1 On July 8, 2015, the Ninth Circuit issued its Mandate vacating certain 2 provisions of the Supplemental Permanent Injunction/Judgment Order that were not 3 narrowly tailored to addressing only the relevant violations of federal law at issue in this 4 case. The Court vacated, without specifically delineating, those particular provisions of 5 the District Court's Order and further ordered the District Court to tailor its Order so as to 6 address only the constitutional violations at issue. Melendres v. Arpaio, 784 F.3 1254, 7 1267 (9th Cir. 2015). 8 It appears that the Ninth Circuit vacated subsections (i) and (j) of paragraph 9 136 of the Court's Supplemental Permanent Injunction/Judgment Order as being 10 overbroad. Defendant Arpaio submits the following revisions to subsections (i) and (j) of 11 paragraph 136 to narrowly tailor the relief to address the relevant violations of federal law 12 at issue in this case as follows: 13 i. disciplinary outcomes for any violations of departmental policy Fourth and/or Fourteenth Amendment violations, 14 provided such violations involve issues related Discriminatory Policing as defined in this Order. 15

j. whether any Deputies are the subject of repeated misconduct Complaints, eivil suits, or criminal charges, alleging Fourth and/or Fourteenth Amendment violations and where such violations involve issues related to Discriminatory Policing as defined in this Order.

Defendants respectfully propose that the Court amend and restate the Supplemental Permanent Injunction/Judgment Order [Doc. 606] in accordance with the revisions described above as to paragraph 136 (i) and (j).

22

21

16

17

18

19

20

__

23

24

2526

27

28

4399959.1

	Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 1205 Filed 07/29/15 Page 3 of 4
1	DATED this 29 th day of July, 2015.
2	JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI, P.L.C.
3	
4	By /s/ John T. Masterson
5	John T. Masterson Joseph J. Popolizio
6	Justin M. Ackerman 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 800
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Defendant Joseph M. Arpaio
8	and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
9	DATED this 29 th day of July, 2015.
10	WALKER & PESKIND, PLLC
11	By /s/ John T. Masterson (w/permission from)
12	Richard K. Walker 16100 North 71 st Street
13	Scottsdale, AZ 85254 Attorney for Maricopa County
14	DATED this 29 th day of July, 2015.
15	IAFRATE & ASSOCIATES
16	
17	By /s/ John T. Masterson (w/permission from)
18	Michele M. Iafrate 649 North Second Avenue
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
20	Attorneys for Defendant Joseph M. Arpaio in his official capacity as Sheriff of
21	Maricopa County
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

4399959.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this 29th day of July, 2015, I caused the foregoing document to be filed electronically with the Clerk of Court through the CM/ECF System for filing; and served on counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system. /s/ Ginger Stahly

4399959.1 4