	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	WESTERN DIVISION
5	
6 7	JOYCE WALKER, ET AL.,)
8) PLAINTIFFS,)
9) VS.) CASE NO. CV 10-9198-JVS(RNBX)
10) SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA) SEPTEMBER 14, 2011
11	LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY) OF THE SOUTHWEST,) (9:36 A.M. TO 10:07 A.M.)
12) (1:02 P.M. TO 1:20 P.M.)
13) (1:29 P.M. TO 1:36 P.M.) DEFENDANT.
14	/
15	DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT N. BLOCK
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18	
19	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
20	COURT REPORTER: RECORDED; COURT SMART
21	COURTROOM DEPUTY: K. HAYS
22	TRANSCRIBER: DOROTHY BABYKIN COURTHOUSE SERVICES
23	1218 VALEBROOK PLACE GLENDORA, CALIFORNIA 91740
24	(626) 963-0566
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE.

Cassa 22:1100-cox 4099/1998 J.W.SS - FRNNB | Dooccurreentt 111/28-11 | FFileed 1002/2244/1112 | Pragge 32 off 572 | Pragge 1 D | #:3609

APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED) FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN BY: JACOB N. FOSTER ATTORNEY AT LAW 101 CALIFORNIA STREET SUITE 2300 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 FOR THE DEFENDANT: WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DOOR BY: JONATHAN A. SHAPIRO MICHAEL NORMAN ATTORNEYS AT LAW 950 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

MEANT DIFFERENTIATE. AND IF YOU THINK YOU'VE DONE THAT --YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE TO RESPOND TO MY COMMENTS BECAUSE I'M GOING TO MAKE YOU MEET AND CONFER FURTHER. BUT WHAT I MEANT BY PRIORITIZE IS DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN DISCOVERY THAT IS MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND DISCOVERY THAT MIGHT BE IMPORTANT FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION BUT IS LESS IMPORTANT THAN OTHER DISCOVERY AND CERTAINLY GOES TO THE ISSUE OF THE MERITS THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET BEFORE THE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION. SIMPLE. FOR EXAMPLE, ON THE ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY YOU'RE NOT GETTING IT ALL BY THE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION. NOW, WHAT WAS YOUR DATE? MAY 2ND, MAY 12TH OR SOMETHING --MR. SHAPIRO: YOUR HONOR, WE WERE -- WE THINK WE COULD DO IT TWO MONTHS AHEAD OF THE DEADLINE. THE COURT: YES. ALL RIGHT. MR. SHAPIRO: AND WE'RE HAPPY TO TAKE IT IN ANY ORDER THEY WANT. THE COURT: WELL --MR. FOSTER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: -- I MEAN, LET'S ASSUME FOR THE SAKE OF DISCUSSION THAT -- BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE THAT TWO MONTHS -- I AGREE WITH PLAINTIFF. TWO MONTHS IS NOT NECESSARILY A SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF TIME TO REVIEW, SCHEDULE DEPOSITIONS OF

PEOPLE WHO ARE WHO KNOWS WHERE, CONDUCT THE DEPOSITIONS, MAKE

MOTIONS TO COMPEL.

12

2 LET'S ASSUME FOR THE SAKE OF DISCUSSION I'M GOING TO SAY I DON'T AGREE WITH MAY 12TH. 3 4 WAS IT MAY 4TH OR MAY 12TH? 5 MR. SHAPIRO: I THINK IT WAS MAY -- MAY 4TH. WE --6 THE COURT: SEE, I DON'T AGREE WITH MAY 4TH. I'LL 7 BACK IT UP, BUT I'M NOT GOING TO BACK IT UP BEFORE THE CLASS 8 CERTIFICATION MOTION NEEDS TO BE FILED OR EVEN BEFORE THE 9 CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION HAS TO BE DECIDED. BECAUSE TO ME 10 IF HE DENIES CLASS CERTIFICATION, THAT'S GOING TO REDUCE THE 11 BURDEN OF PRODUCTION --12 MR. SHAPIRO: EFFECTIVELY -- EFFECTIVELY THE CASE 13 ECONOMICALLY IS VERY DIFFERENT. 14 THE COURT: YES. 15 SO, I MIGHT PICK A DATE MARCH 31ST, AND THAT'S 16 ABOUT THE MOST I'D BE WILLING TO ACCOMMODATE PLAINTIFF. 17 MAY 4TH. MARCH 31ST, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. YOU CAN MEET AND 18 CONFER. I'VE GIVEN YOU SORT OF MY THOUGHTS. 19 AS --20 MR. FOSTER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: YES. 22 MR. FOSTER: WE DID CONSISTENT WITH YOUR ORDER GIVE 23 A LOT OF THOUGHT TO SEOUENCING THE PRODUCTION BY DOCUMENT 24 REQUESTS. AND, INDEED, THAT WAS THE THRUST OF OUR SEPTEMBER 25 1ST LETTER TO LSW.

13 1 AND WHAT LSW HAS MAINTAINED IS THAT IT IS NOT 2 PRODUCTIVE TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS THAT WAY BECAUSE THERE'S 3 FREOUENTLY OVERLAP IN CATEGORIES. AND, INSTEAD, THEY 4 PROPOSED PRODUCING A ROLLING PRODUCTION BASED ON CUSTODIAN. 5 AND UPON RECEIPT OF THAT, WE THOUGHT THAT THAT 6 SUGGESTION IS VERY HELPFUL BECAUSE WE CAN PRIORITIZE 7 CUSTODIANS. WE CAN --8 THE COURT: BUT SOME -- WHEN YOU SAY PRIORITIZE, 9 CAN YOU DISTINGUISH BETWEEN CUSTODIANS WHO YOU WANT 10 PRODUCTION COMPLETED IN ADVANCE FOR USE IN CLASS 11 CERTIFICATION VERSUS OTHERS WHO YOU'D LIKE TO HAVE BUT BASED 12 ON MY COMMENTS YOU KNOW I'M NOT GOING TO REQUIRE THEM TO 13 COMPLETE PRIOR TO CLASS CERTIFICATION. 14 HAVE YOU DONE THAT? 15 MR. FOSTER: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE GIVEN SOME 16 THOUGHT TO PRODUCING IT AND ORDERING. 17 BUT THE PROBLEM WITH THAT, OF COURSE, IS THAT WE'VE 18 ONLY RECEIVED VERY MINIMAL DOCUMENTS FROM LSW TO DATE. SO, ALL WE KNOW ARE THESE INDIVIDUALS' TITLES. SO, COULD WE 19 20 PRODUCE A COMPLETE ORDERING OF THE 23 CUSTODIANS, I DON'T 2.1 KNOW WE'RE PREPARED TODAY TO DO THAT. BUT WE COULD --22 THE COURT: WELL, BUT --23 MR. FOSTER: -- ORDER THE --24 THE COURT: -- I'M NOT GOING TO ISSUE AN ORDER OR A

SCHEDULE UNTIL YOU'RE PREPARED TO MAKE A PROPOSAL THAT

25

ENCOMPASSES THE POINTS I'M MAKING, WHICH IS THEY DO NOT HAVE

TO COMPLETE THEIR E-PRODUCTION IN ADVANCE OF THE CLASS

CERTIFICATION MOTION. PERIOD.

SO, YOU COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT I JUST SAID. YOU KNOW, IF THEY DON'T LIKE IT,

MAYBE I'LL REJECT THEIR POSITION. BUT YOU HAVE TO PROPOSE

SOMETHING THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT I JUST SAID.

MR. FOSTER: YES, YOUR HONOR. I UNDERSTAND THAT.

AND LET ME CLARIFY. WE DO NOT BY ANY STRETCH OF THE

IMAGINATION THINK THAT DISCOVERY HAS TO BE DONE BEFORE THE

CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION. WE DO, HOWEVER, THINK THAT

THERE'S BEEN UNDUE DELAY IN RESPONDING TO OUR FIRST SET OF

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION. AND WE FREQUENTLY --

THE COURT: I HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT -- YOU KNOW, IF
WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HARD COPY STUFF, THEY SAID THEY HAD
AGREED TO PRODUCE. I HAVEN'T ADDRESSED THAT YET. I'LL COME
BACK TO THAT. I PROBABLY AM CLOSER TO YOUR POSITION ON THAT
THAN THEY ARE.

BUT IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT E- -- I MEAN,

E-PRODUCTION IS VERY COMPLICATED. AND IT REQUIRES THE

PARTIES, WHICH I DON'T THINK YOU DID, TO REALLY MAKE A

CONCERTED EFFORT TO COME UP WITH -- I MEAN, IN FACT, THE

FEDERAL RULES NOW REQUIRE THAT YOU MEET AND CONFER ABOUT THAT

-- TO COME UP WITH A GAME PLAN FOR THE PRODUCTION OF

E-DISCOVERY THAT BOTH SIDES AGREE WILL WORK AND WILL ADDRESS

1 THE DEFENDANT'S CONCERNS ABOUT UNDUE EXPENSE AND RESOURCES 2 BUT ADDRESS YOUR NEED FOR THE INFORMATION. 3 AND -- BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHAT I WAS GOING TO 4 SAY I GUESS PRIOR TO AUGUST WHEN THESE ISSUES WERE RAISED 5 BEFORE ME ACCOUNTED FOR MY POSITION ON WHAT HAS TO BE 6 ACCOMPLISHED OVER WHAT PERIOD OF TIME. IF YOU HAD MET AND CONFERRED AND RESOLVED THAT, YOU WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY 7 8 HAVING THIS DISCUSSION WITH ME, WOULD YOU? 9 MR. FOSTER: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR. I AGREE THAT 10 THE PARTIES ARE REQUIRED TO MEET AND CONFER ABOUT ELECTRONIC 11 DISCOVERY. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE EXHIBITS TO THE 12 DECLARATION WE SUBMITTED, WE SOUGHT AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE 13 DATE TO MEET AND CONFER WITH LSW ABOUT THESE ISSUES, 14 INCLUDING SEARCH TERMS AND CUSTODIANS. 15 THEY INITIALLY TOOK THE POSITION THAT THEY HAD NO 16 OBLIGATION UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES TO MEET AND CONFER ABOUT 17 THESE ISSUES. THEY SUBSEQUENTLY TOOK A POSITION THAT THEY 18 WERE NOT GOING TO MEET AND CONFER ABOUT THESE ISSUES --19 THE COURT: AND WHEN WAS THAT? 20 MR. FOSTER: THAT WAS IN MAY, BEGINNING IN MAY. 21 THE COURT: ALL YOU HAD TO DO WAS BRING A MOTION. 22 MR. FOSTER: WELL -- WELL, LET ME -- LET ME GIVE 23 YOU --24 THE COURT: AND YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN HERE IN THE 25 COURTHOUSE, AND YOU WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN EXCUSED.