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For Defendant: Law Offices of Erik Babcock
717 Washington Street, 2nd Floor
Oakland, California 94607
BY: ERIK G. BABCOCK, ATTORNEY AT LAW
health by her father, who's the author of the letter, and requesting, based on that, that the Court dismiss the charges because there has been some discussion of the defendant's mental health over the course of this trial.

We've spoken with defense counsel and just wanted to make sure that we do have on the record defense counsel's belief and full familiarity with the case law that this defendant is competent to stand trial and that he does not have any concerns as her attorney, so I think Mr. Babcock's prepared to do that.

THE DEFENDANT: (Inaudible.)
THE COURT: Ma'am, don't talk.
Excuse me, Mr. Babcock. Ms. Su is attempting to address the Court.

Ms. Su, if you have something to say, ask to speak to your lawyer and he'll tell me. You have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself. You're on the record. You talk through your lawyer. If you insist on talking to the Court, please consult with your lawyer first. But until you've done that, please don't address me. Okay?

Mr. Babcock?
MR. BABCOCK: I wouldn't go so far as to say I have no concerns, Your Honor. My client did have a 5150 commitment about nine years ago for a couple of nights. And when she appeared -- first came to Magistrate's Court on the complaint in this case where $I$ was not the attorney of record yet, but I
do know that Judge Ryu had some concerns. I think more addressed to whether or not she was suicidal. And one of the conditions of her release was that she attend counseling or therapy, which she did for a couple years as a condition of her pretrial release.

So -- but I have not declared a doubt as to her competence to stand trial at this point. And I'm not a therapist; I'm a lawyer, but I do believe she understands the proceedings and is able to help me to the extent required. That's what the Court's looking for -- or the Government, I guess.

MS. WEST: We -- just so the Court's aware of some of the prior proceedings regarding this, the counsel preceding Mr. Babcock had asked for some sort of evaluation of the defendant, after which he advised the Government and I believe the Court as well; is that right?

MR. RHYNE: I believe so.
MS. WEST: That he did not have any concerns as to the defendant's competence to stand trial and there were whatever conditions had been put in place by the Court at that early stage were lifted at defense counsel's request.

I do note that there's been no sort of mental health-type defense proffered -- affirmative defense proffered by the defense here, so we did want to raise it and just to advise the Court that despite this filing, there has been no concern raised by either defense counsel as to the competency of this
overreaching.
MS. WEST: So the one thing that we do need to do on the forfeiture issue right now is to have the defense announce on the record that they waive the jury review of the forfeiture aspect and are prepared to have the Court decide that.

THE COURT: Mr. Babcock?
MR. BABCOCK: That has been my discussions with the Government. I have never tried a forfeiture issue to a jury. I wasn't planning to start now. In past cases, the forfeiture issue has been dealt with by briefing an argument after the verdict when appropriate. And that was my intention here.

THE COURT: Mr. Babcock, your client has a right to have any forfeiture issue in this case decided by a jury. Does she waive that right?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm thinking ...
THE COURT: I can pass this case, ma'am. If you need more time.

THE DEFENDANT: Please. Thank you.
THE COURT: That means that you'd have to sit down and I'll take some pleas in some other cases and you can have the time you need to think about it. We'll recall your case in about an hour and a half.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. We'll have the Court decide.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Babcock, that's your -you join in your client's waiver?
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about the tuition that you would have to pay at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she say?
A. It was $\$ 900$ per course or 300 per credit, and she advised taking three courses at a time per semester, and so I'd pay -ended up paying \$2,700 for three courses.
Q. 900 per course?
A. Yes.
Q. Three courses?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Susan Su send you any documents after you had that conversation?
A. Yes. She had sent me documentation working on getting me to regular status, going to $\mathrm{F}-1$, which I had filed -- filed with the authorities for getting a different status. However, it didn't work out. I missed out signing the regular form and got rejected. So -- but I didn't go for it again. I had decided to rearrange for and continue with my studies like that.
Q. So did you agree to still go to Tri-Valley or take classes at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you pay for those classes?
A. I paid through PayPal.
all the courses -- they mentioned that there would be lectures, discussions, midterms. But I spoke to Susan Su about it as to will the professor come in, and she just asked me to be patient.
Q. Now, you said you expected lectures, courses, and interaction; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Why were you expecting that?
A. Because initially when I spoke to Susan $S u$ about how the courses will be, that's what was communicated to me, and I was going to be under the impression that this would happen.
Q. Okay. You also stated that you then contacted Susan Su; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. To raise your concerns with her?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Tell me about those conversations. What did you say to her, and what did she say back to you?
A. I told her that this is what is going on and will the professor come in and how the lectures would be, how the midterms have to be.

And she said, "Be patient," and -- and she would just say, "Be patient," and just -- the conversation would end there, and I understand that there can be some problems initially with any courses that's just technical or anything that can happen. So

I was patient, but of course my money and time is getting wasted. So I didn't want to wait any further with her.
Q. As time went on during the Spring 2010 semester, did the classes improve as you had hoped?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any more conversations with Susan $S u$ about the quality or lack of instruction with respect to these classes?
A. I would just continue to follow up on a weekly basis or twice a week to see what's going on because time is getting wasted, and so I just continued to follow up.
Q. Were you familiar or did you become familiar with

Tri-Valley University's refund policy?
A. Yes. Initially, when I was going through the website, I just -- I went through all the pages, whatever information I could seek, and the refund policy initially that was there was 40 percent for an ongoing course.
Q. Did you raise this refund policy with Susan Su?
A. Yes.
Q. How did she respond?
A. Well, initially I had spoken to her to -- for a refund of a hundred percent on the Dreamweaver course because it was not an ongoing course. It was just a PDF book online and no
instructors. So it was not an ongoing course at all. Nothing happened.

So I was -- I wanted to get a full refund for that course,
and the other two -- I understand that the videos were there, but still as -- as far as I'm concerned, they were incomplete because the professor was not there. So I asked for a prorated refund. Whatever time I was there, I was okay with reducing that amount from the refund.
Q. What did Susan Su say when you made this request?
A. When I made this request, she said that that's not what happens, and as for the website, she would only give me 30 percent. And again, I questioned that 30 percent because as per the website, it was 40 percent. So after that conversation, then I went back to the website to see. I saw that the page had completely changed.
Q. Did you confront Susan $S u$ with the fact that you thought the page had changed?
A. I again spoke to her and told her that this is what happened, that I don't see 40 percent anymore. It seems that somebody has completely changed the page and reduced that -that number to 30 percent.
Q. Can you tell the jury about the tone of this conversation that you were having with Susan Su?
A. Well, we had many conversations with Susan Su over the refund because what we expected was not what she wanted to give. So solely a refund, but she was getting agitated, she was getting impatient, and to a point of -- she got impatient to a point that she threatened me and my husband that she would
cancel our visa statuses and would get us deported.
That's the point when we got scared of this individual, and we didn't really want to mess much with her, but we still wanted the money because we knew that what we were doing was the right thing.
Q. So what did you do to try to get the money?
A. Well, initially, Susan $S u$-- she just gave us 30 percent, but later on we decided to file a complaint with the Better Business Bureau. That's what we did, and we had exchange of e-mails and conversations over the BBB -- through the BBB portal, and ultimately I got the remainder money back.

So I got full hundred percent eventually for the Dreamweaver course, and I got additional 10 percent for the remaining two courses. So I got a total of 40 percent for two courses and a total of hundred percent for the Dreamweaver course.
Q. And these refunds came after you engaged with the Better Business Bureau; is that correct?
A. Yes. The forced 30 percent came in before BBB complaint and the remainder amount after the BBB complaint.
Q. And the 30 percent was the 30 percent you were referring to on the -- what you believed to be the second version of TVU's website; is that correct?
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Okay. Your Honor, may I approach the

Special Agent training. We start training with the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glynco, Georgia. We follow that up by more specialized training in basic Special Agent courses specific for diplomatic security. We cover things that we do in our professional duties more often than, say, some other federal agents, visa protection, passport and visa fraud investigation, which is one of the things we primarily focus on.
Q. And in each of these trainings, have you been instructed and received training on how student visas are issued at least with respect to the Department of State?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the Immigration and Nationality Act?
A. I am.
Q. I want to focus on the $\mathrm{F}-1$ student visa category that you talked about earlier. Can you walk the jury through the process through which a student in a foreign country would go through in order to get an F-1 student visa to come to the United States?
A. Absolutely. So a prospective student would shop for schools and apply to different universities that they might be interested in, and once they're accepted, they would be issued an I-20, which is a form. It's a certificate of eligibility for studies. They would come to the U.S. consulate.

So in my situation, the consulate would be in Guangzhou.

They'd bring their passport, other supporting documentation, and a copy of that I-20, and they would see a consular officer. Q. And the information on the $1-20$ indicates the school that they had been accepted to; is that correct?
A. That's correct. That's correct.
Q. What's assessed at the consulate when they come in with these documents?
A. Okay.
Q. Can you walk through that process?
A. So when these prospective students come into the consulate, they're applying for a visa to go to the United States, which is basically their ticket to get to the U.S. and request entry from DHS, Department of Homeland Security. And so when they're applying for the visa, we're required to review some of the facts of their application to make sure that they're actually qualified for a visa to travel to the United States.

The consular officer assesses the -- it's a bona fide student. It's an actual student who plans to go to the United States for a full course of study and presents intent to return to their own country after they've completed the studies. Consular officers can also ensure that they have a financial ability to pay for the education. So if they have an expensive education permit, they have to make sure they can show you they have the funds available to pay for that.
Q. What if there are red flags or something that concerns the
officer during this process? What happens?
A. Well, a couple of different things can happen. If the officer thinks that it's -- there's no chance that the student is a bona fide student, they can just refuse them under 14B of the Immigration and Naturalization Act and not give them a visa. They can also refer the case for fraud, in which case the Fraud Prevention Unit eventually might end up on my desk, where we would look into it for visa fraud.
Q. Are you familiar with the term "SEVIS"?
A. I am.
Q. Can you just briefly tell the jury what SEVIS is -- or can you spell it and then tell the jury what it is?
A. Absolutely. So SEVIS or SEVIS is a program on our computer system that we would use, and it's the Student Exchange Visitors Identification System, and basically these prospective students present an I-20 to the consular officer.

And on there, there is an individual SEVIS or SEVIS number, and then the consular officer would check that number in the computer system and ensure that all of the things line up. So if it says the student is in active status as far as the -- on the computer, then we would know that they've paid their fee and are eligible for studies at that university.

MR. RHYNE: Thank you.

No further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give in the matter now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE CLERK: Thank you. Speak close to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Susanna Lee Warner, W-a-r-n-e-r.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. West.
Your witness.
MS. WEST: Thank you.
SUSANNA WARNER,
Called as a witness by the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Warner.
A. Good morning.
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. I'm a section chief at the Student Exchange Visitor Program.
Q. Can you please tell the jury what the Student Exchange

Visitor Program is.
A. The Student Exchange Visitor Program is a program that falls under the Department of Homeland Security, and what it does is it certifies schools that want to bring foreign students to study.
Q. And is that -- well, first of all, is the Student Exchange

Visitor Program -- is that abbreviated SEVP --
A. Correct.
Q. -- or sometimes called SEVP?
A. Correct.
Q. And is that its only function, to certify schools, or does it have another function as well?
A. It also manages a system called SEVIS, which is used to track and monitor students at the schools that it's certified, and then we also oversee the immigration status of students that enter the country.
Q. All right. And is SEVIS -- is that the Student Exchange Information System?
A. Correct.
Q. How long have you been in the position of section chief?
A. Three years.
Q. Is that approximately March 2011?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell us a little bit, please, about your role as section chief.
A. It's monitored by the Department of Homeland Security, and it -- you need a user ID and password to enter it. Q. Now, you mentioned that a school seeking to get approval to admit foreign students gets a temporary ID and password. At some point, does that need to be converted into a permanent one?
A. It is once they receive approval to participate.
Q. Okay. So a school or an individual with that temporary ID and password that you mentioned -- do they have access to all of the information in SEVIS?
A. No.
Q. So that's access control; right?
A. Right.
Q. Is the use of SEVIS controlled in some way?
A. Is the use? Yes.
Q. Okay. Why is the access and use of SEVIS controlled?
A. Because we just don't want anybody to go -- once a school is approved, they gain the ability to issue an immigration document that a student uses to -- in order to obtain a visa and maintain status when they're here. So we don't want just anybody to go in and be able to create these documents.
Q. Why not?
A. Because the Department of Homeland Security is relying -the system is primarily managed by what we call designated school officials. Those are what's -- you get -- once the
school gets approval, they have to designate a school official to be the person who accesses SEVIS, that creates the documents, and keeps the proper records on the school, and we just don't want anybody to do that because we're really putting our trust in these designated school officials.
Q. Okay. So that's a little bit of foreshadowing. We're going to come back to the designated school officials in a moment.

Let's stick a little bit for another moment or two with the access and use of the SEVIS database. Are users advised that access and use of SEVIS is controlled?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to show you what is marked as Government

Exhibit 106 and ask if you recognize this.
A. Yes.
Q. What is this?
A. It's a warning that someone will see the minute they access SEVIS.
Q. Is this a SEVIS warning banner?
A. Yes.

MS. WEST: The Government offers Exhibit 106 in evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. WEST: It would be 106, Page 2, your Honor.
MR. BABCOCK: Just -- just to clarify the foundation

MS. WEST: Can we please pull up Exhibit 106, which is now 106A.

Thank you. And if we could enlarge the warning banner on this.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. All right. Ms. Warner, you testified that this is the warning banner that was in effect at SEVIS between 2008 and 2011?
A. Correct.
Q. And has this warning banner changed over time?
A. No.
Q. All right. I'd like to direct your attention, please, to the first line of this warning. First of all, do you see it in all capitals at the top, the word "WARNING"?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the first line below that: "This system is for the use of authorized users only"?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, every time anybody accesses SEVIS, would this warning banner pop up?
A. Yes.

MS. WEST: All right. If we could go back, please, to the full page, and if you could please blow up the bottom portion just below the box where it has "User Name, Password,
A. It gives us the rules for a school to be eligible to apply for the Student Exchanges Visitor Program and SEVIS access. Q. All right. And if we look there in Subsection (a), it says, "Filing Petition"; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And Subsection (3) is "Eligibility"?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So then Subsection little (1) here or little (i) -does that essentially lay out what the criteria is for a school in order to be eligible to admit foreign students?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Let's look through it, please. If you'd turn your direction, please, to little (i), do you see there it states, "The petitioner to be eligible for certification must establish at the time of filing that it..." and then there's A, B, C, and D? Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. A is "Is a bona fide school." Can you please tell the jury what that means.
A. For a school to be a bona fide school, when they fill out their form and they put a name and address, we want to make sure that there's actually a school at the name and address. Q. B states, "Is an established institution of learning or other recognized place of study." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please tell the jury what that means.
A. An established institution of learning means that the school has some history behind it, graduated students, something like that. "Other recognized place of study" means the school is accredited or has something that is akin to accreditation.
Q. Okay. And C, "Possesses the necessary facilities, personnel, and finances to conduct instruction and recognized courses." Please tell the jury what that means.
A. It means that we want to make sure that, you know, it's -I don't want to use the word "established" again but established so we know, you know, that they're not going to go out of business quickly, that they actually have the ability to conduct instruction.
Q. And D states, "Is, in fact, engaged in instruction in those courses." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that mean?
A. It means that when they apply for a SEVP certification, they have to have a history of conducting the courses that their -- of study that they're asking for. They can't be intending to conduct those courses of study in the future. Q. Now, are these criteria the criteria that SEVP actually uses in determining whether to certify a school to admit foreign students?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain to us why A, B, C, and D are important?
A. They're important because, as we've stated, we rely on school officials out there to populate or, you know, fill out the form that we're getting, and so we want to make sure that -- you know, we're also responsible -- SEVP -- for bringing in the students into the country and monitoring them.

So we want to make sure that when they're coming here, they're going to what we call a bona fide school, that, you know, they're actually going to be able to complete the purpose for why they're coming, which is to get an education.
Q. Are there special requirements aside from the generic A, B, C, and D as set forth here -- are there specific requirements that apply to a school that may not be accredited?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell us about that?
A. If a school is not an accredited school or a recognized place of study, we permit those schools to get three articulation agreements from schools that are accredited that essentially vouch for that school's -- you know, whatever you want to call it -- vouch that that school is good.
Q. All right. So let's break that down a little bit.

First, what do you mean when you use the word "accredited"?
A. Accredited schools -- typically, we like to see that they have been accredited by an accrediting association that's
recognized by the Department of Education.
Q. So if a school is not recognized by an accrediting association that's recognized by the Department of Education, is it then that the articulation agreement component can kick in?
A. Correct. They can send instead -- well, not "instead," but they would have to submit that.
Q. That's a requirement?
A. Yes.
Q. Where does that requirement come from?
A. From the regulations.
Q. All right. And you mentioned that it was three articulation agreements?
A. Correct.
Q. Do articulation agreements have two components to them?
A. Yes.
Q. What are those?
A. The components are that in order to submit an articulation agreement, the first component is that the school has to be accredited, and then the second component to the school that is submitting the agreement on behalf of the nonaccredited institution has to state that they have been and will unconditionally accept the transfer credits from the unaccredited school.
Q. Why is that important?
MS. WEST: Can we enlarge now Box 2 of this document?
BY MS. WEST:
Q. What school does this I-17 pertain to?
A. Tri-Valley University.
Q. Thank you.

And is it fair to say that the name of the school in there
is something that is important to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because we want to know the name of the school that's applying to bring nonimmigrants into the country for study. Q. All right. Thank you.

MS. WEST: If we can please pull up Box -- have it maybe just the bottom quarter of the document starting from just above the stamp.

Thank you. That's perfect.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. Can you see there in Box 8 "Date School was Established: March 2008"? Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is the date that the school is established something that
is important to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because per the regulation that we just looked at, we need
to know essentially when they would start it.
Q. Is that part of being established?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, does it -- is it something that is determinative for SEVP if a school is applying in September of 2008 but was only established in March of 2008? If that school had not yet matriculated students or graduated students, does that rule it out?
A. No.
Q. Let's look at Section 9, "Location of School." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And the address there, "4455 Stoneridge Drive, Pleasanton, California"?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the address -- is that something that matters to SEVP?

Is that important?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because we want to know there's a school actually at the address that's reported.
Q. Why?
A. Because the law requires it.
Q. Is that part of it being a bona fide school?
A. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. How so?
A. Because Part $C$ of the regulation over here -- we want to make sure that they have adequate facilities for students to be able to be educated.
Q. So --
A. Several of these instructors --
Q. There we go.

So if we look at Paragraph -- or Subpart (b) here where it says, "The average annual number of students is 30 ," then if you look at "Teachers or Instructors: 9," does that seem to fit together in a way that would be satisfactory to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?

THE COURT: Ms. West, I'm sorry to interrupt.
Anytime in the next five minutes, I'd like to take our second recess.

MS. WEST: Perfect. We'll be there in about one minute.

THE COURT: Very good.
THE WITNESS: Because it's -- just by looking at how many students and the ratio to instructors, it just -- it seems like they have enough instructors to teach the amount of students.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Is this question and answer provided something that is important to SEVP in making its certification determination?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because designated school officials are the ones that are responsible for the school to follow the regulations and the law pertaining to both their school certification and the students that they bring in.
Q. And so why -- how does the answer -- this question and answer relate to that fact?
A. How do -- I'm sorry. Repeat that.
Q. The fact that DSO's are charged with the responsibility of following the regulations, how does that relate to the question about the resources to ensure DSO compliance and knowledge of SEVIS rules and regulations?
A. Because we need to know that they have, in fact, become familiarized with all of that because, again, they're the only ones that put the information in SEVIS, and they have -they're the ones that have to make sure the school stays in compliance.
Q. Let's take a look at Question 2. "Verify that the number of students and programs of study being pursued are supported by the facilities available." Why -- is this question important to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because it's in the law that -- again, the regulation we reviewed -- that they have to have the proper and necessary facilities.
Q. And then the answers provided here: "Number of Students in School: 25. Average Number of Students per Class: 10." Are those answers something that is important to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Again, to make sure that they're abiding by regulation with having the necessary and proper facilities, you know?
Q. And there's actually comments down below mentioning,
"There's a very small library, but there is computer access.
The school had just opened this year." Is that sort of information something that is important to SEVP as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Again, it goes back to the facilities, and we want to record the observations that the site visitor sees when they're at the school.

MS. WEST: Can we blow up now the bottom part of this page, please, Question 3 and the answers.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Question 2 states: "The following questions directly
relate to processes for both $\mathrm{F}-1$ and $\mathrm{M}-1$ nonimmigrant foreign
A. Yes.
Q. And is that information there something that would be important to SEVP?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Again, it goes back to the standards that they're going to admit students who are eligible by their admissions standing to get there.
Q. Let's look at Question C. "How do," slash, "will you verify that $\mathrm{F}-1$ and/or $\mathrm{M}-1$ students are maintaining a full course of study?"

Let me just ask you about the language in here, "full course of study." Is that going back to what you just explained with regard to duration of status for students?
A. Yes, and the fact that regulations describe what the full course of study means. It essentially means the student has to be attending a study full time.
Q. And what does that mean?
A. As far as what?
Q. Is there a physical-class component to that?
A. Yes. There's a physical component, and depending on what they're studying, it tells you how many credits or clock hours of coursework they have to do.
Q. And the answer provided here: "By tracking students successfully completion of nine units a semester." Is that
fault of their own, they are permitted -- again, it goes back to the school official, who is responsible for reporting on their students. The school official can make a recommendation that that student have their legal status reinstated, and then the student has to apply to USCIS to, in fact -- for their adjudication of the reinstatement.
Q. I don't think we need to pull it back up, but I want to go back to a question that we just took a look at, and that was Section 3 (b) about students transferring to the school. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there a -- are there limitations on a student being able to transfer an $\mathrm{F}-1$ student?
A. No.
Q. Is there something known as a two-semester rule?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever heard of a two-semester rule?
A. I've heard of schools trying to make a two-semester rule, but SEVP allows students to transfer because -- because of the physical presence component. We need to know where students are going to be, and so we don't permit schools to hold the student's record. That's the way that we track the students. Q. So for an $\mathrm{F}-1$ student who seeks to transfer according to the rules in Department of Homeland Security, is that student allowed to transfer?
A. Yes.

MS. WEST: Can we please go to Exhibit 5, Page 8, and just the top half, please.

Thank you, Ms. Hughes.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. If we look at Page 7 of this same exhibit, do you see at the top it states, "Section 3. Tour of Campus"?
A. Yes.
Q. Why does SEVP require a tour of the campus?
A. Again, it goes back to the school's eligibility. We like to make sure that there's a bona fide school there and to have a site visitor record their observations. So we can use that as part of our adjudication.
Q. And Subparagraph (a) here -- it states, "Comments of observation of live classroom instruction, classroom space, labs and/or library facilities." And just above that, I'll actually read for context, "Observe that school business operations are taking place even if classes are not in session."

Is it a deal-breaker if classes are not in session?
A. No.
Q. All right. So then the answer provided here: "No classes were being conducted at the time of site inspections." As you just stated, that's -- on its face, is that a problem?
A. No.
Q. "Night classes are only being offered at this time.

Building has 5,000 square feet, medium to large class" -- I'm sorry -- "five medium to large classrooms. On" -- I think it's supposed to be "One large meeting area, library, computer lab, and four office spaces. Sufficient space for planned activities."

What is the site inspector supposed to look for in responding to Question A?
A. They look at the classrooms and the seating and make sure that they have -- the facilities are adequate to host the number of students they're reporting that they will be having. Q. So that would in place -- we refer back to the number of students stated who were attending at the beginning?
A. Correct.

MS. WEST: And if we could now go to the bottom part of this page, please, to Section 2 (b).

That's great. Thank you.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. Section 2 is "Evidence the DSO is compliant with regulations and reporting requirements or has the ability to be compliant with SEVIS rules and regulations." And Question B specifically says, "Comments on site visit to location where" -- I'm sorry. Did I get the right section? Yes -- "of where nonimmigrant students' records are kept or will be kept upon SEVIS approval."
Q. The next sentence there reads: "A DSO may not delegate this designation to any other person." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that rule in place?
A. Because, again, we limit the access to SEVIS for the reason that -- the designated school official wants to take their job seriously, and they have to populate all the information about students. And the fact that we have 9,000 schools out there -we really have to trust that that is, in fact, the person that the school has asked to take on this job, is the person who's doing it.
Q. Let's look at the next sentence. "An individual whose principal obligation to the school is to recruit foreign students for compensation may not be a DSO." Why is that the rule?
A. Because we -- again, it goes back to integrity and accountability, and then we want to make sure that the reason is that they're actually choosing valid students to come in and not doing it because they're receiving some kind of compensation for it.
Q. All right. Let's skip to the last paragraph. "The principal DSO is required to have a thorough knowledge of the regulations, policies, and procedures governing nonimmigrant students and is responsible for ensuring that each additional DSO has a thorough knowledge of the same."

MR. BABCOCK: Sorry. What exhibit were you on?

MS. WEST: 4.

MR. BABCOCK: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. What is Exhibit 4, please.
A. It's correspondence from Tri-Valley University to SEVP.

MS. WEST: The Government offers Exhibit 4 in evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. BABCOCK: No objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 4 is admitted.
(Government's Exhibit 4 received in evidence.)

MS. WEST: Can we please pull up Page 1 of Exhibit 4, and let's just do the top half where it's the -- the addressee and the "From" part.

Great. Thank you.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Page 1 of Exhibit 4 is a letter that appears to be
addressed to a Mr. Barry Kobe. Have I pronounced that right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you know who that individual is?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is that?
A. He was the adjudicator on the Tri-Valley University SEVP
approval.
Q. What do you mean "adjudicator"?
A. He's the one who makes the ultimate decision per whether or not they would have received certification or not.
Q. All right. And then on the lower right of this expanded view, we see "Dr. Susan Su, President, Tri-Valley University," and the date below, February 10th, 2009. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Thank you.

MS. WEST: And can we now enlarge the text of this
letter, please -- "Dear Mr. Kobe" -- and then the bottom of the letter.

Great. Perfect. Thank you.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. This letter states: "Dear Mr. Kobe, per our phone conversation, here are the three originals of the three articulation" -- I'm sorry -- "the originals of the three articulation agreements." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And is there in front of you an original signature from Ms.

Su?
A. Yes.
Q. Attached to this cover letter, are there, in fact, three
what purport to be articulation agreements?
A. Yes.

Perfect.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. What does this information here reflect?
A. That SEVP headquarters approved the school on

February 17th, 2009.
Q. And do you recognize that signature there?
A. Yes. That's Barry Kobe.
Q. All right. Thank you.

MS. WEST: You can take that down.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. Now, after SEVP has approved or certified a school to admit foreign students, does SEVIS at that point get used for some reason?
A. Does it get used?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. For what?
A. The school has used SEVIS to create -- that's when they actually gain the full access to the system, and they use it to create the Form I-20 to bring students in at that time.
Q. Now, you say that they get full access to the system at that time. Does anyone get full access, or is that limited to the DSO's?
A. Just the designated school officials.
Q. All right. So from the designated school officials being
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Q. Yes.
A. In Washington, DC.
Q. So as you sit there in Washington, DC, do you have any idea what a student in the San Francisco Bay Area is actually doing, whether they are actually going to their classes?
A. No. I mean, no. We rely on the designated school officials to tell us.
Q. There were some questions also about SEVIS training --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and whether you know to what extent Ms. Su had SEVIS training.
A. Correct.

MS. WEST: Let's -- if we could please pull up
Exhibit 3, Page 10.
Oh. You know what? We've already got this on. I'll just use this. Let's zoom out for a moment so we see what we're looking at.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. This is Page 10 of Exhibit 3, which we looked at earlier, on Tri-Valley University letterhead, and do you recall that this was submitted to SEVP by Ms. Su?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And in fact, we actually see Ms. Su's signature on the first line there?
A. Yes.

University?
A. Never.
Q. Did Susan $S u$ ever ask you to teach a particular class at Tri-Valley University?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I want to publish, if we can, Exhibit 1, Page 8, and can we just zoom in at --
A. I can't see very clear.
Q. -- "Tri-Valley University Instructor Listing" in the first paragraph.

And you stated your background was in engineering; correct? A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Okay. Can we pull back out of that, and can we go down to the line for Hao Luo?

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Is that your name there on the left?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that your educational background in the next column over?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Okay. And over on the far right, it says, "TA,

Carnegie-Mellon University." Were you a TA at Carnegie-Mellon?
A. Yeah, I was a TA there. Yes.
Q. And were you also a senior engineer at HP?
A. Yes.

Francisco State University is accepted and approved by the participating entities this 2nd day of February 2009."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you the director of the School of Engineering in February of 2009?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Had you retired by then?
A. I had not retired, but I stepped down from the director in summer of 2008.
Q. Right. You're not the director of the School of Engineering anymore; right?
A. Yes, I was not.
Q. Dr. Liou, I want to ask you: Did you sign this document?
A. I did not sign that document.
Q. How sure are you?
A. A hundred percent sure.
Q. Thank you, Dr. Liou.

THE COURT: Mr. Babcock, questions of this witness?
MR. BABCOCK: Yes, please, your Honor.

THE COURT: Dr. Liou, that water there is for you in case you get thirsty.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
/ /
/ /
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make it overlap, if you were to try to do this perhaps by holding it up to the light, you couldn't make two signatures overlap. There's always just natural variation. So showing that these two overlap -- will overlay exactly, thus causing a dark image, that shows me that those two signatures were exactly the same signature at some point.
Q. Meaning that one is just a total duplicate of the other?
A. That's correct.
Q. And they may, in fact, be both duplicates of some other original signature?
A. That's correct because these are both toner. I don't have -- I've never seen another document that had an original ink signature from which these came. So I don't know where they came from, but I can just tell you that these two, both being toner signatures, both came from some other signature someplace. They are both the same signature.
Q. And could you put Chart 4 back up for us, please.
A. Chart 4?
Q. Yes, please.

Okay. So then just circling back, Government Exhibit 4 was an articulation agreement between San Francisco State University and Tri-Valley University; is that right?
A. Yes, ma'am. It says so right here, "Articulation Agreement Between Tri-Valley University and San Francisco State University." Yes, ma'am.
A. I heard of it about three years later. My boss asked me if I'd heard of this and was I -- did I know I was listed as a faculty member, and -- and then another coworker of mine Googled it to see what it was, and we saw sure enough, you know, I'm listed there as a lecturer. And I was kind of surprised by that because I never had heard of the place or signed up to do anything like that. So --
Q. Was there any -- when you viewed the website, was there any reference to Applied Materials?
A. There -- yes, there was. So in addition to my name, there's also a link -- a hotlink to our corporate website. Q. When you viewed the website, did you note anybody else that you knew from Applied Materials that was on the website? A. Yes. My -- my current boss. His name was there but misspelled. They did have his correct colleges that he attended, and also another colleague who had left Applied was also listed there.
Q. When you viewed the website, could you see the credentials that were listed for you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did those credentials compare to the credentials you told Dr. Su at the party?
A. Yeah. It said along the lines of, you know, "Ph.D., UC Berkeley."
Q. So a few more questions here.
and had to support articulation agreements. I looked at the standards for admissions, standards for graduations, and I looked at the teaching roster that the school had submitted as well.
Q. Okay. Why did you note the number of students at 30? Why was that relevant to you?
A. Because when I subsequently checked the SEVIS database, they indicated they were enrolling a far greater number than 30.
Q. Do you recall approximately how many were enrolled at that point?
A. At that time in May of 2010, they had probably 900 students.
Q. And you subsequently checked the SEVIS database towards the end of the investigation as well; is that correct?
A. I did.
Q. That number grew --
A. It did.
Q. -- to --
A. To approximately 1760 students, active students.
Q. Okay. You also said you noted the number of professors. Why was that something that you noted?
A. I was looking at the supporting documents submitted by the school to see if their facilities and resources and faculty were reasonable for the number of students that they were
when you checked SEVIS with respect to Tri-Valley University?
A. Certainly. Again, I noted that there were at the time 900 students. I looked at the rate of growth, and the school appeared to be enrolling its student base at an exponential rate. I also noted that over half of the students reported -of those 900 students reported to us were reporting the exact same apartment in Sunnyvale, California.
Q. And that was -- do you remember what that apartment address was?
A. Yes. It was 555 East El Camino Real, Apartment 415, in Sunnyvale.
Q. There was another address that Tri-Valley University reported in SEVIS as well; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury what that address was?
A. They also reported a large number of students at 620 Iris

Avenue in Sunnyvale, California.
Q. Did you go to the El Camino Real location?
A. I did.
Q. Why?
A. To interview the residents of 555 East El Camino Real,

Apartment 415, to determine whether or not there were any
Tri-Valley University students residing there.
Q. Can you generally describe how big is this space?
A. It was a two-bedroom -- two-bedroom apartment.
Q. Were you able to observe through your SEVIS observations
the nationality of most of the students at Tri-Valley
University?
A. Yes. Approximately 95 percent of the students were of Indian nationality.
Q. Now, I want to talk about what you saw when you looked at Tri-Valley University's website.

Were you able to capture screenshots of the website?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury what that means?
A. We utilize one of our computer forensic agents to basically download the website or portions of the website and preserve them for me to view as part of this trial.

MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? THE COURT: You may.
(Government's Exhibit 100 marked for identification.)

MR. RHYNE: I'm handing the witness what's been marked for identification as Exhibit 100.

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Can you please take a look at that.

Do you recognize that?
A. I do.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a "Welcome to Tri-Valley University" page from Tri-Valley University's website.

Florida doesn't enter into blanket articulation agreements and they review all other transfers on case-by-case basis, at which point she then approached -- approached her brother, who worked at the University of Central Florida and who then signed it.
Q. She told you that her brother worked there?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she tell you what his job title was?
A. I believe she called him a student advisor.
Q. Did she tell you whether or not she approached her brother at that point?
A. She stated that she did approach her brother into signing the agreement.
Q. What did she say about that interaction? Let me rephrase the question.

Did she tell you whether her brother told her anything different than the Department Chair had told her?
A. Yes. She stated that her brother confirmed that he had the authority to sign such an agreement.
Q. Did she say how he went about signing it?
A. Yes. She stated that he signed it in her presence. She actually observed him sign the document.
Q. Did you also ask her about a third articulation agreement?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And that articulation agreement was between Tri-Valley University and what school?
A. The University of East-West Medicine.
Q. Did the defendant Dr. Su tell you how she obtained that signature?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she say?
A. She stated that she used to work for the signer of that document, Ying Qiu Wang, that she approached this individual after she had left. She used to be a teacher at a school called Herguan University, which Ying Qiu Wang also owns, which is co-located with the University of East-West Medicine.

And she stated that she approached him and asked him to sign an articulation agreement with Tri-Valley University, and he agreed, and he signed the document.
Q. Can you spell the name of that signer for the record?
A. Sure.
Q. It's probably in Exhibit 7, I believe.
A. It's Y-i-n-g, middle name of Qiu, Q-i-u, last name Wang, W-a-n-g.
Q. Did you ask Dr. Su about the prospect of you contacting people who purportedly signed these articulation agreements?
A. I did.
Q. How did you ask that question?
A. I asked her if she felt that there were -- if the documents were valid, and she indicated that she believed the documents were valid, and then I asked her if that was the case if she
A. She acknowledged that she had been contacted by at least three of the professors on there to have their names removed from Tri-Valley University's website.
Q. There's some notes on this document; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury generally what these notes are?
A. She started making checkmarks next to the names of individuals that she claimed had actually taught classes, and then she circled some names of instructors who had contacted her regarding having the names removed, and at that point she just started marking up the document because to me it appeared she was nervous.
Q. Did you discuss the topic of Tri-Valley University's site visit with her?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury your understanding at the time what a site visit was?
A. Yes. A site visit occurs during the initial I-17
application process or during recertifications for
SEVP-approved schools. It involves an inspector, an officer, traveling to the school to verify the information on the I-17 and confirm that the school actually has the capacity to enroll the number of students that they propose.
Q. Did you ask her when Tri-Valley University had its site visit?
Q. Did you have any discussion with Dr. Su during this
interview about her understandings of the regulations and full courses of study requirement for schools?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you ask her?
A. I asked her what Tri-Valley University considered a full course of study.
Q. What did she say?
A. She stated that nine units was considered a full course of study at Tri-Valley University.
Q. Did you ask her about a physical attendance requirement?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you ask her?
A. I asked her how many of those nine units that she permitted her students to attend online or not actually physically at the campus.
Q. What did she say?
A. She stated that her students were allowed to take three units online and that the rest had to be done on campus -- or "physical," I should say. She didn't say "on campus." She said "physically." She said they had to physically attend those six units.
Q. Did she tell you where those classes occurred?
A. I asked a follow-up question, and she said that physical attendance takes place at -- at 405 Boulder Court, which was a
that she used to teach at Herguan University, and they were skilled recruiters for foreign students, and she approached them very shortly after she received her SEVIS approval to help her obtain foreign students for Tri-Valley University.
Q. When you said "couple of associates," can you -- did Susan Su tell you their names?
A. Yes.
Q. What were their names?
A. One of them was Bhargav Boinpally, B-a-r-g-h-a-v [sic], Boinpally, B-o-i-n-p-a-l-l-y.
Q. Did you --
A. And --
Q. Did she mention the other name?
A. Yes. The other individual was Abhilash Surineni, I believe A-b-h-a-s-h [sic], Surineni, S-u-r-i-n-e-n-i.
Q. Did you ask her whether she had any contact with these individuals regarding the recruitment process at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did she say?
A. Again, she said that shortly after she received her SEVIS approval, she contacted them to help her build her foreign student base.
Q. Did you ask her whether she met with them?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she say?
A. She stated that she e-mailed them and subsequently met with them and entered into a recruiting contract with them. Q. Did she tell you the name that they went by in the contract?
A. Yes. She indicated they were part of a company or what they call a consultancy in India, and the name of the consultancy was ABS Consultancy. The ABS stood for the first three letters of the first names of the members.
Q. Did Dr. Su indicate how many students, if any, this company had referred to Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes. She estimated that the first 200 students at Tri-Valley University were recruited by them, and she specifically -- or she also estimated that the first 150 students were Samuel Steven Kancharakuntla's recruits, and the -- Boinpally recruited -- recruited another 50 students. Q. Did you ask Dr. Su about the immigration status of the three ABS members?
A. Yes. She stated that she basically made them Tri-Valley University $\mathrm{F}-1$ students after they entered into their precontract.
Q. Did you ask her whether they attended classes at Tri-Valley University?
A. I did.
Q. What did she say?
Q. Okay. Same question with 207B. Do you recognize that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And did Dr. Su also authenticate that during the interview?
A. Yes, she did.

MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, we move Exhibit 206B and 207B
into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. BABCOCK: No, I don't think so, your Honor.
THE COURT: Exhibits 206B and 207B are admitted.
(Government's Exhibits 206B and 207B received in evidence.)
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Now, Agent Taylor -- I believe another witness is going to testify about this, but can you generally just describe -- set the stage for how you received these two e-mails or how DHS received these two e-mails?
A. Sure. So during the course of its investigation, we basically enrolled students that didn't exist at Tri-Valley University and get Tri-Valley University -- to see how long they would be maintained in their status.

And towards the end of -- before we took our enforcement actions, we began contacting Ms. Su posing as immigration officials at the airport and telling Ms. Su that we encountered these individuals and asking for transcripts, verification letters, I-20 permission documents to confirm that they were, in fact, maintaining their status, that they were studying,
full-time students. And in response to those inquiries, she sent these e-mails with those documents.

MR. BABCOCK: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm going to have to object and move to strike. I think pretty much all of that last answer is hearsay-based.

THE COURT: Do you want to be heard?
MR. RHYNE: I think I can clear it up with one question.

THE COURT: All right. I'll withhold a ruling on the objection for the moment, but ask the question. BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Agent Mackey, were you involved in these undercover operations with first-hand knowledge of what happened?
A. Yes. I was present for all the phone calls.
Q. And did you see these e-mails when they were received?
A. Yes.

MR. BABCOCK: Submitted.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Agent Mackey, you're familiar with how the students' -- you called them fictitious students -- names were selected?
A. Yes.
Q. How were they selected?
A. We ran a report in our SEVIS database and basically
randomly pulled names of terminated students in the system to
see if Ms. Su would reactivate or attempt to reactivate those students.
Q. Okay. Did you inform Dr. Su during this interview these, in fact, were fictitious students?
A. I did.
Q. What was her reaction?
A. At first, it seemed like surprise. I believe she told us that -- when we told her that they weren't real students at Tri-Valley University, she said that was -- that's impossible, and then I believe she basically called us tricky.
Q. And Agent Taylor was present; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Agent Taylor was the individual who made the phone call; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you inform her at that point of that fact?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Then did you then follow up regarding the legitimacy of these attachments in both of these e-mails that she sent --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to you?
A. I did.
Q. What did she say?
A. She explained that she created these transcripts and the verification letters and the I-20 and sent them to us because
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Could we publish Exhibit 330, Page 1, and can we go from "Thursday, 5/11/09," all the way down to where it says, "Thanks. In regards, Harman"?

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Agent Mackey, I'd like to start at the bottom of this portion of the e-mail exchange. The person is writing, "Susan. Hi, Susan. I want to say that as per your referral system, I have referred my friend Vishal Dasa according to your referral procedure. Just make sure that I get 15 percent off on first-semester tuition fee.
"And one more thing I want to ask, that there is no slide uploaded in course material for Healthcare Management class. So can you please tell me from where I can get that. Thanks."

As we move up, we see a response from Dr. Su; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Dated 5 November 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. At this point, were you aware of the general dates of the semester systems at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. And where would this fall, November of 2009 -- or 5

November?
A. This would be about a month before the end of the semester.

BY $M R$. RHYNE:
Q. Agent Mackey, I want to direct your attention to the portion of the e-mail where it reads, "Hi, Susan. I have a few questions as follows..."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And that e-mail reads from there, "When can I get my student ID? I can send my pic for that as well. For my classes, there is no ongoing meeting as well as no instructor. How does it work? Kindly explain. I am hoping that these three classes would be counted for my Ph.D. Kindly let me know. Thanks, Anuja," A-n-u-j-a.

Is that correct?
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Okay. Can we go up to the e-mail that's immediately above it?

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Here, we have a response from Susan Su; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Stating, "Anuja, please send in your pictures for student

ID. For the courses, several courses are in developing stage and are still waiting for instructors. So now just reading the course materials, and they will be counted towards your Ph.D., and normally you will have good grade for these courses."

Is that correct?
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process of matching up the credit card receipts with invoices created at Tri-Valley University to identify $F-1$ foreign students that their payments were being made for, and then I matched up the names -- the invoices with the records in the SEVIS database to confirm that -- whether or not payment was being made for an $\mathrm{F}-1$ student or not.
Q. I want to follow up generally on this concept of
"taking" -- "taking class, maybe passing a test, after you're granted a degree," and I forgot to ask you this yesterday. You have a degree; is that correct?
A. I do.
Q. Where is it from?
A. UC Berkeley.
Q. Did you get that before or after you took your classes and passed your tests?
A. After.

MR. RHYNE: No further questions, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rhyne.
Mr. Babcock, recross?
RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY $\operatorname{MR}$. BABCOCK:
Q. You -- the -- you got a degree at Cal?
A. I did.
Q. If you missed a class, did the school call you and say where were you?
in?
A. Physically.
Q. And you conducted surveillance outside of Tri-Valley

University up to that point; is that correct?
A. I had.
Q. And you were choosing times where classes were supposed to be held; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever see any students come and go carrying backpacks, anything like that?
A. There were people coming and going. I wouldn't characterize them as appearing to be students.
Q. You followed up with Dr. Su about the physical attendance requirement, is that correct, during the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she say next?
A. We covered a lot of topics during her interview.
Q. About the physical attendance requirement, she initially gave you the answer you just spoke about. Did that subsequently change?
A. Yes. When I informed her that agents had been conducting surveillance outside of her school, she changed her answer about whether or not Tri-Valley students were physically attending at the Tri-Valley campus, and she explained that physical attendance was occurring online, that students were
physically somewhere when they were logging in.
So she considered that physical attendance, and she also stated that students had the option of coming to class or taking their classes on campus if they wanted to. So because of that, she characterized her classes as physical.
Q. That was after you had informed her of your knowledge regarding the surveillance; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Babcock asked you also about the articulation agreements. During your investigation through the evidence that's been admitted at trial, you're aware that SEVP specifically requested articulation agreements from Dr. Su; correct?
A. They did.
Q. And you've seen the letter where she sends them to SEVP; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. My final question has to do with Mr. Babcock's question about the regs not being attached to the I-17. Can you tell the jury, aside from referencing the regs, what other kind of training can somebody like Dr. Su do in order to learn these regs? Actually, let me rephrase my question.

Does a DSO have to take any training in order to become a DSO?
A. Yes.
A. My first -- first time I became aware was when two agents came to my office in Orlando, and they showed me an agreement that was supposedly between Tri-Valley and the University of Central Florida, and that was the first time I became aware. Q. Okay. Have you had an opportunity to determine whether UCF actually entered into a real articulation agreement with Tri-Valley University?
A. I have.
Q. How did you determine that?
A. Well, I looked at the agreement they presented to me, and I can tell just on the basis of how it was formatted and the signatures that it was not a legitimate agreement. There was no approval by the dean, no approval by the vice president for student development. There was no stamp from our office that one of our attorneys had reviewed the agreement. So -- and then it was not signed by our president. So I knew it was not a real agreement.
Q. Did you take any steps to determine whether UCF had ever entered into an articulation agreement properly with Tri-Valley?
A. I did. I did two things. One, we have an electronic log of every contract that comes through our office, and so I had my administrative assistant go through that log, did a key word search and some other searches for Tri-Valley University, and there were no contracts in our database for Tri-Valley.

## VISHAL DASA,

Called as a witness by the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. Can you please summarize your educational background.
A. I'm a registered pharmacist back from India. I have
completed my Bachelor of Pharmacy.
Q. Where did you go to school in India?
A. Bharat Institute of Technology, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Q. Can you spell the school for the court reporter?
A. Sure. It's B-h-a-r-a-t. Bharat. Technology,

T-e-c-h-n-o-l-o-g-y. Technology.
Q. Are you currently employed?
A. Yes.
Q. Where do you work?
A. I work at eHarmony.com.
Q. Whereabouts?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. In what -- in what city?
A. It's in Santa Monica, California.

THE COURT: Did you say eHarmony?
A. Sure.
Q. Can you tell the jury your immigration history beginning when you first entered the United States?
A. Sure. I -- I entered the United States on an F-1 visa, and

I studied at Antioch University in Santa Barbara, California.
I came on -- I came to the U.S. to study initially on MA for Organizational Psychology. I completed my semester. Then I made -- took a transfer to International Technological University.
Q. What year did you come into the United States to study at Antioch University?
A. October 2008.
Q. Okay. And then you said you transferred from there?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you transfer?
A. I transferred to International Technological University, which was in Sunnyvale, California.
(Court reporter clarification.)
THE WITNESS: Sunnyvale, California.
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. What did you study there?
A. MS in Healthcare Management.
Q. You said MS in Healthcare Management?
A. Master of Science in Healthcare Management.
Q. How long did you stay at ITU?
A. For two semesters.
Q. Okay. Where did you go after that?
A. I went to Tri-Valley University.
Q. Did you go anywhere between leaving ITU and Tri-Valley

University?
A. Yes. I went to India. I took a summer of vacation. I
went to India, and I came back and joined Tri-Valley University.
Q. Okay. Do you know what your immigration status was when you were traveling to India?
A. Yes. It was an $\mathrm{F}-1$.
Q. From which school?
A. From ITU.
Q. Okay. And then when you came back from India, you started at Tri-Valley University; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I want to talk about Tri-Valley University now. I want to direct your attention to the fall of 2009.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that about when you first contacted Tri-Valley

University?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How did you hear about Tri-Valley University?
A. After I come back to United States, one of my friends -her name is Harman Jeet Kaur.
Q. Can you spell that name for the court reporter?
A. H-e -- I don't know the exact spelling, but H-e-r-m-a-n
[sic]. J-e-e-t. K-a-u-r.
Q. So you heard about the school from this person?
A. From -- yes, and she actually told me about this
universities. And then after that, I contact -- I e-mailed Tri-Valley University, and I got a reply and an appointment from Susan Su --
Q. Okay.
A. -- to come to the university and meet her on September 4th.
Q. Okay. I want to back up a little bit. Before you went to Tri-Valley University and before you spoke with Dr. Su, did you research Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did you research it?
A. After listening from Harman Jeet, I went to Tri-Valley University's web page, and I looked for it in, I believe, a random way, and I saw that the university was accredited. I saw they had an MS in Healthcare Management course available. Q. Did you have an opportunity to look at the faculty that was listed?
A. Yes, I did, and all of the faculty names right next to the courses were, like, all of them having doctorates and high professional degrees.
Q. Did you have an opportunity to see how much it charged per
semester?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did you later learn that?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did that -- how did the fees at Tri-Valley compare to the fees at your prior school?
A. Compared to International Technological University, it was a thousand dollars less than compared to International Technological University.
Q. Okay. Now, you stated you called, and you spoke to Dr. Su; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk about that phone call.

How do you know it was Dr. Su?
A. I don't know about her. I called her, and then later on, I came to know that she was the president of the university
because I've seen her name at the time on the web page stating,
"Dr. Susan Su, President of Tri-Valley University."
Q. Did she tell you she was the president?
A. When I met her in person, yes, she did.
Q. Okay. How was the school described to you during the phone conversation?
A. She didn't describe anything on -- while I was talking on the phone.
Q. Did you ask whether they offered a degree in your area?
A. When she was making -- taking me on a tour of the classrooms, she did mention -- saying students would be coming over there and taking physical classes.
Q. After this tour, did you make a decision on whether you were going to transfer to Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did make a -- made a decision to join Tri-Valley University.
Q. Okay. Now, after this visit, you had some e-mail correspondence with Dr. Su; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you employed at the time?
A. No.
Q. Did you want to be employed?
A. I was looking for an on-campus job at that time.
Q. Can you summarize the nature of those e-mails with Dr. Su?
A. On the day when I met -- I was asking her, "Are there any
on-campus jobs available or not?"
Then she said, "As of that time, there are no on-campus jobs available," and she said she would let me know when that -- any jobs available.
Q. Okay. So I want to move forward now, and I want to talk about -- you had another visit to Tri-Valley University; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Approximately how much later did you go back to

And so counsel normally stand up when I come in here. I don't ask them to, but it's our practice here when the jury enters and leaves the courtroom for everyone to stand up. It's just the way folks -- folks view your role.

So please -- I don't -- I can't know that you're waiting for me, but it looks like you might be. So please don't do that.

Mr. Rhyne?
MR. RHYNE: Thank you, your Honor.
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Mr. Dasa, when we left off, we were talking about fall of 2009. I want to pick up there.

At some point during the fall of 2009 , did you sign up for classes at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did you pay for those classes?
A. I -- excuse me. My uncle actually made a wire transfer to the university account, and the first transaction he made was that thousand dollars on September 11th.
Q. After that transfer, did you get anything from Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you get?
A. I got my fee payment receipt along with my continued attendance I-20 showing that --
Q. When --
A. -- I registered for the classes. MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? THE COURT: You may. MR. RHYNE: 479.
(Government's Exhibit 479 marked for identification.)
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Mr. Dasa, I'm going to hand you an exhibit that's been marked Exhibit 479 for identification. I'll just ask you to take a look at it.

Do you recognize those documents?
A. Yes.
Q. What are they?
A. Admission letter, my fee payment invoice, my good standing letter, and my address DMV verification letter, the results of my invoice, which shows that I registered for these courses.
Q. Did you get those documents from Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you give them to any member of the Government in this case?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Who did you give them to?
A. Jason Mackey.
Q. Do those documents look like they looked when you got them from Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Can we go to the next page, and can we, just starting with the box with his name, go all the way down to the signature block at the bottom, please.

Thank you.
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Are these the classes that you registered for initially?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk about what happened after you registered for these classes. Did you ever receive a schedule from the school about when these classes would take place?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever receive any information about who your professors would be?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever receive any information about when the start of instruction would be?
A. She used to send me an e-mail. As soon as the classes would begin, she would let me know.
Q. At some point, did you call to inquire about the status of these classes that you had enrolled in?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you call?
A. I called Tri-Valley University, and Susan Su answered the call.
Q. Okay. What did you ask her?
A. I asked her, like, when are the classes would begin from.
Q. And what did she say?
A. She said she would get back to me.
Q. Did you ask her about the status of what your grades would be?
A. No.
Q. Was there any discussion about what your grades would be in these classes?
A. No.
Q. Would there later be a discussion about what your grades would be in these classes?
A. There was no discussion, but finally, I received a
transcript from Susan Su, and I got A, A, A-minus.
Q. Did you continue to ask Dr. Su about when these classes would start throughout that semester?
A. I asked a couple of times.
Q. Okay. Did you stay enrolled in these classes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was there any attempt to enroll you in some other classes?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury about that?
A. So back in September, like, I -- after I registered for my classes, I e-mailed her, and one day she replied back to me
A. Yes.
Q. In the School of Medicine business; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And here, we have some indications that some prior classes had transferred; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. This says from Antioch?
A. Yes.
Q. And also from ITU?
A. From ITU, I only studied the first three. I didn't do CEN and SEN subjects.
Q. Okay. So the bottom two, you didn't actually complete?
A. I didn't register those at International Technological

University at any time. I don't know how it got added.
Q. Okay. I want to go down to the -- do you see the fall of 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. You received grades in those classes, you mentioned earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was A, A, A-minus; correct?
A. Yes, but there's a different subject than -- compared to what I registered.
Q. Explain that.
A. I never -- like, Susan Su added two of the classes, which
were, like, Database Management and Human Resource Management, which -- I never opted for those, but you can see the third subject is Human Resources Management, which -- I never enrolled for that course at all.
Q. I want to talk about the computer science classes that she said you were going to be auditing. Did she tell you why you needed to audit those classes and not take the classes that you signed up for?
A. She did mention in the e-mail saying for Healthcare Management -- that only less than five students enroll. That's the reason why she's making me enroll for those classes.
Q. Okay. Did you try to log into the computer science classes?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What happened when you tried to log into those classes?
A. Obviously, I'm a healthcare management guy. So when I
logged into classes, everything was looking new to me. So I e-mailed back to her saying I couldn't able to do anything nor understand the subjects.
Q. And that's when she told you you're just auditing?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to move forward now to the spring of 2010.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you have any more discussions with Dr. Su about
classes -- having classes?
A. I had only a few times before I got the grades, but once I got the grades, I never discussed about that.
Q. Okay. Did you have a discussion with her about what you would do during the spring semester?
A. No. Actually, when I met Dr. Susan Su early -- first or second week of January, as soon as I'm over there, she told me that I can take a break in the spring semester.
Q. Okay. I want to talk about that. Tell me about that conversation.
A. So I went along with my friend, and my friend was asking courses, and then when it -- when I started talking to her, she told that I can take a break for that semester, and even I was happy for that because there's no need for me to pay the tuition fees for that semester.
Q. Okay. What was your immigration status during the spring of 2000 --
A. F-1 visa. F-1 student visa.
Q. Let me finish my question.

That's the spring of 2010; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the $\mathrm{F}-1$ visa was for Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was after Dr. Su told you you didn't have to take classes that semester?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do instead that semester?
A. She offered me an on-campus job. So I started working at Tri-Valley University in that semester.
Q. Now, I just want to talk generally. You worked different
time frames at Tri-Valley University; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how many different periods of employment did you have at Tri-Valley University?
A. Three.
Q. And we'll talk about those in a little while, but I want to talk about how your position started there.

Did Dr. Su offer you the employment?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she tell you how much you would make?
A. She told me I'll be getting paid $\$ 10$ an hour.
Q. Are you familiar with the term "Assistant to the

President"?
A. Not when I was joining, but later on I came to know about it.
Q. What was that? What was that job title? Who had that job title?
A. Susan Su told me to put that title when I'm trying to send the e-mails.
Q. So you would send e-mails with that job title?
A. Yes.
Q. What were some of your initial duties when you started working at Tri-Valley University?
A. At the beginning, I used to answer the phone calls and reply back to the e-mails and register a few of the students. Q. Would calls and e-mails normally be questions from students?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk about any instruction or training that you received on how to perform your duties at Tri-Valley University. Did you receive instruction and training from anyone?
A. Yes.
Q. Who trained you and instructed you?
A. Susan Su and Parth Patel.
Q. That was Parth Patel?
A. Yes.
Q. As you continued to work at Tri-Valley University, did you
take on additional jobs? Did you handle other things besides
just answering the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the term "SEVIS"?
A. Yes.
Q. And the SEVIS database?
A. Not at the beginning when $I$ joined, but later on, I came to know.
Q. Okay. And did you receive training on how to operate SEVIS?
A. Yes.
Q. Who trained you?
A. Susan Su and also Parth Patel.
Q. Did Dr. Su provide you any information or training about
what addresses to enter into SEVIS?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she tell you?
A. If a student was not providing any address while applying to Tri-Valley University, the student who got enrolled into CPT and is working at some other -- apart from California, I should use the California address, which was listed in that manual.
Q. Okay. What do you mean "manual"?
A. There was a -- they gave me a manual, which was created by Parth Patel and other folks, which was having the California address, which I needed to copy and paste into the SEVIS. Q. And how did that address in the manual -- was that the same address that Dr. Susan Su told you to enter as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember what that address was?
A. 555 East El Camino Real, Sunnyvale, California. There was some apartment number. I don't remember.
Q. Did you ever receive any training or hear Dr. Su talk about the Tri-Valley University admissions process?
A. Always -- not particularly, but every time she used to scream at me, and she used to tell, like, I should process an application as soon as possible.
Q. Did she tell you about whether any students should or should not get into the school?
A. I haven't seen any student not getting an admission at Tri-Valley.
Q. Did you hear Dr. Su give people instructions on who to admit?
A. We used to admit all the students.
Q. Why?
A. Because it was from Susan Su. She told us to do that.
Q. She -- did you ever request additional information from applicants that were applying to Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How would you request that information?
A. There is an application requirement which has -- like, the basic requirements is passport, visa, previous I-20's, and other financial information. But when I requested the students, she actually yelled at me, and she said what those students submit, I should process the application even if all the documents are not available.
Q. How did she know you were requesting this information?
A. Every day when I sent an -- when I sent e-mails to -- when

I reply back to the students in the night, she used to verify

I want to talk a little bit more about SEVIS. Did you access SEVIS while you were working at Tri-Valley University? A. Yes, I did.
Q. On what kind of machine were you working on?
A. There were a couple of laptops and one desktop, I guess, one or two desktops.
Q. Do you know what the term "designated school official" means?
A. Not at the beginning, but later on, I came to know.
Q. And you've heard of it referred to as DSO?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who the DSO's at Tri-Valley University were?
A. Yes.
Q. Who were they?
A. Susan Su, Wenchao Wang, and Sophie Su.
Q. Explain to me how your day would start. How would you access SEVIS?
A. I would come in the morning, and once I'm over there, Susan Su used to -- she used to tell me to grab a laptop. I used to grab a laptop and give it to her. She used to log into some of the sites, and then after logging in, she used to hand the laptop to me.
Q. And the site that she was logging into -- was that SEVIS?
A. Yes.
Q. When you would work in SEVIS, what would you do?
A. I'd go back to the e-mails. I'd take the application, documents. I tried to input the student's first name, last name, date of birth, address, course, and financial information and print the $\mathrm{I}-20$.
Q. How many I-20's would you say you printed per day?
A. An average, like, 15 to 20.
Q. What would you do with those I-20's at the end of the day?
A. I would print out and give it to Susan -- Susan Su, then she would sign it, and then we were going to mail it. And before that, like, once I create the I-20, I attach those to the student's e-mail and reply back to them saying, "Your I-20 has been created."
Q. Is it true that the DSO's name is preprinted on the $I-20$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. And that depends on which account you're using; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you always use the same account?
A. No. It was different accounts.
Q. When you would take the I-20's to Dr. Su, were they all the same DSO, or were there different names?
A. They were all the same DSO's --
Q. Did you sign --
A. -- on a particular day.
Q. On a particular day.

Okay. Did you take I-20's to Dr. Su that were in her name?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see her sign her name on those I-20's?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you take I-20's to Dr. Su in the DSO name of Sophie Su?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you see Dr. Su sign those names?
A. Yes.
Q. Or those signatures?
A. Yes.
Q. Whose name would she sign?
A. Sophie Su's and Wenchao Wang.
Q. So you saw her do the same thing with Wenchao Wang as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you come to learn that you weren't supposed to be accessing SEVIS?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do when you learned that -- well, let me ask
you this: How did you learn that?
A. So one day what happened -- every day, she used to log in
and give it to me. One day, the SEVIS logged out, and when I -- when the site got logged out, there was a disclaimer on the site saying only DSO's should be accessing the SEVIS.
Q. What did you do at that point?
A. At that point, I took the laptop and went back to Susan, and she logged in back and gave it to me.
A. Yes.
Q. During your time frame working at Tri-Valley University, did you ever see any physical classes?
A. No.
Q. How often would you see an instructor?
A. Twice -- once or twice a month.
Q. What was the purpose of the instructor's presence at

Tri-Valley University, if you know?
A. Couple of them were, like, Ph.D. students at Tri-Valley

University. They were coming at the university to get enrolled for the classes, and that's how I met them.
Q. Were these also $\mathrm{F}-1$ students?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you know they were $\mathrm{F}-1$ students?
A. Because I was helping them in order to register their classes, and sometimes they used to come for their paycheck.
Q. Did you ever see them sit at the front of a room and start to teach a class?
A. No.
Q. What about an online class? Did you ever see them get in front of a camera and start teaching an online class?
A. At the beginning, there were no classes, but later on there were a couple of online classes.
Q. You saw a couple of those?
A. Couple of them, yeah.
Q. Now, you actually tried to log into some of your classes; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What would happen when you would log into your classes?
A. I see a few of the books attached and materials attached to it, and that's what I've seen. When I was getting bored while I'm working, I used to log in, and I used to go through the PDF documents.
Q. How did you log in?
A. I used to have my user ID and password. It's -- we need to go onto TVU's admin website where they administer the classes, and that's when I log into -- using my account. I see my classes over there.
Q. What else would you see when you would log into these Classes? Anything else?
A. No, and there would be always a professor's name right next to the course.
Q. Would you see the live professor?
A. I haven't seen at any time.
Q. Did Dr. Su give -- or did you hear Dr. Su give instructions
to you or other people that worked in Tri-Valley's office about what to do if a teacher submits grades that have F's for their students?
A. I never heard about that, and up to my knowledge, I never, like, college students -- to know a student who got F grade.
A. Susan Su.
Q. And what percentage of the students' tuition would the referring student get?
A. 15 percent and -- plus 5 or 3 percent if the other student refers some other students.
Q. So the first student -- if you're at the top, you'd get

15 percent for this student; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if this student refers other students, then 5 percent would go up to the top person?
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
THE COURT: You may.
(Government's Exhibits 477 and 478 marked for identification.)

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Mr. Dasa, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 477 and 478 for identification, and I'll ask you to look at Exhibit 477 first.

Do you recognize that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a referral agreement.
Q. Where did you get it?
A. From Susan Su.
Q. Is that a document you provided to Agent Mackey in this
A. Yes.
Q. -- of the Tri-Valley --
A. Yes.
Q. You're referring to this spot right here?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you to close that, put that back in the folder there, and look at Exhibit 478.

Do you recognize Exhibit 478?
A. Yes.

MR. RHYNE: You can take that down. Thanks.

BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. What's Exhibit 478?
A. It states that I've got, like, 15-percent referral fees, and it also states that 12 -- like, 12-percent extra bonus for those 12 and for the other students.
Q. Is this a receipt for your payments?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You received referral payments?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you get this from Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you give it to Agent Mackey?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it look -- if you look at Exhibit 478, does it look like it looked when you got it?
A. Yeah.

MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, we'd ask that 478 be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. BABCOCK: No objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: 478 is admitted.
(Government's Exhibit 478 received in evidence.)
MR. RHYNE: Can we publish Exhibit 478, the first page, please, and can we just start at the top, "Agent Referral Fees," and go down about halfway.

Thank you.
BY MR. RHYNE:
Q. Mr. Dasa, this is the receipt you're referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. It's for Spring 2010?
A. Yes.
Q. And it has a referral agreement rate of 15 percent?
A. Yes.
Q. Has your e-mail address there?
A. Yes.
Q. A mailing address for a check?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that your address?
A. Yes, that's my address.
Q. It was?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you were working at Tri-Valley University over time, you did some of that; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And other people in the office were doing that as well; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was against the instructions that Dr. Su had given to you about the referral fees; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you would also refer walk-in students to other people that you knew; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. One of those people was Ram Karra?
A. Yes.
Q. And his name is spelled $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{a}$; correct?
A. No. R-a-m, $K-a-r-r-a$.
Q. K-a-r-r-a. I'm sorry.

And you would refer those students out so he could get the referral fee; correct?
A. Yes, and he told me that he will give me some percentage of it.
Q. He, in fact, gave you something in return for that; is that correct?
A. He just gave me a cell phone.
Q. What kind of phone?
A. iPhone.
Q. You also passed along some walk-in students to somebody named Golla; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is that?
A. He was a student at Tri-Valley University.
Q. Okay. How do you spell his name?
A. Hari, H-a-r-i. His middle name is B, and Golla is his last name, G-o-l-l-a.
Q. And he got referral fees for those students; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Even though they were walk-in students?
A. Yes.
Q. You also received extra payments for some other things you did at Tri-Valley University; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you -- did you ever expedite referred students'
application fees, speed them up?
A. Hari Golla actually used to message to myself saying that if I give a high priority to his applications, then he will give me some money, and he gave me a thousand dollars for it. Q. What was $\operatorname{Dr}$. Su paying you at this point?
A. She was paying about, like -- it was depending on the
number of days when I worked over there. So it was about 1500 to $\$ 1800$ per month.
Q. Okay. And how many days a week were you working?
A. At that time, I used to work five days a week, and I used to work, like, more than -- like, about 12 hours a day. Not every day I used to work 12 hours. It would -- like, ten to 12 hours.
Q. Did Dr. Su find out that you were referring these students and breaking some of these rules?
A. Yes.
Q. What did she do?
A. Actually, for the second time when I joined, she came to know in the month of May, and we had a very bad argument, and I left the university. I left the job, and she was telling me to go off.

Then later on, I started coming to the university for my friend's -- friend's I-20's and other admission documents. She actually took me aside, and she asked me what happened, why I was doing that. And I told her -- saying it was Ramakrishna Karra who made me do all these, and he told me that he will pay a percentage, and that's how I got involved in it. And then later on when -- after I told her everything, she rehired me. Q. I want to jump back to -- to one question I asked you earlier.

MR. RHYNE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
Q. Is that why you transferred to ITU?
A. That's one of the reasons, but the major reason was -like, at Antioch University, I was doing MA in Organizational Psychology because I'm from a science background. I've done my Bachelor of Pharmacy. I wanted to do my Master's in Healthcare Management so that it's related to my course of study. That's the reason why I transferred to International Technological University.
Q. And Antioch did not offer the program you were interested in?
A. They were not offering me that.
Q. Okay. But I'm curious. Why did you go to Antioch in the first place if it didn't offer a program you were interested in?
A. I was -- at the beginning, I was interested to do some organizational psychology stuff, but later on, I think, like, healthcare management would be more, like, closer to my professional Bachelor of Pharmacy degree than organizational psychology because psychology is completely different. Q. Okay. When you -- so you registered in September of 2009 for -- strike that.

I meant to ask and forgot: The ITU -- did ITU offer -- so ITU did offer courses that you were interested in studying?
A. Yes. They were having an MS in Healthcare Management.
Q. And isn't it true one of the main reasons, if not the
A. When I went there, I see a large room in the building, what I saw on the website the day I was in India when I did research for that, and I told her I saw some pictures of the university. So that's the same building I had seen there when I went.

And after getting into the room, it's a very big room. In one corner of the room, a person was busy having three lines in front of her and taking calls, and when I asked Keerthana, she told me that she was Susan Su, Director of the Tri-Valley University. And then on the other side of the room, I see two people, one girl and then a boy. They were assisting the people who were coming to the -- to the university.
Q. What do you mean "assisting people"?
A. If anybody wants to -- if new-coming students who want to pay the fee or if they need an ID card or that information, they will help the student then.
Q. Now, what you saw when you arrived, was that what you expected?
A. No.
Q. Why not? How was it different?
A. In -- when I was in India when doing my Bachelor's, like -I've done my Bachelor's in Andhra University. So it was a huge university, and when I was coming to the United States, I expected more than that. I expected a huge building, like, with a lot of students and offices, and I expected a different thing than what I had seen on that day.
A. After paying some amount at the university, I went back to my home, and after a couple of weeks, I got a link for registration of the courses, and at that time I -- I'm able to see the -- see the link -- see the e-mail provided with the date and the time of the class.
Q. Okay. And did you find out whether that class was online or in person?
A. It's online.
Q. All right. Did you find out whether there were any
physical classes that semester?
A. No.
Q. Let me try that question again.

Did you learn one way or another whether there were going to be physical classes that semester?
A. On that day when I was at the university as per our conversation, I came to know that there would be in-person classes for that semester.
Q. And did you later find out whether that was true?
A. Later that -- I found out that there would be no in-person classes also for the second semester, too.
Q. Did you ever try to log into the online classes for that second semester?
A. Yes.
Q. What happened when you tried to log in?
A. In the second semester when I tried to log in, I can just
see the -- regarding a "Play" button and some -- some -- I don't know whether those were student names or professors' names. I can see some names listed on the left side of the web page.
Q. Okay. So you saw a list of names on the side?
A. Yes.
Q. And you saw a window with a "Play" button?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you press "Play"?
A. Yeah.
Q. And what happened?
A. After -- after I started playing the recording, I thought there was, like, a professor who was teaching the students because that's the first time I'm taking the online -- online course, and I don't have that option in India.
Q. So --
A. So --
Q. So you thought there was an instructor there?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you find -- did you do anything to find out whether that was correct?
A. I just -- I just tried to say, "Hello? Hello?" for a couple of times, and I did not get any response, and then I came to know that it's just a recording. There was no instructor.
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A. It's a Tri-Valley University fee receipt.
Q. For Rajiv Batra?
A. Yes.
Q. Same courses?
A. Correct.
Q. And does this reflect that a thousand dollars had been paid
on that day?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Now, were you involved in a ruse call at some point?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. I want to direct your attention to September 20th, 2010.

Do you have that date in mind?
A. I do.
Q. What happened on that day?
A. That day, myself and Agent Mackey conducted a surveillance at the Tri-Valley University campus. We waited for Ms. Su to arrive in her vehicle, which we were familiar with at this point. Upon her arrival, we placed a ruse phone call to the Tri-Valley office.
Q. Okay. And roughly what time of day was this?
A. This was afternoon, after 4:00 o'clock, I believe.
Q. Was it light outside?
A. It was still light, yes.
Q. Okay. So you were able to see what was going on?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm sorry. You said you saw Dr. Su arrive?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. How did she arrive?
A. In her red Mercedes.
Q. How did you decide what numbers to call or what number to call?
A. We picked the numbers off the Tri-Valley website, the contact numbers, and began randomly dying -- dialing them.
Q. Did you ultimately reach anyone?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you reach?
A. Initially, a female voice answered the phone. I wasn't aware of who that person was.
Q. Did you identify yourself in some way?
A. I identified myself as Officer Taylor from the airport.
Q. And did you ask to speak with anyone in particular?
A. I asked to speak with a DSO.
Q. Did somebody else then get on the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did that person identify themselves?
A. It was Dr. Su.
Q. Did you recognize her voice at that point?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Can you explain generally what happened?
A. Yes. We informed Dr. Su that there was a student that
appeared to be a Tri-Valley student that had arrived at the airport without any proper documentation.
Q. Which airport?
A. San Francisco International Airport.
Q. And did you --
A. And --
Q. -- ask her for anything?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. What did you ask her for?
A. We asked her to provide a letter from the school stating that the identified student was in good standing and a current student. We requested a signed copy of the student's I-20 and current transcripts for the student.
Q. And what identifying information did you provide for that student to make sure she was checking for the right person?
A. We spelled his name out for her.
Q. What was the name?
A. Sparsh Agrawat.
Q. Did you provide other information besides spelling the name?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. Okay. Did you ask her to do anything?
A. We requested her to submit those documents via fax and via
e-mail to my e-mail address.
Q. Was this call recorded in some way?
A. I provided a fax number.
Q. I'm sorry. Fax number.

What was that fax number?
A. (415) 844-5335. That's our office fax.
Q. Okay. And what happened after you hung up from that call?
A. Agent Mackey and I continued to surveil the location, and
we witnessed Ms. Su exit the building and retrieve something
from her car and then reenter the building.
Q. Okay. At some point, did you get e-mails?
A. Yes.
Q. About how long after?
A. Not too long, maybe ten, 15 minutes.
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit 206B, which is already in evidence.

MR. BABCOCK: Sorry. What number are you getting? MS. WEST: 206B. It's already in.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Agent Taylor, is this the e-mail that you received from Dr. Su?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. September 20th, 2010?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that your true e-mail address that you provided?
A. The e-mail addresses I provided? Yes, it was my DHS e-mail address.
that she had a point of contact to go to if she had any issues with students. She seemed to agree to that.
Q. Did anything else substantive happen in her office?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What happened after you were in her office?
A. For the brief time we were in her office, we then exited and asked for a tour. She provided us a tour of the campus.
Q. Let me ask you about that.

How many floors were there?
A. Two floors.
Q. And did you start on the first floor?
A. We did. We were outside her office.
Q. What did you see outside the office?
A. She took us right outside her main office and showed us an open-space area that contained a screen similar to that, which didn't have anything projected on it, and a few tables and chairs that were lined up.
Q. Okay. When you say "similar to that," are you referring to the projection screen?
A. Yeah, similar to that projection screen.
Q. The one that we've been using to show exhibits?
A. Yes. It was affixed to the wall. It was one of the ones you can pull down.
Q. Okay. It's just -- on the record, it just says "that." So we need to describe it a little more particularly.

After you saw the projection screen and some chairs, what else did you see?
A. At that time, Ms. Su identified that as the classroom.
Q. Okay. Any other classrooms?
A. No. No. We didn't -- we weren't shown any other
classrooms during our site visit there. She next showed us her networking closet, wire closet.
Q. What is a networking closet?
A. It's a room where typically IT equipment is kept, network servers, exchange servers, stuff like that --
Q. Okay.
A. -- routers.
Q. Did you see anything in there?
A. We did see some boxes of what appeared to be equipment. I didn't open the boxes. Ms. Su stated something to the effect that there were -- there was an e-mail server in the box, but she was going to have to set up a -- she didn't have the funds at the time.
Q. And did she have any explanation for that e-mail server?
A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
Q. What else did she show you after the networking closet?
A. Next, we traversed from Suite -- we were now in Suite 800. She took us to Suite 700 and showed us her staff area where her staff worked.
Q. Okay. So are these two areas connected to 800 --
A. They are connected.
Q. Okay. You have to wait for me to finish asking my question
so we don't upset the court reporter.
Okay. So they're connected inside?
A. Yes.
Q. You walked from 800 into 700, and what did you see?
A. It was another open room, appeared to be desks on the outsides, and at this time we were introduced to Sophie Su.
Q. Okay. Did Susan $S u$ say anything about what that space was used for?
A. Yes. She said that this was where her staff worked, and she also identified the back left corner as the TVU library.
Q. Can you describe the TVU library?
A. It consisted of two bookshelves, which had various books on it, and a single computer.
Q. Did you see any staff working in the staff work center?
A. We saw what she identified as staff. They were basically
standing there waiting to greet us.
Q. How many people?
A. Two.
Q. And you said you met Sophie Su at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Dr. Su introduce her to you?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. How did she -- how did she do that?
Q. How many chairs?
A. There was two chairs. Sorry.
Q. Can you explain where the two chairs were situated?
A. On the opposite side of Ms. Su's desk.
Q. So guest chairs?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was there a chair behind the desk?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. And was that the one that $D r$. Su was seated in when you met with her?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to -- let me make sure I cover -- was there anything else on the first floor that you saw, or did we cover it?
A. That was it.
Q. Okay. Did you get a chance to go upstairs?
A. I did.
Q. What did you see upstairs?
A. Ms. Su escorted us to the top of Suite 700, which consisted of three consecutive rooms.
Q. What -- what did you see? Can you describe the rooms for us, please.
A. The rooms were sparsely furnished. Ms. Su identified them as staff rooms, faculty rooms. In the center room, Ms. Sophie Su was there with a small child.
Q. And was that room furnished?
A. It was sparsely furnished.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. It appeared to be -- it looked to me as if it was a folding picnic table, not an actual desk.
Q. Was there a chair?
A. Yes, there was a chair.
Q. And was Sophie Su in that?
A. Yes, she was.
Q. By herself?
A. In the chair, yes, but again, she had a small child with her.
Q. Okay. But by herself in the chair?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What else did you see upstairs?
A. That was it.
Q. Did you get to see the second floor of Suite 800?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. We requested to see it, but she was very insistent that we couldn't go in there for some reason. I believe she stated it was under construction and that they were building some type of student auditorium. I asked a couple times and -- you know, "Can we just go on the steps and take a look?" And it was "No" each time. So we never made it up there.
Q. Did she say anything about whether those classes were physical; that is, in-person classes or online?
A. We asked her where classes took place, and she said, "At this campus," and then she went kind of off on a tangent saying, "Sometimes the students don't like to drive here. They don't like the traffic." So they project it on the wall, and they can -- the students can watch it in their system.
Q. Did you seek any clarification on that?
A. Yeah, we did ask her to clarify. I think we -- it was myself that said, "When classes are held, they're held at this location?"

And she said, "Yes."
Q. Did she say anything about what days or what times the classes were offered?
A. Yeah. She said the classes were offered from 5:30 to 8:30, I believe, Monday through Saturday. And we asked her if there was classes that evening, and she said, "Yes."
Q. Was there any discussion as to requirements for attendance?
A. We did ask her if the DSO's reviewed attendance records, who kept track of attendance, and she initially said it was the instructors' job to do that. And then we asked her, "Do DSO's review those, seeing as how they're responsible for the students' attendance?"

And she said, "Yes."
Q. Was there any question posed to her about the regulations
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Please state your full name and spell your last name. THE WITNESS: Anji Reddy Dirisanala, D-i-r-i-s-a-n-a-l-a.

## ANJI REDDY DIRISANALA,

Called as a witness by the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Dirisanala.
A. Good morning.
Q. Where did you grow up?
A. In India.
Q. Where are you living now?
A. I'm living in Sunnyvale.
Q. Why did you come to the United States?
A. I came here to do my Master's in Industrial Engineering.
Q. Can you tell us about your educational background in India?
A. I did my Bachelor's in Automotive Engineering. Then after that, I did -- I worked for two and a half years in Hyundai. Then I came here for doing my Master's.
Q. What made you decide to do your Master's?
A. Most of my classmates and friends came here to do their Master's. Then they wanted to come here, finish their Master's, then get into a good job. So that's why I came here to do it.
Q. Well, that's what your friends did; right?
A. Yeah.
Q. What made you decide to do that?
A. Oh. I came here to finish my Master's and get into some good job to --
Q. Did you say H-1?
A. $\mathrm{H}-1$.
Q. Was making money a factor in your decision to come?
A. Yes.
Q. Why was money a factor for you?
A. I've been supporting my family from the day I finished my Bachelor's, and I'm the only son in my family. So my father is in too many debts. So I took up all the responsibilities. So that's the main factor for me to come here to do Master's, then to earn money here.
Q. And was part of your motivation for coming to the United States to get a Master's itself to make money?
A. See, the only option I have as a Bachelor's student is only to come as a Master's to the United States. I do not have much experience. So there's nobody to file an H-1 visa for me. That's work regulation here. So for students, it is the only option to come to the United States to do the Master's degree.
Q. So you thought an $\mathrm{F}-1$ visa would be your only chance?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, do you know the defendant in this case, Susan Su?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you identify her here in the courtroom?
A. Yeah. She's sitting there.
Q. Are you referring to this table between the two gentlemen?
A. Yes.

MR. BABCOCK: Stipulate they know each other.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. How do you know Susan Su?
A. Oh, she's the Tri-Valley University president, and I worked
in the university along with her for three months in that office.
Q. When you first came to the United States, did you -- excuse me. Did you go to Tri-Valley University or someplace else?
A. No. I actually got a visa to attend International

Technological University in Sunnyvale. So -- but I didn't join the university due to financial issues, and I'm not able to get some part-time job in -- like, a campus job in the college. Then I was -- I told through my friends to join that Tri-Valley University. That's my second option going there.
Q. All right. I want to talk about how you came to learn about Tri-Valley University. You mentioned it was through a friend. What was -- or how did Tri-Valley University first come up for you?
A. I was waiting in the ITU university. Then I met an Indian guy named -- the name of Vandesh. Then he told me there is one
university in Pleasanton, but he didn't give me much details, but he told me through his friend he would give me an admission, and he would talk to the university president so I can -- that he can give me a campus job. So --
Q. Let me stop you for a moment, please.

Was the campus job important to you?
A. Yes, the campus job was important to me.
Q. Why?
A. Because I have to support myself to pay the fee, and if there is some money remaining, I need to send it to India to support my family.
Q. How much money did you have when you came to the United States?
A. When I enter first entered the United States, I had around \$30.
Q. And why couldn't you work while at ITU?
A. Can you repeat it?
Q. Why could you not work at ITU?
A. Oh. ITU University -- they are full with all the students, and they do not have much jobs available there. So they said they can only try to give me a campus job the next semester.
Q. And would that work for you?
A. No. I thought -- no. I need to work somewhere in, like, a legal job to make money. So that was my option, to look up something else who can offer me a job.

THE COURT: Mr. Dirisanala, that pitcher there is water, and it's there for you if you get thirsty. THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. So then you heard about Tri-Valley University and the possibility of a job there; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you follow up on that?
A. No. Van -- he referred me to a person by the name of Vishal. So Vishal confirmed it to me if that -- he talked with the university president, and they are ready to give me a campus job if I move into that university.
Q. So you actually had a direct conversation with Vishal?
A. Yes.
Q. After he told you that you could get a campus job if you switched to that university, what did you do?
A. So I send my documents to Vishal, and he give me -- he came to my house, and he gave me the admission letter and the initial I-20 to transfer to the Tri-Valley University. Q. What documents did you provide to Vishal?
A. I gave him my educational documents to get a -- like, documents required for a -- for my education, my certificates, then my passport. I think I gave all the documents required for --
Q. And then you received an admission letter?
Q. But why did your brother-in-law go with you?
A. He came with me to pay the fee because I do not have any money. My brother-in-law said he will pay my college fee. So Tri-Valley gave me an option to just pay a thousand dollars at the start. So he came along with me to pay the fee.
Q. Did you have any trouble locating Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe that to us, please.
A. On the website, we saw an address. It's, like, on Stoneridge Avenue. So me and brother-in-law went to Stoneridge Avenue, but we couldn't find it. We researched all the buildings. There's nothing at Tri-Valley University there. Then we took the phone number of Susan Su and called her. She said, "No. Come over here. Our office has moved to Bernal Avenue." Then we later on went to the Bernal Avenue to meet Susan Su.
Q. And did you have any trouble finding it then?
A. Yeah, because it's, like, a used building. I think -- when I went first, I do not know what kind of -- I was thinking it's a huge university, but I couldn't find where is the university located. So I needed to call her from the parking lot, and she just came out, and she get me to the university, but it was a small room.
Q. I'm sorry. You said a small room?
A. A small room. She got us to the university admission
office.
Q. When you got to the admission office, did you have a conversation with Susan Su?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you talk about?
A. Okay. I told her, like, "Okay. This is a problem." And Vishal confirmed it to me that "You are going to give me a campus job if I come to your university."

Then she said, "Yeah. Yes." Then she said, "Yes." Then she asked us to pay the fee right away, and we paid a thousand-dollar fee, and she gave the receipt to us. In addition, she also told me, "Okay. You can come over tomorrow. I'm going to give you the job."
Q. All right. Let me break that down a little bit, please. After you paid the fee, you said she gave you a receipt?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she also give you an I-20?
A. Yeah, she gave me a register I-20.
Q. And did she sign it?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did you have any conversation with her about classes?
A. Yeah. In the conversation with her -- and I was also
imagination [sic] the whole building belongs to Tri-Valley University. The way she told us -- actually, I was sitting next to my brother-in-law, and he asked where are the classes
going on.
She said, like, "This" -- "these are all the classes where people take classes." So we were on the assumption that the entire rooms there belong to Tri-Valley University.
Q. Did you have any conversation with Dr . Su about when the classes would start?
A. Oh, I talked with her when other classes were going to start. She said once all the students sign up, it's going to start. So she didn't give me a specific time period, but she said all the classes are going to start once all the people sign up for the classes.
Q. Now, how did you know where to go for your campus job?
A. Oh, she told me to come over to the same office tomorrow. So I took the -- we have the address over there, the Bernal Avenue address. So I came early morning by 7:30. She didn't tell me the timing -- what time to come since $I$ do not have a car, and my brother-in-law has to go to the office. He dropped me early morning around 7:00 o'clock, 7:30.
Q. Was Dr. Su there when you arrived?
A. No, she's not there.
Q. What time -- or did she arrive?
A. She came somewhere around 9:00 o'clock time, around 9:00 o'clock.
Q. Did you have any conversation with Dr. Su that day about classes?
A. Oh, we really didn't have any conversation with her. The moment I came, I started noticing a lot of schoolchildren coming there to all the classrooms there until 7:30. I am there until 9:00 o'clock. I noticed most of the schoolchildren coming there.
Q. When you say "schoolchildren," what do you mean?
A. Oh, like, maybe, like, five years, six years, seven, like, up to eight years, kids like that, small kids.
Q. Five, six, seven years old?
A. Something like that, maybe first time -- first-grade, second-grade -- somewhere around that age -- students.
Q. Did you discuss that with Dr. Su?
A. No, I didn't talk with her about anything the first day. I didn't talk with her about anything.
Q. Now, this is -- do you remember what day this was that you went there for your first day of work?
A. It was on February 10th, 2010.
Q. And was this -- where did this fall in Tri-Valley

University's semester, if you know, or trimester?
A. I think it's spring or something. I don't what the semesters --
Q. Okay.

MR. BABCOCK: I'm sorry. I didn't hear any of that.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear -- I didn't
hear your testimony. Can you say that more loudly?
and give it in the evening back to Susan Su.
Q. Okay. Do I understand correctly you were supposed to go through the e-mails?
A. Go through the e-mails and print all the address of the students.
Q. And put everything -- put the address on envelopes?
A. Yes.
Q. And put I-20's in the envelopes?
A. Yes.
Q. What time did you leave that day?
A. I left evening, around 7:00.
Q. So from that first day, February 10th -- do I have that right?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did you work for Tri-Valley? What was your last day?
A. Last day is May 12th.
Q. And approximately over that roughly three-month period, approximately how many hours a day did you work?
A. Most of the day is Monday to Saturday and some days on Sunday. Most of the days I worked, I used to come to the university around 7:00 o'clock, 7:30 in the morning, and I leave around 6:30 to 7:30 in the evening.
Q. From those hours and the days Monday through Saturday, sometimes Sunday, did you ever see somebody -- or do you know
Q. Over time, did your job duties expand at Tri-Valley?
A. Yes.
Q. How did they -- how did they first enlarge?
A. First I was printing all the envelopes, addresses, and everything, and then later on I was told by Susan Su that
"Okay. I'm going to give you this I-20," and she gave me a laptop, and she started telling me to do the I-20 admission letters and I-20's for the students who wish to transfer into Tri-Valley.
Q. Okay. So that was for students transferring in?
A. Transferring in.
Q. How -- how did you learn how to do that? Did somebody instruct you?
A. Yes. Susan Su instructed to me, and she gave me a working manual, what all I should input there in the new I-20.
Q. What do you mean "a working manual"?
A. Like, a -- there are some steps to be followed in the I-20 -- with creating an I-20 like putting the address, then what is the starting day of the semester and what other funds are available for the student to do their studies and how much is their expenditure in the U.S. and what is the physical address they are staying. So there's some things like this.

So everything -- Susan Su gave me a manual, and on it with paper, she gave -- wrote and gave to me the starting and ending of the semester dates I should input in the SEVIS system.
Q. Now, how would you know what address to list for the students?
A. It was given to me by Susan Su.
Q. And was there a particular address?
A. Yeah. It is 555 El Camino Real, Sunnyvale.
Q. Did she explain to you why to use that address?
A. Oh, she told me, like, "This is the address where all the students are going to stay to show that this is the record being maintained by SEVIS," and they need some address in California to show students are living there and they're coming to the Tri-Valley University.
Q. Did you know one way or another whether these people were actually staying at 555 El Camino?
A. Later on, I came to know that nobody stays in address, and it is just us putting some address there in --

MR. BABCOCK: Objection.
THE WITNESS: -- in the SEVIS system.
MR. BABCOCK: Objection. I'm sorry. Move to strike absent a foundation for the last response. THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. How did you come to know that, Mr. Dirisanala?
A. Later on, there is a person by the name Samuel Steven who comes to the university often. I have a discussion with him, and I asked him, like, "Who are the people staying at this 555

El Camino Real?" Then he told me it was this apartment address he previously lived in in Sunnyvale, and Susan $S u$ is using the address on I-20.

MR. BABCOCK: I'm going to renew my objection and move to strike.

MS. WEST: Statement of coconspirator.
MR. BABCOCK: It's hearsay.
THE COURT: The motion will be granted.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. How did you get the information about student finances to put in the I-20's?
A. Okay. Please repeat it.
Q. Yes.

You mentioned that one of the things that you had to enter into the I-20 was something about the students' money?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you get that information?
A. Oh, there is a fixed amount for all the students. So it was written in the manual that is given to me by Susan Su. So it states that -- put 5,500 for education, 5,000 for expenditure live -- to live, and $\$ 500$ for miscellaneous charges. So it come out to be about $\$ 11,000$.
Q. That's the expenses for the school?
A. For the school and for the students to stay in the United States. Basically, it is like -- every student has to give
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their own financial information when applying for college admission, and everybody has their own financial, like -- okay. I have $\$ 30,000$ or $\$ 40,000$. That is the amount to be put in the SEVIS system, but Tri-Valley has the same option of following the same amount for all the students.
Q. Okay. I want to make sure that we understand what you're saying.

All students have their own amount of money that they possess when they're transferring; right?
A. Yes.
Q. How -- did students convey to you maybe through e-mails or some other way how much money they had?
A. Basically, no, because that is a process that students have to do, sending the financial information, but Tri-Valley University doesn't request the students to give financial information. So that -Q. So stop for a moment.

How do you actually get that information to put in the I-20, then?
A. It was given to me by Susan $S u$ in a working manual to put a particular amount for all the students. I do the I-20's.
Q. Now, how do you actually access the I-20 form? Is that through a computer?
A. Yeah, through a computer.
Q. And were you able to log into the computer and open up the

I-20?
A. No. Actually, Susan Su carries the laptops always with her, and she logs in the login information and password, and she gives computers to the students -- students and to me also to access the I-20 on the SEVIS system.
Q. Okay. So would you actually enter a login ID and password?
A. No.
Q. That would be done by Susan Su?
A. By Susan Su, and sometimes she will ask -- if I am standing next to her, she will say, "Okay. Turn that side. I'm going to enter the details."
Q. And then what would she do after she entered that information?
A. After she enters the information, I take the laptop and just sit next to her maybe this much distance. She sits here, and I'd be almost sitting next to her because the size of the room is so small, everybody has to sit next to each other.

THE COURT: Indicating a distance of not more than three feet for the record.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. So she would log in, and then she'd hand the computer to you to work on. Is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Aside from working on the transfer I-20's -- well, actually, let me ask you first: Do you know the name of that
they are telling. Just keep on transferring the student."
Most of the admissions coming to the university are only
transfer students. They're all from different universities.
So she told me, "Just don't worry about it. Just keep on
giving the I-20's, whether they have it or not."
Q. Okay. So that's for transfers; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Dr. Su about whether
to admit or deny anyone who was a new applicant, not a
transfer?
A. No. She told me, "Don't deny anybody. Just put them in place. Immediately do it."
Q. Did you --

MR. BABCOCK: I'm sorry. I missed that last part. THE WITNESS: Oh. "Whoever applies for admission, give them the admission." There's no denying anyone in the university.

BY MS. WEST:
Q. Did you ever see any applicants, whether transfer or new admission, who were not already F-1 students?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there people who were United States citizens?
A. I don't think I'd done even one or two citizens. I'm not sure about it. I don't think I did any citizen applications.
Q. So who were the non-F-1's?
A. Most of the students that got an admission are -- who are H-4 visa -- like, most of the women who come -- if their husband is working as an H-1 visa, they come as an H-4. So they are not supposed to do anything here. So since this university has an option of giving CPT --
Q. What is CPT?
A. It's credit program training. It's like a permission to work in any company related to the field they're taking.
Q. Okay.
A. So they got there -- all the students -- like, some students who are on a tourist visa -- there is only -- who come to visit the United States, they're going to apply for an admission, and they are given I-20. Then H-4 -- they are -that's their dependents. Even dependents are given an I-20. Q. All right. So from all of the applicants you saw, you don't remember seeing any citizens; is that right? Yes or no? A. I'm not sure about it --
Q. Okay.
A. -- but up to my knowledge, I don't think I did, and -because U.S. citizens -- there is no need to do any SEVIS for them. It's just an admission to them.
Q. Okay. But I'm talking not just about the I-20 but all of the e-mails. Do you remember doing an admission -- a letter of admission for anybody you did not have to create an I-20 for? A. I don't remember.

Philip. See, this system -- how it works is since four DSO's are there and a few people are working in the university, anybody can get any DSO's user ID and their password. One day, I can work on Sophie Su's ID or Susan Su's ID or Wang's ID or Renu Philip's ID. If me or other students work in Renu Philip's ID, then Susan Su signs Renu Philip. If Renu is working on her name, she signs it in the office.
Q. Okay. Did you -- you said that you never saw Sophie Su there?
A. No, I never saw her.
Q. Okay. So I take it that means you never saw Sophie sign her own name?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ever see Wenchao Vince Wang sign his own name?
A. No.
Q. But you did sometimes see Renu Philip sign her own name?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So for -- let's put aside Renu Philip. Did you see Susan Su sign Sophie Su and Wenchao Wang's names?
A. Yes.
Q. How often?
A. Every day.
Q. Can you give us a rough number from your three months there?
A. I did more than a hundred. It can be very large number of
A. I only -- see, I came to know that after I am working for some time. If I don't access the system for a few minutes, it automatically logs off. Then the warning comes on the screen, and it says it is accessible only to the DSO's who are authorized, and it says, "Authorized people are allowed to access this," something like that.
Q. Okay. So when you first learned that you weren't allowed to do it was when it timed out and you saw that warning?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have a discussion with Susan Su about that?
A. Within two -- like, two, three days after I started working on I-20's, I asked her, "Susan, this sign came up. I'm unauthorized to do it."

She said, "Don't you worry. I'm the university president. You take just continue doing it. Don't worry."
Q. Mr. Dirisanala, did you attend classes while you were working in Tri-Valley's office?
A. No, I didn't attend any classes.
Q. Why not?
A. I'm working in the university. So at -- starting, I was thinking there will be classes. Actually, to be frank, on the first day, I came with the hopes also -- like, to take notes if any classes would go on, anything like that.
Q. On your first day?
A. On my first day, I came with, like, a notebook. So I was
on the assumption classes would go on, but eventually then I thought, "Okay. Maybe it will start within one week or ten days," but the classes didn't start. So I never took any classes there.
Q. Did you have any conversation with Susan $S u$ about "Hey, the classes haven't started yet. When are they going to start?" A. The first two or three days, she said that classes are going to start when all the people show up. And within four, five -- like, a week at a time, then it is clear there are no classes.

So this university doesn't -- like, all the classrooms are not belonging to this university, only that small room and the next -- one classroom she's renting a few hours a day. So I came to know that, and it is clear. Okay? This is one university where there are no classes.
Q. Did you actually see any classes take place at that
classroom next door she had rented?
A. No. There are no classes at all.
Q. Did you ever worry about being here as an $\mathrm{F}-1$ student but not taking classes?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Susan Su about that?
A. Yes. I had a conversation with her that I'm not taking classes. "What will happen?"

And she told me, "Since you are working in the university,
you don't worry. I'll take care of you."
Q. At any point, did you switch your degree program to help in that somehow?
A. Yeah. It went on around a month time. Then there are no classes going on. And, like, someone told me, "If you are an F-1 student, it's mandatory for you to take classes. Somebody might check on you any time what you are doing."

Then I asked Susan Su in the office. I said, "I'm not taking any classes. So what will happen to me now? I'm really scared."

And she said, "Okay." She asked me then, "What degree did you have?"

I told her, "Automotive Engineering," and I told her the subject I took.

Then she said, "Okay. I already have a Ph.D. in
Mechanical. So why don't you shift to Mechanical. Then I will be your professor."
Q. That Susan Su would be your professor?
A. Yes. And she said, "I will take care of your grades even if you don't take care of" -- "if you don't attend online classes." Then she changed my SEVIS to Mechanical Engineering from Computer Science.
Q. Okay. So you just mentioned online classes. You said that there weren't any classes at all. At some point, did there start online classes?
A. I have one --
Q. I mean for yourself.
A. For myself, there's one professor who used to send an
e-mail. I showed it to Susan Su. "Susan, this guy is sending me e-mails to attend the class. What do I do?"

She said, "Don't worry. Leave it." So that's only one I got an e-mail to attend classes -- for one class.
Q. And did you ever even try to log in for that class?
A. No, I didn't do it.
Q. Why not?
A. Because I'm already working from 1:00 -- 7:00 o'clock in the evening, 7:00 o'clock, approximately at that time. So there's no time for me to attend classes or do anything, and in addition, I got assurances from Susan she would take care of me.
Q. Did you ever get a transcript --

THE COURT: I'm just looking at the transcript, sir. Can you say again what time would you typically start your work day?

THE WITNESS: I come around in the morning, 7:00 o'clock, and I stay at least up to 6:30, 7:00 o'clock in the evening.

THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MS. WEST:
Q. Did you ever receive a transcript from Tri-Valley?
A. At the end of the semester, I received a transcript.
Q. How did you receive it?
A. On May 17th, when I was interviewed by ICE for the first time, I was a little bit scared, and I went to the university on the 18th requesting for a transfer. Then they give me a transcript for Mechanical Engineering.
Q. Who gave you a transcript?
A. Susan Su gave me a transcript.
Q. Okay. Let me -- let me stop for a second.

So this is when? When did this happen?
A. On May 18th.
Q. Of 2010?
A. 2010 .
Q. Okay. And were you still working for Tri-Valley on that day?
A. No. I worked only until May 12th.
Q. Okay. So from February to May 18th, you did not receive a transcript; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So did you actually go to Tri-Valley University on May 18th?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you create your own transcript?
A. No. Susan Su typed it and gave it to me.
Q. Did you ask her for it?
Q. Did you ever see an instructor teaching on a camera?
A. No, I never saw any instructor teaching on camera.
Q. From -- you mentioned that you received calls from students about no instructor or they can't access the system; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you estimate for us, please, about how many of those kinds of calls that you received from students who actually seemed to be trying to attend a class.
A. Very few. Very few. Maybe one or two in a day.
Q. One or two a day?
A. One or two a day.
Q. So over the three-month period --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- can you give us an estimate?
A. I would attend, like, ten or 15 people on the phone because
the phone keeps on changing to all the people. Something --
Q. Okay. So then you received -- you recall maybe ten to 15 ?
A. Ten to 15 people would call me.
Q. Did you receive a salary working at Tri-Valley?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the salary?
A. First day when I joined the university, she told me she would pay me a thousand dollars per month.
Q. Did you receive a thousand dollars per month?
profit off of the FedEx fees; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there another way that you found to make money?
A. Yeah. I -- in the university, I see a lot of students coming in, and they are referring the students, and they are getting paid money. Like, the first semester -- if a student pays $\$ 2,750$, Susan used to write a check to them for $\$ 1,200$ for referring the students.
Q. A referral fee?
A. A referral fee for referring the students to the university.
Q. Did you try to do the same thing?
A. Yeah. I tried to do the same thing and told Susan, "There are many people calling me. Can I" -- "can I also refer the students and get that \$1,200?"

She told, "No. You're already working in the office. You cannot do that."

And I said, "Okay." Then I talked with my friend, and I told him, see, there's an option like this. Many people who are all on $\mathrm{F}-1$ status are referring their students -- referring their friends, and they are getting paid $\$ 1,200$ per student. Then me and my friend thought, "Okay. She's not going to give out my name, but she can give it on your name."

Then my friend contacted Susan Su , and she gave a referral agreement to my friend. He can send the documents for new
students, and she will pay him for every student $\$ 1,200$ if they pay the fee.
Q. Were you going to make money off of that somehow?
A. Yes.
Q. How?
A. Since I was attending phone calls, some people asked me -the phone is always busy. If I am taking one phone call, there will be, like, five or six phone calls waiting on that. So not all calls are returned back to the students. So some people -they state, "I'm going to" -- "I'm trying to get hours. Can you give me your phone number?" And some people -- I give my phone number. So my number works.

Some people are, like, "Okay. This guy is working in the university. You can call him day to day." Somehow, they have my number, and some people say, "We want to get into this university."

Then I tell them, "Okay. This is my friend. You will call him, he will send you the documents, and he will get admission for you." So there is -- we got new admissions, and then Susan Su pays. I got money off of it.
Q. What portion of the referral fee of your friend did you get?
A. Most of the money, I got it because I'm only just using my friend as a name there. Most of the monies was based on -- I got at least a thousand dollars per student and around 200 for
my friend because he's not doing anything. Everything -- I did it like passing on the number -- passes on the phone number for the admission.
Q. About when did you start getting money from referrals?
A. February -- somewhere in -- after one month or one and a half month I joined -- after May 15th, I believe.
Q. I'm sorry. You said May?
A. March. Sorry. After March 15th or something like that.
Q. And about how many students did you refer?
A. I had through my friend e-mailed -- he forwarded around 35, 40 students, and he got paid for 18 to 20 students, referral fee.
Q. Okay. So he did not get paid for all of the referrals?
A. Yes.
Q. So approximately how much money did you make from the referral fees?
A. Around 20,000.
Q. Did you ever do any work for Susan $S u$ that was not at Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell us about that, please.
A. One day, Susan $S u$ took me to her mother's house. Me and Susan went to her mother's house and bought all the furniture. Like, she wants to add new tables and computers to the next two rooms she had for a few hours a week, she wants to put a few

You just stay out there for one week. You don't come to the office."

So I said, "Okay."
Q. Now, after that week, did you go back to work at

Tri-Valley?
A. No, I didn't go back there. She told she was going to call me when I can come back and start working.
Q. What happened during that week?
A. So within three days, all this simultaneously -- many things happened in two or three days' timing. She told me not to come. I said, "Okay." I'm at home for two days. I didn't go anywhere. Then Ramakrishna came to my house. Usually, Ramakrishna had a car, and he used to take me and Previn somewhere to San Francisco or somewhere just to -- for fun. He takes us --
Q. Let me just stop you for a moment. Who is Ramakrishna? Is that another employee?
A. No. He's not an employee at Tri-Valley University, but he has a consulting company in India. He recruits students to Tri-Valley University. He has a referral agreement from Susan Su to recruit new students.
Q. So he doesn't work in the office, but he would often come there?
A. Yes. He doesn't work often -- actually, he comes every day to the university, collects the documents, and go back.
Q. Okay. So please continue.
A. So I left. Ramakrishna came to my house, and he said,
"Anji, let's go to San Francisco." I just went with him. Then he took me to the ICE office. Until that time, not -- I'm not aware why he's taking me there. Then I met Agent Mackey. Then he told me, "We want to talk with you regarding this university. You are working in this."

So since it's the very first time for me and I do not have any idea, I didn't talk with them much, and I am not very clear -- like, I'm not very truthful to them, what I'm telling. Q. All right. Let me stop you for a moment.

You said that Ramakrishna took you to ICE. Do you mean the immigration --
A. Yeah, Immigration --
Q. -- building?
A. -- Customs Enforcement office.
Q. Okay. And you spoke with Agent Mackey?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you tell him the truth that day?
A. No.
Q. Did you have -- did you have another opportunity to speak to Agent Mackey?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. So after that, May 17th, I met. Then I went back home. By
that time, they told me, "Okay. This is one university." While riding back, Ramakrishna told me --
Q. Well, hold on. Did you ultimately give more information to Agent Mackey about Tri-Valley University?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you at some point agree to cooperate with the United States Government's investigation --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of Tri-Valley?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you at some point during this period transfer to another university?
A. Yeah. The very next day, I transferred back to the ITU University, where I got a first-time visa to attend that college.
Q. The next day after you first spoke with Agent Mackey?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, is that the time that you're referring to where you got a transcript from Susan Su?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you transfer to ITU then?
A. After talking with Agent Mackey, I was a little bit scared, and while coming back, even Ramakrishna told me that if I didn't report that this is one fraud university running all these things --
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