universal opinion of *that* conduct, which the *Messiah* was to pursue? From beginning to end, it is evident, he persisted in a regular *opposition* to their inveterate opinions of *both*; and yet never attempted to convince them, or even so much as insinuated to them, that they were at all mistaken in their interpretation of *either*.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.



BUT perhaps a suspicion may here arise, that because these prophecies had been given out no less than near *thirty years*, before *Jesus* began to appear in public; therefore he might on this account determine, that there was no necessity to pay any regard to them; as predictions long since forgotten, and of no concern. Or perhaps, it may possibly be objected, *Jesus* might think the knowledge of them was confined within so narrow a circle, that on this account likewise they were not worthy of his regard.


HERE it is obvious to remark, the truth of which we have seen long ago,  
that

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

that all the surprizing events said to have accompanied *Jesus's* conception, his birth, his presentation in the temple, and especially his being sought after by the *Wise Men*; must certainly have been known, at the time, to very great numbers of the Jews. It is likewise as confessedly obvious, that *John* and *Jesus* could not but expect the memory of all these particulars to be revived; as soon as their claims to the divine characters they assumed, should become an object of the public attention. And as to the prophecies, in particular, which had been at first made public about them; the number of years which had elapsed, since the time when they were given out, was a circumstance so far from making it the less desirable to fulfill them; that, on the contrary, it was the most fortunate circumstance, that could attend them. Such a one indeed, as any impostors, in their case, must have wished for, if it had not existed. For the longer it had been since such prophecies were delivered, before the time for their accomplishment arrived;

rived ; the greater certainly must their *authority* be ; and consequently the more desirous an impostor must have been to fulfill them.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.



BUT besides all these considerations, the character assumed by the *Baptist* will very clearly convince us, that, in fact, *Jesus* and *He* did not look upon it as *unnecessary* for them to do *all* in their power, to fulfill the prophecies in question.

IT is not now to be proved, that if *John* and *Jesus* were deceivers, their public conduct and characters must in the beginning have been debated and agreed on, between them *Both*. And had they been of opinion, for any reasons whatever, that it was *not* necessary for *Jesus* *himself* to pay any regard to those prophecies, which had been so long before spread abroad concerning him ; for the same reasons, they would have determined it to be *unnecessary* for *John* likewise ; especially as *he* had but the inferior part to act.

IT

PART III.  
Sect. 2.



IT must be observed too, at the same time, that *John's* whole character consisted in the practice of so much apparent sanctity, at least, and *real* <sup>\</sup> *severe* mortification, as it is incredible any impostor would have consented to undergo, unless he thought it absolutely *requisite* for the success of his undertaking. Yet *John*, we find, adhered *minutely* to *these* prophecies, which had been given out by *Zacharias* concerning him: nay, he even exceeded what could have been expected from him, on their account, in the severity of his life and manners.

IT had been foretold, that he should go before the Lord in the spirit and power of *Elias*: and this he so particularly fulfilled, as even to appear like *Elias*, in his very garb itself \*. It had been said, that he

\* As appears from Matthew iii. 4. *And the same John had his raiment of camels hair, and a leathern girdle about his loins; when compared with 2 Kings, i. 7, 8. — And he, Ahaziah, said unto them, what manner of man was he, which came to meet you, and told you these words? And they answered him, he was an hairy man, and girt with a girdle of leather about his loins. And he said, it is Elias*



he should drink neither wine nor strong drink: and he lived in the desert upon locusts and wild honey\*: and preaching the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins, was, as it had been foretold †, the constant employment of his public life. So that while Jesus, as we have seen already, was acting in *direct opposition* to the received opinion of all the prophecies, which had been given out concerning *him*; and this, in those parts of his conduct, which must be expected to have the greatest influence upon his success: John was practicing without interruption the *most severe* mortifications; *merely* to fulfill those predictions, which had been originally made public concerning *him*.

BUT what principles can possibly explain, or reconcile, so opposite a conduct  
as

*Elijah the Tisbite.* John's garment of camels hair was probably the sackcloth with which penitents and mourners used to cover their loins, and sometimes their whole bodies; 1 Chron. xxi. 16. — Macknight's Harm. sect. 14. — See Hamm. on Matthew iii.

\* Compare Luke i. 15. with Matt. iii. 4. and Mark i. 6.

† Compare Luke iii. 3, &c. with Luke i. 76, 77.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

as this ; in two impostors *jointly* embarked in the prosecution of *one and the same* design ? The adapting of their characters to the prophecies in question, was evidently a particular, which *all* impostors must have regarded, as of the utmost importance to their future success. It was likewise a point in which the success of *Both* was *equally* concerned, with this difference only ; that as the issue of the whole undertaking depended finally upon the reception, which *Jesus himself* might be able to procure ; so the failure of *Jesus*, in this most important particular, would have been more solicitously guarded against by all impostors, than that of *John*. So that had *John* and *Jesus* been impostors, we may rest fully satisfied, that *Both* of them would have contrived the characters they assumed, in such a manner as to answer the prophecies in question ; or, had *this* been possible, *Neither*. And even could the nature of the case have permitted, that *One* of them might neglect this precaution, while they thought it was absolutely  
ne-

necessary for the *Other* to observe it; it must certainly have been *John*, who was left at liberty to choose, instead of *Jesus*.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

THE conduct of *Jesus* therefore, in regard to this important particular; when considered, as the nature of the case necessarily requires it should be, in conjunction with that of *John*; appears absolutely irreconcilable with the supposition of their joint imposture; and this inconsistency in their different characters, when viewed in its proper light, affords us another decisive argument, that they could not possibly be deceivers.

BUT might they not entertain hopes of succeeding more universally, it may be said, with *all* ranks and orders among the *Jews*; by means of those contrary characters, which they assumed? The Jewish people being divided, as it were, into separate bodies; of which the leading sects were very exact and rigorous in their observance of all fastings, and numberless external rites of religion; while the rest paid much less regard to these rigid institutions;

PART III.  
Sect. 2.



tutions; it may possibly be conceived, that *John* and *Jesus* purposely assumed those opposite characters in which they appeared, in order the more effectually to ingratiate themselves with *all* sects and persuasions. Perhaps it may even be thought, that *Jesus himself* seems to have betrayed some such design, in this particular exclamation. *Whereunto shall I liken this generation? It is like unto children sitting in the markets, and calling unto their fellows, and saying; we have piped unto you, and ye have not danced; we have mourned unto you, and ye have not lamented. — For John came neither eating, nor drinking, and they say he hath a devil. The son of man came eating and drinking; and they say, behold a man gluttonous, and a wine-bibber, a friend of publicans, and sinners: but wisdom is justified of all her children\*.*

Now had this been their view, it will not be denied, that it must have been *John's* intended part, to apply himself assiduously

\* Matthew xi. 16 — 19.

fiduously to gaining over the *Pharisees*, and other powerful sects, by means of his conformity to their favourite superstitions: while *Jesus* was to win upon the *people* in general, by his neglect of such rigid formalities, and unreserved condescension. In the mean time, it must have been a constant and principal part of the care of *Both*, to avoid every thing, that had the least apparent tendency to interfere with, and obstruct the success of *Each Other*.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

DOES it then in fact appear, that *John* did apply himself more especially to procure the favour and protection of the most powerful and rigid *Sects*? Quite the contrary. He had all the opportunity for attempting it he could ever hope for. He no sooner began to preach and baptize, than the *Pharisees* and *Saducees*, struck with the novelty of his appearance and manner, came in great numbers, with the rest of the people, about him. Did he then receive these haughty guests with any peculiar marks of reverence and respect?

PART III.  
Sect. 2.


Did he do, or even say any thing, on this wished for occasion, that might flatter *their* pride, and dispose them to entertain a favourable opinion of him and his pretensions?

ON the contrary, he began immediately to rebuke *them*, and *even Them in particular*, with the greatest severity, in the presence of the whole multitude; as it were declaring war against them, and openly setting them at defiance. *Then went out to him* (John the Baptist) *Jerusalem, and all Judea, and all the region round about Jordan, and were baptized of him in Jordan, confessing their sins. But when he saw many of the PHARISEES and SADDUCEES come to his baptism, he said unto THEM; O generation of vipers, who hath warned YOU to flee from the wrath to come \*?* Nothing could be more opposite, than this conduct, to the design just supposed; nor was any thing else to be expected from it, but what actually happened;

\* Matthew iii. 5, 6, 7.

pened \* ; that while the *people*, and the publicans submitted chearfully to his baptism, the *Pharisees* and *rulers* almost universally rejected and opposed it.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.



IN the mean time, so far was *Jesus* from being cautious of giving offence to the leading sects ; that he might not obstruct the *Baptist*, in his endeavours to gain them ; that *He* likewise seized every opportunity of exposing even their pretended virtues, as well as apparent vices : and as his own conduct was in every respect diametrically opposite to theirs ; so he was continually pointing *them* out to the people, as the proper objects of their averfion and contempt. Nor was this behaviour, either in *John* or *Jesus*, the sudden effect of unguarded passion, or surprife ; but their cool, constant, and deliberate choice. So that, as nothing could be more inconsistent with *that* conduct they would certainly have observed, had they agreed on their respective cha-

Y 3

acters

\* Luke vii. 29, 30.

PART III.  
Sect. 2.

characters with the design just suggested; and as *this* design is the only one capable of reconciling their characters to the supposition of any imposture at all; we must necessarily confess, that the peculiar conduct both of *Jesus* and of *John* \*, when  
con-

\* Had the opposite characters and conduct of *John* and *Jesus*, with regard to all the religious rites and ceremonies, which were observed by the different sects of the Jews, been owing to any private agreement between them; as it *must* have been if they were deceivers; this at least we might certainly depend on, that *Each* would have taken care to prevent *his own* disciples from publicly raising objections, on this account, to the *Other*. But, on the contrary, we find, that when *Jesus* was dining with *Levi*, and a great number were present; then came to him the disciples of *John*, saying, why do we and the pharisees fast oft; and thy disciples fast not? Matt. ix. 14. and from Mark ii. 18. it appears, that they joined with the *Pharisees* in raising this particular objection to *Jesus's* conduct. Whereas had *Jesus* and *John* been associates, it was impossible, that *Either* should have been so negligent of their common success; as to suffer his own disciples, for want of proper information, to join with their *common enemies* in raising objections to the credit of the *Other*. For there is not the least room to suppose, that this might be an artful expedient designed to make the *Pharisees* better pleased with *John*; since we have seen, that the whole conduct of *John* as well as *Jesus*, on every important occasion, was such as they knew would procure them the *Pharisees's* utter hatred and aversion.



considered separately in its own nature, and jointly in their relation to each other; affords us one of the strongest collateral proofs, we can possibly desire, that *They* could not be engaged in the prosecution of a *deceit*.

PART III,  
Sect. 2.



## SECTION III.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.

**T**HE *only* design *John* and *Jesus* could possibly be engaged in, supposing there could be any plot in the case, was a deep laid design of counterfeiting the two last prophets the Jews ever expected to behold: and one of whom especially, was to be by far the most accomplished, in all prophetic endowments, of any they had ever seen. And had *They* really undertaken such an attempt as this; nothing can be more obvious, than that it would have been one constant, and chief object of their care; *not to foretell* any thing, and, more especially, any thing relating to *Each Other*; by which their prophetic knowledge might possibly be brought into question.

THIS was manifestly a point of such importance, as they could not but very carefully attend to, from the very first entrance upon the execution of their design. If they were desirous of seeming to *fore-*  
*tell*

*tell* any thing concerning Each Other, as by divine inspiration ; in order the more effectually to support the delusion ; they must first privately have agreed upon some particular actions, which the One could safely undertake to perform ; and the Other might therefore securely venture to foretell. To have hazarded any *prediction*, without this obvious and necessary precaution ; would have been contriving the surest method of exposing their want of all real inspiration, and laying open their imposture to the public view.

SHOULD it appear then, that *John* actually foretold of *Jesus*, upon a very remarkable occasion, and as an infallible token whereby to distinguish *him* for the *true Messiah* ; that *Jesus* would introduce a certain most remarkable kind of religious rite ; totally different from what *John* himself made use of for the same purpose : and should it likewise be found, that *Jesus*, during his whole life, never so much as attempted to put in practice any such rite ; nor ever alledged any reason for declining



PART III.  
Sect. 3.

clining it; nay, nor ever made the least mention of it: this surely must be acknowledged as another convincing proof, that *John* and *Jesus* could *not* be the impostors supposed.

WHEN *John* made his first public appearance in the country round about Jordan, preaching the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins; the whole multitude, we find, came forth to be baptized of him, and to learn from him the means of salvation \*. *And* †, at this time, *as the people were in expectation, and all men mused in their hearts of John, whether he were the Christ or not; John answered, saying unto them all;—I indeed baptize ‡ you with water; but one mightier than I cometh, the latchet of whose shoes I am not worthy to unloose:*

HE SHALL BAPTIZE

\* Matthew iii. 1, &c. Mark i. 3, &c. Luke iii. 2—14.

† Luke iii. 15, 16. Compare Matt iii. 11. Mark i. 7, 8. And John i. 33.

‡ For the nature and end of John's Baptism, see Lightfoot, vol. ii, p. 121. &c. See also Macknight's, sect. 14. note.

TIZE YOU WITH THE HOLY GHOST AND WITH FIRE. And soon after this prediction, when *Jesus* was come to *John*, and like the rest of the people had been baptized \* by him; to prevent the possibility of any doubt, about *his* being the person, whose institution of this unheard of kind of baptism, *John* had particularly pointed out; in order that they might know *Him* by this sign to be the true *Messiah*; *John* bare witness of him, and cried, saying; *this was he, of whom I spake, he that cometh after me is preferred before me; for he was before me †.*

NOTHING could be more remarkable, on its own account, than the particular kind of baptism here foretold; nor could any occasion be of greater importance, than that on which this prophecy was delivered. *John* had just begun to baptize, in order to prepare the people for giving *Jesus's* high pretensions a more favourable reception; when, contrary to his views, they

\* Matthew iii. 13—17.

† John i. 15. and i. 26—34.

PART III. they began already to imagine, that *John*  
 Sect. 3. *himself* might be the *Messiah*.

SUCH a persuasion, should it have continued, must unavoidably have broke in upon their plan, and prevented the success of the whole design. Since *John*, who first set out as the *Messiah's Forerunner*, could not assume the character of the *Messiah himself*; how willing soever the people might be to give it him; without effectually proving himself an impostor, in so barefaced a manner, as could not long escape the notice of any intelligent observer.

IT was therefore of the utmost importance to prevent this opinion from gaining ground. And to shew how sensible *John* himself was of the necessity of doing it, in the most effectual method; we find him solemnly declaring to all that came to him; that though *he did indeed baptize with water*, he was *not the Messiah*; but that *one mightier than him*, who was indeed the *Messiah*, would very speedily appear. At the same time, to give them the lowest comparative opinion of his own character,

ter, and the most exalted one of *Jesus*; he tells them, he himself was so far from being the *Messiah*, that he was not even worthy to unloose the very latchet of his shoes.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.

IT was impossible to set the superior excellence and dignity of *Jesus's* character above *his own*, in a stronger light. Yet left his own positive denial of the high office, they were inclined to ascribe to him, should not be sufficient to put an end to their doubts; he proceeds likewise to give them a *sign*, by which they might distinguish the *Messiah*, not from himself only, but from every pretender to his character; and by which they would shortly be convinced, that *Jesus* was *he*. *I indeed*, says he, *baptize you with water*; a ceremony, which you yourselves have long since adopted, in the admission of profelytes into your own religion \*: thereby declaring myself a real prophet, commissioned from on high. But the *Messiah* himself, when the proper time arrives, will baptize you in a manner totally different from

all

\* See Lightfoot, ii. 121, &c. Lewis, Antiq. Heb. b. iv. ch. 2.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.

all that have gone before him ; namely, *with the Holy Ghost, and with fire.*

THE only effect, which this remarkable prophecy could have, upon those to whom it was delivered, was, to make them believe, that the *true Messiah* would, at some time or other, institute such a kind of baptism, as this prophecy plainly described: and consequently, to make them reject every pretender to this character, and *Jesus* among the rest, if *He* should not. And as this was the *only possible* effect it could be attended with, it must have been the only one, which *John* himself could wish, or intend it to have.

IF therefore *John* was an impostor, he could not have foretold this remarkable particular of his *Associate*, in the deceit supposed ; unless it had been before agreed on between them ; and the manner, in which *Jesus* was to perform it, had been already determined. And had *John* delivered this prophecy in consequence of such a previous agreement, *Jesus* could not afterwards have neglected to fulfill it,  
when



when at length *He* appeared. It is evident, this would have been purposely betraying their plot, at their very entrance upon it. For how could *John* hope to maintain the reputation of a prophet, when *Jesus's* conduct should appear plainly to falsify his most positive, and explicit predictions concerning him? Or if *John's* established credit should, notwithstanding, be able to keep its ground; what could possibly save *Jesus* from being rejected, as a convicted impostor?

JOHN had not only assured the people, that the *true Messiah* would baptize, with the *Holy Ghost* and with *fire*; but likewise, that *Jesus* was *that very Messiah* of whom he spoke. And yet *Jesus*, from his first public appearance to his death, never signified so much as even an intention of introducing *this* new kind of baptism among them \*. Had the people reflected upon

\* Perhaps it may not be improper to observe, that the completion of this prophecy on the day of Pentecost, can be no objection, to what has been said; since *this* accomplishment of it happened not till after *Jesus's*

PART III.

Sect. 3.

upon this apparent contradiction, (and what more likely to have happened?) it would alone have been sufficient to make them reject the pretensions of *Both* to all divine inspiration; if they had indeed been *deceivers*; because then they could have had

Jesus's *death*: whereas John's prophecy relating to it, was so expressed, and delivered on such an occasion, that he must think the people would understand him to mean, a particular kind of baptism, which Jesus would introduce during his life. Besides, nothing can be more absurd and ridiculous, than it would be to suppose *impostors* foretelling of one another, something that they would do *after their death*; in order to promote the success of their plots, while they were *alive*.

Neither can it be any objection to the force of this argument, that the true meaning of the prophecy itself is far from being so certain, as we would seem to make it. For, with regard to the present point, the question is not, whether learned men have given the prophecy different interpretations, many ages since it was delivered; but what sense *John* himself must have believed the *people* would understand it in, when he delivered it. This *alone* was what an *impostor* would have attended to, and could not but attend to; because upon *this* must depend the future opinion of the people, whether it was really fulfilled or not. And the slightest consideration of the prophecy itself, and the occasion on which it was delivered, shews at once, that the *Jesus*, who heard John pronounce it, could not but understand it in that sense, in which it has here been represented. As indeed, that this was its true sense, appears undeniably, from what Jesus said to his disciples after his resurrection, Acts i. 4, 5.

had no means whatever of reconciling the prediction, to the *want* of its accomplishment. PART III.  
Sect. 3.

UNLESS therefore we can believe, that two impostors, who set out upon a *joint* undertaking; the very being and success of which necessarily depended upon their mutually supporting the credit of *Each Other*; should agree to begin their parts in such a manner, as was evidently calculated to destroy the credit of *Both*; this single prophecy of the *Baptist's*, when considered jointly with the conduct of *Jesus*, must alone be sufficient to shew, that *John* and *Jesus* could not possibly be deceivers.

NOR was this all. *John* not only seemed plainly to foretell, that *Jesus* would institute a *new*, and very extraordinary kind of baptism, which *Jesus*, during his life on earth, never did; but he likewise expressed himself so as to leave it highly probable, the people would understand him to mean, that *Jesus* would *not* make use of the same baptism, he himself


Z had

PART III. had adopted ; which notwithstanding *Je-*  
 Sect. 3. *sus* soon after did.

WHEN *John* declared, in order to make the people fully sensible of the great difference, they would perceive, between the *Messiah* and *Himself*; that *He* indeed baptized them with *water*, but that the *Messiah* would baptize them with the *Holy Ghost* and with *fire*; what more natural for *John* to think the people would conclude him to mean, than, that the *Messiah* would *not* make use of baptism with *water*, for the admission of *his* disciples? This the turn and manner of the declaration itself, as well as the occasion on which it was delivered, it is obvious, seemed to render extremely probable. Had *John* therefore been an impostor *connected* with *Jesus*; and had it been agreed between them, that *Jesus* should nevertheless baptize with *water* too; it is utterly incredible, that *John* could have omitted making express mention of this particular; that the people might not immediately judge him convicted of having prophesied a lie; when they

they should see *Jesus* baptizing with *water* as well as Himself.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.



HAD they been joint deceivers, instead of saying only what he did, *John* would certainly have declared; *I indeed baptize you with water*, AS THE MESSIAH HIMSELF LIKEWISE WILL; *but He will moreover baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with fire*. For what opinion could *John* otherwise expect the people to form of his own inspiration; when they should see *Jesus's* disciples, soon after, baptizing the people with water, under the immediate inspection of their Master; and this even in greater numbers than *John* himself had done? *After these things came Jesus and his disciples into the land of Judea, and there he tarried with them, and baptized*. And presently we are informed, that *Jesus made and baptized, there, more disciples than John* \*.

TRUE indeed it is, that *Jesus himself* did not baptize, but only his disciples; as the

Z 2

evan-

\* John iii. 22. and iv. 1.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.

evangelist expressly says\*. But this circumstance could make no difference either as to his adopting *this* particular ceremony, for the admission of his own disciples; or the interpretation, which the people must naturally be expected to put upon it. It is plain, from the evangelist's manner of relating this, that he had no conception, that this circumstance made any alteration in the case; for then he would have taken care to remark it. Nor is it less evident, that the *Baptist's* own disciples, and even the *Baptist himself*, considered it in the same light, as if *Jesus* had performed the ceremony with his own hands. For, while *Jesus* was baptizing in this manner, *John's* disciples came, and said unto him †; *Rabbi, he that was with thee beyond Jordan, to whom thou barest witness, behold, the same baptizeth, and all men come to him.*

BUT, had they looked upon it as a circumstance, which made any difference in the case, that *Jesus himself* did not actually baptize,

\* John iv. 2.

† Idem. iii. 26.

baptize, but only his disciples; certainly they would not have failed to observe it, on this occasion, to their master. As, on the other hand, had this been an agreed particular between *Jesus* and *John*, in order to save the credit of *John's* divine inspiration; *John* would now at least have made *that* use of it, for which it was contrived; by teaching his disciples to reconcile *his own* predictions to *Jesus's* conduct; which was, *seemingly*, in every particular so contradictory to it.

AND now considering both these particulars, as the nature of the case requires we should, in one connected view; first, that *John* expressly foretold, as the touchstone of *Jesus's* being the *true Messiah*; that *Jesus* would adopt a new and very singular kind of *baptism*; which however, from his first public appearance to his death, He never did, nor ever mentioned a design of doing it: and in the next place, that *John* expressed himself in such a manner, as he could not but think, might very probably make the people un-

PART III.  
Sect. 3.

derstand him to mean, that *Jesus* would not baptize with *water*; which, however, *Jesus* presently after did, even in a greater degree than *John* himself had done: when we consider all this, how is it possible to believe *John* and *Jesus* to have been conspiring impostors?

HAD they been such, *John* could not have foretold any part of *Jesus's* conduct, in order to convince the people, that *He* was the *Messiah*; which *Jesus* had not before agreed with him, for this very purpose, to perform. Nor could *Jesus*, after such an agreement, lay aside the design of doing any thing, which he had before agreed, that *John* should foretel; without giving him timely notice of the alteration of his designs. For though we have no authority to believe, that the Jews did, in fact, ever object to the truth of their divine pretensions, on account of this seeming contradiction, between *Jesus's* baptism, and *John's* prophecy concerning it; yet was it, from the nature of the thing, so extremely probable, that they would; and,  
at



at all times, so very possible that they might; that no *impostors* can be supposed capable of deliberately exposing themselves, *for no end whatever*, to so manifest a hazard of detection.

PART III.  
Sect. 3.  
~~~~~



SECTION IV.


PART III.
Sect. 4.

VERY soon after *John* had delivered the prophecy just considered, concerning the nature of *Jesus's* baptism; we meet with another very remarkable transaction, which will serve to throw still greater light upon their *true characters*, and the *connection* really subsisting between them.

THEN cometh *Jesus* from *Galilee* to *Jordan*, unto *John*, to be baptized of him. But *John* forbad him, saying; I have need to be baptized of thee, and comest thou to me? And *Jesus* answering said unto him, suffer it to be so now; for thus it becometh us to fulfill all righteousness. Then he suffered him. And *Jesus*, when he was baptized, went up straight way out of the water; and lo! the heavens were opened unto him, and he saw the Spirit of God descending like a dove, and lighting upon him. And lo! a voice from heaven, saying,

saying, this is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased *.

PART III.
Sect. 4.



IT will readily be acknowledged, that if John and Jesus were joint deceivers, Jesus's coming to desire baptism of John, must have been an artifice first privately concerted between them. It is plainly incredible, that *Jesus* should apply publicly to his *Forerunner*, on so particular an account; unless they had previously agreed upon this step, for the sake of some advantages, which they hoped to derive from it. So that, upon the supposition of any imposture, *John* must in reality have approved of *Jesus's* request; and his refusal at first to baptize *Jesus*; as if it were more proper that *Jesus* should baptize *Him*; could be nothing more than a well chosen expedient, to prevent the people from suspecting any such preconcerted agreement between them.

WHAT then could these proposed advantages be? A very little reflection upon the case will presently convince us, that *Jesus's* being

* Matthew iii. 13—17.

PART III.
Sect. 4.

being *baptized* by *John*; considered only as to the *nature* of the thing itself; was a step so far from being calculated to *promote* the success of their supposed imposture; that, if it should have any effect at all upon the opinions of the people, it must necessarily tend to *prevent* it.

JOHN'S baptism was plainly borrowed * from that, which the Jews made use of, in the admission of heathen proselytes to the profession of Judaism: and *John* made use of his, in a similar manner, for the admission of his disciples into a still purer profession. This purpose, to which *baptism* among the Jews had always been applied; together with some traditions generally received, that they should all be *baptized*, and *purified*, against the coming of the *Messiah* †; had naturally contributed to
make

* See note, p. 333.

† "The Jews, it seems had conceived an opinion that they were all to be *baptized*; either by the *Messiah* himself, or some of his retinue; because it is said, Zach. xiii. 1. *In that day there shall be a fountain opened to the house of David, and to the inhabitants of Jerusalem, for sin and for uncleanness.* Macknight's Comm. sect. 18, Not. on John i. 25. See Lightfoot on the place, ii. 522.

make the Jews conceive a very high opinion of the dignity and holiness of any prophet, who was commissioned to baptize: and consequently to conclude, that there could not be *so great* a degree of purity and holiness in those, who themselves stood in need of *being baptized* by him. This opinion must likewise have prevailed *particularly* with regard to all who should come to be baptized of *John*; as *his* was professedly no other than the *baptism of repentance, for the remission of sins*; and of which therefore, those only who had actually sinned, could be thought to stand in need.

WHAT comparison then must it necessarily have been expected the people would make, between the *characters* of *John* and *Jesus*; when they beheld *Jesus* become a suppliant for *baptism* to *John*? Was this a step calculated to prevent that fatal mistake, which the people had already shewn themselves so inclined to run into; that of regarding *John* instead of *Jesus* as the *true Messiah*? How could impostors expect

PART III.
Sect. 4.

expect the people to reconcile the seeming superiority of *John* over *Jesus*, in point of holiness and purity; which to them would appear so plain, by *Jesus's* submitting to be baptized by *John*; with *John's* own declaration, so lately made to them, that He was not worthy to do even the most menial offices about the person of *Jesus*?

COULD *He*, who was unworthy even to undo the latchet of *Jesus's* shoes, be a person of so superior a character, as to make *Jesus* desirous of being *baptized* by him? Which of these public declarations were the people to believe; or rather, how could they give any credit to *Him*, who was the author of both? Above all, how could They themselves think it would prove a more easy talk, to make the people believe, that *Jesus* was the *true Messiah*; should they give them reason to think, that he stood in need of baptism from One, who professedly baptized into *repentance*, for the remission of sins?

JOHN'S

JOHN'S hesitating *, at first, to comply with *Jesus's* request, could make no alteration in the request itself; nor remove so considerable a stumbling block out of their way. Nor was any other consequence to be expected from this contrivance, but that it would distract the opinions of the people *very much* about them; and induce many, from this time, to conceive but an inferior notion of *Jesus's*

PART III.
Sect. 4.

* It may here be worth while to observe, that if John's *refusal* to baptize Jesus upon his first request, was purely a contrivance to prevent the Jews from suspecting any deceit; as it *must* have been if John and Jesus were *deceivers*; it should seem, at least, highly probable, that those of *Jesus's* disciples, who afterwards wrote histories of his life and actions, would not have given us accounts of Jesus's being *baptized* by John; without at the same time recording, this *refusal* of the Baptist, at first, to comply with his desire. If this artifice seemed of moment enough to be put in practice, nothing seems more natural, than that they should all have thought proper to record it. Whereas it appears in fact, that tho' *every one* of the evangelists have related Jesus's being baptized by John; which is by no means the case in several of their most important transactions; yet *none* but Matthew has made the least mention of John's refusal at first to do it.

See Matthew iii. 13, 15. Mark i. 9,—11. Luke iii. 21, 22. John i. 32,—34.

PART III.
Sect. 4.

Jesus's character ; and entertain the strongest suspicions of the veracity of *John*.


SINCE then it must have occurred to *John* and *Jesus* ; who could not be ignorant of the most prevailing opinions of the whole people, that the step now before us, was of such a nature *in itself*, as to threaten them with the most fatal influence on their credit, instead of contributing at all to its support ; was there any *external reason*, which might promise so much benefit to their design, as to induce them, notwithstanding, to adopt it ?

A TRADITION of the scribes, we are told *, prevailed universally, at this time ; that *Elias* was not only to appear as the *Messiah's Forerunner*, and to preach concerning him ; but also, that he was to baptize *Him*, in person, and make him known to the people. Perhaps then they might think, that the prevalence of this tradition laid them under a necessity of conforming to it ; and that great credit would accrue to them from exactly fulfilling

* See Whitby on Matthew xi. 14.

filling it. But plausible as this objection may at first appear; their own conduct will sufficiently prove it to be utterly groundless and false.


PART III.
Sect. 4.



HAD *John's* baptizing *Jesus* been a step concerted between them with *such* a design; they would unquestionably have made *this* use of it, in support of their particular pretensions, when any fair opportunity occurred. In particular, whenever they thought proper to appeal to *this transaction* at all; which, but for the sake of some such tradition as that just mentioned, they could never have agreed on; the fulfilling of *that tradition* must certainly have been the chief point, of which they would have reminded the Jews. If they judged the fulfilling of this tradition so necessary to the success of their plot; as, on this account, to do, what might otherwise have been attended with the most prejudicial effects to their cause; it must be absurd to suppose, they could neglect making that use of it, for which *alone* it was designed, when it had been actually accomplished.

YET

PART III.
Sect. 4.



YET is there nothing more certain, than that they must have done so; since, throughout all the gospels, there is not the least hint to be met with, either of the *tradition itself*, or of its *accomplishment* on this occasion.

VERY soon after *Jesus* had been thus baptized, we find *John* publicly bearing witness to the truth of his divine pretensions. *This is he, of whom I spake, he that cometh after me is preferred before me, * &c.* Again, he gives the same testimony, to the deputation of Pharisees, whom the rulers sent purposely to enquire of him †, who He himself was? But on neither of these remarkable occasions does he give them the least hint of his having *fulfilled* any such tradition; to strengthen the authority of his own declarations. And though, in answer to their further enquiry; *why he baptized then, since he was neither the Christ, nor Elias, nor that Prophet?* *John* took occasion to tell them, that there stood one among them, who


was

* John i. 15,—18.

† Idem i. 19,—23.

was so highly preferred before him : yet did he make no mention at all of his having *baptized Jesus* ; and much less allege this transaction, as the accomplishment of any received tradition, concerning the *true Messiah*, and his expected *Forerunner*.

PART III.
Sect. 4.



NAY, when he once actually reminded the people of the transaction *itself** ; not a word did he utter, concerning any tradition, which by this means had been fulfilled. *Jesus himself* likewise, when, a long time after this, he put † the Jews in mind of the witness which *John* had borne to him ; was as silent, with regard to the accomplishment of this tradition, as *John* had been before him. And even the evangelists ; whose frequent custom it is to inform us, that such particular things came to pass, in order that certain prophecies might be fulfilled ; have neither of them made mention of any tradition as having been accomplished by this event.

A a

FROM

* John i. 31 — 34.

† John v. 32 — 38.

PART III.
Sect. 4.



FROM the nature of this transaction then we must freely confess, that *John* and *Jesus* could not be deceivers: because otherwise we must maintain, that they agreed upon this step without any hope or intention of procuring the least benefit by it; at the same time, that they could not but expect, that it would distract and perplex the people in general about their *distinct* pretensions; which it was, above all things, their interest, to make them exactly comprehend; and thus very greatly obstruct the success of their whole plot. At least there is but one possible way to avoid this conclusion. And that is by supposing, that the astonishing voice and appearance, which was observed immediately after *Jesus* was baptized, was nothing more than the effect of *Their* exquisite skill and cunning: and that the whole affair was agreed on, merely for the sake of establishing their credit, by *this* wonderful contrivance. And indeed could it be believed, that what happened on this occasion, might possibly be the effect of human

human contrivance; no more were to be said. *John* might well baptize *Jesus*; in order to have an opportunity of imposing upon the people, with so marvellous a deceit, if they could perform it; however prejudicial to their credit, such a step might have been, without it.

BUT that this could not possibly be, is evident both from the conduct of *Jesus*, and the nature of the thing.

HAD They contrived and executed so exquisite a deception; *Jesus* would certainly never after have appealed to *John's* testimony in his favour, without making particular mention of this *miraculous* appearance, at the time, when he was *baptized*. He could not have reminded the Jews of *John's* answer to the Pharisees; *ye sent unto JOHN, and HE bare witness of me* *; without appealing at the same time to the fact before us; so much more convincing, than every thing else, that *John* had ever witnessed in his favour. He

A a 2 could

* John v. 33.

PART III.
Sect. 4.

could not have gone on appealing to his own *works*, because *they were* * *greater witness than that of JOHN*; without at the same time appealing to *this* miraculous appearance likewise; which had been so successfully brought about, at the time when *John* had baptized him.

As for the *nature* of the thing, we need only repeat what actually came to pass to determine upon it. *Now when all the people were baptized, it came to pass, that Jesus being also baptized, and praying, the heaven was opened; and the Holy Ghost descended in a bodily shape, like a dove, upon him. And a voice came from heaven, which said, Thou art my beloved son, in thee I am well pleased †.* It would be idle to go about to demonstrate, that, if *John* and *Jesus* were impostors, they could *not* have had the whole system of nature at their disposal; could *not* have caused the sky to open before a multitude, and something visible to descend from it; which after lighting gently on the

* John v. 36.

† Luke iii. 21, 22.

the head of *Jesus*, remained * upon him ; could *not* have caused a multitude to hear an articulate voice, coming as from heaven upon them. These were effects evidently beyond the reach of human contrivance, such as the most artful deceivers could never entertain the least thought of accomplishing.

PART III.
Sect. 4.

NOT to insist therefore on the evidence, which the *miracle itself* affords; a consideration entirely foreign to the design of this enquiry; all the conclusion we would here draw from it, is, that no views of contriving such a marvellous appearance as this, could be instrumental in causing *John* and *Jesus* to agree, that *Jesus* should come to *John*, in this manner, and be *baptized*. Their own conduct has likewise shewn, that they certainly did not agree upon this step, in order to fulfill any *tradition*, that prevailed at that time. And as to the *natural* tendency of the transaction itself; that, we

A a 3

have

* John i. 33.

PART III.
Sect. 4.

have seen, was so far from being likely to encrease their credit, that they themselves must have believed, if it had any effect at all, it would fill the minds of the people with doubts and suspicions about them. So that as no reasons whatever can be produced, for their agreeing to act in this manner; consistent with the point they had in view, if they were impostors * ;
and,

* After what has been suggested in this, and the preceding article, it must needs become in a very high degree improbable, that John and Jesus could be impostors; on this single account only, *that Jesus baptized as WELL AS John*. For what else could impostors expect to follow, from *Jesus's* baptizing the people, when they had already received the baptism of *John*; and after *Jesus himself* too had been baptized by him; but that it would unavoidably make the people believe, that *Jesus himself* regarded *John's baptism*, as of no value or importance: and besides, by filling their minds with doubt and perplexity at so unusual a conduct, be a great means of preventing them from ever becoming hearty friends to their cause. Deceivers could expect nothing else, by this means, but to weaken very greatly the authority they had hitherto ^{ac}quired; and at all events to obstruct the success of their joint designs. And accordingly thus it happened. For soon after *Jesus* began to baptize the people in Judea, (John iii. 22.) while *John* was doing the same at Enon, near to Salim; (23.)—*There arose a question, between some of John's disciples, and the Jews,*

PART III.
Sect. 4.

must have deterred them from it ; it must be confessed, that *Jesus's* coming to *John*, and being *baptized* by him, in the manner he was, is indeed a very strong collateral proof, that they could not be deceivers.

that *John* never attempted to perform any *miraculous* works. The only supposition capable of being there made, tho' at the same time it proved absolutely groundless, to reconcile *that* fact with the existence of an imposture, was, that *John* might refrain from working wonders, for fear of distracting the judgment of the people between *Jesus* and *Himself*. But now, when we see that *John* baptized *Jesus*, and yet *Jesus* rebaptized the disciples of *John* ; a practice which, it was obvious, could not fail to fill the minds of the people with doubts and perplexity about them ; this fact *alone* is sufficient to convince us, it could *not* have been agreed between them, that *John* should deprive himself of so great an advantage, as that of working *wonders*, upon any such account.



SECTION V.

WHEN *John* had now continued to shew himself to the people, for some space of time, and very soon after his baptizing *Jesus*, in the manner we have just now seen; such an opportunity offered itself to him, of making known his divine pretensions to the very chief of the people; and preparing the way for *Jesus*, who was now just beginning to appear; as, had they been joint deceivers, *John* must have been extremely desirous to obtain.

PART III.

Sect. 5.

*The Jews * sent Priests and Levites from Jerusalem; to Bethabara beyond Jordan, where John was then baptizing; to ask him, who art thou? And it will be well worth our while to observe how he conducted himself upon this critical occasion. And he confessed, and denied not, but confessed I am not the Christ. And they asked him, what then? Art thou Elias? And he saith,*

* John i. 19—28.

PART III.
Sect. 5.

saith, I am not. Art thou that Prophet? and he answered, no. Then said they unto him, who art thou? that we may give an answer to them that sent us. What sayest thou of thyself? He said, I am the voice of one crying in the wilderness, make straight the way of the Lord; as said the prophet Esaias. And they, which were sent, were of the Pharisees. And they asked him, and said unto him; why baptizest thou then; if thou be not that Christ, nor Elias, neither that Prophet? John answered them, saying; I baptize with water: but there standeth One among you, whom ye know not; he it is, who, coming after me, is preferred before me; whose shoes latchet I am not worthy to unloose.

WHEN it is considered, *who* those persons were, with whom we find *John*, on this occasion, engaged; it will readily be seen, in what manner an impostor, in his circumstances, must have behaved. Instead of being casually applied to by some of the multitude; he was now addressed by deputies sent purposely from the *rulers* them-

themselves : and these too not indiscriminately appointed, but *Priests* and *Levites* ; men of knowledge and skill in all sacred matters ; and in fine, men of the most reputed and skilful sect, *Pharisees*. Instead of being asked for his instruction in an easy point of spiritual advice ; the question, to which they required an immediate and categorical answer, was no less than this ; what particular divine *character* he pretended to assume ?

PART III.
Sect. 5.

QUESTIONED upon so important a point, by those who were best able to judge of the truth of his pretensions, and would certainly examine them with the utmost rigour ; by those likewise, who were capable of being his most serviceable friends ; but otherwise were sure to be his most potent and inveterate enemies ; in what manner must *John*, if an impostor, have replied to their demands ? To give *no* determinate answer to such an embassy, after having taken upon him to *baptize* the people, and utter prophecies ; would have been betraying the cause he was embarked

PART III.
 Sect. 5.

barked in, and a tacit confession of his deceit. He must therefore have declared, *what* divine character he took upon him.

HE would likewise have employed all his art and ingenuity, not in enumerating only, but in illustrating all those proofs he had been able to devise, for the foundation of his pretensions; and in urging them to the best advantage. And as he very well knew, that these deputies from the Pharisees came prepossessed against him; if he was able to allege any circumstances, likely to remove their personal prejudices, he could not have neglected to throw in *these* likewise; in order to induce them to examine the proofs, on which he rested his claim to inspiration, with more candor and impartiality than he could otherwise expect. This attack was what he must have looked for, from the beginning; and therefore have been long provided with his answer. And the opportunity it afforded him, to try what could be done with the chief *priests* and *pharisees*; if not to deceive, at least to puzzle

puzzle and confound them; was what he would by no means have neglected.

PART III.
Sect. 5.

THUS, had *John* been a deceiver, when he received this embassy from the *rulers*, his first care would naturally have been, to turn the sacred profession of the messengers themselves, as well as those who sent them, to his own advantage; by making them conceive of *Himself* with more respect than before. To this end he would have reminded them, that He too was by birthright a priest of the God of Israel, as well as themselves; and the son, of no less honourable a priest, than *Zacharias*; who must yet have been well remembered among them; and who had lived and died with the reputation of unblemished virtue and integrity.

THEN he would have recounted to them at large, all those astonishing events, that had happened to his *Parents*; or at least were by many believed to have happened to them; from *Zacharias's* loss of speech in the temple, to his recovery of it again, at the time of his own circumcision.

PART III.
Sect. 5.

cision. And he would have referred them, to the neighbourhood where *Zacharias* had lived, and where all these things had been noised abroad at the very time, for a full confirmation of the truth of all he advanced. Above all, he would certainly have given them a very particular account, both of the *Angel's*, and his *Father's* prophecy, concerning *Himself*; as these predictions were the sole foundation of whatever he might pretend to; and very minutely pointed out *that* particular character, in which he now appeared.

SUCH would certainly have been the answer of *John* to the Priests and Levites, had he been a deceiver. But *John* it appears adopted a quite *contrary* part. He declined making use of even those fair and obvious means in his power, to remove, or at least lessen their prejudices against him. He chose to *suppress*; for on *this* occasion it was impossible for him to *forget*; all those marvellous events, which were believed to have accompanied his

his conception and birth; and which, if he was a deceiver, must have been the *only*, and long laid foundation of all his present attempts. Nay he not only refused to allege *Zacharias's* and the *Angel's* prophecies in his own behalf; but even answered the messengers in such a manner, as he knew might be interpreted by the pharisees, and indeed by any one, to be contradictory to them.

THE angel, it had been publicly affirmed, had foretold to *Zacharias*, that *John* * should go before the Lord, in the spirit and power of *Elias*. But when the messengers put the question to *John* himself, art thou *Elias*? What answer did *John* give? He said, I am not.

Now it is true indeed the Jews in general expected, that *Elias* † the *Tisbbite*, himself; he, who had been so distinguished a prophet among them, above eight hundred years before; was to be the identical person, who would appear again
to

* Luke i. 17.

† See Whitby on Matthew xi. 14. Lightfoot, ii. 522. 183. 209. and i. 522. Pocock on Micah iv. 5.

PART III
SECT. 5.

to usher in the *Messiah*. And consequently, as the messengers, by their question, meant to ask *John*, whether *he* was *that very Elias*; *John*, since he knew their meaning, might very truly declare, *he was not*. But for this very reason, since the Jews in general expected *Elias himself* to come again; and not any *other* person, in a character *similar* to his; it was plain, that all who had ever heard of the revelations given out at *John's* birth, might have understood them as spoken immediately of *Elias himself*; and consequently, might consider *John's* answer, upon this occasion, as directly contradictory to them. And even such as had never heard of the Angel's prophecy to *Zacharias*, might probably consider this answer as a plain declaration from *John* himself, that the office of *Elias* belonged not to *Him*. Nor was it possible for *John* himself to have been ignorant of this, or not aware of it.

CAN it then be conceived, that an impostor, laying claim to *this* very character and

and office, would have given an embassy of the pharisees such an answer as this, to an enquiry which struck directly at the very foundation of all his claims? Or could the *same* deceiver, who must have been so extremely desirous to be like *Elias*, in his whole appearance and conduct, as even to imitate him in the particularity of his dress * itself; could *He* send the priests back with such an answer to the rulers, as he well knew would appear to *them*, to be an utter disclaiming of all connection with the character and office of *Elias*?

IT was the established opinion, that *Elias* must first come. If therefore *John* was only a deceiver, his intention must have been, either to pass for *that very Elias*, whom the whole nation through mistake expected; or he must have designed to convince the Jews, that *they* misunderstood the prophecies concerning *Elias*; and that *He* himself, though not *that very Elias*, whom they expected,

B b

and

* See note, p. 318.

PART III.
Sect. 5.

and asked him after; was in fact the *very person foretold* under his name. Any pretender to the character of *Elias* was under an absolute necessity of adopting one, or the other, of these plans.

IF he plainly denied himself to be the person, whom they all expected; and at the same time made use of no endeavours to convince them, that they expected a person, who really was *not* to appear; it is evident he did not try to procure himself the least chance for success. The only consequence of this conduct could be no other than this; that his present pretensions, as well as all that had been before published about him, would be regarded as the effect of artifice and imposture.

HIS seeming to them to deny himself to be *either* of those persons, whom the Jews expected to appear, before the coming of the *Messiah* himself; joined to his giving them but a very short account of what he really pretended to be; could not but contribute very greatly to prevent both the rulers and the people from believing

believing in him, as the *Messiah's Forerunner*; and consequently, totally prevent his testimony from inducing them to receive *Jesus* in the character of the *Messiah himself*.

PART III.
Sect. 5.

VIEW the thing in whatever light we can; is it not utterly incredible, that a counterfeit of the *Messiah's Forerunner* should not profit himself of the universal persuasion; by pretending to be *that very Elias*, whom the whole Jewish people was already disposed to acknowledge and believe? That he should refuse to apply so important a prepossession to the furtherance of his own imposture, which was absolutely grounded upon it, is on all considerations clearly incredible: but certainly, not to conform in this point, to the universal belief and expectation; and yet, at the same time, to use no means whatever to remove it; would have been a conduct so apparently absurd in an impostor, as we cannot hesitate to pronounce, no impostor could pursue*.

B b 2

How-

* At the same time it is certain, that *John's* denying himself to be *Elias*, on this occasion, is perfectly consistent

PART III.

Sect. 5.

HOWEVER, for a moment let us neglect this consideration, and turn to a particular in the conduct of *Jesus*, which relates immediately to this of *John*.

WHAT-

sistent with his true character, if he was really the *divine* Forerunner of the Messiah. "That the *Baptist*, on being asked if he was *Elias*, should have answered in the negative, needs not to be thought strange; if the persons, who put the question to him, believed, that *Elias*, the *Tisbite*, was coming personally from heaven to usher in the Messiah. For tho' the name of *Elias* did truly belong to *John*; Malachi having called him thereby; he was not the person whom the *people* expected, and the *priests* meant, when they asked him, *art thou Elias?*" Macknight's Comm. sect. 18. note.

"His meaning therefore is only this; I am not *that Elias in person*, whom you expect; nor am I such a prophet, as in the days of the Messiah, you expect to rise from the dead." Whitby on Matthew xi. 14. from Pocock, vol. i. p. 193. It is plain therefore, that if *John* had answered, he *was Elias*; he must likewise have taken pains to shew the priests, that they were mistaken in their notions of *that Elias*, who was to come. But to attempt convincing the Sanhedrim, that *they* were mistaken in their notions of *that* prophet, whom they sent to ask him, whether He was; was what the *true* Forerunner of the Messiah, might well know would be fruitless and vain. He therefore referred this deputation of the priests, and thro' them the whole Sanhedrim, from whom they came, to *Isaiab*, for a true account of *his Office*; and left them to the honest use of those lights they were possessed of, to determine *who* he was.

WHATEVER character *John* publicly assumed, or denied ; this at least is certain, that if *Jesus* and *He* were joint deceivers ; and we have seen long ago, that they must have been confederates, or no impostors at all ; *Jesus* could never speak of *John's* peculiar divine character, in such a manner, as he knew would, in all probability, be interpreted, to be directly *contradictory* to what *John* had said of himself. *John's* denying himself to be *Elias* in the manner he did, was a particular of such consequence, as he could not have determined to put in practice, without *Jesus's* knowledge and consent. When therefore *John* had declared, that he was *not Elias* ; had they been impostors, *Jesus* could not afterwards have affirmed, that he *was*. Yet *this*, it appears, *Jesus* actually did.

JOHN, as we have just seen, declared to the priests, without any particular explanation of his meaning, that he was not *Elias* : and adding only, that he was the voice of one crying in the wilderness,

PART III.
Sect. 5.

as said the prophet *Esaïas*; left them to determine further about him, by themselves. But sometime after this, upon occasion of *Jesus's* receiving from him a very remarkable message, by two of his disciples; *Jesus* took the opportunity to descant very minutely upon the *real* character of *John*. And after having affirmed, *that among all, who were born of women, there had not arisen a greater prophet than John the Baptist*; he closed his remarkable account of him, with this most emphatical declaration: *And, if ye will receive it, this is ELIAS, WHICH WAS FOR TO COME. He that hath ears to hear, let him hear* *.

NOTHING could be more emphatical, than this declaration; nor, according to the notion, which the people entertained of *Elias*, could any assertion seem more contradictory, to what *John* had said of himself. True indeed it is, that *John* was not *that Elias*, about whom he was questioned; and equally true, that he
was

* Matthew xi. 14, 15.

was *that Elias, who was for to come.* So that there was no real inconsistency between what *Jesus* said of *John*, and what He had before said of *himself.* But to the people, *John* and *Jesus* were well apprized, that in this there must appear a plain contradiction, so long as they retained their present opinion of *Elias.* Nor could they therefore, if impostors, have asserted any thing of so much consequence, in terms, which they knew, might be thought contradictory to each other; without at the same time using such arguments, as they must have prepared for this very purpose, to convince the Jews, that *they* had taken up a false opinion of *that Elias,* who was indeed to come before the arrival of the *Messiah.*

FOR what could they expect the people would think; if they should think at all upon the matter; concerning two pretenders to divine inspiration and authority; who seemed to them plainly to contradict each other; and this too, in a point of no less importance, than the very nature

PART III.
Sect. 5.

of that prophetic character, which One of them assumed; and upon the reality of which, his evidence, in favour of the Other, depended? However this seeming contradiction did, in fact, pass unobserved; in the nature of the thing itself, it was very highly improbable, that both priests and people should all overlook it. Nor can any supposition be much more incredible, than this; that two the most subtil pretenders to divine inspiration, should deliberately resolve to run the hazard of such a seeming *material contradiction*; and, for no end whatever, furnish every one with so striking an argument for rejecting them, as convicted impostors.

IN short, *John*, who replied to the embassy of the priests in such a manner, as he knew, would appear to them to be a refusal of *any* of those characters, in some one of which, he likewise knew, the whole nation expected the *Messiah's Forerunner* to appear; and yet did not so much as intimate to them, that they themselves had formed any erroneous expectations about him;

him ; could not be the crafty associate of a counterfeit *Messiah*. Nor could *Jesus*, who expressly attributed such a title to *John*, as he knew might appear a plain contradiction to the testimony, that *John* had already given of himself ; be a pretended *Messiah*, acting in concert with *John* ; who was to prepare his way before him, by assuming the part of his *Forerunner* *.

* Besides what has been said, to shew, that if *John* and *Jesus* had been impostors, the One could not have refused the character of *Elias*, nor the Other have afterwards attributed it to him ; without, at the same time, entering into a particular explanation of the exact character, which they meant by *that* name : it is well worth our notice, that *Jesus's* manner of speaking, on this occasion ; “ and, if ye will receive it, this is *Elias*, which was for to come ; ” plainly shews, that he thought the people would not believe *John* was the true *Elias* ; and yet at the same time we find, that he took no pains to convince them that he really was. What could be more opposite than this to the necessary conduct of an impostor ?



SECTION VI.

PART III.
Sect. 6.

SO incontestable does the divine inspiration of *John* and *Jesus* appear, that there is scarce a word or action recorded of the *Former*; which, upon a closer inspection, will not furnish us with some strong collateral proof of the integrity of *Both*; by multiplying the absurdities, that must follow, from supposing them to have been impostors.

THE *next day*; after the priests and levites had been sent by the Sanhedrim, to enquire of *John*, who he was; *John* seeth *Jesus* coming unto him, and saith; behold the LAMB of God, which taketh away the sin of the world*. And again, the next day after, *John* stood, and two of his disciples; and looking upon *Jesus*, as he walked, he saith; behold the LAMB of God †.

Now had *John* and *Jesus* been deceivers, it is evident, that all such remarkable decla-

* John i. 29.

† Ibid. 35, 36.

declarations of *Either*, concerning the peculiar divine character and office of the *Other*, must have been first secretly agreed on between them; with a view of promoting their reception, in those particular characters, which they thought fit to ascribe, in this manner, to *Each Other*. And this observation is the more particularly applicable to the instance before us; because the *very peculiar* character here given to *Jesus*, by his associate, made no part of any of *those* divine revelations, which were given out, as having preceded, and accompanied his *birth*. So that, as none of *those* prophecies were at all concerned in the case, which they might think themselves under a necessity to fulfill; it must have been *merely* the benefits they expected to arise, from publicly giving *Jesus* this *very remarkable* appellation, that could induce them to agree to do it.

Is it possible then, that *John's* unexpected and repeated declaration, of this *new* part of *Jesus's* character, now before

us,

PART III.
Sect. 6.

us, could be agreed on between them, from the hopes of any advantages to be derived from it? Could it be thought capable of inclining the Jews to receive *Jesus* the more readily for the *true Messiah*? The character here ascribed to *Jesus*, that of *the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world*; evidently and directly alluded to the daily *sacrifices* offered up in the temple at Jerusalem, for the whole people; as well as the rest of the *sin-offerings* appointed in the Jewish law*. In all which the *death of the victim* was represented as the *means*, by which the *sin* of those, for whom it was offered, *was taken away*: for, in the Jewish law, *without shedding of blood there was no remission* †.

IF therefore any notice should be taken of *this* remarkable character, ascribed by the *Baptist* to *Jesus*; which, from its novelty it was highly probable there would;

as

* See Lightfoot and Whitby on the place. Lewis's Heb. Antiq. b. iv. ch. 4. and 6.

† Epistle to the Hebrews ix. 22.

as *John's* repetition of it plainly shewed, he was very desirous there might; it was impossible, for the Jews to put *any other* interpretation upon it, than *this*; that *Jesus* was one day to be publicly *put to death*, as an atonement for the sins of all.

PART III.
Sect. 6.

BUT was *this* such an account of the design of *Jesus's* coming into the world, as an impostor could imagine, would make the Jews more ready to receive him, for their long promised *Messiah*? Or even, was *this* such a *catastrophe*, as would correspond with the received interpretation of those prophecies, that had been given out concerning *Jesus*, at the time of his birth? In particular, could *Jesus* imagine, that the Jews would consider this as an accomplishment of *that* prediction; that he should free them from all their enemies, and sit on the throne of his father *David*? The contrary is too notorious to admit of question.

How then was it possible, that the *false Forerunner* of a *pretended Messiah*, could set himself to inculcate into the people,
such

PART III.
Sect. 6.

such an opinion concerning his Associate's real character and designs, as was *alone* sufficient, should they believe it, to make them immediately *reject* him? Or what could be more opposite to every conceivable design, an impostor could entertain; and consequently, what more impossible for an impostor to do; than to take pains to make the people believe, that the very motive and design of all his actions was, *to procure himself to be put to death*? This surely, if any thing could be so, must have been impossible; and therefore, neither *John*, who ascribed *this* character and office to *Jesus*; nor *Jesus*, whose reception and success it so immediately concerned; could possibly be a deceiver.



SECTION VII.

THE evangelists have not expressly informed us, how long *John* continued preaching in public, and baptizing the people. But thus much is certain, that the purity of his doctrine, and the sanctity of his life, had procured him the highest esteem and veneration; as well with *Herod* himself, as the whole people, while he was still publicly conversant with them.

PART III.
Sect. 7.
~~~~~

WHAT opinion *Herod* had of his integrity, and how great an influence *John* had over him, we learn from the evangelist *Mark*; who has not scrupled to assert, that *Herod* feared *John*, knowing that he was a just man, and an holy; and observed him; and when he heard him, he did many things, and heard him gladly \*. No stronger proof can be desired, of that great veneration which *Herod* had conceived for him,

\* Mark vi. 20.

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

him, than his being pleased with, and listening to, the advice of One in a station of life so very far below him, as the *Baptist*.

AND how universal his authority was with the people, is evident from the conduct of the priests; who, some time after his death, were afraid the people would have stoned them, should they venture to say he was an impostor \*. *Josephus* likewise makes honourable mention † of him, as one who taught the people the necessity of virtue and true holiness; and adds, that his influence over them was so great, that *Herod* himself was fearful of him, lest he should excite a revolt; and therefore confined him.

POSSESSED of such credit both with the prince and the people, what conduct would the secret *Associate* of a pretended Messiah, at this time, have pursued? *Jesus*, who assumed the character of the *Messiah himself*, had not appeared so long  
on

\* Luke xx. 6.

† See note, p. 36, 37.

on the public stage: *his* credit remained yet to be established; and upon *his* success, at last, must the event of their *joint* undertaking unavoidably depend. The crafty *Forerunner* therefore would now, more than ever, have employed all his art, to keep that influence he had already acquired; at once, cunningly instilling into the people such notions as were best calculated to serve his secret designs; and practicing every artifice upon *Herod* to preserve his protection and kindness uninterrupted. The more popular he was, the more cautious he would certainly have been of incurring *Herod's* jealousy, or displeasure; for fear of blasting at once all their preconcerted designs, when they were, at length, in so fair a way for success.

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

BUT how opposite to all this, was the conduct of *John the Baptist*. At this critical point of time, in this peculiar situation; when both *his own*, and his *Confederates's* interest, absolutely required him to act in the manner just described; he

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

even proceeded to reprove *Herod himself*, for the wickedness of his life; and charged him with the unlawfulness of his most darling pleasures, in so particular an instance; his marriage with *Herodias* \*, his brother *Philip's* wife; that he could expect nothing less in return, from *Herod's* violence of temper, and *Herodias's* influence; than imprisonment and death. And accordingly we find, that *Herod* immediately imprisoned *John*, on account of *Herodias*; as well as, according to *Josephus*, for fear he might attempt to bring on a revolt: and *Herodias*, as it was natural to expect she would, soon after accomplished his death.

AN impostor, in *John's* particular situation, could not but have reflected, at the first thought of so dangerous a step, as that which occasioned *John's* death; that it was not his own immediate assistance only, of which his *Associate* would be deprived, by *his* destruction; though this alone would have been sufficient to prevent

\* Mark vi. 17, 18, 19.

vent him from adopting it. But he would besides have considered, that his own imprisonment and death, would probably strike such a panic into the people; however zealous they had before been in his favour; as would restrain them from listening afterwards to *Jesus*; or paying the same regard, they might otherwise have done, to *his* pretensions.

NAY nothing was more probable, than that *John's* public ministry being put to so ignominious an end, would even destroy that good opinion of *John* himself, which they had hitherto entertained; and induce them to believe, that notwithstanding his fair outside, he could be no better than an impostor. For by what arguments could *John* think it was possible, that the Jews could persuade themselves, he was really sent to be the *divine Fore-runner* of this *triumphant Messiah*; when they should have seen him seized, by *Herod's* order, imprisoned, and put to death?

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

BESIDE therefore *John's* regard to his own success, his liberty, and even his life itself; which no impostor can be thought desirous of exposing to certain destruction, for no reason: his *connection* with *Jesus*; if they were deceivers; and the necessary dependance of *Both* upon the mutual success and assistance of *Each Other*; must unquestionably have restrained *John* from provoking, at this time, the inveterate hatred of *Herodias*; and drawing on himself *Herod's* violent suspicion and displeasure. So that the remarkable behaviour of *John*, in this important particular, and at so critical a conjuncture \*; affords

\* Here we cannot but take notice of a very remarkable particular in *Jesus's* conduct, which is closely connected with what has just been considered in *John's*. No sooner was *Jesus* informed, that *Herod* had thrown *John* into prison; than He quitted *Judea*, and went into *Gallilee*. (See Matthew iv. 12. to the end; and Mark i. 14. to the end:) and traversing it all over; as well that part of it, which was under *Herod's* jurisdiction, as that under *Philip's*; (Matthew iv. 23. Mark i. 39.) he there began first to preach continually to the people; elected several of his disciples to accompany him wherever he went; performed the most astonishing works; and drew the attention of the whole country upon him. But had *Jesus* and the

affords us one of the strongest presumptive proofs imaginable, that neither *He* nor *Jesus* could possibly be deceivers.

PART III.  
Sect. 7.

the *Baptist* been *associate* impostors, nothing seems more improbable, than that *Jesus* should single out *this* particular time; and the dominions of *that* particular prince, who had but just then imprisoned his partner in the same wicked imposture; in order *there first* to make trial of all his devices; procure more associates; and, attended by them, to draw the multitude about with him, from all parts of the country. In an impostor, this would have been voluntarily seeking the same fate, that his forerunner had but just experienced; and in reality provoking *Herod* to put an end, at once, to all their joint machinations. But this is what no impostor whatever can be supposed desirous to have done.



## SECTION VIII.

PART III.  
Sect. 8.

**N**O sooner was *John* cast into prison, than *Jesus* began to preach in a more public, and solemn manner than before. Very soon after this, he selected his twelve disciples, to accompany him wherever he went; and excited the attention of the whole people, by healing all diseases, and even raising the dead to life.

WHEN behold, after some time spent in these employments, he was addressed, before all the people, by a deputation from *John*. For the disciples of *John* shewed him all these things; having access to him in prison, where he was still confined. And *John* calling unto him two of his disciples, sent them unto *Jesus*, saying; art Thou he that should come, or look we for another? When the men were come unto him, they said; *John Baptist* hath sent us to thee, saying, art Thou he that should come, or look we for another? And in that same hour He  
cured



*cured many of their infirmities and plagues, and of evil spirits, and unto many that were blind he gave sight. Then Jesus answering said unto them; go your way, and tell John, what things ye have seen and heard: how that the blind see, the lame walk, the Lepers are cleansed, the deaf hear, the dead are raised, to the poor the gospel is preached. And blessed is he, whosoever shall not be offended in me \*.*

HERE we must again recollect, that if *John* and *Jesus* were impostors, *Neither* of them could take any public step, that might at all affect the credit of the *Other*, unless it had been before agreed on between them. Nor could they even agree to put in practice any contrivance of this nature, but such as they thought would serve to impose upon the people more effectually, and establish their credit more firmly than before.

HERE therefore the question immediately presents itself; whether, if *John* and *Jesus* had been deceivers, this most

\* Luke vii. 18—23.

PART III.  
Sect. 8.

*extraordinary* message from the *Baptist* to *Jesus*, could possibly be agreed on between them, from any hopes of its promoting the authority of *Either*? If, on the contrary, the obvious, natural, and only possible effect of it must have been, exciting the people to call in question the veracity of *Both*; this unexpected *message* will furnish us with another convincing argument of the truth of *Jesus's* divine character, as well as that of his *Forerunner*.

COULD it then be the reputation of *Jesus*, which they were in hopes of advancing, by means of this public deputation from *John*? Nothing can be more certain, than that the only probable effect of this contrivance; should it have any effect at all; must have been, to undermine, instead of establishing and encreasing, whatever degree of credit *Jesus* had yet been able to acquire. *John*, we know, was at this time, in possession of an established and universal authority. Though the people had not agreed upon any particular

lar

lar divine character to ascribe to him ; all were thoroughly convinced that his character was *really* divine ; all believed him to be a prophet ; and held him in the highest esteem and veneration.

THUS persuaded of *John's* divine character, as both *Jesus* and he well knew the people were ; what influence must They themselves expect it would have upon the general opinion of *Jesus*, should the people find *John* sending his own disciples, purposely to declare, as it were, in public, that *John himself* was not satisfied of the truth of *Jesus's* divine pretensions ? It is obvious, that as far as *John's* authority could influence the opinion of the people, this step could only serve to *prevent* any one from becoming a disciple of *Jesus*, who was not so already ; and to bring his assumed character into suspicion, even with those, who were.

WAS it possible then for this contrivance to have been agreed on, with a view to encrease the credit of *John himself* ? So far from it, that they must certainly

PART III.  
Sect. 8.

tainly have expected, it would be attended with at least as fatal an influence upon *his own* reputation, as that of *Jesus*. *John* had before publicly borne such positive and repeated testimony, to *Jesus's* divine nature, and *particular character*; that those of the dullest apprehensions might be expected to condemn him at once, for an evident and most barefaced impostor; should he now, after all, begin to profess himself, in the least, doubtful about him.

HE it was, who from the beginning had declared, that *the law was given by Moses; but grace and truth came by JESUS CHRIST* \*. He it was, who had long before cried out, more than once; *Behold the LAMB of God, which taketh away the sin of the world. This is HE of whom I said, after me cometh a man, which is preferred before me; for he was before me. And I knew him not; but that he should be made manifest to Israel: therefore am I come baptizing with water. And John bare record, saying; I saw the Spirit*

\* John i. 17.

*Spirit descending from heaven like a dove, and it abode upon him. And I knew him not : but he that sent me to baptize with water, the same said unto me ; upon whom thou shalt see the Spirit descending, and remaining on him, THE SAME is HE who baptizeth with the Holy Ghost. And I saw and bare record, that THIS is the Son of God †, And he that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life ; and he that believeth not the Son, shall not see life ; but the wrath of God abideth on him †.*

PART III.  
Sect. 8.

AFTER such peremptory and repeated declarations as these ; which, if true, place *Jesus's* divine mission and character beyond doubt ; but, if false, must have been falsehoods, which *John*, at the very time of declaring them, knew to be such ; after all these declarations, what opinion must he now have expected the Jews would form of *his own* integrity ; when, after all, they should find him publicly declaring himself unsatisfied of the truth of *Jesus's* pretensions ? And in no other sense

\* John i. 29 — 34.

† Idem. iii. 36.

PART III.  
Sect. 8.

~ sense whatever could they be expected to interpret the question before us; thus publicly put to *Jesus*, in *John's own* name, and by *his own* disciples. *John Baptist hath sent us to thee, saying; art Thou he that should come, or look we for another?*

THE only conclusion the people could be expected to draw, from the strong appearance of a contradiction of so flagrant a nature; in one, who had so often pretended to pronounce *Jesus's* divine character, by actual inspiration; was evidently this: That *John himself* had hitherto deluded them by his specious pretences; and that He, and whoever was connected with him, must be an errant impostor. This was so obvious a consequence of the message before us, should it be taken notice of at all; and should it not, it could certainly do them no good; that it must have occurred to every one, and more especially to two so artful deceivers, upon the first proposal of such a step.

IT

IT is therefore utterly incredible they could agree together beforehand, that *John* should send a deputation to *Jesus*, with such a message as *This*. Let us invent as many secret causes as we are able, to account for it; *none* can ever make it possible to have been the contrivance of two *pretenders* to divine inspiration; after that public and remarkable testimony, which *Jesus* had already so often received from *John*. After that, the manifest and direct tendency of it could be no other, than to blast effectually the reputation of *Both*.

NAY, could we even hit on any device, that might *seem* to answer the purpose, it would not be allowable to urge it: because *Jesus's* conduct, on this occasion, clearly shews, that, if he was an impostor, he himself knew of none.

HAD they themselves provided any cunning expedient for this end; *Jesus* would certainly have made use of it, immediately after he had given his answer to the message itself; to prevent the people from



PART. III.  
Sect. 8.

from drawing from it the most natural conclusions, to the utter discredit both of *John* and *Himself*. No sooner would *John's* disciples have left him, to return to their master; than we should have found *Jesus* artfully preventing those suspicions; that must otherwise be expected to spring up, in the minds of many, who had heard the message delivered; by insinuating some plausible pretence, to account for *John's* sending his disciples, at this time, to make so *strange* an enquiry.

BUT *Jesus*, on the contrary, alleged nothing of this kind. And though the doubting message, which the people had just heard, could have no other effect, than to make them conclude, that *John* was certainly unable to point out the *true Messiah*, should he actually appear; *Jesus* took this opportunity, while the sound of *John's* message was scarce out of their ears, to assert, that *John* was THAT VERY messenger, who was to go before the *Messiah's* face, to prepare his way before him; and, if they would receive it; he was ELIAS, which



*which was for to come.* Adding, to close the whole with the greatest solemnity; *be that hath ears to hear, let him hear* \*.

WHAT conclusion now must we be forced to draw, from a conduct, on both sides, in every respect so unaccountable as this? If indeed *Jesus* was the *true Messiah*, and *John* his *real Forerunner*; all this is very possible to have come to pass. *They*, who were accomplishing the eternal counsels of God, by fulfilling a variety of explicit prophecies; in such a manner, as to afford mankind the most ample proof of their divine missions; and yet so as to leave them to their own voluntary and free conviction; it is to be expected, must have conducted themselves, on several occasions, in a manner not easily to be accounted for, by beings like ourselves; utterly unable to comprehend the whole nature of such amazing dispensations.

BUT if *They* were mere *human* impostors; who could act upon no other views than those of *human cunning* only; we may

\* Matthew xi. 10, 14, 15.

PART III.  
Sect. 8.




may be bold to assert, that *John* could not at this time have sent *such* a message to *Jesus*; nor *Jesus* have behaved *thus* to the people, upon receiving it, if he had. They themselves must have thought, that *this* would have been no less than *provoking* the people to reject them, as undoubted impostors.

HOWEVER, though this message could not be agreed on between *John* and *Jesus*, with any view to promote their joint credit, and conceal their deceit; it may be asked perhaps, might not *John* send it with a *contrary* design? *John* had now been imprisoned some time, and there appeared no prospect of his release. It may possibly be thought he began at length to be disgusted with an undertaking, which had been the means of bringing him into such a dilemma; and could afford no hopes of extricating him from it. That, in consequence of this, he had resolved to obtain what he could for himself, by betraying that plot, in which he had succeeded so ill: and sent his disciples

ciples to deliver this suspicious message to *Jesus*, before the whole multitude; in hopes of destroying *Jesus's* credit, by means of *his own* more established authority.

PART III.  
Sect. 8.



OR at least, it may in general be supposed, that he did it out of resentment; owing to some misunderstanding, that had arisen between them. And surely it is very far from impossible, it may be said, for impostors to betray themselves, by their quarrels with each other.

BUT had *John* been at length desirous to obtain his own enlargement, and what other advantages he could, by betraying so iniquitous an imposture; instead of taking such a method as *this*, to open the eyes of the people; he would certainly have discovered the whole plot, at once, to *Herod* himself; from whom every favour, he could hope for, must be immediately derived.

FROM the beginning *He* had borne witness to *Jesus*, as the *true Messiah*, in so remarkable a manner; that by what means

PART III.  
sect. 8.

soever *Jesus* should be convicted of imposture; *John* could not possibly escape the same condemnation. It was therefore impossible for *Him*, to be desirous of leading the people themselves to convict *Jesus*, without *his* appearing at all voluntarily concerned in betraying him; for this evident reason; that *He* could then have had no merit to plead in the discovery; nor any thing to expect from it, but that condign punishment, which was due to him for *his own* share in the plot. Had *John* therefore been desirous to betray *Jesus*, *Herod* himself was the only person to whom he would have applied; and to him he would have plainly confessed the whole plan of their imposture; in hopes of obtaining *his own* pardon, at least, if not some reward.

AND thus, this remarkable *message* from the *Baptist* to *Jesus*; which, because the motive, that really occasioned it, does not appear; may at first, perhaps, be conceived as some small objection to their credit; approves itself, upon a closer inspection,

spection, in every light it can be viewed ; *one of the most satisfactory*, circumstantial proofs, of the integrity and *divine* character of them *Both*, which the gospels afford. And whether we can point out those particular motives \*, which actually induced the *true Elias*, to send his disciples, with *such* an enquiry, to the *true Messiah*, is an enquiry of no real importance at all ; however it might gratify our curiosity to be able to solve the question. Since, in the meantime, it appears abundantly plain, that *no such* message could on any account have been sent from *John* to *Jesus*, had they in reality been no better than impostors.

\* The chief causes which have been assigned, as what might *probably* induce the *Baptist* to send his disciples to *Jesus* with this enquiry, may be seen, in Jortin's discourses concerning the Ch. Rel. ch. 5. on *John the Baptist*.—Macknight's Comm. to his Harmony, sect. 42. —Whitby, and Lightfoot, on Matthew xi. 3.



## SECTION IX.

PART III.  
Sect. 9.

NOT long before *Jesus's* public ministry, and his life, were put an end to together, he made a solemn entry into *Jerusalem*, attended by great multitudes, and amidst the general acclamations of the people \* ; in so much that *all the city was moved, saying, who is this?* And going the next day into the temple, he took upon him, *to cast out them that sold and bought in the temple ; and overthrow the tables of the money-changers, and the seats of them that sold doves : and said unto them, it is written, my house shall be called the house of prayer, but ye have made it a den of thieves †.* It would have been wonderful indeed if the rulers had not taken umbrage at his presuming to do this. *And it came to pass, that on one of those days, as he taught the people in the temple, and preached the gospel ; the chief priests, and scribes, came upon*

\* Matthew ch. 21. Mark xi. Luke xxi. John xii.

† Matthew xxi. 12, 13.

upon him, with the elders, and spake unto him, saying; tell us by what authority doest thou these things? Or who is he, that gave thee this authority? And he answered, and said unto them, I will also ask you one thing, and answer me; and I will tell you by what authority I do these things \*: The baptism of JOHN, was it from heaven, or of men? And they reasoned with themselves, saying, if we shall say from heaven, he will say, why then believed ye him not? But and if we say, of men; all the people will stone us; for they be persuaded that John was a prophet. And they answered, that they could not tell whence it was. And Jesus said unto them, neither tell I you, by what authority I do these things †.

THE remarkable transaction now before us will serve greatly to illustrate the integrity both of *John*, and of *Jesus*; by furnishing us with another strong argument to shew, that the various testimonies of the *Former*, to the divine charac-

D d 3

ter

\* Mark xi. 29.

† Luke xx. 1,—8.

PART III.  
Sect. 9.

ter of the *Latter*, could not have arisen from any secret imposture concerted between them; and consequently, that no such imposture could exist.

WHEN *Jesus* took upon him to prohibit all that trafficking in the courts of the temple, which the priests and rulers had long permitted to be carried on there; the least he could expect was, that they would soon come to enquire of him, by what authority he pretended to do this, and from whom he derived it? For this was assuming a power, which none, who were not commissioned from God himself, or authorised by *Them*, had any right to exercise. It was openly invading *their* particular province, and striking at their whole authority, even in the face of the people. Whatever then might be the chief design of *Jesus* in driving the traders out of the temple, in this authoritative method; since it was, in a manner, certain, that the Sanhedrim would at least send him a deputation to make *this* enquiry, in consequence of it; *Jesus*, if he was an impostor,



postor, must have determined what answer to give them, before he put his design in execution.

PART III.  
Sect. 9.

THE nature of the case will likewise inform us, in what manner an impostor, in Jesus's situation, must have determined to answer them. He had now been a long time trying to gain credit to his divine pretensions, and had at length made a kind of public entry into the city, amidst the general acclamations of the people. And with them he was now in so high esteem; that though the chief priests, and the scribes, and the chief of the people, sought to destroy him; they could not find what they might do; for all the PEOPLE were very attentive to hear him\*.

BUT as the chief priests, and rulers, were a very formidable body, and all still opposed him; should he not be able to make good his claims, when publicly challenged, in their name, to vindicate them; he had good reason to expect, that by degrees the good will of the people

D d 4

also

\* Luke xix. 47, 48.

PART III.  
Sect. 9.

also would be alienated from him. As, on the other hand, the more advantageously he could support his divine authority, in *this* particular encounter; the more immoveably he was sure to attach the *people* in general to his interests; and the more likely to gain over even some of the *rulers* themselves, if that were possible, to favour his cause.

THUS circumstanced, *Jesus*, if an impostor, could not have wished for a more desirable event, than to have the *chief priests*, and *scribes*, and *elders*, come publicly to demand of him, by what authority he pretended to do, what he had done? Nay, if he was an impostor, we may now see, that the bringing about *this* public enquiry into his divine commission, must have been the very design he had in view; in exercising that unexpected authority, which upon this occasion he assumed.

HAD he been a deceiver then, he would eagerly have seized this desirable opportunity, of his own immediate contriving;

triving ; to have enlarged upon every circumstance that could be alleged, in support of his *divine* authority. He would have related particularly, all those astonishing revelations, and other wonderful circumstances, which had accompanied his conception and birth. He would have reminded them, on how many occasions the *blind* had, by *his* operation, received their *sight* ; the *lame* walked ; the *lepers* been *cleansed* ; the *deaf* been made to *bear* ; and even the *dead* themselves been *raised up*. And in particular, had he been an impostor in *confederacy* with *John* ; he would have urged to them, in the hearing of the *people* ; who were all zealous disciples of the *Baptist* ; and whose divine authority he knew the priests could not dare to deny ; those repeated and explicit testimonies, which *John* had so often borne to *his* divine character ; and in which he had even positively declared him to be the *true Messiah*. And now likewise, when he had worked up the people, by all the arguments he could allege,

PART III.  
Sect. 9.



lege, to the greatest fervor in his cause; had he been an impostor, he would immediately have declared himself their *Messiah* and *King*; and have commenced at last one decisive struggle, to determine the fate of the whole imposture.

WHAT then must we be forced to conclude, with regard to the true character of *Jesus*; who even upon *this* peculiar occasion, procured by his own means; though possessed of *such testimonies*, to establish his divine authority, as he knew the chief priests could neither invalidate, nor *dispute*; would not, even at *this* time, produce any of them in his own defence? How is it possible we can believe *him* to have been the deceitful *confederate* of the *Baptist*; who, even on so peculiar an occasion, declined all appeal to *John's* authoritative testimony; and would not avail himself of *his* universal credit?

BUT did he not in fact, it may be asked, expressly put the priests in mind of *John the Baptist*? And might he not intend

tend *this*, as an appeal to *John's* testimony in his favour?—True indeed it is, that *Jesus* did actually remind the priests of *John the Baptist*: but it is no less true, that he did *this* in such a manner, as to make the justness of our conclusion even still more apparent, than it could have been, had he not made the least mention of *John*.

FOR instead of reminding them of *John*, so as to make the least use of His testimony, in *his own* defence; it is evident, he did it with *no other* design, than merely to procure himself an opportunity of making *no defence at all*.

I will also ask you one thing, (said *Jesus*) and answer me; and I will tell you by what authority I do these things. The baptism of JOHN, was it from heaven or of men? And they answered, that they could not tell whence it was. And *Jesus* said unto them, neither tell I you, by what authority I do these things.

THE manner in which, we see, *Jesus* on this occasion made mention of *John*, proves to demonstration, that though he was by

PART III.  
Sect. 9.

no means *forgetful* of that explicit evidence, which *John* had so frequently borne in his favour; yet that he was *determined* to make no advantage of it, for the establishment of *his own* divine character; even at *that* very conjuncture, when it would have been of the most signal service to him, to allege it. Whereas, had *Jesus* been an impostor in confederacy with *John*; instead of making use of the *Baptist's* name, at this time, *merely* in order to procure himself an opportunity to be *silent*; he would certainly have insisted on *John's* testimony, with all the power of his eloquence; to establish the *people* immoveably in their present favourable opinion of *his own* divine mission, and authority. And indeed, when we consider the *whole* of this very remarkable transaction; the reformation in the temple, which *Jesus* took upon him to make; together with his *answer* to the chief priests and rulers, when they came upon him to demand by what authority he pretended to do this; it appears undeniably,

ably, from that extraordinary manner in which he chose to make mention of *John*, upon this very peculiar occasion; that the *Baptist* and *Jesus* could not possibly be *associate deceivers*; and consequently, that *Jesus* himself could be no less than the *true Messiah*, and *John* the *Baptist* than his *divine Forerunner*.

PART III.  
Sect. 9.  
~



CON-

## CONCLUSION.

THE enquiry, we at first set out on, is now brought to an end; and the desired conclusion established, it is hoped, by every argument at first proposed. But the peculiar nature of the transactions considered, and the various circumstances necessary to be attended to, in order to place every material particular in its true light, and effectually clear up the point in debate; have unavoidably drawn it out to an unexpected length. It may not therefore, in the last place, be improper to take a short sketch of the whole evidence produced, in support of the important point to be proved; that when considered at once in a collective view, every distinct part may be allowed its due influence and weight; and the certainty of the conclusion be judged of, by the joint evidence of the whole.

To shew the utter incredibility of *any such* imposture, as *that* in debate, nothing



thing more can be absolutely requisite, than to prove one or other of the following points.

EITHER that the plot supposed is of so *absurd a nature* in itself; that it is impossible to believe it could ever be undertaken. Or however, if we have not materials enough to prove this; that all the particular *persons* concerned, were *such*, and so *circumstanced*; that it cannot be believed possible for *Them* to have contrived, or engaged in *such* a design. Or lastly, that the manner of *conducting* the plot supposed, certainly was, in several important particulars during its progress, such as it could *not* have been; had the *only* persons concerned really conspired in the prosecution of *such* an imposture.

To trace out a satisfactory proof of *Either* of these points, may in many cases, for want of information, be extremely difficult; in many absolutely impossible. But in whatever instance *either* of them *singly* can be clearly, and distinctly made out;

out; by comparing the several circumstances of the case, with the most obvious, and allowed principles of human nature; *there* our doubts *must* be at an end; and the suspicion of any imposture *must* unavoidably be given up.

WHEN therefore the case considered proves such, that not *one* of these points *only*, but *all* of them can be established *together*; when it can not only be made to appear *morally impossible*, for the *plot* in question to have *ever* been contrived; but likewise, for *those* particular persons, who *alone* are concerned, to have contrived it; and moreover, equally *incredible* for Them, if they had, to have conducted it in the *manner* it appears, from the facts themselves, to have been conducted: when *All* these points can be made good together; then we have the completest proof of the *impossibility* of the plot in question, that the *nature* of such points can be ever *capable* of admitting. And, in this case the mind cannot but acquiesce without hesitation, and rest perfectly satisfied with the conclusion. Now

Now by *Each* of these several kinds of proofs have we been enabled to establish the truth and certainty of all those *miraculous* events, which the evangelists have recorded of the *nativities* of *John* the *Baptist*, and *Jesus Christ*.

IN the first place, it was obvious, that the various astonishing circumstances, said to have accompanied the conception and birth of *John*, are in their own nature so evidently *miraculous*; that if they really came to pass, *His* appearance in the world, and the divine character he at length assumed, *must* have been the immediate appointment of *God*. With regard to these facts therefore, the only point to be proved was, that they *really* came to pass.

IN order to prove this, it was plainly shewn, from a multiplicity of absurdities, which must unavoidably attend the contrary supposition; that they could neither be invented by *Jesus*, nor his disciples, nor any one else, *after the death of JOHN*; nor by *John* himself, or any

of his disciples, *during his life*. That *some* of them, from their very nature alone, must assuredly have come to pass, in the manner, and at the time related; and, upon the whole, that if *any* of them did not, or if there was the least deceit in the accounts of any of them; *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth* must have been the original and real managers of the plot.

THE contrivance of the plot in question being thus traced up, as high as *Them*; in order to determine, whether *They* could really be the contrivers of it or not; it was, in the next place, fully shewn, that, if so, the design they must have been engaged in, could not be confined to *John only*; nor could *They* themselves be the *only* persons concerned in carrying it on. But, that they must at the same time have been engaged in promoting *another*, similar imposture, which related in like manner to *Jesus*; and was the counterpart of that concerning *John*. And likewise, that *Joseph* and *Mary* must have

have been intimately connected with *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*, in the *joint* prosecution of *Both*.

THE foundation of the whole further enquiry being thus laid; the next point was to prove the incredibility of the existence of these *joint* impostors, in the manner first proposed; by considering the *circumstances* and *situation* of *Each* of *those* persons; who, it had already been clearly seen, must have contrived, and carried them on.

THIS argument therefore was the first attended to. And after having pursued it through the several tracks, which gradually opened to our view, it brought us at length to this decisive conclusion; That the *whole* supposition of these *joint impostures* must be given up, as in every particular absolutely groundless, and false.

FOR, from an attentive consideration of the most material *circumstances* attending *Each* of the persons concerned; but more especially, the *advanced age*,

## CONCLUSION.

*sacred profession, and exemplary character, of Zacharias; together with the youth, and innocence, and un sullied reputation, of Mary; and from these particulars, considered jointly with the progress of the supposed plots themselves; it has been fully made appear, That neither Any of the Four persons concerned, whether singly or jointly; nor All of them together; could possibly be the contrivers of the impostures supposed.*

IT has indisputably appeared, that neither *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*, on one side, nor *Joseph* and *Mary*, on the other; could *separately* have planned out, either the *Two* joint impostures, relating to both parties; or that *One*, more immediately relating to each.

AND moreover, that even if it were credible, that *Each side* could have invented *their own* more immediate plot; it would still, above all, remain absolutely incredible, that *Either* side could have attempted to make *associates* of the *Other*; as well as that *They* could have  
 been

been prevailed on, to engage with them, if they had.

So that, as the *only* persons, at all concerned in the transactions under consideration, have plainly turned out to be *such* persons, as could neither have *contrived*, nor *undertaken*, the impostures in debate; all suspicion of any deceit in the case, must from *this* argument *alone* fall at once to the ground: the *miraculous events* in question must be acknowledged to have come to pass, in the manner they are related: and the *characters* of Those extraordinary Persons, whose births they accompanied, must be submitted to, as unquestionably *divine*.

HAVING thus, in the First Part, fully proved the incredibility of the impostures in question, from considering all the *circumstances* of the several *Parties* concerned; in the Second, we proceeded to establish the same point, by another argument; of no less real strength, and, at the same time, more obvious than the former. This was an illustration of se-

## CONCLUSION.

veral most striking *absurdities*, unavoidably contained in the internal *nature* of the supposed *impostures* themselves.

UNDER this head it very plainly appeared, that the supposition of any deceit in the case before us, would indispensably oblige us to suppose the truth of several particulars, all in the highest degree impossible to be believed.

As, for instance, that an old, practiced, and most subtil deceiver, could plan out an imposture to be carried into execution by *one particular person only*; and even venture to feign himself *dumb*, for a long space of time, merely to prepare the way for it; not only while the *very person*, for whom it was contrived, remained yet *unborn*; but likewise before he was even *conceived*; nay, and while the contriver himself had every reason the thing could admit of, for believing, that *this person*, for whom he was contriving all this iniquity, *would never actually exist*.

THAT



THAT besides, this same veteran deceiver should do all this, for the sake of *such* a plot, *so* contrived; as, after all, he could not have the least hope of ever bringing on the stage; unless he could first infallibly *foretell*, not only the *future birth*, but even the *sex too*, of a particular infant, even *before* it was *conceived*.

THAT moreover, he could deliberately fix upon *such* a plan for his intended imposture, as obliged him publicly to *foretell*, under the pretence of being divinely inspired; that a certain infant, then *but a few days old*, would assuredly live till above the age of *twenty years*.

AND besides, that the same infant, when arrived at *that age*, would certainly appear in public, and exercise the several functions of *a most extraordinary divine character*; which, humanly speaking, it was in the highest degree *doubtful*, from the nature of the character alone, whether *He* might either be *able*, or *inclined*

to counterfeit; and the *true Owner* of which, it was likewise universally believed, would appear *in the mean time*; and effectually deprive this supposed intended counterfeit of any *opportunity* to assume his part.

FROM the consideration of these, and other no less incredible suppositions; all necessarily implied in the plots in question; the nature of the case led us next to point out, some remarkable particulars, which we meet with in the course of these transactions; which plainly appeared impossible to have happened, had there really been any imposture on foot.

AND here it was soon perceived, that had the interviews of the Angel with *Zacharias*, and *Mary*, been fictions of their own contriving, to gain a favourable reception for their intended impostures; unquestionably the Angel would not have been represented, as punishing *Zacharias* in that particular manner, in which *Zacharias* pretended to have been punished by him; or indeed in any manner at all; and yet at the same  
time

time suffering *Mary* to escape without any *visible* mark of his displeasure.

HERE likewise, it appeared evident beyond all contradiction, that the surprising relations of the *Shepherds* at *Bethlehem*; the behaviour of *Simeon* and *Anna* in the temple; and the appearance and conduct of the *Wise Men from the East*; together with the reception *They* met with from *Herod himself*; and that cruel *massacre*, which immediately ensued upon their departure; were all so many astonishing events, which could not possibly have come to pass; had *Zacharias*, and the *Other Three* persons originally concerned, been engaged in a *joint imposture*.

FOR it appeared abundantly evident, from a variety of considerations; that the several persons, who were the immediate actors in all these unlooked for events; were *such* Persons, as neither *Zacharias*, nor any concerned with him, could have *attempted* seducing, to take part with them, in carrying on their designs; and  
*such*

## CONCLUSION.

*such* likewise, as could *never* have become their *associates*, if they had.

THUS the truth of all the *miraculous* circumstances recorded of the conceptions and births of *John the Baptist* and *Jesus Christ*, were fully proved ; in the two first parts of this enquiry ; by every kind of direct argument, which the nature of the facts themselves could admit. For in them it had been shewn, that neither were the *Persons* concerned *capable* of conspiring together in the plot supposed ; nor was the *plot itself* even *capable* of being contrived, and entered into ; nor could *several steps*, of the utmost importance, which actually took place, have been adopted ; had there really existed any such *imposture*, as *That*, which must be supposed.

BUT to render the joint force of the whole still more irresistible ; and that no argument, with which the gospels could furnish us, to illustrate so important a point, might lie neglected ; the design of the last Part has been, to examine into  
the

the behaviour of *John* and *Jesus Themselves*; in those instances, in which they *must* have had a *secret*, as well as those in which they had an *apparent* connection with *Each Other*; and which must therefore tend to illustrate still further our former conclusion, and their *true characters* and *designs*.

AND upon this enquiry into the *relative* conduct of the supposed Impostors Themselves; it has appeared very plainly, in a variety of particulars, of the utmost importance to their cause, to have been *such*, as it is utterly *incredible* they could have adopted; had the several astonishing events, before considered, been *fictitious*; and They Themselves nothing more than *mere human* impostors.

FOR, in this Part, it incontestibly appeared, that in many, even the most remarkable particulars of their public conduct towards *Each Other*, they acted in such a manner, as, to those, who had nothing more than *human* foresight to judge by, must have appeared the readiest way to obstruct, and *overset* their whole design;

## CONCLUSION.

design; and consequently, in a manner, in which *no impostors* could possibly have determined to act.

HERE therefore it may be allowable to close the whole argument, with that most important conclusion, the establishment of which was its sole object and design.

BY proving *jointly*, the truth of the pretensions of *John the Baptist*, and *Jesus Christ*, to *divine* authority and inspiration; as the very nature of the facts to be enquired into, absolutely require we should; we have effectually vindicated, by *two distinct*, though connected proofs, the truth and certainty of the *Divine Mission* of *Jesus*.

FOR, first, if *John the Baptist* certainly was, what it has so incontestably appeared he must have been, the *divine Forerunner* of the long *expected Messiah*; sent purposely to prepare *his* way before him, and point *Him* out to mankind; then must *Jesus*; whom *John* frequently in the most public, and peremptory manner

ner pronounced to be *That divine Personage*; unquestionably have been the *true Messiah*.

AND though, from the first entrance upon the argument, the order of the facts to be enquired into, naturally led us to ascertain first, the true character of the *Baptist*; and by this means the circumstances of *Jesus's* birth, which were equally surprising, have been attended to in the second place only; yet These Two grand Events have proved all along so *similar to Each Other*, and so inseparably *connected*; that in establishing the truth of *One*, we have necessarily confirmed the *miraculous* nature of *Both*.

THE *same* considerations, which have so fully shewn, that no deceit can have been made use of, by *Zacharias* and *Elizabeth*, in order to lay a foundation for the divine pretensions of *John*; have proved likewise, at the same time, that *Joseph* and *Mary* could not possibly forge any of those miraculous circumstances recorded of the birth of *Jesus*; to pave the

## CONCLUSION.

the way for *His* future appearance under the assumed character of the *Messiah*.

AND thus the *Divine Mission* of *Jesus Christ* stands firmly established; not only on the adequate, and indisputable, because *inspired*, testimony of *John the Baptist*; but likewise, independantly of *His* witness, upon the unquestionable truth and certainty of all those *miraculous events*, which the evangelists have truly informed us accompanied *His own* first appearance in the world.

So conspicuously do the divine dispensations of perfect wisdom and truth, distinguish themselves from the short-sighted schemes of human artifice and deceit. Plots of human cunning often appear specious at first sight, and well connected together; but, on a nearer inspection, soon betray evident tokens of inconsistency, falsehood, and disguise. While, on the contrary, the stupendous plans of divine providence, appear, at a distance, like a number of unconnected, and perhaps even interfering events;  
but,



but, when most scrupulously examined, never fail to manifest the supreme wisdom of their all-perfect Author ; in that irresistible force of evidence they *all jointly* produce.

F I N I S.









