	Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document	46 Filed 12/1	.9/11 Page 1 of 3		
1 2 3 4	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr., (SBN: 179986) LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER A Professional Corporation 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125 Telephone: 408/264-8489 Facsimile: 408/264-8487 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com				
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs				
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	RICHARD ENOS, JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER		:10-CV-02911-JAM-EFB		
12	GROVES, MANUEL MONTEIRO, EDWARD ERIKSON, and VERNON	MONTEIR	TION OF <b>MANUEL</b> O IN SUPPORT OF		
13	NEWMAN,		FS' MOTION FOR 7 JUDGMENT		
14	Plaintiffs,	Federal Ru	le of Civil Procedure 56		
15	vs.	Date: Time:	January 25, 2012 9:30 a.m.		
16	ERIC HOLDER, as United States	Place:	Courtroom 6, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor 501 "I" Street		
17	Attorney General, and ROBERT MUELLER, III, as Director of the	Judge:	Sacramento, CA 95814 Hon. John A. Mendez		
18	Federal Bureau of Investigation,				
19	Defendants.				
20					
21 22	I MANUEL MONTEIRO, declare es follows:				
23	<ol> <li>I, MANUEL MONTEIRO, declare as follows:</li> <li>I am a Plaintiff in the above-entitled case.</li> </ol>				
23	<ol> <li>I am a resident of California.</li> </ol>				
25	<ol> <li>I all a resident of California.</li> <li>I plead no contest (and/or guilty) in Santa Clara County Superior Court to a</li> </ol>				
26	misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on May 27, 1992.				
27	4. I was not prohibited from exercising any other civil right (voting, holding				
Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St.	public office, and/or serving jury duty) as a consequence of my conviction.				
Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487	Enos v. Holder Page 1 of 3 Plaintiff: Groves Decl MSJ				

L

## Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 46 Filed 12/19/11 Page 2 of 3

1	5.	In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 and added			
2		Penal Code § 273.5 to the list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from			
3		acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.			
4	6.	On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act,			
5		and in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the			
6		acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic			
7		Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.			
8	7.	On or about September 1, 1995 I requested relief under Penal Code § 1203.4.			
9	8.	On October 3, 1995 the Superior Court of Santa Clara County granted my			
10		request for relief under Penal Code § 1203.4. My plea was withdrawn, a plea			
11		of not guilty was entered and the court dismissed the case.			
12	9.	On or about July 14, 2011, I was denied a firearm purchase. In a letter of			
13		explanation from the California Department of Justice, I was informed that			
14		the denial was based on the Federal Brady Act.			
15	10.	As of December 14, 2011, I am permitted to acquire and possess firearms			
16		under the laws of the State of California.			
17	11.	As of December 14, 2011, I am prohibited from acquiring and possessing			
18		firearms due to threat of criminal prosecution under federal law.			
19	12.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws regulating			
20		firearm possession and purchase by domestic violence misdemeanants, I			
21		would acquire, keep and bear arms for, among other lawful purposes, self-			
22		defense in my home.			
23	13.	Attached are true and correct copies $^{1}$ of the following documents:			
24		a. Correspondence from the California Department of Justice			
25		documenting the reasons for denying my purchase of firearms.			
26		b. My pleadings and order under PC § 1203.4.			
27		<sup>1</sup> Those deguments were provided to the Defendents as part of Disintiffs'			
28	<sup>1</sup> These documents were provided to the Defendants as part of Plaintiffs' FRCP 26 Disclosures.				
	1				

Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

Enos v. Holder

		Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 46 Filed 12/19/11 Page 3 of 3
	1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California
	2	and the laws of the United States that the forgoing is true and correct and that this
		declaration was executed in the State of California.
	4	/s/ Manuel Monteiro
	5	MANUEL MONTEIRO, Plaintiff
	6	
	7	
	8	ATTESTATION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 45
	9	AND LOCAL RULE VIII.B.
1	10	I, Donald Kilmer, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States that I have in my possession e-mail correspondence from my client MANUEL MONTEIRO that the content of this
1	11	document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document. I declare that this document was signed in San Jose, CA on December 14, 2011.
1	12	
1	13	/s/ Donald Kilmer
1	14	Donald Kilmer, for Plaintiffs
1	15	
1	16	
1	17	
1	18	
1	19	
2	20	
2	21	
2	22	
2	23	
2	24	
2	25	
2	26	
2	27	
1645 Willow St.	28	
Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487		Enos v. Holder Page 3 of 3 Plaintiff: Groves Decl MSJ