Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 1 of 34

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 3
 4
     Manuel de Jesus Ortega
                                     )
     Melendres, et al.,
                                     )
 5
                                     )
                   Plaintiffs,
                                     )
                                        CV 07-2513-PHX-GMS
 6
                                     )
                                        Phoenix, Arizona
                   vs.
                                     )
 7
                                        May 14, 2014
                                     )
                                        9:05 a.m.
     Joseph M. Arpaio, et al.,
                                     )
 8
                                     )
                   Defendants.
                                     )
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE G. MURRAY SNOW
17
              (9 o'clock a.m. Status Conference, Pages 1-34)
18
19
20
21
22
     Court Reporter:
                              Gary Moll
                                 401 W. Washington Street, SPC #38
23
                                 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
                                 (602) 322-7263
24
     Proceedings taken by stenographic court reporter
     Transcript prepared by computer-aided transcription
25
```

1

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 2 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 2

I	
1	A D F A R A N C F S
2	<u>A P P E A R A N C E S</u>
2	
3	For the Plaintiffs: Daniel J. Pochoda, Esq. AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES FOUNDATION OF ARIZONA
4	77 E. Columbus Avenue Suite 205
	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
6	(602) 650-1854
7	Cecillia D. Wang, Esq. AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
8	FOUNDATION Director
9	Immigrants' Rights Project 39 Drumm Street
10	San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 343-0775
11	For the Defendants: Timothy J. Casey, Esq.
12	SCHMITT, SCHNECK, SMYTH, CASEY & EVEN, P.C.
13	1221 E. Osborn Road Suite 105
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5540 (602) 277-7000
15	For Robert S. Warshaw and Warshaw & Associates, Inc.:
16	Patrick M. Malgieri, Esg.
17	HARRIS BEACH, P.L.L.C. 99 Garnsey Road
18	Pittsford, New York 14534 (585) 419-8800
19	
20	Special Assistant,
21	Intergovernmental Relations Civil Services Division Chief MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
22	222 North Central Ave, Suite 1100
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 506-6173
24	
25	
1	

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 3 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 3

1	<u>proceeding</u>	
2		
3	THE COURT: Please be seated.	
4	THE CLERK: This is civil case 07-2513, Melendres v.	
5	Arpaio, on for status conference.	09:12:06
6	Counsel, please announce your appearances.	
7	THE COURT: Please announce your appearances, counsel.	
8	MR. MALGIERI: Patrick Malgieri from Harris Beach,	
9	Rochester, New York, on behalf of the monitor, Robert Warshaw	
10	and Warshaw & Associates.	09:12:21
11	THE COURT: Good morning.	
12	MR. MALGIERI: Good morning, Your Honor.	
13	MR. IRISH: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Doug Irish	
14	representing the County, Maricopa County. I have at counsel	
15	table with me Sandi Wilson, the deputy county manager.	09:12:30
16	I've also invited Tom Manos, the county manager, and	
17	the chief of or the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, to	
18	sit in the back of the courtroom, not to make a presentation,	
19	but to underscore that we want to cooperate with you and with	
20	Chief Warshaw in getting this resolved.	09:12:45
21	THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that, and let me	
22	I've read your letter, Mr. Irish. Let me give you the	
23	background of what led to the letter, so you're clear. Then	
24	I'm going to hear from the monitor's counsel and then I'll hear	
25	from you. I'm also going to set forth some concerns I have.	09:13:02

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 4 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference

4

1 I assume that you represent the County, and in that 2 capacity are capable of speaking on behalf of the Sheriff's 3 Office, to the extent the sheriff has any concerns. 4 MR. IRISH: I do not speak for the sheriff, Your 5 Honor. 09:13:15 THE COURT: All right. Well, the sheriff was notified 6 of this hearing. You're here, Mr. Casey, and to the extent you 7 8 have concerns, you can speak to them. Mr. Pochoda, you're here on behalf of plaintiffs. 9 То the extent -- and Ms. Wang, I see you as well. You can speak 10 09:13:26 to them, and then we may address other matters --11 12 MR. CASEY: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: -- after we're resolved -- finished with 14 this. 15 First off, I do have fairly regular communications 09:13:39 with the monitor. It's anticipated by the order. The monitor 16 17 has indicated to me that his -- or he initially indicated to me 18 that his -- because I wanted to make sure that he got paid. He 19 said that he would take that as part of his obligation. He 20 indicated to me he had an attorney. He told me that the 09:14:00 negotiations with the County in terms of arriving at a contract 21 22 went very well, they were very courteous and professional, and 23 the matters were resolved expeditiously. 24 Then, when I began inquiring as to whether he got 25 paid, he indicated to me that the County and he had arrived at 09:14:19

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 5 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 5

1 an impasse. He again indicated that he was having 2 communications with you; that he had received letters from 3 Ms. Wilson; that he -- to resolve those as well. And he did 4 keep me posted somewhat on the status, and to the effect that he thought he could resolve those. 5 09:14:42 He shared with me one of the initial pieces of 6 correspondence that he received from Ms. Wilson laying out her 7 8 position and the County's position. I just decided to let him 9 resolve those until the matter got to where a number of accrued 10 fees have been unpaid. 09:15:02 I don't know that I view any party as operating in bad 11 12 faith here, and I don't think the monitor has attempted to 13 outmaneuver the County or misrepresent your position in any 14 way. But I was the one who instructed him to send me a letter 15 requesting this hearing. I did it, and I told him to attach 09:15:23 16 the correspondence so that you could see what correspondence he 17 sent me. I gathered there was some initial hitch with that but 18 you have it now. 19 I received your correspondence. I think it will be 20 profitable for us this morning to lay out the positions and 09:15:38 21 everybody's concerns and then I can rule, so that we can go 22 forward with a minimum -- or with a maximum of clarity possible at this point and a minimum of future opportunities to be 23 24 cross-wise with one another.

25

With that said, Mr. Malgieri.

09:15:54

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 6 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 6

MR. MALGIERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 Thank you, Your Honor. As indicated, the matter that 2 3 brings us here this morning is, in its simplest form, a dispute with respect to the form and content of the monitor's invoices 4 which has resulted in nonpayment of one, and, excuse me, 5 09:16:16 possibly two invoices at this point. 6 7 The invoices arise out of the contract that was 8 entered into between the County and the monitor pursuant to in furtherance of the Court's orders of October 2, 2013, and 9 January 17th of this year that provided for the appointment of 10 09:16:33 a monitor, and then the subsequent appointment of our client as 11 12 the -- as the monitor in this matter. The contract provides that the monitor is to be 13 14 paid -- is to bill the County on a monthly basis within five 15 business days of the commencement of the month, and that the 09:16:48 16 monitor's invoices must set forth, quote, a description of the 17 services completed and the costs and expenses incurred. 18 Importantly, the contract does not contain any 19 reference to the County's required -- requirements applicable to vendors of the County, only that the master provides a 20 09:17:06 description of its services, which we contend we've done with 21 22 respect to all the invoices submitted. 23 The contract requires that the monitor be paid within

25 good faith, is contesting that invoice, in whole or in part.

30 days of the submission of the invoice unless the County, in

24

09:17:22

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 7 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 7

If, as in this instance, the County is contesting an invoice, 1 the County's required under the contract to notify both the 2 3 monitor and this Court within five business days of its receipt of that invoice of the fact that it is contesting it, the 4 nature of the contest, and the amount in dispute. 5 09:17:39 To our knowledge, the County has not notified this 6 7 Court with respect to the dispute -- its dispute with the March 8 invoice; namely, the invoice that covers services provided by the monitor and the monitoring team during the month of March. 9 And to our knowledge, we've received no such notice with 10 09:17:55 respect to the April invoice, which I believe still remains 11 12 unpaid as of this date. THE COURT: So let me ask you, Mr. Malgieri, how much 13 14 is the monitor owed that -- has he billed for that he has not 15 been paid? 09:18:13 16 MR. MALGIERI: I would have to ask the client 17 specifically, Your Honor, but I believe it's in the area of 18 about 200, 220,000. I would say approximately \$200,000 in 19 question, Your Honor. It may be a little bit more, a little 20 bit less; I don't have the exact number. 09:18:24 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. MALGIERI: That's with respect to both the April 23 invoice and the March invoice. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 The contract also provides that if the MR. MALGIERI: 09:18:32

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 8 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 8

County is disputing the invoice in whole or in part, that the parties have 15 days in which to try to resolve that, and failing that, then the parties would submit the dispute to the Court for resolution.

1

2

3

4

As I've stated, the basic dispute here is over both 5 09:18:47 the form and the substance of the monitor's invoices. 6 But 7 while we contend that the invoices as submitted in fact comply 8 with the requirements of the contract, we believe more importantly that the nature and level of detail that the County 9 requires would undermine critical elements of the monitor's 10 09:19:04 work pursuant to this Court's orders. It would threaten to 11 12 compromise the confidentiality that is central to the monitor's 13 work, as well as the confidence of those working in cooperation 14 with, and in furtherance of, the monitor's work, oftentimes in 15 a confidential setting as well. 09:19:23

16 In short, it's been our position, Your Honor, from the 17 outset, that any resolution of this dispute must preserve the 18 integrity of the monitoring process and do nothing to 19 jeopardize the purposes of the monitorship or the effective 20 implementation of this Court's judgment and orders in this 21 That is our overriding -- it's not our only but our matter. 22 overriding concern in this respect.

23 Now, from the beginning, we have worked with the 24 County, tried to work with the County to resolve this matter, 25 again in a voluntary -- in a fashion without invoking the

09:19:39

09:19:54

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 9 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference

9

1 resources of this Court. When we were advised initially as to 2 the objections relating to the February invoice, an invoice 3 that in fact was paid by the County, we attempted to address those concerns and objections by providing a fuller narrative 4 description of the services that were rendered. That was the 5 09:20:15 narrative description that was contained in the March invoice 6 that is subject to despite right now. 7 8 Now, that response on our part was met with a 9 continued objection by the County to the March invoice, its refusal to pay the March invoice with the exception of the 10 09:20:28 costs and expenses that were incurred by the monitor and the 11 12 monitoring team. Those were paid in the March invoice, but the 13 portion of the invoice dealing with fees and services were not 14 paid. 15 Instead, the County offered a proposal to us as to 09:20:40 what a typical invoice should look like, and it was essentially 16 17 what was characterized by the County in a letter dated April 18 9th --19 THE COURT: Yeah, I've read that. That's the letter 20 from Ms. Wilson? 09:20:56 21 MR. MALGIERI: That's correct. 22 THE COURT: All right. And then you've read the letter from Mr. Irish to me in which he made the three 23 24 counterproposals to the monitor? 25 MR. MALGIERI: I believe it's two, Your Honor, but 09:21:05

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 10 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 10

٦

Γ

1there were the the letter that Mr. Irish submitted to the2Court in which he memorialized a conversation that in fact did3take place is the last conversation that I had with Mr. Irish4in which after Mr. Benton left the County Attorney's Office,5Mr. Irish apparently assumed responsibility for this matter and6tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in7his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I8believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices9submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to10this Court for review and approval.11THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,24or at			
3take place is the last conversation that I had with Mr. Irish4in which after Mr. Benton left the County Attorney's Office,5Mr. Irish apparently assumed responsibility for this matter and6tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in7his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I8believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices9submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to10this Court for review and approval.11THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to21THE COURT: Well, it instructed Chief Warshaw to22send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	1	there were the the letter that Mr. Irish submitted to the	
4in which after Mr. Benton left the County Attorney's Office,5Mr. Irish apparently assumed responsibility for this matter and6tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in7his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I8believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices9submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to10this Court for review and approval.11THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	2	Court in which he memorialized a conversation that in fact did	
5 Mr. Irish apparently assumed responsibility for this matter and 09:21:22 6 tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in 7 his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I 8 believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices 9 submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to 10 this Court for review and approval. 09:21:40 11 THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of 09:21:40 12 this court. 09:21:40 13 MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, 09:21:40 14 Your Honor. Thank you. 09:21:49 15 THE COURT: Um-hum. 09:21:49 16 MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that 09:21:49 17 when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did 14 18 say that the proposals were interesting and that I would 09:22:00 19 discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was 09:22:00 21 THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to 09:22:00 22 THE COURT: Well, it instructed Chief Warshaw to 23 send me the letter so that	3	take place is the last conversation that I had with Mr. Irish	
 tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to this Court for review and approval. 09:21:40 THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of this court. MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Um-hum. 09:21:49 MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter, 	4	in which after Mr. Benton left the County Attorney's Office,	
 his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to this Court for review and approval. THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of this court. MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Um-hum. MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter, 	5	Mr. Irish apparently assumed responsibility for this matter and	09:21:22
 believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to this Court for review and approval. THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of this court. MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Um-hum. MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter, 	6	tried to resolve this dispute. And he did accurately recite in	
 submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to this Court for review and approval. THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of this court. MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Um-hum. MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter, 	7	his letter that we had the conversation that he set out, I	
10this Court for review and approval.09:21:4011THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	8	believe, two proposals. One was either to have the invoices	
11THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	9	submitted to a third party, such as a master, or submitted to	
12this court.13MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,14Your Honor. Thank you.15THE COURT: Um-hum.16MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	10	this Court for review and approval.	09:21:40
 MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Um-hum. MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter, 	11	THE COURT: The third one was a magistrate judge of	
14Your Honor. Thank you.09:21:4915THE COURT: Um-hum.09:21:4916MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	12	this court.	
15THE COURT: Um-hum.09:21:4916MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that17when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did18say that the proposals were interesting and that I would19discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was20following that that Chief Warshaw felt21THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	13	MR. MALGIERI: Or a magistrate judge, that's correct,	
MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did say that the proposals were interesting and that I would discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was following that that Chief Warshaw felt 09:22:00 THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	14	Your Honor. Thank you.	
17 when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did 18 say that the proposals were interesting and that I would 19 discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was 20 following that that Chief Warshaw felt 09:22:00 21 THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to 22 complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to 23 send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	15	THE COURT: Um-hum.	09:21:49
18 say that the proposals were interesting and that I would 19 discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was 20 following that that Chief Warshaw felt 09:22:00 21 THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to 22 complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to 23 send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	16	MR. MALGIERI: And Mr. Irish correctly indicated that	
19 discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was 20 following that that Chief Warshaw felt 09:22:00 21 THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to 22 complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to 23 send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	17	when he conveyed that to me in the phone conversation, I did	
20following that that Chief Warshaw felt09:22:0021THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to22complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to23send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	18	say that the proposals were interesting and that I would	
THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	19	discuss it with our client, which in fact I did. But it was	
22 complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to 23 send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	20	following that that Chief Warshaw felt	09:22:00
23 send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	21	THE COURT: Well, it was following that, just to	
	22	complete the chronology, that I instructed Chief Warshaw to	
24 or at	23	send me the letter so that we could resolve this matter,	
	24	or at	
25 MR. MALGIERI: Correct. 09:22:13	25	MR. MALGIERI: Correct.	09:22:13

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 11 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 11

1 THE COURT: -- least take significant steps towards
2 resolving it.

MR. MALGIERI: Correct.

THE COURT: And so just so Mr. Irish understands, it was me that compelled that; it wasn't any lack of courtesy on your part, as far as I can understand.

MR. MALGIERI: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, that's correct. I did contact Mr. Irish after I was advised by the Court that the Court was going to request this hearing take place and the parties appear, and Mr. -- I left Mr. Irish a voicemail message and he did e-mail me back in response and shared his concerns at the time, and, again, I understand that Your Honor.

09:22:36

14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

THE COURT: All right.

15 MR. MALGIERI: Just with respect to the mock invoice, 09:22:45 as it was called, characterized in that April 9th letter, we 16 17 think that the -- that the mock invoice, which was prepared by 18 the County, utilizing our client's billing letterhead, and what 19 I was advised at the time was prepared in consultation with 20 Mr. Casey, and I believe, although I'm not entirely certain, 09:23:04 but I believe it was also indicated to me that Mr. Liddy, in 21 22 his capacity as counsel to the Sheriff's Office and the County Attorney's Office, participated in the development of the mock 23 invoice. 24

But that mock invoice, I think Your Honor could take a 09:23:19

25

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 12 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 12

look at the nature of the detail that's being -- that was being 1 2 requested really points to the very heart of our concern. For 3 example, one of the proposed -- one of the proposed --4 THE COURT: You know what? I don't mean to cut you off. 5 09:23:35 MR. MALGIERI: That's okay, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I do appreciate you've traveled a long way 7 8 to get here. 9 MR. MALGIERI: That's all right. 10 THE COURT: I get your concerns. 09:23:39 11 MR. MALGIERI: Okay. 12 THE COURT: And I understand them. 13 MR. MALGIERI: Fair enough, Your Honor. 14 The only other thing I would add is that I think in 15 that April 9th letter there was a statement made that I think 09:23:44 16 also suggests the County having a role with respect to the 17 review of the invoices that is certainly not contemplated in 18 the contract, and I would submit, respectfully, would represent 19 a possibly intrusion into the domain of the Court, which was a 20 statement by the deputy county manager that the County had an 09:24:03 21 obligation to review your bills, meaning the monitor's bills, 22 for reasonableness. And I don't think the -- whether or not 23 the invoices are reasonable or not is certainly not contemplated in the contract, and I don't think there is 24 25 anything either in the contract or in the orders of this Court 09:24:17

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 13 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 13

1 that would vest the County with the authority or the ability to 2 make those determinations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

You know, in the end, Your Honor, as we said, even subsequent to that letter, there were efforts still on the part of both us and the County to try to resolve this matter on a -- 09:24:29 on a reasonable basis, including a conference call on the Friday preceding Easter, and then a subsequent call that I just mentioned to you between Mr. Irish and myself, and it was not successful.

Your Honor, I'm not without sympathy for the County's 10 09:24:47 position, or without a complete understanding. In fact, I 11 12 served as the county attorney for Monroe County, New York, 13 which is not as large as Maricopa County, but I oversaw a 14 department of about 30 attorneys and a municipality of about 15 750,000, and the issues about vendor responsibility and billing 09:25:00 16 and accounting responsibility and fiduciary responsibilities 17 are items that I dealt with on a regular basis and fully 18 appreciate, as does our client. But the problem here is, in 19 the first instance, is that the monitor is not a vendor of the 20 County, nor subject to the requirements of a vendor of the 09:25:17 21 County.

But more importantly, our belief is that requiring the level of detail that the County is asking for in the invoices to be -- to be subjected to their review and consideration would run to the very heart of the monitoring process, the

09:25:32

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 14 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 14

1 monitor's work, and threaten the integrity of that work. And 2 that is something that is of paramount importance, as I 3 indicated previously, and is something that we want to 4 preserve.

5 It's important that this matter be resolved as 09:25:47 6 expeditiously as possible not only in order to deal with the 7 substantial sums that remain unpaid, but obviously to avoid any 8 further interference or disruption of the work of the monitor 9 that this kind of dispute inevitably leads to.

And I think it becomes all the more important because 09:26:00 my understanding is that this Court has, in effect, expanded the role of the monitor by its order requiring that the monitor undertake a more active role with respect to community engagement that goes above the beyond the scope of the original order of this Court, and --

16 THE COURT: Yeah, I did note that Mr. Irish in his17 letter discussed a cap that was negotiated on an annual basis.

MR. MALGIERI: That's true, Your Honor.

18

19THE COURT: The cap was negotiated before I increased20the monitor's responsibilities, pursuant to my enforcement09:26:2821power?2222MR. MALGIERI: That's correct, Your Honor.2323THE COURT: All right.2424MR. MALGIERI: And it would be our expectation and

25 anticipation that we would be undertaking discussions with the 09:26:35

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 15 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 15

		l
1	County in very short order about appropriate amendment to the	
2	contract to both reflect that additional responsibility	
3	THE COURT: Well, I'm just going to say on the record	
4	that would be my expectation as well.	
5	MR. MALGIERI: Okay.	09:26:49
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	MR. MALGIERI: And in this environment, until this	
8	dispute gets resolved, I don't think those negotiations can	
9	either happen, or certainly happen productively.	
10	THE COURT: All right.	09:26:56
11	MR. MALGIERI: Thank you, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Thank you.	
13	Mr. Irish.	
14	MR. IRISH: Good morning, Your Honor, and thank you	
15	for the invitation.	09:27:06
16	THE COURT: Thank you for coming.	
17	Ms. Wilson, thank you.	
18	And also, I appreciate the county manager and the	
19	Chairman of the County Board of Supervisors being here.	
20	Did I miss somebody? I do appreciate that.	09:27:17
21	MR. IRISH: Thank you.	
22	Mr. Malgieri is correct that we our communications	
23	have been professional and courteous. We just have a	
24	disagreement, and I don't I'm not going to repeat all of the	
25	back and forth because you've already read it.	09:27:34
		I

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 16 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 16

We received three invoices from Chief Warshaw. The	
total is just slightly under \$300,000. That's a third of	
a million dollars.	
THE COURT: Can I share with you my disposition?	
MR. IRISH: Please do.	09:27:49
THE COURT: And then maybe we can discuss the reality	
of how we control this.	
MR. IRISH: Yes.	
THE COURT: It seems to me that in your letter you're	
perfectly willing to let me decide this thing altogether if I	09:27:59
want to review the bills.	
MR. IRISH: That's correct.	
THE COURT: And I appreciate that; I appreciate that	
trust. But I also appreciate the need for accountability. And	
while I believe that your acknowledgement that I can review the	09:28:15
bills and you'll pay if I say it's okay acknowledges the	
County's view that I'm in charge, and that I decide what is	
necessary and what is not necessary.	
I also appreciate the County's viewpoint that this is	
taxpayer money; that you do have some fiduciary obligation to	09:28:32
make sure that that money is well spent.	
That being said, I also share the monitor's concern	
about a couple of things, and let me just sort of spin those	
out for you. And then I have I've had a few thoughts and	
I'll listen to you first, but then I'd like to share with you	09:28:52
	<pre>total is just slightly under \$300,000. That's a third of a million dollars.</pre>

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 17 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 17

my thoughts and see if you think they'll be workable, because this is a rather unusual situation.

3 I think it is very important, and I will tell you on the record that I myself have received a number of 4 communications from persons from the public, both prior to and 5 09:29:09 after the appointment of the monitor, which I would consider 6 along the nature of confidential -- efforts to confidentially 7 disclose operations that go on in the Sheriff's Department. 8 What I've done, without reading them, except for the first one, 9 just realized what it was, was refer them to the monitor once 10 09:29:30 he was appointed. 11

I realize that, from reading them, it is very important to the monitor's work that the identity of such persons be kept confidential and their confidence that it will be confidential be fostered, so that the monitor can fulfill his function.

17 A couple of other things have occurred to me since I 18 started this work, and one is that it would be possible, and 19 I'm not saying that the sheriff would do it, but it certainly 20 would be possible for the sheriff, by tracing detailed bills, 09:30:03 21 to detect patterns of monitor supervision, monitor activity, and anticipate monitor interest in various operations, and to 22 23 cure those operations and not others. So I'm not interested in allowing the sheriff to do that, either. 24

25

1

2

And third, it seems to me that even when the sheriff 09:30:27

09:29:50

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 18 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

is operating in good faith and the monitor is operating in good faith, and I expect that they both are, they both might have an interest in investigating something that comes up that they want to keep confidential for purposes of the investigation, even though they may disagree initially about whether or not 09:30:45 that falls within the scope of the monitor's responsibility. That requires confidentiality.

Now, I'll tell you another thing that has occurred to 8 me, too, that I want to talk to you about, and that is given 9 that all those things require a certain level of 10 09:31:03 confidentiality in the billing, and which is why the monitor 11 12 has been billing in that narrative fashion that isn't specifically descriptive, it is also the nature of things, I've 13 14 learned from the first letter that I did read, that some 15 things, clearly, that you get from complainants fall outside 09:31:24 16 the scope of my order. They may be true, they may be not true, 17 it doesn't matter: I don't have the authority to mess with 18 them as a result of this lawsuit.

19 Some things are clearly within the scope of the order 20 and the monitor has the authority, in my view, to investigate 09:31:40 21 And some things it's not clear whether they're in or out them. 22 of the scope of the order because it depends upon the nature of what has been said and underlying facts, which require the 23 24 monitor to investigate if the monitor chooses to investigate, 25 but they're not necessarily outside the scope of the order. 09:31:56

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 19 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 19

1 They require further investigation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. IRISH: Exactly.

THE COURT: So a fair amount of discretion, in my view, has to be given and will be given to the monitor to investigate the scope of his own authority, given the complaints that he might receive. Given those matters --

And Ms. Wilson, I read your letter, and your concern 8 9 about being a good public fiduciary. I also am not unaware, and I'll state it on the record so that if I have any 10 09:32:24 misperceptions, or the scope of my perceptions, period, are 11 12 laid out so people understand what I know and if I misunderstand something, but I do seem to remember that several 13 14 years ago Ms. Wilson herself was involved in a complaint that 15 involved the Sheriff's Office.

MR. IRISH: Correct.

17 THE COURT: And so I don't have any presumption that 18 Ms. Wilson is unfairly going to be an agent for monitoring the 19 monitor on behalf of the Sheriff's Office, or anything like 20 that. But it does seem to me that there is a general 09:32:57 21 presumption that things be done in public, and for a good 22 reason, which cannot be exercised here to the degree and level of specificity that Ms. Wilson would want in a public way. 23

24 What I am prepared to propose to you, unless you want 25 to be heard on this now, because I saw that you were willing,

09:32:43

09:32:05

09:33:18

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 20 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 20

the County, in demonstrating its good faith, is willing to pay 1 2 a third-party special master to resolve these things, and --3 MR. IRISH: Correct. THE COURT: -- and I'll tell you, the only reason I'm 4 uncomfortable with that is I really -- you know, I don't want 5 09:33:30 to be doing huge billing review, either, but it seems to me 6 7 that to hire a special master would require somebody to become 8 as intimately familiar with my orders as I am, and I hesitate to impose that on somebody else, but what I will do is this. 9 I do think that there is a substantial reason why 10 09:33:51 Ms. Wilson should be able to review the orders in their 11 12 complete and unredacted entirety. However, if you're going to 13 do that, Ms. Wilson, you will do it in my chambers. I will 14 do -- I will do it in the following way, and I will be 15 interested in your proposals. 09:34:10 16 The monitor will continue to submit public bills, to 17 the same detail he has submitted them, publicly to Ms. Wilson. 18 He will submit to me very detailed, task-oriented time logs of 19 everything that his staff does, with specificity. They will be 20 submitted to me, they will be submitted in camera, and they 09:34:31 21 will be submitted under seal. 22 Ms. Wilson will be able to, if she wishes, hire separate counsel and even a separate consultant. She can come 23 into my chambers; she can review the bills in their entirety. 24

25 But what Ms. Wilson cannot do is discuss this matter with

09:34:56

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 21 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 21

anyone else, including Mr. Manos, including the County Board of 1 2 Supervisors, or including anyone else except for the monitor 3 and for me. If Ms. Wilson comes across something that she 4 believes is --And by the way, she can't hire you as her attorney, 5 09:35:13 6 because you represent --7 MR. IRISH: Thank you. I don't want to do this. 8 THE COURT: You represent the County. She can't hire anybody in the County Attorney's Office. 9 10 MR. IRISH: Okay. 09:35:23 It has to be separate counsel. 11 THE COURT: And if 12 you're going to hire a consultant, Ms. Wilson, that has to be a 13 separate consultant, and neither the consultant or the attorney 14 can confer with anybody about this, anybody even in the County 15 except Ms. Wilson. 09:35:37 16 Ms. Wilson, if you then want -- if you then, in your 17 combing of the bills, find something that you want to dispute, 18 you can call up Mr. Warshaw. You can discuss it with him. Ιf 19 the two of you can't resolve the matter, you will submit it to me in camera and under seal, and you will pay all the other 20 09:35:56 21 matters that you can resolve. 22 I don't want to be reviewing a whole lot of these things. I want you to understand, Ms. Wilson, that I'm going 23 24 to give the monitor fairly broad leeway, and I think I've just 25 explained why. If in fact I start resolving too many, I'm 09:36:13

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 22 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 22

going to start awarding attorneys' fees under the Arizona rule, and I'm not -- you've said you're concerned about resolving this dispute. We'll address that in a minute, and whether or not the monitor's entitled to attorneys' fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In addition, though, to the confidentiality that Ms. Wilson owes the monitor and she owes me and the process, she will also owe confidentiality to the Sheriff's Department, because the Sheriff's Department may well be involved in investigations that it wants kept confidential.

So if she wants to dispute fees, the presumptive rule 10 09:36:50 will be she files it under seal and in camera. However, if she 11 12 checks with the monitor and the monitor has no problem with her filing the dispute publicly, she still has to check with the 13 14 sheriff's representatives to make sure they have no problem, 15 and the plaintiffs' representatives to make sure they have no 09:37:08 problem, and then she can file the dispute publicly. 16

There may be public disputes that don't relate to anything confidential and the monitor and the sheriffs and the plaintiffs will agree. But first she needs to check with the monitor to make sure the monitor doesn't have any concerns. Then she checks with the parties, and then she can publicly file it.

09:37:22

In that way, it seems to me that we are accommodating
as much public purview as we can of the monitor's operation.
That which must be kept in private and Ms. Wilson wants to have 09:37:37

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 23 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 23

Г

1	detail, she can have detail. But she'll come review the	
2	records in my office, and everybody who comes with her,	
3	including her, will be bound by my order that she discusses it	
4	with no one else.	
5	Now, let me ask you, Ms. Wilson, do you have the kind	09:37:51
6	of authority from the County to do that?	
7	MS. WILSON: Judge Snow, I think I probably would, but	
8	my boss is right behind me so you can see whether he's nodding	
9	his head. I think really what we were looking for is just	
10	being able to ensure that what is being billed is in fact	09:38:11
11	related to the order, so in my mind that your solution works	
12	perfectly.	
13	THE COURT: All right.	
14	MS. WILSON: Thank you.	
15	THE COURT: All right.	09:38:21
16	Do you have any concerns about that, Mr. Irish?	
17	MR. IRISH: I have a question but not a concern.	
18	THE COURT: Sure.	
19	MR. IRISH: I think your solution is perfect, but a	
20	question with regard to Ms. Wilson engaging outside counsel,	09:38:36
21	other counsel.	
22	There are a lot of lawyers in the county who are under	
23	contract with the County that, if I may give you a little	
24	history, when Bill Montgomery was elected and invited me to	
25	join his executive staff, we inherited a mess. Lawsuits were	09:38:53

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 24 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 24

1	filed flying all over the place and subpoenas were flying	
2	all over the place and we had to hire lawyers to represent	
3	people with all sorts of conflicting interests.	
4	THE COURT: Well, and it does seem to me that	
5	MR. IRISH: We engaged outside counsel to review those	09:39:06
6	bills so that we didn't get involved with it, and those lawyers	
7	were contracted with the County but they didn't disclose	
8	anything to us.	
9	So if she elects to engage outside counsel, should we	
10	use that list, or should we go under the County's ability to	09:39:20
11	get sole-source lawyers who are not contracted with the County	
12	to perform that service?	
13	What's your preference? We'll do it either way.	
14	THE COURT: Well, does the monitor have a position on	
15	that, Mr. Malgieri?	09:39:37
16	MR. MALGIERI: No, Your Honor.	
17	THE COURT: All right. Then my view would be this.	
18	Whoever she employs is going to have to sign an attestation	
19	that they've read and understand my order. And if they	
20	MR. IRISH: Fair enough.	09:39:50
21	THE COURT: do that, and they're subject to my	
22	sanction, then I don't care if you use the County-approved	
23	list, and I presume that even if they have in the past provided	
24	services to the County, that doesn't, in and of itself,	
25	prevent or pose a conflict if they're willing to abide by	09:40:07

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 25 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 25

the conditions of my order. 1 2 MR. IRISH: With that answered, Your Honor, we're 3 pleased and satisfied. Thank you. THE COURT: Does the monitor have any other concerns 4 with proceeding in that fashion? 5 09:40:18 MR. MALGIERI: No, Your Honor, we do not. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Casey, do you want to 8 address any of that procedure? MR. CASEY: Your Honor, Tim Casey on behalf of the 9 defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and the MCSO. Two things, briefly. 10 09:40:33 To the extent that the MCSO, during the course of 11 12 working with the monitor, so far my understanding/impression is it's gone very well, and that my client has found the monitor 13 to be a source of wisdom and advice, especially with some of 14 15 the things that we've been dealing with recently, and we expect 09:40:57 16 that to continue. 17 If in fact my clients ever sense the proverbial what 18 we're concerned about is mission creep, then we're going to 19 raise that with Sandi Wilson and we're going to say, We're 20 concerned about this, that seems to be broader than the order. 09:41:13 We understand that there may be some good faith 21 22 differences at some point, particularly on the areas that you discussed. I think that is completely legitimate, and that 23 would be a hard thing that I would recommend ever coming to you 24 25 and saying it's mission creep, when there are confidential 09:41:29

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 26 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 26

1 informants either within the MCSO or outside the MCSO about 2 things.

3

4

5

6

7

I think this man has to have the breadth to be able to investigate that. But on other things we are sensitive, based on our investigation, about any monitor and potential mission creep. And again, I don't use that pejoratively but it is a concern. We would be communicating that with Sandi Wilson.

The second thing, let me say, is this on behalf of my 8 9 clients. I, as counsel, have no interest in seeing Mr. Warshaw's bills in any extent. I'm not going to see them, 10 09:42:05 obviously, with what you've said. My clients, I will represent 11 12 to the Court, have no desire to take generalized bills or 13 specific bills to try to discern what he's doing or what he 14 might do. The methodology that you've set up guarantees that 15 now. 09:42:26

But I wanted the Court to be aware that we've had some issues at the beginning of this, but my clients, I believe, got the message, are implementing in good faith, and they're not interested in gaming anything but in achieving good faith results in full intention -- actually, good faith intention to 09:42:46 comply.

The reason I share that with you, Your Honor, is I understand we have to earn that trust from you with time. But I wanted you to know that from our perspective, my client is not interested in trying to head him off at the pass by

09:43:02

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 27 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 27

learning the game plan from these folks. Your order now makes 1 2 that a certainty, but I wanted to tell you that your order, I 3 think, guarantees that, so -- but I wanted to let you know that's always been my clients' position, so thank you. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 5 09:43:22 Mr. Pochoda, Ms. Wang, I don't know who wants to 6 address this. 7 Just very briefly, Your Honor. 8 MR. POCHODA: Plaintiffs would have some concern about the counsel 9 issue. We agree with Your Honor's position that Ms. Wilson 10 09:43:36 cannot be bringing to it or revealing to any counsel that are 11 12 involved from the County, or the MCAO and so forth. We don't think that the -- I may have misheard, but the attestation that 13 14 the Court mentioned really gets at that issue of the importance 15 of a wall of some type, and not just that they're familiar with 09:43:59 16 the orders but that they will not speak, any attorney, of this 17 matter to anyone else or the county -- the county attorney and 18 so forth. So we would request that that be a stronger wall 19 between --20 THE COURT: Well, you do raise one of the things I 09:44:16 wanted to address, and that is the County was a party to this 21 22 lawsuit and they were dismissed by stipulation. In that stipulation, I indicated that if the County was needed, they 23 24 could be reintroduced as a party and the County stipulated to 25 that. 09:44:35

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 28 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 28

Ms. Wilson, I'm not saying this to threaten you at 1 2 all, but you've just heard that the MCSO may want to lobby you, 3 the County may want to lobby you, you may find yourself subject to lots of competing influences and lots of pressures, and I 4 want to make it clear when I enter an order that the order is 5 09:44:53 enforceable. 6 I want to know from the County right now if you're 7 going to take any position that I can't enforce an order for 8 contempt against Ms. Wilson or against any attorney she may 9 hire or consultant she may hire if I find that she or they have 09:45:15 10 breached the terms of my order. And if that is the case, then 11 12 I want to know if we need to reintroduce the County as a party 13 for purposes of this action. 14 Do you understand what I'm asking, Ms. Wilson? 15 MS. WILSON: Your Honor, I believe I do understand, 09:45:30 16 but obviously I have no intention of releasing any information 17 to anyone --18 And please, I'm not assuming that you're THE COURT: 19 acting in bad faith, but I learned a long time ago when I enter 20 an order, I make sure that I can enforce that order, so I'm 09:45:46 21 raising that issue now. 22 Do you have any position with respect to that, Mr. Irish? 23 No, I don't. 24 MS. WILSON: 25 All right. So that if that --THE COURT: 09:45:58

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 29 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 29

MR. IRISH: On behalf of the County, Your Honor, we 1 2 agree that such issues will not be raised. 3 THE COURT: All right. Now, let me just raise -- I will craft the order. If you don't like it, Mr. Pochoda, I'll 4 let you comment in a day or two before I enter it, but I think 5 09:46:11 that I will have every intention of making it very, very solid. 6 7 MR. POCHODA: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Let me just state for the record, I think everybody 9 here is probably aware of this, the monitor's informed me that 10 09:46:25 Mr. Manos met with him yesterday morning for breakfast, that he 11 12 was very -- it was a very cordial and professional conversation 13 in which Mr. Manos expressed an interest and a willingness to 14 do anything that the monitor thought would be more efficient 15 for MCSO operations. 09:46:43 16 Let me express to you my concern about that, 17 Ms. Wilson, just so you'll understand, because Mr. Manos is 18 your boss. The monitor's already come to me with a few 19 concerns about MCSO operations, and he wanted to know what to do with them, because while they might have been 20 09:46:59 recommendations he could have made, they really didn't fall 21 22 within the scope of our order. 23 There are plenty of things that do fall within the scope of the order which we will be doing and we're working in 24 25 good faith with the Sheriff's Office to accomplish now. But 09:47:13

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 30 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 30

whatever else the monitor is, he isn't a consultant to the MCSO on how they can operate more efficiently or implement better police procedures.

And if you're going to look at the order for purposes of implementing the order, you have to implement -- I mean, 09:47:28 things that actually might be to the County's benefit and might be to the MCAO's benefit but not the MCSO's benefit are still beyond the scope of the order. You understand that.

MS. WILSON: Yes. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: All right. The other thing that I will 10 09:47:46 say is -- frankly, I'm going to say this to the MCSO -- when I 11 12 entered the order appointing the monitor, I noted that there 13 was some dispute about the expense involved in things that the 14 parties had stipulated to, so I invited the County to consult 15 directly with the monitor if they had concerns about what I was 09:48:04 16 ordering, and that there was a more cost-efficient way to do 17 that than was contained in my order. I don't view that as 18 beyond the scope of my order. But it is the sort of thing I 19 recognize the MCSO might have some concerns about.

20 But I'm going to reaffirm today, Mr. Manos, for your 09:48:21 benefit, and Mr. Barney, for yours, that to the extent, you 21 know, any consultants you hire or anybody else has 22 recommendations that they want to submit for the monitor's 23 24 consideration about how we can revise this order in a way that 25 will make it more efficient and just as effective for 09:48:39

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 31 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 31

1 plaintiffs and less cost-effective for the County, I believe 2 that you are within the realm of appropriate authority to 3 submit those recommendations to the monitor for him to act on 4 them.

The only thing I would require that you do is when you 09:48:53 submit such recommendations to the monitor, you need to let the MCSO know what they are, or such inquiries. So if you have any objections on behalf of the MCSO, you can object.

9 Now, do I realize that that creates inner conflicts
10 among the parties here? It might, but you're going to have to 09:49:11
11 deal with that. And if it ever comes to the level where I have
12 to deal with it, you can raise it with me again. All right?

Now, as it relates to getting my monitor paid for outstanding billings, you understand, Mr. Warshaw, that I'm going to require you to engage in detailed, task-based billing, 09:49:34 so that Ms. Wilson can review that in my chambers.

17

5

6

7

8

MR. WARSHAW: Yes, sir.

18THE COURT: Are you going to have -- would it be19possible at this point to reconstruct the first two bills that20you've already submitted in that fashion?

21 MR. WARSHAW: It would be difficult, based upon the 22 kind of detail that we'll be using from this point forward, 23 but --

24THE COURT: Is the County going to insist on that?25Are you just willing to pay his bill if I will -- if I will09:49:58

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 32 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 32

1	review it and confirm that the services as he has billed were	
2	provided?	
3	MS. WILSON: Your Honor, I think that would be	
4	acceptable to us.	
5	THE COURT: All right. I have reviewed those bills.	09:50:08
6	I can confirm that to my knowledge, the services he has billed	
7	for were provided, so I'm going to direct the County to pay the	
8	outstanding bills from March and April.	
9	As it relates to whether or not you can seek fees for	
10	this, is it your desire to seek fees, Mr. Warshaw?	09:50:30
11	MR. MALGIERI: It was never our intent, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Excuse me?	
13	MR. MALGIERI: I'm sorry, Your Honor. It was never	
14	our intent or expectation.	
15	THE COURT: All right. Then it doesn't sound like	09:50:44
16	that's going to be an issue. Are there	
17	MR. MALGIERI: Thank you.	
18	THE COURT: other matters that need to be raised at	
19	this point?	
20	MR. MALGIERI: No, Your Honor.	09:50:51
21	MR. IRISH: No, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Now, I have scheduled another	
23	status hearing in this matter. I believe I've scheduled it for	
24	10 o'clock, is that not right?	
25	MR. CASEY: That's my understanding, Your Honor.	09:51:02

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 33 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 33

Γ

٦

1	THE COURT: All right. Then this hearing is dismissed
2	and we'll proceed at 10 o'clock at the additional status
3	hearing. Thank you.
4	(Proceedings concluded at 9:51 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 694 Filed 05/15/14 Page 34 of 34 CV07-2513, Melendres v. Arpaio, 5/14/14 Status Conference 34

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	I, GARY MOLL, do hereby certify that I am duly
8	appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter for
9	the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute
11	a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of
12	the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled
13	cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript
14	was prepared under my direction and control.
15	
16	
17	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 15th day of May,
18	2014.
19	
20	
21	s/Gary Moll
22	
23	
24	
25	