Ca	ase 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 #:17207	Filed 01/09/13 Page 1 of 8 Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	#:17207	
12		
13 14		
14	UNITED STATES DI CENTRAL DISTRICT	
15	SOUTHERN I	
	JOYCE WALKER, KIM BRUCE	CLASS ACTION
17 18	HOWLETT, and MURIEL SPOONER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	CASE NO.: CV 10-9198 JVS (RNBx)
19	Plaintiffs,	Formerly Case No.: 3:10-cv -04852 JSW from Northern District of California
20	VS.	
21		JOINT CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE
22	SOUTHWEST, a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-50	ORDER
23	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. James V. Selna Courtroom: 10C
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
8132230v1 1/9/2013 3:14 PM		

ActiveUS 104631931v.1 ActiveUS 104654391v.1

Ca	se 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 2 of 8 Page ID	
1	Plaintiffs Joyce Walker, Kinf <sup>#</sup> Bruce <sup>®</sup> Howlett, and Muriel Spooner	
2	("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Life Insurance Company of the Southwest	
3 4	("Defendant" or "LSW" and, together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties") submit the	
5	following stipulation to govern certain matters relating to the production by LSW	
6 7	of certain policyholder files pertaining to class members.	
8	WHEREAS, on November 9, 2012, the Court entered an Order certifying a	
9	class comprising "all Provider and Paragon Policies who purchased their Policies	
10	on or after September 24, 2006." Dkt. 353 at 27;	
11	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Request for Production No. 173 demands the	
12 13	production of certain documents contained in the policyholder files for each class	
14	member;	
15		
16	WHEREAS, pursuant to an agreement with Plaintiffs, LSW intends to make	
17	an interim production of 1400 policy files on an expedited basis, plus a production	
18	of the remaining files as soon as practicable thereafter (collectively, the	
19 20	"Production");	
21	WHEREAS, LSW expects that the Production may be very voluminous	
22	because each policy file potentially contains hundreds of pages;	
23		
24	WHEREAS, the files may include sensitive medical information, as well as	
25	information protected by the work product, attorney-client or other privileges;	
26	WHEREAS, on September 27, 2011 the parties agreed to a Second	
27 28	Amended Protective Order which provided, <i>inter alia</i> , for protection of	

Са	se 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 3 of 8 Page ID	
1	inadvertently disclosed documents, #Which continues in full force and effect, but the	
2	parties wish to provide further protection for the Production;	
3 4	WHEREAS, the parties wish to enter into a stipulation that will permit LSW	
5	to make the Production quickly with little or no human review, but without any	
6	possibility of waiver of any protection or privilege that would have permitted LSW	
7 8	to withhold any document.	
9	Thus, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among all Parties, through	
10	their respective attorneys of record, as follows:	
11 12	1. "Protected Information" shall be defined as any information contained	
12	in the Production that could have been withheld, for any reason,	
14	including: the attorney-client privilege, work-product protection,	
15	mendang. the attorney-enent privilege, work-product protection,	
16	privileges pertaining to medical information, spousal privilege, or any	
17	other applicable privilege or ground for withholding or redacting	
18	("Ground For Protection").	
19	2 Non Waiyor Disintiffs understand and agree that I SW shall	
20	2. <u>Non-Waiver:</u> Plaintiffs understand and agree that LSW shall	
21	make the Production after undertaking either no human review, or an	
22	extremely limited human review, at the sole option of LSW. Plaintiffs	
23		
24	expressly agree that doing so shall not constitute a waiver of any	
25	Ground For Protection. Thus, Plaintiffs shall never, under any	
26	circumstances, argue: (i) that the Production or any part thereof	
27		
28	constitutes a waiver of, or calls into question, the applicability of any	
	Ground For Production; and (ii) that LSW failed to take reasonable $2$	
	2	

Са	ase 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 4 of 8	3 Page ID
1		
2	Production. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d)	, the
3		
4	privilege or protection is not waived by the Production.	
5	3. <u>Non-Use</u> : Plaintiffs shall make no use whatsoever of an	ny
6	information that they reasonably believe to be Protected I	nformation.
7	The use of documents containing confidential medical inf	formation
8	The use of documents containing confidential medical in	ormation
9	shall be governed by the terms of the parties' September 2	27, 2011
10	Second Amended Protective Order. In regards to all othe	r types of
11	Protected Information, with the exception of confidential	modical
12		meuleai
13	information, Plaintiffs shall promptly notify LSW upon ic	lentifying
14	such information. Plaintiffs shall either (1) destroy the do	cuments
15 16	containing such Protected Information or (ii) take reason	able efforts
17		tion pending
18	any Return Request, or clarification from LSW regarding	whether the
19		
20	information is Protected Information (which clarification	Plaintiffs
21	shall be entitled to request from LSW).	
22	4. <u>Return Requests</u> : LSW may, at any time, tender to Plain	ntiffs'
23		11115
24	counsel a written request specifying the Bates numbers of	the pages
25	on which Protected Information appears for the redaction	of such
26	5 information or return of any document(s) containing such	information
27	7	mornution
28	from the Production. If such a request is made:	

Са	e 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 5 of 8 Page ID
1	a. Plaintiffs shall $\frac{\#}{172}$ redact the Protected Information and
2	keep no copies of the redacted information or return to LSW or
3	destroy all conies of the new redected decomment(s). Disintiffs
4	destroy all copies of the non-redacted document(s). Plaintiffs
5	shall not seek or demand any prior showings, explanations,
6	conditions, negotiations, or conferrals. The purpose of the
7	preceding sentence is to provide for prompt, no-questions-
8	preceding sentence is to provide for prompt, no-questions-
9	asked, redaction or return of any Protected Information upon
10	request;
11	
12	b. At the same time LSW requests that Protected Information be
13	returned, it shall tender a privilege log pertaining to the
14	redacted information or returned document(s);
15 16	c. Plaintiffs may thereafter initiate motion practice to challenge
10	whether any information or document was Protected
18	whether any information of document was reflected
19	Information, consistent with Paragraph 2 above. Thus, in such
20	a challenge, the only issue for adjudication shall be whether the
21	document could properly have been withheld had it not been
22	part of the Production.
23	part of the Floduction.
24	d. LSW is under no obligation to request the redaction or return of
25	documents, and the failure to do so shall not prejudice LSW's
26	rights in any way;
27	fights in any way,
28	

Ca	se 2:10-cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 6 of 8 Page ID
1	e. Plaintiffs are under no obligation to challenge the designation
2	of Protected Information, and the failing to do so shall not
3 4	prejudice their rights in any way; and
5	f. Attorneys fees and costs shall be awarded to the prevailing
6	party in any motion practice challenging the designation of
7	Protected Information.
8	
9	5. By making the Production, neither party waives any objection
10	regarding admissibility, relevancy, or any other evidentiary ground,
11	all of which are creatifically recorned
12	all of which are specifically reserved.
13	6. The provisions of this Stipulation are intended to be in addition to
14	those provided for by the parties' September 27, 2011 Second
15	
16	Amended Protective Order, which remains in full force and effect for
17	the Production and any other document productions.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

•	se 2:10-cv-0 Dated:	99198-JVS -RNB Docun January 9, 2013	nent 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 7 of 8 Page ID #:178ASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
2			
3			By: <u>/s/ Charles N. Freiberg</u>
4			Charles N. Freiberg (70890)
5			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6 7			
, 8			
9	Dated:	January 9, 2013	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
10			
11			
12			By: <u>/s/Jonathan A. Shapiro</u>
13			Jonathan A. Shapiro
14			Attorneys for Defendant Life Insurance Company of the Southwest
15			Company of the Southwest
16			
17		PURSUANI IU SII	PULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED
18	Datada		
19	Dated:		Uonomble Dobert N. Diosla
20			Honorable Robert N. Block
21			United States Magistrate Judge
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

Ca 1	se 2:10-	cv-09198-JVS -RNB Document 374 Filed 01/09/13 Page 8 of 8 Page ID PRO@F7@F4SERVICE
2	I	am a resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, over the age of
3	Wilmer	am a resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, over the age of in years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is r Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 60 State Street, Boston, chusetts 02109. On January 9, 2013, I served the within document(s):
4	wiassac	museus 02107. On January 7, 2013, 1 served the writin document(s).
5		JOINT CONFIDENTIALITY STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER
6		I placed the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage
7		I placed the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Boston, MA addressed as set forth below.
8 9		I personally caused to be hand delivered the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
10		I electronically filed the document(s) listed above via the CM/ECF
11		system.
12		Brian P. Brosnahan
13		KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP 101 California Street, Suite 2300
14		San Francisco, CA 94111
15		Harvey R. Levine
16		LEVINE & MILLER
17		550 West C. Street, Suite 1810 San Diego, CA 92101-8596
18		Sui Diego, 011/2101 00/0
19		
20	Ī	/s/ Joel Fleming
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		