1	ANDREW C. BAILEY	FILED			
2	2560 N. Page Springs Rd Cornville, AZ 86325	NOV - 8 2010			
3	928 634-4336 email: andrew@cameronbaxter.net	. WHITE STATES			
4		FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
5	Self-Represented Litigant				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA			
8	ANDREW C. BAILEY	Chapter 11			
9	Plaintiff.	BK Case #: 2:09-bk-06979-PHX-RTH			
10	V.	AP Case #: 2:09-ap-01728-SSC			
11	THE DANK OF NEW YORK MELLON				
12	THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, as trustee of the CWALT, INC.				
13	ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2007-HY4 MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH	PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF FOURTH AMENDE			
14	CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HY4; BAC	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATOR AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.			
15	HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP F/K/A COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS				
16	SERVICING, LP; COUNTRYWIDE	Re: Real Property located at:			
	BANK, FSB; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATIONS SYSTEMS INC; AND	2560 N. Page Springs Rd, Cornville, AZ 86325			
17	JOHN DOES 1-10 inclusive, Defendant/s.				
18					
19					
20	COMES NOW the Debtor/Plaintiff, Andrew C.	Bailey and haraby submits Disintiff?			
21	Declaration in Support of his Fourth Amended	· · ·			
22	Relief as set forth below:	complaint for Declaratory and injunctive			
23					
24					
25					
~	 ase 2:09-ap-01728-SSC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/				
U		Page 1 of 8			

1. As this Court is well aware, the systemic mortgage and foreclosure fraud committed by these very Defendants is all over the news. The victims of these crimes are millions of American homeowners, including the Plaintiff, and millions of investors worldwide, in mortgage backed securities.

2. To cite just one example, the CEO of Defendant COUNTRYWIDE, Angelo Mozilo, recently agreed to pay an amount in excess of \$67,000,000 to avoid trial in open court and to conceal the immensity of the fraud committed by his company under his leadership.
Defendant BANK of AMERICA has agreed to pay some \$45,000,000 of Mr. Mozilo's fine. Criminal suits are pending against both companies. Defendant MERS has been discredited nationwide and is subject to multiple class action suits for, *inter alia*, defrauding county recorders nationwide of billions in filing fees. Defendant US BANK as "trustee" for numerous mortgage-backed security trusts is subject to numerous lawsuits challenging, *inter alia*, its standing and its right to bring foreclosure actions in the name of the certificateholders in the "trusts" it purports to represent.

3. The fraud committed by the Defendants extends well beyond the mere "robo-signing" of foreclosure documents, to include, *inter alia*, the fabrication and filing of false documents and affidavits, as close scrutiny of the documents submitted by Defendants in the instant matter will reveal. This Court has accepted such false affidavits on the presumption of honesty and due diligence on the part of the Defendants and their employees. It has become clear that such presumption is not warranted in numerous cases, including this one. These issues of material fact are set forth in the 4th Amended Complaint.

4. Plaintiff has objected to the materially altered version of the Note submitted by

Defendants as evidence of their alleged standing. The altered Note was material to this court's granting of relief from the Automatic Stay.

5. The breaks in the chain of title illustrated in Plaintiff's 4th Amended Complaint mean that none of the Defendants has any right of foreclosure, sale, seizure or possession of Plaintiff's property.

6. Plaintiff continues to act in *propria persona*, as is his right, despite the ridicule liberally laid on by Defendants' counsel, and despite diligent efforts on the part of Plaintiff. It has proven impossible for Plaintiff to obtain representation in the absence of an estimated \$50,000 - \$120,000 in legal fees. There is no such thing as equal representation under the law for self-represented litigants without substantial money.

7. Plaintiff refers this Court to Neil F. Garfield's Expert Witness Declaration in support of his position. (2:09-ap-01728-SSC at Doc # 9 Ex.C.) Plaintiff has been told by the Honorable Redfield T. Baum that Dr. Garfield's analysis is "flat wrong", i.e. 100% incorrect. Respectfully, however, it is increasingly clear from countless lawsuits, Congressional hearings and news reports that Dr. Garfield's position has significant merit. It is quite possibly 100% correct. Even if only partially correct, the Expert Declaration has significant bearing on the instant case.

8. Plaintiff has raised genuine issues of material fact. He has been asking for nothing more than constitutionally guaranteed due process rights to discovery and an evidentiary hearing. These rights have been denied to date. The causes of action upon which relief can be granted and the relief sought are summarized below.

SC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/09/10 13:56:45 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 8 9. Three of Plaintiff's four properties have been foreclosed and sold to date. If the subject property is taken by these Defendants, Plaintiff and his partner will become homeless and indigent senior citizens. The events in this proceeding have already resulted in the utter destruction of their businesses and the total loss of the investment of their life's savings.

10. Plaintiff believes the Defendants are collectively a corrupt organization that intentionally colluded in defrauding millions of homeowners and millions of investors in Mortgage Backed Securities. Plaintiff is one small victim of a huge crime. The parameters of this vast crime become clearer by the day. Each new lawsuit and news report supports Plaintiff's position, a position which has been ridiculed by Defendants' counsel and essentially ignored by the Bankruptcy Court.

11. Should this Court grant the Defendants' motion to dismiss his 4th amended complaint, a serious miscarriage of justice will have occurred.

12. Wherefore, Plaintiff re-alleges and re-asserts each and every claim and cause of action in his 4th Amended Complaint as well as those alleged throughout the pendency of this adversary proceeding, including all of his previous complaints which have been subject to dismissal.

13. Defendants allege that Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To the contrary, Plaintiff has stated and properly pled the following nine claims and allegations in his petition to this Court for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and has prayed to this Court as set forth below:

Desc

1				
2	Summary of Plaintiff's 4 th Amended Complaint and Relief Sought			
3	Summary of Francisco Sought			
4	First Allegation			
5	The assignment by Countrywide Bank, FSB to Countrywide Home Loans, Inc, purported to			
6	have been executed by Laurie Meder, is fraudulent, invalid and defective.			
7	Prayer for Relief			
8	That the assignment by Countrywide Bank, FSB to Countrywide Home Loans, Inc,			
9	purported to have been executed by Laurie Meder be declared to be invalid.			
10				
11	Second Allegation			
12	The assignment in blank by Countrywide Home Loans, Inc, purported to have been			
13	executed by Michele Sjolander is fraudulent, invalid and defective.			
14	Prayer for Relief			
15	That the assignment in blank by Countrywide Home Loans, Inc, purported to have been			
16	executed by Michele Sjolander be declared to be invalid.			
17				
18	Third Allegation			
19	The substitution of trustee to Recontrust, purported to have been executed by MERS is			
20	fraudulent, invalid and defective.			
21	Prayer for Relief			
22	That the substitution of trustee to Recontrust, purported to have been executed by MERS be			
23	declared to be invalid.			
24				
25	Fourth Allegation			
Ca	se 2:09-ap-01728-SSC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/09/10 13:56:45 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 8			
	11/0			

1		
	That any and all documents executed by Recontrust in this matter are fraudulent, invalid	
2	and defective.	
3	Prayer for Relief	
4	That any and all documents executed by Recontrust in this matter be declared to be invalid.	
5		
6	Fifth Allegation	
7	That all funds paid by, or on behalf of, the Plaintiff were not properly accounted for and	
8	applied.	
9	Prayer for Relief	
10	That all funds paid by, or on behalf of, the Plaintiff be properly accounted for and applied;	
11	or the funds be returned to the Plaintiff.	
12		
13	Sinth Allocation	
14	Sixth Allegation	
15	That the Defendants have no claim or standing whatsoever in the Plaintiff's bankruptcy due	
16	their failure to demonstrate any interest in the Note and Deed of Trust submitted in this	
17	matter.	
18	<u>Prayer for Relief</u>	
19	That the Defendants be declared to have no claim or standing whatsoever in the Plaintiff's	
20	bankruptcy due their failure to demonstrate any interest in the Note and Deed of Trust	
	submitted in this matter.	
21		
22	Seventh Allegation	
23	The Note is unsecured as listed in Plaintiff's Amended Schedules, and should be treated as	
24	an unsecured claim.	
25	Prayer for Relief	
Ca	se 2:09-ap-01728-SSC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/09/10 13:56:45 Desc	
	Main Document Page 6 of 8	

1	In the alternative, if the Court declines to eliminate Defendant's claim altogether, that the		
2	Note be determined to be unsecured as listed in Plaintiff's Amended Schedules, and be		
3	treated as an unsecured claim.		
4			
5	Eighth Allegation		
6	The Defendants should be enjoined as set forth in this complaint.		
7	Prayer for Relief		
8			
9	For an order requiring Defendants to show cause why they should not be enjoined as set		
10	forth in this complaint.		
11			
12	Ninth Allegation		
13	The Plaintiff has the right to a temporary retraining order as set forth in Plaintiff's petition		
14	for injunctive relief.		
15	Prayer for Relief		
16	For a temporary retraining order as set forth in Plaintiff's petition for injunctive relief.		
17			
18	Plaintiff respectfully and specifically requests that this Court allow discovery and an		
	evidentiary hearing in this matter.		
19 20			
20	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4 th day of October, 2010.		
21			
22			
23	A LIST DI		
24	By Andrew C. Bailey, self-represented Plaintiff		
25			
Ca	ase 2:09-ap-01728-SSC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/09/10 13:56:45 Desc		
	Main Document Page 7 of 8		

÷		
1		
2		
3	COPY of the foregoing served via email this 4th day of November, 2010, upon:	
4		
5	Robert J. Miller (#013334)	
6	Kyle S. Hirsch (#024155) BRYAN CAVE LLP	
7	Two N. Central Avenue, 22nd Floor	
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4406 Telephone: (602) 364-7000	
9	Telecopier: (602) 364-7070 Internet:	
10	rjmiller@bryancave.com	
11	kyle.hirsch@bryancave.com	
12	Counsel for Defendants	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
Ca	se 2:09-ap-01728-SSC Doc 59 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/09/10 13:56:45 Main Document Page 8 of 8	Desc