Tom Cruise		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
TOM CRUISE, Plaintiff,		
vs. Case No. CV	12-0912	4
(DDP) (JCX) BAUER PUBLISHING COMPANY, L.P., BAUER MAGAZINE L.P., BAUER MEDIA GROUP, INC., BAUER, INC., HEINRICH BAUER NORTH AMERICA, INC., and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
Defendants.		
*** CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY *** VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TOM CRUISE		
September 9, 2013 9:04 a.m.		
9:04 a.m.		
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21st Floor		
Los Angeles, California		
REPORTED BY:		
Jean F. Holliday		
CSR No. 4535, RPR, CRR		
	Page	1

		1	
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1 2	INDEX TO CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBITS TOM CRUISE
3	For the Plantiff	3	Tom Cruise vs. Bauer Publishing Company
4	GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN MACHTINGER BERTRAM FIELDS	4	Monday, September 9, 2013 Jean F. Holliday, CSR No. 4535, RPR, CRR
	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2100	6	Jean F. Holliday, CSK NO. 4355, KFK, CKK
2	Los Angeles, California. 90067 310.201.7454	7	MARKED DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 100 July 23, 2012 letter to Gregory 67
6	310.553.0687 Fax bfields@greenbergglusker	0	Exhibit 100 July 23, 2012 letter to Gregory 62 Welch and Dan Wakeford, from
7	-AND-	9	Aaron J. Moss; document titled
8	GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN MACHTINGER	10	"Society of Professional Journalists Code of Ethics"; and
9	AARON L MOSS	1	a July 26, 2012 letter to Aaron
10	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California: 90067	11	Moss from Gregory A. Welch (Bates Nos. TC000353 - 356)
11	310.553.3630 310.201.2314 Fax	12	(Dates (10a, 100005.2 - 550)
12	amoss@greenbergglusker.com	13	Exhibit 101 E-mail string dated August 8, 64 2011
13	For the Delendants:	113	(Bales Nos. TC003350 and 3351)
	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	14	F-1/1/- 100 - D11412012-1
14	ELIZABETH A. McNAMARA 1633 Broadway, 27th Floor	15	Exhibit 102 December 14th, 2012 letter to 70 Ms. McNamara from Mr. Fields,
15	New York, New York 10019-6708 212:489:82:50		two pages
16	212.489.8340 Fax lizmenamara@dwt.com	16	Exhibit 103 Printous from The Wrap website, 74
17		17	14 pages
18	-AND-	18	Exhibit 104 E-mail string dated November 6, 80 2012 and August 2, 2013, four
19	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP DEBORAH ADLER	19	pages
20	1633 Broadway, 27th Floor New York, New York 10019-6708	20	Exhibit 105 Copy of a New York Post article 85 titled "Tom Rips 'Nazi'
21	212 489 8230 212 489 8340 Fax	21	Diagnosis," dated June 12, 2008,
22	deborahadler@dwt.com	22	one page
	Also Present:	100	Exhibit 106 Two-page printout from 100
23	GREGORY A. WELCH, GENERAL COUNSEL BAUER	23	www.scientology.org titled
24	PUBLISHING GROUP STAN BEVERLY, VIDEOGRAPHER	24	"Scientology. What Does 'Suppressive Person' Mean''?
25	_	25	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Page 2		Page 4
1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION	1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS (Continued)
2	WITNESS: TOM CRUISE	2	MARKED DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	WITNESS. TOW EROISE	3	Exhibit 107 Copy of a cover of Vanity Fair 117 magazine from 2006
	EXAMINATION PAGE	4	-
4		5	Exhibit 108 Chart showing schedules 131 (Bates Nos. TC002814 - 2833)
5	By Ms. McNamara: 7	6	Exhibit 109 Document titled "Avjet 143
6		7	Corporation Passenger Itinerary 1 January 2011 to 5 July 2012"
7			(Bates Nos. TC002834 - 2836)
8	INFORMATION REQUESTED	8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9	Page Line	9	Exhibit 110 Redacted phone bills 179 (Bates Nos. TC002795 ~ 2800,
10	68 15		2791 - 2794, 2801, 2811 - 2813,
11		10	2790, 2808 - 2810, 2805 - 2807, 2802 - 2804)
12	DOCUMENTS REQUESTED	11	
١3	Page Line	12	Exhibit 111 E-mail string dated July 17, 197
14	(NONE)	12	2012 (Bales No. TC003147)
15	· · · · · · /	13	· ·
16	WITNESS REFUSED TO ANSWER	14	Exhibit 112 Series of e-mails 200 (Bates Nos. TC000835, 836, 936,
17			937 and 918)
		15	Exhibit 113 E mail string dated Soutandary 202
8	108 25	16	Exhibit 113 E-mail string dated September 203 15, 2012
19			(Bates No. TC003140)
20		17 18	
21		19	
22		20 21	
23		22	
		23	
		104	
24 25		24 25	

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	، ۱۹۹۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰ - ۲۰۰۰	<u> </u>	
1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA;	1	A. No.
2	MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2013, 9:04 A.M.	2	Q honestly?
з		3	A. No.
4	TOM CRUISE,	4	Q. And truthfully?
5	having been first duly sworn, was	5	A. None.
6	examined and testified as follows:	6	Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
7		7	A. Yes, I have.
8	EXAMINATION	8	Q. And on how many occasions?
9		9	A. I don't know. One, two, three, the one for Bob
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're on the	10	Towne in the writing thing. Maybe four, something like
11	record. This is the video recorded deposition of Tam	11	that.
12	Cruise in the matter of Tom Cruise versus Bauer	12	Q. Okay. In what actions were they?
13	Publishing Company, et al., taken on behalf of the	13	A. One was a lawsuit with Sephora, I think. I
14	defendant. This deposition is taking place at 1900	14	don't know. I don't.
15	Avenue of the Stars, Los Angeles, California, on	15	MR. FIELDS: I don't get to answer.
16	September 9th, 2013, at approximately 9:04 a.m.	16	BY MS. McNAMARA:
17	My name is Stan Beverly. I'm the videographer	17	Q. Mr. Cruise, don't look
18	with U.S. Legal Support located at 11845 West Olympic	18	A. Oh, sorry. Sorry.
19	Boulevard, Los Augeles, California.	19	Q to your counsel to answer.
20	Video and audio recording will be taking place	20	A. You know what, I I honestly
21	unless all counsel have agreed to go off the record.	21	Q. Fle's not here to testify.
22	Would all present please identify themselves	22	A. Sorry about that. You know, Bert can tell you.
23	beginning with the witness.	23	I don't really
24	THE WITNESS: Tom Cruise.	24	Q. You don't remember any any action that you
25	MR. FIELDS: And I am Bert Fields, one of the	25	testified in?
ļ	Page 6	ļ	Page 8
1	lawyers for Mr. Cruise.	1	A. No. There is a few that I testified in. There
2	MR. MOSS: Aaron Moss, also for Mr. Cruise.	2	was one for SAG, for I guess for Bob Towne. There
3	MS. McNAMARA: Elizabeth McNamara for the	3	was a lawsuit that we had I think with Phillips years
4	defendants, along with my colleague Deborah Adler.	4	ago, and there was a recent case.
5	MR. WELCH: And Greg Welch also for the	5	Q. Involving Mr. Sapir?
6	defendants.	6	A. Yes. Yes, I think so.
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The certified court reporter	7	Q. And that testimony occurred last year; is that
8	is Jean Holliday.	8	correct?
9	Would you please swear in the witness.	9	A. We just did it recently, yes.
10		10	Q. I want you to if for any reason you don't
11	TOM CRUISE,	11	understand one of my questions, I want you to tell me
12	having been first duly sworn, was	12	tliat you don't understand it.
13	examined and testified as follows:	13	A. Certainly.
14		14	Q. If you don't do so, then we'll assume for the
15	EXAMINATION	15	record that you did understand the question.
16		16	A. Certainly.
17	BY MS. MCNAMARA:	17	Q. Okay? Did you do anything to prepare for this
18	Q. Good morning, Mr. Cruise.	18	deposition?
19	A. Good morning.	19	A. Met with Bert and Aaron yesterday.
20	Q. Thank you for coming. It's a standard	20	Q. Okay. For how long?
21	question, so ··	21	A. Probably talked about it for about an hour.
22	A. Uh-huh, sure.	22	Q. Okay. Did you look at any documents?
23	Q dun't take offense, but I need to ask you to	23	A. Just reviewed the covers again of the
1	the state of the s	24	magazines.
24	begin whether you're taking any medication or anything	1	5
1	that would impede your ability to speak Page 7	24 25	Q. Did you review the articles? Page 9

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1		1	
	the same day as the filing of the joint Rule 26(f)	1	daughter with "Abandoned By Daddy," to me that's what
2	report in this litigation where your lawyer at the last	2	sticks out the most.
3	minute had injected the notion that one of the issues in	3	BY MS. McNAMARA:
4	the litigation would be Bauer's history of bigotry and	4	Q. Okay. And that wouldn't have happened
5	hatred toward minority groups. And we can have this	5	A. Had they not published it.
6	niarked as Bauer's Exhibit 103.	6	Q. And if you had not sued. It wouldn't be part
7	(Exhibit 103 marked)	7	of this litigation if your lawyers had not
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	8	A. Had they not published it.
9	BY MS. McNAMARA:	9	Q. Let me finish, Mr. Cruise. I understand
10	Q. And before you read that, Mr. Cruise, have you	10	A. You cut me off
11	ever seen this news article before?	11	Q. 1 understand –
12	A. No, I haven't.	12	A. When I was talking earlier you cut me off.
13	Q. Were you aware in any way that your lawyers had	13	Q. Then I apologize. I didn't mean to cut you
14	anything to do with this news article?	14	off.
15	A. No.	15	A. Okay.
16	Do you want me to read the whole thing?	16	Q. So let me
17	Q. You don't have to. I don't want to impede you	117	A. Let me finish, please.
18	if let me ask you one question. Refreshing does	18	Q. Sure. Sure.
19	looking at this article refresh your recollection as to	19	A. "He chose Scientology over Suri for good"
20	the name of the publication that was cluding you	20	"Has he chosen Scientology over Suri for good?
21	earlier, is it "Der Landser"?	21	Abandoned by Daddy." I mean come on, that is absolutely
22	A. I don't know.	22	disgusting. That is absolutely disgusting. And I have
23	Q. Now, are you aware as to whether your counsel	23	to tell you with everything listen, I am a public
24	had anything to do with the publication of this article?	24	person, I absolutely understand. For me there is I
25	A. No. Page 74	25	tolerate a tremendous amount and I'm very privileged to Page 76
18 <b>45, 4</b>	, 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1 − 1	<del> </del>	
1	Q. You believe that you're not aware or you	1	be able to have the life that I have, and I believe
2	believe they did not?	2	that. But there is a line that that I draw for
3	A. I'm not aware.	з	myself and and that's it. And I asked for an
4	Q. But you can't say that they did not, is that	4	apology. I asked for a retraction. They denied it,
5	right?	5	wouldn't do it, and then published that, you know
6	A. I don't know.	10	
		6	this is the second one, I guess, but they published it a
7	Q. Would you be troubled if you learned that your	7	this is the second one, I guess, but they published it a second time.
7 8	Q. Would you be troubled if you learned that your counsel provided much of the information that's	1	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could
	· · · · · ·	7	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen,
8 9	counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article? A. 1 would have to	7 8 9 10	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing
8 9 10 11	<ul><li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li><li>A. I would have to</li><li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change
8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li><li>A. I would have to</li><li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this
8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. 1 would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. 1 would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it. Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. 1 would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it. Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. 1 would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it. Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. 1 would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic,</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	second time. It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it. Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Object to the form and calls for</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last year has launched an investigation of Bauer by the Simon</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Object to the form and calls for improper opinion.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last year has launched an investigation of Bauer by the Simor Wiesenthal Center?</li> </ul>
8 9 110 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Object to the form and calls for improper opinion.</li> <li>You may answer.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last year has launched an investigation of Bauer by the Simor Wiesenthal Center?</li> <li>A. I know that they found out about it and they</li> </ul>
8 9 110 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Object to the form and calls for improper opinion.</li> <li>You may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't know, you know, honestly,</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last year has launched an investigation of Bauer by the Simor Wiesenthal Center?</li> <li>A. I know that they found out about it and they started investigating them, yes.</li> </ul>
8	<ul> <li>counsel provided much of the information that's contained in this article?</li> <li>A. I would have to</li> <li>Q. As a means to defend this litigation or to attack Bauer?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I'd have to think about it.</li> <li>Q. Do you think that do you think that's a legitimate means of prosecuting a legal claim, to supply news organizations with negative information concerning the defendant, insinuations that they are anti-Semitic, pro-Nazi and the like that has nothing to do with this litigation?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Object to the form and calls for improper opinion.</li> <li>You may answer.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>second time.</li> <li>It's very simple. This is something that could have gotten handled easily. And I understand, listen, with the Internet, with you know, I've been doing this for 30 years. I've lived through the whole change and incarnation, and there is a point where and this is it for me. So that's how I feel about it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So just to close on The Wrap, this article, to your knowledge, you did not authorize your lawyers to provide information to gin up this article, did you?</li> <li>A. No, I didn't.</li> <li>Q. What about are you aware that there in the last year has launched an investigation of Bauer by the Simor Wiesenthal Center?</li> <li>A. I know that they found out about it and they</li> </ul>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

P	I UIII		
1	A. Yes, I do.	1	this as attorneys' eyes only. This document that will
2	Q. And you've contributed a fair amount of money	2	be marked as Exhibit 105 104 I had it right the
3	to them, haven't you?	3	first time, Exhibit 104, that this is a not a
4	A. Yes, I have helped raise money for them.	4	confidential document.
5	Q. And you were awarded the Humanitarian Award in	i .	(Exhibit 104 marked)
6	May 2011 from the center?	6	BY MS. McNAMARA:
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. I don't know whether you can read German. I
8	Q. Did you have anything to do with the center's	8	can't read German, so you can skip to the English part
9	investigation of Bauer?	9	of this e-mail.
10	A. No, I dídn't.	10	A. Okay.
11		11	Q. Is this the first time you've seen this e-mail,
1	Q. Did you ask them to	1	Mr. Cruise?
12	A. No	12	
13	Q investigate?	13	A. Yes.
14	A I didu't.	14	Q. Did you authorize Mr. Galsor to reach out to
15	Q. Do you know whether your lawyers had anything	15	the media and to supply them with a lot of largely false
16	to do with the investigation	16	information concerning Baller?
17	A. I don't know.	17	A. No.
18	Q by the center of Bauer?	18	Q. And to seek publicity concerning them?
19	A. No, I don't know.	19	MR. FIELDS: Object to the form of the
20	Q. So it was just a coincidence?	20	question. I'm sorry.
21	A. I don't know.	21	You may answer.
22	Q. Do you know whether let me step back.	22	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't. So Bauer isn't the
23	Have you personally tried to generate press	23	biggest pornographer in Germany?
24	concerning Bauer being pro-Nazi?	24	BY MS. McNAMARA:
25	A. No.	25	Q. No.
	Page 78		Page 8(
1	Q. Have you spoken to any press organization	1	A. There is a bigger one?
2	concerning your belief that the Bauer Pub you know,	2	Q. Well, like for example, it says: It's likely
3	the Bauer Corporation	3	that concentration camp labor was used to publish these
4	A. No, I haven't.	4	weekly magazines, but we have not uncovered any evidence
5	Q is pro-Nazi?	5	to support that. If we publish that, you could sue us
6	A. No, I haven't.	6	for actual malice. It's an admission of falsehood. Do
7	Q. That it's anti-Semitic?	7	you see that, Mr. Cruise?
8	A. I have not.	8	A. I beg your pardon, this is what?
9	Q. Do you know have you authorized your your	9	Q. If we if In Touch published, or if the
10	counsel to generate such publicity?	10	magazine published that Bauer used concentration camp
11	A. No.	11	labor to publish its weekly magazines and at the same
12		12	time admitting that they have not uncovered any evidence
13	Matt Galsor?	13	to support that contention, you understand that that
14	A. Matt Galsor, he's my lawyer.	14	would state a claim for defamation, do you?
15	Q. And he's a lawyer at this firm; is that right?	15	MR. FIELDS: Objection to the form of the
16	A. Yes.	16	question. It asks for improper opinion.
17	Q. Are you aware that he e-mailed an AP reporter	17	You may answer.
18	suggesting that they publish a story about Bauer's	18	THE WITNESS: I'm not a lawyer.
19	pro-Nazi sentiment?	19	BY MS. McNAMARA:
20	A. No.	20	Q. You can answer.
21	Q. Did you authorize such an effort?	21	A. I'm not a lawyer.
	A. No.	22	Q. Okay.
100		23	A. I'm not a lawyer.
22	O Let me show you a document wa'll mark us bauer.		
23	Q. Let nie show you a document we'll mark as Bauer Exhibit 104	1	
	<ul> <li>Q. Let me show you a document we'll mark as Bauer</li> <li>Exhibit 104.</li> <li>And for the record, 1 know you've designated</li> </ul>	24 25	Q. You you would be A. But is it true that as recently as May this

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

1	year Bauer has distributed ultra-radical racist and	1	BY MS. MCNAMARA;
2	anti-Semitic magazines from neo-Nazi publisher?	2	Q. Okay.
3	Q. No. But I'm not here to testify.	3	A. That's what I wanted. I wanted an apology.
4	A. That Baner's that Bauer's that Bauer's	4	They didn't do it, and then they did it again. Is that
5	company didn't do that.	5	ethical? Is that ethical?
6	Q. I'm not here to testify, Mr. Cruise.	6	MR. FIELDS: You don't get to ask questions.
7	A. Well, I'm just	7	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
8	Q. My question	8	MS. McNAMARA: Thank you, Mr. Fields.
9	A curious because you said that	9	Q. Now seeing this e-mail from your lawyer,
10	Q. My question to you is did you authorize this	10	Mr. Galsor, series of e-mails actually, do you now
11	press efforts by your counsel?	11	believe that maybe lie had something to do with the Sim
12	A. No. No, I didn't. No, I didn't.	12	Wiesenthal Center investigation?
13	Q. And you were not aware of it; is that right?	13	A. I don't know.
14	A. No, I wasn't.	14	Q. Or The Wrap article?
15		15	
	Q. And if you compare, and I you can I'm not	1	A. I don't know.
16	asking you necessarily to do, but if you compare many of	1	MS. McNAMARA: We call for the production of
17	the contentions in this e-mail with The Wrap article,	17	all documents evidencing contact with the press
18	many of the exact same facts are included. Does that	18	regarding Bauer and Naziism and anti-Semitism.
19	help to inform whether your counsel were also	19	Q. That's not for you, Mr. Cruise.
20	responsible for the content of The Wrap article?	20	A. I was like okay, what does that mean?
21	MR. FIELDS: Objection to the form.	21	Q. That's that's for your counsel that we're
22	You may answer.	22	calling for the production of all those documents.
23	THE WITNESS: I mean I don't know if they were	23	And reacting to negative press by insinuating
24	or not. I didn't authorize it.	24	an association with Naziism, this isn't the first time
25	/// Page 82	25	that you've done this; is that right?
			Page 8
1	BY MS. McNAMARA:	1	A. What do you mean?
2	Q. Do you believe such tactics like this after you	2	Q. That either you or representatives on your
3	file a defamation lawsuit and then you seek to gin up	3	behalf when you've when someone has been neg
4	press around the world about the company that has	4	attacked you negatively in the press in some manner, the
5	nothing to do with the content or the issues in the	5	reaction is to accuse them of being pro-Nazi or
6	litigation, do you believe that's ethical?	6	anti-Semitic or anti-religion?
7	MR. FIELDS: Object to the form of the	7	A. I dou't know,
8	question. Asks for improper opinion.	8	Q. Have you ever
9	You may answer.	9	A. Depends on the
10	BY MS. MeNAMARA:	10	Q heard that before?
11	Q. You may answer.	11	A. Depends on the situation.
12	A. Listen, I think it's you have to look at	12	Q. Do you recall a statement by Dr. Drew Pinsky
	something is it true or is it not true, and I listen,	13	concerning you?
13	I didu't authorize it.	14	A. No.
13 14			Q. Let me show you we'll mark this as
14		15	
14 15	Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you	15 16	defendants' Exhibit 105 or it's not defendants'.
14 15 16	Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?	16	
14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> </ul>	16 17	It's Exhibit 105.
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> </ul>	16 17 18	lt's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked)
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. 1</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. I</li> <li>don't know if it is a proper litigation tactic or not.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get used to that. We always separated exhibits.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. I don't know if it is a proper litigation tactic or not.</li> <li>The one thing that all I wanted basically was for</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get used to that. We always separated exhibits. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. I</li> <li>don't know if it is a proper litigation tactic or not.</li> <li>The one thing that all I wanted basically was for them not to print that I abandoned my daughter and that</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get used to that. We always separated exhibits. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 10:51 am.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. I don't know if it is a proper litigation tactic or not.</li> <li>The one thing that all I wanted basically was for them not to print that I abandoned my daughter and that I chose my religion over my daughter, that's what I</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get used to that. We always separated exhibits. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 10:51 a.m. MS. McNAMARA: No, we're not off the record.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Setting aside the truth of this content, do you believe that that's a proper litigation tactic?</li> <li>MR. FIELDS: Same objection.</li> <li>But you may answer.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: A proper litigation tactic. I</li> <li>don't know if it is a proper litigation tactic or not.</li> <li>The one thing that all I wanted basically was for them not to print that I abandoned my daughter and that</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's Exhibit 105. (Exhibit 105 marked) MS. McNAMARA: Off the record. I can't get used to that. We always separated exhibits. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 10:51 am.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

		1	
1	When I say "asserting a claim" I mean sending a	1	right?
2	claim letter saying: You should retract this, you need	2	A. Yes.
3	to you know, we're threatening to sue.	3	Q. I think your counsel have produced documents in
4	A. Uh-huh.	4	this litigation that includes your schedule and
5	Q. It's short of lawsuit. Okay?	5	travel
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So with that understanding as as to	7	Q and the like. And according to those
8	asserting a claim	8	records you, during that period of time of June 18th
9	A. Yes.	9	until Thanksgiving of 2012, you saw your daughter for 10
10	Q do you recall that your lawyers have	10	days.
11	asserted claims concerning coverage about Suri prior	11	A. I don't know the total number of days.
12	to	12	Q. Does that sound right?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. I don't know. I'd have to look at it.
14	Q the	14	Q. They were the three days of July 17th to the
15	A. Yes.	15	19th, when you came into New York, and then you went out
16	Q articles	16	to the Hamptons. Do you recall that?
17	A. Yes. Thank you.	17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q at issue in this litigation?	18	Q. Okay. You saw her for 12 hours on July 26, or
19	A. Yes, I do, Yes.	19	approximately thereof. Do you recall that? You were
20	Q. And one of them was about secret auditing of	20	flying from London to L.A. and you stopped in New York
21	Suri. Do you recall that?	21	for
22	A. I don't recall all of them, no.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Or that you were creating a wedge between Katie	23	Q about 12 hours?
24	and Suri?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. I don't recall all those.	25	Q. And then there were the six days,
	Page 94		Page 96
	n a a na a barrelete de men Miller (1999), Alter a para a Mill Miller a men Miller (1999), and an	<u>†</u>	
1	Q. Or that Suri was kept in a windowless room at	1	approximately, when you came to New York and picked up
2	one of your homes?	2	Suri and you took her to Disney World?
3	A. I remember stuff when Suri was born, I do. 1	3	A. Uh-huh.
4	don't know if it was specifically that, but I do recall	4	Q. Do you recall that?
5	some of those kinds of statements.	5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. Before a claim letter is sent do you approve	6	Q. So if you add those up, those are generously 10
7			Q. No il you add alose up; alose are generously to
8	the claim letter?	7	days.
, v	A. No, not all of them.	7 8	
9		1	days.
	A. No, not all of them.	8	days. A. Okay.
9	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are</li> </ul>	8 9	days. A. Okay. Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that
9 10	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> </ul>	8 9 10	days. A. Okay. Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?
9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challeuged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11	days. A. Okay. Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation? A. None.
9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. Nonc.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connor and</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connor and Isabella?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mr. Cruise, that</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mt. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home;</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mt. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie Holmes?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home; is that right?</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mr. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie Holmes?</li> <li>A. Where she filed divorce.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connur and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home; is that right?</li> <li>A. At one point Cass did when we were living on</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mt. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie Holmes?</li> <li>A. Where she filed divorce.</li> <li>Q. Yes. And that was at the end of June 2012?</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connor and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home; is that right?</li> <li>A. At one point Cass did when we were living on Alpine.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mt. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie Holmes?</li> <li>A. Where she filed divorce.</li> <li>Q. Yes. And that was at the end of June 2012?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connor and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home; is that right?</li> <li>A. At one point Cass did when we were living on Alpine.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But the other sisters don't you have</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No, not all of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you read the applicable articles that are being challenged?</li> <li>A. I get briefed on them or I read them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And again, I think you testified to this, and I apologize if I'm asking you to repeat it, but when you say you get briefed on it, that's by Amanda Lundberg?</li> <li>A. By Amanda or if it's a legal thing I'll call Bert, discuss it with my counsel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, there came a time, Mt. Cruise, that you and your wife separated; is that right, Katie Holmes?</li> <li>A. Where she filed divorce.</li> <li>Q. Yes. And that was at the end of June 2012?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And since the divorce or rather since prior</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>days.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that calculation?</li> <li>A. None.</li> <li>Q. Prior to your divorce with Ms. Holmes was</li> <li>Ms. Holmes close with your other children, Connor and Isabella?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was she close with your sisters?</li> <li>A. Fairly, yes.</li> <li>Q. One or more of your sisters lived in the home; is that right?</li> <li>A. At one point Cass did when we were living on Alpine.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But the other sisters don't you have three is it correct you have three sisters?</li> </ul>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

#### U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (800) 993-4464

EXHIBIT 10

#### 1 1 A. No, they don't. One lives in Florida. Two MS. McNAMARA: Let's mark as Exhibit Number 2 2 live in Los Angeles. 106 -- thank you -- an excerpt from www.scientology.org, 3 Q. Okay. And was Katie close to your mother as 3 which is the Scientology website. 4 well? 4 (Exhibit 106 marked) 5 A. Yeah, close enough, yes. 5 BY MS. MCNAMARA: 6 Q. Lassume you had friends in the Church? 6 Q. Are you familiar with that, Mr. Cruise? 7 A. Uh-huh, many friends. 7 A. 1 know there is a Scientology website. 1 don't 8 Q. And during the marriage was Katie a 8 know the address. 9 practitioner of Scientology? 9 Q. Okay. Two-page document with the title 10 A. Yes, and before the marriage. 10 "Scientology. What Does 'Suppressive Person' Mean"? 11 Q. And did she leave the Church when she divorced 11 Prior to the break, Mr. Cruise, I was asking 12 12 you? you about what a suppressive person means within the 13 13 A. Yes. Church, and I appreciate that you didn't want to engage 14 Q. Had she left the Church prior to that time? 14 in generalities --15 A. Uh-huh. 15 A. Not to my knowledge. 16 Q. Would you consider -- would the Church consider 16 Q. -- and that it can mean many things. So you're 17 17 welconie to look at this, but I wanted to direct your Ms. Holmes to be a suppressive person upon leaving the 18 Church? 18 attention to the bottoni of the page. 19 A. There is -- that is a distortion and a 19 A. Which part of the bottom of the page, please? 20 20 simplification of -- of the matter. Q. Where it says about declaring someone a 21 Q. Okay. Explain to me what does a suppressive 21 suppressive person it says, "This can be done through 22 person mean within the Church. 22 criminal acts already recognized by society as unlawful 23 or through the commitment of acts deemed Suppressive in 23 A. Someone who is -- basically has an antisocial 24 personality, someone who is dishonest, evaluates and 24 the Scientology Justice Codes, which includes the 25 25 invalidates to the extreme. It goes on from there. Suppressive Act of publicly renouncing the faith." Page 98 Page 100 A. Uh-huh, There is many different aspects to it. I don't want to 1 1 just give an oversimplification of religious doctrine. 2 Q. Do you see that? 2 3 O. And I don't want you to either. But one aspect 3 A. Yes. of someone being a suppressive person -- or 1 think you 4 Q. Did you understand that publicly renouncing 4 sometimes refer to it as SP; is that right? one's faith is deemed to be a suppressive act within the 5 5 6 A. Uh-huh, yes. 6 Church, at least --7 Q. One aspect of someone being SP is when they 7 A. Yes. 8 8 leave the Church, isn't it? Q. -- according to this website? 9 A. Yeah, but it's also an oversimplification of 9 A. Yes. 10 something, and just to state it in a simple term, 10 Q. And it goes on to say on the next page that 11 there's -- it's just a broader subject. 11 "When someone has been expelled from the religion, that 12 Q. Okay. I'm being told by the videographer that 12 person loses both his or her fellowship with the Church 13 we're about done with the tape so --13 as well as with other Scientologists." 14 A. Sure. 14 A. Uh-liuh. 15 Q. -- we need to go off the record and we can take 15 Q. Do you see that? 16 a break if you want 16 A. Sure 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of Media 17 Q. Do you understand that to be true --18 Number 1 in the video deposition of Tum Cruise. The 18 A. Yes time is approximately 11:09 a.m. and we're going off the Q. -- within the Church of Scientology? 19 19 20 recard. 20 A. Uli-huh. 21 21 (Recess) Q. Since Ms. Holmes has left the Church of 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning of 22 Scientology have -- and I guess I'm going to focus 23 23 between the period of June and November of 2012, have Media Number 2 in the video deposition of Tum Cruise. 24 24 The time is approximately 11:18 a.m. and we're back on your two other children had contact with Ms. Holmes? 25 25 the record. A. No. Page 99 Page 101

#### **Tom Cruise**

#### 26 (Pages 98 to 101)

1	that other publications were reporting that Katie left	1	first of all, I don't know everything that they said in
2	you in part to protect Suri from Scientology, are you	2	that, and there are many different other aspects to the
3	not?	3	divorce.
4	A. I heard of that. I don't know where it was all	4	Q. Is Suri currently practicing Scientology?
5	coming from, but I heard that horrific thing.	5	A. No.
6	Q. And do you know whether you or your counsel	6	Q. From the time Ms. Holmes filed for divorce in
7	sought any retractions from such published statements?	7	late June, to your knowledge has Suri practiced
8	A. It is a blur. I'm sure they did at some point.	8	Scientology?
9	I don't know specifically which ones.	9	A. Here's again, when you say "practiced
10	Q. Did you assert any legal claims against	10	Scientology," it shows a lack of understanding and
11	publications arising out of the publication of the	11	respect towards my religion. Let me just
12	contention that Ms. Holmes left you in part to protect	12	Q. 1
13	Suri from Scientology?	13	A. I understand that. I just
14	A. No, only the one that said that I abandoned my	14	Q. I do not mean any disrespect, Mr. Cruise.
15	daughter.	15	A. I I understand that.
16	Q. So you didn't sue over those contentions?	16	Q. And maybe I'm using maybe you can tell me
17	A. Only the one only this one.	17	when someone is
		18	
18	Q. And do you believe it to be false that		A. That's why I am
19	Ms. Holmes left you in part to protect Suri from	19	Q participating
20	Scientology?	20	A. Let me finish, please.
21	A. Listen, I find that question offensive. I find	21	Q. Okay. Absolutely.
22	it those statements offensive. And like with any	22	A. Let me finish. I let you finish.
23	relationship, there are many different levels to it.	23	Q. Absolutely.
24	You know, I I find it very offensive. There is no	24	A. Let me finish.
25	need to protect my daughter from my religion. Page 110	25	Q. Absolutely. You learn very well. Page 11
	ﻣﯩﺪﯨﺪﯨﻲ ﻣﯩﺘﯘﺭﻟﯩﺘﯘﻣﺪﯨﺪﯨﺪﻩﻩﻩ ﻣﯩﺪﻩ ﺩﻩ ﻣﯩﺪﻩ ﻣﻪﻟﺪﻩﻩﻩﻩ ﻣﯩﺪﻩﻩﻩ ﻣﻪﻟﺪﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩﻩ		NAMEN ALL REAL POINT AL REAL POINT ALL REAL POINT AL
1	Q. Okay. My question to you, and I apologize if	1	A. Getting the hang of this.
2	you find it offensive. And again, I repeat, I'm sorry	2	It shows a lack of respect and understanding
3	we're here. I don't want to be here. My client doesn't	3	for my religion. That is understandable in that it is a
4	want to be here. They don't think that this warrants a	4	minority religion. People don't know and understand,
5	litigation. But	5	and of course the way things are reported or taken out
6	A. I believe it does.	6	of context, like many things, can create this kind of
7	Q. I know you do, that's why we're here.	7	sense of what something is as opposed to people going
8	A. Yes. Yes.	8	and finding out and knowing about it themselves.
9	Q. But unfortunately, we are here and you have to	9	To a child Scientology is it's not
10	answer the questions. And the question is whether you	10	something - look, it's hard to sit here and say, you
11	believe the published contentions that Katie Holmes left	11	know, there is not in the Catholic Church there is,
12	you in part to protect Suri from Scientology, whether	12	you know, you have the First Communion. You have, y
13	those are false?	13	know, Bat Mitzvahs, Bar Mitzvahs in Judaism. Certainl
14	A. Do I believe that?	14	there are religious rites, but very much so it's about
15	Q. Do I believe do you believe that that is a	15	the application of something or doing it's not
16	false statement?	16	you it's an applied religious philosophy, meaning
17	A. I believe it is a false statement.	17	it's something that you study, you learn, and you apply
18	Q. And Ms. Holmes has never indicated in any way	18	to the degree that and each individual has a level of
19	that that was one of the reasons that she left you?	19	application that they want to apply. Just because
20	A. That is that she left me because of?	20	someone, you know so when you're talking about a
21	O. To protect Suri from Scientology.	21	seven-year-old, you know, it's not the same thing as
	A. Did she say that? That was one of the	22	as Catholicism or Judaism. It's just it's different.
	A. Did she say that That was one of the	1	-
22		23	It's a different kind of thing.
22 23	assertions, yes.	23 24	It's a different kind of thing. Q. Okay.
22 23 24 25		-	It's a different kind of thing. Q. Okay. A. So is but, you know, to say that even Surj

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

## U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (800) 993-4464

EXHIBIT 10