

1 Dean A. Hanley, Esq. (State Bar No. 169507)
Philip A. Harley, Esq. (State Bar No. 147407)
2 Deborah R. Rosenthal, Esq. (State Bar No. 184241)
PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP
3 1608 Fourth Street, Suite 300
Berkeley, California 94710
4 Telephone: (510) 559-9980
Facsimile: (510) 559-9970
5 Email: pharley@phhlaw.com
Email: drosenthal@phhlaw.com
6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 EVERETT HOGGE and PRISCILLA
12 HOGGE,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al*,

16 Defendants.

) Case No.: C 07 2873 EDL
)
)

) **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**
) **PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REMAND**
) **FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION**
) _____

) Hearing Date: July 10, 2007
) Time: 9:00 a.m.
) Courtroom: E, 15th Floor
) Magistrate Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte
)
)
)

19 This matter came on regularly for hearing on July 10, 2007, before the Honorable
20 Elizabeth D. Laporte in the above-entitled Court, with counsel for plaintiffs EVERETT HOGGE
21 and PRISCILLA HOGGE and counsel for defendant JOHN CRANE INC. appearing. Based on
22 the papers filed herein, upon hearing of oral argument and for good cause shown,

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion for remand of this action to the
24 Superior Court of California, City and County of San Francisco, is GRANTED. This Court lacks
25 subject matter jurisdiction because at least one California defendant remains in this action.
26

27
28 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REMAND FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION**

1 Furthermore, defendant failed to obtain unanimous consent of the remaining defendants before
2 removing this action, rendering its removal petition procedurally defective.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs are entitled to seek costs incurred as a result
4 of the removal.

5
6

DATED: July 10, 2007

7
8

HONORABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27