	Case 2:10-cv-00913-TLN-EFB Document 9	9-1 Filed 06/08/10 Page 1 of 3
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., State Bar No. 37100	
2	Attorney General of California DOUGLAS J. WOODS, State Bar No. 161531	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY L. GRAYBILL, State Bar No. 53643	
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 324-5465 Fax: (916) 324-8835	
7	E-mail: Geoffrey.Graybill@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant California Attorney	
8	General Ědmund G. Brown Jr.	
9		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13		
14	THOMAS JACOBS,	2:10-cv-00913-LKK-EFB
15	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF GEOFFREY L.
16	v.	GRAYBILL IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION FOR REQUEST TO
17	CITY OF SAN JOSE MAYOD CHUCK	STAY PROCEEDINGS
18	CITY OF SAN JOSE MAYOR CHUCK REED, in both his individual and official	Judge: The Hon. Lawrence K. Karlton
19	capacities; CITY OF SAN JOSE; COUNTY	
1	OF SANTA CLARA; CITY OF SAN JOSE	Courtroom: 4 Trial Date: TBD
20	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY	
20 21	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official	Trial Date: TBD
	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G.	Trial Date: TBD
21	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity,	Trial Date: TBD
21 22	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G.	Trial Date: TBD
21 22 23	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity,	Trial Date: TBD
21 22 23 24	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity,	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: April 16, 2010
 21 22 23 24 25 	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity, Defendants.	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: April 16, 2010
 21 22 23 24 25 26 	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity, Defendants. I, GEOFFREY L. GRAYBILL, declare as 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General of C admitted to practice before the U.S. District Cou	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: April 16, 2010 follows: California and member of the California State Bar rt for the Eastern District of California. I have
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	POLICE CHIEF ROB DAVIS, in both his individual and official capacities; COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH, in both her individual and official capacities; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity, Defendants. I, GEOFFREY L. GRAYBILL, declare as 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General of C admitted to practice before the U.S. District Cou	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: April 16, 2010 follows: California and member of the California State Bar

Case 2:10-cv-00913-TLN-EFB Document 9-1 Filed 06/08/10 Page 2 of 3

been assigned to represent defendant Edmund G. Brown Jr. sued in his official capacity as
 Attorney General of California in this action.

3

4

5

6

7

2. In the aforesaid capacity, I am also representing state defendants in cases pending in the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in which the core issues are the same as those raised in this action: whether state regulation of concealed firearms violates plaintiffs' asserted right to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment which plaintiffs contend applies to the states through incorporation by the Fourteenth Amendment.

3. The two cases pending in the Ninth Circuit are *Mehl v. Blanas*, No. 08-15773 (9th
Cir.) and *Rothery v. County of Sacramento*, No. 09-16852 (9th Cir.). Proceedings in *Rothery*have been stayed until August 16, 2010. Although oral argument has been completed in *Mehl*,
the panel withdrew the submission pending a decision by the en banc panel in *Nordyke v. King*,
No. 07-15763 (9th Cir.). Both the incorporation issue and the scope of the Second Amendment
issue are pending decision in *Mehl* and *Nordyke*.

- The en banc panel in *Nordyke* also withdrew its submission after oral argument
 pending a decision by the U.S. Supreme Court in *McDonald v. City of Chic*ago (Docket # 08 1521). The Supreme Court granted certiorari in *McDonald* to decide whether its landmark
 decision in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, --- U.S. ---, 128 S.Ct. 2783 (2008) holding there is an
 individual right to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment applies to the states.
- Decisions in *McDonald* and *Nordyke* will likely establish the legal framework for
 further proceedings in this case. Until these cases are decided, the parties are faced with great
 uncertainty regarding applicable law. Thus, proceeding further in this case before the related
 appeals are decided risks potentially unnecessary or duplicative expenditure of time and resources
 for the parties and for this Court. In my opinion, a stay of further proceedings until the U.S.
 Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit decide the legal parameters that will be controlling in this case
 will promote the best interests of the Court and the parties.

26 6. Counsel for plaintiff, Thomas Jacobs, is also counsel for appellants in *Rothery* and
27 *Mehl.* He is also counsel of record for plaintiff in another Second Amendment case pending in

2

28

	Case 2:10-cv-00913-TLN-EFB Document 9-1 Filed 06/08/10 Page 3 of 3	
1	the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California: Pizzo v. Newsom [No. cv-09-4493-	
2	CW.]	
3	7. The parties will notify this Court immediately when decisions are filed in <i>McDonald</i> ,	
4	Nordyke and Mehl.	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct of my	
6	personal knowledge except where stated on information and belief and, as to those statements, I	
7	believe them to be true and correct.	
8	Executed at Sacramento, California on June 8, 2010.	
9		
10	/s/ Geoffrey L. Graybill	
11	GEOFFREY L. GRAYBILL	
12		
13	SA2010101414 10577284.doc	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	
	Declaration of Geoffrey L. Graybill In Support of Stipulation for Request to Stay Proceedings	
	(2:10-cv-00913-LKK-EFB)	