	Case3:10-cv-03799-RS Document1	1	Filed09/20/10	Page1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROBIN B. JOHANSEN, State Bar No. 79084 THOMAS A. WILLIS, State Bar No. 160989 REMCHO, JOHANSEN & PURCELL, LLP 201 Dolores Avenue San Leandro, CA 94577 Phone: (510) 346-6200 Fax: (510) 346-6201 Email: rjohansen@rjp.com Attorneys for Defendant CARLOS A. GARCIA				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11	KEVIN M. HALL, an individual,)	No.: C 10-03799) EMC	
12	Plaintiff,)	MOTION FOR	EXTENSION OF TIME	
13	VS.)			
14 15	CARLOS A. GARCIA, in his official capacity as Superintendent of the San Francisco Unified School District,				
16	Defendant.)			
17		_			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – NO.: C 10-03799 EMC				

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3 and for the reasons stated below, defendant Carlos A.
Garcia, Superintendent of the San Francisco Unified School District, respectfully requests a 30-day
extension of time in which to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in the above-captioned
case.

The case involves plaintiff's challenge to the Superintendent's refusal to grant him an exemption from the Gun Free School Zone Act of 1995 (Cal. Penal Code § 626.9) so that he may "openly carry an unloaded handgun outside of his residence for purposes of self-defense." Complaint, ¶ 1. Plaintiff alleges that the Superintendent's decision violates his rights under the Second and Fourteenth Amendments to the federal Constitution. *Id*.

10 Defendant was served on September 9, 2010 and retained defense counsel on September 13, 2010. Defendant's responsive pleading is currently due on September 30, 2010. 11 12 Defendant's chief counsel, Robin Johansen, is scheduled to be out of the country for a family wedding 13 from September 22 through September 29, 2010. Decl. of Robin B. Johansen at ¶ 3. Counsel believes 14 that this matter can most efficiently be resolved on a motion to dismiss, but particularly in light of 15 recent changes in the case law regarding the Second Amendment, she will not be able to prepare such a 16 motion within the 21 days allotted by Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Therefore, 17 substantial harm or prejudice will result if an extension is not granted. Counsel asked plaintiff, who is 18 appearing *in propria persona*, to stipulate to a 30-day extension of time, but he has not responded to 19 her request. Johansen Decl. at $\P 4$.

20Defendant therefore respectfully requests an extension to and including October 29,212010 in which to file a motion to dismiss or otherwise respond to plaintiff's complaint.

23	Dated: September 20, 2010	Respectfully submitted,
24		REMCHO, JOHANSEN & PURCELL, LLP
25		
26		By:/s/
27		Robin B. Johansen
28	(00126452.4)	Attorneys for Defendant Carlos A. Garcia
	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – NO.: C 10-03799 EMC	1

22