27

28

Case 2:10-cv-08377-JAK -JEM Document 57 Filed 04/18/11 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:645

1		Plaintiff's Separate Statement of	Defendants' Reply
2		Undisputed Facts	z czonumus zuepzy
3		1. Plaintiff is also a long time resident,	Undisputed.
4		property owner and operates his	
5		business in Los Angeles from his home.	
6		Supporting Evidence:	
. 7		Declaration of Jonathan W. Birdt at	
8		Paragraph 2.	. ·
9		2. Plaintiff has completed several NRA	Undisputed.
10		and State required training courses and	
11	100000	has competed (and scored higher than	
12		several LAPD Officers) in tactical Pistol	
13		competitions with the USPSA.	
14		Supporting Evidence:	
15		Declaration of Jonathan W. Birdt at	
16		paragraph 3.	
17		3. Plaintiff has also passed numerous	Undisputed.
18		California Department of Justice	
19		Background and screening tests for	
20		various appointments and numerous	
21		weapons purchases.	•
22		Supporting Evidence:	
23		Declaration of Jonathan W. Birdt at	
24		Paragraph 4.	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

4. Plaintiff also volunteers as a judicial officer for the Los Angeles Superior Court and an Advocate for the Juvenile Court .

Supporting Evidence:

Declaration of Jonathan W. Birdt at Paragraph 5.

5. The LAPD and LASD both denied Plaintiffs application for a concealed weapon and both stated the reason for the denial was "failure to establish good cause.

Supporting Evidence:

Declaration of Jonathan W. Birdt at Paragraph 6.

Undisputed.

Objection: Lacks foundation, misstates the evidence

Plaintiff's CCW application was denied because "[c]onvincing evidence was not established of a clear and present danger to life or of great bodily injury to yourself, which cannot be adequately dealt with by existing law enforcement resources, and which danger cannot be reasonably avoided by alternative means. You did not provide satisfactory proof that your work is such a nature that it requires the carrying of a concealed weapon." See Letter dated March 18, 2010 denying Plaintiff's CCW application, Exhibit 3 to Tompkins Decl., ¶ 14.

1	ר	Notandanta, Additional Matarial Easts are included in a senseta degree in	
	Defendants' Additional Material Facts are included in a separate document in		
2	support	of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment.	
3	Dated:	April 18, 2011 Respectfully submitted,	
4		CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney	
5 6		CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney GARY G. GEUSS, Chief Assistant City Attorney CORY M. BRENTE, Supv. Assistant City Attorney ELIZABETH MITCHELL, Deputy City Attorney	
7		\	
8		D= (1)/11 1 1 - A	
9		By: WENDY SHAPERO, Deputy City Attorney	
10		Attorneys for Defendants, CHARLIE BECK and LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT	
11		ANGELES I OLICE DEI ARTMENT	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	٠		
26			
27			
28			