

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DENIED

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

DAVID O. CARTER

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

9/25/12

US DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDD ET AL)	CASE# SACV 12-1507 DOC(ANx)
V)	HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER
PRESIDING)	
OBAMA ET AL)	

ORDER

ON 09.22. 2012 plaintiffs filed EX-PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO HAVE AN EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY HEARD EX/PARTE OR ON A SHORTENED RESPONSE SCHEDULE

Ex parte relief should be granted if the evidence shows “that the moving party’s cause will be irreparably prejudiced if the underlying motion is heard according to regular noticed motion procedures.” *Mission Power Eng’g Co. v. Continental Cas. Co.*, 883 F. Supp. 488, 492 (C.D. Cal. 1995).

Case at hand deals with alleged invalid votes, invalid voter registrations, voting irregularities and elections fraud. Plaintiffs are candidates in 2012 election, State Representatives and voters. They argue that due to the proximity to the upcoming November 6 election they would be irreparably prejudiced if the case is not heard expeditiously.

The court agrees and sets the following schedule:

1 Plaintiffs are ordered to submits their motion for Preliminary injunction by

2 _____
3 Defendants are ordered to answer by _____

4 Plaintiffs are ordered to submit their reply by _____

5 Oral argument is scheduled for _____

6 Motion for Ex Parte/ or to expedite is GRANTED. So ORDERED.

7 _____
8 US District Judge David O. Carter

9 Dated _____
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28