1. <u>Status of Discovery</u>

The United States has produced several initial tranches of discovery to counsel for defendants Walter Liew, Christina Liew, Robert Maegerle, and USAPTI. Defendants are reviewing the discovery that has been produced and have advised the government of a number of issues and questions. The parties continue to meet and confer regarding these issues and questions.

In addition, the United States intends to produce another tranche of discovery to defendants next week.

2. Speedy Trial

The Court has previously determined the case to be complex pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) and excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act. Due to the volume of discovery and complexity of the issues, the parties agree that the Court should continue to exclude time under section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), as well as for the reasonable preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The United States believes that time is also properly excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6) because the time for trial has not run as to the Pangang Group defendants and they have neither been severed nor dismissed from the case.

The attorneys for the defendants verify, by their agreement to this Status Conference Statement, that they have advised their clients of their rights under the Speedy Trial Act and each of their clients has expressly agreed that time should be excluded as set forth above. The Court has previously excluded time until November 8, 2012. The parties stipulate and agree that for the reasons stated above time should be excluded from November 8, 2012, until December 13, 2012.

3. <u>Next Appearance Before the Court</u>

The parties are working through discovery and related issues. The parties request that the Court continue the status conference to December 13, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. and that the Court order that a joint status statement be filed on or before December 6, 2012.

4. Service of Pangang Defendants

On or before December 6, 2012, the United States will file a notice with the Court identifying the measures taken to serve the summonses on the Pangang Defendants and asserting

the government's position regarding whether service has been properly effected. The United 1 2 States proposes that if the Pangang Defendants do not agree with the government's position 3 regarding service, the parties will propose a briefing schedule for a further motion to quash and 4 address the schedule with the Court at the status conference on December 13. 5 6 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP Dated: November 1, 2012 7 /s/ Stuart L. Gasner 8 STUART L. GASNER STEVEN P. RAGLAND 9 SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI 10 Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIEW and 11 USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC. 12 Dated: November 1, 2012 By: /s/Doron Weinberg 13 DORON WEINBERG 14 Attorney for Defendant ROBERT J. MAEGERLE 15 16 Dated: November 1, 2012 By: /s/ Jerome J. Froelich, Jr. JEROME J. FROELICH, JR. 17 Attorney for Defendant 18 ROBERT J. MAEGERLE 19 Dated: November 1, 2012 **MELINDA HAAG** 20 United States Attorney 21 /s/ John H. Hemann 22 PETER B. AXELROD JOHN H. HEMANN 23 **Assistant United States Attorneys** 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM

NOVEMBER 8, 2012 TO DECEMBER 13, 2012 Case No. CR 11-0573-JSW (NC)

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document190 Filed11/02/12 Page3 of 4

PROPOSED ORDER 1 2 Based upon the parties' stipulation, the record in this case, and for good cause shown, the Court continues the status conference currently scheduled for November 8, 2012, to December 2:00 p.m. 3 4 13, 2012, at 2:30 p.m. The Court finds that, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), the case is so 5 complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for trial within the time limits set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court further finds that this 6 7 exclusion of time will allow for the reasonable preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 8 § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) and that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between November 9 8, 2012, and December 13, 2012 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best 10 interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Finally, 11 time is properly excluded as to the Pangang Group defendants pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 12 § 3161(h)(6), because the time for trial has not run and they have neither been severed nor dismissed from the case. 13 14 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between November 8, 2012, and 15 December 13, 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. 16 §§ 3161(h)(6), (h)(7)(A), (h)(B)(ii), and (h)(B)(iv). 17 Dated: November 2, 2012 18 19 20 Counsel for the Pangang Group defendants shall be prepared to appear at the hearing on December 13, 2012, in the event the parties are unable to agree on the issue of service. 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3