I			
1	Adam R. Fox (State Bar No. 220584)		
2	Adam.Fox@squiresanders.com SQUIRE SANDERS (US) LLP		
3	555 South Flower Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071		
4	Telephone: +1.213.624.2500 Facsimile: +1.213.623.4581		
5			
6	David S. Elkins (State Bar No. 148077) David.Elkins@squiresanders.com		
7	SQUIRE SANDERS (US) LLP 600 Hansen Way		
8	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: +1.650.856.6500		
9	Facsimile: +1.650.843.8777		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Western Sugar Cooperative, Michigan Sugar Co., C & H Sugar Co., Inc., United States Sugar		
11	CORPORATION, AMERICAN SUGAR REFI	NING,	
12	INC., THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY LLC, IMPERIAL SUGAR COMPANY, MINN- DAK FARMERS COOPERATIVE, THE		
13	AMERICAN SUGAR CANE LEAGUE U.S.A., INC., AND THE SUGAR ASSOCIATION, INC.		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	WESTERN SUGAR COOPERATIVE, et al.	Case No. CV11-3473 CBM (MANx)	
18	COOI ERATIVE, et al.	[Discovery Matter]	
19	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF ADAM R. FOX IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION	
20	VS.	TO COMPEL DE-DESIGNATION OF DOCUMENTS THAT DEFENDANTS	
21	ARCHER-DANIELS-MIDLAND COMPANY, et al.	HAVE PRODUCED AS "CONFIDENTIAL" OR "HIGHLY	
22	Defendants.	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY''	
23		Hearing: January 21, 2014 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
24		Place: Courtroom 580 Magistrate Judge Margaret A. Nagle	
25	And Related Counterclaim.	Transferance values frim gui et 11. 11ugie	
26			
27			
28			
	DECLADATION OF ADAM D. FOV IN CURPORT O	OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DE-DESIGNATION	
	DECLARATION OF ADAM IN TOX IN SUPPORT C	T LAINTIFTS INTOTION TO CONFEL DE-DESIGNATION	

I, Adam R. Fox, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and in the Central District of California and partner in the law firm of Squire Sanders (US) LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs Western Sugar Cooperative, Michigan Sugar Co., C & H Sugar Co., Inc., United States Sugar Corporation, American Sugar Refining, Inc., The Amalgamated Sugar Company LLC, Imperial Sugar Company, Minn-Dak Farmers Cooperative, The American Sugar Cane League U.S.A., Inc., and The Sugar Association, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs") in this action. I am personally familiar with the facts set forth below and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On July 15, 2013, documents were produced for James Rippe ("Rippe"), a consultant to defendants, in response to subpoenas issued and served by Plaintiffs on Rippe and Rippe Lifestyle Institute in October 2012. Also on July 15, 2013, documents were produced for John White ("White"), another consultant to defendants, in response to a subpoena served in December 2012. At my direction, word searches of the Rippe and White productions were conducted. The result of these searches was that of the 1,950 documents produced by Rippe, 330 contain the word "Confidential" and 1,519 contain the phrase "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only." Of the 4,662 documents produced by White, 867 contain the word "Confidential" and 3,079 contain the phrase "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only." Thus, while these word searches provide just an approximation, around 95% of Rippe's production and 85% of White's production contain documents designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys Eyes Only."
- 3. On March 18, 2013, CRA produced 3,204 pages of documents, bringing the total documents produced by CRA up to 5,732 pages. On August 13, 2013, CRA produced 91,193 pages of documents. On August 23, 2013, CRA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

nearly doubled their prior productions, producing 96,688 pages of documents. On September 4, 2013, CRA produced 392,976 pages of documents. Attached as Exhibit 45 are true and correct copies of CRA cover letters reflecting the number of pages of each of the foregoing CRA productions, along with the date of the production. As with the Rippe and White productions, word searches of the Corn Refiners Association's ("CRA") production were also conducted at my direction in order to determine the number of documents that were designated "Confidential" or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." After making the production searchable using Optical Character Recognition software, Plaintiffs applied a twostep query: Plaintiffs first performed a word search for all documents containing the phrase "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only"; Plaintiffs then searched for all documents containing the term "Confidential" but excluding documents previously confirmed as bearing the Attorneys' Eyes Only designation. Plaintiffs' review revealed that, through the CRA's August 23rd production of documents, CRA had designated approximately 34% of its documents "Highly Confidential -Attorneys' Eyes Only" and 64% as "Confidential" - meaning CRA designated around 98% of the total documents it produced as of that date.

- 4. By October 18, 2013, Plaintiffs had received document productions from defendants CRA, Archer-Daniels-Midland Company ("ADM"), Cargill, Inc. ("Cargill"), and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas, Inc. ("Tate & Lyle"). Again at my direction, Plaintiffs employed the two step word search of those productions in order to determine what percentage of the productions were designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys Eyes Only." Those searches revealed that 92% of CRA's, 85% of Cargill's, 70% of Tate and Lyle's and 27% of ADM's documents were designated "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only."
- 5. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant ADM in this action bearing the Bates number

ADM0022601 (labeled "Confidential").1

- 6. Attached as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates numbers CRA0117239-CRA0117242 (labeled "Confidential").
- 7. Attached as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendants' consultant, John White, in this action bearing the Bates numbers WHITE0000199-WHITE0000200 (labeled "Confidential").
- 8. Attached as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates numbers CRA0577291-CRA0577292 (labeled "Confidential").
- 9. Attached as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Tate & Lyle in this action bearing the Bates number TLIA0002251 (labeled "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only").
- 10. Attached as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Cargill in this action bearing the Bates number CRGL0005884 (labeled "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only").
- 11. Attached as **Exhibit 7** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Cargill in this action bearing the Bates number CRGL0040996 (labeled "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only").
- 12. Attached as **Exhibit 8** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates number CRA0420434 (labeled "Highly Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only").
- 13. Attached as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates number CRA0446133 (labeled "Confidential").

¹ For the Court's convenience, following each document identified by Bates number, we have included its confidentiality designation in a parenthetical to demonstrate whether the documents were designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only."

20.

27

28

Defendants met and conferred regarding Defendants' confidentiality designations.

On September 12, 2013, counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for

25. Attached as **Exhibit 20** are true and correct copies of documents produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates numbers CRA0319943-CRA0319946, CRA0321576-CRA0321577, CRA0325493-CRA0325494, and CRA0378023 (all labeled "Confidential").

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 26. Attached as **Exhibit 21** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates number CRA0209304 (labeled "Confidential").
- 27. Attached as **Exhibit 22** is a true and correct copy of a document produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates

produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates

1	numbers RIPPE0002074-RIPPE0002076 (labeled "Highly Confidential –	
2	Attorneys' Eyes Only").	
3	44. Attached as Exhibit 39 is a true and correct copy of a document	
4	produced by defendant Cargill in this action bearing the Bates number	
5	CRGL0025003 (labeled "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only").	
6	45. Attached as Exhibit 40 is a true and correct copy of a document	
7	produced by defendants' consultant, James Rippe, in this action bearing the Bates	
8	number RIPPE0000156 (labeled "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only").	
9	46. Attached as Exhibit 41 is a true and correct copy of a document	
0	produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates	
1	number CRA0446133 (labeled "Confidential").	
12	47. Attached as Exhibit 42 is a true and correct copy a letter to the Editor	
13	in the Journal <i>Obesity</i> .	
4	48. Attached as Exhibit 43 is a true and correct copy of a document	
15	produced by defendant Corn Refiners Association in this action bearing the Bates	
16	numbers CRA0447234-CRA0447237 (labeled "Confidential").	
17	49. Attached as Exhibit 44 is a true and correct copy of a document	
18	produced by defendant Cargill in this action bearing the Bates numbers	
9	CRGL0007727-CRGL0007729 (labeled "Confidential").	
20	50. In compliance with Local Rule 37.2, attached as Exhibit 46 are true	
21	and correct copies of the initial and current scheduling orders in this matter.	
22	Executed at Los Angeles, California on this 30th day of December 2013.	
23		
24	/s/ Adam R. Fox	
25	ADAM R. FOX	
26		
27		
28		
	- 9 -	