PAGE 1

S:\Clients\Plaintiffs\H\Hogge, Everett 10293\Motions\IOHNCRANE removal costs - notice.doc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

San Francisco. This motion is made on the grounds that defendant JOHN CRANE, INC.'s Notice of Removal, filed and served on June 1, 2007, failed to establish subject matter jurisdiction because complete diversity did not exist at the time of removal and California defendants remained in the action; and on the further grounds that a defect in the removal procedure existed because JOHN CRANE INC. failed to obtain unanimous joinder in the removal of all remaining defendants; and that for these reasons, JOHN CRANE INC. had no objectively reasonable basis for removal. This motion is made on the further grounds that JOHN CRANE's removal in June 1, 2007, despite a lack of subject matter jurisdiction, in the middle of a personal injury trial of a dying plaintiff, constitutes unusual circumstances warranting an award of reasonable expenses incurred.

The motion is based on this Notice of Motion and the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities; the Declarations of Dean A. Hanley, Philip A. Harley, Stephen J. Healy, Diana M. Sage, Deborah R. Rosenthal, and Mark Abelson and attached exhibits to the declarations; on all papers previously filed for hearing before Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte, Duty Judge Charles R. Breyer, and United States District Court Judge Martin J. Jenkins with regard to JOHN CRANE's removal petition; and on all evidence and argument that may be presented at the hearing of this motion.

DATED: June 19, 2007

PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLE

orah R. Rosenthal, Esq Attorneys for Plaintiffs