I						
	Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW D	ocument250	Filed02/28/13	Page1 of 3		
1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)					
2	United States Attorney					
3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division					
4	PETER B. AXELROD (CABN 190843)					
5	JOHN H. HEMANN (CABN 165823) Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055					
6						
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200					
8	Fax: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: peter.axelrod@usdoj.gov					
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
10						
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
15	UNITED STATES OF AMEDICA		CR 11-0573 JSW	7		
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) INO.	CK 11-03/3 JSW			
17) STI	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
18	v. WALTER LIEW,) DEC	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM DECEMBER 13, 2012 TO MARCH 21, 2013			
19	CHRISTINA LIEW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY	ý				
20	INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,	,)				
21	Defendants.	ý				
22		_)				
23	The parties, by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:					
24						
25	1. On December 13, 2012, defendants Walter Liew, Christina Liew, USA					
26	Performance Technology, Inc. (USAPTI), and Robert Maegerle appeared before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White, United States District Judge, for a status hearing. Stuart Gasner and Simona Agnolucci appeared for Walter Liew and USAPT and specially appeared for Christina Liew, wh					
27						
28						

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document250 Filed02/28/13 Page2 of 3

was assisted by a court-appointed Chinese (Mandarin) interpreter. Jerome Froelich appeared for Robert Maegerle. Assistant United States Attorneys Peter B. Axelrod and John H. Hemann appeared for the United States. The Court set the matter for further status on March 21, 2013.

2. At the hearing, the parties jointly requested that time be excluded between December 13, 2012 and March 21, 2013 under the Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161) on grounds of complexity and for effective preparation of counsel. The Court has previously determined the case to be complex pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) and excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act. Due to the volume of discovery and complexity of the issues, the parties agree that the Court should continue to exclude time under section 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), as well as for the reasonable preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The United States believes that time is also properly excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6) because the time for trial has not run as to the Pangang Group defendants and they have neither been severed nor dismissed from the case.

3. The attorneys for the defendants verify, by their agreement to this Stipulation, that they have advised their clients of their rights under the Speedy Trial Act and each of their clients has expressly agreed that time should be excluded as set forth above.

SO STIPULATED.

19	DATED:	1/2/13	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney	
20 21			/S/	
22			PETER B. AXELROD JOHN H. HEMANN Assistant United States Attorneys	
23			Assistant United States Automeys	
24	DATED:	1/2/13	/S/	
25			STUART GANSER SIMONA AGNOLUCCI	
26			Counsel for Walter Liew and USA PERfo	
27	DATED:	1/2/13	/S/	
28			DORON WEINBERG	
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0573 JSW			

Counsel for Christina Liew

/S/

JEROME J. FROELICH, JR. Counsel for Robert Maegerle

PROPOSED ORDER

Based upon the parties' stipulation, the record in this case, including the December 13, 2012 status conference, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), the case is so complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for trial within the time limits set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court further finds that this exclusion of time will allow for the reasonable preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) and that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between December 13, 2012, and March 21, 2013, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Finally, time is properly excluded as to the Pangang Group defendants pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), because the time for trial has not run and they have neither been severed nor dismissed from the case.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between December 13, 2012, and March 21, 2013, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6), (h)(7)(A), (h)(B)(ii), and (h)(B)(iv)

DATED: <u>February 25, 2013</u>

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0573 JSW