1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CHARLES EDWARD LINCOLN III) CASE NO. SACV 09-1072 DOC (Ex)
12) PLAINTIFF(S),)
13	ORDER DENYING EX PARTE V. APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY
14) RESTRAINING ORDER
15	STEVEN D. SILVERSTEIN, ET AL.
16	Defendant(s).)
17	
18	
19	On September 16, 2009, this Court received Plaintiff Charles Edward Lincoln III's Ex Parte
20	Application for a Temporary Restraining Order in conjunction with a Memorandum of Points and
21	Authorities in Support Thereof.
22	Plaintiff's request for a TRO without notice to Defendants is hereby DENIED. Plaintiff has
23	not met its burden of showing that immediate and irreparable injury will result to it if notice and an
24	opportunity to be heard is given to the Defendants. The issuance of a temporary restraining order
25	without notice is a very drastic remedy, and the Court will not issue a temporary restraining order
26	without notice unless it is absolutely convinced that a plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury and dire
27	consequences are certain to occur. Plaintiff's moving papers fall far short of convincing the Court

28 of such injury and consequences.

The Court also notes that Plaintiff failed to comply with Local Rule 65-1, which requires all parties seeking temporary restraining orders to file a proposed TRO and a proposed order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue. IT IS SO ORDERED Carter DATED: September 17, 2009 United States District Judge