	Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document150	Filed05/25/12 Page1 of 2
1	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP STUART L. GASNER - #164675	LAW OFFICES OF DORON WEINBERG DORON WEINBERG - #46131
2	sgasner@kvn.com STEVEN P. RAGLAND - #221076	doronweinberg@aol.com 523 Octavia Street
3	sragland@kvn.com SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI - #246943	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: 415 431 3472
4	sagnolucci@kvn.com 633 Battery Street	Facsimile: 415 552 2703
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400	Attorneys for Defendant CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIEW
6	Facsimile: 415 397 7188	MCKENNEY & FROELICH
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIAN- HEEN LIEW and USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.	JEROME J. FROELICH, JR <i>pro hac vice</i> jerryfroelich@comcast.net Two Midtown Plaza, Suite 1250
9		1349 West Peachtree Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-2920
10		Telephone: 404 881 1111 Facsimile: 404 881 8040
11		Attorneys for Defendant ROBERT MAEGERLE
12		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR 12-0573-JSW (NC)
17	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF JOSHUA D. MAREMONT IN SUPPORT OF
18	v.	DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
19		
	WALTER LIAN-HEEN LIEW, CHRISTINA HONG OIAO LIEW, USA	
20	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m.
20 21	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012
	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
21	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
21 22	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
21 22 23	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
21 22 23 24	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
 21 22 23 24 25 	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
 21 22 23 24 25 26 	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIÈW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE, Defendants.	Date: June 20, 2012 Time: 11:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins

1 I, Joshua D. Maremont, declare and state that: 2 1. I am Practice Support Coordinator at Keker & Van Nest LLP (hereafter "KVN") 3 and have worked in this capacity since 1998. 2. In my role as Practice Support Coordinator, I oversee and provide consultation on 4 5 the collection and processing of electronically stored information and its conversion for use in document databases. 6 7 3. Except where expressly stated, I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and 8 if called to testify as a witness thereto, could do so competently under oath. 9 4. I am informed that the government intends to produce at least 13 Terabytes of 10 discovery in this case. 13 Terabytes is the equivalent of approximately 120 shipping containers 11 full of printed documents. 5. 12 The only reasonable way for KVN to electronically house the approximately 13 13 Terabytes of discovery in this case is on servers connected to the Internet or a network. 14 6. KVN attorneys could only access this data without a network by purchasing a 15 massive drive array and plugging it into a standalone workstation accessible to only one person 16 sitting in front of it. Such an undertaking probably would cost tens of thousands of dollars. 7. 17 The process described in paragraph 6 above would make document review 18 extremely inefficient, because KVN attorneys would be unable to aggregate their searches and 19 would need to use EnCase, which is not a review tool that KVN attorneys are trained to use. 8. 20 Alternatively, KVN could custom-build a review workstation with other culling 21 and review software, but the expense of building such a workstation, purchasing licenses for the 22 software, and managing a large volume of data on a stand-alone machine would amount to 23 thousands, if not tens of thousands, of dollars. 24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the 25 foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on May 25, 2012, at San Francisco, California. 26 27 /s/ Joshua D. Maremont JOSHUA D. MAREMONT 28 DECLARATION OF JOSHUA D. MAREMONT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER Case No. CR 12-0573-JSW (NC)