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## PREFACE

1 owe my long-suftering publishers many apologies for the tardy appeatance of this book, which was begran some years ago. It is plamed on the same lines as my edition of Book $I V^{\star}$, and is mainly indebted to the same authorities. There is however somewhat less translation given in the notes, and more discussion of the text. On this point I have felt much difliculty and hesitation. The fifth Book is generally free from great grammatieal difficulties, but it presents perpetual questions of unsatisfactory readins, and almost more tham any other calls for the hand of a master critic. An editor has indeed his choiee of suggestions in plenty, but the choice is mostly far from tempting. And unless his confidence is grounded on great experience he would do well to keep Quintilian's words before him: •quaerlan in veteribus libris reperta mutare imperiti solent et, dum libma.
riorum insectari volunt inscientian, su:n confitentur.' In points of proposed emendation I have found weleone assistance from Mr Harold N. Fowler's edition (Boston 1888). It is founded on Classen, but gives besides much recent German criticism. Notes due exclusively to Mr Fowler are marked F. I have also to thank Mr A. W. Spratt, of St Catharine's College, for thorough revision of the proofsheets and for many valuable suggestions. The grammatical work chiefly referred to is the latest edition of Goodwin's Greek Moods and T'enses. Some reforences to the sections of the earlier edition may have escaped notice, hat I have tried to alter the plates throughout.

St John's Collive, Cammidae, August, 1891.

## INTRODUCTION

IN the rear 424 two great hlows fell unon Athens. An enterprise agrainst Boentia met with complete failure, ending in a disastrons defeat near Oropus. Besides this a large part of the Thrace-ward possessions fell into the hands of Brasidas, and especially Amphigolis the key of Thrace. Thus Athens was disposed to listen to terms, and ats Sparta was above all anxions to recover the captives from sphacteria, a truce for one year was concluded in 423.

The tifth Book legins with the expiration of this truce, when an expedition was made, urged by Cleon, in the hope of regaming thenian ascendancy in Thrace. Exhansted as she must have heen, Athens was ahle to despatch a strong force of chosen citizens, with the flower of the allies. But being, from party-intrigue or whatever canse, under the sole conduct of Cleon, the army was foredoomed to ruin. It did indeed recapture Torone, but was shattered and dispersed in the fatal hattle of Amphipolis. These events occupy the first thirteen chapters of the Book, and with them concludes the first period of the war. So far, or some few chapters further, the history seems to have been completed, and to have receivel its final revision, after the Pelopomesian war wats over.

This revision was mot, given to the balk of the recond: in the rest of our fifth Book. ('ritics at any mate find thom lacking in the finish which marks the first decade and the subsequent acconnt of events in Sicily. Passages there modoubtedly are which prove a late date of compensition ${ }^{1}$, while others aprear sather to emboly the motes of a contemporary observer. Thus we have minuteness of detail on the one hand; and on the other harshaess of language, musual words and phrases, repetitions, corrections, and possibly interpolations. Dramatic effect is lost, becaluse the point of view is too near the scene. still we have no doubt in the main the history which Thucydides intended to give of the intervening time of hollow peace before the invasion of sicily: Though full, it is monotonous and dull ; a list of intrigues and conuter-intrigues, state photting against state, oligarehy and democracy threateming and countermining turn hy turn. Fear and jealonsy of Sparta lead to a confederacy in the Argive interest. There is however no energetic policy in Athens or in the states of Pelopomesus; and hut little of persmal interest, now that the chivalrons figure of Brasidas and the coarse but vigorous personality of Cleon have passed away. "The haleful star of Alcihiades" does indeed appear alowe the horizon, but there is scamely a mane hesides that we remember but that of the honest, hlundering Agis. Nor does the historian introduce a single speech from the tenth to the end of the fourteenth year.

In 418 the Argive leaghe was crushend at Mantinea, and Spartat regained ly the signal valour of her mblders her position of military supremacy. The dessription of the battle is vivid and exact, and seems to have reamond the writer's final tonch. In less elabonates is thee - Melism dialogue.' which takes up the elosing chapters of the lewok. It is a kind of historical drama, in which the Ithenian

[^0]actors represent without diacguise the cynical contempt for right which Thucydides repeatedly attributes to Athenian poliey. Melos was a borian eolony, which hat been throughout independent of $A$ thens, though taking no part against her. The Athenians make no profession of disregarded clams or injuries which called for vengeance. No law divine or human has any power to hold them back; justice, equity and merey are but idle words. Thersimply parade their overpowering strength, and offer the choice of surrender or destruction. In the display of their arrogance and impiety, their reckless greed and lawless confidence, we have a fitting prologue to the tragedy of Syracuse.
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 є่ $\pi i \tau \eta े \nu$＇$А \mu \phi і т о \lambda \iota \nu$.
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He tahes up a pusition outside the walls.
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 ters Auphiipalis and pre pares to attack (7lom.
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 то仑 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a \rho o ̀ v ~ \xi u \mu \phi ́ ि \rho o \nu \tau o s, ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \nu ~$

































Hatle of Amphipolis. Defeat of the . Ithenians. Death of Brasimas and Comos.



 poû үelouévou aviтov̂ aimò тoû Kepou入íov кataßáv-















 $\mu \in \nu o \nu$, 入é $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ éavtoû каì тоîs ä̀ $\lambda$ дoıs,
























 $\xi \cup \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \in ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ о́т $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ îтal є́mi тòv $\lambda o ́ \phi o \nu$ тóv $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon a$ -





























 ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta v a i ́ o \iota s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu . ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \theta a v o l ' ~ \delta e ̀ ~ ' ~ \ \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \mu e ̀ v ' ~$




 каӨі́бтарто.

Kai v́tò тoùs aútoùs хpóvovs tov̂ $\theta$ ย́pous $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup-$







 Heєpiou тĭs $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda i ́ a s ~ \delta \iota i ̄ \lambda \theta o r ~ o i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ т o ̀ n ~ ' ~ P ' a \mu-~$


They return to sparta.








Reasons why hothsides are di-puated to peace.
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The Latedat－ monianswere mpecially de sirotes of re－ coveriny their prison－ 1rs eapitarad at l＇ylos．










































 трผ̂тоу Аакєбаіцойа кті豸оитєя тоѝs ßабіле́as

R.c. $4 \geq 1$.

Pence is concludual for fifty years.

 тоข̀ वैँ




















 Saı $\mu$ ovíovs, тáסє.






 $\lambda \omega \nu o s$ каì $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v ̀ s ~ a u ̀ t o v o ́ \mu o u s ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ к а i ̀ ~ a v ่ т о-~$















 4 ËXovtas. тàs $\delta e ̀$ tó tels фєpoúgas tòv фópov tò ${ }^{25}$

























 тıva đ̈̀ $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ тó $\lambda \iota \nu$ é $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$＇A $\theta \eta v a i ̂ o \iota, ~ ' A \theta \eta v a i o u s ~$
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 \а́яахоя, $\Delta \eta \mu о \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \eta \eta$ s.


Turation uf the tirst periond of the war.














 Sórar iè eixor. tolis te änôpas eithis toùs mapai
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 そ̈кıбта ìv бфít тoús тe＇I










Terms and conditions of atliance tor fifty years.
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 үє́үраттаи.







Fresh disturthancesare thareaterned : and suspicions arise betwern Ithath athl Eparta.













> In fact the war never really camed. Oppiortunilies of information jus…ated liy Thucydides.
 $\nu \epsilon \tau о$, катà Ає́рך каi $\chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a \varsigma, \mu$ ќ $\chi$ рє ov̉
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 j$\sigma v \chi i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau \epsilon ิ \nu ~ \mu i a ̀ \lambda \lambda o v ~ a i \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota . ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ o u ̉ \nu$


 עоито каі シ̈бтєрои аі छуцнахіаи，каі The Corin－




（t）Latcediac． Hun．
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 $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ к \omega ́ \lambda \nu \mu a ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau о и ̂ т о . ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi a-~$






 тарѝ бфїь т роєіттоу グкєє


Elis and Corintll join the Arwive alliance.































 Дакєбаєцоขі́ $\omega \nu$ тодьтєі́ая．
32 Hepì סè toùs aítoùs xpóvous toû Aépous toútou
 ，，































 Sos ìp $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ' $\Lambda$ A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaious. }\end{aligned}$


 нévov，тท̂s＇$\$ ркабías ẻs Mappaбiovs．

Dacedaemo－ nian expedi－ tion into Ir cadia．
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 Seұorévous oidè Bowtoùs oúdè Kopu hious, 入ézou-



































The mev Spartan ephors intrigue with Corinth and IBocotia, pro posing to ef feet an alliance witl। Argos.






 aưrov̀s $\xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s, ~ a v ̉ \theta \iota \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ B o \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o v s ~$













The Backtians are fatmuahly tisposed.






























 ， ，$\quad$ ，${ }^{\text {however falls }}$ ато $-\rho а к \eta \varsigma \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ о ообає оркоия through．


 тov̀s каì Merapéas－тò yàp av̉тò є̇тolouv－$\pi \rho o ̀ s$




 3 ot $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu \tau a i ̂ s ~ \beta o v \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Bot $\omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ov่ $\pi \rho o \sigma-$

 4 Өíoss छvvouvúvtes｀oủ yàp єitrov av̉тoîs oi ßolwтáp－




 $\psi \eta \phi \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \grave{\eta}$ à $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \pi \rho \circ \delta \iota a y \nu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \pi a \rho a \iota \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$.






 $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \grave{\eta} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

 Gỉov.

Metà סè tav̂ta-є̇yígropto yàp ảeì 入óyou тô̂s
 cluded betwew Tacedaemon and boeotia.























н. ('. 420). The Argives, feuring to be left without allies, apply to Lacedaemon for turas of 5 truce.
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 or tween Athens






















Arkive ambassadors sent to Athens. Laewlamonian (ellumes alsy arrive.


 каӨєбтө̂тая аитои's тро̀s тоѝ; \акєбаи- 5
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 Latedaemo－ nians．












 тод入（̂）$\mu\left(\hat{\imath} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{\prime}\right.$ ì тро́тєрои катаßою̂עтоя т $\hat{\nu}^{\prime}$





 av่тòs є̇ $\xi \eta т а т \eta \mu \in ́ v o s ~ т є \rho i ~ т о 仑 ̂ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ a v ่ т о к р и ́-~$



 $=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тò̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ ảvaßá̀ $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \cdot \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$









 'Apyeious $\xi v \mu \mu r i ́ \chi o v s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o l r, \sigma A a t, ~ w i s ~ \pi a p e i v a i ́ ~ y ' ~ z o ~$





















 $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime} \xi \nu \mu \mu \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \nu^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime} \nu$ ä $\rho \chi o v$ - the alliance.






 з $\mu \eta \delta$ є́ $\mu \eta \chi а \nu!ी ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu l a ̂ . ~ к а т त ̀ ~ т a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~$


































































 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \xi v \gamma к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \varsigma, ~ " o ~ \tau \iota ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \delta o ́ \xi \eta ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~$










 єíXov.


Exclusion of the lacedaemonians from the Olympian festival.
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 neightouring triles.











B.C. 419. Heraclea ocenfied by the Bueotíans. Alcihiades goers into T'elopromat. sus.

















53 T'oû ô' aùtoû Hepous 'litióaupioss каì '. 1 pqeíoss















The Argives







 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ K a \rho \nu \epsilon i ́ o u ~ \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ ๕ ̇ \xi є \lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho c i ́ \delta \iota ~ \phi \theta i-~$
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licsultory warfare between Arkos and E:pidat. rus.
入aӨóvites 'A Aqpaious фроирои's тє триа-

























 Azis invade Arenlis in full force.







 G. T.


 $\nu \omega \nu \quad ̉ \nu \tau$ тò $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$ ．
 of Akis and his allies．


 è $\chi$ оутєs тоѝs $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o u s ~ к а i ~ ' H \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega и ' ~$





 ${ }_{3}$ Ф入ıồvta тарí тov̀s ä入入ovs $\xi v \mu \mu c i \chi o v s$ ．каi oi














$$
{ }^{1} \tau \dot{t} \tau \in \quad=8 \rho \theta \rho 106
$$ Kai ó $\mu$ ѐи oüt


 è $\uparrow$, Argive citi-
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 єіто́vтєड т(̂) 'I

Agis grants a truce and withdraws his army. Disgut of botic sides at the arramgement.
 - 'Ayıs $\delta \in \xi$ gípeios toùs dóyous aitós,















 IIс $\lambda \lambda \eta$ クיй


















 $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о и ̆ s ~ \ a к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o v i o u s-¿ i \pi \iota \in ́-~$ The Athenians and al.
lies sinvade Arcadia and reduce (orchomenos.






















 to attack 'Teg'山.











Praceedincs at lacedaemon in respect of Abis.



















 оть, єı $\mu \eta$ тарєбоขтаі є $\epsilon$ таХ $є \iota$, атобт $\eta^{-}$ бєтаи aùtồ Tégea $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' \ p \gamma \epsilon i ́ o u s ~ к а i ~$ Inantinea, hearing of the attack on Tegea.















 ì $\nu \mu \eta$ à $\theta$ рóoıs каì $i \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu a \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$










Batte de. clined by Aにに, whw draws the chemy from their pusitim.
 треб
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 $\pi о \lambda є \mu$ iovs．
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 Sots moteîv.
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 \акєбаєرорíovs $\delta \iota \in ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu ~ к а і ~ к и к \lambda \omega \sigma с і ́ \mu є \nu о \iota ~$







































 $\underset{\substack{\text { Insss on limetli } \\ \text { sitic }}}{ }$ sides.



















 тoìs àmì Kopì $\theta_{0 v} \kappa a i ̀ ~ є ้ \xi \omega ~ ’ I \sigma \theta \mu o \hat{v} \xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s$
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${ }_{5} \lambda$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ т





 The Lacelac. oi \акє
 Argos. és Tégeav خóyous $\pi \rho o u ̛ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi o \nu$ és тò

















 traty Iacednominn and Argos.



















 aúт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ é $\chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi a \nu \tau a s ~ \delta \grave{~}$ тoîs $\xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s$













Terms and conditions of alliance between Lacedatmon and Argos. oเs $\sigma \pi о \nu \delta$ кis каì $\xi v \mu \mu a \chi i a \nu$ єi $\mu \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta_{-}^{-}$

















 $\kappa а т т a ̀ ~ \pi а ́ т р \iota а ~ \delta \iota к а ́ \zeta є \sigma \theta a \iota . ~$


The allies make overthres tu Perdiceas. The Athenians sive up their worhs at Epidaurus.
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 тùs $\sigma \pi$ ovoris aútoì oí＇$\triangle \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o u ~ i \pi e ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ́-~$
 $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇к $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi i a s$ каi oi $\mathrm{M} a \nu \tau \iota \nu \eta \hat{\varsigma}$ ，effect an oli－










 $\pi \circ \lambda \in ́ \mu \omega$ ย̇тє $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\tau} \tau a$ ．
 фpoúpiov

 Counterrevolution in Argos. Long walts begun. тро́тєроу ё $\chi$ оита каӨібтаитт. каі ' $\mathrm{I}_{\rho}$ -














 av̉тoîs бтратєv́єıv e’s "A pros, סıatpıßaì Sè кaì $\mu \in \lambda$ - 20










 тò $\theta$ ө́pos є̀тє $\lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau a$ ．


 Kop $\theta^{\prime} \omega \nu^{\circ}$ रंगиp


























pedition of
z the Atheniaths against Mpinos.


















 Men.. いi
cussion be.
tweren the Ithenian envoysand Meliais combmia. sioners.
















 $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu$.







 ठокєî, $\boldsymbol{\iota} \gamma \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$.




































 ov̉火 $\dot{a}^{\nu}{ }^{3} \delta^{\prime} \dot{́} \xi a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$;




[^5] єіко́s, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о и ́ s ~ \tau е ~ \mu \grave{~ \pi ~ т о \sigma \eta ́ к о \nu \tau а \varsigma, ~ к а i ~ " ̋ \sigma о \iota ~}$







 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota у \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$.





 $\xi v \mu \mu a \chi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \omega \hat{\varsigma}$ ov่ $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ av̉тov's, őтav













MIl.1. 'Il mov «̈pa, єi тoбav́t


 $\pi \hat{a} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta o u \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \epsilon \in \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \prime$.



 бтабӨaı.


















MH.\. Nàє







 $\gamma \omega \varsigma \quad \theta \rho a \sigma v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．





















 ì入óyou $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s ~ i f ~ т o t a u ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta u ́ u o l a . ~ . ~$







 $\pi o \lambda v ̀ ~ \tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$.











 ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon р а \iota \omega \hat{\eta} \eta \mathrm{\nu}$.


































 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \tau$ 'à à ỏp $\theta$ оîvто. бкотєiтє оv๋ע каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$






 mands.

















 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
 athent. ẃs oưסèv úт
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 $\chi \omega$ рíov．
115 Kai＇\рує̂̂ol ката̀ тòv хpóvov тòp aủтòv є̇б $\beta$ a－














 گоуто．каі то̀ Өє́роs є́тє $\lambda \epsilon ข ์ \tau а$.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \prime \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ є́s тìv＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \in \iota \nu$ ，Melos sur－
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## NOTES

## CHAP＇IER I

1．roû $\delta \dot{\epsilon} . . . \theta$ épous－the same words with which the third and fourth books berin；see note on iv．1，1．ai $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$－answered by $\delta 6$ at the beginning of chapter 2 ，the rest of this chapter being parenthetical．A second protasis to the same ofe occurs helow in line 9，oi $\mu$ is Jyidoo．Kriiner however conmilers that this first $\mu \dot{\theta} \nu$ is answered by kai in the next clause，as in

 an end＇，the pluperfect denoting the termination of the treaty and the state of things resulting，as in iv．16，3，тjтє 入e入iodau ràs $\sigma \pi$ obodas．F＇or the terms of the year＇s truce see iv． 117119.

The words $\mu$ éxp $\Pi u \theta i \omega v$ define the time when the treaty actually ended，＇fafter lasting）till the Pythian games＇．For
 our $\eta$＇s＇let this lee the limit of your inaction＇：so i． 51,2, in varpaxia éte入cíta is $\nu$ v́ктa，＇lasted till night and then ended＇：
 is roûro．

Poppo and others take the sense of the chase to be that the truce was ended（and a state of war followed）till the Pythian games＇：but no warlike operations are recordel，nor any hint given of the war beginning again ；and on the contrary it seems clear that Cleon＇s expedition was not allowed to start till after the sacred season．

Other editors think that a nondeseript state of things， neither peace nor war，is meant，which followed the expiration of the treaty．

There seems some justice in Classen＇s view，that Thucy－ dides had intended at first to write only ai piv．．．o七e入èvevto． K $\lambda$ cevp of к．t．$\lambda$ ．and then had inserted a note of time and the account of the cleansing of Delos．

It is ascertained by an inscription that the Pythian games were held in the Delphian month Bucatius，which corresponded to Metageitnion at Athens，and to part of our August and Sep－
tember (see Classen and Jowett). The year's truce therefore which formally expired in Elaphebolion (Mareh-April) was informally prolonged because of the approach of the Pythian festival.
 iv. 119 (fin.) 122,1 , and 123,1 , and this mipht be the meaning here. Most editors however take it to denote either the informal truce after the regular expiration of the treaty, or the sacred truce of the Pythian festival.
 Athenians were naturally anxious to propitiate Apello, who was the mational deity of their enemies, and whose temple at Delium they themseives had lately profaned. They had been excluded from l)elphi by the war, and now that the sacred games drew near, 'what wonder if the peace party availed themselves of this pretext to delay Cleon's proposed expedition: if they mred the duty and wisdom of not trying again the chances of war till the god at Delphi had been fully appeased. His birthplace had heen now completely purified; it only remained in approach his temple with their suppliant offerings at his grat l'ythian festival; to profane it by no din of warlike preparation, but to wait till they should he assured of his favour, in consideration of their devout reverence to his solemnity'.
․ ov katapove örras -the participial construction gives the principal thing in the clause, the suspected impurity of the Delians when their island was consecrated: cf. i. $20,2,1$ I $\pi \pi a p \chi^{n}$, ocovtal típarvor örta dimotaveiv, 'think that Hipparehus who was slain was tyrant'.
7. $\hat{\eta}$ 'in which', in construction a sort of instrumental dative (or perhaps rather dative of oeqasion whan', like ois ch. 49, 1) with avenóvtes: see ch. 7, 10. In sentences of this character, with a participle and a verb, the (ireek intion fonderally differs from the English, and the construction is determined by the participle, especially if it is closer to the depemint word, as it is here to $\dot{y}$. We should say, which they thought they had properly carried out by removing the sepulchres of the dead '.
ib. Tpótepov--the former purification was in 42f. It is described in iii. 101, where a still earlier puritication ly Peisistratus is mentioned, and an account given of the ancient l)elian festivals: sec also i, 8, 1. The $\theta$ jokat are mentioned in both passages.


conast of Mysia near Leshos. Phamaces (ii. 67. 1) appears to have heen satrap of the district near the Hellespont, in which he was succeeded by his son Pharmabazus (vii. fi, 1).
11. $\ddot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta$ тo -this form, which is a virtual imperfect, is used with words of motion, as in is. 48, 6, and 7.4, 1 with iva:

 the sense is that the lelians did not migrate in a body, but as etch chose to go. In ch. B2, is we find the Delians restored to Delos, but others were still at dramyttimm 10 years later (viii. 108, 3).

## CHAP'TER II

1. 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nvaious } \pi \text { eías - these words show that Cleon alone }\end{aligned}$ had the courage and statemanship to uge the necessity of recovering Amphipolis and the other revolted towns as a matter of vital importance. By his influence in the assembly the expedition was decreed. But what is to be said of Nicias, and the other home authorities, who allowed him to conduct singlehanded an enterprise of such moment? At Pylos he had a thoroughly competent collearne in Demosthencs. Now 1200 men at arms, and 300 cavalry, the Hower of the Athenian troops, besides a large force of allies, are entrusted to his sole command. The general assembly very possibly believed that Cleon might suceeed as he had done at l'ylos, but the strutenti could be under no such delusion. They linew that he had no military skill or experience, they knew that he had to encounter Brasidas, and their imbecility or their party-hatred sacrificed an Athenian amy and lost the Thrace-ward possessions.

 vested by the end of the stmmer before; see iv. 133 (fin.). The long duration of the blockade became proverbial; cf. Ar. Vesp. 209 (exhibited in 422),

 script reading Koloф $\omega \nu i \omega \nu$ 入uéva, which is unintelligible, unless it possibly denoted a name derived from some resemblance in appearance. $\kappa \omega \phi o ̀ s \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu=a ̈ \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o s$, silent, as in Xen. He\%. ii. 4, 31. Strabo speaks of a koфòs Xenǵv near Torone; and a harbour south of the city is still called Kufo; see Jowett's note.
i6. Tîv Topovaínv-ambiguonsly phaced (ch. 29, 23). I'oppo) and Krïger comnect it with $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ toilcos, Classen with Xeuirce. The latter way of taking it seems right, as it gives the explanation that the harbour in question was in the territory of Toronc. Torone had been taken by Brasilas in $4: 1$ (iv. 110-116).
2. alo $\theta$ ó $\mu \in v o s \quad \dot{v} \pi$ ' avirouód $\omega \nu$ - the पuasi-passive furce of aio日óucvos = 'informed by', seems sufficient to justify simó, which is read in all the manuscripts. Kriuter would read $a \pi$ ó.
3. ̇̀v Tท̂ Topẃvn se. cïך, a very awkward ellipse. Krïger notes that d $\xi$ เópaxos is not fomd in classical Greek writers besides Thucydides and Herodotus.
4. Es $\tau \eta \dot{v} v$ mó $\lambda \iota v$ - is here denotes approach, not entrance; so ii. $1 \mathrm{y}, 1$, वф申кето is णivónv $\pi \rho$ क̂tov. The harhour here spoken of is different from the кwopos $\lambda_{t \mu i \eta \nu}$. For the infinitive $\pi \epsilon \rho t-$
 win § 97.
 monly used by Thmeydides of the works of a besiewer, not of defensive fortifications (reixos, тeixu $\mu a, \pi$ epipo, os): see ch. 115 , 12 , ete. Possibly therefore $\pi$ forcixioua ought to he read, or тeixufua as in the next chapter, line 8. In Ar. At. $n$. 1 however теритelxiject is used of defensive lines. $\pi$ otท̂नan-i. 109, 3, tas


 passane butween the city and the sulhurb. teixous is partitive grenitive, as in ii. 7.5, 4, ôchósres roû reixous. In iv. 111, 2 we
 Note the demonstrative form which the second clanse of the relative sentence assumes, as in ch. 5, 8: cf, note on iv. 67, 1 ,

5. av̇riv-agreeing with mólıv by attraction; the whole space enclosed is meant.

## CHAP'IER III

 Sas in iv. $1: 22$ (fin.), aecorting to the manuscripts, the Lacedaemonian commandant is called Epitelidas, a mame which most editors alter to I'asitelidas on the strength of the present chapter.
4. ${ }^{\prime} \beta$ เágovto passive; iv. 10,3 (note). Note in this sentence the different force of the imperfects and aorists.

5．ai ès ròv $\lambda_{\text {péva－ai }}$ is read by Poppo and Classen，with one manuscript．If ai be omitted we have the sense＇after＇ being sent round＇．є́ $\gamma \kappa a \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta}-s c$ ，avirós．For the word ef．

 vovol being commeted both with eiontes and $\dot{\xi \nu} \in \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega}$ ，while
 t1）this view airoßoci goes with suveoteourl：hitiger however puts a comma after the word，comecting it with the preceding idórres．ó $\pi$ çós se．otparós：iv．2：5，3．aủroßoєl－primo cla－ more＂tque impet＂（1＇oppo）：usually with eोबiv，as ii．81，3，
 passage．The Athenians at the first onset broke into the city pell mell with the enemy：cf．vi， 100,2 ，кai airois Everé．
 па̃áa．
 conatu opitulandi＇（Poppo）．We are not told where Brasidas was when Cleon＇s expedition arrived，atroox由́v contains a megatice idea of failure or hindrance，and is therefore con－ structed with $\mu \eta$ following．

19．тpotaia Svo one fir each branch of the service． yuvaikas for the omission of the article in regular phrases of freguent occurrence，spe note on iv． 18,3 ，$\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ In this particular phase maiofs kui $\gamma$ poaines is the more usual order．
 tives．＇s tàs＇A日ṅvas－the more usual Thucydidean form，not ＇A $\theta$ ńva＇̌ ：see note on iv．21， 3.

21．autois－the defenders of Torone generally．aritois is the common Thucydidean initial dative，in construction loosely connected with $\alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in v$ ，but in sense enncerned with both the following clanses：＇as for them＇，＇this befell them＇ete．：cf，i．
 Sè tò $\mu$ évov к．т．A．Arnold takes airois to denote the Athenians， meaning that＇they afterwards lost their captives＇．Int this part of the sentence seems entirely to refer to the Toroneans．
 Xa入ntôciv．Note what we may call the resolced apposition civip
 $\gamma \in \nu \quad \mu$ évals－see ch． 18 sq ．

27．$\epsilon i \lambda \lambda_{0} \delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$－note the breaking up of this sentence，so that the emphasis naturally falls on the more importunt words， while the rhythm is duly balanced．

30．$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon-$ by this use of the imperfect Thucydides leaves Cleon on his way to Amphipolis，and passes to another sulject．

## CHAP＇PER IV

A summary of events in Sicily，which has not been men－ tioned since iv． 65.

1．Фaiag Phaeax is mentioned by Plutarch，ili．13，as a contemporary and opponent of Alcibiades：Ar．Fiq．137，

il．тpitos autós－i．46，$\pi$ íилтоs airós：so Heit．iv．113， óvтiрŋи airív，＇with one compranions＇．＇Aөqvaíwv $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi о ́ v \tau \omega v$－


5．$\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{v} \xi v{ }^{\mu} \mu a \sigma t \nu$－the general peace made by the Sicilian Greeks，two years before，on the advice of Hermocrates （iv．65）．то入ítas émєүpáqavтo ‘emrolled many new citizens＇； cf．ascrihure，uscrptus．For imi in composition implying se－ quence and addition，see note on iv． $36,2$.
 the land＇．＇So when an additional number of citizens was wanted at Cyrene，settlers were invited from all parts of cireece， $i \pi i \gamma \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \nu a \delta a \sigma \mu \bar{\jmath}$（Hilt，iv．15！）＇．It was regarded as a revo－ Intionary measure，as shown in Amold＇s interesting note，from which this is quoted．Arnold assumes that the ager publicus of the state is meant，but（irote doubts if there would be such lands belonging to a state like Leontini（ch．57，p．123）．
il．of $\delta$ varoi－here the oligarchical or aristocratical party， who were threatened with the loss of some of their possessions or holdings：；i．24，3，o ôntoos istôiwèt rois owatoús．In ii．65， 1 ， oi Suvaroi is used to denote men of wealth and position，as con－ trasted with the ofnuos or mass of the people，without implying political partizanship．aio日ó $\mu$ vor－sc．the matter；of note on


9．wis＂kaotol so i．3， 4 etc．：see note on iv．32，2．ép
 ठxov．＇$\pi$ i modıteía－on condition of receiving vitizenship＇： ch．31，9：for ini implying conditions of．iri roioôe，i\＄申＇$\dot{\psi}$ ，etc．： also Hdt．cited on line 7.
 with dat．＇to be pleased with＇．ámodıtóvtes ék－．so iii． 10,1 ， with é rou moléron：this usage is rare．Krüger cites Melt．vii． 221，aútòs ovंк áre＇\เтє，＇did not depart＇．

1．）．ката入apßávovar mecupant：iv．1，1，note．őv－agrec－
 то́т $\epsilon$＇as related＇；ch．6，1，etc．
 of＇settling down to＇is course of warfare：ef．i，59，2，kaza－ otivtes imolé $\mu \mathrm{l} \nu$ ：so ii．1．In i． 49,2 ，however，кataotávtes íáxonto is used of soldiers who were＇firmly posted＇on ship－ board．Some editors therefore take the meaning here to be， ＇when they had established themselves＇．ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon ⿺ \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ from the strongholds in question，each of which was a reixos（Classen）．
 and the Dorian colony of Camarina were in alliance with Leontini and joined in appealing to Athens．$\Sigma$ เкельóтas－ Gireek colonists，as opposed to the Eise hoi，the peneral mame for the non－（ireck inhabitants：of．vi． $2,5 . \operatorname{low}_{n}$ is to be taken with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ ．
 $\pi р a \hat{\gamma \mu}$ ，＇went arainst them＇．трâץua，has no doubt the notion of political intrigue which is so often conveyed by $\pi \rho a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ ：e．g．

il．oúkét－＝he gave up his intention．Ė $\pi i$－in a friendly



28．Sเ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \Sigma$ ธкє $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$－through the interior；the $\Sigma เ \kappa \in \lambda$ oi occupied the central and northern parts of the island．mapa－ Oapoúvas－＇reassured＇；iv．115，1：viii．77．We are not told what became of this garrison．It was probably reduced and expelled by the Syracusans；cf．vi．6，1，єi ミuparóotot Aeovtivous


## CHAPTER V

1．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ тapaконı $\delta \hat{\eta}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．the article applies to both sub－ stantives，which are equivalent to＇on his way to Sicily and
 change of exports and imports．
 only here with the dative（Classen）：i．87， $4, \dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime}$ äm $\epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu \chi \rho \eta$－



4．rois ex Mєoनívŋs－the definite article is explained by what follows：the circumstances have not been mentioned before．The Epizephyrian Locrians had joined in occupying Messene in 425 （iv．1，1），but only with a naval force．Messene from the earliest days had been a centre of faction；see the
necount of it in vi. 4. Ėтoikots 'vettlers' or cononists; ii. 27,

$\therefore$ ékтєттшкóolv-part of the epithet, hat placed after the substantive, as is not uncommon, especially with participles;
 $\boldsymbol{v}$ ero-the second division of the relative comstruction, put in demonstrative form ; see note on ch. 2,16 .

 'those name'y ctc.', with $\pi$ poskouisouevors as a correction in one. Bekker suggests атокощиоцivous. Classen would omit both words, as being an interpolation. For other instances of an article which we might at first sight think better away, of. iv. 46, 3, rov's i $\lambda$ Dóvras, and Jowett's note on iv. 33, 2, oi <то$\sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon$.
10. tois 人okpois $\pi$ pòs aúróv-render between him and the Locrians'; see iv. s0, 2, note, and Shilleto on i. 17, 1.
14. кateix $\quad v$ - кaré $\chi \omega=$ 'to con-thain' or 'pess hard on';
 ©́xev. For 'Itavéas kal Mèaious, mbinusin people, Classen adopts 'I $\pi \pi \omega^{\prime \prime}$ uitas кai Me Muaious, from mames of places found in Strabu. 1 $1 \pi \omega v i \in a s$ serins the right furm (F.). Nute the definite article with mó $\lambda \in \operatorname{sos}$, as in lime 4: thongh the war has not been mentioned before.

## CHAPTER VI.

1. тótє-see the end of ch. 3. $\sum$ тaүєipw-Stageirus juined Brasidas soon after Acanthus, iv. 88: for Cralepsus see iv. 107,2 . The article with ditowiav is a Thacydidean manmerism, sometimes implying "the well-known' or 'the before-maned" colony; lut often used with no especial detinitive force.
2. Пер 6 ккаи this fickle prince had made prace with the Athenians two years before, after quarrelling with Brasidas, is.


3. ägovia. 'who was to brine': (Classen :1phnoves the sur, frestion diortas, whering to the envoys; cf. wh. 80, 20: ch. 81,

4. Kal aútós 'on his sille' : the wonds helong to ávetexa-
 aíroi: so ch. $8,2$.
5. 'Apyidicu-see ir. 103, 2. For the genitive of. iv. 41,

 phipolis，which lay to the east of the Strymon in a bend of the river；see iv．102， 2.
 äv édater．It is however a mere repetition，and probably ought to be mitted or altered to airov．（＇lissem adopts the alteration， for which there is some slight manuseript authority．
 $\dot{a} \alpha \beta \vec{j} \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a l$ ：the eonstruction being appositional and explana－


 $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \varphi \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota$ ．


 ats dwelling on the peninsula of Acte：in i．100， 3 as once holding＇Evעéa ósoi（Amphipolis）．

21．Mupkıvíwv－Myrcinus was an Fidonian town（is： 107, 3）， 2 ．of Amphipolis．$\xi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi a v$－predicate，＇in all＇．For Sıoxi－


 paytes．

27．$\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} K \lambda \epsilon a \rho i \delta o u-C l e a r i d a n$ was sent out from Sparta and appointed governor of Amphipolis the year before，is．132， 3．For the Ionic 3rd plural＇́tetáxato（＝$=\nu \tau 0$ ）see note on iv．


## CHAPTER VII

2．téws $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ followed by èmata without $\delta^{\prime}$ ，as is often the


 gralled at remaining inactive＇．

 кака́．oĺко日є stamhs first for the sake of emphasin．Several editors approve of lohree＇s alteration of $\xi u v \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$ into $\xi_{n} \nu \epsilon_{幺}^{\zeta} \hat{\eta} . \lambda-$ Oov．àveтtorquoovivŋs－incompetence＇；only here in Thucy－ dides，though the adjective is found more than once．
 ch．30，1．S九à tò．．．ка日 $\eta \mu$＇́vous－a confusion between the par－
ticipial construction and oba tó with the infinitive ; so iv. ©is, 1, ôà

 expression 'owing to their (being) :tationary', like iк тoú imi meiotor, i. 2, 2. Stah! takes nai oi poisóuevos by itself 'although he did not wish it'; and makes ai'tois govemed by avalasiav joyer. The result is a sentence which can indeed be construcd, but could scatreely hase heen written by anyone, while auitors is in an almost impossible position.
 it means calling his men to their ranks and setting his army in motion.
il. Expグбато тஸ̂ тро́тн- - he adopted the principle the success of which at Pylos save him confilence in his ability". He prepared, that is, for a general assault upon the city at all points. $\tau$ póme is then explained by what follows, and denotes the plan of attack. Many editors take тpóm of Clem's 'temper of mind and rash contidence; but would not this saggest the imperfect ather than expmoaro? The sense is certainly good, and the aorist mipht imply a sudden fit of rashmess. $\dot{\psi} \pi t \in \rho$ is an instrur ental dative, or dative of accompaniment,

11. és rìv חúdov-the preposition és is very loosely used by Thncydides to denote relution of any kind. Here it means the operations ut or • in respect of ' Pylos, or Pylos is used in a general sense and includes Sphacteria. фpoveiv ri-'to have

18. кardi $\theta$ éav - 'to reconnoitre' : this phrase, like some of the rest of the sentence, sounds like a contemptuous reminiscence of Cleon's actual worts; cf. iteâro infr. and ch. 10, !.
14. Tท่v $\mu$ eiţ --as opposed to his mapoí $\sigma$ a $\sigma$ faria. ch. 6, 18: see the beginning of ch. 6. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. with the certainty of success which a superior force would ensure: a sort of in-


 71 and elsewhere it is nsed of outhanking or extending heyond an enemy's force. $\beta$ ia aipeiv is the regular phrase for taking by assault, as opposed to a siege.


 Amphipolis of such importance as the ley of the Thrace-ward district. $\dot{\operatorname{mit}}$ Tnी Opqun-'over aqainst' or 'commanding

22. Kai $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ovi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ - the negatives here require attention: ovéce, 'also not', joins the whole sentence to what has gome before, while oürє...oürє comnect $\grave{\epsilon \pi i}$ тoû тєiरors and кaтà míhas



2.4. $\mu \eta \chi$ avás-used nipecially of scaliner-ladders, according to Poppo. кar $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \boldsymbol{v}$ this reading is mobably right, and means 'landed', referring to Cleon's arrival at Rion ; cf. Eur. Iph. T. 39, ös äv кati $\lambda \theta \eta$ rívore j ìv. This view is approved ly Grote and Shilleto. The meaning is that Cleom regretted that he had not brought his own siege appliances, instead of waiting till they could be made, or furnished by the allies who were expected. Such things were soon constructed: thus the Spartans sent round the coast $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ sida is $\mu \eta \chi a \nu a ́ s$ as soon as they resolved to attack Pylos (iv. 13, 1).

Arnold takes кarî\} \forall \theta , \nu to mean that Cleon hat descended from the dó申os raptepós towards the city with a part of his forces. No such movement however is mentioned. Poppo approves of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ (sc. from Eion), which Clasisen adopts. This reading has some support from the fact that some inforion manuscripts have $\alpha \pi \bar{\eta}, \lambda c \nu$. Krüger sumgests in $\theta \theta c \nu$.

## CHAPTER VIIT

2. kal aùzós-see note on ch. 6,11 . The words do not necessarily imply a corresponding descent from anywhere on the part of the Athenians, but simply show that Brasidas by coming down made a counter-movement on his part.
3. $\delta \in \delta$ เẃs - 'mistrusting', 'having misgivings about'; of.


 possibly however imoóє́बтєpos should be read. ávrimada, according to the scholiast, agrees with érátєpa $\tau \dot{a}$ бтратєímata. Poppo and Classen however take it to mean 'things were fairly matched', comparing iv. 117, 2, àvтiтaגа катабrijбavтos:

 d'乡เผ́ $\mu a \tau \iota-$ 'quality' (Jowett).
4. kaӨapóv-the idea of кa0apús is ' clear' or 'cleared’. As applied to troops it means either (1) 'picked men', clear of inefficients, or (2) according to the scholiast =au' $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda_{6}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'of pure Athenian blood', with no admixture of aliens. In

IId．i．211，we have toif kabapoû orparoî＇，＇the effective force＇， contrasted with toil axpmion ：and in Hett．iv．135，to кäapò roû otparoû is contrasted with sick troops left behind．Classen
 $\dot{\text { áperŷ nai ìntría rò nadapúratov．These pascages are in favour }}$ of（1）．

9．$\Lambda \eta \mu \nu i \omega \nu$ каi ${ }^{\prime} I \mu \beta$ pí $\omega \nu-$ cf．iv． $2 \Omega, 4$ ，where we find Lem－ nians aml Imbrians chosen by Cleon for his expedition to Pylos．＇Lemmos had been taken and colonized by Miltiades a few years before the battle of Marathon（Hdt．vi．i40）．Imbros was，I snspect，colonized also by him about the same time＇ （Amold on vii．57，2）．
 ciple is joined to the verb without $\dot{\omega} s$ ，as in ii． 91,1, тaperserai－弓оуто $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu о и ́ \mu \in \nu o l, ~ e t c . ~$

11．ávaүкаíav－＇make－shift＇，such as àvájкク compelled
 тарабкєиグ。
 likely to succeed t！an if he came upon then lefore there had bean time to ohsure him，and when as yot they had no real groumds for their contempt of him（Jowett）．ov $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o v-$ jovoov，the usual litutes．aúrûv is the whjective genitive， referring to rois $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ialtoî，and dependent on the active words $\pi$ роó $\psi \epsilon$ cos and катафpovijvews．In the last clanse $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is a redundant negration，the nogative form of the sentence being： already determined by ăvev．

13．árò toû övros the preposition denotes the source or ground of the contempt which the enemy would feel，if they
 ＂starting from，i．e．hacked by equal defences＇：is．18， 2 äri
 oricin are various adverhial phrases with aró，e．p．in the follow－ ing chapter，line 14.

Classen and others resprict the furee of arrol the tirst clanse，and make saraфporjofocs dependent on uij äó，taking the whole clatse as equivalent to $c i \mu$ in tô untos nataqpon iociau ＇if the enemy should not despise their real wrahness＇．liat， not th insist un the co－relation of the nomas compled by $\tau \in$ athl sui，$\tau \dot{0}$ ön is a strange expression for their real strength ：thongh cizò roî ürros is a reasomable adverbial phrase．Moreover such a constrnction would ref．r to the main subject of the sentence，and would imply contempt felt ly Brasidat for the enemy，a meaning which make nonsense of the passage．

 in an isolated state; iv. 11, 2, oi ävópes àme入a $\mu$ ßávovto.

## CHAP'RER IX

1. ámò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oilas the protasis introduced by $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ contrasts generally the Lacedamonians with their Ionian foes, the apodosis with $\overline{o t}$ deals with the particular enterprise which
 $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ रúpas, the construction of the previous clause being repeated.


 Poppo on i. 121, 1 cites other similar passarges.
 The perfect participle implies that the fact is to be considered settled once for all.

 to assailing you'. imiðecpê with dative is often used in the sense of attacking.
2. to te kai odiyov the reading is not certain. The best manuscripts have $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon$, which leaves the sentence withont a nominative to тapár $\chi$ ?]. Poppo and others read tó $\tau \epsilon$ : Classen considers the connexion of $\tau \epsilon$ and kai out of place here. and reads $\tau \varphi(=\tau \omega c)$ tò кat ódijov. For kar' ỏ $\lambda$ (yov. • in small divisions', see note on iv. 10,3 . 'ev $\delta$ Gès-lit. 'deficient’, i. e. a disadvantage.
3. oúk äv è $\lambda$ ríararas - - because they never could have expected`; taking äp with the participle. Possibly however it is merely anticipatory, and belongs to the following subordinate construction where it is repeated; cf. Eur. Med. 941, ouk oî̀ $\hat{a} \nu \in i \pi \in i \sigma a \iota \mu$. The following aorist optative with $\dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} a ̈ \nu$ is a rare instance : $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i j \omega \nu$ 的 with the future indicative oweurs


 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi เ \nu a i \omega s$ oür $\omega s$ ė $\pi เ \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t a \nu$.
4. karà déay -see ch. 7,13 . With the whole phrase we may compare ii. 10,1 , $\pi \rho$ òs é $\rho \gamma$ а $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu$ '́vots.
 trpós－＇looking to，having regard to＇，i．e．making the most of the furce at his disposal．Brasidas did not feel strong enough to fight a pitched battle．

14．aimò toû．．．àvtitapatax日évtos－by way of counter－ aray．The use of the neuter participle for an abstract sub）－ stantive，or as equivalent to to with the infinitive，is peculiar to Thucydides among prose writers；e．g．i．36，1，rò मìv ôeoेòs aúroû


 $\nu \kappa \eta$ Hiv，ii，87，2，is somewhat different：cf．тò $\pi \circ 0$ oilv，Soph． Phil．（i7t；Truch．196．See Goorlwin § 108 ；Madv．§ 180.

17．к $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$＇stratagems＇；Dem，de Cor．236，$\kappa \lambda / \mu \mu a$
 mote on iv．1，1． ä is cognate accusative after ámar $\dot{\eta} \sigma a s: ~ c f . ~_{\text {is }}$
 iv． 18,3 ，etc．

21．＇̇छ $\hat{\omega} v$＇jpoi фaivovrat－＇from what thry clearly louk like to me＇；the personal construction，such as is usual with
 $\theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma t \nu$ ，山́s фaivet．

 $\mu e v o r$ ．Classen adopts liriger＇s sughestion of $\xi u v r a \theta \eta$ pat for the following $\xi u v \tau a x$ Өŋpar．No doubt the converse of avinur＇to slacken＂is emercive＇to tighten＇，which is commonly found metaphorically used like the Latin intendo．Eyvecive is also used in a somewhat similar way，e．g．Plat．Plutid．！！w，atsanti－

 may well he a military metaphor，contrasting a close and firm array＂of mind with the＇loose and feeble＇condition inplied


23．Sógav－＇ideas＇，lit．＇expectation＇；as in the well－known passare ii． 12 （fin．），äu वккцй $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ óójns．Brasidas means that the Athenians＇ideas would be all abroad，in the confusion caused by a sudden attack．

27．Tov̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ eavtoû－－see the enid of ch． 6 for the amount of the force under Brasidas and Clearidas．

30．モ̇тєк日єiv．．．ėteíyєo $\theta$ at the only instance in Thucydides of the infinitive used for the 2nd person imperative．The con－ struction，which has the subject in the nominative，is chiefly cpic（Goodwin，§ 101）．The word enecteiv is used in iv．3．1，1， of suldiers in the field meeting the desultery attacks of light troops．




36．vopíarte cival toû к．t．$\lambda$ ．it is possible in this sentence
 which case to should be omited before aioxureatat．More probably however the qualitios of a good soldier are expressed by three comblinate infinitives．Classen makes this sense clearer by reading vopiaate tpia cival（with Stahl），in aceord－
 $\pi$ ohequin．In similar sontentions phrases a number is often
 by three accusatives．Classen also repeats to with $\pi \in i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, as being necessary for a clear coordinate sense．
 aioxuv＇，＇self respect＇，＇a sense of honour＇：ii．13，1，ip tois ëprous aioquópevor．aioẃs is used in the same way；see i．84，



38．$\ddot{\eta}$ aya日ois к．т．$\lambda$ ．this sentence is complicated by the addition of accessory mattur subordinate to the main ideas． Hemoving these accretions the outline stands thus：－（vomioart）

 $\gamma \in \nu \in \dot{s} \theta a \iota ~ e ̀ \lambda e r t \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \in \omega s$ ．The choice put forward is between two pairs of things，all of which are in construction expressed as suljeets of $\boldsymbol{v} \pi$ appetv．In the second half of the sentence the order is inverted by the figure called chiasmus．
ib．ajya日ois $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v o \mu e ́ v o s s . ~ ' i f ~ y o u ~ q u i t ~ y o u r s e l v e s ~ l i k e ~ m e n ' . ~}$
 or the like in the correspondin＇s clause．кєк $\lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \mathrm{c}=$＇the title

 permanently acquired．
 scripts．If we retain it，we must suppose that a corresponding kai was intended，but owing to the insertion of additional clauses roîs $\delta \underset{\text { t }}{ }$ 入olmoîs is written instead．With $\delta o u$ uols under－ stand кєк入 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．It is possible to supply $\gamma \in \nu^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，but the sense is not so forcible or the rhythm of the sentence so good．
 superlative of $\epsilon \imath^{i} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon u^{\prime}:$ vii． $71,1, \mu \grave{\eta} \chi \in i \rho \omega \pi \rho \alpha_{\xi}^{\prime} \xi \omega \sigma$ ．Krüger quotes on instance of the adjective thus used from Xen．Anab．

of tà cipusta. àvסpartoठto $\mu \mathrm{ov}$-selling or carrying aft into slavery, while ooveia is merely a general though invidion, word for subjugation of any kind. As Arnold says, 'סocitos is the general term, applying equally to political and domestic slavery; civópároóon applies exclusively to the latter'. aroparo$\delta \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime}$ s, like the following forms in wors, is active in force. Oavárwors is not found elsewhere till l'lutareh.
42. kal Sounciav-this clatu-e is luosely connected with the last but one. In construction obocician is cither to he taken with imáp $\in c v$, in conformity to the main outline of the sentence, or it is a cognate accusative comnected with ooedos, as if jorגeíoroc (dative participle) had been written. In illustration of
 típappos tề örtı doîlos $\tau \alpha$ s $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma t a s ~ \theta \omega \pi$ cias kai bollcias. To this we may add the construction of arıpiay after arifors є̇пolvoav in ch. $34,15$.
47. Epyo- - in deed', opphesed to rapausioal. It is not governed hy ite ${ }^{\xi}$ enteiv, which does not take the dative in the sense of 'carrying out' or 'prosecuting' a plan, hut cither stands absolutely as here, or takes the acconsative. See note on
 similar in construction to the present passage.

## CHAP'TER X

1. of $\mu$ év note the force of the followinge innurfects. anil of the coordinate construction with $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ and $\dot{\delta} \dot{c}$. While Irasidas, having made his speech, is now getting ready to sally out, Cleon is told of a movement on the enemy's part.
2. K $\lambda \in a p i \delta a$ - Doric greuitive; ch. 2., 2. ini HAartiAa: so i. 103,2 , tô̂ dios toî 'I $\theta$ werita. In (h), 6, 27 we have
 led ont on the north-eastem sile of the town mear the shore of the lake. The accusative with ini denotes the quarter or direction in which the troops under Clearidas were appointed to serve.


万. $\boldsymbol{i \pi \epsilon \xi}$ 'olev-here $i \pi i$ in composition prohably denotes the attark to be made hy a resere force, though it may simply mean 'sally out to attack'.

 faidos and the two following present participles. For the construction ef. Hilt. v. 26, сітьópevol фavepoi ciouv: so Ar.
 constructed like the corresponding verb.
 Lid. and Scott. $\theta$ onopevou-the middle is nsed of the general who took the anspices ly cansing victims to be slain; so ch. 5.5 . This use of the word is common in Herolutus and
 to Kriuser and others referring to ououtvou and denoting the ceremonial accompaniments of the sacrifice.
9. rót $\epsilon$-refering, as does rìv otav, to what has been alrealy said in ch. 7, 21. äтaoa is emphatic, for Cleon thought the city was feebly guarded; see the latter part of ch. 7 .
11. $\dot{\text { uno }}$ rds...viroфaivovtat-the meaning is not perfectly clear, for "mó may mean cither 'under' or 'close up to', and similarly íтофаivoная may mean either 'apparere sub' or 'subapparere'. To translate 'The feet of horses and men are to be seen under the gate' gives a good sense; for the Athenians, as shown in Arnold's note, might have got up close to the walls, and the roadway being worn hollow there would be a space at the bottom of the gates. For the accusative ef. ii. 17, 1, to Hedaryusò tò $\dot{u} \pi \dot{o}$ tipl áspómoder. On the other hand the reudering 'there are signs of', cte. gives a more feeble conclusion of the sentence, cspecially after äraбa...фаעepá in the preceding clause, and is therefore, I think, less desirable, though yuite consistent with the Greek. With this latter view it has been rather oidly sungested that módes may mean the sound of horses' hoofs.
 finitive after a nerative is rate in the Attic poets, but more frequent in Attic prose' (Goodwin § 106, 2) : so i. (is, 2, oú $\pi$ piv
 dative of with $\eta$ そєเv, a construction not uncommon with $\dot{\varepsilon}, \lambda \in i v$.
 to this cognate construction. The nearest is from Xen. Anab.

 retiring force'. Classen reads na $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, with some manuscript authority. $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, like $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \omega$, is a technical
 We might rather expect ajmoûc without roîs: see however note ou ch. 5,8 . oi ámóves are the troops who had begun to carry out the order of d $\alpha \mathrm{vax}$ áp $\eta \sigma$ is.
 Arnold's shows how things stood. "The army was drawn up in line fronting Amphipolis, and as the left was nearest Eion,
the movement of retreat would naturally begin with that part of the army. Neantime the right should have maintaned their position, and continued to face the enemy, in orider to check pursuit till the other part of the army was fairly on its march to Eion'.
18. ímáyєtv-of an orderly and deliberate retreat: so is.
 of the river, like Amphipolis.
 must compare such phrases (mostly negative) as oik air $\hat{\psi}$
 has (lit. gets) time', yi $\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \tau a t$ instead of iotl implying a change in the look of circumstances: so iv. 68,5 , $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon i a$ ob auirois
 no longer thought it necessary for the right wing to stand fast in order to cover the retreat, but supposed that the whole army might be safely withdrawn.
$\sigma_{X} 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$ - 'at l isure', i.e. in a slow and dilatory manner, has some manuscrip: anthority, and is read by liriger and Classen. It would mean that Cleon became umeasy and impatient at the time taken to carry out his orders, and so made a premature movement which proved disastrous. The subject of $\gamma$ lqveo日a is then to be supplied from the context; as in ch. 64, 20. For the adverbial use of oxolņ cf. iii. 46, 2, ci tò aúrò סivata $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha l \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} v \xi_{\imath} \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.
 town in line, looking west; they now faced to the left, and the line beeame a column heading southwards towards Eion. The right flank, which was not covered by the shield, was thus exposed to attack. For the military usage of ériotpi申t and ims-
 1015, obailur drôpùv imiotpoфal. We have tà yvpvá in ch. 71,6 ,

21. Ötь-introluring the actual wotls; sn i. 137, 4, "ômlow $\delta^{\prime}$
 Iriuger reals $\mu$ chovoc, but the present seems more forcible and appropriate. Kribger also reads $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o t \delta \eta^{\prime}$ and objects to rovs érióvras as otiose, and probably a gluss. In similar sentences however of often introlnces an explanation or reason for a preceding statement. And entóntas has considerable forec, viz. that 'a smden miset' would be likely to rout unsteady tronps like the Athenians.
27. divotyéro-apoiz $\omega$ is the usual Thutydidean form; iv. 68,$3 ; \mathrm{iv} .74,1$, etc. The imperative uso of ris commonly implies 'any one (every oue) concerned', as in ch. 20, 6; but tho usage here is slightly different. às eip $\eta$ rat-sc. àvoizciv.
29. $\tau \alpha \dot{s}$ é $\pi \ell \tau$ ò $\sigma \tau \alpha u ́ p \omega \mu a \pi$ ú入as-Thucydides docs not tell us what this $\sigma$ raip $\rho \mu a$ was; but Grote's view is probably right, that it was an outwork constructed by Brasidas to secure the bridge over the Strymon. We learn from iv. 102,2, that Amphipolis stood on a peninsula and was fortified by a wall from a point in the river's course above the city to a point below. In iv. 103, 4 we find that the bridge, which seems undoubtedly to have been below the city, was some distance from the fortress, and was not then connected with it by walls. Brasidas however had now held Amphipolis for eighteen months, and would no doubt have secured his hold on the bridge, the possession of which was of vital importance. He appears to have constructed a palisade, extending from a point in the city walls, and touching the river at some point below the bridge, which was thus brought within the line of defence. It is plain from the first part of chapter 8 that Brasidas had full command over crossing the river when he pleased.

The 'rate to the stockade' then led first into the space enclosed between the original wall and the new outwork; while the 'first gate in the long wall' was above the starting point of the stockade, and led directly out. The words тórє övros show that the works had been altered when Thucydides wrote.

 $\pi о р \in \dot{v} \sigma \theta a$ ). тavitŋv denotes the road at the place spoken of, further explained by ทi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ к.т. $\lambda$. ouitos thus used is often to be rendered 'that'. evéiav is predicate; lit. 'taking it straight'. The meaning is that Brasidas led his men straight up towards the ridge on which Cleon was posted; see ch. 7, 18. то̀ картєpútarov seems to be the steepest part of the ascent to this ridge, which connected the hill on which Amphipolis stoot with the higher ewinmee of Mount Paygaeus to the east of the city.


38. $\xi u v \in \mathfrak{\xi} \eta \tau \epsilon$ 'aul so it fell out'. This phrase, as Classen points out, is used of 'various ooncurrent circumstances', as in ch. 14, 1, ete. Here howerer it rather sums up and states the general result of 'concurrent circumstances', as phrases with $\tau \epsilon$ are commonly used to conclude an account;


$$
7-2
$$

ib. Kal $\begin{gathered}\text { garivns-probably fo be taken with } \tau \hat{\psi} \text {, theugh }\end{gathered}$ the connexion of an advert with an adjective is certainly awliward. I'oppo therefore proposes, with some manuscript authority, to leave out nai and to take $i$ carivos with the following infinitive, contparing iv. 36,2 , where isanivms and t $\omega$ aं $\delta$ окй $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ occur in the same clatse.
42. eimtraptav- with the dative this wort imples passing
 2 , the same word is used with the accusative of passing along the lines of a friendly army: so vi. 67, 4, with enaora. In iv. 108, 3 , and vii. 76 , we find it without a case following. Similarly $\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \mu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda 0_{0} \nu$ with the dative commonly denote hostile approach, but not so with the accusative. In Xenophon iтtrapetut is used of light troops advancing parallel to a marching army.
43. $\pi \epsilon \sigma$ óvia aúróv-Classen notes that this and viii. 103, 1, are the only passages where the aorist participle is used with aigतavonar to denote what has just happened. In 24 passages the present or perfect participle is foumd. See also ch. 30, 3.
 fended by Arnold as being answered by кai juнivovto, and givine the sense "the right wing not only liept its around, but, though Cleon himself fled, and was lilled, the soldiers formed in a ring and repulsed Cleardas in two or thace attacks'. In favour of this view may be allegel the well known rule that in sentences coordinately constructed with hev and of the clanse with miv (o $\mu \dot{\nu} \mathrm{K} \backslash(\omega \nu)$ is often suhordinate in sense. See note on iv. 80 ,

48. oi סè avitoû $\begin{gathered}\text { guatpaфévtes - the subject of this clanse is }\end{gathered}$
 lying, or closing together on the hill', are in apposition. gootpaфévtes is put out of its grammatical place for the sake of the rhythm of the sentence, as noted on iv. 2.2, 2. ópûvecs tàs $\mu i v$ tapoíras $\delta \lambda i$ yas vaîs, where $\delta \lambda i$ jas is the predicate. Compare


From the position of aúrou we shombl naturally assume it to be the adverb of place rather than the persomal pronom, which would regularly take the otder ni óndirau auroix, as in line 40. Poppo however takes it to he the pronom, and compares
 $\mu a \chi$ ко́v: viii, 48, 4, iv т $\hat{\eta}$ айто̂̀ áp $\chi \hat{\eta}$. But in all these passages the reading airĉ̀v or aúroû can he supported, and io probably riyht; see note on ch. 71,3. Here nt any rate the adverbial
meaning 'on the spot' gives an excellent sense, contrasting the right wing, which stood its ground, with the left, which had retreated.
 rpî̀. With this emphatic use of cai may be compared кal ämavtes, etc. Similar to it is the Tacitean use of qu(uque for even, lit. 'not only...but also'.
53. oütc $\delta €$-Classen reads Krürer's suggestion oüt $\delta \delta$, the
 тò $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon v \mu a$, the subject of the sentence, is resolved by partial


54. $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ิ-t h i s ~ a d v e r b ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ e m p h a t i c a l l y ~ o f ~ a ~ d i s-~}$ astrous or hard-pressed retreat; iii. 23, 4, $\chi$ aौ $\epsilon \pi \hat{\omega}$ s кai ßualws: iv. 25, 6, $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \hat{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. For èv $\chi \in \rho \sigma i ́$ see ch. 3, 14.
 beauty in the simple form of this sentence, especially in the closing cadence. The sound of $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\tau} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ recalls $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \sigma a \nu$ in the funeral speech of Pericles (ii. 42 fin.), and may be added to the reasons for there taking cimid入á $\gamma \eta \sigma a \nu$ absolutely, 'they passed away'.
61. vıкิิбt-'are victorions'; for this use of the present
 n. 5.
63. vekpoús-the article is omitted with vekpoús $1.54,4$ is. 14,4 , etc.: so often with words which are repeatedly found in a particular context, as $\pi$ aîjes кai $\gamma u v a i k \epsilon s$ (of a captured town), $\mu \hat{t} o \mathrm{v}$ (of an army), etc. Such words get in fact a definite force of their own, and can dispense with the article. See note on $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega$ iv. 18, 2, and $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \nu$ iv. 31, 2.

## CHAPTER XI

3. 'equqav-it appears from Xen. Hel. vii. 3, 12 that this was the special honour of founders and benefactors of cities.

The historian says of Euphron, a military adventurer of

 of such honours Arnold cites Cic. l:p. Fum. iv. 12, 3, where we find the Athenians refusing a place of sepulture within their walls to M. Mareellus, ' $q$ nod religione se impediri dicerent; neque tamen idantea cuiquam concesserant'.
5. тєpıf $\rho \xi a v \tau \epsilon$-elsewhere Thucydides uses the forms of
 found Soph. Aj. 593.
6. Évt'f $\mu v o v a t-i \nu \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \nu \omega$, according to the seholiast, likie evroua and $\dot{\varepsilon}$ varije is properly used of offerings to the dead, or to the powers below, as opposed to sacrifices to the gods above. In the latter case the vietim's throat was held up and piereed; in the former its head was struck off on the ground. The
 continuing. Classen considers the style of expression appropriate to a writer who was connected with the neimhourhoud, as we know that Thucydides was (iv. 105, 1).
8. $\pi \rho o \sigma^{\prime} \theta i \sigma \alpha v$ - thus making Brasidas the ir itutury hevo.
 onmmemorated Hagnon's mame as founder. These would inclade a shrine in his honour, if he was duad. He may however have heen still alive, as it was only 15 years since he establi-hed the city (iv. 102, 3). In 499 we find lim in Thrace (ii. 45,8 ). The name of Hagnon oremrs in ch. 19, 2, and ch. 24, 1, among the Athenian signatories, and in viii. lis, 4 , we have a Hachou mentioned as the father of Theramenes.
 monumentum superfuturum crat': cf. Hdt. i. 185, $\mu \nu$ mutiotwa غ $\backslash i \pi c \tau 0$, of material works executed by queen Nitocris. au่тoû
 The actual construction of the genitives is open to some doubt. Probably aưroû depends on olniocws, the more emphatie word standing first. It is however quite possible to take autoù as
 added, as a 'genitive of further definition' and deqends on the combined $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ bбtvov aủrov̂.
11. $\sigma \omega T \eta$ pad $\tau \epsilon$-the two main divi-ions of the sentence are
 is expanded by a subordinate $\tau \epsilon$ and rai, commecting the two ideas which leid the citizens thus to honour Brasidas; gratitnde to Bravidna himself, and a desire at the same time to come the
favour of Sparta. The construction as usual is modified by putting in a fresh governing participle; cf. ch. 28,10 , ópŵvres
 $\dot{\eta} \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.


15. ópoícs-probably 'as formerly': so i. $99,2, \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ oúsṫt ouolws $\epsilon \nu$ j $\dot{\delta} o \nu \hat{\eta}$ äpxovres. Krüger however understands 'as Brasidas'. ŋ̀סéws-sc. $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$, according to the scholiast; but possibly"A ${ }^{\text {Hown, for }}$ Hagnon, whether alive or dead, would bo dishonoured in his sacred character of founder by the homage of a revolted town.
18. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}-$ 'so in the great battle of Corinth, fought A.c. 394, only eight Lacedaemonians were killed (Xen. Hel. iv. 3. 1). For such was the Spartan skill and discipline that, till their ranks were broken, they fonght almost without risk' (Arnold).
19. Totaviths-referring to the description already given. $\pi \rho o \epsilon \kappa \phi \circ \beta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is active in force. The word seems to oceur nowhere else in classical Greek: фóß $\beta \sigma$ is is not found and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ фó $\beta \eta \sigma$ cs has no classical authority.
23. ka日íбтavтo-as usual, of politicul arranoments. I'or the imperfect, see note on ch. 3,30 .

## CHAPTER XII

2. 'Papфlas-father of the Clearchus of Xenophon's Analutsis. In viii. 8, 2, and 39, 2, we tind Clearchns holding a command in the Hellespont. ทyov- 'were on their way with'. An intended reinforcement had miscarried the year before owing to the influence of Perdiceas with the Thessalian chici's (iv. 132, 2).
3. 'ss 'Hpák $\lambda_{\text {etav-the Lacedaemonian colony and place of }}$ arms at the foot of mount Oeta: cf. iii. 93, 94: iv. 78, note.

## CHAPTER XIII

2. Mifpiov-unkinown; Amold supposes it to be 'a town of Thessaly, not far from Metropolis, and from the road leading from Pellinaemm and Athamania to Larissa'. Livy speakis of Piera (xxxii. 15) and Pieria (xxxvi. 1.1), for which Pialia or Cieria, the names of known places, have been proposed as emendations.
ib. oi $\pi \epsilon \rho$--including Rhamphias himself; so iv. 33, 1, oi

 instigation of I'erdiccas, had hindered Ischagoras from bringing reinforcements the year before (iv. 132, 2); and Brasidas was obliged to pass thror.gh Thessaly by stratagem in 424 (iv. 78).
ib. Kal ä $\mu a$-giving an important reason, which is further
 feated', clative of the efficient cause.
3. кảkeivos-'he on his part'; lit. 'they themselves were incompetent to carry out the plans which he also had in contemplation'. The kai emphasizes exeives hy a co-ordination which is really false in sense. Jowett compares iv. 62, 3 , тtpepia
 Nal commonly adheres to the standard of comparison (ef. Üstep ral), in English the corresponding word adheres to the person or thing compared'.
4. Tìv eip $\dot{\eta} \eta \boldsymbol{v}$-the article denotes the peace which was thought of and discussed.

## CHAPTER XIV

 in a similar sense. Classen also compares i. 28, 3, غ́тoímot 山̈̈тє: i. 11, ! , סeneivecs wiote, and other like instances. Only one clause is affected ly $\ddot{0}$ arc, after which the general story gocs

 а̇тббтабн.

The subject of eixov is resolved into two divisions, oi $\mu \hat{c} v$
 is continued, chiefly in participial clauses, passing into the indicative towards the end of each division.
 $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$, of the same defeat at Delium. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\Delta \eta \lambda(\omega$-the battle of Delimm was fought in the winter of 424: it is described in iv. 96. It was followed by the reduction of the fort which the Athenians had occupied (iv. 100). For the use of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi l$ for $i n$ or at cf. ch. $15,10$.

 уavtıкой.
$\dot{\rho} \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$ is here used of material power, as in iv. 18, 2, oià $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi a p o i ̂ \sigma a \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \quad \pi u ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s: ~ s o ~ v i i . ~ 63 ~(f i n) ~ o p p o s e d ~ t o ~ d. ं \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu є \iota a, ~$

 $\pi \rho \eta \sigma 0 \epsilon i \sigma a \quad \pi a \rho \notin \sigma \chi \epsilon$, it denotes mental confidence: so vii. 18,
 well-known passages where the word occurs, ii. $43,3, \dot{\delta} \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta s$
 тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$. In both of these passages Liddell and Scott take $\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ in the sense of physical vigour, while Kriiger understands it to mean spirit and confidence.

The passive of $\dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{r} v \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{c}$ seems generally used of eagerness and

 encouraged', iv. 36, 2.


 takes the dative as not governed by $i \pi \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \in i v$, a view which the present phrase confirms.
11. ÉEeoícoav -this form occurs iv. 55, 3; and as a var. lect. for ëōє $\sigma \alpha \nu$ iv. 117, 2. In several passages in obler authors it is the manuscript reading. Grammatical authority is however in favour of $\epsilon \bar{\delta} \epsilon \delta \sigma a \prime$ : see Veitch's Irregular Verbs, under $\delta \ell \omega$.
13. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \in \lambda$ ovto-this word is usually constructel with a
 $\dot{0} \in \xi \dot{\beta} \mu \in \nu=0$. Here üTt is used, probably to avoid the juxtaposition of two participles. ка入ิิs тaparxór-so i. $120,3,6 \dot{u}$

 despondency of the Spartans at this time is described in very similar language. For the misture of participial constructions
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \dot{\delta} \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, and the passages there cited.
16. ó $\lambda$ 'ү $\omega v$ '̇тติv-'within a few years': ch. 74, 2.
18. $\quad$ ev $\tau \hat{\eta} v \eta \eta^{\omega} \omega$-the usual expression for Sphacteria, as in


 followed by $\mu \eta$ : so ii. 93, 2. Similarly $\mu \dot{\eta}$ follows ن́тотom $\hat{\omega}$, ii. 13,1 , and imontciu. iii. 53,2 . тois $\mathrm{k} \xi \omega$-so iv. 66,2 , of $\phi i \lambda$ o $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi_{\xi}^{\xi} \omega$. $\pi i \sigma v v o s$ is found in ii. 89, $4, \tau \hat{y}$ ôvváuct $\pi l \sigma v v o l$, and vi. 2, 6: but not elsewhere in Attic prose. It is used by Herodotus, and by the poets.

 to the rising of the Helots in $465^{\circ}$ (i. 101 serg.).
23. $\xi v v \in ́ \beta \alpha เ v \epsilon-$ see note on ch. 10, 38. тplakovtat́retsmost manuscripts have this form or tpeakoutacreis. Classen. reads tpeaxovtoutecs with one manuscript, on the analogy of i . 2:3, 4, and other jassares where that form occurs. There are however instances of the resolved form in Xenophon: and Thucydides has $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o \nu r a \in t i o ̂ \omega \nu$ in ch. 32,22 . It is in fact impracticable to insist on absolute uniformity even in the same author.
 so that the truce lasted at any rate till 421.
 ch. 10, 27. тivv Kuvorovpiav زiv-on the borlirs of Aroos and Laconia, iv. 56, 3 : see also ch. 41, 6. Another form is Kuvoupla (Hatt. viii. 73 ete.), which is also read here in some manuscripts.
27. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \delta \dot{v} v a r a-i . e$. therefore they must make terms with Athens, as difficulties impendud on the side of Argos.

There is a similar condensation of the loyieal conclusion with ひ̈ore at the end of iv. 85. For the neuter plural áóvóvara cf. note on iv. 1, 2, dंס́v́vara $\eta^{\eta}$.


## CHAPTER XV

3. ov่ fi $\sigma \sigma o v$-the usual litotes for 'especially'; ii. 52 ,

 added in explamation of the genitive. Poppo compares Plat.



 конiбaб交. It is a pregnant use of the preposition implying getting back the men who had been taken in the island: cf. ch.

4. of $\Sigma$ тартьâtal av่т $\hat{\nu}$--' those of them who were Spartans', about $1: 20$ in number, iv. : 38,4 . For the partitive geni-

5. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \mathfrak{l} \tau \in \kappa$ к. $\tau . \lambda .-$ either chief men or no less intimately ecnnected with them', i.e. with the home authorities, who were conducting the negotiations. For the half technical

 denoted some definite rank, though we do not know what.
$\tau \epsilon$ and kal in this sentence have, I believo, a disjunctive
 ópoiws then means, not 'all equally', but 'as much as the $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ rot themselves'; while $\sigma$ фioi refers to the Lacedaemonian government, the main subject of the whole sentence according to sense if not in actual construction.

The words as they stand will fairly bear a satisfactory sense; but many editors believe that there is something wrong in the text, while some consider that $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{o} i \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is a corruption of some form denoting the ómoioc or 'peers', who were the leading caste among the free Spartans. Reiske accordingly proposes $\dot{j} \mu \mathrm{o} i \omega \nu$, and Belker $\quad$ potoos, 'related to them (who were) their
peers', oфloıv referring to ミтaptiâtal. Krüger suggests $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ofoliwv 'belonging to the peers', leaving out ohiot ¿uyreveis as an interpolated gloss. Stahl prefers ópoiot $\sigma$ фio $\xi \imath \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i s:=$ ӓтє о̇ $\mu 0$ îo $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon$ s.

 exovtes, but these last words are a mere paraphrase, and do not help us to determine the reading in Thuerdides. They are at any rate not sufficient to justify what Classen proposes to

7. ท้p $\ddagger \alpha v \tau 0-$ see iv. 15 seq. The negutiations were broken off by the overbearing conduct and rough behaviour of Cleon, but it must be remembered that the Spartans are not recorded to have offered any terms which the Athenians could fairly be expected to agree to. ovimws-the reading of the best manuscripts, cited from this passage by the grammarians Ihotius and Suidas. oürt has also good manuscript authority.
 $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ denotes movement, as we say 'going on well', and
 ion kai ouolq. Such feminine phrases are common; see note on $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi \\ \text { énavtias, } \\ \text { iv. } 33,2 .\end{gathered}$
11. $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v a ̈ v \delta \in \xi \alpha \mu \dot{v} v o v s-s e v e r a l ~ m a n u s c r i p t s ~ h a v e ~ a ̂ ̀ ~ i v-~$ Bésoutvous : some omit av, or read ivoč̌auivous. There are undoubtedly instances, such as vi. 20, 1, and vii. 67 (fin.), in which the manuscript authority is in favour of $a v$ with the future participle; while in others the future infinitive is found with ar, as in ii. 80 (fin.) and viii. 25 (fin.) In such cases the maunseript reading was generally retained by the older editors, but modern critics onit äy or change the future into the aorist.
 as was then arranged ; the imperfect refers to the time when the treaty was made. toû $\pi \lambda$ eiovos Xpóvou - sce note on ir. 30


## CHAPTER XVI

4. aj $\mu$ фот $f \rho \omega \theta \in v$-at Athens and Sparta.



5. of $\delta$ k... $\delta \iota a \beta a \operatorname{\lambda an} \omega \nu$ - 'and the other because ho fancied that in quict times his rogucries would be more transparent and his slanders less credible' (Jowett). No doubt Cleon had heen the main promoter of war since the affair of Sphacteria; but, according to the historian's own testimony, it is the grossest injustice to ascribe the poliey which he advecated to pervona: 1 motives only and those of the lowest kind.
6. то́тє $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ - 'then, I say'; an instance of ot used resump-

 authority for тórє $\delta \dot{\eta}$, which is adopted by Krüger.
ib. oi $\dot{\epsilon} v$-these two words are found in three manuscripts, and are read by most editors. Classen however omits them, and

 $\sigma \pi \in u ́ \delta o v t \epsilon s$ means 'anxiously promoting', 'eager for'; vi. 10 ,
 howeser no other instance of a substantive thus governed without a dative. This is in favour of Classen's view. I should incline to retain of and omit $i v$.
7. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}$ (av - this word is open to suspicion, as it is not elsewhere used of the civil ascendancy of a statesman in his own city, which would be the meaning here required. It denotes (1) the command in war, as in iv. 91, 2, ij $\gamma \in \mu$ ovias oïons aúroû:
 leadership held by a state such as sparta or Athens. Such leadership would be attained by war rather than by peace; nor were Pleistoanax and Nicias the men to promote the supremacy of their respective countries. It has been surgested that it means a joint leadership of Greece by Athens and Sparta; but to give this force, some qualifying word, such as кow $\begin{aligned} & \nu \\ & \text {, would }\end{aligned}$ ve required. Some editors therefore propose ómóvolav, ó ò ozíav, or some similar word, which gives good sense and agrees with ch. $17,8, \pi \rho \circ \dot{v} \theta \nu \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\xi} \dot{v} \mu \beta a \sigma \iota \nu$.

Classen, following Stahl, takes a different view, and reads $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ aút $\dot{\eta} \nu(\mathrm{sc} . ~ є i \rho \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \nu)$. He supposes that this had been corrupted into $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, and then a substantive conjecturally supplied. Jowett surgrests omitting of iv and referring то́тє $\dot{d} \ldots . . \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ oviay to Clem and Brasidas, not to Pleistuanax and Nicias, 'these (Cleon and Brasidas) being at that time the two great champions for the supremacy of their respective
 gives an intelligible sense to $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu$ viav, but the position of the clause is very awkward, and it seems clear that $\tau \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ought to begin the apodosis.

11．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a-c o g n a t e$ or determinant accusative with фєрб́цегоs．єú фєро́pevos－ch．15，9．Nicias was indeed the only Athenian general of the day who had not met with some great disaster．Demosthenes had been totally defented in Aetolia in 426．The commanders of the Sicilian expedition had been compelled to withdraw ignominiously in 424 ．In the same year Hippocrates was defeated and slain at Delium，and Thucy－ dides lost Amphipolis．Cleon had peri－hed at Amphirolis in 422.

14．ウ＇కюovto－cither（1）absolutely＇was held in honour＇，or （2）＇was so esteemed＇，referring to $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \theta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{v}$ ．In support of the former view editors cite Porson on Eur．Hec．319，тípiov


I incline however to（2），for the use of asitw meaning simply ＇to honour＇，though found in the tragedians，seems not to occur elsewhere in Attic prose；and by rendering the words ＇was so accounted＇we get an excellent sense．It was his repu－ tation as a successfal general as well as his actual suceess which Nicias was ar xious to preserve；and $\dot{\eta} \xi$ louto with this meaning is answercd by ката入ıтeiv óvoua below，just as móvwv $\pi c \pi a i \hat{\sigma} \theta a b$ corresponds to $\dot{a} \pi a \theta \dot{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．Sn Demosthenes（Lapt．
 ár ${ }^{2} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
 fortune by one definite act，such as the conclusion of peace．

15．$\pi \epsilon \pi a \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$ kal aúrós－i．c．кai aủtòs $\pi \epsilon \pi a i ̂ \sigma \theta a t$ ，or rather mavoar is added by a slight clange of construction to govern
 $\chi$ ápev，where other instances are cited liy I＇oppo．

 סьєүéveto－a second compound with oba，emphasizing the fact that Nicias did not retain his good fortune＇throughout


19．kal öftıs－lit．＇and（falls to a man）who＇，ctc．Far harsher constructions with öotis are not unemmon：spe in－ stances cited on iii． $45, \bar{\sigma}, \pi$ oh入 $\hat{\eta} s$ cún $\theta$ cias，$\delta$ stcs olictal：iv． 18 ， $2, \sigma \omega \phi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \delta \hat{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，oit

Thucydiles puts similar words in Nicias＇mouth at the time of the Sicilian expedition，vi． $23,3,0,0$ it ilezevta
 suppose＇，says Professor Jowett，＇that Thueydides wrote these words without intending silently to recall to the reader＇s mind the singular contrast hetween the hopes of mortals
and their final destinies, or without a recollection of the old Greek saying, that no man could be called happy before he died. He who desires only peace may be the author of war; he who aims only at the safety of the state may, by the irony of fortune, be the prime mover in its destruction.'
 matter of (religious) anxiety', explained furthrer by cis ôca к.т.ג. The personal construction may be illustrated by such expressions as Ar. Nub. 1241, Zee's $\gamma \in$ holos $\delta \mu \nu v \dot{\mu} \varepsilon \nu o s$, 'it is absurd to swear by Zeus'.

The form $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta u \mu i a$ seems not found elsewhere in classical Greck. The adjective év0íplos is however common, e.g. vii. 50,
 tevíptov; similarly zevoueiotar is used of laying a thing to heart, as in ch. 32, 6.
 3, è入áx $\sigma \tau \pi$ ттаlovтєs.
24. mapavo $\mu \eta$ Өeîqav-'illegally effected'. This construction is best explained as the passive equivalent of a cognate
 wrong in this', and in the passive this becomes roûto dōeneital, 'this is a wrong act'. The participle here has its predicative
 from failure of the corn'. Classen takes it merely as part of the cpithet, compraring for its position such passages as iii. 56 ,

 $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' 'A $\theta \eta$ valous.

 $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ оїб.

 with dyanci $\theta$ cral. This construction connects two ideas less inmediately than if the simple infinitive were employed. The meaning here is that the result of their persuasion (or bribery) was that the priestess gave oracles in their interest. We have a double construction with ẅare in viii. 45, 3, rol's т $\quad$ enpápxous


28. $\operatorname{ei\pi i} \pi 0 \lambda u$-of extent of time, as in iv. 72,2 , where see note. $\theta$ ewpois àфкvoupévors-' when they cnme on the public behalf to consult the oracle'. 'On a former occasion, when the Pythoness was bribed by the Alcmaconidae to inculente on
the Spartans the duty of delivering Athens from the Pisistra． tidae，Herodotus says，that she repeated this charge not only to the $\theta \in \omega$ pol，who came on the public behalf，but also to any Lacedaemonian who consulted the oracle on his own private affairs．The duties of Occopoi at sparta were performed by the four ILéolot，two being nominated by each of the kings，who were maintained with the kings at the publie expense，and who torether with them read the answers which the oracle returned．See IIdt．vi． 57 ：Xen．Rep．Lac．15＇（Amold）．
iv．$\Delta$ tos viov̂ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ i日＇óou－＇theHeraclidaeat Sparta were helieverl to hold the kingly power hy an inalienable right，derived from the original compact made between their ancestors and the Dorians，when they jointly invaded Peloponnesus＇（Arnold）．

30．duvá́petv－i．e．кará $\gamma \epsilon t$ ，रpríw and similar words， like other words of commanding or warning，commonly take the present or aorist infinitive，in the sense of bidding or of oracular intimation．Sometimes，as in the next clatuse， when promise or prediction is especially implied，we have the

 Goodwin＇s Moods and Tenses，§ 98
 should be obliged to purchase their com at a great price，or that they should find ariculture costly and unprofitahle．ci．aisa is said to be an old Laconian word for a ploudhshare，and cìdăcu to he equivalent to apó⿱㇒日勺儿．Neither noun nor verb are found elsewhere．

31．$\pi$ porpéqua－the run of the sentence secme in favan of taking this as following wiote and，like xpjou，referting to the $\pi$ рó $\mu a v t \iota$ ．（ifammatically it might depend on impteívto， and correspond to $\pi$ tioat in construction．The following av－ róv would then refer to Pleistoanax，the primary suhject，ac－ cording to the rule set forth by Poppo on i．17，1；see note on iv． 50 （fin．）．
ib．фєúyouta．．．＇s－$\langle\in u$＇́get often means＇to be in exile＇，as in this passare，and in ch． 26,25 ．It still however retains the construction of a verb）of motion，and is followed by is rather than by iv．Súkatov－ch．51，3，$\pi$ pòs toे $\Lambda$ ísacov，the on！y other place in Thucydides where the word oecurs．Juyannc was a mountain in Arcadia，with a temple of $Z$ cis Araws．
 1）．$\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ סoкoūбav－propter suspicionem acceptac ob

 the sense of 'to be reputed' is often used like cideor, to imply a judicial decision: $\bar{\delta} \kappa \bar{\omega}=$ ' $I$ am held (


There is some curtuess in the use of $\mu \in T \dot{u}$ júpow to mean 'effected by bribery", and the reading is not free from doubt. Most manuscripts have סóкךбw followed by éws. Suidas in-
 commentators propose jsiphe joкijotas in the same sense. There is however no sign of the existence of ounnots in the sense of 'receiving' connected with $\delta$ 'xo $\mu a s$ : while it occurs several times in Thucydides as derived from ঠокw. סwpoóórそots would be a word legitimately formed from $\delta$ wpoóoseiv, though it is not found in the lesicons; and possibly uetà òpoooór $\eta \sigma=$ or $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \omega \rho \rho \delta \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ is the true reading, or else, as Stahl reads,


33. $ᄁ \mu \mu \sigma v$ т $\hat{s}$ oikías-these words are governed directly by oiкoîdta, and rov $i \in \rho o \hat{v}$ is predicative: it is the partitive genitive, 'belonging to the temple'. Half of the house in which he lived at this time was in the sacred precinet of Zeeus. "The reason was, that he might be in sanctuary at an instant's notice, and yet might be able to perform some of the common oftices of life without profanation, which could not have been the case had the whole dwelling been within the precinct' (Arnold). In the same way Pausanias, when threatened with arrest, fled to the temple of Athene of the Brazen House, кai

$\eta ँ \mu \sigma v$ is found without the article i. 8, $1, \dot{i \pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \sigma$ K $\hat{a} \rho \in s$
 terms which from frequent use acquire a definite force, just as we say 'half the time'. There is too a general tendency to omit the article in such prepositional phrases.
34. фó $\beta \omega \tau \tau \hat{\omega}$-many manuscripts have $\phi \delta \beta \varphi \tau \tau \hat{\omega}$, but $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is the usual form, as in ch. 11, 13.
35. ETt - this was in 426 or thereabouts, for the retreat for which he was banished was in 445 ; see line 32. D)uring his Lanishment his son Pausanias, a minor, was king (iii, 2(6, 2). тov̀s ßaoi入áas-Eurysthenes and Procles, twin sons of Aristodemus; see Hdt. vi. 22.

## CHAP'TER XVII



 $\pi \tau o s \dot{y} \rho \notin \theta \eta$ : and see $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega$.
6. ámó rı̂v $\xi \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} v-\dot{a} \pi \dot{u}$, from', denotes the origin and

 ( $\tau \iota$, routo ete.) is here extended to the substantive: su viii. 90,2 ,
 єкл入оuv.
8. kal róv $\tau \in$-the punctuation in the text is that of Poppo

 - The final result (-órє $\delta \dot{j}$ ) was due first of all to the threatening attitude of the lacedaemonimns; secondly, to the circumstance that the commissioners of both parties were just then finding, after many disputes, a possible basis of agreement, (Jowett). The second division of this sentence is inconveniently long and complicated, and possibly Classen is right in
 beginning a fresh sentence with mapaorien $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ re.

 plained by the scholiast, 'there were threatening demonstrations of an expedition on the part of Lacerlacmon". It is a metaphor from brandishing a weapon at a foe; ef. iv. 126,4 ,
 $\sigma \epsilon i \omega$.


 either the infinitive, or an acensation of the force demanded.
 xofuos, and Arnold therefore approves of $\dot{\text { is }}$ is iatrec)w comparing i. 50, i5, where ws is inimגow is an aceepted emendation of the manuscript reading ies imimiouv. imitelxofós is

 form teixeoubs howevas seems nsed of the construction of hosetile works in general, as in viii. 31, 2, тapeonevajurto is rom
 is followed by modern editors.
12. äца—rightly taken hy Jowett as comecting irpe $\chi$ copeito with $\pi \rho 0 \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta$.
13. Stkatẃ $\epsilon$ ts - 'claims' or 'demands' professedly grounded
 $\pi \rho о \epsilon v \in \gamma \kappa$ óvtav-this sentence has two instances of the renitive absolute with subject understood. троф́ $\rho \omega$, active, iii. \&if, 1: middle, iii. 59, 2 : passive, ch. 26, 24.
iu. $\xi v v e x \omega p$ eito-Classen motes that this is a solitary instance of the passive of this word used impersonally. The imperfect, as he also points out, denotes the slow and difficult settlement of satisfactory terms. For $\omega^{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$, of conditions, ef.

 preceding lldáraıav: so in iv. 1, 1, ait $\hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma a \gamma o \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ follows
 destroyed and the land occupied hy the Thebans (iii. 52 sq.).
 The Athenian claim to Nisaca rested on the same grounds as the Theban claim to Plataea, both places having been compelled by famine to agree to terms.
 $\mu o v a$ rois srumáxors. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ Bot $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$-the alliss pernerally regarded with suspicion a private arrangement between Athens and Sparta; while the Boentians were unwilling to restore Panactum, and the Megarians resented the Athenian occupation of Nisaca. In chapters 30 and 31 we find the partienlar grounds of dissatisfaction on the part of Corinth and Elis.
$22 . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$-added to define further the subject of $\psi \eta \phi \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, all the other states but those mentioned. тov́rots
 $\mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ aútoì $̈ \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon เ \nu$.
26. тáסє-‘'as follows'; cf, 76,20 : iv. 117 fin. 万í vetab єккєұєєрโа... $\bar{\delta} \delta \epsilon$.

## CHAPTER XVII

The provisions of the traty now concluded should be compared with the year's truce made the year before as recorded in iv. 118. 'The fifty years' peace is based mot, like the treaty which preceded it, upon the principle of uti pussidetis, hat on that of compensation. As in the preliminary treaty, the right of access to the Delphic oracle is maintained for all Hellenes; and a clause is inserted guaranteeing the Delphians their inde. pendence. Great concessions are made by Sparta to Athens, chiefly in return for the Spartan captives' (Jowett).

The language of the treaty is sometimes awhward in expression, but the meaning is clear throughout. The mains clauses have the usual infinitive construction alternating with the imperative.
2. kai oi $\xi \mathbf{y} \mu \mu \mathrm{axol-the}$ allies of Sparta alone are mentioned, as in lines 55 and 61. The Athemians seem to have acted independenty. On the other hand we have in is. 119,
 щáхoเs: cf. ch. 47, 3.
4. кowvêv the кotvà iepá are spoken of iii. i7, 1. They were 'those of Delphi, Olympia, Nemea, and the Isthmiun Neptune, at which the four great national festivals of games were celebrated; that of Jupiter at Dodona, posibly of Alate in Phocis, and any others at which oracles wero delivered (Arnold).
ib. Kal lévar-apparently, as Amold points out, a provision for the safe conduct of worshippers on their way to the temples, as well as in performing their religions oftiees when theres. The position of the words atter ofece is awkward, and they are bracketed as donbtful hy Kriiger and Classpm. Arnold however justly observes that in all formal instraments many words are inserted to prevent the prossilility of evasion, which in ordinary langage would be deemed sunpethoms.
5. $\theta$ ewpeir-to go, or semd envoys to the games: viii. 10,1 ,
 clnsion from the games was considered an especinl grievance, as it implied an unworthiness in the persons excheded to appear before the god in whose honour the festival was celebrated: see ch. 50, 7; and Livy ii. 37, $38^{\prime}$ (Arnold).
7. tò ífóv-the whole of the sacred precincts; see note on iv. 90, 2. aủtovórous к.r.ג. -'indepenilent as regards laws
and imposts and jurisdiction:. In 419 the Athenians had placed the temple in the hands of the Phocians (i. 112, 5). The genitive aúrôv depents on the idea of 'control over', which is implied in the preceding adjectives, especially alirovópors.
15. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \mathfrak{i} \pi \eta \mu^{\circ} \nu \hat{n}$-so ch. 47, 6 ; an old and poetic word, nsed in the languare of treaties by Thueydides. In line 28 we

 ovi $£ \mu l a$, from the oath taken by the $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \sigma \tau a l$.
19. tu Stáфopov - 'iny difîerence or disputed point', as in the passages cited in the next note, and others quoted by kriigur on i. iff, 1, 子evé ${ }^{\prime}$ al óci申popa. We have the singular in
 means a "cause of dissension'. In vii. 7r, 7 , it means a reverse.
20. Sukath-somi few Mss. have Síxals, which we should

 means what is in aceordance with justice, a just principle, print, or consideration, or as we say 'a right'; so iii. 5t. 1 .
 or leyal course of procedure', and it is so taken ly Kruger and Classen. I am not without doubt whether the word will bear this meaning, but I have not taken on myself to alter the text.
23. mapéforav the aorist seems to take the restoration as virtually carriel into cffect. We might expect öras äv
 provisions of this clause extend to Amphipolis as well. mapa-
 The latter seems to be used of the places the actual possession of which was of primary importance.
2.5. фєpov́aras 'on condition of paying'. тòv '̇ $\pi$ ' 'ApıatclSou фópov--the фópos was the money payment furnished by the allies instead of ships for carrying on the war against the Persian power; see i. 96-99. Its original amount was 460 talents (i. 96, 3). By 431 it averaged 600 talents (ii. 13, 3), - not probably by an increase of the rate imposed upon the allies, but from the extension of the tribute to new cities and hy the commutation of ships for money'. See Jowett's note, for inseriptions ete. relating to the tribute. There seems reason to believe that the assessment had been douhbel in 425, thourh according to the otators this was done by Alcibiades.
 the compound ef. ch. i3. 4. 'inet $\delta$ ' - 'after the conclusion of

29. tiol $\delta \boldsymbol{k}$ "Apyıдos k. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$.-these are cities friendly to Sparta, and entitled to her protection. The defection of Argilus, Acanthus and Stageirus to Brasidas is related in iv. 8i and 103,3 . Scolus is not mentioned elsewhere by Thucydides.
 probably, like Olynthus and Spartolus, revolted from Athens at the beginning of the war; sce i. $58,1$.
34. aúroús-singularly awkward. It is commonly taken as the subject of motiolla, referring to the Athenians, while -A Z vaios - cau'tois. Kriger proposes to alter airoés into aitovopors, or omit it; in this case 'A Appaios would depend on ciciote, which certainly seems the most natural comstruction. The best way seems to take ßounopévas taúzas as a sort of pendent constructim and airois as the ohject of moxiofar, denoting the inhalitants of the cities. The combination in line 51 is somewhat similar. See too iii. 79,3 , imi tipe $\pi \dot{d} \backslash u$
 It has also heen proposed to put the comma before isicotw, thus comnecting $\beta$ ondouevas tairas with the words which precede. Another sugrestion is to read autoo's 'A Apraions, the Athenians independently may make them their allies': the accusative as in line 27.
il. Mqкиßepvaious к.т. $\lambda$. $\cdots$ these appear to be cities whieh had remained fuithful to Athens. Sime had held out agranst Brasidas (iv. 109, 3); Mecyberma was afterwards taken by the Olynthians (eh. 39, 1). Singi is not elsewhere mentioned hy Thucydides. It appars from IIdt. (vii. 122) to have been in Sithonia. These places may have shewn sions of diaffeetiom to Athens: or this may be a stipulation on the Athenian side to secure them against hostile neighbours (Jowett).
37. Mavaktov-ch. 3. 27. Kopuфaiotov-the Spartant
 45, 2 , note (where the orthoraphy of the worl is disenssed). Mredcóv has not hewn mentioned hefore. There were four or five places so named. Pliny speaks of one in Bomentin, while Strato places another on the contines of Messenia and Elis. 'Atadávrך was a =mall island off the cuast of Lecri oecupied by the Athenians in 431 (ii. 32).

expression，Xen，Hel．vii，4，36．The captives from the island are especially meant．öo $\eta \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{-sc} . \gamma \hat{\eta}$ or $\chi \omega$ ćpas，as in line 47.

## 45．Bpart（Xas є̇ $\sigma \in \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \in \nu$－see iv．123， 4.

 the power of Athens．Scione was closely blockaded（iv，133， 4），while Torone had been retaken by Cleon（ch．3）．$\quad \Sigma \operatorname{fep} \boldsymbol{v}$－ $\lambda \epsilon \omega$－mentioned in i．65， 2 as friendly to Athens；no revolt is recorded．Classen reads シ̌epuriaterv，on the ground that the town was called ジєpuriia and the people ごєpuriths．
il．€ tiva ä $\lambda \lambda \eta v$ e．g．Mende，which had been recovered in 423 （iv． 129 sq．）．The construction is interrupted by the clause with eü $\tau \iota \nu a$ ，and $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aút $\omega v$ ，etc．added by a slight ana－ coluthon．

 the emendation érтaka（8eka，which would be denoted by is＇， this being the number of simatories to the treaty on each side．
 feeling that all laws and public acts required to be solemnly confirmed from time to time，to prevent them from becoming obsolete，and partly lest the succeeding magistrates might think themselves not bound by the acts of their predecessors， unless they themselves incurred the obligation．So the Veien－ tines are said to have attacked Servius Tullius，on the ground that their treaty with his predecessor Tarquinius Priscus did not extend to him＇（Arnold）．

64．E่v mó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon t}_{\text {t－ch．} 23,27 \text { ：ch．47．74．The acropolis is }}$
 ＇A $\theta \eta$ vaiav mohls．There is no article，as the word acquires the force of a proper name ；cf．ch．10， 63.
ib．＇̇v＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ by Polybius to be twenty stadia from Sparta．So in iv．13：3， 2，the temple of Hera is said to be iv＂Apyet，thouch it was forty stadia from the city．
 $\epsilon i \not \tau \iota, \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \mu o \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ being supplied again．

## CHAPTER XIX

 to begin it'. In giving the date the year is here put first and forms the subject of äpxct, égopos Intciotidas being 'the year of 1'loistolas' office'. Then comes the month, and then the day. Comparing the passage cited from Book iv, we find the same variation of two dars at Athens and Sparta, while here Elaphebolion corresponds to Artemisius instead of to (icmastius (see note on iv. 119, 1).

## CHAPTER XX


 about the end of March.
 wai here has prublably the corrective force noticed on iv. 3\%, 3, and is equivalent to 'in fact', 'that is to say'. The time that the war lasted was a few days more than ten years, reckoning from the attack on Plataea (ii. 2 sq.) ; and somewhat less than ten years, counting from the time when the Spartans first took the field with the intention of invading Attica (Armold).

For тарєขєүк由́v, in the sense of varying, ef. ch. 26, 21. A few instances of the same usage are cited from late (ireck writers.
ib. $\eta$ そ̈-dependent on the sence implied in oicelèsutav, as if üatepor had been written.
6. karà rous Xpóvous-i.e. the half-yearly periods by which the writer xeckons, as explained below, line 12. See also eh. 26, 20.
7. kai $\mu \dot{\eta}-{ }^{-1}$ and not in accordance with the emmeration of the names of those who, in each several stat:, wither were urchons or from holting some otlice served as a mark for past reronts', i.e. whose names were used to date liy. Thus in Suarta the years were marked by the names of the Ephors, in Argos by the sear of office of the priestess of Hera.

The order of this sentence is very awkward，the words $\tau \dot{\eta} v$
 parently postponed in order to give the most important words an antithetical prominence．But probably $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho i \theta \mu \eta \sigma \omega$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ orouárev ought to be transposed and placed either im． mediately after $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，or at the end of the sentence after $\sigma \eta \mu a t-$ $\nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．
 oquaเvธ́vt $\omega v$ ．oquaivetv is is＇to furnish a mark as recrards＇；
 cival：so oquaive stamis absolutely in ii．43，3．Classen however suggests that is should here be omitted．$\pi$ เのvev́ras $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{0} \nu$ is added for the sake of emphasis，without affecting the construction．

10．áкрı $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$－in ite strict sense，implying exactness of detail． oîs кal к．т．入．－an indirect construction，dependent on $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho / \beta$ दs． －For whether an event occurred in the berimning，or the midale，or whatever mitht be the exact point，of a magistrate＇s term of olfice is left uncertain by such a mode of reckoning＇ （Jowett）．Classen however takes the clause oú $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} p u$ 位s as parenthetical＇this gives yo exactness＇：and makes ois＝0̈ть toútocs．kail here has a disjunctive force，as noted on ch． 15,6 ．
 of the year in half－measure＇，i．e．is equivalent to half a year． With juiocea＇half＇，$\mu$ oipa is probably understood：cf．Dem．
 clı． 31,3 ，the gender is determined by the following noun，and so here it might be referred to $\delta u v^{\nu} a \mu \nu$.

14．Súvapıv－＇value＇or＇amount＇；ii．97，3．тєтракобi $\omega \nu$




## CHAPTER XXI

1．E＇Aaxov－ther same construction occurs ch．3i， $9:$ кo


4．＇Ifxayopav－Ischagoras was one of the envoys sent to Brasidas in 423 （iv．132）．He is named with Menas and Philo－ charidas among the signatories to the treaty in ch． 19.

 the word often means well-disposed.

14. oi $\pi \epsilon p l$ тòv 'IбXaүópav-Ischagoras and his culleagrues;

 in sense to $\dot{\eta}$ ónodoyia. There is some awkwardness in this construction, besides which there seems no instance of кatein $\eta \mu-$ $\mu$ ćvos being used to mean 'elosed, finally eoneluded', which would be the force here required. There is therefore much in favour of reading кate৯ $\eta \mu \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ ou's, finding the Spartans 'bound by' the arrangements. This agrees with i. 9, 1, ӧркоьs катer-

 force of кai, 'as well' as performing the other conditions, is to be noted.

## CHAPTER XXII

2. aúrov̂ --the reading of most manuscripts; Kriiger quotes Xen. Mel. vii. 4, 3if, è tî T'ejés auiroî. There is also some authority for aúrof, ' of themselves', i.e. for purposes of their own, without a summons from Sparta; cf. ch. 30, 15. ('lassen suggests that aúrvê éto "Truoo is the true reading.
3. тทิ aủtท̂ $\pi \rho \circ \phi$ áret-for the dative cf. ch. 11, ?. The disapproval of the allies is mentioned towards the end of ch. 17.
4. ouk "̈qaaav סégarөal - refused to accept the treaty'. On this aorist construction P'oplow writes 'mutatione non opus videtur", and it is retained hy hribger and Classen.
5. vopi豸oves к.т. $\lambda$. this sentence is awliward aml probably eormpt. The alterations, chaelly omissions, which have been sugbested are far too numernins to dissuss here. They are given fully in Mr Fowler's enlition. Taking the words as they staud in the text the key is to the sought in the comexion of the two main dependent clanses, 屰котa àv $\sigma \phi i \sigma$

with Athens the Spartans hoped to effect two objects，to secure themselves arainst Argos，and to ensure the tranduillity of Pelopomesus．In construction，the clause with pouljovtes is left incomplete，the sense which should have followed， ＇thinking that the Argives would be least likely to prove danderous＇or the like，being resuned with the words $\nu$ opi－ баитєs aútoùs к．т．入．

10．＇imei $\delta$ そ－this gives the reason for apprehending the




12．vopioavtes taking vouiбavtes of the Spartans and airotis of the Argives，these words are a resumption of the clanse with which the sentence began，＇having come to the conclusion，I say＇，cte．；and the change of time from present to aorist introduces a slight variation of idea．Classen，who omits vouijovies ．．．$\sigma$ 中iot，takes aironis to mean＇hy themselves＇， further explained by avel＂＇Doppaiwr．Some editors make this clause part of the accessory sentence with imctory，and thus refer pouigavtes to the Arcives and aitois to the Spartans． （irammatically this is free from objection，though the se－
 subject is harsh and awkward．But the sense is fatal to such a construction，for it is absurd to talk of the Argives＇not thinking the Spartans formidable without the Athenians＇． They were always more than a match for Argos，and an alliance between them and Athens was a condition seldom present．

14．Tros $\gamma$ àp äv－i．e．but for this treaty between Athens
 believes＇A $\begin{gathered}\text { quaious to } \\ \text { he a coprist＇s error，and reads＇Apreious，}\end{gathered}$ comparing the concluding words of ch．14．

## CHAP＇TER XXIII

Treaty between Athens and Sparta．＇The new agreement was a defensive alliance made between the Athenians and Lacedacmonians，and was contined to one or two puints．The clause which is repeated here from the previous treaty，em－ powering the two contracting parties，of themselves and with－
out the conseat of their allies，to add or take away from either treaty，naturally created a panic among the P＇eloponnesians （ch． 29,15 ），whose independence was threatened by it．The allies or tributaries of Athens were not in a position to make a similar complaint，for most of then had suffered already what the Lacelamonian allies dreaded，nor had those of them who were independent anything to fear from Lacedaemon．The provision respecting the revolt of the slaves applies to Lace－ daemon only．This indicates that the Athenians were in $n o$ such danger from their slaves，as the Iacetamonians were from the Helot：The older treaty remained in force，and，in accordance with its provisions，the prisoners of Sphacteria now restored＇（Jowett）．

1．katà $\tau \alpha \delta_{\epsilon}$－these worls are commonly printed，not as part of the treaty，but as the end of the foregoing chapter． Classen follows Kriugr in placing them as they stand in the text； see ch． 47,11 ：ch． 77,1 ：ch． $79,1$.
ib．ムaкeסarpóvıo－－Classen，following Portus，alls sai Aonvaio．Hofnam augrests that we may lave the text of
 ó ought pussibly to be omitted，as in ch． $17,1: 3$ ，and other passages．

4．＇̈фє $\lambda$ tiv－＇halp＇：in the treaty given in ch． 47 we have the more ordinary $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ eiv．

5．тро́тب．．．Suvatóv－the same form oceurs twice in ch． 17.
 ôv́va $\mu \iota \nu$ öть $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．

9．кata入v́єเv $\delta$ è äua－i．e．meither city is to make a selparate peace．катa入iet us nally takes a case，as ròv múleuov in ch． 17，20；катalvogou，as in iv．18，4，being used for＇making torms＇，the middle implying joint or reciprocal artion．In


ib．ä $\mu \phi \omega \tau \omega$ the remular form of the feminine dual；see


10．eivar Kiriger quetes Xen．Hill．iv．4，s，mávea cival ajoides．Classen motus that such phraseongey is archaic，as the language of treaties and pablic documents often is．

18． $\mathfrak{\eta}$ Souncia＇the slave－class＇．Lin\}. and Seott give instances of the collective use of the word from Plato and Aristntle：cf．＇Tac．Ann，xii，17，servitii drom milia offerebant．

21. Ékarépay dependent on oí $\pi$ ep. Fin ávaveovodal, aml the remaining terms of the treaty, see notes on ch. 18,61 sey.
 For the form ' $A \theta \eta \nu \hat{\alpha}$ cf. ch. $10,8$.

## CHAPTER XXIY

1. ${ }^{\circ} \mu v v_{0}$-the names are identical with those in ch. 19 , with one or two variations in their order. tov̀s ék-cf. ch. 15, 3.
2. тav̂ta тà סéka ह̈тๆ-the preceding ten years, implied in évóckárou. In construction these words are accusative of extent with $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, while they form a predicate to $\dot{o} \pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тos moderos. Classen seems wrong in taking the participle here as part of the epithet, as in ch. 5,$5 ;$ ch. 34, 6. The duration of the war is an accessory idea, which is usually expressed in Greek by an appositional predicate; see note on ch. 16, 24; and iv. 48, $\dot{\jmath}, \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota s \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$.

## CHAPTER XXV

7. Stexivouv-'tried to upset', only here in Attic prose; Ar. Nuh, 477, sıaкivel tò̀ voû̀ aủtoû, 'turn inside out, examine thoroughly'.
8. ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ rapax $\eta$-does ăd $\lambda \eta$, in the sense of 'fresh disturbance', refer to previous difficulties, such as are mentioned in ch. 22; or dones it mean 'besides' the intrigues of the Corinthians and their abettors? t $\hat{\omega} \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu a x \omega v$ mpos - 'between the allies and Lacedaemon'; a variation from the more common construction with the dative, e.g. i. 17, $1, \pi$ pòs $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot o$ ikous
 ousuovious is unusual, to the best of my knowledge: so ch. 28,14 .


 perfect passive of $\xi u v \tau l \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota$, diflp ${ }^{\prime}$ ro-the several itens of the $\xi \nu \gamma к \in\lceil\mu \in \nu a$.

 entera with or without $\partial e^{e}$. The clause with ewthed $\dot{\text { ef }}$ is alsn a kind of secondary apodosis to the clause with miv.
il. $\mu \eta^{\prime}$-following the negative idea of $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \times{ }^{\prime}$ $\S 807$ (c). Classen collects instances from Thucydides in his
 $\pi \alpha \rho а \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂$.
9. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu a \kappa \omega X \hat{\eta} s-\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{a}$ is here used of attemclant conditions, ats in the common phrase $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ кथvoivav, for which see Kriger on i. 18,7 . $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \pi i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu$, ch. 103 fin., is somewhat similar. For the form of ávak $\omega \times \eta$ see note ou iv. 117 , 2 , and Poppo on i. 40, 4.



## CHAPTER XXVI

1. үध́रpaфe-compare the opening words of the first Book,
 plan and purpose of his history. Similarly in i. 97, 2, he says
 complete, and therefore uses the perfect ; so i. 22, fin. кrinua is
 scribo, is the special word for the compilation of history, and from his frequent use of the word Thmeydides in particular

2. кaté $\alpha$ aßov - 'occupied'; ir. 1, 1, note. 'Two manuseripts


 correct, for the Lacedamonians sailed into the Peiracus, and continued to occupy Athens till the conditions of the peace, including the dismantling of the walls, were carried ont.
 entitled to consider the intervening convention as anything but war, he will make a claim which is not justified by facts'.
 sia $\mu$ toou nwhetives: so IIdt. and Xen. d́gı̂ and Sıkaıw, in
the sense of thinking fit and right, differ but slightly in meme ing': oix $\dot{a} \xi \omega \hat{\omega}$ is constructed with the negative like or $\phi \neq \mu$, as noted on iv. 40, 1.
3. тois $\tau \epsilon$-apparently answered by é $\xi \omega \tau \in \tau$ indeed the latter is merely a continuation of the subordinate relative construction. I rather suspect that this is so, as all the things mentioned seem explanations of cois čpross, and the relative clause is otherwise very curt. In this case the sentence is incomplete, unless we take the initial $\tau \epsilon \gamma$ áp as equivalent to num ctium, as Poppo suggests. (See Jowett on i. 9, 3 for $\tau \in$ in the sense of 'too'.) tois épyors-what was actually done, facts, including hostile movements.
il. Strnp $\quad$ rat-most editors take this to mean 'interrupted' lit. 'divided', which is no doubt the common use of the word. The perfect tense seems against this view; we should expect the imperfect or pluperfect. I therefore incline to the rendering 'how it is characterised', of which Poppo approves, taking soalpeiv in the sense of 'defining'. This sense is found in Herodotus ii. 6: vii. 16, 47, 50, and 103; and is common in Plato.
4. ${ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$-'besides, not counting '; a usage nearly confined to Herodotus and Thucydides (Krüger on i. 9, 3). Mavatvıóv -the difficulty with Mantinea, which occupies many chapters in this Book, is first mentioned in ch. 33; the quarrel with Epidaurus in ch. 53.
5. Éyévovto - here the plural rerb is naturally used, as á $\mu$ aptimara occurred on either side and on more than one oceasion. But besides instances which can be thus explained Thucrdides not uncommonly uses the plural with a neuter nominative of


 inté $\chi 0{ }^{\prime} \tau 0(\epsilon \tau \sigma)$, the best manuscripts, according to Krüger, are in favour of the plural. In viii. 10, 1 , we have $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{a}^{\text {" }} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha \dot{\epsilon}^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma$.
 probably $\tau \dot{a}$ "I $\sigma \theta \mu \mathrm{a}$, though many follow the scholiast in supplying ai $\sigma \pi$ ov $\delta a i$.
6. modímot-as hostile to Athens as ever. Thus they opposed the restoration of Amphipolis, and refused to accept the treaty; see ch. 35.

to be renewed every ten days, or which could be terminater on ten days notice. The word occurs in ch. 32,19 and 32 ; also vi. 7,4 ; vi. $10,3$.
 xpóvors. kal $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a s$ - that is to say with a variation of but a few days'; see note on ch. 20, 4. The time is calculated from the begiming of April 431, when the Thebans attacked Platama (ii. 2), to the middle of April 404, when Lysander sailed into the Peiraeus.
7. kai rois ajró- and (he will find) that they who on the strength of oracles were positive on any matter found, in this, one sulitary instance of the event decidedly corresponding to the prediction' (Arnold). amó='startiug from', i.e. grounding'
 17, 6. For lox vpıoanévots with neut. acc. cf. iii. 44, 5, тоíto \&
 with örc, vi. $5.5,1$ cti.: with ws and a participle w. Gs, fin.
8. EXvpês-ixupus 'secure' is used somewhat in the sense
 eixov. The positive adserb is not found elsewhere in Thucy-



9. aio $\theta$ avó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v}$ - of intelligent perception, as in i. 71, 4,
 for dat. ef. ch. 13, 6 . Thucydides does not speak of himself except as bearing on his history: At the hominning of his book he tells us that he had compiled materials from the first outbreak of the war (ápéaucvos citios кaliocauévor); here he asserts his claims as being capable of seeing and appreciating facts throughout its course. We camot be certain ahout his afe; but the statement is commonly accepted that he was abont forty when the war began. The biography of Marcellinus only says that Thucydides died at over fifty. The question is fully discussed in Classen's introduction. $\tau 6$ is emphatic, as in line 32.
10. dikpı $\beta$ és-of exact detail, as in ch. 20, $10: \operatorname{CB}, 5$. For the indicative e'foopat after a secondary tense see Goodwin,

11. \$eíyetv- 'to be in exile from my country', whether by

 words simply denote the attempt to relieve the place, and do not prove, as Grote supposes, that Thucydides was sent expressly to Amphipolis. See Appendix to is. 104.
12. тар’ d’ $\mu$ фотє́pots roîs $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \sigma t-$ - at what was done on both sides '. oux $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \sigma 0 v$ râdiov. We du not know where Thneydides passed the time of his exile. Marcellinus says that he went first to Aegina, and then to Thrace, where, as we know (iv. 10.s, he had property and powerful connexions. He probably visited varions plates, including even Sicily, of which he shows the knowledge of an evewitness. Most likely he returned to Athens in 403 , when the amnesty was proclaimed under Thrasybulus. One account says he was assassinated in Athens, another that he died in Thasos.
 Poppo and others here, as suiting the sense better; and Classen lias aí $\theta \in \sigma \theta a \ell$, vii. 75,2 , and $\pi \rho o a i \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, ii. 93,4 ; iii. 83,4 : in each case with good manuscript anthority, aiftoouat is a form used by late ecelesiastical writers, and the introduction of its infinitive into classical authors may le nerely a copyist's error.
il. Tív-one article belongs to two nouns, which are closily comected in idea; so ch. $5,1:$ i. 120), 2, тір катаконоби
 $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{o} \backslash \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$, which is in that ca-e personally constructed; P'oppo however (iii, 6, 2) considers such constructions, e.g. iv.
 minant accusative: cf. ch. $52,6$.



## CHAPTER XXVII

 alreally promised; so ii. 2,1 , in a passage like this: compare its use after such prefaces as $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} p t o v ~ \delta \hat{\epsilon}, \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \delta \dot{e}$ ete.
2. kal v̈ $\sigma \tau \in p o v-q u a s i-p a r e n t h e t i c a l$, followed by the alliance', for the dissentient allies were dismissed from Sparta before the alliance wats formally arranged, ch. 29, 8. Claseen
follows Cobet in altering ai $\xi$ vprax (atinto the singular, as there was only one alliance, that between Athens and Sparta, and a copyist's error might easily arise from the neighbouring pluats. In the berinning of ch. 48, however, srpرахiat occurs again. It is true more than two states are there concemed, but the singular had been used in reference to the same states at the end of ch. 46 . The plural comes arain in ch. 79, 6. Possibly the singular denotes an alliance in a general sense, while the plural refers to its several conditions, as cirki $\eta \mu a$ in the orators is the plaintiff's 'declaration', the several items of which are $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$.
ib. kal ai-- 'then also'; co-ordinate note of time; i. io,

 aútóv. It has been sumpested to remove the rai before of pép in the next sentence, making the construction with imeioj continue to $\Lambda a \kappa \in o ̂ a l \mu o \nu o s . ~$
4. '̇s aúrá-i.e. to discuss these arrangements: aúró and aúrá are perpetually used by Thuesdides in this gencral sense:
 becanse though they all lelt sparta they did not all retum home at once.

11. ópâv ő ot -ws with indic. future: iii. 46, 4, opaiv ü $\pi \omega s$

 tes $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$. See Goodwin, §339.
 i $\beta$ ordópevos is the who chooses ' : ef. Ar. P'lut. !utis sy. where the owoodar $\begin{aligned} \text { s } \\ \text { is asked his protession, and replies, 'I chonsu': }\end{aligned}$

 каไ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \delta \delta i \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.

Уıк. ßои́лоцая.
¿uk. катךүopeî dè ris;
Sir. ó Bou入ópevos.


14. $\delta i k a s$ loas kal oroias $\delta(\delta \omega \sigma$ see the begimning of

入oıs $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ к a l ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
16. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon-$ of conditions; ch. 17, 13 ete. Ė $\pi \mu \mu a x \in i v i v e n o t e s$ a defiensive alliance, as explained in ch. 4s. 9. amtobeigat 'to

17. ápx $\dot{\eta} v$--accusative of respect with aitoкрátopas, 'of inderendent authority". Classen takes it in the adrerbial sense - to begin with ', i.e. from the first; for which Thuevdiles else.
 ap $\chi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}$ is no doubt common in Herodotus, and is fomm in other writers; but it seems unlikely that Thnerdides wond depart from his usual form in one instance only when his meaning would be rendered doubtful. It is also to be noticed that in the great majority of cases both aipx $\boldsymbol{y}$ in nerative sentences.
 Goodwin $\$ 798$. kataфaveis -especially to the Lacedaemonians. We may compare the account in is. 22, where the Spartans refused to conter with the Athenian assembly, bitt were willing to meet select commissioners.
19. Tous $\mu \eta$ ' $\pi$ eioavias $\mu \eta$ gives it hypothetical force, 'in case of fatilure', lit. 'those who might have failed'. The phrase here seemingly applies to ull those who were conducting the intrigue. Krigger collects similar usages of the
 deovo, where the sense is equivalent to autois: cf. iv. 33,2, , ati



## CHAPTER XXVIII

2. of $\delta \epsilon$--for the order, and the position of é $\pi \in\llcorner\delta \eta$, cf. iii. $\dot{\text { on }}$,
 ing a clause with кai oi $\mu i \nu$. The nominative is put tirst to mark the change of subject.
3. ávinequar 'referred' , of hayines a matter lofore an

$$
y-2
$$

 iii. 71, à aфє́pet ès $\pi$ 入eivas. $\tau$ às ápXás-ch, 17, 59: see note on $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$, iv. 14, 1.
 the sense continued from iqnषitavto: so ch. (i3, 17. For this use of the relative and intinitive, which is fonnd especially. in quoting the provisions of a law, see Goodwin, § 7.5. $\mu \eta \delta \in$ -
 consent of', ef. ch. 6i0, 2ֻs: is. 7s, 3, ăvev toû $\pi$ ávtev кowvì.
10. tóv te-te and nat conncet the two main ideas of the Argives, the prospect of war and the hope of supremacy ; ef. ch. 11, 11. $\epsilon^{2} \pi^{\prime} \xi \xi^{\prime} \delta \varphi-c h .14,25$. There and in ch. 22 we find that it was the Aigives who refused to renew the trace.

 into contempt', ete. (Kriuger suggests ग̆коиє.)
13. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots$ oí $\tau \epsilon$-two main reasons co-ordinately stated: so
 $\sigma \tau a \delta \eta$ - of emphasizes the superlative; i. 1, 2, кipnous aïr $\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$ obj, 'greatest of all', not simply very great '. Sid ràs $\xi$ y $\varnothing$ opás-especially the surrender of I'rlos, which is particularly called š口 $\quad$ opá, iv. 20, 2: iv. 22, 3, ete. : see ch. $75,12$.

 1: the genitive is partitive. The accusative construction is also found ii. 71, 2, Esvapaflas nisorvov, 'to join in under. taking.' 'кккртноápevol 'having reaped protit thereout', i.e. from their friendly neutrality, or from the war itself, which might bring gain to a neutral state. The scholiast takes it of the enjoyment of their own erops and revenues. Thacydides does not use the word elsewhere; saproiforat necurs twice.
 recerive' according to the arrangements made.

## CHAPTER XXIX


 cepxelv-either to rule the disitriet in question, or absolntely to hold an empire, or act like a sovereign state. The infinitive with $\pi \in \rho \dot{\circ} \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ occurs i. 35, 3 , and in several other passages there collected hy Kriber: It differs from the participial construction (e.g. is. 11, 3) inasmuch as that implies a present or accomplished fact, which is to be stopped or avenged, while the infinitive suggests a supposed passibility which is to be guarded against.

Grote points out (ch. in) that the comquest effected by Mantinea during the war was a violation of the principle of the Pelopomesian confederacy; and opposed to the general policy of Sparta, which was in favour of maintaining the independence of the little states, and thus ensuring ler own influence as general leader. See note on ch. 31, 15.
7. Éretઠウ̀ кaí hesides other considerations, they had ulsu leisure to interfere.
10. シ̈бтєр каì aúroí-sc. òmuoкpatoîvtac, so ch. 41, 10. This is the more common construction in (ireak: in Latin the rule is to follow the antecedent case. Such instances as the following are quite exceptional: Liv. xlii. 37, codem se loeo esse quo MAssmii atque I:Tei: Tre. Amm. xii. 7, cuncta feminae obediebant, non per laseivian, ut Jtrssalinn, rebus Romanis illudenti: ib. xiii. 19, Plautum, pari at Nem gradu a divo Augusto, destinavisse.
12. '̇s $\theta$ poûv кäírata -'tnok to talking'; ch, 30, 1: cf. ch. 7,8 . The imperfect denotes the begiming and comtimuauce of the $\theta$ poirs, which in the first words of the next
 tablished').
13. vopíarvtes...kai ëxovtes mote variation of tense, as

 veys the most important idea; ch. 1, 5: cf. is. 27, 2. éxorrás
 they must have some strong point, as' etc.

 ch. 23,9 .
18. тоитт тò $\gamma$ рá $\mu \mu$ - the wording of this clathec. ipaikite in the singular is used of a short piece of writing, e.g. of the Delphian inseription $\gamma^{p \omega i \theta t}$ ocautón. The strong complound Sia日opußeiv is only found here in classical (rreek.
21. Sikatov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ elvat-the infinitive depends on the sense of saying or thinking supplied from is imo廿iad ratioty. The words $\pi a ́ \sigma \iota$ rois $\xi v \mu \mu \alpha ́ x o t s$ are as it were in inverted commas and are governel by oos?, not rejpadipan. The sense is, 'the wording of the (provision for) alteration ought to have bern,


2:3. $̈ \rho \mu \eta \nu$ то mute oll ch. 1.11. тpis toùs 'Apyeious is probably to be taken with छuphaxian moteiota, so also
 infinitive misht howere be exphatory, as in i . in), $1, \pi$, os
 tion, which is a Thucydidean mamerism, see mote wit iv. 10. 2.

## CHAPTER NXX







 sative.
7. Trivere re amd kai comple the two main grommets of complaint, the peneral stirring up of disaffection and the (ontemplated alliance with Argos in particular. The secomd division of the sentence with xai is modified in construction after the comditional clanse ci Apicions..., and has a fresh verb

 and depemdent on irewpo "if they should'. i.e. they deprecatell the contingency of their secession to Argos. Sueh a use of ci is justitiable by examples, e.g. iv. 85., 4, סuvepe's mocoruevol ci
 ＇and they sail they wonld be breaking their oaths＇，seems to weaken the sentence；which appears rather to be one connected paragraph．

 appears to be found here only in classical（rreek．It is quoted from Dio Cas．in the sense of rogatio．

9．kal $\eta$＂$\delta \eta$ á $\delta$ tкeiv＇and were already in the wrong＇． єip $\eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v-=0$ ch．39， $1: 5$ cte．Siee（roodwin § 8．51．The Lace－ daemonians appeal to the fundamental principles of the Pelo－ pomesian confederacy，as implied below in the＇oaths of the allies＇and the＇ancient oaths＇．

10．Tàs＇AӨŋvaíwv otrovסás－＇the truce with Athens＇． ＇The＇adnominal＇genitives in this chapter are worthy of note；
 taken hy，or sworn to the allies ：line $21, \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v}$ mío $\tau \in \mathrm{s}$ ，pledges ratified by the names of gods．To $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ Oos－＇the majority＇；i．


13．örol ovi $\delta$＇av́roí＇who，like the Corinthians，had not aceepted the truce＇，ovixi－＇also not＇，its most usual meaning． The dissatisfied allies are named ch．17， 21.

 in the first year of the war（ii．30，1）；while Anactorium，a town at the mouth of the Ambracian gulf，was betrayed to them in 425 （iv．49）．
 claims and rights distegaticel；ch．34，13：i．77．3，є к каі óт $\omega \sigma$－


19．тро́бх $\eta \mu \alpha$ тоьov́pevol with infinitive construction，
 in 4：32 ；see i．iss，1．ä入入ous üotepov－sc．кour $\hat{\eta}$ ，with the rest of the allies．


 $\delta^{\prime}$＂otu－－＇the wording was＇，citing part of the whole clanse given in line 10.
33. iéval és-=ītéval, line 23: so iii. 91. 2, is rò èrp-
 be held at Corinth.

## CHAPTER XXXI

2. imotroavto-following the sense of $\pi \rho \in \pi \beta$ uca; some manuseripts have the needless correction ėтoเท̆бaтo. каӨámєp троєiрๆто--by conference with the twelve Argive commissioners, ch. 28,5 , so infr. line 27.
3. Sempeov-this is the first mention of Lepreum in Thucydides, and it is not named again after ch. 62. It was in Triphylia, between Messenia and Elis, not far from the sea.

4. кai кaтa入ırávrev--so Classen for the manuseript
 Firiger, with two manuscripts, reads varaduodvtw without rat, and gives it a hypothetical force, 'in case they ended the war', but Classen's reading is more consistent with Thucydidean usage.
5. H $\lambda$ eiot the subject of the genitive absolute now be-


 A more dubious passape in i. 10, 3 , is probably to be taken in

 tention of such irregularity is to make the participial clause more prominent, and to express its relation (time, canse ete.) with greater emphasis. This prineiple applies to mavoapévov, line 13.
ib. $v \in \mu \circ \mu$ evots autrois-' the sovereignty of half the territory of Lepreum became rested in the lileans, who, without disturbing the actual ocempiers of the soil, imposed upon them a talent as the rent, or vectigal, to be paid to the treasury of Jupiter at Olympia; of which the Eleans had the management. Compare the comduct of the Athenians to the conquered Lestians (iii. 50, 3); where the vectigal was not paid to the Athemian state, but to the individual Athenians to whom the state had given the ownership or sovereignty of the land. cimodripen and àotopá are words need peenliarly to
express the payment of rent，whether from a temant to his landlord，or from a subject to his sovereign，or from a con－ quered people to their conquerors＇（Arnold）．
 midde is used of those who agree to the payment on their own

 The compound，like the more common $\pi$ poaqavaरás $\omega$ ，inplies compulsion to a course of action．

 just due＇；iv．59 fin．íov è Xovtc．dvévtes－＇throwing up’：
 ＇It presently appeated that sparta was more disposed to carry out her general system of favouring the antonomy of the lesser states，than to enfore the positive arreement of the con－ federacy．Accordingly the Eleians，accusing her of unjust bias，renounced her anthority as arbitrator＇（Grote）．
$22 . \quad \delta \xi \in \xi a \sigma \theta a t-$ of receiving disaffected allies，i．10，4，tou＇s

 times wrongly taken of＇withstanding assailants＇．

23．т $\mathfrak{\eta} v \xi_{v} \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$－this covenant is not mentioned else－ where；but it would seem to be a lond entered into at the begimning of the war by the several states of the Pelopomesian confederacy．（irote（ch．nj）has an interesting note．He takes the view that subordinate states had been exempt while the war lasted from tribute to the imperial citios，in virtue of their military service for the general cause．＇But the con－ federacy at the same time gave its guarantec that the imperial state should re－enter upon these suspended rights，so soon as the war should be at an end＇．This view may be rioht，but it can scarcely be drawn from the present passage，which seems rather to mean that Lepreum made the war an excuse for evading a legitimate payment，and the Eleans clamed their rights as soon as their hands were free．But at the same time $\pi \rho j \phi a \sigma i s$ can madoubtedly be userd of a real ground or occasion， єg．vi． 6,1 ，$\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{q} \tau \eta \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$.
 रous aủtóv тıva ко入ájetv．


'their aristocratical forms of govermment, and their common hatred of Athens, as well as their neighbourhood to cach other, tending to draw them together' (Arnold): cf. ch. 3s, 7, To $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ aird $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \operatorname{col}^{2} y$, of the same states. Classen and others take the worts to mean ' (though) holding the same views' as the Corinthians and other actual secelers, but this remdering seems not to agree with the sense of the passage and would require ö $\mu \omega$ s or the like.
31. тєрเора́ $\mu$ vol-probably 'looking about themi, i. п. waiting the event and not committing themselves to either side. In all other passages where $\pi \epsilon \rho \frac{p}{} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ occurs in Thucevides it is in the middle voice. It is used (1) st:mming



 where the meaning is open to question, but is prolshble'regart anxiously', 'Weigh too nicely' (Jowett): is. 124, 4, Mivons $\pi$ eplopépevos, 'anxio is abont'. These are all the instances of the word, to which may be added a mare mise of the active
 in use.

In the present passage a difliculty is cansed by the following worls, vimò têv $\Lambda$ ake $\delta a \not \mu o v i \omega \nu$. Which are found in all mss. If they be genuine, and $\pi$ epopmeperoc is to retain its usual meaning, they must be taken with noixasou reptopurperor in the sense 'hy reason of Lacedaemonian inthence'. Such a construction though harsh is not impossible.

Otherwise, $\pi$ epopópeyou must be regarded as a passive. If this view be admissible, 'jealonsly watched' (.Jowett), 'treated with honour and consideration , gives the best sense, and eorresponds to the use of the middle in iv. 121, 4. Grote's remdering 'being left to themsolves', i.e. not appealed to, as the Corinthians were ich. 30), does mot enrespend to the use of the worl in the active. which in the semse of corchomkin!! requires a participle or the like expressed or implimal. And the onee acepted remoring 'despiseit', or 'feeling themselves slighted. is equally inconcistent with elassical nsage. bexides which it is douhtful as a statement of fact and necessitates fiving it harsh, though possible, adversative meaning to wai 'and yet thinking'.
 ictuoriou', as an explamatory gloss of the suppusel passive comstruction; while Haase's conjecture is very tempting, tà dito Twv, "waiting to see what course the Laceltamonians took'.
33. aúrois-ateferming to buth states. In uligatrehical
revolution had been effected at Megara in 421 (iv. 7 t). $\pi$ ) $\lambda_{2}$ -telas-form of groverment; i. 1s, 1: ii. 37, 1: in both eases with $\chi \rho \hat{\jmath} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

## CHAPTER XXXII

2. Ekimvaious ch. 2. 6. atéктetvav siee iv. 122 fin.
 sen peints out that Drasilas is said to have removed the women and children from Seione and Mende to Olynthus siv. 12:3, 4). The removal must have been incomplete. Similanly
 ofoav (iii. 68, 3), though the women and chidren were renoved by the Athenians in 431 (ii. 6 fin.). In the case of l'ataea the women were prolably the 110 ruaines oitomoni (ii. 74, 3) who remained in the city. The absence of the article proves nothing, as it is regularly omitted in these phrases.
 removed to Athens in 431 (ii. 6), while 212 cut their way out in 428 (iii. 28, 2).
il. $\Delta \eta \lambda$ ious $\delta \epsilon$-see ch. 1. This statement agrees with Arnold's note, there cited, as to the anxiety of the Athenians
 i. 120, 6: see note on ivtruiay ch. 16, 22. In meaning the force of the word extends to the end of the sentence. Two considerations determined the Athenians, which are connected hy $\tau \in$ and кai with the usual variation of construction. toû $\theta$ єoû Xprioquros is genitive absolute.
 मépos lit. a considerable fraction" wo the ledopomesian
 Thgea was of importanee, being an independent state on the borders of Laconia. Fior the attraction of the participle of of.
 Wayte cites numerous instances.

 oi 'A Arpaios: viii. 31, 1, toitor' $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \pi \sigma \chi(1) \text {. Compare the twofold }\end{aligned}$ use of $\mu \epsilon \theta_{i} \eta \mu$.
3. 's tov̀s Botwtoús •into lionotial': ch. 33, 4: is. 11si,

4. eintorovods only found here. It appears to mean a
 The same truce is called $\delta$ exjmepor otovōai in line 29, and єкєхєєpla here and ch. 26, 16.

 aitên refers to the primary suhject oi Kopiveloc: of. ch. 40 . 14, aitois: and see mote on iv. 50,2 ; and Fopmo on i. 17.
 absolutely, and as transitive, ch. (63, 15: iv. 5,1 , ó otpatòs ët


5. Eivat $\sigma \pi \mathrm{ra}$ бás-see ch. 15, where the peace is made between Athens and Sparta and their re-pective allies. We see from ch. 36, ti, that the Cominthians and Boentians still stood in the pesition of Lacedremonian allies. ágıóvzev... $\sigma$ diotv - 'though the Corinthians called on them to do so, and said in expostulation that they had so covenanted with them'.

 By da $\sigma \pi \frac{0}{0} o s$ is meant a mere agreement in words, not ratified ly the solemmities of religion. And the Greeks considered the hreach of their word very different from the breach of their onth. See ii. 5,7 , where the Plataeans maintain that they never swore to the Thebans not to injure them' (Arnold). (irote however considers that the words only mean a simple absance of hostilities de facto, not arising ont of any recognized pledere; so i. ift; cf. ch. 25. 11: ch. 26, 18. avak $\omega \times{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ is the form which is invariahly found in the mannseripts; aroow howeter, which Claten reads, is in accordance with analony and derivation. See note on iv. 117, 2.

## CHAPTER XXXHI

4. Tijs Apkaסias - in this construction, as Kriger points out, the fenitive, which commonly stands first, has the article, white the worl on which it depends is nomally without; iii. 19, 2, тîs Kapias in Miointos avapás. Fior is Mappagious, ef. ch. 32, 17, is Deceren's. The larmasians, an original Ar-

 73，3，ש̈̈rtep $\dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \eta \theta_{\dot{e}} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．Similarly in ch．31，13，we have тavaauèvov，where the accusative might have been expected．
 Cypsela appears to have heen a town in the level country，on
 mantle or pull down，is much more common mis con－ nexion．
 51，5：ef．ch．7，20．Seiritis was a mountain district in the north of Laconia．

 xiay．Parrhasia seems meant，or possilly the district which the Mantinenns had conquered，see ch． 29.

## CHAPTER XXXIF

 Oóvter denotes the expedition to Thrace．Poppo compares ch．
 a similar construction with is in ii． 83,1 ．Krïgrers surges ced
 the military use of $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \in i v v$ cf．ch． 8,8 ：ch．$\tilde{j} t, 12$.

3．oüs ó $\mathrm{K} \lambda$ eapiSos－in accordance with his instructions， as miven at the end of eh． 21 ．The 1 acedatemonians seem now to have evaenated Amphipolis，which remaned in the hands of the Chalcidians．We read of an menccesstul attempt on the part of the Athenians to recover it in 414 （vii．（1）．

5．toùs $\mu$ év－answered by toùs $\delta^{\prime}$ in line 10 ，but in conse－ quence of the intervening paragraphs the construction with ciundioavto is dropped，and a fresh verb brought in．For the position of the participle $\mu a x \in \sigma a \mu \dot{v}$ ous see mute on is． $\bar{j}, 2$ ： and comprare such passagres ats i．11，ٌ̈，tov̂ oià rois Tumpàs入обои катєбхұко́тоя．

7．oikeiv ötov äv $\beta$ oú $\lambda \omega v \tau \alpha$－－＇the Helots，like the villains of the middle ages，were glelue alstristi，and not allowed to leave the spot which was allutted to them．Thas the per－
mitting them＂to live where they liked＂was an essential part of their emancipation＇（Arnold）．
 $\mu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$－according to Miiller these were prolntry free Helots of some standing，or the sons of enframchised serfs：see Arnold．This is the first time that they are mentioned as employel in military service；see also ch．© 67 ，5．In vii．is， 3 ， we find that the Lacedaemonian force which（iylippus took to Syacuse consisted of Neodanodes and Helots；where Thney－
 $\eta ้ \delta \eta$ єival．

8．és $\Lambda$ érpeov－ser ch．31，20）．érì vris in the direction of＇，as in $\tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi i \quad \Theta \rho a ́ к \eta s$.

10．Toùs $\delta^{\circ}$ ek tîs vingov－oi is rijs pijool is the regular expression for these captives．Here，with the addition of Anф日ivtas，it is a pre mant phrase，as noted on line 1.

12．Seíalares k．т．$\lambda$ ．－fearing lest they might supposis that their misfortune wonld stand in their way，amt if they possessed their full rights they might attempt some revolu－ tionary design＇．$\tau t$ is probably to be taken with $v \in \omega \tau \in \rho \cdot \sigma \omega$－
 thetical force，otherwise there is some awlowardness in the
 Pylos，see note on ch．28，15：cf．iv．17．1，is тinv Etヶ申фopáv：iv．
 $30,18$.

14．Tıvás－＇in some cases＇；in partial apposition to rois
 acensative carrying on the idea of the words before；see mote on Sou入eiar ch．9， 42.

15．$\mu \eta \eta^{\tau} \in a^{\rho} \rho \chi \in\llcorner$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．－that is，they deprived them of their cligibiity tuoffices，and reduced them in civil contracts to the condition of sojourners or foreigners，who could neither hold property，nor sue or be sued in their own name＇（Arnold）．
 ctc．＇ages after＇．

## CHAPTER XXXV

1. E่v $\tau \hat{y}$ " $A \theta \omega$ elsewhere "Adws is masculine as in ch. 3 , 30: iv. 109, 2 . A few mannscripts here have $t \underset{\sim}{4}$. It has been surgrested that if "AOws denotes the rerrion as opposed to the monntain. The reading of the whole passare is however uncertain, as a difficulty is cansed by the word $\Delta \mathrm{ckt}$ \& $\delta \hat{\eta} s$. The lictidians are unknown, and there may be a corruption in the name. In ch. $x^{2}$, 1 , the true reading $\boldsymbol{l}_{\text {ins }}$ is in some copies corrupten into deriobクŋs. Dium is mentioned in iv. 109, 3 as a tuwn in the peninsula of Athos. Accomdingly here editors

 simply calls the peninsula 'A^v' (iv. 109, 3); while moreover lium was frienily to the Athenians till 417, when it revolted to the Chalcidians (ch. 82). He therefore proposes instead of
 would no doukt be hostile to 'Thysius; there may however have been a private quarrel between Thyssus and Dium.


 the construction with a simple accusative is rare in Attic writers.

 peculiarity of Thuecdides, thongh occasionatly fomed in other writers. Herc $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o s$ is added to the verbal substantive.
 was the main point, accordingly its name stands prominently in the sentence. The ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha$ woia are those specified in ch. 18,22 sq. and also l'anactum. $\pi$ ро́тєро $\lambda a x o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$-ch. 21, 1.
2. mapeixov-note the change of tense. rapéx $\omega$ takes the same construction with an adjective in ii. 84, 3, tois
 passage:. $\lambda \in ́ y o v \tau \in s$ áei-- though they continued to declare .
3. Xpóvous $\delta$ è $\pi \rho o \ddot{\theta} \theta$ evto -apparently 'proposed certain dates', Xpóvor being plural because stipulations affecting dif-
ferent states were propesent. The seholiast explains $\pi$ poribento by ËTǎ̧av: but the middle means ' to put forwand on one's
 'ordered'.
4. छuypa申pis - - 'a bond' or written arreement. They would only comnit themselses to a verbal arrangement. Xpŋ̂v-i.e. according to the Spartan poposal. The imperfect

 note on iv. 29, fin, गु $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \circ \eta \theta \in i ̂ v$.
5. ن́тєто́тєvov-the same form oceurs in viii. i6, 1: imoтотeiv ii. 5,3 , ete. imortein is the general word in Attic
 the clanse with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ кai being parenthetical.
 aiv $\pi$ otijowo with a primary tense. övzas morder as in ch. 34,6 .
6. кai єi' тov ädㄱov-' 'and (had done) everything else in their power', rather than 'had recalled their men from any other place in their hands". For ci tus ef. clı. 37,13 : so iv. 26 ,

7. axtoגaßeiv- 'get back', in order to restore to Athens: ef. ch. 30, 17. конteiv in the line below probably depends on

 similar instances of the future infinitive.
8. Meaonvious-from Naupactus; see iv. 41. Note the use of the articles here and in line 37.
 'besides'. It seems pussible that some of the $\pi$ epioroo as well as of the Ifelots might have deserted to Pylos. No such desertion is however mentioned, lut only that of the Helots; see ch. 14, 20; and iv. 41, 3. The following words $\tau \in$ kai are therefore bracketed by some editors, while Classen brackets re only. Stahl would omit Eii heras as well as re wai.
9. Ev Kpavious-one of the four cities of Cephallenia, ii. 31 fin . ZфoSol of mutual intercourse; i. 6, 1, ö́à $\tau$ às oik
 Barton and Chavasse on inhuxovto, iv. 120, 1.

## CHAP'TER XXXVI

4. $\sigma$ rov 0 ais spaking wenerally. Some manuseripts have rais $\sigma \pi$ orôais, which is read by Classen. छvuraxiסos-ch. 110

 were newly alliod with Sparta. The Corinthians, though the prime movers of the intrigues against sparta, were not in open hostility.
 iv. 41, 1, $\mu t \chi \rho 6$ ait $\tau \iota$ Eup satives with $\pi$ citc and such words. oủrol oïmep-so Hilt.
 in close concert with each other, instead of disputing as they had done: sce ch. 32 fin.' (Arnold). This is better than rendering 'to maintain the same policy as heretofore', i.e. to hold aloof still from alliance with Athens.
5. 入óyous mowouvtai iSious 'confer privately' or "sepiarately'; cf. ch. 37,8 , is $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o u s{ }_{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov.
 timnal clanse with aúroús, $\mu \in \tau \dot{d}$ Bot $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} v$ is written instead of $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ '்urter to aroid any possible misunderstanding. The sentence is thus made laboured and clumsy, as in ch. 32 \& 4. Towett compares the repetition of 'A 0 praios in ch. $18,34$.

 by all modern culitors. They also assume that the force of äp extends to the following è $\lambda$ éroar. Kiihmer gives examples of similar comstruction from Xenophon in his note on Ameb. i. fi, 2. In the present passage it seems simpler to take eidéotan as a positive statement, 'the Lacedaemonians chose'.
6. $\pi \rho d \tau \eta=$-there is a confusion of thought, or rather of expression, in this sentence. The meaning is that the Spartans attached more importance to saining the friendship of Argos than to incurring the enmity of Athens. The two contingencies are put as it were in opposite seale's. So $\pi \rho$ ó, 'in preference to', is really equivalent to 'at the price of'. Again we may say that, as in Greek a negative is repeated in a nogative clause, so here Agnvaiwv ex $\chi^{\theta} \rho a s$ is written, instead of piNias, with a proleptic force.

Two somewhat similar instances of àví, implying exchange,

 roî $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} 1 \cdot \varepsilon t{ }^{\prime}$, 'at one time they preferred staying at the price of fighting, at another not fighting at the expense of not

 weighed against the prospect of '. Sce also Liddell and Scott àvtl, ii. 2.

Classen takes moo to mean before the became openiy
 $\gamma \in$ тои́тои: hut there too preference of choice seems implied rather than priority of time.
21. ка入ิิ-'on fair and honourable terms', or, according to Stahl, =opportune, 'under favourable conditions', as in ch.

 -- Classen adopts the alteration into $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v \mu$ vous: otherwise either (1) the Ephors are identified with the government gemerally; see ch 37, 9, $\sigma$ piot: or (2) the actual eonstruction
 móvor had gone before. The latter view seems too harsh to be possible; and camnot be supported on the analogy of such
 there the subject of the sentence is the same in sense thomeh not in actual construction. ṕáw... pậov cf. ch. 37, 11, páôicus.

2:3. étéovto Botwrov́s if the text be souml, we must adopt the accepted explanation, they asked (the envors) us
 governs the genitive of the person to whom request is mate. See iii. 51, 2, for an accusative supplying the subjeet to a clause with örws. Kriger sughests ÿroivto, for which Classen would prefer intioove (ch. 41, 12). But even thus the following future with örcos is an awkward and searcely admissibie construction, and it seems as if an infinitive had dropped out dependent on ioiovto and governing Bowtors. Classen suspects the genuineness of the whole sentence, which he thinks may be a clumsy adaptation of ch. 39, 9.

## CHAPTER XXXVII

2. тavità imectad $\mu$ 'vol the dative 'remotioris obiceti' which would follow the active verb becomes the sulject of the passive participle, while the accusative of the direct object


Here the accusative is further comected with the following $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda a \ell . \quad$ ámó- -'on the part of '.
i. $̇ \pi i \quad \tau \mathrm{~d}$ кowá - 'to their respective communities' or "fovemments", each of which was a kowóv (i. 8! , 3 ete.). This is the pham of a collective singular; so rautexa, 'Hleets': ef. iii. \$2 tin. $\tau \dot{a}$ piбa têv molotèv, the moderate or inter-

 of citizens stand their gromd to the death'.
 in ch. 47. 61. $\sigma$ pior - with us $^{\prime}$ i. c. the Argive nation. After тoím $\pi$ foxwpijaavtos the sulject expands still further, mode$\mu \in i v$ к.т.入. referring to the entire body of proposed allies. $\alpha, v$ is to be taken with these last infinitives.
 neans had been the first to join the learue, ch. 29, 1 . $\quad \eta \quad \delta \eta-$ iom, when this point was reached, or this advantage secured.
 mon; sn iy. 61, $\because$, of mutual conference. $\epsilon^{i}$ tiva-'any whaterer'; i. 14, 3, '1pmpaios kai ci tuves äl hor: cf. ch. 35, 2ti.
 are Xenares and his adherents.
20. ßotwтápxats see iv. 91, 1. ท่péóovero ch. 1, 13.

 without a preposition, as in ch. 16, 9. тd̀ єip $\eta \mu$ éva трока-
 $\kappa а \lambda \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu$ о $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.

## CHAPTER XXXVIII

1. ÉSóket-not iñȯ́, for they did not carry their reso-
 таратvхóvтเ-iii. $\delta є о \mu \dot{v} v \underset{\text { - }}{ }$ nsed generically, like the well-known phrase o pondo. $\mu \in \operatorname{vos}$ (ch. ${ }^{2 x}, 6$ ). We find other instances of the generic

2. $\gamma \nu \omega ์ \mu \eta s-$-resolution' or 'lecision'. oütcs-after this;
 see ch. 37. 11. tò aútò étolov̂v ch. 31, 30, то аїтò Aézoutes.
3. tais té $\sigma \sigma a \rho \sigma$ ßounais- not mentioned clsewhere;

 The manuseripts always vary between wipecia and wpetco The latter, according to Liddell and Scott, is the usual prose form ; but Bekker reads ci申e入ia throughout Thucydides.
4. Ekeivas mote the use of this pronom to denote the Lacedaemonians, thongh they have just been mentioned. See note on iv. 37, 2: also Shilleto on i. 132, 3.

 riguegtau. This agrees exactly with ch. 3f, 14. Otherwise

 (2), supplying struadots, the sense is afterwards to become their allies in company with the Lacedamonians, i.e. afterwards to form an alliance with the Lacedaemonians as well, in which the Argives and Corinthians would ise included' (Jowett).
5. $\sigma$ ofor- the natural construction is to take this as dependent on mapatvoviotv. The sense then necessitates referring it to the mombers of the four conncils, the subject
 the main subject of the sentence. There is cousiderable awkwardness in this; and the difficulty is not removed by comparing such passages as e.g. i. 21, i, oi jap ärlpwot tás

 in such passares, though opoon does not refer to the grammatical subject of the relative clanse, it does refer to the peneral subject of the sentence, which is not the case here. It is just possible to take $\sigma$ çiot with mpooiaguoveres, for themselves' or 'for their own nation' $=$ 'for us'. (iriller's conjecture iv ohiot is however very tempting, and better than Bekker's $\sigma \phi \in i s$.
 the aorist indicative, as Classen points out, implies 'an unfultilled condition'. They had been intending to promote the alliance with Argos, and they would have made the attempt) if they had not fatiled to persuade the councils.

 on to semil, that is they gave up their intention. Satpu $\beta$ y cf. ch. 82,20 .

## CHAPTER XXXIX

1. M $\eta$ ки́ $\beta$ єрvav-see ch. 18,34 , where the Athenians stipulate for its independence. It was 20 stadia from Olynthus, on the gulf of Torone. Strabo calls it the arsemal (emivecov) of

 frequent use of the genitive absolute.

 Eipquévor - 'this movision is not found either in the treaty or the alliauce, but it may be umiderstood, as Bishop, Thirliwali remarks, in the last clatise of the latter (ch. 23 ), or in the provision that neither party shonld conclude a war without the consent of the other (ib. 1. 9 and 16). Or it may have formed part of a separate arreement, which Thucydides has not given' (.Jowett). For the accusative absolnte see note on is. 17, リ: and Goodwin § 851.
2. mapalaßeir-i.e. in order to transfer to Athens, thee regular use of this word: the converse of which is mapaobiobvan.
 without a third party intervening, but this is not an invariable rule, see ch. 30,17 : ch. $42,7$.

 тo\ıteian: Verg. Aen. v. 496 , confundere fiedus. mpou日vpov$\mu \dot{\epsilon} v \omega \nu$-with accusative; cf. ch. 17, 7. rà és Botwroús the

 peiro-the Boentians at once began to dismantle the fortress; see ch. 42, 8.

## CHAPTER XL


 Depos being the half-vearly periods by which Thucedides reckons.
3. oux $\mathfrak{\eta}$ Kov-this is Frizger's conjecture for the Mss. reading ironto. One manuscript has p̈кouto, which seems a confusion with the following tó. The uncompounded isvéouat does mot necur in prose except sometines in the participle; i. 99, 3, тò
 (i.5, inveruivas: Dem. 1itatart. 1072
4. kaӨalpoú $\mu \in v o v$-imperfect, as at the end of ch. 39. тois Botwtois $\pi$ pós - "between the lioentians amil Lacedaemonians":
 more usual, as in ch1. 22. 14: is, according to Classen, suggests the central position and centralising influence of Sparta. It may be so; on the other hand Thucedides uses is more loosely than any preposition to denote relation of every kind : see ch. 41, 6 : and the note on ch. 39, 19.


 takes $\mu$ 升 with the infinitive. In viii. 7fi, 7 we have an exact
 xripurs cival (in oration obliquit). The usare is fully disenssed and illustrated in the appendix to Shilleto's firlate Jegotio. For єivat $=\pi$ apeîval, see note on iv. $8,5$.
13. ék $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ Staфopôv hetween Athens and sparta. The Argives supposed that these differences were now at an end. aúroîs-i.e. $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ : see note on ch. $32,26$.
 14. 1tte. ámopoûvtes taûta vii. 4s, 5, тá uiv cimopreiv: Hilt. is.


 high spirit'.
23. ท่ Yoú $\mu \in v o l \ldots$ крátiota -it is open to doubt whether apuitura is to be taken (1) as an aljective with in oómesoo, "thinhling it the hest conrse", or (2) adverbially with otorjas

тойóaterot, 'on the best terms admissible'. In the latter case ij yoŕmevoc is used, as in ii, 42, 4 (a very important passage), in

 (1) setems decidedly simpler. In i. 8.), 3 кра́тьт an adjective ; and such neuter pluals (e.g. ôrvatá) are not uncommon: while äropa vouisores (iii, 16,2 ) is almost an exact parallel.
24. ö $\pi \eta{ }^{2} v \xi \nu \gamma x \omega p \hat{\eta}$ - on whatever terms might be agreen on: E S $\gamma \chi \omega$ peiv, to accede or agree, does not seem to be elsewhere used impersonally, except in a var. lect. from Senophon, given by Liddell and Seott. If the reading be right, it is to be explained on the analogy of eqx $\gamma$ pet, which is common; moness incleed tà mapóvta can be understood as the subject. If the impersonal usage were established, it would tee convenient to tahn $\sigma 1 \gamma \chi \omega \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma a$, Plat. Phaedr. 263 c , in this way.

## CHAPTER XLI

1. áфเкó $\mu \in v a t$ avitêv--for the order of the worls, see note
 for $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{\omega}$ is not uncommon, esperially in aftirmative statements; see Poppo and Kriuger on i. 136,3 , on.tồ ös ìvtc: i. 137, 2,
 aird $\pi \rho a_{s} \xi \in$. áv of course goess with the verb, not with $\dot{\psi}$ : cf.

 yevéodal-Krüger considers opió as perhaps an interpolition from two lines above; but it emphasizes the anxiety of the Argives to be allowed to refer the question to arbitration'. 'This did take place at a later' period, and the result was, that Thyrea was awarded to the Argives, and retained by them down to the time of Pausanias' (Arnold).
2. Kuvorovpias Kuvorpias is also real, as in is. if, e2,
 aci тov $\pi$ deterov, gives other instances of this position of aci. $\mu \in$ Oopias - the $\theta_{\imath}$ ре $a$ tıs $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ is similarly described in ii. 27, 2 ; where Kixuger also notes that adjectives compounded from öpos have often three terminations.

 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t$ governs the genitive directly (vi. 15, 2) or takes $\pi$ epi
 cordance with the sense, as if érecoì ouk eiwn had gone before:
 $\sigma \pi t \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ : so in viii. 104, 3, we have a gentive absolute construction passing into the nominative.
1.5. óтотєpotroûv-- to either side"; ch. 18, G.5: Plat. Ih'p.

 uter is sometimes used indefinitely = either of two. трокалєбa$\mu$ évors - 'alter due challenge'. тро́тєрóv тотє- the acenunt of this combat is given by Herodutus (i. 82). Three hundred picked men fought on either side. At nightfall two Argives and one Spartan remained alive. The Argives elamed the
 cause their champion remained on the field, while the Argives went home. This was in the dars of Croesus, in the middle of the sixth century.




3. $\pi$ ávths - 'on any terms ` : vi. 20, 1: Hit. ix. 3.j, $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega s$ ovvexúpeón oi, accepted him on his own terms. tavtax $\hat{\eta}$ is similarly used, Soph. Aj. 1369: Ant. 634: cf. ch. 36, 31, кalus. $\xi \cup v \in \gamma p a ́ \psi a v \tau o-$ had the terms drawn up ".
 ixoyres, of ambassaturs with final powers. $\delta$ eikat -se. these terms, airad hence the subject of dpírкovra. Note the use of eival with the participle. Kritider collects instances on i. 3s, 2, ג̀ $\rho \in \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau$ '́s $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$.


## CHAP'TER XLII

The two next chapters dual, as we see from the beriming of ch. 44, with what passed while the locotian envoys were at Sparta.

1i. Tov̀s mapá see note on ch. 15, 4. aùrêv withont Spartan sanction. We fimd however that the Athenians took a different view. кa日ŋррпи́vov--the demolition of the works was now complete; see ch. 40 fin.
9. Ék Sıaфopâs - 'after a quarrel’: ir. 8:3, 3: iv. 12.). 1. кown̂ véfecv 'to use it ats common pasture gromed'. 'The land was left unenclosed, on purpose to awoid disputes about the boundary line' (Arnold).
15. kai тov̀тo árodiסóval 'that this too was restoring it'; sai i.e. no less than actually giving it hack, or perhaps 'even this", 'this in itself'. Nost editors appear to take routo
 in apposition. It reems however better to renarl toito as a
 being 'thinking that thereby too they gave it back'. There is an exact parallel to this construction, Fir. Iph. T. 2999 , joxûv 'Epevis Beàs duriveutar ráóe, "thinking that in this he was repelling the Furies'.
17. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \omega \nu=$ 'as soon as the Athenims heard this'; the use of the imperfect verbs and participles to the end of the chapter is to be noticed. Seivà éroiouv - 'mule an ontery', or 'broke out in uproar'. There are several instances of this use of the active in Hdt. e.g. ii. 121 n: : iii. 14: so [Dem.] Aristog. 7 ss . סew' motêotal. 'to take a thing ill' imfligmari, is a recognized phrase which occurs i. 102, 4: vi. 60, 4: Halt. iii. 1.\%): vii. 1; mociö日a having the sense of 'making for one's self', i.e. regarding. It has therefore been proposed to read
 Chavasse point out, in the appendix to their edition of Book iv., the active refers to the external manifestations, noises ete., while the middle expresses the subjective feeling.
19. ópOóv-so ch. 4f, 15. Plutarch (Alc. 14) says Дaкeôal-
 $\dot{d} \backslash \grave{a}$ кatalníaures. Thucradides says nothing of its restoration: but both accounts agree that the Athenians attributed bad faith
 кai with the participle corresponding to тồ re...канаирioft. The conclusion of an alliance with Boeotia seems not to have been generally known at Athens till now. Yet the Argives knew of it from the first (ch. 40, 5).
 $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ s the editors seem to take sevojis and $\dot{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \lambda 0 i \pi \epsilon \sigma a v$ as active. It seems better to regard $\epsilon \xi \varepsilon \lambda \in \lambda o i ́-$

Thour as intramsitive, governing swatikys, with ö $\sigma a$ as cognate
 naain statement, or a subordinate one with ö́ra supplien.

## CHAPTER XLIII

The momotunoms account of intrighes ami comenter-intringes is broken for a moment ly the mention of Alcibiades, who now for the first time comes upon the seene. He is the first man of commanding abilities who appered at Athens since the death of Pericles, and henceforward the most prominent character to the close of our author's history.
3. ai. 'in thei: turn, on their sile", as opposeal to the war' party in Sparta. Evéкeเvтo-instulumt, see note on iv. 2!2, 2.
$\therefore$. $\dot{\lambda}$ ckia.... veos the are of Alcibiades: is a subject of some discussion, owing to the loose languare of the authorities we have. Thwir statements are collected in loppo's edition. It secms however arreed that he was in his lith year when his father Cleinias tell at Coronea in 147 . He served in the ex-
 Alc. 7). He was therefore now about thirty.
 iv. $8.4,2$, és lareöapuovos. Thirty appears to have been the recomized age in (frecian states for entering on public life.
 clamed descent from Eurysaces the son of Ajax. His muther was Dinomathe, of the family of the Hematomitae. In lem. Mecid. stil \$ 144 , where there is a descmiption of the ancestry and achiovements of Aleibitules, he is candesely said mpos $\pi a r \rho d s^{\prime} A \lambda \kappa \mu a \epsilon \omega \nu เ \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ єโขat.
7. kai ajpetvov he supported the Aryives from actual comviction ulsu, ats well as on other grounds. oú $\mu$ évtol $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$.






10．$\Lambda$ áxpros Laches is mancel mext to Nicias in the lists of signatories in ch． 19 and 21 ．He also mowed the matifica－ tion of the year＇s truce with Sipartat in 423 （iv．118．7）．$\quad \in \pi \rho a \xi \alpha v$ －（ffected＇ir＇negotiated＇；ef．what Alcibiades says at sparta，

 Note the emphatic position of autóv．Most mannseripts have ¿́autóv，which Classen reads．

12．кaтá－lit．＇in aecordance with’．$\pi \rho o \xi \in v i ́ a v ~ f f . ~ v i . ~$


 ov̂ซav see ch． 34, li：30̀， 24 etc．

1．）．$\pi a v \tau a x o ́ \theta \epsilon \tau$ end so ferling himself slighted lat a disadrantage）in every waty ${ }^{\prime}$ ，$r_{t}$ smms up and conclules．For

 яглеіо⿱亠乂os．．．каi то́тє．
 jimiv ifoav unöev ve $\omega$ тepreir．$\sigma$ фía $\cdots$ with 11s ${ }^{\circ}$ ，as in ch．37，9：
 éce \eiv：also with names of places．Classen motes that in this sense the aorist is always used．



## CHAPTER XLIV

1．$\tau \mathfrak{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \quad \tau \epsilon$ and wai connect the two things which in－ fluenced the Argives，the messagre of Alcibiades，and true information about the Spartan and Bonotian alliance；in ch． 11,11 we have a similar wording．kai étetSŋ́ see ch．iss， 2 ，for the same combination of participial and relative con－ struction．
 $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ thus used is the converse of ävel，is．is，3，ete．The Argives were under a misstpprehension（ch．39．14）when they sent their envoys．
7. oí obiot-lit. 'whom they had away in Lacedaemon'
 ch. 48,12 : cf. ch. 13 fin.
 The two states had been in alliance since 463 (i. 102, 4).
 kard $\theta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a v$-these words (omitted by Stahl) are a kind of afterthought. The Argives reflect that Athens is uspecially strong at sea, where they themselves are weakest.

 Mantineans hat been the first to join the league; ch. $2: 9$ and 31. $\tau \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\xi} \xi \mu \mu a x i a s$ - the contemplated alliance; (h. $13,!1$.
16. Sokoûvtes - who were accounted friendly to (on good terms with) the Athenians . Philocharidas is one of the signatories named in ch. 19 and 24 . Endius appears in viii. 6,3 , as connected by hereditary friendship with Aloibiades.
18. Seíaaves-the envoys are identified with the government that sent them. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} v \tau \in \tau \epsilon$ and кai connect the two things the Lacedaemonians feared, that the Athenians would make an alliance with Argos and refuse to restore l'ylos.
 damonian apology would involve sume inconsistency with the


## CHAPTER XLS

1. '่v $\tau \hat{n}$ ßovin $\hat{n}$ foreign ambassadors first presented their credentials to the ;omp, they were afterwards introduced to the $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ia.
2. $\mu \eta$ кai $\eta \nu$-кai most probably goes with is tù onjuor, the order heing slightly irregular: Classen compares is: 63, 2 ,
 o申и̂v, ete. Krüger and loppo would read $\hat{\eta} p$ xai. It is also



ih. is rov $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ i.e. in the iкклךбia. Classen follows Stahl in reading tauità for raûta. àt $\omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$-ch. 22 , fi, iт $\pi \epsilon \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu t o$.

 this is supplied the sense 'he promises' and 'he says' with the following infinitives; or they may be connected with miotuv oon's 'with the assurance that". تioviv Sovs-I'lut. Alc. 11,

 note quated on ch. 13, 8. l'or the infinitive 'by assimilation" in the relative clanse in orttin obliqua, see Goodwin, § 755: cf.

 effect a reconciliation in all other respects': i. 24, 1, roi's

 te and кai couple the most important words. For the form of

 Kriuger would read ifues, the word used by I'lut. Ale. It fin.
 fication for the change. Evv $v \hat{\varphi}$ 'Xovoเv-'mean, intend'; so
 bear in mind', remember: see note on iv. $8, \tilde{j}$, iv $\nu \hat{\varphi}$ ei $\chi o \nu$.

1s. тape $\lambda \theta_{0} v \tau \epsilon$ - the regular term for 'coming forward in the assembly. mapayayóves, line 2:3, is the corresponding active word.
19. oùk ëфaбay $\ddot{\omega} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho$..sc. ëфaбav: 'in answer to the question whether they had full powers replied No, in direct contradiction to what they had said in the Comncil ' (Jowett). or $\phi \eta \mu=$ to deny; but the clause is framed in accordance with the literal construction rather than the actual force. кata-

 quake happened after any enterprise was actually berrun, it was interpreted as a sigu of enenuragement on the part of the gods to persevere in it. See Xen. Ifell. iv. 7, 1'. (Arnold.) Sce Liddell and Scott, òьoб $\mu$ ia.

## CHAPTER XLVI

1. Tỹ $\delta^{\prime}$ votepaia •next daly s aswmhly’: to i. 4t, 1. It has been yuestiom whether $\tau \hat{\eta}$ íatepua (uaxy), vii. 11,2, has this meaning or simply - ítipa. кaintep belongs especially to kai aúrós, 'himself too', as Classen indicates hes marking off
 cipial clanses are not comdinate, but the second is the conserguence of the first. Alcibisalles had deeerived the envoys, and Nicias thus found himself in a thoroughty false position.
 phasizes the disappointment of Nicias, if indeed it has any partienlar force. Poppo however considers that sound is sometimes emsulted rather than sense in such alliterative

2. öpes-disappeinted as he was hy the envoys, he still clung to the hope oif a Lacedamonian aliance. érเoxóvtas ch. 32, 22s. тà mpos 'Apyeious -ch. 33, 19. тà is Butwon's.
$\therefore \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega v$ 'urging'. lit. guing on with his speech: 1'oppo. with Arnold, takes it - iuhens, sumdins: 'advising them to put off ' ; a foree of which instances from tragedy may he found in Liddell and Scott. There are alsn prose instances in Friiger's inammar, \&5.5. 3, 13. Here howew there is no need thus to force the meaning of di $\gamma \omega$. To render 'saying. we (thus) put off the war', gives an excellent sense.
.h. Ev... кadê mader conditions and cireunstances which
 conditions and circmmstances, ch. 5! 21: ch. bio, 13; though there the sense is somewhat different. For the u-e of adjectives cf. vi. 11, 6, $\tau \dot{\partial} \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in$.
 fons with obravometoat it being a common mannerism of Thucrdidus thats in hreak up the stiffones of grammatical commexion. For the force of the aorist of. wh. 16, 14. єúp $\quad$ pa-

3. ópOóv-as Panactum was already known to be dis. mantled (ch. 42, 19), this looks like an attempt to please the mob with a show of spirit, unless indeed the demolition was supposed to he incomplete. diveivat-so $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ émitporijv

4. ötc.... $\pi$ тrorngoat after the conditional clause the construction with öt is nocrected; so iv. 37, 1, ᄀpous ötl, ci...
 fect. The combination of tenses implies, 'if we wished to wrong you (which we do not), we shomht have already made the Argives our allies '. The imperfect refers to a wish, the present existence of which is denied, the phuperfect to one definite act, which would have been completed before now: Goodivin, § 410.
5. wis mapeival ch. 4., 11 note. av่ on ch. 30, 14, collects instances of similar jinoles, which phainly were not unpleasin! to the writer's car. $\epsilon \ddot{\imath} \tau \iota=$ örac. and so it is answered by mávтa.
22.2. Tov̀s $\pi$ epi Nıkíav (ih. 13, 2: so infra, line 2!. aंvグGouol Botwrois lit. 'throw it lack on the hands of the bocotians, unless ete. ․ or Bolwtois may be dutirus incommondi.

 omits the words as an interpolated note: ef. however ii. 67 ,
 sibly be read instead of rov épopov, as two manuscripts have iqupley and one omits tor for Xenares and his party see ch. $36,9$.
 jुбav. avevémavto aceording to the provisions of the treaty concluded the year before, ch. 18, 61.
6. altos 'for he was accounted responsible for the treaty with Sparta'. The position of this clanee makes it an ex-
 feared he might be accounted' etc. : but 'being held responsible as he was, he feared he should be discredited.
7. $\delta<$ ' obpy $s$ єixxov-according to (lassen simply 'were indignant', but an object seems implied though not expressed. They were indiguant at Nicias' failure or at the conduct of the Lacedaemonians. The other instances which Cl:asen cites may be similarly explainerl. mapóvtes implies that the envoys were alrealy in Athens: mapayayóvtos that they were now brought before the assembly.

## CHAPTER XLVII

Jefen-ive treaty between Athens and the Aroive leagne. Mantinea and Elis, no leso than Arons and Athens, are spolien of as imperial fitates, the meaning being that the towns which Mantinear had aequired (ch. 2!!) and Lepreum, which was clamed ly Elis (ch. 31), are recognised as their subject allies (Jowett). In Professor Jowett's secomd volume there is an aceount of a fragment of a marble tablet, containing portions of this treaty, discovered in $1 \times 77$ on the Acropolis.


4. éкátepor At wens and Argos reprectively, not-iкабтo. The members of the Argive league are here taken as a whole: in line 3.5 it is different. áódous see ch. 18. 13, ete. The wording of this treaty is in many respects identical with the formulas in ch. 18 and 23 , with slight verbal changes,

 and infinitive construction.

## 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathfrak{\imath} \pi \eta \mu \mathrm{v} \hat{\eta}-\mathrm{ch} .18,15$.

 imargelantes jocus. Sometimes the word is used with the

 ¿ัvциа́хоия.
 in ch. 23.
 followed hystahl, regards thene words as spurious, but they seem intended to grard against any possible evasion. civat--
 Such participial constructions are nut uncommon with a $i \mu \eta$, and in effect are simply adverbial.
 viii. is, in the terms of a treaty; and three times in Aristo-
phanes. In Fur. Herc. Fiur. 13sit, it is read by Hermann and Paley (fin imáv), and is found in 1socrates and Xenophon. The later form imáv, according to Kiruger's (irammar, is more strictly Attic. The subject of ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta \eta \eta$ is supplied from the sense, sc. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\beta} \circ \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ or $\dot{\eta}$ бт $\rho a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha}$.
41. ámเov̄の ката̀ тav́тá - the words катà тairá are confusing. They seem at first to sugsest another period of thirty divs; but they probably only imply maintenance on the way home, 'in the same manner' as on the way out. 'The city which applied for aid was thus entitled to help for 30 clear days without incurring expense.
43. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ óm $\boldsymbol{\lambda} i \tau \eta$-fur this use of $\tau \dot{\psi}-$ 'each', Kriger
 тô̂ $\mu \eta \nu$ òs $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta$. Amold says ' It shews the democratic character of the contracting commonwealth, that the archer, and even the light-armed soldier, should have received the same pay as the heary-amed soldier. Thus at Athens even the seamen received as hirh pay as the heary-ammed soldier: see iii. 17, 4: ri. 31, 3'. The archers are distinguished from the other light-armed troops, as in iv: 36, 1, where Poppo's note may be consulted.
4. tpeis ó $\beta$ onov̀s Aiywaious-usually assmued to be equivalent to five Attic obols; the Aeginetan and Corinthian drachma being worth ten Attic obols. See Jowett on iii. 70, 4, where a different view is noticed.


 grown victims', rather than 'victims without blemish' or 'in full numbers'. Arnold compares Hdt. i. 183, where rà téגca
 maiores are distinguished from hostiue lactentes.
59. ai ${ }^{E} \nu \delta \eta \mu$ o ${ }^{2} \rho \times x$ - 'the home authorities', meaning, according to Arnold, the archons, secretaries etc. as opposed to the $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma \quad$ or forcign olfice. In Aesch. Timarch. 45, we
 so Ar. Pol. iii. 9, 8, тà évónua are home affairs, $\tau \dot{a}$ vi $\pi \epsilon$ fópla
 'most stay-at-home people'. For the concrete use of apxaí, see note on $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \eta$, iv. 15, 1.
 § 65: in Hdt. iii. 133, and iv. 154, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ opo $\omega$ takes the accusative and denotes binding a person by oath.
26. oi oy $\delta$ onkura-nothing is known of these. They may have been a more aristocratical council than the foan ${ }^{\prime}$, and the dopuva, may hare been the presiding officers, who, as Müller suggests, had succeeded to the civil authority of the kings (.trnold). If ai deperat is the ripht reading, it is analogous to ai apxai: oi ávivan (Ifuker) wonld come from aptivas $(\eta s)$. Poppo sughests oi aprivoh, a form found in Plutarch.
62. oi $\delta_{\text {rumboupyoi- }-\mathrm{n}}$ common title of the magistrates in the Pelopomesian states, with the exception of Lacedaemon. In i. 56, 2, e $\pi$ ionnmorproi are officials sent from ('orinth to the colony of Potidaea. oi $\theta$ ewpoi - 'a sacred collese, whose functions were perpetual, like the colleges of pontifices and augurs at Rome. Like the Pythii at Lacedamon, they had the care of all oracles delivered to the state, and probably had a general control over religious matters' (Arnold).
 simply equivalent to oi iv rètct or $\tau \dot{a} \tau \dot{\alpha} \backslash \eta$. He believes that some particular 'council of administration' is mohably meant, smaller than the general council of six huthed. Kirürer brackets the words as spurious, the phrase loing unnsual. In iv. 118,7 , тe. . $o s$ ězovtes means 'having full powers'.
66. adaveoúgeat-see ch. 1s, if. Arnuld proints out that the times specified were such that the renewal of the oaths might be completed on both sides hefore the return of their respective great public festivals. The great lanathenaea were celebrated every four years, in the thind year of the (Olympiad.
 more common.
76. кara日évetw - 'deposit', instead of the more usual orijoa (ch. 18, 62) ; this tablet and inscription would be in the care of the Eleans. rois vovi at the Olympian festival which was close at hand, ch. 49 , init.

## CHAP'TER XISVIII

1. ai ${ }_{j} v \mu \mu a x i a l$ Clasen reads in erumaxia, as in ch. 27, 2. where see nute. Here at any rate the plaral semens unobjectionable, as four contracting powers are concemal.
 meommon in this sense. The pluperfect denotes not only the fact, but the state of things resulting, i.e., the continuance of the alliance between Athens and spartat ; see note on iv. 13, 1.
 Aeschin. etc. iv obetefpors and the like forms are characteristic of late Greek (Kriiger).
2. Kopiveror סé к.т.入. -though the Corinthians had become allies of Argos (ch. 31, 28) they would not join the new leagne, just as they had befor? refused to be parties to an offensive and defensive alliance. We have no particulats of this in ch. 29-31; but it would seem that the other confederates of Argos had made such an alliance, while the Corinthians had only concluded a defensive treaty, as they originally

 thus parenthetical, and dpкeiv $\delta^{\prime}$ 'gфaбav carries on the sensi from oủk $\mathfrak{\text { ča }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov.


 27, 16. These words do not necur elsewhere in Thucydides.
3. oi $\mu \in ́ v$-so ch. 67,11 : iv. 32,1 ete. : a fresh sentenca more commonly begins with oi $\mu \dot{\lambda} \nu$ oìv or кai oi $\mu t\rangle \nu$. $\pi$ pòs... eixov-cf. ch. 44, 8.

## CHAPTER XLIX

 óeítepor èviкa. ois and $\dot{\eta}$, datives of time or date, may explain
 ja $\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ p & \text { 'in a calm'. Editors note that in later times it was }\end{aligned}$ generally the victor in the $\sigma$ raiolo whose name was associated with the Olympiad. Possibly Thucydides names athletes of umusual distinction. See Lid. and Scott for the difference between 'O入ı́ر $\mu t a$ and ' $O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i \alpha ́ s$.
3. 'vika-'was victorious'; the imperfect is the rerular usage with $\nu \omega \hat{w}$ even when a single definite victory is in
 крат⿳⺈⿴囗十一 etc．（（ivodwin \＄27）．In vi．16，2，however，where Alcibiades is speaking of his Olympic victory，he says evingoa sé，I won the prize＇；regarding his former victory its a single fact now entirely past；see note on ch．51， 8 ．
iv．$\tau 0 \hat{v}$ iepou－－the whole of the sacred precincts．The Lacedaemonians were excluded from taking part in the cere－ monies and games in any public recognized capacity．

5．Tทेv Sikqu＇the penalty ；an uncommon use of the word to denote a specitic fine．Such passaces as Soph．Aj．113，



 as Arnold says，secms to denote what was specined in the law；
 otodais ï $\sigma \epsilon$ ．

 is used in the active of the conrt which promomaces the judy－ ment，in the middle of the plaintiff in whose interest the court
 Mevititou，＇having obtained a verdict in a mereantile suit against Menippus．In the present case the plaintiffs ami judges would seem to have heen more or less intentical，be ing Eleans；but no complaint is made of this，the only dispute being about the facts．

7．фáซкovtes（és）$\sigma \phi$ âs－is is a conjecture which is due to Shilleto．It might have easily dropped out after the word before，and it gives an excellent sense，the llasms complaining that＇their territory was invaded＇at two 1mants；ef．iv．77，1， бтратеiєи is Bowtons．The mamuscript remling，фáoкomes $\sigma \phi \bar{s}$, is very suspicions．Classen refers of̧às to Aansóamóroo． the main sulject of the sentence，and explatis a similar $\sigma$ द्यs in wi． 111,5 ，in the same way：She note on optor，wh． $35,2.2$. Jowett，on the other hami，considers that the Herodotenn unare of opeas ete．fur arrou＇s is nut altorether extinct in Thucydides，especially when repetition is to be avoided．Many editors adopt Dobree＇s conjecture o $\overline{\hat{c}} \hat{\text { v }}$ ，comparing such phrases
 suggested．

The military operations of which lilis complained must have taken place after what is related in ch． 31,20 ，muless
indecd that account is partly anticipatory．Possibly，as（irote suresests，the Eleans had renewed their attacks on the district of Lepreum．

8．aútêv dependent on ．étrfeov according to most editors： Jowett howewer sems right in taking it with jinlitas．We have seen（ch．31）that the Eleans refused to accept the award of the independence of Leprom，after being strengthened by their new allies．（Classen inserts $\chi$ 入hious before om $\pi$ itas．

 was the ordinary ransom of a Pelopomnewian soldier taken



13．кataסєठıкáoӨal－prohably passive impersonal or with
 721 ），and similar phrases in Demosthenes．Classen however takes it as middle，which is possible so far as the form is concerned．

1．）．＇H $\lambda$ eio 8 ＇＇the Eleans maintained that the truce at F：lis was already in force de．．They were thus precluded from resisting the Spartan agroression．How the Spartans were to be expected to know that the truce had hegun does not appear；the Eleans seem to have had the entire regulation of all matters concerning the Olympic festival．

20．ítє $\lambda$ ápßavov－rejoined，mged in reply ；ii．72， 1. imo入a，iuv єime．The word is perpetually used in Demosthenes of counter－arguments．ËT－－‘after this＇．a＇Suciv－lit．to be already äotsor：áowriv being one of the verls thus used in the present，like $\phi \in i=\epsilon \in$ ，＇to lue in exile＇，vckav，ete，to denote a present condition following a past act．dSıкグ． oavras，just before，refurs to the commission of a definite act of trespass．

22．dad $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oux ${ }^{\text {wis }}$ vouirovtas－lit．•ut that they had donc this（amounced the treaty）not as if they considered them． selves wronged（but as if they did not）：Kriager（Grammur $\$ 67,4)$ shows the elliptical nature of such constructions；



il．kai．．．etteveyкeiv and that they（the Lacedaemonians） had not after thic attacked them at any moint：．Fote the change of subject．

- $\therefore$ тoj aúroû...eixouto 'heli to the same statement ;




 serms no difference in meaning between the two forms of expression: though oi is the more regular, heing retained from the rirect speech: see (ion $\mathrm{m}_{\text {win }}$ \& (i8:): Madvig's signtar. \& ? (0). Can any difference in meaning be secn

 statement with ov.
 тіцпйа тò $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$.


## CHAPTER J.

 terpreted 'to swear solemmly'. Elowhere äounqualways has a nerative force, and means 'to disclaim on oath'. Should
 perhaps be justified, as the math would be exculpatory in effect.
s. $\theta v \sigma$ ias kal áyẃvov Msually reqarded as explanatory of тoí iepoû, and condinate in constrnction. Some anthorities however take toí iepoî as dependent on orias, or conversely translate, from the saced ohservanee of satrifice : The position of the article is against such renderings. Kinger sumgests that Droias nai ajáwar may be a note interpolateal from ch. 49, 1.

1. Ė $\theta$ épouv were formally Buresonted by their Acwpoi:
 vidual Spartans were not excluded.
2. $\xi \dot{v} v$ öтdoss 'mbler arms'; one of the rewular phrases in which eive is used in prosis. Cla-en matecountably talis. $\tau \bar{\omega} v$ vewtépov as depmemtent on antuss, and maturally calls it a



3. èv "Apyєt imépevov 'had been waiting at Argos for the feast, and then mowed towards Olympia (Jowett). This is a legitimate sense of the imperfect, referring to duration
 Raoliciar 'Atpéa mapalapeiv. Otherwise the reading è "Aprє must be wrong, ats Argos was seventy or cighty miles off. Classen accorlingly adopts ip Apripp, Harpina being a town in the valley of the Alphens, 20 stada from Olympia. It seems however matural that Argos, the head of the league with Athens, would find quarters for an Athenian detachment, which had probably been sent in readiness by the advice of Alcibiades.
4. Lixas lichas was a man of eminence. He was one of the envoys to Argos, ch. 22,11 (cf. ch. 76,13 ), and is
 'on the course' or place of contest, an Homeric use; so infr. line 20 . Otherwise the maning might be 'in the midst of the assembly' or 'during the meeting'.
 oflicials acting under orders from the presiding 'ENXavooicat or à $\omega$ oodítal, rather than these authorities themselves. $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ as $\lambda a \mu \beta a v \omega$ is the regular phrase for 'being beaten' and is accordingly constructed with imo. For the defective verh rimtw', see the Appendix to Siandys' Pricute Orations of Demosthenes.

Grote points out how much less harsh and rough, by comparison with this incident, was the mamer of dealing at Athens, where personal violence war illegal. He adds 'the boldness of the Eleans in putting this affront upon the most powerful state in (irecce is so astonishing, that we can hardly be mistaken in supposing their proceeding to have been suggested by Alcibiades and encouraged by the amed aid from the allies. He was at this moment not less ostentatious in humiliating Sparta than in showing off Athens' (ch. inj).
 Inchas won the final heat we do not know, or even whether there were more heats than one. (irote (ch. ib) diseusses the matter at length, and strives to show that this was the Olympian festival at which Alciliades made his umparalleled display of magnificence as Dewpos, and entered sermin chariots, taking the first, second and foum phace (1. 1ti, 2).
19. Botwrív $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i o u$ Lichas had entered his charint under the name of the 'Bocotian community', which was accondingly declared vietorious: Xen. Holl. iii. 2, 21, Aixa
 as in Hdt. i. 14, Kopuptiwn rou onuociot: and the article is omitted, as it often is with quasi-promer names, on ch. 18,
 Poppo suggests that onuoriou might possilily be taken as an adjective agreeing with jeúrous, 'his chariot being proclaimed as belonging to the Beertian state’. State:, like kings, seem to hare competed in the race; of. vi. 16, 2, where Alcibiades says that he entered more charints than any private perem before him.
 found elsewhere in classical (ireck. àvé $\eta \sigma$ - is. 121, 1 , $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф \dot{\alpha} \nu \psi \dot{a} \nu \in \delta \partial \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
24. ท̈бúxaoav-the abrist, 'hecame quiet' or 'were pacified'. suggests that they had actually made some threatening movement; otherwise we should expect the imperfect: cf. viii. 86,
 24. The Lacedaemonians seem meant, though Kriumer refers the word to $\pi$ áves. Twelve years afterwards the Spartans avenged the insult they had received, by invading amil rawaging the land of Elis; Xen. If:ll. iii. 2, 23-31.
 44,7 etc.

## CHAPTER I,

 ment of Herachat as a Tacedamonian colony and plate of arms, and the hostility with which it was regardeal ly the merghboring Thessalians, are deseribed in iii. 92, 93. In those chapters we have tems comespmatin: to thone in the






6．€ủӨús тє．．．kal тótє－see note on ch．43，16．
8．Eviknoav．so i．29，4，where Classen points out that the atorist is used，instead of the more common imperfect，to denote the single fact of vietory，without reference to the state of things resulting．See ch．49，3，note．
！．Eeváp ${ }^{\text {E }}$ the ephor named in ch．33i，！．Kvísos，if the reading is right，seems to be genitive of Kriors，Ionic in form．like＇Aфи́тсos，i．64，2：：Joástos，iv．107．3．（＇nidis however is an unknown name．

## CHAPTER LII

3．тарє́ $\lambda \alpha \beta$ ov－－took into their own hands＇；so twice in iii．i）．тóv so iii．25，1，Уá入aı日os ó Лaкєôaımóvtos：iii．100，2， Maxápios nai Mevéáióos of ப゙тaptiâtal etc．The article in such instances does not seem to imply previous mention or special distinction．Sometimes indeed there may be an idea of oppo－ sition，as in this instance between the Lacedaemonian governor and the other colonists．
t．$\dot{\text { s }}$ ov kadws－see the end of iii．93，where the ruin of Hemaleat is attributed to the Spartan governors．＇̇ $\xi \in \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha v$ is a milder word than $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \beta a \lambda o \nu$ ：cf．iv． 49.
（6．тà ката́ determinant accusative；ii．！！i，：3，oi wijijeto ì àp $\chi \dot{\eta}$ rà $\pi$ pòs llaiovas．Such phrases as iii．1，2，$\tau \dot{a} ~ \pi \in p \grave{~}$
 them as nominative；Poppo and Triuger as aceusative with an impersonal passive verb：see ch． $26,32$.



1．7．Matpéas Hat．i．145，Hatpées：Hátpą（Hew l＇atras）

 would thens have a meure naval station at latrae，which would command the chtamee to the Corinthian（inlf，beiner seavards
of Rhium and Nrupactus. On the same principle they hat built the long walls at Megara, and garrisoned them themselves (iv. 103, 4).
16. Ëtepov-sc. reìरos, perhaps now, as P'oppo sughests, in the sense of fortified position. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $\mathrm{Pi} \omega$--see ii. 81, 4 and 86,2 for the opposite promontories called Rhium.
 by its construction'; or perlapes 'who considered that they wonld be injured ; lit. 'to whom it was being (was likely to be) injurions. The imperfect indicative without ${ }^{2} v$ is use. 1


 For the combination with texageir ci irexioun (or rel-


 sidered äv to be meessary here, propensed to deat ois ing äu $\beta \backslash \alpha \beta \eta$, hut $\dot{\beta} v \quad \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ is an idiomatic phrase equivalent to an adjective, which should in any ease be retained: ©f. Hat.

 societas.

## CHAP'WE LIH

 The accusative is alon used, as in ch. 81, 21: iii, 111, 1. Here mép is answeret ly iṓna dé. חuөaéws from nom. Hetari's: so Mq.aices, iv. 100, 1. The mas. have It fices. Amold believes that at temple at diges is mant. J'oppo and (laseen refer the passaree to a temple at A-ine, which the Acrives, aceording to lamsanias, left standing after the destruction of the fown. In any cast a sacritice seems to have been due from Epidaurns to the temple, in ackmoledgement of some privilege of pasturage or the like.

 ie'sp. 707: cf, reddere rationem, poenas, ete.

ㄷ. Borapicu-apparently 'pasture-lands', hut not found dacwhere. Stahl reads poraver, comparing Ilat. lirp. 401 I , iv nati? pooduy in had pasture"; but there the word means food rather than lami. Poppo adopts the reading of some
 fices' (Doric for pour.) hats also been suggested; with the meaning that kpidaurus neglected to send the necessary victims which entitled them to share in religious rites. No word of the kind is however known, as is shown in Poppois edition.
(i. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ sairias 'this ground of complant', lit. 'their', that which they had. For aitia cf. iv. 85, 1 ete.
$\therefore$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \epsilon$ Kopiv $\theta_{\text {ou }}$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. both to ensure the neutrality of Corinth, and because they thought that the Athenians would thus have a shorter distance to bring forces from Acgina'. The construction in the clause with $\tau \epsilon$ is slightly changed and



 reasons arr given in clanses with $\tau \epsilon$ and kai, and the clause with кai, insteal of corresponding to that with $\tau \epsilon$, is accommodated to the constumetion which would have followed the main verb.

Here '̇óket first means' spemel grond" : then the idea of seming only is carried on. We have the comperse Ene. If $I_{\text {. }}$.



The Comblhans had tahen a suspicious attitude, as we see from the end of ch. 18 , and $\beta o r \theta \epsilon \cos$ means aid to the confederacy and Argos in particular.

 $\sigma$ witpiar.... $\ldots$..iveir. In these passages the infinitive is written, without any mal comstruction, when a dative participle or verb with ci might he cespectect. So we might say, "it was a shorter distance to send aid than romeding Sellacum’. From Aecina to Epidaurus was a straight passage, and the diatance by land to Argos was not great.
 acting the sacrifice ': $\delta$ á i s here used in the sense of 'tveka: see
 ford calls $\delta_{6}$ a cimópa a late idiom leetraying an adseript, hut there serme awoh instances to support it. lion the order ef.


## CHAPTER LIV

3. $\Lambda$ euktpa-besides the well-known Leuctra in lioentin, there were two towns in Pelopomnesus so called, one s.w. of Sparta, on the coast near the Messenian horder, the other sis. towards the district which afterwards belonged to Megalopolis: this latter is here meant. $\Lambda$ úkawo-se. of pos or iepour ; the neuter adjective applying to either; ch. 16, 32.
4. ai $\pi$ ó $\lambda$ ets the Latomian cities; the allies were summoned later on. Staßaripla-sc. iepá, the sacrifices for crossing the border; so ch. 11fi. :3. трои́хஸ́pєь - 'proved favourable'; Xen.
 used in the same way, as in ch. $5.5,17$.
5. $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{0}$ ca - $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha$ would have heen ardded but for the following parenthesis. Kapveios -corresponding to Metapeitnion at Athens; about Angust. The name was derived from the festival of Apollo (ameius. ieporyiva a sacted periond: nenter plunal, referring to the whole month, in which there were two festivals besides the Carncia. Blsewhere we have the feminine singular, as in iii. $51, \underline{2}$ : and it is read here liy Stahl, as the neuter phural must come from an adjective iepouriveos which occurs nowhere, and Schol. Pind. Nem. iii. I. uses iepourvia of the entire month ' (F.).
6. тєтрá8ı ф日ivovtos - apparently on the fourth day from the end', like тєтápr? ch. 1!, 2: but see Lid. and Seott, $\mu \dot{\eta}$. äyovtes'keeping this day all the time', i.e. calling every day that the expedition lasted the 27 th of the momth befme (armeins. This explanation, now aceepted by all, is due to (irote, who shows (ch. if6) that such tricks with the calmiar were hy no mans unknown. Other explanations formerly suggested were 'marching during this day' or 'thomgh they always ohsomed this
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda o \nu\left(\mathrm{v}, 1 . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon^{\ell} \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu\right)$.
7. Tives of $\mu$ év--nut equivalent to ai mey tures, but tues is: divided by apposition into oi mir...oi òe: vii. N6, 4, Tures. wis
 seem to have actually responded to the call of Ephitamens: ch. 55, 7. ทंoúxagov-either not feeling strong enough to act independently, or deterred liy the sacted seasom.

## CHAPTER LV

2．iv $\tau \mathfrak{\eta}-i \nu$ may be at or near，as moted on iv． 5,1 ，$\dot{o}$
 as it seems to do in ch． 77 and 80 ．ajo $\tau \bar{\omega} v \pi o$ onecv from the cities of the league，and the half－neutral Corinth．таракале－ $\sigma a \operatorname{vo} \omega \nu$－of summoning allies to a conference，as in ch． $17,1!$ ．
 viii． 76,4 ．The nominative is used when the speaker re－ presents the entire body，and where $\dot{\eta} \mu \in$ is would be em－ phatically expressed in direct speech ：otherwise we have the


 ＇roing from both sides＇，i．e．by senting envoys from the partizans of Argos and Epidaurns（or Corinth）respectively． It is also possible to take it with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha}$ ，＇to break up the armies from both sides＇；for the position of è $\lambda$ Oóvtas is not opposed to such a rendering．ovitw＝when this was done；ch． 38， 6.


 33,2 ，єi каi 山̈s．

16．Kapúas－on the road towards Tegen，north of Sparta．
 трíтov－iv．31，2，ẁs трıáкоута óт入îral．

21．$\pi u \theta_{0} \mu \epsilon \operatorname{lot-i.e.~they~had~marched~to~aid~Argos~against~}$ a threatemed invasion．The manuscripts have ruөópevor $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ， which wouhl necessitate taking this clause with what follows， and either（1）giving to the perfect $\epsilon_{\dot{\prime}}^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma r \rho a r \in \hat{v} \sigma \theta a l$ the forced sense，＇had ended their expedition＇；or（2）adopting Poppo＇s surgestion，that the Athenians had intended to help Argos，not arainst Spartan invasion，but in attacking Epidaurus，a design which was stopped by the movement of the Spartans．But及on $\theta \in i v$, as Arnold points out on iv．4，3，would not be used of an ofiensive movement，unless it were subordinate to a scheme of defensive operations．

22 ．kai wis－so кai ch． 56,22 ．If $\pi v \theta \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ of were read

 uses this expression here, instead of the more usath to dipus ireicira, because of the inconclusive character of this summen: doings.

## CHAP'RER LVI





 the Athenians, as masters of the sea, being thus held responsible for maintaining a blockade.
 future, as in vi. 87,4 : Eur. Iph. .1. $11: 37$ ete.: often in Jrm.
 ข̇mé $\gamma p a \notin a v$ - this was an intermediate step, to show that they did not renounce the treaty with Lacedaemon utterly. Had they done so, the monument on which the treaty was engraved would have been destroyed altogether: see Dem.
 $\dot{\text { inoypad}} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is here used in the literal sense of subjoining or adding a note. In 1)em. P'antacn. 973, intoypáqua impous. deûoai $\mu c$ ait $\hat{\omega}$ is usually mis-translated: it means after starting with the statement that I plotted against him', imo being used as in $\dot{\text { i }} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$, íтorilinum ete, see note in iv. $4,3$. With the present passure Kriizer compares Ar, Ly/s, s1:3, vi




 mitdle in Thucydides: (lassin aceordingly seads i $\backslash$ ptowro for


 тúxorev-the optative, like the following impertect, denotes indefinite frequency.

19．$\pi$ pòs ধ̌ap $\eta \ddot{\delta} \eta$－ch，17，9．is éprruou－－＇They expected that the forces of the Epidamrians would be dispersed over their whole territory in defending forts and strong positions， on account of the plundering warfare which the Argives were earrying on，and that the rity would thus be left defenceless＇ （Amold）．

## CHAP＇TER INVI

2. av̉roîs－＇seecing their allies＇ete．；ch．3，y． 4 ．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a-$ －the other powers＇i．e．states：vi．16，fin．He入omovpńбou rd̀ onvatútara：cf．ch．ह9，2．The construction which follows is resolved apposition，like ch．5． 1,16 ．ov่ кa入̄̄s＇in an unsatisfactory state＇，i．e．disaffected or disheartened．

万．троката入ŋ́чovтat－without object expressed；so iii．ㄴ，
 following．av่тa＇－＇this＇，i．e．the spirit of disaffection，＇the evil would spread＇（Jowett）：is．61，4，etc．For the whole phase，of．Cic．Att．i．13，3，vereor ne hoc quod infectum est serpat longius．
 the north of Peloponnesus，nearly south of Sicyon．ä $\mu$ itrmor－
 missiles，who accompanied the cavalry，and probably if neces－ sary mounted their horses．＂They seem to lee the same sort of troops with the immoópónoc $\psi$ s．ao of Hatt．vii．1．js．Their use is described by Caesar 1；．G．i．48：B．C＇．iii． 84 ＇Arnold）．＇̈oou－ equal in number，as in iv． 1,1 ，Локрi $\delta \epsilon$ ẗбa．．

15．wis ekaorot－that is in such numbers as each could
 a verb being in each case implied from the context．év rñ ékei． $\nu \omega \nu$－the Phliasians，though just mentioned，are called iseivou becanse apart from the Lacedaemonians，who are the main subject of the sentence；see note on iv． 37.2.

## CHAPTER TIVIII

 the participial construction being dropped in the second clause； ch． 44 begins with a similar sentence．The Argives received
the first intimation of the intention of the Lacedacmonians from the preparations of their alliss；afterwards their purpose was more distinetly reve hed hy the march of the troops to Phlins．
 sentence would have run more regularly，тpoñotlovтó тe．．．каi єं $\pi \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{0}^{\prime}$（Jowett）．

Classen prefers to read тótє $\pi$ ри̂тoy and to omit кai befure
 the Spartans（ch．57）．This certainly simplities the com－ struction，but the sentence seens to lose its Thucemidan


4．тробرigat ch．72，8：also used of approaching an enemy（iv．93，1）：and of coming to clome quanters（iv．33， 2 ，etc．）．

8．Me日vopiew Methydrium lay to the west of Mantinea， beyond a mountain rilge．Arnold points out that the Spartans took a circuitous romte to Phlius，to awom the tervitory of Mantinea．

3．kara入aر $\beta$ ávover－of taking uy a military position； iv． 1,1 ，note．$\mu \in \mu$ ovarévots，as in ch．$s, 18$ ，means＇withont allies present＇，rather than＇deserted by allies＇

12．avagtíasas－iv．93，1，ivaatijous i，ic tor orpatóv． ̇̇торєи́єо－began or continued his marll．The route would be by Orehomenus to the north of Mantinea．Apis effectet the junction with his allies，or at any rate opened commumi－ cations with them，as we find the whole foree after this acting in concert．
 he governed by excupory és，but rather to be explanatory of
 way of＇；as we should say，they tonk the Nemean road：vii．
 was north of Argos，between Cleonae and Ihlins．
 ф＇िои⿱av öóv．The allied troops enterel the enemy＇s territory in three divisions，two of which crossed the mountains into Argolis，while the third，with the cavalry，went nurthwards by the regular road to Nemea．

22．ofporov－＇steep＇；with two terninatimss，as in Eur． Hel．632．soppoo has good manuscript authority，and possibly 8pporor should be read；see ch． 5 s，3，ijutipas グô $\eta$ ．itípar סpotov however corresponds to $\chi \propto \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．
23. єip $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{so}$ ch. 10, 36: iv. 77, 1, ete. Thourh the construction is passive, Agis is still the subject in sense, and accordingly $\sigma \phi \bar{s}=$ Agis' division.

## CHAPTER LIX

 ìaráaree $\dot{\text { is }}$ es $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$, ete. The compound implies the assignment of different posts or duties.
2. $\Sigma a ́ \mu \iota v$ Oov -unknown. For ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ cf. ch. $\overline{3} \overline{,}, 3, \tau a ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha$. Here however places, not states, are meant. $\dagger \mu \epsilon \rho a s \eta^{\prime} \delta \eta$-so
 gives some support to the reading óp $\theta$ poo, ch. 58,22 .
7. oú $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ ẹ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ éous - appositional, lit. 'in a rather greater'
 marching' i.e. during the night; see noto on ch. 50,13 : but perhaps simply 'continued their march.' They had taken the longer and more level road by Nemea. The tenses throughout, as in all military descriptions, are to be carefully watched.
10. кaré $\lambda a \beta o v-$-found ', sc. there: in this sense, as (lasssen points out, this verb is generally followed by a participle or some note of place. kataßávtes-sc. the Argives. They had marched down from Nemea at once, and were now getting into battle array:
13. Ėv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ ס $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$-on the south the Spartans under Agris occupied the plain, and cut them off from Argos; to the west the Corinthians occupied the momutain ridges, and threatened their flank; while from the north the Boeotian cavalry and the rest of that division were closing on their rear.

 then no leloponnesian cavalry on either side.
20. oúX oüт $\omega$-as we say 'not se great'=not very; so nom
 are elliptical, at any rate originally. iv ка入へ̣-under favourable conditions, see ch. 46,8 .
 the origimal subject，as if the clause with aidda were a mere parenthesis，evómsin or éosoon beine supplied．

21．$\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$－seemingly corresponding to the： $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon$ 入óxot，ch．72，21．Arnold says these were not originally． military but political divisions．The stratedi at Argos，as at Athens，were probably the heads of the war department．


the active is used of the commander who orders or brings on the battle；so iv．91，2．$\mu$ áx $\quad$ moceioflat is＇to fight＇，as in ch．65，20．In construction the infinitive dependis on the sense of requesting implied in $\delta \iota e \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ ．
 imesadointes emeprasian Meqapeive：is．133，1，without dative of the person expressed：see ch． $83,17$.

## （HADNFに Jハ

 mark the two man antithetical divisions of the chapter．（Wn the one hand the Argive chiefs acted indepemdently，and so did Agis in accopting their proposals；on the other hand the Lacedamonians，though they obeyed Ayis，were indignant at his conduct，and the Argives were no less enraged with Thasyl－ lus and Alciphron．
$2 . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Apy $i \omega \nu$－partitive genitive depemdent on oi тaira
 povious rem Murhypailes．There seems no gromal for taking ta＇v＇Apfeiwy as dipendent on taîta，as Classen does：or for omitting the words，as other propose．They are in fact necessary to make the sense clear．$\dot{\text { © }} \phi^{\prime}$＇ $\mathfrak{a v t a ̂ v}$ ．of them－


3．kai ó＇Ayıs＇and Agis likewise＇；this is a subordinate part of the main division under $\mu i{ }^{2}$ ．aútós＇ly himself＇，on his uwn authority：ovidé－no more than the two Argives．


pressel object, ats we saty hatving communicated with' : so iv. 2 2, 1 , ete. $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ èv té $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon$ two ephors, besidess other officials, accompanied the king on service. They are enmmerated in Arnold's note. Classen suggests srotpaterouive as a possible alteration for $\xi v \sigma \tau р а т є v o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$.
8. "Ét 'they were to', as arranged with Apis. tīv ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\xi v \mu \mu a^{x} \omega v$ as opposed to the Laredaemonians. aỉdos is used,

 but no change seems required.
 line 2.). тapatuxóv- so i. 76, 2: line 2!, тapaбхóv, av̉тஸ̂v means the Arqives and their allies: it is a good instance of the sense of this word being gathered from the context. ajbov--so

16. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta \circ v \ldots \xi v v \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon v$-this clause resembles i. 1,2 ,
 Classen collects a mumber of similar sentences, c.g. i. 50, 3.
 instances the pronom (roito), which is the subject, is placed between the substantive ( $\sigma$ тfatóte $\delta o \nu$ ), which is appositional,
 forms the predicate. 'EANqucoóv is appositional with a limiting

 substantive from its position is in effect like a genitive plural ( $\sigma$ тpatoréow $\bar{\omega}$ ), introducing a gencral idea of which a particular case is to be described. Classen also cites a few rariations from the regular arrangement.

 wolus mmium ante se principum. Milton imitates the construction : Paradise Lost, iv. 324,

Arlam the goodliest of men since born His sons, the fairest of her daughters Eve.
So Midsummer Night's Dream, v. 1. 239,
This is the greatest error of all the rest.
This army was кádiearov, being composenl of picked troop. Others may have been larger, for instance the force under Pausanias at Plataea.
 force was collected at Nimes, after withdrawing from betore Aroos: or is may simply mean 'mear'. The army then marched away by the resular Nemean road, and booke up. Ev $\dot{\varphi}$ - when the divivions were united. The phase refers to cir-
 omoíws iлapxєt. Krüger renders it 'at which point', saying that mapijoar wonld be required if time were meant.
19. mavarpariạ -hoth position and sense show that this belougs to the laceqlamonians only, who had marehed out тavonuri: see ch. 57 . The Phliasians, it is true, hat called out their whole force. lut here they are merely named in the: general list of the allies, the ro-t of whom were repreanited by picked contingents. Those editors who refer ravorparig to all the nominatives are obliged to render it 'with all the tronl's they had in the field'; which is simply explaining the word away.
21. Kal outon these also heing pickerlmen', in apposition (1) the preceding :uljects. dztópaxo סoxoūvtes. - 'lookin! a mateh, rather than 'thinking themselven', of. c̈optin supma. kai ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$-this is the mannseript reading, and represents
 - poo eivorto), which is perfectly mond (ireck and gives a wati-factory sense. Krüger however and others adopt кäv ail! ! , on the ground that the idea represented is rai aidny â aşomaxot cóóкои clval ci $\pi$ poocoiveтo. I cammot see that the alteration is neecsary, or even du-irable: cf. mote on tecxulér. ch, 52,17 ; and (foodwin $\leqslant 21: 3-217$, for ar with participles.
24. orparóte $\delta 0 \nu$-followed hy the plual; su i. s! . 3.

 and continuance of the retreat.
27. kaì av่тoi- 'on their own part also'. kai ékeivol i.e. no less than the Jacerlamonians, with whom they are emphatically comtrasted by the uee of this promoun: cf. in. 73, 4.
 try, oi, where bith airoi and incivev refer to the 1 thenians. hee also mote on iv. 37, 2. mapajnimar of̣as aitois Aimpaious



 participle, see (ioolwin § 41, 3: and for maparxóv cf. d. 11, 14.
33. ̇̇v rê Xapádpw the bed of a winter torrent, which flows close under the walls of Argos. 'The military courts wele held without the city, hecanse within the walls the ordinary law, with its forms and privileges, would have resumed its anthority... So also the Comitia Centuriata at Rome always met in the Campus Martius without the walls, because their original character and divisions were military ' (Armold).
il. $\sigma$ tpartias most mis have otpatiâs, the usual con-



## CHAP'IFR LXI

 first expedition to Sicily (iii. 86, 1), and was superseded by Pythodorus. His name appears among the signatories to the treaty and alliance in ch. 19 and 24 . Nicostratus was sent to Corcyra in 427 (iii. 7.5 ); was employed on the Latomian const in 424 (iv. 531 ; and in the Macedonian expedition in $4 \cdot 3$ (iv. 129 sq .).
4. "̈ $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ - though indignant and disgusted at the arrange-
 jovot, i.e. although terms had been promised.
 $\pi \rho i \nu \eta$ "occurs here and there in Attic (ireek, from which it is sometimes removed by correction: it is common in later (ireek'. This, if right, is a solitary instance in Thucydides. Perhaps $\pi p i \nu \delta i j$, which is common, or $\pi p i r$ oi should be read. For $\pi p i v$ with the imlicative, in the sense of 'until', referring to a definite past action, see i. $51,1: \mathrm{ii} .65, \underline{2}$, cte. It generally follows a negative (Goodwin § 634).
$\therefore$ Étı $\gamma$ áp ch. is, is. $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in u t o \hat{u} \pi a \rho o ́ v t o s-$ being there in the capacity of envoy ; and no longer holding a military command. Stahl proposes mapóvzos 'coming forward' to speak, hat this is no improwement. The statement that Alcihiades was the representative of Athens implies that lee was spokesman.
10. ह̈v $\tau \epsilon$ тoîs --iv toîs $\tau \epsilon$. ('lassen consilems taûta un. satisfactory and reads tairá, believing that semarate assemblies
 thonities suppose that the allies were mowly present in the Argive assembly.
11. ouk ojp $\theta \hat{\omega}$ ai $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta a i$-- the intinence of the 'wrongful truee, which stands first in order, is felt thronghout the sinntence, thongh the wording is montifiet in the speond pat. ävev-so ch. (60, 28: iv. 78, 3, ăעยv тט̂̂ $\pi a ́ v т \omega \nu ~ к о เ \nu o ̂ ̂ . ~$.
12. kai yevotvto probahly wai, in the sense of 'hoth' comnects this with kal vū к.т. $\lambda$., the conjunctions coupling the two proints on which the Athenians insist, the wrong already done them hy the treaty, and the present obligation of jamediate action. Nai is then irregularly phaced, as noted on ch. 16 , 15 , and stands where it does to emphasize the antithesis. linuger however holds that rai simply emplasizes gerow to the sense being that "even the making of the trace was a wionge.
ih. Kai vûv xppival the emntruction with üt is now

 єїхєто.
14. Teifavtes - the suliject at tirst is the Athemians alone, then hy appu-ition it expanis into mávres : (f. is. 191, 1, prourtes
 ciwdites oi ădpromot, where centain jarticular colonists are the original subject of the sentence.
 Teill $\omega$, to denote the groumd of persuation; vii. 14,3 , is roíter

 113, 1.
1.i. тòv 'Apкaסıoóv as di-मinguished from Wrehmmems
 they still humg back: hromer compariss Smh. Wh. (inl. bitit.

 with this word in i. 2ti, $\overline{5}$ : i. 1i1, 2. The same proticiple weers also in i. 11, 1: i. 121, 1: i. 131, 2: in cath case with some tense of mokeomeir or with a similar work. The form is aorist; see Veitch's Irregular Greck Verths.



 $\hat{\eta} \nu$ : so Tac. Mist. i. 76 . penes Othonem manehant, non partium studio, sed erat grande momentum in nomine urhis. $\pi$ poo. $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$-ch. 32, 11.
22. кє́fevol-here and in vi. (i1, :3, кєípai does cluty as the perfect passive of ratatiteotac, the regular word for bestowing hostages. $\delta$ eíoavtes -see note on $\delta$ cōtús, ch. , , i, $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os refers to the (small) force in Orchomenos; so iv. 10, 4. cintl. $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda o u s \tau \hat{\jmath} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \psi \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$.
24. $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \pi \rho o a \pi o ́ \lambda \omega v \tau a l$-sc. betore help arrived. The subjunctive depends on $\delta$ ei $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ by a modification of construction. The clause with $\omega$ s rives the reason of their alarm, 'as they saw no prospect of suecour'.
 vevor- ' the Mantineans, as the most powerful of the Arcarlian allies, are given the charge of these Arcadian hostages ' (F) .

## CHAPTER JAXII

3. '́ $\phi^{\prime}$ ó rt Xpy-Classen collects instances to show that $\chi \rho^{\prime}$ is regularly thus used in reporting deliberation ; c.c. i. 40,
 iéval is omitted, as with $\dot{\epsilon} \psi \eta \phi i \sigma a v \tau o$ infr.


4. T $\epsilon \notin \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} v$-Classen follows Stahl in reading $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ for ( $\mathrm{T} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in a$ ) $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, helieving that the reading came from Terien, a gloss on $\pi \dot{u} \lambda \epsilon$. $\dot{v} v \in \delta^{\prime} \delta o \sigma a v$ the imperfect denotes intention or



## CHAPTER WN゙II

 sentence deals in a pamenthetieal way with the state of feel-
ing in Sparta before Orchomenos fell，$\frac{\xi \xi}{}$＂Apyous．from the neighbourhood or district of Argos；the converse of the use


4．тaparxov ка入ติs－ch．60，29：（f．11，14．This phrase would naturally be answered by $\dot{\text { w }}$ ourte $\pi$ poítepor，se．$\pi$ apé $\chi$ el． Here however we have $\dot{s}$ ．．．ivómiov，sc．mapaoxcir．The con－ struction is somewhat harsh，and Kiviger brackets aitoi ivóution． It is also surgester to insert wis before aúrol．Note that oúrto трótepor with a relatise oecurs twice in this chapter，and in 6．4， 7.

7．$\lambda \alpha \beta \in i ̂ p$－to get＇or＇find＇，with depóors：like Helt．i．111；


 noticed，＇when news of the capture of Orchomenos went on to arrive＇，etc．For the explanatory intinitive éa $\begin{gathered}\text { céval ef．} \mathrm{i} \text { ．}\end{gathered}$
 Grammar § 61．6， 8.

9．Eßountevov－for this use of the active where we might expect the middle，see note on is．15，1．mapa ròv то⿱㇒木⿴囗十介 they were usually slow and deliberate；see i．132，5．xpmémeve



11．Séka $\mu$ vpiáa＇about $26 i z 00$ ，supposing the sum to be given in Acpinetan drachmae＇（Iowett）．For the dative ef．ii．


12．fóvecotar－＇atone for＇or＇do away wilh＇is the sense required，and the scholiast explains piveotar liy imolivear．It is sugerested that it may have been the actual experatom usend hy Agis．piowat in Homer and Herolntus means to set free or redeem，e．g．from slavery，and the idea of retrictima a fault minht thus come in．Poppo says that the word is fomed in later historians such as Diodorns and Herodian．Dobree pro－
 phrases．

13．Grpatevápevos＇when he had taken the fichl＇i．e．if amain placed at the head of an army．The hest manuecripts have otpateloúperos，and smme editurs read atpatcobucvos．$\eta$＂or else＇；i．78，3，etc．
ib．Toneiy＇luet them dis＇，dependent on the sense（ $=$ inedere） mupplied from rapprcito or simply representing the direct
 to the account of a letter. The construction of the infinitive in treaties and laws is similar, e.g. єivat line 18.
 how long the law remained in foree, or if it applied to other commanders hesides Agis. No further mention is made of shilh sirpsoudot, and $A$ ins himself, when in command of the troops at Iecelea, is found acting with full authority and independently of the home government : sen espeedially viii. 5,3 ; also ch. 66, 12.
17. $\xi \mu \mu$ ßovidous -such commissioners are mentioned in ii. 8.5, 1: iii. 64, 1, and 76, 1, as appointed to assist Spartan naval
 being sent with the young Pleistomax when he invaded Attica in 445. The idea therefore was not altogether new:

1s. Eiva - for the relative and infinitive in the report of a

 ááyєu-i.e. he was not to berin any operations. As ámázety is generally used not of marching out from liome ( $\left.\epsilon_{\xi} \dot{\sigma} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu\right)$ but of withdrawing troops from an enemy's land, there is something to be said for Haase's conjecture iк тìs monequas for éx rîs módews. ('im the last worls be merely an explanatory gloss"

## CHAPTER LAXIV

The Spartans now hear of the danger of Tergea, and realize at lengeth that the leadership of P'eloponnesus is at stake. They accordingly act with unnsual promptitude and vigour.
8. 'Opéन日etov this town gave name to the district of Wresthis; is. 134, 1; where see note. Pausanias spreals of a fonmer Oresthens, but Eur. Or. 1fil7 gives 'Opéotecon from Orestes. tīs Mava入las -w. of 'Tegea and s.w. of Spatat.
9. roîs $\mu \dot{\text { èv }}$ ' $А \rho \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$-Krigger collects instances of this position of the partitive genitive in his note on i. 126 , 5, oi


10. $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ poor $\theta$ eior - as this is closely commected with the infinitive léva, we should expect the accusative; but the patctice of Thueydides is not invariable. Thus in vii. 20, 1, 屯́ eipmro...


 araow isteldival, the commesion of the dative is ambigums.
il. кarà тóסas aútêv . to follow them closely'; iv: 12l; tin. For airwe refering to the primary subject, see ch. 32, 26 t , note.

 т $\hat{\omega}$, $\pi p e \sigma$, ahroad in emergency up to the age of 60 , but in Athens men over 40 were usual!y exempt. Siee Miiller's Darians, 13k. iii. ch. 12.
20. Tois $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$-answered by the clause $\Lambda a k \in \delta a n \rho o v i o t ~ \delta e ́$ к.т. $\lambda$. $\epsilon \xi$ d $\lambda$ íyou 'at short notice'; iv. 10s, 5: ii. 11, 3, iz
 'it came', is implied in the context, as is common with ciui and gijpopac and with passives; see Kriiger's (irammar, \$ 181. 5, $\mathfrak{6}$.
21. $\mu$ rid depoors 'unless they waited for one another and came all together' (Jowett). l'oppo notices the combination of an adjective and a participle: so iii. 15!. 1, रemarteionar sai $\sigma \pi$ opáóes.
 which either belonged to Argos or had joined the contenteracy:
(i). Evvek $\eta$ ne-the object is implied in the context. The memning is 'it blocked their way' or 'closed them in ': further exphained her סia $\mu$ éoov, which demotes an "intervening' ob. stacle: ef. Mdt. i. 104, ir to oỉu nígur ithos airap iori, i.e. between Media amel Cohehis, the countries spohen of. Kriiser takes Emex loge in a quasi-mtransitive nense as in ch. 72 , ! - it cluseal in , i.e. whe contmunus. The scholiast explains it

 road from 'tegeato Nantinas. (irote say: the hatedamonian kings appear to have felt a sense of protection in concamping near a temple of Heracles, their bervie progenitor (oee Xen. Hell, vii. 1, 31) ${ }^{\circ}$.

## CHAP＇IER INY

2．ката入aßóvtєs－ch．1，15．＇The Xwpiov épupvóv is s．ril by Curtins to be the sonthern point of Alesim，a position of importance to Mantinea．

7．$\sigma \phi \hat{s}$－see note on iv．Bti，1，ả入las í $\phi \eta$ тoveir $\sigma \phi a ̂ s$.


 $\nu o ́ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ тot $\hat{\omega}$ ．
＊．Sŋitwv－＇meaning that his present ill－timed forwardness was intended to retrieve the discredit incurred by his with－ Arawal from Argos ．Sndey here has the regular participial const：urtion．For the infinitive see $i v .3 s, 1$ ，ondorvtes $\pi \rho o \sigma$－ ícöal．
！．Ėтatтiou－＇culpable＇；more commonly used of persons Who deserve or incur blame avà $\eta \psi \stackrel{\text {－lit．＇taking back＇}}{ }$ i．t＇retrieval．The word only occurs here in Thucylides．The verb is sometimes used in this sense，e．．S．Soph．l＇hil．12．19， т̀̀v $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma о \mu a \iota$.
 sense of＇meaning＇or＇professing to be＇is common in Plato
 $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \omega$ is smilarly used．tointo ppoveî，rh．X．）． 6 ，is somewhat
 to Agris，the subject of suvocitac．The pusition of the word seems rather against the alteration．

 kaí＇or because he himself chanced his decision＇；$\delta$ ógav is aceusative absolute，as in viii．79，1：viii．93，1．Here it is jersonally constructer，with äho，which is sue without ws of wstep：see note on hupetive ó on＇óv，iv． 125,1 ；mad Goodwin § Sist．＇The words $\eta$ そará rò aúró seem clumsy and mon－ fucessary，but this is no prout that they are not genuine，and they are found in all the mammseripts．Stahl omits if and refers катà тó aríú to the same cireumstamee striking Agis
 Dobree would omit the words altogether．
12. Ėgaí申uทs hy some editors taken with oúi,ct, by others with $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ : the latter way seens to give a more forcible sense. It was the sudden change of tatios which sumprised the Argives (line 2:3). kata raxos denotes the rapidity of the retreat.
 plain of Mantinea, which was high level gromed enclowed by mountains. had mo ontlet but ly sulteranean channels, sheh as are not mommon in a lime-stone comotry. It is fully described in Arnold's interesting note.
 of the operation, a little below its continuance.
15. т $\alpha$ тo $\lambda \lambda \alpha$--'mostly'. This leaves $\beta \lambda \alpha$ átrovros without an object: Popron therefore sugrests omitting $\tau \dot{\alpha}$, when rodla plátтоитos wouhl mean 'doing great damage'. With mode$\mu \mathrm{vo} \sigma \mathrm{v}$ we minht expect $\dot{a} \in i$ or some such phrave.

 predicate, to (stop) the tumine of the water. The participle is not equivalent to the fature, but is med as in iv. 25, 9,
 the lill would be in it welf the berimning of the Buybleca.

1!. tovs'Apyeious к.т. $\lambda$.--modern editors are disposed to omit these words as a nere explanatory ghas. Poppo however on i. 144, 2, gives several instances of similar apposition. They can seareely he all intergolations, and are mome pohably due to the writer's mamerism; see note on is. 114. 4. Triv $\mu \mathrm{ax} \eta \nu$-the impending ennasement : so iii. $11 . i, 4$.
21. $\mu$ eivas the aurist gives an embliew (Clyde). Here, in combination with the imperfect, it either means after halting'; or it revards the day's operations as ended, in which

 time, as in eln, (it, 23): ch. 72, 1. If this be the meanime heme,
 musthed as an explanatory ghoss. is ivizor howerer is used
 so understonet here. It will then comeapond to pixal wis
 Tdxos in line 1リ.
21. autwo -the position of this word hetween $\tau \hat{\eta}$ and


 ö rı jeveurtal. The deliberative subjunctive after a past tense, is a direct and vivid form of expression. єโTa (without $\delta \dot{\delta}$ ) answers to tò $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$. Krïger suggested żтєєта as more in accordance with Thucydidean usage, e.g. viii. 67, 2.
25. $\mathfrak{e} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta$--note the tenses and the order of the words: avax $\omega$ poûvres affects the whole sentence, though agreeing with ikeivo only. "Then, when the retreat went on, and the Spartans disappeared, while they found thenselves standing still and no pursuit attempted'.
2.5. а́те́криұаи - 'disappeared', apparently a neuter usage, cautou's being understood. This is the scholiast's explanation,
 quoted by Lid. and Scott. This view is simpler than to understand airon's or' 'Apreions, on the analogy of the nautical

 iii. 291, Phuedrum abserondimus arces.

Besides, the point is that the Argives lust sight of the Spartans, not that the Spartans lost sight of the Argives.
26. - $\boldsymbol{2}$ eis i.e. airoi: rarely used, as P'oppo notes, except in oratio ulliqua, or with ör in mixed constructions like line 31. Here indeed this clause, like the two which conclude the sentence, seems to represent in a sort of half-direct way the actual thoughts or words of the troops.
27. Ev aitia eixov-ch. 100, 12 ctc. Here the ground of blame follows with an accusative and aorist infinitive; cf. vii.
 struction alters to ö́c with the indicative, giving to some extent, as Classen points out, the effect of direct speech. The converse change from 8 ot to the infinitive is much more common: see ch. 61, 12.
ih. тó $\tau \in \pi$ ро́тєpov-..see ch. 60. The sense is • as formerly ...so now': see note on ch. 43, 15. For кa入ف̂s cf. ch. 36, 21 : so $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{ch} .59,21$ etc.
29. ötr-according to Kriiger, 'becanse'; or else 'that': depenting on 'they complained'. In cither case the irvernlar
transition to the present indicative has a strikine and dramatic
 x Cav－irmical，＇quietly and comfortably＇．

31．＇toopußionoav＇were bewildered＇（Arnoli）；iii．22，（i．

## CHAPTEI LXVI


 see the end of ch． 64.
$\therefore$ ．$\delta i$ i $\lambda$ íyou $=i$ gaiguns，accordinf to the scholiant：int plainly ustd here of an interval of space，＂close at hamd＇；so ii．89， 7 etc．：cf．iv．14， 1 ，òıà $\beta$ pax́óos．

7．رá入tota $\delta$ そ́－this abrupt bewinning can atareely las right，and some connecting word sems lost．palate io and pálogra of ò $\dot{\eta}$ are sumestions，hut not satislacturs．Kitürer proposes to comnet the damse with what gres hefore in entims
 as Popposays，＇coniectura et audacion e－t，et propter iteratum Lacedaemoniormm nomen displicet：es ó＇є $\mu \mu \nu \eta \nu \tau o \quad$ appa－ rently＂so far as they remembered＂．The scholiant says perit
 from？The whole sentence indeed seems douht ful．
 lont this does not agree with what follows．On the contrary it is the excellent discipline and rapid formation of the Spartans which are described．ism \} \dot { \eta } \sigma \sigma \omega is used mot muly of fear lont of any overpowering emotion，such as joy or love．The mean－ ing heme may he were＇excited＇，＇startleit＇from their usual slow and deliberate ways，so that they acted with muwonted

 is there any idea of fear in кatamlaperres，ch．lis．23．By this view we get a sati－factory somme and it is at any rate
 ＇came out in their true colons：：＂ŋクllagmar，were different
 They（the Argives）were strmek with admintion of the Lace－ dacmonians＇，and other desperate shifts．The passare seem； however incomple＇．．an ismime in hit or lima may te missiug．
9. Siá allurthially used with the genitive. The semse is either 'they had but short time to get rearly', of 'they formed



 $\pi а р а \sigma к є u \eta$ s.


 rather than things: máva may therefore have a personal meaning $=$ 'all are under his orders', not 'everything is ordered'. Kriiger suggests $\pi$ divta äpxorta! 'they are entirely under his orders': and Dobree would read $\dot{d} \pi$ ' $\dot{\varepsilon \kappa \epsilon i v o r, ~ ' e v e r y t h i n g ~ o r i g i-~}$ nates with him'.

We have seen (ch. (i3 fin.) that Aris had been put under the restriction of a military council, while the Spartan kings generally were shackled in the exercise of their power. Thucydides therefore points out that they still had absolute anthority on the field of battle.
 This is not the place to discuss the Spartan military organization, which is a rather complicated subject. Arnold and Grote have lonn notes on the present passage, and the recognized authorities are cited by Classen. See also Miiller's Iorians Bk . iii. clı. 12.
14. фра́sє 'orders’; iii. 1.5, 1. тois şupraxors étpajov iévat. тò Séov-'what is to be done'; orders in gencral, before
 4, 21: $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma \tau \eta_{\rho}$ is another form.
 posed to those given by herald or sound of trumpet: Xen.



 хоута.

 this of course is not to be taken literally, as far the largest part of the army consisted of private soldiers. The meaning
is that the system of command within command extomet throughont all ranks，and was peeuliar to the Spartan ongan－ ization．＇In other Grecian armies orders were proclaimed to the troops in a lond voice by a herald，who received them personally from the general：each turis or company had indeed its own taxiarch，but the latter did not reecive his orders separately from the general，and seems to have had no personal responsibility for the execution of them by his sol－ diers＇（Grote，ch．56）．
 see note on ch．9，1．

## CHAP＇IEI LXVII

1．тótє $\delta$＇́ ．．＇．11 this occasion＇，apodusis to the fromer demeription just given．képas є⿱㇒日勺́vypov－predicate．Tho arti－ cle is omitted，as in line 12，and often．aúrois－ch．41，7： see note on ch． 3,24 ．

2．$\Sigma$ кıpitat－uriginally the inhabitants of the rumed dis－ triet of Sciritis，on the northern border of Laconia．del tav́rqv ．．．exoves－this privilege is only recorted here，thotheh the


 sition implies rest $m$ ，i．e．dependence，and so the phrase means＇by themselves＇，＇independently＇．

4．Tapad $\delta$＇aúrois－＇hy them stuod＇．ñar is to be supplied rather than кäigtayto：otherwise we should expect the aceu－ sative as in line 7．Poppo compares i．As，3，To $\mu \mathrm{i} u$ jecitorr
 $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ or érásavto）．He adds however that in as well ats is ＇apud similia verba collocamdi et considendi reperitar＇
$\therefore$ i．$\quad \pi i$ Opák $\quad$ s－the stuck phrase for the＇Thraceward＇ regions secms here appropriated to Brasidas＇Thracian troops； see ch．35， 11 and $2 \overline{5}$ ．Otherwise we might adopt the cor－ rection àтó（ch．35，33）or iк．vєo $\alpha a \mu \omega \delta \epsilon$ เs－ch． $34,8$.

6．aútoi－veriet proprii，qui discermuntur a superioribus hominibus，quos minus proprie Lacedaemonios nominaveris （I＇prio）．＇Hpatท̄s－from Heraea，in the valley of the Alpheus， near the borders of Triphylia．Lior Maıwádoo see eli，fit，？
 post in the Peloponnesian armies, from their services in the times of the invasion by the Heracleidne: see Hdt. ix. 26. But, to save the sovereign dignity of the Lacedamonians, the most honourable post of all, the extreme right wing, was held by Lacedaemonian soldiers. A similar compliment was paid to the Athenians by the Acarnanians and their confederates at the battle of Olpae ; iii. 107, 7 ' (Arnold).
10. $i \pi \pi \eta \hat{\eta}--$ in 424 the Spartans set up a force of 400 horse rapà tò cictós (iv. \%̌u, 1). The cavalry was usually placed on the wings.
12. of evavriol-appositionally resolved in the following
 irackets the following aúroîs, as not supported by Thucydidean usare. Note the omission of the article with Oegion $^{\text {and }}$ кépas.
13. rò 'ppoy-'the action ; ; iv. 34, 3 etc. mapà $\delta$ ' av́rov́shere, with $\eta \sigma \sigma \alpha$, we might expect aurois. as mapa with the accusative has the idea of motion to or extent along: cf. how-

 Or we may say that previons motion is implied, as in iii. 3,4 ,
 neipevos (Ar. Ich. 72) is 'extended along'. Kriiger suggests omitting $\dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$. 'Apká $\delta \omega v$ is partitive genitive, as in line 7: cf. ch. 37,25 .
15. 入oүá\&єs, ois ทi módıs-a regular force, selected from the wealthier classes, and strongly aristocratic in feeling. After this they helped the Spartans to overthrow the democratic
 i.e. they were kept in regular training : so ch. 69, 21.
18. Kגє $\omega$ vaiol-Cleone and Orneae lay northwards of Ar. golis, in the direction of Corinth. The inhabitants appear to have been at this time subject allies, and to have been afterwards absorbed by Argos. The authorities are fully discussed in Arnold.
20. of oikeiot-the Argives had no cavalry furce; ch. 15, 18.

## CHAPTER IJXVIII

1．$\because$ シ $\delta$－nsmally＇as follows＇；we should expect ailta：so fotáoc is＇as follows＇，toavîta＇as aforesait＇，but the practice is not invariable．
ib．mapaokevi－＇force＇；in reference to the several con－ tingents on either side．tágıs is their respective disposition in the opposing lines．

2．$\mu$ eithov＇́фávŋ－＇looked bigryer＇．i．e．，as Classen says， its superiority struck the eye：so i．8，1，Kâpes íqár $\quad$ oar． ＇proved（were seen to be）Carians＇．

4．ékatépov may depend either on $\dot{\alpha} p t \theta_{\mu}$ ：or ixáorors：
 ing in apposition to $\dot{\alpha} \rho t \theta \mu \circ \nu$ ，instead of being governed by it．
 ＇unfulfilled condition＇．Classen considers this construction here inadmissible，and follows Stahl in reading oik äp ouvai $\mu \eta \nu$ ， which is free from difficulty，but not necessarily what Thu－ cydides wrote．Krigger points out that èováunv without ăv might give a statement of actual fact；cf．૬゙ぃ $\langle\gamma p a \psi \in, ~ i .1,1$ ．

7．és $\tau \alpha$ oikeîa $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$－Kriüger and others suspect $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ， and render is $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ vixeia＇in respect of their own affairs＇（gene－ rally）．Whether $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \eta$ be retained or not $\tau \dot{\partial} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os is supplied as subject to $\dot{\eta} \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon i t o . ~ C l a s s e n ~ h o w e v e r ~ r e a d s ~ i s ~ t a ̀ ~ o i к c i a, ~$ $\tau \dot{d} \pi \lambda \dot{n} \theta_{\eta}$ ，＇the seperal estimates of number were distrusted＇．


9．тapayevó $\mu \in v o v$－＇brought into the field＇．So in the orators oi tapóvtes are literally＇the（witnesses）present＇，oi тapayevórevor＇those called in＇．$\gamma$ a＇p ushers in the promisend statement．
 stance of this use in classical Greek．
 discussed at length by Grote and others．It may mean，as Jowett surpests，that in some eases one part of the line was deepened at the expense of another，and the rear rank of one
ivemoria posted behind another．Ur possibly the ranks behind the first did not invariably contain four men．Thus an arraugement of $4,3,4$ etc．would give 9 rows amounting to 32 men in all．But we must remember that Thucydides is not so much discussing the order of the Spartan soldiers，as furnishing an estimate of their numbers．He＇could not do this exactly＇，but he guarantees three things，the number of $\dot{e} \nu \omega \mu$ otial，viz．112；the number of men， 448 ，in the front rank；and the average（ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi \hat{a} \nu$ ）depth，viz．8．Now，if the number of men in each èvporia was the same，we have not merely an approximate calculation，but an exact one，the very thing which Thucydides disclaims．May it not then have been the case that，in one or more of the 入óxot，the $\dot{e} \nu \omega$－ potiun consisted of a number more or less than the standard 32. ＇This would be a part of the＇system of secrecy＇（ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi 0$－ Noteias rò криттóy）；and if it was privately manased by the military authorities we can see at once how the total number was＇ken，t dark＇（ $\eta$ 文号oito）．According to the text this arrange－ ment was left to the respective doxayoi；but it may be that the
 suspected．The $i \nu \omega \mu o r i a$ or＇section＇，which was＇the unit of the Spartan army＇，undoubtedly varied in size at different periods；and may possibly have varied in different dóxor or ＇regiments＇at the same period．

This explanation seems not unreasonable；for if Thucydides had been sure of the number of men in ach ivemotia，why did he not state it，instead of only giving the number in the front rank？

 deep＇．In this sense $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ takes either the genitive or acensa－ tive：vii． 79,1 ，oúк $\dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ ，＇in a deep column＇：is．

ih．mapà ätav－＇along the whole line＇．A front of 448 men with an average depth of eight， 600 Sciritae being added， makes the Lacedaemonian division 4184 strong，ग̛V－Xien．
 illi in prato saepe senatus erat．

## CHAPTFR IXXA

2. Tapatvé $\sigma$ ts the usual addresses which (ireek soldiers

 таракєлєи́єбөal are used in the same way.
 tion'. The following explanatory infinitives are connected
 катабт $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$.
ih. тєьpagapévots 'after once enjoying it ', i.e. after once gaining the position of a soveregn state; see ch. 2!. The alternative was sintine again into political subordination to Sparta. For the dative we might expect $\pi \epsilon \iota$ ajautuors: but see note on ch. 6.4, 10 .
3. 'Appeions $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$-se. тapaiveros è $\gamma$ iqveto: the construction with inte $p$, and the following infinitives, being comnected with
 11, depends on the same.
il. Tท̂s madalás $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu$ ovias-under the Atreidac. The claim of ioopoopia was made in the days of the Persian invasion: see Hdt. vii. 148, where the Argives were willing to join






 dáфopor. The aorist á $\mu v v^{2} a \sigma \theta a, ~ i m p l i e s ~ ' o n c e ~ f o r ~ a l l ', ~ t h a t ~ i s, ~$ in the coming battle.
4. Kal ört-The sentence now takes a sort of semi-direct form of expression. iv Пe入omovvウंซ $\omega$ - thus turning the tables on the Spartans; litherto the Athenians had only attacked the enemy's coast.
5. ov $\mu$ ท -this strong negative occurs only in one other passage, iv. D5, 2 , where Hippocrater addressen the Athenians in

 dative in both sentences，aúrois＝＇they would have them＇．

1．．ka日＇ékáotovs－usually taken of the Latedaomonian soldiers exhorting one another＇man by man＇．Herbst and Classen howerer seem right in understanding the plural，as in ch．68，4，rather of the＇several contingents＇of the army，who are all included under the name of Lacedaemonians，as they are in the beginning of the next chapter．The main con－ struction of the sentence belongs to the divisions with $\tau \epsilon$ and $\kappa \alpha i$ alike，while $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ is specially con－ nected with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ бфírı aúrois，the Lacedaemonians themselves．
ib．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ ó $\mu \omega \nu$－war－songs，according to the scholiast；
 however takes the meaning to be＇military usages＇，on the ground that the war－songs would not come in till the actual onset．
 to remember what they knew so well＇$=\pi а \rho є к є \lambda є$＇vovто $\mu є \mu \nu \hat{\eta}$－ $\sigma \theta a u$ ．aja日ois ov̂olv is taken by Poppo and others as agreeing with $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ aírois．It seems however rather governed by $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$



Some editors however find a difticulty in the words，and sundry unsatisfactory suggestions and emendations are noted in Classen and Fowler．

Arnold compares with the present passare iv．9in，1，in



 in this sentence．For ék тo入入oû cf．ch．67，15．

## CHAPTER LXX

2． $\mathfrak{\eta} \xi \underline{\xi} v o \delta o s ~ \eta \mathfrak{\eta} v$ ，＇Apyeiol construction in accordance with

 patrum favor aderat，multi ete．
3. Èvóvas only here in Thucydides, who ducs not use the

 fury and determination'.
4. ن́rò aúantwy to the accompaniment of many fluteplayers stationed in the ranks aceording to custom : ©f. Xen.
 Liddell and Seott for the general use of the preposition to denote anything attendant. For vópe the best manuscripts have vórov, and two have órov̂. vóнou may be retained in the sense
 would thus stand rather awkwardly by itself. Arnold eites Hdt. vi. 60 , to show that the flute-players were a separate caste in Sparta as they were in Egypt.
 nom prorsus ex aliquo xitu religionum nerue rei divinae gratia.
 viodar. Classen points out (i. in, 2) that Thueydides always uses $\phi$ deiv in this sense, in which it vecurs more than a dozen times. He adds that it is only used once be Herodotus (v. 5) in the sense of loving, elsewhere of being wont, as here. For moteiv we might expect $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi$ cur : so in line 2 of the next chapter.



## ( HAPM M にNXI

1. Totóv8e the explanation is ziven in line lit, ocitas ii Ayes, the construction heximning afresh with wai róre after the parenthetical introduction, Otherwise the words would rmu





 'armadutan' are cited liy Kithater on Xen. Inal. iv. 7, 10, am-

2. Éßouncúaato ‘decided’: ii. 71. 1: iv. 77, 4. Sudden change of phrpose at a erisis was chamacteristic of Agis; see ch. 60 and 65 .
ib．Totєî $\mu \dot{v} v$－this would be regularly answered by tóte $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ кai oi Mavtıทŋ̀s，but the construction is dropped．kal ämavta－ ＇all without exception do this＇；iv．23，3，тйs veriòs кai äta⿱㇒木厶 $\pi \in \rho \frac{1}{p} \mu$ ovy．тov̂to－explained by what follows without a con－


 oúr $\pi \omega \varsigma$ єं $\pi \mathrm{r} \ell \eta \sigma \epsilon \ldots \delta \ell \delta \omega \sigma \iota$ ：cf．note on line 1.

3．aút $\omega$－the position of aive $\hat{\nu}$ is due to the insertion of



As a general principle the genitice of a personal pronoun and aüroî stands after the substantive on which it depends， or before the article；while the genitive of reflexive and de－ monstrative promoms．follows the article．Thus we have $\dot{\eta}$

 $\lambda \omega \nu$ ôcalooivp．There are however instances where this usage is disrecarded for the sake of emphasis or otherwise．Thus，
 force like that of an ethical dative．Again，with an alditional
 aitoin rompiav，an exact converse of the present passage：so iy．


Full illustrations of all these usages may be found in Kritger＇s（irammar \＆47，9，9－20：see also Madvig＇s（irects Syntur，$\$ 10$ ．It should be noticed that Krüger says that airou （ $\alpha \dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）when it means ipsius is placed next the article like ćautoû etc．In such cases however aúrô̂ is now generally read； see note on ch．10， 48 ．These constructions are not to be con－ founded with the partitive genitive，such as oi $\pi \lambda$ हiotor aut $\hat{\omega} \nu$ （iv．43，2）．
ib．Ev tais छुvoóSors－when closing with the enemy；iii．
 （mid．），or＇are foreed out＇of the proper line，which is thns un－ duly extended towards the right．

4．$\pi \in p$ it $\sigma$ Xovor－＇extend beyond’．＇overlap’，or＇outtlank＇：
 with this verb may be joined a dative of the instrument；il．


6．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \overline{ } \lambda \lambda \epsilon t v \ldots \hat{n} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota$－either（1）＇shelter with＇，or $(2)$＇move up to，the shield of the man next on the right＇．

Liddell and Scott are in favour of (1): Ponpo and Kriiger of (2). Poppo cites Dio Cas. xi, 23, 33, rais tîv mapaotatüv dioniøь tàs
 Classen reads rpooбтe入入eเv in sense (2) maintaining that this form is required for the construction and meaning.
ib. Tà $\gamma u \mu \nu \alpha$-as in ch. 10. 20, the right arm and side which were not covered by the shield. kal vouļctv-'and beeanse they think that this closeness of locking up gives the best shelter.
 common construction; it may possibly be feminine, as in

 magtos is found in Dio Cas. 49. 30, but not elsewhere in classical Greek: $\sigma \kappa \in \pi a \dot{j} \omega$ is used by Nenophon; see $\sigma \kappa \in \in \pi a s$.
9. ทֹyeital тฑ̂s aitias-lit. •legins this fatult', aitia being ground or cathse of blame. $\pi \rho \omega t o \sigma \tau a ́ t \eta s-a s$ we say, 'the nivot-man : oi $\pi p \omega \tau$ ortátat in Xen, are the front-rank men.

12. $\gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \omega \sigma เ \nu$--this unusual word, which is active in form, may mean the side 'which he exposes' or 'finds himself exposing '; or it may be simply a convenient singular, the plural tà $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{\text { b }}$ being elsewhere used. We use such words as enclosure in a similar passive way. It is copied by Dio, as cited above.
13. kal тótє - 'and so now', resuming the particular description after a general digression; so iv. 67, 5: vii. 29, 4. tóte $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ ow is used somewhat in the same way:
 with their wing . $\pi$ epte $\chi \omega$, which elsewhere takes the accusative when it means to surround, is here construeted like тepti, i, ropat. The datives in line 6 and iii. 107 (fin.) seem decisive as (1) the construction. Haack however sumgested Tò кє́pas and $\tau \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta v a l \omega \nu$.

1f. Seíras...voploas - the aorist implies a sudden thought, as in ch. 65. 12. $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega}=0 u$ : ir. 9, 2, note. The position pives the word an emphatic foree $=$ 'lest he should have his left surrounded'.
 जming? : with infinitive in the general sense of directin!. Ihtt.
 Agis was 'extend away from us, and present an equal front to
 towards the enemy, but to the new position required; while $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ denotes leaving their original post, or opening out the line: so
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \bar{\xi} \hat{\eta} \gamma \circ \nu: \pi a v \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \tau \tau \hat{\eta} s i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. All of these are flank movements to surround the enemy.


 'acting like the rest of the world', lit. 'making things' (or the
 c̈scoô. Here tìv rástv, or cauroús, or 'the state of things', would be implied.

We have seen $($ ch. 67,1$)$ that the Sciritae were entitled to the left, and 'among the Lacedacmonians especially ancient routine was more valued than elsewhere' (Grote). Hence it was impossible to order round a division from the extreme right to the extreme left, and apparently impracticable to move the whole line more to the left. We do not know the nature of the ground.
ib. '̇s tò SLákevov тoûto-put emphatically first, 'to fill up
 -. consecutio verborum haec est: $\pi a \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi o \lambda_{\epsilon \mu} \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \nu$
 ócásevov toûto éxovor óio 入óXous. Lochos autem duos duo polemachi ant ideo adducere iussi sunt quod lochi Thucydidei moris Xenophonteis sunt similes, aut quod in graviore negotio vel tempore difficiliore etiam minores partes militum a maioribus ducibus adversus hostes ducendae videntur' (P'oppo).
21. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$-partitive genitive depending immediately on the proper names; 1. 24, 1, Фá入los Kopivolos tề
 Aristocles may be the brother of king Pleistoanax mentioned ch. 16,26 . For the position of 'Xovot Kriiger compares iii.


2:3. '̇ $\sigma \beta a \lambda$ óvras-'closing in', 'throwing (themselves) in ', ingressos: an unusual sense of the word. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} a t--s e$ auto . $\beta \in \beta a$ ót $\epsilon \rho 0$ - as the flank could not now be turned.

## CHAPTER LXXII

 тóv тє－answered by kal тoùs modєцious，the clause ail入a кaí．．． being parenthetical in sense，though conforming to the general construction after $\dot{\xi} u \ell^{\prime} \beta \eta$ ．Arnold compares i． $95,3, \xi \omega \downarrow \notin \beta \eta$ т
 aủr仑̂ applies only to the first clause．

5．Sogavtas－＇held guilty＇；cf．the use of videor．Whether banishment was inflicted as a punishment，or punishment escaped by voluntary exile，is not clear．The latter view is the more probable：see Miiller＇s Iorians，Bk．iii．ch． 11 § 4．Thus Xenophon＇s Clearchus was an exile，after havius been con－ demned to death fer disobedience to the military orders of the Ephors（Anub．ii．6，4）．
ib．\＄0áral $\tau \hat{n} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu$（ $\xi \in t-$－were beforehand in closing with them＇，i．e．befure the line was restored to proper order．mpoo－ $\mu i_{\xi} \epsilon \mathrm{i}$ is a sort of instrumental dative．Kriger indeed considens the construction so unusual that he believes that the two words may be an explanatory gloss；their omission however would be fatal to the rhythm of the clanse．

6．Kal $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon$ vigavtos－＇and when，on the lochi not roming up to the Sciritae，he gave the order（to the Sciritace）．Close up again to us，he found that（Ew \＆$\beta$ 万 air $\omega$ ）they too were now mable to close in＇．This is the explanation adopted by loppo and is indeed the only one which the wording and sense permit； for kelcied ini would not be an admisaible eonstraction．The position of imi tois こ̌ıpitas is no donbt awhward，but may be
 Sciritae．Classen gives other instances of conjunctions placel
 oitc iorndei．It is however tempting to suggest the omission oi ini（or the sulstitution of irs），groverning rous Enıpíras di－ rectly by кє $\lambda \in$ úбavтos．

8．E＂t－now＇or＇after this＇，i．e．there was now no time left．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$－i．e．they as well as the dóxor failed to fill up the gap．rov́rous the Sciritae，who were umble to regain their old position．It is alterel into toitoos by Classen，
 and Eryאhyoal，and understand rourous of $A$ sis and his tronps
( $\sigma$ pl( $\sigma$ ). He supposes that the $\lambda$ óxor had made an ineffectual attempt to reach the Sciritae and now failed to regain their position on the right. This however is quite contrary to Thucydides, who says plainly enough that the commanders of the dóoor refused to move at all, and were therefore exiled for cowardice.
$\therefore \xi$ vүк $\lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a 4$-'to close in' or 'close up (the ranks)': virtually intransitive; as in iv. 35,1 : see ch. $6.4,22$.
ib. $\mu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \delta \eta$ - ef. ch. 66,7 . The run of the words seems to show that this applies to the whole of the sentence, while кarà тávтa extends to $\grave{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\epsilon} v \tau \epsilon s$ ouly, and róтє emphasizes the final clause. Thesweening expression katà mávтa appears to puint out the 'entire failure' of the movements which Agis had ordered; a failure which was redeemed by no less signal valour and steadiness in the actual encounter.
ii. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{\text { éf }} \mu \pi \epsilon \mathrm{f}$ pia-skill in manouvring in the field, in which both Agis and his subordinates proved deficient: cf. ii.
 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a \quad \chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$. The dative with è $\lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \in \dot{v} \tau \in \mathrm{~s}$ means 'worsted' or rather 'proving inferior in skill'. F'. compares


 for spoiling the sentence lyadopting such corrections as aimopía or $\dot{a} \tau a \check{\zeta} \dot{\prime}$, or by omitting $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ with Madvig, who translates 'Lacedaemonii, qui semper arte, tum non minus virtute sc praestare ostenderunt', a rendering which seems to introduce an idea foreign to the sense of the present passage.

The dative indeed seems naturally used with words denoting superiority or the opposite, and comparison generally; eff. vincere Caecilius gravitate Terentius arte (Hor. J:p, ii. 1, iss).
10. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ávSpía... $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \gamma \in v o ́ \mu \in \nu 0$ - ...proved their superiority in valour', or 'showed that they gramed the victory by their

 force, but the text seems to give a preferable sense.
 $\dot{\epsilon} v \times \rho \sigma i$ cf. ch. 10,56 . The phrase is found with övres, iii. 10s, 1: with $\gamma \in \nu$ bucyoc vii. 5 , 2 ; but I have not found a parallel to the present dative construction.
12. Tò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. likr the resumptive taút $\mu^{\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu}$ below, answered by $\tau \hat{\psi} \hat{\delta}^{\prime}$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega={ }^{\prime}$ though ...yet'. aúrwิ--ch. 15, 5. For its
 énóvouv: see also ch. 71, 17.
1.i. of xidror $\lambda$ oyádes-see ch. Gi7, 15. The imperfect Sté $\phi$ elpor 'inflicted loss' is used in combination with aorists, as in iii. 98, 2, to denote what was bern or what lasted some little time. ' ' $\xi \in \epsilon \sigma \sigma a v$ - 'drove back' out of their line and position.
 vi. $67,1$.

 body-guard, and though ealled horsemen fought on foot. Arnold supposes that they were originally chiefs who fought round their king in chariots, 'this being the carly sense of $i \pi$ $\pi$ eu's and $i \pi \pi$ órns, as we find from Homer'. The hetual cavalry were stationed on the wings as we learn from ch. 67.
 divisions. "We can only suppose that Argos oricinally, like Sparta and Messenia, contained five districts or quarters, each of which sent its own lochus into the field (Amold). I'oppo considers the mivite doxor identical with the mpeositepor, from the absence of the article; hut a'vTe lóxor may have the definite foree of a proper name, or the second article may be
 chapter. In ch. 67, 17, we have simply oi allor dpreito mentioned besides the $\chi i \lambda \iota o \iota \lambda o \gamma a ́ \delta \epsilon s$.
 iv. 3, 31, cis $\chi$ cipas óé $\chi$ ofar. toùs mod入oús-prartial apposition, 'for the most part'. "'oviv oüs is as still further restriction.
29. кatamarך0ivtas i.e. ly ome another in their eager
 катєтátor. This is explaned by the following words, in
 (quivalent to tok's é; кarala $\mu$, zavovias, 'in order that the enemy might not overtake them hefore they could escape. Haack and Poppo approve of this view of the passare, which is now generally accepted. toù $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фninpat may depend on both the preceding clauses, or on ivơóvias only, the next clause being then parenthetical.

If this viow is not alopted rinv iүкatainqur must be taken as the objeet of क्pli,pat, which necusitates giving an irresular
 that they did not escape being overtaken：．Arnold suggests $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ，dative of the efficient cause．
ib．${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \kappa \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta \psi s-$ not found elsewhere in Thucydides， though i久ката．$\ \mu \beta \beta i \nu \omega$ occurs eight times．The compound implies a place，as in ch．3，8．In iv．35，2，we have örot imo－
 taken，or scized，on the field（or in the retreat）were slain＇， an exact parallel to the present use of the substantive．For the use of the verbal see $\lambda \hat{\eta} \psi$ is ch． $110,3$.

## CHAPTER I」XXIII


 The ranks were broken by the men being forced from beside their commades．кal＇є́ ${ }^{\prime}$＇ékátєpa－i．e．，as Poppo explains，＇cum media acies cessisset，tunn simul etiam ab utroque latere vicinos ordines dissolutos esse Thucydides indicare censendus
 the Argive force gencrally．kai goes with غ́кátepa，as in vi．80， 1 ，with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o r e ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ．Classen points out the force of the imper－ fects in denoting the moment of danger．He however refers this cliuse to the defeated centre；but it appears to have already broken and fled．

 ご $\pi$ á $\rho \tau \eta \nu: i v .35,3$ ，etc．

ో．кuk ${ }^{2}$ ounévous＝＇on the point of being hemmed in＇； imperfect passive：so in vii．81， 3 ，киклоîtac is passive，while ten
 the таратєтаүне́vol，ch．72， 26.

8．＇̇тa入aımஸ́p $\quad \sigma a \nu-c h .74,13$ ；as we say＇suffered＇to denote actual loss．of immŋ̂s $\pi$ apóvtes－the predicate is simi－
 ¿̀vцахо́дєขor．тарıóntes，which Classen suggests，is no im－ provement，for the cavalry were $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aition（ch． $17, \underline{2}(0)$ ．

9．kai $\xi v v \in ́ \beta \eta$－another＇concurrent circumstance＇which saved the Athenians．movoûv－iv．！1f，4，imoret tò cícive wov．

 beaten＇：ijvonuivos，as in line 7 ，denotes the resulting con－ dition．

21．kal $\pi \lambda$ cious－if the text is right，$\pi$ eitous is a gethent comparison，lit．more than might be expecten，or more than their due proportion，i．e．a large number．In iv．42，3，and 103,3 is $\pi$ त位年os has a similar foree．wal then emphasizes the serious loss of the Mantineans，eontrasted with the slight luss of the Argives．Some inferior manuscripts have oi $\pi$ deions：but the total loss was only 200 ．
 chief loss was at the point spoken of ：so vii．30，2，ȧtowteivoug iv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ er $\beta$ áret rois $\pi$ Aelorous，where the total luss was 2.50 ont of 1300：but such an explanation will not suit the present passage．
 тò monù étopupєîto．ßlaws－hard－pressed＇：iv．31，fin．，ci
 $\mu \in v o t$ of embarking under an enemy＇s attack．$\mu$ aкpá，like $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t$ $\pi 0 \lambda v$ below，of distance．

2i．tàs $\mu a ́ x a s . . . \pi o t o u ̂ v t a-$－this sentence is an excellent example of article and substantive with $\pi$ oniodar：see note on iv．13，1．＇The Lacedaemonians fight long and refuse to move until they have put an enemy to flight，but，having once defeated him，they do not follow him far or tome＇（Jowett）． xporlous so vi．31， 5 ：but in i．12， 1 the feminine is xpovia． $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} ย เ \nu-$＇by standiug their ground＇．

## CHAPTER LNXXI゙

1．Kal we might expect $\hat{y}$ ，as in vii．si， 5 totaíry $\hat{i}$ ört ìpoitata ruitur airią：but Armold is right in comprang i． 2.2 ，

 fact a sort of corrective force $=$＇ 1 mean＇，＇that is to say＇，as noted in ch． 20,4 ．$\eta^{\circ}$ on the other hand，maaning＇or else＇， would imply some uncertainty as to the facts．
2. $\pi \lambda$ tiorov... $\delta \eta$-see note on ch. 60,16 , for or with the superlative. For the genitive of time 'within which', see
 oưȯ̀ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ė่ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$.
4. $\xi v v e \lambda \theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma a-w i t h ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta=c o m m i s s t$; constructed with $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\delta}$ as being virtually passive. Somewhat similar is i, 15,2 ,
 Poppo quotes $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ биvjॄє картєрá from Josephus, Aut. Iud. xvii. 10 (12), 2.
5. $\pi \rho о \theta_{\epsilon} \mu \in v o l-a p p a r e n t l y=\theta \epsilon ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha \quad \pi \rho \delta$, 'taking

 A guard was posted, to oblige the enemy to ask leave to bury the dead, which was a confession of defeat; so фuגакijv катаגıто́vтєs (iv. 97, 1). Kriiger and others take it to mean 'dis-
 but they cite no instances of such a practice.
6. Ioraoav-Classen considers that the imperfect is used here because the trophy was not completed till the enemy owned his defeat. But íroaбar is used again in vi. 70, 3; and probably (like '̇oxúגewov) merely denotes what the victors 'went on to do' or 'began to do' next. The aorists which follow imply the completion of all that had to be done.
11. Aiyıvทีтals-Athenian ërookol, the inhabitants having been removed in $4: 31$ (ii. 27, 1). ג' $\mu \phi$ óтєpor-Laches and Nicostratus (ch. 61, 1).
13. ध́ $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha เ \pi \omega ́ p \eta \sigma a v-c h .73,8$. каí-emphatic: there may have been some loss, but it was not 'also' (besides occurring)


 citeîv.
 51, 7, Tàs ó入oфúpocıs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ : ii. 98,2 , of loss to an army generally, as opposed to $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ : Hdt. v. $4 \gamma \iota \nu \delta \mu \in \nu$ os каi ȧтоүш' $\mu \in \nu$ os, of births and death. av่т $\omega \hat{\nu}$, according to Poppo, depends on $\pi v \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a<$, but the sense seems rather to connect it with some statement of number. It can scarcely depend un $\dot{d} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon$ cav, but it may be taken with $\tau$ paraooives, the
clause with piv being thus subordinate in sense to that with $\delta \epsilon$.
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 marched ont". P'oppo refers to the law mentioned ly Hit. v.
 Baбitias éstovoŋs or atins. That law appears rather to mean that the two kings were not to be in joint command of the same amy. See however Stein on the passage from Herodotus, and the words which he cites from Xen. licll. v. 3, 10. We there find that the l'hliasians in 381 assumed that they were safe from attack on the part of Agesilans, as the other king was in
之ாápтทs єโขal.

 miss, authority: ' $\dot{\alpha} \pi о т \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ magis notat averlere, dehortari,
 (Bauer, cited by Poppo).
9. Kápveta-ch. 5i, 9. èтúyxavov-ch. 215, 15.
10. тóтє 'at this time', i.e. since the capture of Sphacteria, as is shown by what follows. In iv. 40 we are tuld of the astonishment caused by the surrender at Sphacteria. while complaints of sluggishness and inaction on the part of Sparta lad been made even before the war began, for instance in the speech of the Corinthians (i. 68-71). е̇тьєрорє́v $\eta v$. cf. iii.

11. 's-'for, in respect of': viii. 88, 1, is фcNiav ô،aßál入ctv, where instances from Plutarch cte., may be found in Poppo.


 has a predicative or appositional force; the sense beiny 'and thus by one victory they regained their reputation'.

 $\kappa \lambda\left(e^{a}\right)$. Here therefore the meaning appears to be open to reproach'. Elsewhere, e.g. Hom. Il. xxiv. 214: Eur. Ion, 981. какijoual means to act the coward, and this would give a good sense in the present passage, viz. that the late inaction and seeming cowardice of the Spartans were due to stress of circumstances, not to failure of spirit. A similar opposition between ríx $\eta$ and $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ is attributed to the Peloponnesian

 òpồs cival.
15. тротє́pa Cobet would real $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon p a i a$, but the editors generally retain $\pi \rho o t \epsilon \in q$, as $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ can be used of days, e.f.





 genitive absolute, is also read. Arnold refers $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ to фи ${ }^{2}$ akas, whether aviouv is read or not, rendering 'as they had ventured out to fight them'. غ́ॄe৯Aóvtes has this force in viii. 25,2 , but the meaning first given is the usual one, and makes the better sense. $\pi$ oddov́s-predicate, 'in large numbers'.
 Classen points out that no new commander's name is given, though both the Athenian generals had fallen in the battle.
23. Ste $\lambda^{\prime} \mu \in \nu$ or -se. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\jmath} \backslash \ell$, or the operations against it: (f. ch. 114, 4: iv. 11, 3, кат òizas vaîs dıc入ápevol, with no object expressed. '́ $\xi \in \pi a v ́ \sigma a v \tau 0-a$ strong expression = dंлéканои roil $\pi$ eperecxiselv (schol.). This is the only instance of the middle given in Lid. and Scott.
26. T $\grave{v} \nu$ äкрav-a rocky promontory near the harbout. тò 'Hpaiov is in apposition; as in i. 46, 3, $\dot{\eta}$ ăкра тd X $є \iota ц$ е́poov. There are various forms of such construction with names:



 vii．3，4，тò фpoíplor tò láßōa入ov：（d）ch．41，8，¿̌xel Orpiar
 Grammar，§ 50， 7.

27．छับүкaradıróvres－amother lave word，denoting the common action of the combined force．The fortificd position now occupied was not long retained，see ch．sio， 16.

## CHAP＇TER LXXVI

1．єن̇өús with xemêvos àpरomivor＇：ch．1：3，1，with imı－
 modern editors rearard this clause a－an interpolation derived from ch．75，23，for the Carneia were over long before the winter period began；see ch．5．9．Jowett however holds that the words＇are not intented as a mark of time，but have reference to the advantage taken of the Cameia hy the enemies of Sparta＇．If so，they seem somewhat misleading．
 Attic（ireck，thourh used by later writers．Thueedides has
 ६чиватько́ข．
ih．$\tilde{\eta} \sigma a v \delta$ avirois from the position of the worts they had atherents＇．thonelt the dative may depend on imtriocon．
 каi тóte．In such cases the clamse with re refers to a time bofore that with which the man part of the sentence deals．

 in line 11 ：iii．81， 3 ete：：without the article i．107， 3 ：vi． 27 fin，ete．

 38，27．xal $\xi v \mu \mu a x i a v-s c$ ．$\pi ⿰ 丿 ㇄$



13．Lixas see ch． 00,16 ．Sv́o $\lambda$ óy two proposals． ка日＇ö ть к．т．$\lambda$ ．－＇mira verborum brevitas＇，says I＇oppo，who
 with rat＂ö $\tau$ and ${ }^{\prime}$ s respectively．We have other forms of ellipse where terms are offered，e．g．iv．37，2，غ̇кipučav єi及oíhouvo qà örतa mapaסoival，sc．that they shonld accept these
 lobree surgested leaving out $\epsilon i$ in both clauses．The proposal for war may have been something like the arrangenent re－ corded in ch． 41.
 lative ef．iv． 106,2, ，$\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho a \sigma \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ Bpaбióa，where also غ̇к тoû фavepov̂ occurs．тo $\lambda \mu \omega \overline{v \tau \epsilon}$－so ii．43， 1 ，and often．

## CHAPTER LXXVII

The terms of the treaty in this chapter，and of the alliance in ch．79，are given in the Doric dialect．The language how－ ever，according to the authorities，does not entirely conform to the rules of Laconian speech；and the documents may have been motified in the course of transcription．＂These two traties imposed by the victorions Lavedaemonians upon Argos mark the final dissolution of the alliance between Aroos and the discontented members of the Peloponnesian league，and also of the alliance between Argos，Athens，Elis，and Man－ tinea＇（Jowett）．

1．катtáठє i．e．кatà ráốe：ch．7！init．so кatтá for кatà тá，line 1．\％．т $\underset{\hat{a}}{ } \dot{\epsilon} к \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{a}$－The usual name of a public assembly in the Doric states was a $\times$ a a This is the name by which the Spartan assembly is called in Herodotus（vii．134）， and it is also used in official documents for those of Byzan－ tium，of（iela，Agrigentum，Corcyra and Heraclea．In Sparta the ancient name of an assembly of the people was a a $\pi \lambda \lambda \lambda$ ．
 have been chiefly in use＇（Miiller＇s Ioriuns，Jik，iii，5，9）．See
 citerl from Herodotus $\dot{a}$ 人in seems merely his own general tern；as he uses it in i．125，of an assembly of Jersians： y．30，of a meeting held at Miletus；v．79，of an assembly at Thebes．

$$
11-\ddot{2}
$$

2. $\xi v \mu \beta a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma=a t$ 'to make agreement'; so line 24: Xen.


 Sóvas-'on their re-toring'. maîas must be the hostages mentioned at the end of ch. 61, who were given to the Mantineans: Argos is therefore made responsible for thear return.
3. $\tau \omega \dot{s}$ ävopas tois Mavadios 'Ho former mention had heen made of the Matmalians: hut as they had no one city, but were dispersed in several villages, an invading army could have had no difionlty in obliging them to give hostares separately, nor wats there any one town capable of affording such resistance as to make its summission deserve a separate notice ${ }^{\text {. }}$ (Arnold). 'The men in Mantinea' are the Arcadian hostages whom the Lacedaemoniaus had deposited in Orehomenns, and who were handed over to the Mantineans (ch, filfin.). There is a clear distinct.on made between meiôes and äropes in this passinge. Classen supposes that maines may be youths who had not attained military age; Friger suggests that slaves may be meant.


 ch. $75,26$.
4. ai $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{ka}$ - ai-ci: ка for Ionic s.-Atic är: the whole



 read. The Athenians are the subject. 'A note of hostility to the Athenians is now finst oprenly expressed, not umnaturally, since the captives were recovered, and the Itheniaus had taken part in the battle of Mantinea' (Jowett).
5. EXovt- Exwrot is also read, hut the indientive, as I'oppo shows, wives the better sense, as it is a question of actual fact. For $e i$ with the suljunctive see (roodwin's Moods and Tensers, \& 154. Madvig (Greek Symene, \& 12.5) restricts the use in Attic prose to ' the archaic phraseology of law'.



$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{d} \lambda \eta_{\theta}+i a s$. Otherwise $\sigma t \hat{\omega}$ is without the article, having in
 píruatos, paodicu's, without the article, meaning the king of Persia. F'or 'the sacrifice due to the god', viz. Apollo Pythaeus, see ch. 53, 3.

The reading of the remainder of this passage seems hopelessly uncertain, but the general sense is, as Arnold says, 'that with respect to the victim alleged by the Argives to be owed to the temple of Apollo from the Epidaurians, the matter should be decided by the oath of the Epidaurians, who were to swear whether it was justly due from them or no. It is well known that, where the evidence was not clear, the accused was allowed to clear himself by oath; and if he swore that he was imnocent, the accuser had no further re. medy '. See the provisions in ch. $18,20$.
13. $\epsilon\lceil\mu \in \nu \quad \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$-the manuscript readings, $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \nu$, etc. are various and unintelljgible. $\lambda \hat{\eta} v$ is the infinitive of
 - ei dedets. The reading in the text is taken (i) that it be allowed to the Fpidaurians to choose ( $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ ) an oath'; but $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ can sarcely thus govern an aceusative: (ii) 'that (the contracting parties) desire ( $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ ) that an oath be allowed ( $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu$ ) to the Epidaurians', or be taken by them. Some support for this rendering is derived, as Jowett points out, from ch. 1s, 59, ë́ote סè Laneóauoviocs öpros: but it is most awkward to connect $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ with the initial $\delta 0 \kappa \in \hat{\imath}$, like the other intinitives thronghout the chapter. Should dip be omitted:
 ject of oo $\mu \in \nu(=\delta o \hat{v} a l)$ and must refer to the Argives, who were to tender the oath. This makes sense, but forces the meaning of aúroís: Arnold accordingly reads aurois, - that it be temdered to them.

The reading adopted of this clause assumes cifut dīv in the clanse before. There is however another surgested reading
 will'. $\epsilon i$ with the infinitive in oratio obliqua is Herodotean; there is one instance in Thucydides, is. 9s, 3, єi . . orvyoinva, where see note. With this reading there is a different gromp of sugrestions and explanations; e.g. Becker's ai mi้ A $\bar{\eta} \nu$ Tios
 please etc.': and Ahrens' conjecture ai $\mu \dot{e} \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$, rois 'Eitioulpious
 tender an oath to the Epidaturans, if (otherwise) they may swear themselves; i.e. the matter was to be decided either by the wath of the Epidaurians or by that of the Aryives.

Futher disenssion of the text may be fommi in Claseon amb Fowler.
 ditum, qui ideo omissus videtur, quia sententia haee est: sive parvae sint sive magnae' (Poppo). 'The independence of the cities of Peloponnesus, 'small and yreat ' is proclaimed, probably to avoid the suspicion which had been engendered by the former treaty between Tacedacmon and Athems. ch. 29, and on the other hand to prevent larger states, like Elis or Mantinea, increasing their power by the suhjuration of smaller ones' (Jowett). See note at the beginning of ch. 17.
16. ai $\delta$ é кa тûv ékrós-this clausu is uspecially divected
 'to lend aid' or ropel the enemy fiom another: mil. 'repel from one's self': cf. $\dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ \nu \omega, \dot{\alpha} \mu i ́ \nu о \mu \alpha \iota$.
18. $\dot{a} \mu \dot{o}^{\prime} \theta_{\mathrm{l}}$ mrobably $=$ Doric $\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{a}$ (or $\dot{a} \mu \hat{a}$ ) i.e. àua: if.
 $\eta \ddot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}$. Lid. and seott however say that the word means 'in
 interpreted either in the same sense - äud, or as denived from a privative and $\mu$ ótos, 'sine seditione et di-arnsione'
 For érooûvai, Ahrens reads í $\sigma \sigma$ lovtau.
$2: 3 . \quad$ emtoeikavtas - - the contracting parties to shaw these conditions to the allies before concluding the treaty. The participle as usual is the emphatic word. For $\xi v \mu \beta \lambda \hat{\lambda} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma$ ar ste line 2.
24. ai ka aúrois $\delta$ ok $\hat{\eta}$ airois seems certainly to refer to the allies. If they approved, the treaty was to be signed at once. Their punsible disatproval is dealt with in the next clanse, ai $\delta$ é $\tau$, where the atdition of nai eilde as rasd hy Amold makes the sense clear, bmt hats lithe anthonity. One mannocript has $\mu \dot{\eta}$. $k a$ ădlo is a plansihle sumpestion; lout we see from ch. 79,13 , that the - 1 hijunctive can stand with ai alone.
 Either the Lacedatmonians and Argives were to semd the allies home (o) consult their respective home govemments; or the allies were to semi the proposed tomas home for con-
sideration. (irote and others moderstand that the allies were to he summarily dismissed, and the treaty concluded without them; but this scarcely agrees with the clanses before.

## CHAPTER LXXVIII


 ch. $35,3$.

 ch. 46,40 .

## CHAPTER LXXXIX

3. '̇ $\pi i$ rois iorols-ch. 27, 11. ©̌ıסóvras-accusative, as if Evumaxiar mokiolial had gone before. The construction may have been changed to avoil chashing with the intorvening datives.


 see the similar componats at the beginning of ch. 18 .
4. 'EXovtes... $\delta$ © ${ }^{\circ}$ óvtes-this transition to the masculine is to he noticel. тoí $\pi \epsilon \rho-$ se. iv: ch. 42,2 . The plural is here used instead of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ air $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \pi \epsilon \rho$, ch. $77,20$.
5. ӧта ка-ch. 77, 14. Рорро suggests that крipavть should be read for kpiraptas. Otherwise the phrasenlogy is elliptical, крivevtı being implied. See Kriuger's Cirummar, § $199,7,2$, for somewhat similar exmples. The clause refers to the supplies and contingrents which the respective cities would hare to furnish.
6. Stakpt日jpev in the active suanpirew is to decide or settle a difference; as HIt. i. 100, óraкpivev ràs Ėøфepoutvas dixas. In the passive it is used of the disputants; Plat.
 settle our difference by recourse to weighing'. Here the meaning secms to be that the allime citios are if possible to 'settle disputes' between themselves. The next item of the treaty provides for arbitration in case of the dispute going on. Armold however takes the first clanse to refer to a difference between an allied city and one outside the confederacy, and the second to a quarrel between two allied cities. The former case was to be settled as best it could: in the latter armitration was to be resorted to.
7. Eptyon-'if the differnce should become a quarrel' (Towett). There sums no especial reason for the optative; though it may be explained as following the initial cowes and as denoting a more improbable and remote contingency than the subjunctive. joreior is accommodated to the same construction.
ib. äv twa ïrav-we slumhld expect ares iva as subjeect to Soseioc. As the text stands either (1) סoscios means 'considers', the subject being the móles which goes with eipijor aml cival heing understood: or more probably (2) the full construction
 lent to ooкoín.
 twice in ch. 23. These are the only instances of ăup in Thneydides.
ib. Tw's $\delta^{\prime}$ Eras-the manuscripts have rois ö itaus, which nneessitates piving an impersonal passive meaning to SukáGeotar. 'justiee to be administereal', for which there is no anthonity: erac are private citizens. In an ancient inseription at Olympia they are opposed to the -ideotai-oi iv rida; of.
 ayou; Their ancient legal rights are here secured arainst change of enovemument, of the centralisiag inthence of dominant states.

## CHAPTER LXXX

 （Armold＇s note）．The alliance is regarded as complete，the fol－ lowing events are the consequences of its completion．
 ever were the places belonging to one another which they had gained by war（ $\epsilon t \chi o \nu$ ）they restored，and any other complaint which they had to bring（ $\epsilon$ IXov），they settled with one another． oıc入í⿱亠⿴囗口⿱日一
 єixov＇（Jowett）．
 i．145ॅ，1，סıa入1́є入as éx $\quad$ pas $\delta \iota a \lambda u ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$.

4．тө́fpevol－managing and arranging；i．25， 1 ，iv cimópu
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \in \ell \eta$－any furtified positions which they might hold；es－ pecially the fortress at Epidaurus，line 17.
 corresponds to ävєv коเข $s ~ \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ．

8．$\theta u \mu \bar{\varphi}$＇єфєрог－＇carried on with spirit＇；＇they were very energetic in all their doings＇（．Jowett）：i．31，1，jp $\hat{\eta}$ ф＇́povtes

 though the sense is slightly different，in Eur．Suppl．556，

 ＇conducting＇；cf．$\beta a \rho \epsilon \omega s{ }^{\phi} \notin \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ etc．

9．ஸ́s Пєрठíккау Perdiccas still professed to be an ally of Athens；see ch．6，6．סıєvoєito－－ámooт $\hat{\eta}$ at is understool，as


 $\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \dot{\partial} \nu 0 \nu \tau o$ ，sc．$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$.
 ©＂Aprors，of lerdiccas and his ancestors：see also Iddt．viii． 137．For constr．of．iv．3，3，oiкcious б̈vtas aürê tò áp $\chi$ aiov． roîs Xaגktסєv̄бt－in ch．31，29，we find the Chalcidians making a league with Argos．They hat probably been reararded as allies of Sparta since 432，when they revolted from Athens （i．58，1）．

17．tò $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi\end{gathered}$ ch．34，1，note．Arnold quotes Hat．vii．37，is


18．ópŵvtes．．．ővtes－the manuscript reading is brotas，which might possibly be explained as referring to rois opertpors： oy ofes however is read by all editors，to avoid ambiguity．The position was held hy the Argive eonfederates jointly（ch． 7.5 ）．

19．$\Delta \eta \mu$ oodév $\eta \nu$－the common form of the accusative of this name．It is a＇heteroclite＇．like ざ $\omega$ кра́тク！s，which makes both $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ and $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ．

21．трóфarı－the accusative in apposition alverbially used；so iii．111，1：cf．$\pi$ poina，óspeàs ette．In ch．53，2．we have $\pi \rho o \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ ．＇Iemosthenes seems to have acted partly from bravado，partly because he preferred to give up the fortress to the Epidaurians，rather than leave it in the possession of the confederate troups，which included those of Argos，now in alliance with Sparta．By the terms of the first treaty（eh． 77，6）the Argives equally with the Athemians were boond to evacuate the fortress，but this may have heen manown to Demosthenes＇（Jowett）．

22．tò ä入入o фpoupıкóv i．e．oi ällat фporpoi．The readin！： of the best manhseripts is фpoiphow，which clawen retains，in the semse of दpotpa，ch．73，2s．There appeare however mo certain anthority for this nsare，and here it wond be mar－ tienlarly awkward after the word has just heen uscet in its natural way．It is tempting to sugsest the omission either of $\phi$ роиріки́v or the preceding roû $\phi$ pouplow．

23．${ }^{2} v a v \in \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \in v o l$ tàs $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ s$ the treaty referred to is probably that made at the eneral peace（ch．1s），which， after the many vicissitudes of Athenian and Lacelacmonian politics，might well need to be tenewed in any paticular which was henceforth intenlel to be ohserved．The Epidamrians are
mentionad by name in the armistice (iv. 119, 2), but are only included, without being named, among the allies of the Lacedaemonians in the treaty' (Jowett).
21. av่тoi-thus avoiding the appearance of compulsion, and excluding their late allits from any credit for the surrender.

## CHAPTER J」XXXI

3. oủ $\delta u v a ́ \mu e v o l ~ s e e ~ n o t e ~ o n ~ o ̂ ı e v o c i ̂ r o, ~ c h . ~ 80, ~ 12 . ~ \xi u v e ́-~$ ß poav-for 30 years, according to Xen. Hrll. v. 2, 2. тiv
 given up in accordance with the provision of the treaty, ch. 79, ${ }^{\text {อ. }}$
4. kai $\Lambda a k \in \delta a \iota \mu o v^{\prime}$ เot-this sentence is $\Omega$ curious example of appositional construction, the subjeet being first contracted with auitoi of Аaкeôaquivtor and again expanded with supapфó-
 parenthetical, and the meaning is that the Lacedaemonians before marching with the Argives to Argos, went by themselves to Sicyon. The Argive $x$ incol seem those mentioned in ch. 67 , 15; most of them escaping the slaughter of Mantinea (ch. 73, 22).


 ärav is diरous iोmiv. In viii. 3x, 3, the mamseripts hate $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 'being reduced to an oligarchy". No similar instance of is ixiour is fortheomin!, and Classen and Stahl adopt Jobrees's correction és óMírous.
il. $\mu a \hat{\lambda} \lambda \mathrm{\lambda ov-Krigger}$ seems right in understanding $\ddot{\eta}$ is $\pi \lambda e l o n a s$. Most editors understand $\ddot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̈ t c \rho o r$ : but Kriiger observes that this would require a more emphatic position of $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o v$, such as before is. (irote points out that sicyon had always been oligarchic and favourable to Sparta: hat a democratic party mny have been making head.
 their interests＇．Thais was the Lacedaemonian systen！；see



 グo $\eta$ ．

## CHAPTER LXXXII

 ch．81，11．ka日ía ravto－of political arrangements．At the berinning of the war Achaia was neutral，with the exception of Pellene（ii．9，2）．

5．kat＇ó入íyov－＇little by little＇．gradually；i． 61 fin．кat＇ idízor $\pi$ pocoúnes：usually＇in small divisions＇as in in．10， 4. §uvıбтápevos－＇conspiring＇；iii．70，4：or perhaps merely＇get－ ting together＇，as in ii．S＇s，1．＇The oligarchy which was estah－ lished in Argos $\pi$ püs zap（ch．81，12），say in March，lasted till the time of the $\gamma$ ruromaioial，a period of about $\overline{;}$ months． During this period the secret meetings and deliberations of the popular party were held，until suticient confitence for a rising had been gained．ava日aporioas in the aorist denotes the con－ clusion of the deliberations expressed by the mresent Envorob－ ме гos＇（F．）．
 tion（Kriiger），otherwise extlevio with the singular participle


 vinta xruipor：with infinitive 15．26，1．itipure ivitup sata－
 тo $\pi r^{\prime} \cdot$ opet，on ．The（iymmopacdiae were held in Hecatombanom， about July．The festival consioted of gymastie and warlike exerciecs，and，like the Carneia，hept the Spartans at home．
 anbordinate in sense．ik $\pi \lambda$ efooos fin some time hack ；is．

42,3: iv. 103,3 . It is to be taken with $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 v$. The sense is that, though the lacedaemonians had for some time taken no notice of repeated appeals for help, yet now they postponed the festival and marched out. There is a suspicious incompletcness about the sentence, and a want of antithesis between the clauses with $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{y}$ and $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$. We should expect $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\partial} \dot{\epsilon}$ or the like.
17. á $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{E} \lambda \omega \nu$ - 'as messengers'. Most editors would omit
 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$. The Argives in the city sent envoys, the exiles could only send messenterr.s. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta$ is also suggested for $\pi \rho \in \sigma, \beta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ cimb тє. This would make the sentence clearer; still irregularities with $\tau \epsilon$ are common.

 heads of the I'eloponnesian league. Scarpı $\beta$ ai-ch. 38,30 : vii.
 or 'trying to gain'; more usually of persons, iii. $32,2, \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ i रous ès фi入ià $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha ́ \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. Also of acquiring places by cupitulation, as in ii. 30, 2: vi. $5 \pm, 3$.

 cognate constructions, lut the singular is quite regular; see Kriiger's (irammar, s 46,5 . áv with the future infinitive is found five times in Thucydides according to manuscript authority. Whether it can be retained is a moot point; see note on ch. 15, 11, and (ioodwin, \& 20x: Madvig's (ireek syntar, $\S 173$, R. 2. Here it is easy to omit $\alpha \ddot{\nu}$ or to read $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \hat{\lambda} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$. The subject of the infinitive in the Athenian alliance, not the contemplated building of walls (Herbst). The latter view involves a gratuitous irregularity in the use of $\tau \epsilon$ and kai, and in no way improves the sense.
 according to Pausanias, was four miles and a half. Plutarch says that the building of the walls was promoted by Alcibiades, who came to Argos after the victory of the democracy (. If . 15).


ii). $\xi v v \eta y^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \sigma a v$-the following accusative is rare: Hdt. vi.

 fear of Sparta，no doubt fomented hy Alcibiades，had already produced an isclination towards Athens，and long walls would draw the Argives into closer comexion with the Athenian naval power．For the position of $\tau$ tés of．i．45，2，and i． 53,

 order is different．

31．тékroves－timber was used in such works；vi．！！！， 1 ， Mitors каi sida šr $\mu \phi$ opoîvtes，of the besieging Atheninns at Syracuse．

## CHAPTER LXXXIII

 $\$$ ete．，but only here with the genitive participle ：the accusative construction is common，as in ch． $37,18$.

4．aúrois mobahly with imme＇hhey had to start with＇： but the dative might also be taken with $\pi$ paramimeov．Indeed its construction is affected by both words，in acendance with a commion Thucylidean nrder．ék roû＂Apyous aúrógev ii．2．），
 ごa入адîvos．
 －near the border of Areadia on the road to Terea．

14．Ört－मives the reason for $\delta \eta$ woraves，the participle as mesual heing the emphatic word．We may compare the clanse

 aंтclaustavouro．The proition of the proneun kives it an em－ phatic force，they fomme then receivine，they were agerieved ly their receiving their eviles＂，cf．Buttanam on Dem．Mrid．

 fect and Ihyerfect is peculiar to Thucydides and Hervilutus．
 trate which misht be carried on in the winter; toüt ëवTb

 the scholiant's explanation seem enough to suppent the read-
 coav have been shrgested; hut the compound is only fornd in the midule and that in late authors, and the active of the simple verb is too far from the mannscript reading. According to the best manuscripts it occurs in iii. 85, 1, and iv. 41,2 , but even there Classen adopts the middle.

Most manuseripts have Maкeסovías Пєрסíккаv: which can wearcely mean 'lerdiceas (king) of Macelonia', no similar instance heing known. Another explanation comects the geni-
 iวкск.? ? pivors, 'shut up within your bulwarks'. Makeסovia has also been conjectured 'shat him up with' i.e. 'in Macedonia'. Two inferior manuseripts have Maкe $\delta o v i a v$, and probably this or Maxéoras should be adopted, the scholiast's auton's being in
 chould then he read in accordince with (roeller's suggestion; cf. ch. 59, 29.
 are told moth ng of this intended expedition. It coulil searcely have been before Cleon:s dath, as Perdiccas up to that time had been faithful to the Athenians since he broke with Brasidas in 12:3: see ch. 6,6 , and iv. 132, 1. Besides, it is mentioned after the $\grave{\zeta} \nu \omega \mu \rho \sigma i a$.

 So Hom., Eur. and Xen.
ih. $\dot{\eta}$ orparia-this would imply that the troops were actually sont; or the army at any rate embodied. Perhaps otpartia should be read; hut $\delta$ бє $\lambda$ í日 $\eta$, 'wats broken up', groes more naturally with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime}$.
23. dimápavtos - lisewhere $\dot{a} \pi a i p \omega$ is to start, or pht to sea, as in iv. 26, 4: iv. 46, 1. Possibly it may here be used to mean 'by his defection', which is the sense required. Most editors however believe it to be corrupt. Poppo suggests


## CHAP'IER JAXXXIV



 кєîmat represents the perfeet passive of кататіөєоөat, as in ch. 61, 22.
$\therefore$. $\Lambda \in \sigma$ ßials -so in most mannseripts: Classen prefers Aerßian. According to Cobet's rule Aecßion would be the proper dual form ; see note on iv. 1, 2. In favour of retaining Acoßlacs ef. ch. 79) fill. á $\mu$ oîv raîs $\pi 0 \lambda \ell \in \sigma t$ : so in vii. 50,2 , ovooiv $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ is reall by some, though ovo has the best ant. thority. According to Krïger's Grammar, § 44, 2, the dual and plural are nsed indifferently with ofo undeclined; the dual more commonly with $\delta$ voiv.

These Lesbian ships were from Methymna, which remained free when the rest of the island was allotted to Athenian $\kappa \lambda \eta$ рой $\chi$ ot in 427 (iii. $\overline{5}$ ).
11. $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha x^{\omega} \nu$ кai $\nu \eta \sigma t \omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$ - not necessarily jdentical, ats Classen shows, citing vi. 85, 2, where the Athenians explain the varions degrees of inderendence enjoyed by their so-called allies: see also ii. 9,3 .
 statement of the grounds of the invasion. Дake $\delta a \not \mu o v i \omega v-$



 (the regular form with a preposition), or oiberépolv suvtes sínkea$\chi$ ou. In iii. 86, 2, however, we have hoxpoi Šupacoriwy ウ̈our, se. simpaхot. ท̄váүка̧ov the Athenians attacked them in 126 under the command of Nieias (iii. 91).

## 

 not found elsewhere with arparotejever日a, hut there is no difficulty in the pregnant construction, nor any need to read

23. tais dpxais - 'the authorities' ; ch. 2x, 2. The ódiyou seem to have been a select council or assembly.

## CHAPTER IXXXV

For the place of the 'Melian discussion' in the history, see Introduction.

The Athenians first propose a debate on the several points at issue instead of continuous specches.
3. öтms $\delta \dot{\eta}$-so vii. 18,1 , where $\delta \dot{y}$ gives the actual reason, not merely an alleged motive. ค $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$-only here in Thucydides. avé $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau a$ not to be questioned or disproved.
6. тov̂to фpovei 'this is the idea (intention) of' your
 For v́pêv Frïger and others prefer the ohjective genitive $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$.
7. ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}-b r i n g i n g ': ~ t h e r e ~ a r e ~ t w o ~ p a s s a g e s, ~ i v . ~ 29, ~ 1, ~$ and vi. 29, 3, where this word is found in the manuseripts and where the sense required is 'putting to sea' or the like. In both passages most editors alter it into dua $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { a }\end{gathered}$. In Xen. Cyr. vi. 1, e2f, ì tais a $\gamma \omega \mathrm{w}$ ais means 'on marches' lit. the 'leading' of an army.
9. $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \mathrm{fis}-\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta}$ compares the two parties to the discussion; 'do not you any more than we'. As the Melians feared the effect of an uninterrupted address from the Athenians, so on their part they were not to restrict themselves to a single speech, but state their objections item by item as they occurred. According to this view evi dóye depends on the sense 'giving your answer' supplied from the following words. Classen however takes $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \grave{\imath}$ גó $\gamma \varphi$ of the Athenians' speech, connecting it closely with кpivete, 'do not you either form your decision from (hearing) a single speech'. $\mu \eta \delta \hat{E}^{\prime}$ then would contrast $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i s$, the select audience, with the $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os. This view gives a good sense, but it seems more natural to refer civi $\lambda \sigma \gamma \omega$ to the subject of the sentence, and the dative can scarcely

10. ข่тода $\mu$ ßávortes-'replying'; ii. $72,1:$ ch. 49, 20 .
G. T.
11. Eimate the only instance of a form from aorist cima in Thucydides. Generally cimas and eïnatє are more usual than the corresponding forms of cimov.

## CHAPTER IXXXVI

The Melian representatives fear that they will have no real frecdom of discussion. The verdict has already gone against them.

1. $\xi \dot{v} v \in \delta p o t-$ 'commissioners` ; iv. 22, 1: they are afterwards called odizot ăvopes in the same chatpter.
2. èmıéкєta--‘equitableness', and reasonable consideration;

 primary sense of emetsins is equitable, one who has a leaning to the merciful side and of an indulgent disposition, as opposed to one who takes a strict and vigorous view of an offence, puts a harsh construction on men's motives and actions, and is inclined to enforce on all occasions the letter of the law. From this, and because we think this the better disposition of the two, $\dot{e \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon} \dot{\eta}$ s is transferred ly metaphor to the general signification of good' (Cope on Ar. Whet. i. 2, 4). For further
 v. 10: vi. 11, 1 .
 the same. For this use of ai'so and aíra fer ch. 27, 4 ; and note on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{d} \dot{\theta} \theta_{0} \mu \in \nu$ aút $\delta$, iv. $2 \tilde{v}, 1$.
3. тeplycvopenols - if we prove the hetter in the justice of
 $\mu \in \nu 0$.

## CHAPTER LXXXVII

The Athemians eall on them in effect to say yes or no to their proposal.

1. rolvov 'well then, if you ite.' toinds necurs in Thueydides only in direet address; ch. S9. 1: 105. 1, ete.
if. itovoías .- to calculate surmises of what is goine to happen: imóvoa here is a somewhat invidious word: in ii.
 as opposed to the reality.
 the plarase is independent of construction; cf. iii, 8.5, $4, \dot{a} \pi \dot{u}^{-}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \nu$.
il. ék тิ̂v $\pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$.-contrasted with the invisible future. Bouncúvovtes-here and in ch. 111, 5, the active is appropriately used of the commissioners who 'advise' in the interests of the people at large. There seem however undoubted instances where Thucydides uses $\beta$ onteíw in the sense of 'taking counsel', and 'ंßoúतer $\sigma \alpha$ of 'deciding'; and where other Attic writers would employ the middle: see note on iv. $15,1$.
2. $\pi a v o l \mu \in \theta$ ' ${ }^{2} \nu$-'we will (in that case) stop'. Contrast this cynical affectation of indifference with the peremptory єiँтaтє at the end of ch. 85.
 ímâs aitoime $\theta$ a. There are abundant instances to justify the
 most editors alter it to $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ i \\ \text { roúv }\end{gathered}$.

## CHAPTER LXXXVIII

The Melians agree to the discussion.

 tas-'in a position like ours'.
 є́тоїца т $\rho \in$ 'тоута. Soкov̂vтas -'in thought': 'minus invidiosa voce sententiam exprimunt eandem quam Athenienses verbo $\dot{\text { útovoeiv' (Kriäger). }}$

$$
15-2
$$

3. kai $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a s$ particula wal ita collocata est, ut
 quaedam essent sechtura' (P'oppo): so iii. 67, 6, ámévate oin
 'is here present'.

## CHAPTER LXXXIX

The Athenians deprecate appeals to abstract justice and the like, and call on the Melians to take a practical view of the actual facts.

1. oütє aútoi....oü $\theta$ ' $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{as}$ - 'well then, w' make no pretence of fine words, and we bey you will not'. The ovópara ka入á are appeals to justice and the like, contrasted with od Suvará. Similar languare is attributed to the Athenian envoy Euphemus

 Athenian speech at sparta before the war, i. 73-78. óvópara
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{\nu} \nu о \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a \underset{c}{c} \alpha \nu$.
 $\mu \in \theta a-$ 'are seeking redress, exating vengeance : iii. $3 \wedge, 1$.



 бate-in sentences like this the verl, states a fact of which the participle gives the reasom; of. line 2: iv. 27, 2, Exourás 76
 must have some strong ground to rely on as they male nio more overtures. Here we must suply with gesearpatciogare either (1) airois, 'though Lacedaemonian colonists you did not serve with them against us': or (2) $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i}$, 'you did not join us becouse you were Lacedacmonian colonists: Either rendering gives good sense. In favour of 111 , it is easior to supply arituis from haxcoaupoviov than to under-tand huiv, and we also ret a sharper antithesis to the following ijuas.
 ipeis being the subject. Classem holds that the sulject is now
 altered sense 'we think it right (for both of us.) to endeavour to effect etc.' But this view weakens the force of the sentence, in which, from oüt i $\dot{\mu}$ as, the Athenians are dictating to the Meliams the line which they expect them to take. The middle


 generally in the sense of 'dealing with, having to do with'.
 of (practical) men", as upposed to the theories of philosophers and the dreams of fanatics: ef. ch. 111. 6, äp $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ot: ch. 103 ,
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i v \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega s$.
ib. ámó-- from the standpoint of'; i. 21, 2, बi $\pi^{\prime}$ air $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ép $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ окотої $\sigma$ : or perhaps 'starting from', 'backed by'; i. 31.
 active = 'power to compel': i. 99, 1, тpooázovtes tàs àváyкas:
 may be argued, when hoth parties are equal in strength, but now it is not a question of abstract justice, but of practical possibilities.
2. Suvará what can be practically realized ; •but that in possible which the strong effect and the weak acquiesce in': or possibly $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \sigma$ ova maty man 'exact'. For $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma$ ova the scholiast gives $\pi$ pootáa $\begin{gathered}\text { or } \sigma \text {, which Dobree and Cobet would }\end{gathered}$ adont; $\pi \rho \sigma_{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \mu \in \nu$ however is similarly used in ch. 10.5, 4. The


## CHAPTER XC

The Melians urre that, even setting aside abstract considerations of justice, they may hope for fair treatment on the ground of general expediency.

1. $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mu \mathrm{e} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}-\ldots$ 'as we think, at any rate', it is adrantaneous'.
 depend on voutjouev.
2. aváyk y yap 'TVe must meeds tahe the grommi of ex. perliency". mapa to Slkatov-..setting aside, passing ly the question of justice . $\boldsymbol{y} \pi \varepsilon \theta \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ - 'lay down the principle', 'make expediency the hasis of discussion'.
3. tò kowò dyatóv-explained by what follows. It is the principle of equity, and reasonable consideration.

二. Eival tà єiкóta kal Síkaıa that for him who from time to time is in danger (1) what is reasomable should be also considered just'; or (2) 'he should have all that is reasomable and just'. (1) is supported ly the absence of wai from the hest mannscripts; while the scholiast's explanation, vía $\pi$ pooviouta каi tà oíxaca vi $\mu \in \sigma \theta a$, is in favour of (2), which also gives a more emphatic force to eival.

Dobree is in fivour of taking tá ciкúta r.t. A. as dependent, like $\tau$ к кai ivtús, (in rifavia, 'men in danker should be allowed, if they con plead what is reasonable and just, nay somethin! even short of strict justice, to get the henefit thercof'.

For this force of cival of. iii. 39, ?, örab $\dot{\eta}$ кarapliwavit
 Stahl would omit סiкata.
il. кai тt kal èvós - 'something which even falls short of the strict letter': Cic. Ep. Fiom, ix. 26, 9, non modo non contra legem sed intra lequm: so cis, citra: Tac. Amn, xi. 30, veniam petens qued ei cis I'lantios cis letlios dissimulavisset. 'heqging pardon for having hidden what he knew, so long as matters did not go beyond a Plantius and a Vettius' : ib. xii. 22, ira Agrippimat citru ultima stetit, 'stonpech short of extremities'.


fi. тeioavta nearly all manneripts have meionta, which some editors retain as = $\mu$ illorra $\pi$-iocer, if he has the prospect of getting aceepted'; 'althongh he may be destined to fail in making ont a strict case' (Jowett). liut the slight alteration to the aorist is preferable, 'quam utilitas periocta demam per-
 neuter aceusative ot (iv. 17, 1. ö Tt äs rectwoes), and also, if Tolnee's view he ainpterl, tora as acensative of the objeet. Otherwise twa is the sulyject of épely iprat. For the hise of
 is.
il. $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ vipîv. 'for your advantage', 'in your interest';
 The followint ö $\sigma \omega$ is comected with the comparative.
 $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \tau \epsilon$, to denote the conditions under which the Athenians would be defeated if such an event should take place; 'having the heaviest vengeance to look for in case you should be overthrown'. So we say 'standing to lose' so much on a future event.

Kriuger and Classen connect the words with mapaóeryua äl rivoofte, taking $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ to denote the accompanying circumstances and conditions; 'you would, by the heary vengeance which you would incur, become an example to others'. Others render 'an example for inflicting vengeance', meaning that the Athenians are setting a precedent which may bo used against them if they fall. But the idea is rather that if punishment should hereafter fall on Athens it would be so heavy as to be a warning to all other nations against lawless ambition. For mapá$\delta \in \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ in the sense of a warning example, which certainly seems the meaning here, cf. iii. $39,3$.

## CHAPTER XCI

The Athenians set aside general considerations. They are competent to look after their own interests. Now they are come with a definite purpose; ant they urge that it is better for both sides that the Melians should subnit to their power.
2. $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ ve $\lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \dot{\eta} v$ an unusual accusative. It is explained by Classen as an extension of the adverbial construction, like $\dot{a} \pi 0$ роîvtes taîta ch. 40, 16 . There however taîta. taítas tàs $\dot{a} \pi$ opias, and the accusative is cognate and 'internal'; which is not the case with $\tau \in \lambda \in u \tau \eta \dot{y}$ here. Poppo says 'compara cum
 tremere aliquid, horrere aliquid, similibas'. Elsewhere àtmeiv is connected with the dative; as in vii. 60, 5, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ кратך $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\eta} v a, ~\end{aligned}$ $\dot{a} \theta$ voồras. Here the dative would give a wrong sense, 'we are east down by', and would imply that the te入eury was a fact alrealy reached, not merely a future possibility.


3. $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ kai ch. 41, 10: ch. 92, 2. outol 10 iv. 41,3 ,
 has been suggested to read oirto, as in ch. $5!, 20$.

 put a stop before ëot, making the opposition between ni $\pi$ pois..., and a $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$. The sense is against this, as it would imply that the Melians were already $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{j}_{0}$ oo and the Athenians ap. gavtes, which was not the case. The insertion of a paren. thetical clause is also thoroughly Thucydiden.
5. ob aymv = we are not now contending with Sparta: for a similar use of the article ef. ch. 101, 2: ch. $110,3$.
6. aúroi '̇тьípevol-cf. C'lewn's arguments for punishing the Mytileneans, ii. 39 and 40 . He especially insists on the danger arising from the unprovoked character of their insur-
 etc.
 sonal passive, as in i. 73, 2, imi whenia inuotreicro: sw is. 1!9, 1.

 view to advantage we are here, the advantage that is of our empire', etc.
10. atróves without the tronhle of war. apgan to be. come your lords' ; so bouteioat 'to sulmit to your yoke', in
 фотépots.

## CHAPTER XCII

1. Xpriourov note the aljective with :rw, 子ain: vi. 31, !,

 have the idea of coincidin! interests.
$2 . \quad \ddot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{kal}$-so ch. 91, 3 : cf. ch. 13,8 note.

## CHAPTER XCIII

The Athenians hint signifieantly enough that resistance is hopeless, and will involve terrible calamities.





## CHAPTER XCIV

The Melians sugrest the possibility of a strict neutrality on their part being acceptable.

1. $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ 'hut on condition that... would you not accept us?" or 'would you not accept such terms?' For ẅate of conditions cf. ch. 17, 14: and for $\delta \hat{\ell} \chi о \mu a \iota$ ch. 32, 24. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ is the reading of some manuscripts but not of the majority. It is probably right, as $\delta \dot{\delta}$ is used in a similar way in the begiming of cc. 96 and !s. If $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon$ only be read, it goes with

 The sentence is thus a timid suggestion on the part of the Melians, 'so that... you would not agree to our being neutral', or 'would you not agree?'
 manuscript authority, and is retained by Classen. This would
 'would you not be likely to accept us?' No similar instance is quoted : indeed the future optative with ăv is unknown. For its legitimate use see Goodwin § 128 - 134 .

## CHAPTER XCV

The Athenians reply that to accept such an offer would be a manifest proof of weakness.
 inaordinary sentence quida dues domble duty, tiast as the sulijeet
of the verb and then in apposition，while ro piros corresponds appositionally to if ixApa，the order of padia ant exppa lu－ing

 sibly $\dot{\eta}$ фutia，$\dot{\eta} \mu$＇u should actually he read for $\dot{\eta}$ фutia $\mu(\nu$ ：but more likely the sentence grew up in some such way as this－

 fíoos，＇your emmity does not injure us so much as your frimot． ship，being on the one hand a proof of weakness，your hatred being etc．＇

 Өar⿻áserv：cf．oderint dum metuant（Niriurer）．

## CHAP＇TER XCVI

Are friendly relations then，ask the Melians，between you and the（ireek islanders，an invariable proof of weakness？Is there no difference between strangers and your own colonists？

2．тoús $\tau \epsilon \mu \eta$ خ $\pi$ роб自оитаs－＇those who have no comnexion with you＇，and on whom you have 10 claim．öбot．．．oi modतoí ．．．Ttvés－a notable instance of appositional construction，＇all who，heing mostly colonists，and having revolted in some cuse＇s＇；


4．KєXeipшитаь－＇the verb does not apply strictly to ámowot． but only to raves，and under the influence of amortavets is used insteal of a more general verb，such as äp $\chi^{0}$ prab＇（Jowett）．
ib．És rò avirò rı日́a，
 Ifiddell and Sientt，tilmpu 1i．ii．3，for various phases with cis， iv，advenbe cte．In Latin we have a similar usare of traho， ibidem traho，traho ad，in：r．g．Plaut．Trin，ii．4，10，ibidem una traho，＇I count it under the same head＇．

## CHAP'IER XCVII

No, reply the Athenians; our subjects are convinced that all such things are mere questions of material strength.

1. Sekatẃpart - - in plea of justice ${ }^{\text {, rationes quibus suam }}$
 The dative is not elsewhere in Thucydides found with è $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ i$\pi \epsilon \iota v$, which takes the genitive, i. $80,4, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ët $\pi \lambda$ éou toírou
 oúsps eגAcimet. The construction is however not unreasonalle', as the dative denotes that in which the deficiency occurs; and a genitive of the person, a $\backslash \lambda \omega$ or iphev, is virtually implicd. It may also be supported by such constructions as eh. 72, 10 ,
 Krüger suggests reading idnomeis here. One manuseript has oıкáápara, and this would go well either with the accusative or oúdetépots.
2. karà $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \nu v$-lit. 'in accordance with'. It is a question of material strength and power, not of abstract justice. $\pi \in \rho \mathrm{L}-$
 by the scholiast.
3. $\xi \xi \omega$-ch. $26,13:$ i. 9,7 etc. Thuc. and Hdt., also Xen. Anal. vii. 3, 10. kal rô̂ seems awkward; should it he toû kai? Note aorist äp $\ddagger \alpha$, as in ch. $91,10$.
 for the sake of antithesis, $\nu$ aukparopos being dependent grammatically on $\pi \epsilon \rho \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}{ }^{\prime}$ oof $\theta \epsilon$, 'especially as you are islanders while we are lords of the sea, weaker islanders too than others, should you not escape submission (get the better of us)'

In vi. 82,2 , according to the manuscript reading, there is

 There $\pm \omega p$ ceiol is first put out of its place for the sake if antithesis, and then the construction chan es. For the juxta-


## CHAPTER XCVIII

The Melians now point ont the probable danger to Athens of her overbearing policy.

1. '̇v $\delta$ ' 'keive -either (1) 'in the former cane', i.e. in the neutrality proposed in ch. 94; or (2) like illud, referring to what is coming, öбot $\gamma$ af к.т. . . (2) seems more probable. as of in this dialogue generally introduces a fresh point or sughestion. The meaning affects the semse to be given to ou
 110 security?', or (2) do you not take safety into consideration?'.


 athority. i^ßuajw however is not found liefore llutarch (zassive Soph. P'hil. 1129), while ispusajsw has lecter classical anthority, but only in its literal sense (vii, 39, 2: Halt. vii. 130: Xen. etc.).

 aypusitional with xpiocuon, 'at the samm time", like idem; as
 $\xi v \mu \beta a i v o v$ the regular participial construction with rijдdare.
 indeed ured in the semse of turning out well, as in iii. 3, 3,
 'expedient for you'.
 In ch. 30, 13, where definite persons are meant, $\dot{0} 0$ or is followed by or. $\pi$ ûs ov' 'must you not make them your enemies?'
 times forms may come cither from molcuoistac or mo\cuciodat, for instance $\pi о \lambda є \mu о \tilde{v} \tau \tau a t$, iv. 20, 3.
2. tábe i.e. our case, what is doing here. Note ther emphatic position of more: they will ferl that the day will come When they too will hee asamiled: vi. 78,4 , ria " ib iows nai tois

 8，3：iv．14，2，etc．F＇or $\tau \mathfrak{d} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \eta^{\eta}$ see note on ch，87，ᄅ． $\mu є \gamma a \lambda$ v́vєтє＂strengthen＇，in numbersetc．：in vi． 28,2 ，of mar． nifying a crime．$\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ njoovtas－In ch．30，4，we have the arist infinitive following the present of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ：so vi． $30,2$. Many editors however adopt the correction $\mu ट \lambda \backslash \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a s$, which is supported by the scholiast＇s explanation ôcovondiveas．

11．＇Ėáyє大日G＇bringr on yourselves＇as enemies：vi．10， 1，$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ lous $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma a \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．

## CHAPTER XCIX

The Athenians reply that they do not fear the hostility of large powers so much as the insubordinate spirit of islanders．
 i．e．freedom from Athenian rule or the danger of it．The idea is that the mainland cities would be free from the feeling of imminent peril，which might at any time drive the islanders to desperate risks．Stahl suggests t $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\in} \lambda \in \cup \theta \dot{\epsilon} p \hat{\sigma} \nu$ ，comparing vii．
 found elsewhere：the verb occurs i．142， 1 etc．

4． $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda d-$ following ou and the comparative：i．88，1，

 the article seens certainly required；unless indeed dápкious $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{u} \mu a ̂ s$（sc．ö̀tas）can le taken as a predicate．

5．kai rovs $\eta$＂$\delta \eta$＇and those who are already exasperated by the constraint of our empire＇．The subject allies are meant；see i． 76,77 ，where the Athenians point out that the rule of sovereign states must needs be galling in time of war． Classen misunderstands this passage，taking maposuyoutvous to refer to the ávapкто，who were＇already made desperate＇by the ＇inevitable danger＇（ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ à $\nu \mathrm{a} \kappa \mathrm{k}(\omega)$ ）of Athenian cmpire．With this view he proposes to leave out $\tau \epsilon$ after vjotútas and roús before $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0\end{array}$ ．The next chapter however shows conclusively that oi $\delta$ oulev́ovtes $ク$ クัס $\eta$ are meant．

 an active force. Sometimes the accusative is not expressed, Hom. Il. x. 79, іт $\tau \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ रोंраї $\lambda 1 \gamma \rho \hat{\varphi}$ (the only instance in Homer): Plat. Legg. 802 s, , ais èmıvulats.
 $\delta \omega \sigma \epsilon t \nu$ : Aesch. etc. For the construction of. ii. 100, 6, is кivôrvon kafigragav. Classen quotes other instances with is.

## CHAPTER C

The Melians now urge that tame submission would be most dishonourable.
 more': vi. 37, 3 , 方 $\pi$ oú $\gamma \in$ ồ. F'or äpa, stating an inference subjectively, see Liddell and Scott. Note the emphatic force of $\gamma \in$ twice in this sentence.
2. $\mu$ ท̀ maveñoa the infintives express purpose and follow

 the verb oceurs twice in Thucydides, and is not uncommon elsewhere. The preposition gives the idea of reekless venture.



 тoû סou入єvิסal-'before submitting to your yuke': or is $\pi \mu$, used of choice, as in ch. 36,18 ?

## CHAP'LER CL

Not so, reply the Athenians; honour does not require you to contend against hopeless odds.

1. oúk, ทั้ $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ so iii. 66 fin. ó dyш́v-'you are not now contending' etc.; see note on ch. 91,4 . The infinitives are explanatury of the purpose, as in eh. 100. amd tout trou
 incur disgrace, forfeit honour'.

## CHAPTER CII

The Melians suggest that their case is not absolutely hopeless, as the chances of warfare are uncertain.
 also i. $7 x$. kotvotépas -'more impartial'; in which sense кəvós is applied to persons iii. 53, 2: коov $\mathfrak{y}$ (perhaps) iv. $8: 3,4$. - кowai тú $\chi a \iota$ sunt casns, qui utrisque possunt accidere, adeoque incerti, ancipites, inexspectati, si accidunt potentioribus quae accidere posse non putares' ((ioller): Lys. ii. 10, tàs iv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$


 munem martem belli metuenti, where see Holden's note.
 Tàs rúxas-the fortmes, various phases of war, on several
 ßávovta apparently 'receiving' or 'admitting of'. "xovta might almost be substituted; but ex $\chi \omega$ would imply what is inherent and invariable, while \aupáve only suggests what sometimes happens. Classen cite's vi. 86, 3, ötav кalpòv dápeow, 'when they get an opportmity', but this is not a similar usage.
il. خ゙̄кaтá 'than might be expected from", lit. 'correspond-
 to belong to dvé $\lambda \pi$ rotov, as ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 't does to $\dot{\prime} \lambda \pi i s$, but the position is ambiguous.
 3, ix zoû jpwhivol. F'or this use of the participle see note on



## CHAD'TER CLII

The Athenians reply by urging the great danger the Melians incur by risking their all on one vague chance.

1. кเv $\delta$ virw $\pi a \rho a \mu \dot{y} \theta$ tov ov̂ala the sense required is 'an encouragement to risk', rather than 'a solace (relief) to danger'. Whether this meaning can be fairly derived from the dative construction is doubful. It scarcely corresponds to such phrases as òjors $\theta$ eois Plat. Euthyph. 17 e: óanovia ádet licp.
 can say doóval $\theta$ eois. mapamitoon is commonly fund with the genitive, and Krüger here reads кьขốvou.

2 aimò meprovoias-'with plenty to fall back wn', not risking their all. For ámó see ch. s9, 3. xpwnévous aúrŷ -lit. 'having recourse to her', or 'associating with her', hope being

3. $\beta \lambda \alpha^{\psi} \psi \eta \ldots k \theta \in i \lambda \epsilon v$ the metaphor of orijval in the last chapter is carried on; hope may 'hinder" such men, and check them in their course, but does not 'lay them prostrate. кa日eiरev is the gnomic aorist, which deseribes what generally happens because it has happened before; (iondwin \$ 15i...

The following is from I) Simdys note on Dem. $I$. 1 . 471. § 49, where $\beta$ 人ántovov is immediately followed hy áçalas. $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \omega$ (though not from the same root as $\lambda a \mu \beta a v \omega)$ has in old Greek the special meaning of impeding, checking, arresting (11. vi. 39) vii. 271 etc.). Hence it is combined with
 àv ioxúwy фureiv: and Aj. 4\%j. Even in prose we have what may be fairly regarded as a reminiscence, possibly a half unconseions reminiscence, of the older use of the word: as in the present passage of Thucydides; and in vii. (is, 3, кıvoínov
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon \dot{u} \tau u \chi \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \omega ै \sigma t \nu$.
il. rois $\delta^{\prime} \ldots$ dvappitroūt but as for those who stalir their all on the cast', lit, throw the die, run the risk, so as to
 limit will be fixed, extending tor and includinge all our land. With àappltroĩo is to be umderstuod кivòrvon: is. 8.t, 2: iv. 95,1 . Classen reads $\dot{\alpha}{ }^{2}$ apportoofoa agreeing with idmis, but the alteration does not seem to improve the grammar or the sense. arapporteiv is used of the person who incurs the risk, not of hope which causes it.
4. Sámavos-s.s. ìnis iotur : according to Liul, and Sicott, a rave form of the adjective, which is usually jamarnfos. ä $\mu a$ $\tau \epsilon$-she is found out when they are ruined' (lit. tripped up) and brought to the ground), not before. äpa goes with the participle, which is in the grenitive absolute, se. aitöv.
i. kai... oúk $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota$ most ceditors take $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ transitively, 'she leaves no room for one to gnard against her afterwards when she is found out', i.e. her delusive character is not found out till recovery is hopless. In suphort of this view may be
 indénotacas èntiô', 'nor have you left ground for hope'. So трпo' $\chi \omega$ is occasionally found in the sense 'to have besides', as in Plat. Rep. 521 D.

Poppo however takes i $\lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ here in its usual sense of failing, and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ öt $\omega$ to mean 'whilst', as $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ öтou is used of dime by Xenophon. This seems the simpler view; and the sense is excellent; that men continue to hope till their condition is desperate. On the other hand the future фu入ásєтаи seems more appropriate to a dependent relative construction.
fi. ö ch. $107,3: 109,3$. int porn̂̂s $\mu$ iâs Enr. Hip. 1164,
 кенеive. The metaphorical use of $\rho \frac{\pi}{\eta},{ }^{\prime}$ a turn of the scale", is frequent both in prose and verse.
7. $\mu \dot{\eta} \beta o v i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi a \theta \epsilon i v-d o$ not let this he your case ': Plat. P'utcdr. 2:Sce, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ßoúdov: so moli, molite. The whole phrase is equivalent to 'do not you act thus unadvisedly': see note on $\pi a \theta \epsilon i v$, iv. 17,4 .
8. ois mapóv-the usual form, not oi. $\pi$ apòv (aritoîs): so in Latin, cui cum nuntiatum esset, liomam advolavit. For avӨратtíms see note on ch. 89, 9. кa日i $\sigma$ тavtal-'betake themselves' =катафєúyovaı (schol.).
12. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda \pi \pi \delta \delta \omega \nu$-'combined with hopes', i.e. by encouraging vague hopes. $\lambda$ vuaivetal - 'ruin men,' 'are their bane.'

## CHAPTER CIV

The Melians urge that their hopes are not absolutely m. reasonable. Heaven will defend their righteous cans: and Sparta will aid them as allies and kinsmen.


 surgests that the dative is governed by $\pi$ torecousp, the following infinitive being explanatory: otherwise we should naturally take it with èa $\sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \theta a t$, as in ch. 72, 10, which would make the general construction of the sentence more rugular.
$\therefore$. öroo-only here of persons; 'richteous", observant of тò ö $\sigma \iota \frac{}{}$ (iii. 81, 2). iocá $\mu \in \mathrm{\theta a}$ - 'we take our stand'; i. .i3, 2,
 denotes opposition. Poppo peints out that it generally has a different meaning, as in iv. 5 f, 4, $\pi$ fòs тìv éceivev $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ ধ̈́табаи.
 though less directly, and with an ethical force. In illustration of the double dative, Kriger cites Fur. Mel. 1219, ti gou
 the dead?
9. aioxúng =oti to airxpis, ch. 10.i, 11: becalue they were bound in honour, as we should say.

## CHAPTER CY

The Athenians reply that they are doing mothing to forfeit the favour of heaven; and the Ntelians camot trust for help the Spartan sense of honour.

1. mpós tò $\theta$ eiov- Krüger suggests roí $\theta$ ciou and Meineke $\pi$ rpi tò Ociny. Classen however seems to give the right explanation. The Athenians are speaking not only of the favour they would receive from heaven, but of the general terms on which they stood with the divinity. Their relations with the gods were as good as any one't, for there was nothing untsual in their conduct. Relationshipg generally is express d
 'A 1 quaiors miotels, cte. -having secured pledges from the Athe-
 रevє́ $\sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{y} \pi$ тó入єє.
2. oúv ŋj $\mu$ cis -any more than you; an answer to ör

3. àvpetteias -this adjective belong's to hoth nouns, 'there is nothing in our claims or in our conduct beyond what men hold in regard to the divinity and will as rerards

 in Thucydides, is explained by $\dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta a$ ósin in the next sentence, and therefore denotes current belief and accepted opinion. Classen however follows the scholiast in understaming it of the observances of religion, $\tau \dot{a} \nu(\nu 0 \mu н \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a, \tau \dot{a}$ ciecopéva. ßoú入ךбьs -'will and purpose', tenting to police and action, $\dot{\eta}$ eis $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{u} \pi$ ours $\pi \rho o a i p e \sigma \iota s$, as the scholiast explains.
 as an ohvious fact:. Sud mavtós always, contimually; i. 3s,

 is an echo of the Athenian speech at Sparta in 432 ; sce i. 76. Note the prominence of the participial clauses in this sentence.
4. єiסótधs kal $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s a ̈ v \ldots a ̈ v$ äv is repeated 'in a long sentence, to make the conditional force felt through the whole, especially when the connexion is broken by intermediate clanses. It may also be done in order to emphasize particular words with which it is joined, and to make them prominent, as being affectel by the contingency' (Goodwin, § 223).
5. $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v-\mathrm{i} . e$. the same that we have: so with genitive, as
 'than that of others'.
il. aútó -'it' or 'this'; cf. ch. 27, \&. Classen follows Stahl in reading raủró.
6. èna $\sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma$ al-this is a singular instance of the future infinitive with a verb of fearing. Here the construction
with $\mu$ in minht be expected; but probably the infinitive has to some extent the nature of an object . ' we regard without fear the prospect of coming off the worse'. Such instances as i. 136, 1, jestéval ixeer airùr, 'to be afraid of keeping him', is.
 There the infinitive denotes the direct object of the verb; and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ i $\sigma t \lambda \theta \omega \sigma(\mathrm{p}$, for instance, could not be substituict. Sire (ivodwin, \& 372.sq. As a general principle the future infinitive is a favourite Thueydidean usage when the intention is 'to make the reference to the future especially prominent ; (ioodwin, § 113.
 rò ä中por: the subjective genitive $\dot{j} \mu \hat{\nu} v$ also helongs to looth.
7. $\mathfrak{\eta}$... $\pi$ totevicte-the conjecture $\eta$ is tempting, but the
 vi. 78, 2: cf. ä ch. 5, 18. $\mu$ aкapíavtes 'we felivitate yoll on your guilelessuess hut do not envy your folly.

 péporral, and placed tirst to emphasize the antithesis.






 answers the end of the last chapter. ŋi rotaúty $\delta$ dávota--such principles as these.

## CHAP'TER CV1

But, say the Melians, their own interest will matie the Spartans help us.

1. кat' av́тò тouto 'in acenrdance with this very thing', or 'on this very point', that is the Jacedaemonians' reratrd to

 to this point': Kriiger suggests $\delta \dot{\eta}$. kal $\mu$ ádьт $\tau \alpha$-ch. 73,21 .
 $\mu \mathrm{ch}$, ats a similar construction occurs in 104, $4: 111,6: 112,8$. It may however be taken with the following' words, as dative

 would be required; see note on ch. 71, 3. Why not connect airĉ$\nu$ with $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ oinous övtas? It would give a forcible sense.
2. кaraor $\hat{v}$ at - to make themselves, put themselves in a certain position. It implies something more permanent that $\gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$.

## CHAP'IER CVII

The interests of the Spartans will not lead them into danger.

1. oűkouv oileg $\theta$ E ‘yout do not then think', i.e. you seem to forget; so most editors; Classen makes the sentence interrogrative, 'do you not think?' i.e. of course you do. $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ кьเSúvov Spấधai simply 'involve danger (in doing)'. Poppo takes ole $\epsilon \theta \epsilon$ as $=0$ oif $\sigma \theta \epsilon \delta \in i v$, a force which some give to $\nu 0 \mu i \xi \in u$ iv. $86,4:$ iv. 117, 1. In those passages however no such sense is required, and here it is eutirely out of place. For oppâta a the reading of nearly all mss. is jpẫal. Jowett would retain this, supplying $\tau \iota v a \dot{a}$ as subject.

## CHAP'TER CVIII

Their interests, say the Melians, will surely impel them to stand by their own colony.

1. kai-emphasizes кivoivous. The following $\tau e$ is suspicious. Classen, comparing ch. $\mathrm{N} 2,16$. considers that it connects the two infinitive clanses.
2. 'iरxetpioardat - 'undertake'; the middle is mut found elsewhere before Dio Cassius.
3. Beßarotépous-'will convider such risks less hazardens than (if undertaden) in the case of others'. Befawos seems a strange word to apply to xivorwos: cf. however iii. 34, 6, rove
 - a safe speculation'. ess is used by 'Thneyilides in the mo-t general way to denote relation of any kind. Krïgr and Poppo sug'rest leaving out is, refurring $\beta \epsilon_{i} \beta a b \tau$ ípors to imâs, but the parallel passage supports the text.
4. $\tau \alpha$ 'epya-the operations which war would involice $\tau \eta$ s $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ т $\hat{\omega} \xi \nu \gamma \gamma \in v \in \hat{-}-$ lit. •from kinship of views'; being of the same stock they had the same interests and policy. $\gamma$ Hixn is
 iotafay, ete. The expression is awkward, and it is tempting to talie rê ervicuê separately; in which case we must read
 Latin, $\pi เ \sigma \tau \partial{ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \xi$ is unkuown in (ircek.

## CHAPTER CLX

Not so, when they have no prospect of suppiont in the event of war.

1. tó éxupóv. 'stecurity'; melicate with the article: ii. 4!,

 (Kriiger's Grammur, §̊ ธั, 4, 14).

 the genitive $=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \alpha$.







 Krïger collects the instances of this construction, und observes that the future infinitive is not found with cixus in Thucedilles.

## CHAPTER CX

But they may use the help of naval allies, and may themselves attack the Athenian dependencies.

1. đ̈入入ovs-e.g. the Corinthians, their chief naval allics,
 $78 ., \pi o l \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ "ENAas. The '('retan Sea' (iv. si fin.) is the sen E. and S.E. of Laconia. $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ of i. थ, 1, vïre кatà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ olite jlà $\theta \alpha \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ s.
2. тйv кратои́vт $\omega v \ldots \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha-c f$. the use of the article ch. 91, 4. The lords of the sea will find it harder to catch their foes than the foes to effect their escape. For the form dimo-
 $\beta$ oduratos $\dot{\eta}$ Loкpis. Krüger says that these are the only instances he knows in Attic prose; ciornetautóтatov, ch. 71, 9, being neuter.
3. örous $\mu \mathfrak{\eta}$-indefinite, such as came under this description, ch. 98,6 ; so in the next line. ' $\pi \pi \hat{r}_{1} \lambda \theta \in v$ - 'visited', nut

 $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$.
4. $\xi \nu \mu \mu a x$ ioos $\tau \epsilon$ кal $\gamma \bar{\eta}$-these words are found in all the manuscripts, and it is therefore improbable that they are merely interpolated. They seem rather added by the historian ats a further explanation of oixetotépas. छ'vuraxis occurs in ch. 3fi, 1.

## CHAP'IER (XI

The Athenians reply that such threats will not deter them. They urge or the Melians the immediate necessi:y of taking a practical view of their interests. They have no roal gromuls to hope for escape; and their only safe counse is to accept the reasonable terms which Athens offers.

1. toútov $\mu \dot{e} v$ 'you too may leam hy experience something of this', i.e. of the hopelesiness of deterring us by threats of counter-attacks or invasion. The construction resembles ii.

 jiyperas $=$ it is a thing that one las experimeed; the perfect implying that knowledre comes when the experience has been undergone. тovirav refirs cither to the sugrested theats of the Melians or to the Athenian boast, ütı ovio' к.т. \. kal ن́piv as well as to others before you.

Stahl and Classen read $\gamma \in \mathfrak{v o r t o} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu i v$, каi $\dot{v} \mu i v$, 'if such a thing (as invasion) occurred it would be one of which we have exprerience, and ymu too may mot be unaware ete.'
2. ov́ $\delta^{\prime}$ ảmò $\mu$ tâs -emphatic; it is the only instance of oiopeis or $\mu \eta$ ôeis separated in Thucydides. In i. 10:5, and iii. 26, we find sieges continued by the Athenimas in spite of counter-demonstrations.
 regret': the word at any rate always implies serions consideration. фウ́бavtes - 'after you professed ’: ef. фávioutes ch. 42, 21.
6. äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ or see ch. s!), 9. voptretav ('lassen reads this instead of popiocuev as the proper Thucydidean form ; so iii. 49, 2, $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$ for $\phi \theta$ á $\sigma a \iota \epsilon \nu$.
 deferred' (Jowett). medderat, lit. 'are a future matter', the passive as it were of $\mu$ eोtere faita comate. The passive of $\mu \lambda \lambda \omega$ necurs twice besides; Iem. Phil. i. 50, § 37, is of $\mu$ taîta $\mu$ ìไttal, 'are going to be done': Xen. Anal, iii. 1, 47,


8. Bpax́áa...тєpryí $\boldsymbol{v \in \sigma \theta a r ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ i s ~ c l u s e l y ~ c o n n e c t e d ~ w i t h ~}$ Bpaxéa, 'shght in compmison with': ii. 3.5, 2, evoecoripws mpòs $\dot{a}$ poiderat. For the following infinitive ef. i. $50, \bar{i}$, wijes ixijac
 tory infinitives are particularly joined with adjectives which imply ability or the opposite (Goodwin, s 758). They have


9．$\pi ⿰ 丿 \lambda 二 \lambda$ ńv $T \epsilon$＇and so＇，summing up the argument． $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in v o l-$－＇after bidding us．withdraw＇，in order to re－ consider the matter among yourselves：i．79，1，цєтабт $\quad \sigma a \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 may＇（Jowett）．Thee word affects the whole clanse，but its position gives especial emphasis to the participle，deprecating an immediate decision．ひ̈rcs，＂̈ua and the like are similarly used．

12．oú $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho \delta \eta^{\prime}$ introducing the final exhortation；cf．i． 122
 rex wopinate：in both sentences the stress falls on the nom， which is the last word but one．aioxpois＂d：ngers which involve shame＇，i．e．which threaten mational honour，and which it would be shameful to decline．This is the accopted explanation，but it is not quite satisfactory，as，though the various phases of＇shame＇and＇shameful＇naturally jollow the emphatic aioxivnv，they have no particular force before it． $\dot{i} \sigma \chi$ árous，äкposs，$i \sigma \chi$ lpois are surgested emendations．

1．4．mod入ois yáp－－‘for many have found＇etc．；the Thu－ cydilean initial diative．In construction rod入ois and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \eta$－ Heiot are usually taken as depentent on émeo $\begin{gathered}\text { ácaro，and }\end{gathered}$ єко́vtas $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ as the direct object of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} a \tau o$ ．But imı $\quad$ távastar more naturally means＇drawing on a person to＇a course of action，than＇bringing a thing on a person＇．It may be then that the grammatical object of the verb is to be found in roddois，the construction being changed，and the literal meaning being＇in the case of many．．．it drew them on to incur＇．The middle ite $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { a } \\ \text { ato } \\ \text { is decidedly }\end{gathered}$ in favour of this view；and it is adopted by lonaldson，who says－this use of the dative may be extended to cases where the construction would have admitted of the acousative＇．He translates＇in the case of many still foreseeing the tendency of their actions，that which is called dishonour has been an inducement to involve themselves in irremediable disasters＇．The aorist is＇gnomic＇ and general like каөєi入є，ch．103， 3.

15．ès oía ф＇povtai＇what they are rushing on＇or tending towards ；ф＇$\rho \in \sigma \theta a$ ，like ferri，being the regular worl for morin！！；

 батo see previous note．The sense reyuired，according to the ordinary view of the clause，is＇brourht on them＇and there seems then some ground for the conjecture itionaot tí．

themselves', and here it may be said that the meaning is 'many have found...that it has won for them' etc., i.e. that this is all they gain by it'. In the other pasaares of Thmeydides where the middle is found it has its proper force, iii. 44, 4 : iv. 9, 2. We find the passive, iii. 89, 5: iv. $130,4$.
 vocabulum docet articulus' (Popyo). For gen. ef. iv. 37, 1, ci $\pi \omega s$ ijoanetiev toii $\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu$ où. Note the antithesis between
 lit. 'and incur too a shame which is more shameful as in. volving folly than from fortune', i.e. than if had been due to fortune. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$-like $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa เ \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ etc.
19. ที тúxŋs-the scholiast has rixn, which, as P'oppo says, 'propter mationem variatam valde Thucydidenm est'. There is however no authorits for realing it in the text. The preposition is omitted in the second clause, as is common

 ш́фє৯oûdro. Other instances are given liy Poppo on vii. 47, 4, and in Krïger's Grammar, § 68, 9: c.g. Xen. Itel. ii. 3, 21, oi
 $\mu$ ќт $\omega \nu$. mpooda $\beta$ eiv - 'to bring on themselves' rather than ' 10 get in addition'; so iv. 61, 1, with кเข $\delta$ 'vous.
ih. $\delta$ ípeis ch. 103,6 . Tins $\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta s$ for this emphatic order ef. ch. 18,57 ; ii. 61, 4 , Erयфораі今 тais $\mu$ eviotass: iv. 10,1 ,
 finitive, defining the terms proposed.
23. virotedeîs -sc. ф̧upor, as is expressed in i, 19, 1, and i. 80,3 . This was the condition of the great majority of the Athenian so-called allies. S.e i. $96-99$, and the worts of Euphemus, vi. 85.
 clanse therefore depends on oiк imperis roue te. $\tau \alpha$ Xeipw


 To ipe civat Tör amonparuchov, 'to stickle for the answer coming from me' (Wayte): but $\pi$, mis is inserted in the sane phater, lapp. $3: 38 \mathrm{~A}$, in accordance with the usual construction. Phileh. 14 R , hats $\pi$, is (wronply cited by Liddell and sco.t). Thee form of
the word，which according to Cobet and others shomld lee vek－ is diseussed in Liddell and Seott under фdoúvecoos．
 with due deference．

28．kal $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$－＇etiam semotis nobi＇，non solum praesentibus（Poppo）．The Athenians deprecate a rash and hasty decision，as in line 10：so infr．mod入ákıs．

30．ท้̈ $\mu$ û̂s $\pi$ t́p－Роppo calls this locus desperatus＇； and there is in fact no satisfactory explanation or correction as yet proposed．The general sense is plain．The Melians are exhorted to reflect that they are deliberating concerning the only country they have，and that its fate will le determined by one single decision．

There is very little difference in the manuscript readings． For $\eta ้ v$ one manuscript hats $\eta \nu$ ，and another $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ ．One omits
 first and last words are those which are most open to doubt． Possibly both these worls are interpolations．Assuming that $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ found its way into the text from some error，it would be necessary to supply a verb to govern it，and this would account for the variation in the final word．Omitting $\bar{\eta} y$ and ésta we get a sentence which can be construed，＇reflect that you are deliberating about your country，your one only country， and this in one deliberation，as it may turn out well or ill＇． Further dischssion of the passage may be found in the Appendix．
ih．És $\mu$ iav ßoudry＇in＇or＇at one deliberation＇；an idea of＇eoming to＇or＇luoking to＇being implied．See Liddell and Scott，eis ii．2，for such phrases as is кaupò ímei $\gamma \in \sigma \theta \in$（Hilt．iv． 139），which have some resemblance to the present instance：
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \alpha \sigma 0 a$.
 success or failure＇，i．e．according as you deride well or ill．$\tau \epsilon$ and sai are here disjunctive its noted on eh．15． 6 ：ef．ii． 35 ，

 occurring just before in antithe－is to $\sigma \phi$ aliepth．The ative form is regularly used of persons，as an object（ $\pi \in i \bar{p}, \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，及ui．入ev；a etc．）is implied，natoptoin meaning litemally tu bring
one's derign to a successful issue. Here, taking the reating of the text, the active must go with pordy.. I rather donlot if this can be richt: we should expect the pasive, as in iv. ifi, 4. сi катор оїто $\dot{\eta}$ тєîpa.

## CHAPTER CXII

The Melians finally refuse to submit, or to enncede anything more than a pledge of neutrality.
 - from the conference"; so ch. 113, 2: is. is. 1 , is dúgors nat-

 aủroús: iii. 78, 1 , ete.
 sn nai follows toos and other words of sameness or likeness;
 the time of the conference: lit. 'what they had been ohjecting'.
6. Eitrakóva "̈r supposed time of the establishment of Worian supremacy in P'elopomesus, the ledendary 'return of the Meracleidae'. Melos is said to have been founded some little time later; Grote, P't. i. ch. 18.
8. ék toú $\theta$ eiou-constructed with owsoiong, and placeal immediatel! after tix? to detine its meaning. See ch. 101, 4: $10^{\circ}, 1$. In this sentence there is perhaps the same differ. ence hetween is and $\dot{a} \pi \sigma^{\text {b }}$, denoting respectively motion from within and motion from the surface, which is noted he I onaldson on iv. 126, 3.
 or more probably it gives a definition even the Lacedae. monians : This detining or correcting sense of rai. . 'in fact. that is to say , is noticed on ch. 20, 3: iv. 33. 1. Jowett says. 'the desire to oppoee the single idea amo rôd apepturar to ix roî Beiow has led to a formal distinction between ávepú$\pi \omega v$ and Dakeiaunoriws, from men, and in particular from
 i. 38,3 cte.
 sative and intinitive is to invite another to do somethins. Hore the regular construction is interupted by the inscrtion of a nominative clause, referring to the subject of the sentence, the whole, as Classen says, being equivalent to $\pi \rho \circ \alpha a$.ion $\mu \in \theta \alpha$
 somewhat similar sentences in which the last clanse refers


 $\pi \epsilon \rho t \in ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.



## CHAPTER CXIII

The Athenians accortingly break up the conference with significant threats.

 "well certainly": sunt particulate contra dicendi cum asseveratione, it proficto' (Poppo). S'o Plat. Protug. 310 A, àNX ouv dкоиєтє, 'well then, hear'. àN' oiv (with $\gamma \epsilon$ commonly following) more usually means but, or yet, at any rate ${ }^{\text {, }}$ as in Plat. Protenf. 327 (: Soph. Ant. 81. Poppo cites Xen.
 хрйиara, in illuswation of the present passare, but there the sense seems rather 'well hut they look but a poor lot to plunder our belongings'.

 Sокєîт-ch. 9,21 , note.
6. T仑̂ ßoú $\ell \epsilon \sigma \theta$-dative of the cause: cf. a somewhat


 a somewhat contemptuous force, 'Lacedaemonians and fortune
and hopess：sce mote on iv．11，4．mapa $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu \dot{v} v o t-u s t a l l y$ taken as mildle，＇having＇staked，or ri－ket＇．＇imırpéqavtes mapa－ Bódos，as the scholiast explains．In this semse the word is used ii．44，3，taîoas $\pi$ apaßa入入ómerol：iii．14，1，tù кivôvoy
 as passive，comparing Ar．I＇lut．213，тúpдaıя каi кípoıби $\pi$ apa－ Be $\beta$ \inuevos，＂given over to＂．The sense thus obtained is not bad；still，as the idea of risk and hazard perpetually occurs in these chapters，the ordinary rendering seoms preferable． The perfect participle denotes the general atitude of the Melians，the aorist $\pi$ toteiodures refers to their decision in the present case．Classen would omit wal hefore $\pi$ ratev́aravtes， ＇having risked everything from your trust＇ete．，as he con－ siders that the datives cannot depend on $\pi$ apa $\beta \in \beta$, ．$\eta \mu \dot{v} \nu o r$.

## CHAPTER CXIV

3．oviర̀v v́m


 slight manuseript authority：but the imperfect is preferable， denoting the berimning and progress of hostile operations．
 the different tense of the following verb in the two parabers． Here，the aurist repuetixean gives an＇emi－view＇，and the Athenians are regarded as having completed their lines romed

 Altóvtes．

## CHAPTER CXV

1．＇Appeior－see ch．8．3，12，for a previous attempt．ròv Xpóvov tòv aútóv－＇attencle rariorem collocationem prono－
 Chers．93，14，山ireur imi rins àoias tîs aitigs：Xen．Cyr．iv． 4，10＇（P＇ıppu）．Frïgt reites i．140，1：and i．2，2，ävopemot ol airol．
 in ambush'. Here the word is used like $\lambda o x a \hat{a}$, as in one or two instances cited by Lidhell and Scott from Iio Cassius.
4. $\dot{\text { s }}$ óyסonjкovta the same construction as in ch. 59, 7 :
 now chiefly held by Lacedwmonion Helots, Krïger would omit 'A日quaion, hut it denotes generally those who acted on the Athenian side.
 $\nu$ cútefúr th тoleiv és aitóv etc. каi ẅs, i. 44, 2: iii. 33, 1. The nerative belongs to both the verb and the participle;-- they did not throw up the truce and becrin a war. d́фévés -ch. 78,8 . The truce botween Athens and Sparta was not considered to be actually hroken till 414 , when an Athenian fleet ravaged the coast of Peloponnesus (vi. 105, 1).

 $\pi$ тарабоîval. тара $\sigma \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ i.e. from Lacedammia: ii. 41, 1, $\pi a p ' \dot{\eta} u \hat{\omega} v$. $\lambda_{\eta} \boldsymbol{i}_{i} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{c}$ private depredations of this kind were not considered incompatible with a formal state of peace.
9. Staфopêv 'differences', from ôcaфopa, the manuseript r ading. Bekker anl others alter it into ócapópov, as $\tau \dot{\alpha}$


$1 \because$. Tò кaтì Triv ayopáv-i.e. the market in the Athenian camp, where their supplies were stored; i. 62, 1, at Potidaea,
 $\dot{\eta} \nu$ aúroís $\pi \lambda$ ôuv kai ảropâs $\dot{\eta}$ Màéa.


 hence some read xpinuata in the general meaning of supplies:





## CHAPTER CXVI



 nomit iepad è rois oplocs ats an explamatory floss, such worls

 tended atturk. ímotom oтteviourtes, as itmotoreiv is mot found with an aceusative of the person. Fossibly it maty stand in the semee of 'having formed a suspicion', rtwás being governed by grvedapoy and

8. aujos the best mannseripts have aitos, which is also found in some manuseripts in ch. 8,18 , and ch. $1: 3,18$. Lid. and Scott call it a form erroneonsly introduced into Attic authors.
 rectly by fidov, ami is equivalent to a simgle word: as in iv. 3.

 be recraded as a partitive genitive, 'took a part of the Athenian lines of attack'. Classen takes кaf "'repop separately, governing the genitive liy $\tau t$, but, as lopmosays, "spatari posse non videntur'. He sugerests that кaf should perhapsi be altered into sai. The instances quoted are however sufticient to support the view first given.
11. ís tavta éfiүveto-these words, if manine, must mean, 'as these things happened', i.e. as the Athemians found themsolves assaileal in turn hy the enomy. To give the meaning 'after this happened', which we shomh rather expeet, G,íveto would be needed. Poppo brackets the whole clanse as wesk and awkwardly inserted between áll $\eta s$ and $\dot{\eta}$ : and he is followed by Classen.
 ...kai " $\chi \omega v$, where P'oppo gives several instances. ค.g. iv. 2!. 1, of the in nitive aboulate thus juined with participles in the nominative.
 cide'; the usual force of the aorist.
17. ※̈кnбav-'settled in': ch. 1, 10. The reading of the best manuscripts however is ẹkьoav, which is arlopted by Poppo and Classen: ef. i. ge, 1. Either word malas houd sense, oikisw being 'to suttle', i.e. to perple with settlers; and the aorists are naturally liable to confusion. In the middle voice the forms of oinitw are to be preferred (c.g. vi. 1, 2), as the use of oixciogat middle is doubtful.

## APPENDIX

## （1h．exi， 30 ．


 to omit $\eta$ ๆ゙v and Ëorai（and perhaps also the first $\pi \epsilon \rho$ l，before $\pi a t p i \delta o s)$ ，malies it possible to construe this clause，in ac－ cordance with the obvions sense，and with the seholiast＇s


 especially as regatds the concluding words．One feels that
 and that кaropt wo $\sigma \sigma a v$ should be separately accounted for．

Taking this view，Mr E．S．Thompson，of Christ＇s Colleqe， Cambridge，proposes a restoration of the passage which is decidedly attractive（C＇lassical lieview，Vol．iii．p．7थ）．He

 ＇vegrat，＇and that this（fatherland），standing＇to win or lose on the event of only one debate，it will be in your power to establish in security＂．Mr Mhompson makes tixoúoáv te каi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ refer to $\ddot{\eta} \nu$（ $\pi a \tau p i \delta \alpha$ ）．Wrould not the words go better with队ou入ǹv，＇according as your debate succeeds or fails＇？＇That an
 bable：but I am in doubt about sarop $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \pi a r \rho i \delta \alpha$ ．There is ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ parallel to it in Thucydides，who generally uses кaropotouv in the sense of＂sncceeding＇，$\beta$ onin＇v or the like being implied． marpióa then may be the sulject，not the objeet of каторөшбa．

The following are some of the explanations which have been given of the passage．The list is by no means exhaustive， but is meant to indicate the main lines of solution which authorities have taken．

A．Retaining ฑ̈v and $\notin \sigma \tau a \iota$ ，（1）1＇rofessur Juwett thinks the matmuscript reading probathly gemume．He supplies $\beta$ ownéteota

city, he says, is consideren, first, as that which deliberates; secondly, as the subject of delibmation: wâs mín being written

 к.. .., the latter words referring to matpioa. (2) With the same general view, tuдoî́án tє к.т.入. may be referred to ßondiv.
B. Reading $\hat{\eta} s$ instead of $\eta \geqslant v$, the clanse $=\hat{\eta} s$ пípe $\mu$ âs (oürys)...(ßonderevoal) हैotal. We thus get the meaning and that you will have the onpertunity of deliberating (or, will have to decide) concerning your only country, and that too in one deliberation, whether successful or not'.

This is not altorether unsatisfactory, hit there is a harsh.
 very awhwardly ly itsel:. It would be better to take $\eta$ s $\pi \in \rho(\ldots$ 'atar to mean 'where fate will be deeded', lit. 'about which



 seems much in fnvour of this explanation.
C. Reating iorte instead of द̈नTat, it has heen proposed to
 The rendering is (1) 'whose success you know (in nutoptavavar iore) concerns your one only country, and depends ont one deliberation, according as it succeeds or fails': (2) 'which deliberation you know whether it suceecels or fails (ipp rexoivio re каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ катор ú $^{\sigma} \alpha \sigma$ av iare) relates to your only country and must be determined in one debate'.

These explanations may be varied by different arrangements of the accusative participles. They are in any case open to the objection that we should expect those particinles to be future rather than aorist. Besides this, the domble use required in (1) of $\pi a r p i \delta a$, and in ( 2 ) of Kouliv, involves intularable harshness.

## D. Suggested alterations:

u. for corat read eveobal, the infinitive in the relative clause being dependent on evermione. There is a confusion between in...eveolat and in cotal. ju mmy he referred to Tarpiôa or pondip and the rest of the clame varionsly modified.



## INDEX I

The figures denote the chapters and lines, which are referred to in the notes.
accusative absolute $30,9: 39$, $15: 56,6$ : $60,13: 63$, 4
, with ä $\mathrm{L} 00,29$
., personally constructed 65,12 cognate 9, 17: 34, 15: 42, 15: 10 , 14
, loosely constructed 9, 42
determinant 52, 6 : 59, 16
of object with pas-
". sive participle 37, 2
, of space traversed 10,31: 58, 16, 20
adjective and participle in common agreement 48, 9: 64, 21
Adramyttium 1, 9
Aegina,colonized lyAthenians 74, 11
Agis, king of Sparta 24, 2: 54, 3: invades Argos ch. 5760 : makes an unsatisfactory truce ch. 60: is accused at Sparta ch. 63: invades Mantinea ch. 64 sq .: changes of tactics 65, 11: 71, 1: defeats the Argive confederacy at Mantinea ch. 71-74: de-
stroys the long walls at Argos 83, 9
Alcibiades, first appearance of ch. 43: deceives the Lacedaemonian envoys ch. 45: expedition to Peloponnesus ch. 52 : to Argos ch. 84
allies of Athens in Sicily 4, 20
Amphipolis ch. 6-11: new works at 10, 29: not given up 21, 9 : evacuated by the Spartans 34, 2: not restored to Athens 35, 26
Amyclaeum 18, $64: 23,26$
aorist, force of $28,12: 50,24$ : 69, 11: 91, 10: 97. 3: 100, 5
,, gnomic 103, 3
., with $\epsilon$, force of 38,25
., with imperfect 65, 21: $72,13: 74,6: 82,6$ : 114, 4
infinitive with $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ is 9 , 31
", " verb of refusal 22, 6
apposition, instances of 6,23 :

$$
24,15: 54,15:
$$

$$
61,14: 96,3
$$

partial 10, 53 : 34 , 15
, resolved 3, 10, 26
., with names of places 75, 26
Arcadia, encroached on by
Mantinea 29, 4
archers, pay of at Athens 47 , 44
Argilus $6,12: 18,29$
Argos ch. 27-29: 31, 3: 36,
$20:$ ch. 57 sq : ch. $76-79$ : 81, 10: 84, 2
, claims equality with Sparta 69, 7: long walls designed 82,25 : destroyed 83,9
Aristides, date of tribute 18 , 25
article, denoting the thing in question at the time 24, 14: 91, 5: 101, 1: 110, 2
, explained ly context $5,4,14: 6,1: 13,9$
, not repeated $5,1: 10$, 38
," omitted 10, 63: 47, $74: 50,19: 67,12$ : 113,17
,, superfluous 5, 9 with predicate 109, 1 attraction of gender 2,17

Boeotarchs 37, 20
Boeotian four Councils 38, 9
Brasidas, 6-11
Bricinniae 4, 16
Carnea 75, 9
Carnean month 54.9
Cerdylium 6, 12
chiasmus 16, 5
Clearidas 6, 27
Cleon, adyises the recovery of Amphipolis 2, 1: attacks and takes Torone ch. 2 and 3: proceeds to Amphipolis ch. 6 and 7 : is attacked and routed by Brasidas eh. 10: is slain 10, 48 : harsh estimate of his motives $16, f_{6}$
Cleonae 67, 18
comparative with two terminations 110, 3
construction, confused 7,9
elliptic 9, 2: 76, 14: 95, 1: 115, 8
in accordance with the sense 41, 11: 70, 1
with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon$ complicated 11, 11
Corinthinns 27, 6: ch. 30-32 etc.
Coryphasium 18, 38
Cretan Sea 110, 2
Cynosurian land ch. 41
Cypsela 33, 6
Cythera 18, 38
dative, of cause $13,6: 14,9$ : 22,5: 26, 26: 99, 2: 104, 9 ,. , date 49,1
". initial, 3, 24: 111, 14 with rirvouat 111, 1
,. غं $\lambda a \sigma \sigma \circ \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{\iota}$ 72, 9
., $\epsilon^{2} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega 97,1$
-, ì $\eta \mu \omega \hat{\omega} 63,11$
". . infinitive construction 64, 10: 69, 5
, substantive 5,3亿ovel 10,32
Delos, purified 1,3 : inlaabitauts restored 32,5
demonstrative form of expression, in second part of a relative sentence 2,16 : i), 8

Dionysia 20,2
disfranchisement of Spartans 34, 14
Dorians contrasted with Io. nians 9, 3
Doric dialect, in treaty ch. 77 and 79
dual, feminine form of 23,9 : 29, 17: 84, 8
earthquake 45, 22: $50,2!$
Edones 6, 20
Elis, joins Argive league ch.
31: treaty with Athens ch.
47: rupture with Sparta ch.
49 and 50
clliptic form of reasoning 14 , 27
envoys identified, in construction, with the state 44, 18: 55, 11
Epidaurus, ch. 53-56: attacked by the Athenian confederacy 75, 22: 77, 6, 13 : 80, 17
epithet or predicate? 16, 25 ," position of part of 5, 5
Euphamidas 55, 5
future indic. with ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{c}$ after a secondary tense 26 , 28
partep. in final sense with $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega 6,9: 80$, 20: 84, 21
genitive absolute 4, 1: 39, 2 ", , irregular construction with 31,10 : 33, 5
,, with subject understood 17, 13
adnominal 30, 10 etc. ambiguously placed 2, 8
$={ }^{\circ}$ belonging to' with proper name 71, 2
Doric in a 10,3 double 11, 10
of country precedes name of place 33, 4
of time $1,1: 14,16$ : 59, 3: 74, 2
genitive, partitive $2,16: 15$, 5: 52, 12: 60, 2, 17: 64,9 dependent on propernames 71, 21

Hagnon 11, 9: 19, 2: 24, 1
Harpina 50, 13
Helots, 14, 21: emancipated for good service 34, 5: at Pylos 35, 32 : removed 35, 37: sent back $56,10,14$ : serve under Agis 57, 7: 64, 7 Heraclea 12, 5 : attacked ch. 51
Heracleum 66, 4
Heraea 67, 8
Heraeum 75, 26
Hyacinthia 41, 26
Hysiae 83, 10
imperfect, force of $3,15,30$ : 42, 17
" implying previous arrangement 28, 19
inceptive 39,21
", of intention 62, 11
., referring to time regarded as now past 32, 15 : 38, 25: 42, 21: 50, 13: 59, $9: 81,3:$ 112, 4
without $a v$, in conditional sense 52 , 18
, $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ accommodated to sense of context 35, 15
infinitive, explanatory 15, 3: $63,8: 69,6: 111$, 9
following $\eta^{\circ} 53,10$
," for second person imperative 9,30 future, with verb of fearing 105, 13
infinitive, in clnuse with cis in orat.obliq. 46, 20 in rel. clause in orat. obliq. 45, 11
in provisions, decrees, etc. 28,6 : 63, 1 is
of purpose 2, 13: 2ॅ6, 14: 100, 2: 101, 3
,, representing imperative in oratio obliqua 63, 13
Ischagoras 19, 7: 21, 4
Laches 43, 10: 61, 3
Lemnians and Imbrians 8, !
Leontini, revolution at $4,-1,15$
Lepreum 31, 6, 20
Leuctra in Peloponnesus in i, is
Lichas 50, 16: 76, 13
litotes ( $\mu \mathrm{E}$ (wots) 15, 3
Maenalia $64,9: 67,8: 77,4$
Mantinea, joins Argive league ch. 29 : negotintions at ch. 55 : makes terms with Sparta ch. 81
battle of ch. 66-74
masculine following $\pi$ ódıs 79, 5
Mecyberna 18;-34: 39, 1
Melos, a Spartan colony 84, 12: refused originally to submit to Athens 84, 13: attacked by Athens 84, 16 : conference at ch. 84-113: besieged ch. 114: surrenders ch. 116
Messenians, at Cranii 35, 36: 56,9 : withdrawn from P 'ylos 35, 37
Methydrium 58,8
Myrciniaus 6, 21
negative, affecting both verb and participle 115, 7

Nemea ch. 58-60
neuter, collective 3, 24: 9,32: 64, 14
plural 8, 7: 14, 27 singular in cognate construction 82, 24
Nicias, anxious for peace 16 , 11: his reputation for success 16, 14: promotes peace with Sparta $43,10: 46,5$ : sent as envoy 46, 13: in command against Chalcidians 83,21
Nicostratus 61, 3
obol, Seginetan 47, 44
Odomanti 6, 8
Olympia, victor as date 49, 1
Olympic games ch. 49 and 50
optative of indefinite frequency 56, 18
Orchomenus in Areadia 61, 15
order, ambiguous 29,23
", inverted for antithesis $20,7: 97,5$
Orestheium 61, 8
Orneae 67, 19
Panathemaen 47, 70
Parrhasii ch. 33
participle, construction depends on $1,5,7$
different construc. tions or tenses combined 14, 14: 28, 10: 29, 12: 43, $23: 46$, $2: 60,13: 116,12$
future, to denote purpose 6, 9: 8, 10: 81, 21
in conditional sense 52, 18: 60, 23
instead of verb in concluding clause $6,20: 52$, 13
participle, neuter, in place of noun 9, 14: 102, 4 position of in epithet $34,6: 35$, 24: 43, 12
perfect, force of 9 , 4
with hypothetical force 34,12
Pasitelidas 3, 1
passive, cognate 16, 24: 111, 8
Patrae 52, 15
Pellenians ch. 58-60
Perdiccas 6, 6: 80, 9: 83, 17 : of Argive descent 80, 13
Phaeax 4,1
Pharnaces 1, 9
Phlius 57, 12
Phocaea, in Leontinis 4, 14
Pierium 13, 2
Plataeans, established at Scione 32, 5
Pleistoanax, king of Sparta $16,10,24: 24,1: 33,2:$ 75, 1
pluperfect 1, 2: 80, 1
plural verb with neuter plural $26,15: 75$, 9
noun of multitude 31, 2 : 82,5
Polles 6, 8
predicate, construction with 4 , 16
irregular position of 41,1
verb agrees with 49 , 10
preposition, pregnant use of $24,13: 34,1,10: 42,6$ : 65, 17
Pylos, retained by Athens 35, 19: depredations from 115, 5
Pythian games, time of 1,2
relative clause, order of words in 103,8
Rhamphias 12, 2
Scione, still besieged 2,6:taken 32, 2
Sciritae 67, 2: 68, 10 sq.
Sciritis 33, 9
Sicyon 52, $18: 81,8$
Sicyonians ch. 58-60
Spartan discipline and skill $11,18: 66,10: 70$, 4: 72, 10
military arrangements ch. 68
Stageirus 6, 3: 18, 29
strategi, at Argos 59, 24
subjunctive, deliberative 65, 24
with $\epsilon i 77,11$
superlative emphatically placed 60, 17: 111, 21

Tegea 32, 10:57, 10: 62, 5:
64, 2: 75, 4
Thucydides, his age 26, 27: exile ch. 26 fin.
his reckoning by summers and winters ch. 20
Treaty, between Athens and Sparta ch. 18
between Athens and Sparta alone ch. 23 between Athens and the Argive league ch. 47
, between Argos and Sparta ch. 77 and 79
tribute 'in the time of Aristides' 18,25
wing, right, the post of honour 67, 9

Xenares, an ephor 36, $9: 51$, 9

## INDEX II

The figures denote the chapters and lines，which are refiered to in the notes．

àopá，Athenian at Melos 115， 12
ä $\gamma \omega$ ，＇to reckon＇ $5!, 18$
à $\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 85， 7
à $\gamma \omega \mathfrak{v}$, ＇course＇ 50,17
à úvicıs $^{50}, 19$
aंठı $\hat{\omega}$ ，use of tenses 49，21： 89， 3
äסo入os 47,4
aं $\in l$ ，position of 41,7
＇Aəךขâ 10，8：23， 27

$\dot{\alpha} \theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega}$ with accusative 91,2
＂A $\theta \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，gender of 35,1
al кa 77， 7
aiбӨávoual 4，27：26，2f
with aorist parti． ciple 26， 26
with geu．of parti－ ciple 83， 2
аІтөоرаи（？） 26,32
aloxpol кivouvoc 111，12．
aiбxúvך 104， 9
aiбхи́vомає 9，зі
airt $\operatorname{con}_{\mu}$ at 30,6
дкрр $\beta$ ク̀s $20,10: 26,27: 90$, д）

a $\lambda \lambda d$ brings in a parenthetical
clause 35，19：59，21：72， 3
，，with ou and a compara－ tive 99， 4
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’$ ท้ 60，6：80， 8
à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oiv 113， 2

à入入о，тí ${ }^{2} ; 98,9$
ä入入os，＇besides＇25，8：35， 17 ：
60，10：75， 12
ä $\mu a$ ，construction with 9,13 ：
13，3：17， 12
ӓ $\mu$ ктлоь 57,14
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\partial} \theta_{1} 77,18$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о т \in \rho \omega \theta \in \nu 16,4$
ă $\mu \phi \omega$ ，with plural 79,20
$\dot{a} \nu$ ，repeated $9,9,18: 105,10$
，with conditional clause implied 22， 14
．，with future infinitive 82 ， 24
＂，＂，optative 94,3
＂．，participle 15， 11
，＂with participle 105,1 19
with pluperfect 46,20
＂，with ov or $\mu \eta$ in orutio obliqua 9，9
àvaráaios 8,11
avá $\gamma \times \eta$ ，with active force 89 ， 10
àvóá $\sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ 4， 7
àvaठ̂ $\hat{\omega} 50,20$


àva $\lambda \mu \beta \dot{d} \nu \omega$ 7， $10: 64,23$

àval eov̂mat 18，61：23，21：46，
31：17，66：80， 23
aंVappırт $\hat{\omega}$ 103， 3
avaфt $\omega$ ，＇recall＇ 16,30
à $\delta$ para0la 101， 2



à $\nu \in \pi โ \lambda \eta \pi \tau \cos 17$ ， 5
àvєாเбт $\eta \mu \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta 7,6$
ăvยย $28,8: 60,28: 61,11$
àv $\partial \rho \dot{́} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ os $89,9: 105,3$
àv $0 \rho \omega \pi$ єíws 103， 9
àin $\mu$ ，＇renounce＇31， 17
，＂with genitive 32,15 ：

$$
46,16,25
$$

ảvíттŋut бтратóv 58， 12
$\dot{\alpha} \nu 0 i \gamma \omega$ ，Thucydidean form 10， 27
 23

$\dot{\alpha} \xi$ เov̀นaı 16， 14
$\dot{\alpha} \stackrel{\zeta}{\iota}$ เै 26,9
，，оч์к 89,4

алаіры 83， 23
aं $\pi \alpha \rho i \theta \mu \eta \sigma$ เs 20， 8
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon і$ îtov，＇renounce＇ $32,25,32$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i p \eta \nu \tau o$ ，＇renounced＇ 48,3
д̇тєьро́калоя 105,16
$\dot{\alpha} \pi เ \alpha \dot{ } \lambda \lambda \eta \nu 77,25$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma}$ ，denoting cause 17,6
＇personal orị－ $\operatorname{gin}^{\prime} 17,10$
point of view 89，9：113， 3 starting point 8，13：26，21： 103， 2
ảmó in composition 53， 3
ámó ）（ е́к 112， 8
àmò тoû toou 104， 3
àтоуіүขомаı 74， 14
גंтобєí̧al 27， 16
¿்тоôıбра́бк 65,29
аттобіб $\omega \mu$ ，＇рау＇18， 28
а̇локри́ттн，＇disappear＇ 65,25
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ 8， $18: 35,29: 59$ ， 22
$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda$ кіт $\omega \dot{\varepsilon} \times 4,1 ;$
$\dot{\text { á } \pi о \lambda є \xi ́ a ́ \mu \in \nu \text { © } S, 14}$

äпобтр́́фө 75， 6
aं $\pi \circ \sigma \chi \omega \dot{\nu}$ with $\mu \dot{\eta} 3,17$
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \phi є \rho \omega$, ＇рау＇31， 12
ӓттонац толє́ноv 61， 12
え̇ $\pi \omega \theta 0 \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota 22,6: 45,6$
a．pa 100， 1


deprûval 47， 61
а́pxai，ai $28,4: 47,59: 84,23$
а̀ $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ айтокра́тореs 27,17
д́ $р$ о $\mu \alpha$ ，，passive 66， 13
a $\rho \chi \omega$ ，of date 19,1
dंбтиүєitan 69， 10
$\dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \tau \hat{\omega} 7,15$
－aro＝－עто 6， 27
aủ入 $\eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ínó 70， 5
aủtó and aủтá，＇it＇ 27,4 ： 57 ， $7: 86,4: 105,11$
aủroîs 3,24 ： 50,24 ：57，2： 67，12：69， 15
av̇тós，＇by one＇s self＇ 60,4
．，in oblique case referring to primary subject 32 ， 26
，，of exact date 82,7
，，трітоs 4， 1
aúrồ，ai＇T $\hat{\nu}$ ，etc．，position of $10,48: 43,14: 49,8: 65$ ，
24：71，3：72，13：106， 2
av̉тоßоєi 3,11
aúródeка 20， 3
aủt $\delta \theta \in \nu 6,15: 83,5$
aútóто入เs 79,7

д＇ф＇є́катє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ 82， 18
dфi $\eta \mu$ ，＇renounce＇ $78,8: 115$ ， 7
$\beta$ ह́ßauos кivóvvos 108， 3 of persons 43,16
$\beta$ iç with genitive 21， 11
Biq aipeiv，7， 17
Bıá̧oдat，passive 3， 4
Bialos，of pursuit 73， 23
$\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega 103,3$

ßота́цьа 53， 5
$\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，nolite 103， 7
ßоилє́и́оає 71， 2
ßоu入єv́c 63， 9 ：87， 2
force of aorist 71，2：
116,15
$\beta$ ou入 $\eta$ ，at A thens，ambassador： brought before 45， 1
及oú入ŋテเs 105， 4
ßoú入opaц，＇mean＇65， 10

Врабіठєєоє 67，5：71， 19
रáp introduces promisedstate－
ment 27，1：68，10：72， 11
रє $\bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ то 14,18
үiquetat，becomes due 49， 27 contingit 93,2
үі́яขоцає $\mu \in \tau$ á 38， 20
үу＇́и 38，6：75，14：108， 5
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ е́ $\chi \omega$ т $\pi \rho$ ós $44,8: 48,12$ ：
73， 18
$\gamma \nu u ̛ \mu \eta s$ т $\hat{s} s$ aủ $\hat{\eta} s$ єival 46 ， 30
үра́циа 29， 18
रpáф $\omega$ ，of the historian 26,1
रvuvá，тá 10，20：71， 6
$\gamma^{\text {í } \mu \nu \omega \sigma} \omega$ เs 71， 12
סámavos 103， 4
¿єठ்七́s 8,5

סéoual，with ace．of person 36， 23
סе $\delta \mu \in \nu 0 s, \dot{\delta} 38,5$
$\delta \in \dot{L} \nu, \tau$ т́ 66,14
$\delta \epsilon \chi \eta$ й $\epsilon$ роs $26,16: 32,19,29$
$\delta \epsilon \chi$ о $\alpha \alpha$, to receive insurgents 31， 22
on＇，with real motive 85,3
superlative 28,14 ：60， 16：74，2： 113,8
ònutovproi 47，62
on $\mu \mathrm{os}$ ，the democracy 4，5，20：

$$
76,6
$$

$=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \mathrm{l} \alpha 45,4$
$\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta s$, accusative form 80， 19
б $п \mu б \sigma \iota \nu 18,41: 50,19$
òtá，in sense of ėveka 53,12
òเà $\mu$ tَov 26， 8
$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ b $\mathrm{K}^{2}$（you 66， 5

jıà mavrós 69， 8 ：105， 6

i $\in$ рá 116， 3
бьаүүүроцаи 16， 18


，＂with part．genitive 2， 16
бิเ๙кเขผิ 25， 7
бсакріроцає 79， 18

113， 2

бıатра́ббона؛ 89， 8


סьатрıßウ́ 38，30：82， 20
סıафорá ）（ סı́ффорa 115， 9
óo̊áбкалоs үírvoual 30,3


$\Delta t \hat{\eta} s 82,1$
oikalov，as subst．18， 20
бıкаішра 97， 1
סıкalwots 17， 13

j／k $\eta$ ，＇penalty＇ 45,5
$\Delta$ เктi $\delta<\hat{\eta} s$ 35， 2
бокท⿱宀八工力 16， 33
סoк幺̂，irregular constr．with 53， 6
personally constructed 113， 4
＇to be accounted（guilty＇ etc．）＇ $16,33: 4,16$ ： $16,33: 72,5$
sós $a=$＇ileas＇ 9,23
Sou入eia，$\dot{\eta} 23,18$
б $\rho \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu \quad \nu$, ró 102， 2
súvauts，＇value＇ 20,14
סuvaтá，тá 89，7， 10
ouvatol，of 4， 7
ovoiv，with plural 84,8

$\delta \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \nu, \mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{16}, 33$
ča $\alpha, \pi \rho o ́ s .17,9: 56,19$
єукаталац阝а́⿱㇒日 3， 8

є＇үкєєцац 43， 44
＇$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，＇decided＇ 82,19
ѐ $\gamma$ єє $\rho$ ǐоиає 108， 2
єं $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma a \nu 14,11$
＇$\delta \epsilon \iota$ ，＇as arranged＇ $14,11: 15$ ，
12：42，19：60， 8
«̈סра 7， 4
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \omega$ ，of soldier－like alacrity 9， 36
єitı 3，22：35，26：37，13： 46， 21
－elav ）（alev，aorist form 111，©
cikos，with aorist infinitive
109， 8
єiкbтa，тá 90，iз
єโual，＇to be possible＇ 40,12
єita，forms of 85,11
eita，in apodosis without $\delta \epsilon$ 6i5， 21
єїтє каі．．．．єїте каі 65， 10
$\epsilon \kappa$ ， $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \text { ，＇after＇} 20,2: 42,9 \\ 9\end{gathered}$
，of neighbourhood，55，2： 63，1：77， 6
，，with $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$ 61， 14
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \in \nu \hat{\eta} s 56,16$
е́кк $\pi$ лєiopos 8！， 11
єं $\kappa \pi$ о入入оû 67， 15

$\epsilon \xi$ qu． ＇s＇$^{\prime} 18,57$
е゙кабто九 ）（ є́ка́тєроь 47， 14
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \iota \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \omega 98,2$
ékeivo，illud 98， 1
éкeivos，use of $38,15: 57,16$ ： 60， 28

є́ккартоиิцая 28， 18
єккл $\quad$ б la，at Sparta 77， 1
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \ell \nu \omega 73,14$

єєктє́ $\mu \pi \omega 52,5$

є́котратєย́ш－оцає 55，15， 21
غं $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma о \hat{\mu} \mu \nless \iota 30,18: 34,13: 43$ ， $16 ;{ }^{\prime} 105,13$
єं $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ 97，1：103， 6
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \nu$ то 66, з
є́цлєєрік 72， 9
$\dot{\epsilon} v$ ，＇at＇or＇near＇ 55,2
，＂of the provisions in a law 49， 6
ìv aitlac ë $\chi \omega 60,12,25,27$
＂＂，with acc．and inf． construction $6 \%$ ． 28
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \bar{\eta} 52,18$


є้̇ тои́т 98,9
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \in \rho \sigma i 3,14: 10$ ， $56:$ with dat． 72,12
iv $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \psi \\ 60\end{array}\right) 18$
évàtıoû́ą 16, j


$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta i \delta \omega \mu \epsilon 62,11$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta v \mu i a 16,22$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta$ ขиоиิцає $32,6: 111,4$
éviaúotos 1，1：15，12
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \xi \mu \nu \omega 11,6$
Évtóvos 70，1
€̇vés，＇short of＇ 90 ， 5
＇̀े $\omega \mu$ огіа $66,16: 68,13$
धं $\xi a \iota \rho \hat{\omega} 43,18$
द́ $\xi a \lambda \lambda$ á $\sigma \sigma \omega ~ 71, ~ 11 ~$
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \chi o \mu a t$, of foreign service 34，2：75， 18
є́ $\ddagger \eta \gamma 0 \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota 66,12$

єॅॅорк $\hat{\omega}$ 47， 61
$\epsilon \xi \omega$ ，＇besides＇26，13：97，：3 ot 14， 19
$\epsilon \overleftarrow{\epsilon} \omega \theta \hat{\omega}-0 \hat{\mu} \mu$ ८ 71，4：72， 18
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega 4$ 47， 15
е̇ла́үоцає 4，8：98， 11

＇̇ $\pi a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós 85,4 ：111， 16
غ́ $\pi \alpha \nu a \gamma к \alpha ́ \zeta \omega ~ 31, ~ 14 ~$


ย゙ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ，without $\delta \in \frac{1}{7}, 1$
＇$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\xi} \alpha \dot{\gamma} \omega 71,19$


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu 47,40$
$\dot{i} \pi i$ ，with gen．of direction 34 ， ！
$\epsilon \pi i \quad \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\top} T \hat{\omega} \nu 67,4$
$i \pi i$ ，with dat．＇to command or menace＇7，20：33， 9：51，ธ
，，，，of conditions 4，12： 31， 9
＇$\pi^{\prime}$＇¿そōô $14,25: 28,11$
є́тікак⿳⺈⿴囗十丌 44， 21
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \eta \mu \operatorname{cov}_{\eta} 47,6$

є $\pi i$ тıцшрі́a 90， 7
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell_{1}$ with acc．of purpose 87,4 visiting 4， 26
$\dot{\epsilon \pi i} \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$ 68， 14
¢ $\pi$ тi $\pi$ ầ 68,16
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi 0 \lambda u$ ，of time 16,28
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ ，in composition 10， 5
iтıүра́фолає 4， 6
є̇тเєікєца 86，2
é $\pi$ téval 10,26
єंткал⿳⺈ 56，5：59，29：83， 17
$\dot{\epsilon \pi เ \kappa \rho a \tau \omega ̂, ~ w i t h ~ i n f . ~} 46,29$
ітлиахіа 48， 9
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \mu \alpha \chi \hat{\omega}$ 27， 16


èmıтарtévat 10， 42
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \sigma \pi a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota 111,16$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \pi t \nu \delta о \mu a \iota 22,10$
є̇льттovjal 32,19
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \tau \rho \notin \phi \omega 10,20$
є่ $\pi เ \sigma \chi$ єì 32，28：46，6：63， 15
єं $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu$＇s ）（ $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu$ bs 17,11

imเтทंסє $\cos 21,9: 76,6: 81,11$ ，＂with two termina． tions 112， 4
غं $\pi$ tгクסєiws $82,3: 85,10$
єтьт $\theta \epsilon \mu a \iota \quad 8,10: 76,11: 82$ ， 6：91， 6

є̇тเтротй 41，5

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \in \operatorname{l} \rho \eta \sigma$ เs 9,5
\％тоноя 5， 5

є́pyov 26，10：67，13：108，4： 109， 3
еॅрүч 9，47：111， 17
＇є $\rho \eta \mu \hat{\omega}$, ＇abandon＇ 4,11
$\dot{\epsilon}$ s，denoting approach 2,12
．，＂，relation generally $75,11: 105,13$
© 9 ，loosely used $7,11: 40,7$
，，with numbers $3,23: 6,26$
with $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega 76,8$
és тoùs Bow 1 oús＝to Boeotia 32， 17

$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，＇close in＇ 71,23

évteval，of joining a truce 30 ， $23: 35,15: 40,10$
ไัสเข＇้̀ ots 25,11
ยัสтเข อ̈тย $10 \pm, 2$
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho о \mu а$ и 115,14
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \notin \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \rho i \ldots \in \mathfrak{c} 38,27$
t＇tal 79， 20
evंधu＇s，with genitive of time 13， 1：76， 1


єทัр $\eta \mu \alpha 46,12$
єن́рібкорац，＇obtain＇32， 29
єи́бкєтабтбтатоу 71， 9

\％＇$\phi 0 \delta 0 \mathrm{c} 35,40$

¿ $\chi \cup \rho \circ \nu 109,1$
غ́ $\chi \cup \rho \overline{\text { es }} 26,22$
${ }^{\prime} \chi \omega$ ，＇involve＇ 9,18
＊$\chi \omega$ ápı $\sigma \tau \alpha$ 28， 16

jeî́os 50， 18
juyóv 68， 14
$\dot{\eta}$ ，after virtual comparative 20， 4


$\bar{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ ，not＇as follows＇68， 1
$\dot{\eta} \delta \eta$ 17， $9: 37,11: 38,6: 59$ ， 3：106， 1
$\dot{\eta} \mu\{\theta \operatorname{\epsilon os} 16,29$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \tau \sigma \epsilon$ ías，$\dot{\epsilon} \xi 20,13$
$\eta ँ \mu \sigma \sigma$ ，construction of 16,33
${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\nu} \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ，adverbial 47， 36
＇Нра́клєเор 64， 26
$\theta \in \alpha$ 7，13：9，11：10， 10
$\theta \in i 0 \nu$ ，тó $70,5: 104,4: 105$ ， 1： 112,8
$\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \mu$ а 7,19
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o l$ 16，28：47， 63
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ 18，5：50， 9
Oориßои̂ $\mu a i$ 65， 31
Opoûs 7，8：29，12：30， 1
өи́оцає 10，8：54， 7
iẫ $\theta$ аı какд̀̀ какผิ 65,8
iévat غ́s，of accepting a truce 30， 33
iepà ко九vá 18， 4
ієронпріа 54， 9
iєро́ข 18，7：49， 3
iкvéouac，only partep．found in prose 40,3

ітлото६о́тая 84， 10
iбоиоьрía 69， 8

ioos，of numbers 57，14
íctapal $\pi$ pós 104， 5

каөаเр $\hat{103}$ 102
каөаро́s 8，8
ка0 $6 \tau \tau \alpha \mu$ ，of political ar－ rangements $8: 2,4$ $\epsilon \pi i 103,41$
－，$\epsilon \pi i=10$
＂，is $25,17: 29,12:$
$44,12: 84,17$
катабтás 4,18
кal＇adheres to the standard of comparison＇ 13,8
，，
，${ }^{2}$ ccordinate，of time 27,4 corrective 20，4：26，20： 74,1
disjunctive 15，6：20， 11
＂，doubtfully or irregularly placed $45,4: 88,3$
kal emphatic 10，50：74， 13
кai äтas 71， 2
кai aủrós $6,11: 8,2$
какі广омат 75， 14
какйs a่кои́ш 28,14
ка入ิิs 36，21：57，5：65， 27
картєро́s，of a position 10,32
кaлá，with gen．of sacrifices 47， 54
with acc．＇by way of＇
＂，＇opposite to＇71， 5，25：73， 10
ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ย̈тєро́у $\tau \ell$ ，as one expres－ sion 116， 8
ка日＇íка́бтоия 69， 18
кат＇д̀írov 9，6：82， 5
катà $\pi$ ójas 64,10
катà $\sigma \phi$ âs aviтoús 112， 2
катаßоầ 45， 21

катадікך 49,10
катаклク̀́ш 83， 16
 9：59，10：65， 2
катє८ $\lambda \eta \mu \mu$ е́̀ $\alpha \iota(\sigma \pi о \nu \delta a l) ~ 21,16$
ката入ıте̂̀ข о̆ขоца 16， 17
ката入र́ш 23，9：81，10：90，3
кататат 72， 28
кататла⿱㇒木火ls 65， 23
кататі $\theta \in \mu a \ell$ ，of hostages $84, \check{y}$

кат＇өхонаи，＇land＇7，24
кате́ $\chi \omega$ 5 5， 14
каторөज̂ 111， 31
катта́סє 77，1：79， 1
катч́кпиає 83， 15
кєінаи $=$ perf．pass．of кататі． $\theta \epsilon \mu a t$ 61， 22
кєєк $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ ，force of perfect 9， 40
кเขסิvขєย்єの日al，pass．91， 7
к $\lambda є є \mu \mu а$ 9， 17
коเข $\mathbf{v}$ ，＇community＇ 37,5
кo九vós，＇impartial＇ 102,2

крátıбта，adjective or adverb 40， 23
киклой $\mu$ и，middle 73，4：pas． sive， 73,6

Kuvoroupia भु 14，27：41， 6 K $\omega \phi$ oेs $\lambda$（ $\mu \eta \eta^{2} \nu 2,8$
$\lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega$ with infinitive 21，2
 9
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega$ ，＇get＇or find＇63， 7 ，Túxas 102，2．
$\lambda \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega=$ inbeo？ 46,8

入ท้̄ 77， 13
$\lambda$ дотєч́онаи 14， 19
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \psi$ is 110， 3
入itoup $\begin{gathered}\text { oi } 82,31\end{gathered}$

入oyádes，oi xildol，at Argos 6＇7， 15：72， 15
Abroc，＇conference＇112， 2
． 6 yos，＇proposition＇76，1．1：
78， 1
入óyous moteív0at 36， 11

入oxiऽomat，passive 115,2
díxob，oi $\pi \in ́ v \tau \epsilon$ at Argos 72， 21
Aúxator 16，32：54， 4

$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu 2,18$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \mu_{\epsilon ́ p o s ~ 32, ~} 11$
$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda$ úvo 98,10
$\mu \in \Theta S p l o s$ 41， 7
$\mu є \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\sigma} \theta a t \pi \epsilon \rho t$ 41， 10
$\mu \dot{\lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau а є ~ 111, ~} 7$
$\mu є \mu о \nu \omega \mu$ е́vos 8，18：58， 10
$\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ answered by $\neq \pi \epsilon t \tau a 7,2$ ： 25， 13
ral 71， 1
$\mu ' \dot{\prime}, \ldots \dot{\delta}$ ，instances of construc． tion 9，1：10， 1
$\mu \epsilon \operatorname{có}^{\text {with }}$ gen．， $25,14: 44,2$ ： 107， 2

нєтабтŋ̆vá 111， 28
$\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota 111,10$
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ 112， 1
$\mu$＇$\chi$ pt 1， 2
$\mu \dot{\eta}$, following $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi$ रouat $2 \overline{5}, 13$
$\mu$ í，redundant 8,13
$\mu \eta \delta$ б́tєроє 98， 6
$\mu \eta \chi a v a l$ 7，24
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ô 6， 9
$\mu \omega$ рía тaûra 40， 21
$\nu$ ย́ нодац 31， 10

$\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o s, \dot{\eta}$ ，Sphacteria 14， 18 ：
1 ǔ， $4: 34,10$
$\nu$ ขк $\hat{\omega}$ ，use of tenses 10，（61：49， 3：50，18：51， 8
$\nu 0 \mu i j \omega$ with direct object 93,1
ขо́цเбเร 105， 3
$\nu$ бноь $\pi$ олєцнко 69,18
$\nu 6 \mu 0 v)(\nu \delta \mu \psi 70$ ，і
šv $v \gamma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ with intinitive 88,1
૬urypaфй 35， 15

૬уүката．\єітш 75，27
૬и́ชксьиає 25，28

$\xi_{v \gamma \chi \in \omega} 39,18$
súrरưas 26,3 3
$\xi$ ૬у $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，iupersonal 40，24
૬uyх $\omega \rho \in i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota$, pass．17， 13
$\xi$ 乡ußatiw 10，38：14，23：24， 22：92，1： 48, \％


छンмßатйpıos 76，5， 20

छvциaхia，＇allied territory＇ 33，12
ミı м $\mu a x i a t$ 27，2：48， 1


$\xi v \mu \mu(\xi \alpha t ~ 9, ~ 30: ~ 65, ~ 13 ~$
छ̀vцфорá，of Sphacteria 75，12
รั́ $\mu \phi$ ороу，т6 98， 3
sìv öndois 11， $2: 50,9$
§uvalpopat with gen．16， 18
Šúve $\delta \rho o s, 86,1$
$\xi$ छve $\lambda \theta 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma a, \mu d \chi \eta 7$ 74，4
కัuve入áv 105．， 20
乡uvé $\rho \chi o \mu a i$ ，of an army 60,17
亏iveorimit 3， 12
$\xi v v \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ，$\dot{\eta}$ ，of the Pelopon－ nesian league 31， 23
Evvitual，＇close＇in battle 59， 26

čúvodos 70，2：71， 4
sivoria，with acc．82， 27

Eıvтiteqat 32， 34
зи

ช，emphatic $103,6: 107,3:$ 111， 19
ö $\tau t=$ òтtoûv 18， 6 ธ̄
ó $\delta$ боэ́коута，oi，at Argos 47， 61

oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i 13,2: 21,14: 46,22,2!)$
oik $\hat{\omega}$ with acc． $1,10: 16,33$ ：
116， 17
oios repeated 7， 6
o $\mathrm{\lambda}$ íyol，oi，at Melos 84， 23 ：8is． 7
ó $\mu$ oíws 11， 15
$\dot{0} \mu \omega s$ 46，4：61，4，16
ò $\nu$ ó $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ка入á 89,1
ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ with explanatory inf． 16 ， 16
ототє poroûv，＇either＇＇41， 15
¿рâv ö $\pi \omega$ s 27， 11
óprn̂ 70， 3
брөıos 58，22
ӧркоу $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota 77,13$

$\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$, perf．and pluperf．1， 11
ös，for öбтts in indirect speech 41， 3
ö́cos 104,5
ర̈oot with $\mu$ ग 10，55：98，6： 110， 6
öбov oủ 59，26：64，ј
oัatıs，irregular construction with 16,19
\％т七，after ace．and inf．clause 65， $29: 69,13$
，，construction with dropped （61， 12
öt ，followed by acc．and inf． clause 46， 19
，，introduces actual words 10， 24
＇noli expectare＇（11， 20
oủ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 九 \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ 43$ ，צ
ơ่ $\mu \dot{\eta} 69,15$
ov with verbal subst．35，7：
50， 19
oน้ $\phi \eta \mu \iota 45,19$
ov่ $\delta$＇́ $7,22: 30,13: 60$, हो
ởठદ．．．．ov̉ó 7,22 （note）
où $\begin{aligned} & \text { è } \mu i ́ a ~ r e s o l v e d ~ 111, ~ \\ & 2\end{aligned}$
oiv＇${ }^{\prime}$＇̈s 55,13 ：115， 7
oviठєтєpos resolved with prep． 48， 3

оั่кє́т८ 4，26：38， 27
oủros，construction of sentence with 60，16：75， 13
redandant $36,10: 91,3$
$\therefore$＇that in question＇ 17 ， 22：24，14：47， 18
ойт $\omega$－s $38,6: 55,10: 76,11$
ov̉ $\chi$ oïт 5 59， 20
oux $\dot{\text { w．s 4．} 4, ~: 2 ~}$
$\pi а \nu \tau a \chi{ }^{6} \theta \in \nu 43,15$
$\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \cos 41,22$
tapá with acc．and eivat 67， 14
тара $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ оs 113,8
$\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega 10,16: 71,21$
$\pi а р а ́ \gamma \gamma є \lambda \sigma เ s ~ 66,17$
тараүіүעоиає 68， 9
$\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \omega$ ，before the assembly 46，38
$\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a 90,8$
жарабíᄒ $\omega \mu$ 18， 23
тара日арбט́vш 4，30：8， 21
тараlעeбts 69， 2
таракалิิ 17， $19:$ อॅธ． 4
таракєขби́vєขбเs 100,3
$\pi а р а \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ 37，17： 52,3
$\pi а р а \mu и ́ \theta$ соу 103， 1
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon і \sigma \theta a t$ pass．16，24
тараррйүขvці 73， 2

$\pi \alpha p \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，in the nssembly 45， 18
$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \omega ́ v$, ＇varying＇ 20,4 ： 26， 21
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \omega$ with object and parti－ ciple 35， 11
$\pi \epsilon t \theta \omega$ with cognate acc． 90,6
тєутұкоขтйр 66， 15
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ，of number $11,18: 74,16$
$\pi є \rho t a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega 17,10$
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \gamma\{\gamma \nu 0 \mu a t$ 60，33：72，11：
86，7：97， 2
$\pi \epsilon \rho t^{\prime} \rho \gamma \omega 11,5$
$\pi є \rho є \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ with acc．73， 6
$\pi \epsilon \rho t^{\prime} \chi \omega 7,16: 71,18: 73,4$
$\pi є \rho \stackrel{i}{ } \chi \omega$ 71，4， 13
тєр七ориิдає 31， 31
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi i \pi \tau \omega 14,17: 111,18$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon і \chi$ เ $\mu \alpha$ 2，14：116， 8
тпион่ 18，15：47， 6
miouvos 14， 22
$\pi \lambda$ t́ov ciò́és 29， 13
$\pi \lambda$ eious in general sense 73,21
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ s $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \omega$ 50， 17
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \epsilon$ ts 14， 6
$\pi \lambda$ ittors，＇majority＇ 30,10
of small numbers 6,17
тoteiv，èvt＇s 2， 15
$\mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta \nu \quad 59,27$
sчицаххал 38， 27
бтоע $\delta$ d́s 76， 9
тоtєî ）（ тоtєîб月at 32， 24
тоเєїन̈at öpкous 41， 26
толє́ $\mu а р \chi о ь ~ 66,13: 71,12$
$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \mathrm{\rho} \nu, \tau \delta$ with gen．11，1．t
$\pi 0 \lambda \notin \mu \omega \nu, \tau$ à $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ 102， 1
$\pi 6$ hes，the acropolis 18， 64
$\pi \quad \pi \bar{\omega}$, in battle 73,10
$\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma$ ，with dat．76， 18
тà ápıтта 9， 40
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \tau \delta \mu \in \nu$ ot $\dot{\eta} \lambda$ Oov 39， 9
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ и́тєрои，on service 75， 2
$\pi \rho l y$ with inf．after neg．10， 14
$\pi \rho \ell \nu$ ท̈ 61， 7
$\pi \rho \delta$ ，of choice 36,18
$\pi \rho о a \pi$ ол $\epsilon \sigma 0$ at 61， 24
$\pi \rho о є к ф$ в $\quad$ бєs 11， 20
троєтадабеі 17,9
$\pi \rho о \theta \cup \mu о \hat{\mu} \mu \iota \iota$ with acc．17，7： 39， 19
$\pi \rho о к а \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu$ а withacc．of person 112， 11
$\pi р о к а т а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v \omega 30,6:$ ： 77,5
$\pi \rho \delta \mu a \nu \tau$ ts 16， 25

$\pi \rho \phi s$ with gen．，＇in favour of＇ 90， $6: 105,22$
acc．of relation gen－
erally 5,10 ： $25,9: 105,1$ in combina－ tion with dative con－ struction 40 ， 5
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \dot{a}$ тapóvтa 14,22
$\pi \rho о \sigma$ а́үомає 82， 23
$\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma i \gamma \nu о \mu \alpha \iota 61,20$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, oi 96， 2
$\pi \rho о \sigma к а \theta \epsilon \varsigma 6 \mu \varepsilon \nu$ оя 61， 18
$\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega$ 111， 19

$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega 71,6$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota 6 \pm, 6$
$\pi$ ро́б $\chi \eta \mu \alpha$ with infinitive 30， 19
$\pi \rho о т \epsilon \rho a)(\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho a i a$ 75，1；
$\pi \rho \dot{\text { úfepot } \lambda \alpha \chi \text { Gutes } 35,9}$
$\pi \rho \dot{\tau \epsilon} \rho \delta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \ldots$ каl 76， 5
$\pi \rho о т\{\theta \in \mu а เ ~ 35,14$
трот $\operatorname{é\pi } \pi \omega$ 16， 31
тройтtos 99，7
$\pi \rho о ф \dot{\alpha} \sigma є$ 53， 2
$\pi \rho \dot{\phi} a \sigma t v$ ，adverbial 80， 21
$\pi \rho \delta \dot{\phi} \sigma \iota \iota$ ，real ）（ pretended ground 31， 13
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \ell \rho \omega 17,13$
трохшреї 83，\％
$\pi \rho \hat{T}$ о七 15,6
трштобта́т $\eta$ s 71， 10


$\dot{\rho} \not \delta^{\delta} / \omega s$ etc．，of carrying on war 36，23， $25: 37,11$


р＇́одая 63， 12
$\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta 14,8$
बๆцаive 71，19：with acc．10， 16
is 20,18
シ̌เкє入เติтaı 4， 21
ご $\kappa \epsilon$ 人 0 t 4， 28
$\sigma \pi \epsilon u ́ \delta \omega$ with acc． 16,18 ．．is 37,27
$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\lambda} \eta$ 18， $62: 23,26: 56,11$
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a)(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau เ a ́ 83,22$
бтратŋүіа 16，12：26， 29
бтратотєঠєن́oual є＇s 84,18
$\sigma \phi \in i ̂ s ~ 15,6: 30,17: 37,9: 38$ ， 22：43， 18
$\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu 71,17,19: 83,14$
бфioı 44， 7
бфâs 49，7：58，25：65， 7

oxo入ク 10， 19
$\sigma \chi$ แ่้ ต่ร 2,5
Tà そ̀ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \iota \alpha$ etc．adverbial？26， 34
Tà és $^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{3 9 , 1 9}$
Tà $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}{ }^{46,6}$
rá $\delta \epsilon 17,26: 23,1: 98,8$
талаル $\pi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，in battle 73，8：74， 14
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，of payment 31， 11
$\tau \epsilon$ ，＇and so＇ 111,9
$\tau \varepsilon \ldots$ ．．．kal connecting main ideas $44,1,18: 45,12$
＂，，disjunctive 15，6：111， 31
，＂，irregularity with 17， 18： $30,7: 32,6$

тєíरos，＇fortress＇4，18：80， 7. 17
tektoves 82， 31
$\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ，oi $\epsilon \nu 60,7$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cos$ ，of victims 47,54
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ，oi è $\chi$ оעтєs $\tau \alpha ́ 44,64$
$\tau \epsilon$ गos，＇at last＇50， 28
＂${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$ 41，24

т $\eta \rho \hat{\omega}$ 82， 6
Tı emphatic 26，27， 32 ：109， 4
tLvés，＇persons concerned＇ 31 ， 25
Tis，$\epsilon l \mu \eta$ 14， 26
，＂position of 82,28
，，with imperative 10,27
тi $\theta \epsilon \mu \alpha<80,4$

тєншріа 112， 10
ro aút6 in apposition 98，Ӟ
，＂＂$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ 31， 30
，＂$\quad$ тоเ 38,7
тд̀ ѐ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon і ̈ \pi о \nu 104,6$
то̀ ยัтєєта，adverbial 115， 16
тó $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \ldots$ ．．．каí 65， 27
тó $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \tau \nu$, irregular con－ struction 58， 1 каi 43,16
roipuy $87, " 1$
тotbod $\epsilon$ with asyndeton 71,1
то́те 6，1：10，9：67，1：75， 10
то́тє đ̨̀（ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ） 16,8
Toû $\mu \eta$＇with intinitive 27,18

трикодтає́тクs 14，94
тро́тоз 7，10：63， 9
$\tau \rho о ́ \pi \varphi$ lб $\chi$ vрота́тє 23，г
rúxat 102，2
ঠ̇та́ $\boldsymbol{\jmath} \omega 10,18$
и̇лакоט́ш 114， 2
íлєрьঠєì 6， 17
imo with quasi－passive 2，9： 50， 17
$\dot{\nu} \pi \delta \sigma \pi$ ovôरेs 66， 10
u̇тоүрवфф 56 6， 12


২inóvoıa 87， 1
ілтоттєย์ 35，6， 17
ن́тore $\lambda$ ท́s 111,23
$\dot{\text { и́ } \pi \text { отi } \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha<~ 90,3}$
iлтотот $\hat{\omega}$ 116， 6
ітофаіроман 10， 12
$\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a\{a, \dot{\eta} 46,1$

," $\chi \rho \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\psi} 3 \cdot 1,16$
фаivopat, personally constructed 9, 21
фavepós, with participle 10, 5
фє́родає 15. 8: 16, 11: 111, ј
фє́р $\omega$, 'pay' 18, 25
$\phi \in u ́ \gamma \omega$, of exile $16,31: 26,28$ :
72, 4
$\dot{\phi} \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ with dative 72, $\bar{j}$
 тєт $\rho$ áds $^{5} 4,12$
$\phi i \lambda \hat{\omega}$, soleo 70, 8

$\phi \delta \beta \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 16,33$
фрá乡 $\omega$, 'order' 66,1 t
фpoveiv тt 7, 12
" тоитто 85, 6
фрбьпии 40, 19: 43, !
фрочрткоу 80, 22
$\chi$ रлє $\pi$ ûs 10,54
Xápadosos 60, 33
$\chi$ єьрои̂даь 96, 4
x $\rho$ ń in reporting deliberation 62, 3: 63, 10
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i j \omega$ 5, 3: 61, 7
$\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi l \delta \iota 103,2$

xpóvios, of two terminations 73,25
xpóvol, 'dates' 35,14
, oi, of half-yearly periods $20,6: 26,20$
Хрóvos, ó $\pi$ лє $i^{i} \omega \nu 15,13$
$\psi$ evôouat with acc. 83, 22

山́s, 'considering' 43,6
,, following $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi t \mathfrak{j} \omega 9,9$
., of approximate number รั5, 18
ís ёкабтоє 4, 9: 57, 15
$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, followed by nominative 23, 10: 37, 9
", Nat 44, 10: 45, 11: 91, 3: 52,2
ш̈वrє, of terms 17, 13: 27, 16 : 61, 25: 94, 1: 116, 14 with ou and infinitive 40 , 11
with $\pi \in i \theta \omega 16,27: 35,36$
$\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \in a)(\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda\{a 38,12$
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[^0]:    1 See ch. 26 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ko入oф $\omega \nu i \omega \nu$

[^2]:    1'Apreíous?

[^3]:    $1 \nu$ д́нои

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ toîs ठो हैtais

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'II $\mu \in$ ล̂s $\mu$ è $\nu$
    $\because \pi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \circ \boldsymbol{\imath} \alpha$
    $3 \delta \xi 50 t \sigma \theta \epsilon$

[^6]:    1 iotate

