	Case 2:12-cv-00981-ROS Document 19 Fi	led 06/01/12 Page 1 of 1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	United States of America, ) No	D. CV-12-00981-PHX-ROS
10	Plaintiff, ) O	RDER
11	VS.	
12	) Maricopa County, Arizona; et al.,	
13	) Defendants.	
14	)	
15		
16	Pending before the Court is a motion for clarification (Doc. 14) and a motion to set	
17 18	time (Doc. 16). IT IS ORDERED the motion for clarification (Doc. 14) is GRANTED IN PART	
18 19	AND DENIED IN PART. No later than June 7, 2012, Movant shall file a reply in support	
20	of his motion to intervene. Defendants shall not file a response to the motion to intervene	
20 21	unless ordered by the Court.	
21	<b>IT IS FURTHER ORDERED</b> the motion to set time ( <b>Doc. 16</b> ) is <b>GRANTED</b> .	
23	Defendants Joseph M. Arpaio and Maricopa County Sheriff's Office shall have until <b>June</b>	
24	<b>8</b> , <b>2012</b> to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint.	
25	DATED this 1 <sup>st</sup> day of June, 2012.	
26	(). Aci	
27	Roslyn Ø. Silver	
28	Chief United States District Judge	