1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258 Don B. Kates - S.B.N. 39193 Jason A. Davis - S.B.N. 222250 Clinton B. Monfort - S.B.N. 255609 TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445 Email: cmichel@tmllp.com  Attorneys for Plaintiffs  IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	GUY MONTAG DOE, NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF	) CASE NO. CV-08-03112 TEH	
12	AMERICA, INC., CITIZENS COMMITTEE FOR THE RIGHT TO	DECLARATION OF JASON A. DAVIS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO	
13	KEEP AND BEAR ARMS,	) CONTINUE INITIAL CASE ) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;	
14	Plaintiffs	EXHIBIT "A"	
15	VS.		
16	SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING AUTHORITY, MIRIAM SAEZ, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY.	Dept.: Courtroom 12 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
17 18	HENRY ALVAREZ III, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY, THE CITY		
19	AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, GAVIN NEWSOM,		
20	IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY JOHN STEWART COMPANY, AND DOES 1-10,		
21	Defendants.		
22	Defendants.		
23		,	
24			
25			
26	I, the undersigned, declare as follows:		
27	1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice and practicing before all		
28	the Courts of the State of California and the United States District Court for the		
		1	

- 4. Plaintiffs have not requested a continuance for any deadlines other than Plaintiffs' Motion to Proceed Anonymously. Plaintiffs request a continuance at this time at due to the length and progression of discussions and the desire to resolve this matter without undue expenditure of client or judicial resources.
- 5. If the current negotiations between the parties result in a resolution of this case, Plaintiffs anticipate a case management conference will not be necessary.
- 6. Plaintiffs did not anticipate settlement discussions would extend as long as they have and therefore did not anticipate the need to continue the court-scheduled October 6 case management conference.
- 7. Plaintiffs and Defendants anticipate that this case will be resolved within the coming weeks, and Plaintiffs hope to dismiss this action prior to the October 24, 2008 deadline to serve the complaint.
- 6. On October 1, 2008, our office contacted each of Defendants in a good faith effort to stipulate to continue the scheduled case management conference to December 8, 2008. Defendants City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Housing Authority agreed to our request. Defendant John Stewart Company did not oppose.

2

11. At this time, the parties are unable to formally stipulate on this issue since the Defendants have yet to be formally served and have not made an appearance in this case. Although Defendants cannot be formally served with this motion, Plaintiffs have informed each of Defendants of their intention to file this motion with this court requesting the case management conference set for October 6, 2008 be continued to December 8, 2008. Plaintiffs will provide Defendants with a copy of this motion.

12. In light of the fact that Plaintiffs have not yet served a complaint in this matter, and given the impending likely settlement of this action, the parties are unable at this time to effectively participate in a case management conference and the parties anticipate this matter will be resolved prior to any future case management conference.

Date: October 2, 2008

Trutanich • Michel, LLP

/S/ Jason A. Davis Attorneys for Plaintiffs