Case 8:09-cv-00287-JVS-RNB Document 87 Filed 04/09/09 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:1678

PRELIM. INUNCTION ORDER

CASE NO. 8:09-CV-00287-JVS-RNBx

6

2.

about Monex on www.MonexFRAUD.com and to report Monex's activities to

\$20 million. Defendants' statements were not protected communications under

demand with an intent to proceed with litigation; and (b) defendants did not

may be deemed within the scope of Section 47(b), defendants nevertheless

California Civil Code Section 47(b), in that (a) the statements were not made as a

represent and did not have a relationship with the vast majority of investors whom

threatened other conduct not within the scope of the privilege and not related to the

they purported to represent. To the extent that a facial threat of RICO litigation

government and the news media, and to continue to do so, unless Monex pays them

Defendants have threatened to publish additional negative material

- 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
- litigation process. 3. Since the Court's March 24, 2009 Order, the Webhost GoDaddy has removed defendants MonexFRAUD website, advising the parties that GoDaddy would revisit the issue after this Court ruled on the Order to Show Cause for a Preliminary Injunction.
- 21 22

17

18

19

20

4. Other websites operated by or contributed to by defendants publishing negative material about Monex remain in operation.

23 24

25

5. Since this Court's March 24, 2009 Order in this matter, defendants have not retracted their extortionate demands or their threats: (a) to publish additional negative material about Monex on www.MonexFRAUD.com and other

26

websites, (b) to report Monex's activities to government and the news media, and

27

PRELIM. INJUNCTION ORDER

CASE NO. 8:09-CV-00287-JVS-RNBx

(c) to continue to do so, unless Monex pays them \$20 million.

28

6. Also since this Court's March 24, 2009 Order defendant Jason Gilliam 

- has testified that his mission short of compelling Monex to pay his extortionate demand of \$15 million (his father defendant Richard Gilliam demanded \$20 million) was to completely destroy Monex through a relentless marketing and awareness campaign to persuade Monex customers and potential customers to avoid transacting business with Monex, and to consider instead investing with Monex's competitors.
- 7. Also since this Courts' March 24, 2009 Order, defendant Jason Gilliam has again stated that he wants \$15 million from Monex.
- 8. Jason Gilliam has testified that his damages flowing from the shut down of the MonexFRAUD cite are injury to his pride, credibility and morale. Richard Gilliam has testified that he'd prefer the site remain shut down. Since the March 24, 2009 Order, Monex has deposited \$20,000 cash with the Clerk of the Central District.
- 9. Defendants are likely to continue publishing negative material on those websites, including www.MonexFRAUD.com, at least if web-services provider GoDaddy.com reinstates the website, and to keep their extortionate threats in place because Monex has refused to pay them.
- 10. The websites, and particularly the resurrection of the MonexFRAUD.com website, are likely to harm Monex immediately by damaging its reputation, customer relationships, business, revenues, and goodwill.
- 11. Such harm will not be compensable through money damages because the amount of damage will not be determinable with sufficient precision.
- 12. Defendants will not suffer any cognizable harm if they are enjoined from extorting, and attempting to extort, money from Monex by means of threatening to publish and then publishing negative statements about Monex.
- 13. Defendants will not suffer any cognizable harm if they are preliminarily enjoined from running their websites pending a judgment in this action.

PRELIM. INJUNCTION ORDER

CASE NO. 8:09-CV-00287-JVS-RNBx

PRELIM. INJUNCTION ORDER

CASE NO. 8:09-CV-00287-JVS-RNBx

indirectly involves: (1) threats against Monex or its employees to publish in any forum or to share information about Monex with third parties, or (2) threats to defame Monex or its employees, unless Monex pays defendants.

- ii. Operating, directly or indirectly, <a href="www.MonexFRAUD.com">www.MonexFRAUD.com</a>. or any other website address using the name Monex in combination any modifier which implies illegal, unlawful or unethical conduct.
- iii. Publishing or republishing on any website, including, but not limited to, www.MonexFRAUD.com, www.youtube.com, http://digg.com, http://goldismoney.info, and http://americannepali.blogspot.com, or in any other manner any statement that Money does not have title to or the ability to deliver precious metals sold under contract to any Monex customer; that Monex was expelled from the National Futures Association for fraud; that Monex oprates as a boiler room; that Monex violates any federal or state statutes regulating the business operations of Monex; that Monex has been charged by the Internal Revenue Service with tax evasion; and that Monex fails to accurately disclose to customers account and trading terms (collectively "Prohibited Statements"). This part of the order requires defendants to remove, from any website over which they have sufficient control, all Prohibited Statements that they have published or republished there, and to stop using the word MonexFRAUD, all within 24 hours of the entry of this preliminary injunction. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Order, nothing herein shall prohibit defendants from (a) making statements regarding their own business dealings with Monex, including any losses they may have sustained, or (b) communicating with any governmental entity concerning matters within the scope of that entity's legislative, administrative or regulatory responsibilities.
  - iv. Disclosing or using directly or indirectly in any way any trade

26

27

28

secret documentation or other proprietary information belonging to Monex, including all internal Monex documents which are not public, such as Monex customer lists.

- v. Retaining any trade secret or proprietary information referred to in the last paragraph. To implement this part of the Order, defendants must disclose to and return to Monex within 48 hours of entry of this Order all such information and documentation within defendants' possession, or within possession of anyone within defendants' control. To the extent that defendants once had possession of such documentation, but no longer have possession, defendants must within 48 hours also inform Monex's counsel in writing and in specific and sufficient detail: (1) all efforts defendants have made to re-acquire such documentation; (2) what became of such documentation, including the time and date the defendant lost control of the documentation; and (3) who presently has possession of this documentation so that Monex can take steps to recover the documentation.
- B. This order shall be effective as soon as plaintiffs or either of them properly have posted a bond in the amount of \$20,000.

Dated: April 09, 2009

Time: 9:45 a.m.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

(415) 954-4400