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# AN ENQUTRY CONCERNING HUMLN LNDERSTANDING. 

Sect. I.-Of the Different Species of Philosophy.
$S E^{\prime} T$. Moral philosophy, or the science or human nature, may be treated after two different mamers; each of which has its peculiar merit, and may contribute to the entertainment, instruet ion, and reformation of mankind. The one considers man chiefly as born for action: and as influenced in his measures by taste and sentiment; pursning one object, and avoiding thother, according to the value which these objects seem to pussess. and according to the light in which they present themselves. As virtue, of all objects, is allowed to be the most ralnable, this speeies of philosophers paint her in the most amiable colours ; borrowing all helps from poetry and eloquence, and treating their subject in an easy and obvious manner, and such as is best fitted to please the imagination, and engage the affections. They select the most striking observations and instances from common life; place opposite characters in a proper contrast ; and alluring us into the paths of virtue by the views of glory and happiness, direct our steps in these paths by the soundest precepts and most illustrious examples. They make us feel the difference between vice and virtue; they excite and regulate our sentiments; and so they can but bend our hearts to the love of probity and true honour, they think, that they have fully attained the end of all their labours.

The other species of philosophers consider man in the light of a reasonable rather then an active being, and endeavour to form his understanding more than cultivate his manners. They regard human nature as a subject of speculation: and with a narrow scrutiny examine it, in order to find those principles, which regulate our understanding,

SECT.
I.
excite our sentiments, and make us approve or blame any particular object, action, or behaviour. They think it a reproach to all literature, that philosophy should not yet have fixed, beyond controversy, the foundation of morals, reasoning, and criticism : and should for ever talk of truth and falsehood, rice and virtue, beanty and deformity, without being able to determine the source of these distinctions. While they attempt this arduous task, they are deterred by no difficulties; but proceeding from particular instances to general principles, they still push on their enquiries to principles more general, and rest not satisfied till they arrive at those original principles, by which, in every science, all human curiosity must be bounded. Though their speculations seem abstract, and even uninteiligible to common readers, they aim at the approbation of the learned and the wise; and think themselves sufficiently compensated for the labour of their whole lives, if they can discover some hidden truths, which may contribute to the instruction of posterity.

It is certain that the easy and obvious philosophy will always, with the generality of mankind, have the preference above the accurate and abstruse; and by many will be recommended, not only as more agreeable, but more useful than the other. It enters more into common life; moulds the heart and affections; and, by touching those principles which actuate men, reforms their conduct, and brings them nearer to that model of perfection which it describes. On the contrary, the abstruse philosophy, being founded on a turn of mind, which camot enter into business and action, vanishes when the philosopher leaves the shade, and comes into open day; nor can its principles easily retain any influence over our conduct and behaviour. The feelings of our heart, the agitation of our passions, the vehemence of our affections, dissipate all its conclusions, and reduce the profound philosopher to a mere plebeian.

Thes also must be confessed, that the most durable, as well aw justest fame, has bern acquired by the easy philosophy, and that abstract reasoners seem hitherto to have enjoyed only a momentary reputation, from the eaprice or ignorance of their own age, but have not been able to suppert their renown with more equitahle pesterity. It is easy for a profomm phinsonher to commit at mistake in his subtile reason-
ings ; and one mistake is the necessary parent of another, whike he pushes on his consequenees, and is mot deterred from embrating any eonchsion, by its unusual apparance,

SFIT.
I. 1. or its contradiction to popmar opinion. But a philosopher, who parposes only to represent the common sense of mamkind in more beantiful and more engruing colours, if by accident he falls into error, goes no farther ; but renewing his appeal to common sense, and the natural sentiments of the mind, returns into the right path, and secures himself from any dangerous illusions. The fame of Cicfro flomishes at present; but that of Aristothe is utterly decayed. La Bruyere passes the seas, and still maintains his reputation: But the glory of Malmbranche is confined to his own mation, and to his own age. And Addison, perhaps, will be read with pleasure, when Looke shall be entirely forgotten. ${ }^{1}$

The mere philosopher is a character, which is commonly but little aeceptable in the world, as being supposed to contribute nothing either to the advantage or pleasure of society ; while he lives remote from communication with mankind, and is wrapped up in principles and notions equally remote from their comprehension. On the other hand, the mere ignorant is still more despised ; nor is any thing deemed a surer sign of an illiberal genius in an age ant nation where the sciences flourish, than to be entirely destitute of all relish for those noble entertaimments. The most perfect character is supposed to lie between those extremes; retaining an equal ability and taste for books. company, and business; preserving in conversation that discemment and delicacy which arise from polite letters; and in business, that probity and accuracy which are the natural result of a just philosophy. In order to diffuse and cultivate so aceomplished a character, nothing can be more useful than compositions of the casy stgle and mamer, which draw not too much from life, require no teep application or retreat to be comprehended, and send back the student among mankind full of noble sentiments and wise precepts, applicable to every exigence of human life. By means of such compositions, virtne becomes amiable, seience agreeable, tompany instructive, and retirement entertaining.

[^0] really a great Philusopher, and a just

SECT. Man is a reasonable being; and as such, receives from science his proper food and nourishment: But so narrow are the bounds of human understanding, that little satisfaction can be hoped for in this particular, either from the extent or security of his acquisitions. Man is a sociable, no less than a reasonable being: But neither can he always enjoy company agreeable and amusing, or preserve the proper relish for them. Man is also an active being; and from that disposition, as well as from the various necessities of human life, must submit to business and occupation: But the mind requires some relaxation, and camnot always support its bent to care and industry. It seems, then, that nature has pointed out a mixed kind of life as most suitable to human race, and secretly admonished them to allow none of these biasses to draw too much, so as to incapacitate them for other occupations and entertainments. Indulge your passion fur science, says she, but let your science be hmman, and such as may have a direct reference to action and society. Abstruse thought and profound researches I prohibit, and will severely punish, by the pensive melancholy which they introduce, by the endless uncertainty in which they involve you, and by the cold reception which yom pretended discoveries shall meet with, when commmicated. Be a philosopher ; but, amidst all your philosophy, be still a man.

Were the generality of mankind contented to prefer thes casy philosophy to the abstract and profound, without throwing any blame or contempt on the latter, it might not be improper, perlaps, to comply with this general opinion, and allow every man to enjoy, without opposition, his erm taste and sentiment. But as the inatter is often carried farther, even to the absolnte rejecting of all profound reasonings, or what is commonly called motaphysics, we shall now procesed to consider what can reasonably be pleaded in their behalf.

We may begin with observing, that one considerable atvantage, which results from the accurate and abstract philnsophy, is, its subserviency to the easy and humane: whirh, without the former, can never attain a sufficient derrere of exactness in its sentiments, precepts, or reasonings. All polite letters are nothinr but pictures of human life in various attitudes and situations; and inspire us with diffrrent frontiments, of praise or blame, almiration or ridicnle, ascordinge to the gualities of the olject, whicle they set before
as. An artist must be better qualified to succeed in this aureheusion possesses in aremate lowowled of the ternal fabric, the operations of the understanding, the workings of the passions, and the varions species of sentiment which diseriminate vice and virtue. How painful soever this inward search or enquiry may appear, it becomes, in some measure, requisite to those, who would describe with suceess the obvious and outward appearances of life and mamers. The anatomist presents to the eye the most hideous and disagreeable objects; but his science is useful to the painter in delineating even a Venus or an Helfen. While the latter employs all the richest colours of his art, and grives his figures the most graceful and engaging airs; he must still carry his attention to the inward structure of the human body, the position of the muscles, the fabric of the bones, and the use and figure of every part or organ. Accuracy is, in every case, advantageous to beanty, and just reasoning to delicate sentiment. In vain would we exalt the one by depreciating the other.

Besides, we may observe, in every art or profession, even those which most concern life or action, that a spirit of accuracy, however acquired, carries all of them nearer their perfection, and renders them more subservient to the interests of society. And though a philosopher may live remote from business, the genius of philosophy, if carefully cultivated by several, must gradually diffuse itself throughout the whole society, and bestow a similar correctness on every art and calling. The politician will acquire greater foresight and subtility, in the subdividing and balaneing of power; the lawyer more method and finer principles in his reasonings ; and the general more regularity in his discipline, and more caution in his plans and operations. 'The stability of modern govermments above the ancient, and the accuracy of modern philosophy, have improved, and probably will still improve, by similar gradations.

Were there no advantage to be reaped from these studies, beyond the gratification of an innocent curiosity, yet ought not even this to be despised : as being one accession to those few safe and harmless pleasures, which are bestowed on human race. The sweetest and most inoffonsive path of life leads through the avenues of scinne ant latmine: and

SECT. whoever can either remove any obstructions in this way, or
I. open up any new prospect, ought so far to be esteemed a benefactor to mankind. And though these researches may appear painful and fatiguing, it is with some minds as with some bodies, which being endowed with vigorous and florid health, require severe exercise, and reap a pleasure from what, to the generality of mankind, may seem burdensome and laborious. Obscurity, indeed, is painful to the mind as well as to the eye; but to bring light from obscurity, by whatever labour, must needs be delightful and rejoicing.

But this obscurity in the profound and abstract philosophy, is objected to, not only as painful and fatiguing, but as the inevitable source of uncertainty and error. Here indeed lies the justest and most plausible objection against a considerable part of metaphysics, that they are not properly a science; but arise either from the fruitless efforts of human vanity, which would penetrate into subjects utterly inaccessible to the understanding, or from the craft of popular superstitions, which, being unable to defend themselves on fair ground, raise these intangling brambles to cover and protect their weakness. Chaced from the open country, these robbers fly into the forest, and lie in wait to break in upon every unguarded avenue of the mind, and overwhelm it with religious fears and prejudices. The stoutest antagonist, if he remit his watch a moment, is oppressed. And many, through cowardice and folly, open the gates to the enemies, and willingly receive them with reverence and submission, as their legal sovereigns.

But is this a sufficient reason, why philosophers shonld desist from such researches, and leave superstition still in possession of her retreat? Is it not proper to draw an opposite conclusion, and perceive the necessity of carrying the war into the most secret recesses of the enemy? In vain do we hope, that men, from frequent disappointment, will at last abandon such airy sciences, and discover the proper province of human reason. For, besides, that many persons find too sensible an interest in perpetually recalling such topies; besides this, I say, the motive of blind despair can never reasonably have place in the sciences ; since, however monnccessful former attempts may have proved, there is still room to hope, that the industry, good fortume, or improved sagracity of succeeding generations may reach discoveries m mown to
fomer aces. hach adventurnse genins will still leap at the ardnons prize, and find himself stimulated, rather than

SECT.

1. discomated, by the fathres of his predenessors; while he hopes that the glory of atchoving so hard an adventure is reserved for him alone. The only methor of freeing learniner, at once, from these abstruse questions, is to onquire seriously into the nature of human understanding, and shew, from an exact analysis of its powers and caparity, that it is by no means fitted for such remote and abstrose suljects. We must, submit to this fitigue, in order to live at ease evor after : And monst coltivate true metaphysics with some care, in order to destroy the false and adulterate. Indulence, which, to some persons, affords a safeguard against this deceitful philosonhy, is, with others, overbalanced by curiosity ; and despair, which, at some moments, prevails, may give place afterwards to sanguine hopes and expectations. Accurate and just reasoning is the only eatholic remedy, fitted for all persons and all dispositions; and is alone able to subvert that abstruse philosophy and metaphysical jargon, which, being mixed up with pepular superstition, renders it in a mamer impenetrable to careless reasoners, and gives it the air of science and wistom.

Besides this advantage of rejecting, after deliberate enquiry, the most uncertain and disagreeable part of learning, there are many positive advantages, which result from an accurate scrutiny into the powers and faculties of human nature. It is remarkable concerning the operations of the mind, that, though most intimately present to us, yet, whenever they become the object of reflection, they seem involved in obscurity; nor can the eye readily find those lines and boundaries, which diseriminate and distingnish them. The objects are too fine to remain long in the same aspect or situation; and must be apprehended in an instant, by a superior penetration, derived from mature, and improved by habit and reflection. It becomes, therefore, no inconsiderable part of science barely to know the different operations of the mind. to separate them from each other, to class them under their proper heads, and to correct all that seeming disorder, in which they lie involved, when made the object of reflection and enquiry. This task of ordering and distinguishing, which has no merit, when performed with regad to external bodies, the objects of our senses, rises in its value, when

8ECT．directed towards the operations of the mind，in proportion to I．the difficulty and labour，which we meet with in performing it．Aud if we can go no farther than this mental geography， or delineation of the distinct parts and powers of the mind， it is at least a satisfaction to go so far；and the more obvions this science may appear（and it is by no means obvious）the more contemptible still must the ignorance of it be esteemed， in all pretenders to learning and philosophy．

Nor can there remain any suspicion，that this science is uncertain and chimerical；unless we should entertain such a scepticism as is entirely subversive of all speculation，and even action．It cannot be doubted，that the mind is endowed with several powers and faculties，that these powers are dis－ tinct from each other，that what is really distinct to the immediate perception may be distinguished by reflection； and consequently，that there is a truth and falsehood in all propositions on this subject，and a truth and falsehood， which lie not beyond the compass of human understanding． There are many obvions distinctions of this kind，such as those between the will and understanding，the imagination and passions，which fall within the comprehension of every human creature；and the finer and more philosophical dis－ tinctions are no less real and certain，though more difficult to be comprehended．Some instances，especially late ones， of success in these enquiries，may give us a juster notion of the certainty and solidity of this branch of learning．And shall we esteem it worthy the labour of a philosopher to give us a true system of the planets，and adjust the position and order of those remote bodies；while we affect to orerlook those，who，with so much success，delineate the parts of the mind，in which we are so intimately concerned $:^{1}$

[^1][^2]But maty we mot hope, that philmonhy, if coltivatod with care, and cheomarad by the attention of the public, may
sert. I. carry its researches still farthu, amd disconer, at heast in some degree, the seeret sprines ame principles, by which the haman mind is actmated in its uperations: Astrommers had long contented thamselves with prowing, from the phamomena, the true motions, odder, amb magnitude of the laavenly bobies: 'Till a phitusopher, at last, arose, who serems, from the happiest reasomine to have also determined the laws and foreses, by which the revolutions of the planets are governed and directed. The hike has been perforned with remard to other parts of nature. And there is no reason to despair of equal suceess in ome enquiries concerning the nental powers and ocomony, if prosectited with equal capacity and caution. It is probable, that one operation and prineiple of the mind depends on another; which, again, may be resolved into one more gencral and miversal: And how far these researehes may possibly be carried, it will be difticult for us, before, or even after, a careful trial, exactly to dotamime. This is tertain, that attempts of this kind are arery day made even by those who philosophize the most negligently: And nothing can be more requisite than to enter upon the enterprize with thorough care and attention ; that, if it lie within the compass of lmman monderstanding, it may at last be happily atchieved; if not, it may, however,
volent, which were supposid to st:mat in emastant Opposition and Contratriety; mor was it thought that the lattier eond ever attain their premer Ohieet hut at the Expense of the former. Among the schfish I'assions were rankil Avarice Amlition, Revenge: Ammer the lewevolent. naturat iftecetion. Friemhbip. Public spirit. Philuanhers may now perceive the Impropriety of this Itivision. It has been provil. beyond all Controversy, that even the Pissions, commonly esteem'd selfish, farry the Mind beyond self. direetly to the Olject : that tho the Satisfaction of then I'assions gives us Enjoyment, yot the Peospect of this Enjoyment is not the Cialle of the Passion, but on the contriry the Piasion is antecedent io the Lijoyment, and without the former,

[^3][^4]sEcT. be rejected with some confidence and security. This last

1. conclusion, surely, is not desirable; nor ought it to be embraced too rashly. For how much must we diminish from the beauty and value of this species of philosophy, upon such a supposition? Moralists have hitherto been aceustomed, when they considered the vast multitude and diversity of those actions that excite on approbation or dislike, to search for some common principle, on which this variety of sentiments might depend. And though they have sometimes carried the matter too far, by their passion for some one general principle; it must, however, be confessed, that they are excusable in expecting to find some general principles, into which all the vices and virtues were justly to be resolvel. The like has been the endeavour of critics, logicians, and even politicians: Nor have their attempts been wholly unsuccessful; though perhaps longer time, greater accuracy, and more ardent application may bring these sciences still nearer their perfection. To throw up at once all pretensions of this kind may justly be deemed more rash, precipitate, and dogmatical, than even the boldest and most affirmative philosoply, that has ever attempted to impose its crude dictate's and principles on mankind.

What though these reasonings coneerning human nature seem abstract, and of difficult comprehension? This affords no presumption of their falsehood. On the contrary, it scems impossible, that what has hitherto escaped so many wise and profound philosophers can be very obvions and easy. And whatever pains these researches may cost us, we may think ourselves sufficiently rewarded, not only in point of profit but of pleasure, if, by that means, we can make any addition to our stock of knowledge, in suljects of such minspeakallle importance.

But as, after all, the abstractedness of these speculations is no recommendation, but rather a disadvantage to them, and as this difficulty may perhaps be surmomited by care and art, and the avoiding of all unecessary detail, we have, in the following enquiry, attempted to throw some light upon suljects, from which uncertainty has hitherto deterred the wise, and obsemrity the ignomat. Happy, if we can mite the bendaries of the different species of philosophy, by reconciling profomd onquiry with clearness, and truth with
novelty! And still more haply, if, reasoming in this casy mamer, we can mulermine the fommations of an abstruse philosophy, which sems to have hitherto served only as a chelter tosuperstition, and a cover to abourdity and error!

Sect. II. - Of the Grigin of Ifrets.
Every ne will readily allow, that there is a comsidmable difference between the pereeptions of the mind, when a man feeds the pain of excessive heat, or the phasure of menderate warmeth, and when he afterwarls reealls to his memory this sensation, or anticipates it by his imarimation. These faculties may mimic or copy the pereentions of the senses; but they never can entirely reach the force and vivacity of the original sentiment. The ntmest we say of them, well when they operate with greatest vigour, is, that they represent their object in so lively a mamer, that we coukd almost say we feel or see it: But, except the mind be disordered by disease or madness, they never can arrive at such a pitch of vivacity, as to render these perceptions altogether mondistingrishable. All the colours of poctry, however splendid, can never paint natural objects in such a mamer as to make the description be taken for a real landskip. The most lively thought is still inferior to the dullest sensation.

We may observe a like distinction to run throngh all the other perceptions of the mind. A man in a fit of anger, is actuated in a rery different manner from one who only thinks of that emotion. If you tell me, that any person is in love, I easily monderstand your meaning, and form a just conception of his situation; but never can mistake that conception for the real disorders and agitations of the passion. When we reflect on our past sentiments and affections, our thouglit is a faithful mirror, and copies its oljects truly; but the colours which it employs are faint and dull, in comparison of those in which our original perecpitions were clothed. It requires no nice discerment or metaphysical heal to mark the distinction between them.

Here therefore we may divide all the perceptions of the mind into two classes or species, which are distinguished by their different degrees of force and vivacity. The less forcible and lively are commonly denominated Thotghts or ldeas. The
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other species want a name in our language, and in most others; I suppose, because it was not requisite for any, but, philosophical purposes, to rank them under a general term or appellation. Let us, therefore, use a little freedom, and call them Impressions; employing that word in a sense somewhat different from the usual. By the term impression, then, I mean all our more lively perceptions, when we hear, or see, or feel, or love, or hate, or desire, or will. And impressions are distinguished from ideas, which are the less lively perceptions, of which we are conscious, when we reflect on any of those sensations or movements above mentioned.

Nothing, at first view, may seem more unbounded than the thought of man, which not only escapes all human power and authority, but is not even restrained within the limits of nature and reality. To form monsters, and join incongruous shapes and appearances, costs the imagination no more trouble than to conceive the most natural and familiar objects. And while the body is confined to one planct, along which it creeps with pain and difficulty; the thought can in an instant transport us into the most distant regions of the universe; or even beyond the universe, into the unbounded chaos, where nature is supposed to lie in total confusion. What never was seen, or heard of, may yet be conceived; nor is any thing beyond the power of thought, except what implies an absolute contradiction.

But though our thought seems to possess this mbounded liberty, we shall find, upon a nearer examination, that it is really confined within very narrow limits, and that all this creative power of the mind amounts to no more than the faculty of compounding, transposing, augmenting, or diminishing the materials afforded us by the senses and experience. When we think of a golden mountain, we only join two consistent ideas, gold, and mountain, with which we were formerly acquainted. A virtuons horse we can conceive; because, from our own feeling, we can conceive rirtue; and this we may unite to the figure and shape of a horse, whieh is an animal familiar to us. In short, all the materials of thinking are derived either from our outward or inward sentiment: The mixture and composition of these belongs alone to the mind and will. Or, to express myself in philosophical languag, all our ideas or more feeble perceptions are copies of our impressions or more lively mes.

To prove this, the two following arguments will, I hope, be surficient. First, when wer analyse our thoughts or idnas, however componded or sublime, we always find, that they resolve themselves into such simple iheas as were conped from a precodent feeling or sentiment. Even those ideas, whith, at first view, scem the most wide of this origin, are fonme upon a nearer scrutiny, to be derived from it. The idea of liond, as meaning an infinitely intelligent, wise, and grond Being, arises from reflecting on the operations of our own mind, and ampmenting, withont limit, those gualitios of grodness and wisdom. We may prosednte this mquiry to what length we please ; where we shall always timd, that every idea which we examine is copied from a similar impression. Those who wonld assert, that this pasition is mot universally true nor without exception, have only one, and that an casy method of rofuting it ; by producing that idea, which, in their opinion, is not derived from this somec. It will then be incumbent on us, if we would maintain our doctrine, to produce the impression or lively perception, which corresponds to it.
secondly. If it haperen, from a defect of the organ, that a man is not susceptible of any species of sensation, we always find, that he is as little susceptible of the correspondent ideas. A blind man can form no notion of columrs; a deaf man of sounds. Restore cither of them that sense, in which he is deficient ; by opening this new inlet for his sensations, you also open an inlet for the ideas; and he finds no difficulty in conceiving these objects. The case is the same, if the object, proper for exciting any sensation, has never been applied to the organ. A Laplander or Negrof has no notion of the relish of wine. And though there are few or no instances of a like defieimey in the mind, where a person has never felt or is wholly incapable of a sentiment or passion, that belongs to his species; yet we find the same observation to take place in a less degree. A man ot midd manners can form no idea of inveterate revenge or cruelty : nor can a selfish heart easily conceive the heights of friendship and generosity. It is readily allowed, that other beings may possess many senses of which we can have no comeeption; because the ideas of them have never been introduced to ne, in the only manner, by which an idea can have access to the mind, to wit, by the actual fieling and semsation.
sECT.
11.

SECT. II.

There is, however, one contradictory phænomenon, which may prove, that it is not absolutely impossible for ideas to arise, independent of their correspondent impressiens. I believe it will readily be allowed, that the several distinct ideas of colour, which enter by the eye, or those of sound, which are conveyed by the ear, are really different from each other ; though, at the same time, resembling. Now if this be true of different colours, it must be no less so of the different shades of the same colour; and each shade produces a distinct idea, independent of the rest. For if this should be denied, it is possible, by the continual gradation of shades, to run a colour insensibly into what is most remote from it; and if you will not allow any of the means to be different, you camot, without absurdity, deny the extremes to be the same. Suppose, therefore, a person to have enjoyed his sight for thirty years, and to have become perfectly acquainted with colours of all kinds, except one particular shade of blue, for instance, which it never has been his fortune to meet with. Let all the different shades of that colomr, except that single one, be placed before him, descending gradually from the deepest to the lightest; it is plain, that he will perceive a blank, where that shade is wanting, and will be sensible, that there is a greater distance in that place between the contiguous colours than in any other. Now I ask, whether it be possible for him, from his own imagination, to supply this deficiency, and raise up to himself the idea of that particular shade, thongh it had never been conveyed to him by his senses? I believe there are few but will be of opinion that he can: And this may serve as a proof, that the simple ideas are not always, in every instance, derived from the correspondent impressions; though this instance is so simgular, that it is scarcely worth our obereving, and does not merit, that for it alone we should alter our general maxim.

Here, therefore, is a proposition, which not only seems, in itself, simple and intelligible; but, if a proper use were made of it, might render every dispute equally intelligible, and banish all that jargon, which has so long taken possession of metaphysital reasonings, and drawn disgrace upon them. All ideas, esperially abstract ones, are naturally faint and obscure: The mind has but a slender hold of them: They are apt to be confounded with other resembling ideas; and when we have often amployed any term, though without
a distinct meaming, we are apt tomargine it has at letrminate ideas, amexed to it. On the rontrary, all impresisions, that
$\therefore$ l: 1 m
11. is, all semsations, either outward or inwath, are strmer alld vivid: 'The limits between them are more exatly determimed: Nor is it easy to fall inte any error or mistake with regard to them. When we entertain, therefort, any shapieion, that a philesephieal term is emploged withont any meaniner on Belea (as is but toe frequent), we need hat rnquire, from whot impression is that supposed iden drotion? And if it be impossible to assign any, this will serve to confirm ome suspieion. By bringing ideas into so clear a light, we may reastmably hope to remove all dispute, which may arise, conerming their nature and reality. ${ }^{1}$

## Sect. III.-Of the ${ }^{2}$ Association of Ideas.

It is evident, that there is a principle of commexion between the different thoughts or ideas of the mind, and that, in their appearance to the memory or imagimation, they introduce each other with a certain degree of method and regularity. In om more serions thinking or discourse, this is so observable, that any particular thought, which breaks in upon the regular tract or chain of ideas, is immediately remarked and rejected. And even in our wildest and most wandering reveries, nay in our very dreams, we shall find,
${ }^{1}$ It is proballe that no more was meant by those who denied innate ideas, than that all ideas were copies of nurimpressions; though it must lie comfessed, that the terns, which they employed, were not chosen with such cantion. nor so exactly defined, as to prevent all mistakes about their doetrine. For what is meant ly innat? If inmate be equivalent to natural, then all the perceptions and ikeas of the mind must be allowed to be inmate or natural, in whatever sense we take the latter word, whether in opposition to what is uncommon, artificial, or miraculous. If by innate be meant, cotemporary to our birth, the dispute seems to be frivolous; nor is it worth while to enquire at what time thinking begins, whether before, at, or atter our birth. Again, the worl idea, suems to 1 e enmmonly taken in a very loose sense. ly Inike and others: is standing for any of our pereptions,
our sensations and passions, as well as thoughts. Now in this sense, I should desire to know. what can be meant by ascerting, that self-love, or resentment of injuries, or the passion between the sexer is not immate?

But w!miting these terms, impressions and ideas, in the sense ahore explained, ant understanding by imatr. what is original or copied from no precodent perception, then may we aseert. that all our impressons are innate, and our ideas not innate.

To be ingemuous. I must nwn it to be my opinion, that Mr. Lock: was betrayed into thi qu+stion by the schoolmen. who. making use of undefined terms. draw out their diaputes to a tedious length. without erer touching the print in question. A like amliguity and cireumberution sem to run through all that great philwopher's reasonings on this as well as mont uther ablegets.

2 [Connexion: lititions E ab! E.
sect. if we reflect, that the imagination ran not altogether at
II. adventures, but that there was still a comnexion upheld among the different ideas, which succeeded each other. Were the loosest and freest conversation to be transcribed, there would immediately be observed something, which connected it in all its transitions. Or where this is wanting, the person, who broke the thread of discourse, might still inform you, that there had secretly revolved in his mind a succession of thought, which had gradually led him from the subject of conversation. Among different languages, even where we cannot suspect the least comnexion or communication, it is found, that the words, expressive of ideas, the most compounded, do yet nearly correspond to each other: A certain proof, that the simple ideas, comprelended in the compound ones, were bound together by some miversal principle, which had an equal influence on all mankind.

Though it be too obvious to escape observation, that different ideas are comected together ; I do not find, that any philosopher has attempted to enmmerate or class all the principles of association; a subject, however, that seems worthy of curiosity. To me, there appear to be only three principles of comnexion among ideas, namely, Resemblance, Contiguity in time or place, and Cause or Effect.

That these principles serve to connect ideas will not, I believe, be much doubted. A picture naturally leads our thoughts to the original: ${ }^{1}$ The mention of one apartment in a building naturally introduces an enquiry or discourse concerning the others: ${ }^{2}$ And if we think of a wond, we can scarcely forbear reflecting on the pain which follows it. ${ }^{3}$ But that this enumeration is compleat, and that there are no other principles of association, except these, may be difficult to prove to the satisfaction of the reader, or even to a man's own satisfaction. All we can do, in such cases, is to run over several instances, and examine carefully the principle, which binds the different thoughts to each other, never stopping till we render the principle as general as possible. ${ }^{4}$ The more instances we examine, and the more care we em-

[^5]phoy, the more assurame shath we aropure, that the emmmerat tion, which we form from the whele, is compleat and rutire.

जロ:"「. 1 H.
 timbts: Insta:al of (anteringe intu al intail ofthinkind. which would leat intomany madans sultilties, we shath romsidere somm of the efferts of this commexton "14.n the pascions and imatimation: where we maty upen a field of spetalation nowr emtertainimg. and ferlaps mote instrustive, thath the whor.

As man is a reanomathe lefing, ams is rontimmally in parsuit of happimess, which loe hajes to attain ly the gratitication of some passion or aftection, he stldom ate or sueaks or thinks withent a purpose ant intention, He has still some olyout in riew ; and however im-
 whith he chases for the attainment of
 nor will her so much as throw away his thmights or refleqtions. Where he hopest not to reaty any satintaction from them.

In all componitions of ermias, therefore ' in re quisite that the whiter have some ?!an or whejet: athe thot he may
 mencer of thomeht, as in ath ote or drop it earehtoly, as in an epistle of essily, there man aplear some aim or intention in his first setting out, if mot in the comprosition of the whole work. A prombetion without a derign would resemble more the raving of a madman. than the sobur efforts of genius and learning.

As this rule armits of no exception, it follows, that in marmative compositions, the events or actions, which the writer relates, must be commeted together. ly some bomb or tre: Thay must he related to each other in the imagimation, and form a kind of L"nity, which may lriug them under one plan or view, and which may be the ubject or end of the writer in his first undertaking.

This comnecting primeipht among the suberal events, which form the suliject of a perem or history, may le rery diffiwent, acording to the difterent designs uf the poet or listorian. Ovin has formed his plan upon the connecting principle of resemblance. Every fabulous tramsformation, produed by the miraculous lower of the gols, falls within the eompass of his work. There needs hut this me eircomatanee in any

What tol rimer it umber his origual plan or intentiont.

An amashias or historian whas slomble


 plate. All evont whish hajpern in that,
 eonturdmentad in his design, tha' in

 all their livamity

 enter into any nampative comatmation is that of catue amd effedt: while the his-
 ing to their natural order, romomets to their seret ymomes and primples and delineates their mon remote romate phences. Ilw chouses for his sulajett a cortain purtion of that graat wham of erents, whech embere the listory of mankind: Each link in this ehain hes - mbleavorrs 10 tomeh in his maration. sometimse unavoidable ignomater renders all his attempts frutless: cometimes, he sumplife liy conju+ture what is wanting in knowledger: And always, ho is semallile. that the more mondenen the chain is, which be presents to his realors the more pertert is his protuetion. He sees, that the knowledge of canses is mot muly the mont sat infatory ; this relation or momexion leang the stromest of all others; lint also the mo:t instractive; since it is ly this knowledere atome, we: are enalle to controml cronts and arovern fatarity.

Howe therefore we may attain some notion of that L"ity of Action, about which ath eritics, after Ametotres, have talked so much: l'erhat-, to little purpose, while they directed not their taste or mentiment liy the arempary of philnsuhty It apmars, that in all 1 rodmetions, as well as in the ephe and tragie, there is a cortain unity requirel, ath
 allowed to run at adsemturas, if we would proxhee : work. which will give any lasting entortainmont to mankind.
 who should write the hite of demblat, would monert the +wota, by thewing their mutual difuntolet and relation, as: mueh it a fer 1. Whe -hombl make the

SECT. IV. Part I.

Sect. IV.-Sceptical Doults concerning the Operations of the Understanding.

## PART I.

All the objects of human reason or enquiry may naturally be divided into two kinds, to wit, Relations of Ideres, and Matters of Fact. Of the first kind are the sciences of Geometry, Algebra, and Arithmetic; and in short, every affirmation, which is either intuitively or demonstratively certain. That the square of the hypothenuse is equal to the square of the two sides, is a proposition, which expresses a
anger of that hero, the subject of his narration. ${ }^{1}$ Not only in any limited portion of life, a man's actions have a dependence on each other, but also during the whole period of his duration, from the cratle to the grave; nor is it pessible tes strike off one link. howerer minute, in this regular chain. without affecting the whole serics of events, which follow. The unity of action, therefore, which is to be found in hiography or history, liffers from that of el lic poctry, not iu kind, but in degree. In epic puetry, the comexion among the events is more close and sensible: The narration is not carried on thro' sueh a length of time: And the actors hasten to some remarkable period, which satisfies the curiosity of the reader. This eonduet of the epic poet depends on that particular situation of the magination and of the Passions, which is suppoed in that proluction. The imagination, both of writer and reader, is more emlivened, and the passiuns more enflamed than in history, bingraphy, or any species of marration, which contine themselves to strict truth and reality. Let us eonsider the efficet of these two circumstances. an enlivened imacination and enflamed passions, circumstanees, which belong io puetry, capeo cially the epic kind, above any other species of composition: and lat us examine the reason why they reguire a stricter and eloser unity in the fable.

First. All purtry, being a species
of painting, approaches us nearer to the objects than any other speeies of narration, throws a stronger light upon them, and delineates more distinetlythose minute circumstanecs, which, tho' to the hisiorian they seem superfluous, serve mightily to enliven the imagery, and gratify the fancy. It it be not necessnry, as in the Iliad to inform us each time the hero buckles his shoes, and ties his garters, it will be requisite, perhajs, to enter into a greater detail than in the Hemiane; where the wents are run over with such rapidity, that we scarer have leisure to become acquaintel with the scene or action. Were a poet, therefore, to comprehend in his suljeet any great compass of time or series of events, and trace up the death of Hector to its remote caluses, in the rape of Helss, or the julgment of Pakis, he munt draw out his prem to an jumensurable length, in orlar to fill this large canvas with just painting and imagery. The reader's imagination, enflamed with sucha series of poetieal descriptions, and his passions, agitated lya continual sympathy with the actors, must flag long before the period of the narration, and must sink into lassitude and disgryst, from the repeated violence of the same movements.

Secondly. That an epic poct must not traee the canses to any great distonee, will farther appear. it we ennsiler another remom, which is trawn from a property of the passions still more re-
${ }^{1}$ Contrary to Amistotle, Míos $\delta^{\prime}$



[^6]
# relation between these figures. That three times five is apual to the half of thirty, expresses a relation between these numbers. Propositions of this kind are discoverable by the 
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Paict 1.
m. mkahle and singular. "Tis evikent, thent in a just rompurition, all the affere
 deamined amb represonted, ald matmal fince (e) eath other ; and that while thes, harmeare all engrabl in the conmmat Mrand and eath artion in stromerly anHected with the whole, the enonern is fontimally awake, and the pasaions wate an easy trandion frem one wh-
 of the eront- as it facilitates the pasater of the thourht or imarination from one (t) :Hother, facilitat ('s alsi) the tramsfushon of the phatons, and preserves the
 direetion. Our sympathy and conerm fir Eve preparcs the way for a like sympathy with Absm: The affection is praremi almost entire in the tramsiTion: and the mine! seizes immediately the new oljeete as strongly related to that whin fomerly engrigel its atterntion. Jut were the po:t to make a thal dieresoion from his sulyect, and int poxluee as new actor, now ise commeted with the personages, the imacimation, ferling a berathin the transition, would - neter collly into the new senes, would kindle by slow degrees; an! in returnine to the main sulgeet of the form. womld pass, ats it were upon foreirn fround, and have its enncern to excite thew, in order to take party with the principal actors. The sime inconve. hinence follows in a less decret, where the pret traces his events to too great a distance, and limds torether attions. which tho not entirdy disje ine !, have notesestroner a connexion ats is reyuivito 10. forward the tramsition of the pansins. Henet arises the artifiee of the ohlique naration, employad in the
(hlyway and Ameid; where the lures in introhbed, at tirst, nater the periol of has derigns, and afterwatrin slows us, as it were in perspective the more distant wents athl cathes. By this matar. the ratur's enriosity is immediately excite..! Theevent follow witleapikity, antel in a very - lome emmexion: And the concern in prearvedalive, amt, by means of the near relation of the elijetts, contimaslly incomets, from thas beximning to the end of the maration.

Tate satue rale taken phate indramatic poetry; mor is it ever permitten, in a regular composition, L', introduce an atetor. Who has wo connexion, or but at small one, with the primeipal persunages of the fable. The spectator's coneern must wot be diverted by any seenes, diswined and sharated from therest Thin lreake the eouree of the passions, and prevent a that commanimation of the several enontions, ly wheh one scene adds force to another, aml tranfuses the pity and terror, which it excites. upon weh suecertinge acone, 'till the whole frathere that raniality of mosement, whels is foraliar to the theatre. Huw mane it extinerimothis wameth of attection to be centertained. un a smdlen. with a mew :ntion atmi new persomiges, no wise related to the former: tor find os sensible a bratela or vacuty in the cours of the pas-sions, hy means of this hreweh in the cunnexion of Wheas : amd instemb of carryins the sympathy of one setele intu the fintluwiner, to be oblired every manment. to excitc a new concern, and take farty in a new setne of action:
${ }^{1}$ To return to the emmparison of his. tory and trice fuetry, we may (o)nchute, from the furcrome reasminge, that as
' [Elitions E to Nincert the followinu pararraph:-But tho this rule of unty of attion be common to dramatio aml erse pactry; we may still whare a difference hetwixt them, whiell may, perhaps. dearve our attention. In loth these species of eomporition, 'if reguisite the action te ome ams simple, in wrder tupreare the ementh or thafathy entire and undivertent: lant in erie or marrotive pestry this ruln is
also istabishid mon amother fommat fion. eriz. the neres-ity, that is ineumbent on every wroter, fis fom -omelan
 course of narration, amb th amprehand his subject in some eromeral atotet on mated view, which mar be the comst at whject of lis attemtion As the auther is entirely los in dramate etm!ットi-
 self to be really Ireenf at the actins

SErF. mere operation of thought, without dependence on what if IV. any where existent in the universe. Though there never

Parti, were a circle or triangle in mature, the traths, demonstrated by Luclid, would for ever retain their certainty and evidence.

Matters of fact, which are the second objects of human reason, are not ascertained in the same manner; nor is our
a certain unity is requisite in all productions, it camot be wanting to history more than to any uther; that in listory, the annexion among the serema crents, which unites them into one borly, is the relation of canse and effect. the same which takes place in erie pretry; aml that in the latter composition, this comnexion is only reauired to be elositrame more sensible, on afeount of the lively imarimation ami strong passions, which must betonehed ly the poet in his nanration. The Palomenvisun war is at proper sulject for history, the siege of Athess foran epie prom. and the death of Alcimiamas for a taredy.

As the difference, therefore, 1etween history and eppe poetry comsists only in the deerees of conmexion. which hind together thoee several evints. of which their suliject is composed, it will be difficult, if not impossilhe. by words to determine exactly the lounds which separate them from each other. That is a matter of taste more than of reasoning ; and perbaps, this unity may oftem be diowored in a sulbject, where, at first viow, and from an abstract con-
sidration, we should least expect to find it.
"Tis evident, that Jomer, in the course of his narration, cxecels the first propesition of his sublenet : and that the anerel of Achilmes, whid cansed the death of Hectors is not the sme with that which frolucel so many ills to the (irfirks. But the strong connexion between these two mosements. the quick transition from one to another, the contrast ${ }^{1}$ hetwern the efferts of conerod and biseond among the prinees, and the natural empiosity which we have to sue Achllabs in action, aftor such long refone; all these canows carry on the reation, and protuce a sufheient maty in the sulpect.

It may le whjected to Milemon, that he has traced aj; his causes totoo irreat a distance and that the relellion of the ancels proluces the fall of man by a train of event-, wheh is both very long and very eama!. Nut to mention that the erestion of the world, which he has rolatem at lonerth. is no more the caluse of that eatobtrophe than of the battle of I'harnalia, or any othere
repreanentel: this reason has mo place with re garl to the stage; lut any dialerne or ernmeration mar he introturd. which, without impohblbility, might have fasid in that determinate prortion of epanco. rupusenter liy the theatre. Hence in all our Examsid comedies, even those oft (ovemeve. the unity of atiom is never strictly ohs.ryid; lint the peet thinks it suffe ient. if his persomates he ance way relatm! to atd oflor. hy hornd. or ly livinerin the som. family: and loe atterwata introduen them in gartuenlar somes, where thery display their lamore amb dhataters withont inad forwame iatr the main ation. The domble fots of Theresee are lieennes of the same lime; lent in a lesser deseree. And blue thiv comblect le not perfotly rastular. it $\mathrm{i}, ~$ not whally manitable to the nat ame of comedy, where the mosement- and
passions are mot misel to such a heright as in tratredy ; at the same 1 imme that the: foetion or repersentation pralliater,
 narmative fundm, the firu proposition or (lasign eontin's the: anthre to one -abjeet: amd any digreamonof this mathre woukl, at tirat riew. le rejocted. at albsurd and monstrms. Nefther Bociace, is Fontunge. nor any anthor of that kiml, tho flasantry lie their chicf ob-


1 (omatrat on contrariaty is a connexion anomg idens. which may. perhaps, be eomsidered. as at mixture of cansation and rasomblande. Whape two ohectes are ront:arse the one distrey the other. i. c. is the e:anse of its amilibation. and the ideat of the ammihilation of an wherett implies the idea of its former existence.
evillonee of their truth, howerer great, of a like mature with the foregoing. The contary of "very matter of fact is still persible; beramse it can never imply a contradiction, and
sfict.
IV.

Pauti. is conceived by the mind with the same facility and distinctness, as if ever so comformable tor reality. Thent the sum will mot rise to-morrow is no less intelligible a proposition, and imphes no more contratiction, than the affirmation, that it will rise. We should in vain, therefore, attempt to dernonstrate its falsehood. Were it demonstratively fillse, it would imply a contradiction, and could never be distinctly conceived by the mind.

It may, therefore, be a subject worthy of cmriosity, to empuire what is the nature of that evidence, which assures nos of any real existence and matter of fact, beyond the present testimony of our senses, or the records of our memory. This part of philosophy, it is observable, has been little cultivated, either by the ancients or moderns; and therefore our doubts and errors, in the prosecntion of so important an enomiry, maly be the more excusable; while we march through such difficult paths, without any guide or direction. 'They may even prove useful, by exciting curiosity, and destroying that implicit faith and security, which is the bane of
event. that has eser happened. But if we emoniler, on the other han l, that all
 ther ereation of the worla!, and the fall of man, resemper eath other. in luiner miraculous and out of the commom eourse of nature ; that they ane supe pesed to be contigenets in time; and that heiner derolehed from atl uther ovents, and being the only original facts, wheh revelation disediser- they strike the eve at onece. and maturnlly recall ("ach other to the thourht or imaannation: If we emosider all these circumathmes, I say, we shall fiml, that lhese parts of the action have as suticiont mity to make them le comprohamled in one falla or marration. To whid we may adh. that the relelliom of the amsels and the fall of man have a freatiar resemblante. an hemar eonntreparts to each othor, and prownting to the realer the same moral, of whediente to bur Creator.

These lonse hints I lave thoum tomether, in order to exate the enrimity
 at lanst. if not a full promataion, that
this suljeet is very colmons, and that maty "perations of the haman mind deperml on the eomexion or atandiation of dedes, whide is here explaiment. Partienlarly, the sympathy letween the passions and imarimation will. perhaן心. alpear remarkahbe; while we observe that the affections. [xatend hy whe whjeet. pase easily to another (anmoters with it ; int trimatuon themselyen with diffenalty. or mot at all, alomer different oljecta, which hase no momer uf connexion tosether. By introlmemer. into

 cions aluther lowe thet emmmantati : of emotions, ly whinh ahme he man interest the heare and raine the fate
 The full axplation of thi- primiklental








SECT. all reasoning and free enquiry. The discovery of defects in 1\%. the common philosophy, if any such there be, will not, I presume, be a discouragement, but rather an incitement, as is usual, to attempt something more full and satisfactory, than has yet been proposed to the public.

All reasonings concerning matter of fact seem to be fonnded on the relation of Cause and Effect. By means of that relation alone we can go beyond the evidence of our memory and senses. If you were to ask a man, why he believes any matter of fact, which is absent; for instance, that his friend is in the country, or in France; he would give you a reason; and this reason would be some other fact; as a letter received from lim, or the knowledge of his former resolutions and promises. A man, finding a watch or any other machine in a desart island, wonld conclude, that there had once been men in that island. All our reasonings concerning fact are of the same nature. And here it is constantly supposed, that there is a comexion between the present fact and that which is inferred from it. Were there nothing to bind them together, the inference would be entirely precarious. The hearing of an articulate voice and rational discourse in the dark assures us of the presence of some person: Why? because these are the effects of the hmman make and fabric, and closely connected with it. If we anatomize all the other reasonings of this nature, we shall find, that they are founded on the relation of cause and effeet, and that this relation is either near or remote. direct or collateral. Heat and light are collateral effects of fire, and the one effect may justly be inferred from the other.

If we would satisfy ourselves, therefore, concerning the nature of that evidence, which assures us of matters of fact, we must enquire how we arrive at the knowledge of cause and effect.

I shall venture to affirm, as a general proposition, which admits of no exception, that the knowledge of this relation is not, in any instance, attained by reasonings à priori ; but arises entirely from experience, when we find, that any particular objects are coustantly conjoined with each other. Lot an objeet be pressutod to a man of ever so strong natural reason and abilities; if that object be entirely now io him. he will not be able. by the most aceurate examina-
tion of its semsible qualities, to diseover any of its canses or effects. Abas, themph his rational tateulties be suppessen, at the wery first, entirely perfect, could not have inferred from the fluidity, and tramsurency of water, that it would suffircate him, or from the light and warmeth of fire, that it would comsmo him. No objeet ever discovers, by the fralitios which appear to the sernses, either the canses which produced it, or the effects which will arise from it; nor can our reasom, unasisted by experionce, ever draw any inference eoncerning real existence and matter of fact.

This proposition, thut rauses and affers are disconerablo, not l!! reason, but by erperienes, will readily be admitter with regard to such objects, as we remember to have once been altugether makown to us; since we must be conscions of the utter inability, which we then lay under, of foretelling. what would arise firm them. Present two smooth pieces of marble to a man, who lats mo tincture of natural philosophy; he will never discover, that they will adhere together, in such a mamer as to require great force to separate them in a direct line, while they make so small a resistance to a lateral pressure. Such events, as bear little analogy to the common course of nature, are also readily confessed to be known only by experience; nor does any man image that the explosion of sumpowder, or the attraction of a loadstone, could ever be discovered by arguments it prioni. In like mamer, when an effect is supposed to depend upon an intricate machinery or secret structure of parts, we make no difficulty in attributing all our knowledge of it to experience. Who will assert, that he can give the ultimate reason, why milk or bread is proper nourishment for a man, not for is licn or a trger?

But the same truth may not appear, at first sight, to have the same evidence with regard to events, which have become familiar to us from our first appearance in the work. which bear a close analogy to the whole course of matmere, and which are supposed to depend on the simple gualities of objects, without any secret structure of parts. We are ant to imarine, that we could discover these effects by the mere "peration of our reason, without experience. We fanco, that were we brought, on a smden, into this worll. we could at tirst have inferred, that one Billiard-ball woml eommmicate motion to anothor un, impulse: amb that we neved
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SEC'r. not to have waited for the event, in order to pronounce IV.

PartI. with certainty concerning it. Such is the influence of custom, that, where it is strongest, it not only covers our natural ignorance, but even conceals itself, and seems not to take place, merely beeanse it is found in the lighest degree.

But to convince us, that all the laws of nature, and all the operations of bodies without exception, are known only by experience, the following refleetions may, perhaps, suffice. Were any object presented to us, and were we required to pronounce concerning the effect, which will result from it, without consulting past observation ; after what mamer, I beseech yon, must the mind proceed in this operation? It must invent or imagine some event, which it ascribes to the object as its effect ; and it is plain that this invention must be entirely arbitrary. The mind can never possibly find the effect in the supposed cause, by the most accurate scrutiny and examination. For the effect is totally different from the cause, and consequently ean never be discovered in it. Motion in the second Billiard-ball is a quite distinct event from motion in the first; nor is there any thing in the one to suggest the smallest hint of the other. A stone or piece of metal raised into the air, and left without any support, immediately falls: But to consider the matter $\dot{a}$ priori, is there any thing we diseover in this situation, which ean beget the idea of a downward, rather than an upward, or any other motion, in the stone or metal?

And as the first imagination or invention of a particular effect, in all natural operations, is arbitrary, where we consult not experience; so must we also esteem the supposed tye or comection between the canse and effect, which binds them together, and renders it impossible, that any other effect could result from the operation of that caluse. When I see, for instance, a Billiard-ball moving in a straight line towards another; even suppose motion in the serome ball shond by accident bor suggested to me, as the result of their contact or impulse; may I not conceive, that a homdred different events might as well follow from that cause? May mot both these balls remain at absolnte rest? May mot the first ball return in a straight line, or leap off from the second in any line or direction: All the smpositions are consistent and conceivalle. Why then should we give the pre-
 than the rest? All whe rasmintre it priori will never be able to shew us any fommation for this preforemee.
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In a worl, then, every effect is a distinct event from its canse. It conkl not, therefore, be discovered in the canse, and the first invention or eonception of it, it priani, mast be entirely arbitrary. And even after it is surgested, the conjumction of it with the canse must appear equally arbitray : since there are always many other effecte, which, to reason, must seem fully as comsistent amd natural. In vain, therrfire, shonld we pretend to determine any sinede event, or infor any eanse or dfeet, withont the assistance of observittion and experience.

Hente wo may discover the reasom, why no philosopher, who is rational and modest, hass ever pretended to assion the ultimate canse of any matural operation, or to show distinctly the action of that pwer, which prodnces any single effect in the miverse. It is confessed, that the utmost ettiort of human reatson is, to reduce the principles, pronluetire of natmal phenomena, to a erreater simplicity, and to resolva the many partienlar eftects into a few gemeral eanses, by means of reasonings from analogy, experience, and obserfation. But as to the cathes of these general canses, we shouk in vain attempt their discovery; nor shall we eror bax ahhe to salisfy ourselves, by any particnlar explication of them. These ultinate springe and principhes are totally shat up from human curiosity and enquiry Slasticity, gravity, cohesion of pats, eommunication of motion by impulse: these are probably the ultimate camses and primeiples whirla we shall ever discorer in nature: and we naty esterem omselves sufficiently happy, if, by atcurate enoniry and reasoming, we can trace up the particular phemomenal to, or near to, these general principles. The most perfeet philusophy of the natmon kind only stares ofte on iomorance a
 moral or metaphysical kind serves onty for decomp latron protioms of it. 'Thus the observation of lmman limatness amd weakness is the result of all philosophy, iml metets us, at every turn, in spite of our endeavomrs to date or aroid it.

Nor is aremetry, when taken intor the insistane of matural philasophy, even able to remmery this defeet, wrem no into

SECT. the knowledge of ultimate causes, by all that accuracy of reasoning, for which it is so justly celebrated. Every part of mixed mathematics proceeds upon the supposition, that certain laws are established by nature in her operations; and abstract reasonings are employed, either to assist experience in the discovery of these laws, or to determine their influence in particular instances, where it depends upon any precise degree of distance and quantity. Thus, it is a law of motion, discovered by experience, that the moment or force of any body in motion is in the compound ratio or proportion of its solid contents and its velocity; and consequently, that a small force may remove the greatest obstacle or raise the greatest weight, if, by any contrivance or machinery, we can encrease the velocity of that force, so as to make it an overmatch for its antagonist. Geometry assists us in the application of this law, by giving us the just dimensions of all the parts and figures, which can enter into any species of machine ; but still the discovery of the law itself is owing merely to experience, and all the abstract reasonings in the world could never lead us one step towards the knowledge of it. When we reason $\grave{a}$ priori, and consider merely any object or cause, as it appears to the mind, independent of all observation, it never could suggest to us the notion of any distinct object, such as its effect; much less, shew us the inseparable and inviolable connection between them. A man must be very sagacious, who could discover by reasoning, that crystal is the effect of heat, and ice of cold, without being previously acquainted with the operation of these cualities.

## PART II.

But we have not, yet, attained any tolerable satisfaction with regard to the question first proposed. Each solution still gives rise to a new question as difficult as the foregoing, and leads us on to farther enquiries. When it is asked, What is the nature of all our reasonings concerning matter of fact? the proper answer seems to be, that they are founded on the relation of cause and effect. When again it is asked, What is the foundation of all our reasonings and comclusions concerning that relation? it may be replied in one word, fxperienge. But if we still carry on our sifting humour, and ask, What is the fommation of all conclusions from experience? this implies a new question, which may be of more difficult
solution and explication. Philosophers, that give themselves airs of superior wistom and sufficieney, have a hard task, when they encounter persons of inguisitive dinpesitions, who
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I'akl 11. push them from every comer, to which they retreat, and who are sure at last to bring them to some dangerons dilemma. The best expedient to prevent this anfusion, is to lo modest in our pretensions; and even to discover the difficulty ourselves before it is objected to us. By this means, we may make a kind of merit of our very ignoranee.

I shall content myself, in this section, with an easy task, and shall pretend only to give a negative answer to the guewtion here proposed. I say then, that, even after we have experience of the operations of cause and effect, our conclusions from that experience are not founded on reasoning, or any process of the understanding. This answer we must endeavour, both to explain and to defend.

It must certainly be allowed, that nature has kept us at a great distance from all her secrets, and has aftorded us only the knowledge of a few superficial qualities of objects; while she conceals from us those powers and principles, on which the influence of these objects entirely depends. Our senses inform us of the colour, weight, and consistence of bread; but neither sense nor reason can ever inform us of those qualities, which fit it for the nourishment and support of a human body. Sight or feeling conveys an idea of the actual motion of bodies; but as to that wonderful force or power, which would carry on a moving body for ever in a continued change of place, and which bodies never lose but by communicating it to others; of this we eamot form the most distant conception. But notwithstanding this ignorance of natural powers ${ }^{1}$ and principles, we always presume, when wo see like sensible qualities, that they have like secret power-, and expect, that effects, similar to those which we have experienced, will follow from them. If a body of like colome and consistence with that bread, which we have formerly eat, be presented to us, we make no scruple of repeating the: experiment, and foresee, with certanty, like nominhment and support. Now this is a process of the mind in thmonht, of which I would willingly know the fommation. It is
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Part II.
allowed on all hands, that there is no known connexion between the sensible qualities and the secret powers; and consequently, that the mind is not led to form such a conclusion concerning their constant and regular conjunction, by any thing which it knows of their nature. As to past Experience, it can be allowed to give direct and certain information of those precise objects only, and that precise period of time, which fell under its cognizance: But why this experience should be extended to future times, and to other objects, which for aught we know, may be only in appearance similar; this is the main question on which I would insist. The bread, which I formerly eat, nourished me; that is, a body of such sensible qualities, was, at that time, endued with such secret powers: But does it follow, that other bread must also nourish me at another time, and that like sensible qualities must always be attended with like secret powers? The consequence seems nowise necessary. At least, it must be acknowledged, that there is here a consequence drawn by the mind; that there is a certain step taken; a process of thought, and an inference, which wants to be explained. These two propositions are far from being the same, I have found that such an object has always been attended with such an effect, and I foresee, that other objects, which are, in appearance, similar, will be attended with similar effects. I shall allow, if you please, that the one proposition may justly be inferred from the other: I know in fact, that it always is inferred. But if you insist, that the inference is made by a chain of reasoning, I desire you to produce that reasoning. The connexion between these propositions is not intuitive. There is required a medium, which may enable the mind to draw such an inference, if indeed it be drawn by reasoning and argument. What that medimm is, I must confess, lasses my comprehension ; and it is incumbent on those to protuce it, who assert, that it really exists, and is the origin of all our conclusions concerning matter of fact.

This negative argument must certainly, in process of time, become altogether convincing, if many penetrating and able 1hilosophers shall turn their enguiries this way ; and no one be erer able to discorer any connecting propesition or intermediate step, which supports the understanding in this conclusion. lint as the question is yet new, every reader may not trust so far to his own peretration, as to conclude,
becanse an argment escapes his empuiry, that therefore it does not really exist. For this reason it may ber requisite to venture upon a more difficult task; : mo emmerating all the

SEMT.
II. Faci 11. branches of human knowledge, endeavour to shew, that none of them can afford such an argmment.

All reasonings may be divided into two kinds, namely demonstrative reasoning, or that concerning reiations of ildat, and moral' reasoning, or that concerning matter of fact and existence. That there are no demonstrative argments in the case, seems evident; since it implies no contradiction, that the course of nature may change, and that an object, scemingly like those which we have experienced, mas be attended with different or contrary effects. Miny I not clearly and distinctly conceive, that a body, falling from the clome, and which, in all other respects, resembles suow, has yet the taste of salt or feeling of fire? Is there any more intelligihle proposition than to athirm, that all the trees will flomrish in December and Jancary, and decay in May and June? Now whatever is intelligible, and can be distinctly conceived, implies no contradiction, and can never be proved false by any demonstrative argument or abstract reasoning a priori.

If we be, therefore, engaged by arguments to put trust in past experience, and make it the standard of our future judgment, these arguments must be probable only, or such as regard matter of fact and real existence, according to the division above mentioned. But that there is no argument of this kind, must appear, if our explication of that species of reasoning be admitted as solid and satisfactory. We have said, that all arguments concerning existence are founded on the relation of cause and effect; that our linowledge of that relation is derived entirely from experience; and that all our experimental conclusions proceed upon the supposition, that the future will be conformable to the past. To endeavour, therefore, the proof of this last supposition by probable arsurments, or arguments regarding existence, must be evidertly going in a circle, and taking that for granted, which is the very point in question.

In reality, all arguments from experience are fombled on the similarity, which we discover among natual oljects, and ly which we are induced to expect effects similar to those, which we have found to follow from such objects. And
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though none but a fool or madman will ever pretend to dispute the authority of experience, or to reject that great guide of human life; it may surely be allowed a philosopher to have so much curiosity at least, as to examine the principle of human nature, which gives this mighty authority to experience, and makes us draw advantage from that similarity, which nature has placed among different objects. From causes, which appear similar, we expect similar effects. This is the sum of all our experimental conclusions. Now it seems evident, that, if this conclusion were formed by reason, it would be as perfect at first, and upon one instance, as after ever so long a course of experience. But the case is far otherwise. Nothing so like as eggs ; yet no one, on account of this appearing similarity, expects the same taste and relish in all of them. It is only after a long course of uniform experiments in any kind, that we attain a firm reliance and security with regard to a particular event. Now where is that process of reasoning, which, from one instance, draws a conclusion, so different from that which it infers from a hundred instances, that are nowise different from that single one? This question I propose as much for the sake of information, as with an intention of raising difficulties. I cannot find, I cannot imagine any such reasoning. But I keep my mind still open to instruction, if any one will vouchsafe to bestow it on me.

Should it be said, that, from a number of uniform experiments, we infer a connection between the sensible qualities and the secret powers; this, I must confess, seems the same difficulty, couched in different terms. The question still recurs, on what process of argument this inference is founded? Where is the medium, the interposing ideas, which join propositions so very wide of each other? It is confessed, that the colour, consistence, and other sensible qualities of breat appear not, of themselves, to have any connexion with the secret powers of nourishment and support. For otherwise we could infer these secret powers from the first appearance of these sensible qualities, without the aid of experience; contrary to the sentiment of all philosophers, and contrary to plain matter of fact. Here then is our natural state of ignorance with regard to the powers and influence of all ohjerts. How is this remedied by experience? It ouly shews Lis a number of miform effects, resulting from certain objects,
and tanders us, that theser particalar whents, at that farficmlar time, were culowed with sump pewers and forms. Whan al mew object, embowed with similar semsible qualitiow
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 with bereth, we expect like momishment and supmert. But this surely is a step or progress of the mind, which wants to be explatined. Whom a man says, I hume fomme, in all facist
 puners: And when her salys, similen sonsille qumlitios will
 of a tantolner, nor :me these promitions in any respert the same. Yom say that the one promsition is an inference from the other. But yom must confess that the inferemere is not intuitive; meitlere is it demonstrative: ()f what mature is it them? To say it is experimmental, is herging the guestion. For all inferences from experience smpose, as their fommation, that the future will resemble the past, and that similar 1wwers will be conjoined with similar semsible qualities. If there be any suspicion, that the comse of nature may change, and that the past may be no rule for the future, all experirnce becones useless, and can give rise to no inference or conclusion. It is impossible, therefore, that any argmonests fiom experience can prove this resemblance of the past to the future: since all these arguments are founded on the :upposition of that resemblance. Let the course of things be allowed hitherto ever so regular; that alone, without some new argument or inference, proves not, that, for the future, it will continue so. In vain do you pretend to have learned the nature of boties from your past experience. Their secret nature, and consequently, all their effects and influence, may hamge, withont any change in their semsible qualities. 'This happens sometimes, and with regard to sume objects: Why may it not hapren always, and with regard to all objecte? What logic, what process of argument secures you against this supposition? My practice, you say, refates my dombt:. But you mistake the purport of my question. As an agent, I an quite satisfied in the peint: but as a philowher, who has some share of curiosity, I will not say scepticism. I want to learn the fommation of this infermes. No realiner. mo enquiry has yet bemable to remore my difficulty. an give me sutisfaction in a matter of such impertance. ('im 1 do better VOL. IV.

SECT. than propose the difficulty to the public, even though, perIV. haps, I have small hopes of obtaining a solution? We shall Pabt II. at least, by this means, be sensible of our ignorance, if we do not augment our knowledge.

I must confess, that a man is guilty of unpardonable arrogance, who concludes, because an argument has escaped his own investigation, that therefore it does not really exist. I must also confess, that, though all the learned, for several ages, should have employed themselves in fruitless search upon any subject, it may still, perhaps, be rash to conclute positively, that the subject must, therefore, pass all human comprehension. Even though we examine all the sources of our knowledge, and conclude them unfit for such a subject, there may still remain a suspicion, that the enumeration is not compleat, or the examination not accurate. But with regard to the present subject, there are some considerations, which seem to remove all this accusation of arrogance or suspicion of mistake.

It is certain, that the most ignorant and stupid peasants, nay infants, nay even brute beasts, improve by experience, and learn the qualities of matural objects, by observing the effects, which result from them. When a child has felt the sensation of pain from touching the flume of a candle, he will be careful not to put his hand near any candle; but will expect a similar effect from a cause, which is similar in its sensible qualities and appearance. If you assert, therefore, that the understanding of the child is led into this conclusion by any process of argument or ratiocination, I may justly require you to produce that argument; nor have yon any pretence to refuse so equitable a demand. You cannot say, that the argument is abstruse, and may possibly escape your enquiry ; since you confess, that it is obvious to the capacity of a mere infant. If you hesitate, therefore, a moment, or if, after reflection, you produce any intricate or profomd argument, you, in a mamer, give up the question, and confess, that it is not reasoning which engages us to suppose the past resembling the future, and to expect similar effects from causes, which are, to appearance, similar. This is the proposition which 1 intended to enforee in the present section. If I be right, I pretend not to lave made any mighty discovery. And if I be wrong, I must acknowledge myself to be incleed a very backward scholar ; since 1 camot now dis-
corer an arrament, which, it semens, was perfectly familiar
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Thar passion for philosophy, like that for reliopion, smems liable to this inconvenience, that, thomeh it aims at tha correction of our mammers, and rxtirpation of our vices, it may only serbe, by imprmbent management, to festor a predominant inclination, and push the mind, with monta deformined resulation, towamls that side, which already formes tow mucti, hy the biass and propensity of the natural tomper. It is certain, that, while we aspire to the mangatnimons firmmess of the philasophic sage and endeavome to contine oar phasures altorether within onr own minds, we may. at last. rember one philosophy like that of Epactertes and uther stoms, only a more refinel srotem of selfishness, and iemaon ourselves ont of all virtue, as well as soctial ent jonmont. While we stury with attrution the vanity of haman life, and turn all one thonghts towards the munty abll tramsitory nature of riehes amb homoms, we are perhap, all the while, flattering our natural inelolence, whielh, hating the bustle of the world, and drudgery of business. seeks at pretence of rasom, to give itself a full and momeroulad imblagence. There is, howerer, one spectes of philusophy, which seems little liable to this ineonvenience, and that beeause it strikes in with no disorderly passion of the hmman mind, nor can mingle itself with any natural affection or propensity ; and that is the Academic or Sempacal philosophy. The aeademies always talk of dombt and suspense of judgment, of danger in hasty determinations, of confining to very narrow bounds the enquiries of the mulerstambing. and it menouncing all speculations which he not within the limits of common life and fractice. Nothing. therefores. can be more contrary than such a philosophy to the supine indulence of the mind, its rash arroxance, its lofty preternsions, and its superstitions credulity. Every passion is mortified by it. exopet the love of truth : and that pasion morer is, nom "an be carried to too high a denree. It is surprising therefone,

SECT. that this philosophy, which, in almost every instance, must
v. be larmless and innocent, should be the subject of so much groundless reproach and obloquy. But, perhaps, the rery circumstance, which renders it so imocent, is what chiefly exposes it to the public hatred and resentment. By flattering no irregular passion, it gains few partizans: By opposing so many vices and follies, it raises to itself abundance of enemies, who stigmatize it as libertine, profane, and irreligious.

Nor need we fear, that this philosophy, while it endearours to limit our enquiries to common life, should ever undermine the reasonings of common life, and carry its doubts so far as to destroy all action, as weli as speculation. Nature will almays maintain her rights, and prevail in the end over any abstract reasoning whatsoever. Though we should conclude, for instance, as in the foregoing section, that, in all reasonings from experience, there is a step taken by the mind, which is not sapported by any argument or process of the understanding, there is no danger, that these reasonings, on which almost all knowledge depends, will ever be affeeted by such a discovery. If the mind be not engaged by argument to make this step, it must be induced by some other principle of equal weight and authority; and that principle will preserve its influence as long as human nature remains the same. What that principle is, may well be worth the pains of enquiry.

Suppose a person, though endowed with the strongest faculties of reason and reflection, to be brought on a sumhen into this world; he would, indeed, immediatuly observe a continual succession of oljects, and one event fillowing another ; but he would not be able to discover any thing farther. He wonld not, at first, by any reasoning, be able to reach the idea of cause and effect; since the particular powers, by which all natural operations are performed, never appear to the semses; mor is it reasonable to conchude, merely because one erent, in one instance, preceles another, that therefore the one is the canse, the other ther effect. Their conjunction may be arbitrary and casnal. There may be no reason to infer the existence of one from the appearance of the other. And in a word, such a person, withont more experience, could never employ liss conjecture or reansoning concerning any matter of fact, or be assured of any
thing beyond what was immentiately present to his momory anl senses.

Suppose again, that he las ampired more expriance, and
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Pal has lived so long in the word as to have obsemend similar whects or events to be constantly conjoined together ; what is the consequence of this experionese? He immediately infirs the existence of one object from the anmame of the other. Fot he has not, by all hiss experionce, acrouired any idea or knowledge of the secret power, by which the one ohigect produces the other ; nor is it, by my prowess of reasoning, he is engaged to draw this infermore. But still he tinds himself determined to draw it : And thomgh he shomlid be convinced, that his understanding has no part in the opration, he would nevertheless contime in the same conses of thinking. There is some other principle, which determines, hinn to form such a conclusion.

This principle is Custom or Habit. For wherever the repetition of any partienlar act or operation produces a propemsity to renew the same act or operation, without being impelled by any reasoning or process of the moderstanding; we always say, that this propensity is the effect of Ceustom. by emphoying that word, we pretend not to have siven the nltimate reason of such a propensity. We only point out a principle of hman nature, which is miversally acknowledged, and which is well known by its effects. Perhans, we (an push our encuiries no farther, or pretend to give thr canse of this canse; but must rest contented with it as the ultinate principle, which we can assign, of all our conclusions from experience. It is sufficient satisfaction, that we can go so far'; without repining at the narrowness of omr faculties, because they will carry us no farther. And it is certain we here advance a very intelligible proposition at least, if not a true one, when we assert, that, after the constant conjunction of two oljects, heat and flame, for instance, weight and soldity, we are determined by enstom alone to expect the one from the appearance of the other. This hypothesis seems even the only one, whinh explains the difticulty, why we draw, fiom a thousand instances, an inferrore, which we are not able to draw from one instaner, that is, in no respect, different from them. Reasom is hapable of and such variation. The conclusions, which it hans from considering ome cirche ate the same whinh it womb forme und
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surveying all the circles in the universe. But no man, having seen only one body move after being impelled by another, could infer, that every other body will move after a like impulse. All inferences from experience, therefore, are effects of custom, not of reasoning. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Nothing is more usual than for writers, even on moral, political, or physical subjects, to distinguish between reason and experience, and to suppose, that these species of arcumentation are entirely different from each other. The former are taken for the mere result of our intelleetual faculties, which, by considering $\dot{a}$ priori the nature of things, and examining the effects, that must follow from their operation, establish particular principles of science and philosophy. The latter are supposed to be derised entirely from sense and observation, by which we learn what has actually resulted from the operation of particular objects, and are thence able to infer. what will. for the future, result from them. Thus, for instance, the limitations and restraints of civil government, and a legal constitution, may be defended, either from reason. which reflecting on the great frailty and enrruption of human nature, teathes. that no man can safely be trusted with unlimited authority; or from experience and history, which inform us of the enormous aluses. that ambition. in wery age and country, has been found to make of so imprutent a confitence.

The same distinction between reason and experience is maintained in all our deliberations concerning the conduct of life; while the experienced statesman. general, physician, or merchant is tra-tel and foll wo d and the unpractisen novice, with whaterer natural talents endowed, neglected and darpisent. Though it be allowed, that reasom may form very plausible empectures with regat in the consequeness of sum a farticular eonduct in ond particolar circumstances; it is stili wapment imfrofect, without the as-istane of expurience, which is alome able to give thbility and certanty to the maxims. derived from study and reflewion.

But notwithotanding that this distinetion lue thus univeraliy reterial.
 of life, I hall mot scrupl topmomer, that it is, at lattom, (rremones. at


which, in any of the sciences abovementioned. are supposed to be the mere effects of reasoming and reflection, they will be found to terminate, at lant. in some general principle or conclusion. fur which we can assign no reas, on but observation and experience. The only difference between them and thosio maxims, which are vulgarly estemed the result of pure experience, is, that the former cannot be estallished without some process of thought, and some reflection on what we have observed, in order to distinguinh its circumstances, and trace its cons quences: Whereas in the latter, the experiencel event is exactly and fully similar to that which we infer as the result of any particular situation. The history of a Tibernco or a Nero makes us dread a like tyranny, were our monarchs freed from the restraints of laws and senates: But the ohservation of any frat or crudty in private life is sufficient. with the and of a little thought. to give us the same apprehension ; while it serves as an instance of the general corruption of human nature, and shews us the danger which we must incur ly reposing an entire confutuce in mankind. In both cases, it is experience which is ultimately the foundation of our inference and conclusion.

There is no man so young and unexperiencel, as not to have formel, from obsurvation. many general and just maxims concerning human :ffairs and the conduct of life'; lut it must he confussed, that, when a man enmes to put these in practice. he will be extremely liahbe to error, till time and farther experience both enlarge these maxims, and teath him their proper use and appliation. In exary situation or incident, there are many particular and steming! y mimute "iremmetanes. which the man of greate-t talents is. at first, apt to averlonk, though on them the jumtnes of his conclurions, and comeeantenty the probemet of his conduct. potirely itepanl. Not tomention, that.
 vatio an and maxim- oneme but alway
 ". . 'v anplid with the calmber*

Custom, then, is the great emilerof haman life. It is that. primejple alone, which rembers our experionce msefal to us, and makes us expert, for the future, a similar train of evonts
s:r"I.
V' —... 1'Ali! ! with these whieh have appeared in the past. Withont the intlarence of enstom, we should be entirely ignorant of arery matter of fact, begond what is immoliately persent to the momory and senses. We shonld nevor know how to arljust, means to ends, or to employ one natural powers in the prothaction of any eflect. 'There womlat be an eme at once of all action, as well as of the chief part of speculation.

But here it may be proper to remark, that thongh omr conclusions from experience carry us beyont our memory and senses, and assure us of matters of fact, which happermed in the most distant places and most remote ages ; yet some fact must always be present to the senses or memory, from which we may first proceed in drawing these conclusions. A man, who should find in a desert comntry the remains of pompons buildings, would conclude, that the comntry had, in ancient times, been enltivated by civilized inhabitants; hat did nothine of this nature ocem to him, he conld never form such an inference. We learn the events of former ares from history; but then we must pernse the volumes, in which this instruction is contained, and thence earry up our inferences from one testimony to another, till we arrive at the eye-witnesses and spectators of these distant events. In a word, if we proceed not upon some fact, present to the memory or senses, our rasonings would be merely hypothetieal ; and however the particular links might be connected with each other, the whole chain of inferences would have nothing to support it, nor could we ever, by its means, nrive at the knowledge of any real existence. If I ask, why you believe any particular matter of fact, which you relate, fou must tell me some reasom; and this reason will be some other fact, comected with it. But as you camot prosed after this mamer, in infinitum. you must at last terminate in some fact, which is present to yomr memory or senses; or must allow that your belief is matirely withont foundation.

What then is the eonclusion of the whene matter: A
and distinction. The trath i- , whe unperiented reasoneve could he no reventer att all. were he aboollaty brexpa rienced: and when we a-wiph that chat-




sEcT. simple one; though, it must be confessed, pretty remote
r.- from the common theories of philosophy. All belief of

PaktI. matter of fact or real existence is derived merely from some object, present to the memory or senses, and a customary conjunction between that and some other object. Or in other words; having found, in many instances, that any two kinds of objects, flame and heat, snow and cold, have always been conjoined together; if flame or snow be presented anew to the senses, the mind is carried by custom to expect heat or cold, and to believe, that such a quality does exist, and will discover itself upon a nearer approach. This belief is the necessary result of placing the mind in such circumstances. It is an operation of the soul, when we are so situated, as unavoidable as to feel the passion of love, when we receire benefits: or hatred, when we meet with injuries. All these operations are a species of natural instincts, which no reasoning or process of the thought and understanding is able, either to produce, or to prevent.

At this point, it would be very allowable for us to stop our philosophical researches. In most questions, we can never make a single step farther ; and in all questions, we must terminate here at last, after our most restless and curions enquiries. But still our curiosity will be pardonable, perhaps commendable, if it carry us on to still farther researches, and make us examine more accurately the nature of this belief, and of the customury comjunction, whence it is derived. By this means we may meet with some explications and analogies, that will give satisfaction; at least to such as love the abstract sciences, and can be entertained with speculations, which, however accurate, may still retain a degree of doubt and uncertainty. As to readers of a different taste; the remaining part of this section is not calculated for them, and the following enquiries may well be understood, though it be neglected.
P.IRT II.

Nothing is more free than the imagination of man ; amd though it cannot execed that original stock of iteas, finmisheel loy the internal and extemal senses, it has mimited power of mixing, compounding, separating, and diviling these ideas, in all the variedios of fiction and vision. It can feign a train of events. with all the apmarane of reality, ascribe
(1) them a partioular timu amb phare oromere them as existent, and paint them omt to its.iff with wery ciromastame, that belonges to any histurical fant, which it belieres with the

EFT
1.

Pa: II. greatest certainty. Wherein, therefore, comsists the diffirenee between such a fiction amd belief? It lios mot mewely in any peenliar idea, which is anm xed to surh a monerntom as commands our issent, and which is wanting to every lnown fiction. For as the mind hats anthority over all its ideas, it couk voluntarily amex this particular ideato any fiction, and conserquently be able to believe whaterer it pheases ; contrary to what we find by daly experimene We can, in our conception, join the heal of at man to the benty of a horse; but it is not in our power to believe, that shech an amimal has ever really existed.

It follows, therefore, that tho difference between fiction and belief lies in some sentiment or ferding, which is anmexed to the latter, not to the formere, and which depends mot on the will, nor can be commanded at pleasure. It must be excited by nature, like all uther sentiments: and must arise from the particular sitnation, in which the mind is placed at any particular juncture. Whenever any object is presented to the memory or senses it immediately, by the fieree of custom, carries the imagination to eonceive that object, whieh is usmally conjoined to it and this comception is attended with a feeling or sentiment, different from the louse reveries of the fancy. In this consists the whole nature of belief. For as there is no matter of fact which we believe so tirmly, that we camot conceive the contrary, there would be no difference between the conception assented to, and that which is rejected, were it not for some sentiment, which distinguishes the one from the other. If I see a billiard-ball moving towards another, on a smooth table, I can easily eonceive it to stop upon contact. This conception implies no contradiction; but still it feels very differently from that conception, by which I represent to myself the impmase, and the commonication of motion from one ball to anothere.

Were we to attempt a depinition of this sentiment, wo should, perhaps, find it a fery difficult, if not an impusible task; in the same mamer as if we shombendeavour to detime the feeting of cold or passion of andere, to at atature whe never had any experiene of thesembiments. Dhaffe is the


SECT. a loss to know the meaning of that term; because every man is every moment conscious of the sentiment represented by it. It may not, however, be improper to attempt a description of this sentiment; in hopes we may, by that means, arrive at some analogies, which may afford a more perfect explication of it. I say then, that belief is nothing but a more vivid, lively, forcible, firm, steady conception of an object, than what the imagination alone is ever able to attain. This variety of terms, which may seem so unphilosophical, is intended only to express that act of the mind, which renders realities, or what is taken for such, more present to us than fictions, canses them to weigh more in the thought, ant gives them a superior influence on the passions and the imagination. Provided we agree about the thing, it is needless to dispute about the terms. The imagination has the command over all its ideas, and can join and mix and vary them, in all the ways possible. It may conceive fictitions objects with ail the circumstances of place and time. It may set them, in a manner, before our eyes, in their true colomrs, just as they miglt have existed. But as it is impossible, that this faculty of imagimation can ever, of itself, reach belief, it is evident, that belief consists not in the peculiar nature or order of ideas, but in the manner of their conception, and in their feeling to the mind. I confess, that it is impossible perfectly to explain this feeling or mamer of conreption. We may make use of words, which express something near it. But its true and proper name, as we observed before, is belief; which is a term, that every one sufticiently understants in common life. And in philosophy, we can gn no farther than assert, that belief is something felt by the mind, which distingusines the idens of the jutgment tiom the fictions of the inasination. It gives them more waight and influence; makes them appear of greater importance; inforces them in the mind : and rembers them the governing prineiple of our actions. I hoar at present, for instance, at person's voice, with whom I am acrpainterl: and the sommt fomes as from the next room. This inmpession of my semses immeriately conveys my thonght to the persom, tomether with all the surroming objects. I paint them ont to myself as existing at present, with the same qualitios and relations, of which I formenly kinew them pussessed. Therse ithens take fastor hold of my mind, than ideas of an mehamed castle.

They are very difforent to the ferding, and have a murh greater influme of every kinl, withor to grive pleasure or pain, joy or somow.

Let us, then, take in the whone compass of this doctrine, and allow, that the sentiment of belief is mothing bat a eomapption more interse and stady than what attomes the mere fictions of the imagination, and that this mumer of comerpthon arises form a enstomary conjunction of the objent with something present to the memory or senses: I believe that it will not be diflicult, upen these suppositions, to fiml wher "perations of the mind amatogons to it, anl to trace up these phanomena to prineiples still more general.

We have ahrady obsersed, that mature has established comexions among particular ideas, and that no sooner one idea occurs to our thonghts than it introduces its correlative, and carries our attention towards it, by a gentle and insensible movement. These prineiples of comexion or association we have reluced to three, namely, lesemblance, Contignity, and Cansation: which are the only bonds, that unite our thomghts togethere amb beget that regular train of reflection (1r diseonrse, which, in a greater or less degree, takes phace among all mankind. Now here arises a question, on which the solution of the present diffieulty will depend. Does it hatppen, in all these relations, that, when one of the oljects is presented to the senses or momory, the mind is not anly carried to the conception of the correlative, but reaches a steadier and stronger conception of it than what otherwise it would have bren able to attain? This seems to be the case with that belief, which arises from the relation of canse and effect. Amb if the case be the same with the other relations or principles of association, this may be established as a general law, which takes place in all the operations of the mink.

We may, therefore, cobserve, as the first experiment to our present purpose, that, upon the apparance of the picture of an absent friend, our idea of him is evidently enlivenem by the ieswhbunce, and that every passion, which that idea oceasions, whether of jey or sorrow, acquires new force amb vigome. In producing this effeet, there eoncur both a relation and a present impression. Where the picture bears him no resemblane, at least was not intember for him, it never so much as conveys om thonght to lim: Ame when it is
sE:T. 1 Privju.

SECT. absent, as well as the person; though the mind may pass from the thought of the one to that of the other; it feels its idea to be rather weakened than enlivened by that transition. We take a pleasure in viewing the picture of a triend, when it is set before us; but when it is removed, rathor chuse to consider him directly, than by reflection in an image, which is equally distant and ubscure.

The ceremonies of the Roman Catiolic religion may be consirlered as instances of the same nature. The derotees of that ${ }^{1}$ superstition usually plead in excuse for the mommeries, with which they are upbraided, that they feel the grood effect of those extermal motions, and postures, and actions, in enlivening their devotion and quickening their ferrom, which otherwise would decay, if directed entirely to distant and immaterial objects. We shadow out the objects of our faith, say they, in sensible types and images, and render them more present to us by the immediate presence of these types, than it is possible for us to do, merely by an intellectual view and contemplation. Sensible objects have alwars a greater influence on the fancy than any other ; and this influence they readily convey to those ideas, to which they are related, and which they resemble. I shall only infer from these practices, and this reasoning, that the effect of resemblance in enlivening the ideas is very common : and as in every case a resemblance and a present impression must concur, we are abundantly supplied with experiments to prove the reality of the foregoing prineiple.

We may add forer to these experiments by others of a different kind, in considering the effects of contiguity as well as of resemblomer. It is certain, that distance diminishes the force of every idea, and that, upon our appronch to any objeet; though it does not discover itself to ome semses; it operates upon the mind with an influence, which imitates an immediate impression. The thinking on any object reartily transuorts the mind towhat is contignous; but it is only the actual presence of an olject, that transports it with a superior vivacity. When $I$ am a few miles from homm, whaterer pelates to it tonches mo more nombly than when lam two lmmered leagues distant ; though even at that distamer the reflecting on any thing in the neighbombonl of my friends or family naturally prohners an illom of thom. But as in

[^9]this latter ease, both the objeets of the mind are idras ; motwithstambing there is an asay transition betwern them; that fransition alone is mot able to give a suparion vivacity to ally
 of the ideas, for want of some immenliate impression. ${ }^{1}$

No onte "an doubt but eansation lass the same influence als the other two relations of resemblanere and contionuity superstitions people are fond of the religues of satats and lanly men, for the same reason, that they sond after types or images, in order to enliven thoir devotion, and give them a more intimate and strong conception of those exemplary lises, which they desire to inatate. Now it is evident, that "re of the best reliques, which a devotee comll procure, wouk be the handywork of a saint; and if his doathes and tinniture are ever to be considered in this lient, it is because they were once at his disposal, and wore moved and affected by him ; in which respect they are to be considered as imperfect effects, and as comected with him by a shorter chain of eonsequences than any of those, by which we learn the reality of his existence.

Suppose, that the son of a friend, who hat been long dead ar absent, were presented to us ; it is evident, that this object wonld instantly revive its correlative idea, and recal to one thanghts all past intimacies and familiarities, in more lively $^{\text {and }}$ folours than they would otherwise have appeared to ns. 'This is another phromomen, which seems to prove the principle abose-mentioned.

We may observe, that, in these phamomena, the belief of the eorrelative object is always presupposed; without which the relation could have no effect. 'The influence of the picture supposes, that we beliere onr friend to have once existed. Contiguity to home can never excite our ideas of home, unless we beliece that it really exists. Now I assert, that this behef, where it reaches beyond the memory or

[^10]SECT. senses, is of a similar nature, and arises from similar caluses,
$V$. with the transition of thought and vivacity of conception here
एart II. explained. When I throw a piece of dry wood into a fire, my mind is immediately carried to conceive, that it augments, not extinguishes the flame. This transition of thought from the cause to the effect proceeds not from reason. It derives its origin altogether from custom and experience. And as it first begins from an olject, present to the senses, it renders the idea or conception of flame more strong and lively tham any loose, floating reverie of the imagination. That ide: arises immediately. The thought moves instantly towards it, and conveys to it all that force of conception, which is derived from the impression present to the senses. When is sword is levelled at my hreast, does not the idea of wound and pain strike me more strongly, than when an glass of wine is presented to me, even thongh by accident this idea should oecur after the appearance of the latter object? But what is there in this whole matter to canse such a strong conception, except, only a present object and a customary transition to the idea of another object, which we have been accustomed to conjoin with the former? This is the whole operation of the mind, in all our conclusions concerning matter of fact and existence; and it is a satisfaction to find some analogies, by which it may be explained. The transition from a present object does in all cases give strength and solidity to the related idea.

Here, then, is a kind of pre-established harmony betwern the course of nature and the succession of our ideas; and thongh the powers and forces, by which the former is governed, be wholly manown to us; yet om thoughts and comcentions have still, we find, gone on in the same train with the other works of nature. Custom is that pinciple, by which this correspondence has been effected; son necessary to the subsistence of our species, and the regulation of our eonduct, in avery cireumstance and occurrence of human life. Had not the presence of an object instantly exeited the idea of those objects, commonly conjoined with it, all omr knowledge must have been limited to the narrow sphere of our memory and senses ; ant we shomh never have been able th adjust means to ends, or employ our natural powers, either to the protucing of goorl, or avoiling of exil. 'Thest, whe dalight in the discovery and comtemplation of final connes,
have hare ample suljacet to employ their wonder and admiration.

I shatl add, for a finther confirmation of the foregoing thenry, that, as this oprration of the mind, by which we inter liker effects frem like camser, and rier ersen, is so essential the the subsistence of all hmman creatmers, it is mot probable, that it conld be trusted to the fallacions dednctions of one reasom, which is slow in its opreations; appears not, in any deares, fluring the first years of infaney ; and at best is, in every age and period of human life, extremely liable to error amb mistake. It is more conformable to the ordinary wistom ,if nature to secure so neeessary an act of the mind, by some instinct or mechanical tendency, which may be infallible in its operations, may discover itself at the first appearmoe of life and thought, and may be independent of all the laboural dednctions of the maderstanding. As nature has tamght us the use of om limbs, without giving us the knowledge of the miscles and nerves, by which they are athated; so hats she implanted in us an instinct, which carris finward the thonght in a correspondent course to that which she has established among extermal objects: thmuh we are ignorant of those powers and forces, on which this rennlar course and succession of uljects totally depends.

## Section VI.- Of Problability.

Thover there be no such thing as Chance in the world ; onr ignorance of the real tause of any event has the same influence on the understanding, and begets a like species of belief or opinion.

There is certainly a probability, which arises from a superiority of chances on any side; and aceording as this superiority encreases, and surpasses the opposite chances, the probability receives a proportionable ancrease, and begets still a higher degree of beliet or assent to that side, in which we discover the superiority. If a dye were marked with one figure or number of spots on four sides, and with another

[^11]We ought to divide argummesisitodemomstrations. prouts, and protulutitios. By froot- me:ning such atermenty from expminnee at leave no remm for dunkt or anmosition.

sFer. figure or number of spots on the two remaining sides, it would be more probable, that the former would turn up than the latter; though, if it had a thousand sides marked in the same manner, and only one side different, the probability would be much higher, and our belief or expectation of the event more steady and secure. This process of the thought or reasoning may seem trivial and obvious; but to those who consider it more narrowly, it may, perhaps, afford matter for curious speculation.

It seems evident, that, when the mind looks forward to discover the event, which may result from the throw of such a dye, it considers the turning up of each particular side as alike probable; and this is the very nature of chance, to render all the particular events, comprehended in it, entirely equal. But finding a greater number of sides concur in the one event than in the other, the mind is carried more frequently to that event, and meets it oftener, in revolving the various possibilities or chances, on which the ultimate result depends. This concurrence of several views in one particular event begets immediately, by an inextricable contrivance of nature, the sentiment of belief, and gives that event the advantage over its antagonist, which is supported by a smaller number of riews, and recurs less frequently to the mind. If we allow, that belief is nothing but a firmer and stronger conception of an object than what attends the mere fictions of the imagination, this operation may, perhaps, in some measure be accounted for. The emourtence of these several views or ghmpses imprints the idea more strongly on the imagination; gives it superior force and rigour ; renders its influence on the passions and affections more sensible; and in a word, begets that reliance or seemrity, which constitntes the mature of belief and opinion.

The case is the same with the probability of causes, as with that of rh:nce. There are some canses, which are entirely uniform and constant in producing a partienlar effect; and no instance has ever yet been found of any failure or irregularity in their operation. Fire has always burned, and water suffocated every homan creature: The production of motion by impulse and gravity is an miversal law, which has hitherto admitted of no exception. But there are other canses. Which have been fomm mone inegular and meertain ; now las rhmbarb always pured a purge, or opime a soprific to every ome, who las taken these medicines. It is true.
when any canse fails of producing its usuat effect, phinosophers ascribe not this to any irrecralarity in nature; but suppose, that some serert canses, in the partioniar strocture of parts, have prevented the operation. Our reasonings, howeror, and conclusions concerning the erent are the same as if this principle hat no place. Beins dotamined by eastom to thansfer the past to the future, in all our inferences; where the past has been entirely regular ame miffom, we expent the event with the greatest assurance, and leave no room for any contrary supposition. But where different effects have been fonnd to follow from canses, which are to appearomere exactly similar, all these varions effects must oceur to the mind in transferring the past to the future, and enter into our consideration, when we determine the probability of the event. Thomeh we give the proference to that which has been found most nsual, and believe that this effect will exist, we must not orerlook the other effects, but must assign to each of them a particular weight and anthority, in proportion as we have found it to be more or less frequent. It is more probable, in almost every comntry of Emrope, that there will be frost sometime in JANCARY, than that the weather will continme upen throughont that whole month; thongh this probability varies according to the different climates, amd approaches to a certainty in the more northern kinodoms. Here then it seems evident, that, when we transfer the past to the fature, in order to determine the effect, which will result from any cause, we transfer all the different events, in the same proportion as they have appeared in the past, and conceive one to have existed a hundred times, for instance, another ten times, and another once. As a great mumber of views do here concur in one event, they fortify and eonfirm it to the imagination, beget that sentiment which we call belief, and give its object the preference above the contrary event, which is not supported by an equal mumber of experiments, and recurs not so frequently to the thought in transferring the past to the future. Let any one try to account for this operation of the mind upon any of the recerived systems of philosophy, and he will be sensible of the diffienlty. For my part, I shall think it sufficient, if the present hints exeite the euriosity of philosophers, and make them sensible how defective all common theories are in treating of such curious and such sublime subjects.

$$
\text { Sect. VII.--Of the Idea }{ }^{1} \text { of Necessary Connexion. }
$$

Part I.

## PART I.

The great advantage of the mathematical sciences above the moral consists in this, that the ideas of the former, being sensible, are always clear and determinate, the smallest distinction between them is immediately perceptible, and the same terms are still expressive of the same ideas, without ambiguity or variation. An oval is never mistaken for a circle, nor an hyperbola for an ellipsis. The isosceles and scalenum are distinguished by boundaries more exact than vice and virtue, right and wrong. If any term be defined in geometry, the mind readily, of itself, substitutes, on all occasions, the definition for the term defined: Or even when no definition is employed, the object itself may be presented to the senses, and by that means be steadily and clearly apprehended. But the finer sentiments of the mind, the operations of the understanding, the various agitations of the passions, though really in themselves distinct, easily escape us, when surveyed by reflection; nor is it in our power to recal the original object, as often as we have occasion to contemplate it. Ambiguity, by this means, is gradually introduced into our reasonings: Similar objects are readily taken to be the same: And the conclusion becomes at last very wide of the premises.

One may safely, however, affirm, that, if we consider these sciences in a proper light, their advantages and disadvantages nearly compensate each other, and reduce both of them to a state of equality. If the mind, with greater facility, retains the ideas of geometry clear and determinate, it must carry on a much longer and more intricate chain of reasoning, and compare ideas much wider of each other, in order to reach the abstruser truths of that science. And if moral ideas are apt, withont extreme care, to fall into obscmity and confusion, the inferences are always much shortur in these disquisitions, and the intermediate steps, which lead to the conclusion, much fewer than in the sciences which treat of guantity and number. In reality, there is scarcely a monosition in Eredid so simple, as mot to consist

[^12]of more parts, than are to be fomed in any moral reasoming

心に". 111. Pakil. the principles of the human mind through a few steps, we may be very well satisfied with our progress; considering how som nature throws a bar to all our enquiries concerning caluses, and reduces ns to an acknowledgment of ome ignorance. The chief obstatle, therefore, to our improvement in the momal or metaphysical sciences is the obscomity of the ideas, and ambiguity of the terms. The principal difficulty in the mathematics is the length of inferences and compass of thought, requisite to the forming of any conclusion. And, perhaps, our progress in natural philosophy is chiefly retarded by the want of proper experiments and phenomena, which are often discovered by chance, and cannot always be found, when requisite, even by the most diligent and prudent enquiry. As moral philosophy seems hitherto to have received less improvement than either geometry or physics, we may conclude, that, if there be any difference in this respect among these sciences, the difficulties, which obstruct the progress of the former, require superior care and capacity to be surmonnted.

There are no ideas, which occur in metaphysics, more whecure and meertain, than those of pover, force, energy, or nectasciy comerion, of which it is every moment necessary for us to treat in all our disquisitions. We shall, therefore, endeavour, in this section, to fix, if possible, the precise meaning of these terms, and thereby remove some part of that obscurity, which is so much complained of in this species of philesophy.

It seems a proposition, which will not admit of much dispute, that all our ideas are nothing but copies of our inpressions, or, in other words, that it is impossible for us to think of any thing, which we have not antecedently folt, either by our axtermal or internal senses. I have flodeavored ' to explain and prove this proposition, and have expreseal my hopes, that, by a proper application of it, men may reach a greater clearness and precision in philesophical reasonings, than what they have hitherto been able to attain. Complex ideas may, perlaps, be well known by definition, which is nothing but an enumeration of those parts or simple ideas, that compose them. But when we have pushed

[^13]sect. up definitions to the most simple ideas, and find still some
VII.

Part I. ambiguity and obscurity; what resource are we then possessed of? By what invention can we throw light upon these ideas, and render them altogether precise and determinate to our intellectual view? Produce the impressions or original sentiments, from which the ideas are copied. These impressions are all strong and sensible. They admit not of ambiguity. They are not only placed in a full light themselves, but may throw light on their correspondent idcas, which lie in obscurity. And by this means, we may, perhaps, attain a new microscope or species of optics, by which, in the moral sciences, the most minute, and most simple ideas may be so enlarged as to fall readily under our apprehension, and be equally known with the grossest and most sensible ideas, that can be the object of our enquiry.

To be fully acquainted, therefore, with the idea of power or necessary connexion, let us examine its impression; anl in order to find the impression with greater certainty, let us search for it in all the sources, from which it may possibly be derived.

When we look about us towards external objects, and consider the operation of causes, we are never able, in a single instance, to discover any power or necessary comexion; any quality, which binds the effect to the cause, and render's the one an infallible consequence of the other. We only find, that the one does actually, in fact, follow the other: The impulse of one billiard-ball is attended with motion in the second. This is the whole that appears to the outward senses. The mind feels no sentiment or imourd impression from this succession of objects : Consequently, there is not, in any single, particular instance of canse and effect, any thing which can suggest the idea of power or necessary connexion.

From the first appearance of an object, we never con conjecture what effect will result from it. But were the power or cuergy of any canse discoverable by the mind, we conld foresee the effect, even withont expericnce ; mind might, at first, pronounce with certainty concerning it, by the mere dint of thought amd reasoning.

In reality, there is no part of matter, that doos ever, by its semsible qualities, discover any lower or chorgy, of give
us gromed to imagine, that it cond produce any thines, or be
s:"
ill. followed by any other oljoet, which we eould denominato its reflecet. Solidity, extemsion, motion; these qualities are nll amplote in themselves, aml never point out any other "ront which may result from them. 'The scemes of the miverse are continmally shiftinge and one oljecet follows amother in an mintermpted sucesssion; but the power on forme, which actuates the whole mathime, is sutirely eoncealed from us, and never discovers itself in any of the sensible qualities of borly. We know, that, in fact, heat is a constant attendant of flame ; but what is the eommexion hetweren them, we have no room so much as to conjecture or imagine. It is impossible, therofore, that the inloid of power can be devived from the eontemplation of bodies, in single instances of their operation; because no bodies ever discover any power, which ean be the original of this idea. ${ }^{1}$

Since, therefore, external objects as they appear to the senses, rive us no idea of power or necessary connexion, by their operation in particular instances, let us see, whether this idea be derived from reflection on the operations of our own minds, and be copied fiom any internal impression. It may be said, that we are every moment conscious of internal power; while we feel, that, by the simple command of our will, we can move the organs of om body, or direct the faculties of our mind. An act of rolition produces motion in our limbs, or raises a new idea in our imagination. This influence of the will we know by conscionsness. Hence tre acquire the idea of power or energy ; and are certain, that we ourselves and all other intelligent beings are possessed of power. ${ }^{2}$ 'This idea, then, is an idea of reflection, since it arises from reflecting on the operations of our own mind, and on the command which is exercised by will, both orer the organs of the body and faculties of the ${ }^{3}$ soul.

We shall proceed to examine this pretension ; ${ }^{4}$ and first with regard to the influence of volition orer the organs of

[^14][^15]SECT. the body. This influence, we may observe, is a fact, which,
VII.
papti. like all other natural events, can be known only by experience, and can never be foreseen from any apparent energy or power in the cause, which connects it with the effect, and renders the one an infallible consequence of the other. The motion of our body follows upon the command of our will. Of this we are every moment conscious. But the means, by which this is effected; the energy, by which the will performs so extraordinary an operation; of this we are so far from being immediateiy conscious, that it must for ever escape our most diligent enquiry.

For first; is there any principle in all nature more mysterious than the union of soul with body; by which a supposed spiritual substance acquires such in influence over a material one, that the most refined thought is able to actuate the grossest matter? Were we empowered, by a secret wish, to remore mountains, or control the planets in their orbit; this extensive anthority would not be more extraordinary, nor more beyond our comprehension. But if by consciousness we perceived any power or energy in the will, we must know this power; we must know its connexion with the effect; we must know the secret union of sonl and body, and the nature of both these substances; by which the one is able to operate, in so many instances, upon the other.

Secondly, We are not able to move all the organs of the body with a like authority; though we cannot assign any reason besides experience, for so remarkable a difference between one and the other. Why has the will an influence over the tongue and fingers, not over the heart or liver? This question would never embarrass us, were we conscions of a power in the former case, not in the latter. We should then perceive, independent of experience, why the anthority of will over the organs of the body is circumscribed within such particular limits. Being in that case fully acquainted with the power or force, by which it operates, we should also know, why its influence reaches precisely to such boundaries, and no farther.

[^16]A man, suddenly struck with a palky in the lag or arm, or who had newly lost those members, frequantly endeanemes, at first, to move them, and amploy them in their usmal
ss:c. 111.
l'aiti I. offices. Here he is as much comscions of power to command such limbs, as a man in porfect health is conscions of power to actuate any member which remains in its matural state and condition. But eonscionsmess never deodives. Consequently, anther in the one case nor in the other are we eper conscions of any power. We learn the inflame of our will from experience alone. And experimee only teaches us, how one event constantly follows another ; withont instructing us in the seeret comexion, which binds them together, and renders them inseparable.

Thirdly, We learn from anatomy, that the immediate object of power in volmatary motion, is not the member itself which is moved, but certain muscles, and nerves, and animal spirits, and, perhaps, something still more minute and more unknown, through which the motion is successively propagated, ere it reach the member itself whose motion is the immediate object of volition. Can there be a more certain proof, that the power, by which this whole operation is performed, so far from being directly and fully known by an inward sentiment or conscionsness, is, to the last degree, mysterious and unintelligible: Here the mind wills a certain event: Immediately another event, unknown to ourselves, and totally different from the one intended, is produced: This event produces another, equally unknown: Till at last, through a long succession, the desired event is produced. But if the original power were felt, it must be known : Were it known, its effect must also be known; since all power is relative to its effect. And vice versa, if the effect be not known, the power camnot be known nor felt. How indeed can we be conscious of a power to move our limbs, when we have no such power; but only that to move certain animal spirits, which, though they produce at last the motion of our limbs, yet operate in such a mamer as is wholly beyond our comprehension?

We may, therefore, conelnde from the whole, I hope, without any temerity, though with assurance; that our idea of power is not copied from any sentiment or consciousness of power within ourselves, when we give rise to ammal motion, or apply our limbe to their proper use and office.

SECT. That their motion follows the command of the will is a
VII.

Part I. matter of common experience, like other natural events: But the power or energy by which this is effected, like that in other natural events, is unknown and inconceivable. ${ }^{1}$

Shall we then assert, that we are conscious of a power or energy in our own minds, when, by an act or command of our will, we raise up a new idea, fix the mind to the contemplation of it, turn it on all sides, and at last dismiss it for some other idea, when we think that we have surreyed it with sufficient accuracy? I believe the same arguments will prove, that even this command of the will gives us no real idea of force or energy.

First, It must be allowed, that, when we know a power, we know that very circumstance in the cause, by which it is enabled to produce the effect: For these are supposed to be synonimous. We must, therefore, know both the cause and effect, and the relation between them. But do we pretend to be acquainted with the nature of the human soul and the nature of an idea, or the aptitude of the one to produce the other? This is a real creation ; a production of something out of nothing: Which implies a power so great, that it may seem, at first sight, beyond the reach of any being, less than infinite. At least it must be owned, that such a power is not felt, nor known, nor even conceivable by the mind. We only feel the event, namely, the existence of an idea, consequent to a command of the will: But the manner, in which this operation is performed ; the power, by which it is produced; is entirely beyond our comprehension.

Secondly, The command of the mind orer itself is limited, as well as its command over the body; and these limits are

[^17]follows immeliately upon the will. without any exartion or summoning up of forece ; to inanimate matter. whinh is not capable of this sentiment. Scomed is. This sentimentof an endeavonr to avereome resistance has no known comnexion with any event: What fillows it, we know by experience: hut eould wot know it $\dot{a}$ jrimi. It mont. however. her confessed, that the amimal misus, which we experience, thomgh it can afforl ne arourate precise idua of pwer. enter: very much into that vulgar. inabermato iden. which in formed of it. [The last

not known by rasom, or any acfuantance with the mature of canse and effect : but only by experience and obsirvation, as in all other matmal everits and in the operation of ex-

SECT. 1'1.

PAKil. ternal objects. Our anthority owor our sentiments and paswions is mach weaker than that over our idens; and even the latter anthority is circumseribed within very narrow bombaries. Will any one pretend to assign the altimate reason of these bonndaries, or slow why the power is deficiont in one ease and not in another.

Thirll!, This self-command is very different at different times. A man in health possesses more of it, than ome languishing with sickness. We are more master of our thoughts in the morning than in the evening: Fasting, than after a full meal. Can we give any reason for these variations, except experience: Where then is the power, of which we pretend to be conscious? Is there not here, either in a spiritnal or material substance, or both, some secret mechanism or structure of parts, upon which the effect depends, and which, being entirely unknown to us, renders the power or curryy of the will equally unknown and incomprehensible?

Yolition is surely an act of the mind, with which we are sufficiently acquainted. Reflect upon it. Consider it on all sides. Do you find anything in it like this creative power, by which it raises from nothing a new idea, and with a kind of Flat, imitates the ommpotence of its Maker, if I may be allowed so to speak, who called forth into existence all the various scenes of nature? So far from being conscious of this energy in the will, it requires as certain experience, as that of which we are possessed, to convince us, that such extraordinary effects do ever result from a simple act of volition.

The gencrality of mankind never find any difficulty in accounting for the more common and familiar operations of nature; such as the descent of heavy bodies, the growth of plants, the generation of amimals, or the nourishment of bodies by food: But suppose, that, in all these cases, they perceive the very force or energy of the canse, by which it is comected with its effect, and is for ever infallible in its operation. They acquire by long habit, such in turn of mind. that, upon the appearance of the cause, they immediately expect with assurance its usual attendant, and hardly concener it possible, that any nther event could result from it.
sEct. It is only on the discovery of extraordinary phænomena, such as earthquakes, pestilence, and prodigies of any kind, that they find themselves at a loss to assign a proper cause, and to explain the mamer, in which the effect is produced by it. It is usual for men, in such difficulties, to have rosource to some invisible intelligent principle, ${ }^{1}$ as the immediate cause of that event, which surprises them, and which, they think, camot be accounted for from the common powers of nature. But philosophers, who carry their scrutiny a little farther, immediately perceive, that, even in the most familiar events, the energy of the cause is as unintelligible as in the most unusual, and that we only learn by experience the frequent Conjunction of objects, without being ever able to comprehend any thing like Connexion between them. Here then, many philosophers think themselves obliged by reason to have recourse, on all occasions, to the same principle, which the vulgar never appeal to but in cases, that appear miraculous and supernatural. They acknowledge mind and intelligence to be, not only the ultimate and original cause of all things, but the immediate and sole canse of every event, which appears in nature. They pretend, that those objects, which are commonly denominated causes, are in reality nothing but occasions; and that the true and direct principle of every effect is not any power or force in nature, but a volition of the Supreme Being, who wills, that such particular objects should, for ever, be conjoined with each other. Instead of saying, that one billiareball moves another, by a force, which it has derived from the author of nature ; it is the Deity himself, they say, who, by a particular volition, moves the second ball, being determined to this operation by the impulse of the first ball : in consequence of those general laws, which he has laid down to limself in the government of the universe. But philosophers advancing still in their enquiries, discover, that, as we are totally ignorant of the power, on which depends the mutual operation of bodies, we are no less ignorant of that power, on which depends the operation of mind on body, or of boty on mind ; nor are we able, either from onr senses or consciousness, to assion the ultimate principle in one case, more than in the other. The same ignorance, therefore, reduces them to the

[^18]same conclusion．＇They assert，that the Doity is the imme－ diate cause of the union between sonl and body；and that they are not the organs of sense，which，being agitatid he

ぶ＂「
11. Pakt I external objects，prothee smasations in the mind；but that it is a partieular volition of onr ommipotent Maker，which pxeites such a sensation，in conserfuence of such a motion in the organ．In like manner，it is mot any energy in the will， that probluces local motion in onr members：It is God hin－ self，who is pleased to second onr will，in itself impotent， and to command that motion，whicll we erroneonsly attribute to our own power and efticacy．Nor do philosophers stop at this emplnsion．They sometimes extend the same inferences to the mind itself，in its internal operations．Our mental vision or coneeption of ideas is nothing but a revelation made to us by our Maker．When we voluntarily tum our thoughts to any object，aml raise up its image in the fancy ；it is not the will whith creates that idea：It is the universal Creator， who discovers it to the mind，and renders it present to us．

Thus，according to these philosophers，every thing is full of Goul．Not content with the principle，that nothing exists but by his will，that nothing possesses any power but by his coneession：＇They rob natire，and all ereated beings，of every power，in order to render thpir dependence on the Deity still more sensible and immediate．They consider not，that，by this theory，they diminish，instead of magnifying．the gran－ deur of those attributes，which they affect so much to eele－ brate．It argues smely more power in the Deity to delegate a certain degree of power to inferior creatures，than to pro－ duce every thing by his own immediate volition．It argues more wisdom to eontrive at first the fabric of the world with such perfect toresight，that，of itself，and by its proper ＂peration，it may serve all the purposes of providence，than it the great Creator were obliged every moment to aljust its． parts，and animate by his breath all the wheels of that stu－ fendons mathine．

But if we wonld have a more philosophical confutation of this theory，perhaps the two following reflections may suttice．

Fiost，It seems to me，that this theory of the miversal energy aud operation of the Suprame Being，is too bold ereer to carry eonviction with it to a man，sufficiently apprized of the wataness of hmman reason，and the namion limits，to
sECT. which it is confined in all its operations. Though the chain
VII.

PARTI. of arguments, which conduct to it, were ever so logical, there must arise a strong suspicion, if not an absolute assurance, that it has carried us quite beyond the reach of our faculties, when it leads to conclusions so extraordinary, and so remote from common life and experience. We are got into fairy land, long ere we have reached the last steps of our theory ; and there we have no reason to trust our common method of argument, or to think that our usual analogies and probabilities have any authority. Our line is too shor't to fathom such immense abysses. And however we may flatter ourselves, that we are guided, in every step which we take, by a kind of verisimilitude and experience; we may be assured, that this fancied experience has no authority, when we thus apply it to subjects, that lie entirely out of the sphere of experience. But on this we shall have occasion to touch afterwards. ${ }^{1}$

Secondly, I cannot perceive any force in the arguments, on which this theory is founded. We are ignorant, it is true, of the manner in which bodies operate on each other: Their force or energy is entirely incomprehensible: But are we not equally ignorant of the manner or force by which a mind, even the supreme mind, operates either on itself or on body? Whence, I beseech you, do we acquire any idea of it? We have no sentiment or consciousness of this power in ourselves. We have no idea of the Supreme Being but what we learn from reflection on our own faculties. Were our ignorance, therefore, a grood reason for rejecting any thing, we should be led into that principle of denying all energy in the Supreme Being as much as in the grossest matter. We surely comprehend as little the operations of one as of the other. Is it more difficult to conceive, that motion may arise from impulse, than that it may arise from volition? All we know is our profound ignorance in both cases. ${ }^{2}$

[^19]acquires itself. These are facts. When wh call this a vis inertice, we only mark these facts, without pretemding to have any ideal of the incrt power ; in the same manner as, when we talk of gravity, we mean certain effects withont comprehemting that active power. It was never the meaning of sir Isaac New. Tos to dobl serond causes of all fores of enerry ; thongh some of his followers

## l'.ART H.

But to hasten to a comelusion of this argmment, which is already drawn ont to too great a lemgth: We have songht in vain for an idea of power or uneessary connexion, in all the soureers from which we conbld suppose it to be derived. It appears, that, in simgle instances of the comeration of boxlies, we never cam, ly om ntmost sorutiny, tiseover any thing but one event following another; withont bering able to comprehend any fore or power, by which the eansenperates, or any eomnexion between it and its supposed effect. The: same ditficulty oecurs in contemplating the operations of mind on body; where we olserve the motion of the latter to follow upon the volition of the former; but are not able to observe or conceive the tye, which binds together the motion and volition, or the energy by which the mind produces this effect. The authority of the will over its own ficulties and ideas is not a whit more comprehensible: So that, upon the whole, there appears not, throughout all nature, any one instance of comexion, which is conceivable by ns. All events seem entirely loose and separate. One event follows another; but we never can observe any tye between them. They seem comjoinen, but never comected. And as we can have no idea of any thing, which never appeared to our outward sense or inward sentiment, the necessary conclusion seems to be, that we have no idea of comexion or power at all, and that these words are absolutely withont any meaning, when employed either in philosophical reasonings, or common life.

But there still remains one method of avoiding this conclusion, and one souree which we have not yet examined. When any natural object or event is presented, it is impossible for us, by any sagacity or penetration, to discover, or even conjecture, without experience, what event will result
have endearoured to establish that theory upan his autbority. On the contrary, that great philowopher had revomres to an echerial active Hmid to explain his universal attraction ; though he was so calutions and modest as to allow, that it was a mere hypothesis, not to he insisted on, without more experiments. I must confess, that there is something in the fate of opiuions :1 lithe extrmothary. Dra Compe insimated that ductrine of the miveral

[^20]H1:CT.
111. Paci II.
sect. from it, or to carry our foresight beyond that object, which is immediately present to the memory and senses. Even
PART II. after one instance or experiment, where we have observed a particular event to follow upon another, we are not entitled to form a general rule, or foretel what will happen in like cases; it being justly esteemed an unpardonable temerity to judge of the whole course of nature from one single experiment, however accurate or certain. But when one particular species of event has always, in all instances, been conjoined with another, we make no longer any scruple of foretelling: one upon the appearance of the other, and of employing that reasoning, which can alone assure us of any matter of fact or existence. We then call the one object, Cause; the other, Effect. We suppose, that there is some connection between them; some power in the one, by which it infallibly produces the other, and operates with the greatest certainty and strongest necessity.

It appears, then, that this idea of a necessary comexion among events arises from a number of similar instances, which occur, of the constant conjunction of these events; nor can that idea ever be suggested by any one of these instancer, surveyed in all possible lights and positions. But there is nothing in a number of instances, different from every single instance, which is supposed to be exactly similar; except only, that after a repetition of similar instances, the mind is carried by habit, upon the appearance of one event, to expect its usual attendant, and to believe, that it will exist. This connexion, therefore, which we feel in the mind, this customary transition of the imagination from one object to its, usual attendant, is the sentiment or impression, from which we form the idea of power or necessary comexion. Nothing farther is in the case. Contemplate the subject on all sides; yon will never find any other origin of that idea. This is the sole differcuce botwenn one instance, from which we can never receive the illat of commexion, and anmber of similar instances, by which it is suggested. The first time a man saw the commmication of motion hy impulse, as by the shock of two billiard-balls, he conld not promomere that the one event was comperforl: lont only that it was comjoined with the other. After he hard observed several instances of this mature, he then promounces them to be commertol. What alteration has haperem to give rise to this mew hedea ofon-
merime Nothing but that he now fiols these revents to be commeted in his imagration, ant can reatily foretel the existence of one from the apparamer of the other. Whern we
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$$ say, therefore, that one object is commeded with amother, we mean omly, that they have aropuired a rombexion in onf thourht, and give rise to this infereners, by which they horome proofs of exth otheres existence : A eomelnsion, whiel is somewhat extraodinary ; hut whidh seroms fommed on sufficient evilenee. Nor will its evidente: be woakened lov any erneral ditfidence of the moterstambing, or serptical suspicion concerning every conclusion, which is mow and extraordinary. No comelusions can be more agrecable to soeptiefom than such as make diseoveries concoming the weakness and narrow limits of human reason and eapateity.

And what stronger instance can be produed of the smrprising ignorance and weakness of the understanding, than the present? For surely, if there be any relation among oljects, which it imports to us to know perfectly, it is that of canse and effect. On this are fommed all our reasoninges enncorning matter of fact or existence. By means of it alont wo attain any assurance concerning objects, which are removed from the present testimony of onr memory and senses. 'The only immediate utility of all sciences, is to teach us, how to control and regulate future events by their cunses. Onr thoughts and enquiries are, therefore, every moment, employed about this relation: Yet so impertect are the ideas which we form concerning it, that it is impossible to give any just definition of cause, except what is drawn from something extraneous and foreign to it. Similar objects are always conjoined with similar. Of this we have experience. Suitably to this experience, therefore, we may define a cause to be an oljeat, followed by amother, and where ull the objects, similar th the first, are follored by oljects similar to the secomd. 'Or, in other words, where, if the first olject had not been, the secomel never herl existed. The appearance of a cause always convers the mind, by a customary transition, to the iden of the effect. Of this also we have experience. We may, therefore, suitably to this experience, form another definition of canse: and call it, un object followed b,y amother, and uhose appertiture uluays conceys the thought to that othes. But thongh buth these definitions be drawn from circmostances foreign to the

## SECT.

cause, we cannut remedy this inconvenience, or attain any VII. more perfect definition, which may point out that circumPart II. stance in the cause, which gives it a comexion with its effect. We have no idea of this connexion; nor evell any distinct notion what it is we desire to know, when we endeavour at a conception of it. We say, for instance, that the vibration of this string is the cause of this particular sound. But what do we mean by that affirmation? We either mean, that this vibration is followed by this sound, and that all similar vibrations have been followed by similar sounds: Or, that this vibration is followed by this sound, and that upon the appearance of one, the mind anticipates the senses, and forms immediately an idea of the other. We may consider the relation of cause and effect in either of these two lights; but beyond these, we have no idea of it. ${ }^{1}$

To recapitulate, therefore, the reasonings of this section: Every idea is copied from some preceding impression or sentiment; and where we cannot find any impression, we may be certain that there is no idea. In all single instances of the operation of bodies or minds, there is nothing that pro-

[^21]nexed to them; and their ittens are very uneertain and confused. Nis animal can put external bolies in montion without the sentiment of a wisus or endeavour ; and every animal has a sontiment or feeling from the stroke wr llow of an external object, that is in motion. These sensations, which ate merely animal, and from whith we ean it primi draw no inference. we artapt to transfor to inanimate oljects, and to sipposes. that they have some such ferlings, whenever they transfer or reenivemetion. With regard to energies, which are exferterl, withont our annexing to them any idea of communieated motion, wo fonsuler only the eonstant experiented conjunction of the events: and as we for al customary emmexion between that illeas, we transfor that feeline to the ohjects; as nothing is more manal than to apply to extornal boxlies ewery intemal senwition, which they gexasion.

This mote was mdled in El. F: which. however. reat is in pato of tle seconl paragraph:

A Calmse is difforent from a Sign ; as it implies Pratendemes and ("omitrnity in 'lime and llame ats well as ematant (ionjonetion. I Siem is mothing blit is eorrelative biftect from the same ('muse.]
duees any impression, nor consequently can surgest any idea, of power or nocessary momexion. But when many miform instances appear, and the same object is always folhowed by the same ewent; we then berin to entertain the notion of canse and rommexim. Wie then fertanew sentiment or impression, to wit, a chstomary comexien in the thourht or imagination betwern ome where and its nsmal attendant; and this sentiment is the migrinal of that ideat which we seek for. For as this idea arises from a mmber of similar instances, and not from any single instance ; it must arise from that eircmastance, in which the number of instances differ from every individual instance. But this cusfomary comexion or transition of the imagrination is the only (iremmstanee, in which they differ. In every other particular they are alike. The first instance which we saw of motion, commmicated by the shock of two billiard-balls (to return to this (b)wious illustration) is exactly similar to any instance that may, at present, occur to as; except only, that we could not, at first, infer one event from the other; which we are rabled to do at present, after so long a comrse of miform "xperience. I know not, whether the reader will readily appehemd this reasoning. I an afraid, that, should I multiply words about it, or throw it into a greater varicty of lights, it would only become more obsenre and intricate. In all abstract reasonings, there is one point of view, which, if we can happly hit, we shall go farther towards illustrating the subject, than by all the eloquence and copions expression in the world. This point of riew we should endeavour to reach, and reserve the flowers of rhetorie for subjects which are more adapited to them.

## Section VIII.-Of Liberty and Necessity.

## PART I.

Tr might reasonably lee expected, in questions, which have heen canvassed and disputed with oreat eagerness, since the first origin of science and philosophy, that the meaning of all the terms, at least, should have been agreed mon among the disputants; and our enquiries, in the course of two thonsand years, been able to pass from words to the true and real subjen of the controvers. For how casy may it seem VOL. IV.
sECT. to give exact definitions of the terms employed in reasoming, and make these definitions, not the mere sound of words, the object of future scrutiny and examination? But if we consider the matter more narrowly, we shall be apt to draw a quite opposite conclusion. From this circumstance alone, that a controversy has been long kept on foot, and remains still undecided, we may presume, that there is some ambignity in the expression, and that the disputants affix differentideas to the terms employed in the controversy. For as the faculties of the mind are supposed to be naturally alike in every individual; otherwise nothing conld be more fruitless than to reason or dispute together ; it were impossible, if men affix the same ideas to their terms, that they conld so long form different opinions of the same subject; especially when they communicate their views, and each party turn themselves on all sides, in search of arguments, which may give them the victory over their antagonists. It is true; it men attempt the discussion of questions, which lie entirely beyond the reach of human capacity, such as those concerning the origin of worlds, or the œconomy of the intellectual system or region of spirits, they may long beat the air in their fruitless contests, and never arrive at any determinate conclusion. But if the question regard any subject of common life and experience; nothing, one would think, could preserve the dispute so long undecided, but some ambiguous expressions, which keep the antagonists still at a distance, and hinder then from grappling with each other.

This has been the case in the long disputed question concerning liberty and necessity; and to so remarkable a degree, that, if I be not much mistaken, we shall find, that all mankind, both leamed and ignorant, have always been of the same opinion with regard to this subject, and that a few intelligible definitions would immediately lave put an ent to the whole controversy. [ own, that this dispute has been so much canrassed on all hands, and has led philosophers into such a labyrinth of obscure sophistry, that it is no wonder, if a sensible rember indulore his ease so far as to turn a deaf ear to the proposal of such a question, from which he can expect noither instruction nor antertainment. But the state of the argmment here propersed may, perhaps, serve to renew his attention ; as it has more novelty, pomises at least somes decision of the controversy, and will not much disturb his ease by any intricate or whecare reasoming.

1 home, tharefore, th make it apmear, that all mon have ever :arem in the doetrine both of meessity and of liberty, adeording te any reasomblar sense, wheh can be pat on these terms: and that the whole contreversy has hitherte tomed merely upon words. We shatl begin with examining the doctrine of meerssity.

It is miversally allowed, that mather, in all its ogerations, is actuated by andessary fores, and that erery matmal defect is so precisely determine by the morgy of its , mase, that mo othere effect, in such particular ciremotames, could posisibly hate resulted from it. The degren and direction of exery motion is. by the laws of natme, preseribed with such exact ness, that a living creature may ats soon arise from the she k of two bodies, as motion, in any other degree or diredtion than what is actually produced by it. Would we, therofore, form a just and preceise idea of neerssity, we must consider whence that idea arises, when we apply it to the ofreration of borlies.

It seems evilent, that, if all the scenes of nature were continually shifted in such a mamer, that no two events bore any resemblance to each other, but every object was entirely new, without any similitude to whatever had been seen before, we shonld never, in that case, have attamed the least ideat of necessity, or of a commexion among these objects. Wo might say, upon such a supposition, that one object or event has followed another; not that one was produced by the other. The relation of cause and effect must be utterly manown to mankind. Tnference and reasoning concerning the operations of matme would, from that moment, be at an end : and the memory and senses remain the only camals, by which the knowledge of any real existence couk possibly have aconss to the mind. Our idea, therefore, of necessity and cansation arises entirely from the mitomity, observable in the operations of nature; where similar objects are constantly conjoined together, and the mind is determined by custom to infer the one from the apparame of the other. These two circmastanees form the whole of that necessity, which we ascribe to matter. Beyond the constant comjunction of similar objects, and the consequent inferene, from one to the other, we have no notion of any necessity, on emmexim.

If it appear, therefore, that all mamkind have wem allowed, withont any duabt on hesitation, that thase two ciremmstaness
sEct. take place in the voluntary actions of men, and in the operarIII. tions of mind; it must follow, that all mankind have ever agreed in the doctrine of necessity, and that they have hitherto disputed, merely for not understanding each other.

As to the first circumstance, the constant and regular conjunction of similar events; we may possibly satisfy ourselves by the following considerations. It is unirersally acknow. ledged, that there is a great uniformity among the actions of men, in all nations and ages, and that human nature remains still the same, in its principles and operations. The same motives always produce the same actions: The same events follow from the same causes. Ambition, ararice, selflove, vanity, friendship, generosity, public spirit; these passions, mixed in various degrees, and distributed through society, have been, from the beginning of the world, and still are, the source of all the actions and enterprizes, which have ever been observed among mankind. Would you know the sentiments, inclinations, and course of life of the Greeks and Romans? Study well the temper and actions of the French and English: You camnet be much mistaken in transferring to the former most of the observations, which you have made with regard to the latter. Mankind are so much the same, in all times and places, that history informs us of nothing new or strange in this particular. Its chief use is only to discover the constant and universal principles of human nature, by shewing men in all varieties of circumstances and situations, and furnishing us with materials, from which we may form our observations, and become acquainted with the regular springs of homan action and behaviour. These records of wars, intrigues, factions, and revolutions, are so many collections of experiments, by which the politician or moral philosopher fixes the principles of his science; in the same mamer as the physician or natural philosopher becomes acquainted with the mature of plants, minerals, and other external oljects, by theexperiments, which he forms concerning them. Nor are the earth, water, and other elements, examined by Abistotlaf, and Hippocrates, more like to those, which at present lie under our observation, than the men, described by Polybius and Tacitus, are to those, who now grovern the world.

Should a traveller, returning from a far country, bring us an account of men, wholly different from any, with whom we
were erer acquainted; men, who wore entirely diwsted of avarice, ambition, or revenge; who knew no pleasure but frientship, gemerosity, and public spirit ; we should immo-
-INT
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Pami. diately, from these circumstances, detect the falsehoorl, and prow him a lian, with the same certainty ats it he had stufferl his narration with stories of contaurs and dragons, miracles and prodigies. And if we would exphle any forgery in history, we camot make use of a more convincing argument, than to prove, that the actions, ascribed to any persom, are direetly contrary to the course of nature, and that no humam motives, in such circumstances, could ever induee him to such a conduct. The veracity of Qiantus Curties is as muth to be suspected, when he describes the supernatural courage of Aleqander, by which he was hurried on singly to attack multitudes, as when he describes his supernatural foree and aetivity, by which he was able to resist them. So readily and universally do we acknowledge a miformity in loman motives and actions as well as in the operations of body.

Hence likewise the benefit of that experience, acquired by long lite and a variety of business and company, in order to instruct us in the principles of human nature, and regulate owr future conduct, as well as speculation. By means of this gruide, we mount up to the knowledge of men's inclinations and motives, from their actions, expressions, and even gestures; and again, descend to the interpretation of their actions from our knowledge of their motives and inclinations. The general observations, treasured up by a course of experience, give us the clue of hmman nature, and teach us to unravel all its intricacies. Pretexts and appearances no longer deceive us. Public declarations pass for the specious colouring of a cause. And though virtue and honour be allowed their proper weight and anthority, that perfect disinterestedness, so often pretended to, is never expected in multitudes and parties; seldom in their leaders: and scarcely even in individuals of any rank or station. But were there no unitormity in human actions, and were every experiment, which we could form of this kind, irregular and anomalous, it were impossible to collect any general observations concerning mankind; and no experience, however accurately digestel by reflection, would ever serve to any purpose. Why is the aged husbandman more skilful in his calling than the young beginner, but because there is a certain wiformity in

SECT. the operation of the sun, rain, and earth, towards the pro-
VIII.

PART I. duction of vegetables; and experience teaches the old practitioner the rules, by which this operation is governed and directed?

We must not, however, expect, that this uniformity of human actions should be carried to such a length, as that all men, in the same circumstances, will always act precisely in the same manner, without making any allowance for the diversity of characters, prejudices, and opinions. Such a uniformity in every particular, is found in no part of nature. On the contrary, from observing the variety of conduct in different men, we are enabled to form a greater variety of maxims, which still suppose a degree of uniformity and regularity.

Are the manners of men different in different ages and countries? We learn thence the great force of custom and education, which mould the human mind from its infancy, and form it into a fixed and established character. Is the behaviour and conduct of the one sex very unlike that of the other? It is thence we become acquainted with the different characters, which nature has impressed upon the sexes, and which she preserves with constancy and regularity. Are the actions of the same person much diversified in the different periods of his life, from infancy to old age? This affords room for many general observations concerning the gradual change of our sentiments and inclinations, and the different maxims, which prevail in the different ages of human arealtares. Even the characters, which are peculiar to each individual, have a uniformity in their influence; otherwise our acquaintance with the persons and our observation of their conduct, could never teach us their dispositions, or serve to direct our behaviour with regard to them.

I grant it possible to find some actions, which seem to have no regular connexion with any known motives, and are exceptions to all the measures of conduct, which have ever been established for the government of men. But if we would willingly know, what judgment should be formed of such irregula and extranrdinary actions; we may consider the sentmints, commonly entertained with regard to those irregular events, which appear in the comes of nature, and the operations of external ohjerets. All conses are not conjoiner to their usual effects, with like miformity. An artificer, who
hamdles only data mattor, may he disappoister of his aim, as well as tha prolitiolian, who directs the comluct of semsible and intrlligent :armots.
'The rulsur, who take thiners according to their first appearance, attribute the wneertainty of events to such an mucertainty in the causes as malsers the latter oftern fail ot their usual influence ; thomerh they ment with no impediment in their operation. But philosophers, observian that, almost in every part of nature, there is eontained a vast varioty of springs and prineiples, which are hid, hy reasm of their minnteness or remoteness, find, that it is at least possible the contraricty of events may not proced from any contingency in the cause, but from the serere operation of contrary canser. This possibility is converted into certainty by farther observation; when they remark, that, upon an exact scrutiny, a eontrariety of effects always betrays a contrariety of canses, and proceeds from their mutual opposition. A peasant can trive no better reason for the stopping of any clock or wateh than to say that it does not commonly go right: But an artist easily peratres, that the same force in the sprinco bentulnm has always the same influence on the wheels: butt fitils of its usual effeet. perhaps by reason of a grain of dust, which puts a stop to the whole movement. From the obserration of several parallel instances, philosophers form a maxim, that the comnexion between all canses and effects is equally necessary, and that its seming merrtainty in some instances proceeds from the secret opposition of contrary causes.

Thus for instance, in the haman body, when the usual symptoms of health or sickness disappoint our expectation; when medicines operate not with their ronted powers; when irregular erents follow from any particular canse ; the plabosopher and physician are not surprized at the matter, nor are ever tempted to deny, in general, the neeessity and minformity of those principles, by which the animal weonomy is conkincted. They know, that a hman body is a miglaty eomplieated machine: That mary secret powers lank in it, Which are altonether beyond our comprehension : That to ns it mast oftern appear very merertain in its operations: Ame that therefore the irregular events, which outward! y diseover thomselves, can be no prof, that the laws of natime are not observed with the erreatest rewnlaity in its internal ofrrations and şorermment.

SECT. VIII.

The philosopher, if he be consistent, must apply the same reasoning to the actions and volitions of intelligent agents. The most irregular and unexpected resolutions of men may frequently be accounted for by those, who know every particular circumstance of their character and situation. A person of an obliging disposition gives a peevish answer: But he has the toothake, or has not dined. A stupid fellow discovers an uncommon alacrity in his carriage: But he has met with a sudden piece of good fortune. Or even when an action, as sometimes happens, cannot be particularly accounted for, either by the person himself or by others ; we know, in general, that the characters of men are, to a certain degree, inconstant and irregular. This is, in a manner, the constant character of human nature ; though it be applicable, in a more particular manner, to some persons, who have no fixed rule for their conduct, but proceed in a continued course of caprice and inconstancy. The internal principles and motives may operate in a uniform manner, notwithstanding these seeming irregularities; in the same manner as the winds, rain, clouds, and other variations of the weather are supposed to be governed by steady principles; though not easily discoverable by human sagacity and enquiry.

Thus it appears, not only that the conjunction between motives and voluntary actions is as regular and uniform, as that between the cause and effect in any part of nature; but also that this regular conjunction has been universally acknowledged among mankind, and has never been the subject of dispute, either in philosophy or common life. Now, as it is from past experience, that we draw all inferences concerning the future, and as we conclude, that objects will always be conjoined together, which we find to have always been conjoined ; it may seem superfluous to prove, that this experienced uniformity in human actions is a ${ }^{1}$ source, whence we draw inferences concerning them. But in order to throw the argument into a greater variety of lights, we shall also insist, though briefly, on this latter topic.

The mutual dependence of men is so great, in all societies, that scarce any human action is entirely compleat in itself, or is performed without some reference to the actions of others, which are requisite to make it answer fully the inten-

[^22]tion of the atont. The poorest artifieer, who labours alone, expects at least the protection of the maristrate, to consure him the enjoyment of the froits of his labour. He also expercts, that, when he carries his gonds to market, and offers them at a reasonable price, he shall fimb purchasers ; and shall be able, by the money he acfuires, to comgoge others to supply him with those commodities, which are remisite for his subsistrones. In proportion as men extend their dealings, and render their intercourse with others more complicated, they always comprehend in their schemes of lifi, a ogreater variety of voluntary actions, which they expect, fiom the proper motives, to co-operate with their own. In all these conclusions, they take their measures fiom past experinu", in the same manner as in their reasonings concerning external objects; and firmly believe, that men, as well as all the elements, are to continue, in their operations, the same, that they have ever found them. A manufacturer reckons mpon the labour of his servants, for the execution of any work, as much as unen the tools, which he employs, and would be equally surprized, were his expectations disappointert. In short, this experimental inference and reasoning concerning the actions of others enters so much into human life, that 1 m man, while awake, is ever a moment without employing it. Have we not reason, therefore, to affirm, that all mankind have always agreed in the doctrine of necessity, according to the foregoing definition and explication of it?

Nor have philosophers ever entertained a different opinion from the people in this particular. For not to mention, that almost every action of their life supposes that opinion ; there are even few of the speculative parts of learning, to which it is not essential. What would become of history, had we not a dependence on the reracity of the historian, aecording to the experience, which we have had of mankind? How could politics be a science, if laws and forms of government had not a uniform influence upon society: Where would be the foundation of mome, if particular characters had no eertain or determinate power to produce particular sentiments, and if these sentiments had no constant peration on actions? And with what pretence could we employ our criticiom upon any poet or polite author, if we could not pronounce the conduct. and sentiments of his actors, either natural or unatural. to such charaters, and in such cireunstances? It seems
s: © "T.
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SECT. almost inpossible, therefore, to engage, either in science or
VHIT. action of any kind, without acknowledging the doctrine of necessity, and this inference from motives to voluntary actions; from characters to conduct.

And indeed, when we consider how aptly natural and moral evidence link together, and form only one chain of argument, we shall make no scruple to allow, that they are of the same nature, and derived from the same principles. A prisoner, who has neither money nor interest, discovers the impossilility of his escape, as well when he considers the olstinacy of the gaoler, as the walls and bars, with which he is surrounded; and, in all attempts for his freedom, chases rather to work upon the stone and iron of the one, than upon the inflexible nature of the other. The same prisoner, when conducted to the scaffoll, foresces his death as certainly from the constancy and tidelity of his guards, as from the operation of the ax or wheel. His mind runs along a certain train of ideas: The refusal of the soldiers to consent to his escape; the action of the executioner; the separation of the head and body; blecdins, convulsive motions, and death. Here is a connected chain of natmal causes and voluntary actions; but the mind fecls no difference between them, in passing from one link to another: Nor is it less certain of the future event than if it were connected with the objects present to the memory or senses, by a train of canses, cemented together by what we are pleased to call a physical necessity. The same experienced mion has the same offect on the mint, whether the united objects be motives, volition, and actions; or figure and motion. We may change the manes of thinges ; but their nature and their operation on the maderstandings never change.
${ }^{1}$ Were a man, whom I know to he honest ami opulent, and with whem I live in intimate frimulship, to enme inte my louse, where I am surrounded with my servants, I rest assured, that he is not to stabl me before he leaves it, in order to rob me of my silver stamdisli; amd I no more surfect this event, than the falline of the lomse itself which is new, aml

 arise, ame shake amb tomble my lonse about my ears. I shall therefore chang the sumpsitions. I shath say, that

[^23]I know with certainty, that he is mot to put his ham into the fire, and lowd it there, till it be eonsumed: And this cwot, I thank 1 ean foretell with the same assurance, as that, if he throw himself ont at the window, and meet with no whatraction, he will not remain a moment suspended in the air. No shapicion of an monown frenzy can give the lemst pensibility to the former event, which is so comtrary to abll the known principles of hmman mature. A man who at noon laves his purse full of enold on the parment at Chamon-Cross, maty as well expere that it will fly amay like a feather, as that he will find it untonched an home after. Above one half of laman reasonings contain inferemees of a similar mature, attended with more or less dewrees of errtainty, proportioned to ome experience of the msual combuet of mankind in such particmlar situations.

I have frequently considered, what cond possibly bo the reason, why all mankinh, though they have ever, withont hesitation, acknowledged the doetrine of mecessity, in their whole practice and reasoning, have yet disconem such a reluctane to acknowledge it in words, and have rather shown a propensity, in all ages, to profess the contrary opinion. The matter, I think, may be accomenter fore aftere the following mamer. If we examine the operations of berly, and the production of effects from their canses, we shall find, that all our faculties ean never carry us farther in our knowledge of this relation, than barely to observe, that particular objects are comstently comicined together, and that the mind is carried, by a customary transition, from the appeatance of one to the belief of the other. But though this conchasion concerning haman ignorance be the result of the strictest scrutiny of this subject, men still entertain a strong propensity to believe, that they penetrate firther into the powers of nature, and perceive something like a necessary comexiom botwern the camse and the effect. When again they tum their reflections towards the operations of their own mind:, and frel no such comexion of the inotive and the artion; they are thence apt to sumpose, that there is a difiemenow between the effects, which resuit firm material force, amb those which arise from thought and intelligence. But buine once convineel, that we know mothing. farther of cansation of any kinh, than merely the comstant conjurtion of ulperets, and the consequent informo of the mind from one to amother
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SECT. and finding, that these two circumstances are universally allowed to have place in voluntary actions; we may be more easily led to own the same necessity common to all canses. And though this reasoning may contradict the systems of many philosophers, in ascribing necessity to the determinations of the will, we shall find, upon reflection, that they dissent from it in words only, not in their real sentiment. Necessity, according to the sense, in which it is here taken, has never yet been rejected, nor can ever, I think, be rejected by any philosopher. It may only, perhaps, be pretended, that the mind can perceive, in the operations of matter, some farther connexion between the cause and effect; and a connexion that has not place in the voluntary actions of intelligent beings. Now whether it be so or not, can only appear upon examination ; and it is incumbent on these philosophers to make good their assertion, by defining or describing that necessity, and pointing it out to us in the operations of material causes.

It would seem, indeed, that men begin at the wrong end of this question concerning liberty and necessity, when they enter upon it by examining the faculties of the soul, the influence of the understanding, and the operations of the will. Let them first discuss a more simple question, namely, the operations of body and of brute unintelligent matter; and try whether they can there form any idea of causation and necessity, except that of a constant conjunction of objects, and subsequent inference of the mind from one to another. If these circumstances form, in reality, the whole of that necessity, which we conceive in matter, and if these circumstances be akso universally acknowledged to take place in the operations of the mind, the dispute is at an end ; at least, must be owned to be thenceforth merely verbal. But as long as we will rashly suppose, that we have some farther idea of necessity and causation in the operations of extemal oljecets: at the same time, that we can find nothing farther, in the voluntary actions of the mind ; there is no possibility of bringing the question to any determinate issue, while we proceed upon so erroneons a supposition. The only method of undeceiving us, is, to mount up ligher; to examine the narrow extent of science when applied to material canses ; and to convince ourselves, that all we know of them, is, the constant conjunction and inference above mentioned. We
may, perhaps, find, that it is with difficnlty we are imdmond to fix such narrow limits to hmmanderstanding : But we can aftorwards find no difficulty when we come to apply this doetrime to the actions of the will. Fore as it is evident, that these have a regular comjunction with motives and circumstances and characters, and an we always draw inferences firom one to the other, we must be obliged to acknowledge in words, that necessity, which we have already avowed, in every deliberation of our lives, and in every step of our conduct and behaviour. ${ }^{1}$

But to proceed in this reconciling project with regard to the question of liberty and necessity ; the most contentions question, of metaphysics, the most contentions science; it will not require many words to prove, that all mankind have over agreed in the doctrine of liberty as well as in that of necessity, and that the whole dispute, in this respect alsor, has been hitherto merely verbal. For what is meant by liberty, when applied to voluntary actions? We camot surely mean, that actions have so little comexion with motives, inclinations, and circumstances, that one does not

[^24]demonstrative am? even intuitive proof of human liberty. We fece, that our actions are sulject to our will. on most oecasions ; and imagine we feel, that the will itnelf is sulject to nothing, becamse. when ly a denial of it we are provoked to try, we feel, that it moves easily evory way and produces an image of itselti, (or a l lellöty, as it is ealled in the sehouls) Exיn on that site, on which it did nut settle. This image, or faint motion. We persnade ourselves, could, at that time. have been eompleated into the thing itself; because, should that le demid. we find, upon a sucond trial. that, at present, it can. We eomider not, that the fantastieal desire of shew. ing liberty: is hare the motive of our ations. And it seems certain, that, however we may imagrine we feel a liberty within ourselves, a spectator can eommomly infer our actions from wor motives and elaracter ; and wem whare he canmot. he coneludes in gencmal, that he might. were he perfectly aequainted with erery eiretmatance ot our situation and tomere. and the most serert sprines of mar eomplaxion and dispesition. Now this is the rory cosenter of necessity. acour lises to the foregoing ductrine.
sECT. fullow with a certain degree of uniformity from the other, and that one affords no inference by which we can conclude the existence of the other. For these are plain and acknow ledged matters of fact. By liberty, then, we can only me:n a power of acting or not acting, accorting to the determinations. of the will; that is, if we chuse to remain at rest, we may; if we chuse to move, we also may. Now this hypothetical liberty is miversally allowed to belong to every one, who is not a prisoner and in chains. Here then is no subjeet of dispute.

Whatever definition we mar give of liberty, we shouk he careful to observe two requisite circumstances; first, that it be consistent with plain matter of fice ; secom?l!, that it bo consistent with itself. If we observe these circumstances, and render our definition intelligible, I am lersuarled that all mankind will be found of one opinion with regral to it.

It is universally allowed, that nothing exists without a cause of its existence, and that chance, when strictly examined, is a mere nergative word, and means not any real power, which has any where, a being in nature. But it is pretended, that some causes aro necessary, some not neressary. Here then is the advantage of definitions. Let any one define a cause, without comprehending, as a part of the definition, a necessary comnexion witl its effect; and let him shew distinctly the origin of the idea, expressed by the definition ; and I shall readily give up the whole controversy. But if the foregoing explication of the matter be receired, this must be absolutely impracticable. Had not objects a remular conjunction with each other, we should never have entertained any notion of cause and effect; and this remular comjunction produces that inference of the moderstanding. which is the omly commexion, that we can have any comprehension of: Whoever atternpts a definition of canse, exelnsive of these cireumstances, will be obliged, either to employ mantelligible terms, or such as are syomimons to the term, Which he endeavonrs to define. And if the definition abore

[^25]Had it heen said, that a canse is thet atter which nny himes constemtly foxists; we shomld lare umberseded the terms. Jour this is. imhenl, all we know of tho matter. Amb this comatame forms that
 any obler idea of it.
mentioned be admitted; liberty, when upmesed to nemessity, not tor comstraint, is the salne thine with chance; which is miversally allowed to hatve mexistrmed.
s!
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There is no method of reasoniner more common, and yot nome more blameable, than, in pinibsophieal disputes, to entdeavom the refutation of any hypothesis, by a pertence of its dangeras consequences to religion and morality. When any opinion leals to absumbities, it is cortamly false; but it is not, eertain that an opinion is false, beanse it is of dangeroms comseruence. Such topics, therefore, ompht entimely to be forbome' as serving nothing to the diseower of trmith, but omly to make the person of an antagonist oniana. This 1 observe in gencral, without pretending to draw any almantage from it. I framkly submit to an examination of this kind, and shali renture to affirm, that the doetrines, both of neerssity and of liberty, as above explained, are not only (onsistent with 'morality, but are absolutely essential to its support.

Necessity may be dofined two ways, conformably to the two deffinitions of cothes, of which it makes an cessential part. It emsists cither in the constant conjunction of like objects, or in the inference of the understanding from ome object to amother. Now necessity, in both these senses, (which, inded, are, at bottom, the same) has miversally, thouph tacitly, in the schools, in the pulpit, and in common life, been allowed to belong to the will of man; and no one hats erer pretemed to deny, that we can draw inferences concerning hmman actions, and that those inferences are fommed on the experienced union of like actions, with like motives, inelinations, and circumstances. The only particular, in which any one c:an differ, is, that either, perhans, he will refuse to give the name of neeessity to this property of hmman actions: But as long as the meaning is understood. I hope the word can do no ham: Or that he will maintain it possible to dismere something firther in the operations of matter. Bnat this, it must be acknowledged, can be of no consequence to morality or religion, whatever it may be to matural philownhy ar metaphysies. We may here be mistaken in asserting, that

[^26]SECT. VIII.

Part II.
there is no idea of any other necessity or connexion in the actions of body : But surely we ascribe nothing to the actions of the mind, but what every one does, and must readily allow of. We change no circumstance in the received orthodox system with regard to the will, but only in that with regard to material objects and causes. Nothing therefore can be more innocent, at least, than this doctrine.

All laws being founded on rewards and punislments, it is supposed as a fundamental principle, that these motives have a regular and uniform influence on the mind, and both produce the good and prevent the evil actions. We may give to this influence what name we please; but, as it is usually conjoined with the action, it must be esteemed a cause, and be looked upon as an instance of that necessity, which we would here establish.

The only proper object of hatred or vengeance, is a person or creature, endowed with thought and consciousness ; and when any criminal or injurious actions excite that passion, it is only by their relation to the person, or connexion with him. Actions are, by their very nature, temporary and perishing ; and where they proceed not from some cause in the character and disposition of the person who performed them, they can neither redound to his honour, if good; nor infany, if evil. The actions themselves may be blameable; they may be contrary to all the rules of morality and religion: But the person is not answerable for them ; and as they proceeded from nothing in him, that is durable and constant, aml leave nothing of that nature behind them, it is impossible he can, upon their account, become the object of punishment or vengeance. According to the principle, therefore, which denies necessity, and consequently causes, a man is as pure and untainted, after having committed the most hormid crime, as at the first moment of his birth, nor is his character any wise concerned in his actions; since they are not derived from it, and the wickedness of the one can never be used as a proof of the depravity of the other.

Men are not blamed for such actions, as they perform iqnorantly and casually, whatever may be the consequences. Why? but because the principles of these ations are only momentary, and terminate in them alone. Men are less bamed for such actions as they perform hastily and unpremerlitately, than for such as proceed from deliberation. For

What reason? hut braanse a hasty trmper, thongla a constant cause or principhe in the mind, "preates only by intervals, and infects net the whole haractur. Again, repentance
$\therefore \mathrm{Er} \mathrm{T}$.
VIII. Pakt II. wipes oft every arime, if attemmed with a reformation of life and mamers. How is this to be aroomed for ? but ly asserting, that atetons render a persom criminal, merely as they are prots of erininal prineiples in the mind ; and when, by an alteration of these primeiples, they cease to be just proots, they likewise cease to be criminal. But, except upon the doctrine of necessity, they never were just proofs, and toonsequently never were eriminal.

It will be equally easy to prove, and from the same arguments, that liberty, according to that definition above mentinnerl, in which all men agree, is also essential to morality, and that no human actions, where it is wanting, are susceptible of any moral qualities, or can be the objects either of aprobation or dislike. For as actions are objects of our moral sentiment, so far only as they are indications of the intermal character, passions, and affections ; it is impossible that they can give rise either to praise or blame, where they promed not from these principles, but are derived altogether from extermal vielence.

I pretemel not to hare obriated or remored all objections to this theory, with regard to necessity and liberty. I e:m foresee other objections, derived from topics, which have not here been treated of. It may be said, for instance, that, if voluntary actions be subjected to the same laws of necessity with the operations of matter, there is a continued chain of neeessary canses, pre-ordained and pre-determined, reaching from the original cause of all, to every single volition of every human creature. No contingency any where in the universe; no indifference; no liberty. While we act, we are, at the same time, acted upon. The ultimate Author of all our rolitions is the Creator of the world, who first bestowed motion on this immense machine, and placed all beings in that particular position, whence every subsequent event. by an inevitable necessity, must result. Human actions, therefore, either ean have no moral turpitude at all, as procesdiner from so grood a cause; or if they have any turpiturle, they must involve our Creator in the same guilt, while he is acknowledged to be their ultimate cause and author. For as a man, who fired a mine, is answerable for all the consequences,
sECT. whether the train he employed be long or short ; so wherever a continued chain of necessary canses is fixed, that Being, either finite or infinite, who produces the first, is likewise the author of all the rest, and must both bear the blame and acquire the praise, which belong to them. Our clear and unalterable ideas of morality establish this rule, upon unquestionable reasons, when we examine the consequences of any human action ; and these reasons must still have greater force, when applied to the volitions and intentions of a Being, infinitely wise and powerful. Ignorance or impotence may be pleaded for so limited a creature as man ; but those imperfections have no place in our Creator. He foresaw, he ordained, he intended all those actions of men, which we so rashly pronomnce criminal. And we must therefore conclude, either that they are not criminal, or that the Deity, not man, is accountable for them. But as either of these positions is absurd and impions, it follows, that the doctrine, from which they are deduced, cannot possibly be true, as being liable to all the same objections. An absurd consequence, if necessary, proves the original doctrine to be absurd; in the same manner as criminal actions render criminal the original cause, if the connexion between them be necessary and inevitable.

This objection consists of two parts, which we shall examine separately; First, that, if human actions can be traced up, by a necessary chain, to the Deity, they can never be criminal ; on account of the infinite perfection of that Being, from whom they are derived, and who can intend nothing but what is altogether good and laudable. Or, Secondly, if they be criminal, we must retract the attribute of perfection, which we ascribe to the Deity, and must acknowledge him to be the ultimate author of gruilt and moral turpitude in all his creatures.

The answer to the first objection seems obvions and convincing. There are many philosophers, who, after an exact serutiny of all the phenomena of nature, conchute, that the whole, considered as one system, is, in every period of its existence, ordered with perfect benevolence; and that the utmost possible happiness will, in the emt, result to all created beings, without any mixture of positive or absolute ill and misery. Fvery physical ill, say they, makes an essential part of this benevolent system, and could not pes-
sibly be remowd, even by the Deity himself, considered as a wise agrent, withont giving entrance to greater ill, or exclueding ereater grool, which will result from it. From this

SECT.
VIII.

Part II. theory, some philosophers, and the anciont staics amone the rest, derived a topie of consolation muder all afflictions, while they tanght their pupils, that those ills, under which they laboured, were, in reality, goods to the miverse; and that to an enlarged view, which could comprehend the whole system of nature, every event became an olject of joy and exultation. But thongh this topic be specions and sublime, it was sonn found in practice weak and inceffectual. You would surely more irritate, than appease a man, lying under the racking pains of the gout, by preaching up to him the rectitude of those general laws, which produced the malignant homours in his body, and led them throngh the proper canals, to the sinews and nerves, where they now excite such acute torments. These enlarged riews may, for a moment, please the imagination of a speculative man, who is placed in ease and security; but neither can they dwell with constancy on his mind, even though undisturbed by the emotions of pain or passion; much less can they maintain their ground, when attacked by such powerful antagonists. The affections take a narrower and more natural survey of their object; and by an cconomy, more suitable to the infirmity of hmman minds, regard alone the beings around us, and are actuated by such events as appear good or ill to the private system.

The case is the same with moral as with physical ill. It cannot reasonably be supposed, that those remote considerations, which are found of so little efficacy with regard to one, will have a more powerful influence with regard to the other. The mind of man is so formed by nature, that, upon the appearance of certain characters, dispositions, and actions, it immediately feels the sentiment of approbation or blame; nor are there any emotions more essential to its frame and constitution. The characters, which engage our approbation, are chiefly such as contribute to the peace and security of human society; as the characters, which excite blame, are chiefly such as tend to public detriment and disturbance: Whence it may reasonably he presumed, that the moral sentiments arise, either mediately or immediately, from a reflection on these opposite interests. What though

SECT. philosophical meditations establish a different opinion or
VIII. conjecture; that every thing is right with regard to the

Part II. whole, and that the qualities, which disturb society, are, in the main, as beneficial, and are as suitable to the primary intention of nature, as those which more directly promote its happiness and welfare? Are such remote and uncertain speculations able to comerbalance the sentiments, which arise from the natural and immediate riew of the objects? A man who is robbed of a considerable sum; does he find his rexation for the loss any wise diminished by these sublime reflections? Why then should his moral resentment against the crime be supposed incompatible with them? Or why should not the acknowledgment of a real distinction between rice and virtue be reconcileable to all speculative systems of philosophy, as well as that of a real distinction between personal beanty and deformity? Buth these distinctions are founded in the natural sentiments of the human mind: And these sentiments are not to be contronled or altered by any philosophical theory or speculation whatsoever.

The second objection admits not of so easy and satisfactory an answer; nor is it possible to explain distinctly, how the Deity can be the mediate cause of all the actions of men, without being the anthor of sin and moral turpitude. These are inysteries, which mere natural and massisted reason is very unfit to handle; and whatever system she embraws, she must find herself involved in inextricable difficulties, and even contradictions, at every step which she takes with regard to such subjects. To reconcile the indifference and contingency of human actions with prescience; or to defend absolute decrees, and yet free the Deity from being the author of sin, has been found hitherto to exceed all the power of philosophy. llapry, if she be thence sensible of her temerity, when she pries into these sublime mysterits; and leaving a scene so full of obscurities and perplexities, return, with suitable modesty, to her true and proper province, the examination of common life; where she will find difficulties enow to employ her enguiries, without lameling into su boundless an ocean of donbt, uncertainty, and contradiction!

## Section LX.-Of the Renson of Animels.

Ahb our reasonings concerning mathor of fact are founded on "t spectins of Arabogy, which leads us to expeet from any caluse the same events, which we have observed to result from similar causes. Where the canses are entirely similar, the analogy is perfect, and the inferenee, Jrawn from it, is regarded as certain and conclusive: Nor does any man ever entertain a doubt, where he sees a piece of iron, that it will have weinht and cohesion of parts; as in all wther instances, which have ever fallem under his observation. But where the objects. have not so exat a similarity, the amangy is less perfect, and the inference is less conclusive ; thounh still it has some fince, in proportion to the degree of similarity and resemblance. The anatomical observations, formed upon one animal, are, by this species of reasoning, extended to all animals: and it is certain, that when the circuation of the homed, for instance, is clearly proved to have plate in one oreature, ats a frog, or fish. it forms a strong presmmption. that the same principle hat place in all. These analogical (h) servations may lee carried farther, even to this science, of which we are bow treating: and any theory, by which we explatin the oprations of the understanding, or the origin and comexion of the passioms in man, will aequire additional anthority, if we find, that the same theory is remuisite to exphan the same phæomena in all other ammals. We shall make trial of this, with regrand to the hypothesis, by which, we have, in the foregoing discourse, endearoured to accome for all experimental reasonings; and it is hopen, that this new point of riew will serve to confirm all our former obserrations.

First, It seems erident, that animals, as well as men, learn many thing from experience, and infer, that the same erents will alwnys follow from the same calles. By this principle they berome aequainted with the more obvions porperties of external injects, and gradualle, firom their birth, theasure up a knowlonge of the nature of fire, water. carth. stones. heights, depths. de. and of the effects, which result from their operation. The ignorane and inexperience of the young are here plainly distinguishable from the cunning and

SECT. sagacity of the old, who have learned, by long observation, to avoid what hurt them, and to pursue what gave ease or pleasure. A horse, that has been accustomed to the field, becomes acquainted with the proper height, which he can leap, and will never attempt what exceeds his force and ability. An old greyhound will trust the more fatiguing part of the chase to the younger, and will place himself so as to meet the hare in her doubles; nor are the conjectures, which he forms on this occasion, founded in any thing but his observation and experience.

This is still more evident from the effects of discipline and education on animals, who, by the proper application of rewards and punishments, may be taught any course of action, the most contrary to their natural instincts and propensities. Is it not experience, which renders a dog apprehensive of pain, when you menace him, or lift up the whip to beat him? Is it not even experience, which makes him answer to his name, and infer, from such an arbitrary sound, that you mean him rather than any of his fellows, and intend to call him, when you pronounce it in a certain manner, and with a certain tone and accent?

In all these cases, we may observe, that the animal infers some fact beyond what immediately strikes his senses; and that this inference is altogether founded on past experience, while the creature expects from the present object the same consequences, which it has always found in its observation to result from similar objects.

Secondly, It is impossible, that this inference of the animal can be founded on any process of argument or reasoning, by which he concludes, that like events mnst follow like objects, and that the course of nature will always be regular in its operations. For if there be in reality any arguments of this nature, they surely lie too abstruse for the observation of such imperfect understandings; since it may well employ the utmost care and attention of a philosophic genins to discover and observe them. Animals, therefore, are not grided in these inferences by reasoning: Neither are children: Neithex are the generality of mankind, in their ordinary actions and conclusions: Neither are philosophers themselves, who, in all the active parts of life, are, in the main, the same with the vulgar, and are governed by the same maxims. Nature must have provided some other principle, of more ready. and
more gromeral use amb applation ; now can an operation of such immense consequenere in life, ats that of inferring effects

SEMC. IX. from canses, be trusted to the mourtain process of reasoming and arequmentation. Were this donbthol with regard to men, it seems to admit of no question with regarl to the brute creation: aml the conclusion being once tirmly established in the one we have a strong persmmetion, from all the rules of analogy, that it ought to be universally admitted, without any excegtion or reserve. It is chstom alome, which nengiges animals, from ewery whect, that strikes their senses, to infer its usual attembat, and carries their imagimation, from the apparance of the one, forenceive the other. in that partieular manner, which we denominate beliof. No other explication can be given of this operation, in all the higher, as well as lower classes of sensitive beings, which fall under our notice and observation.'

[^27]${ }^{1}$ [This note was addul in Edition F'].

SECT. But though animals learn many parts of their knowledge from observation, there are also many parts of it, which they derive from the original hand of nature; which much exceed the share of capacity they possess on ordinary occasions ; and in which they improve, little or nothing, by the longest practice and experience. These we denominate Ixstincts, and are so apt to admire, as something very extraordinary, and inexplicable by all the disquisitions of human understanding. But our wonder will, perhaps, cease or diminish ; when we consider, that the experimental reasoning itself, which we possess in common with beasts, and on which the whole conduct of life depends, is nothing but a species of instinct or mechanical power, that acts in us unknown to ourselves; and in its chief operations, is not directed by any such relations or comparisons of ideas, as are the proper oljects of our intellectual faculties. Thongh the instinct be different, yet still it is an instinct, which teaches a man to avoid the fire; as much as that, which teaches a bird, with such exactness, the art of incubation, and the whole œconomy and order of its nursery.

## Section X.-Of Miracles.

## PART I.

There is, in Dr. Tillotsor's writings, an argument against the real presence, which is as concise, and elegant, and strong as any argument can possibly be supposed against a doctrine, so little worthy of a serions refutation. It is acknowledged on all hands, says that learned prelate, that the authority, either of the scripture or of tradition, is founded merely in the testimony of the apostles, who were eye-witnesses to those miracles of our Saviour, by which he proved his divine mission. Our evidence, then, for the truth of the Christion religion is less than the evidence for the truth of om senses; becanse, even in the first anthors of our religion, it was no greater; and it is evident it must diminish in passing from them to their disciples; nor can any one rest such confilence in their testimony, as in the immediate olject of his senses. But a weaker evidence can never destroy a stronger; and therefore, were the doctrine of the real presence ever so

[^28]clearly revaled in scriphare, it were directly contrary to the rules of just reasoning to quve our assent to it. It contradiots sornse, thomerh both the seripture and tradition, on

## SECT. X゙.

 pahti. whirh it is suphesed to be built, carry mot surh eriblener with them as semer ; when they are eomsilered merely as external "rikumets, and are not bronght home to every onte's breast, hy the immediate operation of the IDoly spirit.Nothing is so convenient as a deerisive aromment of this kiml, whieh must at lemst silmoe the most arogime bigotry and superstition, atad free us from their impertinent solicitations. I Hatter myself, that 1 have diseorered an argument of' a like natme, which, if just, will, with the wise and loarned, be an everlasting eheek to all kinds of superstitions delusion, and conseduently, will be useful as long as the world endures. For so lomg, I prestme, will the aceomits of miracles and prodicies be fomm in all history, satered and profane. ${ }^{1}$

Though experience be our omly gruide in reasoning coneraning mattors of fact; it most be ackowledged, that this suide is mot altogether infallible, but in some cases is apt to lead ins intormors. One, who in our elimate, should expect hetter weather in any week of Juxe than in one of Decem13ER. would reason justly, and conformably to experience; but it is eartain, that he may happen, in the event, to find himself mistaken. However, we may observe, that, in such a case, he would hatse no cause to complain of experience; becanse it commonly informs us beforehand of the uncertainty, by that contrariety of erents, which we may learn from a diligent obscrration. All effects fullow not with like cortainty from their supposed causes. Some events are found, in all countries and all ages, to have been constantly conjoined together: Others are found to have been more variable, and sometimes to disappoint our expectations; so that, in our reasonings concerming matter of fact, there are all imaginable degrees of assuranee, from the highest certainty to the lowest species of moral minence.

A wise man, theredore, propontions his bulief to the evidence. In such eonclusions as are founded on an infallible experience, he experts the event with the last dexpec of assmante, and regards his phst experitenee as a thall proof of the fintme existence of that event. In whar cases, he proceeds with more cation: He weighs the opposite expori-

[^29]SECT. ments: He considers which side is supported by the greater
X. number of experiments: To that side he inclines, with doubt

Part I. and hesitation ; and when at last he fixes his judgment, the evidence exceeds not what we properly call probability. All probability, then, supposes an opposition of experiments and observations, where the one side is found to orerbalance the other, and to produce a degree of evidence, proportioned to the superiority. A hundred instances or experiments on one side, and fifty on another, afford a doubtful expectation of any event; though a hundred miform experiments, with only one that is contradictory, reasonably beget a pretty strong degree of assurance. In all cases, we must balance the opposite experiments, where they are opposite, and deduct the smaller number from the greater, in! order to know the exact force of the superior evidence.

To apply these principles to a particular instance ; we may observe, that there is no species of reasoning more common, more useful, and even necessary to lmman life, than that which is derived from the testimony of men, and the reports of eye-witnesses and spectators. This species of reasoning, perhaps, one may deny to be founded on the relation of cause and effect. I shall not dispute about a word. It will be sufficient to observe, that our assurance in any argument of this kind is derived from no other principle than our observation of the veracity of human testimony, and of the nsual conformity of facts to the reports of witnesses. It being a general maxim, that no objects have any discoverable connexion together, and that all the inferences, which we can draw from one to another, are founded merely on our experience of their constant and regular conjunction ; it is evident, that we ought not to make an exception to this maxim in farour of hanan testimony, whose comexion with any event seems, in itsclf, as little necessary as any other. ${ }^{1}$ Were not the memory tenacions to a eertain degree; had not men commonly an inclination to truth and a principle of probity ; were they not sensible to shame, when detected in a filsehood: Were not these, I say, discovered by experience to be qualities, inlerent in hmmen natme, we should never repose the least confidence in hmman testimony. A man delirious,

[^30]or noted for falsehood and villany, has no mamer of authority with us.

And as the evidence, derived from witnesses and haman Pakt. testimony, is fommed on past experionce, so it varies with the experience, and is regarded either as a proff or at fionbebility, according as the conjmetion between any particular kimb of report and any kind of oljoet has been fomm to bee constant or variable. There are a momber of eiremmstanees to be taken into consideration in all judgments of this kind; and the ultimate standard, by which we determine all disputes, that may arise concerning them, is ahwas derived from experience and observation. Where this experience is not entirely uniform on any side, it is attended with an unavoidable contrariety in our judgments, and with the same opposition and mutual destruction of argument as in every other kind of evidence. We frequently hesitate concerning the reports of others. We balance the opposite cirmunstances, which canse any doubt or uncertainty; and when we discover a superiority on any side, we incline to it; but still with a diminution of assurance, in proportion to the force of its antagonist.

This contruriety of evidence, in the present case, may be derived from several different canses ; from the upposition of contrary testimony; from the character or momber of the witnesses; from the maner of their delivering their testimony; or from the mion of all these circmmstances. We entertain a suspicion concerning any matter of fact, when the witnesses contradict each other; when they are but few, or of a donbtful character: when they have an interest in what they aftirm; when they deliver their testimony with hesitation, or on the contrary, with too violent asseverations. There are many other particulars of the same kind, which may diminish or destroy the force of any argument, derived from human testimony.

Suppose, for instance, that the fact, which the testimmy condeavours to establish, partakes of the extraordinary and the marrellons; in that wase, the evidence, resulting fiom the testimony, admits of a diminution, greater or less, in proportion as the fact is more or less monsal. The reasom, why we phace any credit in witnesses and histomians, is not derived from any comexion, which we perceive it piori, between testimony and reality, but becaust we are atene-

SECT. tomed to find a conformity between them. But when the

X .
PARTI. fact attested is such a one as has seldom fallen under our observation, here is a contest of two opposite experiences ; of which the one destroys the other, as far as its force goes, and the superior can only operate on the mind by the force, which remains. The very same principle of experience, which gives us a certain degree of assurance in the testimony of witnesses, gives us also, in this case, another degree of assurance against the fact, which they endeavour to establish ; from which contradiction there necessarily arises a counterpoise, and mutual destruction of belief and authority.
${ }^{1} I$ should not believe such a story were it told me by Cato; was a proverbial saying in Rome, even during the lifetime of that philosophical patriot. ${ }^{2}$ The incredibility of a fact, it was allowed, might invalidate so great an authority.
${ }^{3}$ The Indian prince, who refused to believe the first relations concerning the effects of frost, reasoned justly ; and it naturally required very strong testimony to engage his assent to facts, that arose from a state of nature, with which he was macquainted, and which bore so little analogy to those events, of which he had had constant and uniform experience. Though they were not contrary to his experience, they were not conformable to it. ${ }^{4}$

But in order to encrease the probability against the testi-
${ }^{1}$ [This paragraph mas added in Edition K .]
${ }^{2}$ J'letarch, in rita Catonis Min. 19.
${ }^{3}$ [This paragraph was added in Edition F.]

- No, Inmax, it is eritent. could have axperience that watow thid mot freeze in cold climates. This is placine nature in a sitnation quite unknown to, him; and it is impossible for him to tell ic priori what will result from it. It is making a nene experiment, the connequene of which in always uneretain. One may sometimes conjecture from analow what will follow; hut atill this is but womfecture, And it must be contioned, that, in the present case of fremzing the wont follows contrary to the rule of amatures, and is meh as at rational leman womid not look fire. The operations of a + h
upon water are not gralual, according to the tlegrees of cold: hat whenover it comes to the freczing point, the water passes in a moment, from the utmost liquidits to perfect hambues. Such an event, therefore may be denominated extraurdimary, and requires a protty strong tertimony. to render it credible to prople in a warm climate: But still it is not miraculous, nor contrary to uniform experience of the cour-w of nature in cats where all the eireumstances are the same. The inhalitante of somatba lave always seen water that in theire own climate, and the trowing of their rivers oughat to be dewtind a pooliog: liat they hever saw wather jat Jecory during the winter ; and therefore they eammot ramomatly bepmitive what would ther. lie the coniserghertes

[^31]anony of witnesses, let us suppese, that the fact, which they aftim, instend of being omly marvelloms, is really mitaculens; and suppese also, that the thatimmy, comsidered apart and

SECT.
X. l'ant. in itself, amoments to an entire pront; in that case, there is proof atominst profe of which the strongest must preval, but still with a dimination of its force, in proportion to that of its antagomist.

A miracle is a violation of the laws of nature; and as at firm and malterable experience has establishond these laws, the proof against a miracle, from the very nature of the fact, is as entire as any arement from experienee can pessibly be imawined. Why is it more than probsable, that ahl men must die; that lead camot, of itself, remain suspemend in the air; that fire consumes wood, and is extmgnishoi by water; muless it be, that these events are fomm agrecable to the laws of mature, and there is reguired a violation of these laws, or in other words, a miracle to prevent them? Nothing is esteennd a mirate, it it cer happen in the common course of mature. It is no miracle that a man, semingly in goon health, should die on a sudden: becanse such a kind of death, though more musual than any other, has yet been frequently observed to happen. But it is a miracle, that a dead man should come to life; because that has never been coserved, in any age or country. There must, therefore, be a uniform experience against every miraculus event, otherwise the event would not merit that appellation. And as an miform experience amounts to a prooff, there is here a direct and full proof, from the mature of the fact, agminst the existence of any miracle; nor can such a proof be destroved, or the miracle rendered credible, but by an opposite proof, which is superior. ${ }^{1}$

[^32]SECT. The plain consequence is (and it is a general maxim worthy
Part I. 'a miracle, unless the testimony be of such a kind, that its ' falsehood would be more miraculous, than the fact, which it ' endeavours to establish: And even in that case there is a 'mutual destruction of arguments, and the superior only ' gives us an assurance suitable to that degree of force, which ' remains, after deducting the inferior.' When any one tells me, that he saw a dead man restored to life, I immediately consider with myself, whether it be more probable, that this person should either deceive or be deceived, or that the fact, which he relates, should really have happened. I weigh the one miracle against the other; and according to the superiority, which I discover, I pronounce my decision, and always reject the greater miracle. If the falsehood of his testimony would be more miraculous, than the event which he relates ; then, and not till then, can he pretend to command my belief or opinion.

## PART II.

In the foregoing reasoning we have supposed, that the testimony, upon which a miracle is founded, may possibly amount to an entire proof, and that the falsehood of that testimony would be a real prodigy : But it is easy to shew, that we have been a great deal too liberal in our concession, and that there never was a miraculous event ${ }^{1}$ established on so full an evidence.

For first, there is not to be found in all history, any miracle attested by a sufficient number of men, of such unquestioned good-sense, education, and learning, as to secure us against all delusion in themselves; of such undoubted integrity, as to place them beyond all suspicion of any design to deceive others ; of such credit and reputation in the eyes of mankind, as to have a great deal to lose in case of their being detected in any falsehood; and at the same time, attesting facts, performed in such a public mamer, and in so celebrated a part of the world, as to render the detection unavoidable:
by men or not. This alters mot its nature and essence. The rasising of a house or ship into the air is a visiblo miracle. The raising of a feather, when the wind wants ever so little of a foree

[^33]All which ciremmstances are roduisite to give us a full assurance in the testimony of men.

Sicomdly. We may observe in human nature a principle,
sEC'T.
X.

P'akt II. which, if strietly examined, will be found to diminish extremely the assmance, which we might, from human testimony, have, in any kind of prodigy. The maxim, by which we commonly conduct ourselves in our reasonings, is, that the objects, of which we have no experience, resemble those, of which we have; that what we have fome to be most usnal is always most probable; and that where there is an opposition of argments, we ought to give the preference to such as are fonnded on the greatest number of past observaltions. But though, in proceeding by this rule, we readily reject any fact which is unusual and incredible in an ordinary degree; yet in advancing farther, the mind observes not always the same rule; but when anything is affirmed utterly absurd and miraculous, it rather the more readily athits of such a fact, upon account of that very circmmstance, which ought to destroy all its anthority. The passion of surpize and uonder, arising from miraches, being an agreeable emotion, gives a sensible tendency towards the belief of those events, from which it is derived. And this goes so far, that even those who camot enjoy this pleasure immediately, nor can believe those miraculous events, of which they are informed, yet love to partake of the satisfaction at second-hand or by rebound, and place a pride and delight in exciting the admiration of others.

With what greediness are the miraculous accounts of travellers received, their descriptions of sea and land monsters, their relations of wonderful adventures, strange men, and monenth maners? But if the spirit of religion join itself to the love of wonder, there is an end of common sense: and human testimony, in these circumstances, loses all pretensions to authority. A religionist may be an enthusiast, and imagine he sees what has no reality: He may know his narrative to be false, and yet persevere in it, with the best intentions in the world, for the sake of promoting so holy a cause: Or even where this delnsion has not place, vanity, excited by so strong a temptation, operates on him more powerfully than on the rest of mankind in any other circumstances; and self-interest with equal force. His anditors may not have, and commonly have not, sufficient judgment

SECT. to canrass his evidence: What judgment they have, they re$\underbrace{\mathrm{X}}$. nounce by principle, in these sublime and nysterions subjects:
farif II. Or if they were ever so willing to employ it, passion and a heated imagination disturb the regularity of its operations. Their credulity encreases his impudence: And his impudenee overpowers their credulity.

Eloquence, when at its highest pitch, leaves little room for reason or reflection ; but addressing itself entirely to the fancy or the affections, captivates the willing hearers, and subdues their understanding. Happily, this pitch it seldom attains. But what a Tully or a Demosthenes could scarcely effect over a Roman or Athentan audience, every Cipuchin, every itinerant or stationary teacher can perform orer the generality of mankind, and in a higher degree, by touching such gross and vulgar passions.
${ }^{1}$ The many instances of forged miracles, and prophecies, and supernatural events, which, in all ages, have either been detected by contrary evidence, or which detect themselves by their absurdity, prove sufficiently the strong propensity of mankind to the extraordinary and the marvellous, and ought reasonably to beget a suspicion against all relations of this kind. This is our natural way of thinking, even with regard to the most common and most credible events. For instance: There is no kind of report, which rises so easily, and spreads so quickly, especially in country places and provincial towns, as those concerning mariages; insomuch that two yomg persons of equal condition never see each other twice, but the whole neighbourhood immediately join them tugether. The pleasure of telling a piece of news so interesting, of propagating it, and of being the first reporters of it, spreads the intelligence. And this is so well known, that no man of sense gives attention to these reports, till he find them confirmed by some greater evidence. Do not the same passions, and others still stronger, incline the generality of mankind to believe and report, with the greatest vehemence and assurance, all religious miracles?

Thirdly. It forms it strong presumption against all supernatural and miraculous relations, that they are observed chiefly to abound among ignorant and larbarons nations ; or if a civilized people has ever given admission to any of them,

[^34]that pople will be found to have reremen them from ignomat and barbarons ancestors, who tramsmitted them with that inviobabe sanction and authority, which always attend reraived upinions. When we pernse the first listories of all nations, we are apt to imagine ourselves transported into some new world; where the whole frame of nature is disjonined, and every dement performs its operations in a different mamere, from what it does at present. Battles, rerolutions, pestilence, famine, and death, are never the effect of those matural canses, which we axperience. Pronligies, omms, mates, judgments, quite ohsme the few matural pents, that are intermingled with them. But as the former grow thimer every lage, in proportion as we advance nearer the enlightened ages, we soon learn, that there is nothing mysterious or supernatural in the case, but that all proceeds from the nsual propensity of mankind towards the marvellons, and that, though this inclination may at intervals receive a check from sense and leaning, it can never be thoronghly extirpated from human nature.

It is stromyp, a judicious reader is apt to say, upon the perusal of these wonderful historians, thut such prodigions reonts moter happen in oner days. But it is nothing stramee, I hope, that men should lie in all ages. You must surely have seen instances enow of tha frailty. You have yourself heard many such marvellous relations started, which, being treated with seorn by all the wise and judicions, have at last ben abandoned even by the vulgar. Be assured, that those renowned lies, which have spread and flourished to such a monstrous height, arose from like begimings; but being sown in a more proper soil, shot up at last into prodigies almost equal to those which they relate.

It was a wise pricy in that ' false prophet, Alexander, who, though now forgotten, was once so famons, to lay the first scene of his impostures in Paphlagonta, where, as Lucian thlls m:, the people were extremely ignorant and stupid, and ready to swallow even the grossest delusion. People at a distance, who are weak enough to think the matter at all worth enguiry, have ne opportunity of receiving better information. The stories come magnified to them by a handred cireumstances. Fools are industrious in propagating the imposture; while the wise and learned are contented, in
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general, to deride its absurdity, without informing themselves of the particular facts, by which it may be distinctly refuted. And thus the impostor above-mentioned was enabled to proceed, from his ignorant Paphlagonians, to the enlisting of votaries, even among the Grecian philosophers, and men of the most eminent rank and distinction in Rome: Nay, could engage the attention of the sage emperor Marcus Aurelius; so far as to make him trust the success of a military expedition to his delusive prophecies.

The advantages are so great, of starting an imposture among an ignorant people, that, even though the delusion should be too gross to impose on the generality of then (which, though seldom, is sometimes the case) it has a much better chance for succeeding in remote countries, than if the first scene has been laid in a city renowned for arts and knowledge. The most ignorant and barbarous of these barbarians carry the report abroad. None of their countrymen have a large correspondence, or sufficient credit and authority to contradict and beat down the delusion. Men's inclination to the marrellous has full opportunity to display itself. And thus a story, which is universally exploded in the place where it was first started, shall pass for certain at a thousand miles distance. But had Alexander fixed his residence at Athens, the philosophers of that renowned mart of learning had immediately spread, throughout the whole Roman empire, their sense of the matter; which, being supported by so great authority, and displayed by all the force of reason and eloquence, had entirely opened the eyes of mankind. It is true; Lucian, passing by chance through Paphlagonia, had an opportunity of performing this good office. But, though much to be wished, it does not always happen, that every Alexander meets with a Ludian, ready to expose and detect his impostures. ${ }^{1}$

I may add as a fourth reason, which diminishes the authority of prodigies, that there is no testimony for any, even

[^35]those which have not been expressely dedected, that is mot opposed by an infinite number of witnesses ; so that not enly
$\stackrel{\mathrm{SECr}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{C}$. the miracle destroys the credit of testimony, but the testiPart II. mony destroys itself. To make this the better understood, Int as consider, that, in matters of religion, whatever is different is contrary ; and that it is impossible the retigions of ancient Rome, of 'Turkey, of Siam, and of China shoudd, all of them, be established on any solid foundation. Every miracle, therefore, pretended to have been wrought in any of these religions (and all of them abound in miracles), as its direct seope is to establish the particular system to which it is attributed; so has it the same force, though more indirectly, to overthrow every other system. In destroying a rival system, it likewise destroys the credit of those miracles, on which that system was established; so that all the prodigies of different religions are to be regarded as contrary facts, and the evidences of these prodigies, whether weak or stromg, as opposite to each other. According to this method of reasoning, when we believe any miracle of Mahomet or his snecessors, we have for our warrant the testimony of a few barbarens Arablans: And on the other hand, we are to regard the authority of Titus Livius, Plutarch, Tacites, and, in short, of all the authors and witnesses, (irectan, Chinese, and Roman Catholic, who have related any miracle in their particular religion; I say, we are to regard their testimony in the same light as if they had mentioned that Mahometan miracle, and had in express terms contradicted it, with the same certainty as they have for the miracle they relate. This argument may appear over subtile and refined; but is not in reality different from the reasoning of a judge, who supposes, that the credit of two witnesses, maintaining it crime against any one, is destroyed by the testimony of two others, who affirm lim to have been two hundred leagues distant, at the same instant when the crime is said to have been committed.

One of the best attested miracles in all profane history, is that which Tacitus reports of Vespasian, who cured a blind man in Alexaxdria, by means of his spittle, and a lame man by the mere tonch of his foot; in obedience to a rision of the god Sprapis, who had enjoined them to have recourse to the Emperor, for these miraculons cures. The story may be

SECT. Seen in that fine historian ; ${ }^{1}$ where every circumstance seems to add weight to the testimony, and might be displayed at
P:brII. large with all the force of argument and eloquence, if any one were now concerned to enforce the evidence of that exploded and idolatrous superstition. The gravity, solidity, age, and probity of so great an emperor, who, through the whole course of his life, conversed in a familiar manner with his friends and courtiers, and never affected those extraordinary airs of divinity assumed by Alexander and Demetrics. The historian, a cotemporary writer, noted for candour and reracity, and withal, the greatest and most penetrating genius, perhaps, of all antiquity ; and so fref from any tendency to credulity, that he even lies under the contrary imputation, of atheism and profaneness: The persons, from whose authority he related the miracle, of established character for judgment and veracity, as we may well presume; eye-witnesses of the fact, and confirming their testimony, after the Flavian family was despoiled of the empire, and could no longer give any reward, as the price of a lie. Ltrumque, qui interfuere, munc quoque memorant, post quam nullum mendacio pretium. To which if we add the public nature of the facts, as related, it will appear, that no evidence can well be supposed stronger for so gross and so palpable a falsehood.

There is also a memorable story related by Cardinal dis Retz, which may well deserve our consideration. When that intriguing politician fled into Spain, to avoid the persecution of his enemies, he passed through Saragossa, the capital of Arragon, where he was shewn, in the cathedral, a man, who had served ${ }^{2}$ seven years as a door-keeper, and was well known to every body in town, that had ever paid his devotions at that church. He had been seen, for so long a time, wanting a leg; but recovered that limb by the rubbing of holy oil upon the stmmp; ${ }^{3}$ and the cardinal assures us that he saw him with two legs. This miracle was vouched by all the canons of the church; and the whole company in town were appealed to for a confirmation of the fact; whoms the cardinal found, by their zealous devotion, to be thorough

[^36][^37]holievers of the miracle. Here the relater was also cotemfurary to the supposed prodiry, of an incredulous and libertime character, as well as of great genins; the miracle of so
sect.
K.

Parell simgular a mature as conld scarcely almit of a combterfeit, and the witnesses very numerous, and all of them, in a manner, spectators of the ficct, to which they wave their testimony. And what adds mightily to the force of the evidence, and may double our surprize on this oceasion, is, that the cardinal himself, who relates the story, seems not to give any credit to it, and consequently camot be suspected of any concurrence in the holy framd. He considered justly, that it was not requisite, in order to rejert a fact of this nature, to be able acemately to disprove the testimony, and to trace its falsehood, through all the circumstances of knavery and credulity which prodnced it. He knew, that, as this was commonly altogether impossible at any small distance of time and place; so was it extremely difficult, even where one was immediately present, by reason of the bigotry, ignorance, cunning, and rognery of a great part of mankind. He therefore concluded, like a just reasoner, that such an evidence carried falsthood mpen the very face of it, and that a miracle supported by any hmman testimony, was more properly a subject of derision than of argmment.

There smrely never was a wreater number of miracles ascribed to one person, than those, which were lately said to have been wronght in France upon the tomb of Abbé Paris, the famons Jansmaist, with whose sanctity the people were so long deluded. The curing of the sick, giving liearing to the deaf, and sight to the blind, were every where talked of as the usual effects of that holy sepulchre. But what is more extraordinary ; many of the miracles were immediately proved upon the spot, before julses of unguestioned interrity, attested by witnesses of credit and distinction, in a learned age, and on the most eminent theatre that is now in the world. Nor is this all: A relation of them was published ant dispersed every where; nor were the Josnits, thongh a learned body, supperted by the civil magistrate, and determined enemies to those opinions, in whose farour the miracles were said to have been wrought, ever able distinctly to refute or detect them. Where shall we find such a number ot cir-

[^38]
## SECT. cumstances, agreeing to the corroboration of one fact? And X. what have we to oppose to such a cloud of witnesses, but

cause, and is now said to be somewhere in a dungeon on account of his book.

There is another book in three volumes (called Recueil des Miracles de lAblé Paris) giving an account of many of these miracles, and accompanied with prefatory discourses, which are very well written. There runs, however. through the whole of these a ridiculous comparison between the miracles of our Saviour and those of the Able; wherein it is asserted, that the evidence for the latter is cqual to that for the former: As if the testimony of men could ever be put in the lalanee with that of Gorl himself. who condueted the pen of the inspired writers. If these writers. indeed, were to be consilered merely as human testimony, the French author is very molerate in his comparison : since he might, with some appearance of reason, pretend, that the Jasemeitit miracles mueh surpass the other in evidence and authority. The following eircumstances are drawn from authentic papers, inserted in the aborementionel book.

Many of the miracles of Albe Parts were proved immediately by witnerses before the officiality or bishop's court at Paris, under the eye of cardinal Noalleses. whose charaeter for integrity and capacity was never contested even by his enemies.

His ${ }^{1}$ suceessor in the archlishopric was an enemy to the Jansecists, and for that reason promoted to the see by the court. Yet 22 reetors or cures of Paris, with infinite earnestness, press lim to examine those miracles, which they assert to be known to the whole world, and undisputably certain: But he wisely forbore.

The Mulisist party had tried to discredit these miracles in one instance, that of Madamoiselle le Frasc. But, besides that their proceedings were in many respects the most irregular in the world, partienlarly in eiting only a few of the Jaysenist witnesses, whom they tampered with: Besides this, I say. they soon found themsel ves overwhelmed by a eloud of now witnesses, one hundred and twenty in number, most of them persons of credit and substance in Paies, who gave oath for the miracle.

This was accompanied with a solemn and earnest appeal to the parliament. But the parliament were fortidden by authority to meddle in the affair. It was at last observed, that where men are heated by zeal and enthusiasm, there is no degree of human testimony so strong as may not be procured for the greatest absurdity: And those who will be so silly as to examine the affair by that medium, and seek particular flaws in the testimony, are almost sure to be conf unded. It must he a miseralble importure, indeed, that does not prevail in that contest.

All who have been in Frasce about that time have heird of the reputation of Mons. Heract, the lieutenant de Police, whose vigilance, penetration, activity, and extensive intelligence have heen nueh talked of. This magistritte. who by the nature of his office is almost absolute. was invested with full power, on purpose to suppress or dixcredit these miracles; and he frequently seized inmediately, and examined the witnesses and subjects of them: But never could reach any thing satisfactory against them.
In the case of Madamoiselle Thibatt he sent the famous De Sylva to examine her: whose evidence is rery curious. The physician declares, that it was impossille she could have been so ill as was proved by witnesses; because it was impossible she could, in so short a time, have recovered so perfectly as he found her. He reasoned, like a man of sense. from natural eauses ; but the opposite party told him, that the whole was a miracle, and that his evidence was the very best proof of it.
The Molinists were in a sad dilemma, They durst not assert the absulute insulficieney of human cridence, to prove a miracle. They were olliged to say, that these miracles were wrought ly witcheraft and the devil. But they were told, that this was the resource of the Jews of old.
No Jangenist was ever emparrassed to account for the cessation of the miraches, when the chureh-yarl was shut up, hy the king's edict. It was the touch of the tomes, which produced
the absolute impossibility or miraculous nature of the events, which they relate? And this surely, in the eyes of all reasomable people, will alone be regarded as a sufticient Pacr 1 . refitation.
is the consequence just, because some luman testimony has the utmost force and authority in some cases, when it relates the battle of Pimifpi or Pharsalia for instance; that therefore all kinds of testimony must, in all cases, have equal foree and authority? Suppose that the Cesarban and Pompeian factions had, each of them, claimed the victory in these battles, and that the histomians of cach party had uniformly ascribed the advantage to their own side; how could mankind, at this distance, have been able
these extramdinary effects; and when no one could approach the tomb, no - tfeets could be expected. God, indeed, could have thrown down the walls in a moment ; hut he is master of his own graces and works, and it belongs not to us: th aceount for them. He divl not threw down the walls of every city like these of Jericho, on the sounting of the rame horns, nor break up the prison of erery apoetle. like that of sit. Pack.

No le-s a man, tham the Due do
 of the highest rank and family, wives exidmace of a miraculous cure, perfirmed umon a servant of his. who hand lisent several years in his louse with a visitle ant palpable infirmity.
I shall conclude with observing. that no clerery are more celehrated for strictnow of life and maners than the seenlar clerey of Fiance, partienlirly the rectors or eures of Pabis, who bear testimany to these impstures.
The learning. genius, and prolity of the gentiemen, and the austerity of the muns of Port-Royal, have betin much cole! rated all over Ecrope. Y'ut they all give cwidence for a miracle, wroughit on the niece of the famous Paral, where sanctity of life, as well as extran limary capacity, is well known. ${ }^{3}$ The fammis Ractas" gives an acrount of this miracle in his famous history of Port-Rumal. and fortifies it with atl the. proofs. which a multitnde of nuns, priests, physicians, and men of the
world, all of them of undoubted credit, could bestow upon it. Several men of letters, particularly the bishop of Tocksar, thought this miracle so certain, as twemploy it in the refitation of atheists and free-thinkers. The queen-regent of Fiance, who was extremely prejudiced againat the Port-Royal, sent her own physician to examine the miracle. who returned an ahsolute convert. In short, the supernatural cure was so uncontestable, that it saved. for a time, that famous monastery from the ruin with which it was threatenced hy the Jrscits. Had it been a cheat, it had cortainly been detected ly such sagacious and powerfial antagonists, and must have hatence the ruin of the contrivers. Our divines, who can build up a formidable castle from such de picable materials: what a prodigious fabric could they have reared from these and many other circumstances, which I have not mentioned: How often would the great names of Pancal, Racine, Arsard. Nicole, have remunded in our eatrs? But if they l.e wise, they had better adopt the miracle, as lieing more worth. a thousand times, than all the rest of their collection. Berides. it may urve very much to their purpore. For that mitracle was really performed ly the touch of an authentic holy prickle of the holy thorn, which comfreded the lioly rrown. which, \&c. [This mote was added in Ed. F.]
[Elition F adds: The healso was a Belioser in that and in many onfier Moracles, which he had lessoppertunity

[^39]SECT. to determine between them? The contrariety is equally X. strong between the miracles related by Herodotus or Plu-
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 tarch, and those delivered by Mariana, Bede, or any monkish historian.The wise lend a very academic faith to every report which favours the passion of the reporter; whether it magnifies his country, his family, or himself, or in any other way strikes in with his natural inclinations and propensities. But what greater temptation than to appear a missionary, a prophet, an ambassador from heaven? Who would not encounter many dangers and difficulties, in order to attain so sublime a character? Or if, by the help of vanity and a heated imagination, a man has first made a convert of himself, and entered seriously into the delusion; who ever scruples to make use of pious frauds, in support of so holy and meritorious a cause?

The smallest spark may here kindle into the greatest flame; because the materials are alwars prepared for it. The avidum genus auricularum, ${ }^{1}$ the gazing populace, receive greedily, without examination, whatever sooths superstition, and promotes wonder.

How many stories of this nature, have, in all ages, been detected and exploded in their infancy? How many more have been celebrated for a time, and have afterwards sunk into neglect and oblivion? Where such reports, therefore, fly about, the solution of the phænomenon is obvious; and we judge in conformity to regular experience and observation, when we account for it by the known and natural principles of credulity and delusion. And shall we, rather than have a recourse to so natural a solution, allow of a miraculous violation of the most established laws of nature?

I need not mention the difficulty of detecting a falsehoor in any private or even public history, at the place, where it is said to happen; much more when the scene is removed to ever so small a distance. Even a court of judicature, with all the aathority, accuracy, and judgment, which they can employ, find themselves often at a loss to distinguish between truth and falsehood in the most recent actions. But the matter never comes to any issue, if trusted to the common method of altercation and debate and flying rmoms;

[^40]esperially when men's passions have taken part on ather side.

In the infancy of new religions, the wise and learned com- Pakr II. monly esterm the matter too ineonsiderable to deserve theni attontion or regard. And when afterwards they would willingly detect the eheat, in order to undeceive the delnded multitude, the season is now past, and the records and witnesses, which might elear up the matter, have perishest beyond recovery.

No means of detection remain, but those which monst be drawn from the very testimony itself of the reporters: And these, thongh always sufficient with the juticions ant knowing, are commonly too fine to fall under the compreliension of the vulgar.

Upon the whole, then, it appears, that no testimony fin any lind of miracle ${ }^{1}$ has ever amomnted to a probability, much less to a proof; and that, even supposing it amoment to a proof, it wonk be opposed by another proof; derival from the very nature of the fact, which it wond endeavour to ustablish. It is experience only, which gives authority to lnman testimony; and it is the same experience, which assures us of the laws of nature. When, therofore, these two kinds of experience are contrarr, we have nothing to do but suhstract the one from the other, and embrace an opinion, either on one side or the other, with that assurance which arises from the remainder. But ancording to the principle here explained, this substraction, with regard to all popular religions, amonnts to an entire amihilation; and therefore we may establish it as a maxim, that no human testimony can have such force as to prow a miracle, and make it a just foundation for any such system of religion.
${ }^{2}$ I begrg the limitations here made may be remarked, when I say, that a mirate can never be proved, so as to be the fomdation of a system of religion. For I own, that otherwise, there may possibly be miracles, or riolations of the usmal course of nature, of such a kind as to armit of proof from human testimony; though, perhaps, it will be impossible to find any such in all the records of history. Thus, suppose. all authors, in all languages, agree, that, from the first of

[^41]sect. Jaxuary 1600 , there was a total darkness over the whole X. earth for eight days: Suppose that the tradition of this extraordinary event is still strong and lively among the people: That all travellers, who return from foreign countries, bring us accounts of the same tradition, without the least variation or contradiction: It is evident, that our present philosophers, instead of doubting the fact, ought to receive it as certain, and ought to search for the causes whence it might be derived. ${ }^{1}$ The decay, corruption, and dissolution of nature, is an event rendered probable by so many analogies, that any phenomenon, which seems to have a tendency towards that catastrophe, comes within the reach of human testimony, if that testimony be very extensive and uniform.

But suppose, that all the historians who treat of ExgLand, should agree, that, on the first of January 1600 , Qucen Elizabeth died; that both before and after her death she was seen by her physicians and the whole conrt, as is usual with persons of her rank; that her successor was acknowlodged and proclaimed by the parliament; and that, after being interred a month, she again appeared, resumed the throne, and govemed England for three years: I must confess that I should be surprized at the ocemrence of so many odd circumstances, but should not have the least inclination to believe so miraculous an event. I should not doubt of her pretended death, and of those other public circumstances that followed it: I should only assert it to have been pretender, and that it neither was, nor possibly could be real. You would in vain object to me the difficulty, and almost impossibility of deceiving the world in an affair of such consequence ; the wisdon ${ }^{2}$ and solid judgnent of that renownold queen; with the liftle or no advantage which she could reap) from so poor an artifice: All this might astonish me; but 1 would still reply, that the knavery and filly of men are such common phanomenia, that I should rather believe the most extraordinary events to arise from their concurence, than admit of so signal a riblation of the laws of nature.

But shombl this miracle be asoribed to any new system of religion: men, in all ages. have lnent so much imposed on ly ridiculons storias of that hime that this very circmu-

[^42]stance would be a full prouf of a cheat, and sufficient, with all men of sense, not only to make them reject the firct, but reject it withont farther examination. Themgh the laning to
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Prit whom the miracle is ascribed, be, in this cease, Almighty, it does not, rpon that account, become a whit more probable; sine it is impersible for us to know the attributes or actioms of snch a Being, otherwise than from the experience which we have of his prometions, in the nsual enorse of matmre. This still reanees ns to pastobservation, andobliges us tocompare the instances of the violation of truth in the testimony of men, with those of the violation of the laws of mature by miracles, in order to judge which of them is most likely and probable. As the violations of truth are more common in the testimony coneerning religious miracles, than in that concerning any other matter of fact; this must diminish very much the anthority of the former testimony, and make us form a crmeral resolution, never to lend any attention to it, with whatever specions pretence it may be covered.
${ }^{1}$ Lord Bacon seems to have embraced the same prineiples of reasoning. • We ought,' says he, ' to make a collection or particular history of all monsters and prodigious births or Preductions, and in a word of every thing new, rare, and extraordinary in nature. But this must be done with the most severe scrutiny, lest we depart from truth. Above all, every relation must be considered as suspicious, which depends in any degree upon religion, as the prodigies of Livy : And no less so, every thing that is to be found in the writers of natural magic or alchimy, or such anthors, who seem, all of them, to have an unconquerable appetite for falsehood and fable.' ${ }^{2}$

I am the better pleased with the method of reasoning here delivered, as I think it may serve to confomel those dangerons friends or disgruised enemies to the Christian Religion, who have undertaken to defend it by the prineiples of human reason. Onr most holy religion is founded on F'aith, not on reason ; and it is a sure method of exposing it to put it to such a trial as it is, by no means, fitted to endure. To make this more evident, let us examine those miracles, related in scripture; and not to lose umselves in too wide

[^43]SECT.
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a field, let us confine ourselves to such as we find in the Pentateuch, which we shall examine, according to the principles of those pretended Christians, not as the word or testimony of God himself, but as the production of a mere human writer and historian. Here then we are first to consider a book, presented to us by a barbarous and ignorant people, written in an age when they were still more barbarous, and in all probability long after the facts which it relates, corroborated by no coneurring testimony, and resembling those fabulous accounts, which every nation gives of its origin. Upon reading this book, we find it full of prodigies and miracles. It gives an account of a state of the world and of human nature entirely different from the present: Of our fall from that state: Of the age of man, extended to near a thonsand years: Of the destruction of the world by a deluge: Of the arbitrary choice of one people, as the favourites of heaven: and that people the conntrymen of the author: Of their deliverance from bondage by prodigies the most astonishing imaginable: I desire any one to lay his hand upon his heart, and after a serions consideration declare, whether he thinks that the falsehood of such a book, supported by such a testimony, would be more extraordinary and miraculous than all the miracles it relates; which is, howerer, necessary to make it be received, according to the measures of probability above established.

What we have said of miracles may be applied, without any variation, to prophecies; and indeed, all prophecies are real miracles, and as such only, can be admitted as proof's of any revelation. If it did not exceed the capacity of luman nature to foretel finture events, it would be absurd to employ any prophery as an argument for a divine mission or anthority from heaven. So that. mon the whole, we may conclude, that the Christime Religion not only was at first attended with miracles, but even at this day camon be believed by any reasonable person withont one. Mere reason is insufficient to convince us of its veracity: And whever is noved by Faith to assent to it, is conseions of a continued miracle in his own person, which subverts all the principles of his understanding, and gives him a determination to believe what is most contrary to constum amb caperience.


I was lately engaged in conversation with a friend who loves seeptical paradoxes; where, thongh he advanced many prineiphes, of which 1 can by no means appove, yet as the? serm to be curions, and to bear some relation to the cham of reasoning carried on throughont this entuiry, I shall here ropy them from my memory as acenrately as 1 am, in owter to submit them to the judgment of the reader.
()nr conversation began with my admiring the singular goond fortune of philosophy, which, as it requires antire liberty above all other privileges, and chiefly flourishes from the free opposition of sentiments and argumentation, receimad its tirst birth in an age and conntry of freedom and tolaration, and was newer cramped, even in its most extravagant principles, by any creeds, confessions, or penal statutes. For, except the banishment of Protagoras, am the death of Socrates, which last event proceeded partly from other motives, there are scarcely any instances to be met with, in ancient history, of this bigotted jealousy, with which the presest age is so much infested. Epicurus lived at Athens to an adranced age, in pace and tranquillity: Epictreans ${ }^{2}$ were even admitted to receive the sacerdotal character, and to officiate at the altar, in the nuest sacred rites of the established religion: And the public encomarement ${ }^{3}$ of pensions and salaries was afforded equally, by the wisest of all the Koman emperors, ${ }^{4}$ to the professors of every sect of philosophy. How requisite such kind of treatment was to philosophy, in her early youth, will easily be conceived, if we reflect, that, eren at present, when she may be supposed more hardy and robust, she bears with much difticulty the inclemency of the seasons, and those harsh winds of calumny and persecution, which blow upon her.

You admire, says my friend, as the singular good fortune of philosophy, what seems to result from the natural course of things, and to be unavoidable in every age and nation. This pertinacious bigotry, of which you complain, as so fatal

[^44]sECT. to philosophy, is really her offspring, who, after allying with Xi. superstition, separates himself entirely from the interest of his parent, and becomes her most inveterate enemy and persecutor. Specnlative dogmas of religion, the present occasions of such furious dispute, could not possibly be conceived or admitted in the early ages of the wordd; when mankind, being wholly illiterate, formed an idea of religion more suitable to their weak apprehension, and composed their sacred tenets of such tales chiefly as were the objects of traditional belief, more than of argument or disputation. After the first alarm, therefore, was over, which arose from the new paradoxes and principles of the philosophers ; these teachers geem ever after, during the ages of antiquity, to have lived in great harmony with the established superstition, and to have made a fair partition of mankind between them; the former claiming all the learned and wise, the latter possessing all the vulgar and illiterate.

It seems then, say I, that you leave politics entirely out of the question, and never suppose, that a wise magistrate can justly be jealous of certain tenets of philosophy, such as those of Epicurus, which, denying a divine existence, and consequently a providence and a future state, seem to loosen, in a great measure, the ties of morality, and may be supposed, for that reason, pernicious to the peace of civil socictr.

I know, replied he, that in fact these persecntions never, in any age, proceeded from calm reason, or from experience of the pernicious consequences of philosophy; but arose entirely from passion and prejudice. But what if I should advance farther, and assert, that, if Eplcurus had been accused before the people, by any of the sycophants or informers of those days, he could ensily have defended his cause, and proved his principles of philosophy to be as salutary as those of his adversaries, who endeavomed, with such zeal, to expose him to the public hatred and jealonsy.

I wish, said I, you would try your eloquence upon so extraordinary a topic, and make a speech for Epicurus, which might satisfy, not the mob of Athens, if you will allow that ancient and polite city to have contained any mob, but the more philosophical part of his audience, such as might be supposed capable of comprehending his arguments.

The matter would not be difficult, upon such conditions, replied he: And if you please, I shall suppose myself
 Athenan peophe amb shall delime you such an haramge as will fill all the urn with white beans, and leave not a bhek onn to gratify the malice of my adyersaries.

Sury well: lomy procend upou these suppesitions.
I come lither, 0 y $\begin{gathered}\text { athmanse, to justify in your assembly }\end{gathered}$ What I maintained in my school, and I find mysulf imperthent hy furions antagonists, instead of rasoning with rahm and dispassionate enquirers. Your delibrations, which of right should be directed to questions of public geord, and the interest of the commonwealth, are diverted to the disquisitions
 haps fruitless enguiries, take place of your more familiar but mone usuful occupations. But so far as in me lies, I will prevent this abuse. We shall not here dispate conceming the origin and govemment of words. We shall only enguire how far such cuestions concern the publie interest. And if I can persmale yon, that they are entirely indifferent to the pace of society and security of eovermment, I hope that you will presently send us back to our schools, there to examine, at leisure, the question, the most sublime, but, at the same time, the most speculative of all philosophy.

The religious philosophers, not satisfied with the tradition of your forefathers and doctrine of your priests (in which I willingly acquiesce), indulge a rash curiosity, in trying how far they can establish religion upon the principles of reason ; and they thereby excite, instead of satisfying, the doubts, which maturally arise from a diligent and scrutinous enquiry. They paint, in the most magnificent colours, the order, beante, and wise arrangement of the nniverse; and then ask, if such a glorions display of intelligence could proceed from the fortuitous concourse of atoms, or if chance could produce what the greatest genins can never sufficiently admire. I shall not examine the justness of this argument. I shall allow it to be as solicl as my antagonists and accusers can desire. It is sufficient, if I can prove, from this very reasoning, that the question is entirely speculative and that, when, in my philosophical disquisitions, I deny a providence and a future state. I mudermine not the foundations of society, but adrance principles, which they themselves, upon their own topies, if they argue consistently, must allow to be solid and satisfactory.

SECT. You then, who are my accusers, have acknowledged, that
N1. the chief or sole argument for a divine existence (which I never questioned) is derived from the order of nature; where there appear such marks of intelligence and design, that yon think it extravagant to assign for its cause, either chance, or the blind and unguided force of matter. You allow, that this is an argument drawn from effects to canses. From the order of the work, you infer, that there must have been project and forethought in the workman. If you camot make out this point, you allow, that your conchsion fails; and you pretend not to establish the conclusion in a greater latitude than the phænomena of nature will justify. These are your concessions. I desire you to mark the consequences.

When we infer any particular canse from an effect, we must proportion the one to the other, and can never be allowed to ascribe to the eause any qualities, but what are exactly sufficient to produce the effect. A body of ten ounces raised in any seale may serve as a proof, that the comnterbalaneing weight exceedis ten ounces; but can never afford a reason that it exceeds a hundred. If the canse, assigned for any effect, be not sufficient to produce it, we must either reject that cause, or add to it such qualities as will give it a just proportion to the effect. But if we ascribe to it farther qualities, or affirm it capable of producing other effects, we can only indulge the licence of conjecture, and arbitrarily suppose the existence of qualities and energies, without reason or authority.

The same rule holds, whether the cause assignted be brute unconscious matter, or a rational intelligent being. If the cause be lnown only by the effect, we never ought to ascribe to it any qualities, beyond what are precisely requisite to produce the effect: Nor can we, by any rules of just reasoning, return back from the canse, and infer other effects from it, beyond those by which alone it is known to us. No one, merely from the sight of one of Zecurs's pictures, could know, that he was also a statuary or architect, and was an artist no less skilful in stone and marble than in colours. The talents and taste, displayed in the particular work before us; these we may safcly conclude the workman to be possessed of. The cause must be proportioned to the effect; and if we exactly and precisely proportion it, we shall never fiml in it any qualities, that point farther, or afford an in-
ference moncerning any other design or performanm. Such gualities must be somenhat heyond what is merely rempisite. for prolucing tha offect, whith we examine.

Allowing, therefione the grons to be the anthors of the existence or order of the miverse; it follows, that they possess that prove degree of power, intelligenoe, and benewobnce, which appears in thoir workmanship; but mothing farther ean ever be peoved, oxept we eall in the assistance of exargeration and flateny to supply the defects of argument and reasoning. So far as the traces of any attributes, at present, appear, so far may we comelude these attributes to exist. The surpesition of farther attributers is mere hypothesis: much more the supposition, that, in distant regions of space or periods of time, there has been, or will be, a more magnificent display of these attributes, and a scheme of administration more suitable to such imaginary virtues. We can never be allowed to mount up from the universe, the effect, to Jupiter, the cause; and then destend downwards, to infer my new effect from that cause; as if the present effects alone were not entirely worthy of the glorions attributes, which we aseribe to that deity. The knowledge of tie catae bering derived solely from the effect, they must be exatetly adjusted to each other; and the one can never refer to any thing farther, or be the foundation of any new inference and conclusion.

You find certain phanomena in mature. You seek a cause or author. You imagine that you have found him. You afterwards become so enamoured of this offspring of your brain, that you imagine it impossible, but he must produce something greater and more perfeet than the present scene of things, which is so full of ill and disorder. You forget, that this superlative intelligence and benevolence are entirely imaginary, or, at least, without any foundation in reason; and that you have no ground to ascribe to him any qualities, but what you see he has actually exerted and displayed in his productions. Let your gods, therefore, O philosophers, be suited to the present appearances of nature: And presume not to alter these appearances by arbitrary suppositions, in order to suit them to the attributes, which you so fondly ascribe to your deities.

When priests and poets, supported by yom authority, O Athentans, talk of a golden or silver age, which preceded

SECT. the present state of vice and misery, I hear them with atten-
XI. tion and with reverence. But when philosophers, who pretend to neglect authority, and to cultivate reason, hold the same discourse, I pay them not, I own, the same obsequions submission and pions deference. I ask; who carried them into the celestial regions, who admitted them into the comcils of the gods, who opened to them the book of fate, that they thus rashly affirm, that their deities have executed, or will execute, any purpose beyond what has actually appeared? If they tell me, that they have mountel ${ }^{1}$ on the steps or by the gradual ascent of reason, and by drawing inferences from effects to causes, I still insist, that they have aided the ${ }^{2}$ aseent of reason by the wings of imagination; otherwise they could not thus change their manner of inference, and argie from causes to effects; presuming, that a more perfect production than the present world would be more suitable to such perfect beings as the gods, and forgetting that they have no reason to ascribe to these celestial beings any perfection or any attribute, but what can be found in the present world.

Hence all the fruitless industry to accomen for the ill appearances of nature, and save the honour of the gods; while we must acknowledge the reality of that evil and disorder, with which the world so much abounds. The obstinate and intractable qualities of matter, we are told, or the observance of general laws, or some such reason, is the sole cause, which controlled the power and benevolence of Jupitra, and obliged him to create mankind and every sensible ereature so imperfect and so unhappy. These attributes, then, are, it seems, beforehand, taken for granted, in their greatest latitude. And upon that supposition, I own, that such conjectures may, perhaps, be admitted as phansible solutions of the ill phamomena. But still I ask; Why take these attributes for granted, or why ascribe to the canse any qualities but what actually appear in the eflect? Why torture yon lmain to justify the course of nature mon suppositions, which, for anght you know, may be entirely inaginary, and of which there are to be found no traces in the course of nature?

The religitons hypothesis, therefore, must be considered only as a particular method of accomnting for the visible

[^45]phatomenal of the miverser : lat no just reasomer will wer premme to infer from it ally simgle fact, and alter or ath to the phatmonema, in any sumple partienlat. It yon think,
 able for you to draw an intornew comeminer the existence of these ranses. In such compliated and sublime subjeets, wery ome shombl be indulgen in the liberty of conjeceture and argmont. But here you curbit to rest. If you come backwarl, and arening from your infermen wises, conrlude. that any other finct has existend, or will "xist, in the comse of nature, which may serve as a fuller diephey of partienlar attribites: I must admonish you, that you have departed from the mothod of reasoming, attached to the persent subject, and have cortainly added something to the attributes of the eamse, berond what appears in the effect ; otherwise you could never, with tolerable semse or promerty, add any thing to the effect, in order to render it more worthy of the callse.

Whare then, is the odionsness of that doctrine, which I teath in my school, or rather, which I examine in my gandons? Or what duy yond in this whole question, wherein the seenrity of good morals, or the peace and order of society is in the least conemen?

I deny a providence, you say, and supreme governour of the world, who guides the course of events, and punishes the vicious with infany and disappointment, and rewards the virtuous with honour and success, in all their mndertakings. But surely, I deny not the course itself of events, which lies open to every one's enquiry and examination. I acknowledge, that, in the present order of things, virtue is attended with more peace of mint than vice, and meets with a more favourable reception from the world. I an semsible, that, according to the past experionce of makind, friendship is the chin f joy of hmman life, and moderation the only somen of tranquillity and happiness. I never balance between the virtuons and the vicious course of life ; but am semsible, that, to a well disposed mind, every adrantage is on the sile of the former. And what can you say more, allowing all your suppositions and reasonings: You tell me, inded, that this dispusition of things proceds from intelligence and desion. But whaterer it proceeds from, the disposition itwilf, on which depends our happiness or misery, and consentently cour con-

SECT. duct and deportment in life, is still the same. It is still open for me, as well as you, to regulate my behaviour, by my experience of past events. And if you affirm, that, while a divine providence is allowed, and a supreme distributive justice in the universe, I ought to expect some more particular reward of the good, and punishment of the bad, beyond the ordinary course of events; I here find the same fallacy, which I have before endeavoured to detect. You persist in imagining, that, if we grant that divine existence, for which you so earnestly contend, you may safely infer consequences from it, and add something to the experienced order of nature, by arguing from the attributes which you ascribe to your gods. You seem not to remember, that all your reasonings on this subject can only be drawn from effects to causes; and that every argument, deduced from causes to effects, must of necessity be a gross sophism; since it is impossible for you to know any thing of the cause, but what you have antecedently, not inferred, but discovered to the full, in the effect.

But what must a philosopher think of those vain reasoners, who, instead of regarding the present scene of things as the sole object of their contemplation, so far reverse the whole course of nature, as to render this life merely a passage to something farther; a porch, which leads to a greater, and vastly different building; a prologre, which serves only to introduce the piece, and give it more grace and propriety? Whence, do you think, can such philosophers derive their idea of the gods? From their own conceit and imagination surely. For if they derived it from the present phenomena. it would never point to anything farther, but must be exactly adjusted to them. That the divinity may possilly bee midowed with attributes, which we have never seen exerten: may be governed by principles of action, which we cannot discover to be satisfied: All this will freely be allowed. But still this is mere possibility and hypothesis. We can never have reason to infer any attributes, or any principles of action in him, but so far as we know them to lave been exerted and satisfied.

Are there any marls of a distributive justice in the world? If you answer in the affirmative, 1 conclude, that, since justice here exerts itself, it is satisfied. If you reply in the negrative, I conclude, that you have then no reason to ascribe
justice, in our sense of it, to the erods. If you hold at medium between atfirmation and nemation, by saying, that the justice $\qquad$ of the gods, at present, exerts itsolf in part, but mot in its finl extent; I answer, that you have no reason to give it any particular extent, but only as far as you soe it, at present, exert itself.

Thus I bring the dispute, O Atmanaxs, to a short issue with my antagonists. The course of mature lies open to my contemplation as well as to theirs. The experienced train of events i.s the great standard, by which we all regulate our conduct. Nothing else can be appealed to in the tirde, or in the sonate. Nothing else ought ever to be hearl of in the school, or in the closet. In vain would our limited moderstanding break through these boundaries, which are too narrow for our fond imacination. While we argue from the conse of nature, and infer a particular intelligent eanse, which tirst bestowed, and still preserves order in the universe, we embrace a principle, which is both uncertain and useless. It is uncertain; because the subject lies entirely beyond the reach of hmman experience. It is useless; because our knowledge of this eanse being derived entirely from the course of nature, we can never, according to the rules of just reasoning, return back from the cause with any new inference, or making additions to the common and experienced course of nature, establish any new principles of conduct and behaviour.

I observe (said I, finding he had finished his harangue) that you neglect not the artifice of the demagognes of old ; and as you were pleased to make me stand for the people, you insinuate yourself into my favour by embracing those principles, to which, you know, I have always expressed a particular attachment. Butaliowing you to make experience (as indeed I think you onght) the only standard of our judgment concerning this, and all other questions of fact; I doubt not but, from the very same experience, to which ven appeal, it may be possible to refute this reasoning, which you have put into the month of Epictrats. If you saw, fur instance, a half-finished building, surrounded with heaps of brick and stone and mortar, and all the instruments of masomry : could you not infer from the effect, that it was a work of design aud contrivance? And could you not return again, from this inferred canse. to infer new additions to
sECT. the effect, and conclude, that the building would soon be finished, and receive all the further improvements, which art could bestow upon it? If you saw upon the sea-shore the print of one human foot, you would conclude that a man had passed that way, and that he had also left the traces of the sther foot. though effiaced by the rolling of the sands or inundation of the waters. Why then do you refuse to admit the same method of reasoning with regard to the order of nature? Consider the world and the present life only as an imperfect buildiag, from which you can infer a superior intelligence; and arguing from that superior intelligence, which can leare nothing imperfect; why may yon not infer a more finished scheme or plan, which will receise its completion in some distant point of space or time? Are not these methods of reasoning exactly similar? And under what pretence can you embrace the one, while you reject the other:

The infinite difference of the subjects, replied he, is a sufficient foundation for this difference in my conclusions. In works of human art and contrivance, it is allowalle to advance from the effect to the cause, and returning back from the canse, to form new inferences concerning the effect, and examine the alterations, which it has probably undergone, or may still undergo. But what is the foundation of this method of reasoning? Plainly this; that man is a being, whon we know by experience, whose motives and designs we are acrpainted with, and whose projects and inclimations have a certain comexion and eoherence, aecording to the laws which mature has established for the enoremment of suchat creatme. When, therefore, we find, that any wank has proceded from the skill and industry of man; as we an sotherwise acopainted with the nature of the animal, we com draw a hmotred inferences concerning what may be experted from him; and these inferences will all be fomded in experience and observation. But did wo know man only from the single wonk or production which we examine, it wew imposible for us to argue in this manmer becanse our knwladge of all the phatities, which we ascribe to him, beiner in that case derived from the production, it is imposible the? rond puist to any thing farther, or be the fommation of any new inferemes. 'The print of a foot in the sand can only pores, when emmidered alone, that there was some figure
rdapted to it, by which it was prodnowl: But the print of a human fowt proves likewise, from on other experience, that there was probably another foot, which also left its imperssim, though officond by time or othor adecidents. Here we mont from the effere to the callose and descending again from the ranse, infer alterations in the reffect; but this is mot at continuation of the same simple chain of reasonine. We comprehend in this case a hameded othere experiones and ohsorvations, concorning the nswal figure and members of that speeciss of animal, without which this methon of argument must be considered as fallaroms and sophistieal.

The ease is mot the same with our reasonings form the works of nature. The baity is kinwn to ns only by his productions, and is a single being in the miverse, not comprehembed muler any semees or genns, from whose experienced attributes on qualitios, we can, byandory, infer any attribute or quality in him. As the miverse shews wisdom and gooctuess, we infer wisdom and gothess. As it shews a particular degree of these perfections, we infer a particular degree of them, precisely adapted to the effect which we examine. But farther attributes or farther degrees of the same attributes, we call never be anthorised to infer or suppose, by any ruleseff just reasoning. Now, without some such licence of supunsition, it is impossible for us to argue from the canse, or infer any alteration in the effect, beyond what has immediately fallen under our observation. Greater grood produced by this Being most still prove a greater degree of gooduess: A more impartial distribution of rewards and pmishments most proced from a greater regard to justice and equity. Every suppeseat addition to the works of mature makes an addition to the attributes of the Author of nature; and consequently, beingentirely unsupported by any reason or argment, can never be admitted but as mere conjecture and hypothesis. ${ }^{1}$

The great source of our mistake in this subject, and of

[^46][^47]${ }^{1}$ [Falitions E and F print in the text as far as 'gualitie'. an? throw the rest moto it mote.]

SECI. the unbounded licence of conjecture, which we indulge, is, that we tacitly consider ourselves, as in the place of the Supreme Being, and conclude, that he will, on every occasion, observe the same conduct, which we ourselves, in his situation, would have embraced as reasonable and eligible. But, besides that the ordinary course of nature may convince us, that almost everything is regulated by principles and maxims very different from ours; besides this, I say, it must evidently appear contrary to all rules of analogy to reason, from the intentions and projects of men, to those of a Being so different, and so much superior. In human nature, there is a certain experienced coherence of designs and inclinations; so that when, from any fact, we have discovered one intention of any man, it may often be reasonable, from experience, to infer another, and draw a long chain of conclusions concerming his past or future conduct. But this method of reasoning ean never have place witl regard to a Being, so remote and incomprehensible, who bears much less analogy to any other leeing in the universe than the sun to a waxen taper, and who discovers himself only by some faint traces or outlines, beyond which we have no anthority to ascribe to him any attribute or perfection. What we imagine to be a superior perfection, may really be a defect. Or were it ever so much a perfection, the ascribing of it to the Supreme Being, where it appears not to have been really exerted, to the full, in his works, savours more of flattery and paneryric, than of just reasoning and sound philosophy. All the philosophy, therefore, in the worll, and all the religion, which is nothing but a species of philosophy, will nerer be able to carry us beyond the usual course of experience, or give us measures of conduct and behaviour difterent from those which are fimmished by reflections on common life. No new fact can ever be inferred from the religions hypothesis; no event foreseen or foretold; no reward or punishment expected or dreaded, beyond what is already known by
emerey, which is already knewn from the first effects, will wot romose the difficulty. Forr aven granting this to he the (atse ( whieh can seldom bersurypoed), the very continnation and a x retion of a likn enerys (bor it 1 s inmomily it can he absolute] ${ }^{2}$ the samei), 1 say, this exertion of a liki enlerer, in a difterent jeriod of *pace amblime is a rery arbitlary sup-

[^48]practice and observation. So that my apolugy for kiplerness will still appear solid and satisfactory; nor have the politial

SEMT Xi. interests of seciety any comexion with the philesophical disputes concerning motaphysices and religion.

Thare is still one cirtumstance, rophed I, which you seem to have overlooked. Though I should allow your premises, 1 must deny your conclusion. You conclude, that religions deretrines and reasonings aon have no influeners on lifie. becaluse they ought to have no influence; never comsideringe, that men reasom not in the same manner as youdo, but draw many conseduaces from the belief of a divine Existence, and suppose that the Deity would inflict punishments on vice, and bestow rewards on virtne, beyond what appear in the ordinary course of nature. Whether this reasoning of theirs be just or uot, is mo matter. Its influence on their life and eonduct must still be the same. And, those, who attempt to disabuse them of such prejudices, may, for anght I know, be gool reasoncrs, but I camot allow them to be good citizens and politicians; since they free men from one restraint upon their passions, and make the infringement of the laws of society, in one respect, more casy and secure.

After all. I may, perlaps, agree to your general conclusion in farour of liberty, thongh upon different premises fiom those, on which you endearour to found it. I think, that the state ought to tolerate every principle of philosophy; nor is there an instance, that any gevermment has suffered in its political interests by such indulgence. There is no enthusiasm among philosophers; their dectrines are not very alluring to the people; and no restraint can be put upon their reasonings, but what must be of dangerons consequence to the sciences, and even to the state, by paving the way for persecution and oppression in points, where the generality of mankind are more deeply interested and concerned.

But there occurs to me (continned I) with regard to your main topic a difticulty, which I shall just propose to you, without insisting on it; lest it lead into reasonings of too nice and delicate a nature. In a word, I much doubt whether it be possible for a cause to be known only by its etfere (as you have all along supposed) or to be of so singular and particular a nature as to have no parallel and no similarity with any other eanse or object, that has ever fallen 'mmer our observation. It is only when two ipn "ix of objects

SECT. are found to be constantly conjoined, that we can infer the
$\underbrace{}_{\text {XI. one from the other; and were an effect presented, which was }}$ entirely singular, and could not be comprehended under any known species, I do not see, that we could form any conjecture or inference at all concerning its cause. If experience and observation and analogy be, indeed, the only guides which we can reasonably follow in inferences of this nature; both the effect and canse must bear a similarity and resemblance to other effects and canses, which we know, and which we have found, in many instances, to be conjoined with each other. I leave it to your own reflection to pursue the consequences of this principle. I shall just observe, that, as the antagonists of Epicurus always suppose the miverse, an effect quite singular and unparalleled, to be the proof of a Deity, a cause no less singular and unparalleled; your reasonings, upon that supposition, seem, at least, to merit our attention. There is, I own, some difficulty, how we can ever return from the cause to the effect, and, reasoning from our ideas of the former, infer any alteration on the latter, or any addition to it.

## Section XII.-Of the Academical or Sceptical Philosophy.

 PaRTI.There is not a greater number of philosophical reasonings, displayed upon any subject, than those, which prove the existence of a Deity, and refute the fallacies of Alheists; and yet the most religions philosophers still dispute whether any man can be soblinded as to be a speculative atheist. IIow shall we reconcile these contradictions? The knights-mrant, who wandered about to clear the world of dragons and giants, never entertained the least doubt with regard to the existence of these monsters.

The Scoptio is another enemy of religion, who naturally provokes the indignation of all divines and graver phikosophers; though it is certain, that no man ever met with any such absurd creature, or conversed with a man, who had no opinion or prineiple concerning any subject, either of action or speculation. 'This begets a very natural question; What is meant by a sceptic? And how far it is possible to push these philosophical principles of doubt and uncertainty?
'There is a species of seepticism, ontecedent to all study and
philosophy, which is much inenkated by Drs (cartes amb others, as a sovereign preserative against error and 1 recipitate judgment. It reoommembs an misersal donlt, met only wf all our torner opinims amb principles, but also of our very fandies; of whe ramity, say ther, we must assure omstolves. by a chain of reatoming, dedaced from some arginal primiple, whicin camot pusibly bu fallamions or Hemitful. But neither is there any surh origiml principle, Which has a faremgation above others, that are selfeevident and convincing: Or if there were, conld we advane a step berom it, but by the nse of these very faculties, of which we are suppesed to be alremly dithdent. The Cartesmay donbt, thorefore, wore it ever possible to be attended by any human creature (as it inainly is not) would be entirely incmable; and no reasoning could ever bring us to a state of assurance and tonviction upon any subject.

It must, however, be confessed, that this species of scepticism, when more moderate, may be understood in a rery romsmable sense, and is a necessary preparative to the study of philnsphy, by perserving a proper impartiality in ore julgments, and weaning our mind from all those projudices, which we may have imbibed from education or rash opinion. To bow with clear and self-evident principles, to adrance ly timmons and sure steps, to review frequently our comelusinns.and examine accurately all their consequences; though ly these means we shall make both a show and a short provers in our systems; are the only methods, by which we can ever hope to reach truth, and attain a proper stability and certainty in our determinations.

There is another species of scepticism, consequent to science and enquiry, when men are supposed to have discoveren, eithor the absulute fallacionsmess of their mental faculties, or their unfitness to reach any fixed determination in all those combus suljects of speculation, abont which they are comrumby employed. Even our rery senses are brought into dispute, by a certain species of philosophers ; and the maxims of common life are subjeeted to the same doubt as the most pofond ${ }^{\text {rimatiples or conclusions of metaphysics ant }}$ thendogy. As these paratoxical tenets (if they may be callent thenete) are to be met with in some philosophers, and the retutation of them in several, they maturall! excite our

SECT. XII. - . pamel.

SECT. curiosity, and make us enquire into the arguments, on which
XII.

Pakt I. I need not insist upon the more trite topics, employed by the sceptics in all ages, against the evidence of sense; such as those which are derived from the imperfection and fallaciousness of our organs, on numberless occasions; the crooked appearance of an oar in water; the varions aspects of objects, according to their different distances; the double images which arise from the pressing one eye; with many other appearances of a like nature. These sceptical topics, indeed, are only sufficient to prove, that the senses alone are not implicitly to be depended on ; but that we must correct their evidence by reason, and by considerations, derived from the nature of the medium, the distance of the object, and the disposition of the organ, in order to render them, within their sphere, the proper criteria of truth and falsehood. There are other more profound arguments against the senses, which admit not of so easy a solution.

It seems evident, that men are carried, by a natural instinct or prepossession, to repose faith in their senses; and that, without any reasoning, or even almost before the use of reason, we always suppose an external universe, which depends not on our perception, but would exist, though we and every sensible creature were absent or amihilated. Even the animal creation are governed by a like opinion, and preserve this belief of external objects, in all their thoughts, designs, and actions.

It seems also evident, that, when men follow this blint and powerful instinct of nature, they always suppose the very images, presented by the senses, to be the external objects, and never entertain any suspicion, that the one are nothing but representations of the other. This very table, which we see white, and which we feel harl, is believed to exist, independent of our perception, and to be something external to our mind, which perceives it. Our presence bestows not being on it: Our absence does not annihilate it. It preserves its existence uniform and entire, independent of the situation of intelligent beings, who perceive or contemplate it.

But this universal and primary opinion of all men is soon destroyed by the slightest philosophy, which teaches us, that nothing cals ever be present to the mind but an image or
pereeption, and that the senses are only the inlets, themon which these imates are converme without being able to produce any inmediate intercomse between the mind and the

SECT.
XII. - Pakt 1. object. The table, which we sea, semms to diminish, as we remove farther from it : But the real table, which exists independent of us, suffers no alteration: It was, therefore nothing but its image, which was present to the mind. 'Ihese are the obvious dictates of reason ; and no man, who reflects, ever doubted, that the existences, which we consider, when we say, this homse and that tref, are nothing but pereeptions in the mind, and flecting copies or representations of other existences, which remain uniform and independent.

Su far, then, are we necessitated by reasoming to contradict or depart from the primary instincts of nature, and to embrace a new system with regurd to the evidence of our senses. But herephilosophy finds herself extremely embarrassed, when she would justify this new system, and obviate the eavils and objections of the secptics. She can no longer plead the infallible and irresistible instinct of nature: For that led us to a quite different system, which is acknowledged fallible and even erroneous. And to justify this pretended philosophicul system, by a chain of clear and convincing argument, or even any appearance of argument, exceeds the power of all human capacity.

By what argument can it be proved, that the perceptions of the mind must be caused by external objects, entirely different from them, though resembling them (if that be possible) and could not arise either from the energy of the mind itself, or from the suggestion of some invisible and manown spivit, or from some other cause still more unknown to us? It is acknowledged, that, in fact, many of these perceptions arise not from any thing extermal, as in dreams, madness, and other diseases. And nothing can be more inexplicable than the manner, in which body should so operate upon mind as ever to convey an image of itself to a substance, supposed of so different, and even contrary a nature.

It is a question of fact, whether the perceptions of the senses be produced by external objects, resembling them: How shall this question be determined? By experience surely; as all other questions of a like nature. But here experience is, and must be entirely silent. The mind has never any thing present to it but the pereptions, and camot pos.

SECT. sibly reach any experience of their comexion with objects.
XII. The supposition of such a comnexion is, therefore, without Part I. any foundation in reasoning.

To have recourse to the veracity of the supreme Being, in order to prove the veracity of our senses, is surely making a very unexpected circuit. If his veracity were at all concerned in this matter, our senses would be entirely infallible ; because it is not possible that he can ever deceive. Not to mention, that, if the extermal world be once called in question, we shall be at a loss to find arguments, by which we may prove the existence of that Being or any of his attributes.

This is a topic, therefore, in which the profomder and more philosophical sceptics will always trimph, when they endeavour to introduce an universal doubt into all snlijects of human knowledge and enquiry. Do you follow the instincts and propensities of mature, may they say, in assenting to the veracity of sense? But these lead you to believe, that the very perception or sensible image is the external olject. Do you disclaim this principle, in order to embrace a more rational opinion, that the perceptions are only representations of something external! Yon here depart from your natural propensities and more obvious sentiments; and yet are not able to satisfy your reason, which can never find any convincing argment from experience to prowe, that the perceptions are connected with any external oljects.

There is another sceptical topie of a like nature, derived from the most profound philosophy; which might merit our attention, were it requisite to dive so deep, in mader to discover arguments and reasonings, which can so little serve to any serions purpose. It is miversally allowed by modern enquirers, that all the sensible qualities of oljects, surch as hard, soft, hot, cold, white, black, \&e., are merely secomdary, and exist not in the oljects themselves, but are peroppions; of the mind, without any external archotype or model, which they represent. If this be allowed, with regard to secondary qualities, it must alsofollow, with regard to the supposed brimary qualities of extension and solidity; nor can the latter be any more entitled to that denomination than the former. The illea of extension is entiredy acduired from the senses of sight and feeling ; and if all the qualities, peremived by the somses, be in the mind, not in the olject, the same conclusion must reach the idea of extension, which is wholly de-
pendent on the semsible ideats or the ideas of seremelary qualities. Nothing ean save us from this conchasion, but the asserting, that the ideas of those primary grablitios are at-
 acematory, we shall liml to be mintelligible, and wom absmal. Ancxtension, that is meither tamerblomerisible, (annot pessibly be coneeived: Andatamerible or visible extension, which is meither ham nor soft, harek nor whito, is equally beyomb the reteh of homan eomeeption. Latany mantry to roncerve atriangle in peneral, which is meither lsoretrs mon Siculemm, nor has any particular lenoth or proportion of simes ; aud he will soon pereeive the absumbity of all the seholastic notions with requrd to abstraction and gemeral ideas.'

Thas the first philosophieal objection to the evidence of sonse or to the opinion of extrmal existence consists in this, that such an opinion, if rested on matmal instinct, is cont rary toreasom, and if referred to reason, is contrary to natural instinct, and at the same time earries no mationl evidence with it, to convince an impartial enquirer. The second oljection goes finther, and represents this opiniom as contrary to reasom: at least, if it be a prineiple of reasom, that all sensible qualities are in the mind, not in the object. ${ }^{2}$ bereave matter ot all its intelligible qualitios, both primary and secomdary, you in a mamner amihilate it, and leave only a (cretation manown, mexplicable something, as the eanse of our perceptions; a notion so imperfect, that no seeptie will think it worth while to contend agramst it.

## PART II.

It may seem a very extravagant attempt of the seeptics to destroy reason by argument and ratiocination; yet is this the grand scope of all their enquiries and disputes. They en-

[^49][^50]SETT.
XII. Pakt 1.
sect. dravour to find objections, both to our abstract reasonings,
XII. and to those which regard matter of fact and existence.

Pakt II. The chief objection againsl all abstract reasonings is derived from the ideas of space and time; ideas, which, in common life and to a careless view, are very clear and intelligible, but when they pass through the serutiny of the profound sciences (and they are the chief object of these sciences) afford principles, which seem full of absurdity and contradiction. No priestly dogmas, invented on purpose to tame and subdue the rebellious reason of mankind, ever shocked common sense more than the doctrine of the infinite divisibility of extension, with its consequences; as they are pompously displayed by all geometricians and metaphysicians, with a kind of triumph and exultation. A real quantity, infinitely less than any finite quantity, containing quantities infinitely less than itself, and so on in intinitum; this is an edifice so bold and prodigious, that it is too weighty for any pretended demonstration to support, because it shocks the clearest and most natural principles of homan reasmm.' But what renders the matter more extraordinary, is, that these seemingly absurd opinions are supported by a chain of reasoning, the clearest and most natural; nor is it possible for us to allow the premises without admitting the consequences. Nothing can be more convincing and satisfactory than all the conclusions concerning the properties of circles and triangles; and yet, when these are once received, how can we deny, that the angle of contact between a circle and its tangent is infinitely less than any rectilineal angle, that as you may encrease the diameter of the circle in infinitum, this angle of contact becomes still less, even in infinitum, and that the angle of contact between other curves and their tangents may be infinitely less than those between any circle and its tangent, and so on, in infinitum? The demonstration of these principles seems as mexceptionable as that which proves the three angles of a triangle to be equal to two right

1 Whatever disputes thare may be about mathematical points, we must allow that there are physimal print-; that is, parts of extension, which cannot be diviled or lessened, either by the eye or imagination. These images, then, which are present to the famey or sensts, are absolutely indivisible, and consfquently must be allowed by

[^51]ones, though the latter opinion be matural and easy, and the former hig with contradiction and absurdity. Rasom here sopmes to be thrown into a kind of amazement and suspence, whirh, without the suggestions of any seeptic, gives her a dittidence of herself, and of the eqromed on which she treads. she seres a full light, which illuminates certain phaces; lout that light borders upon the most profond darkness. And betweren these she is so dazaled and confounded, that she s:arcely can pronounce with certainty and assurance concaming any one object.

The absurdity of these bold determinations of the abstract sciences seems to become, if possible, still more palpable with regard to time than extension. An infinite number of real jarts of time, passing in succession, and exhausted one after another, appears so evident a contradiction, that no man, one should think, whose judgment is not corrupted, instemd of being improved, by the sciences, would ever be able to almit of it.

Yet still reason must remain restless, and unquiet, even with regard to that scepticism, to which she is driven by these seeming absurdities and contradictions. How any clear, distinct idea can contain circumstances, contradictory to itself, or to any other clear, distinct idea, is absolutely incomprehensible ; and is, perhaps, as absurd as any proposition, which can be formed. So that nothing can be more sceptical, or more full of donbt and hesitation, than this scepticism itself, which arises from some of the paradoxical conclusions of geometry or the science of quantity. ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

1 It seems to me not impossible to avoid these absurtities and contradictions, if it be admitted, that there is no suell thing its abstract or general ideas, properly speaking; but that all general ileas are, in reality, particular ones, attached to a general term, which reealls, upon oceasion, other particular ones, that resemble, in certain circumstanees, the illen, present to the mind. Thus when the term Horse, is pronounced, we immediately figure to ourselvee the idea of a black or a white amimal, of a partienlar size or figure: But as that term


> is also usually applied to animals of other colours, figures and sizes, there idras, though mot actmally present to the imagimation, are easily recalled ; and our reasoning and conchision proeted in the same way. as if they wore actually present. If this be admitted (as sems reasomalle) it follows that all the ideas of quantity, upon which mathematicians reason, are mething but particular, aml such as are suggested by the semses and imagination, and consequently, pammet be intinitely divisille. It is sutficient

[^52]beting so exate or detreminate as to for the lommation of -uch extmombary Infuremo - I-k: Mathematician whot


The sceptical objections to moral evidence, or to the reasonings concerning matter of fact, are either popular or philosophical. The popular objections are derived from the natural weakness of limman understanding; the contradictory opinions, which have been entertained in different ages and nations; the variations of our judgment in sickness and health, youth and old age, prosperity and adversity; the perpetual contradiction of each particular man's pinions and sentiments ; with many other topics of that kind. It is needless to insist farther on this head. These objections are but weak. For as, in common life, we reason every moment concerning fact and existence, and camot possibly subsist, without continually employing this species of argument, any popular objections, derived from thence, must be insufficient to destroy that evidence. The great subverter of Pyrrhonism or the excessive princip'es of scepticism, is action, and employment, and the occupations of common life. These prineiples may flourish and triumph in the schools; where it is, indeed, difficult, if not impossible, to refute them. But as soon as they leave the shade, and by the presence of the real ohjects, which actuate our passions and sentiments, are put in opposition to the more powerful principles of our nature, they vanish like smoke, and leare the most determined sceptic in the same condition as other mortals.

The sceptic, therefore, had better keep within his proper sphere, and display those philosophical objections, which arise from more profound researches. Here he seems to have ample matter of triump, while he justly insists, that all our evidence for any matter of fact, which lies beyond the testimony of sense or memory, is derived entirely from the relation of canse and effect; that we have no other idea of this relation than that of two objects, which have been frequently comjoined tugether; that we have no argment to convince us, that oljectis, which have, in our expericnce, been
to have droperel thic hint at presemt,
 certainly concerns all lwwers of semate not to expose themetren to the ridienle
and contempt of the ignomant ly their (ramblncions: and this some the readiest sulution of these dithenalties.
 that the I lata of lipuality is unm if



it. Nus thix is an Appalal to the




frequently emponed, will likewise, in other instaners, be conjoined in the same mamer' ; and that mothing leats nes to


HE "T.
SII.
Pabl if. which it is imdend dillioult to mesist, but which, like othere instinets, may be tallacions and dexectlul. While the seeptie insists upon these topics, he shews his forere or rather, indend, his own and our weakers: and semen, for the time at least, to destroy all assmance amb convidion. These arguments might be displayed at ereater length, if any durable grood or benefit to sociefy could ever be expected to result from them.

For here is the chief and most confomming oljection to ererssive scepticism, that no durable good can ever result from it; while it remains in its full force and rigonr. We need only ask such a seeptic, What his meaning is? Ant what he propnoss b! all these curimes researches? He is immediately at a loss, and knows not what to answer. A Copernican or Profematc, who supports each his diffirent system of astromomy, may hope to proluce a convidinn, which will remain constant and durable, with his andienct. A Stome or Gpictient displays principles, which may mot only be durable, but which have an effect on conduet amb behaviour. But a Pyrbionias camot expect, that hiss philosophy will have any constant influence on the mind: Or if it had, that its influence would be beneficial to society. On the contrary, he must acknowledge, if he will acknowledge any thing, that all human life most perish, were his principles miversally and steadily to prevail. All discourse, all action would immediately cease ; and men remain in a total lethargr, till the necessities of nature, unsatisfied, put an end to their miserable existence. It is true; so fatal an event is very little to be dreaded. Nature is always too strong for principle. And though a Prrrionian may throw himself on others into a momentary amazement and confusion by his profomd reasonings ; the first and most trivial event in life will put to flight all his doubts and scruples, and leave him the same, in every point of action and siecolation, with the phibonhers of every other seet, or with thos who mow concemed themselves in any philoseghical mesathers. Whem he awakes from his dream, he will be the first to jom in then langl acrainst himself, amit to confes. that at? his mindions


SECT. XII.

Part II.
to show the whimsical condition of mankind, who must act and reason and believe; though they are not able, by their most diligent enquiry, to satisfy themselves concerning the foundation of these operations, or to remove the objections, which may be raised against them.

## PART III.

There is, indeed, a more mitigated scepticism or acudemical philosophy, which may be both durable and useful, and which may, in part, be the result of this Pyrrhonism, or escessive scepticism, when its undistinguished doubts are, in some measure, corrected by common sense and reflection. Tho greater part of mankind are naturally apt to be affirmative and dogmatical in their opinions; and while they see objects only on one side, and have no idea of any counterpoising argument, they throw themselves precipitately into the principles, to which they are inclined; nor have they any indulgence for those who entertain opposite sentiments. To hesitate or balance perplexes their understanding, checks their passion, and suspends their action. They are, therefore, impatient till they escape from a state, which to them is so measy; and they think, that they can never remove themselves fir enough from it, by the violence of their affirmations and obstinacy of their belief. But could such dogmatical reasoners become sensible of the strange infirmities of human understanding, even in its most perfect state, and when most accurate and cautious in its determinations; such a reflection would naturally inspire them with more modesty and reserve, and diminish their fond opinion of themselves, and their prejudice against antagonists. The illiterate may reflect on the disposition of the learned, who, amidst all the advantages of study and reflection, are commonly still diftident in their determinations: And if any of the learned be inclinet, from their natural temper, to hanghtiness and obstinacy, a small tincture of Pyrrhonism might abate their pride, by shewing them, that the few advantages, which they may have attained over their follows, are lom incomsiderabe, if compared with the universal perpexity ant confusion, which is inherent in homan nature. In general, there is a degree of doubt, aml caution, and modesty, which, in all kinds of scrutiny and decision, ought for ever to accompany a just reasoner.

Another speecies of mitiguted sedpticism, whieh may be of
心に ("T. advantano lo mankind, amd which may bre the matural result of the l'YRRIONLAN doubt amel sermples, is the limitation of our emunioices to such subjeets as are loest matapted to the
 of hamm is natmoally sublime doliohterl with whatever is romoto and extraorliniary, and rumninor, without eontronl, into the most distant parts of space and time in order fo aroid the objects, which enstome lias remolered too familiar 10 it. $\Lambda$ eorreet Imelyment olnserves it contrary methon, and avoiding all ristant and hiorl enguiries, eontines itsmf to common life, and to such suljects as firll umder daily probetice ilml experience; leaving the more sublime topics to the ennledlislment of poets and orators, or to the arts of priests amt politicians. Io bring us to so salutary a determination, nothing can be more serviceable, than to be onte thorourghy convinced of the foree of the PrRRHONIAN donbt, and of the impossibility, that auy thing, but the stronis power of natural instinet, conld fiee us fiom it. Those who have a propensity to philosoply, will still continue theif resuarehes; beeause they retleet, that, besides the immediate pleasme, attendiner such an oceupation, philosophieal decisions are nothing but the rexlections of common life, methodized and correeted. But they will never be tempted to go beyond common litio, so long as they consider the imperfection of those fiaculties which they employ, their narrow reath, and their inaceurate operations. While we eamot give a satisfictory reason, why we believe, after a thonsand experiments, that a stone will fill, or fire burn ; ean we ever satisíy ourselves concorning any determination, which we may form, with regard to the origin of worlds, and the situation of nature, from, and to eternity?

This narrow limitation, indeed, of our enquiries, is, in every respect, so reasonable, that it suffices to make the slightest examination into the natural powers of the limman mind, and to compare them with their objects, in order to recommend it to us. We shall then find what are the proper subjects of science and enquiry.

It seems to me, that the only objects of the abstract seiences or of demonstration are quantity and number, and that all attempts to extend this more perfect species of knowledge beyond these bounds are mere sophistry and

SEMT. illusion. As the component parts of quantity and number XII. are entirely similar, their relations become intricate and involved ; and nothing can be more curions, as well as useful, than to trace, by a variety of mediums, their equality or inequality, through their different appearances. But as all other ideas are clearly distinct and different from each other, we can never advance farther, by our utmost scrutiny, than to observe this diversity, and, by an obvious reflection, pronounce one thing not to be another. Or if there be any difficulty in these decisions, it proceeds entirely from the undeterminate meaning of words, which is corrected by juster definitions. That the square of the hypothenuse is equal to the squares of the other two sides, cannot be known, let the terms be ever so exactly defined, without a train of reasoning and enquiry. But to convince us of this proposition, that where there is no property, there can be no injustice, it is only necessary to define the terms, and explain injustice to be al violation of property. This proposition is, indeed, nothing but a more imperfect definition. It is the same case with all those pretended syllogistical reasonings, which may be found in every other branch of learning, except the sciences of quantity and number ; and these may safely, I think, be pronounced the only proper objects of lnowledge and demonstration.

All other enquiries of men regard only matter of fact and existence; and these are evidently incapable of demonstration. Whatever is may not be. No negation of a fact can involve a contradiction. The non-existence of any being, without exception, is as clear and distinct an idea as its existence. The proposition, which affirms it not to be, ${ }^{1}$ however false, is no less conceivable and intelligible, than that which affirms it to be. The case is different with the sciences, properly su called. Every proposition, which is not troe, is there eomfused and mintelligible. That the cube root of 6.4 is equal to the half of 10 , is a false proposition, and can never be distinetly conceived. But that Cesar, or ther angel Gabrien, or any being never existed, may be a false proposition, but still is perfectly conceivable, and implies no contradietion.

The existence, therefore, of any being can only le proved by argments from its. canse or its e.ffect; and these arguments ate founded entirely on experience. If we reason

[^53]a primer, any thing may appear able to promace any thing. The falling of a pebhe may, for anght we know, extimguinh tha sunf on the wish of a man contronl the planets in their

SETM.
X11.

- Pakrin orbits. It is ouly experience, which teaches us the nature and bomme of canse and effect, and enables us to infer the "xistrnce of one object from that of am, ther. ${ }^{1}$ Such is the foumation of moral reasominer, which forms the greater part of homan knowledge, and is the source of all homan action and behaviour.

Moral reasonings are either concerning particular or general facts. All deliberations in life regard the former; as also all disquisitions in history, chronology, geography, and astronomy.

The sciences, which treat of general facts, are politics, natural philosoplyy, physic, cleymistry, \&c. where the qualities, canses and effects of a whole species of objects are enquired into.

Divinity or Theology, as it proves the existence of a Deity, and the immortality of sonls, is composed partly of reasonings concerning particular, partly concerning general facts. It has' a foundation in reason, so far as it is supported by experience. But its best and most solid foundation is faith and divine revelation.

Morals and criticism are not so properly objects of the understanding as of taste and sentiment. Beauty, whether moral or natural, is felt, more properly than perceived. Or if we reason concerning it, and endeavoar to fix its standard, we regard a new fact, to wit, the general taste of mankind, or some such fact, which may be the object of reasoning and enquiry.

When we run over libraries, persuaded of these principles, what havoe must we make? If we take in our hand any volnme ; of divinity or school metaphysics, for instance; let us ask, Does it contain any ubstrect retsoniny conceminy quantity or number? No. Does it contuin uny expcrimental retsoning conceming matter of fuct and cxistence? No. Commit it then to the flames: For it can contain nothing but sophistry and illusion.
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## A IISSERTATION ()N THE PASSIONS.

## Sect. I.

1. Some objects produce immediately an agrecable sensation, by the original structme of our organs, and are thence denominated (ioon) ; as others, from their immediate disagreeable sensation, arquire the applation of Evil. Thus moderate warmith is agrecable and good; excessive leat painful and evil.
some oljects again, by being naturally conformable or contrary to prassion, exeite an agreeable or painful sensation ; and are thence calted Goon or beil. The punishment of an adversary, by gratifying revenge, is good; the sickness of a companion, by affecting friendship, is evil.
2. All good or evil, whence-ever it arises, produces various phissions and affections, according to the light in which it is surveyed.

When good is certain or very probable, it produces Joy: When evil is in the same situation, there arises Grief or Sorrow.

When either good or evil is uncertain, it gives rise to Fear or Hore, according to the degree of uncertainty on one side or the other.

Desire arises from good considered simply; and Arershos, from evil. The Will exerts itself, when either the presence of the good or absence of the evil may be attained by any action of the mind or body.
3. None of these passions seen to contain any thing curions or remarkable, except Hope and Fear, which, being derived from the probability of any good or evil, are mixed passions, that merit our attention.

Probability arises from an opposition of contrary chances or canses, by which the mind is not allowed to fix on either side; hut is incessantly tossed from one to amother, and is


## SE"T.

 I.SECT.
and another moment as the contrary. The imagination or understanding, call it which you please, fluctuates between the opposite views; and though perhaps it may be oftener turned to one side than the other, it is impossible for it, by reason of the opposition of causes or chances, to rest on either. The pro and con of the question alternately prevail; and the mind, surveying the objects in their opposite causes, finds such a contrariety as destroys all certainty or established opinion.

Suppose, then, that the object, concerning which we are doubtful, produces either desire or aversion; it is evident, that, according as the mind turns itself to one side or the other, it must feel a momentary impression of joy or sorrow. An object, whose existence we desire, gives satisfaction, when we think of those causes, which produce it; and for the same reason, excites grief or uneasiness from the opposite consideration. So that, as the understanding, in probable questions, is divided between the contrary points of view, the heart must in the same manner be divided between opposite emotions.

Now, if we consider the human mind, we shall observe, that, with regard to the passions, it is not like a wind instrument of music, which, in running over all the notes, immediately loses the sound when the breath ceases; but rather resembles a string-instrument, where, after each stroke, the vibrations still retain some sound, which gradually and insensibly decays. The imagination is extremely quick and agile; but the passions, in comparison, are slow and restive: For which reason, when any object is presented, which affords a variety of views to the one and emotions to the other ; though the fancy may change its views with great celerity; each stroke will not produce a clear and distinct note of passion, but the one passion will always be mixed and confounded with the other. According as the probability inclines to good or evil, the passion of grief or joy predominates in the composition ; and these passions being intermingled by means of the contrary views of the imagimation, produce by the union the passions of hope or fear.
4. As this theory seems to carry its own evidence along with it, we shall be more concise in our proofs.

The passions of fear and hope may arise, when the chances are equal on both sides, and no superiority can be diseovered in one above the wther. Nay, in this situation the passions
are rather the strongest，as the mind has then the last fomm－ dation to rest upon，and is tost with the greatest merertainty．

心ぼ「。 1. ＇Jhrow in a superior degree of probability to the side of erriof， you immediately see that passion diffuse itself over the com－ position，and tincture it into fear．Fincrease the probability， and by that means the grief；the fear prevails still more and more，＇till at last it runs insensibly，as the joy continually diminishes，into pure grief．After you have brought it to this situation，diminish the grief，by a contrary operation to that，which encreased it，to wit，by diminishing the proba－ bility on the melancholy side；and you will see the passion clar every moment，＇till it changes insensibly into hope； which again runs，by slow degrees，into joy，as you encrease that part of the composition，by the encrease of the pro－ bability．Are not these as plain proofs，that the passions of fear and hope are mixtures of grief and joy，as in optics it is a proof，that a coloured ray of the sum，passing throngh a prism，is a composition of two others，when，as you diminish or encrease the quantity of either，you find it prevail pro－ portionably，more or less，in the composition？

5．Probability is of two kinds ；either when the object is itself uncertain，and to be determined by chance：or when， though the object be already certain，yet it is uncertain to our judgment，which finds a number of proofs or presumptions on each side of the question．Both these kinds of pro－ bability cause fear and hope ；which must proceed from that property，in which they agree；namely，the uncertainty and fluctuation which they bestow on the passion，by that con－ trariety of views，which is common to both．

6 ．It is a probable good or evil，which commonly causes lope or fear；because probability，producing an inconstant and wavering survey of an object，occasions matmally a like mixture and uncertainty of passion．But we may observe， that，wherever，from other canses，this mixture can be pro－ duced，the passions of fear and hope will arise，even though there be no probability．

An evil，conceived as barely possille，sometimes produces fear；especially if the evil be rery great．A man camot think on excessive pain and torture without trembling，if he runs the least risque of suffering them．The smallness of the probability is compensated ly the ervatuess of the evil．

But ceren impossible evils canse fear；as when we tremible on the brink of a peripior，thonela we kaw oursolves to bee

SECT. in perfect security, and have it in our choice, whether we will advance a step farther. The immediate presence of the evil inflnences the imagination and produces a species of belief; but being opposed by the reflection on our security, that belief is immediately retracted, and carses the same kind of passion, as when, from a contrariety of chances, contrary passions are produced.

Evils, which are certain, have sometimes the same effect as the possible or impossible. A man, in a strong prison, without the least means of escape, trembles at the thoughts of the rack, to which he is sentenced. The evil is here fixed in itself; but the mind has not courage to fix upon it; and this fluctuation gives rise to a passion of a similar appearance with fear.
7. But it is not only where good or evil is uncertain as to its existence, but also as to its kim, that fear or hope arises. If any one were told that one of his sons is suddenly killed; the passion, occasioned by this event, would not settle into grief, 'till he got certain information which of his sons he had lost. Though each side of the question produces here the same passion; that passion camot settle, but receives from the imagination, which is unfixed, a tremulous unsteady motion, resembling the mixtureand contention of grief and joy.
8. Thus all kinds of uncertainty have a strong comexion with fear, even though they do not cause any opposition of passions, by the opposite views, which they present to us. Should I leave a friend in any malady, I shonkl feel more anxiety upon his account, than if he were present: though perhaps I am not only incapable of giving him assistance, but likewise of judging concerning the event of his sickness. There are a thousand little circumstances of his sitnation and condition, which I desire to know; and the knowledge of them would prevent that fluctuation and mencertainty, so nearly allied to fear. Horace ${ }^{1}$ has remarked this phenomenon.
Sirpentian allapsoss tiurt.
Magis rolicfis ; nom, ut adsil, auxili
Latiore plus. proses ntilms.

A virgin on lier lridal-night gres to bed full of fears am!

[^55]apprehensions, though she expects mothing lont phasure. The confusion of wishes and joys, the newness and erventress of the manown event, so embarrass the mind, that it knows not in what image or passion to tix itself.
9. Concerning the mixture of affections, we may remark, in enmeral, that when contrary passons arise from objects nowise comected torether, they takn wace altornately. Thas whent at man is afflicted for the loss of a law-suit, amd joytinl fin the lioth of a som, the mind, rmming from the agreeable to the calanitous object ; with whatever celerity it may perform this motion, can scarcely temper the one affection with the other, and remain between them in a state of indifference.

It more easily attains that calm situation, when the seme event is of a mixed nature, and contains something adverse and something prosperous in its different circumstances. For in that case, both the passions, mingling with each other by means of the relation, often berome mutually destructive, and leave the mind in perfoce tranquillity.

But suppose, that the object is not a compound of grool and evil, but is considered ats probable or improbable in any degree; in that ease, the contrary passions will both of them be present at once in the soul, and instead of balancing and tempering each other, will subsist together, amt by their nuion produce a third impression or affection, such as hope or fear.

The influence of the relations of ideas (which we shall explain more fully afterwards) is plainly seen in this affair. In contrary passions, if the objects be tutully difionont, the passions are like two opposite liquors in difierent bottles, which have no influence on each other. If the objects be intimately connected, the passions are like an alcali and an acil, which. being mingled, destroy each other. If the relation be more imperfect, and consist in the contiontictory viows of the same object, the passions are like oil and vinegrar, which, however mingled, never perfectly unite and intor], rate.

The effect of a mixture of passions, when one of them is predominant, and swallows up the other, shall be explained afterwards.

## Sect. II.

1. Besides those passions abuve-mentioned, which arisu from a direct pursuit of good and aversion to evil, there are others which are of a more complicated nature, and imply more than one view or consideration. Thus Pride is a certain satisfaction in ourselves, on account of some accomplishment or possession, which we enjoy: Humility, on the other hand, is a dissatisfaction with ourselves, on account of some defect or infirmity.

Love or Friendship is a complacency in another, on account of his accomplishments or services: Hatred, the contrary.
2. In these two sets of passion, there is an obvious distinction to be made between the object of the passion and its cause. The object of pride and humility is self: The cause of the passion is some excellence in the former case; some fault, in the latter. The object of love and hatred is some other person: The causes, in like manner, are either excellencies or faults.

With regard to all these passions, the canses are what excite the emotion ; the object is what the mind directs its view to when the emotion is excited. Our merit, for instance, raises pride; and it is essential to pride to turn our view on ourselves with complacency and satisfaction.

Now, as the causes of these passions are very numerous and various, though their object be uniform and simple: it may be a subject of curiosity to consider, what that circumstance is, in which all these various causes agree; or in other words, what is the real efficient cause of the passion. We shall begin with pride and humility.
3. In order to explain the canses of these passions, we must reflect on certain principles, which, though they have a mighty influence on every operation, both of the understanding and passions, are not commonly much insisted on by philosophers. The first of these is the assoriution of ideas, or that principle, by which we make an casy transition from one idea to another. However uncertain and changeahle our thonghts may be, they are mot antirely withont rul. and method in their changes. They minally pass with regulanity, from one object, to what resembles it, is contigrous to
 hation, any other, mitedhyther mations, natmally follows it,



 Whe arises. fiam the rest matmally follow. (irinf and dis-




[11 the thiar platere, it is obsempalle of these two kinds of assurtatim, that they very math assist ant forwat cath wther, and that the transition is meme astily mate, where they both comene in the salme oberet. Thans, a man, who, by an: injury received from another, is bry manch disennipsind and rutfed in his temper. is apt to find in hambed smbjects of hatrerl. discontent, impatience, fomp, ant other matasy passums; "spectatly, if he can diseorem these sulgects in or nowe the person, who was the objeet of his finst mation. 'Thase principers, which forward the transition of ideas, here comeur with thase which operate on the pasions: and both, mitins in one atetion, bestow on the mind a donble impuls:
 writer, who expresses himself in the following matimer: ${ }^{2}$ - As the fincy delights in erery thing, that is great, strange, ' or beautiful, and is still the more pleased the more it finds - of these perfections in the some ubject. so it is capmble of ${ }^{\circ}$ ' receiving now satistaction by the assistance of anothor 'sense. Thus, any continual somml, as the music of birls, " or a fill of waters, awakens every moment the mind ot the ' beholder, and makes him mon' attantive to the soperal " beaties of the place, that lic before him. Thas, if thaw,
 ' pleasure of the imagination, and make erent the cononrs at al - rerture of the lambeape appear more agreable ; for tha - incas of both semses recommerind weh other, and ana * pleasanter together than where they enter the mimd sara'rately : A; the different colomrs of a lioture, when ther ' are well disposed, set off one another', and receive an ardi-
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SECT. 'tional beauty from the advantage of the situation.' In II. these phrnomena, we may remark the association both of impressions and ideas: as well as the mutnal assistance these associations lend to each other.
4. It seems to me, that both these species of relations have place in producing Pride or Humility, and are the real, efficient causes of the passion.

With regard to the first relation, that of ideas, there can be no question. Whatever we are proud of must, in some manner, belong to ns. It is always our knowledge, our sense, beauty, possessions, family, on which we value ourselves. Self, which is the object of the passion, must still be related to that quality or circumstance, which couses the passion. There must be a connexion between them; an easy transition of the imagination; or a facility of the conception in passing from one to the other. Where this comexion is wanting, no object can either excite pride or humility; and the more you weaken the comexion, the more you wealien the passion.
5. The only subject of enquiry is, whether there be a like relation of impressions or sentiments, wherever pride or humility is felt; whether the circunstance, which causes the passion, previously excites a sentiment similar to the passion; and whether there be an easy transfusion of the one into the other.

The feeling or sentiment of pride is agreeable; of limmility, painful. An agreeable sensation is, therefore, related to the former; a painful, to the latter. And if we find, after examination, that every object, which produces pride, produces also a separate pleasure; and every object, which causes humility, excites in like mamer a separate uneasiness: we must allow, in that case, that the present theory is fully proved and ascertained. 'The double relation of ideas and sentiments will be acknowledged incontestable.
6. To begin with persmal merit and demerit, the most obvious canses of these passions; it would be antirely foreign to our present purpose to examine the fommation of moral distinctions. It is sufficient to observe, that the foregoing theory concerning the origin of the passions may be defended on any hypothesis. The most probable system, which has hown advanced to explain the difference between vice and virtur, is, that either from a primary constitution of nature, or from a sense of public or private interest, certain charac-



SECT.
II.
 semtial to vioe and virtite. 'Fo apmone of a charatere, is to fied a delight mpen its appearamer. 'lo disappowe of it, is to be semsible of an metamess. Tha pain aml pleasmot, theretisers. hedigs, in at mamer, the primaly somme of blame or
 sequently, the ranses of pride amd hamblity, which are the malvoidable attendants of that diximetion.

But supposing this theory of mumals shomld mot be reroived; it is still evident that pain insl pleasime, if mot the sommes of momal distinctions, are at least ins parable fron them. A generoms and moble chanacter aftionts a satistitetion ewon in the surver ; and when presented to ns, thongle only in a poem or fable never fails to rham and delight us. On the other hand, cruelty and treachery displase from their very batme: nor is it possible arer to reeoncile us to these qualities, either in murselves or others. Virtne, therefore, produees always a pleasme distinct from the pride or seltsatisfaction which attemds it: Vier, an uneasiness soparata fiom the hamility or remorse.

But a high or low conceit of omrselres arises not from those qualities alone of the mind, which, aecording to eommon systems of ethios, have been defined parts of moral duty; but from any other, which have a comexion with pleasme or measiness. Nothing flatters our vinity more than the talent of pleasing by our wit, good-humour, or any other accomphishment : and nothing gives us a more sensible mortification, hlan a disanpointment in any attempt of that kind. No one has ever been able to tell preaisely, what wit is, and to shew why sueh a system of thomght most be received muder that denomination, and such another rejected. It is by taste alone we can decide concerming it : nor are we possessed of any other standard, by which we can form a judgment of this nature. Now what is this taste, from which trute and false wit in in maner receive their being, and withont which no thomght can have a title to either of these demominations? It is phainly mothing but a semsation of pleasure from true wit, and of disgust from filse, withont our being able to tell the reasons of that satisfaction or uneasiness. The penter of exciting these opposite spmsations is, therefore, the very es-

SECT. sence of true or fulse wit ; and consequently, the cause of that ranity or mortitication, which arises from one or the other.
7. Beauty of all kinds gives us a peculiar delight and satisfaction; as deformity prodnces pain, upon whatever subject it may be placed, and whether surveyed in an animate or inanimate object. If the beanty or deformity belong to our own face, shape, or person, this pleasmre or uneasiness is converted into pride or humility; as laving in this case all the circumstances requisite to produce a perfect transition, according to the present theory.

It would seem, that the very essence of beanty consists in its power of producing pleasure. All its effects, therefore, must proceed from this circumstance : And if beanty is so universally the subject of vanity, it is only from its being the cause of pleasure.

Concerning all other bodily accomplishments, we may observe in general, that whatever in onrselres is either useful, beautiful, or surprizing, is an object of pride ; and the contrary of humility. These qualities agree in producing a separate pleasure; and agree in nothing else.

We are vain of the sumpizing adventures which we have met with, the escapes which we have made, the dangers to which we have been exposed; as well as of our surprizing feats of vigour and activity. Hence the origin of vnlan lying; where men, without any interest, and nurely ont of vanity, leap up a number of extraorlinary events, which are either the fictions of their brain ; or, if true, have no commexion with themselves. Their fruitfinl inrention sumplies them with a variety of adventures; and where that talent is wanting, they appopriate such as belong to others, in mater to gratify their vanity: For between that passion, ank tha sentiment of pleasme, there is always a elose eommexion.
8. But thongh pride and humility have the qualitios of our mind and borly, that is, of self, for their natmral and more inmediate auses: we find by axperienere, that many other objects produre these affeetions. We fomme vanity rpon houses, gimpons, "rpipage, amd other uxtomal objects; as well as upon persomal morit and aeeomplishments. This happens when exturnal whjects acquire any partionlar relafion to onrselves, and are associated or connected with us. A beantiful fish in the oepan, a well-propertioned animal in a forest, and indeced, any thing, wherh meither belongs nor is related to us, has no mamer of influenee on our vanity:

Whatever extramdinary qualitios it may be endownd with, and whatover degree of sumpriza and admiration it may natu-
spros.
11. rally occasion. It must ln someraly assuciated with ns, in order to tonch om pride. lts ileat most hang, in at maner, upon that of ourselves; and the transition from one to the wher monst be easy and natmal.

Men are vain of the beanty either of their country, or their eounty, or ex'll of their parish. Here the ide of beanty plainly produces a pheasure. This pheasure is related to pride. 'The object or eause of this pleasure is, by the supposition, related to self, the object of pride. By this domble relation of sentiments and ideas, a transition is made from one to the other.

Men are also vain of the happy tamperature of the climate, in which they are born ; of the fertility of their native soil ; of the goodness of the wines, fruits, or victuals, produced by it; of the softness or force of their language, with other particulars of that kind. These oljects have plituly a reference to the pleasures of sense, and are originally eonsidered as agreeable to the feeling, taste or hearing. How could they becone canses of pride, except by means of that transition above explained?

There are some, who discover a vanity of an opposite kind, and atfect to depreciate their own countre in comparison of those, to which they have travelled. These persons find, when they are at home, and surrounded with their countrymen, that the strong relation between them and their own nation is shared with so many, that it is in a mamer lost to them ; whereas, that distant relation to a foreign comery, which is formed by their having seen it, and lived in it, is angmented by their considering how few hare done the same. For this reason, they always admire the beaty, utility, and rarity of what they met with abroad, above what ther find at homes.

Since we can be vain of a comntry, climate or any inanimate object. which bears a relation to ns; it is no wonder we should be vain of the qualities of those. who are commected with us by blood or friendship. Aceordingly we find, that any qualities which, when belonginer to ourselves, produce pride, produce also, in a less degree the same atferetion, when discorered in persons, related to ns. The hoanty address. merit, credit, and honours of their kindred are carefully displayed by the prond, and are considerahle somree of their vanity.

SECP. As we are proud of riches in ourselves, we desire, in ordar to gratify our vanity, that every one who has any connexion with us, should likewise be possessed of them, and are ashamed of such as are mean or poor among our friends and relations. Our forefathers being regarded as our nearest relations ; every one naturally affects to be of a cood family, and to be descended from a long succession of rich and honourable ancestors.

Those, who boast of the antiquity of their families, are glad when they can join this circumstance, that their ancestors, for many generations, lave been minterrupted proprietors of the same portion of land, and that their family has never changed its possessions, or been transplanted into any other comnty or province. It is an additional subject of vanity, when they can boust, that these possessions have been transmitted througl a descent, composed entirely of males, and that the honours and fortume have never passed through any female. Let us endearour to explain these phænomena from the foresoing theory.

When any one values himself on the antiquity of his family, the subjects of his vanity are not merely the extent of time and number of ancestors (for in that respect all mankind are aliker), but these circumstances, joined to the riches and credit of his ancestors, which are supposed to reffect a hastre on himself, upon account of his connexion with them. Since therefore the passion depends on the connexion, whatever strengthens the eonnexion must also encrease the passion, and whatever weakens the commexion must diminish the passion. But it is evident, that the sameness of the possessions must strengthen the relation of ideas, arising from blood and kindred. and convey the fancy with greater facility from one generation to another ; fiom the remotest ancestors to their posterity, who are both their heirs and their descembants. By this facility, the sontiment is transmitted more ontire. and axcites a greater dergree of pride and vanity.

The case is the same with the transmission of the homomrs and fortune, through a succession of males, withont their passing through any temate. It is an olwious quality of human nature, that the imasination naturally turns to what"ver is important and comsirdraluld and where two olbects are pusented, a small and a ereat, if msually loaves the former,


 family．Ame though the mother shomble be pessessed of sumpine qualities to the father，as oftom happens，the gremer ruly prevails，motwithstanding the exeption，according to the dectrine，whith shall bee explamed afterwarts．Naty， even when a suluriority of any kind is so great，or when any other reasoms have such an offore as to make the children rather represent the mothers family than the fatther＇s，the general rule still retains an efficacy，sufficient to weaken the relation，and make a kind of breach in the line of ancestors．The imagination runs not along them with the same facility，nor is able to transfer the homourd credit of the ancestors to their posterity of the same name and fanily so readily．as when the transition is conformable to the general rule，and passes through the male line，from father to son，or from brother to brother．

9．But property，ats it gives the fullest power and authority over any olject，is the relation，which has the greatest in－ fluence on these passions．${ }^{1}$

Every thing，belonging to a rain man，is the best that is any where to be foump．His honses，equipage furniture， cleaths，horses，homnds，excel all others in his conceit ；and it is easy to observe，that，from the least advantage in any of these he draws a new smbject of pride and vanity．His wine，if yon will believe him，las a finer flavour than any other；his cookery is more exquisite：his table more orderly： his servants more expert：the air，in which he lives，more lealthfal：the soil，which he cultivates，more fortile：his fruits ripen earlier，and to greater perfection：Such a thing is remarkable for its novelty ；such another for its antiquity：
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This is the workmanship of a famous artist; that belonged once to such a prince or great man. All objects, in a word, which are useful, beautiful, or surprizing, or are related tir such, may, by means of property, give rise to this passion. These all agree in giving pleasure. This alone is common to them ; and therefore must be the quality, that prodnces the passion, which is their common effect. As every new instance is a new argunent, and as the instances are here without number ; it would seem, that this theory is sutficiently confirmed by experience.

Riches imply the power of acquiring whatever is agreeable: and as they comprehend many particular objects of vanity, necessarily become one of the chief canses of that passion.
10. Our opinions of all linds are strongly affected by society and sympathy, and it is ahmost impossible for us to support any principle or sentiment, against the universial consent of every one, with whom we have any firendhip on correspondence. But of all our opinions, those, whit we form in our own favour; however lofty or presuming ; are, at bottom, the frailest, and the most easily shaken by the contradiction and opposition of others. Our great concern, in this case, makes us soon alarmed, and keeps our passions upon the watch: Our consciousness of partiality still makes us dread a mistake: And the very difficulty of judging concerming an object, which is never set at a due distance firon us, nor is seen in a proper point of view, makes ns hearken anxionsly to the opinions of others, who are better qualifiod to form just opinions concerning ns. Hence that strong lowe of fame, with which all mankine are possessed. It is in wrine to fix and contirm their favourable opinion of themselves, not from any original passion, that they seck the applansen of others. And when a man desires to be prased, it is for tho same reason, that a beanty is pleased with surveying herself in a favomable looking-intass, and seemig the reffection of her own chatims.

Thongh it be diffioult, in all points of specenlation, to distinguish a "anse, which curreases an effect, from ome, which selely produres it ; en in the present case the phemomena soem pretty strong ind satisfactory in comfirmation of the forcoming principh.

We reedive a much suater satisfaction from the ammand


SEOT. 11. of those whem we contemm athl disipisis.

When asterm is obtaimed after a lome amd intimate aceوhaintanme, it gratites onr vanity in ateroliar mammer.
'The sumbere of those, who are shy amblockward ingiving praise, is attemem with an additional molish aml enjoyment, if we can obtain it in our tiacour.

Where a great man is delicate in his rhorion of fitwourites, Exery one dourts with oreater earmestmess his combtenance aml protertion.
 -HI own opinion, and extol us for those qualities, in which we chiefly exerl.

These phamomena seem to prove, tlat the firmmable suffraces of the world are regarded only as anthomitios, or as comtimations of our own opinion. And if the oppinions of of hers have more influmee in this sulperet than in any other, it is easily acemonted for from the mature of the suljecet.
11. 'I'hus few objects, however related to as, and whatever phasure they prolnce, are able to exeite a orat demper of boile on selfositisfaction; mbess ther be also obvions to ot hars. and emone the appobation of the seretators. What dispexition of mind so lesirable as the peatefth, resigned, "omtontol ; which readily submits to all the disurnsations of proviflemere, and preserves a eonstant seronity amidst the Ereatest misfortumes and disapmintment? Y Yet this dispusition, thomoln ackowledged to be a virtate or excellence, is soldom the fomelation of great vanity or self-applanse; having no brilliancy or exterior lastre, and rather cheering the heart, than amimating the behavionr and eomversation 'The case is the same with many other quatios of the mint, body, or fortume; and this ciremostance, as well as the donble relations abore mentioncel, must be atmitted to be of anmseguence in the protuction of these patsions.

A secomel eiremostaner, which is of comsequence in this aflaile, is the eonstaney and dumblemess of the object. What is very easual and inconstant, beyomt the eommon romese of lomman atlairs, gives little joy and less pribe. We ame mot mueh satisfied with the thing itself; and are sill kess apt to




SECT. whose existence is more durable; by which means its inconstancy appears still greater. It seems ridiculous to make ourselves the object of a passion, on account of a quality or possession, which is of so much shorter duration, and attends us during so small a part of our existence.

A third circumstance, not to be newlecter, is that the objects, in order to prorluce pride or self-value, must be peculiar to us, or at least common to us with a few others. The advantages of sm-shine, good weather, a happy climate, \&c. distinguish us not from any of our companioms. and give us no preference or superiority. The comparison, which we are every moment apt to make, presents no inference to our advantage ; and we still remain, notwithstanding these enjoyments, on a level with all our friends and acquaintance.

As health and sickness vary incessantly to all men, and there is no one, who is solely or cortamly fixed in either; these accidental blessings and calamities are in a manner separated fiom ns , and are not considered as a foundation for vanity or humiliation. But wherever a malady of any kind is so rooted in our constitution, that we no longer entertain any hope of recovery, from that moment it damps our self-conceit, as is evident in old men, whom nothing mortifies more tham the consideration of their age and infirmities. They endeavomr, as long as possible, to conceal their blindness and deafness, their rhemens and gouts; nor do they ever arow them without relnctance and uneasiness. And though young men are not ashamed of every head-ach or cold which they fill into; yet no topic is more proper to mortify lnman pride, and make ns entertain a mean opinion of our nature, than this, that we are every moment of our lives subject to such infimbities. This proves, that bodily pain and sickness are in themselves propro canses of humility; thomgh the custom of estimating every thing, by comprason, more than by its intrinsice worth and value, makes us overlonk those calamities, which we find ineident to every one, and canses us to form an iolea of our merit and character, indepembent of them.

We are ashamed of surl malaties as affect others, and are either dangorous on divagreable to them. Of the epilepsy; becanse it erives horme to evary ome present: Of the

it often ernes to posterity. Man always comsider the sentiHents of others in their juterment of themsalves.
$\therefore 1: 17$. 11.
 passions, is gemotal rults; be which we form a motion of different ranks of men, sulably to the power or riches of which they are possessed ; and this notion is mot chanerel by any preonliarities of hoalth wr temper of the persons, which maty deprive then of all ajoyment in their pessessions. Couston rembly carries ns berond the just bounds in our passinns, as well as in our reasonimgs.

It maty mot he amiss to observe on this orcasion, that the influenee of gememal rules and maxims on the passions very murla contributes to fineilitate the effects of all the prineiples or intermal methanism, which we lare explanin. For it semms evident, that, if a person full grown, and of the same nature with omselves, were on a smdern transported into our world. he would be much embarmased with every object, and would not readily determine what dearee of love or hatred, of pride or hmmility, or of any other passiom shonld be excited by it. The pas-ions are often varied by very inconsiderable prineiples: and these do not always play with penfect regulamity, expecially on the first trial. Dut as custmm or fratice has brompht to light all these principles, and has s-ttled the just value of every thing: this must eertainly contribute to the easy production of the passions, and guide 1:s. by means of general established rales, in the proportions, which we onght to observe in preferiner one olject to another. This remark may, perhaps, serve to obriate ditticulties, that arise concerning some canses, which we here ascribe to particular passions, and which may be esteemed too refmed to operate so universally and certainly, as they are found to do.

## Sect, Ilf,

1. In rmining orer all the canses, which produce the passion of pride or that of hmmility; it would readily oceur. that the same circumstance, if transerred from ourselves to amother person, would remder him the elbject of love or hatred, esteen or contempt. The virtue, genins. beants. family. riches, and anthority of others beoce faroumble sentiments in their belalf: and their viore folly. deformit, poverty, and meanmes excite the contrary smaments. The

SECT. donble relation of impressions and ideas still operates pride and humility. Whatever gives a separate pleasure or pain, and is related to another person or comected with him, makes him the object of our affection or disgust.

Hence too injury or contempt towards us is one of the greatest sources of our hatred; services or esteem, of our friendship.
2. Sometimes a relation to ourselves excites affection towards any person. But there is always here implied a relation of sentiments, without which the other relation would have no inftuence. ${ }^{1}$

A person, who is related to us, or comnested with us, by blood, by similitude of fortune, of adventures, profession, or country, soon becomes an agreeable companion to us: because we enter easily and fimiliarly into his sentiments and conceptions: Nothing is strange or new to us: Our imagination, passing from self, which is ever intimately present to us, runs smoothly along the relation or connexion, and conceives with a full sympathy the person, who is nearly related to self. He renders himself immediately acceptable, and is at once on an easy footing with us: No distance, no reserve has place, where the person introduced is supposed so closely connected with us.

Relation has here the same influence as custom or acquaintance, in exciting affection; and from like causes. The ease and satisfaction, which, in both cases, attend our interconrse or commerce, is the source of the friendship.
3. The passions of lowe and hatred are always followed br, or rather conjoined with, benerolence and anser. It is this conjunction, which chiffy distinguishes these affections from pride and homility. For forde and homility are pare cmotions in the sonl. nattended with any desire, and not immediately exciting us to action. But lue and hatred are not compleat within themselves, nor rest in that emotion, which they prondace: but carry the mind to something farther. Lowe is always followed by a desive of hapriness to the persom beloved, and an aversion to his misery: As hatred produces a desire of the misery, and

[^58]an arersion to the happiness oif the persen hatad. These
 with the passims of low amil latred. It is a constitution
$\therefore E C T$.
JII. of nature, of whinls wo man give ho farthor axplication.

1. ('ompatsion frepuently arises, wher there is motre-
 in the sulfering of anothor. It sients tornine from the infimate and strong concoption of his sulierincs ; and our imamination proerests by dereese, from the lively iblea to the real teedimg of amotheres misery.

Malioe and envy also arise in the mind withont any pre-
 the same with that of anere and ill-will. The comparison be oursolves with others seems to be the souree of eny and malice. The more mhappy another is. the more haply do Wh omselves appar in one own conceptinn.
$\therefore$ The similar tendency ol eompassion to that of beneTolence, and of envy to angere, forms atery elose relation hatweren these two sets of prasions: though of a different kind fiom that which was insisted wh above. It is not a resemblance of freting or sentiment, but aresemblance of fondenty on lirection. Its effecet, iowerer, is the same, in panturing an assubition of passions. Compassion is serklonn or nerer felt withont some mixture of tenderness or frimulship: :and ensy is naturally accompanied with anger of ill-will. To desire the hapliness of another, from whatwer motive is a good preparative to affection : and todelioght in another"s misery almost maroidably begets arersion towarls him.

Eren where interest is the somre of our concern, it is commonly attended with the same comsergnences. A partner is a matural olject of frientship: a rival of ammity.
(6. Poverty, meanness, disapleintment, produce contempt and dislike: But when these misfortmes are very great. wr fre represented to us in very strong colomes, they exeite rompassion, and tonderness, and friembhip. How is this contradiction to be aceomed for? The porerty and mean-
 easiness, by a species of imperfect sympathy ; :mm this uneasiness produces aversion or distike, from the resemblanes of sentiment. But when we cater more intinately into another's concerms, and wish for his han!mess. as well as feet
sEct. his misery, friendship or goodwill arises, from the similar tendency of the inclinations.
${ }^{1}$ A bankrupt, at first, while the idea of his misfortunes is fresh and recent, and while the comparison of his present unhappy situation with his former prosperity operates strongly upon us, meets with compassion and friendship. After these ideas are weakened or obliterated by time, he is in danger of compassion and contempt.
7. In respect, there is a mixture of humility, with the esteem or affection: In contempt, a mixture of pride.

The amorous passion is usually compounded of complacency in beanty, a bodily appetite, and friendship or affection. The close relation of these sentiments is rery obrious, as well as their origin from each other, by means of that relation. Were there no other phænomenon to reconcile us to the present theory, this alone, methinks, were sufficient.

## Sect. IV

1. The present theory of the passions depends entirely on the domble relations of sentiments and ideas, and the mutual assistance, which these relations lend to each other. It may not, therefore, be improper to iliustrate these principles by some farther instances.
2. The virtues, talents, accomplishments, and possessions of others, make us love and esteem them: Becanse these objects excite a pleasing sensation, which is related to love; and as they have also a relation or comexion with the person, this union of ideas forwards the mion of sentiments, according to the foregoing reasoning.

But suppose, that the person, whom we love is also related to us, by blood, comntry, or friendship; it is evident, that a species of pride must also be excited by his accomplishments and possessions: there being the same double relation, which we haw all along insisted on. The person is related to us, or there is an easy transition of thouglit from him to us; and sentiments, excited by his advantages and virtues, are agrecable, and consequently related to pride. Accordingly we find, that people are naturally vain of the good qualities or high fortmo of their friends and comentrymer.

[^59]$\because$. lant it is observable, that, if weremerse the ordar of the passions, the samb dfeet dow mot follow. Wh pass easily $\qquad$
$\underbrace{\substack{\text { BCH } \\ 1 V_{0}}}$ from lowe and aflertion to pride amd vanity ; but not from The latter passions to the former, thomed all the relations be the same. We love not those who arte related to he, on acesomit of our own merit ; thongh they are naturally vain on aroorme of our merit. What is the reasom of this ditforence? The transition of the imarination to onmerslees, from objeets related to ns, is always easy; both on aceoment of the relation, whirh facilitates the transition, ant because we there pass from remoter objects, to those which are erontignome. But in passing from ourselves to objects, related to us; thonerh the former principle forwards the transition of thourlit, yet the latter opposes it ; and consequently thera is not the same asy transfusion of passions from pride to lowe as from love to pride.
4. 'The virtnes, services, and fortune of one man inspire us readily with esteem and affection for another related to hime 'The son of our friend is naturally entitled to our firiendship: The kindred of a very great man value themselves, and are ralned by others, on account of that relation. The force of the double relation is here fully displayed.
5. The following are instances ot another kind, where the operation of these principles may still be discovered. Envy arises from a superiority in others; but it is obsurable, that, it is not the great disproportion between us, which exites that passion, bat on the contrary, onr proxinnty. A great, dispropertion ents off the relation of the ideas, and either keeps us from comparing ourselves with what is remote from us, or diminishes the effects of the comparison.

A poet is not apt to envy a philosopher, or a poet of a different kind, of a different nation, or of a different age. All these differences, if they do not prevent, at least weaken the comparison, and consequently the passion.

This too is the reason, why all objects appear great or little, merely by a comparison with those of the same species. A mountain neither magnifies nor diminishes a horse in our eyes: But when a Flemist and a Welsh horse are seen together, the one appears greater and the other less, than when vitwed apart.

From the sime principle we may acoount for that renark of historians, that any party, in a civil war: wern factione

SECT. division, always choose to eall in a foreign enemy at any
hazard, rather than submit to their fellow-citizens. Guicerardin applies this remark to the wars in Italy; where the relations between the different states are, properly speaking, nothing but of name, language, and contiguity. Yet even these relations, when joined with superiority, by making the comparison more natural, make it likewise more grievous, and cause men to search for some other superiority, which may be attended with no relation, and by that means, may have a less sensible influence on the imagination. When we camnot break the association, we feel a stronger desire to remove the superiority. This seems to be the reason, why travellers, though commonly lavish of their praise to the Chinese and Persians, take care to depreciate those neighbouring nations, which may stand upon a footing of rivalship with their native comutry.
6. The fine arts afford us parallel instances. Should an anthor compose a treatise, of which one part was serious and profound, another light and humorous; every one wonld condemn so strange a mixture, and would blame him for the neglect of all rules of art and criticism. Yet we accuse not Prior for joining his Almit and Solomon in the same volume ; though that amiable poet has perfectly succeeded in the gaiety of the one, as well as in the melancholy of the other. Even suppose the reader shond peruse these two compositions without any interval, he word feel little or no difficulty in the change of the passions. Why? lat becanse he considers these performances as entirely different; and by that break in the ideas, breaks the progress of the affections, and hinders the one from influencing or contradicting the other.

An heroic and burlesigue design, mited in one picture, would be monstrons; thongh we phace two pictures of so opposite a chametor in the same chamber, and even close together, withont illy sumple.
7. It needs bo mo mattor of womder, that the easy transition of the imagination shombl have such an influence on all the passions. It is this wery cirmmstaner, which forms all the relations and commexims anomest obowts. We know no real comexion betwon wie thing and another. We only know, that the idea of rme thing is associated with that of another, and that the insumation makes an easy transition
between them. And as the casy transition of ideas, and that of sentiments mutually assist each other ; we might before-

SECT. IV. hand expect, that this principle must have a mighty influence on all our internal movements and affections. And experienee sufficiently confirms the theory.

For, not to repeat all the foregoing instances: Suppose, that I were travelling with a companion through a comntry, to which we are both utter strangers; it is evident, that, if the prospects be beautiful, the roads agreeable, and the fields finely cultivated; this may serve to put me in good-humour, both with myself and fellow-traveller. But as the country las no connexion with myself or friend, it can never be the immediate cause either of self-value or of regard to him: And therefore, if I found not the passion on some other object, which bears to one of us a closer relation, my emotions are rather to be considered as the overflowings of an elevated or humane disposition, than as an established passion. But supposing the agreeable prospect before as to be surveyed erther from his country-seat or from mine ; this new connexion of ideas gives a new direction to the sentiment of pleasure, derived from the prospect, and raises the emotion of regard or vanity, according to the nature of the comexion. There is not here, methinks, much room for doubt or difficulty.

## Sect. V.

1. It seems evident, that reason, in a strict sense, as meaning the judgment of truth and falsehood, can never, of itself, be any motive to the will, and can have no influence but so far as it touches some passion or affection. Abstract relations of ideas are the object of curiosity, not of volition. And matters of fact, where they are neither good nor evil, where they neither excite desire nor aversion, are totally indifferent, and whether known or unknown, whether mistaken or rightly apprehended, cannot be regarded as any motive to action.
2. What is commonly, in a popular sense, called reason, and is so much recommended in moral discourses, is nothing but a general and a calm passion, which takes a comprehensive and a distant view of its object, and actuates the will, withont exciting any sensible emotion. A man, we say, is diligent in his profession from reason; that is, from a calm

SECT. desire of riches and a fortune. A man adheres to justice
v. from reason; that is, from a calm regard to public good, or to a character with himself and others.
3. The same objects, which recommend themselves to reason in this sense of the word, are also the objects of what we call passion, when they are brought near to us, and acquire some other advantages, either of external situation, or congruity to our internal temper; and by that means excite a turbulent and sensible emotion. Evil, at a great distance, is avoided, we say, from reason : Evil, near at hand, produces aversion, horror, fear, and is the object of passion.
4. The common error of metaphysicians has lain in ascribing the direction of the will entirely to one of these principles, and supposing the other to have no influence. Men often act knowingly against their interest: It is not therefore the view of the greatest possible good which always influences them. Men often comteract a violent passion, in prosecution of their distant interests and designs: It is not therefore the present uneasiness alone, which determines them. In general, we may observe, that both these principles operate on the will ; and where they are contrary, that either of them prevails, according to the general character or present disposition of the person. What we call strengith of mind implies the prevalence of the calm passions above the violent; thongl we may easily observe, that there is no person so constantly possessed of this virtue, as never, on any occasion, to yield to the solicitation of violent affection and desire. From these variations of temper proceeds the great difficulty of deciding with regard to the future actions and resolutions of men, where there is any contrariety of motives and passions.

## Serot. VI.

1. We shall here enumerate some of those circumstances, which render a passion caln or violent, which heighten or diminish any emotion.

It is a property in hmman nature, that any cmotion, which attends a passiom, is easily converted into it; though in their natures they be originally different from, and even contrary to fach other. It is true, in order to canse a perfect mion amongst passions, and make one prodnce the other, there is
always reguired a donble relation, aceording to the theory above delivered. But when two passions are already pro-

AEST. VI. duad by their separate cansers, and are both present in the mind, they readily mingle and mito; thongh they have bont one rolation, and sometimes withont any. 'Ihe predominant passion swallows mp the inferior, and converts it info itself. 'The spirits, when once exciterl, asily receive a change in therir direction; and it is natural to imagime, that this change will come from the prevailing affection. 'The connexion is in many cases closer between any two passions than between any passion and indifterence.

When a person is once heartily in lowe, the little fanlts and caprices of his mistress, the jealonsies and fuarrels, to which that enmmerce is so sulbect: however unpleasant they be, and rather connected with anger and hatred; are yet fouml, in many instances, to give additional force to the prevailing passion. It is a common artifice of politicians, when they would affect any person very much by a matter of fact, of which they intend to inform him, first to excite his curiosity ; delay as long as possible the satisfying of it ; and by that means raise his anxiety and patience to the utmost, before they give him a full insioht into the business. They know, that this emriosity will precipitate him into the passion, which they purpose to raise, and will assist the object in its influence on the mind. A soldier advaneing to battle, is naturally inspired with courage and confidence, when he thinks on his friends and fellow-soldiers; and is struck with fear and terror, when he reflects on the enemy. Whatever new emotion therefore proceeds from the former, naturally encreases the courage; as the same emotion proceeding from the latter, angments the fear. Hence in martial disedpline, the uniformity and lustre of habit, the remularity of figmes and motions, with all the pomp and majesty of war, encomage ourselves and onv allies; while the same objects in the enemy strike terror into us, though agreeable and beantifnl in themselves.

Hope is, in itself, an agreablle passion, and allied to friendship and benevolence; ret is it able sometimes to blow up anger, when that is the predominant passion. Sp"s addita suscitut iras. Ving.
2. Since passions, however independent, are naturally transfused into each other, if they be both present at the
sEIT. same time; it follows, that when good or evil is placed in such a situation as to canse any particular emotion, besides its direct passion of desire or aversion, this latter passion must acquire new force and violence.
3. This often happens, when any object excites contrary passions. For it is observable, that an opposition of passions commonly causes anew emotion in the spirits, and produces more disorder than the concmrence of any two atfections of equal force. This new cmotion is asily converted into the predominant passion, and in many instanees, is (hserved to encrease its violence, beyond the pitch, at which it would have arrived, had it met with no opposition. Hence we naturally desire what is forbid, and often take a pleasure in performing actions, merely becanse they are mawful. The notion of duty, when opposite to the passions, is not always able to overcome them ; and when it fails of that effect, is apt rather to encrease and irritate them, by producing an opposition in our motives and principles.
4. The same effect follows, whether the opposition arisu from internal motives or extermal obstacles. The passion commonly acquires new force in both cases. The efforts, which the mind makes to surmount the obstacle, excite the spirits, and enliven the passion.
5. Uncertainty has the same effect as opposition. The agitation of the thought, the quick turns which it makes from one view to another, the variety of passions which succeed each other, aceording to the different views: All these produce an emotion in the mind; and this emotion transfuses itself into the predominant passion.

Security, on the contrary, diminishes the passions. The mind, when loft to itself, immediately languishes; and in order to preserve its ardour, must be every moment supperted by a new flow of passiom. For the same reason, despair, though contrary to security, has a like infurnee.
6. Nothing more powertully excites any atiection than to coneral seme part of its object, by throwing it into a kind of shade, which at the same time that it shows enough to prepossess us in farour of the object, loaves still some work for the imagination. Besides that obscurity is always attended with a kind of mecertainty; the effort, which the fancy makes to compleat the iden, ronzes the spirits, and gives an additional force to the passion.
7. As despair and serourity, thomeh contrary, fenimen tha same pflects: so absence is observed to have contraty rffects, and in lifferent circumstaners, ather ancreases or diminishes our affection. Rocinffotcatit hats very well remarked, that absence destroys weak pascions, but encreases stromer as the wind extingaishes a eardler, but hlows up a fire. Lomer absenee naturally weakens one itea, ant diminishers the passion : Bat where the afferetion is so strone and lively as to
 the fassion, and gives it new fore and influmere.
s. When the sonl applies itself to the ferformame of asy artion, or the conerption of any object. to whirh it is not acenstomed, there is a certain mpliablenoss in the faculties. and a difficulty of the spirits moving in their new direction. As this difficulty excites the spirits, it is the somme of wondfr, surprize, and of all the emotions, which arise from novelty : and is, in itself, agreeable, like every thing which enlivens the mind to a moderate degree. But though smprize bo agreeable in itself, yet, as it puts the spirits in agitation, it mot only augments our agreeable aftections, but also our painful, according to the foregoing principle. Hence every thing that is new, is most affecting, and gives us either more pleasure or pain, than what, strictly speaking, should naturally follow from it. When it often returns upon us, the novelty wears off'; the passions subside; the hurry of the spirits is over ; and we survey the object witla greater tranghillity.
9. The imagination and affections have a clase anion together. The vivacity of the former wives force to the latter. Hence the prospect of any pleasure, with which we are acquainted, affects us more than any other pleasure, which we may own superior, but of whose nature we are wholly ignorant. Of the one we can form a particnlar and determinate idea. The otner we conceive under the general notion of pleasure.

Any satisfaction, which we lately enjoyed, and of which ther memory is fresh and recent, operates on the will with more violence, than another of which the traces are decayed and almost obliterated.

A phasure, which is suitable to the way of life. in which we are engaged, excites more our desire aml apmetite thin another. which is foreign to it.


SECT. mind, than eloquence, by which objects are represented in the strongest and most lively colours. The bare opinion of another, especially when enforced with passion, will cause an idea to have an influence upon us, thongh that idea might otherwise have been entirely neglected.

It is remarkable, that lively passions commonly attend a lively imagination. In this respect, as well as in others, the force of the passion depends as much on the temper of the person, as on the nature and situation of the object.

What is distant, either in place or time, has not equal infiuence with what is near and contiguous.


I pretend not to have here exhausted this subject. It is sufficient for my purpose, if I have made it appear, that, in the production and conduct of the passions, there is a certain regular mechanism, which is susceptible of as accurate a disquisition, as the laws of motion, optics, hydrostatics, or any part of natural philosophy.
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## PRINCIPLES OE MIOR.LLS.

Segtion I.- Of the General Principles of Morals.
Dinputes with men, pertinacionsly obstinate in their principles, are, of all others, the most irksome; except. perhaps, those with persons, 'entirely disingenuous, who really do nut believe the opinions they defend, but engage in the controversy, from affectation, from a spirit of opposition, or from a desire of showing wit and ingenuity, superior to the rest of mankind. The same blind adherence to their own arguments is to be expected in both; the same contempt of their antagonists; and the same passionate rehemence, in inforeing sophistry and falsehood. And as reasoning is not the source, whence either disputant derives his tenets; it is in vain to expect, that any logic, which speaks not to the affections, will ever engage him to embrace sounder principles.

Those who have denied the reality of moral distinctions, may be ranked among the disingenuous disputants; nor is it conceivable, that any human creature could ever serionsly believe, that all characters and actions were alike entitled to the affection and regard of every one. The difference, which nature has placed between one man and another, is so wide, and this difference is still so much farther widened, by education, example, and habit, that, where the opposite extremes come at once under our apprehension, there is no scepticism so scrupulous, and searee any assurance so determined. as absolutely to deny all distinction between them.

[^60]sect. Let a man's inseusibility be ever so great, he must often le
I. touched with the images of RIGHT and WRONG; and let his prejudices be ever so obstinate, he must observe, that others are snsceptible of like inipressions. The only way, therefore, of converting an antagonist of this kind, is to leave him to himself. For, finding that no body keeps up the controversy with him, it is probable he will, at last, of himself, from mere weariness, come over to the side of common sense and reason.

There has been a controversy started of late, much better worth examination, concerning the general foundation of MORALS ; whether they be derived from REASON, or from SENTLMENT; whether we attain the knowledge of them by a chain of argument and induction, or by an immediate feeling and finer internal sense; whether, like all sound judgment of truth and falsehood, they should be the same to every rational intelligent being; or whether, like the perception of beauty and deformity, they be founded entirely on the particular fabric and constitution of the human species.

The ancient philosophers, though they often affirm, that vartue is nothing but conformity to reason, yet, in general, seem to consider morals as deriving their existence from taste and sentiment. On the other hand, our modern enquirers, though they also talk much of the beauty of virtue, and deformity of vice, yet have commonly endeavoured to account for these distinctions by metaphysical reasonings, and by deductions from the most abstract principles of the understanding. Such confusion reigned in these subjects, that an opposition of the greatest consequence could prevail between one system and another, and even in the parts of almost each individual system ; and yet no body, till very lately, was ever sensible of it. The elegant ${ }^{1}$ Lord Shaftesbury, who first gave occasion to remark this distinction, and who, in general, adhered to the principles of the ancients, is not, himself, entirely free from the same confusion.

It must be acknowlenged, that both sides of the question are susceptible of specious irgments. Moral distinctions, it may be said, are discernible by pure reason: Else, whence the many disputes that reign in common life, as well as in philosophy, with regard to this subject: The long chain of

[^61]proufs often protarod on both sides; the examples eiterl, the anthoritios appealed to, the amalogios rmployed, the fallacits ieterted, the interomers drawn, athe the sereral romelnsions adjusted to their proper primeiphes. Truth is disputable; not taste: What exists in the mature of thinges is the stamland of ome juldement; what ach man feels within himself is the stamdard of sentimment. Dropesitions in gemmetry maty he proved, systems in physies may be controwerded; but the lammony of verse, the temderomes of passion, the brilliancy of wit, mast give immediate pleasme. No man reasons concerning another's beanty; but frequently concerming the justice or injustice of his actions. In every ariminal trial the first object of the prisoner is to disprove the faets alleged, and deny the actions inponted to him: The seeond to prove, that, even if these actions were real, thay might be jastified, as imnocent and lawful. It is confessedly by deductions of the understanding, that the first point is ascertained: How can we suppose that a difierent faculty of the mind is employed in fixing the other?

On the other hand, those who wonk resolve all momal determinations into sentiment, may endeavour to show, that it is impussible for reason ever to draw conclusions of this nature. To virtue, say they, it belongs to be amiable, and vice olions. 'This forms their very nature or essence. But can reason or argumentation distribute these different epithets to my subjects, and pronoance before-hand, that this must prohluce love, and that hatred? Or what other reason can we ever assign for these affections, but the original fabric and formation of the hmman mind, which is maturally adapted to reccive them?

The end of all moral speculations is to teach us our duty; and, by proper representations of the deformity of vice and beauty of virtue, beget correspondent habits, and engage ns to avoid the one, and embrace the other. But is this ever to be expected from inferences and conchasions of the understanding, which of themselves have no hold of the affeetions. or set in motion the active powers of men! They diseover truths: lat where the traths which they diseover are indifterent, and beget no desire or aversion, they can have no inftuence on conduct and behariour. What is honourable, what is fiar, what is becoming, what is nolle. what is gemeroms takes possession of the hemrl. and animates us to
shct. embrace and maintain it. What is intelligible, what is evident, what is probable, what is true, procures only the cool assent of the understanding; and gratifying a speculative curiosity, puts an end to our restarches.

Extinguish all the warm feelings and prepossessions $1 /$ favour of virtue, and all disgust or aversion to vice: Render men totally indifferent towards these distinctions; and morality is no longer a practical study, nor has any tendeney to regulate our lives and actions.

These arguments on cach side (and many more might be produced) are so plansible, that $I$ ann apt to suspect, they may, the one as well as the other, be solid and satisfactory, and that reason and sentiment concur in almost all moral determinations and conclusions. The final sentence, it is probable, which pronounces characters and actions amiable or odious, praise-worthy or blameable; that which stamps on them the mark of honomr or infamy, approbation or censure; that which renders morality an active principle, and constitutes virtue our happiness, and vice our misery : It is probable, I say, that this final sentence depends on some internal sense or feeling, which nature has made universal in the whole species. For what else can have an influence of this nature? But in order to pave the way for such a sentiment, and give a proper discernment of its object, it is often necessary, we find, that much reasoning should precede, that nice distinctions be made, just conclusions drawn, distant comparisons formed, complicated relations examined, and general facts fixed and ascertained. Some species of beauty, especially the natural kinds, on their first appearance, command our affection and approbation ; and where they fail of this effect, it is impossible for any reasoning to redress their influence, or adapt them better to our taste and sentiment. But in many orders of beanty, particularly those of the finer arts, it is requisite to employ much reasoning, in order to leel the proper sentiment; and a false relish may frequently be corrected by argument and reflection. There are just grounds to conclude, that moral beanty partakes mock of this latter species, and demands the assistance of onr intellectual faculties, in order to irive it a suitable influence on the human mind.

But thonerl this question, coneerning the general principtw of morals, be curious and important, it is needless for us, at
present, to employ farther eare in our researens concoming it. For if we can be so happy, in the eonrse of this enquiry, as to discover the true origin of morals, it will then easily appear how far either sentiment or reason enters into all determinations of this bature. ${ }^{1}{ }^{2} l_{n}$ weder to attain this purpose, we shat embavour to follow a very simple methon: Wha shall analyse that complication of mental qualition, which form what, in common life, we call Personal Merit : We shall consider every attribute of the mind, whiel rembers a man an object either of esteem and affection, or of hatrel and contempt; every habit or sentiment or faculty, which, if ascribed to anty person, implies either praise or hame, and may enter into any panegric or satire of his character amb mamers. The quick sensibility, which, on this head, is su miversal among mankind, gives a philosopher sufficient assurance, that he can never be considerably mistaken in framing the catalogue, or incur any danger of misplacinge the objects of his contemplation : He needs only enter int" his own breast for a moment, and consiler whether or not he shomd desire to have this or that quality ascribed to him, and whether such or such an imputation would proceed from a friend or an enemy. The very nature of language grudes us almost intallibly in forming a judgment of this nature; and as every tongue possesses one set of words which are taken in a good sense, and another in the opposite, the least aequaintance with the idiom suffices, without any reasoning, to direct us in collecting and arranging the estimable or blameable qualities of men. The only object of reasoning is to discover the circumstances on both sides, which are common to these qualities ; to observe that particular in which the estimable qualities agree on the one hand, and the blameable on the other; and thence to reach the foundation of ethies, and find those universal principles, from which all

[^62]call every quality or actimen of the minal. virtuous. $u$ hich is altwindrel with the in urrell approlution of imctitind: and wo shall denominate vicions, wery combi:" which is the object of general hlerne or crasure. These qualities we shall endeavour to enllect; and after examinime. no both sides, the several circumstances. in which the agree, 'tis hoped we mas, at last, weath the foundation of , the ia. ami tind the owniver-al principles, from
 in atitarn'y drived.,

SECT. censure or approbation is ultimately derived. As this is a
I. question of fact, not of abstract science, we can only expect success, by following the experimental method, and deducing general maxims from a comparison of particular instances. The other scientifical method, where a general abstract princuple is first established, and is afterwards branched out into a variety of inferences and conclusions, may be more perfect in itself, but suits less the imperfection of liuman nature, and is a common source of iilusion and mistake in this as well as in other subjects. Hen are now cured of their passion for lypotheses and systems in natmal philosophy, and will hearken to $n o$ arguments but those which are derived from experience. It is full time they should attempt a like reformation in all moral disquisitions; and reject every system of ethics, however snbtile or ingenious, which is not founded on fact and observation.
${ }^{1}$ We shall begin our enquiry on this head by the consideration of social virtues, benevolence and justice. The explication of them will probably give us an opening by which others may be accounted for.

## Section II. ${ }^{2}$ - of Benevolence.

## palt I.

It may be estemed, perhaps, a superfluous task to prore, that the benevolent or softer affections ${ }^{3}$ are EsTLIMABLE; and wherever they appear, engage the approbation, and good-will of mankind. The epithets sociuble, goor-nuturent, humane, merciful, grateful, friendly, generous, beneficent, or their equivalents, are known in all langnages, and miversally express the highest merit, which human natnie is capable of attaning. Where these amiable qualities are attended with birth and power and eminent abilities, and display themselves in the rood gevermment or useful instruc. tion of mankinl, they seem even to raise the possessors of them above the rank of haman moture, and make then approach in some measure to the divine. Exalted capacity, undaunted comage, prowerons sucesss ; these may ouly expose a here or pulitician the the ary or ill-will of the public:

[^63]But as som as the praises arr added of hmane amd beneficont; when instances are displayed of lenity, tembumess, on friemblip: envy itself is silent, or joins the genemal wine of approbation and applanse.

When lemeleses, the ereat Athentan statesman aml general, was on his death-bed, his smrommding frimets, deming him now insemsible, began to indules their sorrow fior their expiring patron, by enumeratine his oreat qualities and sucpesses, his comquests and victories, the musual lometh of his administration, and his nine trophies erected over the enemins of the republic. You formet, aries the dyines here, who had heard all, you forget the most rminent of my pretives, while you dwell so much on those cutyon indrontenges, in urhich jontune had a principal share. Fou have not nhsoreten, that no citizen has ever yet worne monrming on my ucomut. ${ }^{1}$

In men of more ordinary talents and capacity, the social virtues become, if possible, still more essentially requisite; there being nothing eminent, in that case, to compensate fion the want of them, or peserve the person from our severest hatred, as well as contempt. A high ambition, an elerated courage, is apt, says ('icero, in less perfect chanracters, to degenerate into a turbulent ferocity. The more social and sotter virtnes are there ehietly to be regarded. These are always good and anniable. ${ }^{2}$

The principal adrantage, which Jurfana disencers in tire extensive capacity of the homan species is, that it renders our benevolence also more extensive, and gives ns larger opportunities of spreading our kindly intluence than what are indulged to the inferior ereation. ${ }^{3}$ It must, indeed, be confessel, that by doing good only, can a man truly enjos the advantages of being eminent. His exalted station, of itself, but the more exposes him to danger and tempest. His sole prerogative is to afford shelter to inferiors, who repose themselves under his cover and protection.

But I forget, that it is not my present business to recommend generosity and benerolence, or to paint. in their true colours, all the genuine charms of the social tirtues. These, indeed, sufticiently engage every heart, on the first apprehension of them; and it is difficult to abstain fiom some sally of panegric. as often as they vecur in discourse or reasoning. But our object here being more the speculative,

[^64]sect. than the practical part of morals, it will suffice to remark, (what will readily, I believe, be allowed) that no qualities are more intitled to the general good-will and approbation of mankind than benevolence and humanity, friendship and gratitude, natural affection and public spirit, or whatever proceeds from a tender sympathy with others, and a generons concern for our kind and species. These, wherever they appear, seem to transfuse themselves, in a manner, into each beholder, and to call forth, in their own behalf, the same firourable and affectionate sentiments, which they exert on all around.

## PART $11 .{ }^{1}$

We may observe, that, in displaying the praises of any humane, beneficent man, there is one circumstance which never fails to be amply insisted on, namely, the happiness and satisfaction, derived to society from his intercourse and good offices. To his parents, we are apt to say, he endears limself by his pious attachment and duteons care, still more than by the connexions of nature. His children never feel his authority, but when employed for their advantage. With him, the ties of love are consolidated by beneficence ant friendship. The ties of friendship approach, in a fond observance of each obliging office, to those of love and inclination. His domestics and dependants have in him a sure resource; and no longer dread the power of fortune, but so fiar as she exercises it over him. From him the hungry receive food, the naked cloathing, the ignorant and slothfin skill and industry. Like the sun, an inferior minister of providence, he cheers, invigorates, and sustains the surrounding world.

If confined to private life, the sphere of his activity is narrower; but his influence is all benign and gentle. If exalted into a higher station, mankind and posterity reap the fruit of his labours.

As these topics of praise never fail to be employed, and with success, where we would inspire estern for any one; may it not thence be concluded, that the UTILIT'Y, resulting from the social virtnes, forms, at least, a pait of their merit. and is one source of that approbation and regard so anivasally paid to them?

Whan wer rexmmend even an amimal or a phant as aserial and beneficiul, we give it an applaise and recommendation suiten! to its mature. As, on the wher hamd, reflection on
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I'sin 11. the bandul inthence of any of these inferior beinges always
 hansind with the propect of corn-fiolds and ? omled vineyark: lomses grazing, amd the ks pasturing: but flies the view of briars and brambles, affording shelter to wolves ant serpents.

A machine, a pieec of furmiture, a vestment, a house well contrived for use and conveniency, is so fir beantiful, anl is contemplated with pleasure and appobation. An expedienced eye is here sensible to many exeellencies, which escape persons igmoment and minstructed.

Can anything stronger be satid in praise of a prufession, such as merehandize or manufacture, than to ofserve the adrantages which it procures to society? And is not a monk and inquisitor enaged when we treat his order as uscless or perpitions to mankind?

The historian exults in displaying the benefit arising from his labomrs. The writer of romance alleviates or denies the had conseduonces ascribed to his mamer of composition.

Ln semeral, what praise is implied in the simple epithet ussful! What reproach in the contrary!

Four Gorls, says Cigero, ${ }^{\text {' in }}$ opposition to the Epicureaxs, cammet justly claim any worship or adoration, with whatever imatinary perfections you may suppose them endowed. Theyare totally useless and unactive. Even the EGYPTIANS, whon yon so much ridicule, never consecrated any animal lat on account of its utility.

The sceptics assert, ${ }^{2}$ thongh absurdly, that the origin of all religious worship was derived from the utility of inamimate wbects, as the sun and moon, to the smpport and well-being of mankind. This is also the common reason assigned by nistorians, for the deification of eminent heroes and legislators. ${ }^{3}$

To plant a tree, to cultivate a field, to beget chilhen ; meritorions acts, aceording to the religion of Zoronstar.

In all determinations of morality, this ciremmstance of public utility is ever principally in view; and wherever dis-

[^65]SECT. putes arise, either in philosophy or common life, concerning
II. the bounds of daty, the question camot, by any means, be decided with greater certainty, than by ascertaining, on any side, the true interests of mankind. If any false opinion, embraced from appearances, has been found to prevail; as soon as further experience and sounder reasoning have given us juster notions of human affairs; we retract our first sentiment, and adjust anew the boundaries of moral goud and evil.

Giving alms to common beggars is naturally praised; because it seems to carry relief to the distressed and indigent: But when we olserve the eneuragement thence arising to idleness and debanchery, we regard that species of charity rather as a weakness than a virtue.

Tyramicide, or the assassination of nsurpers and oppressive princes, was highly extolled in ancient times; because it both freed mankind from many of these monsters, and seemed to keep the others in awe, whom the sword or poinard could not reach. But history and experience having since convinced us, that this practice encreases the jealousy and cruelty of princes, a Timolfor and a Brutus, thongh treated with indulgence on account of the prejudices of their times, are now considered as very improper models for imitation.

Liberality in princes is regarded as a mark of beneficence: But when it occurs, that the homely bread of the honest and industrious is often thereby converted into delieious eates for the idle and the prodigal, we soon retract our heedless praises. 'The regrets of a prince, for having lost a day, were noble and generous: But had he intended to have spent it in acts of generosity to his greedy courtiers, it was better lost than misemployed after that mamer.

Luxury, or a refinement on the pleasures and conveniencies of life, had long been supposed the some of every compor tion in government, and the inmediate canse of faction, sedition, civil wars, and the total loss of liberty. It was, therefore, miversally remerded as a viee, and was an object of declamation to all satyrists, and severe moralists. Those, who prove, or attempt to prove, that such refinements rather tend to the encreaso of indistry, civility, and arts, regulate anew our moial as well as political smiments, and represent, as lawable or imocent, what had formerly been reararded as pernicious and blameable.

Upon the whok, them, it smens mamiable, that nothing can bestow mone merit on any hanan creatmes than the
 a freit, at lastst, of its mowit arisus from its tombency to

 sequebers of such a chatactor and dizpexitom: amb whaterer

 are mever regarded withont their benefiedial tembencies, men riowed as barrenad minnitful. Tha happinss of mankind, the order of socety, the harmony of families, the matual support of frimeds, are always considned as the resalt of their gemele dominion over the breast.s of men.

How emsiderable a put of their merit we ought to ascribe to their utilify, will better appear from fouture disquisitions; ${ }^{1}$ as well as the reason, why this eircmmstance has such a cammand orer whe esteem and apmobation. ${ }^{2}$

## Section ILl.-- oif Justice.

## P.ART I.

'i'uat Justice is useful to society, and consequently that part of its merit, at least, must arise from that consideration, it would he a superfluous undertaking to prove. That public utility is the sole origin of justice, and that reffections on the beneficial consequenees of this virtue are the sole fomdation of its merit ; this proposition, being more curious and important, will better deserve onr examination and enquiry.

Let us suppose, that nature has bestowed on the hmman mace such profuse abminnee of all entermal conveniencies, that, without any uncertainty in the erent, withont any care or industry on our part, every individual finds himself fully provided with whatever his most romaims appetites can want, or luxurions imagination wish or desire. His matural beanty, we shall suppose, surpasses all acouired omaments: The perpetnal clemeney of the seasons rembers uselesy all claths or covering: The raw herbage affords him the most delicions fare: the clear fountain, the riblest beverage. No daborions oceupation required: No tillase : No navigation.

[^66]sf:rT. Music, poetry, and contemplation form his sole business: Conversation, mirth, and friendship his sole amusement.

It seems evident, that, in such a harpy state, erery other social virtue wonld flourish, and receive tenfold enerease; but the cautions, jealous rirtue of' justice would never once hare been dreamed of. For what purpose make a partition of goods, where every one has already more than enough? Why give rise to property, where there camot possibly be an?: injury? Why call this object mine, when, upon the seizing of it by another, I need but stretch ont my hand to possess myself of what is equally valuable? Justice in that case, being totally USELESS, wonld be an ille ceremonial, and could never possibly have place in the eatalogne of virtues.

We see, even in the present necessitous condition of mankind, that, wherever any benefit is bestowed by mature in an wamited abundance, we leave it alwas in common among the whole human race, and make no sublivisions of right and property. Water and air, though the most necessary of all oljects, are not challenged as the property of individuals; nor can any man commit injustice by the most lavish use and enjoyment of these blessings. In fertile extensive countries, with few inhabitants, land is regurded on the same footing. And no topic is so much insisted on by those, who defenct the liberty of the seas, as the unexhansted use of them in navigation. Were the advantages, procured by navigation, as incxhanstible, these reasoners had never had any adversaries to refute; nor had any claims ever been adranced of a scharate, exclusive dominion over the ocean.
lt may happen, in some comotries, at some periods, that there be established a property in water, none in land; ${ }^{1}$ it the latter be in greater abundance than can be nsed by the inhablitanto, and the firmer be formd, with difticntty, and in very small guantities.

Arain; suppose, that, though the necessities of human race contime the same as at fresent, yet the mind is so enlarged, and so replete with frimdship and generosity, that every man has the ntmost tenderness for esery man, and feels no more concern for his own interest than for that of his fellows: It seems evident, that the L'sik of justice would, in this case, be suspended by such an extensive benevolence,
now wod the divisions and lamiers of promety and whent



jll.
l'unl
 -ank my happiness, and would, of himsitf, forform the:

 kows, that, fiom my imate hmmaty ame frimulship, I

 and mine, when my heart has made ne division betwen on intorests; but shares all his juss and somens with the same fince and vivacity as if originally my own: Every man, upon this supposition, being is sectme self to immener, wouk tmast all his interests to the discretimof erery man; withont joahns, withont partition, withont distinction. And the Whole hmann ratee would form only one family; where all Womld lie in common, and be used fiedely, without regand to poperty; lut cantionsly too, with as entire requad to the mexssities of "ach imdivinal, as if our own interests were most intimately concernm.

In the prenent dispesition of the haman heart, it would, parlaps, be dithent to find compleat instances of such enlarged affections; but still we may oberre, that the case of families approaches towards it: and the stronger the mutnal benevolence is among the indivituals, the nearer it approaches; till all distinction of property be, in at grat measure, lost and confounded among them. Between married persons, the cement of friendship is by the laws snpposed so stromgas an abolish all division of gissessions: and has oftem, in reality, the force ascribed to it. And it is observable, that, during the ardour of new enthasiasme, whenerery prineiple is inflamed into extraragance, the community of groods has frequently been attempted : and mothing but experience of its inconveniencies, from the retmong on disguisen selfishmess of men, could make the imprudent fanatics adopt anew the ideas of justice amd of separate property. So true is it, that this virtue derives its existence entirels from its netessary we to the intercourse and secial state of mankine.

To makn this trath more evident, let as reperse the foregoing stipuntions: and carringerery thang the olposito
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Pari I.
extreme, consider what would be the effect of these new situations. Suppose a sucicty to fall into such want of all common necessuries, that the utnost frogality and industry camot preserve the greater number from perishing, and the whole from extreme misery: It will readily, I believe, be momitted, that the strict laws of justice are suspended, in such a pressing emergence, and give place to the stronger motives of necessity and self-preservation. Is it any crime, after a shipwreck, to seize whatever means or instrument of safety one can lay hold of, without regard to former limitations of property? Or if a city besieged were perishing with longer; can we imagine, that men will see any means of preservation before them, and lose their lives, from a scrupulous regard to what, in other situations, would be the rules of equity and justice? The USE and TENDENC' of that virtue is to procure happiness and security, by preserving order in society: But where the society is ready to perish from extreme necessity, no greater evil can be dreaded from violence and injustice; and every man may now provide for himself by all the means, which prudence can dictate, or limmanity permit. The public, even in less urgent necessities, opens granaries, without the consent of proprietors ; as justly supposing, that the authority of magistracy may, consistent with equity, extend so far: But were any number of men to assemble, withont the tye of laws on civil jurisdiction ; would an equal partition of bread in a famine, thongh effected by power and even violence, be regarded as criminal or injurious?

Suppose likewise, that it should be a virtuons man's fate to fall into the society of ruffians, remote from the protection of laws and govermment; what conduct must he cmanare in that melancholy situation? He seess such a desperate manacionsness prevail ; such a disregarl to erpuity, such contempt of order, such stmpill blindness to futher conserquences, as must immediately haw the most tragical conclusion, and mast terminats in datenetion to the greater momber. and in a total disselation of socicty to the rest. He, mean while, (an have no nther wxullient than to arm himself, to whom-
 make provision of all means of defone and secority: Amb his partionar regard to justion laing hu longer of U'S E to Liss own salety or that of ethers, he must consult the dictatus
 honse mant his care and attention.

When any man, eron in pelitional secioty, rombers himself, Parl. ly his crimes, whoxions to the puldic, he is punished by the laws in his gools and person; that is, the ordinary rules of justice and with regurd to him, suspmben for a moment, and it beromes equitable to inflict on him, for the benofit of sweinet, what, otherwise, he could not suffer withont wrong (1): ! !uner.

The rage and violence of publie war; what is it but a suspension of justice among the warring partios, who perceive, that this virtue is now no lonere of any us or admantage to thom? The laws of war, which then succeed to those of equity and justice, are rules calcolated for the adronteys and utility of that particular state, in which men are now phated. And were a civilized mation engaged with larlarians, who ubserved no rules even of wat; the former mast also smspend their observance of them, where they no longer serve to any purpose ; and must render every action or rencomiter as bloody and pernicions as possible to the first ageressors.

Thus, the rules of equity or justice depend entirely on the particular state and condition, in which men are placed, and nwe thair origin and existence to that UTLLITY, which results to the public from their strict and regular observance. Reverse, in any consiterable circumstance, the condition of men: Produce extreme abmandace or extreme necessity: Implant in the hmman breast perfect moderation and humanity, or perfect rapaciousness and malice: By rendering justice tutally diseless, you thereby totally destroy its essence, and suspend its obligation upon mankind.

The commen sitnation of society is a medium amidst all these extremes. We are naturally partial to ourselves, and to our friends; but are capable of laming the advantage resulting from a more equitable conduct. Few enjoyments are given us from the open and liberal hand of mature ; but by art, labour, and indastry, we ean extract them in ereat ahmondance. IHance the ideas of property become necessary in all civil society: Hence justice derives its msefinhess to the problic: And hence alone arises its merit and moral obligation.

These conclusions are so matural and obrions, that they

SECT have not escaped even the poets, in their descriptions of the felicity, attending the gollen age or the reign of Satura. The seasons, in that first period of nature, were so temperate, if we credit these agreeable fictions, that there was no necessity for men to provide themselves with cloaths and houses, as a security against the violence of heat and cold: The rivers flowed with wine and milk: The oaks yielded honey; and nature spontaneonsly produced her greatest delicacies. Nor were these the chief adrantages of that happy age. Tempests were not alone removed from nature; lut those more furious tempests were unknown to human breasts, which now cause such uproar, and engender such confusion. Avarice, ambition, cruclty, selfishness, were never heard of: Cordial affectim, compassion, sympathy, were the only movements with which the mind was yet acquainted. Eren the punctilious distinction of mine and thine was banished from among that happy race of mortals, and carried witl ${ }^{\text {it }}$ the very notion of property and obligation, justice and injustice.

This poetical fiction of the golden age is, in some respects, of a piece with the philosophical fiction of the state of nature; only that the former is represented as the most charming and most peaceable condition, which can possibly be imagined; whereas the latter is painted out as a state of mutual war and violence, attended with the most extreme necessity. On the first origin of mankind, we are told, their ignorance and savage nature were so prevalent, that they could give no mutual trust, but must each depend upon himself, aml his own force or cunning for protection and security. No law was heard of: No rule of justice known : No distinction of property regarded: Power was the only measure of richt; and a perpetual war of all against all was the result of men's untamed selfishness and barbarity. ${ }^{1}$

[^67]Whethere such a condition of haman matme eond ever exist, or if it did, conld (omtinne so long as to merit the aprellation of a state, may justly be dombed. Den are

 But this must lee admittod, that, if sull a state of mutual war and violence was wer real, tha shepemsion of all laws of justice, from their alsolute inutility, is a neessary and intallible conserquence.

The more we vary our views of laman life, and the newer and more musual the lights are, in which we surver it, the more slall we be convinced, that the origin lere asigum for the virtue of justice is real and satisfactory.

Were there a species of ereatures, intermingled with men, which, though rational, were possessed of such inferior strenoth, both of booly and mind, that ther were incapable of all resistance, and could never, upon the highest prowneation, make us fed the effects of their resentment; the necessary consequence, I think, is, that we shonld be bomm, ley the laws of lmmanity, to give gentle usage to these creatures, but slould not, properly speaking, lie muder any restraint of justice with regard to them, nor comld they possess any right or property, exclusive of such arbitrary lowds. Onr intercomse with them conld not be called society, which supposes a degree of equality; but absolute command on the one side, and servile obedience on the other. Whatever we coret, they must instantly resign: Onr permission is the only tenure, by which they hold their possessions: Our compassion and kindness the only check, by which they curb our lawless will: And as no inconvenience ever results from the exercise of a power, so firmly established in mature, the restraints of justice and property, being totally weless, would never have place in so unequal a confederacs.
jure descripto. fusi per agros. ac dispersi varabentur tantumque haberent quantum manu as viribus, per ceedem at valuera, ant eripere, ant retinere potuissent? Qui igitur primi virtutect eonsilio $\mathrm{l}^{\text {natantanti extiterunt, ii per- }}$ Apectos eremere hamana docilitatis atque ingenii, dis-ipatos, unum in locum congregarmont, eroybe ex feritate illat ad justitiam at mansuetudinem transhuxfrutt. Tum res an! eommunem uilitatem. quas puhbeas appellamus. tum courenticula hominum, quit posteal civi-
tates nominata sunt. fum commoilis

 wrunt. Itgre intor lathe vitam. formolitam humanitatc. ot ibsorn inamanm,
 Hosum uivo uti nolimus, ataconest ntomdum. Vim voluman axinero? Jis
 omne fun emontinetur. Judicia displicent, aut mulla sunt? Vis dominetur nemeseent. Hiee vident umnes. I'ro sat. 1. 42.
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sert. This is plainly the situation of men, with regard to
$P_{\text {ART I. }}$ animals; and low fir these may be said to possess reason, I leare it to others to determine. The great superiority of civilized Europeans above barbarons Indans, tempted us to imasine ourselres on the same footing with regard to them, and made ns throw off all restraints of justice, ant even of humanity, in our treatment of them. In many nations, the female sex are reduced to like slavery, and are rentered incapable of all property, in opposition to their lorolly masters. But thourh the males, when mited, have, in all comntries, bodily force sufficient to maintan this severe tyammy; yet such are the insinnation, address, and charms of their fair companions, that women are commonly able to break the confederacy, and share with the other sex in all the dights and privileges of suciety.

Were the lnman species so framed by mature as that each individal possessed within himself every faculty, requisite both for his own preservation and for the propagation of his kind: Were all society and intercourse cut off between man and man, by the primary intention of the supreme Creator : It seems evident, that so sulitary a being would be as much incapable of justice, as of social discourse and conversation. Where mutual regards and forbearance serve to no manner of pmpose, they wonld never direct the condnet of any reasonable man. The headlong course of the passions would be checked by no reflection on future consequences. Aud as each man is here supposed to love himself alone, and to depend only on himself and his own activity for sufety and happiness, he wonld, on every oecasion, to the mtmost of ${ }^{\text {b }}$ his power, ehallenge the preference above erng other leines. to none of which he is bound by any ties, either of nature or of interest.

But smpese the coniunation of the soxes to be established in nature, a family immuliately arises ; and particular moles beins found requisite tor its smbsistence, these are immodiately embacert; thoms withont compremending the rest of mankinet within their juescriptions. Suppose, that several families mite trirether into one socioty, whioln is totally disjoined from all others, the rules, which preserve peate and order, enlarge themsithes to the ntmosit extent of that society; but becoming then antirely nsoless, lose therin foren when carried one step farther. But aghain suphose, that
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1＇akti． vinws，alm the formo of theri matnal rommexions．History，

 mant of onv remards to justire，in proportion as we become ＇repmanted with the extensive utility of that virtue．

## PDITT II．

If we examine the priticula，laws，by whioh justion is directed，and property dedermined ；we sball still be pere senterl with the same concension．The cond of mankind is the mby oljecet of all these laws and regulations．Not only it is reduisite for the prace amd interest of societr，that men＇s possesioms should be sefmated ；but the mbes，which We follow，in makime the sepmation，are on th as：can lest be contribed to semperarther thr interests of sucety．

Wir shall smphse，that a creature，pussessad of reasenn，but matconainted with human matmere，deliberates with himself what liULES of justioe or property would best promote phblic intarest，aml establish peace and secarity amoneman－ kiad：His most obvions thonerlat woulit hat to assirn the largest possessions to the most rxiemsive fietue amd give every one the power of doing enod，propertioned to his incli－ nation．In a perfect theocracr，where a being，infinitely intelligent，governs by particular volitions，this rule wonld certain］y have place，and mioht sure to the wisest purposes： But were mankiml to execoto such a haw ：so mreat is the monertanty of merit，both from its natural obscmitr，and from the self－concerit of each imlividual，that no determinate rule of conduct would erer result from it：and the total discolution of society must be the immendiate ernswitene
 thut suints alone imbrit the eneth：but the e evil manistrate very justly puts these sublime theonists on the same rintines with common robbers，and teaches tham he the sererest dis－ eiphine，that a rule，which，in speculation，maty seem the most advantaneons to society，may yot be fommel．in practice， totally pervicions and destroetive．

That there ware roliginos famatics of this kime in ENolsond，

SECT. during the civil wars, we learn from history; thongh it is
111.

Partil. probable, that the obvious tendency of these principles excited such howror in mankind, as soon obliged the dangerous mthusiasts to renounce, or at least conceal their tenets. Perhaps, the lecellers, who claimed an equal distribution of property, were a kind of political fanatics, which arose from the religions species, and more openly avowed their pretensions; as carrying a more plansible appearance, of being practicable in themselves, as well as usefin to haman society.

It must, indeed, be confesset, that nature is so liberal to mankind, that, were all her presents equally divided among the species, and improved by art and industry, every individual would enjoy all the necessaries, and even most of the comforts of life; nor would ever be liable to any ills, but such as might accilentally arise from the sickly frame and constitution of his body. It must also be confessel, that, wherever we depart from this equality, we rob the poor of more satisfaction than we add to the rich, and that the slight gratification of a frivolous vanity, in one individual, frequently costs more than bread to many families, and even provinces. It may aquear withal, that the rule of equality, as it would be highly useftul, is not altogether impructicable; but has taken place, at least in an imperfect degree, in some republics; particnlarly that of Sparta; where it was attended, it is said, with the most beneficial consequences. Not to mention, that the Agrartan laws, so frequently clamed in Rome, and carried into execution in many Gremk citics, proceeded, all of them, from a general idea of the utility of this principle.

But historians, ant even common sense, may inforn us, that, howerer specions these ideas of pericet equality may seem, they are really, at botom, imporcticuble: and were they not so, would be extremely promicions to haman society. Render possessions ever so equal, men's different degrees of art, care, and industry will immediately brak that equality. Or if you check the se virtues, you reduce society to the most extreme indigence; and instead of premting want and becregary in a few, render it mavoidable to the whole eommunity. The most rigemens incuisition too is reguisite to watele oxery inequality on its first appearance : and the most siovere jurisdiction, to punish and redress it. But besides, that so mach authority must soon degenerate into tyramy,
and be exerted with great partialitios; who can possibly bor ser possensed of it, in such a situation as is here suppesial? _HI. Perfert equality of possessions, destroying all subordination, I'AK1 1 . weakens extremely the anthority of magistracy, and must reduco all power nearly to a level, as woll as property.

We may conclule, therefore, that, in order to establish laws for the regulation of property, we mast be acquantor with the nature and sitmation of man ; must roject appearances, which may be false, though suecions; and must search for those rules, which are, on the whole, menst nsefinl and beneficiol. Vulgar sense and stight experienee are sufficient for this purpose; where men give not way to tor) selfish atridity, or ton extensive enthusiasm.

Who sees not, for instance, that whaterer is proluced or impored by a man's art or industry ought, fir ever, to be secmed to him, in order to give encouragement to such nisefinh halits and accomplishments? That the pronerty onght also to deseend to children and relations, for the same useful purpose? That it may be aliemated by consemt, in order to beget that commerce and intercourse, which is so brmencinl to human society? And that all contracts and promises onght carefully to be fulfilled, in order to secure mutual trust and confidence, by which the general interest of mankind is so much promoted?

Examine the writers on the laws of nature; and you will always find, that, whatever principles they set out with, they are sure to terminate here at last, and to assign, as the ultimate reason for every rule which they establish, the comvenience and necessities of mankind. A concession thus extorted, in opposition to systems, has more authority, than if it had been made in prosecution of them.

What other reason, indeed, could writers ever gire. why this must be mine and that yous; since uninstructed nature, surely, never made any such distinction: The objects, which receive those appellations, are, of themselves, foreign to us: they are totally disjoined and separated from ns ; and nothing but the general interests of society ean form the comexion.

Sometimes, the interests of society may require a ruld of justice in a particular calse; but may not determin an! martieular rule, among several. which are all equall: beneticial. In that case, the slightest cmulomis are laid hoh of, ine come to prevent that indifference and anngignity. which womblo

## SECT.

 III.Pairt II.
the source of perpetual dissention. Thus possession alone, and first possession, is supposed to convey property, where no body else has any preceding clatim and pretension. Many of the reasonings of lawyers are of this analogical nature, and depend on rery slight connexions of the imagination.

Does any one scruple, in extraordinary cases, to violate all regard to the private property of individuals, and sacrifice tw public inturest a distinction, which had bern established for the sake of that interest? The saftey of the perple is the supreme law: All other particular laws are subordinate to it, and dependant on it: And if, in the common course of things, they be followed and regarded; it is only becanse the public safety and interest commonly demand so equal and impartial an administration.

Sometimes both utility and conulogy fail, and leave the laws of justice in total uncertainty. Thus, it is highly requisite, that prescription or long possession should eonvey property; but what number of days or months or years should be sufficient for that purpose, it is impossible for reason alone to determine. Civil luws here supply the place of the natural cote, and assign diflerent terms fur prescription, according to the different utilities, proposed by the legislator. Bills of exchange and promissory notes, by the laws of most comtries, prescribe sooner than bonds, and nourtgages, and contracts of a more formal nature.

In general, we maty wserve, that all questions of property are subordinate to authority of civil laws, which extend, restrain, molify, and alter the rules of matural justice, acconding to the particular convenience of each community. The laws have, or ought to have, a constant reference to the eonstitition of government, the mamers, the rimate, She relipion, the commeree, the sitation of each society. A hate anthm ${ }^{1}$ ni grnius, as well as luming, has prosecuted this suljowet at hures, and has established, from these prin-
 ingenions and brifiant thoughts, and is mot wanting in selinity: ${ }^{2}$
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 theory deliverel ahmse. Wham at aint law is a durverex as to coros all the in-

SECT. of chymistry, medicine, or plysics; no difference is ever fonnd between them and any other species, nor can that precise circumstance be pitched on, which may afford a just fomndation for the religions passion. A fowl on Thurstay is lawful food: on Friday abominable : Eggs, in this house, and in this diocese, are permitted during Lent; a hundred pares farther, to eat them is a dammable sin. This earth or lmilding, yesterday was profane; to-day, by the muttering of certain words, it has become holy and sacred. Such reflections as these, in the mouth of a philosopher, one may safely say, are too obrious to have any influence; becalse they must always, to every man, occur at first sight; and where they prevail not, of themselves, they are surely obstructed by education, prejudice, and passion, not by ignorance or mistake.

It may appear to a careless view, or rather a too abstracted reflection, that there enters a like superstition into all the sentiments of justice : and that, if a man expose its object, or what we call property, to the same scrutiny of sense and science, he will not, by the most accurate enquiry, find any. foundation for the difference made by moral sentiment. I may lawfully nourish neyself from this tree ; but the fruit of another of the same species, ten paces off, it is criminal for me to touch. Had I worne this apparel an hour ago, I had merited the severest punishment; but a man, by pronouncing a few magical syllables, has now rendered it fit for my ase and service. Were this house placed in the neighbourang territory, it had been immoral for me to dwell in it; but Bomger built on this side of the river, it is subject to a different municipal law, and, ${ }^{1}$ by its becoming mine, I incur no blame or censure. The same species of reasoning, it mar be thought, which so successfully exposes superstition, is also applicalbe to justice; nor is it possible, in the one case more than in the other, to point ont, in the object, that prerise quality or circumstance, which is the foundation of the sentiment.

Int there is this material difference between superstition and instion, that the former is frivolons, useless, and burdensome: the latter is abselutely requisite to the well-being of mankind and existence of society. When we abstract from this circum-

[^70]stance (for it is too apparent over to breoverlooked) it mast be confessed, that all regards to rierht and property, suem entimely without fommation, as much as the grossest and most vilgar superstition. Were the interests of soeinty nowise concorned, it is as mintelligible, why another's artienlatimer certain sounds implying consent, should ehange the nature of my actions with regard to a particular object, as why the reciting of a liturgy by a priest, in a certain labit and posture, should dedicate a heap of brick and timber, and render it, thenceforth and for ever, satred.'
${ }^{1}$ It is evident, that the will or consent alone never transfers property, nor eanges the olligation of a promise (for the same reasoning extends to both) iut the will must be expressed by words or signs, in orter to impose a tye upon any man. The expression being once brouglat in as sulseprvient to the will, soon beeomes the principal part of the promise; nor will a man be less hound by his word, though he seeretly give is different direstion to his intention, and with-hold the assent of his mincl. But thongh the expression makes, on most mecanions, the whole of the promise, yet it does not always so; ant one who should make use of any expression, of which he knows not the meaning, and which he uses without any sense of the emsequences, would not certainly be boand by it. Nay, though he know its meaning, yet if he use it in jest only, and with such signs ans evidently show, that he has no serious intention of binding himself, he would not lie under any obligation of performanee; but it is necessary, that the worls be a perfect expression of the will, without any eontrary signs. Nay, even this we must not carry so far as to imagine, that one, whom, by our quiekness of understanding, we conjecture, from eertain signs, t. Hare an intention of deceiving us, is not bound by his expression or verbal promise, if we accept of it ; but must limit this eonclusion to those cases where the signs are of a different nature from thowe of deceit. All these contradictions are easily accounted for. if justice arise entirely from its usefulness to society: but will never be explained on any other hypothesis.

It is remarkiatike that the moral deri-
sions of the Jesuits and other relaxed casuists, were commonly formed in prosecution of some such subtilties of reasoning as are here pointed out, and proceed as much from the habit of scholastic refinement as from any corruption of the heart, if we may follow the authority of Mons. Bayle. See his Dietionary, article Loyola. And why has the indignation of mankind risen so ligh against these casuists; but beeause fery one perceived, that human societs eonld not subsist were such practices authorized, and that morals must always be hamdled with a view to public interest, more than philosuphical regnlarity? If the secret direction of the intention, said erery man of rense. coukl invalidate a contract: where is our seecurity? And yet a met aphesieal schoolman might think, that where an intention was supposed to lee requisite, if that intention really had mot place, no eonsequence ongit to follow, and mo obligation be impored. The casuistical subtilties may not be grater than the rubtilties of lawyers, hinted at above; but as the former are pronicious, and the latter innocent and even necessary, this is the reason of the very different. reception they meet with from the world.
$\because$ It is a loctrine of the church of Rome, that the priest, by a seeret direction of his intention, can invalidate any saerament. This position is derived from a strict and regular prosecution of the olvious truth, that empty words alone, whout any meaning or intention in the speaker. can never bet attended with any effect. If the samo conclusion be not admitted in reasonings converning divil ewhtracts, where

SECT. These reflections are far from weakening the obligations of III.

Flat II. tention to property. Ou the contrary, such sentiments must justice, or diminishing any thing fron the most sacred atacquire new force from the present reasoning. For what stronger foundation can be desired or conceived for any duty, than to observe, that human society, or even human nature could not subsist, without the establishment of it; and will still arrive at greater degrees of happiness and perfection, the more inviolable the regard is, which is paid to that duty? :

The dilemma seems obvious: As justice evidently tends to promote public utility and to support civil society, the sentiment of justice is either derived from our reflecting on that tendency, or like hunger, thirst, and other appetites, resentment, love of life, attachment to offspring, and other passions, arises from a simple original instinct in the liuman breast, which nature has implanted for like salutary purposes. . ${ }^{2}$ If the latter be the case, it follows, that property, which is the object of justice, is also distinguished by a simple, original instinct, and is not ascertained by any argument or reflection. But who is there that ever heard of such an instinct? Or is this a subject, in which new discoveries can be made? We may as well attempt to discover, in the body, new senses, which had before escaped the observation of all mankind.

But farther, though it seems a very simple proposition to say, that nature, by an instinctive sentiment, distinguishes property, yet in reality we shall find, that there are required for that purpose ten thousand different instincts, and these employed about objects of the greatest intricacy and nicest discernment. For when a definition of property is required, that relation is found to resolve itself into any possession acquired by occupation, by industry, by prescription, by in-

> dogmatical any superstition may appear, it never ain convey any thorough bersuasion of the reality of its objects, or put them, in any degree, on a balance with the common incidents of life, which we leirn from daily observation and experimental reasoning.

[^71][^72]weritance, by contract, de. C'an we think, that nature, by sect. an original instinet, instruets us in all these methots of In . aequisition:

YART II.
These words too, inheritance and contract, stand for ideas infinitaly complicated; and to define them exactly, a lmmdrod volumes of laws, and a thousand volumes of commentators, have not been formd sufficient. Does nature, whose instincts in men are all simple, embrace snch complicated and artificial objects, amd create a rational ereature. withont trusting any thing to the opration of his reason?

But even thongh all this were admitterl. it wonld not be satisfactory. Positive laws can certainly transfer property. Is it by another original instinct, that we reconnize the anthority of kings and senates. and mark all the bommarios of their jurisdiction? Julges too, even though their sentence be erroneous and illeral. mast be allowed, for the salse of peace and order, to have decisive anthority, and nltimately to determine property. Have we original, immate ideas of pretors and ehancellors and juries: Who sees mot, that all these institutions arise merely from the necessities of hmman society?

All birds of the same species, in every age and conntry, build their nests alike: In this we see the force of instinet. Men, in different times and places, frame their houses differently: Here we perceive the influence of reason and cnstom. A like inference may be drawn from comparing the instinet of generation and the institution of property.

How great soever the variety of municipal laws, it must be confessed, that their chief out-lines pretty regnlarly concur; becanse the purposes, to which they tend, are every where exactly similar. In like manner, all houses have a roof and walls, windows and chimneys: thongh dirersified in their shape figure, and materials. The purposes of the latter, directed to the conveniencies of haman life, discover not more plainly their origin from reason and rettection, than fo those of the former, which point all to a like and.

I need not mention the variations, which all the rules of property receive from the finer turns and comexions of the imagination, and from the subtilties and abstractions of lawtopies and rasonings. There is no possibility fif reconciling this obseration to the notion of nrigimal instimets.

What alrone will beget a doubt momening the thenry, on

SECT. which I insist, is the influence of education and acquired III. habits, by which we are so accustomed to blame injustice, that we are not, in every instance, conscious of any immediate reflection on the pernicious consequences of it. The views the most familiar to us are apt, for that very reason, to escape us; and what we have very frequently performed from certain motives, we are apt likewise to continue mechanically, without recalling, on every occasion, the reflections, which first determined us. The convenience, or rather necessity, which leads to justice, is so universal, and every where points so much to the same rules, that the habit takes place in all societies; and it is not withont some scrutiny, that we are able to ascertain its true origin. The matter, however, is not so obscure, but that, even in common life, we have, every moment, recourse to the principle of public utility, and ask, What must become of the world, if such practices prevail? How could society subsist under such disorders? Were the distinction or separation of possessions entirely useless, can any one conceive, that it ever should have obtained in society?

Thus we seem, upon the whole, to have attained a knowledge of the force of that principle here insisted on, and can determine what degree of esteem or moral approbation may result from reflections on public interest and utility. The necessity of justice to the support of society is the SOLE foundation of that virtue; and since no moral excellence is more highly esteemed, we may conclude, that this circumstance of usefulness has, in general, the strongest energy, and most entire command over our sentiments. It must, therefore, be the source of a considerable part of the merit ascribed to humanity, benevolence, friendship, public spirit, and other social virtues of that stamp ; as it is the SOLE source of the moral approbation paid to fidelity, justice, veracity, integrity, and those other estimable and useful qualities and principles. It is entirely agreeable to the rules of philosophy, and even of common reason; where any principle has been found to have a great force and energy in one instance, to ascribe to it a like energy in all similar instances. 'This indeed is Newtos's chief rule of philnsophizing. ${ }^{2}$

[^73]
## Section IV.-Of Politimal Soriety.

Hab every man sufficient sagacity to pereeive, at all times, SECT. the strong interest, which binds hin to the observance of justice and equity, and strempth of mind sufficient to persevere in a steady adherence to a general amd a distant interest, in opposition to the allurements of present pleasure and advantagee; there had never, in that ease, been any such thing as gevermment or political society, but each man, following his natumal liberty, had lived in entire peace and hammony with all others. What need of positive law where natural justice is, of itself, a sufficient restraint? Why create magistrates, where there never arises any disorder or iniquity? Why abridge our native freedom, when, in every instance, the ntmost exertion of it is fomm imocent and beneficial? It is evident, that, if govermment were totally useless, it never comld have place, and that the SOLE foundation of the duty of ALLEGIANCE is the adrantage, whith it procures to society, by preserving peace and order among mankind.

When a nmber of political societies are erected, and maintain a great intercourse together, a new set of rules are immediately discovered to be useful in that particular situation; and accordingly take place under the title of LAWS of NATIONS. Of this kind are, the sacredness of the person of ambassadors, abstaining from poisoned arms, quarter in war, with others of that kind, which are plainly calculated for the advantage of states and kingrloms, in their intercourse with each other.

The rules of justice, such as prevail among individuals, are not entirely suspended among political societies. All princes pretend a regard to the rights of other princes; and some, no doubt, withont hypocrisy. Alliances and treaties are every day made between independent states, which would only be so much waste of parchment, if they were not found, by experience, to hare some influence and authority. But here is the difference between kingrdoms and individuals. Human nature camot, by any means, subsist, without the assotiation of individuals; and that association never could have place, were no regard paid to the laws of equity and justice. Disorder, confusion, the war of all against all, are the necessary eonsequences of such a licontions contuct.
sECT. But nations can subsist without intercourse. They may even subsist, in some degree, under a general war. The observance of justice, though useful among them, is not guarded by so strong a necessity as among individuals; and the moral obligation holds proportion with the usefulness. All politicians will allow, and most philosophers, that REASONS of STATE may, in particular emergencies, dispense with the rules of justice, and invalidate any treaty or alliance, where the strict observance of it would be prejudicial, in a considerable degree, to either of the contracting parties. But nothing less than the most extreme necessity, it is confessed, can justify individuals in a breach of promise, or an invasion of the properties of others.

In a confederated commonwealth, such as the Achean republic of old, or the Swisw Cantons and United Provinces in modern times: as the league has here a peculiar utility, the conditions of union have a peculiar sacredness and authority, and a violation of them would be regarded as nu less, or even as more criminal, than any private injury or injustice.

The long and helpless infancy of man requires the combination of parents for the subsistence of their young: and that combination requires the virtue of CHASTITY or fidelity to the marriage bed. Without such a utility, it will readily be owned, that such a virtue wonld never have been thought of. ${ }^{1}$

An infidelity of this nature is much more pernicious in uomen than in men. Hence the laws of chastity are much stricter over the one sex than over the other.

These rules have all a reference to generation : and yet women past child-bearing are no more supposed to be exempted from them than those in the flower of their youth

[^74]and beauty. Generul rules are often extended beyond the principle, whence they first arise ; and this in all matters of

SECT.
JV. taste amd sentimert. It is a vulprar story at Paris, that, dhring that race of the Mssiscippla a hump-batided follow want every day into the Rée de (quanempoix, where the steck-jobbers met in great roowds, and was well pail for allowing them to make use of his hump as a desk, in order t. sign their contracts upon it. Would the fortune, which has raised by this expedicut, make hima handsome fellow ; thomerh it be eonfessed, that persomal beanty arises very muth from ideas of ntility? The imarination is influenced by associations of illeas; whith, thourh they arise at first from the judgment, are not easily altered by ewry particular exeeption that occurs to us. To which we may add, in the present case of chastity, that the example of the old wonld be pernicions to the gonng ; and that women. enntimmally foresering that a cortain time would bring them the liberty of imkngence, wonld naturally advance that period, and think more lightly of this whole duty, so requisite to society.

Those who live in the same family have sueh frequent opportunities of licence of this kind, that nothing conld preserve purity of mamers, were marriage allowed, among the nearest relations, or any intereourse of love between them ratified by law and custom. INCEST, therefore, being pernicious in a superior degree, has also a superior turpitude and moral deformity annexed to it.

What is the reason, why, by the Athenian laws, one mirht marry a half-sister by the father, but not by the mother: Plainly this: The manners of the Athminans were so reserved, that a man was never permitted to approach the womens apartment, even in the same family, unless where he risited his own mother. His step-mother and her children were as much shut up from him as the wonen of any other family, and there was as little danger of any criminal correspondence between them. Uncles and nieces, for a like reason, might marry at Athens; but neither these, nor half-brothers and sisters, could contract that alliance at Romf, where the intercourse was more open between the sexes. Public utility is the cause of all these variations.

To repeat, to a man's prejudice, any thing that escaped him in private conversation, or to make my such use of his
private letters, is highly blamed. The free and social intercourse of minds must be extremely checked, where no such rules of fidelity are established.

Even in repeating stories, whence we can foresee no ill consequences to result, the giving of one's author is regarded as a piece of indiscretion, if not of immorality. These stories, in passing from hand to hand, and receiving all the usual variations, frequently come about to the persons concerned, and produce animosities and quarrels among people, whose intentions are the most innocent and inoffensive.

To pry into secrets, to open or even read the letters of others, to play the spy upon their words and looks and actions; what habits more inconvenient in society! What habits, of consequence, more blameable?

This principle is also the foundation of most of the laws of good manners; a kind of lesser morality, calculated for the ease of company and conversation. Too much or too little ceremony are both blamed, and every thing, which promotes ease, without an indecent familiarity, is useful and laudable.

Constancy in friendships, attachments, and familiarities, is commendable, and is requisite to support trust and good correspondence in society. But in places of general, though casual concourse, where the pursuit of health and pleasure brings people promiscuously together, public conveniency has dispensed with this maxim; and custom there promotes an unreserved conversation for the time, by indulging the privilege of dropping afterwards every indifferent acquaintance, without breach of civility or good manners.

Even in societies, which are established on principles the most immoral, and the most destructive to the interests of the general society, there are required certain rules, which a species of false honour, as well as private interest, engages the members to observe. Robbers and pirates, it has often been remarked, could not maintain their pernicious confederacy, did they not establish a new distributive justice among themselves, and recal those laws of equity, which they have violated with the rest of mankind.

I hate a drinking companion, says the Greek proverb, who never forgets. The follies of the last debauch should be buried in eternal oblivion, in order to give full seope to the lollies of the next.

Amoner hations, where an immoral gallantry, if eovered with a thin reil of mystery, is, in somer deeree, anthorised by "astom, there immediately arise a set of rules, calenlated for the conveniency of that attachment. 'The famons connt or parliament of love in Provence formerly decided all difficalt cases of this nature.

In soeieties for play, there are laws required for the conduct of the grame ; and these laws are different in each game. The fomodation, I own, of such socicties is fivolous; and the laws are, in a great measure, thongh not altogether, capricions and arbitrary. So far is there a material difference between them and the rules of justice, fidelity, and loyalty. 'The general societies of men are abselately regnisite for the subsistence of the species; and the public eonveniency, which regulates morals, is inviolably established in the mature of man, and of the world, in which he lives. The comparison, therefore, in these respects, is very imperfect. We may only learn from it the necessity of rules, wherever nen have any intereourse with each other.

They camot even pass each other on the road without rules. Waggoners, coachmen, and postilions have principles, by which they give the way; and these are chiefly founded on mutual ease and convenience. Sometimes also they are arbitrary, at least dependent on a kind of capricious analugy, like many of the reasonings of lawyers. ${ }^{1}$

To carry the matter farther, we may observe, that it is impossible for men so much as to murder each other without statutes, and maxims, and an idea of justice and honour. War has its laws as well as peace; and even that sportive kind of war, carried on among wrestlers, boxers, cudgelplayers, gladiators, is regulated by fixed principles. Common interest and utility beget infallibly a standard of right and wrong among the parties concerned.

[^75]the great city, and of the preference of the future to the past. From like reasons, among foot-walkers, the righthand intitles a man to the wails, and prevents jostling, which peaceable people find very disagrecable and inconvenient.

## Sect. V.-Why Utility pleases.

PART I.

SLCT. IT seems so natural a thought to ascribe to their utility the praise, which we bestow on the social virtues, that one would expect to meet with this principle every where in moral writers, as the chief foundation of their reasoning and enquiry. In common life, we may observe, that the circumstance of utility is always appealed to; nor is it supposed, that a greater eulogy can be given to any man, than to display his usefulness to the public, and enumerate the services, which he has performed to mankind and society. What praise, even of an inanimate form, if the regularity and elegance of its parts destroy not its fitness for any useful purpose! And how satisfactory an apology for any disproportion or secming deformitr, if we can show the necessity of that particular construction for the use intended! A ship appears more beautiful to an artist, or one moderately skilled in marigation, where its prow is wide and swelling beyond its poop, than if it were framed with a precise geometrical regularity, in contradiction to all the laws of mechanics. A building, whose doors and windows were exact squares, would hurt the eye by that very proportion; as ill adapted to the figure of a hmman creature, for whose service the fabric was intended. What wonder then, that a man, whose habits and conduct are hurtful to society, and dangerons or pernicious to every one who has an intercourse with him, should, on that account, be an object of disapprobation, and communicate to every spectator the strongest sentiment of disgust and hatred. ${ }^{1}$

[^76]the very same qualities be tumsferred to an inscmable, inamimate being, they will not exeite the same sentiments. The heneticial qualities of herbs and mintrals are. indeval, sometimes ealled their virtress; hat this is an efficet of the cafrice of limguacr. which ought not to low regarided it reatoning. for though there lof a species of apprebation at tentius eren inanimate olyeets when bencforial. yet thi- sentiment is so woak, and so difterent trom that which is direeted to betu-fisent magistrates or statesmen, that they ought not to he ranked under the sathe elass or appedlation.

A very small variation of the whject. "ron where the same qualition art pru-

But perhaps the difficulty of acombing for these offects of usefuhess, or its contrary, has kept philosophers from admitting them into their systems of ofhics, and has induced
sect. V. P'amt. them rather to employ any other principhe, in explaning the origin of moral grood and exil. Bat it is no just reason for rejecting any principle, confirmed by experience, that we camot give a satisfactory accomit of its origin, nor are able to resolve it into other more general principles. And if we would employ a little thought on the present sulgest, we need be at no loss to accomit for the influence of utility, and to doduce it from principles, the most known and asowed in human nature.

From the apparent usefnluess of the social virtues, it has readily been inferred by sceptics, both ancient and modern, that all moral distinctions arise from edncation, and were, at first, invented, and afterwards encouraged, by the art of politicians, in orler to render men tractable, and subdue their matural ferocity and selfishmess, which incapacitated them for socioty. This principle, indeed, of precept and education, must so far be owned to have a powerful influence, that it may frequently encrease or diminish, beyond their natural standard, the sentiments of approbation or dislike; and may aren, in particular instances, create, withont any natural principle, a new sentiment of this kind : as is evident in all superstitions practices and observances: But that all moral affection or dislike arises from this origin, will never surely be allowed by any judicions enquirer. Had nature made no such distinction, founded on the oripinal constitution of the mind, the words, houourable and shompful, Invely and odimus, voble and despicable, had never had place in any language; nor conld politicians, had they invented these terms, ever have been able to render them intelligible, or make them convey any idea to the audience. So that nothing can be more superficial than this paradox of the sceptics; and it were well. if, in the abstruser studies of logic and metaphysics, we could as easily obviate the carils of that sect, as in the practical and more intelligible sciences of politics and morals.

The social virtues must, therefore be allowed to have a

[^77][^78]sEcr. natural beauty and amiableness, which, at first, antecedent

Palit I. to all precept or education, recommends them to the esteem of uninstructed mankind, and engages their affections. And as the public utility of these virtues is the chief circumstance, whence they derive their merit, it follows, that the end, which they have a tendency to promote, must be some way agreeable to us, and take hold of some natural affection. It must please, either from considerations of self-interest, or from more generous motives and regards.

It has often been asserted, that, as every man has a strong connexion with society, and perceives the impossibility of his solitary subsistence, he becomes, on that account, favourable to all those habits or principles, which promote order in society, and insure to him the quiet possession of so inestimable a blessing. As much as we value our own happiness and welfare, as much must we applaud the practice of justice and humanity, by which alone the social confederacy can be maintained, and every man reap the fruits of mutual protection and assistance.

This deduction of morals from self-love, or a regard to private interest, is an obvious thought, and has not arisen wholly from the wanton sallies and sportive assaults of the sceptics. To mention no others, Polybils, one of the gravest and most judicious, as well as most moral writers of antiquity, has assigned this selfish origin to all our sentiments of virtue. ${ }^{1}$ But though the solid, practical sense of that author, and his aversion to all vain subtilties, render his authority on the present subject very considerable; yet is not this an affair to be decided by authority, and the voice of nature and experience seems plainly to oppose the selfish theory.

We frequently bestow praise on virtuons actions, performed in very distant ages and remote countries; where the utmost subtilty of imagination would not discover any appearance of self-interest, or find any comexion of our present happiness and security with events so widely separated from us.

A generous, a brave, a noble deed, performed by an adver-

[^79][^80]sary, commamhs omr aprobation; while in its consequences it may be ardewheded prejulicial to orr partienlar interest. Where private advantage comens with qemeral affection for
$\therefore \mathrm{BCO}$
v.

Paikt $I$. virtue, we realily perecive and awow the mixture of these distinct sontiments, which have at very different fereling and influence on the mind. Wepraise, perhaps, with more alacrity, where the generous, hamane action contributes to our partionlar interest: But the topies of praise, which we insist on, are very wide of this circumstance. And we may attempt to bring orer others to our sentiments, without embavouring to convine them, that they reap any atvantage from the actions which we reommend to their approbation and applanse.

Frame the model of a praise-worthy character, consisting of all the most amiable moral virtues: Give instances, in which these display themselves after an eminent and extraordinary manter: Yon readily engage the esteem and approbation of all your andience, who never so much as enquire in what age and country the person lived, who possessed these noble qualities: A circumstance, however, of all others, the most material to self-love, or a concern for our own individual happiness.

Once on a time a statesman, in the shock and contest of parties, prevailed so far as to procure, by his cloquence, the banishment of an able adversary; whom he secretly followed, offering him money for his support during his exile, and soothing him with topics of consolation in his misfortunes. Alas! cries the banished statesman, with what regret must I leave my frifnds in this city, where pren enemies are so grnerous! Virtue, though in an enemy, here pleased him: And we also give it the just tribute of praise and approbation; nor do we retract these sentiments, when we hear, that the action passed at Athexs, about two thousand years ago, and that the persons` mames were Esohines and Demosthenes.

What is that to me? There are few occasions, when this question is not pertinent: And had it that universal, infallible influence supposed, it would turn into ridicule every composition, and almost every conversation, which contain any praise or censure of men and manners.

It is but a weak subterfuge, when pressed by these farets and arguments, to say, that we transport ourselves, by the foree of imagination, into distant ages and comntries, and consider the adrantage, whind we should have reapen from

SECT.
these characters, had we been contemporaries, and had any commerce with the persons. It is not conceivable, how a real sentiment or passion can ever arise from a known imaginary interest; especially when our real interest is still kept in view, and is often acknowledged to be entirely distinct from the imaginary, and even sometimes opposite to it.

A man, brought to the brink of a precipice, camot look down without trembling; and the sentiment of imaginary danger actuates him, in opposition to the opinion and belief of real safety. But the imagination is here assisted by the presence of a striking object; and yet prevails not, except it be also aided by novelty, and the unusual appearance of the object. Custom soon reconciles us to heights and precipices, and wears off these false and delusive terrors. The reverse is obserrable in the estimates, which we form of characters and manners; and the more we habituate ourselves to an accurate scrutiny of morals, the more delicate feeling do we acquire of the most minute distinctions between vice and rirtue. Such frequent occasion, indeed, have we, in common life, to pronounce all kinds of moral determinations, that no object of this kind can be new or unusual to us; nor could any false views or prepossessions maintain their ground against an experience, so common and familiar. Experience being chiefly what forms the association of ideas, it is impossible, that any association could establish and support itself, in direct opposition to that principle.

Usefulness is agreeable, and engages our approbation. This is a matter of fact, confirmed by daily observation. But, useful? For what? For some body's interest, surely. Whose interest then? Not our own only: For our approbation frequently extends farther. It must, therefore, be the interest of those, who are served by the character or action approved of; and these we may conclude, however remote, are not totally indifferent to us. By opening up this principle, we shall discover one great source of moral distinctions.

## PART II.

Self-love is a principle in human nature of such extensive energy, and the interest of each individual is, in general, so closely connected with that of the community, that those philosophers were excusable, who fancied, that all our concern
for the pullic might be resolved into at concern for one own happiness and preservation．＇They saw every moment，int stances of approbation or hame，satisfaction or displasame towards characters and actions；they denominated the objects of these sentiments，virtues，or riers；they ohserved，that the former had a tembency to encrease the happiness，and the later the misery of mankind；they asken，whether it were possible that we cond have any general concern for society， or any disinterested resentment of the welfare or injury of others；they found it simpler to consider all these sentiments as modifications of self－love；and they discovered a pretence， at least，for this unity of principle，in that close union of interest，which is so observable between the prublic and eatel individual．

But notwithstanding this frequent confusion of interests， it is easy to attain what natural philosophers，after lord Bacon，have affected to call the peperimentum．erucis，or that experiment，which pints out the right way in any doubt or ambiguity．We have found instances，in which private interest was separate from public；in which it was cren con－ trary：And yet we observed the moral sentiment to continue， notwithstamling this disjunction of interests．And wherever these distinct interests semsibly concurred，we always found a sensible encrease of the sentiment，and a more warm affection to virtue，and detestation of vice，or what wr pro－ perly call，gratitude and recenge．Compelled by these in－ stances，we must renounce the theory，which aecounts for every moral sentiment by the principle of self－love．We must adopt a more public affection，and allow，that the interests of society are not，even on their own account，en－ tirely indifferent to us．Usefulness is only a tendency to a certain end；and it is a contradiction in terms，that any thing pleases as means to an end，where the end itself no wise atfects us．If usefulness，therefore，be a source of moral sentiment，and if this usefulness be not always con－ sidered with a reference to self；it follows，that every thing， which contributes to the happiness of society，recommends itself directly to our approbation and grood－will．Here is a principle，which accounts，in great part，for the origin of morality：And what need we seek for abstruse and remote systems，when there occurs one so obvions and natural？1

[^81]SECT. Hare we any difficulty to comprehend the force of humanity V. and benevolence? Or to conceive, that the very aspect of $P_{\text {Ant }}$ II. happiness, joy, prosperity, gives pleasure; that of pain. suffering, sorrow, communicates uneasiness? The hmman countenance, says Horace, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ borrows smiles or tears from the human comtenance. Reduce a person to solitude, and he loses all enjoyment, except either of the sensual or speculative kind ; and that because the movements of his heart are not forwarded by correspondent movements in his fellowcreatures. The signs of sorrow and mourning, though arbitrary, affect us with melancholy ; but the natural symptoms, tears and cries and groans, never fail to infuse compassion and measiness. And if the effects of misery tonch us in so lively a mamner ; can we be supposed altogether insensible or indifferent towards its causes; when a malicious or treacherous character and behaviour are presented to us?

We enter, I shall suppose, into a convenient, warm, wellcontrived apartment: We necessarily receive a pleasure from its very survey; because it presents us with the pleasing ideas of ease, satisfaction, and enjoyment. The hospitable, good-humoured, humane landlord appears. This circumstance surely must embellish the whole : nor can we easily forbear reflecting, with pleasure, on the satisfaction which results to every one from his intercourse and goodoffices.

His whole family, by the freedom, ease, confidence, and calm enjoyment, diffused over their countenances, sufficiently express their happiness. I have a pleasing sympathy in the prospect of so much joy, and can never consider the source of it, without the most agreeable cmotions

He tells me, that an oppressive and powerful neighbour had attempted to dispossess him of his inheritance, and had long disturbed all his innocent and social pleasures. I feel
a principle in human mature. We must stop somewhere in sur examination of canses: and there are in every spience, some general principles, bevon? which wes eannot hope to find any principle morch erneral. Nis man is aloentutely in lifferent to the happiness and misery wif othurs. The first has a matumal tundency to give pleasure: the serond, tain. This every one muy find in himculf. It is not probalili, that these


[^82]an immediate imbinnation arise in me arainst surh vinhone aud injury.

But it is wo womder, he adds, that a private wromer shomld

SEC P' Yaht 11. profed from a man, who had enshamp povinters, depephlatad rities, and made the field and scaflold stream with hmman blood. I am strack with homor at the prospect of so much misery, and anm achated by the stromerst antipathy acrainst its anthor.

In gemeral, it is certain, that, wherever we (en, whatever We reflect on or converse abont, exary thing still prestents us with the view of human litppiness or misery, and excites in our breast a sympathetic movement of pleasure or uneasiness. In our serions oceapations, in onr careless amusements, this principle still exerts its active eneroy.

A man, who enters the theatre, is immediately struck with the view of so great a multitude participating of one common amusement; ant experiences, frem then very aspect, a superior sensibility or disposition of beine affected with every sentiment, which he shares with his fellow-creatures.

He observes the actors to be mimated by the appearance of a full autience, and raised to a decree of enthusiasm, which they camot command in any solitary or calm moment.

Rever movement of the theatre, by a skilful poet, is communicated, as it were by magic, to the spectators; who weep, tremble, resent, rejoiee, and are attimed with all the variety of passions, which actuate the several personages of the drama.

Where any erent crosses onr wishes, ant interrupts the happiness of the favourite charactors, we feel a sensible anxiety and concern. But where their sufferings proceed from the trabhery, cruclty, or trranny of an enemy, our breasts are affected with the liveliest resentment against the author of these calamities.

It is here esteemed contrary to the rules of art to represent any thing cool and indifferent. A distant frient, or a confident, who has no immediate interest in the catastrophe, ought, if possible, to be avoided by the poet; as commmicating a like indifference to the audience, and checking the progress of the passions.

Few species of poetry are more entertaining than pastnoal; and every one is sensible, that the chief source of its pleasure arises from those images of a gentle and tender tranquillity.

SECT. V.
which it represents in its personages, and of which it comrmunicates a like sentiment to the reader. Sanvazarius, who transfored the seene to the sea-shore, though he presented the most magnificent object in nature, is confessed to have erred in his choice. The idea of toil, labour, and danger, sufficed by the fishermen, is painful; by an unavoidable sympathy, which attends every concep,tion of human happiness or misery.

When I was twenty, says a Frexch poet, Ovid was my farourite: Now I am forty, I declare for Horace. We enter, to be sure, more readily into sentiments, which resemble those we feel every day: But no passion, when well represented, can be entirely indifferent to us; becanse there is none, of which every man has not, within him, at least the seeds and first principles. It is the business of poetry to bring every affection near to us by lively imagery and representation, and make it lork like troth and reality: A certain proof, that, wherever that reality is found, our mints are disposed to be strongly affected by it.

Any recent event or piece of news, by which the fate of states, provinces, or many individuals is affected, is extremely interesting even to those whose welfare is not immediately engaged. Such intelligence is propagated with celerity, heard with avidity, and enquired into with attention and concern. The interest of society appears, on this occasion, to be, in some degree, the interest of each individual. The imagimation is sure to be affected; though the passions excited may not always be so strong and steady as to hare great influence on the conduct and behaviour.

The perusal of a history seems a calm entertainment ; but would be no entertaiment at all, did not our hearls beat with correspondent movements to those which are deseribed by the historian.

Thucydides and Gulcciardin support with difficulty our attention ; while the former describes the trivial reneronters of the small cities of Grefere, and the latter the hamless wars of Pisa. The few persons interested, and the small interest fill not the imagination, and engage mot the affeetions. The deep distress of the numerous Atmenian army before Srraceste; the damger, which so mearly threatens Vexice ; these excite comprassion; these move terror and maxiely.


 of somiment! While the fomerembly ratates the facts ; and

 mond ly the melting surpows of their frimels ame kimbed. What simpathy then tomenes (M, imbigation agminst the tyant, whan camsedess finm or maprowked matice gite rise to such detestable lambaty !

If we hing these subjeds natar: If we remowe all shspidion of fidion and deceit: What powerful concern is exeitert, and how mucla superior, in many instances, to the narrow attachments of solf-love and private interest! Papular sedition, party zeal, a devoted obedience to factions leaders; these are some of the most visible, thomoh less latulable coffects of this social sympathy in human mature.

The frivolousness of the sulject too, we may observe, is not able to detach us entively from what carries an image of lmman sentiment and atfection.

When a person stutters, and monomees with difficnlty, we eroll sympathize with this trivial measiness, and sutfer for him. And it is a rule in criticism, that erery combination of sylables or letters, which gives pain to the organs of speed in the recital, appears also. from a speecies of sympathy, harsh and disagreeable to the ear. Nay, when we ran ow 1 a book "ith our eye, we are sensible of such mhamonions composition; becanse we still imagine, that a person recites it to us, and suffers from the prommeiation of these jarring sounds. So delicate is our sympathy!

Ensy and meonstrained postures and motions are always beantiful: An air of health and vigour is agreeable: Cloathis which warm, without burdening the body; which cover, withont imprisoning the limbs, are well-fashioned. In erery jutwment of beanty, the feelings of the person affected enter into considnation, and commonicate to the spectator similar tonches of pain or pleasure. What wonder, then, if we can pronome no judgment concerning the chatacter and conduct

[^83]$\therefore \mathrm{SN}$
1 1'.1:1 il.

SECT. of men, without considering the tendencies of their actions, $\stackrel{V}{\text {.__ }}$ and the happiness or misery which thence arises to society? Pakt II. What association of ideas would ever operate, were that principle here totally unactive. ${ }^{1}$

If any man from a cold insensibility, or narrow selfishness of temper, is unaffected with the images of human happiness or misery, he must be equally indifferent to the images of vice and virtue: As, on the other hand, it is always found, that a warm concern for the interests of our species is attended with a delicate feeling of all moral distinctions; a strong resentment of injury done to men; a lively approbation of their welfare. In this particular, though great superiority is observable of one man above another ; yet none are so entirely indifferent to the interest of their fellow-creatures, as to perceive no distinctions of moral good and evil, in consequence of the different tendencies of actions and principles. How, indeed, can we suppose it possible in any one, who wears a human heart, that if there be subjected to his censure, one character or system of conduct, which is beneficial, and another, which is pernicious, to his species or community, he will not so much as give a cool preference to the former. or ascribe to it the smallest merit or regard? Let us suppose such a person ever so selfish; let private interest have iilgrossed ever so much his attention; yet in instances, where that is not concerned, he must unavoidably feel some propensity to the good of mankind, and make it an object of choice, if every thing else be equal. Would any man, who is walking along, tread as willingly on another's gonty tows, whom he has no quarrel with, as on the hard flint and pavement? There is here surely a difference in the case. We surcly take

[^84][^85]into consideration the happiness and misery of others, in weighing the sevral motives of antion, and ineline to the former, where mo private regrats draw us to seek our own
 promotion or adsantage by the ingury fon fellow-creatures. And if the principhes of humanity are capable, in many instances, of influmemg our actions, they must, at all times, have some authority orer our sentiments, and give us a general approbation of what is useful to soeticty, and blame of what is dangerons or pernicions. The deqrees of these smiments may be the sulject of controversy; but the reality of their existence, one should think, must be admitted, in every theory or system.

A creature, absolutely malicions and spitcful, were there any such in nature, must be worse than indifferent to the images of vice and rirtue. All his sentiments must be inverted, and directly "pwsite to those, which prevail in the human species. Whatwer contributes to the good of mankind, as it crosses the constant bent of his wishes and desires, must produce measimess and disappobation; and on the contrary, whatever is the source of disorder and misery in society, must, for the same reason, be regarded with pleasure and complacency. Tmon, who, probably from his affected spleen, more than any inveteriate malice, was denominated the man-hater. embraced Alcibiades, with great fondness. tro on myl loy! cried he, acquire the comptane of the people: Fou will one day, I fortspe, be the cunse of yrat calamities to them ${ }^{1}$ : Conhl we admit the two principles of the Manichears, it is an infallible consequence, that their sentiments of human actions, as well as of every thing else, must be totally opposite, and that every instance of justice and humanity, from its necessary tendency, must please the one deity and displease the other. All mankind so far resemble the good principle, that, where interest or revenge or enve perverts not our dispusition, we are always inclined, from onr natural philanthropy, to give the preference to the happiness of society, and conseguently to virtue, above its opposite. Absolute, unproveked. disinterested malice has never, perlaps, place in any human breast : or if it had, must there pervert all the sentiments of morals, as well as the feelings of humanity. If the cruelty of Nero be allowed entirely voluntary, and not rather the
$r$. effect of constant fear and resentment; it is evident, that

## pur II. Tigellinus, preferably to Seneca or Borrhus, must have

 possessed his steady and uniform approbation.A statesmian or patriot, who serves our own country, in our own time, has always a more passionate regard paid to him, than one whose beneficial influence operated on distant ages or remote nations; where the good, resulting from his gencrons humanity, being less comnected with us, seems more obscure, and affects us with a less lively sympathy. We may own the merit to be equally great, thongh our sentiments are not raised to an equal height, in both cases. The judgment here corrects the inequalities of our internal emotions and perceptions; in like manner, as it preserves us from error, in the several variations of images, presented to our external senses. The same object, at a double distance, really throws on the eye a picture of but half the bulk; yet we imagine that it appears of the same size in both situations ; because we know, that, on on approach to it, its image wonld expand on the eye, and that the difference consists not in the object itself, but in our position with regrard to it. And, indeed, withont such a correction of appearances, both in internal and external sentiment, men conld never think or talk steadily on any subject; while their flnctnating situations produce a continnal variation on objects, and throw them into such different and contrary lights and positions. ${ }^{1}$

The more we converse with mankind, and the greater social intercourse we maintain, the more shall we be familiarized to these general preferences and distinctions, without which om conversation and discourse could scarcely be rendered intelligible to earh other. Every man's interest is peenliar to himself, and the aversions and desires, which result from it,

[^86]praise. Tho juderment eorrects or athdeavours to empect that apmanace: Bat is not alde matirety to preail over sentiHelit.
 better than that ofler ; but bedabe it
 would not the same praise he given it, thombs suails or vermia had destroger
 turity!' In momals tho, is mot the trem limeren l!y the fruit? Aud cammet wo tasily diatimeruisls between mature and
 anl:cr:
 languase, therefore, bemer fimmed for gromeral use, must bre

$\therefore \mathrm{H}=$

p'skit 11. thets of praise or blame in contermity tos semtiments, which arise from the qemeral interests of the rommonity. Aml if thase semtiments, in most morb, be not so strenor as thosed, whirh have a reference to privaterened: yet still thay most make some distinttion, even in persons the: most depratved and sellish; amd must attach the motion of wonl to a bemefierot eombluet, and of evil to the eontmary. Sympathy, we shall allow, is much fanter than one concern for omselves, ant sympathy with persons romote from us, much filinter than that with persons near and contisuons: but for this wery reason, it is neressary for ns, in onr walm juldernents amb discourse concerning the chamaters of men, to neglect all these differences, and remere one sentiments more publix and social. Besides, that we omsolves often change our situation in this, particular, we every day ment with persons, who are in at sitmation dithorent fron us. and who comld never converse with us, were we to remain comstantly in that position and point of riew, whieh is peenliar to omrselves. 'The intereonses of sentiments, therefore, in soriety and ronversation, makes us ferm some cremeral matarable standard, by which wo may approve or disapprow of thatacters and mamers. And thonerh the heart takes not part with those general notions, morernlates all its love and hatred, by the miversal, abstract differences of vice anel virtue, withont requrd to self, or the persons with whom we are more intinately commected; yet have these moral differences a considerable inthence, and beings sufficient, at least. for discourse, serve all our purposes in company, in the pulpit, on the theatre, and in the sehools.

Thms. in whatever light we take this subject, the merit, ascribed to the social firtues, appedrs still miform, and arises chiefly from that regard, which the matural sentinent of benevolence eneraes us to pay to the interests of mankime and society. If we consider the primeiples of the lmman make
${ }^{1}$ It is wi-cly whamed hy mature, that private connexions shoulst eommonly preval oser untreral views and enot sideration- ; atherwi- onr affection and netion would le diongated and last. tor want of a prope limited oljow. Thus : -mall luadit dome to onrowives.


[^87]EELT. such as they appear to daily experience and observation, we

Part II. must, is minri, comclude it impossible for such a creature as man to be totally indifferent to the well or ill-being of his fellow-creatures, and not readily, of himself, to pronounce, where nothing gives him any particular byass, that what promotes their happiness is good, what tends to their misery is evil, without any farther regard or consideration. Here then are the faint rudiments, at least, or out-lines, of a general distinction between actions; and in propertion as the humanity of the person is supposed to encrease, his connexion with those who are injured or benefited, and his lively conception of their misery or happiness; his consequent censure or approbation acquires proportionable vigour. There is no necessity, that a generons action, barely mentioned in an old history or remote gazette, should communicate any strong feelings of applause and admiration. Virtue, placed at such a distance, is liked a fixed star, which, though to the eye of reason, it may appear as luminous as the sun in his meridian, is so infinitely removed, as to affect the senses, neither with light nor heat. Bring this virtue nearer, by our acquaintance or connexion with the persons, or even by an eloquent recital of the case: our hearts are immediately caught, our sympathy enlivened, and our cool approbation converted into the warmest sentiments of friendship and regard. These seem necessary and infallible consequences of the general principles of human nature, as discovered in common life and practice.

Again: revrse these views and reasonings: Consider the mattor it posterion $i$; and weighing the consequences, enquire if the merit of social virtue be not, in a great measure, derived from the feelings of humanity, with which it affects the spectators. It appears to be matter of fact, that the circumstance of utility, in all subjects, is a somre of praise and approbation: That it is constantly appealed to in all moral decisions concorning the merit and demerit of actions: That it is the sole source of that high regard puin to justice, fidelity, homomr, allegiance, and chastity : That it is inseparable from all the other social virtues, hmmaty yenerosity, charity, affability, lonity, merey, and momeration: And, in a word, that it is a fomdation of the chiof part of morals, which has a reforener to mankind and ome fellow-ereatures.

It apmars also, that in our semeal appobation of chat racters and mamers, the nowfirl trmanery of the sencial virtues
mows us mot by any regards to self-interest, but has an inSheme much more misersalam extensive. It appears, that a tembery to publice grom, and to the promoting of para,
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    \(V\)
    PARTII. harmony, and order in sordoty, does always, by aftecting the benevolent principles of ont fiame, encetge ns on the side of the social rirtues. And it appears, as an additional onfirmation, that these principles of humanity and sympathy enter so deeply into all our sentiments, and hare so powerful an influence, as may enable them to excite the strongest censure and applanse. The present theory is the simple result of all these inferences, eatch of which seems founded on uniform experionee and observation.

Were it doubtful, whether there were any such principle in our nature as lrumanity or a concern for others, yet when we see, in numberfess instances, that whatever has a tendency to promote the interests of society, is so highly approved of, we ought thence to learn the force of the benevolent principle : since it is impossible for any thing to please as means to an end, where the end is totally indiflerent. On the other hand, were it doubtful, whether there were, implanted in onr nature, any general principle of moral blame and approhation, yet when we see, in numberless instances, the influence of humanity, we onght trence to conclude, that it is impossible, but that every thing, which promotes the interest of society, must commmicate pleasure, and what is pernicious give uneasiness. But when these different reflections and observations concur in establishing the same conclusion, must they not bestow an undisputed evidence upon it?

It is however hoped, that the progress of this argument will bring a farther confirmation of the present theory. by showing the rise of other sentiments of esteem and regard from the same or like principles.

> Section VI.- Of Qualities I'seful to Ourselves.

> PART I.'

Ir seems erilent, that where a quality or habit is subjected to our exmmination, if it appear, in iny respect. prejudicial to the person possessed of it, or such as mopaloitates him

[^88]SENT. for business and action, it is instantly blaned, and ranked VI among his fanlts and imperfections. Indolence, negligence, want of order and method, obstinacy, fickleness, raslmess, eredulity; these qualities were never esteemed by any one indifferent to a character; much less, extolled as accomplishments or virtues. The prejudice, resulting from them, immediately strikes our eye, and gives us the sentiment of pain and disapprobation.

No quality, it is allowed, is absolutely either blameable or praise-worthy. It is all according to its degree. A due medinm, say the Peripatetics, is the characteristic of virtue. But this medium is chiefly determined loy utility. A proper celerity, for instance, and dispatch in business, is commendable. When defective, no progress is ever made in the execntion of any purpose: When excessive, it engages us in precipitate and ill-concerted measures and enterprises: By such reasonings, we fix the proper and commendable mediocrity in all moral and prudential disquisitions ; and never lose view of the advantages, which result from any character or habit.

Now as these advantages are enjoyed by the person possessed of the character, it can never be self-love which renders the prospect of them agreeable to us, the spectators, and wrompts our esteem and approbation. No force of imagination can convert us into another person, and make us fancy, that we, being that person, reap benefit from those valuable qualities, which belong to liim. Or if it did, no celerity of imagination conld immediately tramsport ns back, into onrselves, and make us love and esteem the person, as tifferent from ns. Views and sentiments, so opposite to known truth, and to each other, could never have place, at the same time, in the same person. All suspicion, therefore, of selfish ragards, is here totally excluded. It is a quite different prineiple, whicl actuates our bosom, and interests ns in the fellicity of the person whon we contemplate. Where his natural talents and acquired abilities give us the prospect of devation, advancement, a figure in life, prosperous success, a steady command over fortune, and the execution of great on adsantareons mulertakings; we are struck with such agreable inages, and find a complacency and regard immedately arise towards him. The ideas of happiness, joy,

 ment of sympatly and hamamity. ${ }^{1}$

Latt us suppose a person orispinally framod so as to hate now mammer of concern for his fetlow-romatmes, but toremad the happiness and misery of all semsibhe beiners with greatar indibisente than even two contiononss shates of the sime robure. Let us suppose, of the larosprity of nations were laid on the one haml, and their ruin on the oflor, and he Wore desired to ehoose; that he would staml, like the sehoolman's ass, irresolute and undetermimet, between equal motives ; wrather, like the same ass between two pieners of wood or marble, withont any inclination or popensity to either side. The eonsegmence, I believe, mast be allowed just, that sucle a person, being absolutely unconcerned, rithar for the public good of a communty or the private utility of others, would look on every quality, however pernicions, or howerer berneficial, to society, or to its possersior, with the simme indifference as on the most common and uninteresting whject.

But if, instead of this fancied monster, we suppose a mum to form a judgment or determination in the case, there is to him a plan fonndation of preference, whare every thiner alse is "phall; and however cool his choice maty be, if his heart be selfish, or if the persons interested be remote from him; there must still be a eloice or distinction between what is useful, and what is pernicious. Now this distinction is the same in all its parts, with the moral distinction, whone fonmation has been so often, and so muth in rain, enfuired after. 'The stmo endowments of the mint, in every circumstance, are agreeable to the sentiment of morals and to that of hmmanity ; the same temper is susceptible of high dergrees
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SECT. of the one sentiment and of the other; and the same altera-
V.
$P_{\triangle K T}$. tion in the objects, by their nearer approach or by comexions, enlivens the one and the other. By all the rules of philosophy, therefore, we must conclude, that these sentimente are originally the same; since, in each particular, even the most minute, they are governed by the same laws, and are moved by the same objects.

Why do philosophers infer, with the greatest certainty, that the moon is kept in its orbit by the same force of gravity: that makes bodies fall near the surface of the earth, but because these effects are, upon computation, found similar and equal? And must not this argument bring as strong conviction, in moral as in natural disquisitions?

To prove, by any long detail, that all the qualities, useful to the possessor, are approved of, and the contrary censured, would be superfluous. The least reflection on what is every day experienced in life, will be sufficient. We shall only mention a few instances, in order to remove, if possible, all doubt and hesitation.

The quality, the most necessary for the execution of any useful enterprise, is DISCRETION; by which we carry on a safe intercourse with others, give due attention to our own and to their character, weigh each circumstance of the business which we undertake, and employ the surest and safest means for the attaiment of any end or purpose. To a Cromwell, perhaps or a De Retz, discretion may appear an alderman-like virtue, as Dr. Swift calls it; and being incompatible with those vast designs, to which their courage and ambition prompted them, it might really, in them, be a fanlt or imperfection. But in the conduct of ordinary life, no virtue is more requisite, not only to obtain success, but to aroid the most fatal miscarriages and disappointments. The greatest parts without it, as observed by an elegant writer, may be fatal to their owner; as Polyphemes, deprived of his cye, was only the more exposed, on account of his enormous strength and stature.

The best character, indeed, were it not rather too perfect for human mature, is that which is not swayed by temper of any kind ; but alternately employs enterprise and caution, as each is useful to the particular purpose intended. Such is the exeellence which st. Evremond asoribes to mareschal 'Tremense who displayed every campaign, as he orew older,
more tamerity in his military enterprises; and bring now,
 in wall, ho adrabeed with erreator firmmess and seeurity, in a
$\because \mathrm{T}$.
VI.

Part I. road so well kinown to him. Fibbins. says Machmabel, was
 the sitnation of the Romas allaiss, durines the ermmand of carch, was pernliamy alapted to his ernius; but both would have failed, had thesesithatioms been reversed. He is hatpy, whose ciromastanees suit his temper : lut he is more excellent, who can suit his temper to any ciremmstanmes.

What need is there to display the pranses of INDUS'TRY, and to extol its advantares, in the deguisition of power and rishes, or in raising what we call is fortume in the world? The fortoise, according to the fable, by his persevorance, ganed the race of the hare, thongh possessed of much superior swiftmess. A m:in's time, when well hmsbanded, is like a cultivated field, of which a fiew acres produce more of what is useful to life, than extensive provinces, even of the richest soil, when over-run with weeds and brambles.

But all prospect of success in life, or eren of toleralble subsistence, must fail, where a reasomble FRUGALITY is wanting. The he:ap, instead of encreasing, diminishes daily, and lares its possessor so much more unlappy, as, not having bex口 able to confine his expences to a large revenue, he will still less be able to live contentedly on a small one. The souls of men, accomting to $P_{\text {Lato }}{ }^{\prime}$, inflamed with impure appetites, and losing the body, which alone afforded means of satisfaction, hover abont the earth, and haunt the places where their bodies are deposited; possessed with a longing desire to recover the lost organs of sensation. So may we see worthless prodigals, having consumed their fortune in wild debauches, thrusting themselves into every plentiful table, and every party of pleasure, hated even by the vicions, and despised even by fools.

The one extreme of frugality is ararice, which, as it both deprives a man of all use of his riches, and checks hospitality and every social enjorment, is justly censured on a double account. Prodigulit!, the other extreme, is commonly more hurtful to a man himself; and each of these extremes is blamed above the other, according to the temper of the person

[^91]sECT. who censures, and according to his greater or less sensitility VI.

Pabri. to pleasure, either social or sensual.
'Qualities often derive their merit from complicated sources. Honesty, fidelity, truth, are praised for their immediate tendency to promote the interests of society; but after those virtues are once established upon this foundation, they are atso considered as advantageons to the person himself, and as the source of that trist and confitence, which can alone give a man any consideration in life. One becomes contemptible, no less than odious, when he forgets the duty, which, in this particular, he owes to himself as well as to society.

Perhaps, this consideration is one chief source of the high blame, which is thrown on any instance of failure amomg women in point of chastity. The greatest regurd, which can be acquired by that sex, is derived from their fidelity ; and a woman becomes cheap and vulgar, loses her rank, ant is exposed to every insult, who is deficient in this particular. The smallest failure is here sufficient to blast her character. A female has so many opportunities of secretly indulgine these appetites, that nothing can give us security but her absolute modesty and reserve; and where a breach is once made, it tan scarcely ever be fully repaired. If a man behave with cowardice on one occasion, al contrary conduct reinstates him in his character. But by what action can a woman, whose behaviour has once been dissolute, be able to assure us, that she has formed better resolutions, and has self-command enough to carry them into execution ?

All men, it is allowed, are equally desirous of happiness; but few are successful in the pursuit: One considerable canse is the want of S'TRENGTII of MIND, which might emable them to resist the temptation of present ease or pleasine, and carry them forvard in the search of more distant profit and enjoyment. Our affections, on a general prospect of their oljects, form certain rules of comduct, and certain measures of preference of one above another: And these decisions, though really the result of onr caln prassions and propensities, (for what else can pronounce any object eligible or the contrary?) are yet said, by a natural abuse of terms, to be the determinations of pure refsom and reflection. But when some of these objects approach nearer to his, or acquire the advan-
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 olvions, that this pratetice is the sommer of all disselatemess ame disurder, repentancerand misisy. A man of a stroner and determined trimper adheres tentrimusly to his ex+meral reselutions, and is mother sedued by the allurements of phatsme, mor terrifiod by the menalees of pain; but kenps still in view those distant pursuits, by which le, at once, emsures his happriness and his homour.
 which equally attends the FOOL amblte WISE MLAN: bint it is the only one ; nor is threre any other circumstance in the (onnluet of life, where they are upon an "qual footing. Busilass, brokss, conrersation ; for all of these, a fool is totally incalaritaterl, and exeept condemned by his station to the
 Ancominely it is fomm, that men are extremely jealous of their chamantor in this particular ; and many instances are soen of prothinaty and treathery, the most arowed and moreshrent ; mone of berang pat iently the imputation of ignorane ami stuphlity. Dicabarchle, the Macedonlan general, who, as Pompbus tells us ${ }^{1}$, openly erected one altar to impiety, another to injustice, in more to bid defiance to mankind; even lof. I ann well assured, woukd have started at the epithet of fonl, ant have meditated revenge for so injurions an appellation. Excent the aftection of parents, the strongest and most indiswolnble bond in nature, no connexion has strength suthecient to sullurt the disust arising from this character. Love itself, which can subsist under treachery, ingratitude, malice, and intidelity, is immediately extinguished by it, when berceived and acknowledged: nor are deformity and ohd age more fatal to the dominion of that passion. So dreadful are the ideas of an utter incapacity for any purpose or undertaking, and of continned error and miseonduct in life !

When it is asked, whether a quick or a slow apprehension be most valuable: Whether one, that, at first riew, penetrates
sECTR. VI.

PartI.
far into a subject, but can perform nothing upon study; or a contrary character, which must work out every thing by dint of application? Whether a clear head or a copions invention? Whether a profound genius or \& sure judgment? In short, what character, or peculiar turn of understanding is more excellent than another? It is evident, that we can answer none of these questions. withont considering which of those qualities capacitates a man best for the world, and carries hinn farthest in any undertaking.

If refined sense and exalted sense be not so useful as common sense, their rarity, their novelty, and the nobleness of their objects make some compensation, and render them the admiration of mankind: As gold, though less serviceable than iron, acquires, from its scarcity, a value, which is much superior.

The defects of judgment can be supplied by no art or invention; but those of MEMORY frequently may, both in business and in study, by method and industry, and by diligence in committing every thing to writing; and we scarcely ever hear a short memory given as a reason for a man's failure in any undertaking. But in ancient times, when no man conld make a figure without the talent of speaking, and when the audience were too delicate to bear such crude, mudigested harangues as our extemporary orators offer to public assemblies; the faculty of memory was then of the utmost consequence, and was accordingly much more valued than at present. Scarce any great genius is mentioned in antiquity, who is not celebrated for this talent; and Cicero enmmerates it among the other sublime qualities of Cesar himself.'

Particular costoms and manners alter the usefulness of qualities: 'They also alter their merit. Particular situations and accidents hare, in soms decree, the same influence. He will always be more estremed, who possosses those talents and accomplishments, which suit his station and profession, than he whom fortume has misplaced in the part which she has assigned him. 'Ihe private or selfish virtnes are, in this resyect, more arbitrary than the public and social. In other respects, they are, perhaps, less liable to doubt and controversy.

Fuit in ills ingenium, ratio, memoria, hera, cura. engitatio, dilipentia, \&c. Pimit. 2.45.

In this kinghom, sueh contimed ostentation, of late years, has prevailed among men in attere life with regard to pertio

 donit, detected, that men of the werd are apt, withent any hand intention, fodisconer a sullen inmondulity on the head of those noral embownents, and exen sometimes absolutely to deny their existonee and reality. la like mamer, I find, that, of ald, the perpetual cant of the sturiss and cymies conarning ritue, the magnitient professims and slember prommances, bred a dispust in manimel ; and Lectax, whe, thongh liecutions with recourl to plensure, is set, in other respects, a wery moral whiter, camot, sometimes, talle of virtue, so much boasted. withont betraving symptoms of ephen and irony. ${ }^{1}$ but surely this peevish delinacy, wheneearer it arises, can never be carriod on far an to mater as demy the existence of every specins of merit, and all dixitaction of manners and behaviour. Besides dismetis, antion, outer-
 prodence, discemmont; besides these endownemts, I say, whose very names force an avowal of their merit, there are many others, to which the most determined seepticism cannot, for a moment, refuse the tribute of paise and approbation. Tranperance, solmiet!, petience, constuney, priseceronce,
 prespace of mind, quichness of conception, furility of enpersion ; these, and a thousand more of the same kind, no man will ever deny to be excellencies and perfections. As their merit consists in their tendency to serve the person, prosessed of them, withont any magnificent chan to public and social desert, we are the less jealous of their pretensions. and readily admit them into the catalogue of laudable qualities. We are not sensible, that, by this concession, we have payed the way for all the other moral exeellencies, and cammet confidently hesitate any homer, with regard to disinterested benevolence, patriotism, and humanity.

It seems, indeed, eertain, that first appearances are here, as nsual, extremely deceitful, and that it is more diftionlt, in is

[^93][^94]SECT.
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SECT. speculative way, to resolve into self-love the merit, which we ascribe to the seltish virtues above-mentioned, thim that even of the social rirtues, justice and beneficence. For this latter purpose, we need but say, that whatever conduct promotes the good of the community is loved, praised, and esteemed by the community, on accomit of that utility and interest, of which every one partakes: And thongh this affection and regard be, in reality, gratitude, not self-love, yet a distinction, even of this obvious nature, may not readily be made by superficial reasoners; and there is room, at least, to support the cavil and dispute for a moment. But as qualities, which tend only to the utility of their possessm without any reference to us, or to the community, are yet esteemed and valued; by what theory or system can we account for this sentinent from self-love, or deduce it from that favourite origin? There seems here a neenssity fir confessing that the happiness and misery of others are not spectacles entirely indifierent to us; but that the view of the furmer, whether in its canses or effects, like sum-shine or the prospect of well-cultivated plains, (to carry our pretensions no higher) communicates a secret joy and satisfaction; the appearance of the latter, like a loweriag cloud or barren landskip, throws a melancholy damp over the imagination. And this concession being once made, the difficulty is over; and a natural mofored interpretation of the phemomena of human life will afterwards, we may hope, prevail amomer all speculative enquirers.

## P. HRT II. ${ }^{1}$

It may not be improper, in this pace, to examine the influence of bodily endownents, and of the gools of fomtune. over our sentiments of regard and estecan, and to comsider whether these phenomena fortify or waken the pesent theory. ${ }^{2}$ It will maturally be expected, that the beanty of the body, as is suppused by all ancient momists, will bee similar, in some respects, to that of the mind; and that every kind of esterm, which is laid to a man, will have something similar in its orgin, whetlee it arise from his nental endownernts, or from the situation of his exterior ciremmst:mees.

It is evidut, that ane considerable source of bearty in all

[^95]anmals is the edrantage，whind they reap from the pationlar structure of their limbs and mombers，suitably to the par－ ticular mamer of life，to which they are by nature hestimeld．
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 Vikgha，are the same，that ame rewisoll at this day by mer modern jockers：beramse the fimmbation of them is the same，namely，experionce of what is 小etrimentat or uxdinl in the amimal．

Brod shoulders，a lank bedly，firm juints，faper lems：all these are beantiful in our spectes，because signs of fored and vigom．Whas of utility and its contrary，thomeh they do not entirely detemine what is hamdsome or deformod，aro evidently the somere of a considemble part of alpobation or dislike．

In ancient times，bodily strength and dexterity，being of greater usi and importance in war，wats also moch more esteemed and valued，than at presont．Not to insist on Homer and the poets，we may observe，that historians scruple not to mention firie of lom！among the other ate－ complishments even of Epamanoxds，whom they acknow－ bodiee to the the greatest hero，statesman，and wemeral of all the（irmers．${ }^{1}$ A iike prase js given to Pompry，one of the greatest of the lomasi．？This instance is smilar to what we observen above，with regard to memory．

What derision and contempt，with both soxes．attend impotener ：while the mhappe whect is regarded as one de－ frived of so capital a pleasure in life，and at the same time， as disabled from communicating it to others．Biontumess in women，being also a species of inntility，is a reproach，but not in the same degree ：Of which the reason is rery obvions， according to the present theory．${ }^{3}$

[^96]chrsu；cerme rulidis recta cortublat．Sal．－ 1．TsT apud Vetibt：－14e Re Nil． 19.
${ }^{3}$［Eation G adde in a note：To the same l＇urpose，we may olsorve a Phat－ nomenoms．whid mialit aflum mone－ What trivial amd ludievon－：it ：my Themer couk he trivial．whind formfed Comelu－
 which we：s empleyd in a phaned himat

 have either－malalizi！thembers ly the ir atmorous Faphont－ur whore Makio
 any ar：or linary Vigene of that hind， are well recojvil ly the latrex，sed
sect. There is no rule in painting or statuary more indispensible II. than that of balancing the figures, and placing them with Pant II. the greatest exactness on their proper center of gravity. A figure, which is not justly balanced, is ugly ; because it conveys the disagreeable ideas of fall, harm, and pain'.

A disposition or turn of mind, which qualifies a man to rise in the world, and adrance his fortune, is entitled to esteem and regard, as has already been explained. It may, therefore, naturally be supposed, that the actual possession of riches and authority will have a considerable influence over these sentiments.

Let us examine any hypothesis, by which we can account for the regard paid to the rich and powerful: We shall find none satisfactory, but that which derives it from the enjoyment communicated to the spectator by the images of prosperity, happiness, ease, plenty, authority, and the gratification of every appetite. Self-love, for instance, which some affect so much to consider as the source of every sentiment, is phainly insufficient for this purpose. Where no good-will or friendship appears, it is difficult to conceive on what we can found our hope of advantage from the riches of whers; though we naturally respect the rich, even before they discover any such favourable disposition towards us.

We are affected with the same sentiments, when we lie so much out of the sphere of their activity, that they cannot aven be supposed to possess the power of serving us. A misoner of war, in all civilised mations, is treated with a regard suited to his condition; and riclees, it is evident, go far towards fixing the condition of any person. If lirth and
naturally engace the Affertions evorn of thone whone Virtue or Situation furt vents any I esign of ever giving Bmployment to those T"alents. The Imagination is pheasid with these (onnequons, and entering with Satistimetion into the Ideas of so favourite an Enjoyment, feels a Complacerncy amb fiond-will towards the Porssn. A like: l'rimiple operating more extenswely is the eromral source of mon's Affertion and Approbation.]

1 All ment are equally liabluto fain and discase and subkrins; abl may
 cumstances, as they make modiutinetom betwern ont man and another, are wo
 content. hat "mblarimy our ruwn
species to suberior ombs. it, is a reay
 all be so lialule to distando and intirmitios: and divimen amordimery employ this topice, in order to deptes sult-wheeit and vianits. Thery would hat ex mone sumera, if the common bent of omr thourlats wore mot burpetablly turned $t a r$ enmplase omrendres witl others. 'The informitios of oll! ago are
 the youmer maty take place. The kinges fril is induntrimaly eoncealenl, becauso it afferts oflors, atml is offen transmitlent to lenterity. The case is nearly the samo with such diseasets as eonvey any nathbeons or trightful imatges; tho eublepsy, for instamed, uleers, sorts, seals, utc.
quality cuter for a share, fhis still affords us an arcmoment to onle pestut purpose. For what is it we call a man of birth, but one who is descended fiom a loner stee oession of rich and
si. T. V.

Paktil. powerful ameestors, and who atopuires onr esteem by his commexion with persons whom we atmon ? IVis amerstors, therefore, though dead, are respected, in some metwire, "on areount of their riches; and consequently, without any kind of expectation.

But not to go so far as prisoners of war or the demd, to find instances of this disinterested remad of riches; we may only observe, with a little attention, those phernomena, which oceur in common life and convervation. A man, who is himself, we shall sippose, of a eompetent fortmme, and of mo profession, being introduced to a company of strangers, naturally treats them with difierent degrees of respect, as lie is informed of their different fortumes and conditions; thongh it is impossible that he can so suddenly popose, and perhaps he wonld not aceept of, any pecraniary alvantare from them. A trareller is always admitted into company, and meets with civility, in probortion as his train and equipase speak him a man of mat or moderate fortme. In short, the difterent ranks of men are, in a great measme, regulated by riches ; and that with remard to smperiors as well as inferiors, straneres as well as anduaintance.

What remains, therefore, but to conclude, that, as riches are desired for ourselves only as the ate iais of gratifying ome appetites, cither at present or in some imaginary future period; they beget esteem in others mercly from their having that influence. This indeed is their very mature or essence: They have a direct reference to the commodities, conveniencies, and pleasures of life: The bill of a banker, who is broke, or gold in a desart island, would otherwise be fnll as valuable. When we approach a man, who is, as we sar, it his ease, we are presented with the pleasing ideas of phaty, satisfaction, cleanliness, warmth; a chearfind house, elecoment fimniture, ready service, and whaterer is desirable in meat, drink, or apparel. On the contrary, when a poor man appears, the disagreeable images of want, penury. hard labour, dirty furniture, coarse on ragred danths, namseoms meat and distasteful liquor, immediately strike our faney. What else do we mean by saying that one is rich, the other poor? And as regard or contempt is the natural comsequence

SECT. of those different situations in life; it is easily seen what I. additional light and evidence this throws on our preceding theory, with regard to all moral distinctions. ${ }^{1}$

A man, who has cured limself of all ridiculons prepossessions, and is fully, sincerely, and steadily convinced, from experience as well as philosophy, that the difference of fortune makes less difference in happiness than is vulgarly imagined ; such a one does not measure out degrees of esteem aecording to the rent-rolls of his acquaintance. He may, indeed, externally pay a superior deference to the great lord above the rassal; because riches are the most convenient, being the most fixed and determinate, source of distinction: But his internal sentiments are more regulated by the personal characters of men, than by the accidental and capricious farours of fortune.

In most countries of Etrope, fimily, that is, hereditary riches, marked with titles and symbols from the sovereign, is the chief source of distinction. In Exgland, more regard is paid to present opulence and plenty. Each practice has its advantages and disadvantages. Where birth is respected, unactive, spiritless minds remain in haughty indolence, and dream of nothing but pedigrees and genealogies: The generous and ambitious seek honowr and authority and reputation and favour. Where riches are the chief idol, corruption, venality, rapine prevail: Arts, manufactures, commerce, agriculture flourish. The former prejudice being farourable to military virtue is more suited to monarchies. The latter, being the chief spur to industry, agrees better with a republican govermment. And we accordingly find, that each of these forms of government, by varving the wility of those customs, has commonly a proportionable effect on the sentiments of mankind.

[^97]our fellow often cause pity, which has in it a strong mixture of grobl-will. This sontiment of pity is nearly alliedt
 like, with a mixtave of pride. I minl: fuint ont the- phemomenas, as a valumet of - |e"ulation to suld ats are curions with recrart to moral enquirios. It is sufferivit for the prosent purpere to observe in deemerat, that puwer and riehes commonty calla- repuct. poverty and
 bi,ws am? incidents may sometim-s ratise the pitsuions of envy and of pits.

## SECT．VII．－Of Qumlitios immadiatily agrefable to （OB：

Whoever has passed an whine with sorioms melancholy perde，and has observed how shdmbly the combersation was

ぶい「。
VII． anmater，and what sprishtlimess diflusel itself over the


 with it，and naturally eonciliates the grend－will of mankind． No quality，imbed，more reatly commmacates itself to all arombl ；becanse mo one has at grater promensity to disulay itself，in jovial talk and pleazant entertaimment．The flame spratis throurh the whole circle ；and the most sullem and morose are often canght hy it．That the melancholy hate the merry，even thongh Horace says it，I have some difti－ culty to allow：becamse I have always observed，that，where the jollity is momerate and decent，serious people are so mach the more deliohted，as it dissides the glom，with whieh they are commonly onpressed；and gives them an musuall tujoyment．

From this inflace of chearfumese，both to commmaicate itself，and to enerae approbation，we may perceive，that there is another set of mental pmaties，which，without any utility or any tendency to firther sood，either of the com－ mmity or of the possessor，diftuse a satisfaction on the b心－ holders，and procure friendship and regard．Their imme－ diate sensation，to the person possessed of them，is agree－ able：Others enter into the same humowr，and catch the sentiment，by a contagion or natural sympathy：And as we cammet forbear loving whaterer pleases，a kindly emotion arises towards the person，who commmicates so much satis－ liction．He is a more animating spectacle：His presence slifuses over us more serene complacency and enjoyment： Onr imagination，entering into his feelings and disposition， is affected in a more agrecable manner，than if a melancholy， dejected，sullen，anxions temper were presented to ms．Hence the affection and approbation，which attend the former：The arersion and disgust，with which we regard the latter．${ }^{1}$

[^98]
## sEct. Few men would envy the character, which Cesar gives of VII. Cassius.

## He loves no play.

As thou dost, Antnony: He hears no musie: Seldom he smiles; and smiles in such a sort, As if he mock'd himself, and seom'd his spirit That cowld be mord to smile at any thing.

Not only such men, as Cesar adds, are commonly dangerous, but also, having little enjoyment within themselves, they can never become agreeable to others, or contribute to social entertainment. In all polite nations and ages, a relish for pleasure, if accompanied with temperance and decency, is esteemed a considerable merit, even in the greatest men; and becomes still more requisite in those of inferior rank and character. It is an agreeable representation, which a Fresch writer gives of the situation of his own mind in this particular, Virtue I love, says he, without austerity: Pleasure, without effeminacy: And life, without fearing its end. ${ }^{1}$

Who is not struck with any signal instance of GREATNESS of MIND or Dignity of Character; with elevation of sentiment, disdain of slavery, and with that noble pride and spirit, which arises from conscious virtue? The sublime, says Lovginus, is often nothing but the echo or image of magnanimity; and where this quality appears in any one, even thongh a syllable be not uttered, it excites our applanse and admiration; as may be observed of the famous silence of Ajax in the Odyssey, which expresses more noble distain and resolute indignation, than any language can convey. ${ }^{2}$

Were I Alexander, said Parmenio, I womld accept of these affers mude ly Darics. So uould I ton, replied Alexander, were I Parmenio. This saying is admirable, says Longinus, from a like prineiple. ${ }^{3}$

Go! cries the same hero to his soldiers, when they refused to follow him to the Inides, go thll yonir comutrymen, that yone left Alexandere camplenting the condmest of the world. 'Alfexander,' said the Prince of Coxbé, who always
dre. But these, so fire ats they are natimal, aml universal, make no diflerence between onc matn aml asmothor, am! ean never le the oljeet of lhame. It is atnly when the dixpontion gives at groperenity to any of these disatpreathe. Imseions, that they distisme the eharactor, and by giving uneasimese, com-

Tiy the semtiment of disapprobation to the speretator.
${ }^{2}$ 'J'aime lia vertu. sims rudesse ;
J'aimu: le maisir, sans molesse;
J'aime la vie, \& n'en crains point la fin.' sti. Evicmiond.

2 ('ap. 3 .
${ }^{3}$ Id.m.
admired this passage, 'abandomed by has sothers, amomer - Bartarians, mot yet fully submbed, felt in himself stuch a

SECT.
VII. - dignity and right of empire, that he combl mot believe it "possible, that any one wond refuse to ohey him. Whather
 ' inalifferent to him: Wherever he fomd men, he fancied he 'should find subjeects.'

The 'confident of Medea in the tramedy recommembs cantion and submission; and emmerating all the distresses of that unfortunate heroine, asks her, what she has to support her against her mamerous and implacable memies. Mysetf, replies she; Myself, I say, and it is enomyh. Bombeau justly recommends this passage as an instance of true sublime. ${ }^{2}$

When Pirocion, the modest, the gentle Phocion, was led to execution, he turned to one of his fellow-sufferers, who was lamenting his own hard fate. Is it not glory enough for you, says he, that you die with Procion ? ${ }^{3}$

Place in opposition the picture, which Tactocs draws of Viteldius, fallen from empire, prolonging his ignominy from a wretched love of life, dedivered over to the merciless rabble; tossed, buffeted, and kicked about; constrained, by their holding a poinard under his chin, to raise his head, and expose himself to every contumely. What abject infany! What low hmmiliation! Yet even here, says the historian, he discovered some symptoms of a mind not wholly degenerate. To a tribnane, who insulted him, he replied, I am still your emperor. ${ }^{4}$

We never excuse the absolute want of spirit and dignity of character, or a proper sense of what is due to one's self, in society and the common intereourse of life. This vice constitutes what we properly call meamess; when a man can submit to the basest slavery, in order to gain his ends: fam upon those who abnse him; and degrade himself by intimadies and familiarities with undeserving inferiors. A certain degree of generous mride or self-value is so requisite, that

[^99][^100]SECT.
VII.
the absence of it in the mind displeases, after the samo mamer as the want of a nose, eye, or any of the most material features of the face or members of the body. ${ }^{1}$

The utility of COURAGE, both to the public and to the person possessed of it, is an obvious foundation of merit: But to any one who duly considers of the matter, it will appear, that this quality has a peculiar lustre, which it derives wholly from itself, and from that noble elevation inseparable from it. Its tigare, drawn by painters and by poets, displays, in each feature, a sublimity and daring confidence: which catches the eye, engages the affections. and diffuses, by sympathy, a like sublimity of sentiment over every spectator.

Under what shining colours does Demosthenes ${ }^{2}$ represent Philip; where the orator apologizes for his own administration, and justifies that pertinacions love of liverty. with which he had inspired the Athemiaxs. 'I beheld Phime,' says he, 'he with whom was your contest, resolntely, while ' in pursuit of empire and dominion, exposing hinself to ' every womd; his eye comed, his neck wrested, his amm. ' his thigh pierced, whitever part of his body fortune shomh 'seize on, that cheerfully relinguishings: provided that, with ' what remained, he might live in homour and renown. Am: 'shall it be said, that he, bom in Pelda, a place heretofor, 'mean and ignoble, should be inspired with so high mu ' ambition and thirst of fame: While yon, Athentans. \&o.' These praises excite the most lively mmination; but the views presented by the orator, carry us not, we see, beymul the hero himself, now erev regard the future adrantageons conserquences of his valour.

The martial temper of the Romaxs, inflamed by continual wars, had raiced their estem of comrage so high, that, in their lamenate, it was called virtue, by way of exeellenem and of distinction from all other inoml qualities. The Sumer,

[^101][^102]

 "pheme mare twithis. A smentinent of the historim, which womh somme a little obdly in other mations and other aures.

The serthass, acending to lamonomes, after seatpine their memies, dressed the skin like loother, and wed it as at towel; and wherer had the most of thmen fownds was most esterned among them. So much had martial bravery, in that mation, as well as in man! whers, hesteryed the sentiments of hmanity: a virtue surely much mone asefol and engaging.

It is indend observable, that, among all moultivatend nations, who have not, as yet, had full experience of the adrantages attending bencficener, justice. and the social virtnes, counge is the predominant excellence; what is most celobrated by poets, recommended by parents and instructors, and admired by the public in gemeral. The ethics of Hompra are, in this particular, very different fiom those of Fexflox, his elegant imitator; and such as were woll suited to an age, when one hero, as remarked by Thucrdides, ${ }^{3}$ could ask another, without offence, whether he were a robber or not. Such also, very lately, was the system of ethies, which prevailed in many borbarous parts of Ireland; if we may ardit spexeme, in his julicious aceoment of the state of that kingrom. ${ }^{4}$

Of the same class of virtnes with courage is that undisturbed philosophical TRANOUILLITY, superior to pain, sorrow, anxiety, and each assath of adverse fortune. Conscious of his own virtue, say the philosophers, the sage clevates himself above every aecident of life: and securely placed in the temple of wisdom, looks down on inferion mortals, engaged in pursuit of honours, riches, repatation. and every frivolous enjorment. These pretemsions, mo doubt, when stretched to the utmost, are, by far, tom magnificent for human nature. They carry, howerer, a

[^103][^104]SECT.
\II.
secr. grandeur with them, which seizes the spectator, and strikes III. him with admiration. And the nearer we can approach in practice, to this sublime tranquillity and indifference (for we must distinguish it from a stupid insensibility) the mere secure enjoyment shall we attain within ourselves, and the more greatness of mind shall we discover to the world. The philosophical tranquillity may, indeed, be considered only as a loanch of magnanimity.

Who admires not Socrates; his perpetual serenity and contentment, amidst the greatest poverty and domestic vexations; his resolute contempt of riches, and his magmanimous care of preserving liberty, while he refused all assistance from his friends and disciples, and aroided even the dependence of an obligation? Epictetus had not so much as a door to his little house or hovel; and therefore, soon lost his iron lamp, the only furniture which he had worth taking. But resolving to disappoint all robbers for the future, he supplied its place with an earthen lamp, of which he very peaceably kep, possession ever after.

Among the ancients, the heroes in philosephy, as well as those in war and patriotism, have a grandeur and force of sentiment, which astonishes our narrow souls, and is rashly rejected as extravagant and supernatural. They, in their turn, I allow, would have had equal reason to consider as romantic and incredible, the degree of hmanity, clemency, order, tranquillity, and other social rirtues, to which, in the administration of gorernment, we have attained in modern times, had any one been then able to have made a fair representation of them. Such is the compensation, which nature, or rather edncation, has made in the distribution of excellencies and virtues, in those different ages.

The merit of BENENOLANCE, arising from its ntility, and its tendency to promote the good of mankind, has been already explained, and is, no doult, the source of a considerable part of that esterm, which is so miversally paid to it. But it will also be allowed, that the very softness and tenderness of the sontimnt, its moging endeaments, its fond expressions, its deliate attentions, and all that flow of mutual confidence and regart, which enters into a warm attachment of love and frimblip: It will be allewed, I say, that these feelings, being delightful in themselves, are necessarily communicated to the spectators, and melt them
into the same fondness ame delearry 'The tear naturally atapts in our ere on the apheremsion of a warm semtiment of this natmer: ()ur bereast heaves, our heart is arritatal, and Pery hamane temeter principle of one fiame is set in motion, and erives us the purest amd most satisfatory mioyment.

When peets form deasoriptions of kiasian fiehle, where the blessed inhabitants stand in mo need of earh otheres assistance, they yet represent them as maintaining at contstant interemarse of love and firemelship, ame sooth our fincy with the pleasing imate of these soft and grentle passions. The idea of tember tranguillity in a pastomal Arcados is agreable from a like principle, as has been observerl above.

Whe wonld live amidst perpetual wramoling, ant sewldiner, and motnal reproaches? The roughness and harshomes of these rmotions disturb and displease us: We suffer by eontarion and symmathy; nor can we remain indifiorent spetators, even thonorh eortain, that no peroicions consefuences wonld ever follow from such angry pasions.

As a cortain proof, that the whole merit of benevolence is not derived from its msefulness, we may observe, that, in a kind way of blame, we saly, a person is too foont; when he exceeds his part in society, and carries his attention for others beyond the proper bounds. In like mamer, we sty a man is too high-spirited, too imtpepid, too imelifio pent alout joitume: Ruproathes, whith really, at botiom, imply more siteem tham many panemyries. Bomg acenstomed to rate the merit and demerit of characters chiefly by their nseftul or perniofons tendencies, we camont torbear applying the epithet of blame, when we discover a sentiment, which rises to a degree, that is hurtful: But it may happen, at the same time, that its noble elevation, or its engaging tenderness so seizes the heart, as rather to encrease our fiendship and concern for the person. ${ }^{2}$

The amours and attachments of Harry the IVth of Fraxce, during the eivil wars of the league, frequently lurt his interest and his eanse; but all the young. at least, and amoroms, who ean sympathize with the tender passions, will allow, that this very weakness (for they will readily call it

[^105]SROT.
111.

SECT, such) chiefly endears that hero, and interests them in his fortunes.

The excessive brarery and resolute infiexibility of Charles the NIIth ruined his own country, and infested all his neighbours; but have such splendour and greatness in their appearance, as strikes us with admiration : and they might, in some degree, be eren approved of, if they betray not sometimes too evident symptoms of madness and disorder.

The Athenans pretended to the first invention of agriculture and of laws; and always valued themselves extremely on the benefit thereby procured to the whole race of mankind. They also boasted, and with reason, of their warlike enterprizes; particularly against those innumerable fleets and armies of Persians, which invaded Greece during the reigns of Daries and Xerafs. But though there be $1: 0$ comparison, in point of utility, between these peaceful and military honours; yet we find, that the orators, who have writ such elaborate panegyrics on that famons city, have chiefly trimphed in displaying the warlike atchievements. Lisias, Thucrdides, Plato, and Isocrates discover, all of them, the same partiality; which, though condemned by calm reason and reflection, appears so natural in the mind of man.

It is observable, that the great charm of poetry consists in lively pictures of the sublime passions, magnanimity, courage, disdain of fortune; or those of the tender affections, love and friendship; which warm the heart, and diffuse over it similar scutiments and emotions. And though all linds of passion, cron the most disagreeable, such as grief and anger, wre noserved, when excited by poetry, to convey a satisfaction, from a mechanism of mature, not easy to be explained: Yet those more clevated or softer affections have a peculiar influence, and please from more than one canse or principle. Nou to mention, that they alone interest us in the fortune of the persons represented, or commmicate any esteem and affection for their character.

And can it pessibly be doubted, that this talent itself of peets, to move the passions, this PATHETLC and SUBLIME of sentiment, is a very comsiderabld merit; and being enhamed by its extreme maity, may exalt the persom possessed of it, above every charactor of the age in which he lives : The prodence, addras, stantines, and benign government



SE"T. 111. petitor for fame with Vhaino, who lays mothing into the

 of taste, is itself a beanty in any rharacter ; as eonavering Hhe prosest, the most dmable, aml mest imocent of all enjowments.

These are some instances of the several species of merit, that are valued for the immediate pleasure, which they commmicate to the person possessed of them. No views of utility or of finture beneticial consequences enter into this suntinnent of approbation ; yet is it of a kind similar to that other sontiment, which arises from views of a public or private ntility. The same social symathy, we may observe, on fellow-feling with hmman happiness or misery, gives rise to looth; and this amblosy, in all the parts of the present theory, may justly be regarded as a confirmation of it.

## SECT. VIIT.-Of Qualities immediutely ayrepable to Others. ${ }^{1}$

As the mutual shocks, in socioty, and the ompositions of interest and self-love have constrained manlind to establish the laws of justior : in order to preserve the advantages of matual assistance and protection: In like manner, the eternal contrarieties, in compmy, of men's pride and self(\%nenit, have introduced the rules of (:OOD-NANNERS or IOLITENESS: in order to fiscilitate the intercourse of minds, and an undisturbed commerce and conversation. Among well-bred people, a mutual deference is affected: Contempt of others disguised: Authority concealed: Attention解ven to each in his tum : Ind an easy strean of conversation maintained, without rehemence, without interruption, without eagerness for rictory, and withont any airs of superiority. These attentions and regards are immediately agieenhlo to others, abstracted from any consideration of utility or beneficial tendencies: 'I'hey conciliate atiection, promote esterm,

[^106]SECT. and extremely enhance the merit of the person, who regulates
VIII. his behariour by them.

Many of the forms of breeding are arbitrary and casual: But the thing expressed by them is still the same. A Spanrard goes out of his own house before his gnest, to signify that he leares him master of all. In other countries, the landlord walks out last, as a common mark of deference and regard.

But, in order to render a man perfect gome company, he must have WIT and INGENUITY as well as sood-mamers. What wit is, it may not be easy to define; but it is easy surely to determine, that it is a quality immediately agreecuble to others, and communicating, on its first appearance, a lively joy and satisfaction to erery one who has any comprehension of it. The most profound metaphysics, indeed, might be employed, in explaining the various kinds and species of wit; and many classes of it, which are now received on the sole testimony of taste and sentiment, might, perhaps, be resolved into more general principles. But this is sufficient for our present purpose, that it does affect taste and sentiment, and bestoring an immediate enjoyment, is a sure source of approbation and affection.

In countries, where men pass most of their time in conversation, and visits, and assemblies, these companionable qualities, so to speak, are of high estimation, and form a chief part of personal merit. In countries, where men live a more domestic life, and either are employed in business, or amuse themselves in a narrower circle of acquaintance, the more solid qualities are chieffy regarded. Thas. I have often observed, that, among the Frexcin, the first questions, with regard to a stranger, are, Is he polite? Has he wit? In our own comery, the chief parse bestowed, is always that of a good-untural, sensible tellom.

In conversation, the lively spirit of daloge is ngreable, even to those who desire mot to have any share in the discourse: Hence the teller of long stories, or the pompons declaimer, is very little appoved of. But most men desire likewise their turn in the conversation, and regard, with a very evil cye, that loqnowitg, which deprives them of a right they are naturally so jealonsis of.

There is a sort of latmbess limes, frequently to ber met with in company, whe dal much in the matrellons. Their
usual intention is to please and entertain; but as men are most delighted with what they concerive to be truth, these people mistake extremely the menas of pleasing, and incur universal blame. Some indulgomer, however, to lying or fiction is eriven in hemomens storios; lecanse it is there really agrecable and entertaining; and trath is not of any importance.

Eloquence, genius of all kinds, even goom semse, and sonnd reasoning, when it rises to an minent derreer, and is employed upm suljeets of any considerable dignity ant nice diseerment ; all these endowments sem immediately agreeable, and have a merit distinct from their usefuhess. Rarity, likewise, which so much enhances the priw of every thing, munst set an additional valne on these noble talents of the human mind.

Modesty may be understood in different senses, even abstracted from chastity, which has been alrearly treated of: It sometimes means that tenderness and nicety of honour, that apprehension of blame, that dreal of intrusion or ingury towards others, that Pudor, which is the proper guardian of every kind of virtue, and a sure preservative aquinst viee and corruption. But its most nsual meaning is when it is opposed to impuleuce and arrogunce, and expresses a diffidence of our own judgment, and a due attention and regard for others. In young men chiefly, this quality is a sure sign of good sense; and is also the certain means of angmenting that endowment, by preserving their ears open to instruction, and making them still grasp after new attainments. But it has a farther charm to every spectator ; by flattering every man's vanity, and presenting the appearance of a docile pupil, who receives, with proper attention and respect, every word they utter.

Men have, in general, a much greater propensity to overvalue than under-value themselves; notwithstanding the opinion of Aristotle. ${ }^{1}$ This makes us more jealoris of the exeess on the former side, and causes us to regard, with a peeuliar indulgence, all tendency to modesty and self-diftidence; as esteeming the danger less of talling into any vicious extreme of that nature. It is thus, in countries, where men's bodies are apt to exceed in corpulener, personal

[^107]SECT. beauty is placed in a much greater degree of slenderness, VIII. than in countries, where that is the most usual defect. Being so often struck with instances of one species of deformity, men think they can never keep at too great a distance from it, and wish always to have a leaning to the opposite side. In like manner, were the door opened to self-praise, and were Montaigne's maxim observed, that one should say as frankly, I have sense, I have learning, I have courage, beauty, or wit; as it is sure we often think so ; were this the case, I say, every one is sensible, that such a flood of impertinences would break in upon us, as would render society wholly intolerable. For this reason custom has established it as a rule, in common societies, that men should not indulge themselves in self-praise, or even speak much of themselves; and it is only among intimate friends or people of very manly behaviour, that one is ailowed to do himself justice. No body finds fault with Matrice, Prince of Orange, for his reply to one, who asked him, whom he esteemed the first general of the age, The marquis of Spinola, said he, is the second. Though it is observable, that the selfpraise implied is here better implied, than if it had been directly expressed, without any cover or disguise.

He must be a very superficial thinker, who imagines, that all instances of mutual deference are to be understood in earnest, and that a man would be more esteemable for being ignorant of his own merits and accomplishments. A small bias towards modesty, even in the internal sentiment, is favourably regarded, especially in young people ; and a strong bias is required, in the outward behaviour: But this exclndes not a noble pride and spirit, which may openly display itself in its full extent, when one lies under calumny or oppression of any kind. The generous contumacy of Socrates, as Cicero calls it, has been highly celebrated in all ages ; and when joined to the nsual modesty of his behavion, forms a shining character. Iphicrates, the Athenian, being accused of betraying the interests of his country, asked his accuser, Would you, says he, have, on a like occasion, been guitty of that crime? By no means, replied the other. And can you then imagine, eried the hero, that Iphicrates would be guilty? ${ }^{1}$ In short, a generous spirit and self-value, well founded, decently disguised, and courageously supported under dis-

[^108]tress and eahmmy, is a great excellency, and semp to derive its merit from the noble elevation of its sentiment, or its immediate agreeablemess to its possessor. In orthary characters, we approve of a lias towards monlesty, which is a quality immediately agrecable to others: The vicions excess of the former virtne, namely, insolence or hanghtiness, is immediately disagremable to others: The excess of the latter is so to the possessor. Thus are the boundaries of these duties adjusted.

A desire of fame, reputation, or a character with others, is so far from being blameable, that it seems inseparable, from virtue, genins, capacity, and a generons or moble disposition. An attention even to trivial matters, in order to $p$ lease, is also expected and demanded by society; and no one is surprised, if he find a man in company, to observe a greater elegance of dress and more pleasant flow of conversation, than when he passes his time at home, and with his own family. Wherein, then, consists VANITY, which is so justly regarded as a fault or imperfection. It seems to consist rhiefly in such an intemperate display of our advantages, honours, and accomplishments; in such an importmate and open demam of praise and admiration, as is offensive to nthers, and oncroaches too far on their secret vanity and ambition. It is besides a sure symptom of the want of true dignity and elevation of mind, which is so great an ornament in any character. For why that impatient desire of apphase ; as if you were not justly entitled to it, and might not reasonably expect, that it would for ever attend you? Why so anxions to inform us of the great company which you have kept; the obliging things which were said to you; the honours, the distinctions which you met with; as if these were not things of course, and what we could readily, of ourselves, have imagined, withont being told of them?

DECENCY, or a proper regard to age, sex, character, and station in the world, may be ranked among the qualitics, which are immediately agreeable to others, and which, by that means, acquire praisc and approbation. An etteminate behaviour in a man, a rough manner in a woman; these are noly becanse masuitable to each character, and different from the qualities which we expect in the sexes. It is as if a tragedy abounded in comic beanties, or a comedy in tragnc. The dispropertions hurt the eye, and convey a disagreeable

SECT. Sentiment to the spectators, the source of blame and disapVIII. probation. This is that indecorrm, which is explained so much at large by Cicero in his Offices.

Among the other virtues, we may also give CLEANLINESS a place; since it naturally renders us agreeable to others, and is no inconsiderable source of love and affection. No one will deny, that a negligence in this particular is a fault; and as faults are nothing but smaller vices, and this fault can have no other origin than the measy sensation, which it excites in others ; we may, in this instance, seemingly so trivial, clearly discover the origin of moral distinctions, about which the learned have involved themselves in such mazes of perplexity and error.

But besides all the agreeable qualities, the origin of whose beauty, we can, in some degree explain and account for, there still remains something mysterious and inexplicable, which conveys an immediate satisfaction to the spectator, but how, or why, or for what reason, he camnot pretend tu determine. There is a MANNER, a grace, an ease, a genteelness, an I-know-not-what, which some men possess above others, which is very different from external beanty and comeliness, and which, howerer, catches our affection almost as suddenly and powerfully. And though this manni be chiefly talked of in the passion between the sexes, where the concealed magic is easily explained, get surely much of it prevails in all our estimation of characters, and forms no inconsiderable part of personal merit. This class of accomplishments, therefore, must be trusted entirely to the blind, but sure testimony of taste and sentiment; and must be considered as a part of ethics, left by nature to bafite all the pride of philusophy, and make her sensible of her narrow boundaries and slender acquisitions.

We approve of another, because of his wit, politeness, modesty, decency, or any agreeable quality which he possesses; althongh he be not of our acquaintance, nor has ever given us any entertainment, by means of these accomplishments. The idea, which we form of their effect on his acquaintance, has an agrecable influence on our imagination, and gives us the sentiment of approbation. This principle enters into all the judgments, which we form concerning mamers and cliaracters.

## SECT. IX.- ('omblusion.

plliti 1.
It may justly appear surprising, that any man, in so late an are, shombld find it reguisite to powe, ly elaborato reasoning, 'that PBRSONAL MERI'T comsists altogrether in the pressession of mental qualities, nesefut or agrepathe to the persen himself or to others. It might be expected, that this principle would have oceurred even to the first rude, mpractised enquirers concerning monals, and been received from its own evidence, withont any argument or disputation. Whatever is valuable in any kim, so maturally classes itsolf under the division of usefin or agrepable, the ntie or the dulef, that it is not easy to imagine, why we shouk ever seck firther, or consider the question as a matter of wice research or enquiry. And as every thing useful or agreeable must possess these qualities with regard either to the person himself or to others, the compleat delineation or description of merit seems to be performed as naturally as a shadow is cast by the sun, or an image is reflected mon water. If the sround, on which the shadow is cast, be not broken and meven; nor the surface, from which the image is reflected, disturbed and confused; a just figure is immediately presented, without any art or attention. And it seems a reasonable presumption, that systems and hypotheses have perverted our natural moderstanting: when a theory, so simple and obvious, could so long have escaped the most claborate examination.

But however the case may have fared with philosophy ; in common life, these principles are still implicitly maintained, nor is any other topic of praise or blame ever recurred to, when we employ any panegyric or satire, any applanse or censure of human action and behavion. If we observe men, in every intercourse of business or pleasure, in every discomse and conversation; we shall find them no where, exerpt in the schools, at any loss upon this subject. What so matural, for instance, as the following dialogne? Yon are very happy, we shall suppose one to say, addressing himself to another, that you have given your daughter to Cleanthes.

[^109]sECT. He is a man of honour and humanity. Every one, who las

IN.
$H_{\Delta R T} I$. any intercourse with him, is sure of fair and kind treatment. ${ }^{1}$ I congratulate you too, says another on the promising expectations of this son-in-law ; whose assiduous application to the study of the laws, whose quick penetration and early knowledge both of men and business, prognosticate the greatest honours and advancement. ${ }^{2}$ You surprise me, replies a third, when you talk of Cleanthes as a man of business and application. I met him lately in a circle of the gayest company, and he was the very life and soul of our conversation: So much wit with good manners; so much gallantry without affectation ; so much ingenious knowledge so genteelly delivered, I have never before observed in any one. ${ }^{3}$ You would admire him still more, says a fourth, if you knew him more familiarly. That chearfulness, which you might remark in him, is not a sudden flash struck out by company: It runs through the whole tenor of his life, and preserves a perpetual serenity on his countenance, and tranquillity in his soul. He has met with severe trials, misfortunes as well as dangers ; and by his greatness of mind, was still superior to all of them. ${ }^{4}$ The image, gentlemen, which you have here delineated of Cleanthes, cry'd I, is that of accomplished merit. Each of you has given a stroke of the pencil to his figure; and you have unawares exceeded all the pictures drawn by Gratian or Castiglione. A philosopher might select this character as a model of perfect virtue.

And as every quality, which is useful or agreeable to ourselves or others, is, in common life, allowed to be a part o personal merit; so no other will ever be received, where men judge of things by their natural, unprejudiced reason, without the delusive glosses of superstition and false religion. Celibacy, fasting, penance, mortification, self-denial, humility, silence, solitude, and the whole train of monkish virtues; for what reason are they every where rejected by men of sense, but becanse they serve to no mamer of purpose; neither advance a man's fortume in the world, nor render him a more valuable member of society : neither qualify him for the entertainment of comprany, nor increase his power of self-enjoyment? We observe, on the contrary, that they

[^110][^111]cross all these desiathe ends; stupify the muderstaminer and harden the heart, whemer the fancy and some the temper. We justly, therefore, transfir them to the oppesite column,

SB: "T.
I.

PakT 1 and phace them in the catalognont viess; mor has any superstition force sufficient among men of the word, to fervert entirely these natural sentimments. A groomy, hair-brained enthusiast, after his death, may have a pare in the calondar; but will searcely ever be admitted, when alive, into intimacy and society, except by those who are as delirious and dismal as himself.

It seems a lappiness in the present theory, that it enters not into that vulgar dispute concerning the degrees of benevolence or self-love, which prevail in haman nature ; a dispute which is never likely to have any issue, both becaus: men, who have taken part, are not easily eonvinced, and becanse the phanomena, which can be produced on either side, are so dispersed, so uncertain, and subject to so many interpretations, that it is scarcely possible aceurately to compare them, or draw from them any determinate inference or cemclusion. It is sufficient for our present purpose, if it be allowed, what surely, without the greatest absurdity, camot be disputed, that there is some benevolenee, however small, infused into our bosom ; some spark of friendship for human kind; some particle of the dore, kneaded into our frame, along with the elements of the wolf and serpent. Let these generous sentiments be supposed ever so weak; let them be insufficient to move even a hand or finger of our bedy; they must still direct the determinations of our mind, and where every thing else is equal, produce a cool preference of what is useful and serviceable to mankind, above what is pernicions and dangerous. A moral distinction, therefore, immediately arises; a general sentiment of blame and approbation ; a tendency, however faint, to the objects of the one, and at proportionable aversion to those of the other. Nor will those reasoners, who so earnestly maintain the predominant selfishness of human kind, be any wise scandalized at luaring of the weak sentiments of virtue, implanted in our nature. On the contrary, they are found as rady to maintain the one tenet as the other; and their spirit of satire (for such it appears, rather than of cormption) naturally gives rise to both opinions; which have, indeed, a great and almost an indissoluble connexion together.

SECT. Avarice, ambition, vanity, and all passions vulgarly, though IX. improperly, comprized under the denomination of self-love, are here excluded from our theory concerning the origin of morals, not because they are too weak, but because they have not a proper direction, for that purpose. The notion of morals, implies some sentiment common to all mankind, which recommends the same object to general approbation, and makes every man, or most men, agree in the same opinion or decision concerning it. It also implies some sentiment, so universal and comprehensive as to extend to all mankind, and render the actions and conduct, even of the persons the most remote, an object of applause or censure, according as they agree or disagree with that rule of right which is established. These two requisite circumstances belong alone to the sentiment of humanity here insisted on. The other passions produce, in every breast, many strong sentiments of desire and aversion, affection and hatred; but these neither are felt so much in common, nor are so comprehensive, as to be the foundation of any general system and established theory of blame or approbation.

When a man denominates another his enemy, his rival, his antagonist, his adversary, he is understood to speak the language of self-love, and to express sentiments, peculiar to himself, and arising from his particular circumstances and situation. But when he bestows on any man the epithets of vicious or octions or depraved, he then speaks another language, and expresses sentiments, in which, he expects, all his andience are to concur with him. He must here, therefore, depart from his private and particular situation, and must chuse a point of riew, common to him with others: He must move some universal principle of the human frame, and touch a string, to which all mankind have an accord and symphony. If he mean, therefore, to express, that this man possesses qualities, whose temency is pernicious to society, he has chosen this common point of view, and has touched the principle of humanity, in which every man, in some degree, concurs. While the human heart is compounded of the same elements as at present, it will never be wholly indifferent to public grood, nor entirely maffected with the tendency of characters and mamers. And though this affection of humanity may mot generally be estecmed so strong as vanity or ambition, yet, being cemmon to all men,
it cam alone be the foundation of morals, or of any crmeral system of hame or praise. Ond man's ambition is met another's ambition ; nor will the same event or objecet satisfy

$$
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$$ both: But the hamanity of ome man is the lomamity of every one; and the same object tonches this passion in all hmman creatures.

But the sentiments, which arise from homanity, are not only the same in all homan ereatures. and produce the same: appobation or censure ; but they also comprehem all homan areatures; nor is there any one whose eonduct or character is not, by their means, an object, to every one, of consure or approbation. Oi the contrary, those other passions, commonly denominated selfish, both producedifferent sentiments in each individual, according to his particular situation; and also contemplate the greater part of mankind with the utmost indifference and unconcern. Whoever has a high regard and esteem for me flatters my vanity; whoever expressies contempt mortifies and displeases me: But as my name is known but to a small part of mankind, there are few, who eome within the sphere of this passion, or excit", on its account, cither my affection or disgust. But if you represent a tyranical, insolent, or barlarons belaviour, in any comotry or in any age of the world: I soon carry my aye to the pernicious tendency of such a conduct, and find the sentiment of repugnance and displeasure towards it. No character can be so remote as to be, in this light, wholly indifferent to me. What is beneticial to society or to the person himself must still be preferred. And every quality or action, of every human being, must, by this means, be ranked under some class or denomination, expressive of general censure or applause.

What more, therefore, can we ask to distinguish the sentiments, dependant on humanity, from those connected with any other passion, or to satisfy us, why the former are the migin of morals, not the latter? Whaterer conduct gains my approbation, by touching my humanity, procures also the applanse of all mankind, by affecting the same principle in them: But what serves my avarice or ambition pleases these passions in me alone, and affects not the avarice and ambition of the rest of mankind. There is no circmmstance of conduct in any man, provided it have a beneficial tendence, that is not agrecable to my humanity, honever remote the

SECT. person: But every man, so far removed as neither to cross
IN. nor serve my avarice and ambition, is regarded as wholly
Part I. indifferent by those passions. The distinction, therefore, between these species of sentiment being so great and evident, language must soon be moulded upon it, and must invent a peculiar set of terms, in order to express those universal sentiments of censure or approbation, which arise from humanity, or from views of general usefulness and its contrary. VIRTUE and VICE become then known : Morals are recognized: Certain general ideas are framed of human conduct and behaviour: Such measures are expected from men, in such situations: This action is determined to be conformable to our abstract rule ; that other, contrary. And by such universal principles are the particular sentiments of self-love frequently controuled and limited. ${ }^{1}$

From instances of popular tumults, seditions, factions, panics, and of all passions, which are shared with a multitude; we may learn the influence of society, in exciting and supporting any emotion; while the most ungovernable disorders are raised, we find, by that means, from the slightest and most frivolous occasions. Solon was no very cruel, though perhaps, an unjust legislator, who punished neuters in civil wars; and few, I believe, would, in such cases, incur the penalty, were their affection and discourse allowed sufficient to absolve them. No selfishness, and scarce any philosophy, have their force sufficient to support a total coolness and indifference; and he must be more or less than man, who kindles not in the common blaze. What

1 It seems certain, both from reason and experifrice, that a rude, untaught savage regulates whiefly his love amd hatred by the ideas of private utility and injury, and has hut faint eonerptions of a gancral rule or system of behaviour. The man who stinds opposite to him in battle, he hates heartily, mot only for the present moment, which is almost unavoidable. but for ever after; nor is he sitivfied withont the most extrome panishment and vangeance. But we, acustomed to socioty, amed to more enlared reflations, consiler, that this man is serving his own comntry and commmenty; that any man. in the same situation, would ilo the same: that we curselves, in like cirrumstanese, observe a like condueq; that, in reneral human wodety is best
supported on such maxims: And ly these suppositions ant views, wo eorrect. in some measure, our ruder and narrower patsions. And though much of our friendship and emmity be still regulated by private considerations of benefit and harm, we pay, at least, this homate to fremeral rules, which we are arerostomed to respeet, that wo commonly bervert our adversary's conduct, by imputing malice or injustice to him, in orter to give vent to those passions, which arise trom self-love and private interst. When the heart is full of rage. it nover wants pretences of this nature : though sometimes as frivolons. ats those from which horace, being atmost crushad lyy the fall of a tree. atteets to aternse of parricide the first planter of it .
wonder, then, that moral sentiments are fonnd of such influsuce in life; thengh springing from principhes, which may dppear, at first sight, somewhat small and delicata: But

SES"
1.
l'aki 1. these pinciples, we must remark, are soedal and miversal: They form, in a manner, the party of hmman-kind against viee or disorder, its common enemy: And as the benevolent concern for others is diffised, in at greater or less degree, over all men, and is the same in all, it oceurs more frequently in diseourse, is cherished by society and eonversation, and the blame and approbation, conserguent on it, are thereby rouzed from that lethargy, into which they are probably lulled, in solitary and uncultivated nature. Other passions, though perhaps originally stronger, yet being sellish and private, are often overpowered by its force, and yicld the dominion of our breast to those social and public prineiples.

Another spring of our constitution, that brings a great addition of force to moral sentiment, is, the love of fame; which rules, with such uncontrolled authority, in all generous minds, and is often the grand object of all their designs and modertakings. By our continual and earnest pursuit of a character, a name, a reputation in the world, we bring our own deportment and conduct frequently in review, and consider how they appear in the eyes of those who approach and regard us. This constant labit of surveying ourselves, as it were, in reflection, keeps alive all the sentiments of right and wrong, and begets, in noble natures, a certain reverence for themselves as well as others; which is the surest guardian of every virtue. The animal conveniencics and pleasures sink gradually in their value ; while every inward beanty and moral grace is studiously aequired, and the mind is atcomplished in every perfection, which can adorn or embellish a rational creature.

Here is the most perfect morality with which we are acquainted: Here is displayed the force of many sympathies. Our moral sentiment is itself a feeling chiefly of that nature: And our regard to a character with others seems to arise only from a care of preserving a character with ourselves; and in order to attain this end, we find it necessary to prop our tottering judgment on the correspondent apmbation of mankind.

But, that we may accommodate matters, and remore, if possible, every difficulty, let us allow all thes monsonings to

SECT. be false. Let us allow, that, when we resolve the pleasure,

IN.
Part I. humanity and sympathy, we have embraced a wrong hypothesis. Let us confess it necessary to find some other explication of that applause, which is paid to objects, whether inanimate, animate, or rational, if they have is tendency to promote the welfare and advantage of mankind. However difficult it be to conceive, that an object is approved of on account of its tendency to a certain end, while the end itself is totally indifferent; let us swallow this absurdity, and consider what are the consequences. The preceding delineation or definition ${ }^{1}$ of PERSONAL MERIT must still retain its evidence and authority : It must still be allowed, that every quality of the mind, which is useful or agreentile to the person himself or to others, communicates a pleasme to the spectator, engages his esteem, and is admitted under the honourable denomination of virtue or merit. Are not justiee, fidelity, honour, veracitr, allegiance, chastity, esteemed solely on account of their tendency to promote the good of society? Is not that tendency inseparable from humanity, benevolence, lenity, generosity, gratitude, moderation, tenderness, friendship, and all the other social virtues? Can it possibly be doubted, that industry, discretion, frugality, secrecy, order, perseverance, forethought, judgment, and this whole class of virtues and accomplishments, of which many pages would not contain the catalogne; can it be doubted, I say, that the tendency of these qualities to promote the interest and happiness of their possessor, is the sole foundation of their merit? Who can dispute that a mind, which supports a perpetual serenity and chearfulness, a noble diguity and undamented spirit, a tender affection and goorwill to all around; as it has more enjoyment within itself, is also a more ammating and rojoicing spectacle, than if dejected with melancholy, tormentol with anxicty, irritated with rage, or sumk into the most abject baseness and degeneracy? Aml as to the qualities, immediately aprepoble to others, they speak snfieciently for themselves; and he mast be mhitppy, indeed, rither in his own temper, or in his situation and eompany, who has never perceived the chamms of a fitcetions wit on flowing affability, of a rlelicate modesty on decent genteelness of address and manner.

[^112]I am sensible, that nothing can be more muphilesophemal than to be positive or denmationl on any suljeget ; and that, wem if ercessime seppticism conth be manitament, it womld met be more destrietive to all just reasoming and ompuiry. I ann comvined, that, where men are the most sure and arrogate they are commonly the most mistaken, and have there given rains to passion, withont that proper deliberation and sumpence, which can alone secure them from the eremsest ahsurdities. Yet, I must confess, that this emmeration puts the matter in so strong a light, that I camme, at prosem, be more assured of any truth, which I learn from reasomine and argument, than that personal merit consists mentely in the nsefulness or agreeableness of cqualities to the prison himself possessed of them, or to others, who have any intercourse with him. But when I reflect, that, though the bulk and figure of the earth have been measmed and dolineated, thongh the motions of the tides have been accounted for, the order and ceconomy of the heavenly bodies subjecten to their proper laws, and INFINITE itself reduced to calconlation; yet men still dispute concerning the fomdation of their moral duties: When I reflect on this, I say, I fall back into diffidence and scepticism, and suspect, that an hypotheris, so obvious, had it been a true one, would, long tre now, have been received by the unamons suffinge and consent of mankind.
PART II.

Having expressed the moral appobation attending merit or virtue, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ there remains nothing, but briefly to consider onr interested obligation to it, and to enquire, whether every man, who has any regard to his own happiness and welfare, will not best find his account in the practice of every moral duty. If this can be clearly ascertained from the foregoing theory, we shall have the satisfaction to reflect, that we have adrancel principles, which not only, it is hoped, will stame the test of reasoning and enquiry, but may contribute to the amendment of men's lives, and their improvement in morality and social virtue. And though the philosophical truth if any proposition by no means depends on its tendener to promote the interests of society; yet a man has but a bad grace, who deliver's a theory, however true, which, he must

[^113]sect. coufess, leads to a practice dangerons and pernicious. Why
IX. rake into those corners of nature, which spread a nuisance

Part II. all around? Why dig up the pestilence from the pit, in which at is buried? The ingenuity of your researches may be admired; but your systems will be detested: And mankind will agree, if they cannot refute them, to sink them, at least, in eternal silence and oblivion. Truths, which are pernicious to society, if any such there be, will yield to errors, which are salutary and advantageous.

But what philosophical truths can be more advantageous to society, than those here delivered, which represent virtue in all her gemine and most engaging charms, and make us approach her with ease, familiarity, and affection? The dismal dress falls off, with which many divines, and some philosophers have covered her; and nothing appears but gentleness, humanity, beneficence, affability; nay even, at proper intervals, play, frolic, and gaiety. She tallis not of useless austerities and rigours, suffering and self-denial. She declares, that her sole purpose is, to make her votaries and all mankind, during every instant of their existence, if possible, cheerful and happy; nor does she ever willingly part with any pleasure but in hopes of ample compensation in some other period of their lives. The sole trouble, which she demands, is that of just calculation, and a steady preference of the greater happiness. And if any austere pretenders approach her, enemies to joy and pleasure, she either rejects them as hypocrites and deceivers; or if she admit them in her train, they are ranked however, among the least favoured of her votaries.

And, indeed, to drop all figurative expression, what hopes can we ever have of engaging mankind to a practice, which we confess full of austerity and rigour? Or what theory of morals can ever serve any useful purpose, unless it can show, by a particular detail, that all the duties, which it recommends, are also the true interest of each individual! The peculiar advantage of the foregoing system seems to be, that it furnishes proper mediums for that purpose.

That the virtnes which are immediately useful or agipeable to the person possessed of them, are desirable in a view to self-interest, it would surely be superfluous to prove. Moralists, indeed, may spare thomselves all the pains, which they often take in recommemding these duties. To what purpose collect arguments to evince, that temperance is
advantagens, and the excesses of pheatsure hart ful? Whom it appears, that these excesses are only denominated such, beraluse they are hurtful; and that, if unlimited use of strong liquors, for instaner, no more impaired health or the faculties of mind and body than the use of air or water, it would not be a whit more vicions or blameable.

It serems equally superfhous to prove, that the compernionwhl virtues of grood mamers and wit, derency and gentendmess. are more dexirable than the contrary qualities. Vanity alone, without any other consideration, is a sufficient motive to make us wish for the possession of these accomplishments. Noman was ever willingly defieient in this particular. All our failures here proceed from bad education, want of calpadity, or a perverse and umpliable disposition. Would you have your company coveted, admired, followed; rather than hated, despised, avoided? Can any one serionsly deliberate in the case? As no enjoyment is sincere, withont some refurence to company and society; so no society can be agreeable or even tohrable, where a man feels his presence unweleome, and diseovers all around him symptoms of disgust and aversion.

But why, in the greater society or confederace of mankind, should not the ease be the same as in particnlar clubs and companies? Why is it more doubtful, that the enlarged virtnes of hamanity, generosity, beneficence, are desirable with a view to happiness and self-interest, than the limited endowments of ingenaity and politeness? Are we apprehensive, lest those sucial affections interfere, in a greater and more immediate degree than any other pursuits, with private utility, and camot be gratified, without some important sacrifice of honour and advantage? If so, we are but ill instructed in the nature of the hmman passions, and are more influenced by verbal distinctions than by real differences.

Whatever contradiction maly vulgarly be suppesed between the selfish and social sentiments or dispositions, they are really no more opposite than selfish and ambitious. silfish and revengeful, selfish and vain. It is requisite, that there be an original propensity of some kind, in order to be a basis, to self-love, by giving a relish to the objects of its pursuit; and none more fit for this purpose than benevolence or humanity. The groods of fortune are spent in one eratification or another: The miser, who accumulates his ammal income, and lends it out at interest, hiss realy pent it in

SECT. the gratification of his avarice. And it would be difficult to show, why a man is more a loser by a generous action, than by any other method of expence; since the utmost which he can attain, by the most elabcrate selfishness, is the indulgence of some affection.

Now if life, without passion, must be altogether insipid and tiresome; let a man suppose that he has full power of modelling his own disposition, and let him deliberate what appetite or desire he would choose for the foundation of his happiness and enjoyment. Every affection, he would observe, when gratified by success, gives a satisfaction proportioned to its force and violence: but besides this adrantage, common to all, the immediate feeling of benevolence and friendship, humanity and kindness, is sweet, smooth, tender, and agreeable, independent of all fortune and accidents. These virtues are besides attended with a pleasing consciousness or remembrance, and keep us in hmour with ourselves as well as others; while we retain the agreeable reflection of having done our part towards mankind and society. And though all men show a jealousy of our success in the pursuits of avarice and ambition ; yet are we almost sure of their good-will and grood-wishes, so long as we persevere in the paths of virtue, and employ ourselves in the execution of generous plans and purposes. What other passion is there where we shall find so many advantages mited; an agreeable sentiment, a pleasing consciousness, a good reputation? But of these truths, we may observe, men are, of themselves, pretty much convinced; nor are they deficient in their duty to society, because they would not wish to be generons, friendly, and humane; but because they do not feel themselves such.

Treating vice with the greatest candour, and making it all possible concessions, we must acknowledge, that there is not, in any instance, the smallest pretext for giving it the preference above virtue, with a view to self-interest; except, perhaps, in the case of justice, where a man, taking things in a certain light, may often scem to be a loser by his integrity. And though it is allowed, that, withont a regard to property, no society could subsist ; yet, according to the imperfect way in which hmman affairs are conducted, a sensible knave, in particular incidents, may think, that an act of iniquity or indidelity will make a considerable andition to his fortme, withont cansing any considmahe breach in
the social union amb comformatary．That lumesty is the bast

 himself with mast wishom，whe wheners the engereal rald． aml takes allantarn of all tha wexptions．

I munt confese，that，if a man think，that this remaminer mobl mplies an answer，it will bu a little dibloult to finl
 If his heart rebeh net against such pernicions maxims，if ha fied no melnctance to the thonghts of vilhany on basmes．Jow has imbed lost a comsiderable motime to virthe：aml we may expeet，that his practice will be answerahbe to his amen－ lation．But in all ingenuous matures，the antigathy to treachery and rogucry is toe strong to be comnterbabameen by any views of profit or pecmiary admatare．Inward prace of mind，conscionsuess of integrity，a satisfactory rid－ view of ome own contuct；these are ciremantances rory requisite to happiness，and will be cherished and enltivaten by every lomest man，who finls the importance of them．

Such a me has，besides，the freguent satisfaction of semper lanaes，with all their pretanded cmming and abilitirs．be－ trayd by their own maxims：and while they furnse to cheat with moderation and secrecy，a tempting incident on－ curs，nature is frail，and the？give into the smare：whemer they ean never extricate thenselves，withont a total loss of remtation，and the forfeiture of all future trust amd ronti－ dence with mankind．

But were they ever so secret and successful，the honest man，if he has any tincture of philosophy，or even common observation and reffection，will diseover that they themselves are，in the end，the greatest dupes，and have sacrificed the invaluable enjoyment of a character，with themselves at lasi， for the acquisition of worthless tovs and gewerws．lfow little is requisite to supply the mocessitios of mature？And in a view to plemsure，what comparison between the monnoght satisfaction of conrersation，societr，study，wem howlth amb the common beanties of nature but abore all the patefnl reflection on one＇s own conduct：What comparisun，I sily， between these，and the fererish，cmptramsuments of luxury and expence？These natural phasmes，intman，ate really withont price；both becanse they are below all price in their attainment，and abow it in therir mjoyment．
rOL．IT＇．

## Appendix I.-Concerning Moral Sentiment.

APP. I. If the foregoing hypothesis be received, it will now be easy for us to determine the question first started, ${ }^{1}$ concerning the general principles of morals; and thongh we postponed the decision of that question, lest it should then involve us in intricate speculations, which are unfit for moral discourses, we may resume it at present, and examine how far either reason or sentiment enters into all decisions of praise or censure.

One principal foundation of moral praise being supposed to lie in the usefulness of any quality or action ; it is evident, that reason monst enter for a considerable share in all decisions of this kind; since nothing but that faculty can instruct us in the tendency of qualities and actions, and point out their beneficial consequences to society and to their possessor. In many cases, this is an affair liable to great controversy: Doubts may arise; opposite interests may occur; and a preference must be given to one side, from very nice viows, and a small overbalance of utility. This is particonlarly rmarkable in questions with regard to justice: as in, inden, matural to suppose, from that species of utility, which attembs this virtuc." Were every single instanee of justime, like that of benerolence, useful to society; this would lo a more simple state of the case, and seldom liable to great controversy. but as single instances of justice are oftom pernicions in their first and immediate tendency, and as the advantage to suciety results only fiom the observance of the general rule, and from the concurrener and combination of several persons in the same equitable combuet; the case lore becomes more intricate and involved. The varions ciremmstances of sencety; the varions consergenees of any praction; the varions interests, which may be proposed: These, on

[^114]many oecasions, are dombtful, and suljeet foreat discossim
and empuiry. 'The ohjeet of mmicipal laws is to fix all the (questions with regarl to justion: The draters of rivilians; the refle tions of peliticims; the peredents of history and pullie records, are all dieneded to tha same purpuse. Amb a
 the thu determination, amidst surh intrimate doubs arising from obscoure or opmosite utilities.

 of (qualities ant actions; it is mot alone sufliciont to porlme any momal hame or approbation. Utility is only a tembeney to a cortain ead; and were the end totally indiffrent to as, we shombled the same indifference towands the means. It is requisite a sutiment should here display itsplf, in order to give a preference to the nsoful above the pernicions tendencies. This sentiment can be no other than a foeling fore the lappiness of mankind, and a resentment of their misery ; since these are the ditferent ends which virtne and vice have a tombency to promote. Here. therefore, reasm instructs ns in the several tendencies of actions, and hommity makes a distinction in farour of those which are nseful and beneticial.

This partition between the faculties of materstanding amb sentiment, in all moral decisions, seems clear from the firmoting lape thesis. But 1 shall suppose that hopothesis fialsc: It will then be requisite to look out for some other theory, that may be satisfactery; and I dare venture to affim, that nome such will erer be found, so long as we surpose reason to be the sule somrce of morals. To prove this, it will be proper to weigh the five following considerations.
I. It is casy for a false hypothesis to mantain some appearance of truth, while it kecpe wholly in gemerals, makn use of meldfine terms, ame employs comparisons, instem uf instanees. This is particulamy remarkable in that philusonhy, which aspribes the discerment of all moral distintems w reason alone, withont the concmrence of sentment. It is impussible that, in any particular instane this hypothesis (an so much as be remdered intelligible; whaterer sperions figure it may make in semeral declamatime and dincomsas. Examine the erime of ingotitme, for instance: whith has place, wherever we observe crond-will, exphestal and known


APP. I. return of ill-will or indifference, with ill-offices or negleet or. the other: Anatomize all these circumstances, and examine, by your reason alone, in what consists the demerit or blame: fon never will come to any issue or conclusion.

Reason judges cither of muttei of fact or of relations. Enquire then, first, where is that matter of fact, which we here call crime ; point it out; determine the time of its existence; deseribe its essence or nature ; explain the sense or faculty, to which it discovers itself. It resides in the mind of the person, who is ungrateful. He must, therefore, feel it, and be conscious of it. But nothing is there, except the passion of ill-will or absolute indifference. You cannot say, that these, of themselves, always, and in all circumstances, are crimes. No: They are only crimes, when directed towarls persons, who have before expressed and displayed good-will towards us. Consequently, we may infer, that the erime of ingratitude is not any particular individual fact; but arises from a complication of circumstances, which, being presented to the spectator, excites the sentiment of blame, by the particular structure and fabric of his mind.

This representation, you say, is false. Crime, indeed, consists not in a particular fact, of whose reality we are assuren by reason: But it consists in certain monol relutims, discovered by reason, in the same maner as we discover, by reason, the truths of geometry or algebra. But what are the relations. I ask, of which you here talk? In the case stated above, I see first good-will and grood-offices in one person; then illwill and ill-offices in the other. Between these, there is the relation of contraviety. Does the erime comsist in that relation: But suppose a person bore me ill-will or did me illoffices; aml T , in retmon, were indiffirent towards him, ar did him geod-offices: Here is the same relation of comtonriofy; and rot my conduct is often highly lambable. Twist and turn this matter as much as yon will, you can never rest the menality on relation ; but must have recourse to the decisions of sentiment.

When it is affirmen, that two and theree are equal to the half of ten ; this redation of egrality, $\Gamma$ moderstand perfectly. I conceive, that if ten be divided into two parts, of which one has as many units as the wher ; and if any of these parts be compared to two added to three, it will contain as many units as that compenad mamber. bat when you daras

Hence a comparison to moral relations, I awn that I an altonether at a loss to mulerstand yom. A moral action, a
 morality consist in the metation of its parte to fache other. How: After what mamer? Specify the relation: Ba mow particular and explieft in your propnsitions; and you will masily see their falsehered.

No, say yon, the momaty somsists in the relation of actions to the rule of right ; and they are domminatod growl or ill, aceording as they agree on disarew with it. What then is this ruld of riphit? In what does it consist? How is it determined? By reasm, gom sily, which examines the meral relations of actions. So fhat moral rolations am determined by the comprison of actions to a role. Amb that rule is determined by considering the moral relations of ehogets. Is not this fine reasomine?

All this is metaphesies, yon ary : That is momerh: There needs mothing more to give a strong presmption of falsthomes. Ves, mply I: Here are metaphesice sumely: But they are all om your side, who adsamee an abstruse hypothesis, which cant uever be madd intelligible, nom quadrate with an! particular instance on ithetration. Thu hypothesis which wo monace is plain. It maintains, that morality is chetermined by sentiment. It detines virtue to las whatoror mental "rtion or Tumblity giors to a spertator the ptersimy sontiment "f "pporbletion: and viee the contrary. We then proced ter (xamine a pain matter of fact, to wit, what actions have this influence: Whe consider all the circumstaners, in whioh these actions agree: And thence endeavour to extract sombgeneral observations with regard to these smaments. If you call this metaphysies, and find any thing abstruse here yon meed only conclude, that your turn of mind is mot suited to the moral seimers.
11. When a man, at any time, deliberates comermine his own comduct (as, whether he had better, in a particular cmergence, assist a brother or a benefactor), he mast comwiller these separate retations, with all the ciremmstances and sitnations of the persons, in order to determine the superion duty and wbligation: And in order for determine tion proportion of lines in any triangle, it is mowsary to "xamine the bature of that figure, and the relations whe its several parts bear to ach othere. But notwithatanding

APP. I. this appearing similarity in the two cases, there is, at bottom, an extreme difference between them. A speculative reasoner concerning triangles or circles considers the several known and given relations of the parts of these figures; and thence infers some unknown relation, which is dependent on the former. But in moral deliberations, we must be acquainted, before-hand, with all the objects, and all their relations to each other; and from a comparison of the whole, fix our choice or approbation. No new fact to be asecrtained : No new relation to be discovered. All the circumstances of the case are supposed to be laid before us, ere we can fix any sentence of blame or approbation. If any material circumstance be yet unknown or doubtful, we must first employ our enquiry or intellectnal faculties to assure us of it ; and must suspend for a time all moral decision or sentiment. While we are ignorant, whether a man were aggressor or not, how can we determine whether the person who killed him, be criminal or imocent? But after every eircumstance, every relation is known, the understanding has no further room to operate, nor any object on which it could employ itself. The approbation or blame, which then ensmes, cannot be the work of the judgment, but of the heart; and is not a speculative proposition or affirmation, but an active feeling or sentiment. In the disquisitions of the understanding, from known circumstances and relations, we infer some new and unknown. In moral decisions, all the circumstances and relations must be previously lonown; and the mind, from the contemplation of the whole, feels some new impression of affection or disgust, estecm or contempt, approbation or blame.

Hence the great difference between a mistake of foct and one of right; and hence the reason why the one is commonly criminal and not the other. When (Efopes killed Latus, he was ignorant of the relation, and from circumstances, immoent and involuntary, firmed erroneons upinions ooncerning the action which he eommitted. Bat when NE:Ro killed Agrappina, all the redations between himself and the prism, and all the ciremmstanes of the fact, were previnusly known to him: lint the motive of revenge, or fiar, or interest, prevailod in his savage hart orer the sentiments of duty and hmmaity. And when we express that detestation adinst him, to which he, himself, in a little time,
became insemsible; it is mot, that we see amy relations, of which he was ignomat; but that, from the rectitnle of ome disperition, we feel sentiments, arainst which he wats hartened, from flatery ant a longr furseremane in the imst enomons erimes. In these sentiments, then, mot in a disenery of relations of any kiod, dall manal detwrminations eomsist. Beture we can pretoml to form any dexision of this kimb, arey thing most be known and aseretainem on the situe of the elyene or ate tion. Nothiner remains bat to find, on our part, some sentiment of hame or apponation; whence we fromone the ationt wiminal on vithons.

IH. 'This dectrine will beommestill meか口 whate if wo compare moral heanty with matural, to whidh, in many particulars, it bearss so near a resemblane It is on the premetion, relation, and position of parts, that atl matmal beanty depems: but it would be absurel thenee to infere that the ferepption of beanty, like that of truth in ereomotrical poblems, comsists wholly in the peredtion of rodations, and was promed entirely by the momstanding or intellectual tancoltits. [n all the seiemeres, omr mind from the known relatime, investigates the manown: But in all decixions of taste or external beanty. all the relatioms are hafore-hand obrions to the eve; and we thence perceed to fied a sentiment of complacemor or diognt, acomding to the mathere of the oljeet, and disposition of our organs.
 but hats not. in any propositiom, sail a wond of its beanty. The reason is evident. The beanty is mot a duality of the circle. It lises not in any part of the line, whose part, are equally distant from a common center. It is only the erifect, which that figure produces upon the mint, whese peecolian talmic or structure remders it suscoptible of such semtiments. In rain would you look for it in the eirele, or seek it, wither by yonr semses or by mathematial reasonimes, in all the properties of that figure.

Attem to Paldano and Perbatid, while they explain all the parts and proportiens of a pillar: 'There talk of the cornice and frieze and base and entablature ant shaft and arehitate : and give the description and pesition of enth of these members. But shond you as? the descriptime and prition of its beanty, they would remaly reply, that the beanty is not in any of the parts or members of a pallar. hat

APP.I. results from the whole, when that complicated figure is presented to an intelligent mind, susceptible to those finer sensations. 'Till such a spectator appert, there is nothing but a figure of such particular dimensions and proportions: From his sentiments alone arise its elegance and beanty.

Again; attend to Cicero, while le paints the crimes of a Verres ol a Catiline; you must acknowledge that the moral turpitude results, in the same mamner, from the contemplation of the whole, when presented to a being, whose organs have such a particular structure ant formation. The orator may paint rage, insolence, barbarity on the one site: Meekness, suffering, sorrow, innocence on the other: But if you feel no indignation or compassion arise in yon from this complication of circumstances, you would in vain ask him, in what consists the crime or villany, which he so rehemently exclaims against: At what time, or on what sulject it first begran to exist: And what has a few montlis afterwards become of it, when every disposition and thonglit of all the actor's is totally altered, or amihilated. No satisfactory answer can be given to any of these questions, upon the abstract hypothesis of morals; and we most at last acknowledge, that the crime or immorality is no particular fact or relation, which can be the object of the materstanding: But arises entirely from the sentinent of disapprolation, which, by the structure of human nature, we mavoidably feel on the apprehension of barbarity or treachery.
IV. Tnanimate objects may bear to eaclo other all the same relations, which we observe in moral agents; thongh the former can never be the olject of love or hatred, nor are consequently susceptible of merit or inionity. A youns tree, which over-tops and destroys its parent, stands in all the same relations with Nero, whes he murdered Aciafprina; and if morality consisted merely in relations, woukd, no dombt, be equally criminal.
V. It appears evilent, that the ultimate emts of lmman actions can merer, in ally catse, be aceomed for by fersom, but recommend themselves entirely to the sentinents and affeetions of mankind. withont any denemdance on the intelletetnal faculties. Ask a man, why he liso remerisp ; he will answer, beconse lee desions to leet his heralth. If you them entuire, why he desios heallh, he will realily reply, becuuse

[^115]sichomss is peintul. If you push your ompuries farther, ami APP.I. desire a reason, why he hutes $P^{\text {mein, it is infonsible he (ain }}$ "rer sive any. This is an ultmate emb, and is mever reforend to amy other object.

Parhaps, to your secomd guestion, why he divies halth, lus maly also reply, that it is merosserty fin the remerise of his callim!. If youl ask, why her is amerions: oll thet homi, her will answer, themese he desios to st meney. If gon demam Why?
 is an absurdity to ask for a reasom. It is impusible there can be a progress in infinitnm; :mm that ome thinge ant always be a reasom, why another is duimet. Somothing
 immeriate accord or arorement with homan sentiment and atfoctiom.

Now as virtue is an end, and is desirable on its own ateont, withont fee or reward, merely, for the immediato satiofaction which it convers; it is reguisite that thom should ber some sentiment, which it tonches; some intermal taste or fieling. or whaterer you please to call it, which distimuinhes moral good and evil, and which embraters the one aml rajeets the other.

Thnis the distinct bommaries and offiess of fotwon amd of that are easily asecertained. The former convers the knowlonde of truth and fulselnowl: The latter wives the sentiment of hataty and deformity. vice and virtue. The one disconers whecter, as they really stand in mature, withont adlition on diminution: The uther has a pronetive facultr, and gildins or staining all atatural oljects with the coloms, lumeweal fiom internal sentiment, raises, in a mamer, a new creation. heasom, being cool and disengaged, is no motive to action, and directs only the impulse received from anpetite on inchation. by showing us the means of attaming haprinton or arombing mery: Taste, as it gives pleasure on pain. and therely constitutes haphiness or misery, becemes a monise to action. and is the first apring or impulse to desite ant whition. From ciremastances and relations, kown on sup pred. the former leats ris to the disconery of the conceated and minkown: Atere all circmastances and relations are latd before us, the latter makes us feel from the whale a new sentiment of bame or appobation. The stantard of the one. beinge founded on the nature of thans, is nimat and

APP. I. inffexible, even by the will of the Supreme Being: The standard of the other, arising from the internal frame and constitution of animals, is ultimately derived from that Supreme Will, which bestowed on each being its peculiar nature, and arranged the several classes and orders of existence.

## Appendix 1I. ${ }^{1}$-Of Self-love.

There is a principle, supposed to prevail among many, which is utterly incompatible with all virtue or moral sentiment; and as it can proceed from nothing but the most depraved disposition, so in its turn it tends still further to encourage that depravity. This principle is, that all benerolence is mere hypocrisy, friendship a cheat, public spirit a farce, fidelity a snare to procure trust and confidence; and that, while all of us, at bottom, pursuc only our private interest, we wear these fair disguises, in order to put others ofi their guard, and expose them the more to our wiles and machinations. What heart one must be possessed of who professes such principles, and who feels no internal sentiment that belies so pernicious a theory, it is casy to imagine: And also, what degree of affection and benerolence he can bear to a species, whom he represents under such odious colours, and supposes so little susceptible of gratitude or any return of affection. Or if we should not ascribe these principles wholly to a corrupted heart, we must, at least, account for them from the most careless and precipitate examination. Superficial reasoners, indeed, observing many false pretences anong mankind, and fecting, perhaps, no very strong restraint in their own disposition, might draw a general and a hasty conclusion, that all is equally computed, and that men, different from all other minals, and indeed from all other species of existences, almit of no degrees of good or bad but are, in every instance, the same creatures under different disgnises and appearances.
'Jher" is amother principle, somewhat resembling the former ; which has beron much insisted on by philesophers, and has beren the fommlation of many a system; that, whatever affeetion one may fond, or imasine le feeds for others, no passion is, or can be disinterested; that the most gene-

rous friendship，however simeme，is a modification of alf－I！If If love；and that，even unknown to ourselves，we sonk whly our own eratification，white we appear the most dexply engaged in sehemes for the liberty amblapiness of mant kind．By a turn of imagiation，by a refinment of reflow－ tion，by an enthinsiasm of passion，we serm to take part in the interests of others，and imanine oursolves divestond of all selfish comsiderations：But，at bettom，the mest endments patrint and most nigerardly miser，the havest lumo and mest algeet coward，have，in atery ation，an equal recmed to their own happiness and welfare．

Whanere comelndes from the seeming tembeney of this opinion，that those，who make poforsion of it，camme possibly feel the true sentiments of bemembene on have any resand for gemuine virtue，will often find himsolf，in patctiee，very much mistaken．Probity and honome wro me strangers to Epactrex and his sect．Atricts and Homace secen to have cajoyed from nature，and caltivated by reflec－ tions as gememons and frimally dispositions ats any disciphe of the ansterer schools．And among the modern，Hubbrs and Lockse，whomaintained the seltish system of morals，lisod ircemonchable lives thongh the fomer lay not moder any restrant of religion，whiche might sumply the defects of his $1^{\text {hailumper }}$

Au Epicurean or a Hobbist readily allows，that there is such it thing as friendship in the world，without hypererisy or disumes ；thongh he maty attempt，by a philosophical rhymistry，to resolve the elements of this passion，if I may son speak，into those of another，and explain erery affection to be self－love，twisted and moulded，by a particular turn of imagination，into a variety of appearances．But as the same turn of imacination prevals not in every man，nor give the same direction to the original passion；this is sufticient， ewn aceording to the selfish system，to make the widnot difference in humatn chasacters，and demominate omm man viptume and hanane，another vicions and meanly interester． I astrem the man，whese self－love，by whaterer mems，is su dimened as to sive him a concern for whers and mome him servicuable to serioty：As I hate or deapise him．who has mow resam to any thing begom his own gratifications amb ango－ monts．In man would you suggest，that the chameters， thoneh semmingly＂phesite，are，at bottom，the samm，and

APP. II. that a very inconsiderable turn of thought forms the whole difference between them. Each character, notwithstanding these inconsiderable differences, appears to me, in practice, pretty durable and untransmutable. And I find not in this more than in other subjects, that the natural sentiments, arising from the gencral appearances of thines, are easily destroyed by subtile reflections concerning the minnte origin of these appearances. Does not the lively, chenrful colonr of a countenance inspire me with complacency and pleasure; even though I learn from philosophy, that all difference of complexion arises from the most minnte differences of thickness, in the most minute parts of the skin; by means of which a superficies is qualified to reflect one of the original colours of light, and absorb the others?

But thongh the question, concerning the universal or partial selfishness of man be not so material, as is nsually imasined, to morality and practice, it is certain! of consequance in the speculative science of hmman mature, amd is a proper object of curiusity and engniry. It may not, therefore, be unsuitable, in this place, to bostow a few reflections upon it. ${ }^{1}$

The most obrious oljjection to the selfish hypothesis, is, that, as it is contrary to common feeling and our most unprejudiced notions, there is required the highest streteh of philosophy to establish so extraordinary a paradox. To the most careless observer, there appear to be such dispositions as benevolence and generosity; such affections as lave, friendship, compassion, gratitude. These sentiments have their canses, cfixects, objects, and operations, marliod by commom langage and observation, and plainly distinguished from these of the selfish passions. Ame as this is the obvions apquance of things, it must be admitted; till some hypothesis be discoveren, which, by permentine deeper into human nature, may prove the former affections to be

[^116]mothing lout moditiations of the latere．All attempts of apre Ir this kind have hitherto prosed finithes．and some to have procembed antimely，from that love of simplicity，whinh has bern the sture of math false reatoming in philosploy．I shall not here enter into any dedall on the present subject． Many able philosephers have shown the insutfiefoney of these systems．And I shall take for ormated what，I lotlieve， thas smallest reflection will make evilent to wror impartial empuirer．

But the nature of the subject fimmishos the stronoest pre－ sumpetion，that no better system will ever，for the future，be inventerl，in order to aroomit for the ariorin of tha bernembent from the selfish affections，and reduce all the：varions emotions of the homan mind to a perfect simplicity．The case is not the same in this species of philosplpy as in physics．Many an hypothesis in matnere，contrary to first appearances，has been found，on more aceurate serutiny， solid and satisfactory．Instantes of this kind are so fire－ ＇quent，that a judicioms，as well as witty philusmpher，＇hass ventured to affirm，if theve be more than one way，in which any phamonnenon may be produced，that there is a generab presmption for its arising from the canses，which are the least obsions and familiar．But the fresmmption alwass lies the the other side，in all enguiries concorning the wism of our passions，and of the intermal oprations of the human minl．The simplest and most obvions catuse，which ean there be assigned for any phemomenon，is probably the true one．When a philosopher，in the explication of his system， is obliged to have recourse to some very intricate and refincel reflections，and to suppose them essential to the probluction of any passion or emotion，we have reason to be extremely on our guard aganst so fallacions an hypothesis．The affections are not susceptible of any impressim from the refinements of reason or imanimation；and it is always fomm， that a vigorons exertion of the latter fatenltios，necossarily， from the narrow capacity of the hmman miml．hestross all activity in the former．One predominant motire or intern－ tion is，indeed，frequently eoncealed from omsshers，when it is mingled and confomber with other motives，which the mind，from vanity or self－ennceit，is desirons of surpmins more prevalunt：But there is no instance，that a coneral－

[^117]APP. II. ment of this nature has ever arisen from the abstruseness and intricacy of the motive. A man, that has lost a friend and patron, may flatter himself, that all his grief arises from generous sentiments, without any mixture of narrow or interested considerations: But a man, that grieves for a valuable friend, who needed his patronage and protection; how can we suppose, that his passionate tenderness arises from some metaphysical regards to a self-interest, which has no foundation or reality? We may as well imagine, that minute wheels and springs, like those of a watch, give motion to a loaded waggon, as account for the origin of passion from such abstruse reflections.

Animals are found susceptible of kindness, both to their own species and to ours; nor is there, in this case, the least suspicion of disguise or artifice. Shall we account for all their sentiments too, from refined deductions of self-interest? Or if we admit a disinterested benevolence in the inferior species, by what rule of analogy can we refuse it in the superior?

Love between the sexes begets a complacency and goodwill, very distinct from the gratification of an appetite. Tenderness to their offspring, in all sensible beings, is commonly able alone to counter-balance the strongest motives of self-love, and has no manner of dependance on that affection. What interest can a fond mother have in view, who loses her health by assiduous attendance on her sick chilk, and afterwards languishes and dies of grief, when freed, by its death, from the slavery of that attendance?

Is gratitude no affection of the limman breast, or is that a word merely, withont any meaning or reality? Have we no satisfaction in ome man's company above another"s, and mo desire of the welfare of our friend, even thongh absence on death should prevent us from all participation in it? Or what is it commonly, that gives us any participation in it, even while alive and present, but our affection and regard to lim?

These and a thonsand wher instances are marks of a gencral benevolence in human nature, where no ral interest binds us to the object. And how an imupinam interest. known and awowed for such, can be the wigin of any passion on ranotion, seems difficult to mxplain. No satisfactory hymethesis of this kimd has yet bem diseoveren; nom is then
the smallest probalifity，that tha future imhnstry of men will erer be attembed with more farourable sumerss．

But farther，if we consider rightly of the mather，we whall find，that the hepothesis，whidh allows of a disintorestent benevolenee，distinct from self－lowe，has really mone sim－ plicity in it，and is more conformable to the analugy of mature，than that which pretembs to mesolve all frimmathip and lumanity into this lattor prineiph．There are lomils wants or appetites，acknowledged by every ome，which nems－ sarily precede all semsual monoment，and carry us dimetly to setk possession of the ohject．＇Thms，humer and thirst have eating and drinking for their ond ；and from the errati－ fication of thesp primary apretites arises a phasure，whith may become the object of another spectes of desire we incli－ nation，that is seeondary and interested．In the same mamer， there are mental passions，by which we are impelled imm：－ diately to seek particular objects，such as fame，or power，or vengeanee，without any regard to interest；and when these objects are attained，a pleasing emjoyment emsurs，as the ronsequence of our indulged affections．Noture mmst，by the intornal frame and constitution of the mind，give an original propensity to fame，ere we ean reap any plansme frem that acquisition，or pursue it from motives of self－lowe， and a desire of happiness．It I have no vanity，I take no delight in paise：If I be void of ambition，power gives me no enjoyment：If I be not anery，the pmishment of an alversary is totally indifferent to me．In all these cases， there is a passion，which points immediatcly to the wijgect， and constitutes it omr good or happiness ；as there are other secondary passions，which afterwarts arise，and pursme it as a part of our happiness，when once it is comstituted such lig our original affections．Were there no appotite of any kime antecedont to self－love，that propensity cond scarenty arm exert itself；becanse we shoukl，in that case，have felt few and slemder pains or pheasures，and have little nisery wo happiness to aroid or to pmesue．

Now where is the diffienty in conceiving，that this mas likewise be the case with benevolence amb trimothip， and that，from the origimal frame of our temper，we may foel a desire of another＂s lappiness or erom，whinl，les means of that affeetion，becomes onn own crom，ant is afterwarls pursued，from the combined motion of hampohmer and ：elt－

APP. II. enjoyment? Who sees not that vengeance, from the force alone of passion, may be so eagerly pursned, as to make us. knowingly neglect every consideration of ease, interest, or safety; and, like some vindictive animals, infuse onr very souls into the wounds we give an enemy ${ }^{1}$ ? And what a malignant philosophy must it be, that will not allow, to hmmanity and friendship, the same privileges, which are undisputably granted to the darker passions of emnity and resentment? Such a philosophy is more fike a satyr than a true delineation or description of human nature ; and may be a good foundation for paradoxical wit and raillery, but is a very bad one for any serious argument or reasoning.

Appendix III. ${ }^{2}$-Some farther Considerations with regurd to Justice.
The intention of this Appendix is to give some more particular explication of the origin and nature of Jnstice, and to mark some differences between it and the other virtues.

The social virtues of humanity and benevolence exert their influence immediately, by a direct tendency or instinct, which chiefly keeps in view the simple object, moving the affections, and comprehends not any scheme or system, nor the conserquences resulting from the concurrence, imitation, or example of others. A parent flies to the relief of his child; transported by that natural sympathy, which actnates him, and which affords no leisure to reffect on the sentiments or conduct of the rest of mankind in like circmustances. A generous man chearfully embraces an oppo:tmity of serving his friend ; becanse le then feels himself under the dominion of the beneficent affections, now is he concerned whether any other person in the miverse wom ever bofore actuated by such moble motives, or will arer afterwards prove their influence. In all these cases, then social passions have in view in simgle impidaal object, amb pursue the safety or happiness alone of the person lowed and estemen. With this they are satisfied: In this, they acquiesce. And as the goon, resulting from their bemign influcuce, is in itsclf compleat and entire, it also excites the moral sentiment of appobation, withont any reffection on

[^118] of the concurrence or imitation of the other members of society. On the contary, were the generoms frimul or disinterested patriot to stamd alone in the practice of bemeficence; this would rather enhance his walue in our eyes, and join the praise of rarity and novelty to his other mome exalten merits.

The case is not the same with the social virtues of justice and tidelity. They are highly usefol, or indeed absolutely nedessary to the well-being of mankind: But the benefit, resulting from them, is not the consequence of every individual single aet; but arises from the whole scheme or system, concurred in by the whole, or the greater part of the society. General peace and order are the attendants of justice or a general abstinence from the possessions of others: But a particular regard to the particular right of one individual citizen may frequently, considered in itself, be proInctive of pernicions consequences. The result of the individual acts is here, in many instances, directly opposite to that of the whole system of actions; and the former may be extremely hurtful, while the latter is, to the lighest degree, advantageous. Riches, inherited from a parent, are, in a bad man's hand, the instrument of mischief. The right of succession may, in one instance, be hurtful. Its benefit arises only from the observance of the general rule; and it is sufficient, if compensation be thereby made for all the ills and inconveniencies, which flow from particular characturs and situations.

Cyrus, young and unexperienced, considered only the individual case before him, and reflected on a limited fitness and convenience, when he assigned the long coat to the tall boy, and the short coat to the other of smaller size. His governor instructed him better; while he pointed out more enlarged views and consequences, and informed his pupil ot the general, inflexible rules, necessary to support general peace and order in society.

The happiness and prosperity of mankind, arising from the social virtue of benevolence and its subdivisions, may be compared to a wall, built by many hands; which still rises by each stone, that is heaped upon it, and receives increase proportional to the diligence and care of each workman. The same happiness, raised by the social virtue of justice and

APP. III. its subdivisions, may be compared to the building of a vault, where each individual stone would, of itself, fall to the ground ; nor is the whole fabric supported but by the mutnal assistance and combination of its corresponding parts.

All the laws of nature, which regulate property, as well is all civil laws, are general, and regard alone some essential circumstances of the case, without taking into consideration the characters, situations, and comnexions of the person concerned, or any particular consequences which may result from the determination of these laws, in any particular case which offers. They deprive, without scruple, a beneficent man of all his possessions, if acquired by mistake, without a good title; in order to bestow them on a selfish miser, who has already heaped up immense stores of superfluous riches. Public utility requires, that property should be regulated by general inflexible rules; and though such rules are adoptert as best serve the same end of public utility, it is impossible for them to prevent all partieular hardships, or make beneficial consequences result from every individual case. It is sufficient, if the whole plan or scheme be necessary to the support of civil society, and if the balance of good, in the main, do thereby preponderate much above that of evil. Even the general laws of the universe, though planned by infinite wisdom, cannot exclude all evil or inconvenience, in every particular operation.

It has been asserted by some, that justice arises from HUMAN CONVENTIONS, and proceeds from the volmatary choice, consent, or combination of mankind. If byconvention be here meant a promise (which is the most usmal sense of the word) nothing can be more absurd than this position. The observance of promises is itself one of the most considerable parts of jnstice; and we are not surely bound to keep our word, because we have given our word to keep it. But if by convention be meant a sense of common interest; which sense each man feels in his own breast, which he remarks in his fellows, and which carries him, in concurrence with others, into a general plan or system of actions, which tends to public utility; it must be owneel, that, in this sense, justice arises from laman conventions. For if it be allowed (what is, indeed, evident) that the particular consequences of a particular act of justice may be hurtful to the public as well as to individuals; it follows, that pery man, in embacing that
virtur, must have an eye to the whole phan or system, and APP. Int must expect the ememrence of lis. fellows in the same conduet and behaviour. Did all his views terminate in the consegumers of whel ant of his own, his bomevonce aml lmmanity, as well as his self-love, might often prescribe to hims measmres of eonduct very different from theses, which are agreable to the striet rules of right amb justice.
'Ihns two men pull the wars of a boat by emmon convenfom, for common interest, withont any promise or contract: Thus gold and silver are made the measmes of exchange; thas speech and words and language are fixed by haman convention and agrement. Whatever is adrantageons to two or more persons, if all perform their part; but what losers all adrantare, if only one perform, can arise from no other principle. There would othorwise be no motive for any one of them to enter into that sememe of conduct. ${ }^{1}$

The word, netural, is commonly taken in so many senses, and is of so loose a signification, that it seems vain to dispute, whether justice be natural or not. If self-love, if benerolence be matual to man; if reason and forethought lee also natural ; then may the same cpithet be applied to justice, order, fidelity, property, society. Men's inclination, their neressities lead them to combine; their understanding and expriener tell them, that this eombination is impossible, where cach governs himself by mo rule, and pays mo regard to the possessions of others: And from these passions anl reflections conjoined, as soon as we observe like passions and reflections in others, the sentiment of justice, throughont all ages, has infallibly and certainly had place, to some degree or other, in every individual of the hman species. In so sagacious an animal, what necessarily arises from the exer-

[^119][^120]APP. III. tion of his intellectual faculties, may justly be esteemed natural. ${ }^{1}$

Among all civilized nations, it has been the constant endeavour to remove every thing arbitrary and partial from the decision of property, and to fix the sentence of judges by such general views and considerations, as may be equal to every member of the society. For besides, that nothing could be more dangerous than to accustom the bench, even in the smallest instance, to regard private friendship or enmity ; it is certain, that men, where they imagine, that there was no other reason for the preference of their adversary but personal favour, are apt to entertain the strongest ill-will against the magistrates and judges. When natural reason, therefore, points out no fixed view of public utility, by which a controversy of property can be decided, positive laws are often framed to supply its place, and direct the procedure of all courts of judicature. Where these too fail, as often happens, precedents are called for; and a former decision, though given itself without any sufficient reason, justly becomes a sufficient reason for a new decision. If direet laws and precedents be wanting, imperfect and indirect ones are brought in aid; and the controverted case is ranged under them, by analogical reasonings and comparisons, and similitudes, and correspondencies, which are often more fanciful than real. In general, it may safely be affirmed, that jurisprudence is, in this respect, different from all the sciences; and that in many of its nicer questions, there camnot properly be said to be truth or falsehood on either side. If one pleader loring the case under any former law or precedent, by a refined analogy or comparison; the opposite pleuler is not at a loss to find an opposite analogy or comparison: And the preference given by the judge is often fomded more on taste and imagination than on any solid argument. Publie utility is the general object of all courts of judicature ; and this utility too requires is stable rule in all controversies. But where

[^121][^122]
# Reveral rules, nearly equal and indifferent, present themselves, App. In it is a very slight turn of thought, which fixes the decision in favour of either party.' 

1 That there he a separation or distimetion of prosessions, and that this sopmration be steady and constant ; this is absolutely required ly the interests If ancety, and henee the origin of justien and property. What posyessions aro assigned to particular persons: : this is. Gencrally speaking, pretty indifticcht ; and is often determintil ly very friwhns riews and considenations. Wo shall mention a few particulars.
Wepe a suciety formed among streral inderembent momeres, the mot olsinns rule, which could he arreed on, would the to ammex property to present posenssim. au! leave wery one a right to what he at present enjess. The relation of possessinn, which taken plave leetween the person and the otjeet, naturatly draw on the refation of perperty.

For a like reacon, owupation or first posecssion lecomes the foundation of propery.

Where a man hestams labour and industry upm any onject. which hefore behonedt, no borly : as in cuttiond down and shaping a tree, in cultavating a fiell. de., the alt ration which he produres, causes a relation 1 etween him and the ohjeet, and maturally engages ns to annes it to him ly the new relation of property. This eause here concurs with the public utility. which consists in the eneuaragemeut giten to industry and labour.

Perhaps tor, private humanity towards the possesser, concurs, in this instance, with the other motives, and engages us to leave with him what he has acquired by his sweat and labour; and what he has flattered himself in the constant enjoyment of. For though private humanity can. by no moans, be the oricin of justiee : since the latter virthe sin often contraliets the firmer: yet when the rule of separate and constant fussonsion is once furmed the the indispensible necessities of society. private bumanity, and an aversion to the dimg a hardship to another may. in a particular instance, give rise to a farticular rule of property.

1 ans much inclined to think, that the right of sucestion or inhoritance num depemts on thone comations of the imasination, and that the rutation to a torner promiche legeting at rdation
to the ohjeet, is the canue why the froperty is transformed to a man ather the A tath of his kimman. It is true: mdustry is mare enempaged be the tranfertace of pos-a.ainh to chibiren or near relations: Boa this consideration will only have place in a cultivatad
 is rugirded even among the greatout Barbarians.
Acquisition of premerty ly yerosions can be wplained me way hat hy having rechure to the relations and emnexions of the imagination.

The propery of rivers. hy the laws of most nations, and ly the natural turn of our thought, is attributed the the proprietors of their lanks, excerting such vast rivers as the Rinise or the Dasube, which seem too larese to follow as an aceowion to the proferty of the netghouring fieds. Yet ewn theso rivers are considered as the froperty of that nation, throurh whose duminions they run ; the hlea of a natiom leme of at sivitule halk to coreergon! withthem. and bear them such a relation in the fancy.

The accessions, whid are mate to lamb. bordering upo rivers. fillow the land say the eivilians, provident it he made by what they call utherion, that is, insencilly and impereptibly; wheh are cir umbtares, that ansist the inagination in the empunction.

Where there is any considemble protion torn at once from one lank and added to another. it becomes mot his property. whose land it fall, on, till it unite with the lamb, and till the treen and phant have spreal their rorts into hoth. Before that. the thuurht does nut sutficiently join them.

In short, we mast ever distingui-h between the neto.-ity of a ergaration and constan'y in menis froscoion an! the rules, which asojgn particular whjents to partipular permas. The firat neersity is obrims, orman, an! inrin. cille: The latter may depeth on a publie utility more lishat and frimhna. on the sentinuent of : :ivate hamanty and atereius to ferate hardapho on positive laws, on 1umendits, analugits. and very tine corm , wom : and turn of the immerination. | This mote wasadud in Filtion に,

APP. III. ${ }^{1}$ We may just observe, before we conclude this subject, that, after the laws of justice are fixed by views of general utility, the injury, the hardship, the harm, which result to any individual from a violation of them, enter very much into consideration, and are a great source of that universal blame, which attends every wrong or iniquity. By the laws of society this coat, this horse, is mine, and ought to remain perpetually in my possession: I reckon on the secure enjoyment of it: By depriving me of it, you disappoinc my expectations, and doubly displease me, and offend every bystander. It is a public wrong, so far as the rules of equity are violated: It is a private harm, so far as an individual is injured. And though the second consideration could have no place, were not the former previously established: For otherwise the distinction of mine and thine would be unknown in society: Yet there is no question, but the regard to general good is much enforced by the respect to particular. What injures the community, without hurting any individual, is often more lightly thought of. But where the greatest public wrong is also conjoined with a considerable private one, no wonder the highest disapprobation attends so iniquitous a behaviour.

## Appendix IV. ${ }^{2}$ —Of some Verbal Disputes.

Nothing is more usual than for philosophers to encroach upon the province of grammarians; and to engage in disputes of words, while they imagine, that they are handling controversies of the deepest importance and concern. ${ }^{3}$ It was in order to avoid altercations so frivolous and endless, that I endeavoured to state with the utmost cantion the object of

[^123]avoid, therefore, all frivolous sultilties and altercations, as much as posible, we shall content ourselves with obsersing. firet, that, in common life, the sentiments, of censure or approlation, prowlucil ly montal qualitien of exery kind, are very similar ; ant seratally, that all antient lloralists (the bost molele), in teating of them, make bittle ur no diflepthes among, them.

Edition Nomite as farats Werewe to Saty, \&e.' 19. 280. and sulatitutes an foll low:- 'Thins were we to stek ith "xalt definition on descreption of thowe menta? qualition whichame denminatel virtur: we might be somewhat at a lose and might find unowlow at firat involved in incatricable dith cultico.
 one hamb, a list of those mental qualities which are the whenet of love or esterm, and form a part of personal merit, and on the wther hand, a catalogne of those qualities, which are the object of eensure or reproach, and which detract from the character of the person, possessed of them ; subjoining some reffections concerning the origin of these sentinn of praise or blame. On all occasions, where there might arise the kast hesitation, I avoided the terms virtue and riep; because some of those qualities, which I classed among the ohjeets of praise, receive, in the Engasin language, tha appellation of tulents, rather than of virtues; as some of tho blameable or censurable qualities are often called dofors, wather than vices. It may now, perhaps, be expected, that, before we concluale this moral enquiry, we should exactly separate the one from the other; should mark the precise boundaries of virtues and talents, vices and defects; and should explain the reason and origrin of that distinction. But in order to exeuse myself from this undertaking, which would, at last, prove only a grammatieal enduiry, I shall sulijoin the fonr following reflections, which shall contain all that I intend to say on the present subject.

First, 1 do not find, that in the Enginsf, or any other modern tongue, the boundaries are exactly fixed between virtues and talents, rices and defeets, or that a precise definition can be given of the one as contradistinguished from the other. Were we to say, for instance, that the esteemable qualities alone, which are voluntary, are entitled to the appellation of virtues; we should soon recollect the qualities of courage, equanimity, patience, self-command; with many others, which almost every language classes under this appellation, though they depend little or not at all on onr choi'c. should we affirm, that the qualities alone which prompt ins to act our part in socicty, are entitled to that lomourable distinction ; it must immediately oceur, that theser are indend the most valuable qualities, and are commonly denominatma the socind virtues; but that this very epithet supposes, that there are also virtues of another species. ${ }^{1}$ shomid we lay hold of the distinction between intellectunl and mornl endow-

[^124][^125]$\underbrace{\text { APP. IV. ments, and affirm the last alone to be the real and genuine }}$ virtues, because they alone lead to action; we should find, that many of those qualities, usually called intellectual virtues, such as prudence, penetration, discernment, diseretion, had also a considerable influence on couduct. The distinction between the heart and the head may also be adopted: The qualities of the first may be defined such as in their immediate exertion are accompanied with a feeling or sentiment; and these alone may be called the genuine virtues. But industry, frugality, temperance, secreey, perseverance, and many other laudable powers or habits, generally stiled virtues, are exerted without any immediate sentiment in the person possessed of them; and are only known to him by their effects. It is fortunate, amidst all this seeming perplexity, that the question, being merely verbal, cannot possibly be of any importance. A moral, philosophical discourse needs not enter into all these caprices of language, which are so variable in different dialects, and in different ages of the same dialect. ${ }^{1}$ But on the whole, it seems to me, that, though it is always allowed, that there are virtues of many different kinds, yet, when a man is called virtuous, or is denominated a man of virtue, we chiefly regard his social qualities, which are, indeed, the most valuable. It is, at the same time, certain, that any remarkable defect in courage, temperance, œconomy, industry, understanding, dignity of mind, would bereave even a very good-natured, honest man of this honourable appellation. Who did ever say, except by way of irony, that such a one was a man of great virtue, but an egregious blockhead?

But, secondly, it is no wonder, that languages should not be very precise iu marking the boundaries between virtues and talents, vices and defects; since there is so little distinction made in our internal estimation of them. It seems indeed certain, that the sentiment of conscious worth, the self-satisfaction proceeding from a review of a man's own

[^126]And the more fully to justify our practice in this particular, we shall enderavour to mako it appear, first, that irt common lifte, the sentiments of censure or approbation, produced hy mental qualitivs of evory kind, are nearly sinilar ; and secondly, that all antitnit moralists, (the bent morlels) in treatiues of them, make little or no differeuce :mone them.]
conduct and character; it secms certain, I say, that this APP. IV sentrment, which, though the most eommon of all athers, has no proper name in our language, arises from the endownents of courago and capacity, inlustry and ingennity, as well as from any other mental excelleneies. Who, on the other himd, is not deeply mortified with reflecting on his own folly and dissoluteness, and feels not a secret sting or compmotion, whenever his memory presents any past ocemrence, where he behaved with stuphility or ill-manners? No time can efface the ernel ideas of a man's own foolish conluct, or of affronts, which cowardice or imprudence has brought upon him. They still hame his solitary hours, damp his most aspiring thoughts, and show him, even to himself, in the most contemptible and most odions colours imarinable.

What is there too we are more anxious to conceal from others than such blunders, infirmities, and meannesses, or more dread to have exposed by raillery and satire? And is not the chief object of vanity, our bravery or learning, one wit or breding, our eloguence or address, our taste or ahbilities: These we display with care, if not with ostentation ; and we eommonly show more ambition of excelling in them, than even in the social virtues themselves, which are, in reality, of such superior excellence. Good-nature and honesty, especially the latter, are so indispensably required, that, though the greatest censure attends any violation of these duties, no eminent praise follows such common instances of them, as seem essential to the support of human society. And hence the reason, in my opinion, why, though men often extol so liberally the qualities of their heart, they are shy in commending the endowments of their head: Because the latter virtues, being supposed more rare and extraordinary, are observed to be the more usual objects of pride and selftconceit; and when boasted of, beget a strong suspicion of these sentiments.

It is hard to tell, whether you hurt a man's character most by calling him a knave or a coward, and whether a beastly

[^127][^128]APP.IV. glutton or drunkard be not as odious and contemptible, as a selfish, ungenerous miser. Give me my choice, and I would rather, for my own happiness and selfenjoyment, have a friendly, humane heart, than possess all the other virtues of Demosthenes and Philip united: But I would rather pass with the world for one endowed with extensive genius and intrepid courage, and should thence expect stronger instances of general applause and admiration. The figure which a man makes in life, the reception which he meets with in company, the esteem paid him by his acquaintance; all these adrantages depend as much upon his good sense and judgment, as upon any other part of his charaeter. Had a man the best intentions in the world, and were the farthest removed from all injustice and violence, he would never be able to make himself be much regarded, without a moderate share, at least, of parts and understanding.

What is it then we can here dispute about? If sense and courage, temperance and industry, wisdom and knowledge confessedly form a considerable part of personal merit : if a man, possessed of these qualities, is both better satisfied with himself, and better entitled to the goodwill, esteem, and services of others, than one entirely destitute of them; if, in short, the sentiments are similar, which arise from these endowments and from the social virtues ; is there any reason for being so extremely scrupulous about a word, or disputing whether they be entitled to the denomination of virtues? ${ }^{1}$ It may, indeed, be pretended, that the sentiment of approbation, which those ascomplishments produce, besides its being inferior, is also somewhat different from that, which attends the virtues of justice and homanity. But this seems not a sufficient reason for ranking them entirely under different classes and appellations. The character of Cesar and that of Cato, as drawn by Sadutst, are beth of them virtuons, in the strictest and most limited sense of the word; but in a

[^129]different. Way: Nor are the sentiments antirely the sime, APP.N: which arisa from them. The one protuces lane: tha wher, esterem: 'The one is amiable; the other awful: Wir should wish to meet the one charactur in a frimal : the other we shonla be ambitions of in ourselves. In like manere the appobation, which attembs tempramee or inhustry or frugality, may be somewhat different from that which is paid to the social virtues, withont making them entirely of a different suextes. And, inded, we may observe, that these mulowments, more than the other virtues, produce mot, all of them, the same kind of appobation. (inod somse and groming boene estem and regard: Wit and hmome excite bove and affection. ${ }^{1}$

Most people, I believe, will naturally, without premeditatim, assent to the definition of the elegant and judicious poet.

> Virtue (for mere goonl-nature is a fool)
> Is sense and spirit with humanity."

What pretensions has a man to our generous assistane or good offices, who has dissipated his wealth in profuse expunes, idle vanities, chimerical projects, dissolute peasures, or extravagant gaming? These vices (for we scruple not to tall them such) bring misery unpitied, and contempt on every one addicted to them.

Achees, a wise and prudent prince, fell into a fatal snare, which cost him his crown and life, after having used every reasomable precaution to guard himself against it. On that

[^130][^131]APP.IV. account, says the historian, he is a just object of regard and compassion: His betrayers alone of hatred and contempt. ${ }^{1}$

The precipitate flight and improvident negligence of Pompey, at the beginning of the civil wars, appeared such notorious blunders to Cicero, as quite palled his friendship towards that great man. In the same munner, says he, as want of cleanliness, decency, or discretion in a mistress are found to alienate our affections. For so he expresses hinself, where he talks, not in the character of a philosopher, but in that of a statesman and man of the world, to his friend Atrices. ${ }^{2}$

But the same Cicero, in imitation of all the ancient moralists, when he reasons as a philosopher, enlarges very much his ideas of virtue, and comprehends every landable quality or endowment of the mind, under that honourable appellation. ${ }^{3}$ This leads to the thirl reflection, which we proposed to make, to wit, that the ancient moralists, the best models, made no material distinction among the different species of mental endowments and defects, but treated all alike under the appellation of virtues and vices, and made them indiscriminately the object of their moral reasonings. The prudence explained in Cicero's Offices, ${ }^{4}$ is that sagacity, which leads to the discovery of truth, and preserves us from error and mistake. Magnanimity, temperance, decency, are there also at large discoursed of. And as that eloquent moralist followed the common received division of the four cardinal virtues, our social duties form but one head, in the general distribution of his subject. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Porfbits, lile riii. cap. $2,8 \& 9$.
${ }^{2}$ Lib. ix. epist. 10, 2.
${ }^{3}$ [This sentence was added in Edition O.]
${ }^{4}$ Lib. i. cap. 6.
${ }^{5}$ The following passage of Cicfro is worth quoting, as being the most clear and express to our purpose that anything can be imagined, and, in a dispute, which is chiefly verbal, must, on account of the author, carry an authority, from which there can bo no appeal.

- Virtns autem, quæ est per se ipsa landabilis, et sine qua nilil laudari potest, tamen habet plures partes, quarum alia est aliâ ad liudationem aptior. Sunt enim alixe virtutes, qua videntur in maribus hominum et quadam (\%mitate ac bencficentia posite: alim,


#### Abstract

que in ingenii aliqua facultate, aut animi magnitudine ac robore. Nam clementia, justitia, benignitas, fides, fortitudo in periculis commmibus, jucunda est anditu in laudationibus. Omnes enim hee virtutes non tam ipsis, qui cas in se habent, quam generi hominum frutuosa putantur. Sapientia et magnitudo animi. qua omnes res humane temues et pro nihilo putantur; et in engitando wis quaedam ingenii et ipsa eloquentia admirationis habet non minus, jueunditatis minus. Ipsus enim magis videtur, quoslaudamus, quamillos, apud quos laudamus, ornare ac tucri ; sed tamen in laudando jungenda sunt etiam hecernerat virtutum. Ferant enimatares homimun, cum illa quae jurumda et grata, tum firam illa, qua mirahilia smut in wrtite, landari.' De Orat. lih. 2. cap. $8 t$


We need only prruse the titles of rhapteres in Aristothe's Ethies to be eonvinced, that lar ranks comagre, tompranace,
 operness, among the virtues, as well as justice ant friemdship.

Tosmetain and to abstain, that is, to be patient and continent, appeared to some of the ameionts at smmary comprehension of all morals.
bimeterus has samedy ever mentioned the sentiment of humanity amd compassion, but in order to put his discipless on thein guard aganst it. 'The virtne of the Stoies seems to eonsist chiefly in a firm temper, and a sommd moderstanding. With them, as with Solomon and the eastern momalists, folly and wisdom are equivalent to vice and virtue.

Men will praise thee, says David, ${ }^{1}$ when thou dost well unto thyself. I hate a wise man, says the Greer poet, who is not wise to himself. ${ }^{2}$

Plutarch is no more cramped by systems in his philosophy than in his history. Where he compares the great men of Graece and Rome, he fairly sets in opposition all their blemishes and accomplishments of whatever kind, and onits nothing considerable, which can either depress or exalt their characters. His moral discourses contain the same free and natural censure of men and manmers.

The character of Hannibal, as drawn by Livy, ${ }^{8}$ is esteemed partial, but allows him many eminent virtues. Never was there a genius, says the historian, more equally fitted for those opposite offices of commanding and obeying ; and it were, therefore, difficult to determine whether he rendered himself dearer to the general or to the army. To none would Hasdrubal entrust more willingly the conduct of any dangerous enterprize; under none, did the soldiers discover. more courage and confidence. Great boldness in facins danger ; great prudence in the midst of it. No labour eonld fatigue his body or subdue his mind. Cold and heat were indifferent to him: Meat and drink he sought as supplies to

I suppose, if Cicero were now alive, it would be found difficult to fetter his moral sentiments by narrow systems; or persuade him, that no quilities were to be admitted as rirturs or acknowledged to be a part of persomal merit, but what were recommended by The Whole Duty of Mran. [This note was added in Edition Q.]
${ }^{1}$ Psalm 40th.
 ooфós. Exumpides. Fr. 111. [Edition G gives as the referenee: Iucert. apu! Lucianum, apolowria pro mercede conductis. Edition $K$ dues the same in the Text, but make's the correction in the Errital.]
${ }^{3}$ Lil, xxi. cat. 4.

APP.IV. the necessities of nature, not as gratifications of his voluptuous appetites: Waking or rest he used indiscriminately, by night or by day.-_These great VIRTUES were balanced by great VICES: Inhuman cruelty; perfidy more than punic; no truth, no faith, no regard to oaths, promises, or religion.

The character of Alexander the Sixth, to be fomm in Guicciardin, ${ }^{1}$ is pretty similar, but juster ; and is a proof, that even the moderns, where they speak naturally, hold the same language with the ancients. In this pope, says he, there was a singular capacity and judgment: Admirable prudence; a wonderful talent of persuasion; and in all momentous enterprizes, a diligence and dexterity incredible. But these virtues were infinitely overbalanced by his rices; no faith, no religion, insatiable avarice, exorbitant ambition, and a more than barbarous cruelty.

Polybius, ${ }^{2}$ reprehending Timeus for his partiality against Agathocles, whom he himself allows to be the most cruel and impions of all tyrants, says: If he took refuge in Syracuse, as asserted by that historian, flying the dirt and smoke and toil of lis former profession of a potter; and if proceeding from such slender beginnings, he became master, in a little time, of all Sicily; brought the Carthaginian state into the ntmost danger ; and at last died in old age, and in possession of sorereign dignity: Must he not be allowed something prodigions and extraordinary, and to have possessed great talents and capacity for business and action? His historian, therefore, ought not to have alone rolated what tended to his reproach and infimy; but also what might redound to his PRAISE and IIONOUR.

In genteral, we may observe, that the distinction of roluntary or involuntary was little regarded by the ancients in their moral reasonings ; where they frequently treated the question as very doubtful, whether rirtue conld be tanght on not? ${ }^{3}$ They justly considered, that cowardice, meanness, levity, anxioty, impatience, folly, and many other qualities of the mind, might appear ridiculons and deformed, contemptiblo and odious, thongh ind"pondent of the will. Nor could it

[^132][^133]be supposed, at all times, in erory man's power to attain APP.IN. every kind of montal, more than of exterior leanty.
 pesed to make, in surgesting the reasem, why moltron philosephors have often followed a course, in their moral compiriess. so liffornt from that of the ancoirnts. In latere times, philostphy of all kimds, espectially ethics, have beath more closily mated with theolorg than over they wore (b)served to be among ther Weatherns; and as this lattere seioncer admits of moterms of eomposition, but benls avery branch of knowledge to its own parpose, withont munh regrard to the phernomerat of mature, or to the mblitnsed sentiments of the mind, hence reasoning, and "ren lanernagr, have been waped from their natural course, and distinctions have been enderwoured to be established, where thedifferenoe of the objects wats, in a manner, imperceptible. Philosophers, or mather divines muder that disguise, treating all morals, as on a like fonting with civil laws, granded by the sanctions of reward and pumishment, were necessarily led to render this eiremmstanere of colmentary or involuntary, the fombation of their whole theory. Every one may employ tromes in what spllse he pleases: But this, in the mean time, must be. allowed, that sentiments are every day experiencerl of blama and praise, which have objects beyond the dominion of the will or choier, and of whieh it behores us, if not ats moralists, as spereulatime philosophers at least, to give some satisfactory theory and explication.

A blemish, a fault, a vice, a crime; these expressions seem to denote different degrees of censmre and disapprobation ; which are, howerer, all of them, at the botom, pretty noarly of the same kind or species. The explication of one will easily lead us into a just conception of the others $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}$ and it is of areater comsequence to attend to things than to verbal appeilations. 'That we owe a duty to omrselves is confessed eren in the most valgar system of morals; and it must be of con:sequence to examine that duty, in order to see wherther it bears any afthinty to that which we owe to society. It is probahb. that the apmobation, attending the observance of hoth, is of a similar natmre, and arises from similar principles; whatever appellation we may give to either of these excellencies.

[^134]

## A DIALOGUE.'

My friend, Palamedes, who is as great a rambler in his, primeiples as in his person, and who has run over, by stmey and travel, almost every region of the int dllectual and material world, smprized me lately with an account of a mation, with whom, he told me, he had passed at considerable part of his, life, and whonk, he fomme, in the main, a people extremely civilized and intelligent.

There is a comtry, said he, in the world, called Fourif, no matter for its longitude or latitude, whose inhabitants have ways of thinking, in many things, particularly in morals, diametrically opposite to ours. When I came among them, I fiom that I must submit to double pains; first to learn the meaning of the terms in their language, amd then to know the import of those terms, and the praise or blame attached to them. After a word had been explained to me, and the chat racter, which it expressed, had been described, I concluden, that such an epithet must necessarily be the greatest reproach in the world; and was extreniely surprized to find one in a public company, apply it to a person, with whom he lived in the strictest intimacy and friendship. You funcy, said I, one day, to an acquaintance, thet Chavguts is your mortal enemy: I love to extinguish quarrels; and I must, therefiree, tell you, thut I heard him talk of you in the most olliginy mamer. But to my great astonishment, when I repeated Changuis": wards, though I had both remembered and understood them perfectly, I found, that they were taken for the most mortal affront, and that I had very imocently rendered the breach between these persons altogether irreparable.

As it was my fortune to come among this people on a very adrantagenus footing, I was immediately introluced to the best company; and being desired by Auchaic to live with him, I readily accepted of his invitation; as I found himmeresally

[^135]esteemed for his personal merit, and indeed regarded by evers one in Fourli, as a perfect character.

One evening he invited me, as an amusement, to bear him company in a serenade, which he intended to give to Gulki, with whom, he told me, he was extremely enamoured; and I soon found that his taste was not singular: For we met many of his rivals, who had come on the same errand. I very naturally concluded, that this ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ mistress of his must be one of the finest women in town; and I already felt a secret inclination to see her, and be acquainted with her. But as the moon began to rise, I was much surprized to find, that we were in the midst of the university, where Gulki studied: And I was somewhat ashamed for having attended my friend, on such an errand.

I was afterwards told, that Alcheic's choice of Gulki was very much approved of by all the good company in town ; and that it was expected, while he gratified his own passion, he would perform to that young man the same good office, which he had himself owed to Elcouf. It seems Alcheic had been very handsome in his youth, had been courted by many lovers; but had bestowed his favours chiefly on the sage Elcour; to whom he was supposed to owe, in a great measure, the astonishing progress which he had made in philosophy and virtue.

It gave me some surprize, that Alcheic's wife (who by-theby happened also to be his sister) was no wise scandalized at this species of infidelity.

Much about the same time I discovered (for it was not attempted to be kept a secret from me or any body) that Alcheic was a murderer and a parricide, and had put to death an imocent person, the most nearly connected with him, and whom he was bound to protect and defend by all the ties of nature and humanity. When I asked, with all the caution and deference imaginable, what was his motive for this action ; he replied coolly, that he was not then so much at ease in his circumstances as he is at present, and that he had acted, in that particular, by the advice of all his friends.

Having heard Alcheic's virtue so extremely celebrated, I pretended to join him in the general roice of acclamation, and only asked, by way of curiosity, as a stranger, which of all his noble actions was most lighly applanded; and I soon foum, that all sentiments were united in giving the preference to the

[^136]assassination of Unbrk. This Usber hat lnon tu tha last moment $A$ lenere's intimate friend, had laid many hior ohdigations upon him, hat even sumed his life on at certaln oreasiom, and had, by his will, which was fonmd after thremumber, mande him lade to a considerable part of his fortume. Abonste, it serens, conspired with about twonty or thirty monre, most of them also UsBek"s friends; and fialling all together on that mahapy man, when he was mot aware, they had torne him with a humdred womds ; and givm him that reward for all his past favoms and obligations. Usbrk, sabl tho fondral voice of the people, had many groat and good qualitioss: Ilis very vices were shining, magnificent, and wenerous: Jut this action of Alemeic's sets him far abowe LSBEK in the eyes of all judges of merit ; and is one of the noblest that ever perhaps the sum shone upon.

Another part of Alcheic's conduct, which I also fommd highly applauded, was his behaviom towards Calisir, with whom he was joined in a project or undertaking of some importance. Calish, being a passionate man, gave Abcheic, one day, a somnd drubbing; which he took very pationtly, waited the return of Cafisir's good-hmmour, kept still a fail correspondence with him ; and by that moans brought the attiar, in which they were joined, to a hapy issue, and gramed to himself immortal honom by his remarkable temper and moderation.

I have lately received a letter from a correspondent in Fourli, by which I learn, that, since my departure, Aicnfre, falling into a bad state of health, has farly hanged himself; and has died universally regretted and applauded in that comtry. So virtuous and noble a life, says each Foumbian, conld not be better crowned than by so noble an end; and Alcheic, has proved by this, as well as by all his other actions, what was his constant principle during his life, and what he beasteri of near his last moments, that a wise man is scarerely inferior to the great god, Virgis. This is the name of the suprem. deity among the Fourlians.

The notions of this people, contimed Patamedes, are as extraordinary with regard to gond-mamers and sociableness, as with regatd to morals. My friend Abcume fimmed once a party formy entertainment, composed of all the prime wits and philosophers of Fourhi ; and eath of ms bromght his mess along with him to the place where we asmmbled. I observed
one of them to be worse provided than the rest, and offered him a share of my mess, whicli happened to be a roasted pullet: And I could not but remark, that he and all the rest of the company smiled at my simplicity. I was told, that Alcheic had once so much interest with his club as to prevail with them to eat in common, and that he had made use of an artifice for that purpose. He persuaded those, whom he observed to be worst provided, to offer their mess to the company; after which, the others, who had brought more delicate fare, were ashamed not to make the same offer. This is regarded as so extraordinary an event, that it has since, as I learn, been recorded in the history of Alcheic's life, composed by one of the greatest geniuses of Fourd.

Pray, said I, Palamedes, when you were at Fourli, did you also learn the art of turning your friends into ridicule, by tellmg them strange stories, and then laughing at them, if they believed you. I assure you, replied he, had I been disposed to learn such a lesson, there was no place in the world more proper. My friend, so often mentioned, did nothing, from morning to night, but sueer, and banter, and rally ; and you could scarcely ever distinguish, whether he were in jest or earnest. But you think then, that my story is improbable; and that I lave used, or rather abused the privilege of a traveller. To be sure, said I, you were but in jest. Such barbarous and savage manners are not only incompatible with a civilized, intelligent people, such as you said these were; but are scarcely compatible with hman nature. They exceed all we ever read of, among the Mingrelians, and Topinamboues.

Have a care, cried he, have a care! You are not aware that you are speaking blasphemy, and are abusing your favourites, the Greers, especially the Athenians, whom ! have couched, all along, under these bizarre names I employed. If yon consider aright, there is not one stroke of the foregoing character, which might not be found in the man of highest merit at Athens, without diminishing in the least from the brightness of his character. The amours of the Greens, their marriages ', and the exposing of their chilaren camot but strike you immediately. The death of Usbek is an exact counter-part to that of Cessar.

[^137]All fo atrifle, sail I, interraptiner him: You did notmention that Lisbek was an usurper.

I diul not, rephiod he; lest you should discover the paralle. I I aimmat. But evern addimg this riremastaner, we shombl make 310 simple, accordiner to omr sentiments of morals, to denomimate brUTUs, and C'AssiUs, moratefal traitors amd assassins: 'Thomerh you know, that they are, ferhips, the hierhest chatlacters of all antiquity ; and the Atumaians crected statues to them ; which they placed near those of Hakmonsts and Anistogron, their own deliverers. And if fon think this eircumstance, which yon mention, so material to absolve these patriots. I shall compensiate it by another, not mentioned, which will equally agravate their crime. A few days before the execution of their fatal purpose, they all swore tealty to ( ${ }^{\text {rfsat }}$; and protesting to hold his person ever selered, they touched the altar with those hands, which they had already armed for his destruction. ${ }^{1}$

I need not remind you of the fimons and applanded story of Thrmistocles, and of his patience towards Evrybiades, the spantan, his commanding officer, who, heated by debate, lifted his cane to him in a council of war (the same thing as it he had culgelled him), Strike! cries the Atmentan, strike! but herer mp.

Iou are too yood a scholar not to diseover the ironical Socrates and his Athenian club in my last story ; and yon will certanly observe, that it is exactly copicel from Aenophos, with a variation only of the names. ${ }^{2}$ And I think I have fairly made it aprear, that an Athexian man of merit might be such a one as with us would pases for incestuons, a parricide, an assassin, an morratefinl, perjured traitor, and something else too abomimable to be named; not to mention his rusticity and ill-manners. And having lived in this manner, his death might be entirely suitable: Le might conclude the scene by a deoperate ant of selfmurder, and die with the most ahsurd blasphemies in his montle. And notwithstanding all this, he shall haw statnes, if not altars, erected to his mimory ; prems and oration* shall be composed in his praiso : great sects shall be prond of calling themselves by his name; and the most distant posterity shall blindly continue their admiration: Thongh

[^138]were such a one to arise among themselves, they would justly regard him with horror and execration.
I might have been aware, replied I , of your artifice. You seem to take pleasure in this topic: and are indeed the only man I ever knew, who was well acquainted with the ancients, and did not extremely admire them. But instead of attacking their philosophy, their eloquence, or poetry, the usual subjects of controversy between us, you now seem to impeach their morals, and accuse them of ignorance in a science, which is the only one, in my opinion, in which they are not surpassed by the moderns. Geometry, physics, astronomy, anatomy, botany, geography, navigation ; in these we justly claim the superiority: But what have we to oppose to their moralists? Your representation of things is fillacious. You have no indulgence for the manners and customs of different ages. Would you try a Greek or Roman by the common law of England? Hear him defend himself by his own maxims ; and then pronounce.

There are no manners so innocent or reasonable, but may be rendered odious or ridiculous, if measured by a standard, unknown to the persons; especially, if you employ a little art or eloquence, in aggravating some circumstances, and extenuating others, as best suits the purpose of your discourse. All these artifices may easily be retorted on you. Could I inform the Athenians, for instance, that there was a nation, in which adultery, both active and passive, so to speak, was in the highest vogue and esteem : In which every man of education chose for his mistress a married woman, the wife, perhaps, of his friend and companion ; and valued himself upon these infamous conquests, as much as if he had been several times a conqueror in boxing or wrestling at the Olympie games: In which every man also tools a pride in his tameness and facility with regard to his own wife, and was glad to make friends or gain interest by allowing her to prostitute her charms; and even, withont any such motive, give her full liberty and imdugence: I ask, what sentiments the Athenians would entertain of such i. people; they who never mentioned the crime of adultery but in conjunction with roblery and poisoning? Which would they admire most, the villany or the meanness of such a conluct?

Should I add, that the same people were as proud of their
slavery and dependance as the Athemiane of their libarty; ant though a man among them were opperessed, disuracent, impoverished, insulted, or imprisoned by the tyrant, he would still rengard it as the highest merit to love, serve, and oney lime and even to die for his smallest grory or satisfac:1ion: These noble (irefes would probably ask me, whether 1 spoke of a human society, or of some inferior, servile spercies.

It was then I might inform my Athmaina audience, that these people, however, wanted not spirit and bravery. If a man, say I, though their intimate friend, should throw ont, in a private company, a raillery against them, nearly appronching any of those, with which your generals and demagroges every day regale each other, in the face of the whon city, they never can forgive him; but in order to revenge themselves, they oblige him immediately to run them through the boly, or be himself murdered. And if a man, who is an absolute stranger to them, should desire them, at the peril of their own life, to cut the throat of their bosmm-companion. they immediately obey, and think themselves highly obligent and honoured by the commission. These are their maxim. of honour : This is their favourite morality.

But though so ready to draw their sword against their friends and countrymen; no disgrace, no infamy, no lain, no poverty will ever engage these people to turn the point of it against their own breast. A man of rank would row in the gallies, would beg his bread, wonld languish in prison, would suffer any tortures; and still preserve his wretched life. Rather than escape his enemies by a generous comtempt of death, he would infamously receive the same death from his enemies, agrravated by their trimmphant insults. and by the most exquisite sufferings.

It is very usual too, continued I, among this people to erect jails, where every art of phaguing and tormenting the mhappy prisoners is carefully studied and practised: And in these jails it is usual for a parent vohutanily to shut up several of his children; in order, that another child. whom he owns to have no greater or rather less merit than the rest, may enjoy his whole fortune, and walluw in every kind of voluptnousness and pleasure. Nothing so virtuons in their opinion as this barbarous partiality.

But what is more singular in this whimsical mation, say I
to the Athenians, is, that a frolic of yours durmg the Saturnalial, when the slaves are served by their masters, is seriously continued by them throughout the whole year, and throughout the whole course of their lives; accompanied too with some circumstances, which still farther augment the absurdity and ridicule. Your sport only elevates for a few days those whom fortune has thrown down, and whom she too, in sport, may really elevate for ever above you: But this nation gravely exalts those, whom nature has subjected to them, and whose inferiority and infirmities are absolutely incurable. The women, though without virtue, are their masters and sovereigns: These they reverence, praise, and magnify : To these, they pay the highest deference and respect: And in all places and all times, the superiority of the females is readily acknowledged and submitted to by every one, who has the least pretensions to education and politeness. Scarce any crime would be so miversally detested as an infraction of this rule.

You need go no further, replied Palamedes; I can easily conjecture the people whom you aim at. The strokes, with which you have painted them, are pretty just; and yet you must acknowledge, that scarce any people are to be found, either in ancient or modern times, whose national character is, upon the whole, less liable to exception. But I give you thanks for helping me out with my argument. I had no intention of exalting the moderns at the expence of the ancients. I only meant to represent the uncertainty of all these judgments concerning characters; and to convince you, that fashion, vogue, custom, and law, were the chicf foundation of all moral determinations. The Athenians surely, were a civilized, intelligent people, if ever there were one; and yet their man of merit might, in this age, be held in horror and execration. The Frenci are also, without doubt, a very civilized, intelligent people; and yet their mad of merit might, with the Athenians, be an object of the highest contempt and ridionle, and even hatred. And what renders the matter more extraordinary: These two people are supposed to be the most similar in their national character of any in ancient and modern times; and while the

[^139]Winghan flatter themselves that they resemome the Romanis, their neighbours on the eontinent draw the parallel betwern themselves and those polite Gmaris. What wifle difference, threfore, in the sentiments of morals, mast be fommd bertween eivilized nations and Barbarians, or betwren mations whose eharaters have littlo in common? How shatl we pretent to fix a standard for juldements of this nature ?

By tracing matters, rephed 1 , a litthe higher, and "xamining the first principles, which each mation extablistres, of blame or censure. The Rinne flows north, the linowe south; get both spring from the same monntain, and are also actuated, in their oprosite dinections, by the sume principle of gravity. The different inclinations of the gromed, on which they run, cause all the difference of their courses.

In how many circumstances would an Athenian and a French man of merit certainly resemble each othere! Cood sense, knowledge, wit, eloquence, lumanity, fidelity, truth, justice, courage, temperance, constancy, dignity of mind: 'These you have all omitted; in order to insist only on the points, in which they may, by accident, differ. Very well: I am willing to comply with you; and shall endeavour to accomit for these differences from the most universal, established principles of morals.

The Grear loves, I care not to examine more particularly. I shall only observe, that, however blameable, they arose from a very immocent cause, the frequency of the tymnastic exercises among that people; and were recommended, though absurdly, as the source of friendship, sympathy, mutual attachment, and fidelity; ${ }^{1}$ qualities esteemed in all mations and all ages.

The marriage of half-brothers and sisters seems no great difficulty. Love between the nearer relations is contrary to reason and public utility ; but the precise point, where we are to stop, can scarcely be determined by natural reasou: and is therefore a very proper subject for municipal law or custom. If the Athentans went a little too fir on the one side, the canon law has surely pushed matters a great way into the other extreme. ${ }^{2}$

Had you asked a parent at AThens, why he bereaved

[^140]his child of that life, which he had so lately given it. It is because I love it, he would reply; and regard the poverty which it must inherit from me, as a greater evil than death, which it is not capable of dreading, feeling, or resenting. ${ }^{1}$

How is public liberty, the most valuable of all blessings, to be recovered from the hands of an usurper or tyrant, if his power shields him from publie rebellion, and our scruples from private vengeance? That his crime is capital by law, you acknowledge: And must the highest aggravation of his crime, the putting of himself above law, form his full security? You can reply nothing, but by showing the great inconveniencies of assassination; which could any one have proved clearly to the ancients, he had reformed their sentiments in this particular.

Again, to cast your eye on the picture which I have drawn of modern manners; there is almost as great difficulty, I acknowledge, to justify French as Greek gallantry; except only, that the former is much more natural and agreeable than the latter. But our neighbours, it seems, have resolved to sacrifice some of the domestic to the sociable pleasures: and to prefer ease, freedom, and an open commerce, to a strict fidelity and constancy. These ends are both good, and are somewhat difficult to reconcile; nor need we be surprised, if the customs of nations incline too much, sometimes to the one side, sometimes to the other.

The most inviolable attachment to the laws of our country is every where acknowledged a capital virtne; and where the people are not so happy, as to have any legrislature but a single person, the strictest loyalty is, in that case, the triest patriotism.

Nothing surely can be more absurd and barbarous than the practice of duelling ; but those, who justify it, say, that it begets eivility and good-manners. And a duellist, you may observe, always values himself upon his courage, his sense of honour, his fidelity and friendship; qualities, which are here indeed very oddly directed, but which have been esteemed universally, since the foundation of the world.

Have the gods forbid self-murder? An Atiminian allows, that it ought to be forborn. Has the Deity permitted it?

[^141]A Fremoman allows, that death is preferable to pain and infamy.

You see then, contimed I, that the principles mon which mern reason in morals are always the same; thongh the cemchasions which they draw are often wery different. That they all reason aright with regard to this subject, more than with regard to any other, it is not incumbent on any moralist to show. It is sufficient, that the original principles of censme or blame are uniform, and that erromems conclusions can be corrected by sounder reasoning and larger experience. Thourh many ages have elapsed since the fall of (ibebef and Rome; though many changes have arrived in religion, language, laws, and customs; none of these revolutions has ever produced any considerable innovation in the prinary sentiments of morals, more than in those of external beanty. Sone minnte differences, perhaps, may be observed in both. Horace ${ }^{1}$ celebrates a low forehead, and Avacreon juined eye-brows: ${ }^{2}$ Sut the Apollo and the Vexts of antignity are still our models for male and female beanty: in like manner as the character of Scipio contimes our stambat for the glory of heroes, and that of Cornelina for the honour of matrons.

It appears, that there never was any quality recommendod hy any one, as a virtue or moral excellence. but on acconnt of its being useful, or agreenble to a man himself, or to whers. For what other reason can ever be assigned for fraise on approbation? Or where would be the sense of extolling a !pod character or action, which, at the same time, is allowed to be gour for mothing? All the differences, therefore, in morals, may be reduced to this one gremeral fumdation, and may be accounted for by the different views, which people take of these circumstances.

Sometimes men differ in their judgment about the usefulness of any habit or action: Sometimes also the pecouliar cireumstances of things render one moral quality more useful than others, and give it a peenliar preference.

It is not surprising, that, during a period of war and disorder, the military virtues should be mome celebrated than the pacific, and attract more the admiration and attention

[^142][^143]of mankind. 'How usual is it,' says Tully,' 'to find Cimbrians, Celitiberians, and other Barbarians, who bear, with inflexible constancy, all the fatigues and dangers of the field; but are immediately dispirited under the pain and hazard of a languishing distemper: While, on the other hand, the Greers patiently endure the slow approaches of death, when armed with sickness and disease; but timorously fly his presence, when he attacks them violently with swords and talchions!' So different is even the same virtue of courage among warlike or peaceful nations! And indeed, we may ubserve, that, as the difference between war and peace is the greatest that arises among nations and public societies, it produces also the greatest variations in moral sentiment, and diversifies the most our ideas of virtue and personal merit.

Sometimes too, magnanimity, greatness of mind, disdain of slavery, inflexible rigour and integrity, may better suit the circumstances of one age than those of another, and have a more kindly influence, both on public affairs, and on a man's own safety and advancement. Our idea of merit, therefore, will also vary a little with these variations; and Labeo, perhaps, be censured for the same qualities, which procured Сато the highest approbation.

A degree of luxury may be ruinous and pernicious in a native of Switzerland, which only fosters the arts, and encourages industry in a Freichman or Engitishman. We are not, therefore, to expect, either the same sentiments, or the same laws in Berne, which prevail in London or Paris.

Different customs have also some influence as well as different utilities; and by giving an early biass to the mind, may produce a superior propensity, either to the useful or the agreeable qualities ; to those which regard self, or those which extend to society. These four sources of moral sentiment still subsist; but particular accidents may, at one time, make any one of them flow with greater abundance than at another.

The customs of some nations shat up the women from all social commerce: Those of others make them so essential a part of society and conversation, that, except where business is transacted, the male-sex alone are supposed almost wholly
incapable of matual diseomese ant entertamment. As this difference is the most material that cam hapren in private life, it mast also produce the gratest variation in omr moral sentiments.

Of all nations in the werk, where pelygmy was mot allowed, the Greeks sem to have been the most reservel in their commeree with the feir sex, and to have impored on them the strictest laws of mondesty and deremey. We have a streng instance of this in an cration of Lystas. ${ }^{1}$ A widw ingured, ruined, untone, calls a moeting of a few of hor nearest friends and relations; and thomgh nover beford accustomed, says the orator, to speak in the presence of mem, the distress of her circumstances constraned her to lay the case before them. The very opening of her mouth in such company required, it seems, an apology.

When Demosthenes prosecuted his tutors, to make them refund his patrimony, it beame necessary for him, in the course of the law-suit, to prove that the marriage of Aphobls.s sister with Onetwe was entirely frandulent, and that, notwithstanding her sham marriage, she lad lived with her brother at Athens for two years past, ever since her divorce from her former husband. And it is remarkable, that thengh these were people of the first fortune and distinction in the city, the orator could prove this fact no way, but by calling for her female slaves to be put to the question, and by the evidence of one physician, who had seen her in her brother's honse during her illness. ${ }^{2}$ So reserved were (ibenk mamers.

We may be assured, that an extreme purity of mamers was the consequence of this reserve. Accordingly we find, that, except the fabulous stories of an Helen and a Chythmnestra, there scarcely is an instance of any eront in the Greek history, which proceded fiom the intrigues of women. On the other hand, in modern times, particularly in a neighbouring nation, the females enter into all transactions and all mauagement of church and state: And no man can expect success, who takes not care to obtain their crmal graces. Harry the third, by incurring the displeasme of the fair, endangered his crown, and lost his life, as much as by his indulgence to heresy.

It is needless to dissemble: The conserfuence of a very free conmerce betweer the sexes, and of their living much

[^144]together, will often terminate in intrigues and gallantry. We must sacrifice somewhat of the useful, if we be very anxious to obtain all the agreeable qualities; and caunot pretend to reach alike every kind of advantage. Instances of licence, daily multiplying, will weaken the scandal with the one sex, and teach the other, by degrees, to adopt the famous maxim of La Fontaine, with regard to female infidelity, that if one knows it, it is lut a smull matter; if one knows it not, it is nothing. ${ }^{1}$

Some people are inclined to think, that the best way of adjusting all differences, and of keeping the proper medium between the agrecable and the useful qualities of the sex, is to live with them after the manner of the Romans and the Engmash (for the customs of these two nations seem similar in this respect ${ }^{2}$ ); that is, without gallantry, ${ }^{3}$ and without jealousy. By a parity of reason, the customs of the Spaniards and of the Italians of an age ago (for the present are very different) must be the worst of any; because they favour both gallantry and jealousy.

Nor will these different customs of nations affect the one sex only: Their idea of personal merit in the males mustalso be somewhat different with regard, at least, to conversation, address, and humour. The one nation, where the men live much apart, will naturally more approve of prudence; the other of gaiety. With the one simplicity of manners will be in the highest esteem; with the other, politeness. The one will distinguish themselves by good-sense and judgment; the other, by taste and delicacy. The eloquence of the former will shine most in the senate; that of the other, on the theatre.

These, I say, are the natural effects of such customs. For it must be confesser, that chance las a great influence on national manners; and many events happen in society, which are not to be accounted for by general rules. Who could imagine, for instance, that the Romans, who lived freely

[^145]witl their women, shonld be riry indiflerent abont music, and esterm dancing infamons: While the (iREFKS, whonever almost saw a woman but in their own homses, were continually pipinge singing, and dancing !

The differences of moral statiment, which matmally arise from a republiean or monarchical wovernment, are alse wery obvious; as well as those which procemb fiom gramal rirhes or porerty, union or faction, ignomane or learning. I shall eonelude this long discourse with observing, that different customs and situations vary not the wriminal ithens of morit (however they may, some consi(flontors) in any rery (ossont inl point, and prevail chiefly with regarl to yonner mon, who ran aspire to the agreable qualitios, and may attempt to please. The MANNER, the ORNAMENTS, the (iR.t('以), which succeed in this shape, are more arbitrary ant casmal: But the merit of riper gears is almost every where the samf; and consists ehiefly in interrity, hmanity, ability, linewledge, and the other more solid and useful qualities of the human mind.

What you insist m, replied Patamedes, may hate some foundation, when you adhere to the maxims of eommon life and ordinary eonduct. Experience and the practice of the work readily correet any great extravaranee on either sime. Fat what say you to artificial lives amt mamers? How du vou reconcile the maxims, on which, in different ages and nations, these are founded?

What do yon moderstand by artificial lives and mamers? said I. I explain myself, replied he. Kon know, that religine had, in ancient times, very little influence on common litio, and that, after men had perfomed their duty in sacrificos and prayers at the temple, they thourht, that the gous loft the rest of their conduct to themselves, and were little pleasti or offended with those virtues or viees, whith muly affecterl the peace and happiness of human society. In those ages, it was the business of philosophy alone to regnlate men's ombinary behaviour and deportment ; and aceordingly, we may observe. that this being the sole principle. by which a man conld elevate himself above his fellows, it acquired a minhey ascendant over many, and produced wrat singularities of maxims and of conduct. At present, when philesophy has lost the alluremont of novelty, it has mosuch extom- ive inthmen; but semms to confue itself mostly to surenlations in
the closet; in the same manner, as the ancient religion was limited to sacrifices in the temple. Its place is now supplied by the modern religion, which inspects our whole conduct, and prescribes an universal rule to our actions, to our words, to our very thoughts and inelinations; a rule so much the more anstere, as it is guarded by infinite, though distant, rewards and punishments; and no infraction of it can ever be concealed or disguised.

Diogenfs is the most celebrated model of extravagant philosophy. Let us seek a parallel to him in modern times. We shall not disgrace any philosophic name by a comparison with the Dominics or Loyolas, or any eanonized monk or friar. Let us compare him to Pascal, a man of parts and genius as well as Diogenes himself; and perhaps too, a man of virtue, had he allowed his virtuous inclinations to have exerted and displayed themselves.

The foundation of Diogenes's conduct was an endeavour to render himself an independent being as much as possible, and to confine all his wants and desires and pleasures within himself and his own mind: The aim of Pascal was to keep a perpetual sense of his dependence before his eyes, and never to forget his numberless wants and infirmities. The ancient supported himself by magnanimity, ostentation, pride, and the idea of his own superiority above his fellowcreatures. The modern made constant profession of humility and abasement, of the contempt and hatred of himself; and endeavoured to attain these supposed virtues, as far as they are attainable. The ansterities of the Grefe were in order to inure himself to hardships, and prevent his ever suffering: Those of the Frenchman were embraced merely for their own sake, and in order to suffer as much as possible. The philosopher indulged himself in the nost beastly pleasures, even in public: The saint refused himself the most imocent, even in private. The former thought it his duty to love lis friends, and to rail at them, and reprove them, and sood them: The latter endearoured to be absolutely indifferent towards his nearest relations, and to love and speak well of his enemies. The great object of Diogmass's wit was every kind of superstition, that is every kind of religion known in lis time. The mortality of the soul was his standard prin(ajle; and even his sentiment.s of a divine providence seem to have been licentions. The most ridiculous sumerstitions
directod Pascal's faith and praction : and and extrome contempt of this life, in comparison of the future, was the ehief fommation of his combuct.

In such ar remarkable contrast do these two men stand: Yat both of them have met with ereneral admiation in the in difliment ages, and have bern proposen as momelsul imitation. Where then is the universal stambad of monals, which yon talk of? Ame what rule shall we establish for the matey different, may eontrary sentiments of mankime

An experiment, said I, which succeeds in the air, will not always succed in a racmom. When mon depart from the maxims of common reason, and affect these "rtiftival lives, as you call them, no one can answer for what will please or displease them. They are in at different clement from the rest of mankind ; and the matural principles of their mind phay woth the same regularity, as if left to themselves, free from the illnsions of religions superstition or philosophical enthasiasm.
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## Natural iIISTORY OF reLigion.

## INTRODUCTION.

As every enquiry, which regards religion, is of the utmote importance, there are two questions in particular, which challenge our attention, to wit, that concerning its foundation in reason, and that concerning its origin in human nature. Happily, the first question, which is the most important, admits of the most obvious, at least, the clearest solution. The whole frame of nature bespeaks an intelligent author ; and no rational enquirer can, after serious reffection, suspend his belief a moment with regard to the primary prineiples of grenuine Theism and Religion. But the other question, concerning the origin of religion in human natmre, is exposed to some more difticulty. The belief of invisible, intelligent power has been very generally diffused over the human race, in all places and in all ages ; but it has neither perhaps been so universal as to admit of no exception, nor has it been, in any degree, uniform in the ideas, which it has suggested. Some nations have been discovered, who entertained no sentiments of Religion, if travellers and historians may be credited; and no two nations, and starce any two men, have ever agreed precisely in the same sentiments. It would appear, therefore, that this preconception springs not from an original instinct or primary impression of nature, such as gives rise to self-love, affection between the sexes, love of progeny, gratitude, resentment: since every instinct of this kind has been found absolutely miversal in all mations and ages, and has always a precise determinate objoct, which it inflexibly pursues. The first religious principhes minst be

[^146]INTRO. DUCTIUN.
secondary; such as may easily be perverted by various accidents and causes, and whose operation too, in some cases, may, by an extraordinary concurrence of circumstances, be altogether prevented. What those principles are, which give rise to the original belief, and what those accidents and causes are, which direct its operation, is the subject of our lresent enquiry.
sect. Sect. I.-That Polytheism was the primary Religion of Men.
It appears to me, that, if we consider the improvement of human society, from rude beginnings to a state of greater perfection, polytheism or idolatry was, and necessarily must have been, the first and most ancient religion of mankind. This opinion I shall endeavour to confirm by the following arguments.

It is a matter of fact incontestable, that about 1,700 years ago all mankind were 'polytheists. The doubtful and sceptical principles of a few philosophers, or the theism, and that too not entirely pure, of one or two nations, form no objection worth regarding. Behold then the clear testimony of history. The farther we mount up into antiquity, the more do we find mankind plunged into ${ }^{2}$ polytheism. No marks, no symptoms of any more perfect religion. The most ancient records of human race still present us with that system as the popular and established creed. The north, the south, the east, the west, give their unanimous testimony to the same fact. What can be opposed to so full an evidence?

As far as writing or history reaches, mankind, in ancient times, appear universally to have been polytheists. Shall we assert, that, in more ancient times, before the knowledge of letters, or the discovery of any art or science, mon entertained the principles of pure theism? That is, while they were ignorant and barbarons, they discovered truth: But foll into error, as som as they acquired learning and politeness.

But in this assertion you not only contrandict all appearance of probability, but also onr $I^{\text {nesent }}$ aperience concerning the principles and opmons of barharoms mations. The savage tribes of America, Africa, and $\Lambda$ sia are all idulaters.

[^147]Not a simgle exeeption to this ruln. Insomuch, that, were wiot
 lar fomm inhahitants cultivated with ants and s.inace, thmern
 thasts, yot could he not safily, till farther inguiry, promomen any thing on that heal : but if har fomb thent ignomat and harbarous, he might beforelamh herlare them idelaters ; and there seareely is a posibility of his boing mintaken.

It semes certain, that, acomaling thene matural procress of human thonght, the ignorant multitule must first mind fan some groveliag and familiar notion of superior powers, befere they stretch their eomerption to that pertect baing, rho bestowed order on the whole firme of nature. We may as reasomably imagine, that men inhabited pataces before lants and cottages, or studind geometry before arricultare: as assert that the Deity appared to them a pure spirit. comniscient, ommipotent, and ommipresent, before he was appehended to be a powerful, though limited being, with luman passions and appetites, limbs and organs. The mind rises gradually, from inferior to superior: By abstracting from what is imprrect, it forms an idea of pertection: And slowly distinguishing the nobler parts of its own fram from the grosser, it learns to transtier only the former, much elevated and refined, to its divinity. Nothing could distmbl) this matural progress of thonght, but some obvious and invincible argument, which might immediately land the mind into the pure principles of theism, and make it averleap, at one bound, the rast interval which is interposed between the homan and the divine mature. But though 1 allow, that the order and frame of the universe. when aceurately examined, affords such an argument; yet I can never think, that this consideration could have an influence on mankind, when they formed their tirst rude notions of religion.

The canses of such objects, as are quite familiar to as, never strike our attention or curiosity; and howerer extraordinary or surprising these objects in themselves, ther are passed over, by the raw and ignorant multituld, withont much examination or enquiry. Adars, rising at mee, in paradise, and in the full perfection of his faculties, would naturally, as represented hy Midrox, ber astonished at the glorions appearanees of mature, the hearens. the air, the
sEcT. carth, his own organs and members; and would be led to ask, whence this wonderful scene arose. But a barbarous, necessitous animal (such as a man is on the first origin of society), pressed by such numerous wants and passions, has no leisure to admire the regular face of nature, or make enquiries concerning the cause of those objects, to which from his infancy he has been gradually accustomed. On the contrary, the more regular and uniform, that is, the more perfect nature appears, the more is he familiarized to it, and the less inclined to scrutinize and examine it. A monstrous birth excites his curiosity, and is deemed a prodigy. It alarms him from its novelty ; and immediately sets him a trembling, and sacrificing, and praying. But an animal, compleat in all its limbs and organs, is to him an ordinary spectacle, and produces no religious opinion or affection. Ask him, whence that animal arose; he will tell you, from the copulation of its parents. And these, whence? From the copulation of theirs. A few removes satisfy his curiosity, and set the objects at such a distance, that he entirely loses sight of them. Imagine not, that he will so much as start the question, whence the first animal; much less, whence the whole system or united fabric of the universe arose. Or, if you start such a question to him, expect not, that he will employ his mind with any anxiety about a subject, so remote, so uninteresting, and which so much exceeds the bounds of his capacity.

But farther, if men were at first led into the belief of one Supreme Being, by reasoning from the frame of nature, they could never possibly leave that belief, in order to cmbrace ${ }^{1}$ polytheism; but the same principles of reason, which at first produced and diffused over mankind, so magnificent an opinion, must be able, with greater facility, to preserve it. The first invention and proof of any doctrine is much more difficult than the supporting and retaining of it.

There is a great difference between historical facts and speculative opinons; nor is the linowledge of the one propagated in the same mamer with that of the other. An historical fact, while it passes by mal tradition from cyewitnesses and contemporaries, is disguised in every successive narration, and may at last retain but very small, if any, re-

[^148]semblaner of the original truth, on which it was fommend. The frail memories of ment their low of exargeration, the ir
$\therefore \%{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{T}$.

1. suphe carelessurss ; these principers, if mot comental by bonlis and writing, soon pervert the areome of historical events; where argument or reasoming has litte or mo plaw, nor can ever recal the truth, whith has once encaped those narrations. It is thas the fables of Hercules, Thesers, baccurs are supposed to have been originally fommed in trole listory, corrupted by tradition. But with reagal to speculative opinions, the case is far otherwise. If these opinions be founded on arguments sor clear ame nhvious ats to eary convietion with the encerality of mankime, the same arguments, which at first diffused the oninims, will still proserve them in their original purity. If the argmonts be more abstruse, and more remote from vulgar apponemsion, the opinions will always be confined to a few persums ; and as soon ats men leave the contemplation of the argments, the opinions will immediately be lost and be burion in obliviom. Whichever side of this dikemma we take, it mnst appear inpossible, that theism could, from reasoning, have been the primary religion of human rate, and have afterwarly, be its compution, given birth to polytheisn and to all the varions sunerstitions of the heathen word. Reasm, when obvions, prevente these corruptions: When ahstrase, it leens the frimiples entirely from the knowledge of the vulgar, who are allune liable to corrupt any principle or opinion.

## Sect. II.- Origin of Polytherism.

If we would, therefore, indulge ome curiosity, in moniring conceming the origin of religion, we mast turn our thmelts towards 'polytheism, the primitive religion of minstructal mankind.

Were men led into the apmehension of invisible, intelligent powe by a contemplation of the work of nature. they comble neve possibly entertain any conceptime hat of ond shagh being. who bestoned existence and ordan wh this rant mathine, and aljusted all its parts, atcorting tome ramhar ghan or commeted system. For thomerh, to fumons uf a certain turn of mind, it may not appear alturether ahame


[^149]sECT. dom, might conspire in the contrivance and execution of one regular plan; yet is this a merely arbitrary supposition, which, even if allowed possible, must be confessed neither to be supported by probability nor necessity. All things in the universe are evidently of a piece. Every thing is adjusted to every thing. One design prevails throughout the whole. And this uniformity leads the mind to acknowledge one author; because the conception of different authors, without any distinction of attributes or operations, serves only to give perplexity to the imagination, without bestowing any satisfaction on the understanding. ${ }^{1}$ The statue of LaOCOON, as we learn from Pliny, was the work of three artists: But it is certain, that, were we not told so, we should never have imagined, that a groupe of figures, cut from one stone, and united in one plan, was not the work and contrivance of one statuary. To ascribe any single effect to the combination of several canses, is not surely a natural and obvious supposition.

On the other hand, if, leaving the works of nature, we trace the footsteps of invisible power in the various and contrary events of human life, we are necessarily led into polytheism and to the acknowledgment of several limited and imperfect deities. Storms and tempests ruin what is nourisheel by the sun. The sun destroys what is fostered by the moisture of dews and rains. War may be favourable to a nation, whom the inclemency of the seasons aftlicts with famine. Sickness and pestilence may depopulate a kingdom, amidst the most profuse plenty. The same nation is not, at the same time, equally successful by sea and by land. And a nation, which now trimmphs over its enemies, may anon submit to their more prosperous arms. In short, the conduct of events, or what we call the phan of a particular provitence, is so full of variety and uncertainty, that, if we suppose it immediately ordered by any intelligent beings, we must acknowledge a contraniety in their designs and intentions, a constant combat of opposite powers, and a repentance or change of intention in the same power, from impotence or levity. Each mation has its tutelar deity. Each element is subjected to its invisible power orent. The province of cach grod is sequate from that of another. Nor are the

[^150]
 and satrilicos，ritos and coremonies，well or ill performed，are
 or ill fortume，whicle are to be fomm amonerst mankinal．

Wre may conchade，therefore，that，in all mations，which have ambraced polytheism，tha first ideas of redigion armse not from a contemplation of the work of nature，but from at
 incessant hopes amd fears，which atetnate the hmman mind．
 the provinees of their deities，have reeonse fo that invisible asont，to whose anthority they are immodiately subjertert， and whose province it is to sunerintend that fonmse of atetions，in whel they are，at any time，enoraced．Jove is invoked at mariages；Lucina at birtlis．Nprrtane re－ ceives the pragers of seamen；and Mars of warriors．＇Tla＇ hasbandman coltivates his fied moler the protertion of Cemes ；and the merehant acknowledges the anthority of Merciris．Each matmal event is supposed to be governed by some inteiligent agent；and nothing prosperous or adrerse can happen in life，which may not be the subject of peculiar prayers or thanksorvings．${ }^{2}$

It must neeresarily，inteed，be allowed，that，in order to carry men＇s intention beyond the present comse of things，or leal them into any inference concerning invisiblo intelionent power，they mast be actated by some passion，which prompts their thonght amb reflection；some motive，which wers their first enquiry．But what passion shatl we hore have recomse to，tor explainimg and effect of such mighty eomar－
 of truth．＇That motive is too refined for such grose alpur－ hensions；and would lad men into enguibes romernabing
 for their namow capacities．Nor pasions，therefore can he
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SECT. supposed to work upon such barbarians, but the ordinary affections of human life; the anxious concern for happiness, the dread of future misery, the terror of death, the thirst of revenge, the appetite for food and other necessaries. Agitated by hopes and fears of this nature, especially the latter, men scrutinize, with a trembling curiosity, the course of future causes, and examine the various and contrary events of human life. And in this disordered scene, with eyes still more disordered and astonished, they see the first obscure traces of divinity.

## SECT. III.-The same subject continued.

We are placed in this world, as in a great theatre, where the true springs and causes of every event are entirely concealed from us; nor lave we either sufficient wislom to foresee, or power to prevent those ills, with which we are continually threatened. We hang in perpetual suspence between life and death, health and sickness, plenty and want; which are distributed amongst the human species by secret and unknown causes, whose operation is oft unexpected, and always unaccountable. These unknown ctuses, then, become the constant object of our hope and fear ; and while the passions are kept in perpetual alarm by an anxious expectation of the events, the imagination is equally employed in forming ideas of those powers, on which we have so entire a dependance. Could men anatomize nature, according to the most probable, at least the most intelligible philosophy, they wonld find, that these causes are nothingo but the particular fabric and structure of the minute parts of their own bodies and of external objects ; and that, by a regular and constant machinery, all the events are produced, about which they are so much eoncerned. But this philosophy exceeds the comprehension of the ignorant multitude, who can only conceive the mhnomm canses in a general and confusedmanner; thomerh their imagination, perpetnally employed on the same subject, must labome to form some particular and distinct inder of them. The more they consider these carises themselyes, and the meertainty of thoir operation, the less satisfaction do they meet with in thein rescarehes; ant, howner mowillines, they must at last have

 Hhem semes satisfaction.
'There is an universal tendoney among mankind to "onceive all beings like themselves, and to transfer for ery objeet, those qualitios, with which thry are familiarly aphanted, and of which they are intimataly conseroms. Wra find homan faces in the moon, armins in the elonds; and by a natural propensity, if not corrotad by experionce and reflection, ascribe malice or $\underset{\text { rond-will to erery thing that }}{ }$ lurts or pleases us. Hence the frepueney and limaty of the forsopoperia in poetry; where trees, momatains and itreams :to personified, and the inanimate parts of nature andmire sisntinent and passion. And thourh these poetical figures and expressions wain mot on the belinf, they may serve, at least, to prove a certan tembency in the imagination, without which they eould meither be beantifin nor natmal. Nor is a river-god or hamatryad always taken for a mere peotical or inmomary personage ; but may sometimes enter into the real ereed af the ignorant vulgar ; while adell growe or firla is represented as possessed of a particular genime or invisible powar, which inhabits and protects it. Nay, philosophers tamment entirely exempt themselves from this matmal frailty ; but have oft ascribed to inanimate matter the horror of a rummen. sympathies, antipathies, and other affections of lmman matnre. The absurdity is not less, while we cast our byos upwards; and transferringe, as is too usnal, luman passions and infirmities to the deity, represent him as jealous and revengeful, capricions and partial, and, in short, a wicked and foolish man, in every respect but his superior power and authority. No wonder, then, that mankind, being placed in such an absolute ignorance of causes, and being at the samo time so anxious concerning their future fortune, shoulil immediately acknowledge a dependence on invisible puwers, possessed of sentiment and intelligence. The unknourn cansers, which continually employ their thought, appearing always in the same aspect, are all apprehended to be of the samme kind or species. Nor is it long before we ascribe to them thought and reason and passion, amd sometimes eren the limbs and figures of men, in oreler to bring them nearer to a resemblance with ourselves.

In propertion as any man's eourse of lifo is eroverned by accitent, We always find, that he encreases in superation ;
secr. as may particularly be observed of gamesters and sailors, who, though, of all mankind, the least capable of serious reflection, abound most in frivolous and superstitions apprehensions. The gods, says Coriolanus in Dionyside, ${ }^{1}$ have an influence in every affair ; but above all, in war; where the event is so uncertain. All human life, especially before the institution of order and good government, being sulbject to fortuitous accidents ; it is natural, that superstition should prevail every where in barbarous ages, and put men on the most earnest enquiry concerning those invisible powers. who dispose of their happiness or misery. Ignorant of astronomy and the anatomy of plants and animals, and too little curious to observe the admirable adjustment of final causes; they remain still unacquainted with a first and supreme creator, and with that infinitely perfect spirit, who alone, by his ahmighty will, bestowed order on the whole frame of nature. Such a magnificent idea is too big for their narrow conceptions, which can neither observe the beauty of the work, nor comprehend the grandeur of its author. They suppose their deities, however potent and invisible, to be nothing but a species of human creatures, perhaps raised from among mankind, and retaining all human passions and appetites, together with corporeal limbs and organs. Such limited beings, though masters of human fate, being, each of them, incapable of extending his influence every where, must be rastly multiplied, in order to answer that rariety of events, which happen over the whole face of nature. Thus every place is stored with a crowd of local deities; and thus polytheism has prevailed, and still prevails, among the greatest part of uninstructed mankind. ${ }^{2}$

Any of the human affections may lead us into the notion of invisible, intelligent power ; hope as well as fear, gratitude as well as affliction : But if we examine our own learts, on observe what passes aromed us, we shall find, that men are

[^152] by the agreable passions. Prosurity is easily mowisel as
 author. It begets cheerfuhess and adivity and alacrity amb a lively emjoyment of erery social amd smsmal pleasme: And during this state of mind, men lave little bisme or inelination to think of the mknown invisible ragions. (On the other hand, every disastroms aceident alame the athl suts ins on onquiries concerning the primeples whene it arose: Apprehensions spring mp with regrard to fotmity: And the mind, smenk inte diffiduce, terror, and melineluly, hats recourse to every method of apoasing those soeret intelligent powers, on whom our fortume is suppersed atirely to depend.

No topic is more usual with all pepular divines than to display the advantages of aftliction, in bringing men to a dae sense of religion; by subluing their comfinmee ant semsuality, which, in times of prosperity, make them forgetful of a divine provilence. Nor is this topic confined merely to modern redigions. The ancients have also monded it. Fortune hus were liberally, without enry, says a (ireek his-

 th order to chastize men into " reverence for the !!whe, whom, in a comtinned course of prosperity, they wie "p,t to urylect ant furget.

What age or period of life is the most adelicted to superstition? The weakest and most timid. What sex? The same answer must be given. The lenders and cacmples of every kind of sumerstition, says Strabo, ${ }^{2}$ are the momen. These areite the men to decotime and suppliations, amb the whervance of religious duys. It is saire to met with nue that liers "purt from the females. and yet is mdictod to swhel permetiores. And mothing cen, for this reason, he more improbubles, thern the wroout given of an order of men amony the (ietes, whon proctised celibucy, and urere noterithstenting the most ieligions fonatios. A method of reasoning, which would lead ins to montertain a bad idea of the devotion of monks: did we not know by an experience, not so common, perhaps, in Strabo's days, that one may practise celibacy, and profess chastity; and yet maintain the closest commexions and mest entire s.mpathy with that timonons and pions sex.

[^153]
## SECT.

IV.

Sect. IV.-Deities not considered as creators or formers of the world.

The only point of theology, in which we shall find a consent of mankind almost universal, is, that there is invisible, intelligent power in the world: But whether this power be supreme or subordinate, whether confined to one being, or distributed among several, what attributes, qualities, connexions, or principles of action ought to be ascribed to those beings; concerning all these points, there is the widest difference in the popular systems of theology. Our ancestors in Europe, before the revival of letters, believed, as we do at present, that there was one supreme God, the author of nature, whose power, though in itself uncontroulable, was yet often exerted by the interposition of his angels and subordinate ministers, who executed his sacred purposes. But they also believed, that all nature was full of other invisible powers; fairies, goblins, elves, sprights; beings, stronger and mightier than men, but much inferior to the celestial natures, who surround the throne of God. Now, suppose, that any one, in those ages, had denied the existence of God and of his angels; would not his impiety justly have deserved the appellation of atheism, even though he had still allowed, by some odd capricious reasoning, that the popular stories of elves and fairies were just and well-grounded: The difference, on the one hand, between such a person and a genuine theist is infinitely greater than that, on the other, between him and one that absolutely excludes all invisible intelligent power. And it is a fallacy, merely from the casual resemblance of names, without any conformity of meaning, to rank such opposite opinions under the same denomination.

To any one, who considers justly of the matter, it will appear, that the gods of all polytheists are no better than the elves or fairies of our ancestors, and merit as little any pions worship or veneration. These pretended religionists are really a kind of superstitions atheists, and acknowledge no being, that corresponds to our idea of a deity. No first principle of mind or thought: No supreme govermment and administration: No divine contrivance or intention in the filurice of the world.

The Chamese，when ${ }^{1}$ their payers are not answered，beat their idols．The deities of the Laphanmek are any laree stone which they meet with of an extmordinary shape．${ }^{2}$＇The Hgypman mythologists，in order to acemmt for amimal wor－ ship，said，that the gods，pumsed liy the violdene of earth－ born men，whe were the ir ememis，hand formenty been obligent to disernise themselves mular the semblame of heasts．${ }^{3}$ The Caunif，a mation in the loessor Asia，resolving to admit no strange gods among them，recrularly，at certain seasons， assembled themselves compleatly armed，beat the air with their lances，and proceded in that manner to their frontiers； in order，as they said，to expel the foreign deities．${ }^{4}$ Not even the immortal gends，said some German mations to Chesar，are a match for the Suevi．${ }^{5}$

Many ills，says Dionfe in Hompr to Venus womded by Diomede，many ills，my danghter，have the gods intlicted on men：And many ills，in return，have men inflicted on the gods．${ }^{6}$ We need but open any classic author to meet with these gross representations of the deities；and Longines＇${ }^{7}$ with reason observes，that such ideas of the divine nature，if liturally taken，contain a true atheism．

Some writers ${ }^{8}$ have been surprized，that the impieties of Amistopianes should have been tolerated，nay publicly acted and applanded by the Athenians；a people so superstitions aml so jealous of the public religion，that，at that very time， they put Socrates to death for his imagined incredulity． But these writers do not consider，that the ludicrons，familiar images，under which the gods are represented by that comic poet，instead of appearing impions，wre the gemuine lights in which the ancients conceived their divinities．What con－ duct can be more criminal or mean，than that of Jupiter in the Amphitrion？Yet that play，which represented his gallante exploits，was supposed so agreable to him，that it was always acted in Rome by public anthority，when the state was theatened with pestilence，famine，or any gememal calamity．${ }^{9}$ The Romans supposed，that，like all old letehers，

[^154][^155]SERT. he would be highly pleased with the recital of his former feats of prowess and vigour, and that no topie was so proper, upon which to flatter his vanity.

The Lacedemonians, says Xenophon, ${ }^{1}$ always, during war, put up their petitions very early in the morning, in order to be beforehand with their enemies, and, by being the first solicitors, pre-engage the gods in their favour. We may gather from Seneca, ${ }^{2}$ that it was usual, for the votaries in the temples, to make interest with the beadle or sexton, that they might have a seat near the image of the deity, in order to be the best heard in their prayers and applications to him. The Tyrians, when besieged by Alexandfr, threw chains on the statue of Hercules, to prevent that deity from deserting to the enemy. ${ }^{3}$ Augustus, having twice lost his fleet by storms, forbad Neptune to be carried in procession along with the other gods; and fancied, that he had sufficiently revenged himself by that expedient. ${ }^{4}$ After Germanicus's death, the people were so euraged at their gods, that they stoned them in their temples; and openly renounced all allegiance to them. ${ }^{5}$

To ascribe the origin and fabric of the universe to these imperfect beings never enters into the imagination of any polytheist or idolater. Hesiod, whose writings, with those of Homer, contained the canonical system of the heavens; ${ }^{6}$ Hessiod, I say, supposes gods and men to have sprung equally from the unknown powers of nature. ${ }^{7}$ And throughout the whole theogony of that author, Pandora is the only instance of creation or a voluntary production; and she too was formed by the gods merely from despight to Promisirives, who had furnished men with stolen fire from the celestial regrions. ${ }^{8}$ The ancient mythologists, inded, seem throughout to have rather embraced the idea of generation than that of creation or formation ; and to have thence areounted for the origin of this universe.

Ovid, who lived in a learned age, and had been instructed by philosophers in the principles of a divine creation or formation of the world; finding, that such an iden would not

[^156]agree with the pepmar mythology, which ho nelivers, leaves it, in a mamer, loose and detarhed from hissystem. (Quis!uis fuit ille Deorum? Whichever of the grods it was, says he, that dissipated the chans, and introntueed order into the miserse. It could meither be satrox, he knew, mor Joperem,
 His theobogical system had tamght him mothing mon that heal; and he leaves the mattor equally umbermined.

Dionorus Sieulus, ${ }^{2}$ begiming his work with an mmmeration of the most reasomble opinions coneming the origin of the world, makes no mention of a deity or intelligent mind; though it is evident from his history, that he was much more prone to superstition than to irreligion. And in another passage, ${ }^{3}$ talking of the Ionthyophagi, a mation in India, he says, that, there being so great dithonlty in aceomting for their descent, we mast conchad them to bee ahorigines, without any begiming of their generation, propatgating their race from all eternity ; as some of the physiologers, in treating of the origin of nature, have justly observed. 'But in such subjects as these,' adds the historian, 'which exeed all hmman capacity, it may well happen, that those, whe discourse the most, know the least; reaching an sperious appearance of truth in their rasonings, while extremely wide of the real truth and matter of fact.'

A strange sentiment in our eves, to be cmbnaced by a professed and zealous religionist! ${ }^{4}$ But it was merely by arcident, that the question concerning the origin of the world did ever in ancient times enter intar religions sestems, or was treated of by theologers. Tho philosiphers alone made profession of delivering systems of this kind; and it was pretty late too before these bethought themselves of having recourse to a mind or supreme intelligence, as the first cause of all. So far was it from being estermed profinn in those days to account for the origin of things withont : Weity, that Thades, Anammenes, Herachtes, amd others, who embraced that system of cosmogony, past unquestioned:

[^157][^158]$\therefore 1 \% \mathrm{~T}$
IV.
sfect. while Anaxagoras, the first undoubted theist among the philosophers, was perhaps the first that ever was accused of atheism. ${ }^{1}$

We are told by Sextus Empiricus, ${ }^{2}$ that Epicurus, when a boy, reading with his preceptor these verses of Hesiod,

> Eldest of beings, chaos first arose ; Next earth, wide-stretch'd, the seat of all :
the young scholar first betrayed his incuisitive genius, by asking, And chaos whence? But was told by his preceptor, that he must have recourse to the philosophers for a solution of such questions. And from this hint Epicurus left philology and all other studies, in order to betake himself to that science, whence alone he expected satisfaction with regard to these sublime subjects.

The common people were never likely to push their researches so far, or derive from reasoning their systems of religion; when philologers and mythologists, we see, scarcely ever discovered so much penetration. And even the philosophers, who discoursed of such topics, readily assented to the grossest theory, and admitted the joint origin of gods and men from night and chaos; from fire, water, air, or whatever they established to be the ruling element.

Nor was it only on their first origin, that the gods were supposed dependent on the powers of nature. Throughout the whole period of their existence they were subjected to the dominion of fate or destiny. Think of the force of necessity, says Agrippa to the Roman people, that force. to which erem the gods must submit. ${ }^{8}$ And the Younger Phiny ${ }^{4}$, agreeably to this way of thinking, tells us, that amidst the darkne's, horror, and confusion, which ensued upon the first eruption of Vescrics, several concluded, that all nature was going to

[^159][^160]wrack, and that grods and men were perishing in one commm ruin.
$\therefore \%$ 「.
IV

It is grent complaisance, indeed, if we dignify with the name of religion such an imperfeet system of theobory, and put it on a level with later systems, which are fommed on principhos more just and more sublime. For my part, l can surarely allow the principles even of Marevi Aurbiaus, Pactareh, and some other Stoics and Acalemics, though moneh more refined than the pagan superstition, to be worthy of the homourable appellation of theism. For if the mytholory of the: heathens resemble the aneient Europmas system of spiritual beings, excluding God and angels, and leavincr only faries and sprights; the creed of these philosophers may justly be said to exclude a deity, and to leave only angels and fairies.

Sect. V.-Various I'orms of Iolytheism: Alteyory, HeroWorship.

But it is chefly our present business to consiter the crons polytheism ${ }^{2}$ of the valgax, and to trace all its varions apparances, in the principles of hmman mature, whence they are derived.

Whoever learns by argument, the existence of invisible intelligent power, must reason from the abnirable eontrivance of natural objects, and must suppose the world to be the workmanship of that divine being, the original canse of all things. But the vulgar polytheist, so far from admitting that idea, deifies every part of the universe, and conceives all the conspicnons productions of nature, to be themselves so many real divinities. The sun, moon, and stars, are all gots accurding to his system: Fountains are inhabited by nymphs, and trees by hamadryads: Even monkies, dogs, cats, ant other animals often become sacred in his eyes, and strike him with a religrious veneration. And thus, however strong men's propensity to believe invisible, intelligent power in nature, their propensity is equally strong to rest their attention on sensible, visible objects; and in orler to reconcile these opposite inclinations, they are led to unite the invisible power with some risible object.

The distribution also of distinct provinees to the several deities is apt to cause some allegory, both physical and moral,

SECT. to enter into the vulgar systems of polytheism. The god of war will naturally be represented as furious, cruel, and impetuous: The god of poetry as elegant, polite, and amiable: The god of merchandise, especialiy in early times, as thievish and deceitful. The allegories, supposed in Honer and other mythologists, I allow, have often been so strained, that men of sense are apt entirely to reject them, and to consider them as the production merely of the fancy and conceit of critics and commentators. But that allegory really has place in the heathen mythology is undeniable even on the least reflection. Cupid the son of Venus; the Muses the daughters of Memory; Prometheus, the wise brother, and Epimetheus the foolish; Hygieia or the goddess of health descended from Esculapius or the god of Physic: Who sees not, in these, and in many other instances, the plain traces of allegory? When a gol is supposed to preside over any passion, event, or system of actions, it is almost unavoidable to give him a genealogy, attributes, and adventures, suitable to his supposed powers and influence; and to carry on that similitude and comparison, which is naturally so agreeable to the mind of man.

Allegories, indeed, entirely perfect, we ought not to expect as the productions of ignorance and superstition; there being no work of genius that requires a nicer hand, or has been more rarely executed with success. That Feur and Terror are the sons of Mars is just; but why by Venus? ${ }^{1}$ That Ifurmony is the daughter of Venus is regular ; but why by Mars? ? That Sleep is the brother of Death is suitable; but why deseribe him as enamoured of one of the Graces? ${ }^{3}$ And since the ancient mythologists fall into mistakes so gross and palpabl, we have no reason surely to expect such refined and long-spun allegories, as some have endeavoured to deduce from their fictions.
${ }^{4}$ Lucretius was plainly seduced by the strong appearance of allegory, which is observable in the pagan fictions. He first addresses himself to Venus as to that generating power, which animates, rencws, and beatifies the universe: But is soon betrayed by the mythology into incoherencies, while he prays to that allegorical personage to appease the furies of her lover Mars: An idea not drawn from allegory, but from

[^161]the pombar religina, and which Lecreties, as an Epictions, conld :ut consistently almit of.
srem, V. 3

The deities of the voltrir are so little superior to human creatures, that, where men are aflected with strong sentiments of reneration or gratitnde for any haro or publice benefactor, mothing (an be more natural than to eonvert him into a mod, and fill the heavens, after this mammer, with continual rerruits from among mankind. Most of the divinities of the ancient world are supposed to have onee been men, and to have been beholden for their apotheosis to the atmiration and affection of the people. 'The real history of their'alventures, cormptom ly tradition, and elevated by the marvellons, became a plentiful source of fable; especially in passing through the hands of poets, allegorists, and priests, who successively improved mon the wonder and astonishment of the igmorant multitmde.

Painters too and senlptors came in for their share of profit in the satcred mysteries; and fumishing men with sensible remesentations of their divinities, whom they chothed in haman figures, gave great encrease to the public devotion, and determined its object. It was probably for want of these arts in rude and barbarons ages, that men deified plants, animals, and even brate, morganized matter ; and rather than he withont a sensible object of worship, atfixed divinity to such mominly forms. Coukd any statuary of Srma, in ealy times, lave formed a just figure of Apolio, the comic stone, HebroGabaldes, had never become the object of such profound adoration, and been received as a representation of the solar deity. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Sthepo was banished by the council of Areopactes, for attirming that the Mrnerva in the citadel was no divinity ; but the workmanship of Phidias, the seulptor. ${ }^{2}$ What degree of reason must we expect in the religions belief of the vilgar in other nations; when Athenians and Ameopagites could entertain such gross conceptions ?

These then are the general principles of polytheism, foumded in human nature, and little or nothing depentent on caprice and atecident. As the causes, which bestow happiness or misery, are, in greneral, very little known and very uncertain, our anxious concern endeavours to attain a determinate idea

[^162]SECT.: of them ; and finds no better expedient than to represent them as intelligent voluntary agents, like ourselves; only somewhat superior in power and wisdom. The limited influence of these agents, and their great proximity to human weakness, introduce the various distribution and division of their authority; and thereby give rise to allegory. The same principles naturally deify mortals, superior in power, courage, or understanding, and produce hero-worship; together with fabulous history and mythological tradition, in all its wild and unaccountable forms. And as an invisible spiritual intelligence is an object too refined for vulgar apprehension, men naturally affix it to some sensible representation; such as either the more conspicuous parts of nature, or the statues, images, and pictures, which a more refined age forms of its divinities.

Almost all idolaters, of whatever age or country, concur in these general principles and conceptions; and even the particular characters and provinces, which they assign to their deities, are not extremely different. ${ }^{1}$ The Greek and Roman travellers and conquerors, without much difficulty, found their own deities every where ; and said, This is Mercury, that Venus; this Mars, that Neptune; by whatever title the strange gods might be denominated. The goddess Hertha of our Saxon ancestors seems to be no other, according to Tacitus, ${ }^{2}$ than the Mater Teillus of the Romans; and his conjecture was evidently just.

Sect. VI.--Origin of Theism from Polytheism.
The doctrine of one supreme deity, the author of nature, is very ancient, has spread itself over great and populous nations, and among them has been embraced by all ranks and conditions of men: But wheever thinks that it has owed its success to the prevalent force of those invincible reasons, on which it is undoubtedly founded, would show himself little acquainted with the ignorance and stupidity of the people, and their incurable prejudices in fasour of their particular superstitions. Even at this day, and in Europe, ask any of the vulg:ir, why he believes in an ommipotent creator of the world; he will never mention the beanty of final causes, of which he is wholly ignorant: He will not hold out his hand, and bid you contem-

[^163]pate the suplleness and varict y of joints in his tingers, their bending all one way, the comenterise which they remive from
sect.
11. the thumb, the softness and fleshy parts of the inside of his hand, with all the other circumstanese, which render that member tit for the use, to which it was destimed. 'To these he has been long aceustomed; and ho beholds them with listlessness and meoncern. He will tell gon of the sudden and mexpected death of such a one: The fall and bruise of such arother : 'The excessive drought of this seasm: The cohl and rains of another. These he ascribes to the immediate operation of providence: And such events, as, with grod reasoners, are the chicf difficulties in admitting ab supeme intelligence, are with him the sole argments for it.

Many theists, even the most zealous and refinnel, have denied a particular providence, and have asserted, that the Sovereign mind or first principle of all things, having fixed general laws, by which nature is governed, gives free and minterrupted course to these laws, and disturbs not, at ewry turn, the settled order of events by particular volitions. From the beautiful comnexion, say they, and rigid observance of established rules, we draw the chief argument for theism; and from the same principles are enabled to answer the principal objections against it. But so little is this understood by the generality of mankind, that, wherever they observe any one to ascribe all events to natural causes, and to remove the particular interposition of a deity, they are art to suspect him of the grossest infidelity. A little philosom,h, says lord Bacon, makes men atheists: A great deal reconcilos them to religion. For men, being taught, by superstitious prejndices, to lay the stress on a wrong place; when that fiils them, and they discover, by a little reflection, that the course of nature is regular and uniform, their whole faith totters, and falls to ruin. But being taught, by more reflection, that this rory regularity and uniformity is the strongest proof of design and of a supreme intelligence, they return to that belicf, which they had deserted; and they are now able to establish it on a firmer and more durable foundation.

Convulsions in nature, disorders, prodigies, miracles, though the most opposite to the plan of a wise superintendent, impress mankind with the strongest sentiments of religion; the causes of events seeming then the most unknown and maccountable. Madness, fury, rare, and an

SECT. inflamed imagination, though they sink men nearest to the
VI. level of beasts, are, for a like reason, often supposed to be the only dispositions, in which we can have any immediate communication with the Deity.

We may conclude, therefore, upon the whole, that, since the vulgar, in nations, which have embraced the doctrine of theism, still build it upon irrational and superstitious principles, they are never led into that opinion by any process of argument, but by a certain train of thinking, more suitable to their genius and capacity.

It may readily happen, in an idolatrous nation, that though men admit the existence of several limited deities, yet is there some one God, whom, in a particular manner, they make the object of their worship and adoration. They may either suppose, that, in the distribution of power and territory among the gods, their nation was subjected to the jurisdiction of that particular deity; or reducing heavenly objects to the model of things below, they may represent one god as the prince or supreme magistrate of the rest, who, though of the same nature, rules them with an authority, like that which an earthly sovereign exercises over his subjects and vassals. Whether this god, therefore, be considered as their peculiar patron, or as the general sovereign of heaven, his votaries will endeavour, by every art, to insinuate themselves into his favour ; and supposing him to be pleased, like themselves, with praise and flattery, there is no eulogy or exaggeration, which will be spared in their addresses to him. In proportion as men's fears or distresses become more urgent, they still invent new strains of adulation; and even he who ontdoes his predecessor in swelling up the titles of his divinity, is sure to be outione by his successor in newer and more pompons epithets of praise. Thus they proceed; till at last they arrive at infinity itself, beyond which there is no farther progress: And it is well, if, in striving to get farther, and to represent a magnificent simplicity, they run not into inexplicable mystery, and destroy the intelligent nature of their deity, on which alone any rational worship or adoration can be founded. While they confine themselves to the notion of a perfect being, the creator of the world, they coincide, by chance, with the principles of reason and true philosophy; though they are gruided to that notion, not hy reason, of which they are in is
great measure incapable, but by the adnation and fears of the most vulgar superstition.
sF:CT.

We often find, amongst barlarons nations, and even sometimes amonest civilized, that, when every strain of flattery has been axhansted towards ahitrary princes, when every homan quality has been applanden to the ntmost fluy servile courtiers repment them, at last, as real divinition, and point them out to the perple as objocts of antoration. How much more natural, therefore, is it, that a limitmindity, who at first is supposel only the immediate athor of the particular goods and ills in life, shomld in the emb be represented as soverefign maker and molifier of the miverw?

Even where this notion of a supreme deity is alrealy established; though it ought maturally to lessen avery other worship, and abase every object of reverence, yot if a mation has entertained the opinion of a subordinate tntelar divinity, saint, or angel ; their addresses to that being gratually rise upon then, and eneroach on the adoration due to their supreme deity. The Virgin Mary, ere checked by the reformation, had proceeded, from being merely a ${ }^{\text {on onl }}$ woman, to usurp many attributes of the Almighty: (iom and St. Nicholas go hand in hand, in all the prayers and petitions of the Muscovites.
'Thms the deity, who, from love, converted hims lf into a bull, in order to carry off Europa; and who, from ambition, dethroned his father, Satcine, became the Optimes Maximes of the heathens. 'Thus, the God of Abraham, Iraac, and Јacob, became the supreme deity or Jehovaif of the Jews.
${ }^{2}$ The Jacobins, who denied the immacnlate conception, have ever been rery unhappy in their doctrine, eren thoneg political reasons have kept the Romisi church from comdemming it. The Cordeliers have rum away with all the popularity. But in the fifteenth century, as we learn from Boulanntlimers, ${ }^{3}$ an Itallan Cordolie, maintained, that, during the three days, when Christ was interred, the hypostatic mion was dissolved, and that his hmman mature was

[^164]SECT. not a proper object of adoration, during that period. Without the art of divination, one might foretel, that so gross and impious a blasphemy would not fail to be anathematized by the people. It was the occasion of great insults on the part of the Jacobins ; who now got some recompense for their ansfortunes in the war about the immaculate conception.

Rather than relinquish this propensity to adulation, religionists, in all ages, have involved themselves in the greatest absurdities and contradictions.

Homer, in one passage, calls Oceanus and Tethys the original parents of all things, conformably to the established mythology and tradition of the Greeks: Yet, in other passages, he could not forbear complimenting Jupiter, the reigning deity, with that magnificent appellation; and accordingly denominates him the father of gods and men. He forgets, that every temple, every street was full of the ancestors, uncles, brothers, and sisters of this Jupiter ; who was in reality nothing but an upstart parricide and usurper. A like contradiction is observable in Hesiod ; and is so much the less excusable, as his professed intention was to deliver a true genealogy of the gods.

Were there a religion (and we may suspect Mahometanism of this inconsistence) which sometimes painted the Deity in the most sublime colours, as the creator of heaven and earth; sometimes ${ }^{1}$ degraded him nearly to a level with human creatures in his powers and faculties; while at the same time it ascribed to him suitable infirmities, passions, and partialities, of the moral kind: That religion, after it was extinct, would also be cited as an instance of those contradictions, which arise from the gross, vulgar, natural conceptions of mankind, opposed to their continual propensity towards flattery and exaggeration. Nothing indeed would prove more strongly the divine origin of any religion, than to find (and happily this is the case with Christianity) that it is free from a contradiction, so incident to human nature.

[^165]
## SECT. VII.-Confirmution of this Doctrine.

It appears certain, that, though the original motions of the vulgar represent the Divinity as a limital beings, and consider him only as the partieulai canse of health or arokness; plenty or want; prosperity or aldversity ; yet when mon'e magnitient ideas are urged upon them, they esteem it dangerous to refuse their assent. Will yon say, that yonr deity is finite and bonnded in his perfections; may be wer"ame by a greater force ; is subject to human passions, pains, amd infirmities; has a begiming, and may have an end? 'lhis they dare not affirm ; but thinking it safest to comply with the higher encomimms, they endeavour, by an affected ravishment and devotion, to ingratiate themselves with him. As a confirmation of this, we may observe, that the assent of the vuluar is, in this ease, merely verbal, and that they are incapable of eonceiving those sublime quabities, which thes seminely attribute to the Deity. 'Their real idea of him, notwithstanding their pompous languige, is still as poor and frivolous as ever.
'That original intelligence, say the Maginsi, who is the finst prineiple of all things, discovers himself immediately to the mind and moderstanding alone ; but has plated the sum as his image in the visible miverse; and when that brioht lmminary diffinses its beams over the earth and the firmament, it is a faint copy of the glory, which resides in the highor heavens. If you would escape the displeasure of this divine being, you must be eareful never to set your bare font uper the ground, nor spit into a fire, nor throw any water upon it, even though it were consuming a whole city. Who can express the perfections of the Almighty? say the Mahometans. Eren the noblest of his works, if compared to him, are but dust and rubbish. How much more must human conception fall short of his infinite perfections? His smile and farour renders men for ever haply : and to obtain it for vome children, the best method is to cut off from them, while infants, a little bit of skin, about half the breadth of a farthing. Take two bits of cloth, ${ }^{2}$ say the Romen cathelies, about an inch or

[^166]SECT. an inch and a half square, join them by the corners with twe strings or pieces of tape about sixteen inches long, throw this over your head, and make one of the bits of cloth lie upon your breast, and the other upon your back, keeping them next your skin: There is not a better secret for recommending yourself to that infinite Being, who exists from eternity to eternity.

The Getes, commonly called immortal, from their steady belief of the soul's immortality, were genuine theists and unitarians. They affirmed Zamolisis, their deity, to be the only true god; and asserted the worship of all other nations to be addressed to mere fictions and chimeras. But were their religious principles any more refined, on account of these magnificent pretensions! Every fifth year they sacrificed a human victim, whom they sent as a messenger to their deity, in order to inform him of their wants and necessities. And when it thundered, they were so provoked, that, in order to return the defiance, they let fly arrows at him, innd declined not the combat as unequal. Such at least is the account, which Herodotus gives of the theism of the immortal Getes. ${ }^{1}$

Sect. VIII.-Flux and reflux of Polytheism and Theism.
It is remarkable, that the principles of religion have a lind of flux and reflux in the hman mind, and that men late a natural tendency to rise from idolatry to theism, and to sink agrain from theism into idolatry. The vulgar, that is, indeed, all mankind, a few excepted, being ignorant and minstructod, never elevate their contemplation to the heavens, or penstrate by their disquisitions into the secret structure of vegretable or animal bodies; so fill as to diseover a supreme mind or original providence, which bestowed order on cwery part of nature. They consider these admirable works in ib more confined and selfish view; and finding their own happiness and misery to depend on the secret influence and unforeseen concurrence of extermal objects, they regard, with perpetual attention, the unknom conses, which gover:1 all these natural events, and distribute pheasure and k , in, good and ill, by their powerful, but silent, operation. The
unknown wases are still apmaled to on wery cmumpher and in this general apparame or confused imare，and the
 hensioms．By degrees，the active imasination of mon，murasy in this abstract concoption of ohjoets，about which it is inmes－ simety cmphoyed，begins to rember them more partiontar，：mat to elothe them in shapes more suitable to its watural compres－ hemsion．It represents them to be semsible，intelligent bening，like mankimp actuatod ly love and hatrod，and thexible by gifts and entreatios，he payers and sacrifiows． Hence the origin of religion：And hence the origin of idulatry or pelytheism．

But the same anxious concern for happiness，whidn bughts the idea of these invisible，intelligent powers，allows mot mankind to remain lomg in the first simple conception of them；as powerful，but limited beings；masters of hmman fate，but slaves to destiny and the conse of mature．Nem＇s exagrerated praises and compliments still swell their ideat uron then；and elerating their deities to the utmost bommels of perfection，at last beget the attributes of mity and infinity， simplicity and spirituality．Such refined ideas，being some－ what disproportioned to vnlgar comprehemsion，remain mot long in their original purity；but reguire to be supported by the notion of inferior mediators or suberdinate agents，which interpose between mankind and their sumeme deity．These demi－grods or midale beings，partaking more of hman nature， and being more familiar to us，become the chief objeds of devotion，and gradnally recal that idelatry，which had been formerly banished by the ardent pravers and panegrias of timorons and indigent mortals．But as these idolatroms religions fall every day into grosser and more vulgar concep－ tions，they at last destroy themselves，and by the rile representations，which they form of their deities，make the tide turn again towards theism．But so great is the pro－ pensity，in this altemate revolution of hman sentiments，to return back to idolatry，that the utmost precantion is not able effectually to prevent it．And oi this，some thisists， particularly the Jews and Mahometans，have bede semible： as appears by their banishing all the arts of statuary and painting，and not allowing the representations，even of limnan figures，to be taken by marble or colours；lesit the commom andirmity of mankind should thence promen imbatry．The
feeble apprehensions of men cannot be satisfied with conceiving their deity as a pure spirit and perfect intelligence; and yet their natural terrors keep them from imputing to him the least shadow of limitation and imperfection. They fluctuate between these opposite sentiments. The same infirmity still drags them downwards, from an omnipotent and spiritual deity, to a limited and corporeal one, and from a corporeal and limited deity to a statue or visible representation. The same endeavour at elevation still pushes them upwards, from the statue or material image to the invisible power ; and from the invisible power to an infinitely perfect deity, the creator and sovereign of the universe.

## Sect. IX.-Comparison of these Religions, with regard to Persecution and Toleration.

Polytheism or idolatrous worship, being founded entirely in vulgar traditions, is liable to this great inconvenience, that any practice or opinion, however barbarous or corrupted, may be authorized by it; and full scope is given, for knavery to impose on credulity, till morals and humanity be expelled the religious systems of mankind. At the same time, idolatry is attended with this evident advantage, that, by limiting the powers and functions of its deities, it naturally admits the gods of other sects and nations to a share of divinity, and renders all the various deities, as well as rites, ceremonies, or traditions, compatible with each other. ${ }^{1}$ Theism is opposite both in its advantages and disadvantages. As that system supposes one sole deity, the perfection of reason and groodness, it should, if justly prosecuted, banish every thing frivolous, unreasonable, or inhuman from religious worship, and set before men the most illustrious example, as well as the most commanding motives, of justice and benevolence. These mighty advantages are not indeed over-balanced (for that is not
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 prsitive that its own fath and worshaperntirely aremptalde
 shonld be plased with different and onmsite rites and prinriples: the severall sects fall matmally into amimosity ambl
 the most furions amb implacable of all hmman pasitms.
'The tolorating spirit of idolaters, both in amoirnt amb monlom times, is very obvions to any one, who is the lasist conversant in the writings of historians or travellers. Whan the oratele of Debphe was asked, what ritas or worship was most ancoptable to the gows? Those which are lewally wtablished in each city, replime the orame. Eren prossts, in those ares, conld, it seems, allow salvation to those of a difforent communion. The Romasis commonly adnpted the Hrols of the conquered prople: and neser disputed the att tailates of those local and nationaldeitios, in when toritorise they resided. The religions wars and persecutions of the EgyPTAN indaters are indmet an exception to this rule : Bat arr accomed for by ancient antlors from reasons simgralar and remarkable. Different spectes of animals were the deities of the different sects ammog the E(iYPTANs: amb the deities being in continual war, engaged their votariss in the same contention. The worshippers af dogs could mot lomer remain in peace with the adorers of eats or wolres. ${ }^{2}$ but where that reason took not place, the Eariman supretition was not so incompatible as is commonly imagined: simer we learm from Herodotion that very latre contributions wore given by Amasis towards rebulding the temple at Iompine

The intolerance of almost all religions, whirh hate maintamed the unity of (ind, is as remarkable as the contrary principle of polytheists. 'The implamber matem sisut of the Jews is well knewn. Manometanis. at ont with still

[^169]IV.
sFCT.
IK.
more bloody principles; and even to this day, deals out damnation, though not fire and faggot, to all other sects. Anl if, among Ciristians, the English and Dutch have embraced the principles of toleration, this singularity has proceeded from the steady resolution of the civil magistrate, in opposition to the continued efforts of priests and bigots.

The disciples of Zoroaster shut the doors of heaven against all but the Maglars. ${ }^{1}$ Nothing could more obstruct the progress of the Persian conquests, than the furions zeal of ${ }^{\prime}$ that nation against the temples and images of the Greers. And after the overthrow of that empire we find Alexander, as a polytheist, immediately re-establishing the worship of the Babylonians, which their former princes, as monotheists, had carefully abolished. ${ }^{2}$ Even the blind and devoted attachment of that conqueror to the Greek superstition lindered not but he himself sacrificed aceording to the Babplonish rites and ceremonies. ${ }^{3}$

So sociable is polytheism, that the utmost fierceness and antipathy, which it meets with in an opposite religion, is scarcely able to disgnst it, and keep it at a distance. Augustes praised extremely the reserve of his grandson, Calls Cesar, when this latter prince, passing by Jerusalim, deigned not to sacrifice according to the Jewise law. But for what reason did Augustes so mnch approve of this conduct? Only, becanse that religion was by the Pagaxs esteemed ignoble and barbarous. ${ }^{1}$

I may venture to affirm, that few corruptions of idolatry and polytheism are more pernicions to society than this corruption of theism, ${ }^{5}$ when arried to the utmost height. The hmman sacrifices of the Cartifainhane, Mexicane, and many barbaroms mations, scarcely exceed the inguisition and persecutions of Roane and Mabrid. For be-

[^170][^171]sides, that the ofinsion of blowe maty men ber an ervat in the firmur case ats in the latter ; besides this, 1 haly, the haman vietims, beding chosen by lot, of lys sume exterior signs, affiot
 as virture, knowledere, love of liberty, are the qualities, whith rall down the fatal vengenter of ingnisitoms : and when experlonl, leave the society in the ment shameful igmomere, corruption, and bombage. The ilhagal murder of ome man ly a tyrant is more pernicions than the death of a thensamd bey pestilence, famine, or any mulistingushing calamity.

In the temple of Diana at Armea near Rosme, whomer murdered the present priest, was legally matilled to bee installed his sumeressor. ${ }^{1}$ A very simpular institution! For, howerer bartarons and blowly the eommon sumestitions often are to the laity, they minally turn to the adsantare of the holy orter.

## 

From the comparison of theism and ilolatry, we may form some other observations, which will also contirm the valuan whervation, that the eormption of the best things gives rise for the worst.

Where the deity is repmesented as infinitely superion to mankind, this belief, thomgh altogether just, is apt, when joine with supertitions terrors, to sink the hmman minde the lowest submissionamd abasement.am twepresent the monkish virtus of mortifieation, penance, homility, and pasive suffering. as the only qualities which are acempable to him. Bat where the geds are exaceived to be only a little superiow to mankind, and to have been, many of them, advanced form that inferior rank, we are mone at our ease in cur addressese th them, and may even, withont profmeness, adire sometimes to a rivalship amb emulation of them. Hanceativity, anirit. morage, manamimity, ko of liberty, and all the virtas which asorwandize a pernhe.

The herows in pagmisun comespond exactly to the saints in perery and holy dervises in Manompaxion. The place of
 Dominic, Frasels, Anthong, and bexabiet. luatmal of the destruction of monstars, the sublume of thants, the detence of cur mative country; whippings and fastinase,

SECT.
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cowardice and humility, abject submission and slavish obedience, are become the means of obtaining celestial honours among mankind.

One great incitement to the pious Alexander in his warlike expeditions was his rivalship of Hercules and Bacchus, whom he justly pretended to have excelled.' Brasidas, that generous and noble Spartax, after falling in battle, had heroic honours paid him by the inhabitants of Ayphipolis, whose defence he had embraced. ${ }^{2}$ And in general, all founders of states and colonies among the Greeks were raised to this inferior rank of divinity, by those who reaped the benefit of their labours.

This gave rise to the observation of Machiavel ${ }^{3}$, that the doctrines of the Christian religion (meaning the catholic; for he knew no other) which recommend only passive courage and suffering, had subdued the spirit of mankind, and had fitted them for slavery and subjection. An observation, which would certainly be just, were there not many other circumstances in human society which controul the genius and character of a religion.

Brasidas seized a mouse, and being bit by it, let it go. There is nothing so contemptible, said he, but what may be safe, if it has but cournge to defend itself. ${ }^{4}$ Beldarmine patiently and humbly allowed the fleas and other odious vermin to prey upon him. We shall have heaven, said he, to reward us for our sufferings: But these poor creatures have nothiny but the enjnyment of the present life. ${ }^{5}$ Such difference is there between the maxims of a Greer hero and a Catholic saint.

## Sect. XI.-WTh regard to reason or chsurdity.

Here is another observation to the same purpose, and a new proof that the corruption of the best things begets the worst. If we examine, without prejulice, the ancient heathen mythology, as contained in the perts, we shall not discover in it any such monstrous absurdity, as we may at first be apt to apprehend. Where is the difficulty in conceiving, that the same powers or principles, whatever they were, which formen this visible world, men and animals, produced also a species

[^172]of intolligent creatmes, of morerofined substance ame greater authority than the rest? 'Ihat these creatures may be eapri-

SE:" ${ }^{2}$. !. cious, revengefinl, passionate, voluptuons, is easily conetived; nor is any circmonstance more ipt, mmong ourselves, to emgender such vices, than the licence of absolnte autlority. And in short, the whole mythologieal system is so natural, that, in the vast variety of planets and worlds, contaned in this miverse, it seems more than probable, that, somewhere or other, it is really carried into execution.
'The chicef objection to it with regard to this planet, is, that it is not ascertained by any just reason or anthority. The ancient tradition, insisted on by heathen priests and thenlogers, is but a weak fonndation; ant transmitted also such a number of contradictory reports, supported, all of thens, by equal authority, that it became absolutely impossible to fix a preference amongst then. A few volumes, therefore, must contain all the polemieal writings of pragan priests: And their whole theology must ennsist more of traditional stories and superstitions practices than of philosophical argument and controversy.

But where theism forms the fundamental principle of any popular religion, that tenet is so conformable to serund reason, that philosophy is apt to incorporate itself with such a system of theology. And if the other dogmas of that system be contained in a sacred book, such as the Alcoran, or be determined by any visible authority, like that of the Roman pontitf, speculative reasoners naturally carry on their assent, and embrace a theory, which has been instilled into them by their carliest education, and which also possesses some degree of eomsistence and uniformity. But as these appearances are sure, all of them, to prove deceitful, philusoply will soon find herself rery unequally yoked with her new associate; and instend of regulating each principle, as they adrance torether, she is at every turn perverted to serve the purposes of superstition. For besides the umaroidable incoherences, which munst be reconciled and adjusted; ore may safely affirm, that all prombar theologry, especially the scholastic, has a kind of appetite for absurdity and contradiction. If that theroloy went not beyond reason and common sense, hev doctrines would appear too easy and familiar. Amazement must of meessity be raised: Dystery affected: Darliness and obscmrity songht after : And a fombation of merit attordel to the devout

SECT. votaries, who desire an opportunity of subduing their rebellious reason, by the belief of the most unintelligible sophisms.

Ecclesiastical history sufficiently confirms these reflections. When a controversy is started, some people always pretend with certainty to foretell the issue. Whichever opinion, say they, is most contrary to plain sense is sure to prevail; even where the general interest of the system requires not that decision. Though the reproach of heresy may, for some time, be bandied about among the disputants, it alwas rests at last on the side of reason. Any one, it is pretended, that has but learning enough of this kind to know the definition of Arian, Pelagian, Erastian, Socinian, Sabellian, Eutrciilan, Negdorian, Monothelitf, \&c. not to mention Protestant, whose fate is yet uncertain, will be convinced of the truth of this observation. It is thus a system becomes more absurd in the end, merely from its being reasonable and philosophical in the beginning.

To oppose the torrent of scholastic religion by such feeble maxims as these, that it is impossible for the same thing to be and not to be, that the whole is greater than a part, that two a mb three make five; is pretending to stop the ocean with a bullrush. Will you set up profane reason against sacred mystery? No punishment is great enough for your impiety. And the same fires, which were kindled for heretics, will serve also for the destruction of philosophers.

Sect. XII. -With regard to Doubt or Conviction.
We meet every day with people so sceptical with regard to history, that they assert it impossible for any nation ever to believe such absurd principles as those of GrEER and EarpTLAN paganism; and at the same time so dogmatical with regard to religion, that they think the same absurdities are to be form in no other communion. Cambyses entertained like prejudices; and very impiously ridiented, and even wounded, Apis, the great god of the Egyptians, who alpemmed to his profile senses nothing but a lares spotted bull. But HeroDoter ' judiciously ascribes this sally of passion to a real madness or disorder of the Train: Ohmewise, says the historian, he never would have opmoly affronted any established worship. fore on that heath, centimes he, aery nation are best satisfied

[^173]with their awn, and think they ham the advantage overemery othur nation.

It must he allowed, that the Roman ('athonices are a very learned sect; and that no, onf commmion, hat that of the churehuf Excilane, can dispute their beine the most harmed of all the Christian churches: Yout Armbews, the famous Aramas, who, no doubt, had hemed of the E'iyptan superstitions, declares, that, of all redipions, the most absurd and nonsensical is that, whose votaries cat, after having created, their deity.

I believe, inded, that there is no tenct in all pasminim, which would give so filir a seope to ridicule as this of the rath presence: For it is so absurd, that it eludes the force of all argment. There are even some plensant stories of that lind, which, though somewhat profane, are commonly told by the Catholics themselves. One day, a priest, it is salid, gaw inadvertmily, instad of the sacrament, a comnter, which had by aceitent tallen among the holy wafers. The commmicant waited patiently for some time, experting it would dissolve on his tongue: But finding that it still remained entire, he tonk it off. I wish, eried he to the priest, yon hure not committol some mishtie: I wish ! gou hare net giren mo Coul the Father: He is so heart und toryh there is no surallon-in! him.

A famous general, at that time in the Mescovere service, having come to Paris for the recurary of his womels, broughit along with him a young Turk, whom he hal taken prisomer. Some of the doctors of the Sorbonse (who are altogether ats positive as the dervises of (Oonstantanopme) thinking it a pity, that the poor Thre should be dammed for want of instruction, solicited Mustapua yery hard toturu Christian, and promised him, for his encouragement, plenty of good wine in this worh, and paralise in the next. These allurements were too powertin? to be resisted; and therefore, having been well instructed ant catechized, he at last agreed to receive the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's supper. The priest, howerer, to make every thing sure and solid, still continned his instructions and began the next day with the nsual question, home many Gols are there? Yone at all, replies Bexedict for that was his new name. How! None at all! cries the priest. To be sure, said the honest proselyte. Tou hure tull me ull "long thut there is but one God: And yesterluy I within.

Such are the doctrines of our brethren the ('atholics. Bint
ser"
Ni.
sECT. to these doctrines we are so accustomed, that we never wonder SII. at them: Though in a future age, it will probably become difficult to persuade some nations, that any human, two-legged creature could ever embrace such principles. And it is a thousand to one, but these nations themselves shall have something full as absurd in their own creed, to which they will give a most implicit and most religious assent.

I lodged' once at Paris in the same hotel with an ambassador from Tunis, who, having passed some years at London, was returning home that way. One day I observed his Moorish excellency diverting himself under the poreh, with surveying the splendid equipages that drove along; when there chanced to pass that way some Capucin friars, who had never seen a Turk ; as he, on his part, though accustomed to the European dresses, had never seen the grotesque figure of a Capucin: And there is no expressing the mutual admiration, with which they inspired each other. Had the chaplain of the embassy entered into a dispute with these Franciscans, their reciprocal surprize had been of the same nature. Thus all mankind stand staring at one another; and there is no beating it into their heads, that the turban of the African is not just as good or as bad a fashion as the cowl of the Europran. He is a very honest man, said the priuce of Sallee, speaking of de Ruyter. It is a pity he were a Chiristian.

How can you worship leeks and onions? we shall suppose a Sorbonnist to say to a priest of Sais. If we worship them, replies the latter; at least, we do not, at the same time, eat them. But what strange objects of adoration are (ats and monkies? says the learned doctor. They are at least as good as the relics or rotten bones of martyrs, answers his no less leamed antagonist. Are yon not mat, insists the (atholic, to cont one another's throat about the preference of a cablage or a cumber? Yes, says the pagan; I allow it, if you will confess, that those are still madder, who fight about the peference among volnmes of sophistry, ten thonsand of which are mod equal in value to me cabbage on rucumber. ${ }^{2}$

Every by-stander will masily judge (but unfortunately the bestanders are few that, if mothing were rempisite to estabfish any popular systom, but exposing the absurdities of

[^174]wher ststems，ewry voter of exery superstition eould enive a sultichent reasom for his blimd and ligetted attachment to the
ser＂I．
$\therefore 11$ prineiples in which he has been matuated．But without su extonsive a knowledge，on which to ground this assurance （and perhaps，better withont it），there is not wantins a suffi－ ciont stock of religions zeal and faith ammong mankimb． Domorus Siculus ${ }^{1}$ grives a remarkable instance to this propese，of which he was himself an ege－witness．While Eayp lay under the greatest terror of the Romax name，a heriomary soldier haviner inadvertently been guilty of the sarrilegious implety of killing at cat，the whole people ros： upon him with the utmost fury ；and all the efforts of the prince were not able to save him．The senate and people of Lome，I am persuaded，would not，then，have been so delicate with regard to their national deities．They very frankly，a little after that time，voted Augustus a place in the celestial mansions；and would have dethroned every god in heaven， for his sake，had he seemed to desire it．Presens diuns hubthitur Augustus，says Horace．That is a very im－ portant point：And in other nations and other ages，the samte eiremmstance has not been deemed altogether indif－ ferent．${ }^{2}$

Notwithstanding the sanctity of our holy religion，says Tuldy，${ }^{3}$ no erime is more common with us than satrilege： But was it ever heard of，that an Egyptan violated the temple of a cat，an ibis，or a crocodile？There is no torture，
the Jownis，that ancient mriters cがあ of the greatest genims were not able to wherve any differeme letween them． Fore it is very romarkahle that luth Tarites and stretoxics，when they mention that decree of the semate，umber Thamars．by which the Earpras and Jf：Wrif poselytes were hanished from lionse，expersily treat these roligions ats ther samb：and it appearo，that even the derere itself wat foumted on that sup－ f＂ふition．• Actum d de sateriv Eayp－
 fatrom eonsultum，ut quaturor millia libertini gencris a supervition，intienta． quis ifonea atas．in insulam sardinian vherontur，conecendis illie lat rindilis： d．－i obs eravitatem codi intrriount，vil， Alamitum：C＇euteri eederent ItaniA．nisi cortam ante diem profanus ritus axum 4．at．＇l＇sotr amm．lib．ii．s．Ais．Ex termas caremonia：Eifytan Jrama．

[^175]sect. an Egyptian would not undergo, says the same author in another place, ${ }^{1}$ rather than injure an ibis, an aspic, a cat, a dog, or a crocodile. Thus it is strictly true, what Dryden observes,

> 'Of whatsoe'er descent their godhead he,
> 'Stock, stone, or other homely pedigree,
> 'In lis defence his servants are as bold
> 'As if he had been born of beaten gold.'

Absilon and Achitoriel.
Nay, the baser the materials are, of which the divinity is composed, the greater devotion is he likely to excite in the breasts of his deluded votaries. They exult in their shame, and make a merit with their deity, in braving, fur his sake, all the ridicule and contumely of his enemies. Ten thousand ${ }^{2}$ Crusaders inlist themselves under the holy banners ; and even openly trimmph in those parts of their religion, which their adversaries regard as the most reproachful.

There occurs, I own, a difficulty in the Egyptian system of theology ; as indeed, few systems of that kind are entirely free from difficulties. It is evident, from their method of propagation, that a couple of cats, in fifty years, would stock a whole kingdom; and if that religious reneration were still paid them, it would, in twenty more, not only be easier in Egypt to find a god than a man, which Petronics, says was the case in some parts of Italy; but the gods must at last entirely starve the men, and leave themselves neither priests nor votaries remaining. It is probable, therefore, that this wise nation, the most celebrated in antiqnity for prudence and sound policy, foreseeing such dangerous consequences, reserved all their worship for the full-grown divinities, and used the freedom to drown the holy spawn or little suckine gools, without any scruple or remorse. And thas the practice of warping the tenets of religion, in order to serve temporal interests, is nut, by any means, to be regrarded ats an invention of these later ages.

The learned, philosophical Varro, discoursing of religion, pretends not to deliver any thing beyond probabilities and aprearances: Such was his erood semse and moderation! But the passionate, the zablome Augustin, insults the noble Roman on his scepticism and reserve, and professes the most thorough belief and assmance. ${ }^{3} \quad \Lambda$ heathen boet, however,

[^176]comtemporary with the saint, ahsurally wisms the religions

SECT
Xil. dient, he says, could not engage them to bebleve it. ${ }^{\text {i }}$
ls it strage, when mistakes are so common, to find every one pesitive and dugmatical? And that the zamb often rises ia propertion to the error? Anrerment, says Spartax of of tempestuts, Jutui bellum quod vertatumbir mutiluie an mitulim. ${ }^{2}$

If ever there was a nation or a time, in whicla the public religion lost all authority over mankinh, we might expect, that intidelity in Rome, during the Chomosion age, would openly have erected its throne, and that dremo himself, in every speech and action, would have been its most declared abottor. But it appears, that, whatever seeptical liberties that great man might take, in his writings or in phihosophical conversation; he yet avoided, in the common combuct of life, the imputation of deism and profimeness. Even in his own family, and to his wife Terfatha, whom he highly trusted, he was willing to appear a devout religionist; and there remains a letter, addressed to her, in which he seriously desires her to offer sacritice to Apoleo and Asoulapies, in gratitude for the recovery of his health. ${ }^{3}$

Pomper's devotion was much more sincere: In all his conduct, during the civil wars, he paid a great regard to auguries, dreams, and prophesies. ${ }^{4}$ Augustes was tainted with superstition of every kind. As it is reported of Milotos, that his poetical genius never flowed with ease and abundane in the spring; so Augustus observed, that his own genins for draming never was so perfect during that season, nor was so mach to be relied on, as during the rest of the year. That great and able emperor was also extremely meas, when he happened to change his shoes, and put the ripht foot shoe on the left foot. ${ }^{5}$ In short it camot be dmbiten, but the votaries of the established superstition of antiguity were as muncrons in every state, as those of the modern religion are at present. Its influence was as minersal; though it was mot so great. As many people gave their assent to it ; though that assent was not semingly so strong, precise, and affirmative.

We may observe, that, notwithstanding the dogmatical,

[^177]SBCT. imperious style of all superstition, the conviction of the religionists, in all ages, is more affected than real, and scarcely ever approaches, in any degree, to that solid belief' and persuasion, which governs us in the common affairs of life. Men dare not avow, even to their own hearts, the doubts which they entertain on such subjects: They make a merit of implicit faith; and disguise to themselves their real infidelity, by the strongest asseverations and most positive bigotry. But nature is too hard for all their endeavours, and suffers not the obscure, glimmering light, afforded in those shadowy regions, to equal the strong impressions, made by common sense and by experience. The usual course of men's conduct belies their words, and shows, that their assent in these matters is some unaccountable operation of the mind between disbelief and conviction, but approaching much nearer to the former than to the latter.

Since, therefore, the mind of man appears of so loose and unsteady a texture, that, even at present, when so many persons find an interest in continually employing on it the chissel and the hammer, yet are they not able to engrave theological tenets with any lasting impression; how much more must this have been the case in ancient times, when the retainers to the holy function were so much fewer in comparison? No wonder, that the appearances were then very inconsistent, and that men, on some occasions, might seem determined infidels, and enemies to the established religion, without being so in reality ; or at least, without knowing their own minds in that particular.

Another cause, which rendered the ancient religions much looser than the modern, is, that the former were traditional and the latter are scriptural; and the tradition in the former was complex, contradictory, and, on many occasions, doubtful; so that it could not possibly be reduced to any standard and canon, or afford any determinate articles of faith. The stories of the gods were numberless like the popish legends; and though every one, almost, believed a part of these stories, yet no one could believe or know the whole: While, at the same time, all most have acknowledged, that no one part stood on a better foundation than the rest. The traditions of different cities and nations were also, on many occasions, directly opposite ; and now reason could be assigned fir pe-
firmine one to the other. And as there was an intinite sicte manluer of stomics, with recrard to which tradition was nowise prsitive; the gradation was insemsible, fom the most fundamental artioles of faith, to those lonser and prearions fietions. Thu pasall mision, therefore, semmen to vanish like a clome, whemeror one aproached to it, and examined it piecemeal. It combld newe be ascertaned by any fixed degmas and prin"iphes. And though this did not convert the generality of mankime from so ahsurd a faith; fior when will the perple bo ramomable? yet it made them tanlter and hesitate mome in mantaning their principles, and was even apt to promere, in certain dispositions of mind, some practices and opinions, which had the appearance of determined infidelity.

To which we may add, that the falles of the pagan religion were, of themselves, light, casy, and familiar; withcont duvils, or seas of brimstone, or any object that could much terrify the imagination. Who could forbear smiling, when he thomght of the loves of Mars and Veaces, or the amorrons frolics of Jupiter and Pan: In this respect, it was a true petical religion; if it had not rather too much levity for the graver linds of poetry. We find that it has bech idopted by modern bards; nor have these talked with grater firedom and irreverence of the gods, whom they regarded as tictions, than the ancients did of the real objects of their devotion.

The inference is by no means just, that, becanse a system of religion has made no deep impression on the minds of a people, it must therefore have been positively rejected by all men of common sense, and that opposite principles, in spite of the prejudices of education, were generally established by argament and reasoning. I know not, bat a contrary inference may be more probable. The less importunate and assuming any species of superstition appears, the less will it provoke men's spleen and indignation, or engage them inte, mquiries concerning its foundation and origin. This in the mean time is obrions, that the empire of all religions faith over the understanding is wavering and monertain, subject to every variety of homour, and depemdent on the fresent incidents, which strike the imagination. The diiforence is only in the degrees. An ancient will place a stroke of impicty and one of sumerstition altormately, themolnome a

SECT. whole discourse: ${ }^{1}$ A modern often thinks in the same way, though he may be more guarded in his expression.

Lucian tells us expressly, ${ }^{2}$ that whoerer believed not the most ridiculons fables of paganism was deemed by the people profine and impious. To what purpose, indeed, would that agreeable author have employed the whole force of his wit and satire against the national religion, had not that religion been generally believed by his countrymen and contemporaries?

Livy ${ }^{3}$ acknowledges as frankly, as any divine would at present, the common incredulity of his age; but then he condemns it as severely. And who can imagine, that a national superstition, which could delude so ingenious a man, wonld not also impose on the generality of the people?

The Stoios bestowed many magnificent and even impions epithets on their sage; that he alone was rich, free, a king, and equal to the immortal gods. They forgot to add, that he was not inferior in prudence and understanding to an ohl woman. For surely nothing can be more pitiful than the sentiments, which that sect entertain with regard to religions matters; while they seriously agree with the common augurs, that, when a raven croaks from the left, it is a good omen; but a bad one, when a rook makes a noise from the same quarter. Panerius was the only Stoic, among the Greeks, who so much as donbted with regard to auguries and divination. ${ }^{4}$ Marcus Antoxinus ${ }^{5}$ tells us, that he himself had received many admonitions from the gods in his sleep. It is true, Epictetcis ${ }^{6}$ forbids us to regard the lamgrage of rooks and ravens; but it is not, that they do mot speak truth: It is only, becanse they can fortel mothing but the breaking of our neck or the forfeiture of our estath; which are eircumstances, says he, that nowise concern us.

[^178]dominion over the sea, where had buen the fomblation of his angere Amb if he lodievad it, what madnese to prowokn still firther that duity? The same whe stration may be made uron Qrav-
Tusavis exclamation. on acemont of the strvation may be made Hpon Qrav-
Tusas's exclamation. on acemont of the death of his ehideren. lib. vi. Praf.

I Plilopsemdes. 3.
${ }^{3}$ Libs, X. cara. 40.

+ (ieepo du Jivin. lib. i. cap. 3 \& $\%$
- Lil, i. \$17.

Philopsemates.

Thus thr Stomes join a phamphimal monnsiasm to a reli－ pions sumerstition．＇Thu fore of their mind，being all turned to the side of momals，mbent itself in that of religiom．${ }^{1}$
 of imprety mised agranst him was owing antirely to his ro－ jowting such fables，as these of simern＇s castrating his father Crasis，and Jupiteres dethemine Saterx：Yot in a subsequent halomue，Somates confenses，that the dectrine： of the mortality of the soml was the recoiver opmion of the pernd．Sis there here anty contradiction？Yess，surely：But the rontradiotion is not in Pato ；it is in the peond whose re－ ligions principhe in erembal arealwase composed of the most diseordant parts；copecially in and age，when superstition sate So easy and light ujon them．${ }^{4}$
＇The same C＇tcke，who affected，in his own family，to ap－

[^179]pear a devout religionist, makes no scruple, in a public court of judicature, of treating the doctrine of a future state as a ridiculous fable, to which no body could give any attention. ${ }^{1}$ Sallust ${ }^{2}$ represents Cesar as speaking the same language in the open senate. ${ }^{3}$

But that all these freedoms implied not a total and universal infidelity and scepticism amongst the people, is too apparent to be denied. Though some parts of the national religion hung loose upon the minds of men, other parts adhered more closely to them: And it was the chief business of the sceptical philosophers to show, that there was no more foundation for one than for the other. This is the artifice of Cotta in the dialogues concerning the nature of the yorls. He refutes the whole system of mythology by leading the orthodox gradually, from the more momentous stories, which were beliered, to the more frivolons, which every one ridiculed: From the gods to the goddesses; from the goddesses to the nymphs; from the nymphs to the fawns and satyrs. His master, Carneades, had employed the same method of reasoning. ${ }^{4}$

Upon the whole, the greatest and most observable differences between a traditional, mytholoyical religion, and a systematical, scholustic one are two: The former is often more reasonable, as consisting only of a multitude of stories, which, however groundless, imply no express absurdity and demonstrative contradiction ; and sits also so easy and light on men's minds, that, though it may be as miversally recerived, it happily makes no such deep impression on the affections and understanding.

Sect. XIII.- Impious conceptions of the diviue naturn ${ }^{5}$ in popular religions of both kimek.
The primary religion of mankind arises chinfly from an anxions fear of future events: and what ideas will naturally be en-
${ }^{1}$ Pisu Citpertio, eap. 61.
${ }^{2}$ If bello Cathan. 51.
${ }^{3}$ Cicfro (Tusc. Quast. lib) i. (ap ${ }^{5}$, 6) and Crtatc. (Epist. 24.), as also Jrvenal Satyr. 2. 149), maintain that there is no bry urold woman se ridiculons as to heliave the poets in their areoments of a fiture state. Why then dats Ler( ke:ms os high? exalt his master for trofing he from thew foprors? P'rhap

the dispesition of Cembades in Peamo (de Rel. lih), i. 330 I).) who while he was young and healthful could ridicule these stories ; but as suon as he heeameold and infirm, began to fenturtain apprehensions of their truth. 'This we may observe nost to be unusual eren at present.

- sfex. Emphe :edvers. Mathem. lib. ix. 429.
- [1a mest pmpular: Eilitions L. to Q.]
tertained of invisible, mukn fuwers, while men lie under dismal apprehensions of any kiml, may easily he conceived. Livery image of vengeance, swarity, cruelty, and malice must occur, and must angment the enhastliness and horror, which oppresses the amazed religionist. A panic having once seized the mind, the adive fincy still farther multiplies the objects of terror ; while that profomm darkness, or, what is worse, that glimmering light, with which we are environed, represents the specters of divinity momer the most dreatful arpeamaces imagimable. And no idea of perverse wickedness can be framed, which those terrified devotee's do not readily, without seruple, apply to their deity.

This appears the natural state of religion, when surveved in one light. But if we consider, on the other hamd, that spirit of praise and eulogy, which necessarily has place in all religions, and which is the consequence of these very terrors, we must expect a quite contrary system of theology to prevail. Every virtue, every excellence, must be ascribed to the divinity, and no exaggeration will be deemed sufficient to reach those perfections, with which he is endowed. Whatever strams of panegyric can be invented, are immediately cubraced, without consulting any arguments or phrenomena: It is esteemed a sutticient contirmation of them, that they grive us more magniticent ideas of the divine objects of our worship and adoration.

Here therefore is a kind of contradiction between the different principles of homan nature, which enter into religion. Onr natual terrors present the notion of a devilish and malicions deity: Our propensity to adulation leads us to acknowledge an excellent and divine. And the influence of these opposite principles are varions, according to the different situation of the human understanding.

In very barbarous and ignorant nations, such as the Africans and Indians, nay evell the Japonese, who can torm 10 extensive ideas of power and knowledge, worship maty be paid to a being, whom they confess to be wieked and detestable; thongh they may be cantions, perhaps of promouncing this judgment of him in public, or in his temple, where le may be supposed to hear their repmathes.

Such rude, imperfect ideas of the Divinity athere long to all idolaters ; and it may safely be aftirmed, that the Grefis themselves never got entirely rin of them. It is remarked
sect. by Xenophon, ${ }^{1}$ in praise of Socrates, that this philosopher assented not to the vulgar opinion, which supposed the gods to know some things, and be ignorant of others: He mairtained, that they knew every thing; what was done, said, or even thought. But as this was a train of philosophy ${ }^{2}$ much above the conception of his countrymen, we need not be surprised, if very frankly, in their books and conversation, they blamed the deities, whom they worshipped in their temples. It is observable, that Herodotus in particular scruples not, in many passages, to ascribe envy to the gods; a sentiment, of all others, the most suitable to a mean and devilish nature. The pagan lymms, however, sung in public worship, contained nothing but epithets of praise; even while the actions ascribed to the gods were the most barbarous and detestable. When Timotheus, the poet, recited a hymn to Diana, in which he enumerated, with the greatest eulogies, all the actions and attributes of that cruel, eapricious goddess : May your duughter, said one present, Vecome such as the deity whom you celebrate. ${ }^{3}$

But as men farther exalt their idea of their divinity ; it is their notion of his power and knowledge only, not of his goodness, which is improved. On the contrary, in proportion to the supposed extent of his science and authority, their terrors naturally augment; while they believe, that no secrecy can conceal them from his scrutiny, and that even the inmost recesses of their breast lie open before him. They must then be careful not to form expressly any sentiment of blame and disapprobation. All must be applause, rarishment, extacy. And while their gloomy apprehensions make them ascribe to him measures of conduct, which, in human creatures, would be highly blamed, they must still affect to praise and admire that conduct in the object of their devotional addresses. Thus it may safely be aftimed, that popular religions are really, in the conception of their more vulgar votaries, a species of dæmonism; and the higher the deity is exalted in power and knowledge, the lower of course is he depressed in goodn'ss and benevolence; whatever epithets of praise may be bestowed on him by his amazed adorers.
${ }^{1}$ Mem. lib. i. 1, 19.
${ }^{2}$ It was considered among the ancients, as a wery extraordinary, philosophical paradox, that the presence of the gods was not contined to the heavens.
but was extinded every where; as we learn from Locian. Hermotimus sive lee sectis, 81.
${ }^{9}$ Pletarch, de Superstit. 10

Among idnlaters, the words may be false, and belie the seeret opinion: But umong more rxalted religionists, the opinion itself contracts a kind of falsehood, and belies the inward sentiment. The heart senretly detests such measures of cruel and implacable vengeaner ; but the judgment dares mot but pronomee them perfect and adorable. And the additional misery of this inwarl strugerde ageravates all the other terrors, by which these unhaply victims to superstition are for ever hamented.

Luclan ${ }^{1}$ observes that a yomig man, who reads the history of the gods in Hompr or Hesiod, and finds their factions, wars, injustice, incest, adultery, and other immeralities so highly celebrated, is much surprised afterwards, when he comes into the world, to observe that punishments are by law inflicted on the same actions, which he had been taught to ascribe to superior beings. The contradiction is still perhaps stronger between the representations given us by some later religions and our natural ideas of generosity, lenity, impartiality, and justice; and in proportion to the multiplied terrors of these religions, the barbarous conceptions of the divinity are multiplied upon us. ${ }^{2}$ Nothing can preserve untainted the genuine principles of
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morals in our judgment of human conduct, but the abXIII. solute necessity of these principles to the existence of society. If common conception can indulge princes in a system of ethics, somewhat different from that which should regulate private persons; how much more those
band to do the same. To punish this slight curiosity and natural desire of life and knowledge, God not only threw our first parents out of paradise, but he condemned all their posterity to temporal misery, and the greatest part of them to eternal pains, thongh the souls of these innocent children have no more relation to that of Adam than to those of Nero and Mahomet; since, tecorting to the seholastic drivellers, fabulists, and mythologists, all souls are created pure, and infused immedjately into mortal bodies, so soon as the fortus is formed. To accomplish the barbarous, partial decree of predestination and reprobation, God abandoned all nations to tharkness, idulatry, and superstition, without any saving knowledge or salutary graces; unless it was one particular nation. whom he chose as his peculiar people. This chosen nation was, however, the most stupid, ungrateful, rebellions and perfidious of all nations. After Go! had thas kept the fargreater part of all the human species, during near 4000 years, in a reprobate state, he changed ail of a sudden. and took a fimey for other nations beside the Juws. Then he sent his only begotten Son to the world, under a human form. to apfuase his wrath, satisfy his vindictive justice, and die for the pardon of sin. Bery few nations. however, have heard of this goopel; and all the rest, though left in invineible ignorance, are damned without execption. or any $\}^{4}$ ssibility of remission. The gratent part of those who have heard of it. have changel only some speculative notions athout fiokl, and some external form in worthip: For, in ohbrerperts, the lalk of Thrintians have emontinud an corrupt as the rote of mankiml in their motals; yea. si, much the more pervaree and (riminal, that their lights were great $\mathbf{r}$. Chass it be a very small sole momber, all wher Chrintians, like the parims, will the for erer dammed ; the great sacrifice officmul up for them will berome foill and of her ffeet; (iond will tak, delight tor erer. in their t, rments amb Whayhemier : and thomph he ath, by we
fiat change their hearts, yet they will remain for ever unconserted and unconvertible, becanse he will be for ever unappeasable and irreconcileable. It is true, that all this nakes God odious, a hater of souls, rather than a lover of them; a cruel, vindictive tyrant, an imporent or a wrathful dxmon, rather than an all-powerful, beneficent father of spirits: Yet all this is a mystery. He has secret reasons for his conduct, that are impenetrable; and though he appears unjust and barbarous, yet we must believe the contrary, becaluse what is injustice, crime, cruclty, and the hackest maliee in us, is in him justiee, merey, and sovereign goodness." Thus the incredulous free-thinkers, the julaizing Christians, and the fatalistic dortors have disfigured and dishonoured the sublime mysteries of our holy faith; thus they have confounded the nature of good and evil; transformed the most monstrous passions into divine attributes, and surpassed the pigans in blasphemy, by aseribing to the eternal nature. as ferfections, what makes the most horrid crimes amongst nen. The grosser pagans contented themselves with divinizing lu-t, incest, and adultery; hut the predestinarian dueders have divinized eruelty, wrath, fury, rengeance, and all the Wackent riex.? See the Chevalier Ramsay's phtlomphicat prindiphe of natural ant servald religion, Part ii. 1. 401.

The sance anthor asserts, in other places, that the Arminiun and Molinist selnemes serve very little to mond the matter: And having thas thrown himsolf out of all received sects of Christimity, he is oldigent to adramen a statom of his own, which is a hiud of Oriyenisu, and supposes the pre-existence of the sonls buth of men and beaste, and the eternal salvation and conversion of all men, heasts, and devils. But his notim, being quite peculiar to himself, we nee⿻一 not treat of. I thought the opinions of this ingenious author wery curious; but I pretomd not to warrant the justuesw of them.
superior beings, whese attributes, views, and nature are sECT. so totally unknown to us? Shat superis sua jura. ${ }^{1}$ The xHI. gorls have maxims of justice peculiar to themselves.

Sect. XIV.- Bad influence ${ }^{2}$ of popular ieligions on morality.
Here I camot forbear observing a fact, which may be worth the attention of such as make hmman mature the object of their enquiry. It is certain, that, in every religion, however sublime the verbal definition which it gives of its divinity, many of the votaries, perhaps the greatest number, will still seek the divine favour, not by virtue and good morals, which alone ean be acceptable to a perfect being, but either by frivolous observances, by intemperate zeal, by rapturous extasies, or by the belicf of mysterious and absurd opinions. The least part of the Sulder, as well as of the Pentatench, consists in precepts of morality; and we may also be assured, that that part was always the least observed and regarded. When the old Romans were attacked with a pestilence, they never ascribed their sufferings to their vices, or dreamed of repentance and amendment. They never thought, that they were the general robbers of the world, whose ambition and avarice made desolate the earth, and redneed opulent nations to want and beggary. They only created a dictator, ${ }^{3}$ in order to drive a nail into a door; and by that means, they thought that they had sufficiently appeased their incensed deity.

In Ægina, one faction forming a conspiraey, barbarously and treacherously assassinated seven hundred of their fellowcitizens; and earried their fury so far, that, one miserable fugitive having fled to the temple, they cut off his hands, by which he clung to the gates, and earrying him out of holy ground, immediately murdered him. By this impiety, says Herodotus, ${ }^{4}$ (not by the other many eruel assassinations) they affended the gods, and contracted an inexpiable guilt.

Nay, if we should suppose, what never happens, that a popular religion were found, in which it was expressly deelared, that nothing but morality could gain the divine fisour; if an order of priests were instituted to inculeate this opinion, in

[^181]causa. T. Livil, 1, vii. c. 3.

* Lib. vi. 3 .
sect. daily sermons, and with all the arts of persuasion; yet so
XIV. inveterate are the people's prejudices, that, for want of some other superstition, they would make the very attendance on these sermons the essentials of religion, rather than place them in virtue and good morals. The sublime prologue of Zaleucus's laws ${ }^{1}$ inspired not the Locrians, so far as we can learn, with any sounder notions of the measures of acceptance with the deity, than were familiar to the other Greeks.

This observation, then, holds universally: But still one may be at some loss to account for it. It is not snfficient to observe, that the people, every where, degrade their deities into a similitude with themselves, and consider them merely as a species of human creatures, somewhat more potent and intelligent. This will not remove the difficulty. For there is no man so stupid, as that, judging by his natural reason, he would not estcem virtue and honesty the most valuable qualities, which any person could possess. Why not ascribe the same sentiment to his deity? Why not make all religion, or the chief part of it, to consist in these attainments?

Nor is it satisfactory to say, that the practice of morality is more difficult than that of superstition; and is therefore rejected. For, not to mention the excessive penances of the Brachmans and Talapoins; it is certain, that the Rhamadan of the Turks, during which the poor wretches, for many days, often in the hottest months of the year, and in some of the hottest climates of the world, remain without eating or drinking from the rising to the setting sun; this Rhamadan, I say, must be more severe than the practice of any moral duty, even to the most vicious and depraved of mankind. The four lents of the Muscovites, and the austerities of some Roman Catholics, appear more disagrecable than meekness and benevolence. In short, all virtue, when men are reconciled to it by ever so little practice, is agreeable: All superstition is for ever odious and burthensome.

Perhaps, the following account may be received as a trine solution of the difficulty. The duties, which a man performs as a friond or parent, seem merely owing to his bencfactor or children; nor can be be wanting to these dnties, without breaking through all the tios of mature and morality. A

[^182]strong inclination maty prompt him to the performance: $\Lambda$ sentiment of order and moral obligation joins its foree to these matural ties: Am the whole man, if truly virtnons, is drawn to his duty, without any effort or endeavonr. Eiven with rerand to the virtues, which are more anstere, and more founded on reflection, such as publie spirit, tilial duty, temperance, or integrity ; the moral obligation, in our apprehension, removes all pretension to religions merit; and the virtuons conduct is demed no more than what we owe to society and to ourselves. In all this, a superstitions man fimts nothing, which he has properly performed for the sake of his deity, or which can peculiarly recommend him to the divine favour and protection. He considers not, that the most genuine method of serving the divinity is by promoting the happiness of his ereatures. He still looks out for some more immediate service of the supreme Being, in order to allay those terrors, with which he is hamated. And any practice, recommended to him, which either serves to no purpose in life, or offers the strongest violence to his natural inclinations; that practice he will the more readily embrace, on account of those very circumstances, which should make him absolutely reject it. It seems the more purely religions, becanse it proceeds from no misture of any other motive or consideration. And if, for its sake, he sacrifices much of his ease and quict, his clam of merit appears still to rise upon him, in proportion to the zeal and devotion which he discovers. In restoring a loan, or paying a debt, his divinity is nowise beholden to him ; because these acts of justice are what he was bomd to perform, and what many would have performed, were there no god in the universe. But if he fast a day, or give himself a sound whipping; this has a direct reference, in his opinion, to the service of Goct. No other motive could ngage him to such austerities. By these dis tinguished marks of devotion, he has now acquired the divine favour ; and may expect, in recompence, protection and safety in this world, and eternal happiness in the next.

Hence the greatest crimes lave been found, in many instances, eompatible with a superstitious piety and devotion: Hence, it is justly regarded as unsafe to draw any certain inference in favour of a man's morals, from the firwour or strictness of his religious exercises, even thongh he himself believe them sincere. Nay, it has been observel, that enomities of

SECT. the blackest dye have been rather apt to produce superstitious terrors, and encrease the religious passion. Bomilcar, laaving formed a conspiracy for assassinating at once the whole senate of Carthage, and invading the liberties of his country, lost, the opportunity, from a continual regard to omens and prophecies. Those who undertake the most criminal and most dangerous enterprizes are commonly the most superstitious; as an ancient, historian ${ }^{1}$ remarks on this occasion. Their devotion and spiritual faith rise with their fears. Catiline was not contented with the established deities and received rites of the national religion : His anxious terrors made him seek new inventions of this kind ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ which he never probably had dreamed of, had he remained a grood citizen, and obedient to the laws of his country.

To which we may add, that, after the commission of crimes, there arise remorses and seeret horrors, which give no rest to the mind, but make it have recourse to religious rites and ceremonies, as expiations of its offences. Whatever weakens or disorders the intermal fiame promotes the interests of superstition: And nothing is more destructive to them than a manly, steady virtue, which either preserves us from disastrous, melancholy accidents, or teaches us to bear then. During such calm sunshine of the mind, these spectres of false divinity never make their appearance. On the other hand, while we abandon ourselves to the natural undisciplined suggestions of our timid and anxious hearts, every kind of barbarity is ascribed to the supreme Being, from the terrors with which we are agitated; and every kind of caprice, from the methods which we embrace in order to appease him. Barbarity, caprice; these qualities, however nominally disguised, we may universally observe, form the ruling character of the deity in popular religions. Even priests, instead of correcting these depraved ideas of mankind, have often been found ready to foster and encomrage them. The more tremendous the divinity is represented, the more tame and submissive do men become to his ministers: And the more maccountable the measures of acceptance required by him, the more necessary does it become to abandon our natural reason, and yield to their ghostly guidance and direction. Thus it may be allowed, that the artifices of men aggravate our natural infirmities and

[^183]follies of this kimd, hut mever orimially berot them. Their rocet strikes derper into the mind, and sprines from the essential and miversal properties of homan natme.

## Sect. XV.- Gemoral Corollary.

Thengh the stupidity of men, barbarous amd minstructed, be sugreat, that they may not see at sovereign anther in the more obvions works of nature, to which they are so much familiarized; yet it scaredy seems possible, that any one of grood understanding should rejeet that idea, when once it is sugrested to him. A purpose, an intention, a design is evident in every thing; and when our eomprehension is so fin embiuged as to contemplate the first rise of this visible system, we must adopt, with the strongest conviction, the idea of some intelligent cause or anthor. The uniform maxims too, which prevail throughont the whole finme of the universe, naturally, if mot necessurily, lead us to conceive this intelligence as simgle and undivided, where the prejudices of education oppose not so reasomable a theory. Even the contrarieties of nature, by discovering themselves every where, become proofs of some ronsistent plan, and establishone single propose or intention, howerer inceplicable and ineomprehensible.

Good and itl are miversally intermingled and confonded; happiness and misery, wiston and folly, virtue and vice. Nothing is pure and entirely of a piece. All advantages are attended with disadvantages. An universal compensation prevails in all conditions of beine and existence. And it is not possible for us, by our most chimerical wishes, to form the idea of a station or situation allogether desirable. The dranghts of life, according to the poot's fiction, are always mixed from the vessels on each hand of Jupiter: Or if any cup be presented altogether pure, it is drawn only, as the same poet tells us, from the left-handed ressel.

The more exquisite any good is, of which a small specimen is afforded us, the sharper is the evil, allied to it; and few exceptions are found to this miform law of nature. The most sprightly wit borders on madness; the highest effusions of joy produce the deepest melancholy; the most ravishing pleasures are attended with the most ancl lassitude amd disgust; the most flattering lopes make way for the severest disappointments. And, in general, no course of life his such
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safety (for happiness is not to be dreamed of) as the temperate and moderate, which maintains, as far as possible, a mediocrity, and a lind of insensibility, in every thing.

As the good, the great, the sublime, the ravishing are found eminently in the genuine principles of theism; it may be expected, from the analogy of nature, that the base, the absurd, the mean, the terrifying will be equally discovered in relicious fictions and chimeras.

The universal propensity to believe in invisible, inteiligent power, if not an original instinct, being at least a general attendant of human nature, may be considered as a kind of mark or stamp, which the divine workman has set upon his work; and nothing surely can more dignify mankind, than to be thus selected from all other parts of the creation, and to bear the image or impression of the universal Creator. But consult this image, as it appears in the popular religions of the world. How is the deity disfigured in our representations of him! What caprice, absurdity, and immorality are attributed to him! How much is he degraded even below the character, which we should naturally, in common life, ascribe to a man of sense and rirtue!

What a noble privilege is it of human reason to attain the knowledge of the supreme Being; and, from the visible works of nature, be enabled to infer so sublime a principle as its supreme Creator? But turn the reverse of the medal. Surcey most nations and most ages. Examine the religions principles, which have, in fact, prevailed in the world. You will scarcely be persuaded, that they are any thing but sick men's dreams: Or perhaps will regard them more as the phaysome whimsies of monkies in human shape, than the serions. positive, dogmatical asseverations of a being, who dignifies himsilt' with the name of rational.

Hear the verbal protestations of all men: Nothing so certain as their religions tenets. Examine their lives: Yon will scarcely think that they repose the smallest confidence in them.

The greatest and trest zeal gives us no security against lypocrisy: The most open impiety is attended with a sereret dread and compunction.

No theological absurdities so glaring that they have not, sometimes, been embraced by men of the greatest and most cultivated marstanding. No religions precepts so rigorous
that they have not been adopen by the most volnptuons and most abandened of mem.
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Ifmorame is the mother of Drootion: A maxim that is proverbial, and confirmed by enoral waperienoe. Look ont for a proples entirely destitute of reliofon: lf you tind them at all, be assured, that they are but few dergrees remowed from brutes.

What so pure as some of the morals, included in some theological systems? What so corrupt as some of the practiers, to which these systems rive rise?

The comfortable views, exhibited by the belief of futurity, are ravishing and delightful. But how quickly vanish on the appearance of its terrors, which keep a more firm and durable possession of the hmman mind?

The whole is a riddle, an enigma, an inoxplicable mystery. Doubt, uncertainty, suspence of judguent appear the only result of our most accurate scrutiny, concerning this subject. But such is the frailty of human reason, and such the irresistible contagion of opinion, that even this deliberate doubt could scarcely be upheld; did we not enlarge our view, and opposing one species of superstition to another, set them a quarelling; while we onrselves, dming their fury and contention, happily make our escape into the calm, though obscure regions of philosophy.

## E S S A Y S
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## ESSAYS WITIIDRAVN.

Essay I.-Of Essay Writing. ${ }^{1}$

The clegant Part of Mankind, who are not immers'd in the animal Life, but employ themselves in the Operations of the Mint, may be divided into the learned and conversible. The Learned are such as have chosen for their Portion the higher and more difficult Operations of the Mind, which require Leisure and Solitule, and camot be brought to Perfection, without long I'reparation and severe Labour. The conversible World join to a sociable Disposition, and a Taste of Pleasure, an Inclination to the easier and more gentle Exercise's of the Understanding, to obvions Retlections on human Atfairs, and the Duties of common Life, and to the Observation of the Blemishes or Perfections of the particular Objects, that surromd them. Such Subjects of Thought furnish mot sufficient Employment in Solitule, but require the Company and Conversation of our Fellow-Creatures, to render them a proper Fxercise for the Mind: And this brings Mankind together in Society, where every one displays his Thoughts and Observations in the best Mamer he is able, and mutually gives and receives Information, as well as I'lasure.

The Separation of the Learned from the conversible World seems to have been the great Defect of the last Age, and minst have had a rery had Influence both on Books and Company : For what Possibility is there of finding Topies of Conversation fit for the Entertainment of rational Creatures, without having Recourse semetimes to History, Poetry, Politics, and the more obvious Principles, at least, of Philosophy? Mnst onr whole Discourse be a continned Series of
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> Stun'd and worn out with endless Ctat Of Wric did this, and Nax said that ?

This wou'd be to render the Time spent in Company the most unentertaining, as well as the most unprofitable Part of our Lives.

On the other Hand, Learning has been as great a Loser by being slut up in Colleges and Cells, and secluded from the World and good Company. By that Means, every Thing of what we call Belles Lettres became totally barbarous, being cultivated by Men withont any Taste of Life or Manners, and without that Liberty and Facility of Thought and Expression, which can only be acquir'd by Conversation. Even Philosophy went to Wrack by this moaping recluse Method of Study, and became as chimerical in her Conclusions as she was unintelligible in her Stile and Manner of Delivery. And indeed, what cou'd be expected from Men who never consulted Experience in any of their Reasonings, or who never search'd for that Experience, where alone it is to be found, in common Life and Conversation?
'Tis with great Pleasure I observe, That Men of Letters, in this Age, have lost, in a great Measure, that Shyness and Bashfulness of Temper, which kept them at a Distance from Mankind; and, at the same Time, That Men of the World are proud of borrowing from Books their most agreeable 'Topics of Conversation. 'Tis to be hop't, that this League betwixt the learned and conversible Works, which is so happily begun, will be still farther imprest, to their mutual Advantage; and to that End, I know nothing nore advantageous than such $F$ sistiys as these with which I endeavour to entertain the Public. In this View, I camot but consider myself as a Kind of Resident or Ambassador from the Dominions of Learning to those of Conversation; and shall think it my constant Duty to promote a good Correspondence betwixt these two States, which have so great a Dependence on each other. I shall give Intelligence to the Learned of whatever passes in Company, and shall endeavour to import into Company whatever Commodities I find in my native Country proper for their Use and Entertainment. 'Ilae Balance of Trade we need not be jealous of, nor will
there be any Difficulty to preserve it on buth Sides．The rasay Materials of this Commeree must chafly be furnishod by Conversation and common Life：＇The manufacturing of them alone belongs to Leaming．

As＇twon＇d be an mpardonable Negligence in an Ambas－ sador mot to pay his Respects to the Sovereign of the State where he is commission＇d to reside；so it woud be alto－ gether inexensable in me not to address myself，with a par－ ticular Respect，to the Fair Sex，who are the Sovereigns of the Empire of Conversation．I approall them with Reve－ rence：and were not my Countrymen，the Learned，a stubbern independent Race of Mortals，extremely joaloms of their Liberty，and mateustom＇d to Subjection， 1 shom＇d resign into their fair Hands the sovereign Anthority over the Ro－ palife of Letters．As the C＇ase stands，my Commission extends no farther，than to desire a Leagne，ottensive and defensive，against on common Enemies，against the Enmme of Reasom amd Beanty，People of dull Heads and cold Hearts． From this．Moment let us pursue them with the severest Venurance：Let no Quarter be given，but to those of somad Understandings and delicate Affections：and these Charac－ ters，＇tis to be presmmod．we shall always find inseparable．
＇To be serions，and to quit the Allusion before it be worn thread－bare，I am of Opinion，that Women，that is．Women of Sense and Edncation（for to such alome I address myself） are much better Juders of all polite Writing than Men of the same Degree of Understanding；and that＇tis a vain Pannic，if they be so far terrifyd with the common Ridicule that is levell＇d against learned Ladies，as utterly to abandon every Kind of Eooks and Study to our Sex．Let the Dread of that Ridicule have no other Effect，than to make them conceal their knowledge before Fools，who are not worthy of it，nor of them．Such will still presume upon the vain Tith， of the Male Sex to affect a Superiority above them：But my fair Readers may be assmed，that all Men of Semser．when know the World，haw a great Deference for their Tidement of such Books as ly within the Compass of their Knowledge， and reposs more Confidence in the Delicacy of their Taste． tho：mended by Roles．than in all ther dull Labours of Pedants and Commentators．In a neighbouring Nation， equally famoms for rood Taste and for Gallantry，the Ladies are，in a Manmer，the Sovereigns of the Tormon W＇old，as

ESSAY I.
well as of the conversible; and no polite Writer pretends to venture upon the Public, without the Approbation of some celebrated Judges of that Sex. Their Verdict is, indeed, sometimes complain'd of ; and, in particular, I find, that the Admirers of Corneille, to save that great Poet's Honour upon the Ascendant that Racine began to take over him, always said, That it was not to be expected, that so old a Man could dispute the Prize, before such Judges, with so young a Man as his Rival. But this Observation has been found unjust, since Posterity seems to have ratify'd the Verdict of that Tribunal : And Racine, tho' dead, is still the Farourite of the Fair Sex, as well as of the best Judges among the Men.

There is only one Subject, on which I am apt to distrust the Judgment of Females, and that is, concerning Books of Gallantry and Devotion, which they commonly affect as high flown as possible; and most of them seem more delighted with the Warmth, than with the justness of the Passion. I mention Gallantry and Devotion as the same Subject, because, in Reality, they become the same when treated in this Manner ; and we may observe, that they both depend upon the very same Complexion. As the Fair Sex have a great Share of the tender and amorous Disposition, it perverts their Judgment on this Occasion, and makes them be easily affected, even by what has no Propriety in the Expression nor Nature in the Sentiment. Mr. Addison's elegant Discourses of Religion have no Relish with them, in Comparison of Books of mystic Devotion: And Otway's Tragedies are rejected for the Rants of Mr. Dryden.

Wou'd the Ladies correct their false Taste in this Particular; Let them accustom themselves a little more to Books of all Kinds: Let them give Encouragement to Men of Sense and Knowledge to frequent their Company: And finally, let them concur heartily in that Union I have projected betwixt the learned and conversible Worlds. They may, perhaps, meet with more Complaisance from their usual Followers than from Men of Learning; but they cannot reasmably expect so sincere an Affection: And, I hope, they will never be guilty of so wrong a Choice, as to sacrifice the Substance to the Shadow.

## Essay II.-Of Morial l'irjuliess. ${ }^{1}$

Thfre is a Set of Men lately sprone up amongst ns, who endeaven to distinguish themselves by ridiculing every Thing, that has hitherto appeard sacred and vometable in the Eyes of Mankind. Reason, Sobriety, Honomr, Friendship, Marriacre, are the perpetual Subjects of thoir insipid Raillery: And even publie Spirit, amd a Recgat to our Comitry, are treated as chimerical and romantic. Were the Schemes of these Anti-reformers to take Place, all the Bonds of Society must be broke, to make Way for the Indulgence of a licentious Mirth and Gaiety: The Companion of our drunken Frollies must be prefer'd to a Friend or Brother: Dissolute Prodigality must be supply'd at the Expence of every Thing valuable, either in public or private: And Men shall have so little Regard to any Thing beyond themselves, that, at last, a free Constitution of Government must become a Scheme perfectly impracticable among Mankind, and must degencrate into one miversal System of Fraud and Corruption.

There is another Ifmmour, which may be obserr'd in some Pretenders to Wisdom, and which, if not so pernicions as the idle petulant Hmmour above-mention'd, must, however, have a rery bad Effect on those, who indulge it. I mean that grave philosophic Endeavour after Perfection, which, under Pretext of reforming Prejudices and Errors, strikes at all the most endearing Sentiments of the Heart, and all the most useful Byasses and Instincts, which can govern a hmman Creature. The Sloics were remarkable for this Folly among the Antients; and I wish some of more venerable Characters in latter Times had not copy'd them too faithfully in this Particular. The virtuous and tender Sentiments, or Prejndices, if you will, have suffer'd mightily by these Reflections; while a certain sullen Pride or Contempt of Mankind has prevald in their Stead, and has been estecm'd the greatest Wisdom; tho', in Reality, it be the most egregions Folly of all others. Statiliu: being sollicited by Brutus to make one of that noble Band, who struck the Cion-like Stroke for the Liberty of Rome, refus'd to accom-

[^185]essay pany them, saying, Thut all Men were Fools or Mad, and II. did not deserve that a wise Man should trouble his Head about them.

My learned Reader will here easily recollect the Reason, which an antient Philosopher gave, why he wou'd not be reconcil'd to his Brother, who sollicited his Friendship. He was too much a Philosopher to think, that the Connexion of having sprung from the same Parent, ought to have any Influence on a reasonable Mind, and exprest his Sentiment after such a Mamer as I think not proper to repeat. When your Friend is in Affliction, says Epictetus, you may counterfeit a Sympathy with him, if it give him Relief; but take Care not to allow any Compassion to sink into your Heart, or disturb that Tranquillity, which is the Perfection of Wisdom. Diogenes being ask'd by his Friends in his Sickness, What should be done with him after his Death! Why, says he, throw me out into the Fields. 'What! reply'd the?, to the Birds or Beasts?’ No: Place a Cudgel ly me, to defend myself withal. 'To what Purpose, say they, you will not have any Sense, nor any Power of making Use of it.' Then if the Beasts shou'd devour me, cries he, shail I be uny more sensible of it? I know none of the Sayings of that Philosopher, which shews more evidently both the Liveliness and Ferocity of his Temper.

How different from these are the Maxims by which Ergenius conducts himself! In his Youth he aply'd himself, with the most muvearied Labour, to the Study of Philosophy; and nothing was ever able to draw him from it, except when an Opportunity offerd of serving his Frimen, or doing a Pleasure to some Man of Merit. When he was about thirty Years of Age, he was determin'd to quit the free Life of a Batchelor (in which otherwise he wolld have been inclind to remain), by consilering, that he was the last Branch of an antient Family, which must have heen axtinguishod han he died without Children. He made 'howe of the virtuous and beatiful Emicu for his Consort, who, after leing the Solace of hiss Life for many Years, and having made him the Father of several Chidrem, paid at last the grincral Debt to Natire. Nothing cou'd have supported him muder so severe an Affliction, but the Consolation he receivil from his young Family, who were now become dearer to him on account of thrir dereast Mother. One Daughter in par-
ticular is his Darling, and the secret Joy of his Soul ; becanse her Features, her Air, her Voice reeal every Moment the

EXKY Y II. tomder Memory of his Sponse, and fill his Eyes with Tears. He conceals this Partiality ats much as possible; and none but his intimate Friemds are acquanted with it. To them her reveals all his Tenderness; nor is he so affectedly philosophical, as even to call it by the Name of Weakness. They know, that he still keeps the Birth-day of Emira with Tears, and a more fond and tender Recollection of past Pleasures; in like manner as it was celebrated in her Lifetime with Joy and Festivity. They know, that he preserves her Picture with the utmost Care, and has one Picture in Minature, which he always wears next to his Bosom: That he has left Orders in his last Will, that, in whatever Part of the World he shall happen to die, his Body shall be transported, and laid in the same Grave with her's: And that a Monument shall be erected over them, and their mutual Love and Happiness celebrated in an Epitaph, which he himself has compes'd for that Purpose.

A few Years ago I receiv'd a Letter from a Friend, who was abroad on his Travels, and shall here communicate it to the Pablic. It contains such an Instance of a Philosophic Apirit, us I think pretty extroordinary, and may serve as an Example, not to depart tuo far from the receiv'd Maxims of combert and Behaviour, by a refin'd Search after Happiness or Perfection. The Story I have been since assur'd of as Matter of Fact.

$$
\text { Paris. Aug. 2, } 1737 .
$$

Sir,-I know you are more curious of Accounts of Men than of Buildings, and are more desirous of being inform'd of private History than of public Tramsactions: for which Reason, I thought the following Story. which is the eommon Topic of Conversation in this City, woud be no unacceptable Entertaimment to you.

A young Lady of Birth and Fortume, being left intirely at her own Disposnl, persisted long in a Resolution of leading a single Life, notwithstanding several advantageous Offers that had been made to her. She had been determin'd to embrace this Resolution, by observing the many umhappy Marriages among her Acquantance, and by hearing the Complaints, which her Femaln Friende mate of the Tyamm,
essay Inconstancy, Jealousy or Indifference of their Husbands. Being a Woman of strong Spirit and an uncommon Way of thinking, she found no Difficulty either in forming or maintaining this Resolution, and cou'd not suspect herself of such Weakness, as ever to be induc'd, by any Temptation, to depart from it. She had, however, entertain'd a strong Desire of having a Son, whose Education she was resolv'd to make the principal Concern of her Life, and by that Means supply the Place of those other Passions, which she was resolv'd for ever to renounce. She push'd her Philosophy to such an uncommon Length, as to find no Contradiction betwist such a Desire and her former Resolution; and accordingly look'd about, with great Deliberation, to find, among all her Male-Acquaintance, one whose Character and Person were agreeable to her, without being able to satisfy herself on that Head. At Length, being in the Play-house one Evening, she sees in the Purterre, a young Man of a most engaging Countenance and modest Deportment; and tecls such a Pre-possession in his Favour, that she had Hopes this must be the Person she had long sought for in vain. She immediately dispatches a Servant to him ; desiring his Company, at her Lodgings, next Morning. The young Man was over-joy'd at the Message, and cou'd not command his Satisfaction, upon receiving such an Advance from a Lady of so great Beauty, Reputation and Quality. He was, therefor", much disappointed, when he found. a Woman, who wou'd allow him no Freedoms ; and amidst all her obliging Behaviour, confin'd and over-aw'd him to the Bounds of rational Discourse and Conversation. She seem'd, however, willing to commence a Friendship with him; and told him, that his Company wou'd always be acceptable to her, whenever he lad a leisure Hour to bestow. He needed not much Entreaty to renew his Visits, being so struck with her Wit and Beauty, that he must have been unhappy, had he been debarr'd her Company. Every Conversation serr'd only the more to inflame his Passion, and gave him more Occasion to admire her Person and Understanding, as well as to rejoice in his own Good-fortune. He was not, however, without Anxiety, when he comsiter'd the Disproportion of their Birth and Fortune; nor was his Uneasiness allay'd even when he reffected on the extraordinary Manner in which their Acguaintance had commencod. Our Ihilosophical Heroine,
in the mean Time, discowrd, that her Lower's persomal Qualities did not belye his Phisiognomy; st) that, judging

ESSAY there was 100 Occasion for any farther Trial, she takes it proper Opportunity of eommunicating to lim her whole lutnation. Their Intercourse continu'd for some-time, till at last her Wishes were crownd, and she was now Mother of a Boy, who was to be the Object of her future Care and 'oncern. Gladly wond she have continud her Friendship with the Father; but finding him tow passionate a Lover to remain within the Bonnds of Friendship, she was obliged to put a Vioknce upon herself. She sends him a Letter, in which she had inclos'd a Bond of Ammity for a Thousand Crowns; desiring him, at the same Time, never to soe her more, and to forget, if possible, all past Favours and Familiarities. He was Thunder-struck at receiving this Messinge; and, having tried, in vain, all the Arts that might win upon the Resolution of a Woman, resolv'd at last to attack her by her Foille. He commences a Law-suit against her before the Parliament of Paris; and clams his Son, whom he pretends a Right to educate as he pleas'd, acoording to the usual Maxims of the Law in such Cases. She pleads, on the other Hand, their express Agrecment before their Commerec, and pretends, that he had renounced all Claim to any Otfispring that might arise from their Embraces. It is not yet known, how the Parliament will determine in this extraorlinary Case, which puzzles all the Lawyers, as much as it dowes the Philosophers. As soon as they come to any lssue, I shall inform you of it, and shall embrace any Opportunity of subscribing myself, as I do at present,

> Sir,

Your most hmulle Sercout.

$$
\text { Essay } 11 \text { I.- Of the Midule station of Life. }{ }^{1}
$$

The Moral of the following Fable will easily discover itself, without my explaining it. One Rivulet meeting another, with whom he had been long united in strictest Amity, with noisy Haughtiness and Disdain thus bespoke him, "What, Brother! Still in the same State! Still low and creeping!

[^186]Essay Are you not asham'd, when you behold me, who, tho' lately in a like Condition with you, am now become a great River, and shall shortly be able to rival the Dambe or the Rhine, provided those friendly Rains continue, which have favour'd my Banks, but neglected yours." Very true, replies the humble Rivulet: "You are now, indeed, swoln to a great Size: But methinks you are become, withal, somewhat turbulent and muddy. I am contented with my low Condition and my Purity."

Instead of commenting upon this Fable, I slaall take Occasion, from it, to compare the different Stations of Life, and to perswade such of $m y$ Readers as are plac'd in the Middle Station to be satisfy'd with it, as the most eligible of all others. These form the most mumerous Rank of Men, that can be suppos'd susceptible of Philosophy ; and therefore, all Discourses of Morality onght principally to be address'd to them. The Great are too much immers'd in Pleasure ; and the Poor too much occupy'd in providing for the Necessities of Lite, to hearken to the calm Voice of Reason. The Middle Station, as it is most happy in many Respects, so particularly in this, that a Man, pacid in it, em, with the greatest Leisure, consider his own Happiness, and reap a new Enjoyment, from comparing his Situation with that of persons above or below him.

Agur's prayer is sufficiently noted. Two Things have I requir't of thee, deny me them not before I die, Remove far from me T'anity and Lies; Give me neither Poverty nor Riches, Feed me with Food convenient for me: Lest I be fill and deny ther, and say, Who is the Lord? Or lest I be poor, and steal, and take the Name of my (dOI) in vain. The middle Station is here justly recommended, as affording the fullest Securit!! for Virtue ; and I may also add, that it gires Opportmity for the most ample Earecise of it, and furnishes Employment for every grod Quality which we can possibly be possest of: Those, who are placed among the lower Ranks of Men, have little Opportunity of exerting any other Virtne, besides those of Patience, Resignation, Industry and Integrity. Those, who are advanc'd into the higher Stations, lave full cmployment for their (ienerosity, Humanity, Affability and ('harity. When a Man lyes betwixt these two Extremes, hecan wate the former Virtues towarls his Superions, and the latter towards his loforions. Every moral (llatity. which the
haman Soul is susceptible of, may have its Turn, and be Fsisay callad up to Action: And at Man may, after this Mamme, _be much more certain of his lengress in Virtue, than whore

but there is another Virtur, that some primeipally to lye among Rapuls, and is, for that heasm, chicfly caloulated fin the middle Station of Life. This Virtue is Fresmentre. I boline most Mon of generons Tempers are apt to onsy the Wrat, when they eonsider the later opportunities surh Persoms have of domg dood to their Fedlow-ereatures, and of acquiring the l'riendshipand Esteem of Man of Marit. They make no Advances in vain, and are not obliged to associate with those whom they have little Kindness for ; like People of inferion Stations, who are subject to have their Proffers of Friendship rejected, even where they wond be most fond of placing their Affections. But the' the (ireat have more Facility in acquiring Friendships, they camot be so certain of the Sincerity of them, as Men of a lower Rank; since the Favours, they bestow, may aequire them Flattery, instemin of (inombioll and Kindness. It has been very judicionsly manard, that we attach ourselves more by the Services we promm than by those we receive, and that a Man is in Danger of losing his Friends by obliging them too far. I shoudd, therefore. chmse to lye in the middle Way and to have my Commerce with my Friend varied both by Obligations given and receiv'd. I have too mueh Pride to be willing that all the Obligations should ly on my Side: and shonid be afraid, that, if they all lay on his, he wond also have too much Pride to be entirely casy under them, or have a perfect Complacency in my Company.

We may also remark of the middle Station of Life, that it is more farourable to the acquiring of Wisdom and Ability, as well as of Virtue, and that a man so situate has a better Chance for attaining a Knowledge both of Men and Things, than those of a more elevater station. He enters, with more Familiarity, into human Life: Every Thing appears in its natural C'olours before him: He has more Loisure to form Observations: and has, beside, the Motive of Amhition to pmsh him on in his Attaimments: being certain, that lan water rise to any Distinction or Eminence in the Wiond, withont his own indnstry. And here I canmot forbear commmicating a Fomark, which may appear somewhat extmondinary, tiz.
essay That 'tis wisely ordain'd by Providence, that the middle Station shou'd be the most favourable to the improving our natural Abilities, since there is really more Capacity requisite to perform the Duties of that Station, than is requisite to act in the higher Spheres of Life. There are more natural Parts, and a stronger Genius requisite to make a good Lawyer or Plysician, than to make a great Monarch. For let us take any Race or Succession of Kings, where Birth alone gives a Title to the Crown : The English Kings, for Instance ; who have not been esteemed the most shining in History. From the Conquest to the Succession of his present Majesty, we may reckon twenty eight Sovereigns, omitting those who died Minors. Of these, eight are esteem'd Princes of great Capacity, viz. the Conqueror, Harry II. Edward I. Edward III. Harry V. and VII. Elisabeth, and the late King William. Now, I believe every one will allow, that, in the common Run of Mankind, there are not eight out of twenty-eight, who are fitted, by Nature, to make a Figure either on the Bench or at the Bar. Since Charles VII. ten Monarchs have reign'd in France, omitting Francis II. Five of those have been esteem'd Princes of Capacity, viz. Loüis XI. XII. and XIV. Francis I. and Harry IV. In short, the governing of Mankind well, requires a great deal of Virtue, Justice, and Humanity, but not a surprising Capacity. A certain Pope, whose Name I have forgot, us'd to say, let us divert ourselves, my Friends, the World governs itself. There are, indeed, some critical Times, such as those in which INurry IV. liv'd, that call for the utmost Vigour; and a less Courage and Capacity, than what appear'd in that great Monarch, must have sunk monder the Weight. But such Circumstances are rare ; and even then, Fortune does, at least, one Half of the Business.

Since the common Professions, such as Law or Physic, require equal, if not superior Capacity, to what are exerted in the higher Spheres of Life, 'tis erident, that the Soul must be made of still a finer Mold, to shine in Philosophy or Poetry, or in any of the higher Parts of Learning. Courage and Resolution are chiefly rectuisite in a Commander: Justice and Humanity in a Statesman: But (ienius and Capacity in a Scholar. Great Gencrals and great Politicims, are found in all Ages and Cometries of the Wortd, and frequently start up, at once, even amongst the greatest Barbarians. sucden was sumk in lonorance, when it produc'd Gustarus

Pricsom, and Custares Adflphes: ilnseory, when the Cadi appeard: amb, perhaps, Chilhute, when it gave Birth to Ilannilual. But E'mglamd must pass thro' a long (iradation of its spencers, Johnsome, Wiallos, Drydens, before it arrive at all Addison or a Pope. A happy Talent for the liberal Arts aml Scionces, is a Kiml of Prodigy anomg Mon. Nature must afford the richest Genius that comes from her hands; Dducation and Example must cultivate it from tha earliest Infancy; And Industry mast concur to carry it to any Degree of Perfection. No Man meods be surprised to see Kouli-hen among the Persioms: lout Homer, in so "arly an Age, among the Greeks, is certainly Matter of the highest Wonder.

A man camot show a Genius for War, who is net so fortunate as to be trusted with Command; and it sehlom lappens, in any State or Kingdom, that several, at once, are phace in that Situation. How many Marlboroughs were there in the eonfederate Army, who never rose so much as to the Command of a Regiment? But I am perswaded there has been but one Milton in Englemt within these hundred Yars; becanse every one may exert the Talents for Poetry who is possest of them ; and no one cond exert them under greater Disadvantages than that divine Poet. If no Man were allow'l to write Verses, but who was, before-hand, named to be lonereat, cou'd we expect a Poet in ten thousand Years?

Were we to distinguish the Ranks of Men by their Genius and Capacity more than by their Virtue and Usefulness to the Public, great Philosophers woud certainly challenge the first Rank, and must be placed at the Top of human Kind. So rare is this Character, that, perhaps, there has not, as yet. been above two in the World, who can lay a just Claim to it. At least, Gulike and Nexton seem to me so far to excel all the rest, that I camot admit any other into the same Class with them.

Great Poets may challenge the second Place; and this Species of Genius, tho rare, is yet much more frequent than the former. Of the Greech Poets that remain, Ilomat alone seems to merit this Character: Of the Rommins, Virgil, Horace and Lucretius: Of the English, Miton and Pope: C'nowille, Racine, Boilean and Voltaire of the Formeh: And Tusio and Ariosto of the Italimes.

Great Orators and Historians are, perhaps, more rare than
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great Poets: But as the Opportunities for exerting the Talents recquisite for Eloquence, or acquiring the Knowledge requisite for writing History, depend, in some Measure, upon Fortune, we camnot pronounce these Productions of Genius to be more extraordinary than the former.

I should now return from this Digression, and show, that the midale Station of Life is more favourable to Happiness, as well as to Virtue and Wisdom: But as the Argmments, that prove this, seem pretty obvious, I shall here forbear insisting on them.

## Essay IV. ${ }^{1}$-Of Impudence and Modesty.

I. am of opinion, That the common complaints against Providence are ill-gromded, and that the good or bat qualities of men are the canses of their good or bad fortune, more than what is generally imagined. There are, no doubt, instances to the contrary, and these too pretty numerons; but few, in comparison of the instances we have of a right distribution of prospenity and adversity : nor indeed could it be otherwise from the common course of human affairs. To be endowed with a benevolent disposition, and to love others, will almost infallibiy procure love and esteem; which is the chief circumstance in life, and facilitates every enterprize and undertaking; besides the satisfaction, which immediately results from it. The case is mich the same with the other virtues. Prosperity is naturally, though not nevessarily, attached to virtue and merit; and adversity, in like mamer, to vice and folly.

I must, however confess, that this rule admits of an exception, with regard to one moral quality; and that monlest!y has a matmal tendency to conceal a man's talents, as impudence displays them to the utmost, and has been the only cause why many lawe risen in the world, under all the disadvantages of low birth and little merit. Such indolence and incapacity is there in the generality of mankind, that they are apt to receive a man for whatever he has a mind to put himself off for: ant anmit his owerbearing airs as proofs of that merit which he assmmes to himself. A decent assurance seems to be the matural attendant of virtue ; and few

[^187]men ean distingush impmance from it: As, on the other Fissiv lamd, diflidence, leing the matmal result of vice atml toll!, . Wh. has drawn discrate npon modesty, whith in outwam ap pearance so warly mesembles it.

As impudenere, thengh really a viex, has the same diterts mon at man's fortmere, as if it were a virtme: se we may whserve, that it is ahmost as differnlt to be attaimed, and is, in that resperet, distinguished firm all thenther viers, whieh are aronimed with little pains, and contimanlly querease num indulemere. Many a man, beiner smsible that mondesty is extromely prejudicial to him in mating his fortmos, has fesolved to be impulent, amd to put a bold fiare mpen thas matter ; But, it is observable, that smeh pernhe have seldom
 into theiv primitive monlesty. Nothing eamans atman thremer :hre world like a true gemme natural impmotanes. Its "omberfest is gool for nothing, nor cin "rers smport itself. In any wher attempt, whatever faults a man commits and is semsible of , he is so much the nearer his crad. But whenn hes "ne aromes at impudence, it he ever fated in the atternpt, the remembranee of that failnee will make him blush, and will infallibly diseencert him: After whach every bhash is a c:anse for new blashes, till he be fomm ont to be an arrant Whot, and a vain pretender to impmenee.

If any thing ean give a modest man more assmance, it must be some adrantages of fortme, which chance porures to him. Riehes maturally gain a man a favomable reception in the world, and wive merit a donble lnstre, when a person is emblowed with it ; and supply its place, in a oreat measmed when it is absent. It is wondertin to observe what airs of superiority fools and knaves, with large possessions, give themselres above men of the greatest merit in pererty. Nor do the men of merit make any strong opposition to these nsurpations; or rather sem to fivom them by the modenty of their behaviour. 'Their good semse and experience make them ditficent of their julgment, and canse them to examine every thing with the wreatest accuracy: As. on the other

[^188]ESSAY hand, the delicacy of their sentiments makes them timorous lest they commit faults, and lose in the practice of the world that integrity of virtue, so to speak, of which they are so jealous. To make wisdom agree with confidence, is as difficult as to reconcile vice and modesty.

These are the reflections which have occurred upon this subject of impudence and modesty: and I hope the reader will not be displeased to see them wrought into the following allegory.

Jupiter, in the begimning, joined Virtue, Wisdoni, and Confidence together; and Vice, Folly, and Diffidence: And thus connected, sent them into the world. But though he thought he had matched them with great judgment, and said that Confidence was the natural companion of Virtue, and that Vice deserved to be attended with Diftidence, they had not gone far before dissension arose among them. Wisdom, who was the guide of the one company, was always accustomed before she ventured upon any road, however beaten, to examine it carefully; to enquire whither it led; what dangers, difficulties and hindrances might possibly or probably occur in it. In these deliberations she usually consumed some time; which delay was very displeasing to Confidence, who was always inclined to hurry on, withont much forethought or deliberation, in the first road he met. Wisdom and Virtue were inseparable: But Confidence one day, following his impetuons nature, advanced a considerable way before his guides and companions; and not feeling any want of their company, he never enquired after them, nor ever mot with them more. In like manner, the other society, though joined by Jupiter, disagreed and separated. As Folly saw very little way before her, she had nothing to determine concerning the goodness of roads, nor conld give the preference to one above another ; and this wint of resolution was encreased by Diffidence, who, with her doubts and scruples, always retarded the joumey. This was a great annoyance to Vice, who loved not to hear of difficulties and delays, and was never satisfied without his full career, in whatever his inclinations led him to. Folly, he knew, though she harkened to Diffidence, would bo rasily managed when alone; and therefore, as a vicious horse throws his rider, he openly beat away his controller of all his pleasures, and proceeded in his jomney with Folly, from whom he is inseparable.

Confidence and bifidener being, after this manner, both thoown loose from thair respective companies, wandered for some

सASAY IV. time; till at last chance fed them at the same time to onn village. Confidence went dieedty up to the great honse, which lelonged to Wralut, the lowd of the village; and withont stay ing for a porter, intruled himself immeriately into the innermost apartments, where he fomm lien and Folly well reeaved before him. He joinal the train ; rewmmended himself vary quickly to his landerd ; and cutered intor surh familiarity with lice, that he was anlisted in the same company with Folly. 'They were frequent grnests of Health, and from that moment inseparable. Difindoner, in the mean time, not daring to approwh the great honse, atceppted of an invitation from Poverty, one of the tmants; and entering the cottage, fomm IVishom and l'istue, who being repulsed by the landlord, had retired thither. Viatue tork compassion of her, and Wisdom fombl, from her temper, that she would pasily improve: So they admitted her into their society. Accordingly, by their means, she altered in a little time somewhat of her manner, and beeming much more amiable and congaging, was now known by the name of Morlesty. As ill company has a greater effect than good, Comfidence, though more refractory to comsel and example, degenerated so tirr by the society of lice and Folly, as to pass by the name of Impudence. Mankind, who saw these societies as Jupiter first joined them, and know nothing of these mutual desertions, are thereby led into strange mistakes; and wherever they see Impudence, make aceount of finding liitue and Wisdom ; and wherever they observe Modesty, call her attendants Fice and Folly.

$$
\text { Essay V. }{ }^{1} \text {-Of Love and Murriage. }
$$

I know not whence it proceeds, that women are so apt to take amiss every thing which is said in disparagement of the married state : and always consider a satyr upon matrimony as a satyr mpon themselves. Do they mean, that they are the parties principally concerned, and that if a backwardness to enter into that state should prevail in the world, they would be the greatest sufferers? Or, are they sensible, that

[^189]
## ESSAY

 V.misfortunes and miscarriages of the married state are owing more to their sex than to ours? I hope they do not intend to confess either of these two particulars, or to give such an advantage to their adversaries, the men, as even to allow them to suspect it.

I have often had thoughts of complying with this humonr of the fair sex, and of writing a panegyric upon marriage : But, in looking around for materials, they seemed to be of so mixed a nature, that at the conclusion of my reflections, I found that I was as much disposed to write a satyr, which might be placed on the opposite pages of the panegrric: And I am afraid, that as satyr is, on most occasions, thonght to contain more truth than panegyric, I should have done their cause more harm than good by this expedient. To misrepresent facts is what, I know, they will not require of me. I must be more a friend to truth, than even to them, where their interests are opposite.

I shall tell the women what it is our sex complains of most in the marricd state; and if they be disposed to satisfy. us in this particular, all the other differences will easily be accommodated. If 1 be not mistaken, 'tis their love of dominion, which is the ground of the quarrel ; tho' 'tis very likely, that they will think it an unreasonable love of it in us, which makes us insist so much upon that point. However this may be, no passion seems to have more influence on female minds, than this for power; and there is a remarkable instance in history of its prevailing above another passion, which is the only one that can be supposed a proper counterpoise for it. We are told, that all the women in Scythia once conspired against the men, and kept the secret so well, that they executed their design before they were suspected. They surprised the men in drink, or asleep; bond them all fast in chains; and having called a solemn comeil of the whole sex. it was debated what expedient should be used to improve the present adrantage, and prevent their falling again into slavery. To kill all the men didnot seem to be the relish of any part of the assembly, notwithstanding the injuries formerly received; and they were afterwards pleased to make a great merit of this lenity of theirs. It was, therefore, agreed to put out the eyes of the whole male sex, and thereby resign in all future time the ranity which they rould draw from their beanty, in order to secure their anthority: We must no longer pretend




 the women were pesolved to matm the mentand deprive thatm wi some of their semses, in ordor formater them hamble and


 the leamed, that, in at married state, 'tis mot mear so speat an incomenibence to lose the formersense the the latter. Howerar this may be, we ate told by motern atmedotes, that some of Ho Sospman women did secretly spare their hushamle' tyes ;
 meams of that semse as withont it. liat so insorrigihle amd moteretable were these men, that their wives were all othiged, mat fow yars, as their youth and beanty deayyed, to imitate the cxample of their sisters ; which it was wo dilitult mater to do in a state where the female sex hat once wot the siperiority.

I know not if our Scottan ladies derive any thing of this hamour from their Sorfinan anestors; but, I mast comfess that I have often been smrprized to sere a woman bery well phased to take a tool for her mate, that she mioht onvern with the less contronl ; and conld mot lont think her sentimonts, in this respert, still more bandaroms than thase of the serman womem above-mentioned ; as moll as the eges of the materstanding are more valuable than those of the body.

But to be just, and to lay the blame more equally, I am afrad it is the fimlt of our sex, it the women be so tome of rule, and that if we did not abose our anthority, they wonlal never think it worth while to dispute it. Tyrants, we know, produce rebels; and all history infomens ns, that rebels, when they preath, are apt to become tyants in theip turn. Fion this reasom, I combld wish there were no pretemsioms to athonrity on dither sille : but that every theng wan corrited on with perteet equality, as betwern two equal monbere of the same body. And to intuce both parties tomburat those amionble sentiments, 1 shall deliver to them Phentos aceoment of the momin of love and marriand.

Mankind, arcombing to that fanciful philosmpur, wero not, rul. 1 た。

EasAY in their original, divider into male and female, as at present; but each individual person was a compound of both sexes, and was in himself both husband and wife, melted down nuo one living creature. This union, no doubt, was very intire, and the parts very well adjusted together, since there resulted a perfect harmony betwixt the male and female, altho' they were obliged to be inseparable companions. And so great were the harmony and happiness flowing from it, that the Androgynes (for so Plato calls them) or men-women, became insolent upon their prosperity, and rebelled against the Gods. To punish them for this temerity, Jupiter could contrive no better expedient, than to divorce the male-part from the female, and make two imperfect beings of the compound, which was before so perfect. Hence the origin of men and women, as distinct creatures. But notwithstanding this division, so lively is our remembrance of the happiness which we enjoyed in our primæval state, that we are never at rest in this situation ; but each of these halves is continnally searching thro' the whole species to find the other half, which was broken from it: And when they meet, they join again with the greatest fondness and sympathy. But it often happens, that they are mistaken in this particular ; that they take for their half what no way corresponds to them ; and that the parts do not meet nor join in with each other, as is usual in fractures. In this case the union was soon dissolved, and each part is set loose again to hunt for its lost half, joining itself to every one whom it meets, by way of trial, and enjoying no rest till its perfect sympathy with its partner shews that it has at last been successful in its endearours.

Wore I disposed to carry on this fiction of Plato, whicl accounts for the mutual love betwixt the sexes in so agreeable a manner, I would do it by the following allegory.

When Jupiter had separated the male from the female, and had quelled their pride and ambition by so severe an operation, he could not but repent him of the cruelty of his vengeance, and take compassion on poor mortals, who were now become incapable of any repose or tranquillity. Such cravings, such anxieties, such necessities arose, as made them curse their creation, and think existence itself a pumishment. In vain had they recourse torveryother occupation and ammsement. Th vain did thery seefk alter every pleasme of sense, and every refinement of reason. Nothing could fill that void,
which they folt in their harts，or supply the hes of thair

 human race in their ferlom sithation，Jopitare sent down Love and Hymen，to wollocet the broken halves of haman kimb，and piece them together in the lest manner prosible． These two deities fomul such a prompt dispensition in man－ kime to mite again in their primaral state，that they pu－ aendel on their work with womderfal sucerss for some timu； till at last，from many mulucky acridentr，dissemsion arowe betwist them．The chief comsedhe and favourite of Hyand was Care，who was continally filling his patem＇s head with prospects of futurity ；a settlement，fimily，children，semvants； as that little else was regarded in all the matches they made． On the other hame，Lome had chosen Pleasitre for his favennite， whewasas pernicions a counsellor as theother，and would nerer allow Lore to look beyond the present momentary gratifiea－ tiom，or the satisfying of the prevailing inclination．These two farourites became，in a little time，irreconcileable enemins， and made it their chief business to momerme each othow in all their modertakings．No sooner lad Lowe fixed mpon two halves，which he was cementing together，and forming to a dose mion，but Cher insinuates himself，and hringing 11 ymas along with him，dissolves the mion produced by lowe，and joins each half to some other half，which he had provided for it．To be revenged of this，Ileasure creeps in upon a pair already joined by Hymen ；and calling Lome to his assistance， they under hand contrive to join each halt by secret links，to halves，which Hymen was wholly macquainted with．It was not long before this quarrel was felt in its pernicious consequences；and such complaints arose before the throme of Jupiter，that he was obliged to summon the offendias parties to appear before him，in order to give an aceount of their proceedings．After hearing the pleadings on both wikes， he ordered an immediate reconeilement betwixt Love and Hymen，as the only expedient for giving happines to man－ kind：And that he might be sure this reconcilment shmbld be durable，he laid his strict injunctions on them never to jow any halves withont consulting their faromites Catio and Pleasme，and obtaining the comsent of hooth to the empmetion． Where this order is strietly observed，the AmAromple is per－ feetly restored，and the haman ramonjoy the same happinsis
as in their primaval state. The seam is scarce perceived that joins the two beings; but both of them combine to form one perfect and happy creature.

## Essar VI. ${ }^{1}$-Of the Study of IIistory.

There is nothing which I would recommend more earnestly to my female readers than the study of history, as an occupation, of all others, the best suited both to their sex and education, much more instructive than their ordinary books of amusement, and more entertaining than those serious compositions, which are usually to be found in their closets. Among other important truths, which they may learn from history, they may be informed of two particulars, the knowledge of which may contribute very much to their quiet and repose; That our sex, as well as theirs, are far from being such perfect creatures as they are apt to imagine, and, That Love is not the only passion, which governs the male-world, but is often overcome by avarice, ambition, vanity, and a thousand other passions. Whether they be the false representations of mankind in those two particulars, which endear romances and novels so much to the fair sex, I know not; but must confess that I am sorry to see them have such an arersion to matter of fact, and such an appetite for falshood. I remember I was once desired by a young beauty, for whom I had some passion, to send her some novels and romances for her amusement in the country ; but was not so ungenerous as to take the advantage, which such a course of reading might have given me, being resolved not to make use of poisoned arms against her. I therefore sent her Pletarch's Lives, assuring her, at the same time, that there was not a word of truth in them from beginning to end. She perused them very attentively, 'till she came to the lives of Alexander and Chesar, whose names she had heard of by accident; and then returned me the book, with many reproaches for deceiving her.

I may indeed be told that the fair sex have no such aversion to history, as I have represented, provided it be secirt listory, and contain some memmable transaction proper to excite their curiosity. But as [ do not find that truth, which

[^190]1: 1 he: basis of history, is at all rempreded in those anmernotes, 1 cammot atmit of this as at prof of their passion for that staty. Iforever this may lor, I ser mot why the stme curiusiiy misht not reenive a more proner direction, and latid them Io desire aceonnts of those who lived in past ares, as well as of their cotemporaries. What is it to ('IEEORA, whethes
 wr mot Has she not equal reasom to be pleased, when she is informed (what is whispered alout among historiams) that ('sto's sister had an intrigue with ('ssar, and palmed her som, Marcus Breutus, mon here hasband for lios wwh, the' in reality he was her gallant's: And are not the lovers of Messalina or Julia as proper subjects of discourse as any intrigue that this eity has produced of late years?

But I know not whence it comes, that I have been thus sednced into a kind of raillery against the ladies: Unless, berhaps, it proceed from the same canse, which makes the Person, who is the faromite of the company, be often the object of their grood-matured jests and pleasantries. We are pheased to adelress ourselves after any mamer, to one who is agreable to us ; and, at the same time, presume that nothiner will be taken amiss by a person, who is sermre of the erome "pinion and affections of every one present. I shall now pro"eed to handle my sulojeet more somomsly, and shall peint ont the many alvantages which flow fiom the study of history, and show how well suited it is to arery one, but partienlirly to those who are debarred the severer studies, by the temderness of their complexion, and the weakness of their edtuation. The advantages found in history seem to be of three kinds, as it amuses the fancy, as it improwes the moderstandiny, and as it strengthens virtue.

In reality, what more arreable entertamment to the mind. than to be transported into the remotest ages of the world. and to observe haman society, in its infance, making the tires fiant essays townels the arts and sciences: To see the luliey of govermment, and the civility of eonversation refinime hy degrees, and every thing which is ormamental to hmann life adrancing towarls its perfection. 'Tu remark the rise, pro.. gress, declension, and final extinetion of the most flomrishiner empires: The virtues, which contributed to thein greathess, and the vices. which drew on their rain. In short, to sere all homan rate, from the berimming of time pats, at, it were, in

ESALY review bofore us; appearing in their true colours, without any of those disguises, which, during their life-time, so much perplexed the judgment of the beholders. What spectacle can be imagined, so magnificent, so various, so interesting? What amusement, either of the senses or imagination, can be compared with it? Shall those trifling pastimes, which engross so much of our time, be preferred as more satisfactory, and more fit to engage our attention : How perverse must that taste be, which is capable of so wrong a choice of pleasures?

But history is a most improving part of knowledge, as well as an agreeable amusement; and a great part of what we commonly call Erudition, and value so highly, is nothing but an acquaintance with historical facts. An extensive knowledge of this kind belongs to men of letters; but I must think it an unpardonable ignorance in persons of whatever sex or condition, not to be acquainted with the history of their own country, together with the histories of ancient Greece and Rome. A woman may behave herself with good manners, and have even some vivacity in her turn of wit; but where her mind is so unfurnished, 'tis impossible her conversation can afford any entertainment to men of sense and reflection.

I must add, that history is not only a valuable part of knowledge, but opens the door to many other parts, and affords materials to most of the sciences. And indeed, if we consider the shortness of human life, and our limited knowledge, even of what passes in our own time, we must be sensible that we should be for ever children in understanding, were it not for this invention, which extends our experience to all past ages, and to the most distant nations; makinge them contribute as moch to our improvement in wistom, its if they had actually lain under our observation. A man acquainted with history may, in some respect, be said to have lived from the beginning of the work, and to have been making eontinual additions to his stock of knowledge in every century.

There is also an advantage in that experience which is acquired by history, above what is learned by the practioe of the world, that it brings ns accpucinted with human affairs, without diminishing in the last from the most delieate sentiments of virtue. And, to tell the truth, I know not any study or occupation su monexptionable as history in this
particular. Poets can paint virtur in the most charmines colours; but, as they indmess themselves entiely to the passions, they often become advecates for vice. Even philosinphers are apt to bewilder themselves in the sultility of the ir specolations; and we have seom some oro ats far as to deny the reality of all moral distinetions. But I think it a remark worthy the attention of the speculative, that the historians haw hen, almost without exception, the true friemds of virtue, and have always represented it in its profer eolonrs, howerer they may have erred in their judgments of particular persons. Machiavel himself diseorers a trat sentiment of virtue in his history of Fhoreaces. When he talks as a Politicien, in his gencral reasonings, he considers peisming, assassination and perjury, as lawful arts of power ; but when he speaks ats an Mistorim, in his particular marrations, he shows so keen an indignation agrainst viee, and so warm an approbation of virtne, in many passages, that I could not forbear applying to him that remark of Horace, That if you (hace away nature, tho' with ever so great indignity, she will always return upon you. Nor is this combination of historians in favour of virtue at all difficult to be aceomated fors. When a man of business enters into life and action, he is more apt to consider the characters of men, as they bave relation to his interest, than as they stand in themselves; and bas his judgment warped on every occasion by the violence of his passion. When a philosopher contemplates characters ant manners in his closet, the general abstract riew of the objects leaves the mind so cold and ummoved, that the sentiments of nature have no room to play, and he scarce feels the difterence between vice and virtne. History keels in a just medium betwixt these extremes, and places the objects in their trae point of view. The writers of history, as well as the readers, are sufticiently interested in the characters and erents, to have a lively sentiment of blame or praise; ant, at the same time, have no particular interest or concern to pervert their judgment.

[^191][^192]Essay VII

## Of Avarice. ${ }^{1}$

resay 'Tis easy to observe, that comic writers exaggerate every character, and draw their fop, or coward with stronger features than are any where to be met with in nature. This moral kind of painting for the stage has been often compared to the painting for cupolas and ceilings, where the colours are over-charged, and every part is drawn excessively large, and beyond nature. The figures seem monstrous and disproportioned, when seen too nigh; but become natural and regular, when seen at a distance, and placed in that point of view, in which they are intended to be surveyed. For a like reason, when characters are exhibited in theatrical representations, the want of reality removes, in a manner, the personages; and rendering them more cold and mentertaining, makes it necessary to compensate, by the force of colvuring, what they want in substance. Thus we find in common life, that when a man once allows himself to depart from truth in his narrations, he never can keep within the bounds of probability; but adds still some new circmustance to render his stories more marvellons, and to satisfy his imagination. Two men in buckran suits became eleven to Sir John Falstaff before the end of the story.

There is only one vice, which may be found in life with ats strong features, and as high a colouring as needs be emphoyed by any satyrist or comic poet; and that is Avarice. Every day we meet with men of immense fortumes, without heirs, and on the very brink of the grave, who refuse themselves the most eommon necessaries of life, and go om heraping possessions on passessions, under all the real pressures of the severest poverty. An whe nsmer, says the story, lying in his last agonies, wats presented by the priest with the (rucifix to worship. He aquas his. (yes a moment befiore he expires, considers the erneitix, and wies, These jemels "wow mot true: I cour only lend lin pistalis "pous such "ploder. This was probably the invention of some eqigrammatist; and wet "very one, from his own "xperienee, may be able to reentlect

[^193] commonly reportal of a timmos mixire in this rity, that timliner
, 11. himself mear death, he semt fire sumbe of the masist mates, and give them a bill of an hmmber permals, fayable after his dereatse; which stm he intembed shombld be dispersed wit in "haritable uses; but searor werr they erone, when ha oremes them to be called batck, and oflers then ready money, if they womld abate five pomals of the smm. Amother noted mistu in thw north, intemding to doframb his heirs, and latim his fortune to the building of an hospital, protracted the drawiner of his will from day to day; ame 'tis thomerht, that if' thas: interested in it had not paid for the drawing it, he had diad intestate. In short, none of the most fimions exeesses of love and ambition are in any respect to be compared to the extremes of ararice.

The best excuse that can be mate for avariee is, that it gemerally prevails in old men, or in men of cold tempers, where all the other atfections are extinct; and the mind being incepable of remaining withont some passion or pursuit, at last fimls out this monstronsly absurd one, which suits the coldness and inactivity of its temper. At the same time, it reemas very extrandinary, that so frosty, spirithes a passion shonld be able to carry us farther tham all the warmoth of youth and pleasure: but if we look more narmoly into the matter, we shall find, that this rery circumstance renders the explication of the case more easy. When the temper is warm and fall of vioom, it matmally shoots ont more ways than one, abd prodnces inferior passions to counter-balance, in somo dergee, its predominant inclination. 'Tis impossible for a preson of that temper, howerer bent on any pursuit, to be deprived of all sense of shame, or all regerd to the sentiments of mankind. Jis frionds must have some influence over him: And other considerations are apt to have their weight. All this serves to restrain him within some bounds. But 'tis no wonder that the avaritions man, being, from the eoldness of his tempere, without remend to reputation, to friemdship, or to pleasure. shomld be camided so far by his preabimo inclination, and shond dicplay his fassion in such surprising instances.
 And thongh thore samely has been a momalict or philor stpher, from tha hespinmine of the world to this day, who
has not levelled a stroke at it, we hardly find a single instance of any person's being cured of it. For this reason, I am more apt to approve of those, who attack it with wit and humour, than of those who treat it in a serious manner. There being so little hopes of doing good to the people infected with this vice, I would have the rest of mankind, at least, diverted by our manner of exposing it: As indeed there is no kind of diversion, of which they seem so willing to partake.

Among the fables of Monsienr de la Motte, there is one levelled against avarice, which seems to me more natural and easy, than most of the fables of that ingenious anthor. A miser, says he, being dead, and fairly interred, came to the banks of the Styx, desiring to be ferried over along with the other ghosts. Charon demands his fare, and is surprized to see the miser, rather than pay it, throw himself into the river, and swim over to the other side, notwithstanding all the clamour and opposition that could be made to him. All hell was in an uproar ; and each of the judges was meditating some punishment, suitable to a crime of such dangerous consequence to the infernal revenues. Shall he be chained to the rock with Pronetheus? Or tremble below the precipice in company with the Danaides? Or assist Sisyphus in rolling his stone? No, says Minos, none of these. We must invent some severer punishment. Let him be sent back to the earth, to see the use his heirs are making of his riches.

I hope it will not be interpreted as a design of setting myself in opposition to this celebrated anthor, if I proceed to deliver a fable of my own, which is intended to expose the same vice of ararice. The hint of it was taken from these lines of Mr. Pope.

> Themid to the miness an equen firte bu tidres
> The slave that digss it, and the sluer thut hides.

Our old mother Farth once lolged an indictment against Avarice before the courts of heaven, for her wicked and malicious council and advice, in tempting, inducing, persuading, and traiterously seducing the children of the plaintiff to commit the detestable erime of parricide upon hror, and, mangling fer boty, ransack her vory bowels for hidden treasure. 'The indietnent was very lomg and verbose';
but we must omit a great part of the repetitions amb syome－


にぐ1 Y
V11． Arabice，being callod bofore Jupreter to answer to this dharge，had not much to say in her own defence．The ingus－ tiee was deady proved upen her．The fact，indeed，was motorions，and the ingury had hern frequmtly repeatol． When therefore the phantiff demanded justion，Jupurfe very readily gave sentence in her favour；and his dereme was to this purpose，＇That since dame Arorier，the defendent， had thus grievously injured dame Furth，the plaintiff，sho was hereby ordered to take that treasure，of which she han felonionsly robbed the said plaintiff，by ransacking hem bosom，and in the same manner，as before，＂pening her hosom，restere it back to her，without diminution or reten－ tion．From this sentence，it shall follow，says Jupiemer to the by－standers，That，in all future ages，the retainers of Avarice shall bury and conceal their riches，and thereby restore to the earth what they took from her．

## Essay VIII．－A Churacter of Sii Poblo et Wilpole．

There never was a man，whose actions and chamacter have been more carnestly and openly canvassed，than those of the present minister，who，having governed a learned and frow nation for so long a time，amidst such mighty oppwition， may make a large library of what has been wrote for and agrainst him，and is the subject of above half the papere that has been blotted in the mation within these twenty years． I wish for the honour of our comery，that any one character of him had been drawn with such julyment and impurtielity， as to have eredit with posterity，and to shew，that our liberty has，once at least，been employed to good pmopose．I am only afraid，of failing in the former quality of judgment： But if it should be so，＇tis but one page more thrown away， after an hondred thousand，upon the same subject，that have perished，and beome useless．In the mean time，I shall flatter myself with the plasing imagination，that the fol－ lowing character will be adopted by future historians．

[^194]ensay Sir robert Walpole, prime minister of Great Britain, is a man of ability, not a genius; good-natured, not virtuous; constant, not maguanimous; moderate, not equitable; ${ }^{1}$ His virtues, in some instances, are free from the allay of those vices, which usually accompany such virtues: He is a generous friend, without being a bitter enemy. His vices, in other instances, are not compensated by those virtues which are nearly allyed to them; His want of enterprise is not attended with frugality. The private character of the man is better than the public: His virtues more than his vices: His fortune greater than his fame. With many good qualities he has incurred the public hatred: With good capacity he has not escaped ridicule. He would have been esteemed more worthy of his high station had le never possessed it; and is better qualified for the second than for the first place in any government. His ministry has been more advantageous to his family than to the public, better for this age than for posterity, and more pernicious by bad precedents than by real grievances. During his time trade has flourished, liberty declined, and learning gone to ruin. As I am a man, I love him; as I am a scholar, I hate him; as I am a Briton, I calmly wish his fall. And were I a member of either house, I would give my vote for removing him from St. James's; but should be glad to see him retire to Houghton-Hall, to pass the remainder of his days in ease and pleasure.

[^195]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { UNPUBLISHED } \\
\text { ESSAYS. }
\end{gathered}
$$
\]



## UNPUBLISITE1) ESSAYS.

> Fssiy l. - if the Immortalit! of the Sount.

By the mere lierht of reason it serms difficolt to prove the fimmortality of the Sonl. The argmments for it are commomly

RScIY derived either from metaphysian topics, or moral, or physiod. But in reality, it is the grospl, and the gospel alone, that has bronght life and immortality to light.
I. Metaplysical topies suppose that the sonl is immaterial, and that it is impossible for thought to belong to a material substance.

But just metaphysics teach ms, that the notion of substance is wholly confused and imperfect, and that we have no other idea of any substance, tham as an agorexrate of partienkar fualities inhering in an manowil something. Matter, theqefore, and spirit, are at bottom equally menown; and we camot determine what gralities inhere in the one or in the otler.

They likewise teach us, that nothing can be decided it priori concerning any canse or effect; and that experience, being the only source of our judgments of this nature, we cannot know from any other principle, whether matter, by its structure or arrangement, may not be the canse of thomght. Abstract reasonings cannot decitle any question of tact or existence.

But admitting a spiritmal substance to be dispersed throumhont the universe, like the ethereal fire of the Stuics, and to be the omly inherent subject of thourht, we hare reason to conclute from malogy, that nature neses it after the mamer she does the other substance matter. She em-

[^196]
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 I.ploys it as a kind of paste or clay; modifies it into a varioty of furms and existences; dissolves after a time each modification, and from its substance erects a new form. As the same material substance ma; successively compose the bodio:; of all animals, the same spritual substance may compose their minds: their conscionsness, or that system of thought, which they formed during life, may be continually dissolved by death; and notling interests them in the new modification. The most positive assertors of the mortality of the soul, nerer denied the immortality of its substance. And that an immaterial substance, as well as a material, may lose its memory or consciousness, appears, in part, from experience, if the soul be immaterial.

Reasoning from the common course of nature, and without supposing any new interposition of the Supreme Cause, which ought atways to be excluded from philosophy ; what is incorruptible must also be ingenerable. The soul, therofore, if immortal, existed before our lirth: And if the former existence noways concerned us, neither will the latter.

Animals undoubtedly feel, think, love, hate, will, and even reason, though in a more imperfect manner than man. Are their souls also immaterial and immortal?
II. Let us now consider the moral arguments, chiefly those derived from the justice of God, which is supposed to be further interested in the further purishment of the vicious and reward of the virtuons.

But these arguments are grounded on the supposition, that God has attributes beyond what he has exerted in this universe, with which alone we are acquainted. Whence do we infer the existence of these attributes?
'Tis very safe for us to affirm, that, whatever we know the Deity to have actually done, is best; but it is very dangerous to affirm, that he must ahways do what to us seems best. In luw many instances would this reasoning fail us with regard to the present world.

But if any purpose of nature be clear, we may affirm, that the whole scope and intention of man's creation, so far as we can judge by matural reason, is limited to the present life. With how weak a concern, from the original, inherent structure of the mind and passions, does he ever look furthere What comprisom either for steadiness or efficacy,

Lutwixt so floating an ide: and the most dembetful prosuasion of :my mather of fact, that acems in common life:

Thore arise, inded, in some minds, some marcountald, turnes with reward to fiaturity: lint these would quickly vamish, were they mot artificially fostored by pecept and whation. And those, when foster them: what is their motive? Only to gain a liwhlonel, ame to acequire powes :and ridhes in this world. Thmir wery zaal and industry, therefore, are an argument against them.

What crucliy, what iniquity, what injustiee in mature, to monfine thus all our concern, as well as all our knowletere, to the present life, if there be another seene still waiting us, of infintely greator consequence? Onght this barbarous deceit to be aseribed to a beneficent and wise Being:

Ohserve with what exact proportion the task to be perfinmed, and the performing powers, are adjusted thronghout all mature. If the reason of man gives him at great superionity above other animals, his meessities are proportionably multiplied upon him. His whole time, his whole capacity, antivity, comage, passion, find sufficient emplayment, in fincing against the miseries of his present condition. And freprently, nay almost always, are too slender for the business assigned them.

A pair of shoes, pribaps, was never yet wrought to the hiophest demee of perfection, which that commodity is capahe of attaining. Yet it is necessary, at least very useful, that there should be some politicians and moralists, even some geometers, poets, and philosophers among mankind.

The powers of men are no more superior to their wants, considered merely in this life, than those of foxes and hares are, compared to their wants and to their periond of existence. The inference from parity of reasom is therefore obvions.

On the theory of the soul's mortality, the inferiority of women's capacity is easily accomed fir : Their domestic life requires no higher faculties either of mind or bonty. This circmustance vanishes and becomes absolutely insigniticant, on the religions theory: The one sex lats an equal task to perform as the other: Their powers of reason and resolntion ought also to have been equal, and both of them infinitely greater than at present.

As every effect implies a canse. amt that another, till w. reach the first cause of all, which is the hity; wery thimer
yol. IV.
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that happens, is ordained by him; and nothing can be the object of his punishment or vengeance.

By what rule are punishments and rewards distributed? What is the Divine standard of merit and demerit? Shall we Suppose, that human sentiments have place in the Deity? However bold that hypothesis, We have no conception of any other sentiments. ${ }^{1}$

According to human sentiments, sense, courage, good manners, industry, prudence, genins, \&c. are essential parts of personal merits. Shall we therefore erect an elysium fon poets and heroes, like that of the ancient mythology? Why confine all rewards to one species of virtue?

Punishment, without any proper end or purpose, is inconsistent with our ideas of goorlness and justice; and no end can be served by it after the whole scene is closed.

Punishment, according to our conception, should bear some proportion to the offence. Why then eternal punishment for the temporary offences of so frail a creature as man? Can any one approve of Alexander's rage, who intended to exterminate a whole nation, because they had seized his favourite horse, Bucephalus? ${ }^{2}$

Heaven and hell suppose two distinct species of men, the good and the bad. But the greatest part of mankind float betwixt vice and virtue.

Were one to go round the world with an intention of giving a good supper to the righteous and a sound drubbing to the wicked, he would frequently be embarrassed in his choice, and would find, that the merits and demerits of most men and women scarcely amomet to the value of either.

To suppose measures of approbation and hlame, difforent from the human, confounds every thing. Whence do we learn, that there is such a thing as moral distinctions, bnt from our own sentiments?

What man, who has not met with personal provocation (or what good-natur'd man who has), could inflict on crimes, from the sense of blame alone, even the common, legal, frivolous punishments? And does any thing steel the breast of judges and juries against the sentiments of humanity hat reflections on necessity and public interest ?

By the Roman law, those who had been gruity of pari-

[^197]ciole, ant confessad thoir crime, were put into a sack, alomg with an aper, a dog, and a serpent ; ant thrown into the river: Dhath alome was the punishment of those, who denied their guilt, however fully proved. A criminal was tried before A"!ustus, and condemmed after a fill conviction: but the homane emperor, when he pht the last interrogatory, gave it such a tmon as to lead the wretelointo a denial of his irnilt. " Youe surrly, said the prince, did not kill yomer futher?", T'his lenity suits our natural ideas of Right, even towards the erratest of all criminals, and even thoush it prevents so inconsiderable a sufferance. Nay, even the most bipoted priest would naturally, without reflection, approve of it ; provided the erime was not heresy or infidelity. For as these erimes lmirt limself in his temporal interest and advantages; perhaps lie may not be altogether so indulgint to them.

The chief some of moral ideas is the reflection on the interests of hmman society. Onght these interests, so short, so frivolous, to be grarded by pumishments, eternal and infinite? The dammation of oue man is an infinitely greater evil in the miverse, than the subversion of a thousand millions of kingdoms.

Nature has rendered human infancy peculiarly frail and mortal; as it were on purpose to refute the notion of a probationary state. The half of mankind die before they are rational creatures.

ILI. The physical aromments from the analogy of nature are strong for the mortality of the sonl: and these are really the only philosophical argoments, which ought to be admitted with regard to this question, or indeed any question of fact.

Where any two oljects are so closely connected, that all alterations, which we have ever seen in the one, are attenderd with proportionable alterations in the other: we ought to conclude, by all moles of analogy, that, when there are still Grater alterations prodnced in the former, and it is totally dissolved, there follows a total dissolution of the latter.

Sleep, it very small effect on the body, is attended with a temporary extinction : at least, a oreat eonfusion in the soml. The weakness of the bedy and that of the mind in infanery
are exactly proportioned; their vigour in manhood, their sympathetic disorder in sickness, their common gradual decay in old age. The step further seems unavoidable; their common dissolution in death.

The last symptoms, which the mind discovers, are disorder, weakness, insensibility, and stupidity ; the forerumners of its annihilation. The further progress of the same causes, encreasing the same effects, totally extinguish it. ${ }^{1}$

Judging by the usual analogy of nature, no form can continue, when transferred to a condition of life very different from the original one, in which it was placed. Trees perish in the water ; fishes in the air ; animals in the earth. Even so small a difference as that of climate is often fatal. What reason then to imagine, that an immense alteration, such as is made on the soul by the dissolution of its body, and all its organs of thouglit and sensation, can be effected without the dissolution of the whole?

Every thing is in common betwixt soul and body. The organs of the one are all of them the organs of the other. The existence therefore of the one must be dependent on the other.

The souls of animals are allowed to be mortal: and these bear so near a resemblance to the souls of men, that the analogy from one to the other forms a very strong argument. Their bodies are not more resembling: yet no one rejects the argument drawn from comparative anatomy. The Netempsychosis is therefore the only system of this kind, that philosophy can hearken to.

Nothing in this world is perpetual; Every thing, however seemingly firm, is in continual flux and change: The world itself gives symptoms of frailty and dissolution: How contrary to analogy, therefore, to imagine, that one single form, seeming the frailest of any, and subject to the greatest disorders, is immortal and indissoluble? What a daring theory is that! ${ }^{2}$ IIow lightly, not to say how rashly, entertained!

How to dispose of the infinite number of posthumous existences onght also to embarrass the religions theory. Every planet, in every solar system, we are at liberty to imagine peopied with intelligent, mortal beings: At least we can fix

[^198]on wo other smposition. For these, then, a new miverse must, every enereation, be craton beyond the bommes of the present universe : or one must have been creatend at first su procligiously wile as to inlmit of this continual influx of beings. Ought such bold sumpositions to be received by any philosophy: and that merely on the pretext of a bare possisility ?

When it is asked, whether Aymomnm, Thersites, IItennibul, Now,' and every stupid clown, that ewer existed in Ituly, Soythin, Bactria, or Ginea, are now alive; can any man think, that a scratiny of nature will furnish arguments stronig enough to answer so strange a question in the aflimative? The want of argument, without revelation, sufficiently establishes the negative. Quanto facilius, says Pliny, ${ }^{2}$ certinsume sibi quempue credere, ac specimen securitatis antrymitali sumere experimmo. Our insensibility, before the composition of the body, seems to natural reason a proof of a like state after dissolution.

Were our horrors of amihilation an original passion, not the effect of our general love of hampines, it would rather prove the mortality of the sonl: For as nature does nothins in vain, she would never give us a horror against an impossible event. She may give us a horror agaimst an unaveidable event, provided our endeavours, as in the present ase, maly often remove it to some distance. Death is in the eme mavoilable ; yet the hmman species conld not be preserved, had not nature inspired us with andersion towards it. All doctrines are to be suspected which are faroured by our passions. And the hopes and fears which give rise to this ${ }^{3}$ doctrine, are very obvions.
'Tis an infinite adrantage in every controversy, to defemd the negative. If the question be out of the common experienced course of nature, this circumstance is ahmost, if mot altogether, decisive. By what arguments or analogies can we prove any state of existence, which no one ever saw, aml which no way resembles any that ever was sech? Whe will repose such trust in any pretended philosophy, as to admit uron its testimony the reality of so marvellous a scene?

[^199]ESSY I.

ESSAY Some new species of logic is requisite for that purpose; and some new faculties of the mind, that they may enable us to comprehend that logic.

Nothing could set in a fuller light the infinite obligations which mankind have to Divine revelation; since we find, that no other medium could ascertain this great and important truth.

## Essay II. ${ }^{1}$-Of Suicide.

One considerable advantage that arises from Philosophy, consists in the sovereign antidote which it affords to superstition and false religion. All other remedies against that pestilent distemper are vain, or at least uncertain. Plain good sense and the practice of the world, which alone serve most purposes of life, are here found ineffectual: History as well as daily experience furnish instances of men endowed with the strongest capacity for business and affairs, who have all their lives crouched under slavery to the grossest superstition. Even gaiety and sweetness of temper, which infuse a balm into every other wound, afford no remedy to so virulent a poison; as we may particularly observe of the fuir Sex, who, tho' commonly possest of these rich presents of nature, feel many of their joys blasted by this importunate intruder. But when sound Philosophy has once gained possession of the mind, superstition is effectually excluded; and one may fairly affirm, that her triumph over this enemy is more complete than over most of the vices and imperfections incident to haman nature. Love or anger, ambition or avarice, have their root in the temper and affections, which the soundest reason is samee ever able finly to correct; but superstition being founded on false opinion, must immediately vanish when true philosophy has inspired juster sentiments of surerior powers. The contest is here more equal between the distemper and the medicine, and nothing can hinder the latter from proving effectual, but its being false and sophisticated.

It will here be superflnons to magnify the merits of philosophy, by displaying the pernicious tendency of that vier of which it cures the human mind. The superstitions man, says

[^200] "won shep itself, which banishes all other cares of malaply mortals, afforels to him matter of new terror; while herxamines his dreams, and finds in those visioms of the mierht promostieations of finture calamities. I may add, that thos doath ahone cam put a full period to his misury, le dares mot Ily to this refuge, but still proloners a misemalle existence fion a vain lear lest he offend his maker, loy using the puwer, with which that beneficent being has endowed him. 'Ilae presenty of (iod and nathre are ravished from us by this eruel ememy; amb notwithstanding that one step would remove ns from tha rexions of pain and sorrow, her menates still chain us down to at hated beiner, which she herself chietly eontributes to render miserable.
"Iis' observed by such as have been reduced by the calimitios of life to the necessity of employing this fatal romedy, that if the unseasomable eare of their friends deprive them of that species of Death, which they proposed to themselves, they seldom venture upon any other, or can summon up se much resolution a second time, as to execute their purpose. So ereat is our horror of death, that when it presents itself, muler any form, besides that to which a man has endeavonued to reconcile his imarination, it acquires new teroms and overomes his feeble courare: But when the menates of superstition ure joined to this natural timility, no wonder it quite deprives men of all power uver their lives, sinee evern many pleasures and enjoyments, to which we are carried by a strong propensity, are torn from ns by this inhmman tyrint. Let us here endeavomr to restore men to their uative liberfy by examining all the common argumento against Suicide, and shewing that that action may be tree from every imputation of gruilt or blame, according to the sentiments of all the: antient philosophers.

If Suicide be criminal, it must be a transervession of our Whty either to God, our neighbour, or ourselves.-'I'o prove that suicide is no transerression of our duty to Ciod, the ferllowing considerations may perhaps suttice. In order to Govern the material world, the almighty (reator has estal)lished general and immutable laws by which all bodies, from the greatest planet to the smallest partide of matter, are maintamed in their proper splsere and function. To sowern
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the animal world, he has endowed all living creatures with bodily and mental powers; with senses, passions, appetites, memory and judgment, by which they are impelled or regulated in that course of life to which they are destined. These two distinct principles of the material and animal work? continually encroach upon each other, and mutually retard or forward each others operations. The powers of men and of all other animals are restrained and directed by the nature and qualities of the surrounding bodies; and the modifications and actions of these bodies are incessantly altered by the operation of all animals. Man is stopt by rixers in his passage over the surface of the earth; and rivers, when properly directed, lend their force to the motion of machines, whith serve to the use of man. But tho' the provinces of the material and animal powers are not kept entirely separate, there results from thence no discord or disorder in the creation; on the contrary, from the mixture, union and contrast of all the various powers of inanimate bodies and living creatures, arises that surprizing harmony and proportion which affords the surest argument of supreme wisdom. The providence of the Deity appears not immediately in any operation, but governs everything by those general and immutable laws, which have been established from the beginning of time. All events, in one sense, may be pronounced the action of the Almighty; they all proceed from those powers with which he has endowed his creatures. A house which falls by its own weight is not brought to ruin by his providence more than one destroyed by the hands of men; nor are the human faculties less his workmanship, than the laws of motion and gravitation. When the passions play; when the judgment dictates, when the limbs obey; this is all the operation of God, and upon these animate principles, as well as upon the inamimate, has he established the govermment of the universe. Every event is alike important in the eyes of that infinite being, who takes in at one glance the most distant regions of space and remotest periods of time. There is no event, however important to us, which he has exempted from the general laws that govern the universe, or which he has peculiarly reserved for his own immediate action and operation. The revolution of states and empires depends upon the smallest caprice or passion of single ment ; and the lives of men are shortened or extended by the smallest acei-
dent of air or diet，sumshinte or temperst．Nitme sull ron－ tinnes ler prowress and operation；and if gentral laws be

に゙心リソ 11. ever hooke by particular volitions of the Deity，＇tis atter a mannere which entirely escapes hmman obstrvation．As，on the one hame，the elements aml wther intmimate parts of the cration carry on their acetion withont reared to the particular interest and situation of men；so men are entrosted to their own juthment and discretion，in the varions shoreks of matter， and may employ every faculty with whiclo thry are endowed， in order to provide for their ease，lappiness，or presurva－ tion．What is the meaning then of that principle，that a man who，tired of life，and hunted by pain and misery， bravely overcomes all the natmal terrors of death and makes his escape from this cruel seene；that such it man，I say，has incurred the indignation of his C＇rator by encroathing on the office of divine providence，and distmbing the order of the miverse？shall we assert that the Almighty has reserved to himself in any peculine manner the disposal of the lives of men，and has not sub－ mitted that event，in common with others，to the general laws by which the miverse is governed？This is platinly false；the lives of men depend upon the same latws the the lives of all other animals；and these are subjected tor the gencral laws of matter and motion．The fiall of a tower，or the infusion of a poison，will destroy a man rqually with the meanest creature ；an inundation sweeps away every thing without distinction that comes within the rateh of its fury． Since therefore the lives of men are for ever dependant on the general laws of matter and motion，is a man＇s disposims of his life criminal，because in every case it is criminal tu encroach upon these laws，or disturb their operation？But this seems absurd；all animals are entrusted to their own prudence and skill for their conduet in the world，and hare full anthority，as far as their power extends，to alter all tha ＂prations of nature．Without the exereise of this anthority they could not subsist a moment；every adtion，every motion of a man，immovates on the order of some parts of matter，and diverts from their ordinary conse the wemeral laws of motion．Putting together，therefore，these comelu－ sions，we find that human life depends npen the wromeral liws of matter and motion，and that it is mo encroaclamont on the office of providence to disturb or alter these grentarl
laws: Has not every one, of consequence, the free disposal of his own life? And may he not lawfully employ that power with which nature has endowed him? In order to destroy the evidence of this conclusion, we must shew a reason, why this particular case is excepted; is it because human life is of so great importance, that 'tis a presumption for human prudence to dispose of it? But the life of a man is of no greater importance to the miverse than that of an oyster. And were it of ever so great importance, the order of nature has actually submitted it to hmman prudence, and reduced us to a necessity in every incident of determining concerning it. Were the disposal of human life so much reserved as the peculiar province of the Almighty that it were an eneroachment on his right, for men to dispose of their own lives; it would be equally criminal to act for the preservation of life as for its destruction. If I turn aside a stone which is falling upon my head, I disturb the comse of nature, and I invade the peculiar province of the Almighty by lengthening out my life beyond the period which by the general laws of matter and motion he had assigned it.

A hair, a fly, an inseet is able to destroy this mighty being whose life is of such importance. Is it an absurdity to suppose that human prudence may lawfully dispose of what depends on such insignificant causes? It would be no crime in me to divert the Nile or Danube from its comse, were I able to effect such purposes. Where then is the crime of turning a few ounces of blood from their natural channel ?Do you imagine that I repine at providence or curse my creation, because I go out of life, and put a period to a beinsc, which, were it to continue, would render me miserable? Far be such sentiments from me; I am only convinced of a matter of fact, which you yourself acknowledge possible, that human life may be mhappy, and that my existence, if further prolonged, would become ineligible: but I thank providence, both for the grood which I have already enjoyed, and for the power with which I am endowed of escaping the ill that threatens me. To you it belongs to repine at providence, who foolishly imagine that you have no such power, and who must still prolong a hated life, tho' loaded with pain and sickness, with shame and poverty.-Do you not teach, that when any ill befalls me, tho' by the maliee of

[^201]my anmies，I omght to be resigned to provilence，ami that the actions of men are the operations of the Ahmishty as much as the aetions of inamimate beings？When f fall upon my own sword，therefore， 1 reeceine my death equally from the hands of the Deity as if it had proceded from a lion，a precipice，or a fever．The submission which you regnire to providence，in every calamity that betalls me， excludes not human skill and indnstry，if possibly by their means I can aroid or escape the calamity：And why may I not employ one remedy as well as another？－If my life be not my own，it were criminal for me to pat it in dingrer，as well as to dispose of it ；nor could one man deserve the a pellation of hero whon glory or friendship transports into the greatest dangers，and another merit the reproach of wretch or miscreant who puts a period to his life from the same or like motives．－There is no being，whith possesses any power or faculty，that it receives not from its Creator， nor is there any one，which by ever so irregular an athin can encroath upon the plan of his providence，or disorder the universe．Its operations are his works equally with that chain of events，which it invades，and which ever principh prevails，we may for that very reason conclude it to be most favoured by him．Be it animate，or inamimate，rational，or irrational ；＇tis all a case：Its power is still derived from the supreme ereator，and is alike comprehended in the order of his providence．When the horror of pain prevails orer the love of life；when a voluntary action antieipates the effects of blind causes ；＇tis only in consequence of those power＇s and principles，which he has implanted in his creatures． Divine providence is still inviolate and phaced far beyond the reath of haman injuries．${ }^{1}$＇Tis impious，says the old Roman superstition，to divert rivers from their course，or invade the prerogatives of nature．＇Tis impions，says the French super－ stition，to inoculate for the small－pox，or usmp the lmsiness of providence，by voluntarily producing distompers and maladies．＇Tis impions，says the modern butm＂nn super－ stition，to put a period to our own life，and thereby rebel against our creator；and why not impious．sily 1，to build houses，cultivate the gromm，or sat upm the ocean：$\quad$ In all these actions we employ our powers of mind and bendy，to produce some innoration in the comse of nature；and in

[^202]ESSAY
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none of them do we any more. They are all of them therefore equally innocent, or equally criminal.-But you are placed by providence, like a centinel in a particular station, and when you desert it without being recalled, you are equally guilty of rebellion against your almighty sovereign, and have incurred his displeasure.-I ask, why do you conclude that providence has placed me in this station? For my part I find that I owe my birth to a long chain of causes, of which many depended upon voluntary actions of men. But Proridence guided all these Causes, and nothing happens in the universe without its consent and Co-operation. If so, then neither does my death, however voluntary, happen without its consent; and whenever pain or sorrow so far overcome my patience, as to make me tired of life, I may conclude that I am recalled from my station in the clearest and most express terms. 'Tis Providence surely that has placed me at this present moment in this chamber: But may I not leave it when I think proper, without being liable to the imputation of having deserted my post or station? When I shall be dead, the principles of which I am composed will still perform their part in the universe, and will be equally useful in the grand fabric, as when they composed this individual creature. The difference to the whole will be no greater than betwist my being in a chamber and in the open air. The one change is of more importance to me than the other; but not more so to the universe.
'Tis a kind of blaspheny to imagine that any created being can disturb the order of the world or invade the business of providence! It supposes, that that Being pussesses powers and faculties, which it received not from its creator, and which are not subordinate to his goverment and authority. A man may disturb society no doubt, and thereby incur the displeasure of the Almighty: But the government of the world is placed far beyond his reach and violence. And how does it appear that the Ahnighty is displeased with those actions that disturb society? By the principles which he has implanted in human nature, and which inspire us with a sentiment of remorse if we ourselves have been guilty of such actions, and with that of blame and disapprobation, if we ever ulserve them in others.-Let us now examine, aceording to the method proposed, whether

Suidide be of this kind of adtons, and be a breach of our duty to ond nembluour and to seciety.

RSSIT
II.

A man, who retires from life, does no harm to socidy: Ho muly coases to do grool ; which, if it is in injury, is of the lowest kind. - All our obligations to do good to society sorm to imply something reciprocal. I receive the bemefits of society and therefore onght to promote its interests, but when I withdraw myself altorether from society, can I be homed any longer? But, allowing that ow obligations to do gool were perpetual, they have certainly some bounds; I am not obliged to do a small good to society at the expence of a great harm to myself; why then should I prolong a miserable existence, because of some frivolous advantage which the public may perhaps receive from me? If upon acount of age and infirmities I may lawfully resign any office, and employ my time altogether in fencing against these calimities, and alleviating as much as possible the miseries of my future life: Why may I not cut short these miseries at onee by an action which is no more prejudicial to society?-But suppose that it is no longer in my power to promote the interest of society; suppose that I am a burthen to it; suppose that my life hinders some person from bemg much more useful to society. In such cases my resignation of life must not only be imnocent but laudable. And most people who lie mader any temptation to abandon existence, are in some such situation ; those, who have health, or power, or authority, have commonly better reason to be in humour with the world.

A man is engaged in a conspiracy for the public interest; is seized upon suspicion; is threatened with the rack; and knows from his own weakness that the secret will be extorten from him: Could such a one consult the public interest botter than by putting a quick period to a miserable life:? This was the case of the fimous and brave strosi of flowente. -Again, suppose a malefactor is justly condemmed to a shameful death; can any reason be imagined, why he may mot anticipate his punishonent, and save himself all the angnish of thinking on its dreadful approaches? Ite invales the bnsiness of providence no more than the magistrate did, who ordered his excention: and his voluntary death is "qually admantageous to society by ridding it of a pernicions nuember.

Essay That suicide may often be consistent with interest and
II. with our duty to ourselves, no one can question, who allows that age, sickness, or misfortune may render life a burthen, and make it worse even than annihilation. I believe that no man ever threw away life, while it was worth keeping. For such is our natural horror of death, that small motives will never be able to reconcile us to it; and though perhaps the situation of a man's health or fortune did not seem to require this remedy, we may at least be assured, that any one who, without apparent reason, has had recourse to it, was curst with such an incurable depravity or gloominess of temper as must poison all enjoyment, and render him equally miserable as if he had been loaded with the most grievous misfortunes. - If suicide be supposed a crime, 'tis only cowardice can impel us to it. If it be no crime, both prudence and courage should engage us to rid ourselves at once of existence, when it becomes a burthen. 'Tis the only way that we can then be useful to society, by setting an example, which, if imitated, would preserve to every one his chance for happiness in life and would effectually free him from all danger or misery. ${ }^{1}$
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## Hssay III.-Of the Authentivity of Ossiun's Iorms.'
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I THink the firte of this production the mest curions effect of projuliere, where superstition had no share, that ever was in the world. A tiresome, insipid performance; which, if it had bron presented in its ral form, as the work of a contem. porary, an obsemre Highlander, no man conld ever have hai tha patience to have once perused, has, by passing for the: petry of a royal bard, who flomished fiftern centuries ago, been miversally real, has been pretty gonerally admired, and has been translated, in prose and verse, into sereral languarns of Europe. Even the style of the supposed Emorlish translation has been idmired, though harsh and absurd in the highest degree; jumping perpetually from verse to prose, and from prose to verse; and rumning, most of it, in the light eadence and measure of Molly Mog. Such is the Erse epic, which has been puffed with a zeal and enthusiasm that has drawn a ridicnle on my conntrymen.

But, to cut off at once the whole sonrce of its reputation, l shall eollect a few very obvions arguments against the notion of its great antiquity, with which so many people have benn intoxicated, and which alome mate it worthy of any attention.
(1.) The very mamer in which it was presented to the public forms a strong presmmption agamst its anthenticitr. 'Ihe pretended tramslator goes on a mission to the Mighlands to recover and collect a work, which, he aftimed, was dispresed, in fragments, among the natives. He retmrns, and gives a quarto volume, and then another quarto, with the same monsuported assmrance as if it were a translation of the Urlando Furioso, or Lonisade, or any poem the best known in Limope. It might have been expected, at least, that he wonld have told the public, and the subseribers to his mission, and the purchasers of his book, This puit I yot from such a persom, in such a pelace; that other pait, from such another persou. I was enabled to correet m! first copy of such a pasentyr by the perital of such another person; a fourth supplial such a defert in my first copy. By such a history of his gradnaldiscoreries he would have given some face of probability to them. Any man of common semse, who was in eamest, mast. in this cine,

[^205]Pseif have seen the peculiar necessity of that precantion: any man 111. that had regard to his own character, would have anxiously followed that obvious and easy method. All the friends of the pretended translator exhorted and entreated him to give them and the public that satisfaction. No! those who could cloult his veracity were fools, whom it was not worth while to satisfy. The most incredible of all facts was to be taken on lis word, whom nobody knew; and an experiment was to be made, I suppose in jest, how far the credulity of the public would give way to assurance and dogmatical affirmation.
(2.) But, to show the utter incredibility of the fact, let these following considerations be weighed, or, rather, simply reflected on; for it seems ridiculous to weigh them. Consider the size of these poems. What is given us is asserted to be only a part of a much greater collection; yet even these pieces amount to two quartos. And they were composed, you say, in the Highlands, about fifteen centuries ago ; and have been faithfully transmitted, ever since, by oral tradition, through ages totally ignorant of letters, by the rudest, perhaps, of all the European nations; the most necessitons, the most turbulent, the most ferocious, and the most unsettled. Did ever any event happen that approached within a hundred degrees of this mighty wonder, even to the nations the most fortunate in their climate and situation? Can a ballad be shown that has passed, uncorrupted, by oral tradition, through three gencrations, among the Grecks, or Italians, or Phœuicians, or Egyptians, or even among the natives of such countries as Otaheite or Molacca, who seem excmpted by nature from all attention but to ammsement, to poetry, and music?

But the Celtic nations, it is said, had peculiar advantages for prescreving their tratitional poetry. The Trish, the Welsh, the Bretons, are all Celtic nations, much better entitled than the Highlanders, from their soil, and climate, and situation, to have leisure for these ammsements. They, accordingly, present us not with complete epic and historical poems, (fir they nover had the assurance to go that length, but with very ropions and circmonstantial traditions, which are allowed, ly all men of sense, to be seandalous and ridiculons impostures.
(3.) The style and genims of these pretemded pooms are ane ther sufficient proof of the imposition. The Lapland aml Rumide ohes, convered to us, hesides their small emmpss, have
a savage rulemess, and sometimes gramben, snited to those ages. But this Erse poetry has abinsipid correctness, amd resmbrity, and mulformity, which betays a man without genins, that has been acpmainted with the grodnetions of civiland mations, and land his imagrantion so limitme to that trad, that it was imposible for hime eren to mimice the chanratter which he protombed to assame.
'Tho manners are still at mone striking proof of therir wat of anthentieity. We see mothing hat thr aftected genmosity and wallantry of chivalry, which are quite mknown, not only to all savage people, but to evory mation net trained in thesp artificial modes of thinkines. In Tomer, for instance, and Virgil, and Ariosto, the horoes are represpinted as making a nocturnal incursion into the camp of the enemy. Homer and Virgil, who certainly were edneated in mach more civilized ages tham those of Osian, make no scruple of representing their heroes as committing undistinguisled slanghter on the slerping foe. But Orlando walks quietly through tle camp of the Saracens, and scoms to kill even an infilel who camot dsfend himself. Gaul and Oscar are knight-errants, still more romantic: they make a noise in the midst of the enemy's camp, that they may waken them, and thereby hare a right to fight with them and to kill them. Nay, Fingal carries his idens of chivahy still farther; much beyond what was ever dreamt of by Amadis de Gaul or Lancelot de Lake. When his territny is invaded, he scorns to repel the enemy with his whole force: he sends only an equal number agminst then, nonder an inferior captain: when these are repulsed, he sembls a second detadment; and it is not till after a double defeat, that he drig口s himself to descend from the hill, where he had remained, all the while, an ide spectator, and to attack the enemy. Fingal and Swaran combat each oth wo all day, with the greatest fury. When darkness suspemb; the fight, they feast together with the greatest amity, and then renew the combat with the return of light. Are these the manmers of burbarons nations, or even of people that have common sense? We may remark, that all this marrative is supposed to be wiren us by a contemporary poot. The facts, therefore, must bes supposed entirely, or mearly, conformable to trath. The grallantry and extreme delicacy towards the women, which is found in these productions, is, if pusille, still more contrary to the manners of barbarians. Among VOL. IV. $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{E}$

ESSAY alI rude nations, force and courage are the predominant virIII. tues; and the inferiority of the females, in these particulars, renders them an object of contempt, not of deference and regard.
(4.) But I derive a new argument against the antiquity of these poems, from the general tenor of the narrative. Where manners are represented in them, probability, or even possibility, are totally disregarded: but in all other respects, the events are within the course of nature; no giants, no monsters, no magic, no incredible feats of strength or activity. Every transaction is conformable to familiar experience, and scarcely even deserves the name of wonderful. Did this ever happen in ancient and barbarous poetry? Why is this characteristic wanting, so essential to rude and ignorant ages? Ossian, you say, was singing the exploits of his contemporaries, and therefore could not falsify them in any great degree. But if this lad been a restraint, your pretendad Ossian had never sung the exploits of his contemporaries; lie had gone back a generation or two, which would have bem sufficient to throw an entire obscurity on the events; and lie would thereby have attained the marrellons, which is alone striking to barbarians. I desire it may be observed, thist manners are the only circumstances which a rude people cannot falsify; because they have no notion of any mamers beside their own : but it is easy for them to let loose their imagination, and violate the course of nature, in every other particular; and indeed they take no pleasure in any othur kind of narrative. In Ossian, nature is violated, where alone she ought to have been preserved; is preserved where alone she ought to have been riolated.
(5.) But there is another species of the marrellous, wanting in Ossian, which is inseparable from all nations, civilized as well as barbarous, but still more, if possible, from the barbarous, and that is religion; no religious sentiment in this Erse poetry. All those Ccltic heroes are more complete atheists than ever were bred in the school of Epicurus. 'To aldeount for this singularity, we are told that a few generations before Ossian, the people quarrenled with their Drnidical priests, and having expelled them, never afterwards adopted any other spueies of religion. It is not quite monatural, I own, for the people to quarrel with their priests, -as we did with ours at the Refomation; lut we attached omselves
with fresh anal to our new meachers and new system; and this passion increased in proportion to our hatred of the old.

Ess.1Y
III.

But I supmese the reason of this st mage ahsurtity in our new Fras lowey, is, that the anthon, fimbing by the assmmed age wh his lerenes, that he must have qiven them the Druidical religion, and not trusting to his literatture, (which seems indeal to be very slander) for making the representations consistont with antiquity, thought it safest to give them no religion at all ; a circmustancess wonderfally umatmal, that it is sulficient alone, if men hal eyes, to detect the impusition.
(6.) The state of the arts, as represented in those poems, is totally incompatible with the age assigned to them. We know, that the houses even of the Southern Britons, till conquered by the Romans, were nothing but hats ereeted in the wools; but a stately stone building is mentioned by Ossian, of which the walls remain, after it is consumed with fire. The melancholy circumstance of a fox is described, who looks out at the windows; an image, if I be not mistaken, borrowed from the Scriptures. The Caledonians, as well as the Irish, had no shipping but currachs, or wicker boats covered with hides: yet are they represented as passing, in great military expeditions, from the Hebrides to Demmark, Norway, and sweden; a most glaring absurdity. They live entirely by hunting, yet muster armies, which make incursions to these contries as well as to Ireland: though it is certain from the experience of America, that the whole Highlands would scarce subsist a hmadred persons by hunting. They are totally unacquainted with fishing; though that occupation first tempts all rude nations to venture on the sea. Ossian alludes to a wind or water-mill, a machine then manown to the Greeks and Romans, according to the opinion of the bost antiquaries. His barbarians, though ignorant of tillage, are well acquainted with the method of working all kinds of metals. The harp is the musical instrument of Ossian ; but the bagpipe, from time immemorial, has been the instrument of the Highlanders. If ever the harp had been known among them, it never had given place to the uther barbarous discord.

Stridunt miserum stipula disperdero carmen.
(7.) All the historical facts of this poem are opposed by traditions, which, if all these tales be not equally contempt.
ible, seem to merit much more attention. The Irish Scoti are the undoubted ancestors of the present Highlanders, who are but a small colony of that ancient people. But the Irish traditions make Fingal, Ossian, Oscar, all Trishmen, and place them some centuries distant from the Erse heroes. They represent them as giants, and monsters, and enchanters, a sure mark of a considerable antiquity of these traditions. I ask the partisans of Erse poetry, since the names of these heroes have crept orer to Ireland, and have become quite familiar to the natives of that comntry, how it happens, that not a line of this poetry, in which they are all celebrated, which, it is pretended, alone preserves their memory with our Highlanders, and which is composed by one of these heroes themselves in the Trish language, ever found its way thither? The songs and traditions of the Senachies, the genuine poetry of the Irish, carry in their rudeness and absurdity the inseparable attendants of barbarism, a very different aspect from the insipid correctress of Ossian ; where the incidents, if you will pardon the antithesis, are the most unnatural, merely because they are natural. The same observation extends to the Weish, another Celtic nation.
(8.) The fiction of these poems is, if possible, still more palpably detected, by the great numbers of other traditions, which, the author pretends, are still fresh in the Highlands, with regard to all the personages. The poems, composed in the age of Truthil and Cormac, ancestors of Ossian, are, he says, full of complaints against the roonery and tyramy of the Druids. He talks as familiarly of the poetry of that period as Lncian or Longinns would of the dirvek poetry of the Socratic age. I suppose here is a new rich mine of poetry ready to break out upon us, if the anthor thinks it can turn to account. For probably he does not mind the danger of detection, which he has little reason to appreheme from his expericuce of the public credulity. But I shall venture to assert, withont any reserve or further inquir", that there is no Highlander who is not, in some degree. a man of lettern. that ever so much as heard there was a Druin in the worlh. The marrin of every page almest of this wonderful production is supported, as he pretends, by minute oral traditions with regand to the personages. To the puem of Dar-thula, there is profixed a long account of the perdi-
grea, mariages and adratures of three brothers, Nathos, Atthes, and Ardam, heroess that lived fifteen hundred gears

ESS.1Y I11. ago in Argylushire, and whese memory, it seems, is still reborated there, and in every part of the Highlams. How ridiculons to advance such a protension to the leamed, who know that there is no tradition of Alexamder the great all owe the East ; that the Surks, who have hard of him from their commmication with the Greeks, believe him to have been the eaptain of Solomon's gnard ; that the Greek and Roman story, the moment it departs from the historical anow, becomes a heap of fiction and absurdity; that Cyrus himself, the conqueror of the Fast, became so much umknown, even in little more than half a century, that Iferonotus limself, bom and bred in Asia, within the limits of the Persian empire, cond tell nothing of him, more than of Croesus, the contemporary of Cyrus, and who reigned in the mighbombood of the historim, lat the most ridiculoms fables; and that the gramdather of Hengist and IIomsa, the first Saxon conquerors, was conceived to be a divinity. I suppose it is sufficiently evident, that without the help of books and history, the very mame of Julins Carar wonld at present be totally unknown in Enrope. A gentleman, who travelled into Italy, told me, that in visiting Frescati or Tnsenlum, his cicerone showed him the foundation and ruins of Cicero's comentry house. He asked the fellow who this ('icero might be, 'Un grandissimo gigante,' said he.
(9.) I ask, since the memory of Fingal and his ancestors and descendants is still so fresh in the Highlands. how it happens, that none of the compilers of the Scotel fabulons history ever laid hold of them, and inserted them in the list of our ancient monarchs, but we were obliged to have recourse to direct fiction and lying to make out their genealogies: It is to be remarked, that the Highlanders, who are now but an inferior part of the nation, anciently compersel the whole; so that no tradition of theirs comld be manewn to the court, the mobility, and the whole kingdom. Where, then, have these wonderful traditions skulked during so many centuries, that they have never come to light till yesterday? And the very mames of our ancient kings are unknown; though it is pretended, that a rery particular narrative of their tramsactions was still preserved, and miversally diffused among a nummons tribe, who are the

ESS.LI
original stem of the mation. Father Imnes, the only judicions writer that ever touched our ancient history, finds in monastic records the names, and little more than the names, of kings from Fergus, whom we call Fergus the Second, who lived long after the supposed Fingal : and he thence begins the true history of the nation. He had too good sense to give any attention to pretended traditions even of kings, much less would he have believed that the memory and adventures of every leader of banditti in every valley of the Highlands, could be circumstantially preserved by oral tradition through more than fifteen centuries.
(10.) I shall observe, that the character of the anthor, from all his publications, (for I shall mention nothing else,) gives us the greatest reason to suspect him of such a ludicrous imposition on the public. For to be sure it is only ludicrous ; or at most a trial of wit, like that of the sophist, who gave us Phalaris' Epistles, or of him that counterfeited Cicero's Consolation, or supplied the fragments of Petronius. These literary amusements have been very common; and unless supported by too violent asseverations, or persisted in too long, never drew the opprobrious appellation of impostor on the author.

He writes an ancient history of Britain, which is plainly ludicrous. He gives us a long circumstantial history of the emigrations of the Belgae, Cimbri, and Sarmatae, so unsupported by any author of antiquity that nothing but a particular revelation could warrant it; and yet it is delivered with such seeming confidence, (for we must not think he was in earnest, that the history of the Punic wars is not related with greater seriousness by Livy. He las even left palpable contradictions in his narrative, in order to try the faith of his reader. He tells us, for instance, that the present inhabitants of Germany have no more comexion with the Germans mentioned by Tacitus, than with the ancient inhabitants of Pelopomesus: the Saxous and Angles, in particular, were all Samatims, a quite different tribe from the fermans, in manners, laws, lancrace, and customs. Yet a few pages after, when he peetends to deliver the origin of the Anglo-Sixom constitution, he professedly derives the whole aceome from Tacitus. All this was only an experiment to see how far the force of affirmation could impose on the credulity of the public: but it did not succeed; he was
hare in the opern daylight of Greek and Roman amdition, met in the whempity of his Erse pertry and trantions. Fimel- incen the style of his ()esiam admired by some, he attemp,s at tramsation of Home in the very same style. He begits amb finishes, in six werls, a worli that was for aver to mlipse the translation of Pope, whan he dones met even Wign to montion in his prefice; but this joke was still more masucessfinl: he made a shift, hoverer, to bring the work tha siremed edition, where he says, that, notwithstamding all the ansy of his malignant opponents, his name alone wi!l peserve the work to a more equitable posterity !

In short, let him now take off the mask, and fairly and openly langh at the credulity of the public, who could lndieve that long Erse epics had been secretly preserven in the Ifighlands of Scotland, from the age of Severus till his time.

Thn imposition is so gross, that he may woll ask the world how they could ever possibly believe him to be in calluest?

But it may reasonably be expected that I should mention the external positive evidence, which is brought by Dr. Blair to support the authenticity of these poems. I own, that this -vilence, considered in itself, is very respectable, and suffirind to support any fact, that both lies within the bounds of credibility, and has not become a matter of party. But will any man pretend to bring haman testimony to prove, that aimove twenty thousand verses have been transmitted, by thadition and memory, during more than fifteen hundred years; that is, above fifty generations, according to the orlinary course of nature? verses, too, which have not, in their subject, any thing alluring or inviting to the people, no miracle, no wonders, no superstitions, no useful instritetion; a people, too, who, during twelve centuries, at least, of that period, had no writing, no alphabet; and who, even in the other three centuries, mate very little use of that imperfect alphabet for any purpose; a people who, from the miserable disadvantages of their soil and climate, were perprotually struggling with the gratest necessities of mature; whe, from the imperfections of govemment, lived in a eontinnall state of intemal hostility; ever hamased with the intursions of neighbouring tribes, on meditating revenge am retaliation on their neighbours. Liame such a people letsure
meay to think of any poetry, except, perhaps, a miserable song or III. ballad, in praise of their own chieftain, or to the disparagement of his rivals?

I should be sorry to be suspected of saying any thing against the manners of the present Highlanders. I really believe that, besides their signal bravery, there is not any people in Europe, not even excepting the Swiss, who have more plain honesty and fidelity, are more capable of gratitude and attachment, than that race of men. Yet it was, no doubt, a great surprise to them to hear that, over and above their known good qualities, they were also possessed of an excellence which they never dreamt of, an elegant taste in poetry, and inherited from the most remote antiquity the finest compositions of that kind, far surpassing the popular traditional poems of any other language; no wonder they crowded to give testimony in favour of their authenticity. Most of them, no donbt, were sincere in the delusion; the same names that were to be found in their popular ballads were carefully preserved in the new publication; some incidents, too, were perhaps transferred from the one to the other; some sentiments also might be eopied; and, on the whole, they were willing to believe, and still more willing to persmade others, that the whole was genuine. On such occasions, the greatest eloud of witnesses makes no manner of evidence. What Jansenist was there in Paris, which contains several thousands, that would not have given evidence for the miracles of Abbé Paris? The miracle is greater, but not the evidence, with regard to the anthenticity of Ossian.

The late President Forbes was a great heliever in the second sight; and I make no question lut he could, on a month's warning, have overpowered yom with evidence in its favour. Jint as finite added to finite merver aproachess a hair"s brearth nearer to infinite; so a fact, incredible in itself, arquires not the smallest aceession of probability by the aecumulation of testimony.

The only real wonder in the whole affair is, that a per:on of so fine a taste as Dr. Blair, should be so great an admirer of these productions; and one of so clear and cool a judgment collect evidence of their anthenticity.

## LETVER TO THE AUTTIORS OF THE

## CRITICAL REVIAW CONCERNING THLE EPIGONIAD

## OF WILKIE. ${ }^{1}$

## To the Authors of the Critical Review.

$$
\text { April, } 17.99 .
$$

Gentremen, The great adrantages which result fiom literary joumals have recommended the use of them all over Fmrope; but as mothing is fiee from abose, it must be confiesed that some inconveniences have also attended these undertakings. The works of the learned multiply in such a surprising mamer, that a jommatist, in order to give an atecome to the public of all new performances, is obliged to permes an small libraly every month, and as it is impossible for him to bestow equal attention on every piece which he criticises, he may readily be surprised into mistakes, and give tor a book such a chameter as, on a more carefinl pernsal, he would willingly retract. Even performmess of the praterst merit are not secure against this injury ; and, perhars, are sometimes the most exposed to it. An author of gemins seoms the volgar arts of catching aphane: he pars nu conert to the great: gives no adulation to these celabmater fim leaming: takes no care to provide himself of partisans, ore promers, as the French call them: and by that mom. his work steals mobserved into the world: and it is somm time before the public, and even men of penetration. an somsible of its merit. We take up the book with promos.s.inn, pornse it carelessly, are feebly afieeted by its bematios amb lay it down with neglect, perhaps with disapmobation.

The public has done so much justice to the gentlomen mo gased in the C'ritieal Review, as to acknowledge that no litnary journal was ever carried on in this cometry with ${ }^{1}$ [Th's letter was never republishod by the Auth r. - Ebs.]
equal spirit and impartiality: yet, I must confess that an article published in your Review of 1757, gave me great surprise, and not a little uncasiness. It regarded a book called the Epigoniad, a poem of the epic kind, which was at that time published with great applause at Edinburolh, and of which a few copies had been sent up to London. The author of that article had surely been lying under strons prepossessions, when he spoke so negligently of a work which abounds in such sublime beauties, and could endeavour to discredit a poem, consisting of near six thousand lines, on account of a few mistakes in expression and prosody, proceeding entirely from the author's being a Scotchman, who had never been out of his own country. As there is a new edition published of this poem, wherein all or most of these trivial mistakes are corrected, I flatter myself that you will gladly lay hold of this opportunity of retracting your oversight, and doing justice to a performance, which may, perhaps, be regarded as one of the ornaments of our language. I appeal from your sentence, as an old woman did from a sentence pronounced by Philip of Macedon:-I appeal from Philip, ill-counselled and in a hurry, to Philip, well-advised, and judging with deliberation. The authority which you possess with the public makes your censure fall with weight: and I question not but you will be the more ready, on that account, to redress any injury into which either negligenee, prejudice, or mistake, may have betrayed you. As I profess myself to be an admirer of this performance, it will afford me pleasure to give you a short analysis of it, and to collect a few specimens of those great beauties in which it abounds.

The author, who appears throughout his whole work to be a great admirer and imitator of Homer, drew the subject of this poem from the fourth Tliad, where Sthenelus gives Agamemmon a short account of the sacking of Thebes. Atter the fall of those heroes, celebrated by Statins, their sons, and among the rest Diomede, mudertook the siege of that city, and were so fortunate as to sneceed in their enterprize, and to revenge on the Thebans and the tymant Creon the death of their fathers. These young heroes were known to the direkis under the title of the Epigoni, or the descendants; and tir this reason the anthor has given to his poem the title of Lasgonime, a name, it must le eonfessat somewhat motortunately chesen, for as this particular was known only to
a very fow of the learnod, the pmblic were not able to comjecture what eould be the sulyeet of the porm, and wero apt to nergleet what it was impossible for them to molerstame
'There remaned a tradition amomer the Greedes, that Homor had taken the siecre of 'Thebes for the sulyoct of a peem, which is lost; and our anthor seems to hore pleased himself with the thonght of reviving the work, as well as of trearling in the footsteps of his fivourite anthor. 'The actors are mostly the same with those of the Ilind: Diomede is the hero: Ulysses, Agamemmon, Menelans, Nostor, Telomeneus, Merion, even 'Iliersites, all appear in difierent passacres of the poem, amd act parts suitable to the lively characters drawn of them by that great master. The whole turn of this new poem woukd almost lead us to imagine that the Scottish barl had found the lost mannseript of that father of poetry, and hand made a faithful translation of it into Enghish. Longrinus immoines that the Odyssey was execnted by Homer in his old age ; we shall allow the Iliad to be the work of his mithle age: and we shall suppose that the Epigoniad was the essay of his youth, where his noble and sublime genins breaks forth by fregnent intervals, and gives strong symptoms of that constant flame which distinguished its meridian.
'The poem comsists of nine books. We shall open the subject of it in the anthor's own words:
Ye pow'rs of song! with whose immortal fire
Your hard emaptur'd sung Pelides' ire,
To Grecee so fatal, when in evil hour,
He brav'd, in stern dehate, the sov'reign pow'r,
By like example teach me now to show
From lowe, no less, what dire disasters flow.
For when the youth of Greece, by Thesens led,
heturnd to conduer where their fathers bled,
And pmish guilty Thebes, by Heav'n ordaind
For perfidy to fall, and oathe profand :
Vemus, still partial to the Thehem arms,
Tyereus' son sedue'd by female chams;
Who, from his plightir: tath hy passion sway'd,
The chefs, the ames, and himedt hetmy'd.
Thais theme did once your farnate hasd employ,
Whose verse immortaizizl the fall of 'Troy:
lint time's ohlivious gult, whose cirele drass
All mortal things by fatees etcrmal hatw,

In whose wide vortex worlds themselves are tost, And rounding swift successively are lost, This song hath snatch'd. I now resume the strain, Not from proud hope and emulation vain, By this attempt to merit equal praise With worth heroic, born in happier days. Sooner the weed, that with the Spring appears, And in the Summer's heat its blossom bears, But, shriv'ling at the touch of Winter hoar, Sinks to its native earth, and is no more; Might match the lofty oak, which long hath stood, From age to age, the monarch of the wood. But love excites me, and desire to trace
His glorious steps, tho' with unequal pace.
Before me still I see his awful shade, With garlands crown'd of leaves which never fade;
He points the path to fame, and bids me scale Parnassus' slipp'ry height, where thousands fail: I follow trembling; for the cliffs are high, And hov'ring round them watchful harpies fly, To snatch the poet's wreath with envious claws, And hiss contempt for merited applanse.

The poet supposes that Cassandra, the danghier of the King of Pelignium in Italy, was pursued by the love of Ectretus, a barbarous tyrant in the neighbourhood; and as her father rejected his addresses, he drew on himself the resentment of the tyrant, who made war upon him, and forced him to retire into Etolia, where Diomede gave him $1^{n o-}$ tection. This hero falls himself in love with Cassandrio, and is so fortunate as to make equal impression on her heart; lont before the completion of his marriage, he is called to the siege of Thebes, and leaves, as lee supposes, Cassamblua in Etolia with her father. But Cassandra, amxions for lor lover's safety, and unwilling to part from the olject of her affections, hat secretly put on a man's habit, had attended him in the camp, and lad fonght by his side in all his battles. Meanwhile the siege of 'Thebes is drawn out to some ]ongth, and Venus, who favoms that city, in opposition to Juno and Pallas, who seck its destruction, deliberates concerning the proper methot of raismg the siege. The fittest expedient seems to be the exeiting in Diomede a jealonsy of ('issandra, and persuading lime that loer affections were secretly engiged to Eetretus, and that the tyrant had invaded

Etolia in pursnit of his mistress. For this purpose Vomms sembedown Jablonsy, whom the anthor persomities muker the name of Zalotyni. Her persom and Hight are painted in the most splembid colours that pootry affords:

First to her feet the winged shoes she binds, Which twad the air, and monnt the rapind winds:
Aloft they bear her thro the whemal phan, Above ther solid earth and liquid main:
Hew arrows next she takes of pinten athed, fore sight too small, bat terrible to feed :
Ronsil hy their smart the suage lion roars, And mad to combat mash the tusky boars, Of womds serure; for where their vemom lights, What fieds their power all other torments slights.
A figur'd zome, mysterionsly desien'd, Aromd her waist her yellow robe contin'd : There dark Suspicion lurk'd, of'sable hue; There hasty Rage his deadly dagerer drew; Pale Dney inly pind : and by her side Stood lhermzy, maging with his chains moty'd: Alfonted lride with thirst of vengeance lom'd, And Love's exeess to deepest hatred tum'd. All these the artist's curions hand expressid, The work divine his matehless skill confers'd. The virqin last, around her shomklers thing The bow; and by her site the quiver hung; Then, springing up, her airy course she bends, For Thebes; and lightly oer the tents descends. The son of 'Tydens, 'midst his bands, she found In arms eomplete, reposing on the ground: And, as he slegt, the hero thens adressid, Her form to fancy's waking eye express'd.

Dionede, moved by the instigations of jealonsy, and eager to defend his mistress ani his comntry, calls an assembly of the princes, and proposes to raise the siege of Thebes, on atcount of the difficulty of the enterprize, and dancers which surromm the army. Thesens, the gencral, brealss ont into a passion at this proposal: but is pacified by Nestor. Idomenens rises, and reproaches Diomede for his dishomomable counsel, and among other topics, uporaids him with his degeneracy from his fither's bravery.

Should now, from hence arrivid, some warrior's ghost
Greet valiant Tydens on the Styrian coast,

And tell, when danger or distress is near, That Diomed persuades the rest to fear: Hed shmm the synod of the mighty dead, And bide his anguish in the deepest slate: Nature in all an equal course maintains : The lion's whelp succeeds to awe the plains: Pards gender pards: from tigers tigers spring, Nor doves are hatch'd beneath a vultru's wing: Each parent's image in his offipring lives: But nought of Tydeus in his son survives.

The debate is closed by Ulysses, who informs the prinees that the Thebans are preparing to mareh out in order to attack them; and that it is rain for them to deliberate any longer conceming the conclusion of the war.

We have next a description of a battle between the Thebans, under Creon, and the confederate Greeks, under Theseus. The battle is full of the spirit of Homer. We skail not trouble our reader with particulars, which would appear insipid in prose, especially if compared to the lively poetry of our author. We shall only transcribe one passage, as a specimen of his happy choice of circumstances:
Next Arcas, Cleon, valiant Chromeus dy'd;
With Dares, to the Spartan chiefs ally'd.
And Phœmins, whom the gods in early youth
Had form'd for virtue and the love of truth;
His gen'rous soul to noble deeds they turn'd,
And love to mankind in his bosom burn'd :
Cold thro' his throat the hissing weapon glider,
And on his neek the waving locks divides.
Ilis fate the Graces mourn'd. The gods ahove,
Who sit aromed the stary throne of Jove,
On high Olympus bending from the skies,
His fate beheld with sorrow-streaming eyes.
Pallas alone, unalter'd and serene,
With seceret trimmph saw the mournful seene,
Not hard of heart: for none of all the jow'ris,
In carth or ocean, or th' Olympian tow'rs,
Jolds equal sympathy with human grief,
()r with a freer hand hestows relief:
Gut comecions that at mind by virtue steel'd
'To no impression of distress will yield;
'That, still unconquer'd, in its awfill home
O'er death it triumphs with immortal jow'r.

The battle ents with adrantage to the eonfederate (ireeks: but the approth of aight prevents their total victory.
('reom, king of Thebes, sends next an embassy to the conferderate (ireeks, desirinor a truee of seren days, in moler to bury the dead. Diomedre, impatient to retmon lome, aml stimnlated by joalonsy, volently oppeses this overtme, but it is over-raled by the otier princes, and the trare is conelnded. 'Ihe author, in initation of Homer", sud the other ancient poets, takes here an oprertmity of describing grmes celebrated for homoming the dead. The mames he has chesen are different from those which are to be fomme among the ancients, and the incidents are new anll curious.

Diomede took no share in these games: his impatient spirit could not brook the delay which arose from the truce: he pretends that he consented not to it, and is not included in it: he therefore proposes to his troops to attack the 'Thebans while they are employed in performing the funcral rites of the dead ; but is opposed in this design by Deiphobus his tutor, who represents to him in the severest terms the rashness and iniquity of his proposal. After some alteration, Dionede, impatient of contradiction in his favourite object, and stung by the free reproaches of his tutor, breaks out into a violent passion, and throws his spear at Deiplobus, which piereed him to the heart.

This incident, which is apt to surprize us, seems to have been eopied by our anthor, from that circumstance in the life of Alextmder, where this heroie conqueror, moved by a sudden passion, stabs Clytus his ancient friend, by whom his life had been formerly saved in battle. The repentance of Diomede is equal to that of Alexander. No sooner had he struck the fatal blow than his eyes are opened: he is sensible of his guilt and shame; he refuses all consolation; abstains even from food: and shats himself up alone in his tent. Ilis followers, amazed at the violence of his passion, lieep at a distance from him : all but Cassandra, who enters his tent with a potion, which she had prepared for him. While she stands before him alone, her timidity and passion betray her sex: and Diomede immediately perecises lee to be Citssandre, who had followed him to the camp, mader a warlike diseruise. As his repentane for the murder of Deinhobens was now the ruling passion in his breast, he is not moved by tembaneso
for Cassandra : on the contrary, he considers her as the cause, howerer innocent, of the murder of his friend, and of his own guilt ; and he treats her with such coldness that she retires in confusion. She even leares the camp, and resolver to return to her father in Etolia; but is taken on the road hy a party of Thebans, who carry her to Creon. That tyrunt determines to make the most political use of this incident: he sends privately a message to Diomede, threatening to put Cassandra to death, if that hero would not agree to a separate truce with Thebes. This proposal is at first rejected by Diomede, who threatens immediate destruction to Creon and all his race. Nothing can be more artfully managed by the poet than this incident. We shall hear him in his own words:

Sternly the hero ented, and resignod, To fieree disorder, all his mighty mind. Alrealy in his thoughts, with vengeful hands, He dealt destruction 'midst the Theban bands, In faney saw the tott ring turrets fall, And led his warriors o'er the level'd wall.
Rous'd with the thonght, from his high seat les eprung,
And grasp'd the sword, which on a column hung;
The shining blade he balaned thrice in tir ;
His lances next he view'd, and armour fair.
When, hanging 'midst the costly panoply;
A scarf embroider'd met the hero's eye,
Which fair Cassandra's skilful hands had wrought,
A present for her lord, in secret brought
That day, when first he led his martial train
In arms, to combat on the Theloan plain.
As some strong charm, whith magic sounds compese,
Suspends a downward torrent as it flows;
Checks in the precipice its headlong eourse,
And calls it trembling upwards to its source:
Such seem'd the rube, which, to the hero's eyes,
Male the fair artist in her charms to rise.
His rage, suspended in its fu!! career,
To love resigns, to grief and tender fear.
Glad would he now his former words revoke, And change the purpose which in wruth he spuke;
from hostile hands his captive fair to gain,
From late to save her, or the servile chain:
But pride, and shame, the fond design supment;
silent he stood, and lock'll it in his breant.

Yet had the wary 'Thehmo well divin'd, By symptoms sure, each motion of his mind : With joy he saw the heat of rage suppress'd; And thus again his artful words address'd.
The truee is coneluded for twenty days; but the perfidious Creon, henning that Dionede wonld be overawed by the danerer of his mistress, resolves to surprise the Greeks; and accordingly makes a sudern attack upon them, breaks into their camp, and carries everything before him. Diomente at first stands nenter ; but when Ulysses surerests to him, that after the defeat of the confederate (ireeks, he has no seembity ; and that so treacherons a prince as C'reon will not spara, murk leserestore (assamtra, he takes to arms, assanlts the Thebans, and obliges them, to seek shelter within their walls. Creon, in revenge, puts ('assandra to death, and shews her head over the walls. This sight so inflames Diomede, that he attacks Theles with double fury, takes the town by scalade, and gratifies his vengeance by the death of Creon.

This is a short abstract of the story on which this new poem is fombled. The reader may perhaps conjecture (what I an not rery anxious to conceal) that the execution of the Epigoniad is better than the design, the poetry superior to the fable, and the colouring of the particular parts mor* excellent than the general plan of the whole. Of all the great epie poems which have been the admiration of mankind, the Jerusalem of Tasso alone would make a tolerable novel, if reduced to prose, and related withont that splendour of versification and imagery by which it is supported : yet in the opinion of many great judges, the Jerusalem is the least perfect of all these productions : chiefly, because it has least nature and simplicity in the sentiments, and is most liable to the objection of affectation and conceit. The story of a poem, whatever may be imagined, is the least essential part of it: the force of the versification, the vivacity of the images, the justness of the descriptions, the natural play of the passions, are the chicf circumstances which distinguish the great poet from the prosaic novelist, and give him so high a rank among the heroes in literature; and I will renture to affirm, that all these adrantages, especially the three former, are to be found in an eminent degree in the Epigoniad. The author, inspired with the true genius of Greece,
and smit with the most profound veneration for Homer, disdains all frivolous ornaments; and relying entirely on his sublime imagination, and his nervous and harmonious expression, has ventured to present to his reader the naked beauties of nature, and challenges for his partizans all the admirers of genuine antiquity.

There is one circminstance in which the poet has carried his boldness of copying antiquity beyond the practice of many, even judicious moderns. He has drawn his per sonages, not only with all the simplicity of the Grecian heroes, but also with some degree of their roughness, and even of their ferocity. This is a circumstance which a mere modern is apt to find fault with in Homer, and which perhaps he will not easily excuse in his imitator. It is certain, that the ideas of manners are so much changed since the age of Homer, that though the Iliad was always among the ancients conceived to be a panegrric on the (ireeks, yet the reader is now ahmost always on the side of the Trojans, and is much more interested for the humane and soft manmers of Priam, Hector, Andromache, Sarpedon, Æneas, Glancus, nay, even of Paris and Helen, than for the severe and crnel bravery of Achilles, Agamemnon, and the other Grecian heroes. Sensible of this inconvenience, Fenelon, in his elegant romance, has softened extremely the harsh manners of the heroic ages, and has contented himself with retaining that amiable simplicity by which those ages wer distinguished. If the reader be displeased, that the Britist. poet has not followed the example of the French writer, he must, at least, allow that he has drawn a more exact and faithful copy of antiquity, and has made fewer sacrifices of truth to ornament.

There is another circumstance of our author's choice which will be liable to dispute. It may be thought that by introducing the heroes of Homer, he has lost all the charm of novelty, and leads us into fictions which are somewlat stale and thread-bare. Boilean, the greatest critic of the French nation, was of a very different opinion :

> La fable offre ì l'esprit mille agréments divers
> Ia tous les noms heureux somblent nez pour les vers:
> Ulysse, Agamemnom, Oreste, Idomenée, Helene, Menclas, Paris, Hector, Enee.

It is certain that there is in that poetic ground a kind of enchantment which allures every person of a tender and lively imagination; nor is this impression diminished, but rather murh increased, by our early introdnetion to the knowledge of it in our perusal of the Greek and Latin classies.

The same great French critic makes the apology of our poet in his use of the ancient mythology:

> Aiusi dans cet amas de nobles fictions,
> Le poet s'egeye en mille inventions, Orne, eleve, embellit, aggrandit toutes choses, Et trouve sous sa main des fleurs tonjoms ecloses.

It would seem, indeed, that if the machinery of the heathen gods be not admitted, epic poetry, at least all the marvellous part of it, must be entirely abandoned. The Christian religion, for many reasons, is unfit for the fabulous ornaments of poctry: the introduction of allegory, after the manner of Voltaire, is liable to many objections: and though a more historical epic poem, like Leonidas, may have its beauties, it will alwiys be inferior to the force and pathetic of tragedy, and must resign to that species of poctry the precedency which the former composition has always challenged among the productions of human genius. But with regard to these particulars, the author has himself made a sufficient apology in the judicious and spirited preface which accompanies his poem.

But though our poet has in general followed so successfully the footsteps of Homer, he has, in particular passages, chosen other ancient poets for his model. His serenth book contains an episode, very artfully inserted, concerning the death of Herculos: where he has plainly had Sophocles in his riew, and has ventured to engage in a rivalship with that great master of the tragic scene. If the sublimity of our poet's imagination, and the energy of his style, appear any where conspicuous, it is in this episode, which we shall mot scruple to compare with any poetry in the English language. Nothing can be more pathetic than the complaint of Hercules, when the poison oi the centaur's robe beyins first to prey upon him:

Sov'reign of Hear'n and Earth! whose houndless sway The fates of men and mortal things obey,

If e'er delighted from the courts above, In hmman form you sought Alemene's love; If fame's unchanging voice to all the Earth, With truth, proclaims yon author of my birth;
Whence, from a course of spotless glory run, Snccessful toils and wreaths of triumpli won, Am I thus wretehed? better that before Some monster fierce had drank my streaming gore;
Or crush'd ly Cacus, foe to gods and men, My batter'd brains had strew'd his rooky den : Than, from my glorious toils and trimmphs past, To fall subdu'd by female arts, at last. O cool my boiling blood, ye winds, that blow From mountains loaded with eternal snow, And crack the icy eliffs ; in vain! in vain! Your rigour camot quench my raging pain! For round this beart the furies wave their bramos, And wring my entrails with their burning hands. Now bending from the skies, O wife of Jove! Enjoy the vengeance of thy injur'd love: For fate, by me, the Thund'rer's guilt atones ; And, punish'd in her son, Alemene groans: The object of your hate shall soon expire, Fix'd on my shoulders preys a net of fire; Whom nor the toils nor dangers could sublue, By false Eurystheus dictated from you; Nor tyrants lawless, nor the monstrous broud Which haunts the desert or infests the flood, Nor Greece, nor all the barb'rons climes that lie Where Phobus ever points his golden eye; A woman hath o'erthrown! ye gods! I yield To female arts, unconquer'd in the field. My arms-alas! are these the same that bow'd Anteus, and his giant force subdu'd? 'That dragg'd Nemea's monster from his dem? And slew the dragon in his native fen? Alas! alas! their mighty muscles fitil, While pains infernal ex'ry nerve assail: Alas, alas! I feel in streams of woe These cyes dissolve, heffre untaught to llow. A wake my virtue, oft in dimgers try'd, l'atient in toils, in deaths unterrify'd, Rouse to my aid; nor let my labomrs past, With fame achiev'd, be blotted by the last: Firm and umov'l, the present shock endure; Once trimmph, and for ceer rest secure.

Our poet, thongh his remins be in many respects very original, has not distained to imitate even modern poets. He has adted to his heroic poem a dream, in the mamer of Spenser, where the poet supposes himself to be introdnced to Homer, who ecmsures his perm in some particulars, amb exconses it in others. This perm is indmed a species of apology for the Epigronian, wrote in a very lively and elegrant manner: if may be eompared to a well-polished gem, of the furest water, and cut inte the most boantiful form. 'Those who would julue of our anthor's talents for poetry, withont frusing his laror work, may satisfy their curiosity, by rmming over this short poem. Ther will sed the same force of imagination and harmony of mumbers, which distingrish his longer performance : and may thenee, with small application, receive a favourable impression of our author's genins.
D. H.

# 以ELICATION OE THE • FOUR DISSERTATIONS, <br> 175\%. ${ }^{1}$ 

'To the Reverend Mr. Mıme, ${ }^{2}$ Author of 'Douglas,' a Tiagrdy.
My Dear Sir,-It was the practice of the antients to address their compositions only to friends and equals, and to rember their dedications monuments of regard and affection, not of servility and flattery. In those days of ingenuous and candid liberty, a dedication did honour to the person to whom it was adressed, without degraling the anthor. If any partiality appeared towards the patron, it was at least the partiality of frieudship and affection.

Another instance of true liberty, of which antient times can alone afford us an example, is the liberty of thonght, which engaged men of letters, however different in their abstract opinions, to maintain a mutnal friendship and regard ; and never to quarrel about principles, while they agreed in inclinations and manmers. Science was often the subject of disputation, never of animosity. Cicero, an academic, addressed his philosophical treatises, sometimes to Brutus, a stoic; sometimes to Atticus, an epicnrean.

I have becn seized with a strong desire of renewing these laudable practices of antiquity, by addressing the following dissertations to you, my good friend: For such I will ever call and esteem yon, notwithstanding the opposition, which prevails between us, with regard to many of our speculative tenets. These difierences of opinion I have only found to

[^206]enliven our conversation; while our common passion for science and letters served as a cement to our friendship. I still admired your genius, even when $I$ imagined, that you lay under the influence of prejudice; and you sometimes told me, that you excused my errors, on account of the candor and sincerity, which, you thought, accompanied them.

But to tell truth, it is less my admiration of your fine genius, which has engaged me to make this address to you, than my esteem of your character and my affection to your person. That generosity of mind which ever accompanies you; that cordiality of friendship, that spirited honour and integrity, have long interested me strongly in your behalf, and have made me desirous, that a monument of our mutual amity should be publicly erected, and, if possible, be preserved to posterity.

I own too, that I have the ambition to be the first who shall in public express his admiration of your noble tragedy of Douglas ; one of the most interesting and pathetic pieces, that was ever exhibited on any theatre. Should I give it the preference to the Merope of Maffei, and to that of Voltaire, which it resembles in its subject; should I affirm, that it contained more fire and spirit than the former, more tenderness and simplicity than the latter; I might be accused of partiality; And how could I entirely acquit myself, after the professions of friendship, which I have made you? But the unfeigned tears which flowed from every eye, in the numerous representations which were made of it on this theatre; the unparalleled command, which you appeared to have over every affection of the human breast: These are incontestible proofs, that you possess the true theatric genius of Shakespear and Otway, refined from the unhappy barbarism of the one, and licentiousness of the other.

My enemies, you know, and, I own, even sometimes my friends, have reproached me with the love of paradoxes and singular opinions ; and I expect to be exposed to the same imputation, on account of the character which I have here given of your Douglas. I shall be told, no doubt, that I hard artfully chosen the only time, when this high esteem of that piece could be regarded as a pamdox, to wit, before its publication; and that not being able to contradict in this particular the sentiments of the public, [ have, at least, resolved to go before then. But I shall be amply compensated for all these
pleasantries, if you accept this testimony of my regard, and believe me to be, with the greatest sincerity, Dear Sir,

Your most affectionate Friend, and humble Servant,

David Hume.
Edinhuggi: 3 January, 1757.'

## FRAGMENTS OF A PAPFR IN HUME'S HANDWRITINE, DESCRIBLN(: THE DESCEN'G ON THE (")As'「 (OF BRIT"'ANY, IN 1746, AND THE C'AlsES (OF ITs FAILURE. ${ }^{1}$

The forces under Lientenant (ieneral St. Clair consisted of five batalions, vize the first battalion of the 1st Royal, the ith Highlanders, Brd Brag's, 4th Richbell's, 2d Harrison's, together with part of Frampton's, and some companies of Marines, making in all about 400 men. The fleet consisted (1) ${ }^{\circ}$

Though this army and fleet had been at first fitter out for entering upon action in summer 1746, and making conquest of Canadit, it was fomd, after several vain efforts to get out of the Chamel, first under Commodnre Cotes, then under Admiral Listock, that so much time had been mavoidably lost, from contrary winds and cout rary orders, as to render it dangerous for so large a body of ships to proceed thither. The middle of May was the last day of rendezoons appointed at Spithead; and in the latter end of August, the fleet had yet got no farther than sit. Helen's, about a league below it. It is an observation, that in the latter end of autumn, or begimning of winter, the north-west winds blow so furionsly on the coast of North America, as to render it always difficult, and often impossible, for ships that set out late to reach any harbour in those parts. Instances have been found of vessels that have been obliged to take shelter from these storms, even in the Leeward Islands. It was therefore become necessary to abandon all thoughts of proceeding to America that season ; and as the transports were fitted out and fleet equipped at great expense, an attempt was hastily made to turn them to some account in Europe, during the small remainder of the sum-

[^207][^208]mer. The distress of the allies in Flanders demanded the more immediate attention of the English nation and ministry, and required, if possible, some speedy remedy. 'Twas too late to think of sending the six battalions under General St. Clair, to reinforce Prince Charles of Lorraine, who commanded the armies of the allies; and their number was, besides, too inconsiderable to hope for any great advantages from that expedient. 'Twas more to be expected, that falling. on the parts of France, supposed to be defenceless and disarmed, they might make a diversion, and oecasion the sending a considerable detachment from the enemy's army in Flanders. But as time pressed, and allowed not leisure to concert and prepare this measure, the Duke of Newcastle, Secretary of State, hoped to find that General St. Clair had already planned and projected some enterprise of this nature. He formed this presumption on a hint which had been started very casually, and which had been immediately dropped by the General.

In the spring, when the obstractions and delays thrown in the way of the American enterprise were partly felt and partly foreseen, the Secretary, lamenting the great and. he feared, useless expense to which the nation had been put by that undertaking, gare occasion to the Gueral to throw out ia thought, which wonld naturally oceur in such a sitnation. He said,' Why may you not send the squadron and troms to some part of the coast of France, and at least frighten tind alarm them as they have done us; and, as all their troops are on the Flanders and German frontiers, 'tis most probable that such an alarm may make them recall some of them?' The subject was then no farther prosecuted; but the Kinc. being informed of this casual hint of the General's, asked him if be had formed any plan or project by which the service above-mentioned might be effectuated. He assured his majesty that he had merer so much as thought of it ; but that, if it was his pleasmer, he wonld confer with Sir Johm Ligonier, and endavom to fimb ,ther people in Lombon who could let him into some knowledge of the const of France. To this the King replied, 'No, no ; you meed mot give yourself any trouble abont it.' Amd accordingly the General newer more thongit of it, farther than to inform the Duke of Newcastle of this conference with his majesty. Howerer, the Duke being willing that the person who was
to axerente the malertaking shomla also he the projector of it, by which means both errater sulecess might la hopeal from it, amd ewory boty elsw be seremed from reflection in ease of its miscarrater, desired, in his letter of the 2-2l of Amonst, that both the Ahmial amd demeral shond grive their opinion of suth an invasion; aml particularly the litheral, whan, havinge, he said, formed some time ago a project of this nature might be the better prepared to enve his thonohts
 ignorance make them incapable of delivering there sumimonts on so delicate a sulojeet : amb the Genemal, in as sparate Luttrr, reealleat to the Duke's memory the direnmstanees wf the story, as ahome related.
'lhomesh they drelined proposing a project, thery both rhmerfally oflertw? that if his majesty wond homomr theme with any plan of operation for a descent, they would do thein last to (arry it into execution. Thasy hoped that the socratiory of state, wha, by his offiere, is led to turn his eyes ever. Where, and who lives at Lomdon, the centre of commorer and intelligence, eonld better form and digest such a phat, dhan they who were cooped up in their slaps, in a remote se:a-port town, withont any former acouantance with ther coast of France, and without any possibility of acupirins anw knowledge. They at least hoperl, that so difficult a task would not be required of them as either to sive their sentiments withont any materials afiorded then to judge upon, or to eollect materials, while the most inviolithle secresy was strietly enjoined on them. It is remarkable, that the Dukn of Neweastle, among other advantages proposed by this expedition, mentions the giving assistance to such Protestants as are already in arms, or may be disposed to risa on the appearance of the English, as if we were living in the time of the League, or during the confusion of Frantis the second's minority.

Full of these refleetions, they sailed from St. Helen's on the abd of Alusust, and arrived at Plymontly on the erth, is obedience to their orders, which required them to lut intin that harbour for firther instructions. Ther there fomml positive orders to sail immediately, with the first fair wiml. to the coast of France, and make an attempt on Liorient, or Rochefort, or Rochelle, or sail up the river of Bomrdeanx : or. if they judered any of these enterprises impracticable, to
sail to whatever other place on the western coast they should think proper. Such unbounded discretionary powers could not but be agreeable to the commanders, had it been accompanied with better, or indeed with any intelligence. As the wind was then contrary, they had leisure to reply in their letters of the 29th and 30th. They jointly represented the difficulties, or rather impossibilities, of any attempt on L'Orient, Rochefort, and Rochelle, by reason of the real strength of these places, so far as their imperfect information could reach; or, if that were erroneous, by reason of their own absolute want of intelligence, guides, and pilots, which are the soul of all military operations.

The General, in a separate letter, enforced the same topics, and added many other reflections of moment. He said, that of all the places mentioned in his orders, Bourdeaux, if accessible, appeared to him the properest to be attempted; both as it is one of the towns of greatest commerce and riches in France, and as it is the farthest situated from their Flanders' army, and on these accounts an attack on it would most probably produce the wished-for alarm aud diversion. He added, that he himself knew the town to be of no strength, and that the only place there capable of making any defence, is Chateau Trompette, which serves it as a citadel, and was intended, as almost all citadels are, more as a curb, than a defence, on the inhabitants. But though these circumstances promised some success, he observed that there were many other difficulties to struggle with, which threw a mighty damp on these promising expectations. In the first place, he much questioned if there was in the fleet any one person who had been ashore on the western coast of France, except himself, who was once at Bourdeaux ; and he, too, was a stranger to all the country betwixt the town and the sea. He had no single map of any part of France on board with him; and what intelligence he may be able to force from the people of the country can be but little to be depended on, as it must be their interest to mislead him. And if money prove necessary, either for obtaining intelligence, carrying on of works, or even subsisting the officers, he: must raise it in the country; for, except a few chests of Mexican dollars, consigned to other uses, he carried no money with him. If he advanced any where into the comntry, he must be at a very great loss for want of horses to draw the artillery; as the inlabitants will undoubtedly carry off
E. many of them as they comld, ame lie ham mather hassars Hon dratoons to forer them lack agrain. And as to tha presopiner any romquests he might makr, (of whirh the D) wke had dropped some lints.) le observed that every place whioh Was mot imprecemble to lim, with such small foree, must be antenable by him. (On the whole, he engered for mothing lat oberlience ; le promised no shecess; he protessed absolate ignorance with regard to every circomastance of the motertaking ; he evern combl mot fix on any particular malartaking ; and yet he lay under positive orders to sail with the tirst tair wind, to approach the moknown coast, mareh thromerh the mknown eomntry, and attack the unknown rities of the most potent nation of the miverse.

Meanwhile, Alminal Ansun, who hat pht into Plymonth, amd hat boen detained there by the sam, contrary winds, which still prevaled, had a conversation with the Ceneral and Admiral on the smbject of therir enterprise. Je tohl them, that he remembered to have once easually heard from N18. Hume, member for Southwark, that he had becu at L'Orient, and that, though it be very strong by sea, it is not so by land. Thongh Mr. Hume, the gentleman mentionm, be bred to a mercantile profession, not to war, and thomoh the intelligence received from him was only casual, imperfect, and by secoml-haml, yet it gave pleasmre to the Admiral and (ieneral, as it aftorted them a fant glimmering ray in their present obscurity and ignorance; and they accordingly resolved to follow it. They wrote to the Duke of Newcastle, September the 3d, that 'twas to L’Orient they intended to bend their course, as soon as the wind offered. To remedy the ignorance of the coast and want of pilots, as far as possible, Commodore Cotes in the Ruby, together with Captain Steward in the Hastings, and a sloop and tender, was immediately despatched by the Admiral to view Port L'Orient and all the places near it, so far as might regard the safe approach and anchorage of the ships. The ignomane of the conntry, and want of guites, was a desperate evil, for which the Genera! could provide no remedy. But as the wind still continned contrary to the fleet and transports, though single ships of war might work their way against it, the Gemeral had oncasion to see farther alterations made by the ministry in their project of an invasion.

The Duke of Newcastle, who had before informod thas General that, if he comld astalish himself on any pat of the
coast of France, two battalions of the Guards, and General Huske's regiment, should be despatched after him, now says, (Sept. 3), that these three battalions have got immediate orders to follow him. He farther adds, that if the General finds it impracticable to make any descent on the coast of Brittany, or higher up in the Bay of Biscay, he would probably find, on his return, some intelligence sent him, by the reinforcement, with regard to the coast of Normandy. Next day the Duke changes his mind, and sends immediately this intelligence with regard to the coast of Normandy, and a plan for amoying the French on that quarter, proposed by Major Nacdonald; and to this plan he seems entirely to give the preference to the other, of making an attempt on the western coast of France, to which he had before confined the Admiral and General. They considered the plan, and conversed with Kajor Macdonald, who came down to Plymouth a few days after. They found that this plan had been given in some years before, and was not in the least calculated for the present expedition, but required a body of cavalry as an essential point towards its execution; an advantage of which the General was entirely destitute. They found that Major Macdonald had had so tew opportunities of improving himself in the art of war, that it would be dangerous, without farther information, to follow his plan in any military operations. They found that he pretended only to know the strength of the town, and nature of the country, in that province, but had never acquainted himself with the sea-coast, or pitched upon any proper place for disembarkation. They considered that a very considerable step had been ahready taken towards the execution of the other project on the coast of Brittany, riz. the sending Commodore Cotes to inspect and somnd the coast; and that the same step must now be taken anew, in so late a season, with regard to the coast of Normandy. They thought that, if their whole operations were to begin, an attempt on the western coast was preferable, chiefly because of its remoteness from the Flanders' army, which must increase and spread the alarm, if the country were really so defenceless as was believed. They represented all those reasons to the Secretary; but at the same time expressed their intentions of remaining at Plymouth till they should receive his majesty's positive orders with regard to the enterprise on whicla they were to engage.

The Duke immeliately despatchen a messenger, with finl powers to them to go whithersomere they phased. Durind this interval, the Cienemal was ohliged, to his great regret, to remain in a mamor wholly inactive. Plymonth was. so remote a place, that it was not to be wapected he combla them get any proper intelligence. Ho was bemend up ly his ortars to such inviolable secrecy, that he conht not make any inquiries for it, or searec recese it, if oftered. The Secretary hat sent Major Mactonald, amd one Cooke, captain of a privatecr, who, 'twas found, conld be of no mamer of service in this modertalking. These, he said, wore the only persons he could find in London that pretended to know any thing of the coast of France, as if the question hat been with regard to the coast of Japan or of California. The General desired to have maps of France, chiefly of Gascony and Brittany. He receives only a map of Cascony, together with one of Normandy. No map of Brittany; none of France; he is obliged to set out on so important an enterprise without intelligence, without pilots, without guides, withont any map of the country to which he was bound, except a common map, on a small scale, of the kingdom of France, which his Aid-de-camp had been able to pick up in a shop at Plymouth. He represented all these difficulties to the ministry; he begged them not to flatter themselves with any success from a General who had such obstacles to sumount, and who must lave his conduct to the government of chance more than prudence. He was answered, that nothing was expected of him, but to land any where he plased in France, to produce an alarm, and to return safe, with the fleet and transports, to the British dominions. Though he was sensible that more would be expected by the people, yet he cheerfully despised their rash judgments, while he acted in obedience to orders, and in the prosecution of his duty. The fleet sailed from Plymouth on the 15th of Septenber, and, after a shert vorage of three days, arrived, in the eveniug of the 18 th, oft the island of Groa, where they found Commedore Cotes and Captain Stnart, who gave them an acomnt of the success which they had met with in the survey of the const near LOrient. The place they had pitched on fir landing, was ten miles from that town, at the month of the river of Quimperlay. They represented it as a flat men shore, with deep water: on these accounts a good landing-pace for the trown,
but a dangerous place for the ships to ride in, on account of the rocks with which it was every where surrounded, and the high swell which was thrown in, from the Bay of Biscay, by the west and south-west winds.

It was then about eight in the evening, a full moon and a clear sky, with a gentle breeze blowing in shore. The question was, whether to sail directly to the landing-place, or hold off till morning. The two officers who had surveyed the coast were divided in opinion : one recommended the former measure, the other suggested some scruples, by representing the dangerous rocks that lay on every side of them, and the ignorance of all the pilots with regard to their number and situation. The Admiral was determined, by these reasons, to agree to this opinion. The question seemed little important, as it regarded only a short delay; but really was of the utmost consequence, and was, indeed, the spring whence all the ill success in this expedition flowed.

The great age of Admiral Listock, as it increased his experience, should make us cautious of censuring his opinion in sea affairs, where he was allowed to have such consummate knowledge. But at the same time, it may beget a suspicion, that being now in the decline of life, he was thence naturally inclined rather to the prudent counsels which suit a concerted enterprise, than to the bold temerity which belongs to such hasty and blind undertakings. The unhappy consequences of this over-cautious measure immediately appeared. The Admiral had laid his account, that by a delay, which procured a greater safety to the fleet and transports, only four or five hours would be lost; but the wind changing in the morning, and blowing fresh off shore, all next day, and part of next night, was spent before the ships conld reach the landing-place. Some of them were not able to reach it till two days after.

During this time, the flect lay full in view of the coast, and preparations were making in Port Louis, L'Orient, and over the whole country, for the reception of an enemy, who threatened them with so mexpected an invasion.

The force of France, either for offence or defence, consists chiefly in three different bodies of men : first, in a numerons vetram army, which was then entirely employed in Italy and on their frontiers, except some shattered regiments, which were disperserl about the country, for the advantage of re-
cruiting, and of which there were 4 wo regiments of deagrons at that time in Brittany; secombly, in a ragnlar and diseiplined militia, with which all the fortified cities along the sea-const were garronsed, and many of the frontier-tewns, that sermed not to be threatened with any immediate attark. Some bolies of this militia han also bern employed in the field with the regular tromps, and had acquired homome, which gave spirits and comrage to the rest: thirdly, in a numerons body of coast militia, or garles-du-cote, amomenting to near 200,000, ill armed and ill disciplined, fomidable atome by their numbers; and in Brittany, by the ferocity of the inhabitants, estecmed of old and at present, the most warlike and least civilized of all the French peasments. Regular signals were concerted for the assembling of these forces by alarm guns, flags, and fires; and in the moning of the 20th of September, by break of day, a considerable body of all these different kinds of troons, but chiefly of the last, amounting to above 3,000 men, were seen upon the sea-shore to oppose the disembarkation of the British forces. A disposition, therefore, of ships and boats must be made for the regrular landing of the army; and as the weather was then very blustering. and the wind blew almost off shore, this could not be effected till afternoon.

There appeared, in view of the fleet. three places which seemed proper for a disembarkation, and which were separated from each other either by a rising ground, or by a small arm of the sea. The French militia had posted themselves in the two places which lay nearest to L.Orient; and finding that they were not mumerous enough to cover the whole, they left the third, which lay to the windward, almost wholly defenceless. The General ordered the boats to rendezrous opposite to this beach: and he saw the French troops march off from the next contiguous landing-place, and take post opposite to him. They placed themselves behind some sand-banks in such a manner as to be entirely sheltered from the camon of thase English ships which covered the landing. while at the same time they could rush in upon the troms, as som as their approach to the shore had obliged the ships to latare oft firing.

The General remarked their plan of defence, and was determined to disappoint them. He obserred, that the next landing-place to the lemward was now empty; and that,
though the troops which had been posted on the more distant beach had quitted their station, and were making a circuit round an arm of the sea, in order to occupy the place deserted by the others, they had not as yet reached it. He immediately seized the opportunity. He ordered his boats to row directly forward, as if he intended to land on the beach opposite to him ; but while the enemy were expecting him to adrance, he ordered the boats to turn, at a signal; and, making all the speed that both oars and sails could give them, to steer directly to the place deserted by the enemy. In order to render the disembarkation more safe, he had previously ordered two tenders to attack a battery, which had been placed on a mount towards the right, and which was well situated for annoying the boats on their approach. The tenders succeeded in chasing the French from their grons; the boats reached the shore before any of the French could be opposite to them. The soldiers landed, to the number of about six hundred men, and formed in an instant; immediately upon which the whole militia dispersed and fled up into the country. The English followed them regularly and in good order; prognosticating success to the enterprise from such a fortunate beginning.

There was a creek, or arm of the sea, dry at low water, which lay on the right hand of the landing-place, and through which ran the nearest road to L'Orient, and the only one fit for the march of troops, or the draught of camon and heavy carriages. As it was then high water, the French runaways were obliged, by this creek, to make a circuit of some miles; and they thereby misled the general, who, justly concluding they would take shelter in that town, and having no other guides to conduct him, thonght that, by following their footsteps, he would be led the readiest and shortest way to L'Orient. He detached, therefore, in pursuit of the flying militia, about a thousind men, under the command of Brigadier O'Farrel; who, after loeing harassed by some firing from the hedges, (by which Lieut.-Col. Erskine, QuarterMaster General, was daugerously wounded, arrived that evening at Guidel, a village about a league distant from the landing-place. The general himself lay near the sea-shore, to wait for the landing of the rest of the forces. By break of day her led them up to join the brigadier at Guidel. He there learmen from some peasants, takin priwners, and who soke
the French langage, (which fow of the emmom people in Briftany are able to do, that the reme into which he hat bern led, by the reasoms above specition, was the longest hy fiomer five miles. He was also informen, what he had partly seren, that the road was wery dangerons and difticult, rmminer through namow lanes and defiles, betwixt high helges, faced with stone waths, and bordered in many phaces with thick woods and brushes, where a very fiew disopplined amb braw troops might stop, a whole amy; and where feren a fow, withont discipline or bravery, might, by firing :udhenly upen the forces, throw them into confinsion.

In order to acquire a more thomorgh knowledge of the country, of which he and the whele army were utterly innorant, he here divided the troops into two equal boties, aml marehed them up to Lorient, ly two different roads, which were pointed out to him. The one part, which he himself' conducted, passed without much molestation. The other, nuder Brigadier O'Farrel was not so fortunate. Two battalions of that detachment, Richbell's and Framiton's, partly from their want of experience, and partly firm the terror natmally inspired into soldiers by finding themselves in a difficult country unknown both to themselves and leaders, and partly, perhaps, from accident, to which the comage of men is extremely liable, fell into confusion, before a handfui of French peasants who fired at them from behind the hedges. Notwithstanding all the endeavoms of the Brigadier, many of them threw down their arms and ran away; others fired in confusion, and wounded each other; and if any regular forces had been present to take adrantage of this disorder, the most fital comsequences might have earued. Ame though they were at last led on, and joined the general that evening before Lorient, the panie still remained in these two lattalions afterwards, and commmicated itself to others: hept the whole army in anxiety, even when they were not in danger. and threw a mighty damp on the expectations of success. conceived from this undertaking. L'Orient, lately a small village, now a considerable town, on the coast of Brittans, lies in the extremity of a fine bay, the mouth of which is very narow, and guarded by the strong citadel ot Port Louis. This town has become the centre of the French East India trade, the seat of the company established for that commeres, and the magazine whence they distribute the East India commodities.

The great prizes made upon them by the English, during the course of the war, had given a check to this growing commerce; yet still the town was esteemed a valuable acquisition. were it only on account of the wealth it contained, and the store-houses of the company, a range of stately buildings, erected at public charge, both for use and ornament. The town itself is far from being strong. Two sides of it, which are nct protected with water, are defended only with a plam wall, near thirty feet high, of no great thickness, and without any fosse or parapet. But the water which covers the other two sides, rendered it impossible to be invested, and gave an opportunity for multitudes of people to throw themselves into it from every corner of that populous country. And though these, for want of discipline, could not be trusted in the field against regular forces, yet became they of great use in a defence behind walls, by throwing up works, erecting batteries, and digging trenches, to secure (what was sufficient) for a few days, a weak town against a small and ill-provided army. The East India Company had numbers of cannon in their magazines, and had there erected a school of engineers, for the service of their ships and settlements; the vessels in the harbour supplied them with more cannon, and with seamen accustomed to their management and use; and whatever was wanting, either in artillery or warlike stores, could easily be brought by water from Port Louis, with which the town of L'Orient kept always an open communication.

But as these advantages, though great, require both a sufficient presence of mind, and some time, to be employed against an enemy, 'tis not improbable, that if the admiral had been supplied with proper pilots, and the general with proper guides, which could have led the English immediateiy upon the coast, and to the town, the very terror of so mexpected an invasion would have rendered the inhabitauts incapable of resistance, and made them surrender at discretion. The want of these advantages had already lost two days; and more time must yet be consumed, before they conld so much as make the appearance of an attack. Camon was wanting, and the road by which the army hat marched, was absolutely unfit for the converance of them. The general, thereform, having first despatcherd an officer and a party to recomonite the enontry, and find a nearer and better road, Sepember e2d. went himself neat day to the aca-shore, for the
same purpose, and also in order to concert with the admirai the proper mothon of bringine wpeaman ; as almost all the horses in the combtry, whieh are extemely weak and of a dimimutive size, had bern driven away by the peasimts. Acoordingly, a road wats fomm, much nearer, though still ten miles of lenerth ; and much better, thourh easily rendered impassable by rainy weather, as was afterwards experienced.

A commeil of war was hedd on board the Princessa, consisting of the atmiral and gemeral, Brigetder O'rarrel and Commonore Cotes. The engineers, Director-General Armstrong, and Captain Watson, who hat surveyed the town of I'Oriont, being called in, were asked their opinion with respard to the practicability of an attempt on it, together with the time, and artillery, and ammunition, requisite for that purlwse. Their answer wan, that with two twelve pounders and a ten inch mortar, phanted on the spot which they had pitehed on for crecting a battery, they congeded either to make a practicable breath in the walls, or with cartridges, bombs, amt red-hot balls, destroy the town, by laying it in ashes in twenty-four hours. Captain Chalmers, the captain of the artillery, who hat not then seen the town, was of the same opinion, from their description of it, provided the battery was within the proper distance. Had the kinges orders been less positive for making an attempt on some part of the coast of France, yet such fittering views offered by men who promised what lay within the sphere of their own profession, must have engaged the attention of the admiral and general, and indnced them to venture on a much more hazarduns and difficult undertaking. 'Twas accordingly agreed that four twelve pounders, and a ten inch mortar, topether with three field-pieces, should be drawn up to the camp by sailors, in order to make, with still grater assmrance, the attempt, whose success seemed so certain to the engineers. 'Jhese pieces of artillery, with the stores demanded, notwithstandins all diticnalties, were drawn to the camp in two days. except two twelve pounders, which arrived not till the day afterwards. A third part of the sallors of the whole Heet, together with all the marines, were employed in this dradgery; the atmirad grave all assistance in his power to the general: and the publie. in one instance. saw that it was not impessible for land and sea officers to live in harmony towether, and romenr in promoting the succos of an enterprise.

The general, on his arrival in the camp, found the officer returned whom he had sent to summon the town of L'Orient. By his information, it appeared that the inhabitants were so much alarmed by the suddemess of this incursion, and the terror of a force which their fears magnified, as to think of surrendering, though upon conditions, which would have reudered the conquest of no avail to their enemies. The inhabitants insisted upon an absolute security to their houses and goods; the East India Company to their magazines and store-houses; and the garrison, consisting of about seven hundred regular militia and troops, besides a great number of irregulars, demanded a liberty of marching out with all the honours of war. A weak town that opened its gates on such conditions was not worth the entering; since it must immediately be abandoned, leaving only to its conquerors the shame of their own folly, and perhaps the reproach of treachery. The general, therefore, partly trusting to the promise of the engineers, and partly desirous of inproving the advantages gained by the present danger, when the deputies arrived next day, September 23d, from the governor, from the town, and from the East India Company, refused to receive any articles but those from the governor, who commanded in the name of his most Christian majesty. He even refused liberty to the garrison to march out; well knowing that, as the town was not invested, they could take that liberty whenever they pleased.

Meanwhile, every accident concurred to render the enterprise of the English abortive. Some deserters got into the town, who informed the garrison of the true force of the English, which, conjecturing from the greatness and number of the ships, they had much magnified. Even this small body diminished daily, from the fatigue of excessive duty, and from the great rains that began to fall. Scarce threw thousand were left to do duty, which still augmented the fatigue to the few that remained; especially when joined to the frequent alarms, that the unaccountable panic they were struck with made but too frequent. Rains lad so spoilt the roads as to render it impracticable to bring up any heavier can non, or more of the same calibre, so long a way, by the more force of seamen. But what, above all things, made the enterperise appear desperate, was the discovery of the ignorance of the engincers, chitefly of the director-general, who in the
whole course of his procemtins appered neither to have skill in rontrivance, wor order and diligence in execotions. His own want of rapacity and experience, made his projectes of $n 0$ nse; his blind obstinatey rembered him incelpable of making use of the capacity of others. 'Thourh the genmal offired to place and suphort the battery wherever the arginere thought proper, he chose to set it above six handrad yards from the wall, where such small camon eould do no mamer of execution. He planfed it at so ohlique an angle to the wall that the ball thrown from the largest cammon mast have recoiled, withont making any impression. He trusted much to the red-hot balls, with which he promised to lay the town in ashes in twenty-four hours; yet, by his negligence, or that of others, the furnace with which these balls were to be heated, was forgot. After the furnace was bronght, he found that the bellows, and other implements necessary for the execution of that work, were also left on bnard the store-ships. With great difficulty, and infinite pains, ammmition and artillery stores were drawn up from the sea-shore in trmbrels. He was totally ignorant, till some days after, that he had along with him ammunition wagons, which would have much facilitated this labour. His orders to the officers of the train were so confused, or so ill obeyed, that no ammmition came regularly up to the camp, to serve the few cannon and the mortars that played npon the town. Not only fascines, piquets, and every thing necessiry for the hattery, were supplied him beyond his demand; but even workmen, notwithstanding the great fatigne and small mmbers of the army. These workmen fomd no addition to their fatigue in obeying his orders. He loft them often unemploged, for want of knowing in what business he should occuly them.

Meanwhile the French garrison, being so weakly attacked, had leisure to prepare for a defence, and make proper use of their great number of workmen, if not of soldiers and the nearness and plenty of their military stores. By throwing up earth in the inside of the wall, they had planted a great 1amby camom, some of a large calibre, and opened six batteries against one that played upon them from the English. The distance alone of the besiegers' battery, made these camon of the enemy do less exeention; but that same distance rendered the attacts absolutely ineffectual. Were the
battery brought nearer, to a hundred paces for instance, 'twould be requisite to make it communicate with the camp by trenches and a covered way, to dig which was the work of some days for so small an army. During this time, the besieged, foreseeing the place to which the attack must be directed, could easily fortify it by retrenchments in the inside of the wall; and planting ten camon to one, could silence the besiegers' feeble battery in a few hours. They would not even have had leisure to make a breach in the thin wall, which first discovered itself; and that breach, if made, could not possibly serve to iny purpose. Above fifteen thonsand men, completely armed by the East India Company, and brave while protected by cannon and rampurts, still stood in opposition to three thousand, discouraged with fatigue, with siekness, and with despair of ever succeeding in so unerfual a contest.

A certain foreign writer, more anxions to tell his stories in an entertaining manner than to assure himself of their reality, has endearoured to put this expedition in a ridiculons light; but as there is not one circumstance of his narration, which has truth in it, or even the least appearance of truth, it would be needless to lose time in refuting it.' With regard to the prejudices of the public, a few questions may suffice.

Was the attempt altogether impracticable from the beginning? The general neither proposed it, nor planned it, nor approved it, nor answered for its success. Did the disappointment procced from want of expedition? He had no pilots, guides, nor intelligence, afforded him ; and could not possibly provide himself in any of these advantages, so necessary to all military operations. Were the engineers blamable? This las always been considered as a branch of military knowledge, distinct from that of a commander, and which is altogether intrusted to those to whose profession it peculiarly belongs. By his vigour in combating the vain terrors spread amongst the troops, and by his prudence in timely desisting from a frnitless enterprise, the misfortume was confincel merely to a disappointment, without any loss or any dishonour to the British arms. Commanders, from

[^209]the situation of affars, haw han opportmities of acyumity more honome; yot thare is mo one whene combuct, in avely arcomstance, could be more free from reproach, ()n the first of Octoter, the fleet saled out of (Quimperlay liond. from one of the most dangerous situations that so large a floet had wer lain in, at so later a season, and in so stomy at sea ats the Bay of Biseay. The reflection on this danger had been no inconsiderable canse of hasteming the re-embarkation of the troops. And the more so, that the sereretary had given experss orders to the admiral not to bring the thect into any hazard. The prodence of the hasty departure appeared the more visibly the very day the the sailed, when a violent storm arising from the sonth west, it was conelnded, that if the ships had been lying at anchor on the enast, many of them must have necessarily been driven ashore, and wrecked on the rocks that surrounded them. The fleet was disperserl, and six transports being separated from the rest, went immediately for England, carrying with them about (ight hundred of the forces. The rest put into Quiberom bay, and the general landed his small body on the peninsula of that name. By erecting a battery of some guns on the marrow neck of land, which joins the peninsula to the comtinent, he rendered his situation ahmest impregnable, while lee saw the fleet riding secure in his neighbourhood, in one of the finest bays in the work.

The industry and spirit of the general stipported both himself and the army against all these disadvantages, while there was the smallest prospect of success. But his prodonce determined him to abandon it, when it appeared altogether desperate.

The engineers, secing no manner of effect from their shells and red-hot balls, and sensible that 'twas impossible wither to make a breach from a battery, erected at so great a distance, or to place the battery nearer, mader such a superiority of French camom, at last unamimonsly brought a report to the gencral, that they had no longer any hope of snecess; and that aven all the ammumition, which, with infinite latomr, had been brought, was expended: no prospect remained of being farther supplied, on aceome of the broken roats, which lay between them and the fleet. The council of war held in consequence of this refort, balaned the rasons for continuing or abmoning the enterprise, if men
can be said to balance where they find nothing on the one side but an extreme desire to serve their king and country, and on the other every maxim of war and prudence. They unanimously agreed to abandon the attempt, and return on board the transports. The whole troops were accordingly re-embarked by the 28 th of September, with the loss of near twenty men killed and wounded, on the whole enterprise.

## SCOTTICISMS. ${ }^{1}$

Will, in the first person, as $I$ will walk, we will walk, expresses the intention or resolntion of the person, along with the future event: [n the second and third preson, as, yon will, he will, they will, it expresses the future action or event, withont comprehenting or exeluding the volition.

Shall, in the tirst person, whether singular or plural, expresses the future action or event, withont excluding or comprehending the intention or resolution: But in the second or third person, it marks a necessity, and commonly a necessity proceeding from the persom who speaks; as, he shall walk, you shall repent it.

These variations seem to have proceeded from a politeness in the linglish, who, in speaking to others, or of others, mate use of the term will, which implies volition, even where the event may be the subjeret of necessity and constraint. And in speaking of themselves, made use of the term shall, which implies constraint, even thongh the event may be the object of choice.

Wou'd and shou'd are conjunctive moods, subject to the same rule; only, we may observe, that in a sentence, where there is a condition exprest, and a consequence of that condition, the former always requires shmet, and the latter wou'd, in the second and third persons ; as, if he shou'd fall, he wou'd break his leg, et:.

These is the plumal of this ; thase of that. The former,

[^210][^211]therefore, expresses what is near: the latter what is nore remote. As, in these lines of the Duke of Buckingham,
"Philosophers and pocts vainly strove, In every age, the lumpish mass to move. But those were pedants if compared with tuese Who knew not ouly to instruct, but please."

Where a relative is to follow, and the subject has not bren mentioned immediately before, those is always required. Those observations which he made. Those kingdoms which Alexunder conquered.

In the verbs, which end in $t$, or te, we frequently omit ect in the preterperfect and in the participle; as, he operate, it was cultivate. Milton says, in thought more elevate: but he is the only author who uses that expression.

Notice should not be used as a verb. The proper phrase is take notice. Yet I find Lord Shaftesbury uses notic'd, the participle: And umotic'd is very common.

Hinder to do, is Scotch. The English phrase is, hindei from doing. Yet Milton says, Hindered not S'atan to pertert the mind. Book IX.

SCOTCH.
Conform to
Friends and acquaintances
Maltreat
Advert to
Proven, improven, approver
Pled
Incarcerate
Tear to pieces
Drunk, run
Fresh weather
Tender
In the long rus
Notwithstanding of that
Contented himself to do
'Tis a question if
Discretion
With child to a man
Out of hand
Simply impossible
A park
In time coming

ENGLISII.
Conformable to
Friends and acpuaintance
Abuse
Attend to
Prov'd, improv'd, approv'd
Pleaded
Imprisnn
Tear in pieces
Drank, ran
Open weather $^{\text {a }}$
Sickly
At long run
Notwithstanding that
Contentell himself with doing
'Tis a question whether
Civility
W:tlo child hy a man
Presently
Alisolutely impossible
An enelosure
In time to come

SCOTCH．
Nothing else
Mind it
I）enuded
Soverals
Some better
Anent
Allenarly
Alonsst．Yet the Emplish suy botl amil，amilst，amontr，am！ amongest
Evenly
As I shall answer
C＇anse him do it．Y＇t＇t is grood English to suy，make him do it
Marry upon
Idarn
＇There，where
Eiffectnate．This word in Einglish moths to effect with pains and difliculty
A wright．Itt＇t is good Eieylis／t to saly a wheedwright
I）franct
Divite
Part with child
Notour
To want it

To be difficulted
Rebuted
For ordinary
Think shame
In favours of
Dubicty
Prejudre
Compete
Ieritable
To remeed
Bankier
Adduce a proof
Superplus
Forfaulture
In no event
Common soldiers
Big with a man

FNGLISH．
No wither thing
Remomber it
1）ivested
sevel：al
something better
With regard to
suluy

Along
Eいとい
I bir test or ileclare

Canse liim to do it
Marry to
＇T＇each
＇Thither，whither

Effect

A Carpenter
1）（ceast
Aroid
Miscarry
Nutorions
To be witlout a thing，cven thomerl it be not desirable
To be puzzled
Jiscommared hy retulses
L－ually
Asham＇d
In fironr of
Doubtfuiness
IInrt
Enter into comperition
Itereditary
＇To remedy
Bankur
Produce a proof
Surplus
Forfeiture
In no case
Private men
Great with a man

SCOTCH.
Byrone
Debitor
Exeemed
Ye:sternight
Big coat
A chimney
Annualrent
Tenible argument
Amissing
'To condescend upon
To discharge
To extinguish an obligation
'Io depone
A compliment
To inquire at a man
To be angry at a man
To send an errand
To furnish goods wo him
To open up
Thucydide, Merodot, Sueton
Butter and bread
Pepper and vinegar
Paper, fen, and ink
Readily
On a sudden
As ever l saw
Fur my share
Miscrive
Rather chuse to buy as sell

1) educe

Look't nver the window
A pretty enowrh crirl
"Tis a week since he left this
Come in to the fire
'To take off a new coat
Alwise
Cut ont his har
Pry him
'To crave
To get a stomach
Vacance

ENGLISH.
Past
Dehtor
Exempted
Last night
Great coat
A grate
Interest
Good argument
Missingr
T'o specify
To forthid
'To cancel an obligation
'To depose
A present
To inquire of a man
To be angry with a man
'To send off an errand
To furnish him with goods
To open, or lay open
Thucydides, Herorlotus, Suctonius
Bread and butter
Vinegar and yepper
Pen, ink, and faper
Probably
Of a suxden
As 1 ever saw
For my part
Fail
Rather chase to buy than sell
1 ectuct
Look't out at the window
A pretty girl enomor
'Tis a week since lie left this phace
Come near the fire
'To make up a new suit
Always
Cut off his hair
(all him
To dum, to ask payment
'Io get an appetite
Vacation
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## AB8

Ahstract Sciences.-Seo Sciences, Mathematics, Metaphysics
Achoeus, death of, 283
Actions, human, discussion of, 80, f.; outlines of, $210, \mathrm{f}$; their ultimate ends unaccountable, 264.-See Morals
Addison, 5, 145
Aschines, 205
Aschines Socraticus, 286
Agrarian law's in Rome and Greece, 188
Alcihiadcs, why embraced by Timon, 213
Allegiance, based on good of society, 197
Alexander, the impostor, 97, f.
Alexander the Great, 23:
Alexander I'I., character of, by Guicciardin, 286
Anacreon, 299
Analysis of the passions, 139. f.
Anaxayoras, the first theist among philosophers, $32 t$
Ancients, their ideas of morils compared with modern, 289. f. ; their cosmogonies, $322, \mathrm{f}$.
Animals, the reason of, $85, \mathrm{f}$.
Anson, Admiral, $4+7$
Antoninus, Mareus, 350
Antomy, bis character by Ciesar, 232
Appian, 203
Aristophanes, 321
Aristotle, 5, 20, 241, 28.5
Arnobius. 327
Arrian, 338, 340
Atheists, ancient philosophieal. 324
Atheniars, their triumphs in arts of wat and peace, 238
Athens, marriage laws in, 199, 29?
Atticus, 267
Augustine, St., 346
YOL. IV.

CAC
Augustus, Emperor, tainted with super. stition, 347 ; his lenity, 403
Avarice, Essay on, 392, f. ; a fable, 39\&

Bacon, Iord, 207 ; his reasoning upon miracles, 107
Bayle, 127, 193, 340
Beauty, in animals, $226, \mathrm{f} . ;$ moral and natural, 263, f.
Belief, nature of, 41, f., 49 ; evidence of, 88 , f.
Bellarmine. Cardinal, $3 \pm 0$
Bent ctolence, morally considered, 174, f.; marks of, 178; no one quite dero $1 /$ of, 212 ; softening influence of, 236 ; distinctions of. $268, \mathrm{f}$.
Berkeley, Dr., 127
Boccaccin. 22
Boileau, 233
Bomilcar, an example of superstition, 360
Boulainvilliers, 331
Brasidas, 340
Brittany, English descent on coast of, described by Hume, 443, f.
Brumoy, Pire, Théatre des Grees, 321
Burton, Mr., his Life of flume quoted, 415, 443

Cosar, J., his chartwter of Anteny, 23\%; quoted, 321. 328
Catiline, his superstitious terrors, 30,
Causation, principle of connertion of ideas, $18,23,43,45,49$; volition or the Supreme Reing, 58 ; absence of connection in, 61, f.; our idea of. $\mathrm{Bi}_{\mathrm{T}}$.

CAU
in human actions, 70 , f.; property, a species of, 151
Cause and Effect, reasoning on, 24 ; discoverable by experience only, 25 , f., 36 ; ultimate cause undiscoverable, 27,62 ; maxim as to, 119; definition of cause, $63,64,78$; idea of relation of, 64
Eharles XII., influence of his bravery, 238
Chastity, laws of, 198; its virtue consists in its utility, $i b$.; marriage laws in Greece and Kome, 199 ; reason of the blame attaching to failure in, 222
Chatillon, evidence of the Due de, as to a miracle, 103
Cheerfulness, great nuerit of, 231 ; excessive, 237
Christiun Religion, founded on faith. not reason, 107; mirales of the, 108
Cícero, 5, 45, 175, 177, 184, 224, 242, $284,285,300,345,347,350,301$, 352, 407
Condé, Prince of, 232
Congreve, 22
Connection, ilea of necessary, 50, 52
Contiguity, principle of connection of ideas, $18,23,43,46$
Contrariety, a connection among ideas, 18, 22
Crirdeliers, the, 331
Cosmogony, of the ancients, 322
Courage, merit of, 234. f.
Crime, definition of, 260
C'ritical Review, an unpublished letter of Hume's to, 425, f.,
Custom, effect of, 37 ; the guide of life, 39 ; link of ideas, 46,49

Drcency, agreeableness ori. 243
Drity, vulgar notions of, 329 . f.; 352 . f.; Magian and Mahometan conceptions of, 333. -See God. Polythersm
De la Motte, his fable on a a varice, 394
Demosthenes, 205, 234, 301
De Retz, Cardinal, story related liv, 100
Des Cartes, 61 ; scepticism of, 123
Dicaearchus, erects one altar to Impiety: and another to Injustice. 223
Diodorus. Siculus, 177, 227, 319, 321, 323, 345
Diogenes, compared with Pascal, 801; eited, 372
Discretion, quality of, 220
Invine Nature, inpious motions of, 352, f. - See Drity

Irama.-See Poetry
Lryden, 346

## H6N

Efrect, principle of connection of ideas, 18.--See Cause and effect

Emotions.-See Passions
Energy, idea of in Metaphysics, 51
Fpamonidas, charaeter of, 227
Epictetus, 35, 236, 285, 350, 372
Epicurus, 110, 111, f., 267, 324
Equality, political, impracticable, 188
Essays, Hume's: on Essay Writing, 367, f.; on Moral Prejudices, 371, f., on a Middle Station in Life, 375, f.; on Impudence and Modesty, 380, f.; Love and Marriage, 383, f.; the study of History. 388, f. ; Avarice, 392, f.; on Sir Ki. Walpole, 390, f. ; Immortality of the Soul, 399, f.; Suicide, 406, f.; Anthenticity of Ossian's Poems 415 , f .
Fuclid, 263
Euripides, 233. 285, 318
Experience, basis of reasoning on matter of fate 28 ; teaching of, 30,34 ; arguments from, founded on similarity, 31 ; inference from. 32 , f.; effect of custom, 38 ; not distinet from reason, $i b$.; value of, 69 ; not always infallible, 89 , f.

Fanaties, religions, 187
Fontentlle, 269, 321
Force, idea of, in Metaphysics, 51 ; physieal, 227
Frugality, quality of, 221; extremes of, ib.
Future State, 109, f.; hypothetical apology of Epicurus, 111, f.; arguments on, 118. f.-Sce Limmortality

Geometry. use of in Philusophy, 28; ideas of, 128, f.
Getes, monks mentionod lys Subo, 810 ; theism of, 33 :
God, operation of in nature, 53. f.; ultimate cause of all our volitions 81 ; attributes of. 119 , f.; universa! belief in, 320 .-Sice Deity.
Gods, the, not regaried by the ancient.s as creators, $320, \mathrm{f}$.; lependent on the powers of Nature, 324 ; allegories of, 325 ; alpotheosis. 327.-See Foly. theism
Golden Age, poetical fiction of, 181
Goond and Fivil.-Nee Passions
Grotills. 184, 275
Fintciardin, 160,210,286

Henmital. charaterer of, by Jixy 285
Henri IV., amomers of, $2: 3$

HEN
Wenrivde, 20
Herorluen, 327
Ifentotus, 2:35, 321, 322, 331, 337. $3+2,3 \% 1,35 \%$
Hraiod, 315, 32.2, 326
Histor?/, comuluman of with epmpurtry, 19, t.; stuty of, 3s8, l': Machiavol as atl historian, 391
${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$, 1 hlies, 181,267
Homer, 29, 23: 326
Horetre 142, 208, 250, 267, 2s6, 209, 3! 1,8 8
Ifumun Suture, uns:arying prineiples of, 68
Ifuman heasm, division of oljects of. 20 ; its utmost efliort, 27
Wume, 1)., dedication of his Four Dissertations to the anthor of ' Douglas,' 439, f. ; his essilys, 367 . f. Sice Exsays : his account of British tescent upon coast of Brittany, 443, f.
Home, Mr., M.I' for southwark, 446
Mutchfsom, Mr., taught that morality is only relative, 10
Hyde, de ledig. Veterum l'ussarum, 333, 338

Idras, origin of, 13 ; dependent on sensation, 15 ; of colours, 16 ; all are faint, $i b_{0}$; immate, 17 ; loose meaning of in Locke, ib.; association of, 17 . 144; principles connecting, 18,43 ; effects of their comnection on the passions and imagination, 19,145 , f., 199 ; relations of, 20,161 ; copies of impressions, 51. 64
Idolatry. sce Polytheism.
Imaginution, its freedom, $40, \mathrm{f}$; its distinction from belief, 41 , f.: painful or plasant stimulants of, 209, f. ; effect of physical arcomplishments on, 227 ; of high position and wealth, 228: influence of cheerfulness on, 231.See Ideas
Immortality of the Soul, natural reasons against, 400 ; moral arguments, 400 , f. ; physical reasons, 403 .-See Future state.
Impressions, all vivil. 17.-See Ideas
lupudenee and Modesty, 880, f.; allegory on, 38:
Industry, quality of, 2-I
Inference, foundation of. 32, f. ; effect of custom, 38
Instinct, in animals. ss
Intrrational leu', cabes justifying rivlation of, 198
Ipheratrs, the A hemian, 242
Prish Ether, 23: Seo Morals
$311: N$
Jucothins, th1", 331
Junsmist Mirulls, 101, f. ; attombts ly Molinists to disermlit, 10 g
. Frsuts, sulthefies at the, 193
Sustice, fommed on utility, 179, f.; lans of, 1s7, f.; whimsicalitios of, 191; considerations on, 194, 272, f.; its ral value, $19+6$; international, 197 ; a rule having exeeptions, 2or, f. ; (onventional, 274 ; origin of, 275 , f.
Jurnal, 175, 35:

## Lal liruyire, 5

ductiemoniuns, carly idevotions of thes, 329
Let fontaine, 22, 302
Latocron, statue of, 314
Len's, subordinate to publie safety, 190; designed for growl of society, 191 ; of justice and pnoptry y, 1!3, f.; international, 198; of sextal intercourse, 200, f. ; of war, 201
Lecompte, Pire, 3:1
Lemellers, the, 188
Liberty and Necrssity, 65; controrers; on, 66, f. ; belici in lilerty aceounterd for, 77 ; definition, 78
Listuck, Admiral, 150
Literature, plam necessary in compositions, 19: union proposed betwern men of learning and conversation, 367 , f. ; women best judges of, 369.-Seo Esisays
Liv?, 28.5, 350, 357
Linke, 5. 17, 17, 53, 61, 265
Lemginus, 232, 321
L'Orunt, British attack on Port, 452
Louis XM'. 345
Love and Narrituf, 383, f.; origin of, 385 , f. ; allegory, 386. f.

Lucretius. 352, 391
L.u.tury, different opinions of, 178

Li/nias, 301

Machiavel, 221, 340, 391
Macrobius, 336
Malebranche. 5, 61, 191
Wank heans, the two principles of the, 213
Wathe matics based on a supposition, 28: their adrantage over momal s.inte, 50 ; allsurdities of. 128 . 1 .
Matter, vis inertia of. 60
Mutters of fiut, 22, f.; reasoninior on, 21, f. $8 \overline{5} .28$; nature of belief in. 40; incapable of demonst ration. 134
Montry, quality of, 22t
Wental scimet, provime of 9...Sto .1 (ural.

## MET

Metaphysics, 8 ; compared with mathematics, 58 ; absurdities of, $128, \mathrm{f}$.
Milton, 22, 311
Mind, its operation involved in obscurity, 9 ; its faculties, 10 ; its passions divided into two classes, 11their scope, $i \%$; difference of its perceptions, 13 ; power of, 54, f.; its relation to the passions, 140 ; properties of, 144; strength of, 222; greatness of, 232.-See Morals
Miraeles, evidence of, 88, f., 94, f., 102 , f. ; definitions of, 93 ; none in all history, 94, f.; natural tendency to believe in, 95 , and to invent, 96 ; the product of barbarism, 97 ; reasons against, 99 ; hypothetical, 106 ; said to have been wrought by Vespasian, 99, f.; door-keeper of Saragossa, 101 ; the Abbe Paris, 101, f.; Bacon's reasoning upon, 107 ; scriptural, 108
Molinists, try to discredit the Jansenist miracles, 102
Monks, their virtnes rejecterl by men of sense, 246 ; an order of Pagan, 319
Montaigne, 242
Montesquieu, 190
Montgernon, M., 101
Morality, relation of self-love to, 207
Morals, science of, compared with mathematics, 50 , f.; ideas of, 51 ; theories of. in reference to the passions, 139166 ; general principles of, 169,f.; distinctions in, 170 , f.; object of speculations in, 171 ; method of analysis, 173; qualities determining, 176, f.; rules of, 200, f.; sceptical view of, 203 ; origin of, 204, 207 ; qualities useful to ourselpes, 217 , f.; their connection with physical endowments, 226 ,f.; qualities agreeable to ourselves, 231 , f.; agreeable to others, 239 ; monkish virtues, 246 ; Sarage and civilized, 250 , f. ; tho soeial virtues, 253 , f.; general principles of, 258 , f.; definition of virtue, 261 ; mental and physical beauty, 263, f.; selfish system of, 266 ; verbal disputes about, 278 , f.; virtuous and vicious, 282 , f. ; dialogue on, 289, f.; French, 297, f., 301, different opinions and customs, 300 ; modesty of Greek. 301 ; English and Roman, 302 ; bad influence of popular religion on, 357 , f.; a philosophic reformer of, 373

## Natural History of Religion, 300 f .

Niture, her secret powers, 29, 32, 37
Necessity, our irlea of, 67 ; in human conduct, 71 ; definitions of, 73, -See Liberty and Nccessity

PLU
Newcastle, Duke of, 445 f,
Newton, 60, 196
Noailles, Cardinal, 102
Numitianus, Claudius Rutilius, 347

Orange, Maurice, Prince of, 242
Ossian's Porms, Hume on anthenticity of, 415 f. ; a tiresome performanco, $i b$. ; arguments against their genuineness, 416 f .
Ovid, 19, 321, 322, 357

## Paganism,-See Theism, Polytheism

Panctius, the Stoic, 350
Paris, CAbbé, alleged miracles of, 101 f .
Pascal, comrared with Diogenes, 304
Passions, theories of the, 139 f .; good and evil, $i b$. ; mixed, 144 f. ; assuciation of ideas in producing, 14.5 f. ; pride and humility, 146 f ; human sympathy, 208 f .; influence of maxims on, 155 ; ealming or exciting causes, 162 f., 209 f .; effect of power and riches upon, 230 ; vulgar, 248 f ; humane, 249 ; their influence in life, 251 ; none disinterested, 266
Pastoral Poctry, canse of the pleasure it gives, $2(9$
F'rceptions, difference of, 13; two classes of, 13, 14; reasoning on, 124 f .
Pricles, 175
Persecution, religious, 336 f . among Egyptians, 337 ; among the Persians, 338
Personal Merit,--See Morals, Passions, Qualities
Petronius, 299
Philip of Macedon, described ly Demosthenes, 234
Philosophtrs, two species of, 1, 2; encouragement of in Greece and Rome, 109
Philosophy, different kinds of, 1 f ; study of, 7 ; aceurate and just rear soning, 9 ; abstract speculations, 11 , 12; how to test its terms, 17 ; unable to reenncile human actions with God, 84 ; birth of, 109 ; jealousy of, 109 f. ; the Academic or Sceptical. 35,122 f., 132 ; selfish system of, 266 f .; difference between modern and uncient m+thods, 287
Physical endowments, their influence on the moral sentiments, 226 f .
Piato, 184, 198, 221, 286, 351, 385
Pliny, 314, 315, 324, 405, 414
Plutarch 92, 175, 198, 233, 285, 298 310
P)E

Pootry, epic, 2c; dramatic, 21; fastural, 209; clarm and merit of, $2: 38$
Politeness, rules of, 23!
I'dulius, 204, 223, 286
Pofythetsm, the primary religion of man, 310 f ; origin ot. 313 f ., 334 ; distinctive fowers of the grole, 315; deification of emuses, 317 ; mosliaral, 320 ; yroses ideas of 1)eity, 321; taking revenge on the gods, 322 ; various forms of, 325 t.; geueral principles of, 326 f . ; orjginates Theism, 328 f . ; tutelar dejties, 336; inconvemience of, it.; tolerame of, 338 ; pacan heroes amd Christian saints, 339 ; Brasidas and Bellarmine, 340
Pompry, his blunders, 284; his religious derotion, 347
Pope, 394
Pouer, idea of, in Metaphysics, il f.; of the will, 53 ; Ireke on, ib.; mental. 54 f . : idea of relative, 64
Proiudices, moral, 371 f .
I'ride and humility, passions of, 146 f .; meanings of pride, 281, - See Petssions
Prior, M., 160
I'robutility, 47 f.
Froperty, laws regulating, 189 f. ; not transteralle hy the will alone, 193 ; methorls of acquisition, 194 ; origin of, 27.5 f .
Prophecion, See Mirades
Provence, parliament of love in, 201
Providence, of a particular. 109 f .; supposed a malngy of Epieurus, 111 í, ; arguments, 118 f
Puffendorf, 184
Pyrrhonism, 130 f .

Qualities, of objects. 126 f ; useful to ourselves, $217 \mathrm{f} . ;$ physical, 226 f ; agreeable to ourselves, 231 f ; negative. 234 ; companionable, 239 f ; personal merit. 245 \&. ; verlal disputes concerning, 278 f ; virtuous and vicious, 282 f .
Quintilian, 211
Quintus C'urtins, 69, 322

Rarine, account of a miracle giren $b s$, 103
Romasay, Cheralier, on freethinking. 355 ; his Origenism, 3.36
Real Presence, Tillotson's argument against. 88
Feasme, demonstrative and moral reaoung, 31 , 17 ; inference, 38 ; linbs
sCR
in, 39 ; of animals, 85 f. ; procerents in part from cubtom, 87 ; differemen in men and animals, it, ; concerning miracles, 88 f. ; arises in jart from nature, ib.; olyjection akainst abo stract reasoning, 128 ; a colm passion, 1 ;il i.
heason and experieace, distinction of, erruneons, 38
Rellson and tuste, 265)
hiynard, Voyage de Laponie, 321
lickigun, cerrmonies of the Roman Catholic, 44 ; Nitural Mintory of, 309 f. ; nations whthout, it, ; polytheiom primary religion, 310 ; influence of affliction in, 319; origin of, 334; alternations of Theinm and Polytheism, 335 f ; in referuce to persecution and twheration, 336 f .; reason or absurlity of 340 ; douki or conviction, 342; varietics of doctrine, 343: resemblanee of the Jewish and Egyptian, 34t; traditional and seriptural, 342, 352 belief more affectent than real, 348 character of the Pagan, 349 f ; influenee of popular on morality, 8.57 ; rise and gruwth of, 361 , , ie Polythrism, Theism, Roman Catholics
Resmblance, principle of connection of ileas, $18,23,43$
Rurhefoucault, 281
L'oman Catholics, religious doetrines of, 343
Iomans, their appreciation of courage, 234

Sacrifices, human, 338 ; idea of sacrifice, $i l$.
Saints, Roman Catholie, compared with Pagan heroes, 339 f .
Sallust, 227, 352
Sannazarius, 210
Scopticism, philosophy of. 35 f.; 122; of Des Cartes, 123: thifes employed by, 124 f.; sceptics try it deatrey reason by argumemt, li27; sceptio"s objections to moral erilunce, 130; no good resulting from, 131 ; olatertions fatal 10 , it.; a atemical or miticated, 132 f: arcertinn as tu origin of religious worship. 177 ; cimring Ciceronian age, 3:7.-Les Thilosophly
Schoulmen, their amlignity and ris cumboutinn. 37
Sormes, ai-tract. whects of 130 f ; other semenese. 13.
SCotticistes. list of, 4 h1 f.
seripures. miracles related 108

Scythians, martial custom of, 235 ; the men blinded by the women, 384
Self-Love, its relation to Morals, 207 ; 266 f. ; vulgar passions included in, 248
Self-Satisfaction, quality of, 223
Seneca, 302, 322, 410
Sense, perceptions of, 124 f .
Shakespeare, 232
Shaftesbury, Lord. 170
Sign, a, what it is, 64
Social Virtues, their utility their chief merit, 203 f .; different feelings excited by, 214 ; pretensions to, 225 their merit beyond dispute, $2 b$.
Society, of political, 197 ; rules of justice in, $i b$.
Sacrates, 236, 242, 351
Solon, 250
Soul, its union with the borly, 5.4 ; essay on immortality of, 399 f .-See Immortality
Sparta, equality in, 188
Spartian, 347
Spencer, 235
Statilius, maxim, 3721
Station in Lif!, advantages of the middle, 375
St. Clair, Lieut.-Gen., his expedition against Brittany described by Hume, 443 ; his interview with the King, 444 ; his failure, 458
St. Evremond, 220, 232
Strabo, 319
Suetonites, 100, 203, 211, 322, 338. 345, 347, 403
Suevi, their dress designed to terrify their enemies, 235
Suicide, essay on, 406 f ; arguments for and against. 407 f . ; not prohibited by Scripture, 414.-Sce Essays
Superstition, vagaries of. 191, 344 f ., 357 ; growth of, 318 ; women the leaders of, 319 ; mediaral, 320; Egyptian, 345; of the stoics, 350 ; instances of Xenophon's. 351 ; causes of. 3 59 9 ; ignorance the mother of devotion, 363
Sympathy, degress of, 214 f. ; no one without, 219

Tacitus, $99,100,211,233,328,315$. 350, 410
Talents.-Sie (Valities, Morals
Terence, 2\%
'Terentia Cicero's wife. 317

XEN
Theism, origin of, 328 f ; advantages and disadrantiges of, 336 ; intoler. ance of, 337 ,--sie Polytheism
Theists, anciont philosophical, 324
Thnughts, confined within narrow limits, 14; analysis of, 15.-See Ideas
Thucydides, 210, 235, 340
Tillotson, his argument against the Real Presence, 88
Timon, why he embraced Alcibiades, 213
Timotheus, 354
Toliration, religious, 336 f .; of idolators, 337 ; English and Wutch, 338
Tranquillity, philosophical, 235 f .
Turenne, Marshal, 220
Tyrannicide, extolled in ancient times, 178

Ultimate Cause.-See Cause and Effert
U'ulerstanding, doubts as to the operiation of, 20 ; its ignorance and weakness, 63
Urity of action in literary compositions, 20, 21
Universe, system of, 82; geometrical and metaphysical absurdities, 128
Utility, why it ploases, 202 f . ; in animate and inanimate objects, $i b$.

Fanity, quality of, 241 f.
Farro, 346, 405
Ferrius Flacous, 336
$I^{r}$ espasian, said to have wrought miracles, 99 f .
I'ice, compared with Virtue, 256
V'irgil, 163, 227
lirtue, character and ntility of, 253 282
r'itellius, humiliation of, 233
Volition, influence of, 5.1 f .

Wulpole. Sir IR., character of, 395 f. Withix's Epigoniad, unpublished letter of Ilume to ' Critical Review,' $42 i$ if:
Will, influence of, 54 f . ; does not by itself transfer property, 193; Roman Catholic doctrine as to, il.
Wonlaston, Mr., 184

Fomophem, 203, 227, 351; his supur. st:10n, 3.) 1
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Kditions E and F add the note: This is not iutended any way to detract from the Merit of Mr. Locke who was
    and modest Keasoner. 'Tis noly meant (1) Show the common Frate of such ab--1ract Philamhy?

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$［Filitions E and F appent］the mote： That Faculty，by wheh we diseren Truth and Falshorid，and that hy which we percetve Vice and Virtae had long bewn eonfounded with ach other．amd afll Momaty was suphesil to he buitt on atermal and immatable Relations． which，to every intelligent Mind．Wert equally imsarmble as any Propesition converainer Quantit or Nmoner．But a＇late Plobusopher has taught us，Jey the most convimeline Aremmento that Morality is nothing in the abatrate

[^2]:    Nature of Things，but is entirty rela－ tire to the Semtiment or mental Tasto of each pratioular being ；in the same Manner as the Distinctions of swert atud litter，hot and eold，arise from the particutar forliner of each sense or orean． Moral I＇repphinns therefore whet not to le chasid with the ©（herations of the Inderstan！ing．lout with the Tastus or suntiments．

    It had lecen usual with Phibuchphers （t）divide all the P＇assions of the Wind into two Classes，the seffish and weno．

[^3]:    the latter comld nerer possilly exist; that the Case is previsely the same with the Passons, domominated henewolent, and consequently that a Man is no more interested when he seeks his own (ilory tlan when the Haplenes of his Frituld is the Otjeet of his Wishes: nor is he any more di-interented when He sumeritiow his Vase and Quiet to publie (imon than whern la latour- for the Gmatification of Avarice or Ambition. Irere therefore is a consilerable A! justment in thr Bumblaries of the Passions. whidh had beco eontounded ly the Newligenee or Inaccuraey of former Philorophors. There two Iustamers maty suffice to shase as the Siture am! Importance of this Squedes of Philomphy.]

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ [See $E$ 'tirrs Formons.]

[^5]:    1 Resemblance.
    2 Contiguity.
    ${ }^{3}$ Canse and Viffert.
    4 For instance, Contrast or Contrariety is also a combexion atmong lileas: But it maty, perhaps. le eonsiblered as a

[^6]:    
    
    

[^7]:    'The word. Power, is here used in a home and pepular swee The more atcurate explatation of it worlat
    arive additional widenat o. this arrament. Suesere 7 . [This mite was aded i: $\mathrm{E}!\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{F}]$

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Moral or probalh: Etition- E and E.]

[^9]:    

[^10]:    1 'Naturants nobis. inguit, datum dicam, an errere quodam. ut, cum eil lonea veleamos. in quibus memoria dirnos viros atederimus maltum esse verstos. mari- buveamur. quam siquando eormm prorim alut fiteta andiamus ant sertptan abiquod legamms? Velut ego mane mosers. Venit enim mihi PlatoNis in mentem, quem aceepimus primum hite di-putare solidum: ("ujus ettiam illi horfuli propingui non memoriam sulum mihi afferunt. sed ipsum ridentur in

[^11]:    1 Mr. Lacke divides all arguments into demonstrative amd proballe. In this riew, we must saty, that it is only probable all men must die, or that the sun will rise to-morrow. But t口 comform our languare more to esmmon ure.

[^12]:    

[^13]:    1seution IJ. Withe Oripinin of Ideas.

[^14]:    - Mr. Lacke, in his chapter of power sars. that, fimbing from eaperitnce. that there are several new prexductions in matter, and conchoting that there must somewhere he a puwer capable of problucing them, we arrive at latot ly this reatoning at the idea of power. But nu reasoning ath ever irite un a ntw. original simple ideat is the phime

[^15]:    smher himetf confesses. This therefore, can mever be the origin of hat ilka. ${ }^{2}$ [Elitions E: and E ald: Huwerep this may be the Operations and murwal Influtnce of Boatios arre perhaps, sufficient to prove, that they aloo are posstwist of it.]
    ${ }^{3}$ fof the Nind: EDJitions $E, t, Q .7$

    - Wilitions Eand l'rest. We - lais

[^16]:    proceed to examine this Pretension, and shall endeavour to avoid, as far as we are able, all Jargon and Confusion, in treating of surb subtile and such pro.
    fround Suliject.
    I assert, then, 'in the first Place, that the Influente of Volition wrur the Organs of the Boxy, is a Fact, \&e.]

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ It may be pretendet, that the resistance which we neet with in hodies, obliging us frequently toexert our force. and call up all our gower, this gives ves the idea of force and power. It is this nisus or strong endeavour, of which we are conscious, that is the original impression from which this jusa is mpied. But, first, we attribute puwer to a vat number of objects, where we never can *uppose this resistance or exertion of force to take place; to the Supreme Beting. who never meets with any re--istance ; to the mind in its command overe it indore and limbe. in comment thinking and motion. where the efficet

[^18]:     ference: Cice de Nat. Derum. 」

[^19]:    1 Section XII.
    ${ }^{2}$ I need not examine at length the ris inertice which is so much talked of in the new philosephy, and which is aseribed to matter. We find by experience, that a body at rest or in motion eontinues for erer in its present state, till put from it hy some mew cause : And that a booly impotled takes as mush motion from the impelling boly at it

[^20]:    and sole effeacy of the Deity. withont insinting on it. Mabebrivems amb oflo+ (carteshass mate it the fommation of all their philomply. It hat. however, no authority in Exilayb, Lucke, Clarke, and (tidworth, neter so much as take notice of it hut suppreet all along. that matter has at real, though sulurdinate and derived power. By what means has it heome on pre-
     लials:

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to these explications and definitions, the idea of power is relative as much as that of couse; and hoth have 2 reference to an effect. or some other event constantly conjoined with the former. When we consider the unkrmon circumstance of an olject, ly which the degree or quantity of its effect is fixerl and determined, we call that its power : And aceordingly, it is allowed by all philosophers, that the effect is the mearsure of the power. Jont if they hat any idea of power, as it is in itself. why eorld not they measure it in itself? The dispnate whether the fores of a bonly in motion heas its velocity, or the sedura of its velocity; this dropute, I sati, neaded mot be thecidet by romparinis its effects in equal or mequal times: but hy a direct mensuration amb comparistin.

    As to the friquent use of the worls, Foree, Power, lintryy, \&w, which wery where oceur in eommon eonversation, as well as in philosofhy ; that is moprof, that we are acquatintent. in any instance. with the conneeting principlo letween caluse and efferct. or cam ardomit ultimately for the proluction of no thing by another. 'These worls. as eommonly used, have bery lowse mominge an-

[^22]:    ' [The source of all the inferences, which we form concerning them.-Editions E to P.]

[^23]:    ' [This parman, whe whel in Edition I:]

[^24]:    1 The prevalence of the duetrine of liberty maty he accounted for, from another eamse, viz. a false sensation or seeming exprience which we have, or may lave, of liberty or indifierenee, in many of onr actions. The nevessity of any action, whether of matter or of mind, is not, properly speaking. a quality in the agent. but in any thinking or intelligent leeing. whe may eonsider the ation: and it consists chiefly in the determination of his thonghts to infer the existance of that action from some precedingoljeets; as liberty, when opposed to necessity, is nothing lint the want of that determination, and a certain loosenes or indifference, which we feel, in passing, or mot passing, from the idea of one object to that of any succeeding one. Now we nay obsirve, that. though, in reflecting on hmman attions, we seddom fecl such a lonsemess or indifference, lut are commonly able to infer them with considerable eertainty from their metives and from the dispositions of the agent; yet it frequently happens, that, in werforming the actions themselves we are semsible of somothing like it : And as all resemWing oljects are readily taken for each other. this has been employed as a

[^25]:    1 Thus, if a cause be definet, thut arhich protuces ate! thing; it is "asy io rhsotrve, that prodecein! is s.ymommons for cotrsimy. In like mimner. if : (:anss
     this is liatale to the same o! jeq ion. Fow whet in meibut ly elcese words, ly skiche:

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Morality and retigion: Elitions E to (!.]

[^27]:    1sine all reasonings concerning fatos or causes is derived merely frommastom, it maty be asked how it happens, that men so much surpaiss animats in rasoming, and bute man so mum surpasses amenher? Has not the same custom the same influente on all?

    Werthall here cmbatwor hriefly to explain the great differemen in human understandings: After which the reason of the difference leet ween men and animals will easity be comprehemberl.

    1. When we have lived anytime and have been aceustomed to the uniformity of nature, we atpuire a gemeral hathit, ly wheh we always transfer the known ti) the maknown, and eonceito the latter to) resemble the former. By means of this ameral hahitual prineiple. we regard even one experiment as the foundation of reasoning, ant expect asimilar wrent with some derrere of eertiminty. where the experiment has been made aceurately, and free from all foreion sircumstances. It is therefore eonsidered as a matter of (rreat importance to oh)serve the consequences of things ; and as one man maty very mueh surpass another in attention and memory and whervattion, this will make a very great difference in their reasoning.
    2. Where there is a compliention of causes to produce any effect, one mint may la moth lareer than another, and bettere abla to emmprehent the whole system of oljects, and to infer justly
    their eomsiguences.
    3. One man is able to earry on a chain of eonsequences to a greater length than another.
    4. Few men ean think long wihout running into at eonfusion of ithas, and mistaking ond for athother : and there are varions begrees of this intirmity.
    5. The circumatallet, on whish the offect depomls, is fempenty involral in other ciremmstamees, whide are formisn and extrinsie. The sepamion of it often requiles great ittontion, hecurater. and suldilty.
    6. The forming of oneral maxims foom partionlar ohseration is a very niow oprepation: and nothing is more u-bal, from haste or a marpownes of minti, which sees not on all siles than to commit mistakes in thin pete isular.
    7. Whan we reason foom amalogits. the man. who has the areatare experiture or the greater promptitude of suggresting amatugies, will le the letter reationer.
    8. Byases from prothlice manation, passim, party, \&e hathy more umm one mind than another.
    9. After we have acquired at emfldence in laman tertimony, lonols and conversation enlarive muth mone the spher of otwe man's experere and thourgt than thowe of ann ? her.

    It would be cat-y tor diamere many other eimemstamers that mak: at ditferenee in the unkeramulines nit men. ${ }^{1}$

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ [For the history of this Section see "History of the Editions," Vol iii. P. Sor. Ed.]

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ [In all prophane history: Eltions E amd F.]

[^30]:    '[Fditions E to K substitute: Lid not Men's Imagination naturally follow this Memory.]

[^31]:    ${ }^{3}$ ['lhis note first appoars in ther last proce of E:ition $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, with the preface: Whe distance of the Author from the

[^32]:    1 Sometimes an event may not. in itself. seem to be contrary to the laws of mature, and yet, if it were real. it misht, by reason of sume circumstamers. be denominated a miratele; becaluse, in fout, it is contrary to these laws. Thus if a ferson, claming a divine aththority, shoukl command a sick person to be well. a healthful man to fall down dearl, the clonds to pour rain, the wimls to blow, in short. should order many natumal events, which immediately folluw июки his command; these might justly he estepond miraches, becaluse haty are really, in this case, contrary to the laws

[^33]:    rogninite for that purpose, is as real a misacle, though not so sensible with regard to ms.
    ${ }^{1}$ [In any History: Editions Eand F.]

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This paragraph was printed as a note in Editions E to P.]

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Editions E to $\mathbf{P}$ append the following note: It may here, perhaps, be olfjected, that I proceed rethly, and form my notions of Alexaniler merely from the account given of him by Lucian, a professed enemy. It were, indeed, to be wished, that some of the accounts published by his followers and accomplices had remained. The opposition
    and contrast between the character and conduct of the same man, as drawn ly friend or cenemy, is as strong. cemen in common life, much more in these religious matters, as that hetwixt any two men in the world, betwixt Alexandere and St. Paul. for instance. See a letter to Gilisert West, Esq; on the conversion aud apostloship of St. Pavl.]

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. lib. v. cap. 8. Semtonits give nearly the same acerunt in rita Tesp. 7. The reforence to Suffonius was added in the Firrata to Eil. F.]
    $\because(20)$ Editions E to N!

[^37]:    ${ }^{8}$ [Editions E and F substitute: And when the Cardinal examin'd it, he found it to the a true matural Leg, like the nether. 1

[^38]:    1 This book was writ by Mons. Montexnos, connsellor or judge of the par-
    lamment of Paric a man of firure and charater, whowas alo a matyertothe

[^39]:    of heing intorm'l of. See his Life. Here Ed. F stops?

[^40]:    1 Luchet. iv. 594.-- |This reforene wat wded in Ed. F; and the mistramslation was inserted in the text in Ed. II.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Can fer possibly amount to: graphe are given os a note in Editions Editoms $E$ and $F$.]

    - Th: and the three followiner para-

[^42]:    

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This paragraph, which is not fond in Fotitions F and F , is aton put in the mote in Editions K to P . It is

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Of the Practical Consequences of Natural Relimon: Ed. E.]
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Luciani guroîzos. 3.
    ${ }^{4}$ Id. \& Ihic.

[^45]:    ' [On the Steps or Scate of Reason: Ed. E.] " [Scale: Et. E.]

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ In armeral, it may, I think, he estahlished as a maxim, that where any canse is known ouly hy its particular effero. it must lew impusilule to infer any mew effecto from that caluse; since the yualities, which are requisite to prodhee there new efferts ahome with the former, nust either be different, or

[^47]:    superior, or of more extensive gher tion, than those which simply frombem? the effict, whemee alone the eanace is supposed to be known to ns. We ean never, therefore have any raboon to suppose the existence of thene qualitime ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~T} 0$ sily, that the new efferts prowet only from at continuation of the same

[^48]:    I隹ition. and what there cannot possilly lef any traces of in the effents, from which all our knowhedere of the canse is originally derived. Leet the inforred (ausen be exactly propurtioned (as it Shmald be) to the knewn (ffect; and it is impossible that it can pussiss any qualities, from which new or different efficts cation inferred.

[^49]:    1 This argument is drawn from l)r. Brestram: : and indeet most of the writinge of that fery ingenions author form the best lessons of searticiom. which are to lo fombl either amoner the atobent or mohern philosophers, Baris: not excepted. We peofeseses. however. in his tithelage (and unctonbtedty with grent truth) to have composed his lomk againat the senpties as well ats agamet the atheish and fiee thinkers. Sut

[^50]:    that all his arguments, thoughotherwint intended, are, in peality, meraly aceptical, appeates from this. that the y int wit of mu ansuer ant protuce nu atomition? Their only effect is to canse that mosmentary iamazoment and irrealution and confusion, which is the result of s.epticism.
    ${ }^{2}$ [This sentence was adked in Ed R.]

[^51]:    mathematicians to be infinitely less thin any real part of extension; and yet mothing appears nore certain to reason, than that an infinite number of them eomposes an infinite extension. How much more an infinte number of those infinitely small phats of extension, which are still -unksed infinitely divisible?

[^52]:    ' Falitinns E and F insert: In general, we may promounct, that the Idas of greater. leses or equat, which are the chief Oljemets of Gemmetry, are far trom VOL. IV.

[^53]:    

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ That impious maxim of the ancient philosophy, Fix mikih, nihit fit, by which the ereation of mather was excluded, enar- to be a maxim, ateordiay to this philosulhes Not only the witt
    of the supronce beiner max ervate mattor ; but. for aturlat we ktuw à pri ri, the will wf any uther Puener mizht create it,
    
    

[^55]:    
    

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spe Enouirt conearning Human ciation of Itlear, p. 17.
    

[^57]:    1 That propery is a spexice of rea－ tion．which frediuces a emanexion 1 w． tweon the persom and the wheret is avi－ Went：The imagiantion pasematurally and eacily from the aron－i leratim of is field to that of ihe protern to whom it bulomers．It may ouly he atokel，how this relltion is rowlratho finto any of
     and ramblatme which we have afinme ： to ket the omly combeting primelpe atmong ileas．To he the proprietore at any thing i－to be the．onle peren．who． hy ther law of smentr，hav at rater in
     ot it．Thin riaht lan－I I an at tom－
    

[^58]:     Frems founced on an ontrina! inseimet. drainct.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Thi- pragrap wats adderl in Elition R.]

[^60]:    'Fintirely disingenuous: adhed in Edticu M. i

[^61]:    ' [Elerat and sublime: Elitions G am! K.]

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix I. Concerning Moral? Sentiment.

    2 [Elitions $G$ to $N$ omit as far as " whltimately derived." and sudstitute the following: - Mean while it will scane be pursible for un. or thin eomtr rersy in fully flecided. to promeral in that acenrate manner. rephisel in the scienerhy beginning with exint definitions ab virtue ant rice, whith are the objects oi our present emquiry. But we shall rlo what max justly be ent emmentac matio factors. We thall mpabler the matere
    

[^63]:    - [This paragraph wa-alded in Ebli- I, whith oulseqnently appeared as Ap.
    
    : Hn E itiom- fitrQthis. Sution was
    
    ${ }^{3}$ | Ir. Virtones engagn heesterm. aprobation, and \&e: Elitions G to N.]

[^64]:    1 Plet. in Pericif, 38. = Cic. du Offel's, lib. i. ${ }^{3}$ Bat. xt, 139. \& seq.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Nitt. Tear. lib. i. 36.
    ${ }^{s}$ Dion, sic. pasim.
    2 Sevt. Emp, adverons Math, lil, ix. 891.1s.

    VOL. IV.

[^66]:     Of Politieal suciety,

[^67]:    1 This fiction of a state of mature, as at itate of war. Was net first started ly Mr. IIobbras, as is ormmonly imaprined. Plato emble: youre to refite an hymothesis very like it in the 2nd, 3m. and the books de republiai. (Iorene,
    on the contrary, supposes it certain and universally acknowleded in the follow-
     judicus. ignorat. ita naturam rerum tulisse, ut quolam tempore hominus. nondum neante naturati, neque civili

    Ovif or I'abtes or Prtrosils a necegsalry warrant for every moral trath; or the example of Mr. Wroolastos, who has comanant recomen to It brikew and
    
    ${ }^{1}$ [lditions fito N add]: Whith is the only anthority I shall dite for thase reasomings: not imitating in this the example of Prffenbobr, mor even that of firotars, who think a berat from

[^68]:    
    
    
     manicited toth. wompl. ${ }^{\circ}$
    

[^69]:    This illmotrints wrifer. Bumever, seta
     all might oble fambat on centain rap
    
     ailen! will truc phitusuphy. Father

[^70]:    1 [By its lecoming mine: adeled in Edition Q.]

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Edition G omits all between this point and the concluding paracraph of the section.]

    2 [Edition N omits the praceding sentence, sind reads: If justice arose

[^72]:    from a simple, original instinct in the human breast, without any reflection, eren on those obrious interests of society, which absolutely require that sirtue, it follows, \&c.]

[^73]:    1 [This suntence is printed as a note it the second rule.]
    

[^74]:    1 The only solution. which Piato gives to all the objections, that might be raised againat the eommonity of women, established in his imaginary commonwealhh. is Ká入入ı $\sigma \tau \alpha$ үàp ò̀̀ тov̂тo
    
     S.ite nim istud \& dicitur \& dicetur. Id quod utile sit honestum esss, quod rutem imutile sit turpe esse. De Repl. lih. ín. 1. 457. f:x edit. Sis. And this maxim will admit of no doubt, where public: utility is concerned; which is Platio
    meaning. An? inderd to what other purpose do all the jucas of chastity and morlesty serve: Nisi utile est quod facimus, frustra rot gluria, says I'ne-
     oúd́év. says I'seralech de vitioso pudore, 529 , F. Xihil corum quat damnosis sunt, pulchrum est. The same was the opinion of the Stoies. \$aनt oủv of इTwtкot
    
    
     li!. 3. (ap. 20.

[^75]:    1 That the lighter machine vield to che heavier. and, in machines of the same kind, that the empty yield to the loaded: this rule is founded on conrenience. That those who are going to the capital take place of those who are coming from it; this sfems to be founded on some idea of the dignity of

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ We ought not to imagine, becanse an inanimate object may be useful as well as at man, that therefore it ourht, also, acereding to this sretem. to merit the appellation of risturous. The semtiments, exciterl butility, are, in the two eases. rery different; and the one is mixel with aftection, wemem, aprolattion, fe. and not the other. In like manner, an inanimate olject may have grock colour and froportion ac well as a human figure. Bat can we erer he in love with the former:' 'llure are it numarens set of passions and sentiments, of which thinking ratimal heings are by tharoriorinaleomathatom of natme.
    

[^77]:    served. will destroy a sentiment. Thus. the same braty transferred to a differem st x. excites no amorons passion,

[^78]:    where nature is nut extremely por verted.

[^79]:    1 Undutifulness to parents is dinapproved of liy mankind, $\pi$ poo; $\omega \mu$ '́vous $\tau \delta$
    
     Ingrastitude for a like reaicon (though he serms there to mix a more armewns
    
    

[^80]:    
     Lib. vi. cap. 6. Perhins the historian only moant, that our sympathy and humanity was more enlivened, ly our consindering the similarity of our case with that of the person suffering ; which is a i川t centiment.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is needless to push nur researches so far as to ask，why we hare humanity
    or a fellow－feeling with others．It s sutficiment，that this is experienced to be

[^82]:    eiples more simple and universal, whatever attampta may have been madeto that purposc. But if it were possible, it belongs mot to the present subjeot ; and we maty here sutely combiter these principles is orisinal: Haphy, if we can render all the wonsequences suffeiently plainand perspicuous!

    1'Eti ridentibus arrident, ita flentibus alffent
    ITumani viltus. Hor. A. P. 101.

[^83]:    1-Detantion equas cujus antriots sunt iliat; ad dem velacior. P'ulehar
     :reitatio expreait: ibem cortamini

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ In proportion to the station whith a man possesses, aceording to the relations in which he is placed ; we always expect from him a greater or less degrea of good, and when disappuinted, blame his inutility; and much more do we blame him, if any ill or frejudice arise: from his conduct and belaviour. When the interents of one country intertiore with those of another, we estimate tho merits of a statesman by the erool or ill, which results to his own country from his measures and councils, withont regard to the prejudice which he brings on his enemies and rivals. Jis fellow-ritizens are the objects, which

[^85]:    lie nearest the eyr, while we determine his character. And as nature has implantod in every one a superior affection to his own country, we never expeet any regard to distant nations, where a comprtition arises. Not to mention, that. while every man consults the good of his own community, we are sensille, that the greneral interest of mankind is better promoted, than by loose intetcrminate views to the gookl of a spectes, whenee no bencficial aetion could fever result, for want of a duly limited olject, on which thoy could exert themselves.

[^86]:    1 For a like ratbon, that temlencies
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     lhas sumal viptuco only in winl inten-
    
    
    
    
    

[^87]:    
     fommonwealth: latat seill we kons here,
    
    
    
    

[^88]:    
    

[^89]:    T One may venture to affirm, that there is ne human areature to whom the apparamee of happines (whem enty or revoruse has no plate) dees not srive plasure ilnat of minery uneasimes. thin sexm- insetmathe from war make ant coustitution. But they are only the more gemons minds, that are
     trond of others, and to hatre a real pansion for their wellare. With men of bamow amd bugenceur spirits, this syontathy ereme not heyomd a slight
     only (thexpite -atimus of compla.

[^90]:    ceney or consure amp makes them ap-
    
    
    
     thera, ia hive catimatim, aluse all! !!m
    
     of hapginas with a mone livaly -ympothy, that atse wher you onlid repe and to him: thonty perbis - lo. womld wot fart with a -hinlime t. make the fombat: of the intus form math, whom
    

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Phædo. 81.

[^92]:    

[^93]:    
     Trmos. 9. Amain. Kal orvajágovtes (oi
    
    

[^94]:    ro-mpa, 30. In amother plave, *H пии
    
    
     ('oncil, 1:'),

[^95]:    [ [Pat: in Elitione © to N.] Edition N. which, however, stops at
    3 [1,..- rentence was a hied in origin.]

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dionofess sicturs，lib，15．88．It may not be improper to give the charate－ ter of Emamaonmas，at dram ly the historians，in order to show the jiters of berfeet morit，whidh prevailed in those ases．In other illustrius men，says he， xinl will ulateree．that tedeh posesseal ame one shming quality，which was Whe fonmdation of his fame：In Eipam－ sosims all the verturs are fonnd umtert ； fixe of hody．eloyuance of exprestin． vigour of mind，contempt of riches． fontlentes of dirpasition，and wheut is
     daet in war．
    ${ }^{2}$ Cum alceritus，saltu：cum cetmothos．

[^97]:    1 Thare is something extramotinatry, and serminery unaceothatable in the operation rof rur faskitht, what w" consider the forture and sitnation of others. Very often anmbers - admane
     Which has a strong mixturn of hatrat, and ariane dhafly from the comparian of surerlver with the pereong. It the veres sinue times or at lant in very - hat intervals, we may fetl the jassion of
     or crocel-will. with a misture of humility: On the other hand, the mistortunes if

[^98]:    ：There is no mam，who，on particu－ lar occasions．is not affected with all
    the disagreable passions，fear．ancur． dyection，erie＂，melancholy，anxity．

[^99]:    ' ['Confilant' in several Editions.]
    ${ }^{2}$ Reffexion 10 sur Longin.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plutaren in Phoc, 36.
    4 Tacit. hist. lib. iii. 84. The author entering upon the marration. sats, Saminter wate. fidum surctaculum dresbatur, multis increpantibus, mullo inlnerimathte: defurmitas exitus misericor-

[^100]:    diam alstulerat. To enter thomourlals into this methon of thinkines. We masi make allowance for the amodent manims. that un who ousht to prohnm his lite after it loxamte dishomenrather: lint, as he had alwats a right ludisperes of it, it then becanse at duty to part with it.

[^101]:    1 Thu absenee of a rirtur may biten bu a viou: athl that of the lighoret lind: as in the instancent incrat ittaln. ats wall as me:nhters. Wherewe "xpmat it hathty, thedisapm,intment gives ath unea-y - .insations and protuces a reat definemity In aldjertness of charamer, likwor, is ! !egunt ful and contemptille in another vitw. Where a man has mo sence of ralue in himbelf, we are not liknly to

[^102]:    have any higher esteem of him. Amt if $1 h^{*}$ simm ferson, who eronches to
     (as eften hatyens), this centrarity of behatiour. instend of eorrecting the former vior. ageratates it extremely liy the ahhlition of a riow still more odions. Soッ suct. S. Of (2malities immedliatcly atareable to (others.

    2 I'ro corona, 217.

[^103]:    1 De morima fiem. 38.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lib, iv. 6i. ${ }^{3}$ Lib. i. 5 .

    - It is a common use, says he, amonest their gentlemen's sons. that, as swon as they are able tw uec their weapons, they strait gather to themselves three or four strageleze or kern, with whom wader-

[^104]:    ing a while up and rintor idly the wantry takiner only motht he at has: falleth into some bud weatsion, that shall he offored; which leing enter made known, he is thene forth counted a man of worth, in whom the re is (ourage.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seet. r. Part 2, Why Utility ple:ses.

    2 ('heerfuluess could scarce admit of bame from its excess, were it not that
    disoolnte mirth. without a proper cinuse
     racteriatio of tolls, and on that aconant dingustul.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is the mature, and, indecd, the definition of rirtue, that it is a qualery of the wind "equ+ucthle to or "tpprand of hien every onr. who conviders or contemplates it. But some qualities protuee
    pleasure. beratse they are harful to
     peram him-itt: whor- prolue it more immediately: Whand is the crow witl the class of rirth = here cutsiderel.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ethic. ad Nicumathum, iv. :3, 37.

[^108]:    ' Quinctil. lib. v. cap. 12.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ [That Virtue or Personal Merit: Elitions Ct to N.]

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ Qualities useful to nthers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Qualities useful to the person himgolf. Seetion VI.
    ${ }^{3}$ Qualition immediately agreeable to

[^111]:    others. Seetion VIII.
    4Qualitien immediately aqreeable to the yerenn himself. Section VII.

[^112]:    ' [of Virtur: Elitions (itu N.]

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Edition G omits the preceding clanse.]

[^114]:    1suct. I. Of the Fionomal Primetules of Morals.

    2 太心 Ipmomlix Jll. Some farther Comateratom- with rerarlto dustice.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ [From whone sred it sprung: Edtions 1 i $\mathbb{N}$ K. 1

[^116]:    1 Pencrolemee maturally divides inte fwo kinds, the: froneral and the parkierlate. The first is. where we hate mos
     the furmon. lut foul only a wommat
     hia prims and a moneratulation with his phatoures. The wher fureite of
    
    
    
    santiments mat lan allowent ratl in humath H:14日, : h, whother they will
    
    
    
     bumathity, or -rmpathy, we shall have
     (a) as real, foom gentral experience, without any , ther proof.

[^117]:    1 Huns．F゚いsraxisfr

[^118]:    'Animaspor in vilntre ponmat. Veat. Ira, l, i, 1.
     negrigens. sigs sumect of Anger. Do

[^119]:    1 This theory concerning the origin of fooperty, and consernently of justiee, is, it the man, the same with that himed at and andopted by Gmotirs. - Hine discimus. ghize fuerit camsa, ub quam it primeva communione rerum primo mobilium, deinde $\mathbb{\&}$ immobilium disersum eat : nimirum guod cum non contenti homines vesci sponte matis, antra hahitare, corpore ant mudo agere, aut corticibus irlorum feritumse pellihns vestito, vita gemus exquisitns delegiswent. industria opus fuit, quiam singuli robus singulis mbiborent: Quo minus atulem fraetus in commune con-

[^120]:    ferrentur, primum ribtitit lonorm, in quat homines disersservm, distatitia, deinde justitime \& amoris dufeetus, $\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime \prime}$ quem fiebat, ut nee in lathres. Hece in consumtione fructam, quat debubat, requalitas servaretur. Simml discimus. quomodo fes in freprictatem werint : non animi actu solo. nerpue enim seir" alii poterant.quid alii summ csee vedlent. nt eo al stinerent. \& idem relle phares poteram ; sed pacto qualam ant expresso, ut per divisionem, ant tarito. ut per ocenpationem.- Do Jure lalli \& Pacis. Lib. ii. catp. '2. § 2, art. 4 d B. $^{2}$

[^121]:    1 Natural may le opposed, cither to what is unusmal, mireculenes, or artifieial. In the two former somsers, justione and property are umdoultedly natural. But as they supimee reason, forethought, design, and a social union and confeuleracy among men, perhaps, that epithet camot strictly, in the litst sense. too applied to them. Mat mon lived

[^122]:    without society, property had never been known, and neither justice nor injustioe had over existod. But society among human creatures, had been impossible, wiflout reason, and foret hought. Inferior amimals, that unite, are guided by instinct, which supplies the plate of reason. But all these dinputes aro merely verbal.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Some copies of Edition $f \mathrm{f}$ do not contain this paragraph. In others, the pare having been torn out, a new page was inserted, containng the paramath.]
    ${ }^{2}$ |This appears as Part jo of suthon vi.. Of Qualities neseful to Onreelves, in E. itions $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { it } \\ \text { to } \\ \mathrm{N}\end{array} \mathrm{C}\right]$
    ${ }^{3}$ Diditions fi to Momit from this print io - It seems indeed eoptain, \&e.' p. 281, and substitute as follows:-

    Thus, were we here to sasert or to deny, that all laudable qualitiss of the mind were to be consider'd as mirturs or mural attributos, many would inagine that we hand enterd upon one of the profoundest sperulations of Fthies; tho' 'tis probable, all the white. that the greaten part of the diopute would be fomel entirdy rembl. Tw

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Elition N aphends the following note. -

    It seems to me. that in our laneruage there are alway sad for her virtues of many different kiuds ; lut whell a man

[^125]:    is said to le firfucurs or is lemominaten? a man of virtue, we whithy reard his sucial cualition. whith are imbend the mone valuth The or ablled the tratuly way of は"tlence]

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ [For the next four sentences Edition Nubstitutes as follows:-

    It may happen, that, in treating of ethics, we nay sometimes mention laulable rualities, which the Liximish tongue does not always rank umber the apperation of virtue; lut we dos it only becrabe we are at a loss how to draw the exact line between the one and the other ; or at least because we comsider La- 'illention: is mern] grammatical.

[^127]:    1 The term, pride, is commonlytaken in a had sense; but this sentment seems indifterent, and may be eithere good or lad, aceording as it is well or ifl fomded, and actording to the other circumstames which abompany it. The

[^128]:    Fresch express this sentiment ly the term, umuur propre, but as the $y$ also express selfolowe ats well as vatity, by the same term, there arions thenee a great confusimin hembzomfatef, and many of : hair tumen witurs.

[^129]:    1 Fiditions $G$ to $\mathbf{M}$ add in a note: It seems to me, that in our languare. (c) matace, temperance, industry, fromality, \&ic.. accerding to mpulas stile, are eallad rirtues; lut when a man is satid (1) Se virturous, or is denominatend it man of virine, we dhofly ratard his becial qualities. "Tis newthes for a morat, philusophiagl diseroures to enter into all these caprices of lanoruage,
    which are sn varialde in different dialeets, and in difterent ages of the same diasect. Tha sentiments of men. being more uniform, as well ats more impurtant, are a fitter sulifet of speculation: 'The' at the samue time. we may juat obsorva that whereverthe sumal virtanes are palked of 'tis phanly imply'sl, by this distimetion. that there are atan other virtuen of a different nature.]

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lrive and esteem are nearly the same passion, and arise from similar callow. Ther qualities, which preslure hatlo. are such as communicate phossure. Bint where this plemsure is surere amed serions; or where its ulject is great anil makes a strong impresion, or where it preduces any deuree of humility and awe: In all theer cases. the pr-ion, whith arises fom the Ileasure, in more properly demominated exterm than bove. Bencooleme attends looth: But is commerted with love in at more (minent begree. There seems to be still a strmarer mixture of pride in comterngt than of humility in esteem; and the retaon would not lie difterent to one, who stubled accurately the panions. All these varions mixtures and ammpositions and appearances of sentiment

[^131]:    form a very curinus suliject of specu'ation, but are wide of our present purfone. Thenurgout this enquiry, we always consider in acmeral. what qualitiob are a sulyeet of praint or of exalite. without entering into all the rainote differences of sentiment, which they excite. It in evident. that whatorar is contemmed, is alat disitkul, as woll as whet is hate I ; and hare we emb atroner
     simple views and aftemmone. 'To..se
    
     the presemtion whib we cenn tatice t" char them from sun rthum - -ucnhations, ant lering the mown on wrery capmeity.

    * Irmetrontr: The Ant of preurvine Health. Buoh 4.

[^132]:    1 Lib. i,
    2 Lib, xii. ].).
    3 Vid. Phato in Menone, Sheneca de woto setp. cip. 31. So also Holeace,

[^133]:    T"ututem doctrina paret, naturane domit. Epit. lib. i. ep. 18, 100 . Eschivis Sueraticus. Dial. i. [The reference to A凶. Soc. was added in Elition U.]

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This sfntemer and the next do not oecur in Elirions G to NV, which resume: but modern philowphers,
    treating. \&e. $]$
    " [The rematinler of the pararmaph was adued in Elition Ň.

[^135]:     Yol. 111. 1. 52.—En.]

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This flame of Jis: Elitions G and K.]

[^137]:    1 The laws of Athens allowed a man to marry his sister by the father. Folsšs law forbid foderasty to shaves,
    as being an aet of too great dighity fore stoh incan persons.

[^138]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Mem, soć, lil. iii, 14, 1.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Grefeskept the feast of Sature or Chents, as well as the Romans. So Lifian. Ejint. Sattras.

[^140]:    

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Peft, de amore yrolis, sub fine.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ Epist. lib. i. epiat. 7, 2f. Ilsulib. i. ade 35,5

[^143]:    
    

[^144]:    

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quand on le secait, cest peu do chose; Quand on lignore, ce nest rion.
    2 Juring the time of the emperors, tho Romaxs seem to have been mone griven to intriguts amb mallantry that 'he Engbsh are at present: And the women of condition, in order to retain 1heir lowers, cmeavoured to fix a mame , if verrmed win those who wern addlieted
    to wenching and low amours. 'They were called Anchindmod. hee Sineta do heneficiis. Lib. 1. (alp, 9. See als" Malmial. lib. 12. epig. $\bar{s}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ The gallantry here meant is that of amours and attachments, mot that: of complaisance, which is as much pard to the fair-sex in Fiomann as in any other cenimery.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ [For the circumstances attonding the pmblication of this Treatise. sec - History of the Editions,' Vol. 14. P. 6net er, q. - En. $\downarrow$

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Idolurs: Editions L, fo (i.] ${ }^{2}$ [Idultry: Vlitions I, to Q.

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ |hlulatry: Elitions Lato (2.]

[^149]:    

[^150]:    

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$｜Pobyheism on ithlitry：Elitions しいいい
    z－Pragilis \＆lalmoriona montalitas in partes istal diesent，infirmitatis sumb memor，ut porimailms coleret quinate． que maxime indigoret．＇Pıss．lih．ii． （ap．5．So esaly as Hzambstime than
    

[^152]:    - Lib. viii. 33.

    The following lines of Etrbutes Bre so much to the preseft purpose, that I camoot forbear quoting them:
    
     какйs.
     $\pi$ poirs $^{2}$,

[^153]:    1 Jon) su, lif, ini. 17.
    $\therefore$ Lil. vi. 297.

[^154]:    1 Pere le Compte．
    ${ }^{2}$ Regrard，Veïage de Japonie．
    ${ }^{9}$ Inod．Sic．lib．i．S6．Lucian．de Sa－ crifleiis．I4．Ovin alludes to the mana tradition，Metam．lit．，r．l．32I．So alw， Manimes lib．iv． 800.

    + Herolot．lib i．172．

[^155]:    ${ }^{s}$ Cies．Comment．de bello Galliew， lib．iv． 7.
    ${ }^{6}$ lib．v．38\％．
    7 （cup．ix．
    ${ }^{5}$ Pere Brumny，Theatre des Grees边 Fontonetle．Hiwoire dew Cracles．
    y Amob，Vib．vii，507 11.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ I) Latced. Rep. 13.
    2 Epist. xli.
    ${ }^{3}$ Quint. Curtius, lib. iv. cap. 3. Diod. Sic. lib. xvii, 41.

    - Sutt., in vita Aug. cap. 16.
    - Id. in vita Cal. catp.
    ${ }^{6}$ Herodot. lib, ii. 53. Lucian. Jupiter comfutatus, de luchu, Siuturm, \&゙e.
     そu $0 \rho \pi$ ou. Hes. Opurit \& Dies. I. IUs.
    $*$ Theng. l. 570.

[^157]:    I Metamorph. lib. i. 1. 32 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Lih. i. 6 et seq.
    3 1il, iii. 20.

    - The same author, who ean thin ancount for the origin of the world without a Deity, estems it impinus of (xplan from physial catuses, the conmman

[^158]:    accitents of life, earthquakes, immattions, and tompests: and desomey asmbites these to the atuen of Jome is or Nrmotex. A plain frmil. whenore . derived his deats of medimon. Sen lis.
    

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ It will be easy to give a reason, why Thales, Anaximanierr, amd those farly philosophers, who really were atheists. might be very orthodox in the Jigan creed; and why Avaxagomas and Focrates, though real theists, must maturilly, in ancient times, be astecmed jupious. The thind, unguiled 10w:rs of nature, if they could proluce men, might also produce such beings as Jrimen and Nemtrese, who being the Tuost powerful. intelligent existences in the workl, woukt lee jroper vijjects of

[^160]:    worship. But where a supreme intelligence, the first eanse of all. is admitiond. these capricinus leings, if they exist at all, must apfosk rery subordiuate and defendent. and eonsombently be exelufed from the rank of d.rites. Jisaro (de leg. lib. x. 886 D.) tassigns this reason fur the imputation thrown on Axaxationas, namely. his denying the divinity of the stars, planets, and other created oljects.

    2 Aduershe Mathem. lib. 480.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ihonve. Habic. lif. vi. 54.
    ${ }^{4}$ Erist. lib. vi.

[^161]:    - Hesion. Thang 1. 935.
    ${ }^{2}$ If. ibid. \& P'rto in vita Pelon' 19.
    ${ }^{4}$ [This paragraph is given as a note
    3 Iliad. xiv. :267.
    n Editions L, t ए

[^162]:    1 Herbmins. lib, v. 3. Io. J friter Amons is represented by Clotios as a deter of the samm kind. lil. iv. cap. 7. The Arabians and ऐbainestians adored also shapeless nubimed stones

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Siee Cesar of the religion of the Garis, De bello Gallico, lib. vi. 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ De moribus (iekm. 40.

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ [For this suntence the Pronf reats: Thm the deity. whom the rulgar Jews ennetived only as the fad of direhmm, Isullt: and Jaceh, beeame their J. hacelh amd "reator of the world.
    liditions 1. to N read: Thus. notwithetambing the sublime ideasureros... Siv liwes and the inspired writer-
    many voulerar fons -u:n いill in l.! conerivel the suptre bimer as a mere
    
     onthe ward - llonathe in the lan para-
    
    

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The Proof reads: 'Sumetimes degraded him so far to a level with human creatures as to represent him wrestling with a man, walking in the cool of the evening, showing his back parts, ind
    deseending from heaven to inform himself of what passes on carth: while, \&c.' The pen is dratwn through all from 'as' to ' oarth :' and for 'so far' the margin gives 'nearly.']

[^166]:    

[^167]:    1 Vermies Flacecs, cited by Pliny, lib. $x \times y$ iii, cap. 2. affirmed, that it was undal for the Romass before they laid siege to any town, to invocate the tutelar deity of the place, and ly promising him greater honours than thoer he at present anjoyed, bribe him to hetray his oht friends and votaries. The mane of the tutelar deity of Rowt wats for thi- deason bejt a most ruligions mys.

[^168]:    tury; lest the enomies of the repullie should be able, in the same manner, to draw him over to their service. Fin without the name, they thonght, nothing of that kind eould be practised. I'siny says, that the common form of iavoration was preserved to his time in the ritual of the pontiffs. And Mackobsces has transmitted al cong of it from the suret hings of siammonicts strentis.

[^169]:    

[^170]:    - Myde de Relier vet Persarum.

    2 Arrian. de Exped, Lil, iii. 16 . Id. lil. vii. 17 .
    ${ }^{3}$ l. ilid.
    4 suecom. in ritit Aug. e. 93.
    5 Correnption aptiout pussimest.
    " Mrst rations have thallum intes this
     hatc. this impious superstition has forer provaled very mand in any rivilizerl nation, mulese we exomet the
    
    

[^171]:    :a a promet ; and any prosent is dedivere to their duty by destroying it and remberine it us!es- to men; by haruing what is solich. parmiag out the liquid, and kilhore the ammate. For: want of a letter way of doing him servies wo do montres an injury; an!
     the hartiness of our grend-will and adowathe Than our momenary devotion donime omrselvas, mblimations it dureisen the deity.

[^172]:    a Arran passm.
    4 Mnt. Apwith.
    z Thumgl. lib. y. 11 - Bugho Artide lemarmine.
    ${ }^{3}$ Diserorsi, lit, vi.

[^173]:    

[^174]:    
    

[^175]:    Cosere ritus eompreuit；coactis qui stip．restitione，a tenelantur，religio－as ventes eum instruento omai eomburere．
     wise heathens，observine rombthiner in the general air，and gromins．and wit of the two religions tu bee the same．．．． teemed the differenees of their deemas too frivolous to dexern ans attention．
    ${ }^{1}$ Lib，i． 83.
    ＂When Lotors the Nllth tomk on himself the protection oft the ．In－nit s Cinteres of eimemonst，the socity orlered the kinge：armo in le foit up orer the erate and twk dumathe cross in urder for make way tiop it Wharh arave orerion th the followinr epirran：
    Sustulat hinc Christi．1＂matique in－ienia Resio：
    Inmia 16．nat．Ilur．i．2e：

[^176]:    
    

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ (landii Rutilii Nomitiani iter. lib. i, I. 3!9.
    ${ }^{2}$ In vita MIriani. I 4
    ${ }^{3}$ Lib, xiv, "gist. 7.

[^178]:    - Witness this rematrkable pasatge of Thentes: Prator multijliens rerum
     disria \& fulmimun monitus \& futurorum
     fosta. Xew enim unduam at:endominns populi lomani cladilus. macriove justis. intiobis atprolatum est, nom exse conpa.
     !liot. lib, i. 3. Acrirstres quitrol with Natrext is ath instame of the same
    
    

[^179]:    1 The stuina I wwh，wire nent quite orthoulox in the ess：allisland religion ；
     that they went a Ereat way：And the peoble undumbady lis at every length． Fiahtrulto． 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ Phetylo．
    －Xbshrbosis enmbat，in reiated ly himarlf，is，at anm，an innommatalic pertit of the eromeral eredulity of man－ kind in thone are－a and the imenh rem－
    
     athl phil ablare，the diaciphe of sere－
     of the mest rethad sentimetht with mo ented to a doity gave all the fullowims butrks of vilate pagan superatition． By sumatris atride，he comalted the watle of lotimis．before he woukd an－ sater in the expedition of（rats． 16 ． experl．lib，iii．p．29！，ex edit．Lemmel． Sis at dream the nitht after the weme－ rals wore suized：which he pays gerent requmb to，hut thinks amlia＿uons．Id． ？．2it．Ht arul the whole army re－ garl shemzing as a very lowhy omen． lif．p．Boo．Haw another dream，when he exmen to the river（ristaras，whele
    
    
     cold moth wink，ateritice 1 ，it：and the hisworian obeerves．that it immediately
     $\therefore$ st the steritios in－ieret，before he ＂omb fomm ：any realution with himself
    
    
    
    tims to rofuse the sole erommand of the arm：which was witered bin．Lib，vi．
     bers desmon of it，refleco forthe sime
     tionsanold dre．．．m withtlueinterbretation eriven him，when he firat juined（＇yer－ I．Bäs．Mantions also the place of
    
    
     starve the amm，rather than lead them to the fiod wainet the auspiece It．
     adrus．weuld not believe that he hat 1 romelst mo monto from the axpedition ；
     her sitw the mather elpaly in the Extil． Lite vii．1，te．j．The same fhiloser
    
     adria．－them firat to comsult the orache． In mat．revl．p．302．That all this de－ wotion wils not is faren．in andertoraro a pulitical prurpose altuears tuth from the fiats thematloes and from that Fomive of that atore when little or mo
    
     his Memoralilis．was a kimi of heretie in thase timen，whith no plitami de－ rotee ever is．It in for the some ras． som，I maintain，that N゙iwoss，Lever，
    
    
     mett tor some liinuime．whas will neend hatw it，hat it was impasible lat that
     \｜いいい

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ Neeyomantia, 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ latechus, a divine being, is represented by the heathen mythology as the inventor of daneing and the theatre. Plays were anciently even a part of public wurship on the most solemn oceasions, and often employed in times of pestilence. to appease the offenderd deities. But they hare been zealously proseribed hy the godly in later ages; and the playhouse, accorting to a learned dirine, is the porch of hell.

    But in order to shor more evitently, that it is pusible for a religion to represent the divinity in still a more immoral and mamiahle light than he was pietured ly the ancients, we shat cite a long pasabige from an atution of taste and imagination. who was surcly no enemy to Claristianity. It is the (hevalier fisminy, at witer, who had so landabl, an indination to be orthorkx. that his reton never fombany liftioults, wen in the doetrimes which fretothinkers scruple the most, the trinity, incarnation. and satiofation: Hli- humanity alone, of which be seems on have had as great stok rebelled against the dore-

[^181]:    1 Ovid, Metam. lib. ix. $\$ 90$.
    : Mast popular: Edition-L to Q.|
    ${ }^{3}$ Called Dietator clavis figemle

[^182]:    (To be fromd in Wran. Sic. Jib, xii. 120 .

[^183]:    
    " (ic. Catho. i. 6, Sialust. de bellu ('athe. 22.

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This Ensay appeared ondy in Edition C, 1742 : see 'History of the Editions,' Vol. 111 1p. 13 4.-En.]

[^185]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This lissay appeared only in Edition C, 17.42: see Mintory of the Editions,' Vol. 11, p. 4t.-Ein.l

[^186]:    ' TThis Essay appeared only in Edition C, 172': see History of the Edition. 'Vol. in, p. 44.-Em.]

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ TThis E-saty appeared in Ehlition 1 to A. 17 11-60. See " Histury of the
    

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Fditions A amd D. 1711-2. insert the following paragrajh: I was lately lamentine to a Friend of mine. who luves a Cumeeit, That popular Applanse shouht be bestowed with so little Jubirment, amd that so many emply forwand

    Coxmmos bould rine up to a Figure in the Work: [ fron which he said there was nothing surprising in the ('ase. Popnelar Filme. sus he. is mothing tret lirruth or Air: und Air rery maturully presess into a rewemim.]

[^189]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This Essay appeared in Elitions A to N. 1741 60, see - History of the Editions, Vinl. 11.. P. 4.- Eb.

[^190]:     Editions,' Vul. m. 1. 14. Eb.」

[^191]:    
    Elicinutur. I.tch r.'

[^192]:    

[^193]:    
    

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$［This Essaly first appeared in Edi－
     68，it was printed in a foot－mote at the end of the lisesy，＇Ihat lolition mas

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ Moderate in the exercise of power, not cquitable in engrossing it.

[^196]:    1 Printed from the Ipouf shento in the doweatos Lil rary, Filmbureh. Sew
    

[^197]:    ' |lfow hold that hypothesis! We monts. Editoms of 1757 and 1763 |
    

[^198]:    ${ }^{2}$ |The further progress of the same canses increasing, the same effects totally ex'uguish it : Wditions of 1777 and 1783.1
    [What therey is that! Editions of 1737 and $1783 . \lambda$

[^199]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Hitro: Editions of $17: 7$ and Text, and thes as eserrectina in the 1753. 1

    - Lik. 7 capo iffo
    ${ }^{3}$ [Th - First prow 'hat h in :he

    Marain. The Fliti n- wiole ond 17ヶ3 rant - bal

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Printed from the Fdition of 1777. wh a few changes of punctuation. Seo 'Hitery of the Eblitions, vol. iii. 5' 79. Eiv.]

[^201]:    'Agamus I'en gratias, quent nemo in vita tencri potest. Sen, Fipist. 12.

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tacit．Anu．lil，i， 79.

[^203]:    1 It would be easy to prove that Suicile is as lawfol under the Christian dionensation as it was to the Heathens. There is not a single text of Scripture which prohibits it. That great and infallible rule of faith and pratice which must eontroulall philosophy and human retwoning, has left us in this particular to our natural liberty. Resignation io l'povidence is indecel recommended in soripture; lont that implies only submission to ills that are unawoidable not to wheh as may ler remedied by prudence ur eonrage. Thou shalt not kill. is eridantly meant to exclude only the killing of others orer whose life we have no authority. That this precept. like most of the Seriptare preepts, must be monlified ly reason and eommon sense, is plain from the praetice of magistrates, who punish eriminals capitally, mot-

[^204]:    withstanding the letter of the law. But were this eommandment ever so express against suicide, it would now have no anthority, for all the law of Moses is almlisled, except so far as it is estallished by the law of Nature. And we have alrealy endeavoured to prove, that suicide is not prohibited by that law. In all cases Christians and Heathens are precisely upon the simu foosting; ('ato ant Brutus, Arria and Portia acted heroically; those who now imitate their example ought to receive the same praises from posterity. The power of committing suicide in regarded ly Pluyy as an advantage which mom posscos eren above the Deity hiniselt. "Dells uon sili potest mortem conspiserve si velit, quod homini dedit uptimam in tantis vitie penis.'-Lib. ii. cap. 5.

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Mr. Burton suppose that this Essar. fombathong flume's paters, W:1withhed from [ublicationout af a kindly
    feeling toward- lre. Bair. Sow Burtors
     En.]

[^206]:    1 [The ciremmstances att. .ding the publication of this 'Dedication' are gren in the History of the Editions, Vol. III. p. 65, where it has already heen printed. It is repeated here, in order that Hume's eulogy of Douglas
    may stand by the side of his sanction of the Epigonind and ot his endemnation of the I'nems ot Ussian.-En. ]
    [ ${ }^{2}$ So Ilume chose to spell the name: Burton's Life of Hame, Vol. II. p. 506. -Em. 1

[^207]:    [' This narrative, published as Appendix A to the ' Jife of Hume,' Vol. 1. p. 411, is supposed by Mr. Burton to have been 'drawn up as a rindication oi the conduct of General St. Clair,' who

[^208]:    took Hume with him as Serretary and Judge Advocate of all the Fores inder his commanl. See 'life of Hume,' Vol. 1. pr. 208 and seq.-ED.」

[^209]:    1 |Mr. Burton froves from a bassage in llume's Correx.ondenee that tha reforenee is to Visitaise, although no stied
    narration is found among Voltare's arkouwledgen works. Life: vol. ii. [. : 2: $9 .-$ Ein.]

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This List of Scotticisms, printed from the Edinburgh Edition of 1526 , is said to occur in some conies of the - Politieal Discourses.' Edition H. The present Editor has not found it in any

[^211]:    Edition pullished during Itume's life-time.-"I tah! him that Invid IJume had made a short eollection of Sontticisms. I womler (said .fohenson) that.
    

