

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

2  
3 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

4 JOHN H. HEMANN (CABN 165823)  
5 PETER B. AXELROD (CABN 190843)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

6 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055  
7 San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7200  
8 Fax: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: john.hemann@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 WALTER LIEW AND CHRISTINA LIEW, )  
18 Defendants. )

No. CR-11-0573-RS  
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

19 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
20 E.I. DUPONT DE DEMOURS AND )  
COMPANY, )  
21 Plaintiff, )  
22 v. )  
23 USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, )  
24 INC., PERFORMANCE GROUP (USA), )  
INC., WALTER LIEW, and JOHN LIU, )  
25 Defendants. )  
26 \_\_\_\_\_ )

No. C-11-1665-JSW

27 1. The United States hereby notifies the Court pursuant to Criminal L.R. 8-1 that  
28 United States v. Walter Liew and Christina Liew, No. CR-11-0573-RS, may be related to E.I.

1 DuPont De Nemours and Company v. USA Performance Technology, Inc., et al., No. C-11-  
2 1665-JSW, a previously filed civil action.

3 2(a). E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company v. USA Performance Technology, Inc.  
4 (USAPTI), et al., No. C-11-1665-JSW, was filed on April 6, 2011. The complaint alleges that  
5 the defendants “wrongfully obtained and possess confidential, proprietary, trade secret materials  
6 providing detailed specifications for DuPont’s chloride-route titanium dioxide (‘TiO2’) pigment  
7 manufacturing process.” The complaint alleges that DuPont discovered that defendant JOHN  
8 LIU possessed a number of specific items of information that were derived from proprietary  
9 DuPont technologies and that LIU obtained this information from defendant WALTER LIEW  
10 and his company USAPTI.

11 2(b). United States v. Walter Liew and Christina Liew, No. CR-11-0573-RS, was filed  
12 on August 23, 2011. The indictment alleges that WALTER LIEW and his wife, CHRISTINA  
13 LIEW, tampered with a witness in the above-referenced civil case filed by DuPont (No. C-11-  
14 1665-JSW), by among other things, telling the witness not to reveal his knowledge of certain  
15 employees of defendant USAPTI because it would not be good for the witness or his family, and  
16 instructing the witness to lie about his knowledge of certain individuals relevant to the trade  
17 secret case, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1512. The indictment also alleges that WALTER LIEW  
18 and CHRISTINA LIEW engaged in misleading conduct towards agents of the Federal Bureau of  
19 Investigation and made false statements during the execution of a search warrant by intentionally  
20 lying to the agents about the whereabouts of a safe deposit box that contained evidence relevant  
21 to the FBI’s investigation, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and 1512.

22 3. The two actions concern a common defendant, WALTER LIEW. The criminal  
23 action alleges an effort to tamper with a witness in an effort to obstruct the civil action.  
24 Accordingly, the two actions may be related according to the criteria set forth in Criminal L.R. 8-  
25 1(b).

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

