UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALFRED J. ABONO, JR.		
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C07-05371 MHP	
v. CITY OF PITTSBURG, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
Defendant(s).		
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 at	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following AI	DR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A ** Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) *Early (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process)	ADR L.R. 5) Settlement Conference with Magistrate Judg if possible of conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an and they must instead file a Notice of Need for 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	e, e.
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR process other requested deadline	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)	
Dated: Nov. 27, 2007	Dennis Cunningham Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: Nov. 27, 2007	Tricia L. Hynes Attorney for Defendant	

[PROPOSED] ORI	DER
----------------	-----

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE