

EXHIBIT 41

From: Audrae Erickson </O=CORN/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AERICKSON>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2010 2:02 PM
To: 'martosko@consumerfreedom.com'; David Knowles <dknowles@corn.org>
Cc: 'berman@bermanco.com'; 'bowers@bermanco.com'; 'wilson@bermanco.com'
Subject: Re: Sugar lab testing

We are planning to test our HFCS independently through a third party. There is discussion on the soft drink side but I do not know where it stands yet. I hope to know more on Monday or Tuesday.

Audrae

From: David Martosko: CCF <martosko@consumerfreedom.com>
To: Audrae Erickson; David Knowles
Cc: Richard Berman <berman@bermanco.com>; James Bowers <bowers@bermanco.com>; Justin Wilson <wilson@bermanco.com>
Sent: Sat Oct 30 12:17:42 2010
Subject: Sugar lab testing

Audrae & David,

I'd like your thoughts on the idea of having CCF re-run the kind of testing the USC study used, only on a wider sample set. Maybe 100 soft drink samples from a total of four metro areas.

If the results contradict USC, we can publish them, or maybe even reach out to Marion Nestle & give her the exclusive so she can be a conduit to media.

If for any reason the results confirm USC, we can just bury the data.

I think CRA should be sponsoring some sort of counter-research to refute USC, but the regular academic channels would take a year or so to deliver. We could have data in 6 weeks or so.

Sent by my Droid