1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	STEVEN J. MALDONADO, E33033,	)
13	Plaintiff(s),	) No. C 11-3526 CRB (PR)
14	vs.	) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
15	KEN CLARK, Warden,	) (Docket # 3)
16	Defendant(s).	)
17		)
18	Plaintiff, a prisoner at Pelican Bay States Prison (PBSP), has filed a pro se	
19	complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking restoration of 180 days time credits	
20	that were assessed after prison officials at Corcoran State Prison (CSP) allegedly	
21	found him guilty of a serious disciplinary violation without affording him proper	
22	due process. Plaintiff also seeks to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) under 28	
23	U.S.C. § 1915.	

Based solely on his affidavit of poverty, plaintiff's request (docket # 3) to proceed IFP is GRANTED. But his underlying claim for restoration of assessed time credits must be dismissed without prejudice to bringing it in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 after exhausting state judicial remedies. It is well established that habeas is the exclusive remedy for the

24

25

26

27

28

se

## Case 3:11-cv-03526-CRB Document 4 Filed 12/12/11 Page 2 of 2

prisoner who seeks immediate or speedier release from confinement. See 1 2 Skinner v. Switzer, 131 S. Ct. 1289, 1293 (2011); Calderon v. Ashmus, 523 U.S. 740, 747 (1998); Edwards v. Balisok, 520 U.S. 641, 648 (1997); Preiser v. 3 Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973). A claim for restoration of time credits that 4 5 affects the duration of a prisoner's custody, and a determination of which may likely result in entitlement to an earlier release, as is the case here, accordingly 6 7 must be brought in habeas after exhausting state judicial remedies. See 8 Butterfield v. Bail, 120 F.3d 1023, 1024 (9th Cir. 1997); Bostic v. Carlson, 884 9 F.2d 1267, 1269 (9th Cir. 1989); see also Ramirez v. Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 858-59 (9th Cir. 2003) (implying that claim, which if successful would "necessarily" 10 or "likely" accelerate prisoner's release, must be brought in habeas). 11 12

For the foregoing reasons, the complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice to bringing the claim therein in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 after exhausting state judicial remedies.

The clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this order, send plaintiff a blank habeas form, and close the file.

SO ORDERED.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: <u>Dec. 7, 2011</u>

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge