

1 WILLIAM A. MOLINSKI (SBN 145186)
wmolinski@orrick.com
2 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200
3 Los Angeles, California 90017
Tel: +1-213-629-2020 / Fax: +1-213-612-2499

4 ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)
ahurst@orrick.com
5 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
405 Howard Street
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2669
7 Tel: +1-415-773-5700 / Fax: +1-415-773-5759

8 E. JOSHUA ROSENKRANZ (*pro hac vice*)
jrosenkranz@orrick.com
9 PETER A. BICKS (*pro hac vice*)
pbicks@orrick.com
10 ELYSE D. ECHTMAN (*pro hac vice*)
eechtman@orrick.com
11 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
51 West 52nd Street
12 New York, New York 10019-6142
Tel: +1-212-506-5000 / Fax: +1-212-506-5151

13 MARK A. LEMLEY (SBN 155830)
mlemely@durietangri.com
14 MICHAEL PAGE (SBN 154913)
mpage@durietangri.com
15 DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
16 San Francisco, California 94111
17 Tel: +1-415-362-6666

E-FILED 06/13/2012

18 Attorneys for Defendants
DISH Network L.L.C.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 FOX BROADCASTING COMPANY,
22 INC., TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX
23 FILM CORP., and FOX TELEVISION
HOLDINGS, INC.,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 DISH NETWORK L.L.C. and DISH
27 NETWORK CORP.,

28 Defendants.

Case No. CV12-04529 GHK (SHx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING EXTENSION OF
TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL
COMPLAINT**

Complaint served: May 24, 2012
Current response date: June 14, 2012
New response date: 20 Days After
Ruling on Motion for an Anti-Suit
Injunction


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court, having read and considered the JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT (the “Stipulation”) filed by defendant DISH Network L.L.C., and stipulated to by plaintiffs Fox Broadcasting Company, Inc., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp., and Fox Television Holdings Inc. (“Fox”) , and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Defendant Dish Network L.L.C. shall have until 20 days after the Court in the New York Action rules on the Motion for an Anti-Suit Injunction to file and serve its response to the Complaint.

Dated: 6/12, 2012



Honorable George H. King
United States District Judge