| 1 | Timothy J. Casey (#013492) | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | James L. Williams (#026402) SCHMITT SCHNECK SMYTH CASEY & EVEN, P.C. | | |
| | 1221 East Osborn Road, Suite 105 | | |
| 3 | Phoenix, AZ 85014-5540 Telephone: (602) 277-7000 | | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (602) 277-8663 timcasey@azbarristers.com | | |
| 5 | Counsel for Defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office | | |
| 6 | Thomas P. Liddy (#019384) | | |
| 7 | MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE | | |
| 8 | Civil Services Division 222 N. Central, Suite 1100 | | |
| 9 | Phoenix, Arizona 85004 602-506-8066 | | |
| 10 | Co-counsel for Defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | Manuel de Jesus Ortega Melendres, et al., | No. CV 07-02513-PHX-GMS | |
| 15 | Plaintiffs, vs. | | |
| 16 | Joseph M. Arpaio, et al., | DEFENDANTS' <u>AMENDED</u> NOTICE RE THE APRIL 10, 2014 LODGING OF THE | |
| 17 | Defendants. | PARTIES' COUNSELS' AGREED UPON DRAFT CORRECTIVE STATEMENT | |
| 18 | | AND DEFENDANTS' NEW REVISED UNILATERAL DRAFT CORRECTIVE | |
| 19 | | STATEMENT DATED APRIL 15, 2014 | |
| | | | |
| 20 | On April 10, 2014, pursuant to the Court's Order dated March 27, 2014 (Dkt#663), | | |
| 21 | Defendants filed their Notice of Lodging the Parties' Counsels' Agreed upon Draft | | |
| 22 | Corrective Statement (Dkt#674). The Draft Corrective Statement was attached as Exhibit 1 | | |
| 23 | to Dkt# 674-1. | | |
| 24 | Since the date of the filing, instead of accurately reporting to the public that the Draft | | |
| 25 | Corrective Statement is a summary of the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law | | |
| 26 | for the benefit of MCSO personnel, the mainstream media, Spanish language media, and a | | |
| 27 | local tabloid have represented to the public that the Draft Corrective Statement is, among | | |
| 28 | other things, an admission by Sheriff Joseph M. Arpaio that he and the MCSO did, in fact, | | |

use racial profiling tactics in its law enforcement operations. That type of media representation is inaccurate and misleading. *See, e.g.* the following:

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TjvcExstzWw&feature=youtu.be (Local NBC Affiliate 12 News)
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wnrjikSK4oQ&feature=youtu.be
 (Telemundo)
- http://newspapertime.com/2014/04/15/toughest-sheriff-joe-arpaio-ready-to-accept-racial-profiling-ruling-court-documents-show-nbcnews/ (an internet news source)
- https://blogs.phoenixnewtimes.com/valleyfever/2014/04/joe_arpaios corrective statement in Melendres submitted to federal judge (Phoenix New Times)

Based on the foregoing, the media characterizations of the April 10, 2014 Draft Corrective Statement have revealed that the April 10, 2014 draft (Dkt#674-1) is insufficiently clear and in need of revision. What appeared clear to the parties' attorneys is obviously not clear to others. Accordingly, the defendants respectfully submit a revised Proposed Corrective Statement that will make clear to all persons who read it, including MCSO personnel and the public unfamiliar with the details of this litigation and the Court's orders, that: (1) it is a summary of the Court's Findings of Fact; and (2) it is not any type of admission of racial profiling by the defendants. Knowing the foregoing, the Sheriff can only sign in good-faith and in clear conscience the attached version of the Proposed Corrective Statement or its substantial facsimile to be further discussed and agreed-upon among the parties and/or the Court.

The attached version of Defendants' Proposed Corrective Statement is a paper copy of a red-line version so the Court may more easily track the changes from the May 10, 2014 version. Defendants will provide the Court with an electronic *Word* version of the red-lined document at its request. In addition, defendants have not consulted with plaintiffs' counsel as to their position and/or objections to the attached.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2014.

SCHMITT SCHNECK SMYTH CASEY & EVEN, P.C.

1 s/Timothy J. Casey_ Timothy J. Casey 2 James L. Williams 3 Counsel for Defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office 4 5 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 6 I hereby certify that on April 15, 2014, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a 7 Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants: 8 The Honorable G. Murray Snow United States District Court 9 401 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2158 10 Stanley Young, Esq. 11 COVINGTOÑ & BURLING, LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Road 12 Redwood Shores, California 94065 Counsel for Plaintiffs 13 Daniel Pochoda, Esq. 14 ACLU FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA 3707 N. 7th Street, Suite 235 15 Phoenix, Arizona 85014 Counsel for Plaintiffs 16 Cecillia Wang 17 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT 18 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, California 94111 19 Counsel for Plaintiffs 20 Andre Segura, Esq. AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 21 **IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT** 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor 22 New York, NY 10004 Counsel for Plaintiffs 23 Nancy Ramirez, Esq. 24 MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND 25 634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90014 26 Counsel for Plaintiffs 27 Thomas P. Liddy Deputy County Attorneys, Civil Services Division 28 Maricopa County Attorney's Office

SCHMITT, SCHNECK, SMYTH CASEY & EVEN, P.C. Professional Corporation

| 1 2 3 4 5 | 222 N. Central, Suite 1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Co-counsel for Defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office s/Eileen Henry Eileen Henry, Paralegal SCHMITT SCHNECK SMYTH CASEY & EVEN, P.C. |
|-----------------------|--|
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

SCHMITT, SCHNECK, SMYTH, CASEY & EVEN, P.C. Professional Corporation