FILED 2012 AUG 28 PM 2: 39 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900 3 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 CV12-7386 DMG (JEMX) INGENUITY 13 LLC, 10 Plaintiff, Judge: 11 12 JOHN DOE COMPLAINT 13 Defendant. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 14 15 Plaintiff Ingenuity 13 LLC ("Plaintiff"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this 16 Complaint requesting damages and injunctive relief, and alleges as follows: 17 NATURE OF THE CASE 18 19 1. Plaintiff files this action for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright 20 Act and related contributory infringement and negligence claims under the common law to combat 21 the willful and intentional infringement of its creative works. Unidentified Defendant John Doe 22 ("Defendant"), whose name Plaintiff expects to ascertain during discovery, knowingly and illegally 23 reproduced and distributed Plaintiff's copyrighted Video by acting in concert with others via the 24 BitTorrent file sharing protocol and, upon information and belief, continues to do the same. In using 25 BitTorrent, Defendant's infringment actions furthered the efforts of numerous others in infringing on 26 27 Plaintiff's copyrighted works. The result: exponential viral infringment. Plaintiff seeks a permanent 28

injunction, statutory or actual damages, award of costs and attorney's fees, and other relief to curb this behavior.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Ingenuity13 LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the Federation of Saint Kitts and Nevis. Plaintiff is a holder of rights to various copyrighted works, and is the exclusive holder of the relevant rights with respect to the copyrighted creative work at issue in this Complaint.
- 3. The copyrighted work at issue in this complaint is one of Plaintiff's adult entertainment videos, "Teen Sex First Anal" (the "Video").
- 4. Defendant's actual name is unknown to Plaintiff. Instead, Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by an Internet Protocol address ("IP address"), which is a number assigned to devices, such as computers, that are connected to the Internet. In the course of monitoring Internet-based infringement of its copyrighted content, Plaintiff's agents observed unlawful reproduction and distribution occurring over IP address 108.38.135.253 via the BitTorrent file transfer protocol. Plaintiff cannot ascertain Defendant's actual identity without limited expedited discovery.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's copyright infringement claim under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., (the Copyright Act), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (actions arising under the laws of the United States), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (actions arising under an Act of Congress relating to copyrights). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's contributory infringement and negligence claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they are so related to Plaintiff's copyright infringement claim, which is within this Court's original jurisdiction, that the claims form part of the same case and controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction because, upon information and belief, Defendant either resides in or committed copyright infringement in the State of California. Plaintiff used geolocation technology to trace the IP address of the Defendant to a point of origin within the State of California. Geolocation is a method for ascertaining the likely geographic region associated with a given IP address at a given date and time. Although not a litmus test for personal jurisdiction, the use of geolocation gives Plaintiff good cause for asserting that personal jurisdiction is proper over the Defendant.
- 7. Venue is properly founded in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a) because Defendant resides in this District, may be found in this District, or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims in this action occurred within this District.

BACKGROUND

- 8. BitTorrent is a modern file sharing method ("protocol") used for distributing data via the Internet.
- 9. Traditional file transfer protocols involve a central server, which distributes data directly to individual users. This method is prone to collapse when large numbers of users request data from the central server, in which case the server can become overburdened and the rate of data transmission can slow considerably or cease altogether. In addition, the reliability of access to the data stored on a server is largely dependent on the server's ability to continue functioning for prolonged periods of time under high resource demands.
- 10. Standard P2P protocols involve a one-to-one transfer of whole files between a single uploader and single downloader. Although standard P2P protocols solve some of the issues associated with traditional file transfer protocols, these protocols still suffer from such issues as scalability. For example, when a popular file is released (e.g. an illegal copy of the latest blockbuster movie) the initial source of the file performs a one-to-one whole file transfer to a third party, who

then performs similar transfers. The one-to-one whole file transfer method can significantly delay the spread of a file across the world because the initial spread is so limited.

- In contrast, the BitTorrent protocol is a decentralized method of distributing data. Instead of relying on a central server to distribute data directly to individual users, the BitTorrent protocol allows individual users to distribute data among themselves. Further, the BitTorrent protocol involves breaking a single large file into many small pieces, which can be transferred much more quickly than a single large file and, in turn, redistributed much more quickly than a single large file. Moreover, each peer can download missing pieces of the file from multiple sources—often simultaneously—which causes transfers to be fast and reliable. After downloading a piece, a peer automatically becomes a source for the piece. This distribution method contrasts sharply with a one-to-one whole file transfer method.
- 12. In BitTorrent vernacular, individual downloaders/distributors of a particular file are called peers. The group of peers involved in downloading/distributing a particular file is called a swarm. A server which stores a list of peers in a swarm is called a tracker. A computer program that implements the BitTorrent protocol is called a BitTorrent client. Each swarm is unique to a particular file.
- This file contains information about the files to be shared and about the tracker, the computer that coordinates the file distribution. Second, the user loads the torrent file into a BitTorrent client, which automatically attempts to connect to the tracker listed in the torrent file. Third, the tracker responds with a list of peers and the BitTorrent client connects to those peers to begin downloading data from and distributing data to the other peers in the swarm. When the download is complete, the BitTorrent client continues distributing data to other peers in the swarm until the user manually disconnects from the swarm or the BitTorrent client otherwise does the same.

14. The degree of anonymity provided by the BitTorrent protocol is extremely low. Because the protocol is based on peers connecting to one another, a peer must broadcast identifying information (i.e. an IP address) before it can receive data. Nevertheless, the actual names of peers in a swarm are unknown, as the users are allowed to download and distribute under the cover of their IP addresses.

- 15. The BitTorrent protocol is an extremely popular method for transferring data. The size of swarms for popular files can reach into the tens of thousands of unique peers. A swarm will commonly have peers from many, if not every, state in the United States and several countries around the world. And every peer in the swarm participates in distributing the file to dozens, hundreds, or even thousands of other peers.
- 16. The BitTorrent protocol is also an extremely popular method for unlawfully copying, reproducing, and distributing files in violation of the copyright laws of the United States. A broad range of copyrighted albums, audiovisual files, photographs, software, and other forms of media are available for illegal reproduction and distribution via the BitTorrent protocol.
- BitTorrent's decentralized nature. Because there are no central servers to enjoin from unlawfully distributing copyrighted content, there is no primary target on which to focus anti-piracy efforts. Indeed, the same decentralization that makes the BitTorrent protocol an extremely robust and efficient means of transferring enormous quantities of data also acts to insulate it from anti-piracy measures. This lawsuit is Plaintiff's only practical means of combating BitTorrent-based infringement of the Video.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

18. Plaintiff is the exclusive rights holder with respect to BitTorrent-based reproduction and distribution of the Video.

- The Video is currently registered in the United States Copyright Office (Copyright No. PA0001751393). (See Exhibit A to Complaint.)
- 20. The torrent file used to access the copyrighted material was named in a manner that would have provided an ordinary individual with notice that the Video was protected by the copyright laws of the United States.
- 21. Plaintiff employs proprietary peer-to-peer network forensic software to perform exhaustive real time monitoring of the BitTorrent-based swarm involved in distributing the Video. This software is effective in capturing data about the activity of peers in a swarm and their infringing conduct.
- 22. Defendant, using IP address 108.38.135.253, without Plaintiff's authorization or license, intentionally downloaded a torrent file particular to Plaintiff's Video, purposefully loaded that torrent file into his BitTorrent client—in this case, Azureus 4.7.0.2—entered a BitTorrent swarm particular to Plaintiff's Video, and reproduced and distributed the Video to numerous third parties.
- 23. Plaintiff's investigators detected Defendant's illegal download on 2012-08-04 at 05:07:58 (UTC). However, this is a simply a snapshot observation of when the IP address was *observed* in the BitTorrent swarm; the conduct took itself place before and after this date and time.
- 24. Defendant was part of a group of BitTorrent users or peers in a single swarm—a process generally described above—whose computers were collectively interconnected for the sharing of a particular unique file. The particular file a BitTorrent swarm is associated with has a unique file "hash"—i.e. a unique file identifier generated by an algorithm. The unique hash value in this case is identified as DBEE600A2FCED4FC9E28AB0261909522813BFC44 (hereinafter "Hash Tag."), and common to all of the participants in the swarm.

COUNT I - COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.
- 26. Defendant's conduct infringes upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution that are protected under the Copyright Act.
- 27. Defendant knew or had constructive knowledge that his acts constituted copyright infringement of Plaintiff's Video.
- 28. Defendant's conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act: intentional, and with indifference to the Plaintiff's rights.
- 29. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's conduct, including but not limited to economic and reputation losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no adequate remedy at law to compensate the Plaintiff for all of the possible damages stemming from the Defendant's conduct.
- 30. Plaintiff hereby reserves the right, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), to elect to recover statutory damages for each infringement, in lieu of seeking recovery of actual damages.
- 31. As Defendant's infringement was intentional and willful, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and the costs of the suit.

COUNT II – CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT

- 32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.
- 33. When users in this unique swarm all possess the same infringing work with the same exact hash value, it is because each infringer possesses an exact digital copy, containing the exact bits and pieces unique to that specific file of Plaintiff's original copyrighted work. They only way this happens in a BitTorrent swarm is through the sharing of these bits and pieces of each same

unique file, with the same unique hash value, between the users in the swarm. In essence, although hundreds of users may be uploading the copyrighted work, a single user will receive only the exact parts of a singular upload through that exact swarm, not a compilation of available pieces from various uploads.

- 34. Defendant published the Hash Tag to the BitTorrent network.
- 35. Defendant downloaded, uploaded and distributed the Video to other BitTorrent users through use of the hash-specified protocol in the unique swarm.
- 36. As each of the thousands of people who illegally downloaded the movie accessed this illegal publication, they derived portions of their illegal replication of the file from multiple persons, including, but not limited to, Defendant.
- 37. Defendant knew of the infringement, was conscious of his own infringement, and Defendant was fully concsious that his actions resulted in multiple other persons derivatively downloaded the file containing Plaintiff's Video.
- 38. The infringement by the other BitTorrent users could not have occurred without Defendant's participation in uploading Plaintiff's copyrighted works. As such, Defendant's participation in the infringing activities of others is substantial and contributed, for profit, to the infringing activity of thousands of other peers over the Internet across the world.
- 39. Defendant profited from this contributory infringement by way of being granted access to a greater library of other infringing works, some of which belonged to Plaintiff and some of which belonged to other copyright owners.

COUNT III - NEGLIGENCE

40. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.

- 41. Defendant accessed, or controlled access to, the Internet connection used in performing the unauthorized copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video, proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff.
- 42. Defendant had a duty to secure his Internet connection. Defendant breached that duty by failing to secure his Internet connection.
- 43. Reasonable Internet users take steps to secure their Internet access accounts preventing the use of such accounts for an illegal purpose. Defendant's failure to secure his Internet access account, thereby allowing for its illegal use, constitutes a breach of the ordinary care that a reasonable Internet account holder would do under like circumstances.
- 44. In the alternative, Defendant secured his connection, but permitted an unknown third party to use his Internet connection to infringe on Plaintiff's Video. Defendant knew, or should have known, that this unidentified individual used Defendant's Internet connection for the aformentioned illegal activities. Defendant declined to monitor the unidentified third-party infringer's use of his computer Internet connection, demonstrating further negligence.
- 45. In the alternative, Defendant knew of, and allowed for, the unidentified third party infringer's use of his Internet connection for illegal purposes and thus was complicit in the unidentified third party's actions.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Defendant's failure to secure his Internet access account directly allowed for the copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video over the BitTorrent protocol through Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the copyrighted work.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew, or should have known of, the unidentified third party's infringing actions, and, despite this, Defendant directly, or indirectly, allowed for the copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video over the BitTorrent protocol through

Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the copyrighted Video.

- 48. By virtue of his unsecured access, Defendant negligently allowed the use of his Internet access account to perform the above-described copying and sharing of Plaintiff's copyrighted Video.
- 49. Had Defendant taken reasonable care in securing access to this Internet connection, or monitoring the unidentified third-party individual's use of his Internet connection, such infringements as those described above would not have occurred by the use of Defendant's Internet access account.
- 50. Defendant's negligent actions allow others to unlawfully copy and share Plaintiff's copyrighted Video, proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff and unlawfully interfering with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the Video.

JURY DEMAND

51. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests Judgment and relief as follows:

- 1) Judgment against Defendant that he has: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in federally registered copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501; and b) otherwise injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendant's acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint;
- 2) Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendant for actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount to be ascertained at trial;

CASE NO.

Case	2:12-cv-07386-ODW-JC	Document 1	Filed	08/28/12	Page 12 of 17	Page ID #:25
3						
1				Respectfully	Submitted,	
2				PRENDA L		
3				PRENDAL	AW INC.	
4	DATED: August 22, 2012					
			By:	/s/ B	rett L. Gibbs	
5				Brett L. Gib	bbs, Esq. (SBN 251 to Prenda Law Inc	000)
6				38 Miller A	venue, #263	•
7				blgibbs@w	venue, #263 , CA 94941 efightpiracy.com r Plaintiff	a .
8				Attorney joi		
10						
11						
12						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20 21						
22						
23 24						
25						
26						
26						
28						
40			COMPI	2 LAINT		CASE NO.

Certificate of Registration



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Maria A. Vallante

Register of Copyrights, United States of America

Registration Number PA 1-751-393

Effective date of registration:

August 24, 2011

Title ————						
Title of Work:	Teen Sex: First Anal					
Completion/Publication - Year of Completion:	2011					
Date of 1st Publication:	August 22, 2011 Nation of 1st Publication: United States					
Author ————						
Mathor:	Ingenuity13 LLC					
Author Created:	entire motion picture, production/producer, direction/director, script/screenplay, cinematography/cinematographer, editing/editor					
Work made for hire:	Yes					
Citizen of:	United States Domiciled in: United States					
Copyright claimant ————————————————————————————————————	Ingenuity13 LLC					
	Springates East, Government Road, Charlestown, Saint Kitts-Nevis					
Certification —						
Name:	John Steele					
Date:	August 23, 2011					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to Dis	rict Judge Dolly Gee and the assigned discovery
Magistrate Judge is John E. McDermott.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 7386 DMG (JEMx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defenda	ınts (if a removal action is
filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).	

Sub	sequent documents must be filed	at the	following location:		
L	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	П	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	П	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

3					011120011	200								
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) INGENUITY13 LLC					100 000	' ENDA OHN D								
						(I	Unknov	vn)						
	attorneys (Firm Name, Adourself, provide same.)	dress an	d Telephone Number. If y	ou are	representing			f Known)	-					
Brett L. Gibbs, Of Counsel to Prenda Law, Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 (415) 325-5900														MANUAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
II. BA	SIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place	an X in one box only.)			NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)								
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) City			Citizen of This	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7				PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4					
□ 2 U.	S. Government Defendant	□ 4	Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	nship	Citizen of Anot	her St	tate		□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in An		□ 5	□ 5
					Citizen or Subje	ect of	a Forei	gn Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□6
IV. O	RIGIN (Place an X in one	e box or	nly.)											
▼1 O Pi	riginal 2 Remove State Co		☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court		einstated or	5 Tra	ınsferre	d from anoth	er dist	rict (spe	ecify): 🗆 6 Multi Distr Litigs	ict Jud	eal to I ge from gistrate	1
V. RE	QUESTED IN COMPLA	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: Y	es 🗆	No (Check 'Yes	s' only	y if den	nanded in cor	nplain	it.)				
	S ACTION under F.R.C.							EMANDED I			.INT: \$			
-		*****	S. Civil Statute under which	h you a	are filing and wri	ite a b	orief sta	tement of cau	ise. D	o not c	te jurisdictional sta	itutes unless div	ersity.)	
	7 U.S.C. Sections 121 et a													
VII. N	ATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X i	n one box only.)											****
0	THER STATUTES		CONTRACT		TORTS			TORTS			PRISONER	LAI	BOR	
□ 400		1	Insurance Marine		RSONAL INJURY Airplane	Y		ERSONAL]	PETITIONS Motions to	□ 710 Fair La Act	bor Sta	ndards
	Banks and Banking Commerce/ICC		Miller Act Negotiable Instrument	□ 315	Airplane Produc Liability	1		Other Fraud Truth in Len	dina		Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	□ 720 Labor/ Relatio	_	
L 430	Rates/etc.		Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel &			Other Person	nal		General	□ 730 Labor/		
	Deportation		Overpayment &	□ 330	Slander Fed. Employers	,	m 205				Death Penalty	Report	-	
□ 470	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt		Enforcement of Judgment		Liability		□ 383	Property Dan Product Liab		□ 340	Other	Disclor ☐ 740 Railwa		
	Organizations	□ 151	Medicare Act		Marine Marine Product	.	BA	NKRUPTCY	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	□ 550	Civil Rights	□ 790 Other l	*	
	Consumer Credit	□ 152	Recovery of Defaulted	L 343	Liability	·	□ 422	Appeal 28 U	ISC	provide the contract of	Prison Condition	Litigat		
	Cable/Sat TV Selective Service		Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	□ 350		I	□ 423	158 Withdrawal	28		PENALTY	☐ 791 Empl. Securit		
	Securities/Commodities/	□ 153		355	Motor Vehicle Product Liabilit	- 1		USC 157		40/14/2007/00/2007	Agriculture	PROPERT	Y RIGI	ATS
□ 875	Exchange Customer Challenge 12		Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Personal Injury		WINDS THE SHEET NO.	VIL RIGHTS Voting	\$	□ 620	Other Food & Drug	■ 820 Copyri □ 830 Patent	ghts	
	USC 3410		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Personal Injury	-	□ 442	Employment		□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Trader		GALLEY TO
	Other Statutory Actions Agricultural Act		Other Contract Contract Product	m 268	Med Malpractic	.	□ 443	Housing/Accommodations			Seizure of Property 21 USC	SOCIAL S		ITY
□ 891 □ 892	Economic Stabilization	193	Liability	□ 303	Personal Injury- Product Liabilit		□ 444	Welfare			881	□ 862 Black		923)
	Act	1	Franchise	□ 368	Asbestos Person		□ 445	American w		1	Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC		/
	Environmental Matters		REAL PROPERTY		Injury Product			Disabilities			R.R. & Truck	(405(g		JI
	Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act	•	Land Condemnation Foreclosure	1	Liability MMIGRATION		□ 446	Employment American w		1	Airline Regs Occupational	□ 864 SSID		
	Appeal of Fee Determi-	1	Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462	Naturalization			Disabilities -			Safety /Health	FEDERAL	TAX S	
	nation Under Equal		Torts to Land	□ 463	Application Habeas Corpus	_	T 440	Other Civil		□ 690	Other	□ 870 Taxes		
□ 950	Access to Justice Constitutionality of		Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	403	Alien Detainee		⊔ 440	Other Civil Rights				□ 871 IRS-TI	endant) nird Par	
_ , , ,	State Statutes			□ 465	Other Immigrat Actions	tion						USC 7		,
*****		cyani in rancon man a	The state of the s				-							

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 2:12 19 17 18 36 A POW DISTRIBORUMENT, CENTIRAL OBISTRIC BAGGA LIFORNIA Page ID #:30 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: H If yes, list case number(s):		viously filed in this court and	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ■No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	ve any cases been previ	iously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? ♥No ☐ Yes				
	Arise from the same of Call for determination For other reasons woo Involve the same pate	or closely related transactior in of the same or substantially uld entail substantial duplica ent, trademark or copyright,	y related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the							
(a) List the County in this Distric☐ Check here if the government	t; California County ou , its agencies or employ	ees is a named plaintiff. If	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country St. Kitts and Nevis				
(b) List the County in this Distric	t; California County ou	itside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*	, no agentino		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country				
Ûnknown Geolocation track	ks IP Address to Los	Angeles County					
(c) List the County in this District Note: In land condemnation	et; California County ou	utside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Unknown Geolocation track	ss IP Address to Los	Angeles County					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berr	nardino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, or s	San Luis Obispo Counties				
Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): /S/ Brett L. Gibbs Date August 22, 2012							
Notice to Counsel/Parties:	The CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	ed by the Judicial Conterence	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings ce of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed atting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to	Social Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Coo	de Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	of Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	ntal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement U.S.C. (g))	(old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42				

Page 2 of 2