1	MICHAEL F. HERTZ				
	Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division DOUGLAS N. LETTER				
2	Terrorism Litigation Counsel				
3	JOSEPH H. HÜNT Director, Federal Programs Branch	TIMOTHY P. GARREN Director, Torts Branch			
4	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO Special Litigation Counsel	ANDREA W. MCCARTHY Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch			
5	PAUL G. FREEBORNE	JAMES R. WHITMAN			
6	Trial Attorney Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov	Trial Attorney Email: james.whitman@usdoj.gov			
7	U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Torts Branch			
8	20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6108 Washington, D.C. 20001	P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-7146			
	Phone: (202) 353-0543—Fax: (202) 616-8460	Tel: (202) 616-4169—Fax: (202) 616-4314			
9	Attorneys for the Government Defendants	Attorneys for George W. Bush, Richard B. Cheney, David S. Addington, Keith B.			
11		Alexander, Michael V. Hayden, John D. McConnell, John D. Negroponte, Michael			
12		B. Mukasey, Alberto R. Gonzales, and John D. Ashcroft, in Their Individual Capacity			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN FRANCISO				
15)	No. 08-cv-4373-VRW			
16	JEWEL, et al.	DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED			
17	Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER			
	v.)	OR OTHERWISE TO RESPOND TO			
18	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,	COMPLAINT			
19	Defendants.	[CIVIL L.R. 7-11 AND 6-3]			
20		Honorable Vaughn R. Walker			
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, all defendants hereby administratively move and respectfully				
22	request that the Court grant a 60-day extension of	time to answer or otherwise respond to the			
23	complaint filed in this matter. Counsel for the def	endants have consulted with counsel for the			
24	plaintiffs and plaintiffs do not oppose this motion.				
25					
26					
27					
28					
20	No. 08-cv-4373-VRW – Defendants' Unopposed Adminis	strative Motion			
	for an Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Complaint				

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

On September 18, 2008, plaintiffs filed a complaint alleging violations of the Constitution and federal statutes arising out of alleged warrantless surveillance and raising claims against the National Security Agency ("NSA") and Government officials in their official and individual capacities. See Dkt. 1 in 08-cv-4373 (Sept. 18, 2008). Plaintiffs moved unopposed to relate this case to Hepting, et al. v. AT&T Corp. et al., 06-cv-0672-vrw, see Dkt. 7 in 08-cv-4373, which is consolidated with other actions before the Court by Order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, see Dkt. 1 in 06-m-1791. The Court granted plaintiffs' motion to relate on October 28, 2008, see Dkt. 9 in 08-cv-4373. A response to the complaint by the Government defendants sued in their official capacity was initially due December 8, 2008. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties stipulated and agreed that a response to the complaint by the Government defendants sued in their official capacities would be due no later than February 2, 2009. See Dkt. 11 in 08-cv-4373 (Nov. 26, 2008). A response to the complaint by the individual capacity defendants is also currently due February 2, 2009, based on the date plaintiffs effected service on those defendants. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(3). The defendants request that they be afforded an additional 60 days, or until March 24, 2009, to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint filed in this matter and that the hearing date set for March 26, 2009 be reset for a later date after the parties have conferred on a schedule and submitted a joint proposal or respective proposals.

The defendants request an extension of time to respond to the complaint so that additional time is available for consultation with incoming Administration officials who have yet to be confirmed or take office and who, once in office, will require additional time to become familiar with the case. Since this is the first filing in this case, and nothing else is pending, the defendants submit that the additional time is appropriate under the circumstances. A proposed order granting the defendants' unopposed motion is attached.

¹ By joining this motion, the individual capacity defendants do not waive, and expressly reserve, all defenses available to them relating to all aspects of this action.

1	DATED:	January 26, 2009	Respectfully Submitted,
2 3			MICHAEL F. HERTZ Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division DOUGLAS N. LETTER
4			Terrorism Litigation Counsel JOSEPH H. HUNT
5			Director, Federal Programs Branch ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
6			Special Litigation Counsel PAUL G. FREEBORNE
7			Trial Attorney Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov
8 9			U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6102
10			Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: (202) 353-0543—Fax: (202) 616-8460 Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov
11			By: /s Paul G. Freeborne Paul G. Freeborne
12			Attorneys for the Government Defendants
13			Tationne, s for the Government Defendants
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
2021			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

TIMOTHY P. GARREN 1 Director, Torts Branch ANDREA W. MCCARTHY 2 Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch 3 JAMES R. WHITMAN Trial Attorney 4 U.S Department of Justice Torts Branch, Civil Division 5 P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-7146 Tel: (202) 616-4169 6 Fax: (202) 616-4314 james.whitman@usdoj.gov 8 By: /s James R. Whitman James R. Whitman 9 Attorneys for George W. Bush, Richard B. Cheney, David Š. Åddington, Keith B. Alexander, Michael V. 10 Hayden, John D. McConnell, John D. Negroponte, 11 Michael B. Mukasey, Alberto R. Gonzales, and John D. Ashcroft, in Their Individual Capacity 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	DECLARATION OF PAUL G. FREEBORNE			
2	I, PAUL G. FREEBORNE, hereby declare that:			
3	1. I am a Trial Attorney in the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, and one of the attorneys assigned to this case.			
5	2. I called counsel for the plaintiffs, Jennifer Granick, on January 22, 2009 to seek plaintiffs' consent to a 60-day extension of time for the defendants' response to the complaint filed in this matter.			
6 7	3. Counsel for the plaintiffs has stated by phone and electronic mail that plaintiffs would no oppose the defendants' motion.			
8 9 10	4. As set forth in the accompanying administrative motion, the defendants request this extension so that additional time is available for consultation with incoming Administration officials who have yet to be confirmed or take office and who, once in office, will require additional time to become familiar with the case. Defendants' response to the complaint would be the first filing in this case.			
111213	5. If the motion is granted, the defendants' obligation to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint would be on or before March 24, 2009. And if the motion is granted, the defendants propose that the parties be permitted an opportunity to agree upon a briefing schedule for appropriate motions and for a hearing on any motions that are filed.			
14	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct. Executed on January 26, 2008, in the City of Washington, District of Columbia.			
1516	MICHAEL F. HERTZ Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division			
17	DOUĞLAS N. LETTER Terrorism Litigation Counsel JOSEPH H. HUNT			
18 19	Director, Federal Programs Branch ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO Special Litigation Counsel			
20	PAUL G. FREEBORNE Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice			
2122	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Rm.6108 Washington, DC 20001			
23	Telephone: (202) 353-0543 — Fax: (202) 616-8460 Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov			
24	By: <u>s/Paul G. Freeborne</u> Paul G. Freeborne			
2526	Attorneys for the Government Defendants			
27				

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	It is hereby ORDERED the defendants' Unopposed Administrative Motion for an
3	Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint is hereby granted, and all
4	defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the complaint on or before March 24, 2009. It
5	is further ORDERED that the Court's order setting a hearing for March 26, 2009 is vacated, and
6	that the parties shall meet and confer and propose a briefing schedule for appropriate motions
7	and a hearing date on which the Court may consider any motions that are filed.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED,
10	Dated:, 2009
11	
12	Han Vanaha D. Wallan
13	Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States District Court Chief Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	