## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAFox Broadcasting Company, et al., v. Plaintiff(s),

Dish Network LLC, et al.,
Defendant(s).
$\qquad$
Case No. CV 12-4529-DMG (SHx)
SCHEDULING MEETING OF
COUNSEL
[Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, 26(f)]
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
set for September 28, 2012 at
11:00 a.m.
[Fed. R. Civ. P.16]

This case has been assigned to the calendar of United States District Judge
Dolly M. Gee. The responsibility for the progress of litigation in the federal courts falls not only upon the attorneys in the action, but upon the court as well.

In order "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action," (Fed. R. Civ. P. 1), all counsel are hereby ordered to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Central District of California.

A Scheduling Conference is set for the date and time set forth in the caption. ${ }^{1}$ Counsel shall meet at least twenty-one (21) days in advance of the Scheduling Conference to prepare a jointly signed report for the court to be submitted no less than fourteen (14) days before the Scheduling Conference. The report is to contain the items set forth below. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c), the parties shall be represented by counsel with authority to enter into stipulations regarding all matters pertaining to conduct of the case.

The joint report to be submitted shall contain the items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties' recommendations and agreements, if any, about the final scheduling order as listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(1) through (6), and those items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c) which counsel believe will be useful to discuss at the Scheduling Conference. Items which must be addressed are the following:
(1) initial disclosures, preservation of
discoverable information, and a discovery plan, including a listing and proposed schedule of written discovery, depositions, and a proposed discovery cut-off date;
(2) a listing and proposed schedule of law and motion matters, and a proposed dispositive motion cut-off date;
(3) a statement of what efforts have been made to settle or resolve the case to date and what settlement procedure is recommended pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.4 (specifically excluding any statement of the terms discussed);
(4) an estimated length of trial and a proposed date for the final pretrial conference and for trial;

[^0](5) a discussion of other parties likely to be added;
(6) whether trial will be by jury or to the court;
(7) any other issues affecting the status or management of the case; and
(8) proposals regarding severance, bifurcation or other ordering of proof.

In addition, the Scheduling Conference Report shall contain the following:
(1) a short synopsis of the principal issues in the case;
(2) a statement of whether pleadings are likely to be amended;
(3) a statement as to issues which any party believes may be determined by motion.

At the Scheduling Conference, the court will set a date for discovery cutoff, ${ }^{2}$ a final date by which motions must be filed and served, a final pretrial conference date, and a trial date.

To facilitate the scheduling process, counsel shall complete the Schedule of

## Pretrial and Trial Dates form attached as Exhibit A to this Order and attach

 it to the Joint Rule 26(f) Report. The Court urges the parties to make every effort to agree upon joint dates and deadlines. The entries in the "Time Computation" column reflect what the Court believes are appropriate for most cases and will allow the Court to rule on potentially dispositive motions sufficiently in advance of the final pretrial conference. The form is designed to[^1]enable counsel to ask the Court to set different (earlier) last dates by which the key requirements must be completed.

A continuance of the Scheduling Conference will be granted only for good cause. The failure to submit a joint report in advance of the Scheduling Conference or the failure to attend the Scheduling Conference may result in the dismissal of the action, striking the answer and entering a default, and/or the imposition of sanctions.

An alternative dispute resolution (ADR) procedure appropriate to the particular case will be used in every civil action pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.1. In the Scheduling Conference Report, counsel are to recommend a specific ADR procedure provided for in Local Rule 16-15 which will be utilized in this case. See L.R. 26-1(c). If counsel have received a Notice to Parties of Court-Directed ADR Program (ADR-08), the case will be presumptively referred to the Court Mediation Panel or to private mediation. See General Order 11-10, § 5.1. Nonetheless, available alternatives for consideration, not to the exclusion of others, include:
(1) a settlement conference before the magistrate or district judge assigned to this case (Local Rule 16-15.4(1));
(2) appearance before an attorney selected from the Attorney Settlement Officer Panel (Local Rule 16-15.4(2));
(3) appearance before a retired judicial officer or other private or non-profit dispute resolution body for non-judicial settlement or mediation proceedings (Local Rule 1615.4(3));
(4) such other settlement mechanism proposed by the parties and approved by the court.
The report to the court as to the above items should be preceded by a thorough and frank discussion among the attorneys for the parties. A Joint Scheduling Report which does not comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, 26(f), and this Order may cause continuance of the Scheduling Conference and a possible award
of sanctions under Rule 16(f) against the party or parties responsible. A conformed courtesy copy of the Joint Report shall be delivered to the Courtroom Deputy Clerk at the Clerk's Office, Room G-19, by 12:00 p.m. on the business day following e-filing.

Counsel for plaintiff shall immediately serve this Order on all parties, including any new parties to the action.

The Court appreciates Counsel's anticipated cooperation and compliance with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 16, 2012


DOLLY M. GEE United States District Judge

## SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL \& TRIAL DATES WORKSHEET

## Case No. <br> Case

Name:

| MATTER | $\begin{array}{c}\text { JOINT REQUESTED DATE or } \\ \text { PLNTFIDEFT REQUESTED } \\ \text { DATE }\end{array}$ | TIME |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { TRIAL [ ] Court [ ] Jury } \\ \text { Duration Estimate: }\end{array}$ |  | $8: 30$ a.m. |
| FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ("FPTC") |  |  |
| 4 (Tuesday) |  |  |$]$| 2:00 p.m. |
| :---: |


| MATTER | TIME COMPUTATION | $\begin{array}{c}\text { JOINT REQUESTED } \\ \text { DATE or } \\ \text { PLNTFIDEFT }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REQUESTED DATE |  |  |$]$

## EXHIBIT A


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless there is a likelihood that upon motion by a party the Court would order that any or all discovery is premature, it is advisable for counsel to begin to conduct discovery actively before the Scheduling Conference required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). At the very least, the parties shall comply fully with the letter and spirit of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) and thereby obtain and produce most of what would be produced in the early stage of discovery, because at the Scheduling Conference the Court will impose tight deadlines to complete discovery.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is not the date by which discovery requests must be served; but the date by which all discovery is to be completed. Any motion challenging the adequacy of discovery responses must be filed timely, served and calendared sufficiently in advance of the discovery cut-off date to permit the responses to be obtained before that date, if the motion is granted. The Court requires compliance with Local Rule 37-1 and 37-2 in the preparation and filing of discovery motions. Except in the case of an extreme emergency which was not created by the lawyer bringing the motion, discovery motions may not be heard on an ex parte basis.

