

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137  
2 *tolson@gibsondunn.com*  
Matthew D. McGill, *pro hac vice*  
3 Amir C. Tayrani, SBN 229609  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036  
4 Telephone: (202) 955-8668, Facsimile: (202) 467-0539

5 Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132009  
*tboutrous@gibsondunn.com*  
6 Christopher D. Dusseault, SBN 177557  
Ethan D. Dettmer, SBN 196046  
7 Sarah E. Piepmeier, SBN 227094  
Theane Evangelis Kapur, SBN 243570  
8 Enrique A. Monagas, SBN 239087  
333 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071  
9 Telephone: (213) 229-7804, Facsimile: (213) 229-7520

10 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
David Boies, *pro hac vice*  
11 *dboies@bsflp.com*  
Theodore H. Uno, SBN 248603  
12 333 Main Street, Armonk, New York 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200, Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

13  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,  
PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,  
18 PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.  
ZARRILLO,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official  
capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND  
22 G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as  
Attorney General of California; MARK B.  
23 HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of  
the California Department of Public Health and  
State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE  
24 SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy  
Director of Health Information & Strategic  
25 Planning for the California Department of Public  
Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official  
26 capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of  
Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official  
27 capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for  
the County of Los Angeles,

28 Defendants.

CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING  
DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' MOTION  
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE TO  
EXCEED PAGE LIMITATIONS**

Judge: Chief Judge Walker  
Location: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor

1 Defendant-Intervenors Dennis Hollingsworth, Gail J. Knight, Martin F. Gutierrez, Hak-Shing  
2 William Tam, Mark A. Jansson, and ProtectMarriage.com – Yes on 8, A Project of California  
3 Renewal (“Defendant-Intervenors”) moved under this Court’s Civil Local Rule 7-11 for an order  
4 permitting them to file a motion for summary judgment with a 100-page long memorandum of points  
5 and authorities in support. Doc #172. The motion for administrative relief is opposed by the  
6 Plaintiffs. Doc #174.

7 The Court hereby orders that Defendant-Intervenors’ motion for administrative leave to  
8 exceed page limitations is DENIED. Defendant-Intervenors did not obtain an order—or even seek an  
9 order—permitting the filing of an over length brief prior to the deadline for filing their motion for  
10 summary judgment, as is required by this Court’s Local Rules. *See* Civ. L.R. 7-2(b); 7-4(b) & 7-11.

11 In light of this fact, and the expedited schedule in this case, the Court also hereby DENIES  
12 Defendant-Intervenors’ request to deem their proposed motion for summary judgment filed as of  
13 September 9, 2009.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 The Honorable Vaughn R. Walker  
18 Chief Judge of the United States District Court  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28