28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES of CHARLES N. FREIBERG (SBN 70 BRIAN P. BROSNAHAN (SBN 11 JACOB N. FOSTER (SBN 250785) 101 California Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-6140 Facsimile: (415) 398-5030  LEVINE & MILLER HARVEY R. LEVINE (SBN 61879 CRAIG A. MILLER (SBN 116030) LEVINE & MILLER (SBN 116030) LEVINE & MILLER 550 West C Street, Suite 1810 San Diego, CA 92101-8596 Telephone: (619) 231-9449 Facsimile: (619) 231-8638  Attorneys for Plaintiffs	)
11	JOYCE WALKER, KIM BRUCE H and MURIEL SPOONER, on behalf	OWLETT, of themselves
12	and all others similarly situated	
13	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	JOYCE WALKER, KIM BRUCE	CLASS ACTION
16	HOWLETT, and MURIEL	CASE NO.: CV 10-9198 JVS (RNBx)
17	SPOONER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly	,
18	situated,	Formerly Case No.: 3:10-cv -04852 JSW from Northern District of California
19	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION RESOLVING
20	V.	REMAINING ISSUES ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL
21	LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE SOUTHWEST, a Texas	Magistrate Judge Robert N. Block
22	corporation,	
23	Defendant.	Date: January 15, 2013 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 6D
24		Discovery Cutoff: TBD
25		Pretrial Conference: TBD Trial Date: TBD
26		
27		

5

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

24

23

26

25

27 28

The parties have met and conferred further as directed by the Court's order on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (Dkt. 365). The parties have resolved the remaining issues and Plaintiffs hereby withdraw the pending motion to compel. The parties' agreements are as follows:

- (1) LSW's response to Document Request No. 125: LSW has agreed to elect, by the close of business on January 15, 2013, one of the following document search efforts. LSW will either:
- (a) conduct an electronic search of data collected from its custodians for documents containing either (i) the phrase "lapse check," or (ii) the phrases "regression' and 'lapse" within a single document. LSW would then produce all non-privileged documents identified by (i.e., that are "hits" in) such a search; or,
- (b) ask its custodians whether they either (i) have in their possession, custody, or control, or (ii) have previously seen documents discussing analyses of Paragon or Provider illustrations with respect to lapse, including but not limited to documents that substantively discuss "lapse checks" or regression analysis in regards to lapse (as discussed in LSW-E00067995). LSW's custodians will be provided with a copy of LSW-E00067995 for purposes of clarity. If any of LSW's custodians have such documents in their possession, custody, or control, LSW will produce non-privileged documents to Plaintiffs. If LSW's custodians have previously seen such documents, but no longer have them in their possession, custody, or control, LSW's custodians will be asked to identify the person[s] from whom they would request such documents if they needed the documents for a business purpose. Any persons identified not already on the custodian list will then be sent the same inquiry discussed above. LSW will produce responsive nonprivileged documents identified by these inquiries.
- (2) LSW's production of a Rule 30(b)(6) witness to testify on Deposition Topic Nos. 1-5: LSW has agreed to designate Elizabeth MacGowan as a Rule 30(b)(6) witness to testify on Deposition Topic Nos. 1-5. LSW will make Ms.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MacGowan available for up to 1.5 hours of testimony as a Rule 30(b)(6) witness.
If LSW tenders Ms. MacGowan as a percipient witness on the same day as her
testimony on Deposition Topic Nos. 1-5, then she will not be deposed for more
than 8 hours total on that date as a percipient witness and Rule 30(b)(6) witness for
Deposition Topic Nos. 1-5. LSW may also elect to have Ms. McGowan finish this
combined total of 8 hours of testimony on a second consecutive day, as opposed to
8 hours on one single day. Ms. MacGowan's testimony as a Rule 30(b)(6) witness
on Deposition Topic Nos. 1-5 will not count against the 3 days of Rule 30(b)(6)
depositions provided for pursuant to the Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order (Dkt.
117).
(3) LSW's production of a Rule 30(b)(6) witness to testify on Deposition
<u>Topic Nos. 33</u> : LSW has agreed to answer the interrogatory concerning cost of

insurance charges that was proposed by Plaintiffs. See Joint Stipulation (Dkt. 359) at 36-37 ("Please describe the setting of your cost of insurance charges for PROVIDER and PARAGON. Your answer will be considered complete if it describes who was involved in the setting of your cost of insurance charges for PROVIDER and PARAGON, what factors and what data were considered, why you chose the cost of insurance charges that you chose, what analysis you did of your expected mortality experience for each such product, and the amount(s) by which such charges exceed your expected mortality experience.").

DATED: January 14, 2013	KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN
	LLP

By: /s/ Brian P. Brosnahan Charles N. Freiberg Brian P. Brosnahan Jacob N. Foster

**Attorneys For Plaintiffs** JOYCE WALKER, KIM BRUCE HOWLETT, and MURIEL SPOONER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated