

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
 2 STUART L. GASNER - # 164675
 3 sgasner@kvn.com
 4 SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI - # 246943
 5 sagnolucci@kvn.com
 6 KATHERINE M. LOVETT - # 276256
 7 klovett@kvn.com
 8 633 Battery Street
 9 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
 10 Telephone: 415 391 5400
 11 Facsimile: 415 397 7188

12 Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIEW and
 13 USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 WALTER LIEW, CHRISTINA LIEW,
 21 USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY,
 22 INC., and ROBERT MAEGERLE,

23 Defendants.

24 Case No. CR 11-0573-JSW (NC)

25 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**
 26 **RE FED. RULE CRIM. P. 17(C) SUBPOENA**

27 Place: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
 28 Dept.: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

1 The undersigned, by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as
2 follows:

3 1. On August 8, 2013, this Court granted Defendants' *Ex Parte* Application for a
4 Rule 17(c) Subpoena. Dkt. 410.

5 2. On August 12, 2013, Defendants served the Rule 17(c) subpoena issued by the
6 Court on E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), with a return date of September 2,
7 2013, twenty-one days from the date of service.

8 3. On August 27, 2013, counsel for DuPont and defense counsel met and conferred
9 about DuPont's efforts to locate documents responsive to the subpoena, and counsel for DuPont
10 indicated that DuPont would be unable to fully respond to the subpoena by the return date.
11 However, DuPont's counsel indicated that DuPont would be willing to produce documents on a
12 rolling basis in order to expedite the Court's, the Government's, and defense counsel's review of
13 documents responsive to the subpoena.

14 4. On September 10, 2013, counsel for defendants Walter Liew and USA
15 Performance Technology, Inc. ("USAPTI") and counsel for DuPont filed a Stipulation and
16 [Proposed] Order re Fed. Rule Crim. P.17(c) Subpoena (Dkt. 439) advising the Court as to the
17 status of DuPont's response to the subpoena. The parties to that stipulation also represented that
18 they would update the Court further on September 23, 2013. The Court signed this Order, as
19 modified, on September 23, 2013. Dkt. 451.

20 5. On September 13, 2013, counsel for Walter Liew and USAPTI met and conferred
21 further with counsel for DuPont. Counsel for DuPont represented that DuPont would produce to
22 the Court its first set of documents responsive to categories E.1 and E.2 of the subpoena upon the
23 entry of a mutually agreeable protective order. They also updated defendants regarding
24 procedures DuPont has implemented to collect and review documents potentially responsive to
25 the remaining categories set forth in the subpoena.

26 6. On September 16, 2013, the parties filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re
27 Protection of Material Produced by E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company Pursuant to
28

1 Rule 17(c) Subpoena. Dkt. 445. The following day, Magistrate Judge Cousins signed the
2 protective order and DuPont produced its first set of responsive documents. Dkt. 446.

3 7. On October 4, 2013, Defendants and DuPont stipulated to continue DuPont's
4 response date to October 18, 2013. Dkt. 469. The Court granted the requested continuance on
5 October 7, 2013. Dkt. 470.

6 8. On October 16, 2013, Defendants and DuPont stipulated to further continue
7 DuPont's response date to October 25, 2013. Dkt. 489. The Court granted the requested
8 continuance on October 21, 2013. Dkt. 495.

9 9. On October 18, 2013, DuPont produced a second set of documents responsive to
10 the Rule 17(c) subpoena. Dkt. 501.

11 10. Defendants and DuPont continue to work in good faith to identify and produce
12 documents in response to the subpoena. Pursuant to their most recent meet-and-confer
13 discussion, defense counsel and counsel for DuPont have agreed that DuPont will update
14 defendants again on or about November 4, 2013, regarding the status of DuPont's efforts.
15 Accordingly, defendants and DuPont stipulate that DuPont should be granted an extension until
16 November 4, 2013, to allow DuPont to further: 1) collect and review documents; 2) determine
17 the expense and burden associated with producing the categories of documents enumerated in the
18 subpoena; 3) meet and confer with defense counsel regarding whether certain requests can be
19 modified to allow for expedited production. By November 4, defendants and DuPont will update
20 the Court regarding the status of DuPont's efforts and determine whether further extensions of
21 time are required.

22 11. By entering into this stipulation, DuPont in no way waives, but rather expressly
23 reserves, its right to move to quash those categories of documents that it determines are overly
24 broad, would require an undue burden to locate and produce, or otherwise fail to satisfy the
25 standards set forth in *United States v. Nixon*.

26 12. Likewise, by entering into this stipulation, defendants in no way waive, but rather
27 expressly reserve, their right to move to compel DuPont's compliance with the Rule 17(c)
28 subpoena.

1 13. Consistent with the expressed preference of the Court (Dkt. 451), DuPont will
2 produce bates numbered copies of the documents to the Court in electronic format.

3 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

4
5 Dated: October 25, 2013

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

6
7 By: /s/ Stuart L. Gasner

STUART L. GASNER
SIMONA A. AGNOLUCCI
KATHERINE M. LOVETT

8
9 Attorneys for Defendants WALTER LIEW and
USA PERFORMANCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.

10 Dated: October 25, 2013

GLYNN & FINLEY

11
12 By: /s/ Clement L. Glynn

CLEMENT GLYNN
MORGAN LOPEZ
Attorneys for E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS
AND COMPANY

13
14
15
16 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

17 Based on the foregoing stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for
18 DuPont’s response to the Rule 17(c) subpoena is continued to November 4, 2013. Defendants
19 and DuPont shall update the Court by no later than that date regarding the status of DuPont’s
20 response.
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated:

25 _____
JEFFREY S. WHITE
26 United States District Judge
27
28