| 1 2 3 4 5 | GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117 MORGAN K. LOPEZ, Bar No. 215513 JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE, Bar No. 2385 One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 210-2800 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975 | 559 | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 6 | Attorneys for E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company | | | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| 8 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | | | | |
| 10 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | R 11-0573-JSW (NC) FION AND [PROPOSED] | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |) MATERIA | <u>E PROTECTION OF</u> L PRODUCED BY E. I. DU | | | |
| 13 | V. |) PURSUAN | <u>NEMOURS AND COMPANY</u> <u>T TO RULE 17(c)</u> | | | |
| 14 | WALTER LIAN-HEEN LIEW, |) <u>SUBPOEN</u> | <u>A</u> | | | |
| 15 | CHRISTINA HONG QIAO LIEW, USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC., |) | contract the second | | | |
| 16 | and ROBERT MAEGERLE, |) Place:) Before: | Courtroom A – 15 th Floor Hon. Nathanael Cousins | | | |
| 17 | Defendants. |)) | | | | |
| 18 | | - | | | | |
| 19 | Whereas on June 21, 2012, this Cour | | 0 0 | | | |
| 20 | production of documents and information containing trade secrets and business information by | | | | | |
| 21 | the government and defendants (Dkt. 168); | | | | | |
| 22 | Whereas on August 12, 2013, Defend | lants served a Rule | e 17(c) subpoena issued by the | | | |
| 23 | Court on E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Com | pany ("DuPont") s | eeking production of documents | | | |
| 24 | that may contain DuPont trade secrets and confidential business information; | | | | | |
| 25 | Whereas DuPont desires to ensure that the documents it produces in response to the | | | | | |
| 26 | subpoena are afforded the same level of protection as those produced by the government and | | | | | |
| 27 | defendants; and | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page2 of 9

- Whereas pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1835 and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(d)(1)
 the Court may enter orders to preserve the confidentiality of trade secrets and confidential
 information produced by DuPont in response to the subpoena;
- 4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between DuPont, Defendants
 5 Walter Liew, Christina Liew, USA Performance Technology, and Robert Maegerle, and the
 6 United States by and between their undersigned counsel, that:
- Confidential Materials. In response to the subpoena, DuPont may designate as
 "Confidential-1 Materials" materials that contain or DuPont believes to contain trade secrets or
 confidential and proprietary business information.
- 2. 10 Manner of Designation. In the case of written, tangible, or documentary discovery material, DuPont shall stamp each page of the document "Confidential-1 - Subject to Protective 11 12 Order" in a manner that is readily distinguishable from any pre-existing confidential designation. 13 In the case of electronic discovery materials, including computer storage memory devices such as diskettes, hard drives, or other memory media, DuPont shall label the outside of the media 14 15 with the appropriate designation. All information on any electronic storage media produced in discovery and labeled as "Confidential" shall be treated as Confidential Material in accordance 16 with the terms of this Protective Order. If the Receiving Party objects to DuPont's designation 17 of particular information as Confidential Material, the Receiving Party shall notify DuPont in 18 writing of its objection. If the Receiving Party and DuPont are unable to resolve such a dispute, 19 20 the Receiving Party may seek relief from the Court by way of motion. The Receiving Party shall continue to treat such information as Confidential Material pending resolution of its 21 objection. 22
- 23 3. Effect of Designation or Failure to Designate; Change of Designation. A failure 24 to challenge DuPont's confidential designation does not constitute a waiver by any Receiving 25 Party of the right to challenge that confidential designation at a later time nor does it constitute a 26 waiver by any Receiving Party of the right to contest that all or any portion(s) of any documents 27 designated as confidential constitutes "trade secret" information. Further, DuPont reserves the 28 right to change the designation after production by notifying the Receiving Party in writing of the 29 -2-

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page3 of 9

change. DuPont may re-designate when it believes that materials are directly derivative of
 documents containing, or alleged to contain, trade secrets or confidential and proprietary business
 information. Any re-designated materials must be treated in accordance with the terms of this
 protective order.

4. 5 Limitation on Use: The Receiving Party shall use all Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont exclusively in connection with this case (including pre-trial investigation, 6 trial preparation, trial, and appeal), and not for the economic benefit of any individual, entity, 7 or party, or any commercial, business, or other purpose, including but not limited to the related 8 civil action, Case No. 3:11-cv-01665-JSW. Nothing herein shall prevent the Receiving Party 9 10 from using Confidential Materials or from referring to, quoting, or reciting from any 11 information contained in such Confidential Materials in connection with pleadings or motions 12 filed in this case, provided that such materials be filed under seal or submitted to the court for 13 in camera inspection, and further provided that the party using Confidential Materials produced by DuPont notifies DuPont of its use of the Confidential Material. Additionally, any pleading 14 15 or motion containing information derived from Confidential Materials produced by DuPont will note expressly that the material at issue has been designated Confidential, The procedures 16 17 for the use of Confidential Materials at trial or in pre- or post-trial hearings will be resolved at or before the time of trial or hearing. 18

19

5.

Limitations on Disclosure:

20 a. Documents produced by DuPont in response to the subpoena will be produced 21 to the Court initially. In the event the Court determines to allow the defense to review 22 Confidential Materials produced by DuPont, such materials shall be disclosed only to defense 23 counsel, defendants, and any staff employed by defense counsels' law firms that defense counsel deems reasonably necessary to review the materials in connection with the preparation of the 24 25 defense of this case. Defendants may review Confidential-1 Materials only in the presence of defense counsel or a member of defense counsel's staff and are not allowed to retain any 26 Confidential-1 Materials. Defendants may retain materials not designated Confidential-1 27 consistent with the terms of the Protective Order. 28

- 3 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PROTECTION OF DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY DUPONT 781030.01

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page4 of 9

b. Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont may be disclosed to third
 parties, witnesses, and experts, in connection with pre-trial investigation or trial preparation only
 as provided in paragraphs 6 and 8 of this order.

6. Procedure for Disclosure: At least seven business days before disclosing 4 5 Confidential-1 Material produced by DuPont to any party other than the defendants, the attorneys whose signatures appear below, and their staff, the Receiving Party shall notify 6 DuPont in writing of the identity of the person or persons to whom they intend to make 7 disclosure. Within the seven-business day period DuPont may object in writing to the 8 disclosure for good cause shown. If the Receiving Party and DuPont are unable to resolve any 9 10 such objection, the Receiving Party may seek relief from the Court by way of motion. No Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont may be disclosed until any and all objections to 11 the disclosure are resolved by the Court. 12

13

7. Maintaining Confidential Materials:

14a.The Receiving Party shall maintain any Confidential-1 Materials15produced by DuPont pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order in a manner reasonably16intended to preserve and maintain the confidentiality of the materials. Specifically,17Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont shall be maintained in a secure area along with18a copy of the Protective Order. Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont shall not be19copied by the Receiving Party except as necessary in connection with preparing and marking20potential exhibits for trial.

b. To the extent that Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont must be
downloaded to a computer for viewing, such computer shall not be connected to the Internet, to
any computer network connected to the Internet, or to any computer network that would allow
any person not authorized by this Protective Order to view Confidential-1 Materials produced
by DuPont.

c. Under no circumstance shall Confidential-1 Materials produced by
DuPont or copies thereof be transported or sent outside of the United States without prior
Court approval.

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page5 of 9

d. Notwithstanding efforts taken by DuPont to redact personal identifying 1 2 information (such as date of birth, social security numbers, addresses, phone numbers, etc.) or other sensitive information (e.g., bank account numbers), should any such information be 3 found during the Receiving Party's review of materials produced by DuPont, that party shall 4 5 not provide that information in any form to any third party. Expert Witnesses. If a party desires to disclose to its expert or experts 8. 6 Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont, the expert(s) shall execute an 7 Acknowledgment, signed under oath, stating that the affiant agrees to abide by the terms of 8 this order, and that he or she is not: (1) a former or current employee, consultant, contractor, 9

officer, proprietor, director, or agent of the owner of the Confidential Materials in question, or 10

11 (2) a former or current employee, consultant, contractor, officer, proprietor, director, or agent

12 to a competitor of DuPont. The Acknowledgement shall be submitted to the court in camera

13 and filed under seal. The opposing parties will not be provided with a copy of the

Acknowledgement signed by the expert(s), and the identity of the expert(s) shall not be 14

15 disclosed to the opposing parties except to the extent that such disclosure is required by the

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the local rules of this district, or court order. However, 16

should any party wish to retain an expert who is: (1) a former or current employee, consultant, 17

18 contractor, officer, proprietor, director, or agent of DuPont, or (2) a former or current

19 employee, consultant, contractor, officer, proprietor, director, or agent to a competitor of

20DuPont, the party seeking to retain the expert shall make an ex parte in camera submission to

the court, setting forth the name of the proposed expert; the relationship of that expert to 21

22 DuPont or to its competitors; and any other information that party would like the court to

23 consider. After reviewing these materials, the court will determine whether: (1) the party is

24 permitted to retain the proposed expert and disclose the Confidential-1 Materials produced by

25 DuPont to the expert once the expert has executed an Acknowledgment and that

26 Acknowledgment has been filed under seal with the court; or (2) the court requires the input of

an opposing party or a third party before it can determine whether to permit disclosure of 27

Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont to the proposed expert. In the latter case, the 28

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page6 of 9

court shall notify the party seeking to retain the expert that it has the option of either 1 2 withdrawing the expert's name from consideration or notifying the opposing parties or third parties of the identity of the proposed expert. In no event shall the court disclose to any 3 opposing party or third party the identity of the proposed expert, or the contents of the 4 5 proposing party's ex parte submission, until the party seeking to retain the expert has had an opportunity to withdraw the expert's name from consideration. Nothing in this paragraph shall 6 prevent the court from making further orders concerning proposed experts, as it deems 7 appropriate. 8

9. Unauthorized Disclosure of Confidential Material. If a Receiving Party learns 9 10 that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this protective order, the 11 Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing DuPont of the unauthorized 12 13 disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Confidential-1 14 Materials produced by DuPont, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized 15 disclosures were made of all the terms of this order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached to this order as 16 Exhibit A. 17

18 10. Disclosure to the Court. Any court filings containing Confidential-1 Materials 19 produced by DuPont or a description thereof shall be made under seal. In addition, the 20 Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont or descriptions thereof shall be clearly identified 21 in such sealed filing.

11. Return of Confidential Materials. At the conclusion of these proceedings, the
Receiving Party and any potential expert witnesses to whom Confidential-1 Materials
produced by DuPont were disclosed shall return such materials and any and all copies thereof
to DuPont. In addition, the Receiving Party shall take adequate steps to ensure that any and all
electronic copies of Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont are deleted and permanently
erased from any computer or computer system on which such materials were stored.

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page7 of 9

| 1 | 12. Violations. Violations of this stipulation and order shall be punishable by | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | contempt of court or any other legally available sanction that the court deems appropriate. All | | | |
| 3 | parties to whom Confidential-1 Materials produced by DuPont are disclosed in accordance | | | |
| 4 | with this protective order consent to this court's jurisdiction for purposes of enforcing this | | | |
| 5 | order. | | | |
| 6 | 13. Further Relief. Nothing in this protective order shall be construed as restricting any | | | |
| 7 | party from seeking such further relief as may be available under the Federal Rules of Criminal | | | |
| 8 | Procedure or other applicable law. | | | |
| 9 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | | |
| 10 | Dated: September 16, 2013KEKER & VAN NEST LLP | | | |
| 11 | By: <u>/s/ Stuart L. Gasner</u> STUART L. GASNER | | | |
| | SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI | | | |
| 12 | KATHERINE M. LOVETT Attorneys for Defendants | | | |
| 13 | WALTÉR LIEW, USA PERFORMANCE | | | |
| 14 | TECHNOLOGY, INC. | | | |
| 15 | Dated: September 16, 2013 GLYNN & FINLEY LLP | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| | By: <u>/s/ Clement L. Glynn</u> CLEMENT L. GLYNN | | | |
| 17 | MORGAN K. LOPEZ ATTORNEYS FOR E. I. DU PONT DE | | | |
| 18 | NEMOURS AND COMPANY | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | Dated: September 16, 2013 By: <u>/s/ Doron Weinberg</u> | | | |
| 21 | DORON WEINBERG Attorneys for Defendant | | | |
| 22 | CHRISTINA LIEW | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | Dated: September 16, 2013 By: <u>/s/ Jerry Froelich</u> JERRY FROELICH | | | |
| 25 | Attorneys for Defendant | | | |
| 26 | ROBERT MAEGERLE | | | |
| 20 27 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 28 | 7 | | | |
| | - 7 - | | | |

Case3:11-cr-00573-JSW Document446 Filed09/17/13 Page8 of 9

| 1 | Dated: September 16, 2013 | | ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY |
|----|--|-----------------|---|
| 2 | | By: | <i>/s/ John H. Hemann</i> JOHN H. HEMANN |
| 3 | | | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 4 | Dated: September 16, 2013 | | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY |
| 5 | | Bv [.] | /s/ Peter B. Axelrod |
| 6 | | 29. | PETER B. AXELROD Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 7 | | | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | ORDE | R | |
| 10 | Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. | | of DISTRE |
| 11 | | | STATES DISTRICT CON |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | - | NATI GRANTED |
| 14 | | | Z Judge Nathanael M. Cousins |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | TERN DISTRICT OF COM |
| 17 | | | DISTRICT |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | - | 8 - | |

| 1 | EXHIBIT A | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER | | | |
| 3 | I hereby acknowledge that I have received a copy of the Stipulation and Order re | | | |
| 4 | Protection of Material Produced by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company Pursuant to Rule | | | |
| 5 | 17(c) Subpoena in United States v. Liew, et al, Case No. CR 11-cr-00573-JSW (NC), and that I | | | |
| 6 | have read it, I understand it, and I agree to all of its terms. If English is not my primary language, | | | |
| 7 | the Stipulated Protective Order and this Acknowledgment have been translated for me. I further | | | |
| 8 | understand that by signing this Acknowledgment, I subject myself to the jurisdiction of the | | | |
| 9 | United States District Court for the Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing | | | |
| 10 | the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order and punishing any violations thereof. | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | Name (Printed) | | | |
| 15 | Signature | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | Address | | | |
| 18 | Address (cont'd) | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | Name of Translator (if applicable) | | | |
| 22 | Signature of Translator | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | - 9 - | | | |