1	C. D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258 Don B. Kates - S.B.N. 39193	
2	Jason A. Davis - S.B.N. 222250 Clinton B. Monfort - S.B.N. 255609	
3	TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200	
4	Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445	
5	Facsimile: 562-216-4445 Email: cmichel@tmllp.com	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		CISCO DIVISION
11	GUY MONTAG DOE, NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF	
12	AMERICA, INC., CITIZENS COMMITTEE FOR THE RIGHT TO	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT
13	KEEP AND BEAR ARMS,) PREJUDICE
14	Plaintiffs	Dept.: Courtroom 12- 19 th Floor
15	VS.))
16	JOHN STEWART COMPANY, AND DOES 1-10,	
17	Defendants.	
18))
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	MOTICE IS HEDEDY CIVEN th	et nunquent te Endard Dules of Civil
24	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil	
25	Procedure Rule 41(a), Plaintiffs GUY MONTAG DOE, et al., voluntarily dismiss	
26 27	the above-captioned action without prejudice.	
	Since defendant has not yet filed an answer in this matter, it would appear	
28	this action can be taken simply by way of notice. To the extent court approval is	
		1

required, plaintiffs respectfully request this be considered a motion for such approval.

BACKGROUND

In March of 2008, John Stewart Company formally amended its House Rules for Valencia Gardens to prohibit the possession of firearms in the home by residents of Valencia Gardens. From March 2008 to the present, it has been the policy of John Stewart Company that all residents of Valencia Gardens are prohibited from keeping or possessing any firearms or ammunition in the home for self-defense or any other lawful purpose.

On June 26, 2008 the Supreme Court of the United States ruled on whether the Second Amendment of the United States Constitution protects an individual right to keep and bear arms in the case of *Heller v. District of Columbia*, (2008) 128 S. Ct. 2783. In *Heller*, the Supreme Court held that the Second Amendment protects an individual right to possess a firearm unconnected with service in a militia, and to use that arm for all traditionally lawful purposes, including self-defense within the home. (*Id.*)

In light of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Heller*, plaintiffs filed suit against the John Stewart Company on the grounds that the aforementioned policies of John Stewart Company regarding the prohibition on the possession of firearms and ammunition by law abiding tenants are unconstitutional.

Following the commencement of this action by plaintiffs, defendant John Stewart Company agreed to amend its House Rules to remove the prohibition on the lawful possession of firearms and ammunition. Defendant John Stewart Company further agreed that, effective immediately, Defendant and its agents and representatives will not at any time enforce the provisions of the House Rules relating to the lawful possession of firearms and ammunition. The complete terms of this agreement are provided in the Stipulation Regarding Settlement and Dismissal filed concurrently with this Notice of Dismissal.

1	Accordingly, plaintiffs now move to dismiss the above-captioned action	
2	without prejudice.	
3		
4	Date: January 29, 2009 TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP	
5		
6	Mund	
7	C. D. Michel	
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19	The clerk shall close the file.	
20	STATES	
21	E DERED E	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED VI Judge Thelton E. Henderson OR RES	
23		
24		
25	01/29/09	
26	01/29/09 CF	
27		
28		