1	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP			
2	333 Twin Dolphin Drive			
3	Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1418			
4	Telephone: (650) 632-4700			
5	Facsimile: (650) 632-4800			
6	Stanley Young (Pro Hac Vice)			
7	syoung@cov.com Hyun S. Byun (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
	hbyun@cov.com Priscilla G. Dodson (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) ptaylor@cov.com			
8				
9				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs (Additional atto for Plaintiffs listed on next page)	rneys		
11				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	FOR THE DIS	STRICT OF ARIZONA		
14	Manuel de Jesus Ortega Melendres,) CV-07-2513-PHX-GMS		
15	et al.,)		
16	Plaintiff(s),) PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO		
17	V.	DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TOREDACT MONITOR'S REPORT		
18	Joseph M. Arneio, et al)		
19	Joseph M. Arpaio, et al.,)		
20	Defendants(s).)		
21		_ /		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
20				

1	Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs:	
2		
3	Daniel J. Pochoda	Tammy Albarran
4	dpochoda@acluaz.org ACLU Foundation of Arizona	talbarran@cov.com Covington & Burling LLP
5	3707 N. 7th St., Ste. 235 Phoenix, AZ 85014	One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111
6	Telephone: (602) 650-1854	Telephone: (415) 591-7066
7	Facsimile: (602) 650-1376	Facsimile: (415) 955-6566
8	Anne Lai (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) alai@law.uci.edu	
9	401 E. Peltason, Suite 3500	
10	Irvine, CA 92697-8000 Telephone: (949) 824-9894	
11	Facsimile: (949) 824-0066	
12	Cecillia D. Wang (Pro Hac Vice)	
13	cwang@aclu.org ACLU Foundation	
14	Immigrants' Rights Project	
15	39 Drumm Street	
	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 343-0775	
16	Facsimile: (415) 395-0950	
17	Andre I. Segura (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
18	asegura@aclu.org	
19	ACLU Foundation	
20	Immigrants' Rights Project 125 Broad Street, 17th Floor	
21	New York, NY 10004	
	Telephone: (212) 549-2676 Facsimile: (212) 549-2654	
22	1 acsimile. (212) 343-2034	
23	Jorge Castillo (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
24	jcastillo@maldef.org Mexican American Legal Defense	
25	and Educational Fund	
26	634 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90014	
	Telephone: (213) 629-2512	
27	Facsimile: (213) 629-0266	
28		

2
 3
 4

Plaintiffs respectfully submit the following response to Defendants' "Notice of Submission of Redacted Monitor Report." (Dkt. No. 763.) Defendants have failed to satisfy the heavy burden of demonstrating that portions of the Monitor's September 28, 2014 Report should be kept from the public's view.

As noted in Plaintiffs' objection to Defendants' request that the October 28 status conference be held under seal, in order to overcome the strong presumption in favor of public access to court proceedings and records, Defendants must show compelling reasons for doing so. (*See* Dkt. No. 750 at 1.) Defendants have not specified a single basis for redacting any portion of the Monitor's report. Instead, Defendants state only that the Court should adopt their proposed redactions "to promote the confidentiality provided for by Arizona law." (Dkt. No. 763 at 2.) This is woefully inadequate and leaves Plaintiffs and this Court entirely without the ability to assess whether any claims of privilege or confidentiality apply here.

For example, many of the proposed redactions cover matters relating only to Deputy Armendariz, which, as this Court has indicated, are no longer subject to any confidentiality protections. Other proposed redactions involve general assertions of conduct by unspecified employees. Some matters simply appear to relate to matters that would be inconvenient for MCSO to publicize. Plaintiffs, of course, do not object to redacting the names of specific individuals who are currently the subject of an administrative or criminal investigation. But Plaintiffs should not be required to hypothesize as to what justification may apply to each proposed redaction in order to respond to Defendants' request. It is ultimately Defendants' burden to demonstrate that such portions should be sealed.

As these matters are of significant public concern and importance and Defendants have not provided any justification for maintaining these matters under seal, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny Defendants' request to redact any portion of the Monitor's report.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 31st day of October, 2014. By: /s/ Andre I. Segura Andre I. Segura (*Pro Hac Vice*) Cecillia D. Wang (Pro Hac Vice) ACLU Foundation Immigrants' Rights Project Stanley Young (Pro Hac Vice) Tammy Albarran (*Pro Hac Vice*) Hyun S. Byun (Pro Hac Vice) Priscilla G. Dodson (*Pro Hac Vice*) Covington & Burling, LLP Daniel Pochoda ACLU Foundation of Arizona Anne Lai (*Pro Hac Vice*) Jorge Castillo (Pro Hac Vice) Mexican American Legal Defense and **Educational Fund** Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on October 31, 2014, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's office using the CM/ECF System for filing and caused the attached document to be e-mailed to: Thomas P. Liddy liddyt@mcao.maricopa.gov Timothy J. Casey timcasey@azbarristers.com James L. Williams James@azbarristers.com Attorneys for Defendant Sheriff Joseph Arpaio and the Maricopa County Sherriff's Office /s/ Andre I. Segura