1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
4	GARY ARDEN,	Case No. 10-cv-00436 NC
5	Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM
6	v.	
7	FRANK KASTELL,	FILED
8	Defendant.	OCT 2 1 2014
9		
10		PICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	We, the jury, unanimously find the following by a preponderance of the evidence:	
12	(1) Did Frank Kastell deliberately make a false statement in a police report in	
13	violation of Gary Arden's Fourteenth Amendment right to due process of law?	
14	Answer: Yes No	
15	If you answered "Yes" to Question (1), proceed to Question (2).	
16	If you answered "No" to Question (1), please skip to bottom, sign and return.	
17	(2) Did Frank Kastell's act, described in Question (1) above, cause injury to Gary	
18	Arden?	
19	Answer: Yes No	
20	(3) If you answered "Yes" to Question (2), enter the amount that will fairly	
21	compensate Gary Arden for all the injury and damage he actually sustained as a	
22	result of Frank Kastell's conduct. If you answered "No" to Question (2), you must	
23	award nominal damages by entering an amount not to exceed \$1.00.	
24	Answer: \$	
25	(Fill in Dollar Figure)	
26	SO SAY WE ALL, this day of October, 2014.	
27		
28	Presiding Juror	
	Case No. 10-cv-00436 NC VERDICT FORM	