2

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

> 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

broad and unduly burdensome. (IPV, Inc. v. Mercantile Bank of Topeka (D KS 1998) 179 FRD 316, 321 - Providing "every fact" could require "laborious, time-consuming analysis, search and description of incidental, secondary, and perhaps irrelevant and trivial details.")

RESPONSE: Without waiving the above referenced objection, Plaintiff states that, I cannot adequately defend myself or my family with the firearms that are usually kept at home. My need and desire to protect self and family extend beyond the home, and include other locations such as boats, cars, businesses, and other locations.

Moreover, at any given time, my firearms may be: not immediately physically available due to the firearm's proximity to my physical location - both within the home and outside of the home; underpowered for certain self-defense scenarios; over-powered for certain self-defense scenarios; inoperable; stolen; broken; unclean for reliable operation or otherwise unreliable; out for service; loaned to another individual for up to a 30 day period in accordance with California law; there may be a lack of ammunition available for the current firearm; an act of terrorism may make the firearm[s] owned by me insufficient to defend self, family, or home; riots and looting may render the firearm[s] owned by me to be insufficient; earthquakes and other natural disasters may render any and all firearms possessed by me to be insufficient for self-defense and defense of others; temporary seizures of firearms lawfully possessed may render the firearms insufficient for self-defense.

In fact, under the Militia Act of 1792, many were required to have more than one firearm - "and to be armed with a sword and pair of pistols, the holsters of which to be covered with bearskin caps."

INTERROGATORY NO. 7

Identify and describe in full and complete detail all harms that you suffer by, per California Penal Code sections 26815(a) and/or 27540, having to wait 10 days between purchasing and taking delivery of a firearm.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7

OBJECTION: Contention interrogatories asking for each and every fact, or application

1 2

3

5

6

7

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

of law to fact, that supports particular allegations in an opposing pleading may be held to be overly broad and unduly burdensome. (*IPV*, *Inc. v. Mercantile Bank of Topeka* (D KS 1998) 179 FRD 316, 321 – Providing "every fact" could require "laborious, time-consuming analysis, search and description of incidental, secondary, and perhaps irrelevant and trivial details.")

RESPONSE: Without waiving the above referenced objection, Plaintiff states that the California Penal Code sections that require me to wait 10 days between purchasing a firearm and taking delivery of a firearm, thereby deprive me of the use, custody, control, and ability to defend self, family, and home with said firearm that I already own; mandate a brief window of 20 days from which I must return to obtain physical possession of property that I already own; cause an additional increased 10 day delay and added expenses of Dealer Record of Sale fees, storage fees, and transportation fees when I am unavailable to take physical possession of the firearm within the 20 day window; cause increased travel expenses upon firearm purchases coinciding with distance from my home to the licensed firearm dealer's premises due to the requirement that I must make a second trip to receive custody of the firearm purchased; limit my out-of-town purchases and gun show purchases; and limit the market of firearms available to areas I am willing to travel to twice during a period of at least 10 days and at most 30 days; cause added burden and expense of locating and paying another more local dealer who may be willing, but is not statutorily obligated, to process a firearms transfer originating at a competitor licensed firearm dealer; and cause me to lose the opportunity cost of the time spent on the second trip to receive a firearm I already own.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8

If you contend that it is unconstitutional to apply California Penal Code section 26815(a)'s "waiting period" (between purchase and delivery of a firearm) to first-time firearms purchasers, state all facts supporting this contention.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8

OBJECTION: Contention interrogatories asking for each and every fact, or application of law to fact, that supports particular allegations in an opposing pleading may be held to be overly broad and unduly burdensome. [IPV, Inc. v. Mercantile Bank of Topeka (D KS 1998) 179 FRD

THE OF BITTERDOCATODIES