1	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (SBN: 179986) E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com	
2	Jason A. Davis (SBN: 224250) E-Mail: Jason@CalGunLawyers.com	
3	1645 Willow Street, Suite 150   San Jose, California 95125-5120	
4	Voice: (408) 264-8489 Fax: (408) 264-8487	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6		
7		S DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	MARK AARON HAYNIE, BRENDAN	
10	JOHN RICHARDS, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC., and THE	Case Nos.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI 3:11-CV-02493 SI
11	SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.,	(Consolidated Cases)
12	Plaintiffs,	
$\begin{vmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \end{vmatrix}$	vs. KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General	STIPULATION AND ORDER Re: Deadline to File Amended Complaint
$14 \mid 15 \mid$	of California (in her official capacity) and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	Complaint
$\begin{vmatrix} 16 \\ 16 \end{vmatrix}$	OF JUSTICE, CITY OF ROHNERT	
17	PARK, OFFICER DEAN BECKER (RP134), and DOES 1 TO 20,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	BRENDAN JOHN RICHARDS, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	
21	and THE SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.,	Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 SI (Related Case)
22	Plaintiffs,	~
23	vs. KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: Deadline to File Amended
24	of California (in her official capacity) and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	$\mathbf{Complaint}$
25	OF JUSTICE, SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFFS' OFFICE, SHERIFFS'	
26	DEPUTY GREG MYERS (SD1023)	
27	and DOES 1 TO 20, Defendants.	
28		

MAX JOSEPH PLOG-HOROWITZ, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION. INC., and THE SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC., Plaintiffs.

vs.

KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General of California, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, COTATI POLICE DEPARTMENT. CITY OF COTATI, ANDREW 20.

LYSSAND (CO0339) and DOES 1 TO

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:12-CV-0452 LB

(Related Case)

Re: Deadline to File Amended Complaint

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED<sup>1</sup> by and between the parties through their respective attorneys of record that the Court should make case management orders in these cases based on the following:

- The Sonoma County Defendants were dismissed pursuant to an agreement of 1. the Sonoma County Defendants and the Plaintiffs in Richards v. Harris II (Sonoma County) 3:11-CV-05580 SI.
  - Plaintiffs wish to Amend their Complaint in that action to reflect that A. dismissal.
  - В. The Defendants in that case (California Department of Justice and Kamal Harris) have consented to the filing of an Amended Complaint in that action on or before September 4, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Haynie v. Harris, Case No.: 3:10-CV-01255 SI was ordered consolidated with Richards v. Harris, Case No.: 3:11-CV-02493 SI, in an ordered filed on October 22, 2011. (See Documents # 42 and #15 respectively. The second Richards v. Harris, Case No.: 3:11-CV-05580 was ordered to be related with the first two cases in an order filed on December 21, 2011. (See documents #47 and #20 respectively.) The Ploghorowitz v. Harris, Case No.: 3:12-CV-0452 SI was ordered to be related on April 11, 2012. (See documents #32 and #20)

- 1			
1	2. This Court granted in part and denied in part Defendant ROHNERT		
2	PARKS's Motion to Dismiss in <i>Richards v. Harris I (Rohnert Park)</i> 3:11-CV-		
3	02493 SI in an order filed on July 30, 2012 (Doc #39) that gave Plaintiffs		
4		until August 13, 2012 to file an Amen	ded complaint. The Defendants in that
5	action have consented to an extension of time to file that amended complaint		
6	to and including September 4, 2012.		
7	3. The Defendants in the <i>Ploghorowitz v. Harris</i> , Case No.: 3:12-CV-0452 SI		
8	have consented to the filing of an amended complaint, on or before September		
9	4, 2012, in that action in order to have the pleadings in that case conform		
10		with the Court's rulings on July 30, 20	012 in Richards v. Harris I (Rohnert
11		Park) 3:11-CV-02493 SI.	
12	4.	There is a Case Management Confere	nce in this case currently set for August
13		24, 2012 at 2:30 p.m. The parties into	end for this conference to remain as set.
14	5.	The parties contend that judicial econ	omy and savings to the parties would
15	result in an order that sets a deadline of September 4, 2012 for the filing of		
16	all the necessary Amended Complaints in these cases.		
17	SO STIPULATED.		
18	Date:	August 9, 2012	Date: August 9, 2012
19		la l	la l
20	Counsel for Defendants Counsel for Plaintiffs		Donald Kilmer
21			
22			
23	Date:	August 9, 2012	
24	Rober	/s/ et W. Honkols	
25	City of Rohnert Park & Becker		
26			
27		of Cotati, & Lyssand	

28

1645 Willow St., Suite 150, San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: (408) 264-8489 Fx: (408) 264-8487 Don@DKLawOffice.com 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTESTATION FOR COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 45 AND LOCAL RULE VIII.B.

I, Donald Kilmer, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and the United States that I have in my possession e-mail correspondence from Ross Moody, and Robert Henkels that the content of this document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document. I declare that this document was signed in San Jose, CA on August 9, 2012.

Donald Kilmer Attorney for Plaintiffs

**ORDER** 

Plaintiffs shall have until September 4, 2012 to file an amended complaint in Richards v. Harris II (Sonoma County) 3:11-CV-05580 SI; Haynie v. Harris 3:10-CV-01255 SI; Richards v. Harris I (Rohnert Park) 3:11-CV-02493 SI; and Ploghorowitz v. Harris, 3:12-CV-0452 SI.

Date: 8/21/12

United States District Judge