CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C. 6310 San Vicente Blvd, Suite 510 Los Angeles, California 90048 Telephone; 323-776-3100

28

3

5

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

25

26

28

Plaintiff Flo & Eddie, Inc. ("Flo & Eddie"), on behalf of itself and on behalf of all other similarly situated owners of sound recordings, hereby complain and allege as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- Defendant Pandora Media, Inc. ("Pandora") is one of the leading 1. operators of an internet radio service in the United States, offering a personalized music experience for each of its 200 million registered users "wherever and whenever they want to listen to radio on a wide range of smart phones, tablets, traditional computers, car audio systems and a range of other internet-connected devices" (the "Music Service").
- Although Pandora readily acknowledges that to "secure the rights to stream music content over the internet, [it] must obtain licenses from, and pay royalties to, copyright owners of both sound recordings and musical works," it nevertheless chose not to obtain licenses from one large category of copyright owners, namely, owners of sound recordings of musical performances that initially were fixed (i.e., recorded) prior to February 15, 1972 ("pre-1972 recordings").
- Pre-1972 recordings comprise the historical backbone of the music industry. From Tin Pan Alley to the Big Band era to the Summer of Love, those recordings have defined generations and include the recordings of legendary artists such as The Turtles, Nat King Cole, Hank Williams, Billie Holliday, and The Beatles.
- Pandora fully understands the value of pre-1972 recordings to its 4. business but has ignored the obligation to obtain licenses to exploit those recordings. Instead, Pandora has simply chosen to copy tens of thousands of pre-1972 recordings to its servers and transmit and perform them via streaming to its millions of users on a daily basis without any authorization whatsoever. Pandora profits handsomely from its exploitation of pre-1972 recordings by charging subscription fees to its users and by selling advertisements.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

5. Because Pandora has chosen to operate the Music Service without licenses for pre-1972 recordings, Pandora is now liable under California law for violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2), misappropriation, violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200, and conversion for its unauthorized reproduction, distribution, and public performance of those recordings.

THE PARTIES

- Flo & Eddie is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of California, with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.
- 7. Flo & Eddie is owned by Howard Kaylan and Mark Volman, two of the founding members of the enormously popular band The Turtles. The Turtles are widely recognized as one of the most influential bands of the 1960s and are notable for their string of Top 40 hits, including "It Ain't Me Babe," "You Baby," "Happy Together," "She'd Rather Be With Me," "Elenore," and "You Showed Me."
- 8. Through a series of transactions, Flo & Eddie acquired the exclusive ownership in all of The Turtles' Recordings, the titles of which are specified on the attached Schedule "A" and incorporated herein by reference ("The Turtles' Recordings").
- 9. Flo & Eddie has been and continues to be engaged in the business of distributing, selling, and/or licensing the reproduction, distribution, sale, and performance of The Turtles' Recordings including in records, audiovisual works, and for streaming (i.e., performing) and downloading over the Internet.
- 10. Pandora is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Oakland, California, and Santa Monica, California. Pandora owns and operates the Music Service which it designed to deliver uninterrupted, high quality streams of music tailored and customized to its users' preferences.
- 11. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

26

27

unknown to Flo & Eddie who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Flo & Eddie will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when such have been ascertained. Upon information and belief, each of the Doe defendants herein is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and Flo & Eddie's injuries as herein alleged were proximately caused by such defendants' acts or omissions.

12. Flo & Eddie is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times mentioned in this complaint, Pandora and each of the Doe Defendants was the agent of each other and, in doing the things alleged in this complaint, was acting within the course and scope of such agency.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because the 13. matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$25 million (exclusive of interest and costs), is a class action in which a member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a state different from Pandora, and the number of members of the proposed class exceeds 100.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Pandora because: (a) Pandora 14. is located and has its principal place of business in California, (b) Pandora is engaged in tortious conduct in California by publicly performing, reproducing, and distributing pre-1972 recordings within the state, and (c) Pandora's conduct causes injury to Flo & Eddie and the class and their intellectual property in California.
- Venue in this District exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) 15. because Pandora resides and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

The Music Service is provided by Pandora to paying and non-paying 16. members of the public in California and elsewhere and delivers and streams music

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

28

through its website (www.pandora.com) and to smart phones and tablets through its downloadable Android and iOS App. Among the sound recordings that Pandora publicly performs, reproduces, and distributes are The Turtles' Recordings.

- According to Pandora, as of December 31, 2013, it had more than 200 million registered users and "more than a 70% share of internet radio among the top 20 stations and networks in the United States." In the first eleven months of 2013 alone, Pandora streamed 15.31 billion hours of radio time.
- 18. Pandora understands that having a vast range and array of music is critical to the success of any music service which is why pre-1972 recordings constitute a significant part of the Music Service. Pandora offers and advertises stations dedicated to pre-1972 recordings, such as "50s Rock 'n' Roll," "60s Oldies," "Motown," "Doo-Wop," "70s Folk," "Early Jazz," "Standards," "Classic Soul," "Jam Bands," and "Classic Rock." Pandora promotes these stations in order to establish and increase its user base, popularity, and revenue.
- Flo & Eddie is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that in 19. order to populate the Music Service's databases and in order to stream musical recordings to the public, Pandora has reproduced and copied and continues to reproduce and copy pre-1972 recordings, including to one or more servers and storage devices, and uses technology or systems that results in a copy of pre-1972 recordings being distributed to its users' computers or storage devices.
- Pandora is aware that it does not have any license, right, or authority to 20. reproduce, perform, distribute or otherwise exploit via the Music Service any pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings). Pandora is also aware which of the recordings its reproduces, performs, distributes or otherwise exploits via the Music Service are pre-1972 recordings. Not only does Pandora provide biographical information about each artist and the artwork for the albums containing the pre-1972 recordings that it is reproducing, performing, distributing or otherwise exploiting, but Pandora analyzes each individual song that it streams. Pandora

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

boasts of its "Music Genome Project" that consists of "a database of over 1,000,000 uniquely analyzed songs from over 100,000 artists, spanning over 500 genres and sub-genres, which we develop one song at a time by evaluating and cataloging each song's particular attributes." As Pandora states on its website:

> "We believe that each individual has a unique relationship with music – no one else has tastes exactly like yours. So delivering a great radio experience to each and every listener requires an incredibly broad and deep understanding of music. That's why Pandora is based on the Music Genome Project, the most sophisticated taxonomy of musical information ever collected. It represents over ten years of analysis by our trained team of musicologists, and spans everything from this past Tuesday's new releases all the way back to the Renaissance and Classical music."

Pandora's entire business is built around selling access to music and 21. selling the music itself. Pandora does this in two ways. Pandora delivers audio advertisements to its users in between songs and displays visual ads while music is actually playing. In addition, for a monthly fee of \$4.99, Pandora offers a premium service called "Pandora One," which is advertisement free.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

22. Flo & Eddie brings this action on behalf of itself individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated owners of Pre-1972 recordings, which recordings were reproduced, performed, distributed or otherwise exploited by Pandora via the Music Service in California. The proposed class is comprised of and defined as follows:

> All owners of sound recordings of musical performances that initially were "fixed" (i.e., recorded) prior to February 15, 1972, which sound recordings were reproduced, performed, distributed

2

3

6

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and/or otherwise exploited by Pandora via the Music Service in California.

- 23. This action may be properly brought and maintained as a class action because there is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the members of the proposed class are clearly and easily ascertainable and identifiable.
- 24. The class for whose benefit this action is brought is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Flo & Eddie is informed and believes that there are hundreds or thousands of class members and that those class members can be readily ascertained from the Pandora's database files and records and discovery. The class members can be readily located and notified of this action.
- 25. The claims of Flo & Eddie are typical of the claims of the members of the class, and Flo & Eddie's interests are consistent with and not antagonistic to those of the other class members it seeks to represent. Flo & Eddie and all members of the class have sustained actual pecuniary loss and face irreparable harm arising out of Pandora's continued course of conduct as complained of herein.
- 26. Flo & Eddie have no interests that are adverse to, or which conflict with, the interests of the absent members of the class and are able to fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of such a class. Flo & Eddie has raised viable statutory, misappropriation, unfair business practices, and conversion claims of the type reasonably expected to be raised by members of the class, and will vigorously pursue those claims. If necessary, Flo & Eddie may seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to include additional class representatives to represent the class or additional claims as may be appropriate. Flo & Eddie is represented by experienced, qualified and competent counsel who are committed to prosecuting this action.
- 27. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member include, without limitation, the following:

- Whether Pandora reproduced, performed, distributed or (A) otherwise exploited via the Music Service pre-1972 recordings in California;
- Whether Pandora's reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation via the Music Service of pre-1972 recordings in California constitutes a violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2);
- Whether Pandora's reproduction, performance, distribution or other exploitation via the Music Service of pre-1972 recordings in California constitutes an unfair business practice in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200;
- Whether Pandora's reproduction, performance, distribution or (D) other exploitation via the Music Service of pre-1972 recordings in California constitutes misappropriation;
- Whether Pandora's reproduction, performance, distribution or **(E)** other exploitation via the Music Service of pre-1972 recordings in California constitutes conversion;
- The basis and method for determining and computing damages **(F)** and/or restitution and disgorgement;
- Whether, pursuant to California Civil Code § 3294, Pandora is guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice thereby entitling the members of the class to an award of punitive damages; and
- Whether Pandora's conduct is continuing thereby entitling the (H) members of the class to injunctive or other relief.
- 28. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual litigation of the claims of all class members is impracticable. The claims of the individual members of the Class may range from smaller sums to larger sums. Thus, for those class members

with smaller claims, the expense and burden of individual litigation may not justify

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pursuing the claims individually. And even if every member of the class could afford to pursue individual litigation, the Court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous cases would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. By contrast, the maintenance of this action as a class action presents few management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each member of the class. Flo & Eddie 10 anticipates no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action. 11

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (For Violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2) As Against All Defendants)

- Flo & Eddie hereby incorporates the allegations set forth above in 29. paragraphs 1 through 28 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 980(a)(2), Flo & Eddie and the members of the class possess exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 Recordings, including the artistic performances embodied in those recordings.
- 31. Through its unauthorized reproduction, performance, distribution, or other exploitation via the Music Service of pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings) in California, Pandora has infringed the exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 recordings in violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2).
- 32. As a direct and proximate consequence of Pandora's violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2), Pandora has received and retained money and value that rightfully belongs to Flo & Eddie and the members of the class.
- As a direct and proximate consequence of Pandora's violation of 33. California Civil Code § 980(a)(2), Flo & Eddie and the members of the class have

been damaged in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Flo & Eddie is informed and believes exceeds \$25 million.

34. Pandora's conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class have no adequate remedy at law. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of the ownership interests of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELEIF

(For Misappropriation) As Against All Defendants)

- 35. Flo & Eddie hereby incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 1 through 28 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 980(a)(2) and California common law, Flo & Eddie and the members of the class possess exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 Recordings, including the artistic performances embodied in those recordings.
- 37. Flo & Eddie and its predecessors in interest invested substantial time and money in developing The Turtles' Recordings.
- 38. Because Pandora does not obtain licenses, it does not incur any of the costs that a licensee is otherwise obligated to pay in order to reproduce, perform, distribute or otherwise exploit via the Music Service pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings).
- 39. Pandora has misappropriated, and continues to misappropriate, for its own commercial benefit, the exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 recordings reproducing, performing, distributing or otherwise exploiting via the Music Service pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings).

7

1

10 11

12 13

14

15

18

19

21 22

23

24

26 27

28

As a direct and proximate consequence of Pandora's misappropriation, 40. Pandora has received and retained money and value that rightfully belongs to Flo & Eddie and the members of the class.

- As a direct and proximate consequence of Pandora's misappropriation, 41. Flo & Eddie and the members of the class have been damaged in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Flo & Eddie is informed and believes exceeds \$25 million.
- Flo & Eddie is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that in 42. engaging in the conduct described herein, Pandora acted with oppression, fraud and/or malice. The conduct of Pandora has been despicable and undertaken in conscious disregard of the rights of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class. Accordingly, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Pandora in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of it.
- Pandora's conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by 43. this Court, will continue to cause, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class have no adequate remedy at law. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of the ownership interests of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings.

HIRD CLAIM FOR RELEIF As Against All Defendants)

- Flo & Eddie hereby incorporates the allegations set forth above in 44. paragraphs 1 through 28 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- Pursuant to California Civil Code § 980(a)(2) and California common 45. law, Flo & Eddie and the members of the class possess exclusive ownership

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

26

27

28

interests in and to the pre-1972 Recordings, including the artistic performances embodied in those recordings.

- Pandora's conduct in reproducing, performing, distributing, or other 46. exploitation via the Music Service pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings) constitutes a misappropriation of the property rights of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings and a violation of California Civil Code § 980(a)(2).
- By misappropriating the property rights of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings and by violating California Civil Code § 980(a)(2), Pandora has engaged in unfair business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200.
- As a direct and proximate consequence of its violation of California 48. Business & Professions Code § 17200, Pandora has received and retained money and value that rightfully belongs to Flo & Eddie and the members of the class.
- As a direct and proximate result of Pandora's conduct, Flo & Eddie and 49. each member of the class are entitled to restitution and disgorgement under California Business & Professions Code § 17200 in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Flo & Eddie is informed and believes exceeds \$25 million.
- Flo & Eddie is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that in 50. engaging in the conduct described herein, Pandora acted with oppression, fraud and/or malice. The conduct of Pandora has been despicable and undertaken in conscious disregard of the rights of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class. Accordingly, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Pandora in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of it.
- Pandora's conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by 51. this Court, will continue to cause, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class great

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

26

28

and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class have no adequate remedy at law. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of the ownership interests of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- Flo & Eddie hereby incorporates the allegations set forth above in 52. paragraphs 1 through 28 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- Pursuant to California Civil Code § 980(a)(2) and California common 53. law, Flo & Eddie and the members of the class possess exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 Recordings, including the artistic performances embodied in those recordings.
- By reproducing, performing, distributing or otherwise exploiting via 54. the Music Service pre-1972 recordings (including The Turtles' Recordings), Pandora has converted for its own use Flo & Eddie and each member of the class's property rights in the pre-1972 recordings and has dispossessed each of their of property rights.
- As a direct and proximate consequence of its conversion, Pandora has received and retained money and value that rightfully belongs to Flo & Eddie and the members of the class.
- As a direct and proximate consequence of Pandora's conversion, Flo & Eddie and the members of the class have been damaged in an amount that is not as yet fully ascertained but which Flo & Eddie is informed and believes exceeds \$25 million.
- Flo & Eddie is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that in 57. engaging in the conduct described herein, Pandora acted with oppression, fraud and/or malice. The conduct of Pandora has been despicable and undertaken in

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

24

26

27

28

Pandora's conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by 58. this Court, will continue to cause, Flo & Eddie and each member of the class great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class have has no adequate remedy at law. Flo & Eddie and each member of the class are entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting further violation of the ownership interests of Flo & Eddie and each member of the class in the pre-1972 recordings.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Flo & Eddie, on behalf of itself and all other members of the class, prays for Judgment against Pandora and the Doe defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- Determining that this is a proper class action maintainable pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules Civil Procedure, and certifying Flo & Eddie as class representative, and Flo & Eddies' counsel as class counsel.
- On all claims except for violation of California Business & Professions В. Code § 17200, compensatory damages in excess of \$25 million according to proof;
- On the claim for violation of California Business & Professions Code § C. 17200, restitution and disgorgement of Pandora's unlawful proceeds and revenues in excess of \$25 million.
- On the second, third, and fourth claims, punitive and exemplary D. damages according to proof at trial.
- On all claims, a temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunction E. enjoining and restraining Pandora, and their respective agents, servants, directors, officers, principals, employees, representatives, subsidiaries and affiliated

companies, successors, assigns, and those acting in concert with them or at their direction, from infringing, misappropriating, or converting, directly or indirectly, in California the exclusive ownership interests in and to the pre-1972 Recordings, including the artistic performances embodied in those recordings, including without limitation by directly or indirectly reproducing, performing, distributing, or otherwise exploiting via the Music Service the pre-1972 recordings.

- F. On all claims, pre- and post-judgment interest.
- G. For such fees and costs (including reasonable attorneys' fees) incurred herein as permitted by law.
 - H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: October 1, 2014

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.

Henry Gradstein

Maryann R. Marzano

Harvey W. Geller

By:

Hénry Gradstein

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FLO & EDDIE, INC.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Flo & Eddie, Inc. demands a trial by jury of the claims alleged in this Complaint.

DATED: October 1, 2014

GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.

Henry Gradstein Maryann R. Marzano Harvey W. Geller

By:

Henry Gradstein

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLO & EDDIE, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

FLO & EDDIE, INC., a California corporation, individually and on behalf of all others similarily situated,))			
Plaintiff(s) v. PANDORA MEDIA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,		Civil Action No.	0764	(xH2)WCO-8
Defendant(s)	·))			

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.

Henry Gradstein, Esq. Maryann R. Marzano, Esq. Harvey W. Geller, Esq.

6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 510

Los Angeles, CA 90048

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: 0CT - 2 2014

Signature Clerkly Doday Clark

CLERK OF COURT

1164

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

		CIVIL CO	AEV DUEE!						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	eck box if you are repr	esenting yourself 🔲)	DEFENDANTS	(Check box if you are re	presenting yourself 🔲)				
FLO & EDDIE, INC., a Californ similarly situated	la corporation, individua	ily and on behalf of all others	PANDORA MEDIA, IN	PANDORA MEDIA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles			County of Reside	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY)					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. GRADSTEIN & MARZANO, P.C.; Henry Gradstein, SBN 89747 Maryann R. Marzano, SBN 96867; Harvey Geller, SBN 123107 6310 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 510, Los Angeles, California 90048; (323) 776-3100 Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For Diversity Cases Only									
		(Place an X in one bo	x for plaintiff and one for d	lefendant)				
1. U.S. Government	1 1	uestion (U.S. t Not a Party)	of This State	of Business in t	r Principal Place				
			of Another State	2 2 Incorporated an of Business in A	nd Principal Place 5 5				
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (of Parties in		n or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation					
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X	in one box only.)								
1. Original 2.	Removed from State Court	3 1		Insferred from Another	. Multi- District itigation				
V. REQUESTED IN COM	MPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: X Yes No	(Check "Yes" or	nly if demanded in com	plaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23: 🔀	Yes No	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$ 25 Million				
Class action complaint based	(Cite the U.S. Civil Statu d on diversity arising und	te under which you are filing and	es in excess of \$5 millio	nt of cause. Do not cite jurisdi on and over 100 class member	ctional statutes unless diversity.) s – for violation of rights in sound				
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
OTHER STATUTES		REAL PROPERTY CONT	IMMIGRA HOR	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTYNIGHTS				
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization	Habeas Corpus:	🕱 820 Copyrights				
400 State	120 Marine	245 Tort Product	Application	463 Alien Detainee	830 Patent				
Reapportionment 410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	Liability 290 All Other Real	465 Other Immigration Actions	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	840 Trademark				
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable	Property	TORTS	530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY				
450 Commerce/ICC	Instrument 150 Recovery of	PERSONALINIURY.	RSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	535 Death Penalty Other:	861 HIA (1395ff)				
Rates/Etc.	Overpayment &	310 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending		862 Black Lung (923)				
460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influ-	Enforcement of Judgment	315 Airplane Product Liability	380 Other Personal	540 Mandamus/Other 550 Civil Rights	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI				
enced & Corrupt Org.	☐ 151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel &	Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))				
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of	Slander 330 Fed. Employers'	385 Property Damage Product Liability	560 Civil Detainee					
490 Cable/Sat TV	Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability	BANKSUPTCY	Conditions of Confinement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or				
850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	153 Recovery of	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	FORESTUREPENALTY	☐ Defendant)				
890 Other Statutory	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	Liability	423 Withdrawal 28	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609				
Actions	160 Stockholders	350 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	USC 881 690 Other					
891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental	Suits	Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights	LABOR					
☐ Matters	190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards					
☐ 895 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract Product Liability	362 Personal Injury- Med Malpratice	442 Employment	☐ Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.					
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury-	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Relations					
899 Admin. Procedures	REALPROPERTY	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	445 American with	740 Railway Labor Act					
Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision		Pharmaceutical	Disabilities- Employment	751 Family and Medical Leave Act					
Agency Decision	Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Product Liability	446 American with	790 Other Labor					
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	230 Rent Lease &	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	Disabilities-Other 448 Education	Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc.					
	Ejectment	Product Liability		Security Act	1 (242)				
EAD AFFICE LICE AND V.	Caca Maria		15 "		/ C				

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 3

CV-71 (06/14)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court?	STATE CASE WAS PENDIN	NG IN THE O	COUNT	Y OI		INITIAL DIV	ISION IN CACD IS:
Yes X No			· . Ol-1				Marters
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara	a, or San Lu	JIS Obis	po		<u> </u>	Vestern
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	Orange				<u></u>	50	outhern
Question E, below, and continue from there.	Riverside or San Bernardino		- Anna and a said	5.70.00.75			astern
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants			**********		will initially be assigned	d to the Southern Division
one of its agencies or employees, a	the district reside in Orange Co.?		1		Enter "Souther		ion E, below, and continue
PLAINTIFF in this action?	check one of the boxes to the right	→	ŀ		from there.	<u></u>	Jugan
Yes 🗷 No					NO. Continue	to Question B.2.	
If "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants the district reside in Riverside and/or Sac Counties? (Consider the two counties to	n Bernardir					d to the Eastern Division. n E, below, and continue
	check one of the boxes to the right	→			Enter "Westerr from there.	" in response to Question	d to the Western Division. on E, below, and continue
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or			a tha T				
one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right	•	Ture				d to the Southern Division ion E, below, and continue
☐ Yes 🗷 No					NO. Continue	to Question C.2.	
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs wh district reside in Riverside and/or San Be Counties? (Consider the two counties to	rnardino	n the		YES. Your case Enter "Eastern' from there.	will initially be assigned in response to Questio	d to the Eastern Division. n E, below, and continue
	check one of the boxes to the right	→					d to the Western Division. on E, below, and continue
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiff	s and defendants?		Orang	A. je C	ounty	B. Riverside or San Bernardino County <i>1</i>	C; Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave	more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this di</i> blank if none of these choices apply	strict	[×
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or district reside. (Check up to two boxes, o apply.)	more of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> or leave blank if none of these choice	5	[
D.1. In the count least one		T V	r	•	la éla ana aé la		P2
D.1. Is there at least one	X No			3.4.	is there at le	east one answer in C Yes 🔀 No	olullii 5:
				łf	"ves." vour case		d to the
If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION.		if "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION.					
Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.		Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.					
If "no," go to question D2 to the right.		If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION.					
				En	ter "Western" is	response to Question	E, below.
QUESTION E: Initial Division?					INMA	DIVISION IN CACD	ili di Turkon pengenanga Pada ang pangangan panga
Enter the initial division determined by C	Question A, B, C, or D above:					WESTERN	
QUESTION F: Northern Counties? Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendar	nts in this district reside in Ventura S	anta Rarh	ara o	- Şar	n Luis Ohispo	counties?	Yes 🔀 No
20 20 % of More of planting of defendan							<u> </u>

CV-71 (06/14) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha	as this action been previously filed in this court ?	X NO	☐ YES
If yes, list case number(s):			
IX(b). RELATED CASES: Is th	is case related (as defined below) to any cases previously filed in this court?	□ NO	▼ YES
if yes, list case number(s):	CV-13-05693 PSG (RZx)		
Civil cases are related when t	hey:		
A. Arise from the san	ne or closely related transactions, happening, or event;		
🔀 B. Call for determina	tion of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fac	t; or	
▼ C. For other reasons	would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.		
Check all boxes that app related.	ly. That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not,	in itself, sufficien	it to deem cases
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN (OR SELF-REPRESENTED LIT		TE: <u>10-1-14</u>	

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The submission of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-71 and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

CV-71 (06/14) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3