1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney			
2 3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division			
4	PETER B. AXELROD (CABN 190843) JOHN H. HEMANN (CABN 165823) Assistant United States Attorneys			
5				
6	450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102			
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Fax: (415) 436-7234			
8	E-Mail: peter.axelrod@usdoj.gov			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14	LINUTED STATES OF AMEDICA No. CD 11 0572 ISW			
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 11-0573 JSW			
16	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
17	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM) DECEMBER 1, 2011 TO FEBRUARY 2,			
18	WALTER LIEW, and) 2012 CHRISTINA LIEW,)			
19	Defendants.			
20)			
21	The parties, by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as			
22	follows:			
23	1. On December 1, 2011, defendants Walter Liew and Christina Liew appeared			
24	before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White, United States District Judge, for a status hearing. Doron			
25	Weinberg appeared for Christina Liew, who was assisted by a court-appointed Chinese			
26	(Mandarin) interpreter, and Tom Nolan appeared for Walter Liew. Assistant United States			
27	Attorneys Peter B. Axelrod and John H. Hemann appeared for the United States. Prior to the			
28	hearing, the United States had provided the defendants with partial discovery and, at the hearing			
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0573 JSW			

the United States advised the Court that it intended to produce an additional 300 gigabytes of discovery, including voluminous materials in the Chinese language, upon the Court's entry of a stipulated protective order. Further, the United States restated its intention to seek a superseding indictment against the defendants related to its on-going trade secret investigation. The matter was continued to February 2, 2012 for a status/initial appearance on a superseding indictment.

- 2. At the hearing, the parties jointly requested that time be excluded between December 1, 2011 and February 2, 2012 under the Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161) on grounds of complexity and for effective preparation of defense counsel. Specifically, the case is complex under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii) – it involves witness tampering and false statements in conjunction with the theft of trade secrets related to a complicated industrial process, the manufacture of titanium dioxide (TiO2) through the chloride route, and it also involves a vast array of documents in the Chinese language, including extensive Chinese language materials in the additional 300 gigabytes of discovery. Further, defense counsel will need additional time to review the voluminous discovery, including foreign language documents, to effectively prepare the defense.
- Defendants Walter and Christina Liew have been advised of their right to a speedy 3. trial and consent to the exclusion of time set forth in this Stipulation.

SO STIPULATED.

19	DATED:	12/9/11	MELINDA HAAG
20	DITIED.	12/ // 11	United States Attorney
21			/S/
22			PETER B. AXELROD JOHN H. HEMANN
23			Assistant United States Attorneys
24	DATED:	12/9/11	/S/
25			TOM NOLAN
26	D 4 7770	10/0/11	Counsel for Walter Liew
26 27	DATED:	12/9/11	

т,

-[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the parties' stipulation, the record in this case, including the information presented at the December 1, 2011 hearing, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), the case is so complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for trial within the time limits set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between December 1, 2011 and February 2, 2012 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between December 1, 2011 and February 2, 2012 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(ii).

DATED: December 9, 2011

JEZ RIZY S. VAITE Upsted States District Judge