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THE COURT: All right. I'm going to indicate that anybody who's on the telephone who's not a member of the

Monitor Team, or who's not representing a party, or a specially appearing non-party to this lawsuit, needs to hang up. I didn't hear that there was anybody on the phone that didn't fit that category, but I'm just restating it for the record.

MR. SEGURA: Your Honor, this is Andre Segura. We have our paralegal, Sophia Yapalater, who's on the line. She is with the ACLU.

THE COURT: That's fine.
Ms. Iafrate.
MS. IAFRATE: Thank you, Your Honor. This is an issue that came up during one of the interviews, and it involves an investigation that the Sheriff's Office started. And it led to potential criminal investigation, and then it led to a staffing at the Attorney General's Office regarding criminal prosecution.

Our concern is that if the target is made aware, the
$15: 30: 10$ investigation will be compromised. It is not a completed investigation. There's still quite a bit that needs to be done. Obviously, the target is someone within MCSO. Therefore, we are proceeding cautiously.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think, Ms. Iafrate, and I
think that all kinds of injunctive orders make it clear, that merely because that's the case doesn't prevent MCSO from being obliged to turn materials over to the monitor that pertain even to criminal investigations. But I am glad to take whatever steps are necessary to protect the confidentiality of that. Let me ask a question or two, and if you're uncomfortable answering, you can tell me, and then I'll work it through with you.

Was the target, him or herself, ever interviewed as part of the investigative process?

MS. IAFRATE: To date, no.
THE COURT: Then how would disclosing the material to the monitor compromise an investigation that apparently has already been completed at the MCSO and turned over to the attorney general?

MS. IAFRATE: It has not been completed, Your Honor, by MCSO. They are working in conjunction with the Attorney General's Office. Also, there is some concern that this individual will be interviewed quite soon.

THE COURT: Well, if he's interviewed, that's your decision, but I'm going to require you to turn over all materials, and I'm going to require the monitor to keep them absolutely confidential.

Did you say this is -- well, what's at issue here? We've got materials, we've got -- or an interview, or what is
it?

MS. IAFRATE: What's at issue is the release of this information that could potentially compromise the investigation.

THE COURT: To the monitor?

MS. IAFRATE: To the monitor.

THE COURT: We've already got orders that completely cover how the sheriff's confidentiality concerns are going to be met.

MS. IAFRATE: I understand that, Your Honor. I find this one to be in a unique situation. I discussed it with the monitors this morning with the understanding that this would be raised with you. I was concerned to have it raised in open court because of the sensitivity of it.

All other reports that have been requested, whether they be criminal IAs or civil IAs, we've been working through those. This is a unique situation where criminal prosecution is likely and the investigation is still in a phase where it could be compromised.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to let my monitors compromise that investigation. And I'm also not going to let the MCSO avoid the obligation to determine the adequacy of the investigation by joining a joint investigation with the attorney general.

So I'm going to require you to turn over all material
to the monitor pertaining to this investigation, as well as any persons involved in the investigation need to be subjected to the interview of the monitor. But the monitor is required to keep it confidential, to not turn it over absent a request to the Court which can then be raised and discussed with all parties.

Is that clear?

MS. IAFRATE: Yes, Your Honor. If I could just have a point of clarification.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. IAFRATE: MCSO did not join forces with the AG's office before providing this to anyone.

THE COURT: You know, I accept that that may be true. But I am simply saying that whether or not the MCSO joins with another investigative agency as a result of any internal investigation, the orders already fully anticipate that any internal investigation -- anything, whether it's criminal or otherwise, and the orders are explicit about it -- needs to be disclosed to the monitor.

And so there isn't anything that you've described to me that makes me feel like that's not covered by the orders, and even if it weren't, I still think it needs to be disclosed in its fullness to the monitor. The monitor cannot disclose it to any of the parties unless and until it raises that matter in -- it signifies to the parties that it wishes to raise the
matter that is the subject of this hearing under seal at a status conference, and then we can address it.

Unless a party objects, I'm going to allow the order I've just delivered to you orally to stand. All materials relating to the investigation, any interviews that the monitor wants to conduct of those involved, are to be provided.

However, this matter shall remain under seal until the AG -- well, this matter shall remain under seal until further order of this Court or until the AG initiates some sort of criminal prosecution, or any other party, county attorney or whoever it might be.

Anybody object to that? All right.
MS. IAFRATE: Thank you.
THE COURT: You have your order. Thank you.
Obviously, we're not going to be meeting on the 14 th. Let me just see, I've got a note slipped to me.

Can you do it on the 13th, Ms. Wang?
MS. WANG: I'm not able to, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How about the 11th?
MS. WANG: The 11th I could do.

THE COURT: Can I have you folks from the defendants and specially appearing parties do the 11th?

MR. COMO: Your Honor, I'm out that entire week, but I'll make my best effort to find someone else from our office who's already appeared in this case to attend.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'd appreciate that.
Anything else?
MS. IAFRATE: Yes, Your Honor. I have a conflict that day. But like the other one where I'm going to be in trial, if you set it, I will -- you said that I could then make you aware regarding whether we have a problem or not.

THE COURT: Yeah. The only problem is that's the very next -- why don't we set it for $8-11$ at --

Did Armie give you a time, Michelle?
THE CLERK: She said all day.
THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we set it for 8-ll at 9:00 o'clock. And Ms. Iafrate, do exactly what you've indicated you'll do. And then if we have to move it to the 10th it's going to be some really weird hour, because I've already got a full calendar of criminal matters, but we can try and do that.

Thank you all. We'll see you in a week and a half or so.
(Proceedings concluded at 3:37 p.m.)
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