Case 3:08-cv-00986-SI Document 58 Filed 06/13/2008 Page 1 of 18

# ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP.

2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis. MN 55402-2015 TEL: 612-349-8500 FAX: 612-339-4181 www.rkmc.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

WILLIAM H. MANNING 612-349-8461

June 13, 2008

Hon. Susan Illston United States District Court Northern District of California Courtroom 10, 19th Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.,

Case No. CV-08-0986-SI

### Dear Judge Illston:

During the Case Management Conference held on May 30, 2008, the parties informed the Court that they were negotiating a protective order, and hoped to provide the Court with a proposed order by June 13, 2008. I write on behalf of AMD and Samsung to update the Court on the status of the parties' Joint Stipulated Protective Order.

Since the Case Management Conference the parties have reached agreement on all but one issue. The parties agree that it makes sense to move forward with the portions of the Joint Stipulated Protective Order over which there is no dispute. Accordingly, we will file a Joint Stipulated Protective Order for the Court's approval. A copy of the proposed order is enclosed for your convenience.

The parties continue to explore an agreement on the remaining issue, which involves the treatment of the most confidential information to be produced by Samsung (See Section 7.5 of the Joint Stipulated Protective Order). If these discussions are successful, the parties will submit an addendum to the Joint Stipulated Protective Order for the Court's approval. If the parties cannot reach agreement on this issue, we will need to bring the matter to the Court's attention for resolution.

We appreciate the Court's assistance in this regard.

Hon. Susan Illston June 13, 2008 Page 2

Sincerely,

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

William H. Manning

Attorney for Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.

Encl: Joint Stipulated Protective Order

Cc: Robert T. Haslam, Attorney for 5 Samsung Defendants

Michael K. Plimack, Attorney for 5 Samsung Defendants Christine S. Haskett, Attorney for 5 Samsung Defendants

	Case 3:08-cv-00986-SI	Filed 06/13/2008 Page 3 of 18
	John P. Bovich (SBN 150688) E-mail: JBovich@reedsmith.com Reed Smith LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-543-8700 Facsimile: 415-391-8269 William H. Manning (pro hac vice) E-mail: WHManning@rkmc.com Brad P. Engdahl (pro hac vice) E-mail: BPEngdahl@rkmc.com	Robert T. Haslam E-mail: Robert.Haslam@hellerehrman.com Heller Ehrman LLP 275 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, CA 94026-3506 Telephone: 650-324-7000 Facsimile: 650-324-0638  Michael K. Plimack E-mail:Michael.Plimack@hellerehrman.com Christine S. Haskett E-mail: Christine.Haskett@hellerehrman.com
,	Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P.	Heller Ehrman LLP
3	2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402	333 Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-772-6426
)	Telephone: 612-349-8500	Facsimile: 415-772-6268
)	Facsimile: 612-339-4181  Attorneys for Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al.	Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case. No. CV-08-0986-SI

JOINT STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

[Civil L.R. 7-12]

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

### 1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting, defending or attempting to settle this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Joint Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the

JOINT STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

4

13

11

15

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. ATTORNEYS AT LAW MINNEAPOLIS

20

25

23

protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Confidential" Information or Items: all items or information, regardless of how generated, stored or maintained, that qualify for protection under standards developed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), including but not limited to information that the Disclosing Party believes in good faith is (1) not generally known to others and that it would not normally reveal to others except in confidence memorialized by a nondisclosure agreement, or (2) protected by a right to privacy under state or federal privacy laws or any other applicable privilege or right related to confidentiality or privacy.
- 2.2 Counsel (without qualifier): Outside Counsel, In-House Counsel, and their support staffs.
- 2.3 Designating Party: a Party or nonparty that designates Disclosure or Discovery Material that it produces as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential — Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only."
- 2.4 Disclosure or Discovery Material: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, or tangible things) that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.5 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action and who is not a past or a current employee of a Party and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party. This definition includes a professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with this litigation.
- "Highly Confidential Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" Information or Items: 2.6 extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items" whose disclosure to another Party or nonparty would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means, including but not limited to proprietary financial, technical, organizational or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

commercially	sensitive	information	that	the	Producing	Party	maintains	as	highly	confidential	in
its business.											

- 2.7 "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" Information or Items: information or items of such an extremely sensitive nature (e.g., machine-readable source code, proprietary schematics in native format, proprietary databases in native format, etc.) that even a "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" designation will not offer adequate protection.
  - 2.8 In-House Counsel: attorneys who are employees of a Party.
- 2.9 Outside Counsel: attorneys who are not employees of a Party but who are retained to represent or advise a Party regarding this action.
- Party: any person or entity named as a plaintiff or defendant in this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, and their support staffs.
- 2.11 Producing Party: a Party or nonparty that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.12 Professional Vendors: persons or entities who have been retained by a Party to provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; etc.) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.13 Protected Material: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential Restricted Access Only."
- Receiving Party: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

### 3. **SCOPE**

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material as defined above, but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof; plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or counsel to or in court or in other settings that might reveal Protected Material.

9

14

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MINNEAPOLIS

### 4. **DURATION**

The confidentiality obligations imposed by this Stipulation and Order shall begin upon execution of this Stipulation by the Parties. Even after the termination of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs.

#### 5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or nonparty that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified, or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process, or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties), are prohibited.

If it comes to a Party's or a nonparty's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Party or nonparty must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a), below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

(a) For information in documentary form (apart from transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY" in a clear, conspicuous manner on the cover page of any document containing Protected Material, and at the top or bottom of each page within said document.

A Party or nonparty that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY") in a clear, distinctive manner on the cover page of any document containing Protected Material, and at the top or bottom of each page within said document.

For documents produced electronically in native format, that the Producing Party either (1) attach a cover sheet bearing the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY") in a clear, distinctive manner to any document containing Protected Material, or (2) affix in a prominent place the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY" to the exterior of any CD, DVD, Flash Drive or any other media containing Protected Material.

(b) For testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Party or nonparty offering or sponsoring the testimony identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony, and further specify any portions of the testimony that qualify as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Outside Counsel's Eyes Only." When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that is entitled to protection, and when it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Party or nonparty that sponsors, offers, or gives the testimony may have up to 30 days to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY"). Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the 30 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Joint Stipulated Protective Order.

Portions of depositions that are designated at the time as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" shall be attended only by the witness and any persons authorized by this Order to access Protected Material bearing the asserted designation, as set forth in Sections 7.2 and 7.3, below.

Transcript pages containing Protected Material and any document containing Protected Material used as an exhibit at the deposition must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix to the top of each such page the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" as instructed by the Party or nonparty offering or sponsoring the witness or presenting the testimony.

- (c) For information produced in some form other than documentary, and for any other tangible item, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the information or item, or container(s) in which the information or item is stored, the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY." If only portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portions, specifying whether they qualify as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only."
- 5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material.

1

6

Order.

12

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law Minneapolis

19

17

If material is appropriately designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, on timely notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this

#### 6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 Timing of Challenges. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a later significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. A Party that elects to initiate a challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation must do so in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly with counsel for the Designating Party. In conferring, the challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Designating Party will have a reasonable time to review the designated material and to inform the challenging Party in writing of its decision to change the designation or to explain its basis for declining to change the designation. A Designating Party that does not inform the challenging Party within 5 court days will be deemed to have acquiesced in the challenging Party's objection, and any designation attached to the challenged material will be removed. Disclosure or Discovery Material that has been stripped of its designation under this provision shall not thereafter be designated as Protected Material by the original Designating Party. A challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first.
- Judicial Intervention. A Party that elects to press a challenge to a confidentiality 6.3 designation after considering the justification offered by the Designating Party, if any, may file

- 7 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

and serve a motion under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) that identifies the challenged material and sets forth in detail the basis for the challenge. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration that affirms that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph and that sets forth with specificity the justification for the confidentiality designation that was given by the Designating Party in the meet and confer dialogue.

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the material falls within the chosen designation. Until the court rules on the challenge, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation.

#### 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a nonparty in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of Section 11, below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized to receive Protected Material under this Order.

- 7.2 Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "Confidential" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel in this action, as well as employees of said Counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;
- (b) the Receiving Party's In-House Counsel who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), limited to the following persons:

24

25

26

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	For AMD	<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>
2	i.	Beth Ozmun	Director of Litigation and Employment Law
3	ii.	Kent Cooper	Director of Patents and Licensing
4	iii.	Chris Jacobs	Senior Corporate Counsel
5	For Samsung	Name	<u>Title</u>
6	i.	Brian Kim	Senior Legal Counsel
7	ii.	YoungJo Lim	Principal Engineer/Attorney at Law
8	iii.	Bryan Richardson	Patent Counsel
9	(c) Experts o	f the Receiving Party to who	m disclosure is reasonably necessary for this

- litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (d) during their depositions, witnesses in the action (1) who have prior knowledge of the information or items; (2) who are testifying on behalf of the Designating Party, including present and former employees of the Designating Party, as well as any person the Designating Party designates under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6); or (3) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
- (e) court reporters, Professional Vendors, and mock jury members to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have been appropriately informed of their confidentiality obligations;
- (f) the author, addressee or recipient of the document, or the original source of the information; and
  - (g) the Court and its personnel.
- Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES 7.3 ONLY" Information or Items and/or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "Highly Confidential - Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential - Restricted Access Only" only to:
  - (a) Persons described in Section 7.2(a), (c), (e), (f) and (g) above subject to the additional

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

26

27

28

requirements set forth in Section 7.4, below; and

- (b) during their depositions, witnesses in the action who (1) have prior knowledge of the information or items; or (2) are testifying on behalf of the Designating Party, including present and former employees of the Designating Party, as well as any person the Designating Party designates under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6).
- 7.4 Procedures for Approving Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" Information "HIGHLY or Items and/or CONFIDENTIAL – RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY" Information or Items to Experts.
- (a) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed in writing by the Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an Expert any information or item that has been designated "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) seeks permission to disclose any and all "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" or "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" information to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume, and (4) identifies the Expert's current and past employer(s) in the past 10 years.
- (b) A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding paragraph may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within five court days of delivering the request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.
- (c) A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with the Designating Party to try to resolve the matter by agreement. If no agreement is reached, the Party seeking to make the disclosure to the Expert may file a motion as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) seeking permission from the court to do so. Any such motion must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons for which the disclosure to the Expert is reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail and suggest any additional means that might be used to reduce

13

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law MINNEAPOLIS

19

17

22

25

that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration in which the movant describes the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and sets forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure.

In any such proceeding the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the restrictions of this Order and any other safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

7.5 Procedures Accessing, Transmitting "HIGHLY for Managing and CONFIDENTIAL – RESTRICTED ACCESS ONLY" Information or Items.

The parties are continuing to negotiate the procedures necessary to ensure the secure access, management and transmittal of "Highly Confidential - Restricted Access Only" Information or Items. These procedures will be addressed in an Addendum to this Protective Order or in a Supplemental Protective Order.

### 8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION.

If a Receiving Party is served with a subpoena, discovery request, or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of Protected Material it has received from a Disclosing Party, the Receiving Party must immediately notify the Designating Party, in writing, and in no event more than three court days after receiving the subpoena, discovery request, or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena, discovery request, or court order.

The Receiving Party also must immediately inform in writing the Party who caused the subpoena, discovery request, or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena, discovery request, or order is the subject of this Protective Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Joint Stipulated Protective Order promptly to the Party in the other action that caused the subpoena, discovery request, or order to issue.

The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of

- 11 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena, discovery request, or order issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

#### 9. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Joint Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### **10.** FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL.

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material or document summarizing, discussing or otherwise referencing Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any such document or Protected Material must comply with Judge Illstons's Standing Order on filing under seal and/or Civil Local Rule 79-5.

#### 11. FINAL DISPOSITION.

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within sixty days after the final termination of this action, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. The Receiving Party may destroy some or all of the Protected Material instead of returning it. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

entity, to the Designating Party) by the sixty day deadline that identifies all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or other forms of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial and hearing transcripts, expert reports, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION), above.

### **12.** PATENT PROSECUTION BAR

Material designated as "Highly Confidential – Outside Counsel's Eyes Only" and "Highly Confidential – Restricted Access Only" is automatically designated as subject to a prosecution bar. Any person who receives or has access to any material under Section 7.3, above, shall not be involved in the prosecution of any patent proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office or any foreign agency responsible for issuing patents, by or on behalf of the Receiving Party, relating to memory or processor design or fabrication from the time of receipt of such material through and including one year from last having accessed or viewed the material.

#### 13. **MISCELLANEOUS**

- 13.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.
- 13.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to the use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.
- Right to Later Assert Privilege. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order, no Party waives any right to assert at any time after the disclosure or production of items attorney-client privilege, work-product immunity, or any other applicable or information, privilege or immunity from discovery if, as soon as reasonably possible after the Producing Party

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

becomes aware of any inadvertent or unintentional disclosure, the Producing Party designates any such documents as within the attorney-client privilege, work-product immunity, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and requests return of such documents to the Producing Party. Upon request by the Producing Party, the Receiving Party shall immediately return all copies of such inadvertently produced documents. Nothing herein shall prevent the Receiving Party from challenging the propriety of the asserted privilege or immunity by submitting a written challenge to the court.

- 13.4 Right to Seek Modification. The parties agree that, by stipulating to entry of this Protective Order, no party waives the right to later petition the court for modification of this order. Furthermore, the parties agree that in no circumstance shall a party be liable for sanctions for seeking or opposing the modification of this order.
- 13.5 Right to Request Injunction. The parties acknowledge that any breach of this Order may result in immediate and irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. If any person violates or threatens to violate the terms of this Order, the parties agree that the aggrieved Party may immediately apply to obtain injunctive relief against any such violation or threatened violation, and that in such a situation any respondent who is subject to the provisions of this Order may not employ as a defense that the aggrieved Party possesses an adequate remedy at law.

24 25

26 27

		Case 3:08-cv-00986-SI	Document 58	Filed 06/13/2008	Page 17 of 18
	1 2 3 4	DATED: June 13, 2008		ROBINS, KAPLAN  By: /s/ William H. M  William H. M	anninganning
	5			REED SMITH LLP	,
	6 7			By: <u>/s/ John P. Bovic</u> John P. Bovic	hh
CIRESI L.L.P	8 9 10				ADVANCED MICRO ND ATI TECHNOLOGIES,
R & CIRE LAW	11 12	DATED: June 13, 2008		HELLER EHRMA	N LLP
S, KAPLAN, MILLER & ( Attorneys At Law Minneapolis	13 14			By: /s/ Christine S. H Robert T. Has Michael K. Pl	slam
LAN ATTÓ	15			Christine S. H	
INS, KAP	16 17			AUSTIN SEMICON	O., LTD.; SAMSUNG R, INC.; SAMSUNG
Robin	18			SAMSUNG TELEC AMERICA, LLC	COMMUNICATIONS
	19 20				tests that concurrence in the nt has been obtained from the
	21			above-named signate	
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
		Case No. CV-08-0986-SI		- 15 - JOINT STI	PULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## **EXHIBIT A**

n District of California on May,  msung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.,  all the terms of this Joint Stipulated be to so comply could expose me to  y promise that I will not disclose in
msung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al., all the terms of this Joint Stipulated to so comply could expose me to y promise that I will not disclose in
n District of California on May,  msung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al., all the terms of this Joint Stipulated te to so comply could expose me to y promise that I will not disclose in t Stipulated Protective Order to any
all the terms of this Joint Stipulated re to so comply could expose me to y promise that I will not disclose in
re to so comply could expose me to y promise that I will not disclose in
y promise that I will not disclose in
Stipulated Protective Order to any
. Supulated I following Order to any
of this Order.
nited States District Court for the
the terms of this Joint Stipulated
after termination of this action.
_