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## PREFACE.

The methods of ethnological investigation in the present volume are best collected from the text.

The result is a Germany of very different magnitude from that of the usual commentators.

If this be unsatisfactory, there is still some gain to the cause of scholarship.

The extent to which migrations may be unnecessarily assumed, or reasonably dispensed with, is measured; so that, to draw a comparison from the exact sciences, an ethnological work without great migrations is like a geometry without axioms.

The extent of the difficulties and assumptions of the existing belief as to the magnitude of ancient Germany may also be measured.

The value I put upon the great writers of Germany on the same subject-Zeuss, Grimm, Niebuhr-is not thus measured.

I rarely mention except to differ with them.
As a set-off to this, I may add that, it is almost wholly by means of their own weapons that they are combated.

Whether the present work took its present form, or
that of a translation of Zeuss's learned and indispensable work,* with an elaborate commentary, was a mere question of convenience.

To it I am under the same obligations as the learner of a language is to his grammar, his lexicon, or his text-book; and it is not saying too much to add that nineteen out of twenty of the references and quotations are Zeuss's.

What applies to Zeuss applies, in a less degree, to Grimm and Niebuhr.

Nevertheless, though the materials are the same, the structure is as different as a ship is from a barn, or vice versa, both built from the same forest.

That the present results have taken a completely definite and systematic form is more than I think.

Everything in ethnology is a balance between conflicting difficulties, and I can only hope that I have approached a full and complete exhibition of the ethnology of ancient Germany.

Perbaps, too, the work is rather a commentary upon the geographical part of the Germania, than on the Germania itself-the purely descriptive part relating to the customs of the early Germans being passed over almost sicco pede.

The real difficulties lay in the geography, and the classificational portion of the ethnology; besides which it is there where I worked with the most confidence.

The chief texts are given in full. To have fol-

[^0]lowed them op with the ame amount of commentary as is attached to the text of Tacitus, would have trebled the size of the work. In the case of Jornandes and Paulus Diaconus there has been an additional reason for giving the chief passages at large. The evidently heterogeneous character of their notices and remarks is intended to exhibit, in a practical point of view, their value as authorities.

In one respect I may appear to have understated the case that can be made out by the advocates of what may be called the German theory in its broadeat form. One of the strong arms of their argument is, the etymological deduction of names like Suevi, Lygii, \&c., from supposed German roots. Specimens of these derivations may be found incidentally throughout the work. In the eyes of such readers as they satisfy, I have done less than justice to the views of their devisers. But, if the samples* in question be (as they are believed to be) fair specimens of the whole, I have but little fear that the neglect of them will lay me open to the charge of keeping back any very valid arguments on the opposite side.

It should be added that the order in which the different geographical and national names of the Epilegomena are taken is what may be called logical, i.e., those populations which illustrate each other, and which are subject to the same lines of criticism, are grouped together, sometimes (but not often) to the violation of geographical proximity, and ethnological

[^1]affinity. Thus the Juthungi and Jutes, the Franks and Varangi are noticed in succession. This is not because they are really connected, but because they are most conveniently considered when thrown in such groups.

Being unwilling that it ghould appear to be Tacitus, rather than his commentators, whose authority I impugn, I must remind the reader, that the question is not whether certain nations of the Germania are rightly placed therein, but whether Tacitus's test of Germanism was the same as ours; and whether, if different, more correct. Two populations who, according to his own showing, would not be German in the eyes of a modern ethnologist, are especially stated to have been so in his-viz., the Osi and the Estii, and I only urge the probability of the Lygii and others being in the same predicament.
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## THE "GERMANY" OF TACITUS;

WITH

## ETHNOLOGICAL DISSERTATIONS

AND NOTES.

## PROLEGOMENA.

§ i. present digtribution of pamilieg and nationa degcended FROM, OR ALLIED TO, TGE GERMANG OF TACITUG.

The basis of all ethnological reasoning is the existing state of things.* This we take as we find it, and by arguing backwards from effect to cause, arrive at the early history of the different divisions of the human apecies.

At the present moment the distribution of the Germanic nations is very different from what it was in the fourth and fifth centuries ; in the fourth and fifth centaries it was different from what it was in the time of Tacitus; and in the time of Tacitus it was probably different from what it was at the beginning of the historical era. Earlier still, it was probably different again.

The present distribution of the families and nations descended from, or allied to, the Germans of Tacitus extends as far eastward as Australia, and as far westward as North America; as far north as Finmark, and as far south as New Zealand.

[^2]Branches of the same great class occur in all the quarters of the world ; in the Asiatic possessions of Great Britain and Holland; in America; and at the Cape of Good Hope, in Africa; the Dutch and the English being the chief nations by whom the family has been extended in these parts.

The migrations which have carried the Germanic populations thus far, have taken place within the last four centaries, and belong to that stage in the history of mankind which followed the great geographical discoveries of the sixteenth century, the revival of ancient learning, and the evolution of modern science. The earlier migrations represent a wholly different social state.

The present classification of the tribes and families in question is as follows.

1. The Norwegians of Norway, the Swedes of Sweden, the Danes of Jutland and the Danish islands, together with the Icelanders of Iceland and the inhabitants of the Faroe Islands, constitute the first division; a division which may conveniently be called the Scandinavian, or Norse.
2. The Frisians of Friesland, Heligoland, and Sleswick constitute the second.
3. The Englisk of Great Britain, Ireland, and America, the third.
4. The Dutch of Holland, and the Flemings of Flanders, a fourth.
5. The Low-Germans (or Platt-Doutsch) of Sleswick, Holstein, parts of Hanover, Mecklenburg, and the Lower Rhine, the ffth.
6. The High-Germans of Hesse, Franconia, Swabia, Bavaria, Austria and Switzerland, the sixth.

I am far, however, from considering the divisions as absolutely scientific. Their value is not uniform; e.g., the Datch and Flemings may fairly be placed in the same class with the Platt-Dentsch or Low Germans; and such would have been done if their greater political importance had not given them a prominence on other grounds.

All, then, that the previous divisions can do, is to serve as a groundwork for further investigation.

In ose point, however, the order is natural. It represents the rolationship, affinity, or affliation between the six forms of speech; so that the Norse dialects are the most like the Frisian, the Frisian the English, the English the Dutch and Low German, and the Low German the High. .
§ ix. different atagra of the difperent language of tee familiss and fations deqgended prom, or allied to, ter aermanb of tacitub.

Some of the tongues just enumerated were reduced to woriting many centuries ago. In this case we have specimens of them in an earlier stage of their growth; the difference between the older and the newer forms of speech being, in many instances, sufficient to constitute a fresh language. Thus the English, in its oldest known form, is Anglo-Sazon; yet the Anglo-Sazon is so different from the present English as to be unintelligible to the unlearned reader.

Again; certain dialects, which were once cultivated, may have ceased to be spoken-have become aatinot. In this case, we have an ancient tongue withont any modern representative; whereas, in certain provincial dialects, which have never been written at all, we have a modern form of speech, withoat any specimen of it during its earlier growth. All this introduces fresh objects of consideration, viz. :-the notice of the different atages of language, or the descent of one form of speech from another.

When Tacitus mentions such nations as the Chauci and Cherusci, we are induced to ask whether any of the present populations may be their representatives or descendants; and so on with the others. Or we may change the form of the inquiry, and, after enumerating such modern divisions as the English, the Dutch, or the High-German, may investigate their parentage, and ask what they each were at some earlier period of their respective histories.

The descent of the Swedish, Norwegian, and Danish is from a language somewhat difficult to designate. It is the mother-tongue of the present Icelandic; which, in the ninth century, seems to have been spoken, with but little variation,
over the whole of Scandinavia. Changes, however, set in. In the three kingdoms they went on so as for two, ${ }^{*}$ or more, new lagguages to have been evolved. In Iceland, however, the contrary took place. The changes were so inconsiderable as to leave the present Icelandic nearly in the same state in which it was first introduced into the island; in fact which has engendered the somewhat lax statement of the Icelandic being the mother-tongue of the present Danish and Swedish. The truer statement would be that the Icelandic is the unaltered representative of a mother-tongue common to Iceland, the Faroe Isles, Norvoy, Sloeden, and Denmark.

The descent of the present Frisian is from the Old Frisian; a language of which we have specimens as early as the thirteenth centary.

The descent of the present English is from the AngloSaxon; a language of which we have specimens as old as the eighth century.

The descent of the present Datch of Holland is from the Old Dutch; a language of which the oldest specimen is no older than the thirteenth century.

The descent of the present Platt-Deutsch is from the old dialects of the Lower Rhine; the oldest specimens of which are no older than the thirteenth century.

The descent of the present High German is from the old dialects of Hesse, Baden, Wurtemburg, and Bavaria; the oldest specimens of which are as old as the eighth century.

With these preliminaries, we find that out of the existing languages the majority can be traced upwards to a certain point; the Old High German further than the Low, the Frisian as far as the Dutch, and the Euglish further than the Frisian; a fact which leads us to speak of the Old Frisian as opposed to the Middle Frisian, and the Middle Frisian as opposed to the Nevo; and so on throughout. But as this distinction is of subordinate importance in ethnology, it will not be further illustrated.

Instead of pursning it any longer let as see what follows

[^3]from taking up the question at the other end, beginning with a language at the earliest period of its history, inverting the previous procese, and tracing its progress downwards from its first appearance in history to the present time.

We get, by this means, more than one additional Gothic language. First and foremost comes-

1. The Moeso-Gothic.-The tribes who spoke this were the Goths who conquered Moesia; the date of itg existence, as a written language, being the fourth century. The McesoGothic has no living representative, that is, none of the present dialects of Germany are directly and unequivocally descended from it; althongh the Thuringian is, probably, descended from some dialect originally allied to it. From the fact of its being the oldest Gothic dialect of which we have any specimen, the philological importance of the MresoGothic is very great.
2. The Alemannic.-This is the present literary German as it was written in the eighth, ninth, tenth, and eleventh centuries, and as it was spoken on the Upper Rhine,-in Baden, Wurtemburg, Switzerland, and Bavaria.
3. The Francic.-This is German of the middle Rhine, as it was written in the ninth and tenth centuries.
4. The Old Dutch, Flemish, or Batavian.-This is the present Dutch of Holland in its oldest form. It departs from the Francic much as the Francic departs from the Alemannic. Hence the Dutch of Holland, and the Bavarian of Bavaria, may be considered as the two extreme forms of one and the same * group. All the present Platt-Deutsch dialects of Germany are either exactly derived from the Francic, or from some form intermediate to the Francic and Batavian: a view which will be noticed in the sequel.
5. The Sazon.-This falls into two divisions, the OldSaxon of Westphalia, and the Anglo-Saxon of Hanover, afterwards transplanted to Great Britain. The Sazon language is extinct in Germany, being replaced by the PlattDeutsch derivatives of the Francic, or Franco-Ratavian. This circumstance supplies us with a priveiple of classification, the Platt-Deutsch dialect falling into two divisions-

[^4]a, the Platt-Deatech dialect of the original Platt-Deutech area-b, the Platt-Deutsch dialecte of the originally Saxon area. It was Charlemagne who extended the Frank Germans at the expense of the Saxons, otherwise the present dialect of Westphalia and Hanover would be English, or at least Anglican or Angliform.
6. The Old Frisian.-The old language of Friesland is known to us through the Old Frisian laws; chiefly representing the language of East Friesland. Of the Middle Frisian we have specimens in the writings of Gysbert Japicx, a poet of the seventeenth century.

The older the stage of the Frisian, the more closely it approaches the Anglo-Saxion and the Old Saxon.

Of the three divisions of the languages of Germany, it is the Hanoverian which most closely approaches the more northern tongues of Scandinavia.
7. Old Norse, Old Scandinavian, or Icelandic.-This is the well-known language of a rich literature, consisting chiefly in the alliterative poems of the Skalds, and the prose narra-tives-fietional, historical, or domestic-of the Sagamen.

## § mion on the clabiffication of the preceding forms of GPHECH,-NEL TKRM GOTHIC.

The great and important class which comprises these divisions, is called Gothic; because it was under the name of Goths that some of the most important of the Germanic populations were known to the Romans. It was the Ostro-Gothe of Alaric and Theodoric, and the Visi-Goths of Euric and others, who insulted the declining majesty of Rome, and founded the Gothic kingdoms of Italy, Spain, and southern Gaul; and although other tribes of equal importance contributed to the downfall of the Western Empire, the term in question is, on the whole, not very inconvenient.

The classification of the Gothic tongues is of two sorts.
We may take the leading characteristics of certain groups, such as differences of grammatical structure, differences in the way of their vocabulary, or differences in respect to their system of sounds, and so make out the necessary number
of classes. We may even admit the consideration of certain external circumstances, such as literary development, and political separation. This makes the arrangement more or less artificial.

Be this, however, as it may, the following is a classification of the kind in question.
a. The Danish, Swedish, and Norwegian dialects (written and unwritten), the Faroic and the Icelandic, form the Scandinavian branch of the Gothic stock.
b. The Frisian, Old-Saxon, Anglo-Saxon, English, Lowland Scotch, Datch of Holland, Platt-Deatseh, and High German, form the Teutonic branch of the same.

Of course these again fall into subdivisions, according to the date of the specimen, b.g., there is the Old Frisian, Middle Frisian, and New Frisian ; Semi-Saxon, Old English, Midde English, and Modern English; the Moso-Gothic, Alemannic, \&c.

The disadvantage of this method is that, in attempting to draw definite lines of demarcation between the different divisions, it distarbs the history of the languages, and disguises the order of their evolution. Thus the Frisian, a member of the Teutonic branch, is undoubtedly more like certain Scandinavian dialects than it is to the more extreme members of its own division.

Such being the case, a fresh view is required, and this is best given by placing the tongues in a linear series according to their affinities, and treating them as if (as is really the case) they passed into each other by insensible degrees.

Hence, the more convenient, as well as the more natural series, is that of the first chapter, viz.

1. Norse. 2. Frisian, 3. Old Saxon. 4. Anglo-Saxon. 5, 6. Platt-Deutsch and the Jutch of Holland. 7. High German. 8. Meso-Gothic.

The general characteristics of these divisions and subdivisions of the Gothic tongues, in respect to the differences of their systems of elementary sounds, their grammatical structure, and their vocabularies, are in the department of philology. One or two isolated points, however, have a practical bearing upon certain ethnological details.

1. The use of $p$ and $k$ for $b$ and $g$ respectively is High German rather than Low, and of the High German dialecta more particularly Bavarian.

| commor migr | german. |  | batariay. |  | mmalse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berg... | ... | ... | $p \mathrm{irk}$ | ... | Hill (berg). |
| Baiern | ... | ... | Paiern | ... | Bavaria. |
| Elind | ... | ... | Plint | ... | Blind. |
| Gott... | ... | ... | Kott | ... | God. |
| Gobing- | ... | ... | Ke-pixh-i |  | Range of hills, de. |

2. The nse of $-t$ or $-t t$ for -8 or -88 is Low German, in opposition to High ; 88-

| PLATM-DEUTHOE |  |  | bigi arbmat. |  | Raglish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water | $\ldots$ | ... | Wasser | *. | Water. |
| Swett ... | ... | -.. | Schweiss... | ... | Sweat. |
| Het ... | ... | ... | Es | ... | It. |

And, on the strength of the assumption which this letterchange allows:-

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { RLatt-pistigen. } & & & \text { higi qrbmay. } \\
\text { Catí } & \text {... } & \text {... } & \text {... } \\
\text { Hesese, dc. }
\end{array}
$$

What applies to the Platt-Deutsch, generally, applies a fortiory to the Saxon, Frisian, and Norse.
3. The Frisian chiefly differs from the Old Saxon and Anglo-Saxon in the forms of the plaral noun and in the termination of the infinitive mood.

The plurala which in Anglo-Saxon and Old Saxon end in -8 , in Frisian end in -r.

The infinitives, which in Anglo-Saxon and Old Saxon end in -an, in Frisian end in -a.

| aneto-baion, | pr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyning-as ... | Kening-ar | K |
| Bern-an | Bærr-a | BuI |

4. In Norse the preference for the sound of $-\boldsymbol{r}$ to -8 , and of $-a$ to $-a n$ is carried further than even in Frisian.
5. But the great characteristics of the Norbe tongues, as opposed to the Frisian, and, a fortiori, to all the others, are,
the so called passive roice, and the so-called post-positive article.
a. The reflective pronoun sit $=z=$ self coalesces with the verb, and so forms a reflective termination. In the later stages this reflective (or middle) becomes passive in power. Kalla $=$ call, and sig $=$ self. Hence come kalla sig, kallasc, kallast, kallas; so that in the modern Swedish jag kallas= $I \mathrm{am}$ calld $=$ vocor.
6. The definite article in Norse not only follows its substantive, but amalgamates with it ; o.g., bord $=$ table, hit $=$ the or that; bord-et = the table (board).

If higher groups than those already suggested be required, we may eay that-

1. The Norse branch contains the Danish, Swedish, Norwegian, Faroic, and Icelandic.
2. The Saxon branch, the Old Frisian, the Old Saxon, the Anglo-Saxon, and their respective descendants.
3. The German Proper, the Platt-Deatsch (and Datch of Holland), the High German, and the Merso-Gothic.

The paramount fact, however, is, the transitional character of the Frisian in respect to the Norse.
§iv. on the value of language as a tebt of ethnological RELATIONAHIP.

Such prominence has been given to the phenomena of language and dialect in the preceding pages, that it may not be guperflnoas to justify the exclusive attention which has been directed to them; and in doing this a qualification of their value as tests of relationship will be added.

It would be an undue exaggeration of the importance of the philological method to say, that it should supersede all others, and that the degrees of similarity in language exactly coincided with the degrees of ethnological relationship. They are primá facie evidence of this-strong primad facie evidence-but nothing more.

Taking the world at large, there are numerous well-known and extreme instances of a native language having been unlearned, and a foreign one adopted in its stead; e.g., the

Blacks of St. Domingo speak French and Spanish. But, not to go so far, no man believes that every inhabitant of the British Principality who speaks English, to the exclusion of Welsh, is as Anglo-Saxon in blood and pedigree as he is in tongue. Neither does he think this in respect to his Scotch and Irish fellow-citizens. Indeed, every man who, being born of parents of different nations, speaks only one language, is more national in his speech thau he is in his origin.

Within the limits of Germany itself this distinction is not only well illustrated, but it mast necessarily be borne in mind.

What is the history of our own language? Throughout the whole length and breadth of continental Germany there is not only no dialect that can be called English, but-undeniably as our Anglo-Saxon mother-tongue was German in origin - there is no dialect which can be said to have originated in the same source; no descendant of the Angle form of speech.

The same applies to the allied dialect of the Old Saxons. Where that was once spoken, Platt-Deutsch and High German are now the exclusive idioms; no descendants from anything Saxon, but descendants from members of the Proper German groups.

Extinct as are these two dialects, it is by no means reasonable to imagine a similar extinction of Anglo-Saxon and Old Saxon blood. Difficult as the traces of it are to detect, they may fairly be supposed to exist.

What applies to the Anglo-Saxon and the Old-Saxon applies to the Mceso-Gothic also.

Though no existing dialect can be traced to it, it cannot be doubted but that the blood of the ancestors of the OstroGoths and Visi-Goths must ron in the veins of some southern Germans-few or many as the case may be.

Hence the evidence of language is prima facio evidence only.

Such is the measure of its absolute value-a measure which subtracts from its importance.

But what if language be the only test we have; or, if not the only one, the one whose value transcends that of all the
rest put together. In such a case, it regains its importance ; its relative value being thus heightened.

And such is the fact. No differences of physical appearance, intellectual habits, or moral characteristies will give us the same elements of classification that we find in the stady of the Germanic languages and dialects. They may, perhaps, have done so once, when there was a variety of Pagan creeds and soveral self-evolved and, consequently, characteristic laws. But they do not do so now. A value they have, but that value is a subordinate one.
§ v. prebent digtribution and clabrification of pamiligg and nations descrnded from, of allied to, the garmata of tactros.

The three great recognized families from which Tacitus separates the Germans, and with which he contrasts them, are -1. The Gauls or Kelts-2. The Finns-3. The Sarmatians: this last term being ased, by the present writer, in a more definite sense than the one which it bore with the ancients. Hers it comprises the Slavonians of Bohemia, Silesia, Poland, Gallicia, Russia, Servia, Croatia, Carniola, Hungary, Prussia, and Bulgaria, and something more. It comprises the Lithuanians, Courlanders, Livonians, and Old Prussians as well.

The Sarmatians, Finns, and Gaols are the three great recognized families from which Tacitus separates, and with which he contrasts, the Germane. But are they not the only ones? He notices the Dacians, the Pannonians, and the Rhatians as well. It is only, however, the Sarmatians that at present require a special preliminary investigation.

The two primary divisions into which the great Sarmatian atock falls are-1. The Slavonic-2. The Lithaanic.

The details of the Lithuanic branch will be found in the sequel.

The details of the Slavonic branch are numerous, complicated, and important.

First and foremost comes the notice of their present geographical distribution.

Geographically, they fall into two large divisions, eeparated
from each other - one of which liee wholly to the north, the other, wholly to the south of the Damube.

North of the Danube, reckoning from west to east, come-
A. 1. The Tshekhs, or Bohemians of Bohemia.
2. The Moravians, or the Tshekhs of Moravia, nearly identical with the Bohemiang-the two languages being but sub-dialects of the common Tshekh tongue.
3. The Slovaks of Upper Hungary, differing more from the Bohemians and Moravians than those two nations do from each other, but still belonging to the great Trhekh or Bohemian division. The dialects and sub-dialects of the Slovak language are as numerous as the Slovak villages; a fact from which some inferences will be drawn in the sequel.

The Tahekh division is limited to Bohernia, Moravia, and Upper Hungary. Both northwards and eastwarde, the character of the language changes.
B. Silesia, even at the present moment, is not wholly German. The Serkie of Lower and the Srbie of Upper Lasatia are Slavonic. They do not, however, belong to the Tshekh so much as to the Lekh, or Polish branch. Hence their affinities are with their north-eastern rather than with their sonth-western neighbours.

1, 2. The Serke and Serbs are the most south-western members now in existence of the Lekh branch of the Slavonic stock ; a division which takes the form of a separate substantive nationality with-
3. The Poles of Poland, Posen, parts of Gallicia, parts of Lithaania, and parts of Pomerania.
C. Russian, A modified form of the Russian, called Ragniak, or Ruthenian -occurs as far west as Gallicia, where it is in contact with the Slovak of Upper Hungary and the Polish of Poland. Further to the north it is bounded by the Lithuanian of Lithuania, Courland, and Livonia, and by the Esthonian of Esthonia-nthis last being a Finnic language. Vast as is the area covered by the Russian language, its dialects are remarkably few; a fact which should be contrasted with the multiplicity of dialects in the Slovak.

And here the north-Slavonic area ends; an area which we may, if we choose, call Trane-Danulian, since all the
countries which it comprises lie on the north side of that river.

South of the Danube, reckoning from west to east, come-

1. The Slavonians of Carinthia, Carniola, Styria, and south-western Hungary. Differing bat slightly from-
2. The Groatians-themselves the speakers of a language which extends, with bat few variations of dialect, from the Adriatic to the Euxine-the laugaage of the Montenegrino mountaineers on the frontier of Albania, the Dalmatians, the Herzegovinians, the Bosniacs, the Servians, the southern Hungarians, the Slavonians of Slavonia at the junction of the Save and Danube, and the Bulgarians.

The Slavonic languages, like the Germanic, must be stadied in respect to their history as well as their geographical distribution--in respect to time as well as place. In this respect, the fact which has the most important application is connected with the sonthern division of them. It was in a Servian, Croatian, or Dalmatian, dialect that Christianity was first preached, and the first seriptural tranolations made. Hence, the so-called old Slavonic has the same importance in Russian and Servian philology as the Moss-Gothic has in German.

The northern frontier of the south-Slavonic area is formed by a line running through Styria, Southern Hnngary, and the northern part of Bulgaria; the sonthern frontier of the northern by Bohemia, Moravia, Gallicia, Volhynia, and Podolia; the intermediate non-Slavonic countries being Hungary, Wallachia, and Moldsvia.

The Hungarians, or Majiars, are of Finnic origin, and constitute an intrusive population, the date of their intrusion being the tenth century.

The Wallachians, Moldavians, and Bessarabians are partially at least of Latin origin, and, so far as they are so, they constitate, like the Majiars, an intrusive population, the date of their intrusion being the second century, i.e., the time of Trajan the conqueror of Dacia.

We have seen that, in respect to their geographical digtribution, the Russians, Poles, and Bohemians, belong to one division, the Servians and Slavonians to another. Is
this the case in ethnology? No. The Russian language, although northern in locality, is southern in structure, being more akin to the Servian, with which it is not in contact, than the Polish with which it is. Nay, more, the older the specimens of the langaage the more it approaches the Old Church-language, or the Old Slavonic.

## § fi. on ter date of the diffueion of the rugblan languagr over rdasta.

This is by no means an irrelevant question even in German ethnology. For that of southern Europe and Asia it is allimportant.

The greater the area we give to the Germans of Tacitus, the less room we leave for the numeroas Sarmatian popalations now in existence; and the less room we leave for these, the greater the difficulty of accounting for their wide diffusion.

By supposing, however, that they originated in so large a country as Russia we meet this difficulty, since we thereby allow ourselves a vast tract of land to draw upon for the several migrations necessary to account for the present presence of Poles in Poland, Serbs in Silesia, Tsheks in Bohemia, Slovaks in Hongary, and Carinthians, Croatians, and Dalmatians, elsewhere.

But what if the internal evidence derived from the paucity of Russian dialects, or (changing the expression) the uniformity of that tongue over a vast area indicate-as such phenomena do indicate-a recent introduction and a rapid diffusion? In this case, the difficulty remains as before, and we must not only exclude a great number of Slavonians from the countries of the west, but from the valley of the Dnieper also.

Now, from all that I collect from the language of the best Slavonic scholars, the Russian tongue in Russia seems full as new as the Anglo-Saxon is in England; in other words, its dialects are fewer and less marked than those of the English of Great Britain.

On the other hand, it is in the south and west that such differences are the most marked and the most numerons.

As far, then, as this goes we are onable to draw upon Russia as the source of the Sarmatian populations of the countries in question; a fact which should open our eyes to the difficulties amongst which we place ourselves by too implicitly believing that the ancient Germans originally extended indefinitely far eastwards.

Neither can we go too far north for the parent country of the Slavonians-since, as late as the tenth century, we have historical evidence in favour of the Finnic stock having extended as far sonth as the Valdai mountains, between Petersburg and Moscow.
§ vir. digthibution of the families and natione debgended FROM, OR ALIED TO, TRE BARMATA OF TAOHTUS IN TEE NINTH century.

To understand the import of this chapter, it is necessary, in the first place, to bear in mind the distinction between first-hand and second-hand evidence; and, in the second, to appreciate the full import of the paleontological character of ethnological reasoning-the palmontological method meaning the method of reasoning from effect to carse, rather than from cause to effect. The geologist understands this at once. The historian requires it to be pointed out.

Now, sach information as we collect from Tacitus concerning the Cherasei, Chanci, Frisii, and the other nations of the Lower Rhine and Weser, is of very different value from his statement concerning the Semnones, Lemovii, and the nations beyond the Elbe. The former was collected, either directly or indirectly, from men who visited the localities described, fought in them, marched in them, sailed up their rivers, and acted as pioneers across their fens. The latter are based upon such information as the people of the parts which were known could supply concerning the nnknown parts beyond them. As time advanced, however, the more remote countries beyond the Elbe, beyond the Weser, and beyond the Vistala, became known even as the territories of the Catti and Oherusci were known; so that information concerning Pomerania, or Prussia, became as definite
and trustworthy as the earlier information about Hesse and Westphalia.

The period when the parts beyond the Elbe, dimly aketched by Tacitus, first become known in definite detail, and from personal knowledge, is the reign of Charlemagne - some, indeed, earlier, some later; but atill the reign of Charlemagne is a convenient era, and an era safficiently accurate for all present parposes.

Advancing from the dim twilight of a fragmentary and second-hand history to the full light derived from the pergonal knowledge of contemporary witnesses, the first question which we ask is the extent to which our new knowledge confirms or invalidates our previous accounts. It may do either one or the other. If it confirm them, well and good. If it oppose, a conflict of difficulties arises. In either case, the existing state of things at the time when our information first becomes unaxceptionable is the primary and fundamental fact with the ethnologist; indeed, it is his primum mobile; an instrament of criticism which the historian, who is more accustomed to rely upon testimony than to venture upon alaborate trains of reasoning, is not nnwilling to accuse him of over-valuing; the ethnologist, on the other hand, imputing to the historian an undue deference to fallible and indistinct testimony.

Such are the preliminary observations which prepare the reader for the statement that nearly the whole of that portion of the Germania of Tacitus which lies east of the Ellbe, as voell as cortain portions of it wosst of that river, are, at the boginning of the proper historical period, not Germanic but Slavonic.

That they are more or less Slavonic in the present century, has been shown slready; but that they were so as early as the ninth, eighth, and seventh centuries, is a fact not sufficiently appreciated.

The following is a sketch of the details:-
Livonia, Courland, East and West Prussia.-Here the definite history begins with the twelth century, when the Pagan Lithuanians were converted by the Knights of the Teutonic Order. At that time the whole of the area was
unequivocally Sarmatian, withont trace or vestige of any previous Germanic population-no German names for the rivers or mountains, and no Germanic strongholds in any of the impervious forests and impracticable fens,-no traditions on the part of the Sarmatians of their own comparatively recent arrival in the country. That any portion of the present Germanic population of the countries in question is descended from an ancestry earlier than A.d. 800 , ia what no one hat ever ventured to assert, so evidently is it of recent origin, and so totally has any older population-if such ever existed-died off without leaving trace, or shadow of a trace, of its existence.

Pomerania, East of the Oder. - Adam of Bremen first mentions these Pomeranians, and he mentions them as Slavonians, the Oder being their boundary to the west. On the east they were conterminous with the Prussians. Their name is Slavonic, $p o=o n$ and more $=s e a,=c o a s t m e n$. All their antiquities and traditions are equally so; in other words there is neither evidence, nor shadow of evidence, of their ever having dispossessed an older Germanic popalation. Nor are they wholly extinct at the present moment. On the promontories which project into the Gulf of Dantzig we find the Slavonic Kassúb, Cassubita, or Kaszeb. Their language approaches the Polish.

Pomerania, wosst of the Oder, and the eastern part of Meck-lenburg.-No definite notiees of these parts occur before the time of Charlemagne. From that time downward, however, they are numerous. The only Germans that they recognize are the conquering invaders. On the other hand, the Slavonic populations are carefully enamerated, and so thoroughly do they fill up the whole area that there is neither nook nor cranny for any thing German. The chief nation is that of the Wilzi, Welatabi, or Liutici, falling into the minor divisions of the Chizzini, near the present town of Rostock, the Circipani, on the coast opposite the Isle of Rugen, the Tolenzi, on the Tollensee, and the Rethrarii of the civitas Rethre. Now, whatever the others may have been, these last were no new-comers, since the town was preeminent for its antiquity, and the temple which it contained celebrated for its aanctity.

The Island of Rugen.-Like the town of Rethre, the Isle of Rugen was at one and the same time Slavonic, and sacred; its sacro-sanctitude implying the antiquity of the rites practised in it.

Coaut of Mecklenburg.—Nothing is known of Mecklenburg older than the pre-eminently Slavonic Obodritge, separated by the river Warnow from the Wilzi, and by the Trave from the Slavonians of -

Holatein.-Here, for the first time, do we meet with a true Slavono-Germanie frontier. A line drawn from the Trave to the head-waters of the Eyder forms it. North of the Eyder, in the time of Alfred, were the Danes; west of the Trave, the Saxous; between those rivers and the sea, the Slavonic Wagri. The city of Altenburg was Wagrian, and so was the Isle of Femern.

Lawenburg.--This was the locality of the Polabi, or Slavonians of the Elbe from $p 0=0$ and $L a b a=E l b e$.

Uckermark.-Here dwelt, at the end of the tenth century, the Slavonic Uori or Wueri.

Interior of Mecklenburg and Mittelmark.-..The country between the Hevel and the Maritz-See, a vast wood, requiring five days to traverse it, was the land of the Slavonic Murizxi or Morizani ; westwards of these, and extending as far as the Elbe, were the Warnabi-Slavonic also.

Brandenburg. - Brandenburg is more than sufficiently covered by Slavonic tribes; since, the Hevelli or Slavonians of the Hevel, the Stoderani, the Brizani, the Linones, the Smeldingi, the Dossani, and the Bethenici, although the exact localities have yet to be investigated, are quite enough to fill the tract between Slavonic Altmark on the north-west, and-

Lusatia on the south-east; Lusatia, which is, at the present moment, Semi-Slavonic, and which was originally wholly so, Lower Lusatia being the country of the Milcieni, Upper Lusatia of the Lusici.

Silesia.-Now, and from the dawn of the historical period, Silesia has been in the same category with Lasatis-i.e., essentially Slavonic.

The Slavonians of Lasatia and Silesia formerly exteaded
as far into the present country of Germany as the river Werra, and as the head-watere of the Maine.

Bohemia with parts of Moravia and Upper Hungary.These countries Lave never been known to be more German than at present, and at present they are Slavonic. At the same time, I believe that there are traditions among the present Tshekhs, which refer to their conquest of the country and the usurpation of their ancestors. The value of these depends upon their nationality. This may be absolute. It raay, on the other hand, be of the same value as the traditions about Brut being the patriarch of the Britons, or, in other words, the legend may be more due to the influence of a medieval Latin literature, than the truly native traditions.

Having thus enumerated the countries which were as much (or more) Slavonic a thousand years ago as they are now, I subjoin some of the chief extracts that prove their having been so-all of them being taken from Zeuss, and those only being selected which the date accompanies, and where there is, besides this, the special statement that the population in question was Slavonic.

The latest notices come first. They are chiefly from Adam of Bremen and Helmoldus, and apply to the Slavonians of the northern frontier.

The twelfih and eleventh centuries.-For the parts on the Lover Elbe and Oder.-Whe most important of the notices here apply to the Isle of Rugen, and bear, amongst other questiona, apon the note in $\nabla$. Rugiz:-"Insula contra Wilzos posita, quam Rani vel Runi possident, fortissima Slavornm gens, extra querum sententiam de poblicis rebus nihil agi lex est, ita illi metuuntur propter familiaritatem deorum, vel potius dæmonam, quos majori cultu ceteris venerantur."-Ad. Brem. de situ Dan. c. 226. "Supervenit exercitus Rugianorum sive Ranorum. . . Sunt autem Rani, qui ab aliis Runi appellantur, populi crudeles, habitantes in corde marís, idololatrim supra modum dediti, primatum praferentes in omni Slavorum natione, habentes regem et fanum celeberrimum. Unde etiam propter specialem fani illius cultum primum vensrationis locum obtinent, et cum multis jugum imponant, ipsi nullius jugum patiuntur, eo quod inaccessibiles sint propter difficultatem
locorum."-Helm.iv. 36. "De omnibus quoque provinciis Slavorum illic responsa petuntor et sacrificiorum exhibentur annuæ solutiones,-c. 6. "Etiam nostra adhuc ætate non solum Wagirensis terra, sed et omnes Slavorum provinciza illuc tributa annuatim transmittebant, illam (Zuantevit) Deum Deorum esse profitentes."-Id. ii. 42.

For the Slaves of the continent the following extracts give us the occopants of the Lower Oder,-"Oddora vergens in boream per medios Winuloram transit populos."-Adam Bremens. Hist. Eccl. c. 66. "Ultra Leuticos, qui alio nomine Wilzi dicuntar, Oddora flumen occurrit."-Ibid. "Cum multi sunt Winulorum popali fortitudine celebres, soli quatuor sunt, qui ab illis Wizzi, a nobis vero Lenticii dicuntar, inter quos de nobilitate poteatiaque contenditur. Hi sunt seilicet Chizzini et Circipani, qui habitant citra Panim fluvium, Thosolantes et Rheteri, qui ultra Panim degunt."—Ibid. c. 140.

In the following extract from Helmoldus, mark the superlative antiquissimam,-"De fortitudine et potentia valida orta est contentio. Siquidem Riaduri sive Tholenzi propter antiquissimam urbem et celeberrimum illud fanum, in quo simulaerum Radigast ostenditur, reguare volebant, adscribentes sibi singularem nobilitatis honorem, eo quod ab amnibus populis Slavorum frequentarentur, propter responsa et annuas sacrificiorum impensiones. Porro Circipani atque Kycini servire detrectabant, imo libertatem suam armis defendere statuerunt."-Helm. iv. 21.

More satisfactory, however, than the accumulation of isolated passages is the following general view,-"Popali igitur Slavorum sant multi, quorum primi ab occidente confines Transalbianis sunt Waigri (sl. Vagri), eorum civitas Aldenburg maritima. Deinde sequuntur Obodriti, qui altero nomine Reregi vocantur, et civitas eorom Magnopolis. Item versus nos Polabingi, quorom civitas Recisburg. Ultra quos Lingones [Linones] sunt et Warnahi. Mox habitant Chizzini et Circipani, quos a Tholosantibus et Retharis fluvius Panis separat, et civitas Dimine. Ibi est terminus Hammaburgensis parochiz. Sunt et alii Slavorum populi, qui inter Albiam et Odderam degunt, sicnt Heveldi, qui juxta Haliolam [Habolam] flavium, et Doxani, Liubuzzi, Wilini et Stoderani
cum maltis aliis. Iuter quos medii et potentissimi omnium sunt Retharit, civitas eormm vulgatissima Retbre, sedes idololatrix." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Ad. Brem. c. 64 .

The ninth century,-Earlier than Adam of Bremen, the notices are fragmentary. However, "A.d. 808. Filius imperatoris Karlus Albiam ponte junxit, et exercitum cui preerat in Linones et Smeldingos . . . transposuit."-Annal. Egenh. ad annum. - Pertz i. 195. To which add, as proof of the Linones being Slavonic, 一"Sclavi illi dicti sunt Line sive Linoges."-Helmold. i. 37. With the Linones, the Smeldingi and Bethenici are generally associated, and never onee considered as other than Slavonic; though, at the same time, Smeld-ing is a German form.

The eighth century. For the parts on the Upper Elbe and Saale.-."A.d. 782. Sorabi Sclavi, qui campos inter Albim et Salam interjacentes incolunt in fines Thuringorum et Saxonnm qui erant eis contermini, predandi cansâ ingressi." -Annal. Einh, ad an. Pertz i. 163.

In the eoventh century.-"'A.D. 623. Anno xL. regni Chlotharii homo quidarn, nomine Samo, natione Francus de pago Sennonago, plares secum negotiantes adseivit, ad exercendum negotium in Sclavos cognomento Winidas perrexit."-Fredegar, c. 48.

The continuation of Samo's history shows that the Vinida here named were the Wends of Bohemia, at least, if not of Bohemia, of the parts still more west,-" Multis posthæc vicibus Winidi in Thoringiam et reliquos vastando pagos in Francornm regnum irruunt. Etiam et Dervapus dux gentis Urbiorum (Surbiorum) qui ex genere Slavonorum erant, et ad regnum Francorum jam olim adspexerant, se ad regnum Samoni cum suis tradidit."-Fredegar, c. 68.

The evidence that there were Slavonians on the Saale in the reign of Dagobert is abnudant.-
" Anno x. regni Dagoberti cam ei nuntiatum fuisset exercitum Winidorum Thoringiam fuisse ingressum."-c. 74.
"Anno xi, regni Dagoberti cum Winidi jussu Samonis fortiter savirent, et sepe transcenso eornm limite regnum Francorum vastandum Thoringiam et reliquos pagos ingre-direntur."-75.

Three other extracts bearing on the early distribution of the Slavonic nations are of sufficient importance to have particular prominence given to them.

1. The Münich library contains a MS. of the eleventh century, written in the monastery of St. Emmeram, in Bavaria, from which the following is an extract. It may conveniently be called either the St. Emmeram MS., or the Descriptio Civitatum.
"Descriptio civitatum et regionum ad septentrionalem plagam Danubib. Isti sunt qui propinquiores resident finibus Danaoram quos vocant Nortabtrezi, ubi regio in qua aunt civitates lim., per duces buos partitre. Uuilci, in qua civitates xov., et regiones mil. Linaa, est populus qui habet civitates $\quad$ II. Prope illis resident quos vocant Bethenici, et Smeldingon, et Morizani, qui habent civitates xi. Juxta illos sunt qui vocantur Hehfeldi, qui habent civitates viir. Juxta illos regio quæ vocatur Surbi, in qua regione plures sunt qua habent civitates L. Juxta illos sunt quos vocant Talamiazi, qui habent civitates xurr. Beheimare, in qua sunt civitates xv. Marharii, habent civitates zu. Unlgarii, regio est immenss et populus multus habens civitates $v$., eo quod multitudo magna ex eis sit [vaga?] et non sit eis opas civitates habere. Est populus quem vocant Merehanos, ipsi habent civitates xxx. Istm sunt regiones qua terminant in finibus nostris.
"Isti sunt qui juxta istoram fines resident. Osterabtrezi, in qua civitates plusquam c. sunt. Miloxi, in qua civitates ixvir. Pheanazi, habent civitates cxx. Thadesi, plusquam oc. urbes habent. Glopeani, in qua civitates 0000 . aut eo amplins. Zuireani, habent civitates cocaxp. Busani, babent civitates ccryxr. Sittici, regio immensa populia et urbibus manitissimis. Stadici, in qua civitates dxvi, populusque infinitus. Sebbirozi, halent civitates xo. Unlizi, populus multus, civitates cocxviri. Neriuani, habent civitates mxxvil. Attorozi, habent cxivith, popalas ferocissimus. Eptaradici, babent civitates ccuxiu. Uuillerozi, habent civitates cluxxx. Zabrozi, habent civitates cexir. Znetalici, habent civitates lxyini. Aturezani, habent civitates curi, Chozirozi, habent civitates ccl. Lendizi, habent civitates xcvil. Thafnezi, habent civitates celvir. Zeriuani, quod
tantum est regnum at ex eo cunctes gentes Sclauoram exortzo sint et originem sicut affirmant ducant. Prissani, civitates lxx. Uelunzani, civitates lxz. Brozi, plas est undique, quam de Enisa ad Rheaum. Unizunbeire, Caziri, civitates c.
"Razzi. Forsderen liudi. Fresiti. Seranici. Lucolane. Ungare. Uuislane. Sleenzane, civitates xv. Lunsizi, civitates xyx. Dadosesani, civitates xx. Milzane, civitates xxx. Besunzane, civitates II. Uerizane, civitates x. Fraganeo, civitates xl. Lapiglaa, civitates xxx. Opolini, civitates xx. Golensizi, civitates v."
2. Nearly contemporary with this is the account of the oldest Russian chronicler, and the father of Slavonic history,Nestor, a monk of Kiov, in the beginning of the twelfth centary. The names are given in the Slavonic forms for the sake of showing the frequency of the termination no; and the reader's attention is also directed to the extent to which the Scriptural view of the general dispersion of mankind is connected with the particular history of the Slavonians-"Of these seventy-two populations, the Slovenian was one; also from the families of Japhet, named Illyrian (Ilurici), which are Slovenian (Slow-jene).
"And after many years the Slovenians settled on the Danube, where now the Ungarian (Ugor'skaja) and Bulgarian lands (Ugor'skaja-Bolgar'skaja Zemlja) are. From these Slovenians the race spread itself over the earth, and they gave their names in the places where they settled. So their pooterity, which settled on the river Moraws, named themselves Moravisns (Morava), and others Tshekhs (Czesi) ; and such are these Slovenians, the white Croatians (Chorwati Vjelii), the Serbs (Serb') as the Carinthians (Charunt-ane).
"When the Vallachians (Voloch) made an inroad on the Slovenians of the Danube, and conquered them, and constraiued them, the Slovenians went forth, and settled on the Vistula (Vislje), and called themselves Lekhs (Ljachove). And some of these people were named Poles (Pol-jane), and others Lekhs, others Lusatians (Luticzi) others Masovians (Mazovszane), others Pomoranians (Po-mor-jane).
"Thns came those Slovenians who rettled on the Dnieper, and were called Poles. Others were called Derevlians (Dere-
wljane), because they settled in the woods. Others settled between the Dwina, and Prepecz, and called themselves Dregovitshians (Dregoviczi). Others, too, fixed themselves on the Dwina, and became called Polotshians (Polocz-ane), from the name of a river which flows into the Dwins.
"Other Sloveniana, descendants of those on the Danube, settled on Lake Ilmin (jezero Ilmena), and kept their name, and boilt a city, and named it Novogorod. And others settled on the Desna, and on the Sem, and on the Suna, and called themselves Severians (Sjevera).
"And so the Slovenian tongue spread itself abroad, from which came the Slovenian writing."-whis is from Zeuss, translation, pp. 597-599.
3. Earlier than either of these, though less fall, is the following passage from Alfred's Orosius.*
"Be norban Eald-Seaxum is Apdrede, and east norr is Vylte, the man Aefeldan bat, and be eastan him is Vineda land, the man hat Sysgyle, $\dagger$ and east sub ofer summe dæ! Maroaro, and hi Maroaro habbas be vestan him Thyringas, and Behemas, and Bægðrare healfe, and be anðan him on orre healfe Donua thære ea is thæt land Carendre. Sut of tha beorgas, the man Alpis hæt, to thæm ilcan beorgan licga' Bægðvara land getnære, and Spæfa, and thonne be eastan Carendran lande, begeondan thæm vestenne, is Pulgara land, and be eastan thæm is Creca land, and be eastan Maroaro lande is Visle land, and be eastan thæm sind Datia, tha the in væron Gottan. Be nordan eastan Maroara sindon Dalan mensan, and le eastan Dalamensam sindon Horithi, and be norban Dalamensam sindon Surpe, and be vestan him sindon Sysele. Be norðan Horiti is Mrgðるaland, and be norsan Mxgzaland is Sermende or tha beorgas Riffin."

[^5]§ vili. on the abgumptions nechsaary to reconcile the usdal interpretations of tacitus with the atate of thinge in the seventh, Eighte, ninte, tenth, and Eleventh cenTURLES.

It cannot be denied that the contrast between the evidence of Tacitus, who wrote from what he heard in the second, and the evidence of the authors of the time of Charlemagne, who wrote from what they knew, in the ninth, is remarkable. What are we to say?

1. That the evidence of Tacitus must be impugned.
2. That the evidence of Tacitus must be limited.
3. Or, that a vast system of migrations and displacements must be assumed, in order to reconcile the first accurately known state of things with the testimony of a writer whom we are unwilling to take exceptions to?

Whichever of these views be adopted, our decision ought to be made after a very careful and matnre deliberation. There are complications on both sides, and the whole question is a balance of conflicting difficulties.

The occupation of the tract of country between the Vistula and the Elbe in the tenth century by Slavonians is primâ facie evidence of a similar occupancy in the second.

The term Germania, applied to the same by Tacitus, is primá facie evidence the other way. To decide in favour of a Slavonic population on the atrength of the former fact, irrespective of the conflicting testimony of Tacitus, is illegitimate; but it is equally so to take that testimony without doubt, qualification, or scrutiny. To place evidence opposed to the a priori probabilities upon the same level with evidence supported by them is unscientific in the extreme; indeed the writer who does it places all evidence on the same level, and requires the same amount of testimony for probabilities and improbabilitie日, for the barely possible and for the morally certain.

Of all the populations east of the Elbe which Tacitus, in the second century, called German, no single vestige appears in the tenth. How is this? Was the original statement
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erroneons, or has subsequent change taken place? No general answer can be given to the question. It depends upon the credibility of the author on the one side, and the likelihood of the changes assumed, on the other. If the changes are probable and the author unexceptionable, the decision is in favour of the change. If the author, however, be exceptionable and the changes such as have never been previouly known, the converse is the case. Between these extremes there is every intermediate degree. The changes may be of average magnitude, and the author of medium credibility. All this, however, merely shows that the balance between the conflicting diffieulties is easily struck in some cases, that in some it is difficult, and in others almost impossible.

I am not, just at present, prepared to decide apon. the particular case in hand, or to determine whether Tacitue has been, at one and the same time, accurate in all his statements, and rightly interpreted by his commentators, or whether ha has not confounded Slavonians and Lithuanians with Germans. This will come in due time; at presgnt it is sufficient to take an exception against the uncritical spirit in which his evidence has been treated. Two distinctions of paramount importance have been neglected.

1. The extent to which his statements are at variance with the first known stato of things subsequent to his time, has been overlooked.
2. The value of his evidence for the parts which could only be known, to even his best informants, by hearsay only, has been placed on the same level with the value of his evidence respecting the parts personally known to his contemporaries.

How different, for instance, were his means of describing a Frisian or a Cheruscan, from his data for Poland and Silesia. Yet Poland and Silesia are parts of the Germania of Tacitus, and Friesland and Osuaburg are no more. The legionary of Drasus or Tiberins might describe, from personal knowledge, the populations of Ems, or Weser; but, whoever described the tribes of the Oder or Vistula, would describe them from hearsay accounts,-hearsay accounts, which I have no wish to undervalue,--hearsay accounts which can often be gatisfac-
torily confirmed,- hearsay accounts, however, which have just the same relation to the descriptions of the parts visited by the Roman armies, as the data for the geography of Central Africa have to the surveys of the colonies of Natal, the Cape, or Angola.

This leads ns to a new series of preliminary points of criticism.

A certain amonnt of migration and displacement is neceasary. If Germans were the original occupants of the parts in question, the Slavonians must have superseded them in it.

The likelihood or unlikelihood of this mast be tested in several ways.

First, in respect to its axtent.-The assumed migration must have been unsurpassed, perhaps unequally, by any other within the historical period. When the Germans of Charlemagne, and his successors, conquered (or re-conquered) Transalbian Germany, there was neither trace nor record of any previous Germanic occupancy. Yet such previous occupancy rarely occurf without leaving signs of its existence. Sometimes there are fragments of the primitive population safe in the protecting fastnesses of some monntain, forest, or fen, whose savage independence testifies their original claim on the soil. In this way the Welsh of Wales, and the Basques of the Pyrenees, are monuments of that aboriginal population which held possession of Spain and Britain, long before the beginning of history, and which partially holds possession of them now. Yet there is no want of natural strongholds in the country in question. The Saxon Switzerland, the Bohemian range, the forests of Lithuanis might well have been to the Germans of Tacitus, what Snowdon was to the Britons of Agricola, or the Pyrenees to the old Iberians; in which case the present Germans of those countries would be the oldest inhabitants of them,--not the newest, as they are.

Another way in which a primitive, but displaced population escapes annihilation, is, by taking upon itself the character of a servile population. In this way the Helotr of Sparta, represent the older inhabitants of Laconia, as well as the conquered Messenians. Upon this principle Niebuhr argues
that the circumstance of certain Greek towns of Southern Italy, calling their slaves Pelasgi, indicates a previous Pelasgic population. By a not illegitimate extension of this view, the existence of the system of castes is supposed to betoken a duality of race,-the conquered and the conquerors. But a servile class of conquered aborigines, was as much wanting in the Slavonian portions of the Germania, when it was first known otherwise than by hearsay, as the analogues of the Welsh or Biscayans. The signs of a primitive population, shown as they show themselves in Britain or Spain; shown as they showed themselves in Greece or Italy; or showd as they showed themselves in Hiudostan, were equally nonexistent.

Neither were there any traditions. No lays celebrated eitber the Arthur which defended, or the Ida which ravaged the soil. The aupposed conquerors knew of no indigence which they replaced. No indigenc complained of the stranger who dispossessed thena.

Lastly, Sazon as is England, the oldest geographical terms are Keltic; some of the original names of the rivers and mountains remaining unchangen. The converse is the case in Transalbingian Germany. The older the name the more surely is it Slavonic.

So much for the extent of the assumed displacement. It most have been the greatest and the most absolute of any recorded in history.

It must also have taken place with unparalleled rapidity. By supposing that the assumed changes set in immediately after the time of Tacitus, and that as soon as that writer had recorded the fact that Poland, Bohemia, and Courland were parts of Germania, the transformation of these previously Tentonic areas into Slavonic ones, began, we have a condition as favourable for a great amount of changes as can fairly be demanded. Still it may be improved. The last traces of the older population may be supposed to have died out only just before the time when the different areas became known as exclusively Slavonic ; an assumption which allows the advocate of the German theory to say that, had our information been a little earlier, we should have found what we
want in the way of vestiges, fragments, and effects of the antecedent non-Slavonic sborigines. Be it so. Still the time is short. Bohemia-as we bave seen-appears as an exclusively Slavonic country as early as a.d. 625 . Is the differences between these areas and the time of Tacitus sufficient?

Undonbtedly a great deal in the way of migration and displacement may be done in five hundred years, and still more in seven hundred ; yet it may be safely said that, under no circumstances whatever, within the historical period, has any kuown migration equalled the rapidity and magnitude of the one assumed, and that under no circumstances has the obliteration of all signs of an earlier population been so complete.

How could the displacement inferred from this utter obliteration, have taken place? Wus it by a process of ejection, so that the presumed immigrant Slavonians conquered and expelled the original Goths? The chances of war, when we get to the historical period, run the other way; and the first fact which we know concerning those self-same Slavonians, who are supposed to have dispossessed the Germans in the third and fourth centuries, is that, in the ninth, the Germans dispossessed them. But, perhaps, the Germans were more warlike in the time of Charlemagne than before. Not so ; witness the names of Alaric, Euric, Theodoric, Clovis, \&c.

If this view will not suffice, let us try another. Let us ask if it may not be the case, that, when those Germans, who are admitted to have left their country in great numbers, migrated southwards, they left vast gaps in the population of their original areas, which the Slavonians from behind filled up, even by the force of pressure; since geography abhors a vacuum as much as nature is said to do.

I will not say that this view is wholly unsupported by in" duction. Something of the kind may be found amongst the Indians of North America, where a hunting-ground abandoned by one tribe is appropriated by another. The magnitade, however, of such vacuities is trifling compared with the one in question; besides which, the Indian migrations are those of a pastoral people, who take their wives and children with
them, and, consequently, leave behind them no means of preserving traces of their previous existence.

History only tells us of Gerinan armise having advanced sonthwards. The conversion of these armias into national migrations is gratuitons.

But if the area of the diepossessed Germans was thus remarkable, that of those who held their ground was not less so.

Along the Danube there was, at the time of Tacitus, a real existence of Germans to the south of Bohemia and Moravia, and it extended so far eastwards as to come within the same degree of longitude as the supposed Goths of the Baltic. The Germans of the Danube were the Marcomanni; perhaps the Quadi; and almost certainly, some of the ancestors and vaunt-couriers of the Goths of Mesia in the third century.

Now these kept their ground, being the only ones that are admitted to have done so. They did more; they encroached permanently on their neighbours to the east. Strange, that the fact of lying south of a given degree of latitade, should thus have preserved those Germans of the Danube against those fierce Slavonians who (if we suppose the Lygii to have been Germans, and the Marcomanni to have occupied all Bohemia) so thoroughly exterminated their brethren to the north. It looks as if the fact of their having been personally engaged in warfare againat Rome, had so sharpened their swords as to have endowed them with powers of resistance unknown north of the Bohemian frontier. Everywhere else the Germans retired; between Bohemia and the Danabe they encroached.

Yet it was not for want of enemies that they thus kept their ground. Theirs was no locality especially favoured by peace. They had the same Slavonians to contend with that extinguished the supposed Germans of the Oder and Vistula, and they had the Romans as well. It is not strange that the ancestors of the Ostrogoths and Visigoths should have held out against these odds. It is strange that they should have been the only Germans who did so. Surely this is a page in history which may be read differently; and instead of
supposing them to have been thus exceptional to their countrymen, they may be considered as the only Germans of whose existence in the time of Tacitus we are sure.

It was as little for the want of actual wars and migrations as for the paucity of hostile neighbours, that these exceptional Germans of the Danube are found, in the fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth centuries, in the locality assigned to them by Tacitus in the second.

There was much of each. This we know to have been the case. Of similar wars and similar migrations, on the Oder and Vistula, we know nothing; we only assume them for the aake of accountiug for a supposed change of population.

Now it is certainly anscientific to attribnte so much, in the way of displacement, to the wars and migrations of which we know nothing, when those which we do know are known to have done but little. On the real theatre of action, the Middle Danube, what is it that we find in the time of Tacitus? Romans, Germans, Slavonians, all on the Rhætian and Pannonian frontier, the Romans having the lion's share of country. What in the time of Theodoric? Germans, Romans, and Slavonians, the Germans possessing much of what the Romans had lost. This is what we see on the pointe illuminated by the clear light of history; and the changes implied are but moderato. In the parts beyond, however, everything increases its dimensions. The wars are more exterminating, and the migrations longer, the displacements greater than auything known elsewhere. Is this the view which we get from that cautious induction which measures the unknown by the known, or is it a mere sketch of the imagination, where all things show larger in the twilight, and where anything may be assumed, becanse, though there is nothing to support an hypothesis, there is nothing to contradict it?

Necdum finitus Orestes.-Thedist of improbabilities against the doctrine of the double migration, are named legion. The inroad which so obliterated the eastern Germans of the Germania of Tacitus, was not exclusively Slavonic; it was Lithuanic as well. Neither was the whole areu which, in
the ninth century, was undoubtedly divided between these Lithuanians and Slavonians, absolutely German even in the eyes of Tacitus. At the month of the Vistula the Fistyis spoke a language like the British.

Let these Estyians, on the strength of their sermo Britannica propior, be called the non-Germanic portion of the so-called original Germanic area; and-

Let the Prussians, on the strength of their Lithuanic tongue, be called the non-Slavonic portion of the same area as it appears in the 12th century.

It will be found that the relation of the non-Slavouic portion of the Slavonian period, was exactly that of the nonGermanic portion during the Germanic period-i.e., both the AEstyians and Prossians occupied the same locality.

Hence, the displacement of these Britanno-Germanio popnlations (and the statement of Tacitus is as valid for the Fstyians speaking a language like the British as for any single fact connected with these parts) mast have been accompanied with a remarkable act of discrimination--since the parts occupied by the populations like the British became Lithuanic and not Slavonic, the remainder Slaponic and not Lithuanic. This nice appropriation of different parts of the different areas cannot be said to add to the probability of the migration which mast be assumed. Such a migration, annihilating the population, traditions, and local names, and all the substantial realities of a vast district, and, yet, preserving the form of its ethnological area, is, to say the least, a very remarkable one; since it gives us a phenomenon which is better ascertained in chemistry than in history, i.6., the phenomenon of replacement and aubstitution.

A further consideration of the probabilities herein involved will be found in the notes on the word $\boldsymbol{E s t y i i}$.
But it may be urged that the language of Tacitus respecting the lingua Britannica propior must not be taken too closely? Granted. But what statement is more explicit. If we doubt or qualify this, why not doubt or qualify much more; o.g., the Germanic position of the Lygii. This is what should be done. All that is required is consistency.
But strange as is the accident, that the Prossian conquest should
exactly coincide with the area of the British language, it is not an isolated instance.

In the time of Tacitus the parts between Moravia, Gallicia, and Hungary were occupied by nations speaking threa different languages-the German, the Pannonica lingua of Tacitus, and the Gallica lingua of Tacitus.

At the present time three tongues meet in the same parts -the German, the Slovak, and the Polish of Gallicia, the Majiar of Hungary being a fourth; but that is of late introduction.

Now if we assume much migration for these parts, the migration muat have been of the peculiar kind just indicated, a chemical migration, so to say, a migration plus substitution and replacement; a migration which, whatever it did in the way of an indiscriminate abolition of all nationality, at least left the boundaries of three different languages, and their geographical relations to each other, much as it found them.

Cartain writers, however (as already stated), adopt the view of a German migration from the parts between the Elbe and Vistula sufficiently exhaustive of the original population to leave the country in a state of emptiness for the Slavonians of the parts farther eastwards to fill up. These, as they borrow their notion of a vacuum from the science of physics, may take their theory of replacement and substitation from the chemist. Valeat quantum.

Such the displacement. Whence came those who effected it? Not from the conntry east of the Guttones. There were no such Slavonians there. East of the Guttones (the supposed frontier people of Germany), the populations were wholly either Lithuanic or Finnic until the last few centuries, and are nearly so now. This, then, is no birthplace for the Slavonians of Mecklenburg and Pomerania.

Did they come from the oouth-i.e., from Bohemia! No! Bohemia, according to the hypothesis, was German, besides which, their language was, probably, less like the Bohemian than the Polish.

Then they came from Poland? Not even this. Poland was occupied by Lygian Germans.

They can be brought from no point nearer than the watersystem of the Dnieper. Yet the water-system of the Dieper will not give us the phenomenon required. The language of that river is eminently homogeneous (Russian); whilst the languages of Saxony, Silesia, Bohemia, Poland, Pomerania, and Brandenburg, although all Slavonic, are apoken in numerous dialects and sub-diajects. To derive all this from the Dnieper is to deduce the whole from the part, the old from the new.

We have now taken a measure of some of the improbabilities involved in the doctrine of a Slavonic migration to the Transalbian portion of the Germania, between the times of Tacitus and Charlemagne; and though they are undeniably great, their magnitude is only relative; and a certain degree of evidence may overbalance them. Difficult as it is to believe that Poland was ever Germanic, there is, nevertheless, an amount of testimony which would make it credible. Had an observer like Cexsar visited the country in person, and known it as well as he knew Ganl, his dictum would, probably, have outweighed all other difficulties. On the other hand, had a writer of no character whatever classed it amongst the countries of Germany, I should have troubled the reader with but few reasons for objecting to him, and have disposed of his evidence in a summary manner, by treating his statement as an error.

The authority of Tacitus is intermediate to these two extremes.

Implicit and uncritical belief is not always the highest tribute of respect. So far from finding any morbid feeling of pleasure in taking exceptions to the statementa of a great writer like Tacitus, I have no hesitation in saying, that the more I have criticised the more I have found to admire. So numerous are the cases where an unscrutinizing adoption of his statements only mystifies us! Whereas the admisgion of the slightest amount of fallibility gives us an important fact. Such, amongst others, is the statement concerning the language of the Æstyii, and of the Gothini (vid. nott. in vv.)

More than this, the very latitude given to the term Germania, though wrong as far as the facts which it implies are con-
cerned, is scientifically correct. What Tacitus knevo of the Germans of the south was, that they extended as far down the Dannbe as the frontier of Pannonia (say, the parts about Pesth); and he had no reason to imagine that their southern extension went one hair's-breadth further in an easterly direction than did their northern one; or vice versa. Hence, the extension of their area, as far along the Baltic as it was known to reach along the Danube, was legitimate: subject, of course, to correction from further investigation; and equally legitimate was the assumption that the Ligii and other populations of the intervening parts were German-since the reasoning ran thno-
a. The southorn Germang ran thus far eastwards.
b. The northorn do the game.
c. So do the parts interjacent. Subject, I вay, to correction from absolute investigation this a priori view was strictly scientific; and who shall say that Tacitus put it forth unconditionally?

Again - had the Baltic been even Iess German than it actually was, it was only through Germans that it was known to the Greeks and Romans: what, then, was more natural than that the extent of the German gea-board upon it should be over-valued! Like the present Danes with their occupancy of the Sound, their prominence exceeded their occupancy.

These and similar considerations show that such inaccuracies as we find in Tacitus are, so far from subtracting from his value as an anthority, or from the respect due to his teetimony, that they enhance his credit. Such as oecur could hardly have been aroided; and the only wonder is that there are so few of them.

If, however, we deny this reasonable amount of inaccuracy, the thoroughly hypothetical character of the migrations in question cannot be too strongly stated, or too prominently exhibited. They are referable to one head, and to one head only, viz., the facts which they will explain. In and of themselves they are wholly unsupported-unsupported without, however, lying beyond the pale of observation. The countries to which they appertain were known (at least) well enough for Tacitas and others to write about. The
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Germans had their anciont songs that served as records. And what event so important as the previons loss and sabsequent re-conquest of two-thirds of their indigenous soil?

In short, the migrations in question must come under the following conditions:-
a. They must be of unparalleled magnitude and com-pletenesg-
b. Of unparalleled rapidity-
c. Unrecorded in any history-
d. Unrepresented by any tradition-
e. Accompanied by the strange phenomenon of replacement and substitation; and-
$f$. Effected by improbable agents.*
§ ix. bthnological clabsification of the remaining euboplan POPULATIONG.

The third chapter has served to illustrate the principles of ethnological classification; since it has shown that nations as different as the Icelander and the Swiss may be comprized in one general division; in other words that a stock comprises populations as different from each other as the Bavarians, the Dutch, the Swedes, the Faroe Islanders and the Americans of the United States. Hence the Gothic stock is one of the stocks of which we have a pretty clear idea.

Another such a stock is the Classical. This comprises the Latins and Greeks-ancient and modern. Besides which it, to a certain extent, comprises the Spaniards, the Portuguese, the French, certain Swiss populations, and the Wallachians; in all of which conntries the language is derived from the Latin ; the population being mixed, i.s., partly consisting of Roman, partly of aboriginal blood. Now, recognising the great Classical stock as an ethnological eqnivalent to the Gothic, and comparing the extent to which a Wallachian differs from an Italian or a modern'Greek of the other, we have a convenient measure of the import of the word stock; since we see the amount of difference implied by it.

[^6]Besides the Classical and Gothic, there are five other stocks in Europe; or, changing the expression, the whole indigenous population of Europe may be thrown into seven groaps. Three of these have already been mentioned--the Gothic, the Sarmatian, the Classical.

The fourth, the Keltic, comprises the ancient Gauls of Gallia, and the ancient Britons of England, as well as the present Bretons of Brittany, Welsh of Wales, Manxmen of the Isle of Man, and Gaels of Ireland and Scotland.

The Ugriang, or Finns, make the fifih group; and a large group it is. Besides which it is the only one common to Europe and Agia. Lapland, Finland, Esthonia, and Hungary, are the present Finn or Ugrian areas in Europe. In Hangary, however, the Finn popalation is of recent introduction, the present Ugrian indigene being the Lappe, Finlanders, and Esthonians.

The Basques of the Pyrenees, the only remnants of the old Iberian population of Spain, form the siarth stock.

The Albanians of Albania the senenth.
The Turks of Turkey, and the Maltese, are not enumerated; not being indigenous.
§ x. valuation of etenological groupg by tee whiters of antiquity.

It is not enough to know how a madern writer classifies the varieties of his species. The reader of Tacitus must try to ascertain the view that the anoients took of them. We mast not be surprised to find it less scientific than our own.

Of the Classical stock they had a clear notion; i...e, they put at its full value the differences between the group to which they themselves belonged, and the groups to which the socalled Barbarians belonged. But this notion was clear in one direction only. It only comprehended the points of difference. The resemblances which brought the Slavonians and Goths into the same group with themselves--the group called Indo-European-were unknown.

Between a Groth, a Kelt, and a Sarmatian, in their more extreme forme, they also drew a clear distinction; although
their way of denoting it was less precise than our own, and not always expressed in the same terms.

Of the Ugrians they knew little. Nevertheless, Tacitus and others distinguish between the Finns and the Germans.

The Albanians, I think, were distinguished from the Greeks clearly; but from the nations on their northern frontier indistinctly. The term Illyrian comprises the Albanians, and something mors.

The Iberians were clearly distinguished from all other stocks but the Keltic-from that indistinctly.

Upon the whole, the ancients may be said to have overvalued the difference between themselves and the other six stocks, and to have undervalued the difference between the other groups of Europe ; and this is just what the Spaniards and English did and do with the present American aborigines.

These observation have been made upon the assumption that the only point which required illustration was the extent to which the ancients and moderns differed in their views of the same phenomena; an assumption which supposes that the number of stocks at the beginning of the historical period was neither more nor less than it is at present, and that their mutual relations were the same. This, however, may not have been the case. Stocks may have become extinct; or, instead of the broad and trenchant lines of demarcation which now separate the great groups from each other, there may have been a series of imperceptible transitions. In either of these cases it would be incorrect to say that the modern view is more scientific than the ancient. The latter, instead of seeing the same things in a different light, may have seen a different state of things.
> § xi. on certain heolatid mbmbers of the german family —REAL OR AUPPOAED.

The connexion of the American with the Englishman is clear. Nearly as clear is that between the Englishman and the German. In either case there has been a continuous extension of the original population; and that within the period of clear and authentic history.

But what if we found Englishmen in countries which no Englishman was known to have invaded? isolated Englishmen? Englishmen cut off from the rest of their nation and language? In this case we should have a truly ethnological fact; since history, properly so called, would be silent.

Or what if we found apart from the other Germans, similarly isolated populations, whose language was indeed German, but of an uncertain affinity-connected with the Dutch as much as the English, the Norse as much as the Frisian.

What if the language were lost, and nothing bot similarity of manners, or some vague tradition connected them with the assumed parent stock?

The problem would become still more complicated.
Now such problems really exist. There are Gothe beyond the pale of England, America, Germany, and Scandinavia. They require notice.

1. The Germans of the Vicentine.-Two (perhaps more) passages mention the reception, on the part of Theodoric the Ostro-Goth, of certain Alemannic Gormans, within the boundaries of Italy. One is a letter of his own to Clovis:" Motus vestros in fessas reliquias temperate: quia jure gratiæ merentur evadere, quos ad parentum vestrorum defonsionom respicitis confugisse. Estote illis remissi, qui nostris finibus celantur exterriti."-Cassiod. Variar. ii. 41.

The other is from the Panegyric of Ennodius:-"Quid quod a te Alamannice generalitas intra Italiae terminos sine detrimento Romanæ possessionis inclusa est? cui evenit habere regem, postquam meruit perdidiase. Facta sst Latiaris custos imporii semper nostrorum popalatione grassata. Cui
feliciter cessit fugisse patriam suam, nam sic adepta est soli nostri opulentiam."

At the present moment the Sette communi near Verona, and the Tradice communi near Vicenza, are inhabited by an isolated population, whose language is a pecoliar, and ineofficiently studied, dialect of the German-apparently of the High-German division. The Alemanni of the time of Theodoric are the Germans, whom this settlement ia most generally sopposed to represent.
2. The Germans of the Crimea.- Procopius mentions nnder the name of 「óт $\theta o c$ Teт $\rho a \xi{ }_{\xi} \tau a t$, a small Gothic population on the Palus Mæotis-'H Matêtıs кa入ov $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ év $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ( $\mu \nu \eta$ )


 bures.-Bell. Goth. iv. 4.

He praises the bravery with which they withstood the Utaguri."

In the following extract the ABaryol are the Circassians with whom these Goths came more in contact than any other
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In the eighth centary they withstand the Khazars:-





[^7]







 S. Joannis, ex Cod. Vatic. ap. Boll. Jun. 5, 190, 191.
A.D. 1255, they spoke German :-" Il y a des grands promontoires ou caps sur cette mer depuis Kersona jusqu'aux embouchures du Tanais et environ quarante châteanx entre Kersona et Soldaia, dont chacun a sa langue particuliere. Il y a aussi plusieurs Goths, qui retiennent encors la langue Allemande."-Reis. Rabruquis.

So they did in 1436: - "Dritto dell" isola di Capha d' intorno, ch' è sa 'I mar maggiore, ai truoua la Gothia, e poi 1' Alania, laqual và per l'isola verso Moncastro . . Gothi parlano in Todesco. So questo, perche havendo un famiglio Todeaco con me, parlauano insieme et intendeuansi assai ragioneuolmente, cosi come s'intenderia an Furlano con un Fiorentino."-_Josafa Barbaro.
A.D. 1557-1564, Busbequius describes the appearance of one of them as "procerior, toto ore ingenuam quandam simplicitatem pres se ferens, at Flander videretur aut Batavus." He further learned-" gentem esse bellicosam, quæ complures pagos hodieque incoleret, ex quibus Tartarorum regulus, cam expediret, octingentos pedites sclopetarios scriberet, prøcipuum suarum copiarum firmamentum: primarias cornm urbes alteram Mancup vocari, alteram Sciuarin."

Finally, he gives a short vocabulary of their language.See Legatio Turcica.

The nearest representatives of the proper Goths of the Lower Danube are these Goths of the Crimea, whose language is now said to be extinct, bat who require further investigation.

The Germang of both the Vicentine and the Crimea are well authenticated, and unequivocally Germanic populations. This is not the case with-
3. The Gormans ( ${ }^{\text {( ) }) ~ o f ~ C a r n i o l a .-I n ~ Z e n s s ~ w e ~ h a v e ~ t h e ~}$ following extract-one from an old, the other from a modern writer:-




b. Lazius de Migratione Gentium: - "Qua declarant, Jnstiniano adhuc imperante Steveos ditionem in Liburnia habuisse, interque Dravum Savumque amnes et Istriam rerum fuisse potitos. Imo adhuc nostra tempestate et reliquias et posteritatem superesse constat, etsi a Slavinis in angustias redactam, locoque arcto ac nemoroso Gotsches conchssam, abi oppidulum cam pancis castris, multieque pagis manet, quorum incolæ in medio Slavinorum non solum Germanicam sonant, verum etiam Suevicam pronunciationem pro-ferunt."-P. 363.

The name Gotschee is mentioned by Constantinus Porphyro-



The term Gotschee is sufficiently like Goth to indicate an etymology in that quarter; but upon this Zeuss remarks that, "this is no resson for so deriving it, since the form Goduscani * admits of another etymology, viz., Godesca from göd, bonuse."-"Der Name hat Anklang mit dem der Gothen, gibt aber darum noch keinen Grand zar A bleitung der Gotscheer ans diesem Volke, da die Schreibung Goduscani noch andere Etymologie (Gódisca aus gód, bonus) zulärst."-Zeuse, 591.

It is more important to verify the statement of Lazius than to speculate on it; bot it is so doubtful whether this can be done, that it is only becanse the Gotackes population has been recognized by good writers as Germans, that it finds a place at all in the present volume. The following facta stand against the extract from Lazius:-

1. The absence of any other testimony to the previons existence of Goths in Carniola.
2. The absence of any traces of them at present.

[^8]3. The likelihood of Procopins having meant, by Eovábol, the Slavonians of the river Save; whilst the similarity of the word to Suevi misled Lazius.
4. The conjunction of the Gotacheer, as Goduscani, with the Slavenic Obotrites of the Danabe (so-called), and the equally Slavonic Timociani, in an embassy to Lowis in A.b. 818, as well as in other Slavonic alliances.
§ xit, on the military and othrr colonibg of the grrmanid and non-germanic areag.

The frontier between the Germanic Thuringians, and the Slavonic Sorabians, or Sorbs, at the beginning of the historical period, was the river Saale.

Yet there were Slavonian popalations west of this-even on the Upper Mayne and Neckar, and in other quarters equally Germanic.

Thuo-" De possessionibas S. Bonfatii martyris preescriptus venerabilis Abbas Vuerinharias pari mataatione concambii dedit in jus et proprietatem S. Mauritii martyris quicquid in Frekenleba, et Scekkensteti, Arneri, Lembeki et Faderestod, Kerlingorod, Mannesfeld, Duddondorf, Rodonrualli, Menstedi, Purtin et Elesleiba aliisque villis villaramque partibus quas Slaouanica familic inhabitant . . . visus est habere."-Docum. Asd. 973.

And, again, earlier still, in A.D. 846.-"Qualiter . . . . . domnua Karolus . . . . episcopis præcepisset, at in terra Sclavorum, qui sedent inter Moinum et Radantiam fluvios, qui vocantur Moinu-winidi et Ratanz-woinidi."

Taken by themselves, these passages suggest the notion that, great as are the limitations placed by the present writer apon the accredited Germanic area of Tacitus, they are still insufficient; in other words, that the Slavonic frontier should be brought even further westward.

Similar passages also oceur in respect to the parts about the Hartz which (taken by themeslows) lead to the same conclusion.

They must not, however, be taken by themselves. The system of military colonies, or, if not military colomies, of the
forcible removal of conquered populations, which we find to have been practised by the Kings of Persia and Assyria, was also practised by the later Roman Emperors. It was also practised by more than one Germanic conqueror - though the eract time when the system began is difficult to ascertain. A system, however, it was-" Decem millis hominum ex his, qui utrasque ripas Albis fluminis incolebant, cum uxoribus et parvulis sublatos transtulit, et huc atque illuc per Galliam et Germaniam multimoda divisione distribait."

This is related by Eginhard of the great enemy of the Sazon\&-Charlemagne.

Again-" Mixit imperator (Charlemagne also) scaras suas in Wimodia et in Hostingabi et in Rosogavi, ut illam gentem foras patriam transduceret; nec non et illos Saxones, qui ultra Albiam erant, trangduxit foras, et divisit eos in regnam suum ubi voluit." -Chronicon Moissiac. ad an. 804. (Pertz i. 307.)

The following is a double removal :-" Aestate in Saxoniam ducto exercitu, omnes qui trans Albiann et in Wihmuodi babitabant Saxones cum mulieribus et infantibus transtulit in Franciam, et pagos transalbianos Abotridis dedit."-Annal. Einhard. ad an. 804. (Pertz i. 191.)

Lastly-"In diebus illis surrezerunt de populo Holzatorum amplius quam sexcentæ families, transmissoque amne abierunt via longissims, quærentes sibi sedes opportunas, nbi fervorem persecutionis declinarent. Veneruntque in montes Harticos, et manserunt ibi, ipai et filii et nepotes eorum usque in hodiernam diem." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Helm. Chron. Slav. i. 26.

The Frisians, Dutch, and Saxons seem to have been the chief colonists of this kind :-" Neque illm fraudes locorum, nec . . perfugia silvarum barbaros tegere potuerunt, quominus ditioni tua divinitatis omnes sese dedere cogerentur, ot oum conjugiis ac liberis, ceteroque swamine necessitudinum ac rerum suarum ad loca olim deserta tramsirent, nt qua fortasse ipsi quondam depreedando vastaverant, culta redderent gerviendo: arat ergo none mihi Chamaous et Frisius et ille vagus, ille prodator exèrcitio squalidus operatur et frequentat nondinas meas pecore venali, et cultor barbarus laxat annonam."Eumenii Panegyr. in Maxim. ce. 8, 9.

For the particular colony of the Warasci, see note in 9. Narisei.

In the same neighbourhood (i.e., on the Doubs) were several pagi of-
a. The Commavi, Amavi, taking as a later form, the name pagns Ammaus, Emaus, and Amausensio-
b. The Athoarii, Attoarii, Hatuarii, or Hatoarii.

There can be little doubt but that these were Chamavi and Chattwarii removed from their original localities.

The detail of such colonies is a point of minate ethnology. They are mentioned here, however, for the sake of showing that the presence of certain populations in cortain localities, is to be taken with caution. They may exist without the parta about them being similarly occupied. In which case the population is sporadic.

Now, in order to constitute a true ethnological area, a population mast not be isolated, unconnected, or sporadic, but continuotw.

## § xill germanic area of tactubs.

The Germany of Tacitus extends from the Rhine to the parts about the amber-country of Courland on the north, and as far as Gallicia on the south: to each of which countries we have special allusiond.

For the intermediate portion of Europe, the frontier is carried at least as far as the most eastern of these points; and possibly farther-possibly farther, because the central nation of the Lygii, whose conntry coincides with the modern kingdom of Poland, is described as a large one.

With these limita it includes Mecklenburg, Brandenburg, Pomerania, East and West Prassia, Saxony, Silesia, Bohemia, and Poland.

By the Germany of Tacitus, I mean Tacitus according to the nsual interpretation; without either affirming or denying that his text requires this extent of conatry to verify it.
§ xiv. certain modrrn additiong to the germanic area of Tacitus.

It by no means follows that, because the Germania of Tacitus constitutes a very large tract of country, the whole of the area occupied by the Germanic stock was therefore known to that anthor.

He writes that it was separated from Dacia and Sarmatia montibus aut mutuo metu.

This is not the language of a precise geographer-indeed, precise geography for the parts in question was in Tacitug's time an impossibility.

Hence, any writer who may bold that there was a Germany or Germans, either to the north or to the east of the limita ascribed in the Germania, holde nothing unreasonable. The Dacians and Sarmatians might only have interrupted the outline of that area; in which case Germans might re-appear on the Lower Danube, or in Western Russia, Germans of whom Tacitus knew nothing, and of whom he had lost sight on reaching the Dacian and Sarmatian frontier.

There is nothing onreasonable in all this; and the likelihood of the Germanic ares of Tacitus being smaller, is just as open a question as the likelikood of ite being larger, than the real one. Individually, I believe it to be too wide;* but that is no reason why others should not consider it too narrow.

This has been done. The greatest authority of Germany has expended much learning and ingenvity (language more favourable than this cannot be applied to even the arguments of the great anthor of the Deutsche Grammatik) on what may be called the Gotic hypothesis.

Let it be admitted that the chances against the name of a locality reached by a body of emigrants, invaders, or conquerors, being identical with that of the locality from which those emigrants, invaders, or conquerors started, are almost infinite.

Thue, the chances are almost infinite against the native New Zealand name of the locality of the present settlement

[^9]of Canterbury, being Canterbury also. Nor yet any name very similar to it, such as Canterberg, Kentbury, \&ec.

Though this is an extreme case, it illustrates the points of question-it being asstmed, of course, that the similarity is wholly accidental. If Englishmen had been there previously, the case would be different. The similarity would then be other than accidental ; and a connexion of some sort or other between the district in which the settlement took place, and the district from which the settlers originated would account for it.

No one imagines Boston in Massachusets to be a native Indian name. Yet why should it not be so? Not because the combination was either impossible or improbable for an Indian; but because it is the name of a town in Englandfrom whence some of the settlers came, or upon which they had their eye. Such is the fact; and it is a fact which we should have been nearly as sure of, if the details of the foundation of Boston of Massachusets were unknown, as we are now.

The presence of Englishmen in the two Bostons would have been conclusive; the chances against a people connected with one Boston falling in accidentally with another Boston ready-made (as it were) in respect to name, being incalculably great.

But what if the Boston in Massachusets were the older name of the two? Difficulties would arise. We could not then derive it from the Boston in Lincolnshire.

It is not necessary to carry this hypothetical illustration farther: mutatis mutandis, the argument which it involves applies to the Gothe and the Gote.
$a$. The names are alike: indeed by the later writers Geta is used as equivalent to Gothio ; and in Pliny we find Gaudae by the side of Geta.
b. The supposed country of the Goths is Germany: the undoubted country of the Geta is the Lower Danube.
c. Of the two names that of the Gota is the older.

In this case we really have the difficulty so lately indicated.
Emigrants, with the name Gothi, leave Germany; and, of all the countries in the world, settle in one belonging to a people with a name so like their own as that of the Geta acci-
dentally. It may safely be said, that if this has happened at all, it has happened against great odds.

Yet the solation is obscure; we cannot well suppose the Gothi to have migrated from the land of the Geta; whilst the notion that the Gete came from the Gothi, is set aside by the greater antiquity of the former name (Geta).

If there any other explanation?
In what may be called the Getic hypothesis, it is held that the Goths were Getæ, and the Gete Goths, from the beginning; in other words, the second of the assramed facts is denied, viz., the origin of the Goths in Germany, and the migration from that conntry. There was no migration at all. The Goths were on the Lower Danabe from the beginning, and they were known to the earlier Greek and Latin writers as Getex.

Such the doctrine. Now, as there is the evidence of more than one good writer of antiquity, as to the Thracians being in the same category with the Getæ, the Thracians must have been Gothic as well. Hence the questions involved in the hypothesis in question are of considexable magnitude.

Such is the point of view from which the viewa developed in Grimm's History of the German Language, must be seen in the first instance. The details by which it is supported are elaborate, but eminently unsatisfactory. Reasong for thinking them annecessary are given in the sequel,* where the difficalty arising from the similarity of name is admitted, but differently explained.

## §xy. on native and foreign mames.

The natives of the British Principality are called by the English Wolsh. They call themselves Kymry.

The natives of the rest of South Britain call themselves English. Their Welsh (Kymry) neighbours call them Sassonach = Sawons. So do the Scotch and Irish Gaels. So do the Manksmen of the Isle of Man.

The Germans call themselves Deuteche. The English call no one but the people of Holland Dutch. Thay call the other allied families Germans.

- Epilegomena, in § on the Guths.

The people of Finland call themselves Quains. Most of their neighboare call them Finns.

The Laplanders call themselves Sabme (Säme). The Norwegiang call them Finns. Finmark means Lap-mark.

The hill-tribes of India have no collective name at all. Each tribe has its separate denomination. The collective names $K$ Kond, Bhil, Sûr, \&cc., are all Hindu.

The Slavonians vary the name with the nation. The Germans they call Niomay, the Finns Tahidd.

The Germans call all Slavonians Wends. No Slavonian calls himself so.

This list of the difference between native and foreign designations might be greatly extended. The present instances merely illustrate the extent to which the difference occurs.

In ancient writers we are seldom sure of the name applied to a given population being native. We shoold rather look for it in the language of the population that supplied the information.

From which it follows that we can rarely assume that any name belongs to the language of the population to which it applies; and this creates a difficulty too often overlooked.

I never allow myself to assume this indigenous origin of a national name, except under the following circumstances:-

1. When the information concerning a nation is known to be drawn from the nation itself at first-hand.-Thas, all that Ccesar writes concerning the Germans I attribute to Gallic sonrces; and, consequently, assume the names to be Gallic also. They may be German as well; but this is an accident. He may also in certain exceptional cases have taken the German designation. The general rule, however, is, that the name belongs to the language of the informants.
2. When the name has a meaning in the language to which it applies.-Thas, Maro-o-manni is so truly German that, even in Cmaar, I believe it to be native. How often it may be safe to assume such a meaning is another question.
3. When the name is one out of two or many.-Believing (as, with many better judges, I do) that the words Catti and Sueoi are different names for the same people, and
also believing that, next to the Gauls, the Germans themselves supplied the Romans with information concerning Germany, I consider it more likely that one of the two should be German and native, than that either the Germans or the Gauls should have used two gynonymous designations.
4. When the name of the nation is the same as that of some national hero.-Thus, the fact that the Greeks recognized Hellen as the patriarch of their stock would, even if it stood alone, be good reason for considering the name Hellenes to be indigenous.
5. When the name contains a sound found in the language to which it applies, but not found in the language of the most likely informants-E.g., I believe the word Thule to have been taken direct from some Norse informant, because it contains the Norse sound of $p(t h)$, a sound too rare to be supposed to have come from another language.
6. When the name is very particular and apocific.-The names that one nation gives another are mostly generic and collective. They have seldom a vocabulary sufficiently full for the divisions and sabdivisions of any family but their own. On the other hand, a very generic and collective power is primat facio evidence of the name to which it is attached not being native.

Writers, from whom it is unsafe to differ-as far as they go on any principles at all, and exercise any doubt whatever upon the subject-will possibly add another characteristic of indigenous use. They may consider that the general and undoubted vernacular use of a given name at one period may be a conclusive argument in favour of its vernacular use originally. The natural reluctance of a whole nation to take to itself a designation given it by another, may be arged in favour of this view. I submit, that this is entirely a question of degree; and that it depends on the relative influence and importance of the two nations involved. The modern name Belgium is, undonbtedly, anything but native, i.e., in its immediate application. It is a Roman word, in a Roman form, and all that can be said in favour of its Belgic character is, that the country to which it applies supplied the Latin language with the most essential part of it. Nevertheless, it
is a word of Roman make; one which never has been developed in the country itself.

That it is foreign we know; and we know it becanse it has been assigned within the memory of man. But what if it had been assigned in the obscure days of the third and fourth centuries? It would undoubtedly have passed for native.

At the same time I sdmit that, in order for one nation to adopt the name by which it is known to another, there mast be a very favourable combination of circumstances; B.g.-
a. There must be a considerable difference in the powet of the two populations; the weaker taking the name from the stronger only when the fact of its relative weakness is evident.
b. Or there must be intermixtare.
c. Or there must be more than one nation to use the foreign term, whilst only one upholds the native.

Contrary to many, I am dissatisfied with the evidence which makes two very important words native and GermanSuabia (Suevi) and Saxon. I think each of these was directly Roman, and remotely Keltio. Hence, to the objection against their non-Germanic character, founded apon their undoubted adoption by undoubted German populations, I suggest the fact that their adoption was favoured by the support of two languages (the Roman and the Keltic) against the German single-handed.

More specific reasons will be found in the sequel." At present I merely illustrate a line of criticism.

## § xyi. limitations in the way of etymology.

The etymology of national names is generally considered a powerful instrument in ethnological research.

It is doubtful, however, whether much has been done by it.
Few writers admit any one's etymologies but their own. This is a proof of the arbitrary method in which the practice is carried ont.

> * Epilegomena, § Suev.

In the name Cherusoi some of the best writers of Germany find the root heru=sivord. Hence, the Cherusci are swords, and, by exteasion, swords-men.

But there is another nation mentioned by Tacitns, called Suard-ones. $\quad$ Suard $=$ swoord; and, hence, Oherusei $=$ Suardones, and vice vorsa.

Thirdly, as sahs=dagger ; dagger $=$ sword, the Saxons are the men of the saks. Hence, Saron = Suardones = Cherusci, and the three tribes are the same.

I give this as an illustration of an investigation; valuable, if true. But the trath is doubtful.

In most investigations of this sort, two series of facts are overlooked.

1. The language to which the derivational pracess is applied.-How many have sought for a German meaning to the word Germani, without submitting it to the previous inquiry as to whether the name were German at all.
2. The likelihood of the name itzelf.-I will not deny that nations may be fonnd who give themselves such names as Sroord, Dagger, Knife, \&cc. I only argue that the induction by which such names can be shown fitting to an unknown case, has yet to be made.

A fact that eminently invalidates this kind of critieism, is the habit of numerons nations themselves. Many of them are so far from supposing that their name has an intelligible origin, that they exhibit an unconscious confession of their ignorance. The Greeks (for instance) and many Oriental nations explain their name by fupposing that it is that of the patriarch of their stock-their eponymus. Thus the Hellenes derive themselves from Hellon, the Turks from Turt, \&ce. They would not do this if, in the full command of their own tongue, and in a period comparatively near the origin of their name, there was some custom or attribate connected with themselves which would explain it better.

I think the etymology of simple uncompounded national names dangerous and onscientific. In a few cases it is admis-sible-but only in a few. In the present volume I adopt the accredited meaning of three simple uncompounded names only
-Firanks,* Astyi, $\dagger$ Jazyges. $\dagger$ With compounds and derivalives, it is different. One part of the word helps to verify another, and so error gets guarded against.

In compound words, then, only-such as Marc-0-manni, and Boio-homum (with the three exceptions given above) shall I allow myself to argue from the etymology to any ulterior conclusion.

## §XVI. on thr term marcomanni.

In respect to its form, Marc-o-manni is one of the most satisfactory words of antiquity.

It first appears in Cesar's notice of the subjects and allies of Ariovistus. The fact of Cæsar's informants being Gaule, and the greater part of his nomenclature being Gallic, is the only difficulty that accompanies the notion of the German being the language in which its meaning is to be sought.

But this is only the shadow of a shade; inasmuch as the undonbtedly German authorities, in which it afterwards occars, do away with all doubt as to the tongue to which it belongs.

Nevertheless, why this should be German, when Cessar's other names are Gallic, is not so easy to say.

Its form is full and perfect. There are the two elements which make it a compound (mark + man) and the copula $(-a)$ which connects them.

Mark = march, and mann=man, so that Marc-o-manni $=$ mon of the marches.

From this derivation I draw three points of great importance in the practice of ethnological criticism, points which, so far as I am aware, have never been sufficiently attended to; at any rate, they have never been made the basis of so mach inference as they will be in the following pages.

1. The first of these is the possibility of the nomber of Maro-o-manmi being numerous; as numerous as the number

[^10]of the marches. Something of the kind has been admitted; and Marchmen, over and above those of Ariovistus and the Marcomannic war, have been recognised. But not to the extent necessary to do away with the difficulties of the question. The Gallic march, on the confines of Germany and Gaul-the Slavonic march, falling into different divisions according to the different parts of the lengthy frontier-the Romen march, on the confines of those parts of Vindelicia, and the Decumates Agri which acknowledged the supremacy of the empire-and the Northern march, on the side of the nnascertained frontier of Sleswick-Holstein-each, or any of these, may have supplied the name Marco-manni. I do not say that they all have doneso. I only say that such may lave been the case. If so, how hasty it is to assume that the Marco-manni of different times and different localities are one and the same representatives of a separate sulstantive nation as truly as Cherusci or Chamavi are - locomotive, migratory, and well-nigh ubiquitous. No one in England imagines that the history of the Welsh Marchmen, is that of the Marchmen of the Scottish border, and that the frontagers which we find in Shropshire and Chester, are descendants of those of Westmoreland and Camberland; bodily moved from one area to another by migration-or, vice versd. No! There were as many Marchmen as Marches, and as many Marches as frontiers. I do not, at present, say that the Marcomanni of Ariovistus and the Marcomanni of Maroboduns belonged to different sections of the Germanic stock; since what is written, at present, is meant as an illostration rather than an argument. I only say that it is likely that they did so-the one being the Marchmen of the Gallic, the other the Marchmen of the Rhato-Vindelican, or RhætoPannonian march; possibly as different from each other as the retainers of the ancient lords of the marches of Alnwick and Ladlow respectively.
2. The next is the strong likelihood of the great majority of the marches of the ancient Marcomanni coinciding with the boundaries of different stocks, races, varieties, or whatever we call those great divisions of the haman species which we designate by the terms Gothic, Slavonic, and Keltic. I say
great likelihood, because I am unwilling to overstate the case. Marks of minor magnitude may have existed-marks between different members of the same stock; between, for instance, the Catti and Cherueci, the Cherusci and Chauci, \&c. This, again, is what we find at bome. The Weleh marches separated the Saxon from the Kelt : the Scottish, the southron Saxon from the northern. Still I think that the existence of a march, anfficiently important to be mentioned by the Roman historians, is primá facie evidence of the existence of an ethnological difference of considerable magnitude.
3. The third is the linear character of the dimensions of a march. A bonndary which separates one area from another is surely narrower than either of the areas which it separates. A march as broad as it is long is no march at all. To this, however, there is an objection. One nation may so encroach upon another that-the march, or line of bondaries, is continually advancing. Now if the name be retained whilst the line becomes protruded, the breadth of a march may become as notable as its length. Thus, if the North American settlers had called each county which abutted on the Indian frontier the march, and if those counties had retained their names, there would now be a series of areas, so named, reaching from the Atlantic to the Rocky Mountains. And this is really the case in Germany, where we have the oldest line of frontier between the Slavonians and Germans, called All-mark (the old march); the next, Mittel-mark (the middle march); and the third, Uckor-mark the march of the Ucrii (a Slavonic population somealled).
4. There is also another element of uncertainty. Suppose the Humber was called the river March. The people on it might be called Marchmen, though not on a march. In such a case, certain Yorkshiremen would appear to form the frontier, when, really, they did not do so. By this, the writer who argued from the name only would imagine that the non-English area began at Hull instead of at Roxburgh ; and the English area would lose all Yorkshire, Durbam, and Northumberland.

Now, reverse this supposition, and let the Spey be called the March. In this case, the men on its banks would appear
to form the frontier, when, really, it was on the Tweed. By this, the writer, who argued from the name only, would imagine that the non-English area was at Cromarty instead of Roxburgh, and the English area would lose all Fife, Aberdeen, and the Lothians.

Now Maros, a word not unlike March, is the name of the river of Moravia, and Moravia is in the neighbourhood of the Marco-manni.

Notwithstanding these objections, I shall use the term Marc-o-manni as an instrament of criticism, and (to anticipate) Bohemia is the country in which it will most especially be applied.
§ xivin. irregularity of bize of ethnological areas.
It is probable that I may appear too careless about the size I give to certain etbnological areas, e.g., the Frisian, the Slavonic, and others; so as to look like a writer who finds his Frisians, his Slavonians, or his any other equally-favoured nation everywhere.

To anticipate this, I remark, that not only are large areas --areas far larger than any given to any population in the following pages-the commonest of ethnological phenomena, but that they generally stand in the neighbourhood of small ones; ao that the contrast between a multiplicity of ethnological differences within a small area, and great ethnological uniformity over a large one, is the normal condition of the world. Thus-
a. In Asia-the vast Turk, Mongol, Chinese, and Persian areas, are contrasted with the small ones of the Caucssian, Himalayan, and Siberian populations.
b. In Africa-the Berber and Kaffre are similarly great; the Felup, Sapi, Nalu, \&ce., similarly small.
c. In America-the Eskimo, Athabaskans, Algonking, and Guarani take up half of the continent. On the lower Missiasippi there are eight or ten matually unintelligible tongues within an area the size of Yorkshire.

## § Xix. cksake notices of the germans.

Of so much more importance than the remarks of all other writers upon Germany are those of Cæas, that the chief extracts from the Bellum Gallicum bearing upon that conntry will be given in axtenso. They require, however, certain preliminary remarks.

First comes the distinction between what Cesar observed for himself and what he learned from others. Of these latter, his chief informants were Ganls, and chief amongst the Gauls, most probably, Divitiacns the Æiduan. The parts of Germany which an AEdaan would best understand would be those of the Middle Rhine-Hesse, Franconia, and the northern parts of Suabia. The name by which these Germans were known was $S$ uevi.

Another point to notice, is the likelihood of the Germans thno described having spoken Gallic to the Gauls, instead of the Gauls having learned German: inasmach as there is the special statement that Ariovistus spoke the language of the country he had invaded; and that it was in Gallic that he made himself intelligible to the Romans. There is no evidence of any Gaul speaking German.

Hence, it will not be surprising if many of the names in Cxsar are as little German as the name Weldh is Cambrian. Without, at present, saying how far such is the case, it is enough to remark that, amongst the German populations of Cæsar, there is only one whereof the name is unequivocally German, as tested by its structare and etymology. This word is Marcomanni= Marchmen, or men of the boundaries.

Of the Germans of Ariovistus, Cæsar's knowledge was -personal; but these were intrusive emigrants rather than true Germans, i.e., Germans in a Gallic locality, and (probably for that reason) partially Gallicised. The Germans for the parts between Bonn and Nimeguen, were also similarly known.

Iastly, he speaks from his study of previous writers, quoting Eratosthenes for the extent and name of the Hercynian forest.

That Casar was the chief firet-hand authority for the main details concerning early Germany, is evident; at the same time it is not in Cresar that the classification into Ingevones, Istævones, \&ec, is to be found. Neither is it in Cesar that the parts which were not visited ontil after his time are described. The broad distinction between Gaul and German is his; the Ganl being taken as the type.

The extent to which the names in Cæsar differ from those of Tacitus creates certain slight difficulties. His nomention of the Catti is a most remarkable instance of this. That Cæsar's names are chiefly Gallic, whilst Tacitus's are Germanic, is, in the mind of the present writer, the chief explanation here.

The greatest difficalty lies in the second and third extracts, wherein certain Belgian populations are made German. I can only reconcile this with the great preponderance of evidence in favour of the Belgæ being Gauls, by considering the term Belgic in the book of Cæsar to be political rather than ethnological ; in other words, to denote a confederation rather than a homogeneous nation. At the same time we may admit both intermixture ${ }^{*}$ and intrusion.

These preliminaries precede the following extracts; the criticiem of which will find its place in different parts of the body of the book.

CKS. BELL. GALL. 1.
XXX. Bello Helvetiornm confecto, totius fere Gallix legati, principes civitatum, ad Cæsarem gratulatum convenerunt: "intelligere sese, tametsi, pro veteribus Helvetiorum injuriis populi Romani, ab iis pcenas bello repetisset, tamen eam rem non minus ex usu terræ Galliæ, quam populi Romani accidisse, propterea quod eo consilio florentissimis rebas domos suas Helvetii reliquissent, uti toti Galliæ bellum inferrent, imperioque potirentur, locumque domicilio ex magna copia deligerent, quem ex omni Gallia opportunissimum ac fructnosissimum judicassent, reliquasque civitates stipendiarias haberent." Petierunt, "ati sibi concilium totius Gallia in diem certam indicere, idque Cæsaris voluntate facere

[^11]liceret: sese habere quasdam res, ques ex communi consensu ab eo petere vellent." Ea re permissa, diem concilio constituernnt et jurejurando, ne quis enunciaret, nisi quibus communi consilio mandatum esset, inter se sanxerant.
XXXI. Eo concilio dimiseo, iidem principes civitatum, qui ante fuerant ad Cæsarem, reverterant petierantque, nti sibi secreto in occulto de sua omniumque salute cum eo agere liceret. Ea re impetrata, sese omnes flentes Cæsari ad pedes projecerunt : "non minus se id contendere et laborare, ne ea, quæ dixissent, enunciarentur, quam uti ea, quæ vellent, impetrarent, propteres quod, si ennnciatum esset, summum in cruciatum se venturos viderent." Locutus est pro his Divitiacus Eduus: "Gallies totius factiones esse duas; harum alterius principatum tenere $\boldsymbol{\text { Eduos, alterins Arvernos. }}$ Hi quam tantopere de potentatu inter se multos annos contenderent, factum esse, uti ab Arvernis Sequanisque Germani mercede arcesserentur. Hornm primo circiter millia xv. Rhenum transisse: posteaquam agros et cultum et eopias Gallorum homines feri ac barbari adamassent, tramsductos plures; nune esse in Gallia ad c. et xx. millinm numeram : cum his $\mathbb{E} d$ nos eoramque clientes semel atque iterom armis contendisse : magnam calamitatem pulsos accepisse, omnem nobilitatem, omnem senatum, omnem equitatum amisisse. Quibas preeliia calamitatibusque fractos, qui et sua virtnte, et popoli Romani hospitio atque amicitia plurimum ante in Gallia potuissent, coactos esse Sequanis obsides dare, nobilissimos civitatis, et jurejurando civitatem obstringere, sese neque obsides repetituros, neque auxilinm a populo Romano imploraturos, neque recusatnros, quo minus perpetuo sub illorum ditione atque imperio essent. Unnm se esse ex omni civitate Æduorvm, qui adduci non potuerit, ut juraret, aut liberos suos obsides daret. Ob eam rem se ex civitate profugisse et Romam ad senatum venisse, auxilium postulatum, quod solus neque jurejurando neque obsidibas teneretur. Sed pejus victoribus Sequanis, quam $A$ duis victis, accidisse, propteres quod Ariovistus, rex Germanoram, in eorum finibas consedisset tertiamque partem agri Sequani, qui esset optimus totius Gallix, occupavisset et nunc de altera parte tertia Sequanos decedere juberet, propteres quod paucis mensibus
ante Harudum* millia hominum xxiv. ad enm venissent, quibus locus ac sedes pararentur. Fatarum esse paucis annis, uti omnes ex Galliz finibus pellerentur atque omnes Germani Rhenum transirent: neque enim conferendum esse Gallicum cum Germanorum agro, neque banc consuetudinem victus cam illa comparandam. Ariovistum antem, ut semel Gallorum copias proclio vieerit, quod prelium factun sit ad Magetobriam, superbe et crudeliter imperare, obsidea nobilissimi eajugque liberos poscere et in eos omnia exempla cruciatnsque edere, ai qua res non ad nutum aut ad voluntatem ejus facta sit: hominem esse barbarum, iracundum, temerarium : non posse ejns imperia diutins sustineri. Nisi si quid in Cessare populoque Romano sit auxilii, omnibus Gallis idem esse faciundum, quod Helvetii fecerint, ut domo emigrent, aliud domiciliam, alias sedes, remotas a Germanis petant fortunamque, quacumque accidat, experiantur. Hæc si enunciata Ariovisto sint, non dubitare, quin de omnibas obsidibus, qui apad eam sint, gravissimom supplicium sumat. Cæsarem vel auctoritate sua atque exercitns, vel recenti victoria, vel nomine populi Romani deterrere posse, ne major multitudo Germanorum Rhenum transducatur; Galliamque omnem ab Ariovisti injuria posse defendere."
XXXII. Hac oratione ab Divitiaco habito, omnes, qui aderant, magno fletu auxilium a Cæsare petere cœperunt. Animadyertit Censar, anos ex omnibas Sequanos nihil earam rerum facere, quas ceteri facerent, sed tristes, capite demisso, terram intueri, Ejus rei caussa qum esset miratus ex ipsis quæsiit. Nihil Sequani respondere, sed in eadem tristitia taciti permanere. Quum ab iis sepins quereret, neque ullam omnino vocem exprimere posset, idem Divitiacus KEduus respondit: "Hoc esse miseriorem gravioremque fortunam Sequanorum pree reliquorum, quod soli ne in occulto quidem queri, neque auxilium implorare auderent, absentisque Ariovisti crudelitatem, velut si coram adesset, horrerent: propterea quod reliquis tamen fugw facultas daretur; Sequanis vero, qui intra fines suos Ariovistum recepissent, quorum oppida omnia in potestate ejus essent, omnes cruciatus essent perferendi."

* When an name is printed in Italics, it will be noticed in the Epilegomena,
XXXIII. His rebus cognitis, Cæsar Galloram animos verbis confirmavit, pollicitusque est, sibi eam rem cure futuram: magnam se habere spem, et beneficio suo, et auctoritate adductum Ariovistum finem injuris facturum. Hac oratione habita, concilium dimisit, et secundum ea multee res eum hortabantur, quare sibi rem cogitandam et suscipiendam putaret ; imprimis quod AEduos, fratres consanguineosque sæpenamero a senatu adpellatos, in servitute atque in ditione videbat Germanoram teneri, eorumque obsides esse apud Ariovistum ac Sequanos intelligebat: quod in tanto imperio populi Romani turpissimum sibi et reipublice esse arbitrabatur. Paullatim autem Germanos consuescere Rhenum trangire; et in Galliam magnam eorum multitudinem venire, populo Romano periculosum videbat: neque sibi homines feros ac barbaros, temperaturos existimabat, quin, quum omnem Galliam occupassent, at ante Cimbri Teutonique fecissent, in provinciam exirent atque inde in Italiam contenderent; presertim quum Sequanos a provincia nostra Rhodanus divideret. Quibus rebus quam maturime occurrendum putabat. Ipse autem Ariovistus tantos sibi spiritus, tantam adrogantiam sumserat, ut ferendus nou videretur.
XXXIV. Quamobrem placuit ei, at ad Ariovistum legatos mitteret, qui ab eo postularent, uti aliquem locum medium ntriasque colloquio diceret; velle sese de republica et sammis ntriusque rebus cum eo agere. Ei legationi Ariovistus respondit: "Si quid ipsi a Cæsare opus esset, sese ad eum venturum fuisse; si quid ille se velit, illum ad se venire oportere. Prateres se neque sine exercitu in eas partes Galiza venire audere, quas Cæsar possideret; neque exercitum sine magno commeatu atque emolimento in unum locam contrahere posse: sibi autem mirom videri, quid in soa Gallis, quam bello vicisset, sut Cessari, ant omnino populo Romano negotii esset."
XXXV. His responsis ad Cesarem relatis, iterum ad eum Cessar legatos cum his mandatis mittit: "Quoniam tanto suo populique Romani beneficio adfectus, quam in consulatu suo rex atque amicus a senatu adpellatus esset, hanc sibi populoque Romano gratiam referret, ut in colloquium venire invitatus gravaretur, neque de commani re dicendum sibi et
cognoscendum pataret; bee esse, qua ab eo postularet; primum, ne quam hominum multitudinem amplins trans Rhenum in Galliam transduceret: deinde obsides, quos haberet ab Adduis, redderet Sequanisque permitteret, ut, quos illi haberent, voluntate ejus reddere illis liceret; neve Æduos injuria lacesseret, neve his sociisve corum bellom inferret: si id ita fecissit, sibi populoque Romano perpetuam gratian atque amicitiam cum eo futuram : si non impetraret, sese, quoniam M. Messala, M. Pisone Coss senatns censrisset, uti, quicumque Galliam provinciam obtineret, quod commodo reipublicm facere posset, Aduos ceterosque amicos popali Romani defenderet, sese Adduoram injurias non neglecturam."
XXXVI. Ad hae Ariovistas respondit: "Jus esse belli, at, qui vicissent, iis, quos vicissent, quemadmodum vellent, imperarent : item populum Romanam victis non ad alterius prescriptum, sed ad suam arbitrium imperare consuesse. Si ipse populo Romano non præscriberet, quemadmodnm ano jure uteretur; non oportere sese a popalo Romano in soo jure impediri. Æduos sibi, quonjam belli fortunam tentassent et armis congressi ac superati essent, stipendiarios esse factos. Magnam Cæsarem injuriam facere, qui suo adventu vectigalia sibi deteriora faceret. Aduis se obsides redditurum non esse, neque iis, neque eoram sociis injuria bellum illaturum, si in eo manerent, quod convenisset, stipendiamque quotannis penderent; ai id non feciesent, longe iis fraternum nomen populi Romani afuturum. Quod sibi Cesar denunciaret, se $\AA$ Eduorum injurias non neglecturam; neminem secum sine sua pernicie contendisse. Quum vellet, congrederetur ; intellecturum, quid invicti Germani exercitatissimi in armis, qui inter annos quatuordecim tectum non subissent virtate possent."
XXXVII. Hæc eodem tempore Cæsari mandata referebantur, et legati ab Fduis et a Treviris veniebant: Adui questum, quod Harndes, qui nuper in Galliam transportati essent, fines eorum popularentur; sese ne obsidibus quidem datis pacem Ariovisti redimere potuisse: Treviri antem, pagos centum Suevorum ad ripas Rheni consedisse, qui Rhenam transire conarentur; iis præesse Nasuam et Cimberium fratres. Quibus rebus Cæsar vehementer commotus,
maturandum sibi existimavit, ne, si nova manus Suevorum cum veteribus copiis Ariovisti sese conjunxisset, minus facile resisti posset. Itaque re frumentaria, quam celerrime potuit, comparata, magnis itineribus ad Ariovistum contendit.
XXXVIII. Quum tridui viam processisset, nanciatum est ei, Ariovistum cam suis omnibus copiis ad occupandum Vesontionem, quod est oppidnm maximum Sequanorum, contendere, triduique viam a suis finibus processisse. Id ne accideret, magno opere sibi præcavendum Cæsar existimabat: namque omnium rerum, quæ ad bellum usui erant, summa erat in eo oppido facultas; idque natura loci sic muniebatur, at magnam ad ducendum bellum daret facultatem, propteres quod flumen Dobis, at circino, circamductam, pæne totum oppidum cingit : reliquum spatium, quod est non amplias pedum de., qua flumen intermittit, mons continet magna altitudine, ita ut radices montis ex utraque parte ripm flaminis contingant. Hunc murus circumdatos arcem efficit et cum oppido conjungit. Huc Oæsar magnis noctarnis diarnisque itineribus contendit, ocenpatoque oppido, ibi presidium collocat.
XXXIX. Dum paucos dies ad Vesontionem rei frumentarix commeatusque caussa moratux, ex percunctatione nostroram vocibusque Gallorum ac mercatorum, qui ingenti magnitudine corporam Germanos, incredibili virtute atque exercitatione in armis esse predicabant, sæpenumero sese cum eis congressos ne valtum quidem atque aciem oculorum ferre potuisse, tantus subito timor omnem exercitum occupavit, ut non mediocriter omnium mentes animosque perturbaret. Hic primum ortus est a tribunis militum, prafectis reliquisque, qui, ex urbe amicitiæ caussa Cæsarem secuti, non magnum in re militari usum habebant: quorum alius, alia caussa illata, quam sibi ad proficiscendum necessariam esse dicerent, petebant, ut ejus voluntate discedere liceret: nonnulli, pudore adducti, ut timoris auspicionem vitarent, remanebant. Hi neque vultum fingere, neque interdum lacrimas tenere poterant: abditi in tabernacnlis aut suum fatum querebantur, aut com familiaribus suis commune periculum miserabantar. Vulgo totis castris testamenta obsignabantur. Horum vocibas ac timore paullatim etiam ii, qui magnum in castris usum habebant, milites centurionesque, quique equitatn preerant,
perturbabantur. Qui se ex his minus timidos existimari volebant, non se hostem vereri, sed angastias itineris et magnitudinem silvarum, quee intercederent inter ipsos atque Ariovistum, sut rem frumentariam, ut satis commode sapportari posset, timere dicebant. Nonnulli etiam Cæsari renunciabant, quum castra moveri ac signa ferri jassisset, non fore dicto audientes milites, neque propter timorem signa laturos.
XL. Hæc quam animadvertisset, convocato consilio, omninmque ordinum ad id consilium adhibitis centurionibus, vehementer eos incusavit: 'primum, quod, aut quam in partem, aut quo concilio ducerentur, sibi qumrendum ant cogitandam putarent. Ariovistum, se consule, cupidissime popoli Romani amicitiam adpetisse; cur hane tam temere quisquam $a b$ officio discessurum judicaret? Sibi quidem persuaderi, cognitis suis postulatis atque æquitate conditionam perspecta, eum neque suam, neque populi Romani gratiam repudiaturam. Quod si furore atque amentia impulsus bellam intulisset, quid tandem vererentur? aut cur de sua virtute, aut de ipsius diligentia desperarent? Factum ejus bostis periculum patrum nostroram memoria, quum, Cimbris et Teutonis a C. Mario pulsis, uon minorem laudem exercitus, quam ipse imperator, meritus videbatur: factum etiam naper in Italia servili tumultu, quos tamen aliquid usus ac disciplina quam a nobis accepissent, sablevarent. Ex quo judicari posset, quantum haberet in se boni constantia; propterea quod, quos aliquamdia inermos sine caussa timuissent, hos postea armatos ac victores superassent. Denique hos esse eosdem, quibascum sæpenumero Helvetii congressi, non solum in suis, sed etiam in illorum finibus, plerumque superarint, qui tamen pares esse nostro exercitui non potuerint. Si quos adversum prelinm et fuga Gallorom commoveret; hos, si quærerent, reperire posse, diuturnitate belli defatigatis Gallis, Ariovistum, quam multos menses castris se ae palndibus tenniaset, neque sui potestatem fecisset, desperantes jam de pugna et dispersos subito adortum, magis ratione et consilio, quam virtute, vicisse. Cui rationi contra homines barbaros atque imperitos locus fuisset, hac ne ipsum quidem sperare nostros exercitus capi posse. Qui saum timorem in rei frumentarise simalationem angustiasque itinerum conferrent,
facere adroganter, quum aut de officio imperatoris desperare aut prescribere viderentur. Hæc sibi esse curæ; frumentum Sequanos, Leucos, Lingonas subministrare; jamque esse in agris frumenta matura; de itinere ipsos brevi tempore jadicaturos. Quod non fore dicto audientes milites, neque signa latari dicantur, nibil se ea re commoveri : scire enim, quibascumque exercitus dicto audiens non fuerit, aut, male re gesta, fortunam defuisse; ant, aliquo facinore comperto, avaritiam esge convictam. Suam innocentiam perpetua vita, felicitatem Helvetiorum bello esse perapectam. Itaque se, quod in longiorem diem collatarus esset, representaturum et proxima nocte de quarta vigilia castra moturum, nt quam primum intelligere posset, utrum apnd eor pudor atque officium, an timor valeret. Quod si preterea nemo sequatur, tamen se cum sola decima legione iturum, de qua non dubitaret; sibique eam pretoriam cohortem futuram." Huic legioni Cæsar et indulserat precipue, et propter virtutem confidebat maxime.
XLI. Hac oratione habita, mirum in modum conversa sunt omniom mentes, summaque alacritas et cupiditas belli gerendi innata est, princepsque decima legio per tribunos militam ei gratias egit, quod de se optimum judicium fecisset, seque esse ad belinm gerendum paratissimam confirmavit. Deinde reliquaf legiones per tribunos militum et primoram ordinum centuriones egerunt, uti Cæsari satisfacerent: se neque nmquam dubitasse, neque timuisse, neque de summa belli suum judicium, sed imperatoris esse, existimavisse. Eorum satisfactione accepta et itinere exquisito per Divitiacum, quod ex aliis ei maximam fidem habebat, nt millium amplins quinquaginta circuita locis apertis exercitum duceret de quarta vigilia, at dixerat, profectus est. Septimo die, quum iter non intermitteret, ab exploratoribus certior factus est, Ariovisti copias a nostris millibus passuum quatuor et viginti abesse.
XLII. Cognito Cessaris adventu, Ariovistas legatos ad eum mittit: quod antea de colloquio postulasset, id per se fieri licere, quoniam propius accessisset: seque id sine periculo facere posse existimare. Non respuit conditionem Cexsar: jamque eum ad sanitatem reverti arbitrabatur,
quum id, quod antea petenti denegaseet, nitro polliceretur; magnamque in spem veniebat, pro euis tantis populique Romani in eum beneficiis, cognitis suis poatulatis, fore, uti pertinacia desisteret. Dies colloquio dictus est, ex eo die quintus. Interim quum expe altro citroque legati inter eos mitterentar, Ariovistns postulavit, ne quem peditem ad colloquium Cesear adduceret : vereri se, ne per insidias ab eo circumveniretur: aterque cum equitatu veniret; alia ratione se non esse venturum. Cæsar, quod neque colloquium interposita caussa tolli volebat, neque salatem suam Gallorum equitatui committere audebat, commodissimum esse statuit, omnibns equis Gallis equitibus detractis, eo legionarios milites legionis decimæ, cui quam maxime confidebat, imponere, ut prasidiam quam amicissimum, si quod opus facto esset, haberet. Quod quum fieret, non irridicale quidam ex militibus decimmo legionis dixit: "plus, quam pollicitus esset, Cæsarem ei facere: pollicitum, se in cohortis pretorie loco decimam legionem habiturum, nunc ad equum rescribere."
XLIII. Planicies erat magna, et in ea tumulas terrenus satis grandis. Hic locus wquo fere spatio ab castris utrieque sberat. Eo, at erat dictum, ad colloquium venerant. Legionem Cæssar, quam equis devexerat, passibus ducentis ab eo tumulo constituit. Item equites Ariovisti pari intervallo constitertunt. Ariovistas, ex equis et colloquerentar et, prater se, denos ot ad colloquium adducerent, postulavit. Ubi eo ventum est, Cesar iuitio orationis saa senatugque in eum beneficia commemoravit, "quod rex adpellatus esset a senstu, quod amicus, quod munera amplissima misea: quam rem et paucis contigisse, et pro magnis hominum officiis consuesse tribni" docebat: "illum, quam neque aditum, neque canssam postulandi justam haberet, beneficio ac liberalitate sua ac senatus ea premia consecutum." Docebst etiam, "quam veteres, quamque juste cansse necessitudinis ipsis cum EXduis intercederent, que senatus consulta, quoties, quamque honorifica in eos facta essent: at omni tempore totius Galliæ principatum Edui tenuisent, prius etiam, quam nostram amicitiam adpetiseent ; populi Romani hanc esse consnetudinem, ut mocios atque amicos non modo sui nihil deperdere, sed gratia, dignitate, honore auctiores velit esses
quod vero ad amicitiam populi Romani adtulissent, id iis eripi, quis pati posset?" Postulavit deinde eadem, quæ legatis in mandatis dederat, " ne aut Åduis, ant eorum sociis bellum inferret; obsides redderet; si nullam partem Germanoram domam remittere posset, at ne quos amplius Rhenom transire pateretur."
XLIV. Ariovistus ad postulata Cæsaris panca respondit: de suis virtatibus multa predicavit: "Trangisse Rhenum sese, non sua sponte, sed rogatum et arcessitum a Gallis; non sine magna ape magnisque premiis domum propinquosque reliquisse; sedes habere in Gallia, ab ipsis concessas ; obsides ipsoram voluntate datos : stipendium capere jure belli quod victores victis imponere consuerint; non sese Gallis, sed Gallos sibi bellum intalisse; omnes Gallim civitates ad se oppugnandum venisse, ac contra se castra habuisse; eas omnes copias a se ono prolio fusas ac superatas esse; si iteram experiri velint, iterum paratum sese decertare; si pace uti velint, iniquum esse, de stipendio recusare, quod sua volontate ad id tempus dependerint. Amicitiam populi Romani sibi ornamento et presidio, non detrimento, esse oportere, idque se ea spe petisse. Si per populum Romanum stipendium remittatar et dedititii subtrahantor, non minus libenter sese recusaturum populi lhomani amicitiap, quam adpetierit. Quod multitudinem Germanoram in Galliam transducat, id se mui muniendi, nou Galliæ impugnanda caussa facere: ejus rei testimonium esse, quod, nisi rogatus, non venexit, et quad bellum non intulerit, sed defenderit. Se prius in Galliam venisse, quam populum Romanum. Numquam ante hoc tempas exercitum populi Romani Gallize provincim fines egressum. Quid sibi vellet? Cur in saas possessiones veniret? Provinciam suam hanc esse Galliam, sicut illam nostram. Ut ipsi concedi non oporteret, ai in nostros fines impetam faceret: sic item nos esse iniquos, qui in suo jure se interpellaremus. Quod fratres a senatu Æeduos adpellatos diceret, non ge tam barbarum, neque tam imperitum esse rerum, at non sciret, neque bello Allobrogum proximo AEduos Romanis auxilinm tulisge, neque ipsos in his contentionibus, quas Aedui secum et cum Sequanis habaissent, auxilio popali Romani usos esse. Debere se saspicari,
simulata Cæsarem amicitia, quod exercitum in Gallia habeat, sui opprimendi caussa habere. Qui nisi decedat atque exercitum deducat ex his regionibus, sese illum non pro amico, sed pro hoste habiturum : quod si eum interfecerit, multis sese nobilibus principibusque populi Romani gratum esse facturam: id se ab ipsis per eoram nuncios compertum habere, quorum omninm gratiam atque amicitiam ejus morte redimere posset. Quod si decessiseet et liberam possessionem Gallix sibi tradidisset, magno se illum præmio remuneraturum et, quæcumque bella geri vellet, sine allo ejus labore et periculo confecturum."
XLV. Multa ab Cæsare in eam sententiam dicta sunt, quare negotio desistere non posset, et "neque suam, neque populi Romani consuetudinem pati, uti optime meritos socios desereret: neque se judicare, Galliam potius esse Ariovisti, quam populi Romani. Bello superatos esse Arvernos et Rutenos ab Q. Fabio Maximo, quibus populus Romanus ignovisset, neque is provinciam redegisset, neque stipendium imposuisset. Quod si antiquissimum quodque tempus spectari oporteret, populi Romani justissimum esse in Gallia imperium : si judicium senatus observari oporteret, liberam debere esse Galliam, quam bello victam suis legibus uti volvisset."
XLVI. Dum hæc in colloquio geruatar, Casari nunciatom est, equites Ariovisti propius tamulum accedere et ad nostros adequitare, lapides telaque in nostros conjicere. Ceesar loquendi finem fecit seque ad suos recepit suisque imperavit, ne quod omnino telum in hostes rejicerent. Nam etsi sine ullo pericalo legionis delectoe cam equitata prelium fore videbat; tamen committendum non putabat, ut, pulsis hostibus, dici posset, eos ab se per fidem in colloquio circumventos. Posteaquam in vulgus militum elatum est, qua adrogantia in colloquio Ariovistus usus omni Gallia Romanis interdixisset, impetamque in nostros ejas equitis fecissent eaque res colloquium ut diremisset: multo major alacritas studiumque pugnandi majus exercitu injectum est.
XLVII. Biduo post Ariovistas ad Cesarem legatos mittit, velle se de his rebus, quæ inter eos agi ceepto, neque. perfectre essent, agere cam eo: uti aut iterum colloquio dièmconstitueret; \&at, si id minas vellet, ex sais legatis aliquem
ad se mitteret. Colloquendi Cæsari caussa visa nou est, et eo magis, quod pridie ejus diei Germani retineri non poterant, quin in nostros tela conjicerent. Legatum ex suis sese magno cam periculo ad eam missaram, et hominibus feris objectnrom existimabat. Comnodissimum visum est, C. Valerium Procillum, O. Valerii Cabari filium, summa virtate et homanitate adolescentem (cujus pater a O. Valerio Flacco civitate donatus erat), et propter fidem, et propter lingam Gallicæ scientiam, qua multa jam Ariovistus longinqua consuetudine utebatar, et quod in eo peccandi Germanis caussa non esget, ad enm mittere, et M. Mettium, qui hospitio Ariovisti usus erat. His mandavit, ut, gaze diceret Ariovistus, cognoscerent et ad se referrent. Quos quam apud so in castris Ariovistus conspexisset, exercitu suo præsente, conclamavit: "Quid ad se venirent? An speculandi caussa?" Conantis dicere prohibuit et in catenas conjecit.
XLVIII. Eodem die castra promovit et millibus passuum sex a Cesaris castris sub monte consedit. Postridie ejus diei preoter castra Cæsaris suas copias transduxit et millibus passuum duobns ultra eum castra fecit, eo consilio, uti frumento commeataque, qui ex Sequanis et 左duis supportaretur, Cæsarem intercluderet. Ex eo die dies continuos quinque Cessar pro castris suas copias produxit et aciem instructam habuit, nt, si vellet Ariovistus prolio contendere, ei potestas non deesset. Ariovistus his omnibus diebus exercitum castris continuit; equestri prolio quotidie contendit. Genus hoc erat pugnæ, quo se Germani exercuerant. Equitum millia erant sex: totidem namero pedites velocissimi ac fortissimi ; quos ex omni copia singuli singulos, suæ salutis caussa, delegerant. Cum his in preeliis versabantar, ad hos se equites recipiebant: hi, si quid erat durius, concurrebant: si qui, graviore vulnere accepto, equo deciderat, circumsistebant: si quo erat longias prodeundum, aut celerius recipiendum, tanta erat horum exercitatione celeritas, ut, jubis, equorum sublevati, cursum adæquarent.
XLIX. Ubi eum castris se tenere Cessar intellexit, ne diatius commeatu prohiberetur, ultra eum locum, quo in loeo Germani consederant, circiter passus sexcentos ab eis, castris idoneum locum delegit, acieque triplici instructa, ad
enm locum venit. Primam et secundam aciem in armis esse, tertiam castra manire jussit. Hio locus ab hoste circiter passus sexcentos, nti dictum est, aberat. Eo circiter hominam numero xvi. millia expedita cam omni equitatn Ariovistas misit, qu\& copiæ nostros perterrerent et munitione prohiberent. Nihilo secias Cæsax, at ante constituerat, duas acies hostem propulsare, tertiam opus perficere jussit. Munitis castris, duas ibi legiones reliquit et partem auxiliorum; quatuor reliquas in castra majora reduxit.
L. Proximo die, instituto suo, Cemsar e castris ntrisque copias suas eduxit; paullumque a majoribus progressas aciem instruxit hostibusque pugnandi polestatem fecit. Ubi ne tum quidem eos prodire intellexit, circiter meridiem exercitum in castra redaxit. Tum demom Ariovistus partem saarum copiaram, quat castra minore oppugaaret, misit: acriter utrimque usque ad vesperam pagnatum est. Solia oceasn auss copias Ariovistus, moltis et illatis et acceptis vulneribus, in castra reduxit. Quam ex captivis quereret Cæsar, quam ob rem Ariovistus preelio non decertaret; hane reperiebat canssam, quod apud Germanos ea consuetudo esset, ut matres familis eorum sortibus et vaticinationibus deciararent, atrum proelium committi ex usu esset, nec ne: eas ita dicere: "Non esse fas, Germanos superare, si ante novam lunam proclio contendigsent."
LI. Postridie ejus diei Ceesar presidio utrisque castris, quod satis esse visum est, reliquit, omnis alarios in conspectu hostium pro castris minoribus constituit, quod minus multitadine militum legionariorum pro hostium namero valebat, ut ad speciem alariis uteretur. Ipse, triplici instructa acie, nsque ad castra hostium accessit. Tum demum necessario Germani suas copias castris eduxerunt, generatimque constituerunt paribusque intervallis Harudes, Marcomannos, Triboccos, Vangiones, Nemetes, Sedusios, Suevos, omnemque aciem suam rhedis et carris circumdederunt, ne qua spes in fuga relinqueretur. Eo mulieres imposuerant, que in prolinm proficiscentes milites passis crinibus flentes implorabant, ne se in servitutem Romanis traderent.
LII. Cresar singulis legionibas singulos legatos et quægtorem prefecit, uti eos testis suæ quisque virtatis haberet.

Ipse a dextro corna, quod eam partem minime firmam hostium esse animum adverteret, prolium commisit. Ita nostri acriter in hostes, signo dsto, impetum fecerant itaque hostes repente celeriterque procurrerant, ut spatium pils in hostes conjiciendi non daretor. Rejectis pilis, comminus gladiis pagnatum est: at Germani, celeriter ex consuetudine sua phalange facta, impetas gladiorum exceperunt. Reperti sunt complures nostri milites, qui in phalangas insilirent et scuta manibus revellerent et desuper valnerarent. Quam hostium acies a sinistro cornu pulsa atque in fugam conversa esset, a dextro cornu vehementer multitudine suornm nosiram aciem premebant. Id quum animadvertisset P. Crassus adolescens, qui equitatu preerat, quod expeditior erat, quam hi, qui inter aciem versabantar, tertiam aciem laborantibus nostris subsidio misit.
LIII. Ita prefinm restitutam est, atque omnes hostes terga verterunt, neque prias fugere destiterunt, quam ad flomen Rhenum millia passuum ex eo loco circiter quinquaginta pervenerunt. Ibi perpauci aut viribus confisi transpatare contenderant, aut lintribus inventis sibi salutem repererunt. In his fuit Ariovistus, qui, naviculam deligatam ad ripam nactus, ea profugit: reliquos omnes consecuti equites nostri interfecerunt. Duæ fuernat Ariovisti uxores, nna Sueva natione, quam ab domo secum eduxerat; altera Norica, regis Vocionis soror, quam in Gallia duxerat, a fratre missam: ntreque in ea fuga perierunt. Duæ filiæ harum, altera occisa, altera capta est. C. Valerius Procillos, quam a custodibus in fuga trinis catenis vinctus traheretur, in ipsum Cæsarem, hostis equitatu persequentem, incidit. Quæ quidem res Cæsari non minorem, quam ipsa victoria, voluptstem adtalit, quod hominem honestiseimum provincix Gallix, suum familiarem et hospitem, ereptum e manibus hostium, sibi restitutum videbat, neque ejus calamitate de tanta voluptate et gratulatione quidquam fortuna deminuerat. Is, se presente, de se ter sortibus consultnm dicebat, utrum igni statim necaretur, an in aliud tempus reservaretur ; sortium beneficio se esse incolumem. Item M. Mettius repertus et ad eum reductus est.
LIV. Hoc proelio trans Rhenum nanciato, Suevi, qui ad
ripas Rheni venerant, domum reverti coperunt: quos Ubiz, qui proximi Rhenum incolunt, perterritos insecuti, magnum ex his numerum occiderunt. Cæsar, una æstate duobus maximis bellis confectis, maturius paullo, quam tempus anni postulabat, in hiberna in Sequanos exercitum deduxit: hibernis Labienum præposuit : ipse in citeriorem Galliam ad conventus agendos profectus est.

## C.ARS, BELL, GALI. II.

IV. Quam ab his quæreret, quæ civitates, quantæque in armis essent, et quid in bello possent, sic reperiebat : plerosque Belgas esse ortos ab Germanis; Rhenumque antiquitus transductos, propter loci fertilitatem ibi consedisse, Gallosqne, qui ea loca incolerent, expulisse; solosque esse, qui, patrum nostrorum memoria, omni Gallia vexata, Tentonos Cimbrosque intra fines suos ingredi prohibuerint. Qua ex re fieri, uti earum rerum memoria magnam sibi auctoritatem magnosque spiritus in re militari sumerent. De numero eorum omnia se babere explorata, Remi dicebant, propterea quod propinquitatibus adfinitatibusque conjuncti, quantam quisque multitudinem in communi Belgarum concilio ad id bellum pollicitus sit, cognoverint. Plurimum inter eos Bellovacos et virtute, et auctoritate, et hominum numero valere: hos posse conficere armata millia centum: pollicitos ex eo numero electa xa., totiusque belli imperium sibi postulare. Suessiones saos esse finitimos, latissimos feracissimosque agros possidere. Apud eos fuisse regem nostra etiam memoria Divitiacum, totius Galliee potentissimum, qui quam magux partis harum regionom, tum etiam Britanniz imperium obtinuerit: nunc esse regem Galbam: ad hunc, propter jastitiam prudentiamque, totius belli summam omnium voluntate deferri: oppida habere numero xir., pollicere millia armata quinquaginta; totidem Nervios, qui maxime feri inter ipsos babeantar longissimeque absint: xv. millia Atrebates: Ambianos x. millia: Morinos xxv. millia: Menapios ix. millia: Caletos x, millia: Velocasses et Veromanduos totidem: Aduatacos xxix. millia, Condrusos, Eburones, Cæræsos, Pæmanos, qui uno nomine Germani adpsllantur, arbitrari ad xu. millia.

CRG. BELL. GALL. II.
XV. Eorum fines Nervii adtingebant: quorum de natura moribusque Cæsar quum quæreret, sic reperiebat: "Nullum aditum esse ad eos mercatoribus: nibil pati vini reliquan ramque rerum, ad luxariam pertinentiam, inferri, quod iis rebus relanguescere animos et remitti virtatem existimarent: esse homines feros magnæque virtatis: increpitare atque incusare reliquos Belgas, qui se populo Romano dedidissent patriamque virtutem projecissent: confirmare, sese neque legatos missuros, neque ullam conditionem pacis acceptaros."

CFEG. BELL. GALL. IV.
I. Ea, quæ secuta est, hieme, qui fuit annus $\mathrm{On}_{\mathrm{n}}$ Pompeio, M. Crasso Coss., Usipetes Germani et item Tenchtheri magna cum multitudine hominum flumen Rhennm transierunt, non longe a mari, quo Rhenus influit. Caussa tranzenodi fuit, quod ab Suevis complures annos exagitati bello premebantur et agricultura prohibebantur. Suevorum gens est longe maxima et bellicosissima Germanorum omnium. Hi centum pagos habere dicantur, ex quibus quotannis singuls millia armatorum bellandi canssa ex finibus edncunt. Reliqui, qui domi manserint, se atque illos alunt. Hi rursus in vicem anno post in armis sunt; illi domi remanent. Sic neque agricultura, nee ratio atque usus belli intermittitur. Sed privati ac separati agri apud cos nihil est, neque longins anno remanere uno in loco incolendi canssa licet. Neque multum frumento, sed marimam partem lacte atque pecore vivunt multumque sunt in venstionibus: qua res et cibi genere; et quotidiana exercitatione, et libertate vite (quod, a pueria nullo officio aut disciplina adsuefacti, nihil omnino contre voluntatem faciant) et vires alit, et immani corporam magnitudine homines efficit. Atque in eam se consuetudinem adduxerunt, nt locis frigidissimis, neque vestitus, preter pellis, habeant quidquam (quarum propter exiguitatem magna est corporis pars aperta), et laventar in fluminibus.
II. Mercatoribus est ad eos aditus magis eo, nt, quee bello ceperint, quibus vendant, habeant, quam quo ullam rem ad se importari desiderent: quin etiam jumentis, quibus maxime

Gallia delectatur, quaque impenso parant pretio, Germani importatítiis non ntuntur: sed que sunt apad eas nata, prava atque deformia, hec quokidians exercitatione, summi ot sint laboris, efficiunt. Eqquestribus proeliis sape ex equis desiliunt ac pedibus proeliantur; equosque eodem remanere vestigio adsuefaciont; ad quos se celeriter, quum nsus est, recipiunt: neque eorum moribus turpius quidquam aut inertius habetur, quam ephippiis uti. Itaque ad quemvis numerum ephippiatorum equitam, quamvis pauci, adire audent. Vinum ad se omnino importari non sinunt, quod ea re ad laborem ferendum remollescere homines atque effeminari arbitrantur.
III. Publice maximam putant esse laudem, quam latigsime a suis finibus vacare agros: hae re significari, magnom numerum civitatam suam vim sustinere non posse. Itaque una ex parte a Suevis circiter millia passunm dc. agri vacare dicuntur. Ad alteram partem succedunt Ubii (quoram fuit civitas ampla atque florens, ut est captas Germanoram), et paullo, quam sunt ejusdem generis, et ceteris humaniores, propterea quod Rhenum adtingunt multumque ad eos mercatores ventitant et ipsi propter propinquitatem Gallicis sunt moribas adsuefacti. Hos quum Suevi, multis aæpe bellis experti propter amplitudinem gravitatemque civitatis, finibus expellere non potuissent, tamen vectigales aibi fecerunt ac multo hamiliores infirmioresque redegernot.
IV. In eadem canssa fuerunt Usipetes et Tenchtheri, quos supra diximus, qui complures annos Suevorum vim snstinuerunt; ad extremum tamen agris expulsi et maltis Germanix locis triennium vagati ad Rhenum pervenerunt: quas regiones Menapii incolebant et ad atramque ripam fluminis agros, ædificia vicosque habebant ; sed tantex multitudinis aditu perterriti, ex his ædificiis, quæ trans flumen habuerant, demigraverunt et, cis Rhenum dispositis præsidiis, Germanos transire prohibebant. Illi, omnia experti, quum neque vi contendere propter inopiam navinm, neque clam transire propter custodias Menapiorum possent, reverti ge in suas sedes regionesque simulaverunt: et tridui viam progressi, rursus reverterunt atque, omni hoc itinere una nocte equitatu confecto, inscios inopinantesque Menapios oppresserunt, qui, de Germanorum discessu per exploratores certiores
facti, sine meta trans Rhenam in suos vicos remigraverant. His interfectis navibusque eorum oecupatis, priusquam es pars Menapiorum, quæe citra Rhenum quieta in suis sedibus erat, certior fieret, flumen transierunt atque, omnibus eorum mdificiis occupatis, reliquam partem hiemis se eorom copiis aluerunt.
V. His de rebus Oessar certior factus, et infirmitatem Gallormm veritus, quod sunt in consilis capiendis mobiles et novis pleramque rebus student, nihil his committendum existimavit. Est antem hoc Gallicm conguetudinis, uti et viatores, etiam invitos, consistere cogant et, quod quisque eorum de quaque re audierit aut cognoverit, quarant et mercatores in oppidis vulgus circumsistat, quibusque ex regionibns veniant, quasque ibi res cognoverint, pronanciare cogant. His rumoribns atque auditionibas permoti, de summis sexpe rebus consilia ineunt, quoram cos e vestigio prenitere necesse est, quem incertis rumoribus serviant et plerique ad voluntatem corum ficta respondeant.
VI. Qua consuetadine cognita, Cxssa, ne graviori bello occurreret, maturius, quam consaerat, ad exercitum proficiscitur. Eo quum venisset, ea, quæ fore sagpicatus erat, facta cognovit, missas legationes ab nonnullis civitatibus ad Germanos, invitatosque eos, ati ab Rheno discederent; omniaque quæ postulassent, ab se fore parata. Qua spe adducti Germani latius jam vagabantur et in finis Eburonum et Oondrusorum, $^{\text {qui sunt Trevirorum clientes, pervenerant. }}$ Principibus Gallim evocatis, Cæsar ea, quæ cognoverat, dissimulanda sibi existimavit, coramque animis permulsie et confirmatis equitatuque imperato, bellum cum Germanis gerere constituit.
VII. Re frumentaria comparata equitibusque delectis, iter in ea locs facere coepit, quibus in locis esse Germanos andiebat. A quibus quum paucorum dierum iter abesset, legati al his venerunt, quorum hee fuit oratio: "Clermanos neque priores populo Romano bellum inferre, neque tamen recusare, si lacessantux, quin armis contendant; quod Germanorum consuetudo hæc sit a majoribus tradita, quicumque bellum inferant, resistere, neque deprecari: hee tamen dicere, venisse invitos, ejectos domo. Si suam gratiam

Romani velint, posse eis utiles esse amicos: vel sibi agrosattribnant, vel patiantur eos tenere, quos armis possederint. Sese unis Suevis concedere, quibus ne dii quidem immortales pares esse possint: reliquum quidem in terris esse neminem, quem non superare possint."
VIII. Ad hæc Cexsar, quæ visum est, respondit; sed exitos fuit orationis: "Sibi nullam cum his amicitiam esse posse, si in Gallia remauerent: neque verum esse, qui suos fines tueri non potaerint, alienos occupare: neque allos in Gallia vacare agros, qui dari tantep presertim multitadini, sine injuria possint. Sed licere, se velint, in Ubiorum finibus considere, quorum sint legati apud se et de Suevoram injuriis querantar et a se auxilium petant; hoe se ab iis impetraturum.'
IX. Legati hac se ad suos relaturos dixerant et, re deliberata, post diem tertium ad Cæsarem reversuros: interea ne propins se castra moveret, petierunt. "Ne id quidem Cæsar ab se impetrari posse" dixit; cognoverat enim, magnam partern equitatus ab iis aliquot diebas ante predandi frumentandique caussa ad Ambivaritos trans Mosam missam. Hos exspectari equites atque ejus rei canssa moram interponi, arbitrabatur.
X. Mosa profluit ex monte Vosego, qui est in finibus Lingonum, et, parte quadam ex Rbeno recepta, qua adpellatur Vahalis insulamque efficit Batavorum, in Oceanum influit, neque longias ab Oceano millibus passuam luxx. in Rhenum transit. Rhenus autem oritur ex Lepontiis, qui Alpes incolunt, et longo spatio per fines Nantuatium, Helvetiorum, Sequanorum, Mediomatricorum, Tribncorum, Trevirorum citatas fertur et, nbi Oceano adpropinquat, in plares diffuit partes, multis ingentibusque insulis effeetis, quaram pars magna a feris barbarisque nationibus incolitur (ex quibus sunt, qui piscibus atque ovis avium vivere existimantur). multisque capitibus in Oceanum influit.
XI. Casar qum ab hoste non ampling passuum xu, millibus abesset, nt erat constitutum, ad eum legati revertuntur: qui, in itinere congressi, magnopere, ae longius progrederetur, orabant. Qunm id non impetrassent, petebant, uti ad eos equites, qui agmen antecessissent, præmit-
teret, eosque pugna prohiberet; sibique uti potestatem faceret, in Ubios Iegatos mittendi: quorum ai principes ac senatas sibi jurejurando fidem fecissent, ea conditione, qua a Cessare ferretur, se asuros ostendebant: ad has res conficiendas sibi tridui spatium daret. Hæc omnia Cæsar eodem illo pertinere arbitrabatnr, nt, tridui mora interposita, equites corum, qui abessent, reverterentur: tamen sese non longias millibus passuum quatnor aquationis canssa processuram eo die dixit: huc postero die quam frequentissimi convenirent, nt de eorum postulatis cognosceret. Interim ad prefectos, qui cum omni equitatu antecesserant, mittit, qui nunciarent, ne hostes prolio lacesserent et, si ipsi lacesserentur, sustinerent, quoad ipse cum exercitu propius accessisset.
XII. At hostes, ubi primum nostros equites conspexerunt, quorum erat quinque millium namerns, quum ipsi non amplius decce. equites baberent, quod ii, qui frumentandi canssa ierant trans Mosam, nondum redierant, nihil timentibua nostris, quod legati eorum panllo ante a Casare discesserant, atque is dies induciis erat ab eis petitus, impetu facto, celeriter nostrof perturbaverunt. Rursus resistentibus nostris, consuetudine sua ad pedes desiluerunt, subfossisque equis complaribusque nostris dejectis, reliquos in fugam conjecerunt atque ita perterritos egerunt, at non prine fuga desisterent, quam in conspectum agminis nostri venissent. In eo prolio ex equitibus nostris interficiuntur: quatuor et septaaginta, in his vir fortissimus, Pieo, Aquitanus, amplissimo genere natus, cajus avus in civitate sua regnum obtinuerat, amicus ab senata nostro adpellatus. Hic quam fratri intercluso ab hostibus anxilium ferret, illum ex periculo eripuit: ipse equo volnerato dejectus, quoad potuit, fortissime restitit. Quum circumventus, multis vulneribus acceptis, cecidisset, atque id frater, qui jam proelio excesserat, procul animum advertisset, incitato equo se hoatibus obtulit atque interfectus est.
XIII. Hoc facto prolio, Cæsar neque jam sibi legatos andiendos, neque conditiones accipiendas arbitrabatur ab his, qui per dolum atque insidias, petita pace, ultro bellum intulissent : exspectare vero, dum hostium copim augerentar equitatusque reverteretur, summæ dementim esse judicabat et, cognita Galloruma infirmitate, quantum jam apud eos hostes uno pree-
lio auctoritatis essent consecuti, sentiebat : quibus ad consilia capienda nikil spatii dandum existimabat. His constitatis rebus et consilio cum legatis et quesstore communicato, ne quem diem pagne preetermitteret, opportunissima res accidit, quod postridie ejus diei mane eadem et perfidis et simulatione usi Germani, frequentes, omnibas principibus majoribusque natu adhibitis, sd eum in cestra venerant; simul, ut dicebatur, mui purgandi caussa, quod contra, atque esset dietum et ipsi petissent, proelium pridie commisissent; simal at, si quid possent, de induciis fallendo impetrarent. Quos aibi Cessar oblatos gavisus, illos retineri jussit; ipse omnes copias castris eduxit, equitatumque, quod recenti prolio perterritum esse existimabat, agmen subsequi jussit.
XIV. Acie triplici institnta, et celeriter vil. millium itinere confecto, prius ad hostium castra pervenit, quam, quid ageretur, Germani sentire possent. Qui, omnibus rebus subito perterriti, et celeritate adventus nostri, et discossu suoram, peque consilii habendi, neque arma capiendi spatio dato, pertarbantur, copiasne adversus hostem educere, an castra defendere, an fuga salutem petere, prestaret. Quorum timor quam fremitn et concursu significaretur, milites nostri, pristini diei perfidia incitati, in castra irruperant. Quoram qui celeriter arma capere potaernot, paullisper nostris restiteruit atque inter carros impedimentaque prolinm commiserunt: at reliqus multitudo puerorum mulierumque (nam cum omnibus suis domo excesserant Rhenumque transierant) passim fugere ccepit; ad quos consectandos Cæsar equitatam misit.
XV. Germani, post tergum clamore audito, quam suos interfici viderent, armis abjectis signisque militaxibas relictis, se ex custrie ejecerant: et, quam ad confluentem Mose ot Wheni pervenissent, reliqua fuga desperata, magno numero interfecto, reliqui se in flumen precipitaverunt atque ibi timore, lassitudine, vi flaminis oppressi perierunt. Nostri ad unum omnes incolumes, perpaucis valneratis, ex tanti belli timore, quam hostiom numeras capitum ooccxyx. millinm fuisset, se in castra receperunt. Cæsar his, quos in castris retinnerat, discedendi potestatem focit : illi sapplicia cruciatusque Gallorum veriti, quorum agros vexbverant, remanere
se apud eum velle dixerint. His Cxsar libertatem concessit.
XVI. Germanico bello confecto, multis de caussis Cæsar statuit, sibi Rhenum esse transenndum: quarum illa fuit justissima, quod, quam videret, Germanos tam facile impelli, ut in Galliam venirent, sois quoque rebus eos timere voluit, quam intelligerent, et posse et andere populi Romani exercitum Rhenum transire. Accessit etiam, quod illa pars equitatus Usipetum et Tenchtherorum, quam supra commemoravi predandi frumentandique caussa Mosam transisse, neque preelio interfuisse, post fagam suorum se trans Rhenum in fines Sigambrorum receperat neque cum iis conjunxerat. Ad quos quam Ceesar nancios misisset, qui postularent, eos, qui sibi Gallizque bellum intulissent, sibi dederent, responderunt: " Populi Romani imperium Rhenum finire: gi, se invito Germanos in Galliam transire, non æquam existimaret, cur aui quidquam esse imperii ant potestatia trans Rhenum postularet?" Ubii autem, qui uni ex transrhenanis ad Cresarem legatos miserant, amicitiam fecerant, obsides dederant, magnopere orabant, "nt sibi auxilium ferret, quod graviter ab Suevis premerentur; vel, si id facere occupationibus reipublicem prohiberetur, exercitum modo Rhenum transportaret: id sibi ad auxilinm spemque reliqui temporis satis futurum: tantum esse nomen atque opinionem ejus exercitus, Ariovisto pulso et hoe novissimo proelio facto, etiam ad nltimas Germanorum nationes, ati opinione et amicitia populi Romani tati esse possint." Navium magnam copiam ad transportandum exercitum pollicebantur.
XVII. Cæsar his de canssis, quas commemoravi, Rhenum transire decreverat; sed navibas transire, neque satis tutum esse arbitrabatur, neque sum neque populi Romani dignitatis esse statnebat. Itaque, etsi summa difficultas faciundi pontis proponebatur propter latitudinem, rapiditatem altitudinemque fluminis, tamen id sibi contendendum, ant aliter non tranaducendum exercitum, existimabat. Rationem pontis hanc instituit. Tigna bina sesquipedalia, paullum ab imo proacuta, dimensa ad altitudinem faminis, intervallo pedum duorum inter se jungebat. Hec quam machinationibus immissa in flumen defixerat fistucisque adegerat, non
sublice modo derecta ad perpendiculam, sed prona ac fastigata, ut secundum naturam fluminis procumberent: iis item contraria dno, ad eumdem modum juncta, intervallo pedum quadragenum, ab inferiore parte, contra vim atque impetum fluminis conversa statuebat. Hec utraque insuper bipedalibus trabibua immissis, quantum eorum tignorum junctura distabat, binis utriumque fibulis ab extrems parte, distinebantur: quibus disclusis atque in contrariam partem revinctis, tanta erat operis firmitudo atque ea rermm natara, ut, quo major vis aques вe incitavisset, hoc artius illigata tenerentur. Həc derecta materie injecta contexebantur et longuriis cratibusque consternebantur; ac nihilo secius sublica et ad inferiorem partem fluminis oblique agebantur, qua, pro pariete subjectá et cam omni opere conjuncte, vim flaminis exciperent: et alim item supra pontem mediocri spatio, ut, si arborum trunci sive naves dejiciendi operis eseent a barbaris missa, his defensoribus earum rerum vis minueretur, nen ponti nocerent.
XVIII. Diebus decem, quibus materia coepta erat comportari, omni opere effecto, exercitus transducitor. Cæsar, ad utramque partem pontis firmo prasidio relicto, in fines Sigambroram contendit. Interim a complaribus civitatibus ad eum legati veniunt, quibus pacem atque amicitiam petentibus liberaliter respondit obsidesque ad se adduci jubet. At Sigambri ex eo tempore, quo pons institui ceeptus est, fuga comparata, hortantibus iis, quos ex Tenchtheris atque Usipetibus apud se habebant, finibus suis excesserant, suaque omnia exportaverant, seque in solitudinem ac silvas abdiderant.
XIX. Cersar, pancos dies in eorum finibus moratus, omnibus vicis adificiisque incensis framentisque succisis, se in fines Ubiorum recepit; atque iis auxilium suum pollicitus, si ab Suevia premerentur, hæe ab iis cognovit: Suevos, posteaquam per exploratores pontem fieri comperissent, more suo concilio habito, nuncios in omnes partes dimisisse, uti de oppidis demigrarent, liberos, uxores, suaque omnia in silvas deponerent atque omnes, qui arma ferre possent, unum in locum convenirent: hunc esse delectum medium fere regionum earum, quas Suevi obtinerent: hic Romanoram
adventum exspectare atque ibi decertare constituisse. Quod nbi Cessar comperit, omnibus his rebue confectis, quarnm rerum caussa transducere exercitum constituerat, ut Germanis metum injiceret, at Sigambros ulcisceretur, ut Ubios obsidione liberaret, diebus omaino x. et vil. trans Rhenum consumtis, satis et ad laudem et ad utilitatem profectum arbitratus, se in Galliam recepit pontemque rescidit.

CEB. BELL. GALI. VI.
IX. Cæsar, postquam ex Menapiis in Treviros venit, duabas de canssis Rhenum transire constituit: quarnm erat altera, quod auxilia contra se Treviris miserant; altera, ne Ambiorix ad eos receptum haberet. His constitutis rebus, patilum supra eum locum, quo ante exercitum transdaxerat, facere pontem instituit. Nota atque instituta ratione, magno militum studio, paucis diebus opus efficitar. Firmo in Treviris presidio ad pontem relicto, ne quis ab iis subito motus oriretur, reliquas copias equitatumque transdncit. Ubii, qui ante obsides dederant atque in deditionem venerant, purgandi sui caussa ad eum legatos mittunt, qui doceant, "neque ex sua civitate auxilia in Treviros missa, neque ab se fidem lessam :" petunt atque orant, "nt sibi parcat, ne communi odio Germanorum innocentes pro nocentibus penas pendant:" si amplius obsidum velit, dare pollicentur. Cognita Cæsar caussa reperit, ab Suevis auxilia missa esse, Ubiornm satisfactionem accepit, aditus viasque in Suevos perquirit.
X. Interim paacis post diebas fit ab Ubiis certior, Suevos omnes unum in locum copias cogere atque iis nationibus, qua sub eorum sint imperio, denunciare, uti auxilia peditatus equitatusque mittant. His cognitis rebus, rem frumentariam providet, castris idoneum locum deligit, Ubiis imperat, at pecora deducant anaque omnia ex agris in oppida conferant, sperans, barbaros atque imperitos homines, inopia cibariorum adductos, ad iniquam pugnandi conditionem posse deduci: mandat, at crebros exploratores in Suevos mittant, queque apud eos gerantur, cognoscant. Illi imperata faciunt et paucis diebns intermissis referunt, "Suevos omnes, posteaquam certiores nuncii de exercita Romanorum venerint, cum omnibus suis sociorumque copiis, quas coegissent, penitus ad
extremos fines sese recepisse ：silvam esse ibi infinita magnitu－ dine，que adpellatur Bacenis，hanc longe introrsus pertinere et， pro nativo muro objectam，Cheruscos ab Suevis，Suevosque ab Cheruscis，injuriis incursionibusque prohibere：ad ejus initium silve Suepos adventum Romanornm exspectare constituises．＂

XI．Quoniam ad hunc locum perventam est，non alienum esse videtur，de Galliæ Germaniæque moribus，et quo dif－ ferant hæ nationes inter sese，proponere．In Gallia non solum in omnibns civitatibus atque in omnibus pagis par－ tibusque，sed pæne etiam in singulis domibns factiones sunt： earumque factionum principes sunt，qui summam auctorita－ tem eorum judicio habere existimantar，quornm ad arbitrium judiciumque summa omnium rerum consiliorumque redeat． Idque ejus rei canssa antiquitus institutum videtur，ne quis ex plebe contra potentiorem auxilii egeret：suos enim quisque opprimi et circumveniri non patitur，neque，aliter si faciant， ullam inter suos habent auctoritatem．Hæc eadem ratio est in summa totius Galliæ：namque omnes civitates in partes divism sunt duas．

XII．Quum Cæsar in Galliam venit，alterius factionis principes erant 出dai，alterius Sequani．Hi quum per se minus valerent，guod summs auctoritas antiquitus erat in $\nVdash d u i s$, magneque eorum erant clientele．Germanos atque Ariovistum sibi adjunxerant eosque ad se magnis jaçturis pollicitationibusque perduxerant．Preliis vero com－ pluribus factis secundis，atque omni nobilitate Æduorum interfecta，tantum potentia antecesserant，ut magnam partem clientium ab 乍dais ad se transducerent obsidesque ab iis principum filios acciperent et publice jurare cogerent，nihil se contra Sequanos consilii inituros；et partem finitimi agri， per vim occupatam，possiderent Gallieque totius principatum obtinerent．Qua necessitate adductus Divitiacua，auxilii petendi caussa Romam ad senatum profectus，infecta re redierat．Adventu Cessaris facta commutatione rerum，obsi－ dibus 左duis redditis，veteribus clientelis restitutis，＇novis per Oæsarem comparatis（quod hi，qui se ad eoram ami－ citiam adgregaverant，meliore conditione atque mquiore im－ perio se uti videbant），reliquis rebus corum，gratia，dignitate amplificata，Sequani principatum dimiserant．In eorum
locum Remi successerant; quos quod adæquare apud Casarem gratia intelligebatur, ii, qui propter veteres inimicitias nullo modo cum Exduis conjungi poterant, se Remis in clientelam dicabant. Hos illi diligenter tuebantur. Ita et novam et repente collectam auctoritatem teuebant. Eo tum statu res erat, ut longe principes haberentur $\boldsymbol{E}$ dui, secundum locum dignitatis Remi obtinerent.
XIII. In omni Gallia eorum hominum, qui aliquo sunt numero atque honore, genera sunt duo: nam plebes pene servorum habetur loco, quæ per se nihil audet et nullo adhibetar conailio. Plerique, quum aut sere alieno, aut magnitadine tributorum, ant injuria potentiorum prementur, sese in servitutem dicant nohilibus, in hos eadem ompia sunt jura, que dominis in servos. Sed de his duobus generibus alterum est Druidum, alterum equitum. Illi rebus divinis intersunt, sacrificia publica ac privata procurant, religiones interpretantur. Ad hos magnas adolescentiam numerus disciplinze caussa coneurrit, magnoque ii sunt apud eos honore. Nam fere de omnibus controversiis publicis privatisque constituant; et, si quod est admissum facinns, si cades facta, si de hæreditate, si de finibus controversia est, iidem decermunt; præmia poenasque constitnunt : si qui aut privatas aut publicus eorum decreto non stetit, sacrificiis interdicunt. Hac pena apud eos est gravissima. Quibus ita est interdictam, ii numero impioram ac sceleratornm habentur; iis omnes decedont, aditum eorum sermonemque defuginnt, ne quid ex contagione incommodi accipiant : neque iis petentibus jus redditur, neque honos ullus communicatur. His antem omnibus Druidibus proest unus, qui summam inter eog habet anctoritatem. Hoc mortuo, si qui ex reliquis excellit dignitate succedit : at, si sunt plures pares, suffragio Druidum adlegitur, nonnumquam etiam armis de principata contendont. Hi certo anni tempore in finibus Carnutum, quæ regio totius Gallim media habetur, considunt in loco consecrato. Huc omnes undique, qui controversias habent, conveninnt eoramque decretis judiciisque parent. Disciplina. in Britannia reperta atque inde in Galliam translata esse existimatur: et nunc, qui diligentins eam rem cognoscere volunt, plerumque illo discendi caussa proficiscuntur.
XIV. Druides a bello abesse consuerunt, neque tribata una cum reliquis pendunt; militiæ vacationem omniumque rerum habeat immonitatem. Tantis excitati premiis, et sua sponte multi in disciplinam conveniunt, et a parentibus propinguisque mittuntar. Magnum ibi numerum versuum ediscere dicuntur: itaque annos nonnalli vicenos in disciplina permanent. Neque fas esse existimant, ea litteris mandare, quam in reliquis fere rebus, publicis privatisque rationibue, Grecis utantur litteris. Id mihi duabus de caussis inatituisse videntur; quod neque in valgum disciplinam efferri velint, neque cos, qui discant, litteris confisos, minus memoriæ studere; quod fere plerisque accidit, ut preesidio litteraram diligentiam in perdiscendo ac memoriam remittant. In primis hoc volunt persaadere, non interire animas, sed ab aliis post mortem transire ad alios: atque hoc maxime ad virtutem excitari putant, metu mortis neglecto. Mults proterea de sideribus atque eorum motu, de mundi ac terraram magnitudine, de reram natora, de deorum inmortalium vi as potestate disputant et juventuti transdant.
XV. Alterum genas est equitum. Hi, quam est usas, atque aliquod bellum incidit (quod ante Cæsaria adventum fere quotannis accidere solebat, nti ant ipsi injurias inferrent, sut illatas propulsarent), omnes in bello versantur: atque eorum ot quisque est genere copiisque amplissimus, ita plarimos circum se ambactos clientesque habent. Hane unam gratiam potentiamque novernnt.
XVI. Natio est omnis Galloram admodum dedita religionibus; atque ob eam caussam, qui sunt adfecti gravioribas morbis, quique in proeliis periculisque versantur, ant pro victimis homines immolant, aut se immolaturos vovent administrisque ad ea sacrificia Druidibus atuntar; quod, pro vita hominis nisi hominis vita reddatur, non posse aliter deornm immortalium numen placari arbitrantur: publiceque ejusdem generis habent instituta sacrificia. Alii immani magnitudine simulacra habent, quorum contexta viminibus membra vivis hominibus complent, quibus succensis, circumventi flamma exanimantur homines. Supplicia eorum, qui in furto, aut in latrocinio, ant aliqua noxa sint comprehensi, gratiora diis immortalibus esse arbitrantor; sed, quam ejus
generis copia deficit, etiam ad innocentiam supplicia descendunt.
XVII. Deum maxime Mercarium colunt: hujas sunt plurima simulacra, hune ompium inventorem artiom ferunt, hunc viarum atque itinerum ducem, hanc ad quæstus pecuniz mercaturasque habere vim maximam arbitrantor. Post hone, Apollinem et Martem et Jovem et Mineryam: de his eamdem fere, quam reliqua gentes, habent opinionem; Apollinem morbos depellere, Minervam operum atque artificiorum initia transdere; Jovem imperium celestium tenere; Martem bella regere. Huic, quum preelio dimicare constituerunt, ea, quæ bello ceperint, plerumque devovent. Quæ superaverint, animalia cesta immolant; reliquas res in unum locum conferunt. Multis in civitatibus harnm rerum exstructos tumulos locis consecratis conspicari licet: neque sæpe accidit, ut, neglecta quispiam religione, ant capta apud se occultare, aut posita tollere auderet; gravissimamque ei rei supplicium cum cruciatu constitutum est.
XVIII. Galli se omnes ab Dite patre prognatos precdicant, idque ab Druidibus proditum dicunt. Ob eam caussam spatia omnis temporis non numero dierum, sed noctium finiunt; dies natales et mensium et annorum initia sic observant, ut noctem dies subsequatur. In reliquis vite institutis hoc fere ab reliquis differunt, quod suos liberos, nisi qumm adoleverint, ut munus militim sustinere possint, palam ad se adire non pationtur, filiumque puerili ætate in publico, in conspectu patris, adsistere, turpe ducunt.
XIX. Viri, quantas pecunias ab axoribus dotis nomine acceperant, tantas ex suis bonis, æstimatione facta, cum dotibus communicent. Hujus omnie pecuniæ conjunctim ratio habetur, fructusque servantur: ater eorum vita superarit, ad eum pars utriusque cnm fructibus superioram temporam pervenit. Viri in uxores, sicuti in liberos, vitæ necisque habent potestatem: et, quum pater famility, illustriore loco natus, decessit, ejus propinqui conveniant et, de morte ai res in suspicionem venit, de uxaribus in servilem modum questionem habent et, si compertum est, igni atque omnibus tormentis excrotiatas interficiant. Funers sunt pro culta Gallorum magnifica et samptuosa; omniaque, quæ vivis cordi
fuisse arbitrantur, in ignem inferunt, etiam animalis ; ac panllo supra hanc memoriam servi et clientes, quos $a b$ iis dilectos esse constabat, jastis funeribus confectis, una cremabantur.
XX. Quat civitates commodius suam rem publicam administrare existimantur, habent legibus sanctum, si quis quid de re publiea a finitimis rumore ac fama acceperit, uti ad magistratum deferat, neve cum quo alio communicet: quod sæpe homines temerarios atque imperitos falsis ramoribus terreri et ad facinus impelli et de summis rebas consilium capere cognitum est. Magistratus, quæ visa sunt, occultant ; quæque esse ex usu judicaverint, maltitudini produnt. De re publica nisi per concilium loqui non conceditur.
XXI. Germani multum ab hac consuetadine differunt: nam neque Druides habent, qui rebas divinis presint, neque sacrificiss student. Deorum numero eos solos ducunt, quos cernunt et quorum aperte opibus juvantur, Solem et Valcanum et Lunam : reliqnos ne fama quidem acceperunt. Vita omnis in venationibus atque in studiis rei militaris coneistit: ab parvulis labori ac duritiæ student. Qui dintissime impuberes permanserunt, maximam inter suos ferunt laudem: hoe ali staturam, ali hoe vires nervosque confirmari putant. Intra annum vero vicesimum feminæ notitiam habuisse, in turpissimis habent rebus; cajus rei nulla est occultatio, quod et promiscue in fluminibus perlunntur, et pellibus ant parvis rhenonum tegimentis atuntar, magna corporis parte nuda.
XXII. Agriculture non student; majorque pars victus eorum in lacte, caseo, carne consistit: neque quisquam agri modum certum aut fines habet proprios: sed magistratus ac principes in annos singulos gentibus cognationibusque hominum, qui una coierint, quantum, et quo loco visum est, agri adtribuunt atque anno post alio transire cogunt. Ejus rei multas adferont canssas; ne, adsidua consuetudine capti, studium belli gerundi agricultura commutent; ne latog fines parare studeant potentioresque humiliores possessionibus expellant; ne adcuratius ad frigors atque matus vitandos ædificent; ne qua oriatur peconiæ cupiditas, qua ex re factiones dissensionesque nascuntur; at animi æquitate plebem contineant, quum suas quieque opes cum potentissimis æquari videat.
XXIII. Civitatibus maxima laus est, quam latissimas circum se vastatis finibus solitndines habere. Hoe proprinm virtutis existimant, expulsos agris finitimos cedere, neque quemquam prope andere consistere: simul hoc se fore tatiores arbitrantur, repentinæ incarsionis timore sublato. Quum bellum civitas aut illatum defendit, aut infert: magistratus, qui ei bello presint, nt vita necisque habeant potestatem, deliguntur. In pace nullus est commanis magistratus, sed principes regionnm atque pagorum inter suos jus dicunt, controversiasque minuunt. Latrocinia nullam habent infamiam, qua extra fines cujusque civitatis fiunt; atque ea juventutis exercendm ac desidiz minnendæ canssa fieri predicant. Atque, ubi quis ex principibus in concilio dixit, "se ducem fore; qui sequi velint, profteantur," consurgunt ii, qui et caussam et hominem probant, suamque anxilium pollicentur atque ab multitudine collaudantur: qui ex iis secuti non sunt, in desertorum ac proditorum namero ducuntur omniumque iis rerum postes fides derogatur. Hospites violare, fas non putant; qui quaque de caussa ad cos venerint, ab injuria prohibent sanctosque habent; iis omnium domus patent, victusque commanicatur.
XXIV. Ac fuit antea tepmpus, quum Germanos Galli virtute superarent, ultro bella inferrent, propter hominum multitudinem agrique inopiam trans Rhennm colonias mitterent. Itaque ea, que fertilissima sunt, Germaniee loca circum Hercyniam silvam (quam Eratostheni et quibusdam Græcis fama notam esse video, quam illi Orcyniam adpellant), Volce Tectosages occupaverunt atque ibi consederunt. Qua gens ad hoc tempus iis sedibus sese continet summamque habet justitim et bellice laudis opinionem : munc quoque in eadem inopia, egestate, patientia, qua Germani, permanent eodem victu et calta corporis utuntur; Gallis autem provincia propinquitas, et transmarinarum rerum notitia, multa ad copiam atque asus largitur. Paullatim adsuefacti superari, multisque victi procliis, ne se quidem ipsi cum illis virtute comparant.
XXV. Hujus Hercyniæ silvæ, qua supra demonstrata est, latitudo novem dierum iter expedito patet: non enim aliter finiri potest, neque mensuras itinerum noverunt. Oritur
ab Helvetioram et Nemetum et Rauracorum finibus, rectaque fluminis Danabii regione pertinet ad fines Dacorum et Anartium: hinc se flectit sinistrorsus, diversis ab flamine regionibus, multarumque gentium finea propter magnitudinem adtingit: neque quisquam est hujus Germanim, qui se aut adisse ad initium ejus silve dicat, quum dierum iter cx. processerit, aut quo ex loco oriatur, acceperit. Multa in ea genera ferarum nasci constat, que reliquis in locis visa non sint: ex quibus quæ maxime differant ab ceteris et memorim prodenda videantur, hae sunt.
XXVI. Est bos cervi figura, cujus' a medis fronte inter aures unum cornu exsistit, excelsias magisque directum his, qum nobis nota gunt, cornibus. Ab ejus summo, sicut palms, rami quam late diffunduntur. Eadem est femine marisque natura, eadem forma magnitudoque cornuum.
XXVII. Sunt item, quæ adpellantur alces. Harum est consimilis capreis figura et varietas pellium; sed magnitudine paullo antecedunt matileque sunt cornibus et crura sine nodis articulisque habent; neque quietis canssa procumbunt, neque, si quo adflictw casu conciderint, erigere sese ant sublevare possunt. His sunt arbores pro cubilibus: ad eas se adplicant, atque ita, panllum modo reclinate, quietem capiunt: quarum ex vestighis quam est animadversum a venatoribus, quo se recipere consuerint, omnes eo loco aut ab radicibus subruunt, ant accidunt arbores tantum, nt summa species earum stantium relinquator. Hue quam se consuetudine reclinaverint, infirmas arbores pondere adfligunt atque una ipse concidunt.
XXVIII. Tertium est genus eorum, qui ari adpellantur. Hi sunt magnitudine paullo infra elephantos; specie et colore et figura tauri. Magna vis eorum et magna velocitas: neque homini, neque feres, quam conspexerint, parcunt. Hos studiose foveis captos interficiunt. Hoc se labore durant homines adolescentes atque hoc genere venationis exercent; et, qui plurimos ex his interfecerant, relatis in pablicum cormibus, que sint testimonio, magnam ferunt laudem. Sed adsuescere ad homines et mansuefieri, ne parvali quidem excepti, possunt. Amplitado cornuum et figura et species multum a nostrorum boam cornibus differt. Hac studiose
conquisita ab labris argento circumeludunt atque in amplissimis epulis pro poculis utantur.

## § xx. arminius and marobodidg.

After Ariovistus in point of time, but before him in prominence and importance, come the two great Germans, Arminius and Maroboduns; concerning whom the chief texts are from Velleius Patercnlus and Tacitas himself. I shall append to these Niebuhr's account of the same events, as it stands in Dr. Schmitz's edition of his Lectares, such being the best way to compare the evidence in its crude and its systematized form. The criticism apon the whole will be found in the body of the work.

## FELL. PATEFO. IE.

CVIII. Nihil erat jam in Germania, quod vinci posset, preter gentem Marcomannorum; quæ, Maroboduo duce excita sedibus suis, atque in interiora refagiens, incinctos Hercynia silva campos incolebat. Nulla festinatio hujus viri mentionem tranggredi debet. Maroboduus, genere nobili, corpore pravalens, animo ferox, natione magis quam ratione barbarus, non tumultarium, neque fortuitum, neque mobilem et ex voluntate parentium constantem inter suos occupavit principatum; sed, certum imperium vimque regiam complexns animo, statuit, avocata procul a Romanis gente sua, eo progredi, ubi, cam propter potentiora arma refugisset, saa faceret potentissima.
CIX. Occupatis igitar, quos prediximus, locis, finitimos omnes aut bello domuit, aut conditionibus juris aui fecit: corpus suum custodia munivit: imperium, perpetnis armorum exercitiis (exercitu) pene ad Romanm disciplinæ formam redacto, brevi in eminens et nostro quoque imperio timendum perduxit fastigium; gerebatque se ita adversus Romanos, ut neque bello nos lacesseret, et, si lacesseretur, superesse sibi vim ac voluntatem resistendi (ostenderet). Legati, quos mittebat ad Cæsares, interdum at supplicem commendabant, interdum ut pro pari loquebantur. Gentibus hominibusque a nobis desciscentibus erat apud eum
perfuginm; totusque ex male dissimulato agebat malum; exercitumque, quem txx. millium peditum, quatuor equitum, fecerat, assiduis adversus finitimos bellis exercendo, majori, quam quod habebat, operi preparabat. Eratque etiam eo timendus, quod, cum Germaniam ad levam et in fronte, Pannoniam ad dextram, a tergo sedium suarum baberet Noricos, tamqnam in omnes semper ventarus, ab omnibus timebatur. Nec securam incrementi sui patiebatur esse Italiam: quippe cum a summis Alpium jugis, quæ finem Italise terminant, initium ejus finium haud multo plus $\infty$. millibus passuum abesset. Hunc virum et hanc regionem proximo anno diversis o partibus Tib. Cessar aggredi statuit. Sentio Saturnino mandatum, at per Cattos, excisis continentibus Hercyniæ silvis, legiones Boiohomum (id regioni, quam incolebat Maroboduus, nomen est) duceret ; ipse a Carnunto, qui locus Norici regni proximus ab has parte erat, exercitam, qui in Illyrico merebat, ducere in Marcomannos orsus est.

## VRLL PATERC. II.

CXVII. Tantum quod ultimam imposuerat Pannonico ac Delmatico bello Cæsar manum, cam, intra quinque consummati tanti operis dies, funests ex Germania epistole, сжвi Vari, tracidataramque legionam trium totidemque alarum, et sex cohortium: relut in hoc saltem tantammodo indulgente nobis Fortuna, ne occupato duce. Sed causa et persona moram exigit. Varus Quinctilius, nobili magis, quam illustri ortus familia, vir ingenio mitis, moribus quietus, ut corpore, ita animo immobilior, otio magis castrorum, quam bellicm assuetus militix: pecuniz vero quam non contemtor, Syria, cui profuerat, declaravit; quam pauper divitem ingressus, dives panperem reliquit. Is cum exercitui, qui erat in Germania, præesset, concepit esse homines, qui nihil prater vocem membraque haberent hominam; quique gladiis domari non poterant, posse jure mulceri. Quo proposito mediam ingressus Germaniam, velut inter viros pacis gaudentes dulcedine, jurisdictionibus, agendoque pro tribunali ordine, trahebat mativa.
CXVIII. At illi, quod nisi expertas vix credat, in somma feritate versutissimi, natnmque mendacio genus, simulantes fictas litium series, et nunc provocantes alter alterum ioju-
ria, nume agentes gratias, quod ea Romana justitia finiret, feritasque sua novitate incognitæ disciplinæ mitesceret, et solita armis descerni jure terminarentur, in summam socordiam perduxere Quinctilium ; usque eo, ut se pretorem urbanum in foro jus dicere, non in mediis Germanise finibas exercitai preesse crederet. Tum juvenis genere nobilis, manu fortin, sensu celer, ultra barbarum promtus ingenio, nomine Arminius, Sigimeri principis gentis ejus filius, ardorem animi vultu oculisque preferens, assiduas militiæ nostræ prioris comes, (cum) jure etiam civitates Romanæ jus equestris consequens gradus, segnitia ducis in oceasionem sceleris usus est, haud imprudenter speculatus, neminem celerius opprimi, quam qui nihil timeret; et frequentissimum initium esse calamitatios, securitatem. Primo igitur pancos, mox plures in societatem consilii recipit: opprimi posse Romanos, et dicit, et persuadet; decretis facta jungit; tempus insidiarum constitnit. Id Varo per virum ejus gentis fidelem clarique nominis Segesten indicatur. Sed obstabant jam fata consiliis, omnemque animi ejus aciem prestrinzerant. Quippe ita se res habet, nt plerumque [qui] fortunam mntaturus Deus, consilia corrumpat, efficiatque, quod miserrimum est, ut, quod accidit, id etiam merito accidisse videatur, et casus in culpam transeat. Negat itaque se credere, spemque in se benevolentiæ ex merito æetimare profitetur. Nec diutine, post primum indicem, secundo relictus locus.
CXIX. Ordinem atrocissimæ calamitatis, qua nulla, post Crassi in Parthis damnum, in externis gentibus gravior Romanis fuit, justis voluminibus, ut alii, ita nos conabimur exponere. Nunc summa deflenda est. Exercitas omnium fortissimus, disciplina, manu, experientiaque bellorum inter Romanos milites princeps, marcore ducis, perfidia hostis, iniquitate fortanæe circumventus (cum ne pugnandi quidem aut egrediendi occasio iis, in quantum voluerant, data esset impune; castigatis etiam quibusdam gravi pœna, quia Romanis et armis et animis nsi fuissent), inclusus silvis, paludibus, insidiis, ab eo hoste ad internecionem trucidatus est, quem semper ita more pecudum trucidaverat, ut vitam aut mortem ejus nanc ira, none venia temperaret. Duci plus ad moriendum, quam ad pugnandom, animi fuit. Quippe
paterni avitique exempli successor se ipse transfixit. At e prefectis castrorum duobus, quam clarum exemplum $\mathbf{L}$. Eggias, tam tarpe (C.) Ceionius prodidit: qui, cam longe maximam partem absumsisset acies, auctor deditionis, sapplicio quam prectio mori maluit. At Vala Numoniua, legatus Vari, cetera quietas ac probus, diri auctor exempli, spoliatum equite peditem relinquens, fuga cum alis Rhenum petere ingressus est. Quod factum ejus fortans ulta est: nor enim desertis superfuit, sed desertor occidit. Vari corpus seminstum hostilis laceraverat feritas; caput ejus abscissum, latamque ad Marobodunm, et ab eo missum ad Casarem, gentilitii tandem tumuli sepultura honoratum est.
CXX. His auditis revolat ad patrem Cæsar; perpetaus patronus Romani imperii, assnetam sibi causam suscipit. Mittitur ad Germaniam, Gallias confirmat, disponit exercitas, presidia manit; se magnitudine sas, non fiducia (ducis) metiens, qui Cimbricam Teutonicamque militiam Italim minsbator, ultro Rhenum cum exercitu transgreditur. Arma infert genti, quam arcuisse pater et patria contenti erant; penetrat interins, aperit limites, vastat agros, urit domos, fundit obvios; maximaque cum gloria, incolumi omnium, quos transduxerat, numero, in hiberna revertitur. Reddatur verum L. Asprenati testimonium; qui legatus sub avanculo suo Varo militans, nava virilique opera duaram legionum, quibus preerat, exercitum immunem tants calamitate servavit; matureque ad inferiora hiberna descendendo, vacillantes jam cis Rhenam sitarum gentiam animos confirmavit. Sunt tamen, qui, ut vivos ab eo vindicatos, ita jugulatorum sub Varo occupata crediderint patrimonia, hereditatemque excisi exercitus, in quantum voluerit, ab eo aditam. Lucii etiam Cedicii, prefecti castrorum, eorumque qui nna circumdati Alisone immensis Germanoram copiis obsidebantur, laudanda virtus est; qui, omnibus difficultatibus superatis, quas inopia reram intolerabiles, vis hostinm faciebat inexsuperabiles, nec temerario consilio, nee segni providentia usi, speculatique opportunitatem, ferro sibi ad suos peperere reditum. Eix quo apparet Varum, sane gravem et bonæ voluntatis virum, magis imperatoris defectum consilio, quam virtute destitutum militum, se magnificentissimumque perdi-
disse exercitum. Cum in captivos seviretur a Germanis, preclari facinoris anctor fuit Caldus Celins, adolescens vetustate familize susp dignissimus: qui, complexus catenarum, quibus vinctus erat, seriem, ita illas illisit capiti [suo], ut protinus pariter sanguinis cerebrique influvio exspiraret.

OXXI. Eadem et virtus et fortuna subsequenti tempore [ingressa animum] imperatoris Tiberii fuit, quæ initio fuerat. Qui, contusis hostiam viribus, classicis peditnmque expeditionibus, cum res Galliarmm maximæ molis, accensasque plebis Viennensium dissensiones, coercitione magis quam poena mollisset; et senatus populusque Romanus, postulante patre ejus, nt æquum ei jus in omnibus provinciis exercitibusque esset [quam erat ipsi], decreto complexus esset. (Etenim absurdum erat, non esse sub illo, que ab illo vindicabantur; et qui ad opem ferendam primus erat, ad vindicandum bonorem non judicare parem): in Urbem reversus, jam pridem debitum, sed continuatione bellorum dilatum, ex Pannoniis Delmatisque egit triumphum. Oujus magnificentiam quis miretur in Cæsare? Fortunm vero quis non miretur indulgentiam? quippe omnes eminentissimos hostium duces, non occisos fama narravit, sed vipctos triumphus ostendit. Quem mihi, fratrique meo, inter precipuos præcipuisque donis adornatos viros comitari contigit.
CXXII. Quis non inter reliqua, quibus singularis moderatio Tib. Cæsaris elucet atque eminet, hoc quoque miretar, quod, cum sine ulla dubitatione septem trimphos meruerit, tribus contentas fuerit? Quis enim dubitare potest, quin ex Armenis recepta, et ex rege ei proposito, cujus capiti insigae regium sua manu imposuerat, ordinatisque rebus Orientis, ovans triumphare debuerit? et, Vindelicorum Rhætorumque victor, carru urbem ingredi? Fractis deinde post adoptionem continua triennii militia Germanixe viribus, idem illi honor et deferendus et recipiendus fuerit? et post cladem sab Varo acceptam, ocius prosperrimo rerum eventu eadem excisa Germania triumphom summi ducis adornare debuerit? Sed in hoc viro nescias, utrum magis mireris, quod laborum periculorumqne semper excessit modum, an, quod honorem temperavit.

TACIT. ANN. J.
LV. Druso Casare, C. Norbano consulibus, decernitur Germanico triumphas, manente bello; quod quamquam in estatem summs ope parabat, initio veris, et repentino in Cattos excursu, precepit: nam apes incesserat dissidere hostem in Arminium ac Segestem, insignem utrumque perfidis in nos, aut fide. Arminins turbator Germanix: Segestes, "parari rebellionem" sepe alias, et supremo convivio, post quod in arma itum, aperuit: spasitque Varo, "nt se, et Arminiam, et ceteros proceres vinciret: nihil ansuram plebem, principibus amotis; atque ipsi tempas fore, quo crimina, et innoxios discerneret:" sed Varus fato, et vi Arminii cecidit. Segestes, quamquam consensu gentis in bellum tractus, discors manebat, suctie privatim odiis, quod Arminius filiam ejus, alii pactam, rapuerat; gener invisus, inimici soceri; quæque apad concordes vincula caritatis, incitamenta irarum apod infensos erant.
LVI. Igitur Germanicns quatuor legiones, quinque auxiliarium millia, et tumultuarias catervas Germanoram cis Rhenum colentium, Cæcinæ tradit: totidem legiones, duplicem sociorum numerum ipse ducit; positoque castello super vestigia paterni preesidii in monte Tauno, expeditum exercitum in Cattos rapit; L. Apronio ad munitiones viarum et fluminum relicto. Nam, rarum illi ceelo, siccitate, et amnibus modicis inoffensum iter properaverat; imbresque et fluminum anctus regredienti metnebatur. Sed Cattis adeo improvisus advenit, ot quod imbecillum etate ac sexu, statim captum, aut trucidatam sit. Juventas flumen Adranam navdo tramiserat, Romanosque pontem ceptantes arcebant: dein tormentis sagittisque pulsi, tentatis frustra conditionibus pacie, cum quidam ad Germanicum perfugissent, reliqui, omissis pagis vicisque, in silvas disperguntur. Cæsar incenso Mattio (id genti capat) aperta populatus, vertit ad Rhenum : non anso hoste terga abeuntium lacessere, quod ill moris, quotiens astu magis, quam per formidinem cessit. Fuerat animus Cherascis juvare Cattos, sed exterruit Cexina bue illuc ferens arma; et Marsos congredi ausos, prospero prolio cohibnit.
LVII. Neque multo post legati a Segeste venerunt, auxilimm orantes adversus vim popularium, a quis circumsede-
batur; validiore apud eos Arminio, quando bellum suadebat. Nam barbaris, quanto quis audacis promptus, tanto magis fidus, rebusque motis potior habetur. Addiderat Segestes legatis filium, nomine Segimundum: sed juvenis conscientia cunctabatur: quippe anno, quo Germaniz descivere, sacerdos apad Aram Ubiorum creatus, ruperat vittas, profugus ad rebelles. Adductus tamen in spem clementio Romanm, pertulit patris mandata, benigneque exceptus cum presidio Gallicam in ripam missus est. Germanico pretium fuit, convertere agmen: pugnatumque in obsidentes, et ereptus Segestes magna cum propinquorum et clientium manu. Inerant femine nobiles, inter quas uxor Arminii, eademque filia Segestis, mariti magis quam parentis animo, neque victa in lacrimas, neque voce supplex, compressis intra sinum manibua, gravidum aterum intuens. Ferebantur et spolia Varianm cladis, plerisque coram, qui tum in deditionem veniebant, predm data. Simul Segestes ipse ingens visu, et memoria bono societatis impavidus: verba ejus in bune modum fuere.
LVIII. "Non hic mihi primus erga populum Romanum fidei et constantiæ dies: ex quo a divo Angusto civitate donatus sum, amicos inimicosque ex vestris utilitatibus delegi: neque odio patriz (quippe proditores, etiam iis quos anteponunt, invisi suut), verum quia Romanis Germanisque idem conducere; et pacem, quam bellum probabam. Ergo raptorem filiz mee, violatoren foederis vestri Arminium, apud Varnm, qui tam exercitui presidebat, reum feci: dilatus eegnitia ducis, quia param presidii in legibas erat, ut me, et Armininm, et conscios vinciret, flagitavi. Testis illa nox, mihi utinam potius novissima! Quæ secuts sunt, defleri magis, quam defendi possunt: ceterum et injeci catenas Arminio, et a factione ejus injectas perpessus sum. Atque ubi primum tui copia, vetera novis, et quieta turbidis antehabeo: neque ob preminm, sed at me perfidia exsolvam; simul genti Germanorum idoneus conciliator, si penitentiam quam perniciem maluerit. Pro juventa et exrore filii veniam precor: filiam necessitate huc adductam fateor: tnum erit consultare, atrum prevalest, quod ex Arminio concepit, an quod ex me genita est." Cæsar, clementi responso, liberis propinquisque ejus incolumitatem, ipsi sedem Vetera, in pro-
vincia, pollicetur. Exercitam reduxit, nomenque imperatoris anctore Tiberio accepit. Arminii uxor, virilis sexus stirpem edidit : educatus Ravenne puer, quo mox ludibrio conflictatus sit, in tempore memorabo.
LIX. Fama dediti benigneque excepti Segestis rulgata, ut quibasque bellam invitis aut capientilus erat, spe vel dolore accipítor. Arminium, super insitam violentiam, rapta uxor, saljectus servitio uxoris uterus, vecordem agebant: volitabatque per Cheroscos, arma in Segestem, arms in Cæsarem poscens: neque probris temperabat. "Egreginm patrem! magnum imperatorem! fortem exercitum! quarum tot manus unam mulierculam avexerint. Sibi tres legiones, totidem legatos procubuisse. Non enim se proditione, neque adversus feminas gravidas, sed palan adversus armatos bellum tractare: cerni adhuc Germanorum in lucis aigna Romana, quæ diis patriis suspenderit: coleret Segestea victam ripam; redderet filio sacerdotium: hominem Germanos numquam satis excusaturos, quod inter Albim et Rhenum virgas, et secures, et togam viderint. Alis gentibus, ignorantia imperii Romani, inexperta esse supplicia, nescia tribata: quæ quando exuerint, inritusque discesserit ille inter numina dicatas Augustus, ille delectus Tiberias, ne imperitam adolescentulum, ne seditiosum exercitum pavescerent. Si patriam, parentes, antiqua mallent, quàm dominos, et colonias novas; Arminium potius glorie ac libertatis, quam Segestem flagitiose servitatis ducem sequerentur."
LX. Conciti per hæe non modo Cherasci, sed conterminø gentes; tractusque in partes Inguiomerus Arminii patruus, veteri apud Romanos auctoritate : unde major Cxsari metus: et ne bellum mole una ingrueret, Cæcinam cum quadraginta cohortibus Romanis, distrahendo hosti, per Bructeros ad flumen Amisiam mittit: equitem Pedo prafectus, finibus Frisioram ducit: ipse impositas navibus quatuor legiones per lacus vexit : simulque pedes, eques, classis, apud predictam amnem convenere. Chauci cum auxilia pollicerentur, in commilitium adsciti sunt. Bracteros saa urentes, expedita cum manu L. Stertinius, missu Germanici fudit, interque cedem et predam reperit undevicesime legionis aquilam cam Varo amissam. Ductum inde agmen ad ultimos Bructerorum:
quantumque Amisiam et Lappiam amnes inter, vastatum; haud proeul Teutobnrgiensi salta, in quo reliquiæ Vari legionumque insepults dicebantur.
LXI. Igitar cupido Cresarem invadit solvendi suprems militibas, dacique; permoto ad miserationem omni, qui aderat, exercitu, ob propinquos, amicos; denique ob casus bellormm et sortem hominum. Præmisso Cæcina, ut oceulta saltuum scrutaretur, pontesque et aggeres humido paludum et fallacibus campia imponeret, incedunt maestos locos, visuque ac memoria deformes. Prima Vari castra, lato ambita, et dimensis principiis, trium legionum manas ostentabant: dein semiruto vallo, humili fossa, accism jam reliquim consedisse intelligebantur : medio campi albentia ossa, ut fugerant, ut restiterant, disjecta vel aggerata: adjacebant fragmina telorum, equorumque artus, simol trancis arborum antefixa ora; lucis propinquis barbare ara, apud quas tribunos, ac primorum ordinum centuriones mactaverant: . et cladis ejus superstites pugnam aut vincula elapsi, referebant, "hic cecidisse legatos; illic raptas aquilas; primam abi valnus Varo adactum; ubi infelici dextra, et suo ictu mortem invenerit: quo tribunali concionatus Arminius; quot patibula captivis, quascrobes; atque signis et aquilis per superbiam inluserit."
LXII. Igitur Romanus, qui aderat, exercitus, sextum post cladis annum, trium legionum ossa, nullo noscente alienas reliquias an suorum hamo tegeret, omnes ut conjunctos, at consanguineos, aucta in hostem ira, mesti simul et infensi condebant. Primum exstruendo tumulo ceapitem Cæesar posuit, gratissimo munere in defunctos, et presentibus doloris socius. Quod Tiberio haud probatum; seu cuncta Germanici in deterius trahenti; sive exercitum imagine cexorum insepultorumque tardatum ad proelia, et formidolosiorem hostium credebat: "neque imperatorem auguratu et vetustissimis cerimoniis preditum, adtrectare feralia debuisse."
LXIII. Sed Germanicas cedentem in avia Arminium secutus, ubi primum copis fuit, evehi equites, campumque, quem hostie insederat, eripi jubet. Arminius colligi suos, et propinquare silvis monitos, vertit repente; mox sigaum prorumpendi dedit iis, quos per saltas occultaverat. Tunc nova acie turbatus eques, misseque subsidiarim cohortes, et fugien-
tium agmine impulse, auxerant consternationem: trudebanturque in palndem gnaram vincentibus, iniquam nesciis, ni Cæsar productas legiones instruxisset: inde hostibus terror, fiducia militi : et manibus æquis abscessum. Mox reducto ad Amisiam exercitu, legiones classe, ut advexerat, reportat. Pars equitum litore Oceani petere Rhenam jussa. Cescina, qui suum militem ducebat, monitus, quamquam notis itineribus regrederetur, pontes longos quam maturrime superse. Angustus is trames, vastas inter paludes, et quondam a $\mathbf{L}$. Domitio aggeratus: cetera limosa, tenacia gravi como, aut rivia incerta erant: circum silve paullatim adclives; quas tum Arminius implevit, compendiis viarum, et cito agmine, onnstum sarcinis armisque militem cam antevenisset. (Oæcinæ, dubitanti quonam modo raptos vetustate pontes reponeret, simulque propulsaret hostem, castrametari in loco placuit ; ut opus, et alii proelium inciperent.
LXIV. Barbari perfringere stationes, seque inferre munitoribns nisi, lacessunt, circumgrediuntur, occursant : miscetur operantium bellantiumque clamor: et cuncta pariter Romanis adversa; locus nligine profunda, idem ad gradum instabilis, procedentibus lubricus; corpora gravia loricis, neque librare pila inter undas poterant. Contra Cheruscis sueta apud paludes proelia, procera membra, hastre ingentes ad vulnera faciende, quamvis procul : nox demum inclinantes tum legiones adverse pagnae exemit. Germani ob prospera indefessi, ne tum quidem sumpta quiete, quantum aquarum circumsurgentibas jagis oritur, vertere in subjecta: mersaque lumo, et obruto quod effectam operis, duplicatus militi labor. Quadragesimom id stipendium Cæcina parendi aut imperitandi habebat: secundarum ambiguarumque rerum sciens, eoque interritus. Igitur futura volvens, non alind reperit, quam nt hostem silvis coerceret, donec sancii, quantamque gravioris agminis, anteirent : nam medio montium et paludum porrigebatur planiciea, quæ tenuem aciem pateretur. Deligontur legiones, quinta dextro lateri; unaetvicesima in lovvum ; primani ducendum ad agmen; vicesimanus adversum secuturos.
LXV. Nox per diversa inquies: cum barbari festis epulis, læto cantu, ant truci sonore subjecta valliam ac resultantes saltas complerent; apad Romanos invalidi ignes, interrupts
vocer, atque ipsi passim adjacerent vallo, oberrarent tentoriis, insomnes magis quam pervigiles. Ducemque terruit dira quies: nam Quinctilinm Varum sanguine oblitum, et palndibus emersum, cernere et audire visus est, velut vocantem, non tamen obsecutus, et manum intendentis repulisse. Ccepta luce, missem in latera legiones metu, an contumacia, locum deservere: capto propere campo, humentia ultra. Neque tamen Arminius, quamquam libero incursu, statim prorupit: sed at hæsere ceeno fossisque impedimenta, tarbati circum milites, incertas signormm ordo, utque tali in tempore sibi quisque properns, et lentee adversum imperia aures, irrampere Germanoe jubet, clamitans, "En Varus, et codem iterum fato victe legiones!" Simul hæc; et cum delectis scindit agmen, equisque maxime valnera ingerit : illi sanguine suo, et lubrico paludum lapsentes, excassis rectoribus disjicere obvios, proterere jacentes: plurimus circa aquilas labor, qua neque adversum ferri ingruentia tela, neque figi limosa humo poterant. Cæcina dum sustentat aciem, suffosso equo delapsas circomveniebatur, ni prima legio sese opposuisset : juvit hostium sviditas, omissa ceede, predam sectantium ; eniseque legiones, vesperascente die, in aperta et solida: neque is miseriarum finis: struendum vallum, petendus agger: amissa magna ex parte, per quæ egeritar hamos, aut exciditur cespes: non tentoria manipulis, non fomenta sauciis: infectos cceno aut cruore cibos dividentes, funestas tenebras, et tot hominum millibus unum jam reliquam diem lamentabantur.
LXVI. Forte equus abruptis vinculis vagus, et clamore territus, quosdam occurrentium obturbavit : tanta inde consternatio inrupisse Germanos credentinm, at cuncti ruerent ad portas; quarum Decumana maxime petebatur, averss hosti, et fugientibas tutior. Cæcina, comperto vanam esse formidinem, cum tamen neque auctoritate, neque precibus, ne manu quidem obsistere, aut retinere militem quiret; projectus in limine portæ, miseratione demum, quia per corpas legati eundam erat, claugit viam: simul tribani et centuriones falsum pavorem docuerunt.
LXVII. Tare contractos in principia, jussosque dicta cum silentio accipere, temporis ac necessitatis monet. "Unam in armis salutem, sed ea consilio temperanda : manendumque
intra vallum, donec expugnandi hostes spe, propins succederent : mox undique erumpendum: illa eruptione ad Rhenum perveniri : quod si fugerent, plures silvas, profundas magis paludes, servitiam hostium saperesse: at victoribas decus, gloriam: que domi cara, que in castris honesta," memorat: reticuit de adversis. Equos dehinc, orsus a suis, legatorum tribunorumque nulla ambitione, fortissimo cuique bellatori tradit, ut hi, mox pedes, in hostem invaderent.
LXVIII. Haud minas inquies Germanus, spe, capidine, et diversis ducum sententiis agebat: Arminio, "sinerent egredi, egressosque rursum per humida et impedita circumvenirent," suadente: atrociora Inguiomero, et lata barbaris, "ut vallum armis ambirent : promptam expugnationem, plures captivos, incorraptam prædam fore." Igitur orta die, proruunt fossas, injiciunt crates, aumma valli prensant, raro super milite, et quasi ob metam defixo. Postquam hæsere manimentis, datur cohortibus signum, corouaque ac tubse concinuere : exin clamore et impeta tergis Germanoram circumfunduntur exprobrantes, "non hic silvas, nec paludes, sed æquis locis æquos deos." Hosti, facile excidinm, et paucos et semermos cogitanti, sonus tubarum, fulgor armorum, quanto inopina, tanto majora offunduntur; cadebantque, ut rebus secundis avidi, ita adversis incanti. Arminius integer, Inguiomerus post grave vulnus, pugnam deseraere; volgus trucidatum est, donec ira et dies permansit. Nocte demam reverse legiones, quamvis plus vulnerum, eadem ciboram egestas fatigaret, vim, sanitatem, copisa, cuncta in victoria habuere.
LXIX. Pervaserat interim "circumventi exercitus" fama, et."infesto Germanorum agmine Gallias peti :" ac ni Agrippina impositum Rheno pontem solvi prohibuisset, erant qui id flagitium formidine auderent: sed femina ingens animi, munia ducis per cos dies induit, militibusque ut quis inops, aut sancius, vestem et fomenta dilargita est. Tradit C. Plinius, Germanicorum bellorum scriptor, stetisse apud principium pontis, laades et grates reversis legionibas habentem. Id Tiberii animum altius penetravit. "Non enim simplices eas curas: nee adversus externos militem queri: nihil relictum imperatoribus, ubi femina manipulos intervisat, signa
adeat, largitionem tentet, tamquam parm ambitiose filium ducis gregali habitu circumferat. Cesaremque Caligulam appellari velit. Potiorem jam apud exercitus Agrippinam, quam legatos, quam duces: compressam a muliere seditionem, cui nomen principis obsistere non quiverit." Accendebat hæc onerabatque Sejanus, peritia morum Tiberii, odia in longum jaciens, quæ reconderet, auctaque promeret.
LXX. At Germanicus legionum, quas navibus vexerat, secundam et quartamdecimam itinere terrestri $P$. Vitellio ducendas tradit, quo levior classis vadoso mari innaret, vel reciproco sideret. Vitellius primam iter sicca hamo, aut modice adlabente æstu, quietum habuit. Mox impulsu aquilonis, simul sidere æquinoctii, quo maxime tumescit Oceanus, rapi agique agmen : et opplebantur terre: eadem freto, litori, campis facies: neque discerni poterant incerta ab solidis, brevia a profundis. Sternuntur finctibus, hauriuntur gurgitibus: jumenta, sarcinex, corpora exanima interfluunt, occursant: permiscentur inter se manipali, modo pectore, modo ore tenus exstantes, aliquando subtracto solo digjecti aut obrati: non vox, et mutui hortatus juvabant, adversante anda: nihil strenuus ab ignavo, aapiens ab imprudenti, consilia a casu differre: cuncta pari violentia involvebantur. Tandem Vitellius in editiora enisus, eodem agmen subduxit: pernoctavere sine utensilibus, sine igni, magna pars nudo aut mulcato corpore, haud minus miserabiles, quan quos hostis circumsidet : quippe illis etiam honesta mortis usus, his ingloriam exitium: lux reddidit terram; penetratumque ad amnem Unsingin, quo Cæsar classe contenderat: impositm deinde legiones, vagante fama submersas; nee fides salutis, antequam Cæsarem exercitumque reducem videre.
LXXI. Jam Stertinias ad accipiendam in deditionem Segimerum fratrem Segestis præmissus, ipsum et filiam ejus in civitatem Ubiorum perduxerat: data utrique venia, facile Segimero, cunctantius filio: quia Qumctilii Vari corpas inlasisse dicebatur. Ceterum ad supplenda exercitus damna certavere Gallim, Hispanix, Italia; quod cuique promptum, arma, equos, aurum offerentes: quorum laudato studio Germanicus, armis modo et equis ad bellum sumptis, propria pecunia militem juvit. Utque cladis memoriam etiam comi-
tate leniret, circumire sancios; facta singulorum extollere; vulnera intaens, alium spe, alium gloria, cunctos alloquio et cura, sibique et proelio firmabat.

## TACIT. ANN. II.

V. Ceterum Tiberio haud ingratum aecidit turbari res Orientis, ut ea specie Germanicum suetis legionibus abstraheret; novisque provinciis impositum, dolo simul et casibus objectaret. At ille, quanto acriora in eum atudia militum, et aversa patrui voluntas, celerandæ victoriæ intentior, tractare preeliorum vias, et quæ sibi tertium jam annum belligeranti seva vel prospera eveniseent: "fundi Germanos acie et justis locis; juvari silvis, paludibus, brevi æstate, et prematura hieme: suam militem hand pertmde valneribus, quam spatiis itinerum, damno armorum adfici: fessas Gallias ministrandis equis: longam impedimentoram agmen, opportunam ad insidias, defensantibus iniquum. At si mare intretur, promptam ipsis possessionem, et hostibus ignotam : simul bellum maturius incipi, legionesque et commeatus pariter vehi : integram equitem, equosque, per ora et alveos fluminam media in Germania fore."
VI. Igitur huc intendit: missis ad censas Galliaram, P. Vitellio et C. Antio; Slina, et Anteins, et Cæcina fabricandæ classi preponantur. Mille naves sufficere visæ, properatmque: alize breves, angusta puppi proraque, et lato utero, quo facilius fluctos tolerarent: quædam planæ carinis, ut sine noza siderent: plures, adpositis utrimque gubernaculis, converso at repente remigia, hine vel iline adpellerent: maltre pontibus stratæ, super quas tormenta veherentur, simul aptem ferendis equis aut commeatui, velis habiles, citor remis, augebantur slacritate militum in speciem ac terrorem. Insala Bataroram in quam convenirent predicta, ob faciles adpulsus, accipiendisque copiia, et transmittendum ad bellam opportuna. Nam Rhenus uno alveo continuus, aut modicas insulas circomveniens, apud principium agri Batavi, velut in duos amnes dividitur, servatque nomen et violentiam cursus, qua Germaniam prevehitur, donec Oceano misceatur: ad Gallicam ripam latior et placidior adfluens, verso cognomento Vahalern accole dicunt: mox id quoque vocabulum mutat Mosa
flumine, ejusqne immenso ore eundem in Oceanum effinditur.
VII. Sed Cæsar, dum adiguntur naves, Silinm legatum cum expedita mann inruptionem in Cattoo facere jubet: ipse, audito castellum Lappis flumini adpositum obsideri, sex legiones eo duxit. Neque Silio ob subitos imbres alind actum, quam ut modicam predam, et Arpi principis Cattorum conjugem filiamque raperet: neque Cessari copiam pugnæ obseasores fecere, ad famam adventus ejus dilapsi. Tumulum tamen nuper Varianis legionibus structum, et veterem aram Droso sitam disjecerant: restituit aram; honorique patris princeps ipse cum legionibus decucurrit. Tumulum iterars hand visum : et cuncta inter castellum Alisonem, ac Rhenum, novis limitibus, aggeribusque permunita.
VIII. Jamque classis advenerat, cum pramiseo commeata, et distribatis in legiones ac socios navibus, fossam, cui Drusiane nomen, ingressus, precatusque Drusum patrem, "nt se eadem ansum, libens placatasque exemplo ac memoria consiliorum atque operum juvaret:" lacus inde et Oceanum usque ad Amisiam flumen secunda navigatione pervehitur: classis Amisim relicta, leovo amne; erratumque in eo, quod non subvexit: transposnit militem dextras in terras iturnm: ita plares dies efficiendis pontibas absumpti. Et eques quidem ac legiones prima watuaris, nondum adcrescente unda, intrepidi transiere: postremum auxiliorum agmen, Batavique in parte ea, dom insoltant aquis, artemque nandi ostentant, turbati, et quidam hausti sunt. Metanti castra Cæsari Angrivariorm defectio a tergo nuntiatur: missus illico Stertinius cum equite et armatura levi, igne et ceedibus perfidiam altus est.
IX. Flumen Vigurgis Romanos Cherascosque interfuebat: ejus in ripa cum ceteris primoribus Arminius adstitit, quæsitoque "an Cæesar venisset?" postquam "adesse" responsum est, "ut liceret cum fratre conloqui" oravit. Erat is in exercitu cognomento Flavius, insignis fide, et amisso per vulnus oculo paucis ante annis, duce Tiberio: tam permissum; progressusque salutatur ab Arminio: qui amotis stipatoribus; " nt aggittarii nostra pro ripa dispositi abscederent," postulat ; et postquam digressi, "unde ea deformitas oria?" interrogat
fratrem : illo locum, et prolium referente, "quodnam præmium recepisset" exquirit. Flavius "aucta stipendia, torquem, et coronam, alizque militaria dona" memorat, inridente Arminio vilia servitil pretia.
X. Exin diversi ordiuntur: hic "magnitadinem Romanam, opes Ceesaris, et victis graves poenas; in deditionem venienti paratam clementiam; neque conjugem et filium ejus hostiliter haberi." Ille "fas patrix, libertater avitam, penetrales Germanim deos, matrem precum sociam; ne propinquorum et adfiniam, denique gentis suæ desertor et proditor, quam imperator esse mallet." Paullatim inde ad jurgia prolapsi, quominus pagnam consererent, ne flumine quidem interjecto cohibebantur; ne Stertinius adcurrens, plenam irm, "armaque et equum " poscentem Flavium attinuisset. Cernebatur contra minitabundus Arminias, proliumque denuntians: nam pleraque Latino sermone interjaciebat, ut qui Romanis in castris ductor popularium meruisset.
XI. Postero die, Germanorum acies trans Visurgim stetit. Cæesar, nisi pontibus presidiisque impositis, dare in discrimen legiones hand imperatorium ratus, equitem vado tramittit: prefuere Stertinius, et e numero primipilarium Amilius, distantibus locis invecti, at hostem didacerent. Qua celerrimus amnis, Cariovalda dux Batavorum erupit: eum Cherusci fugam gimulantes, in planitiem saltibus circumjectam traxere: dein coorti, et ondique effusi trudunt adversos, instant cedentibus, collectosque in orbem, pars congressi, quidam eminue proturbant. Cariovalda, diu sustentata hostinm sævitia, hortatus suos ut ingruentes catervas globo frangerent, atque ipse in densissimos inrampens, congestis telis et suffosso equo labitur, ac multi nobilium circa: ceteros vis sua, aut equites cum Stertinio Amilioque subvenientes, periculo exemere.
XII. Cæsar transgressus Visurgim, indicio perfugge cognoscit, " delectum ab Arminio locam pugnæ; convenisse et alias nationes in silvam Herculi sacram, ausurosque nocturnam castrorum oppaguationem." Habita indici fides, et cernebantur ignes; suggressique propins speculatores "audiri fremitum equoram, immensique et inconditi agminis murmur" attulere. Igitur propinquo summes rei discrimine, explo-
randos militum animos ratus, quonam id modo incorraptum foret, secum agitabat: "Tribunos et centuriones lata sæpius quam comperta nuntiare; libertoram servilia ingenia; amicis inesse adulationem: si concio vocetur, illic quoque, quæ pauci incipiant, reliquos adstrepere: penitus noscendas mentes, cum secreti et incustoditi, inter militares cibos, spem ant metum proferrent."
XIII. Nocte ccepta, egressus augarali, per occulta et vigilibus ignara, comite uno, contectus hameros ferina pelle, adit castrorum vias, adsistit tabernaculis, fruiturque fama sui : cum hic " nobilitatem ducis," "decorem" alius, plorimi "patientiam, comitatem, per seria, per jocos eumdem animum," laudibus ferrent: "reddendamque gratiam in acie" faterentur : aimul "perfidos et ruptores pacis, ultioni et gloris mactandos." Inter que unus hostium Latine lingua sciens, acto ad vallum equo, voce magna, "conjuges, et agros, et stipendii in dies, donec bellaretur, sestertios centenos, si quis transfugisset," Arminii nomine pollicetur. Incendit ea contumelia legionum iras: "veniret dies, daretur pugaa: sumpturum militem Germanorum agros, tracturom conjuges : accipere omen, et matrimonia ac pecunias hostium predæ destinare." Tertia ferme vigilia adeultatum est castris, sine conjectu teli, postquam crebras pro munimentis cohortes, et nihil remissum sensere.
XIV. Nox eadem latam Germanico quietem tulit, viditque se operatum, et sangnine aacro respersa pretexta, pulchriorem aliam manibus aviæ Augustæ accepisse. Auctus omine, addicentibus auspiciis, vocat concionem, et qua sapientia previsa, aptaque imminenti pugnæ, disserit. "Non compos modo militi Romano ad prelinm bonos, sed si ratio adsit, silvas et saltus: nec enim immensa barbarorum scuta, enormes hastas, inter truncos arborum, et enata humo virgulta, perinde haberi quam pila, et gladios, et hærentia corpori tegmina: densarent ictus, ora mucronibas quærerent : non loricam Germano, non galeam; ne scuta quidem ferro nervove firmata, sed viminum textus, vel tenues et fucatas colore tabulas: primam utcumque aciem hastatam; ceteris, presusta aut brevia tela: jam corpus, at visu torvum, et ad brevem impetum validum, sic nulla vulnerum patientia: sine
pudore flagitii, sine cura ducum, abire, fugere; pavidos adversis; inter secunda, non divivi, non humani juris memores. Si teedio viarum ac maris finem cupiant, hac acie parari : propiorem jam Albim, quam Rhenum: neque bellum ultra, modo se patris patruique vestigia prementem, iisdem in terris victorem sisterent." Orationem ducis secutus militum ardor; signumque pagne datum,
XV. Nee Arminius, aut ceteri Germanoram proceres omittebant suos quisque testari: "Hos esse Romsnos Variani exercitus fugacissimos, qui ne bellum tolerarent, seditionem induerint: quorum pars onusta valneribus tergum, pars fluctibas et procellis fractos artus, infensis rursum hostibus, adversis diis, objiciant, nulla boni spe. Classem quippe et avia Oceani quæsita, ne quis venientibus occurreret, ne palsos premeret: sed nbi miscuerint manus, inane victis ventorum remoramque subsidium. Meminissenh modo avaritiz, crudelitatis, superbia: aliud eibi reliquum, quam tenere libertatem, aut mori ante servitium?"
XVI. Sic accensos et proeliam poscentes in campum, cui Idistaviso nomen, deducunt: is medias inter Visurgim et colles, at ripæ fluminis cedant, aut prominentia montium resistunt, inæqualiter sinuatur: pone tergum insurgebat silva, editis in altum ramis, et pura hamo inter arborum trancos. Campum et prima silvarum, barbara acies tenuit: soli Cherusci juga insedere, at prosliantibus Romanis desuper incurrerent. Noster exercitas sic incessit: auxiliares Galli, Germanique in fronte : post quos pedites sagittarii : dein quatuor legiones, et cum duabus pratoriis cohortibus, ac delecto equite Cæsar: exin totidem alim legiones, et levis armatura cum equite sagittario, ceterreque sociorum cohortes. Intentus paratusque miles, ut ordo agminis in aciem adsisteret.
XVII. Visis Cheruscorum catervis, quæ per ferociam proruperant, validissimos equitum incurrere latus, Stertinium cum ceteris turmis circumgredi, tergaque invadere jubet, ipse in tempore adfuturus. Interea pulcherrimum augurium, octo aquilx petere silvas, et intrare fism, imperatorem advertere: exclamat, "Irent, sequerentur Romanas aves, propria legionum numina." Simul pedestris acies infertur; et præmissus eques, postremos ac latera impulit. Mirumque dictu, duo
hostinm agmina diversa fuga, qui silvam tennerant, in aperta, qui campis adstiterant, in silvam ruebant: medii inter hos Cherasci, collibus detrudebantur : inter quos insignis Arminius manu, voce, vulnere, sostentabat pugnam : incubueratque sagittariis, illa rupturus, ni Rhætorum Vindelicorumque, et Gallicm cohortes signa objecissent : nisu tamen corporis, et impetu equi pervasit, oblitus faciem suo cruore, ne nosceretur: quidam "agnitum a Cbaucis inter auxilia Romana agentibus, emissumque" tradiderunt. Virtus, sea frans eadem, Ingaiomero effugium dedit: ceteri passim trucidati. Et plerosque tranare Visurgim conantes, injecta tela aut vis fluminis postremo moles ruentium, et incidentes ripæ, operuere. Quidam turpi faga in samma arborum nisi, ramisque se occultantes, admotis sagittariis per ludibrium figebantur: alion proratm arbores adflixere. Magns ea victoria, neque cruenta nobis fuit.
XVIII. Quinta ab hora diei ad noctem cabi hostes, decem millia passuum cadaveribus atque armis opplevere; repertis inter spolia corum catenis, quas in Romanos, ut non dubio eventu, portaverant. Miles in loco proelii, Tiberium Imperatorem salutavit, struxitque aggerem, et in modum tropheorum arma, subscriptis victarum gentium nominibus, imposuit.
XIX. Haud perinde Germanos valnera, luetas, excidia, quam ea species dolore et irs adfecit : qui modo abire sedibus, trans Albim concedere parabant, pugnam volunt, arma rapiont: plebes, primores, juventus, senes, agmen Romanum repente incursant, turbant : postremo deligunt locum, flumine et silvis clansum, arcta intus planitie, et humida: silvas quoque profunda palus ambibat, nisi quod latus unum Angrivarii lato aggere extulerant, quo a Chernscis dirimerentur: hic pedes adstitit; equitem propinquis lacis texêre, at ingressis silvam legionibus a tergo foret.
XX. Nihil ex iis Cæsari incognitum: consilia, locos, prompta, occulta noverat, astusque hostium in perniciem ipsis vertebat. Seio Tuberoni legato tradit equitem, campamque: peditum aciem ita instruxit, ut pars wquo in silvam aditu incederet, pars objectum aggerem eniteretur: quod arduum, sibi; cetera legatis permisit. Quibus plana evenerant, facile
inrupere: quis impugaandus agger, ut si muram succederent, gravibas superne ictibus conflictabantur. Sensit dux imparem cominue pugnam, remotisque paullum legionibus, funditores libratoresque excutere tela, et proturbare hostem jubet: missee e tormentis hastm, quantoque conspicui magis propugnatores, tanto pluribus valneribus dejecti. Primus Cæsar cum pretoriis cohortibus, eapto vallo, dedit impetum in silvas : conlato illic grado certatum : hostem a tergo palus, Romanos flumen aut montes claudebant: atrisque necessitas in loco, spes in virtnte, salus ex victoria.
XXI. Nee minor Germanis animus, sed genere pugnæ et armorum superabantur; cum ingens mulfitudo, arctis locis, prolongas hastas non protenderet, non colligeret, neque adsultibus et velocitate corporum nteretur, coacta stabile ad proelium : contra miles, cui scutum pectori adpressum, et insidens capulo manue, latos barbarorum artus, nuda ora foderet, viamque strage hostiam aperiret: imprompto jam Arminio, ob continua pericula, sive illum recens acceptam valnus tardaverat. Quin et Inguionerum tota volitantem acie, fortuna magis quam virtas deserebat: et Germanicus, quo magis adgnosceretur, detraxerat tegimen capiti, orabatque "insisterent cemibus, nil opus captivis, solam internecionem gentis finem bello fore." Jamque sero diei subducit ex acie legionem, faciendis castris: ceterm ad noctem cruors hostiom satiatæ sunt: equites ambigue certavere.
XXII. Laudatis pro concione victoribus, Cæsar congeriem armorum straxit, superbo cum titulo: debrllatis inter rhendm albimque natonibut exebcitum tiberil cirgatis en monimenta matti et jovi et augubto bachivibge: de be nihil addidit, metu invidie, an ratas conscientiam factie satis esse. Mox bellum in Angrivarios Stertinio mandat, ni deditionem properapissent: atque illi supplices, nihil abnuendo, veniam omnium accepere.
XXIII. Sed metate jam adulta, legionum aliæ itinere terrestri in hibernacula remissse: plures Cゃsar classi impositas per flumen Amisiam Oceano invezit. Ac primo placidum æquor mille navium remis strepere, aut velis impelli : mox atro nubium globo effusa grando: simul variis undique procellis, incerti fluctus prospectum adimere, regimen impe-
dire: milesque pavidus, et casuum maris ignarus, dum turbat nautas, vel intempestive juvat, officia prudentium corrumpem bat: omne dehinc coelom, et mare omne in austram cessit, qui tumidis Clermanim terris, profundis amnibus, immenso nubinm tractn validus, et rigore vicini septemtrionis horridior, rapuit disjecitque naves in aperta Oceani, aut insulas saxis abruptis, vel per occulta vada infestas. Quibus paullum ægreque vitatis, postquam mutabat æstas, codenque quo ventus ferebat: non adherere anchoris, non exhaurire inrmmpentes undas poterant: equi, jumenta, sarcine, etiam arma precipitantur, quo levarentur alvei manantes per latera, et fucta soperurgente.
XXIV. Quanto violentior cetero mari Oceadas, et truculentia coeli prestat Germania, tantum illa clades novitate et magnitudine excessit, hostilibus circum litoribus, aut ita vasto et profundo, ut credatar novissimum ac sine terris mare: pars navium haustæ sunt; plures, apud insulas longius sitas ejectæ: milesque nullo illic hominam caltu, fame absumptus, nisi quos corpora equorum eodem elisa toleraverant. Sola Germanici triremis Chaucorum terram adpulit; quem per omnes illos dies noctesque, apad scopulos et prominentes oras, cum " se tanti exitii reum" clamitaret, vix cohibuere amici, quominus eodem mari oppeteret. Tandem relabente æsta, et secandante vento, clandm naves, raro remigio, aut intentis vestibus, et quædam a validioribus tractex, revertere: quas raptim refectas misit, ut scrutarentur insulas: collecti ea cura plerique: multos Angrivarii nuper in fidem accepti, redemptos ab interioribus reddidere: quidam in Britanniam rapti, et remissi a regulis. Ut quis ex longinquo revenerat, " miracula " narrabant, "vim turbinum, et inauditas volucres, monatra maris, ambiguas hominum et belluarum formas :" visa, sive ex metu credita.
XXV. Sed fama classis amisse, oi Germanos ad spem belli, ita Cæsarem ad coercendum erexit. C. Silio cum triginta peditum, tribus equitum millibas ire in Olatos imperat: ipse majoribus copiis Marsos inrumpit: quorum dux Malovendus nuper in deditionem acceptus, "propinquo luco defossam Varianes legionis aquilam modico prmsidio servari" indicat. Missa extemplo manus, quæ hostem a fronte eli-
ceret, alii qui terga circumgressi reciuderent humum : et utrisque adfuit fortuna. Eo promptior Cexsar pergit introrsus, populatur, exscindit non ausum congredi hostem : aut sicubi restiterat, statim pulsum, nee umquam magis, at ex captivis cognitum est, paventem. Quippe "invictos et nullis casibus saperabiles Romanos" preedicabant, "qui perdita classe, amiseis armis, post constrata equorum virorumque corporibus litora, eadem virtute, pari ferocia, et veluti aucti numero inrupissent."
XXVI. Reductus inde in hiberna miles, letus animi, quod adversa maris, expeditione prospera pensarisset: addidit munificentiam OæBar, quantum quis damni professus erat, exsolvendo. Nec dubium habebatur, labare hostes, petendæque pacis consilia sumere, et si proxima westas adjiceretur, posse bellum patrari: sed crebris epistolis Tiberius monebat, "rediret ad decretum triumphum : satis jam eventuum, satis casuum : prospera illi et magna proelia: eorum quoque meminisset, qua venti et fluctua, nalla ducis calpa, gravia tamen et aæva damna intulissent: se novies a divo Augusto in Germaniam missum, plura consilio quam vi perfecisse. Sic Sugambros in deditionem acceptos, sic Suevos, regemque Maroboduum, pace obstrictum: posse et Cheruscos, ceterasque rebellium gentes, quando Romana ultioni consultum esset, internis discordiis relinqui." Precante Germanico annum efficiendis ceptis, scrius modestiam ejus adgreditur, alterum consulatum offerendo, cujus mania presens obiret: simul adnectebat, "si foret adhuc bellandum, relinqueret materiem Drusi fratris gloriæ, qui nullo tum alio hoste, nonnisi apud Germanias adsequi nomen imperatorium, et deportare lanream posset." Haud cunctatus est ultra Germanicus, quamquam fingi ea seque per invidiam parto jam decori abstrahi intelligeret.

## TAC. ANN. I.

XLIV. Nee molto post Drusus in Illyricum missus est, ot suesceret militix, studiaque exercitus pararet; simal juvenem urbano luxu lascivientem melins in castris haberi Tiberius, seque tatiorem rebatur, utroque filio legiones obtinente. Sed Suevi pretendebantur, auxilium adversas Che-
ruscor orantes: nam discessu Romanorum, ac vacui externo metu, gentis adsuetudine, tum et mmulatione glorix, arma in se verterant: vis nationam, virtus ducum in equo: sed Maroboduum regis nomen invisum apud populares; Arminium pro libertate bellantem favor habebat.
XLV. Igitur non modo Cherusci sociique eorum, vetus Arminii miles, sumpsere bellum : sed e regno etiam Marobodui Sueve gentes, Semnones ac Langobardi, defecere ad eum : quibus additis prepollebat, ni Inguiomerus com manu clientium ad Maroboduom perfugisset; non aliam ob cansam, quam quia fratris filio juveni, patruus senex parere dedignabatur. Diriguntur acies pari utrimque spe, nec nt olim apud Germanos vagis incursibus, aut digjectas per catervas: quippe longa adversum nos militia, insueverant sequi signa, subsidiis firmari, dicta imperatoram accipere. At tunc Arminius equo conlustrans concta, at quosque advectus erat: " Reciperatam libertatem, trucidatas legiones, spolia adhuc et tela Romanis derepta, in manibus multoram" ostentabat: contra "fugacem Maroboduum" appellans, " proeliorum expertem, Hercynia latebris defensum, ac mox per dons et legationes petivisse fredus, proditorem patrix, satellitem Cæsaris, haud minus infensis animis extarbandum, quam Varum Quinctiliam interfecerint : meminissent modo tot proeliorum, quorum eventa, et ad postremum ejectis Romanis, satis probstum, penes ntros summa belli fuerit."
XLVI. Neque Maroboduus jactantia sui, ant probris in hostem abstinebat: sed Inguiomerum tenens, " Illo in corpore decns omne Cheruscorum, illius consiliis gesta, qum prospere ceciderint," testabatur: "vecordem Arminium, et rerum nescium, alienam gloriam in se trahere, quoniam tres vacuas legiones et ducem frandis ignarum perfidia deceperit, magna cum clade Germanies, et ignominia sua, com conjunx, cum filins ejus, servitium adhuc tolerent. At se duodecim legionibas petitum duce Tiberio, illibatam Germanorum gloriam servavisse : mox conditionibas æquis discessum: neque pœnitere quod ipsorum in manu sit, integrum adversus Romanos bellom, an pacem incraentam malint." His vocibus instinctos exercitus, propria quoque causa stimulabant: cum a Cheruscis Langobardisque, pro antiquo decore, aut recenti liber-
tate; et contra, augendæ dominationi certaretur. Non alias majore mole concursum, neque ambiguo magis eventu, fusis utrimque dextris cornibus. Sperabaturgue rursum pagna, ni Maroboduas castra in colles subduxisset. Id signum perculsi fuit: et trensfugis paullatim nudatus, in Marcomannos concessit, misitque legatos ad Tiberium oraturos auxilia. Responsum est, "non jure eum 'adversus Cheruscos arma Romana invocare, qui pugnantes in eumdem hostem Romanos nulla ope juvisset." Missus tamen Drusus, ut retulimus, pacis firmator.

## TACIT. ANN. II.

LXXXVIII. Reperio apud scriptores senatoresque eorumdem temporum, Adgandestrii, principis Cattorum, lectas in senatu literas, quibus " mortem Arminii" promittebat, " si patrandm neci venenum mitieretur:" responsumque esse, " non fraude, neque occaltis, sed palam et armatum populum Romanum hostes suos ulcisci :" qua gloria rquabat oe Tiberius priscis imperatoribus, qui venenum in Pyrrham regem vetuerant, prodiderantque. Ceterum Arminius, abscedentibns Romanis, et pulso Maroboduo, regnum adfectans, libertatem popularium adversam habuit: petitusque armis, cum varis fortuna certaret, dolo propinquorum cecidit: liberator haud dubie Cermanix, et qui non primordia populi Romani, sicut alii reges ducesque, sed florentissimum imperium lacessierit : preeliis ambiguas, bello non victus: septem et triginta annos vite, duodecim potentiæ explevit: caniturque adhue barbaras apud gentes; Grecorum annalibus ignotus, qui sua tantum mirantur : Romanis hand perinde celebris, dum vetera extollimus, recentium incuriosi.

Additional data may be collected from Dio Cassius (ivi. 18-24) ; but the extracts have already been so lengthy as to leave room only for the remarks of Niebabr.

## LECTURES CIX. CX.

The German warg, which commenced in 740, were the consequence of the conquests in the Alps. The Sigambri seem before this time to have invaded the left bank of the Rhine in our neighbourhood; but they bad been repelled by
the Romans, who advanced as far as the westward, though they did not make any conquests. In 740 the Romans attacked the Germans both on the Danube and on the Lower Rhine. The fact that such attacks were never made on the Upper Rhine, as far down as the river Lahn, shows that Suabia was not then a German country; it did not become one until the Alemanni settled there. All we know about this war is vague and indefinite, and the account in Dion Cassius is unfortunately mutilated. It may have been in these campaigns that, as my friend Roth conjectures, Domitins Ahenobarbus penetrated into Germany across the Elbe in Bohemia; for, in the sabsequent invasions, we mostly find the Romans marching towards the Elbe from the Lower Rhine. The war was conducted by Tiberius' younger brother, Nero Clandius Drusus, in three campaigns. He advanced from the Lower Rhine across the Weser, as far as the Elbe, and subdued the Bructeri, Sigambri (who were then very renowned), Cherasci, and other tribes. The details of his campaign are not known, and localities are scarcely ever mentioned. Since the Germans had no towns, their only protection was the impassable nature of their country; for they had no fortified places; and, when they met the Romans in the open field, they were usually beaten, being unable to resist the military skill of the Romans. Their country was now ravaged; women and children were carried off into slavery, and the men were put to death like wild beasts; for, although Drusus was otherwise of a mild disposition, considering what the Romans then were, yet he was, like Varus, a great sinner (dं $\lambda_{\tau} \tau \dot{p} p \rho^{\prime}$ ) towards the Germans. He died in his camp, not without a saepicion of Tiberius having caused his death; but this may have been believed only on account of the hatred which Tiberius entertained against the family of his brother, especially against Germanicus. All that Tiberius could have feared was, that Drusus, like Germenicus, might indulge in the fair dream of restoring the repablic.

In 745, after the death of Drusus, Tiberius took the command; and his triamph over the Germans was followed by his withdrawal to Rhodes. During the seven years of
his absence, few important events occurred, except that the Bructeri defeated the legate, M. Lollius, destroyed his legion, and captured the standards. After the return of Tiberius, he received the command in Gaul, to complete the sabjugation of Germany; he penetrated as far as the Erbe, and reduced the Sigambri, Bracteri, and Chernsci, to obedience. On the Elbe, he was joined by the Roman fleet, which had been fitted out on the river Ems, or had come from the Rhine to the Ems. How it got ap the Elbe cannot be explained; it may have gone up as far as Magdebarg; and yet the Roman galleys conld not sail against the current, like steam-boats. After this campaign, Tiberius left Germany, as his predecessora had done, and as many of his successors did after him; for the intention of the Romans was merely to crush the Germans, not to put themselves in possession of their country, which they can hardly have thought worth the trouble of occapying.

While the Germans, north of the Thüringer Wald and about the Harz Mountains, were thas visited by the Romans, there existed in Bohemis the great kingdom of Maroboduus, who is a strange and myaterious phenomenon in the early history of Germany. It is expressly stated that he had a large town (Roviasmum) for his capital, a regular army of seventy thousand men, and four thousand horsemen, a body-guard, and definite political institutions. Justus Möser is perfectly right in saying that the Germans, in the descriptions of the Romans, must not be conceived of as more uncivilized than the modern peasants of Westphalia, or Lower Saxony. Their dwelling-hoases, one thousand eight huvdred years ago, were, I believe, not different from the more common ones in our own days, and the habitations of their chiefs were the same as the buildings of the middle ages. The notion that the ancient Germans were savages is completely false; they were neither more nor less than uncultivated country-people, to whom life in towns is altogether unknown.

Verantius Fortunatus, in his poem to Radagunda, speaks of the ruined magnificence of her father's empire, and the brass-covered palaces of her ancestors, the kings of Tbüringia.

Möser has shown elearly that there is no ground whatever for seeking information respecting our forefathers in the forests of North America, or the ialands of the Soath Sea, and yet people seem at present again inclined to go back to their notions. I do not mean to say that the habitations of the ancient Germans were the same in every respect as those of the present time, for in winter, e.g., they were, no doubt, obliged to have lighte in the day-time, all the openinge of the house being closed with boards, as they had no glass windows; but this was the case in Rome itself; and similar houses still exist at Rome. I cannot, indeed, see why our ancestors of the fourteenth century should have been much more civilized than they were in the time of Augustus. Marobodung, however, seems to have had a kingdom which was really in a state of civilization, with feudal institutions which had arisen out of his conquest of Bohemis; for that country had before been inhabited by Boiana; that is, Kelts. Tiberius intended to attack him on two sides; he himself assembled his troops in Noricum and Vindelicia, and his legate, Sentius Saturninus, was to advance from the Rhine throagh the Hercynian and Thuringian forests. The Romans made great preparations, in constructing their roads through Germany. In this campaign we meet with the first traces of the unhappy divisions which characterize the whole history of the Germans; the northern tribes would not assist Maroboduns, because he had not assisted them; he had allowed their power to be broken, so that, in fact, they hardly could assist him ; they also mistrusted him, because they believed that it was his intention to make himself master over them, as he had over the Marcomanni. * * * Maroboduus had done nothing during the insurrection of the Pannonians and Dalmatians, although he must have known that preparations bad been making against him. The whole of that part of Germany which lies between the Elbe, the Rhine, and the Westerwald, recognised the supremacy of Rome, as early as the year 760 ; the Chauci, and other tribes on the coast of East Friesland and Oldenburg, were as much subjects of Rome as the Bructeri and Cherusci in Westphalia. Quintilins Varus, who was descended
from an ancient and illustrious patrician family, for his ancestors are mentioned in the earliest period of the republic, was a man of grest ability, but of insatiable avarice. When he had the command of the army in Germany, he conducted himself completely as if he had been governor in a Roman province, which knew only compalsion and fear; but Arminius, the Cherascan, who had already distinguished himself in the Roman armies, probably in the Pannonian war, devised a skilful plan for entrapping him. As the Germans had no fortified towns, it was exceedingly difficult to keep off the Romans, or to prevent their crossing the frontiers. The German horses were bad, but their riders were superior to the Romans; they were, however, excelled by the Gauls, on account of the better horses and armonr of the latter, who were such excellent horsemen, that henceforth they formed the flower of the Roman armies, and most of the technical terms in horsemanship were borrowed from them. Cunning employed against tyranny is not wrong, so that I cannot despise the stratagem of Arminius, for the Germans had been attacked by the Romans in the most unjust manner. Arminine had served with German horsemen in the Roman armies; he was quite master of the Latin language, be had obtained the Roman franchise, and the rank of an eques. By dint of the greatest perseverance, he and his comrades bad succeeded in gaining the unlimited confidence of Varus, and contrived to loll him into security. Varus had his stationary camp, in which he administered justice like a Roman governor in his province, and he made his judicial functions subservient to the parpose of enriching himself. His conduct was like that of the wicked governors in Switzerland. The Germans kept Varus engaged by fictitious quarrels among themselves, and made him believe that they felt very bappy at the dawn of civilisation among them. The most profoond peace seemed to be established, and many of the Roman soldiers were away from the camp on leave of absence. While Varus was indulging in this feeling of security, the tribes of Lower Saxony revolted, according to a preconcerted plan. Varus was induced to march towards the country of the insurgents, into which he penetrated a considerable dis-
tance. There were several limites, or wooden causeways, through the forests and marshes, running from the Rhine as far as the river Lippe, and through Westphalia, to the river Weser. These roads were similar to the one between St. Petersburg and Novgorod, and Moscow. Varus was led by the conspirators to abandon these straight roads, and as he ventured deeper into the country, the revolt became general, and the Romans found tbemselves outwitted. Varus tried to retreat and reach the causeway, probably with a view of defending hmself in the fortress of Aliso on the Lippe.

The question about the exact spot where the battle of Varus was fought, is one of those which, in my opinion, can never be satisfactorily answered. The only sensible and practical mode of investigating the matter, would be to examine from what point a Rornan road may have been made into the country of the Germans, and I imagine that Cologne was a convenient point to start from, but the difficalties were pretty nearly the same everywhere. It is infinitely more difficult to determine anything apon this point, than to trace Hannibal's passage over the Alps.

On the first day, Varns was attacked on all sides, and at once lost a great part of his baggage. It was with the greatest difficulty that he formed a camp for the night, and fortified himself. On the following day, he was pressed still harder, but he continued his march. The terror and confusion in his columns were so great, that in the evening, when they were about to pitch their camp, the soldiers could hardly resist the attack. Varus was at last quite overcome by the consciousness of his hopeless situation and his responsibility; and he had several of his officers put an end to their lives. It was probably at that moment that Numonius Vala (apparently the person to whom Horace addreased his epistle) separated the cavalry from the infantry, and endeavoured, but unsuccessfully, to escape with his three squadrons alone. They too were overwhelmed, just as they deserved to be, for having abandoned their companions. On the third day, the whole of the Roman army was annihilated, only a few escaping with their lives. The Germans took awful vengeance upon their oppressors: many of the Roman prisoners were
sacrificed to the gods of the Germans, who offered human sacrifices for the purpose of ascertaining the future. Three legions, with as many alx, and ten cohorts, were cut to pieces; but, owing to the unfortunate divisions among the Germans, they were unable to make that use of their victory which Arminius would otherwise have undoubtedly have made. Many of the Roman castella, however, were taken and destroyed; and mnch else may have been done, which the Roman accounte of this catastrophe passed over in silence.*

> § xxi.-gtrabo'b noticr of germany.

The details in Strabo are fewer than we expect.
They are also those of a Greek; and it must be remembered that it was only through the Romans that the Greeks knew much of Germany; in other words, their knowledge was second-hand.

Hence, the distinction between a Gaul and a German, so clear to a Roman, was far from being equally clear to a Greek. This remark has been made by Grimm, bot withoat being acted on. Yet the practical bearing of it is important.

Even auch a writer as Cæsar does not wholly confine his account of Germany to what he had himself observed. On the contrary, he quotes Eratosthenes, and indicates the opinions of other Greeks. Pliny's account is pre-eminently Greek, whilst Tacitus has evidently, in more places than one, allowed his reading to stand in the place of first-hand investigation. Yet the Greeks were no safe guides; not because they had no powers of observation, but because it was impossible for them to know such a country as Germany without coming in contact with Germans. Still they knew something of it. They knew that it was the land of a certain stock, family, or nation that came under certain negative conditions.

The German was not a Scythian, in the way that the natives of the Don were.

Nor an Illyrian, as a Taulantian was.
Nor a Sarmatian, as the Jazyges were.

[^12]Nor Keltic or Gallic, like a Gaul from the parts about Marseilles.

Nor yet Iberio, like a Spaniard.
Nevertheless, he was referable to some great class.
In many cases I believe this class to have been deemed Gorman, purely on some such negative trains of reasoning as the preceding; for instance:-I imagine that certain differentia between the Bastarnæ on one side, and the Sarmatians, Thracians, Galatæ, and Illyrians on the other, made them pass as Germans, in the eyes of such inquiring but imperfectly informed Greeks, as knew that there was an ethnological class called German, without knowing accurately what it was. Such a process, mutatis mutandis, is by no means ancommon, even in modern investigations. Ethnology, even in the hands of Prichard, has its class called Allophyliant, the contents being whatever is, at one and the same time, European or Asiatic without being what is called IndoEuropean.

It is safe, too, to say that the Greeks were such authorities in the eyes of a Roman, that, except where their errors were palpable, they were rarely contradicted. Something of this sort is to be found in the intellectusl relations between England and Germany at the present moment. How many points are there in such a question, as (e.g.) the ethnology of British India, where the English inquirer, although trusting to himself for particulars lying within the pale of a wellknown area, puts his faith in some German for the more general questions that arise, as well as for those results in which book-learning and speculation take a part? And how often is he wrong in doing so ?

According to this view, both the Greek and the Roman evidence respecting Germany fall into two parts:-

1. The Greeks-
a. Where they followed the Romans, the only first-hand inquirers, are accurate and trastworthy. But then their evidence is often either superfluons, or else only confirmatory of what we learn from Cæsar and Tacitus.
b. Where their information is not of Roman origin, they are indistinct and inaccurate-indistinct and inaccurate, for the
same reason that an Englishman is indistinct and inaccurate in the geography of Central Africa or the interior of Brazil， want of access．

2．On the other hand，the Romans－
a．Where they speak from actual knowledge，have no occasion to refer to the Greeks．
b．Where，for want of this，they do so，they follow unsafe guides．

The ethnology to which this applies most especially，is that of the Bastarnce and Peucini，the Cimbri and Teutones．

With these preliminaries，we may say of the text of Strabo－
a．That where he follows the historians of Drusus and Tiberius，he is unexceptionable．
b．That where he follows Posidonias，and such writers as could bat bave written from what they inferred，rather than what they knew，he is exceptionsble．

What applies to the text of Strabo，as we find it in Strabo himself，applies to those statements in subsequent writers， for which he is the authority．

They give us an obsorvation where his evidence is of Roman，and speculation or an inference，where it is of Greek origin．

Obsarve－Those proper names which appear in a different type（ $\Sigma$ ои́fa тtoc），will be the subject of notice in the sequel．
sTrabo，VII．
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§ xxil. notice of germany from pomponiva mela, in.
II. Rhenus, ab Alpibus decidens, prope a capite duos lacus efficit, Venetum et Acronium. Mox diu solidus, et certo alveo lapsus, haud procul a mari huc et illuc dispergitur; sed ad sinistram amnis etiam tum, et donec efluat, Rhenas; ad dextram primo angustus et sui similis, post ripis longe ac late recendentibus, jam non amnis sed ingens lacus, ubi campos implevit, Flevo dicitur: ejusdemque nominis insulam amplexus, fit iterum arctior, iterumque fluvius emittitur.
III. Germania hine ripis ejus usque ad Alpes, a meridie ipsis Alpibus, ab oriente Sarmaticarum confinio gentium, qua septentrionem spectat, oceanico litore obducta est. Qui habitant, immanes sunt animis atque corporibus, et ad insitam feritatem vaste atraque exercent, bellando animos, corpora ad consuetudinem laborum, maxime frigoris. Nudi agunt, antequam puberes sint ; et longissima apad eos pueritia est : viri sagis velantur, aut libris arborum, quamvis sæva hieme. Nandi non patientia tantum illis, stadium etiam est. Bella cum finitimis geront: causas eorum ex libidine arcessunt; neque imperitandi prolatandique, quæ possident (nam ne illa quidem enixe colunt), sed at, circa ipsos quy jacent, vasta sint. Jus in viribus habent, adeo nt ne latrocinii quidem pudeat; tantum hospitibus boni, mitesque supplicibus: victu ita asperi incultique, ut cruda etiam carne vescantor, ant recenti, aut cum rigentem in ipsis pecudum feraromque coriis, manibus pedibusque subigendo, renovarunt. Terra ipsa multis impedita fluminibus, multis montibus aspera, et magua ex parte silvis ae paludibus invia. Paludum, Suesia, Estia, et Melsiagum, maximm: silvarum, Hercynia, et aliquot sunt, quæ nomen habent: sed illa dierum sexaginta iter occupane, ut major aliis, ita et notior. Montium altissimi

Taunas et Rhetico; nisi quorum nomina vix est eloqui ore Romano. Amnium in alias gentes exeuntium, Danubius et Rhodanns; in Rhenum, Monis et Lupia; in Oceanum, Amisiue, Visurgis et Albis clarissimi. Super Albim Codanus ingene sinus magnis parvisque insulis refertas est. Hac re mare, quod gremio litorum accipitur, nasquam late patet, nee usquam mari simile, verum, aquis passim interfluentibus ac sepe transgressis, vagum atque diffusum, facie amnium, spargitar: qua litora attingit, ripis contentum insularom non longe distantibus, et ubique pane tantundem, it angustam et par freto; curvansque se subinde, longo supercilio inflexam est. In eo sunt Cimbri et Teutoni : ultra, ultimi Germaniee Hermiones.

## § xxili. pliny'g notice or germany.

Pliny's account of Germany is much more Greek, and much less Latin, than we are prepared to expect from an anthor writing in the language of Cesar, and subsequent to him.

NAT. HIET. IV.
XXVII. Incipit inde clarior aperiri fama ab gente Ingavonum, quæ est prima inde Germaniæ. Sevo mons ibi immensua, nec Ripheis jugis minor, immanem ad Cimbrorum nsque promontorium efficit sinum, qui Codanus vocatur, refertus insulis: quarum clarissima Scandinavia est, incomperta magnitudinis, portionem tantum ejus, quod sit notum, Hillevionum gente d . incolente pagis, quæ alterum orbem terrarum eam appellat. Nec est minor opinione Eningia. Quidam heec habitari ad Vistulam usque fluvium a Sarmatis, Venedis, Sciris, Hirris tradunt. Sinum Cylipenum vocari : et in ostio ejus insulam Latrin. Mox alterum einum Lagnum, conterminum Cimbris. Promontorium Cimbrorum excurrens in maria longe peninsulam efficit, qua Cartris appellatur. Tres et viginti inde insulæ Romanorum armis cognitæ. Earum nobilissimæ, Burchana, Fabaria nostris dicta, a frugis similitudine sponte provenientis. Item Glessaria, a anccino militiæ appellata: a barbaris Austrania, preterque Actania.
XXVIII. Toto autem hoc mari ad Scaldim usque fluvium,

Germanice accolunt gentes haud explicabili mensara : tam immodica prodentium discordia est. Greeci et quidam nostri, vicies quinquies centena millia passuum oram Germaniæ tradiderant. Agrippa cum Rhætia et Norico longitudinem pclxyexvi. millia passuum, latitudinem cxiviu. M. Rhætim prope unius majore latitudine, sane circa excessum ejus subacte. Nam Germania multis postea annis, nec tota, percognita est. Si conjectare permittitur, haud multum orm deerit Græcorum opinione, et longitudini ab Agrippa proditæ. Germanorum genera quinque: Vindili; quorum pars Burgundiones: Varini, Carini, Guttones. Alterum genus, Ingovones ; quorum pars Cimbri, Teutoni, ac Chaucorum gentes. Proximi autem Rheno lstevones; quorum pars Cimbri mediterranei : Hermiones; quoram Suevi, Hermunduri, Chatti, Oherusci. Quinta pars Peacini, Basternæ, supradietis contermini Dacis. Amues clari in Oceanam defluant, Guttalus, Vistillus sive Vistula, Albis, Visurgis, Amisius, Rbenus, Mosa. Introrsus vero, nullo inferins nobilitate, Hercynium jugum preetenditur.
XXIX. In Rheno ipso, prope centum m. pass. in longitudinem, nobilissima Batavorom insula, et Cannenifatum : et aliæ Frisiorum, Chaucornm, Frisiabonum, Sturiorum, Marsaciorum, quæ sternuntur inter Helinm ae Flevam. Ita appellantur ostia, in qua effusus Rhenus, ab septemtrione in lacus, ab occidente in amnem Mosam se spargit: medio inter hæc ore, modicum nomini sno custodiens alveum.

The next author in point of time is Tacitus himself.

## C. CORNELII TACITI

## DE SITU, MORIBUS,

## ET POPULIS GERMANIE

LIBELLUS.


#### Abstract

§ I. Germania ${ }^{1}$ omnis ${ }^{8}$ a Gallis ${ }^{3}$ Rhætisque ${ }^{4}$ et Pannoniis, ${ }^{5}$ Rheno ${ }^{6}$ et Danabio ${ }^{7}$ fluminibus, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ a Sarmatis ${ }^{9}$ Dacisque, ${ }^{10}$ mutuo metu aut montibus ${ }^{11}$ separatur. Cetera Oceanus ambit, latos sinus et insularum immensa spatia complectens, nuper cognitis quibusdam gentibus, ac regibus, quos bellum aperuit. Rhenus Rhæticarum Alpium ${ }^{18}$ inaccesso ac precipiti vertice ortus, modico flexu in Occidentem versùs, septemtrionali Oceano miscetur. Danubius, molli et clementer edito montis Abnobæ ${ }^{13}$ jugo effisus, plures populos ${ }^{16}$ adit, donec in Ponticum mare sex meatibus erumpat: septimum enim os paludibus hauritur.


## NOTES OLI EEGION L

'Germania.]-The English word Germany is the transiation of the Latin word Germania.

A truism so evident, apparently, requires no pointing out; nevertheless, the series of considerations to which it gives rise are of importance.

In the first place, Germany is not the name by which the German designates his own country. He calls himself Deutsche, and his country Deutsch-land.

Neither is it the name by which a Frenchman designates Germany. He calle it Allemagne.

Whence the difference? The different languages take the different names for one and the same country from different sources.

The German term Deutsch is an adjective; the earlier form of the word being diuttisc. Here the -iec is the same as the ish in words like self-ish. Diut, on the other hand, means people, or nation. Hence, diut-isc is to diut, as popularis is to populus. This adjective was first applied to the language; and served to distinguish the popular, national, native, or vulgar tongue of the populations to which it belonged from the Latin. It first appears in documents of the ninth centary, "Ut quilibet episcopus homilias apertè trangferre studest in rusticam Romanam linguam aut theatiscam, quo tandem cuncti possint intelligere quap dicantur." - Synodus Trronensis, $4 . D .813$.

As to the different forms in which either the root or the adjective appears, the most important of them are as follows :-
 form which implies the substantive piud $\alpha=$ eityog.
2. In Old High German, diot=populus, gives the adjective diut-isc=popul-aris.
3. In Anglo-Saxon we have peód and peodiec.

Sometimes this adjective means heathen; in which case it applies to religion and is opposed to Christian.

Oftener it means intelligible, or vernacular, and applies to language; in which case it is opposed to Latin.

The particular Gothic dialect to which it was first applied, was the German of the Middle Rhine. Here the forms are various:theodisca, thiudisca, theudisca, teudirca, teutisca. When we reach parts less in contact with the Latin language of Rome, its use is rarer. Even the Germans of the Rhine frequently use the equivalent term Alemannic, and Francic; whilst the Saxons and Scandinavians never seem to have recognized the word at all.

Hence it is only the Germans of Germany that are Theot-isci, or Deut-sche.

We of England, on the other hand, apply it only to the Dut-ch of Holland.

Hitherto the term is, to a certain degree, one of disparagement; meaning non-Roman, or vulgar. It soon, however, changes its character ; and in an Old High German gloss-uncadiuti (ungideuti)
=un-Dutch is explained by barbarus. All that is not German, has now become in the eyes of the Deut-sche, what all that was other than Roman was before. The standard has changed. Barbarism is measured by its departure from what is Dut-sch; in other words, the term has become so little darogatory as to bave become national.
Nevertheless, originally Deutsche=vulgares.
From the two facts of Germania being no native name, and Deutsche being one of late origin, we arrive at an inference of great practical importance in ethnological criticism, via, that, although the Romane and the Gauls Enew the populations beyond the Rhine by a common collective term, no such common collective term seems to have been used by the Germans themselves. They had none. Each tribe had its own designation ; or, at nost, each kingdom or confederation. Only when the question as to what was common to the whole country, in opposition to what was Roman or Gallic, became a great practical fact, did a general ethnological term arise; and this was not German, but Dutch.

This is common phenomenon. In Hindostan we hear of the wilder mountaineers of Orisse and the Mahratta country under the names of $K 61$ and Khond ; and this is a collective term. But it is only this in the month of a Hindu, or Englishman, Amongst themselves the separate names of the different tribes is all that is current.

From this it follows that, Germania being a non-Germanic term, its claims to absolute ethnological accuracy are reduced. It is like the term Gallia; which was so far from containing nothing bat Gallic Kelts (or, changing the expression, Keltic Gavis), that it included the Iberic populations of Aquitania, which were as unlike the true Gaul as a Basque of the Pyrenees is unlike a Welshman. Hence, whenever we are disposed to doubt whether so valuable a writer as Tacitus could have committed the error of making any particular non-Germanic tribe German, we must remember that so well-informed an observer as Crosar makes the Aquitani, Gallic.

It is also important to remember that, like high as opposed to low, rich to poor, do., the word Deut-sch was originally a correlative term, i.e., it denoted something which was popular, vulgar, national unlearned, to something which was not. Hence, it could have had no existence until the relations between the learned and lettered language of Rome, and the comparatively unlearned and unlettered vulgar tongue of the Franks and Alemanni had developed
some notable points of contrast. Deut-sche as a name for Germans, in the sense in which it occurs in the ainth century, was an impossibility in the first, or second. This is not sufficiently considered. Many believe that the Teut, in Teut-ones, is the deut-, in deut-sch. To be this exactly is impossible. Any German tribe that called iteelf peuda, Diot, or $\mathbf{D e o}$ o in the first centary must have given a different meaning to the word; and, so doing, have called themselves homines, heroes, or by some term equally complimentary;certainly not by any word meaning speakers of the vulgar tongue.

This is to prepare the reader for some further criticism, which will occor in the sequal

Allemagne and Lamagna are merely modernized forms of the name of a particular section of the Germans, the Alemanni.

The English name, as already stated, is a translation of the Roman one.

Germani, then, is a name given by the Romans to the populations who afterwards called themselves Deuteche; and Germania is the Roman equivalent to Deutschland; whilst Germam and Germany are English forms of the Roman designation.

It by no means, however, followe, that because the Romans called a certain people by a cortain name, that that name was Roman; although reasons have been given *or considering that it is the Latin word germani.

I believe, for my own part, that the word was Keltic ; in other words, that whilst the Germans themselves had no collective name at all, the Romsns called them what they were called by the Gaule. The meaning of this Gallic deaignation is a matter of legitimate speculation. At present, it is sufficient to fix the language in which the etymology is to be sought.

The date of the first mention of the name German is more curious than important. A distinction, however, connected with the invebtigation of it is necesaary.

The earliest date assigned to an event in German history is one thing ; the earliest historian who mentions such an event is another. A very early event may be recorded by a very late historian.

The word semi-Germanis was applied to the nations who, as earily as the second Carthaginian war, came across Hannibal in his passage of the Alps. But, early as this is for the fact itself, the historian who records it is late-Livy.

[^13]The same applies to certain statements concerning the part taken by the Bastarne in the Macedonian war.-See not. in v . Bastarnce.
In the Fasti Capitolini for 1.0. 222, ocours the following entry:-M. claditus m. f. M. n. yaborlive ant. dximi. cos, de gal-
 tolit degh hostivy vib(domaro ad Cla)stid(ium interfedo).Grev. Thes. Antt. Rom. ii. p. 227.

This is a notice of some pretension. Polybius, however, calls the allies of the Insubrian Gauls not Germans but Geasate.

More than this-the record itself is not above auspicion. The part of the stone which contains the letters ER , has been repaired, and (the extract is from Niebuhr) whether se "was put in at ramdom, or whether it was so on the original stone, I can neither assert nor deny. I have often seen the atone, but although a friend of mine wished me particularly to ascertain the truth, I was never able to convince myself whether the corner containing the syllable is part of the original atone or not. It is evident that the name cannot have been Cenomanis, since thoy were allied with the Romans, and the $g$ is quite distinct. Gonomani doee not ocour among the Romans, If the author of these Fasti actually wrote Germanis, the nation is mentioned. The thing is not at all impossible. At the time of Julius Cosar, it is true, the Germans did not live further south than the river Maise, driven back by the Gauls. The Germans in the Wallis,* of whom Livy (xxi. 38) speaks, were the remnants of an earlier German population which had been expelled by the Gauls.' -Lecture Lvill Dr. I. Schmitz's edition.

Of German glosess the words Thule, and the different forms of the root Est- (see not. in v. EHstyii) are probably the oldest. They are referable to the date of the voyage of Pytheas, and must have been collected from really Germanic informanta.

Of German authorities Cmsar, for all practical purposes, is the earliest,

Of the name Gormani, beyond the probable Clerman area, there are some remarkable instances.
, a. In Spain we have "Oretani qui et Germani cognominantux."Pliny, iii. 4.
b. In Persia Von Hammer has traced the name Drhurman.

Writers have not beed wanting who have connected these names with that of the Germani of Germany. I do not say that it cannot

[^14]be done legitimately: at the same time the occurrence of similar names, although unlikely to be accidental within a mall area, gains in probability as the ares enlarges.

8 Omnis-separatur.]-Does this mean that within the area called Germania there were nothing bat Germans 9

Or does it mean that beyond the ares called Germania there were no Germans?

Does it exclude all Gauls, Rhwtians, Pannonians, Sarmatians and Dacians from Germany, or does it exclude all Germans from Gaul, Rhetia, Pannonis, Sarmatia, and Dacia ?

Both queations require investigation.
That there were non-Germanic populations within the Germania of Tacitus, probably consisting of Gaule, and certainly consisting of Slavonians, Lithuanians, and Finns, is one of the main theorems of the present volume; a theorem for which the reasons may be found in notes as well as in the preliminary obserrations,

The complementary question as to absence or presence of German populations in Gaul, Rheotia, Pannonia, Sarmatia, and Dacia will form part of the subject of the next three notes.
${ }^{3}$ Gallie.]-Here the question arises as to whether the Gauls formed what may be called an ethnological unity: :ie, first, whether the whole of the Gallic atock was contained within the ares of Gallia; and, secondly, whether that area contained nothing but Gallic populations.

1. The whole of the Gallic stock was not contained within the area of Gaul. - The Britons of England and Wales, the Picta and Scots of Scotland, and the numerous tribes of Ireland were all members of the great Gallic stock-a stock slso called Keltio.
2. Populations other than those of the Gallic stock existed in Gaul.-Between the Aquitanians to the south and the Gauls to the north of the Loire, there was a greater ethnological difference than between the Gauls north of the Loire, and the Britons; or even the Caledonian and Hibernian tribes. The Aquitanians belonged to the Iberic stock; represented at present by the Basques of the Pyrenees. The rest were Kelds.

Such are the general answers to the general question. The particular inquiry as to whether there were Germans in Gaul, the inquiry indicated in the preceding note, still stands over.

That there were some Germans in Gaul is undoubted. We can
scarcely expect that the Rhine should have been as absolute anfrontier in history as it is in geography. Each nation tranggreseed it, so that there were Gaule in Germany, and Germans in Gaul.

But comparatively recent migrations-mere changes in the line of frontier-are not the mattera before us. There are Englishmen in India; but that does not make India English. Was so notable a proportion of Gaul occupied by indigenous Germans as to justify us in calling Gaul a part of the Germanio area, or the Germans a part of the population of Gaull Were there Germans in Gaul in the same way that there ware Iberians in the time of Cmpar, or Bretons now? Were there Germans in Gaul as there are Welshmon in England I

The present writer believes that, in the time of Tacilus, there were none such.

Were there before the time of Tacitusi Zenss and others believe that there were. The evidence in favour of these early Gallo-Ctermans consists chieff, if not exclusively, in an extract from Livy, and in the forms of certain words.

The extract from Livy (forming the external evidence) is as follows. Speaking of the pasage of Hannibal, he writes, "ea-itinera-qu* ad Peninum* ferunt, obsepta gentibus semi-Germanis faissent."-xxi. 38.

The internal evidence, consisting of the real or supposed names of the tribes in question, is got at through a considerable amount of assumption. Avienus, who is supposed to follow an older authority, writes-

Meat amnis $t$ autem fonte per Tylangios, Per Daliternos, per Chabilicorum eata, Temenicum et agrum (dura sat yocabula Auremque primam cuncta valnerantia; Sed non silenda tibimet ob studium tuum Nostramque curam). Panditur porro in decem Passua recursu gurgitum stagnam grave. Plerique tradunt, inserit semet dehinc
Vastam in paludem, quem vetus mos Greecise
Vocavit Accron.-Ora Maritima, II 666, \&c.
Now Zeuss, who believes these to be the oldest German names extant, and who thinks that they atand for tribes who occupied the

[^15]Pennine Alps anterior to the Keltio migrations towards Italy, auggesta the following etymologies and parallels.
a. Tylangii.-The same as the Tulingi of Casar, with the a changed into i, by the Greek authority of Avienus, so that the word becomes Tu入ayyroc, instead of Tudirycon. The -ing (-ryy) is the nsual German derivational affix, and the Tul-, the root of the first part of the compound word Tou入loupjoy (a. German town mentioned by Ptolemy), and tit-, a root signifying useful, ft.
6. Datierni-Agreeing in its termination with the words Basterna, and Guberni, and in its beginning with the root dal=valley, dale.
c. Chabilci.-The Kcoûdrou of Strabo, the Kadoúruves of Ptolemy and the Calucones of Pling.

The objections that lie against all this are-

1. The identity between the tribee named by Avienas and those indicated by Livy is not made out.
2. The tribes with whom the Tylangii and Chabilci are compared are not themselves unequivocally Germanic.
3. Cessar, describing the same locality, calls the population Gallic; eapecially mentioning one of the tribes named by livy the Veragrin

It may fairly be said that all this creates dificulties, and justifies the statement that the literal verification of the passage in Livy involvee a considerable amount of assumption.

Beesides this, in order to reconcile Livy with Cersar, Zeuse supposed an internixture of Gallic immigrants and German aborigines. This introduces greater difficulties than it removes.

In the first place, the Germans in question, if aboriginal, were disconnected from their nearest congeners by the whole of Helvetia, a locality confessedly Gallic.
Secondly, a mountain-fastness like the Mons Penninus was not likely to be a spot from which Gauls would dieplace Germans.

No remark has been made upon the etymologies themselves. They are derivations which certain readers will be as alow to abandoh, as others are to admit. Neither is the undoubted Callic form of the word Veragri insisted on ; since, although a Gallic word, it might be the designation of a German nation-just as Welah is in our language, a name applied to Welshmen, but not a Welsh word.

On the other hand, it may be urged, that the Veragri may have been semai-German without Cessar's knowing it, or that Cwsar may have known them to be semi-Germans without thinking it necessary
to call them so. There is no conclusive answer to this objection. It is not, however, one which the carreful reader of Cessar, unbiassed by German predilections, is likely to take. How clearly does he recognise the Germanic elements of the character of the Nervii and others, and how careful he is to notify them !

Sarely, it is not too much to say that in Cesar's time the Pennine population was wholly Gallic, and not half-German.
Now if we do this, Livy's credit must be saved by either sapposing that he used the word German with a considerable degree of latitude, or else that his etatement applies to the time he wrote about rather than his own.

I believe the former to have been the case, and answer the question raised in the beginning of the present note, by asserting my belief that, as the Tylangii, do., were non-Germanio, there were no Germans, as integral elements of the population of Gallia, either when Tacitus wrote or when Hannibal marched across the Alpa.

4 Rheetis.]-The countries sonth of the Danube ware first subdued under Augustus; when they were formed into the following provinces. 1. Rhmtian 2. Vindelicia. 3. Noricum. 4. Pannonia Superior. 5. Pannonia Inferior.

Rhatia, the modern Tyrol, was bounded by Helvetia on the west, by Vindelicia on the north, and by Noricum on the east. From Noricum it was divided by the River Inn (Finuas).

Vindelicia coincides with the southern half of Bavaria, or that portion of Bavaria which lies south of the Danube, and part of Wurtemburg. It was bounded on the north-west by the Decumates Agri=Baden, and part of Wurtemberg.

Noricum, the modern Salzburg, and Opper Austria, extended from the Inn (सnus) to the Kahlenberg (Mona Cetius).

The Pannonias were bounded by the Kahlenberg, the Danube, and the Save, and coincide with the south-western part of Hungary, and Lower Austris.
Now of these four names for five provinces, Tacitus mentions only two,-Rhextis and Pannonia. Of Vindelicia and Noricum he says nothing,--although each resched to the Danabe; which Rhatia, in the strict sense of the word, did not.

Vindelicia, then, he evidently includes in the area of the Rharti. What, however, he considered Noricum to be, is doubtful. Did he count it as part of Pannonia on the east, or as part of Rhatia on the
cent, or cid he give a part to the one provinoe, and a part to the other:

There is a difficolty here, which is increased by the fact of the Danube forming but partially the Rhæto-Germanic frontier. A considerable portion of the Rhmtia of Tacitus reached the Danube as ite zorthern limit, without, therefore, reaching the southern frontier of Germany. The Decumatea Agri lay north of the Dannbe, between Vindelicia, Gaul, and Germany. Yet it is by no means cortain, that the Decumates Agri were German.--See not. in voo.

Perhapa a more minute investigation than the present writer has had the opportunity of msking, into the early history of the Danobian provinces just enumerated, would account for the omission of the names Vindelicia and Noricum, and at the same time to inform us how the Norican population was to be distribated. At present, however, I consider that Tacitus, in mentioning the Rheeti and Pannonis* only, recognized the ethnological rather than the poltical division, and thought of the natural division of an area into its nationalities rather than of the artificial distinction of provinces.

If so, we have an instrument of oriticism ; since we may infer that the Vindelici were in the same category with the Rhati, and that the Norici were either Rheetian or Pannonian, or else divided between the two.

The ethnological position of the Rhetians, the extent to which they consisted of one or several atocks, and their relations to the population of Noricum, are difficult and complicated questions. Neither are they true portions of German ethnology.

Hence the present note will contain little beyond the notice of the country and its occopants in their present state.

Politionlly speaking, Rhatin with Vindelicis, comprisea the following countries and districts.-1. The Vorarlberg. 2. The Gribons, or Grarbriundten. 3. The Valtelina. 4. The Teesino. 5. The Tyrol. 6. Part of Lombardy. These form Rhbetia proper. The southern part of Bavaria, the sonth-eastern part of Wurtemberg, and a amsll portion of Baden constitute Vindelicia.

Geographically viewed, this ares embraces a portion of two wateraystems, and a water-bhed, vis., the southern feeders of the Upper Danube, and the northern feeders of the Po; the water-shed between them being formed by the Alps. Beeides these the head-waters of the Rhine belong to Rhwtia.

[^16]The Bavarian side of the great Alpine chain oanista of m elevated table-land, the Italian of a series of mountain-valleys, which change in character as we approach the alluvial plain of Lombardy; and as these change, we pass from Rhetia to Italy, from the Tyrol and Switzerland to Lombardy.

At the present moment the population of this area is referable to two divisions. A German dialect of the Alemannic type is spoken in Bararia, Wurtemburg, Baden, the Vorarlberg, and greater part of the 'Tyrol. The remaining dialects are derivatives from the Latin. It is necessary to know that these last fall into two divisions; the Italian of Lombardy, the Valteline, and Tessino, and the Romance of the Grisons or Graubriundten. It is the Grison or Graubriundten country which is pro-eminently and typically Rhertian; the Grison mountains are the Rhatian Alps, and the Grison form of speech is often called the Rhatian language.

If, from the Lake of Constance, we follow up the Rhine towards its sonree, we find that river and the Inn rise on different sides of the same range of mountains. Now the valley of the Upper Rhine and the Upper Inn constitute the Grieon country, where the Romance language is spoken, and where it falls into two chief dialects, coinciding with the two river-systems. The proper Romance is the langaage of the hille and valleys on the Upper Rhine ; the Ladino, or Latin, that of those on the Upper Inn. Then sub-dialeots ocour; the Ladino falling into the Opper Eingadino, and the Lover Engadino; the Romance into several similar ones.
Such is the present philological ethnography of the Rhertias. But as both classes of languages have been introduced into the country within the historical period-the German in the fifth and sixth centuries, and the Roman in the time of Augustus-- neither throws much light apon the character of the original population.

Were there any Germans in Rhetia? Germans might have been found in the northern point of Vindelician Rhmtia, just as there were Germans in Gaul ; i.e., as intrusive emigranta, but not as integral portions of the original Rhæto-Vindelician population.
© Pannonis.]-Laying aside the question as to the distribution of the populations of Noricum, the portion of the Danube which separated Pannonis Proper from the Germany of Tacitus, was that part which lies between the northern extremity of the Kahlenberg (Mons Cetius), and the continuatios of the Bakonyer Wald (Pan-
nonius Mons) into the Medve Range (Sarmatio Montes) ; from the west to Vienna, to the east of the Gran. A little beyond this the Danube takee its great bend southwards, and separatee the eastern Pannonians from the Jazyges. The parts of the Germanic of Tacitus which reach the Pannonian part of the Danube, coincide with the present country of Opper Hungary, or the valleys of the Gran and Waag.

The languages here spoken are, at the present moment referable to three families,-1. German in Lower Austria, and on the side of Lower Anstria. 2. Slavonic on the side of Styria, Crostia, and Slavonia. 3. Majiar, or Proper Hongarian in the central parta.

The present population of Pamnonia cannot but be extremely mixed, since, over and above the present occupants, there have been euccessive invasions of Romans, Goths, Huns, Avart, Cumanians, and Gepidex. All this complicates the inquiry as to the ethnological poeition of the original ante-Roman Pannonians.

At the same time, by eliminating those elements, which we know to have been of recent introduction, we approach the question.

Of these two have occurred within the historical period.
The Germans of Lower Austria are the Germans of Upper Austria advanced eastwards, and the Germans of Opper Austria are the Germans of Bavaria similarly protruded. Their language is referable to the Alemannic type ; their original ancestors were probably Alemanni, and the date of their occupancy is not earlier than the fourth century.

The Majiars are even of later introduction, and their advent even more within the range of history. It took place in the tenth centary.

The Goths, Huns, Avars, Cumanians, have all occupied parts of Pannonis-but all within the historical period, or nearly so. The aborigines preceded all these.

The original popalation of Pannonia must be arrived at by the exclusive method, i.e, the elimination of all known recent populations.
Now the population that remains after this is that of the Slovaks of Opper Hungary, who are Slavonians.

The ethnology of those parts of Pannonia which was not German is no part of the present work. Many reasons, however, beyond the existence of the Slovaks could be given for making it Slavonian.

At the same time, there is but little doubt that the banks of the Danube were occupied by intrusive Ctarmans at an early period.
${ }^{6}$ Rheno.]-The Rhine, is a name by which the same river is known to both the French of its weatern, and the Germans of ita eastern bank. This is not always the case in the frontier rivers ; since they may bear one name in one language, and another in another. It is far from certain that this was not the case with the Rhine originally.

The French and Clermans know it by the same name, not becaase their anceators did, but because each has taken their appellation from the Romans; the word Rhenus is in the same category with Germanic.

From whom did the Romans take it To what ancient language is it referable 1 Almost certainly to the Keltic of Gaul; in which the Glauls originated, but the Romans diffused the name. It might of course have been German as well ; though I think it unlikely, the original German name being probably lost.

Neither is it certain that the name Rhine was persistent throughoat the whole course of the river. The Lower Rhine might have had one name, the Upper Rhine another, just at the Lower Dannbe was called Ister, and the Upper, Danubius. It is not likely that the Batavians of Holland, and the Helvetians of Switzerland gave the same name to the very different parts of their common river. Names of rivers only become general where there is one homogeneous population along their whole course ; or, what is the same thing, when a second party perceives the unity of the whole water-aystem. This was what was done by the Romans, and that is the reason for believing that, originally, the name Rhine was a partial ona.

Is this term, or one like it, applied to any other Keltic rivers, so that there may be several Rhines in France, just as there are several Ouses and Avons in England The bearing of this queetion is of importance. As the question stands at present, the word is a Keltic gloss of no great value, though of some. It is only a proper name. If, however, it reappeary as the designation of other rivers, the chances are that it is no proper name, but a common teren; no word, like John or Thomas, but a word like water, river, tream. Glosses of this kind are more valuable than the others.

Rhen is probably the same root as Rhodan; so that Rhine and Rhone are the same word in different dialects. The disappearance of the $d$ creates no difficulty. The very word Rhone, as compared with Rhodanus, illustratee it.

It is also, probably, the same word with $E$-ridan-us ; the ejection
of the $-d$, being of the same kind as that of the $d$ in Rho-d-anus as opposed to Rhone. The Eridanus of Herodotus (iii. 115) was a river in the extreme west of Europe, which fell into the northern sea.

The form Rhenus was first diffused by Cwasr.
The fact of rein in German meaning clear, and the possibility of the Rhein fuss $=$ the clear river, is the only reason that has ever been given for considering the word of German origin. Even Zeuss lays no stress on this.

The Keltic origin of the name of the great frontier river is generally admitted. So is the Keltic origin of the names of most of its western tributaries, the Nava and the Mosa. The river Obringa, 'Appikkac, 'Ofplyyag, is probably Keltic. The Mosella seems a Roman diminutive of Moea.
Of the eastern feeders, the Mænus and Luppia are of uncertain origin. So are the Nicer and Logana. The Rara and Sigena are, perhaps, German.

7 Danubia.]-The extent to which the root Danub. approaches that of Dnap-, in the undoubtedily Slavonic Dnaparis, or Doieper, is an argument, as far it goes, for the word being of Slavonic origin.

The extent to which the root $D-n$, as in Don and Doom occurs in the name of Keltic rivera, is an argument, as far as it goes, for the word being of Keltic origin.
The fact of its changing its name to Ister, for the lower portion of its course, is an argument, as far as it goes, in favour of the population of the banks being other than homogeneous, i.e., of one kind, at the head-waters, of another towards the mouth.
${ }^{5}$ Fluminibus:]-Let the direction of river from north to south, or vice verad, be callod a latitudinal or a vertical direction; and a direction from east to west, or vice versd, a longitudinal or horisontal one-

This diatinction gives rise to the consideration of some points of general ethnology.
The more vertical the direction of a river-other things boing equal-the less homogeneous ita population.

The more korisontal the direction of a river-other thinga being equal--the more homogeneous its population.

A little consideration explains this. Difference of latitude is a great ethnological infinenoe; and as the character of a population
changes as we proceed either northwards or southwards more than it does in a direction from east to west, or from west to east, the contrast between the populstion of the head-waters, and the population of the embouchures of long rivers is greater where the difference of latitude is greatest, and least where it is least-other things, as said before, being equal.

The great vertical rivers of Northern Asia have the conquering Mongol and Turks on their souroes, the stunted Samoeide on the mouths

The great vertical rivers of Southern Asia have Tibetan mountains, between the thirtieth and thirty-fifth degrees of north latitude, and Siamese and Cambojians in latitude ten.

The Nile has Negroes in its extrome valleys, Abysinians on its tsble-land, and Esgyptians on ita great valley and Delta

The northernmoat Mississippi Indians approach the type of the Eskimo, the southernmost that of the Mexicans.

Most of the great rivers of the world are vertical; the chief horizoptal directions being those of the Amazon in America, the Senegal in Africa, the Hoang-ho and Kiang-ku in Abia, and the Danube in Europe.

The horizontal direction of the two great Chinese rivers undoubtedly does much towards determining the homogeneons character of the Chinese civilization. At the same time they help to account for its isolation.

The direction then is one of difference between the Danube as boundary to Germania and the Rhine.

The course of the Danabe determined the migration eastward, those of the Rhine (and atill more of the Weser and Elbe) northward.

Another difference between the two rivers is the charscter of their water-system. Contrasted with the Danube the Rhine has but few feeders; indeed it has but few feeders compared with any river of equal magnitude, unless it be the Rio Grande of Texas. The Rhine is supported as the reserveir of the Lake of Constance rather than supplied by its tributaries. From this it follows that the basin or valley-system of the Rhine is preeminently small ; so thst its alluvial plains sink into insignificance when compared with those of the Danube, or even the Elbe, Oder, and Vistula. Whatever we subtract from the area of the valleys of a river, brings the hill-ranges in closer approximation to the stream, in which we have a mountain-
barrier, as well as a water-barrier. Id the particular instance before un, the Rhine is a Gallo-Germanic frontier, but it is a frontier atrengthaned in its upper part, at least, by the rangea of the Blackforeat, the Odenwald, and the Vosges. In its lower portion, as the mountains either recede or diminish, and the allurial plains extend themselves, it ceases to be a frontier.

Again-the facilities of a migration down the Danube are greater than those down the Rhine; a circumstance to which the directions of the two rivers, as well as the difference of their water-system contributes.

9 Sarmatio.]-It is not necessasy to exhibit in full the different senses in which this word ocours in the claseical writers. It is a term less wide in ita application than Scythes, but, like Seythes, it is applied to the northern moietiea of the ancient world; the most southern limit of Sarmatia being the Danube On the weet it becomes confounded with Germania, on the east with Asiatic Scythis

Geographically, it chiefly applies to Eautern Europe; Scythia being chiefly referable to Western Asia.

Ethnologically, it embraces nearly all the Slavonio areas, and fow or none of the non-Slavonic.
This justifies its application, by the present writer, to the class which contains the Lithuanic as well as the Slavonic tongues.

The Sarmatee of the present text-the Sarmatee of the Germanic frontier--are the originsl occupants of the country between the Upper Thiess (Tibiccus) and the Medves Range (Montes Sarmatici). These were the northern Jazyges, or the old Slavonic populations of Middle Hungary.

That either these Jazyges themselves, or else their neighbours to the east, weat, or south were Slavonians, is a fact which is supported by internal evidence of the most conclusive kind; and as the undoubted presence of a Slavonic population in the parta occupied by them, is of great importance in the investigation of the ethnology of Pannonia and Dacia, due prominence is given to it by mentioning it at the present time.

The term gazyl (yazyk) is a Slavonic form.
It means language or specch.
But in it also used, by extension, to mean nation, family, or population? So truly is this the case, that the Slavonic of the first line of
the quotation from Neator* runs, "Ot sichzke $\operatorname{lxx} \mathbf{i}$ dwn jazylw byst jazyk Slovenesk," From auch Lxx and two tongues is the Slovenian tongue.

The Bohemians and Moravians call theroselves Crecheky Gayyk and Morawsky Gazyk respectively.
$A s$ this may $\dagger$ safely be considered to be the Jazyg- in Jazyg-es, it is a sound inference to presume the existence of a Slavonic population whenever that name occars.
> ${ }^{10}$ Dacie.]-Ancient Dacis comprises the modern principalities of Wallachia and Moldavia; and it is these two countries which more strongly remind us of the Dacia of Trajan and Decebalus. Here it is where the language of the Romans still remains; ;o that the present Romany of the Lower Danube belongs to the same philological division with the French, Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, and Grison; in other words, it is one of the daughters of the great Latin tongue.

> But ancient Dacia comprised something more than Wallachia and Moldavia All Transylvania, at least half the Banat, and at least half the rest of Hungary, belonged to it. Half-way between the Thiess and the Transylvanian boundary, runs a line of supposed Roman remains, and these most probably separated the Roman province of Dacia from the independent Jaryges Metanaste of the Thiess.

> Now this was a political division; but the political division does not reach far enough west. In order to bring Dacia in contact with Germania, we must make an ethnological frontier, and seek for Dacisns beyond the province of Dacia. This is easily done, since the name was one of a widely-spread and only partially-conquered population. The Daci of the text-the Daci of the Clormanic frontier-were what Zeuss calls the independent Dacians (freie Daken), and their locality was the Gallician side of Hungary. They are asid by Pliny to have originally occupied the valley of the Tribiscus, from which they were expelled by the Jazyges.

[^17]Origin of the word, Keltic-the root being the root of the word Abainn $=1$ bion $=$ hilly land $=$ Scotland $=$ Great Britain-"Gallorum linguâ Alpes montes alti appollantur."--Iid. Hiap., Or. xiv. 8.
${ }^{13}$ Abnoba.]-This name is perhaps Keltic, $=$ ben $+a b h$, $=$ head of the waters. The etymology, however, is but a guess, and nothing depends uponit.

One of the names of the forest of the Mons Abnobe was Silva Marciana, the forest of the March, a name very illustrative of the extent to which the agri Decumates was a debutable land.
${ }^{14}$ Plures populos.]-In the eyes of the cotemporaries of Tacitus, the groups of population along the line of the Danube wero-beginning at its source-as follows:

1. The occupants of the Decumates agri, on both aides.
2. The Findelicians or Northern Rhati, on the sonth. On the north, the Southern Germans.
3. Noricum= Upper and Lower Austria, on the south. On the north, certain Marcomanni (?).
4. Pannonia, on the south; on the north, the country of the Quadi. The direction now changes, as we bave reached the great bend, so that instead of saying the north and south, it is convenient to say the right and left banks.
5. Pannonia continued, on the right; the country of the Jazyges and western Daci, on the left.

6, 7. The Marias (Superior and Inferior), on the right; Dacia, on the left.

These coincide with the present countries of

1. Baden and Wurtemburg= the Decumates agri.
2. Bavaria=Vindelicia and South Germania.
3. Upper and Lower Austris=Noricum.

4, 5. Oppor Hangary $=$ Pannonis and the country of the Quadi and Jazyges.

6, 7. Servia and Bulgaria=the Mcosias; Wallachia, Moldavia, and Bessarabia ( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)=$Dacia.

Ethnologically, I believe, the whole river to have been unequally divided between the three great stocks so often mentioned aireadythe Kelts, the Germans, and the Sarmstians, with a few Turks and Ugrians towards its mouth. But the proof of this, as well as the details, are to be collected from the Notes in general.
II. Ipsos Germanos indigenas crediderim, miniméque aliarom gentium adventibus et hospitiis mixtos: quia nee terra olim, sed classibus advehebantur, qui mutare sedes quærebant;' et immensus ultrà, utque sic dixerim, adversus Oceanus raris ab orbe nostro navibus aditar. Quis porrò, prater periculum horridi et ignoti maris, Asiá aut Africâ aut Italiấ relictâ, Germaniam peteret? informem terris, asperam coelo, tristem cultu aspectuque, nisi si patria sit. Celebrant carminibus ${ }^{2}$ antiquis (quod unum apud illos memorix et annalium genus est) "Tuistonems deum terrâ editum, et filium Mannum, ${ }^{4}$ originemgentis conditoresque. Manno tres filios adsignant, e quorum nominibus proximi Oceano Ingævones, ${ }^{5}$ medii Hermiones, ${ }^{6}$ ceteri Istævones ${ }^{7}$ vocentar." Quidam autem licentiâ vetustatis, " plures deo ortos, pluresque gentis appellationes, Marsos, ${ }^{8}$ Gambrivios, ${ }^{9}$ Suevos, ${ }^{10}$ Vandalios ${ }^{13}$ adfirmant : "eaque vera et antiqua nomina. Ceterùm Germanix vocabulum recens ${ }^{18}$ et nuper additum : quoniam qui primi Rhenum tranggressi Gallos expulerint, ac nunc Tungri, tunc Germani vocati sint: ita nationis nomen, non gentis evaluiese paullatim, ut omnes primùm a victore ob metum, mox a seipsis invento nomine, Germani vocarentur." "Fuisse apud eos et Herculem" ${ }^{13}$ memorant, primumque omnium virorum fortium ituri in proelia canunt.

## NOTKA ON BECHION II.

> ${ }^{1}$ Nec terrá olim, sed classibus advehebantur, qui mudare sedes quare-bant.]-This appears at first to be the ramark of a Greek rather than of a Roman writer; the induction upon which it reste being aupplied from the maritime enterprises of the Greeks and Carthaginians, But, in truth, it is a statement of great import and generality; of an import and generality probably eoarcely appreciated by Tacitus himself, and certainly unapprecisted by the majority of his
commentatora, as well as by writers on history and ethnology in general.

Far too many inquirers either adopt or acquiesce in the current notion that migrations are phenomena, which we may assume to any extent required, not only on account of the facts demanding explanation, but in order to sustain the accuracy of even indifferent authors. To such, it is as easy to bring a population from the Baltic to the Mediterranean, across a whole series of bostile countries, as to move a knight across a chess-board. The great name of Niebuhr justifies this gratuitous prodigality of locomotion. Nay more, it seems so philosophic to trace a so-called national movement to its primary cause, that a known invasion in one quarter is often supposed to juatify the assumption of an unknown one elsewhere-so that nations press each other forwards, themselves being preseed upon. This doctrine, with metaphors and illustrations to match, is plausible enough to be widely recognised.

It means, in its naked form, that $\Delta$ attacks B , because he cannot support himself against $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{o}$ being similarly situated in respect to d , and so on; a view which makea the great qualification for the attack of another nation's country, the inability to defend one's own.

This doctrine we would gladly believe to be true. It would diminish by nine-tenths the crimes of the warlike part of the human species. It would reduce all bat the first primary movements to a matter of necessity, and so justify them. The motives for aggression would not be envy, cruelty, and capidity, but the unplessant necessity of choosing between reparation for what has been lost to yourself by the appropriation of what belongs to another, and death or bondage.

A little analysis, and a few distinctions, will show that, instead of migrations being thus common, they are eminently rare.

A migration is different from a mere extension of frontier. No one says, that when the whole American population presses westwards, at the rate of (say) twelve miles a year, there is a migration. The frontier has been advanced; the advancing population being continuous with the stationary, and no separation of one portion of the American population from snother having taken place. The Russians are gradually encroaching apon the Siberians; and the English on the Welsh; yet none of these are instances of migration.

A migration is different from a return, or re-migration. No
one would'call the retreat of the ten thousand Greeks a migration in the usual eonse of the term.

In order to even approach the idea of a true migration, there must be a fresh country; and there must be a discontinuity of area as well. In other words, a migration implies the occupation of one area by the inhabitants of another, combined with the non-occupation of the intervening parts. Without this latter element, it is a mere extention of frontier. To apply an illustration already made, a migration is like the knight's move at chess.

If these intervening parts be portions of the ocean, or a river, their non-occupation is a mattor of course; and bence, migrations by water are common. If, however, they be by land, they are 80 rare that, throughout the whole history of the German stock, I know no wnexceptionable instance of one.

Alsatia, Franche-Comté, Burgundy, Switzerland, and France (so far as it is German), became Germanized by extention of frontier.

By extension of frontier the Slavonic tribes were displaced.

- Theodoric's conquest of Rome was as little a migration as the seizure of the empire by the hands of any commander in Pannonia would have been. It wha a mere military ocoupation.

The Anglo-Saxon migration was by sea; and that the Gothic invasions of Alaric and others were the same, is bighly probable. The Gotha thamselves, probably, reached Moesia by navigating the Danube.

For a migration to be unexceptionable, the evidence of its occurrence must be unexceptionable also; i.co, it must be referable to contemporary testimony. This is because migration was as favorite a mode of accounting for the more irregalar diatributions of population with ancient writers as it is with modern.

The difference between migrations and great military movements is difficult to draw. If, however, we choose to distinguish between an army with a number of camp-followers, and a migration properly so-called, by considering that the presence of females, aged men, and children, is necessary to constitute the latter (making it a movement, $\pi a \nu \delta \eta \mu E i$ ) the rarity of this preoumedly common phenomenon is indefinitely enhanced -so much so, that a land migration (as distinguished from one by water), a migration with separation from the original area (as distinguished from mere advance of frontier), a migration to a fresh land (as distinguished from a return), and

is an occurrence of which the whole range of history gives us no undoubted instance.

Even the approsches to this are not numerous; the most remarksble of these being the Helvetic, as described by Cwsar, and the Majiar, of the ninth contury, by which Hungary was peopled by Ugrians. Nevertheless, the former, as far as we follow it, was a mere adrance of frontier, and the latter a military conquest.

- Carminibus.]-The earliest verses in any Gothic languages are the older poems of the Anglo-Saxons ; indeed, with the exception of the Gospols of Uphilas, and a few other fragments of the Mcoso-Ctothic, refersble to the fourth and fifth centuries, the oldest specimens of any Gothic tongue, in any shape whatever-prose or verse-are to be found in that dialect. In the Moso-Gothic, nothing is extant but prose.

These poems must be considered in reepect to their form and their subject.
a. The form,-_Judging from the earliest poems that have come down to us, poems which there is no good reason for believing were essentially different from those of the time of Tacitus, the metre was alliterative. There was accent, and there was the recurrence of similar sounds within certain periods; but there was no quantity, as in the Latin and Greek, and no rhyme, as in the English, German, and French. The rule was that within the space of one long or two short lines, two or more accented initial syllables should begin with the same letter.

All the vowels were congidered as identical; so that three words beginning with $a$, $e$, or $u$ respectively, would all be oonsidered as beginning with $a$, and stand in alliteration to each other. The following extract is from the beginning of Beowulf, a poem of considerable antiquity, and known as the longest specimen of the AngloSaxon heroic narrative. The alliterative ayllables are in Italicss.
Hwar we Gar-Dena
in gear-dagum,
pesd-cyninga,
pryiu go-frunon-
hí oos apelingas
ellen fremedon-
oft Scyld Scefing,

What we of Gar-Danes
In yore-days,
Of people-kings
Glory have heard-
How the EAthelings
Power adranced-
Of Scyld Scefing.
sceapen(a) preátum, monegu meegpum, meodo-setla of-tean--egsode corlsyoban at rest wearb feá-cceaft funden; he pres firfire ge-ba(d), woebx under woolonam, weort-myndom path; of ${ }^{3}$ him atg-hwlyc para ymb-sittendra, ofer hron-rade, hýran scolde, gomban gyildan -

To the hosts of enamies (scathers). To many tribes,
The mead-eettle pulled (them) off. The earl terrified,
Since he first was
An outcast found.
He therefore joyful abided,
Waxed under welkin,
With worth-memorials throve.
Till him each
Of them around-sitting
Over the whale-road,
Hear should,
Tribute pay.
b. The subject.-In the early poems alluded to, the subject is what the present statement of Tacitus leads as to expect. The deeds of great warriors are narrated, and the poems approach the character of epics. Beownlf, the poem last quoted, contains upwards of seven thousand lines. Its hero is an Angle; whose exploite are batiles against both men and monsters, involving no mall amount of supernatural agency. Hence, it is mythological rather than bistorical. The chief localities are the fen-distriots of Hanover and SleswickHolstein, on the Saxon, Frisian, and Danish frontiers. Of England thare is no mention. Hence, although the dialeot is Auglo-Saxon, it must be considered as exhibiting those Hanoverian Saxons who took no part in the Engliah migration. Again-although, in the form in which it has come down to us, there are several passages which prove the latest transcriber to have been a Clristian, the nucleus of the poern is referable to the times of German paganiem. Lastly; it contains several so-called episodes. Of course, these may be looked upon as integral parts of the original poem-just like the episode of Sin and Death in the Paradise Lost. Nevertheless, the more probable view is that they are smaller poems, out of which the longer epic has been aubsequently constructed-rhapsodically.

The Battle of Finnesburh is a fragment, and has the appearance of referring to a real historical event more than Beowulf This is also, to all appearances, Hanoverian.

In the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, the entry under a.d. 937, instead of being a statement in prose is a poem of considerable length,
known under the title of The Battle of Brunanburg. Many other such poetical extracts could be added from either the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, or from the Heimskringla of Snorro Starleson, in Icelandic. Sometimes they stand as anthorities: sometimes they replace the prose narrative.

Such are some of the poems whose form and contents most help us to realize the nature of those older records to which Tacitus alludes.

But there are other bources besides. After the grest and permanent conqueata of auch sovereigus as Theodoric and Alboin, Gothic historians who wrote in Latin, investigated the old poems and traditions bf their nation ; and, although these poems and traditions in their original forms are lost, the mattor of them may be found in more than one writer of the aixth, seventh, and eighth centuries. Of these the most famous are Jornandes and Paulus Diaconus, one for the Goths of the East in the sixth, the other for the Lombards in the eighth centaries.--See Epilegomena.

Again - in the old laws traces of metrical expression may be found.

Lastly, the numerous poetical narratives of the twelfth and thirteenth centaries contain, amongst many other heterogeneons elements, both in the way of tradition and mythology, much that is both indigenous and ancient.

Nevertheless, the difficulty of reconstructing the traditions of the time of Tacitus are great and, perhaps, insuperable. We are fortunate in approaching a distinct conception of them so nearly as we do.
*Tuistonem.]-All the statements that I can make concerning the deity are negative.
He appears in a definite, uneqụivocal shape nowhere amongst any of the Germanic or Saxon forms of heathendom : nor yet in the Edda

So exclusively does the notice of him begin and end with Tacitus, that it looks as if either the German creeds had changed between the second and fifth centuries, or as if the Germans of Tacitus were not the Germans of subsequent history. I do not say that either of these alternatives was the case. I make the remark ohiefly for the sake of showing the difference between what we learn from Tacitus and what we learn elsewhere, in the way of Gothic my-thology.
Another reading is Tusicco. Perhaps it is the best. It certainly
gives us a more Germanic form ; since, by supposing the -isk to be the sdjectival ending preserved down to our own times in words like self-ish, we have a truly Gothic termination. Yet this is but little. Tw-icoos, if dealt with as an adjective derived from a simpler form $T w$, would still leave a difficulty: since it is not likely that the name of a deity would be given in an adjectival form - ine., as an opithet rather than as substantive name. Who ever heard of the Greeks worshipping "Apetos (instead of "Apms), or of the Romans considering Martialis (rather than Mars) as their founder's father ? Precisely the same is the unlikelihood of Tuise-0 being an adjective.

For this reading, however, Zenss argues atrongly; and I draw attention to his reasoning for the sake of objecting to it. It is clear, that, when we say that such or such a form is the right reading, because it gives us certain results, and then that those resulta are to be admitted because such or such a reading is to be found, we argue in a circle. The reading must stand on its own proper grounds, ien, the value and number of the MSS. wherein it occura. To correct it on the strength of anything inferred from the correction itself is illegitimate. Yet this is nearly always the case with the commentators on the Germania, e.g., in the case of the word in question, Zeuse writes thus: "Tuisco (Tuisto is the frong reading), which is better with the vowel transposed (Tiusco), is in respect to its derivation like Cheru-sci, and is in the same relation to Tiu (= deus) as the later form mannisco, mennisco or mensch is to the older mann"-P. 72.

Surely, instead of this bare statement, the collation of the MSS. should have been laid before the reader.

To such high authorities then as Zeuss, the adjectival form of a deity's name is no objection. Neither does it seem to be so to Grimm, who, consequently, takes $T u i-s c o$ as the reading, and $T y$-as the root. This latter is thus declined in the Norse of the Edda, Nom. $T y-r \quad$ Gen. $T_{y-s} \quad$ Acc. $T y$.
The Old High German form is Zius, and the Anglo-Sazon Tiwo. This is the deity that gives its name to Tue-day.

By carrying out this view we make Ziu=Tiu=Tiv=Div, the root in div-us; thus connecting the classical and Gothic mythologies. -D. M. ad voc.

[^18]applies to the root Mannr-, also. It belonge to the German mythology, as explained to the informants of Tacitus. It is foreign to it in all its later and more epecific forms.

At the same time, the criticism which gets over the difficulties presented by the one name grapples with those that attend the other. Hence, Mannus=man, and denotes humanity ; even as $T y=T i v=$ Div- denotes divinity.

## 5 Ingcevones.]-In the Anglo-Saxin poem of Beowulf we find these lines-

| Ing wws meest | Ing was first (erst) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mid East-denum | With (the) East-Dene |
| Gesewen secgum; | Seen men; |
| O\% he siotoran éast | Until he afterwards (since) eastwa |
| Ofer veg gevat. | Over (the) wave went. |
| mus Heardingas | Thue (the) Heardings |
| pone hæle nemdon. | The man named. |

> u. 779—787.

Again-Freyr, one of the Eddaic daities, is called Ingvi-Freyr.
Thirdily-the root re-appears in several proper names ; e.g. in Ing-uiomerts,--the older form of Eincmar.

Lastly-one of the heroic royal families of Sweden is Yng-ling-ar, or descendante of Ingvi; ar being the sign of the plural number, and ing, like -ring in Greek, a patronymic form.

Beyond this, nothing in any later writer or record illustrates the tarm Ing-avones.
${ }^{6}$ Hermiones.]-In numerous Old German and Norse compounds the element $-7 m-n$ is found as a prefix ; its power being to convey the notion of vastness, antiquity, or some similar reverential idea. Thus Irmin-diot=the human kind; Iormund-udr = the great serpent.

More famous still was the Imminotil of the Old Saxons of Westphalia; a pillar or column embodying to the last the superstitions of the nation, and, finally, destroyed by Charlemagne.

Again-the word Hermunduri, as applied to certain Germans of the south-east, is a similar componnd.
Lastly-the names $A$ rminius $=$ Herman contain the same fundsmental sounds.
Beyond this nothing in any later writer illustrates the term Hermiones.

7 Istereones.]-Here the reading is doubtful, Iscoenones being another form.
The existence of an heroic (or semi-heroic) family called Adtinge, gives us the nearest approach to the illustration for the former; the root $A 8 k$, in Ascipurgium, for the latter. See not.ad 0 .

It may safely be said that the carminco antiqua that explain any part of the mythology in a satisfactory form, are as thoroughly lost as the mythology which suggested the carmina antiqua.
> a Marsos.]-The locality of the Marsi was the country \&bout Iseen, in Westphalis,-See Epilegomena, § Chattuarii.

9 Gambrivios.]-What applies to the Marsi applies to the Gambrivii also; to which it may be added, that the Gambr- in this latter word is, in the opinion of Zeuss, the gambr- in Sircambr-i.

For further notice, see ILpilegomena v. Sicambri.
10 Suevos.]-See Epilegomena in v .
${ }^{11}$ Vandalios.]-See Epilegomena in v.
${ }^{18}$ Germanics vocabulum recens.]-This and note I. 1. are complomentary to each other.

Notwithatanding the worde a seipsis invento nomine, I believe that the word German was as foreign to the ancient Germans, as the word Welsh is to a Cambro-Briton. The natives of the principality, as is well known, call themselves Cumraig. Welsh, is what they are called by their neighbours.

From Tacitus's own evidence, the name is new. This, which is primd facie evidence of its not being native, is conclumive as to the faot of their having originally had no collective designation.

The particular portion of the Germanic population which crossed the Rhine, had two names,-Tungri and Germani. Tacitus oxplains this by assuming a difference of time,-one appellation being old, the other recent. I know no inatance of such a change. The real fact seems to have been, that Tungri was the native, Germani the Gallic name for one and the aame people,-just like Wellh and Cumraig, Engliehman and Sassenach.

The extension of the designation of a particular tribe, family, or nation, to a whole stock, is well illustrated by the word Gracia.

Small and unimportant,-possibly even non-Hellenio-as the little Epirote tribe of the Gracei was, it was they who gave the Roman name to Hellas.

```
\({ }^{13}\) Herculem.]-_See Notes, ix. 3.
```

III. Sunt illis hæc quoque carmina, quorum relatu, quem Barditum ${ }^{1}$ vocant, acceadunt animos, futuraque pugnæ fortunam ipso cantu augurantur ; terrent enim, trepidantve, prout sonuit acies. Nec tam voces illæ, quàm virtutis concentus videntur: adfectatur præcipuè asperitas soni, et fractum murmur, objectis ad os scutis, quò plenior et gravior vox repercussu intumescat. Ceterùm et "Ulixem" quidam opinantur, "longo illo et fabuloso errore in hunc Oceanum delatum, adisse Germanix terras, Asciburgiumque, quod in ripâ Rheni situm, hodieque incolitur, ab illo constitutum, nominatumque AミKIMTPIOIN.? Aram quinetiam Ulixi consecratam, adjecto Laertæ patris nomine, eodem loco, olim repertam: monumentaque, et tumulos quosdam, Grecis literis inscriptos, in confinio Germaniæ Rhætiæque adhuc exstare," quæ neque confirmare argumentis, neque refellere in animo est: ex ingenio suo quisque demat vel addat fidem.

## YOTR OR GROTION IIL

1 Barditum.]-The usual name of the poet in the Germanic tongues wes scop; in the Scsndinavian skald. No such root as bard ocours ; and no derivatives of it are known.

It is to the Keltic languages that it belongs, and is co foreign to all the Gothic that, notwithstanding the words barditunn rocant, I cannot believe that any German ever so designated either his national songs, or his national music, That they had much in common with
those of the Gauls is credible; but that the name was the same is unlikely. In the present case, then, Tacitus describes a German custom by a Gallic name. That his error goes thus far I believe. I do not, however, believe that it goes farther ; in other worde, I do not think that the practice which he deacribes is so Gallic as not to be Germanic also ; or that he has confused the custom as well as misapplied the term.

At the same time there is another view which may be taken. It is juat possible that Gallic bards might bave formed part of the retinue of certain German chiefs; in which case they may have been called by their employers by the name they bore at home. However, the national character of their functions, consisting as it did of the recital of native poems, is against this.

Lastly-if a reasonable interpretation of the root b-rd-, can be obtained from any Gothic tongue, all objections against the present ststement falls to the ground.

At prosent, however, it is best explained by assuming the fallibility of the author in which it occurs.

Lucan's notice of the bardic poetry and doctrine is as follows :-

> Vos quoque qui fortes animas, belloque peremptas Laudibus in longum rates dimittitis mrum, Plurima securi fudistis carmina Bardi. Et vos barbaricos ritus, moremque sinistrum Sacrorum Druidm positis repetistis ab armis. Solis nôsse deos, et cooli numina robis, Aut solis nescire datum est; nemora alta remotis Incolitis lucis: vohis auctoribus, umbre , Non tacitas Erebi sedes, Ditisque profundi
> Pallida regna petunt ; regit idem spiritus artus Orbe alio; longw, canitis si cognita, vitm Mora medis est. Certe populi quos despicit Arctos, Felices errore suo, quos ille timorum Maximus, haud urget leti metus; inde ruendi In ferrum mens prona viris, animeeque capaces Mortis, et ignavum rediturse parcere viteo.

Pharsal. i. 447-462. .
In Lithuanio the root b-rt appears with the meaning of seer, or fortune-teller.

## a A:ZKIIMPILON_In German burg=town, berg=hill, ge-birg-e erange of hills.

As the reading here is IYPI- (a reading which we must take as we find it) the first of these three meanings must be the one admitted in the first instance.

Ati, on the other hand, is the English word ash, a prefix which applies better to a hill than to a town. This modifies our view, and supplies a reason for believing that HEPI-would have been the truer affix. Perhapg the analogy of aipyos misled the classical writers,

That the notion that -purg stands for what would more correotly have been -perg, is by no means gratuitous, is shown by the following cases:-
a. The wooded range of the Weetphalian hills is called Saltus Teutoburgiensia, not Teutobergiensis.
b. A similarly wooded range on the east side of Bohemia (the Riesegebinge) is called by Ptolemy 'A

The use of $p$ for $b$ is a Bavarisnism, and suggests the likelihood of the form in question being of Alemannic origin.

Probably the true name was Ask-kipirki=Ashtree Mountains.
The comparative absence of towns in Germany favours the idea of the $u$ being incorreot.

A long list of words in Zenss shows the extent to which the ash entered into the names of topographical localities-Ask-i-tuna, dec a-brunno, Asc-feld, Asch-a-back, dc.

In the Eddaio mythology too it is important.
a. According to one account Ash and $E l m$ (Aslr and Embla) were the first haman beings.
6. The great tree which stood central to the universe was the $\boldsymbol{A}$ sh Ygdrasil-the tree of Time, at the root of which gnawed the serpent Nidhögg, whilst up and down ran the squirrel Ratatofka.

Such are the unconneoted elements of one interpretation-elements to which no one hitherto has given cohesion.

Another series lies in the word 4 sgard.
In the Eddsic mythology the Asas are the dis majores: whilst gard means house maard in Danish. Hence As-gard = the habitation of the Asats; a Scandinavian Olympus opposed to Middangard the Middle-gore, or the home of man.

It has been thought that the As- in Askipurgion is the As- in

At-gard; meaning, consequently, Asas. If so, AKKIITYPIION is the toven of the gods.

The reader will probably prefer the physical to the mythological interpretation-even if he be diseatigied with both.

Upon the names Olixes and Laertes, I can throw no light and suggest nothing satisfactory.

IV: Ipse eorum opinionibus accedo, qui " Germaniæ populos nullis adiis aliarum nationum connubiis infectos, propriam et sinceram et tantùm suî similem gentem exstitisse" arbitrantur. Unde habitas quoque corporum, ${ }^{1}$ quamquam in tanto hominum numero, idem omnibus: truces et cærulei oculi, rutilæ comæ, magna corpora, et tantùm ad impetum valida; laboris atque operum non eadem patientia: miniméque sitim æstumque tolerare, frigora atque inediam colo solove adsueverunt.

## MOTE ON BEGION IF.

${ }^{1}$ Habitus-corporum.]-This uniformity of physical appearance by no means characterizee the present Germand. That the average height is greater than that of the Italians, that extremely black hair and eyea is rarer than in the south of Europe, and that red hair and freckles, and a ruddy complexion with blue or grey eyes, and flaxen hair are also commoner, is as much as can safely be ssid.

These are in different proportions in different parts of the Germanic (or Cothic) area In Frieeland and Sweden they are, perhaps, the most common.

At the same time, the description of Tacitus is no over-statement; aince we must not only remember that he wrote as an Italian, accustomed to dart skins and black hair, but that, since his time, three important influences have been at worl upon the Germanio constitution.
a. Increased civilization.
b. Increased intermixture with foreign nations.
a Extension of area from Germany to Britain, from Britain to Ameries : to say nothing of the minor extensions within the limits of Europe.

Lastly, it should be added that the Germans of the Lower Rhine; and Weatphalia, the Frisians, and Cheruscans, were the sections of the population which Tacitus has described most in deteil. Now these, to judge from the present occupants of the parta in question, were amongst the most typical of their stock.
V. Terra, etsi aliquantò specie differt, in umversum tamen aut silvis horrida aut paludibus feeda: ${ }^{1}$ lumidior quà Gallias, ventosior quà Noricum ac Pannoniam aspicit: satis ferax, frugiferarum arborum impatiens, pecorum fecunda, sed plerumque improcera: ne armentis quidem suus honor, aut gloria frontis: numero gaudent : exque solæ et gratissima ${ }^{2}$ opes sunt. Argentum et aurum propiti an irati dii negaverint, dubito. Nec tamen adfirmaverim, nullam Germaniæ venam argentum aurumve gignere: quis enim scratatus est? possessione et usu haud perinde adficiuntur. Est videre apud illos argentea vasa, legatis et principibus corum muneri data, non in aliâ vilitate, quàm qua humo finguntur: quamquam proximi ob usum commerciorum surum et argentum in pretio habent, formasque quasdam nostre pecunie agnoscunt, atque eligunt : interiores simpliciùs et antiquiùs permutatione mercium utuntur. Pecuniam probant veterem et diu notam, Serratos, Bigatosque. ${ }^{3}$ Argentum quoque magis quàm. aurum sequuntur, nullâ affectione animi, sed quia numerus argenteorum facilior usui est promiscua ac vilia mercantibus.

1 Silvis horrida aut paludibus foeda.]-The ethnologioal distribution of the Clermanic population over these two divisions of country was-
a. For the forest districte-the ancestors of the Mceso-Gothe and the High Germans ; their area being Thuringia, Suabia, Franconia, Hesse.
b. For the fen-districts-the Frisians proper and the Chauci.
c. Divided between the two-the Old Saxons and nome of the Low Germans; the Weeterwald (saltus Teutoburgiensis) being within their limits.

Of the two representatives of these two physical divisions, the extreme types were, perhapa, the Franconians and Frieslanders.

An important modification of the country, however, is not comprehended within these two denominations, i.e. the sandy heaths and barrens of Hanover; indeed, as they lay beyond the ares habitually traversed by the Romans, they were, probably, unknown to Tacitus. These, when they attain their maximum of elevation and aterilityas is the case with the Lineburg Heath-have been allowed to constitute the nearest approach to be found in Europe of the Steppe, so characteristic of Central and Northern Asia.

The population that moet closely coincided with this division, as far as it was German at all (and not Slavonic), was the Anglo-Sazon.

* Ece opes sunt.]-That the German cattle was slmost wholly kine and oxen (to the comparative exclusion of sheep) is the natural inference from the absence of the word greges, and the prominence given to armentis; an inference strengthened by the notice of the German dress, the materials of which were either faxen or leathern; no mention being made of wool.

Again, it is a remark of Mr. Garnett'a, that, in the present English, the words connected with the arts of weaving and spinning are Keltic rather than Germanic ; e.g.,

| English. | Welsh. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clont | Clwt. |
| Gusett | Cwysed. |
| Darn | Darn. |
| Welt | Gwald. |
| Gown | Gwa. |
| Mesh | Masg. |
| Rug | Rhuwch. |

${ }^{3}$ Serratos, Bigatoague.] -The serrated margin of the ancient coins ensured them against being clipped, and showed the extent to which they had been worn.

Any coin might be serratus. On the other hand, those which were marked with the biga (or quadriga) were excluaively ailver-so that serratus applies to the pattern of the coinage, bigatus to the material.-See Facciolat. in FV.
VI. Ne ferrum quidem superest, ${ }^{1}$ sicut ex genere teloram colligitur. Rari gladiis, aut majoribus lanceis utuntur : hastas, vel ipsorum vocabulo frameas ${ }^{9}$ gerunt, angusto et brevi ferro; set ita acri et ad usum habili, ut eodem telo, prout ratio poscit, vel cominus vel eminus pugnent: et eques quidem scuto frameâque contentus est: pedites et missilia spargunt, pluraque singuli, atque in immensum vibrant, nudi aut sagulo leves: nulla cultûs jactatio: scuta tantùm lectissimis coloribus distinguunt: paucis lorica : vix uni alterive cassis, aut galea. Equi non formâ, non velocitate conspicui : sed nec variare gyros, in morem nostrum, docentur. In rectum aut uno flexu dextros agunt, ita conjuncto orbe, ut nemo posterior sit. In universum æstimanti, plus penes peditem roboris: eoque mixti prceliantur, aptâ et congruente ad equestrem pugnam velocitate peditum, quos ex omni juventute delectos ante aciem locant. Definitur et numerus: centeni ex singulis pagis sunt: idque ipsum inter suos vocantur : et quod primò numerus fuit, jam nomen et bonor ${ }^{3}$ est. Acies per cuneos componitur. Cedere loco, dummodo rursus instes, consilii quàm formidinis arbitrantur. Corpora suorum etiam in dubiis proliis referunt. Scutum reliquisse precipuum flagitium: nec aut sacris adesse, aut con-
cilium inire ignominioso fas: multique superstites bellorum, infamiam laqueo finierunt.

## IOTES ON EROFION VL

${ }^{1}$ Ne ferrum quidem superest.]-The statement as to the rarity of metal must be limited to being evidence only to the non-existence of mining habits and the metallurgic arts, in ancient Germany. Grimm, who has given the following table of the names of the metals in different languages, remarks that the names for gold and silver agree in the German and Slavonic tongues, but not in the Keltic. This latter coinciding with the Latin.

For brass and iron the German and Latin agree.
The Ugrian tongued, where they have not borrowed from the so-called Indo-European languages, have a wholly different set of roots.


[^19]| nnelieh | bram | 002. | sinver | IRON. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dudch | toper | goud | silver | ijzer. |
| Iocloudio | eir | gull | tilif | iam. |
| Sloodich | k.oppar | guld | nilfrer | jäm. |
| Dasìm | kobber | gald | solv | jern. |
| Find | kamar ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | kalda | hopis | rauta |
| Esthonian | werren $\dagger$ | kald | houb | rand. |
| Laplamde | air | golle | silb | ronde |
| Majür | erts | amby | exiut | ralt |

To verify the doctrine that the coincidences in the names of the metals are as they are stated to be, a few considerable, but by no means unreasonable, letter-changes are assumed. Thus-

1. $x s$, ais, $a r$, ore and eir are the same words; the change from sto $r$ being verified by the oblique cases of the Latin language iteelf-Nom. ess , Gen. cer-is.
2. The identification of the Slavonio root e-Lt with the Gothic $g$-l-d is also legitimate; since the change from $g$ or $k$ to a sibilant is usual-кapd-=heart=azird-, in Lithuanic.
3. The Spanish hierro explains the loss of the $f$-in ferrum, as compared with jern and iron.

Copper seems to be an exclusively German root; and copper is the metal which, from being earlieat worked, is earliest used.

In Gothic archeology it is well known that the so-called metallic age is separated from the so-called ante-metallic by a broad line of demarcation; the series of facts upon which the distinction rests being as follows:-
a. In certain graves, tumuli or barrows, the implements found along with the body, are of bone or stone, wood or leather, to the exclusion of metal of any kind.
b. In others, they ars of gold or sidver, to the exclusion of iron or bronze.
c. In others, of iron or bronze, as well as the more precious metals.

The general doctrine is, that the third class of graves are the nevest, the first the oldeet ; and, apon this doctrine, a considerable number of archaological and ethnological generalizations have been founded; the civilization (or want of civilization) of the period anterior to the practice of metallurgy being contrasted with that which arose out of the introduction of that art. One of the more important hypotheses connected with this distinction has been so

[^20]generally adopted, and so elaborately worked out by the geologista, naturalists, and philologists of Scandinavia - Eschricht, Nilson, Retzius, Keyser, and others-as to have become almost characteristic of their school. It rests upon the belief that the ekulls of the skeletons of the oldest burial-places, approach in form those of the Lapps, Finns, and Ugrians in general : those of the nower ones only agreeing with those of the present Germans. Assuming the truth of this view (and, without adopting it implicitly, $I$ am not prepared to deny it) we have a means of ascertaining the character of the earliest populations, not only of Germany and Scandinaria, but of many other countries besiden-the reasoning running thus-

1. The antiquity of the grave may be ascertained by the nature of the implemente and ornaments interred along with the skeleton.
2. To the antiquity of the grave the okull of the person buried has a certain relation.
3. The osteological differences thus implied are best accounted for by the assumption of a change in the stock, family, or race of the ocoupants of the country.

Of the different elements in the inference drawn from this line of criticism, the latter is the most exceptionable. The aafe position is simply the fact that the oldest akulle are the amallest in capacity. Such, at least, is the view from the following Table; taken from a fuller one in Mr. D. Wilson's valuable Archmology' and Prehistoric Annals of Scotland. It shows the relative proportions of a series of skulls of very great, with those of a series of moderate antiquity.

| Belative capmerity. | cac\| |
| :---: | :---: |
| Borisontal periphery. |  <br>  |
| Ditup from toct pital protuber onge to soot of nose. |  |
| Occipito froatil areh. |  <br>  |
| Ditto from opper root of Bygurp $^{2}$ tie procets. |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Intermuntaid } \\ \text { hntrin } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Interniotbid reh trom of spor now tie proces. |  <br>  |
| Intermantoid arch. |  |
| Vertical dis. |  <br>  |
| Frontal divmeter. |  <br>  |
| Purietan dien metorer |  <br>  |
| Longitudinal dianmeter. |  <br>  |
|  |  |

\& Frameas.]-This is a true German gloss. It means a stabbing rether than a cutting instrument; its present power being pfriem= punch, awo bodkin. The furse is called pfriemen-krant; the broom, pfriemen-holtz ; and the Nardus structa, Pfriemen-gras.

Isidorus Hispalensis wrongly derives it from ferramentum; "Framea gladius est ex utraque parte acutus, quam rulgo spatham vocant. Framea autem dicta quia ferrea est, nam sicut ferramentum sic framea dicitur, ac proinde omnis gladius framean"-Origg. xviii. 6, 3.

It is difficult to imagine any objections to the connexion between framea and pfrieme, except auch as arise out of the possibility of the modern word having been derived from the gloss in Tacitus-a not unreasonable doctrine. This, however, is set anide by the extent to which the word is shown by its compound, to be truly German. It is also set aside by the extent to which it appears throughout the Gothic languages - Dutch priem, Anglo-Saxon preon, Icelandic prion.

Objections, however, have been raied. The $p$ is not exactly the sound which, in the eyes of the strict believers in the uniformity of letter-ohanges, grows out of $f$. Neither is the diphthong exactly what would be developed out of $a$. Neither is the senbe exaotly the same -"The diphthong varies, and the sense does so still more-der diphthong aber abweicht, und der begrif noch mehr."-D. S. i. 515 .

There is no objection to this minute criticism ; indeed, in and of itself, it is good. The change from for to pfr- is not of the most usual sort; parhaps it is unique.

Again-the $a$ in framea is short, as shown by a line of Juvenal-
Per Solis radiog, Tarpeiaque fulmina jurat,
Et Martis frămeam, et Cirrhwi spioula vatik.—Sat. xiii: 78.
And a short vowel is not the best origin to a long diphthong.
Then, as a sword cuts, wheress a framea stabs, the "sense is different."

All this is good, if taken alone. It is good against an etymologist who asserts that the connexion between pfrieme and framect is so undoubted and undenisble that no sane philologist can demar to itu
It is also good against any other etymology equally axceptionable or unexceptionable.

But it is not good against such an etymology as the following, followed up by the forthooming inferences.
a. Pramea is either a clerical error, or a mistake for franca.
b. Franca was a weapon used by the Franks, from whom it took its name.

I will lay before the reader all that can be said in favour of this view.
a. As admitted, the change from $f r-$ to $p f r-$ is not of a common kind.
b. In the old uncial MS, NC and $M$ are often confused.
c. France in Anglo-Saxon, and frakka in Norse=javelin.
d. The Spaniards called axes franciscos after the Flranks-m" securet, -quas et Hispani ab usu Francorum, per derivationem Franciscus vocant."-Isid. Hispal. xviii. 6, 3.

Observe, that in this last case, the writer who inds an awl, bodkin, as too unlike a dagger to connect pfrieme with framea, finds no difficulty in connecting an axe with a javelin.

Observe, too, that francisca, as an adjective, can, at best, but mean the Frankigh weapon.

Of the Anglo-Saxon and Norse forms, france and frakka, I by no means andervalue the importance.

Now let us look to the assumptions requisite for this view.
In Jurenal the word occurs, throughout the MSS., as framea.
In Tacitus it occura seven times, and, throughout the MSS., sa framea.

Surely the likelihood of the $M$ becoming $N C$, as opposed to this, is only a presumption againat a fact.

But the first Roman writer who, by using the word, introduced it into Rome, may have written framea for franca, and so the error have been propagated. Thie, I submit, is only valid against something else equally hypothetical. It is not enough to say that an anthor may blunder. If it were oo, any man might believe or disbelieve what he chooses. The particular likelihood of each blunder must be shown.

Still the assumption may possibly be legitimate; since it is possible that the hypothesis, which arises out of them, may clear away numerous and considerable difficulties, do awsy with numerous and considerable improbabilities, and so gain credence on the strength of the phenomena for which it will acount.

Let us see what is done in this way.
It does just the contrary to what it ought.
The Franke, under the name of Prank, sppear in history, for the first time, in the second century-no earlier.

To have given, however, the name to a weapon, mentioned by Tacitus and Juvenal, they must have existed under that name in the first-existed, as it were, in a latent state, and onknown as Franks to the legions and commanders who conquered them. I scarcely think that this strengthens the case.

Still the derivation may be both valid and valuable. It may teach us to look for the Franks more closely, and, consequently, to find thera earlier than is supposed.

It has done this in the case of its chief supporter. Ptolemy mentions a people called, 'A vaproppakroi,' in Pannoria-and these are considered to be Фрактof, or Фрayкol.

But Pannonia is a long way from the Frank country. Not too far for an etymologist. They came from the East, as, in the eyes of the etymologist, all populations do.
Sigebertua Gemblacensis writes, "Francis post Priamum, Priami filius Marcomerus et Sunno filius Antenoris pripcipantar annis xxxvi., quorum ducatu Franci Sicambria egressi consedere secus Rhenum in oppidis Germanim."
Here the force of etymology stops, for it has not hitherto gone so far as to conneot framea with King Priam.

But, though all this may be wrong, there was really a relationship between the Franks and the Pannonians. Yes; Angustus planted a Sicambrian* legion in Hungary. No such Sicambrian colony, however, will make 'Avaproppakroi Franks, or deduce the aubjecta of Clovis from the Danube, any more than our Indian possessions will make London a colony of Calcutta.

Now the previous doctrine is not the fruit of the old empirioal etymology, which took no account of consonants, and looked upon vowels as nothing, but the result of those so-called iron-bound lawi of letter-change, which lead their supporters to demur to deduoing pfrieme from framea.
There are certain things less legitimately assumed than an unmanageable letter-change; and a migration which connects the Franks to 'A vaproфpakrot' is one of them.
The doctrine exhibited above is James Grimm's.-D. S. i. 512 - 519.

But the change from $f r$-, to $p f r$, is by no means a serious difficalty; since there is no proof of ita ever having taken place.

[^21]Who ahall asy that, although Tacitus wrote framea, the sound was not that of pfram g The combination of an aspirate with its own leng, although found in the classical writers, where two syllables meet, as in 「ór $\theta$ oc and $\Sigma d^{\prime} \pi \phi \omega$, is an impossible combination at the beginning of a word. Hence, if the combination which they heard in specch, were ever so much pfr , their mode of representing it, or apelling, would be either pr-or fr-s, as the case might be.
${ }^{5}$ Nomen et honor.]-Viz, the word hundred.—See Notes, xii. .
VII. Reges ex nobilitate;' duces ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ex virtute sumunt. Nec regibus infinita aut libera potestas: et duces exemplo potiùs quàm imperio: si prompti, si conspicui, si ante aciem agant, admiratione presunt. Ceterùm, neque animadvertere, neque vincire, ne verberare quidem, nisi sacerdotibus ${ }^{3}$ permissum: non quasi in pœnam, nec ducis jussu, sed velut deo imperante, quem adesse bellaṇtibus credunt: effigiesque, et signa quedam, detracta lucis, in proelium ferunt. Quodque præcipuum fortitudinis incitamentum est, non casus, nec fortuita conglobatio turmam aut caneum facit, sed familiæ et propinquitates: ${ }^{4}$ et in proximo pignora: unde feminarum ululatus audiri, unde vagitus infantium: hi cuique sanctissimi testes, hi maximi laudatores. Ad matres, ad conjuges vulnera ferunt: nec illæ numerare, aut exigere plagas pavent. Cibosque et hortamina pugnantibus gestant.

## NOTRS ON BROTION VIL

> ${ }^{1}$ Reges ex nobilitute.] -The best measure of the extent to which the highest executive power was hereditary, is to be found in the fact of the Cherusci, after the extinction of all the royal family woithin the country, sending to Italy for a Romanized Cheruscan-a sort of Edgar Atheling, whoee deecent more than counterbalanced his ex-
patriation.-"Eodem anno Cherusoorum gens regem Româ petivit, amissis per interna bella nobilibas, et ano reliquo stirpis regix, qui apud Urbem habebatur, nomine Italicus. Paternom huic genus e Flavio, fratre Arminii; mater ex Catumero, principe Chattorum erat."一Ann. xi. 16. Even if we refer a great part of this to Roman intrigue-a probable assumption-the evidence that the recognition of the great element of kingly power-descent-mas as true a characteristic of some of the early Germans, as the sense of personal liberty, is unezceptionable.

At the same time, it is possible that, in the more fenny and inaocessible parts of Frieeland, parts less surrounded by conterminous nations, the approach to either a republican or a patriarchal government may have been closer ; the East Frisians, of all the Germane, at the beginning of the period of undoubted history, being republican.

The name Italicus (and, besides this, there are several other instances of Germans with a Roman name) shows the extent to which certain individuals, at least, of the Germanic nation were Romanized.

The German equivalent of what Tacitus renders rex (or rather the German word to which Tacitus ubes rex as an equivalent) was probably cyning in Anglo-Saxon, kuninc Old High German. How far, however, this was a derivative from the word cyne=gen-us (kin) is uncertain. The best authorities have connected the two.
s Duces.]-The German word to which dux stands in the same relation as rex does to cyning is uncertain. At the beginning of the literary period we find Anglo-Sazon heretoga, and OId High German herizzoho the equivalents to dux; and at the present day her-wog-thum in High German, and her-tug-dom in Danish mean duke-dom. Whether, however, the combination $h-r+t-g$ was as old as the time of Tacitus is uncertain.

Perhaps the oldeat form of our word earl (eorl Anglo-Saxon, jarl Norse) has a better claim-at least for the Saxons and Scandinavians.
The fact that makes the compound $h-r+t-g$ doubtful is the possibility of the German word tog having originated out of the Latin $d u x x$ (duc-s).

Supposing, however, the two words to have existed, it is probable that the heri-toga found his duty on the marches, the corl in the more central parts of the country.

[^22]dos the equivalent, is difficult to ascertain. The word to which I most incline is some composition of the root blot=litare; perhaps blow man. A Burgundian gloss sinistus=acterdos has come down to us.

- Familice et propinquitates.]-Cmsar's term is cognationes.

The probable name for this was meg-sceaft=mateship, or sib$s c e a f t=s i b-s h i p$.

The family iteelf was megb; each member a maga; plural mag-as. The family-bond was maeg-burh.

In Beowulf the warriors who desert their chief are told that "thenceforth they have forfeited the rights of citizenship,

> Folorihtee aceal \%øre magburge monna ggwhilo idel hweorfan.
not, each of you individually, but each and every man of your kin, cognation, or mageceaft ahall be deprived of his rights of citizenship; from which we must infer that the misconduct of one person might compromise his relatives, who are held responsible for his actions."-Kemble, Saxons in England, i. 235.
VIII. Memoriæ proditur, quasdam acies inclinatas jam et labantes a feminis restitutas, constantiâ precum, et objectu pectorum, et monstratâ cominus captivitate, quam longè impatientiùs feminarum suarum nomine timent: adeò ut efficaciùs obligentur animi civitatum, quibus inter obsides puellæ quoque nobiles imperantar. Inesse quinetiam sanctum aliquid et providum putant: nec aut consilia earum aspernantur, aut responsa negligunt. Vidimus, sub divo Vespasiano, Veledam, ${ }^{1}$ diu apud plerosque numinis loco habitam. Sed et olim Auriniam, et complures alias venerati sunt, non adulatione, nec tamquam facerent deas.

## nots on gection vili.

${ }^{1}$ Veledam.]-"Ea virgo nationis Bructeræ, latè imperitabat, vetere apud Germanos more, quo plerasque feminarum fatidicas, et augescente superstitione, arbitrantur deas. Tuneque Feleds auctoritas adolevit; nam 'prosperas Germanis res, et excidium legionum' predixerat." - Tso. Hist. iv. 61. This was during the war against Civilis, in whose favour the influence of Veleds was exerted.

Dio Cassius associates her with a virgin named Ganna, placing


 -Lib. lxvi. 5.

This passage is valuable because it shows the probsble authority upon which the notice of the cnstoms of the Semnones (see not. in v.) is founded, viz : that of Masyus himself.

Of Aurinia no other mention is made.
IX. Deorum maximè Mercurium ${ }^{1}$ colunt, cui certis diebus, humanis quoque hostiis ${ }^{2}$ litare fas habent. Herculem ${ }^{3}$ ac Martem ${ }^{4}$ concessis animalibus placant : pars Suevorum et Isidi sacrificat. ${ }^{5}$ Unde causa et origo peregrino sacro, parum comperi, nisi quòd signum ipsum in modum liburnæ figuratum, docet advectam religionem. Ceterùm, nec cohibere parietibus deos, neque in ullam humani oris speciem adsimulare, ex magnitudine ceelestium arbitrantur; lucos ac nemora consecrant, deorumque nominibus appellant secretum illud, quod solâ reverentiâ vident.

## NOTRS OV BROTION IX.

[^23]From the great importance of Woden in all the Gothic mythologies wherein he appears, and from the extent to which he appeara in all that are at all known, it is likely that Woden was Tacitua's Sercury.

With Matthew of Westminster, and Geoffrey of Monmonth this was certainly the view-"Colimus maxime Mercurium, quem W6den linguâ nostrầ appellamus." This is, probably, from Tacitus.

As both writers, however, lived subsequently to the name of Wednesday being given to the fourth day of the week, they scarcely pass for independent evidence.
"Who invented letters?" is one of the questions in the AngloSaxon dialogue of Solomon and Saturn : the answer being-"Mercury the giant ; that is Woden the God."

A metrical homily (all this is from Mr. Kemble) says :-

Sum man was gehaten
Mercarius on life, se was swite fácenful
and swicol on dædum, and lufode efic stala
and lesis brednysse ;

him to mmaran gode, and $\not$ mt wega gelætum him lac affrodon, and to heagum beorgum him brohton onsegghysse.
Des god wer arwurta betwux eallum heठ̆̈num, and he is Opon geháten, 6rorum naman on Deniso. ¥one feoròan dxg hif sealdon him to frofre bám foressedan Mercurie heora mx́ran gode.

A man there was, called Mercury during life, who was very fraudulent and deceitful in deeds, and eke loved thefts and deception: him the heathen made a powerful god for themselves, and by the road-sides made him offeringe, and upon high hills brought him sacrifice. This god was honourable among all the heathen, and he in called Odin, by another name in Danish. The fourth day they gave for their advantage to the aforessid Mercury their great god.

Other points of resemblance may-and have been-added between Woden and Mercury. Were these in existence when Tacitus wrote? If in existence, did they determine his identification ? This is difficult to say. All that can safely be stated is, that, if Woden were not his analogue of Mercury, no known deity was. That this is not absolutely conclusive is admitted by Mr. Kemble, who writes: "Why
the intapretatio Romana fixed upon Woden as the corresponding god to Mercury, we do not clearly see; but we are not acquainted with the rites and legends which may have made this perfectly clear to the Roroans."-Saxona in England, vol. i. 338. Other facts deepen the shade of this difticulty. Adam of Bremen, in his description of the temple at Upsala, writes: "Wodanem vero sculpunt armatum, siouti nostri Martem scnlpere solent."

Nevertheless, if some known god must be the analogue of Tacitug's Mercury, and if-besides this-it must be his attributes that determine the correspondence, Woden's claim-as aforessid-is the best.

But another series of facts make it possible that the correspondenos was determined less by the attributes than the name.
In more than one of the Gothic languages we have a dialogue in which one of the interlocutors is Solomon. Solomon exhibits his wisdom in a series of answers put to him by a gibing ironist-who, in the Anglo-Saxon dialogue, is called Saturnus, but in several of the French ones Marcou, the fuller and older form of which is Marcolf. Mr. Kemble, in his edition of the Anglo-Saxon work for the Elfric Society, has given elaborate reasons for believing that the Marcolf is Saturnus, and vice versa. The sort of fiction is a common one. Shrewd common-fense on one side, viewing all things in a practical light, and tincturing all things with a caustic irony, is brought into collision with the higher wisdom of a true sage; and, apon the ground of a fool being able to ask more questions in an hour than a wise man can answer in a day, succeeds in puzzling the higher wisdom of his opponent.

Now Marcolf is a German name; and although the Marcolf of the dialogue may have grown ont of the Mercurius of the Classics, after being introduced on German ground, he may also have had an independent origin, and have been German from the beginning.

If so, this origin may have been as old as the time of Tacitus, so that that writer's analogue of hie own Mercury may have been what, subsequently, became Marcolf or Marcou-the name being like, and the attribates not anilike.

Again-there is another view which may be taken.
The reagoning which has applied to the German analogue of Mars may, poesibly, apply here also. There may have been a name aimilar to the Greek Ernes ; in which case the process of a Classical writer would be, first to identify the deity with a Greek god, and then to give the result in a Latin denomination.

At any rate, the root -rm-(aimilar to that of the Greek 'Ep $\mu \bar{\eta} c$ ) occurs in the following notices-" Hæc eadem Eres-burg est corrupto vocabulo dicta, quam et Julius Cessar Romano Imperio subegit, quando et Arispolis nomen habet ab eo qui Aris Greca designatione et Mara ipse dictus est Latino sermone. Duobus quidem idolis hæo dedita fuit, id est Aris, qui urbis moenibus insertus, quasi dominator dominantium, et Ermis qui et Marcurius mercimoniis insistentibus colebatur in forensibus."- Annales Corvienses, ad ann. 1145.
But it is in the famous word Irmin-sell that this root appears with the greatest prominence. Sul=columna; so that $1 r m i n-s u \hat{l}$ is a compound word ; just like Roland-seul, Thors-seul, and Athelstan-sil.

The Old High-German glosses explain it by pyramis, or similar words ; e.g., irmin sulili=pyramides; irman-sül=colossus, altissima columna.

> Uf einir yrmensîle
> Stuont ein Abgott ungeheure
> Den hiezen sie ir Koufman.
> or,
> On an Irminsul
> Stood a monstroas idol
> Which they call (hight) merchant (chapman).

When Charlemagne conquered the Old (Cheruscan) Sazons of Westphalia, the demolition of these heathen Irminsulls was one of his chief objects. His operations are thus described by the contemporary historians-" Domnus rex Karolus perrexit in Saxoniam et conquisivit Erisburgo et pervenit ad looum qui dicitur Erminsûl et succendit ea loca."-Annal. Petavienses.-" Fuit rex Carlua hostiliter in Saxoniâ et destruxit fanum eorum quod vocatur Irminsul." Annales Lasurisham.

Quotations of this kind can be multiplied. They may all be found in the D. M., pp. 105, 106.

A measure of the vitality of the remnants of the Irmin-cult we find in the following verses still current amongst the common people of Westphalin :-
" Hermen, sla dermen
Sla pipen, ala trummen,
De Kaiser wil kummen
Met hamer und stangen
Wil Hermin uphangen."

> "or, " Hermen, atrike Strike pipee, strike drums. The Kaiser will come With hammer and tongs Will Hermin up-hang,"
referring to the demolition of the Irminsull by Charlemagne (Kaiser).
The Irmin here meant may be the hero Arminius deified. His attributes, however (truly Mercurial), complicate this view : and the fact of an Irmin-cullus in Westphalia is, to a certain extent (I do not say how far), a ground for beliering that the name Irm-may have suggested to Tacitus (or rather to Crear, who first mentions the German Mercury) the parallel of the text.

Of the previous views I cannot definitely say which is the least unsatisfactory.
> s Humanis-hostirs.]-The extent to which this was the custom may be measured by the following extracts, chiefly taken from the D. M.-" Lucis propinquis barbarm ares, apud quas tribunos et primorum ordinum centuriones mactaverunt."-Tac. Ann. i. 61. "Sed bellum Hermunduria prosperam, Chattis exitiosius fuit, quia victores diversam aciem Marti ac Mercurio sacravere, quo voto, equi, viri, ouncta victa occidioni dantur."-Ann. xiii. 57. "Quorum unus Radagaisue. . . . Italiam belli feritate aggreditur, promittens sanguinom Christianorum deis suis litare, si vinceret."-Isidor. Chron. Goth, a.d. 446. "Quem Martem Gothi semper asperrima placavere cultura; nam victinas ejus mortes fuere capitorum, opinantes bellorum presulem aptius humani sanguinis effusione placandum."Jornandes, c. 5. "Mos est remeaturis decimum quemque captorum per wquales et cruciarias poenss, plus ob hoe tristi quod superstitioso ritu necare."-Sidon. Apollin. viii. 6. '"Si quis hominem diabolo sacrificaverit, et in hostiam, more paganorum, dæmonibas obtulerit, \&o." -Capitul. de pag. Saxon. 9. "Hoc quoque inter alia crimina agi in partibus illis dixisti, quod quidam ex fidelibus ad immolandum paganis suis venundent manoipia."-Epistole Bonifacii, 25.

> That the Kelts did the same is well known.
> So did the Lithuanians.-" Dracones adorant cum volucribus, quibus etiam vivos litant homines, quos a mercatoribus emunt, diligenter omnino probato , ne maculam in corpore habeant."Adam of Bremen, De Situ Danize, c. 24. Here we find the import-
ance of the offering being without blemish as definitely recognized as in the Levitical Lar.

So did the Huns and others.-"At Scythiani properant et quantosounque prius in ingressu Scytharum habuere litavere victorize."Jorn. 25. "Apud Cypri Salaminem hamanam hostiam Jovi Teucrus immolavit; idque sacrificium posteris tradidit, quod eat nuper, Hadriano imperante, sublatum. Erat apud Tauros, inhumanam ac feram gentem, ut Dianze hospites immolarent, et id ascrificium multis temporibus celebratum est. Galli Esum et Tentatem humano cruore Litabant. Ne Latini quidem hujus immanitatis expertes fuerunt. Siquidem Latialis Jupiter etiam nune sanguine colitur humano."-Lactant. De Fals. Relig. Jib, i. c. 21.

3 Herculem.]-No known German deity has a name sufficiently like Hercules to suggest the reasoning that was suggested by the name Marcolf in a preceding note, reasoning which will reappear in the note that comee next. Hence, it must have been the attributes only which determined the identification.

Continuing the assumption that the analogue of Tacitus's Hercules is to be found in the later mythology, it may safely be said thatattribute for attribute-Thor is, at least, as like the son of Alcmena as Woden was to Mercury. The hammer of Thor might well have suggested the club of Hercules.

Add to this the extent and univergality of the belief in Thor: both of which imply antiquity.

- Martem.]-In a well-known Anglo-Saxon poem in the Runic characters we find the following lines:-

Ear bior égle
Eorla gehwylcum,
万onne festlice
Flasc onginner
Hrá cólian,
Hrasan ce6san
Blace to gebeddan.
Bleda gedreósad,
Wynna gewitad,
Wera geswícaor.
"Ear is a terror to every man, when fast the flesh, the corpse beginneth to become cold and pale, to seek the earth for a consort. Joy failoth, pleasure departeth, engagements cease."

Mr. Kemble, to whom I owe the whole of the contente of this note, truly remarks that if car=spica, arista, an ear of corn, we get but an indifferent sense. On the contrary, if ear mean the God of War, the force of the passage is manifert. But can ear mean this? The following facts apeak in the affirmative.

Tue-s-day=dies Martis; s fact which, as far as it goes, makes Tiw the analogue of the Roman Mars.

In some parts of South Germany, however, the third day of the week is not called Zistag (Tuesday) but Er-tag, Eri-tag, Erich-tag instead. Whence $E r=T i v=$ Mars.

In Saxin Westphalia, an undeniably heathen spot, now called Mersberg, Mons Martie, was originally called Eresburg,-Sazons in England, vol. i. 253.

Such is the light thrown upon the text of Tacitus by subsequent records; faint but cheering; cheering but not satisfactory.

Ear is so like the Greek "Apps, that when Tacitus tells us that the Germans worahipped Mars, we may reasonably suppose that the name rather than the attributes led him to the identification. But then, why write Mars instead of Ares?

On the other hand, if he looked to the attributes rather than the name, Tive, the undoubted analogue of Mars, in the word Tue-s-day (=dies Martis), would be his divinity.

The exact truth is beyond our reach : indeed, it is very likely that his Mars was neither one nor the other. Nevertheless, if the choice has to be made between Tive and Er, it is the latter which commands the preference. Tive has the attributes of Mars only : Er has both the attributes and an spproach to his (Greek) name as well.

- Pars Suevorum-Isidi sacrificat.]-I believe that the goddess here noticed was identified with the Algyptian on the strength of her rame only.
$\Delta$ goddess named Ziza, was worshipped by the inhabitants of the parts about Augsburg; and either by means of tradition, history, or fragments of her cultus, her name was known to Küchlin, an Augsburg poet of the fourteenth century, A.D. 1373-1391.
> "Sia bawten einen tempel gross darein Zu eren Ziee der abgottin, Die sie nach haidnischer sitten Anbetten zu denselben zeiten.

> Die stat ward genennt auch Zisaris, Nach der abgöttin, das was der pris. Der tempel als lang stuond unversert Bis im von alter was der val beschert. Und da er von alter abgieng Der berg namen von im errpfieng; Daruf gestanden was das werck, Und haist noch hït der Zivenberck."
> " They built a great temple therein, To the honour of Zise the heathen goddess Whom they after heathen custome Worshipped at that time ; The city was named eke Zisarie After the heathen goodeas, that was its glory. The temple long stood entire Until its fall was caused by age. And when it from age went-ofi The hiil took the name from it; Whereon the mork stood, And still hight Zisenberg."

Confirmatory of this is an extract from the Augoburg Chronicle, and, of equal value, is a fragment preserved in two MSS, one from Munich, and one from the monastery of St. Emmeram, wherein we find a passage, accompanied by marginal notes, haaded "Exoerpta ex Gallica Historia."

These are too lengthy for quotation; besides which, they are to be found in fall in the D. M. pp. 260—272.

They agree, however, in containing, amongat much insocurate and distorted history, the special statement that the parts in question were the head-quartera of the eultus of the Dea Ciscon "Quan religiosissime colebant, cujus templum quoque ex lignis barbarico ritu constructum, postquam eo colonia Romana deducta est, inviolatum permansit, ac vetustate collapaum nomen colli sarvavit. Quinquagesimo nono die, qua eo ventum est, cum is dies Dea Cize, apud barbaros coleberrimus, ludum ac lasciviam magis quam formidinem ostentaret," \&c.

One of the marginal notes is the following couplet.-
"Quem modo polluarat cultura nefaria dudum Gallus monticulum hunc tibi Cize tulit,"
which, in the Augsburg Chronicle, appeara in the body of the extract.

It may, then, be safely said that, in the thirteenth century, the memory of a local goddess, named Zisa, was preserved in the neighbourhood of Augsburg; and, although the parts about that city were, strictly apeaking, Vindelician rather than Suevic, it may fairly be supposed that the cultus extended into the true Sucvic area.

The following fact diminishes the difficulties involved in the difference of form between Isis and Ziza.
a. Meisterlin, who wrote about 4.D. 1456, has the form with the final -s, "Cizais-der göttinn Ciea, die auch genent wird Cizais." This accounts for the final -s.
b. The form Eysen occurs, Grimm quotes the expresaion, "der amazonischen Augspurger japetisch fraw Eysen"

At the same time, it should be remembered that the writers who speak of Fraut Eysen, may have been disposed to the adoption of that form from the name $I$ sis in Tacitus. Hence the evidence in favour of the omission of the initial $C$ or $Z$, is not unexceptionable.

That the present text infinenced the view: of the later writers concerning the Augsburg goddess, is certain; such a phenomonon being by no means anusual; since numerous instances could be adduced to :how that an insocurate account of a superstition in an influential writer, has soted upon the superstition itself-just as certsin prophecies fulfill their own accomplishment,

At any rate, in the sixteenth century, we find Frau Isis with certain attributes, which may fairly be considered as foreign, and supersdded to those of Ciza. Some of these are deducible from the notice of Tacitus; others referable to other sources of confusion. Thus, Jean le Maire, writing 4.D. 1512, 日ayg, "Au temps duquel la deense Ysis, coyne d' Algypte, vient on Allemaigne et montra au rude peuple l'usaige de mouldre la farine et faire du pain."

Aventin (about A.D. 1522) say日 that it was from Frowo Eyser, that iron (German cisen) took its name, adding an account of her cultue, wherein mention is made of the ship, and Hercules is said to have been her father.—See D. M. i. 244.

Instead, then, of doubtfully suggesting the identity of Ciza and Isis, name for name, as is done by Grimm, I have no hesitation in asenting to it.

Whether traces of the characteristio navigium can be found in an equally gatisfactory form, is another question. A long quotation from Rodolf's Chronicle of the Abbey of St. Trudo, is to be found in D. M. i. Pp. 237-241. It tells us that, A.d. 1133, the country-people of the neighbourhood made a ship, put it on wheels, rolled it about from town to town, and attended it with song and dance from Tongres to Louvain.

This was done to annoy the weavers. It also annoyed the clergy. So much so, that such expressions as navim infausto omine compactam-gentilitatis studium-profanas simulaori excubias-hortabantur ut comburatur-maligni spiritus qui in illa ferebantur-m infausti ominis monstrum, \&o., occur in the account.

I agree with Grimm in thinking this particular procession, although mentioned as a single instance, to have been bat the last of many previous ones-in other words, a revival of an old constom.

I also believe its origin to have been pagan.
But I am not satisfied that it bas anything to do with either Isis or Ziza.
a. The locality of the procession was the parts about the Lower Rhine and Moselle, that of Ziza, Bavaria, and that of even the Iris of Tacitus the country of only pars Suevoram; so that whilst the deity is pre-minently local, the custom is apread over a vast mini
b. Processions of the kind in question are common, without being connected with one another. The celebration of the breaking-up of the ice, and the beginning of the season for narigation might easily be celebrated on the Danube and on the Rhine with a similar coremonial, without the necesaity of supposing the one to have borrowed the custom from the other:
Something of this kind I imagine to have been the case with the supposed analogue of the navigium Isidis in Germany, boats being wheeled about at the beginning of the sailing season, just as on the 9th of January, or Plough-Monday, the labouring men of some parts of England go about as Plough-boys, or Plough-bullocks.

That either the Isis of Tacitus, or the Zisa* of the Augsburgers

[^24]should be other than German, is considered utterly improbable by the grest writer from whom I have taken all the quotations and references of the present note.

That ahe was Slavonic is the opinion of the present inquirer. But the most important fact connected with her cullus, is that of its being, at one and the same time-
a. Suevic, so we learn from the text of Tacitus; and-
b. Findilician, as we infer from her temple at Augsburg.

6 Cohibere parietibus deos.]-This absence of temples is partly borne out by what we find in later writers, partly subjected to modification.
A. It is partly borne out by the fact of no German tongee containing a simple term equivalent to the Latin templum (delubrum, cedes), of which both the signification and the native origin are beyond doubt.

1. In Ulílas, iepóy (Joh. xyiii. 20) is translated by Gutd-hus= God's housen This word, however, occurs but once, and is a compound.
2. The nacal word= vaós is alhe.

The reasons for believing this word to be native (the view supported by the authority of Grimm) are as follows :--
a. The genitive case is alhs, and the dative alh, instead of alhais and alhai; irregularity (so-called) being prima facic evidence of the word in which it occurs being native.
6. In Anglo-Saxon and Old Saxon, the word is of the masculine gender.
c. It may be the word Alces of $\$ 43$.
d. It occurs as an element of several compound proper names, both of men and placee-Ala-holf, $A l a$-dorp, do.

Againgt it lie
a. Its likeness to the Latin word aula.
b. Its being, in Meso-Gothic, of the feminine gender.
c. Ita absence in all the Norse languages.
d. Its power of palace or royal dwelling, a meaning quite as usual at that of holy edifice.

It is asfe then to eay that the native origin of alh=templum is not beyond doubt.
3. V-g is the third noot with a meaning allied to that of templum, enumerated in the chapter of the D. M, referred to.

Its chief forms are wih, weoh, wig, and ve It is truly Gothio in origin, but, in meaning, fluctuates between grove, idol, and holy butlding, the latter power being the most uncertain.
4. $H-r-K$ is in the same predicament. Its chief forme are haruc, hara, hearg, hörg, and, sithough it sometimes=iemplum, its primary meaning is lucus, or rather (perhaps) the Greek $\tau^{\frac{1}{e}} \mu \mathrm{svog}$.
B. The chief text which modifies the belief in the utter absence of temples amongst the Germans is Adam of Bremen's notice of the temple at Sigtuna-"Nobilissimum ills gens templum habet, quod Upsula vocatur, non longe positum a Sigtuna civitate vel Birka. In hoc templo, quod totum ex auro paratum eat, statuas trium deorum veneralur popalus, its ut potentissimus eorum Thor in medio solium habeat triclinio. Hinc et inde locum possident Wodan et Fricco." -De Sit. Dan. c. 233.

On the other hand, the sacro-sanctitude of trees and groves is beyond doubt. It was truly German. At the same time it must be remembered that it was Slavonic as well.
X. Auspicia, sortesque, ${ }^{1}$ ut qui maximè, observant. Sortium consuetudo simplex : virgam, frugifere arbori decisam, in surculos amputant, eosque, notis quibusdam discretos, super candidam vestem temerè ac fortuitò spargunt: mox, si publicè consuletur, sacerdos civitatis, sin privatim, ipse paterfamiliæ, precatus deos, coelumque suspiciens, ter singulos tollit, sublatos, secundùm impressam antè notam, interpretatur. Si prohibuerunt, nulla, de eâdem re, in eumdem diem, consultatio: $\sin$ permissum, auspiciorum adhuc fides exigitur. Et illud quidem etiam hic notum, avium voces, volatusque interrogare. Proprium gentis, equorum quoque prosagia ac monitus experiri: publicè aluntur iisdem nemoribus ac lucis, candidi, et nullo mortali opere contacti, quos pressos sacro curru sacerdos, ac rex, vel princeps civitatis, comitantur, hinnitusque ac fremitus observant. Nec ulli auspicio major fides, non solùm
apud plebem, sed apud proceres, apud sacerdotes. Se enim ministros deorum, illos conscios putant. Est et alia observatio auspiciorum, quâ gravium bellorum eventus explorant. Ejus gentis, cum quâ bellum est, captivum quoquo modo interceptam, cum electo popularium suorum, patriis quemque armis committunt: victoria hujus, vel illius, pro prejudicio accipitur.

## NOTE ON BECTLON X.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Auepicia sortesque, dec.]-The use " of lots as connected with heathendom, that is, as a means of looking into futurity, continued in vogue among the Saxons till a late period, in spite of the efforts of the clergy. This is evident from the many allosions in the Pœenitentiale, and the prohibitions of the secular law. The augury by horses does not appear to have been used in England, from any allusion at least which still survives; but it was still current in Germany in the seventh century, and with less change of adjuncts than we usually find in the adoption of heathen forms by Christian saints. It was left to the decision of horsea to determine where the mortal remsins of St. Gall should rest. The saint would not move till certain unbroken horses were brought and chsrged with his coffin; then, after prayers, we are told, 'Elevato igitur a pontifice neenon a eacerdote feretro et equis superposito, ait episcopu. "Tollite frena de capitibus eoram,"et pergant ubi Dominus voluerit." Vexillum ergo crucis cum luminaribus edsumebatur; et psallentes, equis precedentibus, via incipiebstur.' "-Anon. Vita Sanot. Gall., Pertz Monum. ii. 17.-From The Saxons in Eingland, vol. i. p. 429.


XI. De minoribas rebus principes' consultant, de majoribus omnes: ita tamen, ut ea quoque, quorum penes plebem ${ }^{2}$ arbitrium est, apud principes pertractentur. Coeunt, nisi quid fortuitum et subitum inciderit, certis diebus, cùm aut inchoatur luna, aut impletur: nam agendis rebus hoc auspicatissimam initium
credunt. Nec dierum numerum, ut nos, sed noctium ${ }^{3}$ computant. Sic constituunt, sic condicunt: nox ducere diem videtur. Illud ex libertate vitium, quòd non simal, nec ut jussi conveniunt, sed et alter, et tertius dies cunctatione coeuntiom absumitur. Ut turbe placuit, considunt armati. Silentium per sacerdotes, quibus tum et coercendi jus est, imperatur. Mox rex, vel princeps, prout tetas cuique, prout nobilitas, prout decus bellorum, prout facnndia est, audiuntur, auctoritate suadendi magis, quàm jubendi potestate. Si displicuit sententia, fremitu aspernantur : sin placuit, frameas concutiunt. Honoratissimum assensûs genus est, armis laudare.

## NOTES ON GEOHION XL

${ }^{1}$ Principes.]-The office of the prinopss was elective (the election taking place at the fola-mbt), and probably annual, or for a limited pariod only. His duties were judicial, and the authority extended ovar ten tithings=one hundred. .This sufficiently distinguishes him from the dux. The most probable Germen word thus rendered was ealdor-man.

In the historical period the court of the ealdorman of the hundred was held once a month. Arbitration, and the consideration of the extent to which the peace had been kept or broken, was the business here-i.e, the prevention rather than the punishment of wrong.

The higher mattera belonged to the concilium (folc-mot).
s Plebern.]-This, a term far less definite than it was in the eyes of a Roman, means all who were, at one and the same time, above the rank of servus or libertus (ge-bur, het-a or peov), below the rank of ingenuus (apele), and resident on the land.

Such are the probable limits ; because it is not likely that it spplied to the ge-ripas, or personal retainers of the chief, nor yet to the duces, or the order (apelas) out of which they were chosen.
${ }^{3}$ Noctium.]-Of the length of the minor divisions of the month,
in the time of Tacitus, we know nothing: neither can we speculate as to the nature of the evente on which they were based.

That the periods, however, found in the text before us (like the present word seven-night, se'n-night) which we suppose to have been designated by some compound of the word -night, were shorter than those of the months, is nearly certain.

Month is so truly a word of German origin, and ao definitely connected with moon, that we may safely believe that the natural period of twenty-eight days was always recognised, and always called, as at present. In other words, it is unlikely that the name for month should have been the compound, or combination, of the root $n-g t$ in question.

Still less is it likely that the compound in question was applied to a longer period than that of the month.

That the month then was divided into smaller periods ia the fair inference from the present passage, -and that the quarters of the moon were the phenomena which determined their length, is also likely.
Still the German equivalent to the Roman nundine, and the Christian week, is a point which has still to be investigated.

That such prominence should be given to the reckoning by nighte, if it morely meant that where a Roman said so many days a German said so many nights, is unlikely. There was, surely, some period of time designated by the root night + either a numeral or some similar compositional element.
XII. Licet apud concilium ${ }^{1}$ accusare ${ }^{8}$ quoque, et discrimen capitis intendere. Distinctio pœenarum ${ }^{3}$ ex delicto: proditores et transfugas arboribus suspendunt: ignavos, et imbelles, et corpore infames, coeno ac palude, injectâ insuper crate, mergunt. Diversitas supplicii illuc respicit, tamquam scelera ostendi oporteat, dum puniuntur, flagitia abscondi. Sed et levioribus delictis, pro modo, poena: equorum pecorumque numero convicti multantur : pars multe ${ }^{4}$ regi, vel civitati, pars ipsi qui vindicatur, vel propinquis ejus exsol-
vitur. Eliguntur in iisdem conciliis et principes, qui jura per pagos vicosque reddunt. Centeni singulis ex plebe comites, ${ }^{5}$ consilium simul et auctoritas, adsunt.

## NOTH: ON REGHION XIS.

1 Concilium.]-The probable name of this was in the Saxon districta, at least some compound of $m \delta t=$ meeting, e.g., ge-mót, or folc-môt

Further north it may have been $\mathbf{Ð}$ ing =concilium. In Scandinavia the word is existing at the present moment in the name of the Norwegian parliament, or Stor-ting=great council.
' Licet apud concilitum accusare.] --The concilium here is the folcmbt, the queation being one not of prevention, or arbitration, but of punishment. As such it lay beyond the jurisdiction of the smaller court of the hundred.

In many cases this accusatio was likely to have been made by the princeps and his comites, in their capacity of representatives of the hundred : indeed, unless we suppose this to have been the case, the fahbe, or right of private revenge, would leave but little in the way of criminal jurisdiction to the concilium (folcmote).

* Pcenarum.]-The absence of any punishments severer than fines for even homicide in the Anglo-Saxon laws has engendered the belief that the German law were mild.

The horrible cruelty of many of their punishments may be seen in Grimm's Deatsche Rechts Alterthümer.

* Pars multce.]-Of the two parts into which the penalty fell, that which accrued to the state was the wite, that which accurued to the individual the wehre. When, over and above the private feud, the state interfered, it is likely that the wite became increased. In this case the term $f r i z=$ peace and ban $=b a n$, or proclamation, came into use.

[^25]Of these two elements that of number was the first to become obsolete, so that tithings came to contain more or less than 100, as the case might be. The second, that of neighbourhood and locality, exists at the present time.

In the country, it would be the area which would have the greater tendency to remain fixed and permanent as the characteristic element of the tithing and hundred; in towns it would be the number of individuals.

Hence, in the tenth century we find the following account of the nurnicipal equivalent to the handred :-"This is the ordinance which the biehope and the reevea belonging to London have obtained, and confirmed with pledges, among our fritgylds, as well corliath as coorliah, in addition to the doomes which were fised at Greatley, at Exeter, and at Thanderafield.
"Resolved : that we count every ten men together, and the chief one to direct the nine in each of those duties which we have all ordained, and afterwards the hyndens of them together, and one hynden man who shall admonish the ten for our common benefit ; and let these eleven hold the money of the hynden, and decide that they shall disburse, when aught is to pay, and what they shall receive, should money accrue to us at our common suit. . . .
"That we gather to us once in every month, if we can, and have leisare, the hynden-men, and those who direct the tithings, as well with butt-filling, or as else may please ns, and know what of our agreement has been executed. And let these twelve men have their refection together, and feed themselves as they themselves think right, and deal the remains of the meal for love of God."

Upon this, the writer from whom the notice is taken, continues: "As this valuable record mentions also territorial tithings, containing different amounts of population, it seems to me to furnish important confirmation of the conclusion that the gegyldan of In i and स्lfred, the members of the London tithings or fribgylde of ten, and the York tenmantale, are in truth identical. And it is further in favour of this view that the citizens called the members of auch gildships, gegyldan:-
"And we have also ordained, respecting every man who has given his pledge in our gyldships, that should he die, each gyld-brother (gegylda) shall give a gesufel-loaf for his soul, and aing a fifty (psalms), or cause the same to be sung within xxx days." - Judicis Civitatis Londinensis, from Kemble's Saxons in England, vol. i.

The following extract illustrates this still further: - "And another peace, the greatest of all, there is, whereby all are maintained in firmer state, to wit, in the establishment of a guarantee, which the English call a Frioborgas, with the exception of the men of York, who call it Tenmannetale, that is, the number of ten men. And it consists in this, that in all the vills throughout the kingdom, all men are bound to be in a guarantee by tens, so that if one of the ten men offend, the other nine may hold him to right. But if he should flee, and they allege that they could not have him to right, then ahould be given them, by the king's justice, a space of at least thirty daya and one; and if they could find him they might bring him to justice. But for himself, let him out of his own restore the damage he has done, or, if the offence be so grave, let justice be done upon his body, But if within the aforesaid term he could not be found, since in every friotborh there was one headman should take two of the best men of his frioborh, and the headman of each of the three fribborgg most nearly neighbouring to his own, and likewise two of the best in each, if he can have them; and so with the eleven others he shall, if he can, clear both himself and his frioborh, both of the offence and flight of the aforessid malefactor. Which, if he cannot do, he shall reatore the damage done out of the property of the doer, so long as this shall last, and out of his own, and that of his frioborh; and they shall make amends to the justice according as it shall be by law adjudged them. And, moreover, the oath which they could not complete with the venue, the nine themselves shall make, via., they that had no part in the offence. And if at any time they can recover him, they shall bring him to the justice, if they can, or tell the justice where he is."-Ibid.
XIII. Nihil autem neque publicæ neque privata rei, nisi armati agunt. Sed arma sumere non antè cuiquam moris, quàm civitas suffecturum probaverit. Tum in ipso concilio, vel principum aliquis, vel pater, vel propinquus scuto frameâque juvenem ornant : hæc apud illos toga, hic primus juventæ honos: ante hoc
domûs pars videntur, mox reipublice. Insignis nobilitas, aut magna patrum merita, principis dignationem etiam adolescentulis adsignant. Ceteri robustioribus ac jampridem probatis aggregantur: nec rubor inter comites aspici. Gradus quinetiam et ipse comitatus habet, judicio ejus, quem sectantur: magnaque et comitum æmulatio, quibus primus apud principem suum locus; et principum, cui plurimi et acerrimi comites. ${ }^{1}$ Hæc dignitas, hæ vires, magno semper electorum juvenum globo circumdari, in pace decus, in bello præsidium. Nec solùm in suâ gente cuique, sed apud finitimas quoque civitates id nomen, ea gloria est, si numero ac virtute comitatus emineat: expetuntur enim legationibus, et muneribus ornantur, et ipsâ plerumque famâ bella profligant.

## 

> 1 Comitatus-Comites.]-The German of this translation was probably some older form of the Anglo-Saxon gesio, plural, ge-si-- acs= retainers.
XIV. Cum ventum in aciem, turpe principi virtute vinci; turpe comitatui, virtutem principis non adæquare. Jam verò infame in omnem vitam ac probrosum, superstitem principi suo ex acie recessisse. Illum defendere, tueri, sua quoque fortia facta gloriæ ejus adsignare, precipuum sacramentum est. Principes pro victoriâ pugnant : comites pro principe. Si civitas ${ }^{2}$ in quâ orti sunt, longâ pace et otio torpeat: plerique nobilium adolescentium petunt ultro eas nationes, qua tum bellum aliquod gerunt; quia et ingrata genti
quies, et faciliùs inter ancipitia clarescunt, magnumque comitatum non nisi vi belloque tueare: exigunt enim principis sui liberalitate illum bellatorem equum, illam cruentam victricemque frameam. Nam epulæ, et quamquam incompti, largi tamen apparatus pro stipendio cedunt. Materia munificentiæ per bella et raptus. Nec arare terram, aut exspectare annum, tam facilè persuaseris, quàm vocare hostes et vulnera mereri : pigrum quinimmo et iners videtur sudore adquirere, guod possis sanguine parare.

## NOTE ON GEOTION XIV.

1 Civitas.]-The likeliest name for the community thus designated, is ge-land, the occupants of the same ge-land being ge-landan.

Many ge-lande might make a ric=kingdom.
The most probable name for the smaller districts, such as Fiosi, Chas-uarii, \&c., was ge-land: the larger ones, like that of the Oberusci, being a ric.

There is no reason to believe that these free companies (for such they really were) limited their offers of service to members of the Germanic family only. The utmost in the way of restrictions in this respect, which we can suppose them to have laid upon themselves is, that they should not fight against members of the alliance to which they belonged, whilst on their own soil.

The bearing of this upon many questions is important, since it invalidates the notion that a German name for a chief is a sufficient reason for believing his followers to be Germans.
XV. Quotiens bella non ineunt, non multum venatibus; ${ }^{1}$ plus per otium transigunt, dediti somno, ciboque. Fortissimus quisque ac bellicosissimus nihil agens, delegatâ domûs et penatium et agroram cura feminis senibusque, et infirmissimo cuique ex familiA,
ipsi hebent: mirâ diversitate naturx, cùm iidem homines sic ament inertiam, et oderint quietem. Mos est civitatibus ultro ac viritim conferre principibus vel armentorum vel frugum, quod pro honore acceptum, etiam necessitatibus subvenit. Gaudent pracipuè finitimarum gentium donis, quex non modò a singulis, sed publicè mittuntur: electi equi, magna arma, phalere, torquesque. Jam et pecuniam accipere docuimus.

> NOTR ON EBCHIOS XF.

> 1 Venatibus-]-This is a measure of the extent to which the Germans were exclusively agricultaral-at least agricultural as opposed to populations in the hunter-atate.

> Probably, except in the Marks, there was less game in Germany in the time of Tacitus than there is now.
XVI. Nullas Germanorum populis urbes ${ }^{1}$ habitari, satis notum est, ne pati quidem inter se junctas sedes. Colunt discreti ac diversi, ut fons, ut campus, ut nemus placuit. Vicos locant, non in nostrum morem, connexis et cohærentibus ædificiis: suam quisque domum spatio circumdat, sive adversùs casus ignis remedium, sive inscitiâ ædificandi. Ne cæmentorum quidem apud illos aut tegularum usus; materiâ ad omnia utuntur informi, et citra speciem aut delectationem. Quædam loca diligentiùs illinunt terrâ ita purâ ac splendente, ut picturam ac lineamenta colorum imitetur. Solent et subterraneos specus aperire, eosque multo insuper fimo onerant, suffugium hiemi et receptaculum frugibus: quia rigorem frigorum ejusmodi locis molliunt: et si quando hostis advenit, aperta populatur: abdita autem et defossa, aut ignorantur, aut eo ipso fallunt, quòd quærenda sunt.

## NOTE ON BEGTION EVI.


#### Abstract

: Nullas-urbes.]-Exceptions must be made to this statement, if we give much importance to the assertion that numerous nations on the Gallic side of the Bhine were Germans-e.g., the Nemetes, Yorgiones, Triboci, Treviri, sc. In all the districts belonging to these so-called Germans, there were considerable towns. Of course, these may have been Gallic, whilst the country was German.

As for the text itself, it must be looked upon as having reference to the well-known passage in Cæsar, rather than as a piece of separate and independent evidence.

The intercourse with the Hermundorum civitas (\$41) by no means implies the existence of a town or city. A periodical fair on the Danube will give us all the phenomena implied by the passage in queation.


XVII. Tegumen omnibus sagum, fibulâ, aut, si desit, spinâ consertum: cetera intecti, totos dies juxta focum atque ignem agunt. Locupletissimi veste distinguuntur, non fluitante, sicut Sarmate ac Parthi, sed strictâ et singulos artus exprimente. Gerunt et ferarum pelles, ${ }^{1}$ proximi ripæ negligenter, ulteriores exquisitius, ut quibus nullus per commercia cultus. Eligunt feras, et detracta velamina spargunt maculis pellibusque belluarum, quas exterior Oceanus, atque ignotum mare gignit. Nec alins feminis quàm viris habitus, nisi quòd femina sepius lineis amictibus velantur, eosque purpurâ variant, partemque vestitûs superioris in manicas non extendunt, nudæ brachia ac lacertos: sed et proxima pars pectoris patet.

## NOTE ON BEGTION IVII.

[^26]The oldest exhumations have presented a body wrapped in skin, in a rude, coffin-shaped, rough-hewed tree. The dress, as described in the present passage, consists of hides; both as leather and furs. For the latter, Scandinaria was famous in the seventh century. "Alis vero gens ibi moratur Suethans, quæ velut Thuringi, equis utuntur eximiis. Hi quoque sunt, qui in usus Romanorum Saphirinas pelles commercio interveniente per alias innumeras gentes transmittunt, famosi pellium decora nigredine. Hi quum inope: vivunt, ditissime vestiuntur."-Jornand. De Reb. Get. c. 3.

The long flowing dresses of the Sarmatians were chiefly made by the process of felting, those of the Parthians, by that of weaving; wool being the chief material of the former, wool, cotton, and even sill of the latter.
XVIII. Quamquam severa illic matrimonia:' nec ullam morum partem magis laudaveris: nam propè soli barbarorum singulis uxoribus contenti sunt: exceptis admodum paucis, qui non libidine, sed ob nobilitatem plurimis nuptiis ambiuntur. Dotem non uxor marito, sed uxori maritus offert. Intersunt parentes et propinqui, ac munera probant : munera non ad delicias muliebres quesita, sec quibus nova supta comatur; sed boves et frenatum equum, et scutum cum framê̂ gladioque. In hæc manera uxor accipitur: atque invicem ipsa armorum aliquid viro affert: hoc maximum vinculum, hæc arcana sacra, hos conjugales deos arbitrantur. Ne se mulier extra virtutum cogitationes, extraque bellorum casus putet, ipsis incipientis matrimonii auspiciis admonetur, venire se laborum periculorumque sociam, idem in pace, idem in proelio passuram ausuramque; hoc juncti boves, hoc paratus equus, hoc data arma denuntiant. Sic vivendum, sic pereundum: accipere se quæ liberis inviolata ac
digna reddat, quæ nurus accipiant, rursusque ad nepotes referant.

## NOTE ON BECTION XVIIL.

${ }^{1}$ Severa-matrimonia.]-A measure of the consideration in which females were held, may be found in the Codex Diplomaticus.

A widow had the power of devising her land. A son having brought an action against his mother in the Angla-Sazon County Court, was, upon the latter receiving notification thereof, disinherited by her on the spot, and that in the following words :-" Here sitteth Loôfled my kinswoman, unto whom I grant both my land and my gold, and gown, and dress, and all that I own, after my day (death)." "Her sit Leoffled min mxge, \%e io ge-ann ægðer ge mines landes, ge mînes goldes, ge hreglee, ge reâfes, ge calles ote ic sh, mfter minon dexge."

Nay more, there was one sort of property, at least, which a married woman might bequeath even during the life-time of her husband. This was the morning-gift (morgengifu), presented to her by her husband, the morning after the consummation of her marriage. "In several wills, the husband carefully pointe out the lands to which his wife has this claim; and, in several cases, women appeal to it as their title to lands which they are desirous of alienating."-Kemble, Codex Diplomaticue, vol 1, cix., cx.
XIX. Ergo septâ pudicitiâ agunt, nullis spectaculorum inlecebris, nullis conviviorum inritationibus corrupte. Literarum secreta viri pariter ac feminæ ignorant. ${ }^{1}$ Paucissima in tam numerosâ gente adulteria, quorum poena presens, et maritis permissa. Accisis crinibus, nudatam, coram propinquis, expellit domo maritus, ae per omnem vicum verbere agit: publicatæ enim pudicitiæ nulla venia: non forma, non ætate, non opibus maritum invenerit. Nemo enim illic vitia ridet: nee corrumpere et corrumpi, seculum vocatur. Meliùs quidem adhuc ex civi-
tates, in quibus tantùm virgines nubunt, et cum spe votoque uxoris semel transigitur. Sic unum accipiunt maritum, quo modo unum corpus, unamque vitam, ne ulla cogitatio ultrà, ne longior cupiditas, ne tamquam maritum, sed tamquam matrimonium ament. Numerum liberorum finire, aut quemquam ex agnatis necare, flagitium habetur: plusque ibj boni mores valent, quàm alibi bonæ leges.

> NOTE ON SBCTION XIX.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Literarum secrets-ignorant.]-The Mcoso-Gothic alphabet of the Goths of the third century was formed upon the Greek,

The Anglo-Saxon alphabet, the next in point of antiquity, was Roman in origin.

It is only by exaggerating the antiquity of the inscriptions called Runic, that any exception can be taken to the literal interpretation of the passage. Yet the oldest Runic inscription is subsequent to the year 4.D. 800 .

Ran=sulcus=furrow; and this interpretation well explains their nature. The Runic letters were fitted for being cut on wood or stone -not vritten. Consequently, they were available only for comparatively short inscriptions.

But rên=mysterium=secret as well. I imagine this to be a power deduced from the earlier signification=letter, the earliest being furrow.


XX. In omni domo nudi ac sordidi, in hoe artus, in hæc corpora, quæ miramur, excrescunt. Sua quemque mater uberibus alit, nec ancillis ac nutricibus delegantur. Dominum ac servum nullis educationis deliciis dignoscas. Inter eadem pecora, in eâdem humo degunt, dodec ætas separet ingenuos, virtus agnoscat. Sers juvenum Venus; ${ }^{1}$ eoque inexhausta pubertas:
nee virgines festinantur; eadem juventa, similis proceritas : pares validæque miscentur: ac robora parentum liberi referunt. Sororum filiis idem apud avunculum, qui apud patrem honor. Quidam sanctiorem arctioremque hunc nexum sanguinis arbitrantur, et in accipiendis obsidibus magis exigunt; tamquam ii, et animum firmiùs, et domum latiùs teneant. Heredes tamen successoresque sui cuique liberi: et nullum testamentum. Si liberi non sunt, proximus gradus in possessione fratres, patrui, avunculi. Quantò plus propinquorum, quò major adfinium numerus, tantò gratiosior senectus: nec ulla orbitatis pretia.

## NOTR OS RRGTION XX.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Sera juvenum Venus]-Whatever may have been the age of puberty, that of infancy (in the legal sense of the term) ended with the Anglo-Saxon at 12. At that time the youth was mundig, i.e., his own master, or at least responsible.


XXI. Suscipere tam inimicitias, ${ }^{2}$ seu patris, seu propinqui, quàm amicitias necesse est: nec implacabiles durant. Luitur enim etiam homicidinm certo armentorum ac pecorum numero, recipitque satisfactionem universa domus: utiliter in publicum; quia periculosiores sunt inimicitiæ juxta libertatem. Convictibus et hospitiis non alia gens effusiùs indulget. Quemcumque mortalium arcere tecto, nefas habetur: pro fortunâ quisque apparatí epulis excipit. Cùm defecere, qui modo hospes fuerat, monstrator hospitii et comes, proximam domum non invitati adeunt: nec interest: pari humanitate accipiuntur. Notum igno-
tumque, quantum ad jus hospitii, nemo discernit. Abeunti, si quid poposcerit, concedere moris: et poscendi invicem eadem facilitas. Gaudent muneribus: sed nec data imputant, nec acceptis obligantur.

## NOTE ON BEOTION XXY.

> 1 Suscipere-inimicitias.] -.The liability of private quarrels, and, perbaps, even the recognition of the right of private warfare involved in this custom, appears at the beginning of the legal period under some form of the root $f=0$.

> In the Frisian Laws (xi. 2), the form is forhoeffeud.
> Faho e itself is a derivation of fá=foe.-Saxons in England chap, $x$.
XXII. Victus inter hospites comis. Statim e somno, quem plerumque in diem extrahunt, lavantur, ${ }^{1}$ sæpiùs calidâ, ut apud quos plurimùm hiems occupat. Lauti, cibum capiunt: separatex singulis sedes, et sua. cuique mensa. Tum ad negotia, nec minus sæpe ad convivia procedunt armati. Diem noctemque continuare potando, nulli probrum. Crebræ, ut inter vinolentos rixæ, rarò conviciis, sæpiùs cæde et vulneribus transiguntur. Sed et de reconciliandis invicem inimicis, et jungendis adfinitatibus, et adsciscendis principibus, de pace denique ac bello plerumque in conviviis consultant: tamquam nullo magis tempore aut ad simplices cogitationes pateat animus, aut ad magnas incalescat. Gens non astuta, nec callida, aperit adhuc secreta pectoris, licentiâ joci. Ergo detecta et nuda omnium mens, posterâ die retractatur; et salva utriusque temporis ratio est. Deliberant, dum fingere nesciunt: constituunt, dum errare non possunt.

## NOTE OM EECTHOM EXIL.

> 1 Lavantur.]-The use of the bath is recognised throughout the Old Norse Sagas.
XXIII. Potui humor ex hordeo aut frumento, in quamdam similitudinem vini corruptus. ${ }^{1}$ Proximi ripe et vinum mercantur. Cibi simplices: agrestia poma, recens fera, aut lac concretum. Sine apparatu, sine blandimentis expellunt famem. Adversùs sitim, non eadem temperantia. Si indulseris ebrietati, suggerendo quantum concupiscunt, haud minùs facile vitiis, quàm armis vincentur.

NOTR ON BEONION EXILL
${ }^{1}$ Humor ex hordeo aut frumento-corruptus.]-Both the words ale and beer are of Germanic origin. The Keltic term, on the other hand, is curro=cerevisia, from the Latin.
XXIV. Genus spectaculorum unum, atque in omni coetu idem. Nudi juvenes, quibus id ludicrum est, inter gladios se, atque infestas frameas, saltu jaciunt. Exercitatio artem paravit, ars decorem : non in quastum tamen, aut mercedem : quamvis audacis lasciviæ pretium est, voluptas spectantium. Aleam (quod mirere) sobrii inter seria exercent, tantâ lucrandi perdendive temeritate, ut, cùm omnia defecerunt, extremo ac novissimo jactu de libertate et de corpore contendant. Victus voluntariam servitutem ${ }^{1}$ adit: quamvis junior, quamvis robustior, alligari se ac venire
patitur. Ea est in re pravâ pervicacia: ipsi fidem vocant. Servos conditionis hujus per commercia tradunt, ut se quoque pudore victorix exsolvant.
NOTE OX gHOTION XXIY.
${ }^{1}$ Voluntariam servitutem.]--This mast have been servitude applied to offices attached to the person not to the land-at least if the suggestion of the next note be correct.
XXV. Ceteris servis, non, in nostrum morem, descriptis per familiam ministeriis, utuntur. Suam quisque sedem, ${ }^{2}$ suos penates regit. Frumenti modum dominus, aut pecoris, aut vestis, ut colono, injungit: et servus hactenus paret. Cetera domûs officia uxor ac liberi exsequuntur. Verberare servum ac vinculis et opere coercere, rarum. Occidere solent, non disciplinâ et severitate, sed impetu et irâ, ut inimicum, nisi quò̀ impunè. Libertini ${ }^{q}$ non multum supra servos sunt, rarò aliquod momentum in domo, numquam in civitate, exceptis dumtaxat iis gentibus, quæ regnantur. Ibi enim et super ingennos et super nobiles ascendunt: apud ceteros, impares libertini libertatis argumentum sunt.

## NOTES ON RHCTION XXY.

${ }^{1}$ Suam quisque sedem.]-Quisque, i.e., servus,-This was, in reality, an adscriptio glebar; the slave belonging to the land, and, by a parity of reasoning, not sufficiently recognized by the generality of writers on the subject, the land (to a certain degree) belonged to the slave.

Unless we suppose the smallest free cultivator to have had slaved under him (as unlikely a doctrine as that the smallest freehold farmer in England has a regular set of labourers attached to his
land), the system of land caltivated for a landiord who took no part in the work, and the system of land cultivated by the holders themselves must have been in the inverse ratio to each other.

Probably, the land of the latter sort was commonest in the countries which had been independent from the first; the latter in those wherein conquests had occurred-the servi, in the sense of the present section, being the original owners.

At any rate, an inordinate proportion of land thus cultivated by servi for an idle, and probably non-resident class (of, perhaps, fighting men), is incompatible with the evolation of free institutions.

Slavery then, I think, was an exceptionable case in Germany.
The probable name for the servus of the section was ge-bir $=$ bauer $=$ peasant.

[^27]XXVI. Fenus agitare, ${ }^{1}$ et in usuras extendere, ignotum: ideoque magis servatur quàm si vetitum es. set. Agri, pro numero cultorum, ab universis per vices ${ }^{2}$ occupantur, quos mox inter se secundùm digaationem partiuntur: facilitatem partiendi camporum spatia prestant. Arva per annos mutant; et superest ager: nec enim cum ubertate et amplitudine soli labore
contendunt, ut pomaria conserant, et prata separent, et hortos rigent: sola terra seges imperatur. Unde annum quoque ipsum non in totidem digerant species: hiems, et ver, et æstas intellectum ac vocabula habent: autumni perinde nomen ac bona ignorantur.

## MOTR

1 Fenus agitare.]-The extent to which the author of Gemania made its ethnology secondary to the moral effect of contrasting simple and hardy Germany with artificial and luxurious Rome may be measured by the passage. No mere geographer, or ethnologist, would devote a chapter to saying there was no usury, when he had previously said there was no money.

The last sentence of $\S 19$, comes under the remark.
Each is a negative statement, which would not be made ezcept a contrate were intended with some country where the customs were but too common.

- Pro numero cultorum-pper vices.]-It is only by fresh divisions that land, once apportioned amongat a certain number of cultivators, can remain in any permanent relation to the number of those cultivators.

Agsin : it is only by an increase of either land, or the product of land, proportionate to the increase of popalation, that the respective competences of the cultivators can remain the same.

Hence the words pro numero cultorum create a difficulty, which is enhanced by the words per vices.

Mox.-This is the most difficult word of the section. Per vices implies change from one set of holders to another; and mox-partiuntur does more. It denotes a change from a system of periodical transfers to one of permanent appropriation.

First comes a season when land shifts from owner to owner; next one wherein it passes to a permanent atate of individual or joint property.

Agri-This, I think, has a double import, according to its relation.
a. As opposed to arra it means land in grass, wood, or fen, in contradistinction to land onder the plough.
b. As opposed to land which has been divided and apportioned, it means land unapportioned or undivided.

Agri poo numero, de.-The proper commentator apon this difficult section is some conveyancer learned in ethnology, rather than a simple ethnologist.

The separate woords, however, must first be considered.
Arva.-Arable land.
Per annos.-Annually; every year.
Mutant.-From a crop to a fallow; not from one holder to another.

Superest.-Stands over to spare ; is abundant-as ne forrum quidem superest ( $(6)=$ There in no excess even of irom.

Sola-reges.-Corn (whest and barley, § 23), to the exclusion of green crops, pulse, and vegetables.

Hiems, et ver, et astas.-Winter, spring (for-aar Danish, fruhjahr German= foryear), and summer. Such are the only Germanic names of the seasons, even in the present English; autumn being of Latin origin. Fall (in America), back-end (in more than one provincial dialect), and harvest are all-though of native origin-recent terms.
I cannot realize the nature of the tenure here noticed. The limited tenure expressed by per vices cannot well have consisted in a certain allotment as private property, accompanied by a certain share in an undivided common; though such has been the viem of careful writers

The word mox complicatee this view. For the occupation in the first instance (pro numero cultorum, $a b$ universis per vices) we find no trace of individual possession; for that in the second (partitio secundìm dignitatem) none of joint ownership. Yet mox implies that the two forms were successive rather than simultaneous.

That there was much joint occupanoy, except on the Marches, I am slow to believe. The house, at lesst, was permanent. So must the farms occupied by the servi of $\$ 25$ have been. The whole tenor of German history goes the same way.

It is safe, then, to hold with Mr. Kemble, that when the Germans "changed the arable year to year, there was land to spare," that is, for commons, "and pasture; but it does not amount to a proof that settled property in land was not part of the Tentonic scheme; it implies no more than this, that within the Mark, which was the property of all, what was this year one man's cornland might the next be another man's fallow; a process very intalligible to those who know anything of the system of callivation, yet prevalent in
parts of Germany, or have ever had interest in what we call Lammas Meadows."

This even seems too much-to say nothing about the difficulty ettached to the words another man's fallow. What conld such a fallow bel Not for corn; aince the land had been cropped by the previous owner. Not for a green crop; since there were none such known. Not for the herbage, i,e, the weeds and after-growth of the harvest, which, in some parts, of England, is worth from two to three shillings per acre. The harvests of Germany are too late for this.

1 think that the sentence of Tacitus has so little to do with the cenure of land at all, that it muat be taken with what follows rather than with what precedes; in which case it applies to the husbandry only-not to the lawe of landed property.

Nothing but corn was grown. This was new to an Italian: who had seen vetches, flax, and so many other products taken off the same land in either succession or rotation. As a consequence of this-
There was no such thing as a second crop on the same land without an interral.

This was also new to an Italian. The abundance of land, however, allowe it.

As far, then, as the present passage goes, the arvum, which has just borne a crop, although left to nature, is as much the property of the original owner, in the intervals between two tiltha, as it was during the seed-tinue and harrest.
The difficulties connected with the tenure of the land it neither removes nor increases.

By considering the statement as one for which Cosear rather than Tacitus is responsible, and by limiting the account in Cæesar to the occupancy of the lands of the Sequani, diepossessed by Ariovistus, we approach a solution.

We are, then, at liberty to consider an occupation which is at one and the same time imperfect, and temporary, in the light of abnormal tenare, adapted to the country of a conquered enemy only. Yet, even then, the details are remarkable. Was the occupatio per vices, a mere quartering of succeasive bodies of warriors (warriors only) upon recently invaded, and imperfectly subdued districts, and the subsequent partitio, the distribution of the land of such districts after the conquest had become complete, the possession assured, and
the conversion of chieftains and captains into comparatively peaceable settlers had become practicable? Such a view would best reconoile Cessar's statement with probability.
XXVII. Funerum nulla ambitio: id solùm observatur, ut corpora clarorum virorum certis lignis crementur. ${ }^{1}$ Struem rogi nec vestibua, nee odoribus cumulant: sua cuique arma, quorumdam igni et equas adjicitur. Sepulcrum cespes erigit. Monumentorum arduum et operosum honorem, ut gravem defunctis, aspernantur. Lamenta ac lacrimas citò, dolorem et tristitiam tardè ponunt. Feminis lugere honestum est : viris meminisse.

NOTP ON BEOLION ZXTI.
1 Crementur.]-The classification of the modern archaologists, founded upon that of the early Icelandic historiana, divides by a pretty brosd line of demarcation two periods.
a. In one the dend were burned.
b. In the other the dead were buried.

That the burning-time came down as late as the time of Tacitus is shown by the present passage.
XXVIII. Hæc in commune de omnium Germanorum origine ac moribus accepimus. Nunc singularum gentium instituta, ritusque, quatenus differant, quæ nationes e Germaniầ in Gallias commigraverint, expediam. Validiores olim' Gallorum res fuisse summus auctorum divus Julius tradit: éque credibile est, etiam Gallos in Germaniam transgressos. Quantulum enim amnis obstabat, quominus, ut queque gens eva-
luerat, occuparet permutaretque sedes promiscuas adhuc, et nullâ regnorum potentiâ divisas? Igitur inter Hercyniam silvam, Rhenumque et Mœenum amnes, Helvetii, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ulteriora Boii, Gallica utraque gens, tenuere. Manet adhuc Boiemi nomen, significatque loci veterem memoriam, quamvis matatis cultoribus. Sed utrùm Aravisci* in Pannoniam ab Osis, Germanorum natione, ${ }^{5}$ an Osi ab Araviscis ${ }^{6}$ in Germaniam commigraverint, cùm eodem adhuc sermone, institutis, moribus utantur, incertum est: quia pari olim inopiâ ac libertate, eadem utriusque ripæ bona malaque erant. Treviri ${ }^{7}$ et Nervii ${ }^{8}$ circa affectationem Germanicæ originis ultro ambitiosi sunt, tamquam per hane gloriam sanguinis, a similitudine et inertiâ Gallorum separentur. Ipsam Rheni ripam haud dubiè Germanorum populi colunt, Vangiones, ${ }^{9}$ Triboci, ${ }^{10}$ Nemetes. ${ }^{11}$ Ne Ubii ${ }^{18}$ quidem, quamquam Romana colonia esse meruerint, ac libentiùs Agrippinenses conditoris sui nomine vocentur, origine erubescunt, trangressi olim, et experimento fidei super ipsam Rheni ripam collocati, ut arcerent, non at custodirentur.

## NOTES ON GBOTION XXVIIL.

[^28]That there was, at least, one body of Gauls on the German side of the. Rhine, is a fact to which we have Cæesar as a witness. His language respecting the Folce Tectosages, is that of a man speaking to what he knows at first-hand.

For the locality of such Trans-Rhenane Gauls, in the time of Cesar, no district has a better claim than Baden and Wurtem. barg-the agri Decumates of Tacitus. We come to this concluaion by the exclusive method. It was not Switzerland, for that was Helvetian; nor yet the Middle Rhine, since, in those parts, there seems to have been Germans of the Alemannic division.

The import of the name Volce Tectosages is by no means clear. Of the two words composing it, the former (Volces) was generic, the latter (Tectosages) specific ; since, besides the division in question, there was a second-the Volcos Arecomici.

The area of the Voloæe of Gaul in general seems to have been the parts between the Rhone and the Pyrenees; but as the name was probably collective rather than special, the history of the Volce of Gaul is obscure. Cexsar mentions them only incidentally.

How the Gaule beyond the Rhine came thither is another ques. tion. They may have done so by eimple intrusion, i.en, just an Cesar was told they did. This intrusion may have been either early or late-as late as the times approaching those of Cwsar himself, or earlier than the well-known migration-real or sup-posed-described by Iivy, and referred to the reign of Tarquinius Priscab.——Lib. v. 34, 35.
"De transitu in Italiam Gallorum hec accepimus. Prisco Tarquinio Romm regnante, Celtarum, que pars Gsalize tertia est, penes Bituriges summa imperii fuit: ii regem Celtico dabant. Ambigatus is fuit, virtute fortunaque cum sua, tum publica, preepollens, quod imperio ejus Gallia adeo frogam hominumque fertilis fuit, at abundans multitudo vix regi videretur posse. Hic magno natu ipse jam, exonerare pregravante turba regnum cupiens, Bellovesum ac Sigoveanm, sororis filios, impigros juvenes, missurum se esse, in quas dii dediseent auguriis sedes, oetendit. Quantum ipsi vellent, numerum hominum excirent, ne qua gens arcere advenientea posset. Tum Sigoveso sortibus dati Hercynii saltus : Belloveso hand paullo latiorem in Italiam riam dii dabant.
"Ir, quod ejus ex populis abundabat, Bitariges, Arvernoe, Senonee, Aduos, Ambarros, Carnutea, Aulercos, excivit. Profectus,
ingentibus peditum equitumque copiis, in Tricastinos venit. Per Taurinos saltusque invios Alpes transcenderunt : fusisque acie Tuscis haud procul Tioino flumine, quum, in quo consederant, agrum Insubrium appellari audissent cogromine Insubribus pago 灰duorum, ibi, omen sequentes loci, condidere urbem: Mediolanam appellarunt.
"Alia subinde manus Cenomanorum, Elitovio duce, veetigia priorum secuta, eodem saltu, favente Belloveso, quum transcendisset Alpes, ubi nunc Brizia ac Verona urbes sunt (locos tenuere Libui) considunt.
"Poat hos Salluvii prope antiquam gentem Lutpos Ligures, incolentes circa Ticinum amnem.
"Penino deinde Boii Lingonesque tranggressi, quum jam inter Padum atque Alpes omnia tenerentur, Pado ratibus trajecto, non Etruscos modo, sed etiam Umbros agro pellunt : intra Apenninum tamen sese tenuere.
"Tum Senones, recentissimi advenarum, ab Utente fumine usque ad Asim fines habuere. Hanc gentem Clusium, Romamque inde, venisse comperio."

To this add the following passage from Polybins:-













Assuming all this to be not only history, but the history of what may be called the First Gallic Migration, the Trand-Rhenane Gauls are accounted for. They are the descendants of the Gauls of Sigovesus.

But neither Polybiue' nor Livy's account can well be considered historical. Where were the records for the time in question? The most that can be done in the way of connecting the Trans-Rhenane Gauls of Cæsar with the Gauls of Sigovesus, is to admit the common character of the tradition that applied to them.

But what if the Gauls of the right bank of the Rhinie were no
intruders at all? What if they represented an origially Keltic population of south-western Germany? What if the Germans had been the encroachera? In this case our view changes; and they are the fragments of an old, rather than the rudiments of a new popula. tion, atd the account of their migration is no tradition but an inference; an inference drawn fron their eccentric locality, an inference which accounts for their outlying position, an inference incorrect, in fact, but an inference natural to imperfect speculators in ethnology.

I give no opinion as to how far this is likely to have been the case; the question it involves being one of great compass and subtilty; resting, as it does, upon some of the highest generalizations of the phenomena of human distribution and human migration.

The history-real or supposed-of these Tectosages is curions. The following account is in the words of Niebuhr.
"In the spring of the year after this, Cn. Manlins Vulso, the successor of I. Cornelius Scipio, anxious for an opportunity to undertake something from which he might derive fame and wealth-a desire whioh is henceformard the prevailing characteristic of the Roman generals-made a campaign against the Galatiane, or Gallo-Greeci, in Phrygia. In the time of Pyrrhus, these Gauls had penetrated through Macedonia into Greece, as far as Delphi; afterwards they went eastward to Thrace; but whether they were, as the Greeks relate, induced to do so by fearful natural phenomena, or were attracted by reports abont the delightful countries of Asia, is uncertain. Many remained in Thrace, and ruled over the country; but others, twenty thousand in number, croseed over into Asia, in two divieions, the one going across the Hellespont, and the other across the Bosporus, and their enterprise was facilitated by the feuds of the Asiatic princes. There they settled on the northern coast, in the territory about Ancyra, in Phrygia, just as, at a later period, the Normans did in Neustria. They inhabited thirty-three towns, in a country which, though it seems to have been destined by Providence to be one of the most flourishing and happy in the world, is now, under the despotism of barbarians, like an accursed desert. They conaisted of three tribes, bearing the strange names of Trocmi, Tolistoboii, and Tectosage. The first two seem to have been formed during their wanderings, for they are not mentioned elsewhere. They united with the Bithynians, where two small kingdoms were growing up. The Bithynians were Thracians settled between Nico-
media and Heraclea; during the time of the Persian dominion fhey were governed by native princes, and after the dissolution of the Persian and Macedonian ompires, the latter of which had always been least consolidated in Asia Minor, they extended themselves, and acquired considerable importance. Nicomedes, then king, wok those Gaule into his pay, there being then only ten thousand armed men among them, defeated his rival, and founded the Bithynian state, which gradually became Hellenised. From that time, the Gauls sold their services to any one who might seek them, and made the whole of western Asia tributary to themselves. Their history is yet in great confurion; but it can be cleared up, many materials existing for it. They were defeated by Antiochus Soter, whereupon they withdrew into the mountains, whence they afterwards burst forth whenever circumstances allowed them, and all the neighbouring nations paid tribute, to escape their devastations. But when the war between Ptolemy Euergetes and Seleucus Callinicus, and afterwards chat between the former and Antiochus Hierax broke out, they showed themselves thoroughly faithless, selling themselves sometimes to the one, sometimes to the other, and were the scourge of all Aeia, until, to the amezement of every body, Attalus of Pergamus refused to pay tribute, attacked and defeated them, a fact which can be accounted for only on the anpposition, that through idleness they had become quite effeminate and unwarike, like the Gothe whom Belisarius foond in Italy. They never entirely recovered from this blow, though they still continued to exercise considerable influence, for Asia was always divided; and although Antiochus was staying in those countries, he was too much ocoupied to tura hia attention to them, and would not, moreover, have been able to protect that part of Phrygia bordering on the district inhabited by the Gauls. Hence they still levied tribute far and wide, and after the fall of Antiochus, the Asiatic nations dreaded lest they should be unable to defend themselves. This gave Cn, Manlius an opportunity of undertaking a campaign against them, and to come forward as the protector of the Asiatios against the Galatians. His demand that they should submit had been answered by those barbariana with a stolida ferocia, and he accordingly marched through Phrygia, and attacked them in their mountains, without, however, extirpating them. They continued in those districts, and preserved their Celtic 'language for a remarkably long period. We find it even in the time of Augustus; but they, too, became Hellenised,
and jn this condition we find them at the time of St . Paul. The campaign of Manlius Vulso against them was most desirable to the inhabitants of Asia Minor, but on the part of the Romane, it was very unjust, for Manlius Valso undertook it contrary to the express will of the decem legati who followed him to Asia. The war was brought to a close in two campaigns, but the Romans derived no advantages from it, except the booty, and perhaps a sum of money which was paid to them; for the countries between Western Asia and the districts of the Galatians were not subject to the Romans, but only allied with them. The Galatians suffered so severe a defeat, that from this time forward they continued to live in quiet obedience to the Romans."

To the existence of Galli, Galata, or Gallo-Graeci in Phrygia, I take no exceptions. The following passage in Livy contains the very name in question:-"Non plue ex viginti millibus hominum, quam decem armata erant. Tamen tantam terroris omnibus, que cis Taurum incolunt, gentibus injecerunt, ut, quas adissent quasque non adissent, pariter ultime propinquis, imperio parerent. Postremo, quum tres essent gentes, Tolistoboii, Trocmi, Tectosagi, in tres partees, qua cuique populorum suorum vectigalis Asis esset, diviserunt. Troomis Hellesponti ora data; Tolistoboii Folida atque Ioniam; Tectoaki mediterranea Asim sortiti sunt, et stipendium tota cis Taurum Asia exigebant. Sedem autem ipsi sibi circa Halyn flumen ceperunt; tantusque terror eormm nominis erat, multitudine etiam magna sobole aucta, ut Syrim quoque ad postremum regea stipendium dare non abnuerent. Primus Asiam incolentium abnuit Attalus, pater regis Eumenis."

Further notice of this obscure question is taken in not. ad $\nabla$. Treviri.
: Helvetii.]-Much as is aaid about national migrations, as opposed to the mere movemente of great armies, containing only the male portion of the population, thare are but few, very few, for which we have the unexceptionable evidence of contemporary witnesses, and fewer still where we have an account of the details.

Of the absolute evacuation of the original country there is no recorded instance-except in the case of habitually migratory tribes, to whom agriculture is unknown.

Indeed, it is doubtful whether any moverment of the kind in queation, beyond that of a vast army with a proportionate number
of camp-followers (thus involving the presence of a certain number of women and children) has ever been recorded. The nearest recorded approach to auch, in modern times, is the return of the Kalmuk Mongols, from the parts between the Don and Volga, to their original home in Western Mongolia. Here, old and young, male and female, joined the migration, and the original locality was wellnigh emptied of its Mongolians. Yet this was under peculiar circumstances. The population which thus set itself in movement was not seeking a new seat (novas sedes), but returning to the country from whence it originally came, and to which it naturally belonged. The search after a fresh locality is part and parcel of our ideas of a migration. If the Jews from all parts of the world were to return and re-people Palestine, we might, perhaps, coin the term re-migration, but I do not think we should talk of the Jewidh migration. If such be the case, the return of the Kalmuks is only an approach to a migration of the kind so often assumed.

I give Cossar's acoount of the Helvetic migration in full

> ORS. BRLL, GALL, LIB, L
II. Apud Helvetios longe nohilisimns et ditissimns fuit Orgetorix. Is M. Messala et M. Pisone Coss, regni cupiditate inductus, conjurationem nobilitatis fecit et civitati perguasit, ut de finibus suis cum omnibus copiis exirent : perfacile esse, quum virtute omnibus prestarent, totius Gallize imperio potiri. Id hoo facilius eis persuasit, quod undique loci natura Helvetii continentur : una ex parte flumine Rheno, latissimo atque altissimo, qui agrum Helvetium a Germanis dividit ; altera ex parte monte Jura sltissimo, qui est inter Sequanos et Helvetios; tertia lacu Lemanno et flumine Rhodano, qui provinciam nostram ab Helvetiis dividit. His rebus fiebat, ut et minus late vagarentur, et minus facile finitimis bellum inferre possent : qua de causse homines bellandi cupidi magno dolore adficiehantur. Pro multitudine autem hominam, et pro gloria belli atque fortitudinis, anguatos se fines habere arbitrabantur, qui in longitudinem millia passumm coxl., in latitudinem olxxx. patebant.
III. His rebus adducti, et auctoritate Orgetorigis permoti, constituerunt, ea, quæo ad profiscendum pertinerent, comparare; jumentorum et carrorum quam maximum numerum coemere; sementes quam maximau facere, at in itinere copia frumenti suppeteret; cum prosimis civitatibue pacem et amicitiam confirmare. Ad eas res conficiendas biennium sibi satis esse duxerunt; in tertium annum
profectionem lege confirmantu $\Delta d$ eas res conficiendas Orgetorix deligitur. Is, ubi legationem ad civitates suscepit, in eo itinere persuadet Castico, Catamantaledis filio, Sequano, cujus pater regnum in Sequanis multos annos obtinuerat, et a senatu populi Romani amicus adpellatus erat, ut regnum in civitate sua occuparet, quod pater ante habuerat: itemque Dumnorigi 灰duo, fratri Divitiaci, qui eo tempore principatum in civitate obtinebat ac maxime plebi acceptus orat, ut idem conaretur, persuadet, eique filiam suam in matrimonium dat. Perfacile factu esse, illis probat, conata perficere, propterea quod ipse sum eivitatis imperium obtenturus esset: non esse dubium, quin totius Galliz plurimum Helvetii possent : se suis copiis suoque exercitu illis regna conciliaturum, confirmat. Hac oratione adducti, inter se fidem et jusjurandum dant et, regno occupato, per tree potentissimos ac firmissimos populos totius Gallim sese potiri posse sperant.
IV. Ea res ut est Helvetiis per indicinm enunciata, moribus suis Orgetorigem ex vinculis caussam dicere coegerunt: damnatum pænam sequi oportebat, at igni cremaretur. Die constituta causse dietionis, Orgetorix ad judicium omnem suam familiam, ad hominum millia decem, undique coegit et omnes clientes obæratoeque suos, quorum magnum numerum habebat, eodem conduxit: per eons no caussam diceret, se eripuit. Quum civitas, ob eam rem incitata armis jus suam exsequi conaretur multitudinemque hominum ex agris magistratus cogerent, Orgetorix mortuus est : neque abest auspicio, ut Helvetii arbitrantur, quin ipse sibi mortem consciverit.
V. Post ejus mortem nihilo minus Helvetii id, quod constituerant, facere conantur, ut e finibus suis exeant. Ubi jam se ad ean rem paratos esse arbitrati nont, oppida sus omnia, numero ad duodecim, vicos ad quadringentos, reliqua privata wdificia incendunt, frumentum omne, preeter quod secum portaturi arant, comburant, ut, domum reditionis spe sublata, paratiores ad omnia pericula aubeunda essent : trium mensium molita cibaria aibi quemque domo efferre jubent. Persuadent Rauracis et Tulingis et Latobrigis finitimis, uti, eodem usi consilio, oppidis suis vicisque exustie, una cum iis proficiscantur: Boiosque, qui trans Rhenum incoluerant et in agrum Noricum transierant Noreiamque oppugnarant, receptos ad se socios sibi adsciacunt.
VI. Erant omnino itiners duo, quibus itineribus domo exire possent : unum per Sequanos, angustum et difficile, inter montem Juram et flumen Rhodanum, vix qua singuli carri ducerentur ; mona
autem altissimus impendebat, ut facile perpauci prohibere possent : slterum per provinciam nostram, multo facilius atque expeditius, propterea quod Helvetiorum inter fines et Allobrogum, qui muper pacati erant, Rhodanus fluit, isque nonnullis loois vado transitur. Extremum oppidum Allobrogum est prozimumque Helvetiorum finibus, Geneva. Ex eo oppido pons ad Helvetios pertinet. Allobrogibus sese vel persuasuroe, quod nondum bono animo in populum Romanam viderentur, existimabant; vel vi coacturos, ut per suos fines eos ire paterentur. Omnibus rebus ad profectionem comparatis, diam dicunt, qua die ad ripam Rhodani omnes conveniant : is dies erat an d. V. Kal Apr. L. Pisone, A. Gabinio Coss.
VII. Cessari quum id nunciatum esset, eos per provinciam nostram iter facere conari, matarat ab urbe proficisci; et, quam maximis potest itineribus, in Galliam ulteriorem contendit et ad Generam pervenit : provincies toti quam maximum potest militum numerum imperat (erat omnino in Gallia ulteriore legio una) : pontem, qui erat ad Genevam, jubet rescindi. Ubi de ejus adventu Helvetii certiores facti sunt, legatos ad oum mittunt, nobilissimos civitatis, cujus legationis Nameius et Verudoctius principem locum obtinebant, qui dicerent "sibi esse in animo, sine ullo maleficio iter per provinciam facere, propterea quod aliud iter haberent nullum: rogare, ut ejus voluntate id aibi facere liceat." Oæesar, quod memoria tenebat, L. Cassium consulem occisum, exercitumque ejus ab Helvetiis pulanm et anb jugum missum, concedendum non putabat: neque homines inimico animo, data facultate per provinciam itineris faciundi, temperaturos ab injuria et maleficio existimabat. Tamen, ut spatium intercedere posset, dum milites, quos imperaverat, convenirent, legatis respondit, "diem ee ad deliberandum sumturum ; ai quid vellent, a. d. Idus Apr. reverterentur."
VIII. Interea ea legione, quam secum habebat, militibusque, qui ex provincia convenerant, a lacu Lemanno, qui in flumen Rhodanum influit, ad montem Juram, qui fines Sequanorum ab Helvetiis dividit, millia passuum decem novem murum, in altitudinem pedum sedecim, fossamque perducit. Eo opere perfecto, presidis disponit, castella communit, quo facilius, si se invito trangire conarentur, prohibere possit. Ubi ea dies, quam constituerat oum legatis, venit, et legati ad eum reverterunt, negat, "se more et exemplo populi Romani posse iter ulli per provinciam dare; et, si vim facere conentur, prohibiturum" ostendit. Helvetii, ea ape dejecti, naribus jonctig ratibusque compluribuz factis, slii vadis Rhodani, qua minima altitudo fluminis
erat, nonnunquam interdiu, sepius nocta, si perrumpere possent, conati, operis munitione et militum concursu et telis repulsi, hoc conatu destiterunt.
IX. Relinquebatur una per Sequanos via, qua, Sequanis invitis, propter angustias ire non poterant. His quam sua sponte persuadere non possent, legatos ad Dumnorigem Aduum mittunt, ut eo deprecatore a Sequanis impetrarent. Dumnorix gratia et largitione apud Sequanos plurimum poterat et Helvetiis orat amicus, quod ex ea civitate Orgetorigis filiam in matrimonium duxerat, et cupiditate regni adductus novis rebus atudebat et quam plurimas civitates suo sibi beneficio habere obstrietas volebat. Itaque rem suscipit et a Sequanis impetrat, ut per fines suos ire Helvetios patiantur, obsidesque uti inter sese dent, perficit : Sequani, ne itinere Helvetios prohibeant ; Helvetii, ut sine maleficio et injuria transeant.
X. Ceesari renuntiatur, Helvetiis esse in anjmo, per agrum Sequanorum et Eduorum iter in Santonom fines facere, qui non longe a Tolosatium finibus absunt, qum civitas eat in provincia. Id si fieret, iutelligebat, magno curn periculo provincie futurum, ut homines bellicosos, populi Romani inimicos, locis patentibus maximeque frumentariis finitimos haberet. Ob eas caussas ei munitioni, quam fecerat, T. Labienum legatum prefecit: ipse in Italiam magnis itineribus contendit, duasque ibi legiones conscribit et trees, ques circum Aquileiam biemabant, ex hibernis educit et, qua proximum iter in olteriorem Galliam per Alpes arat, cum his quinque legionibus ire contendit. Ibi Centrones et Graioceli et Caturiges, locis superioribus occupatis, itinere exercitum prohibere conantur. Compluribus his preeliis pulas, ab Ocelo, quod est citerioris provincise extremum, in fines Vocontiorum ulterioris provincis die septimo pervenit : inde in Allobrogum fines, ab Allobrogibus in Segusianos exercitum ducit. Hi sunt extra provinciam trans Rhodanum primi.
XI. Helvetii jam per angustias et fines Sequanorum suas copias transduxerant et in $\mathbb{W}$ duormm fines pervenerant eorumque agros populabantur. 开dui, quum se suaque ab iis defendere non poseent, legatos ad Crasarem mittunt rogatum auxilium : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ita se omni tempore de populo Romano meritos esse, ut prone in conspectu exercitus nostri agri vastari, liberi eorum in servitutem abduci, oppida expugnari non debuerint." Eodem tempore Ambarri, necessarii et consanguinei Aduortm, Cxsarem certiorem faciunt, seae, depopulatis agris, non facile ab oppidis vim hostium prohibere: item Allobroges, qui trans Rhodanum vicos possessioneaque habebant, fuga se ad Casarem
recipiunt et demonstrant, sibi preter agri solum nihil esse reliqui. Quibue rebus adductus Cossar, non exspectandum sibi statuit, dum, omnibus fortunis sociorum congumtis, in Santonos Helvetii pervenirent.
XII. Flumen est Arar, quod per fines $\$$ duorum et Sequanorum in Rhodanum influit incredibili lenitate, ita ut oculis, in utram partem fluat, judicari non possit. Id Helvetii ratibus ac lintribus junctis transibant. Ubi per exploratores Censar certior factus est, tres jam copiarum partes Helvetion id flumen transduxisse, quartam vero partem citrs flumen Ararim reliquam esse: de tertia vigilia cum legionibus tribus e castris profectus, ad eam partem pervenit, quer nondum flumen transierat. Eos impeditos et inopinantes adgressus, magnam eorum partem concidit : reliqui fuge sese mandarunt atque in proximas silves abdiderunt. Is pagus adpeliabatur Tigurinus : nam omnis civites Helvetia in quatuor pagos divisa ost. Hic pagrs unus, quum domo exisset, patrum nostrorum memoria L. Cassium consulem interfecerat et ejus exercitum sub jugum miserat. Its, sive casu, sive consilio deorura immortaliam, ques pars civitatis Helvetios insignem calamitatem populo Romano intulerat, ea princeps pœenas persolvit
XXVII. Helvetii, omaium rerum inopia adducti, legatos de deditione ad eum miserunt. Qui quum eum in itinere convenissent seque ad pedes projecissent supplioiterque locati flentes pacem petissent, atque eos in eo loco, quo tum essent, suum adventum exspectare jussisset, paruerunt. Fo postquam Cwsar pervenit, obsides, arma, servos, qui ad eos perfugissent, poposcit. Dum es conquiruntur et conferuntur, nocte intermisaa, circiter hominum millia vi. ejus pagi, qui Verbigenue adpellatur, sive timore perterriti, ne armis traditis supplicio adficerentur, bive spe salutis inducti, quod, in tanta multitudine dedititioram, suam fugam aut ocoultari, aut omaino ignorari posse exptimarent, prima nocte e castris Helvetiorum egreesi, ad Rhenum finesque Germanorum contendorunt.
XXVIII. Quod ubi Cexsar resciit, quorum per fines ierant, his, uti conquirerent et reducerent, si sibi purgati esse vellent, imperavit : reductos in hostium numero habuit: reliquos omnes, obsidibus, armis, perfugis traditis, in deditionem accepit. Helvetios, Tulingos, Latobrigos in fines suos, unde erant profecti, reverti jussit; et quod, omnibus fructibue amissis, domi nihil erat, quo famem tolerarent,

Allobrogibus imperavit, ut iis frumenti copiam facerent: ipsos oppida vicoeque, quos incenderant, restituere jusitu. Id ea maxime ratione fecit, quod noluit, eum locum, unde Helvetii discesserant, vacare ; ne propter bonitatem agrorum Germani, qui trans Rhenum inoolunt, e suis finibus in Helvetiorum fines transirent, et finitimi Gallim provincim Allobrogibusque essent. Boios, petentibus 太Xduis, quod egregia virtute erant cogniti, at in finibue suis collocarent, concessit : quibus illi agros dederunt, quosque postes in parem juris libertatisque conditionem, atque ipsi erant, receperunt.
XXIX. In castris Helvetiorum tabulom repertm sunt, littorio Grecis confecter, et ad Cmsarem relatm, quibus in tabulis nominatim ratio confecta erat, qui numerus domo exisset eorum, qui arma ferre possent: et item separatim pueri, senes, molieresque. Quarum omniam reram aumma erat, capitum Helvetiorum millia couxil., Tulingorum millia xxifi., Latobrigorum xiv., Rauracorum xxime, Boiorum nxxil. : ex his, qui arma farre possent, ad millia zoil. Summa omnium fuerunt ad millia coourvice Eorum, qui domam redierunt, censu habito, ut Cwas impersverat, repertus eat numerus millium o. et x .

The Deserta Helvetiorum I believe to have been, not the waste tract left by any emigrant Helvetii, but the waste tract left as a March on the Helveto-Germanic frontier ; a waste, most probably, of German rather than Gallic making.

3 Boiemi nomen, dc.] - Zeuss considers that the present passage in Tacitus is the complement to the statement of Caear: ; and that the former fills up the holes left by the latter, "Erst Tacitus, die Lücken, die Cpasar gelassen hat, ausfüllend berichtet darïber, validiores, de." (p. 171.)

I do not think this. Tacitus merely assents to the reasonableness of Cassar's opinion as to the Gauls having once encrosched upon the Germans, instead of (as in his time) retiring before them, and confurme it with the fresh instance involved in the hame Bosohem. He also justifiee us in carrying the Gaula of Germany as far north as the Maine.

But the important word is the compound Boi-o-hem-um.
It was a name well known to the Romans; and this allows Tacitus to bestow little more than a passing allusion to it.

The writers who first use it are Paterculus and Strabo. See Prolegomena.

It is truly and unequivocally German-s German gloss. The them $=$ occupation, residence, being the same word as the -heim in Mann-heim in High German; the -hem in Arn-hem in Dutch; the -um in Dokk-um in Frisian; the -ham in Threking-ham in English. Hence Boi-a-hem-um=the home of the Boii. $\Delta_{s}$ a gloss, its unequivocal character is on the same high level with the compound Marco-manni. No one, however much opposed to etymological guess-work, has ever objected to either.

Word for word, and element for element, Boiohemum is the aame as Bohemia.

Some of the other compounds of the root Boi- are interesting.
Be-heim-are, a triple compound, or a decomposite, combines the elements of both Ba-varia and Bo-hem-ia, and stands for Be-heimware= the occupants of the home of the Boii.

Boe-manni=the Boian men.
Beo-vinidi=the Boian Wends, or Slavonians.
With the exception of the compound Marco-omanni, no German gloss was more current in Rome than the word in question. Strabo




Ptolemy's form is Batvoxaïuct; a form taken from some dialeot where the $h$ was pronounced as a stronger guttural than elsewhere.
Word for word, and element for element, Boiohemum=Bohemia; but whether the localities coincide as closely as the forms of the name. is another question. It has been too readily assumed that they do.

It cannot be denied that identity of name is prima facie evidence of identity of place. But it is not more. Hence, although it would be likely enough, ${ }^{\text {oif }}$ the question were wholly uncomplicated, that the Boiohemum of Paterculus were the Bohemia of the present century, doubts arise as soon as the name and the description disagree, and they increase whan the identification of either the Boii, or their German invaders, with the inhabitants of Bohemia leade to ethnological and geographical difficulties.

All this is really the case.
The disagreement between the name Boio-hem and the position of the present country of Bohemia meets us in the very passage before us. The former lies between the Maine, the Rhine, and the Hercynian Forest. No part of Bohemia is thus bounded.

As to the history of the Boii, it is one of great prominence and
importance. But what is that of the geographical area now called Bohemia: So unknown was that remarkable country to the Greeks and Romans, that its obscurity was that of the central parts of Africa in our own time. There was a reason for this. Its natural moun-tain-rampart would preserve it from invasion.

Those same mountain-ramparts, however, which would thns tend to keep the country inviolate, in the case of a war, could hardly escape notice and deacription. Fet no such notices and deacriptions exist. Of the present Bohemia, we find no unequivocal account whatever in any Roman writer. Equivcal accounts we do find : but these are got at by sssuming the Marcomennic kingdom of Maroboduus to have lain within Bohemia, and as they apply to this Marcomannic Kingdom only, they cease to be Bohemian as soon as the Marcomanni are placed elsewhere.

It may simplify the question to anticipate.
I believe the Boi-o-hem-um of Tacitus to have been, not Bohemia, but Bavaria.

Bavaria and Bohemia are nearly the same words.
a. The first element in each is the proper name Boiz. In the sixth and seventh centuries the fuller form of Bavaris is Bojo-aria, Bai-varia, Bajo-aria, Baiw-varii, \&o.
b. The second element is equivalent in power, though not in form, to the second element in Bo-hemia. It is the word ware=inhabitants or occupants in the Anglo-Saxon form, Cantuare $=$ people of Kent.

Hence Bohemia = the Boian occupancy; Bavaria $=$ the occupant Boians.

This leade us to the fact that however mnch we may place the Boii in. Bo-hemia, we cannot do so exclusively. As far as the name goea, there were Boii in Bavaria aa well ; Boii, too, who gave their name to their land.

But this is not enough. We require substantive proof beyond the inference arising from the similarity of name for thia latter fact. At present the argument stands thus:-

Boiohem, in the time of Tacitos, meant Bavaria. Not so, may be the anower. It is granted that only one locality may be intended by the two names, but why may not Bavaria originally have meant Bohemia? The answer to this must rest on its own grounds.

It is no small argument in favour of the original single power of the two namea, to find that the alternative just indicated is a real one. Zeuss exponds much learning upon it, giving reasons
for believing not that the Boii of Bavaria were one people, whilst the Boii of Bohemia were another, nor yet that that name Bohemia originally meant Bavaria, but that Bavaria $=$ Bohemia.

I reverse Zeuss's view, believing that Boihemis=Bavaria. The Boio-hemum of Tacitus (as already stated) is certainly more Bavarian than Bohemian.

So is that of Strabo; every association of the Boii of the following passage is with the populations so far south, ss to make Bavaria a more likely locality for them than Bohemia,
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 iv' autrovg, 'Oaspides.-Ptolem. Geog. ii. 14.





In the reference to Posidonius we have an older authority than that of either Strabo himself, or Cessar.


 vii. 1.

Cæsar's ovidence goes the same way. His Bohemia joined Noricum, which our Bohemis does not-m "Boiosque, qui trans Rhenum incoluerant, et in agrum Noricum transierant, Noreiamque oppugnarsut, receptos ad se socios sibi adsciscunt,"-Bell. Gall. i. 5.

So does that of the following ingeription :-J. voloatio e. p. velw
 oivitatidm doardm bolordy bt azaliobuly-Grater, 490, 2.

We may now trace the name Bavaria. In the geographer of Ravenna is the following corrupt passage:-"Est patris quæ di--citur Albis ungani (i) montuosa per longum, qua ad orientem multum extenditur, cujus aliqua para Baias dicitur."

It is in the asme geographer that the name Baio-varii first occars.
Zeuse's reasoning is that Baias=Bohemia; that the Baio-varii came from thence, and that changing their places they changed the form of their name also. "The Baiovarii, Paigira, Baiern are from the country Baia. This population changed its locality with its name. ${ }^{\prime}=$ Die Baiovarii, Paigira, Baiern sind die aus dem lande Bata. Mit seipem namen ändert das Volk auch seine Sitze." pp. 367, 368.

No one need admit more freely than Zeuss, that all evidence of this migration of the Baians from Bohemia is wanting; as well as that there is no evidence of Bohemia having ever been called Bavaria.

I collect, too, from his numerous and valuable quotations, that-

1. The evidence of the present country of Bavaria being called by a compound of Boio + ware, begins as early as the sixth century.
2. That the evidence of the present country of Bokemia being called by a compound of Boio theim is no earlier than the eleventh.

I also collect from the same data, that, though the Bavarians of Bavaria are called Boii as late as the eleventh century, there is no instance of the Bohemian boing so called-save and except in the equivocal case of the Baia of the geographer of Ravenne.

This reduces the evidence of the old Boio-hemum being Bo-hemia to two facts-

1. The name Baia; supposed to mean Bohemia, from the fact of its being on the water-system of the Elbe But Abis here may mean the Saale. See not. in v. Hermunduri.
2. The present name Bo-hemia.

But, this is-
a. Recent in origin.
b. German rather than Tshekh, or true Bohemian, and not originally even German, but Gallic.
c. Mutilated in form-aince, though we in England say Bohemia from Boio-heim, the German name is Boh-m-en=Bo-hem-ians.

The arguments founded on this are, surely, by themselves, weak. Granted. But we must take them along with the facts involved in. the Bohemian empire of Maroboduus, and Bohemian Marcomanni. Yes. But all this is also exceptionsble.

The only un-exceptionable series of facts is that which connects the home of the Boit with Bavaria= the Boian occupants.

This justifies us in thinking Bohomia is a modern name, even as Belgium is; from which it differs in degree only; iee in being eight hundred years earlier.

That it as little grew directly and continuously out of the Boii as Belgium did from the Belgae, is nearly certain.

The Deserta Boiorum I believe to have been, not the waste tract left by any emigrant Boii, but the waste tract left as a March on the Boio-Germanic frontier; a waste, moat probably, of German rather than Gaplic makiug.

* Aravisci.]-Their locality was the most north-eastern part of Pannonia. The termination - \&ci is common in these parts for some distance southwarde, e.g., in the names Scord-isci, Taur-isci, sc.

[^29]${ }^{6}$ Aravisci-ab Osir, Osi ab Araviscis.]-This involves the question of the relationship between the two.

By admitting the omission of an initial guttural (ch or $h k$ ), a change justified by the form Attuarii as opposed to Chattuarii, and by considering the -ise to represent a Slavonic compound sibilant (itsh or iehtsh) we get at some such form as Kharavatsh; a form which may fairly be supposed to be no unlikely name for that important branch of the Slavonic nation which appears in Herodotus as Krobyrai, at the present time, as Croatians.

As early as the tenth century the name appears in the more on-
 Porphyrog.) Xopsárío (Cedrenus).

That the undoubted Croatian area was discontinuous, or, at least, that the population fell, like that of the Osi and Aravisci, into two divisions, is inferred from two expressions. One of these is altera, e.g., in Cosmas of Prague (A.D. 1086) in the following notice: "Ad aquilonalem hi sunt termini : Psonane, Ghrouati, et altera Chrouatia, Zlasane, Trebouane, Bobarane." The other epithet is more important. Nestor calls his Croatians Khorrati bjelii=white Croatians. The Turks transtated this epithet by aspar.
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 C. xrxii. p. 99.

Now what if this division of the Croatians be as old as the time of Tacitus $\geqslant$ and what if the Germans translated the name in early times, even as the Turks did afterwards? In this case the name Osi represents the German Weiss= White, and the Osi are the White Croatians under their German name, and Wis-berg (Oítiotoípytot of Ptolemy) is White-hill (or town), and even the mysterious Akk-iburgius Mons is Weiss-berg.

No objections against this lie in the current notion that the Slavonians of the Danube did not make their appearance in history till the sixth century-the notion itself being not only objectionable, but almost certainly incorrect. All that we fairly get from the evidence of Procopius and others is, that it was the sixth century when the populations of the Lower Danube became known as Slavonians; just as it was the third when the Westphalian and Hanoverian Germans became known as Saxons, or those of the Lower Rhine as Franks, and just as three populations out of four throughout the world are known at different periods of their history by different names. The reasonable suggestion of Zeuss that the Aravisci are the population of the Raab, is a real complication. So is the name of the river Curus in the probable territory of the Osi.

The complement to this note is not. in v. Osi in the sequel.
That $O s=$ Weis is, perhaps, by itself, unlikely.
That Aravisc $=$ Hharaviesh is also, perhapa, by itself, unlikely.
But that a part of the Aravieo called Osi, ahould $=$ Weisse Hharavische is not unlikely-the evidence being of the sort called cumulative, where two small probabilities make one great one,
r Treviri]-The lower third of the Moselle may be taken as the area of the Treviri; extending (there or thereabonks) from Trevea (4ugusta Trevirorum) to Coblentz (Confiuentes).

The chief nations with which they were conterminous on the side of Gaul were the Condrusi, Eburones, and Remi-all Belgas

The Treviri, like the Tencteri, were pre-eminently strong in cavalry.-Cesar, Bell. Gail. ii. 24, v. 3.

They were also but slowly conquered. -_ "Treviri tiberi antes," dc. -Plin. iv. 17.

The name Treoiri is most probably Gallic. The Tre-, is the Tre in such words as Tre-aases in ancient Gaul, and Tregonell in Cornwall; the Keltic tre=place, a root exceedingly common in Keltic geographical terms. The constitution wag Gallic, the Condrasi and Eburones being clientes of the Treviri.

The langagge of the Tre-viri is important.
In most cases it would be hypercritical to suppose that there Wat any difference between the language of the town of Treves and the language of the Treviri, In the present question, however, it is not so. The area under consideration is the area of either a mixed population, or the area of mixed ethnological characteristica-at least, such is the language of both Cesar and Tacitus. Hence, the dialect of the country and the dialect of the town may differ. All, then, that can be said is, that a statement as to the language of the town of Treves probably applies to that of the Treviri, and vice versa -probably, but not certainly.

Now St. Jerome has the following passage (Prolegomen. lib, ii. ad Epist. ad Galat.)-" Jnum eat quod inferimus et promissum in exordio reddimus, Galatas excepto sermone Greso, quo omnis Oriens loquitur, propriam lingaam eandem pene habere quam Treviri, nec referre ai aliqua exinde corraperint; quum et Afri Pheenicum linguam nonnulla ex parte mataverint; et ipsa Latinitas et regionibus quotidie mutetur et tempore."

I think that this language was that of the Tolistoboii, Troomi, and Tectosagos, i.e, of those Galate who gave their name to Galatia,

Niebuhr, however, denies that it was Galatian that St. Jerome heard; and, after noticing the campaign of the Romans againat them, he adde in a note that "St. Jerome says that he heard the same language in Phrygia as at Treves; but this cannot be referred to the Galatians, and St. Jerome probably saw Germans who had settled in Phrygia at different times, especially Goths, in the
reign of Theodosius. It may be looked upon as an established fact that Treoses eas German, and it is not likely that the Gallic language maintained itself in Asia down to so late a period." Why not? What are the very concluaive reasone which make Treves so German $\frac{1}{\text { This is considered in the sequel.* }}$
${ }^{8}$ Nervii.]-Belgians of the valley of the Sambre (Sabis) and the bravest Gauls of Gallia. Their opposition was the most obstinate Cmear met with; and their extermination almost, but not wholly, complete.

Strabo makes them conterminous with the 'Treviri ; and, considered politically, they probably were so. The amaller populations, who stood in the relation of clients to either one or the other of these two great nations, probably filled up the whole tract from the sea, between Dunkirk and Ostend, to the Rhine about Coblentz.

North-east of the Nervii lay the Aduatici; a people not mentioned by Tacitus, nor, indeed, by any writer but Cessar, who considered them the descendants of the Teatones and Cimbri.

Now Appian applies what Cexsar says of the Aduatici to the


It is not difficult to conceive how such victories as that of Arminius and other Germans being known to the Gauls, the name of German might become a matter of pride along the whole Belgic frontier, especially if there were an intermixture of German blood as well. This might take place even while the language, the constitution, and the religion remained Gallio.

9 Yangiones.]-Their locality was the parts about Worms-Borbetomagus, afterwards Wormatia; to which $\Delta$ mmianus uses Civitas Vangionum as a synonym.
The Vangiones are mentioned by Cwas as forming part of the army of Ariovistus.
${ }^{10}$ Triboci.]-In the parts about Strasbourg.
The name seems Keltic ; Tre- being a Keltic prefix.
The Tri-boci are mentioned by Coesar as forming part of the army of Ariovistus.

[^31]The Nemetes are mentioned by Cwasar as forming part of the army of Ariovistus.

The words haud dubiè applied to these three nations show that, in the mind of Tacitus, at least, they were not exactly in the same category. The evidence of their Germanic character was stronger in the one case than in the other. However clear the case might be with the Treviri and Nervii, it was clearer with the Vangiones, Nemetes, and Triboci.
${ }^{12}$ Ubii.]-In the time of Cwsar, the Ubii were bounded by the Rhine, the country of the Suevi, and the country of the Sigambri. These two last lines of demarcation are indefinite.

They belonged, however, then to the German side of the Rhine; "Ubii, qui proximi accolunt, Rhenum attingunt."

They were encroached on by their neighbours, and had consequently lost, rather than gained, power.

They once constituted a civitus, "civitas ampla atque florens."Cesar.

Agrippa, in the reign of Augustus, transplanted them to form the

 'Pívov.-Strabo, iv. p. 194.

The complement to the last gix notes is Epilegomena, § The QuasiGermanic Gauls.
XXIX. Omnium barum gentium virtute precipui Batavi, ${ }^{1}$ non multùm ex ripâ, sed insulam Rheni amnis colunt, Chattorum quondam populus, et seditione domesticâ in eas sedes transgressus, in quibus pars Romani imperii fierent. Manet honos, et antique societatis insigne: nam nee tributis contemnuntur, nec publicanus atterit: exempti oneribus et collationibus, et tantùm in usum proeliorum sepositi, velut tela atque arma, bellis reservantur. Est in codem obsequio et Mattiacorum ${ }^{2}$ gens. Protulit enim magnitudo populi Romani ultra Rhenum, ultraque veteres terminos, imperii reverentiam. Ita sede finibusque in suâ ripâ,
mente animoque nobiscum agunt, cetera similes $\mathrm{Ba}-$ tavis, nisi quòd ipso adhuc terre suæ solo et cœlo acriùs animantur. Non numeraverim inter Germaniæ populos, quamquam trans Rhenum Danubiumque consederint, eos, qui Decumates agros ${ }^{3}$ exercent. Levissimus quisque Gallorum, et inopiâ audax, dubiæ possessionis solum occupavere. Mox limite acto, ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ promotisque præsidiis, sinus imperii, et pars provinciæ habentur.

## NOTBS OK EEOTLOM EXIX.

${ }^{1}$ Batavi.]-Crasar places the Batavi in the ialand formed by the Mass, Vhaal, and Rhine, "Mosa, parte quadam ex Rheno recepta, que appellatur Vahalis, insulam efficit Batavorum,"-Bell.Gall. iv. 10.

The Over-Betuwe and Neder-Betuve still preserve the name. Probably, they also fix the locality.

This is considerably distant from Hesse, the centre of the Chatti. Nevertheless, the origin ascribed to the Batavi by Tacitus must be taken as we find it.

Upon the principle of considering all migrationa along a navigable water-course, where the population of the intermediate parts differs from that of the extremities, as fluviatile, I consider that the Batavi came from the country of the Chatti in boats. Still, Hesse is on the Wees rather than on the Rhine.
Hitherto there are but few complications.
A slight difficulty arises from certain passages in Dion. He speaks of the merits and numbers of the Batavian cavalry. This is not what we expect from the occupants of a amall island.

A greater arises when we try to reconcile the statement of Tacitus with the present state of the Dutch language. The Dutch of Holland is a Platt-Deotsch dialect, nowhere more so than in Over and Neder Betuwe.
The language of the Hessians (or modern Chatti) is High German.
Again-the name Batavi extended farther than the insula (insulde) Batavorum, at least as early as the time of Ptolemy; since that writer mentions Leyden= $=$ ovyd́deu'on Barağur. Now $\Lambda$ ouyódecyav ( $L u g$-dunum) is not only Keltic in respect to ita termination -dunum, bnt was also the name of the unequivocally Gallic town Lyons (Lug-dusum).

Lastly-although we can, by considering the Batavian Chatti to have been an inconsiderable and intrusive population, get over the difficulty arising out of the High-German dialect of the Chatti, and the Platt-Dentsch of the present people of Holland, we are not at liberty to do so. According to Tacitus, the Batavi were so far from standing alone, that the Caninefates were in the same category, -"Caninefates, . . . ea gens partem insulwe colit, origine, lingua, virtute par Batavis, numero superantur."-Hist. iv. 15.

For a further notice of the Batavi, see Epilegomena, § Batti and Subatti.
© Mattiacorum.]-The mention of the warm baths of the Maltiaci"Mattiaci in Germania fontes calidi trans Rhenum" (Pliny, mixi. 2), -fixee them in the neighbourhood of Wisbaden. This is Zeuss's inference; and there seems no good reason for refining on it. The fact of a maixed army of Chatti, Usipit, and Matticui, besieging Mayence confirms this view. "Maguntiaci obsessores mixtus ex Chattie, Usipiis, Mattiacis exercitus."-Tac.Hist.iv. 37.-ZZeuss, p. 99.
${ }^{3}$ Decumates agros.]-The Decumates agri were, in the time of Csesar, a debatable land between the Gauls and the Germans By the time of Tacitus it had been appropriated by Rome.

Niebuhr expressly statea that, in the reigns of Augustus and Tiberius, Suabia was not yet subject to Rome; his reason being that no mention is made of any attacks upon Germany south of the Lahn. Although this view rests upon negative evidence, and is qualifiod by the statement that all that is known about this war is vague and indefinite, the a priori probabilities are in favour of it, and it would be hypercritical to refine upon it.

Domitian's actions in Germany are, probably, undervalued. Niebuhr mentions his war with the Chatti abont the Maine. He also sdmits the evidence of medals as to the title of Germanicus borne by Domitian ; but he demurs to the evidence of Martial as to ita being deserved; adding that "the historians are unanimous that those victories were not realities, though they cannot be wholly fictitious." In the subsequent lecture, he supplies the additional statement that the "Arac Flavice, the name of a place on the military road from the Maine to Augsburg, proves that, probably under Domitian, the Romans had already taken posession of that tinus imperiu." He adds, in a note, that Frontinus (Strateg. i. 3, 10) expressly
ascribes the construction of the limes Romanus to Domitian. Why then use auch epithete as probably? Nine-tenths of the admitted facts in history, is lees supported by evidence than the reduction of the Decumaten agri, anterior to the reign of Nerva. This is a point to which even the present passage bears testimony.

Under Nerva there was a "little war in Suabia, the only trace of which exists in an inscription, in which mention is made of a victoria Suevica" This was in 97 or 89, A.D.-Niebuhr's Lectures.

Under Trajan and Adrian, the relations between Rome and Germany were peaceful-Ditto.

In the reign of Antoninus Pius" we hear of a defensive war against the Chatti" "-Ditto.

The great Marcomannic war characterized the reign of Aurelius Antoninus. In this, the tribes on the Decumatian frontier took some, but not the main, part. This was chiefly in the hands of the Germans of the Slavonic Marches-the agri Decamatea being a Gallic or Romano-Gallic one.

Commodus purchased an absence from hostilities, and Severus, probably, overawad them. At any rate, we hear nothing of German wars in his reign.

One of the titles of Caracalla presents us, for the first time, with the important epithet Alemannicus. How it was earned we learn from the following extract-"Antoninus, Caracalla dictus . ... Alemannos, gentem populosum, ex equo mirifice pugnantem, prope Menum amnem devicit."-Aur. Victor, de Cæss o. 21.

This is the first time the important name Alemanni occurs, and for that reason the notice of the agri Decumates has been brought down thus low (A.D. 215) ; since the agri Decumates, and the parts to the north and east of them, form the great Alemannic area

Further notice of these Germans will be found in Epilegomena, § Alemanni.

In saying that "in the time of Tacitus the agri Decumates had been appropriated by Rome," I mean not that it was settled, or organized, but that it was kept as a March or military frontier. A debatable land of this kind is the Suevic Waste, as described by Cesar. I believe that at the present moment a portion of the Austrian and Ottoman frontier is in this condition,-via., Turkish Crostia, between Austrian Oroatia, Herzegovina, Bosnia, and Slavonia

Politically, the Decumates agri coincide with the modern Duchy of

Baden, three-fourths of Wurtemburg, Hohenzollern, and a mmall corner of Bavaria.

Physically, they form the district of the Black Forest and the Hauhe Alpe, and consist of a table-land, containing the head-waters of the Neckar.

[^32]XXX. Ultra hos Cbatti ${ }^{2}$ initium sedis ab Hercynio saltu ${ }^{2}$ inchoant, non ita effusis ac palustribus locis, ut ceteræ civitates, in quas Germania patescit : durant siquidem colles, paullatimque raresount: et Chattos suos saltus Hercynius prosequitur simul atque deponit. Duriora genti corpore, stricti artus, minax vultus, et major animi vigor. Multum (ut inter Germanos) rationis ac solertix: preponere electos, audire prepositos, nosse ordines, intelligere occasiones, dif-
ferre impetus, disponere diem, vallare noctem, fortunam inter dubia, virtutem inter certa numerare: quodque rarissimum, nec nisi ratione disciplinæ concessum, plus reponere in duce, quàm in exercitu. Omne robur in pedite, quem super arma ferramentis quaque et copiis onerant. Alios ad prolium ire videas, Chattos ad bellum: rari excursus et fortuita pugna. Equestrium sanè virium id proprium, citò parare victoriam, citò cedere. Velocitas juxta formidinem, cunctatio propior constautiæ est.

## MOTES ON BRCTION EXX.

${ }^{1}$ Chatti.]-The two chief ethnological facts connected with this name are:-

1. That Chatti and Hease are one and the same word.
2. That the Chatti of Tacitus are the Suevi of Cossar.

The propriety of spelling the word with an $-h$, and of writing Chatti rather than Catti, is indicated by the Greek forms, Xárrot, and Xárrac, Karrot or Kairrat being nowhere found, though in some of the newer and more inferior MSS. of Pliny and Tacitus Caltit is the reading.

Just as the ch in Chauci becomes in German the $h$ in Hocing, so does the ch in Chatti become the $h$ in Hess.

The change from $t$ to $s$ is the same that occurs in the High German form rousser as opposed to the Low German wouter.

All this is a matter which has been generally received by those who first worked it out, viz, the German philologists, Zeuss, Grimm, and others. Whether, however, the real nature of the change has been explained, or rather whether any change at all has taken place, is uncertain. Asfar as I can ascertain the views of the writers in question, their opinion seems to be that those Hessians of Hesse who coincided with the ancient Chatti, called themselves by that name (Chatti). If so, the old form has changed into the new one, and the word which was now Hesse was once Chatti, the change having taken place on Hessian ground, and under the influence of time alone.

This is not the view which the present writer adopts. He sees no grounds for believing that the Hesaians ever used, as their own
denignation, any other form than the one in $h$ - and e-. Henoe to say that Hesse came from Chatti is like saying that soasser came from zoater; the truth being that the one was the $H$ igh, the other the Low German form.

Admitting this, we gain something more than a barren fact. We infer that, in the particular case of the Chatti, at least, the authorities of Tacitus were Low Germans; a view confirmed not only by the a priori probabilities of the case, bat by several other aimilar pointr of internal evidenoe.

That the Chatti of Tacitus are the Suevi of Ceesar, is grounded upon the-
a. Absence of the name Chatti in the Bellum Gallicum : though they were the people most immediately in contsct with Gaul.
b. The history of the war with Ariovistus,
c. The magnitude of the two populations; each requiring too large an area to be in juxtaposition with one another within the assignable limits.
d. The absence of the evidence of any considerable movements in the way of conquest or migration between the times of Cwesar and Strabo, this latter writer mentioning the Chatti.

Grimm, who, as a Hessian, has entered upon the minute ethnology of his native country con amore, has added to these reasons, and found confirmations of their identity in the local legends of Hesse. No reader acqusinted with the vitality of old bye-words, and with the metamorphoses of popular stories, will think the following pointa of evidence unworthy of record.
a. Let the word Chatti, originally Low German, but now Roman, give rise to a nickname (Schimpf-wort), applicable to the Hessians. Let them be called dogs or whelps, according to the translation of the root of cat-ulus. Let such a name apply to both the Heseians and the Suabians. As far as this goes, it goes towards the connection of the two by means of the common name Catti.

Now a nickname (Schimpfiwort) of the Hessians is Hund-Heseen or Dog Hessians (Hound Hessians) ; and a nickname (Schimpfwort) of the Suabians is blinds Schwab=or blind Suabian-even as puppies are blind at birth.

Everything in ethnology is a conflict of difficulties; and it must not be concealed that a grave objection lies against the identification of the Chatti and Suevi, in the fact that with the ancient writers subsequent to Cebar, there is a mention of the Suevi
as well as the Chatti, and in modern geography, there is a Suabia as well at a Hesse.

I believe that the difficulty is diminished by the § on the Suevi in the Epilegomena.

To the question, why did Cexar call the Catti Sueviq the answers are of two kinds.

1. It may be said that the name had changed in the interval ; either by the preponderance of a different branch of the Confederation, or by some other mesng.
2. It may be said that the two names belonged to different languages, and that Sueve was the name by which the Chatti were known to Cessars informants, the Gaula ; just as the Kymry are known to the English by the name of Welah.

The latter view is the one adopted by the present writer. That Suevi was the Gallio name of the Germans of the Middle Rhine, I feel certain. Whethor it was exclueively Gallic, ie., foreign to these same Germans themselves, will be considered in the § just referred to.

ع Hercynio saltu.]-The language from whence the first notice of the Hercynian range (whether of mountaina or woods) was taken, is probably the Keltic ; at least no derivation is so probable as the one indicated by Zeuss-erchynn=elevated, erchynedd=elevations.

If so, the portion of the range to which it applied would be the western, rather than the eastern extremity; a matter of some importance, since the fact of ite having been first used by Greeks would suggest the contrary notion. As it is, however, we must suppose that the term reached Aristotle or his informants just as the words Alp, Kelt, or Gaul (Гáлarai) did.

The Hercynian forest, as delineated by Cassar, only partially follows the line of the Danube. There is, however, a tract in physical geography with which it coincides entirely. This is the system of highlands or mountains, which forms the northern boundery of the valley of the Danube. Hence, from weat to east, the line of the southern limit of the tract in question runs from Baden (Rauraci), where the river-fystem is that of the Rhine, along the highlands of Wurtemborg (Decumates agri), Franconia, Bohemia, Moravia, and Upper Hungary. Here the bend to the left (north) takes place; in other words, we have the long flat valley of the Theiss (Tibicus) intervening between the mountain-range and the

Danube, insteed of the amaller and more elevated ones of the Nasb (in Bavaria), the March (in Moravia), and the Waag and Gran (in Upper 'Hungary). After this, however, a second bend, not known to Cæsar, takes place, and the forest-range, after encircling Hungary, re-approaches the Danube in Transylvania,

Now the system of mountains which has taken us through the countries enumerated, is as follows :-The highlands of the Black Forest, the Rauhe Alpe (Abnoba mons), their continuation to the Fichtel-Gebirge, the Böhmerwald Gebirge (Gabreta silva), the Wilde Gebirge (Hercynii montes), the Yablunka Gebirge (Luna silva), the Carpathian mountains (Aakiburgive mons), their southern offset to the Danube (Sarmatici montes). Here the turn occurs ; and the forest followe the eastern direction of the Carpathinas, which, after taking in the ancient maps the name of Alpes Bagtarnices, approach the Danube, and divide Transylvania from Wallachia.
XXXI. Et aliis Germanorum populis usurpatum rarâ et privatầ cujusque audentiâ, apud Chattos in consensum vertit, ut primùm adoleverint, crinem barbamque summittere,' nec, nisi hoste cesso, exuere votivum obligatumque virtuti oris habitum. Super sanguinem et spolia, revelant frontem, seque "tum demum pretia nascendi retulisse, dignosque patriâ ac parentibus" ferunt. Ignavis et imbellibus manet squalor. Fortissimus quisque ferreum insuper anulum (ignominiosum id genti) velut vinculum gestat, donec se cæde hostis absolvat. Plurimis Chattorum hic placet habitus. Jamque canent insignes, et hostibus simul suisque monstrati: omnium penes hos initia pugnarum : hæc prima semper acies, visu nova. Nam ne in pace quidem vultn mitiore mansuescunt. Nulli domus, aut ager, aut aliqua cura: prout ad quemque venêre, aluntur: prodigi alieni, contemptores suî: donec exsanguis senectus tam dure virtuti impares faciat.

## NOT. ON SRCTION XXXI.

${ }^{1}$ Crinem barbamque summittere.]-The whole evidence of antiquity is to the abundant locks of the Germans, and to their yellow hue. From the castoms of some of the Frisian or Norse population, eqpecially that of the supposed Norse settlements of Molquerum and Hindelopen in Friesland, as they appear in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, it is probably that this golden or flaxen bue wae artificially heightened, i.e., by alkaline washes of soda or potash ley. The likelibood of this must be borne in mind when we consider the extent to which the present preponderance of dark or brown hair amongst many Germanic populations is referable to a real change of colour; inasmuch as it possibly may be accounted for by the disuse of the habit of blanching it.
In all ethnological questions connected with the colour and texture of the hair, the customs of the country, in respect to the dressing of it, should be carefully attended to. Thus amonget the islanders of more than one part of the Sonth Sea and Indian Ocean, where the hair is naturally jet-black, there is the practice of washing the head in ash, or lime-water-which gives it a red tinge. Hair, thus discoloured, has been described by excellent writers as being red.

The population wherein really, and naturally, red hair preponderates, is not German, but Ugrian ; the Votiak, and other Finns of the Volga, being pre-eminently xijpooc ; and, I think it likely that when we hear of Germans being thus distinguished. (i.e., as red rather than yellow-haired), these alkaline washes may have had something to do with the epithet. Such are common. Silius Italicus calls the Batavian "rufus Batavus."-iii. 608. More express still is the following extract from Galen :-Oütus yoùv rives



That long hair waa generally an honourable ornament, we infer from ita being amongat the Franks a aign of being a freeman ; whereas, to have the hair clipped, was a degrading punishment. At the same time, as this very passage implies, the German modes of wearing it were sarious. Herodian mentions the kovpd rêv $\Gamma_{\text {Ep- }}$ $\mu a v \omega ̈ \nu$ (iv. 7); and Seneca the rufus crinis et coactus in nodum apud Oermanas-De lra, c. 26.
XXXII. Proximi Chattis certum jam alveo Rhenum, quique terminus esse sufficiat, Usipii ${ }^{1}$ ac Tencteri ${ }^{\text { }}$ colunt. Tencteri super solitum bellorum decus equeatris disciplinæ arte pracellunt. Nec major apud Chattos peditum laus, quà̀n Tencteris equitum. Sic instituêre majores, posteri imitantur. Hi lusus infantium, hæc juvenum æmulatio, perseverant senes: inter familiam, et penates, et jura successionum, equi traduntur: excipit filius, non, ut cetera, maximus natu, sed prout ferox bello et melior.

HOTES OS BEONLON XXXIL.
1 Usipii.]-Another form of the word is Usip-et-es.
I quite agree with Zeuss in his saggestion, that this eet, is the Keltio sign of the plural, and that this is the reason why it occurs in Casar throughout, whilst in Tacitus, it is the prevalent reading only once (Ann, i. 51).
Ceesar's notice of the Usipii takes precedence of all others. He places them on the Lower Rhine, making them conterminous (or nearly so) with the Suevi, Sicambri, Tencteri, Ubii, and Bructeri.

The graver complications begin with the notice of Ptolemy. A population with a name so like Usipii as Oü̃oxol, is placed by that writer as far south as the frontier of the Helvetian Desert-that is, we identify the two names. The necesity, however, for doing so is doubtful. The name is, probably, Gallic.

[^33]XXXIII. Juxta Tencteros Bructeri ${ }^{1}$ olim occurrebant: nunc Chamavos ${ }^{8}$ et Angrivarios ${ }^{5}$ immigrasse narratur, pulsis Bructeris ac penitus excisis, vicinarum consensu nationum, seu superbix odio, seu predx dulcedine, seu favore quodam erga nos deorum: nam ne spectaculo quidem proelii invidêre; super Lx. millia, non armis telisque Romanis, sed, quod magnificentins est, oblectationi oculisque ceciderunt. Maneat queso, duretque gentibus, si non amor nostrî, at certè odium suî : quando, urgentibus imperii fatis, nihil jam prastare fortuna majus potest, quàm hostium discordiam.

## NOTR ON EROLION XEXIIL

${ }^{1}$ Bructeri.]-Probable German forms of this word would be, in Anglo-Saxon Breochtware, in Old Saxon Britchtuuari, in Frisian Brjuchtwara.

My reason for believing that the syllable -eri, representa the second element in a compound word, and that that word was ware=inhabitants (as in Cantware=inhabitants of Kent) lies in the following extract from Beda-"Sunt autem Fresones, Rugini, Dani, Huni, antiqui Saxones, Boructuarii, sunt etiam alii perplures iisdem in partibus populi, paganis adhuc ritibus servientes."-Hist. Ecclesiast. v. 10. The same writer repeate the name more than once.

Perhaps the same may have been the case with the form Tencteri=Tenctware. $\mathrm{Be}_{\mathrm{t}}$ this as it may, notwithstanding the contraction, the $e$ in Bructeri is short. It is written with $\varepsilon$ in Greek (Bpoúcrspor), whilst in Latin we have the following lines of Sidonius Apollinaris-

> Bructerus, ulvosa vel quem Nicer alluit unda Prorumpit Francus. $\quad$-Carm. vii. 324.

The utter excision (penitus excisis) of the Bructeri, is an overstatement. Neither was their expulsion complete; on the contrary, it was very partial. This we learn from the subsequent notices of the Bructeri, who are so far from being exterminated thst they are mentioned more than most other German tribes.

Ptolemy dividea them into the Bructeri Majores, and Bructeri
 the Ems dividing them.

In Nazarius' panegyric to Constantine, in the beginning of the fourth century, they are mentioned along with the Chamavi and Cherusci, as nations whom it was glorions to have conquered.

Lastly, in the ninth and tenth centuries, we meet notices of the pagus Borahtra-pagus Bortergo-pagus Borotra-pagus Boractron, and pagus Boratre, all meaning the same locality.

The following passage fixes it still closer-"Bruno magnus satrapa Saxonam cam nobili comitatu in proviscia Boructuariorum pernoctans in vico Ratingen ..; in quadam Boructuariorum villa Velsenberg nomine."-Vita S. Swiberti ap. Leibn. i. 20, 21.
A line drawn from Munster to Cologne would pass through part of the country of the Bracteri; a country of which the outline seems to have been very irregular.

They are on the confines of the Frisian, Old Saxon, and PlattDeutsch areas, and it is difficult to say to which they belonged. I think the Old-Saxon places in $\cdot u m$ (if such there be) occar within their area.

## 2 Chamavos.]-Ptolemy's form is Xaipat.

The present town of Ham, in Westphalia, probably preserves the pame and fixes the original locality of the Chamavi.

But either the name or the people spread as far as the Rhine and Ysel; and the Chamavian and Salian Franka become mentioned together. That the extension was real-i.e, that of the people, and not merely of the import of the name-is probable. They have already encroached on the Bruct-eri.

In the Tabula Peutingeriana we find chamavi qui moprangi. Zense, reasonably, considers this to mean ex phrancl.
A tract of land, at the present day, extending down the Ysel to the neighbourhood of Deventer, is called Hameland; and it is mentioned in early documents as "pagus Saxoniz Hamalant-in Sutfono (South Fen=Zutphen) in pago Hameland-in Duisburg in pago Hameland-in Dauindre (Deventer) in eodem pago Hamelandabbatiam Altene juxta Rhenum flumen in pago Eamaland."

This implies a great displacement of Bracteri.
It had taken place before the reign of the Emperor Julian, -




Ausonius makes the middle syllable long:
Aocedent vires, quas Francia, quasque Chamaves Germanique tremunt.--Mosella, 434.
The branch of the Germanic population to which the original Chamavi belonged, was almost certainly the Old Saxon.

Amongst the obscurest of the traditionary heroes of the Westphalian and Hanoverian Germans is Ham, whose Latinized name is Ammius. This Amamius may, or may not, have been the eponymus of the Cham-avi.

A shade is thrown over the common origin of the different Chamavi by the possibility of cham-being a geographical term ; in which case it might apply to different populations, irrespective of ethnological identity.
Ptolemy kas, in the parts between the Danube and Thuringia, not



Now Zeuss tells us that be finds-and from the context his remark either appliss, or should apply, to this locality-in old docnments not only a place called Cham, but Marcha Chambe,*-p. 121.
Add to this the root Ham-in Ham-burg. For the Chamavi as colonists, вee Epilegomena, § Chattuarii.
${ }^{3}$ Angrivarios.]-This is a compound name; the latter elementa being the ware in Cantware=occupants, inhabitants.
The present town of Engern, near Herford, in Westphalia, the supposed acene of Varus's defeat, probably preserves the name, and fixes the locality of the Angri-varii. But the area was a wide one.

That this identity is not taken up on light grounds is shown by the following extracts.

> Generalis habet populos divisio ternos, Insiguita quibse Saxonia floruit olim; Nomina nunc remanent, virtus antiqua recesit.
> Denique Westfalos vocitant in parte manentes Occidua, quorum non longe terminus amne
> A Rheno distat: regionem solig ad ortum
> Inhabitant Osterliudi, quoe nomine quidam
> * See Epilegomena, § Parmacampi.

> | Ostvalos alio vocitant, confinia quorum |
| :--- |
| Infestant conjuncta suis gens perfida Sclavi.* |
| Inter predictos media regione morantur |
| Angarii, populus Saxonum tertius; horum |
| Patria Francorum terris sociatur ab austro, |
| Oceanoque eadom conjungitur ex aquilone. |
| Poeta Saxo ad an. 772 . |

The Ang-ariz separated the East- and West-phalias. Or, the Oster-liudi from the Wester-liudi.
Or, the East-Saxons from the West-Saxons, a German $E$-ssex from a German We-seex; the Angrarii being, in reality, German Middle-sex.
" Rex amne (Wibura) trajecto cum parte exercitus ad Ovacrum fluvium contendit, nbi ei Hessi, unus e primoribas Saxonum cum omnibus Ostfalais ocourrens, et obsides, quos rex imperaverat, dedit et sacramentum fidelitatis juravit. Inde regreaso, cum in pagum qui Bucki vocatur pervenisset, Angrarii cum suis primoribus occurrerunt, et sicut Osffalai, juxta quod imperaverat, obsides ac sacramenta dederant . . . Tum demum Westfalaorum obsidibus acceptis, ad hiemandum in Francia revertitur."-Annal. Einhardi ad an. 775, Pertz i. 155. "Thunc domnus Carolus . . . perrexit usque Obacrum fluvium. Ibi omnes Austreleudi Saxones venientes cum Hassione, et dederunt obsides . . . venerunt Angrarii (al. Angarii) in pago qui dicitur Bucki ona cum Brunone et reliquis optimatibus eorum et dederunt ibi obsides, sicut $A$ ustrasii . . . Stragem ex eis fecit, et prodam multam conquisivit super Wexfalaos, et obsides dederunt, sicut et alii Saxones."-Annal. Lauriss. ad an. 775, Pertz i. 144.

The following forms approach the supposed modern equivalent (Engern) closely; "Angeri in orientali regione-Angaria occiden-talis-Angari in pago Logni-Angeri in occidentali regione-Angariá occidentali in pago Nithega-Angari in pago Leri." They also prove the magnitude of the area.
They also verify the origin of the form Bract-eri out of the more manifest compound Bruct-roare; as well as the supposed origin of Tenct-eri out of Tenct-ware.

The identity of the Angrivarian locality with Engern being a point upon which much turns, these details have been given in full.

[^34]XXXIV. Angrivarios et Chamavos a tergo ${ }^{1}$ Dulgibini ${ }^{8}$ et Chasuari ${ }^{3}$ cludunt, aliæque gentes haud perinde memoratæ. A fronte Frisii * excipiunt. "Majoribus minoribusque ${ }^{5}$ Frisiis" vocabulum est, ex modo virium: utræque nationes usque ad Oceanum Rheno pratexuntur, ambiuntque immensos insuper lacus, et Romanis classibus navigatos. Jpsum quinetiam Oceanum illà tentavimus: et superesse adhuc Herculis columnas fama vulgavit: sive adiit Hercules, seu quidquid ubique magnificum est, in claritatem ejus referre consensimus. Nec defuit audentia Druso Germanico: - sed obstitit Oceanus in se simul atque in Herculem inquiri. Mox nemo tentavit: sanctiusque ac reverentius visum, de actis deorum credere, quằm scire.

## NOTES ON ARGTION XXXIF.

${ }^{1}$ A tergo Dulgibini et Chasuari.]-This must mean north (and, perhaps, a little north-west) rather than due east or north.

A fronte Firisii.-This must mean west (or north-west); and to do this there must be a considerable irregularity and extension of frontier on either one side or the other. This is rather forcing the text.

At the same time it is all that is required; and when we consider that by allowing this we get-
a. The Angri-varii in Engern,
b. The Cham-avi in Hamm,
c. The Dulg-ubini in Dulm-en ; and-
d. The Chas-uari on the Hase, it cannot well be considered too much.

[^35]In respect to their locality, Ptolemy places them next to the תaxrobápöon.

My own belief is that their name is preserved, and their locality fixed by the present Weetphalian town called Dulmen-a form sufficiently near Ptolemy's $\Delta$ oud yoípuroo to be admitted.
s Chasuari.]-Like Angri-uariï a compound name, and, probably, that of the cccupants of the banks of the river Hase, especially the parts about Hase-lunde.
Now there is another name so near that of the Chas-uari that, although not mentioned by Tacitus, it requires notice. It is that of the Chatt-uarii.

The German form of this (a real and known form) was Hat-ware $=$ occupants of the country of Chatti.

Strabo and Paterculus alone mention this people - Strabo as Xarrou-dpzot, Paterculus as Attu-arii.

For a fuller notice of this question, and for the $\Delta t$-uarian colonies see Epilegomena, § Chattuari.

4 Frisii.-Except political importance, the Frisians have all the elements of ethnological interest.

To the Dutchman and German they are deserving of attention, because they represent the native Germanic type in its purest and least modified form. Their fen localities have kept them from intermizture of blood : they bave also preserved for them, through a long series of vicissitudes, a considerable amount of political independence.

The Scandinavian sees in the Frisian language, the Germanic tongue most allied to his own ; the descendant of that Gothic language out of which the Icelandic, or Old Norse, was developed.

To the Englishman they are of pre-minent interest. The Frisians of Heligoland are British subjects. But, besides this, there is another series of facts.
a The mother-tongue of the present English, the Anglo-Saxion, is extinct on the Continent. It has been wholly replaced by a High German dialect as the literary language, and by the PlattDeutsch as the speech of the country-people.
b. The sister-tongue to the Anglo-Saxon-the Old Saxon of Westphalia-is similarly lost, and similarly replaced.
c. The tongue next to these, in the order of affinity, is the Frisiad,
a form of the Gothic apeech nearer our own language than either the Dutch of Holland, the Scandinavian dialects, or the High German.

In another new and peculiar point of view, the Frisians claim notice. Their history is, to a certain extent, a physical history. Many branches of the atem to which they belong have been lopped off by the hand of man, by war, by famine, by oppression bravely withstood. But others have given way to a stronger and more unconquerable power-that of Nature. It is the Frisian area that most of the great inundations of the North Sea have broken in upon. What Vebuvius has been to Campania, Atna to Sicily, Hecla to Iceland, the Ocean has been to Frisia.

The proper complement to the ethnology of this branch, would be the physical history of the North Sea; and this is what Clemens, the best investigator of the least known part of the family -the North Frisians-has aketched.

The Frisians have ever been the people of a retiring frontier, ie., whilat others have encroached on their occapancies, they have never, within the historical period, been successful inpaders and permanent aggressora elsewhere. Not, at least, by land. By sea, the case may have been different; so different, that in our own island much that passes for Anglo-Saxon in origin may be Frisian; a matter to which a special notice has been dedicated.*

On the west the Ocean; on the north the Danes and Low Germans ; on the south the Low Germans have been the encroachers.

The fact of the Frisians baving thus suffered from enoroachment, rather than gained by aggression, has a practical bearing.

Frisian occupancy may be inferred from certain characteristics, hereafter to be illuatrated: and these characteristics we find in localities far beyond the present Frisian area. Now, had the Frisians been a family of conquerors, the inference would be that the introduction was recent, and that, upon some earlier occupancy, Frisian elements might have been engrafted. But as the truth is the reverse of this; ss the Frisians have habitually retreated rather than advanced, the conclusion is different; and as Frisiann ames of geographical localitied-for of this sort are the characteristics in question-may reasonably be assumed to denote Frisian cocupancy anterior to that of the present dominant population.

Of all the ancient names of German populations, the term Frisii has been the most permanent. Less altered in form than Chatti, as

- In the third edition of the English Language of the present writer.
compared to $H$ esse, and applied to the population of its original area, it denotes the Frisii of Tacitus, the present Frieslanders of Friesland, with a minimum amount of alteration.

As to whether the name itself be German, it would be an unnecessary refinement to doubt it. Nevertheless, the criticism which applies to the word Suevi is applicable to Frisii also. It is applicable; but, although applicable, it by no meang follows that it should be applied. By considering the term as Keltic a few difficulties respecting the connection between the Frisii and Cbauci might, perhaps, be removed. On the other hand, we have Pliny's word Frisiabones; a compound almost certainly German.

The shadow of uncertainty that rests over the language to which the root Fris- belongs, is created by the fact of the Frisii being mentioned by Coesar, under the name now before us: for Chesar's informants were Gauls, and, I am inclined to think that, as a general rule, the Gallic name of a Germanic population was different from the native one.

Again; the name of the national hero is so often the name of the people who are addicted to his cultus-in other words, the national hero is so often an eponymus to the nation-that when this is not the case, a slight presumption is raised against the name being indigenous, native, and vernacular. This is the case here. The great mythological Frisian is Finn. We should expect some such name as Fris.

Thus, in the Traveller's Song, we have-

> "Fin Folc-walding
> [Weobld] Fresna cynne-"
> or,
> "Finn, the son of Folcwalda (Ruled) the race of Frisians."

All this, however, may be, and probably is, over-refinement.
The later form which the word Frisii takes is one in $-n$, the socalled weak form of the Gothic grammarians. Hence, whilst Tacitus,
 pius has Фpiacoves.

The Anglo-Saxon writers also use the form in -an ; e.g., Fresones in Beda, and Frisan in the Anglo-Sazon Chronicle.

The form Frisia-bon-es, in Pliny, bas been already noticed. It is clearly a compound. The power and original form of the gecond
element is not so clear. Considering the nature of the Frisian habitats, I believe it to represent the word veen=fen. This, however, is but a guess.

The Frisian characteristic alluded to above is-

1. The great preponderance of compound words, ending in the equivalent to the English -ham, and German -heim,--e.g., Threekingham, Oppen-heim.
2. The peculiar form this element takes.

This is -um, the $h$ being omitted, and the vowel being $u$.
In Friesland itself so abundant are these compounds of -um, that two out of three (sixteen out of twenty-four) of the places noted in the map within a few miles of Leeuwarden, end in that element. *

Zeeland,-Here but few words are componnded of the equivalent to -ham and -heim at all; perbaps none except the word Ritthem; which is in $h$ and $e$.

Thus we have the two extremes; i.e., the Frisian topography at its maximum in Friesland, and at its minimum in Zeeland.

Between these two extremes the following is the order of transition.
Groningen-Here the Frisian compound predominates, and that with the Frisian form. In the arrondissement of Appingadam only, we have eighteen names in -um.

In Groningen, however, we find occasion to mention another Frisian characteristic-the omission of $-n$ and $-m$ at the end of words. Hence, all true Frisian compounds of -man end in -ma; as Hettema and Halberts-ma; whilst the numerous words that, in a fen-country, are compounded of -dam, take such forms as the following words in the arrondissement of Winschoten-Holwier-da (not -dam), Utwier-da, \&c.
Now in Winschoten, although the Frisisn characteristic of the final -a be carried to a great extent, the forms in -um are few. In the next province-
Drenthe-they do not occur at all. But Drenthe, like Winschoten, seems to be reclaimed land, and as such, the habitat of a population less aboriginal than that of Friesland and Groningen.

Oberijssel.-a. Arrondisement of Zwolle.-Here we have three compounds of $h$-m, vis. : Blenken-ham, Windea-heim, and Wils-um -all three different ; one Saxon, one German, and one Frisian.

[^36]b. Arrondistement of Deventer.--One compound in -um, Hese-wm.
c. Arrondissement of 4 lmelo.-Three compounds-Ootmars-wm, Rent-um, and Ross-um.

Notwithstanding this diminution of Frisian characteristice, there is between Almelo and Ommen a Vrisen-veen=Frisian fen,

Gelderland.-a. Arrondissement of Arnhem.-Here Arnhem takes the form in hem. On the contrary, Helsum and Renkum occur, and ao do Beunekom and Ellekom.
c. Arrondissement of Nimeguen.-Forms in -um rare, if any.
d. Arrondisement of Tiel.-Heukel-um, Gellic-um, and Rossum.

North Brabant.-Three or four forme in -um at most.
b. Arrondissement of Zutphen.-Forms in -em almost (or wholly) to the exclusion of those in -um-Lochem, Zelhem.

Limburg.-Here are four forms, Wessum, Sevenum, Wansum, and Otteraum; but they occur in the northern arrondusement (that of Roermonde) only, and that in contact with Groet-hem and Baexhem.

Utrecht-Utter, or nearly ntter, absence of Frisian forms.
South Holland.-Ditto.
North Holland.-a. In the arrondissement of Amsterdam.-Blmricum, Helmersum, Buseum.
b. In the arrondissement of Hoorn.-Wognum.

Notwithstanding the pancity of Frisian forms, part of North Holland is called Weat Friesland; from which we may infer that, even though the termination $v \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ be non-existent, there may have been a Frisian occupancy.

But what shall we say to the converse of this? How far is the presence of such forms absolutely Frisian?

I can only eay, in answer to this, that the Anglo-Saxon forms are regularly -hám, the Platt-Deutach -hem, the High-German -heim, and the Norse -jem (-yem); and that in England, Switzerland, Iceland, Sweden, and those parts of Germany, where the Frisian occupancy can fairly be presumed never to have extended, I have sought for the form -um in vain.

Observe the Italics in the word Anglo-Saxon.
If any exception is to be made in favour of the termination -um=-heim, and Ham, it is in favour of the Old Saxons. Two reasons stand for this.

1. The Old Saxon omitted the $k$ in simple words, where it
occurs in all the other Germanic tongues; even the Anglo-Saxon and the Frisian. Thus their forms equivalent to-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A.s. ENGLISH. } \\
& \text { hire }=\text { ber }=\text { if. } \\
& \text { hira }=\text { their }=\text { iro. }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Drat-um, Stock-um, Bokk-um, occur in Old Saxon localities.

I admit this exception, and, although it is by no means impossible that either certain Old Saxon localities may once have been Erisian, or that Frisian colonies may have been located on the points in queetion, consider that the -um may have been Old Saxon as well as Frisian-bat not anything else.

To proceed. In Scandinavia, the termination in the root $h-m$ at all, or in any form, is rare. The termination that replaces it is -by; an important affix, and one which plays the same part in the minute ethnology of Scandinavia that -um does here.

With this preliminary, we may investigate the northern portions of the Frisian area; having begun with the extremities first.

Sleswick and Holstein.-Just west of Töndern, about an English mile to the north, we have a hamlet called, for some distance, Bunderby; and south of this, for some distance, there is no place ending in $-b y$.

About four English miles to the north-west of Leck, we have a hamlet named Wees-by, and weat of this no place ends in -by.

About Hus-um is a remarkable starting-point. A new set of names comes in. These are only partially Frisian; at the same time they are not Danish. Where these are not Frisian they are Platt-Deutach.

However, between Husum, Bunder-by, Wees-by, and the sea, all is - Frisian-positively as well as negatively.

Within these lines come Olz-hus-um, Bogel-um, Lug-um, Up-hus-um, Karl-um, Ris-um, Klint-um, Barg-um, Stad-um, Dorp-um, Bordel-um, Bakkel-um, Stukk-um, Hus-um, and a little to the south-west of the line Rantr-um.

What do we find beyond First let our attention be turned to the south, and south-east, so as to see whether they are reasons for connecting these Frisians of Sleswick with those of Hanover.

South of Husum, a projecting block of low fertile marsh-land is bounded on two sides by the sea and the Eyder, and on the
third by the road to Frederikstadt, and Schwabsted. Here but one place ends in -um, Broe-um, on the sea. None end in -by. The nomenclatare is Platt-Deutsch. Still, the single word Bro-um indicates a Frisian population-to which it should be added, that the whole country is reclaimed land, consisting wholly of embanked marabes. This is the fertile country of Eydersted; at present Platt-Deutsch.

South of Eydersted and the Eyder comes Ditmarsh-the beloved native country of Niebuhr. It falls in two divisions-
a. North Ditmarth, of which Heide is the chief town, contains nothing ending in -um. On the contrary, several names are PlattDeutsch.
b. South Ditmareh ; few or no forms in -um.

But Ditmarsh only takes us southwards. The parts weat of Husum require notice. The triangle formed by a line drawn from Husum to Sleswick, from Sleswick to Rendsburg, and from Rendsburg to the Eyder (this last being very irregular) gives a new area

Nothing ends in $-u m$ here. All that points towards Friesland is a drain named Fresen-delf, on the right bank of the river Tren, near Schwabsted; and even this is on the very western extremity of the parts marked out.

Neither do any places end in -um between Sleswick, the Eckernford, and Rendsburg. Hence, the Frisians of the parts between Husum and Töndern are isolated.

So much for the south and east. Let us now look to the north; or rather to the north and east ; remembering that, in this direction, whatever is not Frisian will be Danish-not Platt-Deutsch.

North.—Between Töndern and Ripen the places in rby are arranged in one, those in -um in another column.

| Oster-by. | Hus-um. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wiis-by. | Ball-um. |
| Gammel-by. | Woll-um. |
| Nor-by. | Win-um. |
| Kohl-by. | Nust-hus-um. |
| Reis-by. | Bjerr-um. |
| Kirke-by. | - |
| Mol-by. | - |
| Meel-by. |  |

The preponderance is in favour of the Danish form. Besides
which, we have a place called Kier-gaard; and as gaard=house in Danish, this is an additional element in that quarter.

On the other hand the compound Kirke-by=Church-toron, ahould be noticed : as it ahows, that, in that case at least, the Danish name is posterior to the introduction of Christianity. I do not remember any such Frisian form as Tjerk-um (the Frisian equivalent to Kirke-by); a fact which gives us negative evidence in favour of the antiquity of the Frisian names.

North-ast,-For the square formed by lines drawn from Husum to Sleswick, from Sleswick to Flensburg, from Flensburg to Leek, and from Leck to Husum, there is only one place in -um, Bordel-um, and as this is on the right bank of the Tren, it may be considered as belonging to the true Frisian area; being its most western locality. houghly epeaking, the preponderating aigns of Frisian occupancy cease when we pass the Tren.

West of this line, and in the series of angular projections formed by the Eckernfjord, the Slie, the Flensborg Fjord, the Apenrade Fjord, and the Hadersleven Fjord, we expect to find even fewer Frisian names than we found in the centre of the peninsula. Yet such is not the case.
a. Between the Eckernfiord and the sea is an Orn-um, and a Schwastroum.
b. Between the Slie and the Fiensburg Fiord is a Wri-um, and a Brunghol-um.
c. Between the Flensburg and Apenrade Fiords is a Roll-um; though quite at the western (north-western) extremity.
d. Between the Apenrade and Hadersleven Fiords are Bod-um and Lyg-um; both on the eastern side.

In the parts necessary to fill up the vacancy, and comprise the centre of North Sleswick, along with a part of South Jutland, bounded by lines drawn from Leck to Flensburg, from Flensburg to Kolding, from Kolding to Ripe, from Ripe to Töndern, and from Töndern to Leck, we have a few Frieian forms-Selli-um-hauge, on the south-east of Kolding, and opposite the isle of Fyen, being the most eastern ; bouth of which, and also near the sea, is Stubb-um.

It is scarcely necessary to say that the distribution of the Frisian forms is remarkable.

First, we have them to the exclusion of the Danish and PlattDeutsch ones.

Next, mixed with Danish forms in -by; and-

Thirdly, mixed with Platt-Deutech forms of different desoriptions.
The distribution, however, to as far as it has. hitherto gone, has applied to the Continent only, not to the islands.

In those of the North Sea, or those on the weestern side, it is as follows:
a. In Föhr (which from being central it is convenient to begin with) we have Duns-um, Utters-um, Hedehus-um, Vits-um, Niebel-um, Baldiks-um, Vreks-um, Oevens-um, Midl-um, Alkers-um, Borgs-um, Toft-um, Klint-um, Oldg-um, Dung-um,
b. In Sylt, Horn-um, Mors-um, Arkz-um, Keit-um, Tinn-um-all in the southern half of the island.
a. In northern Romö, Toft-um.
d. In Fañ̈, none.
e. f. g. In Amröm (to the south of Sylt), in Pelvorm, and in Nordstant, none. Here the names are Platt-Deutsch.

If we now look back upon the distribution of local names in the Cimbric peninsula, we shall find that-
a. There is a part purely Frisian, i.e., the parts between Töndern and Husum.
b. A part mixed with Danish, i.e., North Jutland.
c. A part mixed with Platt-Deutsch, i.e., Ditmarsh; and, besides these-
d. Parts where there is an internixture of differant degrees of complexity of Frisian, Danish, and Platt-Deutsch.

Now in the parts about Husum, i.e., the parts where the endings are most purely Frisian, the language is at the present moment Frisian-the North Frisian so-called. I bave heard it spoken, and, imperfectly spoken $i t$, myself this very year.

And in the islands of the North Sea, and many parts about it, there either is North Frisian, or, has been so, within the memory of man.

And in Eydersted and Ditmarah it has been so within the historical period.
Is this Frisian new or old? Have the populations who speak it encroached upon the other occupants of the peninsula or vice versa I The latter is the case. Some of the ressons for this statement have already been given. They applied, however, only to the relations between the Low Germans and the Frisians. Those of the Danes require further notice.
I. The North Frisian language is no recent introduction.-a. It falls into numerous dialects and sub-dialects. For the islands
alone Clemens enumerates three, the Sylt, the Amröm, and the Föbr. On the continent, each parish has its peculiar variety. Some of these arise from intermixture of Danish and German; but many are quite independent of anything of the sort.
b. It is notably different from the Frisian of Holland. The two forms, though mutually intelligible, are not very easily understood.
c. It is more like the Heligoland, than it is to the East or West Frisian. This wonld not be the case if the colony were of recent origin, unless we suppose that it was sent out from that small island. If the two dialeots represented colonies from some common portion of the continent they would be more alike than they are.
II. The compounds in um are all old names.-a. They are never attached to such words as tjerke=church, \&c.
b. Few ( I am afraid to say no) Frisian terminations are attached to Danish or German words. On the contrary, many complea Danish and German compounds are formed from simpler Frisian ones.
MII. The Danish has encroached upon the Frisian ever since the beginning of the historical period. No instance of the reverse has been recorded.

The evidence of the North Frisian having once been continuous with the Frisian of Friesland and Westphalia, is satisfactory, the displacement of it having taken place within the historical period; and its hiatory is to be found in that of East Friesland, Oldenburg, Delmenhorst, and Bremen.

Can we carry the Frisian as far as the Islands of the Baltic 1 In Fyen, and in Sesland, there are one or two names in -um.

There is one direction, however, in which we may not carry it ; or, rather, there is one direction in which we must be careful not to carry it too far. This is that of the south-eadern parts of the Sleswick peninsula. The oldest occupants here were Slavonians; and the parts between Hamburg and Kiel, the Isle of Femern, the Isle of Alsen, and the opposite coast, must be considered as Slavonic in the first instance, Low German in the second, and Low German and Danish together in the third.

The further extent of the original Frisian occupancy, the eharacteristics of the Frisian tongue, and the relations of that tongue to Scan dinavian, are considered in Epilegomena, § Фpaiat.

[^37]一the Frisii (as here) and the Bructeri ; each falling into two divisions вo named.

Probably, this denotes that either from migration or conquest, the continuity of the original area has been broken, and that whilst the majores represent the main stock, the minores form the outlying portion.

Neither name (notwithstanding the present text) necessarily denotes size, since the great nation of the Visigoths was called Gothi minores.

Populations other than German are so divided, e.g., the Scordisci.
XXXV. Hactenus in occidentem Germaniam novimus. In septemtrionem ingenti flexu redit. Ac primò statim Chaucorum gens,' quamquam incipiat a Frisiis, ac partem litoris occupet, omnium, quas exposui, gentium lateribus obtenditur, donee in Chattos usque sinuetur. Tam immensum terrarum spatium non tenent tantùm Chauci, sed et implent: populus inter Germanos nobilissimus, quique magnitudinem suam malit justitiâ tueri : sine cupiditate, sine impotentiâ, quieti secretique, nulla provocant bella, nullis raptibus aut latrociniis populantur. Idque precipuum virtutis ac virium argumentum est, quòd, ut superiores agant, non per injurias adsequuntur. Prompta tamen omnibus arma, ac, si res poscat, exercitus: plurimum virorum equorumque : et quiescentibus eadem fama.

## NOTE ON RBOTION XXXY.

${ }^{1}$ Chaucorum gens.]-The Ch, probably, represents the guttural ch of the Germans, as in auch, noch. In Greek it is X.

That one of the letters $c$ is aspirated is nearly certain. The only form where the $h$ or its equivalent is wholly wanting, is in some MSS. (Kaũxoı) of Strabo.

The forms with the first $e$ aspirated (Chauci, Xaükor) are to be found in Pliny, Tacitus, Suetonius.

- Those with the second $c$ aspirated (Cauchi, Kaūxol) occur in Velleius Paterculus, Spartianus, Ptolemy.
Dion Cassius has both forms Xavexes and Kaüxoc.
Lucan and Claudian divide the vowele and make them trigyllabio. This division of the vowela is of some importance in the history of ethnological conjecture, since it brings the forms Caÿci and Caüci to a resemblance with the K ooū $\kappa$ oo of Strabo, and then with the Chabilci.

The Chauci fell into two divisions-the Chauci minores between the Ems and Weser, the Chanci majores between the Weser and Elbe.

It is safe to identify them with the Hoc-ingas of the Traveller's Song and Beowulf-the termination -ing being a patronymic, the -as the sign of the plural number, and the $c h$ in Chavci equivalent to $h$ in the same way that $C h=H$ in Chatti and Hesse.

It is safe, too, to consider the Chauci as members of the Frisian section of the Gothic stock.

In the battle of Finnesburh, Hnof, the eponymus of the Hanoverians, the son of Finn, the son of Folewalda, has, as his queen, Hildeburg, the Hoc-ing. I do not consider that this gives us anything historical. All that it does is to connect the Chauci and Friozi (Hoc-ings and Prisians) by certain political relations; and carry the area of their legendary localities as far as Hanover and Hildesheim.

Considerable difficulties are involved in the statement that the Chauci extended as far as the frontier of the Chatti ; difficulties tarning upon the relationship between the Old Saxon and the AngloSazon languages.

If we join the Chauci and Chatti, we do one of two things; we either-
a. Disconneot the country of the Old-Sazons of Westphalia from that of the Anglo-Sazons : or else wem
b. Enclose two such important populations as the Old Saxons and Anglo-Saxons within too small an arean

Two other pointa connected with the ethnography of the Chauci atill stand over.

1. The discrepancy between Tacitus and Pliny as to their physioal and political condition. What Tacitus says may be seen in the text. It is much the same as Velleius Paterculus had said before :-"Recepten-Cauchorum nationes; omnis corum juventus, infinita numero, immensa corporibus, situ locorum tutissima, traditis armis . . ante imperatoris procubuit tribunal."

Pliny's evidence, however, differs :-"Sunt in septemtrione vism nobis Chaucorum (gentes) . . . . . Vasto ibi meatu, bis dierum noctiumque singularum intervaliis, effusus in immensum agitur Oceanus, seternam operiens rerum naturm controversiam; dubiumque terrm sit, an parte in maris. Illic misera gens tumulos obtinet altos aut tribunalis structa manibus ad experimenta altissimi æstus, casis ita impositis, navigantibus simıles, cum iutegant aque circumdata, nanfragis vero, cum recesserint: fugientesque cum mari pisces circa tuguria venantur. Non pecudem his habere, non lacte ali, ut finitimis, ne cum feris quidem dimicare contingit, omni procul abacto frutice. Ulva et palustri junco funee nectunt sd prootexenda piscibus retia : captumque manibus lutum ventis magis quam sole siccantes, terra cibos et rigentia septerntrione viscera sua urunt. Potus nonaisi ex imbre servato scrobibus in vestibulo domus. Et he gentes, si vincantur hodie a populo Romano, servire se dicunt. Ita est profecto : multis fortuna parcit in poenam."-xvi. 1.

The explanation of this difference in the way of testimony, lies in the likelihood of the Chauci of the lowest fen levels, exposed to malaria, exposed to inundations, and exposed to piracy, being a miserable race as compared with those of the higher and more inland country; a view which reconciles both statements. But it also sup* plies a reason against carrying the Chauci too far inland. Probably, the Confederation was wider than the nation.

In the more marshy parts of Eyderated, Ditmarsh, and Sleawick, the reclaimed lands, with their embankments, are called Koge. This is, possibly, the Chauc- in Chauci. If so, the Koge were the lands of the Hoc-inge, and Tacitus has given us the name of the country rather than of the people, the Germans that of the people rather than the land. This, again, is \& reason againat carrying the area of the Proper Chauci too far inland.
XXXVI. In latere Chaucorum Chattorumque, Cherusci ${ }^{1}$ nimiam ac marcentem diu pacem illacessiti nutrierunt: idque jucundius, quàm tutius fuit: quia inter impotentes et validos falsò quiescas: ubi manu agitur, modestia ac probitas nomina superioris sunt.

Ita qui olim " boni æquique Cherusci," nunc " inertes ac stulti" vocantur: Chattis victoribus fortuna in sapientiam cessit. Tracti ruinâ Cheruscorum et Fosi, ${ }^{\text { }}$ contermina gens, adversarum rerum ex æquo socii, cùm in secundis minores fuissent.

## notsa on bection xixpl.

${ }^{1}$ Cherusci.]-The first great fact in the history of the Cherusci is, that they were the confederstes of Arminius, and the conquerors of Varus.

The next is that they withstood the aggressions of their own countrymen as steadily as they did those of Rome.

The Cherusci are mentioned by Ceesar, and mentioned as the hereditary enemies of the Suevi. The Cherusci, too, it was who first checked the conquests and consolidations of Maroboduus.

We may look upon the Cherusci* as the heads of a great confederation, not only on the strength of their history, but on the evidence of ancient writers, e.g., Strabo, oi Xnpō̂axos kal oi roútuy tuxíкoot -Tscitus, "Cherusci, saciique corvm."

If so, the import of the name may fluctuate, and sometimes mean a particular people, sometimes serve as a collective designation, inoluding several such smallor divisions. This assumption eases many difficulties-perhaps, indeed, it is absolutely necessary. We hear so continually of great nations, like the Chatti, Cherusci, Sigambri and others, being conterminous, that, if we take the texts wherein such notices occur literally, we leave no room for several minor nations or tribes.

Thus, in the present instance, there are special statements which bring the Cherusci--
c. As far south as the Chatti.
b. As far north as the Chauci.
c. As far west as the Sicambri.

What room does this leave for auch populations as the Chamavi, Angrivarii, Fosi, dre. 9 Little, if any; especially if we bring in

[^38]other pasasges which connect the previons populations with each other-eeg., there is the statement of Tacitus, that the Chauci and Chatti joined-" donec in Chattos usque sinuetur."

Considerations of this kind justify us in believing that, when certain great nations are apoken of as acting a conspicuons part in history, certain minor ones may be included in the general name.

Hence, I believe that when the Cheruaci are spoken of in general history, the Chamavi and Angrivarii are includedfin one of the two denominations; and the words are ueed in a political sense. The ethnological, and narrower sense of the words, occura only when the details of the geography or history require separation and specification.

The country of the Proper Chernscans was boonded on the west by the Angrivarii; for I suppose Engern, near Herford-the traditionary battle-field of the Arminian victory-to represent that namb.

To the south-west of the Angrivarii lay the Chamavi-Hamm being, again, supposed to retain their designation.

On the north-east we may probably carry the Proper Cheroscans as far as the Hartz. For this, however, see Epilegomenc, § Harudes.

It is now time to inquire whether the Cherusci and their allies represented an ethnological section of the Germanic populations as they, certainly, did a political one. The answer to this is in the affirmative. Without committing ourselves to the dootrine that the Cherusoan league exactly coincided with the Cheruscan form of the German language, we may eafely say that such was nearly the case. If eo, the Cherusci are of the same ethnological importance with the Frigians.

Of the Saxon division of the German dialecte as opposed to the Platt-Doutsch and High German, and of the Saxon nationalitien as opposed to the Frank, Alemannic, and Gothio, Lombard and Burgundian, the Cherusci are the southern representatives.

Of the Cherusci, in the wide sense of the term, the north and north-western members appear in the eighth oentury under the name of Old Saxons, this meaning the Saxons of the continent, or the mother-country, in opposition to the Sasons of England, or Anglo-Saxons.

If the Cherusci of Tacitus and the earlier writers be the Saxons of Bedas and later ones, how comes it that the one name never appears in the classical, and the other never in the German writers ? Coesar,

Strabo, Velleins Paterculus, all apeak of the Cherusci, and all say nothing about the Saxons. Ptolemy, as is well known, is the first writer who mentions them. On the other hand Claudian is the last writer in whom we find the word Cherusci.

> ——venit accola silve
> Bructerus Hercynim, latisque psludibus exit Cimber, et ingentes Albin liquere Cherusci.
> $\quad$ ConsuL. iv. Honor. 450.

As long as we have the Cherusci there are no Sazons. $\Delta s$ soon as we meet with the Saxons the Cherusci disappear.

If we wish to cat the Gordian knot, we can have recourse to the aspumption of migration and displacement-in which the Old Saxons cease to be the descendants of the Cherusci and their allies, and represent a new and intruave population as foreign to the old Cheruscan country of Germany as they were to that of the Britons. There are certain texts that encourage this view, e.g., the present notice of the fallen state of the Cherusci and Fosi is in favour of their being essily dieplaced and superseded by some more flourishing immigrants.

Valeat quantum. It only does half the business. It only extinguishes the Cherusci. The presence and preponderance of the Saxone it leaves unexplained.

The full import of this must be admitted.
a. The Saxons, which by assumption are sapposed to replace the Cherusci, cannot be got from the country of the Chatti. The Chatti were High Germans.
b. Nor yet from that of the Chauci. The majority of the Chauci were Frisians.
c. Nor yet from that of the Lower Rhine. The language here was Platt-Deutsch.
More than this-they could not have come from any small or inconsiderable country at all, from none of the nooks or corners between the Great Frisian, Platt-Deutsch, High German, and Slavonic areas. The differences between the Anglo-Saxon and Old Sazon dialects, show that the common language was apoken over a large tract of ground, and that for a considerable length of time.

The assumption of a Saxon immigration into the Cheruscan territory, is not only gratuitous, but it engenders as many diffioulties as it removes.

In ordinary cases I should resort at once to the supposition that the two names belonged to different languages, and repest the reasoning that applied to Chatti and Suevi. To do this, however, here, requires grave consideration: so good a case can be made out on both aides for the indigenous and native character of the name. Both Cherusci and Saxo seem to be German words-one ga German as the other.

Reasons, however, against admitting both to be German, and-
Reasons for choosing the former instead of the latter, Cherusci rather than Saxones, will be found in the Epilegomena, §§ Sazons and Harudes.
In the term Cherusci, in its wider sense, I include, as may partly be anticipated, the following populations :-

1. The Angrivarii.
2. The Chamari.
3. The Dulgibini.
4. The Fosi.
5. The Chasarrii.
*Fosi]-Probably occupants of the banke of the river Fonse, or the parts about the town of Celle.
XXXVII. Eumdem Germaniæ situm proximi Oceano Cimbri ${ }^{1}$ tenent, parva nunc civitas, sed gloriâ ingens: veterisque famæ latè vestigia ${ }^{2}$ manent, utrâque ripầ castra, ac spatia, quorum ambitu nunc quoque metiaris molem manusque gentis, et tam magni exercitûs fidem. Sexcentesimum et quadragesimum annum Urbs nostra agebat, cùm primùm Cimbrorum audita sunt arma, Cæcilio Metello ac Papirio Carbone consulibus. Ex quo si ad alterum Imperatoris Trajani consulatum computemus, ducenti ferme et decem anni colliguntur: tamdiu Germania vincitur. Medio tam longi ævi spatio, multa invicem damna. Non

Samnis, non Pœeni, non Hispanix, Galliæve, ne Parthi quidem sepius admonuere: quippe regno Arsacis acrior est Germanorum libertas. Quid enim aliud nobis, quàm cædem Crassi, amisso et ipse Pacoro, infra Ventidium dejectus Oriens objecerit? At Germani Carbone, et Cassio, et Scauro Aurelio, et Servilio Cæpione, Cn. quoque Manlio fusis vel captis, quinque simul consulares exercitus populo Romano, Varum, tresque cum eo legiones, etiam Cæsari abstulerunt : nec impanè C. Marius in Italiâ, divus Julius in Galliâ, Drusus ac Nero et Germanicus in suis eos sedibus perculerunt. Mox ingentes C. Cæsaris minæ in Iudibrium versæ. Inde otium, donec occasione discordiæ nostre et civilium armorum, expugnatis legionum hibernis, etiam Gallias affectavere: ac rursus pulsi inde, proximis temporibus triumphati magis quàm victi sunt.

## NOTRS ON BRCTION XXXVII.

${ }^{1}$ Cimbri]-A measure of the scantiness of eatisfactory evidence as to the Cimbro-Teutonic war may be collected from Niebuhr. For the defeat of Cm. Papirius Carbo, near Noreia, in 113 b.c., he quotes Appian and the Epitome Liviana ; for their actions with M. Junius Silanus, and M. Aurelius Scaurus, he regrets that Livy is wanted, and that a writer so late as Zonaras, is his best suthority. Florus, Eutropius, and Oroeius supply the next best data. All, however, derive their materials from Livy-himself a writer one hundred and fifty years after the event. But we may go farther than this, and by turning to the life of Mariue see the confusion into which Plutarch falls, and the speculation in which he indulges.
Beyond this lies the consideration of the writers anterior to the time of Livy. Valerius Antias is especially quoted by Orosius ; and, of all writers, Valerius Antiss is the least to be trusted.

The most naked statements of facts is as follows:-
A.D. 113.-The Cimbri defeat the consul Papirins Carbo, near Noreia in Styria.
A.D. 109-107.-The Cimbri, Tigurini, and Ambrones defeat
M. Junius Silanus, It Cassius Longinus, and M. Aurelius Scqurus, in Roman Gaul, st some place unknown.
A.D. 105. The same defeat $\quad$ Cn, Manlius and Q. Servilins Cæpio.
A.D. 102.-The Teutones are defeated by Marins near Aix in Provence.
A.D. 101.-The Cimbri, Tigurini, Ambrones, and Teutones ane defeated by Marins in the Tyrol.

But, as the general character of an historical transaction may be known even where the detsils are forgotten, there are still points upon which the great writers of the close of the republic may be consulted.

Now what did Cozar conaider their ethnological affinities to be ? Gallic. Sallust? Gallic Velleius Paterenlus? Gallic. It is only the later writers that carry their origin north of Gaul.

But the Teutomes are German at least. It is the same word as Deut-cch. The preliminaries to this question are to be found in not. in v. Germania.

It is an undoubted fact that writera as earily as Virgil, Lacan, Juvenal, and Martial, use the epithet Teutonious: and when they do so they mean after the fashion of Teutons.

But it is no undoubted fact that they mean thereby German. They mean of or belonging to the well.known enemy conquered by Marius, without defining the country of that enemy.

It is also an undoubted fact that writers of the tenth centary use the epithet Teutonicus as equivalent to Cerman, i.e., as another form of Theotisers.

This, however, is after (and not before) the word Thaotiscus has been used for Germanus.
In other words, the epithet Teutonicus, although really a derivative of Teutones, passea for anothar form of Theotiseus, or as a derivative from Theot- or Diot, and so becomes a name for the Germans, simply because Theotisci had been a name for them before.

But Theotici was no name for the Germans until the tenth centary, about one thousand years after the first use of the word Teuton.

To take a measure of the magnitude of this paralogism, let us suppose an advocate for the Belgic origin of the Lowland Scotch, to argue in the following manner:-Belg- and rulgare similar words; therefore the Vulgar tongue, and the Belgic
tongue are the same ; therefore the Belgce are Vulgares. This is no caricature. Mutatis mutandis, the argument alladed to runs-Teut-on and Dut-ch are similar words; therefore the Dutch tongue and the Teutonic tongue are the same; therefore the Toutones are Deutsche.

The doctrine of the present writer conoerning the ethnology of these two populations was laid before the Philological Society as far back as 1844 ; and the article in which it is exhibited, is re-printed at the end of the present volume, which supersedes the necessity of a long note.

The chiof addition that he would make to the quotations and references there found is the following extract from the Marmor Ancyranum:-" ombrieur bt charudis bt armnones be rivgdru TRAOTOA ALII GERMANORUM POPULI PER LEGATOS AMIGTLAM MEAM ET POPULI ROMAKI PETHERUST."

This, combined with the fact of a country oo far east as Styria, being the point whereon they fought their first batile, has suggested the possibility of their having been Gauls in the same way as the language of the Gothini was Gallic, f.e., not at all, but Slavonians instead; a fact which would well account for the difficulty of definitely fixing them in any part of Gallia.

Nay-they may be Germans. At any rate, if one of the two populations must be Gothic, the claim is the etrongest for the Cimbri-so utterly worthless is the argument from the word Deut-sch. The Cimbri are, at least, near enough the Semnones to be their allies; just as the Semnones were near enough the Germanic territory of Maroboduus to have belonged to his empire.

2 Veteris famas-vestigia.]-The disbeliever of the existence of either Cimbri or Teutones in Germany, sees in this statement merely an inference Certain monuments (perhapa Oraviöie, Ting-stene or other similar well-known antiquities of the socalled Cimbric Chersonese) required explanation. The Roman antiquaries (for it must be remarked that the text gives us no hint that this view was native) referred them to the populations in question.
XXXVIII. Nunc de Suevis ${ }^{1}$ dicendum est, quorum non una, ut Chattorum Tencterorumve, gens: majorem enim Germaniz partem obtinent, propriis adhuc nationibus nominibusque disereti, quamquam in commune Suevi vocentur. Insigne gentis obliquare crinem, nodoque substringere. Sic Suevi a ceteris Germanis: sic Suevorum ingenui a servis separantur. In aliis gentibus, seu cognatione aliquâ Suevorum, seu (quod sæpe accidit) imitatione, rarum et intra juvente spatium; apud Suevos, usque ad canitiem, horrentem capillum retro sequuntur, ac sæpe in ipso solo vertice religant : principes et ornatiorem habent: ea cura forme, sed innoxix. Neque enim ut ament amenturve; in altitudinem quamdam et terrorem, adituri bella, compti, at hostium oculis, ornantur.

## HOTE ON GNOTHOX ExXVIIL

${ }^{1}$ Suevis.]-The preliminaries to this note, are the note (on the Chatti) and the xv. section of the Prolegomena.

The Suevi of Trcitus lie east of those of Cresar, ince they nowhere reach the Rhize; in other words, the Suevi of Tacitus begin where those of Cæsar ended. This follows from the separation of Suevi from the Chatti-a separation not made by Cæsar. Tacitus requires two areas-one for the one population, the other for the other; Casar allows us to place both within the same.

The Suevi of Tacitus extended from the eastern frontier of the Chatti as far as the Elbe, at least; probably further.

As far as the Suevi of Tacitus coincide with the Hermunduri' and Chatti, they are German. Beyond this they are Slavonians.

The term Sucvioum mare, applied to a part of the Baltic, is referable to $\pm$ different origin than the Suevia=Suabia of southweatern Germany,--Vid. not. in v .

Sue also Epilegomena, § Suevi.
XXXIX." Vetustissimos se nobilissimosque Suevorum" Semnones ${ }^{3}$ memorant. Fides antiquitatis, religione firmatur. Stato tempore in silvam, augariis patrum et priscâ formidine sacram, omnes ejusdem sanguinis populi legationibus coeunt, cesoque publicè homine celebrant barbari ritûs horrenda primordia. Est et alia luco reverentia. Nemo nisi vinculo ligatus ingreditur, ut minor, et potestatem numinis prex se ferens: sì fortè prolapsus est, attolli et insurgere haud licitum : per humum evolvuntur: eóque omnis superstitio respicit, tamquam inde initia gentis, ibi regnator omnjum deus, cetera subjecta aqque parentia. Adjicit auctoritatem fortuna Semnonum: centum pagis habitantur: magnoque corpore efficitur, ut se Suevorum caput credant.

## TOLE ON BEGYXOK XXXIX.

1 Semnones.]-Velleius Paterculus makea the Semnones conterminous with the Hermunduri, from whom they are separated by the Elbe-wad Alumen Albim, qui Semnonum Hermundurorumque fines preterfluit,"-ii. 106.

For reasons for believing the Albis of Paterculus to be the Sasle, see p. 148.

This gives their western limit. In the east Ptolemy carries them $\mu^{\prime} \times$ pe toü Zowntov morapoü, and makes them conterminous with the



Now Silingi=Silesia.
If so the area of the Semnones Was, as near as possible, the present country of Saxony : and of the Slavonians of that country, I believe them to have been the Slavonic ancestore.

Strabo mentions the Semnones amongst the pubjects of Marobo-


At the begioning of the historical period of the populations between the Saale and Elbe, the chief nation is that of the Sorabi, a
name which appears as Surabi, Suurbi, Siurbi, Surpe, Surfe, Surbi, Urbii, the country being Surabia and Suirbia.
This name is native and Slavonic, as we learn from such forms as $Z r i b-i n$ and $Z i r b-i n$; the $-n$ being the adjectival affix.

It is a native name of great generality, since it represents the same root as the $\Sigma_{\text {woop- }}$ in the name $\Sigma_{\text {xdopos, }}$ applied by Procopius to the south-eastern Slavonians, and the $S$-rv in Servia, the Xifotin the Effphoo of Constantine Porphyrogenita.

The atill-existing Slavonians of Upper Lusatia call themselvee Srbje.
But that they extended as far west as the Saale, is shown by the following extract, one out of many similar. "Sorabi Sclavi, qui campos inter Albim et Salam interjacentes incolunt, in fines Thurin. gorum et Saxonum, qui eis erant contermini, predandi causs in-gressi."-Ann. Einh. ad an. 782, Pertz i. 163.
The Surpe were known to Alfred.
The Sorabian Slavonic language was spoken in Leipsic till A.D. 1327.—Sohaffarik, p. 480.

In geographical, or else in political continuity, with the Sorabian Slavonians were the Daleminci, the Siusti, the Milcieni (for the parts about Bautzen), the Lusici (of Lasatis), and to the south-east the
 ane, and in the present Schlicsen=Siletians.
Such seem to have been the descendants of the Semnones and the more eastern Suevi of Tacitus.
XL. Contra Langobardos ${ }^{*}$ paucitas nobilitat: plurimis ac valentissimis nationibus cincti, non per obsequium, sed proeliis et periclitando tuti sunt. Reudigni ${ }^{4}$ deinde, et Aviones, ${ }^{3}$ et Angli, ${ }^{4}$ et Varini, ${ }^{5}$ et Eudoses, ${ }^{6}$ et Suardones, ${ }^{7}$ et Nuithones, ${ }^{8}$ fluminibus aut silvis muniuntur: nee quidquam notabile in singulis, nisi quod in commune Herthum, ${ }^{9}$ id est, Terram matrem colunt, eamque intervenire rebus hominum, invehi populis, arbitrantur. Est in insulâ ${ }^{10}$ Oceani ${ }^{14}$ ca-
stum nemus, dicatum in eo vehiculum, veste contectum, attingere uni sacerdoti concessum. Is adesse penetrali deam intelligit, vectamque bobas feminis multâ cum veneratione prosequitur. Læti tunc dies, festa loca, quæcumque adveutu hospitioque dignatur. Non bella ineunt, non arma sumunt, clausum omne ferrum; pax et quies tunc tantùm nota, tunc tantùm amata, donec idem sacerdos satiatam conversatione mortalium deam templo reddat: mox vehiculum et vestes, et, si credere velis, numen ipsum secreto lacu abluitur. Servi ministrant, quos statim idem lacus haurit. Arcanus hine terror, sanctaque ignorantia, quid sit id, quod tantùm perituri vident.

## NOTES ON GBOTION XI.

${ }^{1}$ Langobardos.]-"Longobardos valgo ferunt nominatos a prolixá eat, Jongobardos ab intactise ferro barbes longitudine, cum primitus Winili dicti fuerint, ita postmodum appellatos; nam juxta illorum linguam lang longam bart barbam significat."-Paul Diacon, i. 9.

This is the etymology which was first received, and which is, perhaps, moat generally credited. I do not know who first suggeated the idea that the -bard in Lango-bard was the bart in hal-bert and part-izan, the name of warlike weapons; but in such a case, the Langobardi are not the Long-beards bat the Halberdiers.

In the choice between these etymologies, it must be remembered, that of the two, the former was particularly likely to mislead a writer in the Latin language, on account of the similarity between the Latin barba and the German bart.

Again, it must be remembered that, in Beowulf and the Traveller's Song, we meet with the compound Heapo-beardan; heapo-being a prefix adapted to a warlike weapon, but not to a beard.

The habit of the Chatti crinem barbamque summittere (see § xxxi.), has been quoted in favour of translating bart by beard. In my mind, it goes the other way: since, if the habit of letting the beard grow were common amongst so large a population as the Chatti, the Lombard habit would have been the rule rather than the exoep-
tion, and, as such, have failed in attracting potice, or developing a name.

Ptolemy's notice of the populations whose name end in -bard (and in Ptolemy there are two such) introduces a difficulty.
He first places Langobardi (Aayyotápdou) west and sonth of the Anglinn Suevi (Kouifon oi 'Ayrainot), these latter being on the Middle Elbe.
Afterwards he places AuxxoEápoor between the Chauci Majores and the Suevi ; conterminous with the Angrivarii and Dulgubini ( $\Delta$ ovi yoú $\mu v i o r$ ).

This complication may, possibly, appear unimportant; so that the inquirer may, perhaps, think himself juatified in disposing of it at once by assuming either an error in the reading, or an oversight in the author. Possibly, this view is right. Nevertheless, it is by no means necessarily so. The word in question is a compound, of which the qualifying element comes first. Hence, it is far from impossible that whilst Langobay di means men with bards (beards or halberts, as the case may be) of one sort, Lakkobardi may mean men with bards (beards, sc.) of another. True it is that the elements Lang- and Lakk-are suspiciously alike; neither can any satisfactory meaning be given to the latter word. Nevertheless, the inference of their being the same word is far from condusive. Compound words may be alike and yet different ; as are Wessex and $E_{\text {ssexx }}$.

Zeuss gives a full, perhaps an excesbive, import to this differenoe, considering that the Lakkobardi were not only the subsequent conquerora of Italy under Alboin (which the Langobardi were not) but that Ptolemy knowingly and intentionally distinguished between the two-"Diese Zovø̄bo können also nicht mit den Langobarden, den Eroberern Italiens, verwechselt werden; Ptolemmus selbst, scheint es, will sie unterachieden wissen, dass er diese, die schon in getrennten Sitzen aufgestellt sind, obwohl ihr name derselbe ist, auch verschieden $\Lambda$ aksotápóo benennt" "-p. 95.

Again-"Mit den Koup̃foc Aaryofápioc dea Ptolemmus dürfen nicht verwechselt werden ョeine Aaxkobáp $\delta o t, \& c . "$-p. 109.

It is doubtful, however, whether Ptolemy's own text requires this distinction to be thus atringently insisted on, i.e., if we take the Angri-varii to be the centre for our inquiries, and admit Engern to represent their locality.-See § xxxiii.

Thus - a. The Suevi Langobardi are conterminous with the Bructeri Minores (Bovaristspor of $\mu$ ukpoi) and the Sigambri, Of
these, they lie to the south, and a very little extension weatwards will carry their frontier up to that of the Angri-varii.
b. Now it is the Angrivarii which the Aarx68apion succeed: the Angrivarian area being the only one which separates the two Langobards.
Still both the interruption and the difference of form must be taken as they are found; and explained rather than denied.

In Staffordshire, and many other parts of England, ayllables onding in -ing, are pronounced ingk. Suppose this to have been the case with some dialect in Germany, from which the notice of a people called Langobardan was derived. The sound would then be Langk-o-bardan. To a Greek no way of spelling this would be more natural than by $-x x$; since it was by $-\gamma \gamma$ o that he already spelt the sound of $-n g$.

The Langobardi of Velleius are easentially those of Ptolemy, i.e., Northern Germans-" Recepter Chaucorum nationes...fracti Langobardi, gens etiam Germana feritate ferocior; denique... usque ad flomen Albim. Romanus cum aignis perductus exercitus." -ii. 106.
So are those of Tacitus-although they follow the Suevi in the order of description, they are connected with the undoubtedly northern Angli, \&c.

It is safe, then, to say that the Langobard area was either discontinuous and interrapted, or else excoedingly sinuous and irregular in outline.
It is not oo easy to account for this.
a. If it were certain that $b-r d=b e a r d$; and-
b. If it were also certain that the length of beard was a characteristic of the Chatti, it would be fair to consider them as an intrasive, conquering, immigrant portion of that people-i.e., High Germans within the Saxon area.

But as neither of these points is certain, the relations of the Langobards are uncertain also.

They may either be intrusive or fragmentary.
a. Intruaive.-If they be this, the population from which they originated may be either the High Germanic Chatti, or the Low German Sicambri.
b. Fragmentary,-If this, they may represent Saxons whose area has been encroached on.

They may be many other things besides.

The ovidence of Tacitus makes them a small nation. Now there is the shade of an objection to this. Helmoldus (i. 26) mentions the Bardi; whilst in the neighbourhood of Lünebarg, so far north and east as even the Elbe, there is a district called Barden-gowe, and a town called Barden-wik.

Account for the element Bard- by supposing the Lango-bards to have given the name, and the nation becomes a large one ; so large as to reach from Engern to Luineburg. Good writers-perhapa the best-have done this. Fet the termination bard alone, minus the prefix, scarcely seems to warrant the inference.

Far more important is the question as to the relation which the Langobards who conquered Italy, and gave their name to Lombardy, bore to these northern Langobarde-the neighbours of the Angrivarii and Angli, but this is the subject of a separate notice.*

2 Reudigni.]-See note in 7 . Nuithones. The same error which Tacitus is supposed to have made with the Nuithones, he is supposed to make with the Reudigni. He mistakes the firat letter of their names. Reudigni, eccording to Zeuss, is for Teut-igni, or Teutingi. But these Teutings are not exactly the Teuf-ones, but the Teutonarii;

 Teqútovec ral Aüapжo.

Of the three assumptions here, the last two are legitimate.
That the combination-igni is the patronymic or gentile form -ing, so that Reudigni=Reudingi=the Reudings, is highly probable.
That the patronymic form in -ing, can take the place of the termination -woere is shown by the forms Kent-ing-as=men of Kent, as compared with Cant-ware= inhabitante of Kent.

But the likelihood of Tacitus, who has hitherto given all his names in an unexceptionable form, blundering, when he begins to blunder, in two names out of six, is, to say the least, doubtful.
${ }^{3}$ Aviones.]-These are considered to be the cutters; their name being derived from the verb hauan. By the exact term Aviones they are not mentioned elsewhere. Ptolemy, however, has as one of the tribes of the Cimbric Chergonosus the Kotavòal. This name is identified with Aviones by two processes:-

1. Kobavo-, is the participle of the verb hawan.

[^39]2. The Kotavoo of Ptolemy=the Xaintor of Strabo=the Aviones of Tacitus.

This, the identification of the Aviones with Kofavoof-not the derivation from hauan-i probsble; the more so ss one of the Greek forms of Chamavi is Xapabof.

See Epilegomena, \& Obii.
4Angli]-See Epilegomena, §§ on the Saions, on the Angli, and on the Angli of Thuringia
s Varini.]-The probable locality of the Varini is the parks about Grabow and Warnow, on the river Eldene, an eastern feeder of the Elbe, and the course of the river Warnow.

This notice of the geographical relations of the Varini is important; since they supply some of our scanty data for the position of the Angle area, anterior to the respective migration of that important family.

The proposed locality assumes that the Varini of Tacitus occupied the same country as the Wamabi, Warnavi, or Warnahi of Adam of Bremen and certain writers of the twelfth century. A Mecklenburg charter of A.D. 1185, contains the following passage:-"Silva, qua distinguit terras Havelliere, scilicet et Muritz, eandem terram quoque Muritz et Fepero cum terminis suis ed terram Warnowe ex utraque parte fluminis quod Eldene dicitur usque ad castrum Grabow."

Again, in a charter A.D. 1189:-"Distinguit tandem terram Möritz et Veprouwe cum omnibus terminis suis ad terram que Warnowe vocatur, includens et terram Warnonwwe cum terminis suis ex utraque parte fluminis quod Eldena dicitur, ueque ad castrum quod Grabow numcupatur."

This is the first mention we have of the Varini of Mecklenburg in the middle ages. For the semi-classical times, we have notices of Warni in Jornandes and Procopius.

But whether these Warni be the same as the Farini, is considered is Epilegomenas § Varni.

Were the Varini of Tacitus Germanic or Slavonic 1 The following facts are in favour of their being Germanic:-

1. The evidence of Tacitus.
2. Their worship (if real) of the same goddeas as the Angl: worehipped.

> * See Epilegomeno, § Angli.

In favour of a Slavonic affinity are :-

1. Their Slavonic charactar at the time they were first described from personal knowledge.
2. The absence of any traces of a previous Germanic population in the area occupied by them.

In other words, it is a certain fact that in the twelfth century the Warnavi were Slavonic, whilst the belief that the Varini were German, is a reasonable, but not unexceptionable, inference.

Probably, they were a frontier population.
${ }^{6}$ Eudoses.]-One of the tribes of the Cimbric Chersonese in Ptolemy's list, is that of the Govvoration. Here Ptolemy is wrong and $^{\text {a }}$ Tacitus right. Eudoses is the same word an Фovvóvercoc, minus the $\Phi$ and $\nu$. Such is Zeusa's view. To justify the first changes he quotes the similar (supposed) mistake, on the part of Ptolemy, in the word Фapódevous See note on Suardones.

The second is defended-and that reasonably-by the forms
 which the $v$ is, undoubtedly, an improper interfix.

See Epilegomena, § Phundusii.
7 Suardones]-See note on Eudoses.
This word is considered by Zeusa to be derived from the MosoGothic zraird, Angla-Saxon soveord=svord, just as Saxon from saha =knife. Hence, Tacitus's name is the correct one. On the other hand Ptolemy places after the Saxons, and on the river Chalusus ( $\mu$ evà $\delta e$


Now the Фapoo- of $\Phi$ apodsivol, is, according to Zeuse, the Suardof Suardones. I am not prepared either to deny or affirm this.
${ }^{8}$ Nuithones.]-Zeus's reasoning upon this word is remarkable, but unsatisfactory. By an elaborate serief of combinations he derives his own name from it. He assumes:-

1. That by the Nuithones Tacitus means the Teutones, the $t$ being changed intor. "Aus Deutschland selbst geben Plinius und Ptolemæus noch die formen Teutoni, Teutones, aber auch schon Tacitus Nuithones (=Niuthones) mit den wurzelhaftem $n$, wie Nerthas."
2. That Ciuuari,* a remarkable, and hitherto unexplained, form in a document called the Wessobrunner Manuscript, is the same as
[^40]Ziuvari; of which the second element is the word ware=inhabitants, and the first the root Teut-, with the first $t$ changed, and the latter ejected. Of these three changes it is only the second that is, etymologically, objectionable. The decomposition of the word into -vare +a prefixed noun is almost certainly correct. The change from $t$ to $z$ is nothing more than what the difference between a High German and Low German form leads us to expect. The ejection of the second $t$, and the connection thereby effected with the root Teut is illegitimate.
3. That the Old High German proper names Zuto, Zuzo, and Zuzzo and the Frisian form Tuta are the same as Teut- in Teuton.
4. That $Z u z z o=Z u z 0=Z u t 0=T u t a=T e u t-$ in $T$ euton $=N u i t h-$ in Nuithones=Zeuss :-"Und dann ist auch der familienname Zeuss in neuer form der alte name $?^{\prime \prime}$ When a man is investigating the etymology of his own name we must allow him more than usual latitude.
${ }^{9}$ Herthum.]-Another reading is Nerthum, and that in good MSS.
Neverthelesa, the probability of a form in $h$ being preferable is so great, as, perhaps, to justify us in assuming it to be the right one.

The words Terram matrem, when compared with our own word earth, the Anglo-Saxon eorpe, the Old High German erdu, the McesoGothic airthus almost force upon us the reading Herthum.

As cautions, however, against disposing of the $N$ thus summarily, we have the following facts:-

1. The fact of there being no $H$ in any of the German equivalents to Terra.
2. The fact of there being in the Eddaic mythology a deity named Niördr.

And against the concluaion that, even if the reading be $h$, the goddess must necessarily be Hertha=Earth, is the existence of an Anglo-Saxon deity Hrepe, with different attributes.

Still I think Terra Mater=Mother Earth.
10 Insuld.]-Heligoland.
11 Oceani.]-The German Ocean. The name Felig-ö=holy isle favours this view.

The term Oceani does the same. Nevertheless, it is applied to the Baltic also.

So does the ondoubted Germanic occupancy of the island.
So do its relations to the Elbe and Weeser.
At the same time claims have been asterted for the Isle of Rugen in the Baltic.

Rugen is fall of sacred antiquities; and, at the beginning of the historical period, was, perhaps, more unequivocally a Holy Island than Heligoland, in fact, though not in name.

But at the beginning of the historical period, the rites, creed, and population were Slavonic.

Of course, by considering the Rugii of § 43 as Germanic, this objection is neatralized.

But I more than doubt whether this can be done.
As to the Reudigni, Aviones, Angli, Varini, Eudoses, Suardones, and Nuithones, collectively, we must remember, that, at the beginning of the historical period, the Slavonians of the Lower Elbe are found so far westwards at to make it donbtful whether the German frentier -the Northern Germano-Slavonic March - can be carried much farther eastward than the Hartz
Lauenburg, was the occupancy of the Polabi, a remarkable name. $P o=o n$ and $L a b a=A l b i s=$ the Elbe, the Slavonic form of that name. Hence the Polabi were to the Elbe as the Po-mo-rani of Pomerania to the bea ( $p_{0}=o n$, and more $=s e a$ ). Slavonic as this form was, it was adopted by the Germans; and became a hybrid word by means of the affix -ing - Po-lab-ingi, a word half German and half Slavonic in form, but wholly Slavonic in power.

Eastern Holstein was Wagrian; Aldenburg being the capital of the Slavonic Wagri.--" Henricus . . intravit Slaviam, percussit . . omnem terram Plunensem. Lutticienburgensem, Aldenburgensem, omsemque regionem, quas inchoat a rivo Sualen et clauditur mari Baltico et fiomine Trabena."-Helmold. i. 56.

Mecklenburg was the country of the Obotriti; Luneburg of the Linones, whose Slavonic tongue was extant till A.p. 1700. The details of the Slavonians of All-mark are obsoure, but as it is certain that Luchow and Danneberg in the north were Slavonic, and that southwards there were numerous Slavonians in the direction of Sazony, we may, provisionally, consider that a line drawn from Hamburg to Jena represents the Old Slavono-Germanic March, in it oldest form. Afterwards, the Sasle forms the boundary.

That the Varini were Slavonic is only likely. That the Angli were German is certain. Hence-

The Eudoses, \&c., come in with the latter rather than the former, and, on the ground of being what the $\Delta$ ngli were, are Germanic.
Such being the case, it is necessary to place their locality in the direction of Holstein and Sleswick northwards rather than in that of Luneburg and Mecklenburg to the north-east ; since the former is the direction of the German, the latter that of the Slavonic populations.

It is also necessary to place them on the North Sea rather than the Baltic, on account of Heligoland.

Hence, the majority of the tribes in question were probably the ancient occupants of the western parta (the eastern being Slavonic) of Sleswick-Holstein; a population divided between the AngloSaxon and the North-Frisian sections, and a population more or less represented by the Nordalbingians of the eighth century.

Saxonum populus quidam, quos claudit ab austro Albia sejunctim positos aquilonis ad axem.

Poëta Saxo, ad an. 798.
"Eat enim gens in partibus nostri regni Saxonum acilicet ot Fresonum commixta, in confinibus Nordmannorum et Obodritorum sita."-Ruodolfi Fuldens. Transl. S. Alexandri, Pertz ii. 677.

In the way of a more minute geography, these Nordalbingians were the people of Sturmar, Holstein, and Ditmarsh.-"Thiedmarsi, Holtsati, Sturmarii : transalbianorum Sazonum tres sunt popali: primi ad Oceanum Thiatmarsgoi (al. Thiedmarri), et eorum ecclesia Mildinthorp (al. Melindorp); secundi Holtati, dicti a silvis, quas incolunt, eos Sturia flumen interfluit, quorum ecclesia Sconenfeld; tertii, qui et nobiliores, Sturmarii dicuntur, eo quod seditionibus illa gens frequenter agitur. Inter quos metropolis Hsmmaburg capat extollit."一Adam. Brem. Hist. Ecci. c. 61. "Habet utique Hammenburgensis ecclesia preacriptos tarminos auæ parochim, altimam scilicet partem Saxonies, quee eet trans Albiam et dicitur Nordalbingie, continens tres populos, Tethmarsos, Holsatos, Stormarios." Helmold. Chron. Slavor. i. 6. "A trritæ sunt vires Saxonum, et serviorunt Cruconi sub tribato, omnis terra videlicet Nordalbingorum, qua disterminatur in tree populos: Holzatos, Sturmarios, Thet-marchos."-Id. i. 26.

The river Bille divided these from the Slavonians of Lauenburg.
As Nordalbingi is a term denoting an attribute (i.e., geographical position) ; and Sturmar, Ditmareh, and Holstein geographical
terms, there is no difficulty in supposing that some of the names of the present text represent the ancestors of the Anglo-Saxons of Britain ; in other words, that they stood in the same relation to that section of the Germanic population as the Fosi and other minor nations grouped around the Cherusci, did to the Old Saxons.

Still the distribation of the North Frisians complicates the view.
See Epilegomena, § Angli.
XLI. Et hxe quidem pars Suevorum in secretiora Germanix porrigitur. Propior (ut quo modo paullo antè Rhenum, sic nunc Danubium sequar) Hermundurorum civitas,' fida Romanis, eóque solis Germanorum non in ripâ commercium, sed penitus, atque in splendidissimâ Rbætiæ provinciæ coloniâ: passim et sine custode transeunt; et cùm ceteris gentibus arma modò castraque nostra ostendamus, his domos, villasque patefecimus, non concupiscentibus. In Hermunduris Albis oritur,: flumen inclitum et notum olim ; nunc tantùm auditur.

## NOTES ON GROTION XLI

${ }^{2}$ Hermundurorum civitas.]-See pp. 66 and 149.

[^41]An additional reason for believing that, in the eyes of a German, the source of the Saale was the source of the Elbe, is to be found in the name of the latter river itself. The name $E l y=r i v e r ; ~ t h e ~$ Scandinavian equivalent to the German Fluse-a fact which shows either that the Frisian of the Lower Elbe was spoken in a form approaching the Norse, or else that the Norse itself was then spoken farther southwards than afterwards - "Albis flavius oritur in premdictis Alpibus, perque medios Gothorum populos currit in 0 ceanum, inde et Gothelba dicitur."-De Sit. Daniz, c. 229. This applies to the Swedish river Gotaelf.

Now as the name was German, and as it was given by the population of the lower part of the river, it is more likely that it was extended upwards to a German branch, like the Saale, than to a Slavonic one, like that which rises in Pohemia.

As Tacitus is now beginning with the Danube, up to which he brings the Hermunduri, the source of the Elbe must be in the more northern parts of the area of that population ; but as he also separates the Hermunduri from the Suevi, we must be careful against carrying the frontier too far in that direction.
XLII. Juxta Hermunduros ${ }^{1}$ Narisci, ${ }^{\text {q }}$ ac deinde Marcomanni ${ }^{3}$ et Quadi ${ }^{4}$ agunt. Præcipua Marcomannorum gloria viresque, atque ipsa etiam sedes, pulsis olim Boiis, virtute parta. Nec Narisci Quadive degenerant. Eaque Germaniz velut frons est, quatenus Danubio pergitur. Marcomamuis, Quadisque usque ad nostram memoriam reges manserunt, ex gente ipsorum, nobile Marobodui et Tudri genus: jam et externos patiuntur. Sed vis et potentia regibus, ex auctoritate Romanâ: rarò armis nostris, sæpius pecuniâ juvantur.

## NOTES ON GECTION XLIF.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hermunduros.]-The reasons for considering the name Hermunduri a compound word, are numerous and satisfactory.

For the opinions as to the meaning of the term Hermurn, Pe pp. 47-49.

The root dur- re-appears in the Tevp-so-xaijas of Ptolemy; a compond of Teur- and heim=home; just as Boio-hemum=the home of the Boii, All this is pointed out by Zeuss, who expreasly вays that Hermun-duri is evidently a compound (augenscheinlich compositum) and also that the Tevpioxairuat of Ptolemy means the same people.

The identification of both forms with the modern form Thuringen (Thur-ingia), is equally probable. The -ing is the gentile or patronymio affix-consequently no part of the original word.

The change from $d$ to $t$, which occurs between Tacitus and Ptolemy, occurs in the more modern forms also; Durinc, $=$ Tur-ing, being the Old High German word.

This justifies us in considering the population, whose name appears as the second element of the word Hermun-duri, as the occupants of the parts between the Werra and the Saale ; or the present district of Thuringia, wherein we find a Tor-gau.

All this is confirmed by the following observations of Zeuss After the Marcomannic war, in which they took part, the Hermunduri disappear. Suevia, which, in the Roman maps, fills up the country between the Bructeri and Alemanni, in its eastern parts, represents their country. Jornandes, who mentions them but once, does so in a loose and general way, and evidently on the anthority of older writers. Speaking of the Vandals of the first half of the fourth century, he says-" "Erant namque illis tunc ab oriente Gothi, ab occidente Marcomanni, a septentrione Hermunduri, a meridie Hister, qui et Danubius dicitur."-C. 22. From this time forwards, history knows no Hermunduri, but, from the fifth centary downwards, Toringi, Thoringi, and Thuringi, in their stead. That the Thuringlans are in nowise a different people from the early Hermunduri, can be safely admitted, since we discover neither how so considerable a population as the latter, should have been lost, nor whence such a one as the former could have originated. Besides which, the later writers always place the Thuringians at the beck of the Franks and Alemanni, and between them and the Saxons; this being the original country of the Hermun-duri.

Upon the locality of the Hermundorum civitas, I can throw no light.
The extent given in the text to the area of the Hermunduri requires notice. Taoitus brings them as far south as the Danube.

This is much beyond the limite of the preeent Thuringia. More than this, it is beyond the Teuptoxā̈дar of Ptolemy. Nevertheless, for reasons given in the Epilegomena, I think the extension highly probable.

If so, the country of the Hermun-duri was the greater part of Thuringia, plus the valley of the Naab.

The complement to this note are Epilegomena, § Oxtrogoths, and the next note.

2 Narisci.]-The Fichtelgebirge, in its western extension, is the water-shed to the Saale and the Naab-north and south ; the Saale belonging to the system of the Elbe, the Naab to that of the Danube. Along with the valley of the Naab, that of the Regen should be considered ; the Regen being the atream nearest the mountain-frontier of Bohemia

The present names of the geographical localities for the system of these two rivers, are almost wholly German-almost, but not quite. Slavonic forms appear occasionally, incressing slightly as we approach Bohemia.

The German dialect, to which the German names of geographical localities (as far as it is not an over-refinement to refer them to one dialect more than another) are mostly referable, is the High German of Bavaria

Slavonic names occur even weat of the Naab; though rarely.
Patting all this together, I infer-
a. From the existence of Slavonic names at all, an early Slavonic occopancy.
b. From the paucity of them, an early displacement of such occupants.
c. From the forms in $p$, the Alemannic origin of the last invaders. Mark the word last.

For accomplishing the change from Slavonic to German, the date of the chief Alemannic conquesta is full early enough.

But it by no means follows that, because Germans of the Alemannic type conquered a country, originally Slavonic, in the third, fourth, or fifth centuries, they must have been the first Germans who did so. Earlier encroachers upon the Slavonians of the Naab and Regen may have proceeded from the parts to the north-from Thuringia. A Hermunduric conquest in the firt, is perfectly compatible with an Alemannic in the fifth century.

I believe such to have been the case. The previous occupancy of the valley of the Naab (at least) by Germans anterior to the invasion of the present Bavarians, is necessary to account for their presence on the Danube, in the second, third, and fourth centuries : besides which the present text requires it.
The prosent text also requires that they should be either Hermunduri, or elosely allied to them.

The reasons for believing the Hermunduri to have belonged to a different section of the Germans (indeed to have been the chief branch of the Mosso-Goths) will be found in the sequel.*

Whether the Narisci were Slavonians like their neighbours on the east. or Germans like their neighbours on the south, is, notwithstanding the text, an open question.

As Narisei, we have no further specific ethnological information about them.

If. however, we allow the Oivapsorol of Ptolemy to be the same people, we get a second notice of them; a notice, however, which adds nothing to our knowledge; merely doing what is done by Tacitus, i.e, placing them next to the Hermunduri (Tevpeoxaipac).

To get any new facts, we must go further still. Let the word Warasci=$=\mathbf{V}$ vapactof $=$ Narisci.
For the French districts of Jura and Doubs, on the banks of the river from which the latter takes its name, we have the following notice:-"Eustasius ad Luxovium regressus est. Deinde ad Warascos, qui partem Sequanorum provincies et Duvii amnis fluenta ex utraque ripa incolunt, pergit."-Vita S. Salaberge.

This speaks ouly to the people. The following, however, goes further, and gives us the hypothesis as to their origin:-"Pergit (sc. Eustasius) progrediens Warescos ad fidem Domini nostri Jesu Christi convertit, qui olim de pago, qui dicitur Stadevanga, qui situs est circa Regnum flumen, partibus Orientis fuerant ejecti, quique contra Burgundionea pugnam inierunt, sed a primo certamine terga vertentees, dehinc advenerunt, atque in pugnam reversi, victores quoque effecti, in eodem pago Warescorum consederunt."-Vita S. Ermenfredi.

There is nothing improbable in this; the river Regnum being considered the Regen. I have not, however, been more successful than Zeuss in finding such as name as Stadevanga on any of the mapa

[^42]The nearest approach to it is a compound of -vang on the Bavarian and Wurtemburg frontier.

A shade of evidence in favour of the original Narisci having been Slavonic, is to be got from the confusion between the form in -scand that in ast-; the identity being granted.

A Sclavonic affinity would best account for this ; since, buch a form might end in -ritah (Naritat), a ayllable which containa both the $t$ out of which -st-, and the $8 / 2$ out of which -sc- might be developed. Nay 1 such an ending as even -ishtsh would be nothing unusual in Slavonic. No German form exists which gives us an equally probable origin of the two forms. In that language it would be either -idt to the exclusion of the sound of $t$, or ist to the exclusion of that of $k$; neither of which would be anfficiently strange to a Roman or Greek ear to be mistaken for the other, or, indeed, for anything else. Very different, however, would be the case with the complex Slavonic sibilants.

A soand like the $c h$ in chest (tch), was a strange sound to the countrymen both of Tacitus and Ptolemy ; and (more than this) it was just the sound which one writer might represent by -sk, and another by ast.

Their position as colonists in Burgundy is compatible with either affinity : though, perhaps, it favours the German.

Dion's notice of the Naristce is-Kai oi Napıotal tadautapprodyres
 lexi.

As $7 \tilde{\eta} \hat{\eta} \eta \mu e t t p q$ may apply to any portion of Roman Gaul, this passage may give us the origin of the Warasci.

Again-as a more guess, I suggest the probability of their representing some of those intrusive members of the kingdom of Arionistus who appropriated a third of the land of the Sequani, as related by Cmar.

- Marcomanni.]-The remarks of Prol. xvii. are the necessary preliminaries to this note: indeed, to a certain extent they stand in place of $i$ t.

The Marc-o-manni in question were those of the Tshekh or Bohemian March, and, I imagine, they extended from the valley of the Naab to Lower Austria ; their area following the line of the mountains that enclose the south, and south-west parts of Bohemia. To the foot of these the Marcoomanni were probably limited; since,
the mountain-fastnesses themselves were probably the residence of Slavorians.

I do not imagine that there was a second March in this quarter, i.e., one on the Roman (Rhetian, Viudelician, or Rhmoto-Vindelician) frontier. The Danube served instead.

Of course all this has the value of presumptive reasoning-no more.
4 Quadi.]-The areas of the Quadi seems nearly to have reached as far south as the present province of Lower Austris. This brings them to the Danube, as the text of Tacitus requires - "Eaque Germanie velut frons est, quatenus Danubio pergitur." At the same time, the line of the Germanic March must have been irregular, and the Germanic area north of the Danube narrow. It must also have extended as far northwards as Moravia and Upper Hungary.

Up to the time of Tacitus, the political relations of the Quadi are chiefly with the confederacies of Maroboduus,* Catvalda, and the Regnum Vannianum.
Afterwards they are chiefly with the Sarmatians of Hungary.
I know no reasons, Except a statement of Ammianus as to their arms being like those of the Sarmato, and the likelihood of the name Vannius (gentis Quadorum) of the Regnum Vannianum being the Slavonic title Pan=Dominus, for making them Sarmatian rather than Glerman. But these I think sufficient. Still, as they are a frontier population, the remark that applies to the Marsigni applies to the Quadi also.
XLIII. Nec minùs valent retro Marsigni, ${ }^{1}$ Gothini, ${ }^{2}$ Osi, ${ }^{3}$ Burii : terga Marcomannorum, Quadorumque claudunt: e quibus Marsigni, et Burii sermone cultuque Suevos referunt. Gothinos Gallica, Osos Pannonica lingua coarguit, non esse Germanos; et quòd tributa patiuntur: partem tributorum Sarmate, partem Quadi, ut alienigenis, imponunt: Gothini, quò magis pudeat, et ferrum effodiunt: omnesque hi populi pauca campestrium, ceterùm saltus et vertices montium jugumque insederunt. Dirimit enim scin-

[^43]ditque Sueviam continuum montium jugum, nltra quod plurimæ gentes agunt: ex quibus latissimè patet Lygiorum ${ }^{3}$ nomen in plures civitates diffusum. Valentissimas nominasse sufficiet, Arios, ${ }^{6}$ Helveconss, ${ }^{7}$ Manimos, Elysios, Naharvalos. ${ }^{8}$ Apud Naharvalos antiquæ religionis lucus ostenditur. Præsidet sacerdos muliebri ornatu: ${ }^{9}$ sed "deos, interpretatione Romanâ, ${ }^{10}$, Castorem Pollucemque ${ }^{n 11}$ memorant. Ea vis numini : nomen Alcis : ${ }^{18}$ pulla simulacra, nullum peregrine superstitionis vestigium: ut fratres tamen, ut juvenes venèrantur. Ceterùm Arii super vires, quibus enumeratos paullo antè populos antecedunt, truces, insitæ feritati arte ac tempore lenocinantur: nigra scuta, tincta corpora: atras ad proelia noctes legunt: ipsâque formidine atque umbrâ feralis exerçitûs terrorem inferunt, nullo hostium sustinente novum ac velut infernum aspectum : nam primi in omnibus proeliis oculi vincuntur. Trans Lygios Gothones ${ }^{13}$ regnantur, paullo jam adductiùs, quàm ceteræ Germanorum gentes, nondum tamen supra libertatem. Protinus deinde ab Oceano Rugii, ${ }^{18}$ et Lemovii: ${ }^{25}$ omniumque harum gentium insigne, rotunda scuta, breves gladii, et erga reges obsequium.

## NOTR ON BROTION XLIT.

t Marsigni.] This is, almost eertainly, the Roman mode of apelling Mars-ing-i. Why it should be so is difficult to say. The combinations ping-0, ling-0, \&c., are Latin. Perhaps, the Greek mode of expressing -ng- by yy may have detarmined the use of the $g$.

The name itself is, probably, German=the Mare-ingg.
What does Marg-mean 9 Not March; since they are distinguished from the Marco-manni.

Perhapa Margh. The country, however, is more mountainous than marshy.

Perhaps the river Maras. It is not necessary, however, that it should have any ascertained meaning.

I take the words "Marsigni sermone cultuque Suevos referint" as they stand.

It is against the principles laid down in a previous part of the work to lightly admit as Germanic any nation placed, like the Marsigni (terga Marcomannorum-claudunt) beyond the March.

But it is also against other principles to treat a definite assertion of an author like Tacitus summarily.

We must, also, take the word Suenus as he understood it, ie.e., as meaning German-not as his present commentator does, i.e., as meaning Slavonic.

Hence, there are no great objections against the Marsigni being considered German-or, rather, as they are a frontier population, and, consequently, involving no serions error either one way or the other, there is no need for an over-scrutiniving criticiem.

The same applied to the Quadi and Narisci.
\& Gothini.]-The - $n$. here is almost certainly of the aame inflexional or non-radical character with the $-n$-in Goth-ones; and the same oriticiem, in other respecte, applies to it.-See note in v . Gothones.

In Ptolemy we find that beyond the Bavoxaipas (Baparians)



 Now Zeuss considers that Kórvoc is a fault in the MSS. for Kórvor, which is likely enough. He also thinke that the Kórvo are the Körcuoc of Dion Cassius, and that the Kórevor of Dion Cassius are the Gothini of Tacitus-which is likely too.

The iron-mines, combined with the statement as to their language, fix the Gothini in the Gallician Carpathians.
Gallica - lingua.-I know no reasons for believing that the name Haliusch, the Slavonic form for Gallicia is one whit less ancient than the names Gallia, Britannia, Italia, Hellas, \&o.

Until I do, I translate Gallica by Gallicion; considering that the same similarity, with the same likelihood of creating error, between words as like as the form out of which Gallicia grew, and that out of which the Romans formed Galli and the Greeks 「a入drac, existed in the time of Tacitus as now.
s Osi.J-No other writer but Tacitus unequivocally mentions any tribe with a name like that of Osi, in the neighbourhood of the south-eastern March. The Osii of Ptolemy are too far north to coincide with them. These are a people beyond the Velke (Oitétrai),

 elta Kápfoves doктúsuratoc ; a people whose name Zeuss is probably right in connecting with that of the island Osilia=Oezel.-P. 272.

I say that no writer but Tacitus unequivocally mentions any tribe with a name like that of the Osi , in the neighbourhood of the southeastern March; and I now draw attention to the qualifying word unequirocally. What if the Oviofoverion be the people of Oivio Covp $\gamma$-, as they almost certainly were, and Oina مovpr-be the burg or berg of the Osi 1

Whether the - $\beta$ oupy in Otiotov́pyios=berg=hill, or burgh= borough, ie., whether the compound be a word like Konings-berg, or a word like Ham-burg, is of no great consequence. The word is a German one. Yet it by no means follgws that the nation it deaignated was German.

Wisburg (or Wisberg) might be a German name for a Slavonic locelity, just as Liefland (Livonia) and Courland are.

It might also (as suggeated in p. 97), in the hands of a Greek writer, take the form Asciburgius Mons.

That the Osi were not German is Tacitus's own statement.
The complement to this note is not. in vy. Aravisci ab Osis-O8i $\alpha b$ Araviscis, p. 96, dc.

The hypotheris is as follows:-
a. That the population from the Asciburgius Mons, or the Carpsthians between Gallicia, Moravia, and Upper Hungary, was once continuous with that of Croatia; the northern portion of it being called, by the Germans, O \%.
b. The invasion of the Germans of the Danube broke up this continuity.
c. But not wholly. Within the German area (probably in the mountain strongholds of the Luna Silva=Jablunka Berg), isolated portions of the Osi preserved their language.

- Burii.]-What applies to the Marsigni applies to the Burii.

They may be considered German as long as there is no stronger objection lying against them than their situation beyond the March,
and as long as that objection is met by the special statement that their tongue was Suevic, i.e., in the eyes of Tacitus, Gorman,
But there is a stronger objection. It will be seen in the next note that Ptolemy placea them in the aame category with the Lygii.
${ }^{5}$ Lygiorum.]-Here, according to Tacitus, we have a generic term like Gallus and Sueves.

Neither is there any resson to doubt his evidence. On the contrary it is confirmed in more quarters than one.


 тотацой.
2. The extract from Nestor* confirms Ptolemy:-"When the Wallachians attacked the Slovenians-the Slovenisns went forth, and settled on the River Vislje (Vistula), and called themselves Lekhs (Ljachove). And some of these people were called Poles, some Luticzi, some Pomoranians."

This does aomething more than confirm Ptolemy. It shows that the root Lekh was Slavonic, iee, the native name by which the Slavonians of the Fistula called themselves, rather than the name by which they were called by their non-Slavonic neighbours.

That the name of Lekk was recognised by other writers than Neator, indeed, that it was a common designation, is shown by the hypothesis of the later chronicles, where it becomes the name of the eponymus of the Poles. Tshekh and Lekh are the two leaders of two great nations ; the first of the Poles, the second of the Bohemians. Of the latter, the present native name is Tshech; of the former, Lekh was the original denomination.

Hence the name Lekh in Nestor's time, at least, was native.
After this, does any reader doubt the identity between the Lygii of Tacitus and the Poles? or, admitting this, does he believe the Lygit to have been German?

Amongat ethnologists, Zeuss, for one, insigts on this latter view.
I confess that it atrikes me unfavourably that he has kept back the identity of locality, combined with the similarity of sound between the Lekh of Nestor, and the Lygii of Tacitus. Whether we look to his remarks on the former word (p. 126), or the latter ( p .

[^44]662), we find abundant signs of readiness to associate similar words with the one under consideration. Thus (in Fr. Poloni, Wenden) he expends some ingenuity in showing the probability of the Leth
 identical. He aloo shows some research in tracing the names in the Icelandio writinge of Snorro (as Lcesjar) and in the Latin of Witikind (Liciaviki).

Then in v. Lygii he enumerates the slightly varied forms Ligii, Lugit, Aotoc, Aóyrio, Lugiones, Noyiwyse, Lupiones, and hints at an etymological connection with the root long. But, with all this there is not a single reference from Lygii to Lekh, nor yet any from Lekh to Lygii; so that the very important fact of aimilarity of name coinciding with identity of area, is not even recognised as a complication worth investigating. -

Pole is a geographical, rather than a national, term, and means occupants of plains. Pole=plain, and Polak=an inhabitant of a plain. Of this Polacy is the plural form. Nestor writing in Old Slavonic, has the form Poljanc. Hence the Latin form Polonia"Inter Alpes Hunnim et Oceanum est Polonia, sic dicta in eorum idiomate quasi Campanian"-Zenss, p. 662.

The $d$ in the English form Poland, bas been introduced by the same process of confusion which converts asparagus into sparrowgrass, i.en, the tendency to identify a like term in a foreign, with some real one in the native tongue.

The situation of the Lygii of Tacitus is that of the Lekhs of Nestor.

The present Poles are the Lekhs of Nestor under another name. This is admitted by Zeuss,-" The name Lech, originally a general name given by the eastern to the western branch of Slavonians, must most frequently have been applied to those who lived nearest, viz., the Poles. At length, after ceasing to be a general appellation, it became fixed as their special designation."-P. 662.

With all this, not \& word about Lekh being even like Lyg-ii.
But it may be asid that the aseumption of a migration in the case of the Slavonic Lekhs is legitimate, inasmuch as it is saggested by the very passage of Nestor lately quoted.
Be it \&0. There would still atand over the very remarkable fact that the very area in which these immigrant Lekhs settled, should be an area occupied by a people with a name almost identical with their own. What should we say to a writer who argued that Boston
in the United States was, very likely, wholly unconnected with Boaton in England; that it was an aboriginal American name; that by mere chance, the Bostonians of Lincolnshire fell in with a place named like their native town; and that by mere chance the aboriginal Bostonians of Massachusette were diaplaced by a population bearing the same name as themselves?
But they might have taken their name from that of the earlier Lygii. Not so. The tradition about the eponymus Lech is strong evidence in favour of its being native. What Anglo-Saxon ever called himeelf a descendant of Brut ; or placed Brut at the head of his genealogy?
${ }^{0}$ Arii-Manimi-Elysii.]-I oan throw no light on these names, unless the Man-imi be the Lygii O-man-i of Ptolemy.

7 Helveconas.]-'Povtuxieluy iè kai Bovyoúvtav ( $\mu$ eralaio reivtau) Aidovafurec.-Ptolemy. They, probably, are part of the duchy of Posen ; possibly Slavonians of the river Hevel.
a Naharvalou.]-To what appears in the text I can add but littleabout the Naharvali.
The termination -val has been considered Germanic, i.e., $=$ the -phal in West-phat-ia, and other similar compounds

It is not, however, exclusively so. A form so near it as gal is Lithuanic, and, perhaps, Slavonic as well.-"Letti, qui proprie dicuntur Let-gall-i-Letti vel Lett-gall-i adhuc pagani." This is from Hearry the Lett, speaking of the Letts of Livonic. Nestor, a Russian, has the form Sjet-gola.

Again-the old inhabitants of part of Samogitia are not only Samo-gitce, but Sem-i-gall-i, San-gal-i, and Sam-gal-i, in the older Latin writers, and Zim-gola in Nestor.

Again-"Swiatha (ac. fluvius) ex Samogitia, cujus fons prope Vilkomiriam et in ville Remy-gola, ostia circa Mariewerder, et hic dividit Lithuaniam et Samogitiam."-Dlugoss.

Is it safe then to say that such internal evidence as is derived from the element val in favour of the Nahar-vali being German is neutralized by the Lithuanic terminations. The meaning of the word is uncertain. All that is certain is, that the word is a compound.

Victo-hali (Victo-ali, Victo-vali), and Thai-phali, seem to be
similar compounds. These are the names of populations on the Lower Danube-German in the eyes of most writers, Slavonic in those of the present.

For further notice of the Naharvali, see remarks on the Nadrovita, p. 173.

9 Muliebri ornatur]-Adam of Bremen describes the priests of the ancient Courlanders, not indeed as dressing as women, but as monks. "Divinis, auguribus, atque necromanticis omnes domus sunt plense, qui etiam vestitu monachico induti sunt."-De Situ Danim, c. 223.
${ }^{10}$ Interpretatione Romand.]-The commentary upon the principles which determine the choice of a given deity in one country as the equivalent, parallel, or analogue to one in another, would be one of great length. They are, however, referable to two heads:-

1. The correspondence may be suggested by similarity of name; or-
2. The correepondence may be suggested by similarity of attributes.

If what is written on the names Hercoles, leis, de., be correct, we have instances of both principles in Tacitus.
a. Isis (see note in vooem) seems determined by the former process.
b. Hercules by the latter.
c. For Mars, Meroury, and Pollux, a case may be made out either way.
${ }^{11}$ Castorem Pollucemque.]-The Slavonic mythology has two associated gods, named Lel and Polel.
Without being able to say that, beyond their duality, and the name of one of them, there is anything to connect them with the Castor and Pollux of Tacitus, I am not afraid of saying that the German mythology has nothing equally similar, be this similarity little or much.

> se Alcie.]-I believe this alc- to be simply Lithuanic.
> Hartknoch, in his Disertatio de Diis Prussorum minoribus, writes, "Inter feras Prussi veteres in primis alcem (the elk) divino prosoquebantur honore, ut testis est Erasmus Stella, in Lib. ii. Antiq. Borass. Nec dubium est quin aliis quoque animalibus divini honores sint delati." -§ 7 .
> The fact of a thing or person named Alc. being an object of
worskip to the Lithuanians is an onexceptionable inference from this paseage. Its identity with the quadruped ell is, probably, a misapprehension of the author's.
${ }^{15}$ Gothones.]-Reasons for considering the Gothones to be Asstii under a Slavonic name, will be found in not. ad v . Fsatii.

The $-n$ is, almost certainly, an inflexional elemont rather than a part of the root.

It may be German, ie., the $-n$ in East-an and other similar weak forms.

But it may also be Slavonic, i.e., the $-n$, in such forms as Poljane, do.

That the radical part (Goth-) is Slavonic, is in the highest degree probable.

But for this vid. infr. in T. Sustii, and Epilegomenc, § Goths.
${ }^{16}$ Rugii] ]-For the quotation which, notwithstanding ita late date, and the objections which will be noticed a few sentences onwards, must stand as the chief toxt concerning this term, see Prolegomena, p. xix.
It relates to the Rugiani, Runi, Rani, or Verani; * the Slavonians of the Isle of Rugen, in the ninth centery. Zeuss, from whom I take it, adds, however, that it has nothing in common with the German gentile name Rugi, and that the coincidence is purely aceidental. "Rugia, Rugen, nichts mit dem deutschen Folksnamen Rugi gemein hat und das Debereinkommen rein sufallig ist."

If this mean the Rugii of the fifth century (see Epilegomena, § Rugii) I agree with him ; but not, if it mean the Rugii of Tacitas. For more, see next note.
${ }^{15}$ Lemovii.]-If we admit the parts sbout the rivers Dwina and Memel to be the locality of the Lemovii, we may deal with the word as a derivative ; in which case the radical part of the word will be the gyllable Lem-.

Adam of Breraen mentions the Lami as being the neighbours of the Curi of Courland.

Pomponius Sabinas (about A.d. 1480) mentions the Lam-onii.
Dusberg speaks of the Terra Lam-otina.

[^45]Now, though all this is taken from the 682nd page of Zeass, when speaking of the Lami, not one word of it appears in p. 155, where he notices the Lemovii. On the contrary, he finds nothing nearer these last-named tribes in sound and geography than the Lim. fiord of Jutland. Yet 'Tacitus's locality of the Lemovii is certainly not very far from that of the Lami.
Zeuse does all this; nay, more, he does it in the face of two remarks of his own-aiz, that the derivational element ov (Lem-ov-ii) appears in no other Cterman word, and that in some MSS. of Tacitus the reading was Lemonii.

Now these Lami are the Liven, i.ee, the most western branch of the Ugrian Finns of Esthonia, a nation now nearly extinct, having been encroached on by the Germans, and the Letts of Livonia (Lief-land); Livonia, of which the name is referable to these early, but now displaced, occupanta.
The change from $m$. to $v$ was not immediate. Nestor gives the intermediate form Lib.

Now what if some place, in the name of which the combination R-g occurs, be nearer these Liv-an than even the Iale of Rugen?
In this case we have a complication-a complication which arises from the fact that, although the Isle of Rugen may be a likely place for the Rugii of Tacitus, as against the Rugii of the Odoacer, it is not so against the locality, or the people (be it which it may) from which the present town of Riga takes its name. Less prominent in history than the Rugii of Rugen, they are nearer the Lami-and this gives us a composition of difficulties.

Again-Ptolemy has a place called 'Poviyov on the mouth of the Oder, and there is a Roga-land in Scandinavia. Upon the whole, I think the Rugii of Tacitue are the people of the Gulf of Riga.
XLIV. Suionum ${ }^{1}$ hine civitates, ipso in Oceano, preter viros armaque classibus valent: forma navium eò differt, quòd utrimque prora paratam semper appulsui frontem agit: nee velis ministrantur, nec remos in ordinem lateribus adjungunt. Solutum, ut in qui-
busdam fluminum, et mutabile, ut res poscit, hinc vel illine remigium. Est apud illos et opibus honos: eóque unus imperitat, nullis jam exceptionibus, non precario jure parendi; nec arma, ut apud ceteros Germanos, in promiscuo, sed clausa sub custode, et quidem servo: quia subitos hostium incursus prohibet Oceanus. Otiosæ porro armatorum manus facilè lasciviunt: enimverò neque nobilem, neque ingenuum, ue libertinum quidem armis preponere regia utilitas est.

## NOTE ON BEOTION XIVY,

t Suionum.]-Whe $-n$ is no part of the root, but an inflexion-the -n of the weak declension; the Anglo-Saxon form being Sve-an, and the Icelandic $S v i-a r$. The common compound, however, is Svi-piod $=$ the Svi-people; the piod being the same as the Deut- in Deut-sche.

The present Swedish name for Swe-den is Sve-rige, a word like bishop-ric=the Kingdom (ric) of the Svia.

This shows that the language of the first informants about the Suiones was a Gothic dialect.
But it does not show that the root Suir was Gothic. This, like the root Kent- in the Anglo-Saxon forms Kenting and Cant-ware, may belong to another language.

This reduces the internal evidence of the Suiones of Tacitus having been Gothic to the single fact that the root Sui- entere in the name of the Swedes-a faot (as has been suggested in the remarks on the words Susvi and Saxo) by no means conclusive. Still it is, perhape, prima facie evidence.
XLV. Trans Suionas aliud mare pigrum, ${ }^{1}$ ac propè immotum, quo cingi cludique terrarum orbem hinc fides: quòd extremus cadentis jam solis fulgor in ortus edurat, aded clarus, ut sidera hebetet. Sonum
insuper emergentis audiri, formasque deorum, et radios capitis ${ }^{2}$ aspici persuasio adjicit. Illuc usque (et fama vera) tantùm natura. Ergo jam dextro Suevici maris ${ }^{3}$ litore Æstiorum gentes ${ }^{4}$ alluuntur: quibus ritus habitusque Suevorum, lingua Britannice propior. ${ }^{5}$ Matrem deûm venerantur: insigne superstitionis, formas aprorum gestant. Id pro armis omnique tutelấ: securum deæ cultorem etiam inter hostes prestat. Rarus ferri, frequens fustium ustas. Frumenta ceterosque fructus patientiùs, quàm pro solitâ Germanorum inertiá, laborant. Sed et mare scrutantur; ac soli omuium succinum, quod ipsi glesum vocant, inter vada atque in ipso litore legunt. Nec, quax natura, quæve ratio gignat, ut barbaris, quesitum compertumve. Diu quinetiam inter cetera ejectamenta maris jacebat, donec luxuria nostra dedit nomen: ipsis in nullo usu; rude legitur, informe perfertur, pretiumque mirantes accipiunt. Succum tamen arborum esse intelligas, quia terrena quædam atque etiam volucria animalia plerumque interlucent, quæ implicata humore, mox durescente materiâ, cluduntur. Fecundiora igitur nemora Iucosque, sicut Orientis secretis, ubi thura balsamaque sudantur, ita Occidentis insulis terrisque inesse crediderim, quæ vicini solis radiis expresea atque liquentia in proximum mare labuntur, ac vi tempestatum in adversa litora exundant. Si naturam succini admoto igne tentes, in modum tedæ accenditur, alitque flammam pinguem et olentem: mox ut in picem resinamve lentescit. Suionibus Sitonum gentes continuantur. Cetera similes, uno differunt, quòd femina dominatur: ${ }^{6}$ in tantum non modò a libertate, sed etiam a servitute degenerant. Hic Sueviæ finis.

## notre on beotion yly.

${ }^{1}$ Aliud mare pigrum.]-The Arctic Ocean.
${ }^{2}$ Radios capitia.]-The Aurora Borealis (i).
${ }^{3}$ Suevici maris.]-The Norse form was probably something like Svi-haf;* just as Nord-hav, at the present moment=the North-sea : haf being the Scandinavian word for both sea and ocean; in which case the $-v$ in Suev-, is, really, the $-v$ - in hav.

At any rate, it seems safe to consider the formation of the word as applied to the Swedith Sea, as different from that of the Sveve, in Suevi and Suevia; though no such difference is recognized by Tacitus.

Indeed, we must attribute some unsteadiness of expression to him here.
a. The rites and customs of the Estii are Suevic. - This may, possibly, apply to the Suevi of Susbia, and Franconia,
b. Hic (beyond Finland) Suevios finis. This can acarcely do so.

- Ketiorum gentes.]-The word gentes prepares us to expect in Axaii-2s in Suevi-a collective name. Such is, really, the case.

That the . , stiii of Tacitus were the occupants of the present coast of Prussia and Courland, is shown by what is said about the ambertrade. This fixes the locality zs definitely as $\mathbb{E t n a}$ would fix Sicily, or Vesuviue Campania.

Like Suiones, Istii is a word from a Gothic informant.
The form in which it reached Tacitus was probably Easte-iee, the atrong form of the grammarians.

But the weak form was aloo used since, in a quotation which will soon appear, we find the form ' $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ot} / \omega \mathrm{ves}}=$ E castan.

As this is one of the three non-componnd words, $\dagger$ for which I not only assume an etymology, but argue from it, I shall consider the form of the word somewhat at length.

It, apparently, is not an onexceptionable form. Being a geographical rather than a gentile name, we should expect to find it com-

[^46]pound, i.e., in some form equivalent to East-men, East-ware (like Cant-woare), East-land, or East-seetan (like Dor-set).

Failing this we should expect, at least, a derivative form such as Esaster-ling, East-ing.

The form, however, is simple ; just as if we said the Easta.
Simple, however, as it is, the following extract from Alfred places ite meaning beyond reasonable doubt:- " Seo Visle is awiote micel ea, and hio to lid Vit-land, and Veonod-land, and pat Vit-land, belimper to Estum, and seo Visle lix ut of Veonod-lande, and li't in Estmere, and se Estmere, is huru fiftene mila brad. Thonne cymeot Ilfing eastan in Estmere, of prom mere pe Truso stande\% in stað̃e, and cumař at samod in Estmere Ilfing eastan of Eastlande, and Visle suran of Veonodlande."

It is as safe, then, to consider the word Csstii to mean the men of the East, as it is to consider the word German ; since-

1. The form of the word coincides with its geographical import.
2. The particular word in question is known to have been applied by the Germans to the particular parts in question.
3. There is no other language but the Germsn in which it occurs with the same power.
4. The German name for the present Exthomians is Estiden; their country being Est-land.
This last fact auggesta an objection.
It masy be said to prove too much, i.e., to prove that these supposed .Estian the Eastern populations are not sufficiently in the East, i.e., that the true Eastern conntries of the Baltic are on the Gulf of Finland.

Alfred's evidence meets this.
Again-the fact of the Esthonians being the present Esthen, or men of the East, is by no means conclusive as to the Esthonians having been the /estii of Tacitus. A term like the one in question would apply to different countries according to the advance of geographical knowledge; ceasing to be characteristic as soon as freeh tracts east of those which it originally designated by it became known.

At any jate, the present Esthonia may have been the moat eadern part of the Thetian country.

Thirdly -at the mouth of the river Niemen, and nearly coinciding with the division between East Prussia and Courland, and coinciding equally nearly with the amber locality of the 出stii, the direction of
the coast changes ouddenly from east to north; so mach so as to make the parts in question, for some time, the moost eastern extremity of the Baltic. From Memel to Windau, the navigation is due north, and it is only by keeping along the coast that the Gulf of Riga is found to form a bend towards the east. .The Galf of Finland does so still more. But this is only for s while. Finland itself is nearly in the aame longitude with Courland.

Unleas, then, we take in the Gulfs of Riga and Finland, the country of the 灰ttii is really the east-end of the Baltic.

Furthermore-except for the purposes of a special trade, the gulfs in question were not likely to be visited; since from the position of the islands Oesel and Dago, at the entrance of the Gulf of Riga, and the narrowness of the entrance of the Gulf of Finland, it was not necessary for even the most cautions coasters to follow the line of the land, on a royage from Memel in Courland to Abo in Finland.
It is likely, then, that those Germans, who applied the term :estii to the Courlanders, made no account of the Gulfs of Riga and Finland ; in whioh cases the Curische Nehrung was rightly desigaated as eastern, 火ar' $\xi^{\prime} \xi \delta \chi \eta v$.

We, however, who do make account of those great indentations, placed our East-men in Esthonia

The quotation alluded to is one from Stephanus Byzantinua-



Pytheas is the voyager, whose sccount of the Baltic about 320 p.o. is treated with some contempt by Strabo.-i, p. 63.

However, it by no means follows that because the name was Gothic it applied to a Gothic population ; indeed, as far as we can get evidence for a negative fact, it is against the word . ,atii being a native name.

There is plenty of mention between the time of Tacitus and the eleventh century of these same. Sstiti; but it is only by writere who were themselves either Clermans or adopters of the German geography that the name is Hest-, $\Delta$ ist-, or some bimilar form.

General, however, as the name is in the Germanic anthorities, is it rare in those of Ruseia, Pruesia, Poland 1 Probably, it is not to be found at all. Instead, thereof, we have the term Pruss (Prussian) or the more remarkable form Guddon.
These remarks upon the form and origin of the word have been
given at large, because Zeuse, who admits so many less probable derivations, not ouly keeps the adjective east entirely out of sight, but disguises the word by writing it $A$ isten, on the very inferior authority of Eginhart-" Litus australe Sclavi et Aidti et alis diversæ incolunt nationes."- Vit. Car. Magn. c. 12.

The existence of the amber-trade explains the reference made in the note upon the word Gothones to the present one.

The locality of the amber-trade fixes the Gothones even as it does the $\mathbb{E l s t i i j , ~ a n d ~ b y ~ f i x i n g ~ t h e m ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ l o c a l i t y ~ a t ~ t h e ~ a a m e ~ t i m e ~}$ identifies the two.

This identification is of so much importance that the details of the proof will be given minotely.

Pliny's form is Guttones.
Tacitua's in the Annale (ii. 62) Gotones; in the present text Gothones.

Ptolemy's rígaves.
Pliny's locality is 2fsuarium* Oceani Mentonomon nomine.
Tacitus's trans Lygios, i.e., north of Poland.
Ptolemy's rapà ròv Oüïaroú入av zorafò̀ vixò roves Oivevídaç.
Pliny connecte them with the amber-country.
That the Kóarvoc of Artemidorus is the same word is likely; the $\sigma \sigma=\pi \tau$, as in Pa入átra and Salócoc, \&c.
Now, the notices of the amber-country might reach the Greeks or Romans by two routes. 1. It might come across the continent ; and that, wholly by land, or by the Vistula, Theiss, and Danube, or by the Priepetz and Drieper. In this case the carriers of the article, and the informants as to its country and collectors, would be Slavonians.
2. It might come by sea, in which case Germars would be-partially at least-the carriers of the article, and the informants as to ite country and collectors.

Now it is clear, that, if the Germans had one term, and the Slavonians another, for a nation in the amber-country, that nation would be known to a Greek or Roman under two names; and it is nearly certain that this was the case in the present instance. The Gothones were Sxstii when the notice came from Germany. The Extii were Gothones when the notice came from Slavonia.

Lest this should seem an over-refinement, we must remember that, if Lestii $^{=}=$Este $=$Eastmen, and if the . stian tongue were as

* Probably, no true EAtuartum, but the word Est-ware misunderstood.

Tacitos makes it, something other than German, a second name is a matter of necessity-since the one in Tacitus (Alatii) could not possibly be native. Yet a native name must have existed, and what, in the present stage of the argument, is more likely than Gothon (Gution) ${ }^{\prime}$

When Tacitus follows the coast-line of the Baltic, he comes to the Exstii. When he atarts from the Marcomanni and Lygii, he reachea the Gothones.

His expression trane Lygios is one of remarkable aceuracy. The line which separates the most northern province of Poland (Masovia) from East Prussia, is aloo the line which separates the nations speaking the dialects derived from the Restian or Gothonic, from the nations speaking the dialects deacended from Lekh or Polish.

The extent to which the German name was unknown to the Sarmatians, and vice verad, is shown in more wayg than one, and it easily accounts for Tacitus's describing the same people under different designations, when we approached the notice of their country from different quarters. That the Sarmatian name was either Pruse or Guddon has been already stated; and it is safe to say from the following remarkable address of Theodoric, the Ostrogoth, to the people of the amber-country, that if they were Goth-ic in any way, it was an unknown fact to the Gothe of Italy.
"Hcestis Theodoricus rex. Illo et illo legatis vestris venientibus grande ros studium notitim nostro habuisse cognovimus; nt in Oceani litoribus conetituti, cum nostra mente jungamini : suavis nobis admodum et grata petitio, ut ad vos perveniret fama nostra, ad quos nulla potuimus destinare mandata, Anate jam cognitum, quem requisistis ambianter ignotum. Nam inter tot gentes viam presumere, non est aliquid facile concupiisse. Et ideo salntatione vos affeotuosa requirentes, indicamus succina, que a vobis per harum portitores directa sunt, grato animo fuisse suscepta, quee ad ros Oceani unda descendane, hanc levissimam substantiam, sicut et vestrorum relatio continebat, exportat ; sed unde veniat, incognituou vos habere dixerunt, quam ante omnes homines patria vestra offerente suscipitis. Hæc quodam Cornelio scribente legitur in interioribus insalis Ocesni ex arboris succo defluens, unde et succinum dicitar, paulatim golis ardore coalescere. Fit enim sudatile metallum teneritudo perspicua, modo croceo colore rubens, modo flammea claritate pinguescens, ot cum in maris fuerit delapsa confinio, estu alternante purgata, vestris litoribus tradatur exposita Quod ideo judicavimus
indiogndum, ne omnino patetis notitism mostenm fugare, quod occultum oreditis vos habere. Proindo requirito now empius per vias, quas amor fentar eperrit Quia semper prodest divitum regum acquisita concordia, qui dum parvo munere leniuntur, majore semper compensatione prospiciunt. Aliqua vobis etiam per legatos vestros verbo mandavimus, per quos quæ grata esse debeant nos destinasse declaramus."-Cassiod. Variar. v. 2.

The further confirmation which this view receives from the facts connected with the modern name Guddon is exhibited in Epilegomena, § Goths.

3 Lingua Britannica propior.]-Here an author like Tacitus commits himself to a definite atatement, and it must not be get aside on light grounds. Either the a priori probabilities against it must be great, or some reasonable origin of the mistake must be pointed out.

The latter can be done-not exactly as the statement about the Gothini was explained, but in a somewhat similar manner. The language that the people of the amber-coast really spoke when they first become definitely known, was the Prusaian. Now the form of the name which that language took was aufficiently like the word British to be mistaken for it.

1. First, we must remember that Tacitus's information came from Germany.
2. Next, that the word meaning Prussian was not German. The Germans got it from the Slavonians, and, consequently, were likely to confound it with some more faniliar term.
3. The word denoting British woas such a familiar term,
4. The adjectival termination was nearly the same in both languages.

This prepares us for the evidence in favour of worde at present so unlike as Prussian and Britiak ever having bean like.

The first occurrence of the name of the modern kingdom of Prusais occurs in Gaudentiue, who accompanied Bishop Adalbert to that country between A.D. 997-1006.

Zeuss, from whom, as usual, $I$ am taking my best facts, admits that the term was Slavonic. "Der Name wird zuerst-ohne Zweifel von Slawen gehört."-p. 671.

He also suggesta that no argument against its antiquity is to be taken from ita being there recorded by a German for the firat time.

It might have been as old as the name Kastia＂Ist der Name Prosi bo alt，sls der Name Aisten，wenn er auch mehr als ein Jahrtausend später auftritt．＂${ }^{\text {－}}$ Ibid．

Gaudentius＇s form is not Prussi but Pruzzi．
Dietmar＇s form is also not Prussi but Pruci
$\Delta d a m$＇s of Bremen is also not Prussi bet Pruzzi and Prutzci．
This shows that the sound was that of $t s$ ，or tsh，or，possibly，even shtsh rather than of a simple－s；a matter of some importance，as it helps to account for the $t$ required to make the root Pruss－like the root Brit．

Now comes the important fact that we find the word taking an adjectival form in－en，in which case the a becomes th．The substan－ tival forms are Pruzi，Prussi，Pruscia，Pruschia，Prutuci，Prussia； but the adjectival ones are Prutheni，Pruthenia，Pruthenicus．We are now getting near the form Britannicus；and it must be remem－ bered that the form thus similar，is the form almost always nsed when the language is apoken of－lingua Pruthenica not Prusal．

The root Russ undergoes a similar series of transformation－ Russi，Russia，Ruthenicus，Ruthenia．
Lastly，the form Borussi accounts for the B．
All this，however，it may be said，applies to the Latin ladguage， and is，consequently，out of place；the question being whether Slavonian forms of the root Prus can become sufficiently like an equivalent modification of the root Brit－to create confurion．They can．The Slavonic word which a German would tranglate by Brittice，and a Roman by Britannica，would be Brit－skaja，and the similar equivalent to Pruttiec and Pruthenica，Prat－skaja．

This gives us then the 不stii and Gothones（or rather the 左stii or Cothones）as the representatives of the old Prossians or Lithu－ anian Sarmatians of the Baltic．

In the twelfth，thirteenth，and fourteenth centuries，when infor－ mation becomes sufficiently clear to give us the details of the nations and tribes allied to the Esatii，we find them to be as followa ：－

1．The Galind－itee，the 「adivon of Ptolemy．
2．The Sudo－vite，conterminous with the Galinditu，both being in the neighbourhood of the Spirding－See．

3．The Pomescani，on the right bank of the Lower Vistula．
4．Pogesani on the Frieche Haf．
5．Warmienses，Jarmenses，Hermini，and the people of the Orma－ and of the Old Norse Sagas ；between the Po－gesani and the－
6. Nattangi, on the Pregel.
7. Barthi.
8. Nadro-vites.-A case may, perhaps, be made out for the Nadrovite being the Nahar-vali, under a slightly modified name; the facts and reasoning running thus :-
a. Both agree in being a population to which the preeminently holy seat of worship of their stock belonged. Thus, whilst the Naharvali were as they are described by Tacitue, the Nadro-vitoce, obstinate in their Paganism, above even the other obstinately Pagan Lithuanians, are thus desoribed:-"Fuit autem in medio nationis hujus perversm, scilicet in Nadrovia, locus quidam dictus Romow, trahens nomen suum a Roma, in quo habitabat quidam dictus Crive, quem colebant pro Papa. Quia aicut dominus Papa regit universalem eoclesiam fidelium, its ad istius nutum serb mandatum non solum gentes prredicte, sed et Lethowini et alice nationes Livonice terrce regebantur."-Disb. iii. 5.
b. The -d-in Vadro-may be got rid of by aupposing some older form Nador, in which case, the ejection of the -d-is not only allow. able but likely; aince it is a consonant which, when it comes between two vowels, is often omitted in pronunciation, e.g., Sa-d-el in Danish is sounded Sa'el, \&c This would reduce Nador- to Na'or, or Nahar-.
c. The elements -vit and -gal, if they do not exactly replace each other in certain Litbuanic names, are found attached to the same root in the worde Samo-gitce (also Samo-vitce), and Semi-galli, the names of two scarcely distinguishable sections (or subsections) of the same population.

## 9. Sam-bita.

10. Scalo-vitce.

These details nearly coincide with the more general account of Dusburg (iii. 3), ""Terra Pruechim in undecim partes dividitur. Prima fuit Culmensis et Lubavia, que ante introitum fratrum domus Teutonicm quasi fuerat desolata. Secunda Pomesania, in qua Pomesani. Tertia Pogesania, in qua Pogesani. Quarta Warmia, in qua Warmienses. Quinta Nattangia, in qua Nattangi. Sexts Sambia, in qua Sambitcer. Septima Nadrovia, in qua Nadrovite. Octara Scalovia, in qua Scalovite. Nona Sudovia, in qua Sudovits. Decima Galindia. Undecima Barthe et Plica Bartha, quae nunc major et minor Bartha dicitur, in qua Barthi vel Barthenses habitabant. Vix sliqua istarum nationum fuit, ques non haberet ad bellum duo millia virorum equitum, et multa millia pugnatorum."

These were the tribes and nations akin to the 灰atii of Tacitus in Cast and West Prussia-the speakers of the language which was said to be Britannicae propior, and which really was Pruthenica.*

All the previous names were native and Lithuanian, since there was a nstive Lithuanisn eponymus for each, as may be seen in the following extract from a fragment of a work, De Borussorum origine ex Domino Christiamo; Christian being the first Prussian bishop.-"Duces fuere duo, nempe Bruteno et Wudawutto, quorum alterum ecilicet Bruteno sacerdotem crearunt, alterum scilicet Wudawutto in regem elegerunt . . Rex Wudawntto duodecim liberos masculos habebat, quorum nomina fuerunt Litpho, Saimo, Sudo, Naidro, Scalawo, Natango, Bartho, Galindo, Warmo, Hoggo, Pomeszo, Chelmo . . . Warmo nonus filius Wudawutti, a quo Warmia dicta, reliquit uxorem Arma, unde Ermelandt."

Of Courland and Livonia, the $A 3$ atii of anthentic history, and under their native names, are-

1. The Curi, or Curones, from whom is derived the name of the country.

2, 3, 4. The Letti, Ydumei and Selones of Livonis.
6 Sitonum - femina dominatur.] - I cannot asy to whose wellexercised ingennity the interpretation of this curious passage is due. It is as follown :-

The native name of the Finns of Finland (when they do not call themselves Suomelainen) is Quan.

The Swedish for woman is quinna.
Either a misinterpretation of these two words, or else an ill-underatcod play upon them, gave rise to the notion of a female sovereign.

This notion develops itself further. Alfred speake of the Cwenas, and Cvena-land: but Adam of Bremen goes farther-" Gothi habitant usque ad Bircam, postea longis terrarum apatiis regnant Sveones usque ad terram feminarum."-De Situ Danim, c. 222. "Et hæo quidem insula terroe feminarum proxima narratur." - Ibid. 224.

* How like, and how different, the two adjectives may be, is shown in the following columns:-
English . . British . . Prussian.
Latin . Bratannica . Pruthemica.
Anglo-Saxon . Bryttict . . Pryttisce.
Blavonic . . Brittkaja . . Prusskaja.

Observe, too, that the names of both the Prussians and Britons is a form of the root $B r-t$.
${ }^{\text {ch }}$ Circa hac litora-ferunt esse 1 mazonas, quod nunc terra feminarwm dicitur, quas aquax gustu aliqui dicunt concipere. . . Hæ, simul viventes spernunt consortia virorum, quos etiam, si advenerints a se viriliter repellunt."-C. 228.

Femina dominatur. - That a female should exercise regal power was extraordinary, not so much in the eyes of Tacitus (who, in the case of the British Bordicea, mentioned by him in the Agricola, merely remarks, neque enim sexum in imperiis discernunt, without any suggestion of the extent to which it is the measure of a servile temper on the part of the nation), but in those of the Germans who were the first informants about the Sitones. So early was the apirit which dictated the Salic law in force.
XLVI. Peucinorum, Venedorumque, et Fennoram nationes Germanis an Sarmatis adscribam dubito: quamquam Peucini, quos quidam Bastarnas ${ }^{1}$ vocant, sermone, cultu, sede, ac domiciliis, ut Germani agunt : sordes omnium ac torpor: procerum connubiis mixtis, nonnihil in Sarmatarum habitum fæedantur. Venedi ${ }^{9}$ multum ex moribus traxerunt. Nam quidquid inter Peucinos Fennosque ${ }^{3}$ silvarum ac montiam erigitur, latrociniis pererrant. Hi tamen inter Germanos potiùs referuntur, quia et domos fingunt, et scuta gestant, et pedum usu ac pernicitate gaudent; quex omnia diversa Sarmatis sunt, in plaustro equoque viventibus. Fennis mira feritas, feeda paupertas: non arma, non equi, non penates: victui herba, vestitui pelles: cubile humus: sola in sagittis spes, quas inopiâ ferri, ossibus asperant. Idemque venatus viros pariter ac feminas alit. Passim enim comitantur, partemque prædæ petunt. Nec aliud infantibus ferarum imbriumque suffugium, quàm ut in aliquo ramorum nexu contegantur: huc redeunt juvenes, hoc senum
receptaculum. Sed beatius arbitrantur, quàm ingemere agris, illaborare domibus, suas alienasque fortunas spe metuque versare. Securi adversùs homines, securi adversùs deos, rem difficillimam adsecuti sunt, ut illis ne voto quidem opus esset. Cetera jam fabulosa: "Hellusios et Oxionas" ora hominum vultusque, corpora atque artus ferarum gerere:" quod ego, ut incompertum, in medium relinquam.

## NOTEB ON gROLION XLTL

${ }^{1}$ Peucini-Bastarnas.]-The Bastarnes took a prominent part in the wars of Philip, the father of Perseus, against the Romans. Persuaded to become his allies, they cross the Danube ; Cotto, one of their nobles, being sent forward as ambassador. It was part of Philip's plan to place the Bastarnex in the country of the Dardani, no that this latter nation (infoutissima Macedonia) might be deatroyed by them, and then "Bastarna, relictis in Dardania conjugibus liberisque, ad populandum Italiam mitterentur."

They enter Thrace, the Thracians retire to Mount Donuca. Here the Bastardex divide. Thirty thousand reach Dardania. The rest cross the Danube homewards. All this took place in the year of the death of Philip.-Livy, xL. 57, 58.

Strabo's evidence is remarkable :-'Ev dè rîg $\mu \varepsilon \sigma o \gamma a l$ lq Bacrápyae





This seems the evidence upon which they are made German : Pliny having done so before, "Germanoram genera quinque Quinta pars Peucini, Basternes . . contermini Dacis."-H. N. iv. 14.

This has given the Bastarneo great prominence in ethnology ; since they have the credit of being the first Germans mentioned by name in history.

Again-if the Basternm be German, the likelihood of the Getem being so is increased; and the two supposed facts reflect probability on each other. Complications of this sort are of continual occurrence in ethnology.

It is just possible that the Bastarnow were Germang-not that I mean by this that the proper area of the Germans reached so far as Thrace and Moesia, the Bastarnic locality, but that the Germans of the Danube, might have begun their encroachments in an easterly direction thus early, and have zeached thus far. They might have been intrusive Germans in the quarters where Livy places them.

But it is far from being certain that even this supposition is necessary. Strabo's statement merely goes to their exhibiting German characteristics, and having Germans in their neighbourhood. Pliny is rarely to be taken as an independent witness. Tacitus speaks explicitly as to the most characteristic facts; yet doubte as to the inference from them. In one point he is either wrong or inexplicable. If sede mean geographical position, his statement is wholly incompatible with all that writers say about the locality of the Bastarnæ (on the Lower Danube), and the limits of his own Germania.

I think we may safely say that, in the passage of Strabo which makes the Bastarno German, there is a qualifying expression of doubt, and in that of Tacitus donbt and unsatisfactory language as well.

Reforence to other writery increases rather than diminishes the complications.
Livy's evidence makes them Gauld; since he calls their leeder in one place Clondicus dux Bastarnarum (xl. 58) and in another (applying to the same series of events) Clondicus, regulus Gallorum (xliv. 26).

He almo writes-" Per Scordiscos iter esse ad mare Hadriaticum Italiamque. Alia vis traduci exercitum non posse. Fracile Bastarnis Scordiscos itor daturos; nee enim aut lingua aut moribus mquales abhorrere."

Now whenever the Scordisci are referred to any of the recognized divisions of antiquity, they are called Fadára, or Galliwhether rightly or wrongly is another question.
Plutarch does the same as Livy-'Y Teкivet 8 (nempe Perseus) wal
 Vit. Panl. 压mil, c. 9.

The Bastarn were distinguished from their neighbour-warlike as these were-by superior bravery, vast atature, and intense love of

 Vit. Paul, 太mil. 12.

The fragroent of Scymnus mskes them immigrants or conquerors.

> Oviroc dè Өр

Upon the whole the evidence of the Bastarnm being Cerman is very inconclueive.

2 Venedi.]-The particular Venedi of Tacitus must have been those nations of the interior who were too far inland to be desoribed with the ARstii and Sitomes of the coast, and too far to the north and east to have been described with the Lygii and Burii, and those other populations which were approached from the south. These were chiefly the Lithuanians of Lithuanis; not, however, to the exclusion of some of the more eastern Slavonians. It is safe to suppose this ; since there is no trace of any distinction between the Lithuanians and Slavonians having been made by the Germans.
${ }^{3}$ Fennorque, ]-The name Finn, as applied to the natives of Finland is not native. It is Gothio-both German and Norse.

Neither is it native as applied to the Laplanders of Finmark; although many of them have adopted it.

Hence, the Romans took the names of the Baltic Finns from the Germans.

From whom did the Germans talike them?
$\Delta$ suggestion of Geyer's, adopted by Bähr, is much to the point. The Finnic root swom-means fen; and many Finnic tribes call themselves by names compounded of $8-m$. Thus, the Esthonians are Some lassed $=$ fen-men; the Finlanders Suomelainen, the Laplanders Sabmelads, and the Karelians Swomaemejet. Lastly, the name Samoeid, which is not native,* and which is probably a Finn denomination adopted by the Ruscians, is reasonably supposed to come from the same root. Putting all together, it is likely that the term Fenor Fin- is the translation of Swom.

At the present time the ethnology of the tribes allied to both the Finns of Finland, and Lapps of Lapland, is clear. Each section belongs to the great Ogrian stock.

But it is the evidence of language which has given us this group. The evidence of physical conformation is more against than for it.

At the time of Tacitus no such generalization was practicable-

[^47]since the languages were wholly unknown, and the evidence they supplied unappreciated.

Hence the test was less refined. As a consequence of this, what we call Ogrian was, in the time of Tacitus, partly Finn and partly Sitonian.

1. The first was the name where the physioal conformation was that of the Lapps, a people to whom, at the present moment, the term Finn is limited by the Scandinstians of Norway and Sweden.
2. The second was that where the physical conformation was not much different from that of the Germans; and it comprised (probably with many other sections) the Quens or Finlanders-whose bulk and physical strength is by no means, palpably and contrastedly, inferior to that of the Swedes, Russians, snd Lithuanians. Of these the Sitones (or Qreens of Finland) were the chief. Tacitus makes them Suevic; by Suevic probably (but not indisputably) meaning Suionic.

Now the separation of what we now called Ugrians into the Sitonians and Finns is, in reality, the natural inference from the remarkable contrast between the Ugrians of the Lapp type, and the Ugrians of the Finlandic ; a difference which exists at the present moment as strongly as ever it could have done in the time of Tacitus, a difference, too, which, even in the preesent days of ethnological classification, has been often overvialued. Hence, the separation of the Sitones from the Fenni is no argument against the former being Finlanders, i.en, Qucens, properly ao called, Finns of Finland, improperly. It is just guch a separation as many an ethnologist would make now. The difference which it is most important to remember is that between the words Qvmn and Finn as names-s difference which we of England draw less definitely than did Tacitus; or, at least, Tacitus's informants.

- Hellusios et Oxionas.]-Upon the latter of these names I can throw but little light. On the former I can only remark the resemblance of their name to Ptolemy's river Chalusus (Xu入oveos rotaqoc) and the Chali (Xádor).

But these are in the parta sbout the Lower Elbe, or the rivers of Mecklenburg, or the Eyder, or, perhaps, the Trave; to the last of which the name Chalusus has been supposed to apply. Still, they may be the parts to which Tacitus refers ; notwithstanding the fact of the Hellusii being mentioned along with the Sitones and Finns
of the extreme eastern end of the Baltic. His transitions from one geographical ares to another sre sometimes very sbrupt; whilst the characteristic which brings them within the same category with the Fenni and Sitones is the comparative obscurity of their history.

Such being the case, I think it possible that, after having dispatched the ill-underatood families of Finland, Tacitus may take leave of his subject with a curvory notice of their equivalents, in obscurity, on the side of Deninark.

## EPILEGOMENA.

§ i. teir datr of thr grrmanla, ab compared with the other works of taotive, - grbmanic populations mentonkd in the annals and hagtoby, hut not in the germania.

Nirbubr has expressed an opinion, that the Germania was written during the youth of Tacitus.

Whatever other reasons there may be for holding this view, there is the following, -
A writer in a monograph is generally faller of details than he is in a general work. Now in the Annals and History, there are several German tribes mentioned, of which no notice is taken in the Gormania, and this omission is explained by the notion, that Tacitus' knowledge increased between the composition of the different works. Strange as it is, that he should not have known the Sicambri, Ampsivarii, \&c., when the Germania was written, it is stranger still, that he should have known and not enumerated them.
Hence, the Annals and History are, to a certain extent, the complement to the Germania, and similarly to compare small things with great, the present Epilegomena form the complement to the Notes.
Of the populations mentioned in the Annals and History, but not mentioned in the Germania, the following is the list.

1. The Sicambri.-First mentioned by Casar on the Lower Rhine (see extracts p. lxxix.), the Sicambri take considerable prominence and importance in the reign of Augustus. Being conquered by Drusua, they appear more than once in the poetry of both Ovid and Horace, as formidable enemies now humbled. Indeed, few names were more sssociated with the ideas of murderous ferocity and savage bravery than that of the Sicamber.

In a.b. 26, a Sicambra cohors was employed as far from its own country as Thrace.

This is believed to have permanently settled in Hnogary, on the very apot whereon the city of Buda was afterwards built. In a work quoted by Grimm, as Inseriptiones Sacrosancta Vetustatis, a stone said to have been dug up, "in Boda veteri, Mathim regis Ungariæ tempore, dum fundamenta jacerentur ædium Beatricis regine," bears the following inseription,"Legio Sicambrorum hic præsidio collocata civitatem ædificaverunt quam ex sao nomine Sicambriam vocaverunt."

The authenticity, however, of this stone is doubtful ; and, even if were not so, the ethnological fact it conveyed would be, in and of itself, minute and unimportant. It has been noticed, however, for a special reason. The fact of Sicambrians on the Danube, as well as Sicambrians on the Rhine, has been admitted as undoubted. Instead, however, of the colony being allowed to account for it, a Dapubian origin for the Rhenish Sicambrians, with migrations to match, has been inferred.

By the end of the first century, the name Sicambri has become of comparatively rare occurrence, and the very fact of its not appearing in the Germania, is a proof of the extent to which its greatness has diminished.

The Frank successors of Clovis, as well as Clovis himself, are often called Sioambri. In Gregory of Tours' account of the baptistr of Clovis, the bishop says,-" Mitis depone colla, Sicamber, adora quod incendisti, incende quod adorasti."

AgainCum sis progenitue clars de gente Sygamber. Venantius Fortunatus to King Claribert.
> "In Sicambrorum natione rex nullus illi ( $n$. Dagoberto) similis fuisse narraretur." - Vita S. Arnulpbi.

> In all these cases, however, the term is a titular archeiem; no nation then being called Sicambrian.

> Like Cherusci, then, Sicambri is a term which occurs during the early period of the history of the population to which it applied only.

> Which of the two usual explanations of a fact of this
kind must we take; the extermination of the people, or the change of name?

The evidence in favour of the former view, is strong; Tiberins-"Sicambros dedentes se traduxit in Galliam atque in proximis Rheno agris collocavit."-Suet. Aug. 21.

Again, - "Germanico (bello) quadraginta millia dedititiorum trajecit in Galliam, juxtaque ripam Rheni sedibus assignatis collocavit."-Idem, Tib. 9.

Tiberius, speaking of himself, saye, that, "Se novies a divo Augusto in Germaniam missum, plura consilio quam vi perfecisse ; sic Sicambros in deditionem acceptos, sic Suevos."Tac. Ann, ii. 26.

A stronger expression still ocours in another place:-" Ut quondam Sioambri excisi, et in Gallias trajecti forent, ita Silarum nomen penitus extinguendum."一Ann. xii. 39.

On the other hand,-
a. The name Sicambri was probably Gallic, since we find it in Cessar. Possibly, it was axclusivoly so ; in which case, the explanation is clear. It disappeared as soon as the Germans, to which it applied, became known by their German designations.
b. It was, perhaps, the collective name of a confederacy, consisting of Gugerni, Gambrivii, Marsi, and others; in which case it became obsolete when the confederacy was broken ap.

I do not profess to see my way clearly here; or to be able to decide to even my own satisfaction. Neither can I explain the relation between the names Si -cambri (Su-gambri) and Gambr-ivii; for I think it would be unsafe to consider it accidental.

Besides this, there is a Gambara, conspicuous as a female leader, in the Langobard traditions.

And, besides this, the Cimbri.
And, besides this, the root $k$-mp $=$ fight; so that kampfer $=$ fighting-man (champion).

The syllable si-, both Zeass and Grimm consider to represent the root sig = victoria; and as gambar =strenuus, Si-gambri $=$ Stg-gambri $=$ strong for victory $=$ sieg-tapfere.

Without admitting this, I have nothing better to propose; though, at times, I have thought that the si-, su-, or $8 y$-, might $=$ the su- in Su-ssex, and the $S u$-gambri $=$ South Gambrians.

At other times, it has looked like the $S-g$, in the name of the river Sieg; so that Si-gambri=Gambrians of the Sieg.

However, as long as there are fair reasons for believing it to be no German word at all, such guess-work is gratuitous.

The orthography of the name is varied. Although the $i$ be short-

> "Te cede gaudentes Sicambri, Compositis venerantur armis,"
the Greek form is इourá $\mu$ Efoc, with the diphthong, as in Strabo; though $\sum_{\text {'́ryajépos in most MSS. of Ptolemy. The }}$ best form, perhaps, is Sugambri.

The locality of the Sicambri is that of the Franks of the Lower Rhine; the question as to whether the Frank history be a continuation of the Sicambrian, or the history of another population on the same ground, being involved in the questions just noticed, viz. the extent to which the diaappearance of the name was nominal or real ; referable to the extinction of the nation, or referable to the displacement of an old term by a new one; explained by the influence of an army, or explained by the influence of a synonym.

And this question stands open.
2. The Gugerni of Tacitus, Guberni of Pliny.-The present town of Gellich indicates the exact locality of this population.

In a document, A.d. 904, it has the form Geldapa.
In Tacitus, it is the well-known locality Gelduba.-Hist. iv. 26, $\mathbf{\nabla} .16,18$.
3. Tubantes.-"Chamavorum quondam ea arva, mox Tubantum, et post Usipiorum."-Ann. xiii. 55.

Along with this should be taken from the following chapter, the notice of the-
4. Ampsi-varii.-"Sola Ampsivariorum gens retro ad Usipios et Tubantes concessit; quorum terris exacti cum Chattos, dein Cheruscoe petiissent, errore longo, hospites, egeni, hostes in alieno, quod juventutis erat, cemduntur. Imbellis ætas in predam divisa eat."-Ibid. 56.

Now, the name of each of these populations gives their localities.
a. Tu-bantes is the oldest form of the Dotch district Tw-sath, in Overijsel.
b. Ampsi-varii =Emis-vocre, occupants of the (Upper) Ems.

Both seem to have been on the Cherusco-Frisian frontier (perhaps as Marchmen), and, consequently, it is difficult to give them their exact ethnological position.

That considerable displacement occurred in these parts is certain. The annihilation of the Ampsivarit is not so.

The name re-appears in the fourth century-" Panci ex Ampsivariis et Chattis, Marcomere duce, in ulterioribus collium jugis apparuere."-Sulpic. Alex. ap. Gregor. Turon. 2, 9 .

The Ampsivarii of Tacitus are, almost certainly, the $\mathrm{A} \mu$ $\psi$ laros of Strabo. Whether they are also the Kap $\psi$ lavoc of that writer is doubtful.-See Epilagomena.
b. Caninefates. -The locality now called Kin-heim, and Ken-mere in North Holland, is considered to retain the root Oan- of the compond form ; the power of the final elements being unknown, and the evidence of the word being a compound at all being, consequently, inconclusive. Such, however, it probably is.

The Caninefatea are mentioned in Hist. iv. 15, 16, 18.
They are closely connected with the Batavi; a fact, which, as far as it goes, is in favour of that population being native. rather than of Hessian origin.-See note in vv. Subatti, and § Batti, in Epilgoomena.

A measure of the extent to which absolute and implicit faith is to be placed in each and every statement, of even so great a writer as Tacitus, is to found in his account of the Jews, whom he brings from Orete. Yet it was easier to write correctly about the Jews, than about the populations of Courland, Gallicia, and Poland.

## $\$$ in. ter dea tapana.

A dea Tacfana, Tanfana, or Tamfana is mentioned as a local goddess of the Marsi.

No light has been thrown upon the nature of her oultus; indeed, the mention of her is a strong instance of the extent to which the German mythology of Tacitus is not the mythology of Germany, in the seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, and eleventh centaries.

## § ri. the dea rludana.

In Clever, a stone with the following inscription was dug op, and preserved at Xanten, deas hlddanar sacbum c. tharbies verve.

Mutatis mutandis what applies to the dea Tacfana applies to the dea Hludana.

## § iv. the notich of germany in ptolemy.

The German part of Ptolemy's geography is more truly a complement to the Germania of Tacitus, than any other work extant; since two areas, bat slightly noticed by the Latin writer, are given by the Greek one with a fair amount of detail. These are, -
a. The country to the east of the Upper Rhine, wherein we find such new names as Nertereanes, Damduti, \&c. $=$ the Hermanduri of Suabia, Franconia, Baden, and Bavaria.
b. The parts to the north of the Elbe, viz., Holstein, Sleswick, and Jutland, along with a portion of Scandinavia. This gives such names as Sigulones, Phundusii, \&o.

It is, perhaps, almost superfiuous to add, that Ptolemy is the first author who mentions the Sazons by that name.

As with Strabo, the names printed with their letters wider apart than usual, will be subjected to further notice in a special section (§).
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## § v．extracte from joinandea de reavs getiets．

Jornandes，an Ostro－Goth by birth，was Bishop of Ravenna about a．d．530．The following extracts are given，for the sake of showing the form which a mixture of tradition， speculation，and heterogeneous accounts of other populations， took in the hands of one of the first native Goths who attended to the antiquities of his nation．

Having premised it was from the bosom of a northern island named Scanzia，that his countrymen came，like a swarn of bees，into Enrope，and after a reference to Ptolemy be continues－

In Scazzia vero insula, unde nobis sermo est, licet multa et diverse maneant nationes, septem tamen earum nomina meminit Ptolemrons. In cajus parte arctoa gens Adogit consistit, quæ fertur in æbstate media quadraginta diebus et noctibus luces habere continuas; itemque brumali tempore, eadem dieram noctiamque numero lucem claram nescire. Ita alternato mœrore cum gaudio, beneficio aliis damnoque impar est. Et hoe quare? Quia prolixioribns diebus solem ad orientem per axis marginem vident redeantem: brevioribus vero non sic conspicitur apud illos, sed aliter; quia Austrina signa percurrit, et qui nobis videtur sol ab imo surgere, illis per terre marginem dicitur circuire. Alix vero ibi gentes Crefennæ, qui fromentorum nod quæritant victum: sed carnibus ferarum atque aviom vivunt. Ubi tanta paludibus fretars ponitur, ut et augmentum prestent generi, et satietatem ac copiam genti. Alia vero gens ibi moratur Suethans, quæ velut Thuringi, equis atontur eximiis. Hi quoque sunt, qui in usus Romanorum Saphirinas pelles commercio interveniente per alias innumeras gentes transmittunt, famosi pellium decora nigredine. Hi quum inopes virunt, ditissimè vestiuntur. Sequuntur deinde diversarum turben nationum, Theusthes, Vagoth, Bergio, Hallin, Liothida, quormm omnium sedes sub humo plana ac fertili, et propterea inibi aliarum gentium incursionibus infestantur. Post hos Athelnil, Finnaithæ, Fervir, Gautigoth, acre hominum genua, et ad bella promptissimum. Dehine mixti Evagerm Othingis. Hi omnes exesis rupibus, quasi castellis inhabitant, ritu beloino. Sunt ex his exteriores Ostrogothm, Raumaricm, Raugaricii, Finni mitissimi, Scanzies cultoribus omnibus mitiores: necnon et pares eorum Vinoviloth, Suethidi, Cogeni in hac gente reliquis corpore eminentiores, quamvis et Dani ex ipsorum stirpe progressi, Erulos propriis sedibus expulerunt: qui inter omnes Scanzix nationes nomen sibi ob nimiam proceritatem affectant precipunm. Sunt quanquam et illorum positura Grannii, Aganzia, Unixa, Ethelrugi, Arochiranni, quibus non ante omnes, sed ante multos annos Rodulf rex fuit: qui contempto proprio regno, ad Theoderici Gothorum regis gremium convolavit, et at desiderabat, invenit. Ha itaque gentes Romanis corpore et animo grandiores, infestm sævitia pugnæ.

Ex hac igitur Scanzia insula, quasi officina gentium, aut certe velut vagina nationum, cum rege auo nomine Berich Gothi quondam memorantur egressi: qui nt primum e navibus exeuntes terras attigere, illico loco nomen dederunt. Nam hodie illic, at fertar, Gothiscanzia vecatur. Unde mox promoventes ad sedes Ulmeragorum, qui tunc Oceani ripas insidebant, castrametati sunt, eosque commisso proelio propriis sedibus pepalerunt: eorumque vicinos Wandalos jam tunc subjugantes, suis applicuere victoriis. Ibi vero magna populi numerositate crescente, etiam pene quinto rege regnante, post Berich, Filimer, filio Godarici, consilio sedit, ut exinde cum familiis Gothorum promoveret exercitus. Qui aptissimas sedes, locaque dum quæreret congrua, pervenit ad Scythim terras, quæ lingua eorum Ouin vocabantur. Ubi delectato magna ubertate regionum exercitu, et medietate transposita, pons dicitur, unde amnem transjecerat, miserabiliter corruisse, nec ulterins jam cuiquam licnit ire, ant redire. Nam is locus, ut fertur, tremulis paludibus voragine circumjecta concluditur: quem utraque confusione natara reddidit impervium. Veruntamen hodieque illic et voces armentorum audiri, et indicia hominum deprehendi, commeantium adtestatione, quamvis a longe audientium, credere licet. Hæc igitur pars Gothorum, quæ apud Filimer dicitar in terras Onin emenso amne transposita, optatum potita solum : nee mora: illico ad gentem Spalorum adveniunt, consertoque prelio victoriam adipiscuntur. Exindeque jam velut victores ad extremam Scythis partem, quæ Pontico mari vicina est, properant: quemadmodum et in priscis corum carminibus pene historico rita in commune recolitar: quod et Ablavius descriptor Gothoram gentis egregius verissima adtestatur historia. In quam sententiam et nonanlli consensere majorum. Josephus quoque annalium relator verissinous, dum ubique veritatis conservat regulam, et origines causarum a principio revolvit, heec vero, qua diximus, de gente Gothorum principia cur omiserit, ignoramus. Sed tamen ab hoc loco eorum stirpem commemorans, Scythas eos et natione et vocabulo asserit appellatos: cajus soli terminos, antequam aliud ed mediam deducamus, necesse est, ati jaceant, dicere.

Scythia siquidem Germaniæ terre confinis, eotenus ubi

Hister oritur amnis, vel stagnum dilatatur Mysianum, tendens cusque ad flumina Tyram, Danastrum, et Vagosolam, magnumque illum Danubium, Tanrumque montem, non illum Asiz, sed proprium, id est Scythicum, per omnem Mæotidis ambitum, altraque Mæotida, per angustias Bospori usque ad Caucasum montem, amnemque Araxem: ac deinde in sinistram partem reflexa, post mare Caspinm, quæ in extremis Asir finibus ab Oceano Euroboreo, in modum fungi primum tenuis, post bæe latissima et rotunda forma exoritur, vergens ad Hunnos, Albanos, et Seres usque digreditur. Hæc inquam patria, id est Scythia, longe se tendens, lateque aperiens, habet ab oriente Seres, in ipso sui principio ad litus Caspii maris commanantes; ab occidente Germanos, et flumen Vistulx; ab arctoo, id est septentrionali, circumdatur Oceano : a meridie Perside, Albania, Hiberia, Ponto, atque extremo alveo Histri, qui dicitur Danubius, ab ostio suo usque ad fontem. In eo vero loci latere, quo Ponticum litus attingit, oppidis haud obscuris involvitur, Boristhenide, Olbia, Callipode, Chersone, Theodosio, Pareone, Mirmycione, et Trapezunte: quas indomite Scytharum nationes Grecos permisere condere, sibimet commercia prestaturos. In cujus Scythia medio est locus, qui Asiam Europamque ab alterutro dividit. Riphæi scilicet montes, qui Tanaïn vastissimum fundunt intrantem Mæotida; cujus paludis circuitus passaum millia cxinil, nusquàu octo ulnis altius subsidentis. In qua Scythia prima ab occidente gens sedit Gepidarum, quæ magnis opinatisque ambitur fluminibus. Nam Tisianus per aquilonem ejus coramque discurrit. Ab Africo vero magnus ipse Danu.bius, ab euro fluvias Tausis secat : qui rapidus ac verticosus in Histri fluenta furens devolvitur. Introrsus illi Dacia est, ad coronæ speciem arduis Alpibus emunita: jaxta quorum sinistram latus, quod in aquilonem vergit, et ab ortu Vistule flaminis per immensa spatia venit, Winidarum natio populosa consedit. Quorom nomina licet nunc per varias familias et loca matentur, principaliter tamen Sclavini et Antes nominantur. Sclavini a civitate nova, et Sclavino Rumunnense, et lacu qui appellatur Masianus, usque ad Danastram, et in boream Viscla tenus commorantur: hi paludes sylvasque pro civitatibus habent. Antes vero, qui sunt eornm fortissimi,
qui ad Ponticum mare curvantur, a Danastro extenduntur usque ad Danubium: quæ flamina maltis mansionibus ab invicem absunt. Ad litus autem Oceani, nbi tribus faucibus fluenta Vistulæ flaminis ebibuntur, Vidioarii resident, ex diversis nationibus aggregati. Post quos ripam Oceani Itemesti tenent, pacatum hominum genns omnino. Quibus in anstro adsedit gens Agazzirorum fortissima, frugum ignara, quæ pecoribas et venationibus victitat. Ultra quos distenduntur supra mare Ponticum Bulgaroram sedes, quos notissimos peccatorum nostrorum mala fecere. Hine jan Hunni, quasi fortissimarum gentium foecundissimus cespes, in bifariam populoram rabiem pallularunt. Nam alii Aulziagri, alii Aniri nuncupantur, qui tamen sedes habent diversag. Jaxta Chersonem Aulziagri, quo Asix bona avidus mereator importat, qui æatate campos pervagantur effusos, sedes habentes, pront armentorum invitaverint pabula; hyeme supra mare Ponticum se referentes. Hunugari autem hine sunt noti, quia ab ipsis pellium marinarnm venit commercium: quos tantoram virorum formidavit audacia. Quorum mansionem primam esse in Scythize solo, juxta paludem Mrotidem, secundo in Moesia, Thraciaque, et Dacia, tertio supra mare Ponticum, rursus in Scythia legimus habitasse: nec eorum fabulas alicubi reperimus scriptas, qui eos dicant in Britannia, vel in ana qualibet insularum in servitutem redactos, et unins caballi pretio quondam redemptos. Aut certe si quis eos aliter dixerit in nostro orbe, quam quod nos diximus, fuisse exortos, nobis aliquid obstrepit: nos enim potius lectioni credimns, quam fabulis anilibus consentimus. Ut ergo ad nostrum propositum redeamus, in prima parte Scythim juxta Mrootidem commanentes prefati, onde loquimur, Filimer regem habuisse noscuntur. In secundo, id est, Dacix, Thracizque et Meesim solo Zamolxen, quen mire philosophices eruditionis fuisse testantur plerique scriptores annalium. Nam et Zeatam prius habuerunt eruditum, post etiam Diceneum, tertinm Zamolxen, de quo superius diximus. Nec defuerunt, qui eos sapientiam eradirent. Unde et pene omnibus barbaris Gothi sapientiores semper extiterunt, Greecieque pene consimiles, ut refert Dio, qui historias eorum annalesque Greco stilo composait. Qui dixit primum Tarabosteos, deinde vocitatos Pileatos hos, qui
inter eos generosi extabant; ex quibus eis et reges, et sacerdotes ordinabantur. Adeo ergo fuere laudati Getze, ot dudum Martem, quem poetarom fallacia denm belli pronunciat, apud eos fuisee dicant exortam. Unde et Virgilius,
"Cradivumque patrem, Geticis qui presidet arvis."
Quem Martem Gothi semper asperrima placavere cultura. Nam victime ejus mortes faere captorum, opinantes bellorum prebulem aptius humani sanguinis effugione placandum. Huic prædæ primordia vovebantur, huic truncis suspendebantur exuviæ: eratque illis religionis preter cæteros insinuatus affectus, quam parenti devotio nominis videretur impendi. Tertia vero sedes supra mare Ponticum. Jam humaniores, et, at superius diximus, pradentiores effecti, divisi per familias populi, Wesegotha familiæ Balthorum, Ostrogothæ preclaris Amalis serviebant. Quoram studium fuit primum, inter alias gentes vicinas, arcus intendere nervis; Lucano, plas historico .quam poeta, testante,
"Armeniosque arcus Geticis intendere nervi."
Ante quos etiam cantu majorum facta modulationibus citharisque canebant, Ethespamaræ, Hanalæ, Fridigerni, Widicula, et aliorum, quorum in hac gente magna opinio est, quales vix heroas fuisse miranda jactat antiquitas. Tunc, nt fertur, Vesoces Scythis lachrymabile sibi potius intalit bellum, eis videlicet, quos Amazonam viros prisca tradit auctoritas. De queis feminas bellatrices et Orosias in primo volumine professa voce testatur. Unde cum Gothis eum dimicasse evidenter probamus, quem cum Amazonum viris absolute pugnasse cognoscimps: qui tonc a Boristhene amne, quem accolse Danubium vocant, usque ad Tanain finvinm, circa sinum paludis Mrotidis considebant. Tanaïn vero hunc dico, qui ex Ripheis montibus dejectus adeo preceps ruit, at quam vicina flumina, sive Meotis, vel Bosporus gelu solidentur, solus amnium confragosis montibus vaporatus, nunquam Scythico durescit algore. Hic inter Asiam Europamque terminus famosus habetur: nam alter est ille, qui montibus Chrinnorum oriens, in Caspium mare dilabitur. Danubins autem ortus grandi palude, quasi ex mari profunditur. Hic usque ad
medium sui dulcis est et potabilis, piscesque nimii saporis gignit, ossibus carentes, cartilaginem tantum habentes in corporis continentiam. Sed ubi fit Ponto vicinior, parvom fontem suscipit, cui* ex Ampheo cognomen est, adeo amarum, ot cum sit xu. dierum itinere navigabilis, hujus aquis exiguis immutetur, infestusque ac dissimilis sui, inter Greca oppida Callipidae et Hipanis, in mare defluat. Ad cajus ostia insula est in fronte, Achillis nomine. Inter hos terte vastissima, silvis consita, paludibus.dubia.

Hic ergo Gothis morantibus, Vesoces Fgyptiornm rex in bellum irruit: quibus tane Taunasis rex erat. Quo proelio ad Phasim fluvium, a quo Phasides aves exortæ, in toto mundo eduliis potentum exuberant, Taunasis Guthorum rex Vesoci Agyptioram occarrit, eumque graviter debellans, in Agyptum usque persecutus est: et nisi Nili amnis intransmeabilis obstitigeent fluenta, vel munitiones, quas dudum sibi, ob incursiones Athiopum Vesocis fieri pracepisset, ibi in ejus eum patria extinxisset. Sed dum eum semper ibi positum non valuisset lædere, revertens pene omnem Asiam subjugavit, et sibi tunc caro amico Sorno rege Medorum ad persolvendum tributum, subditum fecit. Ex cujus exercitu victores tnnc nonnulli provincias subditas contuentes, et in omni fertilitate pollentes, deserto suorum agmine sponte in Asiæ partibus resederunt. Ex quorum nomine vel genere Trogus Pompeins Parthorum dicit extitisse prosapiam. Unde etiam hodieque lingua Scythica fugaces, quod est Parthi, dicuntur: suoque generi respondentes, inter omnes pene Asim nationes soli sagittarii sunt, et acerrimi bellatores. De nomine vero, qnod diximus eos Parthos, id est fugaces, ita aliquanti etymologiam traxerunt, ut dicerentur Parthi, quia suos refugere parentes. Hunc ergo Taunasim regem Gothoram mortuum inter numina sui populi eoluerunt.

Post cajns decessum exercitu ejus cum successore ipsins in aliie partibus expeditionem gerente, femingo Gothorum a quadam vicina gente tentatæ, in prædamque ducte a viris, fortiter restiterunt, hostesque super se venientes cum magna verecundia abegerunt. Qua parata victoria, freteque majori audacia, invicem se cohortantes, arma arripiunt, eligentesque

[^48]duas andaciores Lampeto* et Marpesiam principatai subrogarunt. Quæ dum curam gerunt, ut propria defenderent, et aliena vastarent, sortito Lampeto restitit, fines patrios tuendo. Marpesia vero feminaram agmine sumpto, novum genus exercitus duxit in Agism, diversasque gentes bello auperans, alias vero pace concilians, ad Cancasum venit : ibique certum tempus demorans, loco nomen dedit, Saxum Marpesiæ. Unde Virgilins,
"Quam si dura silex aut stet Marpesia cautes."
In eo loco ubi post hæc Alexander Magnus portas constituens, Pylas Caspias nominavit: quod nunc Lazorum gens custodit pro munitione Romana. Hic ergo certum temporis Amazones commanentes confortatæ sunt. Unde egressee, et Alym fluvium, qui juxta Gargarum civitatem preterfluit, transeuntes, Armeniam, Syriam, Ciliciamque, Galatiam, Pisidiam, omniaque Asiæ oppida, æqua felicitate domuerunt: Ioniam, 平oliamque conversee, deditss sibi provincias effecerunt. Ubi diatins dominantes, etiam civitates castraque suo nomini dicaverunt. Ephesi quoque templum Diano, ob sagittandi venandique studium, quibus se artibns tradidissent, effusis opibus, miræ pulchritudinis condiderunt. Tali ergo Scythicy gentis feminæ casu Asiæ regno potitæ, per centum pene annos tenuerunt, et sic demum ad proprias socias in cautes Marpesias, quas anperius diximas, repedarunt, in montem scilicet Caucasum. Cujus montis, quia facta iterum mentio est, non ab re arbitror ejus tractum situmque describere, quando maximam partem orbis noscitur circuire jugo continuo. Cancasus ab Indico mari sargens, qua meridiem respicit, sole vaporatus ardescit. Qua septentrioni patet, rigentibus ventis est obpoxias et pruinis. Mox in Syriam curvato angulo reflexus, licet amnium plarimos emittat, in Asianam tamen regionem Enfratem Tigrimque navigeros, ad opinionem maximam perennium fontium, copiosis fandit uberibus. Qui amplexantes terras Assyriorum, Mesopotamiam appellari faciunt, et videri; in sinum maris Rabri fluenta deponentes. Tunc in boream revertens, Scythias terras, jugum antefatum magnis flexibus pervagatur: atque ibidem opinatissima flumina in Caspiam mare profundens, Arazem, Cyssum, et Cambysen, continuato

[^49]jago ad Ripheos asque montes extenditur. Indeque Scythicis gentibus dorso suo terminum prebene, ad Pontum usque descendit: consertisque collibus, Histri quoque fluenta contingit, quo amnis scissas dehiscens, in Scythia quaque Taurus vocatur. Talis ergo tantusque, et pene omniam maximas, excelsas suas erigens summitates, naturali constructioni presstat gentibus ibexpagnanda munimina. Nam locstim rescisus, qua diarupto jugo vallis hiatn patescit, nunc Caspias portas, nunc Armenias, nanc Cilicas, vel secundum locum qualis fuerit, facit; vix tamen plaustro meabilis, lateribus in altitudinem atrimque directis, qui pro gentinm varietate diverso vocabulo nuncupatur. Hunc enim Iamnium, mox Propanismum Indas appellat. Parthas primum Castra, post Nifacen edicit. Syrus et Armenius Taurum ; Scythø Caucasum ac Ripheum, iterumque in fine Taurum cognominant: aliaque complura gentes haie jugo dedere vocabula. Ett quia de ejus continuatione paucs libavimus, ad Amazones, unde divertimus, redeamus.

Veritm bx, ne esrum proles raresceret, a vicinis gentibas concubitum petierunt; facta nundina semel in anno, ita ut futuris temporibas eis deinde revertentibus in idipsam, quicquid partus masculini edidisset, patri redderet : quiequid vero feminei sexus nasceretur, mater ad arma bellica erudiret. Sive, at quibusdam placet, editis maribus, novercali odio infantis miserandi fata rumpebant: ita apud illas detestabile puerperium erat, quod ubique constat esse votivam. Quæ crudelitas illis terrorem magnum cumulabat, opinione vulgata. Nam quex, rogo, spes esset capto, ubi ignosci vel filio nefas habebatar! Contra has, ut fertux, pugnabat Hercules; et Melanes pene plus dolo, quam virtute subegit. Thesens vero Hippoliten in prædam tulit, de qua genuit et Hippolytum. Hx quoque Amazones post hæc habuere reginam nomine Penthesileam, cajus Trojano bello extant clarisaima documenta. Nam he femina usque ad Alexandrum Magnum referuntar tenuisse regnum.

Sed ne dicas, de viris Gothornm sermo adsumptus, cur in feminis tamdin perseveret: audi et virorum insignem et laudabilem et fortitudinem. Dio historicus, et antiquitatum diligentissimus inquisitor, qui operi suo Getica titulum dedit (quos Getas jam superiori loco Gothos esse probavimus,

Orosio Paulo dicente); hic Dio regem illis post tempora multa commemorat, nomine Telephum. Ne vero quis dicat hoie nomen a lingua Gothica omnino peregrinam esae, nemo eat qui nesciat animadverti, usu pleraque nomina gentes amplecti, ut Romani Macedonum, Graci Romanorum, Sarmats Germanornm, Gothi plerumque mutnantur Hunnorum. Is ergo Telephus Herculis filius, natus ex Ange sorore Priami, conjugio copulatus, procerus quidem corpore, sed plas vigore terribilis, paternam fortitudinem propriis virtutibus wquans, Herculis genio formæ quoque similitudinem referebat. Hujus itaque regnun Mcesiam appellavere majores. Ques provincia ab oriente ostia fluminis Danubii, a meridie Macedoniam, ab occasu Histriam, a septentrione Danubium habet. Is ergo antefatus habuit bellum cum Danais, in qua pugna Thessandrum ducem Greciz interemit; et dum Ajacem infestus invadit, Ulyssemque persequitur, equo cadente, ipse corruit, Achillisque jaculo femore sauciatus, diu mederi nequivit: Grecos tamen, quamvis jam saucius, e suis finibus protarbavit. Telepho vero defuncto, Eurypilus filius successit in regno, ex Priami Phrygum regis germana progenitus. Qui ob Cassandrae amorem bello interesse Trojano, ac parentibus soceroque ferre auxiliam cupiens, mox at venit extinctus est.

Cyrus rex Persarum post grande intervallum, et pene post sercentorum triginta annorum tempora, Pompeio Trogo testante, Getaram reginæ Tamiri, sibi exitiale, intulit bellum. Qui elatus ex Agire victoria, Getas nititur subjugare; in quibus (at diximus) regnaverat Taminis. Quæ cum ab Araxe amne Cyri arcere potuisset accessus, transire tamen permisit, eligens armis eum vincere, quam locorum beneficio submovere: quod et factum eat. Et veniente Cyro, prima cessit fortuna Parthis tanta, at et filium Tamiris, et plurimam exercitum trucidarent. Sed iterato Marte, Getme cam sua regina Parthos devictos superant atque prosternunt, opimamque predam de eis auferunt: ibique primum Gothoram gens serica vident tentoria. Tunc Tamiris regina nacta victoria, tantaque preda de inimicis potita, in partem Mæsiæ (quฒ nune ex magna Scythia nomen mutuata, minor Scythia eat appellata) transiens, ibi in ponte Moesiæ colitur, et Tamiris civitatem suo de nomine xdificsvit. Dehine Darins rex Persarum,

Hystaspis filins, Antyri regis Gothorum filiam in matrimonium expostalavit, rogans pariter atque deberrens, nisi suam peragerent voluntatem. Cujus affinitatem Gothi spernentes, legationem ejns frastrarunt. Qui repulsus, farore flammatus est, et octoginta millia armatorum contra ipsos produxit exercitum, verecundiam suam malo pnblico vindicare contendens; navibusque pene a Chalcedonia nsque ad Byzantium, ad instar pontium tabulatis atque consertis, petit Thraciam et Mcesiam; ponteque rursus in Danubio pari modo constructo duobus mensibus crebris fatigatus intaphis, octo millia perdidil armatoram. Timensque ne pons Danubii ab ejus adversariis occoparetur, celeri fuga in Thraciam repedavit: nec Mossiz colum credens sibi tutum fore aliquantum remorandi. Post cajus tlecessum iterum Xerxes filius ejus paternas injarias ulcisci se sestimans, cum suis ducentis, et auxiliatorum trecentis millibus armatorum, rostratas naves habens mille septingentas, et onerarias tria millia, super Gothos profectus ad bellum; nee tentata re in conflictu prevaluit, animositate constantie superatus. Sic namque ut venerat, absque aliquo certamine auo cum rubore recessit. Philippus quoque pater Alexandri Magni cam Gothis amicitias copulans, Medopam Gothile filiam regis accepit nxorem, ut tali affinitate roboratus, Macedonum regna firmaret. Qua tempestate, Dione historico dicente, Pbilippus inopiam peconiæ passus, Udisitanam Mossim civitatem instractis copiis vastare deliberat, quæ tune propter viciniam Tamiris, Gothis erat subjecta. Unde et sacerdotes Gothorum aliqui, illi qui Pii vocabantur, subito patefactis portis cum citharis et vestibus candidis obviam sunt egressi paternis diis, at sibi propitii Macedones repellerent, voce supplici modulantes. Quos Macadones sic fiducialiter sibi occurrere contuentes, stupescunt; et si dici fas est, ab inermibus tenentur armati. Nec mora, acie soluta, quam ad bellum construxeruat, non tantum ab urbis excidio removere; verum etiam et quos foris fuerunt jure belli adepti, reddiderunt, fodereque inito ad sua reversi sunt. Quem dolum post longum tempus reminiscens egregius Gothorum ductor Si talcus, cu. viroram millibus congregatis, Atheniensibus intulit bellum, adversus Perdiccam Macedonie regem, quem Alexander apud Babyloniam ministri insidiis potans interitam,

Atheniensiam principatni hereditario jure reliquerat successorem. Magno prelio cum hoc inito, Gothi superiores inventi sunt: et sic pro injuria, quam illi in Messia dudum fecissent, isti in Greciam discurrenteg, cunctam Macedoniam vastavere.

Tum Gothi hand segnes reperti, arma capessunt, primoque armati conflictu mox Romanos devincunt: Fuscoque duce extincto, divitias de castris militum despoliant, magnaque potiti per loca victoria, jam proceres suos quasi qui fortuna vincebant, non puros homines, ged semideos, id est Anses vocavere. Quorum genealogiam paucis percurram; nt quo quis parente genitus est, ant unde origo accepta, ubi finem efficit, absque invidia qui legis, vera dicentem ansculte

Horum ergo (ut ipsi suis fabulis ferunt) primus furt Gapt, qui genuit Halmal; Halmal vero genuit Angis; Augis genuit eom, qui dictus est Amala, a quo et origo Amalorum decurrit. Et Amala genuit.Isarnam; Isarna antem genuit Ostrogotham; Ostrogotha genvit Unilt; Unilt genuit Athal; Athal genuit Achiulf; Achiulf genuit Ansilam et Ediulf, Voldalf, et Hermenrich; Vuldulf vero genuit Valeravans; Valeravans autem genuit Winitharinm; Winitharius quoque genuit Theodemir et Walemir et Widemir; Theodemir genuit Theodericam; Theodericue genuit Amalasnentam; Amalasuenta genuit Athalaricum et Mathasuentam, de Widerico viro suo, cujus affinitati generis sic ad eam conjunctus est. Nam supradictus Hermearicus, filias Achiulfi, genuit Hannimundum: Hunnimundus antem genait Thorismundum; Thorismundus vero genait Berimnndum; Berimandus genait Widericum; Widericus genait Entharicum; qui conjunctus Amalasuenter genuit Athalaricum et Mathasuentam; mortuoque in puerilibus annia Athalarico, Mathasuentw Witichis est sociatus, de qua non suscepit liberum : adductique simul a Belisario in Constantinopolim, et Witichi rebus excedente humanis, Germanns patricius, fratruelis domini Justiniani Imperatoris, eandem in conjugio sumens, patriciam ordinariam fecit; de qus fliom genuit, item Germanum nomine. Germano vero defuncto, ipsa vidua perseverare disponit. Qualiter autem, aut quomodo Amalorum regaum destructum est, loco suo (si Dominus voluerit) edocebimus. Nune antem ad id,
unde digressum fecimus, redeamus, doceamusque quando ordo gentis, unde agimus, cursus ani metam expleverit. Ablavius enim historicus refert, quia ibi super limbam Ponti, nbi eos diximus in Scythia commanere, pars eoram, qui orientalem plagam tenebant, eisque preerat Ostrogotis (incertum ntrum ab ipsius nomine, an a loco orientali) dicti sunt Ostrogothæ, residni vero Wesegothæ in parte cecidua. Et quidem jam dirimus, eos transito Danubio aliquantum temporis apud Moesiam, Thraciamque vixisse.

Ab hinc ergo, at dicebamus, post longam obsidionem accepto præmio ditatas Geta, recessit ad patriam. Quem Gepidarum cernens natio subito ubique vincentem, preedisque ditatum, invidia ductas, arma in parentes movet. Quomodo vero Getæ Gepidæque sint parentes si quæris, paucis absolvam. Meminisse debes, me initio de Scanziæ insulæ gremio Gothos dixisse egressos cum Berich suo rege, tribus tantum navibus vectos ad citerioris Oceani ripam; quarum trium una navis, ut assolet, tardius vecta, nomen genti fertur dedisse; nam lingua eorum pigra Gepanta dicitur. Hinc factum est, ot paullatim et corrupte nomen eis ex convitio nasceretur. Gepidæ namque aine dubio ex Gothorum prosapia ducuunt originem : sed quia, ut dixi, Gepanta pigrum aliquid tardumque signat, pro gratuito convitio Gepidarum nomen exortum est, quod nee ipsum; credo falsissimum. Sunt enim tardioris ingenii, graviores corporum velocitate. Hi ergo Gepidx tacti invidia, dudum spreta provincia, commanebant in insula Viscle amnis vadis circumacta, quam pro patrio sermone dicebant Gepidos. Nunc eam, ut fertar, insulam gens Vividaria incolit, ipsis ad meliores terras meantibus. Qui Vividarii ex diversis nationibus acsi in unum asylum collecti sunt, et gentem fecisse noscuntur.

Gothorum rege Geberich rebus excedente humanis, post temporis aliquod Hermanricus nobilissimus Amaloram, in regno successit : qui multss et bellicosissimas arctoas gentes perdomuit, suisque parere legibas fecit. Quem merito nounulli Alezandro Magno comparavere majores. Habebat siquidem quos domuerat, Gothos, Seythas, Thuidos, Inaunxis,

Vasinabroncas, Merens, Mordensimnis, Caris, Rocas, Tadzans, Athnal, Navego, Bubegentas, Coldas; et cum tantorum servitio carus haberetar, non passus est nisi et gentem Herulornm, quibus præerat Alaricus, magna ex parte trucidatam, reliquam suæ subigeret ditioni. Nam predicta gens (Ablavio historico referente) juxta Mrotidas paludes habitans in locis stagnantibus, quas Greeci Hele vocant, Heruli nominati sunt : gens quanto velox, eo amplius superbissima. Nulla siquidem erat tunc gens, quæ non levem armaturam in acie sua ex ipsis elegerint. Sed quamvis velocitas corum ab aliis sepe bellantibus eos tataretur, Gothorum tamen stabilitati sabjacuit et tarditati : fecitque causa fortunæ, ut et ipsi inter reliquas geutes Getarum regi Hermanrico servierint. Post Herulorum ceddam idem Hermanricas in Venetos arma commorit; qui quamvis armis desperiti, sed numerositate pollentes, primo resistere conabantur. Sed nihil valet multitudo in bello, preesertim ubi et Deus permittit, et multitudo fortium armata advenerit. Nam hi, nt initio expositionis, vel catalogo gentis dicere ccapimus, ab una atirpe exorti tria nunc nomina reddidere, id est Veneti, Antes, Sclavi: qui quamvis nunc ita facientibus peccatis nostris ubique desmeviunt, tamen tunc omnes Hermanrici imperiis serviere. Hæstorum quoque similiter nationem, qui longissimam ripam Oceani Germanici insident, idem ipse prudentia virtate subegit, omnibusque Scythim et Germaniæ nationibus, acesi propriis laboribus, imperavit.

Post autem nou longi temporis intervallum, at refert Orosius, Hunnoram gens omni ferocitate atrocior exarsit in Gothos: eosque qui prius timori erant cexteris gentibus, ab antiquis conterritos pepulit sedibus. Nam hos, at refert antiquitas, ita extitisse comperimus. Filimer rex Gothorum, et Gandarici magni filius, post egressum Scanziæ insula jam quinto loco tenens principatum Getarum, qui et terras Scythicas cum sua gente introisset, sicut a nobis dictum est, repperit in populo suo quasdam magas mulieres, quas patrio sermone Alyrumnas is ipse cognominat, easque habens suspectas de medio sui proturbat, longeque ab exercitu suo fugatas in solitudine coegit errare. Quas silvestres homines, quos Faunos Ficarios vocant, per eremum vagantes dum vidissent, et earum se complezibus in coitu miscuissent, genus
hoc ferocissimum edidere; quod fuit primum inter paludes Mæotidas minatum, tetrum, atque exile, quasi inhumanum genus, nec alia voce notnm, nisi quod humani sermonis imaginem assignabat. Tali ergo Hunni stirpe creati, Gothorum finibus advenere. Quorum natio seva, nt priseus historicus refert, in Mseotide palude alteriorem ripam insedit: venatione tantum, nec alio labore experta, nisi quod postquam crevisset in popalos, frandibus et rapinis vicinam gentem conturbavit. Hujus ergo (ut assolent) venatores, dum in alteriori Maotidis ripa venationes inquirunt, animadvertunt quomodo ex improviso cerve se illis obtulit, ingressaque palude nunc progrediens, nunc subsistens, indicem ze vim tribuit. Quam secati venatores, paludem Mmotidem, quam imperviam at pelagus existimabant, pedibus transiere. Mor quoque at Scythica terra ignotis apparuit, cerva disparnit. Quod credo spiritus illi, unde progeniem trahunt, ad Scytharam invidiam egere. Illi vero, qui preter Mrotidem palndem alinm mandum esse penitus ignorabant, admiratione inducti terres Scythix, et at sunt solertes, iter illud nulli ante hane wratem notissimum, divinitus sibi ostensum rati; ad suos redeunt, rei gestum edicunt, Scythiam landant, persuasaque gente sua, via quam cerva indice didicere, ad Scythiam properant, et quantoscunque prias in ingressu Scytharum habuere, litavere victorim, reliquos perdomitos subegere. Nam mox ingentem illam paladem transiere, ilico Alipzuros, Alcidzaros, Itamaros, Tinicassos, et Boiscos, qui ripm istius Scythim insidebant, quasi quidam turbo gentium rapuere. Alanos qnoque pagna sibi pares, sed immanitate victus, formaque dissimiles, frequenti certamine fatigantes subjugavere. Nam et quos bello forsitan minime superabant, vultus sui terrore nimium pavorem ingerentes fugabant: eo quod erat eis species pavendse nigredinis, et velut quødam (si dici fas est) deformis offa, non facies, habensque magis puncta, quam lumina. Quorum animi fiduciam torma prodit aspectus: qui etiam in piguora sas primo die nata degeviunt. Nam maribus ferro genas secant, at antequam lactis nutrimenta percipiant, vulneris cogantur subire tolerantiam. Hinc imberbes senescant, et sine venustate ephebi aunt; quia facies ferro gulcata, tempestivam pilorum gratiạm per cicatrices absumit. Exigui quidem forma, sed
arguti, motibus expediti, et ad equitandum promptissimi: scapulis latis, et ad arens sagittasque parati : firmis crevicibus, et superbia semper erecti. Hi vero sub hominum figura vivant beluina sevitia. Quod genus expeditissimum, multarumque nationum grassatorium, Getm ot viderunt, expavescunt: suoque cum rege diliberant, qualiter se a tali hoste subducant. Nam Hermanricus rex Gothornm, licet (ut saperius retulimus) maltarum gentium extiterit triumphator, de Hunnorum tamen adventu dum cogitat, Roxolanorum gens infida, quæ tune inter alias famulatum exhibebat, tali eum nanciscitur occasione decipere. Dum enim quandam mulierem Sanielh nomine ex gente memorata, pro mariti frandulento discessu, rex furore commotus, equis ferocibas illigatam, incitatisque cursibus per diversa divelli precipisset, fratres ejus Sarus et Ammins germangs obitum vindicantes, Hermanrici latus ferro petierunt : quo valnere saucius, egram vitam corporis imbecillitate contraxit. Quam adversam ejus valetudinem captans Balamir rex Hunnorum, in Ostrogothas movit procinctum: a quorum aocietate jam Wesegothæ discessere, quam dudum inter se juncti habebant. Inter hæe Hermanricus tam volneris dolorem, quam etiam incursiones Hunnorum non ferens, grandævus et plenus diernm, centesimodecimo anno vitz suse defunctus est. Cujus mortis occasio dedit Hunnis prevalere in Gothos illos, quos dizeramus orientali plaga sedere, et Ostrogothas nuncupari.

Wesegothæ id est, alii eorum socii, et oceidni soli cultores, metu parentum exterriti, quidnam de se, propter gentem Hunnoram deliberarent, ambigebant : diaque cogitantes, tandem communi placito legatom ad Romaniam direxere, ad Valentem Imperatorem, fratrem Valentiniani Imperatoris senioris, at partem Thracias sive Mosim si illis traderet ad colendum, ejus legibus viverent, ejusque imperiis subderentur.

After this, the narrative becomes properly historical, giving the history of the Gothe of Moesia.

## § vi. hxthate prom padlua dlaconus pe gestis longoaARDORUM.

Paul, the son of Warnefrid (Paulus Warnefridi filiue, as he is often desigasted), was deacon of Friuli, and secretary to Desiderins, the last king of the Lombards. To the traditions and history of those conquerors, his work bears the same reliation, which that of Jornandes does to those of the Ostro-Goths.

## Lib. I.

I. Septentrionalis plaga, quanto magis ab estu solis remota est, et nivali frigore gelida, tanto salubrior corporibus hominum, et propagandis est gentibus magis coaptata: sicut e contra omnis meridiana regio, quo solis est fervori vicinior, eo semper morbis abundat, et educandis minus est apta mortalibus. Unde fit ut tantex populoram maltitadines arctoo sub axe oriantur: ut non immerito universa illa regio Tanai tenus, usque ad occiduum, licet et propriis loca in ea singula nuncupentar nominibus, generali tamen vocabulo Germania vocitetur; quamvis et duas ultra Rhenum provincias Romani, cum ea loca occupassent, superiorem inferioremque Germaniam dixerint. Ab hac ergo populosa Germania, sæpe innumerabiles captivornm turma abductæ, meridianis popalis pretio distrahuntur. Multse quoque ex ea, pro eo quod tantos mortalium germinat, quantos alere vix sufficit, sæpe gentes egressse sunt, quas nihilominas et partes Asim, sed maxime sibi contiguam Europam, afflixerunt. Testantur hoo ubique urbes eratee, per totam Illyricum Galliamque: sed maxime miserm Italiæ, qua pene omnium illarum est gentinm experta sexitiam. Gothi siquidem, Wandalique, Ruagi, Herdi, atque Turcilingi, nec non etiam alim feroces ot barbarm nationes, e Germania prodierunt.
II. Pari etiam modo et Winiloram, hoe est, Longobardoram gens, qua postea in Italia feliciter regnavit, a Germanorum populis originem ducens, licet et aliz cansse egressionis eormm asseverentur, ab insula qum Scandinavia dicitar adventavit: cajus etiam insulæ, Plinius Secundua in libris, quos De Natura Rerau composuit, mentionem facit. Hace ergo insula,
sicat retulerunt nobis, qui eam lustraverunt, non tam in mari est posita, quam marinis fluctibus, propter planitiem marginum, terras ambientibus circumfusa. Intra hane ergo conatitati populi, dum in tantam multitudinem pullulassent, at jam simul habitare non valerent, in tres, ot fertur, omnem catervam partea dividentes, que ex illis pars patriam relinquere, novasque deberet sedes exquirere, sorte perquirit.
III. Igitur es pars, cui sors dederat genitale solum excedere, exteraque arya sectari, ordinatis super se duobue ducibus, Ibor scilicet et Ayone, qui et germani erant, et juvenili adhuc motate floridi, et ceteris prestantiores, ad exquirendas quas possint incolere terras, Bedesque statuere, valedicentes suis simul et patrix, iter arripiunt. Horum erat ducum mater nomine Gambara, mulier quantum inter suos et ingenio acris, et consiliis provida; de cajus in rebus dabiis prudentia non minimam confidebant.
IV. Haud ab re esse arbitror, panlisper narrandi ordinem postponere, et quia adhue atylus in Germania vertitur, miraculum quod illic apud omnes celebre habetur, sed et quædam alia breviter intimare. In extremis Circium versus Germanion finibus, in ipso Oceani litore, antrum sub eminenti rupe conspicitur, ubi septem viri (incertum ex quo tempore) longo sopiti sopore quiescunt, ita inlessis non solum corporibus, sed etiam vestimentis, ut ex hoe ipso, quod sine ulla per tot annorom curricula corruptione perdurant, apud indociles casdan et barbaras nationes, venerationi habeantur. Hi denique quantum ad habitam spectat, Romani esse cernantar. Equibus dum unam quidam cupiditate stimulatus vellet exuere, mox ejus ut dicitur brachia aruerunt, pernaque saa cateros perterruit, ne quis eos alterias contingere auderet. Videris ad quem eos profectum, per tot tempora providentia divina conservet. Fortasse horum quandoque, quia non aliter nisi Christiani esge putantur, gentes ille predicatione salvandm sunt.
V. Huic loco Scritobini (sic enim gens illa nominatar) vicini sunt, qui etiam æestatis tempore nivibus non carent, nec aliis, utpote feris ipsia ratione non dispares, quam crudis agrestium animantium carnibus vescuntar; de quorum etiam hirtis pellibus sibi indumenta coaptant. Hi a saliendo, juxta lingaam barbaram, etymologiam ducunt. Saltibus enim
utentes, arte quadam ligno incurvo, ad arcus similitudinem, feras assequuntur. Apud hos est animal, non satis absimile cervo, de cujus ego corio, ut fuerat pilis hispidum, vestem in modum tunica, genu tenus aptatam conspexi, sicut jam fati, nt relatum est, Scritobini utantur. Quibus in locis circa estivale solstitium, per aliquot dies, etiam noctu clarissima lux cernitur, diesque ibi multo majores, quam alibi habentor: sicut e contrario, circa bramale solstitium, quanvis diei lux adsit, sol tamen ibi non videtar, diesque minimi, quam nsquam alibi, noctes quoque longiores existunt. Quia scilieet quanto magis a sole longias disceditur, tanto sol ipse terre vicinior apparet, et umbræ longiores excrescunt. Denique in Italis, sicut et antiqui scripserment, circa diem natalis Domini, novem pedes in umbra staturx bumanæ hora sexta metiuntur. Ego antem in Gallia Belgica, in loco qui Totonis villa dicitur, constitutus, status mei umbram metiens, decem et novem et semis pedes inveni. Sic quoque contrario modo, quanto propinquius meridiem versus ad solem acceditur, tantum semper umbrem breviores videntur; in tantum, nt golstitio extivali respicente sole de medio celi, in Agypto et Hierosolymis, et in corum vicinitate constitutis locis, nullm videantur umbres. In Arabia vero hoe ipso tempore sol supra medium coeli, ad partem aquilonis cernitur, umbreque versa vice contra meridiem videntar.
VI. Nec satis procal ab hoc de quo premisimus litore, contra occidentalem partem, qua sine fine Oceanam pelagus patet, profundissima aquarum illa vorago est, quam nsitato nomine maris umbilicum vocamus, qua bis in die fluctus absorbere, et rarsum evomere dicitur: sicat per universa illa litora, accedentibus et recedentibos fluctibus, celeritate nimia fieri comprobatur. Hujasmodi vorago sive vertigo, a poeta Virgilio Charybdia appellatur, quam ille in freto Siculo esse suo in carmine loquitur, hoc modo dicens:

> "Dextrum Scylla latus, lavum inplacata Charybdis Obsidet, stque imo berathri ter gurgite vastoo Sorbet in abruptum fuctus, rursusque gub auras Erigit alternos, et sidera verberat unda."

Ab hac sane de qua diximus vertigine, sepe nsves raptim cursimque adtrahi affirmantor, tanta celeritate, ut asgittaram per
aera lapsus imitari $\begin{gathered}\text { ideantur, et nonnunquam in illo barathro }\end{gathered}$ horrendo nimis exitu perennt. Sæpe cum jam janquue mergendm sint, subitis undarum molibus retroacto tanta ruxsus agilitate exinde elongantur, quanto prius adtracter sunt. Affirmant esse et aliam hujusmodi voraginem, inter Britanniam insulam, Galliamque provinciam : cui etiam rei adstipalantar Sequanice Aquitaniæque litora, quæ bis in die tam subitis inundationibus opplentur, ut qui fortasse aliquantulum introrsus a litore repertus fuerit, evadere vix possit. Videas earum regionum flumina, fontem versul carsu velocissimo relabi, ac per multoram millium spatia, dalces fluminum lymphas in amaritudinem verti. Triginta ferme a Sequanico litore Euodia insula millibus distat, in qua, sicut ab illius incolis adseveratur, vergentium in eandem Charybdim aquam rum garrulitas auditur. Audivi quendam nobilissimum. Gallorum referentem, quod aliquantee naves, prius tempestate convulse, postmodum ab hac eadem Charybdi vorate sunt. Unus autem ex omnibus viris solummodo, qui in navibus illis fuerant, morientibus cateris, dum adhac fluctibus spirans supernataret, vi aquaram fluentium sbductus, ad oram neque immanissimi illius barathri pervenit. Qui cum jam profundiosimam, et sine fine patens chaos adspiceret, ipsoque pavore premortung, se illue raiturum exspectaret, subito quod sperare non poterat, saxo quodam superjectus insedit. Decursis siquidem jam omnibas, quæ sorbendæ erant, aquis, oræ illius fuerant margines denudati. Dumque ibi inter tot angustias anxius, vix ob metum palpitans resideret, dilatamque ad modicum mortem nihilominus opperiret, conspicit ecce sabito quasi magnos aquarum montes de profundo resilire, navesque quæ absorptæ fuerant, primas emergere. Cumque ina ex illis ei contigua fieret, ad eam se nisu quo potuit apprehendit: nee mora, celeri volatu prope litus advectus, metuendm necis casus evasit, proprii postmodum periculi relator existens. Nostrum quoque, id est, Adriaticum mare, quod licet minus, similiter tamen Venetiarum Histrieque litora pervadit, credibile est parvos hajusmodi occultosque habere meatue, quibus et recedentes aquæ sorbeantur, et rursum invasure litora revomantur. His itaque prelibatis, ad cceptam narrandi seriem redeamus.
VII. Igitur egressi de Sceadinavia Winili, cum Ibor et Ayone dacibus, in regionem quæ appellatur Scoringa venientes, per annes illic aliquot consederont. Illo itaque tempore Ambri et Assi, Wandalorum duces, vicinas quasque provincias bello premebant. Hi jam multis elati victoriis, nuncios ad Winilos mittunt, at ant tributa Wandalis persolverent, aut ae ad belli certamina prepararent. Tanc Ibor et Ayo, adnitente matre Gambara, deliberant melius esse armis libertatem tueri, quam tributorum candem solutione fedare, mandant per legatos Wandalis, pugnaturos se potius, quam servitaros. Erant siquidem tune Winili oniversi atate juvenili florentes, sed namero exigui ; quippe qui unius non nimise amplitudinis insula, tertia solummodo particula fuerint.
VIII. Refert hoc loco antiquitas ridiculam fabulam : quod accedentes Wandali ad Wodan, victoriam de Winilis postulaverint, illeque responderit, se illis victoriam daturum, quos primum oriente sole conspexisset; tunc accessisse Gambaram ad Fream, uxorem Wodan, et Winilis victoriam postulasse, Freamque consilinm dedisse, ut Winilorum malieres. solntos crines erga faciem ad barbe similitudinem componerent, maneque primo cum viris adessent, seseque a Wodan videndas pariter e regione, qua ille per fenestram, orientem versus, erat solitus adspicere, collocarent: atque ita factum fuisse. Quas cum Wodan conspiceret oriente sole, dixisse: Qui sunt izti Longobardif Tunc Fream subjunsisse, ut quibus nomen tribuerat, victoriam condonaret: sicque Winilis Wodan victoriam concessisse. Hæc risu digna sunt, et pro nihilo habenda. Victoria enim non potestati est adtributa hominum, sed e coelo potins ministratur.
IX. Certum tamen est Longobardos, ab intactæ ferro barope longitudine, cum primitus Winili dicti fuerint, ita postmodum appellatos. Nam juxta illorum linguam, Lang longam, Bart barbam significat. Wodan sane, quem adjecta litera Gwodan dixerunt, ipse est, qui apad Romanos Mercurius dicitur, et ab universis Germaniz gentibus at deus adoratur ; qui non circa hae tempora, sed longe anterius, nec in Germania, sed in Grecia fuisse perhibetur.
X. Winili igitur, qui et Longobardi, commisso cum Wandalis prelio, acriter, utpote pro libertatis gloria decertantes,
victoriam capiunt; qui magnam postmodum famis penuriam in eadem Scoringa provincia perpessi, valde animo consternati sunt.
XI. De qua egredientes, dum in Maringam transire disponerent, Assipitti coram iter impediunt, denegantes eis omnimodis per suos terminos transitum. Porro Longobardi, cum magnas bostium copias cernerent, neque cum eis, ob pancitatem exercitas, congredi anderent, dumque quid agere deberent, decernerent, tandem necessitas consilium reperit. Simalant se in castris suis habere cynocephalos, id est, canini capitis homines: divulgant apud hostes hos pertinaciter bella gerere, humanum sanguinem bibere, et si hostem assequi non possint, propriam potare criorem. Utque huic assertioni fidem facerent, ampliant tentoria, plurimosque in castris ignes accenduat. His hostes anditis, visisque creduli effecti, bellam quod minabantur, jam tentare non audent.
XII. Habebant tamen apud se virum fortissimum, de cajus fidebant viribus, posse se proculdubio obtinere quod vellent, hanc solum pree omnibus pagnaturum objiciant. Mandantque Longobardis, at anum quea vellent suorum mitterent, qui cmm eo ad singulare certamen exiret, ea videlicet conditione, ut si saus beltator victoriam caperet, Longobardi itinere quo venerant abirent: sin vere superaretur ab altero, tunc se Longobardis transitum per fines proprios non vetituros. Cumque Longobardi, quem e suis potins adversus virum bellicosissimnm mitterent, ambigerent, quidam ex servili conditione sponte se obtulit, promittit se provocanti hosti congressuram; ea ratione, ut si de hoste victoriam caperet, a se suaque progenie servitutis nøram auferrent. Quid plura? gratanter quæ postulaverat esse facturos pollicentur. Aggreseus hostem expugnavit et vicit; Longobardis transeundi facultatem, sibi suisque, ut optaverat, jura libertatis indeptus est.
XIII. Igitar Longobardi tandem in Mauringam pervenientes, ut bellatoram possint ampliare namerum, plures a servili jugo ereptos, ad libertatis statum perducunt; ntque rata eorum haberi posset libertas, sancinnt more solito per sagittam, immurmurantes nihilominas, ob rei firmitatem, quædam patria verba. Egressi itaque Longobardi de Mauringa, applicuerunt in Golanda, ubi aliquanto tempore commorati dicuntur. Post hac Anthaib et Banthaib, pari modo et

Wargondaib, per annos aliquot possedisse : qum nos arbitrari possumus esse vocabula pagorum, seu quorumennque locorum.
XIV. Mortuis interea Ibor et Ayone ducibus, qui Longobardos a Scandinavia eduxerant, et usque ad bæc tempora rexerant, nolentes jam ultra Longobardi esse sub ducibus, regem sibi ad cateraram instar gentinm statuerunt. Regnavit igitar anper eos primas Agelmundus, filins Ayonis, ex prosapia ducens originem Guningorum, ques apud eos generosior habebatur. Hie, sicut a majoribus traditur, tribus et triginta annis Longobardoram tenuit regnum.
XV. His temporibus quædam meretrix uno partu septem puerulos enira, beluis omnibus mater crudelior, in piscinam projecit necandos. Hoe si cui impossibile, videtur, relegat historias veternm, et inveniet non solum septem infantulos, sed etiam novem unam mulierem simul peperisse. Et boe certum est maxime apud AEgyptios fieri. Contigit itaque ut rex Agelnundus, dum iter carperet, ad eandem piscinam deveniret. Qui cum equo retento miserandos infantulos miraretur, hastaque quam mana gerebat, huc illucque eos inverteret, unus ex illis manu injecta hastam regiam comprehendit. Rex misericordia motus, factumque altium admiratus, eum magnum futurum pronuntiat: moxque eum e piecina levari preecipit, atque "nutrici traditum, omni cum studio mandat alendum. Et quia eum de piscina, que eorum lingua Lama dicitur, abstulit, Lamissio eidem nomen imposuit. Qai cum adolevisset, adeo strenuns juvenis effectus est, at et bellicossimus extiterit, et post Agelmundi funus, regni gabernacala rexerit. Ferunt hunc, dum Longobardi cum rege suo iter agentes ad quendam flavium pervenissent, et ab Amazonibus essent prohibiti ultra permeare, cum earum fortissima in flavio natatu pugnasse, eamque peremisse, sibique laudis gloriam, Longobardis quoque transitum paravisse : hoe siquidem inter ntrasque acies prius constitisse, quatenus si Amazona eadem Lamissionem superaret, Lougobardi a flumine recederent; sin vero a Lamissione, ut et factum est, ipsa vinceretur, Longobardis eadem permeandi fluenta copia preberetur. Constat same quia hujug assertionis series minus veritati subnixa est. Omnibus etenim, quibus veteres historix notæe sunt, patet, gentẹn Amazonum longe antea, quam heec fieri potuerunt,
esse deletam; nisi forte quia loca eadem, nbi hæc gesta feruntur, non satis historiographis nota fuerunt, et vix ab aliquo eorum valgata sunt, fieri potuerit, ut usque ad id tempus hajuscemodi inibi mulierum genus baberetur. Nam et ego referri a quibusdam audivi, usque hodie in intimis Germaniæ finibus gentem harum existere feminaram.
XVI. Igitur transmeato Longobardi, de quo dizeramus, flumine, cum ad ulteriores terras pervenissent, illic per tempus aliquod commorabantur. Interea com nihil adversi saspicarentur, et essent quieti, longs nimis securitas, qua semper detrimentorum mater est, eis non modicam perniciem peperit. Nocta denique cam negligentia resoluti quiescerent cuncti, subito super eos Bulgares irruentes, plures ex iis sauciant, multos prosternunt, et in tantam per eorum castra debaechati sunt, ut ipsum Agelmnadum regem interficerent, ejusque unicam filiam sorte captivitatis auferrent.
XVII. Resumptis tamen post hæe incommoda Longobardi viribus, Lamissionem, de quo saperius dixeramus, sibi regem constituerant. Qui ut erat juvenili metate fervidus, et ad belli certamina satis promptus, non aliud nisi Agelmundi necem alcisci cupiens, in Bulgares arma convertit. Primoque preelio mox commisso, Longobardi hostibus terga dantes ad castra refugiunt. Tane rex Lamissio ista conspiciens, elevata altius yoce omni exercitui clamare ccepit, nt opprobriorum qua pertulerant, reminiscerentur, revocarentque ante oculos dedecus, quomodo eorum regem hostes jugulaverint, quam miserabiliter ejas natam, quam sibi reginam optaverant, captivam sbduxerent. Postremo bortatur, ut se suosque armis defenderent, melius esse dicens in bello animam ponere, quam ut vilia mancipia hostium ludibriis subjacere. Hac et hujuscemodi vociferans cum diceret, et nune minis, nunc promissionibus, ad toleranda eorum animos belli certamina roboraret: si quem etiam servilis conditionis pugnantem vidisset, libertate eum simul cum premiis donaret. Tandem hortatu exemploque principis, qui primus ad bellam prosilierat, accensi, super hostes irruunt, pugnant atrociter, et magna adversários clade prosternunt, tandemque de victoribus victoriam capientes, tam regis funus, quam proprias injarias nleiscuntur. Tune magna de hostiom exaviis proda potiti, ex illo
jam tempore, ad expetendos belli labores, audaces effecti sunt.
XVIII. Defuncto post hæc Lamissione, qui secundus regnaverat, tertius ad regni gubernacula Letho ascendit. Qui cum quadraginta ferme aunos regnasset, Hildehoc filinm, qui quartus fuit in numero, regni successorem reliquit. Hoc quoque defuncto quintus Gudehoc regnum suscepit.

After this, the narrative becomes properly historical, and gives $n$ the history of the Lombards from the time of Odoacer, to that of Charlemagne.

## § vi. the thavilher'b song.

In the Anglo-Saxion MS., known as the Codex Exaniensis, is the following poem.

It is known as Widsix, from the name of the narrator with which it beging.

It is better known as The Traveller's Song.
A claim to an antiquity, as high as the sixth century, has been made out for it. It is doubtful, however, whether this antiquity is valid in the eyes of any one but its commentators.

One undoubted element of value, however, it possesses. It gives German names in German forms.

The text is Mr. Kemble's; to whose Beowulf it is appended.

It is also to be found in Mr. Thorpe's edition of the Codex Exoniensis.*

| Wid-nit mabolade, | Felre freopu-webban, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Word-hord on-leác, | Forman sípe, |
|  | Hre\%-cyninges |
| Marba ofer eorban, | Hám ge-b6hte, |
| Folce geond ferde. | Eástan of Ongle; |
| Oft he flette ge-pab, | Eorman-Tices |
| Myne-líne máppum. | Wrapes war-logan. |
| Hine from Myrgingum | On-gon 〕a worn sprecan. |
| Etele on-wócon. | "Fela ic monna ge-frxgn, |
| 10 He mid Eslh-hilde, | 20 Meagpan weeldan. |

[^50]Eceal perda ge-hwylo
peáwum lifgan,
Eorl mfter ofrum E*le redan, Se pe his peóder-stol Ge-peón wile. páre was Wals Hwíle selest; And Alexandreas
30 Ealre rícost, Monne cynjes; And he mast ge-păh, pára fe ic ofer foldan Ge-frogen hmbbe.出tls weóld Hunum, Eormas-ríc Gotum, Becea Baningum, Burgendum Gifica, Casare weóld Creacum, 40 And Celic Finnum, Hagena Holm-rycum, And Henden Glommum, Witta weold S wefum, Wade Heelaingum, Meaca Myrgingum, Mearo-bealf Hundingum, peódríc weóld Froncum, Pyle Rondingum, Breoca Brondingum,
50 Billing Wernum, Os-wine weóld Cowum, And Ytum Gef-wulf; Fin Folc-walding, Fresan cynne, Sige-here lengest, 8 ©-denam weold. Hnaf Hocingum, Helm Wulfingum, Wald Woingum,
60 Wod pyringum, \$4-fert Sycgum, Sweóm Ongend-peów, Sceeft-here $X_{\text {vabrum, }}$ Sceafa Long-beardum, Hùn-høt Werum,

And Holen Wroanum.
Hring-weald wres haten
Here-farene cgning. Offa weold Ongle,
70 Alewih Denum;
Se wh páre manna
M6d gast ealra.
Nó hwobre he ofer Offan
Eorl-вcype fremede;
Ac Offr ge-alog,
Arest monns,
Cniht-wesende,
Cyne-ríca mest.
Nénig efen eald him
80 Eorl-scipe máran, On orette,
A'ne aweorde;
Merce ge-múrde, Wit Myrgingum,
Bi Fifel-dore,
Heoldon ford ouppan
Engle and Swáfe
Swá hit Offa ge-slóg.
Hrób-wulf and Hrot-gar
90 Heóldon lengest,
Sibbe wt somae,
Suhtor-fedran :
Sibpan hy for-wrecon
Wi-cynga cymn,
And Ingeldes
Ord for-bigdan,
For-heowan at Heorote,
Hea\%o-beardna prym.
Swá ic geond ferde fela,
100 Fremdra londe,
Geond ginne grund,
Godes and yfles,
per ic cunnade,
Coósle bj-d虫led,
Freo-mægum feor
Folgade wide.
For bon ic mag singan,
And secgan epell.
Mánan fore mengo,
110 In meodu-healle,

Hú toe cyne-gode,
Cystum dóhten.
Ic wees mid Hunum
And mid Hrét-Gótum,
Mid Sweón sud mid Geátum,
And mid Súp-Denum,
Mid Wenlom is wms, and mid Wminum,
And mid Wi-cingum,
Mid Gef-pum ic wme, and mid Winedum,
120 And mid Gef-llegum,
Mid Englum ic wers, and mid Sweefum,
And mid $\neq n e n u m$,
Mid Seaxum ic was, and mid Sycgaim,
And mid Sweord-werum;
Mid Hronum ic was, and mid Deánum,
And mid Hespo-Rearoum,
Mid Dyringum ic wes,
And mid Drowendum, And mid Burgendum;
130 Par je beág ge-páh.
Me par Gút-here for-geaf
Gleed-lícne máppum,
Songes to lésne :
Ness sene cyning.
Mid Froncum ic was, and mid Frysum,
And mid Frumtingum,
Mid Rugum ic wexs, and mid Glommum,
And mid Rum-Walum;
Swylce ic wes on Eatule,
140 Mid $\mathbb{E l}$ fwine,
Se brefde mon-cynnes
Míne ge-frege,
Leoliteste hond
Lofes to wyrcenne.
Heortan in-hneáweste,
Hringe ge-dáles,
Beorhtra beagas,
Beam Kad-wries;

Mid Bercingum ic wes,
150 And mid Seringum,
Mid Creacum ic was, and mid Finnum,
And mid Cbesere,
Se pe win-burge
Ge-weald ahte.
Wiolane and Wilns,
And Wala-rices,
Mid Scottum ic wwo, and mid Peóhtum,
And mid Scride-Finnum,
Mid Lid-wicingum ic ween, and mid Leonam,
160 And mid Long-beardum,
Mid Háănum and mid Heelepum, And mid Hundingum.
Mid Israhelum ic was,
And mid Exsyringum,
Mid Ebreum, and mid Indeum,
And mid Agyptum,
Mid Moidum ic weas, and mid Persum.
And mid Myrgingum,
And Mofdingum
170 And ongend Myrgingum,
And mid Amopingum,
Mid East-pyringum ic wms, and mid Eolnin,
And mid Istum,
And Iduminguen;
And is wes mid Eorman-ríce;
Ealle jrage
Par me Gotena cyning,
Gode dohte,
Se me beág for-geaf;
180 Burg-warena fruma.
On jarn giex hund wexs,
Smátes goldes,
Ge-scyred sceatth,
Scilling ríme ;
Pone ic Eádgilse
On sht sealde,
Minum hlec-drihtne,
pá ic to hám bi-cwom,

Leffum to leáne,
190 pæs pe he me lond for-geaf,
Mínes feeder épel,
Freé Myrginga;
And me pá Ealh-hild
O'perne for-geaf.
Dryht-ewen dúguje, Dohtor Eád-wines.
Hyre lof lengde, Geond londa fela, poñ ic be songe 200 Secgan sceolde, Hwer ic, under swegl,
Selart wisse,
Gold-hrodene cwen,
Giefe bryttian;
Doñ wit Scilling
Scíran reorde,
For uncrum sige-dryhtne,
Song a-hofan,
Hlúde bi hearpan;
210 Hleópor awinsade.
pon monige men,
Módum wlonce,
Wordum sprecan,
pa pe wel cúpen

* he næffe song

Sellan ne hyrdon;
Đonan ic ealue geond hwearf
E'pel Gotena.
Sohte ic á sípa
220 Pa aélestan,
pert wes inn-weorud
Earman-rices.
Hércan sóhte ic and Beádecan,
And Herelingas ;
Emercan sóhte ic and Fridlan,
Ond East-Gotan.
Frodne and gódne,
Freder Un-wænes,
Seccan sóhte ic and Beccan,
230 Seafolan, and peod-ric,
Heapo-ríc, and Sifecan, Hlipe, and Incgen-peów, Eád-wine áhte ic, and Elsan,

Agel-mund, and Huıgar,
And pa wloncan ge-dryht,
Wis Myrginga.
Wulf-here soihte ic and Wyrmhere;
Ful oft per wig ne álag
Ponne Hremda here,
240 Heardum aweordum,
Yob Wistle-wudu, Wergan sceoldon.
Ealdne épel-stól
雨tlan leódum.
Rad-here sóhte ic and Rond-here, Rum-stín and Gísl-here, Wiper-gield, and Freope-ric, Wudgan, and Haman.
Ne wáron \& ge-sípe,
250 pa sámestan, peah pe ic hy à-nihst, Nemnen sceolde. Ful oft of pam heape
Hwínende fléag,
Giellende gár,
On grome peóde,
Wreccan par weoldan,
Wuadnan golde,
Werum and wífum;
280 Wudga and Hama.
Swá ic
On pére feringe,
pat se bip leoffast,
Lond-búendum,
Se pe him gód sylet,
Gumens ríce
T6 ge-healdenne
penden he ber leofat.
Swá scrípende,
270 Ge-sceapum hweorfat
Gleó-men gumena,
Geond grunds fels, pearfe secgat.
Ponc-word spreca\%,
Simle sút oppe nort
Sumne ge-métar', Gydde gleáwne,

> Geofum un-hneáwne,
> Se pe fore dúgupe wile,
> 280 Dôm â réran
> Eorl scipe æfnán, Oppæt eal acacéd,

Leóht and lif somod. Lóf ge ge-wyrcè, Hafá̀ under heofonum Heáh-festne dôm.

The three texts of Jornandes, Panlus Diaconus, and the Traveller's Song, give us the rough materials for the criticism of the traditions of the Gothic nation. Their historical and ethnological value is another question.

To begin with Jornandes. He quotes more than one earlier than himself, e.g., Dio, Dexippus, and Ablavius. For contemporary events, any statement of any such writer is valuable.

But what is the value of such earlier writers, in respect to the times anterior to their own! in respect to the archæology, ethnology, or origines of the Gothic nations?

Many put this high; since the Germania of Tacitus espepecially mentions the existence of carmina antiqua, and access to the carmina antiqua is what may fairly be allowed to Ablavins at least.

The following facts, however, subtract from their value:-
a. Adaptations to the traditions of other nations (real or supposed), known to Jornandes and Paulus Diaconas through their eccleaiastical and classical learning are heterogeneously intermized with the proper Gothic narratives.
b. In the case of Joroandes, numerous real or supposed facte, relating to the Gete, are confused with those relating to the Gothi.

These objections are of special application. To which must be added those which apply to tradition in general ; even in its most unexceptionable form. Upon these, however, the present is no place for enlarging. The only question, at present, nnder notice, is the extent to which the migrations, which we find in the two Latin writers (for the Traveller's Song has but little in this way), rest apon true and genaine tradition-true and genuine tradition being the transmission of the account of an actual event from one generation to another, by unwritten communication.

For this, it is absolutely necessary that the event trans-
mitted be a real one; otherwise, the tradition is only the tradition of an opinion, i.e., no tradition at all.

A tradition, too, must be different from an inference. All traditions that coincide with inferences are suspicious; or (changing the expression), all inferences which give wa the same results as a tradition veeaken its validity (i.e., that of the tradition).

This, perhaps, requires illustration.
In England there existed, at the time of Beda, three populations; one called Angli, one Saxones, and one sometimes Juta, but oftener Vitce. In Hampshire, the Sawones and Vitco, or Jutce, came in contact.

Similarly, in the parts about the Lower Elbe and Eyder, there existed three similarly-named populations; one called Angli, one Saxones, and one sometimes Vitc, but oftener Juta. In Sleswick the Saxonss and Juta, or Vite, came in contact.

Now Beda writes that the Jute of England came from the Juta of Jutland; and his statement generally (perhaps universally) is supposed to rest on either history or tradition.

I believe it to rest on neither the one nor the other. I believe it to be an inference-an inference so logically correct, that I only wonder at the combination of chances which make it actually wrong.

Nevertheless, the truth was as follows. The people of the Isle of Wight were called Vites, even as the people of Jutland were.

And, the people of the Isle of Wight, thas called, lay in geographical contact with certain Saxons; those Saxons being in similar contact with certain Angles. All this was also the case with the Jutlanders.

Such coincidences wanted accounting for. A migration did this; and a migration was inforred.

The extent to which the similarity of name between Gothi and Getce might engender a similar inference, similarly resembling a tradition, weakens the historical likelihood of the truth of Jornandes' account.

Such are some of the reasons for considering his derivation of the Germans (or Goths) of the Danube from the shores of the Baltic, as highly exceptionable.

The analysis, then, of traditions is one element of the criticism necessary for the texts in question.

Another is a correct appreciation of the extent to which political alliance coincides with ethnological affnity. Few notions are more common than that of popolations engaged in the same wars, against the same evemies, and playing similar parts in history, being, therefore, members of the same stock.

In defensive wars this is generally the case.
In offensive wars, the union of different stocks (Gallic and German, Germanic and Slavonic, Keltic and Iberian, \&c.) is so frequent, that the fact of a single alliance, comprising two populations, is, in many cases, scarcely so much as prima facio evidence of their common origin, descent, blood, or ethnological relationship.

When the names of the leaders of such confederations are known, the evidence improves; but even then it is not conclusive.

The practical bearings of this, appear in §§ Vandals, and Longobardi, and elsewhere.

For a further notice see Epilegomena, § Quasi-Germanic Gauls.

> § vili. The Gothe, gothinl, Gothones, gothlanders, and JUTES.

In and of itself, the history of the Goths, properly so-called, is comparatively simple. We find them called Ostro-Goths and Fisi-Goths; each with its peculiar royal line-the Amalungs for the former, the Baltungs for the latter. Separate, too, from the other Germanic popalations, the Proper Goths have their great national heroes; some truly historical, as Alaric, Ataulfus, Euric, Theodoric, and Totila; others, but half-historical or legendary, as the great Hermanric, whose power, undoubtedly, had a real existence to a certain extent, but many of whose actions are either fabulons or unsupported by evidence.

Above all, the Goths Proper have their special geographical area, the starting-point of their power being the Lower or the Middle Danube. No mention of their name can be
traced higher than the reign of Caracalla; and (a fact of primary importance) they wore then in the country of the Geta. So they were when Decius and Claudius fought against them; so they were when, pressed by the Hons, they besought Valens to allow them to pass the Danube; so they were when Hermanric's kingdom was consolidated, and so they were until they invaded Macedonia, Illyricum, Greece, Italy, Southern Gaul, France and Spain. Of all the Gothic families their migrations were the most considerable.

It was a long one that took them from Germany to the country of the Getæ. It was a longer one which carried them from the country of the Getæ to Spain.

Of all the Gothic tribes the Goths Proper bave most merged their nationality in that of the countries which they invaded. In Greece, in Italy, in Southern Gaul, and in Spain, no Goths are to be found as a separate substantive people; and no known dialect definitely and unequivocally represents the old Mceso-Gothic. On the Lower Danube itself, the Goths of the Crimea, now no longer distinguished by their German tongue, and, consequently, no longer easily distinguighable from their neighbours, are their sole representatives - if such they can now be called. In Germany itself, the mother-conntry, from which even at the beginning of their history they were already separated, the Thuringian dialect is supposed to be the most Gothic; but this-a statement made by Michaelis-has yet to be definitely confirmed.

But the history of the Ostro-Goths and Visi-Goths, is no history of all the populations whose name was $G-t, G_{-t h}$, or some similar form. Hence arises the long series of questions 88 to whether each population, thus connected in name, were connected in other attributes also; i.s., whether they were really Goths, or only populations with a nominal resemblance.

1. Is there any connection between the Gothones and Gothini : Three points connect them.
J. The similarity of names-Gothini as compared with Gothones.
2. The fact that they each differ from the Slavonians of
their neighbourhood-The Gothones are separated from the Lygii, the Gothini from the Sarroatm.
3. Both were-according to the evidence-neither German nor Sarmatian; since the Sarmate treated the Gothini as alienigena, and the Rettii spoke what Tacitus calls British.

Do these three points of connection establish an ethnological affinity ?

I will lay down what I conceive to be an hypothesis capable of solving all, or nearly all, the difficnlties arising from what may be called the pluri-presence of the root G-t, G-th, in the two names under consideration.

This is as follows: the root, $G$ - $t h$, was, in the case of the Slavonic and Lithuanian populations, in the same predicament with the root $G r-k$, in the case of the Hellenic and Italian.

With the Hellenes, $\Gamma_{\text {gainos }}$ was the name of a single popalation within what, in the eyes of a Roman, constituted the Hellenic area; and the name was, almost certainly, native.

With the Italians, it was the name, not only for that particular tribe, but for the collective Hellenes also.

Mutatis mutandis.-With the Lithuanians the $G-t$ ( $G-d$, $G-t h$ ) was the name of a single population within what, in the eyes of a Slavonian, constituted the Lithuanic area, and the name was, almost certainly, native.

With the Slavonians it was the name not only of that particular tribe, bat for the collective Lithuanians also.

Thus-the AEstii of Tacitus, the Easte of the Germans, were called Guttones (Gothones) by the more northern Slevonians of their frontier; just as the 「gaixos of Epirus were called Graci by the Italians of the opposite coast.

And, the Gothini of Tacitus were called by a similar name by the more southern Slavonians of their frontier, just as the Athenian Hellenes and others were called Graci by the Roman, Campanian, and other Italians.

Such is the hypothesis. I prefer this to believing that the Gothones and Gothini were so much and so thoroughly one and the same section of the same branch as for them to have borne the same name from Gallicia to Courland; in other
words, I believe the name to be native in one of the two cases only; so that the Goth-ini were Get only in the eyes of their Slavonic neighbours, just as a Peloponnesian was a Greak in the eyes of a Roman only; whereas the Gothones (Guttonss, \&c.) were $G-t$ in the eyes of their Slavonic neighbours and themselves as well, even as the 「gaixos of Epirus was doubly Greek; Greek when he spoke of himself, and Greek when he was spoken of by a Roman.

The reason for drawing this distinction is as follows :-
a. There is no evidence of the numerous Lithuanic populations ever having had a collective or general name of their own, however much they may have had one given them by their Slavonic neighbours; in both of these respects being exactly in the same case as the Germans.
b. For the specific name of a particular Lithuanian population (i.e., for a name equivalent to Chatti, Cherusci, of similar divisions of the Germani), the term Gothones (Gothini, Gattones, \&e.), if extended from Gallicia to Courland, is of improbable (I do not say impossible), extent. No single section of a population is likely to have had so large an ares.
c. The difference between the name of the people (Gothini), and their language (Gallica), 蹅geste the likelihood of the native of the Gothini having been some form of Gal (Hal, \&c.). In England, in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the generality of writers spoke of the people of Gernany as Germans; but of the language, as Dutch, High Dutch, or Low Dutch, as the case might be. Hence, we heard of translations from the High Dutch, even thongh the people who spoke it were called Germans.

Now I consider that the same Slavonians who spoke of the people of Gallicia as Gothini (a presumed Slavonic form), were also those who spoke of their language as Gallic (a presumed native form) ; even as one and the same population (the English) spoke of the Dutch tongue and the German people.

And I also consider that those same Slavonians called the language of the Gothini Gallic, because Gallic was the natives name of it ; just as the fact of Dutch being the native name of the German, accounts for the terms High Dutch and Low Dutch.

In denying the name $G-t$ to be native to the Goth-ini, I assame that there is no special evidence in favour of its being so; and such I believe to be the case.

In affirming the same name to be native to the Gotk-ones, I am prepared with evidence.

Is the name which in Tacitus takes the form Gothones native or foreign ? known to the tribes to which it applies, or as strange to them as the term Welsh is to Cambrian! This is not answered in the reasoning upon the word Lestii ; since it by no means follows that because one out of two names given to a country is undoubtedly foreign, the other is necessarily indigenous. The fact of the term Gothones being indigenous is not a legitimate inference from the exotic character of the rame $\boldsymbol{2 E s t i i}$. Just as the latter designation was German, the former may have been Slavonic; and the one may have been as unlike the real native name as the other.

Prætorius, a Pole, writing A.d. 1688, in his Orbis Gothicus, devotes two sections to the following questions:-
"An reliquia nominis Gothici in terris Earopee Sarmatix reperiantur?
" Unde nominis Gudda contemptus hodie in Prussia ?"
From these we learn that the Samogitians, Russians," Lithuanians, Prussians, Zalavonians, Nadravians, Natravians, Sudovians, Mazovians, and the inhabitants of Ducal Prussia were called Guddons by the people about Koningsberg, and that this name was a name of contempt, accounted for by the extent to which the populations to which it applied, had retained their paganism against the efforts of the propagators of the Prussian Christianity. "Guddarum infidelium nomen existit, adeo ut Gothus sive Guddus idem iis qui paganus et etbnicus, hostisque Christianitatis audierit."*

That it was also Slavomic is shown by a line from an old Tshekh (Bohemian) poem.

Gotskyja krasnyja diewy na brezje sinemu morju. Gott-ish fair maidens on benk of (the) blue sea.

In order to appreciate the full import of the previons state-

[^51]ment, I must anticipate a part of my inquiry. Good writers have identified those Guddons with the German Goths. As, however, they by no means overlook the fact of the Cruddons being Lithuanic, they must suppose that the name was retained from that of the earlier Goths subsequently replaced by Lithuanians. In which case, the newer inhabitants, instead of retaining the name which they brought with them from their own country, took that of the older population.

Now even in its most moderate form, this assumption is considerably opposed to the usual course of ethnological changes, or rather the usual course of ethnological changes is opposed to it. In the first place, there are two cases of the incorporated and amalgamated aborigines of a country taking the name of their conquerors to one of the converse process. Thue France takes its name from the German Franks, and England from the German English, instead of the Franks taking their pame from the Gaols, or the Angles from the Britons. Still the converse takes place sometimes; and, as if for the sake of invalidating the very connexion in question, one of the best instances of it is supplied by the very district under consideration. As far as any change took place at all in respect to the conquerors of the parts about the Lower Vistula it was just the contrary to the particular instance assumed to be the general rule. The German Prussians of Prussia did take the name of the aboriginal Prue.

Now if the name Prussian were adopted by the conquerors, who were really Germans, from the conquered, how unlikely is it that the lower orders,-the rural popalation of the agricultural districts, pre-eminently tenacious of nationality, who were really Lithuanians, should adopt the name of any previous Germans. In this respect, then, the assumption that the term Guddon is proof of the Guthones being German Goths is faulty.

Again-that the term Guddon comes from Gothon-is generally admitted. Even, as it is, the preservation of it is remarkable. But it becomes doubly remarkable if we assume a total change of population to have taken place between the time of its first application and the present. As it is-the population being supposed to have remained
unaltered-we have only to account for ita permanence. Assume, however, a change, and you have an additional complication; since you have to account for its transfer as well.

The present existence, then, of the term GuddonaGo-thon-is an argument, ns far as it goes, against any change of the original population; or, changing the expression, the supposed immigration of Lithuanians, and displacement of Germans, which has been shown to be improbable in itself, is rendered more so by the details that must be assumed if we suppose that the Guddon took their name from any Guttones who were German.

In order to make the Gothini as Lithuanic as the Gothones, we must suppose one of two things, either that the former were an ontlying isolated section of the Lithuanic stock, or that the intervening areas between the Gothini and Gothones were Lithaanic. Are there any reasons against the latter view-reasons against assuming the continuity of a Lithuanic population from the Carpathians to the Baltic (and vice vorsá), from the mere magnitude of the area? None. The Lygian, which was parallel with it, is, in the same direction (from south to north), fully or nearly as large.

From the present distribution of the Lithuanian dialects, there are several ; but that these are not insuperable, is shown in the Prolegomena.

I do not, however, press the point, since the approach of the Gothini to the servile condition indicates the possibility of their having been an oatlying colony of captives.

All that I urge is the reference of the two (Gothini and Gothones) to a common ethnological division (that division being the Lithoanic), and the hypothesis which accounts for the similarity of names.

I also urge the necessity of bringing the older Lithuanians as far south as the parts just north of Gallicia, even if we hesitate to continue them up to the very country of the Gothones.

For clear and definite history,-and we must remember that history for these parts begins but little before the twelfth century-brings a Lithuanian population as far in the direc-
tion of the Gothini as the head-waters and marshes of the Pripecz.

The south-western branch of the Lithuanic family was well-nigh destroyed in the latter half of the thirteenth centary (A.D. 1264 to A.D. 1282); a branch containing the important nations of the Pollextani and Jazwoingi.

1. The first,-"Sunt autem Pollaxiani Getharum sou Prussorum genus, gens atrocisima, omnium ferarom immanitate truculentior, propter vastissimas intercapedines, propter concretissimas nemorum densitates, propter bituminata inaccessibilia palustria."
2. The second,-" Est autem Jaczwingorum natio versus aqnilonarem plagam, Masovie, Russice of Lithuanice terris contermina, sita, cum Pruthenica et Lithuanica lingua habens magna ea parto similitudinem et intelligentiam, populos habens immanes et bellicosos, et tam laudis quam memoriz avidos." —Dlugoss. i. p. 770. "(Maelaus Mazovitaram princeps) Pruthenicis auxiliis salbnixus - Pruthenos, ad quos confugerat, Jacuingos, Slonenses, ceterique Prathenici tractus barbaros, resarciendum casum acceptum pluribus blandimentis et persuasionibus in bellum sollicitat."-Id. i. 223.

Such the evidence of their axistence.
Of their extinction,-A.d. 1264:-Boleslaus, the Grand Duke of Lithuania, so reduced them that-" eo ano prolio omnis fere gens omnisque natio Jaczwingorum adeo deleta et extincta est, ut ceteris et his quidem paucis et agrestibus ant valetudinariis in ditionern Boleslai concedentibus, aut Lithuanis se conjungentibus, hactenus ne nomen quidem Jaczwingorum extet."-Dlag. i. p. 771.

Again-" Omnisque natio Jaczwingorum eo bello (quoniam pedem referre nec unquam pugnam etiam iniquam detrectare voluit) deleta est, at panci agrestes superstites essent, extunc et in temporibus nostris Lithnanis conjuncti, sicque nomen Jaczwingorum perrarum at paucis notum eatet."

In the following list of varieties, to which a name so eminently Sarmatian in sound as Jaczwing undergoes in different MSS. and anthors, the last is remarkably like the form Gothin-i, since we must remember that the termination -wite is
an affix—Jazwingi, Jatrojgzi, Jatwojczi, Jomtuisiomes, Jentuosi, Jacintiones, Getwe-zite (the country being called Getuesca and Gotvezia) Getuin-zita.
II. If the common Lithoanic character of the Gothini and Gothones be admitted, the Gothe of the Swedish district of Gothland may be considered.

When two populations of the same name occupy the opposite sides of a sea of moderate breadth, it is reasonable to suppose they are branches of the same stock.

Such is the case with the Goths of Gothland and the Gothones of Courland.

This prima facie view may, of course, be set aside by certain facts.

Certain facts are against it here. These are-
a. The present Norse character of the Swedes of Gothland.
b. The account of Jornandes.

But (to set against this) the antiquity of the Swedes of Gothland is doubtful, and-

The account of Jornandes is improbable.
My own belief is that the population from whom the Swedish province of Goth-land took the element Goth-, were no more the Norse ancestors of its present occupants, than the people from whom the county of Dor-set took the element Dor-, were Anglo-Saxon ; so that, just as the Dor-in Dor-set was a Celtic root (Dur-otriges) though -set was Saxon, so was the Goth-in Goth-land other than Norse though -land was Norse.
a. No Scandinavian name in any of the early writers-the chief of these being Jornandes-is more Germas than such Anglo-Saxon words as Kent-ing, or Dor-sat-an; names of which the second parts (-ing, and -satan) are Anglo-Saxon, bat the first part (Kent-, Dor-) Keltic, Cant-ii, Dur-otriges.
b. No tradition proves more than the derivation of the Britons from Brut-, the grandson of Anchises; in other words, mutatis mutandis, Jornandes takes the place of Geoffrey of Mormouth.
c. No Germanic population is found with any form of the root $G$ - $t$, as its name, until it become an inhabitant of some country so designated.

The reasons for the existence of a Lithuanic popalation in Scandinavia, lie chiefly in the facte which it will account for. But this requires us to be sure that there is no other alternative.

If the existence of a Germanic population will not account for the presence of the form $G-t$ (with its varieties) in Scandinavia, what population will?

The only two that present themselves for consideration, are the Finn, and the Jithnanic.

The fact of the root in question being known to be Lithuanic, and not known to be Finnic, is primá facie in favour of the former.

The Lithuanian is the only known family of which it can be said that $G-t$, as the name of one of its members, in the mouth of a German would be likeliest of all known names.

The only word that can be set up against it Easte= ABstii, that being the only known German name applied to a Lithuanian nation.

But as Easte $=$ eastern it could apply to eastern localities only; not to any in Scandinavia.

This leaves $G-t$ as the only known name applicable.
Reasons for its being the one actually applied, are,-
a. It was, besides being the native, the Slavonic name as well.
b. It was from the Slavonians that the Scandinavian Germans were likely to take the name of a population, between whom and themselves the Slavonians lay intermediate.
c. Lastly, to certain of the Lithuanians on the south of the Baltic, a compound of the root in question actually woas ap-plied-East from Poland is Reir-gota-land-"En austr frá Polena er Reir-gota-land." - Fragment from the Fornaldar Sögur. (Zeuss, p. 500).

The Lithuanians then, south of the Baltic, are called by the ancestors of the present Danes, Swedes, and Norwegians, $G-t$. Surely, the same name, applied by the same people on the north of the Baltic, is likely to have been applied to Lithuanians also.
III. What applies to the Goths of Goth-land, applies also
to the Jutes of Jut-land, one being a name in one dialect of the Old Norse, the other in another; just as, at present, Gothenburg begins with $G$ - in the moath of a Dane, but with $\boldsymbol{Y}$ - in that of a Swede-Gotenbarg, Yötenburg.
IV. Is there any connection between the Gete of Mossia and the Gothini, the Gothones, the Gothlanders, the Jutlanders, \&c., all or any? In putting this question, we must remember that the country of the Geta is the country of the Goths also.

The difficulty involved herein, has already been indicated. ${ }^{*}$

So has the explanation of the greatest Gothic scholar living.

The present writer, in admitting the difficulty, differs from Grimm, by admitting the migration from Germany also.

But he believes that that migration was not undertaken by Germans calling themselves Goths.

He finds no evidence that they called themselves so before they reached the conntry of the Gata.

They then took the name, and not before; just as the Kent-ings of Anglo-Saxon England took a name from the Keltic county of Kent.

This, however, is only a preliminary consideration. The real question is whether, or not, the similarity of name between the Get- of the Lower Danabe and the G-th of Gallicia and Prussia be accidental? or is it referable to ethnological connection?

In this case, the distance is sufficient to admit of the resemblance to be accidental ; and I do not press the relationship. Still I believe in it.

The same Slavonians who, as frontagers, called the one Guddon, were the frontagers to the Getcs also.

In this case the connexion is verbal, i.e., it is of the same sort which gives the same name to the Welah of Britain, and the Italians, whom the Germans called Welsh also. The Germanic populations, which fill up the interval, agree in calling their non-Germanic neighbours by some form of the root $W$ - $l$.

[^52]But, besides this negative character of being non-Slavonic (and therefore called $G-t$ ), the two populations in question may have been really connected.

A reason in favour of this (as far as it goes) is found in the fact of the Slavonians differing from the Germans in the following particulars:-

The Germans called all non-Germans by one nameWealh.

The Slavonians varied the name with the different monSlavonic populations with which they came in contact.

Thus - they call the Finns Tshud, and the Germans Niomcy; and this is a reason for thinking that they called none $G-t$, but the Lithuanians.

Further reasoning on the subject occure in Epilegomena, § The relations of the Getce to India.

No objections lie against the Geta and Gothones being equally Lithuanic, from the mere magnitude of the area If Slavonians could extend from Servia to Poland, Lithuanians might from Bulgaria to Prussia.

The objections that arise from the present limited area of the Lithuanian tongue are but slight. The limits of that tongue have ever receded.

Lastly, it should be remembered, that whatever facts brought the Gothones nearer to the Gothini, brought them nearer to the Getce also.

Such is the hypothesis; which, whether convincing or the contrary, is submitted to scholars with a claim to their careful coneideration. It explains the forms Goth, Geta, Goth-land, Jut-land, Goth-inos, and Gotho, without assuming any migration by land at all; only two by wator (one of which is down a navigable river, and the other across a sea of moderate breadth) ; any displacement so great as that which is known to have occurred over part of the same area within the historical period, and any power given to any term more general than that which connects the namea Wolah as applied to a Cambro-Briton, and Welsh as applied to an Italian.

## § ix. тие vigaoths.

The royal family of the Viegothe was that of the Baltungs; their chief kings, Fridigern, Athanaric, Alaric, Atanlfus, Wallia, and Euric.

Their fields of action were the Lower Dannibe, Macedonia, Greece, Italy, Gaul, Spain ; their chief confederations with--
$a$. The subjects of Radagaisus, sometimes called, like the Visigoths, Fórfor; but not beyond the suspicion of being Slavonians, since Radagaisus is a Slavonic rather than a Gothic form.
b. Silingian Vandals (from whom the province of Andalusia takes its name) in the invasion of Spain.
e. Alans.-Ibid.

The evidence in favour of the current opinion, that the element Vis- means west, and that Visi-Goth $=$ Western Goth, ie not conclusive.

The chief fact in its favour, is the name Ostro-Goth, to which Western Goth seems a sort of correlative. Yet such correlation is by no means necessary.
a. In no manuscript of any author, has the name been found with a $-t$, i.e., Westro-Goth. Yet the $t$ - in wose-t is as essential as the $t$ in eas- $t$.
b. Vesus, as a simple name, occurs in Sidonius Apollinaris.

> "Burgundio, Verus, Alites, Bisalta, Ostrogothus."

At the same time, it must be remembered that Jornandes translates the word as Occidentales Gothi.
§ X. THE OBTRO-GOTHS.

The royal family of the Ostro-Goths was that of the Amal-ungs; their chief heroes, or kings, Ermanric, Walamir, Widemir, Theodemir, Theodoric, Totila.

The empire of Hermanric seems to have been in northeastern Hungary.

Theodoric was born in the neighbourhood of Vienna.

The chief seat of the Ostro-Goth conquests was Italy. Some of them settled in portions of Asia Minor.
a. Jornandes names a king Ostro-Gotha.
b. With the exceptions of the Visigoth conquests in Gaul and Spain, the localities of the Ostrom (Goths are fully as wostward as those of the so-called Western Goths.
c. The combination -str in the river Ister, is identical with the combination -str in $O s t r=$ east.

All this throws a shade over the usual interpretation of the prefix Ostro. At the same time, nothing very serious depends on the etymology.

The most important question connected with the OstroGoths and Fisi-Goths, is that of their original name.

If they were not called Goth till they reached the land of the Geta, under what name did they leave Germany ?

Under that of Grut-ungs and Therv-ings: these two degignations being those which, to say the least, have the best claim to be considered the native names of the great Gothic conquerors of the fourth, fifth, and sixth centuries.

In Mamertinus and Eutropius, we find the forms Tereingi; Ammianus's form is Thervingi. Trebellius Pollio (in Claudio) has the name Virtingia; which has, reasonably, been considered to be a transposition of Trevingi, or Tervingi. The similarity of the name Thuring- leaves as little doubt in the mind of the present writer, as to the Thervings of Dacia having been originally the Thuringians of Thuringia, as there is about the Angles of England having once been the Angles of northern Germany.

The evidence in favour of the Grutungs is less satisfactory.
a. The termination only is known to be Grerman; the root is only supposed to be so.

c. The following passage distinguishes them from the Ostro-Goth8-

Ostrogothis colitur mixtisque Grutungis
Phryx ager,-Claudian.
But as even the undoubted Goths are called Scythians by Zozimus, the second objection, the strongest of the three, is but slight.

In favour of them, is-
a. The fact of the tormination rung being German.
b. Their proximity to the Thervings.
c. The few facts known of their history.-Claudian de Quart. Consulat. Honorii, x. 623-w637, writes,-

> "Ansi Danubium quondam tranare Grutungi In lintres fregere nemus, ter mille ruebant Per fluvium plense cuncis immanjbus alni. Dux Edotheus erat. Tantoe conamina classis Incipiens atas et primus contudit annus. Submersse sedere rates, fluitantia nupquan Largius Arctoos pavere cadavera pisces. Corporibus premitur Peuce, per quinque recurrens Oatis barbartcos vix egerit unda cruores. Confessusque parens Edothei regis opims Rettulit, exuviasque tibi : civile secundia Conficis anspiciis bellum; tibi debeat orbis Fata Grutungorum, debellatumque tyrannum. Ister sanguineos egit te consule montes."

This crossing of the Danube, coincides in time with that of the Goths; as do the quarrels which rose out of it.

If the doctrine, that the Grutungs were Goths, though highly probable, be not wholly unexceptionable, the special identification of the Ostro-Goths with them, is still less so.

That the Thervings, however, were the Viaigoths, is shown by so good an authority, as Ammianus calling Athanaric, Thervingorum judaw (xxxi. 3); this Athanaric being the famous Visi-goth.

## § xi. the alemannt.

The first mention of the name, Alemanni, occurs in the same reign with that of the Goths, i.e., the reign of Caracalla.

The standard quotation respecting the derivation of the name from al $=a l l$, and $m-n=m a n$, so that the word (somewhat exceptionably) denotes men of all sorts, is from Agathias, who quotes Asinius Quadratus:-Oi $\delta \frac{1}{e}$ 'A $\lambda a \mu a v o l$, elve


 $\boldsymbol{\mu l a}$. - Agath. Hist. i. 6.
Notwithstanding this, I think it is an open queetion, whether the name may not have been applied by the truer and more onequivecal Germans of Suabia and Franconia, to certain less definitely Germanic allies from Wurtemburg and Baden, -parts of the Decumates agri,-parts which might have supplied a Gallic, a Gallo-Roman, or even a Slavonic element to the confederacy; in which case, a name so German as to have given the present French and Italian name for Germany, may, originally, have applied to a population other than Germanic.

I know the apparently paradoxical elements in this view; but I also know that, in the way of etymology, it is quite as safe to translate all by alii, as by omnes: and I cannot help thinking that the $a l$ - in $A l$-manni is the $a l$ in alis-arto (a foreigner, or man of another sort), eli-benzo (an alien), and ali-land (captivity in foreign land)-Grimm, ii. 628-Rechtsalterth, p. 359. And still more satisfied am I that the $A L$, in $A l$-emanni is the $a l$ - in $A l$-satia $=e l$-sass $=a l i$-sast $=$ foreign settlement. In other words, the prefix in question is more probably the $a l$ - in $e l$-se, than the $a l$ - in $a l l$.
Little, however, of importance turns on this.
The locality of the Alemanni was the parts about the Limes Romanus, a boundary which, in the time of Alexander Severas, Niebuhr thinks that they first broke through. Hence they were the Marchmen of the frontier, whoever those Marchmen were.
Other such Marchmen were the Suevi; unless, indeed, we consider the two names as synonyms. Zeuse admits that, between the Suevi of Suabia, and the Alemanni, no tapgible difference can be found.

The area whence we bring these Alemanni, or Suevi of Suabia, must fulfil certain conditions.
It must not be too limited; since it is the ares from which not only the agri Decumates were Germanized in the first instance, but from which, eventually and indirectly, $S_{\text {witzer- }}$ land and Austria have been, partially, Bavaria, wholly, Germanized.

Neither must it be too large ; inasmuch as room must be left for the equally important divisions of the Burgundiang, during the later, and for the Goths of the Danube, the Thuringians, and the Chatti, in the earlier, period of their history.

Modern Suabia comes nnder this category; so that modern suabia may be considered as the nuclous of the AlemannoSuevic confederation.

That active emperor, Probus, coerced the Alemanni; he coerced them and something more. He recovered the whole country of Suabia, and is said to have re-established the limes.

But from the time of Probus downwards, the AlemannoSuevic encroachments steadily progressed. Before a.d. 300, they had become the ancestore of the present Germans of Switzerland; and, by A.d. 400 , those of the Alsatians and Bavarians.

Such was their time and seene. Strongly contrasted with the Coths, they advanced their frontier gradually and continuously; and the effect of this is, that one half of what at present constitates the High-German division, is of AlemannoSuevic origin.

In individual heroes this division is poor; none of its kings or generals having the prominence of an Alaric, a Theodoric, a Gundobald, or a Clovis.

Patting together what has been said about the names Alomanni and Suevi, it is just possible that, of the two chief members of this alliance, those whose name wad German were Gauls (the Alemanni), and those whose name was Gallie (Suevi) were Germans. This, however, is a forcible way of putting an apparent objection, rather than an objection itself.

If the Alemanni, originally, were not German, their nationality and characteristics must have merged into that of the Suevi early.

Believing the Vandal to have been Slavonic, the Alemanni (supposing al-to mean alii) would be in the same relation to the Suevi as the former were to the Goths.

It is not superfluous to remark, that the Alemanni and Alani are undoubtedly confused by more than one ancient writer, a pregnant source of difficalty, which it is not necessary at present to enlarge on.
§ xit. the burgundinas.
A document of A.D. 786, in noticing the high tract of lands between Ellwangen and Anspach, has the following expres-sion,-in Waldo, qui vocatur Firgunnia.

Grimm looks for the derivation of this word in the MoesoGothic word fairguni, Old High German fergunnd $=$ vooody hill-range.

He also quotes the variations Vergunt, Firgunds, and Virgumndia.

I have little doubt but that this is the name of the tract` of land from which the name Burgundi arose; and that it is the one which fixes their locality.

If so, between the Burgundian and Suevic Germans, the difference, such as it was, was probably, almost wholly political ; both being High Germans of the water-system of the Maine and Neckar.

Nor is there much difference in the time and scene of the histories. Each encroached on the Roman frontier, but the Bargundians more exclusively in the direction of Gaul.

Mutatio mutandis, the latter were in Burgundy, what the former were in Alsatia, with this difference, that the Germans of the former area have now become Gallicized.

No section of the Germans exceede the Burgundians, in the extent to which real or accredited acts of their historical great men, have developed themselves into legend; Gunther, Gundobald, and others, being the great centres of the Burgundian cycle.

1. Part of the Burgundian bistory is probably told under that of the name of Franks, since, it is not likely that, between the Germans who gave the name to Bargundy, and the Germans who gave the name to Franche-Comté, there was much ethnological difference, even if there were political ones; in other words, it is likely that some Burgundians were Franks. All were so in one sense.--See § xiv.
2. Part of the history which passes as Burgundian, can, on reasonable grounds, be deemed never to have been Burgandian at all; a fact which complicates the view of
the true Burgundians, in a manner the very reverse of the preceding remarks.

The Mapoúry\% of Ptolemy, on the Tharingian frontier, were Burgundian.

But these Mapoúcyyot are Merovingians.
Hence, the Merovingians of France are Merovingians, not hecause they were the Merovingians of the conquerors of that empire, but becanse they were the Merovingians of Burgundy, or (perhaps, more specifically still, of) FranoheComte.

## § xil. the buraundioneg of pliny.

It is stated in the preceding chapter, that part of the history of another and different population may have been attriboted to the Bargundians of Burgundy,

Pliny (H. N.iv. 14) writes, "Germanorum genera quinque: Vindili, quoram pars Burgundiones, Varini, Carini, Guttones. Alterum genas Ingævones, quorum para Cimbri, Tentoui ac Chaucoram gentes. Proximi antem Rheno Istævones, quornm para Cimbri mediterranei Hermiones, quorum Suevi, Hermunduri, Chatti, Cherusci. Quinta pars Peucini, Basterne . . contermini Dacis."

This place, with Daci, Vindili, Varini, Carini, and Guttones, is somewhat strange for a people of Franconia. Its proper classification was, surely, with the Suevi, Hermunduri, Chatti, \&c.

To this it may be added, that there are several isolated actions, such as a contest with the Goths, and another with Fastida, king of the Gepidæ, which give us Burgundiones too far down the Danube, to leave the history of the Burgundians of Burgundy so simple, as it was left in the chapter referred to. In other words, there must be either migration or another population called Burgundian.

The second alternative seems preferable; indeed the existence of such a second population is so certain, that the question is, not whether there were two Burgundies, but which of the two it was that Fastida (or the Gothe) fought against, and which it was that Pliny meant by Burgundionss.
a. I think that these two were the same.
6. Also, that they were the Ipourouvi $i \omega v e s^{0}$ of Ptolemy.
c. Also, the Oủpouyoúvסoc of Zosimus.
d. Also, the Boupouryourooc of Agathias.
es Possibly the Bulgarians of the later historians.
They were occapants of the parts east of the Upper Vistula, or between the Vistula and Bug. They were wellknown to the Greek writers of the Byzantine empire; and the only question concerning them is, whether they were
 Ồvvot ét





 нeтavaotárтas.-Agathias, v. 11.

Still the similarity of the name is remarkable.
Considering, however, that their neighbours on the sonth were the Goths of the Danube, that the name is by no means necessarily native, that their country was the water-shed of the Vistula and Bug, and that fairguni = hill * in Gothic, it is by no means unlikely that, different as were the nations, these names may have been the same, i.e, the German form for Highlander. Still it is quite as likely to be eccidental ; and, if the Burugundi of the Bug were Bulgarians, is so.

Bat the difficulty does not end here. Ptolemy has, besides his Фporyouvסíwves, a population called Boryoúviat.
a. The Aidovalayes (Helveconæ, see note in voc.) lay between the 'Poutlк入єtol and the Bouyoúytal.
b. The Lygii Omani came vinò rov̀s Bovyoúvtas.
c. The Bouroúvral came east of the Semnones, from the river Suebus ( Zoúntos) to the Vistula.

These are very difficult conditions. At first it appears that we mast separate the Bouyoúval from the Фpouyouvסlaves, becanse Ptolemy mentions both; and that we most consider the former to be the Burgundians of Franconia, because Ptolemy does not mention these latter.

[^53]We must do this, in ordor to swoid accusing a good writer of an omission on one side, and a repetition on the other.

Then, as to the locality,
a. The 'Poutichtwi are on the Lower Vistula.
b. The Lygii-Omani, in the western part of Poland.
c. The Semnones, in Saxony. This leaves those parts of Lusatia and Silesia, which were not occupied by the $\Sigma i \lambda$ fryos as the conntry of the Bouyoúviat, too far to the north west for the $\Phi$ pourouvitaves, and too far to the east for the Bargundians.

It is nearest, however, to the former; and hence it is the word $\Phi$ pourouvסLんves, a term in Slavonic rather than Gorman ethnology, of which the name Bouyoúvtat obscures the import.

At the same time, the complication which the two terms introduce in the otherwise clear and simple history of the true and undoubted Germanic Burgundians of Franconia and Burgundy, is by no means inconsiderable, neither does the present writer pretend to explain it.

All that he is inclined to do, is in the way of a negation. He is not prepared to connect the three by migrations and counter-migrations, simply and solely on the strength of the similarity of name.
§ xiv. the frankg.

If Frank, = free, express an attribate, the name may appear as often as the attribute occurs.

That Frank was the name of a confederation rather than of a particular nation, is generally believed; all the members of it agreeing in calling themselves fros.

Believing this, I believe that the view it involves may be extended; and that just as more nations than one formed a Frank confederacy, more confederacies than one may be included in the Frank name; and, if more confederacies, more sections and sub-sections of the Germanic stock.

Hence, instead of assuming migrations (many of them in the face of historical probabilities), to account for the Franks of France, the Franks of Franche-Comte, and the Franks of

Franconia, we may simply suppose them to be Franks of a different division of the Frank name.

All that follows from the proposed latitude given to the name Marcomanni, follows from the propesed latitude given to the name Frank.

Indeed, if we look at their geographical distribntion, we shall find that the Franks were the Marchmon of the Roman frontier; and I submit to the reader the doctrine, that they called themselves Franks becanse they were so, i.e., in opposition to their fellow-Germans, who were subject to Rome.

A German of the Decumates agri was not a Frank (though he might be an Alemann), because he was not really free.

The Bargandian of the interior country was not a Frank. Really fres he was; but as bis freedom was not contrasted with the dependence of his aeighbours, it was not necessary for him to call himself so.

What is gained by the hypothesis? To say nothing ebout the minor migrations, it gets over (amongst others) the following great difficulty.

The Franks of Franconia are High; those of the Lower Rhine, Lovo Germans.

Such the hypothesis.
I. The Franks of the southern frontier.-Probus had to deal with both Alemanni and Franke. It is probable that these were the Franks of Franconia.

The Franks whom Aurelian chastised, were certainly so; and, upon the whole, I think it is these Franconian Franks (the Franks of the Upper Rhine) who appear earliest in history. Even if they do not, they appear far too soon to have the name accounted for by any conquests or migra-- tions; movements either way, from the Upper to the Lower, or from the Lower to the Upper Rhine, involving equally great, though different difficulties.

The measare of the southern, or Franconian Frank conquest, is to be found in the name Francho-Comté; this being to them as Alsatia is to the Alemanno-Suevians, and Bargundy to the Burgundians.

The geographical relations of Franche-Coroté and Bur-
gundy, along with the Frank character of the (geographically) Burgundian Merovingians, give the chief reason for believing that those tribes who were politically Franks of the Upper Rhine, were geographically and ethnologically Burgundians, at least for the middle portion of them. The southern members of this group were probably Snevian, the northern Hessian.

Again-the relations of the Bargundian Gunther to the Frank Sigfrid, in the traditions embodied in the Nibelungen Lied connect the two.
II. The Franks of the northern frontior.-The chief tribes who, ethnologically, formed this district were, as long as the early name (the name by which they were known to the Gauls) preponderated, Sicambri. In detail, they were Gambrivii, Marsi, Gugerni, and, probably, Dbii, Usipii, and Tencteri, Bructeri, \&cc.

When known as Germans, the collective name was out of place; since Tiberius, Drusus, and the other conquerors of the Lower Rhine, had not so much to deal with Germans as opposed to Gauls. as with Germans as opposed to each other. Hence came the less necessity for a collective name, and the greater necessity for a number of specific oues. The Sicambri of the Gauls are now the Bructori, Tubanter, \&cc., of the Germans.

When the necessity for the distinction between the depeudent Germans of the Roman territory, and the free Germans of the frontier (March) became necessary, the necessity of a general name came in again. This general name was Frank. The Franks of the Lower Rhine seem to have been chiefly Platt-Deutsch, though, partially, Old Saxon and Frisian as well.

The time of the actions of the Franks of the Lower Rhine, was a little later than that of those of the Upper; but it lasted longer. Its development consisted in the conquests of Clovis and Charlemagne. Ite measure is to be found in the name France, and in the Saxon and Slavonian conquests.

In France, the Franks of the Lower Rhine, and the Franks of the Upper Rhine, met in the parts about Franche-Comté, and combined; the former swamping the latter, and making
it appear as if Franche-Comté and France took their name from the aame Franks-such not being the case.

Again-the Franks of France appropriated the traditions of those of Burgundy, and, deducing themselves from Meroveus, became Merovingians; though that name is Burgundian.

The Franks of the Lower Rhine, like the Goths, much as they have conquered, have failed in continuing the existence of their Fradk character. Those of France are Frenchmen; those of Low Germany, read in High German-their chief apoken language, the Platt-Deutsch dying out.

In Holland alone are they a separate substantive peoplein Holland, minus Friesland.

It was the Low-German Franks who swept before them, and extinguished the Saxons-the continental ancestors of the English.
III. The Frankg of the middle frontier.-These, as being difficult to separate on their southern and northern frontiers from those of Burgundy and Lower Rhine, have been taken last in order. They are the Hessian Franks (Chattische Franken) of Zeass. Their history is less obscure than undistributed, i.c., distinguished from that of the Franks above and below them.

Still there are the Franks, whose legends Sigfrid and the Nibelungen Lied represent, Franks more High than Low Germanic, as shown by the great extent to which Burgundians come in contact with the hero of that poen; which the Salian or Ripuarian Franks do not.
§ xv. the galit.

Franks, in respect to their independence, the Salii were, probably, intrasive Low Germans; their locality being the present Sal-land, near Deventex, and the banks of the Y-sel.

> § xyi. the bilpdari.

Ethnologically, the Rip-uarii were Franks of the Ripa (the banks of the Rhine), \&c.

Their name shows the possibility of a hybrid word; since -warii= the -ware in Cant-were, \&c.; so that the Rip-warii were really the Rip-i-oold.

## § xivi. the varangians.

'This was the name of the Byzantian equivalent to the soldiers of a free-company in the eleventh and twelfth centaries.

These soldiers were almost wholly Scandinavians-to a great extent the Swedea of Russia.

The reasons against believing Varasgian to be the same word as Frank, are-

1. The mention of Franci along with them, as a separate people.
2. The extent to which the Varangians were Scandinavians, rather than Germans of the Rhine.

In favour of it in-
The form of the present Oriental name for EuropeansFeringi.

This, in my mind, preponderates.
Connected by name only with the Franks, the truer ethnological affinities of the Varangians were with the Scandinavians of Russia.

$$
\text { § xyin. the rusig, or }{ }^{\text {e }} \text { Pôs. }
$$

I follow Zeuss in giving the Greek name ('P $\hat{\mathrm{s}}$ ) of this people; since the form Russian would convey a wrong idea.

No name is involved in maore difficulties.
No history is more interesting.
The result of an attempt to construct a probable hypothesis out of the valuable facts given by Zenss (ad 'v.), is as follows :-

In the eighth, ninth, and tenth centuries, the Dnieper, Volga, and Don, played the same part in determining a distant flaviatile migration with the Scandinavians, that the Danube is supposed to have done with the Thuringian and Bavarian Germans; or (mutatis mutandis) a series of migra-
tions in boats similar to that which took the Germans to Meria, took the Norsemen to the Black and Caspian Seas. Just, too, as the navigation of the Upper Danube implies the occupancy of some part of its banks, I imagine that those parts of Russia, where the systems of the Drieper and Volga come in closest contact, were the seats of certain Norsemen; intrusive members of the Scandinavian division, who had penetrated from the Baltic to the head-waters of the rivers in question, at the expense of the original Lithnanians and Ugrians. The undoubted fluviatile character of this migration is an argoment in favour of that of the Goths of Mesia having been fluviatile also.

The evidence in support of this doctrine is as follows :-

1. The expedition which brought the Norsemen to Constantinople was by woater:-Kaテ' êkeîvov yàp tòv кatpòv tò









 $\kappa а т е \mu е ́ \lambda \iota \sigma a v,-V i t a ~ S . ~ I g n a t i i . ~$
2. It was fluviatile, i.e., vid a river rather than the ocean. The proof of this lies in a long quotation from the Arabian writer Ibn Fozlan, to be found in Zeuss (p. 550), describing their descent upon Georgia and Ajerbijan, by means of a fleet on the Caspian.
3. It was Norse:-"Misit etiam (Theophilus) cum eis quosdam, qui se, id est gentem suam Rhos vocari dicebant, quos rex illorum, Chacanas vocabolo, ad se amicitip, sicut asserebant, causa direxerat, petens per memoratam epistolam, quatenus benignitate imperatoris redeundi facoltatem atque auxilium per imperium sunm totum habere possent, quoniam itiners, per quæa ad illum Constantinopolim venerant, inter barbaras et nimios feritatio gentes immanissinas habuerant,
quibus eos, ne forte periculum inciderent, redire nolnit. Quorum adventus causam itaperator diligentius investigans, comperit eos gentis esse Sueonum, exploratores potius regni illins nostrique quam amicitise petitores ratus, penes se eonsque retinendos judicavit, quod veraciter invenire posset, ptrum fideliter eo necne pervenerint; idque Theophilo per memoratos legatos suos atque epistolam intimare non distulit, et quod eos illins amore libenter susceperit, ac si fideles invenirentar, et facaltas absque illorum periculo in patriam remeandi daretur, cum auxilio remittendos; sin alias, una cum missis nostris ad ejus prasentiam dirigendos, at quid de talibas fieri deberet, ipse decernendo efficeret."-Annal. Bertin. Pertz i.434.

The only shade that has been thrown over this conclusion is the spparent use of the Turk word Chacan=Khan; but Zeuss well suggests that this is no Tork title, but the Norse proper name Hakon.

And, to confirm all this, Liutprand writes:-" Gens quadam est sub aquilonis parte constituta, quam a qualitate corporis Greci vocant Ru8sos, nos vero a positione loci vocamas Nordmannos. Lingua quippe Teutonum nord aquilo, man autem mas seu vir dicitur, unde et Nordmannos aquilonares homines dicere possumus. Hujus denique gentis rex Inger vocabulo erat, qui collectis mille et eo amplius navibus Constantinopolim venit.-Compositis itaque secundum jassionem suam chelandriis, sapientissimos in eis viros collocat (Romanns Imperator), atque ut regi Ingero oceurrant, denunciat. Profecti denique, cam in pelago eos impositos rex Inger aspicerit, exercitui suo precepit, ut viros illos caperet et non occideret. Denique miserator et misericors Dominus, qui se colentes, se deprecantes, se adorantes non solum protegere, verum etiam victoria voluit honorare, ventis tunc placidum reddidit mare. Secus enim ob ignis emissionem Grecis erat incommodum. Igitur in Russorum medio positi ignem circumcirca projiciunt. Quod dum Russi conspiciunt, e navibus confestim sese in mare projiciunt eliguntque potius aquis submergi, quam igni cremari. Alii tunc loricis et galeis onerati, nunquam visuri ima pelagi petunt, nonnuili vero natantes inter ipsos maris flactus uruntur, nallusque die illa evasit, qui faga sese ad terram non liberavit. Russorum
etenim naves ob parvitatem sui ubi aqum minimum est transennts quod Greecorum chelandria ob profunditatem sui facere nequeunt. Ingenti Inger confusione postmodum ad propria est reversas. Græci vero victoria potiti, vivos secum multos ducentes, Constantinopolim regressi sunt leti. Quos omoes Romanas in presentia Hugonis nuncii, vitrici scilicet mei, decollari precepit."-Liutprand, Hist. v. 6.

Lastly (and this also indicates the fluviatile character of the invasion as well), a remarkable passage in Constantinus Porphyrogenita not only distinguishes the Russ tongue from the Slavonic, but gives the names of the different falls of the Dnieper in both languages. Zeuss quotes Lehrberg, as having shown the Russ forms to be Norss; and without eaying that the others are not, I admit that two of them are andoubtedly so; being componnds of the Norse word, fors =force, in provincial English, =vaterfall.-Eis tòv тé $\mu \pi \tau о \nu$ фраүнò̀ тòv

 Adm. Imp. c. 9.

Again,




Vorenfors is, at the present moment, the name of the highest waterfall in Norway. Holmfors=the water-fall of the island, not the island of the water-fall.

The fact of a Swedish invasion of the Crimea, Thrace, Persia, and Georgia, and the inference of a consolidated Swedish occapancy of the watershed of the Volga and Dnieper, is clearer than the origin of the name.

In favour of ite being Norse, are-
a. All the previons extracte.
b. A curious expression in Symeon Magister (A.d. 1140) :




 каӨlбтаутає.

Zeuss compares this with the Norse rás= $=\delta \rho \dot{o}^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ racs (the same word).

Against it are-
a. The utter absence of any such name applied to any portion of the Norsemen, in any of the numerons Norse writings.
b. Its present power, as the name of so large a country as Russia, with so few definite traces of Norse occupancy.
c. The name Rhoxolani, of a nation between the Don and Dnieper.

The following view is considered to reconcile these difficalties.

Previous to the descent on the Eaxine and Caspian, the Norsemen conquered and occupied the conntry of the Rhoxlanes, and, after they had become known to their neighbours as $R h \delta^{\circ}$, harassed the eastern empire.

In being known to their neighbours by the name of the country they occupied, they were like the present Spaniards of Mexico.

The question as to the stock to which those Rhow-lani belonged, will bring with it a fact confirmatory of the previous view. Althoagh we nowhere find that the Norsemen in question themselves called themselves Ros, the Finlanders at the present moment call them Ruotg-alainen, and their country Ruotsi.

This is a fact which has long been known. It has also long been known that -lainen is the regular Finlandic termination for gentile nouns. Such being the case, the word ${ }^{\text {'Po }}$ © $o \lambda a v o l$ has long been looked on as a genuine Ugrian gloss; and as Strabo mentions the Rhazolani, there must bave been, in his time, not only Ugrians in Russia, but Ugrians so near the Euxine as for worde of their tongue to reach his informants.

Such I believe to have been the case. I think that there were Ugrians as far south as the Lower Danube. This confirms the notion that Russia was not originally Slavonic. It also confirms the notion that there were Ugrians in South Europe before the Majiar invasion,

[^54]Strabo's notice of the Rhomolani is as follows :-'H $\delta^{\prime}$











From this it follows that modern Russia has taken its name, not-
a. From any dominant Norse conquerora, so-called; but-
b. From a portion of its area called Rutsi, originally occupied by Ugrian Ruotsolane, but afterwards by Norsemen (chiefly Swedes), to whom the neighbouring nations extended the name of the territory.

In other words, the Northmen of Auotsi were called Rus, even as an Angle of Britannia might be called Britannus.

## § xix. the chattuarif.

 Varangi were connected with the Franks in name ouly, the two previous sections have been, to a certain extent, episodical.
I. True occupants of Frank localities, and probably true members of a Frank confederacy are the Chattuarii.

This is no Low German form of the word Chas-uariit; although, at the first view, it seems such; since the single \& has a less tendency to become $t$ than the double one; or (changing the expression), the High German 8 most usaally becomes $t$ in Low German when the vowel that precedes it is short. Now, the $a$ in Chas-uarii is long; since it represents the $a$ in name of the river Hass. Hence, Chas-uarii and Chatt-uarii are not in the same relation to each other as Hesse is to Chatti.

Like Tacitus, Dion makes no mention of the Chattu-arii.
Strabo does; his form being Xartovápıo.
So does Velleius Paterculus ; his form being Attu-arii.
Ptolemy's Xatrov́wpor introduce a complication which will be noticed in the sequel.

The locality of the Chatt-uarii of Strabo and Paterculus was the watershed of the Ruhr and Lippe. Strabo mentions them in conjunction with the Gambrivii, and Paterculus with the Bructeri--"Intrata protinus Germania, subacti Caninefates, Attuarii, Bructeri, recepti Cherasci."-ii. 105.

They increase in historical prominence as we advance; and in the reign of Jolian, Ammianus writes "Rheno exinde transmisso regionem subito pervasit Francorum quos Attuarios vocant." - xx. 10.

Between the eighth and eleventh centuries the name is common, and numerous docoments speak of the terra, pagus and comitatus of the Chatuarii, Hattuarii, Hazzoarii," Atuarii, Hattera, $\dagger$ and Hettera, and numerons places are mentioned as lying within it.

All these lay on the western side of the Rhine, i.e., on the Niers, a feeder of the Mass; so that the minute ethnologist may divide the Attuarii of the middle-age writers into the eastern and western branches.
"In a.d. 715 Sanones vastaverant terram Chatuariorum." —Annal. S. Amand. Pertz. i. 6.

But the most interesting fact connected with the Chattwarii is the occurrence of their native name $H$ cob-ware in the Traveller's Song, and Beowulf.

The king, whose son, the hero of the great Angle epic, Beowulf, succeeded, bore a name the form of which was-

In A.s., Higolac-
In Icelandic, Hugleikr-
In Latin, Choohilaichus;
being, variously called, a Dane, a Geat, and an Angle.
His descent apon one of the pagi of King Theodoric is thus mentioned by Gregory of Tours:-" His ita gestis Dani cum rege suo, nomine Chochilaicho, evectu navali per mare

[^55]Gallias appetunt, egressique ad terras pagum unum de regno Theodorici devastant atque captivant, oneratisque navibus tam de captivis quam de reliquis apolis reverti ad patriam cupiont. Sed rex coram in litus residebat, donec naves alturn mare comprenderent, ipse deinceps secuturus; quod cum Theodorico nuntiatum fuisset, quod seilicet regio ejus fuerit devastata, Theodebertam, filium suum, in illas partes cum valido exercitu ac magoo armoram apparatu direxit. Qui, interfecto rege, hostes navali proelio saperstos opprimit, omnemque rapinam terre reatituit."-iii. 3.

Now from Beowulf we learn that the pagus of the Frank who killed Higelac was that of the Hat-woers.

In a document of a.d. 769, we find-" Silva quæ vocatur Heissi, in aquilonari parte fluvii Rore."

Later still we find the form Hese, and, at the present moment, there is a town called Heis-ingen, on the right bank of the Ruhr, between Essen and Werden.*

These names, then, as well as that of the town of Essen, give us the area of those Germans who were called in Platt-Deutsch-
a. Chatt-narii, or Att-narii.
b. In High German $H a z z-$ oarii. $\dagger$

Whose name also was either compound or simple, i.e., Chattuarii or Chatti, Hazzoarii or Hesse; this latter form being preserved in the present form, Essen; which is High German in respect to the ss, but Old Saxon in respect to the omission of the initial aspirate.

In Tacitus (Ann. i. 50, 51) we find a notice of the Silva Ccesia, the locality of the Marsi, and the seat of the worship of the dea Tacfana.

This looks like the name of the country about Eszen in its oldest forme.

The connection between the Marsi, Gambrivii, and other populations belonging to the Sicambrian division, with the Chattuarii, is somewhat doubtful.

The name may have originated in the root Cos- of the siloa Casia, and so have been older than that of the popula-

[^56]tion; a fact indicated by the termination -ware $=-$ uariz $=$ -cola in Latin,-Chatt-uarii= Chess-uarii = Cosi-cola.

In this case, Chattuarii is the Low German form of a name of the Marsi and others, taken from the forest district they occupied,-just as numerous minor tribes might be called Hercynic, or Bacenic, from the Horcynian or Bacomian forests.

But it may also indicate a settlement of intrusive Chatti from Heses, and the name be newer than the population.

I incline to the former of these views; still admitting the difficulty involved in the fact of populations with names so like as Chatt-uarii ( = Casi-cola), Chas-uarii (occupants of the Hase), and Chatti (occupants of Hesse) being so-called, independent of any special connection.

The hypothesis that the silva Casia was a common rather than a proper name, and, as such, one which might occur in more districts than one, would solve the difficalty. The solution, however, is, at best, but hypothetical. If valid, however, Hesse itself might be but a silva Cas-ia, just as Burgundy was a Yirgunt.

Hence, the Chattuatii were High Germans or Low Germans, according to the view we take of the origin of their name. Or they may have been modified High GermansHigh Germans in origin, but Low Germans in Jocality, and several other characteristics.

We have seen that, although the word Chatt-uarii is not the Low German form for the Chas-aarii of the Hase, it is something of the kind. It is the Low German name of the Hazz-oarii of Essen, and the parts about that town.

If Low Germans, they were, probably, Platt-Deutsch rather than Saxon, and Frisian rather than Platt-Deutschthe reasoning running thus:-
a. Their hostility to the Saxons is evidence, as far as it goes, for the two populations belonging to different divisions.
b. The occupants of the Gau Destarbenzon, within the Chattuarian ares, were Frisians.-"Frisionse qui vocantur Destarbenzon."-Annal. Fuld. ad an. 385. Pertz i. 402.
II. The Attuarii of the Doubs.-In Prolegomena, § xit., it was stated that certain Chamavi and Chatt-varii seem to have
been removed from the lower Rhine to Bargundy, as colonists, and to have settled on the Doubs.

From the end of the eighth century downwards, the notices of a pagus, and comitatus Attuariorum are numerous,-the locality being the valleys of the Vincenne, Tille, and Beze, and the neighbouring parts of the water-aystem of the Doubs.

The pagus Commavorum joined the pagns Attwariorum on the Doubs, even as the areas of the Chamavi and Attuarii were conterminons on the Lower Rhine. For the numerous references to these interesting settlements, see Zeuss, pp. 582 -584. They deserve more attention from local antiquaries than they have found.
III. The Xaırov́opos of Ptolemy.-This writer, who says nothing about any Chatt-uarii on the Lower Rhine, places a population with a name so like it as Xalt-ov́opol on the part between the Upper Rhine and Danube, amongst the Danduti, Torones, Merovingi, and other widely different sections of the Germanic population ; and, to add to the confusion, he places Kac.ovápor not very far from Xair-oúwool.

Is this to be put down to erroneous information, and to pass as inaccuracy? Probably. At the same time an intrusion of Chatti, from the southern portion of their area may have taken place, and the name Chassi, or Chasuarii (Hazzi or Hazz-aarii) have thas originated. The Low German form in -t-, however, is against this view.

The fact of an inaccuracy is the likelier.

## § xi. the govit.

1. The Suevi of Suabia.-The name of the country called Suabia is a true ethnological term, even as Franconia is one. The one means the country occopied by the $S u e v i$, the other the country occupied by the Franks. Bavaria is another such name, derived from the Boii. Sazony is in a similar, though somewhat different, predicament. They all, however, agree in being names of countries derived from their populations. Hesse is, probably, the same, and Thuringia also.

At what time the name first became an nnequivocal geographical designation of what now, in the way of politics, coincides with the Grand Ducby of Baden and part of Wurtemburg, and, in respect to its physical geography, is part of the Black Forest, is uncertain. It was not, however, later than the reign of Alexander Severns (ending A.d. 235)--the Tabula Peutingeriana being supposed to be referable to that date. Therein, Alamanmia and Sugvia appear togetheras terms for that part of Germany which had previously gone under the name of Decumates agri, and the parte about the Limes Romanus.

With this, then, begins the history of the Suevi of Suabia, or, rather, of the Suabians. Their alliances were chiefly with the Alamanni and Burgundians; their theatre the German side of France, Switzerland, Italy, and (in conjunction with the Visigoths) Spain. Their epoch is from the reign of Alexander to that of Augustulus, in round numbera, from about a.D. 225 to A.D. 475 , a period of two handred and fifty years.

Their maximum amonnt of historical prominence was the time when Ricimer the Suevian, and Gundobald the Burgundian, made and unmade such emperors as Severus and Olybrius, the immediate predecessors of Augustulus.

Now is the time to take a measure of the extent to which the notion $\dagger$ that Sueo- was no native German term at all, but a Keltic name adopted by the Romans, is a paradox, or a probable inference from the early notices of the populations so-called.

1. It is not a question whether the root Suou-was Keltic or not. It is known to have been so.
2. Nor is there much doubt about ite having been from the Gauls that the Romans took it: since it was probably Gauls from whom Cæsar learned the names of the allies and subjects of Ariovistus.
[^57]The only doubt is abont its being exclusively Keltic, i.e., not German.

The reason in favour of this view are, perhaps, all referable to one head, viz., the facts which the hypothesis will account for. Of these the chief are-

1. The generality of the term, as seen by the express evidence of Tacitus himself.
2. The equally express evidence of Tacitus to the fact of a general or common name for the Germans being recent; and of that name being Germani-not Suevi.
3. The difficulty of making it apply to any great divisions of the Germanic stock. For such, we have already, in the names Ingavones, Istabvenes, and Herminones, more than we can easily deal with.
4. The non-mention of the name Chatti in Cæsar, combined the high probability of gome, at least, of Cesar's Suevi haviug belonged to that branch.
5. The fact of Tacitus, who places the Chatti in Cesser's locality of the Suevi, placing the Suevi to the east of it.
6. The difficulty of accounting for this by means of a migration. Though Cwesar has no mention of the Chatti, and Tacitus has, it is not Tacitus who first notices them. The name appears in Strabo. Hence, if there were a real. bodily change of locality on the part of the Suevi, thus supposed to have been driven eastwards by the Chatti, the displacement must have occurred between the time of Cessar and Strabo, i.e., between the time of Julins and Augustus Cægar-and that without either the Romans of Gaul or the Germans noticing it.

However, what a migration will not explain, the assumption of the word Suevi being a synonym to some of the previous names will. Suevi may mean Chatti. Suevi may mean Hermunduri, or it may (as I believe it does) partially coincide with both.

But what explains the synonym? Nothing better than the existence of a second language, especially when that second language is no fiction, but a reality.

What lies against this? I will put the only strong argnment on this side of the question in its strongest form. From
the middle of the third century * to the present day, the root Suab-, or Suav-, has been a native German name for the Germane of Suabia. Before this, we hear of Suevi, but their locality is not Suabia.

What does this prove! That Suev-was a German name proviously f By no means. It merely proves that a certain area was called by the Romans after a population named Suevi, and that certain Germana who settled there took their name from the area. Kent, at the present moment, is English, and the Kent-ings who occupied part of it during the AngloSaxon period were English. But does this make Kent an English word? No. It is British = Cant-ium, as is well-known.

Up to the time in question (i.e., the reign of Alexander), the known facts are quite as much against Sweo-being a German root as in favour of it. Ceesar's Suevi are described by Gauls, and Tacitus's are in a locality which at one and the same time is different from Cæbar's, and Slavonic. No one, who has realized the extent to which national names vary with the language of the informants, will say that the root Suev-, as applied to the subjects of Ariovistus, may not be as exclusively Gallic, as the word Welsh is exclusively Germanic.

Hence, up to the time in question, Suovia is simply the name of the country of a population that the Romans and Kelts called Suevi-a popalation which need not even be Germanic, still less, necessarily, call themselves by Sueo-.

[^58]It is when we can find an undoultedly Germanic population in this country of Suevia calling themselves Suevi, that the reasons in favour of its native origin begin to preponderate; since the indigenous use of the name at one time is atrong prima facio evidence of its indigenous use at another.

Whether, however, it be strong enough to set against the series of facts with which the investigation commenced, combined with the easy explanation of them by the hypothesis that the word was originally other than German, is submitted to the consideration of the reader.

All the difficalties are reducible to a single fact, viz., that the present undoubtedly German name Suabia has arisen out of a Roman rather than a native appellation-the Roman name itself having arisen out of a Keltic, the Keltic, perhaps, out of a Slavonic. Whoever makes a difficalty of this should remember that the word Germany itself is in the same predicament.

But this implies that the ancestors of the present Suabians became sufficiently Romanized to take for themselves a national name, which the Romans had originally taken from the Gauls -a strange name, in short. The following extracts suggest the answer to this:-
"Avitus, on his arrival in Rome, was acknowledged emperor; but Ricimer, a Suevian of royal descent, was now all-powerfnl in the city. All the barbarians, who acted a prominent part at Rome, must not be looked upon as savages; they were Christians, and spoke and noderstood the lingua vulgaris, which already resembled the Italian more than the Latin; they were just as civilised as our ancestors in the middle ages. A few of them bad a shadow of classical education, as Theodoric, the Visigoth, and the younger Alaric: but the case was quite different with Ricimer and his equals, who no doubt heartily despised the culture of the Romans. Those Germans, unfortunately, were not one shade better than the effeminate Italians; they were just as faithless and cruel. * * * * Gundobald, king of the Bargundians, who had now become patricius, and succeeded Ricimer, proclaimed Glycerius emperor. But the court of Constantinople
sent against him Julius Nepos, likewise a noble Roman, who, with some assistance from Constantinople, took possession of Rome and Ravenna. Glycerius abdicated; but Orestes, a Roman of Noricium, who had risen into importance as early as the time of Attila, refased obedience to Nepos. After the withirawal of Gundobald from Italy, Orestes became patricius, that is commander-in-chief."-Niebuhr, Lectore 138.

The countrymen, then, of Gondobald, at least, wors Romanized; and that largely.

I have said that the nndoubted use of the root Sueo-,* as applied by certain Germans to themselves, is the only atrong reason against the original non-Germanic character of the word. As others, however, may be satisfied with the following derivation, it is laid before them:-Swabo, Middle High German; Suapa, Old High German ; Socfas, AngloSaxon, are derived from the root swiban $=$ swoay, moese whsteadily; and, hence, Suevi (or Suebi) is the designation of a people of unsteady migratory habits-"unstäten (schwobenden) Lebenswoise."-Zeuss, p. 56.

It cannot be denied that the passage of Strabo confirms





 $\pi о \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu е \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ิ \nu$ קобкך $\mu$ áт $\omega \nu$. Still, I think it nnsatisfactory.
II. The Suevi of Ptolemy.-Ptolemy's Suvei (see text) are the इovngol 'Ayre $\lambda o l$, to the east of the Lombards, and on
 the Albis, to the river Suebus.

This division introduces a difficulty which even a migration will not explain.

The Suevi of Suabia are High Germans.
The 'Aryelinoc Douńbol can acarcely have been other than Angle, i.a., Saxon.

[^59]So that whether we assume a movement of the Angle Suevi from Suabia, or one of the Suabian Suevi from the Angle conntry, or deduce both from some intermediate area, we must assume a change of dialect as well. Zeuss does this; and deriving, as he does, the Suabians from the ancestors of the English, believes that the former took a High-German dialect in place of their own. Otherwise we may presume, English would be spoken at the present moment in Baden and Wurtemburg,-may, possibly in Switzerland, Bavaria, and Anstria, since these were Alemanno-Suevic conquests.
III. The Suevi Trarsbadani. - Between the Saale, the Bode, and Hartz is a Gau named Sureon. Thie was occupied in the sixth century by a population called by the Frank writers Suevi.

The following extracts make them recent colonists, -"Tempore illo quo Alboinus in Italiam ingressus est, Chlothacharius et Sigibertus Suaros aliasque gentes in loco illo posuerunt."-Gregory of Tours, v. 15. "Chlotarius et Sigisbertus reges Francorum Suavos aliasque gentes in locis, de quibus iidem Saxones exierant posuerunt."-Paul. Diacon.ii. 6.

If the §§ on the Angli and Werini be now referred to, it will be seen that the parts on the Thuringian, Hessian, Slavonic, and Saxon frontiers were parts whereon settlements appear to have been made to a great extent; and it must be remembered that the evidence bere is of the kind called cumulative, so that, although absolate and unimpeachable reasons for any particular population being considered to have originated in a military colony, cannot be given, there are several populations contiguous to each other, for each of which a mmall amount of evidence can be collected; the sum of the probabilities being a large one.

Suevon, then, as the name of a Gau, or pagus, we have already seen; as also localities for the Angli* and the Werini.

Besides these, there ie, in the same parts, a Frisonafeld, or field of the Frisians,

These Suent of the Gau named Suevon, have been conveniently called the Suevi Transbadani, i.e., the Suevi beyond the Bode; since the following passage occurs in Witi-

[^60]kind of Corvey (i. p. 634) "Suewi vero Tranbbadani illam quam incolunt regionem eo tempore invaserunt, quo Saxones cam Longobardis Italiam adiere, et ideo aliss legibus quam Saxones utantur."
IV. The Norsavi, or Nordosquavi.-In King Theodobert's Epistle to the Emperor Justinian we find the name Norsavi of which the more correct form is probably Nor-suavi, or Nordsuavi-" subactis Thuringis . . . Norsavorum gentis nobis placata majestas colla subdidit."-mucherne, i. 862.

In the Annales Mettenses ad an. 748 (Pertz i. 330), "Pippinus adunato exercitu per Taringiam in Saxoniam veniens fines Saxonum, quos Nordosquavos wocant, cum valida manu intravit. Ibique duces gentis asperm Sclavorum in occursum ejus venerunt, nnanimiter anxilium illi contra Saxones ferre parati, pugnatores quasi centum millia. Saxomes vero, qui Nordosquavi vocantur, sub suam ditionem subactos contritosque subegit."

Now Zeuss identifies these Norsuavi with the Suesi Transbadani; and, for some time, I followed his view. But a little consideration will show that it by no means follows, that because the Suevi Transbadani were Suevi in the North they were, there, the Nordsuavi.

A Lincolnshire colony in the East Riding of Yorkshire, would certainly be Englishmen North of the Humber, yet they would not be North-umbrians.

I am induced to draw the distinction from the following facts:-
a. All the extracts in Zeuss--the ones on which all my knowledge of the subject resto-call those Suevi of whose colonial character there is the clearest evidence,-not Nordsuavi, but simply Suavi.
b. The Nord-suavi are spoken of as a gens.

This seems a sufficient reason for discounecting-
a. The Sueci of the settlement founded in Alboin's time from the-

万. Nord-suavi of the gens, conquered by Theodobert.
The reasoning hitherto has been that the word Sueo-, originally Keltic, was applied to the Southera Germans exclosively, so that it was Keltic in the way that Tahud is Slavonic:
which is a name applied not to all non-Slavonic nations indifferently, but to those of the Ugrian atock only.*-See Prolegom. p. xlix.

But this restriction of its application to a single nonKeltic population is by no means necessary; since the word is quite as likely to be in the predicament of the Germanic term Welsh, as in that of the Slavonic Tohud; the word Welsh being applied not only by the English of England to their fellow-citizens of the principality, but by the Germans of Germany to the Italians of Italy.

Now what if Suev-be really a root like wealh, i.e., a root applicable to two (or more) non-Keltic populations, independently of their relations to each other, and with reference to the non-Kelticism only? And what if the second of these populations were the Slavoric?

If such be the case, more than one difficulty would find its solution.

In the first place, it would account for so many Slavonic populations being designated as Suevi.

In the second, it would supply a plausible origin for the word itself.

The phonetic systems of the Slavonic and Keltic, each so peculiar, are each sufficiently different to make such a root as Serb, Sorb, or Serv (the native designation of the Slavonians), take in the mouth of a Gaal, the form Suav, Suab, or Suav-and such I believe to have been the case.

Of course, this view requires to be supported by evidence, that the Kelts had a name for the Slavonians at all; and (althongh the present is not the place where it will be exhibited) such evidence can be given. The hypothesis also requires that this name, as the designation of a non-Keltic population, should have been given to a Slavonic nation first. I think that this also can be made probable. If so, we must suppose that the south-eastern Gauls, and the most western of the Saxon and Thuringian Slavonians once met; that the native Slavonic name Serb took in Gaul the form Suee; that certain Germanic populations displaced those Slavonians, and thas came in contact with Kelts;-lastly, that the name

[^61]originally applied to the Slavonians was extended to the Germans as well.

Be this as it may, it is nearly certain that either the Kelts had no collective name for the Serbs, or else, that that name was Suev.

In regard to the details of the populations thus named, I believe-. .

1. That the Suevi of Ariovistus were chiefly Chatti and Cherusci, along with certain Slavonians from Saxony and Thuringia, and along with certain Gauls belonging to the countries which called him in.
2. That the Suevi of the Alemannic alliance were the true German ancestors of the present Suabians, originally of the Germano-Roman frontier, afterwards (by encroachment) of the Decumates agri - subsequent to the fourth century, perhaps, calling themselves Suevi, but till then known by various special names (Nertereanes, Danduti; Chatti, Burgundians, \&c.) in respect to their ethnology, and in respect to their political relations, sometimes Burgundian sometimes Alemannic.
3. That the Suevi Angili of Ptolemy were-
a. Northern Chatti described by Gallic informants, or-
b. Angles of the Anglo-Slavonic March, who, being Saxon Germans, were known to the Gaula to be different from the Chatti, but not known to be different from the Slavonians of the Elbe.
4. That the Saxon Suevi were the same, except that the name Saross is to be accounted for differently. They occupied the country then known as Saxony.
5. That the Suevi of the Gau (pagus) named Suevon were a colony.
6. That such other Suevi as are mentioned in alliance with any undonbted Slavonic nation east of the probable limits of the true Alemanno-Suevic conquests (say the bend of the Danube) were Slavonians, so designated by some of the more eastern Gauls.
7. That the Suevi of Spain were one of two things:-
a. Slavonians in alliance with the Silingian Vandals (Slavonic), or-
b. True German Suevi in alliance with the Visigoths. Most probsbly the former.
8. That the Suevicum mare was a name for the Baltic, wholly unconnected with the root in question, and identical with it by accident.
9. That the Oder was called the fuvius Suchus, becanse it was the river of the Suevi=Sorbs.

## § xil. the ciduarr.

In a document quoted by Zenss as the Weissobrun MS., is the name Ciuuari. Zenss rightly conjectures that the Ciunari were Sucoi. Surely, he might have added that the word was like Cant-woers, the root $S u e 0+$ wacrs $=$ ocoupant $=$ Sueoicole.

## § xxi. ter armalauel.

In the Tabula Pentingeriana the name armalauei occurs next to alemannia.

It geems safe to say that this ia a componnd-a compound, too, which is Mose-Gothic in form, and a compound of which the -less, in words like thought-less, is the latter element.

The power of the $\triangle B E$ - is more equivecal. Zeuss makes it mean ahirt-sloevos; so that Arma-lausi $=$ the bare-armod.

If it were not for the hybridism. I should be inclined to translate it, the dis-armsd; the meaning being that some frontier population had been prohibited the wearing of weapons by its conquerors, But the hybridity of a word compounded of the Latin arma + the German -los is a grave (though not insuperable) objection.*

As the word oecurs nowhere else, the question is curious rather than important.
§ xitil. the lentigngrs and bribgati.
The former of these are mentioned by Ammianns in his history of the reigns of Constantius and of Gratian; the latter occurs in the Notitia Imperii.

[^62]The interest that attaches to these names arises from their being amongst the first members of the Alemanno-Suevic confederacy who are mentioned by apecific and particular names.

Their area of encroachment was Switzerland, and part of Bavaria (Helvetia and Rhætia), so that they are amongst the ancestons of the present Swiss.

The name Bris-gavi showa the antiquity of the word Gaw =pagus, as in Ar-gau, Thur-gas. See Kemble's Saxons in England-On the Ga and Soire.

## § xilv. the bucolinobantes.

Mentioned by Ammianus; and differing from the Lentienses and Briegavi only in having penetrated into Hesse -i.e., having made their movements in a northern direction.

## § xxy. the brigonenges.

Germana who, in the fifth century penetrated as far westwards as the neighbourhood of Troyes, and who are mentioned in a Life of St. Lupus, who died A.D. 479.

Whether these four populations gave their names to the localities of which they possessed themselves, or took them from them, is ancertain.

## § xXvi. THE obur.

We are now passing from the tribes more especially connected with the Suevi and Alemanni of the Rhine, to nations and confederacies whose scene of action is the Middle and Lower Danube.

The Obii stand at the head of this division. They do so, however, because a notice of them is an element in the criticism that has to be applied to the Langobards, Heruli, and other populations more important.

The form Obii is from a Greek writer, and occurs in a frag-











The Greek zource is important; since, in Greek, the 6 may have been sounded as ; so that word may have been Owii to the ear.

Two other facts must be added :-

1. That forms like Attuarii, as opposed to Chattuarii, show the likelihood of an initial ch having been lost.
2. That most German national names could end either in $-n$, or in a vowel-Seaxe and Seaxam, \&x.

Putting all this together we find that the following legitimate changes may give us Obii, Ovii, Oviones, Chaviones, Avionas-this latter being a population we have met with before, in the north.

Now few nations, during the time of their historical prominence, were in closer political relations with the Langobardi than the Heruli-and with the Chaviones the Heruli were in close political relation also:--" Cam omnes barbare nationes excidium universem Galliz minarentur, neque solum Burgundiones et Alamanni, sed et Chaviones Ervlique, viribus primi barbarorum, locis ultimi, precipiti impetu in has provincias irruissent, quis deus tam insperatam salutem nobis attulisset, nisi tu adfuisses? - Chaviones tamen Erulosque . . aperto Marte, atque uno impetu perculisti, non universo ad id proelinm nsus exercita, sed paucis cohortibns.-Ita cuncti Chaviones, Erulique cuncti tanta internecione cæsi interfectique sunt, ut exstiuctos eos relictis domi conjugibus ac matribus non profugus aliquis preelio, sed victoriæ tuæ gloria nuntiaret." -Mamertini Paneg. Maximiano Aug. dictus (an. 289), c. 5. "Laurea illa Rhætica et illa Sarmatica te, Maximiane, fecerunt pio gandio triumphare. Itidem hic gens Chavionum Erulorumque deleta, transrhenana victoris et domitis oppressa Francis bella piratica Diocletianum votorum compotem reddi-derunt."-Ejusd. Paneg. Genethl. Maxim. Aag. dict. (an. 291), c. 7.

Taking this statement as $I$ find $\mathrm{it}^{2}$, I admit that the Chaviones, a nation of northern Germany, may be brought as far south as the Danube.

## § xxyif. the langobabdi of lombardy.

The first notice of these is that of Petrus Patricius.-See §Obiv.

Then, after a long silence as to their acts, they appear on the middle Danube, with the (so-called) traditions of Paulus Diaconus (See Epilgomena, § vı.), as the Lombards of Lombardy.

A shade of donbt (and to my mind it is a deep one) lies in the fact of their previous name having been Winill, a form suspiciously like Venedi. Still they are at least (if Slavonians) Slavenians who, by the time they became the Lombards of Lombardy, were thoroughly Germanized.

Their descent from the Lango-bards of Tacitas and Ptolemy is a difficult question. . Their locality in Rugiland proves nothing: it is probably the land of the Rugii of the Dannbe-not that of the Rugii of Tacitus.

Golandia has been supposed to be Goth-landia (=Gothland); but we must take the reading as we find it-especially as there was a Lithuanic nation called Galinda.

The terminations - $\alpha i b$ in Bant-aib and Wurgond-aib bave been supposed to be the German wib: concerning which Mr. Kemble, after explaining a word often mentioned in the present pages * (Gau), adds, in a note, that the aynonym Eib is less common.

[^63]Bat is this the only analysis of the two wordg-Banthaib and Wurcondaib? I think not. The commonest of all the terminations of the towns of Dacia was dava-Rusi-dava, \&c., as may be seen by going no further than Arrowsmith's map.

Again, Bantaib is admitted by Zeuss to mean the -taib (or -aib) of the Slavonic Antes.* As for the root Wurcond, it is, at least, as likely to represent the Urugund- in Urugundi, as the Burg-in the trae Burgundians.

To all this must be added the remarks in the note in v. Longobardi, suggesting that from the fact of the term being an epithet rather than a separate substantive name, there is a likelihood of there having been more Longobards than one, and that independent of ethnological affinity.
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p} o \mathrm{n}}$ the whole, althongh the evidence of the Lombards having originally been Goths or Germans, and the evidence of their having effected a migration from north to south, are not wholly unexceptionable, less objections lie against them than against any other aimilar instance: and I only consider it doubtful when it is made the basis of any ulterior deductions-such as that of making some very doubtful Germans German, because they stood in certain relations to the Lombards of Lombardy.

Perhaps the structure of the Lombard armaments may have been like that of the Vandale, -a German in respect to its chieff, Slavonic in respect to the bulk of the forces; in which case the Langobardi may have been the analogues of the Astingi: in which case, too, they may have represented the Langobardi of Tacitus. The distant migration of a cognatio or silsceaft seeking war, is more likely than the distant
under a higher political or administrative unity, different only in degree, and not in kind from what prevailed individually in each.
"The kingdom is only a larger Gá than ordinary, indeed the Gá itself wes the original kingdom. But the unsevered possession or property which we thus find in the Gdis by no means to be considered in the name light as that which has been described in the Mark. The inhabitants are settled as Markman, not as Gamen; the cultivated land which lies within the limit of the larger community is all diatributed into smaller ones."-Saxons in England, vol. i. 73.

- Probebly, an eastern form of the word Wend.
migration' of a nation, broken up and weakened, as we know the northern Lombards to have been.

> § xxvil. tal aepids.

The Gepidæa are mentioned in the Traveller's Song as Gifpas. Their date and ares are those of the Heruli and Longobards. The tradition and the gloss Gepanta may be seen in Jornandes, Epilegomena, § v.

In Capitolinus we find notice of the Si -cobotes in the reign of Marcus Antoninus, as members of the Marcomannic confederacy in the Marcomannic war. This has been supposed to $=$ Gepida + the prefix Si- (or Sig -), just as was supposed to be the case with Si-cambri.

Vopiscus, in his Life of Probus first mentions Gepida"Cum et ex aliis gentibus plerosque pariter transtulisset, id est ex Geppidis, Grautungis et Vandalis, illi omnes fidem fregerant."-Prob. c. 18.

Mamertinus mentions their wars with the Teroings. More important, bowever, were their political relations with the Longobards, the Avars, and the Thaifal.

Their seat was the Middle Danube, in Dacia; their chief King, Fastida, a name by no means nnequivocally German or Gothic.

Arda-rich, another chief, has a more unequivocal name.
Jornandes separates them from the Winidæ-."In qua Scythia prima ab occidente gens sedit Gepidarum, quæ magnis opinatisque ambitur fluminibus. Nam Tisianus per aquilonem ejus corumque discorrit. Ab africo vero magnus ipse Danubius, ab enro fluvius Tausis secat, qui rapidus ac verticoons in Histri fluenta furens devolvitur. Introrsus illi Dacia est ad coronæ speciem arduis Alpibus emunita, juxta quoram sinistram latus . . Winidarum natio populosa consedit." ${ }^{\text {- }}$ O. 5.

The parts about Singidunum and Sirmium are their most definite localities.

They afterwards became subject to the Huns.
An unknown writer of the ninth century says, "De Gepidis autem quidam adhuc ibi resident."

Procopius makes them Gothe; but his language may apply to their political relations, besides which, he connects them with the Vandals, and says that they were originally called















 -Bell. Goth. iv. 5.
 ěv vj .-Bell. Goth. i. I. Now the Alans were, assuredly, no Goths in ethnology. See § Alani.
The evidence, then, in favour of their being Goths or Germans, is that of so many others. Their alliances, and the names of some of their leaders, along with a (so-called) tradition are in their favour ; their locality against them. So is the gloss Gepanta. So is the express evidence of Procopius.

## § xix. the thaiples.

These are first mentioned by Mamertinus, A.D. 291 : their locality being on the Middle Danube, and their chief political relations with the Thervinge, Vandals,' Gepida, Ostro-Goths, and Limigantes.

Zosimus, an indifferent authority, makes them Scythians:




## Ixxxviii the germany of tacitus.

 ii. 34.

The name of one of their chief is Farnobius, a name of donbtful origin; but as Ammianus expressly calls him a Goth, he must be considered as such:-" Hanc (Frigeridns) Gothorum optimatem Farnobium cam vastatoriis globis vagantem licentius occapavit, ducentemque Taifalos, nuper in societatem adhibitos: qui, si dignum est dici, nostris ignotarum gentium terrore dispersis, transiere flumem direpturi vacua defensoribus loca,"-xxxi. 9.
"Beatus Senoch, gente Theiphalus, Pictavi pagi, quem Theiphaliam vocant, oriundus fuit" (Vite Patrum 15), suggests the presence of Thaifali in France. If so, a settlement as a military colony would best explain it.

Infamons for their unnatural habits (which they shared along with the Herali), they are described by Ammianus in the following passage :-"Hanc Taifalorum gentem trorpem ac obsccenæ vite flagitiis ita accepimus mersaro, ut apud eos nefandi concubitus feedere copulentur maribus puberes, ætatis viriditatem in corum pollatis usibas consumtari. Porro si qui jam adultus aprom exceperit solus, vel interemerit arsum immanem, colluvione liberatur incesti."

They were probably Slavonic; the phal- being the -halin Victo-hali, and the -oal in Nahar-vali.

## § xxx. the tandals.

The reasons in favour of the Fandals being considered German, are-

1. Authors so respectable as Pliny (the first writer who mentions them) and Tacitus, place them under the term Germani.
2. Their chief political connections are with the undoubtedly German Alemanno-Suevi, and Goths proper.
3. The names of their leaders are almost exclusively Ger-man--Gonde-ric, Gense-ric, \&c.

The value of the first of these facts is questioned in almost every page of the present volume.

The second is neutralized by such extracts as the following
-which connect them with the equally unequivocally Sarma-

 p. 1204, Reim.

The third is a really sabstantial reason, and would be valid if there were nothing to set against it.

Against it, however, stand,-

1. The name of the people themselves, which is probably a South-German form of the well-known root, $\nabla$-nd, applied by the Germans in general to the Slavonians in general.
2. The localities. In no part of the true and undoubted Germanic area do we meet with any form of the root $\nabla-n d-l$, and no where do we find the mention of them as Germanic, other than cursory and incidental. Neither Pliny nor Tacitus gives us more than the name.
3. The different pointe of the Roman frontier, upon which we meet with Vandals, are so distant, as make it likely that the population, known by the name Vandal, was of great extent; whilst great extent on the part of a population is primal facie evidence of the name being general.

Hence, I believe that the Venedi of the Germans of the Baltic, were the Vand-ali of the Germans of the Danube, and vice versa.

Of these Slavonic populations, thus known under a German name, the two most important were-

1. The Vandals of the Daco-Pannonian frontier, whose scene of action was the Middle and Lower Danube, whose political relations were with the Goths proper, and who first became formidable to the Romans under their German name during the Marcomannic war. The ethnological affinities of these were more specially with the Lygii, and their present representatives are the more southern branches of the Poles, along with some of the more northern Slovaks.
2. Vandals of the south-western frontier.-Those, more important than the others, were the Sorabians of Saxony, Silesia, and the more eastern parts of Thuringia and Franconia; i.e., the Slavonians of the Upper Maine, the Upper Elbe, and the Saale, their scene of action being in the first
instance Gaul, subsequently Spain, finally Africa; their political relations being with the Suevi, Alemanni, Burgundians, and the sonthern Franks. Their ancestors were some of the Suevi of Tacitus, more especially the Semnones; their descendants the present Sorbs of Saxony and Silesia.

The statement of Idatios in Chronicon Roncallense is, that the Vandals of Spain (Andal-usian Spain more particularly) were Wandali Silingi. These are admitted by Zeuss to have been the $\Sigma$ Ihcyyai of Ptolemy; as well as to have been the occupants of parts so near Silesia as Upper Lusatia (p. 445).

Again, the pague Sil-ensis is admitted to be a Latin form of the Slavonic Zlas-ane and Sleenz-ane, the older forms of the present German Schlesien, and English Sil-esia (p. 663).

Yet the similarity between all these forms, and the name $\Sigma i \lambda c y-a b$ (applied to the same locality) is not admitted.

Admitting it myself, I consider the Vandals of Andal-usia, the Vandals of Genseric, and the Vandals of Gelimer to have been no Germans, but Slavonian Serbe, chiefly from Saxony, but in some cases from parts so far east as Silesia, in which country, the Vandals of the south-western frontier may have come in contact with those of the southeastern.

This shows that the separation between the two branches of the Wandals must not be carried too far; indeed, we are at liberty to take Silesia as a central point, and look upon the movements of the Vandals, whose alliance was with the Goths, and the Vandala, whose alliance was with the Alemanni, as blows against the majesty of Rome strack right and left by the same people.

At any rate, the Germanic leaders of each belonged to one and the same cognatio of sibscoaft; the Vandal equivalent to the Balt-ungs of the Visi-goths, and the Amsl-ungs of the Ostro-goths, being the Ast-inga, a name which we have in two forms one Meeso-Gothic, and one Old High German.

In the Old High German the 8 or $z$ of the Moeso-Gothic becomes $-r$; e.g., the comparative degree in $r$, which in

English is sweet-or and in Old High German auat-iro, was, in Moeso-Gothic, sut-iza. So also the Old High German plint-or ( $=$ blind $=$ cæc-us) is in Moso-Gothic blind-s.

In like manner, these Ast-ings, when they are at the head of those Vandals, whose chief alliance was with the Gothe, are designated by the form in -s, the Gothic forms being Azd-ing-ôe; whereas, when they command the Vandals of Andalusia and Africa, the Vandals, whose alliance was with the Alemanni, Suevi, Burgundians, and other High German populations, they are Gar-ding-8, the Old High German form being Gar-ding-ar.

The reasons for considering Asting (Garding) to have been the name of a family, lie in the following extracts.
"Si inter Hasdirigorum (Hasdingorum?) stirpem retinuissetis Amali sanguinis purpuream dignitatem."-Cass.Var. ix. 1.
 'A $\quad$ tíryous oi Bápbapol.-Lydus de Magistrat. p. 248.
"Belisarius Gunthimer et Gebamundum Gardingos regis fratres perimit."-Chron. Roncall. ii. 364.
"Videntibus cunctis sacerdotibus Dei, senioribusque palatii atque Gardingis."-Legg. Wisigoth. lib. u. tit. i. 1.
"Seu sit dux aut comes, thiufadus ant vicarius, Gardingus vel quelibet persona."-Lib. ix. tit. î. 8 .
"Si majoris loci fuerit persona, id est dux, comes, sive etiam Gardingus."-Lib. vx. tit. ii. 9.
"Secundus est canon de accusatis sacerdotibus, seu etiam optimatibus palatii atque Gardingis."-Lib. xn. tit. i. 3.
"Benedicta claro genere exorta atque ex Gardingo regis sponsa."-Vita Sanct. Fructuosi (Mabill. Sæc. ii. 587.)

The chief passage that modifies this view, is the fol-















This, however, can be reconciled with previous passages, by considering the Asting-as (or Gardingar) to have been a free company, recruiting itself on Slavonic ground, so much so as to form the Germanic nucleus to what was really a Vanda] (or Slavonic) force.

The Lacr-ings, mentioned also by Dion, may have been similar adventurers.

Hence the names Genseric, \&c., are the names of Astings (or Gardings) ; and the German blood amongst the Vandals was limited to the cognatio or silsceaft of their German leaders; and the Vandals are German only so far as they are Astings-which is only very partially.

## § xxix. ter rugir.

The pugnax Rugus is mentioned by Sidonius Apollinaris:
Barbaries totas in te transfuderat arctor, Gallia, pugnacem Rugum comitante Gelono ; Gepids trux sequitur, Sciram Burgundio cogit : Chunus, Bellonotus, Neurus, Bastema, Toriagus, Bructerus, ulvosa quem vel Nicer abluit unda, Prorumpit Francus,-Carm, vii, $\mathbf{3 2 0}$.

They first appear prominently in history about a.d. 475; their area being the parts on each aide of the Middle Danube; their chiefs Flaccitheus, Feletheus, Fava, and Frideric, the last two of whom are deposed by Odoacer, the great central point in the ethnology of the Rugii, and the three fortheoming populations:*—""Quapropter rex Otachar Rugis intulit bellum, quibus etiam devictis, et Fridericho fugato, patre quoque Fava capto, eum ad Italiam cum noxia conjuge supra memorata, videlicet Gisa, transmigravit. Post audiens idem Odachar

[^64]Friderichum ad propria revertisse, statim fratrem suum misit cam multis exercitibus Aonulfum, ante quem denuo fugiens Friderichus, ad Theodoricum regem, qui tunc apnd Novam civitatem provinciæ Moesixe morabatur, profectus est."-Engipp. c. 45. "Adunatis $O$ doachar gentibus, quæ ejus ditioni parebant, . . venit in Rugiland, pugnavitque cum Rugis, ultimaque eos clade conficiens, Feletheum insuper eorum regem (qui et Feod dictus est) extinxit. Vastataque omni provincia, Italiam repetens, copiosam secum captivorum multitudinem abduxit."-Paul. Diac. i. 19.

Naturally hostile to the uburper Odoacer, the Rugii join Theodoric the Ostrogoth, and, in the reign of Justinian, we hear of Rugio in Italy, distinct in many pointa from the Goths,











Now the Rugi-land of these Rugii was on the Danube. What connects them with the Rugii of Tacitus?
a. The similarity of name.
b. The account of Jornandes.

Jornandes writes that the Goths expelled the Vlm-arugi:"Mox promoventes (scil. Gothi) ad sedes Dlmerugorum qui tunc Oceani ripas insidebant, castra metati sunt, eosque commisso prelio propriis sedibus pepulerant." The form $U l m-\theta-$ rugi indicates a Gothic rather than a Latin, a homesprung rather than an exotic legend. It is a compound of the Scandinavian holm=holm=flat land by a river, lake, or $88 a$, and is exactly the form Holmrygir of Snorro.

Whatever these Rugii were in respect to their ethnology, the names of some of their chieff (o.g., Frideric) were German.

They were also in geographical contact with the undoubtedly Germanic Ostrogoths, as well as with the Langobardi.

But then we bave the expression, Turc-ilingus sive Rugius.
The ethnology of the Rugii, Heruli, Sciri, and Turcilingi is best considered after all these have been treated in detail.

## § XXXII. THE HERULI.

The first historical actions of a population named Heruli are referred to the reign of Clandius.

But the authors who do this are not contemporary with the events related.

This, however, is not important. Mamertinus, writing about A.d. 289, is so. See extract in § Obii.

Zozimus connects them with the Peucini and Goths:-




Syncellus makes Greece and Thrace their theatre of war:






 p. 382, edit. Par.

Jornandes makes them become subjected to Hermanric :"Non passus est nisi et gentem Herulorum, quibus preerat Alaricns, magna ex parte trucidatam, reliquam suæ subigeret ditioni. Nam prædicta gens (Ablavio historico referente) justa Mcotidas paludes habitans in locis stagnantibus, quas Greci hele vocant, Heruli nominati suat: gens quanto velox, eo amplius superbissima. Sed quamvis velocitas eorum ab aliis seepe bellantibus eos tutaretur, Gothorum tamen stabilitati suljacuit et tarditati."-De Reb. Get. xxiii.

The commentary upon Jornandes etymology is the followiug note in the Etymologia Magna:-"Eえoupos. Eúdeía.



In the reign of Augastulus they became formidable, and Odoacer, the king of the Herali, and the centre of the group of Rugii, Turcilingi, and Sciri, shares the historical prominence of Theodoric, Attila, Clovis, and the other great conquerors of that century.

Not that their relations were thus limited. Besides, the Chaviones (for which see § Obii) of Mamertinus, Ammianus mentions Airuli and Eruli in alliance with the Batavi, and more than one author carries their maraudings as far as Spain :-_" De Erulorum gente septem navibus in Lucensi litore aliquanti advecti, viri ferme ccoc. expediti, superventu multitudinis congregatex duobus tantum ex sno numero effugantur occisis: qui ad sedes proprias redeantes, Cantabriarum et Varduliarum loca maritima crudelissime depredati sunt."Idatii Chron. ad a.d. 4 š5.

Their first appearance, then, in history, takes place on the Lower Danabe, if not on the Lower Don, and the Palus Meotis.

Their physiognomy is thus described by Sidonius:-

> " Hic glaucis Herulus genis vagatur, Imos Oceani colens recessus, Algoso prope concolor profundo."

The glauce gence is a (so called) Mongolian character.
Procopius gives the following remarkable account of a













 ii. 15 .

The Heruli had also political relations with the Gepidm.

## § xxxit. the hrenti.

The notice of this name arises out of that of the Herali.
In the reign of Justinian, and in the war againgt Narses, a



The same is mentioned as a row Brentorun-" Habuit Narsee certamen adversus Sinduald Brentorum regom, qui adhne de Ferulorsm stippe remanserat, quem secam in Ttaliam veniens simul Odoacar addaxerat."-_Gest. Lahg. ii. 3.

The name occurs nowhere else.

> § xxxiv, the turcilinai.

The first writers that mention this people are Jornandes and Paulus Diaconua.

They first appear in history in the reign of Augustulus.
Their political relations are with the Herali, Rugii, and Sciri; Odoacer being the chief that forms the centre of the confederation.

Their areas of action are the parts between the Danube and Italy.

Their name is a German in form; the -ling belonging to that Janguage.

The radical part, however, is neither German nor Slavonic.
The Huns, a Turk population, are already beginning to appear in Earope.

Can these Turci-lingi be Turks?
This is partly answered in §Sciri, and partly elsewhere.
§ XXIV. THE BCIRI.

Respecting the Sciri, even Grimm is not prepared to say more than that if they woure not Gothic, they were con-
nected with the Goths in many points-m"Wo sicht Gothischen, doch mit den Gothen in vielfachor berïhrung." - D. S. i. p. 465.

Pliny's evidence is the earliest. He places them on the Baltic rather than elsewhere. "Nee minor opinione Eningia. Quidam hæc habitari ad Vistulam flaviura a Sarmatis, Venedis, Sciris, Birris, tradunt."

The first complication here occurring, is the similarity of the names Hirri and Soiri. Strange that really different populations, with names so alike, should occopy contiguons locslities. No other writer mentions the Hirri, and I think they are bat Sciri under another name-i.e., a name taken from a different dialect.

Neither does any other writer place any Sciri in the north.
The Olbian Inscription mentions the Exipou, along with the Гé入atas.

Stephanus Byzantinus speaks of $\Sigma x<\rho o \iota$, Гa入átıcove êfros.
Procopias joins the Exipor with the Goths and Alans.
Jornandes mentions the Sciri as either subjects or allies of Odoacer.

If we take these statements without criticism, we find difficalties that even the assumption of migrations will not account for; since, although a movement from the Baltic to the Danube, between the time of Pliny and Procopins, will account for their presence on that river, it is of no avail for the Sciri of the Olbian Inscription-which is generally referred to a pexiod anterior to the time of Pliny, i.e., the first or second centary b.о.

Sidonius makes the Sciri part of Attila's army.
Jornandes connects them with the Alans-"Scini et Satagarii et cetori Alanoram, cum dace suo, nomine Cendax, Scythiam minorem, inferioremque Møesiam accepere." - De Reb. Get. 49, 50.

The evidence of Jornandes is important, since Peris, the notary of Candax, was his grandfather.

Now, as we are much surer of the Sciri of Jornandes on the Danube, than of those of Pliny, their ethnology will be considered first.
a. They voere either Gormans, or under German leaders:
since we have the names of two of their leaders, Edica and Wulf, both of which are German.
b. There were Sciri as far east as Bavaria; since a Bavarian legend mentions Eticho and Welf in connection with the Scherezône Wald= nemus Scirorum=the present Scharnity (a Slavonic name) on the Iser.

- .c. The name can be connected with Steyer-mark = Slyria $=$ the March of the Styri ( = Soiri). Not only is the change from so- to st- (and vice versá) common (especially in Slavonic names, of which it is, perhaps, primá favie evidence), but a Bavarian count called Wernher von Schiern in one place, is called Comes de Stira in Bavaria, in Godfrey of Viterbo, ad an. 955. Add to this, that, amonggt the names of the Connts of Steyermark Ottachar (Odoacer) is a common one.

All this, is from the D. S. i. 464-468.
But the Sciri and Turcilingi were closely united-politically at least; and, as already stated, the Turc-ilingi have the root Turk, as part of their evidently derivative name.

And the Sciri have been already called an Alan population -the Alans being, almost certainly, Turk.

Add to this that the Sciri were in alliance with the Tark

















And, also, that a chief with the Turk name Aspar* (perhaps a Turcilingian) took part in their polities:-"Oть Eklpo каi $^{\text {- }}$






 ed. Bonn. p. 160.

It is, then, not wholly improbable that the Sciri and Turcilingi may have been Turks; the first, perhaps, of that stock that penetrated far into Europe. The Sciri, after their misfortunes having been rednced in power, became subject to Gothic leaders, and, finally fixed, as a military colony, in Styria (Steyen-mark, or the March of the Styri).

The notion that Hirri=Soiri is confirmed by the form $\Sigma \kappa l \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} o 九 ~ i n ~ P r o c o p i u s, ~ B e l l . ~ G o t h . ~ i . ~ 1:-\Sigma x i p ’ \rho o u s ~ c a l ' A \lambda a ́-~$


But how are we to account for the Sciri of Pliny, placed by that writer so far north as Eningia, probably Fensingia= the Finn country? We may suppose him to have lain under the same mistake with Tacitus in respect to the distance between the parts about the Gulf of Riga and the Lower Danube, and to have made it less than it really was. Hence, as Tacitus (Germ. § xuvs.) brings the Peucini and Bastarnce too near the Finni and Vonedi, Pliny does the same with the Sciri.

It may be added that, amongst the members of the Hun confederacy, no element, in words apparently compound, is more common than the combination of $r$ and a compound sibilant ( $8 h, z h, t z h, d z h$ ) ; and (as a conseguence of this) no termination is more common amongst Hun nations than that of -zuri, -sciri, \&c.

That this compound sibilant is just the combination which is rendered sometimes by $8 k$, and sometimes by $8 t$, as is saggested in not. ad v. Narisci.

Thus, amongst the names which no writer has ever made

[^65]German，and bat few have considered Slavonic，we have in the different Hon，Alan，Avar，and Bulgarian alliances the following－

1．Alpil－zuri，al．＇А $\mu \lambda$－̧oupot，\＆c．
2．Alci－dzuri，al．Ulcini－zures，Oủגti－乌oupo九，\＆c．
3．Angi－sciri．
4．Ака́т－弓ьроь．
If we add to these the word ending in－gurii，the number is increased－Sata－gurii，Ono－gurii，\＆cc．

## § xixifl the alani．

It has been stated that the Alans were of the Turk stock； and as the Sciri have been placed in the same category with them，the Sciri being a people that has sometimes been con－ sidered German，the investigation of their ethnology finds place in the present work．

The two broad facts that bear upon this question are－－
1．The area of the Alans is beyond that of either the Germans or the Sarmatians．This was the parts due north of Circassia，or the great irregular triangle formed by the Lower Don，the Lower Volga，and Caucasus．

2．The present occupants of this area are the Nogay Tartars of the Turk stock－occupants who cannot be shown to be of recent introduction．

3．Lacian（Toxaris，51）makes them Seythiang－Taûta סè



 ciккòs $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ ề

This reduces the stocks that may fairly claim them to three．
1．They may have been the most northern branch of the Circassians．

2．The most southern of the Ugrians．
3．Turks．
The Turk character of the present population favours the third of these stocks．

So does the part the Alans played in history-greater than that of the Circassians, and the same in kind with that of the Turks. Besides which, no Circassian nation was likely to be called Scythias.

Minute ethnology gives us more facts in support of this affinity.

The Alans were what the Huns were - " Proceri autem Alani pæne sunt omnes et pulchri, crinibus mediocriter flavis: oculorum temperata torvitate terribiles et armorum levitate veloces, Hunnieque per omnia suppares, verum victu mitiores et cultu."-Ammianus, xxil. 2.

This carries on the investigation to the consideration of the Huns.

> § XXXVII. THE HUNS,

The expressed opinion of Niebuhr is that the Hund were Mongols. This being the inference from the descriptions of their personal appearance alone, combined with the inaccurate notion that it is only in the true Mongols of Mongolia that the physiognomy of the Huns of Attila is to be found.*

Humboldt has expressed himself with equal confidence as to their being Ugrian, and this is, perhaps, the current notion. It chiefly rests upon the present occupants of Hun-gary belonging to that stock.

Zeuss, however, whose account of all such nations as no migrations and no etymologies can convert into German, is as unexceptionalle as it is valuable, makes them Tarks, and so, perhaps, do the majority of writers who have gone beyond their first impressions, and ondertaken the investigation of a somewhat complex question.

The first step towards ensuring ourselves against being misled by the similarity of names $H u n$ and $H u \pi$-gary is to remember that the names Welshman and Greek are not more foreign to the Cambro-Briton and the Hellene than is the name Hun-garian to the Majiar. The Slavonians of Moravia, Bohemia, Poland, Gallicia, Servia, Russia, and Croo-

[^66]tia call him so, and we of England ase the name thas applied. Bat that is all.

In his own eyes he is a Majuar; Mogerius as the few old Latin writers who adhere to the native appellation have itMogerius, or sometimes Dentu-Mogerius.

Yet, with all this, he is not a Hangarian exactly, as the Spaniard of the New World is a Mexican; and the reason why the Slavonians call him Hungarian is not because be settled in a country to which the name of Hangary had been previously given by the Huns of Attila. The proofs of this name being in use between the fifth and ninth centuries, are few and far between; nor yet are they absolutely conclusive.

The real reason why the Majiar bears in conntries around him the same name with the subjects of the Scourge of God lies deeper. Just as the Germanic nations call not only the Cambro-Britons by the name Welsh, but the Italians also, the Russians of Eastern Europe called their most western Asiatic neighbours by the general name of Ungri-whether Tark or Finn: so that whether the one or whether the other wrested from Europe a part of the aoil, the territory thus appropriated would equally be called Hangary.

This we learn from Nestor, who separates the Ugrian Majiars from the Turk Chazars, by calling the one Black the other White* Huns.

From the name Hun not being native, the investigation of the ethnological affinities of that nation becomes difficalt; and this difficulty is increased by its being applied to two different classes of western Asiatics. It onfortunately happens, too, that whilst the rulers of the Avars, the Chazars, the Petchinegi and other tribes are frequently mentioned by the truly Turk term Khan (Xáyavos), that title is never given to Attila-who is either ress or $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in u ́ s$. On the other hand, however, Panlus Diaconus writes-" Huni qui et Avares dicuntur;" and Priseus speaks of the Chazar Huns ('Acátıpots Oÿvoors), the Tartar affinities of the Chazars being beyond doubt, and the king of the Avars being often called Khan (Xárayos).

[^67]This is evidence of a more indirect kind than we expect in a nation like the Huns, but, provided that we clear our minds of all prepossessions arising from the name, it is, perhaps, sufficient.
§ XIXYIII. THE aZEKlera, aiculi, or gyserle (?).
The note of interrogation denotes that the identity of these three populations is open to the further investigation of acholars, and that the present writer hesitates about it.

Alfred mentions the Syssele - "Be norठan Eald-Seaxum is Apdrede, and east norr Vylte, pe man $\times$ feldan hæt, and be eastan him is Vineda Iand, pe man hat Syssyle, and east suð ofer summe dæl Maroaro."

At the present moment a part of the Hangarians is called Szekler, pronounced Sekler. Now in the work known as that of the Notary of King Bela we have the following passage:-"Sicull, qui primo erant populi Attilm regis."Not. c. 50. And also "Tria millia virorum, eadem de natione (Hunorum). . . metuentes ad Erdewelwe confinia videlicet Pannonice regionis se transtulere, et non Hanos sive Hungaros, sed ne illoram agnoscerentur esse residni, Siculos, ipsorum autem vocabulo Zekel, se denominasse perhibentur. Hi Siculi Hunorum prima fronte in Pannoniam intrantium etiam hac nostra tempestate residui esse dubitantur per neminem, cum in ipsorum generstione, extraneo nondum permista sanguine, et in moribus severiores et in divisione agri ceteris Hungaris multum differre videantur."...Thwrocz, ap. Schwandtn. p. 78.

In Majiar, in the same page of Zeuss, I find that Srokely (in the ploral Sz6kelyok)=Marchman.

Between the-
a. Late date of the authors, and-
b. The likelihood of the Majiars having taken the word Zikel from the Siculi, the following inference is exceptionable.

But it is-
That, even before the time of Alfred, Ugrians, of the same branch with the Majiars had found their way to the Dannbian provinces-probably as part of the Han forces.
civ
The objection, notified in not. ad v. Sarmatis (p.16), against the power of the word Jasyk, finds its place here. In Majiar Jasag = bowman. Now if we carry the existence of Majiars in Europe as far beyond the date of the Siculi (supposing them to be what is here suggested) as the Siculi are earlier than the undoubted Majiars, the name of the Jazyges may be not Slavonic but Majiar.

This would, certainly, throw a doubt over many important deductions. But, as the Majiars may have taken the name from the Jazyges, and having first called them bowmen, called others so also, I do not lay much stress on the fact. Besides which the word Jazyk would not cease to be Slavonic simply because it was Majiar also.

> § xxilx. ter rugif, herdli, tubcilinal and molri.

If we look back on the evidence of these tribes being Cermanic, we shall find what we found with the Gepidathe evidence of their locality and the testimony of certain authors against them, that of their alliances and the names of their leaders in favour of them.

The Rugii have the best claim. They have a name in common with the Rugii of Tacitus; but this, even if liable to no exceptions, would only imply a migration-not, necessarily, a Germanic one. On the other hand, they are identified with the Turcilingi, whose claim to be considered Germans is the worst.

The Heruli have their relations to the Aviones; but this only implies that the Aviones moved sonthwards.

Upon the whole, I think that none were German-but am unable to distribute them among the Turk, Slavonic and (even) Ugrian stocks.

The populations which now follow, have their relations with English rather than Roman history.
§ xL. the vabin.

This is a difficult name, and I limit myself to the establishment of one proposition-viz.: that it is not necsssary to
deduce the Varni of the fourth, fifth, and sixth conturies, from the Varini of Tacius; i.e., that no migration from north to south, between the time of Domitian (when 'Tacitus wrote) and Augustulus is required.

The phenomena may be accounted for otherwise.
The locality of these latter Varni is the Middle Dannbe. Their theatre is Italy, the Rhine, and Spain. The names of some of their leaders are German-s.g., Achi-ulf:-"Theodericus preponens Saevis, quos subjecerat, clientem Achiulfum. Qui in brevi animum ad prevaricationem ex Suevorum suagionibus commatans neglexit imperata complere, potius tyrannica elatione superbiens, credensque se ea virtute provinciam obtjnere, qua dudum cam domino suo eam subjecisset. Is siquidem erat Warnorum stirpe genitus, longe a Gothici sangainis nobilitate sejunctas, iderirco nee libertati stadens, nec patrono fidem servans."-Jorn. c. 44.

In Italy we have the notice of Agathias:-Napons E's,








Now these Varni need, by no means, be the Varini of Tacitus; since Ptolemy mentions Avareni on the Vistula; so that they may as easily be the one as the other:-



 a migration which would bring these Avjapquol from the Varini mast have been immediately subsequent to the time of Tacitas.

I believe, then, the Farni of the Danube to have been Avareni; and of the Avareni being new immigrants, there is no proof, and a presumption against it.

At any rate, the probability of a migration is decreased by the decrease of the time allowed for it.

The best evidence of their being Gormans is that of the names of their leaders; but such evidence would make the Spaniards who fought under Wellington, Englishmen.

But what if we find Varni on the Rhine, a comparatively northern locality! The most that follows from this is a doubt as to which of the two nearly synonymous populations they were-Avareni of the Vistnla, or Varini of the Lower Elbe.

What if we find them in connection with the Anglif This helps us in the decision, and inclines us to prefer the Varini; but it by no means proves connection.

But what if they be Angles in Tharingia! This, again, only makes us pause in deciding which Angles are meant. It never touches the connection.

What if we find them in contact with the Danes? This denotes that the particular $\overline{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{n}$ - thus described were Varini.

But what if Dani=Daci?* This throws us back on the Avareni.

Nothing, however, touches the connection. It is only the detaila that are complicated : details which are just as difficult, whether we suppose a migration or not.

All this really happens, as may be seen by comparing the following extract with the Epilegomona, §§ xul. and xuin: :-












Lastly, Procopins relates that an Angle princess was betrothed to Radiger, the prince of the Varni.--The Saxons in England, i. 23.

[^68]
## § xil. on the angli of thurinala.

The heading of a body of laws of, perhaps, the tenth century, is, Incipit Lex Anglorum et Werinorum, hoc ast Thuringorum.

Zeuss mentions Englide or Engilin as a Thuringian Gau= pagus.

> § xLIL on tere werini of thoringia.

This must be read along with $\$ \oint$ xL. and xur.
The Werra was a river of Thuringia, which it divided from Saxony.
a. Unless we suppose either that the river Warnow effected a migration-
b. Or that it took ite name from the Varini, who did so-
c. Or that there was a colonial settlement-

We must suppose that the population took its name from the river, that Werini meant the people of the Werra, and that the two populations were as unconnected as the two rivers.

But the names of different rivers being so like, as Werra is to Warnoto, is against the chances.

And the two Angle localities are so as well.
And the contiguity of the Angles of the Elbe to the Varini, taken with that of the Angles of Thuringia to the Werini, is still more so.

Still the names of the rivers are facts which we must take as we find; since the circumstance of a river taking its name from its occupants is as rare as the converse is common, especially amongst the Slavonic populations.

Even if we assume a colonization like that of the Chamavi and Chattuarii, the difficulty is only diminished; since it would still be strange that the people of the War-now should be removed to a locality with a name so near their own as the Werra.

Werra may possibly, like Oose and Avon, have been a name that, from being a common rather than a proper one, recurs in different places.

Still, the difficulty of the relation of the $A n g l i$ and Werini
of Tharingia on one side, and that of the Angli and Varini of Mecklenburg on the other, remains.

I am inclined to believe in a colonization; at any rate, I am disinclined to lay so much stress on the heading in question, as to allow it to disturb-as I once* did-the general and admitted ethnological differences between the Sazon Angles and the High-German Thuringians.

Still, to explain the similarity of name, conjoined with the geographical contact of the Angles, by the assumption of a colony, by no means explains the nearly contemporaneons existence of Varini and Varni.

The doctrise of the rivers, however, does; the reasoning running thas-

There was more than one Slavonic river W-r; and-
More than one Slavonic population that took its name from such rivers.

Still, this is but hypotbesis.

## § xLII. The tmbre.

This name occurs in the Traveller's Song.
It has been supposed to mean the people of the isle Amrom of Sleswick.

Also, the Ambrones of the Cimbro-Teatonic invasion.
I think it means one of three things.

1. Humbriant, or people of the Ymbra-land, a name not improbably applied to the East Riding of Yorkshire and North Lincolnshire, although at present we have only the componnd North-Aumber-land (North-hymbra-land) in an undoubted and unexceptionable form. On the other hand, the British king, Uther Pendragon, is made to say, "Vocabant me semimortaum Ambrones isti, sed malo semimorturs eos superasse quam incolumis superari." - Sigebert, Gemblacensis, ad an. 466. Nennius places the Ambrones near the Picts.
2. Certain Old Saxons :-" Paulinus Eboracensis Archiepiscopus eos baptizavit et per xı. dies non cessavit baptizare omne genus Ambronum, id est, Aldsaxonam."-Nennius, ap. Gale, i. 119.

* In my work on the English Language.

3. The occupants of the district of Amer-land in Oldenbarg.

It is not impossible that the first two Ambrones may be the same people, i.e., both Saxons of Britain, rather than Britigh Saxons, in the first case, and German Saxons (as the true Old Saxons were) in the second; since there is some difficulty in believing an archbishop of York to have been employed so far from his diocese as Weatphalia, and a Welsh monk recording his operations.-Since
a. There may have been certain Old Saxons in Britain ; just as there were certain Frisians.
b. Old Saxon might, to a Briton like Nennias, have been equivalent to pagan Saxon; since one of the first daties of the Christianized Anglo-Saxons of England was to convert the Old Saxons of the Continent, as is narrated fully ly Beda. Hence, the distinction between Pagan and Christian nearly coincided with that between English and Westphalian-insular and continental-Old and Angle.

A reason for the Britons being ready to apply such a name as Ambrones to their invaders, is well supplied by Zenss (p. I51). Some of the Keltic neighbours of the Ambrones, conquered by Marius, called robbers Ambronze"Ambrones predationibas se suosque alere coeperunt. . ex quo tractam est, at turpis vite homines Ambrones dicerentur." -Festue.

The Ligurians called themselves so- $\Sigma \phi \hat{a}_{\varsigma}$ ydap aírov̀s


This makes it look as if the Ligurian Ambrones were such formidable robbers as to have made their name synonymous with phenderer-for, it mast be observed that Plutarch says that they called themselves what Festus says their neighbours called them, men of a bad life.

The word Ymbre has thus been enlarged upon, because it has been put forth as an element in the doctrine of the German origin of the Cimbri and Teutones; to which has been appended the more especial doctrine that these Ligarian Ambrones were part and parcel of the so-called Germans of the Pemnine Alps.-See § i. note 3.

What the Ymbre were is ancertain. The Ambrones were
simply Ligurians; and, as such, probsbly Keltic. This, however, is no part of German ethnology.

What follows is a mere suggestion. It has arisen from the extent to which the plari-presence of populatione with names in $-m b r$-would be explained by the hypothesis that that combination was, like the word Marcomanni, expressive of some physical or political relation : in which case there might be as many nations named $A m b r$-ones, $U_{m b r-i}, C_{u m b r-i}$, Gambr-ivii, Si-cambr-i, \&ec., as there were instances of such a relationship occurring. In this case, of coarse, all the names must be referable to one language. This is no difficalty. Such a language is the Keltic.

Now all the nations thus named occupy the lower part of some river, i, es, its Humber.

1. The Ambrones eeem to have been on the Lower Rhone.
2. The Umbri on the Lower Po.
3. The Cumbrians of Cumberland on the Solway.
4. The Gambrivii and Si-cambri* on the Lower Rhine.

Assume, then, Humber to be the Gallic and East-British form of the Welsh Aber, and the Grelic Inver= mouth of a river, and all these facts are connected.

Still, the doctrine is but a suggestion, and its application to the details of the Cimbri and Kymry has yet to be made.

One fact, however, deserves notice. Both the Cimbri and Ambrones are said to have been driven from their own country by inundations.

Of the Ambrones Festus (looo citato) writes-" Ambrones fuerunt quædam gens Gallioa qui, subita inundatione maris, cum amisissent sedes suas rapinis et predationibus se suosque alere cceperunt."

## § xlev. the teotones and tedtonaril.

Mela places Teutoni on the Baltic. So does Pliny. It was Toutones to whom the amber-gatherers sold their amber. It has been supposed, however, that the text would be improved by reading Guttones - unnecessarily. Ptolemy

[^69]mentions both Teutones and Touton-arii (Tentono-voare) with the Firuni between the Saxons and Suevi.

This places them in the parts about the Elbe.
Ptolemy's names, I imagine, are, like the Chatti of Essen and Chatt-uarii, names of one and the same people.

Hence, it seems safe to assert--that there were Teutons on the Lower Elbe, near enough to the Germaus to have a German compound, as their name-Tbuton-arii=Toutono-vare.

Whether they were Germans is another question. They may have been Germans of the Germano-Slavonic March in Luneburg, or Mecklenburg.

In the history of ethnological opinion these Teutones have a prominent place. They cannot but have been identified wilh the Teutones of the Cimbro-Teutonic war-with migrations to match.

Yet the chief reason which makes the Teutones of Marius look like Germans is the fact that most militates against our identifying them with the Teutones of Ptolemy.

Diot $=$ people; so that it is a common rather than a proper name; and, as such, a name which may be applied to any population which chooses to call itself people, men, or nation.

Now nations may do this independently of ethnological affinity.

But this is overlooked; and it is overlooked becanse the impossibility of Deut-sch $=$ Tout-on, has never been thoroughly acted upon.

The root $L$ - $t=$ people in German (Leute); yet no one argues that the Lat-ins, Lith-uanians, and a host of other populations must, for that reason, be German.

The root $V_{-} l g=$ people in Latin (oulg-us), yet no one gives this as a reason for making the Bolg-a Romans.
$F$-lk, too, does the same in German. But is this a reason for snapping-np every nation whose name is Volc-a, Belg-a, or something like it, as German? If so, the Volca Tectosages would be Germans.

Why, then, apply a rule to the root $T-t=$ people which we apply to no other combination of sounds with a similar power? Because, the impossibility alluded to has never been truly realized in the mind of the inquirer, and men argue about
the root of the word Deut-sch as they would not argue abont any other root with a like meaning.

Besides which the proof of Teut-, in Tout-ones and Teut-onarii, being German at all is deficient. It may be as little German as the Cant-, in Cant-ware.

## § xiv. the jotiks.

That Jutes gave the name to Jut-land is certain : but that they were Danes who did so, as the Angles did in the case of England, is donbtful.

They more probably gave a name to an area from which certain subsequent Danish invaders took theirs-just as the Keltic people of Cantium did to Kent, the country of the Saron Oantware and Kentinge.

The particular question as to whether the Jutes of Jatland took part in the Anglo-Saxon invasion of England, has already been indicated, and the question is more fully investigated in another work of the author's,* the answer being in the negative.
§ xlvf. tere nordalbingians.
This is the general name for the Saxons north of the Elbe in the eighth century.

An anonymous versifier (ad an. 798) writes:-
"Saxonum populus quidam, quos claudit ab austro Albia sejunctim positos aquilonis ad axem. Hos Northalbingos patrio sermone vocannus."

The details we get from Adam of Bremen :-"Transalbianorum Saxonum tres sunt populi : primi ad Oceanum Thiatmarggoi (al. Thiedmarsi), et corum ecclesia Mildinthorp (al. Melindorp) ; secundi Holtzati, dicti a silvis, quas incolunt, eos Sturia flumen interflait, quorum ecclesia Sconenfeld; tertii, qui et nobiliores, Sturmarii dicuntur, eo quod seditionibus illa gens frequenter agitur. Inter quos metropolis Hammaburg caput extollit."-Adam Brem. Hist. Ecel. c. 61. "Habet utique Hammenburgensis ecclesia præscriptos terminos * English Language, third edition,
suæ parochiæ, ultimam scilicet partem Saxoniæ, quæe est trans Albiam et dicitur Nordalbingia, continens tres populos, Tethmarsos, Holsatos, Stormarios."-Helmold. Chron. Slavor. i. 6. "A tritæ eunt vires Saxonum, et servierunt Cruconi sub tributo, omnis terra videlicet Nordalbingorum, qua disterminatur in tres populos: Holzatos, Sturmarios, Thetmarchos."-II. i. 26.

This means the Germans of Holstein, Stormar, and Ditmarsh; but whether they were Savons, strictly speaking, is uncertain.

The present population is Platt-Dentgch; but the introduction of this is subsequent to the ninth century.

The population on which it encroached was North Frisian; and this, I believe, to have been what was called the Nordalbingian Sazon.-See note in v. Frisii.

## § 天IVI. THR JUTHUNCI.

I believe this to be a German modification of the Tshelkh (Bohemian or Moravian) name of the Gothini ; the Tshekh modification having changed the $G$ to $J$, and the German the $t$ to $t h$. Besides which, it replaced the inflectional element $-n$ - by the affix -ung; as was the case in the word Po-lab-ing-as=S Slavonians of the Elbe (Laba); where po- is Slavonic, Laba, Slavonic, and -ing-German.

The form Vitungi occurs=Juthungi. Now these and similar varieties* should remove all difficulties on the score of a word taking such different shapes as Juta, Juthungi, Geatas, Gothi, Gothini, Gythones, Guttones, Gaute, Vita, Vithungi, Geta; since the following varieties of an equally simple root are as numerous. The Bulgarians appear as Bulg, Burg, Borg, Burug, Wurug, Wurg, Fulg, Bular, Byler, Bilors, Biler, Beire. Similar instances could be multiplied; but this is one where the languages through which the form passes are the same, i.e., Slavonic, German, Latin, and Greek.

These varieties of form not only cause no difficulty, but they supply a confirmation. The unsteadiness of power in the case of the consonant $G$, is what is expected a priori,

$$
\text { *We find Fite= }=J_{u t e}
$$

from the sound-aystem of the different Slavonic languages. Thus, the sounds akin to the $g$ in $g u n$, and the $k$ in Kind, are not equally distributed over the Bohemian, the Polish, the Russian, and the Lithuanic. No Slavonic tongue has the four sounds of $g, k, k h$, and $h$. Each has two or three of them. Thus-


Hence, where the Poles say $g$, the Bohemians say 1 ; whereas the Russian spells such foreign words as Herold and Hertzog, Gerold and Gercog; there being no sign for h. So that if a Bohemian and Lusatian wished to pronoance such a name as Got, as a Pole pronounced it, he would fail in doing so, and say Hot instead; and vice versal, a Lett would change Hot into Got.

I admit that these facta require the initial in the words Juta, and Juthung, to be $\boldsymbol{H}$ rather than J. $\dagger$ On the other hand, I think that, as H is the modern form, $J$ may have been the older one; in other words, that the change from $g$ to $h$ may not have been direct and immediate, but as follows :-g, $j(y), h$.

I submit that these remarks are sufficient ressons for the existence of some difference at least in the forms of the names in question, if not for the exact differences which we actually find. Thus much concerning the change from $g$ to $g(y)$.

The one from $j$ to ocan, in like manner, be ahown to be no arbitrary assamption, but a true and proper letter-change of the Slavonic-Lithuanic languages.
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## § XLVIII. THI BATONE.

The lyppothesis respecting the Saxons is as follows:-
The name Saxen was to the Kelts of Britain, what German was to those of Gaul.

Or, if not, what Suevi was--a name somewhat more specific.

It probably applied to the Germans of the sea-coast, and the water-systems of the Lower Rhine, Weser, Lower Elbe, and Eyder; to Low Germans on the Rhine, to Frisians and Saxons on the Elbe, and to North Frisians on the Eyder.

All the Angles were Saxons, but all the Saxons were not Angles.

The reasoning in favour of this view is as follows:-
That Sazon was a Britannic term is undenied. The Welsh and Gaels call us Sawons at the present moment.

The Romans would take their name for certain Germans, as they found it with the Britons.

The Britons and Romans using the same name, would be as two to one in favour of the Keltic name taking ground. It would be the Roman and Keltic against a German name single-handed.

The only queation is, whether the name Saxon was exclusively Britannic (Keltic), i.e., not German also.

In favour of the word being German, are two facta-

1. The thorough adoption of the root Saxon, as denoted not only by the use of German writers, bat by its appearance in Ee-seax, We8-sex, Sus-sex, Middll-sex. The reasoning that applies to Sueri, applies here.
2. The name Saw-neot, as a deity, whom the Old Saxons, on their conversion to Christianity, were compelled to for-盺ear. This gives us the likelihood of ita being the name of an eponymus. I admit that this is cogent, but not that it is conclusive.
3. The story about nimep eovors Saxas = take your daggers, and the deduction from it, that Sazons meant dagger-men, is of no great weight; with the present writer at least. Still, as far as it goes, it is something.
4. The Finlanders call the Germans Sawon. This is weighty.

On the other hand -

1. No clear distinction has ever been drawn between, e.g., an Angle of Suffolk and a Sawon of Essea.
2. The Romans, who knew, for some parts at least, every inch of the land occopied by the Saxons of Germany, as long as there is reason for believing that they took their names from German sources, never use the word Sawon at all. It is strange to Crsar, Strabo, Pliny, and Tacitus. (See note in v. Chorusci). Ptolemy is the first who uses it.
3. A native name by which the West-Saxons of Wessax called themselves, was Gevoissas. This is well accounted for by supposing it to be a British name in a German month.
4. Whenever we find a population called Saxon, we find that, for some reason or other, it has some other name as well. Thue the so-called-
a. Saxons of Holstein, are Nordalbingians when the name is general ; Ditmarsi, Holsati, and Stormarii when we have them in detail.
b. Those of Northern Germany are West-phali, Ost-phali, and Angarii.
5. Of all such synonyme, Sawon is the least German in respect to its form ; a fact which precludes us from admitting the existence of a second language, but denying its applicstion to the word Sawon. Thus, admitting that the words belong to different languages, it cannot be said that of the two-
a. Saxon as opposed to Angle is Germanic, and Angle as opposed to Saxon is non-Germanic.
b. Nor yet can it be said of the most doubtful synonyma of the list, Cheru-soi; since the -sc, whether German or not, is more German than anything in the form Saron.
c. With such worde as Nord-albingii, West-phali, and Angarii (=tarii), there is no doubt.
6. Whatever were the relations between the Angles and Saxons, populations differently related were called Saxons. Thus, the conquerors of the Slavonic country at present called Saxony, the ancestors of the Saxons of Dresden and

Leipsic, were by no means Saxons as the people of Sussex were. They were not even Saxons as the speakers of the language of the Heliand, the Old Saxons, were. They were either Platt-Deutsch, or High-German Germans; most probably a mixture of both. Yet they were called Saxons, because they conquered the Saxony of the nineteenth century, from a country which was called Saxony in the seventh and eighth, but which, probably, was not so called in the fourth and fifth, and which, certainly, was not so called in the second and third.
7. Procopius mentions only three populations in BritainAngles, Frisians, and Britons.
8. The ling who is said to have determined that England should be called the Angl-land, was a king of the West. Saxons, Ecbert.

I consider this a difficulty on one side fully equiralent to all on the other. It is as if the king of Prussia should propose that all Germany should call itself Austria.

I think, upon the whole, that Saaon was a word like Gresk, i.e., a term which, in the language of the Hellenes, was so very special, partial, and unimportant, as to have been practically a foreign term, or, at least, anything but a native name; whilst in that of the Romans, it was one of general and widely-extended import. Hence, mutatis mutandig, it is the insignificant Sawones of the neck of the Cimbric Chersonese, and the three Saxon islands, first mentioned by Ptolemy, who are the analognes of the equally unimportant Graci of Epirus; and these it was whose name eventaally comprised populations as different as the Angles, and the Saxons of Saxony, even as the name Gracus in the mouth of a Roman comprised Dorians, Acolians, Macedonians, Athenians, Rhodians, \&c.

In this way the name was German, but its extended import was Keltic and Roman. With this view, there is as little need to consider the Saxons of the neck of the Cimbric Chersonese to bave been exactly what the Angles were, as there is for considering the Graci of Greece to have been exactly what the Athenians were. They might easily have belonged to another section of the Gothic population.

Suck was, probably, the case. If not, the continuity
between the Frisians of Sleswick and the Frisians of Hanover is interrupted; a fact possible enough, but still a fact requiring the assumption of movements and displacements of which history supplies no record.

This will be further considered in the next section.

> § xlix. the angel.

The preliminaries and complements to this $\S$ are the $\$ \S$ on the Saxons, the Jutes, \&c., the Nordabingi, the Werini and Angles of Thuringia, and the notes on §xu.

Important as are the Angles, it is not too mach to say that they are only known through their relations to us of Englland, their descendants; indeed, without this paramount fact, they would be liable to be confused with the Frisians, with the Old Sarons, with even the Slavonians.

This is chiefly because there is no satisfactory trace or fragment of the Angles of Germany within Germany; whilst the notices of the other writers of antiquity tell us as little as the one we find in Tacitus.

And this notice is not only brief bat complicated.
The Eudoses, Nuithones, Aviones, Suardones, and Reudigni received what little light falls upon them from the single circumstance of their being mentioned along with the Angli. .They give none.

The Varini, of whom the separate sabstantive and independent information is greater, complicate the question, by being a population for whom a Slavonic affinity may fairly be sapposed.

The complications engendered by the term Sato have already been noticed.

Surely, then, it is not too mach to say that if it were not for the settlement in England, the Angli would have been as great a mystery to us as the Chali, the Eudoses, the Phundusii, or even the Hellusii and Oxiones. We know them from their relations only; and if it were not for these, involving, as they do, the English and Anglo-Saxon languages and literatures, the neighbours of the Varini and Reudigni, and the
worshippers of Terra Mater, would have passed for outlying Frisians, outlying Chauci, or ontlying Cherusci; for anything rather than the representatives of a separate substantive branch of the great Saxon, or Frisian, or Saxo-Frisian division of the Germanic tongue.

This the Angli represent; but how far they do so singlebanded, or how far the Eudoses and other populations of § xl. do the same, is uncertain.

I think they do not do so exactly.

1. To begin with the Varini, whose relations to the Angli, as already has been indicated, are eminently difficult-

The mention of them along with the Angli, is a presumption that they were what the Angles were.

Their common worship of the goddess Hertha is a specific fact; and if it were a fact beyond doubt, there would be no fair reasons for refining on the natural inference from the text of Tacitus; in other words, althongh there would still be a balance of conflicting difficulties, the evidence of a German object of worship, with a German name, in a German island, would outweigh the presumption arising from the Warnavi of anthentic history being anequivocally Slavonic.

But the fact is not beyond impeachment; since we can find the elements of a natural and excusable error in the peculiar character of the cultus of the Angli on one side, and the Varini on the other.

What if the Varini had one holy island, and the Angli another-so that the insule sacre, with their corresponding casta nemora, were two in number. I submit that a writer, with no better means of knowing the exact truth than Tacitus, might, in such a case, when he recogaized the ineular character common to the two forms of cultus, easily and pardonably, refer them to one and the same island: in other words, he might know the general fact that the Angli and Farini worshipped in an island, without knowing the particular fact of their each having a separate one.

This is what really happened : so that the hypothesis is as follows:-
a. The truly and andoubtedly Germanic $A$ ngli worshipped in Heligoland.
b. The probsbly Slavonic Varini worshipped in the Isle of Ragen.
o. The holy island of Tacitus is that of the Angli-
d. With whom the Varini are inaccurately associsted-
e. The source of the inaccuracy lying in the fact of that nation having a holy island, different from that of the Angles, but not known to be so.

Now the passages that prove the Varini to have frequented the Isle of Rugen, prove something more. They prove their paganism. They prove, also, that some part of them were occupants of an island:-"Est autem insula qumdam, non longe a civitate illa, habens mare interjectum, quasi itinere unius diei, Verania nomine."-" Intellexit ergo vir Dei, Feranos evangelicæ gratix indignos."-" Erant autem trans mare barbari crudelitate et sevitia singulares, qui Verani dicebantur."-Vit. Otton. Episcopi Boll. Jul., pp. 412, 413, 444.

Further atill-and this bears on the ethnology of the Rugii-although it has been shown (Prolegomena, p. xix.) that the $-g$-, in the name of the Isle of Rugen, appears as early as the use of the word Rugiani in Helmoldus, the equivalent forms Rani and Runi (without the $g$ ) must be remarked. Now this omission of the $-g$ - is exemplified by a vast variety of other forme, e.g., Ruani, Roani, Rujani, Ruia, Ruja, Roja, Ruiana, and others, to be found in Zeuss (p. 665).

What is the effect of this? It subtracts from the likelihood of the Rugii of Tacitus being the Rugiani of the Isle of Rugen, and, pro tanto, favours the inference drawn from their juxtaposition to the Lemooit, or the notion that they are the populations of the Gulf of Riga.-See nott. in vv. Rugiz and Lemovii.

The Varini, then, are not to be considered Angle.
2. The Aviones, whether Obii or Chaviones (see Epilegomena, § Obii), are a population of whick we know nothing that helps the present question. If identical with the nation bearing a similar name, further south, they must have effected a migration. Upon the principle of not makiog this longer than is needful, we must place them south of the Angli, rather than north. Now this southern locality, thus assumed, is a
reason against the Aviones having been Frisians, but no reason against their having been Old Saxons.

But against this is Ptolemy's name Kocardoh and the Kobavסob lie northwards.
3. Whether the Eudoses are the same as the \$owvoov́clot (see not. in § $x_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) ) is not a matter of indifference. By identifying them, we ascertain the direction, if not the exact locality of the Eudoses. This is northwoards, in the western part of the Cimbric Peninsula.
4. Whether the Suardones are the same as the \$apóSecvot (see not. in § xu.) is still lese a matter of indifference. By identifying them we ascertain the direction, if not the exact locality, of the Suardones. This is soestroards between the Suebus and the Chalusus (Oder \& and Trave?). But then we get a complication; since Suard- is generally considered to be a German root, whereas the locality is Slavonian.

That $\mathbb{S}-\mathrm{rd}$ is really a German root is rendered probable by the form Sweord-wore in the Traveller's Song. But this only makes it a German gloss. That it applied to a German popalation by no means follows. No word is more German than Welsh, few populations less so.
5. In the name Roudingi, the Roord-may, possibly, be the Hres-, in Hres-Gotans. Now the Hret-Gotan were Lithugnians.
6. On the Nuithones I can throw no light at all,-not even in the way of guess-work and suggestion.

If we leave Tacitus and betake onrselves to Ptolemy, we gain a little. In Ptolemy we not only get the names of certain populations, but we get their locality (or at least their direction) also. But they are almost all new, and otherwise unknown, Sigulones, Sabilingii, Chali.

Upon the whole, I think that the Angli of Tacitus were the only representatives, enumerated by him, of the Anglo-Saxon branch of the Saxons,-unlese the Nuithones be a gecond.

Of the others, I think that:-
a. Where their direction was easterly, they were Slavonians.
b. Where it was northerly, Frisians, or Slavonians,Frisians in the north-wost, Slavonians in the north-oast.

Who, however, lay to the east, and who to the north, is a difficult question ; and atill more difficult is it to say who amongst the northern group, were on the east, and who on the weat.

The Sigulone of Ptolemy are the most decidedly northwestern, or Frisian ; the Varini of Tacitus, the most decidedly eastern, or Slavonic. And this is as mach as it is safe to say.

It is more important to consider the reasons for believing the populations to the north-west of the Angli to have been Friaian, rather than Angle, Saxon, or Anglo-Saxon. Why, in the face of the fact of the Nordalbingians (or the populations north of the Elbe) being called Saxons,* in the ninth century, suppose them to have been Frisians in the second?

The answer to this is sketched in the preceding §.
If Angle populations were the earliest occupants of western Holstein, when and how did the Frisians displace them?

If Frisians were the earliest, whes did the Angles do so ?
Now it must be admitted that there is some evidence in favour of this latter alternative; but evidence which is by no means conclusive.

Alfred writes (Orosius, p. 25), respecting Other, that "He seglode to prom porte pe man hæt Haðum. Se stent betwuh Winedum and Seaxam and Angle and hyrb in on Dene...and pa tvegen dagas ær he to Hæбum come, him wes on pæt steorbord Gotland and Sillende and iglanda fela, on pam landum eardodon Engle; ar hi hider on land comon."

He also writes, "Comon hi (i.e., the English) of prim folcum pam strangestan Germanix, Jet of Seazum, and of Angle, and of Geatum. Of Geata fruman sindon Cantroane, and Wihtsatan. Đæt is seo peod se Wiht pert ealond on eardar. Of Seaxum, pet is of pam lande pe man hate厄 Eald Seaxan, comon East-seavan, and Surb-seasan, and Westseaxan. And of Engle comon Eastengle and Middelengle, and Myrce, and eall Norðhembra cynn. Is pat land be Angulas is nemned betroyh Geatum and Seaxum. Is swd of prore tide pe hi thanon gevitan or to dxge pat hit weste wunige."

And this statement re-appears in the Anglo-Saxon Chro-

[^71]nicle, " Da comon ba menn of prim magram Germanix, of Eald-Seaxum, of Anglum, of Iotum. Of Iotum comon Oantvare, and Wihtoare (pret is seo mair pe nu earda\% on Wiht), and prot cynn on Westsexum pe man nu gyt het Iutnacynn. Of Eald-Searum comon East-Seaxan and Sur-Seaxan, and West-Seaxan. Of Angle comon, se a sǐððan stod westig betwix Iutum and Searum, East-Engla, and Middel-Angle, and Mearce, and ealle Norbymbra."

Etheiweard also says that, "Anglia vetus sita est inter Saxones et Giotos, habens oppidum capitale, quod sermone Saxonico Sleswio nuncupatur, secundnm vero Danos Haithaby."

So does Willian of Malmesbury, "In oppido quod tanc Slaswich, nunc vero Eitheisi (al. Hurtheby) appollatur; est antem regio ills Anglia vetus dicta, unds Angli venerunt in Britanniam, inter Saxones et Giothos constituta."

All these statements are referable to one of Beda's, "Advenerant autem de tribus Germaniæ populis fortioribus, id est Saxonibus, Anglis, Jutis. De Jutarum origine sant Cantuarii et Vectuarii, hoc est ea gens, que Vectam tenet insulam, et ea qua nsque hodie in provincia Occidentalium Saxonum $J$ utarum natio nominatur, posita contra ipsam insulam Vectam. De Saxonibus, id est ea regione, qua nune antiquoram $\mathrm{Sa}-$ xonum cognominatur, venere Orientales Sawonss, Meridiani Saxonas, Occidui Sazonss. Porro de Anglis, hec est de illa patria, qua Angulas dicitur et ab eo tempore usque hodie manere desertus inter provincias Jutarum et Saxonum perhibetur, Orientales Angli, Mediterranti Angli, Mercii, tota Nordhumbrorum progenies, id est illarum gentium, quæ ad boream Humbri fluminis inhabitant, ceterique Anglorum populi sunt orti."-Beda, Hist. Eeclesiast. i. 15.

This shows that the English of the eighth century, at least, looked on Sleswick as their original country.

To which it must be added that there is at the present moment a district called Anglen, a part of the duchy of Sleswick, which is literally an angle; i.e., a triangle of irregular shape, formed by the Schlie, the Flensborger Fiord, and a line drawn from Flensborg to Sleswick. Every geographical name in it is, however, Danish, whatever it may have been previously. Thus some villages end in by (Danish $=$ town)
as Hns-by, Herreds-by, Ulse-by, \&c.; some in gaard (=house), as Oegaard; whilst the other Danish forms are $s k 0 v=2000 d$ (shav), hofved $=$ hoad, lund = grove, \&c. In short, it has nothing to distinguish it from the other parts of the peninsula.

At one time I was inclined wholly to disconnect the name Anglen with the Angles; holding that it meant the Angls (or nook) of land, and was, simply, a geographical term misunderstood. Since then, however, I have been in the country, and found that there is a second Angle district to the soath of Leck, and in the Frisian country; a fact which invalidates the previons view.

But, even if this be granted, it is only evidence to the fact of there being Angles in Sleswick at the time of Boda; and then they are in the Slavonic part of the island, on the Baltic side of it, and in an area no larger than the county of Rutland.

I still think that the Angli of Tacitus were-

1. The Angles of England-
2. Occupants of the northern parts of Hanover-
3. At least in the time of Tacitus-
4. And that to the exclusion of any territory in Holstein, which was Frisian to the west, and Slavonic to the east.

Still the question is one of great magnitude and numerons complications, involving, amongst other difficalties, the import of the term Sawon, and the accuracy of Beda's sources of information.

That the Saxons, however, of England, came from three small islands, and a fraction of Holatein, and the Angles from a few thousand acres on the wrong side of the peninsula, is a doctrine beset with objections, and intrinsically improbable.
§ L. the danze.
The area of the ancestors of the present Danes of Denmark was only part of the present kingdom, i.e., the islands, not the peninsula of Jutland.

Even for these islands Dan-formed no part of the original
name. That was a compound of the familiar root, $V_{i t}$-, viz., Vithes-leth:-" Dan filius Humblæ, de Svecia veniens, regnavit super Sialandiam, Monen, Falster et Laland, cujus regnum dicebatur Withesleth."-Chron. Erici reg. ap. Langeb. i. 150. "Dan pugil strenuissimns et magnis operibus preclarus, per electionem totius populi constitutas et intitulatus est rex primo super Sialandiam, Monarn, Falstriam et Lalandiam, cujus regnum dicebatur Vitheslath. Deinde super alias provincias et insulas et totum regnum."-Petr. Olai Chron. Reg. Dan. ap. Langeb. i. 7\%. "Ex ipso loco et multis aliis Cronicis Danorum colligitur, non esse rerum, quod Jutia est Dania: sed, secundum Chronicas, Sialandia, Lalandia, Falstria et Moonia est Dania, ot illas terras primo ot principaliter comprehendit loo nomen Dania. Dan enim, a quo regnum nomen habuit, multis annis dominabatur istis insulis, antequam acquisivit Jutiam."-Ibid. p. 83. "Fuit in Upsala civitate Svethiæ rex quidam Ypper nomine, tres filios habens, quorum nnus Nori, alter CEsten, tertius Dan dicebatur. Quem pater suus misit in has partes, quæ nunc dicontur Dacia, ad regendum insulas quatuor, scilicet Sialand, Mon, Falster et Laland, que omnes uno vocabulo nuncupabantur Withesloth. Imperavit enim Ypper hic ab intus habitantibus, ut hane plagam, scilicet Withesleth, filio suo Dan darent ad sedem regni. Quo facto regnavit Dan in Withesleth Sialandiæ tantum, civitatem conatruens Lethram nomine, quam magnis opibus ditavit."-Ann. Esrom. ibid. p. 223.

The earliest Anglo-Saxon records, speak of the SurDene, Noro-Dene, East-Dene, West-Dene, and Gar-Dene.

The evidence, then, is in favour of the name being native; but against its being of great antiquity. It was brought by certain Gothic Danes to a previously non-Gothic (probably Lithuanic) area.

Dania, as eeen in one of the previous extracts, was called Dacia. Did the converse ever take place! It is generally assumed that it did not. Much turns on this, connected with the ethnology of the Heruli. Procopias (Bell. Goth. ii. 15) writes-("E. ${ }^{\circ}$



Jornandes, also, states that, "Dani, ex ipsorum (viz., Scandziæ cultorum) stirpe progressi, Herulos propriis sedibus expu-lerunt,"-reversing the order of the expulsion.

Be this, however, as it may, we have the evidence of two writers as to the geographical and political contact between the Danes and Heruli, and this, if taken without criticism, is a reason in favour of a long Herulian migration from north to south.

But it is not conclusive. If the Dani were called Daci, the Daci may be called Dani, and, as it is much more certain that the Heruli came in contact with the Dacians of the Danube, than with the Danes of the Baltic, s reasonable objection lies against the evidence of Procopias and Jornandes. I do not say that it is conclusive. I only show that, whenever we have a lengthy migration, we have the elements of a reasonable doubt to set against it.

Even if we lay but little stress on this, we have the fact that neither Jornandes nor Procopius are satisfactory witnesses to events so distant in both place and time.

They, probably, speculated and inferred: seeing that on the Danube there were two populations with names so like as Daci and Geta, and on the Baltic two others with names ao like as Dani and Gothi, Geatas or Gautas.

But how came the similar names to run in pairs? Danes alone on the Baltic, and Daci alone on the Danube, wonld be nothing very remarkable. Nor yet would Geta on the Danube, and Geatas on the Baltic. But Gete side by side with Daci in the south, and Dani (called also Daci) side by side with Geatas in the north, supply a mystery.

This is a repetition of the difficulties of $\$ \S$ on the Angli and Werini of Thuringia, and it is a dificalty of the gravest character that meets us too often elsewhere.

Accident is out of the question; and I admit that a migration, within a certain degree of probability, is the best solation of similar problems. Bat it must be probable; and it must stand on the phenomena which it will explain almost exclusively. Such a migration receives but little confirmation from any so-called traditions; because the very ease with which it explains the phenomena, engenders the disposition to assame
one. Hence I put the accounts of Jornandes low; because they are just the accounts which the existing state of things would call for-just as, I imagine, that the similar relations of the Isle of Wight population, the Angles, and the Saxons, did with Beda. Yet I put what may be called the pluri-presence of a popalation called $D-n$ (or $D-c$ ), in geographical contact with a population called $G-t$, high; and admit it to be the best reason existing in favour of the deduction of the Daci and Geta from the Baltic.

Yet it is not conclusive. Names may be what is conveniently called correlative. Thas:-
a. Let $D-n=$ coastman, and $G-t$, a man of the interior country (or vice verad); or-
b. Let $D-n=$ mountaineer, and $G-t=$ lowlander (or vice versa); or-
c. Let $D-n=$ native, and $G-t=$ foreigner (or vico versa).

Casses of this sort may easily be multiplied. Any one of them, however, shows that, wherever certain physical or social conditions involving the correlation in question occurs, corresponding names may occur also,-and that, independent of any descent or migration.

I do not say that this was the case in the present instance; having no tittle of evidence to support its application to the case before ns. I only say that such an hypothesis is good against the assumption of any equally gratuitous migration.

> § LI. THE HARUDES.

This is complementary to the note in $v$. Cherusci.
Cxsar mentions the Harudes, as forming a part of the army of Ariovistus; and he is the first author who mentions them at all,-but says nothing about the Cherusci.

Tacitus mentions the Cherusci, but not the Harudes.
The Marmor Ancyranum has the form Charudes.
The change from Ch - to H - (and vice varsd) has often been mentioned already,-Chatti $=$ Hesse, Chattuarii $=$ Hazzoarii.

Form for form, I think Harud- is the root of the word Cher-usci.

If so, Cher-usci is an adjective, and the -sc- is the -se in Brittiac, the -sk- in Dan-ske, and the -ish in self-ish.

If so, the population to whom it applied, must have called thernselves by an adjectival appellation: and this is no more than the present Danes and Sroedes do,-Dan-ske, Sven-ske.

If so, the $-d$ - is omitted; and this is no more than what occurs in the form Nor-ske, from Nor-d-ske,-the fuller form being Harudzke, or Cherudske.

In Beowulf and the Traveller's Song, we find mention of a town with a palace in it, called Heorot.

Near this Heorot, the Heara-bardas were defeated; a population at no great distance from the Anglea-probably either the Bards of Bardonwic, or the Langobards of Tacitus.

Except that the Hartz is a mountain-range rather than a town, Heorot $=$ Hartz, of which it is the Low German form,

I also think it was the country of the Harudes. Also, of the Proper Cherusoi,-though, I admit, that it carries them as far east as it is safe to do.

Hence, I consider that the Harudes were the Cherusci in the most limited sense of the term, and the Old Saxons the Charusci in the widest; the one name being that by which they were known to their voestern, the other that by which they were known to their eastern neighbours; and, although their political extinction is doubtful, their diminished importance (noticed by Tacitus) may have favoured the substitution of one name for another.

The following lines justify us in placing the Cherusci so far eastward as has been done:
Venit eceols silvat
Bructerus Hercyaim, latisque paludibus exit
Cimber et ingentea Albim liquere Cherusci.
Accipit jlle preces variag, tardeque rogatus
Annuit et magno pacem pro manere donat. Claud. De iv. Cons. Honor. 450.

The Cherusci were part of the Eastphalians (Ostphali) of not. in V . Angrivarii.

But Ptolemy places the Harudes in the Cimbric Chersonese, and so (perhaps) does Beowulf. This is a grave objection to the previous doctrine.

On the other hand, the notion that the Harudes of the army of Ariovistus came from Jutland is beset with diffculties.

> § luI. the gedugil.

I can only say that these are mentioned by Cesar as parts of the forces of Ariovistas.

> § hiu. the cobandi, phundusif, gieulonke, aabalingif, and chalr.

These are the tribes which Ptolemy places in the Cinlric Chersonese. They are now noticed in somewhat fuller detail than before.

The Coband**-The doctrine that Kobiavool may have been sounded Covandi, and that the - $d$-may be non-radical, by which means we get at their identity with the Chavion-es $=$ Avion-es is not illegitimate. Beyond this, there is no light thrown apon the Cobandi. See Epilegomena, $\S \$ \Delta n g l i$ and Aviones.

The Phundusii.-The ejection of the Ph and $n$, brings this near to the name of the Eudoses in Tacitus. Beyond this, there is no light thrown on the Phrndusii.-See Epilegomena, § Angli.

On the Sigulones, Sabalingii, and Chali, there is neither light nor speculation beyond what has been suggested.-See Epilegomena, § Angli.

Ptolemy's details for the so-called Cimbric Chersonese, are fuller than those of any other writer.

This may be a reason for their singularity.
Another may lie in the fact of his information being referable to a Slavonic or Keltic source rather than a German.

## § liv. the peaboding.

The Pharodini are placed by Ptolemy between the rivers Chalusus (Trave \%) and Suebus (Oder?).
Zeass suggests that the true form of the name is $\Sigma$ \$dapaSetvol.

In which case, he considers that Pharodini $=$ Suardon-es ( $\Sigma \phi a \rho 0 \delta \varepsilon \iota \nu-o l, \Sigma \phi a ́ \rho \delta \omega \nu-\epsilon \varsigma)$.

If so, we have a locality for the latter.
If not, we have two populationg known by their name only.-mee Epilegomena, § Angli, and not. in $\mathbf{v}$. Suardones.

> § LV. THE PHIRASI (Фıpaívol).

These are placed by Ptolemy in Scandinavia.
I think it is only a slightly modified form of the word Frisii.

No objections lie against this from their situation being so far north.

That the Frisii of Jutland are no new intruders has been shown.-See not. in v. Frisii.

How far traces of them occur in the north of Jutland has not been shown. It was a point reserved.

As far north as the Liimfjord, we find a Skjerr-um-bro.
This gives us a hypothesis for the diffusion of the Gothic population in Scandinsvia, where these were early intruders.

The original population of all Scandinavia was, probably, Finn.

Next to these came Lithuazian $G-t$, who settled on the coast sufficiently to give their names to-
a. Goth-land-
B. With-esland $=$ Sealand, Mön, Falster, and Fyen-
\%. Jut-land-their direction being epesterly.
On the principle of not multiplying causes annecessarily, they are not to be carried too far inland.

From the Frisians of Jutland came the Фupaifot of Ptolemy, probably, between the northern part of the Christiania Fiord and the Miösen.

From this point the Finns were displaced by movements east and west; and the Lithuanians by movements southwards.

This I infer from one of the northern districte of Sweden being named Suder-mannia; those parts being at one time the southern boundary of the conquerors from the north. The
most northern province of Scotland is called Suther-land, from the same relation to Norway.

It was, probably, amongst the Фıpaícoc of Ptolemy that the Norse tongue as opposed to the Frisian wa日 developed.

What time was required for this? It is difficult to say. Not, necessarily, a very long one.

One of the great distinctive grammatical characters of the Norse is the so-called passive voice. We know that this has been evolved nearly within the literary period of Scandinavia.

The other is the post-positive article, Now this exists in Wallachian ; though it did not in Latin, i.e., Lat. ille homo $=$ Wall. hom-ul. The reign of Trajan, therefore, is early enough for the one form. Such being the case, no longer period is needed for the second.

The time, however, may have been much longer-but I only indicate a minimum.

Again - there may have been other Frisians than the Фıpaifoc of Ptolemy : but I only take what I find.

Throughout this argament we must remember-
That Goth, as a German name for the Swedes of Gothland, is a restricted and particular one-so specific as to account for the name Gothland only; whereas-

Goth, as a Lithuanic term, is wide and general, and accounts for the names Gothland and Jatland as well.

> § LVI. THE DANDUTI, NERTEREANES, CURIONES, INTURRGI, YARGIONES, AND LANDI.

What follows is the brief notice of some of those names in Strabo, Pliny, and Ptolemy, which may reasonably be applied to populations within the German area, but which have not been mentioned by other writers sufficiently to give them much historical or geographical prominence. They are, probably, the names in detail of the divisions and subdivisions of some higher groups already noticed.*

1, 2. The Danduti and Nertereanes are mentioned by

[^72]Ptolemy. They seem to have been sonth-eastern Hessians, northern Franconians, or western Thuringians; or, perhaps, populations distributed between any two or all three of those divisions-Chatti, Burgundians, or Thuringians, politically; High Germans, or Goths, ethnologically.
3. The Curiones, too, seem to have been on the frontier of Franconia and Thuringia; their ethnological and political conditions being those of the Nertereanes and Danduti, except that they were less Hessian. Possibly they may have been Slavonians, i.o., of the Upper Maine and Regnitz.

4, 5. From Ptolemy's notice, the Inturgi and Vargiones were north-east of Wisbaden (Vispi); perhaps on the Upper Lahn. If so they may have been on the confines of the Platt-Deutsch and High German divisions-perbaps divided between the two.
6. Of the Landi, mentioned by Strabo, it can only be said that they were Germans of the great Arminian confederacy.

## § lvil. the batti and bubatti.

Mentioned by Strabo.
Admitting the Hessian (Chattian) origin of the Bat-avi, the Batti may have been the Hessians (Chatti), from whom it originated; and the Su-batti (Sov-bátrıoı) South-Batti, even as Sus-sax = South-Saxon.

If so, the name is Low German; and the Hessian form would be $B_{\text {essin }}$.

This is verified (and the suggestion is Grimm's) by the following popular distich:-

> Dissen, Deute, Haldorf, Ritte, Bune, Beas, Das aind der Hessen dörfer alle sesse ;
> ie.,
> Dissen, Deute, Haldorf, Ritte, Bune, Besse, They are the Hessian thorpes, all six.
§ lyif. the byuri, mabbach, and fhiblabongs.
Names, in detail, of Frisian populations; enumerated by

Pliny. Their locality is now under water; being, probably, the bottom of the Zuyder-Zee.

1. Sturii, seems a true proper name.
2. Marsaci, is, probably, a derivative from the root Marsh $=$ Marsh-men.
3. The Frisia-bon-88, I think, is Vriesen-veen (Frisian Fen), a real name in more than one Frisian locality at the present moment.

As the result of a piece of guese-work, I believe that the $-v-n$, in the ansatisfactory terms Ist-x-von-es and Ing-ex-von-es, is simply vesn $=$ fen; and the division is much more local than commentators imagine. Hence-

1. The Herminones meant the people of the Upper Ems, and water-shed between that river and the Weser.
2. The Ingrvones, the Fen-people in front of it, and-
3. The Istmvones, the people of a Kesteven, whatever the import of that name may have been.

If so, the informants of the Romana, who first circulated the terms, were in a predicament different only in degree from that of a writer about England, who at Grimsby or Boston, had heard that the whole county was divided into Lindsey, Holland, and Kestenon, and applied his information to the British empire at large.

> § Lix. the parmiccampi, and adrabecampi.

Name, compound.
Locality, the valleys of the Naab and Regen.
Power of the root, c-mp, uncertain. See not. in V . Chamati.

But, in origin, probably, German.
To what languages, the first elements (Parm- and Adrab-) are referable, is ancertain; the displacements here having been great.
a. It may have been some Slavonic dialect, the population being a western continuation of the Saxon and Bohemian Slaves.
b. It may have been Boian (i.o., Gallic or Keltic).

See nn. in 7v. Boiemum and Narisci.

Componnds of the root raco.
The $-t$ is, perbaps, a Gallie sign of the plural.-See not. in จ. Usipii.

To what language the root Rac- or (supposing the -at to be radical) Racat is referable, is doubtful.

It is, most likely, not German.
Without building anything apon the conjecture, I think that one and the same root $R$-toh, sometimes taking the form of Rhet-, sometimes of Rug-, sometimes of Rak-, and sometimes of Racz-, lies at the bottom of the following namen:
a. The province Rhat-ia,
b. The $R u g$-ii of $R u g$-i-land,
c. The 'Pác-atat, and Te-pac-ar-piat,
d. The Raczy of Servia, at the preseat moment.

> § lxi. the carini.

Mentioned by Tacitus as part of the Vindili. If so, Stavonic rather than German.

## § min. the vibpl.

The names which now follow, are equivocal, i.e., although different from those of any populations hitherto mentioned, they are, still, sufficiently like to pass as repetitions of certain names previonaly considered, whilst they are sufficiently different to be reasonably considered as separate substantive denominations.

The Vispi are the Ovisotol of Ptolemy; who places them as far south as the frontier of the Helvetian Desert.

Probably, their name still exists in the Wis- of Wis-baden, in the country of the Mattiaci, as more than one commentator reasonably suggeats. If so, their locality is fixed.

But then, their name is suspiciously like that of the

Usipetes，or Usipii；a population which，unless Ptolemy mention it under the name Vispi，he does not mention at all．

But Wis－baden is not too far sonth for the most southern $U_{s i p}$－ii．Perhaps not．We mast remember，however，that they reach as far north as Holland，i．e．，the country of the Tw－bantes（Twenthe）．－Epilegomona，§ 1.

$$
\text { § } L \times 11 L_{+} \text {тHE NoúvıTes. }
$$

The Noúatres（Nov́curol）of Strabo；known only as we know the Landi，i．e．，as members of the great Arminian con－ federacy，or，at least，as Germans，led in triumph for the victory that avenged it．

Probally，Usipii，under another form；especially as the Usipii（as such）are not mentioned by Strabo．
 ＇A $\mu$ 廿avoh．

1．Against considering the $X a \hat{v} \hat{E}_{0}$ as the Aviones of Tacitus， there are no great reasons．Neither are difficulties created， by making it the name of a separate sabstantive population．

2，3．The other names are more problematical．
Thus，－
 Ohauci，distinguishing between them and the latter．Still the names are alike，－the more so when we find Chauous made trisyllabic：－

> non indignante Chaicco
> Pascat Belga pecus.一Clandian. De Laud. Stilich.

Then there are the Chabiloi of Ganl．－See not．in Germania omnis．

4，5．Ka $\mu$ 中ravol and＇A $\mu \psi$ avol are names suspiciously alike．Yet they both occur in the same writer－Strabo．
a．Are both，or either，Ampsi－varii？
b．Are both，or either，the people of the parts about Kampten in Over－ijgel？
c．Is one one，and the other the other？

## § Liv. the Aaykófapyos.

Such is the current reading in Strabo, who makes no mention of the Lango-bardi.

See note in v. Lango-bardi.
The word is compoand, and why should there not have been three separate substantive nations with names compounded of-

1. The root $b-\mathrm{r} d+$ a prefix.
2. The root $l$ ng + an affix,--oiz: :-
3. Lang.o-bardi, or the men with either long beards or long halberts-
4. Lacco-bardi, or the men with beards (or halberts) endowed with some quality expressed by lece-
5. Lango-sargi, or the men whose sarks (whatever they were) were long f

All such forms exist; certainly in good authors, possibly in good MSS.

Then there are, -
4. The Heapo-bards of the Traveller's Song, and, -
5. The Bards of the Slavonic Bardon-wic.

I have no decided opinion here. It is my impression, however (and 1 imagine that the common sense view of the question coincides with it), that the Langobardi, Laccobardi, and Langosargi are one and the same population.

The trath is, that geographical texts require a very pecoliar kind of criticism.
a. We cannot préfer one reading to another, because it will give us certain results ; since that (in many cases) is arguing in a circle, i.e., inferring the reading from the result, and the result from the reading.
b. We cannot, as in other cases, argue from the context; since the question is one of letters rather than of words; and a proper name, in many cases, can as little be collected from the words which accompany it as the unmeaning combinations which form a chorus can from the words of a song.

The chief preliminaries to this criticism are clear notions
as to the language of the author, the language of his informants, and the language of the copyists of the MSS., especially in reapect to their phonetic systems.

Now, it is not stating too much to say that all this constitutes a wholly new and undeveloped line of criticism.

That different authors should differ in the forms they give the different new and strange names which they meet with in the geography of imperfectly known countries is natural; but that one and the same author should vary is strange. Yet such has been the case with both Strabo and Ptolemy, and tbat to a considerable extent.
§ lxyi. the Téécepol, 'Iyplaves, Kapıtvol, and Toúpavol.
1, 2. How far are the first two Tencteri and Angrivarii? The localities are not exactly the same, nor yet the names, though like.

This answer is, probably, in the affirmative.
3. The Caritni, on the other hand, can acarcely be the Carini of Pliny, since the Caritni are east of the Middle Rhine, the Carini Vindili.
4. The Toúpovoc are almost certainly Thur-ingians, of the Tour-io-hemum (Tevptoxaípat) of Ptolemy.
§ hxili. on the relations of the getia to india.
The notice of the comparative uniformity of the Russian dialects, although apparently a point of Slavonic, rather than German, ethnology, was shown* to have an important bearing upon the text of even the Germania of Tacitus. And this is the case with several other questions, which, at first view, seem wholly remote from the subjects under present consideration. Nothing, however, in ethnology is isolate and unconnected; and few points of the earth's surface are so distant as not, when certain problems are noder notice, to be brought to bear upon each other.

Now the case which was made out in the § on the Goths,

[^73]for bringing the great Lithuanian family as far south as the parts about Gallicia, on one side, and the Lower Danube, or country of the Getæ, on the other, was incomplete: since there was another geries of facts which, dificult and mysterious as they are under any point of view, are still rendered somewhat clearer by every fact which extends the Lithoanic area, either southroards or eastwards.

Whatever bringe Lithuania nearer to India, diminishes certain philological and ethnological difficulties.

What these are, is now widely known. They are all referable to the single great fact of the grammatical and glossarial affinities of the ancient literary language of India and Persia (the Sanskrit and its allied forms), being with the Greek and Latin, with the Gothic, with the Slavonic, and, pre-eminently, with the Lithuanic tongues of Europes.

No table, equally short, shows this better than the following one of Dr. Trithen's, from the Transactions of the Philological Society, No. 94.

| ENGLIBH. | lithuanic. | rusian. | ganskrit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother ............ | motina... |  |  |
| Son ................. | sunai | sui | sunu. |
| Brother | brolis | bra | bhratr. |
| Sister | essu | get | gvasr. |
| Daughter-in-law... | - | 日nokha. | suusha.* |
| Futher-in-law ...... | - | svekort | 'rasúra. |
| Mother-in-lavo...... | - | svekrov' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | s'ras |
| Brother-in-law .... | - | dever' § |  |
| One | en | odin., |  |
| wo., | du ...... | dva |  |
| Three | trys | tri |  |
| Four | keturi | chetuir | chatvăa |
| Five.. | penki | piat'. | par |
| Six | szessi | shest | cha |
| Seven | 8eptyni | sedm' | saptan. |
| Eight .............. | asstu"ni |  | ashtan. |
| Nive... | dewyni. | devist' | navan. |
|  |  | desiat | da |

The following similarities go the same way, viz., towards

Latin nurus, from snurus.
$\ddagger$ Latin socrus, Greek Enpla.

+ Latin socer, Greek évepos.
§ Latin levir (devir), Greek Buýp.
the proof of a remarkable affinity with certain languages of Europe, there being none equally strong with any existing and undoubted Asiatic ones.

| ENOLSA. <br> I $\qquad$ | hitroanio. | sanberit. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | as8 ........ | .... aham | n.............. |
| Thou ......... tu .............. twam |  |  |  |
| yus.,.......... .- yūyam |  |  |  |
| The *......... tas ................ ta-d .............. |  |  |  |
|  | mithutico. |  |  |
| Laups-inni $=\boldsymbol{I}$ praise. |  |  |  |
| Present. |  |  |  |
| 1. Laups | -innu | -innews | - -inuame. |
| 2. - | -inni | -innata | -ingeta. |
| 3. - | -jnna | -inpa | -1nna. |
| 8angerit. |  |  |  |
| $J_{\text {ajj-ami }}=\boldsymbol{I}$ conquer. |  |  |  |
| Presert. |  |  |  |
| 1. Jaj | -âmi |  | -amah, |
| 2. - | -ăsi | -êthah | -ătha. |
| 3. - | -ăti | -ătah | -anti. |
| hithuanic. |  |  |  |
| Esmi $=1 \mathrm{am}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Esmi | eswa | esme. |
|  | Essi | esta | esti. |
|  | Esti | esti | esti. |
|  |  | M10. |  |
|  | Asmi | $=I \mathrm{am}$. |  |
|  | Asmi | swah | mmah. |
|  | Aso | sthah | atha. |
|  | Asti | stah | santi. |

In explanation of this, the voice of comparative philologists, ethnologists, and special scholars, is all one way. It is unanimous in the decided expression of the doctrine that the tongues of Earope allied to the Sanskrit came from the East; and I doubt whether any man living has ever recognised the opposite alternative, viz., that of the Sanskrit and its allied

[^74]languages coming from Europe. Of course, there are reasons for this one-sidedness, and, amongst these, the reasonable doctrine that the human species originated in Asia, the somewhat crude notion that migrations move from east to west, rather than from west to east, as if in obedience to some ethnological law, and the unwillingness to believe that the primary migrations by which the population of the earth's surface apread from some single point over the four quarters of the world, lie far beyond any existing means of investigation, are the chief.

Nevertheless, if we clear our minds of all this, the presamptiona are the other way.

When two allied populations, covering areas of different magnitudef, are separated from each other, and we account for the separation by assuming a migration, the presumption is that the occupants of the smaller area are derived from that of the larger, rather than vice versat.

When an ethnological class falls into a certain number of divisions, the portion of its ares, where the divisions are the most numerous and the most definite, must be considered as the oldest.

Such are the prasumptions-presumptions which we get at by attending to the first principles of reasoning-presamptions which our common-sense supplies us with. No one, I imagine, will deny their general validity, however much he may consider that, in certain individual cases, they give us a wrong reanlt.

Thus, taken by itself, the presumption that arises from the vast extent over which the English language is spoken in America, as compared with the limited area of the British Isles, is in favour of the American being the mother-tongue, which is known to be contrary to fact.

But the mere question of a magnitude of ares need not be taken by itzelf. It is corrected by the presumption arising out of the second observation. In America, the English language stands either alone or nearly so. In England it has its congeners around it,-Frisian, Dutch, Platt-Deutsch, High German, and Norse ; and this shows that Earope is the older home of the Englishman.

Such is the case where the two presumptions differ-one complicating the other. Yet even then the case is clear.

When they coincide, it is clearer still. Thus, when we have a comparatively homogeneons language confined to the smaller of two areas on one side, and on the other a multiplicity of divisions and subdivisions spread over the larger, the presumption that the occupants of the former are derived from those of the latter, is indefinitely raised.

To apply these rules to the present case-
Northern India, Persia, Armenia, and a small portion of Caucasua, form the mavimum of area that can be given to the so-called Indo-European languages of Asia.

England, Germany, Holland, two thirds of Scandinavia, Russia, Poland, and all southern Europe, with the exception of Rumelia, Albania, and Biscay, form the minimum of area for the so-called Indo-European languages of Europe.

Now the least that is allowed to the tongues of Europe is more than the most that can be given to those of Asia. The excess may be but small ; still, pro tanto, it shows which way the presnmption is.

Again-the greatest amount of division that can be got out of the Asiatic class of Indo-European tongues is the Ossetic, Armenian, and Indo-Persian tongues; the latter meaning the Sanskrit and the ancient languages allied to it, with their real or supposed derivatives-the modern tongues of Persia and northern India.

The least amount of division amongst the European tongues is equal to this; for I submit that the differences between the Latin (with its derivatives) and the Greek, the Slavonic, the Lithuanic, and the several branches of the Gothic stock, are fully equal in value and variety to those that any principle of classification can get from the tongues of Indo-Enropean Asia.

But more mnst be added. Rightly or wrongly, there is an opinion that the modern languages of northern India are not Indo-Enropean ; and-

Rightly or wrongly, there is an opinion that the Armenian is not Indo-European-

Yet no one, who admits the term at all, has ever taken exceptions to any of the Indo-Earopean tongues of Europe.

So that to derive the German, Slavonic, Lithuanic, Greek and Latin from India, if to derive the greater from the less, the multiform from the simple, the admitted from the doubtful. It is to deduce the stock from the offshoot, to move the earth with a lever in the clouds.*

All such connections as that between the Sanskrit and

* I must be allowed to remind the reader that from a desire to deal with the question as a question of logic only, and with the wish to understate, rather than overstate, my case, I argue entirely er abundanti.

Thus-
a. I allow the Vedas to be four thousand years old-without believing anything of the kind.
b. 1 allow the Hindu, Bengali, Urdu, Gujerati, Mahratta, and modern Persian tongues, to be as truly sauskritic in origin as the English is Anglo-Sexon-without believing it.
c. I allow the Armenian to be Indo-Europenn.
d. Also the Ossetic. The only facts respecting these last three points which I argue from, is the existence of doubts-not the validity of them.
e. I lay no stress on the statement that the third language of the cuneiform inscription is other than Indo-European.
f. I carry the traces of a Tamulian tongue, anterior to the Hindu, no further south than the parts about Bombay-
g. And the traces of monosyllabic tongues, similarly anterior to the Sanskrit, no further south than the Lower Ganges.
h. I allow the siaposh to be ss Sanskritic as the most extreme defenders of its Sankeritic origin make it, and 1 place the Lughmani, and other dialects, as well as the Pustí of Affgbanistan, in the same category.
i. I lay no atress on the Tamulian character of the Brahcii, the numerals of which were admitted by Lassen to be those of Southern Indis.
On the other hand-
As I take exceptions to the Indo-European character of the Keltic tongues, and although I am, perhaps, the only philologist who does, I take no advantage of the current opinion, by which the contrast between the differences between the so-called Indo-European tongues of Europe and the comparative homogeneousness of those of Asia would be heightened.
I wish to reduce the question to its logical form which is, that where we have two branches of the same division of speech separated from each other, one of which is the larger in area and the more diversifed by varieties, and the other smaller and comparatively homogeneous, the presumption is in farour of the latter being derved from the former, rather than the former from the latter. To deduce the Indo-Europeans of Europe from the Indo-Europeans of Asis, in ethnology, is like deriving the reptiles of Great Britain from those of Ireland in erpetology.

Lithnanic most be explained by either a migration, or an original continuity of area.

The presumptions have been determined. Let as now choose between these alternatives.

The Indo-European population may have been continued from Asia into Europe (or vice versa) by two lines-

1. One to the north-
2. One to the south of the Caspian Sea.

The difficulties, each way, are the same in amount, though different in kind.

1. On the north we have the vast tracta of Independent Tartary, the water-systems of the Lower Jaik and Volga, in which the Indo-European population which, by assumption, was continuous from the Oxus to the Dnieper, has wholly disappeared. Now the more we go back the wider this interval becomes; since, the Russians, at the beginning of the historical period were further from India than they are now. The supposed displacement, then, in this quarter must have been enormous. The further objections that arise out of the distribation of the existing Turk and Ugrian families of the area in question (a distribution which makes it almost impossible for an Indo-European population ever to have been on the north of the Caspian), are too numerous for a work like the present.
2. A prolongation of the Indo-Earopean area in the direction of Asia, and to the south of the Caspian, is, at the first view, practicable enough. And here the remark that whatever brings Lithuania nearer to Irdia diminishes diffculties, has its bearing. Let the Getæ be Lithnanians, the Thracians may be Lithuanic also, since more than one good authority of antiquity identifies the two. Then the Bithynians were Thracians-which brings the European Lithuanic half-way, or more, to meet the Indo-European dialects of Western Persia. Be it so. The Armenian language is a stambling-block. It ought, from its geography, to be intermediate to the Sanskrit and Lithuanic - whereas, that it is Indo-European at all is more than many good judges allow it to be. At any rate, it is not what it ought to be for the hypothesis-transitional in character.

Such the difficalties attending the doctrine of an original continuity of area and subsequont displacement.

The other alternative, or that of simple migration, requires three facta to be borne in mind-
a. That it is no further from the Dardanelles to the Indus than from the Indus to the Dardanelles.
b. That the real conquests of Alexander (especially that which led to the establishment of the Greek kingdom of Bactria) differed from such a European conquest as is necessary to account for all the phenomena of the Sanskrit and allied languages in date, magnitude, and daration only-i.e., in degres though not in kind.
c. That the Majiar conquest of Hengary differs only in date ; for, certainly, it would be a bold statement to assert that a similar conquest of an area of equal magnitude on the Indas, on the part of the Europeans of Thrace and the Lower Danabe, at a sufficiently early date, would not account for all the points of likeness between the Hindu and the European. The likelihood of such an event happening, is measured by the actual conquests of the Macedonians.

Such is the balance of the difficulties of the two hypotheses; the conclusion in the mind of the present writer being that if we consider the Sanskrit to be Asiatic, in the way that the Majiar is Enropean, we escape the unnecessary multiplication of canses, and avoid assumptions of which the number and amount has never been fairly measured.

How far the Jats of lndia are Get-a, is a difficull question.
The magnitude of the area in which the coincidence occurs is quite large enough to allow us to consider it accidental. Still, a case may be made out the other way.

## § lxvil, on the quast-grmanio gadle.

By Quasi-Germanic I mean those Gauls who, by some writer or other of antiquity, have been considered to either be German or to exhibit German characteristics.

They are chiefly noticed in Tacitus, in § xxviii., being the Treviri, Nervie, Vangiones, Triboci, and Nemetss.

Between these Tacitus draws the distinction (indicated in p. 100) by the words haud dubie; from which I infer that, in the case of the first two populations, on this list, to which the words do not apply, there was a doubt.

I do not, then, press the argumente against the Germanic character of the Vangiones, Triboci, and Nemetes-though some serions elements of doubts are opposed to them. Thus-
$a$. The name of the Tri-boci is Keltic=the tre- in the Keltic names of places. But this Grimm has met by supposing it $=$ three, so that Tri-booi= the three beeches.
b. The names * of three out of seven of their towns are Keltic-H $\delta$ è ámò тồ 'O6píy
 'ОЕрйүуа тотаной,

> Ne $\mu \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu \mu e ̀ v, ~ N o \iota o ́ \mu a y o s, ~$'Pouф九áva'
 'Apyevtópatov, Merl $\omega \nu \bar{\eta} \Sigma^{2} \epsilon 6 a \sigma \tau \eta$.

Still the three German towns may have had Keltic names in the mouths of Keltic informants.

However, the Keltic forms Caer, as in Caer-philly, occur as well-"Tutor Treviroram copias, recenti Vangionum, Caracatium, Tribocoron delectu auctas, veterano pedite atque equite firmavit . .; mox ubi duces exercitusque Romani propinquabant, honesto transfugio rediere, secutis Tribocis, Vangionibusque et Caracatibus."-Tac. Hist. iv. 70.

The Treviri and Nervii come under a different category.
Respecting the first the statement of Niebuhr, that their language was German, confidently as it is made, proves nothing. It assumes the point under investigation. The unlikelihood of the Gallic having maintained itself until the time of St. Jerome, is a matter for the reader to decide. The German of Sette and Tredice Communi (Prolegomena, § xi.) has maintained itself longer. The fact of no mention being made of

[^75]the Galatian language, on the day of Pentecost, is a reason -as far as it goes.

Another renark of Niebuhr's upon St. Jerome's statement is exceptionable. He considers that the supposed German of Phrygia was introduced by the Goths of the reign of Theodosius. Now their language would be Moso-Gothic; at least, as different from the German of the Lower Rhine, the only German likely to be spoken at Treves, as the present Dutch is from the High German of Switzerland and Bavaria. This difference is that of two mutaally anintelligible tongues.

The supposed descent of the Nervii from the Teutones and Cimbri, complicated as it is by the similar claim on the part of the Adaatici (see not. in v . Norevi) is available only in the hands of a writer who can throw any light over the deep gloom that invests the history of those famous wartiors.

Still, there is the evidence of Tacitas to their being less Gallic, and more German than the typical Gauls.

This evidence we shall find is a reproduction of that of Cresar - for which see Prolegomena, p. lxxii. - where the two chief texts are marked in Italics, Belgas esse ortos ab Germanis, and Pamanos qui uno nomine Germani appellantur.

To this-as an argument the same way-we may add the present existence of the Flemish language in Belginm subject to the certainty of Flanders having been conquered by the Franks in the time of Clovis, and the likelihood of their language having been then (and no earlier) introduced.

Such is the evidence on one side. Agaiust it mast be placed the general tone of Cæsar's narrative, where the identification of the Belgo with the Gauls in all essentials, stands in opposition to the exceptional statements as to the particular Germanism of the Pæmani, \&c.

But this is, perhaps, peutralized by the fact of his treating the Aquitanians who belonged to the Iberic stock, in a similar manner, i.e., as Gauls.

The presence of Belgy in Britain, is also in favour of the Belgre being Gauls; since the evidence of Germans on the other side of the Channel, in the time of Cæsar, is eminently imperfect, i.s., the legitimate evidence. Of course, by making the Belga of the Continent German, we can bring Germans
into Britain. But that, again, is to assume the point inslead of proving it.

Some, at least, of the Belgæ, were Gallic in regard to their constitution,-witness the Eburones, who were clientes to the Treviri.

The names (e.g., those beginning in tro- and con-) were Gallic. This is an argument which the present writer, has, at the first view, no right to nse; he has so often suggested that a population speaking one language, might have a name in another. In this case, however, he may do so; since Cessar was in the country of the Belgæ, and, if their names were German, might have taken them in a German form. Had he never crossed the Seine, it would not have been illegitimate to argue, that Keltic names for Belgie localities and populations, were not incompatible with a Germanic descent for the people.

Neither is he, perhaps, jastified in laying mach stress on the degree to which the extension of Germanic tribes to the Seine, would diminish the Gallic population, supposed to be so great; since he has shown but few scruples in contrasting the Germanic. Still we must remember three points.
a. First, that the recognition of the Belgre as German, would subtract all the country north of the Seine, from Gallia.
b. That it would place Germans on the Straits of Dover, the most probable point for the introduction of the population of Britain into Kent, a country which we know was not German but Gallic.
c. That, as the Aquitanians were Iberic, it would only leave the parts between the Seine and Loire for the Kelts.

In the analysis of the arguments in favour of a wide extension of Germans into Gaul, it will generally be found-
a. That, as a general question, too much importance is attached to the notion that common political relations denote common ethnological ones.
b. That certain particular expressions of Cresar, showing that, in some of the instances before us, there were specific signs of Gallic origin, are omitted ; e.g., Cativolcus, a Belgian, says, " non facile Gallos Galliz negare potuisse."-Bell. Gall.
v. 27. Also the statement, that the mode of condacting the attack of towne, was the same with the Belgæ and the Gauls. -"Gallorum eadem atque Belgarum oppugnatio bet hose."Ibid. ii. 6.
c. That too little stress is laid upon the undoubted Gallic character of the county of Kent.
d. Too little, too, on the dimination of the Gallic area, by leaving it nothing but the parts between the Seine and Loire.
e. Above all, too little, to a passage in Strabo, stating that the differences (admitted differences) between the Belge and other Gauls were inconsiderable.
$f$. That sufficient importance is not attributed to the fact, of the testimeny of Cass, not necessarily going beyond the assertion of a difference between the Galli and Germani, greater than the difference between two divisions of the same race.
g. That the Belga may have been Germans, just as the Manxmen and Channel Islanders are English, i.e., only in regard to their politics.

Such I believe to have been the case; a belief which has suggested the term Quasi-Germanic.

I may here remark, that the negative statement as to political relations being but little more that primá facio evidence of ethnological ones, is less easy of proof than it seems; inasmuch, as many of the instances, which the present writer could easily quote, would not satisfy an adrocate of the German doctrine in its fullest extent. Many of his Sarmatians would be, in his eyes, Germans. Still there is no doubt as to such cases as the following.

1. A Falerianus and a Martinus are mentioned as leaders of certain Huns.
2. The undonbtedly Sarmatian Jazyges are allied in a Marcomannic war with the equally undoubtedly Germanic Marcomarni.
3. The Quadi are found in alliance with both undeniable Sarmatians and undeniable Germans.

In respect to the evidence of the names of the chief bisterical characters of a particular population, being often as diffe-
rent in language as that of the Duke of Wellington was from a Spanish private's at Salamanca, the evidence is also inconclusive, and that for the same reasons. Nevertheless, an instance more cogent than the following can scarcely be imagined.

The same writer (Tacitus) who expressly separates the Gothini from the Germans, and that on the strength of an express statement as to the Gothinian language being Gallic, gives us the name of a Gothinian leader, whose name is as unequivocally German as the eminently Germanic glosses, Boiohemum and Marcomanni. This name is Cat-walda, wherein the latter element is the walda in Bret-walda; whatever the first may be; concerning which, I think (notwithstanding the ressons adduced by Mr. Kemble against the Bret- in Bret-walda = Briton), that it is the Goth-, in Goth-ini ; since the 9 - is non-radical, and reasons for the $k=g$ have been given elsewhere.

## NOTES.

## I.

The remarks on the extent to which a Slavonic form in -shtsh might be presumed when there was a confusion between -8c- and -st- (see note in v. Narisci), was written before I found in L. G. Dase's work on the Lithuanian Family that the actual Slavonic form for the German combination St-, is Shtsh ( $s^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ in Bohemian, azcz in Polish, and tcha in Russian), and that the Polish original of Stiegletz is szczygiel. Such being the case, it is not too much to suggest that the very existence of a confusion between -st- and -8c-, is primá facio evidence of the true and original form being $-s h t s h$, and consequently of the word in which it occurs being Slavonic; for it is only in Slavonic that such combinations occur.

## н.

In p. 91 there is a material oversight. The Boii are placed between the Maine, Rhine, and Hercynian Forest. They ought to have been placed in the parts beyond the ares thas circumscribed. I say this oversight is material; since the true position of the Boii was nearer Bohemia than the text of note in v. Boiohemum makes it. Still, the correction by no means carries it as far east as Bohemia; since the plain meaning of ultoriora is not any part east of the Maine, but the parts that immediately (there or thereabouts) succeed, or come next to, the Helvetian occupancy. Now, these are parts (and no inconsiderable parts either) of Bavaria. Bohemia, undoubtedly, comes afterwards in the same direction; but so do Gallicia and many other places. The commonsense interpretation seems to be that where the Helvetians left off, the Boii began. Still, the statement in the text referred to is au over-statement.

## APPENDIX.

I.

## Translation of Extract from Alfred.*


#### Abstract

" North of the old Saxons are the Obotrites, and northeast the Wylte, who are called the men of the Hevel; and east of them is the Wend Land, that is called Syssele; and south-east, at some distance, Moravia, and the Moravians have by them Thuringia and Bohemia, and part of Bavaria, and sonth of them, on the other side of the Dannbe, is Carinthia. Sonth, as far as the mountains called Alps, and to those same mountains, lie the boundaries of Bavaria and Suabia, and east of them Carinthia. Beyond this, to the west, is Bulgaria, and east of that Greece, and east of Moravia is the land of the Vistala, and east of that Dacia, where the Goths were. To the north-east of Moravia are the Daleminzi, and north of the Daleminzi the Sorbs, and west of them the Sysele. North of Croatia (?) is the Land of Women, and north of the Land of Women is Sirmium, even to the Riphean Mountains."


## II.



For the first time,
Of the Hreth-king,
Bought the home
East of Ongle,
Of Eormanric,
The fierce faith-breaker;
Began then much to speak :
"Of many men 1've heard,
20 Anling o'er tribes;
(Every prince should
Live eccording to usage,
Chief after other
Rule the country,
He who in his throne
Desires to prosper).
Of these was Hwala
A while the best,
And Alexandreas
30 Of all most powerful
Of the race of men,
And he most prosper"d
Of those which I on earth
Have heard of.
※tla rul'd the Huns,
Eormanric the Goths,
Beces the Banings,
The Burgends Gifica;
Cersar rul'd the Greeks,
40 And Cwlic the Fins, Hagena the Holmrycs,
And Henden the Glome ;
Witte rul'd the $\mathrm{SW}_{\mathrm{wef}} \mathrm{f}$,
Wads the Hzolsings,
Meaca the Myrgings,
Mearchealf the Hundings;
Theodric ral'd the Franks,
Thyle the Rondinges,
Breoce the Boundings,
50 Billing the Werns;
Oswine ruld the Eown,
And the Yts Gefwulf;
Fin, Folcwald's Bor $_{\text {, }}$
The race of Fresns,
Sigehere Jongest
Rul'd the Sea-Danes.

Hneff the Hozings,
Helm the Wulfings,
Wald the Woings,
60 Wod the Thyrings,
Seeferth the Sycgs,
The Swedes Ongendtheow,
Sceafthere the Imbers,
Seeafs the Longbeards,
Hun the Hatwert,
And Holen the Wroens.
Hringwald was nam'd
The Herefaras' king,
Offa ral'd Ongle,
70 Alewih the Danes,
Who of those men was
Haughtiest of all.
Yet not o'er Offa he
Supremacy effected,
For Offe won
Earliest of men,
Being s youth,
Of kingdoma greatest.
No one of like age with him
80 Dominion greater
Had in contest gain'd
With his single sword;
His marches he enlarged
Towarda the Myrginga,
By Fifel-dor.
Continued thenceforth,
Engles and Swsefe,
As Offa it had won.
Hrothulf and Hrothgar
90 Held very long
Peace together,
The paternsl cousing,
After they had expell'd
The rece of Wikingr,
And Ingeld's
Bword had bow'd, Slaughter'd at Heorot
The host of Heathobesrds.
Thus I travers'd many
100 Foreign lands,
Over the spacious earth.

Good and evil
There I proved,
From my offepring separated,
From my dear kindred far,
Follow'd widely.
Therefore I can sing,
And a tale relate,
Recount before the many
110 In the mead-hall,
How to me the noble of race
Were eminently kind.
I was with the Huns,
And with the Hreth-Goths,
With the Swedes and with the Geats,
And with the South-Danes;
With the Wenls I was and with the Werns,
And with the Wikings,
With the Gefths I was and with the Wineds,
120 And with the Gefflegians;
With Engles I was and with Swefa,
And with the Ænens;
With Saxons I was end with Sycgs,
And with the Sweord-Wers,
With the Hrons I was and with the Danes,
And with the Heatho-Reams, With the Thyrings I was, And with the Throwends, And with the Burgends;
130 There I a bracelet receiv'd.
Me there Guthhere gave
A brilliant jewel,
For reward of song:
That was no sluggish king.
With the Franke 1 wea and with the Frisians,
And with the Frumtings,
With the Rugg I was and with the Gloms,
And with the Rum-Wealhs;

Also I was in Italy
140 With Alfwine,
Who had of all mankind,
To my knowledge,
The lightest hand,
Praise to effect;
The amplest heart
In the distribution of rings ;
Of bright bracelets,
The child of Eadwine;
With the Serking I was,
150 And with the Serings,
With Greeks I was and with Fins,
And with Cersar,
Who o'er the joyons cities
Dominion held,
Wiolane and Wilna,
And o'er the Walish realm.
With the Scots I was and with the Picts,
And with the Scride-Fins;
With the Lid-Wikings I was and with the Leons,
160 And with the Longbeards;
With Hethns and with Holeths,
And with the Hondings;
With the Igraelites I was,
And with the Ex-Byrings,
With Hebrews and with Indians,
And with the Egyptians,
With the Medea I was and with the Persians,
And with the Myrgings,
And the Mofdings,
170 And again with the Myrgings,
And with the Amothings ;
With the East-Thyrings I was and with the Eols,
And with the Ists,
And Idumings,
And I was with Eormanric.
All which time

There to me the Gothic king
Was bounteously kind;
He me a bracelet gave,
180 The chieftein of his citizens,
On which six hundred were
Of beaten gold,
Sceata scored,
In shillinge reckon'd
Which I to Eadgils
In possession gave
My patron-lord,
When to my home I came,
In requital to my friend,
190 For that he me had given land,
My father's home,
The Myrging's Lord;
And to me then Eallhild
Another gave,
The noble queen of chieftains,
Eadwine's daughter :
I her praise extended
Over many lands,
When I in song
200 Had to relate
Where I under heaven
Knew most bountufully
A queen with gold adorn'd
Her grace dispense.
When I and Skilling
With clear voice,
'Fore our victorious lord
Raig'd the song,
Loud to the harp
210 Our lay resounded.
Then many men,
Haughty of soul,
Spoke in words,
(They who well knew)
That they never song
Better had heard.
Thence I travers'd all
The country of the Goths.
Of course I ever sought
220 The beat,-
Such was the household band

Of Earmannc.
Hethea I sought and Beadeca,
And the Herelings;
Emerca I sought and Fridla,
And the East-Goth.
Wise and good,
Unwen's father;
Seece I sought and Becce,
230 Seafola and Theodric,
Hetheric and Sifece,
Hlithe and Incgentheow ;
Eadwine I sought and Elea, , Fgelmund and Hungar,
And the proud host
Of the With-Myrgings;
Wulfhere I mought and Wyrnhere:
Full of war ceas'd not there,
When the Hrmds' army,
240 With hard awords,
About Vistula's wood, Hed to defend
Therr ancient native seat
Against the folks of 出tla.
Reedhere I sought and Rondhere,
Rumstan and Gielhere,
Withergield and Freotheric.
Wudga and Hama;
These were of comrades
250 Not the worat,
Thuugh I them ever last
Should name.
Full oft from that band,
Whining flew
The yelling abaft
On the fierce nation
Where would evenge, The chiefs adorn'd with gold,
Their men and women,
260 Wudga and Heme.
Thus I that have ever found,
In that journeying,
That he is ever dearest,
To the land's dwellers,

> To whom Cod gives Empire o'er men To hold, While he here lives. Thus roving,
> 270 With their lays go
> The gleemen of men Over many lands, Their need express, Words of thanks utter, Always sonth or porth

Find one
Knowing in songs,
Liberal in gifts,
Who before his nobles desires
280 His grandeur to exalt,
His dignity to show, Till that all departs, Light and life together.
He who worka praise
Has, under heaven, Substantial glory."

## ILI.

Paper Read at the Philological Society, February, 9th, 1844.
On the Evidence of a Connection between the Cimbri and the Chersonesus Cimbrica. By Dr. R. G. Latham.

It is considered that the evidence of any local connection between the Cimbri conquered by Marius, and the Chersonesus Cimbrica, is insufficient to counterbalance the natural improbability of a long and difficult national migration. Of such a connection, however, the identity of name and the concurrent belief of respectable writers are primá facie evidence. This, however, is disposed of, if such a theory as the following can be established, viz., that, for certain reasons, the knowledge of the precise origin and locality of the nations conquered by Marius was, at an early period, confused and indefinite; that new countries were made known without giving any further information; that hence, the locality of the Cimbri was always pushed forwards beyond the limits of the geographical areas accurately ascertained; and finally, that thus their supposed locality retrograded continually northwards, until it fized in the districts of Sleswick and Jutland, where the barrier of the sea, and the inerease of geographical knowledge (with one exception) prevented it from getting farther. Now this view arises out of the examination of the language of the historians and geographers as examined in order, from Sallust to Ptolemy.

Of Sallust and Cicero, the langage points to Gaul as the home of the nation in question; and that without the least intimation of its being any particularly distant portion of that country. "Per idem tempus adversas Gallos ab ducibus nostris, Q. Cæpione et M. Maulio, male pugnatum-Marius consul absens factus, et ei decreta provincia Gallia."-Bell. Jugurth. 114. "Ipse ille Marius-influentes in Italiam Galloram maximas copias repressit."-Cicero de Prov. Consul. 13. And here an objection may be anticipated. It is undoabtedly true that even if the Cimbri bad originated in a locality so distant as the Chersonese, it would have been almost impossible to have made such a fact accurately uyderstood. Yet it is also true, that if any material difference had existed between the Cimbri and the Gauls of Gaul, such must have been familiarly known in Rome, since slaves of both sorts mast there have been cotnmon.

Cæsar, whose evidence ought to be conclusive (inasmuch as he knew of Germany as well as of Gaul), fixes them to the sonth of the Marne and Seine. This we learn, not from the direct text, but from inference: "Gallos-a Belgis Matrona et Sequana dividit."-Bell, Gall. i. 1. "Belgas-solos esse qui, patrum nostrorum memoria, onni Gallia vexata, TeutonesCimbrosque intra fines suos ingredi probibuerint."-Bell. Gall, ii. 4. Now if the Teutones and Cimbri had moved from north to south, they would have clashed with the Belgæ first, and with the other Gauls afterwards. The converse, however, was the fact. It is right here to state, that the last observation may be explained away by supposing either that the Teatones and Cimbri here meant may be a remnant of the confederation on their return, or else a portion that settled down in Gaul upon their way; or finally, a division that made a circle towards the place of their destination in a south-east direction. None of these, however, seem the plain and natural conatruction; and I would rather, if reduced to the alternative, read Germania instead of Gallia, than acquiesce in the most probable of them.

Diodorus Siculus, withoat defining their locality, deals throughout with the Cimbri as a Gaalish tribe. Besides this, he gives us one of the elements of the assumed indistinctness
of ideas in regard to their origin, viz., their hypothetical connection with the Cimmerii. In this recognition of what might have been called the Cimmerian theory, he is followed by Strabo and Plutarch.-Diod. Sicul. v. 32. Strabo, vii. Plutarch. Vit. Marii.

The next writer who mentions them is Strabo. In confirmation of the view taken above, this author places the Cimbri on the northernmost limit of the area geographically known to him, viz., beyond Gaul and in Germany, between
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 that this was the frontier of the Roman world we get from the statement which soon follows, viz., that "thas much was known to the Romaus from their successful wars, and that more would bave been known had it not been for the injunction of Augastus forbidding his generals to cross the Elbe." -Lib. iv.

Velleius Paterculus agrees with his contemporary Strabo. He places them beyond the Rhine, and deals with them as Germans:-"Tum Cimbri et Teutoni transcendere Rhenum, multis mox nostris suisque cladibas nobiles."-ii. 8. "Effusa -immanis vis Germanarum gentium quibns nomen Cimbris ac Teutonis erat."-Ibid. 12.

From the Germania of Tacitus a well-known passage will be considered in the sequel. Tacitus's locality coincides with that of Strabo.

Ptolemy.-Now the author who most mentions in detail the tribes beyond the Elbe is also the author who most pushes back the Cimbri towards the north. Coincident with his improved information as to the parts southward, he places them at the extremity of the area known to him: Kaṽoc oi
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Such is the evidence of those writers, Greek or Roman, who deal with the local habitation of the Cimbri rather than with the general history of that tribe. As a measure of the indefinitude of their ideas, we have the confusion, already noticed, between the Cimbri and Cimmerii, on the parts of Diodorus, Strabo and Plutarch. A better measure occars in the following extract from Pliny, who not only fixes the Cimbri in three places at once, butalso (as far as we can find any meaning in his language) removes them so far northward as Norway: " Alterum genus Ingævones; quorum pars Cimbri, Teutoni, ac Chancorum gentes. Proximi Rheno Istævones; quorum pars Cimbri mediterranei,"-iv. 28. "Promontoriam Cimbroram excurrens in maria longe peninsulam efficit, qua Cartris appel-latur."-Ibid, 27. "Sevo Mons (the mountain-chains of Norway) immanem ad Cimbrorum usque promontorium efficit sinum, qui Codanus vocatur, refertus insulis, quarum clarissims Scandinavia est, incompertm magnitudinis."-Ibid. Upon confusion like this it is not considered necessary to expend further evidence. So few statements coincide, that ander all views there must be a misconception somewhere; and of such misconception great must the amount be, to become more improbable than a national migration from Jutland to Italy.

Over and above, however, this particular question of evidence, there stands a second one; viz. the determination of the ethnographical relations of the nations under consideration. This is the point as to whether the Cimbri conquered by Marins were Celts or Gotbs, akin to the Gauls, or akin to the Germans; a disputed point, and one which, for its own sake only, were worth discussing, even at the expense of raising $\mathbf{a}$ wholly independent question. Such, however, it is not. If the Cimbri were Kelts, the improbability of their originating in the Cimbric Chersonese would be increased, and with it the amount of evidence required ; since, laying aside other considerations, the natural unlikelihood of a large area being traversed by a mass of emigrants is greatly enhanced by the fact
of any intermediate portion of that area being possessed by tribes as alien to each other as the Gauls and Germans. Hence, therefore, the fact of the Cimbri being Kelta will (if proved) be considered as making against the probability of their origin in the Cimbric Chersonese; whilst, if they be shown to be Goths, the difficulties of the supposition will be in some degree diminished. Whichever way this latter point is settled, something will be gained for the historian; since the supposed presence of Kelts in the Cimbric Chersonese has complicated more than one question in ethnography.

Previous to proceeding in the inquiry, it may be well to lay down, once for all, nas a postulate, that whatever, in the way of ethnography, is proved concerning any one tribe of the Cimbro-Teatonic league, must be considered as proved concerning the remainder; since all explanations, grounded upon the idea that one part was Gothic and another part Keltic, have a certain amount of prima facie improbability to set aside. The same conditions as to the burden of proof apply slso to any hypothesis founded on the notion of retiring Cimbri posterior to the attempted invasion of Italy. On this point the list of authors quoted will not be brought below the time of Ptolemy. With the testimonies anterior to that writer, bearing upon the question of the ethnography, the attempt, however, will be made to be exhaustive. Forthermore, as the question in hand is not so much the absolate fact as to whether the Cimbri were Kelts or Goths, but one as to the amount of evidence upon which we believe them to be either the one or the other, statements will be noticed mader the head of evidence, not because they are really proofe, but simply because they have ever been looked upon as such. Beginning then with the Germanic origin of the Cimbro-Teutonic confederation, and dealing separately with such tribes as are separately mentioned, we first find the

Ambrones.-In the Anglo-Saxon poem called the Traveller's Song, there is a notice of a tribe called Ymbre, Ymbras, or Ymbran. Suhm, the historian of Denmark, has allowed himself to imagine that these represent the Ambrones, and that their names still exists in that of the island amron of the cosst of Sleswick, and perhaps in Amerland, a part of Olden-
burg.-Thorpe's note on the Traveller's Song in the Codea Exoniensis.

Teutones.-In the way of evidence of there being Tentones amongst the Germans, over and above the associate mention of their names with that of the Cimbri, there is but little. They are not so mentioned either by Tacitus or Strabo. Ptolemy, however, mentions a) the Tentonarii, b) the Teutones:
 Teúroves каl АА Аартос. Besides this, however, arguments have been taken from $a$ ) the meaning of the rout teut = people (pirda, Maso-Gothic; beod, Anglo-Saxon; diot, Old High German : b) the altus Teutoborgius: c) the supposed connection of the present word Deut-sch $=$ German with the classical word Teut-ones. These may briefly be disposed of.
a.) It is not unlikely for an invading nation to call themselves the nation, the nations, the people, \&ce. Neither, if the tribe in question had done so (presuming them to have been Germans or Goths), would the word employed be very unlike Teuton-es. Although the word piud-a=nation or people, is generally strong in its declension (so making the plural piud68), it is found also in a weak form with itg plural thiot-un= Teuton-. See Deutsche Grammatik, i. 630.
b.) The saltus Teutobergiut mentioned by Tacitas (Ann. i. 60) can scarcely have taken its name from a tribe, or, on the other hand, have gives it to one. It means either the hill of the people, or the city of the poople; according as the syllable -berg. is derived from bairgs $=a$ hill, or from baurgs $=a$ city. In either case the componad is allowable, e.g. diot-wöo, public way, Old High German; thiod-scatho, robber of the people, OId Saxon; pëbdecyning, peod-mearc, boundary of the nation, Anglo-Saxon; piód-land, jiód-vègr, people's voay, Icelandic; -Theud-e-mirus, Theud-e.linda, Thead-i-gotho, proper names (from piud-) : himil-bërac, velt-përac, friðu-përac, Old High German; himinbiörg, valbiörg, Icelandic (from bäirgs =hill) - ascipure, hasalpure, saltzpure, \&ce, Old High German (from baürgs=city). The particular word diot-purue $=c i-$ vitas magna occurs in Old High German.-See Deutsche Grammatik, iii. p. $4 \% 8$.
c.) Akin to this is the reasoning fopnded upon the connec-
tion (real or supposed) between the root Tout- in Teuton-, and the root deut- in Deut-sch. It runs thas. The ayllable in question is common to the word Teut-ones, Teut-onicus, Theodiscus, teud-isous, teut-iscus, tût-isks, dutt-iske, tiut-sche, deut-80h; whilst the word Deut-sch means German. As the Teut-ones were Germans, so were the Cimbri also. Now this line of argument is set aside by the circumstance that the syllable Tent- in Teut-ones and Teut-onicus, as the names of the confederates of the Cimbri, is wholly unconnected with the Toutin theod-iscus, and Deut-sch. This is fully shown by Grimm in his dissertation on the words German and Dutch. In its oldest form the latter word meant popular, national, vernacular; it was an adjective applied to the oulgar tongue, or the verhacular German, in opposition to the Latin. In the tenth century the secondary form Teut-onicus came in vogue even with German writers. Whether this arose out of imitation of the Latin form Romanioe, or out of the idea of an historical connection with the Teutones of the classics, is immaterial. It is clear that the present word Dout-sch proves nothing respecting the Teutones. Perbaps, however, as early as the time of Martial the word Teutonicus was used in a general sense, denoting the Germans in general. Certain it is that, before his time, it meant the particular people conquered by Marius, irrespective of origin or locality.-.See Grimm's Dentsche Grammatik, i. p. 17, 3rd edit. Martial, xiv. 26, Teutonici capilli. Claudian. in Eutrop. i. 496, Teutonicum hostem.

The Cimbri.-Evidence to the Gothic origin of the Cimbri (treated separately) begins with the writers under Angustus and Tiberius.

Voll. Paterculus.-The testimony of this writer as to the affinities of the nations in question is involved in his testimony as to their locality, and consequently subject to the same criticism. His mention of them (as Germans) is incidental.

Strabo.-Over and above the references already made, Strabo has certain specific statements concerning the Cimbri : a.) That according to a tradition (which he does not believe) they left their country on account of an inundation of the sea. This is applicable to Germany rather than to Gaul. This
lisbility to inandations must not, however, be supposed to indicate a locality in the Cimbric Chersonese as well as a German origin, since the coast between the Scheldt and Elbe is as obnoxious to the ocean as the coasts of Holstein, Sleswick, and Jutland. 6.) That against the German Cimbri and Teutones the Belgæ alone kept their ground-wäre $\mu$ óvovs
 кai Teutóvay.-iv. 3. This is merely a translation of Cæsar
 inhabited their original country, and that they sent ambas-



 weight must be given to the definite character of this statement.

Tacitus,-Tacitus coincides with Stralo, in giving to the Cimbri a specific locality, and in stating special circamstances of their history. Let full weight be given to the words of a writer like Tacitus; but let it also be remembered that he wrote from hearsay evidence, that he is anything rather than an independent witness, that his statement is scarcely reconcilable with those of Ptolemy and Cessar, and that above all the locality which both he and Strabo give the Cimbri is also the locality of the Sicambri, of whick latter tribe no mention is made by Tacitus," although their wars with the Romans were matters of comparatively recent history. For my own part, I think, that between a confusion of the Cimbri with the Cimmerii on the one hand, and of the Cimbri with the Sicambri on the other, we have the clue to the misconceptions assumed at the commencement of the paper. There is no proof that in the eyes of the writers under the Republic, the origin of the Cimbri was a matter of either doubt or speculation. Catulus, in the History of his Consulship, commended by Cicero (Brutus, xxxv.), and Sylla in his Commentaries, must have spoken of them in a straightforward manner as Gauls, otherwise Cicero and Sallust would bave spoken of them less decidedly. (See

[^76]Plutarch's Life of Marius, and note). Confusion arose when Greek readers of Homer and Herodotns began to theorize, and this grew greater when formidable enemies, under the name of Sicambri were found in Germany. It is highly probable that in both Strabo and Tacitus, we have a commentary on the lines of Horace-

Te cede gaudentes Sicambri Compositis venerantur armis.
" Eumdem (with the Chauci, Chatti, and Cherusci) Germaniæ situm proximi Oceano Cimbri tenent, parva nunc civitas, sed gloria ingens: veterisque fama late vestigia manent, utraque ripa castra ac spatia, quorum ambitu nunc quoque metiaris molem manusque gentis, et tam magni exercitus fidem...oceasione discordiæ nostre et civilium armorum, expugnatis legionum hibernis, etiam Gallias affectavere; ac rursus pulsi inde, proximis temporibus trinmphati magis quam victi sunt." -German. 37.

Justin.-Justin writes-"Simul e Germania Cimbrosinundâsse Italiam." Now this extract would be valuable if we were sure that the word Germania came from Justin's original, Trogus Pompeins; who was a Vocoutian Gaul, living soon after the Cimbric defeat. To him, however, the term Germania must have been wholly unknown; since, besides general reasons, Tacitus says-" Germaniz vocabulum recens et nuper additum: quoniam, qui primum Rhenum transgressi Gallos expulerint, ac nunc Tungri, tunc Germani vocati sint: ita nationis nomen, non gentis evaluisse paullatim, ut omnes, primum a victore ob metum, mox a seipsis invento nomine Germani vocarentur." Justin's interpolation of Germania* corresponds with the similar one on the part of Strabo.

Such is the evidence for the Germanic origin of the Cimbri and Teutones, against which may now be set the following testimonies as to their affinity with the Kelts, each tribe being dealt with separately.

The Ambrones.-Strabo mentions them along with the
 каl Twüyevoùs $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$.

Suetonius places them with the Trangpadani-"" per Ambronas et Transpadanos."-Cæsar, § 9.

Plutarch meutions that their war-cries were understood and answered by the Ligurians. Now it ia possible that the Ligurians were Kelts, whilst it is certain that they were not Goths.

The Toutones.-Appian speaks of the Teutones having invaded Noricum, and this onder the head Kéntıкa.

Florus calls one of the kings of the Teutones Teutobocchus, a name Keltic rather than Gothic.

Virgil has the following lines:-
——Late jam tom ditione premebat
Sartastes populos, et quee rigat eequora Sarnus;
Quique Rufras, Batulumque tedent, atque arva Celennoe;
Et quos maluferm despectant moenia Abelles:
Teutonico ritu soliti torquere caleiar.
Tegmina queis capitum raptus de subere cortex,
出rateque micant peltæ, micat mreus ensis.——"n vii. 737-743.

Now this word cateia may be a provincialism from the neighbourhood of Sarraste. It may also (amongst other things) be a true Teutonic word. From what follows, it will appear that this latter view is at least as likely as any other. The commentators state that it is poa Celtica That this is true may be seen from the following forms-lrish: ga, spaar, javelin; gaoth, ditto, a dart; goth, a apear (O'Reilly); gaothadh, a javelin; gadh, spear; gai, ditto; crann gaidh, spear8haft (Begly)-Cornish: geu, gew, gu, gui $=$ lance, spear, javelin, shaft (Pryce)-Breton: goas, goaff (Rostremer).

Considering the pecnliarities of the Keltic pronanciation, this word cateia is perhaps the gasum of another part of -Virgil, and the i $\sigma \sigma$-ós of Appian, as well as the English word goad.

The Cimbri-The Teutones.-Of either the Cimbri separately, or of the Cimbri and Teutones collectively, being of Gallic origin, we have, in the way of direct evidence, the testimonies exhilited above, viz, of Sallust, Cicero, Cesar, Diodorus. To this may be added, that of Dion Cassius, who not only had access to the contemporary account which spoke of them as Gauls, but also was enabled to use them critically, being possessed of information concerning Germany as well as France.

Of Appian the whole evidence goes one way, viz., that the tribes in question were Gauls. His expressions are: $n \lambda$ êt-

 he states, that the Kelte and Cimbri, along with the Illyrian tribe of the Antarim, had, previous to the battle against Marius, attacked Delphi and suffered for their impiety. -'I $\lambda \lambda \nu \rho . \delta^{\prime} .4$.

Quintilian may be considered to give os upon the sabject the notions of two writers-Virgil, and either Cessar or Crassus. In dealing, however, with the words of Quintilian, it will be seen that there are two assumptions. That either Cæsar or Crassus considered the Cimbri to be Gauls, we infer from the following passage: "Rarum est antem, ot oculis subjicere contingat (sc. vituperationem), at fecit C. Julius, qui cum Helvio Manciæ sæpius obstrepenti sibi diceret, jam ostendam, qualis sis: isque plane instaret interrogatione, qualem se tandem ostensurus esset, digito demonstravit imaginem Galli in scuto Mariano Cimbrico pictam, cai Mancia tum simillimus est visas. Tabernæ autem erant circum Forum, ac scutum illud signi gratia positum."-Inst. Orat. vi. 3, 38. Pliny tells the story of Crassas (xxxix. 4). Although in this passage the word upon which the argument torns has been written galli, and translated cock, the current interpretation is the one given above.-Vid. not. ed. Gesner.

In the same author is preserved the epigram of Virgil' called Catalecta, and commented on by Ausonius of Bordeaux. Here we learn that T. Annius Cimber was a Ganl; whilst it is assumed that there was no other reason to believe that he was called Cimber than that of his being descended from some slave or freedman of that nation:-"Non appareat affectatio, in quam mirifice Virgilins,

> Corinthiorum amator iste verborum
> Ille iste rhetor: namque quatenus totus
> Thucydides Britannus, Attica febres,
> Tou-Gallicum, min-, al- spina male illisit.
> Ita omnis ista verbe miscuit fratri.

Cimber hic fuit a quo fratrem necatum hoc Ciceronis dictum
notatum est; Germanum Cimber occidit."-Inst. Orat. viiii. 3. cum not.

> Dic, quid significent Cstalecta Maronia i in his al
> Celtarum posait, sequitur non lucidus tau-,
> Et quod Germano mistum male letiferum min.-Auson.

Undoubtedly the pronunciation here ridiculed is that of the Gauls, and it is just possible, that in it is foreshadowed the curtailed form that the Latin tongue in general pats on in the French. Again, the slave whose courage failed him when ordered to slay Cains Marius, is called both a Gaul and a Cimbrian by Plutarch, as well as by Lucan. In the latter writer, we have probably but a piece of rhetoric.-Pharsalia, lib. ii.

Amongst tribes undoubtedly Gallic, the Nervii claimed descent from the Teutones and Cimbri. The passage of Tacitus that connects the Nervii with the Germans, connects them also with the Treviri. Now a well-known passage in St. Jerome tells us that the Treviri were Gauls:-Népecob j̈oav סè
 viri et Nervii circa affectationem Germanicz originis ultro ambitiosi sunt, tamquam per hanc gloriam sanguinis, a similitudine et inertia Gallorum separentur."-German. 28. Finally, in the Life of Marios by Plutarch, we have dialogues between the Cimbri and the Romana. Now a Gallic interpreter was probable, but not so a German one.

Such are the notices bearing opon the ethnography of the Cimbri. Others occur, especially amongst the poets; of these, little or no use can be made, for a reason indicated above. Justin speaks of embassies between Mithridates and the Cimbri. Suetonius conneets the Cimbri with the Gallic Senones; he is writing, however, about Germany, so that his evidence, slight as it is, is neutralized. Theories grounded upon the national name may be raised on both sides ; Cïmbri may coincide with either the Germanic kempa $=a$ voarrior or champion, or with the Keltic Cymry = Cambrians. Equally equivocal seem the arguments drawn from the descriptions either of their physical conformation or their manners. The silence of the Gothic traditions as to the Cimbri being Germauic, proves more in the way of negative evidence than the
similar silence of the Keltic ones, since the Gothic legends are the most numerous, and the moft ancient. Besides this, they deal very especially with genealogies, national and individual. The name of Bojorix, a Cimbric king mentioned in Epitome Liviana (lxvii.), is Keltic rather than Gothic, although in the latier dialects proper names ending in -ric (Alaric, Genseric) frequently occur.

Measuring the evidence, which is in its character essentially cumulative, consisting of a number of details nnimportant in themselves, but of value when taken in the mass, the balance seems to be in favour of the Cimbri, Teutones and Ambrones being Gauls rather than Germans, Kelte rather than Goths.

An argument now forthcoming stands slone, inasmuch as it seems to prove two things at once, viz., not only the Keltic origin of the Cimbri, but, at the same time, their locality in the Chersonese. It is brought forward by Dr. Pritchard, in his Physical History of Mankind, and runs as follows:(a.) It is a statement of Pliny that the sea in their neighbourhood was called by the Cimbri Morimarusa, or the dead sea= mare mortuum. (b.) It is a fact, that in Keltic Welsh mor marvoth = mare mortuum, morimarusa, dead sea. Hence the language of the Cimbric coast is to be considered as Keltic. Now the following facts invalidate this conclasion:-(1.) Putting aside the contradictions in Pliny's statement, the epithet dead is inapplicable to either the German Ocean or the Baltic. (2.) Pliny's authority was a writer named Philemon: out of the numerous Philemons enumerated by Fabricius, it is likely that the one bere adduced was a contemporary of Alexander the Great ; and it is not probable that at that time glosses from the Baltic were known in the Mediterranean. (3.) The subject upon which this Pbilemon wrote was the Homeric Poems. This, taken along with the geography of the time, makes it highly probable that the original Greek was not $\mathrm{K} l \mu$ bpot, hut $\mathrm{K} \imath \mu \mu \hat{\rho} \rho \iota o \iota$; indeed we are not absolutely sure of Pliny having written Cimbri. (4.) As applied to Cimmerian sea the epithet dead was applicable. (5.) The term Morimarusa = mare mortuum, although good Keltic, is better Slavonic, since throughout that stock of lan-
guages, as in many other of the Indo-European tongues (the Keltic and Latin included), the roots mor and mori mean sea and dead respectively :-"Septemtrionalis Oceanas, Amalchium eum Hecatæus appellat, a Paropamiso amne, qua Scythiam alluit, quod nomen ejus gentis lingua significat congelatam, Philemon Morimarusam* a Cimbris (qu. Cimmoriizs) vooari seribit: hoc est mare mortuum usque ad promontorium Rubeas, ultra deinde Cronium " (13).

One point, however, still remains: it may be dealt with briefly, but it should not be wholly overlooked, viz., the question, whether, over and above the theories as to the location of the Cimbri in the Cimbric Chersonese, there is reason to believe, on independent grounde, that Keltic tribes were the early inhabitants of the peninsula in question? If such were actually the case, all that has preceded would, up to a certain point, be invalidated. Now I know no sufficient reasons for believing sach to be the case, although there are carrent in ethnography many insufficient ones.

1. In the way of philology, it is undoubtedly true that words common to the Keltic tribes occur in the Danish of Jutland, and in the Frisian and Low German of Sleswick and Holstein; but there is no reason to consider that they belong to an aboriginal Keltic tribe. The a priori probability of Kelts in the peninsula involves bypotheses in ethnography which are, to say the least, far from being generally recognised. The evidence as to the language of aborigines derived from the significance of the names of old geographical localities, is wanting for the Cimbric Chersonese. The arguments as to the origin from Jutland of certain Keltic tribes in England (e.g., the Picts) either rest upon the historical evidence that has just been discussed, or else involve a vicious circle of argoment.
2. No traditions, either Scandinavian or German, point towards an aboriginal Keltic population for the localities in question.
3. There are no satisfactory proofs of such in either archeology or nataral history. A paper noticed by Dr. Pritchard of Professor Eschricht's apon certain tumuli in
[^77]Jutland states, that the earliest specimens of art (anterior to the discovery of metale), as well as the character of the tumuli themselves, have a Keltic character. He adds, however, that the character of the tumuli is as much Siberian as Keltic. The early specimens of art are undoubtedly like similar specimens found in England. It happens, however, that such things are in all countries more or less alike. In Professor Siebold's musenm at Leyden, stone-axes from tumuli in Japan and Jutland, are laid side by side, for the sake of comparison, and between them there is no perceptille difference. The oldest aknulls in these tumuli are said to be other than Gothic. They are, however, Finnic rather than Keltic.
4. The statement in Tacitus (Germ. 44.), that a nation on the Baltic, called the 果stii, spoke a language somewhat akin to the British, cannot be considered as conclusive to the existence of Kelts in the north of Germany. Any language, not German, would probably so be denoted. Such might exist in the mother-tongue of either the Lithuanic or the Esthonian.

It is considered that in the foregoing pages, the following propositions are either proved or involved:-1. That the Cimbri, conquered by Marins, came from either Gaal or Switzerland, and that they were Kelts. 2. That the Teutones and Ambrones were equally Keltic with the Cimbri. 3. That no nation north of the Elbe was known to Republican Rome. 4. That there is no evidence of Keltic tribes ever having existed north of the Elbe. 5. That the epithet Cimbrica applied to the Chersonesus, proves nothing more in respect to the inhabitants of that locality, than is proved by words like West Indian and, North-American Indian. 6. Tbat in the word cateria we are in possession of a new Keltic gloss. 7. That in the term Morimarusa we are in possession of a gloss at once Cimmerian and Slavonic. 8. That for any positive theory as to the Cimbro-Tentonic league, we have at present no data, but that the hypothesis that would reconcile the greatest variety of statements, would run thus:-viz., that an organized Keltic confederation conterminous with the Belga, the Ligurians, and the Helvetians descended with its
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eastern divisions upon Noricum, and with its western ones upon Provence.

## Note (1851).

Some change in my opinion concerning the populations in question, since the publication of the preceding paper, has taken place. The conflicting difficulties have increased with the increase of the attention that has been bestowed on the sabject. Hence, I modify the last proposition, and hesitate to commit myself to the doctrine, that the Cimbro-Teutons were Ganla at all; what they were, being a greater mystery than ever. Neither do I now consider their political relations to each other, as anything more than primáa facio evidence of ethnological affinity; in other words, I am less satisfied, that the Cimbri and Teutones are referable to the same stock, family, or nation.
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[^0]:    - Die Deutschen und Die Nachbarstämme. The Deutsche Mythologie, of Grimm, is quoted as D. M. The Deutsche Sprache as D. S.

[^1]:    * In the words Saxom, Frank, Dulgibini, Nuithones, and others.

[^2]:    * It is almost neceseary to state that this characteristic of ethnological science is taken from Dr. Whewell's "Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences."

[^3]:    * The present Danish and Swedish, together with the numerous unwritten dialects.

[^4]:    * Vix., the German Proper.--See p. ix.

[^5]:    * For the translation of this, see Appendix 1 .
    $\dagger$ The italics mean that the word will be noticed in the Epilegomena.

[^6]:    * Wir. by that division of the European populations which, within the historical pertod, has retreated before the Germanic rather than encrasched on it.

[^7]:    * Probably allied to the Huns.

[^8]:    * The Latin form of the word.

[^9]:    * Though only in its eastern direction. Its northern area was too amall.

[^10]:    * See Epilegomena, § Frankr. $\quad \dagger$ See Note ad, woc,
    $\ddagger$ See Page 16 .

[^11]:    - See Eptegomena, § on the Quusi-Gernanc populations.

[^12]:    * Fuller details for the personal career of Arminus may be found in Professor Creasy'g Fifteen Decisive Battles of the World.

[^13]:    * See extract from Strabo, Prolegomena, § xxi.

[^14]:    * These are the supposed Germans of note 3.

[^15]:    * This is what Niebuhr calls the Wulls (i.e., the Walen, Welhh, foreign or nom-German country) in note 1 .
    $\dagger$ The Rhone.

[^16]:    * Or the Pheti and Pannonia.

[^17]:    ${ }^{11}$ Montibus.]-This means the Medres Range and the northern continuation of the Bakonyer Wald, the frontier being that of the Germans and Dacians, rather than that of the Germans and Sarmata.
    ${ }^{12}$ Alpium. ]-Varieties of form-"A ${ }^{\text {Eta }}$, Stephenus Byzantinus; *Oגtıc, Phavorinus; K'á入xıa, Lycophron.

    * Prologome prixiii. + Fora shade of doubt on the point, see Epriegom, $\$$ Siandi.

[^18]:    4 Mannum.]-All that applies to the word Tuisto (or Tuisco),

[^19]:    - Also rawdus.

[^20]:    - Also parki. $\dagger$ Also wack.

[^21]:    - See Epplegomena, © Sioumbri.

[^22]:    ${ }^{3}$ Sacerdobious.]-The pagan name to what Tacitus considered sacer-

[^23]:    1 Mercurium.]-The Latin name for the fourth day in the week is dies Morcurii; the English Wednes-day.

    Wednes-day= Wodens-day. Of the Anglo-Saxon Woden, Wuotan was the High German, Opinn the Norse form.

[^24]:    * Supposing them (as I do not) to be differant deition.

[^25]:    5 Centeni-comites.]-Whe organization here is exactly the opposite of that which gives us the mag-burh (familise of propinquitates).

    Instead of the indefinitude involved in the word kin, the number here is fixed $=100$.

    Neighbourhood, too, and locality stand in place of blood and descent as the bond.

[^26]:    1 Ferarum pelles.]-Whether the word leather be of Gerwanic or Keltic origin is uncertain.

[^27]:    - Libertini.]-It is true that manumission occurs in the earliest Anglo-Sazon charters.

    But it is also true that the earliest of these are later than the introduction of Christianity.

    I cannot, then, think that libertus=manumitted alave.
    More probably, the servus of Tacitus, was a dependent attached to the land (predial); the libertus one attached to the person (personal).

    The name may have been leet, Pl. leat-as = leute in Modern German.

    Of thewo-the younger individuals may have been knav-as, knap-as, knecht-s = knaves $=$ knightts; the humbler in point of oconpation, peav-ns=thieves.

[^28]:    1 Validiores olim, \&ce.]-The chief passage in Cassar is to be found in p. Ixxxvi (Prolegomena)-ac fuit antea tempus, bc.

    Criticism of the passage will separate the statement for which Cessar, speaking upon his own knowledge, is responsible, from those which most be referred to his Gallic informants-these last spesking perbaps from history, perhaps from tradition, perhaps from inference, perhaps on no grounds at all beyond the wish to contrast their present inferiority to the Germane, with some more glorious epoch, when Gaul was the powerful, and Germany the weak conntry, when the Gauls encroached, and the Germans ratreated. Such a time may have been a reality. It may also bave been a dream.

[^29]:    ${ }^{5}$ Osis, Germanorum natione.]-If we took these words by themselves We should say, at once, that the Osi were Germans in respect to their ethnological position. That they are not so, is shown by $\$ 43$. See also not. in v. Osi. Yet Tacitus calls them Germanorum natio, on the strength of their geographical position only. This should caution us against considering his term Germania to be more ethnological than it really is. In the case of the Osi, we have a qualifying statement; and it ras required-since, without it, we should have considered both them and the Aravisci Germans. Bot, as it is far from certain that such qualifying statements are given in all cases, without exception, we must remember the possibility of certain non-Germanic populations being-called Germani, just as the Osi are here; whilst the dota for correcting the natural inference from such a passage, are non-existent.

[^30]:    * Probably, the eponymi of the Lygit and Mugilones.

[^31]:    ${ }^{11}$ Nemetes.]-Their locality was the parts about Spiers, originally Novio-magus.

    * See Epilegonena, § The Quasi-Germanic Gauls.

[^32]:    * Limite acto.]-Was this limes a ditch, wall, or rampart, or was it a physical boundary; in other words, does limes mean an ertificial or a natural line of demarcation $f$ The reference to Frontinus in the previous note partially answers this. The limes was an artificial boundary.

    Between the bend of the Neckar and the upper part of the river Altmuhl, in the neighbourhood of Ohringen, are the remains of a fortified ditch. On the Upper Altmubl they can be traced afresh; and they re-appear on the Danabe, between Pforing and Kelheim. Part or the whole of this is called the Teufelamauor, or Devils Wall. The inference that it is of Roman origin is anexceptionable. The exact line, however, has not, I believe, been worked out. Neither has its connection or non-conneotion with the Pfahl-Graben.

    The Pfahl-Graben is a similar line, running at nearly right angles with the river Lahn, between Giessen and Ortenburg.

    For practical parposes, a rough conventional line will do as well as a real one. This may be drawn so as to make the limes run from the Maine to Kelheim, i.e., from the junction of the Maine and Rhine, to the junction of the Altmuhl and Danube. This gives to the Romans rather more than Zeuss, and rather less than Niebuhr allows them.

[^33]:    *Tencteri.] The history of the Tencteri is nearly that of the Usipii, and wice versa.

    Pressed by the Suevi (Chati) they crossed the Rhine ; were defeated by Cesaar near the jonetion of the Masa; snd escaped, as a remnant, by retracing their steps, and re-passing the Rhine to the country of the Sigambri. A line drawn due east of Cologne, would pass through the original country of the Ubii, Tencteri, and Usipii. They were Germans (i.e., of the High German, or of the PlattDeutech division) rather than Saxons or Frisians,

    According to Dion and Florus, Drusua conquered the Tencteri and Usipii on his way to the Chatti; the latter being on the northern bank of the river Lippe. The complement to these two notes is to be found in the Epilegomena, § Viepi.

[^34]:    * Observe the early notice of the Western Slavonians.

[^35]:    ${ }^{2}$ Drulgibini,-In Ptolemy, $\Delta o v \lambda$ yoísucou. The word is, probably, a compound ; although no satisfactory explanation of its elements has been given. Zenss suggesta that the $d u l g$-=the Icelandic dolgr= enemy, dolg=struggle, Anglo-Saxon doly, Old High German tolc; whilst the gibin is from the same root as the guber-in Guber-ni= gambar $=$ =bold, the $m$ being lost in the same way that the $n$ of standan is lost in studan.

[^36]:    *The map referred to is Van Langenheuzen's, a.d. 1843 ; the scale being a amall quarto page to each Province. No topographical knowledge beyond what is thus supplied is pretended to.

[^37]:    - Majoribus minoribusque.] - Two populations of Germany are divided by more than one ancient writer into majores and minores

[^38]:    *The full import of the Cheruscan resistance to Rome, the value of the patriotism of Arminius, and the extent to which the Cherusean glory is as much English as German, are well developed in Professor Creasy's "The Fifteen Decisive Battles of the World," foremost amongst which he places the defeat of the legions of Varus.

[^39]:    * See Epilegomena, § Langobards of Lombardy.

[^40]:    * See Epilegomena, § Citutari.

[^41]:    I In Hermunduris Albis oritur.]-Let us consider what means the contemporaries of Tacitus had of knowing the source of the true Elbe; lying as they do within the unknown country of Bohemia. I say unknown because there were certainly few means of knowing it. Indeed, even at present it is by no means easy to say which of three rivers is the true Elbe-the river which runs by Pilsen on the west, the river which rans by Colin on the east, or the Muldau from the south : besides which, it is equally difficult to say which of the numerous feeders of these streams leads us to the true source.

    This makes it probsble that the Albis to which Tacitus assigns the country of the Hermunduri was the Saale; a view which gives us the parts about Hof as portions of the area of the Hermunduri.

[^42]:    * Epilegomesa, § Ostrogoths.

[^43]:    * See Epilegomena, § Quass-Germanic Gauls, ad fin.

[^44]:    * Prolegomena, p. xxiii.

[^45]:    * See Epilegomena, § Angti.

[^46]:    * With the article Sei-hav-el, like Nord-hevet.
    + See Prolegonena, p. liii.

[^47]:    * The native name is $N$ ucnex, or Khasovoz=men.

[^48]:    * Compare Herodotus, iv. 52.

[^49]:    *Thes is the name of one of the viragoes of the Lysistrata.

[^50]:    * For the translation see Appendix.

[^51]:    * Lib. i. cap. i.

[^52]:    * Prolegomena, § xiv.

[^53]:    * See pagely.

[^54]:    - See Prolegonena, § vi.

[^55]:    * A near approsch to the form Chamarii.
    $\dagger$ Compare this with Bructeri, as opposed to Boructuarii.

[^56]:    * D. S. ii. 620.
    + But not Has-narii=Chas-uarii.

[^57]:    * Niebuhr mentions an inseription noticing a Victoria Suevica in the reign of Nerva. But there is no evidence of this having been a victory over the Suevi of Suabia. Ceasar's victory over the Sucvi of Ariovistus was a Victoria Suevica, but no victory over the people of the Decumates agri,
    † See Prolegomena, § xч.

[^58]:    * This is allowing the term Suevus, as applied to certain populations and individuals (e.g., Ricimer) by the Latin writers, sn excessive extension. The game authors would bave called Hengist, had such a personage been in Rome, B Briton. Yet he was no such thing. Such a Cheruscan, too, as the brother of Arminius, would also have been called Germanus. Yet such a name was strange to the individual himself. Similarly, Englishmen call Prince Albert a German, and (perhaps) in speaking English he calls himself so. Yet he is a Deutsche. These remarks are necessary, since the reader cannot too clearly see that the question is not whether certain Sucvi were Germans, bat whether such Germans called themselves Suevi. However, as the argument is put in its strongest form, the objection is not pressed: otherwisc the truly unexceptionable evidence of Suev- being a German root, begins when the Germans of Suabia, unequivocally spesking of themselves, in their own language call themselves Suaben. This is much later.

[^59]:    * Swafas occurs in the Traveller's Song.
    + I write Albis, becsuse the river of the Semnones I believe to have been not the Elbe but the Saule. See note in vv. Ex Hermunduris Albus oritur.

[^60]:    * See Epilegonemu, in vr.

[^61]:    * The non-Slavonic Germans are called Niemcy.

[^62]:    * See § Riptariz.

[^63]:    - "Next in order of constitution, if not of time, is the union of two or three marks, in a federal bond for purposes of a religious, judicial or even political character. The technical name for such a union is, in Germany, a Guu or Bant ; in England the ancient name Gá has been almost universally superseded by that of Seí, or Shire. For the most part the natural divisions of the county are the divisions slso of the Ga; and the size of this depends upon such eccidental limits, as well at upon the charscter and dispositions of the several collective bodies, which we have called Marks.
    "The Gá is the second and final form of unsevered possession, for every larger aggregate is but the result of a gradual reduction of such districts,

[^64]:    * Heruli, Turcilingi, and Sciri.

[^65]:    *This is alao an Isaurian name.

[^66]:    * The extent to which the so-called Mongol physiognomy is common to the proper Mongolians, the Turks as well, is considered in the author's Varieties of the Human Species, pp. 77-79.

[^67]:    * The forms of this word are, in the OId Slavonic Ugri, in Bohenuan Uhry, ia Polish Wggri, in Russian Vengri.

[^68]:    * See Epilegomena, § Dani.

[^69]:    * Qy. South-Humbrians.

[^70]:    * From a valuable work on the Lithuanic language, showing its Slavonie character, by G. L. Dase ; Christiania.
    $\dagger$ Pronounced $Y$.

[^71]:    * See Eplegomena, § Nordalbingii.

[^72]:    * These are the names printed in italics in the texts of Strabo, Pliny, and Ptolemy.

[^73]:    * See Prolegomena, § vi.

[^74]:    * Or that, this.

[^75]:    * Those ending in -magus.

[^76]:    - This ought to be " by Tacitun in his Gernania."-R. G. L. 1851.

[^77]:    * Query. Marmora=Propontis (R. G. L. 1851).

